

UNIT CORP
Form 10-K
February 24, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-9260

UNIT CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

73-1283193
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7130 South Lewis, Suite 1000
Tulsa, Oklahoma
(Address of principal executive offices)

74136
(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) **(918) 493-7700**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Common Stock, par value \$.20 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered
NYSE
NYSE

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Rights to Purchase Series A Participating
Cumulative Preferred Stock

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of June 30, 2010, the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity (based on the closing price of the stock on the NYSE on June 30, 2010) held by non-affiliates was approximately \$1,002,853,599. Determination of stock ownership by non-affiliates was made solely for the purpose of this requirement, and the registrant is not bound by these determinations for any other purpose.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at February 11, 2011
Common Stock, \$0.20 par value per share	47,979,475 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Parts Into Which Incorporated
Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement (the Proxy Statement) with respect to its annual meeting of shareholders scheduled to be held on May 4, 2011. The Proxy Statement shall be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.	Part III

Exhibit Index See Page 120

Table of Contents

FORM 10-K

UNIT CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	25
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	40
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	40
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	40
Item 4. <u>Reserved and Removed</u>	41
PART II	
Item 5. <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	41
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	43
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation</u>	43
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	69
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	71
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	110
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	110
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	110
PART III	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	111
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	112
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	113
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	113
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	113
PART IV	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	114
<u>Signatures</u>	119
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	120

Table of Contents

DEFINITIONS

The following are explanations of some of the terms used in this report.

ARO Asset retirement obligations.

ASC FASB Accounting Standards Codification.

ASU Accounting Standards update.

Bcf Billion cubic feet of natural gas.

Bcfe Billion cubic feet of natural gas equivalent. Determined using the ratio of one barrel of crude oil to six Mcf of natural gas.

Bbl Barrel, or 42 U.S. gallons liquid volume.

BOKF Bank of Oklahoma Financial Corporation.

Btu British thermal unit, used in terms of volumes. Btu is used to refer to the amount of natural gas required to raise the temperature of one pound of water by one degree Fahrenheit at one atmospheric pressure.

CEGT Center Point Energy Gas Transmission

Development drilling The drilling of a well within the proved area of an oil or gas reservoir to the depth of a stratigraphic horizon known to be productive.

DD&A Depreciation, depletion and amortization.

FASB Financial and Accounting Standards Board.

Finding and development costs Costs associated with acquiring and developing proved natural gas and oil reserves which are capitalized under generally accepted accounting principles, including any capitalized general and administrative expenses.

Gross acres or gross wells The total acres or wells in which a working interest is owned.

IF Inside FERC (U.S. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission).

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate.

MBbls Thousand barrels of crude oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

Mcf Thousand cubic feet of natural gas.

Mcfe Thousand cubic feet of natural gas equivalent. Determined using the ratio of one barrel of crude oil or NGLs to six Mcf of natural gas.

MMBbls Million barrels of crude oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

MMBtu Million Btu s.

MMcf Million cubic feet of natural gas.

MMcfe Million cubic feet of natural gas equivalent. Determined using the ratio of one barrel of crude oil or NGLs to six Mcf of natural gas.

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Net acres or net wells The sum of the fractional working interests owned in gross acres or gross wells.

NGLs Natural gas liquids.

Table of Contents

DEFINITIONS (Continued)

NGPL-TXOK Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America/Texok zone.

NYMEX The New York Mercantile Exchange.

OPIS Oil Price Information Service.

PEPL Panhandle East Pipeline Co.

Play A term applied by geologists and geophysicists identifying an area with potential oil and gas reserves.

Producing property A natural gas and oil property with existing production.

Proved developed reserves Are reserves from any category that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods or in which the cost of the required equipment is relatively minor compared to the cost of a new well; and through installed extraction equipment and infrastructure operational at the time of the reserves estimate is by means not involving a well. For additional information, see the SEC's definition in Rule 4-10(a)(3) of Regulation S-X.

Proved reserves Proved oil and gas reserves are those quantities of oil and gas, which, by analysis of geosciences and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible from a given date forward, from known reservoirs and under existing economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicated that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation. The project to extract the hydrocarbons must have commenced or the operator must be reasonably certain that it will commence the project within a reasonable time. For additional information, see the SEC's definition in Rule 4-10(a)(2)(i) through (iii) of Regulation S-X.

Proved undeveloped reserves Proved reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage, or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion. For additional information, see the SEC's definition in Rule 4-10(a)(4) of Regulation S-X.

Reasonable certainty (in regards to reserves) If deterministic methods are used, reasonable certainty means a high degree of confidence that the quantities will be recovered. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 90% probability that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the estimate.

Reliable technology Is a grouping of one or more technologies (including computational methods) that has been field tested and has been demonstrated to provide reasonably certain results with consistency and repeatability in the formation being evaluated or in an analogous formation.

SARs Stock appreciation rights.

Unconventional play Plays targeting tight sand, coal bed or gas shale reservoirs. The reservoirs tend to cover large areas and lack the readily apparent traps, seals and discrete hydrocarbon-water boundaries that typically define conventional reservoirs. These reservoirs generally require stimulation treatments or other special recovery processes in order to produce economically.

Undeveloped acreage Lease acreage on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of natural gas or oil regardless of whether the acreage contains proved reserves.

Well spacing The regulation of the number and location of wells over an oil or gas reservoir, as a conservation measure. Well spacing is normally accomplished by order of the appropriate regulatory conservation commission.

Workovers Operations on a producing well to restore or increase production.

WTI West Texas Intermediate, the benchmark crude oil in the United States.

Table of Contents

UNIT CORPORATION

Annual Report

For The Year Ended December 31, 2010

PART I

Item 1. Business

Unless otherwise indicated or required by the context, the terms corporation, company, Unit, us, our, we and its refer to Unit Corporation or one or more of its subsidiaries, as appropriate, Unit Corporation and/or one or more of its subsidiaries.

Our executive offices are at 7130 South Lewis, Suite 1000, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74136; our telephone number is (918) 493-7700. In addition to our executive offices, we have offices or yards in Beaver, Elk City, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; Canadian, Houston and Humble, Texas; Englewood and Denver, Colorado; Pinedale, Wyoming; and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Information regarding our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to these reports, will be made available in print, free of charge, to any shareholders who request them, or at our internet website at www.unitcorp.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file these reports with or furnish them to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Materials we file with the SEC may be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F. Street, N.E. Room 1580, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding our company that we file electronically with the SEC.

In addition, we post on our Internet website, www.unitcorp.com, copies of our corporate governance documents. Our corporate governance guidelines and code of ethics, and the charters of our Board's Audit, Compensation and Nomination and Governance Committees, are available free of charge on our website or in print to any shareholder who requests them. We may from time to time provide important disclosures to investors by posting them in the investor relations section of our website, as allowed by SEC rules.

GENERAL

We were founded in 1963 as a contract drilling company. Today, in addition to our drilling operations, we have operations in the exploration and production and mid-stream areas. Our operations are generally conducted through our three principal wholly owned subsidiaries:

Unit Drilling Company which drills onshore oil and natural gas wells for others and for our own account (contract drilling),

Unit Petroleum Company which explores, develops, acquires and produces oil and natural gas properties for our own account (oil and natural gas), and

Superior Pipeline Company, L.L.C. which buys, sells, gathers, processes and treats natural gas for third parties and for our own account (mid-stream).

Each of these companies may conduct operations through subsidiaries of their own.

The following table provides certain information about us as of February 11, 2011:

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Number of drilling rigs owned	121
Completed gross wells in which we own an interest	7,999
Number of natural gas treatment plants owned	3
Number of processing plants owned	10
Number of natural gas gathering systems owned	34

Table of Contents

2010 SEGMENT OPERATION HIGHLIGHTS

Contract Drilling

Averaged 61.4 drilling rigs used during 2010, an increase of 58% over the average of 38.9 drilling rigs used during 2009.

Sold 11 small mechanical drilling rigs to unaffiliated third parties. These drilling rigs ranged in horse power from 650 to 1,000.

Successfully refurbished and upgraded 30 drilling rigs to meet the increase in customer's horizontal drilling activity.

Placed into service a new 1,500 horsepower, diesel-electric drilling rig in our Rocky Mountain division.

Acquired a new 1,200 horsepower electric drilling rig.

Signed two year contracts for each of the five new 1,500 horse power drilling rigs to be deployed in the Bakken play. We are currently building these rigs, two of which will be delivered during the first quarter of 2011 and the remaining three during the third quarter of 2011.

Oil and Natural Gas

Attained net proved oil, natural gas liquids (NGLs) and natural gas reserves of 622.2 Bcfe, an 8% increase over end of 2009 reserves.

Continued to focus development activities on oil and NGLs by increasing 2010 net proved oil and NGL reserves 27% over 2009.

Participated in the drilling of 167 wells, an increase of 76% over the number of wells drilled during 2009.

Recognized favorable commodity hedge settlements of approximately \$53.0 million.

Acquired 45,000 net leasehold acres and 10 producing oil wells located mainly in Beaver County, Oklahoma from certain unaffiliated third parties.

Pre-scheduled fracture stimulation services for 2011 for the wells we anticipate drilling in the Granite Wash and Marmaton plays.

Mid-Stream

Completed the construction of a 50.0 MMcf per day turbo-expander natural gas processing plant at its Hemphill facility in the Texas Panhandle.

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Committed to build a 16-mile, 16" pipeline and a compressor station in Preston County, West Virginia, which will have a capacity of approximately 220 MMcf per day. Construction is scheduled to begin during the first quarter of 2011 with the system being operational by mid-2011.

Added an additional 21 miles of pipeline (approximately a 3% increase) and connected 52 new wells to its gathering systems.

Table of Contents**FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT SEGMENTS**

See Note 16 of our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report for information with respect to each of our segments' revenues, profits or losses and total assets.

CONTRACT DRILLING

General. Our contract drilling business is conducted through Unit Drilling Company and its subsidiary Unit Texas Drilling L.L.C. Through these companies we drill onshore oil and natural gas wells for our own account as well as for a wide range of other oil and natural gas companies. Our drilling operations are mainly located in Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, Wyoming, Colorado, Utah, Montana and North Dakota.

The following table identifies certain information concerning our land contract drilling operations:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Number of drilling rigs owned at end of year	121.0	130.0	132.0
Average number of drilling rigs owned during year	123.9	130.8	130.4
Average number of drilling rigs utilized	61.4	38.9	103.1
Utilization rate (1)	50%	30%	79%
Average revenue per day (2)	\$ 14,115	\$ 16,662	\$ 16,498
Total footage drilled (feet in 1,000 s)	7,961	4,627	11,734
Number of wells drilled	593	409	1,028

- (1) Utilization rate is determined by dividing the average number of drilling rigs used by the average number of drilling rigs owned during the year.
- (2) Represents the total revenues from our contract drilling operations divided by the total number of days our drilling rigs were used during the year.

Description and Location of Our Drilling Rigs. An on-shore drilling rig is composed of major equipment components, such as engines, drawworks or hoists, derrick or mast, substructure, pumps to circulate the drilling fluid, blowout preventers and drill pipe that are collectively unitized into an operating system commonly referred to as a drilling rig. As a result of the normal wear and tear of operating 24 hours a day, several of the major components of a drilling rig, like engines, mud pumps and drill pipe, must be replaced or rebuilt on a periodic basis. Other components, like the substructure, mast and drawworks, can be used for extended periods of time with proper maintenance. We also own additional equipment used in the operation of our drilling rigs, including top drives, skidding systems, large air compressors, trucks and other support equipment.

The maximum depth capacities of our various drilling rigs range from 5,000 to 40,000 feet. In 2010, 79 of our 121 available drilling rigs were used in drilling services.

Table of Contents

The following table shows certain information about our drilling rigs (including their distribution) as of February 11, 2011:

Region	Contracted Rigs	Non-Contracted Rigs	Total Rigs	Average Rated Drilling Depth (ft)
Anadarko Basin Oklahoma	27	11	38	17,263
Panhandle of Texas	12	17	29	14,379
Arkoma Basin	3	3	6	13,583
East Texas, Louisiana, Gulf Coast and South Texas	13	3	16	18,063
North Texas Barnett Shale	2	5	7	11,643
Rocky Mountains	15	10	25	18,360
Totals	72	49	121	16,397

With the downturn in drilling activity that started in the fourth quarter of 2008, we consolidated our nine operating divisions into six at the beginning of 2009 to minimize our costs. In 2010, as drilling activity in the Barnett Shale in North Texas picked up, we reactivated our North Texas division. Currently our operating divisions consist of the following: Arkoma, Gulf Coast, Mid-continent, North Texas, Panhandle, Rocky Mountain and Woodward.

2010 brought a dramatic increase in our drilling rig utilization. In the middle of 2009 our active rig count bottomed out at 28 rigs. Our active rig count at the start of 2010 was 42 rigs and utilization continued to climb to 72 active rigs to finish out 2010.

Anadarko Basin. The Anadarko Basin is a geologic feature covering approximately 50,000 square miles primarily in Central and Western Oklahoma, but also includes the upper Texas Panhandle, southwestern Kansas and southeastern Colorado region. The basin contains sedimentary deposits ranging in thickness from 2,000 feet on its northern and western flanks to 40,000 feet in its southern portion.

During 2010, our Mid-Continent and Woodward divisions averaged 17.4 and five drilling rigs operating during 2010, respectively. Part of the increased activity in this area stems from the oil and NGL interest by operators working in the Cana Woodford and Granite Wash horizontal plays.

Panhandle of Texas. During 2010, we averaged 5.7 drilling rigs operating in this division. We remain the largest drilling contractor in the combined Anadarko Basin of Oklahoma and the Texas Panhandle in terms of total rig count.

Arkoma Basin. The Arkoma Basin is another geologic feature that encompasses approximately 33,800 square miles of southeastern Oklahoma and west-central Arkansas. The Arkoma Basin holds deposits ranging in thickness from 3,000 to 20,000 feet. It contains multiple conventional gas plays as well as two of the more recent notable unconventional plays – the Woodford Shale and Fayetteville Shale.

During 2010, our Arkoma division averaged 3.5 drilling rigs operating. The Arkoma Basin has traditionally been a natural gas play. With lower natural gas commodity prices during 2010 and operators shifting their drilling emphasis to liquids, we moved two rigs from this division to our Mid-Continent and Texas Panhandle divisions for greater utilization.

East Texas, Louisiana, Gulf Coast and South Texas. Our Gulf Coast division provides drilling rigs to the onshore areas of the south Louisiana Gulf Coast and upper Texas Gulf Coast region as well as the conventional

Table of Contents

and unconventional gas plays of northwest Louisiana, East Texas and South Texas. The Gulf Coast division averaged 13.6 drilling rigs operating for the year. The Haynesville Shale play was an active area for us with six rigs working there during most of 2010. In 2010, as a result of operators searching for oil and NGLs, a new market emerged in the Eagle Ford Shale in South Texas. We had five rigs in the Eagle Ford at year end 2010.

North Texas Barnett Shale. North Central Texas is the home of the Barnett Shale, a tight gas bearing formation. It is touted as one of the largest natural gas fields in the U.S., and as being one of the first unconventional shale gas formations to have been unlocked by technological advances in the use of multi-stage high pressure fracturization completion processes.

Three rigs secured contracts to begin operations in the Barnett Shale in the first quarter of 2010 and ran throughout the year.

Rocky Mountains. The Rocky Mountain area covers several states, including Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana and North Dakota. This vast area has produced a number of conventional and unconventional oil and gas fields. Our drilling rig fleet in this division operated an average of 13.4 drilling rigs during 2010. We have drilling rigs operating in the Pinedale Anticline of western Wyoming, the Niobrara in southeast Wyoming, the Bakken Shale in Montana and North Dakota, as well as other areas throughout this expansive geographical area. With greater emphasis by our customers for oil prospects, in 2010 we repositioned several of our rigs to the Bakken Shale in North Dakota. We closed out 2010 with eight drilling rigs working in the Bakken Shale, including one new 1,500 horsepower drilling rig which began operations during the second quarter of 2010. As mentioned earlier, we are in the process of building five new 1,500 horsepower electric drilling rigs with skidding systems that will be deployed throughout 2011 to the Bakken Shale.

At any given time our ability to use all of our drilling rigs is dependent on a number of conditions besides demand, including the availability of qualified labor and the availability of needed drilling supplies and equipment. Not surprisingly, the impact of these various conditions tends to fluctuate with the demand for our drilling rigs. In late 2008, our utilization rate was significantly affected by the U.S. and world economic downturn. For the first nine months of 2008 our average utilization rate was 81%, by December 2008, our average utilization rate had declined to 61%. For 2009, our average utilization rate declined to 30% and for 2010, our average utilization rate increased to 50%.

The following table shows the average number of our drilling rigs working by quarter for the years indicated:

	2010	2009	2008
First quarter	50.9	52.8	100.6
Second quarter	58.1	31.6	104.5
Third quarter	65.4	34.6	110.7
Fourth quarter	70.9	36.7	96.7

Drilling Rig Fleet. The following table summarizes the 2010 changes made to our drilling rig fleet. A more complete discussion of these changes follows the table:

Drilling rigs owned at December 31, 2009	130
Drilling rigs sold	(11)
Drilling rigs purchased	1
Drilling rigs constructed	1
Total drilling rigs owned at December 31, 2010	121

Table of Contents

Dispositions, Acquisitions, and Construction. During the first quarter 2009, we sold one 750 horsepower mechanical drilling rig for \$3.1 million and recorded a \$0.9 million gain. During the third quarter 2009, we sold a 1,000 horsepower mechanical drilling rig for \$2.8 million and recorded a \$1.9 million gain. During the fourth quarter 2009, we sold a 1,000 horsepower mechanical drilling rig for \$2.7 million and recorded a \$2.0 million gain and acquired one new 1,500 horsepower diesel electric drilling rig for \$13.2 million.

During the first half of 2010, our contract drilling segment sold eight of its idle mechanical drilling rigs to an unaffiliated third party. These drilling rigs ranged in horse power from 800 to 1,000. Proceeds from the sale of those drilling rigs were \$23.9 million with a gain of \$5.7 million which was recorded in the first quarter 2010. The proceeds were used to refurbish and upgrade additional drilling rigs in our fleet allowing those drilling rigs to be used in horizontal drilling operations. We also placed into service in our Rocky Mountain division a 1,500 horsepower, diesel-electric drilling rig that previously had been placed on hold during 2009 by our customer.

In September 2010, we entered into a contract with an unaffiliated third-party under which we conveyed three of our idle mechanical drilling rigs and, in exchange, we received a 1,200 horsepower electric drilling rig and \$5.3 million. The three drilling rigs sold ranged in horsepower from 650 to 1,000. The transaction closed in October and resulted in a gain of \$3.5 million.

Recently we signed two year contracts for each of the five new 1,500 horse power drilling rigs which will be deployed in the Bakken play. We are currently building these rigs, two of which will be delivered during the first quarter of 2011 and the remaining three during the third quarter of 2011.

Historically, our contract drilling segment has experienced a greater demand for natural gas drilling as opposed to drilling for oil and NGLs. Today, with the weakened demand and price for natural gas, operators are primarily focusing on drilling for oil and NGLs. Approximately 73% of our drilling rigs working today are drilling for oil or NGLs and approximately 88% are drilling horizontal or directional wells.

Drilling Contracts. Our drilling contracts are generally obtained through competitive bidding on a well by well basis. Contract terms and payment rates vary depending on the type of contract used, the duration of the work, the equipment and services supplied and other matters. We pay certain operating expenses, including the wages of our drilling personnel, maintenance expenses and incidental drilling rig supplies and equipment. The contracts are usually subject to termination by the customer on short notice and on payment of a fee. Our contracts also contain provisions regarding indemnification against certain types of claims involving injury to persons, property and for acts of pollution. The specific terms of these indemnifications are subject to negotiation on a contract by contract basis.

The type of contract used determines our compensation. Contracts are generally one of three types: daywork; footage; or turnkey. Additional compensation may be acquired for special risks and unusual conditions. Under a daywork contract, we provide the drilling rig with the required personnel and the operator supervises the drilling of the well. Our compensation is based on a negotiated rate to be paid for each day the drilling rig is used. Footage contracts usually require us to bear some of the drilling costs in addition to providing the drilling rig. We are paid on completion of the well at a negotiated rate for each foot drilled. We drilled four wells under a footage contract in 2010, one well in 2009 and none in 2008. Under turnkey contracts we drill the well to a specified depth for a set amount and provide most of the required equipment and services. We bear the risk of drilling the well to the contract depth and are paid when the contract provisions are completed.

Under turnkey contracts we may incur losses if we underestimate the costs to drill the well or if unforeseen events occur that increase our costs or result in the loss of the well. To date, we have not experienced significant losses in performing turnkey contracts. We did not have any turnkey contracts during the last three years. With the exception of the footage contracts noted above, all of our work during the last three years was under daywork contracts. Because market demand for our drilling rigs as well as the desires of our customers determine the types of contracts we use, we cannot predict when and if a part of our drilling will be conducted under footage or turnkey contracts.

Table of Contents

The majority of our contracts are on a well-to-well basis, with the rest under term contracts. Term contracts range from six months to two years and, depending on the contract, the rates can either be fixed throughout the term or allow for periodic adjustments.

Customers. During 2010, QEP Resources, Inc. was our largest drilling customer accounting for approximately 28% of our total contract drilling revenues. Our work for this customer was under multiple contracts and our business was not substantially dependent on any of these individual contracts. Consequently, none of these contracts were considered to be material. No other third party customer accounted for 10% or more of our contract drilling revenues. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, we drilled 75, 38 and 122 wells, respectively, or 13%, 9% and 12%, respectively, of our total wells drilled for our oil and natural gas segment.

Our contract drilling segment also provides drilling services for our oil and natural gas segment. Depending on the timing of the drilling services performed on our properties those services may be deemed, for financial reporting purposes, to be associated with the acquisition of an ownership interest in the property. Revenues and expenses for such services are eliminated in our income statement, with any profit recognized as a reduction in our investment in our oil and natural gas properties. The contracts for these services are issued under the same conditions and rates as the contracts entered into with unrelated third parties. We eliminated revenue of \$40.1 million, \$15.0 million and \$65.5 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively from our contract drilling segment and eliminated the associated operating expense of \$31.0 million, \$13.7 million and \$37.6 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, yielding \$9.1 million, \$1.3 million and \$27.9 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as a reduction to the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties.

OIL AND NATURAL GAS

General. We began to develop our exploration and production operations in 1979 as a means of diversifying our drilling operations. Today, our wholly owned subsidiary, Unit Petroleum Company, conducts our exploration and production activities. Our producing oil and natural gas properties, undeveloped leaseholds and related assets are located mainly in Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, North Dakota, Colorado and Pennsylvania and, to a lesser extent, in Arkansas, New Mexico, Wyoming, Montana, Alabama, Kansas, Mississippi, Michigan, Maryland and a small portion in Canada.

When we are the operator of a property, we generally attempt to use a drilling rig owned by our contract drilling segment.

The following table presents certain information regarding our oil and natural gas operations as of December 31, 2010:

Our Divisions/Area	Number of Gross Wells	Number of Net Wells	Number of Gross Wells in Process	Number of Net Wells in Process	2010 Average Net Daily Production		
					Natural Gas (Mcf)	Oil (Bbls)	NGL (Bbls)
<u>West division</u> (consists principally of the Rocky Mountain region, New Mexico, Western and Southern Texas and the Gulf Coast region)	3,278	538.23	6	3.39	29,989	1,997	1,717
<u>East division</u> (consists principally of the Appalachian region, Arkansas, East Texas, Northern Louisiana and Eastern Oklahoma)	1,146	294.62	1	0.21	38,436	37	12
<u>Central division</u> (consists principally of Kansas, Western Oklahoma and the Texas Panhandle)	3,560	878.06	12	5.32	43,235	2,133	2,515
Total	7,984	1,710.91	19	8.92	111,660	4,167	4,244

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, we did not have any material water floods, pressure maintenance operations, nor any other material operations that were in process.

Description and Location of Our Core Operations

West division. Our Segno play, located primarily in Polk, Tyler and Hardin Counties, Texas, continues to grow as the company expanded its prospect area to the south by entering into a joint exploration agreement with a third party for the use of a proprietary 3-D seismic survey covering approximately 151 square miles. Under the exploration agreement, we were required to drill three Wilcox wells, which we did during 2010. One of the wells resulted in a confirmed gas discovery that started selling gas in late November at an initial rate of approximately 151 Bbls of oil per day, 310 Bbls of NGLs per day, and 3.7 MMcf per day, or an equivalent rate of approximately 6.4 MMcfe per day. The other two wells are potential gas discoveries pending further testing after the pipeline connecting the wells is finished, which should occur in late first quarter 2011. For 2010, we operated and completed 22 wells at an average working interest of 62.5% and a 77% success rate. The overall production from our Segno area for December 2010 averaged 1,141 Bbls of oil per day, 1,371 Bbls of NGLs per day and 16.6 MMcf per day, or an equivalent rate of 31.7 MMcfe per day. The average completed gross well cost was approximately \$3.4 million per well for 2010 wells. For 2011, we plan to drill approximately 20 gross wells with an approximate working interest of 80% for an estimated cost of \$54 million. We own approximately 57,000 gross and 48,000 net acres in the Segno play.

In the Bakken play located in North Dakota, we participated in 20 wells in 2010 with a 100% success rate at an average working interest of 11% and a total cost of approximately \$18.5 million. The finding cost for the 2010 wells averaged \$21.24 per barrel of oil equivalent (BOE) with a total per well cost of approximately \$7.9 million, which equates to gross reserves of approximately 500,000 BOE per well. For 2011, we anticipate participating in approximately 25 gross wells with an average working interest of 15% at a total cost of approximately \$30 million. We own approximately 12,750 net acres in the play and anticipate two to three rigs drilling on its North Dakota Bakken leasehold during 2011.

East division. In Shelby County, Texas, a second horizontal Haynesville well, the KC GU #1H (59% WI) has drilled 4,000 feet of Haynesville lateral. The well was successfully fracture stimulated in late January 2011 and we anticipate first gas sales by the end of February 2011. We expect to drill one to three horizontal Haynesville wells in Shelby County. In Harrison County, Texas, the Double K #1H (33% WI) had first gas sales in late September from the Cotton Valley sand at initial rates of approximately 8.8 MMcf per day and 127 Bbls of oil per day with 2,120 pounds flowing tubing pressure. The lateral length was 4,000 feet and the well was fracture stimulated in 10 stages and 2.3 million pounds of sand. An offset is currently drilling and we anticipate participating in one to two additional wells in 2011.

In the Marcellus play located in Somerset County, Pennsylvania, there were no new wells drilled in 2010 and we don't plan on drilling any new wells in 2011. The current plan is to delay drilling activity until the gas prices improve.

Central division. During 2010 in our Marmaton horizontal oil play located in Beaver County, Oklahoma, we drilled 19 horizontal Marmaton wells with an average working interest of 92% and participated in one outside operated horizontal Marmaton well with a 50% working interest. Completion of many of these wells was delayed until the beginning of the fourth quarter due to the unavailability of third party fracturing services. Early in the fourth quarter, we were able to obtain the needed fracturing services and by year end 2010, had successfully fracture stimulated 11 of the 20 wells, and subsequently had first oil sales on 10 of these wells in late 2010. The initial 30-day average production rate for the 10 wells ranged from 80 BOE per day to 480 BOE per day with an average rate of 230 BOE per day. The average ultimate recovery for each of the 10 completed wells is estimated to be 130,000 BOE at an average completed well cost of approximately \$2.8 million. The current cost to drill and complete new wells is estimated at \$2.5 million. We have secured frac dates for 2011, which should catch up the wells waiting to be fracture stimulated as well as the new wells that will be drilled. For 2011, we anticipate

Table of Contents

running a two drilling rig program in this play that should result in 30 to 35 gross wells at an approximate net cost of \$52 million. We currently have leases on approximately 60,000 net acres in this play.

In our Granite Wash (GW) play located in the Texas Panhandle, we drilled and operated 12 horizontal wells with an average working interest of 73% and four vertical wells with an average working interest of 87%. In addition, we participated in 10 outside operated GW horizontal wells, with an average working interest of approximately 12%, located in the Texas Panhandle and Western Oklahoma. Focusing on the operated horizontal wells, 10 of the 12 completed wells had first oil and gas sales during 2010, consisting of one well in each of the first three quarters and seven wells during the fourth quarter. The GW laterals completed in 2010 include three GW A , six GW B , one GW C1 and two GW F zones. In 2009, we also completed a well in the GW C . This brings the total GW zones that have been successfully completed on our leasehold to five and the plan is to test a sixth zone in the GW D zone in 2011. Highlights from the completed 2010 wells include an 83% working interest in a GW B zone completion with an initial daily peak rate of 1,135 Bbls of oil per day, 662 Bbls of NGLs per day and 6.2 MMcf per day or an equivalent daily rate of approximately 17 MMcfe per day and a 30 day average daily rate of 14.3 MMcfe per day. The first GW F zone completion (100% working interest) had a peak daily rate of 329 Bbls of oil per day, 366 Bbls of NGLs per day, and 3.4 MMcf per day, or an equivalent rate of approximately 7.6 MMcfe per day and a 30 day average rate of 5.8 MMcfe per day. The average daily peak rate for the 2010 completed wells was approximately 8.0 MMcfe per day with oil and liquids accounting for approximately 50% of the production stream at a completed well cost of approximately \$5.1 million. We expect to work three to four Unit drilling rigs drilling Granite Wash horizontal wells in 2011 which equates to approximately 22 operated GW wells at an approximate net cost of \$82 million. In addition, we anticipate we will participate in approximately 16 outside operated horizontal wells at an approximate net cost of \$14 million.

Dispositions and Acquisitions. There were no material dispositions during 2010 or 2009. During 2008 and 2009, we acquired interests in approximately 60,000 net undeveloped acres in the Marcellus Shale Play, located mainly in Pennsylvania and Maryland for approximately \$43.6 million.

In July 2009, we received \$7.1 million and approximately 1,500 net undeveloped acres, representing payment for our 50% interest in 4,000 gross undeveloped acres and reimbursement for costs we paid on their behalf. On September 30, 2009, per our agreement with certain unaffiliated third parties, we were paid approximately \$14.9 million for our 50% interest in approximately 18,000 gross undeveloped acres of the Marcellus Shale and \$26.1 million for a receivable due from those third parties for their 50% share of the costs we paid on their behalf to acquire the acreage. The sales proceeds reduced undeveloped leasehold and no gain or loss was recorded on this sale. We now have an interest in approximately 50,500 net undeveloped acres.

In June 2010, we completed an acquisition of oil and natural gas properties from certain unaffiliated third parties for approximately \$73.7 million in cash. The properties purchased included approximately 45,000 net leasehold acres and 10 producing oil wells and focused on the Marmaton horizontal oil play located mainly in Beaver County, Oklahoma. Proved developed producing net reserves associated with the 10 acquired producing wells is approximately 762,000 BOE consisting of 511,000 barrels of oil, 155,000 barrels of NGLs and 573 MMcf of natural gas.

Also during the second quarter of 2010, we completed an acquisition of approximately 32,000 net acres of undeveloped oil and gas leasehold located in Southwest Oklahoma and North Texas for approximately \$17.6 million.

Table of Contents

Well and Leasehold Data. The following tables identify certain information regarding our oil and natural gas exploratory and development drilling operations:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2010		2009		2008	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Wells drilled:						
Exploratory:						
Oil:						
West division	3	1.41	2	0.28	2	0.95
East division	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central division	1	1.00	0	0	1	0.50
Total oil	4	2.41	2	0.28	3	1.45
Natural gas:						
West division	4	4.00	3	2.50	3	2.80
East division	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central division	1	0.05	0	0	2	1.38
Total natural gas	5	4.05	3	2.50	5	4.18
Dry:						
West division	5	4.12	3	2.10	7	2.60
East division	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central division	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total dry	5	4.12	3	2.10	7	2.60
Total exploratory	14	10.58	8	4.88	15	8.23
Development:						
Oil:						
West division	25	4.69	14	3.54	30	9.04
East division	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central division	43	25.90	6	1.80	25	17.58
Total oil	68	30.59	20	5.34	55	26.62
Natural gas:						
West division	13	10.85	1	1.00	19	11.36
East division	19	11.47	35	16.96	86	33.51
Central division	42	18.22	28	12.77	77	40.61
Total natural gas	74	40.54	64	30.73	182	85.48
Dry:						
West division	4	1.51	1	0.80	9	5.26
East division	1	0.36	1	0.16	2	0.41
Central division	6	3.94	1	0.60	15	8.31
Total dry	11	5.81	3	1.56	26	13.98

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Total development	153	76.94	87	37.63	263	126.08
Total wells drilled	167	87.52	95	42.51	278	134.31

Table of Contents

	2010		Year Ended December 31, 2009		2008	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Wells producing or capable of producing:						
Oil:						
West division	2,052	178.85	2,051	178.85	2,051	177.68
East division	52	2.58	52	2.75	52	2.59
Central division	552	234.05	552	227.73	562	238.00
Total oil	2,656	415.48	2,655	409.33	2,665	418.27
Natural gas:						
West division	1,167	324.33	1,128	314.37	1,113	308.43
East division	1,086	290.04	1,052	266.04	1,025	251.18
Central division	2,927	611.05	2,868	580.57	2,877	592.23
Total natural gas	5,180	1,225.42	5,048	1,160.98	5,015	1,151.84
Total	7,836	1,640.90	7,703	1,570.31	7,680	1,570.11

As of February 11, 2011, we had participated in 14 gross (10.13 net) wells started during 2011.

Cost incurred for development drilling includes \$84.6 million, \$24.5 million and \$89.4 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, to develop booked proved undeveloped oil and natural gas reserves.

The following table summarizes our leasehold acreage at December 31, 2010:

	Year Ended December 31, 2010					
	Developed		Undeveloped		Total	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net ⁽¹⁾	Gross	Net
West division	299,268	94,739	278,565	160,561	577,833	255,300
East division	190,073	61,478	241,389	72,263	431,462	133,741
Central division	603,934	182,677	211,316	123,524	815,250	306,201
Total	1,093,275	338,894	731,270	356,348	1,824,545	695,242

(1) Approximately 70% (West 45%, East 89% and Central 91%) of the net undeveloped acres are covered by leases that will expire in the years 2011-2013 unless drilling or production extends the terms of those leases.

