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```
COMPOUND YOUR WEALTH - AUTOMATICALLY
All Nuveen Municipal Closed-End ETFs let you reinvest dividends and capital
gains directly into additional shares of your Fund. This is a great way to see
your investment grow through the power of compounding.
For more information about Dividend Reinvestment, see the last page of this
report or speak with your financial advisor.
```

Photo of: Timothy R. Schwertfeger
Chairman of the Board

SIDEBAR TEXT: "A WELL-BALANCED PORTFOLIO CAN HELP YOU REDUCE YOUR INVESTMENT RISKS."

Dear
SHAREHOLDER

One of the primary goals of your Nuveen Fund is to provide you with attractive, dependable tax-free income from a quality portfolio. I am pleased to report that over the period covered by this report your Fund has continued to meet these objectives. I urge you to read the Portfolio Managers' Comments and Performance Overview pages included in this report, which provide more details about Fund results, how they were achieved, and how the Funds are trying to position themselves for the future.

The uncertain markets of this past reporting period also remind us of another important reason for investing in Nuveen Funds. In times such as these, your Nuveen Fund can help bring a measure of diversification to your overall portfolio and serve as a useful counterbalance to other holdings. A well-balanced portfolio can help you reduce your investment risks and provide one of the keys to strong long-term performance.

Invest Well. Look Ahead. Leave Your Mark.
At Nuveen, we believe that investors should focus not only on investments that can help them accumulate wealth, but also on the plans and services that can help preserve that wealth and pass it along to future generations. This long-term perspective is an integral part of our portfolio management strategies, our insistence on quality, and our desire to provide investments that can withstand the test of time.

In establishing a program tailored to your needs, the sound ongoing advice and disciplined focus provided by a trusted financial advisor can be an invaluable resource, enabling you to make wise investment decisions and build a program that can result in a lasting legacy.

For more than a century, Nuveen has offered quality investments to those who recognize and embrace the need for building, preserving and managing wealth. All of us at Nuveen are dedicated to working with you and your financial advisor to provide the services, products, perspectives, and solutions that can help you meet your personal and family financial goals, now and for years to come. We thank you for your continued confidence.

Sincerely,
/s/ Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger
Chairman of the Board

March 16, 2001

Sidebar text: "IN ESTABLISHING A PROGRAM TAILORED TO YOUR NEEDS, THE SOUND ONGOING ADVICE AND DISCIPLINED FOCUS PROVIDED BY A TRUSTED FINANCIAL ADVISOR CAN BE AN INVALUABLE RESOURCE."
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Nuveen Municipal Closed-End Exchange-Traded Funds (NAZ, NUM, NMP, NUO, NTX)

Portfolio Managers'

## COMMENTS

Portfolio managers Mike Davern and Tom Futrell discuss national and state economic conditions, key investment strategies, and the performance of the Nuveen Municipal Closed-End Exchange-Traded Funds for Arizona, Michigan, Ohio, and Texas. Mike has managed NAZ, NUM, NMP, and NTX since 1998, and Tom also assumed portfolio management responsibility for NUO in 1998.

WHAT MAJOR FACTORS AFFECTED THE U.S. ECONOMY AND THE MUNICIPAL MARKET DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD?
The major factor affecting the fixed-income markets for the past two years has been the Federal Reserve's interest rate policies. After a period of significant tightening, the Fed has cut interest rates twice since the beginning of this year, first by 50 basis points on January 3 and then another 50 basis points on January 31. This marked the first time in Alan Greenspan's 13-year tenure at the Fed that rates have been reduced by a full percentage point within a single month. Currently, the Fed appears to consider economic weakness a more serious risk than the possibility of inflation that it was fighting last year. The consensus among many market observers is that the Fed may continue to ease as long as signs of a significant economic slowdown remain.

In the municipal market, indications of economic slowing and tight new issue supply helped many bonds perform well. For the 12 months ended January 31,2001, new issuance of municipal bonds totaled just over $\$ 202$ billion nationwide, down 8\% from the previous 12-month period (February 1999 through January 2000). Part of this decline stemmed from higher rate concerns in early 2000, which made it less attractive for some issuers to offer bonds. In addition, generally favorable economic conditions in most cities and states enabled many issuers to use pay-as-you-go funding for necessary projects, rather than financing obtained through new issuance.

At the same time, demand for municipal bonds continued to be strong from individual investors looking for diversification, income, and an alternative to a volatile stock market. As a result, municipal bond prices generally were higher, and yields were correspondingly lower, at the end of January 2001 than they were 12 months earlier. Nevertheless, we believe municipals continued to represent good value. As of January 31, 2001 , long-term municipal yields were $97.3 \%$ of 30 -year Treasury yields, compared with $97.7 \%$ in January 2000 and the historical average of 86.0\% for the period 1986-1999.

MIKE, WHAT ABOUT THE ECONOMIC AND MARKET ENVIRONMENT IN ARIZONA, MICHIGAN, AND TEXAS?
Arizona can boast of having the second fastest growing state economy in the nation (behind Nevada) over the past year, as well as the most rapidly growing metropolitan area (Tucson). The key drivers of the state's booming economy were robust growth in the manufacturing sector and rapid employment gains in semiconductor manufacturing, Internet, and business services. Many expect this growth to continue at an aggressive pace, based on Arizona's large number of innovative, rapidly expanding companies, which may enable the state to take continued advantage of its emerging high-tech economy. Additional infusions of venture capital also are expected to lead to strong near-term employment gains, especially in communications and business services. In addition, Arizona should continue to benefit from the North American Free Trade Agreement because of exports to Mexico. The influx of new residents, both retirees as well as younger people, should continue to stimulate the housing market, increase demand for service industries, and help to alleviate some of the constraints imposed by a tight job market. Arizona's municipal market continued to see tight new issue supply, with issuance over the past six months (August 2000-January 2001) totaling $\$ 1.16$ billion, down $16 \%$ from levels seen during the previous six
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months.

Michigan's supply of municipal bonds, on the other hand, was somewhat more plentiful over the six months ended January 2001, with $\$ 3.46$ billion in new issuance, compared with $\$ 2.18$ billion for the previous six months. The state also benefited from Moody's and Standard \&

Poor's recent upgrades of its credit rating to Aaa and AAA, respectively, reflecting continued strong budget performance and Michigan's low debt burden. A third major rating agency, Fitch, maintained its rating at AA+. The Michigan economy, which remains heavily reliant on manufacturing, especially auto production, continued to grow more moderately than the national average. Growing efficiency in the auto manufacturing process and weaker consumer demand for automobiles led to numerous job cuts for autoworkers in recent years. Tight labor markets and lower capital goods spending could also further negatively impact the auto industry. While Michigan remains hampered by above-average business costs, the state is attempting to become more competitive in attracting new businesses by implementing aggressive economic development initiatives and by gradually phasing out the state's single business tax. In the years ahead, Michigan's construction and service industries are expected to lead the state's employment growth, although the manufacturing sector, which should continue to diversify, will likely remain the anchor of the state economy.

Municipal issuance in Texas followed the pattern seen in most other states, with new issue supply for the period August 2000 through January 2001 totaling $\$ 1.38$ billion, a decline of $27 \%$ from the previous six months. Over the past year, Texas showed strong growth, as the state economy continued to diversify away from energy-related industries into high-tech businesses, especially in the Dallas and Austin metropolitan areas. In terms of the total number of high-tech jobs, Texas is second only to California. The growing presence of technology has helped to offset the recent volatility the state experienced in the oil and gas industry. In addition, the resurgence of the Mexican economy led to significant increases in state exports, which reached record levels during the second quarter of 2000 .

## TOM, WHAT ABOUT OHIO?

Ohio also saw its supply of new municipal bonds continue to decline, as issuance over the most recent six-month period totaled $\$ 2.79$ billion, down $12 \%$ from the previous six months. Given Ohio's high marginal income tax rate, demand from individual investors continued to be very strong, and this, in turn, caused Ohio municipal bonds to trade at high prices relative to the national market. The Ohio economy continued to rely heavily on manufacturing, while the financial and business service sectors, which led the state's moderate economic expansion in recent years, began to slow. The state's economy is primarily anchored by Cleveland, Cincinnati, and Columbus, which account for $47 \%$ of Ohio's population and 53\% of the state's total income. The Columbus and Cincinnati metropolitan areas, in particular, have strong, diverse economies, which should continue to grow at a faster pace than that of the state as a whole. Overall, however, Ohio's near-term economic growth is projected to continue to lag national levels due to slowing construction activity and labor shortages resulting from continued population losses. During 2000, the Ohio Supreme Court again declared the state's school district funding formulas unconstitutional, and funding remains a hotly debated issue. In June, the court is expected to hear testimony on methods to alleviate the funding concerns of low-wealth districts.

HOW DID THESE NUVEEN FUNDS PERFORM OVER THE PAST YEAR?
For the year ended January 31, 2001, the Nuveen Municipal Closed-End
Exchange-Traded Funds for Arizona, Michigan, Ohio, and Texas produced total returns on net asset value (NAV) as shown in the accompanying table. The annual returns for the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Indexl and the appropriate Lipper

Peer Groups2 are also presented.

|  | Market Yield |  | $\begin{aligned} \text { Total Return } \\ \text { on NAV } \end{aligned}$ | Lehman <br> Total Return1 | Lipper Average2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1/31/01 | TaxableEquivalent3 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 1 / 31 / 01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 1 / 31 / 01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 1 / 31 / 01 \end{array}$ |
| NAZ | $5.19 \%$ | 7.92\% | 13.59\% | 13.28\% | $18.60 \%$ |
| NUM | $5.86 \%$ | 8.88\% | 15.67\% | 13.28\% | $22.43 \%$ |
| NMP | $5.76 \%$ | 8.73\% | 18.58\% | $13.28 \%$ | $22.43 \%$ |
| NUO | $5.31 \%$ | 8.23\% | 12.82\% | 13.28\% | 18.60\% |
| NTX | $5.89 \%$ | 8.54\% | 15.74\% | 13.28\% | 18.60\% |

Past performance is not predictive of future results.
For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

Early in 2000, as the Fed's interest rate hikes pushed yields higher, municipal bond prices took a corresponding drop. Over the summer, however, the tight supply of new municipal issues and generally favorable technicals helped to prompt a shift in market outlook, rallying the municipal market and boosting the prices of many bonds. The Funds' participation in the recovery of the municipal market is reflected in the total returns on NAV listed in the previous table, which show dramatic improvement over the performance results presented in the Funds' annual report for the period ending July 31, 2000.

During the 12 months ended January 31, 2001, the yield on the Bond Buyer 25 Revenue Bond Index fell from 6.31\% to 5.43\%. In this environment of falling yields (and rising bond values), you would expect funds with longer durations 4 to perform well. As of January 31, 2001 , the duration of the unleveraged Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index was 7.51. By comparison, the durations of NAZ, NUM, NMP, and NTX ranged from 8.50 to 9.86 , while NUO's duration was 6.28 . The relative performance of the Funds was also impacted by their weightings of U.S. guaranteed bonds, which ranged from $24 \%$ in NUO to $6 \%$ in NMP. In the current environment, the extremely short durations of these prerefunded and escrowed bonds, which benefited the Funds through higher yields, limited the Funds' degree of participation in the market rally.

## WERE THE FUNDS' DIVIDENDS AFFECTED BY THE MARKET ENVIRONMENT?

Changes in the interest rate environment over the past 12 months had an impact on the dividends of the five Nuveen Funds covered in this report. All of these Funds use leverage as a way to potentially enhance the dividends paid to common shareholders. The extent of this benefit is tied in part to the short-term rates that the Funds pay their MuniPreferred(R) shareholders. When short-term rates rise, the Funds must pay out more income to preferred shareholders, decreasing the amount of income available for common shareholders. As the Federal Reserve raised short-term rates between June 1999 and May 2000 , these increases had a corresponding impact on short-term municipal rates. The increase in short-term municipal rates, as well as the pressure of bond calls, was sufficient to cause decreases in the common share dividends of all five Funds during 2000. Despite these dividend adjustments, the Nuveen Funds continued to provide competitive market yields, as shown in the earlier table.

Recently, the Fed's interest rate cuts have contributed to a steepening of the slope in the municipal yield curve. The lower rates offered by municipal securities with shorter maturities could potentially have beneficial effects on the dividend stability of these leveraged Funds by reducing the amount the Funds pay their MuniPreferred(R) shareholders. This, in turn, could leave more Fund earnings to support common share dividends. However, this trend could be offset by the effect of bond calls on higher-yielding bonds held by these portfolios. All five of these Funds reach the 10 -year point of the bond market cycle between October 2001 and December 2002, a period when they will experience increased risk of bond calls.

WHAT ABOUT THE FUNDS' SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE?
During the first part of 2000, uncertainties about inflation and interest rates, coupled with investors' focus on equity market performance, tended to dampen interest in most fixed-income products. The lack of demand put pressure on the prices of many municipal bond investments. In recent months, as the bond market showed signs of recovery and the stock market continued to be volatile, many investors once again appeared to be considering tax-free fixed-income alternatives. As a result, the share prices of these Funds improved (see the charts on the individual Performance Overview pages).

As investors recognized this opportunity, robust demand for these Nuveen Funds caused the premiums (share price above NAV) on NAZ and NUO to widen, while the discounts (share price below NAV) on NMP and NTX narrowed substantially over the past 12 months. For NUM, the discount was virtually eliminated. While the market prices of NMP and NTX continue to lag the actual value of the bonds in their portfolios, shareholders

1 The Funds' performances are compared with that of the national Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index, an unleveraged index comprising a broad range of investment-grade municipal bonds. Results for the Lehman index do not reflect any expenses.

2 The total returns of NAZ, NUO, and NTX are compared with the average annualized return of the 19 funds in the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category, while the returns of NUM and NMP are compared with the average return of the 6 funds in the Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds category. Fund and Lipper returns assume reinvestment of dividends.

3 The taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. The taxable-equivalent yield is based on the Fund's market yield on the indicated date and a federal income tax rate of $31 \%$ plus the applicable state income tax rate. The combined federal and state tax rates used in this report are as follows: Arizona 34.5\%, Michigan 34\%, and Ohio $35.5 \%$. Because Texas has no state income tax, NTX's taxable-equivalent yield is based on the federal income tax rate of $31 \%$.

4 Duration is a measure of a Fund's NAV volatility in reaction to interest rate movements. Fund duration, also known as leverage-adjusted duration, takes into account the leveraging process for a Fund and therefore is generally longer than the duration of the actual portfolio of individual bonds that make up the Fund. Unless otherwise noted, references to duration in this commentary are intended to indicate Fund duration.
may want to consider taking advantage of this opportunity to add to their holdings of these Nuveen Funds.

WHAT KEY STRATEGIES WERE USED TO MANAGE THESE FUNDS DURING THE YEAR ENDED
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JANUARY 31, 2001?
Despite a slow start, the 12 -month period ended January 31, 2001, turned out to be generally positive for fixed-income markets, including municipal bonds. As evidenced by their strong 12 -month total returns on NAV, these Nuveen Funds were well structured going into this period. Given the tight supply of new issuance over the past 12 months, we found fewer opportunities to make trades that would substantially enhance the Funds' holdings. As opportunities did become available, we focused on strengthening long-term dividend-payment capabilities, enhancing call protection, and purchasing bonds with the potential to add value and diversification to the Funds' portfolios.

For example, in all of the funds covered in this report, we recently leveraged our understanding of the complexities of bonds secured by payments under the $\$ 250$ billion master settlement agreement between four major tobacco companies and 46 states in 1998 by purchasing Puerto Rico Children's Trust Fund bonds backed by these revenues. These Aa3 rated bonds, which we purchased in late October, offered attractive yields as well as federal and state income tax exemption and have performed well since our purchase. If prices remain attractive, we plan to continue to add to our holdings of these bonds, especially through purchases in the secondary market.

To enhance NUO's ability to participate in market gains, we also worked to lengthen the Fund's duration by purchasing appropriate issues as they became available in the market. For example, in January, we added zero coupon bonds issued by Hamilton County that we found very attractive versus historical spread relationships. These Aaa bonds have a maturity date of 2028 and are noncallable. As the municipal market rallied, many of the bonds in our portfolio registered substantial gains. In view of this, we took advantage of opportunities to sell bonds with short calls or lower yields, reinvesting the proceeds in bonds offering higher yields. This enabled us to generate tax loss carryforwards to offset future realized capital gains while improving the Fund's call structure and enhancing potential dividend-paying capabilities.

Another area of the market in which we continued to identify value opportunities was the healthcare sector, where credit spreads remained wide relative to historical levels. Although this industry continues to face challenges, healthcare providers on the whole are adjusting to the new environment, and signs indicate that improvement could be on the way. This is a sector where our considerable research expertise is crucial in identifying undervalued situations and credits with the potential to improve over time. Over the past six months, we found several such situations. In the Michigan Funds, we purchased the Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority - Ascension Health Credit Group, while NAZ added bonds issued by the Arizona Health Facilities Authority - John C. Lincoln Health Network. The Ascension Health and Lincoln Health bonds provided yields 100 and 200 basis points, respectively, over insured bonds currently available in the market. In NTX, we also found opportunities to pick up additional yield, including the purchase of AAA bonds issued by the Grand Prairie Housing Finance Corporation - Landings at Carrier Project, a GNMA-insured multifamily housing project, which provided 80 basis points of incremental yield over AAA-insured general obligation bonds.

In terms of performance, the top two sectors of the municipal market over the past 12 months were hospitals and water and sewer. During this period, the Funds' performances benefited from their weightings in these two sectors, which ranked among the top five holdings in NUM, NMP, NUO, and NTX. At the same time, NAZ increased its healthcare weighting to 16\% from 14\% a year earlier.

All five Funds continued to offer excellent credit quality, with between $57 \%$ and $84 \%$ of their portfolios invested in bonds rated AAA/U.S. guaranteed as of January 31, 2001. Over the past 12 months, bonds rated AAA were among the market's top performers, and the Funds' overweighting in this credit sector helped to enhance
performance. The Funds also had allocations of BBB and non-rated bonds that ranged from 5\% (NUM) to 22\% (NTX), which generally provided higher yields over the past year. For NAZ, NUM, and NMP, an additional strategy over the past six months involved increasing the Funds' exposure to BBB and non-rated bonds as credit spreads widened.

WHAT IS YOUR OUTLOOK FOR THESE NUVEEN FUNDS?
In general, our outlook for the fixed-income markets over the next 12 months is positive. Current projections call for continued strong demand for municipal bonds. Although we could see the pace of refundings pick up if interest rates remain low or drop further, new municipal issuance nationwide in 2001 is expected to remain around $\$ 200$ billion. These supply-and-demand dynamics should continue to provide support for the municipal market and municipal bond prices. We will, of course, continue to closely monitor the economy, including the progress of proposed tax reduction plans and Federal Reserve policy, in order to be prepared to respond appropriately to any developing situations.

Looking specifically at these Nuveen Funds, both NAZ and NMP offer excellent levels of call protection over the next two years, with a total of $12 \%$ and $16 \%$, respectively, of each portfolio subject to calls during 2001 and 2002. In October 2001, NUM, NUO, and NTX reach the 10 -year anniversary of their inceptions, a period when they will experience the increased potential for bond calls normally associated with this point of the bond market cycle. Over the next two years, these three Funds could each see 29-40\% of their portfolios affected by bond calls, depending on market interest rates during this time. In general, we believe these positions are very manageable, and we foresee no problems in working through them.

While we cannot control the direction of interest rates, we continue to work to reduce the Funds' call exposure and to actively manage the Funds to mitigate the longer-term effects of the bond call process. These strategies include selling bonds with short call dates and prerefunded bonds with short maturities. At the present time, we anticipate holding older bonds with higher yields until six months to a year before their call dates and then selling these bonds and reinvesting the proceeds in the longer end of the primary market. In this way, we can keep the principal gains achieved over the past 12 months while maximizing tax-exempt income.

In addition to bond calls, we plan to continue to focus on keeping the Funds fully invested and working for shareholders and on strengthening the Funds' dividend-payment capabilities as market conditions allow. This will include exploring additional opportunities to add to our allocations of BBB and non-rated bonds where Nuveen Research has made favorable assessments of credit quality. Depending on the interest rate environment, bond calls in NUM, NUO, and NTX could create opportunities to further enhance the structure of these Funds. In NUO, we will also seek to purchase bonds that can further extend the Fund's duration. As value-oriented investors, we will continue to look for areas of the market that can add value for our shareholders. We believe these Nuveen Funds will continue to serve as a dependable source of tax-free income and portfolio diversification, two essential elements of a comprehensive investment strategy.

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

## Performance

OVERVIEW As of January 31, 2001

## NAZ

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS

| Inception Date | 11/92 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Share Price | \$15.74 |
| Net Asset Value | \$14.68 |
| Market Yield | 5.19\% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal Income Tax Rate) 1 | 7.52\% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal and State Income Tax Rate) 1 | 7.92\% |
| Fund Net Assets (\$000) | \$94,278 |
| Average Effective Maturity (Years) | 17.76 |
| Leverage-Adjusted Duration | 8.83 |

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

|  | ON SHARE PRICE | ON NAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Year | 17.98\% | 13.59\% |
| 5-Year | 7.74\% | 5.01\% |
| Since Inception | 6.21\% | 6.24\% |

TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

$\qquad$
Healthcare ..... $16 \%$
Tax Obligation/Limited ..... 16\%
Utilities ..... 9\%
Tax Obligation/General ..... 9\%

| Bar Chart: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2000-2001$ Monthly Tax-Free | Dividends Per Share2 |
| $2 / 00$ | 0.0705 |
| $3 / 00$ | 0.0705 |
| $4 / 00$ | 0.0705 |
| $5 / 00$ | 0.0705 |
| $6 / 00$ | 0.068 |
| $7 / 00$ | 0.068 |
| $8 / 00$ | 0.068 |
| $9 / 00$ | 0.068 |
| $10 / 00$ | 0.068 |


| $11 / 00$ | 0.068 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 / 00$ | 0.068 |

Line Chart:
Share Price Performance
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Past performance is not predictive of future results.

