DUANE READE REALTY INC Form 424B3 November 12, 2009 Table of Contents

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) Registration No. 333-162549

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

Duane Reade Inc. Duane Reade

Exchange Offer for \$300,000,000

11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2015

Supplement to Prospectus dated November 6, 2009

On November 6, 2009, we, Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade, commenced an offer to exchange \$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of our outstanding 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, which were issued on August 7, 2009 and which we refer to as the initial notes, for a like aggregate principal amount of our registered 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, which we refer to as the exchange notes. Both the initial notes and the exchange notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by our parent, Duane Reade Holdings, Inc., and by all current and certain future domestic subsidiaries of Duane Reade Inc.

On November 10, 2009, Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q with respect to the quarter ended September 26, 2009. That quarterly report is contained in this prospectus supplement. Investors wishing to participate in the exchange offer should read this prospectus supplement along with the prospectus relating to the exchange offer, dated November 6, 2009.

As we stated in the November 6, 2009 prospectus relating to the exchange offer, the exchange offer will expire at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on December 8, 2009, unless we extend it. The other terms of the exchange offer are set forth in that prospectus.

Please see Risk Factors beginning on page 19 of the November 6, 2009 prospectus for a discussion of certain factors you should consider in connection with these notes.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus supplement is November 12, 2009.

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 26, 2009

Commission file number 333-122206-05

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of

05-0599589 (IRS Employer

incorporation or organization)

ID Number)

440 Ninth Avenue

New York, New York (Address of principal executive offices)

10001 (Zip Code)

(212) 273-5700

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

NOT APPLICABLE

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer x (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) (check one): Yes "No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

As of November 9, 2009, there were 2,615,077 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. All but 20,100 shares of the common stock of the registrant are held by its parent.

* The registrant is not subject to the filing requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The registrant is a voluntary filer.

Table of Contents

INDEX

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	5
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	5
Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) For the 13 and 39 Weeks Ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008	5
Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) As of September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008	6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) For the 39 Weeks Ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008	7
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss (Unaudited) For the 13 and 39 Weeks Ended September 26, 2009 and September 27,	
<u>2008</u>	8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	9
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	32
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	44
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	45
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	46
SIGNATURES	47

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This and other of our public filings or public statements contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future financial performance with respect to our financial condition, results of operations, business plans and strategies, operating efficiencies or synergies, competitive positions, growth opportunities for existing products such as private label merchandise, plans and objectives of management, capital expenditures, growth and maturation of our stores and other matters. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our or our industry s actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, predict, potential, expect, anticipate, intend, believe, estimate, pro forma, as-adjusted, seek, or continue or the neg other comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements are only predictions and such expectations may prove to be incorrect. Some of the things that could cause our actual results to differ substantially from our expectations are:

the ability to open and operate new stores on a profitable basis, the maturation of those stores and the ability to increase sales in existing stores;

the competitive environment in the drugstore industry in general and in the New York greater metropolitan area;

our significant indebtedness;

the continued efforts of health maintenance organizations, managed care organizations, pharmacy benefit management companies and other third party payers to reduce prescription reimbursement rates and pricing pressure from internet-based and mail-order-based providers;

the continued efforts of federal, state and municipal government agencies to reduce Medicaid reimbursement rates, modify Medicare benefits and/or reduce prescription drug costs and/or coverages;

the impact of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (P.L. 108-179), or the Medicare Modernization Act, and the Medicare Part D benefit created thereunder:

the impact of the initiative announced by the Office of the New York State Medicaid Inspector General to recover and recoup significant amounts of Medicaid payments that were allegedly improperly paid to New York State retail pharmacies;

changes in pharmacy prescription reimbursement rates attributable to modifications in the definition of indexed pricing terms such as Average Wholesale Price or Average Manufacturer Price, including the impact of the court-approved settlement in the First Data Bank litigation relating to the reporting of Average Wholesale Prices;

the impact of the changing regulatory environment in which our pharmacy department operates, especially the proposed implementation of the federally approved change in the pricing formula for generic pharmaceuticals supplied to Medicaid beneficiaries based on the use of Average Manufacturer Price, which could negatively affect the profitability of filling Medicaid prescriptions;

the impact of aggressive enforcement by federal, state and local law enforcement agencies of federal and state laws dealing with past and future matters related to submission of false claims, fraud and abuse, pharmacist licensing and excluded provider lists;

the outcome of our ongoing tax examinations by federal and state tax authorities;

the strength of the economy in general and the economic conditions in the New York greater metropolitan area including, in particular, employment and income-related factors, seasonal and weather-related factors, special events, changes in consumer purchasing power and/or spending patterns;

changes in the cost of goods and services;

trends in the healthcare industry, including the continued conversion of various prescription drugs to over-the-counter medications, negative publicity and the related sales declines for certain categories of drugs including, without limitation, certain pain medications and the increasing market share of internet-based and mail-order-based providers;

employment disputes and labor relations;

our ability to prevent fraud and limit inventory shrink losses;

changes in federal and state laws and regulations, including the potential impact of changes in regulations surrounding the importation of pharmaceuticals from foreign countries and changes in laws governing minimum wage requirements;

our ability to successfully execute our business plan;

the outcome of the arbitration proceeding that has been instituted against us by Anthony J. Cuti, a former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer;

the progress and outcome of the criminal indictments against former executives of ours by the United States District Attorney s Office for the Southern District of New York and the civil securities fraud charges filed by the SEC;

liability and other claims asserted against us, including the items discussed in Note 12 to the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report;

3

Table of Contents

changes in our operating strategy or development plans;

our ability to attract, hire and retain qualified personnel, including our ability to attract qualified pharmacists at acceptable wage rates:

interest rate fluctuations and changes in capital market conditions or other events affecting our ability to obtain necessary financing on favorable terms to operate and fund the anticipated growth of our business or to refinance our existing debt;

the continuation or further deterioration of current credit market conditions under which business credit is severely restricted;

exposure to multiemployer pension plans as a result of current market conditions, including without limitation, possible increases in contributions and recorded expense related to our multiemployer pension funds if financial markets decline or asset values in the funds deteriorate, if other employers withdraw from these funds without providing for their share of the liability or should estimates prove to be incorrect;

the effects of the current economic recession on our customers and the markets we serve, particularly the difficulties in the financial services industry and the general economic downturn that began in the latter half of 2007 and which significantly deteriorated during the fourth quarter of 2008 and continues through 2009;

the effects of increased energy, transportation or other costs, increased unemployment, decreases in housing prices, decreases in wages or other similar factors that may have a negative effect on the disposable income or spending patterns of our customers;

natural and man-made disasters and the continued impact of, or new occurrences of, terrorist attacks in the New York greater metropolitan area and any actions that may be taken by federal, state or municipal authorities in response to or in anticipation of such events and occurrences;

changes in levels of vendor rebates, allowances and related payment terms;

changes in timing of our acquisition of stores and prescription files and capital expenditure plans;

changes in real estate market conditions and our ability to continue to renew expiring leases or to secure suitable new store locations under acceptable lease terms;

our ability to successfully implement and manage new computer systems and technologies;

demographic changes;

our ability to refinance or extinguish our outstanding debt obligations, including senior subordinated notes due 2011, prior to the respective maturity dates; and

other risks and uncertainties detailed elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and from time to time in our filings with the SEC

We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this report. We do not, nor does any other person, assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of those statements.

We caution you that the areas of risk described above may not be exhaustive. We operate in a continually changing business environment, and new risks emerge from time to time. Management cannot predict such new risks, nor can it assess the impact, if any, of such risks on our businesses or the extent to which any risk or combination of risks may cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, you should keep in mind that any forward-looking statement made in this report might not occur.

4

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Duane Reade Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	13 Weeks Ended		39 Weel	ks Ended
	September 26, 2009	September 27, 2008	September 26, 2009	September 27, 2008
Net sales	\$ 448,894	\$ 431,005	\$ 1,372,467	\$ 1,309,538
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense)	309,164	296,261	949,659	902,667
Selling, general & administrative expenses	121,761	117,763	366,177	354,213
Depreciation and amortization	18,783	16,667	54,125	52,260
Store pre-opening expenses	141	300	376	548
Gain on sale of pharmacy files	(321)		(321)	
Other expenses	2,360	7,883	8,643	12,032
Operating loss	(2,994)	(7,869)	(6,192)	(12,182)
Interest expense, net	19,662	13,633	43,853	40,913
Gain on debt extinguishment, net	(12,528)		(12,528)	
Loss before income taxes	(10,128)	(21,502)	(37,517)	(53,095)
Income taxes	561	827	1,968	2,305
Net loss	\$ (10,689)	\$ (22,329)	\$ (39,485)	\$ (55,400)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Duane Reade Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Se	ptember 26, 2009	Dec	cember 27, 2008
ASSETS				
Current Assets				
Cash	\$	1,364	\$	1,430
Receivables, net		54,394		55,783
Inventories		219,097		214,154
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		16,939		13,541
Total Current Assets		291,794		284,908
Property and equipment, net		199,074		186,560
Goodwill		69,510		69,510
Other assets, net		164,859		171,622
Total Assets	\$	725,237	\$	712,600
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	87,653	\$	88,238
Accrued interest		6,083		8,597
Accrued expenses		48,218		52,262
Current portion of debt		112,404		144,642
Current portion of capital lease obligations		4,549		4,485
Total Current Liabilities		258,907		298,224
Long-term debt		344,119		405,033
Capital lease obligations, less current portion		3,653		1,492
Deferred income taxes		30,167		28,440
Redeemable preferred stock and accrued dividends		121,158		36,775
Deferred rent liabilities		61,588		53,084
Other non-current liabilities		47,690		36,253
Total Liabilities		867,282		859,301
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)				
Stockholders deficit				
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par; authorized 50,000 shares; issued and outstanding: zero shares at September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008				
Common stock, \$0.01 par; authorized 4,205,600 shares at September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008; issued and outstanding: 2,615,077 shares at September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008		26		26
Paid-in capital		295,264		255,867
Accumulated other comprehensive loss				(4,747)
Accumulated deficit		(437,335)		(397,847)
Total Stockholders Deficit		(142,045)		(146,701)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Deficit	\$	725,237	\$	712,600

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

6

Duane Reade Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

		eks Ended
	September 26, 2009	September 27, 2008
Cash flows provided by operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (39,485)	\$ (55,400)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	56,731	54,999
Deferred income taxes	1,947	2,192
Non-cash rent expense	8,562	9,339
Non-cash interest expense on redeemable preferred stock	5,372	4,666
Gain on debt extinguishment, net	(12,528)	
Ineffective portion of interest rate collar	4,999	
Asset impairment charges		3,405
Other non-cash expense	495	332
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables	1,389	3,622
Inventories	(4,943)	(1,274)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(3,398)	(566)
Accounts payable	(585)	2,431
Accrued expenses	(5,982)	(7,929)
Other assets and liabilities, net	2,819	4,909
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	15,393	20,726
Cash flows used in investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(39,737)	(23,111)
Lease acquisition, customer file and other costs	(10,214)	(11,958)
Proceeds from sale of assets	449	525
Trocceds from sale of assets	447	323
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(49,502)	(34,544)
Cash flows provided by financing activities:		
Borrowings from revolving credit facility	1,598,292	1,544,744
Repayments of revolving credit facility	(1,630,530)	(1,530,951)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	292,251	(1,550,551)
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and warrant	124,759	
Repayments of long-term debt	(336,563)	
Capital contributions	(330,303)	978
Issuance of common stock		2,000
Deferred financing costs	(10,618)	
	· / /	(1)
Repayments of capital lease obligations	(3,548)	(2,950)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	34,043	13,820
Net change in cash	(66)	2
Cash at beginning of period	1,430	1,380
Cash at Segmining of portor	1,130	1,500
Cash at end of period	\$ 1,364	\$ 1,382

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

7

Duane Reade Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	13 Weeks Ended			39 Weeks Ended		
	September 26, 2009	Sept	tember 27, 2008	September 26, 2009	Sep	tember 27, 2008
Net loss	\$ (10,689)	\$	(22,329)	\$ (39,485)	\$	(55,400)
Change in fair value of interest rate collar	21		(555)	(252)		(275)
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	21		(555)	(252)		(275)
Total companion loss	¢ (10.669)	¢	(22.884)	¢ (20.727)	¢	(EE (7E)
Total comprehensive loss	\$ (10,668)	\$	(22,884)	\$ (39,737)	\$	(55,675)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

1. Basis of Presentation

Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. was formed in December 2003 by Oak Hill Capital Partners, LP, a private equity firm (Oak Hill) in order to acquire Duane Reade Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Acquisition). Approximately 99% of the common stock of Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. (Duane Reade Holdings or the Company) is owned by Duane Reade Shareholders, LLC, a parent entity also established to effectuate the Acquisition. The Acquisition was completed on July 30, 2004 through the merger of Duane Reade Acquisition (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Duane Reade Holdings) into Duane Reade Inc. with Duane Reade Inc. being the surviving entity and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Duane Reade Holdings after the merger transaction.

The Company, along with certain of its subsidiaries, is a guarantor of the debt obligations of Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade, the New York general partnership that holds the operating assets and liabilities (Duane Reade GP). The Company has no assets or operations other than its investment in its subsidiaries. The guarantees provided by the Company and its other subsidiaries under the debt obligations of Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP (described in more detail in Note 6) are full and unconditional, joint and several.

The Company has incurred losses since the Acquisition date due primarily to the additional depreciation and amortization expense relating to the stepped-up fair value of its assets on the Acquisition date, and increased interest expense resulting from Acquisition indebtedness. The Company has an accumulated deficit of \$437.3 million at September 26, 2009. The Company has generated positive net cash flows from operations of \$15.4 million during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, \$44.3 million in fiscal 2008, \$19.3 million in fiscal 2007 and \$11.6 million in fiscal 2006. During the third quarter of 2009, the Company completed a number of debt refinancing transactions (described in more detail in Note 6).

The Company s asset-based revolving loan facility contains a single fixed charge coverage requirement which only becomes applicable when borrowings exceed 90 percent of the borrowing base, as defined in the agreement governing the asset-based revolving loan facility. The Company has never been subject to the financial coverage requirement. Historically, the Company s borrowings have never exceeded 90 percent of the borrowing base, and the Company does not expect to exceed this threshold during the remainder of 2009. If the fixed charge coverage ratio had been in effect during the 39 weeks ended September 26, 2009, the Company would have been in full compliance with the covenant.

The Company intends to refinance or purchase the remaining \$51.7 million of outstanding senior subordinated notes due 2011 prior to their maturity date. There can be no assurance that such refinancing or purchase will actually take place.

The Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements included herein reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present a fair statement of the results of operations, financial position, cash flows and comprehensive loss of the Company, and have been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the same accounting principles followed in the preparation of the Company s Annual Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 27, 2008. These Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2008. The Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements include the assets and operations of the Company and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. Material subsequent events are evaluated and disclosed through the report issuance date, November 10, 2009. The results for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year.

During the third quarter of 2009, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-01, Amendments based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168 The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (the Codification). The Codification became the single source of authoritative accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP), other than rules and interpretive releases issued by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The Codification reorganized GAAP into a topical format that eliminates the previous GAAP hierarchy and instead established two levels of guidance authoritative and non-authoritative. All non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature that was not included in the Codification became non-authoritative. The adoption of the Codification did not change previous GAAP, but rather simplified user access to all authoritative literature related to a particular accounting topic in one place. Accordingly, the adoption had no impact on the Company s consolidated interim financial position and results of operations. All prior references to previous GAAP in the Company s consolidated interim financial statements were updated for the new references under the Codification.

2. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which, among other things, eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets. This new accounting guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this new accounting guidance is not anticipated to impact the Company s consolidated financial position and results of operations. The new accounting guidance has not yet been included in the Codification.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which, among other things, requires a qualitative rather than a quantitative analysis to determine the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity and amends previously issued guidance on the consideration of related party relationships in the determination of the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, amends certain guidance for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity, requires continuous assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise s involvement with a variable interest entity. The new accounting guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of the provisions of the new accounting guidance is not anticipated to impact the Company s consolidated financial position and results of operations. The new accounting guidance has not yet been included in the Codification.

3. Stock-Based Payment

The Company recorded pre-tax compensation expense relating to stock option grants of approximately \$0.2 million during the thirteen week periods ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008. For the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008, the corresponding expense was \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

Management Stock Option Plan

The Company s Board of Directors adopted the Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. Management Stock Option Plan, referred to in this report as the 2004 Option Plan, which became effective on the date the Acquisition was completed. The 2004 Option Plan is administered by the compensation committee of the Board of Directors. Any officer, employee, director or consultant of Duane Reade Holdings or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates is eligible to be designated a participant under the 2004 Option Plan. The Company may grant a maximum of 575,893 shares of its common stock under the 2004 Option Plan.

Under the 2004 Option Plan, the compensation committee of Duane Reade Holdings may grant awards of nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, or any combination of the foregoing. A stock option granted under the 2004 Option Plan will provide a participant with the right to purchase, within a specified period of time, a stated number of shares of the Company s common stock at the price specified in the award agreement. Stock options granted under the 2004 Option Plan will be subject to such terms, including the exercise price and the conditions and timing of exercise, not inconsistent with the 2004 Option Plan, as may be determined by the compensation committee and specified in the applicable stock option agreement or thereafter.

During the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, there were 33,000 and 58,500 options granted, respectively. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of the options granted during the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 was approximately \$0.

During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, the Company granted options to purchase 165,000 shares of the Company s common stock to its newly appointed CEO. The exercise price of these options is \$100 per share, which is consistent with all other options previously granted under the 2004 Option Plan. Sixty percent of the options will vest ratably in annual installments over four years, subject to the CEO s continued employment, and 40% of the options will vest based on the Company s achievement of performance targets. Fifty percent of the performance portion of the CEO s options will vest if and when the Company s principal shareholder, Oak Hill, receives at least a 1.5x cash-on-cash return on its July 2004 investment in the Company, and the remaining fifty percent will vest if and when Oak Hill receives at least a 2x cash-on-cash return on its July 2004 investment in the Company, subject to the CEO s continued employment through the date of any such return.