The future estimated development costs necessary to develop our proved undeveloped oil and natural gas reserves in the United States for the years 2011-2015, as disclosed in our December 31, 2010 oil and natural gas reserve report, are \$102.6 million, \$107.7 million, \$25.4 million, \$20.1 million and \$5.6 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Price and Production Data. The following table identifies the average sales price, oil, NGLs and natural gas production volumes and average production cost per equivalent Mcf for our oil, NGLs and natural gas production for the years indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Average sales price per barrel of oil produced:			
Price before hedging	\$ 76.65	\$ 56.64	\$ 98.02
Effect of hedging	(7.13)	(0.31)	(4.15)
Price including hedging	\$ 69.52	\$ 56.33	\$ 93.87
Average sales price per barrel of NGLs produced:			
Price before hedging	\$ 36.96	\$ 25.66	\$ 47.38
Effect of hedging	0.08	(2.85)	0.04
Price including hedging	\$ 37.04	\$ 22.81	\$ 47.42
Average sales price per Mcf of natural gas produced:			
Price before hedging	\$ 4.05	\$ 3.26	\$ 7.53
Effect of hedging	1.57	2.33	0.09
Price including hedging	\$ 5.62	\$ 5.59	\$ 7.62

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Oil production (MBbls):			
West division	729	648	654
East division	14	13	14
Central division:			
Mendota field	149	138	127
All other central division fields	629	487	466
Total central division	778	625	593
Total oil production (MBbls)	1,521	1,286	1,261
NGL production (MBbls):			
West division	627	699	729
East division	4	5	4
Central division:			
Mendota field	494	475	375
All other central division fields	424	309	280
Total central division	918	784	655
Total NGL production (MBbls)	1,549	1,488	1,388
Natural gas production (MMcf):			
West division	10,946	12,395	14,554
East division	14,029	14,639	16,053
Central division:			
Mendota field	4,050	4,227	3,402
All other central division fields	11,731	12,802	13,464
Total central division	15,781	17,029	16,866
Total natural gas production (MMcf)	40,756	44,063	47,473
Total production (MMcfe):			
West division	19,079	20,474	22,852
East division	14,137	14,749	16,162
Central division:			
Mendota field	7,910	7,906	6,412
All other central division fields	18,050	17,580	17,942
Total central division	25,960	25,486	24,354
Total production (MMcfe)	59,176	60,709	63,368
Average production cost per equivalent Mcf	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.86

Our Mendota field is the only field that contains greater than 15% or more of our total proved reserves expressed on an oil equivalent barrels basis.

Table of Contents

Oil, NGL and Natural Gas Reserves. The following table identifies our estimated proved developed and undeveloped oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves:

	Year Ended December 31, 2010			Total Proved Reserves (MMcfe)
	Natural Gas (MMcf)	Oil (MBbls)	NGL (MBbls)	
Proved developed:				
West division	71,941	4,634	4,011	123,814
East division	121,937	58	36	122,500
Central division	153,050	8,081	8,041	249,780
Total proved developed	346,928	12,773	12,088	496,094
Proved undeveloped:				
West division	5,966	2,313	84	20,345
East division	13,434	0	0	13,433
Central division	54,158	2,408	3,945	92,280
Total proved undeveloped	73,558	4,721	4,029	126,058
Total proved	420,486	17,494	16,117	622,152

Oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves cannot be measured exactly. Estimates of oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves require extensive judgments of reservoir engineering data and are generally less precise than other estimates made in connection with financial disclosures. We use Ryder Scott Company L.P. (Ryder Scott), independent petroleum consultants, to audit our reserves as prepared by our reservoir engineers. Ryder Scott has been providing petroleum consulting services throughout the world for over seventy years, their summary report is attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Form 10-K. The wells or locations for which estimates of reserves were audited were reserves that comprised the top 83% of the total proved developed discounted future net income and 80% of the total proved undeveloped discounted future net income based on the unescalated pricing policy of the SEC as taken from reserve and income projections prepared by us as of December 31, 2010.

Our Reservoir Engineering department is responsible for reserve determination for all wells in which we have an interest. Their primary objective is to estimate our future reserves and their future net value to us. Data is incorporated from multiple sources including geological, production engineering, marketing, production, land and accounting departments. The engineers are responsible for reviewing this information for accuracy as it incorporated into the reservoir engineering database and the internal audit group has a checklist of review tasks to confirm the correctness of data transfer. New well reserve estimates are provided to management as well as the respective operational divisions for additional scrutiny. Major reserve changes on existing wells are reviewed on a regular basis with the operational divisions to confirm correctness and accuracy. As the external audit is being completed by Ryder Scott, the reservoir department performs a final review of all properties for accuracy of forecasting.

Technical Qualifications

Ryder Scott Mr. Fred P. Richoux is the technical person designated to be in responsible charge on behalf of Ryder Scott for our audit of reserves.

Mr. Richoux, an employee of Ryder Scott Company L.P. (Ryder Scott) since 1978, is the Executive Vice President and member of the Board of Directors at Ryder Scott Company. He is responsible for coordinating and supervising staff and consulting engineers of the company in ongoing reservoir evaluation studies worldwide. Before joining Ryder Scott, Mr. Richoux served in a number of engineering positions with Phillips Petroleum Company. For more information regarding Mr. Richoux's geographic and job specific experience, please refer to the Ryder Scott Company website at <http://www.ryderscott.com/Experience/Employees>.

Table of Contents

Mr. Richoux earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Louisiana at Lafayette and is a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Texas and the Province of Alberta. He is also a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers and the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers.

In addition to gaining experience and competency through prior work experience, the Texas Board of Professional Engineers requires a minimum of 15 hours of continuing education annually, including at least one hour in the area of professional ethics, which Mr. Richoux fulfills. As part as his 2010 continuing education hours, Mr. Richoux attended nine hours of formalized training relating to the definitions and disclosure guidelines contained in the United States Securities and Exchange Commission Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Modernization of Oil and Gas Reporting, Final Rule released January 14, 2009 in the Federal Register. Mr. Richoux attended an additional 26 hours of formalized in-house training as well as six hours of formalized external training covering such topics as the SPE/WPC/AAPG/SPEE Petroleum Resources Management System, reservoir engineering, geosciences and petroleum economics evaluation methods, procedures and software and ethics for consultants. Mr. Richoux also served as instructor for a full day course on reserve evaluations under SEC and PRMS guidelines. This course was presented five times. He also served as the technical presenter in a webinar related to the new SEC guidance on reserve evaluations.

Based on his educational background, professional training and more than 40 years of practical experience in the estimation and evaluation of petroleum reserves, Mr. Richoux has attained the professional qualifications as a Reserve Estimator [requires appropriate degree and/or is registered as Professional Engineer and has a minimum of three years experience in the estimation and evaluation of reserves] and Reserve Auditor [requires appropriate degree and/or is registered as Professional Engineer and has a minimum of 10 years experience in the estimation and evaluation of reserves of which at least five years of such experience is being in responsible charge of the estimation and evaluation of reserves] set forth in Article III of the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers as of February 19, 2007.

Unit Corporation Responsibility for overseeing the preparation of Unit's reserve report is shared by reservoir engineers Trenton Mitchell and Robert Lyon.

Mr. Mitchell earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering from Texas A&M University in 1994. He has been an employee of Unit since 2002. Initially, he was the Outside Operated Engineer and since 2003 he has served in the capacity of Reservoir Engineer and in 2010 he was promoted to Manager of Reservoir Engineering. Before joining Unit, he served in a number of engineering field and technical support positions with Schlumberger Well Services in their pumping services segment (formerly Dowell Schlumberger). He obtained his Professional Engineer registration from the State of Oklahoma in 2004 and has been a member of SPE since 1991.

Mr. Lyon received a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering from the University of Tulsa in 1972 and has spent 32 of his 39 years in the industry directly involved in reserve calculation work. Included in this time were 15 years working for petroleum consulting firms Raymond F. Kravis and Associates and Southmayd and Associates performing independent reserve appraisals and audits for corporations and individuals. He joined Unit in 1996 and has shared responsibility for preparation of the company's reserve report since that time. Mr. Lyon is a registered professional engineer in the State of Oklahoma and a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers.

As part of the continuing education requirement for maintaining their professional licenses Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Lyon have attended various seminars and forums to enhance their understanding of the recent changes that have occurred in SEC rules pertaining to reserves presentation. These forums have included those sponsored by various professional societies and professional service firms including Ryder Scott.

Table of Contents

Definitions and Other. Proved oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves, as defined in SEC Rule 4-10(a), are those quantities of oil and gas, which, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible from a given date forward, from known reservoirs and under existing economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for estimation. The project to extract the hydrocarbons must have commenced or the operator must be reasonably certain that it will commence the project within a reasonable time.

The area of the reservoir considered as proved includes:

The area identified by drilling and limited by fluid contacts, if any, and

Adjacent undrilled portions of the reservoir that can, with reasonable certainty, be judged to be continuous with it and to contain economically producible oil or gas on the basis of available geosciences and engineering data.

In the absence of data on fluid contacts, proved quantities in a reservoir are limited by the lowest known hydrocarbons (LKH) as seen in a well penetration unless geosciences, engineering or performance data and reliable technology establishes a lower contact with reasonable certainty.

Where direct observation from well penetrations has defined a highest known oil (HKO) elevation and the potential exist for an associated gas cap, proved oil reserves may be assigned in the structurally higher portions of the reservoir only if geosciences, engineering or performance data and reliable technology establish the higher contact with reasonable certainty.

Reserves which can be produced economically through application of improved recovery techniques (including, but not limited to, fluid injection) are included in the proved classification when:

Successful testing by a pilot project in an area of the reservoir with properties no more favorable than in the reservoir as a whole, the operation of an installed program in the reservoir or other evidence using reliable technology establishes reasonable certainty of the engineering analysis on which the project or program was based; and

The project has been approved for development by all necessary parties and entities, including governmental entities.

Existing economic conditions include prices and costs at which economic producibility from a reservoir is to be determined. The price shall be the average price during the 12-month period prior to the ending date of the period covered by the report, determined as an unweighted arithmetic average of the first day of month price for each month within such period, unless prices are defined by contractual arrangements, excluding escalations based upon future conditions.

Proved undeveloped oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves are proved reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage, or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion. Reserves on undrilled acreage shall be limited to those directly offsetting development spacing areas that are reasonably certain of production when drilled, unless evidence using reliable technology exists that establishes reasonable certainty of economic producibility at greater distances. Undrilled locations can be classified as having undeveloped reserves only if a development plan has been adopted indicating that they are scheduled to be drilled within five years, unless the specific circumstances, justify a longer time. Under no circumstances shall estimates for proved undeveloped reserves be attributable to any acreage for which an application of fluid injection or other improved recovery technique is contemplated, unless such techniques have been proved effective by actual projects in the same reservoir or an analogous reservoir, or by other evidence using reliable technology establishing reasonable certainty.

Table of Contents

Proved Undeveloped Reserves. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately 142 gross proved undeveloped wells (PUDs) all of which we have plans to develop within the next five years for a net cost of approximately \$261.4 million. We do not have any aged PUDs (PUDs greater than five years). During 2010, we converted 35 PUDs into proved developed wells (PDPs) at a cost of approximately \$84.6 million.

Our estimated proved reserves and the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows of the proved reserves at December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, the changes in quantities and standardized measure of such reserves for the three years then ended, are shown in the Supplemental Oil and Gas Disclosures included in Item 8 of this report.

Contracts. Our oil production is sold at or near our wells under purchase contracts at prevailing prices in accordance with arrangements customary in the oil industry. Our natural gas production is sold to intrastate and interstate pipelines as well as to independent marketing firms under contracts with terms generally ranging from one month to a year. Few of these contracts contain provisions for readjustment of price as most of them are market sensitive.

Customers. During 2010, we did not have a third party purchaser that accounted for 10% or more of our oil and natural gas revenues, the top five third party purchasers accounted for approximately 34% of our oil and natural gas revenues. During 2010, our mid-stream segment purchased \$42.4 million of our natural gas and NGLs production and provided gathering and transportation services of \$4.4 million. Intercompany revenue from services and purchases of production between our mid-stream segment and our oil and natural gas segment has been eliminated in our consolidated financial statements. In 2009 and 2008, we eliminated intercompany revenues of \$33.9 million and \$56.3 million, respectively, attributable to the production of natural gas and NGLs as well as gathering and transportation services.

MID-STREAM

General. Superior Pipeline Company L.L.C. is a mid-stream company engaged primarily in the buying, selling, gathering, processing and treating of natural gas and operates three natural gas treatment plants, 10 operating processing plants, 34 active gathering systems and 860 miles of pipeline. Superior and its subsidiary operate in Oklahoma, Texas, Kansas, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

The following table presents certain information regarding our mid-stream segment for the years indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Gas gathered MMBtu/day	183,867	183,989	197,367
Gas processed MMBtu/day	82,175	75,908	67,796
NGLs sold gallons/day	271,360	243,492	195,837

Dispositions and Acquisitions. This segment did not have any significant dispositions or acquisitions during 2010 or 2009.

Contracts. Our mid-stream segment provides its customers with a full range of gathering, processing and treating services. These services are usually provided to each customer under long-term contracts (more than one year), but we do have some short-term contracts as well. Our customer agreements include the following types of contracts:

Fee-Based Contracts. These contracts provide for a set fee for gathering and transporting raw natural gas. Our mid-stream's revenue is a function of the volume of natural gas that is gathered or transported and is not directly dependent on the value of the natural gas. For the year ended December 31, 2010, 51% of our mid-stream segment's total volumes and 15% of operating margins (as defined below) were under fee-based contracts.

Table of Contents

Percent of Proceeds Contracts (POP). These contracts provide for our mid-stream segment to retain a negotiated percentage of the sale proceeds from residue natural gas and NGLs it gathers and processes, with the remainder being remitted to the producer. In this arrangement, Superior and the producers are directly dependent on the volume of the commodity and its value; Superior owns a percentage of that commodity and is directly subject to fluctuations in its market value. For the year ended December 31, 2010, 33% of our mid-stream segment's total volumes and 38% of operating margins (as defined below) were under POP contracts.

Percent of Index Contracts (POI). Under these contracts our mid-stream segment, as the processor, purchases raw well-head natural gas from the producer at a stipulated index price and, after processing the natural gas, sells the processed residual gas and the produced NGLs to third parties. Our mid-stream segment is subject to the economic risk (processing margin risk) that the aggregate proceeds from the sale of the processed natural gas and the NGLs could be less than the amount paid for the unprocessed natural gas. For the year ended December 31, 2010, 16% of our mid-stream segment's total volumes and 47% of operating margins (as defined below) were under POI contracts.

For the above contracts, operating margin is defined as total operating revenues less operating expenses and does not include depreciation and amortization, general and administrative expenses, interest expense or income taxes.

Customers. During 2010, ONEOK, Gavlion and ConocoPhillips accounted for approximately 53%, 12% and 12%, respectively, of our mid-stream revenues. We believe that if we lost one or more of these three identified customers, that there are other customers available to purchase our gas and liquids.

VOLATILE NATURE OF OUR BUSINESS

The prevailing prices for oil, NGLs and natural gas significantly affect our revenues, operating results, cash flow as well as our ability to grow our operations. Historically, oil, NGLs and natural gas prices have been volatile, and we expect them to continue to be so. The following table shows for each of the periods indicated the highest and lowest average prices our oil and natural gas segment received for its sales of oil, NGLs and natural gas without taking into account the effect of our hedging activity:

Quarter	Oil Price per Bbl		NGL Price per Bbl		Natural Gas Price per Mcf	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
2010:						
Fourth	\$ 85.37	\$ 78.20	\$ 43.34	\$ 38.01	\$ 4.00	\$ 2.87
Third	\$ 72.69	\$ 72.23	\$ 33.05	\$ 29.15	\$ 4.43	\$ 3.12
Second	\$ 81.18	\$ 71.19	\$ 36.20	\$ 31.29	\$ 3.99	\$ 3.37
First	\$ 78.08	\$ 73.83	\$ 43.39	\$ 41.50	\$ 5.57	\$ 4.47
2009:						
Fourth	\$ 75.11	\$ 71.76	\$ 43.22	\$ 31.12	\$ 4.38	\$ 3.35
Third	\$ 67.62	\$ 60.69	\$ 27.38	\$ 21.38	\$ 3.30	\$ 2.37
Second	\$ 66.48	\$ 39.93	\$ 27.30	\$ 21.34	\$ 2.90	\$ 2.59
First	\$ 42.26	\$ 34.75	\$ 19.95	\$ 17.89	\$ 4.67	\$ 2.45
2008:						
Fourth	\$ 75.09	\$ 39.22	\$ 29.27	\$ 24.36	\$ 4.76	\$ 4.25
Third	\$ 131.75	\$ 102.26	\$ 70.22	\$ 54.14	\$ 11.51	\$ 5.39
Second	\$ 134.81	\$ 109.78	\$ 60.98	\$ 50.82	\$ 10.68	\$ 8.70
First	\$ 102.74	\$ 91.14	\$ 54.43	\$ 45.91	\$ 8.33	\$ 6.59

Table of Contents

Prices for oil, NGLs and natural gas are subject to wide fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in the actual or perceived supply of and demand for oil and natural gas, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control, including:

political conditions in oil producing regions, including the Middle East, Nigeria and Venezuela;

the ability of the members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries to agree to and maintain oil price and production controls;

demand for oil and natural gas from developing nations including China and India;

the price of foreign imports;

imports of liquefied natural gas;

actions of governmental authorities;

the domestic and foreign supply of oil, NGLs and natural gas;

the level of consumer demand;

United States storage levels of natural gas;

the ability to transport natural gas or oil to key markets;

weather conditions;

domestic and foreign government regulations;

the price, availability and acceptance of alternative fuels;

the time period associated with the volatility in commodity prices; and

overall economic conditions in the United States as well as the world.

These factors and the volatile nature of the energy markets make it impossible to predict the future prices of oil, NGLs and natural gas. You are encouraged to read the Risk Factors discussed in Item 1A of this report for additional risks that can impact our operations.

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Our contract drilling operations are dependent on the level of demand in our operating markets. Both short-term and long-term trends in oil and natural gas prices affect demand. Because oil and natural gas prices are volatile, the level of demand for our services can also be volatile. Both demand for our drilling rigs and dayrates steadily declined throughout 2009. This was followed by a gradual increase in activity (as well as dayrates) during 2010.

Our mid-stream operations provide us greater flexibility in delivering our (and other parties) natural gas and NGLs from the wellhead to major natural gas pipelines. Margins received for the delivery of these natural gas and NGLs are dependent on the price for oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids and the demand for natural gas and NGLs in our area of operations. If the price of NGLs falls without a corresponding decrease in the cost of natural gas, it may become uneconomical to us to extract certain NGLs. The volumes of natural gas and NGLs processed are highly dependent on the volume and Btu content of the natural gas and NGLs gathered.

Table of Contents

COMPETITION

All of our businesses are highly competitive and price sensitive. Competition in the contract drilling business traditionally involves factors such as demand, price, efficiency, condition of equipment, availability of labor and equipment, reputation and customer relations. We are the fifth largest U.S. deep onshore drilling contractor.

Our oil and natural gas operations likewise encounter strong competition from other oil and gas companies. Many of these competitors have greater financial, technical and other resources than we do and have more experience than we do in the exploration for and production of oil and natural gas.

Our mid-stream segment competes with purchasers and gatherers of all types and sizes, including those affiliated with various producers, other major pipeline companies, as well as independent gatherers for the right to purchase natural gas and NGLs, build gathering systems and deliver the natural gas and NGLs once the gathering systems are established. The principal elements of competition include the rates, terms and availability of services, reputation and the flexibility and reliability of service.

During 2009, competition to keep and attract qualified employees to conduct our operations did not materially affect us due to the depressed conditions within our operations. With the increase in our segment's operations over last year's levels, competition to keep qualified labor has increased and our operations beyond fourth quarter 2010 levels could be hampered by limited availability of personnel.

OIL AND NATURAL GAS PROGRAMS AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Unit Petroleum Company serves as the general partner of 16 oil and gas limited partnerships. Three of these partnerships were formed for investment by third parties and 13 (the employee partnerships) were formed to allow our employees and directors the opportunity to participate with Unit Petroleum Company in its operations. The partnerships formed for use in connection with third party investments were formed in 1984 and 1986. One employee partnership has been formed each year beginning with 1984.

The employee partnerships formed in 1984 through 1999 have been combined into a single consolidated partnership. The employee partnerships each have a set annual percentage (ranging from 1% to 15%) of our interest that the partnership acquires in most of the oil and natural gas wells we drill or acquire for our own account during the year in which the partnership was formed. The total interest the participants have in our oil and natural gas wells by participating in these partnerships does not exceed one percent of our interest in the wells.

Under the terms of our partnership agreements, the general partner has broad discretionary authority to manage the business and operations of the partnership, including the authority to make decisions regarding the partnership's participation in a drilling location or a property acquisition, the partnership's expenditure of funds and the distribution of funds to partners. Because the business activities of the limited partners and the general partner are not the same, conflicts of interest will exist and it is not possible to entirely eliminate these conflicts. Additionally, conflicts of interest may arise when we are the operator of an oil and natural gas well and also provide contract drilling services. In these cases, the drilling operations are conducted under drilling contracts containing terms and conditions comparable to those contained in our drilling contracts with non-affiliated operators. We believe we fulfill our responsibility to each contracting party and comply fully with the terms of the agreements which regulate these conflicts.

These partnerships are further described in Notes 2 and 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report.

Table of Contents

EMPLOYEES

As of February 11, 2011, we had approximately 1,888 employees in our contract drilling segment, 181 employees in our oil and natural gas segment, 88 employees in our mid-stream segment and 102 in our general corporate area. None of our employees are members of a union or labor organization nor have our operations ever been interrupted by a strike or work stoppage. We consider relations with our employees to be satisfactory.

GOVERNMENTAL REGULATIONS

Our business depends on the demand for services from the oil and natural gas exploration and development industry, and therefore our business can be affected by political developments and changes in laws and regulations that control or curtail drilling for oil and natural gas for economic, environmental or other policy reasons.

Various state and federal regulations affect the production and sale of oil and natural gas. All states in which we conduct activities impose varying restrictions on the drilling, production, transportation and sale of oil and natural gas.

Under the Natural Gas Act of 1938, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the FERC) regulates the interstate transportation and the sale in interstate commerce for resale of natural gas. The FERC's jurisdiction over interstate natural gas sales has been substantially modified by the Natural Gas Policy Act under which the FERC continued to regulate the maximum selling prices of certain categories of gas sold in first sales in interstate and intrastate commerce. Effective January 1, 1993, however, the Natural Gas Wellhead Decontrol Act (the Decontrol Act) deregulated natural gas prices for all first sales of natural gas. Because first sales include typical wellhead sales by producers, all natural gas produced from our natural gas properties is sold at market prices, subject to the terms of any private contracts which may be in effect. The FERC's jurisdiction over natural gas transportation is not affected by the Decontrol Act.

Our sales of natural gas will be affected by intrastate and interstate gas transportation regulation. Beginning in 1985, the FERC adopted regulatory changes that have significantly altered the transportation and marketing of natural gas. These changes are intended by the FERC to foster competition by, among other things, transforming the role of interstate pipeline companies from wholesale marketers of natural gas to the primary role of gas transporters. All natural gas marketing by the pipelines is required to divest to a marketing affiliate, which operates separately from the transporter and in direct competition with all other merchants. As a result of the various omnibus rulemaking proceedings in the late 1980s and the subsequent individual pipeline restructuring proceedings of the early to mid-1990s, interstate pipelines must provide open and nondiscriminatory transportation and transportation-related services to all producers, natural gas marketing companies, local distribution companies, industrial end users and other customers seeking service. Through similar orders affecting intrastate pipelines that provide similar interstate services, the FERC expanded the impact of open access regulations to certain aspects of intrastate commerce.

FERC has pursued other policy initiatives that have affected natural gas marketing. Most notable are (1) the large-scale divestiture of interstate pipeline-owned gas gathering facilities to affiliated or non-affiliated companies; (2) further development of rules governing the relationship of the pipelines with their marketing affiliates; (3) the publication of standards relating to the use of electronic bulletin boards and electronic data exchange by the pipelines to make available transportation information on a timely basis and to enable transactions to occur on a purely electronic basis; (4) further review of the role of the secondary market for released pipeline capacity and its relationship to open access service in the primary market; and (5) development of policy and promulgation of orders pertaining to its authorization of market-based rates (rather than traditional cost-of-service based rates) for transportation or transportation-related services upon the pipeline's demonstration of lack of market control in the relevant service market. We do not know what effect the FERC's other activities will have on the access to markets, the fostering of competition and the cost of doing business.

Table of Contents

As a result of these changes, independent sellers and buyers of natural gas have gained direct access to the particular pipeline services they need and are better able to conduct business with a larger number of counter parties. We believe these changes generally have improved the access to markets for natural gas while, at the same time, substantially increasing competition in the natural gas marketplace. However, we cannot predict what new or different regulations the FERC and other regulatory agencies may adopt or what effect subsequent regulations may have on production and marketing of natural gas from our properties.

Although in the past Congress has been very active in the area of natural gas regulation as discussed above, the more recent trend has been in favor of deregulation and the promotion of competition in the natural gas industry. Thus, in addition to first sales deregulation, Congress also repealed incremental pricing requirements and natural gas use restraints previously applicable. There are other legislative proposals pending in the Federal and state legislatures which, if enacted, would significantly affect the petroleum industry. At the present time, it is impossible to predict what proposals, if any, might actually be enacted by Congress or the various state legislatures and what effect, if any, these proposals might have on the production and marketing of natural gas by us. Similarly, and despite the trend toward federal deregulation of the natural gas industry, whether or to what extent that trend will continue or what the ultimate effect will be on the production and marketing of natural gas by us cannot be predicted.

Our sales of oil and natural gas liquids currently are not regulated and are at market prices. The prices received from the sale of these products are affected by the cost of transporting these products to market. Much of that transportation is through interstate common carrier pipelines. Effective as of January 1, 1995, the FERC implemented regulations generally grandfathering all previously approved interstate transportation rates and establishing an indexing system for those rates by which adjustments are made annually based on the rate of inflation, subject to certain conditions and limitations. These regulations may tend to increase the cost of transporting oil and natural gas liquids by interstate pipeline, although the annual adjustments could result in decreased rates in a given year. These regulations have generally been approved on judicial review. Every five years, the FERC will examine the relationship between the annual change in the applicable index and the actual cost changes experienced by the oil pipeline industry and make any necessary adjustment in the index to be used during the ensuing five years. We are not able to predict with certainty what effect, if any, the periodic review of the index by the FERC will have on us.

Federal, state, and local agencies also have promulgated extensive rules and regulations applicable to our oil and natural gas exploration, production and related operations. Oklahoma, Texas and other states require permits for drilling operations, drilling bonds and the filing of reports concerning operations and impose other requirements relating to the exploration of oil and natural gas. Many states also have statutes or regulations addressing conservation matters including provisions for the unitization or pooling of oil and natural gas properties, the establishment of maximum rates of production from oil and natural gas wells and the regulation of spacing, plugging and abandonment of such wells. The statutes and regulations of some states limit the rate at which oil and natural gas is produced from our properties. The federal and state regulatory burden on the oil and natural gas industry increases our cost of doing business and affects our profitability. Because these rules and regulations are amended or reinterpreted frequently, we are unable to predict the future cost or impact of complying with those laws.

Our operations are subject to increasingly stringent federal, state and local laws and regulations governing protection of the environment. These laws and regulations may require acquisition of permits before certain of our operations may be commenced and may restrict the types, quantities and concentrations of various substances that can be released into the environment. Planning and implementation of protective measures are required to prevent accidental discharges. Spills of oil, natural gas liquids, drilling fluids, and other substances may subject us to penalties and cleanup requirements. Handling, storage and disposal of both hazardous and non-hazardous wastes are subject to regulatory requirements.

The federal Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act, the federal Clean Air Act, the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, and their state counterparts, are the primary vehicles for imposition of

Table of Contents

such requirements and for civil, criminal and administrative penalties and other sanctions for violation of their requirements. In addition, the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act and similar state statutes impose strict liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons who are considered responsible for the release of hazardous substances into the environment. Such liability, which may be imposed for the conduct of others and for conditions others have caused, includes the cost of remedial action as well as damages to natural resources.

Climate Regulation. Recent scientific studies have suggested that emissions of certain gases, commonly referred to as greenhouse gases, may be contributing to warming of the Earth's atmosphere. As a result there have been a variety of regulatory developments, proposals or requirements and legislative initiatives that have been introduced in the United States (as well as other parts of the World) that are focused on restricting the emission of carbon dioxide, methane and other greenhouse gases.

In 2007, the United States Supreme Court in Massachusetts, et al. v. EPA, held that carbon dioxide may be regulated as an air pollutant under the federal Clean Air Act if it represents a health hazard to the public. On December 7, 2009, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) responded to the Massachusetts, et al. v. EPA decision and issued a finding that the current and projected concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere threaten the public health and welfare of current and future generations, and that certain greenhouse gases from new motor vehicles and motor vehicle engines contribute to the atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases and hence to the threat of climate change.

In June 2009 the U.S. House of Representatives passed the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (sometimes referred to as the Waxman-Markey global climate change bill). The bill includes many provisions that would potentially have a significant impact on us as well as our customers. The bill proposes a cap and trade regime, a renewable portfolio standard, electric efficiency standards, revised transmission policy and mandated investments in plug-in hybrid infrastructure and smart grid technology. Although proposals have been introduced in the U.S. Senate, including a proposal that would require greater reductions in greenhouse gas emissions than the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009, it is uncertain at this time whether, and in what form, legislation will be adopted by the U.S. Senate. Both President Obama and the Administrator of the EPA have repeatedly indicated their preference for comprehensive legislation to address this issue and create the framework for a clean energy economy.

On September 22, 2009, EPA finalized a rule requiring nation-wide reporting of greenhouse gas emissions beginning January 1, 2010. The rule applies primarily to large facilities emitting 25,000 metric tons or more of carbon dioxide-equivalent greenhouse gas emissions per year, and to most upstream suppliers of fossil fuels and industrial greenhouse gas, as well as to manufacturers of vehicles and engines.

The EPA, has commenced a study of the potential environmental impacts of hydraulic fracturing, including the impact on drinking water sources and public health, and a committee of the U.S. House of Representatives is also conducting an investigation of hydraulic fracturing practices. Legislation has been introduced before Congress to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing and to require disclosure of the chemicals used in the fracturing process. In addition, some states, as well as municipalities and other local governmental entities in some states, have and others are considering adopting regulations and ordinances that could restrict or ban hydraulic fracturing in certain circumstances. Any new laws, regulation or permitting requirements regarding hydraulic fracturing could lead to operational delay, or increased operating costs or third party or governmental claims, and could result in additional burdens that could serve to delay or limit the drilling services we provide to third parties whose drilling operations could be impacted by these regulations or increase our costs of compliance and doing business as well as delay the development of unconventional gas resources from shale formations which are not commercial without the use of hydraulic fracturing. Restrictions on hydraulic fracturing could also reduce the amount of oil and natural gas that we are ultimately able to produce from our reserves.

Table of Contents

We do not know and cannot predict whether any of the proposed legislation or regulations will be adopted as initially written, if at all, or how legislation or new regulations that may be adopted to address greenhouse gas emissions and/or hydraulic fracturing would impact our business segments. Depending on the final provisions of such legislation, rules or ordinances, it is possible that such future laws, regulations and/or ordinances could result in increasing our compliance costs or additional operating restrictions as well as those of our customers. It is also possible that such future developments could curtail the demand for fossil fuels which could adversely affect the demand for our services, which in turn could adversely affect our future results of operations. Likewise we cannot predict with any certainty whether any changes to temperature, storm intensity or precipitation patterns as a result of climate change (or otherwise) will have a material impact on our operations.

Compliance with applicable environmental requirements has not, to date, had a material effect on the cost of our operations, earnings or competitive position. However, as noted above in connection with our discussion of the regulation of greenhouse gases and hydraulic fracturing, compliance with amended, new or more stringent requirements of existing environmental regulations or requirements may cause us to incur additional costs or subject us to liabilities that may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

Revenues from our Canadian operations during the last three fiscal years, as well as information relating to long-lived assets attributable to those operations are immaterial. We have no other international operations.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS/CAUTIONARY STATEMENT AND RISK FACTORS

This report, including information included in, or incorporated by reference from future filings by us with the SEC, as well as information contained in written material, press releases and oral statements issued by or on our behalf, contain, or may contain, certain statements that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws. This report modifies and supersedes documents filed by us before this report. In addition, certain information that we file with the SEC in the future will automatically update and supersede information contained in this report. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included or incorporated by reference in this report, which address activities, events or developments which we expect or anticipate will or may occur in the future, are forward-looking statements. The words believes, intends, expects, anticipates, projects, estimates, predicts and similar expressions are used to identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements include, among others, such things as:

the amount and nature of our future capital expenditures and how we expect to fund our capital expenditures;

the amount of wells we plan to drill or rework;

prices for oil, NGLs and natural gas;

demand for oil and natural gas;

our exploration prospects;

the estimates of our proved oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves;

oil, NGLs and natural gas reserve potential;

development and infill drilling potential;

our drilling prospects;

expansion and other development trends of the oil and natural gas industry;

our business strategy;

production of oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves;

growth potential for our mid-stream operations;

gathering systems and processing plants we plan to construct or acquire;

volumes and prices for natural gas gathered and processed;

expansion and growth of our business and operations;

demand for our drilling rigs and drilling rig rates;

our belief that the final outcome of our legal proceedings will not materially affect our financial results; and

our ability to timely secure third party services used in completing our wells.

These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by us in light of our experience and our perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments as well as other factors we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. However, whether actual results and developments will conform to our expectations and predictions is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations, including:

the risk factors discussed in this report and in the documents we incorporate by reference;

general economic, market or business conditions;

Table of Contents

the nature or lack of business opportunities that we pursue;

demand for our land drilling services;

changes in laws or regulations;

the time period associated with decreases in commodity prices; and

other factors, most of which are beyond our control.

You should not place undue reliance on any of these forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we disclaim any current intention to update forward-looking information and to release publicly the results of any future revisions we may make to forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

In order to help provide you with a more thorough understanding of the possible effects of some of these influences on any forward-looking statements made by us, the following discussion outlines some (but not all) of the factors that could in the future cause our 2011 and following consolidated results to differ materially from those that may be presented in any forward-looking statement made by us or on our behalf.

Drilling Customer Demand. With the exception of the drilling we do for our own account, the demand for our drilling services depends entirely on the needs of third parties. Based on past history, these parties' requirements are subject to a number of factors, independent of any subjective factors that directly impact the demand for our drilling rigs, including the availability of funds to carry out their drilling operations. For many of these parties, even if they have available funds, their decision to spend those funds is often based on the then current price for oil, NGLs and natural gas. Other factors that affect our ability to work our drilling rigs are: the weather which, under certain circumstances, can delay or even cause the abandonment of a project by an operator; the competition we face in securing the award of drilling contracts; our lack of prior history in and recognition in a new market area; and the availability of labor to operate our drilling rigs.

