

AUTONATION, INC.

Form 10-K

February 13, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-13107

AutoNation, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

73-1105145

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

200 SW 1st Ave

33301

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(954) 769-6000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01 Per Share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the new registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: AUTONATION, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2011, the aggregate market value of the common stock of the registrant held by non-affiliates was approximately \$1.4 billion based on the closing price of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on such date (for the purpose of this calculation only, the registrant assumed that each of its directors, executive officers, and greater than 10% stockholders was an affiliate of the registrant as of June 30, 2011).

As of February 8, 2012, the registrant had 131,921,417 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement relating to its 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 are incorporated herein by reference in Part III.

AUTONATION, INC.

FORM 10-K

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

INDEX

PART I

	Page
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>12</u>
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>18</u>
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	<u>19</u>
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>19</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>19</u>

PART II

Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>22</u>
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>23</u>
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>55</u>
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>56</u>
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>96</u>
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>96</u>
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>96</u>

PART III

Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>97</u>
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>97</u>
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>97</u>
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>97</u>
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>97</u>

PART IV

Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>98</u>
---	-----------

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

AutoNation, Inc., through its subsidiaries, is the largest automotive retailer in the United States. As of December 31, 2011, we owned and operated 258 new vehicle franchises from 215 stores located in the United States, predominantly in major metropolitan markets in the Sunbelt region. Our stores, which we believe are some of the most recognizable and well-known stores in our key markets, sell 32 different brands of new vehicles. The core brands of vehicles that we sell, representing approximately 90% of the new vehicles that we sold in 2011, are manufactured by Ford, Toyota, Nissan, General Motors, Honda, Mercedes-Benz, BMW, and Chrysler.

We offer a diversified range of automotive products and services, including new vehicles, used vehicles, parts and automotive repair and maintenance services (also referred to as “parts and service”), and automotive finance and insurance products (also referred to as “finance and insurance”), which includes the arranging of financing for vehicle purchases through third-party finance sources. The following charts present the contribution to total revenue and gross profit by each of new vehicle sales, used vehicle sales, parts and service, and finance and insurance in 2011.

We believe that the significant scale of our operations and the quality of our managerial talent allow us to achieve efficiencies in our key markets by, among other things, leveraging our market brands and advertising, improving asset management, implementing standardized processes, and increasing productivity across all of our stores.

We were incorporated in Delaware in 1991. For convenience, the terms “AutoNation,” “Company,” and “we” are used to refer collectively to AutoNation, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise required by the context. Our store operations are conducted by our subsidiaries.

Operating Segments

As of December 31, 2011, we had three operating segments: Domestic, Import, and Premium Luxury. Our Domestic segment is comprised of retail automotive franchises that sell new vehicles manufactured by General Motors, Ford, and Chrysler. Our Import segment is comprised of retail automotive franchises that sell new vehicles manufactured primarily by Toyota, Honda, and Nissan. Our Premium Luxury segment is comprised of retail automotive franchises that sell new vehicles manufactured primarily by Mercedes-Benz, BMW, and Lexus. The franchises in each segment also sell used vehicles, parts and automotive repair and maintenance services, and automotive finance and insurance products. For the year ended December 31, 2011, Domestic revenue represented 34% of total revenue, Import revenue represented 37% of total revenue, and Premium Luxury revenue represented 28% of total revenue. For additional financial information regarding our three operating segments, please refer to Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Except to the extent that differences among operating segments are material to an understanding of our business taken as a whole, the description of our business in this report is presented on a consolidated basis.

Business Strategy

We seek to be the best-run, most profitable automotive retailer in the nation. The foundation of our business model is operational excellence, with a focus on developing and maintaining satisfied relationships with our customers. In order to achieve and sustain operational excellence, we are pursuing the following strategies:

• Create an industry-leading automotive retail consumer experience

- Leverage our significant scale and cost structure to improve our operating efficiency

• Leverage information technology to enhance customer relationships and improve productivity

• Build powerful local brands while also building consumer awareness of the AutoNation brand

We have a well-diversified brand portfolio. In 2011, approximately 39% of our new vehicle revenue was generated by Import franchises, approximately 28% by Premium Luxury franchises, and approximately 33% was generated by Domestic franchises. While we will continue to look for acquisition and new store opportunities that meet our market and brand criteria and return on investment threshold, we do not expect significant shifts in our overall store mix in 2012.

A key component of our long-term strategy is to maximize the return on investment generated by the use of cash flow that our business generates, while maintaining a strong balance sheet. We expect to use our cash flow to make capital investments in our business, to complete dealership acquisitions, and to repurchase our common stock and/or debt. Our capital allocation decisions will be based on factors such as the expected rate of return on our investment, the market price of our common stock versus our view of its intrinsic value, the market price of our debt, the potential impact on our capital structure, our ability to complete dealership acquisitions that meet our market and brand criteria and return on investment threshold, and limitations set forth in our debt agreements. For additional information regarding our capital allocation strategy, please refer to “Liquidity and Capital Resources – Capital Allocation” in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

Finally, we believe that our business benefits from diverse revenue streams generated by our new and used vehicle sales, parts and service business, and finance and insurance sales. Our higher-margin parts and service business has historically been less sensitive to macroeconomic trends as compared to new vehicle sales.

Create an Industry-Leading Automotive Retail Consumer Experience

One of our goals is to provide an industry-leading retail consumer experience at our stores in order to build customer loyalty to our brand. The following practices and initiatives support an enhanced customer retail experience:

Improving Customer Service: The success of our stores depends in significant part on our ability to deliver positive experiences to our customers, which generate recurring and referral business. We have developed and implemented standardized, customer-friendly sales and service processes, including a customer-friendly sales menu designed to provide clear disclosure of purchase or lease transaction terms. We also offer our customers a limited-mileage, money-back guarantee on both our new and used vehicles as an indication of our commitment to their satisfaction.

We believe these policies improve the sales and service experiences of our customers. We emphasize the importance of customer satisfaction to our key store personnel by basing a portion of their compensation on the quality of customer service they provide in connection with vehicle sales and service.

Increasing Parts and Service Sales: One of our goals is to have our customers bring their vehicles to our stores for all of their vehicle service, maintenance, and collision needs. Our key initiatives for our parts and service business are focused on optimizing our processes, pricing, and promotion, thus improving customer retention. Across all of our stores, we have implemented standardized service processes and marketing communications, which offer the complete range of vehicle maintenance and repair services and are focused on increasing our customer-pay service, collision, and parts business. As a result of our significant scale, we can communicate effectively with our customers. We optimize our pricing to maintain a competitive offering for commonly-performed vehicle services and repairs for like-brand vehicles within each of our markets.

Table of Contents

Increasing Finance, Insurance, and Other Aftermarket Product Sales: We continue to improve our finance and insurance business by using our standardized processes across our store network. One of our goals is to improve customer retention after the sale of the vehicle through our finance and insurance products. Our customers are presented with the “AutoNation Pledge,” which provides clear disclosure relating to the finance and insurance sales process, and with a customer-friendly finance and insurance menu, which is designed to ensure that we offer our customers the complete range of finance, insurance, protection, and other aftermarket products in a transparent manner. We offer our customers aftermarket products such as extended service contracts, maintenance programs, theft deterrent systems, and various insurance products. We continue to focus on optimizing the mix of financing sources available to promote vehicle sales and improve the customer experience.

Leverage Our Significant Scale and Cost Structure to Improve our Operating Efficiency

We leverage our scale as the largest automotive retailer in the United States to drive significant cost savings in our business. The following practices and initiatives reflect our commitment to leveraging our scale and managing cost: **Managing New Vehicle Inventories and Optimizing New Vehicle Pricing:** We manage our new vehicle inventories to optimize our stores’ supply and mix of vehicle inventory. Through the use of our planning and tracking systems in markets where our stores have critical mass in a particular brand, we view new vehicle inventories at those same brand stores in the aggregate and coordinate vehicle ordering and inventories across those stores. We manage our new vehicle inventory to achieve specific days supply targets in order to support sales volumes. We also target our new vehicle inventory purchasing to our core, or highest-volume, model packages. We are focused on maintaining appropriate inventory levels in order to minimize carrying costs. We believe our inventory management enables us to (1) respond to customer requests better than independent automotive retailers, (2) minimize carrying costs by optimizing days supply, and (3) better plan and forecast inventory levels. See also “Inventory Management” in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K. Further, during 2011 we implemented a pricing strategy across our store portfolio that included the deployment of a proprietary pricing tool to capture various market pricing metrics and establish target and floor prices.

Increasing Used Vehicle Sales and Managing Used Vehicle Inventories: Each of our stores offers a variety of used vehicles. As the largest retailer of new vehicles, we believe that we have superior access to desirable used vehicle inventory. We are also able to realize the benefits of vehicle manufacturer-supported certified pre-owned vehicle programs, which are typically more profitable than our non-certified used vehicle sales. Our used vehicle business strategy is focused on (1) utilizing our web-based vehicle inventory management system to optimize our supply, mix, and pricing, (2) leveraging our used vehicle inventory to offer our customers a wide selection of desirable lower-cost vehicles, which are often in high demand by consumers, and (3) leveraging our scale with comprehensive used vehicle marketing programs, such as market-wide promotional events and standardized approaches to advertising that we can implement more effectively than smaller automotive retailers because of our size. We have deployed used vehicle specialists in each of our key markets to assist us in executing our strategy.

Centralizing Store Back-Office Operations in Our Shared Services Center: We have centralized key store-level accounting and administrative activities in our Shared Services Center located in Irving, Texas. The initial or “core” phase included the centralization of basic accounting functions. We have implemented the core phase in substantially all of our stores. In the “extended” phase, we are transferring additional accounting responsibilities, including the accounting for vehicle sales, lien payoffs, receipt of vehicles, floorplan transactions, and manufacturer payables, as well as certain other reconciliation processes. We have substantially implemented the extended phase in 156 of our 215 stores as of December 31, 2011, and we plan to implement the extended phase in substantially all remaining stores in 2012. By shifting these functions from the stores to the Shared Services Center, we have improved financial controls and lowered servicing costs. We also believe that the standardization of these processes across our stores improves the customer experience.

Increasing Employee Productivity: Our compensation and employee training programs drive productivity through standardized operating practices. Our standardized compensation guidelines and common element pay plans at our stores take into account our sales volume, customer satisfaction, gross margin objectives, vehicle brand, and store size. Our goals related to compensation are to improve employee productivity, to reward and retain high-performing employees, and to ensure appropriate variability of our compensation expense. Further, our customized

comprehensive training program for key store employees facilitates standardized operating practices

3

Table of Contents

and policies across all of our stores. Our training program educates our key store employees about their respective job roles and responsibilities, applicable laws and regulations, and our standardized processes in all of our areas of operation, including sales, finance and insurance, and parts and service. We also require all of our employees, from our senior management to our technicians, to participate in our Business Ethics Program. In addition, we run several AutoNation University programs for our general managers and sales and service managers and associates to develop leadership skills and to ensure consistent execution of our practices and policies. We expect our comprehensive training program to improve our productivity by ensuring that all of our employees consistently execute our business strategy and manage our daily operations in accordance with our common processes and policies, applicable laws and regulations, and our high standards of business ethics.

Managing Costs: We actively manage our business and leverage our scale to reduce costs. We continue to focus on developing national vendor relationships to standardize our stores' approach to purchasing certain equipment, supplies, and services, and to improve our cost efficiencies. For example, we realize cost efficiencies with respect to advertising and facilities maintenance that are generally not available to smaller automotive retailers.

Leverage Information Technology to Enhance Customer Relationships and Improve Productivity

We use a web-based customer relationship management tool across all of our stores, which enables us to promote and sell our vehicles and other products more effectively by facilitating better understanding of our customer traffic flows and better management of our showroom sales processes and customer relationships. We have developed a company-wide customer database that captures information on our stores' existing and potential customers. We believe our customer database enables us to implement more effectively our vehicle sales and service marketing programs. We expect our customer database and other tools to empower us to implement our customer relationship strategy more effectively and improve our productivity.

We also use the Internet to acquire and develop customer leads and referrals. Our website facilitates consumer research about vehicle purchases, including vehicle specifications and financing options. In addition, we are focused on connecting with our customers through social media websites, such as Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube. See "Sales and Marketing" below.

Build a Powerful Local Brand in Each of Our Markets While Also Building Consumer Awareness of the AutoNation Brand

In many of our key markets where we have significant presence, we are marketing our non-premium luxury stores under a local retail brand. We continue to position these local retail brands to communicate to customers the key features that we believe differentiate our stores in our branded markets from our competitors, such as the large inventory available for customers, our sales, service, and finance and insurance standardized processes, and the competitive pricing we offer for widely available vehicle repair services. We believe that this consolidated local market strategy enables us to achieve marketing and advertising cost savings and efficiencies that generally are not available to many of our local competitors. We also believe that we can create strong retail brand awareness in our markets.

Further, through our website, store signage, and media presence, we are creating consumer awareness of the AutoNation brand. Our goal is that our customers will increasingly associate their local dealership not only with the local-market brand, but also with the national AutoNation brand.

We have fifteen local brands in our key markets, including "Maroone" in South Florida; "GO" in Denver, Colorado; "AutoWay" in Tampa, Florida; "Bankston" in Dallas, Texas; "Courtesy" in Orlando, Florida; "Desert" in Las Vegas, Nevada; "Team" in Atlanta, Georgia; "Mike Shad" in Jacksonville, Florida; "Dobbs" in Memphis, Tennessee; "Fox" in Baltimore, Maryland; "Mullinax" in Cleveland, Ohio; "Appleway" in Spokane, Washington; "Champion" in South Texas; "Power" in Southern California and Arizona; and "AutoWest" in Northern California. The stores we operate under these local retail brands as of December 31, 2011, accounted for approximately 69% of our total revenue during 2011.

Table of Contents

Operations

As of December 31, 2011, we operated stores in the following states:

State	Number of Stores	Number of Franchises	% of Total Revenue ⁽¹⁾
Florida	58	66	27
Texas	34	42	20
California	36	43	19
Colorado	17	24	7
Arizona	13	15	5
Nevada	10	11	4
Georgia	10	11	4
Washington	12	19	3
Illinois	5	5	3
Tennessee	7	8	3
Ohio	4	4	1
Minnesota	1	1	1
Virginia	2	2	1
Maryland	4	5	1
Alabama	2	2	1
Total	215	258	100

(1) Revenue by state includes non-store activities, such as collision centers, e-commerce activities, and an auction operation.

The following table sets forth information regarding new vehicle revenues and retail new vehicle unit sales for the year ended, and the number of franchises owned as of, December 31, 2011:

	New Vehicle Revenues (in millions)	Retail New Vehicle Unit Sales	% of Total Retail New Vehicle Units Sold	Franchises Owned
Domestic:				
Ford, Lincoln	\$1,341.5	41,821	18.7	42
Chevrolet, Buick, Cadillac, GMC	887.8	27,082	12.1	44
Chrysler, Jeep, Dodge	242.4	7,432	3.3	21
Domestic Total	2,471.7	76,335	34.1	107
Import:				
Honda	602.2	23,877	10.7	20
Toyota	1,091.0	41,311	18.4	19
Nissan	669.4	25,712	11.5	23
Other imports	545.7	17,228	7.6	39
Import Total	2,908.3	108,128	48.2	101
Premium Luxury:				
Mercedes-Benz	1,061.8	19,047	8.5	23
BMW	613.8	11,269	5.0	11
Lexus	200.9	4,554	2.0	3
Other premium luxury (Land Rover, Porsche)	242.4	4,701	2.2	13
Premium Luxury Total	2,118.9	39,571	17.7	50
	\$7,498.9	224,034	100.0	258

Table of Contents

Each of our stores acquires new vehicles for retail sale either directly from the applicable automotive manufacturer or distributor or through dealer trades with other stores of the same franchise. Accordingly, we depend in large part on the automotive manufacturers and distributors to provide us with high-quality vehicles that customers desire and to supply us with such vehicles at suitable quantities and prices and at the right times. Our operations, particularly our sales of new vehicles, are also impacted by the sales incentive programs offered by the automotive manufacturers to spur consumer demand for their vehicles. We generally acquire used vehicles from customer trade-ins, auctions, lease terminations, and other sources. We generally recondition used vehicles acquired for retail sale at our stores' service facilities and capitalize costs related thereto as used vehicle inventory. We opened 27 Value Vehicle Outlets ("VVOs") during 2010 and 2011 to address industry supply constraints and meet market demand. Through our VVOs, which are located on existing store facilities, we sell vehicles that we would have traditionally wholesaled with an average retail price lower than that of used vehicles we typically retail. Used vehicles that we do not sell at our stores or VVOs generally are sold at wholesale prices through auctions. See also "Inventory Management" in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

We offer a wide variety of automotive finance and insurance products to our customers. We arrange for our customers to finance vehicles through installment loans or leases with third-party lenders, including the vehicle manufacturers' and distributors' captive finance subsidiaries, in exchange for a commission payable to us. Commissions that we receive may be subject to chargeback, in full or in part, if loans that we arrange default or are prepaid or upon other specified circumstances. However, our exposure to loss in connection with these financing arrangements generally is limited to the commissions that we receive. We do not directly finance our customers' vehicle leases or purchases. We also offer our customers various vehicle protection products, including extended service contracts, maintenance programs, guaranteed auto protection (known as "GAP," this protection covers the shortfall between a customer's loan balance and insurance payoff in the event of a casualty), "tire and wheel" protection, and theft protection products. The vehicle protection products that our stores currently offer to customers are underwritten and administered by independent third parties, including the vehicle manufacturers' and distributors' captive finance subsidiaries. We primarily sell the products on a straight commission basis; however, we also participate in future underwriting profit for certain products pursuant to retrospective commission arrangements. Commissions that we receive from these third-party providers may be subject to chargeback, in full or in part, if products that we sell, such as extended service contracts, are cancelled. See also "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Chargeback Reserve" in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

Our stores also provide a wide range of vehicle maintenance, repair, paint, and collision repair services, including warranty work that can be performed only at franchised dealerships and customer-pay service work.

Sales and Marketing

We retailed approximately 400,000 new and used vehicles through our stores in 2011. We sell a broad range of well-known vehicle brands within each of our markets.

We have been able to use our significant scale to market our stores and vehicle inventory via the Internet. We believe that the majority of new car buyers research new car information online, which is resulting in better-informed customers and a more efficient sales process. As part of our e-commerce marketing strategy, we are focused on (1) developing websites and an Internet sales process that appeal to online automobile shoppers, (2) obtaining high visibility on the Internet through search engines such as Google, through our own websites, through social media websites such as Facebook, and through strategic partnerships and alliances with e-commerce companies, and (3) developing and maintaining a cost structure that permits us to operate efficiently.

Our marketing efforts are designed to build our business with a broad base of repeat, referral, and new customers. In addition to our online efforts, we engage in marketing and advertising through newspapers, radio, television, direct mail, and outdoor billboards in our local markets. As we have consolidated our operations in certain of our key markets under one local retail brand, we have been able to focus our efforts on building consumer awareness of the selected local retail brand rather than on the individual legacy names under which many of our stores operated prior to their acquisition by us. We also continue to develop advertising campaigns that we can modify for use in multiple local markets. We realize cost efficiencies with respect to advertising expenses that are not generally available to smaller retailers due to our ability to obtain efficiencies in developing advertising campaigns and our ability to gain

volume discounts and other concessions as we increase our presence within our key markets and operate our non-premium luxury stores under a single retail brand name in our local markets.

Table of Contents

Agreements with Vehicle Manufacturers

Framework Agreements

We have entered into framework agreements with most major vehicle manufacturers and distributors. These agreements, which are in addition to the franchise agreements described below, contain provisions relating to our management, operation, advertising and marketing, and acquisition and ownership structure of automotive stores franchised by such manufacturers. These agreements contain certain requirements pertaining to our operating performance (with respect to matters such as sales volume, sales effectiveness, and customer satisfaction), which, if we do not satisfy, adversely impact our ability to make further acquisitions of such manufacturers' stores or could result in us being compelled to take certain actions, such as divesting a significantly underperforming store, subject to applicable state franchise laws. Additionally, these agreements set limits (nationally, regionally, and in local markets) on the number of stores that we may acquire of the particular manufacturer and contain certain restrictions on our ability to name and brand our stores. Some of these framework agreements give the manufacturer or distributor the right to acquire at fair market value, or the right to compel us to sell, the automotive stores franchised by that manufacturer or distributor under specified circumstances in the event of a change in control of our company (generally including certain material changes in the composition of our Board of Directors during a specified time period, the acquisition of 20% or more of the voting stock of our Company by another vehicle manufacturer or distributor, or the acquisition of 50% or more of our voting stock by a person, entity, or group not affiliated with a vehicle manufacturer or distributor) or other extraordinary corporate transactions such as a merger or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. In addition, we have granted certain manufacturers the right to acquire, at fair market value, our automotive dealerships franchised by such manufacturers in specified circumstances in the event of our default under certain of our debt agreements.

In January 2009, our Board of Directors authorized and approved letter agreements with certain automotive manufacturers in order to, among other things, eliminate any potential adverse consequences under our framework agreements with those manufacturers in the event that ESL Investments, Inc. and certain of its investment affiliates (together, "ESL") acquire 50% or more of our common stock. The letter agreements with American Honda Motor Co., Inc. ("Honda") and Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. ("Toyota") also contain governance-related and other provisions as described below. ESL is also a party to both the Honda and Toyota Agreements. As of February 8, 2012, ESL beneficially owned approximately 51% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

Under the terms of the Honda Agreement, Honda has agreed not to assert its right to purchase our Honda and Acura franchises and/or similar remedies under the manufacturer framework agreement between Honda and the Company in the event that ESL acquires 50% or more of our common stock. ESL has agreed to vote all shares in excess of 50% in the same proportion as all non-ESL-owned shares are voted. In addition, we have agreed to ensure that a majority of our Board is independent of both the Company and ESL under existing New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") listing standards for so long as ESL owns more than 50% of our common stock. The Honda Agreement provides that Honda's consent does not apply to a "going private" transaction under Rule 13e-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Under the terms of the Toyota Agreement, Toyota has agreed not to assert its right to purchase our Toyota and Lexus franchises and/or similar remedies under the manufacturer framework agreement between Toyota and the Company in the event that ESL acquires 50% or more of our common stock. ESL has agreed to vote all shares in excess of 50% in the same proportion as all non-ESL-owned shares are voted. Furthermore, we have agreed that a majority of our Board will be independent from both the Company and from ESL under existing NYSE listing standards. We have also agreed not to merge, consolidate, or combine with any entity owned or controlled by ESL unless Toyota consents thereto. In addition, the Toyota Agreement provides that in the event that we appoint a Chief Operating Officer who, in the good faith judgment of our Board, does not have sufficient breadth and depth of experience, a relevant, successful automotive track record, and extensive successful automotive experience, ESL shall be required to divest its shares in excess of 50% within nine (9) months or its voting interest will be limited to 25%, and if ESL does not divest such shares within eighteen (18) months, it will lose all voting rights until it divests such shares. The Toyota Agreement will terminate in the event that ESL's ownership of our common stock falls to 40% or lower. In addition, the Toyota Agreement will terminate on December 31, 2012 with respect to future stock acquisitions by ESL, provided that ESL may seek successive annual one-year extensions. The description of the Toyota Agreement set

forth above reflects all amendments thereto, including the most recent extension and amendment dated as of November 29, 2011, which we filed with a Current Report on Form 8-K on November 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

We have also entered into separate letter agreements with certain other manufacturers that eliminate any potential adverse consequences under our framework agreements with those manufacturers in the event that ESL acquires 50% or more of our common stock. ESL is not a party to any of those agreements.

