UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______to _____

Commission file number 0-21221

Microvision, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

<u>Delaware</u>

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

<u>91-1600822</u>

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

6222 185th Avenue NE Redmond, Washington 98052

(Address of Principal Executive Offices including Zip Code)

(425) 936-6847

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO $\ddot{}$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). YES " NO x

As of October 17, 2006, 39,635,000 shares of the Company's common stock, \$0.001 par value, were outstanding.

Page

Part I: Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (unaudited)

<u>3</u>

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)

<u>4</u>

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)

<u>5</u>

Consolidated Statements of Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 (unaudited)

<u>6</u>

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)

<u>7</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

<u>9</u>

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

<u>18</u>

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

<u>26</u>

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

<u>27</u>

Part II: Other Information

Item 1A. Risk Factors

<u>28</u>

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

<u>34</u>

Item 6. Exhibits

<u>35</u>

Signatures

<u>36</u>

Exhibit Index

<u>37</u>

Microvision, Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheet (In thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)

	-	mber 30, 006
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	14,026
Investment securities, available-for-sale		560
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$177 and \$264		777
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts		719
Inventory		1,030
Current restricted investments		3,045
Other current assets		1,556
Total current assets		21,713
Investment in Lumera		
Property and equipment, net		3,964
Restricted investments		1,268
Restricted investment in Lumera		
Other assets		43
Total assets	\$	26,988
Liabilities, Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Shareholders' Current liabilities	Equity	(Defici
Accounts payable	\$	705
Accrued liabilities	I	3,917
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts		168
Liability associated with common stock warrants		594
Current portion of notes payable		4,732
Current portion of capital lease obligations		, 46
Current portion of long-term debt		58
Total current liabilities		10,220
Notes payable, net of current portion		
Liability associated with embedded derivative feature		
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion		143
Long-term debt, net of current portion		474
Deferred rent, net of current portion		1,631
Total liabilities		12,468
Commitments and contingencies		

Mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001; 25,000 shares authorized; 0 and 5 shares issued and outstanding (liquidation preference of \$0 and \$5,000) --

Shareholders' equity (deficit)

Common stock, par value \$.001; 73,000 shares authorized;		
39,635 and 25,138 shares issued and outstanding		40
Additional paid-in capital		245,075
Deferred compensation		
Receivables from related parties, net		(792)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		1,150
Accumulated deficit		(230,953)
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)		14,520
Total liabilities, mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock and shareholders' equity (deficit)	\$ ===	26,988

Microvision, Inc. Consolidated Statement of Operations (In thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)

		Three Mo Sept		hs Ended ber 30,
		2006		2005
Contract revenue Product revenue	\$	541 282	\$	2,18 1,15
Total revenue	_	823		3,33
Cost of contract revenue Cost of product revenue		343 675		1,64 2,11
Total cost of revenue		1,018		3,76
Gross margin	_	(195)		(43
<pre>Research and development expense (inclusive of non-cash compensation expense \$97 and \$0 for the three months and \$306 and \$0 for the nine months ended September 30) Sales, marketing, general and administrative expense (inclusive of non-cash expense of \$388 and \$118 for the three months and \$1,161 and \$374 for the nine months ended September 30) Gain on disposal of fixed assets</pre>		2,855	n	1,96 4,52 -
Total operating expenses		6,507		6,48
Loss from operations Interest income Interest expense Gain on derivative instruments, net Loss on debt extinguishment Other expense		(6,702) 236 (1,346) 125 (5)		(6,92 (99 61 (3,31 (1
Net loss before equity subsidiary transactions	_	(7,692)		(10,57
Loss on investment in equity subsidiary Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary				(61
Net loss	_	(7,692)		(11,18
Less: Stated dividend on mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock Accretion to par value of preferred stock Inducement for conversion of preferred stock		 		(6 (14 (1,18
Net loss available for common shareholders	\$	(7,692)		(12,57
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$	(0.20)		(0.5

Edgar Filing: MICROVISION INC - Form 10-Q		
Weighted-average shares outstanding - basic and diluted	38,437	22,63

Microvision, Inc. Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Loss (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ende September 30,		
	-	2006	2005
Net loss	\$	(7,692)\$	(11,18
Other comprehensive (loss) gain Unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, available-for-sale		(2,362)	_
Comprehensive loss	- \$ =	(10,054) \$ ====================================	(11,18

Microvision, Inc.

Consolidated Statement of Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) (in thousands, except share amounts)

	Mandat redeem convert preferre	able ible	Commo	on Stock		Additional Paid-in
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Par Valu	e	Capital
Balance at December 31, 2005	5	4,166	25,138	\$ 25	 \$	212,993
Non-cash compensation expense			47			1,368
Sales of common stock and warrants						
(net of issuance cost of \$2.5 million)			11 , 550	12		24,421
Conversion of preferred stock	(5)	(5,000)	786	1		4,999
Unamortized discount and						
issuance cost on preferred stock		419				(419
Inducement to preferred shareholders						(2,379
Issuance of common stock and change in						
warrant value to preferred shareholders			565	1		1,978
Beneficial conversion feature of mandatoril	У					
redeemable convertible preferred stock		278				(278
Non-cash accretion on mandatorily redeemabl	Le					
convertible preferred stock		137				(137
Issuance of common stock for payment on ser	nior					
exchangeable convertible notes			1,466	1		1,965
Issuance of common stock for payment of int						
on senior exchangeable convertible notes			67			88
Issuance of common stock on preferred divid	lend		16			59
Dividend on preferred stock						(59
Warrants to purchase Lumera common stock						476
Other comprehensive income Net loss						
Balance at September 30, 2006			39,635	 \$ 40	 c	245.075

Microvision, Inc. Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Sep
	2006
Cash flows from operating activities	
Net loss \$	(15,303
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operations:	
Depreciation	993
Gain on disposal of fixed assets	(198
Non-cash compensation expense	1,467
Non-cash interest expense, net	4,011
Gain on derivative instruments	(3,179
Loss in equity subsidiary	290
Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary	(7,270
Loss on extinguishment of debt	
Non-cash deferred rent	(162
Allowance for estimated contract losses	
Change in:	
Accounts receivable	603
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	485
Inventory	(271
Other current assets	57
Other assets	81
Accounts payable	(1,623
Accrued liabilities	(1,395
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	117
Net cash used in operating activities	(21,297
Cash flows from investing activities	
Sales of investment securities	
Purchases of investment securities	
Sales of restricted investment securities	1,100
Purchases of restricted investment securities	(268
Decrease in restricted cash	755
Proceeds on sale of long-term investment - Lumera	10,292
Proceeds on sale of property and equipment	200
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,995
Net cash provided by investing activities	10,084
Cash flows from financing activities	
Principal payments under capital leases	(28
Principal payments under long-term debt	(39
Increase in long-term debt	536
Proceeds from issuance of notes and warrants	
Payments on notes payable	(6,533
Increase in deferred rent	577

Nine

Payment of embedded derivative feature of preferred stock conversion Net proceeds from issuance of common stock and warrants Payment of preferred dividend		(1,074 24,983 (43
Net cash provided by financing activities		18,379
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		7,166 6,860
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	14,026
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information Cash paid for interest	\$	649
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities Property and equipment acquired under capital leases	\$	8 C
Other non-cash additions to property and equipment	\$	
Scheduled payments of convertible debt and interest in common stock	\$	1,755 =======
Conversion of convertible debt into common stock	\$	344
Conversion of preferred stock into common stock	\$ ==	4,417
Inducement for conversion of preferred stock	\$ ==	3,076
Deferred compensation - warrants, options and stock grants	\$ ==	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MICROVISION, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2006 (Unaudited)

1. MANAGEMENT'S STATEMENT AND PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

Management's Statement

The Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2006, the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the Consolidated Statement of Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 have been prepared by Microvision, Inc. (the "Company" or "Microvision") and have not been audited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to state fairly the financial position at September 30, 2006 and the results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented have been made and consist of normal recurring adjustments. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements

prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules of the SEC. You should read these condensed financial statements in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results that may be attained for the entire fiscal year.

At September 30, 2006, Microvision had \$14.6 million in cash and cash equivalents and investment securities available-for-sale. In October 2006, the Company sold 322,000 unpledged shares of Lumera common stock for \$1.9 million. The Company believes that its cash and cash equivalents and investment securities available-for-sale will satisfy its budgeted cash requirements through April 2007. The budgeted cash requirements reflect management's intention to make the remaining payments on its convertible notes in cash. Microvision plans to raise additional cash to continue to fund its operating plan past that time. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to Microvision or that, if available, it will be available on terms acceptable to Microvision on a timely basis. If adequate funds are not available to satisfy either short-term or long-term capital requirements, Microvision will be required to limit its operations substantially. This limitation of operations may include reductions in staff, operating costs and capital expenditures. As of September 30, 2006, Microvision owns 1.8 million shares of Lumera common stock which are pledged as collateral for the convertible notes. The final payments on the notes are due March 15, 2007. After we repay the notes in full, the pledged shares are released to the Company and become eligible for sale.

Principles of Consolidation

Until July 2004, the consolidated financial statements included the accounts of Microvision, a Delaware corporation, and its majority-owned subsidiary Lumera Corporation ("Lumera"), a Delaware corporation. In July 2004, Microvision's ownership interest in Lumera was reduced to 33% as a result of Lumera completing an initial public offering of its common stock. As a result of the reduction in ownership, Microvision changed to the equity method of accounting for its investment in Lumera until January 2006. In January 2006, Microvision sold 2,550,000 shares of its Lumera common stock for \$10.3 million. Microvision recorded a "Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary" of approximately \$7.3 million for the sale. As a result of the reduction in ownership, Microvision changed to the cost basis of accounting for available-for-sale securities for its investment in Lumera in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board No. 115 "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" ("FAS 115").

