

LUMINEX CORP
Form 10-K
March 14, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

- Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007**
- or**
- Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from _____ to _____.**
Commission File No. 000-30109

LUMINEX CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

74-2747608

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

12212 TECHNOLOGY BLVD., AUSTIN, TEXAS

(Address of principal executive offices)

78727

(Zip Code)

(512) 219-8020

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	The NASDAQ Global Market
Rights to Purchase Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value	The NASDAQ Global Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act: **NONE**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Based on the closing sale price of common stock on The Nasdaq Stock Market on June 30, 2007, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$404,768,348 as of such date, which assumes, for purposes of this calculation only, that all shares of common stock beneficially held by officers and directors are shares owned by affiliates.

There were 36,739,022 shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, outstanding on March 11, 2008.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement for its 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

**LUMINEX CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	PAGE
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>	16
<u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	26
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u>	26
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	27
<u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	28
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	30
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	33
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	34
<u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	48
<u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	49
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	80
<u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	81
<u>Item 9B.</u> <u>Other Information</u>	81
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	82
<u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u>	82
<u>Item 12.</u>	82

Table of Contents

Safe Harbor Cautionary Statement

This annual report on Form 10-K contains statements that are forward-looking statements as defined within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Forward-looking statements give our current expectations of forecasts of future events. All statements other than statements of current or historical fact contained in this annual report, including statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs, and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. The words anticipate, believe, continue, estimate, expect, intend, may, plan, projects, will, and similar expressions, as they relate to us, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are based on our current plans and actual future activities, and our results of operations may be materially different from those set forth in the forward-looking statements as a result of known or unknown risks and uncertainties, including, among other things:

risks and uncertainties relating to market demand and acceptance of our products and technology,

dependence on strategic partners for development, commercialization and distribution of products,

concentration of the Company's revenue in a limited number of strategic partners,

fluctuations in quarterly results due to a lengthy and unpredictable sales cycle and bulk purchases of consumables,

our ability to scale manufacturing operations and manage operating expenses, gross margins and inventory levels,

potential shortages of components,

competition,

the timing of regulatory approvals,

the implementation, including any modification, of the Company's strategic operating plans, and

risks and uncertainties associated with implementing our acquisition strategy and the ability to integrate acquired companies, including Tm Bioscience, or selected assets into our consolidated business operations, including the ability to recognize the benefits of our acquisitions.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this annual report may turn out to be inaccurate. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. They can be affected by inaccurate assumptions we might make or by known or unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including the risks, uncertainties and assumptions outlined above and described in Item 1A. Risk Factors below.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this annual report may not occur and actual results could differ materially from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. When you consider these forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind these risk factors and other cautionary statements in this annual report, including in Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained in this annual report.

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to Luminex, the Company, we, us and our refer to Luminex Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Luminex® and xMAP® are trademarks of Luminex Corporation. This report also refers to trademarks, service marks and trade names of other organizations.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

Luminex Corporation develops, manufactures and sells proprietary biological testing technologies and products with applications throughout the life sciences industry. The life sciences industry depends on a broad range of tests, called bioassays, to perform diagnostic tests, discover and develop new drugs and identify genes. Our xMAP® technology, an open architecture, multiplexing technology, allows simultaneous analysis of up to 100 bioassays from a small sample volume, typically a single drop of fluid, by reading biological tests on the surface of microscopic polystyrene beads called microspheres. xMAP technology combines this miniaturized liquid array bioassay capability with small lasers, digital signal processors and proprietary software to create a system offering advantages in speed, precision, flexibility and cost. Our xMAP technology is currently being used within various segments of the life sciences industry which includes the fields of drug discovery and development, clinical diagnostics, genetic analysis, bio-defense, protein analysis and biomedical research. Our products are described below under **Products**.

Luminex has established a position in the life sciences industry by developing and delivering products that meet customer and partner needs in specific market segments. These needs are addressed by our proprietary technology, xMAP Technology, which allows the end-user in a laboratory to perform biological testing in a multiplexed format. Multiplexing allows for many different laboratory results to be generated from one sample at one time. This is important because our end-user customers and partners, which include laboratory professionals performing research, clinical laboratories performing tests on patients as ordered by a physician and other laboratories, have a fundamental need to perform high quality testing as efficiently as possible. Until the availability of multiplexing technology such as xMAP, the laboratory professional had to perform one test on one sample in a sequential manner, and if additional testing was required on that sample, a second procedure would be performed to generate the second result, and so on until all the necessary tests were performed. By using xMAP technology, these end-users have the opportunity to become more efficient by generating multiple simultaneous results per sample. Using the products Luminex has available today, up to 100 simultaneous analyte results can be generated from a single sample. With products we are currently developing, the capacity of potential simultaneous analytes may increase significantly, and provide the Company with the ability to address unmet customer and partner needs in existing and new market segments.

Luminex has adopted a business model built around strategic partnerships. Information about our strategy is described under **Business Strategy**. The Company has licensed our xMAP technology to other companies, who then develop products that incorporate the xMAP technology into products that they sell to the end-user. Luminex develops and manufactures the proprietary xMAP laboratory instrumentation and the proprietary xMAP microspheres and sells these products to our partners. Our partners sell xMAP instrumentation, xMAP-based reagent consumable products or xMAP-based testing services, which run on the xMAP instrumentation, to the end-user customer, typically a testing laboratory. When our partners sell an xMAP-based consumable product or xMAP based testing service to their customer, Luminex obtains a royalty on the sales from the partner. The Company was founded on this model, and our success to date has been due to this model. As of the December 31, 2007, Luminex had approximately 58 strategic partners, 30 of which have released commercialized reagent-based products utilizing our technology, and these partners have sold and placed 4,979 xMAP-based instruments in laboratories worldwide.

A fundamental component of the Company's strategy over the past two years has been to augment the partnership model with a distribution model, designed to take advantage of our increasing installed base of xMAP-based instrumentation. The Company established the Luminex Bioscience Group, which we refer to as LBG, in 2005, with the charter of developing products that would be complementary to our partners' products, that we would take responsibility for manufacturing on their behalf and that our partners would then sell to the end-user, thereby leveraging both our existing distribution channels and our existing installed base of instrumentation. LBG introduced their first two products in late 2006, on schedule, and launched several assay products in 2007.

Table of Contents

On March 1, 2007, we completed our acquisition of Tm Bioscience, now a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and known as Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, of Toronto, Canada. Tm Bioscience was a molecular diagnostics company. Tm Bioscience had focused its resources on building a commercialization engine for the design, development, manufacture, marketing and selling of genetic tests, also referred to as DNA-based tests, nucleic acid tests or molecular diagnostics. Since 2006, Tm Bioscience had focused on leveraging this engine in order to become a market leader in at least one of the three segments of the genetic testing market for which it was developing products: human genetics, personalized medicine and infectious disease. Tm Bioscience was an innovator in the molecular diagnostics market. Tm Bioscience had established a solid position in the marketplace with their product development and FDA-compliant manufacturing capabilities. We completed the full integration of Tm Bioscience during 2007, and we believe the combined Company is in a position to take advantage of the complementary strengths of both companies in molecular diagnostics.

Luminex was incorporated under the laws of the State of Texas in May 1995 and began commercial production of our Luminex 100 System in 1999. We were reincorporated in the State of Delaware in July 2000. Our shares of common stock are traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol LMNX. Our principal executive offices are located at 12212 Technology Blvd., Austin, Texas 78727, and our telephone number is (512) 219-8020. Our website address is www.luminexcorp.com. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this report and such information should not be considered to be part of this report except as expressly incorporated herein. The public may read and copy these materials at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20949 or on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. Questions regarding the public reference room may be directed to the SEC at 1-800-732-0330.

Industry Background

The life sciences industry uses bioassays to detect the presence and characteristics of certain biochemicals, proteins or nucleic acids in a sample. Drug discovery, genetic analysis, pharmacogenomics, clinical diagnostics and general biomedical research all use bioassays. For example, bioassays can be used to:

- measure the attraction, or affinity, between a chemical compound and a disease target for drug discovery and development;

- assist physicians in prescribing the appropriate tailored drug therapy based on the patient's unique genetic makeup, a process known as pharmacogenetics;

- detect genetic variations, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms; and

- measure the presence and quantity of biochemicals in a patient's blood, other body fluid or tissue to assist physicians in diagnosing, treating or monitoring disease conditions.

The life sciences customer can purchase bioassays in the form of complete off-the-shelf kits, develop them internally or utilize a customized service to meet their specific needs. Although it is important to note that our xMAP technology is relevant to only a subset of the total life sciences market, industry reports estimated the total global market for tools and consumables used in drug discovery and development, clinical diagnostics and biomedical research to have been approximately \$47 billion in 2006 and is expected to grow at an annual rate of approximately 6%.

Based on estimates contained in strategic studies performed in 2003 and updated in 2005, the key segments on which we are currently focused represent a potential market of approximately \$3.6 billion in end-user sales with an anticipated annual growth rate of approximately 15%.

Table of Contents

The table below briefly describes the key bioassay technologies in the life sciences industry:

KEY TECHNOLOGIES	DESCRIPTION	MARKETS SERVED
BioChips/Microarrays	High-density arrays of DNA fragments or proteins attached to a flat glass or silicon surface	Biomedical research and select clinical diagnostics
Automated Immunoassays	Automated test tube-based instruments used for detecting antibodies, proteins and other analytes	Clinical diagnostics
Gels and blots	Physical separation of molecules or analytes for visualization	Clinical diagnostics and biomedical research
Real-time PCR	Quantitative tests which monitor the progress of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) during the amplification reaction instead of post-reaction	Nucleic acid testing in clinical diagnostics and biomedical research
Microfluidics chips	Miniaturized liquid handling system on a chip	Biomedical research
Microtiter-plate based assays	Plastic trays with discrete wells in which different types of assays are fixed	Drug discovery, clinical diagnostics and biomedical research
Genotyping technologies	DNA primers or probes designed to identify small differences between DNA targets using methods such as ligation assays, cleavage assays hybridization assays	Drug discovery, clinical diagnostics and biomedical research

Our xMAP Technology

Our xMAP technology combines existing biological testing techniques with advanced digital signal processing and proprietary software. With our technology, discrete bioassays are performed on the surface of color-coded microspheres. These microspheres are read in a compact analyzer that utilizes lasers and high-speed digital signal processing to simultaneously identify the bioassay and measure the individual assay results. The key features of xMAP technology include the following:

Multi-analyte/multi-format

xMAP technology has been designed to simultaneously perform up to 100 distinct bioassays in a single tube or well of a microtiter plate using only a small amount of sample. Moreover, unlike most existing technologies that are dedicated to only one type of bioassay, xMAP can perform multiple types of assays including enzymatic, genetic and immunologic tests on the same instrumentation platform.

Flexibility/scalability

xMAP technology allows flexibility in customizing test panels. Panels can be modified to include new bioassays in the same tube by adding additional microsphere sets. It is also scalable, meaning that there is no change in the

manufacturing process and only minimal changes to the required labor to produce a small or large number of microsphere-based tests.

Throughput

Our technology is currently able to perform up to 100 tests in a single tube permitting up to 9,600 unattended tests to be detected in less than an hour with only a small amount of sample. Rapid sample analysis permits efficient use for high-throughput applications.

Table of Contents

Ease of use

Most xMAP bioassays are simple to perform. A test sample is added to a solution containing microspheres that have been coated with reagents. The solution is then processed through our xMAP technology system which incorporates proprietary software to automate data acquisition and analysis in real-time.

Cost effective

By performing multiple assays at one time, xMAP technology is designed to be cost effective for customers compared to competitive techniques such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or Real-time PCR. By analyzing only those assays in which a customer is interested, xMAP is also more cost effective than most competing microarray technologies. In addition, microsphere-based bioassays are inexpensive compared to other technologies such as biochips.

Polystyrene microspheres, approximately 5.6 microns in diameter, are a fundamental component of the xMAP technology. We purchase and manufacture microspheres and, in a proprietary process, dye them with varying intensities of a red and a near infrared dye to achieve up to 100 distinct colors. The specific dye proportions permit each color-coded microsphere to be readily identified based on its distinctive fluorescent signature. Our customers create bioassays by attaching different biochemical reactants to each distinctly colored microsphere set. The microsphere sets can then be combined in test panels as required by the user, with a current maximum of 100 tests per panel. Customers can order either standard microspheres or magnetic microspheres.

To perform a bioassay using xMAP technology, a researcher attaches biochemicals, or reagents, to one or more sets of color-coded microspheres, which are then mixed with a test sample. This mixture is injected into the xMAP analyzer, where the microspheres pass single-file in a fluid stream through two laser beams. The first laser excites the internal dyes that are used to identify the color of the microsphere and the test being performed on the surface of the microsphere. The second laser excites a fluorescent dye captured on the surface of the microspheres that is used to quantify the result of the bioassay taking place. Our proprietary optics, digital signal processors and software record the fluorescent signature of each microsphere and compare the results to the known identity of that color-coded microsphere set. The results are analyzed and displayed in real-time with data stored on the computer database for reference, evaluation and analysis.

xTAG technology developed by Luminex Molecular Diagnostics (LMD) consists of several components including multiplexed PCR or target identification primers, DNA Tags, xMAP microspheres, and data analysis software. xTAG technology permits the development of molecular diagnostic assays for clinical use by hospital and reference laboratories. xTAG technology has been applied, in particular, to human genetic assays, pharmacogenetic assays, and infectious disease assays.

Business Strategy

Our primary goal continues to be the establishment of Luminex as an industry leader and xMAP technology as the industry standard for performing bioassays by transforming Luminex from a technology-based company to a more market-driven, customer-focused company. To achieve this goal, we have implemented and are pursuing the following strategies:

Focus on key market segments

The key market segments identified as a result of our strategic studies have been (i) profile oriented screening and secondary screening, (ii) RNA profiling and transcriptional screening, (iii) genetic disease and molecular infectious disease testing, and (iv) immunodiagnostics. In addition to the segments listed above, we have identified two potential market opportunities in the fields of bio-defense, or bio-threat testing, and food safety and animal health testing. We have dedicated our primary efforts towards these markets and will continue to employ a partnership driven business model focused on these key segments and selectively pursue potentially profitable opportunities in other segments.

Table of Contents

We will continue to focus our commercialization efforts through strategic partners on large sectors of the life sciences industry where Luminex believes it has distinct competitive advantages over existing and emerging technologies and approaches. We define strategic partners as companies in the life sciences industry that either develop and distribute assays and tests on xMAP technology or may only distribute our xMAP technology based systems and consumables. With our partners' support, we have targeted major pharmaceutical companies, large clinical laboratories, research institutions and major medical institutions for our principal marketing efforts. We believe these customers provide the greatest opportunity for maximizing the use of xMAP based products and continued adoption by these industry leaders will promote wider market acceptance of our xMAP technology.

Continue to develop strategic partnerships focused on our key market segments

Currently, 30 of our approximately 58 strategic partners have released commercialized reagent-based products utilizing the Luminex platform and are submitting royalties. We also have strategic partners who distribute Luminex products. The 30 strategic partners who have commercialized reagent-based products accounted for approximately 64% of our total revenue in 2007 and all of our strategic partners represented approximately 81% of our total revenue. We intend to broaden and accelerate market acceptance of xMAP technology through development, marketing and distribution partnerships with leaders in the life sciences industry that we believe can either convert core product lines to our technology or develop new applications on the Luminex platform within their key market segments. By leveraging our strategic partners' market positions and utilizing their distribution channels and marketing infrastructure, we believe we can continue to expand our installed instrument base. We also intend to penetrate other key segments by developing and delivering assay kits.

Develop next generation products

Our research and development group is pursuing projects such as the development of consumables, automation, software and the expansion and enhancement of our multiplexing capabilities to advance our xMAP technology and its market acceptance. We are also collaborating with industry participants, biomedical research institutions and government entities to develop additional xMAP products. We intend to launch our new instrument, FlexMAP 3D, in the second half of 2008, to complement our current instrument offerings.

Develop and deliver market-leading assay products

We are focused on maximizing the value we provide our shareholders, partners and end-user customers by developing internally and co-developing with partners content applications based on customers' needs in key market segments. We believe that by enhancing our partner driven model with the delivery of value-added assay content, Luminex should be able to gain greater control over product development, market penetration and commercialization. Luminex Molecular Diagnostics will focus on achieving these goals in the DNA testing diagnostics market. The LBG will develop assays in specialty markets in which our partners are not active. This approach resulted in the commercial launch of several assay products in 2007.

Opportunistically pursue acquisitions that could accelerate these strategies

We have developed analysis tools and an evaluation template to assess potential acquisition targets to accelerate our business strategies. This approach led to the acquisition of Tm Bioscience in 2007. We are actively evaluating other opportunities to enhance our capabilities or our access to markets or technologies, or provide us other advantages in executing our business strategies in our key markets.

Products

Technology Segment

Instruments

Luminex® 100 and Luminex® 200 . The Luminex 100 and 200 are compact analyzers that integrate fluidics, optics and digital signal processing to perform up to 100 bioassays simultaneously in a single tube or well of a microtiter plate using only a small amount of sample. By combining small diode lasers with digital signal processors and microcontrollers, these systems perform rapid, multi-analyte profiles under the control of a Windows®-based personal computer and our proprietary software. The Luminex 200 is Luminex's newest instrument and offers enhanced ease-of-use and serviceability.

Table of Contents

We also offer two peripheral components for the Luminex systems – the **Luminex® XYP (XY Platform)** and the **Luminex® SD (Luminex Sheath Delivery System)**. The XY Platform complements the Luminex systems by automating the sequential positioning of each well of a microtiter plate, permitting up to 9,600 unattended tests per plate to be performed in less than an hour. The Luminex SD is a pressurized, external pump delivery system that enhances the delivery of sheath fluid to the Luminex systems by pumping sheath fluid from an external bulk reservoir, enabling the Luminex systems to operate for up to 24 hours without switching to a new reservoir of sheath fluid.

Luminex HTS™ (High-Throughput System). The customized, high-throughput version of our xMAP analyzer, the Luminex HTS, is interfaced with an automated liquid handler which allows for walk-away capability. The Luminex HTS utilizes a high pressure flow system, which produces a flow rate approximately ten times greater than the flow rate of the Luminex 100 or 200. The Luminex HTS can also be connected to robotic systems that deliver both 96 and 384 well plates allowing integration into automated test centers. The Luminex HTS was market released in the second half of 2003. Because of the customized nature of the Luminex HTS, it is built to order.

Total instrument revenue for 2007, 2006, and 2005 was \$24.4 million, \$20.6 million and \$18.8 million, respectively; or 33%, 39% and 44% of total revenue, respectively.

Consumables

Microspheres. Our xMAP Systems use polystyrene microspheres that are approximately 5.6 microns in diameter. We dye the microspheres in sets with varying intensities of a red and a near infrared dye to achieve up to 100 distinct color sets. Each microsphere can carry the reagents of an enzymatic, genetic or immunologic bioassay. In addition to microspheres, consumables from Luminex also include sheath fluid. Additional consumables, for which Luminex receives a royalty, in the form of reagent kits are developed and distributed by our partners.

MagPlex Microspheres. These microspheres feature super-paramagnetic properties that make them ideal for running automated xMAP-based assays. These microspheres can be moved or held in place by a magnetic field. Many automated sample preparation systems utilize magnetic properties to automate the sample preparation steps in an assay. Automating sample testing using MagPlex microspheres on a robotic sample preparation system minimizes hands-on technician time, improves precision, and streamlines workflow.

xTAG Microspheres. These microspheres are linked to a set of 100 proprietary nucleic acid capture sequences providing a universal array for DNA and RNA work. They are designed for conducting genotyping and other nucleic acid-based experiments in the life sciences markets. When used in conjunction with our Luminex systems, the xTAG microspheres are designed to simplify the genotyping assay development process and increase assay flexibility. The xTAG microspheres may be used in customized end-user identified single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or in pre-defined kits developed by our strategic partners.

SeroMAP Microspheres. Microspheres designed for specific protein based serological applications. Certain Luminex partners use this product for enriched sensitivity in serum-based assays.

Calibration and Control Microspheres. Calibration microspheres are microspheres of known fluorescent light intensities used to calibrate the settings for the classification and reporter channel for the Luminex systems. Control microspheres are microspheres that are used to verify the calibration and optical integrity for both the classification and reporter channels for the Luminex 100 and 200 systems.

Total consumable revenue for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 was \$19.2 million, \$15.7 million and \$13.1 million, respectively; or 26%, 30% and 31% of total revenue, respectively. The decrease in consumables as a percentage of total revenue is primarily attributable to the addition of \$11.3 million of assay revenue to total revenues through the acquisition of LMD. Additionally, our partners reported approximately \$182 million, \$132 million and \$86 million of royalty bearing consumable sales during 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively; resulting in \$10.2 million, \$8.2 million and \$5.3 million of royalty revenue for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Table of Contents*Software*

LXR. For partners interested in developing custom software applications based on xMAP technology, we offer the LXR Software Developer's Kit (SDK). This SDK provides a software interface for reading xMAP based assays on Luminex hardware, and allows a software developer to easily build a custom application to control Luminex hardware by providing an applications programming interface to the Luminex system as well as a standard set of user interface components and applications. Sales of this product during 2007 did not represent a material component of our revenue.

xPONENT®. This software enhances both ease-of-use and automation capabilities expanding xMAP functionality in the Company's core market segments. Customer-centric development and extensive field testing with customers has resulted in a user experience which is a significant step forward in the market place. The software suite incorporates over 300 new features all designed to simplify laboratory workflow and increase productivity. New features include enhanced security (21 CFR Part 11 compliance and electronic signatures), integration capabilities that allow users to transmit and receive data from Laboratory Information Systems (LIS/LIMS), integration with the most popular automated sample preparation systems, the ability to run magnetic bead applications and touch-screen capability. xPONENT® will be sold on new Luminex systems and will be available as an upgrade to the existing Luminex 100 and 200 systems in the marketplace. Sales of this product during 2007 did not represent a material component of our revenue.

Assay Segment*Kits*

A kit is a combination of chemical and biological reagents and our proprietary bead technology used to perform diagnostic and research assays on samples. Currently the following kits are available:

FlexmiR MicroRNA Labeling Kit. This Research Use Only (RUO) kit provides reagents necessary for biotin-labeling up to 50 total RNA samples for use with the FlexmiR microRNA (miRNA) panels and the FlexmiR Select assay.

FlexmiR MicroRNA Human Panel. This RUO kit measures the expression of the miRBase Sequence database Version 8.0 human miRNA sequences for 20 biotin-labeled total RNA samples.

FlexmiR MicroRNA Mouse/Rat Extension Panel. This RUO kit is used in combination with the FlexmiR MicroRNA Human Panel to measure the expression of the miRBase Sequence database Version 8.0 mouse and rat miRNA sequences for 20 biotin-labeled total RNA samples.

FlexmiR Select. This RUO assay allows a customer to custom configure multiplex miRNA panels based on the miRNA targets the customer chooses to test. Available targets include all targets available in the FlexmiR MicroRNA Human Panel. The customer may choose up to 50 unique miRNA targets to include in the custom assay and is provided with enough reagents to test 50 samples.

FlexmiR Reagent Pak. This RUO kit compliments the FlexmiR Select product and provides all necessary buffers and reagents to complete the FlexmiR Select assay.

FlexmiR MicroRNA Control Set. This RUO kit may be used in conjunction with the FlexmiR microRNA Labeling Kit, FlexmiR microRNA Human Panel, FlexmiR Mouse/Rat Extension Panel and FlexmiR Select to verify the integrity of the assay.