Franchise Agreements

We operate each of our new vehicle stores under a franchise agreement with a vehicle manufacturer or distributor. The franchise agreements grant the franchised automotive store a non-exclusive right to sell the manufacturer's or distributor's brand of vehicles and offer related parts and service within a specified market area. These franchise agreements grant our stores the right to use the relevant manufacturer's or distributor's trademarks in connection with their operations, and they also impose numerous operational requirements and restrictions relating to inventory levels, working capital levels, the sales process, marketing and branding, showroom and service facilities, signage, personnel, changes in management, and monthly financial reporting, among other things. The contractual terms of our stores' franchise agreements provide for various durations, ranging from one year to no expiration date, and in certain cases manufacturers have undertaken to renew such franchises upon expiration so long as the store is in compliance with the terms of the agreement. We generally expect our franchise agreements to survive for the foreseeable future and, when the agreements do not have indefinite terms, anticipate routine renewals of the agreements without substantial cost or modification. Our stores' franchise agreements provide for termination of the agreement by the manufacturer or non-renewal for a variety of causes (including performance deficiencies in such areas as sales volume, sales effectiveness, and customer satisfaction). However, in general, the states in which we operate have automotive dealership franchise laws that provide that, notwithstanding the terms of any franchise agreement, it is unlawful for a manufacturer to terminate or not renew a franchise unless "good cause" exists. It generally is difficult, outside of bankruptcy, for a manufacturer to terminate, or not renew, a franchise under these laws, which were designed to protect dealers. In addition, in our experience and historically in the automotive retail industry, dealership franchise agreements are rarely involuntarily terminated or not renewed by the manufacturer outside of bankruptcy. From time to time, certain manufacturers assert sales and customer satisfaction performance deficiencies under the terms of our framework and franchise agreements. We generally work with these manufacturers to address the asserted performance issues. For additional information, please refer to the risk factor captioned "We are subject to restrictions imposed by, and significant influence from, vehicle manufacturers that may adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and prospects, including our ability to acquire additional stores" in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

Regulations

We operate in a highly regulated industry. A number of state and federal laws and regulations affect our business. In every state in which we operate, we must obtain various licenses in order to operate our businesses, including dealer, sales and finance, and insurance licenses issued by state regulatory authorities. Numerous laws and regulations govern our conduct of business, including those relating to our sales, operations, financing, insurance, advertising, and employment practices. These laws and regulations include state franchise laws and regulations, consumer protection laws, privacy laws, escheatment laws, anti-money laundering laws, and other extensive laws and regulations applicable to new and used motor vehicle dealers, as well as a variety of other laws and regulations. These laws also include federal and state wage-hour, anti-discrimination, and other employment practices laws. Furthermore, we expect that new laws and regulations, particularly at the federal level, may be enacted that could also affect our business. See the risk factor "Our operations are subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations. If we are found to be in violation of or subject to liabilities under any of these laws or regulations, or if new laws or regulations are enacted that adversely affect our operations, our business, operating results, and prospects could suffer" in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

Automotive and Other Laws and Regulations

Our operations are subject to the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards promulgated by the United States Department of Transportation, and the rules and regulations of various state motor vehicle regulatory agencies. The imported automobiles we purchase are subject to United States customs duties and, in the ordinary course of our business we may, from time to time, be subject to claims for duties, penalties, liquidated damages, or other charges.

Our financing activities with customers are subject to federal truth-in-lending, consumer leasing, and equal credit opportunity laws and regulations as well as state and local motor vehicle finance laws, leasing laws, installment finance laws, usury laws, and other installment sales and leasing laws and regulations, some of which regulate finance and other

8

Table of Contents

fees and charges that may be imposed or received in connection with motor vehicle retail installment sales and leasing. Claims arising out of actual or alleged violations of law may be asserted against us or our stores by individuals, a class of individuals, or governmental entities and may expose us to significant damages or other penalties, including revocation or suspension of our licenses to conduct store operations and fines.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which was signed into law on July 21, 2010, established a new consumer financial protection agency with broad regulatory powers. Although automotive dealers are generally excluded, the Dodd-Frank Act could lead to additional, indirect regulation of automotive dealers through its regulation of automotive finance companies and other financial institutions.

Environmental, Health, and Safety Laws and Regulations

Our operations involve the use, handling, storage, and contracting for recycling and/or disposal of materials such as motor oil and filters, transmission fluids, antifreeze, refrigerants, paints, thinners, batteries, cleaning products, lubricants, degreasing agents, tires, and fuel. Consequently, our business is subject to a complex variety of federal, state, and local requirements that regulate the environment and public health and safety.

Most of our stores utilize aboveground storage tanks, and to a lesser extent underground storage tanks, primarily for petroleum-based products. Storage tanks are subject to periodic testing, containment, upgrading, and removal under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and its state law counterparts. Clean-up or other remedial action may be necessary in the event of leaks or other discharges from storage tanks or other sources. In addition, water quality protection programs under the federal Water Pollution Control Act (commonly known as the Clean Water Act), the Safe Drinking Water Act, and comparable state and local programs govern certain discharges from some of our operations. Similarly, certain air emissions from operations, such as auto body painting, may be subject to the federal Clean Air Act and related state and local laws. Certain health and safety standards promulgated by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration of the United States Department of Labor and related state agencies also apply.

Some of our stores are parties to proceedings under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, or CERCLA, typically in connection with materials that were sent to former recycling, treatment, and/or disposal facilities owned and operated by independent businesses. The remediation or clean-up of facilities where the release of a regulated hazardous substance occurred is required under CERCLA and other laws.

We have a proactive strategy related to environmental, health, and safety laws and regulations, which includes contracting with third-party vendors to inspect our facilities periodically in an effort to ensure compliance. We incur significant costs to comply with applicable environmental, health, and safety laws and regulations in the ordinary course of our business. We do not anticipate, however, that the costs of such compliance will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, or financial condition, although such outcome is possible given the nature of our operations and the extensive environmental, health, and safety regulatory framework. We do not have any material known environmental commitments or contingencies.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive industry. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the automotive retail business are location, service, price, and selection. Each of our markets includes a large number of well-capitalized competitors that have extensive automotive retail managerial experience and strong retail locations and facilities. According to CNW Marketing Research, Inc., the total number of U.S. franchised automotive dealerships was approximately 15,600 and 15,500 at the end of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and the total number of U.S. independent used vehicle dealers was approximately 37,600 and 37,700 at the end of 2011 and 2010, respectively. We face competition from (i) several public companies that operate numerous automotive retail stores on a regional or national basis, including franchised dealers that sell new and used vehicles as well as non-franchised dealers that sell only used vehicles, (ii) private companies that operate automotive retail stores in our markets, and (iii) online marketplaces. We compete with dealers that sell the same brands of new vehicles that we sell, as well as those that sell other brands of new vehicles that we do not represent in a particular market. Our new vehicle store competitors have franchise agreements with the various vehicle manufacturers and, as such, generally have access to new vehicles on the same terms as we have. We also compete with other dealers for qualified employees, particularly for general managers and sales and service personnel.

Table of Contents

In general, the vehicle manufacturers have designated marketing and sales areas within which only one franchised dealer of a given vehicle brand may operate. Under most of our framework agreements with the vehicle manufacturers, our ability to acquire multiple dealers of a given brand within a particular market is limited. We are also restricted by various state franchise laws from relocating our stores or establishing new stores of a particular brand within any area that is served by another dealer of the same brand, and we generally need the manufacturer to approve the relocation or grant a new franchise in order to relocate or establish a store. However, to the extent that a market has multiple dealers of a particular brand, as most of our key markets do with respect to most vehicle brands we sell, we face significant intra-brand competition.

We also compete with independent automobile service shops and service center chains. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the parts and service business are price, location, the use of factory-approved replacement parts, expertise with the particular vehicle lines, and customer service. We also compete with a broad range of financial institutions in our finance and insurance business. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the finance and insurance business are product selection, convenience, price, contract terms, and the ability to finance vehicle protection and aftermarket products.

Insurance and Bonding

Our business exposes us to the risk of liabilities arising out of our operations. For example, liabilities may arise out of claims of employees, customers, or other third parties for personal injury or property damage occurring in the course of our operations. We could also be subject to fines and civil and criminal penalties in connection with alleged violations of federal and state laws or regulatory requirements.

The automotive retail business is also subject to substantial risk of property loss due to the significant concentration of property values at store locations. In our case in particular, our operations are concentrated in states and regions in which natural disasters and severe weather events (such as hurricanes, earthquakes, fires, landslides, and hail storms) may subject us to substantial risk of property loss and operational disruption. Under self-insurance programs, we retain various levels of aggregate loss limits, per claim deductibles, and claims-handling expenses as part of our various insurance programs, including property and casualty, workers' compensation, and employee medical benefits. Costs in excess of this retained risk per claim may be insured under various contracts with third-party insurance carriers. We estimate the ultimate costs of these retained insurance risks based on actuarial evaluation and historical claims experience, adjusted for current trends and changes in claims-handling procedures. The level of risk we retain may change in the future as insurance market conditions or other factors affecting the economics of our insurance purchasing change. Although we have, subject to certain limitations and exclusions, substantial insurance, we cannot assure you that we will not be exposed to uninsured or underinsured losses that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Provisions for retained losses and deductibles are made by charges to expense based upon periodic evaluations of the estimated ultimate liabilities on reported and unreported claims. The insurance companies that underwrite our insurance require that we secure certain of our obligations for deductible reimbursements with collateral. Our collateral requirements are set by the insurance companies and, to date, have been satisfied by posting surety bonds, letters of credit, and/or cash deposits. Our collateral requirements may change from time to time based on, among other things, our claims experience.

Employees

As of December 31, 2011, we employed approximately 19,400 full-time employees, approximately 190 of whom were covered by collective bargaining agreements. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

Seasonality

Our operations generally experience higher volumes of vehicle sales and service in the second and third quarters of each year due in part to consumer buying trends and the introduction of new vehicle models. Also, demand for vehicles and light trucks is generally lower during the winter months than in other seasons, particularly in regions of the United States where stores may be subject to adverse winter conditions. Accordingly, we expect our revenue and operating results generally to be lower in the first and fourth quarters as compared to the second and third quarters. However, revenue may be impacted significantly from quarter to quarter by changing economic conditions, vehicle manufacturer incentive programs, and actual or threatened severe weather events.

Table of Contents

Trademarks

We own a number of registered service marks and trademarks, including, among other marks, AutoNation®. Pursuant to agreements with vehicle manufacturers, we have the right to use and display manufacturers' trademarks, logos, and designs at our stores and in our advertising and promotional materials, subject to certain restrictions. We also have licenses pursuant to various agreements with third parties authorizing the use and display of the marks and/or logos of such third parties, subject to certain restrictions. The current registrations of our service marks and trademarks in the United States and foreign countries are effective for varying periods of time, which we may renew periodically, provided that we comply with all applicable laws.

Executive Officers of AutoNation

The following sets forth certain information regarding our executive officers as of February 8, 2012. Amounts reported under "Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned" include shares subject to stock options that become exercisable within 60 days of February 8, 2012.

Name	Age	Position	Years with AutoNation	Years in Automotive Industry	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned
Mike Jackson	63	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	12	41	793,837
Michael E. Maroone	58	Director, President and Chief Operating Officer	15	37	3,648,050
Michael J. Short	50	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	5	5	280,638
Jonathan P. Ferrando	46	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	15	15	372,146
David L. Koehler	43	Senior Vice President, Sales	< 1	23	—
Alan J. McLaren	45	Senior Vice President, Customer Care	< 1	28	—

Mike Jackson has served as our Chairman of the Board since January 2003, and as our Chief Executive Officer and Director since September 1999. From October 1998 until September 1999, Mr. Jackson served as Chief Executive Officer of Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, a North American operating unit of DaimlerChrysler AG, a multinational automotive manufacturing company. From April 1997 until September 1999, Mr. Jackson also served as President of Mercedes-Benz USA. From July 1990 until March 1997, Mr. Jackson served in various capacities at Mercedes-Benz USA, including as Executive Vice President immediately prior to his appointment as President of Mercedes-Benz USA. Mr. Jackson was also the managing partner from March 1979 to July 1990 of Euro Motorcars of Bethesda, Maryland, a regional group that owned and operated eleven automotive dealership franchises, including Mercedes-Benz and other brands of automobiles. In January 2011, Mr. Jackson was appointed to the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta's Miami Branch.

Michael E. Maroone has served as a director since July 2005 and as our President and Chief Operating Officer since August 1999. Following our acquisition of the Maroone Automotive Group in January 1997, Mr. Maroone served as President of our New Vehicle Dealer Division. In January 1998, Mr. Maroone was named President of our Automotive Retail Group with responsibility for our new and used vehicle operations. Prior to joining AutoNation, Mr. Maroone was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Maroone Automotive Group, one of the country's largest privately-held automotive retail groups prior to its acquisition by us.

Michael J. Short has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since January 2007. From 2000 to January 2007, Mr. Short served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Universal City Development Partners, Ltd. (dba Universal Orlando) ("Universal Orlando"). From 2005 until January 2007, he also served as Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Universal City Florida Holding Co. I, the limited partner of

Universal Orlando, and Universal City Florida Holding Co. II, the general partner of Universal Orlando. From 1991 to 2000, Mr. Short held

Table of Contents

various finance positions at Universal Orlando, Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc., and IBM Corporation. Prior to that, he was a helicopter pilot and tactics instructor for the United States Navy, based out of Norfolk, Virginia.

Jonathan P. Ferrando has served as our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since March 2005. Prior thereto, he served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from January 2000 until March 2005. In addition to his role as General Counsel, in September 2004, Mr. Ferrando assumed responsibility for our human resources and labor relations functions, and in March 2011, he assumed responsibility for our corporate development function. Mr. Ferrando joined our Company in July 1996 and served in various capacities within our Company, including as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of our Automotive Retail Group from March 1998 until January 2000. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Ferrando was a corporate attorney with Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom from 1991 until 1996.

David L. Koehler has served as our Senior Vice President, Sales, with responsibility for corporate initiatives in the areas of new and used vehicles and finance and insurance, since November 2011. Mr. Koehler joined our Company in April 2011 as a Market President in Florida. From May 2006 until April 2011, he served as a Region Vice President for Sonic Automotive. Prior to joining Sonic Automotive, he worked for Germain Motor Company, based in Columbus, Ohio.

Alan J. McLaren has served as our Senior Vice President, Customer Care, with responsibility for corporate initiatives in the area of parts and service, since January 2012. From February 2007 until December 2011, he was a senior executive with Mercedes-Benz USA, where he served as Vice President, Customer Services and President of Mercedes-Benz Manhattan. From June 2001 until February 2007, he was a senior executive with DaimlerChrysler Australia/Pacific.

Available Information

Our website is located at www.autonation.com, and our Investor Relations website is located at investors.autonation.com. The information on or accessible through our websites is not incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to reports filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available, free of charge, on our Investor Relations website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and prospects, and the prevailing market price and performance of our common stock may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the matters discussed below. Certain statements and information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including statements regarding our expectations for the automotive retail industry, as well as other written or oral statements made from time to time by us or by our authorized executive officers on our behalf, constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements other than statements of historical fact, including statements that describe our objectives, plans, or goals, are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of statements that include phrases such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "foresee," "likely," "will," or other similar words or phrases. Our forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations concerning future results and events, and they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that are difficult to predict and may cause our actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by these statements. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report or when made, and we undertake no obligation to revise or update these statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances. The risks, uncertainties, and other factors that our stockholders and prospective investors should consider include the following:

The automotive retail industry is sensitive to changing economic conditions and various other factors. Our business and results of operations are substantially dependent on new vehicle sales levels in the United States and in our particular geographic markets and the level of gross profit margins that we can achieve on our sales of new vehicles,

all of which are very difficult to predict.

We believe that many factors affect sales of new vehicles and automotive retailers' gross profit margins in the United States and in our particular geographic markets, including the economy, fuel prices, credit availability, interest rates, consumer confidence, the level of personal discretionary spending, unemployment rates, the state of housing markets, auto

12

Table of Contents

emission and fuel economy standards, the rate of inflation, currency exchange rates, the level of manufacturers' production capacity, manufacturer incentives (and consumers' reaction to such offers), intense industry competition, the prospects of war, other international conflicts or terrorist attacks, severe weather events, product quality, affordability and innovation, the number of consumers whose vehicle leases are expiring, and the length of consumer loans on existing vehicles. Changes in interest rates can significantly impact industry new vehicle sales and vehicle affordability due to the direct relationship between interest rates and monthly loan payments, a critical factor for many vehicle buyers, and the impact interest rates have on customers' borrowing capacity and disposable income. Sales of certain new vehicles, particularly larger trucks and sport utility vehicles that historically have provided us with higher gross margins, are sensitive to fuel prices and the level of construction activity. In addition, volatility in fuel prices can cause rapid shifts in consumer preferences which are difficult to accommodate given the long-lead time of inventory acquisition. In 2011, new vehicle sales were impacted by unfavorable economic conditions in the United States, including low economic growth, high unemployment, and a decline in wealth resulting from depressed housing and equity markets, and the annual rate of new vehicle sales remained below pre-recession levels.

Approximately 12.7 million, 11.5 million, and 10.4 million new vehicles were sold in the United States in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. While we expect that the annual rate of U.S. new vehicle unit sales will improve in 2012 as compared to 2011, there can be no assurance that it will. Further, our performance may differ from the performance of the automotive retail industry due to particular economic conditions and other factors in the geographic markets in which we operate. Economic conditions and the other factors described above may also materially adversely impact our sales of used vehicles, parts and automotive repair and maintenance services, and automotive finance and insurance products.

Our results of operations and financial condition have been and could continue to be adversely affected by the unfavorable economic conditions in the United States and/or Europe.

The unfavorable economic conditions that have affected the United States for the past few years, including low economic growth, high unemployment, and the decline in wealth resulting from depressed housing and equity markets, have adversely impacted the automotive retail market. These conditions continued to adversely impact consumer demand in 2011. Concerns over sovereign debt levels in the United States and/or Europe, and the possible negative implications to banks and the global economy arising out of the European debt crisis, could adversely impact the U.S. economy, credit availability, consumer confidence, and demand for new and used vehicles. Continuing or worsened unfavorable economic conditions in the United States or elsewhere could continue to adversely impact our business and results of operations.

Our debt agreements contain certain financial ratios and other restrictions on our ability to conduct our business, and our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and operations and prevent us from fulfilling our debt service obligations.

The credit agreement governing our term loan and revolving credit facilities, our mortgage facility, and the indentures relating to our 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018 and 5.5% Senior Notes due 2020 contain covenants that limit the discretion of our management with respect to various business matters. These covenants place restrictions on, among other things, our ability to incur additional indebtedness, to create liens or other encumbrances, and to sell or otherwise dispose of assets and to merge or consolidate with other entities. A failure by us to comply with the obligations contained in any of our debt agreements could result in an event of default, which could permit acceleration of the related debt as well as acceleration of debt under other debt agreements that contain cross-acceleration or cross-default provisions. If any debt is accelerated, our liquid assets may not be sufficient to repay in full such indebtedness and our other indebtedness. Additionally, we have granted certain manufacturers the right to acquire, at fair market value, our automotive stores franchised by those manufacturers in specified circumstances in the event of our default under our debt agreements.

Under our credit agreement, we are required to remain in compliance with a maximum leverage ratio and a maximum capitalization ratio. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources — Restrictions and Covenants" in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K. If our earnings decline, we may be unable to comply with the financial ratios required by our credit agreement. In such case, we would seek an amendment or waiver of our credit agreement or consider other options, such as raising capital through an equity issuance to pay down debt, which could be dilutive to stockholders. There can be no

assurance that our lenders would agree to an amendment or waiver of our credit agreement. In the event we obtain an amendment or waiver of our credit agreement, we would likely incur additional fees and higher interest expense.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$1.6 billion of total indebtedness (including amounts outstanding under our mortgage facility and capital leases but excluding floorplan financing), and our subsidiaries also had \$1.9 billion of floorplan financing. Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences. For example:

• We may have difficulty satisfying our debt service obligations and, if we fail to comply with these requirements, an event of default could result;

• We may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make required payments on indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of cash flow for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, and other general corporate activities;

• Covenants relating to our indebtedness may limit our ability to obtain financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, and other general corporate activities;

• Covenants relating to our indebtedness may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

• We may be more vulnerable to the impact of economic downturns and adverse developments in our business;

• We may be placed at a competitive disadvantage against any less leveraged competitors;

• Our variable interest rate debt will fluctuate with changing market conditions and, accordingly, our interest expense will increase if interest rates rise; and

• Future share repurchases may be limited by the maximum leverage ratio described above.

The occurrence of any one of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, prospects, and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations.

We are dependent upon the success and continued financial viability of the vehicle manufacturers and distributors with which we hold franchises.

The success of our stores is dependent on vehicle manufacturers in several key respects. First, we rely exclusively on the various vehicle manufacturers for our new vehicle inventory. Our ability to sell new vehicles is dependent on a vehicle manufacturer's ability to produce and allocate to our stores an attractive, high-quality, and desirable product mix at the right time in order to satisfy customer demand. Second, manufacturers generally support their franchisees by providing direct financial assistance in various areas, including, among others, floorplan assistance and advertising assistance. Third, manufacturers provide product warranties and, in some cases, service contracts to customers. Our stores perform warranty and service contract work for vehicles under manufacturer product warranties and service contracts, and direct bill the manufacturer as opposed to invoicing the store customer. At any particular time, we have significant receivables from manufacturers for warranty and service work performed for customers. In addition, we rely on manufacturers to varying extents for original equipment manufactured replacement parts, training, product brochures and point of sale materials, and other items for our stores. Our business, results of operations, and financial condition could be materially adversely affected as a result of any event that has a material adverse effect on the vehicle manufacturers or distributors that are our primary franchisors.

Vehicle manufacturers may be adversely impacted by economic downturns or recessions, significant declines in the sales of their new vehicles, natural disasters, increases in interest rates, adverse fluctuations in currency exchange rates, declines in their credit ratings, labor strikes or similar disruptions (including within their major suppliers), supply shortages or rising raw material costs, rising employee benefit costs, adverse publicity that may reduce consumer demand for their products (including due to bankruptcy), product defects, vehicle recall campaigns, litigation, poor product mix or unappealing vehicle design, governmental laws and regulations, import product restrictions, or other adverse events.

Vehicle manufacturers are subject to federal fuel economy requirements, which will increase substantially as a result of a new national program being implemented by the U.S. government to regulate greenhouse gases and fuel economy standards. These new requirements could materially adversely affect the ability of manufacturers to produce, and our ability to sell, vehicles in demand by consumers at affordable prices, which could materially adversely impact our business. These and other risks could materially adversely affect any manufacturer and impact its ability to profitably design, market, produce, or distribute new vehicles, which in turn could materially adversely affect our ability to obtain or finance our

Table of Contents

desired new vehicle inventories, our ability to take advantage of manufacturer financial assistance programs, our ability to collect in full or on a timely basis our manufacturer warranty and other receivables, and/or our ability to obtain other goods and services provided by the impacted manufacturer.

The core brands of vehicles that we sell are manufactured by Ford, Toyota, Nissan, General Motors, Honda, Mercedes-Benz, BMW, and Chrysler. These manufacturers have been adversely impacted by the unfavorable economic conditions in the United States and elsewhere. In the second quarter of 2009, each of Chrysler and General Motors filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. In connection with the Chrysler bankruptcy, franchise agreements relating to seven of our Chrysler dealerships were terminated (one of which was subsequently reinstated). In connection with the General Motors bankruptcy, we entered into wind-down agreements pursuant to which we agreed to close four of our General Motors dealerships and participation agreements under which our remaining General Motors dealerships will continue to operate.

