FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC Form 10-Q June 07, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Ma	ark One)
X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2012
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to
	Commission file number 1-4372

FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio 34-0863886 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

Terminal Tower 50 Public Square

Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
216-621-6060

Registrant s telephone number, including area code

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Date File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding, including unvested restricted stock, of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class A Common Stock, \$.33 1/3 par value Class B Common Stock, \$.33 1/3 par value

Outstanding at May 31, 2012 150,848,084 shares 20,899,371 shares

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Table of Contents

DADEL ED	ANCHAL INFORMATION	Page
PART I. FIN	ANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets April 30, 2012 and January 31, 2012	2
	Consolidated Statements of Operations Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 and 2011	3
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 and 2011	4
	Consolidated Statements of Equity Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 and Year Ended January 31, 2012	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 and 2011	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	46
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	51
PART II. O	CHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	51
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	51
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	52
<u>Signatur</u>	<u>es</u>	53
Certifics	tions	

i

Equity

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

	April 30, 2012		
	(Unaudited)	Jar ousana	nuary 31, 2012
Assets	(/
Real Estate			
Completed rental properties	\$ 7,261,572	\$	7,183,448
Projects under construction and development	2,423,580		2,328,979
Land held for development and sale	80,185		77,298
	,		,
Total Real Estate	9,765,337		9,589,725
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,561,063)		(1,526,503)
	() - , ,		()= = ;
Real Estate, net (variable interest entities \$2,203.6 million and \$2,103.8 million, respectively)	8,204,274		8,063,222
Cash and equivalents (variable interest entities \$23.2 million and \$17.8 million, respectively)	287,678		217,486
Restricted cash and escrowed funds (variable interest entities \$ 190.4 million and \$348.1 million,	·		
respectively)	385,116		542,566
Notes and accounts receivable, net	375,974		406,244
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities	619,510		609,079
Other assets (variable interest entities \$132.0 million and \$130.8 million, respectively)	600,570		608,541
Land held for divestiture	58,114		57,145
	,		
Total Assets	\$ 10,531,236	\$	10,504,283
2011.12000	ψ 10 ,001,2 00	Ψ	10,00 1,200
Liabilities and Equity			
Liabilities			
Mortgage debt and notes payable, nonrecourse (variable interest entities \$1,144.9 million and \$1,122.0			
million, respectively)	\$ 5,671,705	\$	5,640,439
Bank revolving credit facility			
Senior and subordinated debt (variable interest entities \$29.0 million as of each period)	1,038,610		1,038,529
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (variable interest entities \$187.3 million and			
\$171.0 million, respectively)	1,068,924		1,112,462
Cash distributions and losses in excess of investments in unconsolidated entities	278,756		279,708
Deferred income taxes	448,520		433,040
Mortgage debt and notes payable, nonrecourse of land held for divestiture	18,171		19,084
Total Liabilities	8,524,686		8,523,262
	, ,		220.140
Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest	230,438		229,149
Commitments and Contingencies			

Shareholders Equity		
Preferred stock 7.0% Series A cumulative perpetual convertible, without par value, \$50 liquidation		
preference; 6,400,000 shares authorized; 4,399,998 shares issued and outstanding	220,000	220,000
Preferred stock without par value; 13,600,000 shares authorized; no shares issued		
Common stock \$.33 1/3 par value		
Class A, 371,000,000 shares authorized, 148,687,783 and 148,336,178 shares issued and 148,501,425		
and 148,227,849 shares outstanding, respectively	49,563	49,445
Class B, convertible, 56,000,000 shares authorized, 20,911,371 and 20,934,335 shares issued and		
outstanding, respectively; 26,257,961 issuable	6,970	6,978
Total common stock	56,533	56,423
Additional paid-in capital	742,283	740,988
Retained earnings	590,891	571,989
Less treasury stock, at cost; 186,358 and 108,329 Class A shares, respectively	(3,043)	(1,874)
Shareholders equity before accumulated other comprehensive loss	1,606,664	1,587,526
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(114,339)	(120,460)
r	,,	(2, 22,
Total Shareholders Equity	1,492,325	1,467,066
	202 505	204.006
Noncontrolling interest	283,787	284,806
Total Equity	1,776,112	1,751,872
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 10,531,236	\$ 10,504,283

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	2012				
	(in thousands, excep				
Revenues from real estate operations	\$ 296,652	\$ 304,180			
Expenses					
Operating expenses	166,851	160,689			
Depreciation and amortization	52,833	55,853			
Impairment of real estate	1,381	4,835			
	221,065	221,377			
Interest expense	(58,516)	(66,181)			
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs	(2,869)	(2,882)			
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(719)	(296)			
Interest and other income	10,679	15,507			
Net gain on disposition of partial interests in rental properties	,	9,561			
Earnings before income taxes	24,162	38,512			
Income tax expense					
Current	1,129	17,633			
Deferred	8,444	116			
	9,573	17,749			
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities	3,773	19,994			
	·				
Earnings from continuing operations	18,362	40,757			
Discontinued operations, net of tax:					
Operating earnings from rental properties	41	997			
Gain on disposition of rental properties	5,370	5,719			
	5,411	6,716			
Net earnings	23,773	47,473			
Noncontrolling interests					
(Earnings) loss from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(48)	598			
Earnings from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(973)	(1,728)			
	(1,021)	(1,130)			
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.	22,752	46,343			
Preferred dividends	(3,850)	(3,850)			

Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	\$	18,902	\$ 42,493
Basic earnings per common share			
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	\$	0.08	\$ 0.22
Earnings from discontinued operations attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders		0.03	0.03
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	\$	0.11	\$ 0.25
v i /	·		
Diluted earnings per common share			
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	\$	0.08	\$ 0.21
Earnings from discontinued operations attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders		0.03	0.02
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	\$	0.11	\$ 0.23

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Unaudited)

	Thi	ree Months I 2012	Ended	April 30, 2011
		(in thou	ısands	:)
Net earnings	\$	23,773	\$	47,473
		ŕ		
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Unrealized net gains (losses) on investment securities (net of tax of \$2 and \$(8), respectively)		(5)		14
Foreign currency translation adjustments (net of tax of \$(89) and \$(128), respectively)		142		202
Unrealized net gains (losses) on interest rate derivative contracts (net of tax of \$(3,786) and \$271, respectively)		5,988		(554)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		6,125		(338)
		,		,
Comprehensive income		29,898		47,135
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest		(1,025)		(1,067)
·				,
Total comprehensive income attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.	\$	28,873	\$	46,068

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Equity

(Unaudited)

	Preferred Stock Commo		Common	n Stock Additional				Accumulated Other					
	Se	Series A		ss A Class		ss B	Paid-In	Paid-In Retained		Treasury StockComprehensNoncontrolling (Loss)		g	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital (in thousar	Earnings ads)	Shares	Amount	Income	Interest	Total
Balances at				+									
January 31, 2011	4,400	\$ 220,000	144,252	\$ 48,084	21,219	\$ 7,073	\$ 689,004	\$ 673,875	21	\$ (259)	\$ (94,429)	\$ 330,912	\$ 1,874,260
Net earnings, net of \$4,567													
attributable to redeemable													
noncontrolling								(96 196)				90,485	2 000
interest Other								(86,486)				90,483	3,999
comprehensive													
loss, net of tax											(26,031)	1,050	(24,981)
Purchase of											(-, ,	,	())
treasury stock									90	(1,670)			(1,670)
Conversion of													
Class B to Class A													
shares			284	95	(284)	(95)							
Issuance of Class													
A shares in													
exchange for													
Convertible Senior Notes due 2016			3,444	1,148			47,594						48,742
Restricted stock													
vested			343	114			(114)						
Exercise of stock			10				106		(2)	~~			105
options			13	4			136		(3)	55			195
Preferred stock dividends								(15,400)					(15,400)
Stock-based								(13,400)					(13,400)
compensation							12,585						12,585
Excess income tax													
deficiency from													
stock-based							(010)						(0.1.0)
compensation Redeemable							(812)						(812)
noncontrolling													
interest adjustment							(6,887)						(6,887)
Acquisition of							(0,007)						(0,007)
partners													
noncontrolling													
interest in													
consolidated													
subsidiaries							(518)					(20,435)	(20,953)
Contributions													
from													
noncontrolling													
interests												6,087	6,087
Distributions to													
noncontrolling												(90 (44)	(00 (14)
interests												(89,644)	(89,644)

Change to equity method of accounting for													
subsidiaries Other changes in noncontrolling												(33,598)	(33,598)
interests												(51)	(51)
Balances at		* 22 0 000	4.40.004		20.025	A < 0.50	4.7.40.000	A 554 000	100	* (4.0 = 1)	h (120 160)	***	
January 31, 2012 Net earnings, net	4,400	\$ 220,000	148,336	\$ 49,445	20,935	\$ 6,978	\$ 740,988	\$ 571,989	108	\$ (1,8/4)	\$ (120,460)	\$ 284,806	\$ 1,751,872
of \$1,691 attributable to redeemable													
noncontrolling interest								22,752				2,712	25,464
Other comprehensive								,,,,				,	,
income, net of tax Purchase of											6,121	4	6,125
treasury stock Conversion of									79	(1,182)			(1,182)
Class B to Class A			22	0	(22)	(0)							
shares Restricted stock			23	8	(23)	(8)							
vested Exercise of stock			329	110			(110)						
options Preferred stock							(7)		(1)	13			6
dividends Stock-based								(3,850)					(3,850)
compensation							4,531						4,531
Excess income tax deficiency from stock-based													
compensation Redeemable							(139)						(139)
noncontrolling interest adjustment							(2,980)						(2,980)
Contributions from													
noncontrolling interests												64	64
Distributions to noncontrolling													
interests Change to equity												(2,694)	(2,694)
method of accounting for													
subsidiary Other changes in												(724)	(724)
noncontrolling interests												(381)	(381)
Balances at April 30, 2012	4,400	\$ 220,000	148,688	\$ 49,563	20,912	\$ 6,970	\$ 742,283	\$ 590,891	186	\$ (3,043)	\$ (114,339)	\$ 283,787	\$ 1,776,112

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	Three N	Ionths F	Ended	April 30,
	2012			2011
		(in thou	sands	;)
Net earnings	\$ 23	3,773	\$	47,473
Depreciation and amortization	52	,833		55,853
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs	2	,869		2,882
Impairment of real estate	1	,381		4,835
Write-offs of abandoned development projects		447		157
Loss on extinguishment of debt		719		296
Net gain on disposition of partial interests in rental properties				(9,561)
Deferred income tax expense	8	,444		116
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities		3,773)		(19,994)
Stock-based compensation expense		,528		2,811
Amortization and mark-to-market adjustments of derivative instruments		3,133)		(153)
Non-cash interest expense related to Senior Notes		81		557
Cash distributions from operations of unconsolidated entities	16	,014		9,459
Discontinued operations:		,		2,102
Depreciation and amortization				2,538
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs				567
Deferred income tax expense	3	,019		3,921
Gain on disposition of rental properties		3,879)		(10,431)
Cost of sales of land included in projects under construction and development and completed rental properties	,	2,825		1,535
Increase in land held for development and sale		,337)		(9,957)
Decrease (increase) in notes and accounts receivable		2,527		(10,326)
Decrease (increase) in other assets		,098		(9,794)
Increase in restricted cash and escrowed funds used for operating purposes		,392)		(5,754)
Decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		2,338)		(14,802)
Decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and onler nationales	(42	,550)		(14,002)
Net cash provided by operating activities	76	,706		47,446
Cash Flows from Investing Activities				
Capital expenditures	(196	5,354)		(167,698)
Payment of lease procurement costs		2,049)		(2,932)
Decrease (increase) in other assets		,662		(13,082)
Decrease in restricted cash and escrowed funds used for investing purposes		,794		87,233
Proceeds from disposition of full or partial interests in rental properties		3,642		193,338
(Increase) decrease in investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities		,645)		7,563
(increase) decrease in investments in and advances to unconsonated counter	(=1	,010)		7,505
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(47	,950)		104,422
Cash Flows from Financing Activities				
Proceeds from nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable	210	,957		101,444
Principal payments on nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable		,733)		(147,313)
Borrowings on bank revolving credit facility		,733) 5,000		250,075
Payments on bank revolving credit facility		-		(305,800)
Payment of transaction costs related to exchange of Convertible Senior Notes due 2016 for Class A common	(75	,000)		(505,600)
stock				(2,200)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(1	,132)		(8,334)
Change in restricted cash and escrowed funds and book overdrafts	(4	,134)		(10,590)
Change in restricted cash and escribed funds and book overdraits				(10,390)

Purchase of treasury stock	(1,182)	(1,339)
Exercise of stock options	6	169
Dividends paid to preferred shareholders	(3,850)	(3,850)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	64	38
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(2,694)	(12,899)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	41,436	(140,599)
Net increase in cash and equivalents	70,192	11,269
Cash and equivalents at beginning of period	217,486	193,372
Cash and equivalents at end of period	\$ 287,678	\$ 204,641

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

Supplemental Non-Cash Disclosures:

The following table represents a summary of non-cash transactions primarily as a result of changes in consolidation methods due to the occurrence of triggering events including, but not limited to, the dispositions of partial interests of rental properties. Other non-cash transactions included in the table include acquisitions of partners noncontrolling interests, dispositions of properties whereby the nonrecourse mortgage debt is assumed by the buyer, change in construction payables, reclassification prior to sale of outlot land parcels from projects under construction and development or completed rental properties to land held for sale and the capitalization of stock-based compensation granted to employees directly involved with the development and construction of real estate.

	Three Months Ended April 2012 2011		
	(in tho	usands)	
Operating Activities			
Increase in land held for development and sale	\$ (1,350)	\$ (5,690)	
Decrease in notes and accounts receivable	2,535	29,345	
Decrease in other assets	1,869	62,234	
Decrease in restricted cash and escrowed funds	49	9,127	
Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses	7,533	20,645	
Total effect on operating activities	\$ 10,636	\$ 115,661	
Investing Activities	¢ (14.712)	\$ (14.628)	
Increase in projects under construction and development	\$ (14,713)	. ())	
Decrease in completed rental properties Increase in investments in and advances to affiliates	26,995	469,948	
Total effect on investing activities	(809) \$ 11,473	(42,659) \$ 412,661	
Financing Activities			
Decrease in nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable	\$ (22,871)	\$ (532,841)	
(Decrease) increase in additional paid-in capital	(1,116)	4,054	
Increase in redeemable noncontrolling interest	2,980	619	
Decrease in noncontrolling interest	(1,102)	(154)	
Total effect on financing activities	\$ (22,109)	\$ (528,322)	

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

A. Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2012. The results of interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or any subsequent period. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows at the dates and for the periods presented have been included.

Revision of Prior Period Financial Statements

Historically, the Company wrote-off specific development projects when management determined it was probable the specific project would not be developed. In addition, the Company recorded an allowance for estimated project development write-offs for projects under development that had not yet been abandoned (the Allowance). In connection with the preparation of its financial statements for the year ended January 31, 2012, the Company reconsidered the historical accounting policy related to the Allowance and determined that recording the Allowance was not in accordance with ASC 970-360-40 (Real Estate Abandonments) and concluded that the reserve should be removed (Allowance Revision). The Company assessed the materiality of this error on prior periods financial statements in accordance with ASC 250 (SEC s Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99, Materiality), and concluded that the error was not material to any prior annual or interim periods, but the cumulative adjustment necessary to remove the Allowance would be material if the correction was recorded during the year ended January 31, 2012. Accordingly, in accordance with ASC 250 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements), the financial statements for the three months ended April 30, 2011, which are presented herein, have been revised. The following are selected line items from our financial statements illustrating the effect of the error correction thereon:

	As Reported		
	(1)	Adjustment	As Revised
Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended April 30, 2011	(in thousar	nds, except per s	hare data)
Operating expenses	\$ 158,689	\$ 2,000	\$ 160,689
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	892	(776)	116
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	41,981	(1,224)	40,757
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common			
shareholders	43,717	(1,224)	42,493
Basic earnings (loss) per common share from continuing operations			
attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	0.23	(0.01)	0.22
Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations attributable to			
Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	0.21		0.21
Basic net earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Forest City			
Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	0.26	(0.01)	0.25
Diluted net earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Forest City			
Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	0.24	(0.01)	0.23

(1) Adjusted to reflect the impact of discontinued operations (see Note L). **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes. Some of the critical estimates made by the Company include, but are not limited to, determination of the primary beneficiary of variable interest entities (VIEs), estimates of useful lives for long-lived assets, reserves for collection on accounts and notes receivable and other investments, impairment of real estate and other-than-temporary impairments on its equity method investments. As a result of the nature of estimates made by the Company, actual results could differ.

