TEMPLETON DRAGON FUND INC Form PRE 14A March 19, 2002

[LOGO]

TEMPLETON DRAGON FUND, INC.

IMPORTANT SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

These materials are for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled for May 3, 2002 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern time. The enclosed materials discuss four proposals (the "Proposals") to be voted on at the meeting, and contain your Proxy Statement and proxy card. A proxy card is, in essence, a ballot. When you vote your proxy, it tells us how you wish to vote on important issues relating to Templeton Dragon Fund, Inc. (the "Fund"). If you specify a vote for all 4 Proposals, your proxy will be voted as you indicate. If you specify a vote for only certain Proposals, your proxy will be voted as specified, and the Proposal(s) for which no vote is specified will be voted FOR each such Proposal. If you simply sign and date the proxy card, but do not specify a vote for any Proposal, your proxy will be voted FOR all Proposals.

We urge you to spend a few minutes reviewing the Proposals in the Proxy Statement. Then, please fill out the proxy card and return it to us so that we know how you would like to vote. When shareholders return their proxies promptly, the Fund may be able to save money by not having to conduct additional mailings.

We welcome your comments. If you have any questions, call Fund Information at 1-800/DIAL BEN(R) (1-800-342-5236).

TELEPHONE AND INTERNET VOTING For your convenience, you may be able to vote by telephone or through the Internet, 24 hours a day. If your account is eligible, a control number and separate instructions are enclosed.

This page intentionally left blank.

[LOGO]

TEMPLETON DRAGON FUND, INC.

NOTICE OF 2002 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Meeting") of Templeton Dragon Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") will be held at the Fund's offices, 500 East Broward Boulevard, 12th Floor, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33394-3091 on May 3, 2002 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern time.

During the Meeting, shareholders of the Fund will vote on four Proposals:

- 1. To elect five Directors of the Fund to hold office for the terms specified.
- $2.\ \, \text{To approve an Agreement}$ and Plan of Reorganization that provides for the reorganization of the Fund from a Maryland corporation to a Delaware business trust.
- 3. To approve amendments to certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions (includes three (3) Sub-Proposals):
 - (a) To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding industry concentration.
 - (b) To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding borrowing and issuing senior securities.
 - (c) To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding investments in commodities.
- 4. To approve the elimination of certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Barbara J. Green Secretary

[____], 2002

Please sign and promptly return each proxy card in the enclosed self-addressed envelope regardless of the number of shares you own. Japanese shareholders should be aware that the Japan Securities Clearing Corporation may exercise a vote on the Proposals on your behalf if you do not return a proxy card.

(i)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Edgar Filing: TEMPLETON DRAGON FUND INC - Form PRE 14A restriction regarding industry concentration..... Sub-Proposal 3b:To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding borrowing and issuing senior securities..... Sub-Proposal 3c:To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding investments in commodities..... Proposal 4:To Approve the Elimination of Certain of the Fund's Fundamental Investment Restrictions..... Information About the Fund...... Further Information About Voting and the Meeting..... **EXHIBITS** Exhibit A:Form of Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between Templeton Dragon Fund, Inc. (a Maryland corporation) and Templeton Dragon Fund (a Delaware business trust)..... Exhibit B:A Comparison of Governing Documents and State Law..... Exhibit C:Fundamental Investment Restrictions Proposed to be Amended or Eliminated.....

(ii)

TEMPLETON DRAGON FUND, INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

INFORMATION ABOUT VOTING

Who is eligible to vote?

Shareholders of record at the close of business on February 22, 2002 are entitled to be present and to vote at the Meeting or any adjourned Meeting. Each share of record is entitled to one vote on each matter presented at the Meeting. The Notice of Meeting, the proxy card, and the Proxy Statement were first mailed to shareholders of record on or about [______], 2002.

On what issues am I being asked to vote?

You are being asked to vote on four Proposals:

- 1. To elect five Directors of the Fund to hold office for the terms specified;
- To approve an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization that provides for the reorganization of the Fund from a Maryland corporation to a Delaware business trust;
- 3. To approve amendments to certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions (includes three (3) Sub-Proposals); and

 To approve the elimination of certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions.

How do the Fund's Directors recommend that I vote?

The Directors unanimously recommend that you vote:

- FOR the election of five Directors of the Fund to hold office for the terms specified;
- FOR the approval of an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization that provides for the reorganization of the Fund from a Maryland corporation to a Delaware business trust;
- 3. FOR the approval of each of the proposed amendments to certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions; and
- 4. FOR the approval of the elimination of certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions.

How do I ensure that my vote is recorded accurately?

You may attend the Meeting and vote in person or you may complete and return the enclosed proxy card. If you are eligible to vote by telephone or through the Internet, a control number and separate instructions are enclosed.

-1-

Proxy cards that are properly signed, dated and received at or prior to the Meeting will be voted as specified. If you specify a vote for Proposals 1 through 4, your proxy will be voted as you indicate. If you specify a vote for only certain Proposals, your proxy will be voted as specified, and the Proposal(s) for which no vote is specified will be voted FOR that Proposal. If you simply sign and date the proxy card, but do not specify a vote for any of Proposals 1 through 4, your shares will be voted FOR the nominees for Director (Proposal 1); FOR an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization that provides for the reorganization of the Fund from a Maryland corporation to a Delaware business trust (Proposal 2); FOR amending certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions (Sub-Proposals 3a-3c); and FOR eliminating certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions (Proposal 4).

May I revoke my proxy?

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted by forwarding a written revocation or a later-dated proxy to the Fund that is received at or prior to the Meeting, or by attending the Meeting and voting in person.

THE PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL 1: TO ELECT FIVE DIRECTORS OF THE FUND TO HOLD OFFICE FOR THE TERMS SPECIFIED

How are nominees selected?

The Board of Directors of the Fund (the "Board" or the "Directors") has a Nominating and Compensation Committee (the "Committee") consisting of Frank J.

Crothers, Andrew H. Hines, Jr., Edith E. Holiday and Gordon S. Macklin, none of whom is an "interested person" as defined by the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). Directors who are not interested persons of the Fund are referred to as the "Independent Directors." The Committee is responsible for the selection and nomination of candidates to serve as Directors of the Fund. The Committee will review shareholders' nominations to fill vacancies on the Board if these nominations are submitted in writing and addressed to the Committee at the Fund's offices. However, the Committee expects to be able to identify from its own resources an ample number of qualified candidates.

Who are the nominees and current members of the Board of Directors?

The Board is divided into three classes. Each class has a term of three years. Each year the term of office of one class expires. This year, the terms of five Directors expire. Harris J. Ashton, Nicholas F. Brady, Frank J. Crothers, S. Joseph Fortunato and Constantine D. Tseretopoulos have been nominated for three-year terms, set to expire at the 2005 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. These terms continue, however, until successors are duly elected and qualified. Among these Directors, only Nicholas F. Brady is deemed to be an "interested person" for purposes of the 1940 Act. Directors who are "interested persons" are referred to as the "Interested Directors." All of the nominees are currently members of the Board. In addition, all of the current nominees and Directors are

-2-

also directors or trustees of other Franklin(R) funds and/or Templeton(R) funds (collectively, the "Franklin Templeton funds").

Certain Directors of the Fund hold director and/or officer positions with Franklin Resources, Inc. ("Resources") and its affiliates. Resources is a publicly owned holding company, the principal shareholders of which are Charles B. Johnson and Rupert H. Johnson, Jr., who own approximately [18% and 15%], respectively, of its outstanding shares. Resources, a global investment organization operating as Franklin Templeton Investments, is primarily engaged, through various subsidiaries, in providing investment management, share distribution, transfer agent and administrative services to a family of investment companies. Resources is a New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE") listed holding company (NYSE: BEN). Charles E. Johnson, Vice President of the Fund, is the son and nephew, respectively, of brothers Charles B. Johnson, Chairman of the Board, Director and Vice President of the Fund, and Rupert H. Johnson, Jr., Vice President of the Fund. There are no family relationships among any of the Directors or nominees for Director.

Each nominee currently is available and has consented to serve if elected. If any of the nominees should become unavailable, the designated proxy holders will vote in their discretion for another person or persons who may be nominated as Directors.

Listed below, for each nominee and Director, are their name, age and address, as well as their position and length of service with the Fund, principal occupation during the past five years, the number of portfolios in the Franklin Templeton fund complex that they oversee, and any other directorships held by the Director.

Nominees for Independent Director to serve until 2005 Annual Meeting of shareholders

Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen

Name, Age and Length of by

Address Position Time Served Director* Directorships Held _____

HARRIS J. Director Since 1994 139 ASHTON (69) Director, RBC Holdings, Inc. 500 East (bank holding Broward Blvd. company) and Bar-S Suite 1200 Foods (meat Fort packing company).

Lauderdale, FL 33394-3091

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years: Director of various companies; and formerly, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, General Host Corporation (nursery and craft centers) (until 1998).

-3-

Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen

Length of by Other

Address Position Time Served Director* Directorships Held

FRANK J. Director Since 1998 20 None

CROTHERS (57) 500 East Broward Blvd. Suite 1200 Fort.

Lauderdale, FL 33394-3091

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Chairman, Caribbean Electric Utility Services Corporation and Atlantic Equipment & Power Ltd.; Vice Chairman, Caribbean Utilities Co., Ltd.; and Director and President, Provo Power Company Ltd. and director of various other business and nonprofit organizations.

S. JOSEPH Director Since 1994 140

FORTUNATO (69) 500 East Broward Blvd.

Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394-3091

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Member of the law firm of Pitney, Hardin, Kipp & Szuch.

CONSTANTINE Director Since 1998 21 None

TSERETOPOULOS

(47)

500 East

Broward Blvd.

Suite 1200

Fort

Lauderdale,

FL 33394-3091

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Physician, Lyford Cay Hospital (1987-present), and director of various nonprofit organizations and formerly, Cardiology Fellow, University of Maryland (1985-1987) and Internal Medicine Resident, Greater Baltimore Medical Center (1982-1985).

-4-

Nominee for Interested Director to serve until 2005 Annual Meeting of shareholders

> Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen

Name, Age and Length of by Other
Address Position Time Served Director* Directorships Held

**NICHOLAS F. Director Since 1994 66

BRADY (71) 500 East

Broward Blvd.

Suite 1200

Fort

Lauderdale, FL 33394-3091 Director, Amerada Hess Corporation (exploration and refining of oil and gas), C2, Inc. (operating and investment business), and H.J. Heinz Company (processed foods

and allied products).

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Chairman, Templeton Emerging Markets Investment Trust PLC, Darby Overseas Investments, Ltd. and Darby Emerging Markets Investments LDC (investment firms) (1994-present); Director, Templeton Capital Advisors Ltd., and Franklin Templeton Investment Fund; and formerly, Secretary of the United States Department of the Treasury (1988-1993), Chairman of the Board, Dillon, Read & Co., Inc. (investment banking) (until 1988) and U.S. Senator, New Jersey (April 1982-December

Independent Directors serving until 2004 Annual Meeting of shareholders

Number of Port folios in Fund Complex Overseen

Name, Age and

Length of by Other
Time Served Director* Directorships Held Address Position ______

ANDREW H. Director Since 1994 31 None

HINES, JR. (79)500 East Broward Blvd. Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394-3091

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Consultant, Triangle Consulting Group; and Executive-in-Residence, Eckerd College (1991-present); and formerly, Chairman and Director, Precise Power Corporation (1990-1997), Director, Checkers Drive-In Restaurant, Inc. (1994-1997), and Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Florida Progress Corporation (holding company in the energy area) (1982-1990) and director of various of its subsidiaries.

-5-

Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen

Name, Age and Length of by Other Address Position Time Served Director* Directorships Held EDITH E. Director Since 1996 85 Director, Amerada HOLIDAY (50) Hess Corporation 500 East (exploration and Broward Blvd. refining of oil Suite 1200 and gas), Hercules

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394-3091 Incorporated (chemicals, fibers and resins), Beverly Enterprises, Inc. (health care), H.J. Heinz Company (processed foods and allied products), RTI International Metals, Inc. (manufacture and distribution of titanium), Digex Incorporated (web hosting provider), and Canadian National Railway (railroad).

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Director of various companies; and formerly, Assistant to the President of the United States and Secretary of the Cabinet (1990-1993), General Counsel to the United States Treasury Department (1989-1990), and Counselor to the Secretary and Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs and Public Liaison-United States Treasury

Department (1988-1989).

Interested Directors serving until 2004 Annual Meeting of shareholders

Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen

Name, Age and Length of by Other

Address Position Time Served Director* Directorships Held

**MARTIN L. Director Since 1994 7 None

FLANAGAN (41) and Vice One Franklin President

Parkway

San Mateo, CA 94403-1906

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

President, Member-Office of the President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer, Franklin Resources, Inc.; Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Franklin Mutual Advisers, LLC; Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Director, Templeton Worldwide, Inc.; Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Templeton Investment Counsel, LLC; Executive Vice President and Director, Franklin Advisers, Inc.; Executive Vice President, Franklin Investment Advisory Services, Inc. and Franklin Templeton Investor Services, LLC; Chief Financial Officer, Franklin Advisory Services, LLC; Chairman, Franklin Templeton Services, LLC; and officer and/or director of some of the other subsidiaries of Franklin Resources, Inc.

-6-

Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen

Name, Age and
Address
Position
Time Served Director*
Directorships Held

**CHARLES B. Chairman
JOHNSON (69) of the
One Franklin
Parkway
Director
San Mateo, CA and Vice
94403-1906
President

Length of by
Other
Director*
Director*
Director and Parkway
Director
and Vice
President

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, Member-Office of the Chairman and Director, Franklin Resources, Inc.; Vice President, Franklin Templeton Distributors, Inc.; Director, Fiduciary Trust Company International; and officer and/or director or trustee, as the case may be, of most of the other subsidiaries of Franklin Resources, Inc.

since 1994

Independent Directors serving until 2003 Annual Meeting of shareholders

Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen

Name, Age and Length of by Other
Address Position Time Served Director* Directorships Held

BETTY P. Director Since 1994 25 None

KRAHMER (72) 500 East Broward Blvd. Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL

33394-3091

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Director or trustee of various civic associations; and formerly, Economic Analyst, U.S. government.

GORDON S. MACKLIN (73) 500 East Broward Blvd. Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale,

33394-3091

Director Since 1994 139

Director, Martek Biosciences Corporation, WorldCom, Inc. (communications services), MedImmune, Inc. (biotechnology), Overstock.com (Internet services), and Spacehab, Inc. (aerospace services).

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years: Deputy Chairman, White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd. (holding company); and formerly, Chairman, White River Corporation (financial services) (until 1998) and Hambrecht & Quist Group (investment banking) (until 1992), and President, National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (until 1987).

-7-

Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen

Name, Age and Length of by Other

Address Position Time Served Director* Directorships Held ______

Director Since 1994 31 FRED R. None MILLSAPS (72)

500 East Broward Blvd. Suite 1200, Fort Lauderdale, FT.

33394-3091

Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:

Director of various business and nonprofit organizations, and manager of personal investments (1978-present); and formerly, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Landmark Banking Corporation (1969-1978), Financial Vice President, Florida Power and Light (1965-1969), and Vice President, Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (1958-1965).

We base the number of portfolios on each separate series of the registered investment companies comprising the Franklin Templeton Investments fund complex. These portfolios have a common investment adviser or affiliated

investment advisers, and may also share a common underwriter.