There were no stock options exercised during either the thirteen or thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 or September 27, 2008.

The fair value of each option grant issued during the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008 was estimated on the date of grant using the lattice-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	September 26, 2009	September 27, 2008
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%
Volatility	40.0%	42.0%
Risk-free interest rate	3.6%	3.1%
Post-vesting employment termination(1)	1.9%	0.0%

(1) The lattice-pricing model for 2008 used a 0.0% post-vesting employment termination rate because the entire 2008 grant was to a single individual. This estimate was derived from management s experience and represents a best estimate.

As of September 26, 2009, there was \$1.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the stock-based compensation plan. That cost is expected to be recognized on a straight-line basis over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.4 years.

As of September 26, 2009, a total of 60,201 shares of common stock of the Company were reserved for the issuance of additional stock options.

See Note 13 for additional discussion of the Company s 2004 Option Plan.

4. Inventories and Cost of Sales

At September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, inventories, consisting solely of finished goods, would have increased by \$5.2 million and \$2.4 million, respectively if they had been valued on a lower of first-in, first-out (FIFO) cost or market basis instead of a last-in, first-out (LIFO) basis. Included within cost of sales are all warehouse expenses and distribution costs, except for depreciation and amortization expense, which is shown separately on the consolidated statement of operations. The Company reflects promotional allowances from vendors as a reduction of inventory or advertising expense, depending on the nature of the allowance, when such inventory is sold or advertising or promotions have been completed and the related allowances have been earned.

State and local excise taxes on cigarettes are a component of inventory cost. The Company is reimbursed for the excise tax from customers at the point-of-sale and reflects the amounts collected as a component of net sales. During the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, the Company included \$6.7 million and \$21.4 million, respectively, of cigarette excise taxes in net sales. During the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, the Company included \$8.0 million and \$19.3 million, respectively, of cigarette excise taxes in net sales.

5. Exit and Disposal Activities

In the normal course of its business, the Company closes stores. The Company establishes reserves for costs to be incurred in connection with such store closings. At September 26, 2009, the balance of this reserve was \$7.1 million. This balance will be used primarily for occupancy-related costs in these closed stores.

6. Debt

Refinancing Transactions

On August 7, 2009, the Company completed a number of debt refinancing transactions, including:

the extinguishment of all of the existing \$210.0 million aggregate principal amount of the senior secured floating rate notes due 2010;

the extinguishment of \$143.3 million aggregate principal amount of the 9.75% senior subordinated notes due 2011, leaving \$51.7 million outstanding;

the issuance of \$300.0 million of 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 at 97.417% of par value to provide a portion of the total consideration for the purchase of the senior secured floating rate notes and senior subordinated notes;

a \$125.0 million investment by entities associated with Oak Hill in the form of redeemable preferred equity and a warrant to purchase additional shares of the Company s common stock (collectively, the Oak Hill equity investment), which provided a portion of the consideration for the purchase of the senior subordinated notes;

the amendment of the asset-based revolving loan facility to permit the above transactions; and

the temporary repayment of \$70.9 million of outstanding borrowings under the asset-based revolving loan facility with the remaining proceeds of the Oak Hill equity investment.

11

As a result of these transactions, the Company recorded a \$12.5 million gain on the extinguishment of debt. In addition, the Company incurred fees of approximately \$10.6 million to complete these debt refinancing transactions, which was deferred and is being amortized over the terms of the related debt instruments.

Summary of Debt

Debt is summarized as follows (in thousands):

Description of Instrument	Sep	otember 26, 2009	Dec	eember 27, 2008
Current debt:				
Asset-based revolving loan facility(1)	\$	112,404	\$	144,642
Non-current debt:				
11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 (\$300.0 million face value less				
unamortized discount of \$7.6 million)(2)	\$	292,375	\$	
Senior secured floating rate notes due 2010(2)				210,000
9.75% senior subordinated notes due 2011(2)		51,709		195,000
2.1478% senior convertible notes due 2022(3)		35		33
Total Non-current debt	\$	344,119	\$	405,033
Total Debt	\$	456,523	\$	549,675

- (1) Obligations under this facility have been classified as current liabilities because cash receipts controlled by the lenders are used to reduce outstanding debt and the Company does not meet the criteria to classify the debt as long-term, however, this is not an indication that this credit facility is expected to be retired within the next year. The Company intends to continue to use this facility for its working capital needs through the date of its maturity in July 2011.
- (2) On August 7, 2009, the Company completed a number of debt refinancing transactions, including the extinguishment of all of its existing \$210.0 million senior secured floating rate notes due 2010 and the extinguishment of \$143.3 million aggregate principal amount of its 9.75% senior subordinated notes due 2011. The proceeds for the refinancing were obtained from the issuance of \$300.0 million of 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 and the \$125.0 million Oak Hill equity investment. See Note 8 for a discussion of the Oak Hill equity investment.
- (3) Increase is due to the accretion of the discount on the original issuance.

Asset-Based Revolving Loan Facility The Company s asset-based revolving loan facility has a maximum borrowing capacity of \$225.0 million, subject to a borrowing base calculation based on specified advance rates against the value of the Company s inventory, pharmacy prescription files and accounts receivable. The asset-based revolving loan facility matures on July 21, 2011.

The asset-based revolving loan facility includes a \$50.0 million sub-limit for the issuance of letters of credit. Obligations under the revolving loan facility are collateralized by a first priority interest in inventory, receivables, pharmacy prescription files, deposit accounts and certain other current assets. Under the asset-based revolving loan facility, Duane Reade GP is the sole obligor. However, the asset-based revolving loan facility is guaranteed on a full and unconditional basis by the Company, Duane Reade Inc. and each of the Company s other domestic subsidiaries other than the obligor.

The asset-based revolving loan facility contains a single fixed charge coverage requirement which only becomes applicable when borrowings exceed 90 percent of the borrowing base, as defined in the agreement governing the asset-based revolving loan facility. Borrowings under the asset-based revolving loan facility have not exceeded 90 percent of the borrowing base and, as a result, the fixed charge covenant has not become applicable. There are no credit ratings related triggers in the asset-based revolving loan facility that would impact the cost of borrowing, annual amortization of principal or related indebtedness maturity.

On September 28, 2007, the asset-based revolving loan facility was amended to exclude from the definition of Capital Expenditures, as well as the calculation of the fixed charged covenant, any expenditure made with the proceeds of any equity securities issued or capital contributions received by the Company.

In connection with the August 2009 debt refinancing transactions, the terms of the Company s asset-based revolving loan facility were amended to permit such debt refinancing, including the offering of \$300.0 million of 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 and other related transactions. In addition, the asset-based revolving loan facility was amended to increase the applicable margins. Giving effect to those amendments, revolving loans under the asset-based revolving loan facility, at the Company s option, bear interest at either:

a rate equal to LIBOR plus a margin of from 2.25% to 3.25% (increased from 1.00% to 2.00%), determined based on levels of borrowing availability reset each fiscal quarter; or

12

a rate equal to the prime rate of Banc of America Retail Group Inc. plus a margin of from 1.25% to 1.75% (increased from 0.00% to 0.50%), determined based on levels of borrowing availability reset each fiscal quarter.

Borrowings under the asset-based revolving loan facility continue to be primarily LIBOR-based. As of September 26, 2009, giving effect to the August 7, 2009 amendment, the asset-based revolving loan facility bore interest at a weighted average annual rate of 3.2%.

At September 26, 2009, there was \$112.4 million outstanding under the asset-based revolving loan facility, and approximately \$107.1 million of remaining availability, net of \$5.5 million reserved for outstanding standby letters of credit. A portion of the proceeds from the Company s August 2009 debt refinancing transactions was used to temporarily reduce the balance outstanding under the asset-based revolving loan facility by temporarily repaying \$70.9 million of outstanding borrowings. Obligations under this facility have been classified as current liabilities because cash receipts controlled by the lenders are used to reduce outstanding debt, and the Company does not meet the criteria to classify the debt as long-term.

Senior Secured Notes due 2015 On August 7, 2009, the Company closed an offering of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015. The senior secured notes due 2015 were issued at 97.417% of par value. The senior secured notes due 2015 mature on August 1, 2015 and bear interest at 11.75% per annum payable in semi-annual installments on February 1 and August 1, commencing on February 1, 2010.

The Company guarantees the senior secured notes due 2015 on a full and unconditional, senior secured basis. Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP are co-obligors under the senior secured notes due 2015. The senior secured notes due 2015 rank equally in right of payment with any of the Company s, Duane Reade Inc. s or Duane Reade GP s unsubordinated indebtedness and senior in right of payment to any of the Company s, Duane Reade Inc. s or Duane Reade GP s subordinated or senior subordinated indebtedness. All obligations under the senior secured notes due 2015 are guaranteed on a senior basis by each of the Company s existing subsidiaries, other than Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP, and will be guaranteed by future subsidiaries of Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP except certain foreign and certain domestic subsidiaries. The senior secured notes due 2015 and the guarantees are collateralized by a first priority interest in substantially all of Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP s assets other than those assets in which the lenders under the asset-based revolving loan facility have a first priority interest and certain excluded assets defined in the indenture. The senior secured notes due 2015 and the guarantees are also collateralized by a second priority interest in all collateral pledged on a first priority basis to lenders under the asset-based revolving loan facility.

Upon the occurrence of specified change of control events, Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP will be required to make an offer to repurchase all of the senior secured notes due 2015 at 101% of their outstanding principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase. The indenture governing the senior secured notes due 2015 contains certain affirmative and negative covenants that limit the ability of Duane Reade Inc., Duane Reade GP and their restricted subsidiaries, as defined, to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends, make repayments on indebtedness that is subordinated to the senior secured notes due 2015 and to make certain other restricted payments, incur certain liens, use proceeds from sales of assets, enter into business combination transactions (including mergers, consolidations and asset sales), enter into sale-leaseback transactions enter into transactions with affiliates and permit restrictions on the payment of dividends by restricted subsidiaries. The indenture governing the senior secured notes due 2015 contains customary events of default, which, if triggered, may result in the acceleration of the indebtedness outstanding under the indenture. There are no credit ratings related triggers in the indenture governing the senior secured notes due 2015 that would impact the cost of borrowing, annual amortization of principal or related indebtedness maturity. The indenture governing the senior secured notes due 2015 does not contain financial maintenance covenants

Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due 2010 On December 20, 2004, Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP co-issued \$160.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior secured floating rate notes due 2010. On August 9, 2005, Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP issued a further \$50.0 million of senior secured floating rate notes. The August 2005 senior secured floating rate notes were issued under the same indenture as the December 2004 senior secured floating rate notes, although they did not trade fungibly with the December 2004 senior secured floating rate notes. The senior secured floating rate notes bore interest at a floating rate of LIBOR plus 4.50%, reset quarterly. Interest on the senior secured floating rate notes was payable quarterly on each March 15, June 15, September 15, and December 15. The senior secured floating rate notes matured on December 15, 2010. In the August 2009 debt refinancing transactions, all of the senior secured floating rate notes were extinguished.

The senior secured floating rate notes ranked equally in right of payment with the obligors unsubordinated indebtedness and senior in right of payment to the obligors subordinated or senior subordinated indebtedness. All obligations under the senior secured floating rate notes were guaranteed on a senior basis by the Company and by each of Duane Reade Inc. s existing subsidiaries, other than Duane Reade GP, which is a co-obligor under the senior secured floating rate notes. The senior secured floating rate notes and the guarantees thereof were secured on substantially the same terms as the senior secured notes due 2015 are currently secured.

13

Senior Subordinated Notes due 2011 On July 30, 2004, Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP co-issued \$195.0 million of the 9.75% senior subordinated notes due 2011. The senior subordinated notes mature on August 1, 2011 and bear interest at 9.75% per annum payable in semi-annual installments on February 1 and August 1. The senior subordinated notes are uncollateralized obligations and subordinated in right of payment to all Duane Reade Inc. s and Duane Reade GP s existing and future unsubordinated indebtedness, including borrowings under our asset-based revolving loan facility and the notes. The senior subordinated notes rank equally with any future senior subordinated indebtedness and senior to any future subordinated indebtedness. The senior subordinated notes are guaranteed on an uncollateralized, senior subordinated basis by the Company and all of Duane Reade Inc. s existing direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries other than Duane Reade GP, which is a co-obligor under the senior subordinated notes. There are no credit ratings related triggers in the indenture governing the senior subordinated notes that would impact the cost of borrowing, annual amortization of principal or related indebtedness maturity.

On August 7, 2009, as a result of the completion of the debt refinancing transactions, the Company retired \$143.3 million of the outstanding principal amount of the senior subordinated notes for a total consideration of approximately \$125.6 million, including accrued and unpaid interest, and amended the indenture governing the senior subordinated notes to eliminate substantially all of the restrictive covenants and certain events of default in such indenture. The proceeds for the retirement of the senior subordinated notes were obtained from the issuance of \$300.0 million of 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 and the \$125.0 million Oak Hill equity investment. The Company recorded a net gain of \$12.5 million on the extinguishment of debt as a result of the August 2009 refinancing transactions.

Subsequent to the completion of the August 2009 refinancing transactions, \$51.7 million of the senior subordinated notes remain outstanding. The Company intends to refinance or purchase the remaining \$51.7 million of outstanding senior subordinated notes prior to their July 30, 2011 maturity date. There can be no assurance that such refinancing or purchases will actually take place.

2.1478% Senior Convertible Notes due 2022. On April 16, 2002, Duane Reade Inc. completed an offering of \$381.5 million aggregate principal amount of senior convertible notes maturing on April 16, 2022. The senior convertible notes were issued at a price of \$572.76 per note (57.276% of the principal amount at maturity) and paid cash interest at the rate of 2.1478% per year on the principal amount at maturity, payable semi-annually in arrears on April 16 and October 16 of each year beginning on October 16, 2002, until April 16, 2007. After that date, interest accrues on the notes as amortization of the original issue discount representing a yield to maturity of 3.75% per year, computed on a semi-annual bond equivalent basis. In December 2002, Duane Reade Inc. repurchased a total of \$30.5 million principal value of the senior convertible notes at an average purchase price of \$486.99 per \$1,000 note, resulting in a remaining net outstanding balance of \$201.0 million.

In connection with the Acquisition, Duane Reade Inc. made a tender offer to repurchase all of the \$351.0 million outstanding principal value of the senior convertible notes. Upon the closing of the tender offer, a total of \$350.9 million principal amount at maturity (\$201.0 million issuance value) was tendered for repurchase, leaving only \$55.0 thousand of principal amount at maturity (\$32.0 thousand of issuance value) outstanding. Duane Reade Inc. may redeem for cash all or a portion of the principal value of the outstanding senior convertible notes at any time after April 16, 2007, at a price equal to the sum of the issue price plus accrued original issue discount and accrued cash interest, if any, to the redemption date.

Debt Maturities. At September 26, 2009, the aggregate maturities of debt are as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Maturities due by Period			
	Total	Within 1 vear	Within 1-3 years	Within 3-5 years	After 5 years
		1 year		3-3 years	•
Long-Term Debt	\$ 351,744	\$	\$ 51,709	\$	\$ 300,035
Asset-Based Revolving Loan Facility	112,404		112,404		
Total	\$ 464,148	\$	\$ 164,113	\$	\$ 300,035

7. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activity

The Company accounts for its derivative instruments and hedging activity as required by the Derivatives and Hedging Topic of the Codification. Under the Codification, all derivatives, whether designated in hedging relationships or not, are required to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. The Codification defines requirements for designation and documentation of hedging relationships, as well as ongoing effectiveness assessments, which must be met in order to qualify for hedge accounting. For a derivative that does not qualify as a hedge, changes in fair value would be recorded in earnings immediately.

Prior to August 7, 2009, the Company s hedging activity consisted of a no cost interest rate collar designed to hedge interest rate variability on portions of its LIBOR-based borrowings. The Company formally documented the relationship between the hedging instruments and the hedged items, as well as its risk management objectives and strategy for undertaking hedge transactions. The objective of the no cost interest rate collar is to eliminate the variability of quarterly cash flows resulting from interest rate

fluctuations above the cap rate and below the floor rate on the LIBOR-based variable interest payments attributable to the debt instruments identified as the hedged transaction. Under the no cost interest rate collar, the Company capped its exposure on \$210.0 million of LIBOR-based borrowings at a maximum LIBOR rate of 5.2%. In addition, the Company established a minimum floor LIBOR rate of 2.6%, in line with then current LIBOR rates.

Prior to August 7, 2009, the no cost interest rate collar qualified for hedge accounting as a cash flow hedge and the Company recognized the derivative at fair value as either an asset or liability on the consolidated balance sheets. Prior to August 7, 2009, all changes in the interest rate collar s fair value were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (Accumulated OCI) and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the related interest expense on the underlying borrowing was recognized. Due to the successful completion of the debt refinancing transactions described in Note 6, the no cost interest rate collar no longer qualifies for hedge accounting, and the Company reclassified the amounts recorded in Accumulated OCI into earnings during the third quarter of 2009. This resulted in the reclassification of \$5.0 million of Accumulated OCI to interest expense in the consolidated interim statement of operations for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009. All future changes in the fair value of the no cost interest rate collar will also be recognized in earnings. The no cost interest rate collar expires on December 15, 2010. The Company has not, and does not plan to, enter into any derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

At September 26, 2009, the LIBOR rate in effect was approximately 0.3%, which was below the minimum rate specified under the no cost collar. At September 26, 2009, the Company had approximately \$112.4 million of LIBOR-based borrowings outstanding. Since the LIBOR rate in effect was below the minimum rate specified and because the outstanding LIBOR-based borrowings were below the notional amount of the no cost interest rate collar, the effective annual interest rate on the Company s LIBOR-based borrowings increased from approximately 3.2% to approximately 7.5%.