Pneumococcal Assay. This FDA-cleared and CE marked IVD kit has been designed to multiplex the fourteen commonly requested serotypes in a single reaction vessel.

xTAG Respiratory Viral Panel. This FDA-cleared and CE marked IVD kit simultaneously detects and identifies 12 different respiratory viruses and subtypes and 20 different respiratory viruses and subtypes, in the U.S. and Europe, respectively in a single test. The product assists the physician in identifying the causative agent for respiratory infections, a major cause of illness and mortality globally.

Table of Contents

xTAG Ashkenazi Jewish Panel. This Investigational Use Only (IUO) kit simultaneously screens for 31 mutations/polymorphisms in 8 genes responsible for conditions that are predominantly found in persons of Ashkenazi ancestry. Increased risk for Tay-Sachs disease is also found in the Pennsylvania Dutch, Southern Louisiana Cajuns, Irish Americans and French Canadians from eastern Quebec. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends screening for, at a minimum, Tay-Sachs disease, Canavan disease, and familial dysautonomia in patients of European-Jewish ancestry.

xTAG Cystic Fibrosis Kit. This kit is the first FDA cleared IVD for cystic fibrosis genotyping. Current recommendations by the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) and the ACOG, include screening for 23 mutations in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene. The kit screens for these mutations in addition to 16 mutations commonly found in the ethnically diverse North American population.

xTAG CFTR 70+6 Mutation Detection Kit. This IUO kit simultaneously screens for 70 mutations and 6 variants (polymorphisms) in the CFTR gene. Included in the panel are the gene mutations recommended by the ACMG and the ACOG in 2004.

xTAG Mutation Detection Products for Coagulation. This IUO kit is for detecting mutations potentially associated with increased risk of Venous Thromboembolism.

xTAG Mutation Detection Kit for P450-2C19. This IUO kit provides simultaneous detection of seven small nucleotide variants found in the P450-2C19 gene, which can affect the metabolism of a number of currently marketed drugs.

xTAG Mutation Detection Kit for P450-2C9. This IUO kit provides simultaneous detection of five small nucleotide variants found in the P450-2C9 gene, which can affect the metabolism of a number of currently marketed drugs.

xTAG Mutation Detection Kit for P450-2D6. This IUO kit provides simultaneous detection of 12 small nucleotide variants and two gene rearrangements found in the P450-2D6 gene, which can affect the metabolism of a number of currently marketed drugs.

xTAG Mutation Detection Kit for P450-2C9 and VKORCI. This IUO kit is designed to detect a number of polymorphisms or mutations which can affect the rate at which the anticoagulant warfarin is metabolized.

Total assay revenue for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 was \$11.3 million, \$19,000 and \$0, respectively; or 15%, 0% and 0% of total revenue, respectively. The increase in assay revenue as a percentage of total revenue is primarily attributable to the acquisition of LMD.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing strategy is to expand the installed base and utilization of xMAP technology. We are focused on generating recurring revenues from royalties on bioassay kits and testing services developed or performed by others that use our technology, as well as the sale of Luminex-developed assays, microspheres and other consumables. We have two key elements of our sales and marketing strategy. The first is our allegiance to Luminex's historic strategic partner program with life sciences companies that develop applications or perform testing using our technology platforms and distribute our systems to their customers. The second is our dedication to marketing the assays developed by Luminex Molecular Diagnostics and the Luminex Biosciences Group through our strategic partners or directly to end users in segments where our partners do not participate.

Table of Contents

We continue to use strategic partners as our primary distribution channel, and we will continue to pursue new partnerships focusing on partners with market presence in our key segments described above. Some of our strategic partners develop application-specific bioassay kits for use on our xMAP platform that they, in turn, sell to their customers thereby generating royalties for us. Certain strategic partners also perform testing services for third parties using our technology also resulting in royalties for us. Other strategic partners also buy our products, including xMAP Luminex systems and consumables, or xTAG test kits, and then resell those products to their customers. As of December 31, 2007, we had approximately 58 strategic partners, compared to approximately 50 strategic partners as of December 31, 2006. During 2007, 30 of these strategic partners had released commercialized products utilizing the Luminex platform and were submitting royalties. Of these 30 strategic partners with commercialized products, 13 companies principally serve the clinical diagnostics market and 17 companies principally serve the life science research market. These commercialized, royalty-submitting, strategic partners constituted 64% of the Company's revenues for 2007. We also believe our strategic partners provide us with complementary capabilities in product development, regulatory expertise and sales and marketing. By leveraging our strategic partners' bioassay testing competencies, customer relationships and distribution channels, we believe that we can continue to achieve market penetration and technology adoption without a direct sales force.

We also serve as the original equipment manufacturer (OEM) for certain strategic partners that choose to sell our xMAP technology as an embedded system under their own branding and marketing efforts.

Customers

In 2007, two customers each accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. accounted for 20%, 19% and 23% of our total revenues in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. One Lambda, Inc. accounted for 15%, 15% and 16% of our total revenues in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues in 2007, 2006 or 2005. The loss of either one of these customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation. Additionally, for the annual periods ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, foreign sales to customers totaled \$11.4 million, \$12.2 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, representing 15%, 23% and 22%, respectively, of our total revenues for such periods. See Note 17 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Technical Operations

Our Technical Operations Group provides technical support to our customers, our strategic partners and their customers. Most of the Company's technical operations personnel have experience as biologists, biochemists, or electrical engineers and have extensive experience in academic, industrial and commercial settings. Cross training is a major focus, empowering group members to solve problems outside their primary assignment.

Remote Support

Our technical support and assay support departments assist users primarily through a toll-free hotline, internet interface and e-mail communications. We deliver 24/7 remote technical support with our staff based at our Austin location, our Toronto location, and in our European subsidiary to better serve our customer base. Personnel assist our strategic partners and customers with product orders, software, hardware, system implementation and development of their bioassays. A comprehensive software and database system is utilized to track customer interactions, follow trends and measure utilization. The information is categorized and presented to management for regular review.

Training

Through our training group, we offer comprehensive programs in basic system training, advanced assay development, instrument field service and technical support functions. A significant part of our training material is now web-based and available online. For larger customers who have many users, such as our strategic partners, training may be performed on-site at their locations.

Field Support

We currently have field service and field application personnel based across North America and in Europe in areas of our more significant system concentration. We intend to place additional field service personnel and pursue third-party service provider agreements through our certified service professional program, as required, in order to ensure responsive and cost-effective support of our customers worldwide. In addition, several of our strategic partners provide their own field service and field application support. As we continue to expand our installed base, we believe

a strong, reliable, efficient field support organization is crucial to building a high level of customer satisfaction.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

Our research and development groups seek to advance the capabilities of xMAP technology to further penetrate the life sciences and diagnostics industry to increase utilization of our systems. In addition, we collaborate with other companies, academic institutions and our customers to increase the breadth of xMAP applications. Our current research and development projects include:

Consumable development

We continue to develop and enhance our existing consumable product line and support introduction of new product lines. These new products include calibrators, controls and microspheres with additional performance characteristics.

Automation

We collaborate with our strategic partners and others to provide automation solutions that will integrate our various xMAP instruments with sample handling equipment and laboratory information systems to increase bioassay throughput and operational efficiencies and allow for walk-away capability.

Software

We are maintaining and extending our system platform through our SDK as well as providing new end-user applications. Our SDK provides a straightforward platform for our strategic partners and their customers to rapidly develop their own user interface software packages. In addition, our end-user applications will allow us to provide turn key solutions to partners.

Technical Applications

In order to allow customers to expedite the production of bioassays for use on our systems, we have a technical applications group, based in Austin, Texas, that includes highly experienced biological scientists. This group works closely with our customers in their development of bioassays with the ultimate goal of faster technology adoption and commercialization.

Expanding our multiple testing capabilities

Our current bead utilizes three common chemistries for the immobilization of assays on its surface. While these chemistries are well accepted in the industry, it is desirable to expand our bead chemistry capability to enhance market penetration and adoption. We continue to work on other surface chemistries to provide optimal performance in broader application areas.

Enhancing bioassay performance and operational efficiencies

Our scientists and engineers dedicate efforts to further enhance xMAP in the areas of assay performance, such as sensitivity, precision, reliability and operational efficiencies. We are actively collecting market and customer requirements that will allow us to provide optimal features and benefits in current and future products.

New product development

Our research and development teams, including LBG and LMD, and marketing team are working closely with both internal and external groups to design and develop products that will expand capabilities of the xMAP-based technologies. We believe that these efforts will continue to result in unique products. These unique products may

include instrumentation, services, software and consumables including assays.

Table of Contents

Manufacturing

The Company has approximately 29,000 square feet of manufacturing space located at the Company's principal executive offices in Austin, Texas. In 2002, we completed the registration of our Quality Management System (QMS) to the ISO 9001:2000 standard, which is an internationally recognized standard for quality management systems. Subsequent audits by the registrar have been and will continue to be carried out at regular intervals to ensure we are maintaining our system in compliance with ISO standards. Recertification is required every three years and we were successfully recertified as of April 1, 2005.

In July 2005, we completed the registration of our QMS to the ISO 13485:2003 Quality Management Standard and the Canadian Medical Devices Conformity System (CMDCAS) for Medical Devices. This standard includes a special set of requirements specifically related to the supply of medical devices and related services. Additionally, we manufacture to current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) requirements and our QMS is implemented in accordance with FDA Quality System Regulations. In August 2006, a Level II Quality System Inspection Technique (QSIT) contract inspection was conducted. The inspection is closed under 21 C.F.R. 20.64 (d) (3) and the Establishment Inspection Report No. 3002524000 provided in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and 21 C.F.R. Part 20. No DSHS form E-14 or FDA form 483 was issued.

Effective with our acquisition of Tm Bioscience, we have approximately 3,800 square feet of manufacturing space located in Toronto, Canada. This facility and the LMD QMS have been registered to the ISO 13485:2003 Quality Management Standard and the Canadian Medical Devices Conformity System (CMDCAS) for Medical Devices. Additionally, we manufacture to current cGMP requirements and our QMS is implemented in accordance with FDA Quality System Regulations.

Instruments

Contract manufacturers assemble certain components of our xMAP technology systems. The remaining assembly and manufacturing of our systems are performed at our facility in Austin, Texas. The quality control and quality assurance protocols are all performed at our facility. Parts and component assemblies that comprise our xMAP technology system are obtained from a number of sources. We have identified alternate sources of supply for several of our strategic parts and component assemblies. Additionally, we have entered into supply agreements with most of our suppliers of strategic parts and component subassemblies to help ensure component availability, and flexible purchasing terms with respect to the purchase of such components. As of December 31, 2007, 4,979 Luminex systems have been sold since inception.

Microspheres

We manufacture as well as procure undyed, standard and magnetic carboxylated polystyrene microspheres. We synthesize our dyes and manufacture our dyed polystyrene microspheres using a proprietary method in our Austin, Texas manufacturing facility in large lots. We dye the microspheres with varying intensities of a red and a near infrared dye to produce our distinctly colored microsphere sets. We currently purchase polystyrene microspheres from one supplier, in accordance with a supply agreement. We believe this agreement will help ensure microsphere availability and flexible purchasing terms with respect to the purchase of such microspheres. While we believe the microspheres will continue to be available from our supplier in quantities sufficient to meet our production needs, we believe our in-house manufacturing capabilities along with other potential suppliers would provide sufficient microspheres for us if given adequate lead-time to manufacture the microspheres to our specifications.

Kits

Contract manufacturers produce certain components of our xMAP-based developed reagents. The remaining assembly and manufacturing of our developed kits are performed at either our facility in Austin, Texas or Toronto, Canada. The quality control and quality assurance protocols are all performed at our facilities. Reagents and component assemblies that comprise our xMAP technology kits are obtained from a number of sources. While we currently believe that we will be able to satisfy our forecasted demand for our kits, the failure to find alternative suppliers in the event of a supply failure at any of our current vendors at reasonably comparable prices could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, we have entered into supply agreements with most of our suppliers of strategic reagents and component subassemblies to help ensure component availability, and flexible purchasing terms with respect to the purchase of such components.

Table of Contents

Competition

We design our xMAP technology for use by customers across the various segments of the life sciences industry. Our competition includes companies marketing conventional testing products based on established technologies such as ELISA, real-time PCR, mass spectrometry, sequencing, gels, biochips and flow-based technologies as well as companies developing their own advanced testing technologies.

The pharmaceutical industry is a large market for the genomic, protein and high-throughput screening applications of the xMAP technology. In each application area, Luminex faces a different set of competitors. Genomic and protein testing can be performed by products available from Affymetrix Inc., Applied Biosystems, a division of Applied Corporation, Becton Dickinson Company, Illumina Inc., Meso Scale Discovery, a division of Meso Scale Diagnostics LLC, and Sequenom, Inc., among others.

Our diagnostic market competitors include Abbott Laboratories, Beckman Coulter, Inc., Celera Group, Cepheid, Johnson & Johnson, Roche Diagnostics, Siemens Medical, and Thirdwave Technologies, Inc., among others. Some of these companies have technologies that can perform a variety of established assays. Some of these companies also offer integrated systems and laboratory automation that are designed to meet the need for improved work efficiencies in the clinical laboratory.

Competition within the academic biomedical research market is highly fragmented. There are hundreds of suppliers to this market including Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, a part of GE Healthcare, Applied Biosystems, a division of Applied Corporation, and Becton Dickinson Company. Any company in this field is a potential competitor.

Intellectual Property

To establish and protect our proprietary technologies and products, we rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secrets laws and confidentiality agreements. We have filed for registration or obtained registration for trademarks used with our products and key technology.

We have implemented a strategy designed to optimize our intellectual property rights. For core intellectual property, we are pursuing patent coverage in the United States and those foreign countries that correspond to the majority of our anticipated customer base. We currently own 63 issued patents in the United States and foreign jurisdictions, including three in each of France, Germany, and the United Kingdom, two in each of Italy, Japan, and Singapore, and one in each of Canada, Hong Kong, Korea, Israel and Australia, all directed to various aspects and applications of our products and technology. In addition, our patent portfolio includes 177 other pending patent applications in the United States and their corresponding international and foreign counterparts in major industrial markets. Our patents and pending claims provide, or will provide, protection for systems and technologies that allow real time multiplexed analytical techniques for the detection and quantification of many analytes from a single sample. We also hold a patent covering the precision-dyeing process that we use to dye our microspheres. We have been granted a patent on our Zero Dead Time sampling architecture, which uses digital over-sampling to measure the area of a fluorescence pulse instead of peak detection, giving increased sensitivity with no lost events. Other issued patents and pending patent applications cover specific aspects and applications of our xMAP technology and on-going molecular research. However, as a result of a procedural omission, we are unable to pursue a patent application in Japan corresponding to our U.S. patent for real-time multiplexing techniques. We also have patents covering key aspects of xTAG technology utilized in LMD assays products.

The source code for our proprietary software is protected as a trade secret and/or as a copyrighted work. Aspects of this software also are covered by an issued patent.

Table of Contents

We also rely on trade secret protection of our intellectual property. We attempt to protect our trade secrets by entering into confidentiality agreements with strategic partners, third parties, employees and consultants. Our employees and third-party consultants also sign agreements requiring that they assign to us their interests in inventions and original works of expression and any corresponding patents and copyrights arising from their work for us.

Government Regulation

Food and Drug Administration

The Food and Drug Administration regulates medical devices pursuant to various statutes, namely the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act as amended and supplemented by the Medical Device Amendments of 1976, the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, the Medical Device Amendments of 1992, the FDA Export Reform and Enhancement Act of 1996, the FDA Modernization Act of 1997, the Public Health, Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002, the Medical Device User Fee and Modernization Act of 2002, and the Project BioShield Act of 2004. Medical devices, as defined by statute, include instruments, machines, in vitro reagents or other similar or related articles, including any components, parts, or accessories of such articles that are intended for use in the diagnosis of disease or other condition or in the cure, mitigation, treatment or prevention of disease; or are intended to affect the structure or function of the body and do not achieve their intended purpose through chemical action or metabolization. The FDA classifies medical devices intended for human use into three classes. For Class I devices, general controls (for example, labeling and good manufacturing practices) provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. Class II devices are products for which general controls do not provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness and for which there is sufficient information to establish special controls (for example, guidelines and patient registries). Class III devices are products for which neither general nor special controls provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. Generally, Class III includes devices that support or sustain human life, are for uses that are substantially important in preventing impairment of human health, are used as a stand alone assay for patient screening or diagnosis of disease, or present a potential, unreasonable risk of illness or injury.

We manufacture a version of the Luminex 100 and Luminex 200 the Luminex 100 Integrated System (IS) and the Luminex 200 Integrated System (IS), respectively for use with diagnostic assay kits that are available through our strategic partners. For FDA purposes, the Luminex 100 IS and Luminex 200 IS are considered a component of our partners kit products. Depending on the particular kit s regulatory classification into Class I, II, or III and its intended use, kits manufactured by our strategic partners that are used in conjunction with our technology may be subject to FDA clearance or approval before they can be marketed and sold. After incorporating the Luminex 100 IS or Luminex 200 IS into their products, our strategic partners are required to make various premarket submissions such as premarket approval applications, premarket notifications and/or investigational device exemption applications to the FDA for their products and are required to comply with numerous requirements and restrictions prior to clearance or approval of the applications. There can be no assurance that the FDA will file, clear or approve our strategic partners submissions.

We manufacture kit products that are intended for Research Use Only applications as well as kits that are of the regulatory classification of Class II exempt in our Austin, Texas facility. Additionally, LMD manufactures kit products that are IVD cleared as well as intended for Research Use Only and Investigational Use Only applications. In 2000, we submitted a device master file (DMF) with information about the Luminex 100 IS to the FDA. The DMF was updated in 2005 to include the Luminex 200 IS. Our strategic partners can reference the DMF in their premarket submissions. In 2001, the FDA reviewed our DMF while reviewing one of our strategic partner s submissions, and asked questions of the Company about the content of the DMF. It is possible that the FDA may ask questions about our DMF each time one of our strategic partners submits an application to the FDA referencing our DMF. Although we intend to respond to the FDA s questions in a timely fashion, there can be no assurance that our responses will be acceptable to the FDA. Updates to the DMF are provided to the FDA as required.

In December, 2007 we submitted to the FDA our request for 510(k) clearance on our LX200 IS. On December 13, 2007 the FDA received our 510(k) # k073506 submission for the Luminex 200 IS System. Once the instrument receives 510(k) clearance, all future diagnostic assay kits subject to FDA clearance will reference the k # for the instrument in their respective applications eliminating the need for the FDA to review the device master file with each submission.

Table of Contents

Our instruments use lasers to identify the bioassays and measure their results. Therefore, we are required to ensure that our products comply with FDA regulations pertaining to the performance of laser products. These regulations are intended to ensure the safety of laser products by establishing standards to prevent exposure to excess levels of laser radiation. There can be no assurance that the FDA will agree with our interpretation and implementation of these regulations.

We, and our strategic partners, may be subject to periodic inspection by the FDA for, among other things, compliance with the FDA's current good manufacturing practice regulations. These regulations, also known as the Quality System Regulations, govern the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the design, manufacture, packaging and servicing of all finished medical devices intended for human use. Additionally, our strategic partners may be subject to other premarket and post market controls such as labeling, complaint handling, medical device reporting, corrections and removals reporting, and record keeping requirements. If the FDA has evidence demonstrating that a company is not in compliance with applicable regulations, it can detain or seize products, request or, in certain circumstances, require a recall, impose operating restrictions, enjoin future violations, recommend criminal prosecution to the Department of Justice, and assess civil and criminal penalties against the Company, its officers, or its employees. Other regulatory agencies may have similar powers.

Medical device laws and regulations are also in effect in many countries outside of the United States. These range from comprehensive preapproval requirements for medical products to simpler requests for product data or certification. The number and scope of these requirements are increasing. There can be no assurance that we, and our strategic partners, will be able to obtain any approvals that may be required to market xMAP technology products outside the United States.

LBG and LMD produce CE marked products which are subject to the EU Directive. CE marking is self declaration, not issued by a third party. CE Marking is based on mandatory European Directives adopted and enforced in all member countries of the European Union (EU). A product that is not CE marked is automatically considered to be non-compliant. The law is enforced through market surveillance by appointed national enforcement agencies. Imported products are checked for compliance at customs offices.

The State Food and Drug Administration, P.R. China (SFDA) is the Government regulation authority in charge of safety management of drug, food, health food and cosmetics for the Peoples Republic of China. In December 2007 we submitted the application for a certificate to combine both Luminex 100 and 200 into one product called Luminex System. This certificate is a required registration and approval to import our products into China.

Failure by us, or our strategic partners, to comply with applicable federal, state and foreign medical product laws and regulations would likely have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, federal, state and foreign regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of medical devices and components of such devices are subject to future changes. We cannot predict what impact, if any, such changes might have on our business, but any such change could have a material impact.

WEEE

As part of the Council Directive 2002/96 of February 13, 2003, Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE), we are in compliance with the requirements, beginning on August 13, 2005, regarding the labeling and disposal of some of our products containing electronic devices in each of the European Union (EU) member states where our regulated products are distributed. While we are taking steps to comply with the requirements of WEEE, we cannot be certain that we will comply with the implementation of WEEE in all EU member states.

European IVD Directive

The EU's regulation of in vitro medical devices is under the In Vitro Diagnostic Directive (IVDD) 98/79/EC of 27 October 1998, as implemented in the EU member states.

Table of Contents

The principle behind the Directive is that no in vitro device or accessory may be placed on the market or put into service unless it satisfies the essential requirements set forth in the Directive. Devices considered to meet the essential requirements must bear the CE marking of conformity when they are placed on the market. The responsibility for placing the CE marking on the device lies with the manufacturer. A manufacturer placing devices on the market in its name is required to notify its national competent authorities.

Luminex Corporation has declared that the LX100 IS and the LX200 IS are classified as a self-declaration device and is in conformity with Article 1, Article 9, Annex I (Essential Requirements), and Annex III, and the additional provisions of IVDD 98/79/EC. However, there can be no assurance that the EU member states will agree with our interpretation and implementation of these regulations. As the European marketplace continues to be material to our operations, failure by the Company or its strategic partners to comply with the Directive could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Environmental

We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of human health and the environment. In the course of our business, we are involved in the handling, storage and disposal of certain chemicals and biohazards. The laws and regulations applicable to our operations include provisions that regulate the discharge of materials into the environment. Some of these environmental laws and regulations impose strict liability, rendering a party liable without regard to negligence or fault on the part of such party. Such environmental laws and regulations may expose us to liability for environmental contamination, including remediation costs, natural resource damages and other damages as a result of the conduct of, or conditions caused by, us or others, or for acts that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time such acts were performed. In addition, where contamination may be present, it is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury, property damage and recovery of response costs. Although it is our policy to use generally accepted operating and disposal practices in accordance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, hazardous substances or wastes may have been disposed or released on, under or from properties owned, leased or operated by us or on, under or from other locations where such substances or wastes have been taken for disposal. These properties may be subject to investigation, remediation and monitoring requirements under federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. However, failure to comply with these environmental laws and regulations may result in the imposition of administrative, civil and criminal penalties or other liabilities. We do not believe that we have been required to expend material amounts in connection with our efforts to comply with environmental requirements or that compliance with such requirements will have a material adverse effect upon our capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position. Because the requirements imposed by such laws and regulations may frequently change and new environmental laws and regulations may be adopted, we are unable to predict the cost of compliance with such requirements in the future, or the effect of such laws on our capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position. Moreover, the modification or interpretation of existing environmental laws or regulations, the more vigorous enforcement of existing environmental laws or regulations, or the adoption of new environmental laws or regulations may also negatively impact our strategic partners, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on us and other similarly situated component companies.