Our business could be materially adversely impacted by another bankruptcy of a major vehicle manufacturer or related lender. For example, (i) a manufacturer in bankruptcy could attempt to terminate all or certain of our franchises, in which case we may not receive adequate compensation for our franchises, (ii) consumer demand for such manufacturer's products could be materially adversely affected, (iii) a lender in bankruptcy could attempt to terminate our floorplan financing and demand repayment of any amounts outstanding, (iv) we may be unable to arrange financing for our customers for their vehicle purchases and leases through such lender, in which case we would be required to seek financing with alternate financing sources, which may be difficult to obtain on similar terms, if at all, (v) we may be unable to collect some or all of our significant receivables that are due from such manufacturer or lender, and we may be subject to preference claims relating to payments made by such manufacturer or lender prior to bankruptcy, and (vi) such manufacturer may be relieved of its indemnification obligations with respect to product liability claims. Additionally, any such bankruptcy may result in us being required to incur impairment charges with respect to the inventory, fixed assets, and intangible assets related to certain franchises, which could adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition, and our ability to remain in compliance with the financial ratios contained in our debt agreements. Tens of billions of dollars of U.S. government support were provided to Chrysler, General Motors, and Ally Financial (formerly known as GMAC), and we believe that this support mitigated the potential adverse impacts to us resulting from the Chrysler and General Motors bankruptcies. There can be no assurance that U.S. government support will be provided to the same extent or at all in the event of another bankruptcy of a major vehicle manufacturer or related lender. As a result, the potential adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations could be relatively worse in a manufacturer or related lender bankruptcy which is not financially supported by the U.S. government.

Goodwill and other intangible assets comprise a significant portion of our total assets. We must test our goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment at least annually, which could result in a material, non-cash write-down of goodwill or franchise rights and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and shareholders' equity.

Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are subject to impairment assessments at least annually (or more frequently when events or circumstances indicate that an impairment may have occurred) by applying a fair-value based test. See "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K for additional information regarding our impairment testing. Our principal intangible assets are goodwill and our rights under our franchise agreements with vehicle manufacturers. An impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and shareholders' equity.

Our new vehicle sales are impacted by the consumer incentive and marketing programs of vehicle manufacturers. Most vehicle manufacturers from time to time establish various incentive and marketing programs designed to spur consumer demand for their vehicles. These programs impact our operations, particularly our sales of new vehicles. Since these programs are often not announced in advance, they can be difficult to plan for when ordering inventory. Additionally, manufacturers may modify and discontinue these incentive and marketing programs from time to time, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

Natural disasters and adverse weather events can disrupt our business.

Our stores are concentrated in states and regions in the United States, including primarily Florida, Texas, and California, in which actual or threatened natural disasters and severe weather events (such as hurricanes, earthquakes, fires, landslides,

Table of Contents

and hail storms) may disrupt our store operations, which may adversely impact our business, results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows. In addition to business interruption, the automotive retail business is subject to substantial risk of property loss due to the significant concentration of property values at store locations. Although we have, subject to certain deductibles, limitations, and exclusions, substantial insurance, we cannot assure you that we will not be exposed to uninsured or underinsured losses that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

In addition, natural disasters may adversely impact new vehicle production and the global automotive supply chain. In 2011, the earthquake and tsunami that struck Japan and the flooding in Thailand caused significant production and supply chain disruptions that resulted in significantly reduced new vehicle production and lower new vehicle shipments by Japanese manufacturers. These disruptions also impacted non-Japanese manufacturers that rely on components produced in Japan and/or Thailand. In 2011, our unit sales of new vehicles were adversely impacted by these disruptions.

We are subject to restrictions imposed by, and significant influence from, vehicle manufacturers that may adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and prospects, including our ability to acquire additional stores.

Vehicle manufacturers and distributors with whom we hold franchises have significant influence over the operations of our stores. The terms and conditions of our framework, franchise, and related agreements and the manufacturers' interests and objectives may, in certain circumstances, conflict with our interests and objectives. For example, manufacturers can set performance standards with respect to sales volume, sales effectiveness, and customer satisfaction, and can influence our ability to acquire additional stores, the naming and marketing of our stores, the operations of our e-commerce sites, our selection of store management, product stocking and advertising spending levels, and the level at which we capitalize our stores. Manufacturers also impose minimum facility requirements that can require significant capital expenditures. Manufacturers may also have certain rights to restrict our ability to provide guaranties of our operating companies, pledges of the capital stock of our subsidiaries, and liens on our assets, which could adversely impact our ability to obtain financing for our business and operations on favorable terms or at desired levels. From time to time, we are precluded under agreements with certain manufacturers from acquiring additional franchises, or subject to other adverse actions, to the extent we are not meeting certain performance criteria at our existing stores (with respect to matters such as sales volume, sales effectiveness, and customer satisfaction) until our performance improves in accordance with the agreements, subject to applicable state franchise laws. Manufacturers also have the right to establish new franchises or relocate existing franchises, subject to applicable state franchise laws. The establishment or relocation of franchises in our markets could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and prospects of our stores in the market in which the franchise action is taken.

Our framework, franchise, and related agreements also grant the manufacturer the right to terminate or compel us to sell our franchise for a variety of reasons (including uncured performance deficiencies, any unapproved change of ownership or management, or any unapproved transfer of franchise rights or impairment of financial standing or failure to meet capital requirements), subject to applicable state franchise laws. From time to time, certain major manufacturers assert sales and customer satisfaction performance deficiencies under the terms of our framework and franchise agreements. Additionally, our framework agreements contain restrictions regarding a change in control, which may be outside of our control. See "Agreements with Vehicle Manufacturers" in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-K. While we believe that we will be able to renew all of our franchise agreements, we cannot guarantee that all of our franchise agreements will be renewed or that the terms of the renewals will be favorable to us. We cannot assure you that our stores will be able to comply with manufacturers' sales, customer satisfaction performance, facility and other requirements in the future, which may affect our ability to acquire new stores or renew our franchise agreements, or subject us to other adverse actions, including termination or compelled sale of a franchise, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and prospects. Furthermore, we rely on the protection of state franchise laws in the states in which we operate and if those laws are repealed or weakened, our framework, franchise, and related agreements may become more susceptible to termination, non-renewal, or renegotiation.

In addition, we have granted certain manufacturers the right to acquire, at fair market value, our automotive dealerships franchised by that manufacturer in specified circumstances in the event of our default under certain of our debt agreements.

Table of Contents

We are subject to numerous legal and administrative proceedings, which, if the outcomes are adverse to us, could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, and prospects.

We are involved and will continue to be involved in numerous legal proceedings arising out of the conduct of our business, including litigation with customers, employment-related lawsuits, class actions, purported class actions, and actions brought by governmental authorities. We do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows. However, the results of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, and an unfavorable resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, cash flow, and prospects.

Our operations are subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations. If we are found to be in violation of or subject to liabilities under any of these laws or regulations, or if new laws or regulations are enacted that adversely affect our operations, our business, operating results, and prospects could suffer.

The automotive retail industry, including our facilities and operations, is subject to a wide range of federal, state, and local laws and regulations, such as those relating to motor vehicle sales, retail installment sales, leasing, sales of finance, insurance, and vehicle protection products, licensing, consumer protection, consumer privacy, escheatment, anti-money laundering, environmental, vehicle emissions and fuel economy, health and safety, wage-hour, anti-discrimination, and other employment practices. With respect to motor vehicle sales, retail installment sales, leasing, and the sale of finance, insurance, and vehicle protection products at our stores, we are subject to various laws and regulations, the violation of which could subject us to consumer class action or other lawsuits or governmental investigations and adverse publicity, in addition to administrative, civil, or criminal sanctions. The violation of other laws and regulations to which we are subject also can result in administrative, civil, or criminal sanctions against us, which may include a cease and desist order against the subject operations or even revocation or suspension of our license to operate the subject business, as well as significant fines and penalties. We currently devote significant resources to comply with applicable federal, state, and local regulation of health, safety, environmental, zoning, and land use regulations, and we may need to spend additional time, effort, and money to keep our operations and existing or acquired facilities in compliance therewith. In addition, we may be subject to broad liabilities arising out of contamination at our currently and formerly owned or operated facilities, at locations to which hazardous substances were transported from such facilities, and at such locations related to entities formerly affiliated with us. Although for some such liabilities we believe we are entitled to indemnification from other entities, we cannot assure you that such entities will view their obligations as we do or will be able to satisfy them. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, and prospects.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which was signed into law on July 21, 2010, established a new consumer financial protection agency with broad regulatory powers. Although automotive dealers are generally excluded, the Dodd-Frank Act could lead to additional, indirect regulation of automotive dealers through its regulation of automotive finance companies and other financial institutions. In addition, we expect that the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, which was signed into law on March 23, 2010, will increase our annual employee health care costs that we fund, with the most significant increases commencing in 2014.

Furthermore, we expect that new laws and regulations, particularly at the federal level, in other areas may be enacted, which could also materially adversely impact our business. The labor policy of the current administration could lead to increased unionization efforts, which could lead to higher labor costs, disrupt our store operations, and reduce our profitability. In addition, a recently enacted federal law that enables dealers to seek reinstatement through arbitration of automotive franchises that were terminated in connection with the Chrysler and General Motors bankruptcies could adversely impact us by increasing our competition and causing Chrysler and General Motors to be less efficient. We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with our vehicle floorplan payables, revolving credit facility, and term loan facility that could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

Most of our debt, including our vehicle floorplan payable, is subject to variable interest rates. Our variable interest rate debt will fluctuate with changing market conditions and, accordingly, our interest expense will increase if interest rates rise. In addition, our net inventory carrying cost (new vehicle floorplan interest expense net of floorplan

assistance that we receive from automotive manufacturers) may increase due to changes in interest rates, inventory levels, and manufacturer assistance. We cannot assure you that a significant increase in interest rates would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Our largest stockholders, as a result of their ownership stakes in us, have the ability to exert substantial influence over actions to be taken or approved by our stockholders. These stockholders are represented on our Board of Directors and, therefore, may also have the ability to exert substantial influence over actions to be taken or approved by our Board. In addition, future share repurchases and purchases by our affiliates could further reduce our public float and could adversely impact the liquidity of our common stock.

As of February 8, 2012, ESL Investments, Inc. together with certain of its investment affiliates (collectively, “ESL”) beneficially owns approximately 51% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. As a result, ESL has the ability to exert substantial influence over actions to be taken or approved by our stockholders, including the election of directors and any transactions involving a change of control. In addition, William C. Crowley, the President and Chief Operating Officer of ESL, is one of our directors. ESL, therefore, may also have the ability to exert substantial influence over actions to be taken or approved by our Board.

As of February 8, 2012, Cascade Investment, L.L.C. (“Cascade”), which is solely owned by William H. Gates III, beneficially owns approximately 10% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation Trust (the “Trust”), of which William H. Gates III is a co-trustee, beneficially owns approximately 3% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. As a result, Cascade and the Trust may have the ability to exert substantial influence over actions to be taken or approved by our stockholders. In addition, Michael Larson, the chief investment officer for William H. Gates III and Business Manager for Cascade, is one of our directors. Cascade and the Trust, therefore, may also have the ability to exert substantial influence over actions to be taken or approved by our Board. In the future, our largest stockholders may acquire or dispose of shares of our common stock and thereby increase or decrease their ownership stake in us. Based on public filings made with the SEC, in the fourth quarter of 2011, ESL and the Trust disposed of approximately 7.3 million and 5.7 million shares of our common stock, respectively, and from January 1, 2012 through February 8, 2012, ESL and the Trust disposed of approximately 6.3 million and 1.1 million shares of our common stock, respectively. The Trust has publicly disclosed an intent to reduce its ownership to below 2% of our common stock. Significant fluctuations in the levels of ownership of our largest stockholders could impact the volume of trading, liquidity, and market price of our common stock.

In the aggregate, ESL, Cascade, the Trust, our executive officers, and our directors beneficially own approximately 67% of our outstanding shares as of February 8, 2012. Future share repurchases by the Company, together with any future share purchases by our affiliates, will further reduce our “public float” (shares owned by non-affiliate stockholders and available for trading). Such reduction in our public float could decrease the volume of trading and liquidity of our common stock, could lead to increased volatility in the market price of our common stock, or could adversely impact the market price of our common stock.

A failure of our information systems or any security breach or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our business is dependent upon the efficient operation of our information systems. In particular, we rely on our information systems to effectively manage our pricing strategy and tools, sales, inventory, and service efforts, the preparation of our consolidated financial and operating data, consumer financing, and customer information. The failure of our information systems to perform as designed or the failure to maintain and enhance or protect the integrity of these systems could disrupt our business operations, impact sales and results of operations, expose us to customer or third-party claims, or result in adverse publicity. Additionally, we collect, process, and retain sensitive and confidential customer information in the normal course of our business. Despite the security measures we have in place and any additional measures we may implement in the future, our facilities and systems, and those of our third-party service providers, could be vulnerable to security breaches, computer viruses, lost or misplaced data, programming errors, human errors, acts of vandalism, or other events. Any security breach or event resulting in the misappropriation, loss, or other unauthorized disclosure of confidential information, whether by us directly or our third-party service providers, could damage our reputation, expose us to the risks of litigation and liability, disrupt our business, or otherwise affect our results of operations.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

18

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease our current corporate headquarters facility in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, pursuant to a lease expiring on December 31, 2020. As of February 2012, we also own or lease numerous facilities relating to our operations under each of our operating segments. These facilities are located in the following 15 states: Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Maryland, Minnesota, Nevada, Ohio, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Washington. These facilities consist primarily of automobile showrooms, display lots, service facilities, collision repair centers, supply facilities, automobile storage lots, parking lots, and offices. We believe that our facilities are sufficient for our current needs and are in good condition in all material respects.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved and will continue to be involved in numerous legal proceedings arising out of the conduct of our business, including litigation with customers, employment-related lawsuits, class actions, purported class actions, and actions brought by governmental authorities. We do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows. However, the results of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, and an unfavorable resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, cash flow, and prospects.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information, Holders, and Dividends

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "AN." The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock for the periods indicated.

	High	Low
2011		
Fourth Quarter	\$41.51	\$30.46
Third Quarter	\$41.55	\$32.18
Second Quarter	\$37.30	\$31.07
First Quarter	\$36.07	\$27.32
2010		
Fourth Quarter	\$28.50	\$22.22
Third Quarter	\$25.05	\$18.08
Second Quarter	\$21.96	\$17.99
First Quarter	\$20.87	\$17.18

As of February 8, 2012, there were approximately 2,053 holders of record of our common stock. A substantially greater number of holders of our common stock are "street name" or beneficial holders, whose shares are held of record by banks, brokers, and other financial institutions.

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock during our two most recent fiscal years. We do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The table below sets forth information with respect to shares of common stock repurchased by AutoNation, Inc. during 2011.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in millions) ⁽¹⁾
October 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011	1,584,800	\$33.79	1,568,333	\$314.7
November 1, 2011 – November 30, 2011	3,465,843	\$34.49	3,465,843	\$195.2
December 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011	1,255,299	\$36.17	1,255,299	\$149.8
Total for three months ended December 31, 2011	6,305,942		6,289,475	
Total for twelve months ended December 31, 2011	17,147,153		17,087,701	

⁽¹⁾ On October 23, 2007, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program that authorized us to repurchase up to \$250 million in shares of our common stock. In each of October 2009, May 2010, July 2010, May 2011, October 2011, and January 2012, our Board increased the amount authorized under the program by \$250 million. Our stock repurchase program does not have an expiration date. In 2011, all of our shares were repurchased under

our stock repurchase program, except for 59,452 shares that were surrendered to AutoNation to satisfy tax withholding

Table of Contents

obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock or pay for an option exercise (8,289 shares in the first quarter of 2011, 23,008 shares in the second quarter of 2011, 11,688 shares in the third quarter of 2011, and 16,467 shares in the fourth quarter 2011).

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph and table compare the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock from December 31, 2006 through December 31, 2011 with the performance of: (i) the Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”) 500 Index and (ii) a self-constructed peer group consisting of other public companies in the automotive retail market, referred to as the “Public Auto Retail Peer Group.” The Public Auto Retail Peer Group consists of Asbury Automotive Group, Inc., CarMax, Inc., Group 1 Automotive, Inc., Lithia Motors, Inc., Penske Automotive Group, Inc., and Sonic Automotive, Inc., and these companies are weighted by market capitalization. We have created these comparisons using data supplied by Research Data Group, Inc. The comparisons reflected in the graph and table are not intended to forecast the future performance of our stock and may not be indicative of future performance. The graph and table assume that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2006 in each of our common stock, the S&P 500 Index, and the Public Auto Retail Peer Group and that any dividends were reinvested.

Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Return for AutoNation, Inc., the S&P 500 Index, and the Public Auto Retail Peer Group

Copyright© 2012 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. All rights reserved.

	12/06	12/07	12/08	12/09	12/10	12/11
AutoNation Inc.	100.00	73.45	46.34	89.82	132.27	172.94
S&P 500	100.00	105.49	66.46	84.05	96.71	98.75
Public Auto Retail Peer Group	100.00	69.02	26.73	72.91	96.31	100.37

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

You should read the following Selected Financial Data in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, and other financial information included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

(In millions, except per share data and unit sales)	As of and for the Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Consolidated Income Statements Data:					
Revenue	\$13,832.3	\$12,461.0	\$10,666.0	\$13,238.7	\$16,190.8
Total segment income (loss) ^{(1) (2)}	\$529.3	\$454.1	\$372.3	\$(1,360.9)	\$563.0
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes ⁽²⁾	\$461.3	\$381.3	\$349.2	\$(1,401.5)	\$450.8
Net income (loss) ⁽²⁾	\$281.4	\$226.6	\$198.0	\$(1,243.1)	\$278.7
Basic earnings (loss) per share:					
Continuing operations ⁽²⁾	\$1.96	\$1.50	\$1.32	\$(6.82)	\$1.43
Discontinued operations ⁽²⁾	\$(0.02)	\$(0.06)	\$(0.20)	\$(0.17)	\$(0.02)
Net income (loss)	\$1.94	\$1.44	\$1.12	\$(6.99)	\$1.41
Weighted average common shares outstanding	144.8	156.9	176.5	177.8	198.3
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:					
Continuing operations ⁽²⁾	\$1.93	\$1.48	\$1.31	\$(6.82)	\$1.41
Discontinued operations ⁽²⁾	\$(0.02)	\$(0.05)	\$(0.20)	\$(0.17)	\$(0.02)
Net income (loss)	\$1.91	\$1.43	\$1.12	\$(6.99)	\$1.39
Weighted average common shares outstanding	147.3	158.6	177.3	177.8	200.0
Common shares outstanding, net of treasury stock	135.8	148.4	171.7	176.9	180.4
Consolidated Balance Sheets Data:					
Total assets	\$6,198.8	\$5,974.2	\$5,407.3	\$6,014.1	\$8,479.6
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	\$1,634.4	\$1,340.6	\$1,105.0	\$1,225.6	\$1,751.9
Shareholders’ equity	\$1,894.6	\$2,078.9	\$2,303.2	\$2,198.1	\$3,473.5
Retail vehicle unit sales (continuing operations):					
New vehicle	224,034	206,456	182,160	241,625	303,007
Used vehicle	171,094	160,126	133,990	166,897	185,255
Total	395,128	366,582	316,150	408,522	488,262

Total segment income (loss), as presented in our segment information in Note 20 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, is calculated by subtracting floorplan interest expense from operating income (loss), and is used as a key measure of profitability by management. Operating income (loss) and floorplan interest expense are each presented in our financial statements.

During 2008, we recorded impairment charges of \$1.76 billion (\$1.46 billion after-tax) associated with goodwill and franchise rights. During 2009, we reclassified impairment charges related to franchise rights of \$19.1 million (\$11.7 million after-tax) that were recorded during 2008 to Loss from Discontinued Operations in our Consolidated Income Statements for the year ended December 31, 2008, as the stores associated with these impairment charges were reclassified to discontinued operations during 2009.

See the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of Shareholders’ Equity (Note 9), Income Taxes (Note 11), Earnings (Loss) Per Share (Note 12), Discontinued Operations (Note 13), Acquisitions (Note 14), and Segment Information (Note 20), and the effect on comparability of year-to-year data. See Part II, Item 5 of this Form 10-K for a discussion of our dividend policy.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Part I, including matters set forth in the "Risk Factors" section of this Form 10-K, and our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Certain reclassifications of amounts previously reported have been made to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements in order to maintain consistency and comparability between periods presented.

Except to the extent that differences among operating segments are material to an understanding of our business taken as a whole, we present the discussion in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on a consolidated basis.

Overview

AutoNation, Inc., through its subsidiaries, is the largest automotive retailer in the United States. As of December 31, 2011, we owned and operated 258 new vehicle franchises from 215 stores located in the United States, predominantly in major metropolitan markets in the Sunbelt region. Our stores, which we believe include some of the most recognizable and well known in our key markets, sell 32 different brands of new vehicles. The core brands of vehicles that we sell, representing approximately 90% of the new vehicles that we sold in 2011, are manufactured by Ford, Toyota, Nissan, General Motors, Honda, Mercedes-Benz, BMW, and Chrysler.

We offer a diversified range of automotive products and services, including new vehicles, used vehicles, parts and automotive repair and maintenance services (also referred to as "parts and service"), and automotive finance and insurance products (also referred to as "finance and insurance"), which includes the arranging of financing for vehicle purchases through third-party finance sources. We believe that the significant scale of our operations and the quality of our managerial talent allow us to achieve efficiencies in our key markets by, among other things, leveraging our market brands and advertising, improving asset management, implementing standardized processes, and increasing productivity across all of our stores.

As of December 31, 2011, we had three operating segments: Domestic, Import, and Premium Luxury. Our Domestic segment is comprised of retail automotive franchises that sell new vehicles manufactured by General Motors, Ford, and Chrysler. Our Import segment is comprised of retail automotive franchises that sell new vehicles manufactured primarily by Toyota, Honda, and Nissan. Our Premium Luxury segment is comprised of retail automotive franchises that sell new vehicles manufactured primarily by Mercedes-Benz, BMW, and Lexus. The franchises in each segment also sell used vehicles, parts and automotive repair and maintenance services, and automotive finance and insurance products.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, new vehicle sales accounted for approximately 54% of our total revenue, but approximately 24% of our total gross profit. Used vehicle sales accounted for approximately 25% of our total revenue, and approximately 12% of our total gross profit. Our parts and service and finance and insurance operations, while comprising approximately 20% of total revenue, contributed approximately 63% of our gross profit.

Results of Operations

We had net income from continuing operations of \$284.2 million and diluted earnings per share of \$1.93 in 2011, as compared to net income from continuing operations of \$235.3 million and diluted earnings per share of \$1.48 in 2010, and net income from continuing operations of \$233.1 million and diluted earnings per share of \$1.31 in 2009.

The 2011 results were impacted by a loss on debt extinguishment, including debt refinancing costs and the write-off of previously deferred debt issuance costs, of \$2.2 million (\$1.4 million after-tax).

The 2010 results were impacted by a loss on debt extinguishment, including debt refinancing costs and the write-off of previously deferred debt issuance costs, of \$19.6 million (\$12.1 million after-tax).

The 2009 results were impacted by a favorable tax adjustment of approximately \$12.7 million, a net gain on asset sales and dispositions of \$16.8 million (\$10.4 million after-tax), and a gain on senior note repurchases of \$13.0 million (\$8.1 million after-tax). See Notes 7 and 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. Our results of operations for 2009 were also favorably impacted by the Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save Act of 2009,

Table of Contents

commonly referred to as “cash for clunkers,” that officially began in July 2009 and ended in August 2009. Cash for clunkers stimulated consumer demand for new vehicles, and we sold approximately 12,500 new vehicles under the program.