Reclassification

Certain prior year amounts in the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation.

8

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

A. Accounting Policies (continued)

Variable Interest Entities

The Company s VIEs consist of joint ventures that are engaged, directly or indirectly, in the ownership, development and management of office buildings, regional malls, specialty retail centers, apartment communities, military housing, a hotel, land development and The Nets, a member of the National Basketball Association (NBA). As of April 30, 2012, the Company determined that it was the primary beneficiary of 32 VIEs representing 21 properties (16 VIEs representing 7 properties in the Residential Group, 14 VIEs representing 12 properties in the Commercial Group and 2 VIEs/properties in the Land Development Group). The creditors of the consolidated VIEs do not have recourse to the Company s general credit. As of April 30, 2012, the Company held variable interests in 60 VIEs for which it is not the primary beneficiary. The maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with these unconsolidated VIEs is limited to the Company s investments in those VIEs totaling approximately \$67,000,000 at April 30, 2012. In addition, the Company consolidates a VIE which holds collateralized borrowings of \$29,000,000 as of April 30, 2012.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table summarizes the components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (accumulated OCI):

	April 30, 2012 January 31, 201		
	(in the	ousands))
Unrealized losses on securities	\$ 452	\$	445
Unrealized losses on foreign currency translation	1,327		1,558
Unrealized losses on interest rate contracts (1)	185,150		194,928
	186,929		196,931
Noncontrolling interest and income tax benefit	(72,590)		(76,471)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	\$ 114,339	\$	120,460

(1) Included in the amounts as of April 30 and January 31, 2012 are \$136,517 and \$143,303, respectively, of unrealized losses on an interest rate swap associated with *New York Times*, an office building in Manhattan, New York, on its nonrecourse mortgage debt with a notional amount of \$640,000. This swap effectively fixes the mortgage at an all-in lender interest rate of 6.40% (5.50% swap rate plus 0.90% lender spread) and expires in September 2017.

Noncontrolling Interest

Interests held by partners in consolidated entities are reflected in noncontrolling interest, which represents the noncontrolling interests—share of the underlying net assets of the Company—s consolidated subsidiaries. Noncontrolling interest that is not redeemable is reported in the equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Noncontrolling interests where the Company may be required to repurchase the noncontrolling interest at fair value under a put option or other contractual redemption requirement are reported in the mezzanine section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets between liabilities and equity, as redeemable noncontrolling interest. The Company adjusts the redeemable noncontrolling interest to redemption value (which approximates fair value) at each balance sheet date with changes recognized as an adjustment to additional paid-in capital (see Note F Fair Value Measurements).

New Accounting Guidance

The following accounting pronouncements were adopted during the three months ended April 30, 2012:

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an amendment to the accounting guidance on testing goodwill for impairment. This guidance provides an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If after performing a qualitative assessment, an entity determines that it is not more likely than not that the fair market value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step goodwill impairment test is not necessary. If an entity concludes otherwise, it is then required to perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. This guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance on February 1, 2012 did not impact the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

A. Accounting Policies (continued)

In June 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting guidance for the presentation of comprehensive income. This guidance provides an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance on February 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued amendments to the accounting guidance on fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. This guidance results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. As a result, this guidance changes the wording used to describe many of the existing requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, but for many requirements the intent is not to change the existing application. Some of the guidance clarifies the FASB s intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements or may change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The required disclosures upon adoption of this guidance on February 1, 2012 are included in the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the guidance on accounting for transfers and servicing to improve the accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The guidance specifies when an entity may or may not recognize a sale upon the transfer of financial assets subject to repurchase agreements, based upon whether the entity has maintained effective control over the transferred financial assets. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance on February 1, 2012 did not impact the Company s consolidated financial statements.

The following new accounting pronouncements will be adopted on the respective required effective dates:

In December 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting guidance on derecognition of in substance real estate. This guidance specifies that when a parent company (reporting entity) ceases to have a controlling financial interest (as described in the accounting guidance on Consolidation) in a subsidiary that is in substance real estate as a result of a default on the subsidiary s nonrecourse debt, the reporting entity should apply the guidance on property, plant and equipment to determine whether it should derecognize the in substance real estate. Generally, a reporting entity would not satisfy the requirements to derecognize the in substance real estate before the legal transfer of the real estate to the lender and the extinguishment of the related nonrecourse indebtedness. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after June 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. As this guidance is transactional based, the Company cannot estimate the impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting guidance that requires entities to disclose both gross and net information on financial instruments and transactions eligible for offset on the balance sheets and financial instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

B. Mortgage Debt and Notes Payable, Nonrecourse

The following table summarizes the composition of mortgage debt and notes payable, nonrecourse maturities including scheduled amortization and balloon payments as of April 30, 2012:

Fiscal Years Ending January 31,	Total Maturities	Scheduled Amortization (in thousands)	Scheduled Balloon Payments
2013	\$ 1,207,169	\$ 33,620	\$ 1,173,549
2014	762,901	40,640	722,261
2015	319,939	33,220	286,719
2016	346,398	30,631	315,767
2017	420,636	23,749	396,887
Thereafter	2,632,833		
Total	\$ 5,689,876		

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

C. Bank Revolving Credit Facility

The Company has a Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and a Third Amended and Restated Guaranty of Payment of Debt (collectively, the Credit Facility) which provides total available borrowings of \$450,000,000. The Credit Facility matures on March 30, 2014 and provides for one, 12-month extension option, subject to certain conditions. Borrowings bear interest at LIBOR, subject to a floor of 100 basis points, plus 3.75%. Up to \$100,000,000 of the available borrowings may be used, in the aggregate, for letters of credit and/or surety bonds. The Credit Facility has a number of restrictive covenants, including a prohibition on certain consolidations and mergers, limitations on the amount of debt, guarantees and property liens that the Company may incur and restrictions on the pledging of ownership interests in subsidiaries. Additionally, the Credit Facility contains certain development limitations and financial covenants, including the maintenance of minimum liquidity, certain debt service and cash flow coverage ratios, and specified levels of shareholders equity (all as specified in the Credit Facility). At April 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all of these financial covenants.

The Company also has a First Amended Pledge Agreement (Pledge Agreement) with the banks party to the Credit Facility. The Pledge Agreement secures the Company sobligations under the Credit Facility by granting a security interest to the bank group in its right, title and interest as a member, partner, shareholder or other equity holder of certain direct subsidiaries, including, but not limited to, its right to receive profits, proceeds, accounts, income, dividends, distributions or return of capital from such subsidiaries, to the extent the granting of such security interest would not result in a default under project level financing or the organizational documents of such subsidiary.

The following table summarizes the available credit on the Credit Facility:

	April 30, 2012 January 31, 201			
	(in thousands)			
Maximum borrowings	\$ 450,000	\$	450,000	
Less outstanding balances:				
Borrowings				
Letters of credit	66,258		69,389	
Surety bonds				
Available credit	\$ 383,742	\$	380,611	

D. Senior and Subordinated Debt

The following table summarizes the Company s senior and subordinated debt:

	April 30, 2012 January 31, 201 (in thousands)		•	
Senior Notes:				
3.625% Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes due 2014, net of				
discount	\$	199,213	\$	199,132
7.625% Senior Notes due 2015		178,253		178,253
5.000% Convertible Senior Notes due 2016		50,000		50,000
6.500% Senior Notes due 2017		132,144		132,144
4.250% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018		350,000		350,000

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

7.375% Senior Notes due 2034	100,000	100,000
Total Senior Notes	1,009,610	1,009,529
Subordinated Debt:		
Subordinate Tax Revenue Bonds due 2013	29,000	29,000
Total Senior and Subordinated Debt	\$ 1,038,610	\$ 1,038,529

All of the Company s senior notes are unsecured senior obligations and rank equally with all existing and future unsecured indebtedness; however, they are effectively subordinated to all existing and future secured indebtedness and other liabilities of the Company s subsidiaries to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such other debt, including the Credit Facility. The indentures governing the senior notes contain covenants providing, among other things, limitations on incurring additional debt and payment of dividends. At April 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with these financial covenants.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

E. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned decreases in earnings and cash flows that may be caused by interest rate volatility. The Company s strategy includes the use of interest rate swaps and option contracts that have indices related to the pricing of specific balance sheet liabilities. The Company enters into interest rate swaps to convert certain floating-rate debt to fixed-rate long-term debt, and vice-versa, depending on market conditions, or forward starting swaps to hedge the changes in benchmark interest rates on forecasted financings. The Company enters into interest rate swap agreements for hedging purposes for periods that are generally one to ten years. Option products utilized include interest rate caps, floors and Treasury options. The use of these option products is consistent with the Company s risk management objective to reduce or eliminate exposure to variability in future cash flows primarily attributable to changes in benchmark rates relating to forecasted financings, and the variability in cash flows attributable to increases relating to interest payments on its floating-rate debt. The caps and floors have typical durations ranging from one to three years while the Treasury options are for periods of five to ten years. The Company does not have any Treasury options outstanding at April 30, 2012.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company s objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage exposure to interest rate movements. The Company primarily uses interest rate caps and swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an upfront premium. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated OCI and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. The Company did not incur any charges for ineffectiveness related to fully consolidated cash flow hedges during the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011. As of April 30, 2012, the Company expects that within the next twelve months it will reclassify amounts recorded in accumulated OCI into earnings as an increase in interest expense of approximately \$27,751,000, net of tax. However, the actual amount reclassified could vary due to future changes in fair value of these derivatives.

Fair Value Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

From time to time, the Company and/or certain of its joint ventures (the Joint Ventures) enter into a total rate of return swap (TRS) on various tax-exempt fixed-rate borrowings generally held by the Company and/or within the Joint Ventures. The TRS convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower the cost of capital. In exchange for a fixed rate, the TRS require the Company and/or the Joint Ventures pay a variable rate, generally equivalent to the SIFMA rate plus a spread. At April 30, 2012, the SIFMA rate was 0.25%. Additionally, the Company and/or the Joint Ventures have guaranteed the fair value of the underlying borrowing. Any fluctuation in the value of the TRS would be offset by the fluctuation in the value of the underlying borrowing, resulting in minimal financial impact to the Company and/or the Joint Ventures. At April 30, 2012, the aggregate notional amount of TRS that are designated as fair value hedging instruments is \$271,660,000. The underlying TRS borrowings are subject to a fair value adjustment (see Note F Fair Value Measurements).

Nondesignated Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company has entered into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain interest rate risk, even though the contracts do not qualify for or the Company has elected not to apply hedge accounting. In situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued, or not elected, and the derivative remains outstanding, the Company records the derivative at its fair value and recognizes changes in the fair value in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

12

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

E. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (continued)

The Company enters into forward swaps to protect itself against fluctuations in the swap rate at terms ranging between five to ten years associated with forecasted fixed rate borrowings. At the time the Company secures and locks an interest rate on an anticipated financing, it intends to simultaneously terminate the forward swap associated with that financing. At April 30, 2012, the Company had no forward swaps outstanding. The Company terminated a forward swap with a notional amount of \$62,800,000 on February 1, 2011. This forward swap was not designated as a cash flow hedge. As such, the change in fair value of this swap was marked to market through earnings on a quarterly basis. Related to this forward swap, the Company recorded \$229,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2011 as a reduction of interest expense.

In instances where the Company enters into separate derivative instruments effectively hedging the same debt for consecutive annual periods, the amount of notional is excluded from the following disclosure in an effort to provide information that enables the financial statement user to understand the Company s volume of derivative activity. The following table presents the fair values and location in the Consolidated Balance Sheets of all derivative instruments.

	Fair Value of Derivative Instruments April 30, 2012					
		erivatives Other Assets) Fair Value	Liability D (included in Acc and Accrued Current Notional	counts Payable		
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments		(in in	ousanas)			
Interest rate caps	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Interest rate swap agreements			898,595	141,145		
TRS	28,100	791	243,560	10,116		
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	\$ 28,100	\$ 791	\$ 1,142,155	\$ 151,261		
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments						
Interest rate caps	\$ 434,896	\$ 17	\$	\$		
Interest rate swap agreements	19,521	894				
TRS	140,800	12,709	30,360	14,239		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	\$ 595,217	\$ 13,620	\$ 30,360	\$ 14,239		
	January 31, 2012 (in thousands)					
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments						
Interest rate caps	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Interest rate swap agreements			897,193	148,699		

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

TRS	27,197	774		243,560	9,954
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	\$ 27,197	\$ 774	\$ 1	,140,753	\$ 158,653
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments					
Interest rate caps	\$ 435,201	\$ 13	\$		\$
Interest rate swap agreements	19,521	1,083			
TRS	141,703	9,534		30,360	15,367
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	\$ 596,425	\$ 10,630	\$	30,360	\$ 15,367

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

E. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (continued)

The following tables presents the impact of gains and losses related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges included in the accumulated OCI section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets and in equity in loss of unconsolidated entities and interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

Gain (Loss) Reclassified from

Accumulated OCI Gain (Loss) **Derivatives Designated as** Recognized **Location on Consolidated** in OCI **Statements** Effective Ineffective **Cash Flow Hedging Instruments** (Effective Portion) of Operations Amount Amount (in thousands) Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 Interest rate caps, interest rate swaps and \$ 8,725 Treasury options Interest expense \$ (967) \$ Interest rate caps, interest rate swaps and Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities 2 Treasury options (88)2 \$ 8,725 \$ (1,055) \$ Total Three Months Ended April 30, 2011 Interest rate caps, interest rate swaps and \$ (2,039) Treasury options \$ (691) Interest expense \$ Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities Treasury options (88)**Total** \$ (2,039) (779)\$

The following table presents the impact of gains and losses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations related to derivative instruments:

Net Gain (Loss) Recognized Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 2011 (in thousands)

Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedging Instruments

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

TRS (1)	\$ (145)	\$ 1,482
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		
Interest rate caps and interest rate swaps	\$ (205)	\$ (196)
TRS	4,303	1,040
Total	\$ 4,098	\$ 844

(1) The net gain (loss) recognized in interest expense from the change in fair value of the underlying TRS borrowings was \$145 and \$(1,482) for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, offsetting the gain (loss) recognized on the TRS (see Note F Fair Value Measurements).

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

E. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (continued)

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The principal credit risk to the Company through its interest rate risk management strategy is the potential inability of the financial institution from which the derivative financial instruments were purchased to cover its obligations. If a counterparty fails to fulfill its obligation under a derivative contract, the Company s risk of loss approximates the fair value of the derivative. To mitigate this exposure, the Company generally purchases its derivative financial instruments from the financial institution that issues the related debt, from financial institutions with which the Company has other lending relationships, or from financial institutions with a minimum credit rating of AA at the time the Company enters into the transaction.

The Company has agreements with its derivative counterparties that contain a provision under which the derivative counterparty could terminate the derivative obligations if the Company defaults on its obligations under the Credit Facility and designated conditions are fulfilled. In instances where the Company subsidiaries have derivative obligations that are secured by a mortgage, the derivative obligations could be terminated if the indebtedness between the two parties is terminated, either by loan payoff or default of the indebtedness. In addition, the Company has certain derivative contracts which provide that if the Company such credit rating falls below certain levels, it may trigger additional collateral to be posted with the counterparty up to the full amount of the liability position of the derivative contracts. Also, certain subsidiaries have agreements that contain provisions whereby the subsidiaries must maintain certain minimum financial ratios.

As of April 30, 2012, the aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments in a liability position, prior to the adjustment for nonperformance risk of \$14,517,000, is \$180,017,000. The Company had posted collateral consisting primarily of cash and notes receivable of \$81,779,000 related to all derivative instruments. If all credit risk contingent features underlying these agreements had been triggered on April 30, 2012, the Company would have been required to post collateral of the full amount of the liability position.