```
1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield on a taxable investment
    necessary to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. It
    is calculated using the current market yield and a federal income tax rate
    of 31%. The rate shown for federal and state highlights the added value of
    owning shares that are also exempt from state income taxes. It is based on
    a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.5%.
2 The Fund also paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in December
    2000 of $0.0061 per share.
```

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.
Performance
OVERVIEW As of January 31, 2001

NUM

| Inception Date | 10/91 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Share Price | \$15.08 |
| Net Asset Value | \$15.10 |
| Market Yield | 5.86\% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal Income Tax Rate) 1 | 8.49\% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield (Federal and State Income Tax Rate) 1 | 8.88\% |
| Fund Net Assets (\$000) | \$267,916 |
| Average Effective Maturity (Years) | 18.28 |
| Leverage-Adjusted Duration | 8.86 |

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

|  | ON SHARE PRICE | ON NAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Year | 22.11 \% | 15.67\% |
| 5-Year | 5.00\% | 5.46\% |
| Since Inception | 6.50\% | 7.40\% |

TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Tax Obligation/General 25\%

| Utilities | 17\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Guaranteed | 17\% |
| Healthcare | 10\% |
| Water and Sewer | 8\% |


| Bar Chart: |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $2000-2001$ Monthly Tax-FreeDividends Per Share 2 <br> $2 / 00$ <br> $3 / 00$ <br> $4 / 00$ <br> $5 / 00$ <br> $6 / 00$ <br> $7 / 00$ <br> $8 / 00$ <br> $9 / 00$ <br> $10 / 00$ <br> $11 / 00$$\quad 0.076$ |  |
| $12 / 00$ | 0.076 |
| $1 / 01$ | 0.0735 |

Line Chart:
Share Price Performance
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| Average Effective Maturity (Years) | 18.75 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Leverage-Adjusted Duration | 8.50 |
| ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN |  |
| ON SHARE PRICE | ON NAV |
| 1-Year 23.26\% | $18.58 \%$ |
| 5-Year 7.39\% | 5.92\% |
| Since Inception 5.17\% | $6.49 \%$ |
| TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| Healthcare | 20\% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | 20\% |
| Tax Obligation/General | 14\% |
| Water and Sewer | 13\% |
| Utilities | 12\% |


| Bar Chart: |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2000-2001 Monthly Tax-Free | Dividends Per Share 2 |
| $2 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $3 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $4 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $5 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $6 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $7 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $8 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $9 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $10 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $11 / 00$ | 0.069 |
| $12 / 00$ | 0.0675 |
| $1 / 01$ | 0.0675 |
|  |  |
| LINE CHART: |  |
| Share Price Performance |  |
| $2 / 4 / 00$ | 12.5 |
|  | 12.19 |
|  | 12 |
|  | 12.13 |
|  | 12.25 |
|  | 12 |
|  | 11.81 |
|  | 11.94 |
|  | 12.06 |
|  | 12.25 |
|  | 12.25 |
|  | 12.25 |
|  | 12.25 |
|  |  |

```
12.19
12.19
12.25
12.56
12.81
12.94
12.75
12.75
1 3
13.25
13.13
13.13
13.25
13.25
13.38
13.38
13.38
13.31
13.31
13.25
13.13
13.06
12.69
12.38
12.25
12.75
12.25
12.69
12.75
12.75
12.81
13.13
12.94
1 4
13.94
13.94
13.75
1/31/01 14.0625
Weekly Closing Price
Past performance is not predictive of future results.
1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield on a taxable investment
    necessary to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. It
    is calculated using the current market yield and a federal income tax rate
    of 31%. The rate shown for federal and state highlights the added value of
    owning shares that are also exempt from state income taxes. It is based on
    a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34%.
2 The Fund also paid shareholders a net ordinary income distribution in
    December 2000 of $0.0025 per share.
Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.
Performance
    OVERVIEW As of January 31, }200
```

NUO
PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
Inception Date ..... 10/91
Share Price ..... $\$ 16.95$Net Asset Value\$15.95
Market Yield ..... $5.31 \%$
Taxable-Equivalent Yield
(Federal Income Tax Rate) 1 ..... $7.70 \%$
Taxable-Equivalent Yield
(Federal and State Income Tax Rate)1 8.23\%
--------------------------------------------------------1
Fund Net Assets (\$000) ..... \$228, 376
Average Effective Maturity (Years) ..... 14.71
Leverage-Adjusted Duration ..... 6.28

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

|  | ON SHARE PRICE | ON NAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Year | $15.56 \%$ | 12.82\% |
| 5-Year | $6.61 \%$ | $5.66 \%$ |
| Since Inception | $7.42 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ |

TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)U.S. Guaranteed 24\%Tax Obligation/General17\%
Housing/Multifamily ..... $12 \%$
Healthcare ..... $10 \%$
Water and Sewer ..... 8\%

BAR CHART:
2000-2001 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share
2/00 0.082
$3 / 00 \quad 0.082$
$4 / 00 \quad 0.082$
$5 / 00 \quad 0.082$
6/00 0.077
$7 / 00 \quad 0.077$
$8 / 00 \quad 0.077$
9/00 0.077
$10 / 00 \quad 0.077$
$11 / 00 \quad 0.077$
$12 / 00 \quad 0.075$

```
0.075
```

LINE CHART:
Share Price Performance 2/4/00 16
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```
1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield on a taxable investment necessary to equal the yield of the Nuveen Fund on an after-tax basis. It is calculated using the current market yield and a federal income tax rate of \(31 \%\). The rate shown for federal and state highlights the added value of owning shares that are also exempt from state income taxes. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of \(35.5 \%\).
```

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund
Performance
OVERVIEW As of January 31, 2001

NTX

| Inception Date | 10/91 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Share Price | \$14.57 |
| Net Asset Value | \$14.85 |
| Market Yield | $5.89 \%$ |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 | $8.54 \%$ |
| Fund Net Assets (\$000) | \$209,182 |
| Average Effective Maturity (Years) | 20.39 |
| Leverage-Adjusted Duration | 9.86 |

ANNUALIZED TOTAL RETURN

|  | ON SHARE PRICE | ON NAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Year | 27.92\% | 15.74\% |
| 5-Year | 5.89\% | 5.32\% |
| Since Inception | $6.35 \%$ | $7.18 \%$ |

TOP FIVE SECTORS (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
--------------------------------------------------------
Tax Obligation/General 18\%
Healthcare ..... 15\%Transportation$12 \%$
Water and Sewer ..... 11\%U.S. Guaranteed$10 \%$

| 2000-2001 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $2 / 00$ | 0.076 |
| $3 / 00$ | 0.076 |
| $4 / 00$ | 0.076 |
| $5 / 00$ | 0.076 |
| $6 / 00$ | 0.073 |
| $7 / 00$ | 0.073 |
| $8 / 00$ | 0.073 |
| $9 / 00$ | 0.073 |
| $10 / 00$ | 0.073 |
| $11 / 00$ | 0.073 |
| $12 / 00$ | 0.0715 |
| $1 / 01$ | 0.0715 |

LINE CHART:
Share Price Performance 2/4/00
12.63
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12.06
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12.19
12.56
13.06
12.75
12.5
12.5
12.5
12.44
12.38
12.31
12.81
12.81
12.81
12.75
12.75
12.88
12.94
12.88
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13
13.13
13.19
13.31
13.31
13.31
13.06
13.25
13.25
13.44
13.13
12.81
12.75
12.75
12.63
12.81
12.81
13.25

|  | 12.94 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 12.94 |
|  | 13.25 |
|  | 13.94 |
|  | 13.94 |
|  | 14.56 |
|  | 14.44 |
| 1/31/01 | 14.5625 |
| Weekly |  |
| Past per | dictive |
|  | eld repr e yield he curre |

Shareholder
MEETING REPORT

The Shareholder Meeting was held October 18, 2000, in Chicago at Nuveen's headquarters.

APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:

| Preferred |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Shares |  |
| Common | Shares |
| Series-TH |  |


| Robert P. Bremner |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For | 4,110,241 | 1,178 |
| Withhold | 33,042 | 5 |
| Total | 4,143,283 | 1,183 |
| Lawrence H. Brown |  |  |
| For | 4,110,241 | 1,178 |
| Withhold | 33,042 | 5 |
| Total | 4,143,283 | 1,183 |
| Anne E. Impellizzeri |  |  |
| For | 4,107,798 | 1,178 |
| Withhold | 35,485 | 5 |
| Total | 4,143,283 | 1,183 |
| Peter R. Sawers |  |  |
| For | 4,108,443 | 1,178 |
| Withhold | 34,840 | 5 |
| Total | 4,143,283 | 1,183 |
| Judith M. Stockdale |  |  |
| For | 4,109,241 | 1,178 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN ARIZONA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-30D

| Withhold | 34,042 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 4,143,283 | 1,183 |
| William J. Schneider |  |  |
| For | -- | 1,178 |
| Withhold | -- | 5 |
| Total | -- | 1,183 |
| Timothy R. Schwertfeger |  |  |
| For | - | 1,178 |
| Withhold | -- | 5 |
| Total | -- | 1,183 |
| RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS: |  |  |
| For | 4,067,295 | 1,182 |
| Against | 26,255 | 1 |
| Abstain | 49,733 | - |
| Total | 4,143,283 | 1,183 |

Shareholder
MEETING REPORT (continued)

APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:

Robert P. Bremner
For
Withhold

Total

Lawrence H. Brown
For
Withhold

Total

Anne E. Impellizzeri
For
Withhold

Total

Peter R. Sawers
For
Withhold

Total

Judith M. Stockdale

```
    For
    Withhold
    Total
William J. Schneider
    For
    Withhold
    Total
    Timothy R. Schwertfeger
    For
    Withhold
    Total
RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:
    For
    Against
    Abstain
    Total
```

APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:

|  | Common Shares |
| :---: | :---: |
| Robert P. Bremner |  |
| For | 8,408,084 |
| Withhold | 108,134 |
| Total | 8,516,218 |
| Lawrence H. Brown |  |
| For | 8,404,362 |
| Withhold | 111,856 |
| Total | 8,516,218 |
| Anne E. Impeliizzeri |  |
| For | 8,397,935 |
| Withhold | 118,283 |
| Total | 8,516,218 |
| Peter R. Sawers |  |
| For | 8,405,926 |
| Withhold | 110,292 |
| Total | 8,516,218 |
| Judith M. Stockdale |  |
| For | 8,386,535 |

Withhold 129,683
Total8,516,218
William J. SchneiderFor--
Withhold ..... --
Total ..... --
Timothy R. Schwertfeger
For--
Withhold ..... --
Total ..... --
RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:
For ..... 8,402,186
Against ..... 36,216
Abstain ..... 77,816
Total ..... 8,516,218
Shareholder
MEETING REPORT (continued)
APPROVAL OF THE TRUSTEES WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:
Robert P. Bremner
For
Withhold
Total
Lawrence H. Brown
For
Withhold
Total
Anne E. Impellizzeri
For
Withhold
Total
Peter R. Sawers
For
Withhold
Total
For
Against
Abstain
Total

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ)
Portfolio of
INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION
OPTION PROVI

Basic Materials - 4.1\%
\$ 5,000 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Gila, Environmental Revenue Refunding Bonds (ASARCO, Inc. Project), Series 1998, 5.550\%, 1/01/27

Consumer Staples - $2.2 \%$

2,000 The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed
$7 / 10$ Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750\%, 7/01/20

Education and Civic Organizations - 3.2\%

1,750 Student Loan Acquisition Authority of Arizona, Student Loan Revenue 5/04 Bonds, Subordinated Fixed Rate Bonds, Series 1994B, 6. 600\%, 5/01/10 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

100 University of Arizona, Telecommunications System Certificates<br>of Participation, Series 1991, 6.500\%, 7/15/12<br>1,000 Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.250\%, 6/01/11

Healthcare - 16.1\%2,000 Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds$11 / 09$
(Phoenix Children's Hospital), Series 1999A, 6.125\%, 11/15/22
2,125 Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds ..... $12 / 10$(John C. Lincoln Health Network), Series 2000, 7.000\%, 12/01/25
2,000 Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Catholic ..... $7 / 10$Healthcare West), Series 1999A, 6.625\%, 7/01/20500 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Maricopa,$9 / 05$Baptist Hospital System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995,5.500\%, 9/01/16
3,000 The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Mesa, Discovery ..... $1 / 10$Health System Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.750\%, 1/01/25
2,000 Hospital District No. One of the County of Mohave, Refunding ..... $6 / 02$General Obligation Bonds (Kingman Regional Medical CenterProject), Series 1992, 6.500\%, 6/01/15
515 Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and$11 / 10$
Environmental Control Facilities Financing Authority, HospitalRevenue Bonds (Hospital de la Concepcion Project), Series 2000A,6.375\%, 11/15/15
2,000 University Medical Center Corporation of Tucson, Hospital Revenue ..... $7 / 02$Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.250\%, 7/01/16
1,055 The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Winslow, ..... $6 / 08$
Hospital Revenue Bonds (Winslow Memorial Hospital Project),Series 1998, 5.500\%, 6/01/22
Housing/Multifamily - 7.4\%
2,011 The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Glendale, ..... $10 / 10$Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A (GNMACollateralized Mortgage Loan - Maridale Apartments Project),7.500\%, 10/20/35
1,275 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Maricopa,$10 / 10$Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (GNMA Collateralized -Villas at Augusta Project), Series 2000, 6.400\%, 10/20/20
3,215 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Tucson, ..... $7 / 10$Senior Living Facilities Revenue Bonds (The Christian CareProject), Series 2000A, 5.625\%, 7/01/20

```
330 The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Phoenix,
Statewide Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds,
Series 1995, 6.150\%, 6/01/08 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,500 The Industrial Development Authority of the City of Phoenix,
Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000-1B, \(6.000 \%\), 6/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
```

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION PROV

Housing/Single Family (continued)
\$ 285 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima, $8 / 05$
Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995A, $6.500 \%$, 2/01/17

2,165 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima, 5/07 Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A, $6.250 \%$, 11/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

Long-Term Care - 1.1\%
1,000 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Mohave,
$5 / 06$
Health Care Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1996 (GNMA
Collateralized - Chris Ridge and Silver Ridge Village Projects), $6.375 \%$, 11/01/31

Tax Obligation/General - 8.9\%
1,400 Chandler Unified School District No. 80 of Maricopa County,
General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1993, 5.950\%, 7/01/10
3,625 City of Mesa, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999, 5.000\%, 7/01/18
$7 / 09$

2,500 City of Phoenix, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 7/02 6.375\%, 7/01/13

585 Tempe Union High School District No. 213 of Maricopa County,
$7 / 04$
School Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 1994, 6.000\%, 7/01/12

Tax Obligation/Limited - 15.5\%
Bullhead City, Bullhead Parkway Improvement District, Improvement Bonds:
$9106.100 \%$, 1/01/08
$1 / 03$
$9706.100 \%$, 1/01/09
$1 / 03$

```
3,000 Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Education
    Revenue Bonds (Arizona Charter Schools Project I), Series 2000A,
    6.750%, 7/01/29
    Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Government Office Lease
    Revenue Bonds (Capitol Mall L.L.C. Project), Series 2000:
1,000 5.375%, 9/15/22
2,000 5.500%, 9/15/27 9/10
2,150 Phoenix Civic Plaza Building Corporation, Senior Lien Excise Tax
7/05
    Revenue Bonds, Series 1994, 6.000%, 7/01/14
1,000 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Transportation
    Revenue Bonds, Series B, 6.500%, 7/01/27
    5 0 0 ~ S u r p r i s e ~ M u n i c i p a l ~ P r o p e r t y ~ C o r p o r a t i o n , ~ E x c i s e ~ T a x ~ R e v e n u e ~
    Bonds, Series 2000, 5.700%, 7/01/20
    500 City of Tucson, Certificates of Participation, Series 2000,
    5.700%, 7/01/20
2,100 City of Tucson, Junior Lien Street and Highway User Revenue Bonds,
    7/10
    Series 1994-E, 5.000%, 7/01/18
```

U.S. Guaranteed - 22.1\%
3,500 The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Maricopa,
Samaritan Health Services, Hospital System Revenue Refunding
Bonds, Series 1990A, 7.000\%, 12/01/16
The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Mohave,
Hospital System Revenue Refunding Bonds (Medical Environments,
Inc. and Phoenix Baptist Hospital and Medical Center Inc.),
Series 1993:
5,000 6.750\%, 7/01/08 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/03) 7/03
$1,000 \quad 7.000 \%$, 7/01/16 (Pre-refunded to $7 / 01 / 03$ ) $7 / 03$
2,700 City of Phoenix, Civic Improvement Corporation, Wastewater 7/03
System Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 1993, 6.125\%, 7/01/23
(Pre-refunded to 7/01/03)
1,510 Metropolitan Domestic Water Improvement District of Pima County,
Special Assessment and Water Revenue Bonds, Series 1992,
$6.200 \%$, 1/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/03)
1,415 Tempe Union High School District No. 213 of Maricopa County, 7/04
School Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 1994,
$6.000 \%$, 7/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/04)
3,000 City of Tucson, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1984-G (1994),
$7 / 04$
6.250\%, 7/01/18 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/04)
725 City of Tucson, Certificates of Participation, Series 1994,
$7 / 04$

```
        Utilities - 9.4%
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \$ & 3,000 & Coconino County, Pollution Control Corporation, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Nevada Power Company Project), Series 1996, 6.375\%, 10/01/36 (Alternative Minimum Tax) & 10/06 \\
\hline & 2,000 & Mohave County, the Industrial Development Authority, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds (Citizen Utilities Company Projects), 1994 Series, 6.600\%, 5/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax) & 11/03 \\
\hline & 500 & Navajo County, Pollution Control Corporation, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Arizona Public Service Company), 1993 Series A, 5.875\%, 8/15/28 & \(8 / 03\) \\
\hline & 1,465 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pima County, the Industrial Development Authority, Industrial Development Lease Obligation Refunding Revenue Bonds \\
(Irvington Project), 1988 Series A, 7.250\%, 7/15/10
\end{tabular} & 1/02 \\
\hline & 2,000 & Yavapai County, the Industrial Development Authority, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds (Citizens Utilities Company Project), 1998 Series, 5.450\%, 6/01/33 (Alternative Minimum Tax) & \(6 / 07\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Water and Sewer - 5.0\% & \\
\hline 500 & \begin{tabular}{l}
County of Maricopa, the Industrial Development Authority, Water System Improvement Revenue Bonds (Chaparral City Water Company Project), Series 1997A, 5.400\%, 12/01/22 \\
(Alternative Minimum Tax)
\end{tabular} & 12/07 \\
\hline 2,000 & City of Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Junior Lien Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000\%, 7/01/24 & \(7 / 10\) \\
\hline 2,000 & City of Tucson, Water System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 5.750\%, 7/01/18 & \(7 / 02\) \\
\hline \$ 91,381 & Total Investments (cost \$91,004,045) - 99.9\% & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Other Assets Less Liabilities - 0.1\%} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Net Assets - 100\%} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

* Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates.
** Ratings: Using the higher of Standard \& Poor's or Moody's rating.
*** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

N/R Investment is not rated.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

```
Capital Goods - 0.4%
```

\$ 1,000 Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds
(WMX Technologies, Inc. Project), Series 1993, 6.000\%, 12/01/13
(Alternative Minimum Tax)

```
Consumer Staples - 0.1\%
350 The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed
            Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750\%, 7/01/20
```

                Education and Civic Organizations - 3. 4\%
    1,720 Board of Trustees of Ferris State University, General Revenue 4/08
        Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000\%, 10/01/23
            1,500 Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Student Loan No Opt
            Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series XII-T, 5.300\%, 9/01/10
            (Alternative Minimum Tax)
            1,000 Board of Trustees of Oakland University, General Revenue Bonds, 5/05
            Series 1995, 5.750\%, 5/15/15
            3,430 Board of Governors of Wayne State University, General Revenue
                            \(11 / 09\)
            Bonds, Series 1999, 5.250\%, 11/15/19
            1,450 Board of Trustees of Western Michigan University, General Revenue 7/03
            Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.000\%, 7/15/21
                Healthcare - 9.8\%
    2,900 The Economic Development Corporation of the City of Dearborn,Hospital Revenue Bonds (Oakwood Obligated Group), Series 1995A,5.875\%, 11/15/25
2,235 City of Hancock Hospital Finance Authority, FHA-Insured Mortgage ..... $8 / 08$Hospital Revenue Bonds (Portage Health System, Inc.),Series 1998, 5.450\%, 8/01/47

1,000 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999 (OSF Healthcare System), 6.125\%, 11/15/19

Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds (Ascension
Health Credit Group), Series 1999A:
$1,0006.125 \%$, 11/15/23 11/09
$2,5006.125 \% 11 / 15 / 26 \quad 11 / 09$
1,700 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue and Refunding 8/09
Bonds (Mercy Health Services Obligated Group), Series 1999X,
5.750\%, 8/15/19
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and
Refunding Bonds (Mercy Mount Clemens Corporation Obligated
Group), Series 1999A:
3,385 5.750\%, 5/15/17 5/09
$5005.750 \%$ 5/15/29 5/09
4,500 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds 8/08
(Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group), Series 1998A,
5.250\%, 8/15/28
1,000 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue and Refunding
$11 / 09$
Bonds (Memorial Healthcare Center Obligated Group), Series 1999,
5.875\%, 11/15/21
5,000 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds
$11 / 09$
(Henry Ford Health System), Series 1999A, 6.000\%, 11/15/24
2,195 Regents of the University of Michigan, Medical Service Plan
No Op
Revenue Bonds, Series 1991, 0.000\%, 12/01/10
Housing/Multifamily - 6.4\%
5,250 Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation $10 / 02$
Revenue Bonds (Parkway Meadows Project), Series 1991,
6.850\%, 10/15/18
5,000 Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing
$6 / 05$
Revenue Bonds, 1995 Series B, 6.150\%, 10/01/15
6,795 Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing

|  | Housing/Single Family $-0.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ \quad 1,405 \quad$Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Single Family <br> Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 1991 Series B, $6.950 \%, 12 / 01 / 20$ |  |

```
Long-Term Care - 3.6%
```

2,000 The Economic Development Corporation of the Charter Township 7/09 of Grand Rapids, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Porter Hills Obligated Group - Cook Valley Estate Project), Series 1999, 5.450\%, 7/01/29

