CYTEC INDUSTRIES INC/DE/ Form 10-Q August 09, 2005

\_\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-0

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

Commission file number 1-12372

CYTEC INDUSTRIES INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

22-3268660 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No).

Five Garret Mountain Plaza
West Paterson, New Jersey
(Address of principal executive offices)

07424 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (973) 357-3100

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [X] No []

There were 46,042,394 shares of common stock outstanding at August 1, 2005.

-1-

CYTEC INDUSTRIES INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES 10-Q Table of Contents

# Part I - Financial Information

	Item	1.	Consolidated Financial Statements Consolidated Statements of Operations Consolidated Balance Sheets	3 3 4
			Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
			Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6
	Item	2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
	Item	3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	30
	Item	4.	Controls and Procedures	30
Part II - (	Other	Inform	nation	
	Item	1.	Legal Proceedings	31
	Item	4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	32
	Item	6.	Exhibits	33
Signature Exhibit Ind	dex			34 35

-2-

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### Item 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CYTEC INDUSTRIES INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months End June 30,	
2005	2004 (1)	2005	2004
\$813.4	\$422.0	\$1,377.3	\$83
639.1	311.5	1,079.4	62
58.1	35.1	102.8	6
19.9	10.4	32.8	1
26.6	14.7	44.5	2
8.8	1.4	12.8	ŗ
			ļ
_	-	37.0	
60.9	48.9	68 <b>.</b> 0	9
(30.5)	(8.6)	(50.8)	(
4.5	0.5	6.6	ļ
38.5	4.5	48.1	ļ
(3.6)	36.3	(24.3)	8
	June  2005  \$813.4 639.1 58.1 19.9 26.6 8.8	June 30,  2005 2004 (1)  \$813.4 \$422.0 639.1 311.5 58.1 35.1 19.9 10.4 26.6 14.7 8.8 1.4   60.9 48.9 (30.5) (8.6) 4.5 0.5 38.5 4.5	June 30, June  2005 2004 (1) 2005  \$813.4 \$422.0 \$1,377.3 639.1 311.5 1,079.4 58.1 35.1 102.8 19.9 10.4 32.8 26.6 14.7 44.5 8.8 1.4 12.8  37.0  60.9 48.9 68.0 (30.5) (8.6) (50.8) 4.5 0.5 6.6 38.5 4.5 48.1

Income tax provision (benefit)	(15.3)	5.1		(29.0)	1
Earnings from continuing operations Earnings from discontinued operations held for sale (net of income tax provision of \$0.0 and	11.7	31.2		4.7	6
\$0.7)	0.2	_		0.6	
Net earnings	\$ 11.9	\$ 31.2	 \$ 	5.3	\$ 6
Basic net earnings per common share: Earnings from continuing operations Earnings from discontinued operations held for	\$0.26	\$0.80	\$	0.11	\$ 1
sale	_	_ 		0.01	
Net earnings	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.80		\$0.12 ======	\$ 1
Diluted net earnings per common share:					
Earnings from continuing operations Earnings from discontinued operations held for	\$0.25	\$0.77	\$	0.10	\$ 1
sale Net earnings	- \$ 0.25	- \$ 0.77	Ś	0.01	<b>\$</b> 1
		========	=====	=======	
Dividends per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$	0.20	\$ 0

(1) 2004 results were restated to show the effect of FSP 106-2, which was adopted retroactively during the third quarter of 2004, and the retroactive application of the change from the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") to the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") inventory method which was adopted on January 1, 2005. Refer to Note 1.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

-3-

# CYTEC INDUSTRIES INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004 (1)
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 114.4	\$ 323.8
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts		
of \$9.2 and \$6.7 in 2005 and 2004, respectively	503.4	248.2
Due from related party	19.0	_
Other accounts receivable	75.5	54.1
Inventories	487.6	263.8

Deferred income taxes	27.9	23.3
Other current assets	23.4	29.3
Assets of discontinued operations held for sale	96.7 	_ 
Total current assets	·	942.5
Investment in associated companies	10.7	85.5
Plants, equipment and facilities, at cost		1,627.2
Less: accumulated depreciation		(948.6)
Net plant investment	•	678.6
Acquisition intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of		
\$36.3 and \$23.1 in 2005 and 2004, respectively		66.8
Goodwill	1,010.3	342.4
Deferred income taxes	_	54.6
Other assets	119.0 	81.2
Total assets	\$4,099.2	\$2,251.6
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 268.7	\$ 138.1
Short-term borrowings	503.6	_
Current maturities of long-term debt	87.5	119.0
Accrued expenses	236.9	178.1
Income taxes payable	65.6	61.5
Liabilities of discontinued operations held for sale	32.2	_ 
Total current liabilities	1,194.5	496.7
Long-term debt	1,017.4	300.1
Pension and other postretirement benefit liabilities	397.8	348.3
Deferred income taxes	73.7	_
Other noncurrent liabilities	237.8	174.5
Stockholders' equity		
Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized;		
issued 48,132,640 shares	0.5	0.5
Additional paid-in capital	234.1	122.8
Retained earnings	1,105.2	1,108.5
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unearned compensation	(3.0)	(3.1)
Minimum pension liability	(103.5)	(108.5)
Unrealized net gains (losses) on cash flow hedges	0.3	(0.5)
Accumulated translation adjustments	11.2	73.3
		(38.8)
Treasury stock, at cost, 2,121,674 shares in 2005 and 8,297,863 shares in 2004	(66.8)	(261.0)
Total stockholders' equity	1,178.0	932.0
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$4,099.2	

<sup>(1)</sup> Balances at December 31, 2004 have been restated to show the retroactive

application of the change from the LIFO to the FIFO inventory method which was adopted on January 1, 2005. Refer to Note 1. See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

-4-

# CYTEC INDUSTRIES INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (Dollars in millions)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 2004 (1) Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities \$ 4.7 \$ 64.4 Net earnings from continuing operations Non cash items included in net earnings from continuing operations: Dividends from associated companies greater than (less than) earnings (4.3) 0.3 Depreciation 56.8 42.9 Amortization 14.4 5.6 (39.8)Deferred income taxes 15.5 Write-off of acquired in-process research and development 37.0 Amortization of write-up to fair market value of finished goods 20.8 purchased in acquisition Gains on sale of assets (1.2)(0.6)Unrealized losses on derivative instruments 23.4 (1.2) Other (0.1)Changes in operating assets and liabilities (excluding effect of acquisitions): (31.2) Trade accounts receivable (20.1)Other receivables 14.0 (1.5)(28.7) (21.5) Inventories (7.7) Other assets 5.7 1.9 35.3 Accounts payable Accrued expenses (7.5) (11.7)(19.5)(8.1)Income taxes payable 1.3 (22.9)Other liabilities Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities of continuing 57.7 58.7 Net cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations \_\_\_\_\_\_ Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities Cash flows (used in) investing activities (47.5) (35.7) Additions to plants, equipment and facilities 0.7 101.4 Proceeds received on sale of assets (1,482.5) Acquisition of business, net of cash received (26.5) Advanced payment of acquisition-related contingent consideration Minority interest (0.9)9.1 Advance payment received on land lease \_\_\_\_\_\_

Net cash used in investing activities	(1,456.0)	(25.9)	
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities			
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants	10.5	11.5	
Cash dividends	(8.6)	(7.8)	
Proceeds from long-term debt	864.2	_	
Payments on long-term debt	(186.2)	_	
Change in short-term borrowings	521.6	(0.2)	
Deferred financing costs	(4.4)	_	
Purchase of treasury stock	_	(13.2)	
Proceeds from termination of interest rate swap		2.7	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,197.1	(7.0)	
Effect of currency rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(9.0)	(6.9)	
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(209.4)	18.9	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	323.8	251.1	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$114.4			

(1) 2004 results were restated to show the effect of FSP 106-2, which was adopted retroactively during the third quarter of 2004, and the retroactive application of the change from the LIFO to the FIFO inventory method which was adopted on January 1, 2005. Refer to Note 1.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

-5-

# CYTEC INDUSTRIES INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts, unless otherwise indicated)

# 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for reporting on Form 10-Q. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. Financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP require management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and other disclosures. In the opinion of management of Cytec Industries Inc. (the "Company"), these financial statements include all normal and recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations and cash flows of the Company for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year. The statements should be read in conjunction with the

Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Unless indicated otherwise, the terms "Company" and "Cytec" each refer collectively to Cytec Industries Inc. and its subsidiaries.

On June 13, 2005 the Company issued a Current Report on Form 8-K to restate its previously issued financial statements for all years shown in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the following two items below.

Restatement for Change in Accounting Principle: Effective January 1, 2005, the Company changed its inventory costing method for U.S. inventories from the LIFO method to the FIFO method. The Company has applied this change retroactively by restating its financial statements as required by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes," and accordingly, previously reported amounts in the accompanying financial statements have been restated for all periods presented. As a result of this change, inventories at December 31, 2004 were increased by \$41.7, retained earnings were increased by \$25.4 and deferred income tax assets were decreased by \$16.3. See the table below for the effects on the results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2004 as a result of the retroactive restatement of the financial statements related to the change in accounting principle. The Company has not calculated and presented herein the impact on 2005 results if the Company had stayed on LIFO as the information is not believed to be meaningful given the acquisition completed on February 28, 2005.

Restatement for Adoption of FSP 106-2: The results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2004 included herein have been restated to show the effects of adopting the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Staff Position No. 106-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003" ("FSP 106-2"). In December 2003, Congress passed the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003. In May 2004, the FASB issued FSP 106-2 which requires companies to account for the reduction in accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as an actuarial gain to be amortized into income over the average remaining service period of plan participants. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP 106-2 in the third quarter of 2004, retroactive to January 1, 2004, as permitted by FSP 106-2.

-6-

As a result of the retroactive adoption of FSP 106-2 and the FIFO method of inventory valuation discussed above, net earnings and earnings per share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2004 have been restated as follows:

	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
	June 30, 2004	June 30, 2004
Net earnings, as originally reported	\$29.0	\$60.3
Effect of retroactive adoption of FIFO Effect of adopting FSP 106-2	1.5	2.7
Net earnings, as restated	\$31.2	\$64.4
Dagig not compined non share		
Basic net earnings per share, as originally reported Effect of retroactive adoption of FIFO	\$0.74 0.04	\$1.54 0.07

Effect of adopting FSP 106-2	0.02	0.04
Basic net earnings per share, as restated	\$0.80	\$1.65
Diluted net earnings per share, as originally reported Effect of retroactive adoption of FIFO Effect of adopting FSP 106-2	\$0.72 0.03 0.02	\$1.50 0.06 0.04
Diluted net earnings per share, as restated	\$0.77	\$1.60

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year's financial statements in order to conform to the current year's presentation.

#### 2. RECENT ACQUISITION AND RELATED EVENTS

On February 28, 2005, the Company completed its acquisition of the Surface Specialties business ("Surface Specialties") of UCB Group SA ("UCB") for cash and stock valued at \$1,799.6 subject to final working capital and other customary adjustments, of which \$1,508.8 (euro 1,138.5 at 1.325 U.S. dollar per euro) was paid in cash and the balance was paid in 5,772,857 shares of Cytec common stock (\$290.8 at \$50.37 per Cytec share). In addition, there is contingent consideration up to a maximum of 50 million euros, of which 20 million euros (\$26.5 at 1.325 US dollar per euro) was paid upon closing, subject to refund, and is not included in the total consideration of \$1,799.6, with the balance potentially payable in 2006. The contingent consideration is included in other assets in the accompanying balance sheet and will be earned on a pro-rata basis pending the achievement of certain full-year operating results by Surface Specialties in 2005. If the contingent consideration is earned, goodwill would be increased. Based on sales volumes of the acquired product lines during the first half of 2005, and estimated volumes during the second half of 2005, the Company believes that it will be entitled to a refund of all or substantially all of the contingent consideration that was paid at closing. In addition, \$12.8 of transaction costs were incurred in connection with the transaction.