Nicholas F. Brady, Martin L. Flanagan, and Charles B. Johnson, each an Interested Director, are "interested persons" of the Fund as defined by the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act limits the percentage of interested persons that can comprise a fund's board of directors. Mr. Johnson is considered an interested person of the Fund due to his position as an officer and director and major shareholder of Resources and his position with the Fund. Mr. Flanagan's status as an interested person results from his position as an officer of Resources and his position with the Fund. Mr. Brady's status as an interested person results from his business affiliations with Resources and Templeton Global Advisors Limited. Mr. Brady and Resources are both limited partners of Darby Overseas Partners, L.P. ("Darby Overseas"). Mr. Brady is Chairman and shareholder of Darby Overseas Investments, Ltd., which is the corporate general partner of Darby Overseas. In addition, Darby Overseas and Templeton Global Advisors Limited are limited partners of Darby Emerging Markets Fund, L.P. ("DEMF"). Mr. Brady serves as Chairman of the corporate general partner of DEMF, and Darby Overseas and its general partner own 100% of the stock of the general partner of DEMF. Mr. Brady is also a director of Templeton Capital Advisors Ltd. ("TCAL"), which serves as investment manager to certain unregistered funds. TCAL and Templeton Global Advisors Limited are both indirect subsidiaries of Resources. The remaining nominees and Directors of the Fund are Independent Directors.

Note: As discussed previously, Charles B. Johnson, Chairman of the Board, Director, and Vice President, and Rupert H. Johnson, Jr., Vice President of the Fund, are brothers and the father and uncle, respectively, of Charles E. Johnson, Vice President of the Fund.

The following tables provide the equity securities of Franklin Templeton funds beneficially owned by the Fund's Directors.

-8-

Independent Directors

Aggregate Dollar Range

of Equity Securities in All Funds Overseen by the Director in the Dollar Range of Franklin Templeton Equity Securities in Investments Fund

the Fund(1) Name of Director Complex(1) _____

Harris J. Ashton \$1 - \$10,000 Over \$100,000 _____ _____

None Frank J. Crothers Over \$100,000

\$1 - \$10,000 S. Joseph Fortunato Over \$100,000 _____

Andrew H. Hines, None Over \$100,000

Jr.		
Edith E. Holiday	\$1 - \$10,000	Over \$100,000
Betty P. Krahmer	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000
Gordon S. Macklin	\$10,001 - \$50,000	Over \$100,000
Fred R. Millsaps	None	Over \$100,000
Constantine D. Tseretopoulos	None	Over \$100,000
Interested Directors		
Name of Director	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in the Fund(1)	Aggregate Dollar Range of Equity Securiites in All Funds Overseen by the Director in the Franklin Templeton Investments Fund Complex(1)
Nicholas F. Brady	\$1 - \$10,000	Over \$100,000
Martin L. Flanagan	\$1 - \$10,000	Over \$100,000
Charles B. Johnson	Over \$100,000	Over \$100,000

(1) For the calendar year ended December 31, 2001.

How often do the Directors meet and what are they paid?

The role of the Directors is to provide general oversight of the Fund's business, and to ensure that the Fund is operated for the benefit of shareholders. The Directors anticipate meeting at least five times during the current fiscal year to review the operations of the Fund and the Fund's investment performance. The Directors also oversee the services furnished to the Fund by Templeton Asset Management Ltd. – Hong Kong branch, the Fund's investment manager (the "Investment Manager"), and various other service providers. The Fund currently pays the Independent Directors and Mr. Brady an annual retainer of \$2,000 and a fee of \$400 per Board meeting attended. Directors serving on the Audit Committee of the Fund and other investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments receive a flat fee of \$2,000 per Audit Committee meeting attended, a portion of which is allocated to the Fund. Members of a committee are not compensated for any committee meeting held on the day of a Board meeting.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, there were five meetings of the Board, three meetings of the Audit Committee, and three meetings of the Nominating and Compensation Committee. Each Director then in office attended at

least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and of the committee(s) on which he or she participated.

-9-

Certain Directors and officers of the Fund are shareholders of Resources and may receive indirect remuneration due to their participation in management fees and other fees received by the Investment Manager and its affiliates from Franklin Templeton funds. The Investment Manager or its affiliates pay the salaries and expenses of the officers. No pension or retirement benefits are accrued as part of Fund expenses.

Name of Director	Aggregate Compensation from the Fund*	Total Compensation from Franklin Templeton funds**
Harris J. Ashton Nicholas F. Brady Frank J. Crothers S. Joseph Fortunato Andrew H. Hines, Jr. Edith E. Holiday Betty P. Krahmer Gordon S. Macklin Fred R. Millsaps Constantine D.	\$4,000 \$4,000 \$4,077 \$4,000 \$4,065 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$4,065 \$4,119	\$353,221 134,500 92,000 352,380 203,500 254,670 134,500 353,221 201,500 94,500
Tseretopoulos	. ,	,

The table above indicates the total fees paid to Directors by the Fund individually, and by all of the Franklin Templeton funds. These Directors also serve as directors or trustees of other investment companies that are part of Franklin Templeton Investments, many of which hold meetings at different dates and times. The Directors and the Fund's management believe that having the same individuals serving on the boards of many of the Franklin Templeton funds enhances the ability of each fund to obtain, at a relatively modest cost to each separate fund, the services of high caliber, experienced and knowledgeable Independent Directors who can more effectively oversee the management of the funds.

Board members historically have followed a policy of having substantial investments in one or more of the Franklin Templeton funds, as is consistent with their individual financial goals. In February 1998, this policy was formalized through adoption of a requirement that each board member invest one-third of the fees received for serving as a director or trustee of a Templeton fund in shares of one or more Templeton funds and one-third of the fees received for serving as a director or trustee of a Franklin fund in shares of one or more Franklin funds, until the value of such investments equals or exceeds five times the annual fees paid to such board member. Investments in the name of family members or entities controlled by a board member constitute fund holdings of such board member for purposes of this policy, and a three year phase-in period applies to such investment requirements for newly elected board members. In implementing such policy, a board member's fund holdings existing on February 27, 1998, were valued as of such date with subsequent investments

^{*} Compensation received for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.

^{**} For the calendar year ended December 31, 2001.

valued at cost.

-10-

Who are the Executive Officers of the Fund?

Officers of the Fund are appointed by the Directors and serve at the pleasure of the Board. Listed below for each Executive Officer are their name, age and address, as well as their position and length of service with the Fund, and principal occupation during the past five years. In addition to their service on the Board, Charles B. Johnson serves as Chairman of the Board, Director and Vice President to the Fund, and Martin L. Flanagan serves as Vice President to the Fund. Please refer to the table "Interested Directors serving until 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders" above for information regarding Messrs. Johnson and Flanagan.

Name, Age and Address	Position	Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years

Mark Mobius President Since 1994 Two Exchange Square, 39th Floor, Suite 3905-08

Portfolio Manager of various Templeton advisory affiliates; Managing Director, Templeton Asset Management Ltd.; Executive Vice President and Director, Templeton Global Advisors Limited; officer of eight of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments; officer and/or director, as the case may be, of some of the subsidiaries of Franklin Resources, Inc.; and formerly, President, International Investment Trust Company Limited (investment manager of Taiwan R.O.C. Fund) (1986-1987), and Director, Vickers da Costa, Hong Kong (1983-1986).

Johnson, Jr. President (61) One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94403-1906

Hong Kong

Rupert H. Vice Since 1996 Vice Chairman, Member-Office of the Chairman and Director, Franklin Resources, Inc.; Vice President and Director, Franklin Templeton Distributors, Inc.; Director, Franklin Advisers, Inc. and Franklin Investment Advisory Services, Inc.; Senior Vice President, Franklin Advisory Services, LLC; and officer and/or director or trustee, as the case may be, of most of the other subsidiaries of Franklin

Resources, Inc. and of 51 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments.

Harmon E. Burns (56) One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94403-1906	Vice President	Since	1996	Vice Chairman, Member-Office of the Chairman and Director, Franklin Resources, Inc.; Vice President and Director, Franklin Templeton Distributors, Inc.; Executive Vice President, Franklin Advisers, Inc.; Director, Franklin Investment Advisory Services, Inc.; and officer and/or director or trustee, as the case may be, of most of the other subsidiaries of Franklin Resources, Inc. and of 51 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments.

Charles E. Johnson (45) One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94403-1906

Charles E. Vice Since 1996 Johnson (45) President President, Member-Office of the President and Director, Franklin Resources, Inc.; Senior Vice President, Franklin Templeton Distributors, Inc.; President and Director, Templeton Worldwide, Inc. and Franklin Advisers, Inc.; Chairman of the Board, President and Director, Franklin Investment Advisory Services, Inc.; officer and/or director of some of the other subsidiaries of Franklin Resources, Inc.; and officer and/or director or trustee, as the case may be, of 34 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments.

-11-

Name, Age and Address	Position	Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years
Jeffrey A. Everett (38) P.O. Box N-7759, Lyford Cay, Nassau, Bahamas		Since 2001	President and Director, Templeton Global Advisors Limited; officer of 18 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments; and formerly, Investment Officer, First Pennsylvania Investment Research (until 1989).

John R. Kay (61) 500 East Broward Blvd., Suite 1200, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394-3091	Vice President	Since 1994	Vice President, Templeton Worldwide, Inc.; Assistant Vice President, Franklin Templeton Distributors, Inc.; Senior Vice President, Franklin Templeton Services, LLC; officer of 23 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments; and formerly, Vice President and Controller, Keystone Group, Inc.
Murray L. Simpson (64) One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94403-1906	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	Since 2000	Executive Vice President and General Counsel, Franklin Resources, Inc.; officer and/or director of some of the subsidiaries of Franklin Resources, Inc.; officer of 53 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments; and formerly, Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director, Templeton Franklin Investment Services (Asia) Limited (until 2000) and Director, Templeton Asset Management Ltd. (until 1999).
Barbara J. Green (54) One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94403-1906	Vice President and Secretary	Vice President since 2000 and Secretary since 1996	Vice President and Deputy General Counsel, Franklin Resources, Inc.; and Senior Vice President, Templeton Worldwide, Inc.; officer of 53 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments; and formerly, Deputy Director, Division of Investment Management, Executive Assistant and Senior Advisor to the Chairman, Counselor to the Chairman, Special Counsel and Attorney Fellow, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (1986-1995), Attorney, Rogers & Wells (until 1986), and Judicial Clerk, U.S. District Court (District of Massachusetts) (until 1979).
DAVID P. GOSS (54) One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94403-1906	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	Since 2000	Associate General Counsel, Franklin Resources, Inc.; President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, Property Resources, Inc. and Franklin Properties, Inc.; officer and/or director of some of the other subsidiaries of Franklin Resources, Inc.; officer of 53 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments;

and formerly, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, Property Resources Equity Trust (until 1999) and Franklin Select Realty Trust (until 2000).

500 East Broward Blvd., Suite 1200, Fort

Lauderdale, FL 33394-3091

BRUCE S. Treasurer Since ROSENBERG (40) 2000

Vice President, Franklin Templeton Services, LLC; and officer of 19 of the investment companies in Franklin Templeton Investments.

-12-

PROPOSAL 2: TO APPROVE AN AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF REORGANIZATION THAT PROVIDES FOR THE REORGANIZATION OF THE FUND FROM A MARYLAND CORPORATION TO A DELAWARE BUSINESS TRUST

The Directors unanimously recommend that you approve an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization (the "DBT Plan"), substantially in the form attached to this Proxy Statement as Exhibit A, that would change the state and form of organization of the Fund. This proposed change calls for the reorganization of the Fund from a Maryland corporation into a newly formed Delaware business trust. This proposed reorganization will be referred to throughout this Proxy Statement as the "DBT Reorganization." To implement the DBT Reorganization, the Directors have approved the DBT Plan, which contemplates the continuation of the current business of the Fund in the form of a new Delaware business trust named "Templeton Dragon Fund" (the "Trust").

What will the DBT Reorganization mean for the Fund and its shareholders?

If the DBT Plan is approved by shareholders and the DBT Reorganization is implemented, the Trust would have the same investment objective, policies and restrictions as the Fund (including, if approved by shareholders at the Meeting, any amended or eliminated fundamental investment restrictions described in Proposals 3 and 4 in this Proxy Statement). The Board, including any persons elected under Proposal 1, and officers of the Trust would be the same as those of the Fund, and would operate the Trust in essentially the same manner as they previously operated the Fund. Thus, on the date the DBT Reorganization is effected, you would hold an interest in the Trust that is equivalent to your then interest in the Fund. For all practical purposes, a shareholder's investment in the Fund would not change.

Why are the Directors recommending approval of the DBT Plan and the DBT Reorganization?

The Directors have determined that investment companies formed as Delaware business trusts have certain advantages over investment companies organized as Maryland corporations. Under Delaware law, investment companies are able to simplify their operations by reducing administrative burdens. Delaware law allows greater flexibility in drafting and amending an investment company's governing documents, which can result in greater efficiencies of operation and

savings for an investment company and its shareholders. Delaware law also provides favorable state tax treatment. Furthermore, there is a well-established body of legal precedent in the area of corporate and alternative business entities law that may be relevant in deciding issues pertaining to the Trust. Accordingly, the Directors believe that it is in the best interests of the shareholders to approve the DBT Plan.

How do the Maryland corporate law, and the Fund's governing documents, compare to the Delaware business trust law, and the Trust's governing documents?

Reorganizing the Fund from a Maryland corporation to a Delaware business trust is expected to provide many benefits to the Fund and its shareholders. As a Delaware business trust formed under the Delaware business trust law, with its operations governed by a Declaration of Trust and By-Laws (that streamline many

-13-

of the provisions in the Fund's Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws), the Trust should lead to enhanced flexibility in management and administration as compared to its current operation as a Maryland corporation. It should be able to adapt more quickly and cost effectively to new developments in the fund industry and the financial markets. Moreover, to the extent provisions in the Trust's Declaration of Trust and By-Laws are addressed by rules and principles established under Delaware corporation law and the laws governing other Delaware business entities (such as limited partnerships and limited liability companies), the Delaware courts may look to such other laws to help interpret provisions of the Trust's Declaration of Trust and By-Laws. Applying this body of law to the operation of the Trust should prove beneficial because these laws are extensively developed and business-oriented. In addition, Delaware's Chancery Court is dedicated to business law matters, which means that the judges tend to be more specialized and better versed in the nuances of the law that will be applied to the Trust. These legal advantages make more certain the resolution of legal controversies and help to reduce legal costs resulting from uncertainty in the law.

A comparison of the Delaware business trust law and the Maryland General Corporation law, and a comparison of the relevant provisions of the governing documents of the Trust and the Fund, are included in Exhibit B to this Proxy Statement, which is entitled, "A Comparison of Governing Documents and State Law." In this connection, we note that the By-Laws which will govern the operation of the Trust if this Proposal 2 is approved by shareholders and the Reorganization is completed, contain a provision which requires that notice be given to the Trust by a shareholder in advance of a shareholder meeting to enable a shareholder to present a proposal at any such meeting. Failure to satisfy the requirements of this advance notice provision will mean that a shareholder may not be able to present a proposal at a meeting. The details of that new advance notice provision are included in Exhibit B and its operation is described under "Further Information About Voting and the Meeting - Shareholder Proposals" below.

What are the consequences and procedures of the DBT Reorganization?

As noted above, upon completion of the DBT Reorganization, the Trust will continue the business of the Fund with the same investment objective, policies and investment restrictions as exist on the date of the DBT Reorganization, and will hold the same portfolio of securities then held by the Fund. The Trust will operate under substantially identical overall management, investment management,

and administrative arrangements as those of the Fund.