Employees

As of March 11, 2008, we had a total of 344 employees and contract employees, as compared with 343 as of December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2006 we had 209 employees, including contract employees. The increase from 2006 to 2007 is mainly due to the 83 employees acquired effective March 1, 2007 upon the completion of the Tm Bioscience acquisition. None of our employees are represented by a collective bargaining agreement, and we have not experienced any work stoppage. We believe that relations with our employees are good.

Segments

Financial information relating to the Company's reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 can be found in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We have a limited history of profitability and an accumulated deficit of approximately \$87.8 million as of December 31, 2007.

We have incurred significant net losses since our inception, including a loss of \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$87.8 million. Prior to the acquisition, Tm Bioscience had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$74.6 million. In order to become profitable, we need to generate and sustain substantially higher revenue while achieving reasonable cost and expense levels. If we fail to develop profitability in line with the expectations of securities analysts or investors, the market price of our common stock will likely decline. Furthermore, as we continue to utilize cash to support operations, acquisitions and research and development efforts, we may further decrease the cash available to the Company. As of December 31, 2007, cash, cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments totaled \$34.2 million, a decrease of \$11.5 million from \$45.7 million at December 31, 2006, primarily attributable to the cash used in the acquisition of Tm Bioscience.

We expect our operating results to continue to fluctuate from quarter to quarter.

The sale of our instrumentation and assay products typically involves a significant technical evaluation and commitment of capital by the Company, our partners and the end user. Accordingly, the sales cycle associated with our products typically is lengthy and subject to a number of significant risks, including partners' budgetary constraints, inventory management practices, regulatory approval and internal acceptance reviews, all of which are beyond our control. As a result of this lengthy and unpredictable sales cycle, our operating results have historically fluctuated significantly from quarter to quarter. We expect this trend to continue for the foreseeable future.

The vast majority of our system sales are made to our strategic partners. Our partners typically purchase instruments in three phases during their commercialization cycle: first, instruments necessary to support internal assay development; second, instruments for sales force demonstrations; and finally, instruments for resale to their customers. As a result, most of our system placements are highly dependent on the commercialization timetables of our strategic partners and can fluctuate from quarter to quarter as our strategic partners move from phase to phase. We expect this trend to continue for the foreseeable future.

Our assay products are sometimes sold to large customers. The ordering and consumption patterns of these customers can fluctuate, affecting the timing of shipments and revenue recognition. In addition, certain products assist in the diagnosis of illnesses that are seasonal, and customer orders can fluctuate for this reason.

Because of the effect of bulk purchases, we continue to experience fluctuations in the percentage of our quarterly revenues derived from our highest margin items, consumables and royalties. Our gross margin percentage is highly dependent upon the mix of revenue components each quarter. These fluctuations contribute to the variability and lack of predictability of both gross margin percentage and total gross profit from quarter to quarter. We expect this trend to continue for the foreseeable future.

Due to the early stage of the market for molecular tests, projected growth scenarios for LMD are highly volatile and are based on a number of underlying assumptions that may or may not prove to be valid, including the performance of strategic partners that distribute LMD products.

Our success depends significantly on the establishment and maintenance of successful relationships with our strategic partners. Currently, a limited number of strategic partners constitute a majority of our revenue and the loss of any one of these partners could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Table of Contents

The development and commercialization of our xMAP technology is highly dependent on our ability to establish successful strategic relationships with a number of partners. As of December 31, 2007, we had 30 strategic partners who were paying royalties and had either commercialized products using the Luminex platform or were reselling our products. Furthermore, for the year ended December 31, 2007, two partners individually represented greater than 10% of the Company's revenue and collectively represented 35% of total revenue (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. 20%; One Lambda, Inc. 15%). We had two additional partners who individually represented 5% or more of our total revenue and collectively represented 13% of the Company's revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007. In total, for the year ended December 31, 2007, we had five partners who represented 52% of our total revenue. For comparative purposes for the year ended December 31, 2006, two partners individually represented greater than 10% of the Company's revenue and collectively represented 34% of our total revenue. We had four additional partners who individually represented 5% or more of our total revenue and collectively represented 27% of the Company's revenue for the year ended December 31, 2006. In total, for the year ended December 31, 2006, we had six partners who represented 61% of our total revenue. The loss of any of our significant strategic partners, or any of our significant customers, could have a material adverse effect on our growth and future results of operations. LMD is dependent on a few significant customers with respect to sales of its genetic test kits. If any significant customer discontinues its relationship with LMD for any reason, or reduces or postpones current or expected purchase commitments for LMD's products, LMD's results from operations could be materially adversely affected.

Delays in implementation, delays in obtaining regulatory approval, changes in strategy or the financial difficulty of our strategic partners for any reason could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to enter into agreements with additional strategic partners depends in part on convincing them that our technology can help achieve and accelerate their goals or efforts. We will expend substantial funds and management efforts, including through LBG and LMD, with no assurance that any additional strategic relationships will result. We cannot assure you that we will be able to negotiate additional strategic agreements in the future on acceptable terms, if at all, or that current or future strategic partners will not pursue or develop alternative technologies either on their own or in collaboration with others. Some of the companies we are targeting as strategic partners offer products competitive with our xMAP technology, which may hinder or prevent strategic relationships. Termination of strategic relationships, or the failure to enter into a sufficient number of additional agreements on favorable terms, could reduce sales of our products, lower margins on our products and limit the creation of market demand and acceptance.

In addition, we have entered into non-exclusive relationships with most of our existing strategic partners. The lack of exclusivity could deter existing strategic partners from commercializing xMAP technology and may deter new strategic partners from entering into agreements with Luminex.

A significant portion of our future revenues will come from sales of our systems and the development and sale of bioassay kits utilizing our technology by our strategic partners and from use of our technology by our strategic partners in performing services offered to third parties. We believe that our strategic partners will have economic incentives to develop and market these products, but we cannot predict future sales and royalty revenues because most of our existing strategic partner agreements do not include minimum purchase requirements or royalty commitments. In addition, we have no control with respect to our strategic partners' sales personnel and how they prioritize products based on xMAP technology nor can we control the timing of the release of products by our strategic partners. The amount of these revenues will depend on a variety of factors that are outside our control, including the amount and timing of resources that current and future strategic partners devote to develop and market products incorporating our technology. Further, the development and marketing of certain bioassay kits will require our strategic partners to obtain governmental approvals, which could delay or prevent their commercialization efforts. If our current or future strategic partners do not successfully develop and market products based on our technology and obtain necessary government approvals, our revenues from product sales and royalties will be significantly reduced.

If the FDA or other government agencies guidelines change in ways that we do not anticipate and we fail to comply with those regulations that affect our business, we could be subject to enforcement actions, injunctions and civil and criminal penalties that could delay or prevent marketing of our products.

The production, testing, labeling, marketing and distribution of our products for some purposes and products based on our technology are subject to governmental regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and by similar agencies in other countries. Some of our products and products based on our technology for in vitro diagnostic purposes are subject to clearance by the FDA prior to marketing for commercial use. To date, 8 strategic partners have obtained such clearances. Others are anticipated. The process of obtaining necessary FDA clearances can be time-consuming, expensive and uncertain. Further, clearance may place substantial restrictions on the indications for which the product may be marketed or to whom it may be marketed. In addition, because some of our products employ laser technology, we are also required to comply with FDA requirements relating to radiation performance safety standards (21 CFR 1040.1 and 1040.11).

Table of Contents

Periodically FDA issues guidance documents that represent FDA's current thinking on a topic. These issues are initially issued in draft form prior to final rule generally with enforcement discretion for some grace period of time. Changes made through this process may impact the release status of products offered and our ability to market those products affected by the change.

For example, the FDA released on September 14, 2007 the final document "Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Commercially Distributed Analyte Specific Reagents (ASRs): Frequently Asked Questions". This guidance may limit or delay distribution of assays on our platform, including assays developed and distributed by LMD, to the extent additional regulatory clearance is required prior to distribution. The final document was released with an enforcement discretion period of one year from date of issue.

Cleared medical device products are subject to continuing FDA requirements relating to, among others, manufacturing quality control and quality assurance, maintenance of records and documentation, registration and listing, import/export, adverse event and other reporting, distribution, labeling and promotion and advertising of medical devices. Our inability, or the inability of our strategic partners, to obtain required regulatory approval or clearance on a timely or acceptable basis could harm our business. In addition, failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements could subject us or our strategic partners to regulatory enforcement action, including warning letters, product seizures, recalls, withdrawal of clearances, restrictions on or injunctions against marketing our products or products based on our technology, and civil and criminal penalties.

Medical device laws and regulations are also in effect in many countries outside the United States. These range from comprehensive device clearance requirements for some or all of our medical device products to requests for product data or certifications. As part of the Council Directive 2002/96 of February 13, 2003 (WEEE), we are expected to comply with certain requirements regarding the labeling of our products containing electronic devices beginning on August 13, 2005 in each of the EU member states where our regulated products are distributed. While we are taking steps to comply with the requirements of WEEE, we cannot be certain that we will comply with the national stage implementation of WEEE in all member states. Our products are currently exempt from the Council Directive 2002/95 of January 27, 2003, Restriction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS), which requires the removal of certain specified hazardous substances for certain products beginning July 1, 2006 in each of the member states. However, the European Union has indicated that it may include medical devices, including some of our products, under the jurisdiction of RoHS. The number and scope of these requirements are increasing. Failure to comply with applicable federal, state and foreign medical device laws and regulations may harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. We are also subject to a variety of other laws and regulations relating to, among other things, environmental protection and work place safety.

Our strategic partners and customers expect our organization to operate on an established quality management system compliant with FDA Quality System Regulations and industry standards, the In Vitro Diagnostic Directive 98/79/EC of 27 October 1998 (Directive) as implemented nationally in the EU member states and industry standards, such as ISO 9000. We became ISO 9001:2000 certified in March 2002 and self-declared our Luminex 100 and Luminex 200 devices are in conformity with Article 1, Article 9, Annex I (Essential Requirements), and Annex III, and the additional provisions of the Directive as of December 7, 2003. Subsequent audits are carried out annually to ensure we maintain our system in substantial compliance with ISO and other applicable regulations and industry standards. We became ISO 13485:2003 and Canadian Medical Device Conformity Assessment System (CMDCAS) certified in July 2005. In August 2006 a Level II QSIT contract inspection was conducted in accordance with CPGM 7382.845, Inspection of Medical Device Manufacturers, PAC 82845B, Medical Device Level II Inspections pursuant to the FDA Dallas District Office FY 06 Workplan and the DSHS Drugs & Medical Device Group FY 06 Workplan. The inspection is closed under 21 C.F.R. 20.64 (d) (3) and the Establishment Inspection Report No. 3002524000 provided in accordance with the FOIA and 21 C.F.R. Part 20. No DSHS form E-14 or FDA form 483 was issued. Failure to maintain compliance with FDA, CMDCAS and EU regulations and other medical device laws, or to obtain applicable registrations where required, could reduce our competitive advantage in the markets in which we compete and also decrease satisfaction and confidence levels with our partners.

Table of Contents

Beginning on January 1, 2007, the State of California put into effect a measure under WEEE, which imposes similar requirements to the EU's directive under RoHS. This measure requires the California Department of Toxic Substances Control to adopt regulations to prohibit the sale of electronic devices if they are prohibited from sale in the European Union because they contain certain heavy metals.

If our technology and products do not become widely used in the life sciences industry, it is unlikely that we can maintain or increase profitability.

Life sciences companies have historically conducted biological tests using a variety of technologies, including bead-based analysis. In certain testing areas, our xMAP technology is relatively new and unproven, and the use of our technology by life sciences companies is limited. The commercial success of our technology will depend upon its widespread adoption as a method to perform bioassays. In order to be successful, we must convince potential partners to utilize our system instead of competing technologies. Market acceptance will depend on many factors, including our ability to:

convince prospective strategic partners and customers that our technology is an attractive alternative to other technologies for pharmaceutical, research, clinical and biomedical testing and analysis;

encourage these partners to develop and market products using our technology;

manufacture products in sufficient quantities with acceptable quality and at an acceptable cost;

obtain and maintain sufficient pricing and royalties from partners on such Luminex products; and

place and service sufficient quantities of our products, including the ability to provide the level of service required in the mainstream clinical diagnostics market segment.

Because of these and other factors, our products may not gain or sustain sufficient market acceptance to again achieve, maintain or increase profitability.

Our reliance on strategic partners to market our products makes forecasting difficult.

Primarily as a result of our reliance on partner performance, it is difficult to accurately forecast future operating results. Our operating expenses are largely based on anticipated revenue trends, and a high percentage of our expenses are, and will continue to be, fixed in the short-term. The level of our revenues will depend upon the rate and timing of the adoption of our technology as a method to perform bioassays. In addition, we currently anticipate that the vast majority of future sales of our products and products incorporating our technology will be made by our strategic partners. For the following reasons, estimating the timing and amount of sales of these products that may be made by our strategic partners is particularly difficult:

We have no control over the timing or extent of product development, marketing or sale of our products by our strategic partners.

Most of our strategic partners are not committed to minimum purchase commitments, and we do not control the incentives provided by our strategic partners to their sales personnel.

A significant number of our strategic partners intend to produce clinical diagnostic applications that may need to be approved by the FDA, or other regulatory bodies in jurisdictions outside of the United States.

Certain strategic partners may have unique requirements for their applications and systems. Assisting the various strategic partners may strain our research and development and manufacturing resources. To the extent that we are not able to timely assist our strategic partners, the commercialization of their products will likely be delayed.

Table of Contents

Certain strategic partners may fail to deliver products that satisfy market requirements, or such products may fail to perform properly.

We have limited access to partner confidential corporate information. A sudden unexpected change in ownership, strategy or other material event could adversely impact partner purchases of our products.

The life sciences industry is highly competitive and subject to rapid technological change, and we may not have the resources necessary to compete successfully.

We compete with companies in the United States and abroad that are engaged in the development and production of similar products. We will continue to face intense competition from existing competitors and other companies seeking to develop new technologies. Many of our competitors have access to greater financial, technical, scientific, research, marketing, sales, distribution, service and other resources than we do. These companies may develop technologies that are superior alternatives to our technologies or may be more effective at commercializing their technologies in products.

The life sciences industry is characterized by rapid and continuous technological innovation. We may need to develop new technologies for our products to remain competitive. One or more of our current or future competitors could render our present or future products obsolete or uneconomical by technological advances. In addition, the introduction or announcement of new products by us or others could result in a delay of or decrease in sales of existing products, as we await regulatory approvals and as customers evaluate these new products. We may also encounter other problems in the process of delivering new products to the marketplace, including products from LBG and LMD, such as problems related to design, development or manufacturing of such products, and as a result we may be unsuccessful in selling such products. Our future success will depend on our ability to compete effectively against current technologies, as well as to respond effectively to technological advances by developing and marketing products that are competitive in the continually changing technological landscape.

Our success depends on our ability to service and support our products directly or in collaboration with our strategic partners.

To the extent that the Company or its strategic partners fail to maintain a high quality level of service and support for xMAP technology products, there is a risk that the perceived quality of our xMAP technology products will be diminished in the marketplace. Likewise, the Company may fail to provide the level, quantity or quality of service expected by the marketplace. This could result in slower adoption rates and lower than anticipated utilization of xMAP products causing a material adverse affect on our business.

The property rights we rely upon to protect the technology underlying our products may not be adequate to maintain market exclusivity. Inadequate intellectual property protection could enable third parties to exploit our technology or use very similar technology and could reduce our ability to distinguish our products in the market.

Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to obtain, protect and enforce patents on our technology and products and to protect our trade secrets, including the intellectual property of entities we may acquire. Any patents we own may not afford full protection for our technology and products. Others may challenge our patents and, as a result, our patents could be narrowed or invalidated. In addition, our current and future patent applications may not result in the issuance of patents in the United States or foreign countries. Competitors may develop products that are not covered by our patents. Further, there is a substantial backlog of patent applications at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and certain patent offices in foreign jurisdictions, and the approval or rejection of patent applications may take several years.

We have obtained 63 patents in the United States and foreign jurisdictions directed to various aspects and applications of our products and technology. We have 177 pending applications in the United States and foreign jurisdictions. In Japan, due to a procedural omission, we are unable to obtain patent protection for our method of real time detection and quantification of multiple analytes from a single sample on our platform technology similar to the protection we have obtained in the United States. Although we are pursuing patent protection in Japan for other aspects of our technology and products, we may not be able to prevent competitors from developing and marketing technologies and products similar to our xMAP technology in Japan. We also have patents covering key aspects of xTAG technology

utilized in LMD s assay products.

Table of Contents

We require our employees, consultants, strategic partners and other third parties to execute confidentiality agreements. Our employees and third-party consultants also sign agreements requiring that they assign to us their interests in inventions and original expressions and any corresponding patents and copyrights arising from their work for us. In addition, the Company has implemented a patent process to file patent applications on its key technology. However, we cannot guarantee that these agreements or this patent process will provide us with adequate protection against improper use of our intellectual property or disclosure of confidential information. In addition, in some situations, these agreements may conflict with, or be subject to, the rights of third parties with whom our employees, consultants or advisors have prior employment or consulting relationships. Further, others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary technology, techniques and products or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets. Our failure to protect our proprietary information and techniques may inhibit or limit our ability to exclude certain competitors from the market.

In order to protect or enforce our patent rights, we may have to initiate legal proceedings against third parties, such as infringement suits or interference proceedings. These legal proceedings could be expensive, take significant time and/or divert management's attention from other business concerns. These proceedings may cause us to lose the benefit of some of our intellectual property rights, the loss of which may inhibit or preclude our ability to exclude certain competitors from the market. We also may provoke these third parties to assert claims against us. The patent position of companies like ours generally is highly uncertain, involves complex legal and factual questions and has recently been the subject of much litigation. No consistent policy has emerged from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or the courts regarding the breadth of claims allowed or the degree of protection afforded under patents like ours.

Our success will depend partly on our ability to operate without infringing on or misappropriating the proprietary rights of others.

We may be sued for infringing the intellectual property rights of others, including claims with respect to intellectual property of entities we may acquire. In addition, we may find it necessary, if threatened, to initiate a lawsuit seeking a declaration from a court that we do not infringe on the proprietary rights of others or that their rights are invalid or unenforceable. Intellectual property litigation is costly, and, even if we prevail, the cost of such litigation could affect our profitability. Furthermore, litigation is time consuming and could divert management's attention and resources away from our business. If we do not prevail in any litigation, we may have to pay damages and could be required to stop the infringing activity or obtain a license. Any required license may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Moreover, some licenses may be nonexclusive, and therefore, our competitors may have access to the same technology licensed to us. If we fail to obtain a required license or are unable to design around a patent, we may be unable to sell some of our products, which could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We require collaboration with other organizations in obtaining relevant biomarkers, access to oligonucleotides, enzymes that are patents or controlled by others. If we cannot continue to obtain access to these areas or identify freedom to operate opportunities this could affect our future sales and profits.

We have only produced our products in limited quantities, and we may experience problems in scaling our manufacturing operations or delays or component shortages that could limit the growth of our revenue.

To date, we have produced our products in limited quantities compared to the quantities necessary to achieve desired revenue growth. We may not be able to produce sufficient quantities or maintain consistency between differing lots of consumables. If we encounter difficulties in scaling our manufacturing operations as a result of, among other things, quality control and quality assurance and availability of component and raw material supplies, we will likely experience reduced sales of our products, increased repair or re-engineering costs due to product returns and defects and increased expenses due to switching to alternate suppliers, any of which would reduce our revenues and gross margins.

Table of Contents

We presently outsource certain aspects of the assembly of our systems to contract manufacturers. Because of a long lead-time to delivery, we are required to place orders for a variety of items well in advance of scheduled production runs. We recently increased our flexibility to purchase strategic components within shorter lead times by entering into supply agreements with the suppliers of these components. Although we attempt to match our parts inventory and production capabilities to estimates of marketplace demand, to the extent system orders materially vary from our estimates, we may experience continued constraints in our systems production and delivery capacity, which could adversely impact revenue in a given fiscal period. Should the Company's need for raw materials and components used in production continue to fluctuate, we could incur additional costs associated with either expediting or postponing delivery of those materials. In an effort to control costs, in the last quarter of 2005 manufacturing implemented a lean production system. Managing the change from discrete to continuous flow production requires time and management commitment. Implementation of lean initiatives and our supply chain capabilities may result in part shortages that delay shipments and cause fluctuations in revenue in a given period.

Certain key components of our product line are currently purchased from a limited number of outside sources and may only be available through a limited number of providers. We do not have agreements with all of our suppliers. Our reliance on our suppliers and contract manufacturers exposes us to risks including:

- the possibility that one or more of our suppliers or our assemblers that do not have supply agreements with the Company could terminate their services at any time without penalty;

- the potential obsolescence and/or inability of our suppliers to obtain required components;

- the potential delays and expenses of seeking alternate sources of supply or manufacturing services;

- the inability to qualify alternate sources without impacting performance claims of our products;

- reduced control over pricing, quality and timely delivery due to the difficulties in switching to alternate suppliers or assemblers; and

- increases in prices of raw materials and key components.

Consequently, in the event that supplies of components or work performed by any of our assemblers are delayed or interrupted for any reason, our ability to produce and supply our products could be impaired.

The capital spending policies of our customers has a significant effect on the demand for our products.

Our customers include clinical diagnostic, pharmaceutical, biotechnological, chemical and industrial companies, and the capital spending policies of these companies can have a significant effect on the demand for our products. These policies are based on a wide variety of factors, including governmental regulation or price controls, the resources available for purchasing research equipment, the spending priorities among various types of analytical equipment and the policies regarding capital expenditures during recessionary periods. Any decrease in capital spending by life sciences companies could cause our revenues to decline. As a result, we are subject to significant volatility in revenue. Therefore, our operating results can be materially affected (negatively and positively) by the spending policies and priorities of our customers.

If we become subject to product liability claims, we may be required to pay damages that exceed our insurance coverage.

Our business exposes us to potential product liability claims that are inherent in the testing, production, marketing and sale of biotechnological, human (including genetic) diagnostic and therapeutic products. Although we believe that we are reasonably insured against these risks and we generally have limited indemnity protections in our supplier agreements, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain insurance in amounts or scope sufficient to provide us with adequate coverage against all potential liabilities. A product liability claim in excess of our insurance coverage or claim that is outside or exceeds our indemnity protections in our supplier agreements or a recall of one of our products would have to be paid out of our cash reserves.

Table of Contents

If third-party payors increasingly restrict payments for healthcare expenses or fail to adequately pay for multi-analyte testing, we may experience reduced sales which would hurt our business and our business prospects.

Third-party payors, such as government entities and healthcare programs, health maintenance organizations and private insurers, are continually seeking to reduce healthcare expenses. The federal government has also recently reduced the funding for certain government sponsored healthcare programs which has caused these third party payors to seek further reduction in medical expenses. These reductions may decrease demand for our products and the price we can charge. Increasingly, Medicaid and other third-party payors are challenging the prices charged for medical services, including clinical diagnostic tests. They are also attempting to contain costs by limiting coverage and the reimbursement level of tests and other healthcare products. In addition, cost containment initiatives by governmental or educational entities or programs may reduce funding for genetic research and development activities and retard the growth of the genetic testing marketing. Without adequate coverage and reimbursement, consumer demand for tests will decrease. Decreased demand could cause sales of our products, and sales and services by our strategic partners, to fall. In addition, decreased demand could place pressure on us, or our strategic partners, to lower prices on these products or services, resulting in lower margins. Reduced sales or margins by us, or our strategic partners, would hurt our business, profitability and business prospects.

We may in the future incur substantial debt that could restrict our operations.

We may incur indebtedness in the future for, among other purposes, funding operating expenses and/or costs related to future expansions and acquisitions. This indebtedness could have adverse consequences on us, including:

- limiting our ability to compete and our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

- limiting our ability to borrow additional funds for working capital, capital and research and development expenditures, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes; and

- exposing us to interest rate risk.