Market Conditions

Full-year U.S. industry new vehicle unit sales were 12.7 million in 2011, as compared to 11.5 million in 2010 and 10.4 million in 2009. While unemployment in the United States remained high, housing markets remained depressed, and the SAAR remained below pre-recession levels, we saw an improving automotive retail market during 2011 as compared to the past few years, and we expect continued improvement over the next several years. We currently anticipate full-year U.S. industry new vehicle unit sales will increase to approximately 14.0 million in 2012. However, actual sales may materially differ.

In 2011, the earthquake and tsunami that struck Japan and the flooding in Thailand caused significant production and supply chain disruptions that resulted in significantly reduced new vehicle production and lower new vehicle shipments by Japanese manufacturers. These disruptions also impacted non-Japanese manufacturers that rely on components produced in Japan and/or Thailand. In 2011, our unit sales of new vehicles were adversely impacted by these disruptions; however, gross profit per vehicle retailed benefited significantly from constrained supply. Shipments from Japanese manufacturers began to improve at the end of the third quarter and continued to improve in the fourth quarter of 2011. We expect that the improving supply environment will result in lower gross profit per vehicle retailed in 2012.

While we believe that new vehicle unit sales will improve in 2012 and over the next several years, we also believe that the automotive retail market will remain challenging and that the annual rate of new vehicle unit sales will remain below pre-recession levels in 2012. The rate of industry new vehicle unit sales over the past few years has led to a decline in the number of recent-model-year vehicles in operation, our primary service base, and it may take several years for this service base to return to pre-recession levels.

Debt Refinancing

Please refer to “Liquidity and Capital Resources – Debt Refinancing Transactions” below for a discussion of certain refinancing transactions that we completed during the second quarter of 2010, the fourth quarter of 2011, and the first quarter of 2012.

Inventory Management

Our new and used vehicle inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We have generally not experienced losses on the sale of new vehicle inventory, in part due to incentives provided by manufacturers to promote sales of new vehicles and our inventory management practices. We had 43,906 units in new vehicle inventory at December 31, 2011, and 48,499 units at December 31, 2010. We continue to monitor our new vehicle inventory levels closely based on current economic conditions and will adjust them as appropriate.

In general, used vehicles that are not sold on a retail basis are liquidated at wholesale auctions. We record estimated losses on used vehicle inventory expected to be liquidated at wholesale auctions at a loss. Our used vehicle inventory balance was net of cumulative write-downs of \$0.9 million at December 31, 2011, and \$0.4 million at December 31, 2010.

Parts, accessories, and other inventory are carried at the lower of acquisition cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. We estimate the amount of potential obsolete inventory based upon past experience and market trends. Our parts, accessories, and other inventory balance was net of cumulative write-downs of \$2.8 million at December 31, 2011, and \$3.4 million at December 31, 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis and we base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions we believe to be reasonable. Actual outcomes could differ materially from those estimates in a manner that could have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements. Set

Table of Contents

forth below are the policies and estimates that we have identified as critical to our business operations and an understanding of our results of operations, based on the high degree of judgment or complexity in their application.

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually on April 30 or more frequently when events or changes in circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred.

We completed our annual test for impairment of goodwill as of April 30, 2011, and no goodwill impairment charges resulted from the required impairment test. The goodwill impairment analysis is dependent on many variables used to determine the fair value of our reporting units.

As discussed in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, we estimate the fair value of our reporting units using an “income” valuation approach, which discounts projected free cash flows of the reporting unit at a computed weighted average cost of capital as the discount rate. The income valuation approach requires the use of significant estimates and assumptions, which include revenue growth rates and future operating margins used to calculate projected future cash flows, weighted average costs of capital, and future economic and market conditions. In connection with this process, we also reconcile the estimated aggregate fair values of our reporting units to our market capitalization, including consideration of a control premium that represents the estimated amount an investor would pay for our equity securities to obtain a controlling interest. We believe this reconciliation process is consistent with a market participant perspective. We base our cash flow forecasts on our knowledge of the automotive industry, our recent performance, our expectations of our future performance, and other assumptions we believe to be reasonable but that are unpredictable and inherently uncertain. Actual future results may differ from those estimates. We also make certain judgments and assumptions in allocating shared assets and liabilities to determine the carrying values for each of our reporting units.

The test for goodwill impairment is a two-step approach. A first step failure would have required us to perform the second step of the goodwill impairment test to measure the amount of implied fair value of goodwill and, if required, the recognition of a non-cash goodwill impairment charge. As of December 31, 2011, we have \$156.4 million of goodwill related to the Domestic reporting unit, \$531.6 million related to the Import reporting unit, and \$484.2 million related to the Premium Luxury reporting unit. A significant change in the assumptions used to estimate fair value could result in a material impairment charge to the goodwill associated with our reporting units.

The fair values of the Domestic, Import, and Premium Luxury reporting units were substantially in excess of their carrying values as of April 30, 2011, the date of our most recent annual impairment test.

Other Intangible Assets

Our principal identifiable intangible assets are individual store rights under franchise agreements with vehicle manufacturers, which have indefinite lives and are tested at least annually on April 30 for impairment. The impairment test for intangibles with indefinite lives requires the comparison of estimated fair value to its carrying value by store. Fair values of rights under franchise agreements are estimated by discounting expected future cash flows of the store. The forecasted cash flows contain inherent uncertainties, including significant estimates and assumptions related to growth rates, margins, working capital requirements, capital expenditures, and cost of capital, for which we utilize certain market participant-based assumptions, using third-party industry projections, economic projections, and other marketplace data we believe to be reasonable.

We completed our annual impairment test for intangible assets with indefinite lives as of April 30, 2011, and no impairment charges resulted from the required impairment tests. Our franchise rights, which related to 28 franchises and totaled \$212.6 million at April 30, 2011, are evaluated for impairment on a franchise-by-franchise basis. If the fair value of each of our franchise rights had been determined to be a hypothetical 10% lower as of the valuation date of April 30, 2011, the resulting impairment charge would have been less than \$3.0 million.

Long-Lived Assets

We estimate the depreciable lives of our property and equipment, including leasehold improvements, and review them for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may be impaired. Such events or changes may include a significant decrease in market value, a significant change in the business climate in a particular market, a current expectation that more-likely-than-not a long-lived asset will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly

Table of Contents

before the end of its previously estimated useful life, or a current-period operating or cash flow loss combined with historical losses or projected future losses.

When evaluating potential impairment of long-lived assets held and used, we first compare the carrying amount of the asset group to the asset group's estimated future undiscounted cash flows. If the estimated future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the asset group, we then compare the carrying amount of the asset group to the asset group's estimated fair value to determine if impairment exists. The fair value measurements for our long-lived assets held and used are based on Level 3 inputs, which considered information obtained from third-party real estate valuation sources. See Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about our fair value measurements. We recognize an impairment loss if the amount of the asset group's carrying amount exceeds the asset group's estimated fair value. If we recognize an impairment loss, the adjusted carrying amount of the asset group becomes its new cost basis. For a depreciable long-lived asset, the new cost basis will be depreciated over the remaining useful life of that asset.

When property and equipment is identified as held for sale, we reclassify the held for sale assets to Other Current Assets and cease recording depreciation. We measure each long-lived asset or disposal group at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell and recognize a loss for any initial adjustment of the long-lived asset's or disposal group's carrying amount to fair value less cost to sell in the period the "held for sale" criteria are met. We periodically evaluate the carrying value of assets held for sale to determine if, based on market conditions, the values of these assets should be adjusted. Any subsequent change in the fair value less cost to sell (increase or decrease) of each asset held for sale is reported as an adjustment to its carrying amount, except that the adjusted carrying amount cannot exceed the carrying amount of the long-lived asset or disposal group at the time it was initially classified as held for sale. Such valuations include estimations of fair values and incremental direct costs to transact a sale. The fair value measurements for our long-lived assets held for sale were based on Level 3 inputs, which considered information obtained from third-party real estate valuation sources, or, in certain cases, pending agreements to sell the related assets.

We had assets held for sale in continuing operations of \$70.1 million at December 31, 2011, and \$62.5 million at December 31, 2010. We recorded \$1.1 million during 2011 and \$2.5 million during 2010 of non-cash impairment charges associated with assets held for sale in continuing operations to reduce the carrying value of these assets to fair value less cost to sell. During 2011, we also recorded \$1.1 million of non-cash impairment charges related to a valuation adjustment for the cumulative depreciation not recorded during the held for sale period for continuing operations assets that were reclassified from held for sale to held and used during 2011. The 2011 and 2010 charges are recorded as a component of Other Expenses (Income), Net in the Consolidated Income Statements and are reported in the "Corporate and other" category of our segment information.

We had assets held for sale in discontinued operations of \$49.5 million at December 31, 2011, and \$53.8 million at December 31, 2010. We recorded \$0.5 million during 2011 and \$3.4 million during 2010 of non-cash impairment charges associated with assets held for sale in discontinued operations to reduce the carrying value of these assets to fair value less cost to sell. These charges are recorded as a component of Loss from Discontinued Operations in the Consolidated Income Statements.

Our impairment loss calculations contain uncertainties because they require us to make assumptions and to apply judgment to estimate future undiscounted cash flows and asset fair values, including forecasting useful lives of the assets. Although we believe our property and equipment and assets held for sale are appropriately valued, the assumptions and estimates used may change and we may be required to record impairment charges to reduce the value of these assets.

Chargeback Reserve

Revenue on finance and insurance products represents commissions earned by us for: (i) loans and leases placed with financial institutions in connection with customer vehicle purchases financed, (ii) vehicle service contracts sold, and (iii) insurance and other products sold. We primarily sell these products on a straight commission basis; however we also participate in future underwriting profit on certain extended service contracts pursuant to retrospective commission arrangements, which are recognized as earned.

We may be charged back for commissions related to financing, insurance, or vehicle protection products in the event of early termination of the contracts by customers (“chargebacks”). These commissions are recorded at the time of the sale of the vehicles, net of an estimated liability for chargebacks.

Table of Contents

We estimate our liability for chargebacks on an individual product basis using our historical chargeback experience, based primarily on cancellation data we receive from third parties that sell and administer these products. Our estimated liability for chargebacks totaled \$46.2 million at December 31, 2011, and \$42.5 million at December 31, 2010.

Chargebacks are influenced by the volume of vehicle sales in recent years and increases or decreases in early termination rates resulting from cancellation of vehicle protection products, defaults, refinancings, payoffs before maturity, and other factors. While we consider these factors in the estimation of our chargeback liability, actual events may differ from our estimates, which could result in a change in our estimated liability for chargebacks. The increase in our liability for chargebacks is largely attributable to higher volume of vehicle sales in recent years, as well as an increase in customer cancellations of finance and insurance products. A 10% change in our estimated chargebacks would have changed our estimated liability for chargebacks at December 31, 2011, by approximately \$4.6 million. See Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Finance Statements for further information regarding chargeback liabilities.

Self Insurance Reserves

Under our self insurance programs, we retain various levels of aggregate loss limits, per claim deductibles, and claims-handling expenses as part of our various insurance programs, including property and casualty, employee medical benefits, automobile, and workers' compensation. Costs in excess of this retained risk per claim may be insured under various contracts with third-party insurance carriers. We review our claim and loss history on a periodic basis to assist in assessing our future liability. The ultimate costs of these retained insurance risks are estimated by management and by third-party actuarial evaluation of historical claims experience, adjusted for current trends and changes in claims-handling procedures. Our results could be materially impacted by claims and other expenses related to our self insurance programs if future occurrences and claims differ from these assumptions and historical trends. Self insurance reserves totaled \$58.2 million at December 31, 2011, and \$64.0 million at December 31, 2010. A 10% change in the volume of claims would have changed our estimated liability at December 31, 2011, by approximately \$5.8 million. We believe our actual loss experience has not been materially different from our recorded estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue consists of the sales of new and used vehicles, sales of parts and services, commissions from finance and insurance products, and sales of other products. We recognize revenue in the period in which products are sold or services are provided. We recognize vehicle and finance and insurance revenue when a sales contract has been executed, the vehicle has been delivered, and payment has been received or financing has been arranged. Rebates, holdbacks, floorplan assistance, and certain other incentives received from manufacturers are recorded as a reduction of the cost of the vehicle and recognized into income upon the sale of the vehicle or when earned under a specific manufacturer program, whichever is later. See Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding revenue recognition.

Income Taxes

Estimates and judgments are used in the calculation of certain tax liabilities and in the determination of the recoverability of certain deferred tax assets. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. We regularly evaluate the recoverability of our deferred tax assets and provide valuation allowances to offset portions of deferred tax assets due to uncertainty surrounding the future realization of such deferred tax assets. Valuation allowances are based on historical taxable income, projected future taxable income, the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences, and the implementation of tax-planning strategies. We adjust the valuation allowance in the period we determine it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will or will not be realized. If a change in circumstances results in a change in our ability to realize our deferred tax assets, our tax provision would be adjusted in the period when the change in circumstances occurs.

Accounting for our income taxes also requires significant judgment in the evaluation of our uncertain tax positions and in the calculation of our provision for income taxes. Accounting standards related to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes prescribe a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate available evidence to determine if it appears more likely than not that an uncertain tax position will be sustained on an audit by a taxing authority, based solely on the technical merits of the tax position. The second step is

to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon settling the uncertain tax position.

Table of Contents

Although we believe we have adequately reserved for our uncertain tax positions, the ultimate outcome of these tax matters may differ from our expectations. We adjust our reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the completion of a tax audit, expiration of a statute of limitations, the refinement of an estimate, and interest accruals associated with uncertain tax positions until they are resolved. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will impact the provision for income taxes in the period in which such determination is made.

Our future effective tax rates could be affected by changes in our deferred tax assets or liabilities, the valuation of our uncertain tax positions, or by changes in tax laws, regulations, accounting principles, or interpretations thereof.

Other

Additionally, significant estimates have been made by us in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements including allowances for doubtful accounts, accruals related to certain legal proceedings, estimated losses from disposals of discontinued operations, and certain assumptions related to determining stock-based compensation.

Table of Contents

Reported Operating Data

(\$ in millions, except per vehicle data)	Years Ended December 31,				2009	2010 vs. 2009	
	2011	2010	2011 vs. 2010 Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance		Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Revenue:							
New vehicle	\$7,498.9	\$6,669.1	\$829.8	12.4	\$5,674.6	\$994.5	17.5
Used vehicle	3,512.8	3,116.1	396.7	12.7	2,485.9	630.2	25.4
Parts and service	2,293.1	2,209.1	84.0	3.8	2,109.8	99.3	4.7
Finance and insurance, net	474.5	418.9	55.6	13.3	348.6	70.3	20.2
Other	53.0	47.8	5.2		47.1	0.7	
Total revenue	\$13,832.3	\$12,461.0	\$1,371.3	11.0	\$10,666.0	\$1,795.0	16.8
Gross profit:							
New vehicle	\$547.7	\$451.2	\$96.5	21.4	\$384.0	\$67.2	17.5
Used vehicle	284.8	266.7	18.1	6.8	228.7	38.0	16.6
Parts and service	970.1	963.2	6.9	0.7	922.2	41.0	4.4
Finance and insurance	474.5	418.9	55.6	13.3	348.6	70.3	20.2
Other	26.9	27.5	(0.6))	26.3	1.2	
Total gross profit	2,304.0	2,127.5	176.5	8.3	1,909.8	217.7	11.4
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	1,649.4	1,552.1	(97.3)) (6.3)	1,446.9	(105.2)) (7.3)
Depreciation and amortization	83.7	76.8	(6.9))	76.7	(0.1))
Franchise rights impairment	—	—	—		1.5	1.5	
Other expenses (income), net	(1.1)) 2.0	3.1		(23.3)) (25.3))
Operating income	572.0	496.6	75.4	15.2	408.0	88.6	21.7
Floorplan interest expense	(42.7)) (42.5)) (0.2))	(35.7)) (6.8))
Other interest expense	(66.0)) (56.1)) (9.9))	(42.6)) (13.5))
Loss on debt extinguishment	(2.2)) (19.6)) 17.4		—	(19.6))
Gain on senior note repurchases	—	—	—		13.0	(13.0))
Interest income	0.7	1.4	(0.7))	1.1	0.3	
Other gains (losses), net	(0.5)) 1.5	(2.0))	5.4	(3.9))
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$461.3	\$381.3	\$80.0	21.0	\$349.2	\$32.1	9.2
Retail vehicle unit sales:							
New vehicle	224,034	206,456	17,578	8.5	182,160	24,296	13.3
Used vehicle	171,094	160,126	10,968	6.8	133,990	26,136	19.5
	395,128	366,582	28,546	7.8	316,150	50,432	16.0
Revenue per vehicle retailed:							
New vehicle	\$33,472	\$32,303	\$1,169	3.6	\$31,152	\$1,151	3.7
Used vehicle	\$17,812	\$17,266	\$546	3.2	\$16,303	\$963	5.9
Gross profit per vehicle retailed:							
New vehicle	\$2,445	\$2,185	\$260	11.9	\$2,108	\$77	3.7
Used vehicle	\$1,640	\$1,612	\$28	1.7	\$1,670	\$(58)) (3.5)
Finance and insurance	\$1,201	\$1,143	\$58	5.1	\$1,103	\$40	3.6

Table of Contents

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011 (%)	2010 (%)	2009 (%)
Revenue mix percentages:			
New vehicle	54.2	53.5	53.2
Used vehicle	25.4	25.0	23.3
Parts and service	16.6	17.7	19.8
Finance and insurance, net	3.4	3.4	3.3
Other	0.4	0.4	0.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Gross profit mix percentages:			
New vehicle	23.8	21.2	20.1
Used vehicle	12.4	12.5	12.0
Parts and service	42.1	45.3	48.3
Finance and insurance	20.6	19.7	18.3
Other	1.1	1.3	1.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Operating items as a percentage of revenue:			
Gross profit:			
New vehicle	7.3	6.8	6.8
Used vehicle-retail	9.2	9.3	10.2
Parts and service	42.3	43.6	43.7
Total	16.7	17.1	17.9
Selling, general and administrative expenses	11.9	12.5	13.6
Operating income	4.1	4.0	3.8
Other operating items as a percentage of total gross profit:			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	71.6	73.0	75.8
Operating income	24.8	23.3	21.4

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Days supply:		
New vehicle (industry standard of selling days, including fleet)	50 days	63 days
Used vehicle (trailing 31 days) ⁽¹⁾	31 days	34 days

As of December 31, 2011, we have revised our method of calculating used vehicle days supply from a dollar day

⁽¹⁾ supply to a unit day supply (including wholesale units). We have revised prior periods to conform to our revised method of calculation.

The following table details net new vehicle inventory carrying benefit, consisting of new vehicle floorplan interest expense net of floorplan assistance earned (amounts received from manufacturers specifically to support store financing of new vehicle inventory). Floorplan assistance is accounted for as a component of new vehicle gross profit.

	Years Ended December 31,				
(\$ in millions)	2011	2010	Variance 2011 vs. 2010	2009	Variance 2010 vs. 2009
Floorplan assistance	\$61.1	\$55.6	\$5.5	\$47.4	\$8.2
Floorplan interest expense (new vehicles)	(40.3)	(40.2)	(0.1)	(33.5)	(6.7)
Net new vehicle inventory carrying benefit	\$20.8	\$15.4	\$5.4	\$13.9	\$1.5

Table of Contents

Same Store Operating Data

We have presented below our operating results on a same store basis to reflect our internal performance. The “Same Store” amounts presented below include the results of dealerships for the identical months in each period presented in the comparison, commencing with the first full month in which the dealership was owned by us. For example, the results for a dealership acquired in February 2010 would be included only in our same store comparison of 2011 to 2010, not in our same store comparison of 2010 to 2009. Results for a dealership that we classified as a discontinued operation in October 2011 would be removed entirely from our same store comparison of 2011 to 2010. Therefore, the amounts presented in the year 2010 column that is being compared to the 2011 column may differ from the amounts presented in the year 2010 column that is being compared to the year 2009 column.

(\$ in millions, except per vehicle data)	Years Ended December 31,				Years Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance	2010	2009	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Revenue:								
New vehicle	\$7,307.6	\$6,669.1	\$638.5	9.6	\$6,587.4	\$5,665.2	\$922.2	16.3
Used vehicle	3,415.0	3,116.1	298.9	9.6	3,069.5	2,476.2	593.3	24.0
Parts and service	2,250.9	2,209.1	41.8	1.9	2,173.4	2,105.2	68.2	3.2
Finance and insurance, net	463.9	418.9	45.0	10.7	414.3	348.0	66.3	19.1
Other	52.2	47.8	4.4		46.8	45.6	1.2	
Total revenue	\$13,489.6	\$12,461.0	\$1,028.6	8.3	\$12,291.4	\$10,640.2	\$1,651.2	15.5
Gross profit:								
New vehicle	\$533.4	\$451.2	\$82.2	18.2	\$445.3	\$384.0	\$61.3	16.0
Used vehicle	279.7	266.7	13.0	4.9	263.5	227.4	36.1	15.9
Parts and service	950.7	963.2	(12.5)	(1.3)	947.6	920.7	26.9	2.9
Finance and insurance	463.9	418.9	45.0	10.7	414.3	348.0	66.3	19.1
Other	26.4	27.5	(1.1)		27.1	26.0	1.1	
Total gross profit	\$2,254.1	\$2,127.5	\$126.6	6.0	\$2,097.8	\$1,906.1	\$191.7	10.1
Retail vehicle unit sales:								
New vehicle	217,685	206,456	11,229	5.4	203,463	181,855	21,608	11.9
Used vehicle	167,563	160,126	7,437	4.6	158,150	133,421	24,729	18.5
Total	385,248	366,582	18,666	5.1	361,613	315,276	46,337	14.7
Revenue per vehicle retailed:								
New vehicle	\$33,570	\$32,303	\$1,267	3.9	\$32,376	\$31,152	\$1,224	3.9
Used vehicle	\$17,818	\$17,266	\$552	3.2	\$17,272	\$16,315	\$957	5.9
Gross profit per vehicle retailed:								
New vehicle	\$2,450	\$2,185	\$265	12.1	\$2,189	\$2,112	\$77	3.6
Used vehicle	\$1,642	\$1,612	\$30	1.9	\$1,613	\$1,667	\$(54)	(3.2)
Finance and insurance	\$1,204	\$1,143	\$61	5.3	\$1,146	\$1,104	\$42	3.8

Table of Contents

	Years Ended December 31,		Years Ended December 31,	
	2011 (%)	2010 (%)	2010 (%)	2009 (%)
Revenue mix percentages:				
New vehicle	54.2	53.5	53.6	53.2
Used vehicle	25.3	25.0	25.0	23.3
Parts and service	16.7	17.7	17.7	19.8
Finance and insurance, net	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3
Other	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Gross profit mix percentages:				
New vehicle	23.7	21.2	21.2	20.1
Used vehicle	12.4	12.5	12.6	11.9
Parts and service	42.2	45.3	45.2	48.3
Finance and insurance	20.6	19.7	19.7	18.3
Other	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Operating items as a percentage of revenue:				
Gross profit:				
New vehicle	7.3	6.8	6.8	6.8
Used vehicle-retail	9.2	9.3	9.3	10.2
Parts and service	42.2	43.6	43.6	43.7
Total	16.7	17.1	17.1	17.9

Table of Contents

New Vehicle

(\$ in millions, except per vehicle data)	Years Ended December 31,							
	2011		2010		2011 vs. 2010		2010 vs. 2009	
			Variance	%			Variance	%
			Favorable /	Variance			Favorable /	Variance
			(Unfavorable)		2009		(Unfavorable)	
Reported:								
Revenue	\$7,498.9	\$6,669.1	\$829.8	12.4	\$5,674.6	\$994.5	17.5	
Gross profit	\$547.7	\$451.2	\$96.5	21.4	\$384.0	\$67.2	17.5	
Retail vehicle unit sales	224,034	206,456	17,578	8.5	182,160	24,296	13.3	
Revenue per vehicle retailed	\$33,472	\$32,303	\$1,169	3.6	\$31,152	\$1,151	3.7	
Gross profit per vehicle retailed	\$2,445	\$2,185	\$260	11.9	\$2,108	\$77	3.7	
Gross profit as a percentage of revenue	7.3	% 6.8	%		6.8	%		
Days supply (industry standard of selling days, including fleet)	50 days	63 days						

	Years Ended December 31,							
	2011		2010		2011 vs. 2010		2010 vs. 2009	
			Variance	%			Variance	%
			Favorable /	Variance			Favorable /	Variance
			(Unfavorable)		2010	2009	(Unfavorable)	
Same Store:								
Revenue	\$7,307.6	\$6,669.1	\$638.5	9.6	\$6,587.4	\$5,665.2	\$922.2	16.3
Gross profit	\$533.4	\$451.2	\$82.2	18.2	\$445.3	\$384.0	\$61.3	16.0
Retail vehicle unit sales	217,685	206,456	11,229	5.4	203,463	181,855	21,608	11.9
Revenue per vehicle retailed	\$33,570	\$32,303	\$1,267	3.9	\$32,376	\$31,152	\$1,224	3.9
Gross profit per vehicle retailed	\$2,450	\$2,185	\$265	12.1	\$2,189	\$2,112	\$77	3.6
Gross profit as a percentage of revenue	7.3	% 6.8	%		6.8	% 6.8	%	

2011 compared to 2010

Same store new vehicle revenue increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, as a result of an increase in same store unit volume and an increase in same store revenue per new vehicle retailed. The increase in same store unit volume was primarily due to improved market conditions, including improved credit availability offered to consumers and increased consumer demand, as well as reinstatement or expansion of certain manufacturer leasing programs. The increase in same store unit volume for 2011 was partially offset by the Japan supply constraints, which adversely impacted unit sales in the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2011, and by a decrease in manufacturer incentives. Same store revenue per new vehicle retailed increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, primarily due to a shift in mix away from import vehicles, which have relatively lower average selling prices, toward domestic and premium luxury vehicles. Same store revenue per new vehicle retailed also benefited from an increase in the average selling prices for new vehicles in all three segments - Domestic, Import, and Premium Luxury.