The Trust was formed solely for the purpose of becoming the successor organization to, and carrying on the business of, the Fund. As the successor to the Fund's operations, the Trust will adopt the Fund's notification of registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). To accomplish the DBT Reorganization, the DBT Plan provides that the Fund will transfer all of its portfolio securities and any other assets, subject to its liabilities, to the Trust. In exchange for these assets and liabilities, the Trust will issue shares of beneficial interest in the Trust to the Fund, which, in turn, will distribute those shares pro rata to you. Through this procedure, you will receive exactly the same number and dollar amount of shares of the Trust as shares of the Fund ("Fund Shares") owned by you immediately prior to the effectiveness of the DBT Reorganization, and the net asset value of each

-14-

share of the Trust will be the same as that of the Fund. In addition, you will retain the right to any declared but undistributed dividends or other distributions payable on Fund Shares that you may have had on the effective date of the DBT Reorganization. As soon as practicable after the effective date of the DBT Reorganization, the Fund will be dissolved and cease its corporate existence.

The Directors may terminate the DBT Plan and abandon the DBT Reorganization at any time prior to the effective date of the DBT Reorganization if they determine that such actions are in the best interests of the Fund's shareholders. If the DBT Plan is not approved by shareholders or the Directors abandon the DBT Reorganization, the Fund will continue to operate as a corporation under the laws of the State of Maryland.

What effect will the DBT Reorganization have on the current investment management agreement?

In connection with the implementation of the DBT Reorganization, the Trust will enter into an investment management agreement with Templeton Asset Management Ltd.-Hong Kong branch, the Trust's investment manager (the "Investment Manager"). This investment management agreement will be substantially identical to the current investment management agreement between the Investment Manager and the Fund. Thus, it is anticipated that, other than a change in contracting party and the date of the agreement, there will be no material change in the essential terms of the investment management agreement because of the DBT Reorganization.

What effect will the DBT Reorganization have on the current shareholder servicing agreements:

The Trust will enter into an agreement for administration services with Franklin Templeton Services, LLC ("FT Services") that is substantially identical to the Fund Administration Agreement currently in place between the Fund and FT Services. The Fund will assign to the Trust the Fund's (i) service and transfer agency agreements with Mellon Investor Services, LLC (which provide for certain financial, administrative, transfer agency and fund accounting services); and (ii) shareholder servicing and administrative services agreement with FT Services and Nomura Asset Management U.S.A. (formerly, Nomura Capital Management, Inc.). Consequently, shareholders of the Trust should receive the same quality of services they have received as shareholders of the Fund.

What is the effect of shareholder approval of the DBT Plan?

Under the 1940 Act, the shareholders of an investment company are entitled to vote on the election of directors or trustees and the initial approval of the investment management agreement for the investment company. Thus, if the DBT Plan is approved, shareholders of the Trust would need to elect Trustees(1) and approve an investment management agreement or the Trust would not be in compliance with the 1940 Act. For investment companies that have already commenced operations and have public shareholders, these matters typically must be submitted to shareholders for their consideration at a meeting specially

(1) The members of the board of a Delaware business trust are referred to as Trustees.

-15-

called for that purpose. In the case of a reorganization, such as that contemplated by this Proposal 2, a meeting could not be called until after the completion of the transaction, because only then would there exist public shareholders of the Trust who could vote. Such a procedure would be both impractical and expensive. Therefore, in accordance with standard practice and announced positions of the staff of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), the Directors have determined that it is in the best interests of the shareholders to avoid the considerable expense of a shareholder meeting to obtain these approvals following completion of the DBT Reorganization. Thus, the Directors have determined that shareholder approval of the DBT Plan substantially in the form contained in Exhibit A would, for purposes of the 1940 Act, constitute shareholder approval of: (1) the election, as Trustees of the Trust, of the Directors of the Fund who are in office at the time of the DBT Reorganization, including those Directors elected at this Meeting pursuant to Proposal 1; and (2) the new investment management agreement between the Trust and the Investment Manager, which is substantially identical to the investment management agreement currently in effect between the Fund and the Investment Manager. Mechanically, this will be accomplished, prior to the effectiveness of the DBT Reorganization, by issuing a single share of beneficial interest in the Trust to the Fund, and having the Fund vote on these matters as sole shareholder of the Trust.

In summary, to implement the special voting procedures described above, prior to the completion of the DBT Reorganization, the officers will cause the Fund, which will have the status of initial sole shareholder of the Trust, to vote its share of the Trust FOR the election of the Trustees of the Trust and approval of the investment management agreement as specified above. This action will enable the Trust to satisfy the requirements of the 1940 Act without involving the time and expense of a shareholder meeting. If approved by shareholders of the Fund and not abandoned by the Directors, the DBT Reorganization will be completed as soon as reasonably practicable after the Meeting.

What is the capitalization and structure of the Trust?

The Trust was formed as a business trust on February 28, 2002 pursuant to Delaware law. The Trust has authorized an unlimited number of shares of beneficial interest without par value. As of the effective time of the DBT Reorganization, outstanding shares of the Trust will have the same dividend rights as those of the Fund immediately prior to the effective time of the DBT Reorganization and will be fully paid, nonassessable, freely transferable, and

have no preemptive or subscription rights. Shares of the Trust and the Fund have the same voting and liquidation rights and have one vote per full share. Both the Trust and Fund provide for noncumulative voting in the election of their Trustees/Directors and provide for a classified board consisting of three classes of Trustees/Directors, with staggered terms. Each class of Trustees/Directors remains in office for three years and until their successors are elected and qualify with the term of office of one class expiring each year. The Trust also has the same fiscal year as the Fund.

-16-

Are there any tax consequences for shareholders?

The DBT Reorganization is designed to be tax-free for federal income tax purposes so that you will not experience a taxable gain or loss when the DBT Reorganization is completed. The basis and holding period of your shares in the Trust will be the same as the basis and holding period of your shares in the Fund. Consummation of the DBT Reorganization is subject to receipt of a legal opinion from the law firm of Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP that, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the exchange of assets of the Fund for the shares of the Trust, the transfer of such shares to the holders of Fund Shares, and the liquidation and dissolution of the Fund pursuant to the DBT Plan, will not give rise to the recognition of a gain or loss for federal income tax purposes to the Fund, the Trust, or shareholders of either the Fund or the Trust.

What if I choose to sell my shares at any time?

You may continue to trade your Fund Shares on the NYSE or Osaka Securities Exchange ("OSE") until the close of trading on the business day before the effective date of the DBT Reorganization. The shares of the Trust will be listed on the NYSE and the OSE just as Fund Shares historically have been listed. Consequently, upon the effectiveness of the DBT Reorganization you may trade, on the NYSE or the OSE, the shares of the Trust you receive in the DBT Reorganization. The value of your shares will not be affected by the DBT Reorganization except to the extent that market forces effect the value of the shares, as currently occurs.

What is the effect of my voting "FOR" the DBT Plan?

By voting "FOR" the DBT Plan, you will be agreeing to become a shareholder of an investment company that has been formed as a Delaware business trust, with the Trustees, investment management, and other service arrangements that are substantially identical to those in place for the Fund.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" PROPOSAL 2

-17-

Why is the Board recommending the amendment or elimination of certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions?

The Fund is subject to certain "fundamental" investment restrictions that govern the Fund's investment activities. Under the 1940 Act, "fundamental" investment restrictions may be changed or eliminated only if shareholders approve such action. The Board is recommending that shareholders approve the amendment or elimination of certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions principally because such fundamental investment restrictions are more restrictive than is required under the federal securities laws and their amendment or elimination would provide the Fund with greater investment flexibility to meet its investment objective. The proposed restrictions not only satisfy current federal regulatory requirements, but generally are formulated to provide the Fund with the flexibility to respond to future legal, regulatory, market or technical changes. The proposed changes would not affect the Fund's investment objective.

After the Fund was organized as a Maryland corporation in 1994, certain legal and regulatory requirements applicable to investment companies changed. For example, certain restrictions imposed by state laws and regulations were preempted by the National Securities Markets Improvement Act of 1996 ("NSMIA") and, therefore, are no longer applicable to investment companies. As a result, the Fund currently is subject to certain fundamental investment restrictions that are either more restrictive than required under current law or which are no longer required at all. For this reason, the Board is recommending that the Fund's shareholders approve the amendment or elimination of certain of the Fund's current fundamental investment restrictions in order to provide the Fund with a more modernized list of restrictions that will enable the Fund to operate more efficiently, and to more easily monitor compliance with its investment restrictions.

The Board does not anticipate that the proposed amendments to, or the elimination of, certain of the Fund's restrictions, individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect the way the Fund is managed or will result in a material change in the level of investment risk associated with an investment in the Fund. Should the Board determine at a later date that a material modification to an investment policy that would be permitted under the changed restrictions is appropriate for the Fund, notice of any such change would be provided to shareholders. However, the Board believes that the proposed changes are in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders as they will modernize the subject investment restrictions and should enhance the Fund's ability to achieve its investment objective.

-18-

PROPOSAL 3: TO APPROVE AMENDMENTS TO CERTAIN OF THE FUND'S FUNDAMENTAL INVESTMENT RESTRICTIONS (this Proposal involves separate votes on Sub-Proposals 3a - 3c)

The Fund's current investment restrictions that are the subject of this Proposal 3, together with the recommended changes to those restrictions, are detailed in Exhibit C, which is entitled, "Fundamental Investment Restrictions Proposed to be Amended or Eliminated." Shareholders are requested to vote on each Sub-Proposal in Proposal 3 separately.

Sub-Proposal 3a: To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding industry concentration.

Under the 1940 Act, an investment company's policy regarding concentration of investments in the securities of companies in any particular industry or group of industries must be fundamental. The staff of the SEC has clarified that an investment company "concentrates" its investments if it invests more than 25% of its "net" assets (exclusive of certain items such as cash, U.S. government securities, securities of other investment companies, and tax-exempt securities) in any particular industry or group of industries. An investment company is not permitted to concentrate its investments in any particular industry or group of industries unless it discloses its intention to do so.

What effect will amending the current restriction regarding industry concentration have on the Fund?

The proposed concentration policy set forth in Exhibit C to this Proxy Statement is substantially the same as the Fund's current policy, except that it (i) modifies the Fund asset measure (from "total assets" to "net assets") by which concentration is assessed; (ii) slightly increases (from "25% or more" to "more than 25%") the numerical limit on permissible investments; and (iii) expressly references, in a manner consistent with current SEC staff policy, the categories of investments that are excepted from coverage of the restriction. The proposed restriction reflects a more modernized approach to industry concentration, and provides the Fund with investment flexibility that ultimately is expected to help the Fund respond to future legal, regulatory, market or technical changes.

The proposed restriction would expressly exempt from the 25% limitation, those securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government or any of its agencies or instrumentalities, and the securities of other investment companies, consistent with SEC staff policy. The proposed restriction thus clarifies the types of U.S. government securities in which the Fund may invest. In addition, although the Fund has always been permitted to invest in other investment companies in accordance with the terms of its Prospectus, the proposed restriction now makes explicit that such investments are exempted from the Fund's concentration policy. Even with this modified restriction, however, the Fund would continue to remain subject to the limitations on investments in other investment companies as set forth in the 1940 Act and its Prospectus. In brief, absent special relief from the SEC, the 1940 Act would prohibit the Fund from investing more than 5% of its total assets in any one investment company and more than 10% of its total assets in other investment companies overall.

-19-

The Fund's restriction on industry concentration is not required to specify, as it does currently, that a foreign government is deemed an "industry." However, that view represents a long-standing SEC staff position, and would continue to limit the Fund's ability to invest in securities issued by foreign governments even without an express reference to that policy in the restriction.

The adoption of the proposed restriction is not expected to change materially the way in which the Fund currently is managed. The Fund does not, under normal market conditions, invest a significant proportion of its assets in U.S. government securities or those issued by its agencies or instrumentalities. Moreover, without SEC relief, the Fund would still be limited in its investments in other investment companies and, without further shareholder approval, could not invest more than 25% of its net assets in securities issued by a foreign government.

Sub-Proposal 3b: To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding borrowing and issuing senior securities.

The 1940 Act imposes certain limits on investment companies with respect to borrowing money or issuing senior securities, and a fund's policies concerning the borrowing of money and the issuance of senior securities must be fundamental. A "senior security" is, in essence, an obligation of the Fund with respect to its earnings or assets that takes precedence over the claims of the Fund's shareholders with respect to the same earnings or assets. The 1940 Act's limitations are designed to protect shareholders and their investments by restricting a fund's ability to subject its assets to the claims of creditors or senior security holders who would be entitled to dividends or rights on liquidation of the Fund that would have to be discharged before the claims of shareholders. Consistent with that policy, the 1940 Act generally limits the ability of a closed-end investment company, like the Fund, from leveraging its assets by borrowing money or through the issuance of senior securities.

In summary, under the 1940 Act, a closed-end fund may leverage its assets by borrowing or through the issuance of debt instruments or preferred stock. In the case of a senior security that is in the form of a borrowing or the issuance of debt, the Fund, among other requirements, must have assets equal to at least 300% of the amount $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$ by the amount of the debt issue immediately after the borrowing or issuance of debt. In determining whether it meets the 300% asset coverage requirement, the Fund is permitted to include as an asset the amount borrowed or the amount of the debt instrument. In the case of a senior security that is in the form of an issue of preferred stock, among other requirements, the asset coverage requirement is 200% of the amount of the preferred stock issued. As in the case of a borrowing or debt issue, the amount of the preferred stock issue is included as an asset for purposes of the asset coverage requirement. So long as the borrowing continues or the debt issue or preferred stock is outstanding, a fund may not pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase its common stock if that action would reduce asset coverage below the required amount.

In addition, in accordance with SEC staff interpretations under the 1940 Act, closed-end funds may engage in a number of types of transactions that might be considered to raise "senior security" or "leveraging" concerns, if the funds do not meet certain collateral requirements designated by the SEC staff. These

-20-

collateral requirements are designed to protect shareholders by ensuring that when an obligation from one of these "leverage-type transactions" comes due, liquid assets of the fund sufficient to discharge the obligation are readily available in a segregated account of the fund. The collateralization requirement limits a fund's ability to engage in those types of transactions and thereby limits a fund's exposure to risk associated with them. In very general terms, an investment company is considered to be leveraging when it enters into securities transactions without being required to make payment until a later point in time. The leverage-type transactions identified by the SEC staff as presenting senior security concerns include, among others, short sales, certain options and futures transactions, and reverse repurchase agreements. Among these, short sales are currently the subject of a fundamental restriction that the Board is proposing to eliminate under Proposal 4 of this Proxy Statement.

What effect will amending the current borrowing and senior securities restriction have on the Fund?

The proposed borrowing and senior securities restriction set forth in Exhibit C is intended to modernize the Fund's investment restriction, and to clarify that the Fund may borrow money, issue senior securities or engage in the "leverage-type transactions" noted above, in accordance with the limits established under the 1940 Act, or any SEC order, rule, regulation or staff interpretation thereof.

The proposed restriction is designed to reflect all current regulatory requirements and is formulated to provide the Fund with enhanced flexibility to respond to future legal, regulatory or market changes. This enhanced flexibility would be achieved by eliminating certain operational limitations set forth in the Fund's current fundamental restriction. That restriction currently prohibits the Fund from borrowing money or issuing senior securities except that (a) short-term credits necessary for settlement of securities transactions are not considered borrowings or senior securities; (b) the Fund may borrow no more than 5% of the value of the Fund's total assets, except for emergency purposes; and (c) the Fund may borrow up to 33 1/3% of its total assets in connection with a repurchase of its shares. Although the proposed restriction does not specifically carve out these enumerated activities, the Fund would continue to be permitted to engage in them, because these activities are all permissible under the 1940 Act and interpretations of the SEC staff.

The proposed borrowing and senior securities restriction set forth in Exhibit C would provide the Fund with greater borrowing and leveraging flexibility, and would permit the Fund to engage in a broader range of the leverage-type transactions. The Fund may therefore be subject to additional costs and risks if it engages in practices that would be permissible under this modified policy.