F. Fair Value Measurements

The Company s financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements are interest rate caps, interest rate swap agreements, TRS and borrowings subject to TRS (see Note E Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities). The Company s impairment of real estate and unconsolidated entities are also subject to fair value measurements (see Note K - Impairment of Real Estate, Impairment of Unconsolidated Entities and Write-Off of Abandoned Development Projects and Note L Discontinued Operations and Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties).

Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The Company s financial assets consist of interest rate caps, interest rate swap agreements and TRS with positive fair values that are included in other assets. The Company s financial liabilities consists of interest rate swap agreements and TRS with negative fair values that are included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities and borrowings subject to TRS included in mortgage debt and notes payable, nonrecourse. The Company records the redeemable noncontrolling interest related to Brooklyn Arena, LLC at redemption value, which approximates fair value.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

F. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The following table presents information about the Company s financial assets and liabilities and redeemable noncontrolling interest that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized to determine such fair value.

	XXXX,XX	XX	XXX,XX	X	XXXX,XX	X	XXX,XX
		F	air Value M April 30				
	Level 1	L	evel 2 (in thou		Level 3		Total
Interest rate caps	\$	\$	17	\$		\$	17
Interest rate swap agreements (positive fair value)			894				894
Interest rate swap agreements (negative fair value)			(4,628)		(136,517)		(141,145)
TRS (positive fair value)					13,500		13,500
TRS (negative fair value)					(24,355)		(24,355)
Fair value adjustment to the borrowings subject to TRS					9,325		9,325
Redeemable noncontrolling interest					(230,438)		(230,438)
Total	\$	\$	(3,717)	\$	(368,485)	\$	(372,202)
			January	31, 20)12		
			(in thou	sands	s)		
Interest rate caps	\$	\$	13	\$		\$	13
Interest rate swap agreements (positive fair value)			1,083				1,083
Interest rate swap agreements (negative fair value)			(5,396)		(143,303)		(148,699)
TRS (positive fair value)					10,308		10,308
TRS (negative fair value)					(25,321)		(25,321)
Fair value adjustment to the borrowings subject to TRS					9,180		9,180
Redeemable noncontrolling interest					(229,149)		(229,149)
Total	\$	\$	(4,300)	\$	(378,285)	\$	(382,585)

The table below presents a reconciliation of all financial assets and liabilities and redeemable noncontrolling interest measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Fair Value Measurements						
			Fair value			
Redeemable	Interest	adjustment				
Noncontrolling	Rate	Net	to the borrowings	Total TRS		
Interest	Swaps	TRS	subject to TRS	Related	Total	

1	(in	th	ous	and	ls.)

Three months ended April 30, 2012						
Balance, February 1, 2012	\$ (229,149)	\$ (143,303)	\$ (15,013)	\$ 9,180	\$ (5,833)	\$ (378,285)
Loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest	1,691					1,691
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses):						
Included in earnings			4,158	145	4,303	4,303
Included in other comprehensive income		6,786				6,786
Included in additional paid-in capital	(2,980)					(2,980)
Balance, April 30, 2012	\$ (230,438)	\$ (136,517)	\$ (10,855)	\$ 9,325	\$ (1,530)	\$ (368,485)
Three months ended April 30, 2011						
Balance, February 1, 2011	\$ (226,829)	\$ (102,387)	\$ (30,034)	\$ 21,938	\$ (8,096)	\$ (337,312)
Loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest	666					666
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses):						
Included in earnings			2,522	(1,482)	1,040	1,040
Included in other comprehensive income		(1,750)				(1,750)
Included in additional paid-in capital	(619)					(619)
Balance, April 30, 2011	\$ (226,782)	\$ (104,137)	\$ (27,512)	\$ 20,456	\$ (7,056)	\$ (337,975)

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

F. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The following table presents quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments measured on a recurring basis as of April 30, 2012.

	Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements					
	Fair Value April 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range of Input Values		
Credit valuation adjustment of interest rate						
swap	\$ 14,712	Potential future exposure	Credit spreads	2.72%(1) -4.25%		
TRS	\$ (10,855)	Third party bond pricing	Bond quote	84.41-102.28(1)		
Fair value adjustment to the borrowings						
subject to TRS	\$ 9,325	Third party bond pricing	Bond quote	84.41-100.79(1)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	\$ (230,438)	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate	10.3%		

⁽¹⁾ This fair value measurement was developed by third party service providers, subject to the Company s corroboration for reasonableness. Third party service providers involved in fair value measurements are evaluated for competency and qualifications on an ongoing basis. Internally developed fair value measurements, including unobservable inputs, are evaluated for reasonableness based on current transactions and experience in the real estate and capital markets.

The Company does not deem the impact of changes in unobservable inputs used to determine the fair market value of the CVA, TRS and fair value adjustment to the borrowings subject to TRS to be significant; however, changes in the discount rate used to determine the fair market value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest could have a significant impact on its fair market value.

Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of notes and accounts receivable and accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities approximates fair value based upon the short-term nature of the instruments. The Company estimates the fair value of its debt instruments by discounting future cash payments at interest rates that the Company believes approximate the current market. Estimated fair value is based upon market prices of public debt, available industry financing data, current treasury rates and recent financing transactions. The fair value of the Company s debt instruments is classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

The following table summarizes the fair value of nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable, bank revolving facility, senior and subordinated debt and nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable of land held for divestiture:

	April 30	April 30, 2012		January 31, 2012		
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value		
	(in thou	(in thousands)		(in thousands)		
Fixed Rate Debt	\$ 4,622,503	\$ 4,917,174	\$ 4,458,214	\$4,719,636		

Variable Rate Debt	2,105,983	2,193,283	2,239,838	2,341,862
Total	\$ 6,728,486	\$ 7,110,457	\$ 6,698,052	\$ 7,061,498

G. Stock-Based Compensation

During the three months ended April 30, 2012, the Company granted 281,828 stock options, 784,935 shares of restricted stock and 301,954 performance shares under the Company s 1994 Stock Plan. The stock options had a grant-date fair value of \$9.24, which was computed using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected term of 5.5 years, expected volatility of 74.1%, risk-free interest rate of 1.1%, and expected dividend yield of 0%. The exercise price of the options is \$14.74, which was the closing price of the underlying Class A common stock on the date of grant. The restricted stock had a grant-date fair value of \$14.74 per share, which was the closing price of the Class A common stock on the date of grant.

At April 30, 2012, there was \$6,045,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.91 years, \$25,309,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.09 years, and \$4,352,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to performance shares that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.67 years.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

G. Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

The amount of stock-based compensation costs and related deferred income tax benefit recognized in the financial statements are as follows:

	Three Months Ended Apr 2012 201		April 30, 2011	
	(in thousands)			
Stock option costs	\$	1,602	\$	189
Restricted stock costs		2,830		3,053
Performance shares		99		
Total stock-based compensation costs		4,531		3,242
Less amount capitalized into qualifying real estate projects		(2,003)		(431)
1 7 5 1 3				
Amount charged to operating expenses		2,528		2,811
Depreciation expense on capitalized stock-based compensation		207		185
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$	2,735	\$	2,996
	4	_,. 20	Ψ	_,,,,
Deferred income tax benefit	\$	991	\$	1,118

The amount of grant-date fair value expensed immediately for awards granted to retirement-eligible grantees during the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$726,000 and \$1,022,000, respectively. During the three months ended April 30, 2011, previously recorded stock option costs in the amount of \$1,622,000, most of which was previously capitalized into real estate projects, were reversed to reflect actual forfeitures in excess of estimated forfeitures.

In connection with the vesting of restricted stock during the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company repurchased into treasury 78,844 shares and 70,906 shares respectively, of Class A common stock to satisfy the employees related minimum statutory tax withholding requirements. These shares were placed in treasury with an aggregate cost basis of \$1,182,000 and \$1,339,000, respectively.

H. Commercial Group Land Sales

On January 31, 2011, the Company closed on the sale of two parcels of land, with air rights, to Rock Ohio Caesars Cleveland, LLC for development of a casino in downtown Cleveland. The land is adjacent to the Company s *Tower City Center* mixed-use complex. The sales price for one parcel, an approximate 6 acre land parcel and air rights (Parcel #1), was \$45,000,000. The sales price for the second parcel, an approximate 10 acre land parcel and air rights (Parcel #2), was \$40,000,000.

At January 31, 2011, the Company received a cash deposit of \$8,550,000 on Parcel #1. During the three months ended April 30, 2011, the Company received an additional \$33,950,000 of the Parcel #1 purchase price. With the receipt of this payment, the buyer s initial and continuing investment on the sale of Parcel #1 was adequate for gain recognition under the full accrual method in accordance with accounting guidance for sales of real estate. As such, the entire sales price is included in revenues from real estate operations and the related cost of land is included in operating expenses, resulting in a gain on sale of \$42,622,000 during the three months ended April 30, 2011. The final \$2,500,000 of the Parcel #1 purchase price was received during the three months ended October 31, 2011.

As of January 31, 2012, the Company received total cash deposits of \$7,000,000 of the Parcel #2 purchase price. The minimum initial investment related to Parcel #2 still had not been met and accordingly, the cash deposits were recorded as a deposit liability under the deposit method in accordance with accounting guidance for sales of real estate and included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities at January 31, 2012.

During the three months ended April 30, 2012, the Company received cash proceeds of \$33,000,000 representing the remaining Parcel #2 purchase price. With receipt of this payment, the buyer s initial and continuing investment on the sale of Parcel #2 was adequate for gain recognition under the full accrual method in accordance with accounting guidance for sales of real estate. As such, the entire sales price is included in revenues from real estate operations and the related cost of land is included in operating expenses, resulting in a gain on sale of \$36,484,000 during the three months ended April 30, 2012.

18

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

I. Net Gain on Disposition of Partial Interests in Rental Properties

The net gain on disposition of partial interests in rental properties is comprised of the following:

	Three Mo 2012	Three Months Ended Apr 2012 2011 (in thousands)		
	(
New York Retail Joint Venture	\$	\$	9,561	
	\$	\$	9,561	

New York Retail Joint Venture

On March 29, 2011, the Company entered into joint venture agreements with an outside partner, an affiliated entity of Madison International Realty LLC. The outside partner invested in and received a 49% equity interest in 15 mature retail properties located in the New York City metropolitan area, 14 of which were formerly wholly-owned by the Company and one retail property that was owned 75% by the Company.

For its 49% equity interests, the outside partner invested cash and assumed debt of \$244,952,000, representing 49% of the nonrecourse mortgage debt on the 15 properties. As of January 31, 2012, the Company received proceeds of \$178,286,000, primarily in the form of a loan. Based on the net amount of cash received, the outside partner s minimum initial investment requirement of 20% was not met. As such, the transaction did not qualify for full gain recognition under accounting guidance related to real estate sales. Therefore, the installment method of gain recognition was applied, resulting in a net gain on disposition of partial interest in rental properties of \$9,561,000 during the three months ended April 30, 2011. As of April 30, 2012, the remaining gain of \$114,465,000 continues to be deferred and is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities. Transaction costs totaling \$11,776,000, of which \$5,779,000 relating to participation payments made to the ground lessors of two of the properties in accordance with the respective ground lease agreements did not qualify for deferral and were included in the calculation of the net gain on disposition of partial interests in rental properties recorded during the three months ended April 30, 2011. The 15 properties are adequately capitalized and do not contain the characteristics of a VIE. Based on this and the substantive participating rights held by the outside partner with regards to the properties, the Company concluded it appropriate to deconsolidate the entity and account for it under the equity method of accounting.

J. Income Taxes

Income tax expense for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$9,573,000 and \$17,749,000, respectively. The difference in the recorded income tax expense versus the income tax expense computed at the statutory federal income tax rate is primarily attributable to state income taxes, utilization of state net operating losses, additional general business credits, changes to the valuation allowances associated with certain deferred tax assets, and various permanent differences between pre-tax GAAP income and taxable income.

The Company applies an estimated annual effective tax rate to its year-to-date earnings from operations to derive its tax provision for the quarter. Certain circumstances may arise which make it difficult for the Company to determine a reasonable estimate of its annual effective tax rate for the year. The Company s projected marginal operating results, which include the gain related to the Commercial Group s land sales as described in Note H, result in an effective tax rate that changes significantly with small variations in projected income or loss from operations or permanent differences and thus does not provide for a reliable estimate of the estimated annual effective tax rate. Therefore, in computing the Company s income tax provision for the three months ending April 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company has excluded the gain on the Commercial Group s land sales from its estimated annual effective tax rate calculation and has recognized the actual income tax expense related to the gain during the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011.

At January 31, 2012, the Company had a federal net operating loss carryforward for tax purposes of \$170,233,000 that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2026 through January 31, 2032, a charitable contribution deduction carryforward of \$30,401,000 that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2013 through January 31, 2017, General Business Credit carryovers of \$20,212,000 that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2013 through January 31, 2032, and an alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforward of \$27,452,000 that is available until used to reduce federal tax to the AMT amount.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

J. Income Taxes (continued)

The Company s policy is to consider a variety of tax-deferral strategies, including tax deferred exchanges, when evaluating its future tax position. The Company has a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset associated with its charitable contributions. The Company has a valuation allowance against its general business credits, other than those general business credits which are eligible to be utilized to reduce future AMT liabilities. The Company has a valuation allowance against certain of its state net operating losses and state bonus depreciation deferred assets. These valuation allowances exist because management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize these benefits.

The Company applies the with-and-without methodology for recognizing excess tax benefits from the deduction of stock-based compensation. The net operating loss available for the tax return, as is noted in the paragraph above, is greater than the net operating loss available for the tax provision due to excess deductions from stock-based compensation reported on the return, as well as the impact of adjustments to the net operating loss under the accounting guidance on accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. As of January 31, 2012, the Company has not recorded a net deferred tax asset of approximately \$17,265,000 from excess stock-based compensation deductions taken on the tax return for which a benefit has not yet been recognized in the Company s tax provision.

K. Impairment of Real Estate, Impairment of Unconsolidated Entities and Write-Off of Abandoned Development Projects

Impairment of Real Estate

The Company reviews its real estate portfolio, including land held for development and sale, for impairment whenever events or changes indicate that its carrying value may not be recoverable. In cases where the Company does not expect to recover its carrying costs, an impairment charge is recorded. During the three months ended April 30, 2012, the Company recorded an impairment of \$1,381,000 related to an investment in a triple net lease retail property located in Portage, Michigan. During the three months ended April 30, 2011, the Company recorded an impairment of certain real estate assets of \$4,835,000. This amount includes an impairment of real estate of \$3,435,000 related to the Portage, Michigan triple net lease retail property investment and \$1,400,000 related to *Mill Creek*, a land development project located in York County, South Carolina. These impairments represent write-downs to estimated fair value due to a change in events, such as a bona fide third-party purchase offer or changes in certain assumptions, including estimated holding periods and current market conditions and the impact of these assumptions to the properties estimated future cash flows, which represents Level 2 or Level 3 inputs.

The following table presents quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used to determine the fair value of the non-recurring impairment of real estate for the three months ended April 30, 2012:

	Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements Fair								
	Value April 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range of Input Values					
Impairment of real estate	\$ 4,400	Indicative Bid	Indicative Bid	N/A ⁽¹⁾					

(1) This fair value measurement was developed by a third party source, subject to the Company s corroboration for reasonableness. **Impairment of Unconsolidated Entities**

The Company reviews its portfolio of unconsolidated entities for other-than-temporary impairments whenever events or changes indicate that its carrying value in the investments may be in excess of fair value. An equity method investment s value is impaired if management s estimate of its fair value is less than the carrying value and the difference is deemed to be other-than-temporary. In order to arrive at the estimates of fair value, the Company uses varying assumptions that may include comparable sale prices, market discount rates, market capitalization rates and estimated future discounted cash flows specific to the geographic region and property type, which are considered to be Level 3 inputs. For newly opened properties, assumptions also include the timing of initial lease up at the property. In the event the initial lease up assumptions differ from actual results, estimated future discounted cash flows may vary resulting in impairment charges in future periods. The Company recorded no impairments of unconsolidated entities during both the three months ended April 30, 2012 or 2011.