The fair value of the interest rate collar resulted in a total hedge liability of \$5.0 million and \$4.7 million at September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, respectively. As of September 26, 2009, the Company expects the hedge liability for the interest rate collar will be reduced by approximately \$4.0 million during the next twelve months. In addition, any future changes in the fair value of the interest rate collar will be reflected in the Company s statement of operations. This hedging arrangement expires on December 15, 2010.

As discussed further in Note 8, on March 27, 2007, certain affiliates of Oak Hill Capital Partners L.P. made an equity investment of \$39.4 million in the form of Series A preferred stock and common stock warrants to acquire approximately 11% (on a fully diluted basis as of March 27, 2007) of the Company s common stock at an exercise price of \$75.00 per share. Each of the 525,334 shares of Series A preferred stock is immediately redeemable without penalty, at the Company s option prior to the mandatory redemption date, at a liquidation preference of \$75.00 per share plus any accrued but unpaid dividends as of the redemption date. The Company has the right, at its option, immediately prior to an initial public offering (IPO), to require holders of the preferred stock to convert all (but not less than all) of such shares into a number of shares of the Company s common stock equal to the liquidation preference for such shares of preferred stock, divided by the price per share of the Company will be required to redeem all outstanding shares of the Series A preferred stock at a redemption price per share of \$75.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends. The Company will not be required to redeem any shares of Series A Preferred Stock, upon a change of control or on the mandatory redemption date, or pay cash dividends at any time when such redemption or payment is expressly prohibited under the asset-based revolving loan facility. The Company has recorded a liability for the Series A preferred stock s mandatory redemption feature, which is considered a derivative financial instrument.

As discussed further in Note 8, on August 7, 2009, certain entities associated with Oak Hill Capital Partners L.P. made an equity investment of \$125.0 million in the form of Series B preferred stock and a warrant to acquire approximately 25% (on a fully diluted basis as of August 7, 2009) of the Company s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.01 per share. Each of the 1,250,000 shares of Series B preferred stock is immediately redeemable without penalty, at the Company s option prior to the mandatory redemption date, at a liquidation preference of \$100.00 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption subject to a minimum aggregate return on capital (including the value of the warrant) of two times the investment amount. The Series B preferred stock will be immediately redeemable from time to time without penalty at the Company s option, and the Company will also be required to redeem all outstanding shares of the preferred stock upon a change of control. The Company has the right, at its option, immediately prior to an IPO, to require holders of the preferred stock to convert all (but not less than all) of such shares into a number of shares of the Company s common stock equal to the liquidation preference for such number of shares of common stock as is equal to the product of the number of shares of preferred stock being so converted multiplied by the quotient of the aggregate liquidation preference for all such shares of preferred stock divided by the listing price per share of common stock. The Company will not be required to redeem any shares of Series B preferred stock divided by the listing price per share of common stock. The Company will not be required to redeem any shares of Series B preferred stock upon a change of control or on the mandatory redemption date, or pay cash dividends at any time when such redemption or payment is expressly prohibited under the asset-based revolving loan facility. The Company has recorded a liability for the Series B preferred stock s m

15

Table of Contents

The valuation approach used to calculate the fair value of the preferred stocks mandatory redemption feature is a combination of future liquidity event probabilities, risk-adjusted returns, and a net present value analysis. The changes in the fair value of the preferred stocks mandatory redemption feature are reflected as increases or decreases within interest expense. The changes in the fair value of the preferred stocks mandatory redemption feature are reflected as non-cash operating activity items on the statement of cash flows.

The fair value of the Company s derivative instruments at September 26, 2009 are as follows:

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:	Balance Sheet Location	re Liability er 26, 2009
Interest rate collar	Accrued expenses	\$ 4,002
	Other non-current liabilities	997
Preferred stock mandatory redemption features	Other non-current liabilities	12,612
Total derivative instruments		\$ 17,611

The effect of derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships on the consolidated interim statements of operations for the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, are as follows:

	Amount of		Amount of		
	Gain		Gain		
	(Loss) Recognized		(Loss)		
	in		Reclassified		
	Accumulated	Location of Gain (Loss)	from		
	OCI	Reclassified from	Accumulated		Amount of Gain
	(effective	Accumulated OCI to	OCI to	Location of Gain (Loss)	(Loss) Recognized
	portion)	Income	Income	Recognized in Income	in Income
Interest rate collar	\$ 21	Interest expense, net	\$ (4,999)	Interest expense, net	\$ (4,999)

16

The effect of derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships on the consolidated interim statements of operations for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, are as follows:

	Amount of		Amount of		
	Gain		Gain		
	(Loss) Recognized		(Loss)		
	in		Reclassified		
	Accumulated	Location of Gain (Loss)	from		
	OCI	Reclassified from	Accumulated		Amount of Gain
	(effective	Accumulated OCI to	OCI to	Location of Gain (Loss)	(Loss) Recognized
	portion)	Income	Income	Recognized in Income	in Income
Interest rate collar	\$ (252)	Interest expense, net	\$ (4,999)	Interest expense, net	\$ (4,999)

The effect of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the consolidated interim statements of operations for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, are as follows:

		Amount o	of Gain (Loss)
		Recogniz	ed in Income
		13 Weeks	39 Weeks
		Ended	Ended
		September 26,	September 26,
	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	2009	2009
Preferred stock mandatory redemption features	Interest expense, net	\$ 2,109	\$ 1,947

8. Issuance of Preferred Stock and Common Stock Warrants

Series B Preferred Stock On August 7, 2009, certain affiliates of Oak Hill Capital Partners, L.P. made an equity investment of \$125.0 million in the form of Series B preferred stock and a warrant to acquire (on a fully diluted basis as of August 7, 2009) 25% of the Company s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.01 per share.

The Series B preferred stock has a mandatory redemption date of December 27, 2018. The holders of the Series B preferred stock are entitled to receive cumulative dividends at a rate equal to 15% per annum to be paid quarterly in cash, or cumulate and compound on a quarterly basis if such dividends are not declared by the Company s Board of Directors. Under the agreements governing its indebtedness, the Company is not currently permitted to pay such dividends in cash. The Company may redeem each of the 1,250,000 shares of Series B preferred stock at any time at \$100.00 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption subject to a minimum aggregate return on capital (including the value of the warrant) of two times the investment amount. The Series B preferred stock will be immediately redeemable from time to time without penalty at the Company s option, and the Company will also be required to redeem all outstanding shares of the preferred stock upon a change of control. The Company shall have the option, as of immediately prior to an initial public offering (IPO), to require the holders of the Series B preferred stock to convert all of such holders preferred stock into such number of shares of common stock as is equal to the product of the number of shares of preferred stock being so converted multiplied by the quotient of the aggregate liquidation preference for all such shares of preferred stock divided by the listing price per share of common stock. The Company will not be required to redeem any shares of Series B Preferred Stock upon a change of control or on the mandatory redemption date, or pay cash dividends at any time when such redemption or payment is expressly prohibited under the asset-based revolving loan facility. As of September 26, 2009, the Company had accrued unpaid dividends of \$2.6 million for the Series B preferred stock.

The warrants attached to the Series B preferred stock are exercisable initially to purchase an aggregate of up to 1,196,261 shares of the Company s common stock. The purchase price for each share of the Series B preferred stock is \$100.00 per share, and its warrants are exercisable for the Company s common stock at a price per share of \$0.01, subject to certain adjustments.

The funds raised through the Oak Hill equity investment were received by the Company and used by the Duane Reade GP operating subsidiary to purchase a portion of the Company senior subordinated notes due 2011 and to temporarily repay a portion of the outstanding balance under the Company seaset-based revolving loan facility. See Note 6 for additional discussion of the August 2009 debt refinancing transactions.

The Company received \$125.0 million in proceeds from the issuance of the Series B preferred stock and a warrant to purchase the Company s common stock. The Company ascribed \$38.8 million (net of \$0.2 million of expenses) of the proceeds to the value of the warrant based on a relative fair value basis, and recorded the amount within additional paid-in capital. In addition, the Company recorded an \$8.9 million liability to reflect the Series B preferred stock s mandatory redemption feature, which is considered a derivative financial instrument. The remaining \$77.1 million of proceeds was attributed to the Series B preferred stock.

17

Series A Preferred Stock On February 20, 2007, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire up to eight leases from the Gristedes supermarket chain for certain locations in the borough of Manhattan, New York City. As of September 26, 2009, the Company had completed the lease acquisitions for six of the former Gristedes locations and has opened a store at each of the acquired locations. The acquisition of these leases has been funded through a portion of the proceeds from the issuance of \$39.4 million of preferred stock and common stock warrants. The Company s agreement with Gristedes has expired, and the Company is not obligated to acquire the remaining two leases.

On March 27, 2007, certain affiliates of Oak Hill Capital Partners L.P. made an equity commitment of \$39.4 million in the form of Series A preferred stock and warrants to acquire approximately 11% (on a fully diluted basis as of March 27, 2007) of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$75.00 per share. The proceeds from this capital infusion were used, in part, to acquire the Gristedes leases and to fund certain other capital expenditures. The funds raised through this commitment in excess of funds needed for the acquisition were used to fund the Company's normal capital spending, fund new store openings or to reduce the Company's outstanding debt. The first portion of this equity commitment, which amounted to \$13.0 million, was funded on March 27, 2007 and the balance of the \$39.4 million commitment, in which certain members of senior management also elected to participate, was funded on June 28, 2007. The preferred stock has a 12-year mandatory redemption date from the issuance date and provides for an annual cash dividend of 10% payable quarterly subject to being declared by the Board of Directors. To the extent the dividends are not paid in cash, the dividends will cumulate on a quarterly basis. The dividends are recorded within interest expense on the Statement of Operations. Under the agreements governing its indebtedness, the Company is not currently permitted to pay such dividends in cash. As of September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, the Company had accrued unpaid dividends for the Series A preferred stock of \$10.4 million and \$6.7 million, respectively.

Each of the 525,334 shares of Series A preferred stock is immediately redeemable without penalty, at the Company s option prior to the mandatory redemption date, at a liquidation preference of \$75.00 per share plus any accrued but unpaid dividends as of the redemption date. The Company has the right, at its option, immediately prior to an initial public offering (IPO), to require holders of the preferred stock to convert all (but not less than all) of such shares into a number of shares of the Company s common stock equal to the liquidation preference for such shares of preferred stock, divided by the price per share of the Company s common stock set forth in the final prospectus to be used in connection with the IPO. Subject to prohibitions in the asset-based revolving loan facility, upon the occurrence of a change in control, the Company will be required to redeem all outstanding shares of the Series A preferred stock at a redemption price per share of \$75.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

The warrants are exercisable initially to purchase an aggregate of up to 384,174 shares of the Company s common stock. The purchase price for each share of the Series A preferred stock is \$75.00 per share, and warrants are exercisable for the Company s common stock at a price per share of \$75.00, subject to certain adjustments.

The Company has recorded the portion of the proceeds that are attributable to the Series A preferred stock (\$30.7 million) as a liability because of the mandatory redemption feature. The remaining amount of \$8.4 million (net of \$0.3 million of expenses) was ascribed to the warrants, based on a relative fair value basis, and is recorded within additional paid-in capital.

The Company has recorded a liability for the Series A and Series B preferred stocks mandatory redemption feature, which are considered derivative financial instruments. The total recorded liability for the preferred stocks mandatory redemption feature was \$12.6 million and \$5.6 million at September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, respectively. Such liability is included in Other non-current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

9. Fair Value

The Company applies the Codification to account for its financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities. The Codification defines fair value, provides guidance for measuring fair value and requires certain disclosures.

The Codification utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not considered to be active or financial instruments for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3 Prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

18

A financial instrument s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The fair value estimates presented in the tables below are based on the information available to the Company as of September 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008.

Valuation Techniques

The valuation approach used to calculate the fair value of the preferred stocks mandatory redemption feature is a combination of future liquidity event probabilities, risk-adjusted returns, and a net present value analysis. The valuation methodology applied to the profits interest is based on a Monte Carlo simulation, which estimates the fair value of the profits interest based on several inputs, including the fair value of the Company s equity, future liquidity event probabilities and the expected volatility of the underlying equity value. The interest rate collar is valued using models that contain observable market data as well as models that have non-observable inputs, such as assumptions regarding the future performance of the underlying assets. The guarantees for assigned store leases are valued using a probability weighted net present value analysis which considers the probability of future events which may cause the Company to fulfill the guarantees.

Due to the significant judgment applied in the valuation approaches, the valuations cannot be determined with precision, cannot be substantiated by comparison to quoted prices in active markets, and may not represent the amounts that would be realized in a current sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there are inherent uncertainties in any fair value measurement technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions could materially affect the fair value measurement amounts, causing the Company to record additional expenses in a future period or to reverse previously recorded expenses in a future period.

Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are categorized in the tables below based upon the lowest level of significant input to the valuations. As required by the Codification, the assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement (dollars in thousands). The amounts representing liabilities are denoted in parentheses in the tables below.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Preferred stock mandatory redemption features	\$	\$	\$ (12,612)	\$ (12,612)
Profits interest				
Interest rate collar			(4,999)	(4,999)
Guarantees			(494)	(494)
Total	\$	\$	\$ (18,105)	\$ (18,105)

The table presented below summarizes the change in balance sheet carrying values associated with the Company s Level 3 assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis during the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 (dollars in thousands).

	Preferred Stock Mandatory Redemption Features(1)	Profits Interest	Interest Rate Collars, net (2)	Guar	antees(3)	Total
Balance at June 27, 2009	\$ (5,786)	\$	\$ (5,020)	\$	(494)	\$ (11,300)
Issuances	(8,935)					(8,935)
Gains/(Losses)						
Included in net loss	2,109					2,109
Included in other comprehensive loss			21			21
Transfers						
Balance at September 26, 2009	\$ (12,612)	\$	\$ (4,999)	\$	(494)	\$ (18,105)

The amount of gains/(losses) for the period included in net loss and attributable to the change in unrealized gains/(losses) for assets and liabilities still held at September 26, 2009.

\$ 2,109 \$ \$ (4,999) \$ \$ (2,890)

The table presented below summarizes the change in balance sheet carrying values associated with the Company s Level 3 assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 (dollars in thousands).

19

	Ma Red	referred Stock andatory demption atures(1)	Profits Interest	Interest Rate Collars, net (2)	Guar	antees(3)	Total
Balance at December 27, 2008	\$	(5,624)	\$	\$ (4,747)	\$	(504)	\$ (10,875)
Issuances		(8,935)					(8,935)
Gains/(Losses)							
Included in net loss		1,947				10	1,957
Included in other comprehensive loss				(252)			(252)
Transfers							
Balance at September 26, 2009	\$	(12,612)	\$	\$ (4,999)	\$	(494)	\$ (18,105)
The amount of gains/(losses) for the period included in net loss and attributable to the change in unrealized gains/(losses) for assets and liabilities still held at September 26, 2009.	\$	1,947	\$	\$ (4,999)	\$	10	\$ (3,042)

- (1) The unrealized gains for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 are included within interest expense.
- (2) As a result of the debt refinancing transactions described in Note 6, the Company s interest rate collar no longer qualifies for hedge accounting and the Company has reclassified the balance of its Accumulated OCI into the statement of operations. This resulted in a \$5.0 million increase in interest expense for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009.
- (3) The unrealized gains for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 are included within selling, general and administrative expenses.

10. Other Expenses

The table below provides detail of other expenses for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008 (dollars in thousands).

	13 We	d	39 Weeks Ended			
	September 26, 2009	September 27, 2008		September 26, 2009	Sep	tember 27, 2008
Closed store costs	\$ 1,024	\$	190	\$ 3,790	\$	2,307
Asset impairment charges			3,405			3,405
Former CEO (Mr. Cuti) matters, net (1)	561		2,602	3,453		3,903
Oak Hill management fees	313		313	938		938
Miscellaneous other	462		1,373	462		1,479
Total Other Expenses	\$ 2,360	\$	7,883	\$ 8,643	\$	12,032

(1) For both the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, the expense recorded for former CEO (Mr. Cuti) matters was reduced by insurance proceeds of approximately \$1.9 million.

In the normal course of its business, the Company closes stores and will establish reserves for closed store costs anticipated to be incurred in connection with such closings. See Note 5 for a discussion of these reserves. For both the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, the Company recorded non-cash asset impairment charges of \$3.4 million, to reduce the carrying value of certain store assets to fair value. See Note 12 for a further discussion of the costs relating to the proceedings associated with Mr. Cuti. The Oak Hill management fee is payable quarterly.

The miscellaneous other expenses for both the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 include a fair value adjustment relating to the Company s liability for phantom shares held by current and former employees. Included within miscellaneous other expenses for both the

thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 are additional pension benefit accruals related to a union contract settlement in March of 2006.

11. Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded based on the estimated combined statutory tax rates expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year less applicable employment related tax credits. The effective tax rate prior to the application of deferred tax asset valuation allowances is lower than the combined statutory rates, primarily reflecting the impact of these income tax credits. The wage-based employment tax credits represent the economic benefits earned by the Company for its participation in various federal and state hiring incentive

20

programs. These benefits are based on the number of qualifying employees hired and retained by the Company for a specified time period. Employees qualify for these hiring programs primarily as a result of their enrollment in various economic assistance programs.

As a result of the Company s significant losses incurred in 2008 and 2007 and its anticipated future performance based on projections, the Company evaluated the need to record a valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets as part of the 2008 tax provision accounting procedures. The evaluation undertaken included a review of the individual deferred tax components and a corresponding analysis of the anticipated time period during which the temporary differences giving rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities would reverse. Based on the results of this analysis, the Company determined that, except for two specific items, all deferred tax assets and liabilities were expected to reverse within the same period. The non-reversing items total \$31.7 million and include the deferred tax liability of \$10.8 million related to post-2004 goodwill and the deferred tax liability of \$20.9 million associated with the trade name. Because these items are not amortized for financial statement purposes, a reversal of the corresponding deferred tax liability is not expected to occur within the expiration period.

