

WATERS CORP /DE/
Form DEF 14A
March 31, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)**

**INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant ☐

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement
- ☐ Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ☒ Definitive Proxy Statement
- ☐ Definitive Additional Materials
- ☐ Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

WATERS CORPORATION
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ☒ No fee required
- ☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11
(Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

March 31, 2011

Dear Stockholder:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of Waters Corporation (Waters or the Company), I cordially invite you to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Meeting) of the Company to be held at Waters Corporation, 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757 on May 10, 2011 at 11:00 a.m., local time.

The notice of Meeting, Proxy Statement and proxy card from Waters are enclosed. You may also read the notice of Meeting, the Proxy Statement and the Waters Annual Report (Annual Report) on the Internet at <http://www.proxydocs.com/wat>.

Waters has adopted the Securities and Exchange Commission rule allowing companies to furnish proxy materials to their stockholders over the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process expedites stockholders receipt of proxy materials, lowers the costs and reduces the environmental impact of our annual meeting. On March 31, 2011, we mailed to stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice) containing instructions on how to access our Proxy Statement and Annual Report and vote by Internet. The Notice contains instructions on how you can (i) receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, if you only received a Notice by mail, or (ii) elect to receive your Proxy Statement and Annual Report over the Internet.

The matters scheduled to be considered at the Meeting are (i) to elect directors to serve for the ensuing year and until their successors are elected, (ii) to ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, (iii) to approve, by non-binding vote, executive compensation, (iv) to recommend, by non-binding vote, the frequency of executive compensation votes and (v) to consider and act upon any other matters which may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof. These matters are more fully explained in the Proxy Statement that you are encouraged to read in its entirety.

The Company s Board of Directors values and encourages stockholder participation at the Meeting. It is important that your shares be represented, whether or not you plan to attend the Meeting. Please take a moment to vote on the Internet, by telephone, or if you receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, sign, date and return your proxy card in the envelope provided even if you plan to attend the Meeting.

We hope you will be able to attend the Meeting.

Sincerely,

Douglas A. Berthiaume
*Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer*

Table of Contents

WATERS CORPORATION

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Meeting) of Waters Corporation (Waters or the Company) will be held at Waters Corporation, 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757 on May 10, 2011 at 11:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect directors to serve for the ensuing year and until their successors are elected;
2. To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011;
3. To approve, by non-binding vote, executive compensation;
4. To recommend, by non-binding vote, the frequency of executive compensation votes; and
5. To consider and act upon any other matters which may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

In accordance with the provisions of the Company s bylaws, the Company s Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 16, 2011 as the record date for the determination of the holders of common stock entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting.

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report and the means to vote by Internet are available at <http://www.proxydocs.com/wat>.

By order of the Board of Directors

Mark T. Beaudouin
Vice President
General Counsel and Secretary

Milford, Massachusetts
March 31, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Electronic Delivery of Waters Stockholder Communications</u>	2
<u>Voting</u>	3
<u>Proxy Statement</u>	4
<u>Voting Matters</u>	4
<u>Matters to be Acted Upon</u>	5
<u>Proposal 1 Election of Directors</u>	5
<u>Proposal 2 Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	7
<u>Proposal 3 Non-Binding Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	8
<u>Proposal 4 Non-Binding Vote on Frequency of Executive Compensation Vote</u>	9
<u>Proposal 5 Other Business</u>	10
<u>Directors Meetings and Board Committees</u>	10
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	11
<u>Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors</u>	15
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	17
<u>Risk Oversight</u>	17
<u>Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers</u>	19
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	35
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	36
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	37
<u>Stockholder Proposals</u>	37
<u>Stockholders Sharing an Address</u>	38

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF WATERS STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS

Notice of Electronic Availability of Proxy Statement and Annual Report

As permitted by Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, Waters is making this Proxy Statement and its Annual Report available to its stockholders electronically via the Internet. On March 31, 2011, we mailed to our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) containing instructions on how to access this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report and vote by Internet. If you received the Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials in the mail. Instead, the Notice instructs you on how to access and review all of the important information contained in the Proxy Statement and Annual Report electronically or to receive a printed version in the mail. The Notice also instructs you on how you may submit your proxy over the Internet or in person at the Meeting.

Important Notice Regarding Availability of Proxy Materials:

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at <http://www.proxydocs.com/wat>.

Whether or not you expect to attend the Meeting in person, we urge you to vote your shares by phone, via the Internet, or, if you receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, by signing, dating, and returning the proxy card by mail at your earliest convenience. This will ensure the presence of a quorum at the Meeting. Promptly voting your shares will save us the expense and extra work of additional solicitation. Submitting your proxy now will not

prevent you from voting your stock at the Meeting if you want to do so, as your vote by proxy is revocable at your option.

Table of Contents

VOTING

To ensure that your vote is recorded promptly, please vote as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the Meeting in person. Stockholders have three options for submitting their votes: (1) via the Internet, (2) by phone or (3) by mail, using a paper proxy card. If you have Internet access, we encourage you to record your vote on the Internet. It is convenient for you, and it saves the Company significant postage and processing costs. In addition, when you vote via the Internet or by telephone prior to the Meeting date, your vote is recorded immediately and there is no risk that postal delays will cause your vote to arrive late and therefore not be counted. Refer to your Notice, or the email you received for electronic delivery of the Proxy Statement for further instructions on voting.

VOTE BY INTERNET

<http://www.proxypush.com/wat>

24 hours a day/7 days a week

Use the Internet to vote your Proxy. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the website.

VOTE BY TELEPHONE

866-307-0858

toll-free 24 hours
a day/7 days a week

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote your Proxy. Have your Proxy card in hand when you call.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign, and date the proxy card and return it in the enclosed postage- paid envelope.

If you vote your proxy by Internet or by telephone, please do NOT mail back the proxy card. You can access, view and download this year's Proxy Statement and Annual Report at <http://www.proxydocs.com/wat>.

Table of Contents

**WATERS CORPORATION
34 Maple Street
Milford, Massachusetts 01757**

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

May 10, 2011, 11:00 a.m.

This Proxy Statement is being furnished by the Board of Directors (the "Board") of Waters Corporation ("Waters" or the "Company"), in connection with the Board's solicitation of proxies (each a "Proxy" and, collectively, "Proxies"), for use at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Meeting") to be held on May 10, 2011 at 11:00 a.m., local time, at the Company's headquarters located at 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757. Solicitation of Proxies, which is being made by the Board, may be made through officers and regular employees of the Company by telephone or by oral communications with stockholders following the original solicitation. No additional compensation will be paid to officers or regular employees for such Proxy solicitation. The Company has retained Alliance Advisors, LLC to do a broker solicitation for a fee of \$5,000, plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. Expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of Proxies will be borne by the Company.

VOTING MATTERS

The representation in person or by Proxy of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company, par value \$.01 per share, entitled to vote at the Meeting is necessary to provide a quorum for the transaction of business at the Meeting. Shares can only be voted if a stockholder is present in person, has voted via the Internet or by telephone, or is represented by a properly signed Proxy. Each stockholder's vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Meeting in person, please vote over the Internet or sign and promptly return the Proxy card, which requires no additional postage if mailed in the United States. All signed and returned Proxies will be counted towards establishing a quorum for the Meeting, regardless of how the shares are voted.

Shares represented by Proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. You may specify how you want your shares to be voted by voting on the Internet, by telephone, or marking the appropriate box on the Proxy card. If your Proxy card is signed and returned without specifying how you want your shares to be voted, your shares will be voted as recommended by the Board, or as the individuals named as Proxy holders on the Proxy deem advisable on all other matters as may properly come before the Meeting. The Proxy will be voted at the Meeting if the signer of the Proxy was a stockholder of record on March 16, 2011 (the "Record Date").

Any stockholder voting by Proxy has the power to revoke the Proxy prior to its exercise either by voting by ballot at the Meeting, by executing a later dated Proxy or by delivering a signed written notice of the revocation to the office of the Secretary of the Company at 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757 before the Meeting begins.

Representatives of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, are expected to be present at the Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make statements if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

As of the Record Date, there were 91,606,395 shares of Common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Meeting. Each outstanding share of Common stock is entitled to one vote. This Proxy Statement and form of Proxy is

first being made available to the stockholders of record on or about March 31, 2011. A list of the stockholders entitled to vote at the Meeting will be available for inspection at the Meeting and for ten days prior to the Meeting at the Company's headquarters for proper purposes relating to the Meeting.

Table of Contents

MATTERS TO BE ACTED UPON

PROPOSAL 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nine members of the Board (the Directors) are to be elected at the Meeting, each to hold office until his or her successor is elected and qualified or until his or her earlier resignation, death or removal. It is intended that the Proxies in the form enclosed with this Proxy Statement will be voted for the nominees set forth below unless stockholders specify to the contrary in their Proxies or specifically abstain from voting on this matter.

The following information pertains to the nominees, their ages, principal occupations and other public directorships for at least the last five years, and information regarding their specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that each such person should serve as a Director of the Company in light of the Company's business and structure.

Douglas A. Berthiaume, 62, has served as Chairman of the Board since February 1996 and has served as President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of the Company since August 1994 (except from January 2002 to March 2003, during which time he did not serve as President). From 1990 to 1994, Mr. Berthiaume served as President of the Waters Chromatography Division of Millipore Corporation, the predecessor business of the Company, which was purchased in 1994. Mr. Berthiaume is the Chairman of the Children's Hospital Trust Board, and a trustee of the Children's Hospital Medical Center, The University of Massachusetts Amherst Foundation, and a director of Genzyme Corporation. Through more than 25 years direct work experience at Waters and its predecessor company, Millipore, and as a director of Genzyme Corporation, Mr. Berthiaume brings to the Waters Board significant experience in both the business and technical issues facing life science/biotechnology companies.

Joshua Bekenstein, 52, has served as a Director of the Company since August 1994. He is a Managing Director of Bain Capital, LLC, where he has worked since its inception in 1984. Mr. Bekenstein is a director of Bombardier Recreational Products, Inc., Toys R Us, Bright Horizons Family Solutions, Inc., Dollarama, Michaels Stores, Inc., Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and Gymboree. Mr. Bekenstein's many years of experience both as a senior executive of a large investment firm and as a director of companies in various business sectors makes him highly qualified to serve on the Waters Board.

Michael J. Berendt, Ph.D., 62, has served as a Director of the Company since March 1998. Dr. Berendt is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Aegera Therapeutics Inc., a position he assumed in March 2006. From August 2004 to December 2005, Dr. Berendt served as Managing Director of Research Corporation Technologies. From November 2000 to August 2004, Dr. Berendt served as Managing Director of AEA Investors. Dr. Berendt also worked for 18 years, from 1982 to 2000, in the pharmaceutical industry where he served in a number of senior management positions including Senior Vice President of Research for the Pharmaceutical Division of Bayer Corporation, and a Group Director of Drug Discovery at Pfizer, Inc. Dr. Berendt has served as a director of Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Myriad Genetics, Inc., Catalyst Biosciences and Northstar Neuroscience. Dr. Berendt's experience in the pharmaceutical industry both from a management and a scientific perspective provides unique technical insight to the Waters Board.

Edward Conard, 54, has served as a Director of the Company since August 1994. Mr. Conard is an independent director and investor. He was a Managing Director of Bain Capital, LLC from March 1993 to December 31, 2007. Mr. Conard was previously a Director of Wasserstein Perella and Company, an investment banking firm that specializes in mergers and acquisitions, and a Vice President of Bain & Company heading up the firm's operations practice area. Mr. Conard is a director of Unisource Worldwide, Inc., Broder Brothers, Sensata Technologies, Inc. and

Boys & Girls Harbor. His years of experience as a director and a managing director of two large investment firms affords the Waters Board the benefit of Mr. Conard's considerable financial, accounting and business strategy skills.

Laurie H. Glimcher, M.D., 59, has served as a Director of the Company since January 1998. Dr. Glimcher has been Irene Heinz Given Professor of Immunology at the Harvard School of Public Health and Professor of Medicine at Harvard Medical School since 1991. Dr. Glimcher is a director of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. She is a Fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and a member of the National Academy of Sciences and the

Table of Contents

Institutes of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences. As a physician, scientist and professor, Dr. Glimcher brings a diversity of technical skills and experience to the Waters Board.

Christopher A. Kuebler, 57, has served as a Director of the Company since May 2006. Mr. Kuebler is an independent director and investor. He served as Chairman and CEO of Covance Inc., and its predecessor companies from November 1994 to December 2004 and as Chairman during 2005. Prior to joining Covance Inc., Mr. Kuebler spent nearly 20 years in the pharmaceutical industry at Abbott Laboratories, Squibb Inc. and Monsanto Health Care. Mr. Kuebler is a director of Nektar Therapeutics. With 30 years of experience in the pharmaceutical and pharmaceutical service industries, including 10 years as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Covance Inc., Mr. Kuebler brings an experienced management perspective to the Waters Board.

