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Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements for purposes of federal and state securities laws, including, but not limited to, any projections of earnings, revenue or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations; any statements concerning proposed new services or developments; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; any statements of belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing.

Forward-looking statements may include the words may, could, will, estimate, intend, continue, believe, expect or anticipate or words. These forward-looking statements present our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this report. Except for our ongoing obligation to disclose material information as required by the federal securities laws, we do not intend, and undertake no obligation, to update any forward-looking statement.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in any of our forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially from those projected or assumed in any of our forward-looking statements. Our future financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to change and inherent risks and uncertainties. Some of the key factors impacting these risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:
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risks related to our history of operating losses, our substantial indebtedness or our ability to raise capital;
provisions of the agreements governing our debt instruments that may restrict the operation of our business;
cancellations or reductions of advertising, whether due to a general economic downturn or otherwise;
our relationship with Univision Communications Inc.;
the overall success of our acquisition strategy, which includes developing media clusters in key U.S. Hispanic markets, and the integration of any acquired assets with our existing business;
the impact of rigorous competition in Spanish-language media and in the advertising industry generally; and
industry-wide market factors and regulatory and other developments affecting our operations.
For a detailed description of these and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement, please see the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 27 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
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PART I

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ENTRAVISION COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2006 \\ \text { (Unaudited) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 20,258 | \$ 65,610 |
| Trade receivables (including related parties of \$4 and \$14), net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5,346 and \$5,073 | 66,635 | 61,215 |
| Assets held for sale | 76,260 | 69,454 |
| Deferred income taxes | 36,500 | 36,500 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets (including related parties of \$1,077 and \$691) | 7,337 | 7,164 |
| Total current assets | 206,990 | 239,943 |
| Property and equipment, net | 147,868 | 152,114 |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization, net (included related parties of \$35,962 and \$37,122) | 99,262 | 108,532 |
| Intangible assets not subject to amortization | 741,748 | 843,332 |
| Goodwill | 229,597 | 385,833 |
| Other assets | 21,687 | 13,405 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,447,152 | \$ 1,743,159 |

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
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| Class U common stock, $\$ 0.0001$ par value, $40,000,000$ shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding 2006 | 2 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $17,352,729$ and $200536,926,600$ | $1,050,680$ | $1,185,312$ |
| Additional paid-in capital | $(312,271)$ | $(156,392)$ |
| Accumulated deficit | 738,421 | $1,028,933$ |
| Treasury stock, Class A common stock, $\$ 0.0001$ par value, 2006 and 20055,101 shares | $1,028,933$ |  |
| Total stockholders equity | 738,421 |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | $\$ 1,447,152$ | $\$ 1,743,159$ |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
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## ENTRAVISION COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

## (In thousands, except share and per share data)
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## ENTRAVISION COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

## (In thousands)

|  | Six-Month Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $$ |  |  |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Net loss | \$ $(155,879)$ |  | \$ (257) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 22,218 |  | 23,052 |
| Impairment charge | 189,661 |  |  |
| Deferred income taxes | $(2,431)$ |  | 219 |
| Amortization of debt issue costs | 200 |  | 1,196 |
| Amortization of syndication contracts | 56 |  | 30 |
| Payments on syndication contracts | (50) |  |  |
| Equity in net loss of nonconsolidated affiliate | 113 |  | 195 |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation | 1,635 |  | 357 |
| Gain on sale of media properties and other assets | $(17,661)$ |  |  |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements | $(8,960)$ |  |  |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions and dispositions: |  |  |  |
| Increase in accounts receivable | $(3,820)$ |  | $(8,487)$ |
| Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets | (856) |  | $(1,769)$ |
| Decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | $(4,679)$ |  | (514) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 19,547 |  | 14,022 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment and intangibles | 13 |  | 37 |
| Purchases of property and equipment and intangibles | $(12,811)$ |  | $(27,166)$ |
| Deposits on acquisitions | $(4,573)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from collection of note receivable | 1,288 |  |  |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(16,083)$ |  | $(27,129)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 2,821 |  | 672 |
| Payments on long-term debt | $(11,644)$ |  | $(1,063)$ |
| Repurchase of Class U common stock | $(51,100)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from borrowings on long-term debt | 11,000 |  |  |
| Excess tax benefits from exercise of stock options | 107 |  |  |
| Net cash used in financing activities | $(48,816)$ |  | (391) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | $(45,352)$ |  | $(13,498)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents: |  |  |  |
| Beginning | 65,610 |  | 46,969 |
| Ending | \$ 20,258 |  | \$ 33,471 |
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$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|c}\text { Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: } & & \\ \text { Cash payments for: } & \$ & 15,805 \\ \text { Interest } & \$ & 15,685 \\ & \$ & 2,260 \\ \text { Income taxes } & \$ & 872 \\ & & \\ \hline \text { Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities: } & \$ & 89 \\ \text { Property and equipment included in accounts payable } & \$ & 101 \\ \text { Intangibles included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities } & 582 & \$ \\ \text { Sale of San Francisco/San Jose radio station assets in exchange for Class U common stock } & \$ 90,000 & \$ \\ \text { Exchange of television assets in the McAllen, Texas market } & \$ & 1,543\end{array}\right) \$$
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## ENTRAVISION COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

JUNE 30, 2006

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

## Presentation

The consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Entravision Communications Corporation (the Company ), without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005 included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The unaudited information contained herein has been prepared on the same basis as the Company s audited consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of the Company s management, includes all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the information for the periods presented. The interim results presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for the full fiscal year ending December 31, 2006 or any other future period. Certain amounts in our prior period consolidated financial statements and notes to the financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

## 2. THE COMPANY AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Related Party

Univision currently owns approximately $15 \%$ of the Company s common stock on a fully-converted basis. In connection with Univision s merger with Hispanic Broadcasting Corporation in September 2003, Univision entered into an agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice, pursuant to which Univision agreed, among other things, to ensure that its percentage ownership of the Company will not exceed $10 \%$ by March 26, 2009.

Our Class U common stock held by Univision has limited voting rights and does not include the right to elect directors. However, as the holder of all of the Company s issued and outstanding Class $U$ common stock, Univision currently has the right to approve any merger, consolidation or other business combination involving the Company, any dissolution of the Company and any assignment of the Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, licenses for any of the Company s Univision-affiliated television stations. Each share of Class U common stock is automatically convertible into one share (subject to adjustment for stock splits, dividends or combinations) of the Company s Class A common stock in connection with any transfer of Class $U$ common stock to a third party that is not an affiliate of Univision.

In January 2006, the Company sold the assets of radio stations KBRG-FM and KLOK-AM, serving the San Francisco/San Jose, California market, to Univision for $\$ 90$ million. The full amount of the purchase price was paid in the form of $12,573,871$ shares of the Company s Class U common stock held by Univision based on the ten day volume weighted average share price of $\$ 7.1577$ between December 15, 2005 and December 29, 2005. The Company realized a gain on sale of $\$ 10.5$ million, net of tax of $\$ 7$ million.

In March 2006, the Company repurchased seven million shares of Class $U$ common stock held by Univision for $\$ 51.1$ million. In July 2006, the Company repurchased one hundred seventy five thousand shares of Class $U$ common stock held by Univision for $\$ 1.4$ million.

Univision provides network compensation and acts as the Company s exclusive sales representative for the sale of all national advertising aired on Univision-affiliate television stations. During the three-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the amount paid by the Company to Univision in this capacity was, $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 2.5$ million, respectively. During the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the amount paid by the Company to Univision in this capacity was, $\$ 5.1$ million and $\$ 4.3$ million, respectively.
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## Stock-Based Compensation

## Employee Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, ( SFAS 123R ) which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all stock-based awards made to employees and directors including employee stock options and employee stock purchases under the 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Purchase Plan ) based on estimated fair values. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for those plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25 ) and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ( SFAS 123 ). In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB ) No. 107 ( SAB 107 ) providing supplemental implementation guidance for SFAS 123R. The Company has applied the provisions of SAB 107 in the adoption of SFAS 123R.

SFAS 123R requires companies to estimate the fair value of stock-based awards on the date of grant using an option pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method. Under that transition method, compensation cost recognized in the three- and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 include: (i) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123, and (ii) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R.

As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Company s results is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred for the purposes of pro forma information under SFAS 123 for the related periods.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company selected the Black-Scholes option pricing model as the most appropriate method for determining the estimated fair value for stock-based awards. The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires the use of highly subjective and complex assumptions which determine the fair value of stock-based awards, including the option s expected term, expected volatility of the underlying stock, risk-free rate, and expected dividends.

On November 10, 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. FAS 123R-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards ( FSP 123R-3) that allows for a simplified method to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool ( APIC Pool ) related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC Pool and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123R. The Company has elected to adopt the simplified method to establish the beginning balance of the APIC Pool related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC Pool and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123R.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to account for all stock-based compensation plans, including employee stock option plans and the Purchase Plan, using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS 123, which does not require compensation to be recorded if the consideration to be received is at least equal to the fair value of the common stock to be issued at the measurement date. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method the Company used in adopting SFAS 123R, the results of operations prior to January 1, 2006 have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the possible impact of SFAS 123R.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company presented all tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. Excess tax benefits are realized tax benefits from tax deductions for exercised options in excess of the deferred tax asset attributable to stock-based compensation costs. SFAS 123R requires cash flows resulting from excess tax benefits to be classified as financing cash flows. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, the total excess tax benefits reflected as a financing cash inflow was $\$ 0.1$ million.
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As a result of adopting SFAS 123R, the Company s operating income, income before income taxes and net income applicable to common stockholders for the three-month period ended June 30,2006 are $\$ 0.5$ million, $\$ 0.5$ million and $\$ 0.3$ million, respectively, lower than if the Company had continued to account for stock-based compensation under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB 25 and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS 123. The Company s operating income, income before income taxes and net income applicable to common stockholders for the six-month period ended June 30,2006 are $\$ 1.0$ million, $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 0.6$ million, respectively, lower than if the Company had continued to account for stock-based compensation under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB 25 and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS 123. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 would not have changed if the Company had not adopted SFAS 123R.