At December 27, 2008, the Company had approximately \$181.5 million of gross deferred tax assets and approximately \$70.4 million of gross deferred tax liabilities, resulting in a net deferred tax asset of \$111.1 million. After adjusting for the value of the deferred tax liabilities that do not reverse, the resulting cumulative valuation allowance amounted to \$142.9 million, of which \$30.9 million was recorded in 2008.

During the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, the Company incurred additional pre-tax losses of \$10.1 million and \$37.5 million, respectively, and recorded incremental valuation allowances of \$3.9 million and \$14.4 million, respectively. At September 26, 2009, the Company had approximately \$193.9 million of gross deferred tax assets, approximately \$70.3 million of gross deferred tax liabilities and had recorded a cumulative valuation allowance of \$157.2 million. The tax expense reported during the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008 primarily resulted from the deferred tax liabilities generated by non-amortizable goodwill.

During the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, there were no material changes to the Company s uncertain tax positions.

In September 2008, the Company was notified by the Internal Revenue Service that its Form 1120 filing for the 2006 tax year had been selected for examination. In addition, in September 2009 the Company was notified by the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance that its Form CT-3-A filings for the 2005 and 2006 tax years had been selected for examination. Each of the examinations are in its early stages and, based on information presently available, the Company believes that the ultimate outcome of these examinations will not have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company reflects interest charges incurred in connection with audit settlements as interest expense; however, if any tax-related penalties were to be incurred, such amounts would be recorded as a component of the income tax provision.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is party to legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. Based on information presently available to the Company, it believes that the ultimate outcome of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, the Company is a party to the following legal actions and matters:

During 2002, the Company initiated a legal action against its former property insurance carrier, in an attempt to recover what the Company believed to be a fair and reasonable settlement for the business interruption portion of its claim originating from the September 11, 2001 World Trade Center terrorist attack, during which its single highest volume and most profitable store was completely destroyed. After a lengthy litigation and appraisal process, an appraisal panel awarded the Company approximately \$5.6 million in 2005 (in addition to the \$9.9 million that was paid by the insurer to the Company in 2002). As a result of the insurer s refusal to pay this amount and also as a result of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals interpretation of the Company s insurance policy, in January 2007, the Company commenced another action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York to recover both the appraisal panel s award and additional amounts under the policy. In August 2007, the District Court entered judgment in the amount of \$0.8 million plus interest, and both parties appealed. The appeals have been briefed and oral argument in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals has been held. However, due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, there can be no assurance that this appeal will be successful.

Accordingly, given the risks and uncertainties inherent in litigation, there can be no definitive assurance that the Company will actually receive any or all of the panel s appraised value of this claim, and the Company has not recognized any income related to this matter, other than \$9.4 million (net of \$0.5 million of expenses incurred) of the original \$9.9 million paid by the insurer in 2002. It should be noted that any payment to the Company that might be forthcoming as a result of this claim may also result in the incurrence of additional expenses that are contingent upon the amount of such insurance claim settlement. These expenses, if incurred, are not expected to exceed \$4.0 million.

In November 2004, the Company was served with a purported class action complaint, Damassia v. Duane Reade Inc. The lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges that, from the period beginning November 1998, the Company incorrectly gave some employees the title Assistant Manager, in an attempt to avoid paying these employees overtime, in contravention of the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York law. In May 2008, the court certified this case as a class action. In April 2006, the Company was served with a purported class action complaint, Chowdhury v. Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. The complaint alleged that, from a period beginning March 2000, the Company incorrectly classified certain employees in an attempt to avoid paying overtime to such employees, thereby violating the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York law. In May 2008, the court certified this case as a class action. The complaint seeks an unspecified amount of damages. In January 2009, the Company announced that, without admitting liability, it has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding to settle these two class action cases for \$3.5 million. The settlement was subject to the approval of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. While the Company believed that it could strongly defend itself against the matters involved in this litigation, it agreed to this settlement in order to avoid future defense costs and uncertainty surrounding this litigation. As a result of this settlement agreement, the Company recorded a \$3.5 million one-time, pre-tax charge during the fourth quarter ended December 27, 2008. The litigation settlement required the Company to obtain a letter of credit for the payment of the \$3.5 million obligation. The Company has obtained the necessary letter of credit and after a hearing on July 24, 2009, the Court granted final approval of the settlement. In August 2009, following the final approval of the settlement by the court, the Company paid the \$3.5 million settlement and received authorization from the Court to terminate the related letter of credit.

In November 2007, the Company was served with a subpoena from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York. The subpoena requested information regarding services to customers with limited English proficiency. The Company has cooperated with the Office of the Attorney General and on April 22, 2009, the Company settled this matter with the Office of the Attorney General. Under the terms of the settlement, the Company agreed to provide customers with information about prescription drugs in the customers primary languages. In addition, the Company agreed to equip its stores with telephones that will connect customers with off-site interpreters working for language-service contractors.

In January 2008, the Company was served with a subpoena from the Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Inspector General. The subpoena seeks information relating to the operation of the Company s pharmacy kiosks and information relating to a business relationship that the Company had with Mobility Plus, a provider of durable medical equipment. In February 2008, the Company received an identical subpoena from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit. The Company is in the process of responding to the information requests from both entities. While the Company believes that it has been in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations, at this stage, there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of this matter. Based on information presently available to the Company, it is not possible to determine the likelihood of liability or reasonably ascertain the amount of liability, if any.

Proceedings Relating to Anthony J. Cuti

On September 1, 2006, Anthony J. Cuti, a former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, initiated an arbitration before the American Arbitration Association against Duane Reade Inc., Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. and Duane Reade Shareholders, LLC, which are referred to as the respondents. The arbitration relates to his termination in November 2005. Mr. Cuti asserts various claims including, with respect to his employment agreement, breach of contract relating to the notice of termination provision, failure to make certain payments toward his 1998 corporate-owned life insurance policy, relief from the non-competition and non-solicitation covenants, failure to provide adequate information relating to the valuation of his profits interest and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing. Other claims relate to the patent rights for the Company s virtual pharmacy kiosk system and payment of an alleged deferred 2001 bonus based on any insurance recovery the Company may obtain on its business interruption claim in connection with the 2001 World Trade Center tragedy. On March 16, 2007, Mr. Cuti sought leave to file an amended demand asserting additional allegations in support of his claim for breach of contract for failure to comply with the notice of termination provision in his employment agreement, and a claim for defamation. On May 17, 2007, the arbitrator issued an order granting leave to file Mr. Cuti s amended demand. Mr. Cuti seeks monetary damages, declaratory relief, rescission of his employment agreement and the payment of his legal costs and fees associated with his termination and the arbitration.

On November 22, 2006, the respondents filed counterclaims and affirmative defenses against Mr. Cuti in the arbitration, alleging that between 2000 and 2005, Mr. Cuti was responsible for improper practices involving invoice credits and rebillings for the construction of the Company s stores, that led to overstating the Company s publicly reported earnings, and that caused the Company to create and maintain inaccurate records and publish financial statements containing misstatements. These counterclaims were based on information uncovered as of that date by an investigation conducted by independent legal counsel and forensic accountants at the direction of the Audit Committee.

In a press release dated April 2, 2007, the Company disclosed that, based on new information provided to the Company, the Audit Committee, with the assistance of independent counsel and forensic accountants, was conducting a review and investigation concerning the propriety of certain real estate transactions and related matters and whether the accounting for such transactions was proper. On April 9, 2007, the respondents sought leave to file proposed amended counterclaims based on that new information. On

May 17, 2007, the arbitrator issued an order granting leave to file the amended counterclaims. The amended counterclaims seek rescission of employment agreements entered into between the Company and Mr. Cuti, return of all compensation paid under the employment agreements, other compensatory and punitive damages, and legal costs and fees associated with the Audit Committee s investigation and the arbitration.

On May 18, 2007, the independent counsel and the forensic accountants completed their review and investigation. The independent counsel concluded that Mr. Cuti orchestrated certain real estate and other transactions that led to overstating the Company s publicly reported earnings, and that caused the Company to create and maintain inaccurate records and publish financial statements containing misstatements. On May 22, 2007, the Audit Committee determined, after considering the results of the review and investigation, that certain of the Company s previously-issued financial statements would have to be restated. The Company has filed the necessary restated financial statements for the periods affected.

On May 22, 2007, the Company received a grand jury subpoena from the United States Attorney s Office for the Southern District of New York seeking documents relating to the allegations in the amended counterclaims discussed above. The Company is cooperating fully with the investigation. The SEC has also requested that the Company provide it with information related to this matter.

On May 25, 2007, the United States Attorney s Office for the Southern District of New York filed an application requesting that the arbitrator stay further proceedings in the arbitration, including discovery, pending further developments in its criminal investigation of Mr. Cuti. Following briefing by the parties on the application, the arbitrator entered an order staying the arbitration proceedings. The stay has been extended from time to time.

On October 9, 2008, the United States Attorney s Office for the Southern District of New York and the SEC announced the filing of criminal and civil securities fraud charges against Mr. Cuti and another former executive of the Company, William Tennant. In the criminal indictment, the government charges that Mr. Cuti and Mr. Tennant engaged in a scheme, involving the credits and rebillings and real estate-related transactions discussed above, to falsely inflate the income and reduce the expenses that the Company reported to the investing public and others. The SEC s complaint similarly alleges that Mr. Cuti and Mr. Tennant entered into a series of fraudulent transactions designed to boost reported income and enable the Company to meet quarterly and annual earnings guidance. Both proceedings are continuing and a trial date for the criminal charges has been set for March 10, 2010.

Other Commitments

In connection with the assignment of certain store leases to third parties during 2008 and 2007, the Company provides secondary guarantees on the lease obligations for the assigned stores. The respective purchasers have assumed the Company s obligations under these leases and are primarily liable for these obligations. Although the Company believes it to be unlikely, assuming that each respective purchaser became insolvent, management estimates that the Company could settle these obligations for amounts substantially less than the aggregate obligation of \$25.4 million as of September 26, 2009. The obligations are for varying terms dependent upon the respective lease, the longest of which lasts through May 31, 2022.

13. Subsequent Event

On November 4, 2009, the Company increased the maximum number of shares in respect of which stock options may be granted under the 2004 Option Plan from 575,893 shares of its common stock to 750,000 shares of its common stock. The Company expects to satisfy the exercise of stock options granted under the 2004 Option Plan by utilizing newly-issued shares.

14. Condensed Consolidating Interim Financial Information of Subsidiary Guarantors and Co-Obligors

The 9.75% senior subordinated notes due 2011 and the 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 were co-issued by Duane Reade Inc. and Duane Reade GP, each of whom is considered a co-obligor. The Company and each of its other subsidiaries, composed of DRI I Inc., Duane Reade International, LLC and Duane Reade Realty, Inc., are guarantors of such notes. The guarantee of the Company and of each subsidiary guarantor is full and unconditional and joint and several.

The following condensed consolidating interim financial information for the Company presents the financial information of Duane Reade Holdings, Inc., the co-obligors and the subsidiary guarantors, prepared on the equity basis of accounting. Such presentation is based on the Company s understanding and interpretation of Rule 3-10 under the Securities and Exchange Commission s Regulation S-X. Income tax expense (benefit) and deferred income taxes have been allocated to individual entities according to the Company s income tax allocation methodology. Certain of the prior year information has been reclassified to conform its presentation to the current year. The financial information may not necessarily be indicative of results of operations or financial position had the subsidiary guarantors operated as independent entities.

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations (Unaudited)

For the 13 weeks ended September 26, 2009

(in thousands)

		Duane Reade	Duane Reade Inc.	Duane Reade GP		idiary		solidating	Hol	Duane Reade dings, Inc. nsolidated
Net sales	Hoi \$	dings, Inc.	\$	\$ 448,894	Guara \$	antors	Aaji \$	ustments	\$	448,894
Costs and expenses:	Ψ		Ψ	ψ 440,024	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	770,027
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and										
amortization shown separately below)				309,164						309,164
Selling, general & administrative expenses				121,726		35				121,761
Depreciation and amortization				18,783		33				18,783
Store pre-opening expense				141						141
Gain on sale of pharmacy files				(321)						(321)
Other expenses				2,360						2,360
Other expenses				2,300						2,300
Operating (loss) income				(2,959)		(35)				(2,994)
Equity earnings in affiliates		10,689	10,689	, , ,		107		(21,485)		, , , ,
Interest expense, net				19,662				, , ,		19,662
Gain on debt retirement, net				(12,528)						(12,528)
				(,)						(,)
Income (loss) before income taxes		(10,689)	(10,689)	(10,093)		(142)		21,485		(10,128)
Income tax expense				559		2				561
Net income (loss)	\$	(10,689)	\$ (10,689)	\$ (10,652)	\$	(144)	\$	21,485	\$	(10,689)

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations (Unaudited)

For the 13 weeks ended September 27, 2008

(in thousands)

	Duane Reade dings, Inc.	Duane Reade Inc.	Duane Reade GP	bsidiary arantors	nsolidating Ljustments	Hol	Duane Reade dings, Inc. nsolidated
Net sales	\$	\$	\$ 431,005	\$ 10,351	\$ (10,351)	\$	431,005
Costs and expenses:							
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and							
amortization shown separately below)			296,261				296,261
Selling, general & administrative expenses			133,113	114	(15,464)		117,763
Depreciation and amortization			16,667				16,667
Store pre-opening expense			300				300
Other expenses			7,883				7,883
Operating (loss) income			(23,219)	10,237	5,113		(7,869)
Equity earnings in affiliates	22,329	22,329		383	(45,041)		
Interest expense (income), net			13,633	(5,113)	5,113		13,633
•							
Income (loss) before income taxes	(22,329)	(22,329)	(36,852)	14,967	45,041		(21,502)
Income taxes (benefit)			1,417	(590)			827
Net income (loss)	\$ (22,329)	\$ (22,329)	\$ (38,269)	\$ 15,557	\$ 45,041	\$	(22,329)

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations (Unaudited)

For the 39 weeks ended September 26, 2009

(in thousands)

	Duane Reade Holdings, Inc	Duane c. Reade Inc.	Duane Reade GP	Subsidiary Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. Consolidated
Net sales	\$	\$	\$ 1,372,467	\$	\$	\$ 1,372,467
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and						
amortization shown separately below)			949,659			949,659
Selling, general & administrative expenses			365,927	250		366,177
Depreciation and amortization			54,125			54,125
Store pre-opening expense			376			376
Gain on sale of pharmacy files			(321)			(321)
Other expenses			8,643			8,643
Operating loss			(5,942)	(250)		(6,192)
Equity earnings in affiliates	39,485	39,485		392	(79,362)	
Interest expense, net			43,853			43,853
Gain on debt retirement, net			(12,528)			(12,528)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(39,485	(39,485)	(37,267)	(642)	79,362	(37,517)
Income tax expense			1,955	13		1,968
Net income (loss)	\$ (39,485	5) \$ (39,485)	\$ (39,222)	\$ (655)	\$ 79,362	\$ (39,485)

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations (Unaudited)

For the 39 weeks ended September 27, 2008

(in thousands)

		Duane Reade dings, Inc.	Duane Reade Inc.	Duane Reade GP		ıbsidiary ıarantors		nsolidating ljustments	Hol	ane Reade dings, Inc. nsolidated
Net sales	\$		\$	\$ 1,309,538	\$	31,526	\$	(31,526)	\$	1,309,538
Costs and expenses:										
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and										
amortization shown separately below)				902,667						902,667
Selling, general & administrative expenses				401,707		352		(47,846)		354,213
Depreciation and amortization				52,260						52,260
Store pre-opening expense				548						548
Gain on sale of pharmacy files										
Other expenses				12,032						12,032
Operating (loss) income				(59,676)		31,174		16,320		(12,182)
Equity earnings in affiliates		55,400	55,400			1,050		(111,850)		
Interest expense (income), net				40,913		(16,320)		16,320		40,913
Income (loss) before income taxes		(55,400)	(55,400)	(100,589)		46,444		111,850		(53,095)
Income taxes (benefit)				4,367		(2,062)				2,305
N. c. a. A.	Ф	(55.400)	Φ (55 400)	¢ (104.055)	Φ.	40.506	Φ	111.050	Ф	(FE 400)
Net income (loss)	\$	(55,400)	\$ (55,400)	\$ (104,956)	\$	48,506	\$	111,850	\$	(55,400)

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet (Unaudited)

As of September 26, 2009

 $(in\ thousands)$

]	Duane Reade lings, Inc.	Duane Reade Inc.	I	Duane Reade GP	ubsidiary uarantors	nsolidating ljustments	Hol	Duane Reade dings, Inc. nsolidated
Assets									
Current assets									
Cash	\$		\$	\$	1,348	\$ 16	\$	\$	1,364
Receivables, net					54,394				54,394
Due from affiliates			76,732		109,239	(633)	(185,338)		
Inventories					219,097				219,097
Deferred income taxes			1,140		5,049	(6,189)			
Prepaid expenses and other current assets			,		16,939				16,939
Total current assets			77,872		406,066	(6,806)	(185,338)		291,794
Investment in affiliates		(33,676)	(33,686)			(3,770)	71,132		
Property and equipment, net					199,074				199,074
Goodwill					69,510				69,510
Deferred income taxes			1,645		9,032	(10,677)			
Other assets, net					118,627	412,377	(366,145)		164,859
Total assets	\$	(33,676)	\$ 45,831	\$	802,309	\$ 391,124	\$ (480,351)	\$	725,237
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity (Deficit) Current liabilities									
Accounts payable	\$		\$	\$	87,653	\$	\$	\$	87,653
Due to affiliates						185,336	(185,336)		
Accrued interest					6,083				6,083
Accrued expenses			3,272		44,946				48,218
Current portion of long-term debt					112,404				112,404
Current portion of capital lease obligations					4,549				4,549
Total current liabilities			3,272		255,635	185,336	(185,336)		258,907
Long term debt					710,264		(366,145)		344,119
Capital lease obligations, less current portion					3,653				3,653
Deferred income taxes			75,989		(74,862)	29,040			30,167
Redeemable preferred stock and accrued			ĺ			ĺ			,
dividends		117,112	117,113		121,158		(234,225)		121,158
Deferred rent liabilities		.,			61,588		(- , - ,		61,588
Other non-current liabilities			257		47,433				47,690
Total liabilities		117,112	196,631		1,124,869	214,376	(785,706)		867,282
Charles I James and Astroia									
Stockholders equity (deficit)		26							26
Common stock		26	205 525		205 200	0.005	(FRE 150		26
Paid-in-capital		286,520	286,535		295,290	2,395	(575,476)		295,264
Accumulated other comprehensive loss									