2. NET LOSS PER SHARE

Basic net loss per share is calculated on the basis of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting periods. Diluted net loss per share is calculated on the basis of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and taking into account the dilutive effect of all potential common stock equivalents outstanding. Potentially dilutive common stock equivalents primarily consist of convertible debt, convertible preferred stock, warrants and employee stock options. Diluted net loss per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is equal to basic net loss per share because the effect of all potential common stock outstanding during the periods, including convertible debt, convertible preferred stock, options and warrants is anti-dilutive.

The components of basic and diluted net loss per share were as follows (in thousands, except loss per share data):

		Three Months Ended September 30,		
		2006	2005	
Numerator:				
Net loss available for common shareholders	\$	(7,692) \$	(12,5	
Denominator:	==:			

Basic and diluted weighted-average

Edgar Filing: MICROVISION INC - Form 10-Q	
---	--

common shares outstanding

Basic and diluted net loss per share

\$ 38,437	\$ 22,6
\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.

As of September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company excluded the following convertible securities from diluted net loss per share as the effect of including them would have been anti-dilutive. The shares shown represent the number of shares of common stock which would be issued upon conversion.

	Septer 2006	mber 30, 2005
Publicly traded warrants	12,363,000	
Options and private warrants	10,907,000	8,576,000
Notes payable Mandatorily redeemable	1,272,000	1,721,000
convertible preferred stock		741,000
	24,542,000	11,038,000

3. INVENTORY

Inventory at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2006	December 2005
Raw materials	\$ 511,000	\$ 267,0
Work-in-process	49,000	141,0
Finished goods	470,000	351,0
	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 759,0

The inventory at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 consisted of raw materials, work-in-process, and finished goods for Flic. Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined on a weighted-average basis. Management periodically assesses the need to provide for obsolescence of inventory and adjusts the carrying value of inventory to its net realizable value when required. In addition, Microvision reduces the value of its inventory to its estimated scrap value when management determines that it is not probable that the inventory will be consumed through normal production during the next twelve months. During the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, Microvision wrote off a total of \$591,000 and \$1,598,000, respectively.

During the second quarter of 2006, the Company determined that it would no longer promote the Nomad product and recorded an expense of \$210,000 to reduce the value of Nomad inventory to zero at June 30, 2006. In addition, the Company recorded \$100,000 as additional accelerated depreciation expense related to fixed assets used in Nomad production at June 30, 2006. Both inventory and fixed asset balances related to Nomad production were zero as of June 30, 2006.

4. SEVERANCE ARRANGEMENTS

During the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, the Company terminated 18 and 6 employees, or 11% and 4% of its workforce, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company recorded expense of \$728,000 and paid \$716,000 in connection with severance agreements for these employees.

5. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock Option Exchange

Subject to the terms of its tender offer filed in April 2006, on May 17, 2006, the Company exchanged 2.2 million options for 2.2 million new options affecting 105 employees. The new options have an exercise price of \$2.77. The new options vested 25% on the grant date and will vest 25% on each subsequent annual anniversary. The tender offer did not result in the acceleration of vesting of any options. The new options have the same expiration dates as the options exchanged. The Company also adjusted the exercise price of 386,000 options not subject to the tender offer to \$2.77 on the same date affecting 19 employees.

The tender offer was accounted for in accordance with FAS 123(R). The Company will recognize the \$496,000 incremental fair value of the modified options over the value of the options prior to modification, as determined on the modification date, as an additional non-cash expense. The incremental expense is recognized ratably over the vesting periods of the options, 25% on the grant date with the remaining 75% straight-line over the remaining vesting period. The incremental fair value of the modified options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions.

	г	Pre- nodification	Post- modificat
	-		
Weighted average:			
Exercise price	\$	8.84	\$ 2.
Volatility		73%	
Expected term (years)		6.9	4
Risk free rate		5.0%	5
Pre-vest forfeiture rate		5.0%	Ę

Share-based Compensation Prior to Adopting Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, as revised December 2004 ("FAS 123(R)") on January 1, 2006

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* ("APB 25") and related amendments and interpretations including the Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation* ("FIN 44"), and complied with the disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* ("FAS 123"). The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services* ("EITF 96-18").

If compensation expense for employee and director options had been determined using the fair values at the grant dates consistent with the methodology prescribed under FAS 123 in 2005, the Company's consolidated net loss available to common shareholders and associated net loss per share would have increased to the pro forma amounts shown below (in thousands):

	Th	Three Months Nine Mon Ended September 3				
		2005	2005			
Net loss available for common shareholders, as reported	\$	(12,571) \$	(24,7			
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in net loss available for common shareholders, as reported, net of minority interest		44				
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of minority interest		(535)	(1,5			
Net loss available for common shareholders, pro forma	\$	(13,062) \$	(26,1			
Net loss per share (basic and diluted): As reported	\$ ==	(0.56) \$ ====================================	(1.			
Pro Forma	\$ ==	(0.58) \$	(1.			
Basic and diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	==	22,637	21,8			

Adoption of FAS 123(R)

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, as revised December 2004 ("FAS 123(R)") effective January 1, 2006. FAS 123(R) requires all employee share-based awards granted after the effective date to be valued at fair value, and to be expensed over the applicable vesting period. In addition, companies must begin recognizing compensation expense related to any awards that are not fully vested as of the adoption date. Compensation expense for such unvested employee awards will be measured based on the fair value of the awards as previously calculated and interperiod attribution method used in developing the pro forma disclosures in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123. The valuation of and accounting for share-based awards include a number of complex and subjective estimates. These estimates include, but are not limited to, the future volatility of our stock price, future employee stock option exercise behaviors and future employee terminations.

The Company adopted the Modified Prospective Application ("MPA") method to account for the transition from Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 - Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ("APB 25") and FAS 123 (Proforma) to FAS 123(R). As prescribed by MPA, the Company will not restate prior period financial statements. Under guidance contained in APB 25 and FAS 123 (Proforma), the Company had accounted for award forfeitures as they occur. Under FAS 123(R), the Company estimates the forfeiture rate on the grant date and adjusts the estimate through the vesting date. The Company has made a policy decision to change its share-based compensation expense attribution method for grants made on or after the adoption date using the straight-line method. The expense attribution method under Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 28 ("FIN 28") will continue to be applied for outstanding grants not vested as of the FAS 123(R) adoption date. Upon adopting FAS 123(R), the Company reversed \$85,000 of unamortized deferred compensation as of December 31, 2005 against common stock additional paid in capital.

As a result of adopting FAS 123(R), the Company's net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$1.5 million lower than had it continued to account for share-based employee compensation under APB 25. In

addition, basic and diluted losses per share were lower by \$0.05 per share.

The share-based employee compensation cost charged against income was as shown below (in thousands):

	Т	hree Months Septembe:	
		2006	2005
Share-based employee compensation cost charged against income	\$ ====	471 \$	1

Description of Incentive Plans

The Company currently has two incentive plans ("Incentive Plans") that have been approved by shareholders. Both Incentive Plans are administered by the Board of Directors, or its designated committee ("Plan Administrator"), and provide for various awards as determined by the Plan Administrator.

In July 2006, the 1996 Stock Option Plan (the "1996 Plan") expired. In September 2006, Company shareholders approved the 2006 Microvision, Inc. Incentive Plan which amends, restates and renames the 1996 Plan ("2006 Incentive Plan"). All awards outstanding under the 1996 Plan remain outstanding under the 2006 Incentive Plan. The 2006 Incentive Plan retained the 8.0 million share authorization that was under the 1996 Plan and permits granting non-qualified stock options ("NSOs"), incentive stock options ("ISOs"), stock appreciation rights, restricted or unrestricted stock, deferred stock, other share-based awards, or cash awards to employees, officers and certain non-employees of the Company. Any award may be a performance-based award. Awards granted under the 2006 Incentive Plan have generally been to employees under non-qualified stock option agreements with the following provisions: exercise prices greater than or equal to the Company's closing stock price on the date of grant; vesting periods ranging from three years to four years; expiration 10 years from the date of grant; and optionees who terminate their service after vesting have a limited time to exercise their options (typically three to twelve months). Shares of common stock awarded or issued upon exercise or conversion of options or other derivatives issued under the Incentive Plan are new shares previously registered for sale with the SEC.

The Independent Director Stock Option Plan ("Director Option Plan") has 900,000 shares authorized and permits granting NSOs to independent directors of the Company. Under the Director Option Plan, upon initial election or appointment to the Board of Directors, Directors receive a fully vested option to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock and a second option to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock. Upon reelection to the Board, Directors receive a subsequent option to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock. The second initial option grant and any reelection grant vests the earlier of one year from date of grant or the day before the next regularly scheduled annual shareholder meeting. Grants awarded under the Director Option Plan generally, have the following terms: exercise price equal to the Company's closing stock price on the date of grant; expiration 10 years from the date of grant, and vested grants remain exercisable until their expiration dates if a director leaves the Board.

Options Valuation Methodology and Assumptions

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option valuation model to determine the fair value of the options and uses the closing price of its common stock as the fair market value of its stock on that date.

The Company considers historical stock price volatilities, volatilities of similar companies and other factors in determining its estimates of future volatilities.

The Company follows the guidance provided by Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 ("SAB 107") for estimating "plain vanilla" option lives. For other options, the Company uses historical lives, including post-termination exercise behavior, publications, comparable company estimates, and other factors as the basis for estimating expected lives.

Risk free rates are based on the U.S. Treasury Yield Curve as published by the U.S. Treasury.