To the extent incurred, our debt service obligations will require us to use a portion of our operating cash flow to pay interest and principal on indebtedness instead of for other corporate purposes, including funding future expansion of our business and ongoing capital expenditures. Our ability to repay or refinance our debt will depend on our successful financial and operating performance. Our financial and operating performance depends upon a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, as further described in these Risk Factors.

We may be unsuccessful in implementing our acquisition strategy. We may face difficulties integrating acquired entities with our existing businesses.

Acquisitions of assets or entities designed to accelerate the implementation of our strategic plan are an element of our long-term strategy. We may be unable to identify and complete appropriate acquisitions in a timely manner and no assurance can be provided that the market price of potential business acquisitions will be acceptable. In addition, many of our competitors have greater financial resources than we have and may be willing to pay more for these businesses or selected assets. Should we identify suitable acquisition targets, we may be unable to complete acquisitions or obtain the financing, if necessary, for these acquisitions on terms favorable to us.

Potential acquisitions pose a number of risks, including, among others, that:

- we may not be able to accurately estimate the financial effect of acquisitions on our business;

- future acquisitions may require us to assume liabilities, incur large and immediate write-offs, issue capital stock potentially dilutive to our stockholders or spend significant cash or may result in a decrease in our future operating income or operating margins;

- we may be unable to realize the anticipated benefits and synergies from acquisitions as a result of inherent risks and uncertainties, including difficulties integrating acquired businesses or retaining their key personnel, partners, customers or other key relationships, entering market segments in which we have no or limited

experience, and risks that acquired entities may not operate profitably or that acquisitions may not result in improved operating performance; and

Table of Contents

acquisitions and subsequent integration of these companies may disrupt our business and distract our management from other responsibilities.

Other risks of integration include:

disparate information technology, internal control, financial reporting and record-keeping systems;

differences in accounting policies, including those requiring judgment or complex estimation processes;

new partners or customers who may operate on terms and programs different than ours;

additional employees not familiar with our operations;

facilities or operations in remote locations or potentially foreign jurisdictions and the inherent risks of operating in unfamiliar legal and regulatory environments; and

new products, including the risk that any underlying intellectual property associated with such products may not have been adequately protected or that such products may infringe on the proprietary rights of others.

We rely on the innovation and resources of larger industry participants and public programs to advance genomic research and educate physicians/clinicians on genetic diagnostics.

The linkages between genetic anomalies that the Company's products detect and the underlying disease states are not always fully medically correlated. Additionally, the availability of correlated genetic markers is dependent on significant investment in genomic research, often funded through public programs for which there are no assurances of on-going support. Should any government limit patent rights to specific genetic materials, private investment in this area could also be significantly curtailed. In addition, the adoption of genetic diagnostics is dependent to a great extent on the education and training of physicians and clinicians. The Company does not have the resources to undertake such training, and is relying on larger industry participants and professional medical colleges to establish, communicate and educate physicians and clinicians on best practices related to genetic diagnostics.

We are subject to evolving legislative, judicial and ethical standards on use of technology and biotechnology.

The adoption of genetic testing is occurring within the broader context of a myriad of decisions related to genetic patenting and genotyping. Issues associated with health insurance, data access, intellectual property protection, national and international legislative initiatives and other variables may have a significant impact on the wide spread adoption of genetic testing or on specific segments or tests within the genetic testing market.

Our operating results may be affected by current economic and political conditions.

The ongoing uncertainty in the Global Finance Markets and events in the Middle East and concern for future terrorist attacks leave many economic and political uncertainties. Furthermore, foreign stock markets have been volatile and equally sensitive to global geopolitical concerns and terrorist threats. These uncertainties could adversely affect our business and revenues in the short or long term in ways that cannot presently be predicted.

International business operations create additional operational and legal risk.

Our operations outside the United States are subject to additional risks, including:

changes in or interpretations of foreign law that may adversely affect our ability to sell our products, perform services or repatriate profits to the United States;

the imposition of tariffs;

hyperinflation or economic or political instability in foreign countries;

imposition of limitations on or increase of withholding and other taxes on remittances and other payments by foreign subsidiaries;

conducting business in places where business practices and customs are unfamiliar and unknown;

Table of Contents

the imposition of restrictive trade policies, including export restrictions;

worldwide political conditions;

the imposition of inconsistent laws or regulations;

the imposition or increase of investment requirements and other restrictions by foreign governments;

longer collection cycles for account receivables;

uncertainties relating to foreign laws, including labor laws, and legal proceedings;

currency exchange rate risks;

having to comply with a variety of U.S. laws, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act; and

having to comply with U.S. export control regulations and policies that restrict our ability to communicate with non-U.S. employees and supply foreign affiliates, partners and customers.

Our success will depend on our ability to attract and retain our management and staff.

We depend on the principal members of our management and scientific staff, including our chief executive officer, Patrick Balthrop, and our operations, marketing, research and development, technical support, technical service and sales staff. The loss of services of key members of management could delay or reduce our product development, marketing and sales and technical support efforts. In addition, recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and other personnel to perform research and development, technical support, technical service and marketing and sales work will be critical to our success. There is a shortage in our industry of qualified management and scientific personnel, and competition for these individuals is intense. There can be no assurance that we will be able to attract additional and retain existing personnel necessary to achieve our business objectives.

Our stock price has been and is likely to continue to be volatile.

The trading price of our common stock has been and is likely to continue to be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations in price. This volatility is in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including:

- actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results from historical results or estimates of results prepared by securities analysts;

- announcements of technological innovations or new products or services by us or our competitors;
- announcements by us of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- conditions or trends in the life science, biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- changes in financial estimates by securities analysts;
- general economic conditions and interest rates;
- instability in the United States and other financial markets and the ongoing and possible escalation of unrest in the Middle East, other armed hostilities or further acts or threats of terrorism in the United States or elsewhere;
- sales of our common stock; and

the potential adverse impact of the secondary trading of our stock on foreign exchanges which are subject to less regulatory oversight than the Nasdaq Global Market, without our permission, and the activity of the market makers of our stock on such exchanges, including the risk that such market makers may engage in naked short sales and/or other deceptive trading practices which may artificially depress or otherwise affect the price of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market.

Table of Contents

In addition, the stock market in general, and the Nasdaq Global Market and the market for technology companies in particular, has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. Further, there has been particular volatility in the market prices of securities of life sciences companies. These broad market and industry factors may seriously harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted. A securities class action suit against us could result in substantial costs, potential liabilities and the diversion of management's attention and resources.

Anti-takeover provisions in our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and stockholder rights plan and Delaware law could make a third party acquisition of us difficult.

Our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and stockholder rights plan contain provisions that could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our stockholders. We are also subject to certain provisions of Delaware law that could delay, deter or prevent a change in control of us. These provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company has its principal research and development, manufacturing and administrative facilities located in Austin, Texas, which consists of approximately 109,000 square feet of leased space pursuant to a lease agreement which expires July 31, 2010. The Company maintains an additional 10,172 square feet of leased space at its European subsidiary, Luminex, B.V. and approximately 27,000 square feet of leased office and manufacturing space in Toronto, Canada. We believe these facilities are adequate for our current needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On April 26, 2005, the Company was served with a complaint, filed by Rules Based Medicine, Inc. (RBM) in state district court in Travis County, Texas seeking a declaratory judgment that the formation of HealthMAP Laboratories, Inc. (subsequently renamed the Biophysical Corporation) did not constitute a usurpation of an RBM corporate opportunity and that RBM has the necessary contractual license rights under its existing agreement with the Company to perform certain testing services on behalf of BioPhysical Corporation. On May 19, 2005, we filed an answer to this complaint denying all claims brought by RBM. On June 21, 2005, the parties entered into an agreement, which was subsequently entered with the court on June 22, 2005. Pursuant to this agreement, the parties agreed that RBM would not file any claims related to this matter against the Company until August 1, 2005, and that the Company would not file any claims related to this matter against RBM until August 16, 2005, in order to continue to pursue settlement negotiations. The parties were unable to reach agreement on the terms of settlement. RBM re-filed its lawsuit against us on August 12, 2005, seeking a declaratory judgment against us as set forth above. In response, we re-filed its answer and counterclaims against RBM, as well as new claims against Mark Chandler and Craig Benson, officers of RBM, on August 19, 2005.

The Company settled its pending litigation with RBM on October 15, 2007. As part of the settlement, Luminex received a cash payment of \$12.5 million. The cash payment was made by RBM in exchange for resolution of the dispute between the companies regarding Biophysical Corporation as well as the retirement of Luminex's stock ownership in RBM and the grant of certain additional licensing rights from Luminex. All other terms of the agreement are confidential. The parties formally dismissed the lawsuit on October 24, 2007, as required by the settlement agreement.

Table of Contents

On January 16, 2008, Luminex Corporation and Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, Inc. were served with a complaint, filed by The Research Foundation of the State University of New York (SUNY) in Federal District Court for the Northern District of New York, alleging, among other claims, that LMD breached its license agreement with SUNY by failing to pay royalties allegedly owed under the agreement. The complaint seeks an undetermined amount of damages as well as injunctive relief. On February 9, 2008, Luminex and LMD filed an answer to this complaint denying all claims brought by SUNY. There can be no assurance that we will successfully defend this suit or that a judgment against us would not materially adversely affect our operating results.

When and if, it appears probable in management s judgment that we will incur monetary damages or other costs in connection with any claims or proceedings, and such costs can be reasonably estimated, liabilities are recorded in the financial statements and charges are recorded against earnings. Though there can be no assurances, our management believes that the resolution of existing routine matters and other incidental claims, taking into account accruals and insurance, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operation.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers of the Registrant as of March 12, 2008**

Name	Age	Position
Patrick J. Balthrop	51	President and Chief Executive Officer
Douglas C. Bryant	50	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Russell W. Bradley	44	Vice President, Business Development and Strategic Planning
Jeremy Bridge-Cook, Ph.D	39	Vice President, Luminex Molecular Diagnostics
John C. Carrano, Ph.D	49	Vice President, Research and Development
Harriss T. Currie	46	Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, Finance and Treasurer
Gregory J. Gosch	45	Vice President, Luminex Bioscience Group
David S. Reiter	41	Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Patrick J. Balthrop. Mr. Balthrop joined the Company in May 2004 as President and Chief Executive Officer and has served as a member of the Board of Directors and a member of the Executive Committee since September, 2004. He served as president of Fisher Healthcare, a Fisher Scientific company, from 2002 to May 2004. Prior to Fisher Scientific International, Mr. Balthrop served in a number of leadership positions for over 20 years with Abbott Laboratories, primarily in Abbott's Diagnostics Division. Mr. Balthrop's most recent positions at Abbott were as head of worldwide commercial diagnostics operations and as head of Abbott Vascular. Mr. Balthrop holds an M.B.A. from the Kellogg Graduate School of Management of Northwestern University, and a B.S. in Biology from Spring Hill College.

Douglas C. Bryant. Mr. Bryant joined the Company in July 2007 as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Previously, Mr. Bryant served as Vice President, Abbott Vascular, Asia and Japan, a division of Abbott Laboratories, a broad-based health care company. Mr. Bryant previously served as Vice President, Global Commercial Operations for Abbott Diagnostics and Abbott Molecular and also ran Abbott's Diagnostics Operations in Asia Pacific and Europe Africa and the Middle East. Mr. Bryant has over 23 years of industry experience in sales and marketing, product development, manufacturing and service and support in both the life sciences and diagnostics markets. Mr. Bryant has a Bachelor of Arts in Economics from the University of California, Davis.

Russell W. Bradley. Mr. Bradley joined the Company in May 2005 as Vice President of Business Development and Strategic Planning. Previously, Mr. Bradley spent 17 years at Beckman Coulter Corp. where he served as the Director of the Beckman Coulter CARES initiative, involved in the company's clinical HIV/AIDS monitoring business in developing regions around the globe. During his tenure at Beckman Coulter, Mr. Bradley was involved in the evaluation, market assessment and successful commercial launch of multiple life science technologies and applications. Mr. Bradley holds a B.S. in Immunology and Biochemistry from Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.

Jeremy Bridge-Cook, Ph.D. Dr. Bridge-Cook joined the Company in March, 2007 as Vice President of Luminex Molecular Diagnostics. Previously, Dr. Bridge-Cook served as Sr. Vice President, Corporate Development of Tm Bioscience. Dr. Bridge-Cook joined Tm Bioscience in July 2000 as Director of Business Development and served in various capacities thereafter, including Vice President of Business Development, Vice President of Marketing & Business Development, and finally Sr. Vice President, Corporate Development. Prior to joining Tm, Dr. Bridge-Cook worked for three years as an Investment Analyst at MDS Capital Corp. and University Medical Discoveries Inc. Dr. Bridge-Cook has a Ph.D. in immunology from the University of Toronto.

John C. Carrano, Ph.D. Dr. Carrano joined the Company in July 2005, and was appointed Vice President, Research and Development in July 2006. Dr. Carrano formerly served as Executive Director, Research and Development. Prior to joining Luminex, Dr. Carrano was a program manager at DARPA where he led several major Defense Department programs related to biological and chemical sensing. His other recent positions include Assistant Professor of Electrical Engineering, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, United States Military Academy, and Research Scientist, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Adelphi MD. In June 2005, Dr. Carrano retired from the military as a Lieutenant Colonel after 24 years of service. Dr. Carrano received his B.S., from the United States Military Academy, West Point, in 1981, and received his M.S. and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the

University of Texas at Austin. Dr. Carrano is also a graduate of the U.S. Army Command and General Staff College. Dr. Carrano is a member of Phi Kappa Phi, Eta Kappa Nu, OSA, SPIE, and IEEE.

Table of Contents

Harriss T. Currie. Mr. Currie has served as Vice President, Finance, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer since October of 2003. Since joining the Company in November of 1998, Mr. Currie previously served in the capacities of Controller, Treasurer and Acting Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining us, he was employed as the Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of SpectraCell Laboratories from 1993 to 1998 where he also served as Vice President of Finance for two subsidiary companies. Mr. Currie earned his B.B.A. from Southwestern University and his M.B.A. in Finance and Marketing from The University of Texas at Austin. Prior to returning to graduate school for his M.B.A., Mr. Currie was a certified public accountant with Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Gregory J. Gosch. Mr. Gosch joined the Company in October 2004, and currently serves as Vice President, Luminex Bioscience Group. Since joining the Company, Mr. Gosch previously served in the capacity of Vice President, Marketing and Sales. Previously, he served as Senior Director of Sales and Marketing for Nanogen from 1999 to 2004 where he was responsible for worldwide marketing and U.S. sales. From 1997 to 1999, he served as Market Development Manager for Chiron Corporation. In addition, Mr. Gosch has held various sales and marketing positions at Meridian Diagnostics and Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. Mr. Gosch holds an M.B.A. from the Carlson School of Management, a Masters of Health Care Administration from the School of Public Health, both of the University of Minnesota, and a B.A. in Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology from the University of Colorado.

David S. Reiter. Mr. Reiter joined the Company as Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary in October, 2003. Prior to becoming General Counsel, Mr. Reiter was in private practice with the firm of *Phillips & Reiter, PLLC*, which provides outsourced general counsel services for technology companies. Before co-founding the firm, Mr. Reiter was Vice President and General Counsel for 724 Solutions Inc., a provider of mobile commerce software solutions and applications (NASDAQ: SVNX). Earlier in his career, Mr. Reiter served as senior counsel for Compaq Computer Corporation, supporting the Worldwide Sales & Services, Supply Chain Management and Consumer Products Group. Mr. Reiter is a graduate of the University of Southern California (Juris Doctorate/Master of International Relations), University of Sheffield, UK (M.B.A.) and the University of Notre Dame (B.A.) in Government. Mr. Reiter is a member of the Texas Bar and is the chair of the Subcommittee on Law Department Management for the American Bar Association.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Market Information**

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol LMNX.

The following table sets forth the range of high and low sale prices on The Nasdaq Stock Market and/or Nasdaq Global Market, as applicable, for each quarter during 2007 and 2006. On March 11, 2008, the last reported sale price of our common stock was \$16.46 per share.

2007	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 16.82	\$ 12.08
Second Quarter	\$ 14.71	\$ 11.44
Third Quarter	\$ 17.30	\$ 11.62
Fourth Quarter	\$ 17.77	\$ 14.11
2006	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 15.48	\$ 11.55
Second Quarter	\$ 18.03	\$ 12.83
Third Quarter	\$ 20.19	\$ 14.41
Fourth Quarter	\$ 20.75	\$ 11.82

Holders

As of March 11, 2008, we had 599 holders of record of our common stock. Because many of our shares are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we are unable to estimate the total number of beneficial stockholders represented by these record holders.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock and, while this policy is subject to periodic review by our board of directors, we currently intend to retain any earnings for use in our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our ability to declare dividends may also from time to time be limited by the terms of our credit facility.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Table of Contents**Performance Graph**

The following graph compares the change in Luminex's cumulative total shareholder return on its common shares with the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Luminex Corporation, The NASDAQ Composite Index
And The NASDAQ Biotechnology Index

* \$100 invested
on 12/31/02 in
stock or
index-including
reinvestment of
dividends.
Fiscal year
ending
December 31.

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Luminex Corporation	100.00	228.22	216.06	282.73	309.00	395.13
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	149.75	164.64	168.60	187.83	205.22
NASDAQ Biotechnology	100.00	146.95	164.05	185.29	183.09	186.22

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The stock repurchase activity for the fourth quarter of 2007 was as follows:

Table of Contents**ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (\$)(1)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans of Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (\$)
10/1/07 - 10/31/07		\$		
11/1/07 - 11/30/07	58	\$ 14.99		
12/1/07 - 12/31/07		\$		
Total Third Quarter	58	\$ 14.99		

(1) Shares repurchased are attributable to the withholding of shares by Luminex to satisfy the payment of tax obligations related to the vesting of restricted shares.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto and with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other financial data included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2007 and 2006 are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 are derived from audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Results of Operations Data:					
Total revenue	\$ 75,010	\$ 52,989	\$ 42,313	\$ 35,880	\$ 26,292
Gross profit	46,094	32,252	22,321	14,722	9,830
Loss from operations	(17,418)	(581) [1]	(3,496)	(4,164)	(6,475)
Net (loss) income	(2,711)	1,507 [1]	(2,666)	(3,605)	(4,209)
Net (loss) income applicable to common stockholders	\$ (2,711)	\$ 1,507	\$ (2,666)	\$ (3,605)	\$ (4,209)
Net (loss) income per common share, basic	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.05 [1]	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.14)
Shares used in computing net (loss) income per share, basic	34,361	31,434	30,990	30,698	29,814
Net (loss) income per common share, diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.05 [1]	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.14)
Shares used in computing net (loss) income per share, diluted	34,361	32,988	30,990	30,698	29,814
	2007	2006	At December 31, 2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,233	\$ 27,414	\$ 25,206	\$ 19,238	\$ 39,480
Short-term investments	6,944	10,956	10,947	12,891	
Long-term investments		7,346	5,466	3,991	

Working capital	40,801	44,179	39,364	40,823	45,522
Total assets	123,559	66,696	58,035	53,175	53,294
Total stockholders equity	103,480	54,159	44,710	44,546	44,835

[1] As discussed in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2006, we changed our method of accounting for stock-based compensation to conform to Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No.123(R), Share-Based Payment .

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following information should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes included below in Item 8 and Risk Factors included above in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

Overview

We develop, manufacture and sell proprietary biological testing technologies with applications throughout the life sciences industry. Our xMAP® technology, an open architecture, multiplexing technology, allows simultaneous analysis of up to 100 bioassays from a small sample volume, typically a single drop of fluid, by reading biological tests on the surface of microscopic polystyrene beads called microspheres. xMAP technology combines this miniaturized liquid array bioassay capability with small lasers, digital signal processors and proprietary software to create a system offering advantages in speed, precision, flexibility and cost. Our xMAP technology is currently being used within various segments of the life sciences industry which includes the fields of drug discovery and development, clinical diagnostics, genetic analysis, bio-defense, protein analysis and biomedical research.

Our end-user customers and partners, which include laboratory professionals performing research, clinical laboratories performing tests on patients as ordered by a physician and other laboratories, have a fundamental need to perform high quality testing as efficiently as possible. Luminex has adopted a business model built around strategic partnerships. We have licensed our xMAP technology to companies, who then develop products that incorporate the xMAP technology into products that they sell to the end-user. Luminex develops and manufactures the proprietary xMAP laboratory instrumentation and the proprietary xMAP microspheres and sells these products to its partners. Our partners then sell xMAP instrumentation and xMAP-based reagent consumable products, which run on the instrumentation, to the end-user laboratory. Luminex was founded on this model, and our success to date has been due to this model. As of the end of 2007, Luminex had 58 strategic partners, 30 of which have released commercialized reagent-based products using our technology, and these partners had sold and placed 4,979 xMAP-based instruments in laboratories worldwide.

Beginning in 2006, the Company began developing proprietary assays through LBG. This development was supplemented in 2007 by our acquisition of Tm Bioscience. Our newly formed assay segment is focusing on the molecular diagnostics market.

Luminex has several forms of revenue that result from this partner model:

System revenue is generated from the sale of our xMAP systems and peripherals. Currently system revenue is derived from the sale of the Luminex 100 and 200 analyzers often coupled with an optional XY Platform and/or Sheath Delivery System. We currently expect the average system price to be between \$25,000 and \$30,000 in a given reporting period. This metric includes all configurations of our xMAP systems including refurbished systems, demonstration systems and modular components.

Consumable revenue is generated from the sale of our dyed polystyrene microspheres and sheath fluid. Our larger commercial and development partners often purchase these consumables in bulk to minimize the number of incoming qualification events and to allow for longer development and production runs.

Royalty revenue is generated when a partner sells a kit incorporating our proprietary microspheres to an end user or when a partner utilizes a kit to provide a testing result to a user. End users can be facilities such as testing labs, development facilities and research facilities that buy prepared kits and have specific testing needs or testing service companies that provide assay results to pharmaceutical research companies or physicians.

Assay revenue is generated from the sale of our kits which are a combination of chemical and biological reagents and our proprietary bead technology used to perform diagnostic and research assays on samples.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, assay revenue includes revenue since March 1, 2007 from LMD as a

result of our acquisition which was effective March 1, 2007. Assay revenue generated from LBG is also classified here. Previously, assay revenue generated from LBG was recorded in other revenue as it did not constitute as material amount of total revenue.

Table of Contents

Service revenue is generated when a partner or other owner of a system purchases a service contract from us after the warranty has expired. Service contract revenue is amortized over the life of the contract and the costs associated with those contracts are recognized as incurred.

Other revenue consists of items such as training, shipping, parts sales, license revenue, grant revenue, contract research and development fees and milestone revenue and other items that individually amount to less than 5% of total revenue.

2007 Highlights

Luminex grew total revenue by approximately 42% over 2006 revenue of \$53.0 million

Gross margin percentage of 61%, consistent with 2006

Record system shipments of 862 for the year ended December 31, 2007

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) clearance of xTAG™ Respiratory Viral Panel (RVP), as of January 3, 2008

Completion of the Tm Bioscience acquisition

Cumulative world wide system sales to date of 4,979 systems

Settlement of Rules Based Medicine, Inc. (RBM) litigation for total proceeds to the Company of \$12.5 million

Acquisition of Tm Bioscience

On March 1, 2007, we completed our acquisition of LMD, formerly Tm Bioscience Corporation, for \$49.4 million. Upon closing the acquisition, we exchanged 0.06 shares of Luminex common stock for each outstanding Tm Share, which resulted in the issuance of approximately 3.2 million shares of Luminex common stock valued at \$41.8 million. We retired debt of \$13.2 million and incurred approximately \$5.7 million of expense associated with advisors, consultants, and other transaction related costs in connection with the acquisition.