William J. Miller, 65, has served as a Director of the Company since January 1998. Mr. Miller is an independent director and investor. From April 1996 to November 1999, Mr. Miller served as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Avid Corporation, where from September 1996 to January 1999 he served as President. From March 1992 to September 1995, Mr. Miller served as Chief Executive Officer of Quantum Corporation. From May 1992 to September 1995, Mr. Miller served as a member of the Board of Directors of Quantum Corporation and from September 1993 to August 1995, he served as Chairman of the Board of Directors. From 1981 to March 1992, he served in various positions at Control Data Corporation, most recently as Executive Vice President and President, Information Services. Mr. Miller served as a director of Viewsonic Corporation from January 2004 to April 2008 and Overland Storage, Inc. from June 2006 to September 2009. Mr. Miller is a director of Nvidia Corporation, Digimarc Corporation, and Glue Mobile Inc. Mr. Miller's extensive experience as a former chief executive officer, director, and investor brings both management and stockholder perspectives to the Waters Board.

JoAnn A. Reed, 55, has served as a Director of the Company since May 2006. Ms. Reed is a health care services consultant and was an advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Medco Health Solutions, Inc. from April 2008 to April 2009. She served as Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Medco Health Solutions from 2002 to March 2008. From 1992 to 2002 she served as Senior Vice President, Finance of Medco Health Solutions. She joined Medco Containment Services, Inc. in 1988. Her prior experience includes employment with CBS, Inc., Aetna/American Re-insurance Co., Standard and Poor's, and Unisys/Timeplex. Ms. Reed is a director of both American Tower and Dynavox and a trustee of St. Mary's College of Notre Dame. Ms. Reed's extensive experience as a senior financial executive provides the Waters Board with significant accounting, finance and health care industry expertise.

Thomas P. Salice, 51, has served as a Director of the Company since July 1994. Mr. Salice is a co-founder and principal of SFW Capital Partners, LLC, a private equity firm. He has served as a Managing Member of SFW Capital Partners since January 2005. From June 1989 to December 2004, Mr. Salice served in a variety of capacities with AEA Investors, Inc., including Managing Director, President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice-Chairman. Mr. Salice has a Masters in Business Administration from Harvard University. Mr. Salice is a Director of Mettler-Toledo International, Inc. and Agdata, L.P. With more than 20 years of experience in the private equity business, Mr. Salice brings to the Waters Board in-depth experience in strategic planning, finance, capital structure and mergers and acquisitions.

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

With respect to the election of Directors of the Company, a nominee for director shall be elected to the Board by a majority vote (i.e. the votes cast for such nominee exceed the votes cast against such nominee), except that Directors will be elected by plurality vote at any meeting of stockholders for which the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected. If an incumbent director fails to be re-elected by a majority vote when such a vote is required and offers to resign, and if that resignation is not accepted by the Board, such director shall continue to serve

until the next annual meeting and until his or her successor is duly elected, or his or her earlier resignation or removal. If an incumbent director's resignation is accepted by the Board, or if a nominee for director is not elected and the nominee is not an incumbent director, then the Board, in its sole discretion, may fill any resulting vacancy.

Abstentions and shares with respect to which a broker or representative does not vote on a particular matter because it does not have discretionary voting authority on that matter (so-called broker non-votes) will be counted

Table of Contents

as present for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present but will not be treated as shares cast with respect to any nominee and therefore will not have an effect on the determination of whether a nominee has been elected.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH NOMINEE FOR DIRECTOR SET FORTH ABOVE.

PROPOSAL 2. RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board has selected PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to audit the books, records and accounts of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. In accordance with a vote of the Audit Committee and as approved by the Board, this selection is being presented to the stockholders for ratification at the Meeting.

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present at the Meeting in person or represented by Proxy and entitled to vote on the matter is required to approve the proposal. Abstentions will be counted as present for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present and will be treated as shares present and entitled to vote, but will not be treated as an affirmative vote in favor of the proposal and therefore will have the effect of a vote against the proposal. Ratification by stockholders is not required. If this Proposal 2 is not approved by the stockholders, the Audit Committee does not intend to change the appointment for fiscal year 2011, but will consider the stockholder vote in selecting an independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2012.

Fees

The aggregate fees for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, were as follows:

	2010	2009
Audit Fees	\$ 3,257,004	\$ 3,401,336
Audit-Related Fees	35,076	38,371
Tax Related Fees		
Tax Compliance	433,439	627,751
Tax Planning	295,412	335,869
Total Tax Related Fees	728,851	963,620
All Other Fees	1,500	1,500
Total	\$ 4,022,431	\$ 4,404,827

Audit Fees consists of fees for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements, review of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports, assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC, and services that are normally provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, and attest services, except those not required by statute or regulation.

Audit-Related Fees consists of fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's consolidated financial statements and are not reported under **Audit Fees**. These services include employee benefit plan audits, acquisition-related services, attest services not required by statute or regulation, and accounting consultations and reviews for various matters.

Tax Related Fees consists of fees for tax compliance and planning services. Tax compliance fees include fees for professional services related to international tax compliance and preparation. Tax planning fees consist primarily of fees related to the impact of acquisitions and restructuring on international subsidiaries.

All Other Fees consists of fees for all other permissible services other than those reported above.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee pre-approved 100% of the services listed under the preceding captions Audit Fees , Audit-Related Fees, Tax Related Fees and All Other Fees. The Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures are more fully described in its report set forth in this Proxy Statement.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.

PROPOSAL 3. NON-BINDING VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), the stockholders of Waters are entitled to cast an advisory vote at the annual meeting to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the stockholder vote is an advisory vote only and is not binding on Waters or its Board.

Although the vote is non-binding, the Compensation Committee and the Board value your opinions and will consider the outcome of the vote in establishing compensation philosophy and making future compensation decisions.

As described more fully in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis in the Summary Compensation Table and subsequent tables, the Company's named executive officers are compensated in a manner consistent with our business strategy, competitive practice, sound compensation governance principles, and stockholder interests and concerns. Our compensation policies and decisions are focused on pay-for-performance.

The compensation of our named executive officers during fiscal 2010 is consistent with prior years and the following financial achievements and performance:

The 2010 target performance goal of non-GAAP earning per diluted share (E.P.S.) was 15% growth or \$3.97 over 2009's non-GAAP E.P.S. of \$3.45. Non-GAAP E.P.S. is defined as E.P.S. in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), adjusted for items that management believes are not directly related to ongoing operations. These items are described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis under the heading of Philosophy and Objectives of Waters Executive Compensation Program. In 2010 the Company achieved non-GAAP E.P.S. of \$4.09 which represents a 19% increase over 2009's non-GAAP E.P.S.

Non-GAAP operating income of \$467,908,000 which exceeded the minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold of \$429,446,000 required for an annual incentive payout and which represents an increase of 12% over 2009 non-GAAP operating income of \$416,938,000;

A reconciliation of non-GAAP E.P.S. and non-GAAP operating income is included in our press release and Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 25, 2011.

Waters also has several compensation governance programs in place as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis to manage compensation risk and align Waters' executive compensation with long-term stockholder interests. These programs include:

stock ownership guidelines;

an independent compensation committee and compensation committee consultant; and

a compensation recoupment policy.

We are requesting your non-binding vote on the following resolution: Resolved, that the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as described in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis in the Summary Compensation Table and subsequent tables, is approved.

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Waters common stock present or represented by proxy and voting at the annual meeting, is required for approval on an advisory basis, of this proposal. If you own shares

Table of Contents

through a bank, broker or other holder of record, you must instruct your bank, broker or other holder of record how to vote in order for them to vote your shares so that your vote can be counted on this proposal.

Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RESOLUTION.

PROPOSAL 4. NON-BINDING VOTE ON FREQUENCY OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION VOTE

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the Company also is required to seek a non-binding advisory stockholder vote regarding the frequency of submission to stockholders of a Say-on-Pay advisory vote as described in Proposal 3. The Dodd-Frank Act specifies that stockholders be given the opportunity to vote on our executive compensation programs either annually, every two years or every three years. Although this vote is advisory and non-binding, our Board will review voting results and give serious consideration to the outcome of such voting.

The Board believes that a Say-on-Pay vote once every three years is most appropriate for the Company, for the following reasons:

For the past fifteen years, the Compensation Committee has established a goal of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth over the prior year's actual non-GAAP E.P.S. growth as the performance required for a target payout to named executive officers under the Company's annual Management Incentive Plan (MIP). This standard of performance has been maintained during difficult economic cycles such as 2009. A vote every three years is consistent with the long-term view taken by the Committee in setting annual performance goals for named executive officers.

Our equity-based compensation focuses senior management on objectives which provide long-term stockholder value, including the use of stock options that vest over a five-year period. A vote every three years more closely aligns with that focus than would an annual vote.

By the same token, our executive compensation plans have been very stable for many years, reflecting our long-term focus. We are concerned that annual changes in response to voting outcomes influenced by fluctuations in our results may not be consistent with our long-term focus. We hope that a vote every three years would encourage our stockholders to share our long-term focus.

We welcome an ongoing dialogue with our stockholders about executive compensation, which we think can be more productive and less potentially disruptive than a formal annual vote that would fail to convey specific concerns. We describe means for stockholders to communicate with our Board below, under Corporate Governance Stockholder and Board Communications.

The Board recognizes that there are respectable arguments in favor of each of the three possible choices for which stockholders may express a preference. While the Board believes that a vote once every three years is the best choice for the Company, you are not voting to approve or disapprove our recommendation, but rather to express your preference among the three choices. You may also abstain from voting on this item.

The Board asks you to consider the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the option for once every one, two or three years that receives the highest number of votes properly cast for this resolution will be determined to be the preferred frequency recommended by the stockholders of the Company with which the Company is to hold a non-binding, advisory stockholder vote to approve the compensation

of the Company's named executive officers, including the Summary Compensation Table and subsequent tables.

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The selection which receives the highest number of stockholder votes will be the selection of stockholders, which will be non-binding. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on this proposal.

Table of Contents

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU CAST YOUR VOTE FOR A SAY-ON-PAY RESOLUTION EVERY THREE YEARS.

PROPOSAL 5. OTHER BUSINESS

The Board does not know of any other business to be presented at the Meeting. If any other matters properly come before the Meeting, however, it is intended that the persons named in the enclosed form of Proxy will vote said Proxy in accordance with their best judgment.

DIRECTORS MEETINGS AND BOARD COMMITTEES

Meetings

The Board held five meetings during the year ended December 31, 2010. The Board has determined that each Director other than Mr. Berthiaume, the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, has no material relationship with the Company and otherwise qualifies as independent under applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's independence criteria, which are summarized under the Corporate Governance section below.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee currently consists of Dr. Michael J. Berendt (Chair), Dr. Laurie H. Glimcher, and Mr. Thomas P. Salice. The responsibilities of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee include the recruitment and recommendation of candidates for the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may, as it deems appropriate, give consideration to any candidates suggested by the stockholders of the Company. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also develops and recommends to the Board the Corporate Governance Guidelines for the Company. The charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which sets forth all of the Committee's functions, is available at the Company's website at <http://www.waters.com> under the caption Governance. Each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is independent under applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's independence criteria, which are summarized under the Corporate Governance section below.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee, which currently consists of Mr. Thomas P. Salice (Chair), Mr. Edward Conard, Mr. William J. Miller and Ms. JoAnn A. Reed, oversees the activities of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The Audit Committee meets the definition of Audit Committee as defined in Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). The Audit Committee recommends the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm, and performs certain other functions pursuant to its charter, a copy of which is available at the Company's website at <http://www.waters.com> under the caption Governance. Each member of the Audit Committee is independent under SEC rules and the applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's independence criteria, which are summarized under the Corporate Governance section below. The Board has determined that each of the four members of the Audit Committee Messrs. Salice, Conard and Miller and Ms. Reed is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the SEC rules and has accounting or related financial management expertise within the meaning of New York Stock Exchange rules.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee, which currently consists of Mr. William J. Miller (Chair), Mr. Joshua Bekenstein, Mr. Christopher A. Kuebler and Mr. Thomas P. Salice, approves the compensation of executives of the Company, makes recommendations to the Board with respect to standards for setting compensation levels and administers the Company's incentive plans. The Compensation Committee's charter is available at the Company's website at <http://www.waters.com> under the caption Governance. Each member of the Compensation

Table of Contents

Committee is independent under applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's independence criteria, which are summarized under the Corporate Governance section below.