Oil, NGLs and Natural Gas Prices. The prices we receive for our oil, NGLs and natural gas production have a direct impact on our revenues, profitability and cash flow as well as our ability to meet our projected financial and operational goals. The prices for oil, NGLs and natural gas are determined on a number of factors beyond our control, including:

the demand for oil, NGLs and natural gas;

current weather conditions in the continental United States (which can greatly influence the demand and prices for natural gas at any given time);

the amount and timing of liquid natural gas imports; and

the ability of current distribution systems in the United States to effectively meet the demand for oil, NGLs and natural gas at any given time, particularly in times of peak demand which may result because of adverse weather conditions.

Oil prices are extremely sensitive to foreign influences based on political, social or economic underpinnings, any one of which could have an immediate and significant effect on the price and supply of oil. In addition, prices of oil, NGLs and natural gas have been at various times influenced by trading on the commodities markets. That trading, at times, has tended to increase the volatility associated with these prices resulting in large differences in prices even on a week-to-week and month-to-month basis. All of these factors, especially when coupled with the fact that much of our product prices are determined on a daily basis, can, and at times do, lead to wide fluctuations in the prices we receive.

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Based on our 2010 production, a \$0.10 per Mcf change in what we receive for our natural gas production, without the effect of hedging, would result in a corresponding \$319,000 per month (\$3.8 million annualized)

Table of Contents

change in our pre-tax operating cash flow. A \$1.00 per barrel change in our oil price, without the effect of hedging, would have a \$119,000 per month (\$1.4 million annualized) change in our pre-tax operating cash flow and a \$1.00 per barrel change in our NGLs price, without the effect of hedging, would have a \$122,000 per month (\$1.5 million annualized) change in our pre-tax operating cash flow. During 2010, substantially all of our oil, NGLs and natural gas volumes were sold at market responsive prices. To help manage our cash flow and capital expenditure requirements, we hedged approximately 60%, 8% and 69% of our 2010 average daily production for oil, NGLs and natural gas, respectively.

In order to reduce our exposure to short-term fluctuations in the price of oil, NGLs and natural gas, we sometimes enter into hedging arrangements such as swaps and collars. To date, our hedging arrangements have only applied to part of our production which provides price protection against declines in oil, NGLs and natural gas prices on only the production subject to our hedges. Should market prices for the production we have hedged exceed the prices due under our hedges, our hedging arrangements then expose us to risk of financial loss and limit the benefit to us of those increases in market prices. A more thorough discussion of our hedging arrangements is contained in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of this report contained in Item 7.

Uncertainty of Oil, NGLs and Natural Gas Reserves; Ceiling Test. There are many uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves and their values, including many factors beyond our control. The oil, NGLs and natural gas reserve information included in this report represents only an estimate of these reserves. Oil, NGLs and natural gas reservoir engineering is a subjective and an inexact process of estimating underground accumulations of oil, NGLs and natural gas that cannot be measured in an exact manner. Estimates of economically recoverable oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves depend on a number of variable factors, including historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas, and assumptions concerning:

reservoir size;

the effects of regulations by governmental agencies;

future oil, NGLs and natural gas prices;

future operating costs;

severance and excise taxes;

development costs; and

workover and remedial costs.

Some or all of these assumptions may vary considerably from actual results. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of oil and natural gas attributable to any particular group of properties, classifications of those oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves based on risk of recovery, and estimates of the future net cash flows from oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves prepared by different engineers or by the same engineers but at different times may vary substantially. Accordingly, oil, NGLs and natural gas reserve estimates may be subject to periodic downward or upward adjustments. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to our oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves will likely vary from estimates, and those variances may be material.

The information regarding discounted future net cash flows included in this report is not necessarily the current market value of the estimated oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves attributable to our properties. Starting December 31, 2009, companies using full cost accounting moved from using the commodity prices existing on the last day of the period to that of the unweighted arithmetic average of the commodity prices existing on the first day of each of the 12 months before the end of the reporting period to calculate discounted future revenues, unless prices were otherwise determined under contractual arrangements. Actual future prices and costs may be materially higher or lower. Actual future net cash flows are also affected, in part, by the following factors:

the amount and timing of oil, NGLs and natural gas production;

supply and demand for oil, NGLs and natural gas;

Table of Contents

increases or decreases in consumption; and

changes in governmental regulations or taxation.

In addition, the 10% discount factor, required by the SEC for use in calculating discounted future net cash flows for reporting purposes, is not necessarily the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates in effect from time to time and the risks associated with our operations or the oil and natural gas industry in general.

We periodically review the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties under the full cost accounting rules of the SEC. Under these rules, capitalized costs of proved oil and natural gas properties may not exceed the present value of estimated future net revenues from proved reserves, discounted at 10%. As of December 31, 2010, application of this ceiling test generally requires pricing future revenue at the unescalated 12-month average price and requires a write-down for accounting purposes if we exceed the ceiling, even if prices are depressed for only a short period of time. Prior to 2009, the price was based on the single-day period-end price. The revision to the 12-month average price was made to reduce the affect of short-term volatility and seasonality that previously occurred with single-day pricing. Using the 12-month average may or may not result in write-downs that would have been required had the single-day period-end price been used. We may be required to write down the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties when oil, NGLs and natural gas prices are depressed or unusually volatile. If a write-down is required, it would result in a charge to earnings but would not impact our cash flow from operating activities. Once incurred, a write-down of oil and natural gas properties is not reversible.

As a result of these ceiling test rules, we recorded a non-cash ceiling test write down of \$282.0 million pre-tax (\$175.5 million, net of tax) during the year ended December 31, 2008 as well as a non-cash ceiling test write down of \$281.2 million pre-tax (\$175.1 million, net of tax) during the quarter ended March 31, 2009. No ceiling test write down was necessary during 2010.

We are continually identifying and evaluating opportunities to acquire oil and natural gas properties, including acquisitions that would be significantly larger than those we have consummated to date. We cannot assure you that we will successfully consummate any acquisition, that we will be able to acquire producing oil and natural gas properties that contain economically recoverable reserves or that any acquisition will be profitably integrated into our operations.

Debt and Bank Borrowing. We have incurred and currently expect to continue to incur substantial working capital expenditures because of the growth in our operations. Historically, we have funded our working capital needs through a combination of internally generated cash flow and borrowings under our bank credit facility. We have also, from time to time, obtained funds through equity financing. We currently have, and will continue to have, a certain amount of indebtedness. At December 31, 2010, our outstanding long-term debt was \$163.0 million.

Depending on the amount of our debt, the cash flow needed to satisfy our debt and the covenants contained in our bank credit facility could:

limit funds otherwise available for financing our capital expenditures, our drilling program or other activities or cause us to curtail these activities;

limit our flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes in our business;

place us at a competitive disadvantage to those of our competitors that are less indebted than we are;

make us more vulnerable during periods of low oil, NGLs and natural gas prices or in the event of a downturn in our business; and

prevent us from obtaining additional financing on acceptable terms or limit amounts available under our existing or any future credit facilities.

Table of Contents

Our ability to meet our debt obligations depends on our future performance. If the requirements of our indebtedness are not satisfied, a default could be deemed to occur and our lenders would be entitled to accelerate the payment of the outstanding indebtedness. If that were to occur, we would not have sufficient funds available and probably would not be able to obtain the financing required to meet our obligations.

The amount of our existing debt, as well as our future debt, if any, is, to a large extent, based on the costs associated with the projects we undertake at any given time and of our cash flow. Generally, our normal operating costs are those resulting from the drilling of oil and natural gas wells, the acquisition of producing properties, the costs associated with the maintenance or expansion of our drilling rig fleet, and the operations of our natural gas buying, selling, gathering, processing and treating systems. To some extent, these costs, particularly the first two are discretionary and we maintain a degree of control regarding the timing or the need to actually incur them. But, in some cases, unforeseen circumstances may arise, such as in the case of an unanticipated opportunity to make a large acquisition or the need to replace a costly drilling rig component due to an unexpected loss, which could force us to incur additional debt above that which we had expected or forecasted. Likewise, if our cash flow should prove to be insufficient to cover our current cash requirements we would need to increase our debt either through bank borrowings or otherwise.

We entered into the following interest rate swaps to help manage our exposure to possible future interest rate increases. Under these transactions we have swapped the variable interest rate we would otherwise incur on a portion of our bank debt for a fixed interest rate. A more thorough discussion of our hedging or swap arrangements is contained in Item 7 of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation section of this report.

Remaining Term	Amount	Fixed Rate	Floating Rate
January 2011 - May 2012	\$ 15,000,000	4.53%	3 month LIBOR
January 2011 - May 2012	\$ 15,000,000	4.16%	3 month LIBOR

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

There are many other factors that could adversely affect our business. The following discussion describes the material risks currently known to us. However, additional risks that we do not know about or that we currently view as immaterial may also impair our business or adversely affect the value of our securities. You should carefully consider the risks described below together with the other information contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this report.

Events in the financial markets and the economy could adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

As a result of volatility in oil and natural gas prices and substantial uncertainty in the capital markets due to the uncertain global economic environment, a number of our drilling customers have reduced spending on exploration and development drilling, in addition it is uncertain whether customers and/or vendors and suppliers will be able to access financing necessary to sustain their operations, fulfill their commitments and/or fund future operations and obligations. The uncertainty in the global economic environment may result in a decrease in demand for drilling rigs. These conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If demand for oil, NGLs and natural gas is reduced, our ability to market as well as produce our oil, NGLs and natural gas may be negatively affected.

Historically, oil, NGLs and gas prices have been extremely volatile, with significant increases and significant price drops being experienced from time to time. In the future, various factors beyond our control will have a significant effect on oil, NGLs and gas prices. Such factors include, among other things, the domestic and foreign supply of oil, NGLs and gas, the price of foreign imports, the levels of consumer demand, the price and availability of alternative fuels, the availability of pipeline capacity and changes in existing and proposed federal regulation and price controls.

The natural gas market is also unsettled due to a number of factors. At times in the past, production from natural gas wells in some geographic areas of the United States was curtailed for considerable periods of time due to a lack of market demand. When demand for natural gas increased the number of wells being shut-in for lack of demand was reduced. It is possible, however, that some of our wells may in the future be shut-in or that natural gas will be sold on terms less favorable than might otherwise be obtained should demand for gas lessen in the future. Competition for available markets has been vigorous and there remains great uncertainty about prices that purchasers will pay. Natural gas surpluses could result in our inability to market natural gas profitably, causing us to curtail production and/or receive lower prices for our natural gas, situations which would adversely affect us.

Disruptions in the financial markets could affect our ability to obtain financing or refinance existing indebtedness on reasonable terms and may have other adverse effects.

Commercial-credit market disruptions may result in tight credit markets in the United States. Liquidity in the global-credit markets can be severely contracted by market disruptions making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in certain cases, result in the unavailability of certain types of financing. As a result of credit-market turmoil, we may not be able to obtain debt financing, or refinance existing indebtedness on favorable terms, which could affect operations and financial performance.

Table of Contents

Oil, NGLs and natural gas prices are volatile, and low prices have negatively affected our financial results and could do so in the future.

Our revenues, operating results, cash flow and future rate of growth depend substantially on prevailing prices for oil, NGLs and natural gas. Historically, oil, NGLs and natural gas prices and markets have been volatile, and they are likely to continue to be volatile in the future. Any decline in prices in the future would have a negative impact on our future financial results.

Prices for oil, NGLs and natural gas are subject to wide fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for oil and natural gas, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. These factors include:

political conditions in oil producing regions, including the Middle East, Nigeria and Venezuela;

the ability of the members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries to agree on prices and their ability to maintain production quotas;

the price of foreign oil imports;

imports of liquefied natural gas;

actions of governmental authorities;

the domestic and foreign supply of oil, NGLs and natural gas;

the level of consumer demand;

U.S. storage levels of natural gas;

weather conditions;

domestic and foreign government regulations;

the price, availability and acceptance of alternative fuels; and

overall economic conditions.

These factors and the volatile nature of the energy markets make it impossible to predict with any certainty the future prices of oil, NGLs and natural gas.

Our contract drilling operations depend on levels of activity in the oil, NGLs and natural gas exploration and production industry.

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Our contract drilling operations depend on the level of activity in oil, NGLs and natural gas exploration and production in our operating markets. Both short-term and long-term trends in oil, NGLs and natural gas prices affect the level of that activity. Because oil, NGLs and natural gas prices are volatile, the level of exploration and production activity can also be volatile. Any decrease from current oil, NGLs and natural gas prices would depress the level of exploration and production activity. This, in turn, would likely result in a decline in the demand for our drilling services and would have an adverse effect on our contract drilling revenues, cash flows and profitability. As a result, the future demand for our drilling services is uncertain.

The industries in which we operate are highly competitive, and many of our competitors have greater resources than we do.

The drilling industry in which we operate is generally very competitive. Most drilling contracts are awarded on the basis of competitive bids, which may result in intense price competition. Some of our competitors in the contract drilling industry have greater financial and human resources than we do. These resources may enable them to better withstand periods of low drilling rig utilization, to compete more effectively on the basis of price and technology, to build new drilling rigs or acquire existing drilling rigs and to provide drilling rigs more quickly than we do in periods of high drilling rig utilization.

Table of Contents

The oil and natural gas industry is also highly competitive. We compete in the areas of property acquisitions and oil and natural gas exploration, development, production and marketing with major oil companies, other independent oil and natural gas concerns and individual producers and operators. In addition, we must compete with major and independent oil and natural gas concerns in recruiting and retaining qualified employees. Many of our competitors in the oil and natural gas industry have substantially greater resources than we do.

Continued growth through acquisitions is not assured.

In the past, we have experienced growth in each of our segments, in part, through mergers and acquisitions. The land drilling industry, the exploration and development industry, as well as the gas gathering and processing industry, have experienced significant consolidation over the past several years, and there can be no assurance that acquisition opportunities will continue to be available. Additionally, we are likely to continue to face intense competition from other companies for available acquisition opportunities.

There can be no assurance that we will:

be able to identify suitable acquisition opportunities;

have sufficient capital resources to complete additional acquisitions;

successfully integrate acquired operations and assets;

effectively manage the growth and increased size;

maintain the crews and market share to operate any future drilling rigs we may acquire; or

successfully improve our financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects in any material manner as a result of any completed acquisition.

We may incur substantial indebtedness to finance future acquisitions and also may issue equity securities or convertible securities in connection with any acquisitions. Debt service requirements could represent a significant burden on our results of operations and financial condition and the issuance of additional equity would be dilutive to existing shareholders. Also, continued growth could strain our management, operations, employees and other resources.

Successful acquisitions, particularly those of oil and natural gas companies or of oil and natural gas properties require an assessment of a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include recoverable reserves, exploration potential, future oil, NGLs and natural gas prices, operating costs and potential environmental and other liabilities. Such assessments are inexact and their accuracy is inherently uncertain.

Our operations have significant capital requirements, and our indebtedness could have important consequences.

We have experienced and may continue to experience substantial working capital needs in the growth of our operations. On February 11, 2011, our outstanding long-term debt was \$170.0 million. Our level of indebtedness, the cash flow needed to satisfy our indebtedness and the covenants governing our indebtedness could:

limit funds available for financing capital expenditures, our drilling program or other activities or cause us to curtail these activities;

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to changes in, our business;

place us at a competitive disadvantage to some of our competitors that are less leveraged than we are;

Table of Contents

make us more vulnerable during periods of low oil, NGLs and natural gas prices or in the event of a downturn in our business; and

prevent us from obtaining additional financing on acceptable terms or limit amounts available under our existing or any future credit facilities.

Our ability to meet our debt service and other contractual and contingent obligations will depend on our future performance. In addition, lower oil, NGLs and natural gas prices could result in future reductions in the amount available for borrowing under our credit facility, reducing our liquidity and even triggering mandatory loan repayments.

Our future performance depends on our ability to find or acquire additional oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves that are economically recoverable.

In general, production from oil and natural gas properties declines as reserves are depleted, with the rate of decline depending on reservoir characteristics. Unless we successfully replace the reserves that we produce, our reserves will decline, resulting eventually in a decrease in oil and natural gas production and lower revenues and cash flow from operations. Historically, we have succeeded in increasing reserves after taking production into account through exploration and development. We have conducted these activities on our existing oil and natural gas properties as well as on newly acquired properties. We may not be able to continue to replace reserves from these activities at acceptable costs. Lower prices of oil, NGLs and natural gas may further limit the kinds of reserves that can economically be developed. Lower prices also decrease our cash flow and may cause us to decrease capital expenditures.

We are continually identifying and evaluating opportunities to acquire oil and natural gas properties, including acquisitions that would be significantly larger than those consummated to date by us. We cannot assure you that we will successfully consummate any acquisition, that we will be able to acquire producing oil and natural gas properties that contain economically recoverable reserves or that any acquisition will be profitably integrated into our operations.

The competition for producing oil and natural gas properties is intense. This competition could mean that to acquire properties we will have to pay higher prices and accept greater ownership risks than we have in the past.

Our exploration and production and mid-stream operations involve a high degree of business and financial risk which could adversely affect us.

Exploration and development involve numerous risks that may result in dry holes, the failure to produce oil, NGLs and natural gas in commercial quantities and the inability to fully produce discovered reserves. The cost of drilling, completing and operating wells is substantial and uncertain. Numerous factors beyond our control may cause the curtailment, delay or cancellation of drilling operations, including:

unexpected drilling conditions;

pressure or irregularities in formations;

capacity of pipeline systems;

equipment failures or accidents;

adverse weather conditions;

compliance with governmental requirements; and

shortages or delays in the availability of drilling rigs or delivery crews and the delivery of equipment.

Table of Contents

Exploratory drilling is a speculative activity. Although we may disclose our overall drilling success rate, those rates may decline. Although we may discuss drilling prospects that we have identified or budgeted for, we may ultimately not lease or drill these prospects within the expected time frame, or at all. Lack of drilling success will have an adverse effect on our future results of operations and financial condition.

Our mid-stream operations involve numerous risks, both financial and operational. The cost of developing gathering systems and processing plants is substantial and our ability to recoup these costs is uncertain. Our operations may be curtailed, delayed or cancelled as a result of many things beyond our control, including:

unexpected changes in the deliverability of natural gas reserves from the wells connected to the gathering systems;

availability of competing pipelines in the area;

capacity of pipeline systems;

equipment failures or accidents;

adverse weather conditions;

compliance with governmental requirements;

delays in the development of other producing properties within the gathering system's area of operation; and

demand for natural gas and its constituents.

Many of the wells from which we gather and process natural gas are operated by other parties. As a result, we have little control over the operations of those wells which can act to increase our risk. Operators of those wells may act in ways that are not in our best interests.

Competition for experienced technical personnel may negatively impact our operations or financial results.

Our continued drilling success and the success of other activities integral to our operations will depend, in part, on our ability to attract and retain experienced explorationists, engineers and other professionals. Competition for these professionals can be extremely intense, particularly when the industry is experiencing favorable conditions.

Our hedging arrangements might limit the benefit of increases in oil, NGLs and natural gas prices.

In order to reduce our exposure to short-term fluctuations in the price of oil, NGLs and natural gas, we sometimes enter into hedging arrangements. Our hedging arrangements apply to only a portion of our production and provide only partial price protection against declines in oil, NGLs and natural gas prices. These hedging arrangements may expose us to risk of financial loss and limit the benefit to us of increases in prices.

Estimates of our reserves are uncertain and may prove to be inaccurate.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of proved reserves and their values, including many factors beyond our control. The reserve data represents only estimates. Reservoir engineering is a subjective and inexact process of estimating underground accumulations of oil and natural gas that cannot be measured in an exact manner. Estimates of economically recoverable oil, NGLs and natural

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

gas reserves depend on a number of variable factors, including historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas, and assumptions concerning:

the effects of regulations by governmental agencies;

Table of Contents

future oil, NGLs and natural gas prices;

future operating costs;

severance and excise taxes;

development costs; and

workover and remedial costs.

Some or all of these assumptions may vary considerably from actual results. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of oil, NGLs and natural gas attributable to any particular group of properties, classifications of those reserves based on risk of recovery, and estimates of the future net cash flows from reserves prepared by different engineers or by the same engineers but at different times may vary substantially. Accordingly, reserve estimates may be subject to downward or upward adjustment. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to our reserves will likely vary from estimates, and those variances may be material.

The information regarding discounted future net cash flows should not be considered as the current market value of the estimated oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves attributable to our properties. As required by the SEC, the estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves are based on prices on the first day of the month for each month within the 12-month period before the end of the reporting period and costs as of the date of the estimate, while actual future prices and costs may be materially higher or lower. Actual future net cash flows also will be affected by the following factors:

the amount and timing of actual production;

supply and demand for oil and natural gas;

increases or decreases in consumption; and

changes in governmental regulations or taxation.

In addition, the 10% per year discount factor, which is required by the SEC to be used in calculating discounted future net cash flows for reporting purposes, is not necessarily the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates in effect from time to time and risks associated with our operations or the oil and natural gas industry in general.

If oil, NGLs and natural gas prices decrease or are unusually volatile, we may be required to take write-downs of our oil and natural gas properties, the carrying value of our drilling rigs or our natural gas gathering and processing systems.

We periodically review the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties under the full cost accounting rules of the SEC. Under these rules, capitalized costs of proved oil and natural gas properties may not exceed the present value of estimated future net revenues from proved reserves, discounted at 10% per year. Effective December 31, 2010, application of the ceiling test generally requires pricing future revenue at the unweighted arithmetic average of the price on the first day of month for each month within the 12-month period prior to the end of the reporting period, unless prices were defined by contractual arrangements, and requires a write-down for accounting purposes if the ceiling is exceeded, even if prices were depressed for only a short period of time. Prior to 2009, the price was based on the single-day period-end price. The revision to the 12-month average price was made to reduce the affect of short-term volatility and seasonality that previously occurred with single-day pricing. Using the 12-month average may or may not result in write-downs that would have been required had the single-day period-end price been used. We may be required to write down the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties when oil, NGLs and natural gas prices are depressed or unusually volatile. If a write-down is required, it would result in a charge to earnings, but would not impact cash flow from

operating activities. Once incurred, a write-down of oil and natural gas properties is not reversible at a later date.

Table of Contents

Our drilling equipment, transportation equipment, gas gathering and processing systems and other property and equipment are carried at cost. We are required to periodically test to see if these values, including associated goodwill and other intangible assets, have been impaired whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If any of these assets are determined to be impaired, the loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value. An estimate of fair value is based on the best information available, including prices for similar assets. Changes in these estimates could cause us to reduce the carrying value of property, equipment and related intangible assets. Once these values have been reduced, they are not reversible.

Our operations present inherent risks of loss that, if not insured or indemnified against, could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our drilling operations are subject to many hazards inherent in the drilling industry, including blowouts, cratering, explosions, fires, loss of well control, loss of hole, damaged or lost drilling equipment and damage or loss from inclement weather. Our exploration and production and mid-stream operations are subject to these and similar risks. Any of these events could result in personal injury or death, damage to or destruction of equipment and facilities, suspension of operations, environmental damage and damage to the property of others. Generally, drilling contracts provide for the division of responsibilities between a drilling company and its customer, and we seek to obtain indemnification from our drilling customers by contract for some of these risks. To the extent that we are unable to transfer these risks to drilling customers by contract or indemnification agreements (or to the extent we assume obligations of indemnity or assume liability for certain risks under our drilling contracts), we seek protection from some of these risks through insurance. However, some risks are not covered by insurance and we cannot assure you that the insurance we do have or the indemnification agreements we have entered into will adequately protect us against liability from all of the consequences of the hazards described above. The occurrence of an event not fully insured or indemnified against, or the failure of a customer to meet its indemnification obligations, could result in substantial losses. In addition, we cannot assure you that insurance will be available to cover any or all of these risks. Even if available, the insurance might not be adequate to cover all of our losses, or we might decide against obtaining that insurance because of high premiums or other costs.

In addition, we are not the operator of many of our wells. As a result, our operating risks for those wells and our ability to influence the operations for those wells are less subject to our control. Operators of those wells may act in ways that are not in our best interests.

Governmental and environmental regulations could adversely affect our business.

Our business is subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations on taxation, the exploration for and development, production and marketing of oil and natural gas and safety matters. Many laws and regulations require drilling permits and govern the spacing of wells, rates of production, prevention of waste, unitization and pooling of properties and other matters. These laws and regulations have increased the costs of planning, designing, drilling, installing, operating and abandoning our oil and natural gas wells and other facilities. In addition, these laws and regulations, and any others that are passed by the jurisdictions where we have production, could limit the total number of wells drilled or the allowable production from successful wells, which could limit our revenues.

We are (or could become) subject to complex environmental laws and regulations adopted by the various jurisdictions where we own or operate. We could incur liability to governments or third parties for discharges of oil, natural gas or other pollutants into the air, soil or water, including responsibility for remedial costs. We could potentially discharge these materials into the environment in any number of ways including the following:

from a well or drilling equipment at a drill site;

from gathering systems, pipelines, transportation facilities and storage tanks;

Table of Contents

damage to oil and natural gas wells resulting from accidents during normal operations; and

blowouts, cratering and explosions.

Because the requirements imposed by laws and regulations are frequently changed, we cannot assure you that laws and regulations enacted in the future, including changes to existing laws and regulations, will not adversely affect our business. The current Congress and White House administration may impose or change laws and regulations that will adversely affect our business. With the trend toward stricter standards, greater regulation and more extensive permit requirements, our risks related to environmental matters and our environmental expenditures could increase in the future. In addition, because we acquire interests in properties that have been operated in the past by others, we may be liable for environmental damage caused by the former operators, which liability could be material.

Any future implementation of price controls on oil, NGLs and natural gas would affect our operations.

Certain groups have asserted efforts to have the United States Congress impose some form of price controls on either oil, natural gas or both. There is no way at this time to know what result these efforts will have nor, if implemented, their effect on our operations. However, it is possible that these efforts, if successful, would serve to limit the amount that we might be able to get for our future oil, NGLs and natural gas production. Any future limits on the price of oil, NGLs and natural gas could also result in adversely affecting the demand for our drilling services.

Our shareholders' rights plan and provisions of Delaware law and our by-laws and charter could discourage change in control transactions and prevent shareholders from receiving a premium on their investment.

Our by-laws and charter provide for a classified board of directors with staggered terms and authorizes the board of directors to set the terms of preferred stock. In addition, our charter and Delaware law contain provisions that impose restrictions on business combinations with interested parties. We have also adopted a shareholders' rights plan. Because of our shareholders' rights plan and these provisions of our by-laws, charter and Delaware law, persons considering unsolicited tender offers or other unilateral takeover proposals may be more likely to negotiate with our board of directors rather than pursue non-negotiated takeover attempts. As a result, these provisions may make it more difficult for our shareholders to benefit from transactions that are opposed by an incumbent board of directors.

New technologies may cause our current exploration and drilling methods to become obsolete, resulting in an adverse effect on our production.

Our industry is subject to rapid and significant advancements in technology, including the introduction of new products and services using new technologies. As competitors use or develop new technologies, we may be placed at a competitive disadvantage, and competitive pressures may force us to implement new technologies at a substantial cost. In addition, competitors may have greater financial, technical and personnel resources that allow them to enjoy technological advantages and may in the future allow them to implement new technologies before we can. We cannot be certain that we will be able to implement technologies on a timely basis or at a cost that is acceptable to us. One or more of the technologies that we currently use or that we may implement in the future may become obsolete, and we may be adversely affected.

We may be affected by climate change and market or regulatory responses to climate change.

Climate change, including the impact of potential global warming regulations, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, and liquidity. Restrictions, caps, taxes, or other controls

Table of Contents

on emissions of greenhouse gasses, including diesel exhaust, could significantly increase our operating costs. Restrictions on emissions could also affect our customers that (a) use commodities that we carry to produce energy, (b) use significant amounts of energy in producing or delivering the commodities we carry, or (c) manufacture or produce goods that consume significant amounts of energy or burn fossil fuels, including chemical producers, farmers and food producers, and automakers and other manufacturers. Significant cost increases, government regulation, or changes of consumer preferences for goods or services relating to alternative sources of energy or emissions reductions could materially affect the markets for the commodities associated with our business, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, and liquidity. Government incentives encouraging the use of alternative sources of energy could also affect certain of our customers and the markets for certain of the commodities associated with our business in an unpredictable manner that could alter our business activities. Finally, we could face increased costs related to defending and resolving legal claims and other litigation related to climate change and the alleged impact of our operations on climate change. Any of these factors, individually or in operation with one or more of the other factors, or other unforeseen impacts of climate change could reduce the amount of business activity we conduct and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, and liquidity.

The results of our operations depend on our ability to transport oil, NGLs and gas production to key markets.

The marketability of our oil, NGLs and natural gas production depends in part on the availability, proximity and capacity of pipeline systems, refineries and other transportation sources. The unavailability of or lack of available capacity on these systems and facilities could result in the shut-in of producing wells or the delay or discontinuance of development plans for properties. Federal and state regulation of oil and natural gas production and transportation, tax and energy policies, changes in supply and demand, pipeline pressures, damage to or destruction of pipelines and general economic conditions could adversely affect our ability to produce, gather and transport oil, NGLs and natural gas.

The loss of one or a number of our larger customers could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

During 2010, our largest customer, QEP Resources, Inc. accounted for approximately 28% of our contract drilling revenues. No other third party customer accounted for 10% or more of our contract drilling revenues. Any of our customers may choose not to use our services and the loss of one or a number of our larger customers could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Shortages of completion equipment and services could delay or otherwise adversely affect our oil and natural gas segment's operations.

In the past year or so, the increase in horizontal drilling activity in certain areas has resulted in shortages in the availability of third party equipment and services required for the completion of wells drilled by our oil and natural gas segment. As a result, we have experienced delays in completing some of our wells. Although we have taken steps to try to reduce the delays associated with these services, we anticipate that these services will remain in high demand for the immediate future and could delay, restrict or curtail part of our exploration and development operations, which could in turn harm our results.

Our mid-stream segment depends on certain natural gas producers and pipeline operators for a significant portion of its supply of natural gas and NGLs. The loss of any of these producers could result in a decline in our volumes and revenues.

We rely on certain natural gas producers for a significant portion of our natural gas and NGL supply. While some of these producers are subject to long-term contracts, we may be unable to negotiate extensions or replacements of these contracts on favorable terms, if at all. The loss of all or even a portion of the natural gas

Table of Contents

volumes supplied by these producers, as a result of competition or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our mid-stream segment unless we were able to acquire comparable volumes from other sources.

The counterparties to our commodity derivative contracts may not be able to perform their obligations to us, which could materially affect our cash flows and results of operations.

To reduce our exposure to adverse fluctuations in the prices of oil and natural gas, we currently, and may in the future, enter into commodity derivative contracts for a significant portion of our forecasted oil and natural gas production. The extent of our commodity price exposure is related largely to the effectiveness and scope of our derivative activities, as well as to the ability of counterparties under our commodity derivative contracts to satisfy their obligations to us. The worldwide financial and credit crisis may have adversely affected the ability of these counterparties to fulfill their obligations to us. If one or more of our counterparties is unable or unwilling to make required payments to us under our commodity derivative contracts, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Reliance on management.

We depend greatly on the efforts of our executive officers and other key employees to manage our operations. The loss or unavailability of any of our executive officers or other key employees could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are subject to various claims and litigation that could ultimately be resolved against us requiring material future cash payments and/or future material charges against our operating income and materially impairing our financial position.

The nature of our business makes us highly susceptible to claims and litigation. We are subject to various existing legal claims and lawsuits, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Any claims or litigation, even if fully indemnified or insured, could negatively affect our reputation among our customers and the public, and make it more difficult for us to compete effectively or obtain adequate insurance in the future.

New legislation and regulatory initiatives relating to hydraulic fracturing could result in increased costs and additional operating restrictions or delays.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or the EPA, has commenced a study of the potential environmental impacts of hydraulic fracturing, including the impact on drinking water sources and public health, and a committee of the U.S. House of Representatives is also conducting an investigation of hydraulic fracturing practices. Legislation has been introduced before Congress to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing and to require disclosure of the chemicals used in the fracturing process. In addition, some states have and others are considering adopting regulations that could restrict hydraulic fracturing in certain circumstances. Any new laws, regulation or permitting requirements regarding hydraulic fracturing could lead to operational delay, or increased operating costs or third party or governmental claims, and could result in additional burdens that could serve to delay or limit the drilling services we provide to third parties whose drilling operations could be impacted by these regulations or increase our costs of compliance and doing business as well as delay the development of unconventional gas resources from shale formations which are not commercial without the use of hydraulic fracturing. Restrictions on hydraulic fracturing could also reduce the amount of oil and natural gas that we are ultimately able to produce from our reserves.

Table of Contents

Derivatives regulation included in current financial reform legislation could impede our ability to manage business and financial risks by restricting our use of derivative instruments as hedges against fluctuating commodity prices and interest rates.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Act) was passed by Congress and signed into law. The Act contains significant derivatives regulation, including a requirement that certain transactions be cleared on exchanges and a requirement to post cash collateral (commonly referred to as margin) for such transactions. The Act provides for a potential exception from these clearing and cash collateral requirements for commercial end-users and it includes a number of defined terms that will be used in determining how this exception applies to particular derivative transactions and the parties to those transactions. The Act requires the Commodities Futures and Trading Commission (the CFTC) to promulgate rules to define these terms, but we do not know the definitions that the CFTC will actually promulgate nor how these definitions will apply to us.

We use crude oil, NGLs and natural gas derivative instruments with respect to a portion of our expected production in order to reduce commodity price uncertainty and enhance the predictability of cash flows relating to the marketing of our crude oil and natural gas. We also use interest rate derivative instruments to minimize the impact of interest rate fluctuations associated with anticipated debt issuances. As commodity prices increase or interest rates decrease, our derivative liability positions increase; however, none of our current derivative contracts require the posting of margin or similar cash collateral when there are changes in the underlying commodity prices or interest rates that are referred to in these contracts.

Depending on the rules and definitions adopted by the CFTC, we could be required to post collateral with our dealer counterparties for our commodities and interest rate derivative transactions. Such a requirement could have a significant impact on our business by reducing our ability to execute derivative transactions to reduce commodity price and interest rate uncertainty and to protect cash flows. Requirements to post collateral would cause significant liquidity issues by reducing our ability to use cash for investment or other corporate purposes, or would require us to increase our level of debt. In addition, a requirement for our counterparties to post collateral would likely result in additional costs being passed on to us, thereby decreasing the effectiveness of our hedges and our profitability.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

Item 2. *Properties*

The information called for by this item was consolidated with and disclosed in connection with Item 1 above.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Panola Independent School District No. 4, et al. v. Unit Petroleum Company, No. CJ-07-215, District Court of Latimer County, Oklahoma.