Write-Off of Abandoned Development Projects

On a quarterly basis, the Company reviews each project under development to determine whether it is probable the project will be developed. If management determines that the project will not be developed, project costs are written off as an abandoned development project cost. The Company abandons certain projects under development for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, changes in local market conditions, increases in construction or financing costs or third party challenges related to entitlements or public financing. The Company wrote off abandoned development projects of \$447,000 and \$157,000 during the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

20

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

L. Discontinued Operations and Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties

The following table lists rental properties included in discontinued operations:

			Square Feet/	Period	Three Mon	nths Ended
Property		Location	Number of Rooms	Disposed	4/30/2012	4/30/2011
Commercial Group.						
Quebec Square		Denver, Colorado	739,000 square feet	Q1-2012	Yes	Yes
Ritz-Carlton hotel		Cleveland, Ohio	206 rooms	Q4-2011		Yes
250 Huron		Cleveland, Ohio	119,000 square feet	Q4-2011		Yes
Waterfront Station	East 4 & West 4th Buildings	Washington, D.C.	631,000 square feet	Q2-2011		Yes
Charleston Marriott	hotel	Charleston, West Virginia	352 rooms	Q1-2011		Yes

The following table summarizes the operating results related to discontinued operations:

	Three Months l	Ended April 30, 2011
	(in tho	isands)
Revenues from real estate operations	\$ 324	\$ 13,994
Expenses		
Operating expenses	154	8,246
Depreciation and amortization		2,538
	154	10,784
Interest expense	(108)	(1,859)
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs	, í	(567)
Gain on disposition of rental properties	8,879	10,431
Earnings before income taxes	8,941	11,215
Income tax expense		
Current	511	578
Deferred	3,019	3,921
	3,530	4,499
Earnings from discontinued operations	5,411	6,716
Noncontrolling interest		
Gain on disposition of rental properties	965	393
Operating earnings from rental properties	8	1,335

	973	1,728
Earnings from discontinued operations attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.	\$ 4,438	\$ 4,988

The following table summarizes the pre-tax gain on disposition of rental properties:

	Three Months E	Ended April 30, 2011		
	(in thou	sands)		
Quebec Square (Specialty Retail Center)	\$ 8,879	\$		
Charleston Marriott (Hotel)		10,431		
Total	\$ 8.879	\$ 10.431		

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

M. Earnings Per Share

The Company s restricted stock is considered a participating security pursuant to the two-class method for computing basic earnings per share (EPS). The Class A Common Units, which are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, are considered convertible participating securities as they are entitled to participate in any dividends paid to the Company s common shareholders. The Class A Common Units are included in the computation of basic EPS using the two-class method and are included in the computation of diluted EPS using the if-converted method. The Class A common stock issuable in connection with a put or conversion of the 2014 Senior Notes, 2016 Senior Notes, 2018 Senior Notes and Series A preferred stock are included in the computation of diluted EPS using the if-converted method

The reconciliation of the amounts used in the basic and diluted EPS computations is shown in the following table.

		Three Months Ended April 30,			
		2012		2011	
Numerators (in thousands)					
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to Forest					
City Enterprises, Inc.	\$	18,314	\$	41,355	
Dividends on preferred stock		(3,850)		(3,850)	
Undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities		(468)		(1,165)	
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to					
Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common					
shareholders Basic		13,996		36,340	
Undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities		468		1,165	
Interest on convertible debt		1,110		1,798	
Preferred distribution on Class A Common Units		,		358	
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to					
Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common					
shareholders Diluted	\$	15,574	\$	39,661	
Shareholders Diluted	Ψ	13,374	Ψ	37,001	
No. 1 Wildelie E. (C) E. ()	ф	22.752	Ф	46.242	
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.	\$	22,752	\$	46,343	
Dividends on preferred stock		(3,850)		(3,850)	
Undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities		(611)		(1,320)	
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises,					
Inc. common shareholders Basic		18,291		41,173	
Undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities		611		1,320	
Interest on convertible debt		1,110		1,798	
Preferred distribution on Class A Common Units				358	
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises,					
Inc. common shareholders Diluted	\$	20,012	\$	44,649	

Denominators

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

Weighted average shares outstanding Basic Effect of stock options and restricted stock	169	,206,594 937,272		498,904 054,102
Effect of convertible debt	13	,755,158	20,	225,204
Effect of convertible Class A Common Units	3	,646,755	3,	646,755
Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted ¹⁾	187	,545,779	190,	424,965
Earnings Per Share				
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to Forest				
City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders Basic	\$	0.08	\$	0.22
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to Forest				
City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders Diluted	\$	0.08	\$	0.21
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.				
common shareholders Basic	\$	0.11	\$	0.25
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.				
common shareholders Diluted	\$	0.11	\$	0.23

⁽¹⁾ Weighted-average options, restricted stock and performance shares of 4,485,328 and 3,443,125 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because their effect is anti-dilutive under the treasury stock method. Weighted-average shares issuable upon the conversion of the 2018 and 2016 Senior Notes of 19,744,345, for the three months ended April 30, 2012 were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because their effect is anti-dilutive under the if-converted method. Weighted-average shares issuable upon conversion of preferred stock of 14,550,257 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because their effect is anti-dilutive under the if-converted method.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

N. Segment Information

The Company operates through three strategic business units and five reportable segments. The three strategic business units/reportable segments are the Commercial Group, Residential Group and Land Development Group (Real Estate Groups). The Commercial Group, the Company slargest strategic business unit, owns, develops, acquires and operates regional malls, specialty/urban retail centers, office and life science buildings, hotels and mixed-use projects. The Residential Group owns, develops, acquires and operates residential rental properties, including upscale and middle-market apartments and adaptive re-use developments. Additionally, the Residential Group develops for-sale condominium projects and also owns interests in entities that develop and manage military family housing. The Land Development Group acquires and sells both land and developed lots to residential, commercial and industrial customers. It also owns and develops land into master-planned communities and mixed-use projects. On January 31, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a strategic decision by senior management to reposition or divest significant portions of the Company s Land Development Group and is actively reviewing alternatives to do so. The remaining two reportable segments are Corporate Activities and The Nets, a member of the NBA in which the Company accounts for its investment on the equity method of accounting. The following tables summarize financial data for the Company s five reportable segments. All amounts are presented in thousands.

	April 30, 2012 Identifiab	January 31, 2012 le Assets
Commercial Group	\$ 7,983,068	\$ 7,970,069
Residential Group	2,021,987	2,022,135
Land Development Group	363,015	352,248
The Nets ⁽¹⁾	(5,794)	(3,836)
Corporate Activities	168,960	163,667
	\$ 10,531,236	\$ 10,504,283

	2	Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 2011 Revenues from Real Estate			ee Months l 2012	s Ended April 30 2011	
		Opera	ations	Operating Expenses			
Commercial Group	\$ 1	179,191	\$ 196,334	\$	93,988	\$	97,539
Commercial Group Land Sales		40,000	46,252		3,516		2,521
Residential Group		65,305	53,504		44,939		36,777
Land Development Group		12,156	8,090		11,677		9,225
The Nets							
Corporate Activities					12,731		14,627
•							•
	\$ 2	296.652	\$ 304 180	\$	166.851	\$	160 689

Interest Expense

	Depreciation and Amortization							
			ense	44.00.		20.022	Φ.	17.551
Commercial Group	\$	39,534	\$	41,995	\$	38,822	\$	45,224
Residential Group		12,863		13,445		4,348		6,214
Land Development Group		107		60		1,751		824
The Nets								
Corporate Activities		329		353		13,595		13,919
	\$	52,833	\$	55,853	\$	58,516	\$	66,181
	I	nterest and C	Other I	ncome		Capital E	xpendi	tures
Commercial Group	\$	3,799	\$	6,741	\$	170,139	\$	114,625
Residential Group		4,475		5,876		25,937		53,040
Land Development Group		2,360		2,841		42		13
The Nets								
Corporate Activities		45		49		236		20
	\$	10 679	\$	15 507	\$	196 354	\$	167 698

⁽¹⁾ The identifiable assets represent losses in excess of the Company s investment basis in The Nets.

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

N. Segment Information (continued)

The Company uses a measure defined as Earnings Before Depreciation, Amortization and Deferred Taxes (EBDT) to report its operating results. EBDT is a non-GAAP measure and is defined as net earnings excluding the following items at the Company s proportional share: i) gain (loss) on disposition of rental properties, divisions and other investments (net of tax); ii) the adjustment to recognize rental revenues and rental expense using the straight-line method; iii) non-cash charges for real estate depreciation, amortization and amortization of mortgage procurement costs; iv) deferred income taxes; v) preferred payment which is classified as noncontrolling interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations; vi) impairment of real estate (net of tax); vii) extraordinary items (net of tax); and viii) cumulative or retrospective effect of change in accounting principle (net of tax); and ix) revisions of prior period financial statements.

The Company believes that, although its business has many facets such as development, acquisitions, disposals, and property management, the core of its business is the recurring operations of its portfolio of real estate assets. The Company s Chief Executive Officer, the chief operating decision maker, uses EBDT, as presented, to assess performance of its portfolio of real estate assets by operating segment because it provides information on the financial performance of the core real estate portfolio operations. EBDT measures the profitability of a real estate segment s operations of collecting rent, paying operating expenses and servicing its debt.

Reconciliation of EBDT to Net Earnings (Loss) by Segment:

	Co	mmercial	Re	sidential		and lopment	The	Corporate		
Three Months Ended April 30, 2012	(Group		Group	G	roup	Nets	Activities		Total
EBDT	\$	98,226	\$	32,779	\$	1,090	\$ (6,958)	\$ (22,705)	\$:	102,432
Depreciation and amortization Real Estate Groups		(49,178)		(21,131)		(108)				(70,417)
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs Real Estate										
Groups		(2,839)		(758)		(26)				(3,623)
Straight-line rent adjustment		4,914		(82)						4,832
Impairment of real estate		(1,381)								(1,381)
Discontinued operations:										
Straight-line rent adjustment		3								3
Gain on disposition of rental properties		7,914								7,914
Income tax benefit (expense):										
Deferred income taxes								(11,463)		(11,463)
Current income taxes attributable to above dispositions								(5,545)		(5,545)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.	\$	57,659	\$	10,808	\$	956	\$ (6,958)	\$ (39,713)	\$	22,752
Preferred dividends								(3,850)		(3,850)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	\$	57,659	\$	10,808	\$	956	\$ (6,958)	\$ (43,563)	\$	18,902

						Land				
	Co	mmercial	Re	esidential	Dev	elopment			Corporate	
Three Months Ended April 30, 2011		Group		Group	(Group		ie Nets	Activities	Total
EBDT	\$	115,279	\$	26,851	\$	1,106	\$	(304)	\$ (15,556)	\$ 127,376
Depreciation and amortization Real Estate Groups		(48,804)		(18,313)		(86)				(67,203)
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs Real Estate										
Groups		(2,469)		(840)		(61)				(3,370)
Straight-line rent adjustment		2,051		(163)						1,888
Preference payment		(585)								(585)
Gain on disposition of partial interests in rental properties		9,561								9,561
Gain on disposition of unconsolidated entities				12,567						12,567
Impairment of real estate		(3,435)				(1,400)				(4,835)
Allowance for projects under development revision (See										
Note A)		(1,400)		(600)						(2,000)
Discontinued operations:										
Depreciation and amortization Real Estate Groups .		(1,626)								(1,626)
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs Real Estate										
Groups		(262)								(262)
Straight-line rent adjustment		336								336
Gain on disposition of rental properties		10,038								10,038
Income tax benefit (expense):										
Deferred income taxes.									(4,037)	(4,037)
Current income taxes attributable to above dispositions									(31,505)	(31,505)
•										
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Forest City										
Enterprises, Inc.	\$	78,684	\$	19,502	\$	(441)	\$	(304)	\$ (51,098)	\$ 46,343
Enter prises, inc.	Ψ	70,001	Ψ	19,502	Ψ	(111)	Ψ	(501)	Ψ (31,070)	Ψ 10,515
Preferred dividends									(3,850)	(2.950)
r referred dividerius									(3,030)	(3,850)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Forest City	_		_		_		_			
Enterprises, Inc. common shareholders	\$	78,684	\$	19,502	\$	(441)	\$	(304)	\$ (54,948)	\$ 42,493

24

Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

O. Preferred Stock

The Company declared and paid Series A preferred stock dividends of \$3,850,000 during both the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011. Undeclared Series A preferred stock dividends were \$1,925,000 at April 30, 2012. Effective May 1, 2012, the Company s Board of Directors declared cash dividends on the outstanding shares of Series A preferred stock of approximately \$3,850,000 for the period from March 15, 2012 to June 14, 2012 to shareholders of record at the close of business on June 1, 2012, which will be paid on June 15, 2012.

25

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and subsidiaries should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the footnotes thereto contained in the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2012.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Corporate Description

We principally engage in the ownership, development, management and acquisition of commercial and residential real estate and land throughout the United States. We operate through three strategic business units and five reportable segments. The **Commercial Group**, our largest strategic business unit, owns, develops, acquires and operates regional malls, specialty/urban retail centers, office and life science buildings, hotels and mixed-use projects. The **Residential Group** owns, develops, acquires and operates residential rental properties, including upscale and middle-market apartments and adaptive re-use developments. Additionally, the Residential Group develops for-sale condominium projects and also owns interests in entities that develop and manage military family housing. The **Land Development Group** acquires and sells both land and developed lots to residential, commercial and industrial customers. It also owns and develops land into master-planned communities and mixed-use projects. On January 31, 2012, our Board of Directors approved a strategic decision by senior management to reposition or divest significant portions of our Land Development Group and is actively reviewing alternatives to do so. See further discussion under Land Development Group in this section.

Corporate Activities and The Nets, a member of the National Basketball Association (NBA) in which we account for our investment on the equity method of accounting, are other reportable segments of ours.

We have approximately \$10.5 billion of consolidated assets in 28 states and the District of Columbia at April 30, 2012. Our core markets include Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Denver, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia, the Greater San Francisco metropolitan area and the Greater Washington D.C. metropolitan area. We have offices in Albuquerque, Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Denver, London (England), Los Angeles, New York City, San Francisco, Washington, D.C., and our corporate headquarters in Cleveland, Ohio.

Significant milestones occurring during the first quarter of 2012 include:

The announcement that our Board of Directors has determined to reduce the authorized size of the Board from fifteen members to thirteen, effective with our Annual Meeting of Shareholders in June 2012 (Annual Meeting). Two non-independent directors, James A. Ratner and Joan K. Shafran, will complete their current terms and will not be re-nominated at the Annual Meeting. This planned change will allow for the election or appointment of an independent director, once a suitable candidate is identified, which is expected to result in a majority of independent directors serving on our Board;

The announcement that we will be changing our fiscal year end from January 31 to December 31, effective with the year ended December 31, 2013 and that we will begin reporting Funds From Operations beginning with our first quarter 2012 results;

The receipt of \$33,000,000 from Rock Ohio Caesars Cleveland LLC, as the final installment of the previously announced \$85,000,000 air and land rights sale related to the development of a casino in downtown Cleveland. In total, the transaction involved 16 acres of land and related air rights adjacent to Forest City s *Tower City Center* mixed-use complex;

The sale of *Quebec Square*, a specialty retail center in Denver, Colorado for a sales price of \$34,250,000. The sale generated net cash proceeds of approximately \$8,500,000. The disposition of this specialty retail center is part of our retail strategy to divest non-core products;

The opening of our 80,000 square foot anchor tenant, Lord and Taylor, in April 2012 at *Westchester s Ridge Hill*, a mixed-use retail project located in Yonkers, New York;

The opening of *The Aster Town Center*, a 85 unit apartment community located at our *Stapleton* project in Denver, Colorado;

The dedication of the newly completed Las Vegas City Hall, which we developed for the City of Las Vegas on a fee basis as part of a public-private partnership; and

26

Table of Contents

Closing \$149,210,000 in nonrecourse mortgage financing transactions. In addition, subsequent to April 30, 2012, we achieved the following significant milestones:

The grand opening of the Horseshoe Casino on May 14, 2012, which occupies 303,000 square feet of space in the *Higbee Building*, which is adjacent to our *Tower City Center* mixed-use complex located in the heart of downtown Cleveland, Ohio and is the home of the first casino in the state; and

Addressing \$202,482,000 of nonrecourse mortgage debt financings that would have matured during the year ended January 31, 2013, through closed transactions, commitments and/or automatic extensions.