During fiscal year 2010, each of the Company's Directors attended in excess of 75% of the aggregate of the meetings of the Board and the meetings of committees of the Board of which such Director was a member. During fiscal year 2010, the Compensation Committee met two times, the Audit Committee met seven times and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met two times. The Company does not have a formal policy, but encourages Director attendance at annual stockholder meetings. All Directors attended the 2010 annual meeting of stockholders.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Annual Evaluation

During 2010, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board conducted its annual comprehensive evaluation of the Board and each of its committees. The evaluation, in the form of a questionnaire, was circulated to all members of the Board and each committee in November 2010. The Company's General Counsel received all of the questionnaires, compiled the results and circulated them to the Board and each committee for discussion and analysis during January and February 2011. It is the intention of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to continue to engage in this process annually.

Related Party Transactions Policy

The Board has adopted a Related Party Transactions Policy, which covers Interested Transactions between a Related Party or parties and the Company. An Interested Transaction is a transaction or arrangement in which the aggregate amount involved will or may be expected to exceed \$120,000 in any calendar year and in which the Company and/or any Related Party may have an interest. A Related Party includes an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director of the Company, any holder of more than a 5% beneficial interest in the Company, any immediate family member of any of the foregoing or any firm, corporation or entity in which any of the foregoing persons is employed or is a general partner or principal or in which such person or persons collectively have a 10% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

Pursuant to the policy, the General Counsel is responsible for identifying potential Interested Transactions and determining whether a proposed transaction is an Interested Transaction and accordingly, reportable to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for consideration at its next regularly scheduled meeting. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review the material facts of all Interested Transactions and report its recommendations to the Board which will either approve or disapprove the Interested Transaction.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board have reviewed and determined that certain categories of Interested Transactions are deemed to be pre-approved or ratified (as applicable) by the Board under the terms of the policy. These are: (a) the employment and compensation arrangements of executive officers required to be reported in the Company's Proxy Statement; (b) Director compensation required to be reported in the Company's Proxy Statement; (c) ordinary course charitable contributions periodically reviewed by the Compensation Committee of the Board; and (d) ordinary course business transactions conducted on an arm's length basis with each of Genzyme Corporation (of which Mr. Berthiaume is a director) and Bristol-Myers Squibb Corporation (of which Dr. Glimcher is a director).

Equity Ownership Guidelines

Increasingly, stockholders of public companies are focusing on the amount of equity ownership by directors and officers of the companies in which they invest. In order to more closely align the interests of the Company's stockholders with those of management, the Company has minimum stock ownership guidelines for Directors and executive officers. These guidelines provide for the accumulation by the Chief Executive Officer of Common stock equal to five times his base salary over a three year period, which requirement also applies to any successor to the Chief Executive Officer. Additionally, members of the Company's Executive Committee, Messrs. Caputo, Ornell,

Table of Contents

Beaudouin and Ms. Rae, are each required to accumulate Common stock equal to two times their base salary over a five year period.

If, after the initial three or five year period of accumulation, as the case may be, any such executive officer shall become non-compliant with the guidelines, he or she shall have a period of twelve (12) months to again come into compliance with the guidelines. If, after such twelve month period, any such executive officer remains non-compliant, then, with respect to any subsequent exercise of a stock option by such executive officer, fifty percent (50%) of such executive's net after tax profit from such exercise shall be retained in shares of Common stock until compliance with the guidelines is achieved. Exceptions to these equity ownership guidelines may be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee with respect to individual financial situations of current or future executives covered by the guidelines. For purposes of the accumulation of shares of Common stock to comply with these guidelines, in addition to any direct ownership of shares of Common stock by an executive officer or Director, any shares of restricted stock and vested in-the-money stock options, which either were or will be granted by the Company to such executives or Directors, shall apply toward the satisfaction of the guidelines. Pursuant to the guidelines, members of the Board are required to accumulate a minimum of 5,000 shares of common stock of the Company over a five year period. The ownership guidelines have been met by all board members and the named executive officers (as defined below).

Board Leadership Structure

As stated in the Company's Corporate Governance guidelines, the Board has no set policy with respect to the separation of the offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, but instead makes a particular determination in the context of selecting a chief executive officer. Douglas A. Berthiaume has served as both Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since 1996.

Since 2004, Thomas P. Salice, an independent director, has served as the Board's lead director. In that capacity, he presides over executive sessions of the non-management Directors of the Board and provides a focal point for and facilitates communication among non-management Directors, Company management and Company stockholders.

The Board believes that, during the tenure of Mr. Berthiaume, combining the offices of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer has served the Company well, fostering strong and consistent leadership. The lead independent director's responsibilities increased in 2004 facilitating an appropriate balance between such leadership and independent and effective oversight of the Company's affairs.

Majority Voting

In 2006, following a review of public company trends and corporate governance practices, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended and the Board approved majority voting for Directors and the by-laws of the Company were appropriately amended. The description of the Company's majority voting provisions can be found under Proposal 1. Election of Directors herein.

Guidelines and Code of Conduct

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for employees, executive officers and Directors and a whistleblower policy regarding the treatment of complaints on accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters. All of these documents are available on the Company's website at <http://www.waters.com> under the caption Governance and copies may be obtained, without charge, upon written request to the Company, c/o Secretary, 34 Maple Street, Milford, MA 01757.

Board Candidates

With respect to potential candidates to serve on the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers suggestions from a variety of sources, including stockholders. Any nominations of candidates, together with appropriate biographical information, should be submitted in accordance with the Company's by-laws to the Company, c/o Secretary, 34 Maple Street, Milford, MA 01757.

Table of Contents

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that candidates for service as a Director of the Company should meet certain minimum qualifications. In selecting Directors, the Board seeks individuals who are highly accomplished in their respective fields, with superior educational and professional credentials. Candidates should satisfy the Company's independence criteria, which are part of its Corporate Governance Guidelines and summarized below and the applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. In assessing candidates for Director, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider their skills, experience and diversity in the context of the overall composition of the Board.

The Company has a process for identifying and selecting candidates for Board membership. Initially, the Chairman/CEO, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or other Board members identify a need to either expand the Board with a new member possessing certain specific characteristics or to fill a vacancy on the Board. A search is then undertaken by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, working with recommendations and input from Board members, members of senior management, professional contacts, external advisors, nominations by stockholders and/or the retention of a professional search firm, if necessary. An initial slate of candidates is identified that will satisfy the criteria for Board membership and is presented to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for review. Upon review by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, a series of interviews of one or more candidates is conducted by the Chairman/CEO and at least one member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. During this process, the full Board is informally apprised of the status of the search and its input is solicited.

Upon identification of a final candidate, the entire Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will meet to consider the credentials of the candidate and thereafter, if approved, will submit the candidate for approval by the full Board.

As noted above, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, in assessing candidates for director, considers their skills, experience and diversity in the context of the Board's overall composition. The Company does not, however, have a specific policy with respect to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees.

Board/Director Independence

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines also include criteria adopted by the Board to assist it in making determinations regarding the independence of its members. The criteria, summarized below, are consistent with the New York Stock Exchange listing standards regarding director independence. To be considered independent, the Board must determine that a director does not have a material relationship, directly or indirectly, with the Company. A director will not be considered independent if he or she, or an immediate family member, has been within the last three years:

- an executive officer of the Company;

- a current partner or employee of an internal or external auditor of the Company or a partner or employee of an internal or external auditor of the Company who personally worked on the Company's audit;

- an executive officer of a public company that was on the compensation committee of its board;

- a paid advisor or consultant to the Company receiving in excess of \$100,000 per year in direct compensation from the Company (other than fees for service as a director) within the past three years or has an immediate family member who has been a paid advisor or consultant to the Company; and

an employee (or in the case of an immediate family member, an executive officer) of a company that does business with the Company and the annual payments to or from the Company exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company's annual gross revenues.

In addition, a director will not be considered independent if he or she, or an immediate family member, has been an executive officer of a tax-exempt entity that receives contributions in any fiscal year from the Company exceeding the greater of \$1 million or 2% of its gross revenues. A director also will not be considered independent if he or she has an immediate family member who is a current employee of an internal or external auditor of the Company who participates in such firm's audit, assurance or tax compliance practice.

Table of Contents

The Board has determined that each Director, other than Mr. Berthiaume, the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, has no material relationship with the Company and otherwise qualifies as independent under applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

Stockholder and Board Communications

With respect to communications with the Board on general matters, stockholders and interested parties may communicate directly with the lead director or with the non-management Directors as a group by writing to Waters Corporation, c/o Secretary, 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757. Any such communication should include the name and return address of the stockholder, the specific Director or Directors to whom the contact is addressed and the nature or subject matter of the contact. All communication will be sent directly to the appropriate Board member.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or filed or incorporated by reference in future filings with the SEC, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that Waters specifically incorporates it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

During 2010, the Audit Committee of the Board, in conjunction with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, focused on the following items:

1. Compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Act) and the adequacy of Company internal controls;
2. The appropriateness of Company financial reporting and accounting processes;
3. The independence and performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm;
4. Company compliance with laws and regulations; and
5. Review of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm's quality control procedures.

The Company retains Ernst & Young LLP to assist in elements of continuing compliance with Section 404 of the Act. The Company's compliance with Section 404 of the Act is managed primarily by the Company's Vice President, Audit & Risk Management in conjunction with the Company's Chief Financial Officer and its Vice President, Corporate Controller. During 2010, the Audit Committee received regular and detailed briefings from the Company's Vice President, Audit & Risk Management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP regarding the Company's compliance with Section 404 of the Act. On February 16, 2011, the Company's Vice President, Audit & Risk Management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP reported to the Audit Committee that no material weaknesses had been identified in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010.

The Board has adopted a written charter setting out more specifically the functions that the Audit Committee is to perform. The charter is reviewed on an annual basis by the Committee and the Committee is advised as to any corporate governance developments which may warrant charter amendments. No such charter amendments were made in 2010. The charter is available at the Company's website at <http://www.waters.com> under the caption Governance. A discussion of the Audit Committee's role in risk oversight can be found under the heading Risk Oversight Board's Role in Risk Oversight Generally below.

As stated in its charter, the Audit Committee is tasked with, among other things, reviewing with management the Company's guidelines and policies with respect to its approach to risk assessment and risk management. In addition, major financial risk exposures and means of monitoring and controlling these exposures, is to be discussed with management.

The Audit Committee held seven meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. The Committee reviewed on a quarterly basis, with members of the Company's management team, the Company's quarterly and annual financial results prior to the release of earnings and the filing of the Company's quarterly and annual financial statements with the SEC. The Board has determined that each of the four current members of the Audit Committee—Mr. Salice (Chair), Mr. Conard, Mr. Miller and Ms. Reed—is an audit committee financial expert as defined under applicable rules and

regulations of the SEC and has accounting or related financial management expertise within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange rules. Company management has primary responsibility for the financial statements and reporting processes. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, audits the annual financial statements and is responsible for expressing an opinion on their conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Audit Committee has adopted the following guidelines regarding the engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to perform non-audit services for the Company:

Company management will submit to the Audit Committee for approval a list of non-audit services that it recommends the Committee engage its independent registered public accounting firm to provide from time to time during the fiscal year and an estimated amount of fees associated with such services. Company management and the

Table of Contents

Company's independent registered public accounting firm will each confirm to the Audit Committee that each non-audit service on the list is permissible under all applicable legal requirements. The Audit Committee will, in its discretion, either approve or disapprove both the list of permissible non-audit services and the estimated fees for such services. The Audit Committee will be informed routinely as to the non-audit services actually provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to this pre-approval process and the actual expenditure of fees associated therewith as well as new non-audit services being requested for approval.

To ensure prompt handling of unexpected matters, the Audit Committee delegates to its Chairman the authority to amend or modify the list of approved permissible non-audit services and fees. The Chairman will report action taken to the Audit Committee at the next Audit Committee meeting.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and the Company ensure that all audit and non-audit services provided to the Company have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee hereby reports for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 that:

1. It has reviewed and discussed the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 with Company management;
2. It has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP those matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (Codification of Statement on Auditing Standards, AU § 380) as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) in rule 3200T;
3. It has received from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP written disclosures and a letter required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence;
4. It has considered whether, and determined that, the provision of non-audit services to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as set forth below, was compatible with maintaining auditor independence; and
5. It has reviewed and discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its internal quality control procedures, and any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality control review, or peer review, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities within the preceding five years.