For example, the Fund could borrow money or issue senior securities, such as preferred stock, for investment purposes when the Investment Manager believes that it is appropriate to expand the Fund's investments beyond its existing holdings. Because borrowing or the issuance of senior securities will subject the Fund to additional costs, the Fund would only borrow or issue senior securities when the Investment Manager believes that the cost of carrying the assets to be acquired through leverage would be lower than the Fund's expected

-21-

return on its longer-term portfolio investments. Should this differential narrow, the Fund would realize less of a positive return, with the additional risk that, during periods of adverse market conditions, the market value of the Fund's entire portfolio holdings (including those acquired through leverage) may decline far in excess of incremental returns the Fund may have achieved in the interim. Indeed, any such leveraging tends to magnify market exposure and can result in higher than expected losses to the Fund.

Because the investment risk associated with investment assets purchased with borrowed funds would be borne solely by the holders of the Fund's shares, adverse movements in the price of the Fund's portfolio holdings would have a more severe effect on the Fund's net asset value than if the Fund were not leveraged. Leverage creates risks for shareholders in the Fund, including the likelihood of greater volatility of the Fund's net asset value and the market price of its shares, and the risk that fluctuations in interest rates on borrowings or in the dividend rates on any preferred stock may affect the return to shareholders. If the income from the securities purchased with borrowed funds is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the net income of the Fund

would be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to shareholders as dividends will be reduced. In such an event, the Fund may nevertheless determine to maintain its leveraged position in order to avoid capital losses on securities purchased with the leverage.

Also, if the asset coverage for senior securities or other borrowings of the Fund declines below the limits specified in the 1940 Act, the Fund may be required to sell a portion of its investments when it may not be advantageous to do so. In the extreme, sales of investments required to meet asset coverage tests imposed by the 1940 Act could also cause the Fund to lose its status as a regulated investment company. In addition, if the Fund were unable to make adequate distributions to shareholders because of asset coverage or other restrictions, it could fail to qualify as a regulated investment company for federal income tax purposes and, even if it did not fail to so qualify, it could become liable for income and excise tax on the portion of its earnings which are not distributed on a timely basis in accordance with applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

The Fund's willingness to borrow money and issue new securities for investment purposes, and the amount it will borrow, will depend on many factors, the most important of which are investment outlook, market conditions and interest rates. Successful use of a leveraging strategy depends on the Investment Manager's ability to predict correctly interest rates and market movements, and there is no assurance that a leveraging strategy will be successful during any period in which it is employed.

Among the "leverage-type transactions" in which the Fund would be authorized to engage are transactions involving futures, options thereon and similar derivative instruments. These instruments could be used in an attempt to protect Fund assets, implement a cash or tax management strategy or enhance Fund returns. With derivatives, the Investment Manager often would be attempting to predict whether an underlying investment will increase or decrease in value at some future time.

Derivatives and similar instruments generally involve costs, may be volatile and may involve a small investment relative to the risk assumed. Their

-22-

successful use will depend on the Investment Manager's ability to predict market movements. Risks include delivery failure, default by the other party and the inability to close out a position because the trading market becomes illiquid. Therefore, these instruments will be utilized only if the Investment Manager determines that such investments are advisable.

The above notwithstanding, the Board does not anticipate that the Fund would be exposed to any additional risk if the current restriction is amended, because the Fund has no present intention of changing its current investment practices with respect to borrowing money or issuing senior securities. In addition, even if the Fund were to engage in these leverage-type transactions, the SEC staff's collateralization requirements and other applicable regulatory limitations should help to mitigate the investment risks attendant to them.

Sub-Proposal 3c: To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding investments in commodities.

Under the 1940 Act, a fund's policy as recited in its registration statement

regarding investments in commodities must be fundamental. The most common types of commodities are physical commodities such as wheat, cotton, rice and corn. Under the federal securities and commodities laws, certain futures contracts and options thereon are considered to be commodity interests. Financial futures contracts such as those related to currencies, stock indices or interest rates, also may be considered to be commodity interests. If the Fund buys a financial futures contract, it obtains the right to receive (or, if the Fund sells the contract, the Fund is obligated to pay) the cash difference between the contract price for an underlying asset or index and the future market price, if the future market price is higher. If the future market price is lower, the Fund is obligated to pay (or, if the Fund sold the contract, the Fund is entitled to receive) the amount of the decrease. Funds typically seek to invest in such financial futures contracts and options related to such contracts for hedging or investment purposes.

What effect will amending the commodities restriction have on the Fund?

The Fund's current fundamental restriction states that the Fund may not purchase or sell futures contracts and options thereon "except that the Fund may engage in hedging transactions as described in [the Fund's] Prospectus." As described in the Prospectus, the Fund may hedge against various market risks through, among other practices, entering into forward currency exchange contracts and various financial, index and currency futures contracts and options on such futures contracts, as well as purchasing or writing put or call options on securities, indices and foreign currencies, in U.S. or foreign markets, to the extent available and deemed appropriate by the Investment Manager. The Fund also is authorized to hedge against interest rate fluctuations by entering into interest rate futures contracts and options thereon.

The proposed commodities restriction set forth in Exhibit C states that the prohibition on purchases and sales covers commodities, but does not prevent the Fund from engaging in transactions involving futures contracts and options thereon or investing in securities that are secured by physical commodities. This makes clear that the Fund has the flexibility, consistent with federal securities and commodities laws, to engage in transactions involving futures

-23-

contracts and related options. The proposed restriction also would expand the Fund's authority to make investments in such transactions and related options for investment purposes as well as hedging purposes. The proposed restriction does not otherwise limit the types of financial agreements and instruments used in hedging transactions. Thus, the proposed restriction will continue to enable the Fund to engage, consistent with its investment objective, in hedging transactions as described in the Fund's Prospectus.

The terms of the modified restriction clarify that the Fund is permitted to engage in transactions involving various financial agreements and instruments, including forward foreign currency contracts, options on foreign currencies, futures contracts and options on futures for hedging or investment purposes. Among these are foreign currency exchange transactions which the Fund may conduct either on a spot (i.e., cash) basis at the spot rate prevailing in the foreign currency exchange market, or through entering into forward contracts to purchase or sell foreign currencies. A forward contract is an obligation to purchase or sell a specific currency for an agreed price at a future date, which is individually negotiated and privately traded by currency traders and their customers. Although forward contracts will be used primarily to protect the Fund

from adverse currency movements, they also involve the risk of loss in the event that anticipated currency movements are not accurately predicted.

Other transactions include the purchasing and writing of put and call options on foreign currencies for the primary purpose of protecting against declines in the U.S. dollar value of foreign currency-denominated portfolio securities and against increases in the U.S. dollar cost of such securities to be acquired. As in the case of other kinds of options, however, the writing of an option on a foreign currency constitutes only a partial hedge, up to the amount of the premium received, and the Fund could be required to purchase or sell foreign currencies at disadvantageous exchanges rates, thereby incurring losses. The purchase of an option on a foreign currency may constitute an effective hedge against fluctuations in exchange rates although, in the event of rate movements adverse to the Fund's position, it may forfeit the entire amount of the premium plus related transaction costs.

The Fund also may buy and sell financial futures contracts, index futures contracts, foreign currency futures contracts and options on any of the foregoing. A financial futures contract is an agreement between two parties to buy or sell a specified debt security at a set price on a future date. An index futures contract is an agreement to take or make delivery of an amount of cash based on the difference between the value of the index at the beginning and at the end of the contract period. A futures contract on a foreign currency is an agreement to buy or sell a specified amount of a currency for a set price on a future date. These instruments can present significant investment risk to the Fund if the Investment Manager does not accurately predict the fluctuations in, as the case may be, interest rates, currency values or the market to which the financial instrument is tied.

Using these financial agreements and similar instruments for hedging, and particularly for investment purposes, can involve substantial risks, and they will be utilized only if the Investment Manager determines that such investments are advisable. The adoption of this restriction is not expected to affect the way the Fund is currently managed, as disclosed in the Fund's Prospectus and,

-24-

therefore, it is not currently anticipated that the proposed restriction will expose the Fund to any additional material risk.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" SUB-PROPOSALS 3a - 3c

-25-

PROPOSAL 4: TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF CERTAIN OF THE FUND'S FUNDAMENTAL INVESTMENT RESTRICTIONS

Why is the Board recommending that certain fundamental investment restrictions be eliminated, and what effect will their elimination have on the Fund?

Some of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions were originally adopted

to comply with state law and regulation. Due to the passage of NSMIA, and changes in SEC staff positions, these fundamental restrictions are either no longer required by law or are no longer relevant to the operation of the Fund. Since NSMIA eliminated the states' ability to adopt or substantively regulate investment companies, the Fund is no longer legally required to adopt or maintain investment restrictions relating to diversification, purchasing securities on margin, short sales, or control over management. The Board has determined that eliminating these four restrictions (referred to in this Proposal 4 as the "Restrictions") is consistent with the federal securities laws. By reducing the total number of investment restrictions that can be changed only by a shareholder vote, the Board believes that the Fund will be able to reduce the costs and delays associated with holding future shareholder meetings for the purpose of revising fundamental policies that become outdated or inappropriate. The Board believes that the elimination of the Restrictions is in the best interest of the Fund's shareholders as it will provide the Fund with increased flexibility to pursue its investment objective.

Which four (4) Restrictions are the Board recommending that the Fund eliminate?

The Fund currently is subject to four Restrictions that are no longer required by law and were adopted primarily in response to regulatory, business or industry conditions that no longer exist. Accordingly, the Investment Manager has recommended, and the Board has determined, that the Restrictions be eliminated. Elimination of the Restrictions would enable the Fund to be managed in accordance with the current requirements of the 1940 Act, without being constrained by additional and unnecessary limitations. The Directors believe that the Investment Manager's ability to manage the Fund's assets in a changing investment environment will be enhanced, and that investment management opportunities will be increased, by these changes. The exact language of the Restrictions has been included in Exhibit C, which is entitled "Fundamental Investment Restrictions Proposed to be Amended or Eliminated."

Diversification of Investments. The Fund's diversification restriction, which prohibits the Fund from investing, with certain exceptions, more than 25% of its total assets in the voting or non-voting securities of a single issuer, is currently combined with a restriction on acquiring more than 25% of the voting securities of another issuer (the "control restriction") in a single fundamental restriction. Hereafter, the control restriction will be separated from the diversification restriction, the latter of which would be eliminated if this Proposal 4 is approved by shareholders. No change is being proposed to the control restriction.

Investment companies, like the Fund, generally diversify their investments among many different securities. They are, however, free to choose the extent to which they will diversify their investments, provided they comply with certain minimum limits set forth in the 1940 Act and/or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as

-26-

amended ("Code"). Generally, in order to be diversified under the 1940 Act, a fund may not invest more than 5% of its total assets in a single issuer (except U.S. government securities, as defined in the 1940 Act), or purchase more than 10% of the outstanding securities of a single issuer, but these limits apply only to 75% of the fund's total assets. As a result, any fund that is diversified under the 1940 Act may invest up to 25% of its assets in a single security. If a fund elects to be "non-diversified" under the 1940 Act, it must still operate within the diversification requirements of the Code, which are

similar to the 1940 Act diversification requirements, but apply only to 50% of a fund's assets, rather than 75%. As to the remaining 50% of fund assets, the Code permits a fund to buy as few as two separate securities, each representing 25% of the value of the fund.

The Fund's Prospectus states that the Fund is classified as a non-diversified fund for purposes of the 1940 Act. Having elected to be a non-diversified fund, the Fund is not obligated to adhere to the 1940 Act's limits on the proportion of its assets that may be invested in the securities of a single issuer that apply to funds that elect to be diversified. As a non-diversified fund, the Fund could invest a greater proportion of its assets in the securities of a smaller number of issuers, thereby subjecting the Fund to greater risk of loss with respect to its portfolio securities than could a diversified fund.

Despite the above, and although not required to do so, the Fund currently has a fundamental investment restriction limiting the Fund's ability to invest more than 25% of the total value of its assets in the securities of any one issuer. In addition, the Fund has adopted a non-fundamental policy-one that may be changed by the Board without shareholder approval-under which the Fund may not invest more than 10% of its total assets in the securities of any one issuer. Because the Fund would remain subject to this non-fundamental policy, the elimination of this Restriction is not expected to affect the day-to-day management of the Fund.

Though the Fund would continue to be limited by its non-fundamental policy regarding investments in the securities of a single issuer, that policy could be changed by the Board in the future without shareholder approval. In the absence of the current fundamental policy, the Board could therefore increase the proportion of assets allocable to a single issuer or eliminate the non-fundamental policy altogether. Even if the Board were to do so, however, the Fund would continue to be limited to investing no more than 25% of its net assets in a single issuer under the limits of its fundamental investment restriction regarding industry concentration (as discussed previously in Sub-Proposal 3a) because investment in any one issuer would be deemed to be investment in a single industry. Moreover, the Fund still would have to satisfy the requirements of the diversification limits prescribed by the Code or lose its status as a regulated investment company. Were the Fund to lose that status, the Fund itself would become subject to tax, thereby materially reducing the returns provided to shareholders. To preserve its value as a viable investment vehicle for shareholders, the Fund intends to continue to comply with the provisions of the Code.

Purchase Securities on Margin. The Fund's Prospectus contains a fundamental policy that prohibits the Fund from purchasing securities on margin. However, that policy expressly permits the Fund to engage in when-issued and delayed delivery transactions and makes provision for the completion of those transactions. This Restriction was originally adopted at the Fund's inception

-27-

because state law, to which the Fund was then subject, required investment companies to expressly recite in their prospectuses that purchasing securities on margin was prohibited. After the passage of NSMIA, the Fund is no longer required to include in its Prospectus a fundamental policy expressly prohibiting these types of investment activities.

Under the 1940 Act, however, the purchase of securities on margin is

specifically prohibited. As a general matter, therefore, elimination of this Restriction should not have any impact on the day-to-day management of the Fund. Its elimination would: (i) not change the Fund's current inability to purchase securities on margin; (ii) continue to permit the Fund to engage in the activities currently excepted from the Restriction; and (iii) permit the Fund, going forward, to easily and efficiently respond to any future changes in regulations or future interpretations of the 1940 Act.

Short Sales. The Fund's Prospectus currently prohibits the Fund from selling securities short or maintaining a short position. A short sale is the sale of a security that is later purchased or borrowed from a broker or other institution to complete the sale. A short sale is "against the box" if the Fund owns, or has the right to obtain, securities identical to those sold short.

Following the passage of NSMIA, applicable law no longer requires funds to declare a fundamental policy concerning short selling. Under the 1940 Act, the Fund may engage in short sales of securities provided it establishes a segregated account that contains the same security that is the subject of the short sale (the above-described short sale "against the box"), or other liquid assets in an amount sufficient to discharge the liability created by the short sale. If the Fund did not establish a segregated account with adequate collateral, the short sale might be deemed to create a senior security, which would be prohibited under the 1940 Act.

Eliminating this Restriction on short sales would provide the Fund with the flexibility to enter into short sales in the limited instances that are interpreted by the SEC staff as not constituting the issuance of senior securities under the federal securities laws. The Fund's use of short sales could pose certain risks, including potential losses if the market price of the security sold short increases between the date when the Fund enters into the short position and the date when the Fund closes the short position. However, because the Fund does not currently intend to enter into short sales or maintain short positions, eliminating this Restriction is not expected to affect the day-to-day management of the Fund.

Control over Management. The Fund's Prospectus currently prohibits the Fund from investing in securities of issuers for the purpose of exercising control over management of that issuer. If a fund acquires a large percentage of the securities of a single issuer, it could be deemed to have invested in such issuer for the purpose of exercising control of management. Former state law required that funds expressly prohibit these practices to ensure that an investment company would not be engaged in the business of managing another company. Following the passage of NSMIA, applicable law no longer requires that the Fund have such a restriction.