```
1,250 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds
    (Presbyterian Villages of Michigan Obligated Group),
    Series 1997, 6.375%, 1/01/25
1,300 Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Porter
    7/08
    Hills Presbyterian Village, Inc. Project), Series 1998, 5.375%, 7/01/28
5,280 The Economic Development Corporation of the City of Warren, Nursing
    3/02
        Home Revenue Refunding Bonds (GNMA Mortgage-Backed
        Security - Autumn Woods Project), Series 1992, 6.900%, 12/20/22
```

        Tax Obligation/General - \(24.7 \%\)
    Allegan Public Schools, County of Allegan, 2000 General Obligation Bonds:
5.600\%, 5/01/20
$1,150 \quad 5.750 \%, 5 / 01 / 30$
2,190 Anchor Bay School District, Counties of Macomb and St.Clair, 1999 School Building and Site Bonds, Series I (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.000\%, 5/01/29

1,000 Belding Area Schools, Counties of Ionia, Kent and Montcalm,
1998 Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), $5.000 \%$, 5/01/26

1,000 Charlotte Public Schools, County of Easton, 1999 School Building
and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.250\%, 5/01/25

1,000 Chippewa Valley Schools, County of Macomb, 1993 Refunding
Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.000\%, 5/01/21

2,000 Clarkston Community Schools, County of Oakland, 1997 School
Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.250\%, 5/01/23

4,720 School District of the City of Detroit, Wayne County, School
Building and Site Improvement Bonds (General Obligation Unlimited Tax), Series 1998A, 4.750\%, 5/01/28

2,000 East Grand Rapids Public Schools, County of Kent, 2000 School
Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), $6.000 \%$, 5/01/29

1,085 Freeland Community School District, Counties of Saginaw, Midland, and Bay, 2000 School Building and Sites Bonds
(General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.250\%, 5/01/19

3,300 Grand Ledge Public Schools, Counties of Eaton, Clinton and Ionia,
1995 Refunding Bonds, 5.375\%, 5/01/24

725 Lake Orion Community School District, County of Oakland,
1995 Refunding Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.500\%, 5/01/20

1,000 Mancelona Public School District, Counties of Antrim and 5/06
Kalkaska, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1997, 5.200\%, 5/01/17
6,400 Mattawan Consolidated School, Counties of Van Buren
$5 / 02$
 Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)


```
2,600 West Bloomfield School District, County Of Oakland, 2000 School 5/10
    Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax),
    5.900%, 5/01/18
    Western Townships Utilities Authority, Sewage Disposal System
    Refunding Bonds, Series 1991:
1,500 6.750%, 1/01/15 1/02
5,040 6.500%, 1/01/19 1/02
1,725 Williamston Community School District, General Obligation - No Op
    Unlimited Tax Bonds, Series 1996, 5.500%, 5/01/25
```

    Tax Obligation/Limited - 6.6\%
    1,000 City of Grand Rapids Building Authority, County of Kent, General
No Opt
Obligation - Limited Tax Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000\%, 4/01/16
255 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Local Government Loan 5/02
Program Revenue Bonds, Series 1992D, 6.650\%, 5/01/12
3,800 State Building Authority, 1991 Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I, $10 / 01$
6.250\%, 10/01/20
1,000 State Building Authority, 1998 Revenue Bonds Series I (Facilities 10/09
Program), 4.750\%, 10/15/21
The State of Michigan, Certificates of Participation (525 Redevco, Inc.):
2,000 5.500\%, 6/01/19
$6 / 10$
$2,0005.500 \%, 6 / 01 / 27 \quad 6 / 10$
Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue
Bonds, Series Y of 1996:
$2,0005.000 \%, 7 / 01 / 36 \quad 7 / 16$
$4,1005.500 \%, 7 / 01 / 36 \quad 7 / 16$
1,125 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Transportation 7/10
Revenue Bonds, Series B, 6.000\%, 7/01/39
Transportation - $1.0 \%$
2,505 Charter County of Wayne, Airport Revenue Bonds (Detroit
Metropolitan Wayne County Airport), Subordinate Lien,
Series 1991B, 6.750\%, 12/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
U.S. Guaranteed - $16.4 \%$
1,600 Gaylord Community Schools, Counties of Ostego and Antrim, $5 / 02$
1992 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, 6.600\%, 5/01/21
(Pre-refunded to 5/01/02)
1,000 Grosse Ile Township School District, School Improvement 5/07
Refunding Bonds, General Obligation, Series 1996,
$6.000 \%$, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/07)
3,100 Hemlock Public School District, Counties of Saginaw and
$5 / 02$
Midland, 1992 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds,

```
6.750\%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/02)
```

PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT（000）
DESCRIPTION

## U．S．Guaranteed（continued）

\＄2，000

Lincoln Park School District，General Obligation Bonds，Series 1996， 5．900\％，5／01／26（Pre－refunded to 5／01／06）

3，100 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority，State Revolving Fund Revenue
Bonds，Series 1992A，6．600\％，10／01／18（Pre－refunded to 10／01／02）
1，745 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority，Local Government Loan Program

Revenue Bonds，Series 1992D，6．650\％，5／01／12 （Pre－refunded to 5／01／02）

3，035 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority，Hospital Revenue Bonds（MidMichigan Obligated Group），Series 1992， 6．900\％，12／01／24（Pre－refunded to 12／01／02）

1，450 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority，Hospital Revenue

Bonds（McLaren Obligated Group），Series 1991A，7．500\％，9／15／21 （Pre－refunded to 9／15／01）
9，355 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority，Hospital Revenue ..... 11／01Bonds（Daughters of Charity National Health System－ProvidenceHospital），Series 1991，7．000\％，11／01／21（Pre－refunded to 11／01／01）
2，150 North Branch Area Schools，County of Lapeer， 1992 School Building， ..... 5／02Site and Refunding Bonds（General Obligation－UnlimitedTax），6．600\％，5／01／17（Pre－refunded to 5／01／02）
1，500 Perry Public Schools，Counties of Shiawassee and Ingham，1992 School Building and Site Bonds（General Obligation－Unlimited Tax），6．375\％，5／01／22（Pre－refunded to 5／01／02）
4，845 Saginaw－Midland Municipal Water Supply Corporation，Water ..... $9 / 04$Supply Revenue Bonds（General Obligation－Limited Tax），Series 1992，6．875\％，9／01／16（Pre－refunded to 9／01／04）
6，250 Regents of the University of Michigan，Medical Service Plan ..... 12／01
（Pre－refunded to 12／01／01）
Utilities－16．6\％
6，425 The Economic Development Corporation of the City of Detroit， ..... 5／01
Resource Recovery Revenue Bonds，Series 1991A，6．875\％，5／01／09（Alternative Minimum Tax）2，390 Michigan South Central Power Agency，Power Supply System11／01Revenue Refunding Bonds， 1991 Series，6．750\％，11／01／10
4，475 Michigan South Central Power Agency，Power Supply System ..... No Opt

OPTIONA PROVI




3,630 Michigan Strategic Fund, Adjustable Rate Demand Limited No OptObligation, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison CompanyPollution Control Bonds Project), Series 1990BB, 7.000\%, 5/01/21
4,330 Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Refunding Revenue ..... $9 / 01$Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Bonds Project),Collateralized, Series 1991CC, 6.950\%, 9/01/21
7,600 Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Refunding Revenue$12 / 01$Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Bonds Project),Collateralized, Series 1991DD, 6.875\%, 12/01/21
7,000 County of Monroe, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Detroit$9 / 02$Edison Company Monroe and Fermi Plants Project), Collateralized,Series I 1992, 6.875\%, 9/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)1,000 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Bonds,$7 / 05$Series X, 5.500\%, 7/01/255,000 City of Wyandotte, County of Wayne, 1992 Electric Revenue$10 / 02$

Water and Sewer - 8.2\%

5,250 City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Refunding Bonds,
City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 1997-A:

3,000 5.500\%, 7/01/20
$1,730 \quad 5.000 \%, 7 / 01 / 22$ ..... $7 / 07$
1,000 City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, ..... $1 / 10$Series 1999-A, 5.875\%, 7/01/276,000 City of Detroit, Water Supply System Revenue and Revenue$7 / 04$
Refunding Bonds, Series 1993, 5.000\%, 7/01/23

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

Water and Sewer (continued)

2,000 City of Detroit, Water Supply System Revenue Senior Lien Bonds, 1/10 Series 1999-A, 5.750\%, 7/01/26

1,000 City of Grand Rapids, Sanitary Sewer System Improvement and
$7 / 08$ Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A, 4.750\%, 1/01/28

City of Muskegon Heights, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A:
1,040 5.625\%, 11/01/25


Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP) Portfolio of

INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)


```
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION PROV
```

|  |  | Capital Goods - 2.6\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 3,050 | Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (Waste Management, Inc. Project), Series 1992, 6.625\%, 12/01/12 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/02 |
|  | 1,370 | Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds (WMX Technologies, Inc. Project), Series 1993, 6.000\%, 12/01/13 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/03 |

Consumer Staples - 0.3\%
500 The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,
3,000 Board of Regents of Eastern Michigan University, General Revenue ..... $12 / 06$ Bonds, Series 1997, 5.500\%, 6/01/27
1,100 Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Student Loan ..... 10/02 and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series XV-A, 6.700\%, 10/01/05(Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,000 Board of Control of Saginaw Valley State University, General ..... 7/09Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 5.625\%, 7/01/292,500 Board of Governors of Wayne State University, General Revenue11/09
Bonds, Series 1999, 5.125\%, 11/15/29
Healthcare - 19.5\%
2,050 City of Dearborn Economic Development Corporation, Hospital ..... 11/05Revenue Bonds (Oakwood Obligated Group), Series 1995A,5.875\%, 11/15/25
2,200 City of Hancock Hospital Finance Authority, FHA-Insured Mortgage ..... 8/08Hospital Revenue Bonds (Portage Health System, Inc.), Series 1998,5.450\%, 8/01/47
2,500 City of Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue ..... 5/06Refunding and Improvement Bonds (Bronson Methodist Hospital),Series 1996, 5.750\%, 5/15/16Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue and RefundingBonds (Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group), Series 1993A:
3,000 6.250\%, 8/15/13 ..... 8/03
$3,2006.500 \%, 8 / 15 / 18$ ..... 8/03
4,000 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and ..... 9/02Refunding Bonds (Henry Ford Health System), Series 1992A,5.750\%, 9/01/17
2,000 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue ..... $1 / 05$and Refunding Bonds (Otsego Memorial Hospital Gaylord),Series 1995, 6.250\%, 1/01/20
2,500 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds ..... 11/09(Ascension Health Credit Group), Series 1999A, 6.125\%, 11/15/26
4,000 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and ..... 5/09
Refunding Bonds (Mercy Mount Clemens Corporation ObligatedGroup), Series 1999A, 5.750\%, 5/15/29
1,500 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue ..... 8/08
Bonds (Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group), Series 1998A,5.250\%, 8/15/28
4,300 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue ..... 11/09Bonds (Henry Ford Health System), Series 1999A, 6.000\%, 11/15/243,000 Regents of the University of Michigan, Hospital Revenue Refunding12/02

| 1,335 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Multifamily Senior <br> Lien Revenue Bonds, Series $2000 A-1$ (Landings), $5.950 \%, 12 / 01 / 33$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2,400 | Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation <br> Revenue Bonds (Walled Lake Villa Project), Series 1993, <br> $6.000 \%, 4 / 15 / 18$ |

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

PRINCIPAL OPTIONZ
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION
PROV

Housing/Multifamily (continued)
\$ 1,500 Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation $10 / 03$
Revenue Bonds (Brenton Village Green Project), Series 1993, 5.625\%, 10/15/18

790 Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing
$4 / 03$
Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.875\%, 10/01/17

Mount Clemens Housing Corporation, Multifamily Housing Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1992A (FHA-Insured Mortgage Loan - Section
8 Assisted Project) :
$1,0006.600 \% 6 / 01 / 136$
$1,5006.600 \%, 6 / 01 / 226 / 03$

Housing/Single Family - 0.8\%
1,290 Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Single Family 6/05
Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 1995 Series A, $6.800 \%$, 12/01/16

Tax Obligation/General - 13.7\%
1,000 Central Montcalm Public Schools, Counties of Montcalm 5/09
and Ionia, 1999 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.750\%, 5/01/24

730 Clarkston Community Schools, County of Oakland, 1993 School 5/03
Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, 5.900\%, 5/01/16

2,500 School District of the City of Detroit, Wayne County, 5/01 School Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), Series 1992, 6.250\%, 5/01/12

1,500 School District of the City of Detroit, Wayne County, School
$5 / 09$
Building and Site Improvement Bonds (General Obligation -
Unlimited Tax), Series 1998A, 4.750\%, 5/01/28

3,000 Dexter Community Schools, Counties of Washtenaw and
Livingston, School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds (General
Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.000\%, 5/01/17

```
    1,500 Durand Area Schools, Shiawasee County, General Obligation 5/07
    Bonds, Series 1997, 5.375%, 5/01/23
    3,815 City of East Lansing Building Authority, County of Ingham and
        4/11
        Clinton, 2000 Building Authority Bonds (General Obligation -
        Unlimited Tax), 5.375%, 4/01/25
    1,240 Milan Area Schools, Counties of Washtenaw and Monroe,
    5/10
    2 0 0 0 ~ S c h o o l ~ B u i l d i n g ~ a n d ~ S i t e ~ B o n d s ~ ( G e n e r a l ~ O b l i g a t i o n ~ - ~
    Unlimited Tax), Series A and B, 5.625%, 5/01/16
    1,100 Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Refunding 7/07 at
    Bonds, Series 1997 (General Obligation Bonds), 5.750%, 7/01/17
    380 Reeths-Puffer Schools, County of Muskegon, }1995\mathrm{ School Building,
    5/05
    Site and Refunding Bonds, 5.750%, 5/01/15
1,000 Rochester Community School District, Counties of Oakland and 5/10
    Macomb, 2000 School Building, Site and Refunding Bonds,
    Series I (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.750%, 5/01/19
1,500 Romulus Community Schools, County of Wayne, 1999 School
    5.750%, 5/01/25
2,500 West Bloomfield School District, County Of Oakland, 2000 School
    5/10
        Building and Site Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax),
        5.800%, 5/01/17
1,000 Western Townships Utilities Authority, Sewage Disposal System
    1/02
        Refunding Bonds, Series 1991, 6.500%, 1/01/10
        Tax Obligation/Limited - 19.5%
        6,000 City of Detroit Convention Facility, Limited Tax Revenue Refunding 9/03
        Bonds (Cobo Hall Expansion Project), Series 1993, 5.250%, 9/30/12
        1,400 City of Detroit Downtown Development Authority, Tax Increment 7/08
        Refunding Bonds (Development Area No. 1 Projects), Series 1998A,
        4.750%, 7/01/25
7,000 Detroit/Wayne County Stadium Authority, Building Authority
    2/07
        Bonds, Series 1997 (General Obligation - Limited Tax),
        5.250%, 2/01/27
    PRINCIPAL
2,750 State Building Authority, 1991 Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series I,
1,000 State Building Authority, 1991 Revenue Bonds, Series II, 6.250\%, 10/01/20 10/01
1,500 State Building Authority, 2000 Revenue Bonds, Series I (Facilities ..... \(10 / 10\)Program), 5.375\%, 10/15/20
2,275 State of Michigan, Comprehensive Transportation Bonds, Series 1992A, ..... \(5 / 02\)\(5.750 \%\), 5/15/12
1,500 State of Michigan, State Trunk Line Fund Refunding Bonds, ..... \(11 / 08\)Series 1998A, 5.000\%, 11/01/26
1,000 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue ..... \(7 / 16\)Bonds, Series Y of 1996 , \(5.000 \%\), 7/01/36
3,615 Saginaw-Midland Municipal Water Supply Corporation, Water ..... 9/02 at
Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 1993 (GeneralObligation - Limited Tax), Series 1993, 5.250\%, 9/01/16
Transportation - \(1.7 \%\)
1,000 Puerto Rico Ports Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, ..... \(6 / 03\)1993 Series A (American Airlines, Inc. Project), 6.300\%, 6/01/23(Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,000 Charter County of Wayne, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County ..... \(12 / 08\)Airport, Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.000\%, 12/01/28(Alternative Minimum Tax)
U.S. Guaranteed - 6.3\%
2,000 Board of Control of Ferris State University, General Revenue ..... \(10 / 03\)Bonds, Series 1993, 6.250\%, 10/01/19 (Pre-refunded to 10/01/03)1,950 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, State Revolving Fund RevenueNo OpBonds, Series 1994, 7.000\%, 10/01/03
3,000 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue ..... \(5 / 03\)Refunding Bonds (St. John Hospital), Series 1993A, 6.000\%, 5/15/13
2,500 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue ..... \(11 / 01\)Bonds (Daughters of Charity National Health System - ProvidenceHospital), Series 1991, 7.000\%, 11/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 11/01/01)620 Reeths-Puffer Schools, County of Muskegon, 1995 School\(5 / 05\)Building, Site and Refunding Bonds, 5.750\%, 5/01/15(Pre-refunded to 5/01/05)
Utilities - 12.0\%
4,020 Michigan Public Power Agency, Refunding Revenue Bonds ..... \(1 / 03\)
(Belle River Project), 1993 Series A, 5.250\%, 1/01/18
1,000 Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System ..... No OptRevenue Bonds, 2000 Series, 6.000\%, 5/01/12
3,500 Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Refunding Revenue ..... \(6 / 03\)