Same store gross profit per new vehicle retailed benefited from a shift in mix away from import vehicles, which generate relatively lower gross profit per vehicle retailed, due to the tight supply of vehicles produced by Japanese manufacturers, as well as from an increase in new vehicle gross profit in all three segments. The increase in same store gross profit per vehicle retailed was partially offset by a decrease in certain performance-based manufacturer incentives primarily related to premium luxury vehicles previously sold as compared to the prior year. These incentives favorably impacted gross profit by \$8.0 million in 2011, compared to \$13.1 million in 2010. We were able

to recognize these incentives due to our achievement of certain manufacturer incentive program goals during 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

See “Market Conditions” above for a discussion of the automotive retail environment, including our expectations regarding gross profit per vehicle retailed in 2012.

2010 compared to 2009

Same store new vehicle revenue increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily as a result of an increase in same store unit volume and an increase in same store revenue per new vehicle retailed. The increase in same store unit volume was primarily due to improved market conditions, including improved credit availability offered to consumers, the reinstatement or expansion of certain manufacturer leasing programs, and an increase in consumer confidence.

Same store revenue per new vehicle retailed benefited from an increase in the average selling prices for new vehicles in all three segments – Domestic, Import, and Premium Luxury – primarily due to improved market conditions. Same store revenue per new vehicle retailed also benefited from a shift in mix toward large vehicles, which have relatively higher average selling prices.

Same store gross profit per new vehicle retailed increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, due in part to a recovery in margins for both small and large vehicles in all three segments as a result of improved market conditions.

Additionally, we achieved certain manufacturer incentive program goals during the fourth quarter of 2010. As a result, we were able to recognize certain performance-based manufacturer incentives, primarily related to premium luxury vehicles previously sold, which favorably impacted gross profit by \$13.1 million and operating income by \$11.8 million.

New Vehicle Inventories

Our new vehicle inventories were \$1.4 billion or 50 days supply at December 31, 2011, as compared to new vehicle inventories of \$1.5 billion or 63 days supply at December 31, 2010. We had 43,906 units in new vehicle inventory at December 31, 2011, and 48,499 units at December 31, 2010.

The following table details net new vehicle inventory carrying benefit, consisting of new vehicle floorplan interest expense net of floorplan assistance earned (amounts received from manufacturers specifically to support store financing of new vehicle inventory). Floorplan assistance is accounted for as a component of new vehicle gross profit.

(\$ in millions)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	Variance 2011 vs. 2010	2009	Variance 2010 vs. 2009
Floorplan assistance	\$61.1	\$55.6	\$5.5	\$47.4	\$8.2
Floorplan interest expense (new vehicles)	(40.3) (40.2) (0.1) (33.5) (6.7
Net new vehicle inventory carrying benefit	\$20.8	\$15.4	\$5.4	\$13.9	\$1.5

2011 compared to 2010

The net new vehicle inventory carrying benefit increased in 2011, as compared to 2010, due to an increase in floorplan assistance as a result of higher new vehicle sales and an increase in the floorplan assistance rate per unit, partially offset by an increase in floorplan interest expense primarily due to higher average vehicle floorplan payable balances during the year.

2010 compared to 2009

The net new vehicle inventory carrying benefit increased in 2010, as compared to 2009, due to an increase in floorplan assistance as a result of higher new vehicle sales and an increase in the floorplan assistance rate per unit, partially offset by an increase in floorplan interest expense primarily due to higher average vehicle floorplan payable balances during the year.

Table of Contents

Used Vehicle

(\$ in millions, except per vehicle data)	Years Ended December 31,							
	2011 vs. 2010				2010 vs. 2009			
	2011	2010	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance	2009	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance	
Reported:								
Retail revenue	\$3,047.6	\$2,764.8	\$282.8	10.2	\$2,184.4	\$580.4	26.6	
Wholesale revenue	465.2	351.3	113.9	32.4	301.5	49.8	16.5	
Total revenue	\$3,512.8	\$3,116.1	\$396.7	12.7	\$2,485.9	\$630.2	25.4	
Retail gross profit	\$280.6	\$258.1	\$22.5	8.7	\$223.8	\$34.3	15.3	
Wholesale gross profit	4.2	8.6	(4.4)		4.9	3.7		
Total gross profit	\$284.8	\$266.7	\$18.1	6.8	\$228.7	\$38.0	16.6	
Retail vehicle unit sales	171,094	160,126	10,968	6.8	133,990	26,136	19.5	
Revenue per vehicle retailed	\$17,812	\$17,266	\$546	3.2	\$16,303	\$963	5.9	
Gross profit per vehicle retailed	\$1,640	\$1,612	\$28	1.7	\$1,670	\$(58)	(3.5)	
Gross profit as a percentage of retail revenue	9.2 %	9.3 %			10.2 %			
Days supply (trailing 31 days) ⁽¹⁾	31 days	34 days						

As of December 31, 2011, we have revised our method of calculating used vehicle days supply from a dollar day

⁽¹⁾ supply to a unit day supply (including wholesale units). We have revised prior periods to conform to our revised method of calculation.

	Years Ended December 31,							
	2011 vs. 2010				2010 vs. 2009			
	2011	2010	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance	2010	2009	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Same Store:								
Retail revenue	\$2,985.6	\$2,764.8	\$220.8	8.0	\$2,731.5	\$2,176.8	\$554.7	25.5
Wholesale revenue	429.4	351.3	78.1	22.2	338.0	299.4	38.6	12.9
Total revenue	\$3,415.0	\$3,116.1	\$298.9	9.6	\$3,069.5	\$2,476.2	\$593.3	24.0
Retail gross profit	\$275.1	\$258.1	\$17.0	6.6	\$255.1	\$222.4	\$32.7	14.7
Wholesale gross profit	4.6	8.6	(4.0)		8.4	5.0	3.4	
Total gross profit	\$279.7	\$266.7	\$13.0	4.9	\$263.5	\$227.4	\$36.1	15.9
Retail vehicle unit sales	167,563	160,126	7,437	4.6	158,150	133,421	24,729	18.5
Revenue per vehicle retailed	\$17,818	\$17,266	\$552	3.2	\$17,272	\$16,315	\$957	5.9
Gross profit per vehicle retailed	\$1,642	\$1,612	\$30	1.9	\$1,613	\$1,667	\$(54)	(3.2)
Gross profit as a percentage of retail revenue	9.2 %	9.3 %			9.3 %	10.2 %		

2011 compared to 2010

Same store retail used vehicle revenue increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, as a result of both an increase in same store unit volume and an increase in revenue per used vehicle retailed. The increase in used vehicle sales volume was driven in part by an increase in sales of value-priced vehicles. We opened 19 Value Vehicle Outlets (“VVOs”) primarily in the second half of 2010 and an additional 8 in 2011 to address industry supply constraints and meet market demand. Through our VVOs, which are located on existing store facilities, we sell vehicles that we would have traditionally

Table of Contents

wholesaled with an average retail price lower than that of used vehicles we typically retail. Additionally, used vehicle sales volumes benefited from an increase in trade-in volume associated with the increase in new vehicle sales volume. These increases were partially offset by a decline in certified pre-owned vehicle sales.

Same store revenue per used vehicle retailed benefited from an increase in the average selling prices for used vehicles in the Domestic and Import segments primarily due to tighter supply, which has driven up the wholesale values of used vehicles. Used vehicle supply has been impacted by historically low new vehicle unit sales in recent years, and the decline in off-lease vehicles, as well as by customers retaining their vehicles for longer periods of time. The increase in same store revenue per used vehicle retailed was partially offset by a decline in revenues as a result of increased sales of value-priced vehicles, which have lower average retail prices than used vehicles we typically retail. Same store gross profit per used vehicle retailed increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, due to modest increases in the first half of 2011, primarily due to temporarily elevated used vehicle values driven by Japanese new vehicle supply constraints. These increases were partially offset by declines in the second half of 2011 related to the liquidation of additional inventory acquired in the second quarter of 2011 when the timeline for the Japanese disruption in new vehicle inventory was anticipated to be longer. The increase in same store gross profit per used vehicle retailed was also partially offset by increased sales of value-priced vehicles, which generate a relatively lower gross profit per vehicle retailed than used vehicles we typically retail.

2010 compared to 2009

Same store retail used vehicle revenue increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, as a result of both an increase in same store unit volume and an increase in same store revenue per used vehicle retailed. The increase in used vehicle sales volume was driven in part by improved market conditions, including improved credit availability offered to consumers and an increase in consumer confidence. Additionally, used vehicle sales volumes benefited from an increase in trade-in volume associated with the increase in new vehicle sales volume.

Same store revenue per used vehicle retailed benefited from a shift in mix toward premium luxury vehicles, which have a higher average selling price than domestic and import vehicles, as well as an increase in the average selling prices for used vehicles in all three segments. The increase in same store revenue per used vehicle retailed was also due in part to tighter supply, which has driven up the wholesale values of used vehicles. Used vehicle inventory has been impacted by the decline in new vehicle sales in recent years, as well as by customers retaining their vehicles for longer periods of time. Same store revenue per new vehicle retailed also benefited from a shift in mix toward certified pre-owned vehicles, which have higher average selling prices.

Same store gross profit per used vehicle retailed decreased during 2010, as compared to 2009. While credit availability improved in 2010 as compared to 2009, gross profit per used vehicle retailed was adversely impacted by lender advances not increasing at the same level as used vehicle values.

Used Vehicle Inventories

Used vehicle inventories were \$286.3 million or 31 days supply at December 31, 2011, compared to \$271.8 million or 34 days at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Parts & Service

Parts and service revenue is primarily derived from vehicle repairs paid directly by the customers or via reimbursement from manufacturers and others under warranty programs.

(\$ in millions)	Years Ended December 31,				2009	2010 vs. 2009		
	2011	2010	2011 vs. 2010 Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance		Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance	
Reported:								
Revenue	\$2,293.1	\$2,209.1	\$84.0	3.8	\$2,109.8	\$99.3	4.7	
Gross profit	\$970.1	\$963.2	\$6.9	0.7	\$922.2	\$41.0	4.4	
Gross profit as a percentage of revenue	42.3	% 43.6	%		43.7	%		
	Years Ended December 31,				2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009	
	2011	2010	2011 vs. 2010 Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance			Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Same Store:								
Revenue	\$2,250.9	\$2,209.1	\$41.8	1.9	\$2,173.4	\$2,105.2	\$68.2	3.2
Gross profit	\$950.7	\$963.2	\$(12.5)	(1.3)	\$947.6	\$920.7	\$26.9	2.9
Gross profit as a percentage of revenue	42.2	% 43.6	%		43.6	% 43.7	%	

2011 compared to 2010

Same store parts and service gross profit decreased during 2011, as compared to 2010, primarily due to a decline in gross profit associated with warranty of \$19.5 million, partially offset by an increase in gross profit associated with customer-pay service of \$6.5 million and an increase in gross profit associated with the preparation of vehicles for sale and service work outsourced to third-parties of \$4.1 million.

Warranty gross profit was adversely impacted during 2011 by fewer vehicles in operation as a result of historically lower vehicle sales in recent years and, to a lesser extent, improved quality of vehicles manufactured in recent years. Additionally, in 2010, warranty gross profit benefited from an increase in warranty service related to the rise of manufacturer recalls in the automotive industry. Customer-pay service gross profit benefited from improved market conditions and better marketing of products and services in the service department. Gross profit associated with the preparation of vehicles for sale and service work outsourced to third-parties was favorably impacted by higher new and used vehicle sales volume.

2010 compared to 2009

Same store parts and service gross profit increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily due to increases in gross profit associated with the preparation of vehicles for sale and service work outsourced to third-parties of \$23.8 million, warranty of \$2.5 million, and wholesale and retail counter parts of \$2.5 million.

Gross profit associated with the preparation of vehicles for sale and service work outsourced to third-parties benefited from higher new and used vehicle sales volume. Warranty gross profit was favorably impacted by the rise in manufacturer recalls in the automotive industry, partially offset by an adverse impact from fewer vehicles in operation as a result of lower vehicle sales in recent years and, to a lesser extent, improved quality of vehicles manufactured in recent years. Wholesale and retail counter parts gross profit increased in 2010 primarily due to improving market conditions.

Table of Contents

Finance and Insurance

(\$ in millions, except per vehicle data)	Years Ended December 31,				2009	2010 vs. 2009		
	2011	2010	2011 vs. 2010 Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance		Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance	
Reported:								
Revenue and gross profit	\$474.5	\$418.9	\$55.6	13.3	\$348.6	\$70.3	20.2	
Gross profit per vehicle retailed	\$1,201	\$1,143	\$58	5.1	\$1,103	\$40	3.6	
	Years Ended December 31,				2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009	
	2011	2010	2011 vs. 2010 Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance			Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Same Store:								
Revenue and gross profit	\$463.9	\$418.9	\$45.0	10.7	\$414.3	\$66.3	19.1	
Gross profit per vehicle retailed	\$1,204	\$1,143	\$61	5.3	\$1,146	\$42	3.8	

2011 compared to 2010

Same store finance and insurance revenue and gross profit increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, primarily due to an increase in new and used vehicle sales volume and an increase in same store finance and insurance revenue and gross profit per vehicle retailed, partially offset by a decrease in same store new vehicle sales in the Import segment. Same store finance and insurance revenue and gross profit per vehicle retailed increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, due to an increase in revenue associated with arranging customer financing, an increase in margin on product contracts sold, more customers financing vehicles through the dealerships, and an increase in amounts financed per transaction. This benefit was partially offset by a decline in profit resulting from chargeback experience.

2010 compared to 2009

Same store finance and insurance revenue and gross profit increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily due to an increase in new and used vehicle sales volume.

Same store finance and insurance revenue and gross profit per vehicle retailed increased during 2010, as compared to 2009. Finance and insurance revenue and gross profit per vehicle retailed during 2010 benefited from more customers financing vehicles through the dealerships and an increase in amounts financed per transaction.

Table of Contents

Segment Results

In the following table of financial data, total segment income of the operating segments is reconciled to consolidated operating income.

(\$ in millions)	Years Ended December 31,				2009	Variance	
	2011	2010	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance		Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Revenue							
Domestic	\$4,655.4	\$4,111.3	\$544.1	13.2	\$3,380.5	\$730.8	21.6
Import	5,121.0	4,708.4	412.6	8.8	4,103.8	604.6	14.7
Premium Luxury	3,908.7	3,509.0	399.7	11.4	3,073.1	435.9	14.2
Corporate and other	147.2	132.3	14.9	11.3	108.6	23.7	21.8
Total revenue	\$13,832.3	\$12,461.0	\$1,371.3	11.0	\$10,666.0	\$1,795.0	16.8
*Segment income (loss)							
Domestic	\$180.0	\$152.7	\$27.3	17.9	\$101.4	\$51.3	50.6
Import	240.3	199.5	40.8	20.5	175.4	24.1	13.7
Premium Luxury	230.9	208.4	22.5	10.8	175.5	32.9	18.7
Corporate and other	(121.9)	(106.5)	(15.4)		(80.0)	(26.5)	
Total segment income	\$529.3	\$454.1	\$75.2	16.6	\$372.3	\$81.8	22.0
Add: Floorplan interest expense	42.7	42.5	(0.2)		35.7	(6.8)	
Operating income	\$572.0	\$496.6	\$75.4	15.2	\$408.0	\$88.6	21.7

*Segment income (loss) is defined as operating income less floorplan interest expense.

Retail new vehicle unit sales:

Domestic	76,335	64,317	12,018	18.7	52,531	11,786	22.4
Import	108,128	107,580	548	0.5	97,538	10,042	10.3
Premium Luxury	39,571	34,559	5,012	14.5	32,091	2,468	7.7
	224,034	206,456	17,578	8.5	182,160	24,296	13.3

Table of Contents

Domestic

The Domestic segment operating results included the following:

(\$ in millions)	Years Ended December 31,				2009	Variance	
	2011	2010	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance		Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Revenue	\$4,655.4	\$4,111.3	\$544.1	13.2	\$3,380.5	\$730.8	21.6
Segment income	\$180.0	\$152.7	\$27.3	17.9	\$101.4	\$51.3	50.6
Retail new vehicle unit sales	76,335	64,317	12,018	18.7	52,531	11,786	22.4

2011 compared to 2010

Domestic revenue increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, due to an increase in new and used unit volume and an increase in revenue per used vehicle retailed. The increase in new unit volume was primarily due to improved market conditions, including increased consumer demand, as well as reinstatement or expansion of certain manufacturer leasing programs. New revenue and unit sales increased for all three domestic manufacturers as compared to 2010. The increases in used unit volume and revenue per used vehicle retailed were primarily due to improved market conditions. The increase in revenue per used vehicle retailed was partially offset by a decline in revenues as a result of increased sales of value-priced vehicles, which have lower average retail prices than used vehicles we typically retail.

Domestic segment income increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, primarily due to an increase in new and used unit volume. Domestic segment income also benefited from an increase in finance and insurance revenue and gross profit. Increases in Domestic segment income were partially offset by an increase in volume-related expenses.

2010 compared to 2009

Domestic revenue increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, due to an increase in new and used unit volume and an increase in revenue per new and used vehicle retailed. The increase in new and used unit volume was primarily due to improved market conditions, including improved credit availability offered to consumers, reinstatement or expansion of certain manufacturer leasing programs, and an increase in consumer confidence. Additionally, new vehicle unit volume in the prior year was adversely impacted by customer uncertainty surrounding the Chrysler and General Motors bankruptcies.

Revenue per new and used vehicle retailed benefited from an increase in the average selling prices for both small and large vehicles. New and used revenue and unit sales increased for both Ford and General Motors as compared to the prior year.

Domestic segment income increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily due to an increase in new and used unit volume and a recovery in new vehicle margins for both small and large vehicles as a result of improved market conditions. Domestic segment income also benefited from an increase in finance and insurance revenue and gross profit due to higher new and used vehicle sales. Increases in Domestic segment income were partially offset by an increase in volume-related expenses.

In the second quarter of 2009, each of Chrysler and General Motors filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. In connection with the bankruptcies, we closed certain Chrysler and General Motors dealerships, the operating results of which were not material to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Import

The Import segment operating results included the following:

(\$ in millions)	Years Ended December 31,				2009	Variance	
	2011	2010	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance		Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Revenue	\$5,121.0	\$4,708.4	\$412.6	8.8	\$4,103.8	\$604.6	14.7
Segment income	\$240.3	\$199.5	\$40.8	20.5	\$175.4	\$24.1	13.7
Retail new vehicle unit sales	108,128	107,580	548	0.5	97,538	10,042	10.3

2011 compared to 2010

Import revenue increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, due to an increase in used unit volume, primarily due to the recent acquisitions we completed in the third quarter of 2010 and the first quarter of 2011, and an increase in revenue per new and used vehicle retailed. Revenue per new and used vehicle retailed benefited from an increase in the average selling prices for both new and used vehicles, due in part to the Japan supply constraints.

Import segment income increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, primarily due to the effect of the recent acquisitions noted above, as well as an increase in used unit volume and new and used vehicle gross profit due to the Japan supply constraints. Import segment income also benefited from an increase in finance and insurance revenue and gross profit. Increases in Import segment income were partially offset by an increase in selling, general, and administrative expenses primarily due to an increase in sales commissions resulting from higher new and used vehicle gross profit.

See "Market Conditions" above for a discussion of the automotive retail environment, including our expectations regarding gross profit per vehicle retailed in 2012.

2010 compared to 2009

Import revenue increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, due to an increase in new and used unit volume and an increase in revenue per new and used vehicle retailed. The increase in new and used unit volume was primarily due to improved market conditions, including improved credit availability offered to consumers and an increase in consumer confidence. Revenue per new and used vehicle retailed benefited from an increase in the average selling prices for both small and large vehicles.

Import segment income increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily due to a recovery in new vehicle margins for both small and large vehicles as a result of improved market conditions. Import segment income also benefited from an increase in parts and service business, which has higher margins, and an increase in finance and insurance revenue and gross profit due to higher new and used vehicle sales. Increases in Import segment income were partially offset by an increase in volume-related expenses.

Table of Contents

Premium Luxury

The Premium Luxury segment operating results included the following:

(\$ in millions)	Years Ended December 31,				2009	Variance	
	2011	2010	Variance Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance		Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Revenue	\$3,908.7	\$3,509.0	\$399.7	11.4	\$3,073.1	\$435.9	14.2
Segment income	\$230.9	\$208.4	\$22.5	10.8	\$175.5	\$32.9	18.7
Retail new vehicle unit sales	39,571	34,559	5,012	14.5	32,091	2,468	7.7

2011 compared to 2010

Premium Luxury revenue increased during 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to an increase in new and used unit volume and an increase in revenue per new vehicle retailed. The increases in new and used unit volume and revenue per new vehicle retailed was primarily due to improved market conditions, including increased consumer demand.

Premium Luxury segment income increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, primarily due to an increase in new unit volume and supply and demand imbalances for vehicles produced by Japanese manufacturers as a result of the Japan supply constraints. Premium Luxury segment income also benefited from an increase in finance and insurance revenue and gross profit. The increases in Premium Luxury segment income were partially offset by an increase in volume-related expenses, as well as by a decrease in certain performance-based manufacturer incentives as compared to the prior year. As noted above in the “New Vehicle” section, we achieved certain manufacturer incentive program goals during 2011 and 2010.

See “Market Conditions” above for a discussion of the automotive retail environment, including our expectations regarding gross profit per vehicle retailed in 2012.