-28-

Eliminating this Restriction is not intended to have any impact on the day-to-day management of the Fund because the Fund has no present intention of investing in issuers for the purpose of exercising control over management.

What are the risks, if any, of eliminating the Restrictions?

The Board does not anticipate that eliminating the Restrictions will result in additional material risk to the Fund. Although the Restrictions, as drafted, are no longer legally required, the Fund's ability to engage in these practices will continue to be subject to the limitations of the 1940 Act, any rule, SEC staff

interpretation, and any exemptive orders granted under the 1940 Act. Moreover, the Fund does not currently intend to change its present investment practices following the elimination of the Restrictions.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" PROPOSAL 4

INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUND

The Investment Manager. The Investment Manager of the Fund is Templeton Asset Management Ltd.-Hong Kong Branch, a Singapore company with a branch office at Two Exchange Square, Hong Kong. Pursuant to an investment management agreement, the Investment Manager manages the investment and reinvestment of Fund assets. The Investment Manager is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Resources.

The Administrator. The administrator of the Fund is Franklin Templeton Services, LLC ("FT Services"), with offices at One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, California 94403-1906. FT Services is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Resources. Pursuant to an administration agreement, FT Services performs certain administrative functions for the Fund. In addition, FT Services has entered into a Japanese shareholder servicing and administration agreement with Nomura Asset Management U.S.A. Inc. ("NAM-USA"), formerly Nomura Capital Management, Inc., under which NAM-USA performs certain administrative functions in Japan, subject to FT Services' supervision. NAM-USA is an affiliate of Nomura International (Hong Kong) Limited, an initial underwriter of the Fund's shares. NAM-USA has offices at 180 Maiden Lane, 26th Floor, New York, New York 10038.

The Transfer Agent. The transfer agent, registrar and dividend disbursement agent for the Fund is Mellon Investor Services LLC, 85 Challenger Road, Overpeck Centre, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660.

The Custodian. The custodian for the Fund is JP Morgan Chase Bank, MetroTech Center, Brooklyn, New York 11245.

Other Matters. The Fund's last audited financial statements and annual report, dated December 31, 2001, are available free of charge. To obtain a copy, please call $1-800/\mathrm{DIAL}$ BEN(R)(1-800-342-5236) or forward a written request to Franklin/Templeton Investor Services, LLC, P.O. Box 33030, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-8030.

-29-

As of February 22, 2002, the Fund had 48,741,093 shares outstanding and total net assets of \$453,657,816. The Fund's shares are listed on the NYSE (Symbol: TDF) and on the Osaka Securities Exchange (Symbol: 8683). From time to time, the number of shares held in "street name" accounts of various securities dealers for the benefit of their clients may exceed 5% of the total shares outstanding. To the knowledge of the Fund's management, as of February 22, 2002, there were no other entities holding beneficially or of record more than 5% of the Fund's outstanding shares, except as shown in the following table:

Amount and
Nature Percent of
Name and Address of Of Beneficial Outstanding
Beneficial Owner Ownership Shares

5,228,351(1)

President and Fellows of
Harvard College
c/o Harvard Management
Company, Inc.
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02210

10.7%(2)

- (1) The nature of beneficial ownership is sole voting and dispositive power as reported on Schedule 13G, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2002.
- (2) As reported on Schedule 13G, dated and filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2002.

In addition, to the knowledge of the Fund's management, as of February 22, 2002, no nominee or Director of the Fund owned 1% or more of the outstanding shares of the Fund, and the Directors and officers of the Fund owned, as a group, less than 1% of the outstanding shares of the Fund.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Board has a standing Audit Committee consisting of Messrs. Millsaps (Chairman), Crothers, Hines and Tseretopoulos, all of whom are Independent Directors and also are considered to be "independent" as that term is defined by the NYSE's listing standards. The Audit Committee reviews the maintenance of the Fund's records and the safekeeping arrangements of the Fund's custodian, reviews both the audit and non-audit work of the Fund's independent auditors, and submits a recommendation to the Board as to the selection of independent auditors.

Selection of Independent Auditors. Upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee, the Board selected the firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") as independent auditors of the Fund for the current fiscal year. Representatives of PwC are not expected to be present at the Meeting, but will have the opportunity to make a statement if they wish, and will be available should any matter arise requiring their presence.

Audit Fees. The aggregate fees paid to PwC in connection with the annual audit of the Fund's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 were \$57,373.

Financial Information Systems Design and Implementation Fees. PwC did not render any services with respect to financial information systems design and implementation during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 to the Fund or

-30-

entities affiliated with the Fund that provide services to the Fund.

All Other Fees. The aggregate fees billed for all other non-audit services, including fees for tax-related services, rendered by PwC to the Fund or entities affiliated with the Fund that provide services to the Fund for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 were \$142,204. The Audit Committee of the Fund has determined that provision of these non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the independence of PwC.

Audit Committee Report. The Board has adopted and approved a formal written charter for the Audit Committee, which sets forth the Audit Committee's responsibilities. The charter was filed with the proxy statement for the Fund's 2001 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

As required by the charter, the Audit Committee reviewed the Fund's audited financial statements and met with management, as well as with PwC, the Fund's independent auditors, to discuss the financial statements.

The Audit Committee received the written disclosures and the letter from PwC required by Independence Standards Board No. 1. The Audit Committee also received the report of PwC regarding the results of their audit. In connection with its review of the financial statements and the auditors' report, the members of the Audit Committee discussed with a representative of PwC, their independence, as well as the following: the auditors' responsibilities in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards; the auditors' responsibilities for information prepared by management that accompanies the Fund's audited financial statements and any procedures performed and the results; the initial selection of, and whether there were any changes in, significant accounting policies or their application; management's judgments and accounting estimates; whether there were any significant audit adjustments; whether there were any disagreements with management; whether there was any consultation with other accountants; whether there were any major issues discussed with management prior to the auditors' retention; whether the auditors encountered any difficulties in dealing with management in performing the audit; and the auditors' judgments about the quality of the company's accounting principles.

Based on its discussions with management and the Fund's auditors, the Audit Committee did not become aware of any material misstatements or omissions in the financial statements. Accordingly, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in the Fund's Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 for filing with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE
Fred R. Millsaps (Chairman)
Frank J. Crothers
Andrew H. Hines, Jr.
Constantine D. Tseretopoulos

-31-

FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT VOTING AND THE MEETING

Solicitation of Proxies. Your vote is being solicited by the Board. The cost of soliciting proxies, including the fees of a proxy soliciting agent, is borne by the Fund. The Fund reimburses brokerage firms and others for their expenses in forwarding proxy material to the beneficial owners and soliciting them to execute proxies. The Fund has engaged Georgeson Shareholder Communications, Inc. to solicit proxies from brokers, banks, other institutional holders and individual shareholders at an anticipated cost of approximately \$[81,992], including out-of-pocket expenses. The Fund expects that the solicitation would be primarily by mail, but also may include telephone, telecopy or oral solicitations. If the Fund does not receive your proxy by a certain time, you may receive a telephone call from Georgeson Shareholder asking you to vote. The

Fund does not reimburse Directors and officers of the Fund or regular employees and agents of the Investment Manager involved in the solicitation of proxies. The Fund intends to pay all costs associated with the solicitation and the Meeting.

Voting by Broker-Dealers. The Fund expects that, before the Meeting, broker-dealer firms holding shares of the Fund in "street name" for their customers, as well as the Japan Securities Clearing Corporation ("JSCC") holding shares of the Fund for its beneficial owners, will request voting instructions from their customers and beneficial owners. If these instructions are not received by the date specified in the broker-dealer firms' or JSCC's proxy solicitation materials, the Fund understands that NYSE Rules permit the broker-dealers and JSCC to vote on Proposal 1 on behalf of their customers and beneficial owners. Certain broker-dealers may exercise discretion over shares held in their name for which no instructions are received by voting these shares in the same proportion as they vote shares for which they received instructions.

Quorum. A majority of the shares entitled to vote - present in person or represented by proxy - constitutes a quorum at the Meeting. The shares over which broker-dealers and JSCC have discretionary voting power, the shares that represent "broker non-votes" (i.e., shares held by brokers or nominees as to which (i) instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote and (ii) the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power on a particular matter), and the shares whose proxies reflect an abstention on any item will all be counted as shares present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether the required quorum of shares exists.

Methods of Tabulation. Proposal 1, the election of Directors, requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a plurality of the Fund's shares present and voting on the Proposal at the Meeting. Proposal 2, to approve an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization that provides for the reorganization of the Fund from a Maryland corporation to a Delaware business trust, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of all the shares entitled to be cast on the matter (all of the outstanding shares of the Fund are entitled to vote on Proposal 2). Proposal 3, to approve amendments to certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions (includes three (3) Sub-Proposals); and Proposal 4, to approve the elimination of certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions, require the affirmative vote of the lesser of: (i) more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities of the Fund; or (ii) 67% or more of the voting securities of the Fund present at the Meeting, if the holders of more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities are present or represented by proxy.

-32-

Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as votes present at the Meeting, but will not be treated as votes cast. Abstentions and broker non-votes, therefore, will have no effect on Proposal 1, which requires a plurality of the Fund's shares present and voting, but will have the same effect as a vote "against" Proposals 2, 3 and 4.

Adjournment. In the event that a quorum is not present at the Meeting or, in the event that a quorum is present but sufficient votes have not been received to approve a Proposal, the Meeting may be adjourned to permit further solicitation of proxies. The presiding officer of the Fund for the Meeting, the secretary of the Meeting, or the persons designated as proxies may adjourn the Meeting to permit further solicitation of proxies or for other reasons consistent with

Maryland law and the Fund's Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws. Unless otherwise instructed by a shareholder granting a proxy, the persons designated as proxies may use their discretionary authority to vote as instructed by management of the Fund on questions of adjournment.

[Shareholder Proposals. The shareholder vote on Proposal 2, the matter concerning the proposed reorganization of the Fund from a Maryland corporation to a Delaware business trust, will dictate the requirements relating to shareholder proposals for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. This section describes those requirements.

Submission of Shareholder Proposals to the Trust. If Proposal 2 is approved by the shareholders, the Fund will be reorganized as the Trust, and the Trust's By-Laws, in addition to the proxy rules under the federal securities laws, will govern shareholder proposals. The Trust anticipates that the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held on or before May 30, 2003. A shareholder who wishes to submit a proposal for consideration for inclusion in the Trust's proxy statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must send such written proposal to the Trust's offices, at 500 East Broward Boulevard, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33394-3091, Attention: Secretary, no later than November [11], 2002 in order to be included in the Trust's proxy statement and proxy card relating to that meeting and presented at the meeting. Submission of a proposal by a shareholder does not guarantee that the proposal will be included in the Trust's proxy statement or presented at the meeting.

A shareholder of the Trust who has not submitted a written proposal for inclusion in the proxy statement by November [11], 2002 as set forth above, may nonetheless present a proposal at the Trust's 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders if such shareholder notifies the Trust, at the Trust's offices, of such proposal not earlier than December 31, 2002 and not later than January 30, 2003. If a shareholder fails to give notice within these dates, then the persons designated as proxies for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders may exercise discretionary voting power with respect to any such proposal. A shareholder proposal may be presented at the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders only if such proposal concerns a matter that may be properly brought before the meeting under applicable federal proxy rules and state law.

-33-

In addition to the requirements set forth above, a shareholder must comply with the following:

I. A shareholder intending to present a proposal must (i) be entitled to vote at the meeting; (ii) comply with the notice procedures set forth herein; and (iii) have been a shareholder of record at the time the shareholder's notice was received by the Trust.

II. Each notice regarding nominations for the election of Trustees shall set forth (i) the name, age, business address and, if known, residence address of each nominee proposed in such notice; (ii) the principal occupation or employment of each such nominee; (iii) the number of outstanding shares of the Trust which are beneficially owned by each such nominee; and (iv) all such other information regarding each such nominee that would have been required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the SEC had each such nominee been nominated by the Trustees of the Trust. In addition, the shareholder making such nomination shall promptly provide any other information reasonably requested by the Trust.

III. Each notice regarding business proposals shall set forth as to each matter: (i) a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting and the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting; (ii) the name and address, as they appear on the Trust's books, of the shareholder proposing such business; (iii) the number of outstanding shares of the Trust which are beneficially owned by the shareholder; (iv) any material interest of the shareholder in such business; and (v) all such other information regarding each such matter that would have been required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the SEC had each such matter been proposed by the Trustees of the Trust.

Submission of Shareholder Proposals to the Fund. If Proposal 2 is not approved by the shareholders, the Fund will remain a Maryland corporation, and the proxy rules under the federal securities laws alone will continue to govern shareholder proposals. The Fund anticipates that the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held on or before May 30, 2003. A shareholder who wishes to submit a proposal for consideration for inclusion in the Fund's proxy statement for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must send such written proposal to the Fund's offices, at 500 East Broward Boulevard, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33394-3091, Attention: Secretary, no later than November [11], 2002 in order to be included in the Fund's proxy statement and proxy card relating to that meeting and presented at the meeting. Submission of a proposal by a shareholder does not guarantee that the proposal will be included in the Fund's proxy statement or presented at the meeting.

A shareholder of the Fund who has not submitted a written proposal for inclusion in the Fund's proxy statement by November [11], 2002, as described above, may nonetheless present a proposal at the Fund's 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders if such shareholder notifies the Fund, at the Fund's offices, of such proposal by January 25, 2003. If a shareholder fails to give notice by this date, then the persons designated as proxies for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders may exercise discretionary voting power with respect to any such proposal. A shareholder proposal may be presented at the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders only if such proposal concerns a matter that may be properly brought before the meeting under applicable federal proxy rules and state law.]

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Barbara J. Green Secretary

[____], 2002

-34-

EXHIBIT A

FORM OF AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF REORGANIZATION BETWEEN TEMPLETON DRAGON FUND, INC.

AND TEMPLETON DRAGON FUND

This Agreement and Plan of Reorganization ("Agreement") is made as of this _____ day of _____, 2002 by and between Templeton Dragon Fund, a Delaware business trust ("Trust"), and Templeton Dragon Fund, Inc., a Maryland corporation ("Fund") (the Trust and the Fund are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "parties").

In consideration of the mutual promises contained herein, and intending to be legally bound, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Plan of Reorganization.

(a) Upon satisfaction of the conditions precedent described in Section 3 hereof, the Fund will convey, transfer and deliver to the Trust at the closing provided for in Section 2 (hereinafter referred to as the "Closing") all of the Fund's then-existing assets. In consideration thereof, the Trust agrees at the Closing (i) to assume and pay when due, to the extent that there exist Fund obligations and liabilities on or after the Effective Date of the Reorganization (as defined in Section 2 hereof), all of such obligations and liabilities, whether absolute, accrued, contingent or otherwise, including all fees and expenses in connection with the Agreement, which fees and expenses shall, in turn, include, without limitation, costs of legal advice, accounting, printing, mailing, proxy solicitation and transfer taxes, if any, such obligations and liabilities of the Fund to become the obligations and liabilities of the Trust; and (ii) to deliver, in accordance with paragraph (b) of this Section 1, full and fractional shares of beneficial interest, without par value, of the Trust,

A-1

equal in number to the number of full and fractional shares of common stock, \$.01 par value per share, of the Fund outstanding immediately prior to the Effective Date of the Reorganization. The reorganization contemplated hereby is intended to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("Code"). The Fund shall distribute to its shareholders the shares of the Trust in accordance with this Agreement and the resolutions of the Board of Directors of the Fund authorizing the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(b) In order to effect the delivery of shares described in Section 1(a)(ii) hereof, the Trust will establish an open account for each shareholder of the Fund and, on the Effective Date of the Reorganization, will credit to such account full and fractional shares of beneficial interest, without par value, of the Trust equal to the number of full and fractional shares such shareholder holds in the Fund at the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") on the business day immediately preceding the Effective Date of the Reorganization; fractional shares of the Trust will be carried to the third decimal place. At the start of regular trading on the NYSE on the Effective Date of the Reorganization, the net asset value per share of shares of the Trust shall be deemed to be the same as the net asset value per share of the common stock of the Fund at the close of regular trading on the NYSE on the business day immediately preceding the Effective Date of the Reorganization. On the Effective Date of the Reorganization, each certificate representing shares of the Fund will be deemed to represent the same number of shares of the Trust. Simultaneously with the crediting of the shares of the Trust to the shareholders of record of the Fund, the shares of common stock of the Fund held by such shareholder shall be cancelled. Each shareholder of the Fund will have the right to deliver their share certificates of the Fund in exchange for share

A-2

certificates of the Trust. However, a shareholder need not deliver such certificates to the Trust unless the shareholder so desires.