Panola Independent School District No. 4, Michael Kilpatrick, Gwen Grego, Carla Lessel, Thelma Christine Pate, Juanita Golightly, Melody Culberson and Charlotte Abernathy are the Plaintiffs in this case and are royalty owners in oil and gas drilling and spacing units for which the company's exploration segment distributes royalty. The Plaintiffs' central allegation is that the company's exploration segment has underpaid royalty obligations by deducting post-production costs or marketing related fees. Plaintiffs also seek to pursue the case as a class action on behalf of persons who receive royalty from us for our Oklahoma production. We have asserted several defenses including that the deductions are permitted under Oklahoma law. We have also asserted that the

Table of Contents

case should not be tried as a class action due to the materially different circumstances that determine what, if any, deductions are taken for each lease. On December 16, 2009, the trial court entered its order certifying the class. We have appealed the trial court's order. It is not currently known when the appeal will be acted on by the Oklahoma Appellate courts. Adjudication of the merits of the Plaintiffs' claims is stayed until the appeal of the class certification order is decided.

Item 4. *Reserved and Removed***PART II****Item 5. *Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities***

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol UNT. The following table identifies the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock for the periods indicated:

Quarter	2010		2009	
	High	Low	High	Low
First	\$ 51.00	\$ 41.32	\$ 31.30	\$ 17.50
Second	\$ 49.82	\$ 36.37	\$ 35.40	\$ 20.16
Third	\$ 42.76	\$ 33.37	\$ 44.15	\$ 24.12
Fourth	\$ 46.95	\$ 35.37	\$ 47.24	\$ 36.24

On February 11, 2011, the closing sale price of our common stock, as reported by the NYSE, was \$54.80 per share. On that date, there were approximately 1,150 holders of record of our common stock.

We have never declared any cash dividends on our common stock and currently have no plans to do so. Any future determination by our board of directors to pay dividends on our common stock will be made only after considering our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other relevant factors. Additionally, our bank credit facility prohibits the payment of cash dividends on our common stock under certain circumstances. For further information regarding our bank credit facility's impact on our ability to pay dividends see "Our Credit Facility" under Item 7 of this report.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph. The following graph and related information shall not be deemed soliciting material or be deemed to be filed with the SEC, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

Set forth below is a line graph comparing our cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return of the S&P 500 Stock Index, S&P 600 Oil and Gas Exploration & Production and our peer group which includes Helmrich & Payne, Patterson UTI Energy Inc. and Pioneer Drilling Co. The graph below assumes an investment of \$100 at the beginning of the period. The shareholder return set forth below is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following table shows selected consolidated financial data. The data should be read in conjunction with Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for a review of 2010, 2009 and 2008 activity.

	2010	As of and for the Year Ended December 31,			2006
		2009	2008	2007	
		(In thousands except per share amounts)			
Revenues	\$ 881,845	\$ 709,898	\$ 1,358,093	\$ 1,158,754	\$ 1,162,385
Net income (loss)	\$ 146,484	\$ (55,500) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 143,625 ⁽²⁾	\$ 266,258	\$ 312,177
Net income (loss) per common share:					
Basic	\$ 3.10	\$ (1.18)	\$ 3.08	\$ 5.74	\$ 6.75
Diluted	\$ 3.09	\$ (1.18)	\$ 3.06	\$ 5.71	\$ 6.72
Total assets	\$ 2,669,240	\$ 2,228,399	\$ 2,581,866	\$ 2,199,819	\$ 1,874,096
Long-term debt	\$ 163,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 199,500	\$ 120,600	\$ 174,300
Other long-term liabilities	\$ 92,389	\$ 81,126	\$ 75,807	\$ 59,115	\$ 55,741
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

- (1) In March 2009, we incurred a non-cash ceiling test write down of our oil and natural gas properties of \$281.2 million pre-tax (\$175.1 million net of tax) due to low commodity prices at quarter-end.
- (2) In December 2008, we incurred a non-cash ceiling test write down of our oil and natural gas properties of \$282.0 million pre-tax (\$175.5 million net of tax) due to low commodity prices at year-end.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Please read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Item 8 of this report.

General

We were founded in 1963 as a contract drilling company. Today, we operate, manage and analyze our results of operations through our three principal wholly owned business segments:

Contract Drilling carried out by our subsidiary Unit Drilling Company and its subsidiary. This segment contracts to drill onshore oil and natural gas wells for others and for our own account.

Oil and Natural Gas carried out by our subsidiary Unit Petroleum Company. This segment explores, develops, acquires and produces oil and natural gas properties for our own account.

Mid-Stream carried out by our subsidiary Superior Pipeline Company, L.L.C. and its subsidiary. This segment buys, sells, gathers, processes and treats natural gas for third parties and for our own account.

Business Outlook

As discussed in other parts of this annual report, the success of our consolidated business, as well as each of our three operating segments depends, to a large extent, on: the prices received for our natural gas, NGLs and oil production; the demand for oil, NGLs and natural gas; and the demand for our drilling rigs which, in turn, influences the amounts we can charge for the use of those drilling rigs. While to-date all of our operations (with the exception of a minor amount of production in Canada) are located within the United States, events outside the United States

can and do impact us and our industry.

In addition to their direct impact on us, low commodity prices-if sustained for a long period of time-could impact the liquidity of some of our industry partners and customers which, in turn, could limit their ability to meet their financial obligations to us.

Table of Contents

The slowdown in the United States and world economies starting in late 2008 resulted in less demand for oil and natural gas products by those industries and consumers that use those products in their businesses. The long-term impact on our business and financial results as a consequence of the volatility in oil, NGLs and natural gas prices and the global economic downturn is uncertain.

Our 2011 capital budget for all of our business segments forecasts a 16% increase over our 2010 capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions. Our oil and natural gas segment's capital budget is \$415.0 million, an 12% increase over 2010, excluding acquisitions. We plan to continue our aggressive drilling program in 2011 with a significant portion of the wells being horizontal. Our drilling segment's capital budget is \$143.0 million, a 20% increase over 2010. Our plans for 2011 include the construction of five new 1,500 horsepower diesel-electric drilling rigs, as well as refurbishing and upgrading several of our existing drilling rigs in our fleet in order that those rigs can be used in horizontal drilling operations. Our mid-stream segment's capital budget is \$47.0 million, a 58% increase over 2010. The increase is due to anticipated drilling activity by operators in the areas of our existing gathering systems resulting in new well connections as well as committing to build a 16-mile, 16" pipeline and a compressor station in Preston County, West Virginia, which will have a capacity of approximately 220 MMcf per day.

In developing our initial operating budget for 2011, we used average oil and natural gas prices of \$82.00 per Bbl and \$4.60 per Mcf. Our 2011 operating budget will be funded using internally generated cash flow and borrowings under our credit facility.

Executive Summary

Contract Drilling

Our utilization rate for the fourth quarter 2010 was 59%, compared to 54% and 28% for the third quarter of 2010 and the fourth quarter of 2009, respectively.

Dayrates for the fourth quarter of 2010 averaged \$16,570, an increase of 5% from the third quarter of 2010 and an increase of 13% from the fourth quarter of 2009. These increases were due primarily to increased demand for drilling rigs in the 1,000 to 1,500 horse power range which are used in horizontal drilling and provide for higher rates.

Direct profit (contract drilling revenue less contract drilling operating expense) for the fourth quarter of 2010 increased 12% from the third quarter of 2010 and 155% from the fourth quarter of 2009. The increase was primarily due to the increase in utilization over the comparative periods.

Operating cost per day for the fourth quarter of 2010 increased 10% from the third quarter of 2010 and decreased 8% from the fourth quarter of 2009. The increase over third quarter 2010 is primarily due to a general increase in repair and maintenance costs. The decrease over fourth quarter 2009 was primarily due to decreased per day indirect cost and fixed cost spread over more days due to increased utilization.

Historically, our contract drilling segment has experienced a greater demand for natural gas drilling as opposed to drilling for oil and NGLs. Today, with the weakened demand and price for natural gas, operators are focusing on drilling for oil and NGLs. Approximately 73% of our drilling rigs working today are drilling for oil or NGLs and approximately 88% are drilling horizontal or directional wells.

During the first half of 2010, our contract drilling segment sold eight of its idle mechanical drilling rigs to an unaffiliated third party. These drilling rigs ranged in horse power from 800 to 1,000. Proceeds from the sale of those drilling rigs were \$23.9 million with a gain of \$5.7 million. These proceeds are being used to refurbish and upgrade additional drilling rigs in our fleet allowing those drilling rigs to be used in horizontal drilling operations. We also placed into service in our Rocky Mountain division a 1,500 horsepower, diesel-electric drilling rig that previously had been placed on hold during 2009 by our customer.

Table of Contents

In September 2010, we entered into a contract with an unaffiliated third party under which we conveyed three of our idle mechanical drilling rigs and, in exchange, we received a 1,200 horsepower electric drilling rig and \$5.3 million. The three drilling rigs sold ranged in horsepower from 650 to 1,000. The transaction was closed in October and resulted in a gain of \$3.5 million. As a result of this transaction, our drilling rig fleet now totals 121.

Recently we signed two year contracts for each of the five new 1,500 horse power drilling rigs which will be deployed in the Bakken play. We are currently building these rigs, two of which will be delivered during the first quarter of 2011 and the remaining three during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Our anticipated 2011 capital expenditures for this segment are \$143.0 million.

As of December 31, 2010, we had 38 long-term drilling contracts with original terms ranging from six months to two years. Thirty-five of these contracts are up for renewals during 2011 and three are up for renewal in 2012 and beyond. These contracts do not include the five term contracts for the new drilling rigs. Of the 35 contracts renewing in 2011; nine are during the first quarter, 11 during the second quarter, six during the third quarter and nine during the fourth quarter. Term contracts may contain a fixed rate for the duration of the contract or provide for the rate adjustments within a specific range from the existing rate. These term contracts do not include the five new term contracts scheduled to begin later in 2011.

Oil and Natural Gas

During the second quarter of 2010 we completed an acquisition of oil and natural gas properties from certain unaffiliated third parties. The properties were purchased for approximately \$73.7 million in cash. The acquisition included approximately 45,000 net leasehold acres and 10 producing oil wells and is focused on the Marmaton horizontal oil play located mainly in Beaver County, Oklahoma. Proved developed producing net reserves associated with the 10 acquired producing wells is approximately 762,000 barrels of oil equivalent consisting of 511,000 barrels of oil, 155,000 barrels of NGLs and 573 MMcf of natural gas.

Fourth quarter 2010 production from our oil and natural gas segment was 176,000 Mcfe per day, an 8% increase over the third quarter of 2010 and a 13% increase over the fourth quarter of 2009. The increase in production is primarily due to new wells being completed and coming online and, to a lesser extent, production associated with the acquisition discussed above. Our production for the second and third quarters of 2010 was negatively impacted by delays in securing third party fracture stimulation services and delays associated with connecting gathering systems. In addition, we also experienced loss of production due to the unexpected shut-in of some of our production from operational issues experienced at a third party facility that processes our Segno field production.

Fourth quarter 2010 oil and natural gas revenues increased 18% from the third quarter of 2010 and increased 26% from the fourth quarter of 2009.

Our oil and NGL prices for the fourth quarter of 2010 increased 11% and 27%, respectively, from the third quarter of 2010 while natural gas prices decreased 3%. Our oil and NGL prices increased 21% and 54%, respectively, from the fourth quarter of 2009 while natural gas prices decreased 7%.

Direct profit (oil and natural gas revenues less oil and natural gas operating expense) increased 22% from the third quarter of 2010 and increased 29% from the fourth quarter of 2009. The increases from the third quarter 2010 were primarily attributable to increases in production and oil and liquid prices. The increases from the fourth quarter 2009 were primarily attributable to increases in prices.

Operating cost per Mcfe produced for the fourth quarter of 2010 were unchanged from the third quarter of 2010 and increased 5% from the fourth quarter of 2009. The increase from the fourth quarter 2009 was primarily due to the increase in lease operating expense (LOE) and an increase in production taxes. Production taxes increased due to commodity price increases between the periods and increased oil and NGL production.

Table of Contents

For 2010, we hedged approximately 60% of our average daily oil production, approximately 69% of our average natural gas production and approximately 8% of our average natural gas liquids production (percentages based on our 2010 production) to help manage our cash flow and capital expenditure requirements.

Currently for 2011 we have hedged 4,000 Bbls per day of oil production, 80,000 Mmbtu per day of natural gas production and 504 Bbls per day of natural gas liquids production. The oil production is hedged under swap contracts at an average price of \$84.28 per barrel. The natural gas production is hedged under swap contracts at a comparable average NYMEX price of \$4.85. The average basis differential for the applicable swaps is (\$0.19). The natural gas liquids production is hedged under swap contracts at an average price of \$40.76 per barrel.

Currently for 2012 we have hedged 2,500 Bbls per day of oil production and 30,000 Mmbtu per day of natural gas production. The oil production is hedged under swap contracts at an average price of \$88.49 per barrel. The natural gas production is hedged under swap contracts at a comparable average NYMEX price of \$5.48. The average basis differential for the applicable swaps is (\$0.28).

We drilled 167 wells in 2010. Our first quarter 2010 drilling activity was slowed down by unusually wet weather, especially in the Texas Panhandle Granite Wash play, and operational delays as we shifted to drilling primarily horizontal wells. The delays in getting wells online were primarily due to delays in securing fracture stimulation services and connections to gathering systems. During the third quarter, we undertook steps that allowed us to obtain these required services so that by the end of the year we have eliminated the unusually large backlog of our well completions, especially in the Granite Wash and Marmaton plays. Additionally, we have pre-scheduled fracture stimulation services for 2011 for the wells we currently anticipate drilling in the Granite Wash and Marmaton plays. Our 2011 production guidance is approximately 66.0 to 68.0 Bcfe, although actual results will continue to be subject to the timing of third party services, among other factors. The number of wells we plan to participate in drilling and the level of capital expenditures for 2011 is 180 wells and \$415.0 million, respectively.

Mid-Stream

Fourth quarter 2010 liquids sold per day increased 12% from the third quarter of 2010 and increased 10% from the fourth quarter of 2009. The increases were primarily the result of upgrades and expansions to existing plants and the connection of new wells. For the fourth quarter of 2010, gas processed per day increased 1% from the third quarter of 2010 and 10% from the fourth quarter of 2009. In 2009 and 2010, we upgraded several of our existing processing facilities and added three processing plants which was the primary reason for increased volumes. For the fourth quarter of 2010, gas gathered per day increased 3% from the third quarter of 2010 and increased 6% from the fourth quarter of 2009 primarily from the 52 well connects throughout 2010.

NGL prices in the fourth quarter of 2010 increased 17% from the price received in the third quarter of 2010 and 7% from the price received in the fourth quarter of 2009. The price of liquids as compared to natural gas affects the revenue in our mid-stream operations and determines the fractionation spread which is the difference in the value received for the NGLs recovered from natural gas in comparison to the amount received for the equivalent MMBtu's of natural gas if unprocessed.

Direct profit (mid-stream revenues less mid-stream operating expense) for the fourth quarter of 2010 increased 48% from the third quarter of 2010 and increased 10% from the fourth quarter of 2009. The increases resulted primarily from increased liquids sold and gas processed volumes and commodity prices. Total operating cost for our mid-stream segment for the fourth quarter of 2010 decreased 3% from the third quarter of 2010 and increased 6% from the fourth quarter of 2009 due primarily to the price paid for the purchase of natural gas.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we completed the installation and start up of a 50.0 MMcf per day turbo-expander natural gas processing plant at our Hemphill facility in Canadian, Texas. With the addition of this new

Table of Contents

processing plant, the total processing capacity at our Hemphill facility has increased to approximately 100.0 MMcf per day. In connection with our Appalachian operations, we recently committed to build a 16-mile, 16" pipeline and a compressor station in Preston County, West Virginia, which will have a capacity of approximately 220 MMcf per day. Preliminary right-of-way and environmental work is nearing completion and construction is scheduled to begin during the first quarter of 2011 with the facility being operational by mid-2011. We have signed an agreement to transport gas on this system for an unaffiliated third party.

Our anticipated capital expenditures for 2011 are \$47.0 million.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Summary

In this section, we identify those critical accounting policies we follow in preparing our financial statements and related disclosures. Many of these policies require us to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments in the course of making estimates of matters that are inherently imprecise. Some accounting policies involve judgments and uncertainties to such an extent that there is reasonable likelihood that materially different amounts could have been reported under different conditions, or if different assumptions had been used. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on a regular basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions used in preparation of our financial statements. In the following discussion we will attempt to explain the nature of these estimates, assumptions and judgments, as well as the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported in our financial statements under different conditions or using different assumptions.

Table of Contents

The following table lists the critical accounting policies, estimates and assumptions that can have a significant impact on the application of these accounting policies, and the financial statement accounts affected by these estimates and assumptions.

Accounting Policies	Estimates or Assumptions	Accounts Affected
Full cost method of accounting for oil, NGLs and natural gas properties	Oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves, estimates and related present value of future net revenues	Oil and natural gas properties
	Valuation of unproved properties	Accumulated depletion, depreciation and amortization
	Estimates of future development costs	Provision for depletion, depreciation and amortization
	Derivatives measured at fair value	Impairment of oil and natural gas properties
Accounting for ARO for oil, NGLs and natural gas properties	Cost estimates related to the plugging and abandonment of wells	Long-term debt and interest expense
	Timing of cost incurred	Oil and natural gas properties
		Accumulated depletion, depreciation and amortization
		Provision for depletion, depreciation and amortization
Accounting for impairment of long-lived assets	Forecast of undiscounted estimated future net operating cash flows	Current and non-current liabilities
		Operating expense
		Drilling and mid-stream property and equipment
		Accumulated depletion, depreciation and amortization
Goodwill	Forecast of discounted estimated future net operating cash flows	Provision for depletion, depreciation and amortization
	Terminal value	Other intangible assets
	Weighted average cost of capital	Goodwill
Turnkey and footage drilling contracts	Estimates of costs to complete turnkey and footage contracts	Revenue and operating expense
		Current assets and liabilities
Accounting for value of stock compensation awards	Estimates of stock volatility	Oil and natural gas properties
	Estimates of expected life of awards granted	Shareholder's equity

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

	Estimates of rates of forfeitures	Operating expenses
		General and administrative expenses
Accounting for derivative instruments and hedging	Derivatives measured at fair value	Current and non-current derivative assets and liabilities
	Derivatives measured for effectiveness and ineffectiveness	Other comprehensive income as a component of equity
	Non-qualifying derivatives measured at fair value	Oil and natural gas revenue

Table of Contents***Significant Estimates and Assumptions***

Full Cost Method of Accounting for Oil, NGLs and Natural Gas Properties. The determination of our oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves is a subjective process. It entails estimating underground accumulations of oil, NGLs and natural gas that cannot be measured in an exact manner. The degree of accuracy of these estimates depends on a number of factors, including, the quality and availability of geological and engineering data, the precision of the interpretations of that data, and individual judgments. Each year, we hire an independent petroleum engineering firm to audit our internal evaluation of our reserves. The wells or locations for which estimates of reserves were audited were those that comprised the top 83% of the total proved developed discounted future net income and 80% of the total proved undeveloped discounted future net income based on the unescalated pricing policy of the SEC as taken from reserve and income projections prepared by us as of December 31, 2010. Included in Part I, Item 1 of this report are the qualifications of our independent petroleum engineering firm and the company's personnel responsible for the preparation of our reserve reports.

As a general rule, the degree of accuracy of oil, NGLs and natural gas reserve estimates varies with the reserve classification and the related accumulation of available data, as shown in the following table:

Type of Reserves	Nature of Available Data	Degree of Accuracy
Proved undeveloped	Data from offsetting wells, seismic data	Less accurate
Proved developed non-producing	The above as well as logs, core samples, well tests, pressure data	More accurate
Proved developed producing	The above as well as production history, pressure data over time	Most accurate

Assumptions as to future oil, NGLs and natural gas prices and operating and capital costs also play a significant role in estimating oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves and the estimated present value of the cash flows to be received from the future production of those reserves. Volumes of recoverable reserves are influenced by the assumed prices and costs due to what is known as the economic limit (that point in the future when the projected costs and expenses of producing recoverable oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves is greater than the projected revenues from the oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves). But more significantly, the estimated present value of the future cash flows from our oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves is extremely sensitive to prices and costs, and may vary materially based on different assumptions. Starting December 31, 2009, companies using full cost accounting moved from using the commodity prices existing on the last day of the period to that of the unweighted arithmetic average of the commodity prices existing on the first day of each of the 12 months before the end of the reporting period to calculate discounted future revenues, unless prices were otherwise determined under contractual arrangements. The revision to the 12-month average price was made to reduce the affect of short-term volatility and seasonality that previously occurred with single-day pricing. Using the 12-month average may or may not result in write-downs that would have been required had the single-day period-end price been used. The average unescalated prices used in our reserve estimates were \$79.43 per Bbl for oil, \$49.35 per Bbl for NGLs and \$4.38 per Mcf for natural gas, adjusted for price differentials.

We compute our provision for DD&A on a units-of-production method. Each quarter, we use the following formulas to compute the provision for DD&A for our producing properties:

$$\text{DD\&A Rate} = \text{Unamortized Cost} / \text{End of Period Reserves Adjusted for Current Period Production}$$

$$\text{Provision for DD\&A} = \text{DD\&A Rate} \times \text{Current Period Production}$$

Oil, NGLs and natural gas reserve estimates have a significant impact on our DD&A rate. If reserve estimates for a property or group of properties are revised downward in the future, the DD&A rate will increase as a result of the revision. Alternatively, if reserve estimates are revised upward, the DD&A rate will decrease. Based on our 2010 production level of 59,176,000 equivalent Mcf, a 5% decline in the amount of our 2010 oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves would increase our DD&A rate by \$0.11 per Mcfe and would decrease pre-tax

Table of Contents

income by \$6.5 million annually. A 5% increase in the amount of our 2010 oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves would decrease our DD&A rate by \$0.11 per Mcfe and would increase pre-tax income by \$6.5 million annually.

Our DD&A expense on our oil and natural gas properties is calculated each quarter utilizing period end reserve quantities adjusted for current period production.

We account for our oil and natural gas exploration and development activities using the full cost method of accounting. Under this method, all costs incurred in the acquisition, exploration and development of oil and natural gas properties are capitalized. At the end of each quarter, the net capitalized costs of our oil and natural gas properties are limited to the lower of unamortized cost or a ceiling. The ceiling is defined as the sum of the present value (using a 10% discount rate) of the estimated future net revenues from our proved reserves based on the unescalated 12-month average price on our oil, NGLs and natural gas adjusted for any cash flow hedges, plus the cost of properties not being amortized, plus the lower of cost or estimated fair value of unproved properties included in the costs being amortized, less related income taxes. If the net capitalized costs of our oil and natural gas properties exceed the ceiling, we are required to write-down the excess amount. A ceiling test write-down is a non-cash charge to earnings. If required, it reduces earnings and impacts shareholders' equity in the period of occurrence and results in lower depreciation, depletion and amortization expense in future periods. Once incurred, a write-down cannot be reversed.

The risk that we will be required to write-down the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties increases when oil, NGLs and natural gas prices are depressed or if we have large downward revisions in our estimated proved oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves. Application of these rules during periods of relatively low oil or natural gas prices, even if temporary, increases the chance of a ceiling test write-down. Based on the 12-month 2010 average unescalated prices of \$79.43 per barrel of oil, \$49.35 per barrel of NGLs and \$4.38 per Mcf of natural gas, adjusted for price differentials, for the estimated life of the respective properties, the unamortized cost of our oil and natural gas properties did not exceed the ceiling of our proved oil, NGL and natural gas reserves. Prior to 2009, the price was based on the single-day period-end price. The revision to the 12-month average price was made to reduce the affect of short-term volatility and seasonality that previously occurred with single-day pricing. Using the 12-month average may or may not result in write-downs that would have been required had the single-day period-end price been used. Oil, NGLs and natural gas prices remain volatile and any significant declines below prices used in the reserve evaluation could result in a ceiling test write-down in the future.

Derivative instruments qualifying as cash flow hedges are to be included in the computation of limitation on capitalized costs. Our qualifying cash flow hedges used in the ceiling test determination as of December 31, 2010, consisted of swaps and collars covering 26.3 Bcfe in 2011 and 8.8 Bcfe in 2012. The effect of those hedges on the December 31, 2010 ceiling test was a \$22.8 million pre-tax increase in the discounted net cash flows of our oil and natural gas properties. Even without the impact of those hedges, we would not have been required to take a write-down for the quarter. Our oil and natural gas hedging activities are discussed in Note 13 of our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We use the sales method for recording natural gas sales. This method allows for the recognition of revenue, which may be more or less than our share of pro-rata production from certain wells. Our policy is to expense our pro-rata share of lease operating costs from all wells as incurred. The expenses relating to the wells in which we have an imbalance are not material.

Accounting for ARO for Oil, NGLs and Natural Gas Properties. We record the fair value of liabilities associated with the retirement of assets having a long life. In our case, when the reserves in each of our oil or gas wells deplete or otherwise become uneconomical, we are required to incur costs to plug and abandon the wells. These costs are recorded in the period in which the liability is incurred (at the time the wells are drilled or acquired). We do not have any assets restricted for the purpose of settling these ARO liabilities. Our engineering staff uses historical experience to determine the estimated plugging costs taking into account the type of well

Table of Contents

(either oil or natural gas), the depth of the well and physical location of the well to determine the estimated plugging costs.

Accounting for Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Drilling equipment, transportation equipment, gas gathering and processing systems and other property and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Renewals and enhancements are capitalized while repairs and maintenance are expensed. Realization of the carrying value of property and equipment is reviewed for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that these carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Assets are determined to be impaired if a forecast of undiscounted estimated future net operating cash flows directly related to the asset, including disposal value if any, is less than the carrying amount of the asset. If any asset is determined to be impaired, the loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value. The estimate of fair value is based on the best information available, including prices for similar assets. Changes in these estimates could cause us to reduce the carrying value of property and equipment. An estimate of the impact to our earnings if other assumptions had been used is not practicable because of the significant number of assumptions that would be involved in the estimates. No significant impairments were recorded at December 31, 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of acquisitions over the fair value of the net assets acquired. An annual impairment test is performed in the fourth quarter to determine whether the fair value has decreased and additionally when events indicate an impairment may have occurred. Goodwill is all related to our drilling segment, and accordingly, the impairment test is based on the estimated discounted future net cash flows of our drilling segment, utilizing discount rates and other factors in determining the fair value of our drilling segment. No goodwill impairment was recorded at December 31, 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Turnkey and Footage Drilling Contracts. Because our contract drilling operations do not bear the risk of completion of a well being drilled under a daywork contract, we recognize revenues and expense generated under daywork contracts as the services are performed. Under footage and turnkey contracts we bear the risk of completion of the well, so revenues and expenses are recognized when the well is substantially completed. Substantial completion is determined when the well bore reaches the depth specified in the contract. The entire amount of a loss, if any, is recorded when the loss can be reasonably determined, however, any profit is recorded only at the time the well is finished. The costs of drilling contracts uncompleted at the end of the reporting period (which includes expenses incurred to date on footage or turnkey contracts) are included in other current assets. In 2010, we drilled four wells under a footage contract and none under a turnkey contract, one in 2009 under footage and none under turnkey and in 2008, we did not drill any wells under turnkey or footage contracts.

Accounting for Value of Stock Compensation Awards. To account for stock-based compensation, compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of an award and is recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period. We elected to use the modified prospective method, which requires compensation expense to be recorded for all unvested stock options and other equity-based compensation beginning in the first quarter of adoption. The determination of the fair value of an award requires significant estimates and subjective judgments regarding, among other things, the appropriate option pricing model, the expected life of the award and performance vesting criteria assumptions. As there are inherent uncertainties related to these factors and our judgment in applying them to the fair value determinations, there is risk that the recorded stock compensation may not accurately reflect the amount ultimately earned by the employee.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging. We account for derivative contracts to hedge against possible future interest rate increases and the variability in cash flows associated with the forecasted sale of our future natural gas, NGLs and oil production. We have hedged a portion of our anticipated oil and natural gas production for the next 12 months. This statement requires all derivatives to be recognized on the balance sheet and measured at fair value. If a derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, we are required to measure the

Table of Contents

effectiveness of the hedge, or the degree that the gain (loss) for the hedging instrument offsets the loss (gain) on the hedged item, at each reporting period. The effective portion of the gain (loss) on the derivative instrument is recognized in other comprehensive income as a component of equity and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is required to be recognized in earnings immediately. Derivatives that do not qualify for hedge treatment must be recorded at fair value with gains (losses) recognized in earnings in the period of change.

New Accounting Standards

Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*, which provides additional guidance to improve disclosures regarding fair value measurements. The ASU amends ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures - Overall* (formerly FAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*) to add two new disclosures: (1) transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 measurements and the reasons for the transfers, and (2) a gross presentation of activity within the Level 3 roll forward. The ASU also includes clarifications to existing disclosure requirements on the level of disaggregation and disclosures regarding inputs and valuation techniques. The ASU applies to all entities required to make disclosures about recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The effective date of the ASU is the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2009 and was adopted January 1, 2010, except for the gross presentation of the Level 3 roll forward information, which is required for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim reporting periods within those years. This statement did not and will not have a significant impact on us due to it only requiring enhanced disclosures.

Financial Condition and Liquidity*Summary.*

Our financial condition and liquidity depends on the cash flow from our operations and borrowings under our Credit Facility. The principal factors determining the amount of our cash flow are:

the demand for and the dayrates we receive for our drilling rigs;

the quantity of natural gas, oil and NGLs we produce;

the prices we receive for our oil, natural gas and NGL production; and

the margins we obtain from our natural gas gathering and processing contracts.

The following is a summary of certain financial information as of December 31, and for the years ended December 31:

	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands except percentages)		
Working capital	\$ 41,052	\$ 22,948	\$ 90,186
Long-term debt	\$ 163,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 199,500
Shareholders' equity ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,710,617	\$ 1,565,810	\$ 1,633,099
Ratio of long-term debt to total capitalization ⁽¹⁾	9%	2%	11%
Net income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 146,484	\$ (55,500)	\$ 143,625
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 390,072	\$ 490,475	\$ 689,913
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (536,261)	\$ (271,927)	\$ (806,141)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 146,408	\$ (217,992)	\$ 115,736

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

- (1) In March 2009, we incurred a non-cash ceiling test write down of our oil and natural gas properties of \$281.2 million pre-tax (\$175.1 million net of tax) due to low commodity prices at quarter-end. The write down impacted our 2009 shareholders' equity, ratio of long-term debt to total capitalization and net income. There was no impact on our compliance with the covenants contained in our Credit Facility. In December 2008, we incurred a non-cash ceiling test write down of our oil and natural gas properties of \$282.0 million pre-tax (\$175.5 million net of tax) due to low commodity prices at year-end. The write down impacted our 2008 shareholders' equity, ratio of long-term debt to total capitalization and net income. There was no impact on our compliance with the covenants contained in our Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes certain operating information for the years ended December 31:

	2010	2009	2008
Contract Drilling:			
Average number of our drilling rigs in use during the period	61.4	38.9	103.1
Total number of drilling rigs owned at the end of the period	121	130	132
Average dayrate	\$ 15,478	\$ 16,713	\$ 18,458
Oil and Natural Gas:			
Oil production (MBbls)	1,521	1,286	1,261
Natural gas liquids production (MBbls)	1,549	1,488	1,388
Natural gas production (MMcf)	40,756	44,063	47,473
Average oil price per barrel received	\$ 69.52	\$ 56.33	\$ 93.87
Average oil price per barrel received excluding hedges	\$ 76.65	\$ 56.64	\$ 98.02
Average NGL price per barrel received	\$ 37.04	\$ 22.81	\$ 47.42
Average NGL price per barrel received excluding hedges	\$ 36.96	\$ 25.66	\$ 47.38
Average natural gas price per mcf received	\$ 5.62	\$ 5.59	\$ 7.62
Average natural gas price per mcf received excluding hedges	\$ 4.05	\$ 3.26	\$ 7.53
Mid-Stream:			
Gas gathered MMBtu/day	183,867	183,989	197,367
Gas processed MMBtu/day	82,175	75,908	67,796
Gas liquids sold gallons/day	271,360	243,492	195,837
Number of natural gas gathering systems	34	33	37
Number of processing plants	10	8	9

At December 31, 2010, we had unrestricted cash of \$1.4 million and we had borrowed \$163.0 million of the \$325.0 million available under our Credit Facility. Our Credit Facility is used for working capital and capital expenditures. Most of our capital expenditures were discretionary and directed toward future growth. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008 and continuing through 2009, we significantly reduced our capital expenditures because of the uncertain economic environment. For 2010, we increased our capital expenditures and focused on growth which was funded mainly through internally generated cash flow and from borrowings under the credit facility. For 2011, we plan to increase our capital expenditures, focusing on growth which will be funded mainly through internally generated cash flow and from borrowings under the Credit Facility.

Working Capital

Typically, our working capital balance varies primarily because of the timing of our trade accounts receivable and accounts payable and from the fluctuation in current assets and liabilities associated with the mark to market value of our hedging activity. We had working capital of \$41.1 million, \$22.9 million and \$90.2 million as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The effect of our derivatives increased working capital by \$5.4 million, \$4.7 million and \$32.4 million as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Contract Drilling

Many factors influence the number of drilling rigs we have working as well as the costs and revenues associated with that work. These factors include the demand for drilling rigs in our areas of operation, competition from other drilling contractors, the prevailing prices for natural gas and oil, availability and cost of labor to run our drilling rigs and our ability to supply the equipment needed.

During 2009, competition to keep and attract qualified employees to meet our requirements did not materially affect us due to the depressed conditions within our industry. With the increase in activity over last year's levels, competition to keep qualified labor has increased. Starting in the third quarter 2010, we increased compensation for drilling personnel in Oklahoma, Texas and Louisiana.

Table of Contents

Demand for drilling rigs in the 1,000 to 1,500 horsepower range has increased over the past year as more of our customers shift to drilling horizontal wells, which are well suited for this horsepower range. Availability of drilling rigs in this range will also have a larger impact on dayrates in the future. For 2010, our average dayrate was \$15,478 per day compared to \$16,713 per day for 2009. Our average number of drilling rigs used in 2010 was 61.4 drilling rigs (50%) compared with 38.9 drilling rigs (30%) in 2009. Based on the average utilization of our drilling rigs during 2010, a \$100 per day change in dayrates has a \$6,140 per day (\$2.2 million annualized) change in our pre-tax operating cash flow.