2010 compared to 2009

Premium Luxury revenue increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, due to an increase in new and used unit volume and an increase in revenue per new and used vehicle retailed. The increase in new and used unit volume was primarily due to improved market conditions, including improved credit availability offered to consumers and an increase in consumer confidence. Revenue per new and used vehicle retailed benefited primarily from an increase in the average selling prices for large vehicles.

Premium Luxury segment income increased during 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily due to a recovery in new vehicle margins for small and large vehicles, as a result of improved market conditions. Premium Luxury segment income also benefited from an increase in finance and insurance revenue and gross profit due to higher new and used vehicle sales. Additionally, as noted above in “New Vehicle” section, we achieved certain manufacturer incentive program goals during the fourth quarter of 2010. As a result, we were able to recognize certain performance-based manufacturer incentives, primarily related to premium luxury vehicles previously sold, which favorably impacted Premium Luxury segment income. Increases in Premium Luxury segment income were partially offset by an increase in volume-related expenses.

Table of Contents

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

Our Selling, General, and Administrative expenses (“SG&A”) consist primarily of compensation, including salaries, commissions and incentive-based compensation, as well as advertising (net of reimbursement-based manufacturer advertising rebates), occupancy costs, legal, accounting, and professional services, and general corporate expenses. The following table presents the major components of our SG&A.

Reported:	Years Ended December 31,		Variance		2009	Variance	
	2011	2010	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance		Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% Variance
Compensation	\$1,072.0	\$1,011.9	\$(60.1)	(5.9)	\$921.9	\$(90.0)	(9.8)
Advertising	130.2	126.2	(4.0)	(3.2)	116.0	(10.2)	(8.8)
Store and corporate overhead	447.2	414.0	(33.2)	(8.0)	409.0	(5.0)	(1.2)
Total	\$1,649.4	\$1,552.1	\$(97.3)	(6.3)	\$1,446.9	\$(105.2)	(7.3)

SG&A as a % of total gross profit:

Compensation	46.5	47.6	110	bps	48.3	70	bps
Advertising	5.7	5.9	20	bps	6.1	20	bps
Store and corporate overhead	19.4	19.5	10	bps	21.4	190	bps
Total	71.6	73.0	140	bps	75.8	280	bps

2011 compared to 2010

SG&A expenses increased in 2011, as compared to 2010, due to a volume-driven increase in compensation expense, an increase in store and corporate overhead expenses, including a \$4.9 million increase associated with hail-storm related losses, and a \$7.8 million increase in gross advertising expenditures, partially offset by a \$3.8 million increase in advertising reimbursements from manufacturers. As a percentage of total gross profit, SG&A expenses decreased to 71.6% in 2011 from 73.0% in 2010 resulting from our continued effective management of our cost structure and improved gross profit.

2010 compared to 2009

SG&A expenses increased in 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily due to a volume-driven increase in compensation expense and a \$20.0 million increase in gross advertising expenditures, partially offset by a \$9.8 million increase in advertising reimbursements from manufacturers. As a percentage of total gross profit, SG&A expenses decreased to 73.0% in 2010 from 75.8% in 2009 resulting from our continued effective management of our cost structure and improved gross profit.

Non-Operating Income (Expenses)

Floorplan Interest Expense

Floorplan interest expense was \$42.7 million in 2011, \$42.5 million in 2010, and \$35.7 million in 2009.

2011 compared to 2010

The increase in floorplan interest expense of \$0.2 million in 2011, as compared to 2010, is primarily the result of higher average vehicle floorplan balances, partially offset by lower floorplan interest rates.

2010 compared to 2009

The increase in floorplan interest expense of \$6.8 million in 2010, as compared to 2009, is primarily the result of higher average vehicle floorplan balances.

Table of Contents

Other Interest Expense

Other interest expense was incurred primarily on borrowings under our term loan facilities, revolving credit facilities, mortgage facility, and outstanding senior unsecured notes. Other interest expense was \$66.0 million in 2011, \$56.1 million in 2010, and \$42.6 million in 2009.

2011 compared to 2010

The increase in other interest expense of \$9.9 million in 2011, as compared to 2010, was primarily due to a \$10.7 million increase in interest expense resulting from higher levels of debt outstanding during the year associated with our 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018 and revolving credit facilities, and a \$1.2 million increase in interest expense resulting from higher interest rates on our prior term loan facility due 2014. These increases were partially offset by a \$3.7 million decrease due to lower levels of debt outstanding during the year associated with our Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013, 7% Senior Notes due 2014, and mortgage facility.

2010 compared to 2009

The increase in other interest expense of \$13.5 million in 2010, as compared to 2009, was primarily due to a \$22.8 million increase in interest expense resulting from higher levels of debt outstanding during the year associated with our 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018 and revolving credit facilities, and a \$3.6 million increase in interest expense resulting from higher interest rates on our prior term loan facility due 2014. These increases were partially offset by a \$10.6 million decrease due to lower levels of debt outstanding during the year associated with our Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013, 7% Senior Notes due 2014, and mortgage facility.

Loss on Debt Extinguishment

We expensed \$2.2 million pre-tax in the fourth quarter of 2011 and \$19.6 million pre-tax in the second quarter of 2010 related to certain debt refinancing transactions that we completed in each respective period. These expenses included \$0.4 million during 2011 and \$3.5 million during 2010 for the write-off of previously deferred debt issuance costs. These expenses are recorded in Loss on Debt Extinguishment in the accompanying Consolidated Income Statements.

Gain on Senior Note Repurchases

During 2009, we repurchased \$48.4 million aggregate principal amount of our Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013, and \$40.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 7% Senior Notes due 2014. We recorded a gain of \$13.0 million in connection with these repurchases, net of the write-off of related unamortized debt issuance costs, which is recorded in Gain on Senior Note Repurchases in the accompanying Consolidated Income Statements.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income taxes are provided based upon our anticipated underlying annual blended federal and state income tax rates, adjusted, as necessary, for any other tax matters occurring during the period. As we operate in various states, our effective tax rate is also dependent upon our geographic revenue mix.

Our effective income tax rate was 38.4% in 2011, and reflects the benefit of certain favorable tax adjustments. As of December 31, 2011, we had unrecognized tax benefits recorded in accordance with an accounting standard related to unrecognized tax benefits. See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion.

We do not expect that our unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease during the twelve months beginning January 1, 2012.

Our effective income tax rate was 38.3% in 2010, and reflects the benefit of certain favorable tax adjustments.

Our effective income tax rate was 33.2% in 2009. During the fourth quarter of 2009, we completed a restructuring of certain of our subsidiaries, a consequence of which was the elimination of a deferred tax liability of \$12.7 million, which was reflected as a benefit in our tax provision for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations are related to stores that were sold or terminated, that we have entered into an agreement to sell or terminate, or for which we otherwise deem a proposed sales transaction or termination to be probable, with no material

Table of Contents

changes expected. We account for a store that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale as a discontinued operation if (a) the operations and cash flows of the store have been (or will be) eliminated from our ongoing operations and (b) we will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the store after the disposal transaction.

In evaluating whether a store's cash flows will be eliminated from our ongoing operations, we consider whether we expect to continue to generate revenues or incur expenses from the sale of similar products or services to customers of the disposed store in the same geographic market. If we believe that a significant portion of the cash flows previously generated by the disposed store will migrate to our other operating stores, we will not treat the disposition as a discontinued operation.

We had a loss from discontinued operations totaling \$2.8 million in 2011, net of income taxes, primarily related to carrying costs for real estate we have not yet sold associated with stores that have been closed, as well as expected losses on real estate to be sold. We had a loss from discontinued operations totaling \$8.7 million in 2010, and \$35.1 million in 2009, net of income taxes, primarily related to operational losses for stores that were classified as discontinued operations, as well as carrying costs for real estate not yet sold related to stores that had been closed. During 2009, we recorded in discontinued operations estimated losses associated with the Chrysler and General Motors bankruptcies of approximately \$11 million (after-tax), including expected losses on the disposition of real estate. See Note 13 of our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of discontinued operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We manage our liquidity to ensure access to sufficient funding at acceptable costs to fund our ongoing operating requirements and future capital expenditures while continuing to meet our financial obligations. We believe that our cash and cash equivalents, funds generated through future operations, and amounts available under our revolving credit facility and secured used vehicle floorplan facilities will be sufficient to fund our working capital requirements, service our debt, pay our tax obligations and commitments and contingencies, and meet any seasonal operating requirements for the foreseeable future.

Debt Refinancing Transactions

In the second quarter of 2010, we (1) completed cash tender offers to purchase any and all of our then outstanding Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013 and 7% Senior Notes due 2014 (these two series of notes are referred to as the "old notes"), pursuant to which we accepted for payment, and thereafter cancelled, all of our outstanding Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013 and 88.9% of our 7% Senior Notes due 2014, representing an aggregate amount of \$264.0 million of old notes, (2) amended the indenture for the old notes to eliminate most of the restrictive covenants and certain events of default and to shorten the notice periods required to undertake an optional redemption, (3) issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018, and (4) amended and extended our then existing credit agreement. We expensed \$19.6 million pre-tax in the second quarter of 2010, related to these transactions, including \$3.5 million for the write-off of certain unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the old notes and the credit agreement as it existed prior to its amendment and extension.

On December 7, 2011, we entered into a new five-year unsecured credit agreement (referred to as our "credit agreement" or the "new credit agreement") with a \$500 million term loan facility and a \$1.2 billion revolving credit facility. The new credit agreement replaced our prior unsecured credit agreement (referred to as the "prior credit agreement"). The prior credit agreement was terminated concurrently with our entry into the new credit agreement, and the indebtedness outstanding under the prior credit agreement was paid off with proceeds from borrowings under the new credit agreement. The maturity date for the term loan and revolving credit facilities under the new credit agreement is December 7, 2016.

Our prior credit agreement provided for a \$533.4 million term loan facility and a \$638.6 million revolving credit facility. The term loan facility under the prior credit agreement was bifurcated into a \$54.0 million tranche due July 18, 2012 and a \$479.4 million tranche due July 18, 2014. The revolving credit facility under the prior credit agreement was bifurcated into a \$57.0 million tranche due July 18, 2012 and a \$581.6 million tranche due July 18, 2014.

With the new credit agreement, we increased our maximum leverage ratio to 3.75x, compared to 3.25x under the prior credit agreement, and our maximum capitalization ratio to 65.0%, compared to 60.0% under the prior credit agreement.

Table of Contents

See “Credit Agreement” below for additional information regarding our credit agreement and “Restrictions and Covenants” for additional information regarding our financial covenants.

We expensed \$2.2 million pre-tax in the fourth quarter of 2011, related to entering into the new credit agreement, including \$0.4 million for the write-off of certain unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the prior credit agreement.

On February 1, 2012, we issued \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.5% Senior Notes due 2020. The net proceeds from the sale of the notes, after the underwriting discount and transaction fees and expenses, were \$344.0 million, and we used the net proceeds to reduce borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Interest on the 5.5% Senior Notes due 2020 is payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning on August 1, 2012. At any time prior to February 1, 2015, we may redeem up to 35% of the principal amount of these notes with the net cash proceeds of one or more public equity offerings of our common stock at 105.5% of principal. These notes will mature on February 1, 2020.

Available Liquidity Resources

We had the following sources of liquidity available for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(In millions)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$86.6	\$95.1
Revolving Credit Facility ⁽¹⁾	\$648.5	\$398.9
Secured Used Floorplan Facilities ⁽²⁾	\$75.4	\$70.6

Based on aggregate borrowings outstanding of \$495.0 million and outstanding letters of credit of \$56.5 million at (1) December 31, 2011, and aggregate borrowings outstanding of \$180.0 million and outstanding letters of credit of \$59.7 million at December 31, 2010. See “Long-Term Debt – Credit Agreement” for additional information.

(2) Based on the eligible used vehicle inventory that could have been pledged as collateral. See “Long-Term Debt – Vehicle Floorplan Payable” for additional information.

In the ordinary course of business, we are required to post performance and surety bonds, letters of credit, and/or cash deposits as financial guarantees of our performance. At December 31, 2011, surety bonds, letters of credit, and cash deposits totaled \$90.0 million, including the \$56.5 million of letters of credit outstanding under our revolving credit facility. We do not currently provide cash collateral for outstanding letters of credit.

In February 2009, we filed an automatic shelf registration statement with the SEC that enables us to offer for sale, from time to time and as the capital markets permit, an unspecified amount of common stock, preferred stock, debt securities, warrants, subscriptions rights, depositary shares, stock purchase contracts, and units. Our 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018, issued in April 2010, and our 5.5% Senior Notes due 2020, issued in February 2012, were offered pursuant to this shelf registration statement.

Capital Allocation

We use our capital resources to make capital investments in our business, to complete dealership acquisitions, and to repurchase our common stock and/or debt. During 2011, based on an anticipated industry recovery over the next several years, our operating performance and confidence in our financial and operational strength, and the additional liquidity and financial flexibility that we obtained as a result of our 2010 and 2011 debt refinancings (see “Debt Refinancing Transactions” above), we opportunistically allocated capital resources for both share repurchases and acquisition activities, as well as additional capital expenditures, as outlined below.

Table of Contents

Share Repurchases

A summary of shares repurchased under our share repurchase program authorized by our Board of Directors follows:

(In millions, except per share data)	2011	2010	2009
Shares repurchased	17.1	26.6	7.7
Aggregate purchase price	\$583.4	\$523.7	\$135.7
Average purchase price per share	\$34.14	\$19.70	\$17.74

The decision to repurchase shares at any given point in time is based on such factors as the market price of our common stock versus our view of its intrinsic value, the potential impact on our capital structure (including compliance with our 3.75x maximum leverage ratio and other financial covenants in our debt agreements as well as our available liquidity), and the expected return on competing uses of capital such as dealership acquisitions, capital investments in our current businesses, or repurchases of our debt.

In January 2012, our Board of Directors authorized an additional \$250 million under our existing share repurchase program. From January 1, 2012 through February 8, 2012, we repurchased an additional 3.9 million shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$134.8 million (average purchase price per share of \$34.89). As of February 8, 2012, \$265.0 million remained available for share repurchases under the program.

Senior Note Repurchases

The following table sets forth information regarding our debt repurchases over the past three years:

(In millions)	2011	2010	2009
Aggregate principal amount repurchased:			
Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013	\$—	\$—	\$48.4
7% Senior Notes due 2014	—	—	40.0
6.75% Senior Notes due 2018	—	—	—
Total	\$—	\$—	\$88.4

We may from time to time repurchase our outstanding senior unsecured notes in open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions. Additionally, we may in the future prepay our term loan facility or other debt. The decision to repurchase senior unsecured notes or to prepay our term loan facility or other debt is based on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions, and other factors.

See “Debt Refinancing Transactions” above for information regarding certain refinancing transactions that we completed during the second quarter of 2010, the fourth quarter of 2011, and the first quarter of 2012.

Capital Expenditures

The following table sets forth information regarding our capital expenditures over the past three years:

(In millions)	2011	2010	2009
Purchases of property and equipment, including operating lease buy-outs ⁽¹⁾	\$158.1	\$161.8	\$75.4

⁽¹⁾ Includes accrued construction in progress and excludes property acquired under capital leases.

Excluding land purchased for future sites and lease buy-outs, and net of related asset sales, we anticipate that our capital expenditures, including accrued construction in progress, will be approximately \$145 million in 2012 primarily related to our store facilities.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions and Divestitures

The following table sets forth information regarding cash used in business acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and cash received from business divestitures, net of cash relinquished, over the past three years:

(In millions)	2011	2010	2009
Cash received from (used in) business acquisitions, net	\$(64.2) \$(73.1) \$(0.2
Cash received from (used in) business divestitures, net	\$4.9	\$13.0	\$65.9

We acquired one automotive retail franchise and related assets during 2011, compared to five in 2010 and one in 2009.

Cash Dividends

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock during our two most recent fiscal years. We do not anticipate paying cash dividends for the foreseeable future.

Long-Term Debt

The following table sets forth our non-vehicle long-term debt as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(In millions)	2011	2010
7% Senior Notes due 2014	\$14.7	\$14.7
6.75% Senior Notes due 2018	395.0	394.4
Term loan facility due 2012	—	54.0
Term loan facility due 2014	—	479.4
Term loan facility due 2016	500.0	—
Revolving credit facility due 2012	—	16.1
Revolving credit facility due 2014	—	163.9
Revolving credit facility due 2016	495.0	—
Mortgage facility ⁽¹⁾	211.5	219.2
Capital leases due from 2012 to 2031	30.8	7.0
	1,647.0	1,348.7
Less: current maturities	(12.6) (8.1
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	\$1,634.4	\$1,340.6

(1) The mortgage facility requires monthly principal and interest payments of \$1.7 million based on a fixed amortization schedule with a balloon payment of \$155.4 million due November 2017.

Senior Unsecured Notes

At December 31, 2011, we had outstanding \$395.0 million of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018, net of debt discount. Interest on the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018 is payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year. At any time prior to April 15, 2013, we may redeem up to 35% of the principal amount of these notes with the net cash proceeds of one or more public equity offerings of our common stock at 106.75% of principal. These notes will mature on April 15, 2018.

At December 31, 2011, we had outstanding \$14.7 million of 7% Senior Notes due 2014. Interest on the 7% Senior Notes due 2014 is payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year. These notes will mature on April 15, 2014, and may be redeemed by us currently at 101.75% of principal and at 100% of principal on or after April 15, 2012.

During 2009, we repurchased \$48.4 million aggregate principal amount of our Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013 for an aggregate total consideration of \$41.1 million. We also repurchased \$40.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 7% Senior Notes due 2014 for an aggregate total consideration of \$34.5 million. We recorded a gain of \$13.0 million during 2009 in connection with these repurchases, net of the write-off of related unamortized debt issuance costs. The gain is classified as Gain on Senior Note Repurchases in the accompanying Consolidated Income Statements.

Table of Contents

The 7% Senior Notes due 2014, the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018, and the 5.5% Senior Notes due 2020 are guaranteed by substantially all of our subsidiaries.

Credit Agreement

Under our credit agreement, we have a \$500.0 million term loan facility and a \$1.2 billion revolving credit facility. The term loan and revolving credit facilities under the credit agreement mature on December 7, 2016.

As of December 31, 2011, we had borrowings outstanding of \$495.0 million under the revolving credit facility. We have a \$200 million letter of credit sublimit as part of our revolving credit facility. The amount available to be borrowed under the revolving credit facility is reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis by the cumulative amount of any outstanding letters of credit, which was \$56.5 million at December 31, 2011. Our additional borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facility was \$648.5 million at December 31, 2011.

Funds borrowed under our credit agreement may be used to repay indebtedness, finance acquisitions and for working capital, capital expenditures, share repurchases, and other general corporate purposes.

Our term loan facility provides for various interest rates generally at LIBOR plus 1.75%. Our revolving credit facility provides for a 0.30% facility fee and various interest rates on borrowings generally at LIBOR plus 1.75%.

The credit spread charged for both the term loan facility and the revolving credit facility is affected by our leverage ratio. For instance, an increase in our leverage ratio from greater than or equal to 2.0x but less than 3.25x to greater than or equal to 3.25x would result in a 25 basis point increase in the credit spread under both our term loan facility and revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the credit agreement are guaranteed by substantially all of our subsidiaries.

Vehicle Floorplan Payable

Vehicle floorplan payable-trade totaled \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010. Vehicle floorplan payable-trade reflects amounts borrowed to finance the purchase of specific new vehicle inventories with manufacturers' captive finance subsidiaries.

Vehicle floorplan payable-non-trade totaled \$536.5 million at December 31, 2011, and \$486.5 million at December 31, 2010, and represents amounts borrowed to finance the purchase of specific new and, to a lesser extent, used vehicle inventories with non-trade lenders, as well as amounts borrowed under our secured used floorplan facilities, which are primarily collateralized by used vehicle inventories and related receivables. At December 31, 2011, the aggregate capacity under our used floorplan facilities was \$260.0 million. As of that date, \$112.7 million had been borrowed under those facilities, and the remaining borrowing capacity of \$147.3 million was limited to \$75.4 million based on the eligible used vehicle inventory that could have been pledged as collateral.

At December 31, 2010, the aggregate capacity under our used floorplan facilities was \$170.0 million. As of that date, \$89.0 million had been borrowed under those facilities, and the remaining borrowing capacity of \$81.0 million was limited to \$70.6 million based on the eligible used vehicle inventory that could have been pledged as collateral.

All the vehicle floorplan facilities utilize LIBOR-based interest rates. Vehicle floorplan facilities are due on demand, but in the case of new vehicle inventories, are generally paid within several business days after the related vehicles are sold. Our manufacturer agreements generally require that the manufacturer have the ability to draft against the new vehicle floorplan facilities so the lender directly funds the manufacturer for the purchase of new vehicle inventory.

Vehicle floorplan facilities are primarily collateralized by vehicle inventories and related receivables.

Other Debt

At December 31, 2011, we had \$211.5 million outstanding under a mortgage facility with an automotive manufacturer's captive finance subsidiary. The mortgage facility was refinanced under a new facility in November 2007 to provide a fixed interest rate (5.864%) and provide financing secured by 10-year mortgages on certain of our store properties. The mortgage facility requires monthly principal and interest payments of \$1.7 million based on a fixed amortization schedule with a balloon payment of \$155.4 million due November 2017. Repayment of the mortgage facility is subject to a prepayment penalty.

Table of Contents

In 2000, we sold our corporate headquarters facility and leased it back in a transaction that was originally accounted for as a financing. During the first quarter of 2009, we amended this lease, resulting in a change in accounting method from financing to sale-leaseback. As a result of this change, we derecognized \$21.4 million of assets and a \$37.5 million financing liability in the first quarter of 2009, when we also recognized a \$7.6 million gain on the sale, which is recorded in Other Expenses (Income), Net, and \$1.8 million of rent expense, which is recorded in Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. The remaining gain of \$8.5 million and remaining rent expense of \$9.1 million were fully recognized through the third quarter of 2009.

Restrictions and Covenants

Our credit agreement, the indentures for our 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018 and 5.5% Senior Notes due 2020, our vehicle floorplan facilities, and our mortgage facility contain customary financial and operating covenants that place restrictions on us, including our ability to incur additional indebtedness or prepay existing indebtedness, to create liens or other encumbrances, to sell (or otherwise dispose of) assets, and to merge or consolidate with other entities.

Under our prior credit agreement we were, and under our new credit agreement we are, required to remain in compliance with a maximum leverage ratio and maximum capitalization ratio. The leverage ratio is a contractually defined amount principally reflecting non-vehicle debt divided by a contractually defined measure of earnings with certain adjustments. The capitalization ratio is a contractually defined amount principally reflecting vehicle floorplan payable and non-vehicle debt divided by our total capitalization including vehicle floorplan payable. Under the new credit agreement, the maximum capitalization ratio is 65.0%, compared to 60.0% under the prior credit agreement, and the maximum leverage ratio is 3.75x, compared to 3.25x under the prior credit agreement. In calculating our leverage ratio, we are not required to include letters of credit in the definition of debt (except to the extent of letters of credit in excess of \$150.0 million), and, in calculating our capitalization ratio, we are permitted to add back to shareholders' equity all goodwill, franchise rights, and long-lived asset impairment charges subsequent to September 30, 2011 plus \$1.52 billion. The specific terms of these covenants can be found in our new credit agreement, which we filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K on December 8, 2011.

The indentures for our 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018 and 5.5% Senior Notes due 2020 contain certain limited covenants, including limitations on liens and sale and leaseback transactions, but do not contain restricted payments covenants or debt incurrence restrictions. Our mortgage facility contains covenants regarding maximum cash flow leverage and minimum interest coverage.

Our failure to comply with the covenants contained in our debt agreements could permit acceleration of all of our indebtedness. Our debt agreements have cross-default provisions that trigger a default in the event of an uncured default under other material indebtedness of AutoNation.