\author{
Bonds (Consumers' Power Company Project), Collateralized, Series 1993B, 5.800\%, 6/15/10 \\ 1,000 Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Refunding Revenue 9/09 Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Bonds Project), Collateralized, Series 1999A, 5.550\%, 9/01/29 \\ (Alternative Minimum Tax) \\ County of Monroe, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Project), Series CC-1992: \\ \(2,5006.550 \%\), 6/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 6/03 \\ \(1,5006.550 \%\), 9/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 9/03 \\ 6,000 County of Monroe, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Detroit No \\ Edison Company Project), Series A-1994, 6.350\%, 12/01/04 \\ (Alternative Minimum Tax)
}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Water and Sewer \(-13.0 \%\) \\
3,000 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, \\
Series \(1997-A, 5.500 \%, 7 / 01 / 20\)
\end{tabular} \\
3,755 & \(7 / 07\) \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
City of Detroit, Sewage Disposal System Revenue and Refunding \\
Bonds, Series \(1993-A, 5.700 \%, 7 / 01 / 13\)
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

* Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates.
** Ratings: Using the higher of Standard \& Poor's or Moody's rating.
*** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities. See accompanying notes to financial statements.

\author{
Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)
}

Education and Civic Organizations - 6.3\%
825 Bowling Green State University, General Receipts Bonds, 6/01
Series 1991, 6.700\%, 6/01/07

3,665 State of Ohio, Education Loan Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A
(Supplemental Student Loan Program), 1997A1, 5.850\%, 12/01/19
(Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,000 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Higher Educational
Facility Revenue Bonds (University of Dayton 1994 Project), 5.800\%, 12/01/14

2,400 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Higher Educationa
Facility Mortgage Revenue Bonds (University of Dayton
1992 Project), 6.600\%, 12/01/17
1,200 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Higher Educational 9/06 Facility Revenue Bonds (University of Findlay 1996 Project), 6.125\%, 9/01/16
1,575 Ohio State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 1992A1, 5.875\%, 12/01/12
3,150 The Student Loan Funding Corporation, Student Loan Subordinated Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992D, 6.600\%, 7/01/05
(Alternative Minimum Tax)

Energy - 1.0\%
\(2,250 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality Development } \\ & \text { Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series } 1992 \text { (Ashland Oil, Inc. Project), } \\ & \\ & 6.850 \%, 4 / 01 / 10\end{aligned}\)

Healthcare - 9.9\%
1,300 Akron Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, Hospital
Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A (Summa Health System Project), 5.500\%, 11/15/13

1,000 County of Butler Hospital Facilities, Revenue Refunding and 1/02
Improvement Bonds, Series 1991 (Fort Hamilton-Hughes Memorial Hospital Center), 7.500\%, 1/01/10

City of Cambridge, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1991
(Guernsey Memorial Hospital Project):
\(1,6808.000 \%\) 12/01/06 12/01
\(7508.000 \%\) 12/01/11 12/01
2,675 County of Clermont, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, 1/03
Series 1993A (Mercy Health System), 5.875\%, 1/01/15
1,000 County of Cuyahoga, Hospital Improvement and Refunding 2/07
Revenue Bonds, Series 1997 (The MetroHealth System Project), 5.625\%, 2/15/17

1,170 County of Cuyahoga, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds,
Series 1993 (Health Cleveland, Inc. - Fairview General Hospital Project), 6.300\%, 8/15/15

County of Franklin, Hospital Refunding and Improvement Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series A (The Children's Hospital Project):
\(1,0005.750 \%\), 11/01/20
\(1,5005.875 \%\) 11/01/25 11/06
1,500 County of Franklin, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement 11/02
Bonds, 1992 Series A (The Children's Hospital Project), 6.600\%, 5/01/13

2,500 County of Marion, Hospital Refunding and Improvement Revenue
Bonds, Series 1996 (The Community Hospital), 6.375\%, 5/15/11
1,500 County of Montgomery Hospital Facilities, Revenue Refunding
\(4 / 06\)
and Improvement Bonds, Series 1996 (Kettering Medical Center), 5.625\%, 4/01/16

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)

Healthcare (continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \$ & 2,500 & County of Richland Hospital Facilities, Revenue Improvement Bonds, Series 2000B (MedCentral Health System Obligated Group), 6.375\%, 11/15/30 & 11/10 \\
\hline & 1,500 & City of Steubenville Hospital Facilities, Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2000 (Trinity Health System), 6.375\%, 10/01/20 & 10/10 \\
\hline & 750 & County of Tuscarawas, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A (Union Hospital Project), 6.500\%, 10/01/21 & 10/03 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Housing/Multifamily - 12.0\%
1,385 County of Clermont, Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series \(1994-A\),
(GNMA Collateralized - Southeastern Ecumenical Ministry

Villa II Project), \(5.950 \%, 2 / 20 / 30\)
1,435 County of Cuyahoga, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds,
Series 1997(Water Street Associates Project),
\(6.150 \%, 12 / 20 / 26\) (Alternative Minimum Tax)

985 County of Franklin, Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue 1/05 Bonds, Series 1994A (FHA-Insured Mortgage Loan - Hamilton Creek Apartments Project), 5.550\%, 7/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

6, 155 County of Franklin, Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1992A

(FHA-Insured Mortgage Loan - Kensington Place Project),
 \(6.750 \%\), 1/01/34

5,200 Hamilton County, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, \(1 / 07\)
Series 1997 (Huntington Meadows Project), 5.700\%, 1/01/27
(Alternative Minimum Tax)
4,060 Lucas Northgate Housing Development Corporation, Mortgage
Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999A (FHA-Insured
Mortgage Loan - Northgate Apartments Section 8 Assisted
Project), \(6.000 \%, 7 / 01 / 24\)

3,265 Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Multifamily Housing Revenue
Bonds, Series 1999C (Timber Lake Apartments Project), 6.150\%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

4,315 Ohio Capital Corporation for Housing, Mortgage Revenue \(2 / 09\)
Refunding Bonds, Series 1999G (FHA-Insured Mortgage
Loans - Section 8 Assisted Projects), 5.950\%, 2/01/24

```

1,260 Morgan Local School District, Counties of Morgan, Muskingum
and Washington, School Improvement Bonds, Series 2000
(General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 5.750%, 12/01/22
North Canton City School District, School Improvement Bonds,
Series 1994 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax):
650 9.750%, 12/01/03
715 9.700%, 12/01/04
1,500 Plain Local School District, Franklin and Licking Counties,
12/10
Various Purpose Bonds, Series 2000 (General Obligation -
Unlimited Tax), 6.000%, 12/01/20
2,000 Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Bonds
of 1996 (General Obligation), 5.400%, 7/01/25
1,300 Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Refunding
Bonds, Series 1997 (General Obligation), 5.750%, 7/01/17
2,400 Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Bonds of
1998, 5.000%, 7/01/27
1,000 Revere Local School District, School Improvement Bonds,
12/03
Series 1993 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax Bonds),
6.000%, 12/01/16
2,870 City of Strongsville, Various Purpose Improvement Bonds,
12/06
Series 1996 (General Obligation - Limited Tax), 5.950%, 12/01/21
1,000 Sylvania City School District, Series 1995 (General Obligation -
12/05
Unlimited Tax), 5.800%, 12/01/15
1,135 City of Toledo, Various Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series 1994
(General Obligation - Limited Tax), 7.000%, 12/01/03
1,000 Upper Arlington City School District, Improvement Bonds, 12/06
Series 1996 (General Obligation), 5.250%, 12/01/22
2,000 Board of Education, Wayne Local School District, County of Warren,
12/06
School Improvement Bonds, Series 1996 (General Obligation -
Unlimited Tax), 6.100%, 12/01/24
3,000 Board of Education, West Clermont Local School District, County
12/05
of Clermont, School Improvement Bonds, Series 1995 (General
Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.000%, 12/01/18
1,000 City of Westlake, Various Purpose Improvement and Refunding 12/08
Bonds, Series1997 (General Obligation), 5.550%, 12/01/17
1,820 Worthington City School District, Franklin County, Refunding Bonds
6/02
(General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.375%, 12/01/12
Tax Obligation/Limited - 4.1%
7,000 County of Hamilton, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds (Subordinate Lien),
No Opt
Series B, 0.000%, 12/01/28
1,250 City of Columbus, Tax Increment Financing Bonds (Easton Project),
Series 1999, 4.875%, 12/01/24

```
```

1,000 Ohio Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds (Juvenile Correctional
Building Fund Projects), 1992 Series B, 6.000%, 10/01/12
3,000 Ohio Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds (Adult Correctional 10/03
Building Fund Projects), 1993 Series A, 6.125%, 10/01/12
86 Ohio Department of Transportation, Certificates of Participation
4/01
(Rickenbacker Port Authority Improvements), 6.125%, 4/15/15
(Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,500 Ohio Public Facilities Commission, Higher Education Capital Facilities
12/01
Bonds, Series II-1992A, 5.500%, 12/01/06

```

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)
```

    PRINCIPAL
    AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION
OPTION
Technology - 0.2%
5 0 0 ~ C o u n t y ~ o f ~ F r a n k l i n , ~ R e v e n u e ~ B o n d s , ~ S e r i e s ~ 1 9 9 3 ~ ( O n l i n e ~ C o m p u t e r ~
4/03
Library Center, Inc. Project), 6.000%, 4/15/13

```
\$
Transportation - 3.0\%
    3,430 City of Cleveland Parking Facilities, Refunding Revenue Bonds, 9/06
    Series 1996, 5.500\%, 9/15/22
    Columbus Municipal Airport Authority, Airport Improvement
    Revenue Bonds, Series 1994A (Port Columbus International Airport
    Project) :
    \(8305.950 \%\), 1/01/08 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 1/04
    \(1,0006.000 \%\) 1/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 1/04
    1,500 City of Dayton Special Facilities, Revenue Refunding Bonds, \(2 / 08\)
    Series 1998A (Emery Air Freight Corporation and Emery
    Worldwide Airlines, Inc. - Guarantors) (Non-AMT),
    5.625\%, 2/01/18
U.S. Guaranteed - 23.9\%

1,500 City of Akron, Waterworks System Mortgage Revenue Improvement 3/01 Bonds, Series 1991, 6.550\%, 3/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 3/01/01)

2,000 City of Barberton Hospital Facilities, Revenue Bonds, Series 1992 1/02
(Barberton Citizens Hospital Company Project), 7.250\%, 1/01/12
(Pre-refunded to 1/01/02)

2,000 County of Carroll, Hospital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 199
(Timken Mercy Medical Center), 7.125\%, 12/01/18 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01)