Based on the items reported above, on February 16, 2011, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the Company's audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 for filing with the SEC. The recommendation was accepted by the Board on the same date.

Mr. Thomas P. Salice

Mr. Edward Conard

Mr. William J. Miller

Ms. JoAnn A. Reed

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee currently consists of Mr. Joshua Bekenstein, Mr. Christopher A. Kuebler, Mr. William J. Miller (Chair), and Mr. Thomas P. Salice. During fiscal year 2010, no member of the Compensation Committee was an officer or employee of the Company or served as a member of the Board or Compensation Committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as members of the Waters Board or its Compensation Committee and no executive of the Company served on the Compensation Committee or Board of Directors of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on the Waters Board or Compensation Committee.

RISK OVERSIGHT

Board's Role in Risk Oversight Generally

Included in the Company's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2010 are the risk factors affecting the Company which are periodically reviewed by the Board and the Audit Committee and updated or expanded as warranted. Additionally, the Company has an Enterprise Risk Management program under the direction of the Director of Treasury and Risk Management and the Vice President, Audit & Risk Management. This program seeks to identify, assess, monitor and report on risks affecting the Company's business and operations on an ongoing basis. Management of the Company actively participates in this program and briefs the Audit Committee on the risks affecting the Company and efforts undertaken to mitigate them.

Compensation-Related Risk

The Company conducted a review to determine if any compensation plans and practices would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company reviewed various components of compensation plans including the size, scope and design. The Company also reviewed whether the compensation plans promote unnecessary risk taking and the policies in place to mitigate compensation risk. The review included an assessment of design features that could encourage excessive risk-taking and the potential magnitude of such risks, including design features such as a short-term oriented pay mix, overly aggressive goal setting and over-weighting of annual incentives. The policies that exist to mitigate compensation-related risk include (1) the Recoupment Policy for Management Incentive Plan awards adopted in March, 2010; (2) stock ownership guidelines for named executive officers; and (3) independent oversight of the compensation programs by the Compensation Committee. Based on this review, the Company does not believe that there are any compensation related risks arising from the Company's compensation plans that would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

In 2009, the Compensation Committee undertook an assessment of the Management Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee focused on several key areas including plan measures and their alignment with Waters compensation philosophy and business strategy, the target setting process and pay opportunity. This provided a process to consider whether the current program, practices and procedures provide an appropriate balance between prudent business risk and resulting compensation. Several features of the Management Incentive Plan mitigate compensation related risk including the use of payout caps, a clear link between payouts under the plan and the Company's financial performance, and Compensation Committee oversight in determining payouts under the plan. Based on the overall assessment and these features, the Company does not believe that there are any compensation related risks arising from the Management Incentive Plan that would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Role of Compensation Consultant, Committee and Management in Decision-Making

The Compensation Committee has engaged the services of Pearl Meyer & Partners as its outside independent compensation consultant during fiscal year 2010. Pearl Meyer & Partners participates in Compensation Committee meetings and executive sessions and advises the Compensation Committee on a range of executive and director compensation matters including plan design, competitive market assessments, trends, best practices and technical and regulatory developments. Pearl Meyer & Partners provides services to the Compensation Committee related only to executive and director compensation, including defining peer groups, comparing executive and director compensation arrangements to the peer groups, and providing market data and advice regarding executive and

Table of Contents

director compensation plans. The Compensation Committee has the authority to engage and terminate such independent legal, accounting and other advisors as it deems necessary or appropriate to carry out its responsibilities.

In determining the overall structure of the compensation elements, the Compensation Committee reviews the competitive market and compensation practice data as provided by Pearl Meyer & Partners and as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in the section titled Data used to make Compensation Determinations. The Compensation Committee also reviews each named executive officer's compensation package in total to ensure that the total compensation package emphasizes performance-based compensation elements and is designed to meet the overall objectives of the executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee considers a range of factors in determining the amount of each compensation element for each executive officer. The range of factors includes Company performance, individual performance and experience, competitive compensation levels, the competitive markets, scope of responsibility and an individual's potential for making future contributions to the Company.

The Compensation Committee approves all compensation decisions for the named executive officers, with input from Pearl Meyer & Partners. The Vice President of Human Resources also provides the Compensation Committee with information and analysis on the Company's executive compensation programs as requested. Mr. Berthiaume provides the Compensation Committee with his assessment of the performance of the Company and the other named executive officers, and makes recommendations for the compensation of the other named executive officers. The Compensation Committee makes all final decisions with respect to the compensation of the CEO and the other named executive officers. No named executive officer makes any decision on any element of his/her own compensation.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis discusses the compensation programs for our named executive officers which for the fiscal year 2010 are Douglas A. Berthiaume, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Arthur G. Caputo, Executive Vice President and President, Waters Division, John A. Ornell, Vice President Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer, Mark T. Beaudouin, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary and Elizabeth B. Rae, Vice President Human Resources.

Executive Summary

The following is a summary of Company performance, the impact of Company performance on compensation and key developments relating to compensation in 2010 for our named executive officers. These key developments are discussed in further detail in the appropriate sections of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis:

Company Performance

In 2010, the Company achieved 19% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth over 2009. The Company adjusts non-GAAP E.P.S. for items that management believes are not directly related to ongoing operations. These items are described under the heading Philosophy and Objectives of Waters Executive Compensation Program.

Impact on Compensation

Consistent with performance targets under the Company's Management Incentive Plan in prior years, the Company maintained a performance target of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth for 2010. The Company believes that a non-GAAP E.P.S. performance target of 15% growth represents strong financial and operational performance, and that consistent utilization of this performance target by Waters for fifteen years demonstrates that our executives are measured to aggressive performance standards. A 2009 study of the Management Incentive Plan by Pearl Meyer & Partners found that consistent achievement of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth was a challenging metric when compared to a group of peer companies.

The Company's 2010 non-GAAP E.P.S. performance of 19% growth exceeded the 2010 target of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth. This performance resulted in above target Management Incentive Plan payouts to named executive officers for 2010 ranging between 86% and 196% of each named executive officer's base salary. Additional narrative on the Management Incentive Plan, as well as the study by Pearl Meyer & Partners is below under the heading Annual Incentive.

After freezing base salaries in 2009, base salaries were increased for 2010. Individual salary increases for named executive officers for 2010 ranged between 3% and 5%. In addition to the factors described under the heading Base Salary, the Compensation Committee based these salary increases on a recommendation from Pearl Meyer & Partners that the average base salary increase for executives in 2010 was 3%. A 5% salary increase was approved for two named executive officers whose base salaries were below the 35th percentile of the competitive market for their respective positions.

Non-qualified stock options (NSOs) were granted on December 9, 2010 to Messrs. Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae. In determining the size of the stock option grants for named executive officers, the Compensation Committee considered multiple factors including the Company s year to date financial results and the Company s projected non-GAAP E.P.S. growth for 2010 which represented substantial improvement over the Company s non-GAAP E.P.S. growth achieved in 2009. A full description of the factors considered in determining the 2010 stock option grants for named executive officers is below under the heading Long-Term Performance-Based Awards. These factors, considered collectively, resulted in an increase to the 2010 grant levels over the 2009 grant levels for each named executive officer. Although the Compensation

Table of Contents

Committee intended to grant stock options to Mr. Berthiaume, he declined as he has in the prior six years to be considered for an option grant.

Other Compensation Practices

In March, 2010, the Compensation Committee recommended and the Board of Directors adopted a Recoupment Policy for incentive awards paid to executive officers under the Management Incentive Plan. A full description of the policy is below under the heading Recoupment Policy.

The Company has implemented stock ownership guidelines for the named executive officers. The details of the guidelines are below under the heading Stock Ownership Guidelines.

The Company maintains an independent Compensation Committee and Compensation Committee consultant.

Philosophy and Objectives of Waters Executive Compensation Program

It is the philosophy of the Board's Compensation Committee that the Waters executive compensation program be both performance and market-based, and that a significant portion of compensation should be allocated to short and long-term variable performance-based compensation instruments. The objectives of the Company's executive compensation program are aligned with the Compensation Committee's philosophy and are as follows:

To focus senior management on achieving financial and operating objectives which provide long-term stockholder value;

To align the interests of senior management with the Company's stockholders; and

To attract and retain senior executive talent.

The compensation program is designed to motivate and reward executives for sustained high levels of achievement of the Company's financial and operating objectives. It is the Company's general intent to provide base salaries that are targeted below the market median for similarly situated executives in comparable firms, and to provide annual incentive target awards that are at or slightly above the market median. In aggregate, these two components provide a target total cash compensation opportunity that approximates the median of the market for achieving target performance goals. Over achievement of performance goals will provide opportunity of significantly greater reward. Actual base salaries may vary from this generally targeted position based on the performance, tenure, experience and contributions of the individual. Actual incentives will vary with the performance of the Company. Actual total cash compensation can be less than or greater than the median of the market, based on these factors. We believe that the structure of our total cash compensation effectively aligns executives' interests with stockholders' interests by placing emphasis on the achievement of annual financial and operating objectives.

Sustained high levels of annual achievement of E.P.S. growth goals drive long-term stockholder value. The Company's compensation program is designed to reward the creation of stockholder value through the annual Management Incentive Plan and the use of non-qualified stock options. E.P.S. growth goals have been the primary metric for executives in the Management Incentive Plan for fifteen years. Consistent use of this measure promotes executive team alignment, focuses the executive team on operational efficiencies and profitability and provides a long-term perspective among executives. These E.P.S. growth targets are based on E.P.S. reported in accordance with GAAP and may be adjusted to exclude certain charges and credits, net of tax, including but not limited to purchased intangibles amortization, acquisition, restructuring, litigation, lease termination, asset and equity investment impairments, out-of-period errors, tax audit settlements and adjustments and other items considered unusual or

one-time costs. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the annual adjusted non-GAAP E.P.S. for purposes of measuring E.P.S. growth goal achievement. The Company considers these items non-operational and not directly related to ongoing operations and therefore utilizes non-GAAP E.P.S. goals as the metric for the named executive officers in the annual Management Incentive Plan.

For longer-term alignment, the Company uses stock options to align executive compensation opportunity with stockholder interests because options provide value to the executive only if the Company's stock price increases over time. The value of Waters' stock option grants is targeted at or above the competitive market and is intended to

Table of Contents

further enhance the competitive position of each executive's total direct compensation (base salary, annual incentive plan and stock options). Additionally, stock options increase the orientation of total direct compensation toward performance-based instruments. Waters' stock options, which vest over a five-year period and have a ten-year term, are designed to meet the objective to retain executives. The Compensation Committee reviews competitive market data in determining the value of executive stock option grants. Consistent with this performance-oriented compensation philosophy, performance-based compensation instruments comprise a substantial portion of the total compensation (including benefits) for each of the named executive officers as outlined in the Summary Compensation Table below.

Data Used to Make Compensation Determinations

Competitive Market Assessment

Competitive market data is an important component in determining the amount of each element of compensation for each named executive officer. The Compensation Committee utilizes Pearl Meyer & Partners to provide advice on the structure of executive compensation as well as competitive data on base salary, total cash compensation and long-term incentives. In addition, the Compensation Committee reviews the total compensation package for each named executive officer from the perspective of total direct compensation, which includes base salary, annual incentive plan and the value of the long-term incentive grant.

Pearl Meyer & Partners and the Compensation Committee utilize a core Industry Peer Group of 16 publicly traded companies in the life sciences and analytical instrument industry with generally similar revenues and market capitalization as Waters.

The 2010 Industry Peer Group companies are as follows:

Agilent	Mettler-Toledo
C.R. Bard	Pall
Beckman Coulter	Perkin Elmer
Bio-Rad Laboratories	ResMed
Bruker	Roper Industries
Hologic	Sigma-Aldrich
Illumina	Thermo Fisher Scientific
Life Technologies	Varian Medical

Each year, Pearl Meyer & Partners evaluates the peer group for continued appropriateness for external executive compensation comparisons based on the primary selection criteria of similarity in industry, products and services and revenue and market capitalization. The target range for both revenue and market capitalization is 50% to 200% of Waters' revenue and market capitalization. The median revenue and market capitalization for the peer group for the four quarters ending June 30, 2010 was \$2,187,000,000 and \$4,936,000,000, respectively. Waters' revenue and market capitalization for the same period were \$1,562,000,000 and \$5,954,000,000, respectively.

Pearl Meyer & Partners also evaluates any changes to the ownership or business model of existing peer group companies. In 2010, Millipore was eliminated due to acquisition. Illumina, C. R. Bard and ResMed were added to the peer group. Two companies in the peer group, Agilent and Thermo Fisher Scientific, have revenues above the target range; however they have been consistently included in the core peer group because they are top competitors for Waters products.

