

INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST

Form 10-K

July 16, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-14851

Investors Real Estate Trust
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

North Dakota
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

45-0311232
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

1400 31st Avenue SW, Suite 60
Post Office Box 1988
Minot, ND 58702-1988
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

701-837-4738
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Common Shares of Beneficial Interest (no par value) - NASDAQ Global Select Market
Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest (no par value) -
NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Yes No

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

2012 Annual Report

Indicate by checkmark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
 Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Registrant's outstanding common shares of beneficial interest held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of October 31, 2011 was \$608,961,193 based on the last reported sale price on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on October 31, 2011. For purposes of this calculation, the Registrant has assumed that its trustees and executive officers are affiliates.

The number of common shares of beneficial interest outstanding as of June 25, 2012, was 90,265,194.

References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the "Company," "IRET," "we," "us," or "our" include consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Portions of IRET's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on September 18, 2012 are incorporated by reference into Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) hereof.

2009 Annual Report

INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST

INDEX

	PAGE
PART I	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	5
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	11
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff</u>	
<u>Comments</u>	22
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	22
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	34
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety</u>	
<u>Disclosures</u>	35
PART II	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	35
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	37
<u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	37
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	65
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	66
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	66
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	67
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	69
PART III	
<u>Item 10. Trustees, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	69
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	69
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	69
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence</u>	69
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	69
PART IV	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	70
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	70
<u>Signatures</u>	72
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and Financial Statements</u>	F-1 to F-44

2012 Annual Report 3

Index

Special Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents incorporated into this document by reference are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Such forward-looking statements include statements about our belief that we have the liquidity and capital resources necessary to meet our known obligations and to make additional real estate acquisitions and capital improvements when appropriate to enhance long term growth; and other statements preceded by, followed by or otherwise including words such as “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “project,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “potential,” “may,” “designed,” “should,” “continue” and other similar expressions. These statements indicate that we have used assumptions that are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results or performance to differ materially from those projected.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that these expectations will prove to have been correct. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements include:

- the economic health of the markets in which we own and operate multi-family and commercial properties, in particular the states of Minnesota and North Dakota, or other markets in which we may invest in the future;
- the economic health of our commercial tenants;
- market rental conditions, including occupancy levels and rental rates, for multi-family residential and commercial properties;
- our ability to identify and secure additional multi-family residential and commercial properties that meet our criteria for investment;
- the level and volatility of prevailing market interest rates and the pricing of our common shares of beneficial interest;
 - financing risks, such as our inability to obtain debt or equity financing on favorable terms, or at all;
- compliance with applicable laws, including those concerning the environment and access by persons with disabilities; and
 - the availability and cost of casualty insurance for losses.

Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the section entitled “Risk Factors” in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

In light of these uncertainties, the events anticipated by our forward-looking statements might not occur. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The foregoing review of factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in any forward-looking statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K should not be construed as exhaustive.

Index

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

Investors Real Estate Trust (“IRET” or the “Company”) is a self-advised equity Real Estate Investment Trust (“REIT”) organized under the laws of North Dakota. Since our formation in 1970, our business has consisted of owning and operating income-producing real estate properties. We are structured as an Umbrella Partnership Real Estate Investment Trust or UPREIT and we conduct our day-to-day business operations through our operating partnership, IRET Properties, a North Dakota Limited Partnership (“IRET Properties” or the “Operating Partnership”). Our investments consist of multi-family residential properties and commercial office, commercial medical, commercial industrial and commercial retail properties. These properties are located primarily in the upper Midwest states of Minnesota and North Dakota. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2012, our real estate investments in these two states accounted for 69.0% of our total gross revenue. Our principal executive office is located in Minot, North Dakota. We also have corporate offices in Minneapolis and St. Cloud, Minnesota, and additional property management offices in Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota and South Dakota.

We seek to diversify our investments among multi-family residential, commercial office, commercial medical, commercial industrial and commercial retail properties. As of April 30, 2012, our real estate portfolio consisted of:

- 84 multi-family residential properties containing 9,161 apartment units and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$411.0 million;
- 68 commercial office properties containing approximately 5.1 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$483.9 million;
- 65 commercial medical properties (including senior housing) containing approximately 2.9 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$421.5 million;
- 49 commercial industrial properties containing approximately 2.9 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$98.3 million; and
- 30 commercial retail properties containing approximately 1.4 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$103.8 million.

Our residential leases are generally for a one-year term. Our commercial properties are typically leased to tenants under long-term lease arrangements. As of April 30, 2012, no individual tenant accounted for more than 10% of our total real estate rentals, although affiliated entities of Edgewood Vista together accounted for approximately 12.4% of our total commercial segments’ minimum rents.

Structure

We were organized as a REIT under the laws of North Dakota on July 31, 1970.

Since our formation, we have operated as a REIT under Sections 856-858 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Internal Revenue Code”), and since February 1, 1997, we have been structured as an UPREIT. Since restructuring as an UPREIT, we have conducted our daily business operations primarily through IRET Properties. IRET Properties is organized under the laws of North Dakota pursuant to an Agreement of Limited Partnership dated

January 31, 1997. IRET Properties is principally engaged in acquiring, owning, operating and leasing multi-family residential and commercial real estate. The sole general partner of IRET Properties is IRET, Inc., a North Dakota corporation and our wholly-owned subsidiary. All of our assets (except for qualified REIT subsidiaries) and liabilities were contributed to IRET Properties, through IRET, Inc., in exchange for the sole general partnership interest in IRET Properties. As of April 30, 2012, IRET, Inc. owned an 81.5% interest in IRET Properties. The remaining ownership of IRET Properties is held by individual limited partners.

2012 Annual Report 5

Index

Investment Strategy and Policies

Our business objective is to increase shareholder value by employing a disciplined investment strategy. This strategy is focused on growing assets in desired geographical markets, achieving diversification by property type and location, and adhering to targeted returns in acquiring properties.

We generally use available cash or short-term floating rate debt to acquire real estate. We then replace such cash or short-term floating rate debt with fixed-rate secured debt. In appropriate circumstances, we also may acquire one or more properties in exchange for our common shares of beneficial interest (“common shares”) or for limited partnership units of IRET Properties (“limited partnership units” or “UPREIT Units”), which are convertible, after the expiration of a minimum holding period of one year, into cash or, at our sole discretion, into our common shares on a one-to-one basis.

Our investment strategy is to invest in multi-family residential properties, and in commercial office, commercial medical, commercial industrial and commercial retail properties that are leased to single or multiple tenants, usually for five years or longer, and are located throughout the upper Midwest. We operate mainly within the states of North Dakota and Minnesota, although we also have real estate investments in Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, South Dakota, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

In order to implement our investment strategy we have certain investment policies. Our significant investment policies are as follows:

Investments in the securities of, or interests in, entities primarily engaged in real estate activities and other securities. While we are permitted to invest in the securities of other entities engaged in the ownership and operation of real estate, as well as other securities, we currently have no plans to make any investments in other securities.

Any policy, as it relates to investments in other securities, may be changed by a majority of the members of our Board of Trustees at any time without notice to or a vote of our shareholders.

Investments in real estate or interests in real estate. We currently own multi-family residential properties and/or commercial properties in 12 states. We may invest in real estate, or interests in real estate, located anywhere in the United States; however, we currently plan to focus our investments in those states in which we already have property, with specific concentration in Minnesota, North Dakota, Nebraska, Iowa, Colorado, Montana, South Dakota, and Kansas. Similarly, we may invest in any type of real estate or interest in real estate including, but not limited to, office buildings, apartment buildings, shopping centers, industrial and commercial properties, special purpose buildings and undeveloped acreage. Under our Third Restated Trustees’ Regulations (Bylaws), however, we may not invest more than 10.0% of our total assets in unimproved real estate, excluding property being developed or property where development will be commenced within one year.

It is not our policy to acquire assets primarily for capital gain through sale in the short term. Rather, it is our policy to acquire assets with an intention to hold such assets for at least a 10-year period. During the holding period, it is our policy to seek current income and capital appreciation through an increase in value of our real estate portfolio, as well as increased revenue as a result of higher rents.

Any policy, as it relates to investments in real estate or interests in real estate may be changed by our Board of Trustees at any time without notice to or a vote of our shareholders.

Investments in real estate mortgages. While not our primary business focus, from time to time we make loans to others that are secured by mortgages, liens or deeds of trust covering real estate. We have no restrictions on the type of

property that may be used as collateral for a mortgage loan; provided, however, that except for loans insured or guaranteed by a government or a governmental agency, we may not invest in or make a mortgage loan unless an appraisal is obtained concerning the value of the underlying property. Unless otherwise approved by our Board of Trustees, it is our policy that we will not invest in mortgage loans on any one property if in the aggregate the total indebtedness on the property, including our mortgage, exceeds 85.0% of the property's appraised value. We can invest in junior mortgages without notice to, or the approval of, our shareholders. As of April 30, 2012 and 2011, we had no junior mortgages

2012 Annual Report 6

Index

outstanding. We had no investments in real estate mortgages at April 30, 2012. We had one contract for deed outstanding as of April 30, 2011, with a balance due to us, net of reserves, of approximately \$156,000.

Our policies relating to mortgage loans, including second mortgages, may be changed by our Board of Trustees at any time, or from time to time, without notice to, or a vote of, our shareholders.

Policies With Respect to Certain of Our Activities

Our current policies as they pertain to certain of our activities are described as follows:

Distributions to shareholders and holders of limited partnership units. One of the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code for a REIT is that it distribute 90% of its net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to its shareholders. There is a separate requirement to distribute net capital gains or pay a corporate level tax in lieu thereof. Our general policy has been to make cash distributions to our common shareholders and the holders of limited partnership units of approximately 65.0% to 90.0% of our funds from operations and to use the remaining funds for capital improvements or the purchase of additional properties. This policy may be changed at any time by our Board of Trustees without notice to, or approval of, our shareholders. Distributions to our common shareholders and unitholders in fiscal years 2012 and 2011 totaled approximately 86.4% and 108.9%, respectively, on a per share and unit basis of our funds from operations.

Issuing senior securities. On April 26, 2004, we issued 1,150,000 shares of 8.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest (the "Series A preferred shares"). Depending on future interest rate and market conditions, we may issue additional preferred shares or other senior securities which would have dividend and liquidation preference over our common shares.

Borrowing money. We rely on borrowed funds in pursuing our investment objectives and goals. It is generally our policy to seek to borrow up to 65.0% to 75.0% of the appraised value of all new real estate acquired or developed. This policy concerning borrowed funds is vested solely with our Board of Trustees and can be changed by our Board of Trustees at any time, or from time to time, without notice to, or a vote of, our shareholders. Such policy is subject, however, to the limitation in our Bylaws, which provides that unless approved by a majority of the independent members of our Board of Trustees and disclosed to our shareholders in our next quarterly report along with justification for such excess, we may not borrow in excess of 300.0% of our total Net Assets (as such term is used in our Bylaws, which usage is not in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP")), "Net Assets" means our total assets at cost before deducting depreciation or other non-cash reserves, less total liabilities). Our Bylaws do not impose any limitation on the amount that we may borrow against any one particular property. As of April 30, 2012, our ratio of total indebtedness to total real estate investments was 70.7% while our ratio of total indebtedness as compared to our Net Assets (computed in accordance with our Bylaws) was 117.2%.

Offering securities in exchange for property. Our organizational structure allows us to issue shares and to offer limited partnership units of IRET Properties in exchange for real estate. The limited partnership units are convertible into cash, or, at our option, common shares on a one-for-one basis after a minimum one-year holding period. All limited partnership units receive the same cash distributions as those paid on common shares. Limited partners are not entitled to vote on any matters affecting us until they convert their limited partnership units to common shares.

Our declaration of trust, as amended (our "Declaration of Trust"), does not contain any restrictions on our ability to offer limited partnership units of IRET Properties in exchange for property. As a result, any decision to do so is vested solely in our Board of Trustees. This policy may be changed at any time, or from time to time, without notice to, or a vote of, our shareholders. For the three most recent fiscal years ended April 30, we have issued the following limited partnership units of IRET Properties in exchange for properties:

	(in thousands)		
	2012	2011	2010
Limited partnership units issued	1,024	555	390
Value at issuance	\$8,055	\$4,996	\$3,897

Acquiring or repurchasing shares. As a REIT, it is our intention to invest only in real estate assets. Our Declaration of Trust does not prohibit the acquisition or repurchase of our common or preferred shares or other securities so long as such activity does not prohibit us from operating as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. Any policy

2012 Annual Report 7

Index

regarding the acquisition or repurchase of shares or other securities is vested solely in our Board of Trustees and may be changed at any time, or from time to time, without notice to, or a vote of, our shareholders.

During fiscal year 2012, we did not repurchase any of our outstanding common shares, preferred shares or limited partnership units, except for the redemption of a nominal amount of fractional common shares held by shareholders.

To make loans to other persons. Our organizational structure allows us to make loans to other persons, subject to certain conditions and subject to our election to be taxed as a REIT. All loans must be secured by real property or limited partnership units of IRET Properties. Our mortgage loans receivable (including contracts for deed), net of reserves, totaled \$0 as of April 30, 2012, and approximately \$156,000 as of April 30, 2011.

To invest in the securities of other issuers for the purpose of exercising control. We have not, for the past three years, engaged in, and we are not currently engaging in, investment in the securities of other issuers for the purpose of exercising control. Our Declaration of Trust does not impose any limitation on our ability to invest in the securities of other issuers for the purpose of exercising control. Any decision to do so is vested solely in our Board of Trustees and may be changed at any time, or from time to time, without notice to, or a vote of, our shareholders.

Information about Segments

We currently operate in five reportable real estate segments: multi-family residential, commercial office, commercial medical (including senior housing), commercial industrial and commercial retail. For further information on these segments and other related information, see Note 11 of our consolidated financial statements, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Executive Officers of the Company

Set forth below are the names, ages, titles and biographies of each of our executive officers as of July 1, 2012.

Name	Age	Title
Timothy P. Mihalick	53	President and Chief Executive Officer
Thomas A. Wentz, Jr.	46	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Diane K. Bryantt	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Michael A. Bosh	41	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Mark W. Reiling	54	Executive Vice President of Asset Management
Charles A. Greenberg	53	Senior Vice President, Commercial Asset Management
Ted E. Holmes	41	Senior Vice President, Finance
Andrew Martin	39	Senior Vice President, Residential Property Management

Timothy P. Mihalick joined us as a financial officer in May 1981, after graduating from Minot State University. He has served in various capacities with us over the years and was named Vice President in 1992. Mr. Mihalick served as the Chief Operating Officer from 1997 to 2009, as a Senior Vice President from 2002 to 2009, and as a member of our Board of Trustees since 1999. In September 2009, Mr. Mihalick was named President and Chief Executive Officer.

Thomas A. Wentz, Jr. is a graduate of Harvard College and the University of North Dakota School of Law, and joined us as General Counsel and Vice President in January 2000. He served as Senior Vice President of Asset Management and Finance from 2002 to 2009 and as a member of our Board of Trustees since 1996. In September 2009, Mr. Wentz was named Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, and in June 2012 Mr. Wentz was named Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Prior to 2000, Mr. Wentz was a shareholder in the law firm of Pringle & Herigstad, P.C. from 1992 to 1999. Mr. Wentz is a member of the American Bar Association and the North Dakota Bar Association, and he is a Director of SRT Communications, Inc.

Diane K. Bryantt is a graduate of Minot State University. Ms. Bryantt joined us in June 1996, and served as our Controller and Corporate Secretary before being appointed to the positions of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in 2002 and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in June 2012. Prior to joining us, Ms. Bryantt was employed by First American Bank, Minot, North Dakota.

2012 Annual Report 8

[Index](#)

Michael A. Bosh joined us as Associate General Counsel and Secretary in September 2002, and was named General Counsel in September 2003 and Executive Vice President and General Counsel in June 2012. Prior to 2002, Mr. Bosh was a shareholder in the law firm of Pringle & Herigstad, P.C. Mr. Bosh graduated from Jamestown College in 1992 and from Washington & Lee University School of Law in 1995. Mr. Bosh is a member of the American Bar Association and the North Dakota Bar Association.

Mark W. Reiling joined IRET in June 2012 as Executive Vice President of Asset Management. Mr. Reiling holds a Bachelor's in Business Administration degree in Finance from the University of Notre Dame, and has over 30 years of experience in commercial real estate. He was associated with the Towle Real Estate Company and its successors (now Cassidy Turley) in Minneapolis, Minnesota, for approximately 18 years as President of Towle Properties, Inc., providing asset management services to commercial property owners, and as Senior Vice President at Cassidy Turley, responsible for new business development (brokerage and property management services).

Charles A. Greenberg joined IRET in August 2005 as Director of Commercial Asset Management, and was named Senior Vice President, Commercial Asset Management in November 2008. He is a graduate of the University of Wisconsin-Madison and has over 26 years of experience in both asset and property management of institutional-grade real estate investments. From 1989 to 2005, Mr. Greenberg was General Manager at Northco Corporation, a Minneapolis-based real estate investment firm.

Ted E. Holmes joined us in 2009 as Vice President of Finance, and was promoted to Senior Vice President of Finance in December 2010. Mr. Holmes has over 15 years of experience in the finance industry, including the placement of debt and equity as a commercial and multi-family mortgage banker. From 1994 to 2002 Mr. Holmes was an Analyst and Assistant Vice President with Towle Financial Services/Midwest, a privately held mortgage banking company in Minneapolis, and he served as Director with Wells Fargo Bank, NA from 2003 to 2009. He holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from St. Cloud State University and is a licensed Minnesota Broker.

Andrew Martin joined IRET in December 2009 to lead the Company's Residential Property Management division. In May 2011 Mr. Martin was promoted to Senior Vice President of Residential Property Management. He has over 17 years of experience in the commercial and multi-family property management industry. Prior to his employment with IRET, Mr. Martin was a partner with INH Companies, a property management firm based in St. Cloud, Minnesota, and also worked in Minneapolis, Minnesota for United Properties as a regional property manager. Mr. Martin holds a bachelors degree in Real Estate and a Master's degree in Business Administration from St. Cloud State University, and has earned the designation of Certified Property Manager from the Institute of Real Estate Management.

Employees

As of April 30, 2012, we had 400 employees, of whom 322 were full-time and 78 part-time employees. Of these 400 employees, 55 are corporate staff in our Minot, North Dakota and Minneapolis, Minnesota offices, and 345 are property management employees based at our properties or in local property management offices.

Environmental Matters and Government Regulation

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances released at a property, and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage or personal injuries and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred in connection with any contamination. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on a contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with the contamination. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the current owner was responsible for, or even knew of, the presence of such substances. It is generally our

policy to obtain from independent environmental consultants a “Phase I” environmental audit (which involves visual inspection but not soil or groundwater analysis) on all properties that we seek to acquire. We do not believe that any of our properties are subject to any material environmental contamination. However, no assurances can be given that:

- a prior owner, operator or occupant of the properties we own or the properties we intend to acquire did not create a material environmental condition not known to us, which might have been revealed by more in-depth study of the properties; and

2012 Annual Report 9

Index

future uses or conditions (including, without limitation, changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations) will not result in the imposition of environmental liability upon us.

In addition to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, many other laws and governmental regulations are applicable to our properties, and changes in the laws and regulations, or in their interpretation by agencies and the courts, occur frequently. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the “ADA”), all places of public accommodation are required to meet certain federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. In addition, the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (the “FHAA”) requires apartment communities first occupied after March 13, 1990, to be accessible to the handicapped. Non-compliance with the ADA or the FHAA could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. We believe that those of our properties to which the ADA and/or FHAA apply are substantially in compliance with present ADA and FHAA requirements.