Net Earnings Attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. for the three months ended April 30, 2012 was \$22,752,000 versus \$46,343,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2011. Although we have substantial recurring revenue sources from our properties, we also enter into significant transactions, which create substantial variances in net earnings (loss) between periods. This variance to the prior year period is primarily attributable to the following decreases, which are net of noncontrolling interest:

\$42,622,000 related to the 2011 sale of an approximate 6 acre land parcel and air rights for development of a casino in downtown Cleveland, Ohio;

\$12,567,000 related to the 2011 gains on disposition of our unconsolidated investments in *Metropolitan Lofts* and *Twin Lake Towers*, apartment communities in Los Angeles, California and Denver, Colorado, respectively;

\$9,561,000 due to the 2011 gain on disposition of partial interests in 15 retail properties in the New York City metropolitan area, related to the formation of new joint venture agreements with an outside partner;

\$6,654,000 related to a 2012 increase in allocated losses from our equity investment in The Nets (see The Nets section of the MD&A);

\$5,157,000 related to a decrease in income recognized on the sale of state and federal Historic Preservation Tax Credits and New Market Tax Credits in 2012 compared to 2011; and

\$2,124,000 related to the 2011 gain on disposition of *Charleston Marriott*, a hotel in Charleston, West Virginia, offset by the 2012 gain on disposition of *Quebec Square*.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increases, net of noncontrolling interest:

\$36,484,000 related to the 2012 sale of an approximate 10 acre land parcel and air rights for development of a casino in downtown Cleveland, Ohio;

\$3,678,000 related to the change in fair market value of certain derivatives between the comparable periods, which was marked to market through interest expense as a result of the derivatives not qualifying for hedge accounting;

\$3,454,000 related to the 2012 decrease in impairment charges of consolidated entities; and

\$9,145,000 due to decreased income tax expense attributable to both continuing and discontinued operations primarily related to the fluctuations in earnings before income taxes and pre-tax earnings, including gains in discontinued operations. These fluctuations are primarily related to the various transactions discussed herein.

27

Net Operating Income

Net Operating Income (NOI) is defined as revenues (excluding straight-line rent adjustments) less operating expenses (including depreciation and amortization of mortgage procurement costs for non-real estate groups) plus interest income plus equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities (excluding gain on disposition and impairment of unconsolidated entities) plus interest expense, gain (loss) on early extinguishment of debt, depreciation and amortization of unconsolidated entities. We believe NOI provides us, as well as our investors, additional information about our core business operations and, along with earnings, is necessary to understand our business and operating results. A reconciliation between NOI and Net Earnings (Loss), the most comparable financial measure calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), is presented below. Although NOI is not presented in accordance with GAAP, investors can use this non-GAAP measure as supplementary information to evaluate our business. NOI is not intended to be a performance measure that should be regarded as an alternative to, or more meaningful than, our GAAP measures.

Reconciliation of Net Operating Income (non-GAAP) to Net Earnings (GAAP) (in thousands):

	Three Months A	pril 30, 2012	Three Months April 30, 2011		
Revenues from real estate operations		\$ 296,652		\$ 304,180	
Exclude straight-line rent adjustment		(5,624)		(3,099)	
Adjusted revenues		291,028		301,081	
Add interest and other income		10,679		15,507	
Add equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities	3,773		19,994		
Exclude gain on disposition of unconsolidated entities			(12,567)		
Exclude depreciation and amortization of unconsolidated entities	19,998		14,308		
Exclude interest expense of unconsolidated entities	26,332		23,107		
Total NOI from unconsolidated entities	50,103	50,103	44,842	44,842	
Total adjusted revenues and NOI from unconsolidated entities		351,810		361,430	
Operating expenses		166,851		160,689	
Add back non-Real Estate depreciation and amortization		620		702	
Exclude straight-line rent adjustment		(792)		(1,211)	
Exclude preference payment				(585)	
Adjusted operating expenses		166,679		159,595	
Net operating income		185,131		201,835	
Interest expense		(58,516)		(66,181)	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt		(719)		(296)	
Total NOI of unconsolidated entities		(50,103)		(44,842)	
Net gain on disposition of rental properties and partial interests in					
rental properties				9,561	
Impairment of consolidated real estate		(1,381)		(4,835)	
Depreciation and amortization Real Estate Groups		(52,213)		(55,151)	
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs Real Estate Groups		(2,869)		(2,882)	
Straight-line rent adjustment		4,832		1,888	
Preference payment				(585)	
Earnings before income taxes		24,162		38,512	
Income tax expense		(9,573)		(17,749)	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities		3,773		19,994	

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

Earnings from continuing operations	18,362	40,757
Discontinued operations, net of tax	5,411	6,716
Net earnings	23,773	47,473
Noncontrolling interests		
(Earnings) loss from continuing operations attributable to		
noncontrolling interests	(48)	598
Earnings from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling		
interests	(973)	(1,728)
Noncontrolling interests	(1,021)	(1,130)
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.	\$ 22,752	\$ 46,343
• •		,
	(2.950)	(2.050)
Preferred dividends	(3,850)	(3,850)
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. common	¢ 10,000	¢ 42.402
shareholders	\$ 18,902	\$ 42,493

Net Operating Income by Product Type

Full Consolidation (dollars in thousands)

- (1) Includes limited-distribution subsidized senior housing.
- (2) Includes write-offs of abandoned development projects, non-capitalized development costs, non-capitalizable marketing/promotional costs associated with *Barclays Center* and unallocated management and service company overhead, net of tax credit income.

29

EBDT and FFO

We believe that Earnings Before Depreciation, Amortization and Deferred Taxes (EBDT), along with net earnings, provides additional information about our core operations. While property dispositions, acquisitions or other factors can affect net earnings in the short-term, we believe EBDT presents a more consistent view of the overall financial performance of our business from period-to-period. EBDT has been used by the chief operating decision maker and management to assess performance and resource allocations by strategic business unit and on a consolidated basis. EBDT is similar, but not identical, to FFO (as defined below), a measure of performance used by publicly traded Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs).

EBDT is defined as net earnings excluding the following items: i) gain (loss) on disposition of rental properties, divisions and other investments (net of tax); ii) the adjustment to recognize rental revenues and rental expense using the straight-line method; iii) non-cash charges for real estate depreciation, amortization, and amortization of mortgage procurement costs; iv) deferred income taxes; v) preferred payment which is classified as noncontrolling interest expense on our Consolidated Statement of Operations; vi) impairment of real estate (net of tax); viii) extraordinary items (net of tax); viii) cumulative or retrospective effect of change in accounting principle (net of tax); and ix) revisions of prior period financial statements.

The majority of our peers in the publically traded real estate industry are REITs and report operations using Funds From Operations (FFO) as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). Although we are not a REIT, we feel it is important to publish this measure to allow for easier comparison of our performance to our peers. The major difference between us and our REIT peers is that we are a taxable entity and any taxable income we generate could result in payment of federal or state income taxes. Our REIT peers typically are not subject to federal or state income taxes, but must pay out a portion of their taxable income to shareholders. Due to our effective tax management policies, we have not historically been a significant payer of income taxes. This has allowed us to retain our internally generated cash flows but has also resulted in large expenses for deferred taxes as required by GAAP. The treatment of deferred taxes is the single biggest difference between EBDT and FFO. We intend to continue to report both EBDT and FFO during the fiscal year ending January 31, 2013. Effective February 1, 2013, we will only report FFO and remove deferred taxes and any other industry accepted exclusion to arrive at Adjusted FFO to be more comparable to our industry peers.

FFO is defined by NAREIT as net earnings excluding the following items: i) gain (loss) on disposition of rental properties, divisions and other investments (net of tax); ii) non-cash charges for real estate depreciation and amortization; iii) impairment of depreciable real estate (net of tax); iv) extraordinary items (net of tax); and v) cumulative or retrospective effect of change in accounting principle (net of tax).

The table below will illustrate the differences between FFO and our historical reporting of EBDT. The table will also reconcile these non-GAAP measures to net earnings, the most comparable GAAP measure.

	Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 FFO EBDT					e Months En FFO	•	April 30, 2011 EBDT	
		(in tho	usands)		(in tho	usands)	
Net earnings attributable to Forest City Enterprises, Inc.	\$	22,752	\$	22,752	\$	46,343	\$	46,343	
Depreciation and Amortization Real Estate Groups		70,417		70,417		68,829		68,829	
Impairment of depreciable rental properties		1,381		1,381		3,435		3,435	
Gain on disposition of rental properties and partial interests									
in rental properties		(7,914)		(7,914)		(32,166)		(32,166)	
Income tax expense (benefit) on non-operating earnings									
(loss) current and deferred ⁽¹⁾									
Gain on disposition of rental properties and partial interests									
in rental properties		3,052		3,052		12,467		12,467	
Impairment of depreciable rental properties		(536)		(536)		(1,332)		(1,332)	
Straight-line rent adjustments				(4,835)				(2,224)	
Impairment of Land Group projects								1,400	
Deferred taxes on impairment of Land Group projects (1)								(543)	
Deferred income tax expense on operating earnings (1)				14,492				24,950	
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs Real Estate									
Groups				3,623				3,632	

Preference payment				585
Allowance for projects under development revision				2,000
FFO/EBDT	\$ 89,152	\$ 102,432	\$ 97,576	\$ 127,376

EBDT and FFO (continued)

	Three Months Ended April 30, 2012 FFO EBDT					ree Months En FFO	Ended April 30, 2011 EBDT	
FFO/EBDT Per Share Data Diluted		110		LDD1		110		LDD1
Numerator (in thousands):								
FFO/EBDT	\$	89,152	\$	102,432	\$	97,576	\$	127,376
If-Converted Method (adjustments for interest, net of tax):								
3.625% Puttable Senior Notes due 2014		1,110		1,110		1,110		1,110
5.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2016		382		382		688		688
4.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018		2,277		2,277		000		000
		,,,		_,_ ,				
FFO/EBDT for per share data	\$	92,921	\$	106,201	\$	99,374	\$	129,174
Denominator:								
Weighted average shares outstanding Basic	16	9,206,594	169,206,594		165,498,904		165,498,904	
Effect of stock options and restricted stock		937,272		937,272		1,054,102	1,054,102	
Effect of convertible preferred stock	1	4,550,257		14,550,257	1	4,550,257	1	4,550,257
Effect of convertible debt	3	3,499,503	3	33,499,503	2	0,225,204	2	20,225,204
Effect of convertible Class A Common Units		3,646,755		3,646,755		3,646,755		3,646,755
Weighted average shares								
outstanding Diluted	22	1,840,381	22	21,840,381	20	4,975,222	20	4,975,222
FFO/EBDT Per Share	\$ 0.42		\$	0.48	\$	0.48	\$	0.63

⁽¹⁾ The following table provides detail of Income Tax Expense (Benefit):

	Three Months E 2012	nded April 30, 2011
Current taxes		
Operating earnings	\$ (3,736)	\$ (12,671)
Gain on disposition of rental properties and partial interests in rental		
properties	4,865	30,304
Subtotal	1,129	17,633
Discontinued operations		
Operating earnings	(169)	(623)
Gain on disposition of rental properties and partial interests in rental		
properties	680	1,201
Subtotal	511	578
Total Current taxes	1,640	18,211
		,
Deferred taxes		
Operating earnings	14,302	24,540
	(5,322)	(22,549)

Gain on disposition of rental properties and partial interests in rental properties Impairment of depreciable rental properties (536)(1,332)Impairment of Land Group projects (543) Subtotal 8,444 116 Discontinued operations Operating earnings 190 410 Gain on disposition of rental properties and partial interests in rental properties 2,829 3,511 Subtotal 3,019 3,921 **Total Deferred taxes** 11,463 4,037 **Grand Total** \$ 13,103 22,248

Summary of Segment Operating Results The following tables present a summary of revenues from real estate operations, operating expenses, interest expense, equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities and impairment of unconsolidated entities by segment. See discussion of these amounts by segment in the narratives following the tables.

	Three Months Ended April 30,						
	2012	2011	Variance				
		(in thousands)					
Revenues from Real Estate Operations							
Commercial Group	\$ 179,191	\$ 196,334	\$ (17,143)				
Commercial Group Land Sales	40,000	46,252	(6,252)				
Residential Group	65,305	53,504	11,801				
Land Development Group	12,156	8,090	4,066				
The Nets							
Corporate Activities							
Total Revenues from Real Estate Operations	\$ 296,652	\$ 304,180	\$ (7,528)				
•	. ,						
Operating Expenses							
Commercial Group	\$ 93,988	\$ 97,539	\$ (3,551)				
Cost of Commercial Group Land Sales	3,516	2,521	995				
Residential Group	44,939	36,777	8,162				
Land Development Group	11,677	9,225	2,452				
The Nets							
Corporate Activities	12,731	14,627	(1,896)				
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 166,851	\$ 160,689	\$ 6,162				
Interest Expense							
Commercial Group	\$ 38,822	\$ 45,224	\$ (6,402)				
Residential Group	4,348	6,214	(1,866)				
Land Development Group	1,751	824	927				
The Nets							
Corporate Activities	13,595	13,919	(324)				
Total Interest Expense	\$ 58,516	\$ 66,181	\$ (7,665)				
•	·						
Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Entities							
Commercial Group	\$ 6,398	\$ 2,922	\$ 3,476				
Residential Group	4,170	4,465	(295)				
Gain on disposition of Metropolitan Lofts		9,964	(9,964)				
Gain on disposition of Twin Lake Towers		2,603	(2,603)				
Land Development Group	163	344	(181)				
The Nets	(6,958)	(304)	(6,654)				
Corporate Activities							
Total Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Entities	\$ 3,773	\$ 19,994	\$ (16,221)				

32

Commercial Group

Revenues from real estate operations Revenues from real estate operations for the Commercial Group, including the group s land sales, decreased by \$23,395,000, or 9.6%, for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. The variance to the prior year is primarily attributable to the following decreases:

\$45,000,000 related to the 2011 sale of an approximate 6 acre land parcel and air rights for development of a casino in downtown Cleveland, Ohio;

\$17,508,000 related to the change from full consolidation method of accounting to equity method upon the formation of a new joint venture in March 2011 with an outside partner in 15 retail properties in the New York City metropolitan area;

\$6,633,000 related to the change from full consolidation method of accounting to equity method for 8 Spruce Street, an apartment community in Manhattan, New York, in 2011 due to recapitalization transactions. The revenue for 2011 prior to the conversion to equity method of accounting on July 1, 2011 relates to amounts earned on a construction contract with the New York City School Construction Authority for the construction of a school on the lower floors at 8 Spruce Street. This represents a reimbursement of costs that is included in operating expenses; and

\$3,914,000 related to the change from full consolidation method of accounting to equity method upon the formation of a new joint venture in October 2011 with an outside partner at the *Mall at Stonecrest* in Atlanta, Georgia.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increases:

\$40,000,000 related to the 2012 sale of an approximate 10 acre land parcel and air rights for development of a casino in downtown Cleveland, Ohio;

\$3,222,000 primarily related to increased occupancy at *Two MetroTech Center* in Brooklyn, New York, *Johns Hopkins 855 North Wolfe Street* in East Baltimore, Maryland and *Victoria Gardens* in Rancho Cucamonga, California;

\$3,145,000 related to the phased opening of Westchester s Ridge Hill in Yonkers, New York; and

\$1,624,000 related to increased revenues on a lease of 303,000 square feet of space in the *Higbee Building* in Cleveland, Ohio for a new casino.

Operating and Interest Expenses Operating expenses decreased by \$2,556,000, or 2.6%, for three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. The variance to the prior year is primarily attributable to the following decreases:

\$6,633,000 related to the change from full consolidation of accounting to equity method for 8 *Spruce Street* in 2011. The operating expenses for 2011 prior to the conversion to equity method of accounting on July 1, 2011 relate to the construction of a school at 8 *Spruce Street*. These costs are reimbursed by the New York City School Construction Authority which are included in revenues from real estate operations;

\$5,980,000 related to the change from full consolidation method of accounting to equity method upon the formation of a new joint venture in March 2011 with an outside partner in 15 retail properties in the New York City metropolitan area;

\$2,378,000 related to the 2011 sale of an approximate 6 acre land parcel and air rights for development of a casino in downtown Cleveland, Ohio; and

\$1,391,000 related to the change from full consolidation method of accounting to equity method upon the formation of a new joint venture in October 2011 with an outside partner at the *Mall at Stonecrest*.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increases:

\$3,928,000 related to the phased opening of Westchester s Ridge Hill;

\$3,516,000 related to the 2012 sale of an approximate 10 acre land parcel and air rights for development of a casino in downtown Cleveland, Ohio; and

\$2,125,000 primarily related to increased marketing costs related to the Barclays Center arena in Brooklyn, New York.