The following table summarizes the weighted-average valuation assumptions and weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted, excluding grants issued under the Company's tender offer which require an incremental valuation methodology and are disclosed above, during the periods shown below:

	Thr		ths Endec mber 30,	-	Nine Months Septembe		
	2006	2006		2006	20		
Assumptions (weighted average)							
Volatility		66%	70%	72%			
Expected term (in years)	Ę	5.3	5.2	6.1			
Risk-free rate	4	1.8%	4.0%	5.0%			
Expected dividends							
Pre-vest forfeiture rate	Ę	5.0%	n/a	5.0%			
Grant date fair value of options granted	\$0.	.95 \$	3.60	\$ 2.33	\$		

Options Activity and Positions

The following table summarizes activity and positions with respect to options for the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

Options	Shares		e Contractual	Intrinsic
Outstanding at December 31, 2005 Granted * Exercised	5,320,000 4,180,000 	\$ 11.09	9	
Forfeited or expired *	(3,788,000)		õ	
Outstanding as of September 30, 2006	5,712,000	\$ 6.00	5 7.1	\$
Vested and expected to vest as of September 30, 2006	5,385,000	\$ 6.23	3 7.0	
Exercisable as of September 30, 2006	2,585,000	\$ 9.63	6.2	\$ =========

* Includes 2.2 million shares exchanged pursuant to stock option exchange disclosed above

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 was zero

and \$6,000, respectively.

As of September 30, 2006, the Company's unamortized share-based compensation was \$3.7 million. The Company plans to amortize this share-based compensation cost over the next 2.3 years.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Board of Directors approved the immediate vesting of options to purchase 45,000 shares that had been issued to three independent directors. The directors subsequently resigned from the Board of Directors. The Company determined that the accelerated vesting was a modification of an award with a service vesting condition. The total fair value of each modified option was measured as the value of the original grant plus the value of the modified grant on its modification date. On the modification date, the total value of the modified awards was estimated to be \$91,000, of which \$84,000 was previously amortized, and the remaining value of \$7,000 was immediately expensed as compensation cost.

In July 2005, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 300,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.32 to an executive officer. The exercise price of the options was less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. According to guidance in Accounting Principles Bulletin No. 25 ("APB 25"), the Company recorded \$144,000 of deferred compensation and amortized \$59,000 to compensation expense related to these options in 2005. Upon adopting FAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006, the Company reversed the remaining unamortized deferred compensation of \$85,000 to additional paid-in capital.

In June 2004, the Company granted its independent directors options to purchase an aggregate of 90,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$8.35. The exercise price of the options was less than the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant. According to guidance in APB 25, the Company recorded \$81,000 of deferred compensation related to these options. The deferred compensation was amortized to non-cash compensation expense over the one-year service period of the grants. Deferred compensation expense of \$35,000, under APB 25, was recorded in 2005.

6. LONG-TERM NOTES

Convertible Notes

The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company's March and December 2005 convertible notes in 2006:

		Notes	W	arrants	d	Embe eriv feat
Balances at December 31, 2005	\$	•	\$	3,452	\$	1
Principal payments on notes		(8,500)				
Discount accretion for the nine months ended September 30, 2006		3,889				
Changes in market value for the nine months ended September 30, 2006	_		_	(2,858)	_	(1
Balance of notes at September 30, 2006	\$	4,732	\$	594	\$	
	=		=		=	

As of September 30, 2006, the principal balance outstanding under the convertible notes is \$5.8 million. The final scheduled principal payments are due March 15, 2007.

In January 2006, the Company issued 91,000 shares of its common stock in payment of \$300,000 principal on the March 2005 convertible notes.

During 2006, the Company made the following scheduled payments in connection with its March and December 2005 convertible notes.

- cash payments of \$6.5 million in principal and \$606,000 in interest, and
- issued 1.4 million shares of its common stock in payment of \$1.7 million in principal and \$88,000 in interest

Tenant Improvement Loan Agreement

In February 2006, the Company entered into a loan agreement with the lessor of the Company's corporate headquarters to finance \$536,000 in tenant improvements. The loan carries a fixed interest rate of 9% per annum, is repayable over the initial term of the lease, which expires in 2013, and is secured by a letter of credit. The balance of the loan, including interest added to principal, was \$532,000 at September 30, 2006.

7. PREFERRED STOCK CONVERSION

On May 3, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement ("Conversion Agreement") with the holders of its Series A Convertible Preferred Stock to convert 5,000 shares of Preferred Stock. As consideration for the conversion, the Company issued a total of 1,353,066 shares of its common stock, \$.001 par value, of which 565,000 shares were issued as an inducement to convert ("Incentive Shares"). In connection with the conversion, the Company agreed to pay the difference, only if positive, of \$3.62 minus the 45 day trailing volume weighted average price as of the 45th trading day after the effective date of the required registration statement with respect to any of the Incentive Shares that were sold by the holder during the 45 day period or that were held in an economically neutral position as of the end of the 45 day period.

The Company determined that the price protection feature of the incentive shares included an embedded derivative feature as defined by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ("FAS 133"). The value of the derivative feature at the conversion was estimated to be \$401,000 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 65%; expected dividend yield of 0%; risk free interest rate of 4.9%; and contractual life of 0.3 years. The Company recorded the initial value of the embedded derivative feature as a non-operating expense included in "Gain on derivative instruments, net." Due to changes in the Company's stock price, the value of the derivative feature was adjusted to \$729,000 at June 30, 2006 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 65%; expected dividend yield of 0%; risk free interest rate of 4.7%; and contractual life of 0.2 years. In August 2006, the Company determined the final value and paid the liability of \$1,074,000. The changes in the estimated fair value of the derivative feature of \$345,000 and \$673,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 were included as a non- operating expense in "Gain on derivative instruments, net."

8. COMMON STOCK

In June 2006, the Company raised \$25.3 million before issuance cost of \$2.5 million through an underwritten public offering of 10.75 million shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 12.4 million shares of our common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$2.65 per share, a five year term, and are not exercisable for one year from the date of issuance. The warrants are callable after one year from the date of issuance if the average closing bid price of our stock is over \$5.30 for any 20 consecutive trading days.

In connection with the offering, the Company issued the underwriter a warrant to purchase 537,500 shares of Microvision common stock at an exercise price of \$2.76 per share. The Company also issued the underwriter a warrant to acquire 537,500 warrants, identical to those sold in the offering, at an exercise price of \$0.16 per warrant. Both warrants will be exercisable for a period of 4 years beginning on the first anniversary of the date of issuance.

In July 2006, the Company raised an additional \$1.7 million through the issuance of 800,000 shares of Microvision common stock pursuant to MDB Capital Group, LLC's exercise of its over allotment option in connection with the underwritten offering described above.

9. RECEIVABLES FROM RELATED PARTIES

In 2000, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to provide unsecured lines of credit to each of its then three senior officers. No loans have been made under either the Executive Option Exercise Note Plan or the Executive Loan Plan since July 2002, and the Company does not intend to make any additional loans under these plans. Two of the officers left the company in January 2006. A total of \$2,723,000 was issued and remains outstanding under the Executive Loan Plan. There are currently no outstanding loans under the Executive Option Exercise Note Plan. The lines of credit carry interest rates of 5.4% to 6.2%. The interest on the lines of credit is forgiven each year if the senior officer is an employee of the Company at December 31 of that year.

The Company established an allowance for doubtful accounts when it determined that certain of its senior officers may have had insufficient net worth and short-term earnings potential to repay their outstanding loans. The balance of the allowance for doubtful accounts for receivables from senior officers was \$1.9 million at September 30, 2006 and at December 31, 2005. In accordance with the terms of the notes, \$2.4 million in loans to the two officers who left the Company will be due in January 2007. Microvision has no plans to forgive any portion of the principal of the outstanding receivable balance.

10. ACCOUNTING FOR LUMERA

Investment Securities, Available-for-sale

In February 2006, Microvision sold 2,550,000 shares of its Lumera common stock for \$10.3 million. Microvision recorded a "Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary" of approximately \$7.3 million. As a result of the reduction in ownership, Microvision changed to the cost basis of accounting for its investment in Lumera in accordance with FAS 115.

As of September 30, 2006, Microvision owns 2.1 million shares of Lumera common stock, recorded at fair market value, of which 1.8 million are pledged as collateral for the convertible notes. The shares of common stock pledged as collateral are recorded as "Current restricted investments" and the unrestricted balance is recorded at "Investment securities, available-for- sale".

The cost, net unrealized gain and estimated fair market value of the shares of Lumera common stock, both available-for-sale and currently restricted, as of September 30, 2006, are shown below:

		Cost	Ur	Net prealized Gain	E	stimated Fair Value
Lumera common stock	\$ =	2,455	\$ ==	1,150	\$ =	3,605

In October 2006, the Company sold 322,000 unpledged shares of Lumera common stock for \$1.9 milion.

<u>Warrant</u>

In connection with the change in accounting method in February 2006, the Company recorded \$476,000 in "Other current assets" for the fair value of a warrant previously received to purchase 170,500 shares of Lumera common stock. On the transaction date, the warrant was valued using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 83%; expected dividend yield of 0%; risk free interest rate of 4.6%; and contractual life of 5.1 years.

At September 30, 2006, the warrant was revalued using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions; expected volatility of 83%; expected dividend yield of 0%; risk free interest rate of 4.6%; and contractual life of 4.5 years. The fair value of the warrant decreased to \$101,000 and the change in value of \$124,000 was recorded as a non-operating loss and is included in "Gain on derivative instruments, net" in the consolidated statement of operations.

11. REPORTING SEGMENTS

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Microvision has two reportable segments: the contract revenue derived from the development of custom prototypes and products, and the product revenue derived from sales of Microvision products. Our chief operating decision-making group evaluates performance based on financial information presented on a consolidated basis accompanied by information about revenues and gross margins by segments. Both segments share in research and development and marketing, selling and administrative costs that are not allocated to the segments. Except for fixed assets associated with production, the Company's assets are not allocated to the segments. Therefore, segment information is presented only for revenue and cost of revenue.

12. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

In October 2006, the Company sold 322,000 unpledged shares of Lumera common stock for \$1.9 million. Microvision expects to record an estimated gain of \$1.5 million in "Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary" in the fourth quarter of 2006.

13. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In February 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Statement No. 155, "*Accounting for Certain Hybrid Instruments*" ("FAS 155"). This standard amends the guidance in FASB Statements No. 133, "*Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*". FAS 155 allows financial instruments that have embedded derivatives to be accounted for as a whole (eliminating the need to bifurcate the derivative from its host) if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis, and clarifies other issues regarding accounting for derivative instruments. FAS 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the guidance in FAS 155 and the potential impacts it may have on the Company in relation to any future security issuances.