- (c) As soon as practicable after the Effective Date of the Reorganization, the Fund shall take all necessary steps under Maryland law to effect a complete dissolution of the Fund.
- (d) The expenses of entering into and carrying out the Agreement $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$
 - 2. Closing and Effective Date of the Reorganization.

The Closing shall consist of (i) the conveyance, transfer and delivery of the Fund's assets to the Trust, in exchange for the assumption and payment, when due, by the Trust of the Fund's obligations and liabilities; and (ii) the issuance and delivery of the Trust's shares in accordance with Section 1(b), together with related acts necessary to consummate such transactions. The Closing shall occur either on (a) the business day immediately following the later of the receipt of all necessary regulatory approvals and the final adjournment of the meeting of shareholders of the Fund at which this Agreement is considered and approved or (b) such later date as the parties may mutually agree ("Effective Date of the Reorganization").

3. Conditions Precedent.

The obligations of the Fund and the Trust to effectuate the transactions hereunder shall be subject to the satisfaction of each of the following conditions:

(a) Such approvals from the NYSE and Osaka Securities Exchange as may be necessary to permit the parties to carry out the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have been received;

A-3

(b) (i) An amendment to the Fund's Notification of Registration on Form N-8A ("Form N-8A") filed pursuant to Section 8(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended ("1940 Act"), containing such amendments to the Form N-8A as are determined by the trustees of the Trust (each, a "Trustee") to be necessary and appropriate as a result of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have been filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"); (ii) the Trust shall have expressly adopted as its own such Form N-8A, as so amended, for purposes of the 1940 Act; (iii) a registration statement on Form 8-A ("8-A Registration Statement") under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, shall have been filed with the Commission and the NYSE by the Trust; (iv) a Technical Original Listing Application shall have been filed with the Commission relating to the Trust shall have become effective, and

no stop-order suspending the effectiveness of the 8-A Registration Statement shall have been issued, and no proceeding for that purpose shall have been initiated or threatened by the Commission (other than any such stop-order, proceeding or threatened proceeding which shall have been withdrawn or terminated);

(c) Each party shall have received an opinion of Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to the effect that, assuming the reorganization contemplated hereby is carried out in accordance with this Agreement, the laws of the States of Delaware and Maryland, and in accordance with customary representations provided by the parties in a certificate(s) delivered to Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP, the reorganization contemplated by this Agreement qualifies as a "reorganization" under Section 368 of the Code, and thus will not give rise to the recognition of income, gain or loss for federal income tax purposes to the Fund, the Trust or the shareholders of the Fund or the Trust;

A-4

- (d) The Fund shall have received an opinion of Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP, dated the Effective Date of the Reorganization, addressed to and in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to the Fund, to the effect that (i) the Trust is duly formed as a business trust under the laws of the State of Delaware; (ii) this Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and the execution and delivery of this Agreement have been duly authorized and approved by all requisite action of the Trust and this Agreement has been duly executed and delivered by the Trust and is a legal, valid and binding agreement of the Trust in accordance with its terms; and (iii) the shares of the Trust to be issued in the reorganization have been duly authorized and, upon issuance thereof in accordance with this Agreement, will have been validly issued and fully paid and will be nonassessable by the Trust;
- (e) The Trust shall have received the opinion of Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP, dated the Effective Date of the Reorganization, addressed to and in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to the Trust, to the effect that: (i) the Fund is duly organized and validly existing under the laws of the State of Maryland; (ii) the Fund is a closed-end investment company of the management type registered under the 1940 Act; and (iii) this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby and the execution and delivery of this Agreement have been duly authorized and approved by all requisite corporate action of the Fund and this Agreement has been duly executed and delivered by the Fund and is a legal, valid and binding agreement of the Fund in accordance with its terms;
- (f) The shares of the Trust are eligible for offering to the public in those states of the United States and jurisdictions in which the shares of the Fund are currently eligible for offering to the public so as to permit the issuance and delivery by the Trust of the shares contemplated by this Agreement to be consummated;

- (g) This Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby shall have been duly adopted and approved by the appropriate action of the board of directors of the Fund (the "Board of Directors") and the shareholders of the Fund;
- (h) The shareholders of the Fund shall have voted to direct the Fund to vote, and the Fund shall have voted, as sole shareholder of the Trust, to:
- (1) Elect as Trustees of the Trust the following individuals: Nominees to serve as Trustees until the 2005 Annual Meeting of Shareholders-Messrs. Harris J. Ashton, Nicholas F. Brady, Frank J. Crothers, S. Joseph Fortunato and Constantine D. Tseretopoulos; Nominees to serve as Trustees until the 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders-Messrs. Martin L. Flanagan, Andrew H. Hines, Jr., and Charles B. Johnson and Ms. Edith E. Holiday; and Nominees to serve as Trustees until the 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders-Messrs. Gordon S. Macklin and Fred R. Millsaps and Ms. Betty P. Krahmer; and
- (2) Approve an Investment Management Agreement between Templeton Asset Management Ltd. ("TAML") and the Trust, which is substantially identical to the then-current Investment Management Agreement between TAML and the Fund;
- (i) The Trustees of the Trust shall have duly adopted and approved this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby and shall have taken the following actions at a meeting duly called for such purposes:
- (1) Approval of the Investment Management Agreement described in paragraph (h)(2) of this Section 3 hereof for the Trust;
- (2) Approval of the assignment to the Trust of the Fund's Custody Agreement dated August 30, 1994 (the "Custody Agreement"), with The Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A. (now JP Morgan Chase Bank), including the Amendment to the Custody Agreement, dated March 2, 1998, Amendment No. 2 to the Custody

A-6

Agreement, dated July 23, 1998, and Amendment No. 3 to the Custody Agreement, dated May 1, 2001;

- (3) Selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Trust's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2002;
- (4) Approval of an Administration Agreement between the Trust and Franklin Templeton Services, LLC;
- (5) Approval of the assignment to the Trust of the Service Agreement between the Fund and Mellon Securities Trust Co., dated September 20, 1994, the Fund's Successor Stock Transfer Agent Agreement dated February 2, 1995 with Chemical Mellon Shareholder Services (now Mellon Investor Services LLC); and the Fund's Plan Agent Agreement with Mellon Securities Trust Company, dated March 15, 1998;
- (6) Approval of the assignment to the Trust of the Japanese Shareholder Servicing and Administration Agreement, dated September 28, 1994 (the "Nomura Agreement"), by and among the Fund, Templeton Global Investors, Inc. ("TGI") and Nomura Capital Management, Inc., as amended by the First Amendment to the Nomura Agreement, dated October 1, 1996 (replacing TGI with Franklin Templeton Services, Inc. ("FTSI")) and further amended effective

January 1, 2001 by the assumption by Franklin Templeton Services, LLC of the rights and liabilities of FTSI under the Nomura Agreement;

(7) Approval of the assignment of the Paying Agreement, dated September 30, 1994, by and among the Fund, Japan Securities Clearing Corporation ("JSCC") and Mitsui Trust and Banking Company, Limited ("Mitsui"); the Service Agreement, dated September 30, 1994 (the "Service Agreement"), by and among the Fund, JSCC and Mitsui; and the Agreement of Commissions Concerning Entrustment of Services, dated September 30, 1994, by and between the Fund and Mitsui,

A-7

pursuant to Article 12 of the Service Agreement; and

- (8) Authorization of the issuance by the Trust, prior to the Effective Date of the Reorganization, of one share of beneficial interest of the Trust to the Fund in consideration for the payment of \$1.00 for the purpose of enabling the Fund to vote on the matters referred to in paragraph (h) of this Section 3 hereof;
- (9) Submission of the matters referred to in paragraph (h) of this Section 3 to the Fund as sole shareholder of the Trust; and
- (10) Authorization of the issuance and delivery by the Trust of its shares on the Effective Date of the Reorganization and the assumption by the Trust of the obligations and liabilities of the Fund in exchange for the assets of the Fund pursuant to the terms and provisions of this Agreement.

At any time prior to the Closing, any of the foregoing conditions may be waived or amended, or any additional terms and conditions may be fixed, by the Board of Directors of the Fund, if, in the judgment of such Board, such waiver, amendment, term or condition will not affect in a materially adverse way the benefits intended to be accorded the shareholders of the Fund under this Agreement.

4. Dissolution of the Fund.

Promptly following the consummation of the distribution of the Trust shares to holders of TDF common stock under the Agreement, the officers of TDF shall take all steps necessary under Maryland law to dissolve its corporate status, including publication of any necessary notices to creditors, receipt of any necessary pre-dissolution clearances from the State of Maryland, and filing

A-8

for record with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland of Articles of Dissolution.

5. Termination.

The Board of Directors may terminate this Agreement and abandon the reorganization contemplated hereby, notwithstanding approval thereof by the

shareholders of the Fund, at any time prior to the Effective Date of the Reorganization if, in the judgment of such Board, the facts and circumstances make proceeding with this Agreement inadvisable.

6. Entire Agreement.

This Agreement embodies the entire agreement between the parties hereto and there are no agreements, understandings, restrictions or warranties among the parties hereto other than those set forth herein or herein provided for.

7. Further Assurances.

The Fund and the Trust shall take such further action as may be necessary or desirable and proper to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby. $\,$

8. Counterparts.

This Agreement may be executed simultaneously in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument.

9. Governing Law.

This Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby shall be governed by and construed and enforced in accordance $% \left(1\right) =0$ with the laws of the State of Delaware.

A-9

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Trust and the Fund have each caused this Agreement and Plan of Reorganization to be executed on its behalf by its Chairman, President or a Vice President and attested by its Secretary or an Assistant Secretary, all as of the day and year first-above written.

Templeton Dragon Fund, Inc.
(a Maryland corporation)

By______

By_____

Templeton Dragon Fund
(a Delaware business trust)

Attest:

By______

By_____

EXHIBIT B

A COMPARISON OF GOVERNING DOCUMENTS AND STATE LAW

A Comparison of:

The Law Governing Delaware Business Trusts and The Charter Documents of Templeton Dragon Fund Under Such Law

With

The Law Governing Maryland Corporations and The Charter Documents of Templeton Dragon Fund, Inc. Under Such Law

Delaware Business Trust

Governing Documents/ Governing Body

A Delaware statutory business trust (a "DBT") is formed by a governing instrument and the filing of a certificate of trust with the Delaware Secretary of State ("Secretary of State"). The Delaware law governing a DBT is referred to in this as "Maryland Law." analysis as the "Delaware Act."

business and affairs are managed by or under the direction of one or more trustees.

The governing instrument for Templeton Dragon Fund, Inc., the DBT, Templeton Dragon a Maryland corporation, the DBT, Templeton Dragon Fund (the "Trust"), is comprised of an agreement and as the "Corporation." The declaration of trust Corporation is governed by declaration of trust

("Declaration") and by-laws

("By-Laws"). The Trust's

governing body is a board of trustees (the "board" or

corporation is governed in the governed by-laws ("By-Laws") and the Corporation's governing body is a board of directors. "board of trustees" or collectively, the "trustees").

Maryland Corporation

created by filing articles of

A corporation is incorporated association organized under under the Maryland Law. A the Delaware Act whose operations are governed by operations are governed by governed by its charter and its governing instrument by-laws, and its business and (which may consist of one or more instruments). Its under the direction of a board of directors (the "board" or "board of directors" or collectively, the "directors"). No public filing of the by-laws is made.

is referred to in this analysis

Designation Ownership Shares or Interests

Under the Delaware Act, the ownership interests in a DBT are denominated as "beneficial interests" and stock. Record owners of are held by "beneficial shares of stock are owners." However, there is stockholders. Generally, flexibility as to how a equity securities that have governing instrument refers

to "beneficial interests" and entitled to the residual

"beneficial owners" and the assets of the corporation "beneficial owners" and the governing instrument may identify "beneficial interests" and "beneficial owners" as "shares" and "shareholders," respectively.

Equity securities of a corporation are generally denominated as shares of assets of the corporation, after payment of liabilities, are referred to as "common stock."

B-1

Delaware Business Trust

The Trust's beneficial interests, without par value, This analysis will use the "share" and "shareholder" terminology.

Amendments Governing Documents

The Delaware Act provides

broad flexibility with

respect to the provisions of
the governing instrument of a

DBT for amending and/or

Cnarter

Under Maryland Law, with
certain exceptions,
amendments to the charter
must be approved by the board
and by the affirmation Governing Instrument restating such governing instrument.

Declaration of Trust The Declaration provides that proportion of the voting amendments and/or restatements of the Declaration may generally be made at any time by the board of trustees, by a vote of a majority of the trustees present at a meeting at which repealed, or added to upon a quorum is present, without vote of holders of a majority approval of the shareholders. Amendments or a repeal of Amendments or a repeal or certain provisions, however, except that amendment or require approval of the board repeal of provisions of trustees, as set forth pertaining to the number of above, and the affirmative directors, removal of vote of holders of at least directors, directors'

Maryland Corporation

The Corporation's equity securities are shares of are designated as "shares" common stock, par value \$0.01 and its beneficial owners are designated as "shareholders." common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and the owners of such stock are "stockholders."

> Charter of two-thirds of all votes entitled to be cast (unless the charter permits amendment by a higher or lesser stock, but not less than a majority of the shares outstanding).

The Charter provides that the Charter may be altered, of the shares outstanding and entitled to vote thereon,

two-thirds (66 2/3%) of the outstanding shares entitled reorganizations, dissolution to vote, unless such action or conversion to open-end company, and amendments to the Charter require the by the affirmative vote of two-thirds (66 2/3%) of the affirmative vote of the board of trustees, in which case the affirmative "vote of a majority of the outstanding entitled to vote, unless such action has previously been affirmative Company Act of 1940 and the rules and regulations thereunder, as amended (the "1940 Act"), of the Trust board of directors, such entitled to vote at a meeting at which a quorum is present, upon the vote of holders of a shall be required. Such majority of the shares provisions include those outstanding and entitled to pertaining to the number, vote thereon (the general classes, election, term, vote needed to amend the removal, resignation, quorum, other provisions of the powers, required vote and action by written consent of the board of trustees; shareholders' voting power, quorum, required vote, action by written consent and record dates; limitation of liability and indemnification of agents of the Trust; transactions such as the dissolution, merger, consolidation, conversion, reorganization and reclassification of the Trust to an open-end company and amendments of the Declaration.

liability, indemnification, reorganizations, dissolution approved by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the board of directors. Upon such Charter).

By-Laws

The By-Laws may be amended, restated or repealed or new organizational meeting, the by-laws may be adopted by the power to adopt, alter or affirmative "vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Trust. The By-Laws may also be amended, restated or repealed or new by-laws may be adopted by the board of trustees, by a vote of a majority of the trustees present at a meeting at which (as defined in the 1940 Act); a quorum is present.