Change in Cash Position

Our cash, cash equivalents and investments were reduced by approximately \$11.5 million during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 due primarily to the \$18.9 million of specifically identified costs associated with the acquisition, offset by receipt of the RBM settlement of \$12.5 million, and our purchase property, plant and equipment of \$6.7 million primarily for our manufacturing expansion in preparation for new product offers, expansion of capacity and facility expansion to accommodate our growth. To support our cash and investments position, the Company secured a revolving credit facility for up to \$15.0 million in conjunction with the Tm acquisition, which, as of December 31, 2007 and subject to the borrowing base requirements, would allow for borrowings of up to approximately \$10.6 million.

Segment Information

Luminex has two reportable segments: The Technology Segment and the Assay Segment. The Technology Segment, which is our base business, consists of system sales to partners, raw bead sales, royalties, service and support of the technology, and other miscellaneous items. The Assay Segment consists of LBG and LMD. This segment is primarily involved in the development and sale of assays on xMAP technology for use on Luminex's installed base of systems.

Future Operations

We expect 2008 revenue growth to be driven by sustained adoption of our core technology coupled with assay introduction and commercialization by the Assay Segment. The anticipated continued shift in revenue concentration towards higher margin items, such as assays, consumables and royalties, should provide favorable gross margins. Additionally, we believe that a sustained investment into R&D is necessary in order to meet the needs of our marketplace; however, we estimate that R&D expenditures for 2008 will decline as a percent of revenue from 2007

towards our long term target of 15% of revenue. Finally, we believe our partner model allows us to leverage our operating expenses which, assuming the revenue increases and R&D expense described above, should allow us to generate increased operating income for 2008 as a percentage of total revenue from our core business.

Table of Contents

We expect our primary challenges to be increasing traction of partner products incorporating Luminex technology, capitalizing on the realized synergies of the Tm acquisition, commercialization and market adoption of output from the Assay Segment and expanding our footprint and reputation within our identified target market segments.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. A summary of our significant accounting policies is described in Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements provided herein in Item 8. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue on sales of our products is recognized when persuasive evidence of an agreement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed and determinable and collectability is probable. Generally, these criteria are met at the time our product is shipped. If the criteria for revenue recognition are not met at the time of shipment, the revenue is deferred until all criteria are met. Royalty revenue is generated when a partner sells products incorporating our technology, provides testing services to third parties using our technology or resells our consumables. Royalty revenue is recognized as it is reported to us by our partners; therefore, the underlying end-user sales may be related to prior periods. We also sell extended service contracts for maintenance and support of our products. Revenue for service contracts is recognized ratably over the term of the agreement.

Upfront payments from our strategic partners are nonrefundable and will be recognized as revenue as our strategic partners purchase products or applied against royalty payments. Nonrefundable license fees are amortized into revenue over the estimated life of the license agreements.

Grant revenue consists of amounts earned under research agreements with government grants, which is recognized in the period during which the related costs are incurred.

Inventory Valuation. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market value and have been reduced by an allowance for excess and obsolete inventories. The two major components of the allowance for excess and obsolete inventory were (i) a specific reserve for inventory items that we no longer use in the manufacture of our products or that no longer meet our specifications and (ii) a reserve against slow moving items for potential obsolescence. The total estimated allowance is reviewed on a regular basis and adjusted based on management's review of inventories on hand compared to estimated future usage and sales. While management believes that adequate write-downs for inventory obsolescence have been made in the consolidated financial statements, scientific and technological advances will continue and the Company could experience additional inventory write-downs in the future. However, the Company does not believe this estimate is subject to significant variability.

Warranties. We provide for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. While we engage in product quality programs and processes, our warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure. Should actual product failure rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required. However, the Company does not believe this estimate is subject to significant variability.

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers and maintain allowances for doubtful accounts based upon our historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that we have identified. While such credit losses historically have been within our expectations, there can be no assurance that we will continue to experience the same level of credit losses that we have in the past. A significant change in the liquidity or financial position of any one of our significant customers, or a deterioration in the economic environment, in general, could have a material adverse impact on the collectability of our accounts receivable and our future operating results, including a reduction in future revenues and additional allowances for doubtful accounts. However, the Company does not believe this estimate is subject to significant variability.

Purchase Price Allocation, Intangibles and Goodwill. The purchase price allocation for acquisitions requires extensive use of accounting estimates and judgments to allocate the purchase price to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired, including in-process research and development (IPR&D), and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values. Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over the assets' estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. The Company periodically reviews the estimated useful lives of its identifiable intangible assets, taking into consideration any events or circumstances that might result in a diminished fair value or revised useful life.

On March 1, 2007, we acquired Tm for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$49.4 million. The purchase price for the acquisition was allocated to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. We completed the process of determining the estimated fair values of IPR&D, identifiable intangible assets and certain tangible assets. Such a valuation required significant estimates and assumptions, including but not limited to, determining the timing and estimated costs to complete the in-process projects, projecting regulatory approvals, estimating future cash flows, and developing appropriate discount rates. We believe the estimated fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were based on reasonable assumptions.

IPR&D represents the value, on closing of a business combination, of acquired research and development projects which were not technologically feasible as of the acquisition date and had no alternative future use. Projects with a value of \$7.4 million that were in process at Tm prior to the acquisition were deemed not technologically feasible and were charged to net loss during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 as IPR&D expense.

SFAS No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets requires that goodwill and certain intangible assets be assessed for impairment at a reporting unit level at least annually. We evaluate the carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets annually or more frequently if there is evidence that certain events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets may not be recoverable. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, then a goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. We would recognize an impairment charge for any amount by which the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its fair value. Determining the fair value of goodwill is judgmental in nature and often involves the use of estimates and assumptions. Estimates of fair value are primarily determined using discounted cash flows and market comparisons. As of December 31, 2007, we have \$39.6 million of goodwill, which has been allocated to the assay segment which includes LMD. We have performed our annual test of goodwill, and have determined there has been no impairment of goodwill as of December 31, 2007.

Revenue. Total revenue increased to \$75.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$53.0 million in 2006. The increase in revenue was primarily attributable to the Assay Segment (including the acquisition of LMD and increased activity by LBG) and, in addition, to a lesser extent increases in consumable and royalty revenues and system sales in the Technology Segment.

Table of Contents

A breakdown of revenue for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

Consolidated

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
System sales	\$ 24,428	\$ 20,644
Consumable sales	19,199	15,676
Royalty revenue	10,244	8,228
Assay revenue	11,323	19
Service contracts	4,431	3,450
Other revenue	5,385	4,972
	\$ 75,010	\$ 52,989

We continue to have revenue concentration in a limited number of strategic partners, as the top five customers, by revenue, accounted for 52% of total revenue in 2007. In particular, two customers accounted for 35% of 2007 total revenue (20% and 15%, respectively). No other customer accounted for more than 10% of total revenue. See the segment discussions that follow on pages 41-44 for additional revenue discussion.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased to \$46.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to \$32.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The gross profit margin rate (gross profit as a percentage of total revenue) was 61% for the year ended December 31, 2007, consistent with the year ended December 31, 2006. The flat gross margin rate was primarily attributable to the acquisition of a company with lower gross margins offset by an increase in high margin consumables and royalty revenue. The increase in gross profit, in dollar amount was primarily attributable to the overall increase in revenue. We anticipate continued fluctuation in gross profit margin and related gross profit primarily as a result of variability in partner bulk purchases and the absolute number of sales of quarterly system sales.

Research and Development Expense. Research and development expenses increased to \$15.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to increases in personnel costs associated with the addition of employees in 2007 related to the LMD acquisition. Research and development headcount at December 31, 2007 was 111 as compared to 61 at December 31, 2006. The increase in the number of employees has allowed us to increase our focus on development of our system, consumable and software products and the expansion of applications for use on our platforms. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expense increased to 21% in 2007 as compared with 16% in 2006. Our current expectation is for research and development expenses to be between 15% and 20% of total revenue for 2008.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$40.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$24.2 million for the comparable period in 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to the acquisition of the LMD and to a lesser extent an increase in stock compensation expense and the impact of foreign exchange transaction losses related to foreign currency denominated balances. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses were 54% in 2007 and 46% in 2006. We intend to manage our 2008 quarterly expenses towards the same amount that we reported for the fourth quarter of 2007, excluding the impact of foreign exchange transaction losses related to foreign currency denominated balances.

Other Income, net. Other income, consisting primarily of interest in our cash and investment balances, decreased to \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Settlement of litigation. The Company settled its pending litigation with RBM on October 15, 2007. As part of the settlement, Luminex received a cash payment of \$12.5 million. \$11.5 million was recognized as part of net income, while \$1.0 million was deferred for licensing rights granted to RBM from Luminex.

Gain on settlement of liability. \$2.3 million was recognized in the year ended December 31, 2007 related to the settlement of a liability related to the renegotiation of a contract acquired as part of the acquisition of Tm.

Table of Contents**Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005**

	Year Ended December 31,			Variance (%)
	2006	2005	Variance (\$)	
	(in thousands)			
Revenue	\$ 52,989	\$ 42,313	\$ 10,676	25%
Gross profit	\$ 32,252	\$ 22,321	\$ 9,931	44%
Gross margin percentage	61%	53%	8%	N/A
Operating expenses	\$ 32,833	\$ 25,817	\$ 7,016	27%
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,507	\$ (2,666)	\$ 4,173	157%

Revenue. Total revenue increased to \$53.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$42.3 million in 2005. The increase in revenue was primarily attributable to our continued increase in royalty revenue, an indicator of increased acceptance and utilization of our technology in the marketplace.

A breakdown of revenue for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

	2006	2005
System sales	\$ 20,644	\$ 18,812
Consumable sales	15,676	13,084
Royalty revenue	8,228	5,255
Assay revenue	19	
Service contracts	3,450	2,444
Other revenue	4,972	2,718
	\$ 52,989	\$ 42,313

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased to \$32.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to \$22.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The gross margin percentage increased to 61% for the year ended December 31, 2006 from 53% for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in gross margin was primarily attributable to the increase in royalties as a percentage of total revenue, an increase in the average system sales price which is a result of partner mix and system configuration fluctuations and to a lesser extent the \$352,000 of grant revenue and a \$300,000 milestone payment from a partner.

Research and Development Expense. Research and development expenses increased to \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$5.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to increases in personnel costs associated with the addition of employees in 2006 and to a lesser extent increased costs related to direct materials and consumable supplies utilized in the research and development process and increased stock compensation expense resulting from the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R) Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)). Research and development headcount at December 31, 2006 was 61 as compared to 42 at December 31, 2005. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expense increased to 16% in 2006 as compared with 13% in 2005.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$24.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$20.2 million for the comparable period in 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to increased stock compensation expense resulting from the adoption of SFAS 123(R). Stock compensation increased to \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$1.5 million for fiscal 2005. To a lesser extent, the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was a result of additional personnel cost associated with the increase in employees to 73 at December 31, 2006 from 70 at December 31, 2005. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses were 46% in 2006 and 48% in 2005.

Other Income, net. Other income, consisting primarily of interest in our cash and investment balances, increased to \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**Segment Results of Operations****Technology Segment**

Selected financial data for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 of our Technology Segment is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			Variance (%)
	2007	2006	Variance (\$)	
	(in thousands)			
Revenue	\$ 62,436	\$ 52,970	\$ 9,466	18%
Gross profit	\$ 37,864	\$ 32,243	\$ 5,621	17%
Gross margin percentage	61%	61%	0%	N/A
Operating expenses	\$ 38,391	\$ 30,793	\$ 7,598	25%
Net income	\$ 12,330	\$ 3,538	\$ 8,792	249%

Revenue. Total revenue increased 18% to \$62.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$53.0 million in 2006. The increase in revenue was primarily attributable to an increase in system sales and consumable revenue as well as the continued acceptance and utilization of our technology in the marketplace as evidenced by our continued increase in royalty revenue.

A breakdown of revenue in the Technology Segment for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
System sales	\$ 23,320	\$ 20,644
Consumable sales	19,197	15,676
Royalty revenue	10,213	8,228
Service contracts	4,431	3,450
Assay revenue		
Other revenue	5,275	4,972
	\$ 62,436	\$ 52,970

The top five customers, by revenue, accounted for 61% of total revenue in 2007. In particular, two customers accounted for 42% of 2007 total technology segment revenue (24% and 18%, respectively). No other customer accounted for more than 10% of total technology segment revenue.

System and peripheral component sales increased 13% to \$23.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$20.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. System sales increased to 838 LX systems for 2007 as compared to 718 (717 LX systems and 1 HTS) in the prior year, bringing total system sales to 4,979 as of December 31, 2007.

Consumable sales, comprised of microspheres and sheath fluid, increased 22% to \$19.2 million during 2007 from \$15.7 million in 2006. We believe the increase is primarily the result of the increased use and acceptance of our technology and the increased installed base of our systems. Partners who reported royalty bearing sales accounted for \$16.1 million, or 84%, of total consumable sales for the year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, during 2007 we had 41 bulk purchases of consumables totaling approximately \$14.3 million as compared with 31 bulk purchases totaling approximately \$10.4 million in the prior year. A bulk purchase is defined as the purchase of \$100,000 or more of consumables in a quarter. As the number of applications available on our platform expands, we anticipate that the overall level of consumable sales, and related bulk purchases, will continue to rise.

Table of Contents

Royalty revenue increased 24% to \$10.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. We believe this increase is primarily the result of the increased use and acceptance of our technology. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we had 30 commercial partners submit royalties as compared with 32 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Additionally, the 30 partners from whom we recognized \$8.2 million in royalties in 2006 represented approximately \$9.9 million of the total royalties in 2007, an increase of approximately 21% over their prior year payments. Total royalty bearing sales reported to us by our partners were approximately \$167 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to \$132.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Service contracts, comprised of extended warranty contracts earned ratably over the term of a contract, increased to \$4.4 million during 2007 from \$3.5 million in 2006. This increase is attributable to increased sales of extended service contracts, which are primarily a result of the increase in the commercial base of Luminex systems as compared to the prior year period. At December 31, 2007, we had 799 Luminex systems covered under extended service agreements and \$1.8 million in deferred revenue related to those contracts. At December 31, 2006, we had 651 Luminex systems covered under extended service agreements and \$1.8 million in deferred revenue related to those contracts.

Other revenue, comprised of training revenue, shipping revenue, miscellaneous part sales, amortized license fees, reagent sales and grant revenue, increased to \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in grant revenue. Grant revenue increased to \$932,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$352,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. During 2007, we were awarded an additional government grant from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) in addition to successfully completing grants from DARPA and the Homeland Security Advance Research Projects Agency that we were awarded in 2006. The additional grant from DARPA awarded in 2007 is to develop a multiplex assay system platform which can be employed to quickly determine clinically relevant biological exposure and accurately identify biological agents in the environment. This platform could lead to a high-performance, low-cost and portable instrument with applications in biological agent sensing and military diagnostics. This grant will allow us to accelerate our product development of related commercial products (such as a point-of-care diagnostic instrument) and is specifically designed to shrink both the cost and size of our current instrument. We believe government grants are significant because they help support our R&D efforts, establish our footprint in the Bio-Defense sector and open the door for future grants.

Gross Profit. The gross profit margin rate (gross profit as a percentage of total revenue) was flat at 61% for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Gross profit, in dollar amount, increased to \$37.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to \$32.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The flat gross margin rate was primarily attributable to a similar product mix in the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in gross profit, in dollar amount, was primarily attributable to the overall increase in revenue. Consumables and royalties comprised \$29.4 million, or 47%, of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007 and \$23.9 million, or 45%, for the year ended December 31, 2006. We anticipate continued fluctuation in gross profit margin and related gross profit primarily as a result of variability in partner bulk purchases and absolute number of sales of quarterly system sales.

Operating expenses. Research and development expenses increased to \$8.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$7.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to increases in personnel costs associated with the addition of employees in 2007. Research and development headcount at December 31, 2007 was 70 as compared to 57 at December 31, 2006. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in costs related to direct materials and consumables utilized in the research and development process. The increase in the number of employees has allowed us to increase our focus on development of our system, consumable and software products and the expansion of applications for use on our platforms. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expense remained flat at 14% in 2007 as compared with 2006.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$29.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$23.5 million for the comparable period in 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to additional personnel cost associated with the increase in employees to 81 at December 31, 2007 from 70 at December 31, 2006 and to a lesser extent, an increase in stock compensation expense. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general and administrative

expenses were 47% in 2007 and 44% in 2006.

Table of Contents

Settlement of litigation. The Company settled its pending litigation with RBM on October 15, 2007. As part of the settlement, Luminex received a cash payment of \$12.5 million. \$11.5 million was recognized as part of net income, while \$1.0 million was deferred for licensing rights granted to RBM from Luminex.

Assay Segment

Selected financial data for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 of our Assay Segment is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			Variance (%)
	2007	2006	Variance (\$)	
	(in thousands)			
Revenue	\$ 12,574	\$ 19	\$ 12,555	66079%
Gross profit	\$ 8,230	\$ 9	\$ 8,221	91344%
Gross margin percentage	65%	47%	18%	N/A
Operating expenses	\$ 25,121	\$ 2,040	\$ 23,081	1131%
Net loss	\$ (15,041)	\$ (2,031)	\$ (13,010)	641%

Revenue. Revenues were derived from LBG for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and also from LMD from March 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

A breakdown of revenue in the Assay Segment for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
System sales	\$ 1,108	\$
Consumable sales	2	
Royalty revenue	31	
Service contracts		
Assay revenue	11,323	19
Other revenue	110	
	\$ 12,574	\$ 19

The top five customers, by revenue, accounted for 64% of total revenue in 2007. In particular, two customers accounted for 46% of 2007 total revenue (33% and 13%, respectively). No other customer accounted for more than 10% of total revenue. Assay revenue consists primarily of kits of which the majority relates to our Cystic Fibrosis products. System sales during the twelve months ended 2007 in the Assay Segment were 24 LX Systems. Other revenue includes contract research and development fees and commercial milestone revenue.

Operating expenses. Research and development expenses increased to \$6.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in research and development expenses can be primarily attributed to the addition of the acquisition of LMD. LMD contributed approximately 60% of all research and development expenses. The LBG division contributed the remaining 40%. The LBG division research and development expenses increased 117% to \$2.6 million primarily as a result of increased activity related to product development.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$863,000 for the comparable period in 2006. As previously discussed, the expenses for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 include expenses related to LBG for the entire twelve months and expenses related to LMD from March 1, 2007, the date of acquisition, to December 31, 2007. The overall increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily attributable to the addition of the LMD division and to a lesser extent increased activity by the LBG and the impact of foreign exchange transaction losses related to foreign currency denominated balances. The LMD division contributed \$8.5 million of selling, general and administrative expenses, or 89%. The

LBG division contributed the remaining 11%. The LBG division selling, general and administrative expenses increased 36% to \$1.1 million primarily as a result of increased headcount.

Table of Contents

Gain on settlement of liability. \$2.3 million was recognized in the year ended December 31, 2007 related to the settlement of a liability related to the renegotiation of a contract acquired as part of the acquisition of Tm.

We operated under one segment through the end of 2006; therefore, we have not included a 2006 to 2005 comparison by segment.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,233	\$ 27,414
Short-term investments	6,944	10,956
Long-term investments		7,346
	\$ 34,177	\$ 45,716

At December 31, 2007, we held cash and cash equivalents, and short-term and long-term investments, of \$34.2 million and had working capital of \$40.8 million. At December 31, 2006, we held cash and cash equivalents, and short-term and long-term investments, of \$45.7 million and had working capital of \$44.2 million. The purchase price of the Tm acquisition was approximately \$49.4 million, including common stock valued at \$41.8 million. In connection with closing the acquisition, we paid off \$13.2 million of Tm's debt and related fees and paid transaction expenses of approximately \$5.7 million (including \$3.6 million of transaction costs included as part of the purchase price and \$2.1 million of LMD transaction costs incurred prior to March 1, 2007). Our cash, cash equivalents and investments have been reduced by approximately \$11.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2007 due primarily to the \$18.9 million of specifically identified costs associated with the acquisition, our purchase property, plant and equipment of \$6.7 million primarily for our manufacturing expansion in preparation for new product offers, expansion of capacity and facility expansion to accommodate our growth, offset by the receipt of \$12.5 million in the RBM settlement in the fourth quarter of 2007.

We have funded our operations to date primarily through the issuance of equity securities. Our cash reserves are held directly or indirectly in a variety of short-term, interest-bearing instruments, including obligations of the United States government or agencies thereof and U.S. corporate debt securities. We do not have any investments in asset-backed commercial paper.

Cash provided by operations was \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Significant items affecting operating cash flows for the period were our net loss of \$2.7 million and adjustments for depreciation and amortization of \$5.1 million, the write-off of in-process research and development of \$7.4 million, and stock compensation of \$6.6 million, offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$3.3 million and a gain on settlement of liability of \$2.3 million.

Cash provided by investing was \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared with cash used in investing of \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. In 2007, our capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment increased significantly to \$6.7 million from \$2.6 million in 2006, primarily as a result our manufacturing expansion in preparation for the introduction of new products, expansion of capacity, and facility and ERP expansion to accommodate our growth and LMD. Currently, exclusive of changes in investments, we expect cash used in investing activities to be primarily for purchases of property, plant and equipment and for it to decrease towards historical levels.

Cash used in financing activities was \$10.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared with cash provided by financing activities of \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. In 2007, our payments on debt were \$12.3 million for Tm debt retired in connection with the acquisition.

Table of Contents

We expect research and development expense as a percent of revenue to decrease to between 15% and 20% of total revenue in 2008. While the percent is expected to decrease, we expect research and development expense absolute dollars to scale with the company's revenue growth as a result of our continuing investment in the research and development pipeline to support our strategy and expanded focus on product and platform development. We do not expect selling, general and administrative expenses in 2008, excluding the impact of foreign exchange on foreign denominated balances, to continue to increase at the same rate as in prior year as management believes it can leverage the current level of expenses to adequately support the ongoing growth of our business.

Our future capital requirements will depend on a number of factors, including our success in developing and expanding markets for our products, payments under possible future strategic arrangements, continued progress of our research and development of potential products, the timing and outcome of regulatory approvals, the need to acquire licenses to new technology, costs associated with strategic acquisitions including integration costs and assumed liabilities, the status of competitive products and potential cost associated with both protecting and defending our intellectual property. Additionally, actions taken as a result of the ongoing internal evaluation of our business could result in expenditures not currently contemplated in our estimates for 2008. We believe, however, that our existing cash and cash equivalents together with availability under our revolving credit facility as described below are sufficient to fund our operating expenses, capital equipment requirements and other expected liquidity requirements for the coming twelve months. Based upon our current operating plan and structure, management anticipates total cash use for 2008 to be no more than approximately \$5.0 million, giving us an anticipated balance in cash, cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments at December 31, 2008 of approximately \$25.0 million to approximately \$30.0 million. Factors that could affect this estimate, in addition to those listed above, include: (i) continued collections of accounts receivable consistent with our historical experience, (ii) our ability to manage our inventory levels consistent with past practices, (iii) signing of partnership agreements which include significant up front license fees, and (iv) unanticipated costs associated with, and the negative operating cash flows resulting from, the LMD acquisition. See also the Safe Harbor Cautionary Statement and Item 1A. Risk Factors above.