Competition

Investing in and operating real estate is a very competitive business. We compete with other owners and developers of multi-family and commercial properties to attract tenants to our properties. Ownership of competing properties is diversified among other REITs, financial institutions, individuals and public and private companies who are actively engaged in this business. Our multi-family properties compete directly with other rental apartments, as well as with condominiums and single-family homes that are available for rent or purchase in the areas in which our properties are located. Our commercial properties compete with other commercial properties for tenants. Additionally, we compete with other real estate investors, including other REITs, pension and investment funds, partnerships and investment companies, to acquire properties. This competition affects our ability to acquire properties we want to add to our portfolio and the price we pay for acquisitions. We do not believe we have a dominant position in any of the geographic markets in which we operate, but some of our competitors may be dominant in selected markets. Many of our competitors have greater financial and management resources than we have. We believe, however, that the geographic diversity of our investments, the experience and abilities of our management, the quality of our assets and the financial strength of many of our commercial tenants affords us some competitive advantages that have in the past and will in the future allow us to operate our business successfully despite the competitive nature of our business.

Corporate Governance

Our Board of Trustees has adopted various policies and initiatives to strengthen the Company’s corporate governance and increase the transparency of financial reporting. Each of the committees of the Board of Trustees operates under written charters, and the Company’s independent trustees meet regularly in executive sessions at which only the independent trustees are present. The Board of Trustees has also adopted a Code of Conduct applicable to trustees, officers and employees, and a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, and has established processes for shareholder communications with the Board of Trustees.

Additionally, the Company’s Audit Committee has established procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, including procedures for the confidential, anonymous submission by Company employees of concerns regarding accounting or auditing matters. The Audit Committee also maintains a policy requiring Audit Committee approval of all audit and non-audit services provided to the Company by the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm.

The Company will disclose any amendment to its Code of Ethics for Senior Financial officers on its website. In the event the Company waives compliance by any of its trustees or officers subject to the Code of Ethics or Code of Conduct, the Company will disclose such waiver in a Form 8-K filed within four business days.

Website and Available Information

Our internet address is www.iret.com. We make available, free of charge, through the “SEC filings” tab under the Investors/Financial Reporting section of our website, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after such forms are filed with or furnished to the SEC. Current copies of our Code of Conduct, Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, and Charters for the Audit, Compensation, Executive and Nominating and Governance Committees of our Board of Trustees are also available on our website under the heading “Corporate Governance” in the Investors/Corporate Overview section of

2012 Annual Report 10

[Index](#)

our website. Copies of these documents are also available to shareholders upon request addressed to the Secretary at Investors Real Estate Trust, P.O. Box 1988, Minot, North Dakota 58702-1988. Information on our internet website does not constitute part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Properties and Business

Our performance and share value are subject to risks associated with the real estate industry. Our results of operations and financial condition, the value of our real estate assets, and the value of an investment in us are subject to the risks normally associated with the ownership and operation of real estate properties. These risks include, but are not limited to, the following factors which, among others, may adversely affect the income generated by our properties:

- downturns in national, regional and local economic conditions (particularly increases in unemployment);
 - competition from other commercial and multi-family residential properties;
- local real estate market conditions, such as oversupply or reduction in demand for commercial and multi-family residential space;
 - changes in interest rates and availability of attractive financing;
- declines in the economic health and financial condition of our tenants and our ability to collect rents from our tenants;
 - vacancies, changes in market rental rates and the need periodically to repair, renovate and re-lease space;
- increased operating costs, including real estate taxes, state and local taxes, insurance expense, utilities, and security costs;
- significant expenditures associated with each investment, such as debt service payments, real estate taxes and insurance and maintenance costs, which are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in revenues from a property;
- weather conditions, civil disturbances, natural disasters, terrorist acts or acts of war which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses; and
 - decreases in the underlying value of our real estate.

Adverse global market and economic conditions may continue to adversely affect us and could cause us to recognize additional impairment charges or otherwise harm our performance. Market and economic conditions have been challenging for several years, with tighter credit conditions developing at the end of 2008 and continuing in 2009 and 2010, and an uneven economic recovery and persistent high unemployment continuing into 2012. Continued concerns about unemployment and public debt levels, geopolitical issues and declining real estate markets have contributed to increased market instability and diminished expectations for the U.S. economy. The commercial real estate sector in particular has been negatively affected by these market and economic conditions. These conditions may result in our tenants delaying lease commencements, requesting rent reductions, declining to extend or renew leases upon expiration and/or renewing at lower rates. These conditions also have forced some weaker tenants, in some cases, to declare bankruptcy and/or vacate leased premises. We may be unable to re-lease vacated space at attractive rents or at

all. We are unable to predict whether, or to what extent or for how long, these adverse market and economic conditions will persist. The continuation and/or intensification of these conditions may impede our ability to generate sufficient operating cash flow to pay expenses, maintain properties, pay distributions and repay debt.

The federal conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and related efforts, along with any changes in laws and regulations affecting the relationship between Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the U.S. Government, may adversely affect our business. We depend on the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) for financing for the majority of our multi-family

2012 Annual Report 11

[Index](#)

residential properties. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are U.S. Government-sponsored entities, or GSEs, but their guarantees are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. In recent years, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have reported substantial losses and a need for substantial amounts of additional capital. In response to the deteriorating financial condition of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and credit market disruptions, Congress and the U.S. Treasury have undertaken a series of actions to stabilize these GSEs and the financial markets generally. In September 2008 Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac were placed in federal conservatorship. The problems faced by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac resulting in their being placed into federal conservatorship have stirred debate among some federal policy makers regarding the continued role of the U.S. Government in providing liquidity for the residential mortgage market. In February 2011, the U.S. Department of the Treasury and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development issued a report entitled "Reforming America's Housing Finance Market." The report outlines recommendations for reforming the U.S. housing system, including the financing of multi-family residential properties, and discusses specifically the roles of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in that system. It is unclear how future legislation may impact Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's involvement in multi-family residential financing. The scope and nature of the actions that the U.S. Government will ultimately undertake with respect to the future of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are unknown and will continue to evolve. It is possible that each of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could be dissolved and the U.S. Government could decide to stop providing liquidity support of any kind to the multi-family residential mortgage market. Future legislation could further change the relationship between Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the U.S. Government, and could also nationalize or eliminate such GSEs entirely. Any law affecting these GSEs may create market uncertainty and have the effect of reducing the credit available for financing multi-family residential properties. The loss or reduction of this important source of credit would be likely to result in higher loan costs for us, and could result in inability to borrow or refinance maturing debt, all of which could materially adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

Our property acquisition activities subject us to various risks which could adversely affect our operating results. We have acquired in the past and intend to continue to pursue the acquisition of properties and portfolios of properties, including large portfolios that could increase our size and result in alterations to our capital structure. Our acquisition activities and their success are subject to numerous risks, including, but not limited to:

even if we enter into an acquisition agreement for a property, it is subject to customary closing conditions, including completion of due diligence investigations, and we may be unable to complete that acquisition after making a non-refundable deposit and incurring other acquisition-related costs;

- we may be unable to obtain financing for acquisitions on favorable terms or at all;
- acquired properties may fail to perform as expected;
- the actual costs of repositioning or redeveloping acquired properties may be greater than our estimates; and
- we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions into our existing operations.

These risks could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition and the amount of cash available for payment of distributions.

Acquired properties may subject us to unknown liabilities which could adversely affect our operating results. We may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse against prior owners or other third parties, with respect to unknown liabilities. As a result, if liability were asserted against us based upon ownership of these properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle or contest it, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows. Unknown liabilities with respect to acquired properties might include liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination; claims by tenants, vendors or other persons

against the former owners of the properties; liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business; and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

Our geographic concentration in Minnesota and North Dakota may result in losses due to our significant exposure to the effects of economic and real estate conditions in those markets. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2012, we received approximately 69.0% of our gross revenue from properties in Minnesota and North Dakota. As a result of this concentration, we are subject to substantially greater risk than if our investments were more geographically dispersed. Specifically, we are more significantly exposed to the effects of economic and real estate conditions in those particular markets, such as building by competitors, local vacancy and rental rates and general levels of

2012 Annual Report 12

[Index](#)

employment and economic activity. To the extent that weak economic or real estate conditions affect Minnesota and/or North Dakota more severely than other areas of the country, our financial performance could be negatively impacted.

If we are not able to renew leases or enter into new leases on favorable terms or at all as our existing leases expire, our revenue, operating results and cash flows will be reduced. We may be unable to renew leases with our existing tenants or enter into new leases with new tenants due to economic and other factors as our existing leases expire or are terminated prior to the expiration of their current terms. As a result, we could lose a significant source of revenue while remaining responsible for the payment of our obligations. In addition, even if we were able to renew existing leases or enter into new leases in a timely manner, the terms of those leases may be less favorable to us than the terms of expiring leases, because the rental rates of the renewal or new leases may be significantly lower than those of the expiring leases, or tenant installation costs, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, may be significant. If we are unable to enter into lease renewals or new leases on favorable terms or in a timely manner for all or a substantial portion of space that is subject to expiring leases, our revenue, operating results and cash flows will be adversely affected. As a result, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest may be adversely affected. As of April 30, 2012, approximately 1.6 million square feet, or 12.6% of our total commercial property square footage, was vacant. Approximately 580 of our 9,161 apartment units, or 6.3%, were vacant. As of April 30, 2012, leases covering approximately 7.9% of our total commercial segments net rentable square footage will expire in fiscal year 2013, 11.7% in fiscal year 2014, 9.6% in fiscal year 2015, 13.3% in fiscal year 2016, and 11.0% in fiscal year 2017.

We face potential adverse effects from commercial tenant bankruptcies or insolvencies. The bankruptcy or insolvency of our commercial tenants may adversely affect the income produced by our properties. If a tenant defaults, we may experience delays and incur substantial costs in enforcing our rights as landlord. If a tenant files for bankruptcy, we cannot evict the tenant solely because of such bankruptcy. A court, however, may authorize the tenant to reject and terminate its lease with us. In such a case, our claim against the tenant for unpaid future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent actually owed under the lease, and it is unlikely that a bankrupt tenant would pay in full amounts it owes us under a lease. This shortfall could adversely affect our cash flow and results of operations. If a tenant experiences a downturn in its business or other types of financial distress, it may be unable to make timely rental payments. Under some circumstances, we may agree to partially or wholly terminate the lease in advance of the termination date in consideration for a lease termination fee that is less than the agreed rental amount. Additionally, without regard to the manner in which a lease termination occurs, we are likely to incur additional costs in the form of tenant improvements and leasing commissions in our efforts to lease the space to a new tenant, as well as possibly lower rental rates reflective of declines in market rents.

Because real estate investments are generally illiquid, and various factors limit our ability to dispose of assets, we may not be able to sell properties when appropriate. Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and, therefore, we have limited ability to vary our portfolio quickly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. In addition, the prohibitions under the federal income tax laws on REITs holding property for sale and related regulations may affect our ability to sell properties. Our ability to dispose of assets may also be limited by constraints on our ability to utilize disposition proceeds to make acquisitions on financially attractive terms, and the requirement that we take additional impairment charges on certain assets. More specifically, we are required to distribute or pay tax on all capital gains generated from the sale of assets, and, in addition, a significant number of our properties were acquired using limited partnership units of IRET Properties, our operating partnership, and are subject to certain agreements which restrict our ability to sell such properties in transactions that would create current taxable income to the former owners. As a result, we are motivated to structure the sale of these assets as tax-free exchanges. To accomplish this we must identify attractive re-investment opportunities. These considerations impact our decisions on whether or not to dispose of certain of our assets.

Capital markets and economic conditions can materially affect our financial condition and results of operations, the value of our equity securities, and our ability to sustain payment of our distribution at current levels. Many factors affect the value of our equity securities and our ability to make or maintain at current levels distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest, including the state of the capital markets and the economy, which in recent years have negatively affected substantially all businesses, including ours. Demand for office, industrial, and retail space has declined nationwide due to bankruptcies, downsizing, layoffs and cost cutting. The availability of credit has been and may in the future again be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets. Regulatory pressures and the burden of troubled and uncollectible loans led some lenders and institutional investors to reduce, and in

2012 Annual Report 13

[Index](#)

some cases, cease to provide funding to borrowers. If these market conditions recur, they may limit our ability and the ability of our tenants to timely refinance maturing liabilities and access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs, which may materially affect our financial condition and results of operations and the value of our equity securities. Declining rental revenues from our properties due to persistent negative economic conditions may have a material adverse effect on our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest. In fiscal years 2012 and 2011, distributions to our common shareholders and unitholders of the Operating Partnership in cash and common shares pursuant to our Distribution Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan (DRIP) totaled approximately 88.7% and 115.1%, respectively, of our net cash provided by operating activities.

Inability to manage rapid growth effectively may adversely affect our operating results. We have experienced significant growth at various times in the past; principally through the acquisition of additional real estate properties. Subject to our continued ability to raise equity capital and issue limited partnership units of IRET Properties and identify suitable investment properties, we intend to continue our acquisition of real estate properties. Effective management of rapid growth presents challenges, including:

- the need to expand our management team and staff;
- the need to enhance internal operating systems and controls; and
- the ability to consistently achieve targeted returns on individual properties.

We may not be able to maintain similar rates of growth in the future, or manage our growth effectively. Additionally, an inability to make accretive property acquisitions may adversely affect our ability to increase our net income. The acquisition of additional real estate properties is critical to our ability to increase our net income. If we are unable to make real estate acquisitions on terms that meet our financial and strategic objectives, whether due to market conditions, a changed competitive environment or unavailability of capital, our ability to increase our net income may be materially and adversely affected. Our failure to do so may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest.

Competition may negatively impact our earnings. We compete with many kinds of institutions, including other REITs, private partnerships, individuals, pension funds and banks, for tenants and investment opportunities. Many of these institutions are active in the markets in which we invest and have greater financial and other resources that may be used to compete against us. With respect to tenants, this competition may affect our ability to lease our properties, the price at which we are able to lease our properties and the cost of required renovations or tenant improvements. With respect to acquisition and development investment opportunities, this competition may cause us to pay higher prices for new properties than we otherwise would have paid, or may prevent us from purchasing a desired property at all.

High leverage on our overall portfolio may result in losses. As of April 30, 2012, our ratio of total indebtedness to total Net Assets (as that term is used in our Bylaws, which usage is not in accordance with GAAP, "Net Assets" means our total assets at cost before deducting depreciation or other non-cash reserves, less total liabilities) was approximately 117.2%. As of April 30, 2011 and 2010, our percentage of total indebtedness to total Net Assets was approximately 117.9% and 122.9%, respectively. Under our Bylaws we may increase our total indebtedness up to 300.0% of our Net Assets, or by an additional approximately \$1.7 billion. There is no limitation on the increase that may be permitted if approved by a majority of the independent members of our Board of Trustees and disclosed to the holders of our securities in the next quarterly report, along with justification for any excess.

This amount of leverage may expose us to cash flow problems if rental income decreases. Under those circumstances, in order to pay our debt obligations we might be required to sell properties at a loss or be unable to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest. A failure to pay amounts due may result in a default on our

obligations and the loss of the property through foreclosure. Additionally, our degree of leverage could adversely affect our ability to obtain additional financing and may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common shares.

Our inability to renew, repay or refinance our debt may result in losses. We incur a significant amount of debt in the ordinary course of our business and in connection with acquisitions of real properties. In addition, because we have a limited ability to retain earnings as a result of the REIT distribution requirements, we will generally be required to

2012 Annual Report 14

Index

refinance debt that matures with additional debt or equity. We are subject to the normal risks associated with debt financing, including the risk that:

- our cash flow will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest;
- we will not be able to renew, refinance or repay our indebtedness when due; and
- the terms of any renewal or refinancing will be less favorable than the terms of our current indebtedness.

These risks increase when credit markets are tight; in general, when the credit markets are constrained, we may encounter resistance from lenders when we seek financing or refinancing for properties or proposed acquisitions, and the terms of such financing or refinancing are likely to be less favorable to us than the terms of our current indebtedness.

We anticipate that only a small portion of the principal of our debt will be repaid prior to maturity. Therefore, we are likely to need to refinance a significant portion of our outstanding debt as it matures. We cannot guarantee that any refinancing of debt with other debt will be possible on terms that are favorable or acceptable to us. If we cannot refinance, extend or pay principal payments due at maturity with the proceeds of other capital transactions, such as new equity capital, our cash flows may not be sufficient in all years to repay debt as it matures. Additionally, if we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, or at all, we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties on disadvantageous terms, which may result in losses to us. These losses could have a material adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. Furthermore, if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the mortgagee could foreclose upon the property, appoint a receiver and receive an assignment of rents and leases or pursue other remedies, all with a consequent loss of our revenues and asset value. Foreclosures could also create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, thereby hindering our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements of the Internal Revenue Code.

As of April 30, 2012, approximately 4.9% of our mortgage debt is due for repayment in fiscal year 2013. As of April 30, 2012, we had approximately \$51.1 million of principal payments and approximately \$59.9 million of interest payments due in fiscal year 2013 on fixed and variable-rate mortgages secured by our real estate. Additionally, as of April 30, 2012, we had \$39.0 million outstanding under our \$60.0 million multi-bank line of credit, which has a maturity date of August 12, 2013.

The cost of our indebtedness may increase. Portions of our fixed-rate indebtedness incurred for past property acquisitions come due on a periodic basis. Rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance this existing debt when it matures, and would increase our interest costs, which could have a material adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. In addition, we have incurred, and we expect to continue to incur, indebtedness that bears interest at a variable rate. As of April 30, 2012, \$16.2 million, or approximately 1.5%, of the principal amount of our total mortgage indebtedness was subject to variable interest rate agreements. Additionally, our \$60.0 million multi-bank line of credit bears interest at a rate of 1.25% over the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate, with a floor of 5.15% and a cap of 8.65%. If short-term interest rates rise, our debt service payments on adjustable rate debt would increase, which would lower our net income and could decrease our distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest.

We depend on distributions and other payments from our subsidiaries that they may be prohibited from making to us, which could impair our ability to make distributions to holders of our shares of beneficial interest. Substantially all of our assets are held through IRET Properties, our operating partnership, and other of our subsidiaries. As a result, we depend on distributions and other payments from our subsidiaries in order to satisfy our financial obligations and

make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest. As an equity investor in our subsidiaries, our right to receive assets upon their liquidation or reorganization effectively will be subordinated to the claims of their creditors. To the extent that we are recognized as a creditor of such subsidiaries, our claims may still be subordinate to any security interest in or other lien on their assets and to any of their debt or other obligations that are senior to our claims.

Our current or future insurance may not protect us against possible losses. We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance with respect to our properties at levels that we believe to be adequate and comparable to coverage customarily obtained by owners of similar properties. However, the coverage limits of our current or future policies may be insufficient to cover the full cost of repair or replacement of all potential losses.

2012 Annual Report 15

[Index](#)

Moreover, this level of coverage may not continue to be available in the future or, if available, may be available only at unacceptable cost or with unacceptable terms. Additionally, there may be certain extraordinary losses, such as those resulting from civil unrest, terrorism or environmental contamination, that are not generally, or fully, insured against because they are either uninsurable or not economically insurable. For example, we do not currently carry insurance against losses as a result of environmental contamination. Should an uninsured or underinsured loss occur to a property, we could be required to use our own funds for restoration or lose all or part of our investment in, and anticipated revenues from, the property. In any event, we would continue to be obligated on any mortgage indebtedness on the property. Any loss could have a material adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. In addition, in most cases we have to renew our insurance policies on an annual basis and negotiate acceptable terms for coverage, exposing us to the volatility of the insurance markets, including the possibility of rate increases. Any material increase in insurance rates or decrease in available coverage in the future could adversely affect our business and financial condition and results of operations, which could cause a decline in the market value of our securities.

We have significant investments in commercial medical properties and adverse trends in healthcare provider operations may negatively affect our lease revenues from these properties. We have acquired a significant number of specialty medical properties (including senior housing) and may acquire more in the future. As of April 30, 2012, our real estate portfolio consisted of 65 commercial medical properties, with a total real estate investment amount, net of accumulated depreciation, of \$421.5 million, or approximately 27.8% of the total real estate investment amount, net of accumulated depreciation, of our entire real estate portfolio. The healthcare industry continues to experience: changes in the demand for, and methods of delivery of, healthcare services; changes in third-party reimbursement policies; significant unused capacity in certain areas, which has created substantial competition for patients among healthcare providers in those areas; continuing pressure by private and governmental payors to reduce payments to providers of services; and increased scrutiny of billing, referral and other practices by federal and state authorities. Sources of revenue for our commercial medical property tenants may include the federal Medicare program, state Medicaid programs, private insurance carriers and health maintenance organizations, among others. Efforts by such payors to reduce healthcare costs will likely continue, which may result in reductions or slower growth in reimbursement for certain services provided by some of our tenants. These factors may adversely affect the economic performance of some or all of our commercial medical services tenants and, in turn, our lease revenues. In addition, if we or our tenants terminate the leases for these properties, or our tenants lose their regulatory authority to operate such properties, we may not be able to locate suitable replacement tenants to lease the properties for their specialized uses. Alternatively, we may be required to spend substantial amounts to adapt the properties to other uses. Any loss of revenues and/or additional capital expenditures occurring as a result could hinder our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest.