The Company financed the cash component with \$600.0 under an unsecured 364-day credit facility, \$725.0 under an unsecured five-year term loan and the remaining \$184.0 was paid from existing cash. The Company intends to refinance the borrowings then outstanding under the 364-day credit facility with long-term debt later in 2005. Refer to Note 9 for other disclosures concerning the Company's debt.

Upon closing, UCB became the owner of approximately 12.5% of the outstanding shares of the Company. UCB and the Company also entered into a stockholder's agreement (the "Stockholder's Agreement") which provides, subject to various exceptions, that UCB must reduce its stake to less than 9% within three years, less than 7% within four years and less than 5% within five years and which provides that UCB will be prohibited from purchasing additional shares of Cytec common stock or causing, advocating or participating in a change of control in the ownership of Cytec. The Stockholders Agreement also contains customary terms and conditions including an obligation of UCB to vote its shares of Cytec common stock in accordance with the Company's Board of Directors' recommendation on certain matters.

The global Surface Specialties business had revenues of approximately \$1,350 in 2004 which included approximately \$154 of sales from the Surface Specialties amino resins ("SSAR") product line. Pursuant to regulatory approvals, the Company is required to divest SSAR by the end of August, 2005. On June 21, 2005, the Company announced that it had reached a definitive agreement to sell SSAR

for net cash consideration of \$78.0 (64 million euro at 1.22 U.S. dollar per euro). Until such time as SSAR is sold, results of its operations are classified as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. The net after-tax proceeds realized from the divestiture of SSAR will be used to reduce acquisition related debt. The assets and liabilities of SSAR have been classified separately in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. Refer to Note 4 for additional information relating to discontinued operations.

-7-

The Company had previously entered into forward-starting interest rate swaps to hedge the benchmark interest rate and credit spread on long-term debt that the Company intends to issue in 2005 to refinance the debt outstanding under the 364-day credit facility. In June 2005, the Company extended the maturity date of \$506.9 of the swaps to September 2005. Due to a reduction in borrowing requirements, the Company also liquidated \$60.4 forward starting interest rate swaps in June 2005 at a cost of \$3.7. The swaps are being marked to market and recorded currently in earnings until maturity or settlement. The net pre-tax impact of the marked to market value on these swaps was a loss of \$28.0 and \$28.7 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 which was recorded in other income (expense), net. In the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a loss of \$6.5 on interest rate swap transactions entered into in connection with the acquisition.

The Company had also previously entered into foreign currency forward contracts that related to approximately 87% of the euro exposure of 1.190 billion for the cash component of the Surface Specialties acquisition. The forward contracts, which matured on February 28, 2005, were marked to market and recorded currently in earnings until their maturity. The impact on earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2005 of the marked to market adjustment on these forward contracts was a net pre-tax expense of \$19.2 and was recorded in other income (expense), net. In the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company recorded an unrealized gain of \$33.3 on currency forward transactions entered into in connection with the acquisition.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the acquisition. The Company is in the process of finalizing working capital and other customary adjustments as well as third-party valuations of certain assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as well as performing its own internal assessment; thus the table below discloses a preliminary allocation of the purchase price. The preliminary allocation is subject to change, and such change could be significant. The Company expects to have the purchase price allocation substantially complete later in 2005.

Cash	\$	34.7
Current deferred tax assets		26.5
Other current assets		537.6
Assets of discontinued operations held for sale		98.7
Property, plant and equipment		460.7
Goodwill		736.5
Acquired intangible assets		490.3
Acquired in-process research and development		37.0
Other assets		25.9
Total assets acquired	\$2	,447.9
Current liabilities	\$	281.6

Liabilities of discontinued operations held for sale	26.7
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	189.9
Long-term debt	9.7
Other long-term liabilities	127.6
Total liabilities assumed	635.5
Net assets acquired	\$1,812.4

The \$736.5 of goodwill is not tax deductible and was allocated to the Company's Cytec Surface Specialties segment. The preliminary purchase price allocation reflects an estimate of \$527.3 of acquired intangible assets. Included in acquired intangible assets is \$45.7 relating to certain trade names which have indefinite useful lives. The remaining intangibles that were acquired were assigned to customer-related (\$382.5), marketing-related (\$50.8) and technology-related intangibles (\$11.3), and are being amortized over periods of 10 to 15 years. Immediately following the acquisition, \$37.0 of acquired in-process research and development costs were written off.

Following are the unaudited pro forma combined results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 as if Cytec and Surface Specialties had been combined as of the beginning of each of the periods presented but excludes the results of SSAR which became a discontinued operation as of the date of acquisition. Additionally, the write-off of in-process research and development costs and inventory valuation adjustments were excluded from the 2005 and 2004 amounts as they are considered non-recurring charges. The pro forma results include estimates and assumptions which are subject to adjustment pending completion of the purchase price allocation and will also be affected by final working capital and other customary adjustments. However, pro forma results do not include any anticipated cost savings or other effects of the planned integration and are not indicative of the results which would have actually occurred if the business combination had been in effect on the dates indicated, or which may result in the future.

-8-

The pro forma information set forth below considers the following factors: the issuance of 5,772,857 shares of Cytec common stock to UCB in connection with the acquisition; the anticipated sale of SSAR; the issuance of acquisition-related debt of \$1,325.0 at a weighted-average interest rate of 3.79% and the associated increase in interest expense, net of the after tax proceeds from the anticipated sale of SSAR used to pay down such debt; a net reduction in cash and an associated reduction in interest income as a result of the on-hand cash utilized to purchase Surface Specialties; increased amortization of acquisition intangibles; decreased depreciation expense based on asset values and estimated useful lives included in the preliminary valuation report; amortization of deferred financing costs and the tax effects of each of these items.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2005	2004	2005	2004	
Revenues	\$813.4	\$722.8	\$1,602.1	\$1,429.1	

Net earnings	\$ 18.4	\$ 41.4	\$ 57.6	\$ 85.8
Net earnings per common share: Basic Diluted	\$ 0.40 \$ 0.39	\$ 0.92 \$ 0.90		1.91 1.87
Dilucea	Ψ 0.39	Q 0.90	 1.22	 1.07

#### 3. ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements: In December, 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"). SFAS 123R addresses the accounting for transactions in which an enterprise receives employee services in exchange for (a) equity instruments of the enterprise or (b) liabilities that are based on the fair value of the enterprise's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of such equity instruments. When SFAS 123R becomes effective, it will replace SFAS No. 123 and supersede Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," ("APB No. 25") and will require companies to recognize compensation expense in an amount equal to the fair value of share-based payments, such as stock options granted to employees. Companies were required to implement the proposed standard no later than July 1, 2005, however, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued a statement in April, 2005 that allows registrants to implement SFAS 123R at the beginning of their next fiscal year. The Company anticipates that it will adopt the new standard effective January 1, 2006 utilizing the modified prospective basis as allowed under SFAS 123R (see Note 5).

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs - An amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4" ("SFAS 151"). SFAS 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). Additionally, SFAS No. 151 requires that the allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 is required to be adopted by the Company in the first quarter of 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS 151 on its consolidated financial statements. The Company will adopt the new standard effective January 1, 2006.

#### 4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On June 21, 2005, the Company announced that it had reached a definitive agreement to sell SSAR to affiliates of INEOS Group Limited ("INEOS") for net cash consideration of 64 million euros (\$78.0 at 1.22 U.S. dollar per euro), subject to certain closing adjustments. Pursuant to regulatory approvals, the Company is required to divest SSAR by the end of August, 2005. Since its acquisition date and until such time as SSAR is sold, results of its operations are classified as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. SSAR develops and manufactures amino resins for use in various industries. SSAR has assets and liabilities that are located primarily in the U.S., Canada and Germany.

A summary of the operating results of SSAR for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and for the four-month period since acquisition through June 30, 2005, which was included in the results of discontinued operations for six-months ended June 30, 2005, is as follows:

Three Months Four Months
Ended June 30, Ended June 30,
2005 2005

Revenues	\$37.8	\$51.8
Earnings before income taxes Income tax expense	\$0.2 0.0	\$1.3 0.7
Earnings from discontinued operations	\$0.2	\$0.6

-9-

The assets and liabilities of SSAR included in the June 30, 2005 consolidated balance sheet are comprised of:

Accounts receivable Inventories Property, plant and equipment Other assets and intangibles	\$25.4 14.9 35.7 20.7
Assets held for sale	\$96.7
Accounts payable Accrued liabilities and other current liabilities Other liabilities	\$12.0 2.7 17.5
Liabilities held for sale	\$32.2

#### 5. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation under the recognition and measurement principles of APB No. 25 and related Interpretations. No stock-based compensation cost is reflected in net earnings for stock options, as all stock options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant. Compensation cost for restricted stock is recorded based on the market value on the date of grant, and compensation cost for performance stock is recorded based on the quoted market price of the Company's common stock at the end of each period through the date of vesting. The fair value of restricted and performance stock is charged to unearned compensation in Stockholders' Equity and amortized to expense over the requisite vesting periods. Stock appreciation rights ("SARS") are accounted for as a liability under APB No. 25 and are payable in cash. Compensation cost for SARS is recognized in the statement of operations over the vesting period and through the life of the award based on changes in the current market price of the Company's common stock over the market price at the grant date.

The following table illustrates the effect on net earnings and net earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to all stock-based employee compensation:

The	ree Months	Ended	Six Months	Ended
	June 30,		June 30,	
20	005	2004	2005	2004

Net earnings as reported or restated Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net earnings, net of related tax effects Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense	\$11.9	\$31.2 *	\$ 5.3	\$64.4 *
<pre>determined under fair-value-based method   for all awards, net of related tax effects</pre>	1.8	1.7	3.6	3.5
Pro forma net earnings	\$10.1	\$30.3	\$2.3	\$62.0
Net earnings per share: Basic, as reported or restated Basic, pro forma Diluted, as reported or restated Diluted, pro forma	\$0.22 \$0.25 \$0.21	\$0.77 *	\$0.05 \$0.12 \$0.05	\$1.58 \$1.60 * \$1.54

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  2004 results were restated to show the effect of FSP 106-2, which was adopted retroactively during the third quarter of 2004, and the retroactive application of the change from the LIFO to the FIFO inventory method which was adopted on January 1, 2005. Refer to Note 1.

-10-

The fair value of each stock option granted before January 1, 2005 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for the quarter ended June 30, 2004:

	2004
Expected life (years)	5.7
Expected volatility	46.6%
Expected dividend yield	1.0%
Risk-free interest rate	3.4%
Weighted average fair value per option	\$16.20

For stock options granted after January 1, 2005, the fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a binomial-lattice option valuation model. The binomial-lattice model considers characteristics of fair value option pricing that are not available under the Black-Scholes model. Similar to the Black-Scholes model, the binomial model takes into account variables such as volatility, dividend yield rate, and risk free interest rate. However, in addition, the binomial model considers the contractual term of the option, the probability that the option will be exercised prior to the end of its contractual life, and the probability of termination or retirement of the option holder in computing the value of the option. For these reasons, the Company believes that the binomial-lattice model provides a fair value that is more representative of actual experience and future expected experience than the value calculated in previous years, using Black-Scholes. The assumptions for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 are noted in the following table:

	2005
Expected life (years)	5.8
Expected volatility	38.5%
Expected dividend yield	0.84%
Range of risk-free interest rate	2.1% - 4.2%
Weighted average fair value per option	\$17.78

#### 6. EARNINGS PER SHARE (EPS)

Basic earnings per common share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding (which includes shares outstanding, less performance and restricted shares for which vesting criteria have not been met) plus deferred stock awards, weighted for the period outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share is computed by dividing net earnings by the sum of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period adjusted (i.e., increased) for all additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potentially dilutive common shares had been issued and any proceeds of the issuance had been used to repurchase common stock at the average market price during the period. The proceeds are assumed to be the sum of the amount to be paid to the Company upon exercise of options, the amount of compensation cost attributed to future services and not yet recognized and the amount of income taxes that would be credited to or deducted from capital upon exercise.