In prior years, a supplementary peer group was utilized by the Compensation Committee as a secondary source to provide a broader industry perspective. This peer group, referred to as the High Technology Peer Group, was composed of companies within high technology industries such as medical equipment and devices, pharmaceuticals, biotechnology and biopharmaceuticals and software. In 2010, the Compensation Committee decided to discontinue use of the High Technology Peer group at the advice of Pearl Meyer & Partners due to the continued acquisition activity among the companies in the peer group (33% in the past two years), the difficulty of replacing the acquired companies with companies in comparable industries and the duplication of 33% of the companies in both the Industry Peer Group and the High Technology Peer Group.

Table of Contents

Pearl Meyer & Partners and the Compensation Committee also utilize multiple survey sources to review the competitive marketplace for each named executive officer. Survey sources include the Hewitt Executive Compensation Survey and the CHiPS Executive and Senior Management Total Compensation Survey. The Hewitt Executive Compensation Survey provides a general industry perspective based on revenue scope for each named executive officer position. The CHiPS Executive and Senior Management Total Compensation Survey provides a high technology perspective based on revenue for each named executive officer position. The Compensation Committee does not rely upon data from any individual company participating in any of these surveys in making compensation decisions. Data from the survey sources and the peer companies are combined to develop a primary market composite which is based on an average of survey data and peer company data.

Elements of Executive Compensation

There are three key elements of Waters' executive compensation program: base salary, annual incentive plan, and long-term performance-based awards. Each element of executive compensation addresses specific objectives of the program and together they meet the overall philosophy and objectives of the Waters executive compensation program as described above. The mix of short-term cash incentives and long-term equity incentives focuses executives on achievement of annual financial and operating objectives that drive long-term stockholder value. In addition, the Compensation Committee reviews the combined total of all compensation elements, or total direct compensation, in order to appropriately position total direct compensation relative to both the marketplace and the Company's objectives. Although the amount of each element of compensation for each named executive officer differs based on position-specific market data, how critical the executive position to the business, the executive's level of contribution, competitive compensation for each position, and other individual factors, the overall structure and compensation elements utilized are consistent for the CEO and all other named executive officers.

Compensation Element	Objective	Executive Compensation Philosophy	2010 Result
Base Salary	To attract and retain senior executives and other key employees.	Generally targeted at or below the market median. Actual salaries may vary based on an executive's performance, tenure, experience and contributions.	The competitive market position for 2010 base salaries for named executive officers on average approximate the 35 th percentile of the market.
Annual Incentive	To motivate executive officers, senior executives and other key employees to achieve annual non-GAAP E.P.S. growth and non-GAAP operating income targets established at the beginning of the fiscal year.	Targets under the Management Incentive Plan are generally positioned at or slightly above market median to achieve a target total cash position that approximates the median of the competitive market for target performance. Actual payouts will vary based on Company performance.	The 2010 targets under the Management Incentive Plan for named executive officers ranged from 50% to 110% of base salary. All incentive plan targets were within or slightly above the competitive range for incentive plan targets for their respective positions and the Total Target Cash market position for

named executive officers approximated the median for the market. Total Target Cash is defined as the total of annual base salary and the target Management Incentive Plan payout at 100% achievement.

Table of Contents

Compensation Element	Objective	Executive Compensation Philosophy	2010 Result
Long-Term Performance Based Awards	To motivate senior executives and other key employees to contribute to the Company's long-term growth of stockholder value and to align compensation with the growth in Waters stock price. Long-Term Performance Based Awards are also designed to retain senior executives and key employees.	Equity compensation in the form of non-qualified stock options is granted to further enhance the executive's total direct compensation relative to the competitive market. These grants are targeted at or above the competitive market. Individual grants consider the executive's position, performance, tenure, experience and contributions.	Non-qualified stock option grants and Target Total Direct Compensation both approximated the 45 th percentile of the market. Target Total Direct Compensation is defined as the sum of Total Target Cash plus the value of the most recent Long Term Incentive Grant.

Base Salary

The base salaries for the named executive officers are reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee. Individual salaries are based upon a combination of factors including past individual performance and experience, Company performance, scope of responsibility, competitive salary levels and an individual's potential for making contributions to future Company performance. The Compensation Committee considers all these factors in determining base salary increases and does not assign a specific weighting to any individual factor.

Following a salary freeze in 2009, the Compensation Committee approved base salary increases for executive officers for fiscal year 2010 that ranged between 3% and 5%. In addition to considering the factors listed above, the Compensation Committee considered the competitive market position of executive officer base salaries which ranged from the 25th percentile to the 50th percentile. Following these increases the competitive market position for each named executive officer ranged from approximately the 30th percentile to the 55th percentile of the competitive market data for each position.

Annual Incentive

The Management Incentive Plan is the annual incentive plan for executive officers, senior executives, and other key employees of the Company. The Compensation Committee establishes performance targets at the beginning of each fiscal year for executive officers. Executive officers then establish performance targets for the remaining participants. Achievement of 100% of the performance target is required for an incentive payout equal to 100% of the incentive plan target. The 2010 target payouts for Messrs. Berthiaume, Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae were, as a percentage of base salary, 110%, 100%, 85%, 70% and 50%, respectively. The 2010 target payouts were increased by 10% over the 2009 target payouts for each named executive officer in order to appropriately position the competitive target incentive plan payout at or slightly above the competitive market range for target incentive plan payouts for their respective positions. Due to base salaries that are at or slightly below their respective market comparisons, the resulting total target cash compensation for named executive officers approximated the median of the market. The threshold payouts are 25% of the target payout for each executive officer, and are payable upon achievement of a minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold performance. Performance below the minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold level results in no payout. The maximum payout under the plan is 3.75 times the target for

Mr. Berthiaume and 3.50 times the target payouts for Messrs. Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae. While the maximum payout opportunity of 3.50 and 3.75 times the target payout is generally higher than peer practices, the Compensation Committee believes that it is consistent with the philosophy to position total target cash at the median of the competitive market and to provide opportunity for significantly greater reward for over achievement of strong performance goals. As discussed in detail below, the Compensation Committee establishes an annual performance goal of 15% growth of non-GAAP E.P.S. which it believes represents strong Company

Table of Contents

performance. The maximum payout amount of \$5,000,000 was established to comply with the maximum payout requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Compensation Committee has consistently utilized non-GAAP E.P.S. as the primary performance measure under the Management Incentive Plan for named executive officers. For the past fifteen years, the Compensation Committee has established a goal of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth over the prior year. In 2006, the Compensation Committee added a requirement that a minimum non-GAAP operating income measure be achieved in addition to the non-GAAP E.P.S. growth target in order to maintain a balanced focus on operational improvements excluding the effects of benefits of finance costs, taxes and stock repurchases to non-GAAP E.P.S. The Compensation Committee evaluates the results of the Company's performance against previously established targets in order to determine the individual incentive plan payouts for the named executive officers under the Management Incentive Plan.

For the 2010 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee again established a 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth target over 2009. The Compensation Committee also established a minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold and a minimum non-GAAP E.P.S. threshold, both of which must be met for a non-GAAP E.P.S. payout under the Management Incentive Plan. The non-GAAP operating income threshold performance requirement for 2010 was 3% growth over 2009 and the minimum non-GAAP E.P.S. threshold performance requirement was 7% growth over 2009. Once the thresholds of non-GAAP operating income and non-GAAP E.P.S. performance targets were met, the Management Incentive Plan provided increasing levels of incentive plan payouts consistent with increasing levels of non-GAAP E.P.S. growth. In the fiscal year 2010, the Company exceeded both the minimum non-GAAP operating income and non-GAAP E.P.S. thresholds. Non-GAAP E.P.S. for 2010 was \$4.09 which represents 19% growth over 2009 non-GAAP E.P.S. of \$3.45. Non-GAAP E.P.S. and non-GAAP operating income for 2010 and 2009 excluded, net of tax, as applicable, purchased intangibles amortization, tax audit settlements and adjustments, asset impairments, lease termination costs, restructuring costs, and acquisition-related costs from its non-GAAP adjusted amounts since management believes that these items are not directly related to ongoing operations. The Company has exceeded the target of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth in 11 of the past 15 years and has exceeded threshold performance of 7% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth in 13 of the past 15 years. 2010 non-GAAP operating income, which excludes these same items from GAAP operating income, of \$467,908,000 exceeded the minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold of \$429,446,000 for an annual incentive payout and represents an increase of 12% over 2009 non-GAAP operating income of \$416,938,000.

During 2009, the Company reviewed the Management Incentive Plan with Pearl Meyer & Partners. The objectives of this review were to consider the Management Incentive Plan for alignment with Waters' compensation philosophy and emphasis on pay for performance and to review the performance measures utilized under the Management Incentive Plan to ensure these measures provided the best ongoing assessment of strategy execution and the creation of stockholder value. Results of the review indicated that the Management Incentive Plan and the use of non-GAAP earnings growth as a metric continue to meet the goals of aligning pay with performance and holding executives accountable for strong financial and operating performance targets. The review also found that consistent achievement of 15% annual non-GAAP earnings growth was a challenging metric. A review of ten years of non-GAAP earnings growth for a group of peer companies indicated that 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth was achieved approximately 50% of the time. This study also found that actual executive payouts under the Company's Management Incentive Plan were aligned with both Company performance versus the peer group and total stockholder return.

For the fiscal year 2011, the Compensation Committee has established a 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth target again and a minimum non-GAAP operating income threshold growth target of 7%.

Long-Term Performance-Based Awards

The Compensation Committee considers and grants stock options to the named executive officers and other senior executives to align the interests of these executives with those of Waters stockholders. We believe that stock options provide strong alignment between stockholders and these executives because the value of a stock option to an executive is directly related to the stock price appreciation delivered to stockholders over time. Conversely, poor stock price performance provides no stock option value to the executive.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee reviews annually various long-term incentive instruments with Pearl Meyer & Partners. Based on this review, the Compensation Committee determined that non-qualified stock options most effectively meet Waters' objectives for using performance oriented equity instruments for the named executive officers and other senior executives. Waters continues to emphasize performance-based long-term incentive instruments for the named executive officers and other senior executives and has chosen not to employ restricted stock units for its named executive officers and other senior executives to date.

The Compensation Committee considers non-qualified stock option grants annually at the Compensation Committee's December meeting. Multiple factors, considered collectively, are reviewed by the Compensation Committee in determining the number of shares to award each named executive officer. These factors include dilution, share usage, stock compensation expense, the financial and operational performance of the Company and each named executive officer, the prior number of shares granted, individually to each named executive officer and to all named executive officers in aggregate and competitive market data.

A review of share usage, dilution and stock based compensation expense was prepared by Pearl Meyer & Partners. In this analysis the Company's one year and three year share usage was at the 35th and 25th percentiles, respectively, when compared to the Industry Peer Group. The Company's dilution was 8% and total overhang was 11% which represent the 45th and 35th percentile of the Industry Peer Group, respectively. At the end of 2010, Pearl Meyer & Partners compared the Company's stock-based expense as reported in the Company's financial statements for the most recently completed fiscal year to that of the Industry Peer Group. The Company's stock-based expense was at the 45th percentile of the Industry Peer Group. The aggregate annual equity compensation expense including all stock options and restricted stock granted in the most recently completed fiscal year was at the 40th percentile of the peer group. The Compensation Committee reviews these metrics annually and in 2010 determined that the overall grant practices with respect to share usage and stock compensation expense were appropriate relative to the Industry Peer Group.

In addition to the other factors noted above, the Committee considers the overall performance of the Company in determining the overall size of the equity grant to named executive officers as a group. In 2010, the Company exceeded its goal of 15% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth, achieving 19% non-GAAP E.P.S. growth over 2009. The Committee considered this performance and other factors and determined to increase the overall aggregate value and number of shares in the annual stock option grant to named executive officers in 2010.

Competitive market data for long-term performance-based awards is prepared for the Compensation Committee by Pearl Meyer & Partners. As noted above the Committee also uses this data as one of the factors in determining the stock option grant for each named executive officer. Consistent with the Compensation Committee's philosophy of emphasizing performance-based pay, the Compensation Committee targets stock option grants above the median of the competitive market data for each named executive officer. The Pearl Meyer & Partners 2010 Competitive Assessment indicated that the stock option awards granted in December 2009 for the named executive officers ranged between the 35th and 75th percentile and averaged approximately the 45th percentile of the Pearl Meyer & Partners competitive market data. The option grants approved in December 2010 for Messrs. Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae were within the competitive range derived by applying 15% above and below the 75th percentile of the competitive market data for Long-Term Incentives as prepared by Pearl Meyer & Partners. The December 2010 option grant for Mr. Caputo was slightly above the 75th percentile of the competitive market data prepared by Pearl Meyer & Partners. The Compensation Committee believes that the stock option grants to the named executive officers were aligned with its philosophy to position long-term performance-based awards above the competitive market median and were consistent with the scope and level of contribution for each of the named executive officers. It was the intention of the Compensation Committee to grant 135,000 non-qualified stock options to Mr. Berthiaume in 2010. As in the prior six years, Mr. Berthiaume declined to be considered for an option grant in 2010. The Compensation Committee expects to consider Mr. Berthiaume for future stock option grants.