New federal healthcare reform laws may adversely affect the operators and tenants of our commercial medical (including senior housing) properties. In March 2010, the President signed into law The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“PPACA”) and The Health Care and Education and Reconciliation Act of 2010 (the “Reconciliation Act”), which amends the PPACA (collectively, the “Health Reform Acts”). The Health Reform Acts contain various provisions that may affect us directly as an employer, and that may affect the operators and tenants of commercial medical (including senior housing) properties. While some of the provisions of these laws may have a positive impact on operators’ or tenants’ revenues, by increasing coverage of uninsured individuals, other provisions may have a negative effect on operator or tenant reimbursements, for example by changing the “market basket” adjustments for certain types of healthcare facilities. The Health Reform Acts also enhance certain fraud and abuse penalty provisions that could apply to our operators and tenants in the event of one or more violations of complex federal healthcare laws. Additionally, provisions in the Health Reform Acts may affect the health coverage that we and our operators and tenants provide to our respective employees. We currently cannot predict the impact that this far-reaching, landmark legislation will have on our business and the businesses and operations of our tenants. Any loss of revenues and/or additional expenditures incurred by us or by operators and tenants of our properties as a result of

the Health Reform Acts could adversely affect our cash flow and results of operations and have a material adverse effect on our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest.

Adverse changes in applicable laws may affect our potential liabilities relating to our properties and operations. Increases in real estate taxes and income, service and transfer taxes cannot always be passed through to all tenants in the form of higher rents. As a result, any increase may adversely affect our cash available for distribution, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. Similarly, changes in laws that increase the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on

2012 Annual Report 16

[Index](#)

properties, that increase the restrictions on discharges or other conditions or that affect development, construction and safety requirements may result in significant unanticipated expenditures that could have a material adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. In addition, future enactment of rent control or rent stabilization laws or other laws regulating multi-family residential properties may reduce rental revenues or increase operating costs.

Complying with laws benefiting disabled persons or other safety regulations and requirements may affect our costs and investment strategies. Federal, state and local laws and regulations designed to improve disabled persons' access to and use of buildings, including the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, may require modifications to, or restrict renovations of, existing buildings. Additionally, these laws and regulations may require that structural features be added to buildings under construction. Legislation or regulations that may be adopted in the future may impose further burdens or restrictions on us with respect to improved access to, and use of these buildings by, disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by government authorities or the award of damages to private litigants. The costs of complying with these laws and regulations may be substantial, and limits or restrictions on construction, or the completion of required renovations, may limit the implementation of our investment strategy or reduce overall returns on our investments. This could have an adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. Our properties are also subject to various other federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. Additionally, in the event that existing requirements change, compliance with future requirements may require significant unanticipated expenditures that may adversely affect our cash flow and results of operations.

We may be responsible for potential liabilities under environmental laws. Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we, as a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal of, or remediation of, hazardous or toxic substances in, on, around or under that property. These laws may impose liability without regard to whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence of the hazardous or toxic substances. The presence of these substances, or the failure to properly remediate any property containing these substances, may adversely affect our ability to sell or rent the affected property or to borrow funds using the property as collateral. In arranging for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances, we may also be liable for the costs of removal of, or remediation of, these substances at that disposal or treatment facility, whether or not we own or operate the facility. In connection with our current or former ownership (direct or indirect), operation, management, development and/or control of real properties, we may be potentially liable for removal or remediation costs with respect to hazardous or toxic substances at those properties, as well as certain other costs, including governmental fines and claims for injuries to persons and property. A finding of liability for an environmental condition as to any one or more properties could have a material adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos, and require that owners or operators of buildings containing asbestos properly manage and maintain the asbestos; notify and train those who may come into contact with asbestos; and undertake special precautions if asbestos would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. Indoor air quality issues may also necessitate special investigation and remediation. These air quality issues can result from inadequate ventilation, chemical contaminants from indoor or outdoor sources, or biological contaminants such as molds, pollen, viruses and bacteria. Such asbestos or air quality remediation programs could be costly, necessitate the temporary relocation of some or all of the property's tenants or require rehabilitation of an affected property.

It is generally our policy to obtain a Phase I environmental study on each property that we seek to acquire. A Phase I environmental study generally includes a visual inspection of the property and the surrounding areas, an examination of current and historical uses of the property and the surrounding areas and a review of relevant state and federal

documents, but does not involve invasive techniques such as soil and ground water sampling. If the Phase I indicates any possible environmental problems, our policy is to order a Phase II study, which involves testing the soil and ground water for actual hazardous substances. However, Phase I and Phase II environmental studies, or any other environmental studies undertaken with respect to any of our current or future properties, may not reveal the full extent of potential environmental liabilities. We currently do not carry insurance for environmental liabilities.

2012 Annual Report 17

[Index](#)

We may be unable to retain or attract qualified management. We are dependent upon our senior officers for essentially all aspects of our business operations. Our senior officers have experience in the specialized business segments in which we operate, and the loss of them would likely have a material adverse effect on our operations, and could adversely impact our relationships with lenders, industry personnel and potential tenants. We do not have employment contracts with any of our senior officers. As a result, any senior officer may terminate his or her relationship with us at any time, without providing advance notice. If we fail to manage effectively a transition to new personnel, or if we fail to attract and retain qualified and experienced personnel on acceptable terms, our business and prospects could be harmed. The location of our company headquarters in Minot, North Dakota, may make it more difficult and expensive to attract, relocate and retain current and future officers and employees.

If the level of drilling and production in the Bakken Shale Formation declines substantially near our North Dakota real estate assets, our physical occupancy levels and revenues could decline. We have significant existing real estate assets in our home market of North Dakota, and we are committing additional resources to the development of multi-family residential and commercial real estate in North Dakota in a response to unprecedented demand for office and residential space resulting from the development of the Bakken Shale Formation. We believe that our ability to maintain or increase physical occupancy levels and rental revenues at our commercial and multi-family residential properties in North Dakota will be significantly affected by the level of drilling and production by third parties in the Bakken Shale Formation. Drilling and production are impacted by factors beyond our control, including: the demand for and prices of crude oil and natural gas; environmental regulation and enforcement; producers' finding and development costs of reserves; producers' desire and ability to obtain necessary permits in a timely and economic manner; oil and natural gas field characteristics and production performance; and transportation and capacity constraints on natural gas, crude oil and natural gas liquids pipelines from the producing areas. Oil field activity could decline precipitously and substantially in North Dakota as a result of any or all of these factors, which could have a material adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest, and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt.

Risks related to properties under construction or development may adversely affect our financial performance. Our development and construction activities involve significant risks that may adversely affect our cash flow and results of operations, and consequently our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. In connection with our renovation, redevelopment, development and related construction activities, we may be unable to obtain, or may suffer delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations. These denials or delays could result in increased costs or our abandonment of projects. In addition, we may not be able to obtain financing on favorable terms, which may prevent us from proceeding with our development activities, and we may not be able to complete construction and lease-up of a property on schedule, which could result in increased debt service expense or construction costs. Additionally, the time required for development, construction and lease-up means that we may have to wait years for significant cash returns. Because we are required to make cash distributions to our shareholders, if our cash flow from operations or refinancings is not sufficient, we may be forced to borrow additional money to fund such distributions. Newly developed properties may not produce the cash flow that we expect, which could adversely affect our overall financial performance. In deciding whether to develop a particular property, we make assumptions regarding the expected future performance of that property. In particular, we estimate the return on our investment based on expected occupancy and rental rates. If our financial projections with respect to a new property are inaccurate, and the property is unable to achieve the expected occupancy and rental rates, it may fail to perform as we had expected. Our estimate of the costs of repositioning or redeveloping an acquired property may also prove to be inaccurate, which may result in our failure to meet our profitability goals.

Risks related to joint ventures may adversely affect our financial performance and results of operations. We have entered into, and may continue in the future to enter into, partnerships or joint ventures with other persons or entities. Joint venture investments involve risks that may not be present with other methods of ownership, including the

possibility: that our partner might become insolvent, refuse to make capital contributions when due or otherwise fail to meet its obligations, which may result in certain liabilities to us for guarantees and other commitments; that our partner might at any time have economic or other business interests or goals that are or become inconsistent with our interests or goals; that we could become engaged in a dispute with our partner, which could require us to expend additional resources to resolve such disputes and could have an adverse impact on the operations and profitability of the joint venture; and that our partner may be in a position to take action or withhold consent contrary to our instructions or requests. In addition, our ability to transfer our interest in a joint venture to a third party may be restricted. In some instances, we and/or our partner may have the right to trigger a buy-sell arrangement, which could cause us to sell our interest, or acquire our partner's interest, at a time when we otherwise would not have

2012 Annual Report 18

[Index](#)

initiated such a transaction. Our ability to acquire our partner's interest may be limited if we do not have sufficient cash, available borrowing capacity or other capital resources. In such event, we may be forced to sell our interest in the joint venture when we would otherwise prefer to retain it. Joint ventures may require us to share decision-making authority with our partners, which could limit our ability to control the properties in the joint ventures. Even when we have a controlling interest, certain major decisions may require partner approval, such as the sale, acquisition or financing of a property.

Risks Related to Our Structure and Organization

We may incur tax liabilities as a consequence of failing to qualify as a REIT. Although our management believes that we are organized and have operated and are operating in such a manner to qualify as a "real estate investment trust," as that term is defined under the Internal Revenue Code, we may not in fact have operated, or may not be able to continue to operate, in a manner to qualify or remain so qualified. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could endanger our REIT status. The determination that we qualify as a REIT requires an ongoing analysis of various factual matters and circumstances, some of which may not be within our control. For example, in order to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income in any year must come from certain passive sources that are itemized in the REIT tax laws, and we are prohibited from owning specified amounts of debt or equity securities of some issuers. Thus, to the extent revenues from non-qualifying sources, such as income from third-party management services, represent more than five percent of our gross income in any taxable year, we will not satisfy the 95% income test and may fail to qualify as a REIT, unless certain relief provisions contained in the Internal Revenue Code apply. Even if relief provisions apply, however, a tax would be imposed with respect to excess net income. We are also required to make distributions to the holders of our securities of at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains. The fact that we hold substantially all of our assets (except for qualified REIT subsidiaries) through IRET Properties, our operating partnership, and its subsidiaries, and our ongoing reliance on factual determinations, such as determinations related to the valuation of our assets, further complicates the application of the REIT requirements for us. Additionally, if IRET Properties, our operating partnership, or one or more of our subsidiaries is determined to be taxable as a corporation, we may fail to qualify as a REIT. Either our failure to qualify as a REIT, for any reason, or the imposition of taxes on excess net income from non-qualifying sources, could have a material adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. Furthermore, new legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could change the tax laws with respect to our qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of our qualification.

If we failed to qualify as a REIT, we would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, which would likely have a material adverse effect on us, our ability to make distributions to the holders of our shares of beneficial interest and our ability to pay amounts due on our debt. In addition, we could be subject to increased state and local taxes, and, unless entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we would also be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we lost our qualification. This treatment would reduce funds available for investment or distributions to the holders of our securities because of the additional tax liability to us for the year or years involved. In addition, we would no longer be able to deduct, and would not be required to make, distributions to holders of our securities. To the extent that distributions to the holders of our securities had been made in anticipation of qualifying as a REIT, we might be required to borrow funds or to liquidate certain investments to pay the applicable tax.

Failure of our operating partnership to qualify as a partnership would have a material adverse effect on us. We believe that IRET Properties, our operating partnership, qualifies as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. No assurance can be given, however, that the Internal Revenue Service will not challenge its status as a partnership for

federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the Internal Revenue Service were to be successful in treating IRET Properties as an entity that is taxable as a corporation (such as a publicly-traded partnership taxable as a corporation), we would cease to qualify as a REIT because the value of our ownership interest in IRET Properties would exceed 5% of our assets, and because we would be considered to hold more than 10% of the voting securities and value of the outstanding securities of another corporation. Also, the imposition of a corporate tax on IRET Properties would reduce significantly the amount of cash available for distribution by it.

2012 Annual Report 19

[Index](#)

Certain provisions of our Declaration of Trust may limit a change in control and deter a takeover. In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, our Declaration of Trust provides that any transaction, other than a transaction entered into through the NASDAQ National Market, (renamed the NASDAQ Global Market), or other similar exchange, that would result in our disqualification as a REIT under Section 856 of the Internal Revenue Code, including any transaction that would result in (i) a person owning in excess of the ownership limit of 9.8%, in number or value, of our outstanding securities, (ii) less than 100 people owning our securities, (iii) our being “closely held” within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Internal Revenue Code, or (iv) 50% or more of the fair market value of our securities being held by persons other than “United States persons,” as defined in Section 7701(a)(30) of the Internal Revenue Code, will be void ab initio. If the transaction is not void ab initio, then the securities in excess of the ownership limit, that would cause us to be closely held, that would result in 50% or more of the fair market value of our securities to be held by persons other than United States persons or that otherwise would result in our disqualification as a REIT, will automatically be exchanged for an equal number of excess shares, and these excess shares will be transferred to an excess share trustee for the exclusive benefit of the charitable beneficiaries named by our Board of Trustees. These limitations may have the effect of preventing a change in control or takeover of us by a third party, even if the change in control or takeover would be in the best interests of the holders of our securities.

In order to maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions. In order to maintain our REIT status, we may need to borrow funds on a short-term basis to meet the REIT distribution requirements, even if the then-prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our net taxable income each year, excluding net capital gains. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which certain distributions made by us with respect to the calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income for that year, and any undistributed taxable income from prior periods. We intend to make distributions to our shareholders to comply with the 90% distribution requirement and to avoid the nondeductible excise tax and will rely for this purpose on distributions from our operating partnership. However, we may need short-term debt or long-term debt or proceeds from asset sales or sales of common shares to fund required distributions as a result of differences in timing between the actual receipt of income and the recognition of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments. The inability of our cash flows to cover our distribution requirements could have an adverse impact on our ability to raise short and long-term debt or sell equity securities in order to fund distributions required to maintain our REIT status.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities or liquidate otherwise attractive investments. To qualify and maintain our status as a REIT, we must satisfy certain requirements with respect to the character of our assets. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any quarter, we must correct such failure within 30 days after the end of the quarter (by, possibly, selling assets notwithstanding their prospects as an investment) to avoid losing our REIT status. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any quarter, and the failure exceeds a minimum threshold, we may be able to preserve our REIT status if (a) the failure was due to reasonable cause and not to willful neglect, (b) we dispose of the assets causing the failure within six months after the last day of the quarter in which we identified the failure, (c) we file a schedule with the IRS describing each asset that caused the failure, and (d) we pay an additional tax of the greater of \$50,000 or the product of the highest applicable tax rate multiplied by the net income generated on those assets. As a result, compliance with the REIT requirements may require us to liquidate or forego otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our shareholders.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, tax on income from some activities conducted a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes, such as mortgage recording taxes. Any of these taxes would decrease cash

available for distribution to our shareholders. In addition, in order to meet the REIT qualification requirements, or to avert the imposition of a 100% tax that applies to certain gains derived by a REIT from dealer property or inventory, we may hold some of our assets through a taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”). While the TRS structure would allow the economic benefits of ownership to flow to us, a TRS is subject to tax on its income from the operations of the assisted living facilities at the federal and state level. In addition, a TRS is subject to detailed tax regulations that affect how it may be capitalized and operated.

2012 Annual Report 20

Index

We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could reduce the market price of our common shares. At any time, the federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended. Any of those new laws or interpretations may take effect retroactively and could adversely affect us or the market price of our common shares of beneficial interest.

The U.S. federal income tax laws governing REITs are complex. We intend to operate in a manner that will qualify us as a REIT under the U.S. federal income tax laws. The REIT qualification requirements are extremely complex, however, and interpretations of the U.S. federal income tax laws governing qualification as a REIT are limited. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that we will be successful in operating so we can continue to qualify as a REIT. At any time, new laws, interpretations, or court decisions may change the federal tax laws or the U.S. federal income tax consequences of our qualification as a REIT.

Our Board of Trustees may make changes to our major policies without approval of the holders of our shares of beneficial interest. Our operating and financial policies, including policies relating to development and acquisition of real estate, financing, growth, operations, indebtedness, capitalization and distributions, are exclusively determined by our Board of Trustees. Our Board of Trustees may amend or revoke those policies, and other policies, without advance notice to, or the approval of, the holders of our shares of beneficial interest. Accordingly, our shareholders do not control these policies, and policy changes could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to the Purchase of our Shares of Beneficial Interest

Our future growth depends, in part, on our ability to raise additional equity capital, which will have the effect of diluting the interests of the holders of our common shares. Our future growth depends upon, among other things, our ability to raise equity capital and issue limited partnership units of IRET Properties. The issuance of additional common shares, and of limited partnership units for which we subsequently issue common shares upon the redemption of the limited partnership units, will dilute the interests of the current holders of our common shares. Additionally, sales of substantial amounts of our common shares or preferred shares in the public market, or issuances of our common shares upon redemption of limited partnership units in our operating partnership, or the perception that such sales or issuances might occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

We may issue additional classes or series of our shares of beneficial interest with rights and preferences that are superior to the rights and preferences of our common shares. Without the approval of the holders of our common shares, our Board of Trustees may establish additional classes or series of our shares of beneficial interest, and such classes or series may have dividend rights, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption, redemption prices, liquidation preferences or other rights and preferences that are superior to the rights of the holders of our common shares.

Payment of distributions on our shares of beneficial interest is not guaranteed. Our Board of Trustees must approve our payment of distributions and may elect at any time, or from time to time, and for an indefinite duration, to reduce the distributions payable on our shares of beneficial interest or to not pay distributions on our shares of beneficial interest. Our Board of Trustees may reduce distributions for a variety of reasons, including, but not limited to, the following:

- operating and financial results below expectations that cannot support the current distribution payment;
- unanticipated costs or cash requirements; or
-

a conclusion that the payment of distributions would cause us to breach the terms of certain agreements or contracts, such as financial ratio covenants in our debt financing documents.

Our distributions are not eligible for the lower tax rate on dividends except in limited situations. The tax rate applicable to qualifying corporate dividends received by shareholders taxed at individual rates has been reduced to a maximum rate of 15%. This special tax rate is generally not applicable to distributions paid by a REIT, unless such distributions represent earnings on which the REIT itself had been taxed. As a result, distributions (other than capital gain distributions) paid by us to shareholders taxed at individual rates will generally be subject to the tax rates that are otherwise applicable to ordinary income which, currently, are as high as 35%. Although the earnings of a REIT that are distributed to its shareholders are still generally subject to less federal income taxation than earnings of a non-REIT C corporation that are distributed to its shareholders net of corporate-level income tax, this law change

2012 Annual Report 21

[Index](#)

may make an investment in our securities comparatively less attractive relative to an investment in the shares of other entities which pay dividends but are not formed as REITs.

Changes in market conditions could adversely affect the price of our securities. As is the case with any publicly-traded securities, certain factors outside of our control could influence the value of our common shares, Series A preferred shares and any other securities to be issued in the future. These conditions include, but are not limited to:

- market perception of REITs in general;
- market perception of REITs relative to other investment opportunities;
- market perception of our financial condition, performance, distributions and growth potential;
 - prevailing interest rates;
 - general economic and business conditions;
- government action or regulation, including changes in the tax laws; and
- relatively low trading volumes in securities of REITs.