The following shows the reconciliation of weighted average shares:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Month June	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Weighted average shares				
outstanding: Effect of dilutive shares:	46,161,839	39,221,043	44,140,565	39,159,998
Options	996,240	1,028,336	1,148,398	971 <b>,</b> 330
Performance/Restricted Stock	84,011	71,852	82,099	79,271
Adjusted average shares outstanding	47,242,090	40,321,231	45,371,062	40,210,599

Stock options to purchase 915,650 and 911,150 shares of common stock, respectively, were outstanding during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 but were excluded from the above calculation because their inclusion would have an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per share.

## 7. INVENTORIES

Effective January 1, 2005, the Company changed its inventory costing method for U.S. inventories from the LIFO method to the FIFO method. Refer to Note 1.

-11-

Inventories, as restated, consisted of the following:

June 30, December 31,

	2005	2004
Finished goods Work in process Raw materials & supplies	\$ 318.1 27.6 141.9	\$ 165.0 20.6 78.2
Total inventories	\$ 487.6	\$ 263.8

#### 8. EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF ASSOCIATED COMPANIES AND MINORITY INTERESTS

Through May 31, 2005, the Company had one associated company that was material to its operations, CYRO Industries ("CYRO"), a 50% owned joint venture. On June 1, 2005, the Company sold its 50% ownership in CYRO to its joint venture partner Degussa Specialty Polymers, a company of Degussa AG, for cash consideration of \$95.0 plus \$5.0 for working capital adjustments based on preliminary estimates. The proceeds of this transaction essentially recovered the carrying value of Cytec's investment in CYRO. Estimated net after-tax proceeds realized from the sale of CYRO of \$81.5 were used to reduce acquisition-related debt.

Summarized financial information for the Company's equity in earnings of CYRO included in the Company's results for the three and six months ended June 30, is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005*	2004	2005**	2004
Net sales	\$58.3	\$76.8	\$148.1	\$149.2
Gross profit	\$11.8	\$ 9.8	\$ 27.3	\$ 19.5
Net earnings	\$ 6.0	\$ 1.0	\$ 12.4	\$ 1.6
Equity in earnings of associated company	\$ 4.3	\$ 0.5	\$ 6.3	\$ 0.8

- \* Represents results for the two-month period ended May 31, 2005.
- \*\* Represents results for the five-month period ended May 31, 2005.

Upon acquisition of Surface Specialties, Cytec acquired a 50% ownership interest in SK Cytec Co., Ltd., a joint venture that manufacturers and sells certain similar products to those sold by Surface Specialties. The operations of SK Cytec Co., Ltd. are not material to the operations of the Company. Upon acquisition of Surface Specialties, Cytec also acquired ownership interests in two majority-owned entities for which the net assets and results of operations are consolidated. The earnings associated with the minority ownership interests are included in other income (expense), net and amount to \$0.2 and \$0.3, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. The minority ownership interests in the net assets of these entities are included in other noncurrent liabilities and total \$1.8 as of June 30, 2005.

#### 9. DEBT

The Company funded a majority of the cash portion of the purchase price of the Surface Specialties acquisition with bank debt. The Company borrowed \$725.0 under its unsecured five-year term loan facility and \$600.0 under its unsecured 364-day credit facility both at interest rates based on a floating LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin which is based on the Company's credit rating and is

subject to change. The \$725.0 facility requires a payment of the lesser of \$72.5 or the then outstanding balance each December from 2005 through 2008 with a final payment due February 2010. Any amounts outstanding under the \$600.0 facility at maturity are due and payable at that time. At June 30, 2005, \$657.9 is outstanding under the \$725.0 facility. At June 30, 2005, \$468.3 remains outstanding under the \$600.0 facility. Short term borrowings on the accompanying balance sheet at June 30, 2005 include the remaining balance owed under the \$600.0 facility. The facilities contain covenants that are customary for such facilities.

On February 28, 2005, the Company entered into a cross currency rate swap to effectively convert \$950.0 of the \$1,325.0 of U.S. dollar denominated bank debt to euro denominated debt. This swap, which matured on April 1, 2005, was used as a natural hedge of the foreign exchange impact on certain euro denominated intercompany loans that the Company acquired as part of the acquisition of Surface Specialties. Mark-to-market gains or losses on the swap from changes in the value of the euro are included in the statement of operations and offset the corresponding losses or gains on the intercompany loans. On the maturity date of the swap, the Company converted \$904.0 of its U.S. dollar denominated bank borrowings into euro denominated bank borrowings. The weighted-average interest rate on the acquisition-related debt facilities was 3.57% at June 30, 2005. At June 30, 2005, \$824.5 of the Company's bank borrowings were euro-denominated. Also at June 30, 2005, \$630.1 of these euro-denominated bank borrowings naturally hedged euro-denominated intercompany loans and the remaining \$194.4 of euro-denominated debt is treated as a hedge of its net investment in Cytec Surface Specialties SA/NV.

-12-

In May 2005, the Company elected to redeem the Mandatory Par Put Remarketed Securities ("MOPPRS"), at the optional redemption price of \$141.0. The optional redemption price represented the \$120.0 principal amount of the securities and a \$21.0 pre-tax interest charge for redemption prior to their final maturity. The redemption provided the Company with the ability to refinance this debt at a lower cost and a shorter tenor. Due to this redemption, the Company recognized additional interest expense of \$1.0 from amounts related to the unamortized put premium and rate lock agreements for these securities. The total expense of \$22.0 was recorded in the second quarter. The \$350.0 unsecured five-year revolving credit facility was used to fund a majority of the redemption of the MOPPRS. At June 30, 2005, \$122.2 is outstanding under the \$350.0 facility.

Long-term debt, including the current portion, consisted of the following:

	June 30	, 2005 Carrying Value
	Face	value
Five-Year Term Loan Due February 15, 2010 6.75% Notes Due March 15, 2008 Five-Year Revolving Credit Due February 15, 2010 4.60% Notes Due July 1, 2013 Other	\$ 657.9 100.0 122.2 200.0 24.8	98.5 122.2 201.8
Less: Current maturities	1,104.9 87.5	1,105.2 87.5
Long-term debt	\$1,017.4	\$1,017.7

#### 10. CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

#### Environmental Matters

The Company is subject to substantial costs arising out of environmental laws and regulations, which include obligations to remove or limit the effects on the environment of the disposal or release of certain wastes or substances at various sites or to pay compensation to others for doing so.

As of June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the aggregate environmental related accruals were \$105.9 and \$70.7, respectively. The increase primarily relates to the preliminary estimate of liabilities assumed upon the acquisition of Surface Specialties. As of June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, \$6.0 and \$10.0, respectively, of the above amounts were included in accrued expenses, with the remainder included in other noncurrent liabilities. Environmental remediation spending for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$1.0 and \$3.7, respectively and for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$2.2 and \$4.8, respectively.

These accruals can change substantially due to such factors as additional information on the nature or extent of contamination, methods of remediation required, changes in the apportionment of costs among responsible parties and other actions by governmental agencies or private parties or if the Company is named in a new matter and determines an accrual needs to be provided for or if the Company determines it is not liable and no longer requires an accrual.

A further discussion of environmental matters can be found in Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### Other Contingencies

The Company is the subject of numerous lawsuits and claims incidental to the conduct of its or certain of its predecessors' businesses, including lawsuits and claims relating to product liability, personal injury including asbestos, environmental, contractual, employment and intellectual property matters.

As of June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the aggregate self-insured and insured contingent liability was \$68.9 and \$68.4, respectively, and the related insurance receivable was \$37.1 at June 30, 2005 and \$37.9 at December 31, 2004. The liability related to asbestos claims included in the above amounts at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 was \$50.2 and \$50.4, respectively, and the related insurance receivable was \$34.8 at June 30, 2005 and \$34.2 at December 31, 2004. The Company anticipates receiving a net tax benefit for payment of those claims to which full insurance recovery is not realized.

The following table presents information about the asbestos claims against the Company:

-13-

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Six Months Ended June 30, 2005

Year Ended December 31, 2004

Number of claimants associated with claims closed

during period	7,744	3,540
Number of claimants associated with claims opened		
during period	856	4,532
Number of claimants at end of period	21,059	27 <b>,</b> 947

It should be noted that the ultimate liability and related insurance recovery for all pending and anticipated future claims cannot be determined with certainty due to the difficulty of forecasting the numerous variables that can affect the amount of the liability and insurance recovery. These variables include but are not limited to: (i) significant changes in the number of future claims; (ii) significant changes in the average cost of resolving claims; (iii) changes in the nature of claims received; (iv) changes in the laws applicable to these claims; and (v) financial viability of co-defendants and insurers.

The Company is among several defendants in approximately 30 cases, in which plaintiffs assert claims for personal injury, property damage, and other claims for relief relating to lead pigment that was used as an ingredient decades ago in paint for use in buildings. The different suits were brought by government entities and/or individual plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and others. The suits variously seek compensatory and punitive damages and/or injunctive relief, including funds for the cost of monitoring, detecting and removing lead based paint from buildings and for medical monitoring; for personal injuries allegedly caused by ingestion of lead based paint; and plaintiffs' attorneys' fee. The Company believes that the suits are without merit and is vigorously defending against all such claims. Accordingly, no loss contingency has been recorded. The Company has access to a substantial amount of primary and excess general liability insurance for property damage and believes these policies are available to cover a significant portion of both its defense costs and indemnity costs, if any, for lead pigment-related property damage claims.

In July 2005, the Supreme Court of Wisconsin held in a case in which the Company is one of several defendants that Wisconsin's risk contribution doctrine applies to bodily injury cases against manufacturers of white lead pigment. Under this doctrine, manufacturers of white lead pigment may be liable for injuries caused by white lead pigment based on their market shares unless they can prove they are not responsible for the white lead pigment which caused the injury in question. Seven other courts have previously rejected the applicability of this and similar doctrines to white lead pigment. The Company expects that its liability, if any, in this case to be immaterial.

The Company reached an agreement in March 2005 with one of its insurers regarding the payment of past and future defense costs associated with the lead pigment litigation. Through the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company was reimbursed \$3.6 relating to the recovery of past and current year defense costs under this agreement. Also through the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company recorded in other income (expense), net, \$1.5 primarily related to the recovery of past defense costs.

In the first quarter of 2005, the Company increased its reserves by \$4.4 as a result of its agreement in principle to settle claims by a third party for the costs of environmental remediation at a manufacturing site operated by the former American Cyanamid Company ("Cyanamid") prior to 1944. In connection with the Company's 1993 spin-off from Cyanamid, the Company agreed to indemnify Cyanamid for claims of this nature. Under the terms of the settlement which was finalized in the second quarter of 2005, the third party has released all claims and indemnified the Company against third-party environmental remediation claims arising from the alleged contamination at the site. Although the Company believed it had meritorious defenses to this claim, the Company agreed to the settlement to avoid incurring additional legal fees and any risk of an adverse

outcome in any related litigation.

In the second quarter of 2005, the Company increased its reserves by \$2.4 as a result of its agreement in principle to settle certain claims by a third party for \$2.7.

While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of all pending environmental matters, lawsuits and claims, it is reasonably possible that there will be a necessity for future provisions for costs for environmental matters and for other contingent liabilities that in management's opinion, will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company, but could be material to the consolidated results of operations or cash flows of the Company in any one accounting period. The Company cannot estimate any additional amount of loss or range of loss in excess of the recorded amounts. Moreover, many of these liabilities are paid over an extended period, and the timing of such payments cannot be predicted with any certainty.