2,500 County of Clermont, Waterworks System Revenue Bonds,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Series 1991 (Clermont County Sewer District), 6.625\%, 12/01/14 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01) & \\
\hline 2,000 & City of Cleveland, Public Power System First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1994A, 7.000\%, 11/15/24 (Pre-refunded to 11/15/04) & 11/04 \\
\hline 590 & City of Cleveland, Public Power System Improvement First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1991B, 7.000\%, 11/15/17 (Pre-refunded to 11/15/01) & 11/01 \\
\hline 1,575 & City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series F 1992A, 6.500\%, 1/01/21 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/02) & 1/02 \\
\hline 2,745 & City of Cleveland, First Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series F 1992-B, 6.500\%, 1/01/11 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/02) & 1/02 \\
\hline 3,960 & City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement and Refunding First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series H 1996, 5.750\%, 1/01/26 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/06) & 1/06 \\
\hline 1,950 & ```
City School District of Columbus, Franklin County, School Building
Renovation and Improvement Bonds, Series 1992 (General
Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.650%, 12/01/12 (Pre-refunded
to 12/01/02)
``` & 12/02 \\
\hline 3,250 & County of Cuyahoga, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 1991 (Meridia Health System), 7.000\%, 8/15/23 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/01) & 8/01 \\
\hline 1,500 & County of Cuyahoga, Hospital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1992 (University Hospitals Health System, Inc. Project), \(6.500 \%\), 1/15/19 (Pre-refunded to 1/15/02) & 1/02 \\
\hline 2,000 & Dublin City School District, Franklin, Delaware and Union Counties, Various Purpose School Building Construction and Improvement Bonds (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.200\%, 12/01/19 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02) & 12/02 \\
\hline 2,705 & County of Franklin, First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1979 (Online Computer Learning Center, Inc. Project), 7.500\%, 6/01/09 & 6/01 \\
\hline 3,250 & City of Garfield Heights, Hospital Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1992B (Marymount Hospital Project), \(6.650 \%\), 11/15/11 (Pre-refunded to 11/15/02) & 11/02 \\
\hline 3,000 & Kent State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 1992, 6.500\%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded to 5/01/02) & 5/02 \\
\hline 1,000 & City of Lakewood, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 1992 (Limited Tax Obligation), 6.500\%, 12/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02) & 12/02 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION
U.S. Guaranteed (continued)

2,100 Lakota Local School District, County of Butler, School Improvement
12/05

OPTION
PROV

Bonds, Series 1994 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax), 6.250\%, 12/01/14 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/05)
1,400 City of Middleburg Heights, Hospital Improvement Revenue Bonds,Series 1991 (Southwest General Hospital Project), 6.750\%, 8/15/21(Pre-refunded to 8/15/01)
1,000 City of Newark, Water System Improvement Bonds (General ..... 12/03Obligation - Limited Tax), 6.000\%, 12/01/18 (Pre-refundedto 12/01/03)
2,500 Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Public Improvement Bonds of 1992 ..... 7/02 at(General Obligation), 6.600\%, 7/01/13 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/02)
1,400 Reynoldsburg City School District, School Building Construction ..... 12/02
and Improvement Bonds (General Obligation), 6.550\%, 12/01/17(Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)
1,000 Solon City School District, School Improvement Bonds, ..... 12/01Series 1990 (General Obligation - Unlimited Tax),7.150\%, 12/01/13 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01)
3,500 University of Cincinnati, General Receipts Bonds, Series O, ..... 12/02
6.300\%, 6/01/12 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)
1,000 University of Toledo, General Receipts Bonds, Series B, ..... 12 / 02
5.900\%, 6/01/20 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/02)
Utilities - 7.7\%
2,410 City of Cleveland, Public Power System Improvement First Mortgage ..... 11/01
Revenue Bonds, Series 1991B, 7.000\%, 11/15/17
1,250 City of Hamilton, Electric System Mortgage Revenue Bonds, ..... 10/02
1992 Series B, 6.300\%, 10/15/25
4,000 Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Collateralized Pollution ..... 6/02
Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Cleveland Electric IlluminatingCompany Project), Series 1992, 8.000\%, 12/01/13Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality DevelopmentRevenue Refunding Bonds (JMG Funding Limited PartnershipProject), Series 1994:
2,000 6.375\%, 1/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax) ..... 10/04
4,000 6.375\%, 4/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax) ..... 10/04
3,000 Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality Development ..... \(4 / 07\)
Revenue Bonds (JMG Funding Limited Partnership Project)Series 1997, 5.625\%, 1/01/23 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
Water and Sewer - 8.2\%
1,000 City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement First Mortgage ..... No Opt
Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series G 1993, 5.500\%, 1/01/21City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement First Mortgage RevenueRefunding Bonds, Series F 1992B:
255 6.500\%, 1/01/11 ..... 1/02
3,720 6.250\%, 1/01/16 ..... 1/02
1,000 City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement and Refunding ..... \(1 / 08\)
Revenue Bonds, Series I 1998, 5.000\%, 1/01/2840 City of Cleveland, Waterworks Improvement and Refunding First\(1 / 06\)Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series H 1996, 5.750\%, 1/01/26
2,500 City of Columbus, Sewerage System Revenue Refunding Bonds, ..... \(6 / 02\)Series 1992, 6.250\%, 6/01/082,110 Hamilton County, Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati,\(6 / 10\)Sewer System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A,5.750\%, 12/01/25
1,000 County of Montgomery, Greater Moraine Beavercreek Sewer District,\(11 / 02\)
Water Revenue Bonds, Series 1992, 6.250\%, 11/15/17
Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) (continued)Portfolio of INVESTMENTS January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)
PRINCIPAL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & Water and Sewer (continued) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\$} & 2,000 & Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995, 5.600\%, 11/15/16 & 11/05 \\
\hline & 1,000 & Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Development Revenue Bonds, 1995 Fresh Water Series, 5.900\%, 12/01/21 & \(6 / 05\) \\
\hline & 1,250 & City of Oxford, Water Supply System Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.000\%, 2/01/14 & 12/02 \\
\hline & 2,000 & Southwest Regional Water District, Waterworks System Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 6.000\%, 12/01/20 & 12/05 \\
\hline \$ & 222,695 & Total Investments (cost \$216,204,280) - 99.1\% & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\$} & 2,000 & ```
SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS - 0.9%
Cuyahoga County, University Hospital of Cleveland, Variable Rate
    Demand Bonds, Series 1985, 4.150%, 1/01/16+
``` & \\
\hline & & Other Assets Less Liabilities - 0.0\% & \\
\hline & & Net Assets - 100\% & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates.
** Ratings: Using the higher of Standard \& Poor's or Moody's rating.
```

*** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
N/R Investment is not rated.
$+\quad$ The security has a maturity of more than one year, but has variable rate and demand features which qualify it as a short-term security. The rate disclosed is that currently in effect. This rate changes periodically based on market conditions or a specified market index.
See accompanying notes to financial statements.

```

\author{
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}

\section*{Basic Materials - 3.7\%}
\$ 4,500 Cass County Industrial Development Corporation, Environmental \(3 / 10\)
Improvement Revenue Bonds, 2000 Series A (International Paper
Company Project), \(6.600 \%\), \(3 / 15 / 24\) (Alternative Minimum Tax)
3,000 Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority, Sewage and Solid Waste
Disposal Facility Bonds, Series 1996 (E.I. du Pont de Nemours and
Company Project), 6.400\%, 4/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

Consumer Staples - 0.5\%
1,000 The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,
Series 2000, 5.750\%, 7/01/20

Education and Civic Organizations - 4.4\%

2,255 Brazos Higher Education Authority, Student Loan Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992-A, 6.875\%, 9/01/04 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

1,055 Brazos Higher Education Authority, Student Loan Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992C-1, 6.650\%, 11/01/04 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

205 Brazos Higher Education Authority, Student Loan Revenue Refunding No Opt
Bonds, Subordinate Series 1993A-2, 6.800\%, 12/01/04 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

1,000 Georgetown Higher Education Finance Corporation, Higher Education
Revenue Bonds, Series 1994 (Southwestern University Project), \(6.300 \%\), 2/15/14
1,000 Southwest Higher Education Authority, Higher Educational Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 1998D (Southern Methodist University), 5.000\%, 10/01/22
1,445 Tyler Junior College District, Smith and Van Zanlt Counties, Combined Fee Improvement Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series 1994, 5.900\%, 8/15/13
2,000 Board of Regents of the University of Houston System, Consolidated Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 6.000\%, 2/15/17
Energy - 5.4\%
5,000 Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Revenue Bond (Valero ..... \(4 / 08\)Energy Corporation Project), Series 1998, 5.600\%, 4/01/32(Alternative Minimum Tax)
5,000 Gulf Coast Industrial Development Authority, Waste Disposal ..... \(6 / 08\)Revenue Bonds (Valero Refining and Marketing Company Project),Series 1997, 5.600\%, 12/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
3,000 Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Waste Disposal Revenue ..... \(4 / 09\)Bonds (Valero Energy Corporation Project), Series 1999,5.700\%, 4/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
Healthcare - \(14.5 \%\)
3,500 Abilene Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital ..... \(9 / 05\)Center Project), Series 1995C, 6.150\%, 9/01/25Gregg County Health Facilities Development Corporation, HospitalRevenue Bonds (Good Shepherd Medical Center Project), Series2000:
2,000 6.875\%, 10/01/20 ..... \(10 / 10\)
\(3,2506.375 \%, 10 / 01 / 25\) ..... \(10 / 10\)
1,000 Harris County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital ..... \(10 / 09\)Revenue Bonds (Texas Children's Hospital Project), Series 1999A,5.250\%, 10/01/295,750 Midland County Hospital District, Hospital Revenue Bonds,No OpSeries 1992, 0.000\%, 6/01/11
1,760 Parker County Hospital District, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Campbell ..... \(8 / 09\)Health System), Series 1999, 6.250\%, 8/15/194,500 Nueces County, Port of Corpus Christi Authority, Pollution Control\(4 / 02\)6.875\%, 4/01/17 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & Healthcare (continued) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\$} & 5,350 & Richardson Hospital Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds (Baylor/Richardson Medical Center Project), Series 1998, 5.625\%, 12/01/28 & 12/08 \\
\hline & 1,050 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tarrant County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital } \\
& \text { Revenue Bonds (Adventist Health System - Sunbelt Obligated } \\
& \text { Group), Series 1998, } 5.375 \% \text {, } 11 / 15 / 20
\end{aligned}
\] & 11/08 \\
\hline & 3,500 & Tarrant County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Adventist Health System - Sunbelt Obligated Group), Series 2000, 6.625\%, 11/15/20 & 11/10 \\
\hline & 1,500 & Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds (All Saints Episcopal Hospitals of Fort Worth Project), Series 1993B, 6.250\%, 8/15/22 & 8/03 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Housing/Multifamily - 1.6\%

Grand Prairie Housing Finance Corporation, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (Landings at Carrier Project) (GNMA), Series
2000A:
\(1,0006.650 \%\) 9/20/22 9/10
\(2,0306.750 \%, 9 / 20 / 28 \quad 9 / 10\)

Housing/Single Family - 5.4\%

810 Baytown Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage 9/02
Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 8.500\%, 9/01/11

790 El Paso Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage
Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1991A, 8.750\%, 10/01/11
545 Galveston Property Finance Authority, Single Family Mortgage
Revenue Bonds, Series 1991A, 8.500\%, 9/01/11

1,560 Harrison County Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage
Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1991, 8.875\%, 12/01/11

995 Houston Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage
Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.950\%, 12/01/10

580 Port Arthur Housing Finance Corporation, Single Family Mortgage
Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 8.700\%, 3/01/12
2,375 Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Single Family
\(9 / 06\)
Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series E, 6.000\%, 9/01/17

2,260 Travis County Housing Finance Corporation, Residential Mortgage
\(12 / 01\) Bonds (GNMA and FNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program), Senior Bonds, Series 1991A, 7.050\%, 12/01/25

Long-Term Care - 2.5\%
\begin{tabular}{rl}
3,400 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Bell County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Retirement \\
\\
Facility Revenue Bonds (Buckner Retirement Services, Inc. \\
\\
Obligated Group Project), Series \(1998,5.250 \%, 11 / 15 / 19\)
\end{tabular} \\
2,000 & \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Tarrant County Health Facilities Development Corporation, \\
Tax-Exempt Mortgage Revenue Bonds (South Central Nursing \\
Homes, Inc. Project), Series 1997A, \(6.000 \%, 1 / 01 / 37\)
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

Tax Obligation/General - 18.0\%
1,000 Caddo Mills Independent School District, Hunt County, Unlimited \(2 / 05\) Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 1995, \(6.375 \%\), \(8 / 15 / 25\)

4,130 Coppell Independent School District, Dallas County, Unlimited \(8 / 09\) at 75
Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, \(0.000 \%\), 8/15/14

1,475 City of Corpus Christi, General Improvement and Refunding Bonds, \(3 / 02\) Series 1992, 6.700\%, 3/01/08

1,450 Donna Independent School District, Hidalgo County, Unlimited Tax \(2 / 11\) School Building Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000\%, 2/15/17

2,800 City of Ennis, Ennis County, General Obligation Refunding and 8/02 Improvement Bonds, Series 1992, 6.500\%, 8/01/13

Tax Obligation/General (continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \$ & 2,000 & Harlingen Consolidated Independent School District, Cameron County, Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 1999, 5.650\%, 8/15/29 & \(8 / 09\) \\
\hline & 3,600 & Klein Independent School District, Harris County, Unlimited Tax Schoolhouse Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.000\%, 8/01/18 & \(8 / 09\) \\
\hline & 5,220 & Leander Independent School District, Williamson \& Travis Counties, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2000, \(0.000 \%\), 8/15/21 & \(8 / 09\) at \\
\hline & 1,545 & Montgomery County (A political subdivision of the State of Texas), Refunding Bonds, Series 1997, 0.000\%, 3/01/14 & \(9 / 07\) at \\
\hline & 2,000 & Northside Independent School District, Bexar County, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2000, 5.875\%, 8/15/25 & \(8 / 10\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1,825 Socorro Independent School District, El Pasco County, Unlimited ..... \(2 / 06\)Tax School Building Bonds, Series 1996, 5.750\%, 2/15/21
2,000 State of Texas, Veterans Land Bonds, Series 1994, General ..... \(12 / 04\)Obligation Bonds, 6.400\%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
3,490 State of Texas, Veterans Housing Assistance Bonds, Series 1993, ..... \(12 / 03\)General Obligation Bonds, 6.800\%, 12/01/23 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
6,290 State of Texas, College Student Loan Bonds, Series 1997, \(8 / 10\)5.000\%, 8/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,500 Tomball Independent School District, Harris and Montgomery ..... \(2 / 11\)Counties, Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2001A,5.000\%, 2/15/23 (WI, settling 2/28/01)
1,795 United Independent School District, Webb County, Unlimited Tax ..... \(8 / 12\)
School Building Bonds, Series 2000, 5.375\%, 8/15/18
West Independent School District, McLennan \& Hill Counties,Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 1998:
\[
1,000 \quad 0.000 \%, 8 / 15 / 22
\]

\[
1,000 \quad 0.000 \%, 8 / 15 / 23
\]
0.000\% 8/15/23 ..... \(8 / 13\) at 5
\(1,0000.000 \%, 8 / 15 / 24\)8/13 at
Tax Obligation/Limited - 6.7\%
4,500 City of Austin, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Subordinate Lien Revenue ..... \(11 / 09\)
Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 5.800\%, 11/15/29
1,450 Industrial Development Corporation of the City of Galveston, ..... \(9 / 05\)
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 5.750\%, 9/01/15
1,575 Harris County, Toll Road Unlimited Tax and Subordinate Lien Revenue ..... \(8 / 02\)Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 6.500\%, 8/15/15
565 City of Jersey Village in Harris County, Combined Tax and Revenue ..... \(2 / 15\)Certificates of Obligation, Series 2000, 5.000\%, 2/01/25
800 City of Laredo, Webb County, Combination Tax and Waterworks ..... 8 / 04
System Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 1994, 5.625\%, 8/15/11
4,580 City of San Antonio, Hotel Occupancy Tax Revenue Bonds ..... \(8 / 06\)
(Henry B Gonzalez Convention Center Project), 5.700\%, 8/15/26
Transportation - 11.9\%
5,295 Alliance Airport Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, ..... \(6 / 01\) Series 1990 (American Airlines, Inc. Project), 7.500\%, 12/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
5,020 Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport Facility Improvement ..... \(5 / 02\) Corporation, United Parcel Service, Inc. Revenue Bonds, Series 1992, \(6.600 \%\), 5/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
5,050 Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport Facility Improvement ..... \(11 / 09\) Corporation, American Airlines, Inc. Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 6.375\%, 5/01/35 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