In July 2006, FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "*Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 also prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The new FASB standard also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The provisions of FIN 48 are to be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of the standard. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

The information set forth in this report in Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and Item 3, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk," includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and is subject to the safe harbor created by that section. Such statements may include, but are not limited to, projections of revenues, income or loss, capital expenditures, plans for product development and cooperative arrangements, future operations, financing needs or plans of Microvision, as well as assumptions relating to the foregoing. The words "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "goal," "may," "plan," "project," "will," and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statement was made. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the our forward-looking statements include the following: our ability to obtain financing; success of executive transition; market acceptance of our technologies and products; our financial and technical resources relative to those of our competitors; our ability to keep up with rapid technological change; government regulation of our technologies; our ability to enforce our intellectual property rights and protect our proprietary technologies; the ability to obtain additional contract awards and to develop partnership opportunities; the timing of commercial product launches; the ability to achieve key technical milestones in key products; and other risk factors identified in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, as amended, and as updated in our Ouarterly Reports on Form 10-O for the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 2006 and below under the caption "Item 1A - Risk Factors."

Overview

We design and market scanned beam display and image capture products. We are developing a modular integrated photonics module that we expect to be a common integrated subsystem in future display products. The integrated photonics module consists of a MEMS scanner, electronics to drive the MEMS scanner, a light source module, and electronics to drive the video input and output, system controller and buffer memory component. We believe that the modular integrated photonics module could be readily modified to meet OEM product requirements for different display product configurations. These product configurations may require modification of the integrated photonics module for a specific OEM product. The Automotive Head-Up Display, Pico Projector and Color Eyewear are potential products we are planning to develop based on the integrated photonics module. In addition, we currently sell laser bar code scanners that feature proprietary technology and we are developing image capture products that use our scanned beam display and other proprietary technology.

Automotive Head-Up Display

We are continuing to improve upon our prototype head-up displays for automotive manufacturers and Tier 1 suppliers to automotive companies. Our prototypes demonstrate that scanned beam display technology can be used in a head-up display that projects a day-light or night-time readable image onto the windscreen of an automobile to provide the vehicle operator with a variety of information related to the car's operation. We believe that our technology provides three distinct advantages over competing technologies for head-up display applications:

- Form Factor Our prototype display is less than half the size of current competitive offerings. Total package size is a primary consideration in the design of an instrument panel for an automobile.
- Contrast Ratio Our prototype has a contrast ratio an order of magnitude higher than current competitive offerings. The high contrast ratio allows the driver to see the display in bright daylight and see through the display at night.

• Installation Cost - Our prototype can be electronically optimized to the unique curvature of a particular automobile's windshield. The current competitive offerings must be manually adjusted during installation to match the varying curvature tolerances of different windshields.

In September 2006, we entered into an agreement with a Tier 1 automotive supplier to develop a commercial scanned beam head-up display. We plan to work with the Tier 1 supplier and automobile manufacturers to integrate our head-up display into an automotive instrument panel.

The current competitive products are based on liquid crystal display or vacuum fluorescent display technology and these are more mature than scanned beam display technology.

Pico Projector

During 2005, we developed a prototype micro projector. We are targeting embedding this micro projector into a hand held device that can project full- color images from a portable media source or mobile computer onto a surface chosen by the user. Several large consumer electronics companies are developing micro projectors based on very small display panel technologies. Our goal is to enter into an agreement that would result in the development, manufacture and distribution of a pico projector based on our planned integrated photonic module. We believe our scanned beam display technology will provide a smaller form factor than small projectors based on competing display technologies.

We believe that the pico projector will compete with other projection display technologies as well as traditional flat panel direct view displays.

Full-Color Eyewear

We believe that the integrated photonic module we are developing can be customized to enable displays that more closely resemble eyewear than other technologies allow. We are evaluating the market and technical risk associated with three distinct color eyewear solutions.

- Highly mobile "glance-able" display: In this configuration, the user could glance down occasionally at a worn display. This device would be suitable for light business use such as mobile web surfing and reading e-mail. We believe that high resolution, high contrast, and small package size could be important differentiators between our scanned beam display solution and competing solutions.
- Look-around or see-through display: In this configuration, the user could view a full screen display in seethrough or occluded mode. This configuration would be suitable for light business use and viewing longer pieces of streaming video for entertainment or business applications.
- Fully-occluded immersive display: In this configuration, the user could view a very wide screen high resolution 2D and 3D video stream. This configuration would be suitable for interactive gaming applications and simulators.

In any of these configurations, we believe that high resolution, small package size, lower power consumption, and lower cost could be important differentiators between our scanned beam display solution and competing solutions. We plan to evaluate potential designs for one or more of these potential configurations during 2006.

We believe that our color eyewear product will compete primarily with LCD, LCoS, and OLED based solutions.

<u>Flic</u>

We sell the Flic laser bar code scanner, a hand held laser bar code scanner and the Flic Cordless Scanner, a Bluetooth version of the Flic Scanner. Flic scanners feature a proprietary design that provides for lower power consumption and total operating cost than many other bar code scanners currently available. We expect the sales volume for Flic scanners will grow as more companies release products which bundle application software with the Flic.

The bar code scanning industry is highly competitive. Flic scanners compete with existing laser wand and CCD/CMOS imager scanners produced by established bar code scanner companies. Flic scanners compete on the basis of price, form factor, and performance. The bar code industry is dominated by Symbol Technologies, which sells products that directly compete with the Flic and Flic Cordless scanner products.

Image Capture

We are using our scanned beam and other proprietary technology to develop products that capture images and other information. Such products include bar code readers and miniature high-resolution "laser cameras". In December 2004, we entered into an agreement with Ethicon Endo-Surgery Inc., a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, to integrate our scanned beam technology into certain medical products for human medical applications. We have delivered prototype units that Ethicon will use for product evaluation and market analysis.

Competition

The consumer display and automotive head-up-display markets are highly competitive. Our competitors in these markets have substantially greater financial, technical and other resources than us and may develop further improvements to screen display technology that could reduce or eliminate the anticipated advantages of our proposed products.

Results of Operations

Contract revenue.

		2006	% of contract revenue		2005	% of contract revenue	\$	change	% char
Three months ended September 30	-								
Government revenue	\$	271	50.1	\$	1,596	73.2	\$	(1,325)	(83
Commercial revenue		270	49.9		584	26.8		(314)	(53
Total contract revenue	- \$	541		- \$	2,180		\$	(1,639)	(75
Nine months ended September 30	=			=			=		
Government revenue	\$	2,281	62.4	\$	3,548	38.4	\$	(1,267)	(35
Commercial revenue		1,376	37.6		5,696	61.6		(4,320)	(75
Total contract revenue	- \$	3,657		- \$	9,244		- \$	(5,587)	(60
	=			=			=		

We earn contract revenue from performance on development contracts with the United States government and commercial customers.

Our contract revenue in a particular period is dependent upon when we enter into a contract, the value of the contracts we have entered into, and the availability of technical resources to perform work on the contracts. Contract revenue was lower during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 than the same periods in 2005, due to lower beginning commercial contract backlog, the later timing of completing government contract negotiations, and the

allocation of resources to internally funded development projects.

During the first nine months of 2005, we earned \$4.9 million from work performed on the Ethicon contract compared to \$764,000 during the first nine months of 2006. We delivered the prototype devices as required under the contract during the second quarter of 2006. Ethicon has seventeen months to conduct market evaluations before it decides to continue development. We do not anticipate earning additional material revenue from Ethicon in 2006.

In September 2006, we entered into a \$5.95 million contract with General Dynamics C4 Systems to supply full-color, daylight readable, see-through helmet- mounted displays as part of the U.S. Army's Mounted Warrior HMD Improvement Program. General Dynamics holds prime contracts with the U.S. Army for other Warrior programs including Land Warrior, Air Warrior and Future Force Warrior Advanced Technology Demonstration. The contract specifies the development and delivery of ten full-color display units for evaluation.

In September 2006, we also entered into an agreement with a global Tier 1 automotive supplier. Under the agreement, we will work with the Tier 1 supplier to design and produce a series of advanced automotive head-up display samples. The Tier 1 supplier, working in collaboration with us, is expected to use the samples to demonstrate the performance of our laser based scanned beam display technology and to refine commercial product requirements of automotive head-up displays.

As long as most of our revenue is earned from performance on development contracts, we believe there may be a high degree of variability in revenue from quarter to quarter.

Our backlog of development contracts at September 30, 2006 was \$6.8 million compared to \$4.6 million at September 30, 2005. Of our current development contract backlog, \$5.3 million is scheduled for completion during the next twelve months. The remaining \$1.5 million is scheduled for completion over the subsequent five months through February 2008.

Product revenue

	_	2006	% of product revenue		2005	% of product revenue	\$	change	% chan
Three months ended September 30	·			_					
Flic revenue	\$	190	67.4	\$	368	32.0	\$	(178)	(48
Nomad revenue		92	32.6		782	68.0		(690)	(88
Total product revenue	\$	282		\$	1,150		\$	(868)	(75
Nine months ended September 30							-		
Flic revenue	\$	1,374	89.0	\$	1,133	40.6	\$	241	21
Nomad revenue		170	11.0		1,660	59.4		(1,490)	(89
Total product revenue	\$	1,544		\$	2,793		- \$	(1,249)	(44
	=:			=:			=		

We have earned product revenue from sales of Nomad and Flic. The Nomad has not gained the commercial acceptance we had planned when it was introduced. In June 2006, we decided not to continue to promote the Nomad product.

Our quarterly revenue may vary substantially due to the timing of product orders from customers, production constraints and raw material availability.

The backlog of product orders at September 30, 2006 was approximately \$98,000, compared to \$276,000 at September 30, 2005, all of which is scheduled for delivery during the next twelve months.