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

Retained earnings (Accumulated deficit)	(437,334)	(437,335)	(617,850)	174,353	880,831	(437,335)
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	(150,788)	(150,800)	(322,560)	176,748	305,355	(142,045)
Total liabilities and stockholders equity (deficit)	\$ (33,676)	\$ 45,831	\$ 802,309	\$ 391,124	\$ (480,351)	\$ 725,237

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet (Unaudited)

As of December 27, 2008

(in thousands)

	Duane Reade Holdings, Inc.	Duane Reade Inc.	Duane Reade GP	Subsidiary Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. Consolidated
Assets						
Current assets						
Cash	\$	\$	\$ 1,386	\$ 44	\$	\$ 1,430
Receivables, net			55,783			55,783
Due from affiliates		76,756	108,993	(411)	(185,338)	
Inventories			214,154			214,154
Deferred income taxes		1,140	5,049	(6,189)		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets			13,541			13,541
Total current assets		77,896	398,906	(6,556)	(185,338)	284,908
Investment in affiliates	(119,191)	(119,201)		(3,378)	241,770	
Property and equipment, net			186,560			186,560
Goodwill			69,510			69,510
Deferred income taxes		1,645	9,032	(10,677)		
Other assets, net			125,390	412,377	(366,145)	171,622
Total assets	\$ (119,191)	\$ (39,660)	\$ 789,398	\$ 391,766	\$ (309,713)	\$ 712,600
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity (Deficit) Current liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	\$	\$ 88,238	\$	\$	\$ 88,238
Due to affiliates				185,336	(185,336)	
Accrued interest			8,597			8,597
Accrued expenses		3,056	49,206			52,262
Current portion of long-term debt			144,642			144,642
Current portion of capital lease obligations			4,485			4,485
Total current liabilities		3,056	295,168	185,336	(185,336)	298,224
Long term debt		3,030	771,178	103,330	(366,145)	405,033
Capital lease obligations, less current portion			1,492		(300,143)	1,492
Deferred income taxes		76,230	(76,817)	29,027		28,440
Redeemable preferred stock and accrued		70,230	(70,617)	29,021		20,440
dividends	31,113	31,113	36,775		(62,226)	36,775
Deferred rent liabilities	31,113	31,113	53,084		(02,220)	53,084
Other non-current liabilities		256	35,997			36,253
Total liabilities	31,113	110,655	1,116,877	214,363	(613,707)	859,301
Stockholders equity (deficit)						
Common stock	26					26
Paid-in-capital	247,519	247,535	255,894	2,395	(497,476)	255,867
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	,	,	(4,747)	Í	, , ,	(4,747)

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

Retained earnings (Accumulated deficit)	(397,849)	(397,850)	(578,626)	175,008	801,470	(397,847)
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	(150,304)	(150,315)	(327,479)	177,403	303,994	(146,701)
Total liabilities and stockholders equity (deficit)	\$ (119,191)	\$ (39,660)	\$ 789,398	\$ 391,766	\$ (309.713)	\$ 712,600

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

For the 39 weeks ended September 26, 2009

(in thousands)

	Duane Reade Holdings, Inc.	Duane Reade Inc.	Duane Reade GP	Subsidiary Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. Consolidated
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating						
activities:						
Net (loss) income	\$ (39,485)	\$ (39,485)	\$ (39,222)	\$ (655)	\$ 79,362	\$ (39,485)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization			56,731			56,731
Deferred income taxes		(21)	1,955	13		1,947
Non-cash rent expense		(21)	8,562	13		8,562
Non-cash interest expense on redeemable			0,502			0,502
preferred stock			5,372			5,372
Gain on debt retirement			(12,528)			(12,528)
Ineffective portion of interest rate collar			4,999			4,999
Other non-cash expense			495			495
Equity in income of subsidiaries	39,485	39,485		392	(79,362)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					, , ,	
Receivables		24	1,143	222		1,389
Inventories			(4,943)			(4,943)
Prepaid and other current assets			(3,398)			(3,398)
Accounts payable			(585)			(585)
Accrued expenses		(3)	(5,979)			(5,982)
Other assets and liabilities, net			2,819			2,819
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN)				(20)		47.000
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			15,421	(28)		15,393
Cash flows used in investing activities:						
Capital expenditures			(39,737)			(39,737)
Lease acquisition, customer files and other						
costs			(10,214)			(10,214)
Proceeds from sale of property			449			449
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING						
ACTIVITIES			(49,502)			(49,502)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Borrowings from revolving credit facility			1,598,292			1,598,292
Repayments of revolving credit facility			(1,630,530)			(1,630,530)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt			292,251			292,251
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and						
warrants			124,759			124,759
Repayments of long-term debt			(336,563)			(336,563)
Deferred financing costs			(10,618)			(10,618)

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

Repayments of capital lease obligations			(3,548)			(3,548)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	G		34,043			34,043
Net change in cash Cash at beginning of period			(38) 1,386	(28) 44		(66) 1,430
Cash at end of period	\$	\$ \$	1,348	\$ 16	\$ \$	1,364

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

For the 39 weeks ended September 27, 2008

(in thousands)

	R	uane leade ings, Inc.	Duane Reade Inc.	Duane Reade GP	ubsidiary uarantors	nsolidating ljustments	Hol	ane Reade dings, Inc. asolidated
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating		O /				·		
activities:								
Net (loss) income	\$	(55,400)	\$ (55,400)	\$ (104,956)	\$ 48,506	\$ 111,850	\$	(55,400)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:								
Depreciation and amortization				54,999				54,999
Deferred income taxes			(113)	4,367	(2,062)			2,192
Non-cash rent expense				9,339				9,339
Non-cash interest expense on redeemable								
preferred stock				4,666				4,666
Asset impairment charges				3,405				3,405
Other non-cash expense				332				332
Equity in income of subsidiaries		55,400	55,400		1,050	(111,850)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:								
Receivables			1,005	2,376	241			3,622
Inventories				(1,274)				(1,274)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets				(566)				(566)
Accounts payable				2,431				2,431
Accrued expenses			(22)	(7,907)				(7,929)
Other assets and liabilities, net			(870)	53,529	(47,750)			4,909
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN)								
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				20,741	(15)			20,726
Cash flows used in investing activities:								
Capital expenditures				(23,111)				(23,111)
Lease acquisition and other costs				(11,958)				(11,958)
Proceeds from sale of assets				525				525
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING								
ACTIVITIES				(34,544)				(34,544)
Cash flows from financing activities:								
Borrowings from revolving credit facility				1,544,744				1,544,744
Repayments of revolving credit facility				(1,530,951)			(1,530,951)
Capital contributions				978				978
Issuance of common stock				2,000				2,000
Issuance of preferred stock								
Deferred financing costs				(1)				(1)
Repayments of capital lease obligations				(2,950)				(2,950)
NET CASH USED IN FINANCING								
ACTIVITIES				13,820				13,820

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

Net change in cash				17		(15)			2
Cash at beginning of period				1,343		37			1,380
Cook at and of named	¢	¢	¢.	1 260	¢.	22	¢		t 1 200
Cash at end of period	\$	\$	•	1,360	•	22	3	į.	\$ 1,382

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion regarding our financial condition and results of operations for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 should be read in conjunction with the more detailed financial information contained in our consolidated financial statements and their notes as well as the Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements that are contained elsewhere in this report.

General

Our business consists of the sale of a wide variety of health and beauty care products, convenience-oriented food and general merchandise items and a pharmacy operation managed to supply customers with their prescription needs. We refer to the non-prescription portion of our business as front-end sales because most of these sales are processed through the front check-out sections of our stores. This portion of our business consists of brand name and private label health and beauty care items, food and beverages, tobacco products, cosmetics, housewares, greeting cards, digital photo processing, photo supplies, seasonal and general merchandise and other products for our customers—daily needs. Health and beauty care products, including over-the-counter items, represent our highest volume categories within front-end sales. The front-end portion of our business represented 53.0% of our net sales for both the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, and 54.7% and 54.5% of our net sales in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, respectively. Our front-end business is generally more profitable as compared to our pharmacy or back-end business.

Because of our numerous convenient locations in high-traffic commercial and residential areas and the lack of other convenience-oriented retailers in our core market areas, our front-end business is generally a larger proportion of our total sales than for other major conventional drugstore chains, whose front-end sales average between 30% and 40% of total sales. Over the last few years, pharmacy sales growth has been adversely impacted by increases in third-party plan co-payments, reduced third-party reimbursement rates resulting from changes in regulations or cost-saving measures, limitations on maximum reimbursements for certain generic medications by third-party plans, increases in the percentage of sales represented by lower-priced generic medications and increased penetration by mail-order and internet-based pharmacies. In addition, growth in pharmacy sales has been adversely impacted by the slowing pace of approvals from the Food and Drug Administration. Our pharmacy sales include all items we sell by prescriptions filled at our retail locations or deliver direct to customers. In addition, we include in our pharmacy sales certain resales of retail pharmaceutical inventory. Sales processed through third-party, private and government-sponsored plans that contract with us as an authorized provider of prescriptions represented approximately 94.2% and 94.1% of our pharmacy retail sales in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, respectively, and approximately 93.4% and 93.3% of our pharmacy retail sales in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008.

The Medicare Modernization Act created a Medicare Part D benefit that expanded Medicare coverage of prescription drugs for senior citizens as well as for certain—dual eligible—individuals that were previously covered under state administered Medicaid plans. This Medicare coverage has resulted in reduced profitability for our pharmacy operations due to lower reimbursement rates than the reimbursement rates received from our current state Medicaid prescriptions. The Medicare Part D program has grown rapidly since taking effect in 2006. The program—s growth may continue as more seniors have become eligible and enroll for the coverage. While the program has had an adverse impact on our pharmacy profitability, we expect that increased utilization of prescription drugs by senior citizens participating in the new programs who previously were cash paying customers will partially offset the effect of the lower profitability, although we are not certain this will be the case. The Medicare Part D program represented approximately 13.3% and 13.1% of our pharmacy retail sales in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, respectively and 13.2% and 12.8% of our pharmacy retail sales in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, respectively.

State Medicaid programs which provide prescription benefits to low income households and individuals represented approximately 16.8% and 16.5% of our pharmacy retail sales in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, respectively and approximately 13.3% and 13.8% of our pharmacy retail sales in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. Over the past several years, New York State reduced Medicaid and Elderly Pharmaceutical Insurance Coverage (EPIC) prescription reimbursement rates, and increased the number of generic drugs subject to maximum allowable cost reimbursement limits, adversely impacting our pharmacy profitability. Under the Medicaid guidelines, providers cannot refuse to dispense prescriptions to Medicaid recipients who claim they do not have the means to pay the required co-payments. Most Medicaid recipients do in fact decline to make the co-payments, resulting in the requirement for the provider to absorb this cost. The current economic downturn may result in additional reductions in New York Medicaid prescription reimbursements. The economic stimulus package passed by Congress and signed into law by President Obama could provide states with additional Medicaid funding and may reduce the extent of each state s proposed reductions in Medicaid reimbursements. Under the economic stimulus package, over \$80 billion would be allocated to help states with Medicaid. In addition, the economic stimulus package includes provisions to subsidize health care insurance premiums for the unemployed under the COBRA program and provisions that will aid states in defraying budget cuts.

32

In July 2007, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, issued a final rule that may negatively affect our level of reimbursements for certain generic drugs by setting an upper limit on the amount of reimbursement for such drugs based on the Average Manufacturer Price. As a result of a lawsuit brought by the National Association of Chain Drug Stores and the National Community Pharmacists Association to challenge the implementation of the new rule, a federal court temporarily enjoined the implementation of the new rule pending the outcome of the lawsuit and the lawsuit remains pending. In July 2008, Congress enacted H.R. 6331, the Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008 (MIPPA). The former President Bush subsequently vetoed MIPPA and, on July 15, 2008, Congress overrode the veto. MIPPA delayed the implementation of the use of Average Manufacturer Price with respect to payments made by State Medicaid Plans for multiple source generic drugs until September 30, 2009. MIPPA also prohibited the Secretary of Health and Human Services from making public any Average Manufacturer Price information that was previously disclosed to the Secretary of Health and Human Services. The outcome of the lawsuit and the impact of MIPPA are uncertain at this time, so we currently are unable to determine what effect the new rule will ultimately have on our business.

In October 2006, in connection with a class action filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, First Data Bank, which is one of two primary sources of AWP price reporting, announced that it had entered into a settlement agreement related to its reporting of average wholesale prices, subject to final court approval. Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, First Data Bank agreed to reduce the reported AWP of certain drugs by four percent and to discontinue the publishing of AWP at a future time. In May 2007, in connection with a separate class action filed in the same court, Medi-Span, the other primary source of average wholesale price reporting, entered into a similar settlement agreement, also subject to final court approval.

In January 2008, the court denied approval of the First Data Bank and Medi-Span settlements as proposed. Subsequently, new settlement agreements were submitted to the court. On March 17, 2009, the court issued an order approving the revised settlements. Some parties appealed the court s ruling. On September 3, 2009, the Court of Appeals affirmed the decision of the District Court approving the settlements. Pursuant to the settlements, as approved, First Data Bank and Medi-Span have agreed to reduce the reported AWP for certain drugs by four percent. Separately, and not pursuant to the settlement agreements, First Data Bank and Medi-Span have indicated that they will also reduce the reported AWP for a large number of additional drugs not covered by the settlement agreements and that they intend to discontinue the reporting of AWP in the future. The settlement agreements became effective on September 26, 2009. A number of contracts with third-party plans contain provisions that allow us to adjust our pricing to maintain the relative economics of the contract in light of a change in AWP methodology. Most of our contracts with both private and governmental plans also include provisions that allow us to terminate the contracts unilaterally, either because parties cannot renegotiate our pricing to account for the change in AWP methodology or for any reason upon 30 to 90 days notice. While we are currently negotiating with many of the various governmental and non-governmental third-party plans for adjustments relating to the expected changes to AWP, we cannot be certain that these negotiations will be successful. In addition, the New York State Legislature is currently considering legislation that would modify the formula upon which New York Medicaid bases its reimbursement rates, which, if passed, will move pharmacy reimbursement rates with respect to Medicaid at or near the pre-settlement levels. However, at this time there is no assurance that this legislation would be ultimately passed by the New York State Legislature or, if passed, will be in its current form. In late September 2009, New York Medicaid began to implement the AWP reductions. Although there is some uncertainty due to the pending legislation at the New York State Legislature, we expect a moderate impact on the profitability of our pharmacy operations in the remainder of fiscal 2009 and a more significant impact in fiscal 2010.

In an effort to offset some of the adverse impact on our pharmacy profitability from the trends discussed above, there has been an intensified effort on the part of retailers to support increased utilization of lower priced but higher margin generic prescriptions in place of branded medications. New generic drug introductions have also enabled retailers to increase the proportion of generic prescriptions to total prescriptions dispensed. Improved generic utilization rates as well as a combination of direct purchases and contractual wholesaler purchases enabled us to partially offset the adverse impact of Medicare Part D and reduced state Medicaid reimbursement rates during 2008 and 2007. In addition, we believe that the higher volume of pharmacy sales to third party plan customers helps to offset the related lower profitability and allows us to leverage other fixed store operating expenses. We believe that increased third-party plan sales also generate additional front-end sales by increasing customer traffic in our stores.

We are also impacted by legislation to increase the minimum hourly wages. New York State increased its minimum hourly wage from \$5.15 to \$6.00 on January 1, 2005, to \$6.75 on January 1, 2006, and again to \$7.15 on January 1, 2007. New Jersey increased its minimum hourly wage from \$5.15 to \$6.15 on October 1, 2005 and again to \$7.15 on October 1, 2006. In addition, the federal minimum hourly wage was increased to \$7.25 in July 2009. As a result, both New York State and New Jersey have increased their minimum hourly wage to \$7.25 effective July 24, 2009. While these increases have impacted our cost of labor, we have, and believe we can continue to, offset a significant portion of these cost increases through initiatives designed to further improve our labor efficiency.

Our primary assets are our ownership of 100% of the outstanding capital stock of Duane Reade Inc., which in turn owns 99% of the outstanding partnership interest of Duane Reade GP and all of the outstanding common stock of DRI I Inc. DRI I Inc. owns the

remaining 1% partnership interest in Duane Reade GP. Substantially all of our operations are conducted through Duane Reade GP. In August 1999, we established two new subsidiaries, Duane Reade International, Inc. and Duane Reade Realty, Inc. Duane Reade GP distributed to Duane Reade Inc. and DRI I Inc. all rights, title, and interest in all its trademarks, trade names and all other intellectual property rights. In turn, Duane Reade Inc. and DRI I Inc. made a capital contribution of these intellectual property rights to Duane Reade International. This change created a controlled system to manage and exploit these intellectual property rights separate and apart from the retail operations. In addition, Duane Reade GP distributed some of its store leases to Duane Reade Inc. and DRI I Inc., which in turn made a capital contribution of these leases to Duane Reade Realty. Duane Reade Realty is the lessee under certain store leases entered into after its creation. Duane Reade Realty subleases to Duane Reade GP the properties subject to those leases. In July 2009, Duane Reade International, Inc. converted to a limited-liability company and its name was changed to Duane Reade International, LLC.