\title{
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}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{PRINCIPAL} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
OPTIONA \\
PROV
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & (000) & DESCRIPTION & \\
\hline & & Transportation (continued) & \\
\hline \$ & 1,500 & Harris County, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1994, 5.375\%, 8/15/20 & 8/04 \\
\hline & 220 & Harris County, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 6.500\%, 8/15/17 & 8/02 \\
\hline & 320 & Harris County, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992B, 6.625\%, 8/15/17 & 2/01 \\
\hline & 5,000 & City of Houston, Airport System Subordinate Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 1991A, 6.750\%, 7/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) & 7/01 \\
\hline & 2,000 & Puerto Rico Ports Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series A (American Airlines, Inc. Project), 6.250\%, 6/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax) & 6/06 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
U.S. Guaranteed - 9.9\%270 Abilene Housing Development Corporation, First Lien RevenueBonds, Series 1978, 7.000\%, 7/01/08
1,000 Amarillo Health Facilities Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds ..... \(1 / 02\)(High Plains Baptist Hospital Project), Series 1992C,\(6.500 \%\), 1/01/07 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/02)
1,000 The City of Beaumont, Public Improvement Bonds, Series 1992, ..... \(3 / 02\)
\(6.250 \%\), 3/01/10 (Pre-refunded to 3/01/02)
1,975 City of Corpus Christi, General Improvement and Refunding Bonds, ..... \(3 / 02\)Series 1992, 6.700\%, 3/01/08 (Pre-refunded to 3/01/02)
1,185 Fort Bend County Levee Improvement District No. 11 (A Political ..... \(9 / 04\)
Subdivision of the State of Texas), Unlimited Tax LeveeImprovement Bonds, Series 1994, 6.900\%, 9/01/17(Pre-refunded to 9/01/04)
1,780 Harris County, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, ..... \(8 / 02\)Series 1992A, 6.500\%, 8/15/17 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/02)425 Harris County, Toll Road Unlimited Tax and Subordinate Lien\(8 / 02\)Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 6.500\%, 8/15/15(Pre-refunded to 8/15/02)
6,110 Harris County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital ..... \(6 / 02\) Revenue Bonds (Memorial Hospital System Project), Series 1992, 7.125\%, 6/01/15 (Pre-refunded to 6/01/02)
    485 City of Houston, Water and Sewer System, Junior Lien Revenue 12/01
    Refunding Bonds, 1991C, 6.375\%, 12/01/17 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/01)
1,000 North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, No Ot
        Hospital Revenue Bonds (Presbyterian Healthcare System Project)
        Series 1996B, 5.750\%, 6/01/26
2,500 Retama Development Corporation, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds
    No Opt
    (Retama Park Racetrack Project), Series 1993, 8.750\%, 12/15/17
        City of San Antonio, Water System Revenue Refunding Bonds,
        Series 1992:
\(1406.500 \%\), 5/15/10 (Pre-refunded to 5/15/04)
    5/04
\(6656.500 \%, 5 / 15 / 1\)
No Op
Utilities - 4.5\%
2,000 Brazos River Authority, Collateralized Pollution Control Revenue
Bonds (Texas Utilities Electric Company Project), Series 1994A,
    7.875\%, 3/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,500 Brazos River Authority, Collateralized Pollution Control Revenue
    Refunding Bonds (Texas Utilities Electric Company Project),
    Series 1992, 6.500\%, 12/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,500 Brazos River Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Reliant Energy,
\(4 / 09\)
    Inc. Project), Series 1999A, 5.375\%, 4/01/19
2,000 Harris County, Health Facilities Development Corporation,
    Thermal Utility Revenue Bonds (TECO Project), Series 2000
    5.750\%, 2/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

1,500 Matagorda County Navigation District Number One, Pollution
1,500 Matagorda County Navigation District Number One, Pollution ..... \(7 / 03\)

        Company Project), Series 1993, 6.000\%, 7/01/28
        Water and Sewer - 10.9\%
    2,500 Bexar Metropolitan Development Corporation, Water Facility
    Contract Revenue Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000\%, 5/01/28
7,000 City of Houston, Water and Sewer System Junior Lien Revenue
No Op

Water and Sewer (continued)
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
\$ 1,000 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
City of Houston, Water and Sewer System Prior Lien Revenue \\
Refunding Bonds, Series 1992B, \(6.375 \%, 12 / 01 / 14\)
\end{tabular} \\
4,000 & City of Houston, Water and Sewer System Junior Lien Revenue
\end{tabular}

Statement of
\[
\text { NET ASSETS January 31, } 2001 \text { (Unaudited) }
\]
ARIZONA
PREMIUM
(NAZ)

MICHIGAN
QUALITY
(NUM)
MICHIG
PREMI
(NM

ASSETS
Investments in municipal securities, at market value
\(\$ 94,227,431\)
\$261,797,114
\$169,294,
Temporary investments in short-term municipal securities, at amortized cost, which approximates market value
Cash
Receivables:
Interest
813,649
3,707,567
213,2
2,324,


See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of OPERATIONS Six Months Ended January 31, 2001 (Unaudited)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ARIZONA & MICHIGAN & MICHIGA \\
\hline PREMIUM & QUALITY & PREMIU \\
\hline (NAZ) & (NUM) & (NMP \\
\hline 764,527 & \$ 7,885,988 & 4,829,04 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{EXPENSES} \\
\hline Management fees & 305,411 & 854,401 & 547,15 \\
\hline Preferred shares - auction fees & 37,808 & 118,467 & 70,57 \\
\hline Preferred shares - dividend disbursing agent fees & 5,042 & 10,081 & 10,08 \\
\hline Shareholders' servicing agent fees and expenses & 960 & 7,137 & 3, 44 \\
\hline Custodian's fees and expenses & 19,820 & 33,867 & 24,37 \\
\hline Directors'/Trustees' fees and expenses & 410 & 1,169 & 72 \\
\hline Professional fees & 7,846 & 27,109 & 32,04 \\
\hline Shareholders' reports - printing and mailing expenses & 16,749 & 30,617 & 17,79 \\
\hline Stock exchange listing fees & 8,571 & 8,413 & 8,19 \\
\hline Investor relations expense & 5,450 & 12,643 & 10,93 \\
\hline Other expenses & 8,756 & 5,803 & 7,89 \\
\hline Total expenses before custodian fee credit Custodian fee credit & \[
\begin{array}{r}
416,823 \\
(3,398)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,109,707 \\
\quad(12,820)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
733,21 \\
(3,48
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Net expenses & 413,425 & 1,096,887 & 729,73 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of
CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (Unaudited)



See accompanying notes to financial statements.

OHIO QUALITY (NUO)


SIX MONTHS ENDED
1/31/01
YEAR ENDED
7/31/00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline OPERATIONS & & \\
\hline Net investment income & \$ 5,711,127 & \$ 11,464,050 \\
\hline Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions & \((241,828)\) & \((648,266)\) \\
\hline Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments & 4,431,364 & \((4,699,152)\) \\
\hline Net increase in net assets from operations & 9,900,663 & 6,116,632 \\
\hline DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
From undistributed net investment income: \\
Common shareholders \\
Preferred shareholders
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& (4,345,305) \\
& (1,443,864)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& (9,203,697) \\
& (2,750,729)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
From accumulated net realized gains \\
from investment transactions: \\
Common shareholders \\
Preferred shareholders
\end{tabular} & --
-- & --
-- \\
\hline Decrease in net assets from distributions to shareholders & \[
(5,789,169)
\] & \((11,954,426)\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS \\
Net proceeds from Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions
\end{tabular} & 219,608 & 921,543 \\
\hline Net increase (decrease) in net assets Net assets at the beginning of period & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,331,102 \\
224,044,540
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
(4,916,251) \\
228,960,791
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Net assets at the end of period & \$228, 375,642 & \$224,044,540 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Balance of undistributed net \\
investment income at the end of period
\end{tabular} & \[
\$ 7,262
\] & \$ 85,304 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

\author{
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)
}
1. GENERAL INFORMATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The state funds (the "Funds") covered in this report and their corresponding New York Stock Exchange symbols are Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NAZ), Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM), Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP), Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO) and Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX).

Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from both regular federal and designated state income taxes, where applicable, by investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of municipal obligations issued by state and local government authorities within a single state. The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as closed-end, diversified management investment companies.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

\begin{abstract}
Securities Valuation
The prices of municipal bonds in each Fund's investment portfolio are provided by a pricing service approved by the Fund's Board of Directors/Trustees. When price quotes are not readily available (which is usually the case for municipal securities), the pricing service establishes fair market value based on yields or prices of municipal bonds of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, indications of value from securities dealers and general market conditions. Temporary investments in securities that have variable rate and demand features qualifying them as short-term securities are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.
\end{abstract}

\section*{Securities Transactions}

Securities transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from such transactions are determined on the specific identification method. Securities purchased or sold on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. The securities so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Funds have instructed the custodian to segregate assets in a separate account with a current value at least equal to the amount of the when-issued and delayed delivery purchase commitments. At January 31, 2001, Texas Quality (NTX) had an outstanding when-issued purchase commitment of \(\$ 2,407,789\). There were no such outstanding purchase commitments in any of the other Funds.

\section*{Investment Income}

Interest income is determined on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on long-term debt securities when required for federal income tax purposes.

\section*{Income Taxes}

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute all of its net investment income to its share holders. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable interest from municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal and designated state income taxes, where applicable, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Funds.

Dividends and Distributions to Shareholders
Tax-exempt net investment income is declared monthly as a dividend and payment is made or reinvestment is credited to shareholder accounts after month-end. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if any, are distributed to shareholders not less frequently than annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to shareholders of tax-exempt net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Accordingly, temporary over-distributions as a result of these differences may occur and will be classified as either distributions in excess of net investment income, distributions in excess of net realized gains and/or distributions in excess of net ordinary taxable income from investment transactions, where applicable.

Preferred Shares
The Funds have issued and outstanding \(\$ 25,000\) stated value Preferred shares. Each Fund's Preferred shares are issued in one or more Series. The dividend rate on each Series may change every seven days, as set by the auction agent. The number of shares outstanding, by Series and in total, for each Fund is as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
ARIZONA PREMIUM \\
(NAZ)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MICHIGAN \\
QUALITY \\
(NUM)
\end{tabular} & MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP) & OHIO QUALITY (NUO) & \begin{tabular}{l}
TEXAS QUALITY \\
(NTX)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Number of shares:} \\
\hline Series M & -- & -- & 840 & 680 & 760 \\
\hline Series Th & 1,200 & 3,200 & 1,400 & 1,400 & 2,000 \\
\hline Series Th2 & -- & -- & -- & 1,000 & -- \\
\hline Series F & -- & 560 & -- & -- & -- \\
\hline Total & 1,200 & 3,760 & 2,240 & 3,080 & 2,760 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Derivative Financial Instruments
The Funds may invest in certain derivative financial instruments including futures, forward, swap, and option contracts, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics. Although the Funds are authorized to invest in such financial instruments, and may do so in the future, they did not make any such investments during the six months ended January 31, 2001.

\section*{Custodian Fee Credit}

Each Fund has an arrangement with the custodian bank whereby certain custodian fees and expenses are reduced by credits earned on each Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. Such deposit arrangements are an alternative to overnight investments.

\section*{Use of Estimates}

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and
```

decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual
results may differ from those estimates.
Audit Guide
In November 2000, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)
issued a revised version of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment
Companies (the "Guide"). The Guide is effective for annual financial statements
issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2000. It is not anticipated
that the adoption of the Guide will have a significant effect on the financial
statements.
Notes to
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2. FUND SHARES
Transactions in Common shares were as follows:

|  | ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ) |  | MICHIGAN QUALII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SIX MONTHS ENDED } \\ 1 / 31 / 01 \end{array}$ | YEAR ENDED 7/31/00 | SIX MONTHS ENDED 1/31/01 |
| Common shares issued to shareholders <br> due to reinvestment of distributions | 9,951 | 23,803 | -- |

```

\section*{MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)}
```

OHIO QUALITY
SIX MONTHS ENDED YEAR ENDED SIX MONTHS ENDED $1 / 31 / 01 \quad 7 / 31 / 00 \quad 1 / 31 / 01$
Common shares issued to shareholders
due to reinvestment of distributions -- 7,104 13,518

```

TEXAS QUALITY

SIX MONTHS ENDED
1/31/01

Common shares issued to shareholders
due to reinvestment of distributions
3. DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS

The Funds declared Common share dividend distributions from their tax-exempt net investment income which were paid March 1, 2001 , to shareholders of record on February 15, 2001, as follows:
ARIZONA MICHIGAN MICHIGAN OHIO TEXAS
\begin{tabular}{lcccr} 
PREMIUM & QUALITY & PREMIUM & QUALITY & (NUO)
\end{tabular}

\section*{4. SECURITIES TRANSACTIONS}

Purchases and sales (including maturities) of investments in long-term municipal securities and short-term municipal securities during the six months ended January 31, 2001, were as follows:
\begin{tabular}{crrr} 
ARIZONA & MICHIGAN & MICHIGAN & OHIO \\
PREMIUM & QUALITY & PREMIUM & QUALITY \\
(NAZ) & \((\) NUM \()\) & \((\) NMP \()\) & \((N U O)\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Purchases:}

Long-term municipal securities
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
\(\$ 13,918,232\) & \(\$ 21,801,421\) & \(\$ 10,954,884\) & \(\$ 9,774,001\) \\
\(7,850,000\) & -- & 500,000 & \(6,000,000\)
\end{tabular}

Sales and maturities:
Long-term municipal securities
14,347,138 24,610,675 10,847,583 9,450,700
\(7,850,000 \quad 2,000,000 \quad 500,000 \quad 4,000,000\)
Short-term municipal securities
\(===\)

Notes to
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5. UNREALIZED APPRECIATION (DEPRECIATION)

Gross unrealized appreciation and gross unrealized depreciation of investments for federal income tax purposes at January 31, 2001, were as follows:
\begin{tabular}{crrr} 
ARIZONA & MICHIGAN & MICHIGAN & OHIO \\
PREMIUM & QUALITY & PREMIUM & QUALITY \\
(NAZ) & (NUM) & (NMP) & (NUO)
\end{tabular}


\section*{6. MANAGEMENT FEE AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES}

Under the Funds' investment management agreements with Nuveen Advisory Corp. (the "Adviser"), a wholly owned subsidiary of The John Nuveen Company, each Fund pays an annual management fee, payable monthly, at the rates set forth below, which are based upon the average daily net assets of each Fund as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Average Daily Net Assets & Management Fee \\
\hline For the first \$125 million & . 6500 of \(1 \%\) \\
\hline For the next \$125 million & .6375 of 1 \\
\hline For the next \$250 million & . 6250 of 1 \\
\hline For the next \(\$ 500\) million & . 6125 of 1 \\
\hline For the next \$1 billion & . 6000 of 1 \\
\hline For net assets over \$2 billion & . 5875 of 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. The Funds pay no compensation directly to those of its Directors/Trustees who are affiliated with the Adviser or to their officers, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the Funds from the Adviser.

