AMERUS GROUP CO/IA Form 10-K March 07, 2005

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

b Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004

Of

o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-15166

AmerUs Group Co.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

wa

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)
699 Walnut Street, Des Moines, Iowa

(Address of principal executive offices)

42-1458424

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

50309-3948

(Zip code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code (515) 362-3600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock (no par value) Income PRIDESSM

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of June 30, 2004: \$1,616,433,854

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act) Yes x

No o

Number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant s classes of common stock on March 3, 2005 was as follows:

Common Stock 39,438,368 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the Registrant s definitive proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held April 28, 2005 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dont 1

<u> 1 </u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	2
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	18
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	18
<u>Item 4.</u>	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	19
<u>Part II</u>		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and	
	<u>Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	19
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	22
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	
	<u>Operation</u>	23
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	55
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	56
Item 9.	Change in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial	
	<u>Disclosure</u>	57
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	57
Item 9B	Other Information	59
Part III		
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	59
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	59
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	59
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	59
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	60
Part IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	60

Index to Exhibits	61
Signatures	66
Power of Attorney	66
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-1
Index to Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules Exhibit 10.34 Exhibit 10.35 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges List of Subsidiaries Consent of Ernst & Young LLP Certification of Chief Executive Officer Certification of Chief Financial Officer Certification of Chief Financial Officer	S-1

Table of Contents

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains statements which constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including statements relating to trends in operations and financial results and the business and the products of the Registrant and its subsidiaries, as well as other statements including words such as anticipate, believe, plan, estimate, expect, intend, and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are made based upon management s current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on the Company. Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. Factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements include, among others, the following possibilities: (a) general economic conditions and other factors, including prevailing interest rate levels and stock and bond market performance, which may affect our ability to sell our products, the market value of our investments and the lapse rate and profitability of policies; (b) our ability to achieve anticipated levels of operational efficiencies and cost-saving initiatives and to meet cash requirements based upon projected liquidity sources; (c) customer response to new products, distribution channels and marketing initiatives; (d) mortality, morbidity, and other factors which may affect the profitability of our insurance products; (e) our ability to develop and maintain effective risk management policies and procedures and to maintain adequate reserves for future policy benefits and claims; (f) changes in the federal income tax and other federal laws, regulations, and interpretations, including federal regulatory measures that may significantly affect the insurance business including limitations on antitrust immunity, minimum solvency requirements, and changes to the tax advantages offered by life insurance and annuity products or programs with which they are used; (g) increasing competition in the sale of insurance and annuities and the recruitment of sales representatives; (h) regulatory changes, interpretations, initiatives or pronouncements, including those relating to the regulation of insurance companies and the regulation and sale of their products and the programs in which they are used; (i) our ratings and those of our subsidiaries by independent rating organizations which we believe are particularly important to the sale of our products; (j) the performance of our investment portfolios; (k) the impact of changes in standards of accounting; (I) our ability to integrate the business and operations of acquired entities; (m) expected protection products and accumulation products margins; (n) the impact of anticipated investment transactions; and (o) litigation or regulatory investigations or examinations.

There can be no assurance that other factors not currently anticipated by us will not materially and adversely affect our results of operations. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statement was made. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement.

]

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. Business Web Access to Reports

We make our periodic and current reports filed or furnished pursuant to section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, available, free of charge at our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed electronically with or furnished to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Our internet website address to obtain such filings is www.amerus.com.

Definitions

When used in this document, the terms AmerUs, we, our and us refer to AmerUs Group Co. (including American Mutual Holding Comparand AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. as predecessor entities of AmerUs Group Co.), an Iowa corporation, and our consolidated subsidiaries, unless otherwise specified or indicated by the context.

General

We are a holding company whose subsidiaries are primarily engaged in the business of marketing, underwriting and distributing a broad range of individual life, annuity and insurance deposit products to individuals and businesses in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and the U.S. Virgin Islands. We have two reportable operating segments: protection products and accumulation products. The primary offerings of the protection products segment are interest-sensitive whole life, term life, universal life and equity indexed life insurance policies. The primary offerings of the accumulation products segment are individual fixed annuities (comprised of traditional fixed annuities and equity indexed annuities) and funding agreements.

We were founded in 1896 as the mutual insurer Central Life Assurance Company. In 1996, we became the first Mutual Insurance Holding Company in the United States, or MIHC, a structure that allows mutuals to access the public equity markets, which AmerUs did in 1997 with its initial public offering. In 2000, AmerUs reorganized its MIHC structure through a full demutualization and became a 100% public stock company.

We have had positive organic growth in our businesses. We have also successfully executed a series of strategic acquisitions that have helped generate sales growth, as well as balance our product and geographic distribution. The following is a summary of these acquisitions and the benefits created:

In 1994, Central Life Assurance Company and American Mutual Life Insurance Co. merged providing us with significant scale in our life insurance operations. The merger resulted in our becoming one of the 25 largest mutual insurers in America at that time.

In October 1997, the acquisition of Delta Life Corporation launched our annuity business. At the time of the acquisition, Delta Life had about \$2.0 billion in assets and specialized in single-premium deferred annuity and equity indexed annuity products.

In December 1997, we acquired AmVestors Financial Corporation, predecessor to AmerUs Annuity Group Co., which specialized in the sale of individual fixed annuity products. The acquisition further strengthened our presence in asset accumulation and retirement and savings markets.

In 2001, we acquired Indianapolis Life Insurance Company, an Indiana life insurance company, and its subsidiaries which had approximately \$6 billion in consolidated assets at the time of the acquisition. The acquisition allowed us to strengthen our life insurance business and ultimately provided us with a better balance of annuity and life insurance product sales.

2

Table of Contents

Subsidiaries

We have four main direct subsidiaries: AmerUs Life Insurance Company, or ALIC, an Iowa life insurance company; AmerUs Annuity Group Co., or AAG, a Kansas corporation; AmerUs Capital Management Group, Inc., or ACM, an Iowa corporation; and ILICO Holdings, Inc., an Indiana corporation.

AAG owns, directly or indirectly, two Kansas life insurance companies: American Investors Life Insurance Company, Inc., or American; and Financial Benefit Life Insurance Company, or FBL. On December 31, 2002, Delta Life and Annuity Company was merged into American.

ILICO Holdings, Inc., has one wholly-owned subsidiary, Indianapolis Life Insurance Company, or ILIC, an Indiana life insurance company. ILIC has two wholly-owned subsidiaries: Bankers Life Insurance Company of New York, or Bankers Life, a New York life insurance company; and IL Securities, Inc., an Indiana corporation. When used in this document, the term ILICO refers to ILICO Holdings, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Organization as of December 31, 2004

Reorganization

We were formerly known as American Mutual Holding Company, or AMHC and were a mutual insurance holding company, with our principal asset being a 58% interest in AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., or ALHI. Public stockholders owned the remaining 42% interest in ALHI with their interest referred to as minority interest. ALHI was a holding company which directly or indirectly owned ALIC and American, its principal life insurance subsidiaries. On September 20, 2000, we converted to stock form, changed our name to AmerUs Group Co. and acquired the minority interest of ALHI by issuing our common stock in exchange for the outstanding shares of ALHI held by the public. The value of the stock exchange was approximately \$298 million and ALHI was merged into us simultaneously with the stock exchange.

Prior to our conversion to a stock company, which is referred to as a demutualization, we were owned by individuals and entities who held insurance policies or annuity contracts issued by ALIC. Such individuals and entities were considered members. In connection with our demutualization, we distributed cash, policy credits and our newly issued common stock to those members in exchange for their membership interests. The value of the distribution totaled approximately \$792 million.

The acquisition of the minority interest of ALHI by us was accounted for as a purchase and 42% of the book value of the assets and liabilities of ALHI was adjusted to market value as of the acquisition date. Approximately 42% of the ALHI earnings for our fiscal periods prior to the acquisition date are deducted from our results of operations on the line titled minority interest in our consolidated statements of income. From the acquisition date forward, our results of operations include 100% of such earnings.

3

Table of Contents

Closed Block

We have established two closed blocks of policies: (a) the first on June 30, 1996 in connection with the reorganization of ALIC from a mutual company to a stock company, and (b) the second on March 31, 2000 in connection with the reorganization of ILIC from a mutual company to a stock company (collectively, the closed block). Insurance policies which had a dividend scale in effect as of each closed block establishment date were included in the closed block. The closed block was designed to give reasonable assurance to owners of insurance policies that, after the reorganizations of ALIC and ILIC, assets would be available to maintain the dividend scales and interest credits in effect prior to the reorganization, if the experience underlying such scales and crediting continued. The assets, including revenue therefrom, allocated to the closed block will accrue solely to the benefit of the owners of policies included in the closed block until the closed block no longer exists. We will continue to pay guaranteed benefits under all policies, including policies included in the closed block, in accordance with their terms. In the event that the closed block is assets are insufficient to meet the benefits of the closed block is guaranteed benefits, general assets would be utilized to meet the contractual benefits of the closed block is policyowners.

Dispositions

ILIC previously owned The Indianapolis Life Group of Companies, Inc., or IL Group. IL Group owned Bankers Life, IL Securities, Inc., IL Annuity, and Western Security Life Insurance Company, or WSLIC, an Arizona life insurance company. Effective on March 5, 2002, IL Group was dissolved and its four wholly-owned subsidiaries became direct subsidiaries of ILIC. In addition, on March 29, 2002, WSLIC was sold with its insurance business transferred to ILIC prior to the sale. The sale of the corporate organization and insurance licenses resulted in a gain of approximately \$1.9 million which is included in realized gains and losses on investments for the year ended December 31, 2002. IL Annuity was merged into ILIC effective June 30, 2003 as part of a restructuring plan. See further discussion in note 16 to the consolidated financial statements.

In November 2003, we entered into an agreement to sell our residential financing operations. The assets, liabilities and results of operations of the residential financing operations have been classified as discontinued operations. The sale was completed in January 2004, resulting in an after-tax gain of \$3.9 million. See further discussion in note 20 to the consolidated financial statements.

Financial Information

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. See note 1 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information about GAAP and our significant accounting policies.

We measure our profit or loss and total assets by operating segments. We have two reportable operating segments: protection products and accumulation products. See a further discussion of our operating segments in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.

4

Table of Contents

Protection Products Segment

Products

Our protection products segment consists of individual fixed life insurance premiums from traditional life insurance products, universal life insurance products and equity indexed life insurance products. Sales are presented as annualized premium which is in accordance with industry practice, and represent the amount of new business sold during the period. Sales are a performance metric which we use to measure the productivity of our distribution network and for compensation of sales and marketing employees and agents. The following table summarizes annualized premium by life insurance product:

Sales Activity by Product For the Years Ended December 31,

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Traditional life insurance:			
Interest-sensitive whole life	\$ 6,230	\$ 19,691	\$ 30,622
Term and other life	13,878	14,824	20,626
Universal life	29,326	32,476	32,570
Equity indexed life	74,629	51,644	45,843
			·
Direct	124,063	118,635	129,661
Private label term life premiums		4,206	8,970
Total	\$124,063	\$122,841	\$138,631

Traditional Life Insurance Products. Traditional life insurance products include interest-sensitive whole life and term life insurance products.

Interest-sensitive whole life insurance provides benefits for the life of the insured. However, this product has cash value accumulation that is interest sensitive and responds to current interest and mortality rates. These products are used in several markets, the largest of which is the pension plan market. Lower interest-sensitive whole life sales were experienced in 2004 and 2003, as compared to each prior year, due to the development of an equity indexed product, our shift in sales focus to equity indexed products and uncertainty in government tax policy and regulation. For the year ended December 31, 2004, sales of interest-sensitive whole life products represented 5% of direct sales for individual life insurance products sold.

Term and other life insurance include term life and whole life insurance products. Term life provides life insurance protection for a specific time period (which generally can be renewed at an increased premium). Such policies are mortality-based and offer no cash accumulation feature. Term life insurance is a highly competitive and quickly changing market. The reduction in term and other life sales in 2003 compared to 2002 was primarily a result of our ceasing to take new applications for sales of whole life insurance on December 31, 2002. Term and other life insurance sales have declined to approximately 11% of our total sales in 2004.

In prior years, ILIC had distributed term products primarily through strategic alliances with private label partners. Under private label arrangements, ILIC manufactured products that were distributed through field forces of other life insurance companies, its private label partners. Following a strategic decision to exit the private label business, ILIC reached an agreement with its joint venture partners to cease new business processing during 2003. In keeping with contractual obligations, ILIC continues to service in-force business for existing joint venture partners.

Universal Life Insurance Products. We offer universal life insurance products, which provide flexible benefits for the insured. Within product limits and state regulations, policyowners may vary the amount and timing of premiums and the amount of the death benefit of their policies and keep the policies in force, as long as there are sufficient policy funds available to cover all policy charges for the next coverage period. Premiums, net of specified expenses, are credited to the policy, as is interest, generally at a rate determined from time to time by us. Specific charges are made against the policy for the cost of insurance and for expenses. We invest

5

Table of Contents

the premiums we receive from the sale of universal life insurance products in our investment portfolio. Our gross margin from these products is the yield we earn on our investment portfolio plus the internal product charges less interest credited to policies and less mortality and other expenses.

Sales of universal life decreased in 2004 compared to the prior periods due to the popularity of our equity indexed life products. The weighted average crediting rate for universal life insurance liabilities was 4.62% for the year 2004, 4.96% for the year 2003 and 5.39% for the year 2002. The crediting rate has been lowered as a result of reduced investment yields associated with the declining interest rate environment. For the year ended December 31, 2004, sales of universal life insurance products represented 24% of direct sales for individual life insurance products sold.

Equity Indexed Life Products. We also offer equity indexed life insurance products which are a type of universal life or interest-sensitive whole life product that allows the policyowner to elect an interest earnings strategy for a portion of the account value. Earnings are credited based in part on increases in the appropriate indices, primarily the Standard & Poor s 500 Composite Stock Index®(collectively, S&P 500 Index), excluding dividends. The earnings credit is subject to a participation rate and an annual cap. Our gross margin on our equity indexed life products is similar to that of our universal life and interest-sensitive whole life insurance products. However, due to the equity indexed earnings strategies, we invest a portion of the premiums we receive from the sale of these products in call options. We may affect the cost of the call options by adjusting interest crediting parameters that are provided for in the policy. Our return on the call options is generally expected, in a growing equity market, to correspond to the earnings we are contractually bound to credit on the equity indexed strategies. The remainder of the premium is invested in our investment portfolio to support the contractual minimum guarantees that may come into effect if the equity index declines. The structure of our product, together with the allocation of our equity indexed life product premiums between call options and our investment portfolio, are intended to provide for a positive gross margin in both increasing and decreasing equity markets. At December 31, 2004, the account value of equity indexed life products totaled \$364.3 million of which approximately 82% is invested in equity indexed strategies.

Equity indexed life insurance sales increased in 2004 and 2003 following our focus on the marketing of this product beginning in 2000. We are a leading writer of equity indexed life products in the United States. Sales of the equity indexed life product, as a percentage of direct sales, was approximately 60% in 2004.

6

Table of Contents

Collected premiums are measured in accordance with industry practice, and represent the amount of premiums received during the period. Collected premiums are a performance metric which we use to measure the productivity of our distribution network and for compensation of sales and marketing employees and agents. The following table sets forth our collected life insurance premiums, including collected premiums associated with the closed block, for the periods indicated:

Collected Premiums by Product For The Years Ended December 31,

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Individual life premiums collected:			
Traditional life:			
First year and single	\$ 92,354	\$ 116,252	\$ 149,740
Renewal	333,255	343,067	344,715
Total	425,609	459,319	494,455
Universal life:			
First year and single	93,848	94,158	75,761
Renewal	138,579	132,833	128,869
Total	232,427	226,991	204,630
Equity-indexed life:			
First year and single	118,535	84,478	78,797
Renewal	62,061	35,344	14,526
Total	180,596	119,822	93,323
Total individual life	838,632	806,132	792,408
Reinsurance assumed	45,266	49,706	48,664
Reinsurance ceded	(227,862)	(187,860)	(220,589)
Total individual life, net of reinsurance	\$ 656,036	\$ 667,978	\$ 620,483

Individual life insurance premiums collected before reinsurance increased in 2004 and 2003 as a result of increased equity indexed sales, which were partially offset by lower traditional life collected premiums.

ALIC has reinsurance arrangements that have reduced its retention to 10% of the net amount at risk on any one policy not to exceed company retention limits for the majority of policies issued from July 1, 1996 through December 31, 1999, and for all new business commencing January 1, 2000. ALIC s retention limits on any one life vary by age and rating table and are generally between \$150,000 and \$500,000. ALIC also has a reinsurance agreement covering approximately 90% of the closed block net amount at risk not previously reinsured. In addition, ALIC entered into an indemnity reinsurance agreement effective December 31, 2001 covering universal life policies of the open block issued prior to July 1, 1996, that was subsequently replaced by another indemnity reinsurance agreement effective October 1, 2002, covering 90% of the net amount at risk not previously reinsured of any one policy. ALIC entered into an 80% statutory modified coinsurance quota share and coinsurance agreement covering certain individual life policies of the closed block effective December 31, 2002. That agreement was terminated January 1, 2004. As a result of these agreements, ceded reinsurance premium for ALIC was \$96.9 million in 2004, \$77.9 million in 2003 and \$127.3 million in 2002.

ILIC entered into a statutory reinsurance agreement to cover 100% quota share of retained net amounts at risk for certain open block and closed block policies in force at December 31, 2002, which was amended by an indemnity reinsurance agreement effective July 1, 2003. Ceded premium from ILICO amounted to \$131.0 million in 2004, \$109.8 million in 2003 and \$93.3 million in 2002. ILICO s reinsurance agreements

effectively reduce ILICO s retention limit to between \$150,000 and \$500,000.

7

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding our life insurance in force for each date presented. Protection products face amounts in force is a performance measure utilized by investors, analysts and the Company to assess the Company s position in the industry.

Individual Life Insurance in Force As of December 31,

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Traditional life			
Number of policies	433,011	446,961	451,933
GAAP life reserves	\$ 3,551,648	\$ 3,465,853	\$ 3,236,223
Face amounts	\$67,769,000	\$70,904,000	\$64,302,000
Universal life			
Number of policies	142,370	145,525	147,469
GAAP life reserves	\$ 1,587,787	\$ 1,517,227	\$ 1,425,746
Face amounts	\$19,848,000	\$20,780,000	\$19,095,000
Equity-indexed life			
Number of policies	46,350	35,133	23,679
GAAP life reserves	\$ 364,282	\$ 224,874	\$ 126,821
Face amounts	\$ 9,918,000	\$ 6,878,000	\$ 4,574,000
Total life insurance			
Number of policies	621,731	627,619	623,081
GAAP life reserves	\$ 5,503,717	\$ 5,207,954	\$ 4,788,790
Face amounts	\$97,535,000	\$98,562,000	\$87,971,000

Distribution Systems

Our subsidiaries sell life insurance in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The states with the highest geographic concentration of sales, based on statutory premiums in 2004, are California, Florida, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, New York, Texas and Wisconsin. These states account for approximately 54% of our statutory premiums.

Our target customers are individuals in the middle and upper income brackets and small businesses. We market our life insurance products on a national basis primarily through a Career Marketing Organization (CMOs) system, a Personal Producing General Agent (PPGA) distribution system, Independent Marketing Organizations (IMOs) and a New York distribution system. We currently employ 19 regional vice presidents who are responsible for supervising these distribution systems within their assigned geographic regions.

Under the CMO system, a contractual arrangement is entered into with the CMO for the sale of insurance products by the CMO s agents. The CMO agents are primarily compensated by receiving a percentage of the first year commissions and renewal commissions on premiums subsequently collected on that business. In addition, the CMO agents receive certain retirement benefits and incentive trips.

The CMOs are independent contractors and are generally responsible for the expenses of their operations, including office and overhead expenses and the recruiting, selection, contracting, training and development of agents in their agencies. As of December 31, 2004, we had 66 CMO general agents in 28 states, through which approximately 1,150 agents sell our products. While agents in the CMO system are non-exclusive, most use our products for a majority of their new business.

Under the PPGA system, we contract primarily with individuals who are experienced individual agents or who head a small group of experienced individual agents. These individuals are independent contractors and are responsible for all of their own expenses. These individuals often sell products for other insurance companies, and may offer selected products we offer rather than our full line of insurance products. The PPGA system is comprised of approximately 1,100 PPGA general agents, with approximately 4,100 agents.

8

Table of Contents

PPGAs are compensated by commissions on first year and renewal premiums collected on business written by themselves and the agents in their units.

We have also developed programs to sell life insurance through select IMOs. The customers targeted and the products sold are similar to those of the CMO and PPGA systems.

Under the IMO system, a contractual arrangement is entered into with an IMO to promote our insurance products to their network of agents and brokers. The IMO receives a commission and override commission on the business produced. We currently have approximately 90 IMOs under contract.

The New York distribution system is comprised of a combination of IMOs and PPGAs in the tri-state area, which primarily focus on the state of New York. There were approximately 5,700 agents in the New York distribution system.

During 2004, no single distribution organization (CMO, PPGA, IMO, or New York) accounted for more than 7% of total direct sales.

Accumulation Products Segment

Products

Our accumulation products segment primary offerings consist of individual fixed annuities and funding agreements. Annuities provide for the payment of periodic benefits over a specified time period. Benefits may commence immediately or may be deferred to a future date. Fixed annuities generally are backed by a general investment account and credited with a rate of return that is periodically reset. Funding agreements are arrangements for which we receive deposit funds and for which we agree to repay the deposit and a contractual return for the duration of the contract.

Deposits are presented as collected premiums, which are measured in accordance with industry practice, and represent the amount of new business sold during the period. Deposits are a performance metric which we use to measure the productivity of our distribution network and for compensation of sales and marketing employees and agents. Our annuity deposits consisted of approximately 17% from traditional annuity products and approximately 83% from equity indexed annuity products in 2004. Funding agreement deposits totaled \$85 million in 2004 and \$875 million in 2002. The following table sets forth deposits for the periods indicated:

Deposits by Product For The Years Ended December 31.

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Annuities			
Deferred fixed annuities:			
Traditional fixed annuities	\$ 312,652	\$ 443,220	\$1,099,872
Equity indexed annuities	1,527,587	1,311,409	683,819
Variable annuities	2,805	3,254	6,230
Total annuities	1,843,044	1,757,883	1,789,921
Funding agreements	85,000		875,000
Total	1,928,044	1,757,883	2,664,921
Reinsurance assumed			
Reinsurance ceded	(10,054)	(25,080)	(60,916)
Total deposits, net of reinsurance	\$1,917,990	\$1,732,803	\$2,604,005

Traditional Annuity Products. We offer a variety of interest rate crediting strategies on our traditional annuity products. At December 31, 2004, the account value of traditional annuities totaled \$6.5 billion of which approximately 94% have minimum guarantee rates ranging from 3% to 4%. For traditional annuities with an account value of \$4.7 billion, the credited rate was equal to the minimum guarantee rate, and as a

9

Table of Contents

result, the credited rate cannot be lowered. We also offer an interest rate crediting strategy that credits the policy with a return generally based upon the interest rates it earns on assets supporting the respective policies less management fees. Traditional annuities with an account value of \$1.2 billion had a multi-year guarantee for which the credited rate cannot be decreased until the end of the multi-year period. At the end of the multi-year period, we will have the ability to lower the crediting rate to the minimum guaranteed rate by an average of approximately 275 basis points. The remaining multi-year period is generally either one or two years. Due to these limitations on the ability to lower interest crediting rates and the potential for additional credit defaults and lower reinvestment rates on investments, we could experience spread compression in future periods.

We invest the deposits we receive from traditional annuity product sales in our investment portfolio. We call the difference between the yield we earn on our investment portfolio and the interest we credit on our traditional annuities our product spread. The product spread is a major driver of the profitability of our traditional annuity products.

Traditional annuity deposits decreased \$130.6 million and \$656.7 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods as we slowed traditional annuity sales due to the low interest rate environment and as we have shifted our sales focus to equity indexed products.

Equity Indexed Annuities. We offer equity indexed annuity products that provide various interest crediting strategies, including strategies linked to equity and investment grade bond indices. For deposits allocated to indexed crediting strategies, interest is credited to these products based in part on the increases in the applicable indices, less any applicable fees and subject to any applicable caps. Similar to our traditional annuity products, we invest the deposits we receive from equity indexed annuity product sales in our investment portfolio. At December 31, 2004, the GAAP reserves of equity indexed annuities totaled \$5.6 billion which provide guaranteed rates based on a cumulative floor over the term of the product. In addition, for deposits allocated to equity indexed crediting strategies, we use a portion of the deposits to purchase call options. We may affect the cost of the call options by adjusting interest crediting parameters that are provided for in the policy. Our return on the call options is generally expected, in a growing equity market, to correspond to the earnings we are contractually bound to credit on the equity indexed strategies. The remainder of the deposit is invested in our investment portfolio to support the contractual minimum guarantees that may come into effect if the equity index declines. At December 31, 2004, approximately 47% of the equity indexed annuities are invested in equity indexed strategies with the remainder invested in bonds or other fixed type investments. The product spread on deposits allocated to our equity indexed strategy is computed as:

The yield we earn on our investment portfolio,

Less the cost of the call options,

Less any interest credited to policyowners,

Equals product spread.

The product spread is a major driver of profitability of our equity indexed annuity products. The structure of our product, together with the allocation of our equity indexed strategy deposits between call options and our investment portfolio, is intended to provide for a positive product spread in both increasing and decreasing equity markets.

Equity indexed annuity sales increased in 2004 and 2003 as compared to the prior year periods due to its popularity with consumers and agents. In the third quarter of 2002, we entered into a new modified coinsurance reinsurance agreement to cede 25% of certain equity indexed annuity products which amounted to \$10.1 million, \$25.1 million, and \$56.2 million ceded premium in 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively.

Variable Annuities. Through our acquisition of ILICO, we obtained a variable annuity product line. In the first quarter of 2002, we ceased new sales of these products, except for new policies issued as part of existing employer-sponsored qualified plan contracts. All new sales were discontinued effective September 30, 2002. Amounts in 2004 and 2003 represent deposits collected on existing business. Our agents are encouraged to make new sales of variable annuities through the Ameritas Joint Venture. As these sales are through the joint venture, they do not appear in our direct sales amounts. See the discussion related to this joint venture in

10

Table of Contents

the Ameritas Joint Venture section. The assets and liabilities related to the direct variable annuities are shown on the consolidated balance sheets as separate account assets and separate account liabilities.

Funding Agreements. We placed primarily fixed rate funding agreements totaling \$85 million and \$875 million in 2004 and 2002, respectively. Funding agreements are insurance contracts for which we receive deposit funds and for which we agree to repay the deposit and a contractual return for the duration of the contract. In December 2003, a \$250 million funding agreement was terminated. Total funding agreements outstanding as of December 31, 2004, amounted to \$960 million compared to \$875 million outstanding at December 31, 2003.

The following table sets forth information regarding fixed annuities in force for each date presented:

Annuities in Force	
As of December 31.	

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Deferred fixed annuities			
Number of policies	162,489	176,280	181,581
GAAP annuity reserves	\$ 6,780,234	\$ 7,257,387	\$ 7,579,869
Equity-indexed annuities			
Number of policies	110,488	91,550	76,863
GAAP annuity reserves	\$ 5,551,184	\$ 4,439,836	\$ 3,724,598
Total fixed annuities			
Number of policies	272,977	267,830	258,444
GAAP annuity reserves	\$12,331,418	\$11,697,223	\$11,304,467

Distribution Systems

We sell annuities in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The states with the highest geographic concentration of sales, based on statutory premiums in 2004, are California, Florida, Michigan, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Texas. These states account for approximately 50% of our statutory premiums.

We direct our marketing efforts towards the asset accumulation, conservative savings and retirement markets. We market our annuity products on a national basis primarily through networks of independent agents. The independent agents are supervised by regional vice presidents and regional directors or IMOs. At December 31, 2004, we had approximately 15,000 independent agents licensed to sell our annuity products. In addition, the Preferred Producer agency and PPGA systems discussed previously are utilized to market certain annuity products.