From time to time the Company is also included in legal proceedings as a plaintiff involving tax, contract, patent protection, environmental and other legal matters. Gain contingencies, if any, are recorded when they are realized.

-14-

A further discussion of other contingencies can be found in Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### Commitments

The Company frequently enters into long-term contracts with customers with terms that vary depending on specific industry practices. The Company's business is not substantially dependent on any single contract or any series of related contracts. Descriptions of the Company's significant sales contracts at year end are set forth in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### 11. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The components of comprehensive income (loss), which represents the change in equity from non-owner sources, for the three and six months ended June 30, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ende June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net earnings as reported or restated *	\$ 11.9	\$31.2	\$ 5.3	\$64.4
Other comprehensive income:				
Minimum pension liability adjustments	6.0		5.0	
Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges	(0.8)	(0.3)	0.8	(0.1)
Foreign currency translation				
adjustments	(53.5)	(8.3)	(62.1)	(16.5)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(36.4)	\$22.6	\$(51.0)	\$47.8

 $^{*}$  2004 results were restated to show the effect of FSP 106-2, which was adopted retroactively during the third quarter of 2004, and the retroactive application of the change from the LIFO to the FIFO inventory method which was adopted on January 1, 2005. Refer to Note 1.

#### 12. INCOME TAXES

The Company recognized a tax benefit of \$15.3 (effective tax rate benefit of 425%) on the loss from continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and a tax benefit of \$29.0 (effective tax rate benefit of 119%) for the six months ended June 30, 2005 versus an effective tax rate of 14% and 20%, respectively, in 2004. The Company's 2005 effective tax rate for the quarter and year to date was favorably impacted by hedging losses incurred in the U.S. in connection with the Surface Specialties acquisition, the MOPPRS redemption, and reduction in tax expense due to audits of various prior years as discussed below. The rate was unfavorably impacted by the write-off of acquired in-process research and development expenses related to the Surface Specialties acquisition, for which there is no tax benefit. Excluding these items, the Company's underlying annual effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was 27%. The Company's underlying effective tax rate in 2004 was 23%. This reflected the Company's continued earnings growth in lower tax jurisdictions and, to a lesser extent, a favorable international tax ruling received in the first quarter. The increase in the underlying rate for 2005 is due to the acquisition of Surface Specialties which operates in a mix of countries with higher effective tax rates than the countries in which heritage Cytec business operated.

In January, 2005, the Company received notice that the Congressional Joint Committee on Taxation (the "Joint Committee") approved the final IRS examination findings for the years 1999 through 2001 and a separate tax refund claim filed by the Company for 1998. Such Joint Committee approval resulted in a tax refund of approximately \$0.2 and \$0.1 for the years 1998 and 2000 respectively, which was recorded in the first quarter of 2005. The Company also recorded a reduction in tax expense of approximately \$16.2 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 to reflect the final resolution of these audits.

In May, 2005, the Company received a final notice from the Norwegian Assessment Board disclosing an increase to taxable income with respect to a 1999 restructuring of certain of the Company's European operations. The tax liability attributable to this assessment, excluding interest and possible penalties, is approximately 84 million Norwegian krone (\$12.8) as of June 30, 2005. This final assessment reflects a 20.7 million Norwegian krone decrease in the assessed tax liability compared with the prior audit report issued by the tax authorities. As a result, the Company has recorded a corresponding reduction in tax expense of approximately \$4.2, including interest, in the quarter ended June 30, 2005 to reflect this final assessment. The Company has retained tax counsel to assist in the defense of the final assessment since the issue will likely be litigated given the Company's vigorous defense in protesting the increase of taxable income. Notwithstanding the Company's meritorious defenses in this matter, in prior years as this matter developed, the Company accrued for the potential unfavorable outcome of this dispute for the full amount of the tax liability of the assessment. Assuming the dispute resolution process follows a normal course, final resolution of the matter, and the impact, if any, on the cash flows of the Company will probably occur in 2006.

The Company also received a separate notice from the Norwegian tax authorities in the second quarter of 2005 disclosing a complete termination of pleadings regarding a potential Norway permanent establishment ("PE") with respect to a Company affiliate. Given the favorable resolution of this PE issue, the Company has adjusted its contingency reserves accordingly and recorded a reduction in tax expense of \$5.4, including interest, in the second quarter ended June 30, 2005.

#### 13. OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION

On April 21, 2005 the Board of Directors declared a \$0.10 per common share cash dividend, paid on May 25, 2005 to shareholders of record as of May 10, 2005. Cash dividends paid in the second quarter of 2005 and 2004 were \$4.6 and \$3.9, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were \$8.6 and \$7.8, respectively. On July 21, 2005 the Board of Directors declared a \$0.10 per common share cash dividend, payable on August 25, 2005 to shareholders of record as of August 10, 2005.

Income taxes paid for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were \$30.6 and \$4.4, respectively. Taxes paid during the six months ended June 30, 2005 included \$15.3 of pre-acquisition tax liabilities of Surface Specialties for which reimbursement from UCB has been arranged. Interest paid for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$36.3 and \$8.5, respectively. Interest paid for the six months ended June 30, 2005 included interest charges of \$21.0 which resulted from the redemption of the MOPPRS prior to their final maturity.

Included in due from related party on the accompanying balance sheet are certain tax reimbursements to be received from UCB in accordance with the terms of the purchase agreement entered into in connection with the acquisition of Surface Specialties. Additionally, in connection with certain transition services agreements entered into with UCB upon closing of the purchase of Surface Specialties, included in accrued expenses at June 30, 2005 are \$0.7 related to such agreements. Through June 30, 2005, results of operations reflect expenses of \$5.5 recognized under these agreements.

#### 14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Cytec Performance Specialties

Effective with the acquisition of Surface Specialties, the Company realigned its organizational and reporting structure. The following tables disclose net sales and earnings (losses) under the new reporting structure for all periods presented. The total assets of the Cytec Surface Specialties segment includes the assets acquired on February 28, 2005 (refer to Note 2). Also total assets of \$249.1 were transferred to the Cytec Performance Specialties segment on January 1, 2005.

Summarized information for the Company's four segments is as follows:

	Three Month June 3	30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2005	2004	2005		
Net sales					

Sales to external customers \$ 185.8 \$ 164.7 \$ 359.2 \$

Intersegment sales	1.7	0.8	2.8	
Cytec Surface Specialties	412.7	77.8	603.5	1
Cytec Engineered Materials	141.0	128.1	268.8	2
Building Block Chemicals				
Sales to external customers	73.9	51.4	145.8	1
Intersegment sales	21.6	20.8	44.8	
Net sales from segments Elimination of intersegment	836.7	443.6	1,424.9	8
revenue	(23.3)	(21.6)	(47.6)	(
Net sales	\$ 813.4	\$ 422.0	\$1,377.3	\$ 8

Earnings (loss) from operations		<u> </u>	% of sales 			% of ales			% of ales 	
Cytec Performance Specialties	\$	16.9	9%	\$	10.9	7%	\$	31.2	9%	\$ 20
Cytec Surface Specialties		14.1	3%		11.9	15%		(20.4)	-3%	20
Cytec Engineered Materials		25.3	18%		26.6	21%		48.7	18%	50
Building Block Chemicals		6.7	7%		2.0	3%		14.0	7%	8
	-			_			_			 
Earnings from segments		63.0	8%		51.4	12%		73.5	5%	99
Corporate and Unallocated	-	(2.1)		_	(2.5)		_	(5.5)		 (4
Earnings from operations	\$	60.9	7%	\$	48.9	12%	\$	68.0	5%	\$ 95

-16-

# 15. GOODWILL AND OTHER ACQUISITION INTANGIBLES

The following is the activity in the goodwill balances for each segment. The 2004 beginning balances have been restated to reflect the new organizational structure referred to in Note 1:

	Cytec Performance Specialties	Cytec Surface Specialties	Cytec Engineered Materials	Corporate	Total
Balance, December 31, 2004 2005 Acquisition	\$63.6	\$ 30.7	\$247.4	\$0.7	\$ 342.4
(Note 2)	-	736.5	_	_	736.5

					(62.3)
Other	_	_	(6.3)	-	(6.3)
Balance, June 30, 2005	\$63.0	\$705.4	\$241.2	\$0.7	\$1,010.3

The Company recorded a reduction to goodwill of \$6.3 as a result of the favorable resolution of a tax contingency related to an acquisition that occurred in a prior reporting period.

Other acquisition intangibles as of June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, consisted of the following major classes:

	Weighted Average Useful	Gross ca	rrying value	ulated ization	Net carrying value			
_	Life (years)	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004	June 30, 2005	December 31,	June 30, 2005	December 3	
Technology-based	15.3	\$ 52.5	\$42.5	\$(13.4)	\$(12.2)	\$ 39.1	\$30.	
Marketing-related	15.4	60.7	11.6	(6.3)	(4.0)	54.4	7.	
Marketing-relatedi	ndefinite	41.8	_	_	_	41.8		
Customer-related	15.0	397.2	35.8	(16.7)	(6.9)	380.5	28.	
Total		\$552.2	\$89.9	\$(36.4)	\$(23.1)	\$515.8	\$66.	

Amortization of acquisition intangibles for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$8.8 and \$1.4, respectively and for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were \$12.8 and \$2.8, respectively. Amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2005 includes four months of amortization of the acquisition intangibles associated with the Company's purchase of Surface Specialties. Assuming no change in the gross carrying amount of acquisition intangibles and the currency exchange rates remain constant, the estimated amortization of acquisition intangibles for the fiscal year 2005 is \$29.9 and for the years 2006 through 2009 is \$34.4 per year. Included in marketing-related intangibles is \$41.8 relating to certain trade names purchased upon acquisition of Surface Specialties which have indefinite useful lives.

#### 16. COMMODITY AND DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

At June 30, 2005, the Building Block Chemicals segment Fortier plant's 2005 remaining forecasted natural gas utility requirements were 75% hedged utilizing natural gas forward contracts at an average cost of \$7.01 per MMBTU. These contracts have delivery dates ranging from July, 2005 to December, 2005. Additionally, the plant's 2006 gas utility requirements were 40% hedged at June 30, 2005 at an average cost of \$7.92 per MMBTU, and these contracts have delivery dates ranging from January to June 2006.

At June 30, 2005, the Company held natural gas swaps with a favorable fair value of \$0.3, net of taxes, which will be reclassified into Manufacturing Cost of Sales through March 2006 as these swaps are settled.

The Company had engaged in currency and interest rate hedging activities in connection with the acquisition of Surface Specialties and related financing and currently is engaged in interest rate hedging activities. Refer to Notes 2 and

9.

For more information regarding the Company's hedging activities and derivative financial instruments, refer to Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

-17-

#### 17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Net periodic cost for the Company's pension and postretirement benefit plans was as follows:

		Pension Plans				Postretirement Plans			
				ee Months 1					
		2005		2004		2005		2004	
Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan	\$	7.1 8.2	\$	3.6 8.7				0.2	
assets		(9.8)		(9.4)		(1.3)		(1.3)	
Net amortization and deferral		3.2		1.5		(2.6)		(2.7)	
Net periodic cost	\$		\$	4.4					
	Six Months Ended June 30,								
				2004				2004	
Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan		11.6	\$	7.3 17.4	\$	0.5	\$		
assets		(19.8)		(18.8)		(2.6)		(2.5)	
Net amortization and deferral		6.0		3.1		(5.3)		(5.3)	
Net periodic cost	\$	15.7	\$	9.0	\$	(0.2)	\$	(0.2)	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The Company disclosed in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, that it expected to contribute \$15.8 and \$20.5, respectively, to its pension and postretirement plans in 2005. Through June 30, 2005, \$7.1 and \$8.8 in contributions were made, respectively. The Company makes these voluntary contributions as a part of its normal financial planning. In conjunction with the acquisition, the Company is in the process of evaluating its pension and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  2004 amounts were restated to show the effect of FSP 106-2, which was adopted retroactively during the third quarter of 2004. Refer to Note 1.

post-retirement plans and related funding requirements and, as such, contributions relating to the acquired businesses have not been included in contributions amounts discussed above.