33

Interest expense for the Commercial Group decreased by \$6,402,000, or 14.2%, for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease is primarily attributable to the change from full consolidation method of accounting to equity method upon the formation of a new joint venture in March 2011 with an outside partner in 15 retail properties in the New York City metropolitan area.

Comparable occupancy for the Commercial Group is 91.1% and 91.5% for retail and office, respectively, as of April 30, 2012 compared to 91.1% and 91.0%, respectively, as of April 30, 2011. Retail and office occupancy as of April 30, 2012 and 2011 is based on square feet leased at the end of the fiscal quarter. Comparable occupancy relates to properties opened and operated in both the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011.

As of April 30, 2012, the average base rent per square feet expiring for retail and office leases is \$27.78 and \$31.48, respectively, compared to \$27.74 and \$31.27, respectively, as of April 30, 2011. Square feet of expiring leases and average base rent per square feet are operating statistics that represent 100% of the square footage and base rental income per square foot from expiring leases.

We continuously monitor retail and office leases expiring in the short to mid-term. Management s plan to obtain lease renewals for expiring retail and office leases includes signing of lease extensions, if available, and active marketing for available or soon to be available space to new or existing tenants in the normal course of business.

Retail Centers

The following tables represent those new leases and gross leasable area (GLA) signed on the same space in which there was a former tenant and existing tenant renewals.

Regional Malls Quarterly

							Cash Basis %
	Number		Cor	ntractual			Change
	of Leases	of Leases GLA			Prior	Rent Per	over
Quarter	Signed	Signed	Signed Square Foot (1)(2) Square Foo		e Foot (1)(2)	Prior Rent	
2nd Quarter 2011	30	66,897	\$	79.63	\$	72.72	10%
3rd Quarter 2011	48	162,170	\$	49.53	\$	45.43	9%
4th Quarter 2011	59	149,030	\$	60.95	\$	55.35	10%
1st Quarter 2012	38	88,993	\$	58.67	\$	53.37	10%
Total 12 months	175	467.090	\$	59.24	\$	54.02	10%

Specialty Retail Centers Quarterly)

							Cash Basis %
	Number		Cor	ntractual			Change
	of Leases	GLA	GLA Rent Per			Rent Per	over
Quarter	Signed	Signed	Square	e Foot (1)(2)	Squar	e Foot (1)(2)	Prior Rent
2nd Quarter 2011	3	13,779	\$	20.79	\$	20.58	1%
3rd Quarter 2011	10	34,385	\$	62.29	\$	56.11	11%
4th Quarter 2011	9	83,671	\$	31.12	\$	27.86	12%
1st Quarter 2012	7	29,117	\$	41.95	\$	41.56	1%
Total 12 months	29	160,952	\$	38.85	\$	35.75	9%

Office Buildings

The following table represents all new leases compared to terms of all expired leases in our office portfolio over the past 12 months.

Quarterly

									Cash Basis %
									Change
	Number	Number			Co	ntractual	Е	xpiring	over
	of Leases	of Leases	GLA	GLA	R	ent Per	R	ent Per	Prior
Quarter	Signed	Expired	Signed	Expired	Squa	re Foot (2)	Squa	re Foot (2)	Rent
2nd Quarter 2011	19	19	127,285	110,492	\$	19.22	\$	18.37	5%
3rd Quarter 2011	33	27	178,838	178,598	\$	26.20	\$	29.22	(10%)
4th Quarter 2011	46	33	703,488	683,121	\$	30.13	\$	27.86	8%
1st Quarter 2012	38	28	340,382	239,112	\$	27.40	\$	28.01	(2%)
Total 12 months	136	107	1,349,993	1,211,323	\$	27.90	\$	27.23	2%

- Retail contractual rent per square foot includes base rent and fixed additional charges for marketing/promotional charges and common area maintenance.
- (2) For all new leases, contractual rent per square foot is the new base rent as of rental commencement. For all expiring leases, contractual rent per square foot is the base rent at the time of expiration, plus any applicable escalations.
- (3) Prior periods have been recast to exclude leases at *Quebec Square*, a specialty retail center located in Denver, Colorado which was sold during the three months ended April 30, 2012.

Comparable NOI is an operating statistic defined as NOI from properties opened and operated in all periods presented net of noncontrolling interests. Comparable NOI is useful because it measures the performance of the same properties on a period-to-period basis and is used to assess operating performance and resource allocation of the operating properties within our strategic business units. While property dispositions, acquisitions or other factors can impact net earnings in the short term, we believe comparable NOI gives a more consistent view of the overall performance of our operating portfolio from quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year. The percentage change of comparable NOI over the same period in the prior year is as follows:

	Three Months E	nded April 30,
	2012	2011
Retail	3.0%	2.6%
Office	3.2%	(2.5%)

For the three months ended April 30, 2012 the increase in retail is primarily due to increased rents for retail as discussed in the tables above and the increases in office is primarily due to increased occupancy for office.

Residential Group

Revenues from real estate operations Included in revenues from real estate operations is fee income related to the development and construction management related to our military housing projects. Military housing fee income and related operating expenses may vary significantly from period to period based on the timing of development and construction activity at each applicable project. Revenues from real estate operations for the Residential Group increased by \$11,801,000, or 22.1%, during the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. The variance is primarily attributable to the following increases:

\$7,608,000 related to military housing fee income from the management and development of units in our military housing portfolio; and

\$2,609,000 related to third party management fees and other income. These increases were partially offset by the following decrease:

\$2,358,000 related to the change from full consolidation to equity method of accounting for *DKLB BKLN* and 8 *Spruce Street*, apartment communities in Brooklyn, New York and Manhattan, New York, respectively, as a result of the recapitalization of these entities in July 2011.

35

Operating and Interest Expenses Operating expenses for the Residential Group increased by \$8,162,000, or 22.2%, during the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. This variance is primarily attributable to the following increases:

\$5,188,000 related to management expenditures associated with military housing fee revenues; and

\$2,525,000 related to expenditures associated with third party management and consulting fee arrangements. These increases were partially offset by the following decrease:

\$2,570,000 related to the change from full consolidation to equity method of accounting for DKLB BKLN and 8 Spruce Street. Interest expense for the Residential Group decreased by \$1,866,000, or 30.0%, during the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease is primarily a result of mark-to-market adjustments on non-designated interest rate swaps.

Comparable average occupancy for the Residential Group is 94.9% and 94.6% for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Average residential occupancy for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 is calculated by dividing gross potential rent less vacancy by gross potential rent. Gross potential rent (GPR) is calculated based on actual rents per lease agreements for occupied apartment units and at market rents for vacant apartment units. Market rental rates are determined using a variety of factors which include availability of specific apartment unit types (one bedroom, two bedroom, etc.), seasonality factors and rents offered by competitive properties for similar apartment types in the same geographic market. Comparable average occupancy relates to properties opened and operated in both the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011.

Comparable net rental income (NRI) for our Residential Group was 92.9% and 91.9% for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. NRI is an operating statistic that represents the percentage of potential rent received after deducting vacancy and rent concessions from gross potential rent.

	XX,XX	X	X,XX Monthl		XX,XX erage Res	XX,XX sidential	XX,XX Ave	XX,XX rage Resident	XX,XX ial
	Leasable Units at Pro-Rata %	its at April 30,				2)	Year-to April		
Comparable Apartment Communities (1)	(3)	2	2012		2011	% Change	2012	2011	% Change
Core Markets	8,221	\$	1,529	\$	1,448	5.6%	95.7%	94.7%	1.0%
Non-Core Markets	9,081	\$	862	\$	829	4.0%	93.5%	94.4%	(0.9%)
Total Comparable Apartments	17,302	\$	1,179	\$	1,123	5.0%	94.9%	94.6%	0.3%

- (1) Includes apartment communities completely opened and operated in both periods presented. Excludes all military and limited-distribution subsidized senior housing units. These apartment communities include units leased at affordable apartment rates which provide a discount from average market rental rates. As of April 30, 2012, 21.9% of our core market leasable units and 1.7% of our non-core market leasable units were affordable housing.
- (2) Represents GPR less concessions.
- (3) Leasable units at pro-rata represent our share of total leasable units at the apartment community.

Table of Contents 66

36

Land Development Group

On January 31, 2012, our Board of Directors approved a strategic decision by senior management to reposition portions of the investment in our Land Development Group as part of a greater focus on core rental products in core markets. The Land Development Group buys and sells raw land, develops subdivisions and sells lots to homebuilders. The economic downturn, particularly in the housing market, has led to increased volatility in the land projects, making it challenging to fit into our long-term strategic plan. We have approximately 35 active land projects in which we are currently reviewing our divestiture options. The primary regions with active land projects that we are actively seeking to divest include the southwestern United States, Texas, North Carolina and Ohio. These projects will continue to be reported in the Land Development Group through their disposition.

During the three months ended April 30, 2012, we established an execution strategy relating to our divestiture effort. This strategy involves working with current partners to sell our partnership interests to them, or acquire theirs, which would enable us to go to market with 100% ownership. We have also begun to market various assets to different potential buyers as part of our strategy. These potential buyers represent both large national buyers, as well as regional buyers. Although we did not close on any transactions related to our divestiture effort during the three months ended April 30, 2012, we have had success to date in working with our partners and have interest from potential buyers on many of our properties. As a result, we have received several letters of intent. Subsequent to April 30, 2012, we closed a transaction to sell our 51% equity method partnership interest in approximately 2,000 acres in Prosper, Texas, for a total purchase price of approximately \$29,800,000. We expect to receive approximately \$17,000,000 of net cash proceeds from the transaction.

We will retain our land holdings and expect to continue the development of our *Stapleton* project in Denver and the *Central Station* project in downtown Chicago. Our *Stapleton* project represents one of the nations largest urban redevelopments and is a true mixed-use project with substantial future entitlements, including apartments, retail and office space as well as single family neighborhoods, where we sell residential lots to builders. We control the future development opportunity at *Stapleton* through an option agreement that requires us to maintain an ownership position. This requirement together with the continued strong performance at *Stapleton* will allow us to maximize the potential of this project as a great example of a sustainable urban redevelopment focused on walkable neighborhoods, abundant amenities and open space. The *Central Station* project is an 80 acre mid and high-rise residential community in downtown Chicago with over 3,000 residential units completed and another 4,000 residential units in development. Our core development expertise is well suited to take advantage of the future vertical development opportunities that exist at *Central Station*. Each of these projects are in our core markets and represent opportunities for us to add value to our core rental property portfolio.

Operating results of the Land Development Group are discussed below.

Revenues from real estate operations Land sales and the related gross margins vary from period to period depending on the timing of sales and general market conditions. Revenues from real estate operations for the Land Development Group increased by \$4,066,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. This variance is primarily attributable to an increase of \$2,527,000 related to higher land sales at *Stapleton* in Denver, Colorado.

Operating and Interest Expenses Operating expenses for the Land Development Group increased by \$2,452,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. This variance is primarily attributable to an increase of \$1,841,000 related to higher land sales at *Stapleton*.

Interest expense for the Land Development Group increased by \$927,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. Interest expense varies from year to year depending on the level of interest-bearing debt within the Land Development Group and interest rates.

The Nets

Our ownership of The Nets is through Nets Sports and Entertainment LLC (NS&E). NS&E also owns Brooklyn Arena, LLC (Arena), an entity that through its subsidiaries is overseeing the construction of and has a long-term lease in the *Barclays Center* arena, the future home of The Nets. NS&E consolidates Arena and accounts for its investment in The Nets on the equity method of accounting. As a result of consolidating NS&E, we record the entire net loss of The Nets allocated to NS&E in equity in loss of unconsolidated entities and allocate, based on an analysis of each respective members—claims on the net book equity assuming a liquidation at book value, NS&E is noncontrolling partners—share of its losses, if any, through noncontrolling interests in our Statement of Operations.

The amount of equity in loss, net of noncontrolling interests, was \$6,958,000 and \$304,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, representing an increase in our allocated losses of \$6,654,000. The increase in losses allocated to us is due to the waterfall impacts

of the funding commitments among our partners as discussed below.

On May 12, 2010, entities controlled by Mikhail Prokhorov (MP Entities) invested \$223,000,000 and made certain funding commitments (Funding Commitments) to acquire 80% of The Nets, 45% of Arena and the right to purchase up to 20% of Atlantic Yards Development Company, LLC, which will develop non-arena real estate. In accordance with the Funding Commitments, the MP Entities agreed to fund The Nets operating needs up to \$60,000,000.

37

The MP Entities met the \$60,000,000 funding commitment during the three months ended July 31, 2011. As a result, NS&E is required to fund 100% of the operating needs, as defined, until the *Barclays Center* arena is complete and open which is scheduled to be September 28, 2012. Thereafter, members—capital contributions will be made in accordance with the operating agreements. During the three months ended April 30, 2012, NS&E funded \$5,000,000 of The Nets operating requirements and subsequent to April 30, 2012, NS&E funded an additional \$5,000,000 related to the Nets operating requirements related to the 2011-2012 season.

Corporate Activities

Operating and Interest Expenses Operating expenses for Corporate Activities decreased by \$1,896,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. The decrease was attributable to decreased professional fees of \$1,446,000 associated with strategic planning and process improvement initiatives and other general corporate expenses.

Interest expense decreased by \$324,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to same period in the prior year, as a result of decreased borrowings on the bank revolving credit facility, decreased interest expense on corporate interest rate swaps due to a reduction in the strike rate of the active swaps compared to the prior period the exchange of a portion of the 2016 Senior Notes in May 2011 and the retirement of the 2011 Senior Notes in October 2011, respectively, offset by interest expense on the 2018 Senior Notes issued in July 2011.

Other Activity

The following items are discussed on a consolidated basis.

Depreciation and Amortization

We recorded depreciation and amortization expense of \$52,833,000 and \$55,853,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which is a decrease of \$3,020,000, or 5.4%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The decrease is primarily attributable to the disposition of partial interests in 15 retail properties and the change from full consolidation method of accounting to equity method for *DKLB BKLN* and 8 *Spruce Street* offset by new property openings.

Amortization of Mortgage Procurement Costs

For the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded amortization of mortgage procurement costs of \$2,869,000 and \$2,882,000, respectively. Amortization of mortgage procurement costs decreased \$13,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

For the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded \$719,000 and \$296,000, respectively, as loss on extinguishment of debt. The loss for 2012 relates to the extinguishment of nonrecourse mortgage debt at *Atlantic Yards*, a mixed-use development project in Brooklyn, New York. The loss for 2011 relates to a nonrecourse mortgage debt financing transaction at *Johns Hopkins* 855 North Wolfe Street.

Interest and Other Income

Interest and other income was \$10,679,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to \$15,507,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2011. The decrease of \$4,828,000 is primarily related to a decrease of \$5,157,000 related to the income recognition on the sale of state and federal historic preservation and new market tax credits.

Net Gain on Disposition of Partial Interests in Rental Properties

See Note I Net Gain on Disposition of Partial Interests in Rental Properties in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for detailed information.

Table of Contents 69

38

Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Entities

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities was \$3,773,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to \$19,994,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2011, representing a decrease of \$16,221,000. This variance is primarily attributable to the following decreases:

Residential Group

\$9,964,000 relates to the 2011 gain on disposition of our partnership interest in Metropolitan Lofts; and

\$2,603,000 relates to the 2011 gain on disposition of our partnership interest in Twin Lake Towers.

The Nets

\$6,654,000 related to an increase in our share of the losses of The Nets. These decreases were partially offset by the following increase:

Commercial Group

\$2,664,000 related to the change from full consolidation method of accounting to equity method upon the formation of a new joint venture in 2011 with an outside partner in 15 retail properties in the New York City metropolitan area.