Cost of contract revenue

	2006	% of contract revenue	2005	% of contract revenue	\$ change	% chan
Three months ended September 30 Nine months ended September 30	\$ 343 2,493	63.4 68.2	\$ 1,647 5,143	75.6 55.6	\$ (1,304) (2,650)	(79 (51

Cost of contract revenue includes both the direct and allocated indirect costs of performing on development contracts. Direct costs include labor, materials and other costs incurred directly in performing on a contract. Indirect costs include labor and other costs associated with operating our research and development department and building our technical capabilities and capacity. Cost of contract revenue is determined both by the level of direct costs incurred on development contracts and by the level of indirect costs incurred in operating and building our technical capabilities and capacity. The cost of contract revenue can fluctuate substantially from period to period depending on the level of both the direct costs incurred in the performance of projects and the level of indirect costs incurred.

Our research and development department works on both contract revenue projects and internally funded development projects. We allocate the research and development department overhead to cost of contract revenue and research and development expense based on the proportion of direct labor cost incurred in cost of contract revenue and research and development, respectively. As a result of the lower direct labor cost in cost of contract revenue for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, approximately 83% and 50%, respectively, less overhead was allocated to cost of contract revenue than in the same periods in 2005.

We expect that cost of contract revenue on an absolute dollar basis may increase in the future. This increase will likely result from additional development contract work that we expect to perform. The cost of revenue as a percentage of revenue can fluctuate significantly from period to period, depending on the contract cost mix and the levels of direct and indirect costs incurred. However, over longer periods of time we expect modest fluctuations in the cost of contract revenue, as a percentage of contract revenue.

Cost of product revenue

Cost of product revenue includes both the direct and allocated indirect costs of manufacturing Nomads and Flics sold to customers. Direct costs include labor, materials and other costs incurred directly in the manufacture of Flic and Nomad. Indirect costs include labor and other costs associated with operating our manufacturing capabilities and capacity.

Our overhead, which includes the costs of procuring, inspecting and storing material, facility and depreciation costs, is allocated to inventory, cost of product revenue, cost of contract revenue, and research and development expense based on the proportion of direct material purchased for the respective activity. During the three and nine months ending

September 30, 2006, we expensed approximately \$276,000 and \$1,114,000, respectively, of manufacturing overhead associated with production capacity in excess of production requirements.

Cost of product revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes the write-off of a total of \$139,000 and \$591,000 of inventory, respectively, compared to \$207,000 and \$1,598,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. The write-offs were due to changes in product design, our decision not to promote the Nomad product, and customer demand that caused components and accessories to become obsolete or slow-moving. We value our inventory at the lower of cost or market and reduce the value of our inventory to its estimated scrap value when we determine that we will probably not sell the inventory during the next 12 months.

In June 2006, we decided not to continue to promote the Nomad product and recorded an expense of \$210,000 to reduce the value of Nomad inventory to zero. In addition, we recorded \$100,000 in depreciation expense to fully depreciate the fixed assets used in Nomad production.

We expect that cost of product revenue on an absolute dollar basis will increase in the future. This increase will likely result from expected sales of commercial products. The cost of product revenue as a percentage of product revenue can fluctuate significantly from period to period, depending on the product mix, the level of overhead expense and the volume of direct materials purchased.

Research and development expense.

	2006		2005		2005 \$ chan			e % change		
	 	-		-						
Three months ended September 30	\$ 2,855	\$	1,963	\$	892	45.4				
Nine months ended September 30	7 , 316		5,884		1,432	24.3				

Research and development expense consists of:

- Compensation related costs of employees and contractors engaged in internal research and product development activities,
- Laboratory operations, outsourced development and processing work, and
- Other operating expenses.

As part of our turnaround strategy announced in February 2006, we established a target of reducing total overhead cost by approximately 20%, excluding the impact of adopting FAS123(R) and severance costs.

The following table eliminates the impacts of adopting FAS 123(R) and severance costs on research and development expense.

	Three Mon Septe				oths Ended ember 30,	
	 2006	2005		2006		2005
Research and development expense, as reported FAS 123(R) options expense Severance	\$ 2,855 (97) 	\$ 1,963 	\$	7,316 (306) (283)	Ş	5,884
Research and development expense, as adjusted	\$ 2,758	\$ 1,963	 \$	6,727	\$	5,884

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 \$1.3 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, less research and development cost was allocated to cost of contract revenue than during the same periods in 2005. We directed more of our research and development work to internally funded projects such as the integrated photonics module than during the same period last year. We allocate our research and development resources based on the business opportunity of the available projects, the skill mix of the resources available and the contractual commitments we have made to customers.

As part of our ongoing efforts to reduce cost, we have reduced research and development overhead costs such as contracted services, facilities and equipment related expenses.

We believe that a substantial level of continuing research and development expense will be required to develop additional commercial products using the scanned beam display technology. Accordingly, Microvision anticipates its level of research and development spending will continue to be substantial.

Sales, marketing, general and administrative expense.

	2006 2005		2006 2005 \$ change				% change
	_		-		_		
Three months ended September 30	\$	3,652	\$	4,523	\$	(871)	(19.3)
Nine months ended September 30		13,066		13,830		(764)	(5.5)

Sales, marketing, general and administrative expense includes compensation and support costs for marketing, sales, management and administrative staff, and for other general and administrative costs, including legal and accounting services, consultants and other operating expenses.

Sales, marketing, general and administrative expenses were relatively constant for the periods shown. The non-cash compensation cost arising from adopting FAS 123(R) and severance costs, which did not occur in 2005, were offset by decreases in sales and marketing program costs and corporate overhead costs from 2005. In addition, we are implementing further cost reductions as a result of our decision to discontinue Nomad.

As part of our turnaround strategy announced in February 2006, we established a target of reducing sales, marketing, general and administrative expense by approximately 25% in 2006, excluding the impact of adopting FAS123(R) and severance costs. The following table eliminates the impacts of adopting FAS 123(R) and severance costs on sales, marketing, general and administrative expense.

	5	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months End September 30		
		2006		2005	-	2006		2005
Sales, marketing, general and admininistrative, as reported FAS 123(R) options expense Severance	\$	3,652 (374) (81)	Ş	4,523	Ş	13,066 (1,147) (445)	\$	13,830
Sales, marketing, general and admininistrative, as adjusted	\$ ==	3,197	\$	4,523	\$	11,474	\$	13,830

Interest expense.

	 2006	 2005	\$ change	% change
Three months ended September 30 Nine months ended September 30	\$ 1,346 4,804	\$ 997 2,067	\$ 349 2 , 737	35.0 132.4

The increase in interest expense relates to the amortization of the discount recorded on the March 2005 and December 2005 convertible notes (together the "Notes") for the value attributed to the embedded derivative feature of the Notes and associated warrants, as well as the stated interest on the Notes. We expect interest expense will be substantially higher for the rest of 2006 as a result of these transactions.

Gain on derivative instruments, net and Inducement for conversion of preferred stock.

Gain on derivative instruments, net:

	2006		2006 200		\$	change	% change
			-		_		
Three months ended September 30	\$	125	\$	611	\$	(486)	(79.5)
Nine months ended September 30		3,179		1,669		1,510	90.5

Inducement for conversion of preferred stock:

	_	2006	_	2005	\$ change	% change
Three months ended September 30	\$		\$	(1,184)	\$ 1,184	(100.0)
Nine months ended September 30		(3,076)		(1,184)	(1,892)	159.8

In connection with the issuance of our Notes, we concluded that the note holders' right to convert all or a portion of the Notes into our common stock is an embedded derivative instrument as defined by FAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. We determine the value of the derivative features at each balance sheet date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. At September 30, 2006, we used the following assumptions: expected volatilities of 65%; expected dividend yields of 0%; risk free interest rates ranging from 4.9% to 5.0%; and contractual lives of 2.5 to 5.5 months. The contractual lives are the same as the principal repayment dates when valuing the derivative features. Due to changes in our stock price and the short remaining lives, the aggregate fair value of the embedded derivative instruments decreased to zero at September 30, 2006. The change in value of \$53,000 and \$1,368,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, was recorded as a non-operating gain and is included in "Gain on derivative instruments, net" in the consolidated statement of operations.

We issued warrants to purchase 2,302,000 shares of common stock in connection with the issuance of the Notes. The warrants met the definition of derivative instruments that must be accounted for as liabilities under the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock,* because we cannot engage in certain corporate transactions affecting the common stock unless we make a cash payment to the holders of the warrants. We record changes in the fair values of the warrants in the statement of operations each period. We valued the warrants at September 30, 2006 using the

Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatilities ranging from 63% to 66%; expected dividend yields of 0%; risk free interest rates ranging from 4.6% to 4.7%; and contractual lives ranging from 1.8 years to 4.2 years. The change in value of the warrants of \$541,000 and \$2,859,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, was recorded as a non-operating gain and is included in "Gain on derivative instruments, net" in the consolidated statement of operations.

In May 2006, we entered into an agreement with the holders of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock to convert 5,000 shares of preferred stock to common stock. As consideration for the conversion, we issued a total of 1,353,066 shares of our common stock, of which 565,000 incentive shares were issued as an inducement to convert. The value of the inducement shares was recorded as "Inducement for conversion of preferred stock" in the consolidated statement of operations. In connection with the conversion, we agreed to register the incentive shares and to provide price protection on the incentive shares. We agreed to pay the difference, only if positive, of \$3.62 minus the 45 day trailing volume weighted-average price as of the 45th trading day after the effective date of the required registration statement with respect to any of the incentive shares that were sold during the 45 day period or that were held in an economically neutral position as of the end of the 45 day period.

We determined the price protection feature of the incentive shares included an embedded derivative feature as defined by FAS 133. The value of the derivative feature at conversion was estimated to be \$401,000 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 65%; expected dividend yield of 0%; risk free interest rate of 4.9%; and contractual life of 0.3 years. We recorded the initial value of the embedded derivative feature as a non-operating expense included in "Inducement for conversion of preferred stock" in the consolidated statement of operations. The value of the derivative feature fluctuated with the value of our common stock and, to a lesser extent, with changes in valuation variables. Due to changes in our stock price, we adjusted the value of the derivative feature to \$729,000 at June 30, 2006 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 65%; expected dividend yield of 0%; risk free interest rate of 4.7%; and contractual life of 0.2 years. In August 2006, we determined the final value and paid the liability of \$1,074,000. The changes in the estimated fair value of the derivative feature of \$345,000 and \$673,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 were included as a non-operating expense in "Gain on derivative instruments, net."