Cash received from options exercised under all share-based payment arrangements for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 2.8$ million. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from option exercise of the share-based payment arrangements for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 0.1$ million.

## Equity Incentive Plans

In May 2004, the Company adopted its 2004 Equity Incentive Plan ( 2004 Plan ), which replaced its 2000 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan ( 2000 Plan ). The 2000 Plan had allowed for the award of up to $11,500,000$ shares of Class A common stock. The 2004 Plan allows for the award of up to $10,000,000$ shares of Class A common stock, plus any grants remaining available at its adoption date under the 2000 Plan. Awards under the 2004 Plan may be in the form of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock or stock units. The 2004 Plan is administered by a committee appointed by the Board. This committee determines the type, number, vesting requirements and other features and conditions of such awards. Generally, stock options granted from the 2000 Plan have a contractual term of ten years from the date of the grant and vest over four or five years and stock options granted from the 2004 Plan have a contractual term of ten years from the date of the grant and vest over four years.

The Company has issued stock options to various employees and non-employee directors of the Company in addition to non-employee service providers under both the 2004 Plan and the 2000 Plan.

The fair value of each stock option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company s stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model. The expected term of stock options granted is the mid-point of the contractual life and the vesting periods of the stock options. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the stock option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The fair value of each stock option granted or modified was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

|  | Six-Month Period <br> Ended June 30, <br> 3005 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Expected volatility | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $59 \%$ |
| Risk-free interest rate | $4.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |  |
| Expected lives | 6.9 years | 6.0 years |  |
| Dividend rate | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

The following is a summary of stock option activity: (in thousands, except exercise price data and contractual life data):

| Options | Number | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise Price | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual <br> Life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 10,969 | \$ 10.75 |  |  |
| Granted |  |  |  |  |
| Exercised | (44) | 7.65 |  |  |
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The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 0.3$ million.

Stock-based compensation expense related to the Company s employee stock option plans was $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 0.9$ million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

As of June 30, 2006, there was approximately $\$ 1.3$ million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to the Company s employee stock option plans that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.2 years.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and net loss per share had employee compensation costs for the stock-based compensation plan been determined based on grant date fair values of awards under the provisions of SFAS No. 123, for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005. (in thousands, except per share data):

|  | Three-Month Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ended June 30, |  | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2005 |  |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 4,231 | \$ | (257) |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all awards, net of related tax effects |  | $(2,472)$ |  | $(4,944)$ |
| Pro forma | \$ | 1,759 | \$ | $(5,201)$ |
| Net income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders, basic and diluted |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.00 |
| Pro forma | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | (0.04) |

## Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company s 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Purchase Plan ) provides that the maximum number of shares of Class A common stock that will be made available for sale under the Purchase Plan is 600,000 , plus an annual increase of 600,000 shares on the first day of each of the ten calendar years beginning in 2002. All of the Company s employees are eligible to participate in the Purchase Plan, provided that they have completed six months of continuous service as an employee as of an offering date. Under the terms of the Purchase Plan, employees may elect to have up to $15 \%$ of their compensation withheld to purchase shares during each offering period. There are two offering periods annually under the Purchase Plan, one which commences on February 15 and concludes on August 14, and the other which commences on August 15 and concludes on the following February 14. The purchase price of the stock is $85 \%$ of the lower of the day preceding the beginning-of-period or end-of-period closing market price. Since the inception of the Purchase Plan through June 30, 2006, 511,201 shares have been purchased. Stock-based compensation expense related to the Purchase Plan for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 70$ thousand and $\$ 108$ thousand, respectively.

## Nonemployee Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to May 1, 2006 the Company accounted for stock-based compensation expense related to the fair value of stock options granted to nonemployee service providers as liabilities, based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model on the date of grant and remeasured at each reporting date pursuant to the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services ( EITF 96-18) and EITF No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company s Own Stock ( EITF 00-19 ). On May 1, 2006, the Company entered into an amendment
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to the 2004 Plan that resulted in the reclassification of the fair value of vested stock options granted to nonemployee service providers previously classified as liabilities to equity as additional paid-in capital. The aggregate fair value of vested nonemployee stock-based awards reclassified to equity at the amendment date was $\$ 2.5$ million. Beginning on May 1,2006 , the Company accounts for stock-based compensation expense related to the fair value of unvested stock options granted to nonemployee service providers as equity, based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model as the underlying options vest, in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R and EITF 96-18.

For the three-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized $\$(0.3)$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million of nonemployee stock-based compensation for nonemployee stock option awards, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million of nonemployee stock-based compensation for nonemployee stock option awards, respectively.

## Income (Loss) Per Share

The following table illustrates the reconciliation of the basic and diluted income per share computations required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128 Earnings Per Share (in thousands, except share and per share data):

|  | Three-Month Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Income (Numerator) | ded June 30, 2005 <br> Shares <br> (Denominator) | Per Share |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |  |
| Net income applicable to common stockholders | \$ 4,231 | 124,268,627 | \$ 0.03 |
| Dilutive securities |  |  |  |
| Stock options and employee stock purchase plan |  | 186,144 |  |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |
| Net income applicable to common stockholders | \$ 4,231 | 124,454,771 | \$ 0.03 |

Basic income per share is computed as net income applicable to common stockholders divided by weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted loss per share reflects the potential dilution, if any, that could occur from shares issuable through stock options and the Purchase Plan.

For the three-month period ended June 30, 2006, all dilutive securities have been excluded as their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect on loss per share. For the three-month period ended June 30, 2006, the securities whose conversion would result in an incremental number of shares that would be included in determining the weighted average shares outstanding for diluted earnings per share if their effect was not anti-dilutive was 166,443 equivalent shares of stock options. For the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, a total of $10,013,434$ stock options were not included in the computation of diluted income per share because the exercise prices of the options were greater than the average market price of the common shares.

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, all dilutive securities have been excluded as their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect on loss per share. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, the securities whose conversion would result in an incremental number of shares that would be included in determining the weighted average shares outstanding for diluted earnings per share if their effect was not anti-dilutive is 85,574 equivalent shares of stock options. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, the securities whose conversion would result in an incremental number of shares that would be included in determining the weighted average shares outstanding for diluted earnings per share if their effect was not anti-dilutive is 224,634 equivalent shares of stock options.

## Disposition of Assets

In January 2006, the Company sold the assets of radio stations KBRG-FM and KLOK-AM, serving the San Francisco/San Jose, California market, to Univision for $\$ 90$ million. The full amount of the purchase price was paid in the form of $12,573,871$ shares of the Company s Class $U$ common stock held by Univision based on the ten day volume weighted average share price of $\$ 7.1577$ between December 15, 2005 and December 29, 2005. The Company realized a gain on sale of $\$ 10.5$ million, net of tax of $\$ 7$ million. The Company had a loss of approximately
$\$ 1.7$ million in the second
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quarter of 2006 related to the closure of the San Francisco/San Jose facility. The assets sold do not constitute a component set under SFAS No. 144 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets ( SFAS 144 ); therefore, there is no presentation of discontinued operations.

The assets in the San Francisco/San Jose, California market were classified as assets held for sale at December 31, 2005 and were no longer being depreciated or amortized. Summarized balance sheet data for assets held for sale at December 31, 2005 was as follows (in millions):

| Property and equipment, net | $\$ 1.8$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Land | 4.9 |
| Other intangibles subject to amortization, net | 2.5 |
| FCC licenses not subject to amortization | 60.3 |

## Acquisition of Assets

In February 2006, the Company acquired the assets of television stations KTIZ-LP, KSFE-LP, KLIA-LP and KFTN-LP in the McAllen, Texas market for a total fair value of $\$ 2.3$ million in exchange for approximately $\$ 0.8$ million in cash and the assets of television station KTFV-CA in the McAllen, Texas market.

The Company evaluated the transferred set of activities, assets, inputs, outputs and processes in this acquisition and disposition and determined that the acquisition and disposition did not constitute a business.

## Subsequent Events

In July 2006, the Company purchased a full power television construction permit in Derby, Kansas for $\$ 2.7$ million in an auction held by the FCC.

In July 2006, the Company acquired the assets of radio station KBOC-FM in Dallas, Texas for a total of $\$ 16.6$ million.

## Pending Transactions

In March 2006, the Company agreed to purchase a full power television construction permit in Colorado Springs, Colorado for $\$ 2.6$ million in an auction held by the FCC. The Company made a $\$ 0.6$ million deposit and currently expects to receive final approval during the third quarter of 2006.

In April 2006, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to acquire the assets of television station KNEZ-LP serving the Laredo, Texas market for approximately $\$ 1.4$ million. This transaction is currently expected to close during the third quarter of 2006.

In May 2006, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell the assets of radio station KZLZ-FM serving the Tucson, Arizona market for approximately $\$ 4.8$ million. The assets sold do not constitute a component set under SFAS 144; therefore, there is no presentation of discontinued operations. The assets of $\$ 3.3$ million for the Tucson, Arizona market were classified as assets held for sale as of June 30, 2006 and were no longer being depreciated or amortized. This transaction is currently expected to close during the third quarter of 2006.