On March 1, 2007, the Company entered into a senior revolving credit facility with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., which provides borrowings of up to a maximum aggregate principal amount outstanding of \$15.0 million based on availability under a borrowing base consisting of eligible accounts and inventory. The obligations under the senior revolving credit facility are guaranteed by the wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company and secured by all of the accounts, equipment inventory and general intangibles (excluding intellectual property) of the Company and the guarantors including the pledge of an intercompany note from LMD and payable to the Company. Loans under the senior credit facility accrue interest on the basis of either a base rate or a LIBOR rate. The base rate is calculated daily and is the greater of (i) prime minus 1.00% and (ii) federal funds rate plus .50%. Borrowings at the LIBOR rate are based on one, two or three month periods and interest is calculated by taking the sum of (i) the product of LIBOR for such period and statutory reserves plus (ii) 1.75%. We pay a fee of 0.125% per annum on the unfunded portion of the lender's aggregate commitment under the facility. Approximately, \$10.6 million is available for borrowing at December 31, 2007.

The senior credit facility contains conditions to making loans, representations, warranties and covenants, including financial covenants customary for a transaction of this type. Financial covenants include (i) a tangible net worth covenant of \$25.0 million following the acquisition and (ii) a liquidity requirement of availability not less than the funded debt of the Company and its subsidiaries (including LMD) calculated using the unencumbered cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities of the Company and the guarantors. The senior credit facility also contains customary events of default as well as restrictions on undertaking certain specified corporate actions, including, among others, asset dispositions, acquisitions and other investments, dividends, fundamental corporate changes such as mergers and consolidations, incurrence of additional indebtedness, creation of liens and negative pledges, transactions with affiliates and agreements as to certain subsidiary restrictions and the creation of additional subsidiaries. If an event of default occurs that is not otherwise waived or cured, the lender may terminate its obligations to make loans under the senior credit facility and may declare the loans then outstanding under the senior credit facility to be due and payable. We believe we are currently in compliance with our financial and other covenants under the senior credit facility. As of March 11, 2008, no amounts were outstanding under the senior

revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

To the extent capital resources are insufficient to meet future capital requirements; we will have to raise additional funds to continue the development and deployment of our technologies. There can be no assurance that debt or equity funds will be available on favorable terms, if at all. To the extent that additional capital is raised through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, the issuance of those securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. Moreover, incurring debt financing (under our new credit facility or otherwise) could result in a substantial portion of our operating cash flow being dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on such indebtedness, could render us more vulnerable to competitive pressures and economic downturns and could impose restrictions on our operations. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to curtail operations significantly or to obtain funds through entering into agreements on unattractive terms.

Contractual Obligations

We currently have approximately \$5.9 million in non-cancelable obligations for the next 12 months. These obligations are included in our estimated cash usage during 2008. The following table reflects the Company's total current non-cancelable obligations by period (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payment Due By Period			More Than 5 Years
		Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	
Non-cancelable rental obligations	\$ 4,933	\$ 2,506	\$ 2,237	\$ 190	\$
Non-cancelable purchase obligations ⁽¹⁾	3,194	3,194			
Long-term debt obligations ⁽²⁾	5,725	134	1,401	4,190	
Capital lease obligations	96	37	59		
Total	\$ 13,948	\$ 5,871	\$ 3,697	\$ 4,380	\$

(1) Purchase obligations include contractual arrangements in the form of purchase orders primarily a result of normal inventory purchases or minimum payments due resulting when minimum purchase commitments are not met. Purchase obligations relating to purchase orders do not extend

beyond a year; however, we would expect future years to have these purchase commitments that will arise in the ordinary course of business and will generally increase or decrease according to fluctuations in overall sales volume.

- (2) On December 12, 2003, LMD entered into an agreement with the Ministry of Industry of the Government of Canada under which the Government agreed to invest up to Canadian (Cdn) \$7.3 million relating to the development of several genetic tests. Funds were advanced from Technology Partnerships Canada (TPC), a special operating program. The actual payments received by the Company were predicated on eligible

expenditures made during the project period which ended July 31, 2006. LMD has received \$4.3 million from TPC which is expected to be repaid along with approximately \$1.4 million of imputed interest for a total of approximately \$5.7 million.

LMD has agreed to repay the TPC funding through a royalty on specific assay revenue related to the funded product development. Royalty payments commenced in 2007 at a rate of 1% of assay revenue and at a rate of 2.5% for 2008 and thereafter. Aggregate royalty repayment will continue until total advances plus imputed interest has been repaid or until April 30, 2015, whichever is earlier. The repayment

obligation expires on April 30, 2015 and any unpaid balance will be cancelled and forgiven on that date. Should the term of repayment be shorter than we expect due to higher than expected assay revenue, the effective interest rate would increase as repayment is accelerated. Repayments denominated in U.S. Dollars are currently projected to be as shown in the table above, but actual future sales generating a repayment obligation will vary from this projection and are subject to the risks and uncertainties described elsewhere in this report, including under Risk Factors and Safe Harbor Cautionary Statement. Furthermore, payment reflected in U.S. Dollars is subject to adjustment based upon

applicable
exchange rates
as of the
reporting date.

Table of Contents**Inflation**

We do not believe that inflation has had a direct adverse effect on our operations to date. However, a substantial increase in product and manufacturing costs and personnel related expenses could have an adverse impact on our results of operations in the event these expenses increase at a faster pace than we can increase our system, consumable and royalty rates.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This Interpretation defines the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. We adopted FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007. (See Note 10)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (FAS 157). FAS 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. It does not require any new fair value measurements, but does require expanded disclosures to provide information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities, the methods and assumptions used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* (the FSP). The FSP delayed, for one year, the effective date of FAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements on at least an annual basis. Consequently, FAS 157 will be effective for our fiscal year 2008 for financial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed in our Consolidated Financial Statements. The deferred provisions of FAS 157 will be effective for our fiscal year 2009. We have evaluated the effects of the initial adoption of FAS 157 for our 2008 fiscal year and do not expect its adoption will have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the entirety of FAS 157 on our fiscal year 2009 Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (FAS 159). FAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards that require assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under FAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure various assets and liabilities including accounts receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees and issued debt. If the use of fair value is elected, any upfront costs and fees related to the item must be recognized in earnings and cannot be deferred. The fair value election is irrevocable and generally made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, even if a company has similar instruments that it elects not to measure based on fair value. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Subsequent to the adoption of FAS 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. FAS 159 is effective for our fiscal year 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of FAS 159 on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 141 (Revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (FAS 141R) which replaces FAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. FAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. The statement also establishes disclosure requirements that will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. FAS 141R is effective for our fiscal year 2009 and must be applied prospectively to all new acquisitions closing on or after January 1, 2009. Early adoption of this standard is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of FAS 141R on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – An Amendment of ARB No. 51 (FAS 160). FAS 160 requires that accounting and reporting for minority interests be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. The standard is effective for our fiscal year 2009 and must be applied prospectively. We do not expect that the adoption of FAS 160 will have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk. Our interest income is sensitive to changes in the general level of domestic interest rates, particularly since our investments are in short-term and long-term instruments held to maturity. A 50 basis point fluctuation from average investment returns at December 31, 2007 would yield an approximate 10% variance in overall investment return. Due to our intention to hold our investments to maturity, we have concluded that there is no material market risk exposure.

Our revolving credit facility also will be affected by fluctuations in interest rates as it is based on prime minus 1% or the Federal Funds Effective Rate in effect plus 0.50%. As of December 31, 2007, the Company has not drawn on this facility.

Foreign Currency Risk. As of December 31, 2007, as a result of our foreign operations, we have costs, assets and liabilities that are denominated in foreign currencies, primarily Canadian dollars and to a lesser extent the Euro. For example, some fixed asset purchases, certain expenses, and the TPC debt of our Canadian subsidiary, LMD, are denominated in Canadian dollars while sales of products are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars. All transactions in our Netherlands subsidiary are denominated in Euros. As a consequence, movements in exchange rates could cause our foreign currency denominated expenses to fluctuate as a percentage of net revenue, affecting our profitability and cash flows. A significant majority of our revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars. The impact of foreign exchange on foreign denominated balances will vary in relation to changes between the U.S. and Canadian Dollar exchange rates. A 10% change in the Canadian Dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar could result in a foreign exchange impact of approximately \$409,000 dollars.

In addition, the indirect effect of fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates could have a material adverse effect on our business financial condition and results of operations. For example, currency exchange rate fluctuations could affect international demand for our products. In addition, interest rates fluctuations could affect our customers' buying patterns. Furthermore, interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations may broadly influence the United States and foreign economies resulting in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. As a result, we cannot give any assurance as to the effect that future changes in foreign currency rates will have on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Our aggregate foreign currency transaction loss of \$305,000 was included in determining our consolidated results for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	PAGE
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	50
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	51
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	52
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	53
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	54
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity</u>	55
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	56

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Luminex Corporation.

We have audited Luminex Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Luminex Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, which is included in the 2007 consolidated financial statements of Luminex Corporation and constituted 54% of total assets as of December 31, 2007 and 16% of revenues for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Luminex Corporation also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of Luminex Molecular Diagnostics.

In our opinion, Luminex Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Luminex Corporation as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 of Luminex Corporation and our report dated March 12, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Austin, Texas

March 12, 2008

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Luminex Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Luminex Corporation (the Company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Luminex Corporation at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2007 the Company changed its method of accounting for income tax uncertainties. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2006 the Company changed its method of accounting for stock based compensation.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 12, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Austin, Texas

March 12, 2008

Table of Contents

LUMINEX CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,233	\$ 27,414
Short-term investments	6,944	10,956
Accounts receivable, (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$356 and \$301 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively)	11,827	8,237
Inventories, net	6,508	4,571
Prepays and other	856	1,917
Total current assets	53,368	53,095
Property and equipment, net	12,673	4,985
Intangible assets, net	16,919	
Long-term investments		7,346
Goodwill	39,617	
Other	982	1,270
Total assets	\$ 123,559	\$ 66,696
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,346	\$ 3,255
Accrued liabilities	6,811	2,905
Deferred revenue	2,410	2,756
Total current liabilities	12,567	8,916
Long-term debt	2,976	
Deferred revenue	4,536	3,621
Total liabilities	20,079	12,537
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding: 35,391,211 shares in 2007; 31,678,608 shares in 2006	35	32

Edgar Filing: LUMINEX CORP - Form 10-K

Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding		
Additional paid-in capital	191,218	139,116
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(8)	65
Accumulated deficit	(87,765)	(85,054)
Total stockholders' equity	103,480	54,159
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 123,559	\$ 66,696

See the accompanying notes which are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

LUMINEX CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Revenue	\$ 75,010	\$ 52,989	\$ 42,313
Cost of revenue	28,916	20,737	19,992
Gross profit	46,094	32,252	22,321
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	15,383	8,673	5,600
Selling, general and administrative	40,729	24,160	20,217
In-process research and development	7,400		
Total operating expenses	63,512	32,833	25,817
Loss from operations	(17,418)	(581)	(3,496)
Interest expense from long-term debt	(513)		
Other income, net	1,665	2,108	1,174
Settlement of litigation	11,500		(322)
Gain on settlement of liability	2,345		
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2,421)	1,527	(2,644)
Income taxes	(290)	(20)	(22)
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,711)	\$ 1,507	\$ (2,666)
Net income (loss) per share, basic	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.09)
Shares used in computing net income (loss) per share, basic	34,361	31,434	30,990
Net income (loss) per share, diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.09)
Shares used in computing net income (loss) per share, diluted	34,361	32,988	30,990

See the accompanying notes which are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

LUMINEX CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,711)	\$ 1,507	\$ (2,666)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization expense	5,063	1,483	1,048
In-process research and development expense	7,400		
Gain on settlement of liability	(2,345)		
Amortization of deferred stock, restricted stock and stock compensation expense	6,593	5,511	1,675
Imputed interest		(13)	(13)
Loss on disposal of assets	88	4	83
Other	268	(15)	9
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	(3,255)	(1,657)	(716)
Inventories, net	(129)	(290)	3,369
Other assets	1,019	(1,009)	(332)
Accounts payable	(2,958)	(602)	1,770
Accrued liabilities	(715)	(307)	137
Deferred revenue	75	(566)	2,658
Net cash provided by operating activities	8,393	4,046	7,022
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchases of held-to-maturity securities	(6,325)	(15,064)	(15,450)
Maturities of held-to-maturity securities	17,717	13,175	15,919
Purchase of property and equipment	(6,685)	(2,638)	(2,830)
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired	(2,686)		
Proceeds from sale of assets	30	45	21
Acquired intangible assets	(10)		
Acquired technology rights	(265)	(25)	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,776	(4,507)	(2,340)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Payments on debt	(12,349)		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,868	2,622	1,180
Other	13		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(10,468)	2,622	1,180
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate on cash	118	47	106

Edgar Filing: LUMINEX CORP - Form 10-K

Change in cash and cash equivalents	(181)	2,208	5,968
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	27,414	25,206	19,238
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 27,233	\$ 27,414	\$ 25,206

Interest and penalties paid 1,360

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

Purchase of leasehold improvements under trade payable arrangement paid in 2007	\$	\$ 445	\$
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----	--------	----

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH EFFECT OF ACQUISITIONS:

Purchase price	(49,401)
Common stock issued	41,754
Conversion of Tm options and warrants	2,315
Forgiveness of receivable from acquired company	1,232
Write-off of acquired technology rights	473
Cash acquired	940
Acquisition, net of cash acquired	(2,687)

Table of Contents

LUMINEX CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(In thousands, except per share data)

	Common Stock Number of Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)	Deferred Stock Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2004	31,169,692	\$ 31	\$ 131,833	\$ (88)	\$ (3,335)	\$ (83,895)	44,546
Exercise of stock options	204,837	1	1,179				1,180
Amortization of deferred stock and stock compensation expense			(325)		312		(13)
Issuances of restricted stock, net	307,428		2,967		(2,967)		
Amortization of restricted stock					1,606		1,606
Forfeiture of restricted stock	(26,274)		(214)		165		(49)
Net loss						(2,666)	(2,666)
Foreign currency translation adjustment				106			106
Balance at December 31, 2005	31,655,683	32	135,440	18	(4,219)	(86,561)	44,710
Exercise of stock options	422,499		2,622				2,622
Issuances of restricted stock, net of shares withheld for taxes	144,539		(242)				(242)
Effect of adoption of FAS 123R	(544,113)		(4,220)		4,219		(1)
Stock compensation			5,516				5,516
Net income						1,507	1,507
Foreign currency translation adjustment				47			47
Balance at December 31, 2006	31,678,608	32	139,116	65		(85,054)	54,159
Exercise of stock options	331,754		1,868				1,868
Issuances of restricted stock, net	178,815		(425)				(425)

of shares withheld for taxes							
Shares Exchanged in Tm Acquisition	3,202,034	3	41,751				41,754
Value of Tm options and warrants traded			2,315				2,315
Stock compensation			6,593				6,593
Net income						(2,711)	(2,711)
Foreign currency translation adjustment					(73)		(73)
Balance at December 31, 2007	35,391,211	\$ 35	\$ 191,218	\$	(8)	\$	(87,765) \$ 103,480

Table of Contents

LUMINEX CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

Luminex Corporation (the Company or Luminex) develops, manufactures and sells proprietary biological testing technologies with applications throughout the life sciences industry. The Company's xMAP® technology, an open architecture, multiplexing technology, allows the Luminex systems to simultaneously perform up to 100 bioassays on a single drop of fluid by reading biological tests on the surface of microscopic polystyrene beads called microspheres. xMAP technology combines this miniaturized liquid array bioassay capability with small lasers, digital signal processors and proprietary software to create a system offering advantages in speed, precision, flexibility and cost. The Company's xMAP technology is currently being used within various segments of the life sciences industry which includes the fields of drug discovery and development, clinical diagnostics, genetic analysis, bio-defense, protein analysis and biomedical research.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated upon consolidation.

The acquisition of Tm Bioscience Corporation, or Tm, now known as Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, or LMD, was completed on March 1, 2007; therefore, the results of operations of LMD in our consolidated financial statements only include LMD results since that date.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual amounts and results could differ from those estimates, and such differences could be material to the financial statements.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash deposits and investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased.

Investments

The Company's investments are classified as held-to-maturity since the Company has the intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are stated at cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization is included in other income. Interest on securities classified as held-to-maturity is also included in other income.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reflected in the balance sheets for cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, investments, and long-term debt approximate fair value due to the nature of the instruments.

Table of Contents

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of short-term investments and trade receivables. The Company's short-term investments consist of investments in high credit quality financial institutions and corporate issuers.

The Company provides credit, in the normal course of business, to a number of its customers geographically dispersed primarily throughout the U.S. The Company attempts to limit its credit risk by performing ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintaining adequate allowances for potential credit losses and does not require collateral.

In 2007, two customers each accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. accounted for 20%, 19% and 23% of our total revenues in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. One Lambda, Inc. accounted for 15%, 15% and 16% of our total revenues in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of total revenues in 2007, 2006 or 2005.

Inventories

Inventories, consisting primarily of raw materials and purchased components, are stated at the lower of cost, determined using average cost, or market. The Company routinely assesses its on-hand inventory for timely identification and measurement of obsolete, slow-moving or otherwise impaired inventory.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated amounts for amortization and depreciation. Property and equipment are generally amortized or depreciated on a straight-line basis over the useful lives of the assets, which range from two to seven years. Leasehold improvements and equipment under capital lease are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the remaining term of the lease or the estimated useful life of the improvements and equipment. The Company classifies the carrying value of Luminex xMAP Instruments placed within the reagent rental program and the instruments on loan to customers in Property and equipment as Assets on loan/rental .

Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost over the fair value of the assets of the acquired business. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment at least annually during the fourth quarter. No goodwill impairments were recorded in 2007. Intangible assets are amortized on a straight line basis over their respective estimated useful lives ranging from 2 to 15 years. The useful lives of the assets acquired as part of the Merger were established as a result of the allocation of fair values at March 1, 2007. We have no intangible assets with indefinite useful lives.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets held and used by the Company are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their net book value may not be recoverable. When such factors and circumstances exist, the Company compares the projected undiscounted future cash flows associated with the related asset or group of assets over their estimated useful lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets and is recorded in the period in which the determination was made.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition and Allowance For Doubtful Accounts

Revenue from sales of the Company's products is recognized when persuasive evidence of an agreement exists, delivery of the product has occurred, the fee is fixed and determinable and collectability is probable. Generally, these criteria are met at the time the product is shipped. If the criteria for revenue recognition are not met at the time of shipment, the revenue is deferred until all criteria are met. Revenues from royalties related to agreements with strategic partners are recognized when such amounts are reported to the Company; therefore, the underlying end-user sales may be related to prior periods. Revenue from extended service agreements is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreement.

Amounts billed or collected in excess of revenue recognized are recorded as deferred revenue.

We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers and maintain allowances for doubtful accounts based upon our historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified. While such credit losses have historically been within our expectations, there can be no assurance that we will continue to experience the same level of credit losses that we have in the past. A significant change in the liquidity or financial position of any one of our significant customers, or a deterioration in the economic environment, in general, could have a material adverse impact on the collectability of our accounts receivable and our future operating results, including a reduction in future revenues and additional allowances for doubtful accounts.

Warranty Programs

We provide for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. While we engage in product quality programs and processes, our warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure. Should actual product failure rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are generally expensed in the period incurred; however, the Company capitalizes certain internally developed products, used for evaluation during development projects that also have alternative future uses as defined by SFAS 2, "Accounting for Research and Development Costs". These assets are generally depreciated on a straight-line basis over the useful life of the assets which range from two months to one year. The Company capitalized \$122,000 and \$643,000 in 2007 and 2006, respectively. Depreciation expense of \$305,000, \$295,000 and \$2,000 was recorded in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. There was \$122,000 and \$627,000 of capitalized research and development costs included in other assets at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Advertising Costs

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expenses were not significant for any of the years presented.

Incentive Compensation

Management incentive plans are tied to various financial and non-financial performance metrics. Bonus accruals made throughout the year related to the various incentive plans are based on management's best estimate of the achievement of the specific metrics. Adjustments to the accruals are made on a quarterly basis as forecasts of performance are updated. At year-end, the accruals are adjusted to reflect the actual results achieved.

Table of Contents**Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the liability method whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the basis for financial reporting purposes and the tax bases of such assets and liabilities, and are measured using enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is established when it is more likely than not the future realization of all or some of the deferred tax assets will not be achieved.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN No. 48 requires recognition of the impact of a tax position in the Company's financial statements only if that position is more likely than not of being sustained upon examination by taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. Any interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions will be reflected in income tax expense.

Earnings Per Share

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive securities composed of incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants, and common shares issuable on conversion of preferred stock, were excluded from historical diluted loss per share because of their anti-dilutive effect.

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)), using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that transition method, compensation cost recognized for the year ended December 31, 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). Results for prior periods have not been restated. See Note 14, *Employee Benefit Plans and Stock-Based Compensation* for further information.

Segment Reporting

Historically the Company had operated as a single segment. Subsequent to the acquisition of LMD, management determined that we have two segments for financial reporting purposes: the Technology Segment and the Assay Segment. See Note 17 *Segment and Geographic Information*.

NOTE 2 BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**Acquisition**

On March 1, 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of Tm, a DNA-based research and diagnostics company headquartered in Toronto, Canada. Prior to the acquisition, Tm was one of our strategic partners. All intercompany balances were eliminated upon acquisition. We believe this acquisition is a logical extension of our strategy and that the combined Company will be in a position to take advantage of the complementary strengths of both companies in molecular diagnostics. The acquired company is referred to as LMD and is included in our Assay Segment for financial reporting purposes. The focus of LMD is to design, develop, manufacture and commercialize nucleic-acid based testing products for use in the genetic testing, personalized medicine and infectious disease markets.

Table of Contents

Upon the closing of the acquisition, we exchanged 0.06 shares of Luminex common stock for each outstanding Tm share, which resulted in the issuance of approximately 3.2 million shares of Luminex common stock. The value of the approximately 3.2 million common shares issued was determined based on the average market price of our common stock over the period including five days before and after the terms of the acquisition were agreed to and announced in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS 141). We also agreed to assume the 235,732 outstanding Tm options and the 457,912 outstanding warrants according to the applicable Tm plan provisions. At the date of acquisition, these options and warrants were potentially exercisable for approximately 694,000 additional shares of Luminex common stock on an as-converted basis. The estimated fair value of Luminex replacement options and warrants was calculated using the Black-Scholes model. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-based Payments (SFAS 123R), the portion of the estimated fair value of unvested Tm options related to future service (approximately \$242,000) was deducted from the purchase price consideration and will be recognized as compensation expense over those awards remaining vesting period. As of December 31, 2007, there were 90,592 replacement options outstanding with exercise prices ranging from \$11.12 to \$44.88 and approximately 413,000 replacement warrants outstanding with exercise prices ranging from \$10.11 to \$37.18. All of the warrants are exercisable as of December 31, 2007.

Immediately subsequent to the acquisition, we retired approximately \$13.2 million of Tm debt, including an approximately \$1.0 million contractual penalty, by using existing cash reserves. Under the terms of one of the retired debt instruments, the balance of the note became callable upon the acquisition and was subject to a contractual penalty if either called by the debt holder or prepaid by Tm. The penalty was triggered when the Tm shareholders ratified the acquisition of Tm by Luminex on February 21, 2007. The penalty was recorded by Tm prior to Luminex acquisition based on the penalty amount agreed by the debt holder, and was reflected in the opening balance of Other current liabilities assumed.

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase business combination in accordance with SFAS 141. LMD results of operations are included with the Company s from the date of acquisition, March 1, 2007. The purchase price of the acquisition was approximately \$49.4 million, including the issuance of common stock valued at \$41.8 million and transaction costs of approximately \$3.6 million. The purchase price has been allocated to the net assets acquired based on estimates of the fair values at the date of the acquisition.