Our contract drilling segment provides drilling services for our exploration and production segment. Depending on their timing some of the drilling services performed on our properties are also deemed to be associated with the acquisition of an ownership interest in the property. Revenues and expenses for such services are eliminated in our income statement, with any profit recognized as a reduction in our investment in our oil and natural gas properties. The contracts for these services are issued under the same conditions and rates as the contracts entered into with unrelated third parties. We eliminated revenue of \$40.1 million, \$15.0 million and \$65.5 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively from our contract drilling segment and eliminated the associated operating expense of \$31.0 million, \$13.7 million and \$37.6 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, yielding \$9.1 million, \$1.3 million and \$27.9 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as a reduction to the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties.

Impact of Prices for Our Oil, NGLs and Natural Gas

Natural gas comprises approximately 68% of our oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves compared to 73% in 2009. Any significant change in natural gas prices has a material effect on our revenues, cash flow and the value of our oil, liquids and natural gas reserves. Generally, prices and demand for domestic natural gas are influenced by weather conditions, supply imbalances and by worldwide oil price levels. Domestic oil prices are primarily influenced by world oil market developments. All of these factors are beyond our control and we cannot predict nor measure their future influence on the prices we will receive.

Based on our production in 2010, a \$0.10 per Mcf change in what we are paid for our natural gas production, without the effect of hedging, would result in a corresponding \$319,000 per month (\$3.8 million annualized) change in our pre-tax operating cash flow. Our 2010 average natural gas price was \$5.62 compared to an average natural gas price of \$5.59 for 2009 and \$7.62 for 2008. A \$1.00 per barrel change in our oil price, without the effect of hedging, would have a \$119,000 per month (\$1.4 million annualized) change in our pre-tax operating cash flow and a \$1.00 per barrel change in our NGL prices, without the effect of hedging, would have a \$122,000 per month (\$1.5 million annualized) change in our pre-tax operating cash flow based on our production in 2010. Our 2010 average oil price per barrel was \$69.52 compared with an average oil price of \$56.33 in 2009 and \$93.87 in 2008 and our 2010 average NGL price per barrel was \$37.04 compared with an average liquids price of \$22.81 in 2009 and \$47.42 in 2008.

Because natural gas prices have such a significant effect on the value of our oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves, declines in those prices can result in a decline in the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties. Price declines can also adversely affect the semi-annual determination of the amount available for us to borrow under our bank credit facility since that determination is based mainly on the value of our oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves. Such a reduction could limit our ability to carry out our planned capital projects.

Our natural gas production is sold to intrastate and interstate pipelines as well as to independent marketing firms under contracts with terms generally ranging from one month to a year.

Mid-Stream Operations

Our mid-stream operations are conducted through Superior Pipeline Company, L.L.C. and its subsidiary. Superior is a mid-stream company engaged primarily in the buying, selling, gathering, processing and treating of natural gas and operates three natural gas treatment plants, 10 processing plants, 34 gathering systems and 860

Table of Contents

miles of pipeline. Superior operates in Oklahoma, Texas, Kansas, Pennsylvania and West Virginia and has been in business since 1996. This segment enhances our ability to gather and market not only our own natural gas but also that owned by third parties and serves as a mechanism through which we can construct or acquire existing natural gas gathering and processing facilities. During 2010, 2009 and 2008 this segment purchased \$42.4 million, \$29.3 million and \$52.0 million, respectively, of our natural gas production and natural gas liquids and provided gathering and transportation services of \$4.4 million, \$4.6 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. Intercompany revenue from services and purchases of production between this business segment and our oil and natural gas segment has been eliminated in our consolidated financial statements.

Our mid-stream segment gathered an average of 183,867 MMBtu per day in 2010 compared to 183,989 MMBtu per day in 2009 and 197,367 MMBtu per day in 2008, processed an average of 82,175 MMBtu per day in 2010 compared to 75,908 MMBtu per day in 2009 and 67,796 MMBtu per day in 2008 and sold NGLs of 271,360 gallons per day in 2010 compared to 243,492 gallons per day in 2009 and 195,837 gallons per day in 2008. The average gas gathering volumes per day remained constant. Volumes processed increased primarily due to the addition of wells connected and recent upgrades to several of our processing systems.

Our Credit Facility

Our existing Credit Facility has a maximum credit amount of \$400.0 million and matures on May 24, 2012. The lenders' current commitment under the Credit Facility is \$325.0 million. Our borrowings are limited to the commitment amount that we elect. As of December 31, 2010, the commitment amount was \$325.0 million. We are charged a commitment fee ranging from 0.375 to 0.50 of 1% on the amount available but not borrowed. The rate varies based on the amount borrowed as a percentage of the amount of the total borrowing base. To date we have paid \$1.2 million in origination, agency and syndication fees under the Credit Facility. We are amortizing these fees over the life of the agreement. The average interest rate for 2010 and 2009, which includes the effect of our two interest rate swaps, was 3.5% and 4.0%, respectively. At December 31, 2010 and February 11, 2011, borrowings were \$163.0 million and \$170.0 million, respectively.

The lenders under our Credit Facility and their respective participation interests are as follows:

Lender	Participation Interest
Bank of Oklahoma, N.A.	18.75%
Bank of America, N.A.	18.75%
BMO Capital Markets Financing, Inc.	18.75%
BBVA Compass Bank	17.50%
Comerica Bank	8.75%
BNP Paribas	8.75%
Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank	8.75%
	100.00%

The lenders' aggregate commitment is limited to the lesser of the amount of the borrowing base or \$400.0 million. The amount of the borrowing base, which is subject to redetermination on April 1 and October 1 of each year, is based primarily on a percentage of the discounted future value of our oil and natural gas reserves and, to a lesser extent, the loan value the lenders reasonably attribute to the cash flow (as defined in the Credit Facility) of our mid-stream segment. The October 1, 2010 redetermination maintained the borrowing base at \$500.0 million. We or the lenders may request a onetime special redetermination of the amount of the borrowing base between each scheduled redetermination. In addition, we may request a redetermination following the completion of an acquisition that meets the requirements set forth in the Credit Facility.

At our election, any part of the outstanding debt under the Credit Facility may be fixed at a London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) for a 30, 60, 90 or 180 day period. During any LIBOR funding period, the

Table of Contents

outstanding principal balance of the promissory note to which the LIBOR option applies may be repaid after three days prior notice to the administrative agent and on payment of any applicable funding indemnification amounts. LIBOR interest is computed as the sum of the LIBOR base for the applicable term plus 1.75% to 2.50% depending on the level of debt as a percentage of the borrowing base and is payable at the end of each term, or every 90 days, whichever is less. Borrowings not under LIBOR bear interest at the BOK Financial Corporation (BOKF) National Prime Rate, which cannot be less than LIBOR plus 1.00%, and is payable at the end of each month and the principal borrowed may be paid at any time, in part or in whole, without a premium or penalty. At December 31, 2010, \$160.0 million of our \$163.0 million in outstanding borrowings were subject to LIBOR.

The Credit Facility prohibits:

the payment of dividends (other than stock dividends) during any fiscal year in excess of 25% of our consolidated net income for the preceding fiscal year;

the incurrence of additional debt with certain very limited exceptions; and

the creation or existence of mortgages or liens, other than those in the ordinary course of business, on any of our properties, except in favor of our lenders.

The Credit Facility also requires that we have at the end of each quarter:

a consolidated net worth of at least \$900.0 million;

a current ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) of not less than 1 to 1; and

a leverage ratio of long-term debt to consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Facility) for the most recently ended rolling four fiscal quarters of no greater than 3.50 to 1.0.

As of December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with the Credit Facility's covenants.

We entered into the following interest rate swaps to manage our exposure to possible future interest rate increases. Under these transactions we swapped the variable interest rate we would otherwise incur on a portion of our bank debt for a fixed rate of interest:

Remaining Term	Amount	Fixed Rate	Floating Rate
January 2011 – May 2012	\$ 15,000,000	4.53%	3 month LIBOR
January 2011 – May 2012	\$ 15,000,000	4.16%	3 month LIBOR

Capital Requirements

Drilling Dispositions, Acquisitions and Capital Expenditures. For 2008, our capital expenditures for this segment were \$196.2 million. During the second quarter of 2008, we completed the construction of two new 1,500 horsepower diesel electric drilling rigs for approximately \$32.2 million and placed these drilling rigs into service in our Rocky Mountain division. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we completed the construction of another new 1,500 horsepower diesel electric drilling rig for approximately \$14.1 million and placed that drilling rig into service in North Dakota.

In late 2008, we postponed the construction of eight additional drilling rigs we had previously anticipated building. In the third quarter 2009, we recognized an early termination fee associated with the cancellation of long-term contracts by a customer on two of these eight rigs. In addition, as a result of an existing contractual obligation, we took delivery of a new 1,500 horsepower drilling rig during the fourth quarter of 2009 at a

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

cost of \$13.2 million. The customer, who had signed a two year term contract for this rig when it was ordered, opted not to take delivery of the rig and paid an early termination fee under the contract provisions during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

During the first half of 2010, our contract drilling segment sold eight of its idle mechanical drilling rigs to an unaffiliated third party. These drilling rigs ranged in horse power from 800 to 1,000. Proceeds from the sale of those drilling rigs were \$23.9 million with a gain of \$5.7 million which was recorded in the first quarter 2010. The proceeds were used to refurbish and upgrade additional drilling rigs in our fleet allowing those drilling rigs to be used in horizontal drilling operations. We also placed into service in our Rocky Mountain division a 1,500 horsepower, diesel-electric drilling rig that previously had been placed on hold during 2009 by our customer.

In September 2010, we entered into a contract with an unaffiliated third-party under which we conveyed three of our idle mechanical drilling rigs and, in exchange, we received a 1,200 horsepower electric drilling rig and \$5.3 million. The three drilling rigs sold ranged in horsepower from 650 to 1,000. The transaction was closed in October and resulted in a gain of \$3.5 million.

Recently we signed two year contracts for each of the five new 1,500 horse power drilling rigs which will be deployed in the Bakken play. We are currently building these rigs, two of which will be delivered during the first quarter of 2011 and the remaining three during the third quarter of 2011.

Our anticipated 2011 capital expenditures for this segment are \$143.0 million. At December 31, 2010, we had commitments to purchase approximately \$13.7 million for drill pipe, top drives and related equipment over the next year. We have spent \$118.8 million for capital expenditures in 2010 compared to \$67.7 million in 2009.

Oil and Natural Gas Acquisitions and Capital Expenditures. Most of our capital expenditures for this segment are discretionary and directed toward future growth. Our decision to increase our oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves through acquisitions or through drilling depends on the prevailing or expected market conditions, potential return on investment, future drilling potential and opportunities to obtain financing under the circumstances involved, all of which provide us with a large degree of flexibility in deciding when and if to incur these costs. We completed drilling 167 gross wells (87.52 net wells) in 2010 compared to 95 gross wells (42.51 net wells) in 2009 and 278 gross wells (134.31 net wells) in 2008. Our 2010 total capital expenditures for our oil and natural gas segment, excluding a \$9.9 million ARO liability, and \$92.6 million for acquisitions, totaled \$361.4 million. Currently we plan to participate in drilling approximately 180 gross wells in 2011 and estimate our total capital expenditures (excluding any possible acquisitions) for our oil and natural gas segment will be approximately \$415.0 million. Whether we are able to drill the full number of wells we are planning on drilling is dependent on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control and include the availability of drilling rigs, availability of pressure pumping services, prices for oil, NGLs and natural gas, demand for oil and natural gas, the cost to drill wells, the weather and the efforts of outside industry partners.

On January 18, 2008, we purchased a 50% interest in a 6,800 gross-acre leasehold that we did not already own in our Segno area of operations located in Hardin County, Texas. Included in the purchase were five producing wells with 4.9 Bcfe of estimated proved reserves and current production of 2.8 MMcf of natural gas per day and 88.2 barrels of condensate. The purchase price was \$16.8 million which consisted of \$15.8 million allocated to the reserves of the wells and \$1.0 million allocated to the undeveloped leasehold.

In September 2008, we completed an acquisition consisting of a 75% working interest in four producing wells and other proved undeveloped properties for \$22.2 million along with working interests in undeveloped leasehold valued at approximately \$3.5 million, all located in the Texas Panhandle region.

During 2008 and 2009, we acquired interests in approximately 60,000 net undeveloped acres in the Marcellus Shale Play, located mainly in Pennsylvania and Maryland for approximately \$43.6 million. In July 2009, we received \$7.1 million and approximately 1,500 net undeveloped acres, representing payment for our 50% interest in 4,000 gross undeveloped acres and reimbursement for costs we paid on their behalf. On September 30, 2009, per our agreement with certain unaffiliated third parties, we were paid approximately \$14.9 million for our 50% interest in approximately 18,000 gross undeveloped acres of the Marcellus Shale and \$26.1 million for a receivable from the third parties for their 50% share of the costs we paid on their behalf to acquire

Table of Contents

the acreage. The sales proceeds reduced undeveloped leasehold and no gain or loss was recorded on this sale. We now have an interest in approximately 50,500 net undeveloped acres.

In June 2010, we completed an acquisition of oil and natural gas properties from certain unaffiliated third parties. The properties were purchased for approximately \$73.7 million in cash after giving effect to certain post-closing adjustments. After these adjustments, the acquisition included approximately 45,000 net leasehold acres and 10 producing oil wells and is focused on the Marmaton horizontal oil play located mainly in Beaver County, Oklahoma. Proved developed producing net reserves associated with the 10 acquired producing wells is approximately 762,000 BOE consisting of 511,000 barrels of oil, 155,000 barrels of NGLs and 573 MMcf of natural gas.

Also during the second quarter of 2010, we completed an acquisition of approximately 32,000 net acres of undeveloped oil and gas leasehold located in Southwest Oklahoma and North Texas for approximately \$17.6 million.

Mid-Stream Acquisitions and Capital Expenditures. As of December 31, 2008, we had commitments to purchase two new processing plants. In February 2009, we cancelled the purchase of one of these plants due to nonperformance of contractual terms. In December 2010, we wrote off \$2.5 million of the progress payments we made toward the full purchase price before this contract was terminated because it was determined to be unrecoverable. In March 2009, we cancelled our remaining commitment for the second plant and incurred a \$1.3 million penalty. Approximately half of the penalty was applied toward the purchase price of the plant we constructed in 2010.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we completed the installation and start up of a 50.0 MMcf per day turbo-expander natural gas processing plant at our Hemphill facility in Canadian, Texas. With the addition of this new processing plant, the total processing capacity at our Hemphill facility increased to approximately 100.0 MMcf per day. In connection with our Appalachian operations, we recently committed to build a 16-mile, 16" pipeline and a compressor station in Preston County, West Virginia, which will have a capacity of approximately 220 MMcf per day. Preliminary right-of-way and environmental work is nearing completion and construction is scheduled to begin during the first quarter of 2011 with the facility being operational by mid-2011. We have signed an agreement to transport gas on this system for an unaffiliated third party.

During 2010, our mid-stream segment incurred \$29.8 million in capital expenditures as compared to \$9.9 million in 2009 and \$49.9 million in 2008, including acquisitions. For 2011, we have budgeted capital expenditures of approximately \$47.0 million.

Contractual Commitments

At December 31, 2010, we had the following contractual obligations:

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less Than 1 Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	After 5 Years
		(In thousands)			
Bank debt (1)	\$ 168,645	\$ 4,051	\$ 164,594	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating leases (2)	5,905	1,688	2,770	1,447	0
Drill pipe, drilling components and equipment purchases (3)	13,712	13,712	0	0	0
Total contractual obligations	\$ 188,262	\$ 19,451	\$ 167,364	\$ 1,447	\$ 0

- (1) See previous discussion in MD&A regarding our bank credit facility. This obligation is presented in accordance with the terms of the credit facility and includes interest calculated using our year end interest rate of 3.5% which includes the effect of the interest rate swaps.

Table of Contents

- (2) We lease office space or yards in Beaver, Elk City, Oklahoma City and Tulsa, Oklahoma; Canadian and Houston, Texas; Denver and Englewood, Colorado; Pinedale, Wyoming; and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania under the terms of operating leases expiring through January, 2015. Additionally, we have several equipment leases and lease space on short-term commitments to stack excess drilling rig equipment and production inventory.
- (3) We have committed to purchase approximately \$13.7 million of new drilling rig components, drill pipe, drill collars and related equipment over the next twelve months.

At December 31, 2010, we also had the following commitments and contingencies that could create, increase or accelerate our liabilities:

Other Commitments	Estimated Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period				
	Total Accrued	Less Than 1 Year	2-3 Years (In thousands)	4-5 Years	After 5 Years
Deferred compensation plan (1)	\$ 2,368	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Separation benefit plans (2)	\$ 5,690	\$ 209	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Derivative liabilities interest rate swaps	\$ 1,614	\$ 1,139	\$ 475	\$ 0	\$ 0
Derivative liabilities commodity hedges	\$ 17,191	\$ 13,307	\$ 3,884	\$ 0	\$ 0
ARO liability (3)	\$ 69,265	\$ 1,915	\$ 13,947	\$ 3,964	\$ 49,439
Gas balancing liability (4)	\$ 3,263	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Repurchase obligations (5)	\$ 0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Workers compensation liability (6)	\$ 17,566	\$ 7,998	\$ 2,937	\$ 1,164	\$ 5,467

- (1) We provide a salary deferral plan which allows participants to defer the recognition of salary for income tax purposes until actual distribution of benefits, which occurs at either termination of employment, death or certain defined unforeseeable emergency hardships. We recognize payroll expense and record a liability, included in other long-term liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheet, at the time of deferral.
- (2) Effective January 1, 1997, we adopted a separation benefit plan (Separation Plan). The Separation Plan allows eligible employees whose employment with us is involuntarily terminated or, in the case of an employee who has completed 20 years of service, voluntarily or involuntarily terminated, to receive benefits equivalent to four weeks salary for every whole year of service completed with the company up to a maximum of 104 weeks. To receive payments the recipient must waive any claims against us in exchange for receiving the separation benefits. On October 28, 1997, we adopted a Separation Benefit Plan for Senior Management (Senior Plan). The Senior Plan provides certain officers and key executives of the company with benefits generally equivalent to the Separation Plan. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has absolute discretion in the selection of the individuals covered in this plan. On May 5, 2004 we also adopted the Special Separation Benefit Plan (Special Plan). This plan is identical to the Separation Benefit Plan with the exception that the benefits under the plan vest on the earliest of a participant's reaching the age of 65 or serving 20 years with the company. On December 31, 2008, all these plans were amended to bring the plans into compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
- (3) When a well is drilled or acquired we have recorded the fair value of liabilities associated with the retirement of long-lived assets (mainly plugging and abandonment costs for our depleted wells).
- (4) We have recorded a liability for those properties we believe do not have sufficient oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves to allow the under-produced owners to recover their under-production from future production volumes.
- (5) We formed The Unit 1984 Oil and Gas Limited Partnership and the 1986 Energy Income Limited Partnership along with private limited partnerships (the Partnerships) with certain qualified employees, officers and directors from 1984 through 2010, with a subsidiary of ours

serving as general partner. The

Table of Contents

Partnerships were formed for the purpose of conducting oil and natural gas acquisition, drilling and development operations and serving as co-general partner with us in any additional limited partnerships formed during that year. The Partnerships participated on a proportionate basis with us in most drilling operations and most producing property acquisitions commenced by us for our own account during the period from the formation of the Partnership through December 31 of that year. These partnership agreements require, on the election of a limited partner, that we repurchase the limited partner's interest at amounts to be determined by appraisal in the future. Such repurchases in any one year are limited to 20% of the units outstanding. We made repurchases of \$22,000 in 2010, \$1,000 in 2009 and \$241,000 in 2008.

- (6) We have recorded a liability for future estimated payments related to workers' compensation claims primarily associated with our contract drilling segment.

Derivative Activities

Periodically we enter into hedge transactions covering part of the interest we incur under our Credit Facility as well as the prices to be received for a portion of our future oil, NGLs and natural gas production.

Interest Rate Swaps. From time to time we enter into interest rate swaps to manage our exposure to possible future interest rate increases under our Credit Facility. Under these transactions we swap the variable interest rate we would otherwise incur on a portion of our bank debt for a fixed rate of interest. As of December 31, 2010, we had two outstanding interest rate swaps; both were cash flow hedges. There was no material amount of ineffectiveness. Our December 31, 2010 balance sheet recognized the fair value of these swaps as current and non-current derivative liabilities and is presented in the table below:

Remaining Term		Amount	Fixed Rate	Floating Rate	Fair Value Asset (Liability)
				(\$ in thousands)	
January 2011	May 2012	\$ 15,000	4.53%	3 month LIBOR	\$ (847)
January 2011	May 2012	\$ 15,000	4.16%	3 month LIBOR	(767)
					\$ (1,614)

Because of these interest rate swaps, interest expense increased by \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively. A loss of \$1.0 million, net of tax, is reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2010.

Commodity Hedges. Our hedging is intended to reduce price volatility and manage price risks. Our decision on the type and quantity of our production and the price(s) of our hedge(s) is based, in part, on our view of current and future market conditions. Based on our 2010 average daily production, as of December 31, 2010, the approximated percentages we have hedged are as follows:

Oil and Natural Gas Segment:

	January December 2011	January December 2012
Daily oil production	96 %	36 %
Daily natural gas production	37 %	12 %
Natural gas liquids production	12 %	0 %

With respect to the commodities subject to the hedge, the use of hedging limits the risk of adverse downward price movements, however it also limits increases in future revenues that would otherwise result from favorable price movements.

Table of Contents

The use of derivative transactions also involves the risk that the counterparties will be unable to meet the financial terms of the transactions. Based on our valuation at December 31, 2010, we determined that there is no material risk of non-performance with regard to our counterparties. At December 31, 2010, the fair values of the net assets (liabilities) we had with each of the counterparties with respect to all of our commodity derivative transactions are listed in the table below:

	December 31, 2010 (In millions)
Bank of Montreal	\$ 7.4
Bank of America, N.A.	(0.3)
Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, London Branch	(8.5)
Comerica Bank	(5.6)
BBVA Compass Bank	(2.3)
Barclays Capital	0.1
BNP Paribas	0.2
ConocoPhillips	(0.1)
Total	\$ (9.1)

If a legal right of set-off exists, we net the value of the derivative arrangements we have with the same counterparty in our consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2010, we recorded the fair value of our commodity derivatives on our balance sheet as current and non-current derivative assets of \$5.6 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, and current and non-current derivative liabilities of \$13.3 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009, we recorded the fair value of our commodity derivatives on our balance sheet as current derivative assets of \$9.9 million and current derivative liabilities of \$1.4 million.

We recognize in accumulated OCI the effective portion of any changes in fair value and reclassify the recognized gains (losses) on the sales to revenue and the purchases to expense as the underlying transactions are settled. As of December 31, 2010, we had a loss of \$5.9 million, net of tax from our oil and natural gas segment derivatives and no gain or loss from our mid-stream segment derivatives in accumulated OCI.

Based on market prices at December 31, 2010, we expect to transfer to earnings a loss of approximately \$5.4 million, net of tax, of the loss included in accumulated OCI during the next 12 months in the related month of production. The interest rate swaps and the commodity derivative instruments existing as of December 31, 2010 are expected to mature by May 2012 and December 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Certain derivatives do not qualify as cash flow hedges. Currently, we have three basis swaps that do not qualify as cash flow hedges. For these types of derivatives, any changes in the fair value that occurs before their maturity (i.e., temporary fluctuations in value) are reported currently in the consolidated statements of operations as unrealized gains (losses) within our oil and natural gas revenues. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, to the extent they are effective in offsetting cash flows attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in OCI until the hedged item is recognized into earnings. Any change in fair value resulting from ineffectiveness is recognized currently in our oil and natural gas revenues as unrealized gains (losses). The effect of these realized and unrealized gains and losses on our revenues and expenses were as follows at December 31:

	2010	2009 (In thousands)	2008
Increases (decreases) in:			
Oil and natural gas revenue:			
Realized gains (losses) on oil and natural gas derivatives	\$ 53,473	\$ 97,864	\$ (1,010)
Unrealized gains (losses) on ineffectiveness of cash flow hedges	700	(897)	255
Unrealized gains (losses) on non-qualifying oil and natural gas derivatives	336	(1,047)	1,047
Total increase on oil and natural gas revenues due to derivatives	54,509	95,920	292
Gas gathering and processing revenue (all realized gains)	0	0	2,022
Gas gathering and processing expense (all realized losses)	0	0	1,438
Impact on pre-tax earnings	\$ 54,509	\$ 95,920	\$ 876

Stock and Incentive Compensation

During 2010, we granted awards covering 450,355 shares of restricted stock. These awards were granted as retention incentive awards. These stock awards had an estimated fair value as of the grant date of \$16.9 million. Compensation expense will be recognized over their two and three year vesting periods, and during 2010, we recognized \$6.1 million in additional compensation expense and capitalized \$1.6 million for these awards. During 2009, we did not grant any awards of restricted stock. During 2008, we granted awards covering 30,855 shares of restricted stock. These awards were granted as retention incentive awards and have been recognized over the three year vesting periods. No SAR awards were made during 2008, 2009, or 2010.

During 2010, we recognized compensation expense of \$10.8 million for all of our restricted stock, stock options and SAR grants and capitalized \$2.7 million of compensation cost for oil and natural gas properties.

Insurance

We are self-insured for certain losses relating to workers' compensation, control of well and employee medical benefits. Insured policies for other coverage contain deductibles or retentions per occurrence that range from \$50,000 for fiduciary liability to \$1.0 million for drilling rig physical damage. We have purchased stop-loss coverage in order to limit, to the extent feasible, per occurrence and aggregate exposure to certain types of claims. However, there is no assurance that the insurance coverage will adequately protect us against liability from all potential consequences. We have elected to use an ERISA governed occupational injury benefit plan to cover all Texas drilling operations in lieu of covering them under Texas Workers' Compensation. If insurance coverage becomes more expensive, we may choose to self-insure, decrease our limits, raise our deductibles or any combination of these rather than pay higher premiums.

Oil and Natural Gas Limited Partnerships and Other Entity Relationships.

We are the general partner of 16 oil and natural gas partnerships which were formed privately or publicly. Each partnership's revenues and costs are shared under formulas set out in that partnership's agreement. The partnerships repay us for contract drilling, well supervision and general and administrative expense. Related party transactions for contract drilling and well supervision fees are the related party's share of such costs. These

Table of Contents

costs are billed on the same basis as billings to unrelated third parties for similar services. General and administrative reimbursements consist of direct general and administrative expense incurred on the related party's behalf as well as indirect expenses assigned to the related parties. Allocations are based on the related party's level of activity and are considered by us to be reasonable. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, the total we received for all of these fees was \$1.5 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. We expect that these fees for 2011 will be comparable to those in 2010. Our proportionate share of assets, liabilities and net income relating to the oil and natural gas partnerships is included in our consolidated financial statements.

Effects of Inflation

The effect of inflation in the oil and natural gas industry is primarily driven by the prices for oil, NGLs and natural gas. Increases in these prices increase the demand for our contract drilling rigs and services. This increase in demand in turn affects the dayrates we can obtain for our contract drilling services. Over the last several years, natural gas, NGLs and oil prices have been more volatile, and during periods of higher demand for our drilling rigs we have experienced increases in labor costs as well as the costs of services to support our drilling rigs. Historically, during this same period, when oil, NGLs and natural gas prices did decline, labor rates did not come back down to the levels existing before the increases. If natural gas prices increase substantially for a long period, shortages in support equipment (such as drill pipe, third party services and qualified labor) will result in additional increases in our material and labor costs. Increases in dayrates for drilling rigs also increase the cost of our oil and natural gas properties. How inflation will affect us in the future will depend on additional increases, if any, realized in our drilling rig rates, the prices we receive for our oil, NGLs and natural gas and the rates we receive for gathering and processing natural gas.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently utilize any off-balance sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities to enhance liquidity and capital resource positions, or for any other purpose. However, as is customary in the oil and gas industry, we are subject to various contractual commitments.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****2010 versus 2009**

Following is a comparison of selected operating and financial data:

	2010	2009	Percent Change
Total revenue	\$ 881,845,000	\$ 709,898,000	24%
Net income (loss)	\$ 146,484,000	\$ (55,500,000)	NM
Contract Drilling:			
Revenue	\$ 316,384,000	\$ 236,315,000	34%
Operating costs excluding depreciation	\$ 186,813,000	\$ 140,080,000	33%
Percentage of revenue from daywork contracts	100%	100%	0%
Average number of drilling rigs in use	61.4	38.9	58%
Average dayrate on daywork contracts	\$ 15,478	\$ 16,713	(7)%
Depreciation	\$ 69,970,000	\$ 45,326,000	54%
Oil and Natural Gas:			
Revenue	\$ 400,807,000	\$ 357,879,000	12%
Operating costs excluding depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	\$ 105,365,000	\$ 87,734,000	20%
Average oil price (Bbl)	\$ 69.52	\$ 56.33	23%
Average NGL price (Bbl)	\$ 37.04	\$ 22.81	62%
Average natural gas price (Mcf)	\$ 5.62	\$ 5.59	1%
Oil production (Bbl)	1,521,000	1,286,000	18%
NGL production (Bbl)	1,549,000	1,488,000	4%
Natural gas production (Mcf)	40,756,000	44,063,000	(8)%
Depreciation, depletion and amortization rate (Mcfe)	\$ 1.99	\$ 1.87	6%
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 118,793,000	\$ 114,681,000	4%
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties	\$ 0	\$ 281,241,000	NM
Mid-Stream Operations:			
Revenue	\$ 154,516,000	\$ 108,628,000	42%
Operating costs excluding depreciation and amortization	\$ 122,146,000	\$ 87,908,000	39%
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 15,385,000	\$ 16,104,000	(4)%
Gas gathered MMBtu/day	183,867	183,989	0%
Gas processed MMBtu/day	82,175	75,908	8%
Gas liquids sold gallons/day	271,360	243,492	11%
General and administrative expense	\$ 26,152,000	\$ 24,011,000	9%
Interest expense, net	\$ 0	\$ 539,000	NM
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 90,737,000	\$ (32,226,000)	NM
Average interest rate	3.5%	4.0%	(13)%
Average long-term debt outstanding	\$ 94,873,000	\$ 111,808,000	(15)%

(1) NM A percentage calculation is not meaningful due to a zero-value denominator or a percentage change greater than 200.

Contract Drilling:

Drilling revenues increased \$80.1 million or 34% in 2010 versus 2009 primarily due to a 58% increase in the average number of rigs in use during 2010 compared to 2009 and increased mobilization revenue offset by a 7% lower average dayrate. Average drilling rig utilization increased from 38.9 drilling rigs in 2009 to 61.4 drilling rigs in 2010 as commodity prices improved in 2010 compared to 2009, creating increased demand for drilling rigs.

Table of Contents

Drilling operating costs increased \$46.7 million or 33% between the comparative years of 2010 and 2009 primarily due to increases in the number of drilling rigs used and increases in general and administrative expenses somewhat offset by decreases in worker's compensation. During 2009, competition to keep and attract qualified employees to meet our requirements did not materially affect us due to the depressed conditions within our industry. Due to an increase in activity over last year's levels, competition to keep qualified labor has increased in 2010. Starting in the third quarter 2010, we increased compensation for drilling personnel in Oklahoma, Texas and Louisiana. Contract drilling depreciation increased \$24.6 million or 54% primarily due to an increase in the number of drilling rigs being utilized and an increase in capital expenditures for upgrades to existing drilling rigs in our fleet.

Oil and Natural Gas

Oil and natural gas revenues increased \$42.9 million or 12% in 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily due to an increase in average oil, NGL and natural gas prices partially offset by a 3% decrease in equivalent production volumes. Average oil prices between the comparative years increased 23% to \$69.52 per barrel, NGL prices increased 62% to \$37.04 per barrel and natural gas prices increased 1% to \$5.62 per Mcf. In 2010, as compared to 2009, oil production increased 18%, NGL production increased by 4% and natural gas production decreased 8%. Production for 2010 was negatively impacted by an unexpected shut-in of some of our production from operational issues experienced at a third party facility that processes our Segno field production while production growth was hampered primarily during the first nine months of the year by the lack of availability of fracing services to complete wells.

Oil and natural gas operating costs increased \$17.6 million or 20% between the comparative years of 2010 and 2009 due primarily to higher gross production taxes due to increased oil and natural gas sales revenue between the periods. Production taxes in 2009 were also reduced by \$5.8 million for production tax credits attributable to high-cost gas wells.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) increased \$4.1 million or 4% primarily due to a 6% increase in our DD&A rate slightly offset by a 3% decrease in equivalent production. The 2009 DD&A rate was lower after a \$281.2 million pre-tax non-cash ceiling test write-down of the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties at the end of the first quarter in 2009 as a result of a decline in commodity prices and the DD&A rate increases throughout 2010 from increased net book value on new reserves added. Our DD&A expense on our oil and natural gas properties is calculated each quarter utilizing period end reserve quantities adjusted for current period production.

Mid-Stream

Our mid-stream revenues increased \$45.9 million or 42% for 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily due to higher NGL and natural gas prices and higher NGL volumes processed and sold. The average price for NGLs sold increased 31% and the average price for natural gas sold increased 28%. Gas processing volumes per day increased 8% between the comparative periods and NGLs sold per day increased 11% between the comparative periods. The increase in volumes processed per day is primarily attributable to the volumes added from new wells connected to existing systems throughout 2010. NGLs sold volumes per day increased due to both an increase in volumes processed and upgrades to several of our processing facilities. Gas gathering volumes per day remained flat.

Operating costs increased \$34.2 million or 39% in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to a 36% increase in prices paid for natural gas purchased and a 9% increase in purchased volumes. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$0.7 million, or 4%, primarily due to decreased amortization on our intangible assets. For 2011, we anticipate an increase in well connections over 2010 due to anticipated drilling activity by operators in the areas of our existing gathering systems as well as the additional processing facility completed during the fourth quarter of 2010 to accommodate the increased drilling activity of our oil and natural gas segment and other third parties.

Table of Contents

Other

Other revenue of \$10.1 million for 2010 was primarily attributable to the sale of eight mechanical drilling rigs and the sale of a gas pipeline in which we owned a 60% interest, partially offset by a \$2.5 million loss associated with the write-off of progress payments made on a gas plant contract that was terminated.

General and administrative expenses increased \$2.1 million or 9% compared to 2009 primarily due to increases in employee costs.