Our IMOs consist principally of 10 contracted organizations, including four wholly-owned organizations and one organization which principally sell our proprietary products. The IMOs are responsible for recruiting, servicing and educating agents in an effort to promote our products. The IMOs receive an override commission based on the business produced by their agents. Our wholly-owned and proprietary organizations accounted for approximately 79% of our annuity sales in 2004. We do not have exclusive agency agreements with our agents and we believe most of these agents sell products similar to ours for other insurance companies.

Ameritas Joint Venture

We participate in a joint venture, the Ameritas Joint Venture, with Ameritas Life Insurance Corp. (or Ameritas) through ALIC s 34% ownership interest in AMAL Corporation (or AMAL), a Nebraska corporation. AMAL Corporation s operations are conducted through Ameritas Variable Life Insurance Company, (or AVLIC), Ameritas Investment Corp. (or AIC), and The Advisors Group, Inc. (or TAG), its three wholly-owned subsidiaries. AVLIC is licensed to conduct business in 47 states and the District of

11

Table of Contents

Columbia. AIC and TAG are registered broker-dealers. Our partner in the Ameritas Joint Venture, Ameritas, is a Nebraska mutual life insurance company which has been in existence for more than 100 years.

Our investment in the Ameritas Joint Venture provides access to a line of existing variable life insurance and annuity products while providing a lower-cost entry into an established business, helping to eliminate significant start-up costs and allowing for immediate potential earnings.

The Ameritas Joint Venture offers, through AVLIC, fixed annuity products, flexible premium and single premium variable universal life insurance products, and variable annuities. Variable products provide for allocation of funds to a general account or to one or more separate accounts under which the owner bears the investment risk. Through AVLIC s fund managers, owners of variable annuities and life insurance policies are able to choose from a range of investment funds offered by each manager. Under the current terms of the joint venture agreement, ALIC and Ameritas direct their new variable annuities and variable life insurance through the Ameritas Joint Venture.

The variable life insurance products and the fixed and variable annuities offered by the Ameritas Joint Venture are distributed through our CMOs, PPGA systems and one IMO, as well as through the distribution systems of Ameritas and AVLIC.

ALIC and Ameritas each have guaranteed the policyholder obligations of AVLIC. The guarantee of each party is joint and several, and will remain in effect until certain conditions are met. As of December 31, 2004, AMAL Corporation had total consolidated assets of \$184.2 million and total consolidated shareholder sequity of \$173.5 million on a GAAP basis. AVLIC had \$3,198.3 million of insurance in force and \$110.2 million in surplus as of December 31, 2004, on a statutory basis.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive industry. We compete with numerous life insurance companies and other entities including banks and other financial institutions, many of which have greater financial and other resources. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the sale of insurance products are product features, price, commission structure, perceived stability of the insurer, financial strength ratings, value-added service and name recognition. Many other companies are capable of competing for sales in our target markets (including companies that do not presently compete in such markets). Our ability to compete for sales is dependent upon our ability to successfully address the competitive factors.

We are the national leader in market share of equity indexed life production and are the fourteenth largest fixed life company in the United States. We also rank fifth nationally in equity indexed annuity sales and sixth nationally in fixed annuity sales through independent agents. The rankings are as of December 31, 2004, and are based on industry information from Advantage Compendium (formerly The Advantage Group) and LIMRA International.

In addition to competing for sales, we compete for qualified agents and brokers to distribute products. Strong competition exists among insurance companies for agents and brokers with demonstrated ability. We believe that the bases of competition for the services of such agents and brokers are commission structure, support services, prior relationships and the strength of an insurer s products. Although we believe that we have good relationships with our agents and brokers, our ability to compete will depend on our continued ability to attract and retain qualified persons.

12

Table of Contents

Ratings

Ratings with respect to financial strength are an increasingly important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. The following are the ratings as of March 1, 2005 for our major insurance subsidiaries currently writing new business:

Company	Rating Service	Rating Type	Rating
American	Standard & Poor s	insurer financial strength	A+ (strong)
American	A. M. Best	financial condition	A (excellent)
American	Moody s	insurance financial strength	A3 (good)
ALIC	Standard & Poor s	insurer financial strength	A+ (strong)
ALIC	A. M. Best	financial condition	A (excellent)
ALIC	Moody s	insurance financial strength	A3 (good)
Bankers Life	Standard & Poor s	insurer financial strength	A+ (strong)
Bankers Life	A. M. Best	financial condition	A (excellent)
ILICO	Standard & Poor s	insurer financial strength	A+ (strong)
ILICO	A. M. Best	financial condition	A (excellent)
ILICO	Moody s	insurance financial strength	A3 (good)

One of our insurance subsidiaries, FBL, is not currently writing new business. The ratings for FBL were a Standard & Poor s rating of BBB+ (good) and an A.M. Best rating of B+ (very good).

Standard & Poor s ratings for insurance companies range from AAA to R . Standard & Poor s indicates that A+ ratings are assigned to companies that have demonstrated strong financial security. A.M. Best s ratings for insurance companies range from A++ to S . A.M. Best indicates that an A rating is assigned to those companies that in A.M. Best s opinion have achieved superior performance when compared to the norms of the life insurance industry and have demonstrated a strong ability to meet their policyowner and other contractual obligations. Moody s ratings for insurance companies range from Aaa to C . Moody s indicates that A3 ratings are assigned to companies that have factors related to security of principal and interest which are considered adequate; however, elements are present which may suggest a susceptibility to impairment in the future. In evaluating a company s financial and operating performance, these rating agencies review a company s profitability, leverage and liquidity, book of business, adequacy and soundness of reinsurance, quality and estimated market value of assets, adequacy of policy reserves, experience and competency of management and other factors. Such ratings are neither a rating of securities nor a recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security, including our common stock and they may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the relevant rating agency. You should evaluate each rating independently of any other rating.

On June 21, 2004, Moody s Investor Services changed the rating outlook for our insurance subsidiaries that it rates to stable from negative. On October 19, 2004, Standard & Poor s re-affirmed our current A+ rating and our stable outlook. Also, on November 12, 2004, A.M. Best Company changed the rating outlook for all of our insurance subsidiaries to stable from negative. The changes occurred as part of Moody s, Standard & Poor s, and A.M. Best s assessments of their current life insurers ratings given the current operating environment. A negative outlook indicates that if certain trends continue or worsen, the rating agencies believe the insurance subsidiaries ratings may have to be adjusted downward. On February 15, 2005, Moody s Investor Services changed the rating outlook for the Company and its insurance and other subsidiaries that it rates to negative from stable as a result of uncertainties in connection with a lawsuit filed by the California Attorney General. On February 17, 2005, Standard & Poor s announced that our ratings would be unaffected by this lawsuit.

13

Table of Contents

Insurance Underwriting

We follow detailed, uniform underwriting practices and procedures in our insurance business which are designed to assess risks before issuing coverage to qualified applicants. We have professional underwriters who evaluate policy applications on the basis of information provided by applicants and others.

Reinsurance

In accordance with industry practices, we reinsure portions of our life insurance exposure with unaffiliated insurance companies under traditional indemnity reinsurance arrangements. Such reinsurance arrangements are in accordance with standard reinsurance practices within the industry. We enter into these arrangements to assist in diversifying risks and to limit the maximum loss on risks that exceed policy retention limits. Indemnity reinsurance does not fully discharge our obligation to pay claims on business we reinsure. As the ceding company, we remain responsible for policy claims to the extent the reinsurer fails to pay such claims. We continually monitor the creditworthiness of our primary reinsurers, and have experienced no material reinsurance recoverability problems in recent years.

For accounting purposes, premiums and expenses in the income statement are reported net of reinsurance ceded. Future life and annuity policy benefits, policyowner funds and other related assets and liabilities are not reduced for reinsurance ceded in the balance sheet, rather a reinsurance receivable is established for such balance sheet items.

We reinsure mortality risk on individual life insurance policies. Our retention is generally between \$150,000 and \$500,000 on any single life depending on the respective age and rating table. We also reinsure certain annuity business primarily on a modified coinsurance basis. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2004, we entered into new reinsurance agreements that for certain new universal life products, we retain the first \$500,000, we reinsure 50% of the coverage between \$500,000 and \$1,500,000, and then fully reinsure the coverage in excess of \$1,500,000. We increased our retention levels as a result of our favorable mortality experience and the overall increase in prices in the reinsurance market.

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, we ceded life insurance with a face amount of \$77.4 billion with 31 unaffiliated reinsurers and life insurance with a face amount of \$75.3 billion with 39 unaffiliated reinsurers, respectively. Ceded life insurance was approximately 79% and 77% of direct and assumed life insurance in force at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The following is a summary of our principal life reinsurers as of December 31, 2004:

Reinsurer	Face amount ceded	A.M. Best rating	% of total face amount reinsured
	(in billions)		
Swiss Re Life & Health America, Inc.	\$23.7	A+	34%
RGA Reinsurance Company	19.7	A+	25
Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company	14.1	A+	18
Allianz Life Insurance Company	4.3	A	6
Employers Reassurance Corporation	4.2	A-	5
Scottish Re Group Limited	4.1	A-	5
Generali USA Life Reinsurance Company	3.3	A	4

14

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, we ceded traditional and equity indexed annuities having reserves of \$1.0 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively. The following is a summary of our principal annuity reinsurers as of December 31, 2004:

Reinsurer	Reserves ceded	A.M. Best rating	% of total face amount reinsured
	(in billions)		
Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company	\$0.6	A+	60%
RGA Reinsurance Company	0.3	A+	30

Employees

As of December 31, 2004, we had 1,114 full-time employees. None of these employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement and we believe that our relations with our employees are satisfactory.

Government Regulation

We are subject to a variety of state and federal laws and regulations as well as oversight by regulatory bodies.

We are regulated by the states in which our insurance subsidiaries are domiciled and/or transact business. State insurance and other laws generally establish supervisory agencies with broad administrative and supervisory powers related to granting and revoking licenses, transacting business, regulating the payment of dividends to stockholders, establishing guaranty fund associations, licensing agents, approving policy forms, regulating sales practices, establishing reserve requirements, prescribing the form and content of required financial statements and reports, determining the reasonableness and adequacy of statutory capital and surplus, and regulating the type and amount of investments permitted. Every state in which our insurance companies are licensed administers a guaranty fund, which provides for assessments of licensed insurers for the protection of policyowners of insolvent insurance companies. Assessments can be partially recovered through a reduction in future premium taxes in some states. Risk-based capital, or RBC, standards for life insurance companies were adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, known as the NAIC, and require insurance companies to calculate and report for statutory basis financial statements information under a risk-based capital formula. The RBC requirements are intended to allow insurance regulators to identify at an early stage inadequately capitalized insurance companies based upon the types and mixtures of risks inherent in such companies operations. The formula includes components for asset risk, liability risk, interest rate exposure and other factors. As of December 31, 2004, each of our life insurance companies RBC levels was in excess of authorized control level RBC thresholds established by insurance regulators.

Although the federal government generally does not directly regulate the insurance business, federal initiatives and changes in federal law can often have a material impact on our business in a variety of ways. Our products and sales practices are impacted by federal laws and regulations, such as those related to taxation and securities. Current and proposed federal measures that may significantly affect the insurance business include limitations on antitrust immunity, minimum solvency requirements, changes to the tax advantages of life insurance and annuity products or the programs with which they are used, new savings and dividend proposals and the removal of barriers restricting banks from engaging in the insurance and mutual fund business.

Regulatory bodies may periodically make inquiries and conduct examinations concerning our compliance with insurance and other laws, such as those regulating the marketing and sale of our products. We cooperate with these regulators in conducting such inquiries and examinations in the ordinary course of our business.

15

Table of Contents

Executive Officers of the Company

The following provides information about AmerUs Group Co. s executive officers:

Name of Individual	Age	Title
Roger K. Brooks		Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of
	67	AmerUs Group Co.
Thomas C. Godlasky		Director and President and Chief Operating Officer of AmerUs
	49	Group Co.
Gregory D. Boal		Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer of AmerUs
	46	Group Co.
Brian J. Clark		Executive Vice President and Chief Product Officer of AmerUs
	39	Group Co.
Mark V. Heitz		President and Chief Executive Officer of AmerUs Annuity Group,
		American Investors Life Insurance Company, Inc., and Financial
	52	Benefit Life Insurance Company
Gary R. McPhail		President and Chief Executive Officer of AmerUs Life Insurance
•	56	Company and Indianapolis Life Insurance Company
Melinda S. Urion		Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of
	51	AmerUs Group Co.

ROGER K. BROOKS Des Moines, Iowa.

Chairman and chief executive officer of AmerUs Group Co. since May 1997, president from May 1997 to November 2003, and president and chief executive officer from its formation in July 1996 to May 1997. Previously, Mr. Brooks was the chief executive officer of predecessor or affiliated companies since 1974. He is a director of AMAL. Mr. Brooks has been a director of AmerUs Group Co. since its formation in July 1996, and previously served as a director of predecessor or affiliated companies since 1971. His current term expires in May 2007.

THOMAS C. GODLASKY Des Moines, Iowa.

President and chief operating officer of AmerUs Group Co. since November 2003 and executive vice president and chief investment officer of AmerUs Group Co. and predecessor or affiliated companies from January 1995 to November 2003. Mr. Godlasky had also been president of AmerUs Capital Management from January 1998 to November 2003. From February 1988 to January 1995, he was manager of the Fixed Income and Derivatives Department of Providian Corporation, Louisville, Kentucky. He is a director of AMAL, AVLIC and AIC, wholly-owned subsidiaries of AMAL. Mr. Godlasky has been a director of AmerUs Group Co. since November 2003. His current term expires in May 2007.

GREGORY D. BOAL Des Moines, Iowa.

Executive vice president of AmerUs Group Co. and president and chief investment officer of AmerUs Capital Management since November 2003 and executive vice president of AmerUs Group Co. since June 2003. Prior to joining AmerUs Group Co. in June 2003, he was managing director at Deutsche Bank Asset Management in New York, New York beginning in June 2002. From January 2000 to June 2002 Mr. Boal was managing director at Zurich Scudder Investments in Chicago, Illinois (following Zurich Scudder Investments acquisition of ABN AMRO Asset Management (USA)). From January 1997 to January 2000 Mr. Boal was director of fixed investments at ABN AMRO Asset Management (USA).

16

Table of Contents

BRIAN J. CLARK Des Moines, Iowa.

Executive vice president and chief product officer of AmerUs Group Co. since November 2003 and senior vice president and chief product officer from August 2001 to November 2003. Mr. Clark has been with AmerUs Group Co. since 1988 and has previously served ALIC as chief financial officer and as senior vice president in various departments and functions, including product development, product management and asset and liability management.

MARK V. HEITZ Topeka, Kansas.

President and chief executive officer of AAG, American and FBL, Topeka, Kansas since December 1997. Previously, Mr. Heitz served as the president, general counsel and director of AAG from December 1986 until December 1997. Mr. Heitz also served as president, general counsel and director of American from October 1986 until December 1997.

GARY R. McPHAIL Des Moines, Iowa.

President and chief executive officer of ALIC since May 1997 and president and chief executive officer of ILICO since October 2001.

Mr. McPhail was executive vice president — marketing and individual operations of New York Life Insurance Company, New York, New York, from July 1995 to November 1996. From June 1990 to July 1995, he was president of Lincoln National Sales Corporation, Fort Wayne, Indiana.

Mr. McPhail is a director of AMAL, AIC and AVLIC.

MELINDA S. URION Des Moines, Iowa.

Executive vice president, chief financial officer and treasurer of AmerUs Group Co. since March 2002. Prior to joining AmerUs Group Co., she was senior vice president and chief financial officer at Fortis Financial Group, Woodbury, Minnesota, from December 1997 to April 2001. From July 1988 to November 1997, Ms. Urion served in various accounting and executive positions with American Express Financial Corp, Minnesota, including senior vice president of finance and chief financial officer from November 1995 to November 1997. Ms. Urion is a director of AVLIC.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers (Ethics Code) which summarizes long-standing principles of conduct applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer (collectively, Financial Officers), to ensure our business is conducted with integrity and in compliance with the law. A copy of our Ethics Code can be found on our website located at www.amerus.com. Any change to, or waiver of, this Ethics Code for Financial Officers must be disclosed promptly to our shareholders by a Form 8-K filing or by publishing a statement on our website.

17

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The following table summarizes the properties we lease and own at December 31, 2004:

Square Feet Occupied by:

Property Address	Protection Products	Accumulation Products	Other(1)	Leased to Third Parties	Total Square Feet	Use of Other Square Feet
Properties leased from unaffiliated parties:						
699 Walnut Street Des Moines, Iowa			69,000		69,000	Executive offices and corporate operations
611 Fifth Avenue Des Moines, Iowa	62,000	2,000	56,000		120,000	Technology, corporate operations and cafeteria facilities
65 Froehlich Farms Boulevard Woodbury, New York	15,000	3,000	8,000		26,000	Technology and cafeteria facilities
9200 Keystone, Suite 800 Indianapolis, Indiana	15,000				15,000	
Various			39,000		39,000	Corporate operations, records and supply storage
Properties owned:						
555 South Kansas						
Avenue Topeka, Kansas		60,000		45,000	105,000	

(1) Other includes shared services that are utilized by both protection products and accumulation products segments.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In recent years, the life insurance industry, including the Company and its subsidiaries, have been subject to an increase in litigation pursued on behalf of purported classes of insurance purchasers, questioning the conduct of insurers in the marketing of their products. The Company is routinely involved in litigation and other proceedings, including class actions, reinsurance claims and regulatory proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. Some of these claims and legal actions are in jurisdictions where juries are given substantial latitude in assessing damages, including punitive and exemplary damages. In addition, regulatory bodies, such as state insurance departments and attorneys general, periodically make inquiries and conduct examinations concerning the Company s compliance with insurance and other laws. The Company responds to such inquiries and cooperates with regulatory examinations in the ordinary course of business.

Currently, the Company and/or certain of its subsidiaries are defendants in class action lawsuits brought in California state courts on behalf of purchasers of insurance products and related services. These class action lawsuits relate to the use of purportedly inappropriate sales techniques and products for the senior citizen market. The plaintiffs in these lawsuits are seeking a variety of damages including restitution of all surrender charge penalties, injunctive relief, punitive and other indirect damages and attorneys fees. Additionally, on February 10, 2005, the California Attorney General and the California Commissioner of Insurance filed a complaint in California Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles against certain of the Company s subsidiaries, including Family First Advanced Estate Planning and Family First Insurance Services, and certain third parties alleging conduct similar to that alleged in the class actions. The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants engaged in the unauthorized practice of law, claims related to the suitability of the products for, and the manner in which they were sold to, the senior citizen market and other violations of California s insurance laws. The plaintiffs seek civil penalties, restitution, injunctive relief and other relief

18

Table of Contents

and damages. The Company believes it has appropriate defenses against these lawsuits and intends to vigorously defend its position.

The Company s pending litigation (including without limitation the proceedings described in the immediately preceding paragraph) is subject to many uncertainties, and given its complexity and scope, the outcomes cannot be predicted. Given these uncertainties, the Company is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss that may result from the Company s pending litigation. It is possible that the Company s results of operations or cash flow in a particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by an ultimate unfavorable resolution of pending litigation and regulatory matters depending, in part, upon the results of operations or cash flow for such period. Although no assurances can be given and no determinations can be made at this time, the Company believes that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to the Company s pending claims and legal actions, would have no material effect on its operations and financial position.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol AMH. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of AmerUs Group Co. common stock as quoted on the NYSE and the dividends per share declared during such quarter.

	Aı	merUs Common	Stock
	High	Low	Dividends
2003			
First Quarter	\$30.70	\$22.94	\$0.00
Second Quarter	\$28.41	\$24.44	\$0.00
Third Quarter	\$35.89	\$27.70	\$0.00
Fourth Quarter	\$38.00	\$34.48	\$0.40
2004			
First Quarter	\$41.00	\$34.73	\$0.00
Second Quarter	\$41.70	\$36.73	\$0.00
Third Quarter	\$41.51	\$37.31	\$0.00
Fourth Quarter	\$45.68	\$38.60	\$0.40

Holders

As of March 3, 2005, the number of holders of record of each class of common equity was as follows:

	Number of Holders
Common stock	106,256

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On March 6, 2002, we issued and sold in a private placement \$185 million aggregate original principal amount of optionally convertible equity-linked accreting notes (OCEANs). The OCEANs are senior subordinated debt and were issued and sold in an original principal amount of

Table of Contents

principal amount at maturity of \$1,270 per OCEAN. On December 15, 2004, the Company exchanged the existing OCEANs, which were previously all convertible into shares of the Company s common stock, into new OCEANs which are convertible into a combination of cash and shares of common stock. The exchanged OCEANs are convertible if the sale price of the common stock exceeds \$47.85 per share for at least 20 trading days in a 30 day period or in certain other limited circumstances. Upon conversion, cash is to be paid equal to the accreted principal amount and shares are to be issued for the difference between the total conversion value and the accreted principal amount. The total conversion value generally is equal to the lesser of the accreted principal amount or \$1,100 divided by an initial conversion (subject to adjustment) of \$37.60 per share multiplied by the volume weighted average price of the Company s common stock for the ten day trading period before conversion. The maturity date of the OCEANs is March 6, 2032. The OCEANs will have an aggregate principal amount at maturity of \$235 million. Each \$1,000 original principal amount OCEAN was exchanged for an equal original principal amount OCEAN and an exchange fee of \$2.50. All of the \$185 million existing OCEANs were exchanged for the new OCEANs.

The offer to exchange the OCEANs was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). We relied on section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Act which provides an exemption from registration for exchanges of securities by an issuer with its existing security holders exclusively where no commission or other remuneration is paid or given directly or indirectly for soliciting such an exchange.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth information regarding purchases of equity securities for 2004:

Period	(a) Total number of shares (or units) purchased(1)	(b) Average price paid per share (or units)	(c) Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	(d) Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs(2)
01/01/2004-01/31/2004		\$		2,271,900
02/01/2004-02/29/2004				2,271,900
03/01/2004-03/31/2004				2,271,900
04/01/2004-04/30/2004				2,271,900
05/01/2004-05/31/2004	244,400(2)	37.45	244,400	2,027,500
06/01/2004-06/30/2004				2,027,500
07/01/2004-07/31/2004				2,027,500
08/01/2004-08/31/2004				2,027,500
09/01/2004-09/30/2004				2,027,500
10/01/2004-10/31/2004				2,027,500
11/01/2004-11/30/2004				2,027,500
12/01/2004-12/31/2004				2,027,500
Total	244,400	\$37.45	244,400	

⁽¹⁾ Does not include shares withheld from employee stock awards to satisfy applicable tax withholding obligations.

20

⁽²⁾ In August 2002, our board of directors authorized a repurchase program of up to 3 million shares of our outstanding common stock. There is no expiration date for this program.

Table of Contents

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information regarding our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2004:

Plan Category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by			
security holders	4,217,685	\$24.38	1,076,030
Equity compensation plans not approved			
by security holders(1)	78,400	31.16	21,600
Total	4,296,085	\$24.51	1,097,630

⁽¹⁾ Includes stock appreciation rights under the Non-Employee Plan which may be paid in cash or Company common stock. The Company s practice has been to pay such awards in cash on exercise thereof.

Equity Compensation Plans not Approved by Security Holders

On February 12, 1999, the Company adopted the AmerUs Group Co. Non-Employee Stock Option Plan (Non-Employee Plan) to give agents of the Company and/or its subsidiaries who make significant contributions to the success of the Company and/or its subsidiaries an interest in the Company s performance. Under the Non-Employee Plan, participants may receive stock options and/or stock appreciation rights. On exercise of stock appreciation rights, a participant may be paid in cash or stock, at the discretion of the Company.

Dividends

We have declared and paid an annual dividend of \$0.40 per share of common stock in 2002 through 2004. The declaration and payment of dividends in the future is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors and will be dependent upon the financial condition, results of operations, cash requirements, future prospects, regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends by the life insurance subsidiaries and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors.

Under our revolving credit agreement, we are prohibited from paying dividends on common stock in excess of an amount equal to 3% of the consolidated net worth as of the last day of the preceding fiscal year.

In connection with the 8.85% Capital Securities, Series A (the Capital Securities), issued in 1997 by AmerUs Capital I, a subsidiary trust, we have agreed not to declare or pay any dividends on the Company s capital stock (including the common stock) during any period for which we elect to extend interest payments on our junior subordinated debentures, except for stock dividends where the dividend stock is the same stock as that on which the dividend is being paid. Dividends on our capital stock cannot be paid until all accrued interest on the Capital Securities have an outstanding principal balance of \$50.8 million at December 31, 2004.

In connection with the OCEANs, we have agreed, with certain limited exceptions, not to declare or pay dividends on or make distributions with respect to our capital stock during any period in which we have deferred stated interest on the OCEANs.

On May 28, 2003, we issued \$125 million of PRIDES^{SM.} In connection with the PRIDES, we have agreed, with certain limited exceptions, not to declare or pay dividends on or make distributions with respect to our capital stock during any period in which we have deferred contract adjustment payments to holders of the PRIDES.

Table of Contents

As a holding company, our principal assets consist of all of the outstanding shares of the common stock of our life insurance subsidiaries. Our ongoing ability to pay dividends to shareholders and meet other obligations, including operating expenses and any debt service, primarily depends upon the receipt of sufficient funds from our life insurance subsidiaries in the form of dividends or interest payments.

Based on statutory insurance regulations and 2003 results, our insurance subsidiaries could have paid approximately \$77 million in dividends in 2004 without obtaining regulatory approval. Our subsidiaries paid to us approximately \$15 million in dividends in 2004. Based on 2004 results, our subsidiaries can pay an estimated \$186 million in dividends in 2005 without obtaining regulatory approval.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth certain financial and operating data of the Company.

As of or	for the	Vear	Ended	Decem	her 31
AS UL UL	ioi uie	i eai	randed	Decem	Dei 31.