The Company also sponsors various defined contribution retirement plans in the United States and a number of other countries, consisting primarily of savings and profit growth sharing plans. Contributions to the savings plans are based on matching a percentage of employees' contributions. Contributions to the profit growth sharing plans are generally based on the Company's financial performance. Amounts expensed related to these plans for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were \$4.3 and \$3.8, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were \$9.1 and \$7.8, respectively.

-18-

# Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Dollars are in millions, except per share amounts. Percentages are approximate.

#### GENERAL

Cytec Industries Inc. is a global specialty chemicals and materials company and sells its products to diverse major markets for aerospace, automotive and industrial coatings, chemical intermediates, mining, plastics and water treatment. Sales volume by region and the impact of exchange rates are important measures that are analyzed by management.

On February 28, 2005, the Company acquired the Surface Specialties business of UCB. The acquisition was recorded using the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, the results of operations of Surface Specialties have been included in the Company's consolidated results from the date of acquisition. A further discussion of the acquisition of Surface Specialties can be found in Note 2 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained herein.

The Company reports its net sales in four segments: Cytec Performance Specialties, Cytec Surface Specialties, Cytec Engineered Materials and Building Block Chemicals. The Cytec Performance Specialties and Cytec Surface Specialties segments are collectively referred to as Specialty Chemicals. The Company also reports its net sales in four geographic regions: North America, Latin America, Asia/Pacific and Europe/Middle East/Africa. The destination of the sale determines the region under which it is reported consistent with management's view of the business. North America consists of the United States and Canada. Latin America includes Mexico, Central America, South America and the Caribbean Islands. Asia/Pacific is comprised of Asia, Australia and the islands of the South Pacific Rim.

Raw material cost changes year on year are an important factor in profitability especially in years of high volatility. Oil and natural gas costs are significantly higher than the year ago period and many of the Company's raw materials are derived from these two commodities. Key raw materials for the Specialty Chemicals and Building Block Chemicals segments are propylene, ammonia, methanol derivatives, acrylic acid and natural gas for energy. Key raw materials for the Cytec Engineered Materials segment are carbon fiber and various resins. Discussion of the year to year impact of raw materials and energy is provided in our segment discussion. In addition, higher global demand

levels and, occasionally, operating difficulties at suppliers, have limited the availability of certain of the Company's raw materials. In February, 2005, the Company's major supplier of propylene placed the Company on an 85% supply allocation of its requirements due to mechanical difficulties. This allocation did not impact Company operations during the six months ended June 30, 2005 as the Company procured adequate quantities of propylene from other suppliers to meet customer demand and to operate its plant at desired capacity. Normal supply resumed during the second quarter of 2005.

QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2005, COMPARED WITH QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

#### Consolidated Results

Net sales for the second quarter of 2005 were \$813.4 compared with \$422.0 for the second quarter of 2004. All segments reported increased sales. In the Cytec Surface Specialties segment, sales increased primarily as a result of the inclusion of sales from Surface Specialties. The Cytec Performance Specialties segment experienced increased sales due to increased volumes and the effect of price increase initiatives. The Cytec Engineered Materials segment sales increase was volume related, primarily from increased sales to the large commercial aircraft, automotive, and rotorcraft industries. The Building Block Chemicals segment sales increased as a result of increased volumes and from increased selling prices which were driven by higher raw material and energy costs.

For a detailed discussion on sales refer to the Segment Results section below.

Manufacturing cost of sales was \$639.1 during the second quarter of 2005 compared with \$311.6 during 2004. Most of the increase is associated with higher volumes, principally resulting from the impact of the acquisition of Surface Specialties. Cost of sales for the heritage businesses (i.e. the business of Cytec excluding the acquired Surface Specialties business) was impacted by higher raw material and energy costs of \$32.4 which were partially offset by increased selling prices of \$23.9. Exchange rate changes increased costs by \$2.6. Gross margin was also negatively impacted by a charge of \$10.3 related to purchase accounting for finished goods inventory of the acquired business recorded at fair value which exceeded normal manufacturing cost.

-19-

Selling and technical services was \$58.1 in 2005 versus \$35.1 in the prior year. This increase was primarily attributable to the inclusion of expenses related to Surface Specialties with an increase in heritage selling and technical services expenses accounting for \$2.3 of the increase of which \$0.7 resulted from the impact of exchange rates during the period.

Research and process development was \$19.9 in 2005 versus \$10.4 in the prior year. This increase was primarily attributable to the inclusion of expenses related to Surface Specialties with a base increase in heritage research and process development expenses accounting for \$0.7 of the increase of which \$0.1 resulted from the impact of exchange rates during the period.

Administrative and general expenses were \$26.6 in 2005 versus \$14.7 in the prior year. This increase was primarily attributable to the inclusion of expenses relating to Surface Specialties and a charge of \$2.4 related to the anticipated settlement of a litigation matter and \$0.2 resulted from the impact of exchange rates during the period. Administrative and general expenses decreased as a percentage of net sales.

Amortization of acquisition intangibles was \$8.8 in 2005 versus \$1.4 in the prior year. This increase resulted from the inclusion of amortization expense relating to the intangibles which resulted from the acquisition of Surface Specialties.

Other income (expense), net was an expense of \$30.5 in 2005 compared with expense of \$8.7 in the prior year. Included in the 2005 results was a loss of \$28.0 related to derivative contracts entered into to hedge interest rate exposure associated with the acquisition of Surface Specialties. The Company entered into these interest rate derivatives in anticipation of future long-term debt that would be issued to refinance debt. Included in the 2004 quarter was a charge of \$6.1 in connection with the settlement of several environmental remediation and toxic tort lawsuits.

Equity in earnings of associated companies was \$4.5 in 2005 versus \$0.5 in the prior year. The increase in earnings was primarily due to an increase in sales volumes and selling prices experienced by CYRO. On May 31, 2005, the Company sold its 50% ownership in CYRO to its joint venture partner Degussa Specialty Polymers, a company of Degussa AG, for cash consideration of \$95.0 plus \$5.0 for working capital adjustments based on preliminary estimates. The proceeds of this transaction essentially recovered the carrying value of Cytec's investment in CYRO. Estimated net after-tax proceeds realized from the sale of CYRO of \$81.5 were used to reduce acquisition-related debt.

Interest expense, net was \$38.5 in 2005 compared with \$4.5 in the prior year. The increase resulted from a higher outstanding weighted-average debt balance during 2005 resulting from the debt incurred in connection with the Company's acquisition of Surface Specialties and \$21.0 of interest charges and \$1.0 unamortized put premiums and rate lock agreements related to the redemption of the MOPPRS in the second quarter of 2005.

The Company recognized a tax benefit of \$15.3 (425%) on the loss from continuing operations for the quarter ended June 30, 2005. For the quarter ended June 30, 2004, the effective tax rate was a tax provision of 14%. The Company's effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2005 was favorably impacted by U.S. hedging losses, the redemption of the MOPPRS and a credit of \$9.6 for the resolution of various tax audits of prior year returns in an international tax jurisdiction as discussed in Note 12 of the accompanying financial statements. Excluding these items, the Company's underlying annual effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 was 27%.

Earnings from discontinued operations were \$0.2 and reflect the results of SSAR for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.

Net earnings for 2005 were \$11.9 (\$0.25 per diluted share) compared with net earnings for 2004 of \$31.2 (\$0.77 per diluted share). The decrease of \$19.3 in net earnings resulted primarily from: an increase in interest expense resulting from higher outstanding weighted-average debt balance during 2005 related to the debt incurred in connection with the Company's acquisition of Surface Specialties and \$14.0 after tax interest charges and unamortized put premiums and rate lock agreements related to the redemption of the MOPPRS prior to their final maturity; the \$7.5 after tax amortization charge from the write-up to fair value of the acquired inventory that was subsequently sold; the \$17.7 after tax charge related to interest rate derivative transactions associated with the acquisition of Surface Specialties; an after tax charge of \$1.8 related to an anticipated settlement of a certain litigation matter and an income tax benefit of \$9.6 reflecting the partial resolution of a tax audits in Norway with respect to prior year tax returns. These items were partially offset by the impact of higher operating earnings resulting from the acquisition.

#### Segment Results

Year-to-year comparisons and analyses of changes in net sales by segment and region are set forth below and reflect the new organizational and reporting structure of its reportable segments for all periods presented.

Cytec Performance Specialties

	mate 1				% Change Due to			
	2005	2004	Total % Change	Price	Volume/Mix	Curr		
North America	\$ 74.5	\$ 66.9	11%	9%	2%			
Latin America	31.0	23.6	32%	6%	19%	!		
Asia/Pacific	27.9	22.9	22%	4%	15%	!		
Europe/Middle East/Africa	52.4	51.3	2%	4%	-5%	1		
Total	\$185.8	\$164.7	13%	7%	4%			

Overall selling volume increased 4% and is primarily attributable to increased sales in the water treating, mining chemicals and specialty additives product lines. Increased selling volumes for these product lines more than offset a decrease in the polymer additive product line. On a regional basis, sales volumes in Latin America increased 19% primarily due to improved demand for mining chemicals for copper mining applications. Sales volumes were up 15% in Asia/Pacific primarily due to increased demand for water treating chemicals from full service providers and mining chemicals. Overall average price increased as a result of implementation of price increase initiatives.

Earnings from operations were \$16.9, or 9% of sales, compared with \$10.9, or 7% of sales, in 2004. The increase in earnings is primarily attributable to increased selling volumes, the impact of price increases of \$10.5 and net favorable exchange rate changes of \$4.0, which more than offset higher raw material and energy costs of \$7.9.

Cytec Surface Specialties

					% Change Due to			
	2005	2004	Total %Change	Price	Acquisition/ Volume	 Cur		
North America	\$113.3	\$38.7	193%	3%	190%			
Latin America	13.4	4.0	235%	-6%	234%			
Asia/Pacific	60.8	15.6	290%	0%	288%	- /		
Europe/Middle East/Africa	225.2	19.5	1,046%	2%	1,041%			
Total	\$412.7	\$77.8	429%	2%	425%			

For all regions, selling volumes increased 425% primarily as a result of the inclusion of sales attributable to Surface Specialties which was acquired on February 28, 2005 with heritage volumes decreasing 2% which was primarily attributable to decreased volumes from existing Europe/Middle East/Africa operations.

Earnings from operations were \$14.1, or 3% of sales, compared with \$11.9 or 15% of sales in 2004. The increase in earnings is primarily attributable to the inclusion of results of operations attributable to Surface Specialties which was acquired on February 28, 2005 partially offset by a charge of \$10.3 for the write-off of manufacturing profit included in the inventories which were acquired in the acquisition and higher heritage raw material and energy costs of \$6.5.

Cytec Engineered Materials

			m - 1 - 1		% Change Due to		
	2005	2004	Total % Change 	Price	Volume/Mix	Curr	
Marth 2 Transition	¢ 00 6	¢ 07 0	4.0	1.0	2.0		
North America	\$ 90.6	\$ 87.0	4%	1%	3%		
Latin America(1)	0.3	0.4					
Asia/Pacific	7.0	5.7	23%	2%	21%		
Europe/Middle East/Africa	43.1	35.0	23%	4%	18%		
Total	\$141.0	\$128.1	10%	2%	8%		

(1) Due to the level of sales in this geographic region, percentage comparisons are not meaningful.