Higher market interest rates may adversely affect the market price of our securities, and low trading volume on the NASDAQ Global Select Market may prevent the timely resale of our securities. One of the factors that investors may consider important in deciding whether to buy or sell shares of a REIT is the distribution with respect to such REIT's shares as a percentage of the price of those shares, relative to market interest rates. If market interest rates rise, prospective purchasers of REIT shares may expect a higher distribution rate in order to maintain their investment. Higher market interest rates would likely increase our borrowing costs and might decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common shares to decline. In addition, although our common shares of beneficial interest are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, the daily trading volume of our shares may be lower than the trading volume for other companies. The average daily trading volume for the period of May 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012 was 345,965 shares and the average monthly trading volume for the period of May 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012 was 7,265,262 shares. As a result of this trading volume, an owner of our common shares may encounter difficulty in selling our shares in a timely manner and may incur a substantial loss.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

IRET is organized as a REIT under Section 856-858 of the Internal Revenue Code, and is in the business of owning, leasing, developing and acquiring real estate properties. These real estate investments are managed by our own employees and by third-party professional real estate management companies on our behalf.

Total Real Estate Rental Revenue

As of April 30, 2012, our real estate portfolio consisted of 84 multi-family residential properties and 182 commercial properties, consisting of commercial office, commercial medical, commercial industrial and commercial retail

properties, comprising 27.1%, 31.9%, 27.7%, 6.5%, and 6.8%, respectively, of our total real estate portfolio, based on the dollar amount of our original investment plus capital improvements, net of accumulated depreciation, through April 30, 2012. Gross annual rental revenue and percentages of total annual real estate rental revenue by property type for each of the three most recent fiscal years ended April 30, are as follows:

2012 Annual Report 22

Index

Fiscal Year Ended April 30, (in thousands)	Multi-Family Residential Gross Revenue	%	Commercial Office Gross Revenue	%	Commercial Medical Gross Revenue	%	Commercial Industrial Gross Revenue	%	Commercial Retail Gross Revenue	%	All Segments Gross Revenue
2012	\$74,190	30.7%	\$74,334	30.7%	\$65,531	27.1%	\$14,325	5.9%	\$13,408	5.6%	\$241,788
2011	\$66,838	28.2%	\$77,747	32.8%	\$66,048	27.8%	\$13,165	5.6%	\$13,156	5.6%	\$236,954
2010	\$65,478	28.3%	\$82,079	35.5%	\$57,439	24.9%	\$13,095	5.7%	\$12,852	5.6%	\$230,943

Average Effective Annual Rent

The table below sets out the average effective annual rent per square foot or unit for each of the last five fiscal years in each of our five segments:

As of April 30	Average Effective Annual Rent per square foot or unit				
	Multi-family Residential(1)	Commercial Office(2)	Commercial Medical(2)	Commercial Industrial(2)	Commercial Retail(2)
2012	\$738	\$13	\$17	\$4	\$8
2011	\$688	\$13	\$19	\$4	\$8
2010	\$680	\$13	\$18	\$4	\$9
2009	\$673	\$13	\$18	\$4	\$8
2008	\$654	\$13	\$18	\$3	\$9

(1) Monthly rent per unit, calculated as annualized rental revenue divided by the occupied units as of April 30.

(2) Monthly rental rate per square foot calculated as annualized contractual base rental income, net of free rent, divided by the leased square feet as of April 30.

Physical Occupancy Rates

Physical occupancy levels on a stabilized property and all-property basis are shown below for each property type in each of the three most recent fiscal years ended April 30. Stabilized properties are those properties owned for the entirety of both periods being compared, and, in the case of development or re-development properties, which have achieved a target level of occupancy. In the case of multi-family residential properties, lease arrangements with individual tenants vary from month-to-month to one-year leases. Leases on commercial properties generally vary from month-to-month to 20 years.

Segments	Stabilized Properties			All Properties		
	Fiscal Year Ended April 30,			Fiscal Year Ended April 30,		
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010
Multi-Family Residential	94.2%	92.8%	89.7%	93.7%	92.8%	89.7%
Commercial Office	78.4%	79.5%	83.9%	78.6%	79.7%	83.4%
Commercial Medical	93.8%	95.8%	95.7%	94.5%	96.0%	95.1%
Commercial Industrial	95.4%	90.0%	90.6%	95.5%	90.1%	90.7%
Commercial Retail	86.6%	83.2%	82.7%	87.1%	82.2%	82.7%

Certain Lending Requirements

In certain instances, in connection with the acquisition of investment properties, the lender financing such properties may require, as a condition of the loan, that the properties be owned by a “single asset entity.” Accordingly, we have organized a number of wholly-owned subsidiary corporations, and IRET Properties has organized several limited partnerships, for the purpose of holding title in an entity that complies with such lending conditions. All financial statements of these subsidiaries are consolidated into our financial statements.

Management and Leasing of Our Real Estate Assets

We conduct our corporate operations from offices in Minot, North Dakota and Minneapolis and St. Cloud, Minnesota. We also have property management offices in Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. The day-to-day management of our properties is carried out by our own employees and in certain cases by third-party property management companies. In markets where the amount of rentable square footage we own does not justify self-management, when properties acquired have effective pre-existing property management in place, or when for other reasons particular properties are in our judgment not attractive candidates

2012 Annual Report 23

[Index](#)

for self-management, we utilize third-party professional management companies for day-to-day management. However, all decisions relating to purchase, sale, insurance coverage, capital improvements, approval of commercial leases, annual operating budgets and major renovations are made exclusively by our employees and implemented by the third-party management companies. The management and leasing of our multi-family residential properties previously was generally handled by locally-based, third-party management companies, but during fiscal year 2010 we began implementing our previously-announced plan to transfer the management of the majority of our commercial and multi-family residential properties to our own employees, and that transfer is now substantially complete. Generally, our management contracts provide for compensation ranging from 2.5% to 6.0% of gross rent collections and, typically, we may terminate these contracts in 60 days or less or upon the property manager's failure to meet certain specified financial performance goals. With respect to multi-tenant commercial properties, we rely almost exclusively on third-party brokers to locate potential tenants. As compensation, brokers may receive a commission that is generally calculated as a percentage of the net rent to be paid over the term of the lease. We believe that the broker commissions paid by us conform to market and industry standards, and accordingly are commercially reasonable.

Summary of Real Estate Investment Portfolio

As of April 30, (in thousands, except percentages)

	2012	%	2011	%	2010	%
Real estate investments						
Property owned	\$1,892,009		\$1,770,798		\$1,800,519	
Less accumulated depreciation	(373,490)		(328,952)		(308,626)	
	\$1,518,519	97.5 %	\$1,441,846	98.9 %	\$1,491,893	99.4 %
Development in progress	27,599	1.8 %	9,693	0.7 %	2,831	0.2 %
Unimproved land	10,990	0.7 %	6,550	0.4 %	6,007	0.4 %
Mortgage loans receivable	0	0.0 %	156	0.0 %	158	0.0 %
Total real estate investments	\$1,557,108	100.0 %	\$1,458,245	100.0 %	\$1,500,889	100.0 %

Summary of Individual Properties Owned as of April 30, 2012

The following table presents information regarding our 266 residential and commercial properties as well as unimproved land and development properties owned as of April 30, 2012. We own the following interests in real estate either through our wholly-owned subsidiaries or by ownership of a controlling interest in an entity owning the real estate. We account for these interests on a consolidated basis. Additional information is included in Schedule III to our financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

* = Real estate not owned in fee; all or a portion is leased under a ground or air rights lease.

Property Name and Location	Units	(in thousands) Investment (initial cost plus improvements less impairment)	Physical Occupancy as of April 30, 2012
MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL			
11th Street 3 Plex - Minot, ND	3	\$ 74	100.0 %
4th Street 4 Plex - Minot, ND	4	102	100.0 %
Apartments on Main - Minot, ND	10	1,301	90.0 %
Arbors - S Sioux City, NE	192	8,118	87.0 %

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Ashland - Grand Forks, ND	84	8,310	100.0	%
Boulder Court - Eagan, MN	115	9,072	95.7	%
Brookfield Village - Topeka, KS	160	8,388	96.9	%
Brooklyn Heights - Minot, ND	72	2,327	100.0	%
Campus Center - St. Cloud, MN	92	2,776	88.0	%
Campus Heights - St. Cloud, MN	49	785	40.8	%
Campus Knoll - St. Cloud, MN	71	1,854	87.3	%
Campus Plaza - St. Cloud, MN	24	404	100.0	%
Campus Side - St. Cloud, MN	48	798	83.3	%
Campus View - St. Cloud, MN	48	788	68.8	%
Candlelight - Fargo, ND	66	1,889	87.9	%

2012 Annual Report 24

Index

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name and Location	Units	(in thousands)		Physical Occupancy as of April 30, 2012
		Investment (initial cost plus improvements less impairment)		
MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL - continued				
Canyon Lake - Rapid City, SD	109	\$	5,062	96.3 %
Castlerock - Billings, MT	166		7,223	98.2 %
Chateau - Minot, ND(1)	32		2,090	n/a
Cimarron Hills - Omaha, NE	234		14,557	95.7 %
Colonial Villa - Burnsville, MN	240		17,320	75.0 %
Colton Heights - Minot, ND	18		1,110	94.4 %
Cornerstone - St. Cloud, MN	24		407	58.3 %
Cottage West Twin Homes - Sioux Falls, SD	50		4,763	100.0 %
Cottonwood - Bismarck, ND	268		21,085	100.0 %
Country Meadows - Billings, MT	133		9,367	97.0 %
Crestview - Bismarck, ND	152		5,785	100.0 %
Crown - Rochester, MN	48		3,678	97.9 %
Crown Colony - Topeka, KS	220		12,472	97.3 %
East Park - Sioux Falls, SD	84		3,201	98.8 %
Evergreen - Isanti, MN	36		3,172	94.4 %
Evergreen II - Isanti, MN	36		3,477	80.6 %
Fairmont - Minot, ND	12		408	100.0 %
Forest Park - Grand Forks, ND	269		12,563	99.3 %
Gables Townhomes - Sioux Falls, SD	24		2,293	91.7 %
Grand Gateway - St. Cloud, MN	116		7,914	87.1 %
Greenfield - Omaha, NE	96		5,212	100.0 %
Heritage Manor - Rochester, MN	182		9,515	91.2 %
Indian Hills - Sioux City, IA	120		6,202	92.5 %
Kirkwood Manor - Bismarck, ND	108		4,517	100.0 %
Lancaster - St. Cloud, MN	83		4,056	74.7 %
Landmark - Grand Forks, ND	90		2,543	100.0 %
Legacy - Grand Forks, ND	361		28,536	100.0 %
Mariposa - Topeka, KS	54		5,843	100.0 %
Monticello Village - Monticello, MN	60		4,645	100.0 %
North Pointe - Bismarck, ND	73		4,503	98.6 %
Northern Valley - Rochester, MN	16		769	87.5 %
Oakmont Estates - Sioux Falls, SD	80		5,670	97.5 %
Oakwood Estates - Sioux Falls, SD	160		7,339	97.5 %
Olympic Village - Billings, MT	274		13,882	98.5 %
Olympik Village - Rochester, MN	140		8,494	92.9 %
Oxbow Park - Sioux Falls, SD	120		5,951	97.5 %
Park Meadows - Waite Park, MN	360		14,423	85.0 %
Pebble Springs - Bismarck, ND	16		856	93.8 %
Pinehurst - Billings, MT	21		919	95.2 %
Pines - Minot, ND	16		399	100.0 %
Plaza - Minot, ND	71		15,821	98.6 %

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Pointe West - Rapid City, SD	90	4,896	98.9	%
Prairie Winds - Sioux Falls, SD	48	2,393	91.7	%
Prairiewood Meadows - Fargo, ND	85	3,764	100.0	%
Quarry Ridge - Rochester, MN	156	15,255	98.7	%
Regency Park Estates - St. Cloud, MN	147	11,040	83.0	%
Ridge Oaks - Sioux City, IA	132	6,187	97.0	%
Rimrock West - Billings, MT	78	5,175	98.7	%
Rocky Meadows - Billings, MT	98	7,253	99.0	%
Rum River - Isanti, MN	72	5,740	88.9	%
Sherwood - Topeka, KS	300	18,329	96.3	%

2012 Annual Report 25

Index

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name and Location	Units	(in thousands)		Occupancy as of April 30, 2012	Physical
		Investment (initial cost plus improvements less impairment)			
MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL - continued					
Sierra Vista - Sioux Falls, SD	44	\$	2,394	100.0	%
South Pointe - Minot, ND	196		12,237	100.0	%
Southview - Minot, ND	24		949	95.8	%
Southwind - Grand Forks, ND	164		7,807	99.4	%
Summit Park - Minot, ND	95		3,081	98.9	%
Sunset Trail - Rochester, MN	146		15,364	95.2	%
Sycamore Village - Sioux Falls, SD	48		1,875	100.0	%
Temple - Minot, ND	4		226	100.0	%
Terrace Heights - Minot, ND	16		424	100.0	%
Terrace On The Green - Moorhead, MN	116		3,306	93.1	%
The Meadows - Jamestown, ND	81		6,172	96.3	%
Thomasbrook - Lincoln, NE	264		13,659	99.2	%
University Park Place - St. Cloud, MN	35		582	42.9	%
Valley Park - Grand Forks, ND	168		6,912	96.4	%
Village Green - Rochester, MN	36		3,111	94.4	%
West Stonehill - Waite Park, MN	313		15,333	80.8	%
Westridge - Minot, ND	33		2,010	97.0	%
Westwood Park - Bismarck, ND	65		3,621	100.0	%
Williston Garden - Williston, ND	72		9,678	98.6	%
Winchester - Rochester, MN	115		7,807	97.4	%
Woodridge - Rochester, MN	110		8,175	98.2	%
TOTAL MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL	9,161	\$	539,783	93.7	%

Property Name and Location	Approximate Net Rentable Square Footage	(in thousands)		Occupancy as of April 30, 2012	Physical
		Investment (initial cost plus improvements)			
COMMERCIAL OFFICE					
1st Avenue Building - Minot, ND	4,427	\$	71	100.0	%
2030 Cliff Road - Eagan, MN	13,374		1,071	100.0	%
610 Business Center IV - Brooklyn Park, MN	78,190		9,403	100.0	%
7800 West Brown Deer Road - Milwaukee, WI	175,610		12,472	98.0	%
American Corporate Center - Mendota Heights, MN	138,959		21,540	87.4	%
Ameritrade - Omaha, NE	73,742		8,349	100.0	%
Benton Business Park - Sauk Rapids, MN	30,464		1,528	70.2	%
Bismarck 715 East Broadway - Bismarck, ND	22,187		2,778	100.0	%
Bloomington Business Plaza - Bloomington, MN	121,669		8,968	55.4	%
Brenwood - Minnetonka, MN	176,800		17,501	59.0	%
Brook Valley I - La Vista, NE	30,000		2,099	50.1	%

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Burnsville Bluffs II - Burnsville, MN	45,019	3,415	67.2	%
Cold Spring Center - St. Cloud, MN	77,634	9,398	98.0	%
Corporate Center West - Omaha, NE	141,724	22,330	100.0	%
Crosstown Centre - Eden Prairie, MN	181,224	19,073	66.5	%
Dewey Hill Business Center - Edina, MN	73,338	5,399	35.7	%
Farnam Executive Center - Omaha, NE	94,832	13,592	100.0	%
Flagship - Eden Prairie, MN	138,825	24,476	95.6	%
Gateway Corporate Center - Woodbury, MN	59,827	9,838	33.2	%
Golden Hills Office Center - Golden Valley, MN	190,758	24,811	90.7	%
Great Plains - Fargo, ND	122,040	15,376	100.0	%
Highlands Ranch I - Highlands Ranch, CO	71,430	11,057	100.0	%

2012 Annual Report 26

Index

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name and Location	Approximate Net Rentable Square Footage	(in thousands)		Physical Occupancy as of April 30, 2012
		Investment (initial cost plus Improvements less impairment)		
COMMERCIAL OFFICE - continued				
Highlands Ranch II - Highlands Ranch, CO	81,173	\$	12,383	88.7 %
Interlachen Corporate Center - Edina, MN	105,084		18,624	67.5 %
Intertech Building - Fenton, MO	64,749		6,603	78.1 %
Mendota Office Center I - Mendota Heights, MN	59,852		7,371	71.3 %
Mendota Office Center II - Mendota Heights, MN	88,398		12,680	88.2 %
Mendota Office Center III - Mendota Heights, MN	60,776		6,962	65.3 %
Mendota Office Center IV - Mendota Heights, MN	72,231		9,283	100.0 %
Minnesota National Bank - Duluth, MN	18,869		1,912	100.0 %
Minot 2505 16th Street SW - Minot, ND	15,000		2,318	100.0 %
Miracle Hills One - Omaha, NE	83,448		13,375	76.9 %
Nicollett VII - Burnsville, MN	118,125		7,790	94.1 %
Northgate I - Maple Grove, MN	79,297		8,252	100.0 %
Northgate II - Maple Grove, MN	26,000		2,447	32.7 %
Northpark Corporate Center - Arden Hills, MN	146,087		17,933	33.1 %
Omaha 10802 Farnam Dr - Omaha, NE	58,574		6,836	98.6 %
Pacific Hills - Omaha, NE	143,075		17,983	79.9 %
Pillsbury Business Center - Bloomington, MN	42,929		2,010	61.2 %
Plaza 16 - Minot, ND	50,610		9,582	100.0 %
Plaza VII - Boise, ID	28,994		3,800	32.9 %
Plymouth 5095 Nathan Lane - Plymouth, MN	20,528		1,939	100.0 %
Plymouth I - Plymouth, MN	26,186		1,705	100.0 %
Plymouth II - Plymouth, MN	26,186		1,672	100.0 %
Plymouth III - Plymouth, MN	26,186		2,361	100.0 %
Plymouth IV & V - Plymouth, MN	126,930		15,705	92.1 %
Prairie Oak Business Center - Eden Prairie, MN	36,421		6,240	75.8 %
Rapid City 900 Concourse Drive - Rapid City, SD	75,815		7,388	59.4 %
Riverport - Maryland Heights, MO	121,316		21,569	64.6 %
Southeast Tech Center - Eagan, MN	58,300		6,475	30.4 %
Spring Valley IV - Omaha, NE	15,700		1,154	100.0 %
Spring Valley V - Omaha, NE	24,171		1,586	100.0 %
Spring Valley X - Omaha, NE	24,000		1,258	80.0 %
Spring Valley XI - Omaha, NE	24,000		1,273	100.0 %
Superior Office Building - Duluth, MN	20,000		2,619	100.0 %
TCA Building - Eagan, MN	103,640		10,005	85.2 %
Three Paramount Plaza - Bloomington, MN	75,526		9,293	73.3 %
Thresher Square - Minneapolis, MN	117,144		12,826	38.7 %
Timberlands - Leawood, KS	90,388		15,342	65.1 %
UHC Office - International Falls, MN	30,000		2,565	100.0 %
US Bank Financial Center - Bloomington, MN	153,311		17,077	92.6 %
Viomed - Eden Prairie, MN	48,700		4,864	100.0 %
Wells Fargo Center - St Cloud, MN	86,477		10,672	91.7 %

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

West River Business Park - Waite Park, MN	24,075	1,480	69.2	%
Westgate - Boise, ID	103,342	13,529	100.0	%
Whitewater Plaza - Minnetonka, MN	61,138	6,174	49.8	%
Wirth Corporate Center - Golden Valley, MN	74,568	9,561	15.7	%
Woodlands Plaza IV - Maryland Heights, MO	61,820	6,297	80.5	%
TOTAL COMMERCIAL OFFICE	5,061,212	\$ 605,318	78.6	%