Considerations Related to Operating in the New York City Marketplace

All of our operations are conducted within the New York greater metropolitan area. As a result, our performance will be substantially affected by economic conditions and other factors affecting the region such as the regulatory environment, unemployment levels, cost of energy, real estate, insurance, taxes and rent, weather, demographics, availability of labor, financial markets, levels of tourism and geopolitical factors such as terrorism.

Since the second half of 2004, the New York City economy began a gradual improvement from the economic downturn experienced in the aftermath of the 2001 World Trade Center attack to the point where it had essentially achieved a full recovery by the latter part of 2006. Strength in employment rates, tourism and overall commerce continued throughout 2007. In 2008, economic conditions worsened considerably, particularly so during the fourth quarter, and the level of national economic activity as measured by a number of key economic indicators shows that the economy entered into a recession in 2008. The recession continued through the first half of 2009. While most recent economic data indicates that the national recession is coming to an end, the September 2009 unemployment data for New York City indicated an unemployment rate of 10.3%, compared to 7.2% in December 2008. The national rate of unemployment increased from 7.2% in December 2008 to 9.8% in September 2009.

Our sales and profitability have in the past been affected by events and other factors that affect New York City. Examples include smoking-ban legislation, the August 2003 Northeast power blackout, significant non-recurring increases in real estate taxes and insurance costs and the 2004 Republican National Convention. In 2005, our results were negatively affected by increased labor costs associated with the ongoing shortage of pharmacists in the New York City metropolitan area and increases in New York state and to a lesser extent New Jersey state minimum wage rates. We may also experience cost pressures from increased pharmacist salary and benefit costs as well as further increases in minimum wage rates. Any increases in federal taxes or in city or state taxes in New York City or the Tri-State Area resulting from state or local government budget deficits may further reduce consumers disposable income, which could have a further negative impact on our business. Any other unforeseen events or circumstances that affect the New York City metropolitan area could also materially adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

In addition, perceived instability in our business and in the financial health of New York City and the surrounding areas may negatively affect our relations with our suppliers and trade creditors. As a result, our suppliers or trade creditors may present us with terms that are materially more disadvantageous to us than those we currently enjoy, which may have a negative impact on our ability to operate our business and manage our liquidity.

Recent Developments

Offers to Purchase Prior Debt Securities

On August 7, 2009, pursuant to offers to purchase, we completed the repurchase of approximately \$205.0 million of our senior secured floating rate notes due 2010 for total consideration of approximately \$206.6 million and approximately \$143.3 million of our 9.75% senior subordinated notes due 2011, for a total consideration of approximately \$125.6 million, in each case, including accrued and unpaid interest. On the same day, we called for redemption the remaining approximately \$5.0 million of senior secured floating rate notes, which we purchased on September 8, 2009 for approximately \$5.0 million. In connection with the offers to purchase, we eliminated substantially all of the restrictive covenants in the indenture governing the senior subordinated notes, of which approximately \$51.7 million remain outstanding. The proceeds from the sale of the notes were used to fund, in part, the consideration for the above transactions.

Credit Agreement Amendment

On August 7, 2009, we entered into an amendment to our asset-based revolving loan facility. The credit agreement amendment permitted, among other things, the completion of the offers to purchase, the offering of the initial notes and other related transactions. In addition, as a result of the credit agreement amendment, the applicable margins on LIBOR-based loans increased from a range of 1.00% to 2.00% to a range of 2.25% to 3.25%, and the applicable margins on prime rate loans increased from a range of 0.00% to 0.50% to a range of 1.25% to 1.75%. Also, line fees and commitment fees increased from 0.30% to 0.50% per year.

Equity Investment

Concurrently with the completion of the offers to purchase, entities associated with Oak Hill Capital Partners, L.P. purchased \$125.0 million of our redeemable preferred equity and a warrant to purchase our common stock. A portion of the proceeds of the equity investment was used to pay the total consideration in the offers to purchase. The remaining proceeds from the equity investment were used to reduce temporarily the amount of outstanding borrowings under our asset-based revolving loan facility.

Effects of the August 2009 Refinancing Transactions

As a result of the August 2009 refinancing transactions, we recorded a \$12.5 million gain on the extinguishment of debt. In addition, we incurred fees of approximately \$10.6 million to complete the August 2009 refinancing transactions. The fees have been deferred and are being amortized over the terms of the related debt instruments.

In addition, as a result of the August 2009 refinancing transactions, our annual cash interest expense payable in respect of our outstanding debt securities will increase during the remainder of 2009 and the first nine months of 2010, since we expect to pay approximately \$40.3 million of annual cash interest on the 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 and the remaining senior subordinated notes, compared to approximately \$29.8 million of annual cash interest on the previously outstanding senior secured floating rate notes and senior subordinated notes (based on the interest rates in effect prior to the August 2009 refinancing transactions and without giving effect to our interest rate collar).

Certain Line Items Presented

Net sales: Net sales include all front-end and pharmacy sales as well as certain resales of retail pharmaceutical inventory that are required to be reported on a gross basis in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent. We refer to net sales excluding such resale activity as net retail sales and pharmacy sales excluding such resale activity as pharmacy net retail sales. Same-store sales represent sales for stores that have been open for at least 13 months and exclude any resale activity.

Cost of goods sold: Cost of goods sold includes all costs of products sold, net of any promotional income or rebates received from manufacturers or wholesalers. Cost of goods sold is shown exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense for our distribution centers, which is included in the separate line item captioned depreciation and amortization. Inventory shrink losses are also recorded in cost of goods sold.

Selling general & administrative expenses: SG&A includes employee wages and related costs, professional fees, store occupancy costs, advertising expenses, credit card fees and all other store and office-related operating expenses. In addition, real estate-related income is included in store occupancy costs.

Depreciation and amortization: Depreciation and amortization consists primarily of depreciation of store fixtures and equipment and amortization of leasehold improvements as well as intangible assets, including pharmacy files and lease acquisition costs.

Store pre-opening expenses: These expenses primarily represent the labor costs we incur related to our employees performing various tasks associated with the opening of a new location.

Results of Operations

The following sets forth the results of operations for the periods indicated:

	For the 13 Weeks Ended			For the 39 Weeks Ended				
	September 26, 2009		September 27, 2008		September 26, 2009		September 27, 2008	
		% of		% of		% of		% of
	Dollars	Sales	Dollars	Sales	Dollars	Sales	Dollars	Sales
	In thousands, except percentages							
Net sales	\$ 448,894	100.0%	\$ 431,005	100.0%	\$ 1,372,467	100.0%	\$ 1,309,538	100.0%
Costs and expenses:								
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation								
and amortization shown separately								
below)	309,164	68.9	296,261	68.7	949,659	69.2	902,667	68.9
Selling, general & administrative								
expenses	121,761	27.1	117,763	27.3	366,177	26.7	354,213	27.0
Depreciation and amortization	18,783	4.2	16,667	3.9	54,125	3.9	52,260	4.0
Store pre-opening expenses	141	0.0	300	0.1	376	0.0	548	0.0
Gain on sale of pharmacy files	(321)	(0.1)		0.0	(321)	0.0		0.0
Other expenses	2,360	0.5	7,883	1.8	8,643	0.6	12,032	0.9
Operating loss	(2,994)	(0.7)	(7,869)	(1.8)	(6,192)	(0.5)	(12,182)	(0.9)
Interest expense, net	19,662	4.4	13,633	3.2	43,853	3.2	40,913	3.1
Gain on debt extinguishment, net	(12,528)	(2.8)		0.0	(12,528)	(0.9)		0.0
<u> </u>	, , ,	, ,				. ,		
Loss before income taxes	(10,128)	(2.3)	(21,502)	(5.0)	(37,517)	(2.7)	(53,095)	(4.0)
Income taxes	561	0.1	827	0.2	1,968	0.1	2,305	0.2
					-,, 30		_,= 50	
Net loss	\$ (10,689)	(2.4)%	\$ (22,329)	(5.2)%	\$ (39,485)	(2.9)%	\$ (55,400)	(4.2)%

The following sets forth selected operating and other data for the periods indicated:

	Thirteen We	eks Ended	Thirty-nine Weeks Ended			
	September 26, 2009	September 27, 2008	September 26, 2009	September 27, 2008		
Operating and Other Data						
Same-store sales growth	0.7%	5.0%	1.2%	4.7%		
Pharmacy same-store sales growth	5.3%	3.7%	4.2%	2.9%		
Front-end same-store sales growth	(2.7)%	6.0%	(1.1)%	6.2%		
Pharmacy sales as a percentage of net sales	47.0%	45.3%	47.0%	45.5%		
Third-party sales as a percentage of pharmacy						
sales	94.2%	93.4%	94.1%	93.3%		
Total stores, beginning of period	253	241	251	242		
Store openings	3	4	7	9		
Store closings	(2)		(4)	(6)		
Total stores, end of period	254	245	254	245		

The Thirteen Weeks Ended September 26, 2009 as Compared to the Thirteen Weeks Ended September 27, 2008

Net sales were \$448.9 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, representing an increase of 4.2% as compared to net sales of \$431.0 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008. Resale activity accounted for 0.6% of the increase, while an increase in retail store sales represented the remaining 3.6%. Total same-store sales increased by 0.7% over the third quarter of last year.

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

Pharmacy sales increased by 8.0% to \$211.0 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 as compared to \$195.4 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008, and represented 47.0% of total sales, as compared with 45.3% of total sales in the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008. Resale activity accounted for 1.4% of the pharmacy sales increase, while retail sales accounted for the remaining 6.6% of the increase. During the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, pharmacy same-store sales increased by 5.3% from last year. The pharmacy same-store sales growth reflects an increase in the average number of prescriptions filled per store and the effects of branded drug inflation, which has increased the average sale per prescription. During the third quarter of 2009, the average same-store weekly prescriptions filled per store increased by 1.6%. The percentage of generic drugs dispensed increased by 2.3% over the prior year, negatively impacting the pharmacy same-store sales increase by approximately 2.8%. Generic drugs have lower retail sales prices but are generally more profitable than branded drugs.

Front-end sales increased by 1.0% to \$237.9 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 as compared to \$235.6 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008. Front-end sales represented 53.0% of total sales in the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, as compared to 54.7% of total sales in the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008. The increase in front-end sales was primarily due to the opening of new stores. Front-end same-store sales declined by 2.7% during the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 as the difficult economy, which has resulted in higher unemployment rates and reduced levels of consumer spending, restrained front-end same-store sales growth.

36

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

Table of Contents

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales increased to 68.9% in the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 from 68.7% in the third quarter of 2008. Excluding pharmacy resale activity, cost of sales as a percentage of net retail store sales improved slightly during the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 and reflects improved front-end product mix and lower inventory shrink losses, partially offset by lower third party reimbursement rates. Cost of sales includes a LIFO provision of \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million during the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$121.8 million, or 27.1% of net sales, and \$117.8 million, or 27.3% of net sales, in the third quarter of 2009 and 2008, respectively, and reflects the benefits of cost savings resulting from previously announced initiatives we have implemented that are designed to mitigate the impact of the challenging economic conditions. The \$4.0 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses is primarily due to an increase in store occupancy costs for our new stores as well as additional payroll and related selling costs associated with the new stores and increased sales.

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment and intangible assets was \$18.8 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, as compared to \$16.7 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008. The increase is due to additional depreciation and amortization expense attributable to our increased capital spending on new store locations and recent store renovations.

We incurred \$0.1 million of store pre-opening expenses during the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 and \$0.3 million during the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008. We opened three stores during the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 and four stores during the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008.

During the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, we recorded a \$0.3 million gain on the sale of pharmacy prescription files.

During the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, other expenses of \$2.4 million included costs associated with closed stores (\$1.0 million), costs associated with matters related to a former CEO (Mr. Cuti) (\$0.6 million), Oak Hill management fees (\$0.3 million) and miscellaneous other expenses (\$0.5 million). For the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, the expense recorded for former CEO (Mr. Cuti) matters was reduced by insurance proceeds of approximately \$1.9 million. The miscellaneous other expenses for the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 include a fair value adjustment relating to the Company s liability for phantom shares held by current and former employees. During the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008, other expenses of \$7.9 million included non-cash asset impairment charges (\$3.4 million), costs associated with closed stores (\$0.2 million), costs associated with matters related to a former CEO (Mr. Cuti) (\$2.6 million), Oak Hill management fees (\$0.3 million) and miscellaneous other expenses (\$1.4 million). Included within miscellaneous other expenses for the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008 are additional pension benefit accruals related to a union contract settlement in March of 2006.

Net interest expense for the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009 was \$19.7 million, as compared to \$13.6 million in the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to a interest charge of \$5.0 million resulting from the completion of the August 2009 debt refinancing transactions. As a result of this debt refinancing, our interest rate collar no longer qualified for hedge accounting and we reclassified the \$5.0 million balance of our accumulated other comprehensive loss into third quarter 2009 interest expense. As a result of our August 2009 debt refinancing transactions, during the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, we also recorded additional non-cash interest expense for the Series B redeemable preferred stock, which was issued on August 7, 2009, and additional interest expense for the 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015, which were also issued on August 7, 2009.

During the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, we recorded a \$12.5 million gain on debt extinguishments.

In the thirteen weeks ended September 26, 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$0.6 million, reflecting an estimated effective tax rate of 5.5%. This expense is inclusive of an incremental valuation allowance of \$3.9 million that largely offset the tax benefit generated by our operating loss in the quarter. In the thirteen weeks ended September 27, 2008, we recorded an income tax provision of \$0.8 million, reflecting an estimated effective tax rate of 3.8%. This expense is inclusive of an incremental valuation allowance of \$10.2 million that largely offset the tax benefit generated by our operating loss in the quarter. The valuation allowances reflect the significant losses incurred in each period, as well as the anticipated financial performance over the next several years and the unlikelihood of recognizing the future tax benefits of our accumulated losses. The tax expense recorded during the third quarter of 2009 and 2008 results primarily from the deferred tax liabilities generated by non-amortizable goodwill.

The Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended September 26, 2009 as Compared to the Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended September 27, 2008

Net sales were \$1.372 billion in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, representing an increase of 4.8% as compared to net sales of \$1.310 billion in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. Resale activity accounted for 1.4% of the increase, while an increase in retail store sales represented the remaining 3.4%. Total 2009 same-store sales increased by 1.2% in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009.

Pharmacy sales increased by 8.1% from \$596.2 million in thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 to \$644.6 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, and represented 47.0% of total sales, as compared with 45.5% of total sales in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. Resale activity accounted for 3.0% of the pharmacy sales increase, while retail pharmacy sales accounted for the remaining 5.1% of the increase. Pharmacy same-store sales increased by 4.2% and reflect the benefits of increased prescription counts and the effects of branded drug inflation. The average same-store weekly prescriptions filled per store increased 1.4% in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009. The percentage of generic drugs dispensed increased by 2.7% over the prior year, negatively impacting the pharmacy same-store sales increase by approximately 3.2%. Generic drugs generally have lower retail sales prices but are generally more profitable than branded drugs.

Front-end sales increased by 2.0% from \$713.4 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 to \$727.8 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, and represented 53.0% of total sales, as compared to 54.5% of total sales in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. Reduced consumer spending resulting from higher rates of unemployment and a difficult economy combined to decrease front-end same-store sales by 1.1%.

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales increased to 69.2% in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 from 68.9% in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 primarily due to an increase in pharmacy resale activity. Excluding pharmacy resale activity, cost of sales as a percentage of net retail sales improved slightly during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009. This improvement was attributable to improved front-end product mix and reduced inventory shrink losses and was partially offset by lower third party pharmacy reimbursement rates. Cost of sales includes a LIFO provision of \$2.8 million and \$2.4 million during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$366.2 million, or 26.7% of net sales, and \$354.2 million, or 27.0% of net sales, in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008, respectively. As a percentage of net retail sales, selling, general and administrative expenses improved slightly to 28.0% in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, compared to 28.1% in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. The \$12.0 million increase in selling, general and administrative is primarily due to an increase in store occupancy costs for our new stores and the additional payroll and related selling costs associated with the new stores and increased sales.

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment and intangible assets increased \$1.9 million to \$54.1 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, compared to \$52.3 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. The increase is due to additional depreciation and amortization expense attributable to our increased capital spending on new store locations and recent store renovations.

We incurred \$0.4 million of store pre-opening expenses during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and \$0.5 million of store pre-opening expenses during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. We opened seven and nine new stores during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008, respectively.

During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, we recorded a \$0.3 million gain on the sale of pharmacy prescription files.

For the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, other expenses of \$8.6 million included costs associated with closed stores (\$3.8 million), costs associated with matters related to a former CEO (Mr. Cuti) (\$3.5 million), Oak Hill management fees (\$0.9 million) and miscellaneous other expenses (\$0.5 million). For the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, the expense recorded for former CEO (Mr. Cuti) matters was reduced by insurance proceeds of approximately \$1.9 million. The miscellaneous other expenses for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 include a fair value adjustment relating to the Company s liability for phantom shares held by current and former employees. During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, other expenses of \$12.0 million included non-cash asset impairment charges (\$3.4 million), costs associated with closed stores (\$2.3 million), costs associated with matters related to a former CEO (Mr. Cuti) (\$3.9 million), Oak Hill management fees (\$0.9 million) and miscellaneous other expenses (\$1.5 million). Included within miscellaneous other expenses for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 are additional pension benefit accruals related to a union contract settlement in March of 2006.