Overall selling volumes increased 8%. Asia/Pacific and Europe/Middle East/Africa sales volumes increased 21% and 18%, respectively, with the increases coming from the large commercial aircraft and rotorcraft sectors due to increased build rates for these aircraft.

Earnings from operations were \$25.3, or 18% of sales, compared with \$26.6, or 21% of sales, in 2004. The impact of the increased sales on operating earnings was more than offset unfavorable mix as a higher percentage of sales were in the lower margin segments such as automotive, manufacturing difficulties in Europe, and increased investments in technical commercial support and research to support future growth initiatives.

-21-

Building Block Chemicals (Sales to external customers)

				% Change Due	to
		Total			
2005	2004	% Change	Price	Volume/Mix	Curr

North America	\$37.3	\$27.5	36%	21%	15%
Latin America(1)	0.7	0.7			
Asia/Pacific	13.2	13.8	-4%	7%	-11%
Europe/Middle East/Africa	22.7	9.4	142%	30%	119%
Total	\$73.9	\$51.4	44%	19%	24%

(1) Due to the level of sales in this geographic region, percentage comparisons are not meaningful.

Overall selling volumes increased 24% primarily as a result of increased availability of acrylonitrile and hydrocyanic acid for sale in 2005. Product availability was limited during the 2004 quarter as a result of a scheduled plant maintenance shutdown. The incrementally available acrylonitrile was sold primarily into Europe/Middle East/Africa at higher selling prices which were supported by increased raw material prices. North America selling volumes were up 15% due in part to increased acrylonitrile sales resulting from new business as well as from greater availability of hydrocyanic acid available for sale in 2005.

Earnings from operations were \$6.7 or 7% of sales, compared with \$2.0, or 3% of sales, in 2004. The increase in earnings was primarily due to volume increases, improved plant operations as most of the operations ran at capacity, while in the prior year period, the acrylonitrile plant ran at a reduced rate due to a scheduled plant maintenance shutdown. Increased raw material and energy costs of \$12.1, particularly propylene and natural gas, were partly offset by higher selling prices of \$9.7.

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005, COMPARED WITH SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

#### Consolidated Results

Net sales for the first six months of 2005 were \$1,377.3 compared with \$837.2 for the prior year period. All segments reported increased sales. In the Cytec Surface Specialties segment, sales increased primarily as a result of the inclusion of sales from Surface Specialties which was acquired on February 28, 2005. The Cytec Performance Specialties segment experienced increased sales which were due in part to increased volumes as well as price increase initiatives. The Cytec Engineered Materials segment sales increase was volume related, primarily from increased sales to the large commercial aircraft, automotive, and rotorcraft industries. The Building Block Chemicals segment sales increased principally due to higher selling prices which were driven by higher raw material and energy costs.

For a detailed discussion on sales refer to the Segment Results section below.

Manufacturing cost of sales was \$1,079.4 for the first six months of 2005 compared with \$621.8 during the prior year period. Most of the increase is associated with higher volumes, principally resulting from the impact of the acquisition of Surface Specialties. Cost of sales for the heritage businesses was impacted by higher raw material and energy costs of \$62.3 which were offset by increased selling prices of \$53.5. Exchange rate changes increased cost of sale by \$6.9. Gross margin was also negatively impacted by a charge of \$20.8 related to purchase accounting for finished goods inventory of the acquired business recorded at fair value which exceeded normal manufacturing cost.

Selling and technical services was \$102.8 in 2005 versus \$69.9 in the prior year. This increase was primarily attributable to the inclusion of four months of expenses relating to Surface Specialties with an increase in heritage selling and technical services expenses accounting for \$5.0 of the increase of which

\$1.4 resulted from the impact of exchange rates during the period.

Research and process development was \$32.8 in 2005 versus \$19.4 in the prior year. This increase was primarily attributable to the inclusion of four months of expenses relating to Surface Specialties with an increase in heritage research and process development expenses accounting for \$0.4 of which \$0.1 resulted from the impact of exchange rates during the period.

Administrative and general expenses were \$44.5 in 2005 versus \$27.9 in the prior year. This increase was primarily attributable to the inclusion of four months of expenses relating to Surface Specialties and a charge of \$2.4 related to the anticipated settlement of a litigation matter in the second quarter and \$0.4 resulted from the impact of exchange rates during the period.

Amortization of acquisition intangibles was \$12.8 in 2005 versus \$2.8 in the prior year. This increase resulted from the inclusion of four months of amortization expense relating to the intangibles which resulted from the acquisition of Surface Specialties.

In connection with the acquisition of Surface Specialties, \$37.0 of acquired in-process research and development costs were expensed in the first quarter of 2005.

-22-

Other income (expense), net was an expense of \$50.8 in 2005 compared with expense of \$7.7 in the prior year. Included in the 2005 results are charges related to derivative contracts entered into to hedge currency and interest rate exposure associated with the acquisition of Surface Specialties. The Company entered into foreign currency contracts to offset the potential dollar to euro exchange rate fluctuation that would have an impact on the acquisition cost in dollars and this resulted in a loss of \$19.2. The foreign currency contracts have subsequently matured. In anticipation of future long-term debt that would be issued to refinance debt, the Company also entered into interest rate derivatives which resulted in the recognition of a loss of \$28.7. At June 30, 2005, the Company has \$506.9 of forward starting interest rate swaps outstanding with a maturity date of September 2005.

Equity in earnings of associated companies was \$6.6 in 2005 versus \$0.8 in the prior year. The increase in earnings was primarily due to an increase in sales volumes and selling prices experienced by CYRO. The 2005 results include only the five months of results related to CYRO as the Company sold its \$0% ownership stake in CYRO on May \$1, \$2005 (refer to discussion above).

Interest expense, net was \$48.1 in 2005 compared with \$8.3 in the prior year. The increase resulted from a higher outstanding weighted-average debt balance during 2005 resulting from the debt incurred in conjunction with the Company's acquisition of Surface Specialties and \$22.0 of interest charges and unamortized put premiums and rate lock agreements related to the redemption of the MOPPRS in the second quarter of 2005.

The Company's effective tax rate on the loss from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was a tax benefit of 119%. For the six months ended June 30, 2004, the effective tax rate was a tax provision of 20%. The Company's effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2005 was favorably impacted by U.S. hedging losses, the redemption of the MOPPRS and the resolution of various tax audits of prior year returns in an international tax jurisdiction as discussed in Note 12 of the accompanying financial statements. The effective tax rate was unfavorably impacted by the write-off of acquired in-process

research and development expenses related to the Surface Specialties acquisition, for which there is no tax benefit. The Company's underlying effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was 27% excluding these items.

Earnings from discontinued operations were \$0.6 in 2005 and reflect the results of SSAR for the four-month period since acquisition.

Net earnings for 2005 were \$5.3 (\$0.12 per diluted share) compared with net earnings for 2004 of \$64.4 (\$1.60 per diluted share). The decrease of \$59.1 in net earnings resulted primarily from: the write-off of \$37.0 of in-process research and development costs; an increase in interest expense resulting from a higher outstanding weighted-average debt balance during 2005 related to the debt incurred in connection with the Company's acquisition of Surface Specialties and interest charges and unamortized put premiums related to the redemption of the MOPPRS prior to their final maturity; the \$20.8 amortization charge from the write-up to fair value of the acquired inventory that was subsequently sold and; the \$47.9 impact of the marked to market value on the forward-starting interest swaps used to hedge interest rate on a portion of the long-term debt that will be used to refinance the bank debt drawn for the acquisition of Surface Specialties and the foreign currency contracts entered into to offset the potential dollar-to-euro exchange rate fluctuation that would have an impact on the acquisition cost in dollars. These items were partially offset by the impact of higher operating earnings resulting from the acquisition.

#### Segment Results

Year-to-year comparisons and analyses of changes in net sales by product line segment and region are set forth below and reflect the new organizational and reporting structure of its reportable segments for all periods presented.

Cytec Performance Specialties

			Total	% Change Due to		
	2005	2004	% Change	Price	Volume/Mix	Curr
North America	\$146.8	\$132.1	11%	9%	2%	
Latin America	59.2	47.5	25%	7%	14%	
Asia/Pacific	51.2	46.3	11%	3%	5%	
Europe/Middle East/Africa	102.0	95.4	7%	5%	-2%	
Total	\$359.2	\$321.3	12%	6%	3%	

Overall selling volume increased 3% and is primarily attributable to increased sales in the water treating and mining chemicals product lines. Increased selling volumes for these product lines more than offset a decrease in the polymer additive product line. On a regional basis, sales volumes in Latin America increased 14% primarily due to improved demand for mining chemicals from copper mining applications. Overall average price increased as a result of implementation of price increase initiatives.

Earnings from operations were \$31.2, or 9% of sales, compared with \$20.3 or 6% of sales in 2004. The increase in earnings is primarily attributable to increased selling volumes and the impact of price increases of \$20.4 and the net favorable impact of exchange rates of \$7.7 which more than offset higher raw material and energy costs of \$15.6.

-23-

Cytec Surface Specialties

			maka 1		% Change Due to	
	2005	2004	Total % Change	Price	Volume/Mix Cur	
North America	\$175.3	\$ 76.4	130%	2%	128%	
Latin America	21.4	8.7	146%	-1%	143%	
Asia/Pacific	91.2	29.8	206%	1%	202%	
Europe/Middle East/Africa	315.6	38.6	716%	2%	709%	
Total	\$603.5	\$153.5	293%	2%	289%	

For all regions, selling volumes increased 289% as a result of the inclusion of sales attributable to Surface Specialties which was acquired on February 28, 2005 with base volumes remaining unchanged for heritage businesses. In North America and Latin America, all of the volume increase is acquisition related. In Asia/Pacific, base business grew 8% with the remainder resulting from the acquisition. In Europe/Middle East/Africa, base volumes were down 6% with the remainder due to the acquisition.

Loss from operations was \$20.4, or 3% of sales, compared with earnings from operations of \$20.5 or 13% of sales in 2004. The decrease in earnings is primarily attributable to the write-off of in process research and development costs of \$37.0 and a charge of \$20.8 for the write-off of manufacturing profit included in the inventories which were acquired in the acquisition.

Cytec Engineered Materials

			T-+-1	% Change Due to		
	2005	2004	Total % Change	Price	Volume/Mix	Cur
North America	\$172.1	\$167.9	3%	1%	2%	
Latin America(1)	0.9	0.7				
Asia/Pacific	13.6	10.2	33%	0%	33%	
Europe/Middle East/Africa	82.2	69.6	18%	2%	15%	
Total	\$268.8	\$248.4	8%	1%	7%	

(1) Due to the level of sales in this geographic region, percentage comparisons are not meaningful.

Overall selling volumes increased 7%. Europe/Middle East/Africa and Asia/Pacific sales volumes increased 15% and 33%, respectively, with the increases coming from the large commercial aircraft and rotorcraft industries due to increased

build rate.

Earnings from operations were \$48.7, or 18% of sales, compared with \$50.1, or 20% of sales, in 2004. The impact of the increased sales on operating earnings more than offset costs associated with manufacturing difficulties in Europe and increased investments in technical commercial support and research to support future growth initiatives.

Building Block Chemicals (Sales to external customers)

			m - 1 - 1	% Change Due to		
	2005	2004	Total % Change	Price	Volume/Mix	Cur
North America	\$79.7	\$ 58.1	37%	24%	13%	ļ
Latin America(1)	1.9	1.4				ļ
Asia/Pacific	31.3	32.3	-3%	20%	-23%	
Europe/Middle East/Africa	32.9	22.2	48%	32%	12%	ŀ
Total	\$145.8	\$114.0	28%	24%	3%	

(1) Due to the level of sales in this geographic region, percentage comparisons are not meaningful.