2012 Annual Report 27

Index

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name and Location	Approximate Net Rentable Square Footage	(in thousands) Investment (initial cost plus Improvements less impairment)	Physical Occupancy as of April 30, 2012	
COMMERCIAL MEDICAL				
2800 Medical Building - Minneapolis, MN	53,750	\$ 9,523	89.2	%
2828 Chicago Avenue - Minneapolis, MN	56,239	17,672	100.0	%
Airport Medical - Bloomington, MN*	24,218	4,678	100.0	%
Barry Pointe Office Park - Kansas City, MO	18,502	2,854	76.8	%
Billings 2300 Grant Road - Billings, MT	14,705	1,865	100.0	%
Burnsville 303 Nicollet Medical (Ridgeview) - Burnsville, MN	53,896	9,307	100.0	%
Burnsville 305 Nicollet Medical (Ridgeview South) - Burnsville, MN	36,199	5,994	100.0	%
Casper 1930 E 12th Street (Park Place) - Casper, WY	65,160	6,381	100.0	%
Casper 3955 E 12th Street (Meadow Wind) - Casper, WY	57,822	10,250	100.0	%
Cheyenne 4010 N College Drive (Aspen Wind) - Cheyenne, WY	47,509	11,160	100.0	%
Cheyenne 4606 N College Drive (Sierra Hills) - Cheyenne, WY	54,072	8,189	100.0	%
Denfeld Clinic - Duluth, MN	20,512	3,099	100.0	%
Eagan 1440 Duckwood Medical - Eagan, MN	17,640	2,587	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Belgrade, MT	5,192	814	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Billings, MT	11,800	1,882	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Bismarck, ND	74,112	9,740	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Brainerd, MN	82,535	9,620	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Columbus, NE	5,194	867	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - East Grand Forks, MN	18,488	1,642	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Fargo, ND	167,391	21,645	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Fremont, NE	6,042	588	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Grand Island, NE	5,185	807	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Hastings, NE	6,042	606	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Hermantown I, MN	119,349	11,660	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Hermantown II, MN	160,485	11,269	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Kalispell, MT	5,895	644	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Minot, ND	108,503	12,635	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Missoula, MT	10,150	999	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Norfolk, NE	5,135	764	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Omaha, NE	6,042	676	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Sioux Falls, SD	11,800	1,289	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Spearfish, SD	84,126	8,942	100.0	%
Edgewood Vista - Virginia, MN	147,183	12,146	100.0	%
Edina 6363 France Medical - Edina, MN*	70,934	14,202	55.7	%

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Edina 6405 France Medical - Edina, MN*	55,478	12,201	100.0	%
Edina 6517 Drew Avenue - Edina, MN	12,140	1,542	100.0	%
Edina 6525 Drew Avenue - Edina, MN	3,431	505	88.7	%
Edina 6525 France SMC II - Edina, MN	67,409	14,754	100.0	%
Edina 6545 France SMC I - Edina MN*	227,626	45,467	85.8	%
Fresenius - Duluth, MN	9,052	1,572	100.0	%
Garden View - St. Paul, MN*	43,404	7,819	100.0	%
Gateway Clinic - Sandstone, MN*	12,444	1,766	100.0	%
Healtheast St John & Woodwinds - Maplewood & Woodbury, MN	114,316	21,601	100.0	%
High Pointe Health Campus - Lake Elmo, MN	60,364	13,462	75.4	%
Laramie 1072 N 22nd Street (Spring Wind) - Laramie, WY	35,629	7,057	100.0	%
Mariner Clinic - Superior, WI*	28,928	3,864	100.0	%
Minneapolis 701 25th Avenue Medical - Minneapolis, MN*	57,212	8,682	100.0	%
Missoula 3050 Great Northern - Missoula, MT	14,640	1,971	100.0	%
Nebraska Orthopedic Hospital - Omaha, NE*	61,758	21,887	100.0	%
Park Dental - Brooklyn Center, MN	9,998	2,952	100.0	%

2012 Annual Report 28

[Index](#)

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name and Location	Approximate Net Rentable Square Footage	(in thousands)		Physical Occupancy as of April 30, 2012
		Investment (initial cost plus Improvements less impairment)		
COMMERCIAL MEDICAL – continued				
Pavilion I - Duluth, MN*	45,081	\$	10,174	100.0 %
Pavilion II - Duluth, MN	73,000		19,325	100.0 %
Plaza 16-Trinity - Minot, ND	24,795		9,535	100.0 %
Ritchie Medical Plaza - St Paul, MN	52,116		10,718	37.8 %
Sartell 2000 23rd Street South - Sartell, MN*	59,760		12,716	32.3 %
Spring Creek-American Falls - American Falls, ID	17,273		4,015	100.0 %
Spring Creek-Soda Springs - Soda Springs, ID	15,571		2,233	100.0 %
Spring Creek-Eagle - Eagle, ID	15,559		4,038	100.0 %
Spring Creek-Meridian - Meridian, ID	31,820		7,148	100.0 %
Spring Creek-Overland - Overland, ID	26,605		6,628	100.0 %
Spring Creek-Boise - Boise, ID	16,311		5,004	100.0 %
Spring Creek-Ustick - Meridian, ID	26,605		4,300	100.0 %
St Michael Clinic - St Michael, MN	10,796		2,851	100.0 %
Stevens Point - Stevens Point, WI	47,950		14,825	100.0 %
Wells Clinic - Hibbing, MN	18,810		2,660	100.0 %
TOTAL COMMERCIAL MEDICAL	2,927,688	\$	500,268	94.5 %

Property Name and Location	Approximate Net Rentable Square Footage	(in thousands)		Physical Occupancy as of April 30, 2012
		Investment (initial cost plus Improvements less impairment)		
COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL				
API Building - Duluth, MN	35,000	\$	1,723	100.0 %
Bloomington 2000 W 94th Street - Bloomington, MN	100,850		7,337	100.0 %
Bodycote Industrial Building - Eden Prairie, MN	41,880		2,152	100.0 %
Brooklyn Park 7401 Boone Avenue - Brooklyn Park, MN	322,751		15,132	87.3 %
Cedar Lake Business Center - St. Louis Park, MN	50,400		3,771	73.8 %
Clive 2075 NW 94th Street - Clive, IA	42,510		3,067	100.0 %
Dixon Avenue Industrial Park - Des Moines, IA	606,006		13,808	100.0 %
Eagan 2785 & 2795 Highway 55 - Eagan, MN	198,600		5,628	74.3 %
Fargo 1320 45th Street N - Fargo, ND	42,244		4,159	100.0 %
Lexington Commerce Center - Eagan, MN	90,260		6,647	79.2 %
Lighthouse - Duluth, MN	59,292		1,885	100.0 %
Metal Improvement Company - New Brighton, MN	49,620		2,507	100.0 %
	69,984		3,702	100.0 %

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Minnetonka 13600 County Road 62 - Minnetonka, MN				
Roseville 2929 Long Lake Road - Roseville, MN	172,057	10,960	100.0	%
Stone Container - Fargo, ND	195,075	7,141	100.0	%
Stone Container - Roseville, MN	229,072	8,452	100.0	%
Urbandale 3900 106th Street - Urbandale, IA	528,353	14,262	98.1	%
Winsted Industrial Building - Winsted, MN	41,685	1,049	100.0	%
Woodbury 1865 Woodlane - Woodbury, MN	69,600	5,620	100.0	%
TOTAL COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL	2,945,239	\$ 119,002	95.5	%

2012 Annual Report 29

Index

Property Name and Location	Approximate Net Rentable Square Footage	(in thousands)		Physical Occupancy as of April 30, 2012
		Investment (initial cost plus Improvements less impairment)		
COMMERCIAL RETAIL				
17 South Main - Minot, ND	2,454	\$	287	100.0 %
Anoka Strip Center - Anoka, MN	10,625		750	28.2 %
Burnsville 1 Strip Center - Burnsville, MN	8,526		1,188	100.0 %
Burnsville 2 Strip Center - Burnsville, MN	8,400		974	47.5 %
Champlin South Pond - Champlin, MN	26,020		3,603	77.2 %
Chan West Village - Chanhassen, MN	137,572		21,595	92.2 %
Dakota West Plaza - Minot, ND	16,921		615	94.9 %
Duluth Denfeld Retail - Duluth, MN	37,770		5,097	73.6 %
Duluth NAPA - Duluth, MN	15,582		1,934	30.5 %
Eagan Community - Eagan, MN	23,187		3,167	84.5 %
Fargo Express Community - Fargo, ND	34,226		2,489	100.0 %
Forest Lake Auto - Forest Lake, MN	6,836		509	100.0 %
Forest Lake Westlake Center - Forest Lake, MN	100,570		8,237	97.6 %
Grand Forks Carmike - Grand Forks, ND	28,528		2,546	100.0 %
Grand Forks Medpark Mall - Grand Forks, ND	59,117		5,740	91.7 %
Jamestown Buffalo Mall - Jamestown, ND	213,271		8,640	88.4 %
Jamestown Business Center - Jamestown, ND	100,249		2,654	80.9 %
Kalispell Retail Center - Kalispell, MT	52,000		3,473	100.0 %
Lakeville Strip Center - Lakeville, MN	9,488		2,040	76.0 %
Minot 1400 31st Ave - Minot, ND	48,960		11,425	100.0 %
Minot Arrowhead - Minot, ND(1)	78,095		6,101	n/a
Minot Plaza - Minot, ND	10,843		650	100.0 %
Monticello C Store - Monticello, MN	3,575		872	100.0 %
Omaha Barnes & Noble - Omaha, NE	26,985		3,699	100.0 %
Pine City C-Store - Pine City, MN	4,800		452	100.0 %
Pine City Evergreen Square - Pine City, MN	63,225		3,382	75.2 %
Rochester Maplewood Square - Rochester, MN	118,398		13,284	75.6 %
St. Cloud Westgate - St. Cloud, MN	105,446		8,099	100.0 %
Weston Retail - Weston, WI	25,644		1,681	0.0 %
Weston Walgreens - Weston, WI	14,820		2,455	100.0 %
TOTAL COMMERCIAL RETAIL	1,392,133	\$	127,638	87.1 %
SUBTOTAL	12,335,433	\$	1,892,009	

Property Name and Location	(in thousands) Investment (initial cost plus improvements less impairment)
UNIMPROVED LAND	
Bismarck 2130 S 12th St - Bismarck, ND	\$ 589
Bismarck 700 E Main - Bismarck, ND	871
Eagan Unimproved Land - Eagan, MN	423
Georgetown Square Undeveloped - Grand Chute, WI	1,860
Kalispell Unimproved Land - Kalispell, MT	1,424
Monticello Unimproved Land - Monticello, MN	117
Renaissance Heights - Williston, ND	4,600
River Falls Unimproved Land - River Falls, WI	180
Urbandale Unimproved Land - Urbandale, IA	114
Weston Unimproved Land - Weston, WI	812
TOTAL UNIMPROVED LAND	\$ 10,990
DEVELOPMENT IN PROGRESS	
1st Avenue Building - Minot, ND	\$ 321
Chateau 2nd Floor Renovation - Minot, ND	1,407
Jamestown Medical Office Building - Jamestown, ND*	1,611
Laramie 1072 Expansion - Laramie, WY	1,810
Minot Arrowhead Outlot - Minot, ND	75
Minot IPS - Minot, ND	2,250
Quarry Ridge 2 - Rochester, MN	15,436
Williston Garden - Williston, ND	4,689
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT IN PROGRESS	\$ 27,599
TOTAL UNITS – RESIDENTIAL SEGMENT	9,161
TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE – COMMERCIAL SEGMENTS	12,326,272
TOTAL REAL ESTATE	\$ 1,930,598

(1) Property was damaged by flooding and/or fire during fiscal year 2012. See Involuntary Conversion of Assets section in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Mortgages Payable and Line of Credit

As of April 30, 2012, individual first mortgage loans on the above properties totaled \$1.0 billion. Of the \$1.0 billion total of mortgage indebtedness on April 30, 2012, \$16.2 million, or 1.5%, is represented by variable rate mortgages on which the future interest rate will vary based on changes in the interest rate index for each respective loan. Principal payments due on our mortgage indebtedness are as follows:

Year Ended April 30,	(in thousands) Mortgage Principal
2013	\$ 51,162

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

2014	74,572
2015	106,483
2016	86,464
2017	199,089
Thereafter	530,919
Total	\$ 1,048,689

As of April 30, 2012, the Company has a multi-bank line of credit with First International Bank & Trust as lead bank. This line of credit has lending commitments of \$60.0 million as of April 30, 2012, with a minimum outstanding principal balance requirement of \$10.0 million. The Company had \$39.0 million in borrowings outstanding under the line as of April 30, 2012. The facility has a maturity date of August 12, 2013, and is secured

2012 Annual Report 31

[Index](#)

by mortgages on various properties owned by IRET Properties and its subsidiaries. The interest rate on borrowings under the facility during fiscal year 2012 was Wall Street Journal Prime Rate +1.0%, with a floor of 5.65% and a cap of 8.65%; interest-only payments are due monthly based on the total amount of advances outstanding. The line of credit may be prepaid at par at any time. The facility includes covenants and restrictions requiring the Company to achieve on a calendar quarter basis a debt service coverage ratio on borrowing base collateral of 1.25x in the aggregate and 1.00x on individual assets in the collateral pool, and the Company is also required to maintain minimum depository account(s) totaling \$6.0 million with the lead bank, of which \$1.5 million is to be held in a non-interest bearing account. As of April 30, 2012, the Company believes it is in compliance with the facility covenants. Subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2012, effective June 15, 2012, IRET Properties agreed to an amendment to the line of credit to increase the interest rate spread on borrowings to the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate +1.25% and to lower the floor interest rate to 5.15%. All other terms of the line of credit remain unchanged.

Future Minimum Lease Receipts

The future minimum lease receipts to be received under leases for commercial properties in place as of April 30, 2012, assuming that no options to renew or buy out the leases are exercised, are as follows:

Year Ended April 30,	(in thousands)
	Lease Payments
2013	\$ 112,174
2014	102,100
2015	90,119
2016	79,637
2017	65,030
Thereafter	206,791
Total	\$ 655,851

Capital Expenditures

Each year we review the physical condition of each property we own. In order for our properties to remain competitive, attract new tenants, and retain existing tenants, we plan for a reasonable amount of capital improvements. For the year ended April 30, 2012, we spent approximately \$35.2 million on capital improvements, tenant improvements and other capital expenditures.

The following table shows total and weighted average per square foot/unit recurring and non-recurring capital expenditures (excluding capital expenditures recoverable from tenants), and, for our commercial segment properties, tenant improvements (excluding tenant-funded tenant improvements) and leasing costs for the three years ended April 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010. We define recurring capital expenditures as those made on a regular or recurring basis to maintain a property's competitive position within its market, generally with a depreciable life of 5 to 12 years, but excluding (a) capital expenditures made in the year of acquisition and the following two years (i.e., excluding capital expenditures on non-stabilized properties), (b) improvements associated with the expansion or re-development of a building, (c) renovations to a building which change the underlying classification of the building (for example, from industrial to office or Class C office to Class A office) or (d) capital improvements that represent the addition of something new to a property, rather than the replacement of an existing item. We believe that recurring capital expenditures is a useful measure of performance because it provides an indication of the expenses that we can expect to incur on an on-going basis. Non-recurring capital expenditures correspond to major capital expenditures for items such as roof replacements or items that result in something new being added to the property (for example, the addition

of a new heating and air conditioning unit that is not replacing one previously there), generally with a depreciable life of 20 to 40 years, and include expenditures completed in the year of acquisition and the following two years (i.e., including capital expenditures on non-stabilized properties).

[Index](#)

(in thousands except per SF or Unit data)

Years Ended April 30,

	2012		2011		2010	
	Amount	Rate/SF or Unit	Amount	Rate/SF or Unit	Amount	Rate/SF or Unit
Commercial Office Properties:						
Non-Recoverable Capital Expenditures						
Recurring Capital Expenditures	\$ 148	0.03	\$ 371	0.08	\$ 361	0.07
Non-Recurring Capital Expenditures						
Tenant Improvements	\$ 5,179	1.02	\$ 4,547	0.90	\$ 4,877	0.97
Leasing Commissions	\$ 1,683	0.33	\$ 2,097	0.41	\$ 1,801	0.36
Commercial Medical Properties:						
Non-Recoverable Capital Expenditures						
Recurring Capital Expenditures	\$ 86	0.03	\$ 78	0.03	\$ 115	0.05
Non-Recurring Capital Expenditures						
Tenant Improvements	\$ 3,736	1.28	\$ 2,090	0.77	\$ 4,306	1.66
Leasing Commissions	\$ 557	0.19	\$ 186	0.07	\$ 425	0.16
Commercial Industrial Properties:						
Non-Recoverable Capital Expenditures						
Recurring Capital Expenditures	\$ 5	0.00	\$ 0	0.00	\$ 52	0.02
Non-Recurring Capital Expenditures						
Tenant Improvements	\$ 1,179	0.40	\$ 1,870	0.63	\$ 528	0.18
Leasing Commissions	\$ 317	0.11	\$ 398	0.13	\$ 377	0.13
Commercial Retail Properties:						
Non-Recoverable Capital Expenditures						
Recurring Capital Expenditures	\$ 49	0.04	\$ 67	0.05	\$ 131	0.09
Non-Recurring Capital Expenditures						
Tenant Improvements	\$ 214	0.15	\$ 775	0.53	\$ 420	0.29
Leasing Commissions	\$ 215	0.15	\$ 280	0.19	\$ 228	0.16

Multi-Family Residential
Properties:

Recurring Capital Expenditures	\$6,416	752	\$4,997	586	\$4,488	488
Non-Recurring Capital Expenditures	\$5,001	546	\$5,025	580	\$5,336	551

Contracts or Options to Purchase

We have granted options to purchase certain of our properties to tenants in these properties, under lease agreements with the tenant. In general, these options grant the tenant the right to purchase the property at the greater of such property's appraised value or an annual compounded increase of a specified percentage of the initial cost to us. As of April 30, 2012, our properties subject to purchase options, the cost, plus improvements, of each such property and its gross rental revenue are as follows:

2012 Annual Report 33

Index

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Property	Investment Cost	(in thousands) Gross Rental Revenue		
		2012	2011	2010
Billings 2300 Grant Road - Billings, MT	\$ 2,522	\$ 291	\$ 226	\$ n/a
Fargo 1320 45th Street N - Fargo, ND	4,160	400	333	n/a
Great Plains - Fargo, ND	15,375	1,843	1,876	1,876
Healtheast St John & Woodwinds - Maplewood & Woodbury, MN	21,601	2,152	2,152	2,152
Minnesota National Bank - Duluth, MN	2,272	127	105	164
Missoula 3050 Great Northern - Missoula, MT	2,723	315	243	n/a
Sartell 2000 23rd Street South - Sartell, MN	12,716	868	1,209	1,173
Spring Creek American Falls- American Falls, ID	4,070	234	n/a	n/a
Spring Creek Boise - Boise, ID	5,075	293	n/a	n/a
Spring Creek Eagle - Eagle, ID	4,100	237	n/a	n/a
Spring Creek Meridian - Meridian, ID	7,250	417	n/a	n/a
Spring Creek Overland - Overland, ID	6,725	387	n/a	n/a
Spring Creek Soda Springs - Soda Springs, ID	2,262	130	n/a	n/a
Spring Creek Ustick - Meridian, ID	4,300	246	n/a	n/a
St. Michael Clinic - St. Michael, MN	2,851	248	244	241
Stevens Point - Stevens Point, WI	15,020	1,020	1,104	1,356
Winsted Industrial Building - Winsted, MN	1,049	32	n/a	n/a
Total	\$ 114,071	\$ 9,240	\$ 7,492	\$ 6,962

Properties by State

The following table presents, as of April 30, 2012, the total amount of property owned, net of accumulated depreciation, by state of each of the five major segments of properties owned by us - multi-family residential, commercial office, commercial medical, commercial industrial and commercial retail:

State(1)	(in thousands)					All Segments	% of All Segments
	Multi-Family Residential	Commercial Office	Commercial Medical	Commercial Industrial	Commercial Retail		
Minnesota	\$ 141,553	\$ 290,160	\$ 242,428	\$ 63,259	\$ 61,093	\$ 798,493	52.6 %
North Dakota	129,049	24,308	49,317	8,685	34,122	245,481	16.1 %
Nebraska	32,403	77,680	21,330	0	2,421	133,834	8.8 %
South Dakota	33,765	5,348	9,073	0	0	48,186	3.2 %
Kansas	33,413	13,163	0	0	0	46,576	3.1 %
Idaho	0	13,303	32,828	0	0	46,131	3.0 %
Montana	30,882	0	7,312	0	2,790	40,984	2.7 %
Wyoming	0	0	40,768	0	0	40,768	2.7 %
Iowa	9,884	0	0	26,365	0	36,249	2.4 %
Missouri	0	30,440	2,525	0	0	32,965	2.2 %
Wisconsin	0	9,619	15,943	0	3,415	28,977	1.9 %

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Colorado	0	19,875	0	0	0	19,875	1.3	%
Total	\$410,949	\$483,896	\$421,524	\$98,309	\$103,841	\$1,518,519	100.0	%

(2) As of April 30, 2012, we also owned a retail property in Michigan that was classified as held for sale.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our operations, we become involved in litigation. At this time, we know of no material pending or threatened legal proceedings, or other proceedings contemplated by governmental authorities, that would have a material impact upon us.