	2004	2003	2002	2001(A)	2000
		(\$ in m	illions, except shar	e data)	
Consolidated Income Statement Data:					
Revenues:					
Insurance premiums	\$ 267.7	\$ 297.2	\$ 351.3	\$ 305.9	\$ 266.2
Product charges	220.5	181.4	144.5	146.1	99.9
Net investment income	1,037.4	1,001.9	1,001.3	873.2	699.5
Realized/unrealized capital gains					
(losses)	18.1	131.3	(149.9)	(90.6)	(29.0)
Other income	71.4	68.3	68.5	45.7	35.0
Total revenues	1,615.1	1,680.1	1,415.7	1,280.3	1,071.6
Benefits and expenses:			<u>——</u>		
Policyowner benefits	888.7	953.9	879.8	757.5	627.4
Total insurance and other expenses	385.0	358.4	316.4	278.6	224.5
Dividends to policyowners	81.1	98.4	104.9	98.9	74.3
Dividends to poneyowners					
Total benefits and expenses	1,354.8	1,410.7	1,301.1	1,135.0	926.2
Income from continuing operations	260.3	269.4	114.6	145.3	145.4
Interest expense	32.1	30.2	25.5	26.0	29.7
Income before tax expense and					
minority interest	228.2	239.2	89.1	119.3	115.7
Income tax expense	39.0	78.6	28.3	39.5	42.5
Minority interest					21.7
Net income from continuing operations	189.2	160.6	60.8	79.8	51.5
Discontinued operations (net of tax): Income (loss) from discontinued		1.0	0.1	1.0	
operations		1.8	2.1	1.3	0.3
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	3.9				
Net income before cumulative effect of					
change in accounting	193.1	162.4	62.9	81.1	51.8
Cumulative effect of change in	173.1	102.1	02.7	01.1	31.0
accounting, net of tax	(0.5)	(1.3)		(8.2)	
	(0.0)	(1.0)		(0.2)	

Edgar Filing: AMERUS GROUP CO/IA - Form 10-K

Net income \$ 192.6 \$ 161.1 \$ 62.9 \$ 72.9 \$ 51.8

22

Table of Contents

As of or for the Year Ended December 31,

	2004		2003	2	2002	20	01(A)		2000
			(\$ in n	nillions, o	except shar	e data)			
\$	4.81	\$	4.10	\$	1.52	\$	2.16	\$	2.46
\$	4.60	\$	4.05	\$	1.50	\$	2.13	\$	2.44
	39.3		39.2		40.0		36.9		20.9
	41.1		39.6		40.4		37.5		21.0
\$	0.40	\$	0.40	\$	0.40	\$	0.40	\$	0.40
\$ 19	9,186.3	\$17	,984.3	\$16	5,932.5	\$15	5,052.4	\$ 9	9,606.8
\$2	3,170.9	\$21	,583.7	\$20	,293.7	\$18	3,299.2	\$11	,471.5
\$	571.2	\$	621.9	\$	511.4	\$	384.6	\$	413.3
\$2	1,547.4	\$20),173.9	\$19	.030.7	\$17	7,060.6	\$10),643.5
\$	1,623.5	\$ 1	,409.8					\$	828.0
					,		,		
	1.40		1.40		1.19		1.33		1.28
	\$ \$ \$ \$2; \$ \$2	\$ 4.60 39.3 41.1 \$ 0.40 \$19,186.3 \$23,170.9	\$ 4.81 \$ 4.60 \$ 39.3 41.1 \$ 0.40 \$ \$ 19,186.3 \$ 17.0.9 \$ 21.547.4 \$ 20.5 \$ 1,623.5 \$ 1	\$ 4.81 \$ 4.10 \$ 4.60 \$ 4.05 39.3 39.2 41.1 39.6 \$ 0.40 \$ 0.40 \$ 19,186.3 \$ 17,984.3 \$ 23,170.9 \$ 21,583.7 \$ 571.2 \$ 621.9 \$ 21,547.4 \$ 20,173.9 \$ 1,623.5 \$ 1,409.8	\$ 4.81 \$ 4.10 \$ \$ 4.60 \$ 4.05 \$ \$ 39.3 39.2 41.1 39.6 \$ 571.2 \$ 621.9 \$ \$ 21,547.4 \$ 20,173.9 \$ 19.5 \$ 1,623.5 \$ 1,409.8 \$ 1	\$ 4.81 \$ 4.10 \$ 1.52 \$ 4.60 \$ 4.05 \$ 1.50 \$ 1.50 \$ 39.3 \$ 39.2 \$ 40.0 \$ 41.1 \$ 39.6 \$ 40.4 \$ 0.40 \$ 0.40 \$ 16,932.5 \$ 23,170.9 \$ 21,583.7 \$ 20,293.7 \$ 571.2 \$ 621.9 \$ 511.4 \$ 21,547.4 \$ 20,173.9 \$ 19,030.7 \$ 1,623.5 \$ 1,409.8 \$ 1,262.9	\$ 4.81 \$ 4.10 \$ 1.52 \$ \$ 4.60 \$ 4.05 \$ 1.50 \$ 1.50 \$ \$ 1.	(\$ in millions, except share data) \$ 4.81 \$ 4.10 \$ 1.52 \$ 2.16 \$ 4.60 \$ 4.05 \$ 1.50 \$ 2.13 39.3	(\$ in millions, except share data) \$ 4.81 \$ 4.10 \$ 1.52 \$ 2.16 \$ \$ 4.60 \$ 4.05 \$ 1.50 \$ 2.13 \$ 39.3 39.2 40.0 36.9 41.1 39.6 40.4 37.5 \$ 0.40 \$ 0.40 \$ 0.40 \$ 0.40 \$ \$ 19,186.3 \$ 17,984.3 \$ 16,932.5 \$ 15,052.4 \$ 9 \$ 23,170.9 \$ 21,583.7 \$ 20,293.7 \$ 18,299.2 \$ 11 \$ 571.2 \$ 621.9 \$ 511.4 \$ 384.6 \$ \$ 21,547.4 \$ 20,173.9 \$ 19,030.7 \$ 17,060.6 \$ 10 \$ 1,623.5 \$ 1,409.8 \$ 1,262.9 \$ 1,238.5 \$

- (A) Financial data for 2001 includes the results for ILICO, subsequent to the acquisition date of May 18, 2001.
- (B) Our predecessor, AMHC, was originally formed in 1996 as a mutual holding company and therefore, had no shares of common stock outstanding until its demutualization on September 20, 2000. On September 20, 2000, we distributed 17.4 million shares of common stock to our former members and exchanged our common stock for the 12.6 million shares of common stock held by the public in ALHI, our former subsidiary and another of our predecessor entities, on a one-for-one basis. Our operating income for 2000 presented above primarily reflects the operating income of ALHI. Therefore, net income from continuing operations per share was calculated based on the number of shares of stock we owned of ALHI through September 20, 2000. Since then, net income from continuing operations per share has been calculated based on the shares of our common stock actually outstanding.
- (C) For purposes of computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consist of income from operations before income taxes and fixed charges. Fixed charges consist of interest credited on annuity and universal life contracts and interest expense on debt and amortization of debt expense.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following analysis of the consolidated financial condition and results of operation of AmerUs Group Co. should be read in conjunction with the Selected Financial Data and Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. We are incorporating by reference
Item 1. Business information into Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section.

23

Table of Contents

Nature of Operations

See Item 1 Business for information regarding the nature of our operations.

Financial Highlights

Our financial highlights are as follows:

For The Years Ended December 31,

	2004		2003		2002	
		(\$ in	thousand	ds, except share	data)	
Segment pre-tax operating income:						
Protection Products	\$ 140),212	\$	128,290	\$	129,739
Accumulation Products	163	3,883		130,890		120,655
Other operations	(19	9,309)		(7,725)		(6,622)
Total segment pre-tax operating income	284	4,786		251,455	_	243,772
Non-segment expense, net(A)	92	2,144		90,308		180,906
Net income	\$ 192	2,642	\$	161,147	\$	62,866
Diluted net income per share	\$	4.68	\$	4.07	\$	1.56
	_	_	_		-	
Total assets	\$23,170),869	\$21	1,583,688	\$2	0,293,665
Stockholders equity	\$ 1,623	3,469	\$	1,409,811	\$	1,262,948
			_		_	

⁽A) Non-segment expense, net consists primarily of open block realized/unrealized gains and losses, derivative related market value adjustments, reinsurance adjustments, non-insurance operations, restructuring costs, interest expense, income taxes, discontinued operations and cumulative effect of change in accounting.

Net income increased in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily as a result of higher operating segment income, reductions in income tax accruals and deferred income tax asset valuation allowances, and the gain on the sale of our residential financing subsidiary. The increase was partially offset by realized and unrealized losses on assets. Net income increased in 2003 compared to 2002 primarily due to increased realized gains on open block investments and increased net unrealized gains from market value adjustments on trading securities, derivatives and equity indexed contracts.

Total assets increased \$1.6 billion in 2004 primarily as a result of net cash received from collected premiums and deposits, positive cash flows from operating activities and the utilization of securities lending and borrowing arrangements. Liabilities increased primarily due to policy reserves and policyowner funds which increased due to the higher volume of insurance in force and additional securities lending and borrowing arrangements. Stockholders equity increased \$213.7 million during 2004 primarily as a result of 2004 net income of \$192.6 million, stock issued under incentive plans amounting to \$15.8 million and additional unrealized gains on available-for-sale investments of \$34.0 million. The

Operating segment income increased for the protection products segment in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily as a result of increased open block margins and lower operating expenses. Operating segment income decreased for the protection products segment in 2003 compared to 2002 primarily as a result of lower net investment income and the continued decrease in closed block operating income. The continued shift in our business from traditional fixed annuities to higher margin equity indexed annuities and more assets under management increased accumulation products segment earnings in 2004 and 2003 compared to the respective years. The increased operating segment income in 2004 for the protection products and accumulation products segments was partially reduced by higher other operations losses resulting primarily from additional holding company expenses.

unrealized gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income are presented after related adjustments to DAC, VOBA,

24

Table of Contents

capitalized bonus interest, closed block policyowner dividend obligation, unearned revenue reserves and deferred income taxes. The additions to equity were reduced by treasury stock purchases which decreased equity \$9.2 million and dividends declared in the fourth quarter of 2004 which decreased equity \$15.7 million.

Segment Income

We have two operating segments: Protection Products and Accumulation Products. We use the same accounting policies and procedures to measure operating segment income as we use to measure consolidated income from operations with the exception of the elimination of certain items which management believes are not necessarily indicative of overall operating trends. These items are as follows:

- 1) Realized/unrealized gains and losses on open block assets.
- 2) Market value changes and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with the accounting for derivatives, such as:

Unrealized gains and losses on open block options and securities held for trading.

Change in option value of equity indexed products and market value adjustments on total return strategy annuities.

Cash flow hedge amortization.

- 3) Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and value of business acquired (VOBA) related to the unrealized and realized gains and losses on the open block investments and the derivative adjustments.
- 4) Demutualization costs.
- 5) Restructuring costs.
- 6) Certain reinsurance adjustments.
- 7) Other income from non-insurance operations.
- 8) Interest expense.
- 9) Income tax expense.
- 10) Income from discontinued operations.
- 11) Cumulative effect of changes in accounting.

These items will fluctuate from period to period depending on the prevailing interest rate and economic environment or are not part of the core insurance operations. As a result, management believes they do not reflect the ongoing earnings capacity of our operating segments.

Protection Products

Our protection products segment primarily consists of interest-sensitive whole life, term life, universal life and equity indexed life insurance policies. These products are marketed on a national basis primarily through CMOs, PPGA system and IMOs. Included in the protection products segment is the closed block of ALIC and the closed block of ILIC, established when the companies reorganized from mutual companies to stock companies. When protection products are sold, we invest the premiums we receive in our investment portfolio and establish a liability representing our commitment to the policyholder. We manage investment spread by seeking to maximize the return on these invested assets, consistent with our asset/liability and credit quality policies. We enter into reinsurance arrangements in order to reduce the effects of mortality risk and the statutory capital strain from writing new business. All income statement line items are presented net of reinsurance amounts. Protection products in force totaled \$97.5 billion at December 31, 2004, \$98.6 billion at December 31, 2003 and \$88.0 billion at December 31, 2002. Protection products in force is a performance

25

Table of Contents

measure utilized by investors, analysts and the Company to assess the Company s position in the industry. A summary of our protection products segment operations follows:

For The Years Ended December 31,

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Revenues:			
Insurance premiums	\$263,050	\$290,707	\$341,602
Product charges	167,585	138,215	103,145
Net investment income	333,477	321,532	335,111
Realized gains (losses) on closed block investments	(1,693)	9,326	(2,400)
Other income	3,573	4,224	4,026
Total revenues	765,992	764,004	781,484
Benefits and expenses:			
Policyowner benefits	379,749	387,068	403,293
Underwriting, acquisition and other expenses	73,750	76,042	80,319
Amortization of DAC and VOBA, net of open block			
gain/loss adjustment	91,193	74,211	63,267
Dividends to policyowners	81,088	98,393	104,866
Total benefits and expenses	625,780	635,714	651,745
Pre-tax operating income Protection Products segment	\$140,212	\$128,290	\$129,739

Pre-tax operating income from our protection products increased 9% in 2004 and decreased 1% in 2003 compared to the respective prior years. The increase in 2004 was primarily due to higher open block product margins and lower operating expenses. Higher product margins increased open block operating income in 2003 compared to 2002; however, the declining closed block glide path (see Dividends to Policyowners for additional information) offset the open block operating income. The key drivers of our protection products business include sales, persistency, net investment income, mortality and expenses.

Sales, Premiums and Product Charges. Sales are a key driver of our business as they are a leading indicator of future revenue trends to emerge in segment operating income. As shown in the Sales Activity by Product table presented in Item 1 Business. Protection Products Segment, direct first year annualized premiums increased 5% in 2004 compared to 2003 and decreased 9% in 2003 compared to 2002. The increase in 2004 resulted from higher equity indexed life product sales as we continue to focus our marketing efforts on this product. The equity indexed life product allows the policyowner to elect an earnings strategy for a portion of the account value whereby earnings are credited based primarily on increases in the S&P 500 Index, excluding dividends. The earnings credit is subject to a participation rate and an annual cap. Sales of equity indexed life products were \$74.6 million in 2004 as compared to \$51.6 million in 2003 and \$45.8 million in 2002. We are the leading writer of equity indexed life products in the United States. The increase in 2004 was partially offset by decreased traditional and universal life insurance product sales due to our continued shift in sales focus to equity indexed products and uncertainty in government tax policy and regulation. The decrease in 2003 resulted from lower traditional and universal life insurance product sales as we re-priced our products and discontinued our par whole life product. The lower traditional and universal sales were partially offset by increased equity indexed life sales.

We recognize premiums on traditional life insurance policies as revenues when the premiums are due. Amounts received as payments for universal life and equity indexed life insurance policies are not recorded as premium revenue, but are instead recorded as a policyholder liability. Revenues from the universal life and equity indexed life policies consist of charges for the cost of insurance, policy administration and policy surrender and are shown as product charges. All revenue is reported net of reinsurance ceded.

Table of Contents

Insurance premium revenue in 2004 and 2003 was lower than the respective prior years primarily due to lower sales of traditional products, additional premiums ceded and a decline in closed block in force business.

Persistency. Persistency, which we measure in terms of a lapse rate, is a key driver of our business as it refers to the policies which remain in our block of business. A low lapse rate means higher persistency indicating more business is remaining in force to generate future revenues. Annualized lapse rates were 6.7% in 2004 compared to 6.5% in 2003 and 7.3% in 2002. Our persistency experience remained within our pricing assumptions.

Net Investment Income. Net investment income is a key driver of our business as it reflects earnings on our invested assets. Net investment income increased in 2004 and decreased in 2003 compared to the respective prior years. The increase in 2004 was primarily due to growth in average protection products assets which were approximately \$318 million higher than 2003. The decrease in net investment income in 2003 was due to low interest rates and increased prepayments of mortgage-backed securities. The earned rate of the investment portfolio was 6.44% in 2004 compared to 6.63% in 2003 and 7.22% in 2002. The decrease in rates in 2004 and 2003 was primarily a result of the continued low interest rate environment.

Mortality and Benefit Expense. Mortality is a key driver of our business as it impacts the amount of our benefit expense. We utilize reinsurance to reduce the effects of mortality risk. Although we experienced unfavorable mortality in 2004 as compared to 2003, our experience remained within our pricing assumptions. In addition, we had increased reinsurance recoveries in 2004 and 2003, which reduce benefit expense, as a result of additional reinsurance arrangements.

Underwriting, Acquisition and Other Expenses. Underwriting, acquisition and other expenses are a key driver of our business as they are costs of our operations. Expenses decreased in 2004 and 2003 compared to the respective prior years primarily due to lower operating expenses resulting from the restructuring activities that took place in 2003 and prior years to integrate the ILICO life operations and also due to increased reimbursement from reinsurers of non-deferrable commission and expense allowances, as more policies are subject to reinsurance.

Amortization of DAC and VOBA. The amortization of DAC and VOBA are expense items which increased in 2004 and 2003 as compared to the respective prior years. DAC and VOBA are generally amortized in proportion to policy gross margins which increased in both years, resulting in higher amortization expense.

Dividends to Policyowners. In addition to basic policyowner dividends, dividend expense includes increases or decreases to the closed block policyowner dividend obligation liability carried on the consolidated balance sheet. The actual results of the closed block are adjusted to equal the expected earnings based on the actuarial calculation at the time of formation of the closed block (which we refer to as the closed block glide path). The adjustment to have the closed block operating results equal the closed block glide path is made to dividend expense. If the actual results for the period exceed the closed block glide path, increased dividend expense is recorded as a policyowner dividend obligation to reduce the actual closed block results. For actual results less than the closed block glide path, dividend expense is reduced to increase the actual closed block results. As a result of this accounting treatment, operating earnings from the closed block only include the predetermined closed block glide path.

Dividend expense decreased for 2004 and 2003 compared to the respective prior years. The decreases were primarily due to reduced closed block earnings, resulting from lower closed block revenues and net investment income as the closed block in force business continues to decline, and from closed block dividend reductions.

Outlook. We expect to continue to shift our sales to higher return products, in particular the equity indexed life products. We also expect to continue to realize operating efficiencies as we continue to centralize our administrative functions.

27

Table of Contents

Accumulation Products

Our accumulation products segment primary offerings consist of individual fixed annuities and funding agreements. The fixed annuities are marketed on a national basis primarily through IMOs and independent brokers. Similar to our protection products segment, we invest the premiums we receive from accumulation product deposits in our investment portfolio and establish a liability representing our commitment to the policyowner. We manage product spread by seeking to maximize the return on our invested assets consistent with our asset/liability management and credit quality policies. When appropriate, we periodically reset the interest rates credited to our policyowner liability. Accumulation products reserves totaled \$12.3 billion at December 31, 2004, \$11.7 billion at December 31, 2003 and \$11.3 billion at December 31, 2002. A summary of our accumulation products segment operations follows:

	For the	Years	Ended	December	31,
--	---------	-------	-------	----------	-----

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	•
Revenues:			
Immediate annuity and supplementary contract			
premiums	\$ 2,602	\$ 4,114	\$ 8,702
Product charges	52,969	43,139	41,349
Net investment income	697,363	672,141	660,470
Other income	10,730	11,635	11,778
Total revenues	763,664	731,029	722,299
Benefits and expenses:			
Policyowner benefits	472,208	491,932	498,294
Underwriting, acquisition and other expenses	27,225	29,423	34,040
Amortization of DAC and VOBA	104,602	89,196	83,407
Dividends to policyowners	4		
Total benefits and expenses	604,039	610,551	615,741
IMO Operations:			
Other income	53,482	50,214	48,642
Other expenses	49,224	39,802	34,545
Net IMO operating income (loss)	4,258	10,412	14,097
Pre-tax operating income Accumulation Products segment	\$163,883	\$130,890	\$120,655

Pre-tax operating income from our accumulation products operations increased 25% in 2004 and 8% in 2003 compared to the respective prior years. The 2004 increase was primarily due to higher assets under management and improved product spreads. The 2003 increase was primarily due to higher assets under management which were reduced by decreased product spreads. The increases for both years were partially offset by lower contributions from IMO operations. The drivers of profitability in our accumulation products business are deposits, persistency, product spread, expenses and IMO operations.

Deposits. Deposits are a key driver of our business as this is a measure which represents collected premiums to be deposited to policyowner accounts for which we will earn a future product spread. Deposits are presented as collected premiums, which are measured in accordance with industry practice, and represent the amount of new business sold during the period. Deposits are a performance metric which we use to measure the productivity of our distribution network and for compensation of sales and marketing employees and agents. As shown in the Deposits by Product table presented in Item 1 Business Accumulation Products Segment, total annuity deposits increased 5% in 2004 compared to 2003 and decreased 2% in 2003 as compared to 2002. The increase in 2004 was driven by our promotion of equity indexed products. The decrease in 2003 was primarily a result of the slowing of our traditional annuity sales due to the low interest rate

28

Table of Contents

environment as we focused sales on our higher returning equity indexed products. The reduced traditional annuity sales offset the increase in equity indexed products.

We placed fixed rate funding agreements totaling \$85 million in 2004 and \$875 million in 2002. Funding agreements are insurance contracts for which we receive deposit funds and for which we agree to repay the deposit and a contractual return for the duration of the contract. In December 2003, a \$250 million funding agreement originally placed in 1999 was terminated. Total funding agreements outstanding as of December 31, 2004 amounted to \$960 million compared to \$875 million outstanding at December 31, 2003.

The deposits we receive on accumulation products are not recorded as revenue but instead as a policyowner liability. Surrender charges collected on accumulation products are recorded as revenue and shown as a product charge. Product charges increased in 2004 and 2003 as compared to the respective prior years due to the growth in the business.

Persistency. Persistency, which we measure in terms of a withdrawal rate, is a key driver of our business as it refers to the policies which remain in our block of business. A low withdrawal rate reflects higher persistency indicating more business is remaining in force to generate future revenues. Withdrawals represent funds taken out of accumulation products by policyowners not including those due to the death of policyowners. Annuity withdrawal rates without internal replacements continued to improve in 2004 as compared to 2003 and 2002 and amounted to 8.5%, 9.5% and 10.6%, respectively. Annuity withdrawals without internal replacements totaled \$1,118.1 million in 2004, \$1,196.9 million in 2003 and \$1,288.2 million in 2002. Our withdrawal experience remained within our pricing assumptions.

Product Spread. Product spread is a key driver of our business as it measures the difference between the income earned on our invested assets and the rate which we credit to policyowners, with the difference reflected as segment operating income. Asset earned rates and liability crediting rates were as follows for our annuity products:

For The Years Ended December 31,

	2004	2003	2002
Asset earned rate	5.78%	5.91%	6.58%
Liability credited rate	3.55%	3.93%	4.48%
			
Product spread	2.23%	1.98%	2.10%

The product spread increased 25 basis points to 223 basis points in 2004 compared to 2003 and decreased 12 basis points to 198 basis points in 2003 compared to 2002. Liability crediting rates on traditional annuities were lowered throughout 2003 and 2004 to correspond with the decline in investment yields caused by lower rates on new and reinvested funds. As described in the Traditional Annuity Products and Equity Indexed Annuities sections presented in Item 1 Business Accumulation Products Segment, the annuity products have various differentials between the credited rate and minimum guarantee rate, including some which have no differential, and as such cannot be lowered. Additionally, some traditional annuities have multi-year interest rate guarantees for which the credited rate cannot be decreased until the end of the multi-year period. Due to these limitations on the ability to lower interest crediting rates and the potential for credit defaults and lower reinvestment rates on investments, we could experience spread compression in future periods.

We also earn a spread on our funding agreements. Funding agreement income less associated interest expense totaled \$6.8 million in 2004, \$11.1 million in 2003, and \$6.1 million in 2002. The 2004 decrease was due to the termination of a \$250 million funding agreement during the fourth quarter of 2003. The 2003 increase was due to the \$875 million of funding agreements placed throughout 2002.

Underwriting, Acquisition and Other Expenses. Underwriting, acquisition and other expenses are a key driver of our business as they represent costs of our operations. Expenses in 2004 and 2003 decreased compared to the respective prior years due primarily to continued process improvements to enhance operating efficiencies and lower fees associated with a declining block of annuity business processed by a third party administrator.

29

Table of Contents

IMO Operations. IMO operations are a key driver of our business as the earnings from the IMOs can be a significant component of the accumulation products segment operating income. IMOs have contractual arrangements to promote our insurance products in their networks of agents and brokers. Additionally, they also contract with third party insurance companies. We own five such IMOs. The income from IMO operations primarily represents annuity commissions received by our IMOs from those third party insurance companies. Net IMO operating income decreased \$6.2 million in 2004 and \$3.7 million in 2003 compared to the respective prior years due to changes in distribution strategies and higher operating expenses, including litigation costs in 2004.

Outlook. We anticipate increased product sales from our IMOs but decreased product sales from other distribution channels as we manage our sales in this current low interest rate environment. We also expect to continue the shift of our product mix to higher return products, in particular the equity indexed annuity products. We will continue to manage our spreads as we strive for our desired profitability in this economic environment.

Other

The other operations consist of our non-core lines of business outside of protection and accumulation products. These lines of business include holding company revenues and expenses, operations of our real estate management subsidiary, and accident and health insurance. The pre-tax operating loss of our other operations increased in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to increased holding company expenses, such as Sarbanes-Oxley internal control regulations, the OCEANs exchange and succession activities in 2004, and lower investment income of our real estate management subsidiary.

30

Table of Contents

Income Statement Reconciliation

A reconciliation of our segment pre-tax operating income to net income as shown in our consolidated statements of income follows:

For the	Years	Ended	December	31,
---------	-------	-------	----------	-----

	For the Tears Ended December 31,			
	2004	2003	2002	
		(\$ in thousands)		
Segment pre-tax operating income:				
Protection Products	\$140,212	\$128,290	\$ 129,739	
Accumulation Products	163,883	130,890	120,655	
Other operations	(19,309)	(7,725)	(6,622)	
Total segment pre-tax operating income	284,786	251,455	243,772	
Non-segment items increases (decreases) to income:				
Realized and unrealized gains (losses) on assets and liabilities:				
Realized/unrealized gains (losses) on open block				
assets	(36,786)	32,196	(102,310)	
Unrealized gains (losses) on open block options and				
trading investments	56,547	89,769	(45,209)	
Change in option value of equity indexed products and market value adjustments on total return strategy				
annuities	(35,652)	(65,741)	28,759	
Cash flow hedge amortization	(908)	(3,827)	(4,351)	
Amortization of DAC and VOBA due to open block				
realized/unrealized gains and losses	(9,068)	(16,257)	15,002	
Reinsurance adjustments		3,854		
Demutualization costs			(1,186)	
Restructuring costs		(23,294)	(21,225)	
Other income from non-insurance operations	1,495	1,237	1,392	
T C	260.414	260,202	114 644	
Income from continuing operations	260,414	269,392	114,644	
Interest expense	(32,120)	(30,154)	(25,487)	
Income tax expense	(39,041)	(78,610)	(28,375)	
Net income from continuing operations	189,253	160,628	60,782	
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	3,899	1,815	2,084	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting, net of tax	(510)	(1,296)		
Net income	\$192,642	\$161,147	\$ 62,866	

Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Assets and Liabilities. Realized gains (losses) on open block investments will fluctuate from period to period depending on the prevailing interest rates, the economic environment and the timing of investment sales and credit events. As part of managing our invested assets, we routinely sell securities and realize gains and losses. During 2004, we sold our Indianapolis, Indiana office building. We had previously listed this building with a real estate broker in 2003 as part of our restructuring plan and recorded a pre-tax impairment loss of \$7.7 million at that time (see further discussion in Restructuring Costs). The sale of the building in 2004 resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$11.8 million. Realized gains on open block investments in 2003 included \$12.1 million of gains on sales of investments previously impaired and written-down in 2002 or 2003, primarily American Airlines, Dynegy Holdings, Intermedia Communications and NRG Northeast Generating. Realized gains (losses) on open block investments in 2002 consisted primarily of realized losses and writedowns on investments related to Dynegy Holdings, Green Tree Financial, National Century, NRG Northeast Generating, Trenwick Group, United Airlines, US Air and WorldCom Inc.

Table of Contents

Unrealized gains (losses) on open block options and trading investments also will fluctuate from period to period depending on prevailing interest rates, the economic environment, the timing of investment sales and credit events. We use options to hedge our equity indexed products. In accounting for derivatives, we adjust our options to market value, which, due to the economic environment and stock market conditions, resulted in an unrealized gain of \$48.0 million in 2004, an unrealized gain of \$62.9 million in 2003 and an unrealized loss of \$40.0 million in 2002. In addition, we also have trading securities that back our total return strategy traditional annuity products. The market value adjustment on the trading securities resulted in an unrealized gain of \$8.5 million in 2004, a gain of \$26.8 million in 2003 and a loss of \$5.2 million in 2002. Most of the unrealized gains and losses on the options and trading securities are offset by similar adjustments to the option portion of the equity indexed product reserves and to the total return strategy annuity reserves. The reserve adjustments are reflected in policyowner benefits expense in the consolidated statements of income and are included in the fair value change as additional expense of \$35.7 million in 2004 and \$65.7 million in 2003, and reduced expense of \$28.8 million in 2002.

The fair value change in options embedded within our equity indexed products and the fair value changes on our total return strategy traditional annuity contracts are being recorded at fair value. As previously discussed, these fair value changes are offset by similar adjustments to unrealized gains (losses) on investments related to the fair value changes on the options that hedge the equity indexed products and on the trading securities that back the total return strategy products.

Reinsurance Adjustments. Reinsurance related adjustments in 2003 consist of the release of an \$8.2 million liability in conjunction with the settlement and amendment of a reinsurance arrangement and a \$4.3 million true-up of pre-2003 reinsurance settlements under a reinsurance arrangement between ILIC s open block and closed block.

Demutualization Costs. The 2002 demutualization costs are primarily for commissions, postage and printing under a commission-free program required by ILIC s demutualization which allowed shareholders with less than one hundred shares to redeem their shares for cash or to purchase additional shares, commission-free, to reach a holding of at least one hundred shares. Since these costs are not ongoing, they have been excluded from our segment results.