In August 2006, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell the assets of all of its radio stations serving the Dallas, Texas market for $\$ 95$ million. As of June 30, 2006, the Company determined that the plan of sale criteria in SFAS 144 had been met. The carrying value of the assets has been adjusted to fair value less costs to sell, resulting in an impairment charge of $\$ 16$ million, net of tax of $\$ 11$ million. The assets sold do not constitute a component set under SFAS 144; therefore, there is no presentation of discontinued operations. This transaction is currently expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2006.

The assets in the Dallas, Texas market were classified as assets held for sale as of June 30, 2006 and were no longer being depreciated or amortized. Summarized balance sheet data for assets held for sale as of June 30, 2006 was as follows (in millions):
Property and equipment, net ..... \$ 2.5
Land ..... 0.5
Other intangibles subject to amortization, net ..... 0.4
FCC licenses ..... 69.6
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## Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and indefinite life intangibles are not amortized but are tested annually for impairment, or more frequently, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired. In the definitive agreement to sell the Dallas radio assets, the sales price was less than the carrying value, which indicated that other radio assets might be impaired. As a result of the pending sale of the Dallas radio assets, the Company decided to conduct a valuation of the radio reporting unit for the purpose of determining whether any impairment existed.

The valuation of the fair value of the radio reporting unit was primarily determined by combining radio properties into market clusters and using two different discounted cash flow models for the valuation. The assumptions in the models were based on the station s projected ability to serve its market based on its signal coverage of the market or on the Company s actual historical results and expected future cash flows. In order to corroborate the fair market values estimated by the discounted cash flow analysis, the valuation considered recent comparable sales for each market cluster. Based on the assumptions and projections, the radio reporting unit sfair value was less than its carrying value as of June 30, 2006. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets ( SFAS 142 ), the Company recognized an impairment loss of $\$ 156.2$ million relating to goodwill and $\$ 33.5$ million relating to FCC licenses in the Dallas and Denver markets.

The impairment of goodwill and the Denver FCC licenses was primarily related to a general slowing of growth in the radio industry over recent quarters. The impairment of the Dallas FCC licenses was primarily related to increased competition and a general slowing of growth in the radio industry over recent quarters resulting in the write-down of the assets of the Dallas market to fair value based on the pending sale.

## Syndicated Bank Credit Facility

In September 2005, the Company refinanced the former syndicated bank credit facility with a new $\$ 650$ million senior secured syndicated bank credit facility consisting of a $7^{1 / 2}$-year $\$ 500$ million term loan and a $6^{1 / 2}$-year $\$ 150$ million revolving facility. The term loan under the new syndicated bank credit facility has been drawn in full, the proceeds of which were used (i) to refinance $\$ 250$ million outstanding under the Company s former syndicated bank credit facility, (ii) to complete a tender offer for the Company s previously outstanding $\$ 225$ million senior subordinated notes, and (iii) for general corporate purposes.

The term loan matures in 2013 and is subject to automatic quarterly reductions of $\$ 1.25$ million starting on January 1, 2006. The revolving facility expires in 2012. The Company s ability to make additional borrowings under the syndicated bank credit facility is subject to compliance with certain financial covenants, including financial ratios, and other conditions set forth in the syndicated bank credit facility.

The syndicated bank credit facility is secured by substantially all of the Company s assets, as well as the pledge of the stock of substantially all of the Company s subsidiaries, including the special purpose subsidiary formed to hold the Company s FCC licenses.

The term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of $1.50 \%$, for a total interest rate of $7.01 \%$ at June 30, 2006. As of June 30, 2006, \$498 million of the term loan was outstanding.

The revolving facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin ranging from $1 \%$ to $2 \%$ based on leverage covenants. As of June 30, 2006, $\$ 3$ million of the revolving facility was outstanding and $\$ 145$ million was available under the revolving facility for future borrowings. The Company had approximately $\$ 2$ million in outstanding letters of credit as of that date, which reduced the amount otherwise available for future borrowings. In addition, the Company pays a quarterly unused commitment fee ranging from $0.25 \%$ to $0.50 \%$ per annum, depending on the level of facility usage.

The syndicated bank credit facility contains customary events of default. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, the Company might be required to repay all amounts then outstanding under the syndicated bank credit facility. Lenders holding more than $50 \%$ of the loans and commitments under the syndicated bank credit facility may elect to accelerate the maturity of loans upon the occurrence and during the continuation of an event of default.

The syndicated bank credit facility contains a mandatory prepayment clause, triggered in the event that (i) the proceeds of certain asset dispositions are not utilized as provided under the syndicated bank credit facility within 18 months of such disposition; (ii) insurance or condemnation proceeds are not utilized as provided under the syndicated bank credit facility
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within 360 days following receipt thereof; or (iii) the proceeds from capital contributions or equity offerings are not utilized to acquire businesses or properties relating to radio, television and outdoor advertising within 360 days following such capital contribution or equity offering. In addition, if the Company incurs certain additional indebtedness, then $100 \%$ of such proceeds must be used to reduce the outstanding loan balance; and if the Company has excess cash flow, as defined in the syndicated bank credit facility, in any year starting in 2006, then $75 \%$ of such excess cash flow must be used to reduce the outstanding loan balance.

The syndicated bank credit facility contains certain financial covenants relating to maximum net debt ratio, senior debt ratio, maximum capital expenditures and fixed charge coverage ratio. The covenants become increasingly restrictive in the later years of the syndicated bank credit facility. The syndicated bank credit facility also requires the Company to maintain FCC licenses for broadcast properties and contains restrictions on the incurrence of additional debt, the payment of dividends, the making of acquisitions and the sale of assets over a certain limit. Additionally, the Company entered into three interest rate swap agreements because the leverage exceeded certain limits.

The Company can draw on the revolving facility without prior approval for working capital needs and for acquisitions having an aggregate maximum consideration of $\$ 25$ million or less. Proposed acquisitions are conditioned upon the Company s delivery to the agent bank of a covenant compliance certificate showing pro forma calculations assuming such acquisition had been consummated and revised revenue projections for the acquired properties. For acquisitions having an aggregate maximum consideration in excess of $\$ 100$ million, consent is required from lenders holding more than $50 \%$ of the loans and commitments under the syndicated bank credit facility.

## Derivative Instruments

As of June 30, 2006, the Company had three interest rate swap agreements with a $\$ 466$ million aggregate notional amount, with quarterly reductions, that expire on October 1, 2010. These interest rate swap agreements convert a portion of the variable rate term loan into a fixed rate obligation of $5.96 \%$, which includes the margin of $1.50 \%$. It is expected that as the notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements decline, the term loan amounts will continue to exceed the notional amounts of the interest rate swap agreements. The excess loan amount outstanding over the notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements will incur interest at LIBOR plus $1.50 \%$. As of June 30, 2006, these interest rate swap agreements were not designated for hedge accounting treatment under the provisions of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities ( SFAS 133 ) and as a result, changes in their fair values are reflected currently in earnings. At June 30, 2006, the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements was $\$ 12.7$ million and is classified as other assets on the balance sheet.

SFAS 133 requires the Company to recognize all of its derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, and further, on the type of hedging relationship. As of June 30, 2006, these interest rate swap agreements were not designated for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133, and as a result, the fair value is classified as other assets on the Company s balance sheet and as a reduction of interest expense on the Company s statements of operations. For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, the Company recognized a reduction of $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 9.0$ million, respectively, of interest expense related to the increase in fair value of the interest rate swap agreements.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncement

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No.48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes , ( FIN 48 ). The interpretation requires that the Company recognize in the financial statements, the impact of a tax position, if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective as of the beginning of the 2007 fiscal year, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on the financial statements.

## 3. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates in three reportable segments: television broadcasting, radio broadcasting and outdoor advertising.
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## Television Broadcasting

The Company owns and/or operates 48 primary television stations located primarily in the southwestern United States, consisting primarily of Univision affiliates.

## Radio Broadcasting

The Company owns and operates 53 radio stations ( 41 FM and 12 AM ) located primarily in Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Nevada, New Mexico and Texas.

## Outdoor Advertising

The Company owns approximately 10,700 outdoor advertising faces located primarily in Los Angeles and New York.
Separate financial data for each of the Company s operating segments is provided below. Segment operating profit (loss) is defined as operating profit (loss) before corporate expenses and loss (gain) on sale of assets. There were no significant sources of revenue generated outside the United States during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. The Company evaluates the performance of its operating segments based on the following (unaudited; in thousands):
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Consolidated $\$ 1,447,152 \quad \$ 1,690,708$

* Percentage not meaningful.

4. LITIGATION

The Company is subject to various outstanding claims and other legal proceedings that arose in the ordinary course of business. The Company is a party to one dispute, which management does not believe is material, from plaintiffs seeking unspecified damages for certain employment-related claims. An appropriate accrual has been made in the consolidated
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financial statements. While the Company s legal counsel cannot express an opinion on this matter, management believes that any liability of the Company that may arise out of or with respect to this matter will not materially adversely affect the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Overview

We are a diversified Spanish-language media company with a unique portfolio of television, radio and outdoor advertising assets, reaching approximately $75 \%$ of all Hispanics in the United States. We operate in three reportable segments: television broadcasting, radio broadcasting and outdoor advertising. Our net revenue for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 79.3$ million. Of that amount, revenue generated by our television segment accounted for $55 \%$, revenue generated by our radio segment accounted for $33 \%$ and revenue generated by our outdoor segment accounted for $12 \%$.

As of the date of filing this report, we own and/or operate 48 primary television stations that are located primarily in the southwestern United States. We own and operate 53 radio stations ( 41 FM and 12 AM ) located primarily in Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Nevada, New Mexico and Texas. Our outdoor advertising segment consists of approximately 10,700 advertising faces located primarily in Los Angeles and New York.

The comparability of our results between 2006 and 2005 is affected by acquisitions and dispositions in those periods. In those years, we primarily acquired new media properties in markets where we already owned existing media properties. While new media properties contribute to the financial results of their markets, we do not attempt to measure their effect as they typically are integrated into existing operations.