2012 Annual Report 34

Index

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Quarterly Share and Distribution Data

Our common shares of beneficial interest trade on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol IRET. On June 25, 2012, the last reported sales price per share of our common shares on the NASDAQ was \$7.59. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low closing sales prices per share of our common shares as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, and the distributions per common share and limited partnership unit declared with respect to each period.

Quarter Ended	High	Low	Distributions Declared (per share and unit)
Fiscal Year 2012			
April 30, 2012	\$7.97	\$7.22	\$ 0.1300
January 31, 2012	7.64	6.89	0.1300
October 31, 2011	8.12	6.92	0.1300
July 31, 2011	9.69	8.07	0.1715

Quarter Ended	High	Low	Distributions Declared (per share and unit)
Fiscal Year 2011			
April 30, 2011	\$9.54	\$8.92	\$ 0.1715
January 31, 2011	9.26	8.74	0.1715
October 31, 2010	8.90	7.97	0.1715
July 31, 2010	9.20	8.25	0.1715

It is IRET's policy to pay quarterly distributions to our common shareholders and unitholders, at the discretion of our Board of Trustees, based on our funds from operations, financial condition and capital requirements, annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and such other factors as our Board of Trustees deems relevant. Since July 1, 1971, IRET has paid quarterly cash distributions in the months of January, April, July and October.

Shareholders

As of June 25, 2012, the Company had 4,218 common shareholders of record, and 90,265,194 common shares of beneficial interest (plus 21,260,038 limited partnership units potentially convertible into 21,260,038 common shares) were outstanding.

Unregistered Sales of Shares

Sales of Unregistered Securities. During the fiscal years ended April 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, we issued an aggregate of 518,019, 221,573 and 431,737 unregistered common shares to holders of limited partnership units of IRET Properties upon redemption and conversion of an aggregate of 518,019, 221,573 and 431,737 limited partnership units of IRET Properties on a one-for-one basis. All such issuances of our common shares were exempt from registration as private placements under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, including Regulation D promulgated thereunder. We have registered the re-sale of such common shares under the Securities Act.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. The Company did not repurchase any of its equity securities during fiscal year 2012, except for repurchases of nominal amounts of fractional shares, at shareholder request.

2012 Annual Report 35

[Index](#)

Comparative Stock Performance

The information contained in this Comparative Stock Performance section shall not be deemed to be “soliciting material” or “filed” or incorporated by reference in future filings with the SEC, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

Set forth below is a graph that compares, for the five fiscal years commencing May 1, 2007, and ending April 30, 2012, the cumulative total returns for the Company’s common shares with the comparable cumulative total return of two indexes, the Standard & Poor’s 500 Index (“S&P 500”), and the FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index, which is an index prepared by the FTSE Group for the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, which includes all tax-qualified equity REITs listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange and the NASDAQ Market.

The performance graph assumes that at the close of trading on April 30, 2007, the last trading day of fiscal year 2007, \$100 was invested in the Company’s common shares and in each of the indexes. The comparison assumes the reinvestment of all distributions. Cumulative total shareholder returns for the Company’s common shares, the S&P 500 and the FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index are based on the Company’s fiscal year ending April 30.

	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12
Investors Real Estate Trust	100.00	103.55	100.36	102.15	118.95	97.85
S&P 500	100.00	95.32	61.66	85.61	100.36	105.13
FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs	100.00	87.49	45.31	76.43	93.43	102.60

Source: SNL Financial LC

2012 Annual Report 36

Index

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Set forth below is selected financial data on a historical basis for the Company for the five most recent fiscal years ended April 30. This information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	(in thousands, except per share data)				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Consolidated Income Statement Data					
Revenue	\$241,788	\$236,954	\$230,943	\$227,477	\$208,847
Gain on sale of real estate, land, and other investments	\$349	\$19,365	\$68	\$54	\$556
Income from continuing operations	\$9,914	\$4,519	\$6,094	\$10,008	\$14,109
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	\$(208)	\$19,832	\$(1,509)	\$705	\$1,520
Net income	\$9,706	\$24,351	\$4,585	\$10,713	\$15,629
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests – Operating Partnership	\$(1,359)	\$(4,449)	\$(562)	\$(2,227)	\$(3,677)
Net income attributable to Investors Real Estate Trust	\$8,212	\$20,082	\$4,001	\$8,526	\$12,088
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data					
Total real estate investments	\$1,557,108	\$1,458,245	\$1,500,889	\$1,472,575	\$1,456,178
Total assets	\$1,714,367	\$1,615,363	\$1,660,930	\$1,605,091	\$1,618,026
Mortgages payable	\$1,048,689	\$993,803	\$1,057,619	\$1,070,158	\$1,063,858
Revolving lines of credit	\$39,000	\$30,000	\$6,550	\$5,500	\$0
Total Investors Real Estate Trust shareholders' equity	\$432,989	\$411,690	\$409,523	\$333,009	\$344,074
Consolidated Per Common Share Data (basic and diluted)					
Income from continuing operations - Investors Real Estate Trust	\$.07	\$.02	\$.04	\$.10	\$.16
Income (loss) from discontinued operations - Investors Real Estate Trust	\$.00	\$.20	\$(.01)	\$.01	\$.02
Net income	\$.07	\$.22	\$.03	\$.11	\$.18
Distributions	\$.56	\$.69	\$.68	\$.68	\$.67

CALENDAR YEAR	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Tax status of distributions					
Capital gain	37.48%	0.00%	0.09%	0.00%	1.49%
Ordinary income	18.04%	28.53%	39.17%	53.43%	51.69%
Return of capital	44.48%	71.47%	60.74%	46.57%	46.82%

For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2012, IRET recognized approximately \$1.3 million of net capital gain for federal income tax purposes. IRET designates the entire \$1.3 million of net capital gain as capital gain dividends.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following information is provided in connection with, and should be read in conjunction with, the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We operate on a fiscal year ending on April 30. The following discussion and analysis is for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2012.

Overview

We are a self-advised equity real estate investment trust engaged in owning and operating income-producing real properties. Our investments include multi-family residential properties and commercial properties located primarily in the upper Midwest states of Minnesota and North Dakota. Our properties are diversified in property type and location. As of April 30, 2012, our real estate portfolio consisted of 84 multi-family residential properties containing 9,161 apartment units and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$411.0

2012 Annual Report 37

[Index](#)

million, and 182 commercial properties containing approximately 12.3 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$1.5 billion. Our commercial properties consist of:

68 commercial office properties containing approximately 5.1 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$483.9 million;

65 commercial medical properties (including senior housing) containing approximately 2.9 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$421.5 million;

49 commercial industrial properties containing approximately 2.9 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$98.3 million; and

30 commercial retail properties containing approximately 1.4 million square feet of leasable space and having a total real estate investment amount net of accumulated depreciation of \$103.8 million.

Our primary source of income and cash is rents associated with multi-family residential and commercial leases. Our business objective is to increase shareholder value by employing a disciplined investment strategy. This strategy is focused on growing assets in desired geographical markets, achieving diversification by property type and location, and adhering to targeted returns in acquiring properties.

Total revenues of IRET Properties, our operating partnership, increased by \$4.8 million to \$241.8 million in fiscal year 2012, compared to \$237.0 million in fiscal year 2011. This increase was primarily attributable to the addition of new real estate properties. We estimate that rent concessions offered to tenants during the twelve months ended April 30, 2012 lowered our operating revenues by approximately \$5.7 million, compared to \$4.5 million for fiscal year 2011.

On an all-property basis, physical occupancy levels in our total commercial property segments increased to 87.4% in fiscal year 2012 from 86.2% in fiscal year 2011. Physical occupancy rates in our commercial industrial and commercial retail segments increased; physical occupancy in our commercial office and commercial medical segments decreased. Physical occupancy in our multi-family residential segment increased to 93.7% in fiscal year 2012 on an all-property basis, from 92.8% in fiscal year 2011.

We continued to experience a challenging market environment in fiscal year 2012. Real estate operating fundamentals remained under pressure in our commercial office segment in particular, as the U.S. economy and local economies in many of our markets continued to be negatively affected by a number of adverse macro conditions. We expect that the ongoing recovery will remain slow and uneven, and that unemployment levels will remain elevated, with consequent challenges to the operating results in our commercial office segment in particular. Our multi-family residential properties continue to perform well, but while we expect to see continued favorable results in this segment in fiscal year 2013, our ability to maintain occupancy levels and selectively raise rents remains dependent on continued economic recovery and employment growth, and many economic forecasts, including those of the Federal Reserve, are predicting lingering high unemployment and slow growth through 2013. We plan during fiscal year 2013 to continue to pursue the selective disposition of assets in non-core markets, and to work to increase our multi-family residential properties in our identified core markets in the Midwest.

Additional information and more detailed discussions of our fiscal year 2012 operating results are found in the following sections of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

Set forth below is a summary of the accounting policies that management believes are critical to the preparation of the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Real Estate. Real estate is carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, less an adjustment for impairment, if any. Depreciation requires an estimate by management of the useful life of each property as well as an allocation of the costs associated with a property to its various components. As described further below, the process of allocating property costs to its components involves a considerable amount of subjective judgments to be made by Company management. If the Company does not allocate these costs appropriately or incorrectly estimates the useful lives of

2012 Annual Report 38

[Index](#)

its real estate, depreciation expense may be misstated. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The Company uses a 20-40 year estimated life for buildings and improvements and a 5-12 year estimated life for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Renovations and improvements that improve and/or extend the useful life of the asset are capitalized over their estimated useful life, generally five to ten years.

Upon acquisitions of real estate, the Company assesses the fair value of acquired tangible assets (including land, buildings and personal property), which is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and considers whether there were significant intangible assets acquired (for example, above-and below-market leases, the value of acquired in-place leases, and tenant relationships) and acquired liabilities, and allocates the purchase price based on these assessments. The as-if-vacant value is allocated to land, buildings, and personal property based on management's determination of the relative fair value of these assets. The estimated fair value of the property is the amount that would be recoverable upon the disposition of the property. Techniques used to estimate fair value include discounted cash flow analysis and reference to recent sales of comparable properties. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including the historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions that may affect the property. Land value is assigned based on the purchase price if land is acquired separately, or based on estimated market value if acquired in a merger or in a portfolio acquisition.

Above-market and below-market in-place lease values for acquired properties are estimated based on the present value of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease. The Company performs this analysis on a lease-by-lease basis. The capitalized above-market or below-market intangible is amortized to rental income over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases.

Other intangible assets acquired include amounts for in-place lease values that are based upon the Company's evaluation of the specific characteristics of the leases. Factors considered in these analyses include an estimate of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods, considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. The Company also considers information about each property obtained during its pre-acquisition due diligence and marketing and leasing activities in estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired.

Property sales or dispositions are recorded when title transfers and sufficient consideration is received by the Company and the Company has no significant continuing involvement with the property sold.

Real Estate Held For Sale. Real estate held for sale is stated at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less disposal costs. Depreciation is not recorded on assets classified as held for sale.

The application of current accounting principles that govern the classification of any of our properties as held-for-sale on the balance sheet requires management to make certain significant judgments. The Company makes a determination as to the point in time that it is probable that a sale will be consummated. It is not unusual for real estate sales contracts to allow potential buyers a period of time to evaluate the property prior to formal acceptance of the contract. In addition, certain other matters critical to the final sale, such as financing arrangements, often remain pending even upon contract acceptance. As a result, properties under contract may not close within the expected time period, or may not close at all. Due to these uncertainties, it is not likely that the Company can meet the criteria of the current accounting principles governing the classification of properties as held-for-sale prior to a sale formally closing. Therefore, any properties categorized as held-for-sale represent only those properties that management has determined are probable to close within the requirements set forth in current accounting principles.

The Company reports, in discontinued operations, the results of operations and the related gains or losses of a property that has either been disposed of or is classified as held for sale and otherwise meets the classification of a discontinued operation.

Impairment. The Company's long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment quarterly if events or changes in circumstances (such as adverse market conditions, including conditions resulting from an ongoing economic recession) indicate that a long-lived asset might be impaired. Judgments regarding existence of impairment indicators are based on factors such as operational performance, market conditions, expected holding period of each asset and events that occur that affect the financial strength of significant tenants of the assets, including tenants who

2012 Annual Report 39

[Index](#)

have filed for bankruptcy. For long-lived assets in which an impairment indicator is present, the Company compares the expected future undiscounted cash flows for the long-lived asset against the carrying amount of the asset, including any associated intangibles, subject to evaluation. The evaluation of undiscounted cash flows is subjective and reflects assumptions regarding current market conditions relative to the long-lived asset being evaluated, such as future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results. A worsening real estate market may cause the Company to re-evaluate the assumptions used in our impairment analysis. If there is an indication of impairment based on this evaluation because the expected undiscounted cash flows plus reversion are less than the asset's carrying value, impairment is recorded based on the estimated fair value (typically based on a current independent appraisal) of the long-lived asset in comparison to its carrying value. The results of the Company's evaluation of impairment analysis could be material to the Company's financial statements.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The Company periodically evaluates the collectibility of amounts due from tenants and maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts (approximately \$154,000 as of April 30, 2012) for estimated losses resulting from the inability of tenants to make required payments under their respective lease agreements. The Company also maintains an allowance for deferred rents receivable arising from the straight-lining of rents (approximately \$1.2 million as of April 30, 2012) and from mortgage loans (\$0 as of April 30, 2012). The straight-lining of rents receivable arises from earnings recognized in excess of amounts currently due under lease agreements. Management exercises judgment in establishing these allowances and considers payment history and current credit status in developing these estimates. If estimates differ from actual results this would impact reported results.

Revenue Recognition - The Company has the following revenue sources and revenue recognition policies:

Base Rents - income arising from tenant leases. These rents are recognized over the non-cancelable term of the related leases on a straight-line basis, which includes the effects of rent increases and abated rent under the leases. Certain leases provide for tenant occupancy during periods for which no rent is due or where minimum rent payments increase during the term of the lease. Rental revenue is recorded for the full term of each lease on a straight-line basis. Accordingly, the Company records a receivable from tenants for rents that it expects to collect over the remaining lease term as deferred rents receivable. When the Company acquires a property, the term of the existing leases is considered to commence as of the acquisition date for the purposes of this calculation. Revenue recognition is considered to be critical because the evaluation of the reliability of such deferred rents receivable involves management's assumptions relating to such tenant's viability.

Percentage Rents - income arising from retail tenant leases which are contingent upon the sales of the tenant exceeding a defined threshold. These rents are recognized only after the contingency has been removed (i.e., sales thresholds have been achieved).

Expense Reimbursement Income – revenue arising from tenant leases, which provide for the recovery of all or a portion of the operating expenses and real estate taxes of the respective property. This revenue is accrued in the same periods as the expenses are incurred.

Income Taxes. The Company operates in a manner intended to enable it to continue to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code. Under those sections, a REIT which distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income as a distribution to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. The Company intends to distribute to its shareholders 100% of its taxable income. Therefore, no provision for Federal income taxes is required. If the Company fails to distribute the required amount of income to its shareholders, it would fail to qualify as a REIT and substantial adverse tax consequences may result.

The Company's taxable income is affected by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the following: that the Company's tenants perform their obligations under their leases with the Company and that the Company's tax and accounting positions do not change. These factors, which impact the Company's taxable income, are subject to change, and many are outside the control of the Company. If actual results vary, the Company's taxable income may change.

[Index](#)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For disclosure regarding recent accounting pronouncements and the anticipated impact they will have on our operations, please refer to Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The discussion that follows is based on our consolidated results of operations for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Revenues

Total revenues for fiscal year 2012 were \$241.8 million, compared to \$237.0 million in fiscal year 2011 and \$230.9 million in fiscal year 2010. Revenues during fiscal year 2012 were \$4.8 million greater than revenues in fiscal year 2011 and revenues during fiscal year 2011 were \$6.0 million greater than in fiscal year 2010.

For fiscal 2012, the increase in revenue of \$4.8 million resulted from:

	(in thousands)
Rent in Fiscal 2012 from 8 properties acquired in fiscal year 2011 in excess of that received in 2011 from the same 8 properties	\$ 2,342
Rent from 16 properties acquired in fiscal year 2012	4,707
Decrease in rental income on stabilized properties due primarily to a decrease in occupancy	(3,788)
Increase in straight line rent	2,723
Increase in tenant concessions	(1,150)
	\$ 4,834

For fiscal 2011, the increase in revenue of \$6.0 million resulted from:

	(in thousands)
Rent in Fiscal 2011 from 10 properties acquired in fiscal year 2010 in excess of that received in 2010 from the same 10 properties	\$ 7,799
Rent from 8 properties acquired in fiscal year 2011	2,356
Decrease in rental income on stabilized properties due primarily to a decrease in occupancy	(4,144)
	\$ 6,011

As illustrated above, the majority of the increase in our gross revenue for fiscal years 2012 and 2011 (\$7.0 million and \$10.2 million respectively) resulted from the addition of new real estate properties to the IRET Properties' portfolio. Rental revenue in fiscal years 2012 and 2011 from stabilized properties decreased \$3.8 and \$4.1 million, respectively. For the next 12 months, we continue to look to acquisitions and development of new properties and recovery in our stabilized portfolio to be the most significant factors in any increases in our revenues and ultimately our net income. However, identifying attractive acquisition possibilities remains a continuing challenge.

Gain on Sale of Real Estate

The Company realized a gain on sale of real estate, land and other investments for fiscal year 2012 of approximately \$349,000. This compares to an approximately \$19.4 million gain on sale of real estate recognized in fiscal year 2011 and approximately \$68,000 recognized in fiscal year 2010. Properties sold in fiscal years 2012 and 2011 are detailed below in the section captioned "Property Dispositions."

Changes in Expenses and Net Income

Net income available to common shareholders for fiscal year 2012 was \$5.8 million, compared to \$17.7 million in fiscal year 2011 and \$1.6 million in fiscal year 2010. On a per common share basis, net income was \$.07 per common share in fiscal year 2012, compared to \$.22 per common share in fiscal year 2011 and \$.03 in fiscal year 2010.

2012 Annual Report 41

[Index](#)

These changes in net income result from the changes in revenues and expenses detailed below:

Changes in net income available to common shareholders for fiscal year 2012 resulted from:

	(in thousands)
An increase in net operating income (defined below in the Net Operating Income section) primarily due to acquisitions (not including gain on involuntary conversion)	\$ 8,358
A decrease in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests - Operating Partnership	3,090
An increase in gain on involuntary conversion	274
An increase in other income	356
These increases were offset by:	
A decrease in income from discontinued operations	(20,040)
An increase in depreciation/amortization expense related to real estate investments	(1,342)
An increase in interest expense primarily due to the revolving line of credit	(1,293)
An increase in amortization related to non-real estate investments	(537)
A decrease in net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests - consolidated real estate entities	(315)
An increase in other expenses, administrative, advisory and trustee services	(310)
A decrease in interest income	(111)
Total decrease in fiscal 2012 net income available to common shareholders	\$ (11,870)

Changes in net income available to common shareholders for fiscal year 2011 resulted from:

	(in thousands)
An increase in income from discontinued operations	\$ 21,341
A decrease in interest expense primarily due to debt refinancing	1,622
An increase in net operating income (defined below in the Net Operating Income section) (not including gain on involuntary conversion)	351
An increase in net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests - consolidated real estate entities	202
These increases were offset by:	
An increase in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests - Operating Partnership	(3,887)
A decrease in gain on involuntary conversion	(1,660)
An increase in depreciation/amortization expense related to real estate investments	(980)
An increase in amortization related to non-real estate investments	(317)
A decrease in interest income	(280)
An increase in other expenses, administrative, advisory and trustee services	(238)
A decrease in other income	(73)
Total increase in fiscal 2011 net income available to common shareholders	\$ 16,081

Net Operating Income

Net Operating Income (“NOI”) is a non-GAAP measure which we define as total real estate revenues less real estate expenses and real estate taxes (excluding depreciation and amortization related to real estate investments and impairment of real estate investments). We believe that NOI is an important supplemental measure of operating performance for a REIT’s operating real estate because it provides a measure of core operations that is unaffected by depreciation, amortization, financing and general and administrative expense. NOI does not represent cash generated

by operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered an alternative to net income, net income available for commons shareholders or cash flow from operating activities as a measure of financial performance.