The Compensation Committee also believes that it is important to provide meaningful reward and recognition opportunities to the named executive officers irrespective of the potential gains they may realize from prior long-term performance based awards. Non-qualified stock options for Messrs. Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae were granted under the Waters Corporation 2003 Equity Incentive Plan based on the closing price of the Waters

Table of Contents

common stock on the grant date, December 9, 2010. All option grants will vest at 20% per year for five years, and have a ten-year term. The five-year vesting schedule supports both the long-term focus of this element of compensation and Waters' objective to retain senior executives.

Perquisites and Benefits

The Company does not offer any perquisites for the exclusive benefit of executive officers.

The named executive officers are eligible to participate in compensation and benefit plans that are generally offered to other employees, such as the Waters Employee Investment Plan (the "401(k) Plan"), the Employee Stock Purchase Plan and health and insurance plans. They are also eligible to participate in the Waters 401(k) Restoration Plan (the "401(k) Restoration Plan") that is available to all employees who meet certain minimum earnings eligibility criteria. The Waters 401(k) Restoration Plan and the Waters Retirement Restoration Plan are designed to restore the benefits, matching contributions and compensation deferral that are limited by Internal Revenue Service benefit and compensation maximums. These plans are described more fully in the narrative that accompanies the Pension Benefits table and the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation table in this Proxy Statement.

Change in Control/Severance Agreements

Messrs. Berthiaume, Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae are each party to an Executive Change of Control/Severance Agreement, which is described in detail in the Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control section of this Proxy Statement.

The Company provides Change of Control/Severance Agreements for named executive officers if they are terminated or leave for good reason prior to or following a change in control to ensure continuity of executive management in the event of a change in control of the Company, and to provide transition income for executives so that executives can evaluate a potential change in control in the best interests of the Company and stockholders. In addition, under the terms and conditions of the named executive officers' stock option agreements issued under the 1996 Long Term Performance Incentive Plan and the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan, in the event of a change of control, all of the named executive officers' outstanding and unvested stock options will fully accelerate and become fully exercisable. The terms of these agreements are more fully described in the Payments upon Termination or Change of Control section herein. In fiscal 2010, the Compensation Committee asked Pearl Meyer & Partners, its compensation consultant, to review our severance and change of control arrangements and Pearl Meyer & Partners determined that those arrangements were consistent with prevalent market practice.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The importance of ownership in Waters' stock by its named executive officers is emphasized through ownership guidelines that require the CEO to acquire and retain common stock equal to five times his base salary over a three-year period. The named executive officers are required to acquire and retain common stock equal to two times their base salary over a five-year period. If a named executive officer does not achieve his or her ownership guideline within the applicable three or five-year periods, a disposition guideline will be applied. The disposition guideline requires that, upon subsequent exercise of a stock option, 50% of the named executive officer's net after tax profit from such exercise be retained in shares of Waters common stock until the stock ownership guideline is achieved. A named executive officer who achieves the ownership guideline and subsequently falls out of compliance will have 12 months to again achieve compliance before the disposition guideline on stock option exercises is applied. Vested in-the-money stock options count toward determining compliance for the purpose of accumulating shares to comply with the stock ownership guidelines. The ownership guidelines have been met by all named executive officers.

Recoupment Policy

In March 2010, the Compensation Committee recommended and the Board adopted a Recoupment Policy for incentive awards paid to executive officers under the Company's Management Incentive Plan. Under this policy, if an executive officer engaged in misconduct that resulted in a restatement of financial results, the Compensation Committee, if it is determined appropriate and subject to applicable laws, could seek reimbursement of the portion

Table of Contents

of Management Incentive Plan awards impacted by the event. The Company will review and as necessary amend the Recoupment Policy to be in full compliance with the DFA when guidance is issued with respect to the DFA's recoupment parameters.

Stock Option Grant Practices

It has been the consistent practice of the Compensation Committee to grant stock options to senior executives annually at the Compensation Committee's December meeting. Grant prices are established based on the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Waters considers all of the tax and accounting aspects of the compensation instruments utilized by the Company in determining the most efficient method to use in delivering executive compensation. This includes, but is not limited to, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162(m) generally limits the tax deduction available to public companies for annual compensation paid to the chief executive officer and next three highest paid (exclusive of the chief financial officer) in excess of \$1 million unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based. The Compensation Committee believes that payments under the Management Incentive Plan and equity grants under the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. It is the Company's intent to qualify plans for full deductibility to the extent that it is consistent with the Company's overall compensation objectives.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly paid executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Summary Compensation Table

Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Stock Awards (\$) (e)	Option Awards (\$) (f)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (g)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$) (i)
							(h)	
Mr. John J. McArthur, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$757,050			\$0	\$1,483,269	\$155,091	\$46,755
	2009	\$735,000			\$0	\$0	\$141,761	\$133,632
	2008	\$735,000			\$0	\$1,470,000	\$105,232	\$129,432
Mr. Robert J. McArthur, Jr. Vice President and General Counsel	2010	\$463,500			\$2,651,000	\$792,652	\$92,655	\$16,032
	2009	\$450,000			\$2,065,000	\$0	\$78,501	\$16,032
	2008	\$450,000			\$2,241,000	\$810,000	\$52,391	\$161,187

Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$378,000	\$1,446,000	\$549,470	\$51,812	\$15,723
	2009	\$360,000	\$1,032,500	\$0	\$39,001	\$54,379
	2008	\$360,000	\$896,400	\$450,000	\$20,549	\$100,435
Chief Financial Officer	2010	\$370,800	\$1,205,000	\$443,885	\$17,683	\$23,272
	2009	\$360,000	\$826,000	\$0	\$13,786	\$54,379
	2008	\$360,000	\$896,400	\$450,000	\$7,873	\$95,472
Chief Operating Officer	2010	\$225,750	\$964,000	\$193,033	\$16,026	\$14,080
	2009	\$215,000	\$619,500	\$0	\$12,227	\$26,063
	2008	\$215,000	\$672,300	\$172,000	\$7,230	\$55,072

Table of Contents

- (c) Reflects the base salary earned by the executive officer during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (f) FASB ASC Topic 718 (formerly known as SFAS 123(R)), is the accounting standard used in determining the aggregate grant date fair value of the option awarded. The FASB ASC Topic 718 aggregate grant date fair value of the option awarded was determined using the Black Scholes option pricing model without regard to estimated forfeitures. The assumptions used to calculate this amount are disclosed in the Waters 2010 Annual Reports for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The closing price of the Common stock on the grant dates December 9, 2010, December 9, 2009 and December 10, 2008 were \$79.05, \$59.44 and \$41.20, respectively. Mr. Berthiaume declined to be considered for a grant in 2010, 2009 and 2008.
- (g) Reflects the incentive earned for 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively, under the Company's Management Incentive Plan. No incentive was earned for 2009.
- (h) Reflects the change in the annual aggregate estimated present value of accrued retirement benefits from both the frozen Waters Retirement Plan and the frozen Waters Retirement Restoration Plan for 2010, 2009 and 2008. There were no above market or preferential earnings on any non-qualified plan balances.
- (i) Reflects the matching contribution for the benefit of the named executive under the non-qualified Waters 401(k) Restoration Plan, the qualified 401(k) Plan, and for the dollar value of group term life insurance premiums paid by the Company on behalf of each named executive officer during 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Named Executive Officer	Matching Contributions			Company Paid Group Term Life		
	401(k) Restoration Plan and 401(k)			Insurance Premiums		
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Douglas A. Berthiaume	\$45,423	\$132,300	\$128,100	\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1,332
Arthur G. Caputo	\$14,700	\$14,700	\$13,800	\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1,332
John A. Ornell	\$14,700	\$53,100	\$32,077	\$1,023	\$1,279	\$1,200
Mark T. Beaudouin	\$22,249	\$53,100	\$51,450	\$1,023	\$1,279	\$1,200
Elizabeth B. Rae	\$13,545	\$25,395	\$22,500	\$535	\$668	\$622

Also included in 2008 is the one-time transition benefit associated with the freezing of pay credits under the Company's Retirement Plan. The one-time transition benefits made in March 2008 for Messrs. Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae were \$146,055, \$67,158, \$42,822 and \$31,950, respectively. Mr. Berthiaume declined to participate in the transition benefit.

The table below sets forth the range of potential payouts under the Management Incentive Plan and specifies the grant of stock option awards to the named executive officers in the last fiscal year.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards**All Other**

Name (a)	Grant Date (b)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) (j)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) (k)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (l)
		Threshold (\$) (c)	Target (\$) (d)	Maximum (\$) (e)			
Douglas A. Berthiaume		\$208,189	\$832,755	\$3,122,831			
Arthur G. Caputo	12/9/2010				110,000	\$79.05	\$2,651,000
		\$115,875	\$463,500	\$1,622,250			
John A. Ornell	12/9/2010				60,000	\$79.05	\$1,446,000
		\$80,325	\$321,300	\$1,124,550			
Mark T. Beaudouin	12/9/2010				50,000	\$79.05	\$1,205,000
		\$64,890	\$259,560	\$908,460			
Elizabeth B. Rae	12/9/2010				40,000	\$79.05	\$964,000
		\$28,219	\$112,875	\$395,063			

Table of Contents

- (c), (d), (e) Reflects the range of payout under the Company's Management Incentive Plan from threshold performance to maximum performance for 2010. Performance below the threshold performance would result in no payout under the Management Incentive Plan. Pursuant to Section 162(m), the Management Incentive Plan has a \$5,000,000 maximum payout.
- (j) Reflects the number of non-qualified stock options granted by the Compensation Committee on December 9, 2010. These options will vest 20% per year for five years. It was the intention of the Compensation Committee to grant a stock option award equal to 135,000 shares to Mr. Berthiaume in 2010; however, Mr. Berthiaume declined to be considered for an option grant in 2010.
- (k) Reflects the closing price of the common stock on the grant date of December 9, 2010.
- (l) FASB ASC Topic 718 is the accounting standard used in determining the aggregate grant fair value of the option awarded. The aggregate grant date fair value of the option was determined using the Black Scholes option pricing model without regard to estimated forfeitures.

Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table and the Grants of Plan Based Awards Table

The non-equity incentive plan award payments, column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table, were earned under the Company's Management Incentive Plan during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008. Incentive payments, if any, were based on exceeding the threshold requirements for operating income and the above target achievement of the fiscal year non-GAAP E.P.S. goals. The estimated future payouts under the non-equity incentive plan awards in columns (c), (d) and (e) of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table represent the threshold, target and maximum payouts, respectively, for fiscal year 2010 under the Company's Management Incentive Plan.

The non-qualified stock option awards listed in column (j) of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table were granted pursuant to the Waters Corporation 2003 Equity Incentive Plan. These stock option awards were granted at a meeting of the Compensation Committee held on December 9, 2010. The exercise price of \$79.05 is equal to the closing market price of the common stock on December 9, 2010. All stock option grants to the named executive officers vest 20% per year for five years and have a ten-year term. There have been no re-pricings or modifications of stock option awards for the named executive officers.