Net interest expense for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 was \$43.9 million, as compared to \$40.9 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. The \$2.9 million increase was primarily due to a interest charge of \$5.0 million resulting from the completion of the August 2009 debt refinancing transactions. As a result of the August 2009 debt refinancing, our interest rate collar

no longer qualified for hedge accounting and we reclassified the \$5.0 million balance of our accumulated other comprehensive loss into interest expense. This was partially offset by the benefits realized from lower interest rates on our variable rate borrowings as compared to the prior year.

During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, we recorded a \$12.5 million gain on debt extinguishments.

During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$2.0 million, reflecting an estimated effective tax rate of 5.2%. This expense is inclusive of an incremental valuation allowance of \$14.4 million that largely offset the tax benefit generated by our operating loss in the six month period. In the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, we recorded an income tax provision of \$2.3 million, reflecting an estimated effective tax rate of 4.3%. This expense is inclusive of an incremental valuation allowance of \$23.6 million that largely offset the tax benefit generated by our operating loss in the thirty-nine week period. The valuation allowances reflect the significant losses incurred in each period, as well as the anticipated financial performance over the next several years and the unlikelihood of recognizing the future tax benefits of our accumulated losses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Working Capital and Cash Flow

Our working capital balance was \$32.9 million at September 26, 2009, compared to a working capital deficit of \$13.3 million at December 27, 2008. Working capital reflects the classification of outstanding borrowings under our asset-based revolving loan facility of \$112.4 million at September 26, 2009 and \$144.6 million at December 27, 2008 as current liabilities. This current classification is required because cash receipts controlled by the lenders are used to reduce outstanding debt and we do not meet the criteria to classify the debt as long-term, but is not an indication that this credit facility is expected to be retired within the next year. This facility expires in July 2011 and we intend to continue to access it for our working capital needs throughout its remaining term. The \$32.2 million reduction in the balance of our asset-based revolving loan facility at September 26, 2009 as compared to December 27, 2008 is due to the use of a portion of the proceeds from our August 2009 debt refinancing to temporarily reduce outstanding borrowings under our asset-based revolving loan facility. As of September 26, 2009, we had approximately \$107.1 million available for borrowing under our asset-based revolving loan facility.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$15.4 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, compared to \$20.7 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. The change is primarily attributable to an increased investment in pharmacy inventory in support of maintaining higher in-stock conditions as well as the payment of a previously announced \$3.5 million litigation settlement.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$49.5 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, compared to \$34.5 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, we spent \$39.7 million on capital expenditures, primarily related to new stores and the remodeling of existing locations, and \$10.2 million on the purchase of pharmacy customer files, lease acquisition and other costs, including capitalized software costs. These expenditures were partially offset by \$0.4 million of proceeds received from the disposition of certain assets. In the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, we spent \$23.1 million on capital expenditures, primarily related to new stores and the remodeling of existing locations, and \$12.0 million on lease acquisition and other costs, including capitalized software costs. These expenditures were partially offset by \$0.5 million of proceeds received from the disposition of certain assets.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$34.0 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, compared to \$13.8 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008. The net cash provided by financing activities for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 reflects our August 2009 debt refinancing transactions and includes the extinguishment of all our existing \$210.0 million aggregate principal amount of our senior secured floating rate notes due 2010 and the extinguishment of \$143.3 million aggregate principal amount of our 9.75% senior subordinated notes due 2011. The cash outflows for these repayments of debt totaled \$336.6 million. During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, we had proceeds of \$292.3 million resulting from the issuance of \$300.0 million of 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 at 97.417% of par value and proceeds of \$124.8 million (net of \$0.2 million of expenses) resulting from the Oak Hill equity investment. We also paid fees of approximately \$10.6 million to complete the August 2009 debt refinancing transactions. The financing activity in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 also reflects a net decrease of \$32.2 million in outstanding borrowings under our asset-based revolving loan facility and capital lease payments of \$3.5 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$13.8 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 and included \$2.0 million of proceeds resulting from the purchase of 20,000 shares of Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. common stock by our current CEO. The financing activity in the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 also reflects a net increase of \$13.8 million in outstanding borrowings under our asset-based revolving loan facility and capital lease payments of \$3.0 million. During the

thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, Oak Hill reimbursed us for a portion of our legal costs incurred in connection with the proceedings relating to Mr. Cuti, which is discussed in Note 12 to our Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report. The reimbursements totaled \$1.0 million for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008 and are reflected as an additional capital contribution within financing activities.

Operating Capital Requirements

Our operating capital requirements primarily result from opening new stores, remodeling and renovating existing retail locations, purchasing pharmacy files and the continuing development of management information systems. We opened seven new stores during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, nine new stores during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 27, 2008, 15 new stores in fiscal 2008 and ten new stores in fiscal 2007. In light of improved liquidity due to the completion of our August 2009 debt refinancing transactions, our current capital spending plans include approximately \$60 million of capital spending in both 2009 and 2010, with approximately \$40 to \$43 million related to strategic growth during each period. In addition, this growth strategy will support 10 to 15 major store renovations in each of 2009 and 2010. The capital plan includes a total of approximately nine new store openings in 2009 and between 10 to 15 new stores in 2010.

We also require working capital to support inventory for our existing and new stores. The acquisition of real estate is not expected to have a significant impact on our capital requirements since we have historically leased almost all of our store locations. As a result of the current economic recession, we experienced declines in consumer demand that accelerated during the fourth quarter of 2008 and are expected to continue throughout fiscal 2009. Higher rates of unemployment, reduced levels of tourism and decreased commercial activity are factors impacting our outlook for fiscal 2009. We have implemented certain measures to mitigate the impact of these recessionary economic conditions. These actions include the implementation of a number of strategic cost savings initiatives designed to improve efficiency and eliminate non-value added activities. The total cost savings associated with the program is expected to be in the range of \$7.0 to \$10.0 million in fiscal 2009.

Other Factors Influencing our Liquidity

As a result of the August 2009 refinancing transactions, our annual cash interest expense payable in respect of our outstanding debt securities will increase during the remainder of 2009 and the first nine months of 2010, since we expect to pay approximately \$40.3 million of annual cash interest on the 11.75% senior secured notes due 2015 and the remaining senior subordinated notes, compared to approximately \$29.8 million of annual cash interest on the previously outstanding senior secured floating rate notes and senior subordinated notes (based on the interest rates in effect prior to the August 2009 refinancing transactions and without giving effect to our interest rate collar).

Five of our stores, which generated approximately 2.0% of our net sales for fiscal 2008, have leases scheduled to expire before the end of fiscal 2010. While none of these leases have options to extend the term of the lease, we believe that we will be able to renew the expiring leases on economically favorable terms or, alternatively, find other economically attractive locations to lease.

As of September 26, 2009, approximately 5,000 of our approximately 6,800 employees were represented by various labor unions and were covered by collective bargaining agreements. Pursuant to the terms of the collective bargaining agreements covering these employees, we are required, in some instances, to pay specified annual increases in salary and benefits contributions relating to the member employees. We do not believe that these increases will have a material impact on our liquidity or results of operations. Our collective bargaining agreements with the RWDSU/Local 338 and Local 340A unions, who, on a combined basis represent approximately 4,600 of our employees in all of our stores, will expire on December 31, 2012.

Approximately 400 of our employees in our two distribution centers in Maspeth, Queens, NY and North Bergen, NJ are represented by Local 210, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs and Warehousemen, under a collective bargaining agreement that expires on August 31, 2011.

In June 2005, the appraisal panel in the World Trade Center insurance claim litigation determined the amount of our business interruption loss as a result of the events of September 11, 2001. This determination by the panel is subject to existing appeals and potentially additional appeals, and on June 22, 2005, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the decision of the trial court, with modifications, including modifications to certain of the legal tests on which the appraisal panel s decision was based. Based on this decision and related modifications, the appraisal panel revised its previously issued determination to require an additional \$5.6 million payment from the insurance carrier in addition to the \$9.9 million previously paid by the insurance carrier in 2002. As a result of the insurer s refusal to pay this amount and also as a result of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals interpretation of our insurance policy, in January 2007, we commenced another action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York to recover both the appraisal panel s award and additional amounts under the policy. In August 2007, the District Court entered judgment in the amount of \$0.8 million plus interest, and both parties appealed. The appeals have been briefed

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

and oral argument in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals has been held. However, due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, there can be no assurance that this appeal will be successful. See Note 12 to the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report for a more detailed explanation of this matter.

40

The following table presents details of our significant commitments and obligations as at September 26, 2009, except that the data provided with respect to operating leases is as of December 27, 2008:

		Payments due by Period					
		Within	Within	Within	After 5 years		
Contractual Cash Obligations	Total	1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years			
		(dollars in thousands)					
Long-Term Debt(1)	\$ 351,744	\$	\$ 51,709	\$	\$ 300,035		
Asset-Based Revolving Loan Facility(2)	112,404		112,404				
Capital Lease Obligations(3)	8,201	4,549	2,215	1,437			
Operating Leases(4)	1,602,254	145,772	290,147	275,236	891,099		
Closed Store Costs(5)	7,112	2,851	1,627	1,039	1,595		
Fixed Interest Payments(6)	221,584	40,292	75,542	70,500	35,250		
Variable Interest Payments(7)	6,500	3,583	2,917				
Severance Payments(8)	466	354	16	15	81		
Redeemable Preferred Stock(9)	164,400				164,400		
Redeemable Preferred Stock Dividends(9)	475,102				475,102		
Total Contractual Cash Obligations(10)	\$ 2,949,767	\$ 197,401	\$ 536,577	\$ 348,227	\$ 1,867,562		

- (1) These amounts include \$51.7 million of outstanding senior subordinated notes due in 2011, \$300.0 million of outstanding senior secured notes due in 2015 and \$35,000 outstanding under the senior convertible notes due in 2022.
- (2) At September 26, 2009, approximately \$112.4 million was outstanding and a further \$107.1 million was available for borrowing under our asset-based revolving loan facility. Obligations under this facility have been classified as current liabilities because cash receipts controlled by the lenders are used to reduce outstanding debt and we do not meet the criteria to classify the debt as long-term. However, this is not an indication that this credit facility is expected to be retired within the next year. We intend to continue to use this facility for our working capital needs through the date of its maturity in July 2011.
- (3) Please refer to Note 12 to the annual consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K for further detail on Capital Lease obligations.
- (4) Please refer to Note 18 to the annual consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K for further detail on Operating Lease obligations.
- (5) In the normal course of its business, we will close store locations and establish reserves for costs to be incurred in connection with such store closings. The balance of the reserve is expected to be utilized primarily for occupancy-related costs in the closed stores.
- (6) Reflects interest payable on the \$300.0 million outstanding senior secured notes and \$51.7 million outstanding senior subordinated notes.
- (7) The estimated interest payments for our variable rate debt are calculated using the outstanding balances and interest rates applicable as of September 26, 2009. Borrowings under our variable rate debt are primarily LIBOR-based. At September 26, 2009, we have a no cost interest rate collar initially designed to hedge interest rate variability on portions of our LIBOR-based borrowings. As a result of our August 2009 debt refinancing transactions, the no cost interest rate collar no longer qualified for hedge accounting and we reclassified the \$5.0 million balance of our accumulated other comprehensive loss into third quarter 2009 interest expense. At September 26, 2009, the LIBOR rate in effect was approximately 0.3%, which was below the minimum rate specified under the no cost collar. The fair value of the interest rate collar resulted in a hedge liability of \$5.0 million at September 26, 2009. We expect the hedge liability for the interest rate

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

collar will be reduced by approximately \$4.0 million during the next twelve months. We will also recognize any future changes in the fair value of the interest rate collar in our statement of operations. The potential payments due under the interest rate collar are not included in the above table since the actual payments will vary with LIBOR rates. This hedging arrangement expires on December 15, 2010.

- (8) Includes amounts owed to former employees and also reflects future health insurance premium payments specified under the employment contract with Mr. Cuti, which are required to be made by us in connection with his replacement on November 21, 2005. In an ongoing arbitration between Mr. Cuti and us (explained in more detail in Note 12 to the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report), we are seeking, among other forms of relief, rescission of employment agreements entered into between us and Mr. Cuti, the return of all compensation paid under the employment agreements, including the benefits described in this footnote, and other monetary damages.
- (9) The Series A redeemable preferred stock has a 12-year mandatory redemption date from the issuance date and provides for an annual cash dividend of 10% payable quarterly, subject to being declared by our Board of Directors. The Series B redeemable preferred stock has a mandatory redemption date of December 27, 2018 and provides for an annual cash dividend of 15% payable quarterly, subject to being declared by our Board of Directors. To the extent the dividends are not paid in cash, the dividends will cumulate on a quarterly basis to the extent not paid quarterly. The payments of these dividends are currently restricted under the agreements governing our outstanding indebtedness, so we have reflected the dividends in the table above as not being payable until the mandatory redemption date. Both series of preferred stock will be

41

immediately redeemable from time to time without penalty at our option, and we will also be required to redeem all outstanding shares of the preferred stock upon a change of control. Each of the 525,334 shares of Series A redeemable preferred stock is immediately redeemable without penalty, at our option prior to the mandatory redemption date, at a liquidation preference of \$75.00, per share plus any accrued but unpaid dividends as of the redemption date. Each of the 1,250,000 shares of Series B redeemable preferred stock is immediately redeemable without penalty, at our option prior to the mandatory redemption date, at a liquidation preference of \$100 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption subject to a minimum aggregate return on capital (including the value of the warrants) of two times the investment amount. We will not be required to redeem either series of preferred stock upon a change of control or on the mandatory redemption date, or pay cash dividends at any time when such redemption is expressly prohibited under the asset-based revolving loan facility.

(10) This table excludes contributions under our various multi-employer pension plans, which totaled \$3.2 million in 2008. The following table presents details of our other significant commercial commitments as at September 26, 2009:

		Amount of Commitment Expiration					
		per Period					
	Total Amounts	Within				After	
Other Commercial Commitments	Committed	1 year	1-3	years	3-5 years	5 years	
		(dollars in thousands)					
Standby Letters of Credit(1)	\$ 5,546	\$4,901	\$	645	\$	\$	
Total Commercial Commitments	\$ 5,546	\$ 4,901	\$	645	\$	\$	

(1) The standby letters of credit are renewed on an annual basis, unless otherwise requested by the beneficiary. We are party to multi-year, merchandise supply agreements in the normal course of business. The largest of these agreements is with AmerisourceBergen, our primary pharmaceutical supplier. Generally, these agreements provide for certain volume commitments and may be terminated by us, subject in some cases to specified termination payments, none of which we believe would constitute a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. It is the opinion of management that if any of these agreements were terminated or if any contracting party was to experience events precluding fulfillment of its obligations, we would be able to find a suitable alternative supplier.

In connection with the November 21, 2005 replacement of Mr. Cuti as our CEO, Mr. Cuti s employment contract specified payments to Mr. Cuti in cash totaling up to \$6.6 million. We have paid the full amount to Mr. Cuti. In addition, the employment contract provides for continued health insurance coverage for Mr. Cuti during the 25-month period following termination and, upon expiration of that period, if Mr. Cuti is not otherwise eligible under another employer s comparable medical plan, lifetime retiree medical benefits at a cost not to exceed \$50,000 annually. In an ongoing arbitration between Mr. Cuti and us (explained in more detail in Note 12 to the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report), we are seeking, among other forms of relief, rescission of employment agreements entered into between us and Mr. Cuti, the return of all compensation paid under the employment agreements, including the benefits described in this paragraph, and other monetary damages.

In connection with the Acquisition, Mr. Cuti was granted equity interests in Duane Reade Shareholders and Duane Reade Holdings, consisting of options to purchase shares of our common stock and a profits interest in Duane Reade Shareholders. Mr. Cuti s employment contract provides that, as a result of his replacement on November 21, 2005, he had the right to require us to purchase for cash, to be paid over a two year period, all or a portion of these equity interests as he may designate, at a value determined in accordance with a formula. In connection with his replacement, we have treated all of his equity interests as having vested. The options have expired unexercised, and the profits interest will have no value unless the value of Duane Reade Shareholders and Duane Reade Holdings, Inc., respectively, appreciate following the Acquisition. Mr. Cuti s purchase right will be suspended at any time when the exercise of such purchase rights would result in a default under the financing arrangements of Duane Reade Shareholders, Duane Reade Holdings or Duane Reade Inc. On December 21, 2005, Mr. Cuti provided notice to Duane Reade Inc. that he was exercising his repurchase right to cause us to repurchase 5% of his profits interest. Pursuant to the procedures outlined in the new employment agreement, Duane Reade Inc. has advised him that his profits interest as of December 21, 2005 was determined to have no value. The benefits described in this paragraph were all granted under an employment agreement between Mr. Cuti and us. In an ongoing arbitration between Mr. Cuti and us (explained in more detail in Note 12 to the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report), we are seeking, among other forms of relief, rescission of employment agreements entered into between us

Edgar Filing: DUANE READE REALTY INC - Form 424B3

and Mr. Cuti and the return of all compensation paid under the employment agreements, including the benefits described in this paragraph. The determination that Mr. Cuti s profits interest as of December 21, 2005 had no value is also one of several subjects specifically at issue in the arbitration.

In connection with the assignment of certain store leases to third parties during 2008 and 2007, we continue to provide secondary guarantees on the lease obligations for the assigned stores. The respective purchasers have assumed our obligations under

42

these leases and are primarily liable for these obligations. Although we believe it to be unlikely, assuming that each respective purchaser became insolvent, management estimates that we could settle these obligations for amounts substantially less than the aggregate obligation of \$25.4 million as of September 26, 2009. The obligations are for varying terms dependent upon the respective lease, the longest of which lasts through May 31, 2022.

Debt

Refer to Note 6 to the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report for a detailed description with respect to the various components of our debt structure and a discussion of our offers to purchase a portion of our outstanding debt.