The following tables show real estate revenues, real estate operating expenses and NOI by reportable operating segment for fiscal years 2012, 2011 and 2010. For a reconciliation of net operating income of reportable segments to net income as reported, see Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

The tables also show net operating income by reportable operating segment on a stabilized property and non-stabilized property basis. Stabilized properties are properties owned for the entirety of the periods being compared, and, in the case of development or re-development properties, which have achieved a target level of occupancy. This comparison allows the Company to evaluate the performance of existing properties and their contribution to net

2012 Annual Report 42

[Index](#)

income. Management believes that measuring performance on a stabilized property basis is useful to investors because it enables evaluation of how the Company's properties are performing year over year. Management uses this measure to assess whether or not it has been successful in increasing net operating income, renewing the leases of existing tenants, controlling operating costs and appropriately handling capital improvements. The discussion below focuses on the main factors affecting real estate revenue and real estate expenses from stabilized properties, since changes from one fiscal year to another in real estate revenue and expenses from non-stabilized properties are due to the addition of those properties to the Company's real estate portfolio, and accordingly provide less useful information for evaluating the ongoing operational performance of the Company's real estate portfolio.

Fiscal Year 2012 Compared to Fiscal Year 2011

All Segments

The following table of selected operating data reconciles NOI to net income and provides the basis for our discussion of NOI by segment in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	Years Ended April 30			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
All Segments				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$231,735	\$233,942	\$(2,207)	(0.9)%
Non-stabilized(1)	10,053	3,012	7,041	233.8%
Total	\$241,788	\$236,954	\$4,834	2.0%
Real estate expenses				
Stabilized	\$95,832	\$101,191	\$(5,359)	(5.3)%
Non-stabilized(1)	2,446	611	1,835	300.3%
Total	\$98,278	\$101,802	\$(3,524)	(3.5)%
Gain on involuntary conversion				
Stabilized	\$274	\$0	\$274	100.0%
Non-stabilized(1)	0	0	0	n/a
Total	\$274	\$0	\$274	100.0%
Net operating income				
Stabilized	\$136,177	\$132,751	\$3,426	2.6%
Non-stabilized(1)	7,607	2,401	5,206	216.8%
Total	\$143,784	\$135,152	\$8,632	6.4%
Depreciation/amortization	(60,264)	(58,385)		
Administrative, advisory and trustee services	(7,381)	(7,222)		
Other expenses	(1,898)	(1,747)		
Interest expense	(65,113)	(63,820)		
Interest and other income	786	541		
Income from continuing operations	9,914	4,519		
(Loss) income from discontinued operations(2)	(208)	19,832		

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Net income	\$9,706	\$24,351
------------	---------	----------

(1) Non-stabilized properties include:

FY2012 Ashland Apartment Homes, Grand Forks, ND; Chateau, Minot, ND; Cottage West Twin Homes, Sioux Falls, SD; Evergreen II, Isanti, MN; Gables Townhomes, Sioux Falls, SD; Grand Gateway Apartment Residential - Homes, St Cloud, MN; North Pointe II, Bismarck, ND; Regency Park Estates, St Cloud, MN; Sierra Vista, Sioux Falls, SD and Williston Garden Apartments, Williston, ND.

Commercial Office - 1st Avenue Building, Minot, ND and Omaha 10802 Farnam Drive, Omaha, NE.

Commercial Medical - Billings 2300 Grant Road, Billings, MT; Edgewood Vista-Minot, Minot, ND; Edina 6525 Drew Avenue, Edina, MN; Missoula 3050 Great Northern Avenue, Missoula, MT; Spring Creek American Falls, American Falls, ID; Spring Creek Soda Springs, Soda Springs, ID; Spring Creek Eagle, Eagle, ID; Spring Creek Meridian, Meridian, ID; Spring Creek Overland, Boise, ID; Spring Creek Boise, Boise, ID; Spring Creek Ustick, Meridian, ID and Trinity at Plaza 16, Minot, ND.

Commercial Industrial - Fargo 1320 45th Street North, Fargo, ND.

Commercial Retail Minot 1400 31st Ave, Minot, ND.

-

2012 Annual Report 43

[Index](#)

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

FY2011 Chateau, Minot, ND; North Pointe II, Bismarck, ND and Sierra Vista, Sioux Falls, SD.
 - Multi-Family Residential -
 Commercial 1st Avenue Building, Minot, ND and Omaha 10802 Farnam Drive, Omaha, NE.
 Office -
 Commercial Billings 2300 Grant Road, Billings, MT; Edgewood Vista-Minot, Minot, ND and Missoula 3050
 Medical - Great Northern Avenue, Missoula, MT .
 Commercial Fargo 1320 45th Street North, Fargo, ND.
 Industrial -
 Commercial Retail Minot 1400 31st Ave, Minot, ND.
 -

(2) Discontinued operations include gain on disposals and income from operations for:

2012 Dispositions and Properties Held for Sale – Livingston Pamida, East Grand Station, Georgetown Square Condos and Kentwood Thomasville Furniture.

2011 Dispositions – Miramont Apartments, Neighborhood Apartments, Pinecone Apartments, Waconia, Dakota Hill, Edgewood Vista Fargo and Ladysmith Pamida.

An analysis of NOI by segment follows.

Multi-Family Residential

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our multi-family residential segment increased by approximately \$4.9 million in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011. Approximately \$2.9 million of this increase was due to increased occupancy across our multifamily portfolio; increased occupancy in some instances allows for rental rate increases, which accounted for approximately \$1.1 million of additional revenue in this segment in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011. The remainder of the real estate revenue increase is attributable to a decrease of \$405,000 in allowances and concessions and an increase of \$495,000 in other fee revenue items.

Real estate expenses at stabilized properties decreased by \$422,000 in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011. The mild winter season permitted overall lower utilities usage for a reduction in expense of approximately \$61,000, and reduced snow removal expenses by \$529,000. Additionally, of the \$422,000 decrease in real estate expenses in this segment in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011, approximately \$293,000 was due to lower property management expense, which includes lower fees to third party managers, savings from the Company's internal marketing initiative and less bad debt write-off. These decreases in expenses were offset by an increase in insurance expense of \$440,000, in under-deductible losses of \$329,000, and in \$114,000 of other expense items.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Multi-Family Residential				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$70,982	\$66,080	\$4,902	7.4 %
Non-stabilized	3,208	758	2,450	323.2 %

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Total	\$74,190	\$66,838	\$7,352	11.0	%
Real estate expenses					
Stabilized	\$33,371	\$33,793	\$(422)	(1.2)	%
Non-stabilized	1,419	336	1,083	322.3	%
Total	\$34,790	\$34,129	\$661	1.9	%
Net operating income					
Stabilized	\$37,611	\$32,287	\$5,324	16.5	%
Non-stabilized	1,789	422	1,367	323.9	%
Total	\$39,400	\$32,709	\$6,691	20.5	%
Occupancy					
		2012	2011		
Stabilized		94.2%	92.8%		
Non-stabilized		86.8%	93.9%		
Total		93.7%	92.8%		

2012 Annual Report 44

Index

Commercial Office

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our commercial office segment decreased by approximately \$4.3 million in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011, due to a continued decrease in occupancy which resulted in a reduction in rental revenue of \$1.3 million and in tenant reimbursements of \$2.8 million. Allowances and concessions increased by \$1.2 million, further reducing revenue. These reductions in revenue were offset by an increase in straight line rents of \$615,000 and an increase in lease termination fees of \$313,000.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties decreased by approximately \$1.6 million in fiscal year 2012 as compared to fiscal 2011, primarily due to maintenance expense decreasing by \$1.4 million, mainly as a result of lower snow removal costs, a reduction in real estate taxes of \$267,000 due to successful appeals, and a reduction of \$283,000 in third party management fees due to bringing property management in-house; offset by an increase in insurance expense of \$405,000 and an increase in other expense items of \$55,000.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	Years Ended April 30,			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Commercial Office				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$72,995	\$77,257	\$(4,262)	(5.5)%
Non-stabilized	1,339	490	849	173.3%
Total	\$74,334	\$77,747	\$(3,413)	(4.4)%
Real estate expenses				
Stabilized	\$34,256	\$35,855	\$(1,599)	(4.5)%
Non-stabilized	560	200	360	180.0%
Total	\$34,816	\$36,055	\$(1,239)	(3.4)%
Net operating income				
Stabilized	\$38,739	\$41,402	\$(2,663)	(6.4)%
Non-stabilized	779	290	489	168.6%
Total	\$39,518	\$41,692	\$(2,174)	(5.2)%
Occupancy				
Stabilized	2012	2011		
	78.4%	79.5%		
Non-stabilized	98.7%	98.7%		
Total	78.6%	79.7%		

2012 Annual Report 45

Index

Commercial Medical

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our commercial medical segment decreased by approximately \$3.8 million in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a reduction in revenue of \$2.2 million at our Wyoming senior living facilities following the sale of our TRS and a change to a triple net lease structure in December 2011. The decrease was also due to a reduction of \$1.9 million in scheduled rent at some assisted living facilities, following amendment of the leases to shorten terms and remove purchase options. Lower occupancy also decreased revenue by approximately \$883,000, offset by an increase in straight line rent of \$1.5 million and an increase in other revenue items of \$298,000.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties decreased by approximately \$2.1 million, primarily due to the operating change from a TRS structure to a triple net lease structure, which reduced real estate expenses by approximately \$2.2 million, and to a decrease in maintenance expense of \$382,000, primarily due to lower snow removal costs, a reduction in utilities expense of \$110,000, and other total expense reductions of \$130,000. These expense reductions were offset by an increase in real estate taxes of \$234,000 and an increase in insurance expense of \$228,000.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	Years Ended April 30,			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Commercial Medical				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$61,046	\$64,886	\$(3,840)	(5.9)%
Non-stabilized	4,485	1,162	3,323	286.0%
Total	\$65,531	\$66,048	\$(517)	(0.8)%
Real estate expenses				
Stabilized	\$20,342	\$22,428	\$(2,086)	(9.3)%
Non-stabilized	313	23	290	1,260.9%
Total	\$20,655	\$22,451	\$(1,796)	(8.0)%
Net operating income				
Stabilized	\$40,704	\$42,458	\$(1,754)	(4.1)%
Non-stabilized	4,172	1,139	3,033	266.3%
Total	\$44,876	\$43,597	\$1,279	2.9%
Occupancy				
Stabilized	2012	2011		
	93.8%	95.8%		
Non-stabilized	99.9%	100.0%		
Total	94.5%	96.0%		

2012 Annual Report 46

[Index](#)

Commercial Industrial

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our commercial industrial segment increased by approximately \$1.1 million in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011. The increase was primarily due to increased occupancy, which provided for additional revenue from rents of \$717,000 and additional tenant reimbursements of \$599,000, offset by an increase in allowance and concessions of \$197,000 and an increase in other revenue items of \$19,000.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties decreased by \$778,000 in fiscal 2012 compared to fiscal 2011, primarily due to a recovered bad debt from a former tenant in bankruptcy of approximately \$700,000 and reduced utility expense of \$325,000, offset by an increase in real estate taxes of \$167,000, an increase in insurance expense of \$108,000, and an increase in other total expenses of \$42,000.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Commercial Industrial				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$13,884	\$12,797	\$1,087	8.5 %
Non-stabilized	441	368	73	19.8 %
Total	\$14,325	\$13,165	\$1,160	8.8 %
Real estate expenses				
Stabilized	\$3,543	\$4,321	\$(778)	(18.0)%
Non-stabilized	6	7	(1)	(14.3)%
Total	\$3,549	\$4,328	\$(779)	(18.0)%
Net operating income				
Stabilized	\$10,341	\$8,476	\$1,865	22.0 %
Non-stabilized	435	361	74	20.5 %
Total	\$10,776	\$8,837	\$1,939	21.9 %
Occupancy				
Stabilized	2012	2011		
	95.4%	90.0%		
Non-stabilized	100.0%	100.0%		
Total	95.5%	90.1%		

Commercial Retail

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our commercial retail segment decreased by approximately \$94,000 in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011. Occupancy increased as a percentage of square feet leased; however, lease renewal rates were lower for new or existing tenants.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties decreased by \$474,000, primarily due to decreased maintenance expense of \$513,000, mainly as a result of reduced snow removal expense, and to utility expenses decreasing by \$68,000, offset by an increase in real estate tax of \$83,000, an increase in insurance expense of \$106,000 and an increase in other property management expense items of \$82,000.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Commercial Retail				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$12,828	\$12,922	\$(94)	(0.7)%
Non-stabilized	580	234	346	147.9%
Total	\$13,408	\$13,156	\$252	1.9%
Real estate expenses				
Stabilized	\$4,320	\$4,794	\$(474)	(9.9)%
Non-stabilized	148	45	103	228.9%
Total	\$4,468	\$4,839	\$(371)	(7.7)%
Gain on involuntary conversion				
Stabilized	\$274	\$0	\$274	100.0%
Non-stabilized	0	0	0	n/a
Total	\$274	\$0	\$274	100.0%
Net operating income				
Stabilized	\$8,782	\$8,128	\$654	8.0%
Non-stabilized	432	189	243	128.6%
Total	\$9,214	\$8,317	\$897	10.8%
Occupancy				
	2012	2011		
Stabilized	86.6%	83.2%		
Non-stabilized	100.0%	53.6%		
Total	87.1%	82.2%		

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Fiscal Year 2011 Compared to Fiscal Year 2010

All Segments

The following table of selected operating data reconciles NOI to net income and provides the basis for our discussion of NOI by segment in fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	Years Ended April 30			
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
All Segments				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$222,537	\$226,681	\$(4,144)	(1.8)%
Non-stabilized(1)	14,417	4,262	10,155	238.3%
Total	\$236,954	\$230,943	\$6,011	2.6%
Real estate expenses				
Stabilized	\$93,020	\$93,164	\$(144)	(0.2)%
Non-stabilized(1)	8,782	2,978	5,804	194.9%
Total	\$101,802	\$96,142	\$5,660	5.9%
Gain on involuntary conversion				
Stabilized	\$0	\$1,660	\$(1,660)	(100.0)%
Non-stabilized(1)	0	0	0	n/a
Total	\$0	\$1,660	\$(1,660)	(100.0)%
Net operating income				
Stabilized	\$129,517	\$135,177	\$(5,660)	(4.2)%
Non-stabilized(1)	5,635	1,284	4,351	338.9%
Total	\$135,152	\$136,461	\$(1,309)	(1.0)%
Depreciation/amortization	(58,385)	(57,088)		
Administrative, advisory and trustee services	(7,222)	(6,218)		
Other expenses	(1,747)	(2,513)		
Interest expense	(63,820)	(65,442)		
Interest and other income	541	894		
Income from continuing operations	4,519	6,094		
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	19,832	(1,509)		
Net income	\$24,351	\$4,585		

(1) Non-stabilized properties include:

FY2011 Crown Apartments, Rochester, MN; Northern Valley Apartments, Rochester, MN; North Pointe II, - Multi-Family Bismarck, ND and Sierra Vista, Sioux Falls, SD.

Residential -

Commercial IRET Corporate Plaza, Minot, ND; Minot 2505 16th St SW, Minot, ND; 1st Avenue Building, Office - Minot, ND and Omaha 10802 Farnam Drive, Omaha, NE.

Commercial Casper 1930 E 12th Street (Park Place), Casper, WY; Casper 3955 E 12th Street (Meadow Wind), Medical - Casper, WY; Cheyenne 4010 N College Drive (Aspen Wind), Cheyenne, WY; Cheyenne 4060 N

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

College Drive (Sierra Hills), Cheyenne, WY; Laramie 1072 N 22nd Street (Spring Wind), Laramie, WY; Billings 2300 Grant Road, Billings, MT; Missoula 3050 Great Northern Avenue, Missoula, MT and Edgewood Vista-Minot, Minot, ND.

Commercial Clive 2075 NW 94th St., Clive, IA and Fargo 1320 45th Street North, Fargo, ND.
Industrial -
Commercial Retail Minot 1400 31st Ave, Minot, ND.

-

FY2010 Crown Apartments, Rochester, MN and Northern Valley Apartments, Rochester, MN.

- Multi-Family

Residential -

Commercial IRET Corporate Plaza, Minot, ND; Minot 2505 16th St SW, Minot, ND and 1st Avenue Building,
Office - Minot, ND.

Commercial Casper 1930 E 12th Street (Park Place), Casper, WY; Casper 3955 E 12th Street (Meadow Wind),
Medical - Casper, WY; Cheyenne 4010 N College Drive (Aspen Wind), Cheyenne, WY; Cheyenne 4060 N
College Drive (Sierra Hills), Cheyenne, WY; Laramie 1072 N 22nd Street (Spring Wind),
Laramie, WY; Billings 2300 Grant Road, Billings, MT; Missoula 3050 Great Northern Avenue,
Missoula, MT and Fox River.

Commercial Clive 2075 NW 94th St., Clive, IA.
Industrial -

2012 Annual Report 49

[Index](#)

(1) Discontinued operations include gain on disposals and income from operations for:

2012 Dispositions and Properties Held for Sale – Livingston Pamida, East Grand Station, Georgetown Square Condos and Kentwood Thomasville Furniture.

2011 Dispositions – Miramont Apartments, Neighborhood Apartments, Pinecone Apartments, Waconia, Dakota Hill, Edgewood Vista Fargo and Ladysmith Pamida.

2010 Dispositions – 12 South Main and Sweetwater Grafton.

An analysis of NOI by segment follows.

Multi-Family Residential

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our multi-family residential segment increased by \$818,000 in fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010, due to an increase in rent of \$723,000 and in other rent income of \$548,000, offset by an increase of \$379,000 in allowances and concessions.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties increased by approximately \$1.2 million, due to an increase in maintenance expense of \$1.1 million, in property management expense of \$275,000, in real estate tax expense of \$156,000, and in utilities expense of \$118,000, offset by a decrease of \$470,000 in insurance expense.