We generate revenue from sales of national and local advertising time on television and radio stations and advertising on our billboards. Advertising rates are, in large part, based on each medium s ability to attract audiences in demographic groups targeted by advertisers. We recognize advertising revenue when commercials are broadcast and when outdoor advertising services are provided. We do not obtain long-term commitments from our advertisers and, consequently, they may cancel, reduce or postpone orders without penalties. We pay commissions to agencies for local, regional and national advertising. For contracts directly with agencies, we record net revenue from these agencies. Seasonal revenue fluctuations are common in the broadcasting and outdoor advertising industries and are due primarily to variations in advertising expenditures by both local and national advertisers.

Our primary expenses are employee compensation, including commissions paid to our sales staffs and national representative firms, as well as expenses for marketing, promotion and selling, technical, local programming, engineering, leasing, general and administrative, depreciation and amortization and impairment. Our local programming costs for television consist primarily of costs related to producing a local newscast in most of our markets.

## Highlights

Despite continuing competition for advertising revenue among broadcasters and between the broadcast industry and other media, we experienced growth for the second quarter of 2006, and net revenue of $\$ 79.3$ million.

Our television segment led our success again in the second quarter of 2006, generating $\$ 43.3$ million in net revenue as we continued to sustain solid ratings across this segment. Our television results were driven by continued growth in our top advertising categories, including automotive, services, telecommunications and fast-food restaurants. We continue to enjoy significant revenue growth from our television stations located in markets with rapidly growing Hispanic populations, such as Las Vegas, Monterey, Palm Springs, El Centro, Reno, Midland-Odessa, Lubbock, San Angelo, Santa Barbara and Washington, D.C., each of which experienced greater than $15 \%$ net revenue growth in the second quarter of 2006.

Our radio segment also had a solid second quarter of 2006, contributing $\$ 26.2$ million in net revenue as we concentrated our efforts on local sales, which accounted for $77 \%$ of total sales in the second quarter of 2006. Our success was partly due to the addition of our third network format, José: Toca lo Que Quiere ( plays what he wants ), which features a mix of Spanish-language adult contemporary and Mexican regional hits from the 1970s through the present. Our José: Toca lo que Quiere format debuted in October 2005, and is currently broadcast on seven stations in seven markets.
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In addition, in February 2006, we began broadcasting Piolin por la Mañana, one of the highest-rated Spanish-language radio programs in the country, in eight markets, and have seen solid ratings growth in all of these markets where ratings have been released. In a key demographic, adults 18 to 34, Piolin Por La Mañana is rated number one in any language in Denver, Palm Springs and Stockton, and it is rated number one in Spanish in Sacramento and Modesto. We also generated $\$ 2.1$ million of gross revenue in the second quarter of 2006 from advertising sponsorships of our broadcasts of 2006 FIFA World Cup matches on our radio stations in Los Angeles, Las Vegas, Dallas and El Paso.

In addition, we continued our strategy of divesting assets in markets where we did not see the opportunity to grow to scale and build out full clusters. For example, in January 2006 we disposed of radio stations KBRG-FM and KLOK-AM, serving the San Francisco/San Jose, California market, and in August 2006 we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the assets of radio stations serving the Dallas, Texas market. This strategy allows us to redeploy capital to markets with greater growth and earnings potential and has allowed us to pay for the repurchase of certain shares of our Class U common stock.

Our outdoor segment contributed $\$ 9.7$ million of our net revenue in the second quarter of 2006, and benefited from continuing overall demand for outdoor advertising in New York and Los Angeles. In addition, increased local sales played a key role in the growth of our outdoor advertising operations in the second quarter of 2006. We have made a concerted effort to strengthen the staffing and training of our local sales force, and we anticipate that this focus will continue throughout 2006. We also continue to grow our transit advertising operations. In May 2006, we entered into a five-year agreement with the Hillsborough Transit Authority to sell advertising on municipal buses in the Tampa, Florida market. We believe that expanding our transit advertising creates opportunities to provide broader advertising coverage for national advertisers.

## Acquisitions and Dispositions

In January 2006, we sold the assets of radio stations KBRG-FM and KLOK-AM, serving the San Francisco/San Jose, California market, to Univision, for $\$ 90$ million. The full amount of the purchase price was paid in the form of $12,573,871$ shares of our Class $U$ common stock held by Univision based on the ten-day volume weighted average share price of $\$ 7.1577$ between December 15, 2005 and December 29, 2005. We realized a gain on sale of $\$ 10.5$ million, net of tax of $\$ 7$ million. We had a loss of approximately $\$ 1.7$ million in the second quarter of 2006 related to the closure of the San Francisco/San Jose facility. The assets sold do not constitute a component set under SFAS No. 144 ( SFAS 144 ); therefore, there is no presentation of discontinued operations.

The assets in the San Francisco/San Jose, California market were classified as assets held for sale as of December 31, 2005 and were no longer being depreciated or amortized. Summarized balance sheet data for assets held for sale as of December 31, 2005 was as follows (in millions):

| Property and equipment, net | $\$ 1.8$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Land | 4.9 |
| Other intangibles subject to amortization, net | 2.5 |
| FCC licenses not subject to amortization | 60.3 |

## \$ 69.5

We evaluated the transferred set of activities, assets, inputs, outputs and processes in the foregoing acquisition and disposition and determined that the acquisition and disposition did not constitute a business.

In May 2006, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the assets of radio station KZLZ-FM serving the Tucson, Arizona market for approximately $\$ 4.8$ million. The assets sold do not constitute a component set under SFAS 144; therefore, there is no presentation of discontinued operations. The assets of $\$ 3.3$ million for the Tucson, Arizona market were classified as assets held for sale as of June 30, 2006 and were no longer being depreciated or amortized. This transaction is currently expected to close during the third quarter of 2006.

In August 2006, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the assets of all of our radio stations serving the Dallas, Texas market for $\$ 95$ million. As of June 30, 2006, we determined that the plan of sale criteria in SFAS 144 had been met. The carrying value of the assets has been adjusted to fair value less costs to sell, resulting in an impairment charge of $\$ 16$ million, net of tax of $\$ 11$ million. The assets sold do not constitute a component set under SFAS 144; therefore, there is no presentation of discontinued operations. This transaction is currently expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2006.

## Table of Contents

The assets in the Dallas, Texas market were classified as assets held for sale as of June 30, 2006 and were no longer being depreciated or amortized. Summarized balance sheet data for assets held for sale as of June 30, 2006 was as follows (in millions):

| Property and equipment, net | $\$ 2.5$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Land | 0.5 |
| Other intangibles subject to amortization, net | 0.4 |
| FCC licenses | 69.6 |

## Impairment

Goodwill and indefinite life intangibles are not amortized but are tested annually for impairment, or more frequently, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired. In the definitive agreement to sell the Dallas radio assets, the sales price was less than the carrying value, which indicated that other radio assets might be impaired. As a result of the pending sale of the Dallas radio assets, we decided to conduct a valuation of the radio reporting unit for the purpose of determining whether any impairment existed.

The valuation of the fair value of our radio reporting unit was primarily determined by combining radio properties into market clusters and using two different discounted cash flow models for the valuation. The assumptions in the models were based on the station s projected ability to serve its market based on its signal coverage of the market or on our actual historical results and expected future cash flows. In order to corroborate the fair market values estimated by the discounted cash flow analysis, the valuation considered recent comparable sales for each market cluster. Based on the assumptions and projections, the radio reporting unit s fair value was less than its carrying value as of June 30, 2006. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142 ), we recognized an impairment loss of $\$ 156.2$ million relating to goodwill and $\$ 33.5$ million relating to FCC licenses in the Dallas and Denver markets.

The impairment of goodwill and the Denver FCC licenses was primarily related to a general slowing of growth in the radio industry over recent quarters. The impairment of the Dallas FCC licenses was primarily related to increased competition and a general slowing of growth in the radio industry over recent quarters resulting in the write-down of the assets of the Dallas market to fair value based on the pending sale.

## Relationship with Univision

Univision currently owns approximately $15 \%$ of our common stock on a fully-converted basis. In connection with its merger with Hispanic Broadcasting Corporation in September 2003, Univision entered into an agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice, pursuant to which Univision agreed, among other things, to ensure that its percentage ownership of our company will not exceed $10 \%$ by March $26,2009$.

Our Class U common stock held by Univision has limited voting rights and does not include the right to elect directors. However, as the holder of all of our issued and outstanding Class U common stock, Univision currently has the right to approve any merger, consolidation or other business combination involving our company, any dissolution of our company and any assignment of the Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, licenses for any of our company s Univision-affiliated television stations. Each share of Class U common stock is automatically convertible into one share (subject to adjustment for stock splits, dividends or combinations) of our Class A common stock in connection with any transfer to a third party that is not an affiliate of Univision.

In January 2006, we sold the assets of radio stations KBRG-FM and KLOK-AM, serving the San Francisco/San Jose, California market, to Univision, for $\$ 90$ million. The full amount of the purchase price was paid in the form of $12,573,871$ shares of our Class $U$ common stock held by Univision based on the ten-day volume weighted average share price of $\$ 7.1577$ between December 15, 2005 and December 29, 2005. We realized a gain on sale of $\$ 10.5$ million, net of tax of $\$ 7$ million

In March 2006, we repurchased seven million shares of Class $U$ common stock held by Univision for $\$ 51.1$ million.