Loss on investment in

equity subsidiary and Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary

Loss on investment in equity subsidiary:

	2	2006		2005	\$ change	% change
Three months ended September 30 Nine months ended September 30	\$	 290	Ş	610 2,488	\$ (610) (2,198)	(100.0) (88.3)

Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary:

	2006		2006			2005	\$ change	% change
			-		 			
Three months ended September 30	\$		\$		\$ 	n/a		
Nine months ended September 30		7,270		1,570	5,700	363.1		

In February 2006, we sold 2.6 million shares of our Lumera common stock for \$10.3 million. We recorded a "Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary" of approximately \$7.3 million. As a result of the reduction in ownership, we

changed to the cost basis of accounting for our investment in Lumera in accordance with FAS 115. We recorded our proportionate share of Lumera losses prior to the sale in February 2006. We recorded a charge of \$290,000 for our proportion of Lumera net loss for the period preceding the sale and change in accounting method.

In connection with the change in accounting method, we recorded \$476,000 in "Other current assets" for the fair value of warrants previously received to purchase 170,500 shares of Lumera common stock. On the transaction date, the warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 83%; expected dividend yield of 0%; risk free interest rate of 4.55%; and contractual life of 5.1 years. Changes in the fair value of the warrants are recorded in the statement of operations each period. As of September 30, 2006, the warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatilities of 83%; expected dividend yields of 0%; risk free interest rates of 4.60%; and contractual lives of 4.5 years. As of September 30, 2006, the fair value of the warrants decreased to \$101,000 and the change in value of \$124,000 was recorded as a non-operating loss and is included in "Gain on derivative instruments, net" in the consolidated statement of operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have funded our operations to date primarily through the sale of common stock, convertible preferred stock, convertible debt, warrants and, to a lesser extent, from development contract revenues and product sales. We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents and investment securities, available-for-sale balance as of September 30, 2006, totaling \$14.6 million, will satisfy our budgeted cash requirements through April 2007. The budgeted cash requirements reflect our intention to make the remaining payments on our convertible notes in cash. Microvision plans to raise additional cash to continue to fund its operating plan past that time. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to Microvision or that, if available, it will be available on terms acceptable to Microvision on a timely basis. If adequate funds are not available to satisfy either short-term or long- term capital requirements, Microvision will be required to limit its operations substantially. This limitation of operations may include reductions in staff, operating costs and capital expenditures. We own approximately 1.8 million shares of Lumera common stock which are pledged as collateral for the convertible notes. The final payments on the notes are due March 15, 2007. After we repay the notes in full, the pledged shares are released to us and become eligible for sale. Based on the October 27, 2006 closing price of \$6.27, the pledged Lumera shares have a market value of approximately \$11.0 million. The market price of Lumera's common stock is subject to fluctuations based on Lumera's financial performance, published accomplishments and overall market conditions. During the 90 calendar day period ended October 27, 2006, Lumera common stock has traded between \$1.45 and \$8.44.

Cash used in operating activities totaled \$21.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$17.4 million during the same period in 2005. In both periods, cash used in operating activities for both periods resulted primarily from the loss from operations.

Cash provided by investing activities totaled \$10.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$1.0 million during the same period of 2005.

In February 2006, we sold 2.6 million shares of our Lumera common stock for \$10.3 million. We recorded a "Gain on sale of securities of equity subsidiary" of \$7.3 million. As a result of the reduction in ownership, we changed to the cost basis of accounting for our investment in Lumera in accordance with FAS 115. As of September 30, 2006, we had 322,000 shares of Lumera stock that were not pledged as security for our Notes that were included in "Investment securities, available-for-sale". In October 2006, we sold all of these unpledged shares of our Lumera common stock for \$1.9 million.

In addition, we used cash of \$2.0 million for capital expenditures during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$414,000 during the same period in 2005. Capital expenditures include leasehold improvements to leased office space and computer hardware and software, laboratory equipment and furniture and fixtures to support

operations. The increase is due to expenditures for leasehold improvements to our new facility.

Cash provided by financing activities totaled \$18.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$16.5 million during the same period in 2005.

In June 2006, we raised \$25.3 million before issuance cost of \$2.5 million through an underwritten public offering of 10.75 million shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 12.4 million shares of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$2.65 per share, a five year term, and are not exercisable for one year from the date of issuance. We can call the warrants after one year from the date of issuance if the average closing bid price of our stock is over \$5.30 for any 20 consecutive trading days.

In connection with the offering, we issued the underwriter a warrant to purchase 537,500 shares of Microvision common stock at an exercise price of \$2.76 per share. We also issued the underwriter a warrant to acquire 537,500 warrants, identical to those sold in the offering, at an exercise price of \$0.16 per warrant. Both warrants will be exercisable for a period of 4 years beginning on the first anniversary of the date of issuance.

In July 2006, we raised an additional \$1.7 million though the issuance of 800,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to MDB Capital Group LLC's exercise of its over allotment option in connection with the underwritten offering described above.

During 2006, the Company made the following scheduled payments in conection with its March and December 2005 convertible notes:

- cash payments of \$6.5 million in principal and \$606,000 in interest, and
- issued 1.4 million shares of its common stock in payment of \$1.7 million in principal and \$88,000 in interest.

In August 2006, we paid \$1,074,000 in connection with our May 2006 conversion agreement with the holders of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

Future operating expenditures and capital requirements will depend on numerous factors, including the following:

- The progress of research and development programs,
- The progress in commercialization activities and arrangements,
- The cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights,
- Competing technological and market developments, and
- Our ability to establish cooperative development, joint venture and licensing arrangements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Substantially all of our cash equivalents and investment securities have variable interest rates and, as such, the fair values of the principal of these instruments are not affected by changes in market interest rates. Due to the generally short-term maturities of these investment securities, we believe that the market risk arising from its holdings of these financial instruments is not material.

Our investment policy restricts investments to ensure principal preservation and liquidity. We invest cash that we expect to use within approximately sixty days primarily in U.S. Treasury-backed instruments. We invest cash in excess of this time period in high quality investment securities. The investment securities portfolio is limited to U.S. government and U.S. government agency debt securities and other high-grade securities generally with maturities of three years or less.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$14.0 million as of September 30, 2006.

As of September 30, 2006, we owned 2.1 million shares of Lumera common stock, of which 1.8 million are pledged as collateral for our Notes. In October 2006, we sold the 322,000 unpledged shares for \$1.9 million. Based on the October 27, 2006 closing price of \$6.27, the pledged Lumera shares have a market value of approximately \$11.0 million. The final payments on the notes are due March 15, 2007. After we repay the notes in full, the pledged shares are released to us and become eligible for sale. The market price of Lumera's common stock is subject to fluctuations based on Lumera's financial performance, published accomplishments and overall market conditions. During the 90 calendar day period ended October 27, 2006, Lumera common stock has traded between \$1.45 and \$8.44.

Presently, all of our development contract payments are denominated in U.S. dollars and, consequently, we believe we have no material foreign currency exchange rate risk. However, in the future we may enter into development contracts or product sales in foreign currencies that may subject us to foreign exchange rate risk.

ITEM 4.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report and, based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A

- RISK FACTORS

Risk Factors Relating to the Microvision Business

We have a history of operating losses and expect to incur significant losses in the future.

We have had substantial losses since our inception. We cannot assure you that we will ever become or remain profitable.

- As of September 30, 2006, we had an accumulated deficit of \$231.0 million.
- We incurred consolidated net losses of \$154.3 million from inception through 2003, \$33.2 million in 2004, \$28.2 million in 2005, and consolidated net loss of \$15.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

The likelihood of our success must be considered in light of the expenses, difficulties and delays frequently encountered by companies formed to develop and market new technologies. In particular, our operations to date have focused primarily on research and development of the scanned beam technology and development of demonstration units. We are unable to accurately estimate future revenues and operating expenses based upon historical performance.

We cannot be certain that we will succeed in obtaining additional development contracts or that we will be able to obtain substantial customer orders for our products. In light of these factors, we expect to continue to incur substantial

losses and negative cash flow at least through 2007 and likely thereafter. We cannot be certain that we will achieve positive cash flow at any time in the future.

We will require additional capital to fund our operations and to implement our business plan. If we do not obtain additional capital, we may be required to curtail our operations substantially. Raising additional capital may dilute the value of current shareholders' shares.

Based on our current operating plan and budgeted cash requirements, we expect our cash to fund operations through April of 2007. We will require additional capital in the future to fund our operations, including to:

- Further develop the scanned beam technology,
- Develop and protect our intellectual property rights, and
- Fund long-term marketing and business development opportunities.

We own 1.8 million shares of Lumera common stock which are pledged as collateral for the convertible notes. The final payments on the notes are due March 15, 2007. After we repay the notes in full, the pledged shares are released to us and become eligible for sale. Based on the October 27, 2006 closing price of \$6.27, the pledged Lumera shares have a market value of approximately \$11.0 million. The market price of Lumera's common stock is subject to fluctuations based on Lumera's financial performance, published accomplishments and overall market conditions. During the 90 calendar day period ended October 27, 2006, Lumera common stock has traded between \$1.45 and \$8.44. We may be deemed to be an affiliate of Lumera. Under Rule 144 of the Securities Act, an affiliate is entitled to sell within any three-month period a number of shares of Lumera common stock that does not exceed the greater of 1% of the then outstanding shares of Lumera common stock or the average weekly trading volume of Lumera common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market during the four calendar weeks preceding the filing of a notice of the sale on Form 144. The immediate sale of Lumera stock in the public market could have a negative impact on the Lumera stock price.