Sales are higher overall due to higher selling prices, primarily for acrylonitrile, and were in line with the increase in raw material costs. Selling volumes increased 3% overall. Decreased volumes in the Asia/Pacific region were partially offset by price increases. North America selling volumes were up 13% with the majority due to increased acrylonitrile sales resulting from new business.

Earnings from operations were \$14.0, or 7% of sales, compared with \$8.9, or 6% of sales, in 2004. The increase in earnings was primarily due to improved plant operations as most of the plant ran at capacity, while in the prior year period, the acrylonitrile plant ran at a reduced rate due to lower propylene availability and a scheduled plant maintenance shutdown. Increased raw material and energy costs of \$31.2, particularly propylene and natural gas, were offset by higher selling prices of \$27.9.

-24-

#### LIQUIDITY AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

At June 30, 2005 the Company's cash balance was \$114.4 compared with \$323.8 at year end 2004. This decrease was primarily attributable to the use of cash to pay for a portion of the purchase price of Surface Specialties and to reduce debt.

Cash flows used in operating activities were \$58.5 for 2005 compared with cash flows provided by operating activities of \$58.7 for 2004. Since December 31, 2004 trade accounts receivable increased by \$20.1 due to the higher level of sales while days outstanding increased approximately six days, primarily from the acquired business which has a much higher level of sales in international areas where the terms are typically longer. Miscellaneous accounts receivable

decreased by \$14.0 due to collection of pre-acquisition tax receivables included as part of the Surface Specialties acquisition. Inventory increased by \$28.7 reflecting higher raw material costs and the downturn in demand principally in Europe. Certain of the Company's facilities in the quarter ran at reduced rates to lower inventory levels. Inventory days outstanding are up approximately two days from year end 2004 levels. Accrued expenses include payments of \$20.0 in the first quarter for incentive compensation and profit sharing payouts relating to prior year results offset by current year accruals of \$6.1. Cash flows from operations also include net payments of \$9.0 related to the currency and interest rate derivatives entered into in connection with the Surface Specialties acquisition.

Cash flows used in investing activities were \$1,456.0 for 2005 compared with \$25.9 for 2004. This increase was primarily attributable to the acquisition of Surface Specialties. See below for further discussion. The increase was partly offset by \$100.0 received from the sale of the Company's 50% investment in CYRO. Capital spending for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was \$47.5. Capital spending for the full year is expected to approximate \$100.0.

Net cash flows provided by financing activities were \$1,197.1 in 2005 compared with net cash flows used in financing activities of \$7.0 during 2004. This increase primarily resulted from borrowings under the Company's credit facilities used to purchase Surface Specialties.

During the first six months of 2005 the company paid two quarterly cash dividends of \$0.10 per common share which aggregated \$8.6. On July 22, 2005 the Board of Directors declared a \$0.10 per common share cash dividend, payable on August 25, 2005 to shareholders of record as of August 10, 2005.

On February 28, 2005, the Company completed its acquisition of the Surface Specialties business of UCB for cash and stock valued at \$1,799.6 subject to final working capital and other customary adjustments, of which \$1,508.8 was paid in cash and the balance was paid in 5,772,857 shares of Cytec common stock (\$290.8 at \$50.37 per Cytec share). In addition, there is contingent consideration up to a maximum of 50 million euros, of which 20 million euros (\$26.5 at 1.32 US dollar per euro) was paid upon closing, subject to refund, and is not included in the total consideration of \$1,799.6, with the balance potentially payable in 2006. The contingent consideration is included in other assets in the accompanying balance sheet and will be earned on a pro-rata basis pending the achievement of certain full-year operating results by Surface Specialties in 2005. If the contingent consideration is earned, goodwill would be increased. Based on sales volumes of the acquired product lines during the first half of the year, and estimated volumes during the second half, the Company believes that it will be entitled to a refund of all or substantially all of the contingent consideration that was paid at closing. The cash purchase price was also preliminarily adjusted as a result of working capital levels, including cash and certain debt balances which were transferred to the Company at closing.

The Company financed the cash component with \$600.0 under an unsecured 364-day credit facility, \$725.0 under an unsecured five-year term loan and the remaining \$184.0 was paid from existing cash. The Company intends to refinance the 364-day borrowing with long-term debt. At June 30, 2005, \$468.3 remains outstanding on the 364-day credit facility. Refer to Note 9 in the consolidated financial statements for other disclosures concerning the Company's debt.

Upon closing, UCB became the owner of approximately 12.5% of the outstanding shares of the Company. UCB and the Company also entered into a Stockholder's Agreement which provides, subject to various exceptions, that UCB must reduce its stake to less than 9% within three years, less than 7% within four years and less than 5% within five years and which provides that UCB will be prohibited from purchasing additional shares of Cytec common stock or causing, advocating

or participating in a change in control in the ownership of Cytec. The Stockholders Agreement also contains customary terms and conditions including an obligation of UCB to vote its shares of Cytec common stock in accordance with the Company's Board of Directors' recommendation on certain matters.

On June 21, 2005, the Company announced that it had reached a definitive agreement to sell SSAR to affiliates of INEOS Group Limited ("INEOS") for net cash consideration of 64 million euros (\$78.0 at 1.22 U.S. dollar per euro), subject to certain closing adjustments. Pursuant to regulatory approvals, the Company is required to divest SSAR by the end of August, 2005. Since its acquisition and until such time as SSAR is sold, results of its operations will be classified as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

-25-

The Company had previously entered into forward-starting interest rate swaps to hedge the benchmark interest rate and credit spread on a portion of the long-term debt that will be issued in 2005 to refinance the bank debt outstanding under the 364-day credit facility that was drawn for the acquisition of Surface Specialties. In June 2005, the Company extended the maturity date of \$506.9 of the swaps to September 2005. Due to a reduction in borrowing requirements, the Company also liquidated \$60.4 forward starting interest rate swap in June 2005 at a cost of \$3.7. The swaps are being marked to market and recorded currently in earnings until maturity or settlement. The net pre-tax impact of the marked to market value on these swaps was a loss of \$28.0 and \$28.7 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 which was recorded in other income (expense). In the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a loss of \$6.5 on interest rate swap transactions entered into in connection with the acquisition.

The Company had also previously entered into foreign currency forward contracts that related to approximately 87% of the euro exposure of 1.190 billion for the cash component of the Surface Specialties acquisition. The forward contracts, which matured on February 28, 2005, were marked to market and recorded currently in earnings until their maturity. The impact on earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2005 of the mark to market adjustment on these forward contracts was a net pre-tax expense of \$19.2 and was recorded in other income (expense), net. In the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a gain of \$33.3 on currency forward transactions entered into in connection with the acquisition.

In connection with the acquisition, the Company suspended its stock buy-back program and does not anticipate making future stock buy-backs for at least two years from the closing date in order to maximize the funds available for debt service and other corporate purposes.

On June 1, 2005, the Company sold its 50% ownership in CYRO to its joint venture partner Degussa Specialty Polymers, a company of Degussa AG, for cash consideration of \$95.0 plus \$5.0 for working capital adjustments based on preliminary estimates. The proceeds of this transaction essentially recovered the carrying value of Cytec's investment in CYRO. Estimated net after-tax proceeds realized from the sale of CYRO of \$81.5 were used to reduce acquisition-related debt.

In order to take advantage of current interest rates, the Company elected to redeem the MOPPRS in May, 2005, at the optional redemption price of \$141.0. The optional redemption price represented the \$120.0 principal amount of the securities and a \$21.0 pre-tax interest charge for redemption prior to their final maturity. The redemption provided the Company with the ability to

refinance this debt at a significantly lower cost and a shorter tenor. Upon redemption, the Company recognized additional interest expense of \$1.0 from amounts related to the unamortized put premium and rate lock agreements for these securities. The total expense of \$22.0 was recorded in the second quarter. The \$350.0 unsecured five-year revolving credit facility was used to fund a majority of the redemption of the MOPPRS.

The Company believes that it will be able to fund its operating cash requirements, planned capital expenditures and dividends for the remainder of the year from internal cash generation. Net cash realized from the divestiture of SSAR will be used to reduce acquisition-related debt.

The Company has not guaranteed any indebtedness of its remaining unconsolidated associated company.

Excluding the impact of increasing raw materials, inflation is not considered significant since the rate of inflation has remained relatively low in recent years and investments in areas of the world where inflation poses a risk are limited. The impact of increasing raw material costs are discussed under "Customers and Suppliers" in "Business" in Item 1 in the Company's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

OTHER

#### 2005 OUTLOOK

In its August 5, 2005 press release, which was also filed as an exhibit to a current report on Form 8-K, the Company set forth its assumptions and management's best estimate of the full year 2005 earnings at the time based on various assumptions set forth in its press release.

The Company's guidance for 2005 outlook will be updated when it reports third quarter 2005 earnings. There can be no assurance that sales or earnings will develop in the manner projected. Actual results may differ materially. See "Comments on Forward Looking Statements."

-26-

American Melamine Industries ("AMEL"), a 50% owned manufacturing joint venture with a subsidiary of DSM N.V., operates the melamine manufacturing plant with an annual production capacity of approximately 160 million pounds at the Company's Fortier facility. The Company typically uses approximately 80% to 90% of its 50% share of AMEL's production, primarily for the production of certain products sold by its Cytec Surface Specialties segment with the balance being sold to third parties. In accordance with the terms of the joint venture agreement, DSM has given the Company notice of termination of the joint venture which would be effective August 1, 2007. The Company had been and will continue to discuss future operations and ownership options of the melamine plant with DSM.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES / CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require management to make certain estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto. The areas discussed below involve the use of significant judgment in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements and changes in the estimates and assumptions used may impact future results of operations and financial condition.

Environmental and Other Contingent Liabilities

Accruals for environmental remediation and operating and maintenance costs directly related to remediation, and other contingent liabilities are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Accruals are recorded at management's best estimate of the ultimate expected liabilities, without any discount to reflect the time value of money. These accruals are reviewed periodically and adjusted, if necessary, as additional information becomes available.

The amount accrued for environment remediation reflects the Company's assumptions about remediation requirements at the contaminated site, the nature and cost of the remedy, the outcome of discussions with regulatory agencies and other potentially responsible parties at multi-party sites, and the number and financial viability of other potentially responsible parties.

Included in other contingent liabilities are workers' compensation, product liability and toxic tort claims. The amount accrued for other contingent liabilities reflects the Company's assumptions about the incidence, severity, indemnity costs and dismissal rates for existing and future claims.

Accruals for environmental remediation and other contingent liabilities can change substantially if the Company's assumptions are not realized or due to actions by governmental agencies or private parties. The Company cannot estimate any additional amount of loss or range of loss in excess of the recorded amounts. Moreover, environmental and other contingent liabilities are paid over an extended period, and the timing of such payments cannot be predicted with any certainty. Accruals for environmental and other contingent liabilities are recorded as other noncurrent liabilities with any amounts expected to be paid out in the next twelve months classified as accrued expenses.

Probable insurance recoveries for past and probable future costs are recorded at management's best estimate of the ultimate expected receipts without discounting to reflect the time value of money and are recorded as other assets. A number of factors impact the estimates of insurance reimbursements. These factors include the financial viability of the insurance companies, the method in which losses will be allocated to the various insurance policies, how legal and defense costs will be covered by the insurance polices, the interpretation of the effect on coverage of various policy terms and limits and their interrelationships, and the Company's historical recovery rates over the past ten years.

Defense and processing costs are expensed as incurred. Probable insurance recoveries for defense and processing costs are accrued when the related costs are incurred and are recorded as other assets.

#### Retirement Plans

The Company sponsors defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans. The postretirement plans provide medical and life insurance benefits to retirees who meet minimum age and service requirements. The Company's most significant pension plans are in the U. S., and constituted over 76% of the Company's consolidated pension assets and 78% of projected benefit obligations as of December 31, 2004. The calculation of the Company's pension expense and pension liability associated with its defined benefit pension plans requires the use of a number of assumptions that the Company deems to be "critical accounting estimates." Changes in these assumptions can result in different pension expense and liability amounts, and actual experience can differ from the assumptions. The Company believes that the most critical assumptions are the discount rate and the expected rate of return on plan assets.