## Stock-Based Compensation

## Employee Stock-Based Compensation

## Edgar Filing: ENTRAVISION COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, ( SFAS 123R ) which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all stock-based awards made to employees and directors including employee stock options and employee stock purchases under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan ( ESPP ) based on estimated fair values. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted
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for those plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB ) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ( APB 25 ) and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ( SFAS 123 ). In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB ) No. 107 ( SAB 107 ) providing supplemental implementation guidance for SFAS 123R. We have applied the provisions of SAB 107 in the adoption of SFAS 123R.

SFAS 123R requires companies to estimate the fair value of stock-based awards on the date of grant using an option pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method. Under that transition method, compensation cost recognized in the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 includes: (i) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123, and (ii) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we accounted for all stock-based compensation plans, including employee stock option plans and stock purchase plans, using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS 123, which does not require compensation to be recorded if the consideration to be received is at least equal to the fair value of the common stock to be issued at the measurement date. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method we used in adopting SFAS 123R, the results of operations prior to January 1, 2006 have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the possible impact of SFAS 123R.

As a result of adopting SFAS 123R, our operating income, income before income taxes and net income applicable to common stockholders for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 are $\$ 0.5$ million, $\$ 0.5$ million and $\$ 0.3$ million lower, respectively, than if we had continued to account for stock-based compensation under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB 25 and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS 123. Our operating income, income before income taxes and net income applicable to common stockholders for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 are $\$ 1.0$ million, $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 0.6$ million lower, respectively, than if we had continued to account for stock-based compensation under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB 25 and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS 123. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 would not have changed if we had not adopted SFAS 123R.

Stock-based compensation expense related to our employee stock option plans was $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 0.9$ million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

As of June 30, 2006, there was approximately $\$ 1.3$ million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to our employee stock option plans that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.2 years.

## Employee Stock Purchase Plan

On April 4, 2001, our Board of Directors adopted the 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Purchase Plan ). Our stockholders approved the Employee Stock Purchase Plan on May 10, 2001 at our 2001 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Subject to adjustments in our capital structure, as defined in the Purchase Plan, the maximum number of shares of our Class A common stock that will be made available for sale under Purchase Plan is 600,000 , plus an annual increase of up to 600,000 shares on the first day of each of the ten calendar years beginning on January $1,2002$. All of our employees are eligible to participate in the Purchase Plan, provided that they have completed six months of continuous service as employees as of an offering date. Under the terms of the Purchase Plan, employees may elect to have up to $15 \%$ of their compensation withheld to purchase shares during each offering period. There are two offering periods annually under the Purchase Plan, one which commences on February 15 and concludes on August 14, and the other which commences on August 15 and concludes on the following February 14. The purchase price of the stock is $85 \%$ of the lower of the day preceding the beginning-of-period or end-of-period closing market price. Since the inception of the Purchase Plan through June 30, 2006, 511,201 shares have been purchased. Stock-based compensation expense related to the Purchase Plan for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 70$ thousand and $\$ 108$ thousand, respectively.

## Non-Employee Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to May 1, 2006 we accounted for stock-based compensation expense related to the fair value of stock options granted to nonemployee service providers as liabilities, based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model on the date of grant
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and remeasured at each reporting date pursuant to the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services ( EITF 96-18 ) and EITF No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company s Own Stock ( EITF 00-19 ). On May 1, 2006, we entered into an amendment to the 2004 Plan that resulted in the reclassification of the fair value of vested stock options granted to nonemployee service providers previously classified as liabilities to equity as additional paid-in capital. The aggregate fair value of vested nonemployee stock-based awards reclassified to equity at the amendment date was $\$ 2.5$ million. Beginning on May 1 , 2006, we account for stock-based compensation expense related to the fair value of unvested stock options granted to nonemployee service providers as equity, based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model as the underlying options vest, in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R and EITF 96-18.

For the three-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, we recognized $\$(0.3)$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million of nonemployee stock-based compensation for nonemployee stock option awards, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, we recognized $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million of nonemployee stock-based compensation for nonemployee stock option awards, respectively.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncement

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No.48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes , ( FIN 48 ). The interpretation requires that we recognize in the financial statements, the impact of a tax position, if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective as of the beginning of our 2007 fiscal year, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on our financial statements.

Three- and Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
The following table sets forth selected data from our operating results for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited; in thousands):
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* Percentage not meaningful.
(1) Consolidated adjusted EBITDA means operating income (loss) plus loss (gain) on sale of assets, depreciation and amortization, non-cash impairment loss, non-cash stock-based compensation included in operating and corporate expenses and syndication programming amortization less syndication programming payments. We use the term consolidated adjusted EBITDA because that measure is defined in our syndicated bank credit facility and does not include non-cash stock-based compensation, non-cash impairment loss, loss (gain) on sale of assets and syndication programming amortization and does include syndication programming payments. The definition of operating income (loss), and thus consolidated adjusted EBITDA, excludes equity in net earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates.
Since our ability to borrow from our syndicated bank credit facility is based on a consolidated adjusted EBITDA financial covenant, we believe that it is important to disclose consolidated adjusted EBITDA to our investors. Our syndicated bank credit facility contains certain financial covenants relating to maximum net debt ratio, senior debt ratio, maximum capital expenditures and fixed charge coverage ratio. The maximum net debt ratio, or the ratio of consolidated total debt minus cash, up to a maximum of $\$ 20$ million, to consolidated adjusted EBITDA, affects our ability to borrow from our syndicated bank credit facility. Under our syndicated bank credit facility, our maximum net debt ratio may not exceed 7.5 to 1 on a pro forma basis for the prior full four quarters. The actual maximum net debt ratios were as follows (in each case as of June 30 ): 2006, 4.8 to $1 ; 20055.3$ to 1 . Therefore, we were in compliance with this covenant at each of those dates. We entered into our new syndicated bank credit facility in September 2005, so we were not subject to the same calculations and covenants in prior years. However, for consistency of presentation, the foregoing historical ratios assume that our current definition had been applicable for all periods presented. The maximum net debt ratio also affects the interest rate charged for revolving loans, thus affecting our interest expense.

While many in the financial community and we consider consolidated adjusted EBITDA to be important, it should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for or superior to, other measures of liquidity and financial performance
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prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, such as cash flows from operating activities, operating income and net income. As consolidated adjusted EBITDA excludes non-cash (gain) loss on sale of assets, non-cash depreciation and amortization, non-cash impairment loss, non-cash stock-based compensation awards and syndication programming amortization and includes syndication programming payments, consolidated adjusted EBITDA has certain limitations because it excludes and includes several important non-cash financial line items. Therefore, we consider both non-GAAP and GAAP measures when evaluating our business.

Consolidated adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure. The most directly comparable GAAP financial measure to consolidated adjusted EBITDA is cash flows from operating activities. A reconciliation of this non-GAAP measure to cash flows from operating activities follows (unaudited; in thousands):

|  | Six-Month Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| Consolidated adjusted EBITDA (1) | \$ | 46,010 |  | 40,823 |
| Interest expense |  | $(6,837)$ |  | $(16,716)$ |
| Interest income |  | 757 |  | 361 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit |  | 171 |  | $(1,091)$ |
| Amortization of syndication contracts |  | (56) |  | (30) |
| Payments on syndication contracts |  | 50 |  |  |
| Gain on sale of assets |  | 17,652 |  |  |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation included in direct operating expenses |  | (119) |  |  |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation included in selling, general and administrative expenses |  | (659) |  | (49) |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation included in corporate expenses |  | (856) |  | (308) |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | $(22,218)$ |  | $(23,052)$ |
| Impairment charge |  | $(189,661)$ |  |  |
| Net loss before equity in net loss of nonconsolidated affiliates |  | $(155,766)$ |  | (62) |
| Equity in net loss of nonconsolidated affiliates |  | (113) |  | (195) |
| Net loss |  | $(155,879)$ |  | (257) |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 22,218 |  | 23,052 |
| Impairment charge |  | 189,661 |  |  |
| Deferred income taxes |  | $(2,431)$ |  | 219 |
| Amortization of debt issue costs |  | 200 |  | 1,196 |
| Amortization of syndication contracts |  | 56 |  | 30 |
| Payments on syndication contracts |  | (50) |  |  |
| Equity in net loss of nonconsolidated affiliate |  | 113 |  | 195 |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation |  | 1,635 |  | 357 |
| Gain on sale of media properties and other assets |  | $(17,661)$ |  |  |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements |  | $(8,960)$ |  |  |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions and dispositions: |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in accounts receivable |  | $(3,820)$ |  | $(8,487)$ |
| Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets |  | (856) |  | $(1,769)$ |
| Decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities |  | $(4,679)$ |  | (514) |
| Cash flows from operating activities |  | 19,547 |  | \$ 14,022 |

## Consolidated Operations

Net Revenue. Net revenue increased to $\$ 79.3$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 75.1$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 4.2$ million. Excluding the net revenue contributed during the second quarter of 2005 by our radio
stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter
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of 2006, net revenue would have increased by $\$ 6.1$ million during the three-month period ended June 30,2006 . Of the overall increase, $\$ 4.6$ million came from our television segment. The increase from this segment was primarily attributable to an increase in both local and national advertising sales, primarily attributable to an increase in advertising rates. Additionally, $\$ 0.6$ million of the overall increase was from our outdoor segment, primarily attributable to revenue associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa, as well as an increase in local and national advertising sales. The overall increase was partially offset by a $\$ 1.0$ million decrease in our radio net revenue. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in net revenue of $\$ 1.9$ million from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in advertising rates.

Net revenue increased to $\$ 139.2$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 132.3$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 6.9$ million. Excluding the net revenue contributed during the first and second quarters of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, net revenue would have increased by $\$ 10.1$ million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. Of the overall increase, $\$ 7.8$ million came from our television segment. The increase from this segment was primarily attributable to an increase in both local and national advertising sales, primarily attributable to an increase in advertising rates. Additionally, $\$ 0.7$ million of the overall increase was from our outdoor segment, primarily attributable to revenue associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa and Sacramento, as well as an increase in local and national advertising sales. The overall increase was partially offset by a $\$ 1.6$ million decrease in our radio net revenue. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in net revenue of $\$ 3.2$ million from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in advertising rates.