Luminex completed the process of allocating fair values for certain tangible and intangible assets and in-process research and development (IPR&D) identified during the acquisition. The acquired intangible assets were allocated to the Assay Segment. The excess purchase price over the fair values of the net tangible assets, identified intangible assets and liabilities was allocated to goodwill. Luminex recorded \$39.6 million of goodwill related to the Tm acquisition in our Assay Segment. Goodwill is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of net assets at the date of acquisition (in thousands). Certain tangible and intangible assets and liabilities were adjusted to their estimated fair market values upon the final analysis of these values during the fourth quarter. Based on SFAS 141, the following intangible assets evaluated were: trade name (Tag-It), customer list/contracts, technology/trade secrets, and in-process research and development. IPR&D has been recorded at its estimated fair market value and charged to expense in 2007.

Cash	\$	940
Other current assets		3,157
Other assets		28
Property and equipment		3,518
Purchased intangible assets		18,800
In-process research and development		7,400
Goodwill		39,617
 Total assets	 \$	 73,460
 Current portion of debt assumed	 \$	 12,447
Accrued severance assumed		1,945
Other current liabilities assumed		7,148
Long-term debt assumed		2,294
Other long-term liabilities assumed		225
 Total liabilities		 24,059
 Purchase price	 \$	 49,401

Pro Forma Information

The financial information in the table below summarizes the combined results of operations of Luminex and LMD, on a pro forma basis, as though the companies had been combined at the beginning of 2006.

The pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operation that would have been achieved if the acquisition of LMD had taken place at the beginning of fiscal 2006.

The following table summarizes the pro forma financial information for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Revenues	\$ 75,328	\$ 60,361
Net loss	\$ (8,488)	\$ (17,792)
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.51)

Table of Contents**In-process Research and Development (IPR&D)**

IPR&D was allocated to each IPR&D project using the estimated fair value based on an income approach using discounted cash flows related to the products that would result from each of the projects. The discounted cash flows were estimated based on relevant market size and growth factors, expected industry trends, individual product sales cycles, the estimated life of each product's underlying technology, historical pricing, costs to complete the projects, costs of production, R&D costs required to maintain the products once they have been introduced into the market and related selling and marketing costs. The discount rates used to discount the projected net returns were based on an internal rate of return of capital relative to the Company and the bio-technology industry, as well as the product-specific risk associated with the IPR&D projects. Product-specific risk includes the stage of completion of each product, the complexity of the development work completed to date, the likelihood of achieving technological feasibility, and market acceptance. The forecast data employed in the analyses for IPR&D was based upon both forecast information maintained by the acquired companies and the Company's estimate of future performance of the business. The inputs used by the Company in assessing the value of IPR&D were based upon assumptions that the Company believes to be reasonable but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable.

In conjunction with the acquisition, the Company has recorded total IPR&D expense of \$7.4 million for acquired IPR&D which was not technologically feasible as of the acquisition date and had no alternative future use.

NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS

Held-to-maturity securities as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 consisted of \$6.9 million and \$18.3 million of federal agency debt securities, respectively. Amortized cost approximates fair value of these investments.

The amortized cost of held-to-maturity debt securities at December 31, 2007 and 2006, by contractual maturity, are shown below (in thousands). Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

	December 31,					
	2007		2006			
	Cost	Accrued Interest	Amortized Cost	Cost	Accrued Interest	Amortized Cost
Due in one year or less	\$ 6,944	\$ 38	\$ 6,982	\$ 10,956	\$ 183	\$ 11,139
Due after one year through two years				7,346	84	7,430
	\$ 6,944	\$ 38	\$ 6,982	\$ 18,302	\$ 267	\$ 18,569

NOTE 4 ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Accounts receivable	\$ 12,183	\$ 8,538
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(356)	(301)
	\$ 11,827	\$ 8,237

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2004	\$	278
Reductions charged to costs and expenses		90
Write-offs of uncollectible accounts		(2)
Recoveries of uncollectible accounts		
Balance at December 31, 2005		366
Additions charged to costs and expenses		(52)
Write-offs of uncollectible accounts		(13)
Recoveries of uncollectible accounts		
Balance at December 31, 2006		301
Reductions charged to costs and expenses		
Write-offs of uncollectible accounts		(1)
Additions due to acquired accounts receivable		56
Recoveries of uncollectible accounts		
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$	356

NOTE 5 INVENTORY, NET

Inventory consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Parts and supplies	\$ 3,613	\$ 3,504
Work-in-progress	1,632	555
Finished goods	1,956	932
	7,201	4,991
Less: Allowance for excess and obsolete inventory	(693)	(420)
	\$ 6,508	\$ 4,571

The Company has non-cancelable purchase commitments with certain of its component suppliers in the amount of approximately \$3.2 million for 2007. Should production requirements fall below the level of the Company's commitments, the Company could be required to take delivery of inventory for which it has no immediate need or incur an increased cost per unit going forward.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Laboratory equipment	\$ 7,686	\$ 4,502
Leasehold improvements	6,089	3,284
Computer equipment	1,944	1,477
Purchased software and intangibles	4,463	2,738
Furniture and fixtures	1,512	574
Assets on loan/rental	1,341	
Capital lease equipment	115	
	23,150	12,575
Less: Accumulated amortization and depreciation	(10,477)	(7,590)
	\$ 12,673	\$ 4,985

Depreciation expense was \$3.0 million, \$1.3 million, and \$880,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

NOTE 7 INTANGIBLE ASSETS

As of December 31, 2007, we had amortized identifiable intangible assets of the following (in thousands except weighted average lives):

	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Weighted average life
Technology/trade secrets	\$ 17,400	\$ 1,570	9
Customer lists/contracts	1,100	61	15
Trade name	300	250	1
Total	\$ 18,800	\$ 1,881	

The amortization expense related to purchased intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$1.9 million. The estimated aggregate amortization expense for the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

	For the year ending December 31,
2008	2,013
2009	1,963
2010	1,963
2011	1,963
2012	1,963

Table of Contents**NOTE 8 OTHER ASSETS**

Other assets consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Purchased technology rights (net of accumulated amortization of \$108,000 and \$416,000 in 2007 and 2006, respectively)	\$ 509	\$ 856
Other	587	531
	1,096	1,387
Less: Current portion	(114)	(117)
	\$ 982	\$ 1,270

For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company recognized amortization expense related to the amortization of these acquired technology rights of approximately \$89,000 and \$108,000, respectively. Future amortization expense will be \$114,000 in 2008, \$114,000 in 2009, \$114,000 in 2010, \$105,000 in 2011, \$21,000 in 2012 and \$43,000 thereafter.

NOTE 9 ACCRUED WARRANTY COSTS

Sales of certain of the Company's systems are subject to a warranty. System warranties typically extend for a period of twelve months from the date of installation or no more than 15 months from the date of shipment. The Company estimates the amount of warranty claims on sold product that may be incurred based on current and historical data. The actual warranty expense could differ from the estimates made by the Company based on product performance. Warranty expenses are evaluated and adjusted periodically.

The following table summarizes the changes in the warranty accrual (in thousands):

Accrued warranty costs at December 31, 2004	\$ 504
Warranty expenses	(785)
Accrual for warranty costs	632
Accrued warranty costs at December 31, 2005	351
Warranty expenses	(635)
Accrual for warranty costs	595
Accrued warranty costs at December 31, 2006	311
Warranty expenses	(525)
Accrual for warranty costs	473
Accrued warranty costs at December 31, 2007	\$ 259

NOTE 10 INCOME TAXES

The components of income (loss) before income taxes for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
Domestic	12,164	1,428	(2,786)
Foreign	(14,585)	99	120

Total	(2,421)	1,527	(2,666)
-------	---------	-------	---------

Table of Contents

The components of the provision for income taxes attributable to continuing operations for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Current:			
Federal	\$ 177	\$	\$
Foreign	84	40	22
State	29	(20)	
Total current	290	20	22
Deferred:			
Federal			
Foreign			
State			
Total deferred			
Total provision for income taxes	\$ 290	\$ 20	\$ 22

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had U.S. federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$85.8 million and research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$2.5 million that will begin to expire in 2013 if not utilized prior to that time. Due to the taxable income that resulted from the RBM settlement, \$8.7 million of our operating loss carryforwards were utilized in 2007. In addition, due to the acquisition of Tm, the Company has Canadian non-capital income tax loss carryforwards of \$40.4 million, a scientific research and experimental development pool in Canada of \$23.8 million, and investment tax credits in Canada of \$4.9 million that will begin to expire in 2009 if not utilized prior to that time. Utilization of the net operating losses and tax credits may be subject to substantial annual limitation due to the change in ownership provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The annual limitation may result in the expiration of net operating losses and research and development credits before utilization.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax liabilities and assets as of December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

	2007	2006	2005
Deferred tax assets:			
Current deferred tax assets			
Accrued liabilities and other	\$ 1,061	\$ 775	\$ 645
Gross current deferred tax assets	1,061	775	645
Valuation allowance	(1,059)	(628)	(425)
Net current deferred tax assets	2	147	220
Noncurrent deferred tax assets			
Net operating loss and credit carryforwards	54,168	34,155	35,018
Deferred revenue	2,535	2,342	2,562
Depreciation and amortization	7,902	348	279
Investment basis		1,637	1,637
Stock compensation and other	1,981	615	
Gross Noncurrent Deferred Tax Assets	66,586	39,097	39,496
Valuation allowance	(60,944)	(39,069)	(39,496)
Net noncurrent deferred tax assets	5,642	28	
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Current deferred tax liabilities			
Prepaid expenses		(147)	(220)
Total current deferred tax liabilities		(147)	(220)
Net current deferred tax asset (liability)	\$ 2	\$	\$
Noncurrent deferred tax liabilities			
Acquired intangibles	\$ (5,521)	\$	\$
Total noncurrent deferred tax liabilities	\$ (5,521)	\$	\$
Net noncurrent deferred tax asset (liability)	\$ 121	\$ 28	\$
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ 123	\$ 28	\$

The Company has established a valuation allowance equal to the net deferred tax assets less the federal benefit amount of the Texas margin deferred tax asset of \$123,000 due to uncertainties regarding the realization of deferred tax assets based on the Company's lack of earnings history. The valuation allowance increased by approximately \$21.8 million during 2007 due to the acquisition of LMD. Approximately \$12.0 million of the valuation allowance relates to tax benefits for stock option deductions included in the net operating loss carryforward, which when realized, will be allocated directly to contributed capital to the extent the benefits exceed amounts attributable to deferred stock compensation expense. As of December 31, 2007, the valuation allowance includes approximately \$24.5 million of pre-acquisition deferred tax assets of Tm. To the extent any of these assets are recognized, the adjustment will be applied first to reduce to zero any goodwill related to the acquisition, and then as a reduction to the tax provision. Undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiary are considered permanently reinvested and, accordingly, no provision for U.S. federal or state income taxes has been provided thereon.

The Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes attributable to continuing operations differs from the expected tax expense (benefit) amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate of 34% to income before income taxes as a result of the following:

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Statutory tax rate	34.0%	34.0%	34.0%
State taxes, net of federal benefit	3.6%	(2.7)%	3.0%
Permanent items	(21.2)%	3.3%	(1.4)%
Effect of foreign operations	14.7%	0.5%	0.0%
Research and incentive tax credit generated	35.8%	(22.0)%	0.0%
Canadian tax rate change	(64.8)%	0.0%	0.0%
Deferred assets not benefited	(11.4)%	(11.7)%	(36.4)%
	(9.3)%	1.4%	(0.8)%

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FIN No. 48, which prescribes a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that the company has taken or expects to take on a tax return. Though the validity of any tax position is a matter of tax law, the body of statutory, regulatory and interpretive guidance on the application of the law is complex and often ambiguous. Because of this, whether a tax position will ultimately be sustained may be uncertain.

Prior to January 1, 2007, the impact of an uncertain tax position that did not create a difference between the financial statement basis and the tax basis of an asset or liability was included in our income tax provision if it was probable the position would be sustained upon audit. The benefit of any uncertain tax position that was temporary was reflected in our tax provision if it was more likely than not that the position would be sustained upon audit. Prior to the adoption of FIN 48, we recognized interest expense based on our estimates of the ultimate outcomes of the uncertain tax positions.

Under FIN 48, the impact of an uncertain tax position that is more likely than not of being sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority must be recognized at the largest amount that is more likely than not to be sustained. No portion of an uncertain tax position will be recognized if the position has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. Also, under FIN 48, interest expense is recognized on the full amount of deferred benefits for uncertain tax positions.

As of the date of adoption of FIN 48 and at December 31, 2007, all of the unrecognized tax benefits are associated with tax carryforwards that, if recognized, would have no effect on the effective tax rate because the recognition of the associated deferred tax asset would be offset by an increase to the valuation allowance. In the United States, the federal income tax returns for years after 1996 are open and in Canada, the federal income tax returns for years after 2003 are open. There are numerous other income tax jurisdictions for which tax returns are not yet settled, none of which are individually significant. Reserves for interest and penalties are not significant. The Company does not expect significant changes in the aggregate amount of unrecognized tax benefits that may occur within the next twelve months.

NOTE 11 LONG-TERM DEBT

On December 31, 2007, long-term debt consisted of a loan payable to Technology Partnership Canada (TPC) valued at \$3.0 million and the related short term interest payable of \$134,000.

On December 12, 2003, Tm entered into an agreement with the Ministry of Industry of the Government of Canada under which the Government agreed to invest up to Canadian (Cdn) \$7.3 million relating to the development of several genetic tests. Funds were advanced from Technology Partnerships Canada (TPC), a special operating program. The actual payments received by the Company were predicated on eligible expenditures made during the project period which ended July 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had received \$4.4 million from TPC which is expected to be repaid along with approximately \$1.3 million of imputed interest for a total of approximately \$5.7 million.

Table of Contents

The Company agreed to repay the TPC funding through a royalty on specific assay revenue related to the funded product development. This liability was assumed by the Company as part of the acquisition and the liability was recorded at fair value as the date of acquisition. This liability is subject to adjustments for foreign currency translation effects as it is a foreign currency denominated balance. Royalty payments commenced in 2007 at a rate of 1% of assay revenue and at a rate of 2.5% for 2008 and thereafter. Aggregate royalty repayment will continue until total advances plus imputed interest has been repaid or until April 30, 2015, whichever is earlier. The repayment obligation expires on April 30, 2015 and any unpaid balance will be cancelled and forgiven on that date. Should the term of repayment be shorter than we expect due to higher than expected assay revenue, the effective interest rate would increase as repayment is accelerated.

Estimated principal repayments on the debt for the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

2008	\$	134
2009		531
2010		870
2011		1,948
2012		2,242
Thereafter		
	\$	5,725
Less: Amount representing implied interest		(1,345)
Total principal repayments	\$	4,380
Revaluation of debt		(1,404)
	\$	2,976

In 2007, the Company had imputed interest expense related to its long-term debt of \$201,000. The effective interest rate was 6.65% as of December 31, 2007.

NOTE 12 NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Numerator:			
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,711)	\$ 1,507	\$ (2,666)
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic net income (loss) per share - weighted average common stock outstanding	34,361	31,434	30,990
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options and awards		1,554	
Denominator for diluted net income (loss) per share - weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	34,361	32,988	30,990
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.09)
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.09)

Table of Contents

Restricted stock awards (RSAs) and stock options to acquire \$1.1 million, 658,000, and 1.7 million shares for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were excluded from the computations of diluted EPS because the effect of including the RSAs and stock options would have been anti-dilutive.

NOTE 13 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/ LOSS**Preferred Stock**

The Company's Board of Directors has the authority to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions thereof, including dividend rights, dividend rates, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption, redemption prices, liquidation preferences and the number of shares constituting any series or the designation of such series, without further vote or action by the Company's stockholders. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, there was no preferred stock issued and outstanding.

Stockholders Rights Plan

On June 20, 2001, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend of one right for each outstanding share of the Company's common stock to stockholders of record at the close of business on July 2, 2001. Each right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company a unit consisting of one one-hundredth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, at a purchase price of \$100 per fractional share, subject to adjustment. The rights are not currently exercisable and will become exercisable only in the event a person or group acquires beneficial ownership of 20 percent or more of common stock. The rights expire on June 20, 2011.

Comprehensive Income/Loss

The Company's comprehensive income or loss is comprised of net income or loss and foreign currency translation. Comprehensive loss for the year ended December 31, 2007 was approximately \$2.8 million and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2006 was approximately \$1.6 million.

NOTE 14 EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS AND STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**Stock-Based Compensation**

At December 31, 2007, the Company has two stock-based employee compensation plans pursuant to which grants may be made, the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Equity Incentive Plan") and the 2006 Management Stock Purchase Plan (the "MSPP") which were approved at our Annual Meeting on May 25, 2006. No further grants shall be made pursuant to the 1996 Stock Option Plan (the "1996 Plan"), the 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2000 Plan"), the 2001 Broad-Based Stock Option Plan (the "2001 Plan"), or the Tm Bioscience Corporation Share Option Plan (the "Tm Plan") that the Company assumed in connection with the Tm acquisition. The Tm Plan governs the former Tm options which were exchanged for options to purchase shares of Luminex common stock in connection with the acquisition. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for its plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25"), and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123"). Pursuant thereto, compensation costs related to employee stock options granted at fair value under those plans were not recognized in the consolidated statements of income. Compensation costs related to RSAs and stock options granted below fair value were recognized in the consolidated statements of income.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R), using the modified-prospective-transition method.

Table of Contents**Equity Incentive Plans**

Under the Company's Equity Incentive Plan, 1996 Plan, 2000 Plan, 2001 Plan, and the Tm Plan, certain employees, consultants and non-employee directors have been granted RSAs, restricted share units (RSUs) and options to purchase shares of common stock. The options, RSAs, and RSUs generally vest in installments over a four to five year period, and the options expire either five or ten years after the date of grant. Under the Equity Incentive Plan, certain employees, directors of, and consultants to the Company are eligible to be granted RSAs, RSUs, and options to purchase common stock. The MSPP provides for the granting of rights to defer an elected percentage of their bonus compensation through the purchase of restricted shares of the Company's common stock, discounted by 20%, to certain officers of the Company. As of December 31, 2007, there were 858,000 shares authorized for future issuance under the Company's Equity Incentive Plan and 500,000 shares eligible for purchase, pursuant to the terms and conditions thereof, under the MSPP.

In connection with the Tm acquisition, warrants for the purchase of Tm common stock were converted to the right to acquire shares of Luminex common stock. There are currently outstanding warrants to purchase up to approximately 413,000 shares of Luminex common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$20.95 per share expiring on or before November 2011.

The Equity Incentive Plan, the MSPP, the 1996 Plan, the 2000 Plan, the 2001 Plan, and the Tm Plan are administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee has the authority to determine the terms and conditions under which awards will be granted from the Equity Incentive Plan, including the number of shares, vesting schedule and term, as applicable. Any option award exercise prices, as set forth in the Equity Incentive Plan, will be equal to the fair market value on the date of grant. Under certain circumstances, the Company may repurchase previously granted RSAs and RSUs.

On March 25, 2007, the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to the restricted stock agreement, dated May 17, 2004 (the Restricted Stock Agreement), of our CEO, Patrick J. Balthrop. The Company and Mr. Balthrop initially entered into the Restricted Stock Agreement in connection with the hiring of Mr. Balthrop as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The Restricted Stock Agreement provided for the grant of 200,000 restricted shares, which would vest in portions based on the attainment of certain performance goals related to Company revenue, earnings and stock price. If the goals provided for in the Restricted Stock Agreement were not achieved by the end of the fifth anniversary of the date of the Restricted Stock Agreement, all non-vested shares would be forfeited. The amendment provides for the automatic vesting of all unvested restricted shares immediately prior to the fifth anniversary of the date of the Restricted Stock Agreement, to the extent any or all of the performance measures have not been previously achieved. Mr. Balthrop's 200,000 share restricted stock award, as amended, has market, service or performance criteria for vesting of all shares. We have assumed that vesting will occur at the end of the five years based on achievement of the service criteria so all expense is being amortized straight-line over the five-year period from May 17, 2004 through 2009. Pursuant to the amendment to this award, the award was revalued to the market price on the date of amendment of \$14.39. This resulted in additional expense to the Company of approximately \$356,000 of which approximately \$257,000 was recognized in 2007 and approximately \$99,000 of which will be recognized pro-rata over the remaining term of the award.

In connection with the hiring of our Chief Executive Officer, the Company issued Patrick J. Balthrop a non-qualified stock option grant for the purchase of 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock dated May 15, 2004 at an exercise price of \$9.36 per share (the Balthrop Option). The Balthrop Option vests 25% on the first anniversary of the date of grant and ratably on a monthly basis for the three years following the initial vesting date. This award was not pursuant to any of the Company's existing equity incentive plans. As previously reported, at a meeting of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors on February 10, 2005, the committee approved resolutions to increase the exercise price of the Balthrop Option from \$9.36 per share to \$10.10 per share (the closing market price on the date immediately preceding the original grant date). This modification was made in order to eliminate the potential application of certain adverse tax implications in light of tax law changes created as a result of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. In connection therewith, the Compensation Committee approved a cash bonus payable to Mr. Balthrop to be paid consistent with the vesting period of the option grant, subject to Mr. Balthrop's continued employment, equal to \$370,000. According to the vesting schedule and assuming no acceleration event contemplated

by the Balthrop Option, one quarter of the cash bonus was paid as of May 15, 2005 (the first vesting date and consistent with the equity vesting) and the balance of such payments is being made in equal monthly installments over the 36 months thereafter.

Table of Contents**Accounting for Stock Compensation**

Stock-based compensation costs are generally based on the fair value calculated from the Black-Scholes option-pricing model on the date of grant for stock options and intrinsic value on the date of grant for RSAs. The fair values of stock are amortized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grants. In accordance with SFAS 123(R), the Company evaluates the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model on an annual basis using a consistent methodology for computing expected volatility, expected term and risk-free rate of return. Calculation of expected volatility is based on historical volatility. The expected term is calculated using the contractual term of the options as well as an analysis of the Company's historical exercises of stock options. The estimate of risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The Company has never paid cash dividends and does not currently intend to pay cash dividends, thus has assumed a 0% dividend yield. The assumptions used are summarized in the following table:

	2007	2006	2005
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Expected volatility	0.5	0.6	0.6
Risk-free rate of return	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Expected life	4 yrs.	6 yrs.	7 yrs.
Weighted average fair value at grant date	\$ 4.70	N/A ^[1]	\$ 4.68

^[1] No stock options were issued to employees during this period.

As part of the requirements of SFAS 123(R), the Company is required to estimate potential forfeitures of stock grants and adjust compensation cost recorded accordingly. The estimate of forfeitures is based on historical forfeiture performance and will be adjusted over the requisite service period to the extent that actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from such estimates. Changes in estimated forfeitures will be recognized through a cumulative catch-up adjustment in the period of change and will also impact the amount of stock compensation expense to be recognized in future periods.

The Company's stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2007 is as follows:

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Stock Options				
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	3,163	\$ 9.76		
Granted	823	20.91		
Exercised	(332)	5.63		
Cancelled or expired	(210)	23.86		
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	3,444	\$ 11.96	4.88	19,865
Vested at December 31, 2007 and expected to vest	3,437	\$ 11.95	4.87	19,837

Exercisable at December 31, 2007	3,186	\$	11.83	4.67	18,873
----------------------------------	-------	----	-------	------	--------

During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$3.2 million, \$4.3 million, and \$799,000, respectively, and the total fair value of stock options that vested was \$2.8 million, \$2.5 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. The Company had \$1.5 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options at December 31, 2007 that are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

Table of Contents

The Company's stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

	Shares		Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding, December 31, 2004	4,066	\$	9.76
Granted	53 [1]	\$	7.48
Exercised	(205)	\$	5.76
Surrendered	(156)	\$	12.32
Options outstanding, December 31, 2005	3,758	\$	9.85
Granted			
Exercised	(423)	\$	6.22
Surrendered	(172)	\$	20.39
Options outstanding, December 31, 2006	3,163	\$	9.76

[1] This number has been adjusted to include options that were granted in the period, but previously reflected as available for future issuance.