\section*{7. COMPOSITION OF NET ASSETS \\ 7. CoMPOSITION OF NeT ASSETS}

At January 31, 2001, net assets consisted of:

or.
Preferred shares, \(\$ 25,000\) stated value per share, \begin{tabular}{r} 
ARIZONA \\
at liquidation value \\
Common shares, \(\$ .01\) par value per share \\
Paid-in surplus \\
Balance of undistributed net investment income \\
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) \\
\(\quad\) from investment transactions
\end{tabular}


Financial HIGHLIGHTS (Unaudited)
Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:


ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Year Ended 7/31:} \\
\hline 2001 (a) & \$14.25 & \$ & . 54 & \$ & . 44 & \$ & . 98 & \$ & (.41) \\
\hline 2000 & 14.90 & & 1.06 & & (.61) & & . 45 & & (.85) \\
\hline 1999 & 15.43 & & 1.07 & & (.55) & & . 52 & & (.84) \\
\hline 1998 & 15.34 & & 1.05 & & . 10 & & 1.15 & & (.83) \\
\hline 1997 & 14.51 & & 1.06 & & . 81 & & 1.87 & & (.82) \\
\hline 1996 & 14.12 & & 1.05 & & . 38 & & 1.43 & & (.80) \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Year Ended 7/31:} \\
\hline 2001 (a) & 14.54 & & . 59 & & . 60 & & 1.19 & & (. 44 ) \\
\hline 2000 & 15.20 & & 1.19 & & (.53) & & . 66 & & (.92) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN ARIZONA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-30D
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
1999 & 15.91 & 1.15 & \((.63)\) & .52 & \((.92)\) \\
1998 & 15.95 & 1.17 & \((.01)\) & 1.16 & \((.95)\) \\
1997 & 15.28 & 1.18 & .72 & 1.90 & \((.95)\) \\
1996 & 15.10 & 1.19 & .27 & 1.46 & \((.95)\)
\end{tabular}

MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
2001 (a) & 14.24 & .53 & .73 & 1.26 & \((.41)\) \\
2000 & 14.68 & 1.07 & \((.41)\) & .66 & \((.83)\) \\
1999 & 15.30 & 1.05 & \((.64)\) & .41 & \((.82)\) \\
1998 & 15.14 & 1.04 & .19 & 1.23 & \((.82)\) \\
1997 & 14.16 & 1.05 & .97 & 2.02 & \((.80)\) \\
1996 & 13.73 & 1.05 & .41 & 1.46 & \((.78)\)
\end{tabular}
OHIO QUALITY (NUO)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & 1.04 & \((.46)\) \\
2001 (a) & 15.52 & .60 & .44 & \((.65\) & \((.97)\) \\
2000 & 16.13 & 1.21 & \((.56)\) & \((.90\) & \((.98)\) \\
1999 & 16.65 & 1.21 & \((.51)\) & 1.31 & \((.97)\) \\
1998 & 16.57 & 1.22 & .09 & \((.96)\) \\
1997 & 15.69 & 1.23 & .88 & 2.11 & \((.95)\)
\end{tabular}

TEXAS QUALITY (NTX)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
2001 (a) & 14.26 & .57 & .61 & 1.18 \\
2000 & 15.13 & 1.16 & \((.74)\) & .42 \\
1999 & 15.90 & 1.16 & \((.72)\) & \((.44)\) \\
1998 & 15.86 & 1.17 & .07 & \((.91)\) \\
1997 & 15.06 & 1.19 & .81 & \((.90)\) \\
1996 & 14.91 & 1.21 & .21 & 2.00 \\
\((.93)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Total Re
\begin{tabular}{rrrr} 
Offering & & & \\
Costs and & & & Based \\
Preferred & Ending & Ending & on \\
Share & Net & Marset & Value
\end{tabular}

ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)

Year Ended 7/31:
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr}
\(2001(a)\) & \(\$--\) & \(\$ 14.68\) & \(\$ 15.7400\) & \(10.65 \%\) \\
2000 & -- & 14.25 & 14.6250 & \((8.80)\) \\
1999 & -- & 14.90 & 8.67 \\
1998 & -- & 15.43 & 16.000 & 12.18 \\
1997 & -- & 15.34 & 17.4375 & 17.81 \\
1996 & -- & 14.51 & 13.8750 & 7.83
\end{tabular}

MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended \(7 / 31:\) & & & \\
\(2001(\mathrm{a})\) & -- & 15.10 & 15.0800 & 11.29 \\
2000 & -- & 14.54 & 14.0000 & \((9.92)\) \\
1999 & \((.02)\) & 15.20 & 16.6875 & 10.18 \\
1998 & -- & 15.91 & 17.3125 & 14.27 \\
1997 & -- & 15.95 & 16.6250 & 11.32
\end{tabular}

MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended \(7 / 31:\) & & & \\
\(2001(\mathrm{ar}\) & -- & 14.95 & 9.71 \\
2000 & -- & 14.24 & \((6.16)\) \\
1999 & -- & 14.68 & 5.900 & 13.2500 \\
1998 & -- & 15.30 & 15.0625 & 15.0000 \\
1997 & -- & 15.14 & 13.9375 & 14.95 \\
1996 & -- & 14.16 & 12.8750 & 14.00
\end{tabular}

\section*{OHIO QUALITY (NUO)}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended \(7 / 31:\) & & & \\
\(2001(\mathrm{a})\) & -- & 15.95 & 4.92 \\
2000 & -- & 15.52 & \((1.80)\) \\
1999 & -- & 16.13 & 16.9500 & 18.0000 \\
1998 & -- & 16.65 & 18.0625 & 10.14 \\
1997 & -- & 16.57 & 14.70 \\
1996 & -- & 15.69 & 16.0000 & 12.39
\end{tabular}

TEXAS QUALITY (NTX)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended \(7 / 31:\) & & & \\
\(2001(\mathrm{a})\) & -- & 14.85 & 14.5700 & \((7.93\) \\
2000 & -- & 14.26 & 12.9375 & 2.97 \\
1999 & -- & 15.13 & 15.1875 & 6.45 \\
1998 & -- & 15.90 & 15.6875 & 11.76 \\
1997 & -- & 15.86 & 15.6250 & 14.60
\end{tabular}


ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ)
\begin{tabular}{lrlll} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
\(2001(a)\) & 94,278 & \(1.31 \% *\) & \(7.37 \% *\) & 7.58 \\
2000 & 92,287 & 1.26 & 6.88 & \(.89 \%\) \\
1999 & 94,775 & 1.29 & 6.85 & .89 \\
1998 & 96,546 & 1.28 & 7.18 & .88 \\
1997 & 95,731 & 1.29 & .87 \\
1996 & 92,095 & 1.33 & .92
\end{tabular}

MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
\(2001(\mathrm{a})\) & 267,916 & \(1.30 *\) & \(7.92 *\) & \(.83^{*}\) \\
2000 & 261,429 & 1.29 & 8.29 & .82 \\
1999 & 268,591 & 1.19 & 7.28 & .82 \\
1998 & 261,259 & 1.19 & 7.35 & .82 \\
1997 & 260,247 & 1.21 & 7.64 & .83 \\
1996 & 251,033 & 1.21 & 7.77 & .83
\end{tabular}

MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
\(2001(\mathrm{a})\) & 171,047 & \(1.30 *\) & \(7.27 *\) & \(.87 *\) \\
2000 & 165,565 & 1.29 & 7.73 & .85 \\
1999 & 168,851 & 1.29 & 6.82 & .87 \\
1998 & 173,451 & 1.29 & 6.87 & .87 \\
1997 & 172,275 & 1.29 & 7.27 & .86 \\
1996 & 164,688 & 1.32 & 7.38 & .87
\end{tabular}
OHIO QUALITY (NUO)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
2001 (a) & 228,376 & \(1.32 *\) & \(7.61 *\) & \(.87 *\) \\
2000 & 224,045 & 1.31 & 7.88 & .85 \\
1999 & 228,961 & 1.26 & 7.26 & .84 \\
1998 & 232,940 & 1.29 & 7.37 & .86 \\
1997 & 231,232 & 1.30 & 7.73 & .85 \\
1996 & 222,151 & 1.32 & 7.79 & .87
\end{tabular}

TEXAS QUALITY (NTX)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
2001 (a) & 209,182 & \(1.28 *\) & \(7.85 *\) & \(.85^{*}\) \\
2000 & 203,637 & 1.27 & 8.18 & .84 \\
1999 & 211,784 & 1.23 & 7.31 & .84 \\
1998 & 218,669 & 1.22 & 7.40 & .83 \\
1997 & 217,999 & 1.22 & 7.81 & .83 \\
1996 & 210,423 & 1.23 & 7.95 & .83
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & After & dit*** & & \\
\hline ARIZONA PREMIUM (NAZ) & Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares++ & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ratio of Net Investment Income to Average \\
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares++
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ratio of Expenses to Average Total \\
Net Assets Including Preferred++
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ratio of Net Investment Income to Average Total \\
Net Assets Including Preferred++
\end{tabular} & Portfolio Turnover Rate \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Year Ended 7/31:} \\
\hline 2001 (a) & 1. \(30 \%\) * & \(7.38 \%\) * & . \(88 \%\) * & \(5.00 \%\) * & 15\% \\
\hline 2000 & 1.25 & 7.59 & . 84 & 5.10 & 33 \\
\hline 1999 & 1.29 & 6.88 & . 89 & 4.75 & 6 \\
\hline 1998 & 1.28 & 6.85 & . 88 & 4.71 & 17 \\
\hline 1997 & 1.29 & 7.18 & . 87 & 4.86 & 11 \\
\hline 1996 & 1.33 & 7.22 & . 90 & 4.88 & 15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MICHIGAN QUALITY (NUM)
\begin{tabular}{llllr} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
2001 (a) & \(1.28 *\) & \(7.94 *\) & \(.83 *\) & \(5.11 *\) \\
2000 & 1.27 & 8.31 & .81 & 2.29 \\
1999 & 1.19 & 7.28 & .82 & 25 \\
1998 & 1.19 & 7.35 & .82 & 21 \\
1997 & 1.21 & 7.64 & .83 & 8.03 \\
1996 & 1.21 & 7.77 & .83 & 5.23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MICHIGAN PREMIUM (NMP)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
2001 (a) & \(1.30 *\) & \(7.28 *\) & \(.86 *\) & \(4.85 *\) \\
2000 & 1.28 & 7.74 & .84 & 7.08 \\
1999 & 1.28 & 6.83 & .87 & 34 \\
1998 & 1.29 & 6.87 & .87 & 9.63 \\
1997 & 1.29 & 7.27 & .86 & 4.64 \\
1996 & 1.32 & 7.38 & .87 & 4.83 \\
& & & 4.87 & 4 \\
& & & & 17
\end{tabular}

OHIO QUALITY (NUO)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 2001 (a) & 1.31* & 7.62* & .86* & 5.02* & 4 \\
\hline 2000 & 1.29 & 7.89 & . 84 & 5.16 & 11 \\
\hline 1999 & 1.25 & 7.27 & . 84 & 4.88 & 3 \\
\hline 1998 & 1.29 & 7.37 & . 86 & 4.92 & 9 \\
\hline 1997 & 1.30 & 7.73 & . 85 & 5.08 & 25 \\
\hline 1996 & 1.32 & 7.79 & . 87 & 5.09 & 19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Year Ended 7/31: & & & \\
2001 (a) & \(1.27 *\) & \(7.86 *\) & \(.84 *\) & \(5.23 *\) \\
2000 & 1.26 & 8.19 & .83 & 5.40 \\
1999 & 1.23 & 7.32 & .84 & 5.00 \\
1998 & 1.22 & 7.40 & .83 & 19 \\
1997 & 1.22 & 7.81 & .83 & 17 \\
1996 & 1.23 & 7.95 & .83 & 5.27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

* Annualized.
** Total Investment Return on Market Value is the combination of reinvested
dividend income, reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, and
changes in stock price per share. Total Return on Net Asset Value is the
combination of reinvested dividend income, reinvested capital gains
distributions, if any, and changes in net asset value per share. Total
returns are not annualized.
*** After custodian fee credit, where applicable.
+ The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred
shareholders; income ratios reflect income earned on assets attributable to
Preferred shares.
+++ The amounts shown include distributions in excess of capital gains of \$.008
for Common shareholders and \$.002 for Preferred shareholders.
(a) For the six months ended January 31, 2001.
See accompanying notes to financial statements.

```

Build Your Wealth

\section*{AUTOMATICALLY}

\section*{NUVEEN EXCHANGE-TRADED FUNDS DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN}

Your Nuveen Exchange-Traded Fund allows you to conveniently reinvest dividends and/or capital gains distributions in additional fund shares.

By choosing to reinvest, you'll be able to invest money regularly and automatically, and watch your investment grow through the power of tax-free compounding. Just like dividends or distributions in cash, there may be times when income or capital gains taxes may be payable on dividends or distributions that are reinvested.

It is important to note that an automatic reinvestment plan does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect you against loss in a declining market.

\section*{EASY AND CONVENIENT}

To make recordkeeping easy and convenient, each month you'll receive a statement showing your total dividends and distributions, the date of investment, the shares acquired and the price per share, and the total number of shares you own.

HOW SHARES ARE PURCHASED
The shares you acquire by reinvesting will either be purchased on the open

\section*{Edgar Filing: NUVEEN ARIZONA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-30D}
market or newly issued by the Fund. If the shares are trading at or above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the then-current market price. If the shares are trading at less than net asset value, shares for your account will be purchased on the open market. Dividends and distributions received to purchase shares in the open market will normally be invested shortly after the dividend payment date. No interest will be paid on dividends and distributions awaiting reinvestment. Because the market price of shares may increase before purchases are completed, the average purchase price per share may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the dividend or distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund. A pro rata portion of any applicable brokerage commissions on open market purchases will be paid by Plan participants. These commissions usually will be lower than those charged on individual transactions.

FLEXIBILITY
You may change your distribution option or withdraw from the Plan at any time, should your needs or situation change. Should you withdraw, you can receive a certificate for all whole shares credited to your reinvestment account and cash payment for fractional shares, or cash payment for all reinvestment account shares, less brokerage commissions and a \(\$ 2.50\) service fee.

You can reinvest whether your shares are registered in your name, or in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee. Ask your investment advisor if his or her firm will participate on your behalf. Participants whose shares are registered in the name of one firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan at any time. Although the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants, there is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan at this time.

For more information on the Nuveen Automatic Reinvestment Plan or to enroll in or withdraw from the Plan, speak with your financial advisor or call us at (800) 257-8787.

Sidebar text: NUVEEN MAKES REINVESTING EASY. A PHONE CALL IS ALL IT TAKES TO SET UP YOUR REINVESTMENT ACCOUNT.

Fund
INFORMATION

BOARD OF DIRECTORS/TRUSTEES
Robert P. Bremner
Lawrence H. Brown
Anne E. Impellizzeri
Peter R. Sawers
William J. Schneider
Timothy R. Schwertfeger
Judith M. Stockdale

FUND MANAGER
Nuveen Advisory Corp. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

CUSTODIAN, TRANSFER AGENT
AND SHAREHOLDER SERVICES
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The Chase Manhattan Bank
4 ~ N e w ~ Y o r k ~ P l a z a ~
New York, NY 10004-2413
(800) 257-8787
LEGAL COUNSEL
Morgan, Lewis \&
Bockius LLP
Washington, D.C.
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS
Ernst \& Young LLP
Chicago, IL
Each Fund intends to repurchase shares of its own common or preferred stock in the future at such times and in such amounts as is deemed advisable. No shares were repurchased during the $6-m o n t h$ period ended January 31, 2001 . Any future repurchases will be reported to shareholders in the next annual or semiannual report.
Serving Investors FOR GENERATIONS
PHOTO OF: John Nuveen, Sr.
For over a century, generations of Americans have relied on Nuveen Investments to help them grow and keep the money they've earned. Financial advisors, investors and their families have associated Nuveen Investments with quality, expertise and dependability since 1898. That is why financial advisors have entrusted the assets of more than 1.3 million investors to Nuveen.
With the know-how that comes from a century of experience, Nuveen continues to build upon its reputation for quality. Now, financial advisors and investors can count on Nuveen Investments to help them design customized solutions that meet the far-reaching financial goals unique to family wealth strategies - solutions that can translate into legacies.
To find out more about how Nuveen Investments' products and services can help you preserve your financial security, talk with your financial advisor, or call us at (800) 257-8787 for more information, including a prospectus where applicable. Please read that information carefully before you invest.
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Invest well.

Look ahead.

LEAVE YOUR MARK. (SM)

LOGO: NUVEEN Investments```