Restructuring Costs. Restructuring costs relate to our consolidation of various functions in connection with a restructuring of our protection products and accumulation products operations and investment activities which began in the third quarter of 2001. The objective of the restructuring plan was to eliminate duplicative functions for all business units and to reduce on-going operating costs. Corporate administrative functions were transitioned so they are performed primarily in Des Moines, Iowa. Protection products administration processes were transitioned so they are performed in Des Moines; Woodbury, New York; or outsourced. Accumulation products functions were transitioned to Topeka, Kansas. Investment activities were restructured to eliminate certain real estate management services which have been outsourced.

The restructuring charges expensed in 2003 included pre-tax severance and termination benefits of \$3.0 million related to the termination of approximately ten positions and for severance accrual adjustments and other pre-tax costs of \$20.3 million primarily related to the impairment loss on the Indianapolis office building, expenses associated with the merger of IL Annuity into ILIC, and systems conversion costs. The restructuring charges expensed in 2002 included pre-tax severance and termination benefits of \$9.5 million related to the elimination of approximately 240 positions and other pre-tax costs of \$11.7 million primarily related to systems conversion and relocation of employees. Charges for all restructuring activities were completed in 2003.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased in 2004 and 2003 as compared to the respective prior years. The increases were primarily due to interest associated with the PRIDES securities of \$143.8 million issued in the second quarter of 2003.

Income Tax Expense. The effective income tax rate for 2004 varied from the prevailing corporate rate primarily as a result of reductions in the income tax accrual and deferred tax asset valuation allowances. The accrual reduction amounting to \$3.7 million in 2004 represents an overpayment of tax in prior years for which

32

Table of Contents

a refund is expected. There were also accrual reductions of \$16.7 million in 2004, for the release of provisions originally established for potential tax adjustments which have been settled or eliminated. In addition, the deferred tax asset valuation allowance was reduced \$16.4 million in 2004 as a result of the realization of capital loss carry forwards. The effective income tax rate for 2003 and 2002 varied from the prevailing corporate rate primarily due to tax exempt income.

Discontinued Operations. In November 2003, we entered into an agreement to sell our residential financing operations. The results of the residential financing operations have been classified as discontinued operations. The sale was completed in January 2004, resulting in an after-tax gain of \$3.9 million.

Change in Accounting. Effective January 1, 2004, we adopted Statement of Position 03-1 (SOP 03-1), Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Non-Traditional Long Duration Insurance Contracts and for Separate Accounts, resulting in the establishment of additional policy reserve liabilities for fees charged for insurance benefit features which are assessed in a manner that is expected to result in profits in earlier years and losses in subsequent years. The total effect of adopting SOP 03-1 (including reinsurance recoverables) as of January 1, 2004, amounted to a decrease of \$0.8 million (\$0.5 million after-tax) in net income which has been reflected as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board s Derivatives Implementation Group issued SFAS 133 Implementation Issue No. B36, Embedded Derivatives: Bifurcation of a Debt Instrument that Incorporates both Interest Rate Risk and Credit Risk Exposures that are Unrelated or Only Partially Related to the Creditworthiness of the Issuer of that Instrument, or DIG Issue B36. DIG Issue B36 applies to modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld arrangements where interest is determined by reference to a pool of fixed maturity assets or a total return debt index. DIG Issue B36 considers the reinsurer s receivable from the ceding company to contain an embedded derivative that must be bifurcated and accounted for separately under SFAS 133. We adopted DIG Issue B36 on October 1, 2003, which included the reclassification of certain securities supporting the products being reinsured from available-for-sale to held for trading. The net cumulative effect of the change in accounting for DIG Issue B36 after income taxes was an expense of \$1.3 million in 2003.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

AmerUs Group Co.

As a holding company, AmerUs Group Co. s cash flows from operations consist of dividends from subsidiaries, if declared and paid, interest from income on loans and advances to subsidiaries (including a surplus note issued to us by ALIC), investment income on our assets and fees which we charge our subsidiaries, offset by the expenses incurred for debt service, salaries and other expenses.

The payment of dividends by our insurance subsidiaries is regulated under various state laws. Generally, under the various state statutes, our insurance subsidiaries dividends may be paid only from the earned surplus arising from their respective businesses and must receive the prior approval of the respective state regulator to pay any dividend that would exceed certain statutory limitations. The current statutes generally limit any dividend, together with dividends paid out within the preceding 12 months, to the greater of (i) 10% of the respective company s policyowners statutory surplus as of the preceding year end or (ii) the statutory net gain from operations for the previous calendar year. Generally, the various state laws give the state regulators discretion to approve or disapprove requests for dividends in excess of these limits. Based on these limitations and 2003 results, our life insurance subsidiaries could have paid us an estimated \$77 million in dividends in 2004 without obtaining regulatory approval. Our subsidiaries paid us approximately \$15 million in 2004. Based on 2004 results, our subsidiaries can pay an estimated \$186 million in dividends without obtaining regulatory approval during 2005. We also consider risk-based capital levels, capital and liquidity operating needs, and other factors prior to paying dividends from the insurance subsidiaries.

We generated cash flows from operating activities of \$930.4 million, \$394.9 million and \$920.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively. Operating cash flows were primarily used to increase our investment portfolio.

33

Table of Contents

We have a \$200 million revolving credit facility (which we refer to as the Revolving Credit Agreement) with a syndicate of lenders. As of December 31, 2004, there was no outstanding loan balance under the facility. The Revolving Credit Agreement provides for typical events of default and covenants with respect to the conduct of business and requires the maintenance of various financial levels and ratios. Among other covenants, we (a) cannot have a leverage ratio greater than 0.35:1.0, (b) cannot have an interest coverage ratio less than 2.50:1.0, (c) are prohibited from paying cash dividends on common stock in excess of an amount equal to 3% of consolidated net worth as of the last day of the preceding fiscal year, (d) must cause our insurance subsidiaries to maintain certain levels of risk-based capital, and (e) are prohibited from incurring additional indebtedness for borrowed money in excess of certain limits typical for such lines of credit. We closely monitor all of these covenants to ensure continued compliance.

On May 28, 2003, we issued \$125 million of PRIDES securities and on December 15, 2004 we exchanged \$185 million of aggregate original principal amount of OCEANs as previously discussed in Item 5- Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities, which portions are incorporated herein by reference.

The Company has several options for deploying excess capital, including supporting higher sales growth, reducing debt levels, pursuing acquisitions and buying back common stock. Our Board of Directors approved a stock purchase program effective August 9, 2002, under which we may purchase up to three million shares of our common stock at such times and under such conditions, as we deem advisable. The purchases may be made in the open market or by such other means as we determine to be appropriate, including privately negotiated purchases. The purchase program supercedes all prior purchase programs. We plan to fund the purchase program from a combination of our internal sources, dividends from insurance subsidiaries and the Revolving Credit Agreement. Approximately 2.0 million shares remain available for repurchase under this program. There were 244,400 shares purchased in 2004 for \$9.2 million. Holding company cash was utilized to purchase the shares.

We manage liquidity on a continuing basis. One way is to minimize our need for capital. We accomplish this by attempting to use our capital as efficiently as possible and by developing capital-efficient products in our insurance subsidiaries. We also manage our mix of sales by focusing on the more capital-efficient products. In addition, we use reinsurance agreements, where cost-effective, to reduce capital strain in the insurance subsidiaries. We also focus on optimizing the consolidated capital structure to properly balance the levels and sources of borrowing and the issuance of equity securities.

Insurance Subsidiaries

The sources of cash of our insurance subsidiaries consist primarily of premium receipts; deposits to policyowner account balances; and income from investments, sales, maturities and calls of investments and repayments of investment principal. The uses of cash are primarily related to withdrawals of policyowner account balances, investment purchases, payment of policy acquisition costs, payment of policyowner benefits, payment of debt, income taxes and current operating expenses. Insurance companies generally produce a positive cash flow from operations, as measured by the amount by which cash flows are adequate to meet benefit obligations to policyowners and normal operating expenses as they are incurred. The remaining cash flow is generally used to increase the asset base to provide funds to meet the need for future policy benefit payments and for writing new business.

Management believes that the current level of cash and available-for-sale, held for trading and short-term securities, combined with expected net cash inflows from operations, maturities of fixed maturity investments, principal payments on mortgage-backed securities and sales of its insurance products, will be adequate to meet the anticipated short-term cash obligations of the insurance subsidiaries.

Matching the investment portfolio maturities to the cash flow demands of the type of insurance being provided is an important consideration for each type of protection product and accumulation product. We continuously monitor benefits and surrenders to provide projections of future cash requirements. As part of this monitoring process, we perform cash flow testing of assets and liabilities under various scenarios to evaluate the adequacy of reserves. In developing our investment strategy, we establish a level of cash and

34

Table of Contents

securities which, combined with expected net cash inflows from operations and maturities and principal payments on fixed maturity investment securities, are believed adequate to meet anticipated short-term and long-term benefit and expense payment obligations. There can be no assurance that future experience regarding benefits and surrenders will be similar to historic experience since withdrawal and surrender levels are influenced by such factors as the interest rate environment and general economic conditions and the claims-paying and financial strength ratings of the insurance subsidiaries.

We take into account asset/liability management considerations in the product development and design process. Contract terms for the interest-sensitive products include surrender and withdrawal provisions which mitigate the risk of losses due to early withdrawals. These provisions generally do one or more of the following: limit the amount of penalty-free withdrawals, limit the circumstances under which withdrawals are permitted, or assess a surrender charge or market value adjustment relating to the underlying assets.

In addition to the interest-sensitive products, our insurance subsidiaries have issued funding agreements totaling \$960 million outstanding as of December 31, 2004, consisting primarily of six to ten year fixed rate insurance contracts. The assets backing the funding agreements are legally segregated and are not subject to claims that arise out of any other business of the insurance subsidiaries. The funding agreements are further backed by the general account assets of the insurance subsidiaries. The segregated assets and liabilities are included with general account assets in the financial statements. The funding agreements may not be cancelled by the holders unless there is a default under the agreement, but the insurance subsidiaries may terminate the agreement at any time. During 2003, a \$250 million funding agreement which was placed in 1999 was terminated by us.

We also have variable separate account assets and liabilities representing funds that are separately administered, principally for variable annuity contracts, and for which the contractholder bears the investment risk. Separate account assets and liabilities are reported at fair value and amounted to \$249 million at December 31, 2004. Separate account contractholders have no claim against the assets of the general account. The operations of the separate accounts are not included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Through their respective memberships in the Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLB) of Des Moines and Topeka, ALIC and American are eligible to borrow under variable-rate short term fed funds arrangements to provide additional liquidity. These borrowings are secured and interest is payable at the current rate at the time of each advance. There were no borrowings outstanding under these arrangements at December 31, 2004. In addition, ALIC has long-term fixed rate advances from the FHLB outstanding of \$12.6 million at December 31, 2004.

The insurance subsidiaries may also obtain liquidity through sales of investments. The investment portfolio as of December 31, 2004, had a carrying value of \$19.2 billion, including closed block investments.

The level of capital in the insurance companies is regulated by risk-based capital formulas and is monitored by rating agencies. In order to maintain appropriate capital levels, it may be necessary from time to time for AmerUs Group Co. to provide additional capital to the insurance companies.

We participate in a securities lending program whereby certain fixed maturity securities from the investment portfolio are loaned to other institutions for a short period of time. We receive a fee in exchange for the loan of securities and require initial collateral equal to 102 percent, with an on-going level of 100 percent, of the market value of the loaned securities to be separately maintained. Securities with a market value of approximately \$342.6 million and \$154.6 million were on loan under the program and we were liable for cash collateral under our control of approximately \$351.7 million and \$158.8 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The collateral held under the securities lending program has been included in cash and cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheet and the obligation to return the collateral upon the return of the loaned securities has been included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

We may also enter into securities borrowing arrangements from time to time whereby we borrow securities from other institutions and pay a fee. Securities borrowed amounted to \$138.2 million and none at

35

Table of Contents

December 31, 2004, and 2003, respectively, and are also included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

At December 31, 2004, the statutory capital and surplus of the insurance subsidiaries was approximately \$1,046 million. Management believes that each insurance company has statutory capital which provides adequate risk based capital that exceeds required levels.

In the future, in addition to cash flows from operations and borrowing capacity, the insurance subsidiaries may obtain their required capital from AmerUs Group Co.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Guarantee Obligations

Certain partnership investments provide for commitments of future capital, loans or guarantees. We have obligations to make future capital contributions to various partnerships of up to \$0.5 million at December 31, 2004. We also have commitments to extend credit for mortgages totaling \$36.6 million at December 31, 2004. In addition, at December 31, 2004, we had loan guarantees which totaled \$1.5 million. ALIC and its joint venture partner are contingently liable in the event AVLIC cannot meet its obligations. At December 31, 2004, AVLIC had statutory assets of \$2,441.6 million, liabilities of \$2,331.4 million and surplus of \$110.2 million.

We are contingently liable for the portion of the policies reinsured under existing reinsurance agreements in the event the reinsurance companies are unable to pay their portion of any reinsured claim. Management believes that any liability from this contingency is unlikely. However, to limit the possibility of such losses, we evaluate the financial condition of reinsurers and monitor concentration of credit risk.

Summary of Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Our contractual obligations primarily consist of amounts owed for annuity and other non-life insurance payments, notes payable, operating lease commitments, interest payable and securities lending and borrowing obligations. A summary of obligations are as follows for each of the five years ending December 31, 2004:

Payments due by period

Obligation	Total	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Thereafter
				(\$ in thousands)			
Notes payable	\$ 571,155	\$ 126,051	\$ 991	\$ 1,063	\$ 144,891	\$ 987	\$ 297,172
Operating leases	18,705	6,876	5,255	5,132	872	570	
Interest payable(1)	6,800	6,800					
Securities lending and borrowing							
obligations(1)	490,756	490,756					
Annuity and other non-life insurance							
payments(2)	13,820,338	1,152,357	1,854,753	1,733,327	1,447,563	1,289,027	6,343,311
Purchase obligations							
Other liabilites							
Total	\$14,907,754	\$1,782,840	\$1,860,999	\$1,739,522	\$1,593,326	\$1,290,584	\$6,640,483

⁽¹⁾ The obligation is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

(2) Represents future payments for annuities and other non-life insurance obligations. Such obligations are included in the determination of reserves and are included in policy reserves and policyowner funds on the consolidated balance sheet.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of

36

Table of Contents

contingent assets and liabilities. The valuation of financial instruments, accounting for derivatives and amortization of DAC and VOBA are considered our critical accounting policies due to their subjective nature and significance to the financial statements.

Valuation of Financial Instruments

A significant portion of our assets are carried at fair value, primarily securities available-for-sale, securities held for trading purposes and derivative financial instruments. Market values are based on quoted market prices where available.

Securities in our portfolio with a carrying value of approximately \$1,820 million and \$1,605 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, do not have readily determinable market prices. Valuation techniques vary by security type and availability of market data. Fair values for securities which do not have a readily available market price are determined by: 1) a matrix process that uses a current market spread added to an applicable treasury rate to discount expected future cash flows applicable to the coupon rate, credit quality, industry sector and term of the investment; 2) independent third party sources or recent transactions in similar securities, or 3) internally prepared valuations incorporating standard valuation techniques. Certain market conditions that could impact the valuation of securities include credit ratings, business climate, economic environment, industry trends, and regulatory and legal risks/events, among others. All such investments are classified as available-for-sale. Our ability to liquidate our positions in these securities will be impacted to a significant degree by the lack of an actively traded market, and we may not be able to dispose of these investments in a timely manner. Although we believe our estimates reasonably reflect the fair value of those securities, our key assumptions about the risk-free interest rates, risk premiums, performance of underlying collateral (if any), and other factors may not be realized in the event of an actual sale.

Securities are also reviewed to identify potential impairments. In determining if and when a decline in market value below amortized cost is other-than-temporary (referred to as OTTI), we evaluate the market conditions, offering prices, trends of earnings, price multiples and other key measures for our investments in marketable equity securities and debt instruments. For fixed maturity securities, our intent and ability to hold securities is also considered. When such a decline in value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, we recognize an impairment loss in the current period net income to the extent of the decline. For additional information regarding our evaluation of OTTI, see the section titled Investments Impairment.

Investments in mortgage loans, real estate, policy loans and other investments are monitored for possible impairment. If it is determined that collection of all amounts due under the contractual terms is doubtful or carrying values exceed the fair value of underlying collateral, such investments are considered impaired and the asset carrying value is adjusted or a valuation allowance is established.

Accounting for Derivatives

We hold derivative financial instruments to hedge growth in policyowner liabilities for certain protection and accumulation products and to hedge market risk for fixed income investments. These derivatives qualify for hedge accounting or are considered economic hedges as discussed in detail in note 4 to our consolidated financial statements.

Hedge accounting results when we designate and document the hedging relationships involving derivative instruments. Economic hedging instruments are those instruments whose change in fair value acts as a natural hedge against the change in fair value of hedged assets or liabilities with both changes wholly or partially being offset in earnings.

To hedge equity market risk, we primarily use S&P 500 Index call options to hedge the growth in interest credited to the customer as provided by our equity indexed products. We may also use interest rate swaps or options to manage our fixed products—risk profile. Generally, credit default swaps are coupled with a bond to synthetically create an instrument cheaper than an equivalent investment traded in the cash market.

37

Table of Contents

We have not changed our methods of calculating the fair values of derivatives or the underlying assumptions. The fair values of these derivatives will change over time as cash receipts and payments are made and as market conditions change.

Our derivative instruments are not subject to a multiple or use of leverage on the underlying price index. We do not believe we are exposed to more than a nominal amount of credit risk in our interest rate or equity hedges as the counterparties are established, well-capitalized financial institutions. Information about the fair values, notional amounts, and contractual terms of these instruments can be found in note 4 to our consolidated financial statements and the section titled Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Amortization of DAC and VOBA

We generally amortize DAC based on a percentage of our expected gross margins (EGMs) over the life of the policies. Our estimated EGMs are computed based on assumptions related to the underlying policies written, including the lives of the underlying policies, growth rate of the assets supporting the liabilities, and level of expenses necessary to maintain the policies over their entire life. We amortize DAC by estimating the present value of the EGMs over the lives of the insurance policies and then calculate a percentage of the policy acquisition cost deferred as compared to the present value of the EGMs. That percentage is used to amortize the DAC such that the amount amortized over the life of the policies results in a constant percentage of amortization when related to the actual and future gross margins.

Because the EGMs are only an estimate of the profits we expect to recognize from these policies, the EGMs are adjusted annually to take into consideration the actual gross profits to date and any changes in the remaining expected future gross margins. When EGMs are adjusted, we also adjust the amortization of the deferred policy acquisition costs amount to maintain a constant percentage over the entire life of the policies.

We amortize the VOBA based on the incidence of the EGMs from insurance contracts using the interest rate credited to the underlying policies. The EGMs are based on actuarially determined projections of future premium receipts, mortality, surrenders, operating expenses, changes in insurance liabilities, investment yields on the assets retained to support the policy liabilities and other factors. These projections take into account all factors known or expected by management. The actual gross margins may vary from expected levels due to differences in renewal premium, investment spread, investment gains or losses, mortality and morbidity costs and other factors.

Investment Portfolio

General

We maintain a diversified portfolio of investments which is supervised by an experienced in-house staff of investment professionals. Sophisticated asset/liability management techniques are employed in order to achieve competitive yields, while maintaining risk at acceptable levels. The asset portfolio is segmented by liability type, with tailored investment strategies for specific product lines. Investment policies and significant individual investments are subject to approval by the Board of Directors and are overseen by the Investment and Risk Management Committee of our Board of Directors. Management regularly monitors individual assets and asset groups, in addition to monitoring the overall asset mix. In addition, the Investment and Risk Management Committee review investment guidelines and monitor internal controls.

Investment Strategy

Our investment philosophy is to employ an integrated asset/liability management approach with separate investment portfolios for specific product lines, such as traditional life, universal life, equity indexed life, traditional annuities, equity indexed annuities, variable annuities and funding agreements to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns on capital. Essential to this philosophy is coordinating investments in the investment portfolio with product strategies, focusing on risk-adjusted returns and identifying and evaluating associated business risks.

38

Table of Contents

Investment strategies have been established based on the specific characteristics of each product line. The portfolio investment strategies establish asset duration, quality and other guidelines. Analytical systems are utilized to establish an optimal asset mix for each line of business. We seek to manage the asset/liability mismatch and the associated interest rate risk through active management of the investment portfolio. Financial, actuarial, investment, product development and product marketing professionals work together throughout the product development, introduction and management phases to jointly develop and implement product features, initial and renewal crediting strategies, and investment strategies based on extensive modeling of a variety of factors under a number of interest rate scenarios.

Invested Assets

Our diversified portfolio of investments includes public and private fixed maturity securities and commercial mortgage loans. Our objective is to maintain a high-quality, diversified fixed maturity securities portfolio that produces a yield and total return that supports the various product line liabilities and our earnings goals.

The following table summarizes invested assets by asset category as of December 31, 2004 and 2003:

Invested Assets December 31,

	2004		2003			
	Carrying Value	% of Total	Carrying Value	% of Total		
		(\$ in m	illions)			
Fixed maturity securities			,			
Public	\$15,147.6	79.0%	\$14,094.2	78.4%		
Private	2,217.2	11.5%	1,940.3	10.8%		
Subtotal	17,364.8	90.5%	16,034.5	89.2%		
Equity securities	92.5	0.5%	76.5	0.4%		
Mortgage loans	865.7	4.5%	968.6	5.4%		
Policy loans	486.1	2.5%	494.6	2.7%		
Other investments	374.2	2.0%	381.0	2.1%		
Short-term investments	3.0	0.0%	29.1	0.2%		
Total invested assets	\$19,186.3	100.0%	\$17,984.3	100.0%		

Fixed Maturity Securities

The fixed maturity securities portfolio consists primarily of investment grade corporate fixed maturity securities, high-quality mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and United States government and agency obligations. As of December 31, 2004, fixed maturity securities were \$17,364.8 million, or 90.5% of the carrying value of invested assets with public and private fixed maturity securities constituting \$15,147.6 million, or 87.2%, and \$2,217.2 million, or 12.8%, respectively, of total fixed maturity securities, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the composition of the fixed maturity securities by category as of December 31, 2004 and 2003:

Composition of Fixed Maturity Securities December 31,

	200-	2004		
	Carrying Value	% of Total	Carrying Value	% of Total
		(\$ in m	illions)	
U.S. government/agencies	\$ 548.4	3.2%	\$ 816.8	5.1%
State and political subdivisions	66.0	0.4%	67.1	0.4%
Foreign government bonds	118.6	0.7%	128.5	0.8%
Corporate bonds	12,359.0	71.2%	10,994.3	68.5%
Redeemable preferred stocks	40.2	0.2%	173.7	1.1%
Indexed debt instruments	564.7	3.3%	396.1	2.5%
Asset-backed bonds	528.1	3.0%	470.2	2.9%
Collateralized mortgage-backed securities	1,119.2	6.4%	973.0	6.1%
Mortgage-backed securities:				
U.S. government/agencies	1,824.1	10.5%	1,909.2	11.9%
Non-government/agencies	196.5	1.1%	105.6	0.7%
Subtotal-MBS	2,020.6	11.6%	2,014.8	12.6%
54010441 1.125				
Total	\$17,364.8	100.0%	\$16,034.5	100.0%

40

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes fixed maturity securities by remaining maturity as of December 31, 2004:

Remaining Maturity of Fixed Maturity Securities

	Carrying Value	% of Total	Unrealized Loss	% of Total
		(\$ in mil	llions)	
Available-for-Sale				
Due:				
In one year or less (2005)	\$ 526.9	3.4%	\$	0.0%
One to five years (2006-2010)	2,899.5	18.5%	4.0	8.1%
Five to 10 years (2011-2015)	6,086.8	38.9%	20.3	41.1%
10 to 20 years (2016-2025)	1,816.1	11.6%	14.2	28.7%
Over 20 years (2026 and after)	2,407.6	15.4%	7.0	14.2%
•				
Subtotal	13,736.9	87.8%	45.5	92.1%
Mortgage-backed securities	1,909.8	12.2%	3.9	7.9%
Wortgage backed securities	1,505.0			
Total	¢ 15 (46 7	100.0%	\$49.4	100.0%
Total	\$15,646.7	100.0%	\$49.4	100.0%
Held-for-Trading				
Due:				
In one year or less (2005)	\$ 34.0	2.0%		
One to five years (2006-2010)	441.9	25.7%		
Five to 10 years (2011-2015)	320.8	18.7%		
10 to 20 years (2016-2025)	492.7	28.7%		
Over 20 years (2026 and after)	317.9	18.5%		
•				
Subtotal	1,607.3	93.6%		
Mortgage-backed securities	110.8	6.4%		
1.101.Bugo outlied bouilties				
T 1	¢ 17101	100.00		
Total	\$ 1,718.1	100.0%		

The portfolio of investment grade fixed maturity securities is diversified by number and type of issuers. As of December 31, 2004, investment grade fixed maturity securities included the securities of 903 issuers, with 2,656 different issues of securities. No non-government/agency issuer represents more than 1% of investment grade fixed maturity securities.

Below-investment grade fixed maturity securities as of December 31, 2004, included the securities of 322 issuers representing 7.0% of total invested assets, with the largest being a \$29.9 million investment.

41

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2004, 83.5% of total invested assets were investment grade fixed maturity securities. The following table sets forth the credit quality, by NAIC designation and Standard & Poor s rating equivalents, of fixed maturity securities as of December 31, 2004:

Fixed Maturity Securities By NAIC Designation

		Public		Private		Public Private Total		ıl
NAIC Designation	Standard & Poor s Equivalent Designation	Carrying Value	% of Total	Carrying Value	% of Total	Carrying Value	% of Total	
				(\$ in mi	llions)			
1	A- or higher	\$ 9,643.2	63.7%	\$1,134.2	51.1%	\$10,777.4	62.1%	
2	BBB- to BBB+	4,274.0	28.2%	968.8	43.7%	5,242.8	30.2%	
	Total investment grade	13,917.2	91.9%	2,103.0	94.8%	16,020.2	92.3%	
3	BB- to BB+	779.4	5.1%	72.4	3.3%	851.8	4.9%	
4	B- to B+	424.8	2.8%	36.0	1.6%	460.8	2.6%	
5 & 6	CCC+ or lower	26.2	0.2%	5.8	0.3%	32.0	0.2%	
	Total below investment grade	1,230.4	8.1%	114.2	5.2%	1,344.6	7.7%	
	<u> </u>							
	Total	\$15,147.6	100.0%	\$2,217.2	100.0%	\$17,364.8	100.0%	
		. ,		. ,		. ,		

The following table summarizes fixed maturity securities by Standard & Poor s or equivalent rating, including unrealized losses, at December 31, 2004:

Fixed Maturity Securities by Standard & Poor s or Equivalent Rating

NAIC Designation	Standard & Poor s Equivalent Designation	Carrying Value	% of Total	Unrealized Loss	% of Total
			(\$ in mill	ions)	
1	A- or higher	\$10,777.4	62.1%	\$34.0	68.8%
2	BBB- to BBB+	5,242.8	30.1%	10.9	22.1%
	Total investment grade	16,020.2	92.2%	44.9	90.9%
3	BB- to BB+	851.8	4.9%	2.4	4.9%
4	B- to B+	460.8	2.7%	1.8	3.6%
5 & 6	CCC+ or lower	32.0	0.2%	0.3	0.6%
	Total below investment grade	1,344.6	7.8%	4.5	9.1%
	Total	\$17,364.8	100.0%	\$49.4	100.0%

MBS investments are mortgage-related securities including collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and pass-through mortgage securities. Asset-backed securities are both residential and non-residential including exposure to home equity loans, home improvement loans, manufactured housing loans as well as securities backed by loans on automobiles, credit cards, and other collateral or collateral bond obligations. As of December 31, 2004, asset-backed residential mortgages totaled \$259.9 million or 1.4% of total invested assets. As of

December 31, 2004, residential mortgage pass-through and CMOs totaled \$2,020.6 million or 10.5% of total invested assets. As of December 31, 2004, \$1,824.1 million or 90.3% of MBS were from government sponsored enterprises. Other MBS were \$196.5 million or 9.7% of MBS as of December 31, 2004. Management believes that the quality of assets in the MBS portfolio is generally high, with 99.5% of such assets representing agency backed or AAA rated securities. Collateralized mortgage backed securities (or CMBS) totaled \$1,119.2 million or 5.8% of total invested assets as of December 31, 2004.