As of December 31, 2011, we were in compliance with the requirements of the financial covenants under our debt agreements. Under the terms of our new credit agreement, at December 31, 2011, our leverage ratio and capitalization ratio were as follows:

	December 31, 2011	
	Requirement	Actual
Leverage ratio	< 3.75x	2.59x
Capitalization ratio	< 65.0%	50.9%

Both the leverage ratio and the capitalization ratio limit our ability to incur additional non-vehicle debt. The capitalization ratio also limits our ability to incur additional vehicle floorplan indebtedness.

In the event of a downgrade in our credit ratings, none of the covenants described above would be impacted. In addition, availability under the new credit agreement described above would not be impacted should a downgrade in the senior unsecured debt credit ratings occur.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes the changes in our cash provided by (used in) operating, investing, and financing activities:

(\$ in millions)	Years Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$376.4	\$251.8	\$369.3	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$(206.2) \$(200.2) \$14.0	
Net cash used in financing activities	\$(178.7) \$(130.0) \$(319.9)

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Our primary sources of operating cash flows are collections from contracts-in-transit and customers following the sale of vehicles and proceeds from vehicle floorplan payable-trade. Our primary uses of cash from operating activities are repayments of vehicle floorplan payable-trade, personnel-related expenditures, and payments related to taxes and leased properties.

2011 compared to 2010

During 2011, we paid \$14.8 million in connection with refinancing our indebtedness. Cash flows from operating activities reflect \$1.8 million of these cash payments that we charged to expense related to this refinancing transaction. In addition, we charged to expense \$0.4 million of previously deferred debt issuance costs. Cash flows from financing activities, discussed below, reflect \$13.0 million of debt issuance costs that will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the new credit agreement.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased during 2011, as compared to 2010, primarily due to a decrease in working capital requirements and an increase in earnings.

2010 compared to 2009

During 2010, we paid \$28.1 million in connection with refinancing our indebtedness, including debt tender premiums. Cash flows from operating activities reflect \$16.1 million of these cash payments that we charged to expense related to these transactions. In addition, we charged to expense \$3.5 million of previously deferred debt issuance costs. Cash flows from financing activities, discussed below, reflect \$11.9 million of debt issuance costs that are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt arrangements.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased during 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily due to an increase in working capital requirements and debt refinancing costs noted above.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash flows from investing activities consist primarily of cash used in capital additions, activity from business acquisitions, business divestitures, property dispositions, purchases and sales of investments, and other transactions. We will make facility and infrastructure upgrades and improvements from time to time as we identify projects that are required to maintain our current business or that we expect to provide us with acceptable rates of return. Excluding land purchased for future sites and lease buy-outs, and net of related asset sales, we project that 2012 capital expenditures, including accrued construction in progress, will be approximately \$145 million.

2011 compared to 2010

Net cash used in investing activities increased during 2011 as compared to 2010, primarily due to a decrease in cash received from business divestitures and a decrease in proceeds from property dispositions, partially offset by a decrease in cash used in business acquisitions.

Table of Contents

2010 compared to 2009

We had net cash used in investing activities during 2010, as compared to net cash provided by investing activities in 2009, primarily due to an increase in purchases of property and equipment and an increase in cash used in business acquisitions, net of cash acquired. During 2010, we acquired five automotive retail franchises and other related assets as compared to one in 2009. Net cash flows from investing activities were also impacted by a decrease in cash received from business divestitures, net of cash relinquished.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash flows from financing activities primarily include repurchases of common stock, debt activity, changes in vehicle floorplan payable-non-trade, and stock option exercises.

2011 compared to 2010

During 2011, we repurchased 17.1 million shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$583.4 million (average purchase price per share of \$34.14), including repurchases for which settlement occurred subsequent to December 31, 2011. During 2011, 59,452 shares were surrendered to AutoNation primarily to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock.

During 2010, we repurchased 26.6 million shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$523.7 million (average purchase price per share of \$19.70), including repurchases for which settlement occurred subsequent to December 31, 2010. Additionally, 36,614 shares were surrendered to AutoNation to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock.

During 2011, we entered into a new five-year unsecured credit agreement with a \$500 million term loan facility and a \$1.2 billion revolving credit facility. The new credit agreement replaced our prior unsecured credit agreement. The prior credit agreement was terminated concurrently with our entry into the new credit agreement, and the indebtedness outstanding under the prior credit agreement was paid off with proceeds from borrowings under the new credit agreement. As noted above under "Cash Flows from Operating Activities," cash flows from financing activities reflect cash payments of \$13.0 million for debt issuance costs that will be amortized to expense over the term of the new credit agreement.

During 2010, we (1) accepted for payment, and thereafter cancelled, all of our outstanding Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013 and 88.9% of our 7% Senior Notes due 2014, representing an aggregate principal amount of \$264.0 million of old notes, (2) amended the indenture for the old notes to eliminate most of the restrictive covenants and certain events of default and to shorten the notice periods required to undertake an optional redemption, (3) closed the debt offering and issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018, and (4) amended and extended our then existing credit agreement. The 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018 were sold at 98.488% of the aggregate principal amount providing for a yield to maturity of 7.0%.

A portion of the proceeds from the sale of the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2018 was used (1) to pay approximately \$274.5 million for the old notes that were validly tendered and accepted for payment (which included accrued and unpaid interest for the old notes, as well as consent payments for those that were tendered and accepted for payment by April 13, 2010), (2) to reduce the size of our term loan facility by approximately \$66.6 million (from \$600.0 million to \$533.4 million), (3) to pay transaction fees and expenses related to the 2010 debt offering, the tender offers, and the amendment to then existing credit agreement, and (4) for general corporate purposes. As noted above under "Cash Flows from Operating Activities," cash flows from financing activities in 2010 reflect cash payments of \$11.9 million for debt issuance costs that are being amortized to expense over the term of the related debt arrangements.

During 2011, we borrowed \$940.0 million and repaid \$625.0 million under our revolving credit facilities, for net borrowings of \$315.0 million. During 2010, we borrowed \$305.0 million and repaid \$125.0 million under our revolving credit facilities, for net borrowings of \$180.0 million.

We did not repurchase any of our senior notes during 2011 or 2010. During 2009, we repurchased \$88.4 million aggregate principal amount of our Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2013 and 7% Senior Notes due 2014 for an aggregate total consideration of \$75.6 million (including \$1.2 million of accrued interest).

Table of Contents

Cash flows from financing activities include changes in vehicle floorplan payable-non-trade (vehicle floorplan payables with lenders other than the automotive manufacturers' captive finance subsidiaries for that franchise) totaling net proceeds of \$40.1 million during 2011 compared to net proceeds of \$117.0 million in 2010.

During 2011, cash flows from financing activities were also impacted by an increase in proceeds from the exercise of stock options and an increase in the related excess tax benefit from stock-based awards as compared to 2010. 2010 compared to 2009

Net cash used in financing activities decreased during 2010, as compared to 2009, primarily due to an increase in proceeds from the 2010 debt refinancing noted above, as well as an increase in net proceeds from vehicle floorplan payable-non-trade, and an increase in proceeds from the exercise of stock options. These increases were partially offset by an increase in the repurchase of shares of our common stock.

Contractual Payment Obligations

The following table summarizes our payment obligations under certain contracts at December 31, 2011:

(In millions)	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year (2012)	1 - 3 Years (2013 and 2014)	3 - 5 Years (2015 and 2016)	More Than 5 Years (2017 and thereafter)
Vehicle floorplan payable (Note 3) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,898.8	\$ 1,898.8	\$—	\$—	\$—
Long-term debt, including capital leases (Note 7) ⁽¹⁾	1,647.0	12.6	52.1	1,015.4	566.9
Interest payments ⁽²⁾	254.9	42.6	82.0	76.5	53.8
Operating lease and other commitments (Note 8) ⁽³⁾	456.9	47.1	80.1	65.5	264.2
Unrecognized tax benefits, net (Note 11) ⁽¹⁾	5.2	0.5	1.2	—	3.5
Deferred compensation obligations ⁽⁴⁾	34.1	2.0	—	—	32.1
Estimated liability for chargebacks (Note 19) ⁽⁵⁾	46.2	26.0	18.0	2.1	0.1
Estimated liability for self-insurance obligations ⁽⁶⁾	58.2	23.6	21.0	8.7	4.9
Purchase obligations	117.2	64.2	52.8	0.1	0.1
Total	\$4,518.5	\$2,117.4	\$307.2	\$1,168.3	\$925.6

⁽¹⁾ See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

⁽²⁾ Primarily represents scheduled interest payments on our outstanding senior unsecured notes and mortgage facility. Estimates of future interest payments for vehicle floorplan payables and other variable rate debt are excluded.

⁽³⁾ Amounts for operating lease commitments do not include certain operating expenses such as maintenance, insurance, and real estate taxes. In 2011, these charges totaled approximately \$22 million. See Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

⁽⁴⁾ Due to uncertainty regarding timing of payments expected beyond one year, long-term obligations for deferred compensation arrangements have been classified in the "More Than 5 Years" column.

⁽⁵⁾ Our estimated chargeback obligations do not have scheduled maturities, however, the timing of future payments can be estimated based on historical patterns.

⁽⁶⁾ Under our self insurance programs, we retain various levels of aggregate loss limits, per claim deductibles, and claims-handling expenses as part of our various insurance programs, including property and casualty, employee medical benefits, automobile, and workers' compensation. These amounts are based on management estimates and actuarial calculations. Although these obligations do not have scheduled maturities, the timing of future payments is estimated based on historical patterns.

In the ordinary course of business, we are required to post performance and surety bonds, letters of credit, and/or cash deposits as financial guarantees of our performance. At December 31, 2011, surety bonds, letters of credit, and cash

Table of Contents

deposits totaled \$90.0 million, of which \$56.5 million represented letters of credit. We do not currently provide cash collateral for outstanding letters of credit. We have negotiated a letter of credit sublimit as part of our revolving credit facility. The amount available to be borrowed under this revolving credit facility is reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis by the cumulative amount of any outstanding letters of credit.

As further discussed in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, there are various tax matters where the ultimate resolution may result in us owing additional tax payments.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2011, we did not have any significant off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K.

Forward-Looking Statements

Our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and prospects, and the prevailing market price and performance of our common stock may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the matters discussed below. Certain statements and information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including statements regarding our expectations for the automotive retail industry, as well as other written or oral statements made from time to time by us or by our authorized executive officers on our behalf, constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

All statements other than statements of historical fact, including statements that describe our objectives, plans or goals are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements. Words such as “anticipate,” “expect,” “intend,” “goal,” “plan,” “believe,” “continue,” “may,” “will,” and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Our forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations concerning future results and events, and they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that are difficult to predict and may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance and achievements expressed or implied by these statements. The risks, uncertainties, and other factors that our stockholders and prospective investors should consider include, but are not limited to, the following:

The automotive retail industry is sensitive to changing economic conditions and various other factors. Our business and results of operations are substantially dependent on new vehicle sales levels in the United States and in our particular geographic markets and the level of gross profit margins that we can achieve on our sales of new vehicles, all of which are very difficult to predict.

Our results of operations and financial condition have been and could continue to be adversely affected by the unfavorable economic conditions in the United States and/or Europe.

Our debt agreements contain certain financial ratios and other restrictions on our ability to conduct our business, and our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and operations and prevent us from fulfilling our debt service obligations.

We are dependent upon the success and continued financial viability of the vehicle manufacturers and distributors with which we hold franchises.

Goodwill and other intangible assets comprise a significant portion of our total assets. We must test our goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment at least annually, which could result in a material, non-cash write-down of goodwill or franchise rights and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and shareholders' equity.

Our new vehicle sales are impacted by the consumer incentive and marketing programs of vehicle manufacturers.

Natural disasters and adverse weather events can disrupt our business.

We are subject to restrictions imposed by, and significant influence from, vehicle manufacturers that may adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and prospects, including our ability to acquire additional stores.

Table of Contents

• We are subject to numerous legal and administrative proceedings, which, if the outcomes are adverse to us, could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, and prospects.

Our operations are subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations. If we are found to be in violation of or subject to liabilities under any of these laws or regulations, or if new laws or regulations are enacted that adversely affect our operations, our business, operating results, and prospects could suffer.

• We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with our vehicle floorplan payables, revolving credit facility, and term loan facility that could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

Our largest stockholders, as a result of their ownership stakes in us, have the ability to exert substantial influence over actions to be taken or approved by our stockholders. These stockholders are represented on our Board of Directors and, therefore, may also have the ability to exert substantial influence over actions to be taken or approved by our Board. In addition, future share repurchases and purchases by our affiliates could further reduce our public float and could adversely impact the liquidity of our common stock.

• A failure of our information systems or any security breach or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information could have a material adverse effect on our business.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

Our primary market risk exposure is increasing LIBOR-based interest rates. Interest rate derivatives may be used to hedge a portion of our variable rate debt, when appropriate, based on market conditions.

We had \$1.9 billion of variable rate vehicle floorplan payable at December 31, 2011, and \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2010. Based on these amounts, a 100 basis point change in interest rates would result in an approximate change of \$19.0 million in 2011 and \$18.7 million in 2010 to our annual floorplan interest expense. Our exposure to changes in interest rates with respect to total vehicle floorplan payable is partially mitigated by manufacturers' floorplan assistance, which in some cases is based on variable interest rates.

We had \$1.0 billion of other variable rate debt outstanding at December 31, 2011, and \$713.4 million at December 31, 2010. Based on the amounts outstanding at year-end, a 100 basis point change in interest rates would result in an approximate change to annual interest expense of \$10.0 million in 2011 and \$7.1 million in 2010.

Our fixed rate debt, consisting of amounts outstanding under senior unsecured notes, mortgages, and capital leases, totaled \$652.0 million and had a fair value of \$675.6 million as of December 31, 2011, and totaled \$635.3 million and had a fair value of \$644.1 million as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Consolidated Income Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Selected Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)</u>	<u>95</u>

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

AutoNation, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of AutoNation, Inc. and subsidiaries as listed in the Index at Item 8. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AutoNation, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), AutoNation, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 13, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

February 13, 2012

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Certified Public Accountants

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

AutoNation, Inc.:

We have audited AutoNation, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). AutoNation, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as listed in the Index at Item 8, and our report dated February 13, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

February 13, 2012

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Certified Public Accountants

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 As of December 31,
 (In millions, except share and per share data)

	2011	2010
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$86.6	\$95.1
Receivables, net	587.4	462.0
Inventory	1,809.2	1,867.0
Other current assets	193.0	204.7
Total Current Assets	2,676.2	2,628.8
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET	1,950.7	1,838.0
GOODWILL, NET	1,172.2	1,142.4
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET	217.8	202.0
OTHER ASSETS	181.9	163.0
Total Assets	\$6,198.8	\$5,974.2
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Vehicle floorplan payable - trade	\$1,362.3	\$1,379.9
Vehicle floorplan payable - non-trade	536.5	486.5
Accounts payable	202.4	164.0
Current maturities of long-term debt	12.6	8.1
Other current liabilities	348.8	360.9
Total Current Liabilities	2,462.6	2,399.4
LONG-TERM DEBT, NET OF CURRENT MATURITIES	1,634.4	1,340.6
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	62.3	25.9
OTHER LIABILITIES	144.9	129.4
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 8)		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued—		—
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 1,500,000,000 shares authorized; 163,562,149 shares issued at December 31, 2011 and 2010, including shares held in treasury	1.6	1.6
Additional paid-in capital	19.6	2.0
Retained earnings	2,646.6	2,365.2
Treasury stock, at cost; 27,777,625 and 15,197,680 shares held, respectively	(773.2)	(289.9)
Total Shareholders' Equity	1,894.6	2,078.9
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$6,198.8	\$5,974.2

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Years Ended December 31,
(In millions, except per share data)

	2011	2010	2009	
Revenue:				
New vehicle	\$7,498.9	\$6,669.1	\$5,674.6	
Used vehicle	3,512.8	3,116.1	2,485.9	
Parts and service	2,293.1	2,209.1	2,109.8	
Finance and insurance, net	474.5	418.9	348.6	
Other	53.0	47.8	47.1	
TOTAL REVENUE	13,832.3	12,461.0	10,666.0	
Cost of Sales:				
New vehicle	6,951.2	6,217.9	5,290.6	
Used vehicle	3,228.0	2,849.4	2,257.2	
Parts and service	1,323.0	1,245.9	1,187.6	
Other	26.1	20.3	20.8	
TOTAL COST OF SALES	11,528.3	10,333.5	8,756.2	
Gross Profit:				
New vehicle	547.7	451.2	384.0	
Used vehicle	284.8	266.7	228.7	
Parts and service	970.1	963.2	922.2	
Finance and insurance	474.5	418.9	348.6	
Other	26.9	27.5	26.3	
TOTAL GROSS PROFIT	2,304.0	2,127.5	1,909.8	
Selling, general & administrative expenses	1,649.4	1,552.1	1,446.9	
Depreciation and amortization	83.7	76.8	76.7	
Franchise rights impairment	—	—	1.5	
Other expenses (income), net	(1.1) 2.0	(23.3)
OPERATING INCOME	572.0	496.6	408.0	
Floorplan interest expense	(42.7) (42.5) (35.7)
Other interest expense	(66.0) (56.1) (42.6)
Loss on debt extinguishment	(2.2) (19.6) —)
Gain on senior note repurchases	—	—	13.0	
Interest income	0.7	1.4	1.1	
Other gains (losses), net	(0.5) 1.5	5.4)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	461.3	381.3	349.2	
Income tax provision	177.1	146.0	116.1	
NET INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	284.2	235.3	233.1	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	(2.8) (8.7) (35.1)
NET INCOME	\$281.4	\$226.6	\$198.0	
BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE:				
Continuing operations	\$1.96	\$1.50	\$1.32	
Discontinued operations	\$(0.02) \$(0.06) \$(0.20)
Net income	\$1.94	\$1.44	\$1.12	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	144.8	156.9	176.5	
DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE:				
Continuing operations	\$1.93	\$1.48	\$1.31	

Edgar Filing: AUTONATION, INC. - Form 10-K

Discontinued operations	\$ (0.02))	\$ (0.05))	\$ (0.20))
Net income	\$ 1.91		\$ 1.43		\$ 1.12	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	147.3		158.6		177.3	
COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING, net of treasury stock	135.8		148.4		171.7	

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

60

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009

(In millions, except share data)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Compre- hensive Income
	Shares	Amount					
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2008	193,562,149	\$1.9	\$481.8	\$2,023.0	\$ (0.7)	\$(307.9)	
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	—	—	—	198.0	—	—	\$198.0
Other comprehensive income:							
Unrealized gains on restricted investments and marketable securities	—	—	—	—	0.7	—	0.7
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$198.7
Repurchases of common stock	—	—	—	—	—	(136.1)	
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	13.5	—	—	—	
Shares awarded under stock-based compensation plans, including excess income tax benefit of \$4.2	—	—	(15.1)	—	—	44.1	
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009	193,562,149	\$1.9	\$480.2	\$2,221.0	\$ —	\$(399.9)	
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	—	—	—	226.6	—	—	\$226.6
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$226.6
Repurchases of common stock	—	—	—	—	—	(524.4)	
Treasury stock cancellation (30,000,000)	(30,000,000)	(0.3)	(483.7)	(82.4)	—	566.4	
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	15.9	—	—	—	
Shares awarded under stock-based compensation plans, including excess income tax benefit of \$7.7	—	—	(10.4)	—	—	68.0	
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2010	163,562,149	\$1.6	\$2.0	\$2,365.2	\$ —	\$(289.9)	
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	—	—	—	281.4	—	—	\$281.4
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$281.4

Edgar Filing: AUTONATION, INC. - Form 10-K

Repurchases of common stock	—	—	—	—	—	(584.9)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	18.4	—	—	—
Shares awarded under stock-based compensation plans, including excess income tax benefit of \$22.8	—	—	(0.8)	—	—	101.6
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2011	163,562,149	\$1.6	\$19.6	\$2,646.6	\$—	\$(773.2)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31,
(In millions)

	2011	2010	2009
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$281.4	\$226.6	\$198.0
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Loss from discontinued operations	2.8	8.7	35.1
Depreciation and amortization	83.7	76.8	76.7
Amortization of debt issuance costs and accretion of debt discounts	4.3	3.5	3.2
Stock-based compensation expense	18.4	15.9	13.5
Franchise rights impairment	—	—	1.5
Non-cash impairment charges	2.2	3.7	3.4
Write-off of deferred debt issuance costs	0.4	3.5	—
Gain on senior note repurchases	—	—	(13.0)
Gain on corporate headquarters sale-leaseback	—	—	(16.1)
Net gain on asset sales and dispositions	(3.5)	(2.8)	(8.2)
Deferred income tax provision	31.1	12.8	59.2
Other	0.3	2.3	(2.8)
(Increase) decrease, net of effects from business combinations and divestitures:			
Receivables	(127.4)	(55.9)	(42.0)
Inventory	70.1	(448.6)	345.3
Other assets	(23.6)	28.6	6.1
Increase (decrease), net of effects from business combinations and divestitures:			
Vehicle floorplan payable-trade, net	(17.6)	352.6	(320.0)
Accounts payable	38.4	11.8	17.8
Other liabilities	14.9	7.0	(17.1)
Net cash provided by continuing operations	375.9	246.5	340.6
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	0.5	5.3	28.7
Net cash provided by operating activities	376.4	251.8	369.3
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchases of property and equipment	(149.1)	(150.4)	(75.0)
Property operating lease buy-outs	(13.8)	(11.4)	(0.4)
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	3.0	5.4	11.1
Proceeds from the disposal of assets held for sale	10.9	12.4	3.2
Insurance recoveries on property and equipment	0.1	1.8	3.4
Cash used in business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(64.2)	(73.1)	(0.2)
Net change in restricted cash	—	—	0.1
Proceeds from the sales of restricted investments	—	1.3	5.1
Cash received from business divestitures, net of cash relinquished	4.9	13.0	65.9
Other	2.0	1.0	0.7
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing operations	(206.2)	(200.0)	13.9
Net cash provided by (used in) discontinued operations	—	(0.2)	0.1
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(206.2)	(200.2)	14.0

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31,
(In millions)
(Continued)

	2011	2010	2009	
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Repurchases of common stock	(579.8) (524.4) (136.1)
Proceeds from 6.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2018	—	394.0	—	
Proceeds from term loan facility	500.0	—	—	
Payment of term loan facility	(533.4) (66.6) —	
Payment of Floating Rate Senior Unsecured Notes due 2013	—	(146.1) —	
Payment of 7% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2014	—	(117.9) —	
Repurchase of Floating Rate Senior Unsecured Notes due 2013	—	—	(40.9)
Repurchase of 7% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2014	—	—	(33.5)
Proceeds from revolving credit facilities	940.0	305.0	—	
Payment of revolving credit facilities	(625.0) (125.0) —	
Payment of debt issuance costs	(13.0) (11.9) —	
Net proceeds from (payments of) vehicle floorplan payable - non-trade	40.1	117.0	(100.9)
Payments of mortgage facilities	(7.7) (7.3) (6.9)
Payments of capital leases	(0.7) (0.3) (0.6)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	78.0	49.9	24.8	
Excess tax benefit from stock-based awards	22.8	7.7	4.2	
Net cash used in continuing operations	(178.7) (125.9) (289.9)
Net cash used in discontinued operations	—	(4.1) (30.0)
Net cash used in financing activities	(178.7) (130.0) (319.9)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(8.5) (78.4) 63.4	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS at beginning of period	95.1	173.5	110.1	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS at end of period	\$86.6	\$95.1	\$173.5	

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(All tables in millions, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Business

AutoNation, Inc., through its subsidiaries, is the largest automotive retailer in the United States. As of December 31, 2011, we owned and operated 258 new vehicle franchises from 215 stores located in the United States, predominantly in major metropolitan markets in the Sunbelt region. We offer a diversified range of automotive products and services, including new vehicles, used vehicles, parts and automotive repair and maintenance services (also referred to as “parts and service”), and automotive finance and insurance products (also referred to as “finance and insurance”), including the arranging of financing for vehicle purchases through third-party finance sources. For convenience, the terms “AutoNation,” “Company,” and “we” are used to refer collectively to AutoNation, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise required by the context. Our dealership operations are conducted by our subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of AutoNation, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All of our automotive dealership subsidiaries are indirectly wholly owned by the parent company, AutoNation, Inc. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation. Certain reclassifications of amounts previously reported have been made to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements in order to maintain consistency and comparability between periods presented.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. In preparing these financial statements, management has made its best estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable. However, application of these accounting policies involves the exercise of judgment and use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ materially from these estimates. We periodically evaluate estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the financial statements and make changes on a prospective basis when adjustments are necessary. Significant estimates made by AutoNation in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include certain assumptions related to goodwill, intangible assets, long-lived assets, and assets held for sale, allowances for doubtful accounts, accruals for chargebacks against revenue recognized from the sale of finance and insurance products, accruals related to self-insurance programs, certain legal proceedings, estimated tax liabilities, estimated losses from disposals of discontinued operations, and certain assumptions related to stock-based compensation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less as of the date of purchase to be cash equivalents unless the investments are legally or contractually restricted for more than three months.