We currently anticipate that the number of advertisers purchasing Spanish-language advertising will continue to rise and will result in greater demand for our inventory. We expect that this increased demand will, in turn, allow us to continue to increase our rates, resulting in continued increases in net revenue in future periods.

Direct Operating Expenses. Direct operating expenses increased to $\$ 31.4$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 30.6$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.8$ million. Excluding the direct operating expenses incurred during the second quarter of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, direct operating expenses would have increased by $\$ 1.6$ million during the three-month period ended June 30,2006 . Of the overall increase, $\$ 1.1$ million came from our television segment. The increase from this segment was primarily attributable to an increase in national representation fees and other sales expenses associated with the increase in net revenue. Additionally, $\$ 0.4$ million of the overall increase came from our outdoor segment and was primarily attributable to higher lease rents for our billboard locations and the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa. The overall increase was partially offset by a $\$ 0.7$ million decrease in our radio direct operating expenses. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in direct operating expenses from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in commissions and other sales-related expenses associated with the increase in net revenue of the radio stations we currently own and operate. As a percentage of net revenue, direct operating expenses decreased to $40 \%$ for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $41 \%$ for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005. Direct operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased because direct operating expenses increases were outpaced by increases in net revenue.

Direct operating expenses increased to $\$ 60.0$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 57.9$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 2.1$ million. Excluding the direct operating expenses incurred during the first and second quarters of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, direct operating expenses would have increased by $\$ 3.5$ million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. Of the overall increase, $\$ 2.0$ million came from our television segment. The increase from this segment was primarily attributable to an increase in national representation fees and other sales expenses associated with the increase in net revenue. Additionally, $\$ 0.6$ million of the overall increase came from our outdoor segment and was primarily attributable to expenses associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa and Sacramento. The overall increase was partially offset by a $\$ 0.5$ million decrease in our radio direct operating expenses. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in direct operating expenses from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in commissions and other sales-related expenses associated with the increase in net revenue of the radio stations we currently own and operate, as well as expenses associated with radio stations KDLD-FM/KDLE-FM, which we began operating in the second quarter of 2005. As a percentage of net revenue, direct operating expenses decreased to $43 \%$ for the six-month period ended June 30,2006 from $44 \%$ for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005. Direct operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased because direct operating expenses increases were outpaced by increases in net revenue.
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We currently anticipate that our direct operating expenses will continue to increase as our net revenue increases. However, we expect that net revenue increases will outpace direct operating expense increases such that direct operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue will decrease in future periods.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to $\$ 12.7$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 12.6$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.1$ million. Excluding the selling, general and administrative expenses incurred during the second quarter of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, selling, general and administrative expenses would have increased by $\$ 0.5$ million during the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. Of the overall increase, a $\$ 0.1$ million increase came from our radio segment. The increase from this segment was primarily attributable to increased promotional spending, partially offset by a decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations sold. Additionally, $\$ 0.1$ million of the overall increase was from the outdoor segment, primarily attributable to expenses associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa. The overall increase was partially offset by a $\$ 0.1$ million decrease in television selling, general and administrative expenses. The decrease from this segment was primarily attributable to decreased non-cash stock-based compensation of $\$ 0.1$ million. As a percentage of net revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to $16 \%$ for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $17 \%$ for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased because selling, general and administrative expenses increases were outpaced by increases in net revenue.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to $\$ 25.5$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2006 from $\$ 25.3$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.2$ million. Excluding the selling, general and administrative expenses incurred during the first and second quarters of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, selling, general and administrative expenses would have increased by $\$ 1.1$ million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. Excluding the non-cash stock-based compensation increase of $\$ 0.6$ million, selling, general and administrative expenses would have decreased by $\$ 0.5$ million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. Of the overall increase, $\$ 0.5$ million came from our television segment. The increase from this segment was primarily attributable to increased non-cash stock-based compensation of $\$ 0.5$ million. Additionally, $\$ 0.2$ million of the overall increase was from the outdoor segment, primarily attributable to expenses associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa and Sacramento. The overall increase was partially offset by a $\$ 0.5$ million decrease in radio selling, general and administrative expenses. The decrease from this segment was primarily attributable to a decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations sold, partially offset by increased promotional spending. As a percentage of net revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to $18 \%$ for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $19 \%$ for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased because selling, general and administrative expenses increases were outpaced by increases in net revenue.

We currently anticipate that our selling, general and administrative expenses will continue to increase. However, we expect that net revenue increases will outpace selling, general and administrative expense increases such that selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net revenue will continue to decrease in future periods.

Corporate Expenses. Corporate expenses increased to $\$ 4.4$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 4.3$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.1$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to increased non-cash stock-based compensation of $\$ 0.1$ million. As a percentage of net revenue, corporate expenses remained unchanged at $6 \%$ for each of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. Excluding the non-cash stock-based compensation, corporate expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased to $5 \%$ for the three-month period ended June 30,2006 from $6 \%$ for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005. Excluding the non-cash stock-based compensation, corporate expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased because corporate expenses remained relatively flat while net revenue increased.

Corporate expenses increased to $\$ 9.3$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 8.7$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.6$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to increased non-cash stock-based compensation of $\$ 0.5$ million. The remaining increase of $\$ 0.1$ million was primarily attributable to increased rent and higher wages and expenses associated with our compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, including internal controls. As a percentage of net revenue, corporate expenses remained unchanged at $7 \%$ for each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

We currently anticipate that our corporate expenses will continue to increase, primarily due to recording non-cash
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stock-based compensation in corporate expense in 2006 to comply with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ( SFAS No. 123R ). However, we expect that net revenue increases will outpace corporate expense increases such that corporate expenses as a percentage of net revenue will remain constant or decrease in future periods.

Gain (Loss) on Sale of Assets. The loss on sale of assets was $\$ 1.7$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006, primarily attributable to the closure of the San Francisco/San Jose facility. The gain on sale of assets was $\$ 17.7$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. The gain was primarily attributable to the gain on sale of the radio assets in the San Francisco/San Jose market.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization decreased to $\$ 11.2$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 11.6$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 0.4$ million. Depreciation and amortization decreased to $\$ 22.2$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 23.1$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 0.9$ million. The decreases for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 were primarily attributable to lower depreciation and amortization due to the sale of the radio assets in the San Francisco/San Jose market in January 2006.

Impairment Charge: The impairment charge of $\$ 189.7$ million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 was a result of a $\$ 156.2$ million impairment of goodwill in our radio segment and a $\$ 33.5$ million impairment of our radio FCC licenses.

Operating Income (loss). As a result of the above factors, operating loss was $\$ 171.7$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006, compared to operating income of $\$ 16.0$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005. As a result of the above factors, operating loss was $\$ 149.9$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2006 , compared to operating income of $\$ 17.4$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased to $\$ 4.3$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 8.5$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 4.2$ million. The decrease was primarily attributable to the increase in the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements of $\$ 3.6$ million. Additionally, the decrease was attributable to lower interest rates on the bank credit facility in the current year compared to higher interest rates on the senior subordinated notes in the prior year.

Interest expense decreased to $\$ 6.8$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2006 from $\$ 16.7$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 9.9$ million. The decrease was primarily attributable to the increase in the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements of $\$ 9.0$ million. Additionally, the decrease was attributable to lower interest rates on the bank credit facility in the current year compared to higher interest rates on the senior subordinated notes in the prior year.

Income Tax Expense. Our expected tax rate is approximately $40 \%$ of pre-tax income or loss, adjusted for permanent tax differences. As described above, we recognized a $\$ 156.2$ million impairment of goodwill for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006. Approximately $\$ 144.3$ million of the $\$ 156.2$ million impairment of goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. As a result, no tax benefit was recorded for the related impairment of goodwill that is not deductible for tax purposes. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily attributable to the impairment of goodwill that is not deductible for tax purposes.

## Segment Operations

## Television

Net Revenue. Net revenue in our television segment increased to $\$ 43.3$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 38.8$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 4.5$ million. Of the overall increase, $\$ 3.8$ million was attributable to our Univision stations and $\$ 0.7$ million was attributable to our other stations. The overall increase was attributable to an increase in both local and national advertising sales, primarily due to an increase in advertising rates.

Net revenue in our television segment increased to $\$ 77.4$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 69.5$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 7.9$ million. Of the overall increase, $\$ 6.6$ million was attributable to our Univision stations and $\$ 1.3$ million was attributable to our other stations. The overall increase was attributable to an increase in both local and national advertising sales, primarily due to an increase in advertising rates.
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Direct Operating Expenses. Direct operating expenses in our television segment increased to $\$ 15.7$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 14.6$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 1.1$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in national representation fees and other sales expenses associated with the increase in net revenue.

Direct operating expenses in our television segment increased to $\$ 30.0$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 28.0$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 2.0$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in national representation fees and other sales expenses associated with the increase in net revenue.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses in our television segment decreased to $\$ 5.3$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 5.4$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 0.1$ million. The decrease was primarily attributable to decreased non-cash stock-based compensation of $\$ 0.1$ million.

Selling, general and administrative expenses in our television segment increased to $\$ 11.7$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 11.2$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 0.5$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to increased non-cash stock-based compensation of $\$ 0.5$ million.

## Radio

Net Revenue. Net revenue in our radio segment decreased to $\$ 26.2$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 27.2$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 1.0$ million. Excluding the net revenue contributed during the second quarter of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, net revenue would have increased by $\$ 0.9$ million during the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in net revenue from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in advertising rates.