Interest expense, net of capitalized interest, decreased \$0.5 million between the comparative years. We capitalized interest based on the net book value associated with undeveloped leasehold not being amortized, the construction of additional drilling rigs and the construction of gas gathering systems. Our average interest rate decreased by 13% and our average debt outstanding was 15% lower in 2010 as compared to 2009. Total interest expense was increased \$1.2 million for 2010 and \$1.0 million for 2009 from interest rate swap settlements.

Income tax expense (benefit) changed from a benefit of \$32.2 million in 2009 to an expense of \$90.7 million in 2010 due to the non-cash ceiling test write-down of \$281.2 million pre-tax (\$175.1 million, net of tax) of our oil and natural gas properties during the quarter ended March 31, 2009, which was more than offset by improved performance of our operating segments. Our effective tax rate was 38.3% and 36.7% for 2010 and 2009, respectively. The portion of our taxes reflected as a current income tax benefit for 2010 was \$9.9 million as compared to a benefit of \$0.2 million in 2009. Income taxes paid in 2010 were \$3.1 million.

Table of Contents**2009 versus 2008**

Following is a comparison of selected operating and financial data:

	2009	2008	Percent Change
Total revenue	\$ 709,898,000	\$ 1,358,093,000	(48)%
Net income (loss)	\$ (55,500,000)	\$ 143,625,000	(139)%
Contract Drilling:			
Revenue	\$ 236,315,000	\$ 622,727,000	(62)%
Operating costs excluding depreciation	\$ 140,080,000	\$ 312,907,000	(55)%
Percentage of revenue from daywork contracts	100%	100%	0%
Average number of drilling rigs in use	38.9	103.1	(62)%
Average dayrate on daywork contracts	\$ 16,713	\$ 18,458	(9)%
Depreciation	\$ 45,326,000	\$ 69,841,000	(35)%
Oil and Natural Gas:			
Revenue	\$ 357,879,000	\$ 553,998,000	(35)%
Operating costs excluding depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	\$ 87,734,000	\$ 116,239,000	(25)%
Average oil price (Bbl)	\$ 56.33	\$ 93.87	(40)%
Average NGL price (Bbl)	\$ 22.81	\$ 47.42	(52)%
Average natural gas price (Mcf)	\$ 5.59	\$ 7.62	(27)%
Oil production (Bbl)	1,286,000	1,261,000	2%
NGL production (Bbl)	1,488,000	1,388,000	7%
Natural gas production (Mcf)	44,063,000	47,473,000	(7)%
Depreciation, depletion and amortization rate (Mcf)	\$ 1.87	\$ 2.50	(25)%
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 114,681,000	\$ 159,550,000	(28)%
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties	\$ 281,241,000	\$ 281,966,000	0%
Mid-Stream Operations:			
Revenue	\$ 108,628,000	\$ 181,730,000	(40)%
Operating costs excluding depreciation and amortization	\$ 87,908,000	\$ 150,466,000	(42)%
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 16,104,000	\$ 14,822,000	9%
Gas gathered MMBtu/day	183,989	197,367	(7)%
Gas processed MMBtu/day	75,908	67,796	12%
Gas liquids sold gallons/day	243,492	195,837	24%
General and administrative expense	\$ 24,011,000	\$ 25,419,000	(6)%
Interest expense, net	\$ 539,000	\$ 1,304,000	(59)%
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (32,226,000)	\$ 81,954,000	(139)%
Average interest rate	4.0%	4.5%	(11)%
Average long-term debt outstanding	\$ 111,808,000	\$ 149,315,000	(25)%

Contract Drilling:

Drilling revenues decreased \$386.4 million or 62% in 2009 versus 2008 primarily due to a 62% decrease in the average number of rigs in use during 2009 compared to 2008. The decline in revenue was partially offset by \$6.1 million of revenue recognized during the third and fourth quarters of 2009 from settlements of terminated drilling contracts. Average drilling rig utilization decreased from 103.1 drilling rigs in 2008 to 38.9 drilling rigs in 2009. Our average dayrate in 2009 was 9% lower than in 2008. In the third and fourth quarters of 2008, prices for oil and natural gas decreased substantially and natural gas prices continued to be at low levels during 2009. Entering the third quarter of 2009, the decline in utilization started to moderate and improved slightly through the end of 2009.

Table of Contents

Drilling operating costs decreased \$172.8 million or 55% between the comparative years of 2009 and 2008 primarily due to the decrease in the number of drilling rigs used. The utilization decreases experienced in the industry since the third quarter of 2008 has reduced the demand for rig personnel which reduced the pressure on our labor costs. Likewise, that pressure on our other daily direct drilling costs resulted in little change of those costs as well, but reduced utilization resulted in fewer rigs to cover our indirect fixed costs. Contract drilling depreciation decreased \$24.5 million or 35%, we utilize the units of production method for the depreciation of our drilling rigs, therefore in periods of reduced utilization a decrease in depreciation occurs.

Oil and Natural Gas:

Oil and natural gas revenues decreased \$196.1 million or 35% in 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily due to a decrease in average oil, NGL and natural gas prices. Average oil prices between the comparative years decreased 40% to \$56.33 per barrel, NGL prices decreased 52% to \$22.81 per barrel and natural gas prices decreased 27% to \$5.59 per Mcf. In 2009, as compared to 2008, oil production increased 2%, NGL production increased 7% and natural gas production decreased 7%. During 2009 approximately 1.2 Bcf of natural gas production was curtailed due to low commodity prices and the shut-in of third party plants. A large part of our increase in revenues during 2008 was determined by the prices we received for our production. Commodity prices decreased substantially during the third and fourth quarters of 2008 and natural gas prices stayed at low levels during 2009. As a result of these lower commodity prices as well as service costs that remained relatively high, we slowed our drilling activity during the fourth quarter of 2008 and continued to do so through the second quarter of 2009. We began increasing activity during the third quarter of 2009.

Oil and natural gas operating costs decreased \$28.5 million or 25% between the comparative years of 2009 and 2008 primarily due to reduced production taxes associated with the large decrease in commodity prices and \$5.1 million in production tax credits attributable to high-cost gas wells. Also contributing to the decrease was a reduction in general and administrative expenses as compensation costs were reduced in response to the downturn in the industry.

Total DD&A, excluding ceiling test impairments, decreased \$44.9 million or 28% primarily due to a 25% decrease in our DD&A and lower production volumes. The decrease in our DD&A rate in 2009 compared to 2008 resulted primarily from the \$282.0 million and \$281.2 million pre-tax non-cash ceiling test write-down of the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first quarter 2009, respectively, as a result of a decline in commodity prices. Our DD&A expense on our oil and natural gas properties is calculated each quarter utilizing period end reserve quantities. The new SEC oil and gas reserves measurement and disclosure rules that went into effect as of December 31, 2009 impacted our DD&A expense for the fourth quarter of 2009, increasing DD&A expense by \$1.2 million (or \$0.02 per share) for the quarter and year ended December 31, 2009.

Mid-Stream:

Our mid-stream revenues were \$73.1 million or 40% lower for 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily due to lower NGL and natural gas prices slightly offset by higher NGL volumes processed and sold. The average price for NGLs sold decreased 45% and the average price for natural gas sold decreased 55%. Gas processing volumes per day increased 12% between the comparative periods and NGLs sold per day increased 24% between the comparative periods. The increase in volumes processed per day is primarily attributable to the volumes added from new wells connected to existing systems throughout 2008 and 2009. NGLs sold volumes per day increased due to both an increase in volumes processed and upgrades to several of our processing facilities. Gas gathering volumes per day decreased 7% primarily from well production declines associated with the wells gathered from one of our gathering systems located in Southeast Oklahoma. NGL sales increased by \$2.0 million in 2008 due to the impact of NGL hedges. There were no NGL hedges in place for 2009.

Table of Contents

Operating costs decreased \$62.6 million or 42% in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a 52% decrease in prices paid for natural gas purchased and an 8% decrease in field operating expense. Depreciation and amortization increased \$1.3 million, or 9%, primarily attributable to the additional depreciation associated with capital expenditures between the comparative periods. Operating costs increased by \$1.4 million in 2008 due to the impact of natural gas purchase hedges; however there were no hedges in place during 2009.

Other:

Other revenue of \$7.1 million for the year ended 2009 was primarily attributable to the sale of three mechanical drilling rigs during the year.

General and administrative expense decreased \$1.4 million or 6% in 2009 compared to 2008. This decrease was primarily attributable to decreased payroll expenses due to efforts to manage cost in this economic environment.

Interest expense, net of capitalized interest, decreased \$0.8 million or 59% between the comparative periods of 2009 and 2008. Capitalized interest reduced our interest expense by \$5.1 million in 2009 versus \$6.0 million in 2008. We capitalized interest based on the net book value associated with our undeveloped oil and natural gas properties, the construction of additional drilling rigs and the construction of gas gathering systems. Our average interest rate was 11% lower and our average debt outstanding was 25% lower in 2009 as compared to 2008. Interest expense was increased \$1.0 million for 2009 and \$0.3 million for 2008 from interest rate swap settlements.

Income tax expense (benefit) changed from an expense of \$82.0 million in 2008 to a benefit of \$32.2 million in 2009 due to declines in income from lower commodity prices and reduced rig utilization and dayrates. Our effective tax rate was 36.7% and 37.0% for 2009 and 2008, respectively with the effect of the deferred tax benefit related to the ceiling test write-down of our oil and natural gas properties. The portion of our taxes reflected as a current income tax benefit for 2009 was \$0.2 million or 0.7% of the total income tax benefit for 2009 as compared with \$40.9 million or 50% of total income tax expense in 2008. The decrease in the percentage of tax expense (benefit) and the reduction in tax expense recognized as current were both the result of lower taxable income. Income taxes paid in 2009 were \$12.3 million.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*

Our operations are exposed to market risks primarily as a result of changes in the prices for natural gas and oil and interest rates.

Commodity Price Risk. Our major market risk exposure is in the prices we receive for our oil, NGLs and natural gas production. Those prices are primarily driven by the prevailing worldwide price for crude oil and market prices applicable to our natural gas production. Historically, these prices have fluctuated and we expect they will continue to do so. The price of oil, NGLs and natural gas also affects both the demand for our drilling rigs and the amount we can charge for the use of our drilling rigs. Based on our 2010 production, a \$0.10 per Mcf change in what we are paid for our natural gas production would result in a corresponding \$319,000 per month (\$3.8 million annualized) change in our pre-tax cash flow. A \$1.00 per barrel change in our oil price would have a \$119,000 per month (\$1.4 million annualized) change in our pre-tax operating cash flow and a \$1.00 per barrel change in our NGLs prices would have a \$122,000 per month (\$1.5 million annualized) change in our pre-tax cash flow.

We use hedging transactions to reduce price volatility and manage price risks. Our decisions regarding the amount and prices at which we choose to hedge certain of our products is based, in part, on our view of current and future market conditions. The transactions we use include financial price swaps under which we will receive a fixed price for our production and pay a variable market price to the contract counterparty, and collars that set a

Table of Contents

floor and ceiling price for the hedged production. If the applicable monthly price indices are outside of the ranges set by the floor and ceiling prices in the various collars, we will settle the difference with the counterparty to the collars. Currently, we also have one basis swap that does not qualify as cash flow hedge. These financial derivatives are intended to support oil and gas prices at targeted levels and to manage our exposure to oil and gas price fluctuations. We do not hold or issue derivative instruments for speculative trading purposes.

Oil and Natural Gas Segment:

At December 31, 2010, the following cash flow hedges were outstanding:

Term		Commodity	Hedged Volume	Weighted Average Fixed Price for Swaps	Hedged Market
Jan 11	Dec 11	Crude oil swap	4,000 Bbl/day	\$84.28	WTI NYMEX
Jan 12	Dec 12	Crude oil swap	1,500 Bbl/day	\$82.49	WTI NYMEX
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas swap	45,000 MMBtu/day	\$4.93	IF NYMEX (HH)
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas basis differential swap	15,000 MMBtu/day	(\$0.14)	Tenn Zone 0 NYMEX
Jan 12	Dec 12	Natural gas swap	15,000 MMBtu/day	\$5.62	IF PEPL
Jan 11	Dec 11	Liquids swap (1)	644,406 Gal/mo	\$0.97	OPIS Conway

(1) Types of liquids involved are natural gasoline, ethane, propane, isobutane and normal butane.

At December 31, 2010, the following non-qualifying cash flow derivatives were outstanding:

Term		Commodity	Hedged Volume	Basis Differential	Hedged Market
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas basis differential swap	15,000 MMBtu/day	(\$0.14)	Tenn Zone 0 NYMEX
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas basis differential swap	10,000 MMBtu/day	(\$0.21)	CEGT NYMEX
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas basis differential swap	10,000 MMBtu/day	(\$0.23)	PEPL NYMEX

Subsequent to December 31, 2010, the following cash flow hedges were outstanding:

Term		Commodity	Hedged Volume	Weighted Average Fixed Price for Swaps	Hedged Market
Feb 11	Dec 11	Natural gas swap	25,000 MMBtu/day	\$4.75	IF NYMEX (HH)
Feb 11	Dec 11	Natural gas swap	10,000 MMBtu/day	\$4.43	IF CEGT
Jan 12	Dec 12	Natural gas swap	15,000 MMBtu/day	\$5.06	IF NYMEX (HH)
Jan 12	Dec 12	Crude oil swap	1,000 Bbl/day	\$97.49	WTI NYMEX

Interest Rate Risk. Our interest rate exposure relates to our long-term debt under our Credit Facility. That debt, at our election bears interest at variable rates based on the BOKF National Prime Rate or the LIBOR Rate. At our election, borrowings under our Credit Facility may be fixed at the LIBOR Rate for periods of up to 180 days. To help manage our exposure to any future interest rate volatility, we currently have two \$15.0 million interest rate swaps, one at a fixed rate of 4.53% and one at a fixed rate of 4.16%, both expiring in May 2012. Under these transactions we have swapped the variable interest rate we would otherwise incur on a portion of our bank debt for a fixed interest rate. Based on our average outstanding long-term debt subject to a variable rate in 2009, a 1% increase in the floating rate would reduce our annual pre-tax cash flow by approximately \$0.6 million.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

Index to Financial Statements

Unit Corporation and Subsidiaries

	Page
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	72
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	73
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	74
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	75
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010</u>	76
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	77
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	78

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

The company's management assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, the company's management used the criteria set forth in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on their assessment, the company's management concluded that, as of December 31, 2010, the company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

The effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To Board of Directors and Shareholders of Unit Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Unit Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under item 15(a)(2), presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, at December 31, 2009 the Company changed the manner in which it estimates oil and gas reserves.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Tulsa, Oklahoma

February 24, 2011

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	As of December 31, 2010 2009	
	(In thousands except share and par value amounts)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,359	\$ 1,140
Restricted cash	0	20
Accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5,083 and \$5,186)	130,142	74,382
Materials and supplies	6,316	6,914
Current derivative asset (Note 13)	5,568	9,945
Current income tax receivable	25,211	15,236
Current deferred tax asset (Note 8)	13,537	14,423
Prepaid expenses and other	6,047	6,035
Total current assets	188,180	128,095
Property and equipment:		
Drilling equipment	1,273,861	1,217,361
Oil and natural gas properties, on the full cost method:		
Proved properties	2,738,093	2,309,193
Undeveloped leasehold not being amortized	175,065	140,129
Gas gathering and processing equipment	199,564	172,549
Transportation equipment	31,688	30,726
Other	28,511	22,747
	4,446,782	3,892,705
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	2,047,031	1,879,112
Net property and equipment	2,399,751	2,013,593
Goodwill (Note 2)	62,808	62,808
Other intangible assets, net	3,022	5,633
Non-current derivative asset (Note 13)	2,537	0
Other assets	12,942	18,270
Total assets	\$ 2,669,240	\$ 2,228,399

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 89,885	\$ 55,880
Accrued liabilities (Note 5)	30,093	34,571
Contract advances	2,582	3,124
Current portion of derivative liabilities (Note 13)	14,446	2,230
Current portion of other long-term liabilities (Note 6)	10,122	9,342
Total current liabilities	147,128	105,147
Long-term debt (Note 6)	163,000	30,000
Long-term derivative liabilities (Note 13)	4,359	1,142

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Other long-term liabilities (Note 6)	88,030	79,984
Deferred income taxes (Note 8)	556,106	446,316
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued	0	0
Common stock, \$0.20 par value, 175,000,000 shares authorized, 47,910,431 and 47,530,669 shares issued as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively	9,493	9,405
Capital in excess of par value	393,501	383,957
Accumulated other comprehensive income(loss) (net of tax of (\$4,243) and \$2,757, respectively)	(6,851)	4,458
Retained earnings	1,314,474	1,167,990
Total shareholders' equity	1,710,617	1,565,810
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,669,240	\$ 2,228,399

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	2008
	(In thousands except per share amounts)		
Revenues:			
Contract drilling	\$ 316,384	\$ 236,315	\$ 622,727
Oil and natural gas	400,807	357,879	553,998
Gas gathering and processing	154,516	108,628	181,730
Other	10,138	7,076	(362)
Total revenues	881,845	709,898	1,358,093
Expenses:			
Contract drilling:			
Operating costs	186,813	140,080	312,907
Depreciation	69,970	45,326	69,841
Oil and natural gas:			
Operating costs	105,365	87,734	116,239
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	118,793	114,681	159,550
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties (Note 2)	0	281,241	281,966
Gas gathering and processing:			
Operating costs	122,146	87,908	150,466
Depreciation and amortization	15,385	16,104	14,822
General and administrative	26,152	24,011	25,419
Interest, net	0	539	1,304
Total expenses	644,624	797,624	1,132,514
Income (loss) before income taxes	237,221	(87,726)	225,579
Income tax expense (benefit):			
Current	(9,935)	(223)	40,877
Deferred	100,672	(32,003)	41,077
Total income taxes	90,737	(32,226)	81,954
Net income (loss)	\$ 146,484	\$ (55,500)	\$ 143,625
Net income (loss) per common share:			
Basic	\$ 3.10	\$ (1.18)	\$ 3.08
Diluted	\$ 3.09	\$ (1.18)	\$ 3.06

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY****Year Ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010**

	Common Stock	Capital In Excess of Par Value	Accumulated Other Compre- hensive Income	Retained Earnings	Total
Balances, January 1, 2008	\$ 9,280	\$ 344,512	\$ 1,160	\$ 1,079,865	\$ 1,434,817
Comprehensive income:					
Net Income	0	0	0	143,625	143,625
Other comprehensive income (net of tax of \$18,704, \$275 and (\$94)):					
Change in value of cash flow derivative instruments used as cash flow hedges	0	0	31,816	0	31,816
Reclassification derivative settlements	0	0	469	0	469
Ineffective portion of derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedge accounting	0	0	(161)	0	(161)
Total comprehensive income	0	0	0	0	175,749
Activity in employee compensation plans (220,875 shares)	45	22,488	0	0	22,533
Balances, December 31, 2008	9,325	367,000	33,284	1,223,490	1,633,099
Comprehensive income (loss):					
Net loss	0	0	0	(55,500)	(55,500)
Other comprehensive income (loss) (net of tax of \$20,430, (\$37,560), \$340):					
Change in value of cash flow derivative instruments used as cash flow hedges	0	0	32,307	0	32,307
Reclassification derivative settlements	0	0	(61,690)	0	(61,690)
Ineffective portion of derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedge accounting	0	0	557	0	557
Total comprehensive loss	0	0	0	0	(84,326)
Activity in employee compensation plans (274,705 shares)	80	16,957	0	0	17,037
Balances, December 31, 2009	9,405	383,957	4,458	1,167,990	1,565,810
Comprehensive income (loss):					
Net income	0	0	0	146,484	146,484
Other comprehensive income (loss) (net of tax of \$13,254, (\$19,987), (\$267)):					
Change in value of cash flow derivative instruments used as cash flow hedges	0	0	21,392	0	21,392
Reclassification derivative settlements	0	0	(32,268)	0	(32,268)
Ineffective portion of derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedge accounting	0	0	(433)	0	(433)
Total comprehensive income	0	0	0	0	135,175
Activity in employee compensation plans (379,762 shares)	88	9,544	0	0	9,632
Balances, December 31, 2010	\$ 9,493	\$ 393,501	\$ (6,851)	\$ 1,314,474	\$ 1,710,617

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009 (In thousands)	2008
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 146,484	\$ (55,500)	\$ 143,625
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	205,124	177,166	244,912
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties (Note 2)	0	281,241	281,966
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives	(1,036)	1,944	(1,302)
(Gain) loss on disposition of assets	(9,687)	(6,224)	725
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	100,672	(32,003)	41,077
Employee stock compensation plans	10,067	10,708	15,863
Bad debt expense	0	975	1,543
ARO liability accretion	2,937	2,585	2,174
Other, net	(69)	(130)	(247)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities increasing (decreasing) cash:			
Accounts receivable	(58,965)	116,472	(34,495)
Materials and supplies	598	3,009	3,635
Prepaid expenses and other	6,957	(1,525)	(9,996)
Accounts payable	(8,913)	(7,068)	3,685
Accrued liabilities	(3,555)	(1,410)	684
Contract advances	(542)	235	(3,936)
Net cash provided by operating activities	390,072	490,475	689,913
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Capital expenditures	(484,080)	(316,660)	(782,434)
Producing property and other acquisitions	(92,573)	0	(25,727)
Proceeds from disposition of property and equipment	40,048	44,733	4,735
Acquisition of other assets	344	0	(2,715)
Net cash used in investing activities	(536,261)	(271,927)	(806,141)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Borrowings under line of credit	286,900	95,600	397,600
Payments under line of credit	(153,900)	(265,100)	(318,700)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	149	282	2,507
Tax (expense) benefit from stock options	40	(252)	1,449
Increase (decrease) in book overdrafts (Note 2)	13,219	(48,522)	32,880
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	146,408	(217,992)	115,736
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	219	556	(492)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	1,140	584	1,076
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 1,359	\$ 1,140	\$ 584
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for:			

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Interest paid (net of capitalized)	\$ 0	\$ 682	\$ 1,679
Income taxes	\$ 3,143	\$ 12,302	\$ 45,700
Changes in accounts payable and accrued liabilities related to purchases of property, plant and equipment	\$ (29,700)	\$ 18,285	\$ 7,068

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Organization

Unless the context clearly indicates otherwise, references in this report to Unit, company, we, our, us or like terms refer to Unit Corporation and its subsidiaries.

We are primarily engaged in the land contract drilling of natural gas and oil wells, the exploration, development, acquisition and production of oil and natural gas properties and the buying, selling, gathering, processing and treating of natural gas. Our operations are located principally in the United States and are organized in the following three reporting segments: (1) Contract Drilling, (2) Oil and Natural Gas and (3) Mid-Stream.

Contract Drilling. Carried out by our subsidiary, Unit Drilling Company and its subsidiary, we contract to drill onshore oil and natural gas wells for our own account and for others. Our current contract drilling operations are conducted in the oil and natural gas producing provinces of Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, Wyoming, Colorado, Utah, Montana and North Dakota. We provide land contract drilling services for a wide range of customers.

Oil and Natural Gas. Carried out by our subsidiary, Unit Petroleum Company, we explore, develop, acquire and produce oil and natural gas properties for our own account. Our producing oil and natural gas properties, undeveloped leaseholds and related assets are located mainly in Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, North Dakota, Colorado and Pennsylvania and, to a lesser extent, in Arkansas, New Mexico, Wyoming, Montana, Alabama, Kansas, Mississippi, Michigan, Maryland and a small portion in Canada. The majority of our contract drilling and exploration and production activities are oriented toward drilling for and producing natural gas.

Mid-Stream. Carried out by our subsidiary, Superior Pipeline Company, L.L.C. and its subsidiary, we buy, sell, gather, process and treat natural gas for our own account and for third parties. Mid-Stream operations are performed in Oklahoma, Texas, Kansas, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Unit Corporation and its subsidiaries. Our investment in limited partnerships is accounted for on the proportionate consolidation method, whereby our share of the partnerships' assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses are included in the appropriate classification in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Certain amounts in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

Accounting Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Drilling Contracts. We recognize revenues and expenses generated from daywork drilling contracts as the services are performed, since we do not bear the risk of completion of the well. Under footage and turnkey contracts, we bear the risk of completion of the well; therefore, revenues and expenses are recognized when the well is substantially completed. Under this method, substantial completion is determined when the well bore reaches the negotiated depth as stated in the contract. The entire amount of a loss, if any, is recorded when

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

the loss is deter-minable. The costs of uncompleted drilling contracts include expenses incurred to date on footage or turnkey contracts, which are still in process at the end of the period, and are included in other current assets. Typically, any one of these three types of contracts can be used for the drilling of one well which can take from 20 to 90 days. At December 31, 2010, substantially all of our contracts were daywork contracts of which 38 were multi-well and had durations which ranged from six months to two years. These 38 contracts do not include the five term contracts for the new drilling rigs we are adding in 2011. These longer term contracts may contain a fixed rate for the duration of the contract or provide for the periodic renegotiation of the rate within a specific range from the existing rate.

Cash Equivalents and Book Overdrafts. We include as cash equivalents all investments with maturities at date of purchase of three months or less which are readily convertible into known amounts of cash. Book overdrafts are checks that have been issued before the end of the period, but not presented to our bank for payment before the end of the period. At December 31, 2009 we did not have any book overdrafts and at December 31, 2010, book overdrafts were \$13.1 million and included in accounts payable.

Accounts Receivable. Accounts receivable are carried on a gross basis, with no discounting, less an allowance for doubtful accounts. We estimate the allowance for doubtful accounts based on existing economic conditions, the financial condition of our customers, and the amount and age of past due accounts. Receivables are considered past due if full payment is not received by the contractual due date. Past due accounts are generally written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts only after all collection attempts have been unsuccessful.

Financial Instruments and Concentrations of Credit Risk and Non-performance Risk. Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of trade receivables with a variety of oil and natural gas companies. We do not generally require collateral related to receivables. Our credit risk is considered to be limited due to the large number of customers comprising our customer base. Below are the third-party customers that accounted for more than 10% of our segment's revenues:

	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands)		
Drilling:			
QEP Resources, Inc.	28%	35%	19%
Mid-stream:			
ONEOK	53%	52%	79%
Gavilon	12%	0%	0%
ConocoPhillips	12%	15%	0%
Tenaska	7%	17%	0%

There was not a third party customer that accounted for more than 10% of our oil and natural gas revenues during 2010, 2009 or 2008.

We had a concentration of cash of \$23.8 million and \$35.0 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively with one bank.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The use of derivative transactions also involves the risk that the counterparties will be unable to meet the financial terms of the transactions. We considered this non-performance risk with regard to our counterparties and our own non-performance risk in our derivative valuation at December 31, 2010 and determined there was no material risk at that time. At December 31, 2010, the fair values of the net assets (liabilities) we had with each of the counterparties with respect to all of our commodity derivative transactions are listed in the table below:

	December 31, 2010
	(In millions)
Bank of Montreal	\$ 7.4
Bank of America, N.A.	(0.3)
Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, London Branch	(8.5)
Comerica Bank	(5.6)
BBVA Compass Bank	(2.3)
Barclays Capital	0.1
BNP Paribas	0.2
ConocoPhillips	(0.1)
Total	\$ (9.1)

Property and Equipment. Drilling equipment, natural gas gathering and processing equipment, transportation equipment and other property and equipment are carried at cost. Renewals and improvements are capitalized while repairs and maintenance are expensed. Depreciation of drilling equipment is recorded using the units-of-production method based on estimated useful lives starting at 15 years, including a minimum provision of 20% of the active rate when the equipment is idle. We use the composite method of depreciation for drill pipe and collars and calculate the depreciation by footage actually drilled compared to total estimated remaining footage. Depreciation of other property and equipment is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from 3 to 15 years.

Realization of the carrying value of property and equipment is reviewed for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Assets are determined to be impaired if a forecast of undiscounted estimated future net operating cash flows directly related to the asset including disposal value if any, is less than the carrying amount of the asset. If any asset is determined to be impaired, the loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value. An estimate of fair value is based on the best information available, including prices for similar assets. Changes in such estimates could cause us to reduce the carrying value of property and equipment. No significant impairments were recorded at December 31, 2010, 2009 or 2008.

When property and equipment components are disposed of, the cost and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is generally reflected in operations. For dispositions of drill pipe and drill collars, an average cost for the appropriate feet of drill pipe and drill collars is removed from the asset account and charged to accumulated depreciation and proceeds, if any, are credited to accumulated depreciation.

We record an asset and a liability equal to the present value of the expected future asset retirement obligation (ARO) associated with our oil and gas properties. The ARO asset is depreciated in a manner consistent with the depreciation of the underlying physical asset. We measure changes in the liability due to passage of time by accreting an interest charge. This amount is recognized as an increase in the carrying amount of the liability and as a corresponding accretion expense.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of acquisitions over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but an impairment test is performed at least annually to determine whether the fair value has decreased and is performed additionally when events indicate an impairment may have occurred. Goodwill is all related to our contract drilling segment, and accordingly, the impairment test is generally based on the estimated discounted future net cash flows of our drilling segment, utilizing discount rates and other factors in determining the fair value of our drilling segment. No goodwill impairment was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, or 2008. There were no additions to goodwill in 2010, 2009 or 2008. Goodwill of \$6.5 million is deductible for tax purposes.

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets are capitalized and amortized over the estimated period benefited. Such amounts are reviewed for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. No intangible asset impairment was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2010 or 2009. Amortization of \$2.6 million, \$3.7 million and \$4.4 million was recorded in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Accumulated amortization for 2010 and 2009 was \$14.9 million and \$12.3 million, respectively. Amortization of \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million and \$0.7 million is expected to be recorded in 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

Oil and Natural Gas Operations. We account for our oil and natural gas exploration and development activities using the full cost method of accounting prescribed by the SEC. Accordingly, all productive and non-productive costs incurred in connection with the acquisition, exploration and development of our oil and natural gas reserves, including directly related overhead costs and related asset retirement costs, are capitalized and amortized on a units-of-production method based on proved oil and natural gas reserves. Directly related overhead costs of \$13.4 million, \$13.2 million and \$15.3 million were capitalized in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Independent petroleum engineers annually audit our internal evaluation of our reserves. The average rates used for depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) were \$1.99, \$1.87 and \$2.50 per Mcfe in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The calculation of DD&A includes estimated future expenditures to be incurred in developing proved reserves and estimated dismantlement and abandonment costs, net of estimated salvage values. Our undeveloped leasehold properties totaling \$175.1 million are excluded from the DD&A calculation.

No gains or losses are recognized on the sale, conveyance or other disposition of oil and natural gas properties unless a significant reserve amount is involved.

Revenue from the sale of oil and natural gas is recognized when title passes, net of royalties.

Under the full cost rules, at the end of each quarter, we review the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties. The full cost ceiling is based principally on the estimated future discounted net cash flows from our oil and natural gas properties discounted at 10%. Starting December 31, 2009, companies using full cost accounting moved from using the commodity prices existing on the last day of the period to that of the unweighted arithmetic average of the commodity prices existing on the first day of each of the 12 months before the end of the reporting period to calculate discounted future revenues, unless prices were otherwise determined under contractual arrangements. In the event the unamortized cost of oil and natural gas properties being amortized exceeds the full cost ceiling, as defined by the SEC, the excess is charged to expense in the period during which such excess occurs, even if prices are depressed for only a short period of time. Once incurred, a write-down of oil and natural gas properties is not reversible.

We recorded a non-cash ceiling test write down of \$282.0 million pre-tax (\$175.5 million, net of tax) during the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of declines in commodity prices. Derivative instruments qualifying

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

as cash flow hedges were included in determining the limitation on the capitalized costs in our December 31, 2008 ceiling test calculation. The effect of including those hedges was a \$96.0 million pre-tax increase in the discounted net cash flow of our oil and natural gas properties. Our qualifying cash flow hedges as of December 31, 2008, which consisted of swaps and collars, covered 2009 production of 40.2 Billion cubic feet of natural gas equivalent (Bcfe) and 2010 production of 23.7 Bcfe.

We recorded a non-cash ceiling test write-down of \$281.2 million pre-tax (\$175.1 million, net of tax) during the quarter ending March 31, 2009. This write-down resulted from the reduction in commodity prices existing at the end of the first quarter of 2009 as compared to at the end of 2008. Derivative instruments qualifying as cash flow hedges were included in determining the limitation on the capitalized costs in our March 31, 2009 ceiling test calculation. The effect of including those hedges was a \$197.9 million pre-tax increase in the discounted net cash flow of our oil and natural gas properties. Our qualifying cash flow hedges as of March 31, 2009, which consisted of swaps and collars, covered 2009 production of 30.3 Bcfe and 2010 production of 33.2 Bcfe.

At December 31, 2010, using the existing 12-month average commodity prices, including the discounted value of our commodity hedges, we were not required to record a ceiling test write-down. However, if there are declines in the 12-month average prices, including the discounted value of our commodity hedges, we may be required to record a write-down in future periods. Our qualifying cash flow hedges used in the ceiling test determination as of December 31, 2010, consisted of swaps and collars covering 26.3 Bcfe in 2011 and 8.8 Bcfe in 2012. The effect of those hedges on the December 31, 2010 ceiling test was a \$22.8 million pre-tax increase in the discounted net cash flows of our oil and natural gas properties. Even without the impact of those hedges, we would not have been required to take a write-down for the quarter. Our oil and natural gas hedging is discussed in Note 13 of the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our contract drilling segment provides drilling services for our exploration and production segment. Depending on their timing some of the drilling services performed on our properties are also deemed to be associated with the acquisition of an ownership interest in the property. Revenues and expenses for such services are eliminated in our income statement, with any profit recognized as a reduction in our investment in our oil and natural gas properties. The contracts for these services are issued under the same conditions and rates as the contracts entered into with unrelated third parties. We eliminated revenue of \$40.1 million, \$15.0 million and \$65.5 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively from our contract drilling segment and eliminated the associated operating expense of \$31.0 million, \$13.7 million and \$37.6 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, yielding \$9.1 million, \$1.3 million and \$27.9 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as a reduction to the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties.

Gas Gathering and Processing Revenue. Our gathering and processing segment recognizes revenue from the gathering and processing of natural gas and NGLs in the period the service is provided based on contractual terms.

Insurance. We are self-insured for certain losses relating to workers' compensation, control of well and employee medical benefits. Insured policies for other coverage contain deductibles or retentions per occurrence that range from \$50,000 for fiduciary liability to \$1.0 million for drilling rig physical damage. We have purchased stop-loss coverage in order to limit, to the extent feasible, per occurrence and aggregate exposure to certain types of claims. However, there is no assurance that the insurance coverage will adequately protect us against liability from all potential consequences. We have elected to use an ERISA governed occupational injury benefit plan to cover all Texas drilling operations in lieu of covering them under Texas Workers' Compensation. If insurance coverage becomes more expensive, we may choose to self-insure, decrease our limits, raise our deductibles or any combination of these rather than pay higher premiums.