Discontinued Operations

See Note L Discontinued Operations and Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for detailed information.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

With the exception of multi-family rental properties, economic conditions continue to put downward pressure on occupancies, rent levels and property values. Access to bank credit and capital have improved modestly since 2010, with the larger banks and permanent lenders indicating an increased interest in originating new loans for real estate projects, particularly as existing loans in their portfolios get paid off. Underwriting standards remain conservative, with lenders favoring high quality existing operating assets in strong markets. Originations of new loans for commercial mortgage backed securities remain well below the levels in 2006 and 2007. While a limited number of banks have begun to originate construction loans for new apartment projects, lending for land acquisition and construction loans for office or retail projects remain extremely difficult to obtain. We believe loans for real estate projects will continue to be constrained for the foreseeable future.

Our principal sources of funds are cash provided by operations including land sales, our bank revolving credit facility, nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable, dispositions of operating properties or development projects through sales or equity joint ventures, proceeds from the issuance of senior notes, proceeds from the issuance of common or preferred equity and other financing arrangements. We have consistently disposed of properties in an effort to recycle capital and reposition our portfolio. Over the last ten years, we have generated cash proceeds from sales and/or disposition of partial interests in rental properties that averaged in excess of \$100,000,000 per year. Given the diversity of our portfolio by market and product type, we believe that the market for property dispositions at some level will continue to be available. The current market should allow us to continue our ongoing strategy to recycle capital and reposition the portfolio through property dispositions. Our principal uses of funds are the financing of our real estate operating and development projects, capital expenditures for our existing portfolio and principal and interest payments on our nonrecourse mortgage debt, notes payable, bank revolving credit facility, and on our senior notes and dividend payments on our Series A preferred stock.

Our primary capital strategy seeks to isolate the operating and financial risk at the property level to maximize returns and reduce risk on and of our equity capital. As such, substantially all of our operating and development properties are separately encumbered with nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable. We do not cross-collateralize our mortgage debt and notes payable outside of a single identifiable project. We operate as a C-corporation and retain substantially all of our internally generated cash flows. This cash flow, together with refinancing and property sale proceeds, has historically provided us with

Table of Contents

the necessary liquidity to take advantage of investment opportunities. The economic downturn and its impact on the lending and capital markets reduced our ability to finance development and acquisition opportunities and also increased the required rates of return to make new investment opportunities appealing. As a result of these market changes, we have dramatically cut back on entering into new development activities.

Despite the decrease in development activities, we intend to complete all projects that are under construction. We continue to make progress on certain other pre-development projects primarily located in core markets. The cash we believe is required to fund our equity in projects under construction and development plus any cash necessary to extend or pay down the remaining 2012 debt maturities is anticipated to exceed our cash from operations. As a result, we intend to extend maturing debt or repay it with net proceeds from property sales, equity joint ventures or future debt or equity financing.

We continue to explore various other options to strengthen our balance sheet and enhance our liquidity, but can give no assurance that we can accomplish any of these other options on favorable terms or at all. If we cannot enhance our liquidity, it could adversely impact our growth and result in further curtailment of development activities.

As of April 30, 2012, we had \$1,207,169,000 of nonrecourse mortgage financings with scheduled maturities during the fiscal year ending January 31, 2013, of which \$33,620,000 represents regularly scheduled amortization payments. Subsequent to April 30, 2012, we have addressed \$202,482,000 of these remaining 2012 maturities, through closed transactions, commitments and/or automatic extensions. We are currently in negotiations to refinance and/or extend the remaining \$971,067,000 of nonrecourse debt scheduled to mature during the year ended January 31, 2013. We cannot give assurance as to the ultimate result of these negotiations. As with all nonrecourse mortgages, if we are unable to negotiate an extension or otherwise refinance the mortgage, we could go into default and the lender could commence foreclosure proceedings.

The nonrecourse mortgage financings with scheduled maturities during the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013 discussed above includes a \$487,726,000 nonrecourse construction mortgage scheduled to mature in August 2012 secured by *Westchester s Ridge Hill*, a mixed-use retail project currently 60% leased and opening in phases located in Yonkers, New York. Leasing at this project has occurred during the recent general economic downturn resulting in a longer initial lease-up period than originally anticipated. Although we continue to successfully execute leases at the project and currently intend to support the project until it achieves stabilization, there can be no assurance that the project will achieve the targeted operating results. As a result, we may not be able to reach an extension of the construction mortgage or enter into a permanent financing agreement upon maturity of the construction mortgage on terms acceptable to us and therefore may default on the mortgage. If we default on the mortgage, the lender could commence foreclosure proceedings and we could lose the carrying value of our real estate amounting to \$872,000,000 at April 30, 2012.

We have six nonrecourse mortgages amounting to \$235,298,000 that are in default as of April 30, 2012 and are included in 2012 nonrecourse mortgage financings due during the fiscal year ending January 31, 2013. One of these nonrecourse mortgages relates to an approximate \$35,000,000 mortgage secured by *Terminal Tower*, our corporate headquarters in Cleveland, Ohio. Our lease for office space in this building expires in May 2013. We are currently negotiating with the lender to resolve this default; however, we cannot assure that we will be successful. In the event we are unable to resolve the default on this mortgage, the lender could commence foreclosure proceedings and we could lose the carrying value of our real estate amounting to \$57,000,000 at April 30, 2012. During 2011, a lender commenced foreclosure proceedings on one of these nonrecourse mortgages with a balance of \$73,500,000. Upon the actual disposition of the asset securing the nonrecourse mortgage, we will be relieved of any payment obligation under the nonrecourse mortgage and will recognize a gain for the excess of the carrying value of the mortgage over the fair value of the asset disposed. We are actively negotiating with the lenders on the remaining four nonrecourse mortgage loans to resolve the mortgage defaults, although there is no assurance that the negotiations will be successful. If we are unable to successfully negotiate an extension, the lenders could commence foreclosure proceedings and we could lose the real estate asset s carrying value.

As of April 30, 2012, we had two nonrecourse mortgages greater than five percent of our total nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable. The mortgages, encumbering *New York Times*, an office building in Manhattan, New York, and *Westchester s Ridge Hill*, have outstanding balances of \$640,000,000 and \$487,726,000, respectively, at April 30, 2012.

As of April 30, 2012, our share of nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable recorded on our unconsolidated subsidiaries amounted to \$2,299,847,000 of which \$165,917,000 (\$20,100,000 represents scheduled principal payments) was scheduled to mature during the year ending January 31, 2013. Subsequent to April 30, 2012, we have addressed \$10,373,000 of these 2012 maturities through closed nonrecourse mortgage transactions, commitments and/or automatic extensions. Negotiations are ongoing on the remaining 2012 maturities, but we cannot give assurance that we will obtain these financings on favorable terms or at all.

The nonrecourse mortgage debt of our unconsolidated subsidiaries with scheduled maturities during the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013 discussed above also includes a \$99,811,000 nonrecourse mortgage scheduled to mature in September 2012 secured by *Village at Gulfstream Park*, an unconsolidated specialty retail center in Hallandale Beach, Florida. The specialty retail center, which opened in February 2010, was leased during the general economic downturn which resulted in a longer lease up period than originally anticipated and increased rent concession to the existing tenant base. As a result, the specialty retail center has yet to achieve its expected stabilization levels. The property s current operating results are insufficient to cover all operating expenses and debt service payments. We have recorded other than temporary impairments in prior periods resulting in the carrying value of our equity method investment being reduced to \$0 at April 30, 2012. Although we currently intend to support this project until it achieves stabilization, there can be no assurance that the project will achieve the targeted operating results. As a result, it may not be feasible to continue to fund shortfalls and/or we may not be able to reach an extension or refinancing agreement at maturity and may default on the mortgage. If we default on the mortgage, the lender could commence foreclosure proceedings on the project.

Three of our joint ventures accounted for under the equity method of accounting have nonrecourse mortgages that are past due or in default at April 30, 2012 (our proportional share of these mortgages is \$7,864,000). If we go into default and are unable to negotiate an extension or otherwise cure the default, the lenders could commence foreclosure proceedings. The carrying value of our investments in the joint ventures was \$45,000 at April 30, 2012.

Financial Covenants

Our bank revolving credit facility and indenture dated May 19, 2003 (2003 Indenture) contain certain restrictive financial covenants. A summary of the key financial covenants as defined in each agreement, all of which we are compliant with at April 30, 2012, follows:

	Requirement Per Agreement (dollars in	As of April 30, 2012 thousands)
Credit Facility Financial Covenants		
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.35x	1.89x
Cash Flow Coverage Ratio	2.50x	3.57x
Total Development Ratio	<17%	10.96%
Minimum Consolidated Shareholders Equity, as defined	\$ 2,320,175	\$ 3,577,586
2003 Indenture Financial Covenants (1)		
Ratio of Consolidated EBITDA to Interest	>1.30x	1.76x
Minimum Net Worth, as defined	\$ 1,002,072	\$ 4,142,140

(1) Violation of these financial covenants alone would not automatically cause the notes issued under the 2003 Indenture to become due and payable, but would prevent us from incurring or permitting a subsidiary from incurring additional debt, as defined in the 2003 Indenture, unless otherwise permitted by the 2003 Indenture.

Bank Revolving Credit Facility

The following table summarizes the available credit on the bank revolving credit facility:

	April 30, 2012	Janua	ary 31, 2012	
	(in tho	(in thousands)		
Maximum borrowings	\$ 450,000	\$	450,000	
Less outstanding balances:				

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

Borrowings		
Letters of credit	66,258	69,389
Surety bonds		
Available credit	\$ 383,742	\$ 380,611

See Note C Bank Revolving Credit Facility in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for additional information.

Senior and Subordinated Debt

The following table summarizes our senior and subordinated debt:

	April 30, 2012 January 31, 201 (in thousands)		
Senior Notes:			
3.625% Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes due 2014, net of			
discount	\$ 199,213	\$	199,132
7.625% Senior Notes due 2015	178,253		178,253
5.000% Convertible Senior Notes due 2016	50,000		50,000
6.500% Senior Notes due 2017	132,144		132,144
4.250% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018	350,000		350,000
7.375% Senior Notes due 2034	100,000		100,000
Total Senior Notes	1,009,610		1,009,529
Subordinated Debt:			
Subordinate Tax Revenue Bonds due 2013	29,000		29,000
Total Senior and Subordinated Debt	\$ 1,038,610	\$	1,038,529

Nonrecourse Debt Financings

We use taxable and tax-exempt nonrecourse debt for our real estate projects. Substantially all of our operating and development properties are separately encumbered with nonrecourse mortgage debt which in some limited circumstances is supplemented by nonrecourse notes payable (collectively nonrecourse debt). For real estate projects financed with tax-exempt debt, we generally utilize variable-rate debt. For construction loans, we generally pursue variable-rate financings with maturities ranging from two to five years. For those real estate projects financed with taxable debt, we generally seek long-term, fixed-rate financing for those operating projects whose loans mature or are projected to open and achieve stabilized operations.

We are actively working to refinance and/or extend the maturities of the nonrecourse debt that is coming due in the next 24 months. During the three months ended April 30, 2012, we completed the following financings:

	Amount
Purpose of Financing	(in thousands)
Refinancings	\$ 73,000
Construction and development projects (1)	18,380
Loan extensions/additional fundings	57,830
	\$ 149,210

42

75

⁽¹⁾ Represents the full amount available to be drawn on the loans.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$76,706,000 and \$47,446,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The net increase in cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended April 30, 2011 of \$29,260,000 is the result of the following (in thousands):

Decrease in rents and other revenues received	\$ (10,976)
Increase in interest and other income received	9,766
Increase in cash distributions from unconsolidated entities	6,555
Increase in proceeds from land sales Land Development Group	2,569
Decrease in proceeds from land sales Commercial Group	(2,621)
Decrease in land development expenditures	751
Decrease in operating expenditures	13,108
Increase in restricted cash and escrowed funds used for operating purposes	(856)
Decrease in interest paid	10,964
Net increase in cash provided by operating activities	\$ 29,260

43

Investing Activities

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities were \$(47,950,000) and \$104,422,000 for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities consisted of the following:

	Th	nree Months I 2012 (in thou	2011
Capital expenditures:		(
Projects under construction or development:			
Barclays Center, a sports arena complex under construction in Brooklyn, New York	\$	(81,587)	\$ (28,584)
Westchester s Ridge Hill, a regional mall in Yonkers, New York		(30,590)	(34,204)
Atlantic Yards, a mixed-use development project in Brooklyn, New York		(27,872)	(28,321)
8 Spruce Street, a mixed-use residential project in Manhattan, New York ⁽¹⁾			(29,291)
Other		(37,403)	(33,041)
Total projects under construction or development ⁽²⁾		(177,452)	(153,441)
Operating properties:			
Commercial Segment		(3,241)	(5,912)
Residential Segment		(2,674)	(3,219)
Other		(278)	(33)
Total operating properties		(6,193)	(9,164)
Tenant improvements:			
Commercial Segment		(12,709)	(5,093)
Total capital expenditures	\$	(196,354)	\$ (167,698)
Payment of lease procurement costs ⁽³⁾		(2,049)	(2,932)
Decrease (increase) in other assets		4,662	(13,082)
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash and escrowed funds used for investing purposes:			
Atlantic Yards, a mixed-use development project in Brooklyn, New York	\$	154,500	\$ 3,771
John Hopkins Parking Garage in East Baltimore, Maryland		6,536	
Barclays Center, a sports arena complex under construction in Brooklyn, New York		(3,278)	35,289
8 Spruce Street, a mixed-use residential project in Manhattan, New York ⁽¹⁾			29,805
Johns Hopkins 855 North Wolfe Street, an office building in East Baltimore, Maryland		1.026	12,324
Other		1,036	6,044
Total decrease in restricted cash and escrowed funds used for investing purposes	\$	158,794	\$ 87,233
Proceeds from disposition of full or partial interests in rental properties:			
Quebec Square, a specialty retail center in Denver, Colorado	\$	8,642	\$
Disposition of partial interests in 15 retail properties in the New York metropolitan area			166,510
Development project in Washington, D.C.			19,348
Charleston Marriott, a hotel in Charleston, West Virginia			7,480
Total proceeds from disposition of full or partial interests in rental properties	\$	8,642	\$ 193,338
Change in investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities (investment in) or return of investment: Dispositions:			

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

Metropolitan Lofts, an apartment community in Los Angeles, California	\$	\$ 12,590
Twin Lake Towers, an apartment community in Denver, Colorado		400
Residential:		
8 Spruce Street, a mixed-use residential project in Manhattan, New York ⁽¹⁾	(6,728)	
Pine Ridge Valley, an apartment community in Willoughby Hills, Ohio	(2,203)	
The Nets, a National Basketball Association member	(5,000)	
Commercial:		
Village at Gulfstream Park, a specialty retail center in Hallandale Beach, Florida	(734)	(3,724)
Other	(6,980)	(1,703)
Subtotal	\$ (21,645)	\$ 7,563
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	\$ (47,950)	\$ 104,422

- (1) 8 Spruce Street changed from the full consolidation method of accounting to equity method during the year ended January 31, 2012. Capital expenditures and changes in restricted cash represent activity prior to the change to equity method of accounting while changes in investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities represent activity subsequent to the change to equity method of accounting.
- (2) We capitalized internal costs related to projects under construction and development of \$16,172 and \$11,347, including compensation related costs of \$13,377 and \$9,283, for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Total capitalized internal costs represent approximately 8.24% and 6.77% of total capital expenditures for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (3) We capitalized internal costs related to leasing activities of \$1,221 and \$1,263, including compensation related costs of \$979 and \$987, for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities was \$41,436,000 and \$(140,599,000) for the three months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities consisted of the following:

	Three Months 2012	Ended April 30, 2011
	(in tho	usands)
Proceeds from nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable	\$ 210,957	\$ 101,444
Principal payments on nonrecourse mortgage debt and notes payable	(157,733)	(147,313)
Borrowings on bank revolving credit facility	75,000	250,075
Payments on bank revolving credit facility	(75,000)	(305,800)
Payment of transaction costs related to exchange of Convertible Senior		
Notes due 2016 for Class A common stock		(2,200)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(4,132)	(8,334)
Change in restricted cash and escrowed funds and book overdrafts		(10,590)
Purchase of treasury stock	(1,182)	(1,339)
Exercise of stock options	6	169
Dividends paid to preferred shareholders	(3,850)	(3,850)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	64	38
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(2,694)	(12,899)
		. ,
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 41,436	\$ (140,599)

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to our business, and management and legal counsel believe that these claims and lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

NEW ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

The following accounting pronouncements were adopted during the three months ended April 30, 2012:

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an amendment to the accounting guidance on testing goodwill for impairment. This guidance provides an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If after performing a qualitative assessment, an entity determines that it is not more likely than not that the fair market value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step goodwill impairment test is not necessary. If an entity concludes otherwise, it is then required to perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. This guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance on February 1, 2012 did not impact our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting guidance for the presentation of comprehensive income. This guidance provides an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance on February 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued amendments to the accounting guidance on fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. This guidance results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. As a result, this guidance changes the wording used to describe many of the existing requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, but for many requirements the intent is not to change the existing application. Some of the guidance clarifies the FASB s intent about the application of existing fair value measurements or may change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. This

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The required disclosures upon adoption of this guidance on February 1, 2012 are included in our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the guidance on accounting for transfers and servicing to improve the accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The guidance specifies when an entity may or may not recognize a sale upon the transfer of financial assets subject to repurchase agreements, based upon whether the entity has maintained effective control over the transferred financial assets. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance on February 1, 2012 did not impact our consolidated financial statements.