Our capital requirements will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to, the rate at which we can, directly or through arrangements with original equipment manufacturers, introduce products incorporating the scanned beam and image capture technologies and the market acceptance and competitive position of such products. If revenues are less than we anticipate, if the level and mix of revenues vary from anticipated amounts and allocations or if expenses exceed the amounts budgeted, we may require additional capital earlier than expected to further the development of our technologies, for expenses associated with product development, and to respond to competitive pressures or to meet unanticipated development difficulties. In addition, our operating plan provides for the development of strategic relationships with systems and equipment manufacturers that may require additional investments by us.

Our Certificate of Incorporation currently authorizes the issuance of 73.0 million shares of common stock and 25.0 million shares of preferred stock. We have 39.6 million shares of common stock issued and outstanding and 26.2 million shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our outstanding options, warrants and convertible securities, which leaves 7.2 million shares of common stock available for financing, issuances under our incentive plans and other purposes. The number of shares available for future use under our Certificate of Incorporation may limit our ability to raise additional capital. An increase in the number of authorized shares would require board approval as well as approval by holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock at a shareholders' meeting.

In addition, additional financing may not be available to us or, if available, may not be available on terms acceptable to us on a timely basis. Raising additional capital may involve issuing securities with rights and preferences that are senior to our common stock and may dilute the value of current shareholders' shares. If adequate funds are not available to satisfy either short-term or long-term capital requirements, we may be required to limit our operations substantially. This limitation of operations may include reductions in staff and operating costs as well as reductions in capital expenditures and investment in research and development. We intend to seek shareholder approval of an

amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock but we may not be successful in obtaining the needed approvals.

The value of our investment in Lumera may decrease.

Lumera's stock price is subject to fluctuation and may decrease, lowering the value of our investment. We own approximately 10% of Lumera's common stock. Since we hold a large percentage of Lumera's common stock, if an active market does not develop or is not sustained, it may be difficult for us to sell our shares of Lumera's common stock at an attractive price or at all. The likelihood of Lumera's success, and the value of the common stock we hold, must be considered in light of the risks frequently encountered by early stage companies, especially those formed to develop and market new technologies. These risks include Lumera's potential inability to establish product sales and marketing capabilities to establish and maintain markets for their potential products; and to continue to develop and upgrade their technologies to keep pace with changes in technology and the growth of markets using polymer materials. If Lumera is unsuccessful in meeting these challenges, its stock price, and the value of our investment, could decrease.

Our convertible notes may adversely impact our common stockholders or limit our ability to obtain additional financing.

In March 2005 and December 2005, we issued convertible notes. Among other provisions, these notes include material limitations on our ability to incur additional debt or incur liens while the convertible notes are outstanding. These limitations could materially adversely affect our ability to raise funds we expect to need in 2007.

We cannot be certain that the scanned beam technology or products incorporating this technology will achieve market acceptance. If the scanned beam technology does not achieve market acceptance, our revenues may not grow.

Our success will depend in part on customer acceptance of the scanned beam technology. The scanned beam technology may not be accepted by manufacturers who use display technologies in their products, by systems integrators who incorporate our products into their products or by end users of these products. To be accepted, the scanned beam technology must meet the expectations of our potential customers in the defense, industrial, medical and consumer markets. If our technology fails to achieve market acceptance, we may not be able to continue to develop the scanned beam technology.

It may become more difficult to sell our stock in the public market.

Our common stock is listed for quotation on The NASDAQ Global Market. To keep our listing on this market, we must meet NASDAO's listing maintenance standards. If we are unable to continue to meet NASDAO's listing maintenance standards, our common stock could be delisted from The NASDAQ Global Market. If our common stock were delisted, we likely would seek to list the common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market, the American Stock Exchange or on a regional stock exchange. Listing on such other market or exchange could reduce the liquidity for our common stock. If our common stock were not listed on the Capital Market or an exchange, trading of our common stock would be conducted in the over-the-counter market on an electronic bulletin board established for unlisted securities or directly through market makers in our common stock. If our common stock were to trade in the over-the-counter market, an investor would find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations for the price of, the common stock. A delisting from The NASDAO Global Market and failure to obtain listing on such other market or exchange would subject our securities to so-called penny stock rules that impose additional sales practice and market-making requirements on broker-dealers who sell or make a market in such securities. Consequently, removal from The NASDAQ Global Market and failure to obtain listing on another market or exchange could affect the ability or willingness of broker-dealers to sell or make a market in our common stock and the ability of purchasers of our common stock to sell their securities in the secondary market. In addition, when the market price of our common stock is less than \$5.00 per share, we become subject to penny stock rules even if our common stock is still

listed on The NASDAQ Global Market. While the penny stock rules should not affect the quotation of our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Market, these rules may further limit the market liquidity of our common stock and the ability of investors to sell our common stock in the secondary market. During the first and second quarter of 2003, the third quarter of 2004, the second quarter and fourth quarter of 2005, and the first three quarters of 2006, the market price of our stock traded below \$5.00 per share. On October 27, 2006 the closing price of our stock was \$2.08.

Our lack of the financial and technical resources relative to our competitors may limit our revenues, potential profits, overall market share or value.

Our current products and potential future products will compete with established manufacturers of existing products and companies developing new technologies. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, technical and other resources than us. Because of their greater resources, our competitors may develop products or technologies that are superior to our own. The introduction of superior competing products or technologies could result in reduced revenues, lower margins or loss of market share, any of which could reduce the value of our business.

We may not be able to keep up with rapid technological change and our financial results may suffer.

The information display industry has been characterized by rapidly changing technology, accelerated product obsolescence and continuously evolving industry standards. Our success will depend upon our ability to further develop the scanned beam technology and to cost effectively introduce new products and features in a timely manner to meet evolving customer requirements and compete with competitors' product advances.

We may not succeed in these efforts because of:

- delays in product development,
- lack of market acceptance for our products, or
- lack of funds to invest in product development and marketing.

The occurrence of any of the above factors could result in decreased revenues, market share and value.

We could face lawsuits related to our use of the scanned beam technology or other technologies. Defending these suits would be costly and time consuming. An adverse outcome in any such matter could limit our ability to commercialize our technology and products, reduce our revenues and increase our operating expenses.

We are aware of several patents held by third parties that relate to certain aspects of scanned beam displays and image capture products. These patents could be used as a basis to challenge the validity, limit the scope or limit our ability to obtain additional or broader patent rights of our patents or patents we have licensed. A successful challenge to the validity of our patents or patents we have licensed could limit our ability to commercialize the scanned beam technology and other technologies and, consequently, materially reduce our revenues. Moreover, we cannot be certain that patent holders or other third parties will not claim infringement by us with respect to current and future technology. Because U.S. patent applications are held and examined in secrecy, it is also possible that presently pending U.S. applications will eventually be issued with claims that will be infringed by our products or the scanned beam technology. The defense and prosecution of a patent suit would be costly and time consuming, even if the outcome were ultimately favorable to us. An adverse outcome in the defense of a patent suit could subject us to significant cost, to require others and us to cease selling products that incorporate scanned beam technology, to cease licensing scanned beam technology or to require disputed rights to be licensed from third parties. Such licenses, if available, would increase our operating expenses. Moreover, if claims of infringement are asserted against our future co-development partners or customers, those partners or customers may seek indemnification from us for damages or expenses they incur.

Our planned future products are dependent on advances in technology by other companies.

We rely on and will continue to rely on technologies, such as light sources and optical components that are developed and produced by other companies. The commercial success of certain of our planned future products will depend in part on advances in these and other technologies by other companies. Due to the current business environment, many companies that are developing new technologies are reducing expenditures on research and development. This may delay the development and commercialization of components we would use to manufacture certain of our planned future products.

Our products may be subject to future health and safety regulations that could increase our development and production costs.

Products incorporating scanned beam display technology could become subject to new health and safety regulations that would reduce our ability to commercialize the scanned beam display technology. Compliance with any such new regulations would likely increase our cost to develop and produce products using the scanned beam display technology and adversely affect our financial results.

If we cannot manufacture products at competitive prices, our financial results will be adversely affected.

To date, we have produced limited quantities of our Flic products and demonstration units for research, development and demonstration purposes. The cost per unit for these units currently exceeds the level at which we could expect to profitably sell these products. If we cannot lower our cost of production, we may face increased demands on our financial resources, possibly requiring additional equity and/or debt financing to sustain our business operations.

Our future growth will suffer if we do not achieve sufficient market acceptance of our products to compete effectively.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to gain acceptance of our current and future products by a large number of customers. Achieving market-based acceptance for our products will require marketing efforts and the expenditure of financial and other resources to create product awareness and demand by potential customers. We may be unable to offer products consistently or at all that compete effectively with products of others on the basis of price or performance. Failure to achieve broad acceptance of our products by potential customers and to effectively compete would have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

Because we plan to continue using foreign contract manufacturers, our operating results could be harmed by economic, political, regulatory and other factors in foreign countries.

We currently use a contract manufacturer in Asia to manufacture our Flic product, and we plan to continue using foreign manufacturers to manufacture some of our other products where appropriate. These international operations are subject to inherent risks, which may adversely affect us, including:

- political and economic instability;
- high levels of inflation, historically the case in a number of countries in Asia;
- burdens and costs of compliance with a variety of foreign laws;
- foreign taxes; and
- changes in tariff rates or other trade and monetary policies.

If we experience delays or failures in developing commercially viable products, we may have lower revenues.

In September 2002, we introduced our Flic product. In addition, we have developed demonstration units incorporating the scanned beam technology. However, we must undertake additional research, development and testing before we are able to develop additional products for commercial sale. Product development delays by us or our potential product development partners, or the inability to enter into relationships with these partners, may delay or prevent us from introducing products for commercial sale.

If we cannot supply products in commercial quantities, we will not achieve commercial success.