42

Table of Contents

Derivatives

Interest rate swaps and options are primarily used to reduce exposure to changes in interest rates and to manage duration mismatches. Call options are primarily used to hedge equity indexed products. Credit default swaps are coupled with a bond to synthetically create an investment cheaper than the equivalent instrument traded in the cash market. Although we are subject to the risk that counterparties will fail to perform, credit standings of counterparties are monitored regularly. We only enter into transactions with counterparties rated at least AA or for which a collateral agreement is in place. We are also subject to the risk associated with changes in the value of contracts. However, such adverse changes in value generally are offset by changes in the value of the items being hedged. The notional principal amounts of the swaps and options, which represent the extent of our involvement in such contracts but not the risk of loss, at December 31, 2004, amounted to \$4,097.6 million. The interest rate swaps had a carrying value of a net receivable position of \$0.4 million at December 31, 2004. The credit default swaps had a carrying value of a net receivable position of \$0.5 million at December 31, 2004. The carrying value of options amounted to \$160.0 million at December 31, 2004. For each of these derivatives, the carrying value is equal to fair value as of December 31, 2004. The derivatives are reflected as other investments on the consolidated financial statements. The net amount payable or receivable from interest rate and credit default swaps are accrued as an adjustment to interest income. Effective October 1, 2003, we adopted DIG Issue B36. See note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the impact of adopting DIG B36.

Mortgage Loans

As of December 31, 2004, mortgage loans in the investment portfolio were \$865.7 million, or 4.5% of the aggregate carrying value of invested assets. As of December 31, 2004, commercial mortgage loans and residential mortgage loans comprised 99.9% and 0.1%, respectively, of total mortgage loans. Commercial mortgage loans consist primarily of fixed-rate mortgage loans. As of December 31, 2004, we held 713 individual commercial mortgage loans with an average balance of \$1.2 million.

As of December 31, 2004, there were no loans in the loan portfolio classified as delinquent or in foreclosure. As of the same date, there were no loans classified as restructured. During 2004, we had three foreclosures.

Other

As previously discussed in Liquidity and Capital Resources, we participate in a securities lending program and also securities borrowing arrangements.

We held \$486.1 million of policy loans on individual insurance products as of December 31, 2004. Policy loans are permitted to the extent of a policy s contractual limits and are fully collateralized by policy cash values. As of December 31, 2004, we held equity securities of \$92.5 million of which the largest holding was Federal Home Loan Bank common stock totaling \$62.4 million.

We held \$377.2 million of other invested assets (including short-term investments) on December 31, 2004. Other invested assets consist primarily of our Ameritas Joint Venture investment, various other joint ventures and limited partnership investments and derivatives.

Structured Securities Arrangements

We hold investments in indexed debt instruments (IDIs) in which the principal is initially partially defeased by an obligation of a third party financial institution (institution) collateralized by U.S. Treasuries which will accrete to 50% of the original principal amount of the IDIs at maturity. The balance of the principal amount due at maturity is subject to a dynamic defeasance mechanism, which should provide a return of the initial investment. The instruments issued by the institutions are linked to the performance of a hedge fund or fund of funds. The annual income on these investments will be equal to the quarterly distribution of the hedge fund or fund of funds plus the change in the present value of anticipated distributions to be received at maturity and will be included in net investment income. Over the life of the IDIs, the income will be a

43

Table of Contents

function of the cumulative performance of the linked hedge fund or fund of funds and the return on any defeased portion of the investment. The quarterly distribution paid, if any, reduces the amount of future participation in the performance of the linked hedge fund or fund of funds. At maturity, the Company will take delivery of the referenced hedge fund interests and cash or U.S. Treasuries equal to the portion of the instruments that have been defeased, the total of which should equal or exceed the instruments principal amount. The investment purpose of these instruments is to enable the Company to obtain the return as if they had invested in hedge funds or fund of funds with dynamic principal protection. The instruments as of December 31, 2004 carried an A rating or better by Fitch. The carrying value of IDIs was \$564.7 million and \$396.1 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Impairments

Our evaluation of OTTI s for fixed income securities follows a three-step process: 1) screen and identify; 2) assess and document; 3) recommend and approve. In identifying potential OTTI s, we screen for all securities that have a fair value less than 80% of amortized cost. In addition, we monitor securities for general credit issues that have been identified and included on a watch list which may result in the potential impairment list including other securities that have a fair value at or greater than 80% of amortized cost. For asset backed securities, an impairment loss is established if the fair value of the security is less than amortized cost and there is an adverse change in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously projected.

The list of securities identified is subject to a formal assessment to determine if an impairment is other than temporary. Management makes certain assumptions or judgments in its assessment of potentially impaired securities including but not limited to:

Industry characteristics and trends, company to industry profile, quality of management

Financial conditions and trends including strength of balance sheet and financial liquidity

Significant events affecting the company and/or industry

Viability of the business model incorporating an evaluation of default probability and associated recoverable value

Length of time the fair value was below 80% of amortized cost

Ability and intent to retain the investment to maturity or for a sufficient period of time for it to recover

If the determination is that the security is OTTI, it is written down to fair value. The write-down is reviewed and approved by senior management. The difference between amortized cost and fair value is charged to net income.

When actively traded market prices are not available, fair values are determined using present value or other standard valuation techniques such as earnings multiples and asset valuations. The fair value determinations are made at a specific point in time based on then available market information and judgments about the financial instruments. Factors considered in determining fair value include: coupon rate, term, collateral (if any), industry sector outlook, credit rating, expectations regarding going concern status, timing and amounts of expected future cash flows among other factors.

There are risks and uncertainties inherent in the process of monitoring impairments and determining if an impairment is OTTI. Risks may include 1) the credit characteristics change affecting the creditor s ability to meet all of its contractual obligations; 2) the economic outlook may be worse than expected or impact the credit more than anticipated; 3) accuracy of information provided by issuers could affect valuations; and 4) new information may change our intent to hold the security to maturity. Any of these items could result in a charge to net income in the future.

44

Table of Contents

The following table lists material investment impairments exceeding \$3 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002. The write-downs occurred due to creditor and/or issue specific circumstances.

Material Impairments

General Description	Impairment Loss (\$ in millions)	Circumstances	Impact on Other Material Investments
2004			
Major US Airline	\$ 3.0	High probability of restructuring and threat of bankruptcy	Negative industry trends with analysis done on an issue-by-issue basis concluding no impact on other material investments other than those written down
2003 Major US Airling	\$11.6	High probability of restructuring	Nagative industry trands with analysis
Major US Airline	\$11.0	and threat of bankruptcy	Negative industry trends with analysis done on an issue-by-issue basis concluding no impact on other material investments other than those written down
Merchant Energy Generator	3.6	High probability of restructuring and threat of bankruptcy	Negative industry trends with analysis done on an issue-by-issue basis concluding no impact on other material investments other than those written down
2002			
Large telecommunication company	\$17.7	Materially inaccurate financial statements and imminent default or bankruptcy	Credit specific issues with no impact on other material investments
Securitized medical receivables	14.5	Materially inaccurate financial statements and default event	Alleged credit specific fraud and default issues with no impact on other material investments
Merchant energy generator and distributor	11.3	Significant off-balance sheet liabilities and high probability of a restructuring	Negative industry trends with analysis done on an issue-by-issue basis concluding no impact on other material investments other than those written down
Collateralized Debt Obligation	8.0	Adverse change in cash flows on underlying portfolios	Specific collateral and structure issues with no impact on other material investments
Property and casualty insurance company	7.7	Rating downgrade and announced suspension of interest payments	Credit specific issues with no impact on other material investments
Merchant energy generator	7.4	Default on interest payment	Negative industry trends with analysis done on an issue-by-issue basis concluding no impact on other material investments other than those written down
Securitized manufactured housing loans	7.2	Suspension of guarantee payments related to manufactured housing	Credit specific issues with no impact on other material investments
Major US airline	5.7	Bankruptcy and lease rejection	Negative industry trends with analysis done on an issue-by-issue basis concluding no impact on other material investments other than those written down
Northeast electric energy generator	3.4	Default on interest payment	Negative industry trends with analysis done on an issue-by-issue basis

concluding no impact on other material investments other than those written down

45

Table of Contents

The following tables present unrealized losses for fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2004 and 2003 by investment category and industry sector:

Composition of Fixed Maturity Securities

December 31, 2004

	Carrying Value	% Total	Unrealized Losses	% Total		
		(\$ in mil	lions)			
U.S. government/agencies	\$ 548.4	3.2%	\$ 1.2	2.4%		
State and political subdivisions	66.0	0.4%	0.7	1.4%		
Foreign government bonds	118.6	0.7%	0.1	0.2%		
Corporate bonds	12,359.0	71.2%	29.1	59.0%		
Redeemable preferred stocks	40.2	0.2%				
Indexed debt instruments	564.7	3.3%	9.0	18.2%		
Asset-backed bonds	528.1	3.0%	1.2	2.4%		
Collateralized mortgage-backed securities	1,119.2	6.4%	4.2	8.5%		
Mortgage-backed securities:						
U.S. government/agencies	1,824.1	10.5%	3.6	7.3%		
Non-government Non-government	196.5	1.1%	0.3	0.6%		
Subtotal-MBS	2,020.6	11.6%	3.9	7.9%		
Subtotal 11105		11.070	<u> </u>	1.570		
Total	17,364.8	100.0%	49.4	100.0%		
Total	17,304.8	100.0%	49.4	100.0%		

December 31, 2003

	Carrying Value	% Total	Unrealized Losses	% Total
		(\$ in mi	llions)	
U.S. government/agencies	\$ 816.8	5.1%	\$ 1.7	1.4%
State and political subdivisions	67.1	0.4%	1.4	1.2%
Foreign governments	128.5	0.8%	0.3	0.2%
Corporate bonds	10,994.3	68.5%	57.8	48.7%
Redeemable preferred stocks	173.7	1.1%	37.1	31.3%
Indexed debt instruments	396.1	2.5%	8.3	7.0%
Asset-backed bonds	470.2	2.9%	1.5	1.2%
Collateralized mortgage-backed securities	973.0	6.1%	2.7	2.3%
Mortgage-backed securities:				
U.S. government/agencies	1,909.2	11.9%	7.9	6.7%
Non-government	105.6	0.7%		
Subtotal-MBS	2,014.8	12.6%	7.9	6.7%
Total	16,034.5	100.0%	118.7	100.0%

46

Table of Contents

December 31, 2004

	Carrying Value	% Total	Unrealized Loss	% Total
		(\$ in mil	lions)	
Basic industry	\$ 916.9	5.3%	\$ 2.9	5.9%
Capital goods	902.3	5.2%	1.4	2.8%
Communications	1,304.2	7.5%	2.9	5.9%
Consumer cyclical	1,247.6	7.2%	2.1	4.3%
Consumer non-cyclical	1,691.2	9.7%	4.5	9.1%
Energy	1,059.9	6.1%	1.3	2.6%
Technology	229.0	1.3%	0.7	1.4%
Transportation	539.7	3.1%	2.3	4.7%
Industrial other	146.9	0.9%	0.4	0.8%
Utilities	1,795.0	10.3%	4.5	9.1%
Financial institutions	2,704.5	15.6%	14.3	28.9%
Subtotal	12,537.2	72.2%	37.3	75.5%
Other	4,827.6	27.8%	12.1	24.5%
				
Total	\$17,364.8	100.0%	\$49.4	100.0%

December 31, 2003

	Carrying Value	% Total	Unrealized Loss	% Total
		(\$ in mi	llions)	
Basic industry	\$ 756.0	4.7%	\$ 5.6	4.7%
Capital goods	865.0	5.4%	4.0	3.4%
Communications	1,124.7	7.0%	8.6	7.2%
Consumer cyclical	981.5	6.1%	2.3	1.9%
Consumer non-cyclical	1,701.8	10.6%	7.5	6.3%
Energy	965.5	6.0%	2.3	1.9%
Technology	245.7	1.5%	0.6	0.5%
Transportation	462.7	2.9%	5.9	5.0%
Industrial other	154.5	1.0%	0.4	0.3%
Utilities	1,548.0	9.7%	12.0	10.1%
Financial institutions	2,427.9	15.2%	52.0	44.0%
Subtotal	11,233.3	70.1%	101.2	85.3%
Other	4,801.2	29.9%	17.5	14.7%
Total	\$16,034.5	100.0%	\$118.7	100.0%

47

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of unrealized losses for fixed maturity securities which identifies the length of time the securities have continually been in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2004 and 2003:

AFS Unrealized Loss as a Percentage of Amortized Cost

December 31, 2004

	Less than 7 months		(\$ in m		nillions) More than 12 months		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss
Total Temporarily Impaired Securities:								
Corporate bonds	\$1,094.3	\$ 8.5	\$396.1	\$ 7.7	\$379.8	\$12.9	\$1,870.2	\$29.1
U.S. government bonds	37.0	0.2	47.4	1.0	0.4		84.8	1.2
State and political subdivisions			1.5		18.0	0.7	19.5	0.7
Foreign government bonds	12.4		1.9		0.2		14.5	
Asset-backed bonds	75.8	0.2	49.5	0.9	5.5	0.1	130.8	1.2
Collateralized mortgage-backed								
securities	257.6	2.4	76.6	1.6	8.5	0.3	342.7	4.3
Mortgage-backed securities	287.3	1.0	115.4	1.5	104.6	1.5	507.3	4.0
Indexed debt instruments			242.5	2.5	239.5	6.5	482.0	9.0
Equity securities	0.3	0.2					0.3	0.2
Short-term investments	12.9						12.9	
Total	\$1,777.6	\$12.5	\$930.9	\$15.2	\$756.5	\$22.0	\$3,465.0	\$49.7

	Less than 7 months		7-12	7-12 months		n 12 months	Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss
Less Than 20% Loss Position:								
Corporate bonds	\$1,094.3	\$ 8.5	\$396.1	\$ 7.7	\$375.7	\$11.8	\$1,866.1	\$28.0
U.S. government bonds	37.0	0.2	47.4	1.0	0.4		84.8	1.2
State and political subdivisions			1.5		18.0	0.7	19.5	0.7
Foreign government bonds	12.4		1.9		0.2		14.5	
Asset-backed bonds	75.8	0.2	49.5	0.9	5.5	0.1	130.8	1.2
Collateralized mortgage-backed								
securities	257.6	2.4	76.6	1.6	8.5	0.3	342.7	4.3
Mortgage-backed securities	287.3	1.0	115.4	1.5	104.6	1.5	507.3	4.0
Indexed debt instruments			242.5	2.5	239.5	6.5	482.0	9.0
Short-term investments	12.9						12.9	
Total	\$1,777.3	\$12.3	\$930.9	\$15.2	\$752.4	\$20.9	\$3,460.6	\$48.4

48

Table of Contents

	Less than	n 7 months	7-12	months	More th	an 12 months	То	Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	
20%-50% Loss Position:									
Corporate bonds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$4.1	\$1.1	\$4.1	\$1.1	
Equity securities	0.3	0.2					0.3	0.2	
							_		
Total	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$	\$	\$4.1	\$1.1	\$4.4	\$1.3	

There are no securities that were in more than a 50% loss position at December 31, 2004.

AFS Unrealized Loss as a Percentage of Amortized Cost

December 31, 2003

	Less than 7 months		7-12	(\$ in m		millions) More than 12 months		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	
Total Temporarily Impaired Securities:									
Corporate bonds	\$1,618.3	\$31.3	\$275.5	\$15.8	\$118.0	\$10.6	\$2,011.8	\$ 57.7	
U.S. government bonds	156.5	1.7	0.4				156.9	1.7	
State and political subdivisions	18.8	1.4					18.8	1.4	
Foreign government bonds	51.2	0.3			1.3		52.5	0.3	
Asset-backed bonds	74.1	0.5	4.6		18.5	1.0	97.2	1.5	
Collateralized mortgage-backed securities	227.9	2.7					227.9	2.7	
	598.1	5.2	135.8	2.7	0.2		734.1	7.9	
Mortgage-backed securities Indexed debt instruments	240.9	3.7	157.6	4.6	0.2		398.5	8.3	
Redeemable preferred stock	240.9	3.1	137.0	4.0	104.6	37.1	104.6	37.1	
Equity securities			0.8	0.2	0.3	0.2	1.1	0.4	
Short-term investments	3.7		0.0	0.2	0.5	0.2	3.7	0.4	
Short-term investments									
Total	\$2,989.5	\$46.8	\$574.7	\$23.3	\$242.9	\$48.9	\$3,807.1	\$119.0	
					_				

49

Table of Contents

	Less than 7 months		7-12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss
Less Than 20% Loss Position:								
Corporate bonds	\$1,618.3	\$31.3	\$275.5	\$15.8	\$109.8	\$8.2	\$2,003.6	\$55.3
U.S. government bonds	156.5	1.7	0.4				156.9	1.7
State and political subdivisions	18.8	1.4					18.8	1.4
Foreign government bonds	51.2	0.3			1.3		52.5	0.3
Asset-backed bonds	74.1	0.5	4.6		18.5	1.0	97.2	1.5
Collateralized mortgage-backed								
securities	227.9	2.7					227.9	2.7
Mortgage-backed securities	598.1	5.2	135.8	2.7	0.2		734.1	7.9
Indexed debt instruments	240.9	3.7	157.6	4.6			398.5	8.3
Equity securities			0.8	0.2			0.8	0.2
Short-term investments	3.7						3.7	
						_		
Total	\$2,989.5	\$46.8	\$574.7	\$23.3	\$129.8	\$9.2	\$3,694.0	\$79.3

	Less tha	Less than 7 months		7-12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	
20%-50% Loss Position:									
Corporate bonds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 8.2	\$ 2.4	\$ 8.2	\$ 2.4	
Redeemable preferred stock					104.6	37.1	104.6	37.1	
Equity securities					0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$113.1	\$39.7	\$113.1	\$39.7	

There are no securities that were in more than a 50% loss position at December 31, 2003.

There were no individual material securities with unrealized losses exceeding \$3 million at December 31, 2004. The following table identifies the type, carrying value and unrealized loss of individual material securities with unrealized losses exceeding \$3 million at December 31, 2003:

Material Unrealized Losses

Year Ended

		December 31, 2003	
General Description	Market Value	Unrealized Loss	
	(\$ in	millions)	
Government Sponsored Entity	\$313.0	\$3.5	
Government Sponsored Entity	355.0	3.5	

In addition to the above listing, at December 31, 2004 and 2003, securities with a market value of \$482.0 million and \$500.7 million and unrealized loss position of \$9.0 million and \$45.4 million, respectively, were principal protected. These securities included underlying holdings that provided for a return of principal through maturity of zero coupon bonds from a highly rated issuer or a principal guarantee from a highly rated company. These securities along with the securities in the above listing of unrealized losses did not meet the criteria as described in our other-than-temporary process for impairment determination. As a result, there was no realized loss for these securities. Unrealized gains or losses may not represent future gains or losses that will

50

Table of Contents

be realized. These unrealized gains or losses are subject to fluctuation, reflective of such things as volatile financial markets, interest rate movements, credit spread changes and sale decisions.

The following table presents, for securities sold during 2004, 2003 and 2002, the amount of material losses exceeding \$3 million recorded and the fair value at the sales date:

Material Realized Losses

Year Ended December 31, 2004

General Description	Proceeds/ Market Value	Realized Loss on Sale	Time in Months Below Book	Time in Months< 80% of Book	
	(\$ in m	illions)			
Equity Indexed Notes	\$108.1	\$35.6	Over 12 months	Over 12 months	
Global Communications Service					
Provider	29.5	5.1	7 to 12 months	7 to 12 months	
	Year Ended December 31, 2003				
General Description	Proceeds/ Market Value	Realized Loss on Sale	Time in Months Below Book	Time in Months< 80% of Book	
			(\$ in millions)		
US Treasury	\$1,082.6	\$9.9	6 months or less	6 months or less	
Securitized manufactured housing					
loans	31.8	6.3	Over 12 months	7 to 12 months	
Collateralized debt obligation	136.3	5.7	Over 12 months	6 months or less	
Government sponsored entity	860.7	5.5	6 months or less	6 months or less	
Foreign oil revenue financing entity	49.4	4.4	7 to 12 months	6 months or less	
Major United States airline	49.4	4.2	Over 12 months	6 months or less	
Collateralized debt obligation	31.7	4.1	6 months or less	6 months or less	
Aircraft securitization	25.7	4.0	Over 12 months	7 to 12 months	
Electric generator	20.3	4.0	7 to 12 months	6 months or less	
Natural gas supplier	42.3	3.9	7 to 12 months	6 months or less	
Healthcare service provider	20.3	3.8	7 to 12 months	6 months or less	
Major United States airline	21.5	3.7	Over 12 months	6 months or less	
Major United States airline	17.0	3.4	Over 12 months	7 to 12 months	
Government sponsored entity	493.3	3.3	6 months or less	6 months or less	
		51			

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2002

General Description	Proceeds/ Market Value	Realized Loss on Sale	Time in Months Below Book	Time in Months< 80% of Book
			(\$ in millions)	
Large telecommunications company	\$ 2.6	\$17.8	6 months or less	6 months or less
Securities manafactured housing				
loans	2.5	9.6	Over 12 months	6 months or less
Multinational energy transmissions				
distributor	4.6	7.4	Over 12 months	7 to 12 months
Media conglomerate	24.4	6.6	7 to 12 months	6 months or less
US Treasury	619.3	6.3	6 months or less	6 months or less
Independent power producer	4.0	6.0	Over 12 months	7 to 12 months
Large telecommunications company	28.0	5.4	Over 12 months	7 to 12 months
Canadian telecommunications				
company	18.1	5.2	7 to 12 months	6 months or less
Telecommunications company	0.9	4.1	Over 12 months	7 to 12 months
Energy pipeline company	8.4	3.9	7 to 12 months	6 months or less
Energy pipeline company	12.4	3.7	6 months or less	6 months or less
Merchant energy				
generator/distributor	6.4	3.5	7 to 12 months	6 months or less
Energy pipeline company	6.9	3.1	6 months or less	6 months or less

The decision to sell certain securities within the overall context of our portfolio management strategies can result in losses as previously shown. This may include required exposure reductions due to internal credit limits, total rate of return strategies, capital reserve objectives, asset allocation decisions and hedging activities. However, no decisions have been made as of December 31, 2004, which result in material losses.

Effects of Inflation and Interest Rate Changes

Management does not believe that inflation has had a material effect on the consolidated results of operations.

Interest rate changes may have temporary effects on the sale and profitability of the protection products and accumulation products offered. For example, if interest rates rise, competing investments (such as annuities or life insurance products offered by competitors, certificates of deposit, mutual funds, and similar instruments) may become more attractive to potential purchasers of our products until we increase the interest rate credited to owners of our protection products and accumulation products. In contrast, as interest rates fall, we attempt to adjust credited rates to compensate for the corresponding decline in reinvestment rates. We monitor interest rates and sell annuities and life insurance policies that permit flexibility to make interest rate changes as part of management of interest spreads. However, the profitability of our products is based upon persistency, mortality and expenses, as well as interest rate spreads.

We manage our investment portfolio in part to reduce exposure to interest rate fluctuations. In general, the market value of our fixed maturity portfolio increases or decreases in an inverse relationship with fluctuations in interest rates, and net investment income increases or decreases in a direct relationship with interest rate changes.

We have developed an asset/liability management approach with separate investment portfolios for major product lines such as traditional life, universal life, equity indexed life, traditional annuities, equity indexed annuities, variable annuities and funding agreements. Investment strategies have been established based on the specific characteristics of each product line. The portfolio investment strategies establish asset duration, quality and other guidelines. Analytical systems are utilized to establish an optimal asset mix for each line of business. We seek to manage the asset/liability mismatch and the associated interest rate risk through active management of the investment portfolio. Financial, actuarial, investment, product development and product marketing professionals work together throughout the product development, introduction and management phases to jointly develop and implement product features, initial and renewal crediting strategies, and

Table of Contents

investment strategies based on extensive modeling of a variety of factors under a number of interest rate scenarios.

In force reserves and the assets allocated to each segment are modeled on a regular basis to analyze projected cash flows under a variety of economic scenarios. The result of this modeling is used to modify asset allocation, investment portfolio duration and renewal crediting strategies. We invest in derivatives to hedge against the effects of interest rate fluctuations or to hedge growth in policyowner liabilities for certain life and annuity products and funding agreements. For a further discussion and disclosure of the nature and extent of the use of derivatives, see note 4 to the consolidated financial statements.

Federal Income Tax Matters

Generally, AmerUs Group and our non-life subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. The life insurance subsidiaries file separate federal income tax returns, except for ILIC and Bankers Life which together began filing a consolidated tax return in 2002. The separate return method is used to compute the provision for allocating federal income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences among the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws.

Emerging Accounting Matters

SOP 03-1

Effective January 1, 2004, the Company adopted Statement of Position 03-1, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Non-Traditional Long-Duration Insurance Contracts and for Separate Accounts, (SOP 03-1) issued by the Accounting Standards Executive Committee of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The adoption of SOP 03-1 resulted in establishing additional policy reserve liabilities for fees charged for insurance benefit features which are assessed in a manner that is expected to result in profits in earlier years and losses in subsequent years. The total effect of adopting SOP 03-1 (including reinsurance recoverables) as of January 1, 2004, amounted to a decrease of \$0.8 million (\$0.5 million after-tax) in net income which has been reflected as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting. The basic and diluted earnings per common share for the change in accounting amounted to \$0.01 for 2004.

In addition, the adoption of SOP 03-1 established guidance for the accounting and presentation of costs related to sales inducements. There was no change to the Company s method of accounting for sales inducements; however, the capitalized costs are now separately disclosed in the consolidated balance sheet and the related amortization expense is included in policyowner benefits in the consolidated statement of income. Prior to 2004, the capitalized costs were included in DAC and the amortization expense was included in the amortization of DAC. The 2003 amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2004 presentation.

EITF 03-1

In March 2004, the Emerging Issues Task Force of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) reached a final consensus on Issue 03-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, (EITF 03-1). EITF 03-1 established impairment models for determining whether to record impairment losses associated with investments in certain equity and debt securities. It also required income to be accrued on a level-yield basis following an impairment of debt securities, where reasonable estimates of the timing and amount of future cash flows can be made. EITF 03-1 indicated that, although not presumptive, a pattern of selling investments prior to the forecasted recovery may call into question an investor s intent to hold the security until its value recovers. EITF 03-1 was to be effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2004; however, in September 2004, the effective date of these provisions was delayed until the finalization of a FASB Staff Position (FSP) to provide further guidance on this topic.

53

Table of Contents

EITF 04-8

In September 2004, the EITF reached a final consensus on Issue 04-8, The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share, (EITF 04-8). EITF 04-8 requires that contingently convertible debt be included in diluted earnings per share, if dilutive, regardless of whether the market price trigger has been met. The EITF is effective for periods ending after December 15, 2004 with the determination of the dilutive effect upon adoption to be based on the form of the instrument as it existed at the end of the quarter of adoption. This EITF applied to our OCEANs. During the fourth quarter of 2004, we exchanged the OCEANs which changed the security s conversion provisions. Previously, the OCEANs were to all be converted to shares of our common stock; however, the exchanged OCEANs provide for cash to be paid equal to the accreted principal value and shares of our common stock issued for the conversion value in excess of the accreted principal amount. We applied the restatement provisions of EITF 04-8 based on the new form of the exchanged OCEANs which resulted in no change in previously reported diluted earnings per share for 2002 or 2003.