By-Laws

Under Maryland law, after the repeal the by-laws is vested in the stockholders, except to the extent that the charter or by-laws vest such power in the board.

The By-Laws may be adopted, amended or repealed by "vote of the holders of a majority of the [Corporation!] of the [Corporation's] stock" except that provisions in the

Delaware Business Trust

Pursuant to the Declaration, amendments and/or restatements of the of the shareholders, to correct any inaccuracy

Repurchase of Shares

Preemptive Under the Delaware Act, a
Rights and governing instrument may
Repurchase contain any provision contain any provision relating to the rights, duties and obligations of the shareholders.

> The Declaration provides that Trust.

The Trust has the right at the Corporation does not its option and at any time, provide shareholders with the subject to the 1940 Act and preemptive right to subscribe other applicable law, to to additional issues of stock repurchase shares of any or other securities of the repurchase shares of any shareholder under certain circumstances at a price that meets the requirements of Section 23 of the 1940 Act, and the rules and regulations adopted thereunder, and that is in accordance with the terms of the Declaration, the By-Laws and other applicable law.

Liquidation Rights upon Dissolution

A DBT that has dissolved A corporation that has shall first pay or make voluntarily dissolved shall reasonable provision to pay all known claims and obligations, including those A corporation that has voluntarily dissolved shall pay, satisfy and discharge the existing debts and obligations of the A DBT that has dissolved

Maryland Corporation

By-Laws regarding increasing/decreasing number of directors and removal of directors may be amended only certificate of trust shall be by the vote of the holders of made at any time by the board 75% of the common stock, of trustees, without approval unless approved by the affirmative vote of correct any inaccuracy two-thirds of the total contained therein. Any such number of directors fixed by amendments/restatements of the By-Laws, in which case the Certificate of Trust must the affirmative vote of a be executed by at least one (1) trustee and filed with shares is required. Directors the Secretary of State in order to become effective.

By-Laws (not inconsistent and provided and two-thirds of the total with any By-Law adopted, amended or repealed by stockholders) by majority vote of all of directors in office, subject to applicable law.

Under Maryland Law, the charter may provide shareholders with the preemptive right to subscribe to any or all additional issues of stock or any securities of the corporation The Declaration provides that no shareholder shall have the preemptive or other right to may also define or limit the subscribe for new or additional shares or other securities issued by the subscribe into additional stock or additional into additional insulational into additional and additional insulational stock. The charter may also define or limit the stock or additional stock or additional insulation and stock or additional insulation and stock or additional insulation and stock or additional sto convertible into additional securities in the corporation.

> or other securities of the Corporation.

A corporation that has voluntarily dissolved shall

that are contingent, conditional and unmatured, necessary expenses of and all known claims and liquidation, before obligations for which the claimant is unknown. Any remaining assets shall be distributed to the shareholders or as otherwise provided in the governing instrument.

corporation, including distributing the remaining assets to the stockholders.

The Declaration provides that any assets remaining after payment or the reasonable provision for payment of all claims and obligations of the Trust shall be distributed to the shareholders ratably according to the number of outstanding shares held by the several shareholders on the record date for such dissolution distribution.

Voting Rights, Meetings, Notice, Quorum, Dates and Proxies

Under the Delaware Act, the governing instrument may set forth any provision relating to trustee and shareholder voting rights, including the withholding of such rights from certain trustees or shareholders. If voting

B-3

Delaware Business Trust

Maryland Corporation

rights are granted, the governing instrument may contain any provision relating to meetings, notice requirements, written consents, record dates, quorum requirements, voting by proxy and any other matter pertaining to the exercise of voting rights. The governing instrument may also provide for the establishment of record dates for allocations and distributions by the DBT.

One Vote Per Share The Declaration provides that Unless a corporation's each outstanding share is charter provides for a

One Vote Per Share

entitled to one vote and each outstanding fractional share is entitled to a fractional vote.

Shareholders' Meetings Although the Delaware Act does not mandate annual does not mandate annual corporation must hold an shareholders' meetings, the annual stockholders' meeting By-Laws require annual By-Laws require annual to elect directors and meetings for the election of transact other business, trustees and the transaction except that the charter or of other business. The by-laws of a corporation By-Laws also authorize the registered under the 1940 Act calling of a special meeting may provide that an annual (i) when deemed necessary or desirable by the board of any year in which the trustees or (ii) to the election of directors is not extent permitted by the 1940 Act. Act, by the chairperson of Maryland Law authorizes, and Act, by the chairperson of Maryland Law authorizes, and the board, or at the request of holders of 10% of the By-Laws to authorize, certain outstanding shares if such persons to call special shareholders pay the reasonably estimated cost of preparing and mailing the notice thereof, for the purpose of electing trustees or filling vacancies on the board. However, no special meeting may be called at the request of shareholders to consider any matter that is by statute or the Charter, by substantially the same as a the board, upon the written matter voted upon at a request of a majority of the shareholders' meeting held directors, or by the during the preceding twelve president, or at the written (12) months, unless requested request of stockholders by holders of a majority of owning 10% "in amount of the all outstanding shares entitled to vote at such meeting.

Under the By-Laws, Shareholder proposals may be estimated cost of preparing presented at an annual and mailing the notice

greater or lesser number of votes per share, or limits or denies voting rights, each outstanding share of stock is entitled to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote at a meeting of stockholders. A corporation may issue fractional shares of stock.

The Charter provides that each outstanding share of stock is entitled to one vote and each outstanding fractional share of stock is entitled to a fractional vote.

Stockholders' Meetings Under Maryland Law, every to elect directors and meetings of stockholders.

The By-Laws require annual meetings for the election of directors and the transaction of other business. The By-Laws also authorize the calling of a special meeting, unless otherwise "prescribed" entire capital stock" of the Corporation then issued and outstanding, if the stockholders requesting such meeting pay the reasonably presented at an annual and mailing the notice shareholders' meeting if thereof. However, no special brought by a shareholder who meeting will be called at the (i) is entitled to vote at request of stockholders to the meeting; (ii) complies consider any matter that is

with the notice procedures with the notice procedures
set forth in the By-Laws; and
(iii) was a shareholder of
record at the time such
notice is received by the
secretary of the Trust. The
shareholder's notice must be
in writing and delivered to

substantially the same as a
matter voted upon at a
stockholders' special meeting
held during the preceding 12
months, unless requested by
holders of a majority of all
outstanding shares entitled
to vote at such meeting.

substantially the same as a

B-4

Delaware Business Trust

Maryland Corporation

the Trust not less than one hundred twenty (120) days nor more than one hundred fifty (150) days prior to the date of any such meeting. Each such notice given by a shareholder must include certain information set forth in the By-Laws and as reasonably requested by the Trust. At the annual meeting, the appropriate officer may, if the facts warrant, determine and declare to such meeting that a proposal was not made in accordance with the procedure in the By-Laws, and, if the officer should so determine, shall so declare to the meeting, and the defective proposal shall be disregarded and laid over for action at the next succeeding special or annual meeting of the shareholders taking place thirty (30) days or more thereafter.

Record Dates
In order to determine the shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, a shareholders' meeting, the Declaration authorizes the board of trustees to fix a record date. The record date may not precede the date on which it is fixed by the more than one hundred twenty (120) days, nor less than ten (10)

Record Dates
Under Maryland law, unless the by-laws otherwise provide, the board may set a record date, which date must be set within the parameters outlined by the Maryland statute, for determining stockholders entitled to notice of a meeting, vote at a meeting, receive dividends or be allotted other rights. Record Dates days, nor less than ten (10)
days, before the date of the
shareholders' meeting. The
By-Laws provide that notice

In order to determine the
stockholders entitled to
notice of, and to vote at, a

Record Dates

meeting.

Declaration authorizes che
board of trustees to fix a
record date. The record date
may not precede the date on
which it is fixed by the
board nor may it be more than
thirty (30) days after the
Corporation, the By-Laws
To determine the stockholders
entitled to a dividend, any
other distribution, or
delivery of evidences of
rights or interests from the
Corporation, the By-Laws Declaration authorizes the date on which it is fixed by the board.

To determine the shareholders the date fixed for the entitled to a dividend or any other distribution from the distribution or deliver Trust, the Declaration authorizes the board of trustees to fix a record date. The record date may not precede the date on which it is fixed by the board nor may it be more than sixty (60) days before the date such dividend or distribution is to be paid.

Pursuant to the Declaration, if the board of trustees does not fix a record date: (a) the record date for determining shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, a meeting will be the day before the date on which notice is given or, if notice is waived, on the day before the date of the meeting; (b) the record date

of a shareholders' meeting stockholders' meeting, and shall not be given to By-Laws authorize the board shareholders more than one of directors to fix a record hundred twenty (120) days nor date not less than ten (10), nor more than ninety (90), in the case of the days prior to the date of the meeting or prior to the last day on which the consent or To determine the shareholders dissent of stockholders may entitled to vote on any be effectively expressed for action without a meeting, the any purpose without a meeting.

> To determine the stockholders authorize the board to fix a record date not exceeding ninety (90) days preceding payment of the dividend or distribution or delivery of the evidences.

If the board does not fix a record date, the record date shall be the later of the close of business on the day on which notice of the meeting is mailed or the 30th day before the meeting, except if all stockholders waive notice, the record date is the close of buch. the 10th day next preceding is the close of business on the day the meeting is held.

B-5

Delaware Business Trust

for determining shareholders entitled to vote on any action by consent in writing without a meeting, (i) when no prior action by the board of trustees has been taken,

Maryland Corporation

shall be the day on which the first signed written consent is delivered to the Trust, or (ii) when prior action of the board of trustees has been taken, shall be the day on which the board of trustees adopts the resolution taking such prior action.

Ouorum for Shareholders' Meeting To transact business at a Under Maryland Law, unless meeting, the Declaration the charter or Maryland Law provides that a majority of provides otherwise, in order the outstanding shares to constitute a quorum for a entitled to vote, which are present in person or present in person or present in person or by represented by proxy, shall proxy, stockholders entitled constitute a quorum at a to cast a majority of all the shareholders' meeting, except when a larger quorum is required by applicable law or any securities exchange on which such shares are listed for trading, in which case such quorum shall comply with outstanding shares entitled such requirements.

Shareholder Vote The Declaration provides other than the election of trustees, the affirmative "vote of a majority of the outstanding voting shareholders; and
(ii) trustees shall be entitled to be cast on the elected by a plurality of the votes cast of the holders of outstanding shares entitled proportion which may not be to vote present in person or represented by proxy at a votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Unless the shareholders; and which a quorum is present.

Ouorum for Stockholders' Meeting

To transact business at a meeting, the By-Laws provide that a majority of the to vote, which are present in person or represented by proxy, shall constitute a quorum at a stockholders' meeting.

Stockholder Vote Under Maryland law, for most that, subject to any stockholder actions, unless provision of the Declaration, the By-Laws or applicable law provides otherwise, a that requires a different majority of all votes cast at vote: (i) in all matters a meeting at which a quorum other than the cleation of is present is required to approve any matter. Actions such as (i) amendments to the such as (i) amendments to the corporation's charter, (ii) securities" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Trust consolidations, (iv) entitled to vote at a statutory share exchanges, shareholders' meeting at which a quorum is present, shall be the act of the shareholders; and two-thirds of all votes two-thirds of all votes charter or by-laws require a greater vote, a plurality of all votes cast at a meeting at which a quorum is present

is required to elect a director.

Election of Directors. Under the Charter and By-Laws, at a stockholders' meeting at which a quorum is present, a plurality of the votes cast of the holders of outstanding shares entitled to vote, shall be required to elect directors at the annual meeting, to fill any vacancy resulting from an increase in the number of directors on the board (adopted by vote of the stockholders) or to fill any other then existing vacancies on the board.

Other matters for which the vote is not expressly designated otherwise. For all other matters, other than any

B-6

Delaware Business Trust

Maryland Corporation

matter for which the Charter expressly provides for a different vote, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the total number of shares outstanding and entitled to vote thereon, at a stockholders' meeting at which a quorum is present, shall be the act of the stockholders.

Shareholder Vote on Certain Transactions Under the Declaration, in order for the Trust to consummate a dissolution, merger, consolidation, conversion, reorganization or assets, liquidation or reclassification, such transaction shall be approved
in the following manner:

The transaction must be
approved by the board of
trustees, by the vote of a

dissolution of the
Corporation, or a conversion
from a closed-end company to
an open-end company (as
defined in the 1940 Act),
such transaction shall be

Stockholder Vote on Certain Transactions Under the Charter, in order to consummate a merger, consolidation, sale of all or substantially all of the dissolution of the

majority of the trustees present at a meeting at which a quorum is present, and the affirmative vote of the holders of two-thirds (66 2/3%) of the outstanding shares entitled to vote, affirmative vote of two-thirds (66 2/3%) of the board of trustees, in which case the affirmative "vote of a majority of the outstanding By-Laws, in which case the voting securities" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Trust entitled to vote at a shareholders' meeting at which a quorum is present shall be required.

Cumulative Voting The Declaration provides that shareholders are not entitled to cumulate their votes on any matter.

Proxies

The By-Laws authorize the Trust to accept proxies by execution of a written instrument or by electronic, telephonic, computerized, telecommunications or another reasonable alternative to the execution of a written instrument. Unless a proxy valid more than 11 months provides otherwise, it is not valid more than 11 months revocable unless certain after its date. In addition, the By-Laws provide that the revocability of a proxy that states on its face that it is irrevocable shall be governed by the provisions of the

approved in the following manner:

The transaction must be approved by the favorable vote of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding shares unless such action has been entitled to vote, unless such previously approved by the action has been previously approved by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the total number of directors fixed pursuant to the affirmative "vote of a majority of the outstanding
voting shares" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Corporation shall be required, but not less than a majority of the outstanding voting shares for purposes of Maryland Law.

> Cumulative Voting Maryland law provides that the charter may authorize cumulative voting for the election of the directors and if the charter does not so provide, then the stockholders are not entitled to cumulative voting rights.

The Charter and By-Laws do not have any provisions as to whether stockholders are entitled to cumulate their votes on any matter and consequently, the stockholders are not entitled to cumulate their votes on any matter.

Proxies

Maryland Law permits a stockholder to authorize another person to act as a proxy and sets forth acceptable methods of transmitting such authorization. Unless a proxy provides otherwise, it is not statutory requirements are met.

general corporation law of the State of Delaware.

B-7

Delaware Business Trust

Action by Written Consent The Declaration also authorizes shareholders to any action required or take action without a meeting permitted to be taken at a and without prior notice if stockholders' meeting may be written consents setting taken without a meeting, if a forth the action taken are unanimous written consent is signed by the holders of all outstanding shares entitled to vote on that action.

The Declaration also The Declaration also
authorizes the board of
trustees or any committee of
the board of trustees to take
action without a meeting and
without prior written notice
if written consents setting
forth the action taken are
executed by trustees having
the number of votes necessary
to take that action at a authorizes the board of to take that action at a meeting at which the entire board of trustees or any committee thereof, is present and voting.

Removal of Trustees/ Directors

The governing instrument of a DBT may contain any provision relating to the removal of trustees; provided however, that there shall at all times be at least one trustee of the DBT.

or without cause, by the Shareholders, upon the vote of the holders of two-thirds (66 2/3%) of the outstanding shares entitled to vote.

Maryland Corporation

Action by Written Consent Maryland Law provides that

The By-Laws authorize

The By-Laws provide that the board or any committee of the board may act by written consent signed by all the members of the board or committee, respectively.

Under Maryland Law, unless otherwise provided in the charter, a director may generally be removed with or without cause by the vote of a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast generally for the election of directors Under the Declaration, any unless (i) such director is trustee may be removed, with elected by a certain class or series, (ii) the charter provides for cumulative voting or (iii) the board is classified.