Liquidity Assessment

Duane Reade Holdings is a holding company formed in connection with the Acquisition to hold the common stock of Duane Reade Inc. Duane Reade Holdings operates all of its business through Duane Reade Inc. and its subsidiaries and has no other independent assets, liabilities or operations. To the extent it has liquidity requirements, it will depend on distributions of cash from Duane Reade Inc., to the extent permitted by the various agreements to which Duane Reade Inc. is a party. Currently, we do not expect Duane Reade Holdings to have any material liquidity requirements.

We have incurred losses since the Acquisition date due primarily to the additional depreciation and amortization expense relating to the stepped-up fair value of our assets on the Acquisition date, and increased interest expense resulting from Acquisition indebtedness. We have an accumulated deficit of \$437.3 million at September 26, 2009. We have generated positive net cash flows from operations of \$15.4 million during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009, \$44.3 million in fiscal 2008, \$19.3 million in fiscal 2007 and \$11.6 million in fiscal 2006. During the third quarter of 2009, we completed a number of debt refinancing transactions which improved our financial flexibility and liquidity, reduced debt leverage, and provided long-term capital support for our ongoing business.

Our asset-based revolving loan facility contains a single fixed charge coverage requirement which only becomes applicable when borrowings exceed 90 percent of the borrowing base, as defined in the agreement governing the asset-based revolving loan facility. We have never been subject to the financial coverage requirement. Historically, our borrowings have never exceeded 90 percent of the borrowing base, and we do not expect to exceed this threshold during the remainder of 2009. If the fixed charge coverage ratio had been in effect during the 39 weeks ended September 26, 2009, we would have been in full compliance with the covenant.

We intend to refinance or purchase the remaining \$51.7 million of outstanding senior subordinated notes due 2011 prior to their maturity date. There can be no assurance that such refinancing or purchase will actually take place.

As a result of the August 2009 debt refinancing transactions, our annual cash interest expense payable in respect of our outstanding debt securities will increase during the remainder of 2009 and the first nine months of 2010, since we expect to pay approximately \$40.3 million of annual cash interest on the initial notes and the remaining senior subordinated notes, compared to approximately \$29.8 million of annual cash interest on the previously outstanding senior secured floating rate notes and senior subordinated notes (based on the interest rates in effect prior to the August 2009 debt refinancing transactions and without giving effect to our interest rate collar).

As a result of the amendment of our asset-based revolving loan facility, the rate of interest payable under our asset-based revolving loan facility is expected to increase, since the applicable margins on LIBOR-based loans increased from a range of 1.00% to 2.00% to a range of 2.25% to 3.25%, and the applicable margins on prime rate loans increased from a range of 0.00% to 0.50% to a range of 1.25% to 1.75%. Also, line fees increased from 0.30% to 0.50% per year.

We believe that, based on current levels of operations and anticipated growth, cash flow from operations, together with other available sources of funds, including revolving loan borrowings under the asset-based revolving loan facility, will be adequate to make required payments on our indebtedness, to fund anticipated capital expenditures and to satisfy our working capital requirements for fiscal 2009. We base this belief on our recent levels of cash flow from operations, projected improvements in working capital management, anticipated levels of capital expenditures, the available borrowing capacity under the \$225.0 million asset-based revolving loan facility, which was approximately \$107.1 million at September 26, 2009, and the improved financial flexibility and liquidity resulting from our August 2009 debt refinancing transactions. Our stockholders deficit is expected to increase in 2009 due to continued losses; however, this deficit does not impact our liquidity as noted above and has no impact on our debt covenants.

Our ability to meet our debt service obligations and reduce or refinance our total debt will depend upon our future performance and financial condition, credit market conditions and the availability of financing which, in turn, will be subject to general economic, financial, business,

competitive, legislative, regulatory and other conditions, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, our operating results, cash flow and capital resources may not be sufficient for repayment of our indebtedness in the future. Some risks that could adversely affect our ability to meet our debt service obligations include, but are not limited to, reductions in third party prescription reimbursement rates, declines in the New York City economy, increases in competitive activity, adverse changes in vendor credit terms, changes in drug consumption patterns, additional adverse legislative changes or a major disruption of business in our markets from a terrorist event, natural disaster or other unexpected events. Other factors that may adversely affect our ability to service our debt are described above under Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

We or our affiliates may, from time to time, seek to retire or purchase our outstanding debt in open market purchases, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise. Such extinguishment or purchase of debt may be funded from the operating cash flows of the business or other sources and will depend upon prevailing market conditions, liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors, and the amounts involved may be material.

43

During 2006, we extended the maturity of the asset-based revolving loan facility from July 21, 2008 to July 21, 2011. As a condition of this extension, we were required to refinance any outstanding amounts under the \$210.0 million of senior secured floating rate notes not later than 120 days prior to their maturity date of December 15, 2010. This condition precedent is deemed to have been satisfied due to the successful completion of our August 2009 debt refinancing transactions.

Borrowings under the asset-based revolving loan facility bear interest at floating rates. Therefore, our financial condition will be affected by changes in prevailing interest rates. On April 30, 2008, we entered into a hedging transaction through the acquisition of a no cost collar. Under this arrangement, we capped our exposure on \$210.0 million of LIBOR-based borrowings at a maximum LIBOR rate of 5.2%. In addition, we established a minimum floor LIBOR rate of 2.6%, in line with then current LIBOR rates. At September 26, 2009, the LIBOR rate in effect was approximately 0.3%, which was below the minimum rate specified under the no cost collar. As a result, the no cost collar increased the effective annual interest rate on our LIBOR-based borrowings from approximately 3.2% to approximately 7.5%. This hedging arrangement expires on December 15, 2010.

There are no credit ratings related triggers in the asset-based revolving loan facility that would impact the cost of borrowing, annual amortization of principal or related indebtedness maturity.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion of results of operations and financial condition relies on our consolidated financial statements that are prepared based on certain critical accounting policies that require management to make judgments and estimates that are subject to varying degrees of uncertainty. We believe that investors need to be aware of these policies and how they impact our financial reporting to gain a more complete understanding of our consolidated financial statements as a whole, as well as our related discussion and analysis presented herein. While we believe that these accounting policies are grounded on sound measurement criteria, actual future events can and often do result in outcomes that can be materially different from these estimates or forecasts. The accounting policies and related risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2008 are those that depend most heavily on these judgments and estimates. At September 26, 2009, there have been no material changes to any of the Critical Accounting Policies contained therein.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any agreements with, or commitments to, any special purpose entities that would constitute material off-balance sheet financing other than the items listed above.

Seasonality

In general, sales of drugstore items such as prescription drugs, over-the-counter drugs and health and beauty care products exhibit limited seasonality in the aggregate, but do vary by product category. Quarterly results are primarily affected by the timing of certain holidays, the timing of new store openings and the sale of seasonal products, with the Christmas holiday season normally generating a higher proportion of sales and earnings than other periods.

Inflation

We believe that inflation has not had a material impact on our results of operations during the three years ended December 27, 2008 or the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 2 of the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report for a detailed description of various recently issued accounting pronouncements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our financial results are subject to risk from interest rate fluctuations on the portion of our debt that carries variable interest rates. Variable rate debt outstanding at September 26, 2009 included \$112.4 million of borrowings under our asset-based revolving loan facility. At September 26, 2009, the weighted average combined interest rate in effect on all variable rate debt outstanding, including the effect of our no cost collar as

detailed below, was approximately 7.5%. A 0.50% change in interest rates applied to the \$112.4 million balance of floating rate debt would affect pre-tax annual results of operations by approximately \$0.6 million, excluding the impact, if any, of the no cost collar. In addition, we also have \$300.0 million of senior secured notes, \$51.7 million of senior subordinated notes, and \$35.0 thousand of senior convertible notes outstanding at September 26, 2009. The senior secured notes bear interest payable semi-annually at a fixed rate of 11.75% and the senior subordinated notes bear interest

Table of Contents

payable semi-annually at a fixed rate of 9.75% and therefore neither is subject to risk from interest rate fluctuations. The senior convertible notes accrete interest at an annual fixed rate of 3.75% and are also not subject to interest rate fluctuations. See Note 6 to the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report for a detailed description with respect to the various components of our debt structure.

On April 30, 2008, we entered into a hedging transaction through the acquisition of a no cost collar. Under this arrangement, we capped our exposure on \$210.0 million of LIBOR-based borrowings at a maximum LIBOR rate of 5.2%. In addition, we established a minimum floor LIBOR rate of 2.6%, in line with then current LIBOR rates. As a result of our August 2009 debt refinancing transactions, the interest rate collar no longer qualified for hedge accounting and we reclassified the \$5.0 million balance of our accumulated other comprehensive loss into third quarter 2009 interest expense. At September 26, 2009, the LIBOR rate in effect was approximately 0.3%, which was below the minimum rate specified under the no cost collar. As a result, the no cost collar increased the effective annual interest rate on our LIBOR-based borrowings from approximately 3.2% to approximately 7.5%. The calculation of the fair value of the no cost collar resulted in a hedge liability of \$5.0 million at September 26, 2009. This hedging arrangement expires on December 15, 2010.

The principal objective of our investment management activities is to maintain acceptable levels of interest rate and liquidity risk to facilitate our funding needs. As part of our risk management, we may continue to use derivative financial products such as interest rate hedges and interest rate swaps in the future.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be reported in our Exchange Act filings is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the timeframes specified and pursuant to the regulations of the SEC, including controls and procedures designed to ensure that this information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. It should be noted that because of inherent limitations, our disclosure controls and procedures, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as at the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of that date, our disclosure controls and procedures required by paragraph (b) of the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15, were effective.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the third quarter ended September 26, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

Refer to Note 12 to the Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report for a detailed description of the various legal proceedings in which we are involved.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factor has been revised to disclose recent developments in the First Data Bank and Medi-Span litigation:

Changes in reimbursement levels for certain prescription drugs continue to reduce the profitability of our pharmacy operations and could have a material adverse effect on our overall performance.

During the fiscal years ended December 27, 2008, December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006 and the thirty-nine weeks ended September 26, 2009 and September 27, 2008, we were wholly or partially reimbursed by third-party plans for approximately 93.4%, 93.0%, 92.8%, 94.1% and 93.3%, respectively, of the prescription drugs that we sold. The percentage of prescription sales reimbursed by third-party plans has been increasing, and we expect that percentage to continue to increase. Prescription sales reimbursed by third-party plans, including Medicare and Medicaid plans, have lower reimbursement rates than other pharmacy sales. Third-party plans may not increase reimbursement rates sufficiently to offset expected increases in prescription acquisition costs, thereby adversely affecting our profitability. In addition, continued increases in co-payments by third-party plans may result in decreases in prescription usage.

In particular, Medicare and Medicaid programs are subject to statutory and regulatory changes, retroactive and prospective reimbursement rate adjustments, administrative rulings, executive orders and freezes and funding restrictions, all of which may significantly impact our pharmacy operations. For the 2008 fiscal year, approximately 27% of our total prescription sales were paid for by Medicaid or Medicare. Over the last several years, a number of states experiencing budget deficits have moved to reduce Medicaid prescription reimbursement rates. Over the past several years, New York State reduced Medicaid and EPIC prescription reimbursement rates, adversely impacting our pharmacy profitability. Under the New York State Medicaid Program, reimbursement for a multiple source prescription drug for which a federal upper limit has been set by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) will be in an amount equal to the specific federal upper limit for the multiple source prescription drug. For a multiple source prescription drug or a brand name prescription drug for which no specific federal upper limit has been set, the lower of the estimated cost of each drug to pharmacies or the dispensing pharmacy s usual and customary price charged to the public will be applied.

In July 2007, CMS issued a final rule that may negatively affect our level of reimbursements for certain generic drugs by setting an upper limit on the amount of reimbursement for such drugs based on the Average Manufacturer Price. As a result of a lawsuit brought by the National Association of Chain Drug Stores and the National Community Pharmacists Association to challenge the implementation of the new rule, a federal court temporarily enjoined the implementation of the new rule pending the outcome of the lawsuit and the lawsuit remains pending. In July 2008, Congress enacted H.R. 6331, the Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008 (MIPPA). The former President Bush subsequently vetoed MIPPA and, on July 15, 2008, Congress overrode the veto. MIPPA delayed the implementation of the use of Average Manufacturer Price with respect to payments made by State Medicaid Plans for multiple source generic drugs until September 30, 2009. MIPPA also prohibited the Secretary of Health and Human Services from making public any Average Manufacturer Price information that was previously disclosed to the Secretary of Health and Human Services. The outcomes of the lawsuit and the impact of MIPPA are uncertain at this time, so we currently are unable to determine what effect the new rule will ultimately have on our business.

The Medicare Modernization Act created a Medicare Part D benefit that expanded Medicare coverage of prescription drugs for senior citizens as well as for certain—dual eligible—individuals that were previously covered under state administered Medicaid plans. This Medicare coverage has resulted in decreased pharmacy margins resulting from lower reimbursement rates than our current margins on state Medicaid prescriptions. The Medicare Part D program has grown rapidly since taking effect in 2006. The program—s growth may continue as more seniors have become eligible and enroll for the coverage.

In recent years, Congress has passed a number of federal laws that have created major changes in the healthcare system. In December 2000, the Medicare, Medicaid and SCHIP Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000, or BIPA, was signed into law. Generally, BIPA addressed attempts to modify the calculation of average wholesale prices of drugs, or AWPs, upon which Medicare and Medicaid pharmacy reimbursement has been based. The federal government has been actively investigating whether pharmaceutical manufacturers have been improperly manipulating average wholesale prices, and several pharmaceutical manufacturers have paid significant civil and criminal penalties to resolve litigation relating to allegedly improper practices affecting AWP. In October 2006, in connection with a class action filed in the United States District for the District of Massachusetts, First Data Bank, which is one of two primary sources of AWP price reporting, announced that it had entered into a settlement agreement related to its reporting of average wholesale prices, subject to final court approval. Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, First Data Bank agreed to reduce the reported AWP of certain drugs by four percent and to discontinue the publishing of AWP at a future time. In May 2007, in connection with a separate class action filed in the same court, Medi-Span, the other primary source of average wholesale price reporting, entered into a similar settlement agreement, also subject to final court approval.

In January 2008, the court denied approval of the First Data Bank and Medi-Span settlements as proposed. Subsequently, new settlement agreements were submitted to the court. On March 17, 2009, the court issued an order approving the revised settlements. Some parties appealed the court s ruling. On September 3, 2009, the Court of Appeals affirmed the decision of the District Court approving the settlements. Pursuant to the settlements, as approved, First Data Bank and Medi-Span have agreed to reduce the reported AWP for certain drugs by four percent. Separately, and not pursuant to the settlement agreements, First Data Bank and Medi-Span have indicated that they will also reduce the reported AWP for a large number of additional drugs not covered by the settlement agreements and that they intend to discontinue the reporting of AWP in the future. The settlement agreements became effective on September 26, 2009.

A number of contracts with third-party plans contain provisions that allow us to adjust our pricing to maintain the relative economics of the contract in light of a change in AWP methodology. Most of our contracts with both private and governmental plans also include provisions that allow us to terminate the contracts unilaterally, either because parties cannot renegotiate our pricing to account for the change in AWP methodology or for any reason upon 30 to 90 days notice. While we are currently negotiating with many of the various governmental and non-governmental third-party plans for adjustments relating to the expected changes to AWP, we cannot be certain that these negotiations will be successful. In addition, the New York State Legislature is currently considering legislation that would modify the formula upon which New York Medicaid bases its reimbursement rates, which, if passed, will move pharmacy reimbursement rates with respect to Medicaid at or near the pre-settlement levels. However, at this time there is no assurance that this legislation would be ultimately passed by the New York State Legislature or if passed, will be in its current form. In late September 2009, New York Medicaid began to implement the AWP reductions. Although there is some uncertainty due to the pending legislation at the New York State Legislature, we expect a moderate impact on the profitability of our pharmacy operations in the remainder of fiscal 2009 and a more significant impact in fiscal 2010.

For additional information regarding factors that could affect our results of operations, financial position and liquidity, see the risk factors discussion provided in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2008, as updated by Exhibit 99.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 15, 2009. See also Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements above.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. Other Information

On November 4, 2009, the Company increased the maximum number of shares in respect of which stock options may be granted under the Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. Management Stock Option Plan from 575,893 shares of its common stock to 750,000 shares of its common stock. The Company expects to satisfy the exercise of stock options granted under the Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. Management Stock Plan by utilizing newly-issued shares.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No. Description

31.1* Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 Certification of the Company s Chief Executive Officer (CEO).

31.2* Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 Certification of the Company s Chief Financial Officer (CFO).

32** Sarbanes-Oxley Section 906 Certifications of the Company s CEO and CFO.

- * Filed herewith
- ** Furnished herewith

47

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 10, 2009

DUANE READE HOLDINGS, INC.

(Registrant)

/s/ John A. Lederer

John A. Lederer

Chief Executive Officer, Chairman of the Board and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ JOHN K. HENRY

John K. Henry

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)

48

Exhibit 31.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I,	Johr	ı A.	Ledere	r, ce	ertify	that:
----	------	------	--------	-------	--------	-------

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. for the fiscal quarter ended September 26, 2009;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the periods covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our
 conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly
 report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 10, 2009

By: /s/ John A. Lederer
 John A. Lederer
 Chief Executive Officer, Chairman of the Board
 and Director
 (Principal Executive Officer)

Exhibit 31.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

- I, John K. Henry, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Duane Reade Holdings, Inc. for the fiscal quarter ended September 26, 2009;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the periods covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our
 conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly
 report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 10, 2009

By: /s/ John K. Henry
John K. Henry
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)

Exhibit 32

CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The certifications set forth below are being submitted in connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 26, 2009 (the Report) for the purpose of complying with Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

John A. Lederer, the Chief Executive Officer and John K. Henry, the Chief Financial Officer of Duane Reade Holdings, Inc., each certifies that:

- 1. the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act; and
- 2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Duane Reade Holdings, Inc.

/s/ JOHN A. LEDERER
John A. Lederer
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ JOHN K. HENRY John K. Henry Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 10, 2009