FSP 97-1

In June 2004, the FASB issued Financial Staff Position FAS 97-1, Situations in Which Paragraphs of FASB Statement No. 97 Permit or Require Accrual of an Unearned Revenue Liability, (FSP 97-1). FSP 97-1 clarifies that SOP 03-1 did not restrict the recording of a liability for unearned revenue as defined in accordance with paragraphs 17(b) and 20 of SFAS 97, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments, to only those situations where profits are followed by expected losses. FSP 97-1 was effective for periods beginning after June 18, 2004. The adoption of FSP 97-1 did not have an effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations in 2004.

FSP 106-2

In May 2004, the FASB issued Financial Staff Position FAS 106-2, Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, (FSP 106-2). FSP 106-2 requires sponsors of a post-retirement health care plan that provides retiree prescription drug benefit to reflect the provisions of the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Medicare Act) in determining post-retirement benefit cost for the first annual or interim period beginning after June 15, 2004. We have determined that the drug benefits provided under our post-retirement benefits program for Medicare eligible retirees are not actuarially equivalent to the benefit established by the Medicare Act, and therefore we will not be eligible to receive the federal subsidy. As a result, there was no effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations in 2004.

SFAS 123R

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision to SFAS 123, Share-Based Payment, (SFAS 123R) which is a revision of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, (SFAS 123). SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on fair values. Pro forma disclosure of fair value information is no longer an alternative. The statement is effective in the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2005. Adoption is to be made using either the modified prospective method or the modified retrospective method. The modified prospective method recognizes cost based on the requirements for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and for awards granted prior to the effective date that remain unvested prior to the effective date. The modified retrospective method includes the requirements of the modified prospective method but also permits restatement of financial statements based on pro forma amounts previously recognized under SFAS 123. Restatement can either be for all prior periods presented or prior interim periods of the year of adoption. Early adoption is permitted. We continue to evaluate the impacts and timing of adopting SFAS 123R. We currently disclose the pro forma impacts of recognizing fair value as permitted by SFAS 123 in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. That disclosure reflects our estimate of 2004 expense for share-based payments of approximately \$4.0 million (after-tax).

54

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The main objectives in managing our investment portfolios and our insurance subsidiaries are to maximize investment income and total investment returns while minimizing credit risks in order to provide maximum support to the insurance underwriting operations. Investment strategies are developed based on many factors including asset liability management, regulatory requirements, fluctuations in interest rates and consideration of other market risks. Investment decisions are centrally managed by investment professionals based on guidelines established by management and approved by the boards of directors.

Market risk represents the potential for loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments. The market risks related to our financial instruments primarily relate to the investment portfolio, which exposes us to risks related to interest rates, credit quality and prepayment variation. Analytical tools and monitoring systems are in place to assess each of these elements of market risk.

Interest rate risk is the price sensitivity of a fixed income security to changes in interest rates. Management views these potential changes in price within the overall context of asset and liability management. Actuarial professionals estimate the payout pattern of our liabilities, primarily lapses, to determine duration, which is the present value of the fixed income investment portfolios after consideration of the duration of these liabilities and other factors, which management believes mitigates the overall effect of interest rate risk.

For variable and equity indexed products, profitability on the portion of the policyowner's account balance invested in the fixed general account option, if any, is also affected by the spreads between interest yields on investments and rates credited to the policies. For the variable products, the policyholder assumes essentially all the investment earnings risk for the portion of the account balance invested in the separate accounts. For the equity indexed products, we purchase primarily call options that are designed to match the return owed to contract holders who elect to participate in one or more market indices. Profitability on the portion of the equity indexed products tied to market indices is significantly impacted by the spread on interest earned on investments and the sum of (1) the cost of underlying call options purchased to match the returns owed to contract holders and (2) the minimum interest guarantees owed to the contract holder, if any. Profitability on the equity indexed products is also impacted by changes in the fair value of the embedded option which provides the contract holder the right to participate in market index returns after the next anniversary date of the contract. This impacts profitability as we primarily purchase one-year call options to fund the returns owed to the contract holders at the inception of each contract year. This practice matches with the contract holders—rights to switch to different indices on each anniversary date. The value of the forward starting options embedded in the equity indexed products can fluctuate with changes in assumptions as to future volatility of the market indices, risk free interest rates, market returns and the lives of the contracts.

55

Table of Contents

The following table provides information about our fixed maturity investments and mortgage loans for both our trading and other than trading portfolios at December 31, 2004. The table presents amortized cost and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates. The amortized cost approximates the cash flows of principal amounts in each of the periods. The cash flows are based on the earlier of the call date or the maturity date or, for mortgage-backed securities, expected payment patterns. Actual cash flows could differ from the expected amounts.

Expected Cash Flows

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Thereafter	Expected Cash Flows	Fair Value
Fixed maturity securities								
available-for-sale	\$1,119	\$ 903	\$1,080	\$1,180	\$ 931	\$ 9,888	\$15,101	\$15,647
Average interest rate	6.3%	6.5%	6.3%	5.9%	6.0%	5.8%		
Fixed maturity securities								
held for trading purposes	\$ 142	\$ 128	\$ 199	\$ 248	\$ 254	\$ 747	\$ 1,718	\$ 1,718
Average interest rate	3.4%	3.1%	3.3%	3.0%	2.2%	3.7%		
Mortgage loans	\$ 55	\$ 59	\$ 56	\$ 69	\$ 65	\$ 562	\$ 866	\$ 910
Average interest rate	7.3%	7.4%	7.4%	7.3%	7.3%	7.1%		
C								
Total	\$1,316	\$1,090	\$1,335	\$1,497	\$1,250	\$11,197	\$17,685	\$18,275

In accordance with our strategy of minimizing credit quality risk, we consistently invest in high quality marketable securities. Fixed maturity securities are comprised of U.S. Treasury, government agency, mortgage-backed and corporate securities. Approximately 62% of fixed maturity securities are issued by the U.S. Treasury or U.S. government agencies or are rated A or better by Moody s, Standard and Poor s, or the NAIC. Less than 8% of the bond portfolio is below investment grade. Fixed maturity securities have an average life of approximately 8.6 years.

Prepayment risk refers to the changes in prepayment patterns that can either shorten or lengthen the expected timing of the principal repayments and thus the average life and the effective yield of a security. Such risk exists primarily within the portfolio of mortgage-backed securities. Management monitors such risk regularly. We invest primarily in those classes of mortgage-backed securities that have average or lower prepayment risk.

Our use of derivatives is generally limited to hedging purposes and has principally consisted of using interest rate swaps, options and futures. These instruments, viewed separately, subject us to varying degrees of market and credit risk. However when used for hedging, the expectation is that these instruments would reduce overall market risk. Credit risk arises from the possibility that counterparties may fail to perform under the terms of the contracts. See note 4 of the consolidated financial statements for additional information about our derivative positions.

Equity price risk is the potential loss arising from changes in the value of equity securities. In general, equities have more year-to-year price variability than intermediate term grade bonds. However, returns over longer time frames have been consistently higher.

All of the above risks are monitored on an ongoing basis. A combination of in-house systems and proprietary models and externally licensed software are used to analyze individual securities as well as each portfolio. These tools provide the portfolio managers with information to assist them in the evaluation of the market risks of the portfolio.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements begin on page F-1. Reference is made to the Index to Financial Statements on page F-1 herein.

56

Table of Contents

Additional financial statement schedules begin on page S-1. Reference is made to the Index to Financial Statement Schedules on page S-1 herein.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) Based upon their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are effective for recording, processing, summarizing and reporting the information we are required to disclose in our reports filed under such act.
- (b) There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of AmerUs Group Co. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as described in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external purposes in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As of December 31, 2004, management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in Internal Control Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Based on the assessment, management determined that we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004 based on those criteria.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on management s assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004. The report, which expresses unqualified opinions on management s assessment and on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, is included in this Item under the heading Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

57

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
The Board of Directors and Stockholders
AmerUs Group Co.

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that AmerUs Group Co. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). AmerUs Group Co. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that AmerUs Group Co. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, AmerUs Group Co. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004 of AmerUs Group Co. and our report dated February 25, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Des Moines, Iowa February 25, 2005

58

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

The Notice of 2005 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement (the Proxy Statement), which, when filed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to General Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, provides the information required under Part III.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

- (a) Information concerning directors of AmerUs Group Co. appears in the Proxy Statement, under Election of Directors. This portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.
- (b) For information with respect to Executive Officers, see Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, under Executive Officers of the Company.
- (c) Information concerning Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance appears in the Proxy Statement, under Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. This portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.
- (d) Information concerning the identification of the audit committee and the audit committee financial expert appears in the Proxy Statement, under Board and Corporate Governance Matters. This portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.
- (e) Information describing any material changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the board of directors appears in the Proxy Statement, under Board and Corporate Governance Matters. This portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.
- (f) For information with respect to the Company s code of ethics that applies to the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer, see Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, under Code of Ethics.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

Information with respect to executive compensation appears in the Proxy Statement, under Executive Compensation and Related Information. This portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Information with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related stockholder matters appears in the Proxy Statement, under Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock and Executive Compensation and Related Information. This portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

Information with respect to certain relationships and related transactions appears in the Proxy Statement, under Certain Transactions and Relationships. This portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

59

Table of Contents

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.

Information with respect to principal accounting fees and services appears in the Proxy Statement, under Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. This portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- 1. Financial Statements. Reference is made to the Index on page F-1 of the report.
- 2. Financial Statement Schedules. Reference is made to the Index on page S-1 of the report.
- 3. Exhibits. Reference is made to the Index to Exhibits on page 61 of the report.

60

AMERUS GROUP CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Combination and Investment Agreement, dated February 18, 2000, among American Mutual Holding Company, AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., Indianapolis Life Insurance Company and The Indianapolis Life Group of Companies, Inc., filed as Exhibit 2.1 to AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. s report on Form 8-K/A on March 6, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.2	Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 18, 2000, by and between American Mutual Holding Company and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., filed as Exhibit 2.5 on Form 10-K, dated March 8, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated December 17, 1999, by and between American Mutual Holding Company and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., filed as Exhibit 2.6 on Form 10-K, dated March 8, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.4	Amendment No. 1 to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated February 18, 2000, by and between American Mutual Holding Company and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., filed as Exhibit 2.7 on Form 10-K, dated March 8, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.5	Letter Agreement, dated December 17, 1999, by and between American Mutual Holding Company and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., filed as Exhibit 2.8 on Form 10-K, dated March 8, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.6	Notification Agreement, dated as of February 18, 2000, by and among American Mutual Holding Company, AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and Bankers Trust Company, filed as Exhibit 2.9 on Form 10-K, dated March 8, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.7	Amendment No. 2 to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated April 3, 2000, by and between American Mutual Holding Company and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., filed as Exhibit 2.10 on Form 10-Q, dated May 15, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.8	Amendment No. 1 to the Purchase Agreement, dated April 3, 2000, by and between American Mutual Holding Company and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., filed as Exhibit 2.11 on Form 10-Q, dated May 15, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.9	Amendment to Combination and Investment Agreement dated February 18, 2000 among American Mutual Holding Company, AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., Indianapolis Life Insurance Company and The Indianapolis Life Group of Companies, Inc., dated September 18, 2000, filed as Exhibit 2.2 to Form 8-K12G3 of the Registrant dated September 21, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
2.10	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated January 1, 2002, by and among AmerUs Annuity Group Co., and the Stockholders of Family First Advanced Estate Planning and Family First Insurance Services, files as Exhibit 2.13 on Form 10-Q dated August 12, 2002, is hereby incorporated by reference.
3.1	Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant filed as Exhibit 3.1 on Form 10-Q, dated August 6, 2004 is hereby incorporated by reference.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, filed as Exhibit 3.2 on Form 10-Q, dated August 6, 2004, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.1	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement dated as of February 3, 1997 among AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., Wilmington Trust Company, as property trustee, and the administrative trustees named therein (AmerUs Capital I business trust), filed as Exhibit 3.6 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and AmerUs Capital I on Form S-1, Registration Number 333-13713, is hereby incorporated by reference.

4.2 Indenture dated as of February 3, 1997 between AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company relating to the Company s 8.85% Junior Subordinated Debentures, Series A, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and AmerUs Capital I on Form S-1, Registration Number, 333-13713, is hereby incorporated by reference.

61

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.3	Guaranty Agreement dated as of February 3, 1997 between AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., as guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee, relating to the 8.85% Capital Securities, Series A, issued by AmerUs Capital I, filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the registration statement on Form S-1, Registration Number, 333-13713, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.4	Certificate of Trust of AmerUs Capital III filed as Exhibit 4.7 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., AmerUs Capital II and AmerUs Capital III, on Form S-3 (No. 333-50249), is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.5	Senior Indenture, dated as of June 16, 1998, by and between AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and First Union National Bank, as Indenture Trustee, relating to the AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. s 6.95% Senior Notes, filed as Exhibit 4.14 on Form 10-Q, dated August 13, 1998, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.6	Subordinated Indenture, dated as of July 27, 1998, by and between AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and First Union National Bank, as Indenture Trustee, relating to AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. s 6.86% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures, filed as Exhibit 4.15 on Form 10-Q, dated August 13, 1998, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.7	First Supplement to Indenture dated February 3, 1997 among American Mutual Holding Company, AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company as Trustee, relating to the Company s 8.85% Junior Subordinated Debentures, Series A, dated September 20, 2000, filed as Exhibit 4.14 on Form 10-Q dated November 14, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.8	Assignment and Assumption Agreement to Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated February 3, 1997 between American Mutual Holding Company and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., dated September 20, 2000, filed as Exhibit 4.15 on Form 10-Q dated November 14, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.9	Assignment and Assumption to Guaranty Agreement, dated February 3, 1997 between American Mutual Holding Company and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., dated September 20, 2000, filed as Exhibit 4.16 on Form 10-Q, dated November 14, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.10	First Supplement to Senior Indenture dated June 16, 1998, relating to AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. s 6.95% Senior Notes, among American Mutual Holding Company, AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and First Union National Bank, as Trustee, dated September 20, 2000, filed as Exhibit 4.23 on Form 10-Q, dated November 14, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.11	Indenture dated as of March 6, 2002 between AmerUs Group Co. and BNY Midwest Trust Company, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.1 on form 8-K/A, dated February 28, 2002, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.12	Form of Purchase Contract Agreement between AmerUs Group Co. and Wachovia Bank, National Association (formerly known as First Union National Bank), as Purchase Contract Agent, filed as Exhibit 4.1 on Form 8-A12B, dated May 22, 2003, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.13	Form of Pledge Agreement among AmerUs Group Co., BNY Midwest Trust Company, as Collateral Agent, Custodial Agent and Securities Intermediary and Wachovia Bank, National Association (formerly known as First Union National Bank), as Purchase Contract Agent, filed as Exhibit 4.2 on Form 8-A12B dated May 22, 2003, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.14	Form of Remarketing Agreement among AmerUs Group Co., Wachovia Bank, National Association (formerly known as First Union National Bank), as Purchase Contract Agent, and the Remarketing Agent named therein, filed as Exhibit 4.3 on Form 8-A12B dated May 22, 2003, is hereby incorporated by reference.
4.15	Form of Income PRIDES (included in Exhibit 4.1 as Exhibit A thereto), filed as Exhibit 4.1 on Form 8-A12B, dated May 22, 2003, is hereby incorporated by reference.

- 4.16 Officer s Certificate attaching form of Senior Notes initially due 2008, filed as Exhibit 4.7 on Form 8-A12B, dated May 22, 2003, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- 4.17 Indenture dated as of December 15, 2004 between AmerUs Group Co. and BNY Midwest Trust Company, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.1 on Form 8-K, dated December 15, 2004, is hereby incorporated by reference.

62

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.18	Form of Optionally Convertible Equity-Linked Accreting Note (OCEANs SM) due March 6, 2032, filed as Exhibit 4.2 on Form 8-K, dated December 15, 2004, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.1	Joint Venture Agreement, dated as of June 30, 1996, between American Mutual Insurance Company and Ameritas Life Insurance Corp., filed as Exhibit 10.2 on Form 10-K, dated March 25, 1998, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.2	Management and Administration Service Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1996, among American Mutual Life Insurance Company, Ameritas Variable Life Insurance Company and Ameritas Life Insurance Corp., filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. on Form S-1, Registration Number 333-12239, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.3	AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. Executive Stock Purchase Plan, dated November 13, 1998, filed as Exhibit 4.11 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. on Form S-8, Registration Number 333-72237, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.4	Management Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. on Form S-1, Registration Number 333-12239, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.5	AmerUs Life Insurance Company Performance Share Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. on Form S-1, Registration Number 333-12239, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.6	AmerUs Life Stock Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. on Form S-1, Registration Number 333-12239, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.7	AmerUs Life Non-Employee Director Stock Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. on Form S-1, Registration Number 333-12239, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.8	Form of Indemnification Agreement executed with directors and certain named executive officers, filed as Exhibit 10.33 to the registration statement of AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. on Form S-1, Registration Number 333-12239, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.9	AmVestors Financial Corporation 1996 Incentive Stock Option Plan, filed as Exhibit (4)(a) to Registration Statement of AmVestors Financial Corporation on Form S-8, Registration Number 333-14571 dated October 21, 1996, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.10	Open Line of Credit Application and Terms Agreement, dated March 5, 1999, between Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines and AmerUs Life Insurance Company, filed as Exhibit 10.34 on Form 10-Q dated May 14, 1999, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.11	Form of Reimbursement Agreement, dated February 15, 1999, among AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. and certain named executive officers, filed as Exhibit 10.40 on Form 10-Q dated May 14, 1999, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.12	1999 Non-Employee Stock Option Plan, dated April 19, 1999, filed on Form S-3, Registration Number 333-72643, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.13	Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit Application and Terms Agreement, dated February 1, 2000, between Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines and AmerUs Life Insurance Company, filed as Exhibit 10.45 on Form 10-K, dated March 8, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.14	Investment Advisory Agreements, dated as of February 18, 2000, by and between Indianapolis Life Insurance Company, Bankers Life Insurance Company of New York, IL Annuity and Insurance Company and AmerUs Capital Management Group, Inc. filed as Exhibits 10.1,10.3, 10.4 and 10.2, respectively, to AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc. s report on Form 8-K/A on March 6, 2000, are hereby incorporated by reference.

- 10.15 Advance, Pledge and Security Agreement, dated April 12, 2000, by and between the Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka and American Investors Life Insurance Company, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.48 on Form 10-Q, dated May 15, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- Institutional Custody Agreement, dated April 12, 2000, by and between the Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka and American Investors Life Insurance Company, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.49 on Form 10-Q, dated May 15, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.

63

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.17	Line of Credit Application, dated April 12, 2000, by and between the Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka and American Investors Life Insurance Company, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.50 on Form 10-Q, dated May 15, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.18	Agreement for Advances, Pledge and Security Agreement, dated March 12, 1992, by and between Central Life Assurance Company and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines, filed as Exhibit 10.53 on Form 10-Q, dated May 15, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.19	Agreement for Advances, Pledge and Security Agreement, dated September 1, 1995, by and between American Vanguard Life Insurance Company and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines, filed as Exhibit 10.54 on Form 10-Q, dated May 15, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.20	AmerUs Group Co. 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, dated November 15, 2000, filed as Exhibit 99.9 to the registration statement of AmerUs Group Co. on Form S-8, Registration Number 333-50030, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.21	Credit Agreement dated December 8, 2003, among AmerUs Group Co., Various Lending Institutions, the Bank of New York, Bank One, NA, Fleet National Bank and Mellon Bank, N.A. as Co-Syndication Agents and J P Morgan Chase Bank as Administrative Agent, filed as Exhibit 10.29 on Form 10-K dated March 12, 2004 is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.22	Consent dated as of March 15, 2002, among AmerUs Group Co., the lending institutions party hereto, The Bank of New York, Mellon Bank N.A. and Fleet National Bank as Co-Arrangers and JPMorgan Chase Bank as Administrative Agent and Co-Arranger, filed as Exhibit 10.36 on Form 10-Q dated May 14, 2002, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.23	Amendment No. 1 to Joint Venture Agreement, dated April 1, 2002, by and between Ameritas Life Insurance Corp. and AmerUs Life Insurance Company filed as Exhibit 10.37 on Form 10-Q dated August 12, 2002 is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.24	Distribution Commitment Agreement for Variable Business, dated April 1, 2002, by and between AmerUs Group Co. and Ameritas Variable Life Insurance Company filed as Exhibit 10.38 on Form 10-Q dated August 12, 2002 is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.25	Amendment No. 4 to Facility Agreement dated February 12, 1999 by and among AmerUs Group Co. and Bank One, NA (f/k/a The First National Bank of Chicago), dated June 30, 2002 filed as Exhibit 10.39 on Form 10-Q dated August 12, 2002 is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.26	Employment Agreement, dated as of September 19, 1997, among Mark V. Heitz, AmVestors Financial Corporation, American Investors Life Insurance Company, Inc., AmVestors Investors Group, Inc. and American Investors Sale Group, Inc. filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the registration statement of the Registrant on Form S-4, Registration Number 333-40065, is incorporated by reference.
10.27	First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of April 15, 1999, to the Employment Agreement dated as of September 19, 1997, among Mark V. Heitz, AmVestors Financial Corporation, American Investors Life Insurance Company, Inc., AmVestors Investment Group, Inc., American Investors Sales Group, Inc., and AmerUs Life Holdings, Inc., filed as Exhibit 99.4 on Form 10-Q dated August 13, 1999, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.28	All*AmerUs Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the registration statement on Form S-8, Registration Number 333-101961, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.29	Form of Supplemental Benefit Agreement, dated February 3, 2003, among AmerUs Group Co. and certain named executive officers, filed as Exhibit 10.1 on Form 10-Q dated May 15, 2003 is hereby incorporated by reference.

10.30	Tax Allocation and Indemnification Agreement dated as of July 1, 2000, filed as Exhibit 10.2 on Form 10-Q dated May 15, 2003 is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.31	AmerUs Group Co. 2003 Stock Incentive Plan as amended, filed as Exhibit 10.43 on Form 10-K dated March 12, 2004 is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.32	AmerUs Group Co. MIP Deferral Plan, filed as Exhibit 4.3 on Form S-8 dated June 27, 2003, is hereby incorporated by reference.

64

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.33	Form of Stock Option Agreement for Employees Award Plan, dated February 11, 2005, filed as Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K dated February 17, 2005 is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.34*	Amended and Restated All*AmerUs Excess Benefit Plan.
10.35*	Post-Retirement Consulting and Noncompetition Agreement between AmerUs Group Co. and Roger K. Brooks effective December 31, 2005.
11.1*	Statement Re: Computation of Per Share Earnings is included in note 17 to the consolidated financial statements.
12*	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
20.1	Offering Circular dated November 16, 2004, to Exchange Optionally Convertible Equity-Linked Accreting Notes (OCEANs SM) filed as Exhibit 20.1 on Form 8-K, dated December 15, 2004, is hereby incorporated by reference.
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1*	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13(a)-15(e) or Rule 15(d)-15(e).
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13(a)-15(e) or Rule 15(d)-15(e).
32.1* 32.2*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
* included herein	65

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AMERUS GROUP CO.

/s/ ROGER K. BROOKS

Roger K. Brooks

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 7, 2005

POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, the undersigned officers and directors of AmerUs Group Co., hereby severally and individually constitute and appoint Melinda S. Urion, Brenda J. Cushing and James A. Smallenberger, and each of them, the true and lawful attorneys and agents of each of us to execute in the name, place and stead of each of us (individually and in any capacity stated below) any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and all instruments necessary or advisable in connection therewith and to file the same with the Securities and Exchange Commission, each of said attorneys and agents to have the power to act with or without the others and to have full power and authority to do and perform in the name and on behalf of each of the undersigned every act whatsoever necessary or advisable to be done on the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as any of the undersigned might or could do in person, and we hereby ratify and confirm our signatures as they may be signed by said attorneys and agents or each of them to any and all such amendments and instruments.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ ROGER K. BROOKS	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Director	March 7, 2005	
Roger K. Brooks			
/s/ THOMAS C. GODLASKY	President and Chief Operating Officer and Director	March 7, 2005	
Thomas C. Godlasky			
/s/ MELINDA S. URION	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (principal financial officer)	March 7, 2005	
Melinda S. Urion	and Treasurer (principal finalization officer)		
/s/ BRENDA J. CUSHING	Senior Vice President and Controller (principal accounting officer)	March 7, 2005	
Brenda J. Cushing	decounting officer)		
	Director		
John R. Albers			
/s/ DAVID A. ARLEDGE	Director	March 7, 2005	
David A. Arledge			

66

Table of Contents

/s/ ALECIA A. DECOUDREAUX	Director	March 7, 2005
Alecia A. DeCoudreaux		
/s/ THOMAS F. GAFFNEY	Director	March 7, 2005
Thomas F. Gaffney		
	Director	
Louis A. Holland		
/s/ JOHN W. NORRIS, JR.	Director	March 7, 2005
John W. Norris, Jr.		
	Director	
Ward M. Klein		
/s/ ANDREW J. PAINE, JR.	Director	March 7, 2005
Andrew J. Paine, Jr.		
/s/ JACK C. PESTER	Director	March 7, 2005
Jack C. Pester		
	Director	
Heidi L. Steiger		
/s/ STEPHEN STROME	Director	March 7, 2005
Stephen Strome		
/s/ JOHN A. WING	Director	March 7, 2005
John A. Wing		
/s/ F. A. WITTERN, JR.	Director	March 7, 2005
F. A. Wittern, Jr.		
	67	

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and	
<u>2003</u>	F-3 through F-4
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended	
December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the	
Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Years	
Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended	
December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-8 through F-9
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-10 through F-60

Separate financial statements of subsidiaries not consolidated and 50% or less owned persons accounted for by the equity method have been omitted because they do not individually constitute a significant subsidiary.