> Under the Charter, a director may be removed with or without cause by holders of 66 2/3% of shares then entitled to vote in an election of directors and a

the number and even if less than a quorum, unless a special meeting of an election of trustees.

stockholders' meeting may be called for such purpose if requested in writing by not less than 10% of outstanding shares of the Corporation.

Vacancies Vacancies in any class of Under Maryland law on Board of trustees may be filled by a stockholders may elect persons to fill vacancies Directors then in office, regardless of that result from the removal of directors. Unless the charter or by-laws provide otherwise, a majority of the special meeting of otherwise, a majority of the shareholders is called for directors in office, whether the purpose of filling such or not comprising a quorum, vacancies, in which case, may fill vacancies that such vacancies shall be result from any cause except filled in the same manner as an increase in the number of directors. A majority of the directors. A majority of the entire board of directors may fill vacancies that result from an increase in the number of directors.

> Under the By-Laws, directors may increase or decrease their number; if the number is increased, the added directors may be elected by a majority of directors in office. For other vacancies, the directors then in office (though less than quorum) may continue to act and may by majority vote fill any vacancy until the next meeting of stockholders, subject to the 1940 Act.

The number of directors may also be increased or

B-8

Delaware Business Trust

Maryland Corporation

decreased by vote of stockholders at any meeting called for the purpose and if the vote is to increase the number, stockholders will vote by plurality to elect the directors to fill the new vacancies as well as any then existing vacancies.

The stockholders of a

Shareholder Under the Delaware Act,

Liability

except to the extent otherwise provided in the governing instrument of a DBT, corporation. shareholders of a DBT are entitled to the same limitation of personal liability extended to shareholders of a private corporation organized for profit under the general corporation law of the State of Delaware.

corporation are not liable

Under the Declaration, shareholders are entitled to the same limitation of personal liability as that extended to shareholders of a private corporation organized for profit under the general corporate law of the State of Delaware. However, the board of trustees may cause any shareholder to pay for charges of the trust's custodian or transfer, dividend disbursing, shareholder servicing or similar agent for services provided to such shareholder.

Trustee/ Director Liability

Subject to the provisions in the governing instrument, the
Delaware Act provides that a
trustee or any other person
managing the DBT, when acting
in such capacity, will not be
personally liable to any
person other than the DBT or
a shareholder of the DBT for
any act, omission or

Maryland Law requires a
director to perform his or
her duties in good faith, in
a manner he or she reasonably
believes to be in the best
interests of the corporation
and with the care that an
ordinarily prudent person in
a like position would use
under similar circumstances. obligation of the DBT or any A director who performs his trustee. To the extent that or her duties in accordance at law or in equity, a with this standard has no trustee has duties (including liability to the corporation, fiduciary duties) and its stockholders or to third liabilities to the DBT and persons by reason of being or its shareholders, such duties having been a director. A and liabilities may be corporation may include in and liabilities may be expanded or restricted by the $$\operatorname{its}$$ charter a provision governing instrument.

The Declaration provides that and officers for money any person who is or was a damages to the corporate trustee, officer, employee or its stockholders, provided other agent of the Trust or however, that liability may is or was serving at the partnership, joint venture, actively and deliberately trust or other enterprise (an dishonest. "Agent") will be liable to the Trust and to any

Maryland Law requires a with this standard has no corporation may include in expanding or limiting the liability of its directors damages to the corporation or is or was serving at the request of the Trust as a the person has received and trustee, director, officer, improper benefit or profit in employee or other agent of money, property or services or where such person has been the corporation, and deliberately not be limited to the extent

The Charter expressly

shareholder solely for such Agent's own willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross from liability to the negligence or reckless Corporation and its disregard of the duties preceding sentence, Agents disregard of the duties will not be liable for any involved in the conduct act or omission of any other such person's office. Agent or any investment adviser or principal underwriter of the Trust. No Agent, when acting in such capacity, shall be personally liable to any person (other

provides that no director or officer shall be protected stockholders to which such involved in the conduct of person would otherwise be such Agent (such conduct subject by reason of willful referred to as "Disqualifying misfeasance, bad faith, gross Conduct"). Subject to the negligence or reckless involved in the conduct of

B-9

Delaware Business Trust

Maryland Corporation

than the Trust or its shareholders as described above) for any act, omission or obligation of the Trust or any trustee.

 $\hbox{Indemnification Subject to such standards and } \qquad \hbox{Unless limited by its}$ restrictions contained in the governing instrument of a DBT, the Delaware Act authorizes a DBT to indemnify and hold harmless any trustee, shareholder or other person from and against any and all claims and demands.

> Pursuant to the Declaration, the Trust will indemnify any Agent who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any proceeding by reason of such Agent's capacity, against attorneys' fees and other certain expenses, judgments, fines, reason of service in that settlements and other amounts incurred in connection with rendire to be a party, by reason of service in that capacity, to any threatened, incurred in connection with pending or completed action, such proceeding if such Agent acted in good faith or in the judgments, penalties, fines, case of a criminal proceeding, had no reasonable expenses unless it is cause to believe such Agent's established that (i) the act

charter, Maryland Law requires a corporation to indemnify a director or officer who has successfully defended a proceeding to which such person was a party because of such person's service in such capacity, against reasonable expenses incurred in connection with the proceeding.

Maryland Law permits a corporation to indemnify a director, officer, employee or agent who is a party or threatened to be a party, by settlements and reasonable

conduct was unlawful. However, there is no right to indemnification for any liability arising from the Agent's Disqualifying only to the extent that the court in which that action court in which that action
was brought determines that
in view of all the

may become mandatory or may in view of all the circumstances of the case, be prohibited through a the Agent was not liable by corporation's charter, reason of such Agent's by-laws, a board resolution Disqualifying Conduct.

Expenses incurred by an Agent in defending any proceeding may be advanced by the Trust before the final disposition of the proceeding on receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of the Agent to repay the amount of the advance if it is ultimately determined that the Agent is not entitled to indemnification by the Trust.

or omission of such person was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding, and was committed
in bad faith or was the Conduct. As to any matter for which such Agent is found to be liable in the performance of such Agent's duty to the an improper personal benefit; Trust or its shareholders or (iii) such person had indemnification will be made reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was that the act or omission was unlawful. This permissible or another agreement. However, if the proceeding is a derivative suit, the corporation may not indemnify a person who has been adjudged to be liable to the corporation. Corporations are authorized to advance payment of reasonable expenses.

> The Charter provides that the Corporation shall, to the full extent permitted by Maryland Law, indemnify all persons whom it may indemnify under Maryland Law. However, no director or officer shall be protected from liability to the Corporation or its stockholders to which such person would otherwise be subject by reason of willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of his office.

The By-Laws provide that, to the fullest extent permitted by Maryland Law, any current or former director or officer seeking indemnification shall be entitled to the advancement of reasonable expenses from the Corporation. The Corporation may advance expenses to officers, employees and agents.

B-10

Delaware Business Trust

Maryland Corporation

Insurance

The Delaware Act is silent as to the right of a DBT to insurance on behalf of any purchase insurance on behalf of its trustees or other persons. However, as the policy of the Delaware Act is to give maximum effect to the principle of freedom of contract and to the enforceability of governing instruments, the Declaration authorizes the board of trustees, to the fullest

A corporation may purchase insurance on behalf of any person who is or was a director, officer, employee or agent against any liability asserted against and incurred by such person in any such capacity whether or not the corporation would have the power to indemnify such person against such liability. trustees, to the fullest extent permitted by
applicable law, to purchase
with Trust assets, insurance
for liability and for all
expenses of an Agent in
connection with any
proceeding in which such
Agent becomes involved by
virtue of such Agent's
actions, or omissions to act,
in its capacity or former
capacity with the Trust,
would have the power to
indemnify such Agent against
such liability.

The By-Laws authorize the
Corporation to purchase
insurance on behalf of any
person who is or was a
director, officer, employee
or agent against any
liability asserted against
and incurred by such person
in any such capacity.
However, no insurance may be
purchased which would
indemnify any director or
officer against any liability
to the Corporation or its
stockholders to which such
person would otherwise be extent permitted by such liability.

The By-Laws authorize the person would otherwise be subject by reason of willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such person's office.

Shareholder Under the Delaware Act, Under Maryland Law, a Right of except to the extent stockholder may inspect, Inspection otherwise provided in the during usual business hours, governing instrument and subject to reasonable stockholder proceeding subject to reasonable stockholder proceeding standards established by the trustees, each shareholder has the right, upon reasonable demand for any purpose reasonably related to the shareholder's interest as a shareholder, to obtain from the DBT certain information regarding the governance and affairs of the DBT.

stockholder proceeding minutes, annual statements of affairs, voting trust agreements and, if the corporation is not an open-end investment company, a statement showing all stock and securities issued by the corporation for the previous regarding the governance and affairs of the DBT.

stockholder proceeding minutes, annual statements of affairs, voting trust agreements and, if the corporation is not an open-end investment company, a statement showing all stock and securities issued by the corporation for the previous regarding the governance and affairs of the DBT. individually or together been Under the Declaration, a holders of at least 5% of the shareholder, upon reasonable outstanding stock of any

written demand to the Trust class for at least 6 months, for any purpose reasonably may inspect the corporation's related to such shareholder's books of accounts, its stock interest as a shareholder, ledger and its statement of may inspect certain affairs. Although not may inspect certain expressly required by the governance and affairs of the Maryland statute, Maryland Trust during regular business hours. However, reasonable proper purpose requirement standards governing, without upon this statutory right. information as to the limitation, the information and documents to be furnished and the time and location of furnishing the same, will be established by the board or any officer to whom such power is delegated in the By-Laws. In addition, as permitted by the Delaware Act the By-Laws also Act, the By-Laws also authorize the board or an officer to whom the board delegates such powers to keep confidential from shareholders for such period of time as deemed reasonable any information that the board or such officer in good faith believes would not be in the best interest of the Trust to disclose or that could damage the Trust or that the Trust is required by law or by agreement with a 3rd party to keep confidential.

affairs. Although not expressly required by the

B-11

Delaware Business Trust

Maryland Corporation

Derivative Actions

Under the Delaware Act, a shareholder may bring a to bring a derivative action, derivative action if trustees with authority to do so have refused to bring the action or if a demand upon the trustees to bring the action is not likely to succeed. A shareholder may bring a derivative action only if the action is shareholder is a shareholder at the time the action is litigation. A derivative

brought and: (i) was a shareholder at the time of shareholder at the time of the transaction complained about or (ii) acquired the status of shareholder by operation of law or pursuant request upon the board of to the governing instrument directors would be futile. from a person who was a shareholder at the time of Under Maryland Law, a the transaction. A the governing instrument.

The Declaration provides that, subject to the requirements set forth in the Delaware Act, a shareholder may bring a derivative action on behalf of the Trust only if the shareholder first makes a pre-suit demand upon the board of trustees to bring the subject action unless an effort to cause the board of trustees to bring such action is excused. A demand on the board of trustees shall only be excused if a majority of the board of trustees, or a majority of any committee established to consider the merits of such action, has a material personal financial interest in the action at issue. A trustee shall not be deemed to have a material personal financial interest in an action or otherwise be disqualified from ruling on a shareholder demand by virtue of the fact that such trustee receives remuneration from his service on the board of trustees of the Trust or on the boards of one or more investment companies with the same or an affiliated investment advisor or underwriter.

action may be brought by a stockholder if (i) a demand upon the board of directors to bring the action is improperly refused or (ii) a directors would be futile.

director of an investment shareholder's right to bring company who "is not an a derivative action may be interested person, as defined subject to such additional by the Investment Company Act standards and restrictions, of 1940, shall be deemed to if any, as are set forth in be independent and disinterested when making any determination or taking any action as a director."

EXHIBIT C

FUNDAMENTAL INVESTMENT RESTRICTIONS PROPOSED TO BE AMENDED OR ELIMINATED

OR SUB-PROPOSAI	RESTRICTION CATEGORY	The Fund may not:		INVESTMENT RESTRICTION	
3a	Industry Concentration	(1)	of its assets in a particular industry. For purposes of this restriction, a foreign government (but not the United States government)	2	
3b	Borrowing and Issuing Senior Securities	(4)	securities or borrow money, except that (a) short-term	any rule, SEC interpretation thereof or any exemptions	
3c	Commodities		purchase or sell commodities or commodity contracts,	Purchase or sell as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended, and	

except that the Fund securities or other Prospectus.

including futures the rules and regulations contracts and thereunder, unless acquired as options thereon, a result of ownership of may engage in instruments and provided that hedging transactions this restriction does not as described in this prevent the Fund from engaging in transactions involving futures contracts and options thereon or investing in securities that are secured by physical commodities.

PROPOSAL OR SUB-PROPOSAL		CURRENT FUNDAMENTAL INVESTMENT RESTRICTION The Fund may not:	PROPOSED FUNDAMENTAL INVESTMENT RESTRICTION The Fund may not:
	Diversification of Investments	invest more than 25% of the total value of its assets in the securities of any one issuer, except securities issued or guaranteed by the United States government or any of its agencies or instrumentalities.	Proposed to be eliminated.
4	Purchase Securities on Margin	purchase securities on margin (except for delayed delivery or when-issued transactions or such short term credits as are necessary for the clearance of transactions).	Proposed to be eliminated.
4	Short (10) make short sales of securities or maintain a short position.	Proposed to be eliminated.
	Control (over Management	11) invest for the purpose of exercising control over management of any company.	Proposed to be eliminated.

TEMPLETON DRAGON FUND, INC.
ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS - MAY 3, 2002

The undersigned hereby revokes all previous proxies for his/her shares and appoints BARBARA J. GREEN, BRUCE S. ROSENBERG and LORI A. WEBER, and each of them, proxies of the undersigned with full power of substitution to vote all shares of Templeton Dragon Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Fund's Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Meeting") to be held at 500 East Broward Blvd., 12th Floor, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33394 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern time, on the 3rd day of May 2002, including any postponements or adjournments thereof, upon the matters set forth below and instructs them to vote upon any matters that may properly be acted upon at the Meeting.

This Proxy is solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors. It will be voted as specified. If no specification is made, this Proxy shall be voted FOR Proposals 1 through 4. If any other matters properly come before the Meeting to be voted on, the proxy holders will vote, act and consent on those matters in accordance with the views of management.

(Continued and to be signed on the other side)

FOLD AND DETACH HERE

Please mark your votes as indicated in this example [X

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR Proposals 1 through 4.

Proposal 1 - Election of Directors.

FOR all nominees WITHHOLD Nominees: Harris J. Ashton, Nicholas F. listed (except AUTHORITY Brady, Frank J. Crothers, S. as to vote for Joseph Fortunato and Constantine marked to the all D. Tseretopoulos nominees right) listed To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee, write that nominee's [___] [___] name on the line below. _____

Proposal 2 - To approve an Agreement and Plan of FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN
Reorganization that provides for the [_] [_] [_]
reorganization of the Fund from a Maryland
corporation to a Delaware business trust.

Proposal 3 - To approve amendments to certain of the Fund's fundamental investment restrictions (includes three (3)

Sub-Proposals):

3a. To amend the Fund's fundament investment restriction regard concentration.		FOR [_]	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
investment restriction regard	3b. To amend the Fund's fundamental investment restriction regarding borrowing and issuing senior securities.			ABSTAIN
3c. To amend the Fund's fundament investment restriction regard investments in commodities.		FOR [_]	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
Proposal 4 - To approve the elimination of certain of the Fund's fundame investment restrictions.		FOR [_]	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
YES I PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING. [_]	NO [_]			
Signature(s):	Dated:			2002

Please sign exactly as your name appears on this Proxy. If signing for estates, trusts or corporations, title or capacity should be stated. If shares are held jointly, each holder should sign.

FOLD AND DETACH HERE