Net revenue in our radio segment decreased to $\$ 45.4$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2006 from $\$ 47.0$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 1.6$ million. Excluding the net revenue contributed during the first and second quarters of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, net revenue would have increased by $\$ 1.5$ million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in net revenue from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in advertising rates, as well as revenue associated with radio stations KDLD-FM/KDLE-FM, which we began operating in the second quarter of 2005.

Direct Operating Expenses. Direct operating expenses in our radio segment decreased to $\$ 9.3$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 10.0$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 0.7$ million. Excluding the direct operating expenses incurred during the second quarter of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, direct operating expenses would have increased by $\$ 0.1$ million during the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in direct operating expenses from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in commissions and other sales-related expenses associated with the increase in net revenue of the radio stations we currently own and operate.

Direct operating expenses in our radio segment decreased to $\$ 17.7$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2006 from $\$ 18.3$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 0.6$ million. Excluding the direct operating expenses incurred during the first and second quarters of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, direct operating expenses would have increased by $\$ 0.8$ million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in direct operating expenses from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in commissions and other sales-related expenses associated with the increase in net revenue of the radio stations we currently own and operate, as well as expenses associated with radio stations KDLD-FM/KDLE-FM, which we began operating in the second quarter of 2005.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses in our radio segment increased to $\$ 6.0$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 5.9$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.1$ million. Excluding the selling, general and administrative expenses incurred during the
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second quarter of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006, selling, general and administrative expenses would have increased by $\$ 0.5$ million during the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to higher promotional spending, partially offset by a decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold.

Selling, general and administrative expenses in our radio segment decreased to $\$ 11.3$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 11.8$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 0.5$ million. Excluding the selling, general and administrative expenses incurred during the first and second quarter of 2005 by our radio stations in the San Francisco/San Jose market that we sold in the first quarter of 2006 , selling, general and administrative expenses would have increased by $\$ 0.4$ million during the six-month period ended June 30 , 2006. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses from our San Francisco/San Jose radio stations that we sold, partially offset by an increase in promotional spending.

## Outdoor

Net Revenue. Net revenue in our outdoor segment increased to $\$ 9.7$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 9.1$ million for the three-month period ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 0.6$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to revenue associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa, as well as an increase in local and national advertising sales.

Net revenue in our outdoor segment increased to $\$ 16.5$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 15.8$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.7$ million. The increase was attributable to revenue associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa and Sacramento, as well as an increase in local and national advertising sales.

Direct Operating Expenses. Direct operating expenses in our outdoor segment increased to $\$ 6.4$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 6.0$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.4$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to higher lease rents for our billboard locations and the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa.

Direct operating expenses in our outdoor segment increased to $\$ 12.3$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 11.7$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 0.6$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to expenses associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa and Sacramento.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses in our outdoor segment increased to $\$ 1.4$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 1.3$ million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 0.1$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to expenses associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa.

Selling, general and administrative expenses in our outdoor segment increased to $\$ 2.6$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 2.4$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2005 , an increase of $\$ 0.2$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to expenses associated with the expansion of our outdoor division in Tampa and Sacramento.

As a result of the adoption in July 2006 of Rule 49 by the City of New York regulating outdoor advertising companies, we may be required to remove advertising from some of our advertising faces. We do not expect Rule 49, if enacted as currently adopted, to have a material adverse effect on our net income in the foreseeable future.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

While we have had a history of operating losses in some periods and operating profits in other periods, we also have a history of generating significant positive cash flows from our operations. We expect to fund anticipated cash requirements (including acquisitions, anticipated capital expenditures and payments of principal and interest on outstanding indebtedness) with cash on hand, cash flows from operations and externally generated funds, such as proceeds from any debt or equity offering and our syndicated bank credit facility. We currently anticipate that funds generated from operations and available borrowings under our syndicated bank credit facility will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements for the foreseeable future.

## Table of Contents

In January 2006, we acquired approximately 12.6 million shares of our Class U common stock held by Univision in exchange for the assets of radio stations KBRG-FM and KLOK-AM, serving the San Francisco/San Jose, California market.

In March 2006, we repurchased seven million shares of our Class $U$ common stock held by Univision for $\$ 51.1$ million using cash generated from operations and unrestricted proceeds which were remaining from our refinanced syndicated bank credit facility.

## Syndicated Bank Credit Facility

In September 2005, we refinanced our former syndicated bank credit facility with a new $\$ 650$ million senior secured syndicated bank credit facility, consisting of a $7^{1 / 2}$-year $\$ 500$ million term loan and a $6^{1 / 2}$-year $\$ 150$ million revolving facility. The term loan under the new syndicated bank credit facility has been drawn in full, the proceeds of which were used (i) to refinance outstanding borrowings under our former syndicated bank credit facility, (ii) to complete a tender offer for our previously outstanding $\$ 225$ million senior subordinated notes, and (iii) for general corporate purposes.

The term loan matures in 2013 and is subject to automatic quarterly reductions of $\$ 1.25$ million starting on January 1, 2006. The revolving facility expires in 2012. Our ability to make additional borrowings under the syndicated bank credit facility is subject to compliance with certain financial covenants, including financial ratios, and other conditions set forth in the syndicated bank credit facility.

Our syndicated bank credit facility is secured by substantially all of our assets, as well as the pledge of the stock of substantially all of our subsidiaries, including our special purpose subsidiary formed to hold our FCC licenses.

Our term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of $1.50 \%$, for a total interest rate of $7.01 \%$ at June 30, 2006. As of June 30, 2006, \$498 million of our term loan was outstanding. See also the section entitled Derivative Instruments below.

Our revolving facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin ranging from $1 \%$ to $2 \%$ based on our leverage. As of June $30,2006, \$ 3$ million of our revolving facility was outstanding and $\$ 145$ million was available under our revolving facility for future borrowings. We had approximately $\$ 2$ million in outstanding letters of credit as of that date, which reduced the amount otherwise available for future borrowings. In addition, we pay a quarterly unused commitment fee ranging from $0.25 \%$ to $0.50 \%$ per annum, depending on the level of facility used.

Our syndicated bank credit facility contains customary events of default. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, we may be required to repay all amounts then outstanding under the syndicated bank credit facility. Lenders holding more than $50 \%$ of the loans and commitments under the syndicated bank credit facility may elect to accelerate the maturity of loans upon the occurrence and during the continuation of an event of default.

Our syndicated bank credit facility contains a mandatory prepayment clause, triggered in the event that (i) the proceeds of certain asset dispositions are not utilized as provided under the syndicated bank credit facility within 18 months of such disposition; (ii) insurance or condemnation proceeds are not utilized as provided under the syndicated bank credit facility within 360 days following receipt thereof; or (iii) the proceeds from capital contributions or equity offerings are not utilized to acquire businesses or properties relating to radio, television and outdoor advertising within 360 days following such capital contribution or equity offering. In addition, if we incur certain additional indebtedness, then $100 \%$ of such proceeds must be used to reduce our outstanding loan balance; and if we have excess cash flow, as defined in our syndicated bank credit facility, in any year starting in 2006, then $75 \%$ of such excess cash flow must be used to reduce our outstanding loan balance.

Our syndicated bank credit facility contains certain financial covenants relating to maximum net debt ratio, senior debt ratio, maximum capital expenditures and fixed charge coverage ratio. The covenants become increasingly restrictive in the later years of the syndicated bank credit facility. Our syndicated bank credit facility also requires us to maintain our FCC licenses for our broadcast properties and contains restrictions on the incurrence of additional debt, the payment of dividends, the making of acquisitions and the sale of assets over a certain limit. Additionally, we entered into three interest rate swap agreements because our leverage exceeded certain limits. See also the section entitled Derivative Instruments below.

We can draw on our revolving facility without prior approval for working capital needs and for acquisitions having an aggregate maximum consideration of $\$ 25$ million or less. Proposed acquisitions are conditioned upon our delivery to the agent bank of a covenant compliance certificate showing pro forma calculations assuming such acquisition had been consummated and revised revenue projections for the acquired properties. For acquisitions having an aggregate maximum consideration in excess of $\$ 100$ million, consent is required from lenders holding more than $50 \%$ of the loans and commitments under the syndicated bank credit facility.
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## Derivative Instruments

As of June 30, 2006, we had three interest rate swap agreements with a $\$ 466$ million aggregate notional amount, with quarterly reductions, that expire on October 1, 2010. These interest rate swap agreements convert a portion of our variable rate term loan into a fixed rate obligation of $5.96 \%$, which includes a margin of $1.50 \%$. It is expected that as the notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements declines, the term loan amounts will continue to exceed the notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements. The excess loan amount outstanding over the notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements will incur interest at LIBOR plus $1.50 \%$. As of June 30, 2006, these interest rate swap agreements were not designated for hedge accounting treatment under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133 ), and as a result, changes in their fair values are reflected currently in earnings. At June 30, 2006, the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements was $\$ 12.7$ million and is classified as other assets on our balance sheet.

SFAS 133 requires us to recognize all of our derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, and further, on the type of hedging relationship. As of June 30, 2006, our interest rate swap agreements were not designated for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133, and as a result, the fair value is classified as other assets on the our balance sheet and as a reduction of interest expense on our statements of operations. For the three- and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, we recognized a reduction of $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 9.0$ million, respectively, in interest expense related to the increase in fair value of the interest rate swap agreements.

## Debt and Equity Financing

On May 9, 2002, we filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC to register up to $\$ 500$ million of equity and debt securities, which we may offer from time to time. That shelf registration statement has been declared effective by the SEC. We have not yet issued any securities under the shelf registration statement. We intend to use the proceeds of any issuance of securities under the shelf registration statement to fund acquisitions or capital expenditures, to reduce or refinance debt or other obligations and for general corporate purposes.

## Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA

Consolidated adjusted EBITDA (as defined below) increased to $\$ 46.0$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $\$ 40.8$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, an increase of $\$ 5.2$ million, or $13 \%$. As a percentage of net revenue, consolidated adjusted EBITDA increased to $33 \%$ for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 from $31 \%$ for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005.

We currently anticipate that consolidated adjusted EBITDA will continue to increase in future periods as we believe that net revenue increases will continue to outpace increases in direct operating, selling, general and administrative and corporate expenses.

Consolidated adjusted EBITDA means operating income (loss) plus loss (gain) on sale of assets, depreciation and amortization, non-cash impairment loss, non-cash stock-based compensation included in operating and corporate expenses and syndication programming amortization less syndication programming payments. We use the term consolidated adjusted EBITDA because that measure is defined in our syndicated bank credit facility and does not include non-cash stock-based compensation, non-cash impairment loss, loss (gain) on sale of assets and syndication programming amortization and does include syndication programming payments. The definition of operating income (loss), and thus consolidated adjusted EBITDA, excludes equity in net earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates.

Since our ability to borrow from our syndicated bank credit facility is based on a consolidated adjusted EBITDA financial covenant, we believe that it is important to disclose consolidated adjusted EBITDA to our investors. Our syndicated bank credit facility contains certain financial covenants relating to maximum net debt ratio, senior debt ratio, maximum capital expenditures and fixed charge coverage ratio. The maximum net debt ratio, or the ratio of consolidated total debt minus cash, up to a maximum of $\$ 20$ million, to consolidated adjusted EBITDA, affects our ability to borrow from our syndicated bank credit facility. Under our syndicated bank credit facility, our maximum net debt ratio may not exceed 7.5 to 1 on a pro forma basis for the prior full four quarters. The actual maximum net debt ratios were as follows (in each case as of
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June 30): 2006, 4.8 to $1 ; 20055.3$ to 1 . Therefore, we were in compliance with this covenant at each of those dates. We entered into our new syndicated bank credit facility in September 2005, so we were not subject to the same calculations and covenants in prior years. However, for consistency of presentation, the foregoing historical ratios assume that our current definition had been applicable for all periods presented. The maximum net debt ratio also affects the interest rate charged for revolving loans, thus affecting our interest expense.

While many in the financial community and we consider consolidated adjusted EBITDA to be important, it should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for or superior to, other measures of liquidity and financial performance prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, such as cash flows from operating activities, operating income and net income. As consolidated adjusted EBITDA excludes non-cash (gain) loss on sale of assets, non-cash depreciation and amortization, non-cash impairment loss, non-cash stock-based compensation awards and syndication programming amortization and includes syndication programming payments, consolidated adjusted EBITDA has certain limitations because it excludes and includes several important non-cash financial line items. Therefore, we consider both non-GAAP and GAAP measures when evaluating our business.

Consolidated adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure. For a reconciliation of consolidated adjusted EBITDA to cash flows from operating activities, its most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, please see page 21.

## Cash Flow

Net cash flow provided by operating activities increased to $\$ 19.5$ million for the six-month period ended June 30,2006 from $\$ 14.0$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005. Although we had a net loss of $\$ 155.9$ million, we had positive cash flow from operations. Our net loss was a primarily a result of non-cash expenses, including impairment charges of $\$ 189.7$ million and depreciation and amortization of $\$ 22.2$ million. We expect to have positive cash flow from operating activities in the third quarter of 2006.

Net cash flow used in investing activities was $\$ 16.1$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 27.1$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, we spent $\$ 17.4$ million on net capital expenditures, acquisition of intangibles and deposits on acquisitions and collected $\$ 1.3$ million on a note receivable. We expect to spend approximately $\$ 7.5$ million on capital expenditures in the third quarter of 2006, including capital expenditures to complete construction of our digital television facilities. We expect to spend approximately $\$ 13.4$ million in cash and use $\$ 9.9$ million in deposits to purchase full power television construction permits in Derby, Kansas and Colorado Springs, Colorado, the assets of radio station KBOC-FM in Dallas, Texas and the assets of television station KNEZ-LP in Laredo, Texas. We expect to receive approximately $\$ 100$ million when we complete the sale of radio assets in the Tucson, Arizona and Dallas, Texas markets in the third and fourth quarters of 2006.

Net cash flow used by financing activities was $\$ 48.8$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 0.4$ million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, we paid $\$ 51.1$ million to repurchase seven million shares of our Class U common stock, made debt payments of $\$ 0.6$ million and received net proceeds of $\$ 2.9$ million from the exercise of stock options and from the sale of shares issued under our 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. We do not currently anticipate repurchasing a material amount of our common stock in the near future.

We anticipate that our maintenance capital expenditures will be approximately $\$ 16$ million in 2006. In addition to our maintenance capital expenditures, we anticipate that our digital television capital expenditures will be approximately $\$ 8$ million. We anticipate paying for these capital expenditures by using net cash flow from operations.

As part of the mandated transition from analog to digital television, full-service television station owners may be required to stop broadcasting analog signals and to relinquish one of their paired analog-digital channels to the FCC at the end of 2006, if the market penetration of digital television receivers reaches certain congressionally-mandated levels by that time. We currently expect the cost to complete construction of digital television facilities for our remaining full-service television stations, for which extensions of time have been granted, will be approximately $\$ 2.8$ million. In addition, we are required to broadcast separate digital and analog signals throughout this transition period. We currently anticipate that the incremental costs of broadcasting in digital and analog, including additional rent and higher electricity expense, will be approximately $\$ 1$ million in 2006. We intend to finance the conversion to digital television by using net cash flow from operations.

The amount of our anticipated capital expenditures may change based on future changes in business plans, our financial condition and general economic conditions.
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We continually review, and are currently reviewing, opportunities to acquire additional television and radio stations, as well as other broadcast or media opportunities targeting the Hispanic market in the United States. We expect to finance any future acquisitions through net cash flow from operations, borrowings under our syndicated bank credit facility and additional debt and equity financing. Any additional financing, if needed, might not be available to us on reasonable terms or at all. Any failure to raise capital when needed could seriously harm our business and our acquisition strategy. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of equity securities, the percentage of ownership of our existing stockholders will be reduced. Furthermore, these equity securities might have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of our Class A common stock.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

## General

Market risk represents the potential loss that may impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows due to adverse changes in the financial markets. We are exposed to market risk from changes in the base rates on our variable rate debt. Under our syndicated bank credit facility, if we exceed certain leverage ratios we would be required to enter into derivative financial instrument transactions, such as swaps or interest rate caps, in order to manage or reduce our exposure to risk from changes in interest rates. Under no circumstances do we enter into derivatives or other financial instrument transactions for speculative purposes.

## Interest Rates

Our term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of $1.50 \%$, for a total interest rate of $7.01 \%$ at June 30, 2006. As of June 30, 2006, \$498 million of our term loan was outstanding. Our revolving facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin ranging from $1 \%$ to $2 \%$ based on our leverage. As of June $30,2006, \$ 145$ million was available under the revolving facility for future borrowings. We had approximately $\$ 2$ million in outstanding letters of credit as of that date, which reduced the amount otherwise available for future borrowings. Our syndicated bank credit facility requires us to enter into interest rate agreements if our leverage exceeds certain limits as defined in our credit agreement.

As of June 30, 2006, we had three interest rate swap agreements with a $\$ 466$ million aggregate notional amount, with quarterly reductions, that expire on October 1, 2010. These swap agreements convert a portion of our variable rate term loan into a fixed rate obligation of $5.96 \%$, which includes a margin of $1.50 \%$. We expect that as the notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements declines, our term loan amounts will continue to exceed the notional amount of our interest rate swap agreements. The excess loan amount outstanding over the notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements will incur interest at LIBOR plus $1.50 \%$. As of June 30, 2006, these interest rate swap agreements were not designated for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133, and as a result, changes in their fair values are reflected currently in earnings. At June 30, 2006, the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements was $\$ 12.7$ million and is classified as other assets on our balance sheet.

SFAS 133 requires us to recognize all of our derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, and further, on the type of hedging relationship. As of June 30, 2006, our interest rate swap agreements were not designated for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133, and as a result, the fair value is classified as other assets on the our balance sheet and as a reduction of interest expense on our statements of operations. For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, we recognized a reduction of $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 9.0$ million, respectively, in interest expense related to the increase in fair value of the interest rate swap agreements.

We have converted a portion of our variable rate term loan into a fixed rate obligation at June 30, 2006. We expect that our loan amounts will continue to exceed the notional amount of the interest rate swap agreements. Based on our current outstanding debt, a $2 \%$ increase in the variable interest rate of our syndicated bank credit facility would be expected to increase interest expense by approximately $\$ 1.8$ million over the next year. If the future interest yield curve decreases the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements will decrease and interest expense will increase. If the future interest yield curve increases the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements will increase and interest expense will decrease. Additionally, as the notional amount of the swap agreements decrease, the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements will decrease.
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## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in making known to them in a timely manner material information relating to us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) that is required to be included in our periodic SEC reports. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II.

## OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We currently and from time to time are involved in litigation incidental to the conduct of our business, but we are not currently a party to any lawsuit or proceeding which, in the opinion of management, is likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

No material change.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are attached hereto and filed herewith:
31.1 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2 Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

32 Certification of Periodic Financial Report by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.
/s/ John F. Delorenzo John F. DeLorenzo

Executive Vice President, Treasurer
and Chief Financial Officer
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

## Exhibit

## Number Description of Exhibit

31.1 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2 Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

32 Certification of Periodic Financial Report by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.


[^0]:    See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