Inventory

Inventory consists primarily of new and used vehicles held for sale, valued at the lower of cost or market using the specific identification method. Cost includes acquisition, reconditioning, dealer installed accessories, and transportation expenses. Additionally, we receive floorplan interest assistance from certain manufacturers. This assistance is reflected as a reduction to the inventory cost and as a reduction to cost of sales as the vehicles are sold. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, inventory cost had been reduced by \$11.8 million for interest assistance received from manufacturers. Parts, accessories, and other inventory are valued at the lower of acquisition cost (first-in, first-out) or market. See Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information about our inventory.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Continued)

Property and Equipment, net

Property and equipment are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Expenditures for major additions and improvements are capitalized, while minor replacements, maintenance, and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Leased property meeting certain criteria is capitalized and the present value of the related lease payments is recorded as a liability and included in current and/or long-term debt based on the lease term. When property is retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in Other Expenses (Income), Net in the Consolidated Income Statements. See Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for detailed information about our property and equipment.

Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the assets involved using the straight-line method.

Leasehold improvements and capitalized lease assets are amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset or the respective lease term used in determining lease classification, whichever is shorter. The range of estimated useful lives is as follows:

Buildings and improvements 5 to 40 years

Furniture, fixtures, and equipment 3 to 12 years

We continually evaluate property and equipment, including leasehold improvements, to determine whether events or changes in circumstances have occurred that may warrant revision of the estimated useful life or whether the remaining balance should be evaluated for possible impairment. We use an estimate of the related undiscounted cash flows over the remaining life of the property and equipment in assessing whether an asset has been impaired. We measure impairment losses based upon the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value. See Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information about our fair value measurements. We recorded \$0.6 million during 2010 of non-cash impairment charges related to our property and equipment held and used in continuing operations to reduce the carrying value of these assets to fair market value. These charges are recorded as a component of Other Expenses (Income), Net in the Consolidated Income Statements, of which \$0.4 million was reflected as a component of Import Segment Income and \$0.2 million was reflected as a component of Domestic Segment Income of our segment information.

When property and equipment is identified as held for sale, we reclassify the held for sale assets to Other Current Assets and cease recording depreciation. Assets held for sale in both continuing operations and discontinued operations are reported in the "Corporate and other" category of our segment information.

We had assets held for sale of \$70.1 million at December 31, 2011, and \$62.5 million at December 31, 2010, included in continuing operations. We recorded \$1.1 million during 2011 and \$2.5 million during 2010 of non-cash impairment charges related to our continuing operations assets held for sale to reduce the carrying value of these assets to fair value less cost to sell. We also recorded \$1.1 million during 2011 of non-cash impairment charges related to a valuation adjustment for the cumulative depreciation not recorded during the held for sale period for continuing operations assets that were reclassified from held for sale to held and used during 2011. The 2011 and 2010 charges are recorded as a component of Other Expenses (Income), Net in the Consolidated Income Statements and are reported in the "Corporate and other" category of our segment information.

We had assets held for sale of \$49.5 million at December 31, 2011, and \$53.8 million at December 31, 2010, included in discontinued operations. We recorded \$0.5 million during 2011 and \$3.4 million during 2010 of non-cash net impairment charges related to our discontinued operations assets held for sale to reduce the carrying value of these assets to fair value less cost to sell. These charges are recorded as a component of Loss from Discontinued Operations in the Consolidated Income Statements.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net

We account for acquisitions using the acquisition method of accounting. Goodwill consists of the cost of acquired businesses in excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired. Additionally, other intangible assets are separately

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Continued)

recognized if the benefit of the intangible asset is obtained through contractual or other legal rights, or if the intangible asset can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented, or exchanged, regardless of our intent to do so.

Our principal identifiable intangible assets are rights under franchise agreements with vehicle manufacturers. We generally expect our franchise agreements to survive for the foreseeable future and, when the agreements do not have indefinite terms, anticipate routine renewals of the agreements without substantial cost. The contractual terms of our franchise agreements provide for various durations, ranging from one year to no expiration date, and in certain cases, manufacturers have undertaken to renew such franchises upon expiration so long as the dealership is in compliance with the terms of the agreement. However, in general, the states in which we operate have automotive dealership franchise laws that provide that, notwithstanding the terms of any franchise agreement, it is unlawful for a manufacturer to terminate or not renew a franchise unless “good cause” exists. It is generally difficult, outside of bankruptcy, for a manufacturer to terminate or not renew a franchise under these franchise laws, which were designed to protect dealers. In addition, in our experience and historically in the automotive retail industry, dealership franchise agreements are rarely involuntarily terminated or not renewed by the manufacturer outside of bankruptcy.

Accordingly, we believe that our franchise agreements will contribute to cash flows for the foreseeable future and have indefinite lives. Other intangible assets are amortized using a straight-line method over their useful lives, generally ranging from three to twenty-nine years.

Goodwill and franchise rights assets are tested for impairment annually or more frequently when events or changes in circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred. We completed our annual impairment tests for both goodwill and franchise rights as of April 30, 2011 and 2010, and no impairment charges resulted from the required impairment tests.

We completed our annual impairment tests for both goodwill and franchise rights as of April 30, 2009, and no goodwill impairment charges resulted from the required impairment test. We recorded \$1.5 million (\$0.9 million after-tax) of non-cash impairment charges related to rights under an Import store’s franchise agreement as a result of the annual franchise rights impairment test during 2009. This non-cash charge was recorded to reduce the carrying value of the store’s franchise agreement to its estimated fair value.

See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about our goodwill and other intangible assets.

Other Current Assets

Other current assets consist of various items, including, among other items, property and equipment held for sale in continuing operations and discontinued operations, current deferred tax assets, prepaid expenses, and the current portions of notes receivable and debt issuance costs.

Other Assets

Other assets consist of various items, including, among other items, service loaner and rental vehicle inventory, net, the cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance held in a Rabbi Trust for deferred compensation plan participants, deferred tax assets, and the long-term portions of notes receivable and debt issuance costs. Debt issuance costs are amortized to Other Interest Expense in the accompanying Consolidated Income Statements using the effective interest method through maturity.

Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities consist of various items payable within one year including, among other items, accruals for payroll and benefits, sales taxes, deferred revenue, the current portions of finance and insurance chargeback liabilities and self-insurance reserves, accrued expenses, and customer deposits.

Other Liabilities

Other liabilities consist of various items payable beyond one year including, among other items, the long-term portions of finance and insurance chargeback liabilities, self-insurance reserves, and deferred compensation obligations.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Continued)

Employee Savings Plans

We offer a 401(k) plan to all of our employees and in 2011 provided a matching contribution of \$2.1 million to certain employees that participated in the plan. No matching contributions were made in 2010 and 2009. Prior to January 1, 2011, participants became fully vested in the employer match immediately upon contribution. Effective January 1, 2011, employer matching contributions are subject to a three year graded vesting period for employees hired subsequent to January 1, 2011.

We offer a deferred compensation plan (the "Plan") to provide certain employees and non-employee directors with the opportunity to accumulate assets for retirement on a tax-deferred basis. Participants in the Plan are allowed to defer a portion of their compensation and are fully vested in their respective deferrals and earnings. Participants may choose from a variety of investment options, which determine their earnings credits. We provided a matching contribution to employee participants in the Plan of \$0.6 million for 2011. No matching contributions were made in 2010 and 2009. We may also make discretionary contributions. Matching contributions vest over two years from the effective date of the employer's matching contribution, and discretionary contributions vest three years after the effective date of the discretionary contribution. Certain participants in the Plan are not eligible for matching contributions to our 401(k) plan. The balances due to participants in the Plan were \$34.1 million as of December 31, 2011, and \$28.8 million as of December 31, 2010, and are included in Other Current Liabilities and Other Liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock options granted under all plans are non-qualified. Upon exercise, shares of common stock are issued from our treasury stock. Generally, employee stock options granted in 2008 and prior years have a term of 10 years from the date of grant and vest in increments of 25% per year over a four-year period on the anniversary of the grant date. Stock option awards granted subsequent to 2008 are annual awards granted in four equal increments over the year, which in 2011 were granted on each of March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1, 2011, and have a term of 10 years from the first date of grant (i.e., all options granted in 2011 will expire on March 1, 2021) and vest in equal installments over four years commencing on June 1 of the year following the grant date (e.g., 25% of each option grant made in 2011 will vest on June 1, 2012). Stock options granted to non-employee directors in 2011 and prior years have a term of 10 years from the date of grant and vest immediately upon grant.

We use the Black-Scholes valuation model to determine compensation expense and amortize compensation expense over the requisite service period of the grants on a straight-line basis. Certain of our equity-based compensation plans contain provisions that provide for vesting of awards upon retirement. Accordingly, the related compensation cost for awards granted subsequent to our adoption on January 1, 2006, of an accounting standard for share-based payments must be recognized over the shorter of the stated vesting period or the period until employees become retirement-eligible.

Restricted stock awards are considered nonvested share awards as defined under generally accepted accounting principles and are issued from our treasury stock. Restricted stock awards granted in 2008 vest in increments of 25% per year over a four-year period on the anniversary of the grant date. Restricted stock awards granted subsequent to 2008 vest in equal installments over four years commencing on June 1 of the year following the grant date. Compensation cost for restricted stock awards is recognized over the shorter of the stated vesting period or the period until employees become retirement-eligible based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about our stock-based compensation arrangements.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue consists of the sales of new and used vehicles, sales of parts and automotive services, commissions from finance and insurance products, and sales of other products. We recognize revenue (which excludes sales taxes) in the period in which products are sold or services are provided. The automotive services we provide include, but are not limited to, customer-paid repairs and maintenance, as well as repairs and maintenance under manufacturer warranties and extended service contracts. We recognize vehicle and finance and insurance revenue when a sales contract has been executed, the

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Continued)

vehicle has been delivered, and payment has been received or financing has been arranged. Revenue on finance and insurance products represents commissions earned by us for: (i) loans and leases placed with financial institutions in connection with customer vehicle purchases financed, (ii) vehicle service contracts sold, and (iii) insurance and other products sold.

We sell and receive a commission, which is recognized upon sale, on the following types of products: extended service contracts, maintenance programs, guaranteed auto protection (known as “GAP,” this protection covers the shortfall between a customer’s loan balance and insurance payoff in the event of a casualty), “tire and wheel” protection, and theft protection products. The products we offer include products that are sold and administered by independent third parties, including the vehicle manufacturers’ captive finance subsidiaries. Pursuant to our arrangements with these third-party providers, we primarily sell the products on a straight commission basis; however, we may sell the product, recognize commission, and participate in future profit pursuant to retrospective commission arrangements, which are recognized as earned. Certain commissions earned from the sales of finance, insurance, and other protection products are subject to chargeback should the contracts be terminated prior to their expirations. An estimated liability for chargebacks against revenue recognized from sales of finance and insurance products is recorded in the period in which the related revenue is recognized. Our estimated liability for chargebacks is based primarily on our historical chargeback experience, and is influenced by the volume of vehicle sales in recent years and increases or decreases in early termination rates resulting from cancellation of vehicle protection products, defaults, refinancings and payoffs before maturity, and other factors. Chargeback liabilities were \$46.2 million at December 31, 2011, and \$42.5 million at December 31, 2010. See Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding chargeback liabilities.

Insurance

Under our self-insurance programs, we retain various levels of aggregate loss limits, per claim deductibles, and claims-handling expenses as part of our various insurance programs, including property and casualty, employee medical benefits, automobile, and workers’ compensation. Costs in excess of this retained risk per claim may be insured under various contracts with third-party insurance carriers. We review our claim and loss history on a periodic basis to assist in assessing our future liability. The ultimate costs of these retained insurance risks are estimated by management and by third-party actuarial evaluation of historical claims experience, adjusted for current trends and changes in claims-handling procedures. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on our self-insurance reserves.

Manufacturer Incentives and Other Rebates

We receive various incentives from manufacturers based on achieving certain objectives, such as specified sales volume targets, as well as other objectives, including maintaining standards of a particular brand, which may include but are not limited to facility image and design requirements, customer satisfaction survey results, and training standards, among others. These incentives are typically based upon units purchased or sold. These manufacturer incentives are recognized as a reduction of new vehicle cost of sales when earned, generally at the time the related vehicles are sold or upon attainment of the particular program goals, whichever is later.

We also receive manufacturer rebates and assistance for holdbacks, floorplan interest, and non-reimbursement-based advertising expenses (described below), which are reflected as a reduction in the carrying value of each vehicle purchased by us. We recognize holdbacks, floorplan interest assistance, non-reimbursement-based advertising rebates, cash incentives, and other rebates received from manufacturers that are tied to specific vehicles as a reduction to cost of sales as the related vehicles are sold.

Advertising

We generally expense the cost of advertising as incurred, net of earned manufacturer reimbursements for specific advertising costs and other discounts. Advertising expense, net of manufacturer advertising reimbursements, was \$130.2 million in 2011, \$126.2 million in 2010, and \$116.0 million in 2009, and is reflected as a component of Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Income Statements.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Continued)

Manufacturer advertising rebates that are reimbursements of costs associated with specific advertising expenses are earned in accordance with the respective manufacturers' reimbursement-based advertising assistance programs, which is typically after we have incurred the corresponding advertising expenses, and are reflected as a reduction of advertising expense. Manufacturer advertising reimbursements classified as an offset to advertising expenses were \$28.2 million in 2011, \$24.4 million in 2010, and \$14.6 million in 2009.

All other non-reimbursement-based manufacturer advertising rebates that are not associated with specific advertising expenses are recorded as a reduction of inventory and recognized as a reduction of new vehicle cost of sales in the period the related vehicle is sold.

Parts and Service Internal Profit

Our parts and service departments provide reconditioning repair work for used vehicles acquired by our used vehicle departments and minor preparatory work for new vehicles acquired by our new vehicle departments. The parts and service departments charge the new and used vehicle departments as if they were third parties in order to account for total activity performed by that department. Revenues and costs of sales associated with the internal work performed by our parts and service departments are reflected in our parts and service results in our consolidated statements of income. New and used vehicle revenues and costs of sales are reduced by the amount of the intracompany charge. As a result, the revenues and costs of sales associated with the internal work performed by our parts and service departments are eliminated in consolidation. We also maintain a reserve for internal profit on vehicles that have not been sold.

Income Taxes

We file a consolidated federal income tax return. Deferred income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between the recognition of revenue and expenses for financial and income tax reporting purposes and between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements. See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information related to income taxes.

Taxes Assessed by Governmental Authorities

Taxes assessed by governmental authorities that are directly imposed on revenue transactions are excluded from revenue in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, including outstanding unvested restricted stock awards which contain rights to non-forfeitable dividends. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding adjusted for the dilutive effect of stock options. See Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the computation of earnings (loss) per share.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Testing for Goodwill Impairment

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an accounting standard update that amends the accounting guidance on goodwill impairment testing. The amendments in this accounting standard update are intended to reduce complexity and costs by allowing an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment to determine whether it should calculate the fair value of a reporting unit. The amendments also improve previous guidance by expanding upon the examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider between annual impairment tests in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The amendments in this accounting standard update are effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15,

2011. The adoption of this accounting standard update will not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows, as it is intended to simplify the assessment for goodwill impairment.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 (Continued)

Multiemployer Pension Plan Disclosures

In September 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that requires employers that participate in multiemployer pension plans to provide additional quantitative and qualitative disclosures. The amended disclosures provide users with more detailed information about an employer's involvement in multiemployer pension plans and are effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2011. Five of our 215 stores participate in multiemployer pension plans. We adopted this standard effective December 31, 2011, and have included the required disclosures in Note 21 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which requires the presentation of components of other comprehensive income with the components of net income in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income that contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. This accounting standard update eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholders' equity, and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this accounting standard update will not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows, as it only requires a change in the format of our current presentation of comprehensive income.

Amendments to Fair Value Measurements

In May 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that amends the accounting standard on fair value measurements. The accounting standard update provides for a consistent definition and measurement of fair value, as well as similar disclosure requirements between U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. The accounting standard update changes certain fair value measurement principles, clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement, and expands the fair value measurement disclosure requirements, particularly for Level 3 fair value measurements. The amendments in this accounting standard update are to be applied prospectively and are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect the adoption of this accounting standard update will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements, but may require certain additional disclosures.

2. RECEIVABLES, NET

The components of receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, at December 31 are as follows:

	2011	2010
Trade receivables	\$94.3	\$89.8
Manufacturer receivables	138.4	127.8
Other	41.4	37.5
	274.1	255.1
Less: Allowances	(3.0)	(3.7)
	271.1	251.4
Contracts-in-transit and vehicle receivables	306.1	210.6
Income tax refundable (See Note 11)	10.2	—
Receivables, net	\$587.4	\$462.0

Contracts-in-transit and vehicle receivables represent receivables from financial institutions for the portion of the vehicle sales price financed by our customers.

70

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 (Continued)

3. INVENTORY AND VEHICLE FLOORPLAN PAYABLE

The components of inventory at December 31 are as follows:

	2011	2010
New vehicles	\$1,397.1	\$1,479.6
Used vehicles	286.3	271.8
Parts, accessories, and other	125.8	115.6
	\$1,809.2	\$1,867.0

The components of vehicle floorplan payables at December 31 are as follows:

	2011	2010
Vehicle floorplan payable - trade	\$1,362.3	\$1,379.9
Vehicle floorplan payable - non-trade	536.5	486.5
	\$1,898.8	\$1,866.4

Vehicle floorplan payable-trade reflects amounts borrowed to finance the purchase of specific new vehicle inventories with the corresponding manufacturers' captive finance subsidiaries ("trade lenders"). Vehicle floorplan payable-non-trade represents amounts borrowed to finance the purchase of specific new and, to a lesser extent, used vehicle inventories with non-trade lenders, as well as amounts borrowed under our secured used floorplan facilities, which are primarily collateralized by used vehicle inventories and related receivables. Changes in vehicle floorplan payable-trade are reported as operating cash flows and changes in vehicle floorplan payable-non-trade are reported as financing cash flows in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Our inventory costs are generally reduced by manufacturer holdbacks, incentives, and floorplan assistance, while the related vehicle floorplan payables are reflective of the gross cost of the vehicle. The vehicle floorplan payables, as shown in the above table, will generally also be higher than the inventory cost due to the timing of the sale of a vehicle and payment of the related liability.

Vehicle floorplan facilities are due on demand, but in the case of new vehicle inventories, are generally paid within several business days after the related vehicles are sold. Our manufacturer agreements generally require that the manufacturer have the ability to draft against the new vehicle floorplan facilities so the lender directly funds the manufacturer for the purchase of new vehicle inventory. Vehicle floorplan facilities are primarily collateralized by vehicle inventories and related receivables.

Our vehicle floorplan facilities utilize LIBOR-based interest rates, which averaged 2.4% during 2011 and 2.6% during 2010. At December 31, 2011, the aggregate capacity under our floorplan credit agreements with various lenders to finance a portion of our used vehicle inventory was \$260.0 million, of which \$112.7 million had been borrowed. The remaining borrowing capacity of \$147.3 million was limited to \$75.4 million based on the eligible used vehicle inventory that could have been pledged as collateral. At December 31, 2011, the aggregate capacity under all of our floorplan credit facilities to finance vehicles was approximately \$2.8 billion, of which \$1.9 billion had been borrowed.

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 (Continued)

4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

A summary of property and equipment, net, at December 31 is as follows:

	2011	2010
Land	\$867.1	\$837.7
Buildings and improvements	1,321.8	1,210.3
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	518.6	479.6
	2,707.5	2,527.6
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(756.8) (689.6
Property and equipment, net	\$1,950.7	\$1,838.0

5. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET

Goodwill and intangible assets, net, at December 31 consist of the following:

	2011	2010
Goodwill	\$1,172.2	\$1,142.4
Franchise rights - indefinite-lived	\$212.6	\$199.1
Other intangible assets	8.4	5.6
	221.0	204.7
Less: accumulated amortization	(3.2) (2.7
Intangible assets, net	\$217.8	\$202.0

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually on April 30 or more frequently when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of a reporting unit more likely than not exceeds its fair value. We completed our annual impairment tests as of April 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, and no goodwill impairment charges resulted from the required goodwill impairment tests.

The test for goodwill impairment is a two-step approach. The first step of the goodwill impairment test requires a determination of whether the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If so, the second step is required, which involves an analysis reflecting the allocation of the fair value determined in the first step (as if it was the purchase price in a business combination). This process may result in the determination of a new amount of goodwill. If the calculated fair value of the goodwill resulting from this allocation is lower than the carrying value of the goodwill in the reporting unit, the difference is reflected as a non-cash impairment loss. The purpose of the second step is only to determine the amount of goodwill that should be recorded on the balance sheet. The recorded amounts of other items on the balance sheet are not adjusted.

We estimate the fair value of our reporting units using an “income” valuation approach, which discounts projected free cash flows of the reporting unit at a computed weighted average cost of capital as the discount rate. The income valuation approach requires the use of significant estimates and assumptions, which include revenue growth rates and future operating margins used to calculate projected future cash flows, weighted average costs of capital, and future economic and market conditions. In connection with this process, we also reconcile the estimated aggregate fair values of our reporting units to our market capitalization, including consideration of a control premium that represents the estimated amount an investor would pay for our equity securities to obtain a controlling interest. We believe that this reconciliation process is consistent with a market participant perspective. We base our cash flow forecasts on our knowledge of the automotive industry, our recent performance, our expectations of our future performance, and other

assumptions we believe to be reasonable but that are unpredictable and inherently uncertain. Actual future results may differ from those

Table of Contents

AUTONATION, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 (Continued)

estimates. We also make certain judgments and assumptions in allocating shared assets and liabilities to determine the carrying values for each of our reporting units.

Goodwill allocated to our reporting units and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	Domestic	Import	Premium Luxury	Corporate and other	Consolidated
Goodwill at January 1, 2010 ⁽¹⁾	\$156.0	\$497.8	\$469.3	\$—	\$1,123.1
Acquisitions and other adjustments	0.4	18.9	—	—	19.3
Goodwill at December 31, 2010 ⁽¹⁾	156.4	516.7	469.3	—	1,142.4
Acquisitions and other adjustments	—	14.9	14.9	—	29.8
Goodwill at December 31, 2011 ⁽¹⁾					