Table of Contents

UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Hedging Activities. All derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet and measured at fair value. If a derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, we measure the effectiveness of the hedge, or the degree that the gain (loss) for the hedging instrument offsets the loss (gain) on the hedged item, at each reporting period. The effective portion of the gain (loss) on the derivative instrument is recognized in other comprehensive income as a component of equity and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is required to be recognized in earnings immediately. Derivatives that do not qualify for hedge treatment are recorded at fair value with gains (losses) recognized in earnings in the period of change.

We document our risk management strategy and hedge effectiveness at the inception of and during the term of each hedge.

Limited Partnerships. Unit Petroleum Company is a general partner in 16 oil and natural gas limited partnerships sold privately and publicly. Some of our officers, directors and employees own the interests in most of these partnerships. We share in each partnership's revenues and costs in accordance with formulas set out in each of the limited partnership agreement. The partnerships also reimburse us for certain administrative costs incurred on behalf of the partnerships.

Income Taxes. Measurement of current and deferred income tax liabilities and assets is based on provisions of enacted tax law; the effects of future changes in tax laws or rates are not included in the measurement. Valuation allowances are established where necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable for the year and the change during that year in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

The accounting for uncertainty in income taxes prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a return. Guidance is also provided on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. We have no unrecognized tax benefits and we do not expect any significant changes in unrecognized tax benefits in the next twelve months.

Natural Gas Balancing. We use the sales method for recording natural gas sales. This method allows for recognition of revenue, which may be more or less than its share of pro-rata production from certain wells. We estimate our December 31, 2010 balancing position to be approximately 3.0 Bcf on under-produced properties and approximately 3.2 Bcf on over-produced properties. We have recorded a receivable of \$1.5 million on certain wells where we estimate that insufficient reserves are available for us to recover the under-production from future production volumes. We have also recorded a liability of \$3.3 million on certain properties where we believe there are insufficient reserves available to allow the under-produced owners to recover their under-production from future production volumes. Our policy is to expense the pro-rata share of lease operating costs from all wells as incurred. Such expenses relating to the balancing position on wells in which we have imbalances are not material.

Employee and Director Stock Based Compensation. We recognize in our financial statements the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of those awards. The amount of our equity compensation cost relating to employees directly involved in our oil and natural gas segment is capitalized to our oil and natural gas properties. Amounts not capitalized to our oil and natural gas properties are recognized in general and administrative expense and operating costs of our business segments. We utilize the Black-Scholes option pricing model to measure the fair value of stock options and stock appreciation rights (SARs). The value of our restricted stock grants is based on the closing stock price on the date of the grants.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Impact of Financial Accounting Pronouncements.***

Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*, which provides additional guidance to improve disclosures regarding fair value measurements. The ASU amends ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures - Overall* (formerly FAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*) to add two new disclosures: (1) transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 measurements and the reasons for the transfers, and (2) a gross presentation of activity within the Level 3 roll forward. The ASU also includes clarifications to existing disclosure requirements on the level of disaggregation and disclosures regarding inputs and valuation techniques. The ASU applies to all entities required to make disclosures about recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The effective date of the ASU is the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2009 and was adopted January 1, 2010, except for the gross presentation of the Level 3 roll forward information, which is required for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim reporting periods within those years. This statement did not and will not have a significant impact on us due to it only requiring enhanced disclosures.

Modernization of Oil and Gas Reporting. On December 31, 2008, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) adopted major revisions to its rules governing oil and gas company reporting requirements. These include provisions that permit the use of new technologies to determine proved reserves, and that allow companies to disclose their probable and possible reserves to investors. The current rules limit disclosure to only proved reserves. The new rules also require companies to report the independence and qualifications of the auditor of the reserve estimates and file reports when a third party is relied on to prepare reserves estimates. The new rules also require that oil and gas reserves be reported and the full cost ceiling value calculated using an average price based on the first-of-month posted price for each month in the prior 12-month period. On January 5, 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards update (ASU) 2010-03 *Extractive Activities - Oil and Gas (ASC 932): Oil and Gas Reserve Estimation and Disclosures*, an update of ASC 932 *Extractive Activities - Oil and Gas*, which subsequently aligns the reserve estimation, disclosure requirements, and definitions of ASC 932 with the disclosure requirements of the new rules issued by the SEC. The new oil and gas reserve measurement and reporting requirements were adopted for oil and gas reserves as of December 31, 2009. For accounting purposes, the new requirements constitute a change in accounting principle inseparable from a change in estimate. As such, prior reserve disclosures were not modified and the impact of the new requirements on our oil and gas reserves was reflected as a change in estimate.

Note 3. Acquisitions

On June 2, 2010, we completed an acquisition of oil and natural gas properties from certain unaffiliated third parties in an effort to explore and develop more oil rich plays. The properties were purchased for approximately \$73.7 million in cash, after post close adjustments. The purchase price allocation was \$48.7 million for proved properties and \$25.0 million for undeveloped leasehold not being amortized. The acquisition included approximately 45,000 net leasehold acres and 10 producing oil wells and is focused on the Marmaton horizontal oil play located mainly in Beaver County, Oklahoma. Proved developed producing net reserves associated with the 10 acquired producing wells is approximately 762,000 BOE consisting of 511,000 barrels of oil, 155,000 barrels of NGLs and 573 MMcf of natural gas.

Also during the second quarter of 2010, we completed an acquisition of approximately 32,000 net acres of undeveloped oil and gas leasehold located in Southwest Oklahoma and North Texas for approximately \$17.6 million.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

During 2008 and 2009, we acquired interests in approximately 60,000 net undeveloped acres in the Marcellus Shale Play, located mainly in Pennsylvania and Maryland for approximately \$43.6 million. In July 2009, we received \$7.1 million and approximately 1,500 net undeveloped acres, representing payment for our 50% interest in 4,000 gross undeveloped acres and reimbursement for costs we paid on their behalf. On September 30, 2009, per our agreement with certain unaffiliated third parties, we were paid approximately \$14.9 million for our 50% interest in approximately 18,000 gross undeveloped acres of the Marcellus Shale and \$26.1 million for a receivable from the third parties for their 50% share of the costs we paid on their behalf to acquire the acreage. The sales proceeds reduced undeveloped leasehold and no gain or loss was recorded on this sale. We now have an interest in approximately 50,500 net undeveloped acres.

Note 4. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The following data shows the amounts used in computing earnings (loss) per share:

	Income (Numerator)	Weighted Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
	(In thousands except per share amounts)		
For the year ended December 31, 2010:			
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 146,484	47,278	\$ 3.10
Effect of dilutive stock options, restricted stock and SARs	0	176	(0.01)
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 146,484	47,454	\$ 3.09
For the year ended December 31, 2009:			
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (55,500)	46,990	\$ (1.18)
Effect of dilutive stock options, restricted stock and SARs	0	0	0
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (55,500)	46,990	\$ (1.18)
For the year ended December 31, 2008:			
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 143,625	46,586	\$ 3.08
Effect of dilutive stock options and restricted stock	0	323	(0.02)
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 143,625	46,909	\$ 3.06

Due to the net loss for 2009, approximately 373,000 weighted average shares related to stock options, restricted stock and SARs were antidilutive and were excluded from the earnings per share calculation above. The following options and their average exercise prices were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of our common stock for the years ended December 31:

	2010	2009	2008
Options and SARs	222,901	358,821	84,900
Average exercise price	\$ 52.59	\$ 47.83	\$ 64.39

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 5. Accrued Liabilities**

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31:

	2010	2009
	(In thousands)	
Employee costs	\$ 16,499	\$ 13,307
Lease operating expenses	6,214	6,244
Taxes	1,310	5,085
Hedge settlements	1,634	2,503
Other	4,436	7,432
Total accrued liabilities	\$ 30,093	\$ 34,571

Note 6. Long-Term Debt and Other Long-Term Liabilities***Long-Term Debt***

Long-term debt consisted of the following as of December 31:

	2010	2009
	(In thousands)	
Revolving credit facility, with interest, including the effect of hedging, at December 31, 2010 and 2009 of 3.5% and 4.3%, respectively	\$ 163,000	\$ 30,000
Less current portion	0	0
Total long-term debt	\$ 163,000	\$ 30,000

Our Credit Facility has a maximum credit amount of \$400.0 million and matures on May 24, 2012. The lenders' commitment under the Credit Facility is \$325.0 million. Our borrowings are limited to the commitment amount that we elect. As of September 30, 2010, the commitment amount was \$325.0 million. We are charged a commitment fee ranging from 0.375 to 0.50 of 1% on the amount available but not borrowed. The rate varies based on the amount borrowed as a percentage of the amount of the total borrowing base. To date we have paid \$1.2 million in origination, agency and syndication fees under the Credit Facility. We are amortizing these fees over the life of the agreement.

The lenders' aggregate commitment is limited to the lesser of the amount of the borrowing base or \$400.0 million. The amount of the borrowing base, which is subject to redetermination on April 1 and October 1 of each year, is based primarily on a percentage of the discounted future value of our oil and natural gas reserves and, to a lesser extent, the loan value the lenders reasonably attribute to the cash flow (as defined in the Credit Facility) of our mid-stream segment. The October 1, 2010 redetermination maintained the borrowing base at \$500.0 million. We or the lenders may request a onetime special redetermination of the amount of the borrowing base between each scheduled redetermination. In addition, we may request a redetermination following the completion of an acquisition that meets the requirements set forth in the Credit Facility.

At our election, any part of the outstanding debt under the Credit Facility may be fixed at a London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) for a 30, 60, 90 or 180 day period. During any LIBOR funding period, the outstanding principal balance of the promissory note to which the LIBOR option applies may be repaid after three days prior notice to the administrative agent and on payment of any applicable funding indemnification.

amounts. LIBOR interest is computed as the sum of the LIBOR base for the applicable term plus 1.75% to 2.50%

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

depending on the level of debt as a percentage of the borrowing base and is payable at the end of each term, or every 90 days, whichever is less. Borrowings not under LIBOR bear interest at the BOK Financial Corporation (BOKF) National Prime Rate, which cannot be less than LIBOR plus 1.00%, and is payable at the end of each month and the principal borrowed may be paid at any time, in part or in whole, without a premium or penalty. At December 31, 2010, \$160.0 million of our \$163.0 million in outstanding borrowings were subject to LIBOR.

The Credit Facility prohibits:

the payment of dividends (other than stock dividends) during any fiscal year in excess of 25% of our consolidated net income for the preceding fiscal year;

the incurrence of additional debt with certain limited exceptions; and

the creation or existence of mortgages or liens, other than those in the ordinary course of business, on any of our properties, except in favor of our lenders.

The Credit Facility also requires that we have at the end of each quarter:

consolidated net worth of at least \$900 million;

a current ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) of not less than 1 to 1; and

a leverage ratio of long-term debt to consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Facility) for the most recently ended rolling four fiscal quarters of no greater than 3.50 to 1.0.

As of December 31 2010, we were in compliance with our Credit Facility s covenants.

Based on the borrowing rates currently available to us for debt with similar terms and maturities and consideration of our non-performance risk, long-term debt at December 31, 2010 approximates its fair value.

At December 31, 2010, the carrying values on the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, other current assets and current liabilities approximate their fair value because of their short term nature.

Other Long-Term Liabilities

Other long-term liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31:

	2010	2009
	(In thousands)	
ARO liability	\$ 69,265	\$ 56,404

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Workers compensation	17,566	22,974
Separation benefit plans	5,690	4,681
Gas balancing liability	3,263	3,263
Deferred compensation plan	2,368	2,004
	98,152	89,326
Less current portion	10,122	9,342
Total other long-term liabilities	\$ 88,030	\$ 79,984

Estimated annual principle payments under the terms of debt and other long-term liabilities from 2011 through 2015 are \$10.1 million, \$165.9 million, \$14.0 million, \$2.5 million and \$2.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 7. Asset Retirement Obligations**

We are required to record the estimated fair value of the liabilities relating to the future retirement of our long-lived assets. Our oil and natural gas wells are plugged and abandoned when the oil and natural gas reserves in those wells are depleted or the wells are no longer able to produce. The plugging and abandonment expense for a well is recorded in the period in which the obligation is incurred (at the time the well is drilled or acquired). None of our assets are restricted for purposes of settling these AROs.

The following table shows certain information about our AROs for the periods indicated:

	2010	2009
	(In thousands)	
ARO liability, January 1:	\$ 56,404	\$ 49,230
Accretion of discount	2,937	2,585
Liability incurred	4,768	3,447
Liability settled	(763)	(1,331)
Revision of estimates ⁽¹⁾	5,919	2,473
ARO liability, December 31:	69,265	56,404
Less current portion	1,915	1,080
Total long-term ARO liability	\$ 67,350	\$ 55,324

- (1) ARO liability estimates were revised upward in 2010 and 2009 due to the increase in the cost of contract services utilized to plug wells over the preceding years.

Note 8. Income Taxes

A reconciliation of income tax expense (benefit), computed by applying the federal statutory rate to pre-tax income to our effective income tax expense is as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands)		
Income tax expense (benefit) computed by applying the statutory rate	\$ 83,027	\$ (30,704)	\$ 78,943
State income tax, net of federal benefit	6,030	(2,409)	4,547
Domestic production activities deduction	0	0	(2,081)
Statutory depletion and other	1,680	887	545
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 90,737	\$ (32,226)	\$ 81,954

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

For the periods indicated, the total provision for income taxes consisted of the following:

	2010	2009 (In thousands)	2008
Current taxes:			
Federal	\$ (6,856)	\$ (5,124)	\$ 38,535
State	(3,079)	4,901	2,342
	(9,935)	(223)	40,877
Deferred taxes:			
Federal	88,021	(23,510)	37,180
State	12,651	(8,493)	3,897
	100,672	(32,003)	41,077
Total provision	\$ 90,737	\$ (32,226)	\$ 81,954

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are comprised of the following at December 31:

	2010 (In thousands)	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for losses and nondeductible accruals	\$ 47,742	\$ 41,882
Net operating loss carryforward	2,926	2,941
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward	0	8,857
	50,668	53,680
Deferred tax liability:		
Depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	(593,237)	(485,573)
Net deferred tax liability	(542,569)	(431,893)
Current deferred tax asset	13,537	14,423
Non-current deferred tax liability	\$ (556,106)	\$ (446,316)

Realization of the deferred tax assets are dependent on generating sufficient future taxable income. Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be realized. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near-term if estimates of future taxable income are reduced. At December 31, 2010, we have net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$5.4 million which expire from 2015 to 2021.

Note 9. Employee Benefit Plans

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Under our 401(k) Employee Thrift Plan, employees who meet specified service requirements may contribute a percentage of their total compensation, up to a specified maximum, to the plan. We may match each employee's contribution, up to a specified maximum, in full or on a partial basis. We made discretionary contributions under the plan of 74,205, 202,655 and 89,910 shares of common stock and recognized expense of \$3.6 million, \$3.6 million and \$5.0 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We provide a salary deferral plan (Deferral Plan) which allows participants to defer the recognition of salary for income tax purposes until actual distribution of benefits which occurs at either termination of employment, death or certain defined unforeseeable emergency hardships. The liability recorded under the Deferral Plan at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$2.4 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. We recognized payroll expense and recorded a liability at the time of deferral.

Table of Contents

UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Effective January 1, 1997, we adopted a separation benefit plan (Separation Plan). The Separation Plan allows eligible employees whose employment is involuntarily terminated or, in the case of an employee who has completed 20 years of service, voluntarily or involuntarily terminated, to receive benefits equivalent to four weeks salary for every whole year of service completed up to a maximum of 104 weeks. To receive payments, the recipient must waive any claims against us in exchange for receiving the separation benefits. On October 28, 1997, we adopted a Separation Benefit Plan for Senior Management (Senior Plan). The Senior Plan provides certain officers and key executives of Unit with benefits generally equivalent to the Separation Plan. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has absolute discretion in the selection of the individuals covered in this plan. On May 5, 2004 we also adopted the Special Separation Benefit Plan (Special Plan). This plan is identical to the Separation Benefit Plan with the exception that the benefits under the plan vest on the earliest of a participant's reaching the age of 65 or serving 20 years with the company.

On December 31, 2008, we amended all three Plans to be in compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The key amendments to the Plans address, among other things, when distributions may be made, the timing of payments, and the circumstances under which employees become eligible to receive benefits. None of the amendments materially increase the benefits, grants or awards issuable under the Plans. We recognized expense of \$1.6 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, for benefits associated with anticipated payments from these separation plans.

We have entered into key employee change of control contracts with three of our current executive officers. These severance contracts have an initial three-year term that is automatically extended for one year on each anniversary, unless a notice not to extend is given by us. If a change of control of the company, as defined in the contracts, occurs during the term of the severance contract, then the contract becomes operative for a fixed three-year period. The severance contracts generally provide that the executive's terms and conditions for employment (including position, work location, compensation and benefits) will not be adversely changed during the three-year period after a change of control. If the executive's employment is terminated (other than for cause, death or disability), the executive terminates for good reason during such three-year period, or the executive terminates employment for any reason during the 30-day period following the first anniversary of the change of control, and on certain terminations prior to a change of control or in connection with or in anticipation of a change of control, the executive is generally entitled to receive, in addition to certain other benefits, any earned but unpaid compensation; up to 2.9 times the executive's base salary plus annual bonus (based on historic annual bonus); and the company matching contributions that would have been made had the executive continued to participate in the company's 401(k) plan for up to an additional three years.

The severance contract provides that the executive is entitled to receive a payment in an amount sufficient to make the executive whole for any excise tax on excess parachute payments imposed under Section 4999 of the Code. As a condition to receipt of these severance benefits, the executive must remain in the employ of the company prior to change of control and render services commensurate with his position.

Note 10. Transactions with Related Parties

Unit Petroleum Company serves as the general partner of 16 oil and gas limited partnerships. Three were formed for investment by third parties and 12 (the employee partnerships) were formed to allow certain of our qualified employees and our directors to participate in Unit Petroleum's oil and gas exploration and production operations. The partnerships for the third party investments were formed in 1984 and 1986. Employee partnerships have been formed for each year beginning with 1984. Interests in the employee partnerships were offered to the employees of Unit and its subsidiaries whose annual base compensation was at least a specified amount (\$36,000 for 2010, 2009 and 2008) and to the directors of Unit.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The employee partnerships formed in 1984 through 1990 were consolidated into a single consolidating partnership in 1993 and the employee partnerships formed in 1991 through 1999 were also consolidated into the consolidating partnership in 2002. The consolidation of the 1991 through the 1999 employee partnerships was done by the general partners under the authority contained in the respective partnership agreements and did not involve any vote, consent or approval by the limited partners. The employee partnerships have each had a set percentage (ranging from 1% to 15%) of our interest in most of the oil and natural gas wells we drill or acquire for our own account during the particular year for which the partnership was formed. The total interest the employees have in our oil and natural gas wells by participating in these partnerships does not exceed one percent.

Amounts received in the years ended December 31, from both public and private Partnerships for which Unit is a general partner are as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands)		
Contract drilling	\$ 529	\$ 368	\$ 916
Well supervision and other fees	\$ 386	\$ 352	\$ 375
General and administrative expense reimbursement	\$ 536	\$ 376	\$ 584

Related party transactions for contract drilling and well supervision fees are the related party's share of such costs. These costs are billed to related parties on the same basis as billings to unrelated parties for such services. General and administrative reimbursements are both direct general and administrative expense incurred on the related party's behalf and indirect expenses allocated to the related parties. Such allocations are based on the related party's level of activity and are considered by management to be reasonable.

Note 11. Shareholder Rights Plan

We maintain a Shareholder Rights Plan (the Plan) designed to deter coercive or unfair takeover tactics, to prevent a person or group from gaining control of us without offering fair value to all our shareholders and to deter other abusive takeover tactics, which are not in the best interest of shareholders.

Under the terms of the Plan, each share of common stock is accompanied by one right, which given certain acquisition and business combination criteria, entitles the shareholder to purchase from us one one-hundredth of a newly issued share of Series A Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock at a price subject to adjustment by us or to purchase from an acquiring company certain shares of its common stock or the surviving company's common stock at 50% of its value.

The rights become exercisable 10 days after we learn that an acquiring person (as defined in the Plan) has acquired 15% or more of the outstanding common stock of Unit or 10 business days after the commencement of a tender offer, which would result in a person owning 15% or more of our shares. We can redeem the rights for \$0.01 per right at any date before the earlier of (i) the close of business on the 10th day following the time we learn that a person has become an acquiring person or (ii) May 19, 2015 (the Expiration Date). The rights will expire on the Expiration Date, unless redeemed earlier by Unit.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 12. Stock-Based Compensation**

For restricted stock awards, stock options and SARs, we had:

	2010	2009	2008
	(In millions)		
Recognized stock compensation expense	\$ 10.8	\$ 9.2	\$ 11.1
Capitalized stock compensation cost for our oil and natural gas properties	2.7	2.1	3.3
Tax benefit on stock based compensation	4.1	2.6	4.1

The remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested awards at December 31, 2010 is approximately \$9.2 million with \$1.9 million of this amount anticipated to be capitalized. The weighted average period of time over which this cost will be recognized is 0.8 years.

The following table estimates the fair value of each option and SARs granted under all of our plans during the twelve month periods ending December 31, using the Black-Scholes model applying the estimated values presented in the table:

	2010	2009	2008
Options granted ⁽¹⁾	52,504	3,496	28,000
Stock appreciation rights	0	0	0
Estimated fair value (in millions)	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.7
Estimate of stock volatility	0.45	0.41	0.32
Estimated dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Risk free interest rate	2%	2%	3%
Expected life range based on prior experience (in years)	5	5	5
Forfeiture rate	0%	5%	5%

- (1) On May 29, 2009, eight of our directors were each awarded 3,063 options contingent on shareholder approval which was received at the May 5, 2010 annual shareholder's meeting. These 24,504 options granted and vested simultaneously with that approval. On May 6, 2010, eight of our directors each received 3,500 options which vested on November 6, 2010.

Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of our stock. We use historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination rates within the model and aggregate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior for valuation purposes. To date, we have not paid dividends on our stock. The risk free interest rate is computed from the United States Treasury Strips rate using the term over which it is anticipated the grant will be exercised.

At our annual meeting on May 3, 2006, our shareholders approved the Unit Corporation Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan. This plan allows for the issuance of 2.5 million shares of common stock with 2.0 million shares being the maximum number of shares that can be issued as "incentive stock options." Awards under this plan may be granted in any one or a combination of the following:

incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code;

non-qualified stock options;

performance shares;

performance units;

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

restricted stock;

restricted stock units;

stock appreciation rights;

cash based awards; and

other stock-based awards.

This plan also contains various limits as to the amount of awards that can be given to an employee in any fiscal year. All awards are generally subject to the minimum vesting periods, as determined by our Compensation Committee and included in the award agreement.

During 2009, there were 116,826 shares of other stock-based awards issued under this plan. These shares vested immediately and the fair value on the grant date was \$3.3 million.

Activity pertaining to SARs granted under the Unit Corporation Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2008	145,901	\$ 46.59
Granted	0	0
Exercised	0	0
Forfeited	0	0
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	145,901	46.59
Granted	0	0
Exercised	0	0
Forfeited	0	0
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	145,901	46.59
Granted	0	0
Exercised	0	0
Forfeited	0	0
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	145,901	\$ 46.59

There were no SARs granted in 2010, 2009 or 2008. The SARs expire after 10 years from the date of the grant. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, 48,632, 48,633 and 14,891 shares vested. The aggregate intrinsic value of the 145,901 shares outstanding subject to vesting at December 31, 2010 was zero with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 6.7 years.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Activity pertaining to restricted stock awards granted under the Unit Corporation Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Price
Nonvested at January 1, 2008	636,054	\$ 47.09
Granted	30,855	55.44
Vested	(20,245)	50.38
Forfeited	(29,516)	47.19
Nonvested at December 31, 2008	617,148	47.40
Granted	0	0
Vested	(68,836)	46.18
Forfeited	(41,241)	48.69
Nonvested at December 31, 2009	507,071	47.46
Granted	450,355	41.09
Vested	(496,497)	47.09
Forfeited	(14,804)	44.25
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	446,125	\$ 47.39

The restricted stock awards vest in periods ranging from one to three years. The fair value of the restricted stock granted in 2010 and 2008 at the grant date was \$16.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. There was no restricted stock granted in 2009. The aggregate intrinsic value of the 496,497 shares of restricted stock on their 2010 vesting date was \$18.3 million. The aggregate intrinsic value of the 446,125 shares outstanding subject to vesting at December 31, 2010 was \$20.7 million with a weighted average remaining life of 1.2 years.

As a result of the approval of the adoption of the Unit Corporation Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan at our shareholders' annual meeting on May 3, 2006, no further grants were made under the prior Employee Stock Bonus Plan. Under the terms of the old plan, awards were granted to employees in either cash or stock or a combination thereof, and were payable in a lump sum or in installments subject to certain restrictions. On December 13, 2005, 38,190 shares (in the form of restricted stock awards) were granted under the plan one half of which was distributed on January 1, 2007 and the other half was distributed on January 1, 2008. No shares vested in 2006.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Activity pertaining to restricted stock awards granted under the Employee Stock Bonus Plan is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Price
Nonvested at January 1, 2008	18,374	\$ 58.30
Granted	0	0
Vested	(18,374)	58.30
Forfeited	0	0
Nonvested at December 31, 2008	0	0
Granted	0	0
Vested	0	0
Forfeited	0	0
Nonvested at December 31, 2009	0	0
Granted	0	0
Vested	0	0
Forfeited	0	0
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	0	\$ 0

The grant date fair value of the 18,749 shares vesting in 2007 and the 18,374 shares vesting in 2008 was \$1.0 million each. As of December 31, 2008 all shares in this plan have been vested or forfeited.

We also have a Stock Option Plan, which provided for the granting of options for up to 2,700,000 shares of common stock to officers and employees. The option plan permitted the issuance of qualified or nonqualified stock options. Options granted typically become exercisable at the rate of 20% per year one year after being granted and expire after 10 years from the original grant date. The exercise price for options granted under this plan is the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant. As a result of the approval of the adoption of the Unit Corporation Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan, no further awards will be made under this plan.

Activity pertaining to the Stock Option Plan is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2008	354,500	\$ 25.96
Granted	0	0
Exercised	(122,810)	18.75
Forfeited	(3,400)	35.20
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	228,290	29.68
Granted	0	0
Exercised	(4,065)	23.45
Forfeited	(4,600)	38.60

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Outstanding at December 31, 2009	219,625	29.61
Granted	0	0
Exercised	(32,360)	20.35
Forfeited	(2,500)	37.83
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	184,765	\$ 31.11

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The total grant date fair value of the 6,200, 27,100 and 47,070 shares vesting in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$0.2 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million. The intrinsic value of options exercised in 2010 was \$0.8 million. Total cash received from the options exercised in 2010 was \$0.3 million.

Exercise Prices	Number of Shares	Outstanding Options at December 31, 2010	
		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$16.69 - \$19.04	26,600	2.0 years	\$ 19.04
\$21.50 - \$26.28	52,645	2.9 years	\$ 22.81
\$34.75 - \$37.83	102,020	4.0 years	\$ 37.75
\$53.90	3,500	5.2 years	\$ 53.90

The aggregate intrinsic value of the 184,765 shares outstanding subject to options at December 31, 2010 was \$2.9 million with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.4 years.

Exercise Prices	Number of Shares	Exercisable Options At December 31, 2010	
		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$19.04	26,600	\$ 19.04	
\$21.50 - \$22.95	52,645	\$ 22.81	
\$36.42 - \$37.83	102,020	\$ 37.75	
\$53.90	2,800	\$ 53.90	

Options for 184,065, 212,725 and 191,390 shares were exercisable with weighted average exercise prices of \$31.02, \$29.25 and \$27.92 at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of shares exercisable at December 31, 2010 was \$2.9 million with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.4 years.

On May 29, 2009, the compensation committee and board of directors, approved amendments to the existing Unit Corporation 2000 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan. The amendments extended the plan term from May 30, 2010 to May 30, 2017, and increased the aggregate number of shares that may be issued or delivered due to exercise of non-employee director option awards from 210,000 shares of common stock to 510,000 shares of common stock. Under the plan, on the first business day following each annual meeting of shareholders, each person who was then a member of our Board of Directors and who was not then an employee of the company or any of its subsidiaries was granted an option to purchase 3,500 shares of common stock. The option price for each stock option is the fair market value of the common stock on the date the stock options are granted. The term of each option is 10 years and cannot be increased and no stock options may be exercised during the first six months of its term except in case of death.

On the first day following the 2009 annual meeting, each non-employee director was granted 437 shares of common stock. Effective with the adoption of the amendments mentioned above, a contingent one-time grant of 3,063 shares to each non-employee director was made on May 29, 2009. These contingent option awards vested when the stockholders approved the amended plan at the May 5, 2010 annual meeting.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Activity pertaining to the Directors Plan is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2008	142,500	\$ 39.26
Granted	28,000	73.26
Exercised	(17,500)	27.30
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	153,000	46.85
Granted	3,496	31.30
Exercised	(13,000)	14.74
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	143,496	49.38
Granted	52,504	37.62
Exercised	(3,500)	17.54
Forfeited	(14,000)	58.20
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	178,500	\$ 48.77

The total grant date fair value of the 52,504, 3,496 and 28,000 shares vesting in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, was \$0.8 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. The intrinsic value of options exercised in 2010 was \$0.1 million. Total cash received from options exercised in 2010 was \$0.1 million.

Exercise Prices	Outstanding and Exercisable Options at December 31, 2010		
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$17.54	3,500	0.3 years	\$ 17.54
\$20.10 - \$20.46	17,500	1.9 years	\$ 20.32
\$28.23 - \$41.20	84,000	7.2 years	\$ 36.10
\$57.63 - \$73.26	73,500	6.3 years	\$ 64.43

Options for 178,500, 143,496 and 153,000 shares were exercisable with weighted average exercise prices of \$45.86, \$49.38 and \$46.85 at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of the shares outstanding subject to options at December 31, 2010 was \$1.4 million with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 6.2 years.

Note 13. Derivatives***Interest Rate Swaps***

From time to time we enter into interest rate swaps to manage our exposure to possible future interest rate increases. Under these transactions we swap the variable interest rate we would otherwise pay on a portion of our bank debt for a fixed interest rate. As of December 31, 2010, we had

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

two outstanding interest rate swaps; both were cash flow hedges. There was no material amount of ineffectiveness. This table provides certain information about those interest rate swaps:

Remaining Term		Amount	Fixed Rate	Floating Rate
January 2011	May 2012	\$ 15,000,000	4.53%	3 month LIBOR
January 2011	May 2012	\$ 15,000,000	4.16%	3 month LIBOR

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Commodity Derivatives*

We have entered into various types of derivative instruments covering some of our projected natural gas, natural gas liquids and oil production. These transactions are intended to reduce our exposure to market price volatility by setting the price(s) we will receive for that production. Our decisions on the price(s), type and quantity of our production hedged is based, in part, on our view of current and future market conditions. As of December 31, 2010, our derivative instruments consisted of the following types of swaps and collars:

Swaps. We receive or pay a fixed price for the hedged commodity and pay or receive a floating market price to the counterparty. The fixed-price payment and the floating-price payment are netted, resulting in a net amount due to or from the counterparty.

Collars. A collar contains a fixed floor price (put) and a ceiling price (call). If the market price exceeds the call strike price or falls below the put strike price, we receive the fixed price and pay the market price. If the market price is between the call and the put strike price, no payments are due from either party.

Basis Swaps. We receive or pay the NYMEX settlement value plus or minus a fixed delivery point price for the hedged commodity and pay or receive the published index price at the specified delivery point. We use basis swaps to hedge the price risk between NYMEX and its physical delivery points.

Oil and Natural Gas Segment:

At December 31, 2010, the following cash flow hedges were outstanding:

Term		Commodity	Hedged Volume	Weighted Average Fixed Price for Swaps	Hedged Market
Jan 11	Dec 11	Crude oil swap	4,000 Bbl/day	\$84.28	WTI NYMEX
Jan 12	Dec 12	Crude oil swap	1,500 Bbl/day	\$82.49	WTI NYMEX
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas swap	45,000 MMBtu/day	\$4.93	IF NYMEX(HH)
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas basis differential swap	15,000 MMBtu/day	(\$0.14)	Tenn Zone 0 NYMEX
Jan 12	Dec 12	Natural gas swap	15,000 MMBtu/day	\$5.62	IF PEPL
Jan 11	Dec 11	Liquids swap(1)	644,406 Gal/mo	\$0.97	OPIS Conway

(1) Types of liquids involved are natural gasoline, ethane, propane, isobutane and normal butane.

At December 31, 2010, the following non-qualifying cash flow derivatives were outstanding:

Term		Commodity	Hedged Volume	Basis Differential	Hedged Market
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas basis differential swap	15,000 MMBtu/day	(\$0.14)	Tenn Zone 0 NYMEX
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas basis differential swap	10,000 MMBtu/day	(\$0.21)	CEGT NYMEX
Jan 11	Dec 11	Natural gas basis differential swap	10,000 MMBtu/day	(\$0.23)	PEPL NYMEX

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following tables present the fair values and locations of derivative instruments recorded in the balance sheet:

	Balance Sheet Location	Derivative Assets Fair Value	
		December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
(In thousands)			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments			
Commodity derivatives:			
Current	Current derivative assets	\$ 5,091	\$ 9,945
Long-term	Non-current derivative assets	2,537	0
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		7,628	9,945
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments			
Commodity derivatives:			
Current	Current derivative assets	477	0
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		477	0
Total derivative assets		\$ 8,105	\$ 9,945

	Balance Sheet Location	Derivative Liabilities Fair Value	
		December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
(In thousands)			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments			
Interest rate swaps:			
Current	Current portion of derivative liabilities	\$ 1,139	\$ 806
Long-term	Long-term derivative liabilities	475	1,142
Commodity derivatives:			
Current	Current portion of derivative liabilities	13,166	1,424
Long-term	Long-term derivative liabilities	3,884	0
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		18,664	3,372
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments			
Commodity derivatives (basis swaps):			
Current	Current portion of derivative liabilities	141	0
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		141	0
Total derivative liabilities		\$ 18,805	\$ 3,372

If a legal right of set-off exists, we net the value of the derivative arrangements we have with the same counterparty on our balance sheets.