There were no discretionary or guaranteed bonus payments to the named executive officers in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Messrs. Berthiaume, Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae do not have employment agreements with the Company. However, each is a party to an Executive Change of Control/Severance Agreement with the Company as discussed below in the Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control section of this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the outstanding equity awards classified as exercisable and unexercisable for each of the named executive officers as of December 31, 2010.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Option Awards					Stock Awards		
Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
150,000	0		\$47.12	12/8/2014			
150,000	0		\$32.12	12/11/2013			
150,000	0		\$36.25	12/12/2011			
0	110,000		\$79.05	12/9/2020			
20,000	80,000		\$59.44	12/9/2019			
40,000	60,000		\$41.20	12/10/2018			
51,000	34,000		\$77.94	12/11/2017			
80,000	20,000		\$49.31	12/13/2016			
100,000	0		\$38.99	12/2/2015			
125,000	0		\$47.12	12/8/2014			
100,000	0		\$32.12	12/11/2013			
60,000	0		\$21.39	12/30/2012			
0	60,000		\$79.05	12/9/2020			
10,000	40,000		\$59.44	12/9/2019			

16,000	24,000	\$41.20	12/10/2018
20,400	13,600	\$77.94	12/11/2017
32,000	8,000	\$49.31	12/13/2016
40,000	0	\$38.99	12/2/2015
50,000	0	\$47.12	12/8/2014
50,000	0	\$32.12	12/11/2013
40,000	0	\$21.39	12/30/2012
0	50,000	\$79.05	12/9/2020
8,000	32,000	\$59.44	12/9/2019
16,000	24,000	\$41.20	12/10/2018
20,400	13,600	\$77.94	12/11/2017
32,000	8,000	\$49.31	12/13/2016
20,000	0	\$38.99	12/2/2015
50,000	0	\$47.12	12/8/2014
0	40,000	\$79.05	12/9/2020
6,000	24,000	\$59.44	12/9/2019
12,000	18,000	\$41.20	12/10/2018
15,000	10,000	\$77.94	12/11/2017
24,000	6,000	\$49.31	12/13/2016
6,000	0	\$38.99	12/2/2015
15,000	0	\$47.12	12/8/2014

(b) (c) Although it was the intention of the Compensation Committee to grant a stock option award to Mr. Berthiaume in 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010, Mr. Berthiaume declined to be considered for an option grant in each of these years. The expiration date for all grants is ten years from the date of grant. The

vesting schedule for all stock option grants is 20% per year for the first five years after grant. Grants with expiration dates of December 2, 2015 or earlier are 100% vested as of December 2, 2010. Vesting dates for annual grants with expiration dates after December 2, 2015 are December 13, December 11, December 10, December 9 and December 9, respectively. On the annual anniversary of each of these dates, an additional 20% of the total number of shares granted will vest until 100% of the original grant is vested on the fifth anniversary of the grant date.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth certain information regarding stock option awards exercised by the named executive officers during the last fiscal year.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

Name (a)	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Acquired on Exercise (#) (b)	Value Realized Upon Exercise (\$) (c)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) (d)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (e)
Douglas A. Berthiaume	100,000	\$644,100		
Arthur G. Caputo	50,000	\$278,830		
John A. Ornell	100,000	\$2,002,672		
Mark T. Beaudouin	60,000	\$2,577,019		
Elizabeth B. Rae	37,500	\$1,167,417		

(a) All options exercised by Mr. Berthiaume and Mr. Caputo had expiration dates of December 9, 2010.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding payments or other benefits at, following or in connection with retirement of the named executive officers.

Pension Benefits Fiscal Year 2010

Name (a)	Plan Name (b)	Number of Years of Credited Service (#) (c)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefits (\$) (d)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$) (e)
Douglas A. Berthiaume	Waters Corporation Retirement Plan	30.12	\$323,202	
	Waters Corporation Retirement Restoration Plan	30.12	\$1,735,011	

Arthur G. Caputo	Waters Corporation Retirement Plan	33.19	\$340,728
	Waters Corporation Retirement Restoration Plan	33.19	\$686,890
John A. Ornell	Waters Corporation Retirement Plan	20.54	\$221,121
	Waters Corporation Retirement Restoration Plan	20.54	\$193,591
Mark T. Beaudouin	Waters Corporation Retirement Plan	7.75	\$56,216
	Waters Corporation Retirement Restoration Plan	7.75	\$102,274
Elizabeth B. Rae	Waters Corporation Retirement Plan	14.96	\$107,114
	Waters Corporation Retirement Restoration Plan	14.96	\$17,907

The present value of the accumulated benefit is calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standard Board Accounting Standard Codification Topic 715 Compensation Retirement Benefits. Please refer to the footnotes in the Waters 2010 Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 for the Company's policy and assumptions made in the valuation of this accumulated benefit.

Table of Contents

The Waters Retirement Plan (Retirement Plan) is a U.S. defined benefit cash balance plan for eligible U.S. employees. The Waters Retirement Restoration Plan (Retirement Restoration Plan) is a U.S. unfunded, non-qualified plan which restores the benefits under the Waters Retirement Plan that are limited by Internal Revenue Service benefit and compensation maximums. As a cash balance plan, each participant's benefit is determined based on annual pay credits and interest credits which are made to each participant's notional account. Effective December 31, 2007, future pay credits to the Retirement and Retirement Restoration Plans on behalf of senior executives were discontinued and no further pay credits will be made on or after January 1, 2008. Interest credits will continue to apply. Interest credits are based on the one-year constant maturity Treasury Bill rate on the first business day in November of the preceding plan year plus 0.5%, subject to a 5.0% minimum and a 10.0% maximum rate.

A participant is not vested in the Retirement and Retirement Restoration Plans until completion of five years of service at which time the employee becomes 100% vested. The normal retirement age under the plans is age 65. Messrs. Berthiaume and Caputo are currently eligible for early retirement under the Retirement Plan and Retirement Restoration Plan. Under these plans, early retirement is defined as attainment of age 62 with at least 10 years of service. However, former participants of the Millipore Retirement Plan (a former parent company of Waters) are eligible for early retirement upon attainment of age 55 with at least 10 years of service. Messrs. Berthiaume and Caputo are former Millipore Retirement Plan participants.

The valuation method and material assumptions used in calculating the benefit reported in column (d) are disclosed in the Waters 2010 Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

The table below summarizes the deferred compensation in the last fiscal year for the named executive officers.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Douglas A. Berthiaume	\$45,423	\$30,723	\$740,025		\$5,086,965
Arthur G. Caputo	\$0	\$0	\$77,342		\$840,262
John A. Ornell	\$0	\$0	\$113,749		\$835,569
Mark T. Beaudouin	\$37,080	\$7,549	\$73,601		\$652,544
Elizabeth B. Rae	\$13,545	\$0	\$17,333		\$120,413

(b)

Amounts in this column are also reported as salary (column(c)) or non-equity incentive compensation (column (g)) in the Summary Compensation Table.

- (c) Amounts in this column represent Company contributions to the 401(k) Restoration Plan. These amounts are also reported under All Other Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (d) Amounts reported in this column reflect participant directed earnings in investment vehicles consistent with the qualified 401(k) Plan with the exception of Waters Common stock, the self-directed Brokeragelink Option and the Fidelity Managed Income Portfolio. These amounts are not included in the Summary Compensation Table because the earnings are not above-market or preferential.
- (f) The aggregate fiscal year-end balance reported for the 401(k) Restoration Plan includes the following amounts that were previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table as compensation for 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006 for Messrs. Berthiaume, Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae: \$838,139, \$108,030, \$243,290, \$436,610 and \$100,987, respectively.

All non-qualified deferred compensation contributions made by the named executive officer, or by the Company on behalf of the named executive officer, are made pursuant to the 401(k) Restoration Plan. The purpose of the 401(k) Restoration Plan is to allow certain management and highly compensated employees to defer wages to a non-qualified retirement plan in addition to the amount permitted to be deferred under the 401(k) Plan (\$16,500 in

Table of Contents

2010 or \$22,000 if age 50 or older). The 401(k) Restoration Plan is also intended to permit participants to receive the additional matching contributions that they would have been eligible to receive under the 401(k) Plan if the Internal Revenue Service limit on compensation for such plans, \$245,000 in 2010, did not apply.

Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

Messrs. Berthiaume, Caputo, Ornell, Beaudouin and Ms. Rae do not have employment agreements with the Company. However, each is party to an Executive Change of Control/Severance Agreement dated February 24, 2004 and amended February 27, 2008. Under the terms of their agreements, as amended, if any such executive's employment is terminated without cause during the period beginning 9 months prior to, and ending 18 months following, a change of control of the Company (as defined in the agreement), or such executive terminates his or her employment for good reason (as defined in the agreement) during the 18 month period following a change of control of the Company, such officer would be entitled to receive the following in a lump sum payment:

Two times the annual base salary;

Two times the greater of the annual accrued incentive plan payment in the year of termination or the target incentive plan payout; and

Twenty-four months of continued insurance benefit coverage (life, accident, health and dental) substantially similar to the coverage he or she had been receiving prior to any such termination, or the premium equivalent.

For purposes of these agreements, change of control generally refers to the closing, merger, consolidation, liquidation or reorganization of the Company after which the Company does not represent more than 50% of the resulting entity; the acquisition of more than 50% of the voting stock of the Company; or the sale of substantially all of the Company's assets. Within the meaning of these agreements, good reason termination generally refers to a material reduction or change by the Company to a named executive officer's responsibilities, base compensation or place of business following a change of control. A material breach by the Company of an agreement between the named executive officer and the Company will also trigger a good reason termination. The named executive officer is responsible for providing notice to the Company of a good reason termination and the Company will have 30 days after the notice to remedy the cause of the good reason termination.

The agreements further provide that the benefits will be supplemented by an additional payment to gross up the executive for any excise tax under the golden parachute excise tax provisions of §§ 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code to ensure that after the payments for change in control, the executive is in the same economic position as if the payment were not subject to an excise tax. This additional payment would be equal to the sum of the excise tax on any parachute payment and the additional tax attributable to the receipt of the gross-up payment.

In addition, under the terms and conditions of the named executive officers' stock option agreements issued under the 1996 Long Term Performance Incentive Plan and the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan, in the event of a change in control, all of their outstanding and unvested stock options will fully accelerate and become fully exercisable.

If the employment of the named executive officer had been terminated without cause or any officer resigned for good reason on December 31, 2010 and within 18 months of a change in control, they would have received the following cash severance and incremental benefits (given retroactive effect to the changes made) based on the price per share as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Potential Payments Upon Change of Control**

Name	Cash Severance		Benefits Continuation	Other Benefits		Total Value of Change-in-Control Related Benefits
	Base Salary (2X Current Base Salary)	Incentive Plan (2X Target)		In-the-Money Value of Accelerated Stock Options	Excise Tax Gross-Up	
Douglas A. Berthiaume	\$1,514,100	\$1,665,510	\$32,577	\$0	\$0	\$3,212,187
Arthur G. Caputo	\$927,000	\$927,000	\$22,374	\$4,220,200	\$0	\$6,096,574
John A. Ornell	\$756,000	\$642,600	\$32,490	\$1,834,240	\$0	\$3,265,330
Mark T. Beaudouin	\$741,600	\$519,120	\$32,490	\$1,688,080	\$0	\$2,981,290
Elizabeth B. Rae	\$451,500	\$225,750	\$31,315	\$1,266,060	\$0	\$1,974,625

The cash severance was calculated assuming the base salary and annual incentive plan target under the Management Incentive Plan for 2010, in effect on December 31, 2010. The benefit continuation payment is based on premium costs as of December 31, 2010.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The table below summarizes the director compensation for the Company's independent directors in the last fiscal year.

Director Compensation Fiscal Year 2010

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
Michael J. Bekenstein	\$59,000	\$92,445	\$85,600				\$236,045
Michael J. Bekenstein, Ph.D.	\$68,000	\$92,445	\$85,600				\$246,045
Richard Conard	\$68,000	\$92,445	\$85,600				\$246,045
Robert H. Her	\$60,500	\$92,445	\$85,600				\$238,545
	\$62,000	\$92,445	\$85,600				\$240,045

topher A.

er

m J. Miller	\$80,000	\$92,445	\$85,600	\$25
n A. Reed	\$68,000	\$92,445	\$85,600	\$24
as P. Salice	\$105,500	\$92,445	\$85,600	\$28

- (c) Messrs. Bekenstein, Berendt, Conard, Kuebler, Miller, Salice, and Ms. Glimcher and Ms. Reed were each granted 1,500 restricted stock awards on January 4, 2010, with a grant date fair value of \$61.63 and a vesting date of January 30, 2013. The closing price of the common stock was \$61.63 on January 4, 2010. On December 31, 2010, all Directors held 3,500 shares of unvested restricted stock.
- (d) Messrs. Bekenstein, Berendt, Conard, Kuebler, Miller, Salice, and Ms. Glimcher and Ms. Reed were each granted 4,000 non-qualified stock options on January 4, 2010, with a FASB ASC Topic 718 fair value of \$82,840 and a vesting schedule of 20% per year for five years. The closing price of the common stock on January 4, 2010 was \$61.63 per share. The outstanding non-qualified stock options for Messrs. Bekenstein, Berendt, Conard, Kuebler, Miller, Salice, Ms. Glimcher, and Ms. Reed on December 31, 2010, were 39,000,

Table of Contents

39,000, 39,000, 19,000, 39,000, 35,000, 23,800 and 19,000 options, respectively. FASB ASC Topic 718 is the accounting standard used in determining the aggregate grant fair value of the option awarded. The aggregate grant date for fair value of the option awarded was determined using the Black Scholes option pricing model without regard to estimated forfeitures. The assumptions used to calculate this amount are disclosed in the Waters 2010 Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Board compensation in 2010 included a retainer of \$50,000 for the year, paid quarterly and \$1,500 for each Board and committee meeting attended. The lead director received an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 resulting in a total annual retainer of \$65,000. The annual retainer for the Audit Committee chairman was \$15,000. The chairmen of both the Nominating and Corporate Governance and Compensation Committees each received a \$7,500 annual retainer. As is our consistent practice, equity compensation was granted on the first business day of the fiscal year. The exercise price of the stock option grant was equal to the closing price on the grant date.