	(in thousands, except percentages)				
	Years Ended April 30,				
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change	
Multi-Family Residential					
Real estate revenue					
Stabilized	\$66,235	\$65,417	\$818	1.3	%
Non-stabilized	603	61	542	888.5	%
Total	\$66,838	\$65,478	\$1,360	2.1	%
Real estate expenses					
Stabilized	\$33,768	\$32,603	\$1,165	3.6	%
Non-stabilized	361	12	349	2,908.3	%
Total	\$34,129	\$32,615	\$1,514	4.6	%
Gain on involuntary conversion					
Stabilized	0	\$1,660	\$(1,660)	(100.0))%
Non-stabilized	0	0	0	n/a	
Total	\$0	\$1,660	\$(1,660)	(100.0))%
Net operating income					
Stabilized	\$32,467	\$34,474	\$(2,007)	(5.8))%
Non-stabilized	242	49	193	393.9	%
Total	\$32,709	\$34,523	\$(1,814)	(5.3))%

Occupancy	2011	2010
Stabilized	92.8%	89.7%
Non-stabilized	91.7%	95.3%
Total	92.8%	89.7%

Index

Commercial Office

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our commercial office segment decreased by approximately \$5.1 million in fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010, primarily due to a decrease in occupancy which resulted in a reduction in rental revenue of \$2.7 million, a decrease in tenant reimbursements of \$1.4 million and an increase in allowance and concessions of \$1.3 million, offset by an increase in straight line rents of \$240,000 and in other rent income of \$67,000.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties decreased by approximately \$1.0 million due to a decrease in property management expenses of \$623,000, in insurance expense of \$553,000 and in real estate taxes of \$348,000, offset by an increase in utilities expense of \$290,000 and in maintenance expense of \$229,000.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
Commercial Office				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$76,820	\$81,942	\$(5,122)	(6.3)%
Non-stabilized	927	137	790	576.6%
Total	\$77,747	\$82,079	\$(4,332)	(5.3)%
Real estate expenses				
Stabilized	\$35,633	\$36,638	\$(1,005)	(2.7)%
Non-stabilized	422	195	227	116.4%
Total	\$36,055	\$36,833	\$(778)	(2.1)%
Net operating income				
Stabilized	\$41,187	\$45,304	\$(4,117)	(9.1)%
Non-stabilized	505	(58)	563	970.7%
Total	\$41,692	\$45,246	\$(3,554)	(7.9)%
Occupancy				
	2011	2010		
Stabilized	79.2%	83.9%		
Non-stabilized	99.4%	51.0%		
Total	79.7%	83.4%		

2012 Annual Report 51

[Index](#)

Commercial Medical

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our commercial medical segment increased by \$475,000 in fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010, primarily due to an increase in tenant reimbursements of \$1.0 million and in rent of \$303,000, and to a decrease in allowance and concessions of \$160,000. An increase in occupancy increased revenue by \$150,000, offset by a decrease in straight line rents of \$558,000 and in other revenue items of \$612,000.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties decreased by \$519,000, due to a decrease in property management expense of \$1.2 million and in insurance expense of \$267,000, offset by an increase in real estate taxes of \$636,000, in maintenance expense of \$209,000 and in utilities expense of \$78,000.

	(in thousands, except percentages)				
	Years Ended April 30,				
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change	
Commercial Medical					
Real estate revenue					
Stabilized	\$54,128	\$53,653	\$473	0.9	%
Non-stabilized	11,920	3,786	8,134	214.8	%
Total	\$66,048	\$57,439	\$8,609	15.0	%
Real estate expenses					
Stabilized	\$14,610	\$15,129	\$(519)	(3.4)	%
Non-stabilized	7,841	2,700	5,141	190.4	%
Total	\$22,451	\$17,829	\$4,622	25.9	%
Net operating income					
Stabilized	\$39,518	\$38,524	\$994	2.6	%
Non-stabilized	4,079	1,086	2,993	275.6	%
Total	\$43,597	\$39,610	\$3,987	10.1	%
Occupancy					
	2011	2010			
Stabilized	95.3%	95.7%			
Non-stabilized	100.0%	90.0%			
Total	96.0%	95.1%			

2012 Annual Report 52

[Index](#)

Commercial Industrial

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our commercial industrial segment decreased by approximately \$385,000 in fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010, due to a decrease in scheduled rent of \$493,000, an increase in allowance and concessions of \$290,000, and a decrease in tenant reimbursements of \$141,000, offset by an increase in straight line rents of \$380,000 and in other rent income of \$159,000.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties increased by \$166,000 due to an increase in utility expense of \$203,000, in real estate taxes of \$28,000, in maintenance expense of \$23,000 and in property management expenses of \$9,000, offset by a decrease in insurance expense of \$97,000.

	(in thousands, except percentages)			
	Years Ended April 30,			
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
Commercial Industrial				
Real estate revenue				
Stabilized	\$12,432	\$12,817	\$(385)	(3.0)%
Non-stabilized	733	278	455	163.7%
Total	\$13,165	\$13,095	\$70	0.5%
Real estate expenses				
Stabilized	\$4,216	\$4,050	\$166	4.1%
Non-stabilized	112	71	41	57.7%
Total	\$4,328	\$4,121	\$207	5.0%
Net operating income				
Stabilized	\$8,216	\$8,767	\$(551)	(6.3)%
Non-stabilized	621	207	414	200.0%
Total	\$8,837	\$8,974	\$(137)	(1.5)%
Occupancy				
	2011	2010		
Stabilized	89.8%	90.6%		
Non-stabilized	100.0%	100.0%		
Total	90.1%	90.7%		

2012 Annual Report 53

[Index](#)

Commercial Retail

Real estate revenue from stabilized properties in our commercial retail segment increased by approximately \$70,000 in fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010, due to an increase in tenant reimbursements of \$439,000, an increase in occupancy resulting in an increase in revenue of \$230,000, an increase in straight line rents of \$96,000 and an increase in other rent income of \$42,000, offset by a decrease in scheduled rent of \$525,000 due to leasing up space at lower rental rates and an increase in allowances and concessions of \$212,000.

Real estate expenses from stabilized properties increased by \$49,000 due to an increase in maintenance expense of \$338,000, offset by a decrease in insurance expense of \$113,000, in real estate taxes of \$98,000, in property management expenses of \$74,000 and in utilities expense of \$4,000.

	(in thousands, except percentages)				
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change	
Commercial Retail					
Real estate revenue					
Stabilized	\$12,922	\$12,852	\$70	0.5	%
Non-stabilized	234	0	234	100.0	%
Total	\$13,156	\$12,852	\$304	2.4	%
Real estate expenses					
Stabilized	\$4,793	\$4,744	\$49	1.0	%
Non-stabilized	46	0	46	100.0	%
Total	\$4,839	\$4,744	\$95	2.0	%
Net operating income					
Stabilized	\$8,129	\$8,108	\$21	0.3	%
Non-stabilized	188	0	188	100.0	%
Total	\$8,317	\$8,108	\$209	2.6	%
Occupancy					
	2011	2010			
Stabilized	83.2%	82.7%			
Non-stabilized	53.6%	n/a			
Total	82.2%	82.7%			

Comparison of Results from Commercial and Residential Properties

The following table presents an analysis of the relative investment in (corresponding to “Property owned” on the balance sheet, i.e., cost), and net operating income of, our commercial and multi-family residential properties over the past three fiscal years:

Fiscal Years Ended April 30	(in thousands, except percentages)					
	2012	%	2011	%	2010	%
Real Estate Investments – (cost before depreciation)						

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Multi-Family Residential	\$539,783	28.5	%	\$484,815	27.4	%	\$556,867	30.9	%
Commercial Office	605,318	32.0	%	595,491	33.6	%	582,943	32.4	%
Commercial Medical	500,268	26.4	%	447,831	25.3	%	430,229	23.9	%
Commercial Industrial	119,002	6.3	%	117,602	6.6	%	113,249	6.3	%
Commercial Retail	127,638	6.8	%	125,059	7.1	%	117,231	6.5	%
Total	\$1,892,009	100.0	%	\$1,770,798	100.0	%	\$1,800,519	100.0	%
Net Operating Income									
Multi-Family Residential	\$39,400	27.4	%	\$32,709	24.2	%	\$34,523	25.3	%
Commercial Office	39,518	27.5	%	41,692	30.8	%	45,246	33.2	%
Commercial Medical	44,876	31.2	%	43,597	32.3	%	39,610	29.0	%
Commercial Industrial	10,776	7.5	%	8,837	6.5	%	8,974	6.6	%
Commercial Retail	9,214	6.4	%	8,317	6.2	%	8,108	5.9	%
Total	\$143,784	100.0	%	\$135,152	100.0	%	\$136,461	100.0	%

2012 Annual Report 54

Index

Analysis of Lease Expirations and Credit Risk

The following table shows the annual lease expiration percentages and base rent of expiring leases for the total commercial segments properties (including real estate held for sale) owned by us as of April 30, 2012, for fiscal years 2013 through 2022, and the leases that will expire during fiscal year 2023 and beyond. Our multi-family residential properties are excluded from this table, since residential leases are generally for a one-year term.

Fiscal Year of Lease Expiration	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Total Commercial Segments Leased Square Footage	Annualized Base Rent of Expiring Leases at Expiration	Percentage of Total Commercial Segments Annualized Base Rent
2013	971,511	9.4 %	\$ 10,885,609	9.0 %
2014	1,449,127	14.1 %	15,815,281	13.1 %
2015	1,190,948	11.6 %	12,159,254	10.0 %
2016	1,645,734	16.0 %	16,623,282	13.7 %
2017	1,360,739	13.2 %	18,498,152	15.3 %
2018	398,760	3.9 %	6,600,155	5.5 %
2019	874,526	8.5 %	10,368,301	8.6 %
2020	429,403	4.2 %	5,266,278	4.3 %
2021	181,175	1.8 %	2,504,072	2.1 %
2022	1,428,414	13.9 %	14,827,636	12.3 %
Thereafter	350,872	3.4 %	7,378,013	6.1 %
Totals	10,281,209	100.0 %	\$ 120,926,033	100.0 %

The following table lists our top ten commercial tenants on April 30, 2012, for all commercial properties owned by us, measured by percentage of total commercial segments' minimum rents as of April 1, 2012. Our results of operations are dependent on, among other factors, the economic health of our tenants. We attempt to mitigate tenant credit risk by working to secure creditworthy tenants that meet our underwriting criteria and monitoring our portfolio to identify potential problem tenants. We believe that our credit risk is also mitigated by the fact that no individual tenant accounts for more than approximately 10% of our total real estate rentals, although affiliated entities of Edgewood Vista together accounted for approximately 12.4% of our total commercial segments' minimum rents as of April 1, 2012.

Lessee	% of Total Commercial Segments Minimum Rents as of April 1, 2012
Affiliates of Edgewood Vista	12.4%
St. Luke's Hospital of Duluth, Inc.	3.5%
Fairview Health Services	3.4%
Applied Underwriters	2.2%
Affiliates of Siemens USA	1.6%
HealthEast Care System	1.6%
Affiliates of Hewlett Packard	1.4%
Nebraska Orthopaedic Hospital	1.3%

Microsoft (NASDAQ: MSFT)	1.3%
Arcadis Corporate Services, Inc.	1.2%
All Others	70.1%
Total Monthly Commercial Rent as of April 1, 2012	100.0%

[Index](#)

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Acquisitions

IRET Properties paid approximately \$97.1 million for real estate properties added to its portfolio during fiscal year 2012, compared to \$45.6 million in fiscal year 2011. The fiscal year 2012 and 2011 additions are detailed below.

Fiscal 2012 (May 1, 2011 to April 30, 2012)

Acquisitions and Development Projects Placed in Service	Date Acquired	(in thousands)			Acquisition Cost
		Land	Building	Intangible Assets	
Multi-Family Residential					
147 unit - Regency Park Estates - St. Cloud, MN	8/1/11	\$702	\$10,198	\$ 0	\$ 10,900
50 unit - Cottage West Twin Homes - Sioux Falls, SD	10/12/11	968	3,762	0	4,730
24 unit - Gables Townhomes - Sioux Falls, SD	10/12/11	349	1,921	0	2,270
36 unit - Evergreen II - Isanti, MN	11/1/11	691	2,784	0	3,475
116 unit - Grand Gateway - St. Cloud MN	2/16/12	814	7,086	0	7,900
84 unit - Ashland - Grand Forks, ND	3/16/12	741	7,569	0	8,310
72 unit - Williston Garden Buildings 1 and 2 - Williston, ND(1)	4/27/12	700	8,978	0	9,678
		4,965	42,298	0	47,263
Commercial Medical					
17,273 sq. ft Spring Creek American Falls - American Falls, ID	9/1/11	145	3,870	55	4,070
15,571 sq. ft Spring Creek Soda Springs - Soda Springs, ID	9/1/11	66	2,134	30	2,230
15,559 sq. ft Spring Creek Eagle - Eagle, ID	9/1/11	263	3,775	62	4,100
31,820 sq. ft Spring Creek Meridian - Meridian, ID	9/1/11	424	6,724	102	7,250
26,605 sq. ft Spring Creek Overland - Boise, ID	9/1/11	687	5,941	97	6,725
16,311 sq. ft Spring Creek Boise - Boise, ID	9/1/11	708	4,296	71	5,075
26,605 sq. ft Spring Creek Ustick - Meridian, ID	9/1/11	467	3,833	0	4,300
Meadow Wind Land - Casper, WY	9/1/11	50	0	0	50
24,795 sq. ft Trinity at Plaza 16 - Minot, ND(2)	9/23/11	0	5,685	0	5,685
3,431 sq. ft Edina 6525 Drew Ave S - Edina, MN	10/13/11	388	117	0	505
22,193 sq. ft Meadow Winds Addition - Casper, WY(3)	12/30/11	0	3,952	0	3,952
		3,198	40,327	417	43,942

Commercial Retail

19,037 sq. ft. Jamestown Buffalo Mall

- Jamestown, ND(4) 6/15/11 0 879 0 879

Unimproved Land

Industrial-Office Build-to-Suit -

Minot, ND 9/7/11 416 0 0 416

Renaissance Heights - Williston, ND 4/11/12 4,600 0 0 4,600

5,016 0 0 5,016

Total Property Acquisitions \$13,179 \$83,504 \$ 417 \$ 97,100

- (1) Development property placed in service April 27, 2012. Buildings 3 and 4 of this project are expected to be placed in service during the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.
- (2) Development property placed in service September 23, 2011. Additional costs paid in fiscal year 2011 totaled \$3.3 million, for a total project cost at April 30, 2012 of \$9.0 million.
- (3) Expansion project placed in service December 30, 2011.
- (4) Construction project placed in service June 15, 2011. Additional costs paid in fiscal year 2011 totaled \$1.4 million, for a total project cost at April 30, 2012 of \$2.3 million.

2012 Annual Report 56

Index

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Fiscal 2011 (May 1, 2010 to April 30, 2011)

Acquisitions and Development Projects Placed in Service	Date Acquired	Land	(in thousands)		Acquisition Cost
			Building	Intangible Assets	
Multi-Family Residential					
24 unit - North Pointe 2 - Bismarck, ND	2/3/11	\$159	\$1,713	\$ 0	\$ 1,872
44 unit - Sierra Vista - Sioux Falls, SD	2/28/11	241	2,097	0	2,338
		400	3,810	0	4,210
Commercial Office					
58,574 sq. ft. Omaha 10802 Farnam Dr - Omaha, NE	12/16/10	2,462	4,374	1,459	8,295
Commercial Medical					
14,705 sq. ft. Billings 2300 Grant Road - Billings, MT	7/15/10	649	1,216	657	2,522
14,640 sq. ft. Missoula 3050 Great Northern - Missoula, MT	7/15/10	640	1,331	752	2,723
108,503 sq. ft. Edgewood Vista Minot - Minot, ND	11/10/10	1,046	11,590	2,545	15,181
23,965 sq. ft. Edgewood Vista Spearfish Expansion - Spearfish, SD1	1/10/11	0	2,777	0	2,777
		2,335	16,914	3,954	23,203
Commercial Industrial					
42,244 sq. ft. Fargo 1320 45th St N - Fargo, ND2	6/22/10	0	1,634	0	1,634
Commercial Retail					
47,709 sq. ft. Minot 1400 31st Ave - Minot, ND	12/10/10	1,026	6,143	1,081	8,250
Total Property Acquisitions		\$6,223	\$32,875	\$ 6,494	\$ 45,592

(1)Expansion project placed in service January 10, 2011. Approximately \$497,000 of this cost was incurred in the three months ended April 30, 2011.

(2)Development property placed in service June 22, 2010. Additional costs incurred in fiscal year 2010 totaled \$2.3 million, for a total project cost at April 30, 2011 of \$3.9 million.

Property Dispositions

During fiscal year 2012, the Company disposed of two retail properties for an aggregate sales price of \$3.2 million, compared to dispositions totaling \$83.3 million in fiscal year 2011. The fiscal year 2012 and 2011 dispositions are detailed below.

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Fiscal 2012 (May 1, 2011 to April 30, 2012)

Dispositions	Sales Price	(in thousands)	Gain/(Loss)
		Book Value and Sales Cost	
Commercial Retail			
41,200 sq ft. Livingstone Pamida - Livingston, MT	\$2,175	\$ 1,586	\$589
12,556 sq ft. East Grand Station – East Grand Forks, MN	\$1,062	\$ 1,302	\$(240)
Total Property Dispositions	\$3,237	\$ 2,888	\$349

2012 Annual Report 57

Index

Edgar Filing: INVESTORS REAL ESTATE TRUST - Form 10-K

Fiscal 2011 (May 1, 2010 to April 30, 2011)

Dispositions	Sales Price	(in thousands)	Gain/(Loss)
		Book Value and Sales Cost	
Multi-Family Residential			
504 unit - Dakota Hill at Valley Ranch - Irving, TX	\$36,100	\$ 30,909	\$5,191
192 unit - Neighborhood Apartments - Colorado Springs, CO	11,200	9,664	1,536
195 unit - Pinecone Apartments - Fort Collins, CO	15,875	10,422	5,453
210 unit - Miramont Apartments - Fort Collins, CO	17,200	10,732	6,468
	80,375	61,727	18,648
Commercial Medical			
1,410 sq. ft. Edgewood Vista Patio Home 4330 - Fargo, ND	205	220	(15)
Commercial Industrial			
29,440 sq. ft. Waconia Industrial Building - Waconia, MN	2,300	1,561	739
Commercial Retail			
41,000 sq. ft. Ladysmith Pamida - Ladysmith, WI	450	457	(7)
Total Property Dispositions	\$83,330	\$ 63,965	\$19,365

Funds From Operations

IRET considers Funds from Operations (“FFO”) a useful measure of performance for an equity REIT. IRET uses the definition of FFO adopted by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. (“NAREIT”). NAREIT defines FFO to mean “net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures will be calculated to reflect funds from operations on the same basis.” In addition, in October 2011 NAREIT clarified its computation of FFO so as to exclude impairment charges for all periods presented. Because of limitations of the FFO definition adopted by NAREIT, IRET has made certain interpretations in applying the definition. IRET believes all such interpretations not specifically provided for in the NAREIT definition are consistent with the definition.

IRET management considers that FFO, by excluding depreciation costs, the gains or losses from the sale of operating real estate properties and extraordinary items as defined by GAAP, is useful to investors in providing an additional perspective on IRET’s operating results. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP assumes, through depreciation, that the value of real estate assets decreases predictably over time. However, real estate asset values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions. NAREIT’s definition of FFO, by excluding depreciation costs, reflects the fact that depreciation charges required by GAAP may not reflect underlying economic realities. Additionally, the exclusion, in NAREIT’s definition of FFO, of gains and losses from the sales of previously depreciated operating real estate assets, assists IRET management and investors in identifying the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of IRET’s investments, and assists in comparing those operating results between periods. FFO is used by IRET’s management and investors to identify trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs.

While FFO is widely used by REITs as a primary performance metric, not all real estate companies use the same definition of FFO or calculate FFO in the same way. Accordingly, FFO presented here is not necessarily comparable to FFO presented by other real estate companies.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income as determined in accordance with GAAP as a measure of IRET's performance, but rather should be considered as an additional, supplemental measure, and should be viewed in conjunction with net income as presented in the consolidated financial statements included in this report.

2012 Annual Report 58

Index

FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, and is not necessarily indicative of sufficient cash flow to fund all of IRET's needs or its ability to service indebtedness or make distributions.

FFO applicable to common shares and limited partnership units for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2012 was \$67.3 million, compared to \$62.2 million and \$63.2 million for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Reconciliation of Net Income Attributable to Investors Real Estate Trust to Funds From Operations

For the years ended April 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

Fiscal Years Ended April 30,	(in thousands, except per share and unit amounts)								
	2012			2011			2010		
	Weighted			Weighted			Weighted		
	Avg	Per		Avg	Per		Avg	Per	
	Shares	Share		Shares	Share		Shares	Share	
	and	and		and	and		and	and	
	Amount	Units(2)	Unit(3)	Amount	Units(2)	Unit(3)	Amount	Units(2)	Unit(3)
Net income attributable to Investors Real Estate Trust	\$8,212		\$ 20,082	\$ 4,001					
Less dividends to preferred shareholders	(2,372)		(2,372)	(2,372)					