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

We recognize in accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) the effective portion of any changes in fair value and reclassify the recognized gains (losses) on the sales to revenue and on the purchases to expense as each of the underlying transactions are settled. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had a loss of \$6.9 million and a gain of \$4.5 million, net of tax, respectively, in accumulated OCI.

Based on market prices at December 31, 2010, we expect to transfer to earnings a loss of approximately \$5.4 million, net of tax, of the loss included in accumulated OCI over the next 12 months as the various transactions are settled. The interest rate swaps and the commodity derivative instruments existing as of December 31, 2010 are expected to mature by May 2012 and December 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Certain derivatives do not qualify as cash flow hedges. Currently, we have three basis swaps that do not qualify as cash flow hedges. For these, any changes in their fair value that occurs before their maturity (i.e., temporary fluctuations in value) are reported in the consolidated statements of operations within our oil and natural gas revenues. Any changes in the fair value of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, to the extent they are effective in offsetting cash flows attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in our OCI until the hedged item is recognized into earnings. Any change in fair value resulting from ineffectiveness is recognized in our oil and natural gas revenues.

Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets (cash flow hedges) for the year ended December 31:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Accumulated OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) ⁽¹⁾	
	2010	2009
	(In thousands)	
Interest rate swaps	\$ (996)	\$ (1,204)
Commodity derivatives	(5,855)	5,662
Total	\$ (6,851)	\$ 4,458

(1) Net of taxes.

Effect of derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Operations (cash flow hedges) for the year ended December 31:

Derivative Instrument	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income & Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income ⁽¹⁾		Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income ⁽²⁾	
		2010	2009	2010	2009
		(In thousands)			
Commodity derivatives	Oil and natural gas revenue	\$ 53,473	\$ 100,286	\$ 700	\$ (897)
Interest rate swaps	Interest, net	(1,218)	(1,036)	0	0
Total		\$ 52,255	\$ 99,250	\$ 700	\$ (897)

(1) Effective portion of gain (loss).

(2) Ineffective portion of gain (loss).

Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Operations (derivatives not designated as hedging instruments) for the year ended December 31:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	
		2010	2009
		(In thousands)	
Commodity derivatives (basis swaps)	Oil and natural gas revenue	\$ 336	\$ (3,469)
Total		\$ 336	\$ (3,469)

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 14. Fair Value Measurements**

Fair value is defined as the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid for the transfer of a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants (an exit price). To estimate an exit price, a three-level hierarchy is used prioritizing the valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels with the highest priority given to Level 1 and the lowest priority given to Level 3. The levels are summarized as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

Level 2 significant observable pricing inputs other than quoted prices included within level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. Essentially, inputs (variables used in the pricing models) that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 generally unobservable inputs which are developed based on the best information available and may include our own internal data.

The inputs available to us determine the valuation technique we use to measure the fair values of our financial instruments.

The following tables set forth our recurring fair value measurements:

	Level 1	December 31, 2010		Total
		Level 2	Level 3	
(In thousands)				
Financial assets (liabilities):				
Interest rate swaps	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (1,614)	\$ (1,614)
Commodity derivatives	\$ 0	\$ (19,954)	\$ 10,868	\$ (9,086)

	Level 1	December 31, 2009		Total
		Level 2	Level 3	
(In thousands)				
Financial assets (liabilities):				
Interest rate swaps	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (1,948)	\$ (1,948)
Commodity derivatives	\$ 0	\$ (11,427)	\$ 19,948	\$ 8,521

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the assets and liabilities in the table above.

Level 2 Fair Value Measurements

Commodity Derivatives. The fair values of our crude oil swaps are measured using estimated internal discounted cash flow calculations using NYMEX futures index.

Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

Interest Rate Swaps. The fair values of our interest rate swaps are based on estimates provided by our respective counterparties and reviewed internally using established index prices and other sources.

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Commodity Derivatives. The fair values of our natural gas and natural gas liquids swaps, basis swaps and crude oil and natural gas collars are estimated using internal discounted cash flow calculations based on forward price curves, quotes obtained from brokers for contracts with similar terms or quotes obtained from counterparties to the agreements.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following tables are reconciliations of our level 3 fair value measurements:

	Net Derivatives			
	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010		For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	
	Interest Rate Swaps	Commodity Swaps and Collars	Interest Rate Swaps	Commodity Swaps and Collars
	(In thousands)			
Beginning of period	\$ (1,948)	\$ 19,948	\$ (2,516)	\$ 58,508
Total gains or losses (realized and unrealized):				
Included in earnings (loss) ⁽¹⁾	(1,218)	64,470	(1,036)	100,018
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	334	(10,116)	568	(36,616)
Purchases, issuance and settlements	1,218	(63,434)	1,036	(101,962)
End of period	\$ (1,614)	\$ 10,868	\$ (1,948)	\$ 19,948
Total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gain (loss) relating to assets still held as of December 31, 2010 and 2009	\$ 0	\$ 1,036	\$ 0	\$ (1,944)

(1) Interest rate swaps and commodity sales swaps and collars are reported in the consolidated statements of operations in interest expense and revenues, respectively. Our mid-stream natural gas purchase swaps are reported in the consolidated statements of operations in expense. Based on our valuation at December 31 2010, we determined that the non-performance risk with regard to our counterparties was immaterial.

Note 15. Commitments and Contingencies

We lease office space or yards in Elk City, Oklahoma City and Tulsa, Oklahoma; Houston, Texas; Denver, Colorado; Pinedale, Wyoming; and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania under the terms of operating leases expiring through January, 2015. Additionally, we have several equipment leases and lease space on short-term commitments to stack excess drilling rig equipment and production inventory. Future minimum rental payments under the terms of the leases are approximately \$1.7 million, \$1.4 million, \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million and \$0.2 million in 2011-2015, respectively. Total rent expense incurred was \$1.8 million, \$2.1 million and \$2.1 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Unit 1984 Oil and Gas Limited Partnership and the 1986 Energy Income Limited Partnership agreements along with the employee oil and gas limited partnerships require, on the election of a limited partner, that we repurchase the limited partner's interest at amounts to be determined by appraisal in the future. These repurchases in any one year are limited to 20% of the units outstanding. We made repurchases of \$22,000 in 2010, \$1,000 in 2009 and \$241,000 in 2008.

We manage our exposure to environmental liabilities on properties to be acquired by identifying existing problems and assessing the potential liability. We also conduct periodic reviews, on a company-wide basis, to identify changes in our environmental risk profile. These reviews evaluate whether there is a probable liability, its amount, and the likelihood that the liability will be incurred. The amount of any potential liability is determined by considering, among other matters, incremental direct costs of any likely remediation and the proportionate cost of employees who are expected to devote a significant amount of time directly to any possible remediation effort. As it relates to evaluations of purchased properties, depending on the extent of an identified

Table of Contents

UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

environmental problem, we may exclude a property from the acquisition, require the seller to remediate the property to our satisfaction, or agree to assume liability for the remediation of the property.

We have not historically experienced any environmental liability while being a contract driller since the greatest portion of risk is borne by the operator. Any liabilities we have incurred have been small and have been resolved while the drilling rig is on the location and the cost has been included in the direct cost of drilling the well.

For the next twelve months, we have committed to purchase approximately \$13.7 million of new drilling rig components, drill pipe, drill collars and related equipment.

We are subject to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our various businesses none of which, in our opinion, will result in judgments which would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows.

Note 16. Industry Segment Information

Our three main business segments and the different products and services they offer are:

Segment	Services or Products
Contract drilling	On-shore contract drilling of oil and natural gas wells
Oil and natural gas	Development, acquisition and production of oil and natural gas properties
Mid-stream	Buying, selling, gathering, processing and treating of natural gas

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Note 2). Each segment's performance is evaluated based on its operating income (loss) which is defined as its operating revenues less operating expenses and depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment.

Although we have some production in Canada, it is not significant and therefore not split out below.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table provides certain information about each of our segments:

	2010	2009 (In thousands)	2008
Revenues:			
Contract drilling	\$ 356,527	\$ 251,364	\$ 688,196
Elimination of inter-segment revenue	(40,143)	(15,049)	(65,469)
Contract drilling net of inter-segment revenue	316,384	236,315	622,727
Oil and natural gas	400,807	357,879	553,998
Gas gathering and processing	201,320	142,491	237,999
Elimination of inter-segment revenue	(46,804)	(33,863)	(56,269)
Gas gathering and processing net of inter-segment revenue	154,516	108,628	181,730
Other	10,138	7,076	(362)
Total revenues	\$ 881,845	\$ 709,898	\$ 1,358,093
Operating income (loss) (1):			
Contract drilling	\$ 59,601	\$ 50,909	\$ 239,979
Oil and natural gas	176,649	(125,777)(4)	(3,757)(3)
Gas gathering and processing	16,985	4,616	16,442
Total operating income (loss)	253,235	(70,252)	252,664
General and administrative expense	(26,152)	(24,011)	(25,419)
Interest expense, net	0	(539)	(1,304)
Other income (expense) net	10,138	7,076	(362)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 237,221	\$ (87,726)	\$ 225,579
Identifiable assets (2):			
Contract drilling	\$ 998,658	\$ 951,702	\$ 1,009,292
Oil and natural gas	1,441,797	1,068,970(4)	1,363,534(3)
Gas gathering and processing	176,596	163,625	169,687
Total identifiable assets	2,617,051	2,184,297	2,542,513
Corporate assets	52,189	44,102	39,353
Total assets	\$ 2,669,240	\$ 2,228,399	\$ 2,581,866
Capital expenditures:			
Contract drilling	\$ 118,806	\$ 67,686	\$ 196,229
Oil and natural gas	463,870	230,550	561,548

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Gas gathering and processing	29,815	9,899	49,887
Other	6,417	474	9,860
Total capital expenditures	\$ 618,908	\$ 308,609	\$ 817,524
Depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment:			
Contract drilling	\$ 69,970	\$ 45,326	\$ 69,841
Oil and natural gas			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	118,793	114,681	159,550
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties	0	281,241(4)	281,966(3)
Gas gathering and processing	15,385	16,104	14,822
Other	976	1,055	699
Total depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	\$ 205,124	\$ 458,407	\$ 526,878

- (1) Operating income (loss) is total operating revenues less operating expenses, depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment and does not include non-operating revenues, general corporate expenses, interest expense or income taxes.

Table of Contents**UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

- (2) Identifiable assets are those used in Unit's operations in each industry segment. Corporate assets are principally cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, corporate leasehold improvements, furniture and equipment.
- (3) In December 2008, we incurred a \$282.0 million pre-tax (\$175.5 million net of tax) non-cash write down of oil and natural gas properties due to low commodity prices at year-end 2008.
- (4) In March 2009, we incurred a \$281.2 million pre-tax (\$175.1 million net of tax) non-cash write down of our oil and natural gas properties due to low commodity prices existing at the end of the first quarter 2009.

Note 17. Selected Quarterly Financial Information

Summarized unaudited quarterly financial information is as follows:

	March 31	Three Months Ended		December 31
		June 30	September 30	
(In thousands except per share amounts)				
2010:				
Revenues	\$ 206,550	\$ 204,603	\$ 218,116	\$ 252,576
Gross profit (1)	\$ 59,319	\$ 53,499	\$ 63,371	\$ 77,046
Net income	\$ 36,153	\$ 32,175	\$ 34,491	\$ 43,665
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.92
Diluted	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.92
2009:				
Revenues	\$ 201,062	\$ 164,074	\$ 167,430	\$ 177,332
Gross profit (loss) (1)	\$ (232,004)	\$ 55,970	\$ 54,111	\$ 51,671
Net income (loss)	\$ (147,493)	\$ 32,031	\$ 31,449	\$ 28,513
Net income (loss) per common share:				
Basic (2)	\$ (3.14)	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.61
Diluted (2)	\$ (3.14)	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.60

- (1) Gross profit excludes other revenues, general and administrative expense and interest expense.

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

- (2) Due to the effect of rounding the basic earnings or diluted per share for the year's four quarters does not equal annual earnings per share.

Table of Contents**SUPPLEMENTAL OIL AND GAS DISCLOSURES****(UNAUDITED)**

Our oil and gas operations are substantially located in the United States. We do have operations in Canada that are insignificant. The capitalized costs at year end and costs incurred during the year were as follows:

	2010	2009 (In thousands)	2008
Capitalized costs:			
Proved properties	\$ 2,738,093	\$ 2,309,193	\$ 2,090,623
Unproved properties	175,065	140,129	160,034
	2,913,158	2,449,322	2,250,657
Accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	(1,542,352)	(1,424,559)	(1,029,617)
Net capitalized costs	\$ 1,370,806	\$ 1,024,763	\$ 1,221,040
Cost incurred:			
Unproved properties acquired	\$ 75,739	\$ 37,137	\$ 113,104
Proved properties acquired	50,000	3,722	41,227
Exploration	48,304	30,547	41,474
Development	279,903	154,579	351,876
Asset retirement obligation	9,924	4,565	13,867
Total costs incurred	\$ 463,870	\$ 230,550	\$ 561,548

The following table shows a summary of the oil and natural gas property costs not being amortized at December 31, 2010, by the year in which such costs were incurred:

	2010	2009	2008 (In thousands)	2007 and Prior	Total
Undeveloped Leasehold Acquired	\$ 68,078	\$ 24,490	\$ 53,790	\$ 28,707	\$ 175,065

Unproved properties not subject to amortization relates to properties which are not individually significant and consist primarily of lease acquisition costs. The evaluation process associated with these properties has not been completed and therefore, the company is unable to estimate when these costs will be included in the amortization calculation.

The results of operations for producing activities are as follows:

	2010	2009 (In thousands)	2008
Revenues	\$ 392,229	\$ 352,572	\$ 545,937
Production costs	(91,143)	(75,214)	(102,207)
Depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	(117,793)	(394,942)	(440,588)
	183,293	(117,584)	3,142
Income tax (expense) benefit	(70,110)	43,153	(1,141)

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Results of operations for producing activities (excluding corporate overhead and financing costs)	\$ 113,183	\$ (74,431)	\$ 2,001
---	------------	-------------	----------

Table of Contents

Estimated quantities of proved developed oil, liquids and natural gas reserves and changes in net quantities of proved developed and undeveloped oil, liquids and natural gas reserves were as follows:

	Oil Bbls	Liquids Bbls (In thousands)	Natural Gas Mcf
2010:			
Proved developed and undeveloped reserves:			
Beginning of year	11,669	14,653	419,061
Revision of previous estimates ⁽¹⁾	434	(1,559)	(25,007)
Extensions and discoveries	3,473	878	31,328
Infill reserves in existing proved fields	2,152	3,482	34,128
Purchases of minerals in place	1,293	212	1,732
Production	(1,521)	(1,549)	(40,756)
Sales	(6)	0	0
End of Year	17,494	16,117	420,486
Proved developed reserves:			
Beginning of year	9,183	11,538	338,217
End of year	12,773	12,088	346,928
Proved undeveloped reserves:			
Beginning of year	2,486	3,115	80,844
End of year	4,721	4,029	73,558
2009:			
Proved developed and undeveloped reserves:			
Beginning of year	9,699	10,171	450,135
Revision of previous estimates ⁽¹⁾	459	2,793	(57,393)
Extensions and discoveries	2,135	1,996	50,480
Infill reserves in existing proved fields ⁽²⁾	618	1,174	19,872
Purchases of minerals in place	44	7	30
Production	(1,286)	(1,488)	(44,063)
End of Year	11,669	14,653	419,061
Proved developed reserves:			
Beginning of year	7,508	8,638	355,824
End of year	9,183	11,538	338,217
Proved undeveloped reserves:			
Beginning of year	2,191	1,533	94,311
End of year	2,486	3,115	80,844
2008:			
Proved developed and undeveloped reserves:			
Beginning of year	9,676	6,149	419,616
Revision of previous estimates ⁽³⁾	(1,278)	2,023	(23,431)
Extensions and discoveries	1,511	1,522	60,369
Infill reserves in existing proved fields ⁽²⁾	830	1,657	29,848
Purchases of minerals in place	221	208	11,206
Production	(1,261)	(1,388)	(47,473)
End of Year	9,699	10,171	450,135

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

Proved developed reserves:			
Beginning of year	7,770	5,133	326,071
End of year	7,508	8,638	355,824
Proved undeveloped reserves:			
Beginning of year	1,906	1,016	93,545
End of year	2,191	1,533	94,311

- (1) Natural gas revisions of previous estimates decreased primarily due to a decline in natural gas prices and/or deleting PUDs that were stale or uneconomical.

Table of Contents

(2) Previously included in Extensions, discoveries and other additions .

(3) As a result of processing more natural gas liquids out of our natural gas, revisions of previous estimates reflect an increase in NGLs derived from natural gas.

Estimates of oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves require extensive judgments of reservoir engineering data. Assigning monetary values to such estimates does not reduce the subjectivity and changing nature of such reserve estimates. Indeed the uncertainties inherent in the disclosure are compounded by applying additional estimates of the rates and timing of production and the costs that will be incurred in developing and producing the reserves. The information set forth in this report is, therefore, subjective and, since judgments are involved, may not be comparable to estimates submitted by other oil and natural gas producers. In addition, since prices and costs do not remain static and no price or cost escalations or de-escalations have been considered, the results are not necessarily indicative of the estimated fair market value of estimated proved reserves, nor of estimated future cash flows.

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows (SMOG) was calculated using 12-month average prices and year-end costs and statutory tax rates, adjusted for permanent differences that relate to existing proved oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves. SMOG as of December 31 is as follows:

	2010	2009 (In thousands)	2008
Future cash flows	\$ 3,745,046	\$ 2,403,892	\$ 2,694,217
Future production costs	(1,054,630)	(777,725)	(769,325)
Future development costs	(303,152)	(195,486)	(253,941)
Future income tax expenses	(799,260)	(433,366)	(510,361)
Future net cash flows	1,588,004	997,315	1,160,590
10% annual discount for estimated timing of cash flows	(732,918)	(450,980)	(536,116)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves	\$ 855,086	\$ 546,335	\$ 624,474

The principal sources of changes in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows were as follows:

	2010	2009 (In thousands)	2008
Sales and transfers of oil and natural gas produced, net of production costs	\$ (301,086)	\$ (277,358)	\$ (443,729)
Net changes in prices and production costs	379,097	(145,839)	(548,683)
Revisions in quantity estimates and changes in production timing	(67,116)	(54,327)	(34,066)
Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery, less related costs	340,771	136,695	229,928
Changes in estimated future development costs	15,974	100,304	20,273
Previously estimated cost incurred during the period	45,327	16,301	55,763
Purchases of minerals in place	42,280	1,288	20,797
Sales of minerals in place	(120)	0	0
Accretion of discount	77,536	89,256	148,160
Net change in income taxes	(200,815)	39,062	223,188
Other net	(23,097)	16,479	(37,488)
Net change	308,751	(78,139)	(365,857)
Beginning of year	546,335	624,474	990,331
End of year	\$ 855,086	\$ 546,335	\$ 624,474

Table of Contents

Certain information concerning the assumptions used in computing SMOG and their inherent limitations are discussed below. We believe this information is essential for a proper understanding and assessment of the data presented.

The assumptions used to compute SMOG do not necessarily reflect our expectations of actual revenues to be derived from those reserves nor their present worth. Assigning monetary values to the reserve quantity estimation process does not reduce the subjective and ever-changing nature of reserve estimates. Additional subjectivity occurs when determining present values because the rate of producing the reserves must be estimated. In addition to difficulty inherent in predicting the future, variations from the expected production rate could result from factors outside of our control, such as unintentional delays in development, environmental concerns or changes in prices or regulatory controls. Also, the reserve valuation assumes that all reserves will be disposed of by production. However, other factors such as the sale of reserves in place could affect the amount of cash eventually realized.

The December 31, 2010, future cash flows were computed by applying the unescalated 12-month average prices of \$79.43 per barrel for oil, \$49.35 per barrel for NGLs and \$4.38 per Mcf for natural gas, adjusted for price differentials, relating to proved reserves and to the year-end quantities of those reserves. Prior to 2009, the price was based on the single-day period-end price. Future price changes are considered only to the extent provided by contractual arrangements in existence at year-end.

Future production and development costs are computed by estimating the expenditures to be incurred in developing and producing the proved oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves at the end of the year, based on continuation of existing economic conditions.

Future income tax expenses are computed by applying the appropriate year-end statutory tax rates to the future pretax net cash flows relating to proved oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves less the tax basis of our properties. The future income tax expenses also give effect to permanent differences and tax credits and allowances relating to our proved oil, NGLs and natural gas reserves.

Care should be exercised in the use and interpretation of the above data. As production occurs over the next several years, the results shown may be significantly different as changes in production performance, petroleum prices and costs are likely to occur.

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

(a) *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

The company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports the company files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is collected and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating its disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that no matter how well conceived and operated, disclosure controls and procedures can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Our disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to meet, and our management believes that they meet, reasonable assurance standards. Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, subject to the limitations noted above, the company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b) *Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as that is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the results of this evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

The effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

(c) *Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

During the last quarter, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. *Other Information*

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this item is incorporated in this report by reference to the Proxy Statement, except for the information regarding our executive officers which is presented below. The Proxy Statement will be filed before our annual shareholders meeting scheduled to be held on May 4, 2011.

Our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct applies to all directors, officers and employees, including our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our Controller. You can find our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct on our internet website, www.unitcorp.com. We will post any amendments to the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, and any waivers that are required to be disclosed by the rules of either the SEC or the NYSE, on our internet website.

Because our common stock is listed on the NYSE, our Chief Executive Officer was required to make, and he has made, an annual certification to the NYSE stating that he was not aware of any violation of our corporate governance listing standards of the NYSE. Our Chief Executive Officer made his annual certification to that effect to the NYSE as of May 21, 2010. In addition, we have filed, as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to be filed with the SEC regarding the quality of our public disclosure.

Executive Officers

The table below and accompanying text sets forth certain information as of February 11, 2011 concerning each of our executive officers as well as certain officers of our subsidiaries. There were no arrangements or understandings between any of the officers and any other person(s) under which the officers were elected.

NAME	AGE	POSITION HELD
Larry D. Pinkston	56	Chief Executive Officer since April 1, 2005, Director since January 15, 2004, President since August 1, 2003, Chief Operating Officer since February 24, 2004, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from May 1989 to February 24, 2004
Mark E. Schell	53	Senior Vice President since December 2002, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since January 1987
David T. Merrill	50	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since February 24, 2004, Vice President of Finance from August 2003 to February 24, 2004
Brad J. Guidry	55	Executive Vice President, Unit Petroleum Company since March 1, 2005
John Cromling	63	Executive Vice President, Unit Drilling Company since April 15, 2005
Robert Parks	56	A Manager and President, Superior Pipeline Company, L.L.C. since June 1996
Richard E. Heck	50	Vice President, Safety, Health and Environment since January 2008

Mr. Pinkston joined the company in December, 1981. He had served as Corporate Budget Director and Assistant Controller before being appointed Controller in February, 1985. In December, 1986 he was elected Treasurer of the company and was elected to the position of Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in May, 1989. In August, 2003, he was elected to the position of President. He was elected a director of the company by the Board in January, 2004. In February, 2004, in addition to his position as President, he was elected to the office of Chief Operating Officer. In April 2005, he also began serving as Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Pinkston holds the offices of President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer. He holds a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from East Central University of Oklahoma.

Mr. Schell joined the company in January 1987, as its Secretary and General Counsel. In December 2002, he was elected to the additional position as Senior Vice President. From 1979 until joining the company, Mr. Schell

Table of Contents

was Counsel, Vice President and a member of the Board of Directors of C&S Exploration, Inc. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in Political Science from Arizona State University and his Juris Doctorate degree from the University of Tulsa Law School. He is a member of the Oklahoma and American Bar Association as well as being a member of the American Corporate Counsel. He also serves as a director of the Oklahoma Independent Producers Association.

Mr. Merrill joined the company in August 2003 and served as its Vice President of Finance until February 2004 when he was elected to the position of Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. From May 1999 through August 2003, Mr. Merrill served as Senior Vice President, Finance with TV Guide Networks, Inc. From July 1996 through May 1999 he was a Senior Manager with Deloitte & Touche LLP. From July 1994 through July 1996 he was Director of Financial Reporting and Special Projects for MAPCO, Inc. He began his career as an auditor with Deloitte, Haskins & Sells in 1983. Mr. Merrill received a Bachelor of Business Administration Degree in Accounting from the University of Oklahoma and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Mr. Guidry joined Unit Petroleum Company in August 1988 as a Staff Geologist. In 1991, he was promoted to Geologic Manager overseeing the Geologic Operations of the company. In January 2003, he was promoted to Vice President of the West division. In March 2005, Mr. Guidry was promoted to Senior Vice President of Exploration for Unit Petroleum Company. From 1979 to 1988, he was employed as a Division Geologist for Reading and Bates Petroleum Co. From 1978 to 1979, he worked with ANR Resources in Houston. He began his career as an open hole well logging engineer with Dresser Atlas Oilfield Services. Mr. Guidry graduated from Louisiana State University with a Bachelor of Science degree in Geology.

Mr. Cromling joined Unit Drilling Company in 1997 as a Vice-President and Division Manager. In April 2005, he was promoted to the position of Executive Vice-President of Drilling for Unit Drilling Company. In 1980, he formed Cromling Drilling Company which managed and operated drilling rigs until 1987. From 1987 to 1997, Cromling Drilling Company provided engineering consulting services and generated and drilled oil and natural gas prospects. Prior to this, he was employed by Big Chief Drilling for 11 years and served as Vice-President. Mr. Cromling graduated from the University of Oklahoma with a degree in Petroleum Engineering.

Mr. Parks founded Superior Pipeline Company, L.L.C. in 1996. When Superior was acquired by the company in July 2004, he continued with Superior as one of its managers and as its President. From April 1992 through April 1996 Mr. Parks served as Vice-President Gathering and Processing for Cimarron Gas Companies. From December 1986 through March 1992, he served as Vice-President Business Development for American Central Gas Companies. Mr. Parks began his career as an engineer with Cities Service Company in 1978. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering from Rice University and his M.B.A. from the University of Texas at Austin.

Mr. Heck joined Unit Drilling Company in March 2005 as Director of Safety, Health and Environment. In January 2008, he was promoted to the position of Vice President, Safety, Health and Environment for Unit Corporation. From 2001 through 2003 Mr. Heck was a Senior Safety and Loss Prevention Manager with the Williams Companies. From 1998 to 2001 he served as Director of Safety, Health and Environment for MAPCO's Thermogas Company. Mr. Heck worked with Union Oil Company of California from 1984 to 1998. He started his career with Union Oil as a drilling engineer prior to serving in various safety, health and environmental positions. Mr. Heck graduated from the New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Petroleum Engineering.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated into this report by reference to the Proxy Statement (see Item 10 above).

Table of Contents**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The following table provides information for all equity compensation plans as of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, under which our equity securities were authorized for issuance:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1)	362,565 (2)	\$ 38.32	1,652,488 (3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	0	0
Total	362,565	\$ 38.32	1,652,488

(1) Shares awarded under all above plans may be newly issued, from our treasury or acquired in the open market.

(2) This number includes the following:

184,065 stock options outstanding under the company's Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan.

178,500 stock options outstanding under the Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan.

(3) This number reflects 261,500 shares available for issuance under the Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan and 1,390,988 shares available for issuance under the Unit Corporation Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan. No more than 2,000,000 of the shares available under the Unit Corporation Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan may be issued as "incentive stock options" and all of the shares available under this plan may be issued as restricted stock. In addition, shares related to grants that are forfeited, terminated, cancelled, expire unexercised, or settled in such manner that all or some of the shares are not issued to a participant shall immediately become available for issuance.

In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated into this report by reference to the Proxy Statement (see Item 10 above).

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated into this report by reference to the Proxy Statement (see Item 10 above).

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated into this report by reference to the Proxy Statement (see Item 10 above).

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Financial Statements, Schedules and Exhibits:

1. Financial Statements:

Included in Part II of this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedules:

Included in Part IV of this report for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves

Other schedules are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits:

The exhibit numbers in the following list correspond to the numbers assigned such exhibits in the Exhibit Table of Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Unit Corporation (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Form S-3 (file No. 333-83551), which is incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.1.2 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Unit's Form 8-K, dated May 9, 2006 which incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.2 By-Laws of Unit Corporation as amended and restated May 7, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Unit's Form 8-K, dated May 8, 2008 which is incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.2.1 Form of Common Stock Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.1 on Form S-3 as S.E.C. File No. 333-83551, which is incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.2.2 Rights Agreement as amended and restated on May 24, 2009 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated March 23, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference).

Edgar Filing: UNIT CORP - Form 10-K

- 4.2.3 Standstill Agreement dated March 24, 2009, by and between us and the George Kaiser Foundation (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Unit's Form 8-K dated March 23, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.3 Indenture (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Unit's Form S-3 filed with the S.E.C. File No. 333-104165, which is incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.1.1 Third Amended and Restated Security Agreement effective November 1, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Unit's Form 8-K dated November 4, 2005, which is incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.1.2* Form of Unit Corporation Restricted Stock Bonus Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated December 13, 2005, which is incorporated herein by reference).

Table of Contents

10.1.3* Unit Corporation Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2006 Annual Meeting filed on March 29, 2006).

10.1.4 Consulting Agreement with John G. Nikkel dated June 1, 2010 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated June 30, 2010, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.1.5 First Amended and Restated Senior Credit Agreement dated May 24, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated May 25, 2007, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.1.6 Amended and Restated Key Employee Change of Control Contract dated August 19, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated August 25, 2008, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.1.7 Amendment to First Amended and Restated Senior Credit Agreement dated December 23, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated December 23, 2008, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.1 Unit 1979 Oil and Gas Program Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as Exhibit I to Unit Drilling and Exploration Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 as S.E.C. File No. 2-66347, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.2 Unit 1984 Oil and Gas Program Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit 3.1 to Unit 1984 Oil and Gas Program's Registration Statement Form S-1 as S.E.C. File No. 2-92582, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.3* Unit's Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Registration Statement on Form S-8 as S.E.C. File No. s. 33-19652, 33-44103, 33-64323 and 333-39584 which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.4* Unit Corporation Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan (filed as an Exhibit to Form S-8 as S.E.C. File No. 33-49724 and File No. 333-166605, which are incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.5* Unit Corporation Employees' Thrift Plan (filed as an Exhibit to Form S-8 as S.E.C. File No. 33-53542, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.6 Unit Consolidated Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.7* Unit Corporation Salary Deferral Plan (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.8* Separation Agreement, dated May 11, 2001, between the Registrant and Mr. Kirchner (filed as Exhibit 99.4 to Unit's Form 8-K dated May 18, 2001, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.9* Consulting Agreement, dated December 16, 2004, between John G. Nikkel and the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Unit's Form 8-K dated December 20, 2004).

10.2.10* Unit Corporation Separation Benefit Plan for Senior Management as amended (filed as an Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated December 20, 2004).

10.2.11* Unit Corporation Special Separation Benefit Plan as amended (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Unit's Form 8-K dated December 20, 2004).

10.2.12* Consulting Agreement Renewal dated April 12, 2006, between John G. Nikkel and the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated April 18, 2006).

10.2.13 Unit 2000 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under the cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).

Table of Contents

10.2.14* Unit Corporation 2000 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan (filed as an Exhibit to Form S-8 as S.E.C. File No. 333-38166, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.15 Unit 2001 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under the cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000).

10.2.16 Unit 2002 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001).

10.2.17 Unit 2003 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).

10.2.18 Unit 2004 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).

10.2.19 Unit 2005 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).

10.2.20* Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into between the Company and its executive officers and directors (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated February 22, 2005, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.21 Unit 2006 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).

10.2.22 Unit 2007 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).

10.2.23* Separation Benefit Plan as amended August 21, 2007 (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007).

10.2.24 Unit 2008 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007).

10.2.25* Annual Bonus Performance Plan entered into October 21, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated October 23, 2008, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.26* Separation Benefit Plan as amended October 21, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Unit's Form 8-K dated October 23, 2008, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.27* Separation Benefit Plan as amended December 31, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated January 6, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.28* Special Separation Benefit Plan as amended December 31, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Unit's Form 8-K dated January 6, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.29* Separation Benefit Plan for Senior Management as amended December 31, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Unit's Form 8-K dated January 6, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.30 Unit 2009 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).

10.2.31* Unit Corporation 2000 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan as Amended and Restated August 25, 2004 (as amended on May 29, 2009 and filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Unit's Form 8-K dated May 29, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference).

10.2.32 Unit 2010 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed as an Exhibit to Unit's Annual Report under cover of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).

Table of Contents

10.2.33	Unit 2011 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership (filed herein).
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant (filed herein).
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (filed herein).
23.2	Consent of Ryder Scott Company, L.P. (filed herein).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Rule 13a - 14(a) of the Exchange Act (filed herein).
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Rule 13a - 14(a) of the Exchange Act (filed herein).
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herein).
99.1	Ryder Scott Company, L.P. Summary Report (filed herein).
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan identified under the requirements of Item 15 of Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**Schedule II****UNIT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES**

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts:

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Costs & Expenses	Deductions & Net Write-Offs	Balance at End of Period
	(In thousands)			
Year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 5,186	\$ 0	\$ (103)	\$ 5,083
Year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 4,893	\$ 975	\$ (682)	\$ 5,186
Year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 3,350	\$ 1,620	\$ (77)	\$ 4,893

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UNIT CORPORATION

DATE: February 24, 2011

By: */s/* LARRY D. PINKSTON
LARRY D. PINKSTON
President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 24th day of February, 2011.

Name	Title
<i>/s/</i> JOHN G. NIKKEL John G. Nikkel	Chairman of the Board and Director
<i>/s/</i> LARRY D. PINKSTON Larry D. Pinkston	President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
<i>/s/</i> DAVID T. MERRILL David T. Merrill	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer)
<i>/s/</i> DON HAYES Don Hayes	Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
<i>/s/</i> J. MICHAEL ADCOCK J. Michael Adcock	Director
<i>/s/</i> GARY CHRISTOPHER Gary Christopher	Director
<i>/s/</i> STEVEN B. HILDEBRAND Steven B. Hildebrand	Director
<i>/s/</i> KING P. KIRCHNER King P. Kirchner	Director
<i>/s/</i> WILLIAM B. MORGAN	Director

William B. Morgan

/s/ ROBERT SULLIVAN, JR.

Director

Robert Sullivan, Jr.

/s/ JOHN H. WILLIAMS

Director

John H. Williams

119

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
10.2.33	Unit 2011 Employee Oil and Gas Limited Partnership Agreement of Limited Partnership.
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
23.2	Consent of Ryder Scott Company, L.P.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act.
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	Ryder Scott Company, L.P. Summary Report.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.