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

AmerUs Group Co.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of AmerUs Group Co. as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of AmerUs Group Co. as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of AmerUs Group Co. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 25, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

As described in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2004 the Company changed its method of accounting for certain non-traditional long-duration insurance contracts, in 2003 the Company changed its method of accounting for embedded derivatives associated with certain reinsurance agreements and in 2002 the Company changed its method of accounting for goodwill and other intangibles.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Des Moines, Iowa February 25, 2005

F-2

AMERUS GROUP CO.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (\$ in thousands)

December 31,

	2004	2003		
Assets				
Investments:				
Securities available-for-sale at fair value:				
Fixed maturity securities	\$15,646,653	\$13,944,961		
Equity securities	77,024	74,890		
Short-term investments	2,979	28,556		
Securities held for trading purposes at fair value:				
Fixed maturity securities	1,718,125	2,089,502		
Equity securities	15,468	1,652		
Short-term investments		591		
Mortgage loans	865,733	968,572		
Policy loans	486,071	494,646		
Other investments	374,240	380,915		
Total investments	19,186,293	17,984,285		
Cash and cash equivalents	478,441	274,150		
Accrued investment income	222,294	205,492		
Premiums, fees and other receivables	39,688	42,761		
Reinsurance receivables	666,493	663,452		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	1,248,009	1,021,856		
Capitalized bonus interest	137,538	98,274		
Value of business acquired	374,792	419,582		
Goodwill	226,291	224,075		
Property and equipment	46,114	48,849		
Other assets	296,409	311,305		
Separate account assets	248,507	261,657		
Assets of discontinued operations		27,950		
Total assets	\$23,170,869	\$21,583,688		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AMERUS GROUP CO.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued) (\$ in thousands)

December 31, 2004 2003 Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Liabilities: Policy reserves and policyowner funds: Future life and annuity policy benefits \$17,923,329 \$16,994,255 Policyowner funds 1,419,762 1,306,160 19.343.091 18,300,415 837,514 459,240 Accrued expenses and other liabilities Dividends payable to policyowners 322,037 321,233 Policy and contract claims 70,465 58,880 Income taxes payable 9,299 50,274 Deferred income taxes 145,332 80,861 Notes payable 571,155 621,896 Separate account liabilities 248,507 261,657 Liabilities of discontinued operations 19,421 Total liabilities 21,547,400 20,173,877 Stockholders equity: Preferred Stock, no par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, none issued Common Stock, no par value, 230,000,000 shares authorized; 44,225,902 shares issued and 39,400,663 shares outstanding in 2004; 43,836,608 shares issued and 39,194,602 shares outstanding in 2003 44,226 43,836 Additional paid-in capital 1,198,379 1,184,237 Accumulated other comprehensive income 114,670 84,519 Unearned compensation (1,238)(1,361)Retained earnings 431,911 255,006 Treasury stock, at cost (4,825,239 shares in 2004 and 4,642,006 shares in 2003) (164,479)(156,426)Total stockholders equity 1,623,469 1,409,811 Total liabilities and stockholders equity \$23,170,869 \$21,583,688

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

AMERUS GROUP CO.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (\$ in thousands, except share data)

For The Years Ended December 31,

		2004		2003		2002
Revenues:						
Insurance premiums	\$	267,666	\$	297,188	\$	351,300
Product charges		220,554		181,354		144,494
Net investment income		1,037,447		1,001,914		1,001,257
Realized/unrealized capital gains (losses)		18,068		131,291		(149,919)
Other income		71,381		68,298		68,513
		1,615,116		1,680,045		1,415,645
Benefits and expenses:						
Policyowner benefits		888,696		953,834		879,785
Underwriting, acquisition and other expenses		180,051		155,468		162,267
Demutualization costs				,		1,186
Restructuring costs				23,294		21,225
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and						
value of business acquired		204,863		179,664		131,672
Dividends to policyowners		81,092		98,393		104,866
	_	1,354,702		1,410,653		1,301,001
ncome from continuing operations	_	260,414	_	269,392	_	114,644
- ·		32,120		30,154		25,487
nterest expense	_	32,120	_	30,134	_	23,467
ncome before income tax expense		228,294		239,238		89,157
ncome tax expense		39,041		78,610		28,375
Net income from continuing operations		189,253		160,628		60,782
ncome from discontinued operations, net of tax		3,899		1,815		2,084
Net income before cumulative effect of change in			_			
ccounting		193,152		162,443		62,866
Cumulative effect of change in accounting, net of tax		(510)		(1,296)		·
Net income	\$	192,642	\$	161,147	\$	62,866
Net income from continuing operations per common hare:	_		_		_	
Basic	\$	4.81	\$	4.10	\$	1.52
	-					
Diluted	\$	4.60	\$	4.05	\$	1.50
Net income per common share:		<u></u>				
Basic	\$	4.90	\$	4.11	\$	1.57
	_				_	

Edgar Filing: AMERUS GROUP CO/IA - Form 10-K

Diluted	\$ 4.68	\$ 4.07	\$ 1.56
Weighted average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	39,334,798	39,175,924	39,972,328
Diluted	41,135,188	39,618,217	40,398,378

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

AMERUS GROUP CO.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (\$ in thousands)

For The Years Ended December 31,

			ŕ
	2004	2003	2002
Net income	\$192,642	\$161,147	\$ 62,866
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:			
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period	88,450	35,051	17,324
Less: Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses)			
included in net income	35,559	28,210	(101,261)
Minimum pension liability adjustment	(6,505)	(4,993)	(1,888)
			
Other comprehensive income, before tax	46,386	1,848	116,697
Income tax expense related to items of other			
comprehensive income	(16,235)	(647)	(40,844)
	30,151	1,201	75,853
Amounts attributable to change in accounting for			
derivatives		(5,204)	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes	30,151	(4,003)	75,853
Comprehensive income	\$222,793	\$157,144	\$ 138,719

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

AMERUS GROUP CO.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY For the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 (\$ in thousands)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Unearned Compensation	Unallocated ESOP Shares	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders Equity
Balance at December 31, 2001 2002:	\$43,506	\$1,177,688	\$ 12,669	\$ (727)	\$ (224)	\$ 62,187	\$ (56,582)	\$1,238,517
Net income Net unrealized gain on securities Net unrealized gain on derivatives designated as cash			73,646			62,866		62,866 73,646
flow hedges Stock issued under various			3,434					3,434
incentive plans, net of forfeitures Stock issued under exercise of	150	5,730		269			1	6,150
warrants Purchase of ESOP shares and		(3,802)					12,205	8,403
treasury stock Dividends declared on common					(2,522)		(112,116)	(114,638)
stock						(15,536)		(15,536)
Allocation of shares in leveraged ESOP		30			1,303			1,333
Minimum pension liability adjustment			(1,227)					(1,227)
Balance at December 31, 2002	43,656	1,179,646	88,522	(458)	(1,443)	109,517	(156,492)	1,262,948
2003: Net income	.5,050	1,175,010	00,022	(100)	(1,1.0)	161,147	(100, 172)	161,147
Net unrealized gain on securities Net unrealized gain on			1,971			101,147		1,971
derivatives designated as cash flow hedges			2,476					2,476
Change in accounting transfer of unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities to								
trading Stock issued under various			(5,204)					(5,204)
incentive plans, net of forfeitures PRIDES purchase contract	180	11,717		(903)			66	11,060
adjustments and allocated fees and expenses		(7,280)						(7,280)
Purchase of treasury stock Dividends declared on common								
stock Allocation of shares in leveraged						(15,658)		(15,658)
ESOP Minimum pension liability adjustment		154	(3,246)		1,443			1,597
aujustinent			(3,240)					(3,246)
Balance at December 31, 2003 2004:	43,836	1,184,237	84,519	(1,361)		255,006	(156,426)	1,409,811
Net income Net unrealized gain on securities			33,959			192,642		192,642 33,959
Net unrealized gain on derivatives designated as cash			33,737					33,737
flow hedges Stock issued under various			420					420
incentive plans, net of forfeitures	390	14,142		123			1,100	15,755

Purchase of treasury stock						(9,153)	(9,153)
Dividends declared on common							
stock					(15,737)		(15,737)
Minimum pension liability							
adjustment			(4,228)				(4,228)
					 · —		
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$44,226	\$1,198,379	\$114,670	\$ (1,238)	\$ \$431,911	\$(164,479)	\$1,623,469

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-7

AMERUS GROUP CO.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (\$ in thousands)

For The Years Ended December 31,

2004	2003	2002		
\$ 192,642	\$ 161,147	\$ 62,866		
510	1,296			
(3,899)				
(220,554)	(181,354)	(144,494)		
487,579	472,881	492,781		
35,652	65,741	(28,759)		
(18,068)	(131,291)	149,919		
204,863	179,664	131,672		
(390,236)	(357,348)	(366,509		
(16,802)	(19,832)	(11,422		
(77,765)	279,552	(129,638		
394,090	(219,310)	321,836		
(13,899)	(1,606)	12,013		
579	(596)	4,221		
(189,635)	(243,613)	446,437		
371,880	181,595	(40,684		
129,060	111,374	30,769		
(43,546)	(11,051)	14,837		
		(24,363		
39,444	40,785	(1,428		
930,387	394,883	920,054		
		(8,269,367		
2,525,792	7,467,468	5,736,480		
1,389,431	2,354,539	959,293		
(47,509)	(12,721)	(66,408		
47,093		771		
26,233		(17,216		
(133,673)	(202,721)	(64,416)		
F-8				
	\$ 192,642 510 (3,899) (220,554) 487,579 35,652 (18,068) 204,863 (390,236) (16,802) (77,765) 394,090 (13,899) 579 (189,635) 371,880 129,060 (43,546) 48,492 39,444 930,387 (5,624,235) 2,525,792 1,389,431 (47,509) 47,093 26,233 (133,673)	\$ 192,642 \$ 161,147 510		

AMERUS GROUP CO.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(\$ in thousands)

For The Years Ended December 31,

	2004	2003	2002			
Proceeds from repayment and sale of mortgage loans	244,702	123,033	130,855			
Purchase of real estate and other invested assets	(84,769)	(323,676)	(28,872)			
Proceeds from sale of real estate and other invested						
assets	131,162	328,002	61,661			
Change in policy loans, net	8,576	2,107	9,565			
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operations	15,000					
Other assets, net	7,740	(9,800)	4,684			
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,494,457)	(689,522)	(1,542,970)			
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Deposits to policyowner account balances	2,404,255	2,220,545	2,180,925			
Withdrawals from policyowner account balances	(1,576,963)	(1,825,472)	(1,630,869)			
Change in debt, net	(49,796)	(61,596)	(38,703)			
Dividends to shareholders	(15,737)	(15,658)	(15,536)			
Stock issued under various incentive plans, net of						
orfeitures	15,755	11,060	14,553			
Purchase of treasury stock	(9,153)		(114,638)			
Proceeds from issuance of OCEANs			179,593			
Proceeds from issuance of PRIDES		135,701				
Retirement of company-obligated mandatorily redeemable capital securities			(20,805)			
Adoption and allocation of shares in leveraged ESOP		1,597	1,333			
Fransfer of pension obligation to trustee		1,00,	(9,481)			
Other, net			(220)			
Net cash provided by financing activities	768,361	466,177	546,152			
Net increase in cash	204,291	171,538	(76,764)			
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	274,150	102,612	179,376			
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 478,441	\$ 274,150	\$ 102,612			
Supplemental disclosure of cash activities:						
nterest paid	\$ 30,965	\$ 32,516	\$ 25,408			
ncome taxes paid	\$ 31,510	\$ 25,422	\$ 22,351			
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash operating activities:	\$ 60.495	\$ 43,454	\$ 42.119			
Capitalization of bonus interest	\$ 60,495	Ф 43,434	\$ 42,119			

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

AmerUs Group Co. (Company) is a holding company whose subsidiaries are primarily engaged in the business of marketing, underwriting and distributing a broad range of individual life, annuity and insurance deposit products to individuals and businesses in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The Company has two reportable operating segments: protection products and accumulation products. The primary offerings of the protection products segment are interest-sensitive whole life, term life, universal life and equity indexed life insurance policies. Equity indexed life is a type of universal life or interest-sensitive whole life product. The primary offerings of the accumulation products segment are individual fixed annuities, including traditional fixed annuities and equity indexed annuities, and insurance contracts issued through funding agreements.

Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) which, as to the insurance company subsidiaries, differ from statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by regulatory authorities.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, principally, AmerUs Life Insurance Company (ALIC), AmerUs Annuity Group Co. and its subsidiaries (collectively, AAG, formerly AmVestors Financial Corporation (AmVestors)), AmerUs Capital Management Group, Inc. (ACM), and ILICO Holdings, Inc., the holding company of Indianapolis Life Insurance Company (ILIC) and its subsidiaries (collectively, ILICO). All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Effective on March 29, 2002, Western Security Life Insurance Company, a subsidiary of ILIC, was sold. The insurance business of Western Security Life Insurance Company was transferred to ILIC prior to the sale. The sale of the corporate organization and insurance licenses resulted in a gain of approximately \$1.9 million which is included in realized gains.

Certain amounts in the 2003 and 2002 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2004 financial statement presentation.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The valuation of financial instruments, derivatives, and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired are areas which involve a high degree of estimation to determine their reported amounts. These areas are described in more detail in the following policies.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, the Company includes cash and amounts due from other financial institutions and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions purchased with original maturities of three months or less in cash and cash equivalents. Amounts of interest-bearing deposits included as cash equivalents at December 31, 2004 and 2003 were \$144.1 million and \$123.6 million, respectively.

F-10

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Closed Block

The Company has established two Closed Blocks of policies: (1) on June 30, 1996 in connection with the reorganization of ALIC from a mutual company to a stock company, and (2) on March 31, 2000 in connection with the reorganization of ILIC from a mutual company to a stock company (collectively, the Closed Block). Insurance policies which had a dividend scale in effect as of each Closed Block establishment date were included in the Closed Block. The Closed Block was designed to give reasonable assurance to owners of insurance policies that, after the reorganization of ALIC and ILIC, assets would be available to maintain the dividend scales and interest credits in effect prior to the reorganization, if the experience underlying such scales and crediting continues. The assets, including revenue therefrom, allocated to the Closed Block will accrue solely to the benefit of the owners of policies included in the Closed Block until the Closed Block no longer exists. The Company will continue to pay guaranteed benefits under all policies, including policies included in the Closed Block, in accordance with their terms. In the event that the Closed Block is assets were insufficient to meet the benefits of the Closed Block is guaranteed benefits, general assets would be utilized to meet the contractual benefits of the Closed Block is policyholders.

A policyowner dividend obligation is required to be established for earnings in the Closed Block that are not available to shareholders. A model of the Closed Block was established to produce the pattern of expected earnings in the Closed Block. If actual cumulative earnings of the Closed Block are greater than the expected cumulative earnings of the Closed Block, only the expected cumulative earnings will be recognized in income with the excess recorded as a policyowner dividend obligation. This policyowner dividend obligation represents undistributed accumulated earnings that will be paid to Closed Block policyowners as additional policyowner dividends unless offset by future performance of the Closed Block that is less favorable than originally expected. If actual cumulative performance is less favorable than expected, only actual earnings will be recognized in income.

Investments

Investments in fixed maturity securities, equity securities and short-term investments that are to be held for indefinite periods of time are reported as securities available-for-sale and are reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at fair value. Any valuation changes resulting from changes in the fair value of these securities are reflected as a component of stockholders—equity. These unrealized gains or losses in stockholders—equity are reported net of taxes and adjustments to deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), value of business acquired (VOBA), capitalized bonus interest and policy reserves. Fixed maturity securities, equity securities and short-term investments that are bought and held principally to back our total return strategy fixed annuity products are reported as held for trading securities and are carried at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported in net income. Premiums and discounts on fixed maturity securities are amortized or accreted over the life of the related security as an adjustment to yield using the effective interest method. The accreted carrying value of investments in structured securities that can be contractually prepaid or settled in a way that substantially all of an investment may not be recovered is determined by updating the estimate for the amount and timing of cash flows with resulting adjustments in the accretable yield accounted for prospectively. Should this estimate of cash flows reflect an adverse change from an immediately preceding estimate, an other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) is deemed to have occurred and, accordingly, the structured security is written down to fair value with the change reflected in net income. For loan-backed and structured securities included in fixed maturity securities, income is recognized using a constant effective yield based on currently anticipated cash flows.

Securities are also reviewed to identify potential impairments. In determining if and when a decline in market value below amortized cost is other-than-temporary, we evaluate the market conditions, offering prices, trends of earnings, price multiples, and other key measures for our investments in marketable equity securities and debt instruments. For fixed maturity securities, our intent and ability to hold securities is also

F-11

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

considered. When such a decline in value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, we recognize an impairment loss in the current period net income to the extent of the decline.

Market values of fixed maturity securities are reported based on quoted market prices, where available. Market values of fixed maturity securities not actively traded in a liquid market are estimated by comparison to similar securities with quoted prices when possible. Otherwise, the most recent purchases and sales of similar unquoted securities, independent broker quotes or internally prepared valuations are used to estimate fair value. Internally prepared valuations use a matrix calculation assuming a spread (based on interest rates and a risk assessment of the bonds) over U.S. Treasury bonds. Market values of redeemable preferred stocks and equity securities are based on the latest quoted market prices, or for those not readily marketable, generally at values which are representative of the market values of comparable issues.

Loans are stated at cost less amortized discounts and allowances for possible losses. Policy loans are stated at their aggregate unpaid balances. Investments in loans are considered impaired when the Company determines that collection of all amounts due under the contractual terms is doubtful or carrying values exceed the fair value of applicable underlying collateral or assets. The Company adjusts such assets to their estimated net realizable value at the point at which it determines an impairment is other than temporary. Interest income on impaired mortgage loans is recognized when cash is received. In addition, the Company establishes a specific valuation allowance for loans when they are considered to be impaired.

The Company has issued funding agreements totaling \$960 million outstanding as of December 31, 2004, consisting primarily of six to ten year fixed rate insurance contracts. The assets backing the funding agreements are legally segregated and are not subject to claims that arise out of any other business of the insurance subsidiaries. The funding agreements are further backed by the general account assets of the insurance companies. The segregated assets and liabilities are included with general account assets in the financial statements. The funding agreements may not be cancelled by the holders unless there is a default under the agreements, but the Company may terminate the agreements at any time. The Company issued a \$250 million floating rate funding agreement in 1999. Under the transaction, a five-year floating rate insurance contract was issued to a commercial paper conduit which was swapped to a fixed-rate. The \$250 million funding agreement was terminated in December 2003

Other long-term investments include investments in partnerships, derivatives and other investments. Investments in partnerships in which the Company s ownership percentage exceeds 3% and joint ventures are generally accounted for under the equity method whereby the Company initially records the investment at cost. Subsequently, the Company increases or decreases the carrying amount of the investment for its share of income or loss of the investee. Investments in partnerships in which the Company s ownership percentage is less than 3% are generally accounted for under the cost method whereby dividends received by the investee are recognized as income. The Company is primarily a limited partner in such investments. These investments are shown as other investments and totaled approximately \$36.8 million and \$52.2 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Derivatives are primarily carried at fair value. Other investments are generally carried at amortized cost.

Realized gains and losses are included in earnings and are determined using the specific identification method.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company uses financial instruments, primarily options, futures and swaps to reduce its exposure to changes in interest rates and to manage duration mismatches. The Company also uses call options to hedge equity indexed products. The use of these financial instruments modifies the exposure of these risks with the intent to reduce the risk and variability to the Company. Although the Company is subject to the risk that

F-12

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

counterparties will fail to perform, credit standings of counterparties are monitored regularly. The Company is not a party to leveraged derivatives. Derivative instruments are included in other investments.

On the date a derivative contract is entered into, the Company designates the derivative as either (1) a hedge of a recognized asset or liability or an unrecognized firm commitment (a fair value hedge), (2) a hedge of a forecasted transaction or of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (a cash flow hedge), or (3) a natural hedging instrument whose change in fair value is recognized to act as an economic hedge against changes in the values of the hedged item and which does not meet the accounting hedge criteria for SFAS 133 (a natural hedge).

For fair value hedges, both the effective and ineffective portion of the changes in the fair value of the derivative, along with the gain or loss on the hedged item that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in earnings and reported net in the consolidated statements of income. The effective portion of the changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated as a cash flow hedge is recorded in AOCI. When the hedged cash transaction is realized, the gain or loss included in AOCI is reported net in the consolidated statements of income with the hedged cash transaction item. In addition, the ineffective portion of the changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are reported in net investment income in the consolidated statements of income. For derivatives designated as a natural hedge, changes in fair value are classified as realized/unrealized gains (losses) on investments in the consolidated statement of income.

The Company documents its hedge relationships, including identification of the hedging instruments and the hedged items, as well as its risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge transaction. Free standing derivatives are recorded in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value in other investments and option derivatives embedded in equity indexed products are marked to fair value and classified in the consolidated balance sheets as policy reserves and policyowner funds. This process includes linking derivatives that are designated as hedges of specific assets, liabilities, firm commitments or forecasted transactions. The Company also formally assesses at inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions, other than natural hedges, are highly effective in offsetting changes in either the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item. When a determination is made that a derivative ceases to be a highly effective hedge, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting for the derivative.

To manage interest rate risk, the Company entered into interest rate swaps that effectively fixed the interest payments of a floating rate funding agreement liability and other interest swaps that hedged market risk from fixed income securities. These interest rate swap agreements were accounted for as cash flow and fair value hedges, respectively. Generally credit default swaps are coupled with a bond to synthetically create an investment cheaper than the equivalent instrument traded in the cash market. The Company may use additional derivative instruments for hedging purposes.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Certain commissions, policy issue and underwriting costs, and other variable costs incurred to acquire or renew traditional life insurance, universal life insurance, equity indexed life and annuity products are deferred. The method of amortizing DAC for traditional life insurance products varies, dependent upon whether the contract is participating or non-participating. Participating contracts are those which are expected to pay dividends to policyowners in proportion to their relative contribution to the Company s statutory surplus. DAC for participating traditional life insurance is generally amortized over the life of the policies in proportion to the present value of estimated gross margins. Non-participating traditional life insurance DAC is amortized over the premium-paying period of the related policies in proportion to the ratio of annual premium revenues to total anticipated premium revenues using assumptions consistent with those used in computing policy benefit reserves. For universal life insurance and annuity products, DAC is generally amortized in proportion to the present value of estimated gross margins from surrender charges and investment, mortality, and expense

F-13

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

margins. The effect on the cumulative amortization of DAC for revisions in estimated future gross margins on participating traditional life, universal life, and annuity products is reflected in the period such estimates are revised. The DAC asset is also adjusted for the impact on estimated gross margins of net unrealized gains and losses on securities supporting such products.

Capitalized Bonus Interest

Bonus interest offered on certain annuity products is recognized as a policyowner liability as the sales inducement is accrued and credited to the policyowner s account. Bonus interest is capitalized as an asset if it is explicitly identified in the contract at inception, is incremental to amounts credited on similar contracts without sales inducements and is higher than the contract s expected ongoing crediting rates for periods after the inducement period. The amortization of capitalized bonus interest is expensed to policyowner benefits in proportion to the present value of estimated gross margins similar to DAC.

Value of Business Acquired

The portion of the purchase price from insurance companies allocated to the right to receive future cash flows from insurance contracts existing at the date of the acquisition is referred to as VOBA. This cost of policies purchased represents the actuarially determined present value of the projected future gross margins from the acquired policies.

The expected future gross margins used in determining such value are based on actuarially determined projections of future premium receipts, mortality, surrenders, operating expenses, changes in insurance liabilities, investment yields on the assets retained to support the policy liabilities and other factors. These projections take into account all factors known or expected at the valuation date, based on the judgment of management. The actual experience on purchased business may vary from projections due to differences in renewal premium, investment spread, investment gains or losses, mortality and morbidity costs and other factors.

The discount rate used to determine the value of policies purchased is the rate of return required in order to invest in the business being acquired. Factors in determining this rate include the cost of capital required to fund the acquisition; the acquired company s compatibility with other Company activities that may impact future gross margins; the complexity of the acquired company; and recent discount rates used by others to determine valuations to acquire similar blocks of business.

VOBA is amortized based on the incidence of the expected gross margins using the interest rate credited to the underlying policies. If gross margins differ from expectations, the amortization of the VOBA is adjusted. The VOBA asset is adjusted for the impact on estimated gross margins of net unrealized gains and losses on securities supporting the underlying business. Each year, the recoverability of the VOBA is evaluated and if the evaluation indicates that the existing insurance liabilities, together with the present value of future gross margins from the blocks of business acquired, is insufficient to recover the VOBA, the difference is charged to expense as an additional write-off of the VOBA.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the amount paid to acquire a company over the fair value of its net assets. Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis as of October 1, and between annual tests if indicators of potential impairment exist, using a fair-value-based approach. No impairment of goodwill has been identified during any period presented.

F-14

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost and is depreciated principally under the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Separate Account

Separate account assets and liabilities represent funds that are separately administered, principally for variable annuity contracts, and for which the contractholder, rather than the Company, bears the investment risk. Separate account contractholders have no claim against the assets of the general funds of the Company. Separate account assets are reported at fair value. The operations of the separate accounts are not included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Recognition of Revenues

Premiums for traditional life insurance products (including those products with fixed and guaranteed premiums and benefits and which consist principally of whole life insurance policies and certain annuities with life contingencies) are recognized as revenues when due. For limited payment life insurance policies, premiums are recorded as income when due with any excess profit deferred and recognized over the expected lives of the contracts. Amounts received as payments for universal life insurance policies (including equity indexed life products), annuity products (including deferred annuities, equity indexed annuities and annuities without life contingencies) and funding agreements are not recorded as premium revenue. Revenues for such contracts consist of policy charges for the cost of insurance, policy administration charges, and surrender charges assessed against policyowner account balances during the period. All insurance-related revenue is reported net of reinsurance ceded.

Future Policy Benefits

The liability for future policy benefits for traditional life insurance is computed using the net level method, utilizing the guaranteed interest and mortality rates used in calculating cash surrender values as described in the contracts. Reserve interest assumptions range from 2.0 percent to 7.5 percent. The weighted average interest rate for all traditional life policy reserves was 4.46 percent in 2004 and 2003 and 4.60 percent in 2002. Policy benefit claims are charged to expense in the period that the claims are incurred. All insurance-related benefits, losses, and expenses are reported net of reinsurance ceded.

Future policy benefit reserves for universal life insurance, equity indexed life, annuity products and funding agreements are computed under a retrospective deposit method and represent policy account balances before applicable surrender charges. Policy benefits and claims that are charged to expense include benefit claims incurred in the period in excess of related policy account balances. The weighted average interest crediting rates for universal life products were 4.62 percent in 2004, 4.96 percent in 2003 and 5.39 percent in 2002. The range of interest crediting rates for annuity products, excluding bonus interest payouts, was 3.00 to 7.20 percent in 2004, 2003, and 2002. The weighted average interest rate for fixed-rate and floating-rate funding agreements was 4.48 and 2.66 in 2004, and 4.69 and 1.17 in 2003, and 4.69 percent and 2.01 percent in 2002, respectively. An additional liability is established for universal life contracts with death or other insurance benefit features which is determined using an equally-weighted range of scenarios with respect to investment returns, policyowner lapses, benefit election rates, premium payment patterns and mortality. The additional liability represents the present value of future expected benefits based on current product assumptions.

Unearned revenue reserves are established for fees charged for insurance benefit features which are assessed in a manner that is expected to result in higher profits in earlier years followed by lower profits or

F-15

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

losses in subsequent years. The excess charges are deferred and amortized to income over the period benefited using the same assumptions and factors to amortize DAC.

The Company has equity indexed life and annuity products that guarantee the return of principal to the customer and credit interest based on certain indices, primarily the Standard & Poor s 500 Composite Stock Price Index ® (S&P 500 Index). A portion of the premium from each customer is invested in investment grade fixed income securities and is intended to cover the minimum guaranteed value due the customer at the end of the term. A portion of the premium is used to purchase call options to hedge the growth in interest credited to the customer as a direct result of increases in the related indices. The amounts to be paid or received pursuant to these agreements are accrued and recognized in income over the life of the agreements. Both call options held by the Company and the options embedded in the policy, which the Company has designated as a natural hedge, are valued at fair value. The change in fair value for the call options is included in realized/unrealized gains (losses) on investments and the change in fair value of the embedded options is included in policyowner benefits in the consolidated statements of income.

The Company has certain products that credit interest based on a total return strategy. Under the total return strategy, the policyowner is allowed to allocate their premium payments to different asset classes within the Company's general account assets to which the selected strategy is linked, less certain charges. The total return adjustment is paid when a policyowner accesses the funds. The Company guarantees a minimum return of premium plus approximately 3% interest per annum over the life of the contract. The general account assets backing the total return strategy of these products are fixed maturity securities and are designated by the Company as held for trading. Both the trading securities held by the Company and the product contracts are valued at fair value. The change in fair value for the trading securities is included in realized/unrealized gains (losses) on investments and the change in fair value of the contracts is included in policyowner benefits in the consolidated statements of income.

Reinsurance

The Company enters into reinsurance agreements with other companies in the normal course of business. The Company may assume reinsurance or cede reinsurance to other companies. Assets and liabilities related to reinsurance ceded are reported on a gross basis. Premiums and expenses are reported net of reinsurance ceded. The Company is contingently liable for the portion of the policies reinsured under each of its existing reinsurance agreements in the event the reinsurance companies are unable to pay their portion of any reinsured claim. Management believes that any liability from this contingency is unlikely. However, to limit the possibility of such losses, the Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors concentration of credit risk.

Participating Policies

Participating policies entitle the policyowners to receive dividends based on actual interest, mortality, morbidity, and expense experience for the related policies. These dividends are distributed to the policyowners through an annual dividend using current dividend scales which are approved by the board of directors. Approximately 44 percent in 2004 and 52 percent in 2003 of traditional life policies are currently paying dividends. Traditional life policies represent approximately 69 percent in 2004 and 72 percent in 2003 of the Company s individual life policies in force (based on face amounts).