We are developing our capability to manufacture products in commercial quantities. Our success depends in part on our ability to provide our components and future products in commercial quantities at competitive prices. Accordingly, we will be required to obtain access, through business partners or contract manufacturers, to manufacturing capacity and processes for the commercial production of our expected future products. We cannot be certain that we will successfully obtain access to sufficient manufacturing resources. Future manufacturing limitations of our suppliers could result in a limitation on the number of products incorporating our technology that we are able to produce.

If our licensors and we are unable to obtain effective intellectual property protection for our products and technology, we may be unable to compete with other companies.

Intellectual property protection for our products is important and uncertain. If we do not obtain effective intellectual property protection for our products, processes and technology, we may be subject to increased competition. Our commercial success will depend in part on our ability and the ability of the University of Washington and our other licensors to maintain the proprietary nature of the scanned beam display and other key technologies by securing valid and enforceable patents and effectively maintaining unpatented technology as trade secrets. We try to protect our proprietary technology by seeking to obtain United States and foreign patents in our name, or licenses to third-party patents, related to proprietary technology, inventions, and improvements that may be important to the development of our business. However, our patent position and the patent position of the University of Washington and other licensors involve complex legal and factual questions. The standards that the United States Patent and Trademark Office and its foreign counterparts use to grant patents are not always applied predictably or uniformly and can change. Additionally, the scope of patents are subject to interpretation by courts and their validity can be subject to challenges and defenses, including challenges and defenses based on the existence of prior art. Consequently, we cannot be certain as to the extent to which we will be able to obtain patents for our new products and technology or the extent to which the patents that we already own or license from others protect our products and technology. Reduction in scope of protection or invalidation of our licensed or owned patents, or our inability to obtain new patents, may enable other companies to develop products that compete with ours on the basis of the same or similar technology.

We also rely on the law of trade secrets to protect unpatented know-how and technology to maintain our competitive position. We try to protect this know-how and technology by limiting access to the trade secrets to those of our employees, contractors and partners with a need to know such information and by entering into confidentiality agreements with parties that have access to it, such as our employees, consultants and business partners. Any of these parties could breach the agreements and disclose our trade secrets or confidential information, or our competitors might learn of the information in some other way. If any trade secret not protected by a patent were to be disclosed to or independently developed by a competitor, our competitive position could be materially harmed.

We could be exposed to significant product liability claims that could be time-consuming and costly, divert management attention and adversely affect our ability to obtain and maintain insurance coverage.

We may be subject to product liability claims if any of our product applications are alleged to be defective or cause harmful effects. For example, because our scanned beam displays are designed to scan a low power beam of colored light into the user's eye, the testing, manufacture, marketing and sale of these products involve an inherent risk that product liability claims will be asserted against us. Product liability claims or other claims related to our products, regardless of their outcome, could require us to spend significant time and money in litigation, divert management time and attention, require us to pay significant damages, harm our reputation or hinder acceptance of our products. Any successful product liability claim may prevent us from obtaining adequate product liability insurance in the future on commercially desirable or reasonable terms. An inability to obtain sufficient insurance coverage at an acceptable cost or otherwise to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of our products.

We rely heavily on a limited number of development contracts with the U.S. government, which are subject to immediate termination by the government for convenience at any time, and the termination of one or more of these contracts could have a material adverse impact on our operations.

During the first nine months of 2006 and the full year of 2005, 44% and 35%, respectively, of our revenue was derived from performance on a limited number of development contracts with the U.S. government. Therefore, any significant disruption or deterioration of our relationship with the U.S. government would significantly reduce our revenues. Our government programs must compete with programs managed by other contractors for limited amounts and uncertain levels of funding. The total amount and levels of funding are susceptible to significant fluctuations on a year-to-year basis. Our competitors continuously engage in efforts to expand their business relationships with the government and are likely to continue these efforts in the future. Our contracts with the government are subject to immediate termination by the government for convenience at any time. The government may choose to use contractors with competing display technologies or it may decide to discontinue any of our programs altogether. In addition, those development contracts that we do obtain require ongoing compliance with applicable government regulations. Termination of our development contracts, a shift in government spending to other programs in which we are not involved, a reduction in government spending generally, or our failure to meet applicable government regulations could have severe consequences for our results of operations.

Our products have long sales cycles, which make it difficult to plan our expenses and forecast our revenues.

Our products have lengthy sales cycles that involve numerous steps including determination of a product application, exploring the technical feasibility of a proposed product, evaluating the costs of manufacturing a product and manufacturing or contracting out the manufacturing of the product. Our long sales cycle, which can last several years, makes it difficult to predict the quarter in which sales will occur. Delays in sales could cause significant variability in our revenues and operating results for any particular quarterly period.

Our development contracts may not lead to products that will be profitable.

Our developmental contracts, including without limitation those discussed in this document are exploratory in nature and are intended to develop new types of products for new applications. These efforts may prove unsuccessful and these relationships may not result in the development of products that will be profitable.

Our revenues are highly sensitive to developments in the defense industry.

Our revenues to date have been derived principally from product development research relating to defense applications of the scanned beam display technology. We believe that development programs and sales of potential products in this market will represent a significant portion of our future revenues. Developments that adversely affect the defense sector, including delays in government funding and a general economic downturn, could cause our revenues to decline substantially.

Our Virtual Retinal Display technology depends on our licenses from the University of Washington. If we lose our rights under the licenses, our operations would be adversely affected.

We have acquired the exclusive rights to the Virtual Retinal Display under a license from the University of Washington. The license expires upon expiration of the last of the University of Washington's patents that relate to this technology, which we currently anticipate will not occur until after 2011. We could lose our exclusivity under the license if we fail to respond to an infringement action or fail to use our best efforts to commercialize the licensed technology. In addition, the University of Washington may terminate the license upon our breach and has the right to consent to all sublicense arrangements. If we were to lose our rights under the license, or if the University of Washington were to refuse to consent to future sublicenses, we would lose a competitive advantage in the market, and may even lose the ability to commercialize our products completely. Either of these results could substantially

decrease our revenues.

We are dependent on third parties in order to develop, manufacture, sell and market our products.

Our strategy for commercializing the scanned beam technology and products incorporating the scanned beam technology includes entering into cooperative development, manufacturing, sales and marketing arrangements with corporate partners, original equipment manufacturers and other third parties. We cannot be certain that we will be able to negotiate arrangements on acceptable terms, if at all, or that these arrangements will be successful in yielding commercially viable products. If we cannot establish these arrangements, we would require additional capital to undertake such activities on our own and would require extensive manufacturing, sales and marketing expertise that we do not currently possess and that may be difficult to obtain. In addition, we could encounter significant delays in introducing the scanned beam technology or find that the development, manufacture or sale of products incorporating the scanned beam technology would not be feasible. To the extent that we enter into cooperative development, sales and marketing or other joint venture arrangements, our revenues will depend upon the performance of third parties. We cannot be certain that any such arrangements will be successful.

Loss of any of our key personnel could have a negative effect on the operation of our business.

Our success depends on our executive officers and other key personnel and on the ability to attract and retain qualified new personnel. Achievement of our business objectives will require substantial additional expertise in the areas of sales and marketing, research and product development and manufacturing. Competition for qualified personnel in these fields is intense, and the inability to attract and retain additional highly skilled personnel, or the loss of key personnel, could reduce our revenues and adversely affect our business.

We are dependent on a small number of customers for our revenue. Our quarterly performance may vary substantially and this variance, as well as general market conditions, may cause our stock price to fluctuate greatly and potentially expose us to litigation.

Our revenues to date have been generated primarily from a limited number of development contracts with U.S. government entities and commercial partners. Our quarterly operating results may vary significantly based on:

- reductions or delays in funding of development programs involving new information display technologies by the U.S. government or our current or prospective commercial partners;
- changes in evaluations and recommendations by any securities analysts following our stock or our industry generally;
- announcements by other companies in our industry;
- changes in business or regulatory conditions;
- announcements or implementation by our competitors of technological innovations or new products;
- the status of particular development programs and the timing of performance under specific development agreements;
- economic and stock market conditions; or
- other factors unrelated to our company or industry.

In one or more future quarters, our results of operations may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors and the trading price of our common stock may decline as a consequence. In addition, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, shareholders often have instituted securities class action litigation against that company. If we become involved in a class action suit, it could divert the attention of management, and, if adversely determined, could require us to pay substantial damages.

If we fail to manage expansion effectively, our revenue and expenses could be adversely affected.

Our ability to successfully offer products and implement our business plan in a rapidly evolving market requires an effective planning and management process. We have significantly expanded the scope of our operations. The growth in business and relationships with customers and other third parties has placed, and will continue to place, a significant strain on our management systems and resources. We will need to continue to improve our financial and

managerial controls, reporting systems and procedures and will need to continue to train and manage our work force.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The Company's Annual Shareholder's Meeting was held on September 21, 2006. The following proposals were introduced and voted on:

Proposal No. 1 - Election of Directors

Name	
	Votes For
	Votes Against
Alexander Tokman	
	33,884,633
	386,657
Richard A. Cowell	
	33,649,988
	621,302
Slade Gorton	
	33,567,164
	704,126
Mark Onetto	
	33,730,017
	541,273
Jeanette Horan	
	33,869,031
	402,259
Brian Turner	
	33,868,683
	402,607

Proposal No. 2 - Amend, Restate, and Rename 1996 Stock Option Plan

For

For

<u>Against</u>
<u>Abstain</u>
6,556,335
4,676,819
294,991

Proposal No. 3 - Ratification of the Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

<u>Against</u>
<u>Abstain</u>
33,933,229
249,356
88,704

ITEM 6.

Exhibits

31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 Of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MICROVISION, INC.

Date: November 3, 2006	BY:	<u>/s/ Alexander Y. Tokman</u>
Alexander Y. Tokman		
Chief Executive Officer		
(Principal Executive Officer)		
Date: November 3, 2006	BY:	/s/ Jeff Wilson
		Jeff Wilson
		Chief Financial Officer
		(Principal Financial Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following documents are filed.

Exhibit

<u>Number</u>	Description
31.1	<u>Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>

31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 Of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 <u>Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United</u> States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002