All Directors are also reimbursed for expenses incurred in connection with their attendance at meetings. Directors who are full-time employees of the Company receive no additional compensation or benefits for service on the Board or its committees.

The Compensation Committee utilizes an external consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners, to provide advice on the structure of Director compensation. Pearl Meyer & Partners and the Compensation Committee utilize sources of data consistent with the executive compensation assessment which include the Industry Peer Group of 16 publicly traded companies described above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Consistent with the Executive Competitive Assessment, the Board of Directors Compensation Competitive Assessment discontinued the use of the secondary peer group referred to as the High Technology Peer Group for the reasons described above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Based on the Pearl Meyer & Partners competitive assessment, no changes to Board cash compensation were made for 2011. Based on the Competitive Assessment by Pearl Meyer & Partners and its recommendation to incorporate a value approach to equity grants to Directors, the restricted stock grant of 1,500 shares and a stock option grant of 4,000 shares were approved for each Board member. Consistent with prior practice, these equity grants were made on the first business day of the year, January 3, 2011. The exercise price of the stock option grant was equal to \$78.10 per share, the closing price on January 3, 2011.

The Company also sponsors the 1996 Non-Employee Director Deferred Compensation Plan, which provides non-employee members of the Board with the opportunity to defer 100% of retainer, meeting and committee fees. Fees may be deferred in cash or invested in Waters common stock units. If a Director elects to defer his or her fees in Waters common stock units, the amount deferred is converted into common stock units by dividing the amount of fees payable by the average stock price of the Company's common stock for the fiscal quarter. Fees deferred in cash are credited with an interest rate equal to the lesser of the Prime Rate plus 50 basis points or the maximum rate of interest that may be used without being treated as an above market interest rate under the SEC guidelines. Messrs. Bekenstein and Conard elected to defer fees into Waters common stock units in 2010.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or filed or incorporated by reference in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that Waters specifically incorporates it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis as required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act. Based on these discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in

this Proxy Statement.

Mr. William J. Miller, Chair

Mr. Joshua Bekenstein

Mr. Christopher A. Kuebler

Mr. Thomas P. Salice

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The table below sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of Common stock as of March 16, 2011 by each person or entity known to the Company who owns beneficially five percent or more of the Common stock, by each named executive officer and Director nominee and all executive officers and Director nominees as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock(1)
5% Stockholders		
Massachusetts Financial Services Company(2)	8,117,671	8.86%
BlackRock, Inc.(3)	5,924,960	6.47%
Wellington Management Company, LLC(4)	4,793,001	5.23%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.(5)	4,773,113	5.21%
Executive Officers and Directors		
Mark T. Beaudouin(6)(7)	151,737	*
Douglas A. Berthiaume(6)(8)	3,189,025	3.46%
Arthur G. Caputo(6)	751,734	*
John Ornell(6)(9)	275,724	*
Elizabeth Rae(6)(10)	83,188	*
Joshua Bekenstein(6)(11)	56,500	*
Dr. Michael J. Berendt(6)	42,500	*
Edward Conard(6)(11)	52,500	*
Dr. Laurie H. Glimcher(6)	22,300	*
Christopher A. Kuebler(6)	18,500	*
William J. Miller(6)(11)	40,000	*
JoAnn A. Reed(6)	18,500	*
Thomas P. Salice(6)(11)(12)	79,400	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (13 persons)	4,781,608	5.13%

* Represents less than 1% of the total number of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock.

- (1) Figures are based upon 91,606,395 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 16, 2011. The figures assume exercise by only the stockholder or group named in each row of all options for the purchase of Common stock held by such stockholder or group which are exercisable within 60 days of March 16, 2011.
- (2) Amounts shown reflect the aggregate number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by Massachusetts Financial Services Company (MFSC) based on information set forth in Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 1, 2011. The Schedule 13G/A indicates that MFSC was the beneficial owner with sole dispositive power as to 8,117,671 shares, with sole voting power as to 6,357,628 shares and shared voting power as to none of the shares. The address of MFSC is 500 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02116.

- (3) Amounts shown reflect the aggregate number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by BlackRock, Inc. based on information set forth in Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 9, 2011. The Schedule 13G/A indicates that Blackrock, Inc. was the beneficial owner with sole dispositive power and sole voting power as to all of the shares. The address of BlackRock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.
- (4) Amounts shown reflect the aggregate number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by Wellington Management Company, LLP based on information set forth in Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2011. The Schedule 13G indicates that Wellington Management Company, LLP was the beneficial owner with shared dispositive power as to 4,768,201 shares, shared voting power as to

Table of Contents

3,456,572 shares and sole voting and sole dispositive power as to none of the shares. The address of Wellington Management Company, LLP is 280 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02210.

- (5) Amounts shown reflect the aggregate number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by The Vanguard Group, Inc. based on information set forth in Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2011. The Schedule 13G indicates that the Vanguard Group, Inc. was the beneficial owner with sole dispositive power as to 4,656,426 shares, shared dispositive power as to the 116,687 shares, sole voting power as to 116,687 shares and shared voting power as to none of the shares. The address of The Vanguard Group, Inc. is 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, PA 19355.
- (6) Includes share amounts which the named individuals have the right to acquire through the exercise of options which are exercisable within 60 days of March 16, 2011 as follows: Mr. Beaudouin 146,400, Mr. Berthiaume 450,000, Mr. Caputo 576,000, Mr. Ornell 258,400, Ms. Rae 78,000, Mr. Bekenstein 27,500, Dr. Berendt 27,500, Mr. Conard 27,500, Dr. Glimcher 16,300, Mr. Kuebler 11,500, Mr. Miller 27,500, Ms. Reed 11,500 and Mr. Salice 23,500.
- (7) Includes 4,362 shares held in Mr. Beaudouin's ESPP and 401K accounts.
- (8) Includes 69,000 shares held by Mr. Berthiaume's wife, 306,359 shares held by a family limited partnership, 34,589 shares held in Mr. Berthiaume's 401K Plan and 25,252 shares held in a family trust. Mr. Berthiaume disclaims beneficial ownership for the shares held by his wife, the shares held in a family trust and the shares held by a family limited partnership.
- (9) Includes 11,320 shares held in Mr. Ornell's ESPP and 401K accounts and 3,000 shares held by his daughters for which Mr. Ornell disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (10) Includes 4,287 shares held in Ms. Rae's ESPP and 401K accounts.
- (11) Excludes deferred compensation in the form of phantom stock, receipt of which may be, at the election of the Director, on a specified date at least six months in the future or upon his or her cessation of service as a Director of the Company.
- (12) Includes 3,000 shares held in Mr. Salice's Individual Retirement Account and 18,200 shares held by a charitable trust and over which Mr. Salice shares voting and investment power with his spouse as trustees.

**SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP
REPORTING COMPLIANCE**

Federal securities laws require the Company's Directors, executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of the common stock to file with the SEC, the New York Stock Exchange and the Secretary of the Company initial reports of beneficial ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership of the common stock.

To the Company's knowledge, based solely on review of the copies of such reports and written representations furnished to the Company that no other reports were required, none of the Company's executive officers, Directors and greater-than-ten-percent beneficial owners failed to file any such report on a timely basis during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Proposals of stockholders to be presented at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders anticipated to be scheduled on or about May 8, 2012, must be received by the Secretary of the Company at 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757 in the following manner. Proposals that are submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, and are to be considered for inclusion in the Company's Proxy Statement and form of Proxy relating to that meeting must be received by December 2, 2011. All other proposals must be received during the 60 to 90 day period preceding that meeting.

Table of Contents

STOCKHOLDERS SHARING AN ADDRESS

Only one copy of our Annual Report, Proxy Statement or Notice is being delivered to multiple security holders sharing an address, unless we have received instructions to the contrary from one or more of the stockholders.

We will undertake to deliver promptly upon written or oral request a separate copy our Annual Report, the Proxy Statement or Notice to any stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of either of those documents was delivered. To receive a separate copy of our Annual Report, Proxy Statement or Notice, or if two stockholders sharing an address have received two copies of any of these documents and desire to only receive one in the future, you may write to the Director of Investor Relations at our principal executive offices at 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757 or call the Vice President of Investor Relations of Waters at (508) 482-2349.

Table of Contents

Waters
ANNUAL MEETING OF WATERS CORPORATION

Date: Tuesday, May 10, 2011

Time: 11:00 A.M. (Eastern Time)

Place: 34 Maple Street, Milford, Massachusetts 01757

Please make your marks like this: x **Use dark black pencil or pen only**

Board of Directors Recommends a Vote **FOR** proposals 1, 2, 3 and **3 years** on proposal 4.

1: To elect directors for the ensuing year and until their successors are elected.

01 Joshua Bekenstein

02 Michael J. Berendt, Ph.D.

03 Douglas A. Berthiaume

04 Edward Conard

05 Laurie H. Glimcher, M.D.

06 Christopher A. Kuebler

07 William J. Miller

08 JoAnn A. Reed

09 Thomas P. Salice

**Vote For
All Nominees**

☐

**Vote Against
All Nominees**

☐

**Vote For
All Except**

☐

INSTRUCTIONS: To withhold authority to vote for any nominee, mark the ☐ box and write the number(s) in the space provided to the right.

- | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | For | Against | Abstain | |
| 2: To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| 3: To approve, by non-binding vote, executive compensation. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| | 1 year | 2 years | 3 years | Abstain |
| 4: To approve, by non-binding vote, the frequency of executive compensation votes | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5: To consider and act upon any other matters which may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof. | | | | |

To attend the meeting and vote your shares in person, please mark this box. ☐

Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your Instructions to be executed.

Please Sign Here

Please Date Above

Please Sign Here

Please Date Above

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appears on your stock certificate. If held in joint tenancy, all persons should sign. Trustees, administrators, etc., should include title and authority. Corporations should provide full name of corporation and title of authorized officer signing the proxy.

Please separate carefully at the perforation and return just this portion in the envelope provided.

Waters

**Annual Meeting of Waters Corporation
to be held on Tuesday, May 10, 2011
for Holders as of March 16, 2011**

This proxy is being solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors

**VOTED BY:
INTERNET**

Go To

www.proxypush.com/wat

Cast your vote online.

Have your Proxy Card/Voting Instructions Form ready.

View Meeting Documents.

OR

**TELEPHONE
866-307-0858**

Use any touch-tone telephone.

Have your Proxy Card/Voting Instruction Form ready.

Follow the simple recorded instructions.

MAIL

OR

Mark, sign and date your Proxy Card/Voting Instruction Form.

Detach your Proxy Card/Voting Instruction Form.

Return your Proxy Card/Voting Instruction Form in the postage-paid envelope provided.

The undersigned hereby appoints Douglas A. Berthiaume and Mark T. Beaudouin, and each or either of them, as the true and lawful attorneys of the undersigned, with full power of substitution and revocation, and authorizes them, and each of them, to vote all the shares of capital stock of Waters Corporation which the undersigned is entitled to vote at said meeting and any adjournment thereof upon the matters specified and upon such other matters as may be properly brought before the meeting or any adjournment thereof, conferring authority upon such true and lawful attorneys to vote in their discretion on such other matters as may properly come before the meeting and revoking any proxy heretofore given.

THE SHARES REPRESENTED BY THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED OR, IF NO DIRECTION IS GIVEN, SHARES WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF THE DIRECTORS IN ITEM 1, FOR THE PROPOSALS IN ITEMS 2 AND 3 AND FOR 3 YEARS ON PROPOSAL 4 AND AUTHORITY WILL BE DEEMED GRANTED UNDER ITEM 5.

All votes must be received by 5:00 P.M., Eastern Time, May 9, 2011.

All votes for 401(k) participants must be received by 5:00 P.M., Eastern Time, May 6, 2011.

PROXY TABULATOR FOR

**WATERS CORPORATION
c/o MEDIANT COMMUNICATIONS
P.O. BOX 8016
CARY, NC 27512-9903
EVENT #**

CLIENT #

OFFICE #