The following new accounting pronouncements will be adopted on the respective required effective dates:

In December 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting guidance on derecognition of in substance real estate. This guidance specifies that when a parent company (reporting entity) ceases to have a controlling financial interest (as described in the accounting guidance on Consolidation) in a subsidiary that is in substance real estate as a result of a default on the subsidiary s nonrecourse debt, the reporting entity should apply the guidance on property, plant and equipment to determine whether it should derecognize the in substance real estate. Generally, a reporting entity would not satisfy the requirements to derecognize the in substance real estate before the legal transfer of the real estate to the lender and the extinguishment of the related nonrecourse indebtedness. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after June 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. As this guidance is transactional based, we cannot estimate its impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting guidance that requires entities to disclose both gross and net information on instruments and transactions eligible for offset on the balance sheets and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. Early adoption is not permitted. We do not expect the adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

INFORMATION RELATED TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements reflect management s current views with respect to financial results related to future events and are based on assumptions and expectations that may not be realized and are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which cannot be predicted with accuracy and some of which might not even be anticipated. Future events and actual results, financial or otherwise, may differ from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Risk factors discussed in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2012 and other factors that might cause differences, some of which could be material, include, but are not limited to, the impact of current lending and capital market conditions on our liquidity, ability to finance or refinance projects and repay our debt, the impact of the current economic environment on the ownership, development and management of our real estate portfolio, general real estate investment and development risks, vacancies in our properties, the strategic decision to reposition or divest portions of our land business, further downturns in the housing market, competition, illiquidity of real estate investments, bankruptcy or defaults of tenants, anchor store consolidations or closings, international activities, the impact of terrorist acts, risks associated with an investment in a professional sports team, our substantial debt leverage and the ability to obtain and service debt, the impact of restrictions imposed by our credit facility and senior debt, exposure to hedging agreements, the level and volatility of interest rates, the continued availability of tax-exempt government financing, the impact of credit rating downgrades, effects of uninsured or underinsured losses, effects of a downgrade or failure of our insurance carriers, environmental liabilities, conflicts of interest, risks associated with the sale of tax credits, risks associated with developing and managing properties in partnership with others, the ability to maintain effective internal controls, compliance with governmental regulations, increased legislative and regulatory scrutiny of the financial services industry, volatility in the market price of our publicly traded securities, inflation risks, litigation risks, cybersecurity risks and cyber incidents, as well as other risks listed from time to time in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We have no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, other than imposed by law, as a result of future events or new information. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our market risk includes the increased difficulty or inability to obtain construction loans, refinance existing construction loans into long-term fixed-rate nonrecourse financing, refinance existing nonrecourse financing at maturity, obtain renewals or replacement of credit enhancement devices, such as letters of credit, or otherwise obtain funds by selling real estate assets or by raising equity. We also have interest-rate exposure on our current variable-rate debt portfolio. During the construction period, we have historically used variable-rate debt to finance developmental projects. At April 30, 2012, our outstanding

46

variable-rate debt portfolio consisted of \$1,669,138,000 of taxable debt and \$436,845,000 of tax-exempt debt. Upon opening and achieving stabilized operations, we have historically procured long-term fixed-rate financing for our rental properties. With the volatility of the capital markets, we may not be able to procure long-term fixed rate financing and periodically must pursue extending maturities with existing lenders at current market terms. Additionally, we are exposed to interest rate risk upon maturity of our long-term fixed-rate financings.

Interest Rate Exposure

At April 30, 2012, the composition of nonrecourse debt was as follows:

	Operating Properties	velopment Projects	Land Projects llars in thousan	Total	Total Weighted Average Rate
Fixed Rate	\$ 3,043,187	\$ 536,584	\$ 4,122	\$ 3,583,893	5.64%
Variable Rate					
Taxable	1,355,807	299,282	14,049	1,669,138	4.57%
Tax-Exempt	421,070		15,775	436,845	1.64%
	\$ 4,820,064	\$ 835,866	\$ 33,946	\$ 5,689,876	5.02%
Total gross commitment from lenders		\$ 1,234,548	\$ 34,145		

To mitigate short-term variable interest rate risk, we have purchased interest rate hedges for our variable-rate debt as follows:

Taxable (Priced off of LIBOR Index)

		Caps		vaps
D 1 1 G	Notional	Average Base	Notional	Average Base
Period Covered	Amount	Rate (dollars in t	Amount	Rate
05/01/12 02/01/13	\$ 243,277	3.36%	\$ 898,595	4.33%
02/01/13 02/01/14	113,826	3.43%	998,139	4.02%
02/01/14 02/01/15	12,317	6.50%	652,496	5.44%
02/01/15 02/01/16			651,810	5.45%
02/01/16 09/01/17			640,000	5.50%

Tax-Exempt (Priced off of SIFMA Index)

	C	aps
Period Covered	Notional Amount	Average Base Rate
	(dollars in	thousands)
05/01/12 02/01/13	\$ 174,094	5.83%
02/01/13 02/01/14	161,379	5.82%
02/01/14 01/02/15	118,655	5.81%

The tax-exempt caps generally were purchased in conjunction with lender hedging requirements that require the borrower to protect against significant fluctuations in interest rates. Except for those requirements, we generally do not hedge tax-exempt debt because, since 1990, the base rate of this type of financing has averaged 2.64% and has never exceeded 8.00%.

Forward Swaps

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

We enter into forward swaps to protect ourselves against fluctuations in the swap rate at terms ranging between five to ten years associated with forecasted fixed rate borrowings. At the time we secure and lock an interest rate on an anticipated financing, we intend to simultaneously terminate the forward swap associated with that financing. At April 30, 2012, we had no forward swaps outstanding.

Sensitivity Analysis to Changes in Interest Rates

Including the effect of the protection provided by the interest rate swaps, caps and long-term contracts in place as of April 30, 2012, a 100 basis point increase in taxable interest rates (including properties accounted for under the equity method, corporate debt and the effect of interest rate floors) would increase the annual pre-tax interest cost for the next 12 months of our variable-rate debt by approximately \$10,967,000 at April 30, 2012. Although tax-exempt rates generally move in an amount that is smaller than corresponding changes in taxable interest rates, a 100 basis point increase in tax-exempt rates (including properties accounted for under the equity method) would increase the annual pre-tax interest cost for the next 12 months of our tax-exempt variable-rate debt by approximately \$6,986,000 at April 30, 2012. This analysis includes a portion of our taxable and tax-exempt variable-rate debt related to construction loans for which the interest expense is capitalized.

From time to time, we and/or certain of our joint ventures (the Joint Ventures) enter into a total rate of return swap (TRS) on various tax-exempt fixed-rate borrowings generally held by us and/or within the Joint Ventures. The TRS convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower the cost of capital. In exchange for a fixed rate, the TRS require that we and/or the Joint Ventures pay a variable rate, generally equivalent to the SIFMA rate plus a spread. At April 30, 2012, the SIFMA rate was 0.25%. Additionally, we and/or the Joint Ventures have guaranteed the fair value of the underlying borrowing. Any fluctuation in the value of the TRS would be offset by the fluctuation in the value of the underlying borrowing, resulting in minimal financial impact to us and/or the Joint Ventures. At April 30, 2012, the aggregate notional amount of TRS that are designated as fair value hedging instruments is \$271,660,000.

The underlying TRS borrowings are subject to a fair value adjustment. In addition, we have TRS with a notional amount of \$171,160,000 that is not designated as fair value hedging instruments, but is subject to interest rate risk.

We estimate the fair value of our hedging instruments based on interest rate market and bond pricing models. At April 30 and January 31, 2012, we reported interest rate caps at fair value of approximately \$17,000 and \$13,000, respectively, in other assets. We also included interest rate swap agreements and TRS with positive fair values of approximately \$14,394,000 and \$11,391,000 at April 30 and January 31, 2012, respectively, in other assets. At April 30 and January 31, 2012, we included interest rate swap agreements and TRS that had a negative fair value of approximately \$165,500,000 and \$174,020,000, respectively, in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.

We estimate the fair value of our long-term debt instruments by market rates, if available, or by discounting future cash payments at interest rates that approximate the current market. Estimated fair value is based upon market prices of public debt, available industry financing data, current treasury rates and recent financing transactions. Based on these parameters, the table below contains the estimated fair value of our long-term debt at April 30, 2012.

	Carrying Value	Fair Value (in thousands)	with 1	Fair Value 100 bp Decrease Market Rates
Fixed	\$ 4,622,503	\$ 4,917,174	\$	5,244,332
Variable				
Taxable	1,669,138	1,763,536		1,830,172
Tax-Exempt	436,845	429,747		484,533
Total Variable	\$ 2,105,983	\$ 2,193,283	\$	2,314,705
Total Long-Term Debt	\$ 6,728,486	\$ 7,110,457	\$	7,559,037

The following tables provide information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates.

<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk (continued)</u>

April 30, 2012

Expected Maturity Date

Year Ending January 31,								
						Period	Total	Fair Market
Long-Term Debt	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Outstanding	Value
			(dol	lars in thousan	ids)			
Fixed:	* ************************************	A <05 151	A 201 100	***	* 100 00T	# 4 COO OOO		A 2 070 064
Fixed-rate debt	\$ 280,987	\$ 605,454	\$ 291,188	\$ 346,108	\$ 420,327	\$ 1,639,829	\$ 3,583,893	\$ 3,878,964
Weighted average interest rate	5.96%	6.49%	6.06%	5.59%	5.77%	5.18%	5.64%	
Senior & subordinated debt (1)		29,000(3)	199,213(4)	178,253	50,000	582,144	1,038,610	1,038,210
Weighted average interest rate	%	7.88%	3.63%	7.63%	5.00%	5.30%	5.43%	
Total Fixed-Rate Debt	280,987	634,454	490,401	524,361	470,327	2,221,973	4,622,503	4,917,174
Variable:								
Variable-rate debt	925,943	66,392	28,479			648,324	1,669,138	1,763,536
Weighted average interest rate (2)	3.17%	5.39%	3.82%	%	%	6.50%	4.57%	
Tax-exempt	239	91.055	272	290	309	344,680	436,845	429,747
Weighted average interest rate (2)	1.75%	2.75%	1.75%	1.75%	1.75%	1.35%	1.64%	>,,
Bank revolving credit facility (1)								
Weighted average interest rate	%	%	%	%	%	%	96	
Total Variable-Rate Debt	926,182	157,447	28,751	290	309	993,004	2,105,983	2,193,283
Total Long-Term Debt	\$ 1,207,169	\$ 791,901	\$ 519,152	\$ 524,651	\$ 470,636	\$ 3,214,977	\$ 6,728,486	\$ 7,110,457
Weighted average interest rate	3.82%	6.02%	5.00%	6.28%	5.69%	5.06%	5.08%	

⁽¹⁾ Represents recourse debt.

⁽²⁾ Weighted average interest rate is based on current market rates as of April 30, 2012.

⁽³⁾ The mandatory tender date of the custodial receipts, which represent ownership in the bonds, was used for the expected maturity date in lieu of the maturity date on the face of the bonds.

⁽⁴⁾ Contains the principal amount of the puttable equity-linked senior notes due 2014 less the unamortized discount of \$787 as of April 30, 2012.

<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk (continued)</u>

January 31, 2012

Expected Maturity Date Year Ending January 31,

Long-Term Debt	2013	2014	2015	2016 (dollars in	2017 thousands)	Period Thereafter	Total Outstanding	Fair Market Value
Fixed:				(dotters tr	monsumes,			
Fixed-rate debt	\$ 294,235	\$ 602,745	\$ 291,315	\$ 346,320	\$ 420,637	\$ 1,464,433	\$ 3,419,685	\$ 3,737,890
Weighted average interest rate	5.96%	6.46%	6.04%	5.57%	5.75%	5.16%	5.65%	
Senior & subordinated debt (1)		29,000 (3)	199,132 (4)	178,253	50,000	582.144	1,038,529	981,746
Weighted average interest rate	%	- ,	3.63%	7.63%	5.00%	5.30%	5.43%	, , , , ,
Total Fixed-Rate Debt	294,235	631,745	490,447	524,573	470,637	2,046,577	4,458,214	4,719,636
Variable:								
Variable-rate debt	1,039,618	93,969	24,607			644,435	1,802,629	1,911,665
Weighted average interest rate ⁽²⁾	3.53%	6.59%	3.85%	%	%	6.52%	4.76%	
Tax-exempt	237	91,055	272	290	309	345,046	437,209	430,197
Weighted average interest rate ⁽²⁾	1.58%	2.56%	1.58%	1.58%	1.58%	1.29%	1.55%	
Bank revolving credit facility (1)								
Weighted average interest rate	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Total Variable-Rate Debt	1,039,855	185,024	24,879	290	309	989,481	2,239,838	2,341,862
Total Long-Term Debt	\$ 1,334,090	\$ 816,769	\$ 515,326	\$ 524,863	\$ 470,946	\$ 3,036,058	\$ 6,698,052	\$ 7,061,498
Weighted average interest rate	4.06%	6.09%	5.00%	6.27%	5.67%	5.03%	5.11%	

⁽¹⁾ Represents recourse debt.

⁽²⁾ Weighted average interest rate is based on current market rates as of January 31, 2012.

⁽³⁾ The mandatory tender date of the custodial receipts, which represent ownership in the bonds, was used for the expected maturity date in lieu of the maturity date on the face of the bonds.

⁽⁴⁾ Contains the principal amount of the puttable equity-linked senior notes due 2014 less the unamortized discount of \$868 as of January 31, 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains a set of disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or furnishes under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Securities Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act, was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, which includes the CEO and CFO. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of April 30, 2012.

There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

In connection with the rules, the Company continues to review and document its disclosure controls and procedures, including the Company s internal control over financial reporting, and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and ensuring that the Company s systems evolve with the business.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to its business, and management and legal counsel believe that these claims and lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- (a) and (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Repurchase of equity securities during the quarter.

		Issuer Purcha	ses of Equity Securities	
	Total Number of Shares	Average Price Paid	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans
Period	Purchased (1)	Per Share	or Programs	or Programs
Class A Common Stock				8
February 1 through February 29, 2012	4,470	\$ 15.30		
March 1 through March 31, 2012	2,833	\$ 15.07		
April 1 through April 30, 2012	71,541	\$ 14.97		
Total	78,844	\$ 14.99		

(1) Class A common stock was repurchased to satisfy the minimum tax withholding requirements relating to restricted stock vesting.

51

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number *31.1	_	Description of Document Principal Executive Officer s Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.2	-	Principal Financial Officer s Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.1	-	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
**101	-	The following financial information from Forest City Enterprises, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited); (ii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (unaudited); (iv) Consolidated Statements of Equity (unaudited); (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited), and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

^{*} Filed herewith.

52

^{**} Submitted electronically herewith. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be part of any registration or other document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: June 7, 2012 /s/ ROBERT G. O BRIEN

Name: Robert G. O Brien

Title: Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: June 7, 2012 /s/ CHARLES D. OBERT

Name: Charles D. Obert

Title: Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief

Accounting Officer

53