-27-

At the end of each year, the Company determines the discount rate to be used for pension liabilities. In estimating this rate, the Company looks to rates of return on high quality, long term corporate bonds that receive one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized ratings agency. The Company discounted its U.S. future pension liabilities using a rate of 5.75% at December 31, 2004. The discount rate used to determine the value of liabilities has a significant effect on expense.

The expected rate of return on plan assets reflects the long-term average rate of return expected on funds invested or to be invested in the pension plans to provide for the benefits included in the pension liability. The Company establishes the expected rate of return at the beginning of each fiscal year based upon information available to the Company at that time, including the historical returns of major asset classes, the expected investment mix of the plans' assets, and estimates of future long-term investment returns. The U. S. pension plan's investment mix at December 31, 2004 approximated 63% equities and 37% fixed income securities. Any differences between actual experience and assumed experience are deferred as an unrecognized actuarial gain or loss. The unrecognized net actuarial gain or loss is amortized in accordance with SFAS No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions."

#### Impairment of Goodwill

The Company has defined its segments as its SFAS No. 142 reporting units. The Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis. Goodwill of a reporting unit will be tested for impairment between annual tests if events occur or circumstances change that would likely reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying value. The Company uses a two-step process to test goodwill for impairment. First, the reporting unit's fair value is compared to its carrying value. The Company utilizes a market multiple approach to determine fair value estimates. Due to the cyclical nature of the Company's reporting unit's, values are determined utilizing a three year average. The three year period is comprised of the prior year, current year and one year projected amounts. If the market multiple approach yields a result, which may indicate a possible impairment, a discounted cash flow approach is utilized to more precisely determine the reporting unit's fair value. If a reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its fair value, an indication exists that the reporting unit's goodwill may be impaired, and the second step of the impairment test would be performed. The second step of the goodwill impairment test is used to measure the amount of the impairment loss. In the second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation. The resulting implied fair value of the goodwill that results from the application of this second step is then compared to the carrying amount of the goodwill and an impairment charge is recorded for the difference.

Intangible assets with determinable useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives and reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable.

These evaluations involve amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments. Because of the uncertainty inherent in such estimates, actual results may differ from these estimates. The Company is not aware of reasonably likely events or circumstances that would result in different amounts being estimated that would have a material impact on these assessments for impairment.

#### Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in earnings in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company intends to reinvest the unremitted earnings of international subsidiaries. Accordingly, no provision has been made for U.S. or additional non-U.S. taxes with respect to these earnings. In the event of repatriation to the U.S., such earnings would be subject to U.S. income taxes in most cases. Foreign tax credits would be available to substantially reduce the amount of U.S. tax otherwise payable in future years.

The Company's annual effective tax rate is based on expected income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available in various jurisdictions in which the Company operates. Significant judgment is required in determining the Company's annual effective tax rate and in evaluating its tax positions.

-28-

The Company establishes accruals for tax contingencies when, notwithstanding the reasonable belief that its tax return positions are fully supported, the Company believes that certain filing positions are likely to be challenged and moreover, that such filing positions may not be fully sustained.

The Company continually evaluates its tax contingency accruals and will adjust such amounts in light of changing facts and circumstances, including but not limited to emerging case law, tax legislation, rulings by relevant tax authorities, and the progress of ongoing tax audits. Settlement of a given tax contingency could impact the income tax provision in the period of resolution. The Company's tax contingency accruals are presented in the balance sheet within income taxes payable.

#### Acquisitions

The Company accounts for acquired businesses using the purchase method of accounting which requires that the assets acquired and liabilities assumed be recorded at the date of acquisition at their respective fair values. Our consolidated financial statements and results of operations reflect an acquired business after the completion of the acquisition. The cost to acquire a business, including transaction costs, is allocated to the underlying net assets of the acquired business in proportion to their respective fair values. Any excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of the net assets acquired is recorded as goodwill. Amounts allocated to acquired in-process research and development are expensed at the date of acquisition.

The judgments made in determining the estimated fair value assigned to each class of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as well as asset lives, can materially impact our results of operations. Accordingly, for significant items, we typically obtain assistance from third party valuation specialists.

Determining the useful life of an intangible asset also requires judgment as different types of intangible assets will have different useful lives and certain assets may even be considered to have indefinite useful lives.

All of these judgments and estimates can materially impact our results of operations.

#### COMMENTS ON FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

A number of the statements made by the Company in this report, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, or in other documents, including but not limited to the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer's letter to Stockholders, its press releases and other periodic reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission, may be regarded as "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements include, among others, statements concerning the Company's (including its segments) outlook for the future, anticipated results of acquisitions and divestitures, pricing trends, the effects of changes in currency rates and forces within the industry, the completion dates of and anticipated expenditures for capital projects, expected sales growth, operational excellence strategies and their results, expected annual effective tax rates, long-term goals of the Company and other statements of expectations, beliefs, future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends and similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. Such statements are based upon the current beliefs and expectations of management and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Actual results may vary materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements.

The following factors, among others, could affect the anticipated results: the ability to integrate successfully Surface Specialties, including realization of anticipated synergies within the expected timeframes or at all, and ongoing operations of the business; the retention of current ratings on the Company's debt; changes in global and regional economies; the financial well-being of end consumers of the Company's products; changes in demand for the Company's products or in the quality, costs and availability of its raw materials and energy; customer inventory reductions; the actions of competitors; currency and interest rate fluctuations; technological change; the Company's ability to renegotiate expiring long-term contracts; changes in employee relations, including possible strikes; government regulations, including those related to taxation and those particular to the purchase, sale and manufacture of chemicals or operation of chemical plants; governmental funding for those military programs that utilize the Company's products; litigation, including its inherent uncertainty and changes in the number or severity of various types of claims brought against the Company; difficulties in plant operations and materials transportation; environmental matters; returns on employee benefit plan assets and changes in the discount rates used to estimate employee benefit liabilities; changes in the medical cost trend rate; changes in accounting principles or new accounting standards; war, terrorism or sabotage; epidemics; and other unforeseen circumstances.

-29-

#### Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For a discussion of market risks at year-end, refer to Item 7A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2005 and incorporated by reference herein. During 2005, the Company executed various foreign exchange

transactions that do not materially alter the market risk assessment performed as of December 31, 2004. For a discussion of the currency hedges entered into as part of the acquisition of Surface Specialties, refer to "Liquidity and Financial Condition" in Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Other 2005 financial instrument transactions include:

Commodity Price Risk: At June 30, 2005, the Building Block Chemicals segment Fortier plant's 2005 remaining forecasted natural gas utility requirements were 75% hedged utilizing natural gas forward contracts. These contracts have delivery dates ranging from July, 2005 to December, 2005. Additionally, the plant's 2006 gas utility requirements were 40% hedged at June 30, 2005, and these contracts have delivery dates ranging from January to June 2006.

At June 30, 2005, the Company held natural gas swaps with a favorable fair value of \$0.3, net of taxes, which will be reclassified into Manufacturing Cost of Sales through March 2006 as these swaps are settled.

Interest Rate Risk: At June 30, 2005, the outstanding borrowings of the Company consisted of \$503.6 of short-term borrowings and long-term debt, including the current portion, which had a carrying value of \$1,104.9 a face value of \$1,105.2 and a fair value, based on dealer quoted values, of approximately \$1,102.0.

Assuming other factors are held constant, a hypothetical increase/decrease of 1% in the weighted average prevailing interest rates on our variable rate debt outstanding as of June 30, 2005, interest expense would increase/decrease by approximately \$3.1 for the next fiscal quarter.

For a discussion of the interest rate derivative activities entered into as part of the acquisition of Surface Specialties, refer to "Liquidity and Financial Condition" in Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

## Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's Disclosure Committee carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b) as of the period ended June 30, 2005. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's current disclosure controls and procedures are reasonably effective.

The acquisition of Surface Specialties on February 28, 2005 represents a material change in internal control over financial reporting since management's last assessment of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting which was as of December 31, 2004. The acquired Surface Specialties businesses utilize separate information and accounting systems and processes and it has not been possible to complete an evaluation and review of the internal controls over financial reporting since the acquisition was completed. Management intends to complete its assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting for the acquired business within one year of the date of the acquisition.

With the exception of the Surface Specialties acquisition as noted above, there were no changes in internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

-30-

#### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is the subject of numerous lawsuits and claims incidental to the conduct of its or its predecessors' businesses, including lawsuits and claims relating to product liability, personal injury, environmental, contractual, employment and intellectual property matters. Many of the matters relate to the use, handling, processing, storage, transport or disposal of hazardous materials. The Company believes that the resolution of such lawsuits and claims, including those described below, will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company, but could be material to the consolidated results of operations and cash flows of the Company in any one accounting period. The Company, in this section, includes certain predecessor entities being indemnified by Cytec.

Material developments to legal proceedings described in Cytec's 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K and 2005 Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q are set forth below.

In July 2005, the Supreme Court of Wisconsin held in a case in which the Company is one of several defendants that Wisconsin's risk contribution doctrine applies to bodily injury cases against manufacturers of white lead pigment. Under this doctrine, manufacturers of white lead pigment may be liable for injuries caused by white lead pigment based on their market share unless they can prove they are not responsible for the white lead pigment which caused the injury in question. Seven other courts have previously rejected the applicability of this and similar doctrines to white lead pigment. The Company expects that its liability, if any, in this case to be immaterial.

The following table presents information about asbestos claims activity during the six months ended June 30, 2005:

	Six Months Ended
	June 30,
	2005
Number of claimants associated with claims closed	
during period	7,744
Number of claimants associated with claims opened	
during period	856
Number of claimants at end of period	21,059

In the second quarter of 2005, the Company increased its reserves by \$2.4 as a result of its agreement in principle to settle certain claims by a third party for \$2.7.

In addition to liabilities with respect to the specific cases described above, because the production of certain chemicals involves the use, handling, processing, storage, transportation and disposal of hazardous materials, and because certain of the Company's products constitute or contain hazardous materials, the Company has been subject to claims of injury from direct exposure to such materials and from indirect exposure when such materials are incorporated into other companies' products. There can be no assurance that, as a result of past or future operations, there will not be additional claims of injury by employees or members of the public due to exposure, or alleged exposure, to such materials.

See also the Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

-31-

#### Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The Company held its annual meeting of Common Stockholders on April 21, 2005. At this meeting, the following matters were voted on:

1. Election of Directors - Messrs. Anthony G. Fernandes, David Lilley, Jerry R. Satrum and Raymond P. Sharpe were elected Directors at the Annual Meeting for terms ending at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2008 by the margins set forth below. In addition, the terms of the following directors continued after that meeting: John E. Akitt, Chris A. Davis, Louis L. Hoynes, Jr., Barry C. Johnsosn, Ph.D., William P. Powell and James R. Stanley.

Name	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Anthony G. Fernand	36,100,461	828,011
David Lilley	35,849,201	1,079,271
Jerry R. Satrum	36,443,832	484,640
Raymond P. Sharpe	35,820,726	1,107,746

2. The appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2005 was ratified by the following margins:

For	Against	Abstain
35,704,138	1,102,266	122,068

-32-

#### Item 6. EXHIBITS

(a). Exhibits

See Exhibit Index on page 32 for exhibits filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

-33-

#### SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the

undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CYTEC INDUSTRIES INC.

By: /s/ James P.Cronin

\_\_\_\_\_

James P. Cronin
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

August 8, 2005

Exhibit Index

-34-

# Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 Certification of David Lilley, Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act Certification of James P. Cronin, Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act

- 32.1 Certification of David Lilley, Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of James P. Cronin, Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002