The Company's restricted share activity for the year ended December 31, 2007 is as follows:

Restricted Stock Awards	Shares (in thousands)		Weighted- Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested at December 31, 2006	798	\$	13.54
Granted	776		13.23
Vested	(209)		13.48
Cancelled or expired	(32)		13.53
Non-vested at December 31, 2007	1,333	\$	13.37

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$13.8 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to RSAs and RSUs. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average-period of 3.3 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$2.8 million, \$1.5 million, and \$437,000, respectively.

RSAs may be granted at the discretion of the Board of Directors under the Equity Incentive Plan in connection with the hiring or retention of key employees and are subject to certain conditions. Restrictions expire at certain dates after the grant date in accordance with specific provisions in the applicable agreement. During the year ended

December 31, 2007, the Company awarded 776,359 shares of restricted common stock, which had a fair value at the date of grant ranging from \$12.43 - \$14.39. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company awarded 426,458 shares of restricted common stock, which had a fair value at the date of grant ranging from \$11.91 - \$19.13. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company awarded 307,428 shares of restricted common stock, which had a fair value at the date of grant ranging from \$7.53 - \$10.40. Compensation under these restricted stock awards was charged to expense over the restriction period and amounted to \$4.4 million, \$2.8 million and \$1.6 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

There were no significant stock compensation costs capitalized into assets as of December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

The Company received \$1.9 million, \$2.6 million, and \$1.2 million for the exercise of stock options during the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Cash was not used to settle any equity instruments previously granted. The Company issued shares pursuant to grants relating to each of the Equity Incentive Plan, 2000 Plan and 2001 Plan from reserves upon the exercise of stock options and vesting of RSAs. The Company does not currently expect to repurchase shares from any source to satisfy such obligation under these plans.

The following are the stock-based compensation costs recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of income (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Cost of revenue	\$ 380	\$ 318	\$ 81
Research and development	810	594	116
Selling, general and administrative	5,403	4,599	1,478
Stock-based compensation costs reflected in net income (loss)	\$ 6,593	\$ 5,511	\$ 1,675

As discussed above, results for prior periods have not been restated to reflect the effects of implementing SFAS 123(R). The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock options granted under the Company's stock option plans for the year ended December 31, 2005. For purposes of this pro forma disclosure, the value of the stock options was estimated using a Black-Scholes option-pricing formula and amortized to expense over the options' vesting periods (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2005
Net loss, as reported	\$ (2,666)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss	1,575
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(4,834)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (5,925)
Earnings per share	
Basic and Diluted - as reported	\$ (0.09)
Basic and Diluted - pro forma	\$ (0.19)

Table of Contents**Reserved Shares of Common Stock**

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had reserved 4,801,687 and 5,389,865 shares of common stock, respectively, for the issuance of common stock upon the exercise of options, issuance of RSAs, RSUs, purchase of common stock pursuant to the MSPP or other awards issued pursuant to the Company's equity plans and arrangements. The following table summarizes the reserved shares by plan as of December 31, 2007:

	Options / Warrants	Shares Available for Future Issuance	Total Shares Reserved
1996 Plan	20,400		20,400
2000 Plan	1,665,118		1,665,118
2001 Plan	625,412		625,412
2006 Equity Incentive Plan	129,173	858,006	987,179
2006 Management Stock Purchase Plan		500,000	500,000
Tm Plan	90,592		90,592
Other *	912,986		912,986
	3,443,681	1,358,006	4,801,687

* Balthrop Option
and Tm
Warrants

Employee Savings Plans

Effective January 1, 2001, the Company began sponsoring a retirement plan authorized by section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. In accordance with the 401(k) plan, all employees are eligible to participate in the plan on the first day of the month following the commencement of full time employment. For 2007, 2006 and 2005, each employee could contribute a percentage of compensation up to a maximum of \$15,500, \$15,000 and \$14,000 per year, respectively, with the Company matching 50% of each employee's contributions. The Company's contributions for 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$543,000, \$435,000 and \$345,000, respectively.

NOTE 15 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**Lease Arrangements**

The Company has operating leases related primarily to its office and manufacturing facilities with original lease periods up to 10 years. Rental and lease expense for these operating leases for the years 2007, 2006 and 2005 totaled approximately \$1.2 million, \$995,000 and \$842,000, respectively.

Minimum annual lease commitments as of December 31, 2007 under non-cancelable leases for each of the next five years and in the aggregate were as follows (in thousands):

2008	\$ 2,506
2009	1,652
2010	585
2011	134
Thereafter	56
Total	\$ 4,933

These non-cancelable lease commitments related to facilities include certain rent escalation provisions which have been included in the minimum annual rental commitments shown above. These amounts are recorded to expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease. In addition, some of the Company's leases contain options to renew the

lease for five to ten years at the then prevailing market rental rate, right of first refusal to lease additional space that becomes available, or leasehold improvement incentives

Table of Contents

Non-Cancelable Purchase Commitments

As of December 31, 2007 the Company had approximately \$3.2 million in purchase commitments with several of its inventory suppliers. These commitments require delivery of minimum amounts of components throughout 2008. None of the Company's current commitments extend past 2008.

Employment Contracts

The Company has entered into employment contracts with certain of its key executives. Generally, certain amounts may become payable in the event the Company terminates the executives' employment without cause or the executive resigns for good reason.

Gain on Settlement of Liability

The Company has renegotiated a contract acquired as part of the acquisition of Tm Bioscience. As part of the contract renegotiation there was a settlement of a liability of \$2.3 million which we have recorded as a gain on settlement of liability.

Legal Proceedings

On January 16, 2008, Luminex Corporation and Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, Inc. were served with a complaint, filed by The Research Foundation of the State University of New York (SUNY) in Federal District Court for the Northern District of New York, alleging, among other claims, that LMD breached its license agreement with SUNY by failing to pay royalties allegedly owed under the agreement. On February 9, 2008, Luminex and LMD filed an answer to this complaint denying all claims brought by SUNY.

Unfunded Credit Facility

On March 1, 2007, the Company entered into a senior revolving credit facility with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., which provides borrowings of up to a maximum aggregate principal amount outstanding of \$15.0 million based on availability under a borrowing base consisting of eligible accounts and inventory. The obligations under the senior revolving credit facility are guaranteed by the wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company and secured by all of the accounts, equipment inventory and general intangibles (excluding intellectual property) of the Company and the guarantors including the pledge of an intercompany note from LMD and payable to the Company. Loans under the senior credit facility accrue interest on the basis of either a base rate or a LIBOR rate. The base rate is calculated daily and is the greater of (i) prime minus 1.00% and (ii) federal funds rate plus .50%. Borrowings at the LIBOR rate are based on one, two or three month periods and interest is calculated by taking the sum of (i) the product of LIBOR for such period and statutory reserves plus (ii) 1.75%. We pay a fee of 0.125% per annum on the unfunded portion of the lender's aggregate commitment under the facility. Approximately, \$10.6 million is available for borrowing at December 31, 2007.

The senior credit facility contains conditions to making loans, representations, warranties and covenants, including financial covenants customary for a transaction of this type. Financial covenants include (i) a tangible net worth covenant of \$25.0 million following the acquisition and (ii) a liquidity requirement of availability not less than the funded debt of the Company and its subsidiaries (including LMD) calculated using the unencumbered cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities of the Company and the guarantors. The senior credit facility also contains customary events of default as well as restrictions on undertaking certain specified corporate actions, including, among others, asset dispositions, acquisitions and other investments, dividends, fundamental corporate changes such as mergers and consolidations, incurrence of additional indebtedness, creation of liens and negative pledges, transactions with affiliates and agreements as to certain subsidiary restrictions and the creation of additional subsidiaries. If an event of default occurs that is not otherwise waived or cured, the lender may terminate its obligations to make loans under the senior credit facility and may declare the loans then outstanding under the senior credit facility to be due and payable. We believe we are currently in compliance with our financial and other covenants under the senior credit facility. As of December 31, 2007, no amounts were outstanding under the senior revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents**NOTE 16 GUARANTEES**

The terms and conditions of the Company's development and supply and license agreements with its strategic partners generally provide for a limited indemnification of such partners, arising from the sale of Luminex Systems and consumables, against losses, expenses and liabilities resulting from third-party claims based on an alleged infringement on an intellectual property right of such third party. The terms of such indemnification provisions generally limit the scope of and remedies for such indemnification obligations. To date, the Company has not had to reimburse any of its strategic partners for any losses arising from such indemnification obligations.

NOTE 17 SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

The Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM), as defined by SFAS No. 131, is Luminex's Chief Executive Officer. The CODM allocates resources to and assesses the performance of each operating segment using information about its revenue and projections. Our reporting segments reflect the nature of the products offered to customers and the markets served and are comprised of the following:

Technology Segment represents our base business and consists of system sales to partners, raw bead sales, royalties, service and support of the technology, and other miscellaneous items.

Assay Segment consists of LBG and LMD and is primarily involved in the development and sale of assays on xMAP technology for use on Luminex's installed base of systems.

Intersegment sales are recorded at fixed prices which approximate the prices charged to third party strategic partners and are not a measure of segment operating earnings.

Following is selected information for the year ended December 31, 2007 or as of December 31, 2007 (in thousands), with recognition that the LMD impact is only for the period of March 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007:

	Technology Segment	Assay Segment	Intersegment Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues from external customers	\$ 65,912	\$ 12,642	\$ (3,544)	\$ 75,010
Intersegment revenue	3,476	68	(3,544)	(3,544)
Depreciation and amortization	2,241	3,049	(227)	5,063
Segment profit (loss)	15,651	(18,236)	(126)	(2,711)
Segment assets	139,010	65,635	(81,086)	123,559

Table of Contents

The assay segment net loss for 2007 includes two significant non-cash items: (i) the write off of \$7.4 million of IPR&D and (ii) the \$2.3 million gain on settlement of liability. The table below provides information regarding long-term assets and product revenues from our sales to customers within the United States and in foreign countries for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	Sales to Customers			Long-Term Assets		
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
Domestic	\$ 63,591	\$ 40,823	\$ 32,844	10,863	6,042	4,234
Foreign:						
Europe	7,835	5,760	5,310	501	173	151
Asia	739	2,870	1,123			
Canada	846	2,157	2,061	58,676 (1)		
Other	1,999	1,379	975			
	\$ 75,010	\$ 52,989	\$ 42,313	\$ 70,040	\$ 6,215	\$ 4,385

(1) \$39,617 of the \$58,676 represents goodwill from the acquisition of LMD.

NOTE 18 SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

The Company settled its pending litigation with Rules Based Medicine, Inc. (RBM) on October 15, 2007. As part of the settlement, Luminex received a cash payment of \$12.5 million. The cash payment was made by RBM in exchange for resolution of the dispute between the companies regarding Biophysical Corporation as well as the retirement of Luminex stock ownership in RBM and the grant of certain additional licensing rights from Luminex. All other terms of the agreement are confidential. The parties formally dismissed the lawsuit on October 24, 2007, as required by the settlement agreement. We recorded \$11.5 million of the \$12.5 million payment in the fourth quarter as a gain on settlement of litigation. The remaining \$1.0 million has been deferred related to the license agreement with RBM and will be recognized over the term of the license agreement.

NOTE 19 RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157). FAS 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. It does not require any new fair value measurements, but does require expanded disclosures to provide information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities, the methods and assumptions used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (the FSP). The FSP delayed, for one year, the effective date of FAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements on at least an annual basis. Consequently, FAS 157 will be effective for our fiscal year 2008 for financial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed in our Consolidated Financial Statements. The deferred provisions of FAS 157 will be effective for our fiscal year 2009. We have evaluated the effects of the initial adoption of FAS 157 for our 2008 fiscal year and do not expect its adoption will have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the entirety of FAS 157 on our fiscal year 2009 Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (FAS 159). FAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards that require assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under FAS 159, a

company may elect to use fair value to measure various assets and liabilities including accounts receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees and issued debt. If the use of fair value is elected, any upfront costs and fees related to the item must be recognized in earnings and cannot be deferred. The fair value election is irrevocable and generally made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, even if a company has similar instruments that it elects not to measure based on fair value. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Subsequent to the adoption of FAS 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. FAS 159 is effective for our fiscal year 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of FAS 159 on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 141 (Revised 2007), Business Combinations (FAS 141R) which replaces FAS No. 141, Business Combinations. FAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. The statement also establishes disclosure requirements that will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. FAS 141R is effective for our fiscal year 2009 and must be applied prospectively to all new acquisitions closing on or after January 1, 2009. Early adoption of this standard is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of FAS 141R on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - An Amendment of ARB No. 51 (FAS 160). FAS 160 requires that accounting and reporting for minority interests be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. The standard is effective for our fiscal year 2009 and must be applied prospectively. We do not expect that the adoption of FAS 160 will have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**SELECTED QUARTERLY RESULTS (UNAUDITED)**

The following table sets forth certain quarterly financial data for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data):

	March 31, 2007	June 30, 2007	Quarter Ended September 30, 2007	December 31, 2007
Revenue	\$ 16,607	\$ 17,548	\$ 19,353	\$ 21,501
Gross profit	10,429	10,337	12,017	13,310
Income (loss) from operations	(372)	(12,244)	(1,858)	(2,943)
Net income (loss)	136	(12,056)	(1,852)	11,061
Basic income (loss) per share	0.00	(0.34)	(0.05)	0.31
Diluted income (loss) per share	0.00	(0.34)	(0.05)	0.30

	March 31, 2006	June 30, 2006	Quarter Ended September 30, 2006	December 31, 2006
Revenue	\$ 12,997	\$ 13,268	\$ 12,514	\$ 14,210
Gross profit	8,260	7,660	7,782	8,550
Income (loss) from operations	113	(267)	(435)	7
Net income	526	271	111	599
Basic income (loss) per share	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02
Diluted income (loss) per share	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Table of Contents**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedure as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation and criteria of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, which is included in the 2007 consolidated financial statements of Luminex Corporation and constituted 54% of total assets as of December 31, 2007 and 16% of revenues for the year then ended.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued a report on their assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which is provided at Item 8, page 50.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d) during the fourth quarter of 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The information required by this Item concerning our directors, audit committee, and audit committee financial experts, code of ethics and compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is incorporated by reference to information under the caption *Proposal 1 Election of Directors* and to the information under the caption *Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance* in our definitive proxy statement for our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders to be held on or about May 22, 2008 (the *Proxy Statement*). It is anticipated that our Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about April 20, 2008.

Pursuant to General Instruction G(3), certain information with respect to our executive officers is set forth under the caption *Executive Officers of the Registrant* in Item 4 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled *Executive Compensation and Related Matters*.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management*.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2007, certain information with respect to shares of the Company's common stock authorized for issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options (A)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options (B)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (A)) (1) (C)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,814,691	\$ 10.75	1,358,006
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (2)	1,628,990	\$ 13.30	
Total	3,443,681		1,358,006

(1) In February 2001, our Board of Directors approved the 2001

Broad-Based
Stock Option
Plan (the 2001
Plan), a
non-stockholder
approved plan,
for grants of
stock options to
employees who
are not directors
or officers of the
Company.

Options may be
granted to such
employees at not
less than 100%
of the fair
market value of
the common
stock on the date
of grant. The
options become
exercisable in
whole or in such
installments as
determined by
the Board of
Directors and
generally expire
10 years after
the grant date.
Since approval
of the Equity
Incentive Plan in
May 2006, no
securities are
available for
future issuances
under this plan.
For additional
information
regarding the
Company's 2001
Plan see Note 14
to the
Consolidated
Financial
Statements.

Table of Contents

- (2) Includes shares issuable upon the exercise of options granted under the Tm Bioscience Corporation Share Option Plan assumed by Luminex in connection with the acquisition of Tm Bioscience. These options have a weighted average exercise price of \$21.54. No further grants will be made pursuant to this plan. Also includes option to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock issued to Patrick J. Balthrop, Sr. on May 15, 2004, in connection with his hiring and outside of any stockholder approved equity incentive plan. The terms of this option, together with the amendment to the related option agreement, are more fully described in Note 14 to the

Consolidated
Financial
Statements.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions and Corporate Governance.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPLE ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the section of the Proxy Statement entitled Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accountants.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

(1) Financial Statements:

The Financial Statements required by this item are submitted in Part II, Item 8 of this report.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the Financial Statements or in the notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits:

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
2.1	Merger Agreement, dated December 14, 2006, by and between the Company and Tm Bioscience Corporation (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 15, 2006).
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-96317), filed February 7, 2000, as amended).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-96317), filed February 7, 2000, as amended).
4.1	Rights Agreement dated as of June 20, 2001 between Luminex Corporation and Mellon Investor Services, LLC, as Rights Agent which includes as Exhibit A the form of Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock setting forth the terms of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, as Exhibit B the form of Rights Certificate and as Exhibit C the Summary of Rights (Previously filed as Exhibit 4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2001).
10.1#	1996 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as amended (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-96317), filed February 7, 2000, as amended).
10.2#	Form of Stock Option Agreement for the 1996 Stock Option Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-96317), filed February 7, 2000, as amended).
10.3#	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement for the 1996 Stock Option Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-96317), filed February 7, 2000, as amended).
10.4#	2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan of the Company, as amended (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2002).
10.5#	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement for the 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-96317), filed February 7, 2000, as amended).
10.6#	2001 Broad-Based Stock Option Plan of the Company (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2001).
10.7#	Form of Option Grant Certificate for the 2001 Broad-Based Stock Option Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2001).

Edgar Filing: LUMINEX CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.8+ Development and Supply Agreement dated as of March 19, 1999 by and between the Company and Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-96317), filed February 7, 2000, as amended).
- 10.9+ Amendment to Development and Supply Agreement dated as of January 13, 2000 by and between the Company and Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-96317), filed February 7, 2000, as amended).
- 10.10 Second Amendment to Development and Supply Agreement dated as of June 12, 2000 by and between the Company and Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000).

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
10.11#	Form of Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Company and each of Randel S. Marfin and Oliver H. Meek (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002).
10.12#	Form of Indemnification Agreement dated May 22, 2002 between the Company and each of the directors and officers of the Company (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002).
10.13	Lease Agreement between Aetna Life Insurance Company, as Landlord, and Luminex Corporation, as Tenant, dated October 19, 2001 (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2001).
10.14	First Amendment to Lease Agreement between Aetna Life Insurance Company, as Landlord, and Luminex Corporation as Tenant, dated July 25, 2002. (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002).
10.15	Lease Amendment between McNeil 4 & 5 Investors, LP, as Landlord, and Luminex Corporation, as Tenant, dated January 27, 2003 (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002).
10.16	Sublease Agreement dated as of May 2, 2002 by and between the Company and American Innovations, Ltd., for facilities situated at 12112 Technology Boulevard, Austin, Texas 78727 (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002).
10.17#	Employment Agreement, effective as of October 1, 2003, by and between Luminex Corporation and Harriss T. Currie (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).
10.18#	Employment Agreement effective as of October 1, 2003, by and between Luminex Corporation and David S. Reiter (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).
10.19#	Employment Agreement effective as of May 15, 2004, by and between Luminex Corporation and Patrick J. Balthrop (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 17, 2004).
10.20#	Employment Agreement effective as of October 25, 2004, by and between Luminex Corporation and Gregory J. Gosch (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 22, 2004).
10.21#	Employment Agreement effective as of May 23, 2005, by and between Luminex Corporation and Russell W. Bradley (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 23, 2005).

- 10.22# Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for the 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan and 2001 Broad-Based Stock Option Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004).
- 10.23# Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement dated as of May 15, 2004, by and between Luminex Corporation and Patrick J. Balthrop (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 17, 2004).
- 10.24# 2006 Executive Officer Compensation Summary (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006).
- 10.25# Form of Amendment to Executive Employment Agreements (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006).
- 10.26# Luminex Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (Previously filed as Exhibit A to the Company's Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 25, 2006).

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
10.27#	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 25, 2006).
10.28#	Form of Restricted Share Award Agreement for Officers & Employees for the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 25, 2006).
10.29#	Form of Restricted Share Award Agreement for Directors for the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 25, 2006).
10.30#	Luminex Corporation 2006 Management Stock Purchase Plan (Previously filed as Exhibit B to the Company's Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 25, 2006).
10.31	Credit Agreement, dated March 1, 2007, by and between the Luminex Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 1, 2007).
10.32#	Employment Agreement effective as of February 7, 2007, by and between Luminex Corporation and John C. Carrano (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006).
10.33#	Employment Agreement effective as of March 1, 2007, by and between Luminex Corporation, Tm Bioscience and Jeremy Bridge-Cook (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006).
10.34#	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006).
10.35#	Amendment to Restricted Stock Agreement, dated as of March 25, 2007, by and between Luminex Corporation and Patrick J. Balthrop, Sr. (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007).
10.36#	Amendment to Luminex Corporation 2000 Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan dated as of May 24, 2007 (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007).
10.37#	Amendment to Luminex Corporation 2001 Broad-Based Stock Option Plan dated as of May 24, 2007 (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007).

Edgar Filing: LUMINEX CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.38# Amendment to Luminex Corporation 2006 Management Stock Purchase Plan dated as of May 24, 2007 (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007).
- 10.39# Amendment to Luminex Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan dated as of May 24, 2007 (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007).
- 10.40# Form of Amendments to Equity Award Agreements (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007).
- 10.41# Employment Agreement, dated as of July 16, 2007, by and between Luminex Corporation and Douglas C. Bryant (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 18, 2007).
- 10.42# Employment Agreement, effective as of September 30, 2007, by and between Luminex Corporation and James W. Jacobson (Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 19, 2007).
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Company.

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24.1	Power of Attorney (incorporated in the signature page of this report).
31.1	Certification by CEO pursuant to Securities and Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d 14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification by CFO pursuant to Securities and Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d 14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification by CEO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification by CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
#	Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
+	Confidential treatment requested for certain portions of this Exhibit pursuant to Rule 406 promulgated under the Securities Act and Rule 24b-2 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act, which portions are omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 14, 2008.

LUMINEX CORPORATION

By: /s/ Patrick J. Balthrop
Patrick J. Balthrop
President and Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENT, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Patrick J. Balthrop and Harriss T. Currie, each his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or their substitutes or substitute, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

S-1

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES	TITLE	DATE
/s/ Patrick J. Balthrop Patrick J. Balthrop	President and Chief Executive Officer, Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 14, 2008
/s/ Harriss T. Currie Harriss T. Currie	Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, Finance and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 14, 2008
/s/ Robert J. Cresci Robert J. Cresci	Director	March 14, 2008
/s/ Thomas W. Erickson Thomas W. Erickson	Director	March 14, 2008
/s/ Fred C. Goad, Jr. Fred C. Goad, Jr.	Director	March 14, 2008
/s/ Jay B. Johnston Jay B. Johnston	Director	March 14, 2008
/s/ Jim D. Kever Jim D. Kever	Director	March 14, 2008
/s/ G. Walter Loewenbaum II G. Walter Loewenbaum II	Chairman of the Board of Directors Director	March 14, 2008
/s/ Kevin M. McNamara Kevin M. McNamara	Director	March 14, 2008
/s/ J. Stark Thompson J. Stark Thompson	Director	March 14, 2008
/s/ Gerard Vaillant Gerard Vaillant	Director	March 14, 2008

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certification by CEO pursuant to Securities and Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d 14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification by CFO pursuant to Securities and Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d 14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification by CEO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification by CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.