Stock-Based Compensation

At December 31, 2004, the Company has stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in note 13. The Company primarily accounts for those plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted

F-16

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
	(\$ in th	nousands, except share	data)
Net income, as reported	\$192,642	\$161,147	\$62,866
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(3,986)	(4,087)	(5,094)
Pro forma net income	\$188,656	\$157,060	\$57,772
Earnings per share:			
Basic as reported	\$ 4.90	\$ 4.11	\$ 1.57
Danze as reported	Ψ 1.50	Ψ 1.11	Ψ 1.37
Basic pro forma	\$ 4.80	\$ 4.01	\$ 1.45
Diluted as reported	\$ 4.68	\$ 4.07	\$ 1.56
Diluted pro forma	\$ 4.59	\$ 3.96	\$ 1.43

Guaranty Fund Assessments

The Company is subject to insurance guaranty laws in the states in which it writes business. These laws provide for assessments against insurance companies for the benefit of policyowners and claimants in the event of insolvency of other life insurance companies. As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company has accrued for the gross amount of guaranty fund assessments for known insolvencies and has established an other asset for assessments expected to be recovered through future premium tax offsets.

Income Taxes

Generally, the Company and its non-life insurance subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. The life insurance subsidiaries file separate federal income tax returns, except for ILIC and Bankers Life Insurance Company of New York which together file a consolidated tax return beginning in 2002. In prior years, the life insurance subsidiaries filed separate federal income tax returns. The separate return method is used to compute the Company s provision for allocating federal income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes all changes in stockholders—equity during a period except those resulting from investments by and distributions to stockholders. Other comprehensive income (loss) excludes net realized investment gains included in net income which merely represent transfers from unrealized to realized gains and losses. Such amounts totaled \$35.6 million, \$28.2 million and (\$101.3) million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share of common stock are computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share assumes the issuance of

F-17

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

common shares applicable to stock options, warrants, $PRIDES^{SM}$ and the Company s Optionally Convertible Equity-linked Accreting Notes (OCEANsSM) and is calculated using the treasury stock method.

Diluted earnings per share applicable to the Company s PRIDES^M securities are determined using the treasury stock method as it is currently anticipated that holders of the PRIDES are more likely to tender cash in the future for the securities forward contract. The PRIDES added 833,715 shares and 72,151 shares to the diluted earnings per share calculation for the years ended 2004 and 2003, respectively. See further discussion of the PRIDES in note 8.

Diluted earnings per share applicable to the Company s OCEANs are determined using the guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board s Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 04-8 (EITF 04-8), The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share, which was effective for periods ending after December 15, 2004. EITF 04-8 requires diluted earnings per share to be computed following the guidance of EITF 90-19, Convertible Bonds with Issuer Option to Settle for Cash upon Conversion, for securities such as the OCEANs which are considered to be Instrument C securities. The conversion spread portion of an Instrument C security should be included in diluted earnings per share based on the number of shares that would be required to be delivered if the instrument had been converted at the end of the period. The OCEANs added 294,008 shares for the diluted earnings per share calculation for the year ended December 31, 2004 and added no shares for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002. EITF 04-8 required restatement of diluted earnings per share for all periods presented; however, there was no change to diluted earnings per share for 2003 or 2002. See further discussion of the OCEANs in note 8.

Accounting Changes

Effective January 1, 2004, the Company adopted Statement of Position 03-1 (SOP 03-1), Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Non-Traditional Long-Duration Insurance Contracts and for Separate Accounts, issued by the Accounting Standards Executive Committee of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The adoption of SOP 03-1 resulted in establishing additional policy reserve liabilities for fees charged for insurance benefit features which are assessed in a manner that is expected to result in profits in earlier years and losses in subsequent years. The total effect of adopting SOP 03-1 (including reinsurance recoverables) as of January 1, 2004 and the establishment of reinsurance recoveries, amounted to a decrease of \$0.8 million (\$0.5 million after-tax) in net income which has been reflected as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting. The basic and diluted earnings per common share for the change in accounting amounted to \$.01 for 2004.

In addition, the adoption of SOP 03-1 established guidance for the accounting and presentation of costs related to sales inducements. There was no change to the Company s method of accounting for sales inducements; however, the capitalized costs are now separately disclosed in the consolidated balance sheet and the related amortization expense is included in policyowner benefits in the consolidated statement of income. Prior to 2004, the capitalized costs were included in deferred policy acquisition costs and the amortization expense was included in the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. The 2003 amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2004 presentation.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board s Derivatives Implementation Group issued SFAS 133 Implementation Issue No. B36, Embedded Derivatives: Bifurcation of a Debt Instrument that Incorporates both Interest Rate Risk and Credit Risk Exposures that are Unrelated or Only Partially Related to the Creditworthiness of the Issuer of that Instrument, or DIG Issue B36. DIG Issue B36 applies to modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld arrangements where interest is determined by reference to a pool of fixed maturity assets or a total return debt index. DIG Issue B36 considers the reinsurer s receivable from the ceding company to contain an embedded derivative that must be bifurcated and accounted for separately under SFAS 133. The Company adopted DIG Issue B36 on October 1, 2003. See note 4 for additional information regarding the adoption of DIG Issue B36.

F-18

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. SFAS 142 adopts a nonamortization, impairment only model for the Company s goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. This includes a more stringent impairment test methodology for measuring and recognizing impairment losses. The Company accordingly discontinued amortization of goodwill on January 1, 2002.

Emerging Accounting Matters

EITF 03-1

In March 2004, the Emerging Issues Task Force of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) reached a final consensus on Issue 03-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, (EITF 03-1). EITF 03-1 established impairment models for determining whether to record impairment losses associated with investments in certain equity and debt securities. It also required income to be accrued on a level-yield basis following an impairment of debt securities, where reasonable estimates of the timing and amount of future cash flows can be made. EITF 03-1 indicated that, although not presumptive, a pattern of selling investments prior to the forecasted recovery may call into question an investor s intent to hold the security until its value recovers. EITF 03-1 was to be effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2004; however, in September 2004, the effective date of these provisions was delayed until the finalization of a FASB Staff Position (FSP) to provide further guidance on this topic.

EITF 04-8

In September 2004, the EITF reached a final consensus on Issue 04-8, The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share, (EITF 04-8). EITF 04-8 requires that contingently convertible debt be included in diluted earnings per share, if dilutive, regardless of whether the market price trigger has been met. The EITF is effective for periods ending after December 15, 2004 with the determination of the dilutive effect upon adoption to be based on the form of the instrument as it existed at the end of the quarter of adoption. This EITF applied to the Company s OCEANs. During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company exchanged the OCEANs which changed the security s conversion provisions. Previously, the OCEANs were to all be converted to shares of the Company s common stock; however, the exchanged OCEANs provide for cash to be paid equal to the accreted principal value and shares of the Company s common stock issued for the conversion value in excess of the accreted principal amount. The Company applied the restatement provisions of EITF 04-8 based on the new form of the exchanged OCEANs which resulted in no change in previously reported diluted earnings per share for 2002 or 2003.

FSP 97-1

In June 2004, the FASB issued Financial Staff Position FAS 97-1, Situations in Which Paragraphs of FASB Statement No. 97 Permit or Require Accrual of an Unearned Revenue Liability, (FSP 97-1). FSP 97-1 clarifies that SOP 03-1 did not restrict the recording of a liability for unearned revenue as defined in accordance with paragraphs 17(b) and 20 of SFAS 97, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments, to only those situations where profits are followed by expected losses. FSP 97-1 was effective for periods beginning after June 18, 2004. The adoption of FSP 97-1 did not have an effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations in 2004.

FSP 106-2

In May 2004, the FASB issued Financial Staff Position FAS 106-2, Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003,

F-19

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(FSP 106-2). FSP 106-2 requires sponsors of a post-retirement health care plan that provides retiree prescription drug benefit to reflect the provisions of the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Medicare Act) in determining post-retirement benefit cost for the first annual or interim period beginning after June 15, 2004. The Company has determined that the drug benefits provided under the post-retirement benefits program for Medicare eligible retirees are not actuarially equivalent to the benefit established by the Medicare Act, and therefore the Company will not be eligible to receive the federal subsidy. As a result, there was no effect on the consolidated financial position or result of operations in 2004.

SFAS 123R

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision to SFAS 123, Share-Based Payment, (SFAS 123R) which is a revision of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, (SFAS 123). SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on fair values. Pro forma disclosure of fair value information is no longer an alternative. The statement is effective in the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2005. Adoption is to be made using either the modified prospective method or the modified retrospective method. The modified prospective method recognizes cost based on the requirements for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and for awards granted prior to the effective date that remain unvested prior to the effective date. The modified retrospective method includes the requirements of the modified prospective method but also permits restatement of financial statements based on pro forma amounts previously recognized under SFAS 123. Restatement can either be for all prior periods presented or prior interim periods of the year of adoption. Early adoption is permitted. We continue to evaluate the impacts and timing of adopting SFAS 123R. We currently disclose the pro forma impacts of recognizing fair value as permitted by SFAS 123 in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. That disclosure reflects the Company s estimate of 2004 expense for share-based payments of approximately \$4.0 million (after-tax).

F-20

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(2) CLOSED BLOCK

The Closed Block is presented on a pre-tax basis and accordingly does not include current or deferred taxes. Summarized financial information of the Closed Block as of December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and for the years then ended is as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Liabilities:			
Future life and annuity policy benefits	\$2,804,222	\$2,845,365	\$2,840,041
Policyowner funds	8,096	9,232	4,400
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	32,140	44,473	44,295
Dividends payable to policyowners	161,475	173,703	147,177
Policy and contract claims	14,705	22,694	10,390
Policyowner dividend obligation	152,975	134,386	118,623
Total Liabilities	3,173,613	3,229,853	3,164,926
10th 2.00 miles			
Assets:			
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale at fair value	2,028,790	2,027,177	1,970,659
Mortgage loans	70,686	80,170	90,872
Policy loans	335,573	346,823	354,784
Other investments	34		1,047
Cash and cash equivalents	8,473	3,492	6,178
Accrued investment income	32,637	32,629	30,726
Premiums and fees receivable	59,369	55,134	16,999
Other assets	17	17	23,917
			-
Total Assets	2,535,579	2,545,442	2,495,182
Maximum future earnings to be recognized from assets and			
liabilities of the Closed Block	\$ 638,034	\$ 684,411	\$ 669,744

F-21

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Change in policyowner dividend obligation:		,	
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 134,386	\$ 118,623	\$ 61,486
Impact on net income before income taxes	2,795	8,345	(287)
Unrealized investment gains	15,794	7,418	57,424
Balance at end of year	\$ 152,975	\$ 134,386	\$ 118,623
Operations:			
Insurance premiums	\$ 193,313	\$ 211,966	\$ 265,586
Product charges	5,190	9,789	8,750
Net investment income	146,545	151,769	157,928
Realized gains (losses) on investments	(1,693)	9,326	(2,400)
Policyowner benefits	(225,408)	(251,872)	(284,821)
Underwriting, acquisition and other expenses	(4,896)	(4,114)	(4,843)
Dividends to policyowners	(76,256)	(87,388)	(95,443)
Contribution from the Closed Block before income taxes	\$ 36,795	\$ 39,476	\$ 44,757
Maximum future earnings from Closed Block assets and liabilities:			
Beginning of year	\$ 684,411	\$ 669,744	\$ 715,611
Pretax contribution from the Closed Block	(36,795)	(39,476)	(44,757)
Federal income taxes funded by the Closed Block	(9,582)	54,143	14,553
Acquisition of Closed Block and related adjustment			(15,663)
Balance at end of year	\$ 638,034	\$ 684,411	\$ 669,744
y	,	,	, -

F-22

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(3) INVESTMENTS Investment Assets

The Company s investments at December 31, 2004 and 2003, classified as securities available-for-sale, are summarized as follows:

1	n	n	4
4	0	v	4

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
		(\$ in the	ousands)	
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale:				
Corporate bonds	\$10,585,934	\$527,780	\$29,123	\$11,084,591
U.S. government bonds	283,064	5,181	1,186	287,059
State and political subdivisions	63,818	1,801	692	64,927
Foreign government bonds	110,337	5,124	89	115,372
Asset-backed bonds	509,059	10,620	1,228	518,451
Collateralized mortgage-backed securities	1,088,296	17,660	4,258	1,101,698
Mortgage-backed securities	1,889,249	24,522	3,937	1,909,834
Indexed debt instruments	571,965	1,728	8,973	564,720
Redeemable preferred stock	1			1
Total fixed maturities available-for-sale	\$15,101,723	\$594,416	\$49,486	\$15,646,653
Equity securities available-for-sale	74,769	2,468	213	77,024
Short-term investments available-for-sale	2,951	51	23	2,979
Total available-for-sale securities	\$15,179,443	\$596,935	\$49,722	\$15,726,656
	, ,	,	,	

2003

	Amortized Cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
		(\$ in the	ousands)	
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale:				
Corporate bonds	\$ 9,041,015	\$500,180	\$ 57,809	\$ 9,483,386
U.S. government bonds	484,829	17,131	1,653	500,307
State and political subdivisions	64,574	2,844	1,431	65,987
Foreign government bonds	123,360	5,474	291	128,543
Asset-backed bonds	447,390	13,563	1,448	459,505
Collateralized mortgage-backed securities	931,824	21,874	2,694	951,004
Mortgage-backed securities	1,835,775	27,657	7,950	1,855,482
Indexed debt instruments	404,383		8,258	396,125
Redeemable preferred stock	141,752	1	37,131	104,622

13,474,902	588,724	118,665	13,944,961
72,796	2,468	374	74,890
27,882	675	1	28,556
\$13,575,580	\$591,867	\$119,040	\$14,048,407
	72,796 27,882	72,796 2,468 27,882 675	72,796 2,468 374 27,882 675 1

F-23

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments in available-for-sale fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2004, are summarized by stated maturity as follows:

Amortized cost	Fair value	
(\$ in thou	isands)	
\$ 518,302	\$ 526,889	
2,759,526	2,899,486	
5,868,693	6,086,765	
4,065,953	4,223,679	
1,889,249	1,909,834	
\$15,101,723	\$15,646,653	
	\$ 518,302 2,759,526 5,868,693 4,065,953 1,889,249	

The foregoing data is based on the stated maturities of the securities. Actual maturities will differ for some securities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The Company holds fixed maturities available-for-sale investments in indexed debt instruments (IDIs) in which the principal is initially partially defeased by an obligation of a third party financial institution (institution) collateralized by U.S. Treasuries which will accrete to 50% of the original principal amount of the IDIs at maturity. The balance of the principal amount due at maturity is subject to a dynamic defeasance mechanism, which should provide a return of the initial investment. The instruments issued by the institutions are linked to the performance of a hedge fund or fund of funds. The annual income on these investments will be equal to the quarterly distribution of the hedge fund or fund of funds plus the change in the present value of anticipated distributions to be received at maturity and will be included in net investment income. Over the life of the IDIs, the income will be a function of the cumulative performance of the linked hedge fund or fund of funds and the return on any defeased portion of the investment. The quarterly distribution paid, if any, reduces the amount of future participation in the performance of the linked hedge fund or fund of funds. At maturity, the Company will take delivery of the referenced hedge fund interests and cash or U.S. Treasuries equal to the portion of the instruments that have been defeased, the total of which should equal or exceed the instruments principal amount. The investment purpose of these instruments is to enable the Company to obtain the return as if they had invested in hedge funds or fund of funds with dynamic principal protection. The instruments as of December 31, 2004 carried an A rating or better by Fitch.

Included in equity securities available-for-sale is Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) capital stock amounting to \$62.4 million and \$58.1 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. As a member of the FHLB, the Company is required to own the capital stock to utilize various FHLB financing and other services.

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, investments in fixed maturity securities with a carrying amount of \$37.1 million and \$39.6 million, respectively, were on deposit with state insurance departments to satisfy regulatory requirements.

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company held investments with JP Morgan Chase & Co. amounting to \$175.2 million and \$89.4 million, respectively, Bear Stearns Company amounting to \$163.1 million and \$170.7 million, respectively, and AG Deutsche Bank amounting to \$161.7 million and \$159.8 million, respectively. The investments are primarily IDIs and exceeded 10% of stockholders equity.

The Company participates in a securities lending program whereby certain fixed maturity securities from the investment portfolio are loaned to other institutions for a short period of time. The Company receives a fee

F-24

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

in exchange for the loan of securities and requires initial collateral equal to 102 percent of the market value of the loaned securities to be separately maintained. Securities with a market value of \$342.6 million and \$154.6 million were on loan under the program and the Company has cash collateral under its control of \$351.7 million and \$158.8 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The collateral held under the securities lending program has been included in cash and cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheet and the obligation to return the collateral upon the return of the loaned securities has been included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

The Company enters into securities borrowing arrangements from time to time whereby the Company borrows securities from other institutions and pays a fee. Securities borrowed amounted to \$138.2 million and none at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, and are also included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

Other investments include investments which are carried on the equity method of accounting. Distributions from such equity method investments amounted to \$0.6 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.8 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Major categories of investment income are summarized as follows:

Vears	ended	December	31.

	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 924,146	\$ 874,185	\$ 880,112
Equity securities	723	817	304
Mortgage Loans	69,144	74,739	77,592
Policy loans	30,147	30,473	31,170
Other	30,761	36,846	25,392
Gross investment income	1,054,921	1,017,060	1,014,570
Investment expenses	17,474	15,146	13,313
Net investment income	\$1,037,447	\$1,001,914	\$1,001,257

F-25

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses)

Realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments and provisions for loan losses are summarized as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
		(\$ in thousands)	
Available-for-sale securities:			
Fixed maturity securities			
Gross realized gains	\$ 27,374	\$ 184,996	\$ 141,687
Gross realized losses	(66,700)	(143,097)	(236,063)
Equity securities			
Gross realized gains	1,555	89	418
Gross realized losses		(211)	(155)
Other investments	(7,358)	(8,979)	(12,474)
Reduction in provision for loan losses	6,650	8,723	1,877
Realized/unrealized gains/(losses) on swaps	(2,620)	3,852	2,674
Realized/unrealized gains/(losses) on option assets	50,634	59,098	(42,942)
Realized/unrealized gains/(losses) on held for trading			
securities	8,533	26,820	(4,941)
	<u> </u>		
Total	\$ 18,068	\$ 131,291	\$(149,919)

The unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on invested assets available-for-sale is reported as a separate component of stockholders equity, reduced by adjustments to DAC, VOBA, future life and annuity policy benefits, and a provision for deferred income taxes. Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) attributable to the Closed Block amounting to \$124.7 million at December 31, 2004, \$108.9 million at December 31, 2003 and \$101.4 million at December 31, 2002 has been included in dividends payable to policyowners.

A summary of the components of the net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on invested assets carried at fair value and other components of accumulated other comprehensive income is as follows:

	December 31,		
	2004 20		
	(\$ in thousands)		
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation):			
Available-for-sale securities:			
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 544,930	\$ 470,059	
Equity securities	2,255	2,094	
Short-term investments	28	674	
Other investments	1,030	496	
Adjustments for assumed change in amortization of:			
DAC, capitalized bonus interest, and VOBA	(233,210)	(223,121)	
Policy reserves and policyowner funds	2,765	(1,109)	
Minimum pension liability adjustment	(16,973)	(10,468)	
Policyowner dividend obligation	(124,655)	(108,860)	

Deferred income taxes (61,500) (45,246)

\$ 114,670 \$ 84,519

F-26

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on fixed maturity securities was an increase of \$74.9 million, an increase of \$8.9 million and an increase of \$325.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively; the corresponding amounts for equity securities was an increase of \$0.2 million, an increase of \$1.4 million and an increase of \$0.4 million, respectively.

The following table shows gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, at December 31, 2004:

AFS Unrealized Loss as a Percentage of Amortized Cost December 31, 2004

	Less than	7 months	7-12	(\$ in m	nillions) More tha	n 12 months	Total	
Total Temporarily Impaired Securities:	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss
Corporate bonds	\$1,094.3	\$ 8.5	\$396.1	\$ 7.7	\$379.8	\$12.9	\$1,870.2	\$29.1
U.S. government bonds	37.0	0.2	47.4	1.0	0.4		84.8	1.2
State and political subdivisions			1.5		18.0	0.7	19.5	0.7
Foreign government bonds	12.4		1.9		0.2		14.5	
Asset-backed bonds	75.8	0.2	49.5	0.9	5.5	0.1	130.8	1.2
Collateralized mortgage-backed securities	257.6	2.4	76.6	1.6	8.5	0.3	342.7	4.3
Mortgage-backed securities	287.3	1.0	115.4	1.5	104.6	1.5	507.3	4.0
Indexed debt instruments			242.5	2.5	239.5	6.5	482.0	9.0
Equity securities	0.3	0.2					0.3	0.2
Short-term investments	12.9						12.9	
Total	\$1,777.6	\$12.5	\$930.9	\$15.2	\$756.5	\$22.0	\$3,465.0	\$49.7

	Less than	7 months	7-12 months		More tha	nn 12 months	Total	
Less Than 20% Loss Position:	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss
Corporate bonds	\$1,094.3	\$ 8.5	\$396.1	\$ 7.7	\$375.7	\$11.8	\$1,866.1	\$28.0
U.S. government bonds	37.0	0.2	47.4	1.0	0.4		84.8	1.2
State and political subdivisions			1.5		18.0	0.7	19.5	0.7
Foreign government bonds	12.4		1.9		0.2		14.5	
Asset-backed bonds	75.8	0.2	49.5	0.9	5.5	0.1	130.8	1.2
Collateralized mortgage-backed								
securities	257.6	2.4	76.6	1.6	8.5	0.3	342.7	4.3
Mortgage-backed securities	287.3	1.0	115.4	1.5	104.6	1.5	507.3	4.0
Indexed debt instruments			242.5	2.5	239.5	6.5	482.0	9.0
Short-term investments	12.9						12.9	
Total	\$1,777.3	\$12.3	\$930.9	\$15.2	\$752.4	\$20.9	\$3,460.6	\$48.4

F-27

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Less tha	nn 7 months 7-12 months		More than 12 months		Total		
20%-50% Loss Position:	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss
Corporate bonds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$4.1	\$1.1	\$4.1	\$1.1
Equity securities	0.3	0.2					0.3	0.2
		_			_	_	_	
Total	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$	\$	\$4.1	\$1.1	\$4.4	\$1.3
						_	_	

There were no available-for-sale securities that were in more than a 50% loss position at December 31, 2004.

The following table shows gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, at December 31, 2003:

AFS Unrealized Loss as a Percentage of Amortized Cost December 31, 2003

	Less than	Less than 7 months		(\$ in n 7-12 months		millions) More than 12 months		Total	
Total Temporarily Impaired Securities:	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	
Corporate bonds	\$1,618.3	\$31.3	\$275.5	\$15.8	\$118.0	\$10.6	\$2,011.8	\$ 57.7	
U.S. government bonds	156.5	1.7	0.4				156.9	1.7	
State and political subdivisions	18.8	1.4					18.8	1.4	
Foreign government bonds	51.2	0.3			1.3		52.5	0.3	
Asset-backed bonds	74.1	0.5	4.6		18.5	1.0	97.2	1.5	
Collateralized mortgage-backed securities	227.9	2.7					227.9	2.7	
Mortgage-backed securities	598.1	5.2	135.8	2.7	0.2		734.1	7.9	
Indexed debt instruments	240.9	3.7	157.6	4.6			398.5	8.3	
Redeemable preferred stock					104.6	37.1	104.6	37.1	
Equity securities			0.8	0.2	0.3	0.2	1.1	0.4	
Short-term investments	3.7						3.7		
Total	\$2,989.5	\$46.8	\$574.7	\$23.3	\$242.9	\$48.9	\$3,807.1	\$119.0	
						_			
]	F-28						

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Less than	7 months	7-12	months	More tha	n 12 months	To	otal
Less Than 20% Loss Position:	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss
Corporate bonds	\$1,618.3	\$31.3	\$275.5	\$15.8	\$109.8	\$8.2	\$2,003.6	\$55.3
U.S. government bonds	156.5	1.7	0.4				156.9	1.7
State and political subdivisions	18.8	1.4					18.8	1.4
Foreign government bonds	51.2	0.3			1.3		52.5	0.3
Asset-backed bonds	74.1	0.5	4.6		18.5	1.0	97.2	1.5
Collateralized mortgage-backed								
securities	227.9	2.7					227.9	2.7
Mortgage-backed securities	598.1	5.2	135.8	2.7	0.2		734.1	7.9
Indexed debt instruments	240.9	3.7	157.6	4.6			398.5	8.3
Equity securities			0.8	0.2			0.8	0.2
Short-term investments	3.7						3.7	
						_		
Total	\$2,989.5	\$46.8	\$574.7	\$23.3	\$129.8	\$9.2	\$3,694.0	\$79.3

	Less th	an 7 months	7-12	months	More tha	an 12 months	T	'otal
20%-50% Loss Position:	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss	Fair value	Gross unrealized loss
Corporate bonds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 8.2	\$ 2.4	\$ 8.2	\$ 2.4
Redeemable preferred stock					104.6	37.1	104.6	37.1
Equity securities					0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$113.1	\$39.7	\$113.1	\$39.7

There were no available-for-sale securities that were in more than a 50% loss position at December 31, 2003.

The evaluation of OTTI for fixed income securities follows a three-step process of 1) screen and identify; 2) assess and document; 3) recommend and approve. In identifying potential OTTI s, all securities that have a fair value less than 80% of amortized cost are screened. In addition, we monitor securities for general credit issues that have been identified and included on a watch list which may result in the potential impairment list including other securities that have a fair value at or greater than 80% of amortized cost. For asset backed securities, an impairment loss is established if the fair value of the security is less than amortized costs and there is an adverse change in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously projected.

The list of securities identified is subject to a formal assessment to determine if an impairment is other than temporary. Management makes certain assumptions or judgments in its assessment of potentially impaired securities including but not limited to:

Industry characteristics and trends, company to industry profile, quality of management

Financial conditions and trends including strength of balance sheet and financial liquidity

Significant events affecting the company and/or industry

Viability of the business model incorporating an evaluation of default probability and associated recoverable value

Length of time the fair value was below 80% of amortized cost

Ability and intent to retain the investment to maturity or for a sufficient period of time for it to recover

F-29

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

If the determination is that the security is OTTI, it is written down to fair value. The write-down is reviewed and approved by senior management. The difference between amortized cost and fair value is charged to net income.

During 2004 and 2003, the Company has recorded impairments on four issuers and twelve issuers of investments which were considered to be OTTI amounting to \$6.4 million and \$21.0 million, respectively.

Variable Interest Entities

The following is a description of those interests for which the Company is considered the primary beneficiary as well as those for which the Company is not the primary beneficiary. If an enterprise is considered to be a primary beneficiary, the variable interest entity is consolidated.

Interests as Primary Beneficiary

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company had investments in limited partnerships, for which the Company provides indirect management functions to a non-registered investment company that invests in convertible securities, which have been consolidated rather than carried as equity method investments. Consolidation of the investments resulted in an increase in total assets, primarily fixed maturity securities, and total liabilities, primarily other liabilities, of \$69.3 million and \$62.5 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. There was no change in stockholders equity or net income in either year. There is no recourse to the Company by creditors of the partnerships.

Interests Not as Primary Beneficiary

The Company holds residual interests in structures that contain first and second lien residential mortgages. The purpose of these structures is to provide a form of financing for the lender. The investments were made in 1999, 2000 and 2001. At December 31, 2003, the total assets of these structures were \$168.6 million and the Company s maximum exposure to loss was its carrying value of the residual interests, which was \$9.2 million. The residual interests were liquidated in 2004.

(4) DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company s outstanding derivative positions shown in notional or contract amounts, along with their carrying value and estimated fair values, are summarized as follows:

		December 31, 2004	
	Notional amount	Carrying value	Fair Value
		(\$ in thousands)	
Interest rate swaps	\$ 782,500	\$ 453	\$ 453
Credit default swaps	115,000	536	536
Options	3,200,051	159,997	159,997
	\$4,097,551	\$160,986	\$160,986

F-30

Table of Contents

AMERUS GROUP CO.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2003

	Notional amount	Carrying value	Fair value
		(\$ in thousands)	
Interest rate swaps	\$ 616,500	\$ 3,166	\$ 3,166
Credit default swaps	150,000	599	599
Options	1,836,783	134,942	134,942
•			
	\$2,603,283	\$138,707	\$138,707

The following table shows the maturities of derivative products as of December 31, 2004:

Maturity Schedule by Year for Derivative Products

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
				(\$ in thous	sands)				

Interest rate swaps: