BEAZER HOMES USA INC Form 10-K/A May 25, 2006

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-K/A**

Amendment No. 1

(Mark One)

ý

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005

0

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission file number: 001-12822

# Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

58-2086934

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1000 Abernathy Road, Suite 1200, Atlanta, Georgia 30328

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(Registrant s telephone number including area code) (770) 829-3700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

# Title of Securities

Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share Preferred Share Purchase Rights Exchanges on which Registered New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the reg	gistrant is a well-known seasoned iss	suer (as defined in Rule 405 of the Act). Yes O	No ý
Indicate by check mark if the reg	gistrant is not required to file reports	pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the	Act. Yes o No ý
	months (or for such shorter period the	s required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of hat the registrant was required to file such repo	
	nt s knowledge, in definitive proxy	Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained he or information statements incorporated by refe	
	the registrant is a large accelerated fed filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Excha	filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated f nge Act. (Check one):	iler. See definition of
Large accelerated filer ý	Accelerated filer O	Non-accelerated filer O	
Indicate by check mark whether	the registrant is a shell company (as	defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No	ý
		by non-affiliates of the registrant (40,415,652 sk Stock Exchange on such date, was \$2,015,12	
The number of shares outstandin	g of the registrant s Common Stock	k as of May 19, 2006 was 40,380,306.	

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part of 10-K where incorporated

Portions of the registrant s Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Ш

#### **Website Access to Company Reports**

Beazer Homes Internet website address is www.beazer.com. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file with or furnish them to the Securities and Exchange Commission and are available in print to any stockholder who requests a printed copy.

EXPLANATORY NOTE: This Form 10-K/A is being filed to provide additional segment reporting footnote disclosure related to our homebuilding operations. We have restated the accompanying consolidated financial statements to revise our segment disclosure for all periods presented to disaggregate our homebuilding operations into regional reporting segments. See revised disclosures in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Unless otherwise indicated, no information in this Form 10-K/A has been updated for any subsequent information or events from the original filing.

For the convenience of the reader, this Form 10-K/A sets forth the entire 2005 Form 10-K. However, this Form 10-K/A amends and restates only Items 1, 7, 8 and 9A of the 2005 Form 10-K, in each case solely to be responsive to certain disclosure comments, primarily relating to segment reporting, received from the Division of Corporation Finance of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The aforementioned changes to the Consolidated Financial Statements have no effect on the Company s financial position as of September 30, 2005 and 2004 or its results of operations and cash flows for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003.

1

#### BEAZER HOMES USA, INC.

#### FORM 10-K/A

#### **INDEX**

PART I.

Item 1.BusinessItem 1A.Risk FactorsItem 2.PropertiesItem 3.Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II.

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity

**Securities** 

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A.Controls and ProceduresItem 9B.Other Information

PART III.

<u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>

<u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u>

<u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>

<u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> <u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>

PART IV.

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

**SIGNATURES** 

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Business

Region/State

West Region:

Colorado Indiana

Kentucky Ohio

Texas

Memphis, TN/Mississippi

Our principal executive offices are located at 1000 Abernathy Road, Suite 1200, Atlanta, Georgia 30328, telephone (770) 829-3700. We also provide information about our active communities and mortgage financing through our Internet website located at http://www.beazer.com. Information on our website is not a part of and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference in this report.

Market(s) / Year Entered

We design, sell and build primarily single-family homes in the following locations within the United States:

Arizona	Phoenix (1993)
California	Los Angeles County (1993), Orange County (1993), Riverside and San Bernardino Counties (1993), San Diego County (1992), Ventura County (1993), Sacramento (1993), Fresno (2005)
New Mexico	Albuquerque (2005)
Nevada	Las Vegas (1993)
Mid-Atlantic Region:	
Maryland/Delaware	Baltimore (1998), Metro-Washington, D.C. (1998), Delaware (2003)
New Jersey/New York/Pennsylvania	Central and Southern New Jersey (1998), Bucks County, PA (1998), Orange County, NY (2005)
Virginia/West Virginia	Fairfax County (1998), Loudoun County (1998), Prince William County (1998), West Virginia (2004)
Florida Region:	
Florida Florida	Jacksonville (1993), Fort Myers/Naples (1996), Tampa/St. Petersburg (1996), Orlando (1997), Sarasota (2005)
Southeast Region:	
Georgia	Atlanta (1985), Savannah (2005)
North Carolina	Charlotte (1987), Raleigh/Durham (1992), Greensboro (1999)
South Carolina	Charleston (1987), Columbia (1993), Myrtle Beach (2002)
Nashville, Tennessee	Nashville (1987)
Other homebuilding markets:	

We design our homes at various price points to appeal to homebuyers across various demographic segments. Our objective is to provide our customers at each price point with homes that incorporate exceptional value and quality while seeking to maximize our return on invested

Lexington (2002)

Denver (2001), Colorado Springs (2003)

Columbus (2002), Cincinnati/Dayton (2002)

Dallas/Ft. Worth (1995), Houston (1995)

Memphis (2002), Northern Mississippi (2002)

Indianapolis (2002), Ft. Wayne (2002)

capital. To achieve this objective, we have developed a business strategy which focuses on the following elements:

Geographic Diversity and Growth Markets. We compete in a large number of geographically diverse markets in an attempt to reduce our exposure to any particular regional economy. Within these markets, we build homes in a variety of projects. Our business strategy entails further

3

increasing our market penetration and investing in our most profitable markets.

Leverage of National Brand. Our national branding strategy presents us as one company with one name, one logo, one message and one purpose. We feel that this strengthened national brand identity better positions us to consistently address the needs of our customers across all of our markets.

Leverage Size, Scale and Capabilities to Achieve Optimal Efficiencies. We have implemented specific profitability initiatives which focus on leveraging our size, scale and capabilities in order to achieve enhanced gross profit and operating profit margins. These initiatives include:

leveraging our size to create economies of scale in purchasing and construction;

standardizing best practices and product designs;

using branding and increased market penetration to maximize efficiency of land use; and

leveraging our fixed cost infrastructure by increasing depth and breadth in markets where we have an established presence.

Quality Homes at Various Price Points to Meet the Needs of Increasingly Diverse Homebuyers. We seek to maximize customer satisfaction by offering homes which incorporate quality materials, distinctive design features, convenient locations and competitive prices. During fiscal year 2005, the average sales price of our homes closed was approximately \$271,300. Our product strategy entails addressing the needs of an increasingly diverse profile of buyers as evidenced by demographic trends including, among others, increased immigration, changing profiles of households, the aging of the baby-boomers, and the rise of the echo-boomers (children of the baby-boomers) into the ranks of homeownership. Our product offering is broken down into the following product categories:

**Economy**. These homes are targeted primarily at entry-level buyers, are generally 1,500 square feet or less in size, and are intended to meet the needs of those buyers for whom price is the most important factor in the buying decision.

**Value.** These homes are targeted at entry-level and move-up buyers, generally range from 1,500 to 2,500 square feet in size, and are intended to appeal to buyers who are more interested in style and features, but are still somewhat price-focused.

Style. These homes are targeted at more affluent move-up buyers, are generally greater than 2,500 square feet in size,

and are intended to appeal to buyers in the more luxurious segment of the market, who place greater emphasis on style and features.

In addition, we also offer homes to the active adult market which is targeted to buyers over 55 years of age, in communities with special amenities. We offer these homes within the Economy, Value and Style categories described above.

Additional Products and Services for Homebuyers. In order to maximize our profitability and provide our customers with the additional products and services that they desire, we have incorporated design studios and mortgage origination operations into our business. Recognizing that our customers want to choose certain components of their new home, we offer limited customization through the use of design studios in most of our markets. These design studios allow the customer to select certain non-structural customizations for their homes such as cabinetry, flooring, fixtures, appliances and wall coverings. Additionally, recognizing the homebuyer s desire to simplify the financing process, we originate mortgages on behalf of our customers through our subsidiary Beazer Mortgage Corporation, or Beazer Mortgage. Beazer Mortgage originates, processes and brokers mortgages to third-party investors. Beazer Mortgage generally does not retain or service the mortgages that it brokers. Beginning in the second or third quarter of fiscal year 2006, Beazer Mortgage will finance our mortgage lending activities with borrowings under a new warehouse line of credit or from general corporate funds prior to selling

the loans and their servicing rights shortly after origination to third-party investors. We also provide title services to our customers in many of our markets.

Conservative Land Policies. We seek to maximize our return on capital by judiciously managing our investment in land. To reduce the risks associated with investments in land, we often use options to control land. We generally do not speculate in land which is not subject to entitlements providing basic development rights to the owner.

#### **Company History**

In March 1994, we completed a concurrent initial public offering of common stock and issuance of senior notes (the IPO). Prior to our IPO, we were an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Hanson PLC (Hanson), a company registered in the United Kingdom. Hanson currently does not hold any investment, or ongoing interest, in us.

#### **Markets and Product Description**

We evaluate a number of factors in determining which geographic markets to enter or in which markets to concentrate our homebuilding activities. We attempt to anticipate swings in economic and real estate conditions by evaluating such statistical information as:

the historical and projected growth of the population;

the number of new jobs created or projected to be created;

the number of housing starts in previous periods;

building lot availability and price;

housing inventory;

level of competition; and

home sale absorption rates.

We generally seek to differentiate ourselves from our competition in a particular market with respect to customer service and product type. We maintain the flexibility to alter our product mix within a given market, depending on market conditions. In determining our product mix, we consider demographic trends, demand for a particular type of product, margins, timing and the economic strength of the market. Although some of our Value and Style homes are priced at the upper end of the market, and we offer a selection of amenities, we generally do not build custom homes. We attempt to maximize efficiency by using standardized design plans whenever possible.

The following table summarizes certain operating information regarding our markets as of and for the year ended September 30, 2005 (dollars in thousands):

State	Number of Active Subdivisions	Number of Homes Closed	Avera Closi Pric	ng	Units in Backlog at Year End	Dollar Value of Backlog at Year End
Arizona/New Mexico	30	1,662	\$	234.1	1,348	\$ 385,513
California	40	2,572		420.8	917	393,464
Colorado	22	544		336.3	123	43,462
Florida	34	2,236		267.6	1,259	401,309
Georgia	22	780		235.2	180	51,844
Indiana	85	1,395		142.0	951	149,990
Kentucky	6	154		158.0	94	16,823
Maryland/Delaware	24	606		372.2	480	169,788
Nevada	14	1,452		327.4	729	269,918
New Jersey/NewYork/Pennsylvania	13	477		372.7	215	87,150
North and South Carolina	79	2,536		167.5	1,359	246,313
Ohio	45	704		184.3	289	54,548
Tennessee/Mississippi	25	814		199.7	276	68,910
Texas	51	1,427		161.8	515	82,537
Virginia/West Virginia	28	787		555.7	498	300,175
Total Company	518	18,146	\$	271.3	9,233	\$ 2,721,744

Our homebuilding and marketing activities are conducted under the name of Beazer Homes in each of our markets.

#### **Corporate Operations**

We perform the following functions at a centralized level:

evaluate and select geographic markets;

allocate capital resources to particular markets for land acquisitions;

maintain and develop relationships with lenders and capital markets to regulate the flow of financial resources;

maintain centralized information systems; and

monitor the operations of our subsidiaries and divisions.

We allocate capital resources necessary for new projects in a manner consistent with our overall operating strategy. We will vary the capital allocation based on market conditions, results of operations and other factors. Capital commitments are determined through consultation among selected executive and operational personnel, who play an important role in ensuring that new projects are consistent with our strategy.

Centralized financial controls are also maintained through the standardization of accounting and financial policies and procedures.

Structurally, we operate through separate divisions, which are generally located within the areas in which they operate. In addition, each division is equipped with the skills to complete the functions of land acquisition, land entitlement, land development, construction, marketing, sales and product service.

#### **Land Acquisition and Development**

Generally, the land we acquire is purchased only after necessary entitlements have been obtained so that we have the right to begin development or construction as market conditions dictate. In certain

6

situations, we will purchase property without all necessary entitlements where we perceive an opportunity to build on such property in a manner consistent with our strategy. The term entitlements refers to subdivision approvals, development agreements, tentative maps or recorded plats, depending on the jurisdiction within which the land is located. Entitlements generally give a developer the right to obtain building permits upon compliance with conditions that are usually within the developer s control. Although entitlements are ordinarily obtained prior to the purchase of land, we are still required to obtain a variety of other governmental approvals and permits during the development process.

We select our land for development based upon a variety of factors, including:

internal and external demographic and marketing studies;

suitability for development during the time period of one to five years from the beginning of the development process to the last closing;

centralized corporate-level management review of all decisions;

financial review as to the feasibility of the proposed project, including profit margins and returns on capital employed;

the ability to secure governmental approvals and entitlements;

environmental and legal due diligence;

competition in the area;

proximity to local traffic corridors and amenities; and

management s judgment as to the real estate market and economic trends and our experience in a particular market.

We generally purchase land or obtain an option to purchase land, which, in either case, requires certain site improvements prior to construction. Where required, we then undertake or, in the case of land under option, the grantor of the option then undertakes, the development activities (through contractual arrangements with local developers), which include site planning and engineering, as well as constructing road, sewer, water, utilities, drainage and recreational facilities and other amenities. When available in certain markets, we also buy finished lots that are ready for construction.

We strive to develop a design and marketing concept for each of our projects, which includes determination of size, style and price range of the homes, layout of streets, layout of individual lots and overall community design. The product line offered in a particular project depends upon many factors, including the housing generally available in the area, the needs of a particular market and our cost of lots in the project. We are, however, often able to use standardized design plans.

The development and construction of each project is managed by our operating divisions, each of which is generally led by a president who, in turn, reports directly or indirectly to our Chief Operating Officer. At the development stage, a manager (who may be assigned to several projects

and reports to the president of the division) supervises development of buildable lots. In addition, a builder is responsible for each project site to supervise actual construction, and each division has one or more customer care and marketing representatives assigned to projects operated by that division.

The following table sets forth, by state, land controlled by us as of September 30, 2005:

			<b>Lots Owned</b>			m	m
	Undeveloped Lots(1)	Lots Under Development	Finished Lots	Homes Under Construction(2)	Total Lots Owned	Total Lots Under Contract	Total Lots Controlled
Arizona/New Mexico		1,187	913	816	2,916	8,315	11,231
California		4,454	159	869	5,482	7,319	12,801
Colorado		541	117	246	904	1,834	2,738
Florida	353	2,929	1,283	1,159	5,724	5,611	11,335
Georgia		498	267	235	1,000	1,784	2,784
Indiana	1,020	4,046	2,215	725	8,006	4,246	12,252
Kentucky		471	37	107	615	452	1,067
Maryland/Delaware		1,138	294	344	1,776	4,620	6,396
Nevada		1,847	504	615	2,966	1,468	4,434
New Jersey/New York/							
Pennsylvania		167	384	245	796	4,880	5,676
North and South Carolina	60	2,565	1,146	1,136	4,907	8,721	13,628
Ohio	1,628	1,073	921	340	3,962	1,828	5,790
Tennessee/Mississippi		1,073	132	427	1,632	2,010	3,642
Texas	670	2,983	1,840	640	6,133	1,837	7,970
Virginia/West Virginia	41	735	208	261	1,245	3,405	4,650
Total	3,772	25,707	10,420	8,165	48,064	58,330	106,394

<sup>(1)</sup> Undeveloped Lots consists of raw land that is expected to be developed into the respective number of lots reflected in this table.

**Option Contracts**. We acquire certain lots by means of option contracts. Option contracts generally require the payment of a cash deposit or issuance of a letter of credit for the right to acquire lots during a specified period of time at a certain price. Our option contracts generally have expiration periods ranging from one to 60 months.

Under option contracts, both with and without specific performance, purchase of the properties is contingent upon satisfaction of certain requirements by us and the sellers. Our obligation with respect to options with specific performance is included on our consolidated balance sheet in other liabilities at September 30, 2005. At September 30, 2005, we are committed to future amounts under option contracts with specific performance obligations that aggregated \$10.4 million, net of cash deposits. Under option contracts without specific performance obligations, our liability is generally limited to forfeiture of the non-refundable deposits, letters of credit and other non-refundable amounts incurred, which aggregated approximately \$332.4 million at September 30, 2005. This amount includes non-refundable letters of credit of approximately \$35.0 million. At September 30, 2005, future amounts under option contracts without specific performance obligations aggregated approximately \$2.8 billion, net of cash deposits.

<sup>(2)</sup> The category Homes Under Construction represents lots on which construction of a home has commenced.

#### Construction

We act as the general contractor for the construction of our projects. Our project development operations are controlled by our subsidiaries and divisions, whose employees supervise the construction of each project, coordinate the activities of subcontractors and suppliers, subject their work to quality and cost controls and assure compliance with zoning and building codes. We specify that quality, durable materials be used in the construction of our homes. Our subcontractors follow design plans prepared by architects and engineers who are retained by us and whose designs are geared to the local market. Subcontractors typically are retained on a project-by-project basis to complete construction at a fixed price. Agreements with our subcontractors and materials suppliers are generally entered into after competitive bidding. In connection with this competitive bid process, we obtain information from prospective subcontractors and vendors with respect to their financial condition and ability to perform their agreements with us. We do not maintain significant inventories of construction materials, except for materials being utilized for homes under construction. We have numerous suppliers of raw materials and services used in our business, and such materials and services have been, and continue to be, available. Material prices may fluctuate, however, due to various factors, including demand or supply shortages, which may be beyond the control of our vendors. From time to time we enter into regional and national supply contracts with certain of our vendors. We believe that our relationships with our suppliers and subcontractors are good.

Construction time for our homes depends on the availability of labor, materials and supplies, product type and location. Homes are designed to promote efficient use of space and materials, and to minimize construction costs and time. In all of our markets, construction of a home is typically completed within three to six months following commencement of construction. At September 30, 2005, we had 1,112 finished homes (excluding models), of which 698 were sold and included in backlog at such date.

#### **Warranty Program**

For homes sold through March 31, 2004 (and in certain markets through July 31, 2004), we self-insured our structural warranty obligations through our wholly owned risk retention group. Beginning with homes sold April 1, 2004 (August 1, 2004 in certain markets), our warranties are issued, administered, and insured by independent third parties. Under the current program, we provide a variety of construction defect warranties for our homes, ranging from a minimum of ten years up to the period covered by the applicable statute of repose, whichever is greater.

We provide a one to two year limited warranty of workmanship and materials with each of our homes, which generally includes home visits with the customer during the first year following the purchase of a home. We subcontract our homebuilding work to subcontractors who generally provide us with an indemnity and a certificate of insurance prior to receiving payments for their work and, therefore, claims relating to workmanship and materials are generally the primary responsibility of our subcontractors. In addition, the first year of our warranty covers defects in plumbing, electrical, heating, cooling and ventilation systems, and construction defects; the second year of such warranty covers construction defects and certain defects in plumbing, electrical, heating, cooling and ventilation systems of the home (exclusive of defects in appliances, fixtures and equipment); and the remaining years of protection cover only construction defects.

In addition, we maintain third-party insurance for most construction defects that we encounter in the normal course of business. We believe that our accruals and third-party insurance are adequate to cover the ultimate resolution of our potential liabilities associated with known and anticipated warranty and construction defect related claims and litigation.

There can be no assurance, however, that the terms and limitations of the limited warranty will be effective against claims made by the homebuyers, that we will be able to renew our insurance coverage or renew it at reasonable rates, that we will not be liable for damages, the cost of repairs, and/or the expense of litigation surrounding possible construction defects, soil subsidence or building related claims or that claims

will not arise out of uninsurable events or circumstances not covered by insurance and not subject to effective indemnification agreements with our subcontractors.

#### **Marketing and Sales**

We make extensive use of advertising and other promotional activities, including our website (http://www.beazer.com), mass-media advertisements, brochures, direct mail and the placement of strategically located signboards in the immediate areas of our developments.

We normally build, decorate, furnish and landscape between one and five model homes for each project and maintain on-site sales offices. At September 30, 2005, we maintained 683 model homes, of which 490 were owned and 193 were leased from third parties pursuant to sale and leaseback agreements. We believe that model homes play a particularly important role in our marketing efforts.

We generally sell our homes through commissioned employees (who typically work from the sales offices located at the model homes used in the subdivision) as well as through independent brokers. Our personnel are available to assist prospective homebuyers by providing them with floor plans, price information and tours of model homes, and in connection with the selection of options. The selection of interior features is a principal component of our marketing and sales efforts. Sales personnel are trained by us and attend periodic meetings to be updated on sales techniques, competitive products in the area, the availability of financing, construction schedules and marketing and advertising plans, which management believes results in a sales force with extensive knowledge of our operating policies and housing products. Our policy also provides that sales personnel be licensed real estate agents where required by law. We also build a number of homes for which no signed sales contract exists at the time of commencement of construction. The use of an inventory of such homes is necessary to satisfy the requirements of relocated personnel and of independent brokers, who often represent customers who require a completed home within 60 days. At September 30, 2005, excluding models, we had 2,046 homes at various stages of completion (of which 414 were completed) for which we had not received a sales contract.

We sometimes use various sales incentives in order to attract homebuyers. The use of incentives depends largely on local economic and competitive market conditions.

#### **Customer Financing**

We provide customer financing through Beazer Mortgage. Beazer Mortgage provides mortgage origination services only, and generally does not retain or service the mortgages that it originates. Through September 30, 2005, these mortgages were generally funded by one of a network of mortgage lenders. Beginning in the second or third quarter of fiscal year 2006, Beazer Mortgage will finance our mortgage lending activities with borrowings under a new warehouse line of credit or from general corporate funds prior to selling the loans and their servicing rights shortly after origination to third-party investors. Beazer Mortgage can provide qualified homebuyers numerous financing options, including a wide variety of conventional, FHA and VA financing programs. In certain situations, we will seek to assist our homebuyers in obtaining financing from outside mortgage lenders and, in certain limited circumstances, we may attempt to minimize potential risks relating to the availability of customer financing by purchasing mortgage financing commitments that lock in the availability of funds and interest rates at specified levels for a certain period of time. Because substantially all homebuyers utilize long-term mortgage financing to purchase a home, adverse economic conditions, increases in unemployment and high mortgage interest rates may deter and eliminate a substantial number of potential homebuyers from our markets in the future. In addition, we provide title services to our homebuyers in many of our markets.

#### **Competition and Market Factors**

The development and sale of residential properties is highly competitive and fragmented. We compete for residential sales on the basis of a number of interrelated factors, including location, reputation, amenities, design, quality and price, with numerous large and small homebuilders, including some homebuilders with nationwide operations and greater financial resources and/or lower costs than us. We also compete for residential sales with individual resales of existing homes, available rental housing and, to

a lesser extent, resales of condominiums. We believe that we compare favorably to other builders in the markets in which we operate, due primarily to:

our experience within our geographic markets and breadth of product line, which allows us to vary our regional product offerings to reflect changing market conditions;

our responsiveness to market conditions, enabling us to capitalize on the opportunities for advantageous land acquisitions in desirable locations;

our reputation for quality design, construction and service; and

our focus on providing customers with a product they enjoy.

The housing industry is cyclical and is affected by consumer confidence levels and prevailing economic conditions generally, including interest rate levels. A variety of other factors affect the housing industry and demand for new homes, including the availability of labor and materials and increases in the costs thereof, changes in costs associated with homeownership such as increases in property taxes and energy costs, changes in consumer preferences, demographic trends and the availability of and changes in mortgage financing programs.

#### **Government Regulation and Environmental Matters**

Generally, our land is purchased with entitlements, giving us the right to obtain building permits upon compliance with specified conditions, which generally are within our control. Upon compliance with such conditions, we must obtain building permits. The length of time necessary to obtain such permits and approvals affects the carrying costs of unimproved property acquired for the purpose of development and construction. In addition, the continued effectiveness of permits already granted is subject to factors such as changes in policies, rules and regulations and their interpretation and application. Several governmental authorities have imposed impact fees as a means of defraying the cost of providing certain governmental services to developing areas. To date, the governmental approval processes discussed above have not had a material adverse effect on our development activities, and indeed all homebuilders in a given market face the same fees and restrictions. There can be no assurance, however, that these and other restrictions will not adversely affect us in the future.

We may also be subject to periodic delays or may be precluded entirely from developing communities due to building moratoriums or slow-growth or no-growth initiatives or building permit allocation ordinances which could be implemented in the future in the states and markets in which we operate. Substantially all of our land is entitled and, therefore, the moratoriums generally would only adversely affect us if they arose from health, safety and welfare issues such as insufficient water or sewage facilities. Local and state governments also have broad discretion regarding the imposition of development fees for projects in their jurisdictions. These fees are normally established, however, when we receive recorded final maps and building permits. We are also subject to a variety of local, state and federal statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations concerning the protection of health and the environment. These laws may result in delays, cause us to incur substantial compliance and other costs, and prohibit or severely restrict development in certain environmentally sensitive regions or areas.

# **Bonds and Other Obligations**

We are frequently required, in connection with the development of our projects, to obtain letters of credit and performance, maintenance and other bonds in support of our related obligations with respect to such developments. The amount of such obligations outstanding at any time varies in accordance with our pending development activities. In the event any such bonds or letters of credit are drawn upon, we would be obligated to reimburse the issuer of such bonds or letters of credit. At September 30, 2005 we had approximately \$47.9 million and \$470.1 million of outstanding letters of credit and performance bonds, respectively, related to our obligations to local governments to construct roads and other improvements in various developments, in addition to outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$44.9 million related to our land option contracts. We do not believe that we will be required to draw upon any such bonds or letters of credit.

#### **Employees and Subcontractors**

At September 30, 2005, we employed 4,578 persons, of whom 968 were sales and marketing personnel, 1,522 were executive, management and administrative personnel, 1,756 were involved in construction and 332 were personnel of Beazer Mortgage. Although none of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements, certain of the subcontractors engaged by us are represented by labor unions or are subject to collective bargaining arrangements. We believe that our relations with our employees and subcontractors are good.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our home sales and operating revenues could decline due to macro-economic and other factors outside of our control, such as changes in consumer confidence and declines in employment levels.

Changes in national and regional economic conditions, as well as local economic conditions where we conduct our operations and where prospective purchasers of our homes live, may result in more caution on the part of homebuyers and, consequently, fewer home purchases. These economic uncertainties involve, among other things, conditions of supply and demand in local markets and changes in consumer confidence and income, employment levels, and government regulations. These risks and uncertainties could periodically have an adverse effect on consumer demand for and the pricing of our homes, which could cause our operating revenues to decline. A reduction in our revenues could, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our securities.

A substantial increase in mortgage interest rates or unavailability of mortgage financing may reduce consumer demand for our homes.

Virtually all purchasers of our homes finance their acquisitions through lenders providing mortgage financing. A substantial increase in mortgage interest rates or unavailability of mortgage financing would adversely affect the ability of prospective first-time and move-up homebuyers to obtain financing for our homes, as well as adversely affect the ability of prospective move-up homebuyers to sell their current homes. As a result, our margins, revenues and cash flows may also be adversely affected.

If we are unsuccessful in competing against our homebuilding competitors, our market share could decline or our growth could be impaired and, as a result, our financial results could suffer.

Competition in the homebuilding industry is intense, and there are relatively low barriers to entry into our business. Increased competition could hurt our business, as it could prevent us from acquiring attractive parcels of land on which to build homes or make such acquisitions more expensive, hinder our market share expansion, and lead to pricing pressures on our homes that may adversely impact our margins and revenues. If we are unable to successfully compete, our financial results could suffer and the value of, or our ability to service, our debt could be adversely affected. Our competitors may independently develop land and construct housing units that are superior or substantially similar to our products. Furthermore, some of our competitors have substantially greater financial resources and lower costs of funds than we do. Many of these competitors also have longstanding relationships with subcontractors and suppliers in the markets in which we operate. We currently build in several of the top markets in the nation and, therefore, we expect to continue to face additional competition from new entrants into our markets.

We could experience a reduction in home sales and revenues or reduced cash flows due to our inability to acquire land for our housing developments if we are unable to obtain reasonably priced financing to support our homebuilding activities.

The homebuilding industry is capital intensive, and homebuilding requires significant up-front expenditures to acquire land and begin development. Accordingly, we incur substantial indebtedness to finance our homebuilding activities. Although we believe that internally generated funds and available borrowings under our revolving credit facility will be available to fund our capital and other expenditures (including land

12

purchases in connection with ordinary development activities), the amounts available from such sources may not be sufficient. If such sources are not sufficient, we would seek additional capital in the form of equity or debt financing from a variety of potential sources, including additional bank financing and/or securities offerings. The amount and types of indebtedness which we may incur are limited by the terms of the indentures governing our existing debt. In addition, the availability of borrowed funds, especially for land acquisition and construction financing, may be greatly reduced nationally, and the lending community may require increased amounts of equity to be invested in a project by borrowers in connection with both new loans and the extension of existing loans. If we are not successful in obtaining sufficient capital to fund our planned capital and other expenditures, we may be unable to acquire land for our housing developments. Additionally, if we cannot obtain additional financing to fund the purchase of land under our option contracts, we may incur contractual penalties and fees.

Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition, limit our growth and make it more difficult for us to satisfy our debt obligations.

As of September 30, 2005, we had approximately \$1.3 billion, net of unamortized discount of approximately \$4.1 million, of outstanding indebtedness. Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences to us and the holders of our securities, including, among other things:

causing us to be unable to satisfy our obligations under our debt agreements;

making us more vulnerable to adverse general economic and industry conditions;

making it difficult to fund future working capital, land purchases, acquisitions, share repurchases, general corporate purposes or other purposes; and

causing us to be limited in our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business.

In addition, subject to restrictions in our existing debt instruments, we may incur additional indebtedness. In particular, as of September 30, 2005, we had available borrowings of approximately \$530.1 million under our revolving credit facility. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify. Our growth plans and our ability to make payments of principal or interest on, or to refinance, our indebtedness, will depend on our future operating performance and our ability to enter into additional debt and/or equity financings. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flows in the future to service our debt, we may be required to refinance all or a portion of our existing debt, to sell assets or to obtain additional financing. We may not be able to do any of the foregoing on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

We are subject to extensive government regulation which could cause us to incur significant liabilities or restrict our business activities.

Regulatory requirements could cause us to incur significant liabilities and operating expenses and could restrict our business activities. We are subject to local, state and federal statutes and rules regulating, among other things, certain developmental matters, building and site design, and matters concerning the protection of health and the environment. Our operating expenses may be increased by governmental regulations such as building permit allocation ordinances and impact and other fees and taxes, which may be imposed to defray the cost of providing certain governmental services and improvements. Other governmental regulations, such as building moratoriums and no growth or slow growth initiatives, which may be adopted in communities which have developed rapidly, may cause delays in home projects or otherwise restrict our business activities resulting in reductions in our revenues. Any delay or refusal from government agencies to grant us necessary licenses, permits

and approvals could have an adverse effect on our operations.

We may incur additional operating expenses due to compliance programs or fines, penalties and remediation costs pertaining to environmental regulations within our markets.

We are subject to a variety of local, state and federal statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations concerning the protection of health and the environment. The particular environmental laws which apply to any given community vary greatly according to the community site, the site s environmental conditions and the present and former use of the site. Environmental laws may result in delays, may cause us to implement time

consuming and expensive compliance programs and may prohibit or severely restrict development in certain environmentally sensitive regions or areas. From time to time, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and similar federal or state agencies review homebuilders compliance with environmental laws and may levy fines and penalties for failure to strictly comply with applicable environmental laws or impose additional requirements for future compliance as a result of past failures. Any such actions taken with respect to us may increase our costs. Further, we expect that increasingly stringent requirements will be imposed on homebuilders in the future. Environmental regulations can also have an adverse impact on the availability and price of certain raw materials such as lumber. Our projects in California are especially susceptible to restrictive government regulations and environmental laws.

We may be subject to significant potential liabilities as a result of construction defect, product liability and warranty claims made against us.

As a homebuilder, we have been, and continue to be, subject to construction defect, product liability and home warranty claims, including moisture intrusion and related mold claims, arising in the ordinary course of business. These claims are common to the homebuilding industry and can be costly.

We and certain of our subsidiaries have been, and continue to be, named as defendants in various construction defect claims, product liability claims, complaints and other legal actions that include claims related to moisture intrusion and mold. Furthermore, plaintiffs may in certain of these legal proceedings seek class action status with potential class sizes that vary from case to case. Class action lawsuits can be costly to defend, and if we were to lose any certified class action suit, it could result in substantial potential liability for us. We record reserves for such matters in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

With respect to certain general liability exposures, including construction defect, moisture intrusion and related mold claims and product liability, interpretation of underlying current and future trends, assessment of claims and the related liability and reserve estimation process is highly judgmental due to the complex nature of these exposures, with each exposure exhibiting unique circumstances. Furthermore, once claims are asserted for construction defects, it is difficult to determine the extent to which the assertion of these claims will expand geographically. Although we have obtained insurance for construction defect claims, such policies may not be available or adequate to cover any liability for damages, the cost of repairs, and/or the expense of litigation surrounding current claims, and future claims may arise out of uninsurable events or circumstances not covered by insurance and not subject to effective indemnification agreements with our subcontractors.

Our operating expenses could increase if we are required to pay higher insurance premiums or litigation costs for claims involving construction defect and product liability claims, which could cause our net income to decline.

The costs of insuring against construction defect and product liability claims are high, and the amount and scope of coverage offered by insurance companies is currently limited. This coverage may be further restricted and may become more costly.

Increasingly in recent years, lawsuits (including class action lawsuits) have been filed against builders, asserting claims of personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold in residential dwellings. Our insurance may not cover all of the claims, including personal injury claims, arising from the presence of mold, or such coverage may become prohibitively expensive. If we are not able to obtain adequate insurance against these claims, we may experience losses that could reduce our net income and restrict our cash flow available to service debt.

Historically, builders have recovered from subcontractors and their insurance carriers a significant portion of the construction defect liabilities and costs of defense that the builders have incurred. Insurance coverage available to subcontractors for construction defects is becoming increasingly expensive, and the scope of coverage is restricted. If we cannot effectively recover from our subcontractors or their carriers, we may suffer greater losses which could decrease our net income.

Builders ability to recover against any available insurance policy depends upon the continued solvency and financial strength of the insurance carrier that issued the policy. Many of the states in which we build homes have lengthy statutes of limitations applicable to claims for construction defects. To the extent that any carrier providing insurance coverage to us or our subcontractors becomes insolvent or experiences financial difficulty in the future, we may be unable to recover on those policies, and our net income may decline.

We are dependent on the services of certain key employees, and the loss of their services could hurt our business.

Our future success depends upon our ability to attract, train, assimilate and retain skilled personnel. If we are unable to retain our key employees or attract, train, assimilate or retain other skilled personnel in the future, it could hinder our business strategy and impose additional costs of identifying and training new individuals. Competition for qualified personnel in all of our operating markets is intense. A significant increase in the number of our active projects would necessitate the hiring of a significant number of additional construction managers, who are in short supply in our markets.

We are dependent on the continued availability and satisfactory performance of our subcontractors, which, if unavailable, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We conduct our construction operations only as a general contractor. Virtually all construction work is performed by unaffiliated third-party subcontractors. As a consequence, we depend on the continued availability of and satisfactory performance by these subcontractors for the construction of our homes. There may not be sufficient availability of and satisfactory performance by these unaffiliated third-party subcontractors in the markets in which we operate. In addition, inadequate subcontractor resources could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We experience fluctuations and variability in our operating results on a quarterly basis and, as a result, our historical performance may not be a meaningful indicator of future results.

Our operating results in a future quarter or quarters may fall below expectations of securities analysts or investors and, as a result, the market value of our common stock will fluctuate. While we have reported positive annual net income for each of the past five fiscal years, we historically have experienced, and expect to continue to experience, variability in home sales and net earnings on a quarterly basis. As a result of such variability, our historical performance may not be a meaningful indicator of future results. Our quarterly results of operations may continue to fluctuate in the future as a result of a variety of both national and local factors, including, among others:

the timing of home closings and land sales;

our ability to continue to acquire additional land or secure option contracts to acquire land on acceptable terms;

conditions of the real estate market in areas where we operate and of the general economy;

raw material and labor shortages;

seasonal homebuying patterns; and

other changes in operating expenses, including the cost of labor and raw materials, personnel and general economic conditions.

The occurrence of natural disasters could increase our operating expenses and reduce our revenues and cash flows.

The climates and geology of many of the states in which we operate, including California, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Texas, present increased risks of natural disasters. To the extent that hurricanes, severe storms, earthquakes, droughts, floods, wildfires or other natural disasters or similar events occur, our homes under construction or our building lots in such states could be damaged or destroyed, which may result in losses exceeding our insurance coverage. Any of these events could increase our operating expenses, impair our cash flows and reduce our revenues, which could, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our securities.

15

Future terrorist attacks against the United States or increased domestic or international instability could have an adverse effect on our operations.

Adverse developments in the war on terrorism, future terrorist attacks against the United States, or any outbreak or escalation of hostilities between the United States and any foreign power, including the armed conflict with Iraq, may cause disruption to the economy, our Company, our employees and our customers, which could adversely affect our revenues, operating expenses, and financial condition.

#### Item 2. Properties

We lease approximately 86,000 square feet of office space in Atlanta, Georgia to house our corporate headquarters. We also lease an aggregate of approximately 590,000 square feet of office space for our subsidiaries operations at various locations. We own approximately 18,500 square feet of warehouse space and an aggregate of 57,872 square feet of office space in Nashville, Tennessee and Indianapolis, Indiana.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In November 2003, Beazer Homes received a request for information from the EPA pursuant to Section 308 of the Clean Water Act seeking information concerning the nature and extent of storm water discharge practices relating to certain of our projects completed or under construction. The EPA has since requested information on additional projects and has conducted site inspections at a number of locations. In certain instances, the EPA or the equivalent state agency has issued Administrative Orders identifying alleged instances of noncompliance and requiring corrective action to address the alleged deficiencies in storm water management practices. As of September 30, 2005, no monetary penalties have been imposed in connection with such Administrative Orders. The EPA has reserved the right to impose monetary penalties at a later date, the amount of which, if any, cannot currently be estimated. Beazer Homes has taken action to comply with the requirements of each of the Administrative Orders and is working to otherwise maintain compliance with the requirements of the Clean Water Act.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries have been named as defendants in various claims, complaints and other legal actions, most relating to moisture intrusion and related mold claims, construction defects and product liability. Certain of the liabilities resulting from these actions are covered by insurance. With respect to certain general liability exposures, including construction defect, moisture intrusion and related mold claims and product liability, interpretation of underlying current and future trends, assessment of claims and the related liability and reserve estimation process is highly judgmental due to the complex nature of these exposures, with each exposure exhibiting unique circumstances. In particular, for construction defect liability there is a high degree of uncertainty relating to whether insurance coverage exists, when losses occur, the size of each loss, expectations for future interpretive rulings concerning contract provisions and the extent to which the assertion of these claims will expand geographically. In the Company s opinion, based on its current assessment, the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on Beazer Homes financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report to a vote of security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

#### SEPARATE ITEM: EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Name	Age	Position
Executive Officers		
Ian J. McCarthy	52	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Michael H. Furlow	55	Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer
James O Leary	42	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
Kenneth J. Gary	47	Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary
C. Lowell Ball	48	Senior Vice President, General Counsel Real Estate
Cory J. Boydston	46	Senior Vice President, Treasurer
Fred Fratto	51	Senior Vice President, Human Resources
Michael T. Rand	43	Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer
John Skelton	56	Senior Vice President, Forward Planning
Jonathan P. Smoke	36	Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategy and Innovation

#### **Business Experience**

IAN J. MCCARTHY. Mr. McCarthy is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Beazer Homes and has served as a director of Beazer Homes since the IPO. Mr. McCarthy has served as President of predecessors of Beazer Homes since January 1991 and was responsible for all United States residential homebuilding operations in that capacity. During the period May 1981 to January 1991, Mr. McCarthy was employed in Hong Kong and Thailand, becoming a director of Beazer Far East and from January 1980 to May 1981 was employed by Kier, Ltd., a company engaged in the United Kingdom construction industry which became an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Beazer PLC. Mr. McCarthy is a Chartered Civil Engineer with a Bachelor of Science degree from The City University, London. Mr. McCarthy currently serves as a member of the Board of HomeAid America. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Builder Homesite, Inc. and the Board of Directors of the Metro Atlanta Chamber of Commerce. He was inducted into the California Building Industry Hall of Fame in 2004, the first non-California resident to receive this honor.

MICHAEL H. FURLOW. Mr. Furlow joined us in October 1997 as the Executive Vice President for Operations and was named Chief Operating Officer in 1998. In this capacity, the Division Presidents report, directly or indirectly, to Mr. Furlow, and he is responsible for the performance of those operating divisions. During the 12 years prior to joining Beazer Homes, Mr. Furlow was with Pulte Home Corporation in various field and corporate roles, most recently as a Regional President. Mr. Furlow received a Bachelor of Arts degree with honors in Accounting from the University of West Florida and initially worked as a Certified Public Accountant for Arthur Young & Company.

JAMES O LEARY. Mr. O Leary joined us in June 2002 as Executive Vice President, Corporate Development. In August 2003 he was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. O Leary was previously with U.S. Industries, Inc. from 1995 to 2002. From 2000 to 2002, Mr. O Leary was Chairman and CEO of LCA Group, Inc., U.S. Industries global lighting subsidiary. He also served as Executive Vice President of U.S. Industries from 1999 to 2002, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 1998 to 1999 and Vice President and Corporate Controller from 1995 to 1998. Mr. O Leary held various financial and operational positions at Hanson PLC., U.S. Industries former parent company, from 1993 to 1995, at which time U.S. Industries was spun off to Hanson s shareholders. Mr. O Leary was with Deloitte & Touche from 1985 to 1993. Mr. O Leary holds a Master of Business Administration degree from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from Pace University. Mr. O Leary is a licensed Certified Public Accountant. Mr. O Leary currently serves on the Board of Directors of Kaydon Corporation.

KENNETH J. GARY. Mr. Gary joined us in March 2005 as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. From 1990 to March 2005, Mr. Gary served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Toll Brothers, Inc. He also served as Chief Executive Officer of

that company s mortgage and title insurance subsidiaries. Prior to 1990, Mr. Gary served as Counsel of Bell Atlantic

Properties, the real estate subsidiary of Bell Atlantic Corporation (now known as Verizon Communications) and practiced real estate and corporate law with two major law firms for several years. Mr. Gary is a graduate of Brown University and the University of Pennsylvania Law School, where he was an editor of the Law Review.

C. LOWELL BALL. Mr. Ball joined us in August 2000 as Senior Vice President and currently serves as General Counsel Real Estate. From 1992 to August 2000, Mr. Ball held senior positions with commercial real estate investment, development-operating companies, including Regent Partners, Inc., Compass Management and Leasing, Inc. and Dutch Institutional Holding Company. Prior to 1992, Mr. Ball practiced law for ten years with two major law firms. Mr. Ball graduated with honors from the University of North Carolina School of Law and received his undergraduate degree from the University of North Carolina where he was a Morehead Scholar.

CORY J. BOYDSTON. Mrs. Boydston joined us in January 1998 as Vice President and Treasurer, and was promoted to Senior Vice President in October 2004. Mrs. Boydston is currently responsible for the Treasury functions of the Company. She also oversees the Company s Title and Homeowner Insurance operations. Prior to joining Beazer, Mrs. Boydston was with Lennar Corporation from 1987 to 1997, serving in various capacities, including Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Controller, and Chief Financial Officer, Investment Division. Before joining Lennar, Mrs. Boydston was with Hayes Microcomputer Products and Arthur Andersen & Co. Mrs. Boydston received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Florida State University in 1981 and is a licensed Certified Public Accountant.

FRED FRATTO. Mr. Fratto joined us in October, 2002 as Vice President, Human Resources and was promoted to Senior Vice President in October 2004. Prior to joining Beazer Homes, Mr. Fratto served as Vice President, Human Resources and Administration for the Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation from 1999 to 2002. Previously, Mr. Fratto was with the Newell Rubbermaid Company from 1995 to 1999 and Westinghouse Electric Corporation from 1980 to 1995. He holds a Master of Science degree in Industrial and Labor Relations from West Virginia University and a Bachelor of Arts degree from Fairmont State College.

MICHAEL T. RAND. Mr. Rand joined us in November 1996 as Vice President, Operational and Accounting Controls and was promoted to Vice President, Corporate Controller in June of 1998. Mr. Rand was promoted to Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller in October 2002, and to Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer in August 2004. Prior to joining Beazer Homes, Mr. Rand was with the firm KPMG Peat Marwick from 1984 to 1996, at which time he served as a Senior Audit Manager. Mr. Rand holds a bachelor s degree in Commerce from the University of Virginia and is a licensed Certified Public Accountant.

JOHN SKELTON. Mr. Skelton served as Senior Vice President, Operations from the IPO through fiscal 1998, was appointed Senior Vice President, Financial Planning in fiscal 1999 and appointed Senior Vice President, Forward Planning in fiscal 2003. Mr. Skelton served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Beazer Homes, Inc., a subsidiary of Beazer Homes, since 1985 and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Beazer Homes Holdings, Inc., a subsidiary of Beazer Homes, since April 1993. During the period 1977 to 1985, Mr. Skelton served as Finance Director of Leech Homes, a subsidiary of Leech PLC which was acquired by Beazer PLC in 1985. After graduating with a bachelor s degree from Durham University in the United Kingdom, he was employed by Deloitte & Touche and is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

JONATHAN P. SMOKE. Mr. Smoke joined us in March 2001 as Vice President, eBusiness, was appointed Chief Information Officer in April 2002, was promoted to Senior Vice President in September 2003 and appointed Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategy and Innovation in October 2005. Mr. Smoke was previously with Lend Lease Corporation from 1999 to 2001 where he was responsible for eBusiness strategy and initiatives. Mr. Smoke was a management consultant with Deloitte & Touche from 1993 to 1999. Mr. Smoke holds a Master of Business Administration degree from the McCombs School of Business at the University of Texas at Austin and a Bachelor of Arts degree from Rhodes College.

PART II

PART II 36

#### Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

#### **Market Information**

The Company lists its common shares on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol BZH. On November 30, 2005, the last reported sales price of the Company s common stock on the NYSE was \$69.97. On November 30, 2005, Beazer Homes USA, Inc. had approximately 180 shareholders of record and 41,192,244 shares of common stock outstanding. The following table sets forth, for the quarters indicated, the range of high and low trading for the Company s common stock during fiscal 2005 and 2004, as adjusted for the effect of the Company s March 2005 three-for-one stock split.

	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
Fiscal Year 2005:				
High	\$ 49.46	\$ 58.83	\$ 60.71	\$ 67.50
Low	\$ 32.55	\$ 44.67	\$ 43.99	\$ 55.05
Fiscal Year 2004:				
High	\$ 36.53	\$ 37.66	\$ 35.70	\$ 36.62
Low	\$ 27.80	\$ 29.42	\$ 29.72	\$ 28.81

#### **Dividends**

For fiscal 2005, the Company paid quarterly cash dividends aggregating \$0.33 per common share or a total of approximately \$13.9 million. For fiscal 2004, adjusted for the stock split, we paid quarterly cash dividends aggregating \$0.13 per common share, or a total of approximately \$5.5 million. We expect to continue paying regular cash dividends on a quarterly basis. However, the Board of Directors will periodically reconsider the declaration of dividends, and we will pay dividends at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The continuation of payments, the amount of such dividends, and the form in which the dividends are paid (cash or stock) depends upon the results of operations, the financial condition of the Company and other factors which the Board of Directors deems relevant. The indentures under which our senior notes were issued contain certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on payment of dividends. At September 30, 2005, under the most restrictive covenants of each indenture, approximately \$359 million of our retained earnings was available for cash dividends and for share repurchases.

The following table provides information as of September 30, 2005 with respect to our shares of common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plans, all of which have been approved by our stockholders:

Plan Category	Number of Common Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options (a)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options (b)	Number of Common Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Common Shares Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	1,654,751	\$ 23.9	2,617,212

#### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

		<b>Total Number</b>		<b>Total Number of Shares</b>	Maximum Number of
		of Shares	Average Price	Purchased as Part of	hares That May Yet Be
Period		Purchased (1)	Paid Per Share	e Publicly Announced Plans	Purchased Under the Plans
9/1/05	9/30/05	142,459	\$	56.80	

(1) We did not repurchase any shares in the open market during fiscal 2005; however, 142,459 shares were surrendered to us by employees in payment of minimum tax obligations upon the vesting of restricted stock under our stock incentive plans. We valued the stock at the market price on the date of surrender, for an aggregate value of \$8.1 million, or approximately \$57 per share.

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data** 

#### **Selected Financial Data**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

		Ye	ar En	ded September 30	0,		
	2005	2004		2003		2002	2001
Statement of Operations Data:							
Total revenue	\$ 4,995,353	\$ 3,907,109	\$	3,177,408	\$	2,641,173	\$ 1,805,177
Operating income before goodwill							
impairment (i)	617,153	377,935		279,155		193,174	121,027
Goodwill impairment (i)	130,235						
Operating income (i)	486,918	377,935		279,155		193,174	121,027
Net income (i)	262,524	235,811		172,745		122,634	74,876
Net income per common share:							
Basic (i), (ii)	6.49	5.91		4.47		3.88	3.06
Diluted (i), (ii)	<b>5.87</b>	5.59		4.26		3.58	2.73
Dividends paid per common share	0.33	0.13					
Balance Sheet Data (end of							
year):							
Cash	\$ 297,098	\$ 320,880	\$	73,372	\$	124,989	\$ 41,678
Inventory	2,901,165	2,344,095		1,723,483		1,364,133	844,737
Total assets (i)	3,770,516	3,163,030		2,219,407		1,902,319	998,456
Total debt	1,321,936	1,150,972		748,738		748,572	398,405
Stockholders equity	1,504,688	1,232,121		993,695		799,515	351,195
Supplemental Financial Data:							
Cash (used in)/provided by:							
Operating activities	\$ (84,263)	\$ (73,719)	\$	(41,049)	\$	59,464	\$ (25,578)
Investing activities	(48,470)	(30,476)		(6,552)		(314,633)	(72,835)
Financing activities	108,951	351,703		(4,016)		338,480	140,091
EBIT (iii)	581,722	452,774		340,980		245,060	155,983
EBITDA (iii)	602,896	468,529		354,200		254,513	165,236
Interest incurred (iv)	89,678	76,035		65,295		51,171	35,825
EBIT/interest incurred	6.49x	5.95x		5.22x		4.79x	4.35x
EBITDA/interest incurred	6.72x	6.16x		5.42x		4.97x	4.61x
Financial Statistics (v):							
Total debt as a percentage of total							
debt and stockholders equity	46.8%	48.3%		43.0%		48.4%	53.1%
Asset turnover	1.44x	1.45x		1.54x		1.82x	2.13x
EBIT margin	11.6%	11.6%		10.7%		9.3%	8.6%
Return on average assets (pre-tax)	16.8%	16.8%		16.5%		16.9%	18.4%
Return on average capital (pre-tax)	22.3%	21.9%		20.7%		21.3%	24.5%
Return on average equity	19.2%	21.2%		19.3%		21.3%	24.1%

<sup>(</sup>i) As discussed in Item 7 below, Beazer Homes acquired Crossmann Communities effective April 17, 2002. In 2005, we recognized a non-cash, non-tax deductible goodwill impairment charge of \$130.2 million associated with this acquisition (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion). 2005 operating income, net income and earnings per share include the impact of this impairment charge. In addition to the results above reported in accordance with GAAP, we have provided operating income before goodwill impairment above, a non-GAAP financial measure. Adjusted net income, adjusted earnings per share and adjusted EBITDA, which exclude the effects of the non-cash goodwill impairment charge recorded during fiscal year 2005 and are non-GAAP financial measures, were \$392.8 million, \$8.72 per share and \$733.1 million, respectively. Management believes that these adjusted financial results are useful to both management and investors in the analysis of the Company s financial performance when comparing it to prior periods and that they provide investors with an important perspective on the current underlying operating performance of the business by isolating the impact of a non-cash adjustment related to a prior acquisition. A reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, is provided below for fiscal year 2005:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Year Ended September 30, 2005
Operating income	\$ 486,918
Goodwill impairment	130,235
Operating income before goodwill impairment	\$ 617,153
Net income	\$ 262,524
Goodwill impairment	130,235
Adjusted net income	\$ 392,759
EPS, diluted	\$ 5.87
Goodwill impairment	2.85
Adjusted EPS	\$ 8.72
EBITDA	\$ 602,896
Goodwill impairment	130,235
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 733,131

(ii) In October 2004, the Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) ratified the consensus on EITF Issue No. 04-8: The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings Per Share. EITF 04-8 requires that shares issuable upon conversion of contingently convertible debt instruments ( Co-Co s ) be included in diluted EPS computations using the if-converted method regardless of whether the issuer s stock price exceeds the contingent conversion price. Per share amounts have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the Company s March 2005 three-for-one stock split and the Company s adoption of EITF 04-8, as applicable.

(iii) EBIT and EBITDA: EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes) equals net income before (a) previously capitalized interest amortized to costs and expenses and (b) income taxes. EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) is calculated by adding depreciation and amortization for the period to EBIT. EBIT and EBITDA are not GAAP financial measures. EBIT and EBITDA should not be considered alternatives to net income determined in accordance with GAAP as an indicator of operating performance, nor an alternative to cash flows from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP as a measure of liquidity. Because some analysts and companies may not calculate EBIT and EBITDA in the same manner as Beazer Homes, the EBIT and EBITDA information presented above may not be comparable to similar presentations by others.

EBITDA is a measure commonly used in the homebuilding industry and is presented to assist readers in understanding the ability of our operations to generate cash in addition to the cash needed to service existing interest requirements and ongoing tax obligations. By providing a measure of available cash, management believes that this non-GAAP measure enables holders of our securities to better understand our cash performance and our ability to service our debt obligations as they currently exist and as additional indebtedness is incurred in the future. The measure is useful in budgeting and determining capital expenditure levels because it enables management to evaluate the amount of cash that will be available for discretionary spending.

A reconciliation of EBITDA and EBIT to cash (used)/provided by operations, the most directly comparable GAAP measure, is provided below for each period presented:

	Year Ended September 30,								
	2005		2004		2003		2002		2001
Net cash (used)/provided by									
operating activities	\$ (84,263)	\$	(73,719)	\$	(41,049)	\$	59,464	\$	(25,578)
Increase in inventory	566,603		410,525		328,893		152,990		153,668

Provision for income taxes	236,810	150,764	112,784	79,425	47,872
Deferred income tax benefit					
(provision)	54,631	22,740	(87)	6,613	7,906
Interest amortized to cost of sales	82,388	66,199	55,451	43,001	33,235
Increase in accounts payable and					
other liabilities	(217,412)	(120,976)	(96,224)	(71,781)	(38,721)
Change in book overdraft					(20,095)
Goodwill impairment	(130,235)				
Increase (decrease) in accounts					
receivable and other assets	108,081	21,399	13,105	(4,348)	16,837
Earnings in joint ventures, net of					
income distributions	(823)	1,561	1,597	2,338	63
Loss on early extinguishment of					
debt			(7,570)		(1,202)
Tax benefit from stock transactions	(11,551)	(8,127)	(11,502)	(12,235)	(3,837)
Other	(1,333)	(1,837)	(1,198)	(954)	(4,912)
EBITDA	602,896	468,529	354,200	254,513	165,236
Less depreciation and amortization	21,174	15,755	13,220	9,453	9,253
EBIT	\$ 581,722	\$ 452,774	\$ 340,980	\$ 245,060	\$ 155,983

(iv) All interest incurred is capitalized to inventory and subsequently amortized to cost of sales as homes are closed.

(v) Asset turnover = (total revenue divided by average total assets); EBIT margin = (EBIT divided by total revenues); Return on average assets = (EBIT divided by average total assets); Return on average capital = (EBIT divided by average total debt plus stockholders equity); Return on average equity = (net income divided by average stockholders equity).

#### Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### General

**Homebuilding.** We design, sell and build single-family homes in the following geographic regions which are presented as our reportable segments. Those remaining homebuilding operations not separately reportable as segments are included in Other:

West		Mid-Atlantic	Florida	Southeast	Other
	Arizona	Delaware	Florida	Georgia	Colorado
	California	Maryland		Nashville, TN	Indiana
	Nevada	New Jersey		North Carolina	Kentucky
	New Mexico	New York		South Carolina	Memphis, TN
		Pennsylvania			Mississippi
		Virginia			Ohio
		West Virginia			Texas

We intend, subject to market conditions, to expand in our current markets through focused product expansion and price point diversification and to consider entering new markets either through expansion from existing markets or through acquisitions of established regional homebuilders. Our business strategy emphasizes further increasing our market penetration in those markets in which we currently operate most profitably, while continuously reviewing opportunities to curtail or limit investment in less profitable markets.

Our homes are designed to appeal to homebuyers at various price points across various demographic segments, and are generally offered for sale in advance of their construction. Once a sales contract has been signed by both the homebuyer and Beazer Homes, we classify the transaction as a new order and include the home in backlog. Such sales contracts are usually subject to certain contingencies such as the buyer s ability to qualify for financing. We do not recognize revenue on homes in backlog until the sales are closed and the risk of ownership has been transferred to the buyer.

Crossmann Acquisition. In April 2002, we acquired Crossmann Communities, Inc. ( Crossmann ). We have included Crossmann s operating results in our consolidated financial statements since April 1, 2002. The aggregate merger consideration we paid consisted of approximately 3.9 million shares (pre-split) of our common stock (valued at approximately \$308.6 million) and \$191.6 million in cash.

Financial Services. Recognizing the homebuyer s desire to simplify the financing process, we originate mortgages on behalf of our customers through our subsidiary Beazer Mortgage Corporation, or Beazer Mortgage. Beazer Mortgage originates, processes and brokers mortgages to third party investors. Beginning in fiscal year 2006, Beazer Mortgage will finance certain of our mortgage lending activities under a warehouse line of credit or from general corporate funds prior to selling the loans and their servicing rights to third-party investors. We also provide title services to our homebuyers in many of our markets.

**Ancillary Businesses.** We have established several businesses to support our core homebuilding operations. We operate design studios in the majority of our markets. Through design studios, homebuyers can choose non-structural upgrades and options for their new home. We will continue to evaluate opportunities to provide other ancillary services to our homebuyers.

Critical Accounting Policies. Some of our critical accounting policies require the use of judgment in their application or require estimates of inherently uncertain matters. Although our accounting policies are in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, a change in the facts and circumstances of the underlying transactions could significantly change the application of the accounting policies and the resulting financial statement impact. Listed below are those policies that we believe are critical and require the use of complex judgment in their application.

Inventory Valuation

Housing projects and land held for development and sale are stated at cost (including direct construction costs, capitalized indirect costs, capitalized interest and real estate taxes) unless facts and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may be impaired. We assess these assets for recoverability in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. SFAS 144 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If these assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets.

These evaluations for impairment are significantly impacted by estimates of revenues, costs and expenses and other factors. Due to uncertainties in the estimation process, it is reasonably possible that actual results could differ from those estimates. Our assumptions about future home sales prices and volumes require significant judgment because the residential homebuilding industry is cyclical and is highly sensitive to changes in economic conditions. We continue to evaluate the carrying value of our inventory and, based on historical results, believe that our existing estimation process is accurate and do not anticipate the process to materially change in the future.

Goodwill

We test goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that more likely than not reduce the value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, we compare the fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. Each of our operating divisions is considered a reporting unit. The fair value of each reporting unit is determined based on expected discounted future cash flows. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, goodwill is considered impaired. If goodwill is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds implied fair value of that goodwill.

Inherent in our fair value determinations are certain judgments and estimates, including projections of future cash flows, the discount rate reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, the interpretation of current economic indicators and market valuations and our strategic plans with regard to our operations. A change in these underlying assumptions would cause a change in the results of the tests, which could cause the fair value of one or more reporting units to be less than their respective carrying amounts. In addition, to the extent that there are significant changes in market conditions or overall economic conditions or our strategic plans change, it is possible that our conclusion regarding goodwill impairment could change, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Our goodwill has been assigned to reporting units in different geographic locations. Therefore, potential goodwill impairment charges resulting from changes in local market and /or local economic conditions or changes in our strategic plans may be isolated to one or a few of our reporting units. However, our business is concentrated in the homebuilding industry and, as such, a widespread decline in the homebuilding industry or a

significant deterioration of economic conditions could have a negative impact on the estimated fair value of a larger number of our reporting units.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, we obtained an independent valuation of our reporting units and recorded a \$130.2 million non-cash, non-tax-deductible impairment charge to write off substantially all of

the goodwill allocated to certain underperforming markets in Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky and Charlotte, North Carolina. The goodwill had been recorded as a result of the April 2002 acquisition of Crossmann. The forecasts and valuations of the respective divisions, along with weaker than anticipated local economies, particularly in the Midwest markets, and severe price competition, particularly at entry level price points, led the Company to conclude the goodwill was impaired in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*.

While we believe that no additional impairment existed as of September 30, 2005, future economic or financial developments, including general interest rate increases or poor performance in either the national economy or individual local economies, could lead to impairment of goodwill, prospectively.

Homebuilding Revenues and Costs

Revenue from the sale of a home is recognized when the closing has occurred and the risk of ownership is transferred to the buyer. All associated homebuilding costs are charged to cost of sales in the period when the revenues from home closings are recognized. Homebuilding costs include land and land development costs (based upon an allocation of such costs, including costs to complete the development, or specific lot costs), home construction costs (including an estimate of costs, if any, to complete home construction), previously capitalized indirect costs (principally for construction supervision), capitalized interest and estimated warranty costs. Sales commissions are included in selling, general and administrative expense when the closing has occurred. All other costs are expensed as incurred.

Warranty Reserves

We provide a limited warranty (ranging from one to two years) of workmanship and materials with each of our homes. Such warranty covers defects in plumbing, electrical, heating, cooling and ventilating systems and construction defects. In addition, we provide a warranty (ranging from a minimum of ten years up to the period covered by the applicable statute of repose, whichever is greater) with each of our homes, covering construction defects only. Since we subcontract our homebuilding work to subcontractors who generally provide us with an indemnity and a certificate of insurance prior to receiving payments for their work, claims relating to workmanship and materials are generally the primary responsibility of our subcontractors.

Warranty reserves are included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. We record reserves covering our anticipated warranty expense for each home closed. Management reviews the adequacy of warranty reserves each reporting period, based on historical experience and management s estimate of the costs to remediate the claims, and adjusts these provisions accordingly. Factors that affect our warranty liability include the number of homes sold, historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims, and cost per claim. Based on historical results, we believe that our existing estimation process is accurate and do not anticipate the process to materially change in the future. Our warranty reserves at September 30, 2005 and 2004 include accruals for certain moisture intrusion issues. Our estimation process for such accruals is discussed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. While we believe that our warranty reserves at September 30, 2005 are adequate, there can be no assurances that historical data and trends will accurately predict our actual warranty costs or that future developments might not lead to a significant change in the reserve.

Seasonality and Quarterly Variability. Our homebuilding operating cycle generally reflects escalating new order activity in our second and third fiscal quarters and increased closings in our third and fourth fiscal quarters. We believe that the typical seasonality reflects the preference of homebuyers to shop for a new home in the spring, as well as the scheduling of construction to accommodate seasonal weather conditions.

The following chart presents certain quarterly operating data for our last twelve fiscal quarters and is indicative of this seasonality.

#### **New Orders (net of cancellations)**

	1stQ	2ndQ	3rdQ	4thQ
2005	3,545	5,239	5,202	4,937
2004	3,304	5,032	4,869	4,276
2003	3.141	4,579	4,734	3,862

#### Closings

	1stQ	2ndQ	3rdQ	4thQ
2005	3,574	3,602	4,631	6,339
2004	3,608	3,684	4,061	5,098
2003	3,482	3,297	3,616	5,014

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS:**

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, (\$ in thousands) 2005 2004 2003 **Revenues:** \$ 3,097,021 Homebuilding (1) 4,922,793 \$ 3,824,142 \$ Land and lot sales 34,527 44,702 39,069 Financial Services 54,310 51,140 57,152 Intercompany elimination (16,277)(12,875)(15,834)\$ Total 4,995,353 \$ 3,907,109 \$ 3,177,408 Cost of home construction and land sales: Homebuilding (1) \$ 3,810,123 3,069,976 2,515,015 Land and lot sales 29,454 42,631 34,854 Intercompany elimination (16,277)(12,875)(15,834)\$ 3,823,300 2,534,035 Total \$ 3,099,732 \$ **Gross Profit** \$ 1,172,053 \$ \$ 643,373 807,377 Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses: \$ Homebuilding 516,217 \$ 397,601 \$ 325,657 **Financial Services** 38,683 31,841 30,991 \$ \$ Total 554,900 \$ 429,442 356,648 As a percentage of total revenue: Gross Margin 23.5% 20.7% 20.2% SG&A - homebuilding 10.3% 10.2% 10.2% SG&A - Financial Services 0.8% 0.8% 1.0%

<sup>(1)</sup> Homebuilding revenues for fiscal 2004 reflect the recognition on a consolidated basis of \$4.1 million of revenues related to closings that occurred in fiscal 2003, but for which funding was not received until fiscal 2004. During fiscal 2003, revenues and related cost of sales were not recognized on those closings where the buyers initial investments were not sufficient to recognize profit at the time of closing. We received funding on such closings pursuant to commitments from bond authority programs in early fiscal 2004, at which time we recognized the revenues and related cost of sales.

**Revenues.** The increase in homebuilding revenues for fiscal 2005 compared to fiscal 2004 is the result of a 10.3% increase in the number of homes closed and a 16.8% increase in the average sales price per home closed. Home closings and average sales prices increased in most of our markets. During fiscal year 2005, we benefited from improved pricing power in each of our major markets and improved product mix, as well as a strong housing market. Also, our revenue growth reflects a key component of our growth strategy, to

continue to increase our market penetration through geographic diversification and price point diversification, expanding our product offerings to grow market share in existing markets.

The increase in homebuilding revenues for fiscal 2004 compared to fiscal 2003 is the result of a 6.8% increase in the number of homes closed and a 15.4% increase in the average sales price per home closed. The increases in both closings and average sales price were driven primarily by continued strong demand in most of our markets.

New Orders. New orders increased 8.2% to 18,923 units for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 compared to 17,481 units for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. The increase in new orders during fiscal 2005 compared to fiscal 2004 was driven by our Florida, Southeast and Mid-Atlantic regions and Texas and Colorado markets. New orders in our Indiana markets were negatively impacted by a soft local economy and decreased 5.6% from the prior fiscal year. Orders were down in our West region compared to a strong 2004, as community delays in Nevada and California resulted in fewer available sales opportunities during the second half of the fiscal year.

The 7.1% increase in new orders for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 from 16,316 units for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 reflected strong growth in our Florida and West regions. Orders were down in the Mid-Atlantic region compared to a strong 2003, including orders during 2003 for affordable housing units built through governmental programs. Weakness in our Indiana, Kentucky and Dallas markets resulted in decreased orders driven primarily by the soft economy in those markets. New orders also decreased in our Charlotte market as we closed out old Crossmann communities and began to reposition our product offerings.

The fundamentals that drive sales activity are numerous and varied. On a macro level, low unemployment and low mortgage interest rates each contribute to a positive general homebuilding market environment. Our ability to stay ahead of changing customer preferences and local demographic trends with our product mix and to maintain adequate product supply contributes locally to new order trends.

*Backlog.* The increases in unit backlog in each of the past three fiscal years from 7,426 units at September 30, 2003 to 8,456 units at September 30, 2004 and 9,233 units at September 30, 2005 reflect the favorable homebuilding environment driving new order activity, and our ability to gain market share and increase our product diversity. The average sales price of homes in backlog increased at September 30, 2005 to \$294,800 from \$264,400 at September 30, 2004 and \$221,500 at September 30, 2003. The increase in the overall average price in backlog is due to the improved pricing power in each of our markets and the increasingly favorable shift in our product mix. The increase in product mix reflects the expansion of our product offerings in many markets to include higher priced homes. As of September 30, the aggregate sales value of homes in backlog was \$2.7 billion for fiscal 2005, \$2.2 billion for fiscal 2004 and \$1.6 billion for fiscal 2003.

Gross Margin. Gross margin increased in fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004 as a result of a strong pricing environment and improved product mix as we continue to invest in markets with the highest impact on results and continue our execution of specific initiatives focused on maximizing profitability, such as national purchasing where our average rebate per home rose significantly. The fiscal 2005 gross margin improvement was achieved despite \$55.0 million in warranty expenses associated with construction defect claims from water intrusion in Indiana compared to

\$43.9 million of similar expense in 2004.

Gross margin increased in fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003 as a result of a strong pricing environment driven by robust demand and constraints on supply in many of our markets, combined with the realization of cost savings arising from the execution of our profit improvement initiatives. Fiscal 2004 gross margins also benefited from a higher percentage of revenues from our West and Mid-Atlantic regions, where margins are high, relative to other markets. The fiscal 2004 margin improvement was achieved despite \$43.9 million in warranty expenses associated with construction defect claims from water intrusion in Indiana.

We executed several land sales during the past three fiscal years. We realized gross profits of \$5.1 million, \$2.1 million and \$4.2 million on these land sales in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. Selling, general and administrative expense (SG&A) totaled \$554.9 million in fiscal 2005, \$429.4 million in fiscal 2004 and \$356.6 million in fiscal 2003. The increase in SG&A expense during the periods presented is primarily related to the costs associated with a number of strategic company-wide programs, an increase in sales commissions as a result of increased revenues and the cost of a larger infrastructure necessary to meet the demands related to the growth in our business. As a percentage of total revenue, SG&A expenses were 11.1% in fiscal 2005, 11.0% in fiscal 2004 and 11.2% in fiscal 2003.

**Fiscal 2005 goodwill impairment charge.** During fiscal year 2005, we recorded a \$130.2 million non-cash, non-tax deductible goodwill impairment charge to write-off substantially all of the goodwill allocated to certain underperforming markets in Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky and Charlotte, North Carolina.

#### Segment Analysis (\$ in thousands)

	Fiscal Year Ended September 30,									
		2005	Change		2004	Change		2003		
West										
New orders, net		5,673	(10.3)%		6,323	32.2%		4,782		
Closings		5,686	4.1		5,460	23.6		4,417		
Backlog units		2,994	(0.4)		3,007	40.3		2,144		
Average sales price per home closed	\$	342.4	21.5	\$	281.9	18.9	\$	237.1		
Homebuilding revenue	\$	1,946,822	26.5	\$	1,539,439	47.0	\$	1,047,230		
Land & lot sale revenue	\$		N/A	\$	14,431	N/A	\$			
Gross profit	\$	548,505	45.9	\$	375,891	57.0	\$	239,485		
Operating income	\$	421,968	50.2	\$	280,898	66.1	\$	169,064		
Mid-Atlantic										
New orders, net		2,016	33.2		1,513	(8.6)		1,655		
Closings		1,870	18.1		1,583	27.9		1,238		
Backlog units		1,193	13.9		1,047	(6.3)		1,117		
Average sales price per home closed	\$	449.6	27.2	\$	353.5	7.6	\$	328.5		
Homebuilding revenue	\$	840,714	50.2	\$	559,596	37.6	\$	406,708		
Land & lot sale revenue	\$	7,369	N/M	\$	150	(67.4)	\$	460		
Gross profit	\$	277,649	<b>74.8</b>	\$	158,860	50.7	\$	105,429		
Operating income	\$	206,627	84.9	\$	111,763	57.8	\$	70,820		
Florida										
New orders, net		2,295	11.4		2,061	33.1		1,549		
Closings		2,236	38.4		1,616	10.4		1,464		
Backlog units		1,259	4.9		1,200	58.9		755		
Average sales price per home closed	\$	267.6	10.8	\$	241.6	2.1	\$	236.7		
Homebuilding revenue	\$	598,454	53.3	\$	390,365	12.7	\$	346,502		
Land & lot sale revenue	\$	496	N/M	\$	15	N/M	\$	7,349		
Gross profit	\$	158,229	68.1	\$	94,125	36.5	\$	68,941		
Operating income	\$	97,263	90.3	\$	51,105	50.6	\$	33,943		

	Fiscal Year Ended September 30,									
		2005	Change		2004	Change		2003		
Southeast										
New orders, net		4,372	17.3%		3,726	(5.6)%		3,949		
Closings		3,995	4.2		3,833	7.7		3,560		
Backlog units		1,754	27.4		1,377	(7.2)		1,484		
Average sales price per home closed	\$	187.5	13.4	\$	165.4	10.0	\$	150.4		
Homebuilding revenue	\$	748,912	18.1	\$	634,028	18.4	\$	535,332		
Land & lot sale revenue	\$	12,118	(0.7)	\$	12,207	310.3	\$	2,975		
Gross profit	\$	131,678	12.4	\$	117,109	24.8	\$	93,850		
Operating income	\$	49,098	6.8	\$	45,952	35.8	\$	33,845		
Other homebuilding										
New orders, net		4,567	18.4		3,858	(11.9)		4,381		
Closings		4,359	10.1		3,959	(16.3)		4,730		
Backlog units		2,033	11.4		1,825	(5.2)		1,926		
Average sales price per home closed	\$	180.8	2.7	\$	176.0	8.8	\$	161.8		
Homebuilding revenue	\$	787,891	12.4	\$	700,714	(8.0)	\$	761,249		
Land & lot sale revenue	\$	14,544	(18.7)	\$	17,899	(36.7)	\$	28,285		
Gross profit	\$	110,440	(6.0)	\$	117,548	(15.4)	\$	138,991		
Operating income	\$	5,902	<b>(79.9)</b>	\$	29,425	(35.9)	\$	45,930		
Financial Services										
Number of mortgage originations		11,183	16.1		9,633	(5.0)		10,139		
Capture rate		62%	5.1		59%	(10.6)		66%		
Revenues	\$	54,310	6.2	\$	51,140	(10.5)	\$	57,152		
Operating income	\$	15,627	(19.0)	\$	19,299	(26.2)	\$	26,161		

West: Homebuilding revenues increased over the past two fiscal years due to increased closings and increased average sales prices in the majority of the markets in this segment, as we benefited from improved pricing power and exceptionally high demand in each of these markets. The increases in the majority of markets from fiscal 2004 to fiscal 2005, were offset slightly by decreased closings in Northern California related to delayed community openings. Gross margins were 22.9% for fiscal 2003, 24.2% for fiscal 2004 and 28.2% for fiscal 2005. Operating margin as a percentage of total revenues for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 was 21.7%, compared to 18.1% for fiscal 2004 and 16.1% for fiscal 2003. The increase in gross and operating margins is primarily due to the aforementioned increase in homebuilding revenue which resulted in an extremely high leverage of fixed overhead, higher average sales prices and our specific initiatives, such as national purchasing where our average rebate per home rose significantly. In addition, fiscal 2005 margins benefited from a higher number of closings related to new communities and reduced sales incentives compared to the prior year due, respectively, to well purchased land and high demand.

*Mid-Atlantic:* Increased closings and higher average sales prices due to healthy demand and continued constraints on the supply of available housing resulted in increased homebuilding revenues over the past two fiscal years. Gross and operating margins for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 were 32.7% and 24.4%, respectively compared to 28.4% and 20.0% for fiscal 2004 and 25.9% and 17.4% for fiscal 2003, respectively. The increase in both gross and operating margins from fiscal 2003 to fiscal 2004 and from fiscal 2004 to fiscal 2005 is primarily due to the aforementioned strong pricing environment and the related increased contribution from higher homebuilding revenues, which resulted in an extremely high leverage of fixed overhead.

Florida: Homebuilding revenues increased over the past two fiscal years due to increased closings and

increased average sales prices. Gross margins increased to 26.4% for fiscal 2005, from 24.1% for fiscal 2004 and 19.5% for fiscal 2003, as we benefited from well-purchased land positions. Operating margin for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 was 16.2% compared to 13.1% for fiscal 2004 and 9.6% for fiscal 2003. The increase in gross and operating margins from fiscal 2004 to fiscal 2005 is primarily due to the aforementioned increase in homebuilding revenue, which resulted in an extremely high leverage of fixed overhead, offset slightly by lower than average margins in our Tampa market due to incentive pricing offered to stimulate traffic during the opening of a new mid-rise condominium community. Fiscal 2004 margins improved over fiscal 2003 margins due to increased revenues and the impact of increasing the percentage of land owned versus optioned which resulted in lower land cost basis.

Southeast: Homebuilding revenues increased in a majority of the markets within the Southeast over the past two fiscal years driven by increased closings and increased average sales prices in our Georgia and Nashville, Tennessee markets and increased average sales prices in our Carolina markets. Revenues and margins in Charlotte have been negatively impacted by entry level housing competition and difficulties encountered in our integration of the Crossmann communities in this market. Margins in fiscal 2004 and 2005 have been negatively impacted as we reposition our product offerings and reduce our investment in the entry-level and townhouse sectors of the Charlotte market. New product offerings in Georgia and the South Carolina markets, including our Atlantic Station community in Georgia, and an improved product mix throughout the region contributed toward the increased average sales prices. Gross and operating margins for fiscal 2005 were 17.3% and 6.5% compared to 18.1% and 7.1% for fiscal 2004, respectively. The decrease in fiscal 2005 margins related to the impact of our repositioning efforts in Charlotte and increased warranty and legal related costs in South Carolina. Fiscal 2003 gross and operating margins were 17.4% and 6.3%. The increase in margins from fiscal 2003 to fiscal 2004 related to increased revenues.

Other homebuilding: The increase in homebuilding revenues in fiscal 2005 reflected strong revenue growth compared to a weaker market in 2004 in our Colorado, Texas and Ohio markets. This revenue growth was partially offset by continued weakness in our Indiana, Kentucky and Memphis, Tennessee markets. Gross margins for our other homebuilding markets decreased from 17.6% for fiscal 2003 to 16.4% for fiscal 2004 and 13.8% for fiscal 2005. Operating margins decreased from 5.8% for fiscal 2003 to 4.1% for fiscal 2004 and 0.7% for fiscal 2005. The decrease in fiscal 2005 when compared to fiscal 2004 was primarily due to additional warranty costs in our Kentucky market and repositioning costs including higher discounting related to the implementation of new home and subdivision designs in Indiana and Ohio. Gross margins have remained relatively flat in our Texas and Colorado markets. The decrease in margins for fiscal 2004 when compared to fiscal 2003 was primarily due to difficulties/challenges associated with transitioning and updating product designs and the related cost and lost revenue opportunities related to the implementation of new home and subdivision designs in Indiana and Ohio.

Financial Services: Our capture rate (the percentage of mortgages we originate as a percentage of homes closed) of mortgages originated for customers of our homebuilding business, which is the most significant source of revenue in this segment, increased in fiscal year 2005 from 2004 due primarily to our continued focus on serving our customer base. Our capture rate during fiscal 2004 decreased from 2003 due primarily to increased competition for new purchase mortgages driven by a slowdown in the refinance market. Our capture rate is based on total closings. All costs related to Financial Services are included in SG&A. Operating income for Financial Services decreased over the past two years due primarily to higher price concessions and incentives offered in response to competitive pressures in the refinancing market.

Corporate and unallocated: Corporate and unallocated costs totaled \$309.6 million for fiscal 2005, \$160.5 million for fiscal 2004 and \$100.6 million for fiscal 2003. Fiscal 2005 costs include \$130.2 million for the aforementioned goodwill impairment charge and \$55.0 million related to the warranty expenses associated with construction defect claims from water intrusion in Indiana. Fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2003 included \$43.9 million and \$15.5 million, respectively, of warranty expenses associated with water intrusion related claims in Indiana. Excluding the goodwill impairment and these warranty expenses, corporate costs as a percentage of total revenues were 2.5% for fiscal 2005, 3.0% for fiscal 2004 and 2.7% for fiscal 2003.

**Income Taxes.** Our effective tax rate was 47.4% for fiscal year 2005, 39.0% for fiscal year 2004 and 39.5% for fiscal year 2003. The effective tax rate for 2005 was impacted by the \$130.2 million non-cash, non-tax deductible goodwill impairment charge discussed above. The following table reconciles our effective tax rate reported in accordance with GAAP and our adjusted effective tax rate without this goodwill impairment charge:

	Fiscal Year Ended September 30,					
	2005	2004	2003			
Effective tax rate	47.4%	39.0%	39.5%			
Impact of non-cash, non-deductible						
goodwill impairment	(9.8)%					
Adjusted effective tax rate	37.61%	39.0%	39.5%			

The adjusted effective tax rate presented above is a non-GAAP financial measure. Management believes that this non-GAAP measure is useful to both management and investors in the analysis of the Company s financial performance when comparing it to prior periods and that it provides investors with an important perspective on the current underlying effective tax rate of the business by isolating the impact of the non-cash, non-tax deductible goodwill impairment charge. The decrease in adjusted effective tax rate between years is primarily due to changes in income concentrations in the various states, the timing of certain state tax initiatives and a \$4.0 million favorable tax adjustment recorded in fiscal year 2005. The principal difference between our effective rate and the U.S. federal statutory rate is due to state income taxes incurred.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. We are exposed to fluctuations in interest rates. From time to time, we enter into derivative agreements to manage interest costs and hedge against risks associated with fluctuating interest rates. We do not enter into or hold derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. During the year ended September 30, 2001 we entered into interest rate swap agreements (the Swap Agreements) to effectively fix the variable interest rate on \$100 million of floating rate debt. The Swap Agreements matured in December 2004. The Company has no derivative instruments as of September 30, 2005.

The Swap Agreements were designated as cash flow hedges and recorded at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets, and the related gains or losses are deferred in stockholders—equity, net of taxes, as a component of other comprehensive income as of September 30, 2004. We reclassified \$610,000, net of taxes of \$354,000, from other comprehensive loss to interest expense upon maturation of the Swap Agreements in fiscal 2005.

Financial Condition and Liquidity. Our sources of cash liquidity include, but are not limited to, cash from operations, amounts available under credit facilities, proceeds from senior notes and other bank borrowings, the issuance of equity securities and other external sources of funds. Our short-term and long-term liquidity depend primarily upon our level of net income, working capital management (accounts receivable, accounts payable and other liabilities) and bank borrowings. We believe that available short-term and long-term capital resources are sufficient to fund capital expenditures and working capital requirements, scheduled debt and dividend payments, and interest and tax obligations for the next twelve months. However, any material variance of our operating results or land acquisitions from our projections or investments in or acquisitions of businesses could require us to obtain additional equity or debt financing. We plan to use cash generated to invest in growing the business, to fund land acquisitions and operations, pay dividends and to repurchase our common stock. We have targeted using \$200-\$250 million for repurchases of our common stock in fiscal 2006, subject to market conditions and other factors. We will fund this share repurchase program (discussed further below) by limiting or curtailing operations in underperforming markets,

reinvesting in higher margin markets and accelerating cash generation through increased profitability.

At September 30, 2005, we had cash of \$297.1 million, compared to \$320.9 million at September 30, 2004. The decrease in cash was primarily due to the increase in inventory related to higher year end backlog in units and average prices and increased land bank. Our net cash used in operating activities for the year ended September 30, 2005 was \$84.3 million compared to \$73.7 million in fiscal 2004 and \$41.0 million in fiscal 2003, as increased inventory supply for future growth more than offset increased net income.

Based on the applicable year s closings, as of September 30, 2005, our land bank had grown to include a 5.9 year supply of land/lots for future development compared to a 5.5 year supply as of September 30, 2004 and a 5.2 year supply as of September 30, 2003. Net cash used in investing activities was \$48.5 million for fiscal 2005 compared to \$30.5 million for fiscal 2004 and \$6.6 million for fiscal 2003, as we invested in unconsolidated joint ventures to support our land acquisition strategy.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$109.0 million in fiscal 2005 and \$351.7 million in fiscal 2004 compared to a net use of cash of \$4.0 million in fiscal 2003. In fiscal 2004 and 2005, proceeds from the senior note issuances (discussed below) and stock option exercises were somewhat offset by other debt repayments, common share repurchases and dividend payments. In fiscal 2003, proceeds from our term loan were used to pay off an existing term loan and certain senior notes (as discussed below).

At September 30, 2005 we had the following long-term debt (in thousands):

Debt	Due	Amount
4 5/8% Convertible Senior Notes	June 2024	\$ 180,000
6 7/8% Senior Notes	July 2015	350,000
6 1/2% Senior Notes	November 2013	200,000
8 3/8% Senior Notes	April 2012	350,000
8 5/8% Senior Notes	May 2011	200,000
Other Notes Payable	Various Dates	46,054
Unamortized discount		(4,118)
Total		\$ 1,321,936

In August 2005, we replaced our former credit facility with a new \$750 million unsecured credit facility (the Credit Facility) that matures in August 2009. The former credit facility included a \$550 million four-year revolving credit facility and a \$200 million four-year term loan which would have matured in June 2008. The Credit Facility is expandable to \$1 billion, includes a \$50 million swing line commitment, and bears interest at a fluctuating rate based on the type of loan elected (ranging from 3.25%-6.75% at September 30, 2005). The Credit Facility contains various operating and financial covenants. Substantially all of our significant subsidiaries are guarantors of the obligations under the Credit Facility. There were no amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility at September 30, 2005.

We fulfill our short-term cash requirements with cash generated from our operations and funds available from our Credit Facility. Available borrowings under the Credit Facility are limited to certain percentages of homes under contract, unsold homes, substantially improved lots and accounts receivable. At September 30, 2005, we had available borrowings of \$530.1 million under the Credit Facility.

In June 2005, the Company issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 6 7/8% Senior Notes due July 2015 (the 6 7/8% Senior Notes). The 6 7/8% Senior Notes were issued at a price of 99.096% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs). In July 2005, the Company issued an additional \$50 million aggregate principal amount of the 6 7/8% Senior Notes. The additional \$50 million of 6 7/8% Senior Notes was issued at a price of 99.976% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs) and accrue interest from June 8, 2005 (the date of the original issuance of the 6 7/8% Senior Notes). Interest on the 6 7/8% Senior Notes is payable semiannually. Beazer Homes may, at the Company s option, redeem the 6 7/8% Senior Notes in whole or in part at any time after July 2008 under certain conditions at specified redemption prices.

In June 2004, we issued \$180 million aggregate principal amount of 4 5/8% Convertible Senior Notes due 2024 (the Convertible Senior Notes). In August 2004 we filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) covering resales of the Convertible Senior Notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion. The Convertible Senior Notes were issued at a price of 100% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs). Interest on the Convertible Senior Notes is payable semiannually beginning December 2004. The notes are convertible by holders into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion rate of 19.44 (post split) shares of Beazer Homes common stock per \$1,000 principal amount (subject to adjustment for customary reasons), representing an initial conversion price of \$51.44 per share (post split) of common stock, under the certain circumstances. We may, at our option, redeem for cash the Convertible Senior Notes in whole or in part at any time on or after June 15, 2009 at certain specified redemption prices. Holders have the right to require us to purchase all or any portion of the Convertible Senior Notes for cash on June 15, 2011, June 15, 2014 and June 15, 2019 or if we undergo a fundamental change, as defined.

During fiscal 2003, we retired our \$100 million 8 7/8% Senior Notes due in 2008 (the 8 7/8% Senior Notes). The 8 7/8% Senior Notes were redeemed at 104.438% of the principal amount, plus accrued interest. As a result of the redemption of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes, we recorded a pre-tax charge of \$7.6 million, which includes the write off of previously capitalized fees.

The Convertible Senior Notes, the 6 7/8% Senior Notes, the 6 1/2 % Senior Notes, the 8 3/8% Senior Notes and the 8 5/8% Senior Notes (collectively the Senior Notes) were all issued in public offering or private placements pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Senior Notes are unsecured obligations ranking *pari passu* with all other existing and future senior indebtedness. Excluding the 8 3/8% Senior Notes issued in September 2002 which were used partially to fund the cash portion of the Crossmann acquisition and to repay Crossmann s outstanding net indebtedness, the Senior Notes were generally used to pay off borrowings under existing credit facilities, fund land acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. Substantially all of our significant subsidiaries are full and unconditional guarantors of the Senior Notes and our obligations under the Credit Facility, and are jointly and severally liable for obligations under the Senior Notes and the Credit Facility. Each guarantor subsidiary is a 100% owned subsidiary of Beazer Homes. Neither the Credit Facility nor the Senior Notes restrict distributions to Beazer Homes by its subsidiaries. At September 30, 2005 we were in compliance with all covenants related to the Credit Facility and Senior Notes. At September 30, 2005, under the most restrictive covenants of each indenture governing Senior Notes, approximately \$359 million of our retained earnings were available for cash dividends and for share repurchases. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the Senior Notes.

Beazer Mortgage provides mortgage origination services only, and generally does not retain or service the mortgages that it originates. Through September 30, 2005, these mortgages were generally funded by one of a network of mortgage lenders. Beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2006, Beazer Mortgage finances certain of our mortgage lending activities with borrowings under a warehouse line of credit or from general corporate funds prior to selling the loans and their servicing rights shortly after origination to third-party investors. This warehouse line was effective in January 2006. In addition, we provide title services to our homebuyers in many of our markets.

In February 2003, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase plan authorizing the purchase of up to three million shares (split adjusted) of our outstanding common stock. During fiscal 2005, we did not repurchase any shares in the open market; however, 142,459 shares were

surrendered to us by employees in payment of minimum tax obligations upon the vesting of restricted stock under our stock incentive plans. We valued the stock at the market price on the date of surrender, for an aggregate value of \$8.1 million, or approximately \$57 per share. During fiscal 2004, we repurchased 539,400 shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$17.5 million or approximately \$33 per share pursuant to the plan. During fiscal 2003, we repurchased 384,000 shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$6.9 million or approximately \$18 per share pursuant to the plan. At September 30, 2005, we are authorized to purchase approximately two million additional shares pursuant to the plan.

On November 18, 2005, as part of an acceleration of our comprehensive plan to enhance stockholder value, our Board of Directors authorized an increase of our stock repurchase plan to ten million shares of our common stock. Shares may be purchased for cash in the open market, on the NYSE or in privately negotiated transactions. During November 2005, we purchased 778,600 shares with an aggregate purchase price of \$50.4 million pursuant to this plan. We intend to enter into a plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to execute a portion of the share repurchase program, supplemented with opportunistic purchases in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

For fiscal 2005, the Company paid quarterly cash dividends aggregating \$0.33 per common share, or a total of approximately \$13.9 million. For fiscal 2004, the Company paid quarterly cash dividends aggregating \$0.13 per common share, adjusted for the stock split, or a total of approximately \$5.5 million. No dividends were paid during fiscal year 2003.

We attempt to control half or more of our land supply through options. At September 30, 2005, we controlled 106,394 lots (a 5.9-year supply based on fiscal 2005 closings) as follows:

Land Bank		
	Lots	Percentage
Owned	48,064	45%
Optioned	58,330	55%
Total	106,394	100%

We expect to exercise all of our option contracts with specific performance obligations and, subject to market conditions, substantially all of our option contracts without specific performance obligations. As a result of the flexibility that these options provide us, upon a change in market conditions we may renegotiate the terms of the options prior to their ultimate exercise.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Commitments. We acquire certain lots by means of option contracts. Option contracts generally require the payment of cash for the right to acquire lots during a specified period of time at a certain price. Under option contracts, both with and without specific performance provisions, purchase of the properties is contingent upon satisfaction of certain requirements by us and the sellers. Our obligation with respect to options with specific performance provisions is included on our consolidated balance sheets in other liabilities. Under option contracts without specific performance obligations, our liability is generally limited to forfeiture of the non-refundable deposits, letters of credit and other non-refundable amounts incurred, which aggregated approximately \$332.4 million at September 30, 2005. This amount includes non-refundable letters of credit of approximately \$35.0 million. Below is a summary of amounts, net of cash deposits, committed under all options at September 30, 2005 (*in thousands*):

	]	ggregate Exercise Price of Options
Options with specific performance	\$	10,450
Options without specific performance		2,821,008
Total options	\$	2,831,458

We expect to exercise all of our option contracts with specific performance obligations and, subject to market conditions, substantially all of our option contracts without specific performance obligations. Various factors, some of which are beyond our control, such as market conditions, weather conditions and the timing of the completion of development activities, can have a significant impact on the timing of option exercises. Under their current terms, and assuming no significant changes in market conditions or other factors, we expect to exercise our land options as follows (*in thousands*):

Years Ending September 30,	
2006	\$ 548,804
2007	858,046
Thereafter	1,424,608
Total	\$ 2,831,458

We have historically funded the exercise of land options through a combination of operating cash flows and borrowings under our credit facilities. We expect these sources to continue to be adequate to fund anticipated future option exercises. Therefore, we do not anticipate that the exercise of our land options will have a material adverse effect on our liquidity.

Certain of our option contracts are with sellers who are deemed to be Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) under FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51 (FIN 46R). We have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of certain of these option contracts. Our risk is generally limited to the option deposits that we pay, and creditors of the sellers generally have no recourse to the general credit of the Company. Although we do not have legal title to the optioned land, for those option contracts for which we are the primary beneficiary, we are required to consolidate the land under option at fair value. We believe that the exercise prices of our option contracts approximate their fair value. Our consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2005 and 2004 reflect consolidated inventory not owned of \$230.1 million and \$254.8 million, respectively. Obligations related to consolidated inventory not owned totaled \$166.2 million at September 30, 2005 and \$219.0 million at September 30, 2004. The difference between the balances of consolidated inventory not owned and obligations related to consolidated inventory not owned. The above disclosures of amounts committed under options include our obligations related to consolidated inventory not owned.

We participate in a number of land development joint ventures in which we have less than a controlling interest. We enter into joint ventures in order to acquire attractive land positions, to manage our risk profile and to leverage our capital base. Our joint ventures are typically entered into with developers and other homebuilders to develop finished lots for sale to the joint venture s members and other third parties. We account for our interest in these joint ventures under the equity method. Our consolidated balance sheets include investments in joint ventures totaling \$78.6 million and \$44.7 million at September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Our joint ventures typically obtain secured acquisition, development and construction financing. At September 30, 2005, our unconsolidated joint ventures had borrowings outstanding totaling \$598.4 million. In some instances, we and our joint venture partners have provided varying levels of guarantees of debt of our unconsolidated joint ventures. At September 30, 2005, we had repayment guarantees of \$10.4 million and loan-to-value maintenance guarantees of \$84.6 million of debt of unconsolidated joint ventures (see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

The following summarizes our aggregate contractual commitments at September 30, 2005:

	Payments Due by Period (in thousands)									
			I	Less than 1					N	Iore than 5
Contractual Obligations		Total		Year		1-3 Years		3-5 Years		Years
Senior Notes and other notes payable	\$	1,326,054	\$	1,705	\$		\$	44,349	\$	1,280,000
Interest commitments under Senior Notes										
and other notes payable (1)		801,428		95,438		191,035		188,590		326,365
Operating leases		57,205		13,617		22,158		13,224		8,206
Purchase obligations (2)		10,450		5,591		3,523		1,336		
Total	\$	2,195,137	\$	116,351	\$	216,716	\$	247,499	\$	1,614,571

<sup>(1)</sup> Interest on variable rate obligations is based on rates effective as of September 30, 2005.

We had outstanding letters of credit and performance bonds of approximately \$47.9 million and \$470.1 million, respectively, at September 30, 2005 related principally to our obligations to local governments to construct roads and other improvements in various developments in addition to the letters of credit of approximately \$44.9 million relating to our land option contracts discussed above. We do not believe that any such letters of credit or bonds are likely to be drawn upon.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents obligations under option contracts with specific performance provisions, net of cash deposits.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements.** In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 151, *Inventory Costs, an Amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4.* SFAS 151 provides clarification of the accounting for abnormal amounts of freight, handling costs, and wasted material and requires that these items be recognized as current period charges. SFAS 151 is effective for inventory costs incurred beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS 151 will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123 (Revised), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123 (R)), which will be effective in the first quarter of our fiscal year 2006. This statement will eliminate the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and will require instead that compensation expense be recognized based on the fair value on the date of the grant. The recognition of compensation expense for stock options will reduce net income in the future. We have decided that we will use the modified prospective method for our adoption of SFAS 123(R), and anticipate that the related incremental compensation expense for existing unvested and newly granted stock options that will be recognized during fiscal 2006 will range from \$4 million to \$6 million depending on the number of stock options granted as well as the assumptions used to value them, including stock price. SFAS 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under current literature. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods after adoption.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, which will be effective in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. This statement addresses the retrospective application of such changes and corrections and will be followed if and when necessary. We do not anticipate adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Outlook. The current sales environment in many markets is more difficult than previously anticipated. In addition, as we proactively optimize our capital base and are exiting those markets and selling certain land positions returning less than our overall cost of capital, we do incur some incremental period costs. As such, as of April 27, 2006 we broadened our range for fiscal 2006 diluted earnings per share to \$10.00 - \$10.50 per share to explicitly address these factors. This represents growth over adjusted 2005 earnings per share of \$8.72 or 15-20%. This outlook assumes no further deterioration in new order trends during the remaining spring and summer months of fiscal 2006.

We remain committed to our stated goal of enhancing margins and profitability by executing our Profitable Growth Strategy. As part of this strategy, we will continue to reallocate capital to those investments which will yield the highest returns, and return capital to our stockholders through our share repurchase program while maintaining a sound financial position.

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, This annual report on Form 10-K/A contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events, and it is possible that the results described in this annual report will not be achieved. These forward-looking statements can generally be identified by the use of anticipate, intend, statements that include words such as estimate, project, believe, expect, plan, foresee. target or other similar words or phrases. All forward-looking statements are based upon information available to us on the date of this annual report. Except as may be required under applicable law, we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside of our control, that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements, including, among other things, the matters discussed in this annual report in the sections captioned Outlook and Financial Condition and Liquidity. Additional information about factors that could lead to material changes in performance is contained Item 1. Business Risk Factors. Such factors may include:

economic changes nationally or in local markets;

volatility of mortgage interest rates and inflation;

increased competition;

shortages of skilled labor or raw materials used in the production of houses;

increased prices for labor, land and raw materials used in the production of houses;

increased land development costs on projects under development;

the cost and availability of insurance, including the availability of insurance for the presence of mold;

the impact of construction defect and home warranty claims;

a material failure on the part of Trinity Homes LLC to satisfy the conditions of the class action settlement agreement;

any delays in reacting to changing consumer preference in home design;

terrorist acts and other acts of war;

changes in consumer confidence;

delays or difficulties in implementing initiatives to reduce production and overhead cost structure;

delays in land development or home construction resulting from adverse weather conditions;

potential delays or increased costs in obtaining necessary permits as a result of changes to, or complying with, laws, regulations, or governmental policies and possible penalties for failure to comply with such laws, regulations and governmental policies;

changes in accounting policies, standards, guidelines or principles, as may be adopted by regulatory agencies as well as the FASB; or

other factors over which the Company has little or no control.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and, except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such factors.

Item 7(a). Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to a number of market risks in the ordinary course of business. Our primary market risk exposure relates to fluctuations in interest rates. We do not believe that our exposure in this area is material to cash flows or earnings. As of September 30, 2005, we had \$46.1 million of variable rate debt outstanding. Based on our fiscal 2005 average outstanding borrowings under our variable rate debt, a one-percentage point increase in interest rates would negatively impact our annual pre-tax earnings by less than \$400,000.

The estimated fair value of our fixed rate debt at September 30, 2005 was \$1,310.6 million, compared to a carrying value of \$1,275.9 million, due primarily to the impact of our increased stock price on the fair value of our 4 5/8% Convertible Senior Notes. In addition, the effect of a hypothetical one-percentage point decrease in interest rates would increase the estimated fair value of the fixed rate debt instruments from \$1,310.6 million to \$1,408.0 million at September 30, 2005.

### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

### Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

### Consolidated Statements of Income

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	2005	Year En	ded September 30, 2004	2003
Total revenue	\$ 4,995,353	\$	3,907,109	\$ 3,177,408
Costs and expenses:	2 022 200		2 000 722	2.524.025
Home construction and land sales	3,823,300		3,099,732	2,534,035
Selling, general and administrative	554,900		429,442	356,648
Goodwill impairment	130,235			
Expenses related to retirement of debt				7,570
Operating income	486,918		377,935	279,155
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	5,021		1,561	1,597
Other income, net	7,395		7,079	4,777
Income before income taxes	499,334		386,575	285,529
Provision for income taxes	236,810		150,764	112,784
Net income	\$ 262,524	\$	235,811	\$ 172,745
Weighted average number of shares:				
Basic	40,468		39,879	38,658
Diluted	45,634		42,485	40,541
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 6.49	\$	5.91	\$ 4.47
Diluted	\$ 5.87	\$	5.59	\$ 4.26
Cash dividends per share	\$ 0.33	\$	0.13	\$
•				

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

### Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

### Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

		Septem	ber 30,	2004
ASSETS		2005		2004
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	297,098	\$	320,880
Accounts receivable	Ф	161,880	Þ	70,574
Inventory		101,000		70,374
Owned inventory		2,671,082		2,089,330
Consolidated inventory not owned		230,083		254,765
Total Inventory		2,901,165		2.344.095
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures		78,571		2,344,093
Deferred tax assets		101,329		47,052
Property, plant and equipment, net		28,367		24,671
Goodwill		121,368		251,603
Other assets		80.738		59,407
Total Assets	\$	3,770,516	\$	3,163,030
Total Assets	Ψ	3,770,310	Ψ	3,103,030
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Trade accounts payable	\$	141,623	\$	123,287
Other liabilities		636,106		437,608
Obligations related to consolidated inventory not owned		166,163		219,042
Term Loan		ŕ		200,000
Senior Notes (net of discounts of \$4,118 and \$1,095, respectively)		1,275,882		928,905
Other notes payable		46,054		22,067
Total Liabilities		2,265,828		1,930,909
Stockholders Equity:				
Preferred stock (par value \$.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued)				
Common stock (par value \$0.001 and \$.01 per share, 80,000,000 and 30,000,000				
(pre-split) shares authorized, 41,844,414 and 53,605,047 issued, 41,701,955 and				
41,191,419 outstanding)		42		54
Paid in capital		534,523		593,874
Retained earnings		990,341		741,701
Treasury stock, at cost (142,459 and 12,413,628 shares)		(8,092)		(88,150)
Unearned compensation		(12,126)		(14,748)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss				(610)
Total Stockholders Equity		1,504,688		1,232,121
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$	3,770,516	\$	3,163,030

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

### Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

### Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity

(\$ in thousands)

	Preferred Stock	Comn Stoc		Paid in Capital	Retained Earnings		reasury Stock	Unearned Compensation	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total
Balance, September 30,	Stock	Sioc	.N	Сарпаі	Larinings		Stock	Compensation	Loss	Total
2002	\$	\$	50 \$	535,577	\$ 338,604	\$	(63,679) \$	(6,260) 5	(4,777	) \$ 799,515
Comprehensive income:										
Net income					172,745					172,745
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps, net										
of tax of \$871									1,334	1,334
Total comprehensive income										174,079
Amortization of										,
unearned compensation								3,984		3,984
Exercises of stock										
options (1,387,518										
shares)			2	9,803						9,805
Issuance of bonus stock										
(291,693 shares)				1,735						1,735
Tax benefit from stock										
transactions				11,502						11,502
Issuance of restricted										
stock (646,926 shares)			1	13,281				(13,282)		
Purchase of treasury										
stock (384,000 shares)							(6,925)			(6,925)
Other				294				(294)		
Balance, September 30,										
2003			53	572,192	511,349		(70,604)	(15,852)	(3,443	993,695
Comprehensive income:										
Net income					235,811					235,811
Unrealized gain on										
interest rate swaps, net										
of tax of \$1,849									2,833	2,833
Total comprehensive										
income										238,644
Dividends paid					(5,459)	)				(5,459)
Amortization of										
unearned compensation								7,381		7,381
Change in fair value of										
unearned compensation				753				(753)		
Exercises of stock										
options (778,401										
shares)			1	5,361						5,362
Tax benefit from stock										
transactions				8,127						8,127
Issuance of bonus stock										
(204,411 shares)				1,917						1,917
Issuance of restricted										
stock (119,079 shares)				4,736				(4,736)		
Purchase of treasury										
stock (539,400 shares)							(17,546)			(17,546)
Other				788				(788)		
Balance, September 30,										
2004			54	593,874	741,701		(88,150)	(14,748)	(610	) 1,232,121
Comprehensive income:										

Edgar Filing: BEAZER HOMES USA INC - Form 10-K/A

Net income				262,524				262,524
Unrealized gain on								
interest rate swaps, net								
of tax of \$354							610	610
Total comprehensive								
income								263,134
Dividends paid				(13,884)				(13,884)
Amortization of								
unearned compensation						11,945		11,945
Change in fair value of								
unearned compensation,								
net of forfeitures			2 422			(2.122)		
(17,719 shares)			2,432			(2,432)		
Exercises of stock								
options (412,125			5 (21			244		
shares) Tax benefit from stock			5,631			244		
transactions			11,551					11,551
Issuance of bonus stock			11,551					11,331
(109,937 shares)			2,034			4		2,038
Issuance of restricted			2,034			4		2,036
stock, net of forfeitures								
(137,957 shares)			5,823			(5,823)		
Use of treasury stock			3,023			(3,023)		
for stock dividend								
(12,413,628 shares)		(12)	(88,138)		88,150			
Common stock		(12)	(00,130)		00,150			
redeemed (142,459								
shares)					(8,092)			(8,092)
Other			1,316		(0,000)	(1,316)		(0,02=)
Balance, September 30,								
2005	\$ \$	42 \$	534,523 \$	990,341 \$	(8,092) \$	(12,126) \$	\$	1,504,688

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

### Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

### Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in thousands)

	2005	ear Ended otember 30, 2004	2003
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 262,524	\$ 235,811	\$ 172,745
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating			
activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	9,229	8,374	9,236
Amortization of unearned compensation	11,945	7,381	3,984
Expenses related to retirement of debt			7,570
Goodwill impairment charge	130,235		
Deferred income tax (benefit) provision	(54,631)	(22,740)	87
Tax benefit from stock transactions	11,551	8,127	11,502
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	(5,021)	(1,561)	(1,597)
Cash distributions of income from unconsolidated joint ventures	5,844		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Increase in accounts receivable	(91,306)	(4,571)	(11,674)
Increase in inventory	(566,603)	(410,525)	(328,893)
Increase in other assets	(16,775)	(16,828)	(1,431)
Increase/(decrease) in trade accounts payable	18,336	(2,234)	16,967
Increase in other liabilities	199,076	123,210	79,257
Other changes	1,333	1,837	1,198
Net cash used by operating activities	(84,263)	(73,719)	(41,049)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(13,448)	(10,271)	(9,325)
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	(40,619)	(25,844)	(4,941)
Distributions from and proceeds from sale of unconsolidated joint			
ventures	5,597	5,639	7,714
Net cash used by investing activities	(48,470)	(30,476)	(6,552)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from Term Loan		200,000	200,000
Repayment of Term Loan	(200,000)	(200,000)	(100,000)
Borrowings under credit facilities	439,700		114,100
Repayment of credit facilities	(439,700)		(114,100)
Repayment of other notes payable	(16,776)		
Redemption of Senior Notes			(104,438)
Proceeds from issuance of Senior Notes	346,786	380,000	
Debt issuance costs	(4,958)	(10,654)	(2,458)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	5,875	5,362	9,805
Common stock redeemed	(8,092)		
Treasury stock purchases		(17,546)	(6,925)
Dividends paid	(13,884)	(5,459)	
Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities	108,951	351,703	(4,016)
(Decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(23,782)	247,508	(51,617)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	320,880	73,372	124,989
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 297,098	\$ 320,880	\$ 73,372
Supplemental cash flow information:			

Interest paid	\$ 79,088	\$ 65,237	\$ 67,580
Income taxes paid	\$ 233,965	\$ 170,475	\$ 77,904
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activity:			
Consolidated inventory not owned	\$	\$ 188,585	\$ 30,457
Land acquired through issuance of notes payable	\$ 40,608	\$ 21,502	\$

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements** 

#### (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization. Beazer Homes USA, Inc. is one of the ten largest homebuilders in the United States, based on number of homes closed. We design, sell and build primarily single-family homes in over 45 markets located in Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Mississippi, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia and West Virginia. We also provide mortgage origination services for our homebuyers through Beazer Mortgage Corporation, or Beazer Mortgage. Beginning in fiscal year 2006, Beazer Mortgage will finance our mortgage lending activities with borrowings under a warehouse line of credit or from general corporate funds prior to selling the loans and their servicing rights shortly after origination to third-party investors. In addition, we provide title services to our homebuyers in many of our markets.

**Presentation.** The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Beazer Homes USA, Inc. and our wholly owned subsidiaries. Intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. We consider investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

**Inventory.** Owned inventory consists solely of residential real estate developments. Interest, real estate taxes and development costs are capitalized in inventory during the development and construction period. Sold units are expensed on a specific identification basis or on a relative sales value basis as cost of sales. Consolidated inventory not owned represents the fair value of land under option agreements consolidated pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (Revised), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51 (FIN 46R).

Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Joint Ventures. We participate in a number of land development joint ventures in which we have less than a controlling interest. Our joint ventures are typically entered into with developers and other homebuilders to develop finished lots for sale to the joint venture s members and other third parties. We account for our interest in these joint ventures under the equity method. We recognize our share of profits from the sale of lots to other buyers. Our share of profits from lots we purchase from the joint ventures is deferred and treated as a reduction of the cost of the land purchased from the joint venture. Such profits are subsequently recognized at the time the home closes and title passes to the homebuyer.

**Property, Plant and Equipment.** Property, plant and equipment is recorded at cost. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis at rates based on estimated useful lives as follows:

Buildings	15 30 years
Machinery and equipment	3 10 years
Information systems	5 years
Furniture and fixtures	3 7 years
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of the lease term or the estimated
	useful life of the asset

**Impairment of Long Lived Assets.** Housing projects and unimproved land held for future development (components of inventory) and property, plant and equipment are reviewed for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If these assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets.

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired. We test goodwill for impairment annually as of April 30 or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that more likely than not reduce the value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, we compare the fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. Each of our operating divisions is considered a reporting unit. The fair value of each reporting unit is determined based on expected discounted future cash flows. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, goodwill is considered impaired. If goodwill is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds implied fair value of that goodwill. During fiscal 2005, we obtained an independent valuation of our reporting units and recorded a \$130.2 million non-cash, non tax-deductible impairment charge to write off substantially all of the goodwill allocated to certain underperforming markets in Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky and Charlotte, North Carolina. The forecasts and valuations of the respective divisions, along with weaker than anticipated local economies, particularly in the Midwest markets, and severe price competition, particularly at entry level price points, led the Company to conclude the goodwill was impaired in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

Other Assets. Other assets principally include prepaid expenses, debt issuance costs and deferred compensation plan assets.

**Income Taxes.** Income taxes are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Under SFAS 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting carrying values and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

Other Liabilities. Other liabilities include homebuyer deposits, land purchase obligations, accrued compensation, accrued warranty costs and various other accrued expenses.

**Income Recognition and Classification of Costs.** Income from the sale of residential units or land parcels is recognized when closings have occurred and the risk of ownership is transferred to the buyer. Sales commissions are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Fees paid to Beazer Mortgage from third-party lenders are recognized as revenue concurrent with the closing on the sale of the residential unit. All expenses of operating Beazer Mortgage are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the period incurred.

Estimated future warranty costs are charged to cost of sales in the period when the revenues from home closings are recognized. Such estimated warranty costs generally range from 0.5% to 1.0% of total revenue. Additional warranty costs are charged to cost of sales as necessary based on management s estimate of the costs to remediate existing claims. See Note 14 for a more detailed discussion of warranty costs and related reserves.

Advertising costs of \$44,792,000, \$44,696,000 and \$34,775,000 for fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, were expensed as incurred and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Earnings Per Share (EPS) and Stock Split. The computation of basic earnings per common share is determined by dividing net income applicable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS additionally gives effect (when dilutive) to stock options, other stock based awards and other potentially dilutive securities. In October 2004, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) of the FASB ratified the consensus on EITF Issue No. 04-8: *The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings Per Share* (EITF 04-8). EITF 04-8 requires that shares issuable upon conversion of contingently convertible debt instruments (Co-Co s) be included in diluted EPS computations using the if-converted method regardless of whether the issuer s stock price exceeds the contingent conversion price. Prior to EITF 04-8, shares issuable upon conversion of Co-Co s were generally excluded from diluted EPS computations until the issuer s stock price exceeded the contingent conversion price. EITF 04-8, which applies to our 4 5/8% Convertible Senior Notes issued in

June 2004, was effective beginning with the first fiscal quarter of our 2005 fiscal year.

On February 4, 2005, the Company s Board of Directors declared a three-for-one stock split in the form of a stock dividend. The stock dividend was distributed on March 22, 2005 to stockholders of record on March 10, 2005. In addition, during fiscal 2005, the Company s stockholders approved an amendment to the Company s certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 30 million to 80 million shares and to change the par value of the common stock to \$.001 per share. As a result, the Company reclassified amounts from common stock to additional paid in capital based on the total shares of common stock issued. The Company used approximately 12.4 million shares of treasury shares to satisfy a portion of the stock dividend. The issuance of treasury shares was accounted for by transferring the book value of those shares from treasury stock to additional paid in capital and common stock.

All share and per share information including earnings per share calculations for all periods presented have been restated to give retroactive application to the three-for-one stock split and for the inclusion of shares issuable upon conversion of our Co-Co s in accordance with EITF 04-8, as applicable.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, term loan and other notes payable approximate their carrying amounts due to the short maturity of these assets and the variable interest rates on such obligations. The fair value of our publicly held senior notes (Note 8) is estimated based on the quoted bid prices for these debt instruments and was approximately \$1,310.6 million at September 30, 2005 and \$1,010.2 million at September 30, 2004. The fair value of our interest rate swaps, based on then existing current rates, approximated \$1.0 million and was included in other liabilities at September 30, 2004. There are no interest rate swaps outstanding at September 30, 2005.

**Stock-Based Compensation.** We account for stock awards granted to employees under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related Interpretations ( APB 25 ). No compensation expense is recognized for stock options granted to employees because all stock options granted have exercise prices not less than the market value of our stock on the date of the grant. Compensation expense for restricted stock granted to employees is based on the market price of the common stock on the date of the grant.

We account for stock awards issued to non-employees under the recognition and measurement principles of SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services.* Stock options issued to non-employees are valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expense for restricted stock granted to non-employees is initially based on the market price of the common stock on the date of the grant.

Unearned compensation arising from the restricted stock granted to employees and from non-employee stock awards is amortized to expense using the straight-line method over the period of the restrictions. The balance of unearned compensation related to non-employee awards is adjusted on a quarterly basis to reflect changes in the market value of Beazer Homes common stock. Unearned compensation is shown as a reduction of stockholders equity in the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table illustrates the effect (*in thousands, except per share amounts*) on net earnings and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123, as amended by SFAS 148 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure*, to stock-based employee compensation:

	2005	s	Year Ended September 30, 2004	2003
Net income, as reported	\$ 262,524	\$	235,811	\$ 172,745
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	7,376		4,503	2,410
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined				
under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(10,341)		(7,521)	(5,409)
Pro forma net income	\$ 259,559	\$	232,793	\$ 169,746
Earnings per share:				
Basic - as reported	\$ 6.49	\$	5.91	\$ 4.47
Basic - pro forma	\$ 6.41	\$	5.84	\$ 4.39
Diluted - as reported	\$ 5.87	\$	5.59	\$ 4.26
Diluted - pro forma	\$ 5.85	\$	5.55	\$ 4.22

The weighted average fair value of each option granted during the years ended September 30, 2005, 2004, and 2003 was \$15.80, \$13.60 and \$10.96, respectively. The fair values of options granted were estimated on the date of their grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on the following weighted average assumptions:

	2005	2004	2003
Expected volatility	44.01%	44.14%	46.70%
Expected dividend yield	0.33%	0.40%	none
Risk-free interest rate	3.39%	3.13%	3.60%
Expected life (in years)	5.0	5.0	7.0

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Reclassifications.** Certain items in prior-period financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements.** In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 151, *Inventory Costs, an Amendment of ARB 43, Chapter 4.* SFAS 151 provides clarification of the accounting for abnormal amounts of freight, handling costs, and wasted material and requires that these items be recognized as current period charges. SFAS 151 is effective for inventory costs incurred beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS 151 will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123 (revised), *Share-Based Payment*, which will be effective in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. This statement will eliminate the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB 25 and will require instead that compensation expense be recognized based on the fair value on the date of the grant. The recognition of compensation expense for stock options will reduce net income in the future. We have decided that we will use the modified prospective method for

our adoption of SFAS 123(R), and anticipate that the related incremental compensation expense for existing unvested and newly granted stock options that will be recognized during fiscal 2006 will range from \$4 million to \$6 million depending on the number of stock options granted as well as the assumptions used to value them, including stock price. SFAS 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under current literature. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods after adoption.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, which will be effective in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. This statement addresses the retrospective application of such changes and corrections and will be followed if and when necessary. We do not anticipate adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

#### (2) Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We are exposed to fluctuations in interest rates. From time to time, we enter into derivative agreements to manage interest costs and hedge against risks associated with fluctuating interest rates. We do not enter into or hold derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. During the year ended September 30, 2001 we entered into interest rate swap agreements (the Swap Agreements) to effectively fix the variable interest rate on \$100 million of floating rate debt. The Swap Agreements matured in December 2004. The Company has no derivative instruments as of September 30, 2005.

The Swap Agreements were designated as cash flow hedges and, accordingly, were recorded at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets and the related gains or losses were deferred in stockholders—equity, net of taxes, as a component of other comprehensive income. No portion of these hedges was considered ineffective for the year ended September 30, 2004. We reclassified approximately \$610,000, net of taxes of \$354,000, from other comprehensive loss to interest expense during fiscal 2005.

#### (3) Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

The Company participates in a number of land development joint ventures in which Beazer Homes has less than a controlling interest. The Company s joint ventures are typically entered into with developers, other homebuilders and financial partners to develop finished lots for sale to the joint venture s members and other third parties. Beazer Homes accounts for its interest in these joint ventures under the equity method. The Company recognizes its share of profits from the sale of lots to other buyers. Beazer Homes—share of profits from lots purchased from the joint venture are deferred and treated as a reduction of the cost of the land purchased from the joint venture. Such profits are subsequently recognized at the time the home closes and title passes to the homebuyer. The Company—s joint ventures typically obtain secured acquisition and development financing.

At September 30, 2005, our unconsolidated joint ventures had borrowings outstanding totaling \$598.4 million. In some instances, Beazer Homes and its joint venture partners have provided varying levels of guarantees of debt of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures. At September 30, 2005, the Company had a repayment guarantee of \$10.4 million related to our portion of debt of one of our unconsolidated joint ventures and loan-to-value maintenance guarantees of \$84.6 million related to certain of our unconsolidated joint ventures. The repayment guarantee requires the repayment of Beazer Homes—share of debt of the unconsolidated joint venture in the event the joint venture defaults on its obligations under the borrowings. The loan-to-value maintenance guarantees only apply if the borrowings of the unconsolidated joint venture exceed a specified percentage of the value of the collateral (generally land and improvements) securing the borrowings. The Company has not recorded a liability for the non-contingent aspect of these guarantees as such amounts are not material. In assessing the need to record a liability for the contingent aspect of these guarantees, the Company considers its historical experience in being required to perform under the guarantees, the fair value of

the collateral underlying these guarantees and the financial condition of the applicable unconsolidated joint ventures. In addition, the Company monitors the fair value of the collateral of these unconsolidated joint ventures to ensure that the related borrowings do not exceed the specified

percentage of the value of the property securing the borrowings. To date, Beazer has not incurred any obligations related to repayment or loan-to-value maintenance guarantees. Based on these considerations, the Company has determined that it is remote that it will have to perform under the contingent aspects of these guarantees and, as a result, has not recorded a liability for the contingent aspects of these guarantees. To the extent the recording of a liability related to such guarantees would be required, the recognition of such liability would result in an increase to the carrying value of the Company s investment in the associated joint venture.

#### (4) Inventory

Inventory consists of (in thousands):

	September 30,				
		2005		2004	
Homes under construction	\$	1,040,193	\$	847,517	
Development projects in progress		1,519,554		1,105,933	
Unimproved land held for future development		44,809		57,563	
Model homes		66,526		78,317	
Consolidated inventory not owned		230,083		254,765	
	\$	2,901,165	\$	2,344,095	

Homes under construction include homes finished and ready for delivery and homes in various stages of construction. We had 414 (\$72.2 million) and 345 (\$69.1 million) completed homes that were not subject to a sales contract, not including model homes, at September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Development projects in progress consist principally of land and land improvement costs. Certain of the fully developed lots in this category are reserved by a deposit or sales contract.

Inventory located in California, the state with our largest concentration of inventory, was \$536.7 million and \$400.6 million at September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

We acquire certain lots by means of option contracts. Option contracts generally require the payment of cash for the right to acquire lots during a specified period of time at a certain price. Under option contracts, both with and without specific performance provisions, purchase of the properties is contingent upon satisfaction of certain requirements by us and the sellers. Our obligation with respect to options with specific performance provisions is included in our consolidated balance sheets in other liabilities. Under option contracts without specific performance obligations, our liability is generally limited to forfeiture of the non-refundable deposits, letters of credit and other non-refundable amounts incurred, which aggregated approximately \$332.4 million at September 30, 2005. This amount includes non-refundable letters of credit of approximately \$35.0 million. Below is a summary of amounts, net of cash deposits, committed under all options at September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

Aggregate Exercise Price of

	Options
Options with specific performance	\$ 10,450
Options without specific performance	2,821,008
Total options	\$ 2,831,458

We expect to exercise all of our option contracts with specific performance obligations and, subject to market conditions, substantially all of our option contracts without specific performance obligations. Various factors, some of which are beyond our control, such as market conditions, weather conditions and the timing of the completion of development activities, can have a significant impact on the timing of option exercises. Under their current terms, and assuming no significant changes in market conditions or other factors, we expect to exercise our land options as follows (*in thousands*):

Year Ending September 30,	
2006	\$ 548,804
2007	858,046
Thereafter	1,424,608
Total	\$ 2,831,458

Certain of our option contracts are with sellers who are deemed to be Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) under FIN 46R. FIN 46R defines a VIE as an entity with insufficient equity investment to finance its planned activities without additional financial support or an entity in which the equity investors lack certain characteristics of a controlling financial interest. Pursuant to FIN 46R, an enterprise that absorbs a majority of the expected losses or receives a majority of the expected residual returns of a VIE is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE and must consolidate the VIE.

We have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of certain of these option contracts. Our risk is generally limited to the option deposits that we pay, and creditors of the sellers generally have no recourse to the general credit of the Company. Although we do not have legal title to the optioned land, for those option contracts for which we are the primary beneficiary, we are required to consolidate the land under option at fair value. We believe that the exercise prices of our option contracts approximate their fair value. Our consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2005 and 2004 reflect consolidated inventory not owned of \$230.1 million and \$254.8 million, respectively. Obligations related to consolidated inventory not owned totaled \$166.2 million at September 30, 2005 and \$219.0 million at September 30, 2004. The difference between the balances of consolidated inventory not owned and obligations related to consolidated inventory not owned represents cash deposits paid under the option agreements. The above disclosures of amounts committed under options include our obligations related to consolidated inventory not owned.

### (5) Interest

Information regarding interest (in thousands) is as follows:

	Year Ended September 30,						
		2005		2004		2003	
Capitalized interest in inventory, beginning of year	\$	44,121	\$	34,285	\$	24,441	
Interest incurred and capitalized		89,678		76,035		65,295	
Capitalized interest amortized to cost of sales		(82,388)		(66,199)		(55,451)	
Capitalized interest in inventory, end of year	\$	51,411	\$	44,121	\$	34,285	

#### (6) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consists of (in thousands):

	September 30,			
		2005		2004
Land and buildings	\$	5,502	\$	5,464
Leasehold improvements		8,157		7,100
Machinery and equipment		25,708		20,459
Information systems		19,441		17,226
Furniture and fixtures		13,884		13,307
		72,692		63,556
Less: Accumulated depreciation.		(44,325)		(38,885)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$	28,367	\$	24,671

#### (7) Credit Facility

In August 2005 we entered into a new \$750 million (expandable up to \$1 billion), four-year unsecured revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility ) with a group of banks that matures in August 2009. The Credit Facility replaced our former \$550 million bank credit facility and \$200 million Term Loan. The Credit Facility includes a \$50 million swing line commitment and has a \$350 million sublimit for the issuance of standby letters of credit. Substantially all of the Company s significant subsidiaries are guarantors of the obligations under the Credit Facility (see Note 16). The Credit Facility contains various operating and financial covenants. The Company has the option to elect two types of loans under the Credit Facility which incur interest as applicable based on either the Alternative Base Rate or the Applicable Eurodollar Margin (both as defined in the Credit Facility).

Available borrowings under the Credit Facility are limited to certain percentages of homes under contract, unsold homes, substantially improved lots and accounts receivable. At September 30, 2005, we had no borrowings outstanding, and had available borrowings of \$530.1 million under the Credit Facility.

#### (8) Long-Term Debt

In June 2005, the Company issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 6 7/8% Senior Notes due July 2015 (the 6 7/8% Senior Notes). The 6 7/8% Senior Notes were issued at a price of 99.096% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs). In July 2005, the Company issued an additional \$50 million aggregate principal amount of the 6 7/8% Senior Notes. The additional \$50 million of 6 7/8% Senior Notes was issued at a price of 99.976% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs) and accrue interest from June 8, 2005 (the date of the original issuance of the 6 7/8% Senior Notes). Interest on the 6 7/8% Senior Notes is payable semiannually. Beazer Homes may, at the Company s option, redeem the 6 7/8% Senior Notes in whole or in part at any time after July 2008, under certain conditions and at specific redemption prices.

In June 2004, we issued \$180 million aggregate principal amount of 4 5/8% Convertible Senior Notes due 2024 (the Convertible Senior Notes). In August 2004 we filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC covering resales of the Convertible Senior Notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion. The Convertible Senior Notes were issued at a price of 100% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs). Interest on the Convertible Senior Notes is payable semiannually beginning December 2004. The notes are convertible by holders into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion rate of 19.44 shares of Beazer Homes common stock per \$1,000 principal amount (subject to adjustment for customary reasons), representing an initial conversion price of \$51.44 per share of common stock, under certain circumstances. We may, at our option, redeem for cash the Convertible Senior Notes in whole or in part at any time on or after June 15, 2009 at specified redemption prices. Holders have the right to require us to purchase all or any portion of the Convertible Senior Notes for cash on June 15, 2011, June 15, 2014 and June 15, 2019 or if we undergo a fundamental change, as defined. In each case, we will pay a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be purchased plus any accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent

interest, if any, and any additional amounts owed, if any, to such purchase date.

In May 2004, we completed an offer to exchange all of the \$200 million 6 1/2% Senior Notes issued November 2003 for an equal amount of 6 1/2% Senior Notes due November 2013 (the 6 1/2% Senior Notes), which were registered under the Securities Act of 1933. These notes were initially sold at a price of 100% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs). Interest on the 6 1/2% Senior Notes is payable semiannually. We may, at our option, redeem the 6 1/2% Senior Notes in whole or in part at any time under certain conditions and at specified redemption prices.

In September 2002, we completed an offer to exchange all of the outstanding \$350 million 8 3/8% Senior Notes issued April 2002 for an equal amount of 8 3/8% Senior Notes due 2012 (the 8 3/8% Senior Notes), which were registered under the Securities Act of 1933. These notes were initially sold at a price of 100% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs). Interest on the 8 3/8% Senior Notes is payable semiannually. We may, at our option, redeem the 8 3/8% Senior Notes in whole or in part at any time under certain conditions and at specified redemption prices.

In May 2001, we issued \$200 million 8 5/8% Senior Notes due May 2011 (the 8 5/8% Senior Notes) at a price of 99.178% of their face amount (before underwriting and other issuance costs). Interest on the 8 5/8% Senior Notes is payable semiannually. We may, at our option, redeem the 8 5/8% Senior Notes in whole or in part at any time after May 2006 at specified redemption prices.

During fiscal 2003, we retired our \$100 million 8 7/8% Senior Notes due in 2008 (the 8 7/8% Senior Notes). The 8 7/8% Senior Notes were redeemed at 104.438% of the principal amount, plus accrued interest. As a result of the redemption of the 8 7/8% Senior Notes, we recorded a pre-tax charge of \$7.6 million, which includes the write off of previously capitalized fees.

Unless otherwise stated, the 6 7/8% Senior Notes, the Convertible Senior Notes, the 6 1/2% Senior Notes, the 8 3/8% Senior Notes and the 8 5/8% Senior Notes (collectively the Senior Notes) were issued in private placements pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Senior Notes are unsecured obligations ranking *pari passu* with all other existing and future senior indebtedness. Substantially all of our significant subsidiaries are full and unconditional guarantors of the Senior Notes and our obligations under the Credit Facility, and are jointly and severally liable for obligations under the Senior Notes, and the Credit Facility. Each guarantor subsidiary is a 100% owned subsidiary of Beazer Homes.

The indentures under which the Senior Notes were issued contain certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on payment of dividends. At September 30, 2005, under the most restrictive covenants of each indenture, approximately \$359 million of our retained earnings was available for cash dividends and for share repurchases. Each indenture provides that, in the event of defined changes in control or if our consolidated tangible net worth falls below a specified level or in certain circumstances upon a sale of assets, we are required to offer to repurchase certain specified amounts of outstanding Senior Notes.

We periodically acquire land through the issuance of notes payable. As of September 30, 2005 and 2004, we had outstanding notes payable of \$46.1 million and \$22.1 million related to land acquisitions, respectively. These notes payable expire at various times through 2010 at variable rates ranging from 5.0% to 8.1% at September 30, 2005.

As of September 30, 2005, future maturities of long-term debt are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending September 30,	
2006	\$ 1,705
2007	
2008	
2009	11,710
2010	32,639
Thereafter	1,280,000
Total	\$ 1,326,054

49

### (9) Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes consists of (in thousands):

	Year Ended September 30,									
	2005		2004		2003					
Current:										
Federal	\$ 254,765	\$	153,228	\$	106,871					
State	31,682		22,427		16,261					
Deferred	(49,637)		(24,891)		(10,348)					
Total	\$ 236,810	\$	150,764	\$	112,784					

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the federal income tax statutory rate as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended September 30,					
		2005		2004		2003
Income tax computed at statutory rate	\$	174,767	\$	135,298	\$	99,935
State income taxes, net of federal benefit		15,904		16,226		12,764
Impairment of non-deductible goodwill		45,582				
Other		557		(760)		85
Total	\$	236,810	\$	150,764	\$	112,784

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are composed of the following (in thousands):

	September 30,				
	2005		2004		
Deferred tax assets:					
Warranty and other reserves	\$ 82,328	\$	40,900		
Incentive compensation	14,725		7,377		
Property, equipment and other assets	2,227		2,275		
Interest rate swaps			354		
State loss carryforward	3,639				
Other	3,570		2,004		
Total deferred tax assets	106,489		52,910		
Deferred tax liabilities:					
Inventory adjustments	(3,745)		(5,416)		
Other	(1,415)		(442)		
Total deferred tax liabilities	(5,160)		(5,858)		
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 101,329	\$	47,052		

At September 30, 2005, we had U.S. state net operating loss carryforwards ( NOL ) of approximately \$67.5 million that will expire between 2009 and 2024. We expect to fully utilize these NOLs. We believe that based upon our history of profitable operations, it is more likely than not that our net deferred tax assets will be realized.

### (10) Leases

We are obligated under various noncancelable operating leases for office facilities and equipment. Rental

50

expense under these agreements amounted to approximately \$17,054,000, \$14,116,000 and \$11,562,000 for the years ended September 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. As of September 30, 2005, future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating lease agreements are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending September 30,	
2006	\$ 13,617
2007	11,922
2008	10,236
2009	8,075
2010	5,149
Thereafter	8,206
Total	\$ 57,205

(11) Stockholders Equity

Preferred Stock. We currently have no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

Common Stock Repurchase Plan. In February 2003, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase plan authorizing the purchase of up to three million shares (split adjusted) of our outstanding common stock. During fiscal 2005, we did not repurchase any shares in the open market; however, 142,459 shares were surrendered to us by employees in payment of minimum tax obligations upon the vesting of restricted stock under our stock incentive plans. We valued the stock at the market price on the date of surrender, for an aggregate value of \$8.1 million, or approximately \$57 per share. During fiscal 2004, we repurchased 539,400 shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$17.5 million or approximately \$33 per share pursuant to the plan. During fiscal 2003, we repurchased 384,000 shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$6.9 million or approximately \$18 per share pursuant to the plan. At September 30, 2005, we are authorized to purchase approximately two million additional shares pursuant to the plan.

On November 18, 2005, as part of an acceleration of our comprehensive plan to enhance stockholder value, our Board of Directors authorized an increase of our stock repurchase plan to ten million shares of our common stock. Shares may be purchased for cash in the open market, on the NYSE or in privately negotiated transactions. During November 2005, we repurchased 778,600 shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$50.4 million or approximately \$65 per share pursuant to the plan. We intend to enter into a plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Act of 1934 to execute a portion of the share repurchase program, supplemented with opportunistic purchases in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

Shareholder Rights Plan. In June 1996, our Board of Directors adopted a Shareholder Rights Plan and distributed a dividend of one preferred share purchase right (a Right) to purchase one one-hundredth of a share of Series B Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Junior Preferred Shares), of Beazer Homes. The Rights become exercisable in certain limited circumstances involving principally the acquisition of over 20% of our outstanding common stock by any one individual or group. The Rights are initially exercisable at a price of \$80 per one hundredth of a Junior Preferred Share subject to adjustment. Following certain other events after the Rights have become exercisable, each Right entitles its holder to purchase at the Right's then-current exercise price, a number of

shares of our common stock having a market value of twice such price, or, in certain circumstances, securities of the acquirer, having a then-current market value of two times the exercise price of the Right.

The Rights are redeemable and may be amended at our option before they become exercisable. Until a Right is exercised, the holder of a Right has no rights as a stockholder of Beazer Homes. The Rights expire in June 2006.

**Dividends.** For fiscal 2005, we paid quarterly cash dividends aggregating \$0.33 per common share, or a total of approximately \$13.9 million. For fiscal 2004, adjusted for the stock split, we paid quarterly cash dividends aggregating \$0.13 per common share, or a total of approximately \$5.5 million. No dividends were paid during fiscal year 2003.

### (12) Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted earnings per share are calculated as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Year Ended September 30,				
	2005		2004		2003
Basic:					
Net income	\$ 262,524	\$	235,811	\$	172,745
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	40,468		39,879		38,658
Basic earnings per share	\$ 6.49	\$	5.91	\$	4.47
Diluted:					
Net income	\$ 262,524	\$	235,811	\$	172,745
Interest on convertible debt  net of taxes	5,325		1,616		
Net income applicable to common stockholders	\$ 267,849	\$	237,427	\$	172,745
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	40,468		39,879		38,658
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Shares issuable upon conversion of convertible debt	3,499		1,083		
Options to acquire common stock	621		729		1,126
Restricted stock units	1,046		794		757
Diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding	45,634		42,485		40,541
	,		,		•
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 5.87	\$	5.59	\$	4.26

Options to purchase 411,978 shares of common stock were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended September 30, 2003, because the options exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares during that year.

#### (13) Retirement Plan and Incentive Awards

**401(k) Retirement Plan.** We sponsor a 401(k) Plan (the Plan ). Substantially all employees are eligible for participation in the Plan after completing one calendar month of service with us. Participants may defer and contribute to the Plan from 1% to 80% of their salary with certain limitations on highly compensated individuals. We match 50% of the first 6% of the participant s contributions. The participant s contributions vest 100% immediately, while our contributions vest over five years. Our total contributions for the years ended September 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$3,261,000, \$2,764,000 and \$2,022,000, respectively.

**Deferred Compensation Plan.** During fiscal 2002, we adopted the Beazer Homes USA, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (the DCP Plan ). The DCP Plan is a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for a select group of executives and highly compensated employees. The Plan allows the executives to defer current compensation on a pre-tax basis to a future year, up until termination of employment. The objectives of the Plan are to assist executives with financial planning and capital accumulation and to provide the Company with a method of attracting, rewarding, and retaining

highly compensated executives. Participation in the DCP Plan is voluntary. Beazer Homes may voluntarily make a contribution to the participants DCP accounts. For the years ended September 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, Beazer Homes contributed \$4,364,000, \$2,814,000 and \$626,000, respectively, to the DCP Plan.

Stock Incentive Plans. During fiscal 2000, we adopted the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan (the 1999 Plan ) because the shares reserved under the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan (the 1994 Plan ) had been substantially depleted. We also maintained a Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the Non-Employee Director Plan ) that expired September 30, 2005. At September 30, 2005, we had reserved 11,925,000 shares of common stock for issuance under our various stock incentive plans, of which approximately 2.6 million shares are available for future grants.

Stock Option Awards. We have issued various stock option awards to officers and key employees under both the 1999 Plan and the 1994 Plan and to non-employee directors pursuant to the Non-Employee Director Plan. Stock options are generally exercisable at the fair market value of the common stock on the grant date, vest three years after the date of grant and may be exercised thereafter until their expiration, subject to forfeiture upon termination of employment as provided in the applicable plan. Under certain conditions of retirement, eligible participants may receive a partial vesting of stock options. Stock options granted prior to fiscal 2004, generally expire on the tenth anniversary from the date such options were granted. Stock options granted beginning in fiscal 2004 expire on the seventh anniversary from the date such options were granted.

**Stock Option Awards.** Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follows:

Year Ended September 30, 200		2005			2004		2003		
	Shares		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	A	eighted verage cise Price	Shares		Veighted age Exercise Price
Options outstanding at beginning of									
year	1,821,804	\$	19.59	2,378,145	\$	13.83	3,212,640	\$	9.46
Granted	289,250		38.54	310,164		32.91	643,731		20.74
Exercised	(412,125)		14.26	(778,401)		6.89	(1,387,518)		7.23
Forfeited	(44,178)		32.05	(88,104)		23.07	(90,708)		15.80
Options outstanding at end of year	1,654,751		23.91	1,821,804		19.59	2,378,145		13.83
Options exercisable at end of year	577,050	\$	15.45	598,860	\$	7.25	1,365,261	\$	6.90

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2005:

	Stoc	k Options Outstand Weighted Average	ding			Options cisable	
Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Contractual Remaining Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Number Exercisable	A	eighted verage cise Price
\$6 - \$9	338,757	4.43	\$	7.44	338,757	\$	7.44
\$18 - \$21	520,641	7.10		20.72			
\$24 - \$29	238,293	6.56		26.83	238,293		26.83
\$30 - \$39	552,060	5.32		35.39			
\$65 - \$66	5,000	6.83		65.55			
	1,654,751				577,050		

Other Stock Awards. We have made various restricted stock awards to officers and key employees under both the 1999 Plan and the 1994 Plan. All restricted stock is awarded in the name of the participant, who has all the rights of other common stockholders with respect to such stock, subject to restrictions and forfeiture provisions. Accordingly, such restricted stock awards are considered outstanding shares. Restricted stock awards vest from three to seven years after the date of grant. Certain restricted stock awards provide for accelerated vesting if certain performance goals are achieved. Activity relating to restricted stock awards is summarized as follows:

	Year Ended September 30,					
	2005		2004		2003	
Restricted shares, beginning of year	1,004,016		878,859		265,011	
Shares awarded	146,066		143,844		646,926	
Shares forfeited	(25,828)		(18,687)		(6,078)	
Shares vested	(675,987)				(27,000)	
Restricted shares, end of year	448,267		1,004,016		878,859	
Weighted average price of shares awarded	\$ 40.33	\$	32.92	\$	18.85	

We have two incentive compensation plans (called the Value Created Incentive Plan and the Executive Value Created Incentive Plan), modeled under the concepts of economic profit or economic value added. Participants may defer a portion of their earned annual incentive compensation under the applicable plan pursuant to the terms of the Corporate Management Stock Purchase Program (the CMSPP). The deferred amounts are represented by restricted stock units (Bonus Stock), each of which represent the right to receive one share of Beazer Homes common stock upon vesting. Such shares are issued after a three-year vesting period, subject to an election for further deferral by the participant. The number of restricted stock units granted is based on a discount to the market value of our common stock at the time the bonus is earned. Should the participant is employment terminate during the vesting period, the deferred incentive compensation is settled in cash or cash and stock, depending on the cause of termination as set forth in the CMSPP or applicable deferred compensation plan. Activity relating to Bonus Stock is as follows:

	Year Ended September 30,				
	2005	2004	2003		
Bonus Stock issuable, beginning of year	289,164	385,212	627,474		
Shares awarded	114,149	110,499	68,541		
Shares forfeited	(2,506)	(2,136)	(19,110)		
Shares vested and issued	(109,937)	(204,411)	(291,693)		
Bonus Stock issuable, end of year	290,870	289,164	385,212		

Compensation expense recognized for the restricted stock and Bonus Stock awards totaled \$7,934,000, \$5,581,000 and \$3,984,000 for the years ended September 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Our former Chief Financial Officer resigned effective September 30, 2003. Effective October 1, 2003, Beazer Homes and our former CFO entered into a consulting and non-compete agreement pursuant to which our former CFO retained and continued to vest in various stock awards during the two-year life of the agreement which would have otherwise been forfeited upon termination and represented up to 139,227 shares of the Company s common stock. The agreement expired September 30, 2005 at which time all remaining shares were forfeited. Our consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2004 included \$2,050,000 of unearned compensation cost reflecting the fair value of these stock awards. Such unearned compensation cost, as adjusted by changes in the value of the Company s common stock, was recognized over the two-year life of the consulting and non-compete agreement ending September 30, 2005. Compensation expense recognized for such awards totaled \$4,011,000 and \$1,800,000 for the years ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

#### (14) Contingencies

Beazer Homes and certain of its subsidiaries have been and continue to be named as defendants in various construction defect claims, complaints and other legal actions that include claims related to moisture intrusion and mold. We have experienced a significant number of such claims in our Midwest region and particularly with respect to homes built by Trinity Homes LLC, a subsidiary which was acquired in the Crossmann acquisition in 2002.

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as followes

As of September 30, 2005, there were eleven pending lawsuits related to such complaints received by Trinity. All eleven suits are by individual homeowners, and the cost to resolve these matters is not expected to be material, either individually or in the aggregate. Additionally, a class action suit was filed in the State

of Indiana in August 2003 against Trinity Homes LLC. The parties in the class action reached a settlement agreement which was approved by the Court on October 20, 2004.

The settlement class includes, with certain exclusions, the current owners of all Trinity homes that have brick veneer, where the closing of Trinity s initial sale of the home took place between June 1, 1998 and October 31, 2002. The settlement agreement establishes an agreed protocol and process for assessment and remediation of any external water intrusion issues at the homes which includes, among other things, that the homes will be repaired at Trinity s expense. The settlement agreement also provides for payment of plaintiffs attorneys fees and for Trinity to pay an agreed amount for engineering inspection costs for each home for which a claim is filed under the settlement.

Under the settlement, subject to Trinity s timely performance of the specified assessments and remediation activities for homeowners who file claims, each homeowner releases Trinity, Beazer Homes Investment Corp. and other affiliated companies, including Beazer Homes, from the claims asserted in the class action lawsuit, claims arising out of external water intrusion, claims of improper brick installation, including property damage claims, loss or diminution of property value claims, and most personal injury claims, among others. No appeals of the Court s Order approving the settlement were received by the Court within the timeframe established by the Court. We sent out the claims notices on December 17, 2004, and the Class Members had until February 15, 2005 to file claims. A total of 1,311 valid claims were filed (of the 2,161 total Class Members), of which 613 complaints had been received prior to our receipt of the claim notices. Class Members who did not file a claim by February 15, 2005 are no longer able to file a class action claim under the settlement or pursue an individual claim against Trinity.

Beazer Homes warranty reserves at September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004 include accruals for our estimated costs to assess and remediate all homes for which Trinity had received complaints related to moisture intrusion and mold, including a provision for legal fees. Warranty reserves at September 30, 2005 also include accruals for class action claims received, pursuant to the settlement discussed above, from Class Members who had not previously contacted Trinity with complaints.

The following is a roll-forward of total complaints received as of each balance sheet date:

	Fiscal Year Septemb	
	2005	2004
Complaints outstanding at beginning of year	887	415
Complaints received	784	564
Complaints resolved	(128)	(92)
Complaints outstanding at end of the year	1,543	887

The cost to assess and remediate a home depends on the extent of moisture damage, if any, that the home has incurred. Homes for which we receive complaints are classified into one of three categories: 1) homes with no moisture damage, 2) homes with isolated moisture damage or 3) homes with extensive moisture damage.

As of September 30, 2005 and 2004, we accrued for our estimated cost to remediate homes that we had assessed and assigned to one of the above categories. For purposes of our accrual, we have historically assigned homes not yet assessed to categories based on our expectations about the extent of damage and trends observed from the results of assessments performed to date. In addition, beginning in the quarter ended March 31, 2005, we refined our cost estimation process to consider the subdivision of the claimant along with the categorization discussed above. Once a home is categorized, detailed budgets are used as the basis to prepare our estimated costs to remediate such home.

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follows0

During fiscal 2004, we initiated a program under which we offered to repurchase a limited number of homes from specific homeowners. The program was concluded during the first quarter of fiscal 2005. We have repurchased a total of 54 homes under the program. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, we sold six of the repurchased homes. The remaining 48 homes were acquired for an aggregate purchase price

of \$15.8 million. The accrual at September 30, 2005 includes the estimated costs to sell homes that we have repurchased, and our estimated losses on the sale of those homes, if any.

Changes in the accrual for Trinity moisture intrusion and related mold issues during the fiscal year were as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended September 30,									
		2005		2004		2003				
Balance at beginning of year	\$	42,173	\$	9,200	\$	730				
Provisions		55,000		43,858		15,523				
Payments		(16,465)		(10,885)		(7,053)				
Balance at end of year	\$	80,708	\$	42,173	\$	9,200				

Our accruals at September 30, 2005 and 2004 represent our best estimates of the costs to resolve all asserted complaints. Actual costs to assess and remediate homes in each category and subdivision, the extent of damage to homes not yet assessed, estimates of costs to sell repurchased homes, and losses on such sales could differ from our estimates. As a result, the costs to resolve existing complaints could differ from our recorded accruals and have a material adverse effect on the Company searnings in the periods in which the matters are resolved. Additionally, it is possible that we will incur additional losses related to these matters, including additional losses related to homes for which we have not yet received complaints. However, the amount or range of such losses cannot be determined at this time.

Warranty Reserves. We provide a limited warranty (ranging from one to two years) of workmanship and materials with each of our homes. Such warranty covers defects in plumbing, electrical, heating, cooling and ventilating systems and construction defects. In addition, we provide a warranty (ranging from a minimum of ten years up to the period covered by the applicable statute of repose) with each home, covering construction defects only. Since Beazer Homes subcontracts its homebuilding work to subcontractors who generally provide us with an indemnity and a certificate of insurance prior to receiving payments for their work, claims relating to workmanship and materials are generally the primary responsibility of the subcontractors.

As noted above, our warranty reserves at September 30, 2005 and 2004 include accruals for Trinity moisture intrusion and related mold issues. Warranty reserves are included in accrued expenses in the consolidated financial statements. We record reserves covering anticipated warranty expense for each home closed. Management reviews the adequacy of warranty reserves each reporting period based on historical experience and management s estimate of the costs to remediate the claims and adjusts these provisions accordingly. While we believe that our warranty reserves are adequate, historical data and trends may not accurately predict actual warranty costs, or future developments could lead to a significant change in the reserve.

Changes in our warranty reserves, which include amounts related to the Trinity moisture intrusion and mold issues discussed above, during the fiscal year are as follows (in thousands):

		Year Ended tember 30,	
	2005	2004	2003
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 86,163	\$ 40,473	\$ 25,527
Provisions	98,307	80,291	39,244
Payments	(46,437)	(34,601)	(24,298)
Balance at end of year	\$ 138,033	\$ 86,163	\$ 40,473

Other Contingencies. We and certain of our subsidiaries have been named as defendants in various claims, complaints and other legal actions, most relating to moisture intrusion and related mold claims, construction defects and product liability. Certain of the liabilities resulting from these actions are covered by insurance. With respect to certain general liability exposures, including construction defect, moisture intrusion and related mold claims and product liability, interpretation of underlying current and future

trends, assessment of claims and the related liability and reserve estimation process is highly judgmental due to the complex nature of these exposures, with each exposure exhibiting unique circumstances. In particular, for construction defect liability there is a high degree of uncertainty relating to whether insurance coverage exists, when losses occur, the size of each loss, expectations for future interpretive rulings concerning contract provisions and the extent to which the assertion of these claims will expand geographically. In our opinion, based on our current assessment, the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

We had outstanding letters of credit and performance bonds of approximately \$47.9 million and \$470.1 million, respectively, at September 30, 2005 related principally to our obligations to local governments to construct roads and other improvements in various developments in addition to the letters of credit of approximately \$44.9 million relating to our land option contracts discussed in Note 4. We do not believe that any such letters of credit or bonds are likely to be drawn upon.

### (15) Segment Information (As Restated)

As defined in SFAS 131, Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information , we have 31 homebuilding operating segments operating in 22 states and one financial services segment. Revenues in our homebuilding segments are derived from the sale of homes which we construct and from land and lot sales. Revenues in our financial services segment are derived primarily from mortgage originations provided predominantly to customers of our homebuilding operations. Historically, the Company has reported its homebuilding operations in one reportable segment. Subsequent to the issuance of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2005, we have concluded that we should revise our segment disclosure for all periods presented by aggregating our homebuilding segments into four reportable segments, described below, for our homebuilding operations and one reportable segment for our financial services operations. The Company has restated the accompanying consolidated financial statements to revise its segment disclosures for all periods presented. The segments reported have been determined to have similar economic characteristics including similar historical and expected future operating performance, employment trends, land acquisition and land constraints, and municipality behavior and meet the other aggregation criteria in SFAS 131. The reportable homebuilding segments, and all other homebuilding operations not required to be reported separately, include operations conducting business in the following states:

West: Arizona, California, Nevada and New Mexico

Mid-Atlantic: Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia

Florida

Southeast: Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Nashville, Tennessee

Other Homebuilding: Colorado, Indiana, Kentucky, Mississippi, Ohio, Texas and Memphis, Tennessee

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follows4

Management s evaluation of segment performance is based on segment operating income, which for our homebuilding segments is defined as homebuilding and land sale revenues less home construction, land development and land sales expense and certain selling, general and administrative expenses which are incurred by or allocated to our homebuilding segments. Segment operating income for our Financial Services segment is defined as revenues less costs associated with our mortgage operations and certain selling, general and administrative expenses incurred by or allocated to the Financial Services segment. The accounting policies of our segments are those described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements in Note 1 herein. The following information is in thousands:

	Year Ended September 30,							
	2005		2004		2003			
Revenue								
West	\$ 1,946,822	\$	1,553,870	\$	1,047,230			
Mid-Atlantic	848,083		559,746		407,168			
Florida	598,950		390,380		353,851			
Southeast	761,030		646,235		538,307			
Other homebuilding	802,435		718,613		789,534			
Financial Services	54,310		51,140		57,152			
Intercompany elimination	(16,277)		(12,875)		(15,834)			
Consolidated total	\$ 4,995,353	\$	3,907,109	\$	3,177,408			
Operating income								
West	\$ 421,968	\$	280,898	\$	169,064			
Mid-Atlantic	206,627		111,763		70,820			
Florida	97,263		51,105		33,943			
Southeast	49,098		45,952		33,845			
Other homebuilding	5,902		29,425		45,930			
Financial Services	15,627		19,299		26,161			
Segment operating income	796,485		538,442		379,763			
Corporate and unallocated (a)	(309,567)		(160,507)		(100,608)			
Total operating income	486,918		377,935		279,155			
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	5,021		1,561		1,597			
Other income, net	7,395		7,079		4,777			
Income before income taxes	\$ 499,334	\$	386,575	\$	285,529			

	Septem	ber 30,	
	2005		2004
Assets			
West	\$ 992,959	\$	764,491
Mid-Atlantic	520,787		309,523
Florida	308,102		211,918
Southeast	376,417		293,503
Other homebuilding	626,032		656,965
Financial Services	92,730		75,640
Corporate and unallocated (b)	853,489		850,990
Consolidated total	\$ 3,770,516	\$	3,163,030

<sup>(</sup>a) Corporate and unallocated includes amortization of capitalized interest and numerous shared services functions that benefit all segments, the costs of which are not allocated to the operating segments reported above including information technology, national sourcing and purchasing, treasury, corporate finance, legal, branding and other national marketing costs. Fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003 include \$55.0 million \$43.9 million and \$15.5 million, respectively, of legal and remediation costs related to the Trinity class action litigation settlement (see Note 8). Fiscal 2005 also includes a \$130.2 million non-cash, non-tax deductible goodwill impairment charge to write-off substantially all of the goodwill allocated to certain underperforming markets in Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky and Charlotte, North Carolina.

#### (16) Supplemental Guarantor Information

<sup>(</sup>b) Primarily consists of cash and cash equivalents, consolidated inventory not owned, deferred taxes, and capitalized interest and other corporate items that are not allocated to the segments.

As discussed in Note 8, the Company s oblig several basis by substantially all of its subsidi	ations to pay principal, aries. The	premium, if any, and in	terest under certain det	ot are guaranteed on a joint and
		58		

guarantees are full and unconditional and the guarantor subsidiaries are 100% owned by Beazer Homes USA, Inc. The Company has determined that separate, full financial statements of the guarantors would not be material to investors and, accordingly, supplemental financial information for the guarantors is presented.

Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

Consolidating Balance Sheet

September 30, 2005

(in thousands)

		Beazer Homes USA, Inc.		Guarantor Subsidiaries	Beazer Mortgage Corp.(a)	Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolid Adjustm	ating	Consolidated Beazer Homes USA, Inc.
ASSETS									
Cash and cash									
equivalents	\$	386,423	\$	(90,238)	\$ 230			\$	297,098
Accounts receivable				157,523	2,775	1,582			161,880
Owned inventory				2,663,792				7,290	2,671,082
Consolidated									
inventory not owned				230,083					230,083
Investment in and									
advances to									
unconsolidated joint									
ventures				78,571					78,571
Deferred tax assets		101,329							101,329
Property, plant and									
equipment, net				27,550	817				28,367
Goodwill				121,368					121,368
Investments in									
subsidiaries		1,639,405					(1,63	39,405)	
Intercompany		745,018		(820,519)	53,074	22,427			
Other assets		20,123		49,473	293	10,849			80,738
Total Assets	\$	2,892,298	\$	2,417,603	57,189	\$ 35,541	\$ (1,63	32,115)\$	3,770,516
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS									
EQUITY									
Trade accounts									
payable	\$		\$	141,312	\$ 242	\$ 69	\$	\$	141,623
Other liabilities	Ψ	115,023	Ψ	503,352	2,162	12,827		2,742	636,106
Intercompany		(3,295)		303,332	2,102	3,295		2,772	030,100
Obligations related to		(3,273)				3,273			
consolidated									
inventory not owned				166,163					166,163
Senior notes (net of				100,103					100,103
discounts of \$4,118)		1,275,882							1,275,882
Other notes payable		1,2/3,002		46,054					46,054
Total Liabilities		1,387,610		856,881	2,404	16,191		2,742	2,265,828
10tai Liavillues		1,307,010		0,00,001	2,404	10,191		∠,/≒∠	2,203,626
Stockholders Equity		1,504,688		1,560,722	54,785	19,350	(1.63	34,857)	1,504,688
Stockholders Equity		1,304,000		1,300,722	34,783	19,550	(1,03	, <del>1</del> ,037)	1,304,000

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follows8

Total Liabiliti	es and						
Stockholders	Equity	\$ 2,892,298	\$ 2,417,603 \$	57,189 \$	35,541 \$	(1,632,115)\$	3,770,516
	1 3	, ,		, ,	,	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,

(a) Effective in fiscal year 2005, Beazer Mortgage Corp. is a guarantor of the Senior Notes, but is not a guarantor of the Credit Facility.

Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

Consolidating Balance Sheet

September 30, 2004

		Beazer Homes USA, Inc.		Guarantor Subsidiaries	]	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries		Consolidating Adjustments		Consolidated Beazer Homes USA, Inc.
ASSETS										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	392,110	\$	(71,569)	\$	339	\$		\$	320,880
Accounts receivable				70,237		337				70,574
Owned inventory				2,079,494				9,836		2,089,330
Consolidated inventory not owned				254,765						254,765
Investment in and advances to				44.749						44.740
unconsolidated joint ventures		47.050		44,748						44,748
Deferred tax assets		47,052		24.671						47,052
Property, plant and equipment, net				24,671						24,671
Goodwill		1 460 070		251,603				(1.460.070)		251,603
Investments in subsidiaries		1,468,078		(502.020)		16.000		(1,468,078)		
Intercompany		566,216		(583,038)		16,822				50.407
Other assets	Φ.	33,000	ф	17,881	ф	8,526	ф	(1.450.040)	Φ	59,407
Total Assets	\$	2,506,456	\$	2,088,792	\$	26,024	\$	(1,458,242)	\$	3,163,030
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS										
EQUITY	Ф		ф	100 174	ф	112	Ф		Ф	122 207
Trade accounts payable	\$	1.46.470	\$	123,174	<b>3</b>		\$	2.026	\$	123,287
Other liabilities		146,473		276,242		11,057		3,836		437,608
Intercompany		(1,043)				1,043				
Obligations related to consolidated inventory not owned				219.042						219,042
Term Loan		200,000		217,012						200,000
Senior notes (net of discounts of \$1,095)		928,905								928,905
Other notes payable		720,703		22,067						22,067
Total Liabilities		1,274,335		640,525		12,213		3,836		1,930,909
Zom Zimonino		1,271,555		010,323		12,213		3,030		1,750,707
Stockholders Equity		1,232,121		1,448,267		13,811		(1,462,078)		1,232,121
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$	2,506,456	\$	2,088,792	\$	26.024	\$	(1,458,242)	\$	3,163,030
1. 3				, , ,						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

### Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

### Consolidating Statement of Income

September 30, 2005

(in thousands)

	Beazer Homes USA, Inc.	;	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Mor	Beazer tgage Corp.(a)	Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Beazer Homes USA, Inc.
Total revenue	\$	\$	4,949,699	\$	54,310	\$ 7,621	\$ (16,277) \$	4,995,353
Costs and expenses:								
Home construction and land sales	89,678		3,749,899				(16,277)	3,823,300
Selling, general and administrative			521,639		38,683	1,868	(7,290)	554,900
Goodwill impairment			130,235		20,000	-,	(*,=> =)	130,235
•								
Operating income Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint	(89,678)		547,926		15,627	5,753	7,290	486,918
ventures			5,021					5,021
Other income, net			7,395					7,395
Income before income taxes	(89,678)		560,342		15,627	5,753	7,290	499,334
Provision for income taxes	(33,732)		259,758		5,878	2,164	2,742	236,810
Equity in income of subsidiaries	318,470						(318,470)	
Net income	\$ 262,524	\$	300,584	\$	9,749	\$ 3,589	\$ (313,922) \$	262,524

Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

Consolidating Statement of Income

September 30, 2004

(in thousands)

	Beazer Homes USA, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Ion-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	•	Consolidated Beazer Homes USA, Inc.
Total revenue	\$	\$ 3,899,971	\$ 7,138	\$ 9	\$	3,907,109
Costs and expenses:						
Home construction and land sales	76,035	3,023,697				3,099,732
Selling, general and administrative		436,726	2,552	(9,836)		429,442

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follows:

Operating income	(76,035)	439,548	4,586	9,836	377,935
Equity in income of unconsolidated					
joint ventures		1,561			1,561
Other income, net		7,079			7,079
Income before income taxes	(76,035)	448,188	4,586	9,836	386,575
Provision for income taxes	(29,654)	174,794	1,788	3,836	150,764
Equity in income of subsidiaries	282,192			(282,192)	
Net income	\$ 235,811 \$	273,394 \$	2,798 \$	(276,192) \$	235,811

Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

## Consolidating Statement of Income

September 30, 2003

	Beazer Homes USA, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries		Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries		Consolidating Adjustments		Consolidated Beazer Homes USA, Inc.	
Total revenue	\$	\$	3,169,765	\$	7,643	\$		\$	3,177,408
Costs and expenses:									
Home construction and land sales	65,295		2,478,059		525		(9,844)		2,534,035
Selling, general and administrative			354,088		2,560				356,648
Expenses related to retirement of debt	7,570								7,570
•									
Operating income	(72,865)		337,618		4,558		9,844		279,155
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint									
ventures			1,597						1,597
Other income, net			4,750		27				4,777
Income before income taxes	(72,865)		343,965		4,585		9,844		285,529
Provision for income taxes	(28,782)		135,867		1,811		3,888		112,784
Equity in income of subsidiaries	216,828						(216,828)		
Net income	\$ 172,745	\$	208,098	\$	2,774	\$	(210,872)	\$	172,745

### Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

## Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

### September 30, 2005

		Beazer Homes USA, Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Beazer Mortgage Corp.(a)	Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments		onsolidated Beazer Homes USA, Inc.
Net cash (used)/provided by								
operating activities	\$	(124,650) \$	29,390	6,783	\$ 4,214 \$	S	\$	(84,263)
Cash flows from investing								
activities:								
Capital expenditures			(13,089)	(359)				(13,448)
Investments in								
unconsolidated joint								
ventures			(40,619)					(40,619)
Distributions from and								
proceeds from sale of								
unconsolidated joint								
ventures			5,597					5,597
Net cash used by investing								
activities			(48,111)	(359)				(48,470)
Cash flows from financing								
activities:								
Repayment of Term Loan		(200,000)						(200,000)
Borrowings under Credit								
Facility		439,700						439,700
Repayment of Credit		(400 =00)						(120 =00)
Facility		(439,700)						(439,700)
Proceeds from issuance of								
Senior Notes		346,786						346,786
Repayment of other notes			(16.556)					(16.556)
payable			(16,776)					(16,776)
Advances (to) from		(6.764)	17.501	(6.007)	(2.070)			
subsidiaries		(6,764)	17,521	(6,887)	(3,870)			(4.050)
Debt issuance costs		(4,958)						(4,958)
Proceeds from stock option		5.075						5.075
exercises		5,875						5,875
Common stock redeemed		(8,092)						(8,092)
Dividends paid		(13,884)						(13,884)
Net cash provided/(used) by		110.072	745	(( 007)	(2.070)			100.051
financing activities		118,963	745	(6,887)	(3,870)			108,951
(Decrease)/increase in cash		(5 (97)	(17.076)	(4(2)	244			(22.792)
and cash equivalents		(5,687)	(17,976)	(463)	344			(23,782)
Cash and cash equivalents at		202 110	(70.262)	(02	220			220.000
beginning of year		392,110	(72,262)	693	339			320,880
Cash and cash equivalents at	Ф	296 422 0	(00.229)	220	¢ (02.6		\$	207.000
end of year	\$	386,423 \$	(90,238)	\$ 230	\$ 683 \$		Ф	297,098



Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

## Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

September 30, 2004

	Beazer Homes USA, Inc.		Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarant Subsidiarie		Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Beazer Homes USA, Inc.
Net cash provided/(used) by operating							
activities	\$ 12,169	\$	(88,774)	\$	2,886	\$	\$ (73,719)
Cash flows from investing activities:							
Capital expenditures			(10,271)				(10,271)
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures			(25,844)				(25,844)
Distributions from and proceeds from sale							
of unconsolidated joint ventures			5,639				5,639
Net cash used by investing activities			(30,476)				(30,476)
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Proceeds of Term Loan	200,000						200,000
Repayment of Term Loan	(200,000)						(200,000)
Proceeds from issuance of Senior Notes	380,000						380,000
Advances (to) from subsidiaries	(82,516)		87,760		(5,244)		
Debt issuance costs	(10,654)						(10,654)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	5,362						5,362
Treasury stock purchases	(17,546)						(17,546)
Dividends paid	(5,459)						(5,459)
Net cash provided/(used) by financing							
activities	269,187		87,760		(5,244)		351,703
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash							
equivalents	281,356		(31,490)		(2,358)		247,508
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of					,		
year	110,754		(40,079)		2,697		73,372
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 392,110	\$	(71,569)	\$	339	\$	\$ 320,880

Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

## Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

September 30, 2003

	Beazer Homes USA, Inc.		Guarantor Subsidiaries		Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments		Consolidated Beazer Homes USA, Inc.
Net cash (used)/provided by operating								
activities	\$ (11,140)	\$	(32,990)	\$	3,081	\$	\$	(41,049)
Cash flows from investing activities:								
Capital expenditures			(9,309)		(16)			(9,325)
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures			(4,941)		(10)			(4,941)
Distributions from and proceeds from sale			(1,211)					(1,511)
of unconsolidated joint ventures			7,714					7,714
Net cash used by investing activities			(6,536)		(16)			(6,552)
- to the action of the court of			(0,000)		(10)			(0,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:								
Proceeds from Term Loan	200,000							200,000
Repayment of Term Loan	(100,000)							(100,000)
Borrowings under credit facilities	114,100							114,100
Repayment of credit facilities	(114,100)							(114,100)
Redemption of Senior Notes	(104,438)							(104,438)
Advances (to) from subsidiaries	(21,445)		25,206		(3,761)			
Debt issuance costs	(2,458)							(2,458)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	9,805							9,805
Treasury stock purchases	(6,925)							(6,925)
Net cash (used)/provided by financing								
activities	(25,461)		25,206		(3,761)			(4,016)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(36,601)		(14,320)		(696)			(51,617)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of								
year	147,355		(25,759)		3,393			124,989
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 110,754	\$	(40,079)	\$	2,697	\$	\$	73,372
			65					

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC

٨	C	$\Gamma$	U.	IΤ	N٢	ГΤ	N	G	FI	D	M

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Beazer Homes USA, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2005. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.


We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Beazer Homes USA, Inc. and subsidiaries at September 30, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 15, the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been restated to revise the Company s segment disclosures.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 8, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP Atlanta, Georgia December 8, 2005 (May 23, 2006 as to the effects of Note 15)



#### MANAGEMENT S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER

#### FINANCIAL REPORTING

Beazer Homes USA, Inc. s management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company s principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by Beazer Homes board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management has evaluated the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2005 based on the control criteria established in a report entitled *Internal Control Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on such evaluation, we have concluded that the Company s internal control over financial reporting is effective as of September 30, 2005.

The independent registered public accounting firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP, as auditors of Beazer Homes consolidated financial statements, has issued an attestation report on management s assessment of the Company s internal control over financial reporting, which report follows herein.

/s/ Ian J. McCarthy Ian J. McCarthy President and Chief Executive Officer December 8, 2005 /s/ James O Leary James O Leary Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer December 8, 2005

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC

ACCOUNTING FIRM ON INTERNAL CONTROL

**OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING** 

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Beazer Homes USA, Inc.:

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Beazer Homes USA, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follows:

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended September 30, 2005 of the Company and our report dated December 8, 2005 (May 23, 2006 as to the effects of Note 15) expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements, and included an explanatory paragraph related to the restatement of such consolidated financial statements to revise the Company s segment disclosures.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche Atlanta, Georgia December 8, 2005

#### **Quarterly Financial Data**

Summarized quarterly financial information (unaudited):

(in thousands, except per share data)	D	ecember 31	March 31	June 30	September 30
Fiscal 2005:					
Total revenue	\$	911,827	\$ 976,248	\$ 1,293,227	\$ 1,814,051
Operating income before goodwill impairment		110,878	72,121	178,637	255,517
Goodwill impairment			130,235(a)		
Operating income (loss)		110,878	(58,114)(a)	178,637	255,517
Net income (loss)		69,704	(84,344)(a)	112,740	164,424
Net income (loss) per common share:					
Basic (b)	\$	1.73	\$ (2.09)(a)	\$ 2.78	\$ 4.04
Diluted (b)	\$	1.57	\$ (2.09)(a)	\$ 2.50	\$ 3.61
Fiscal 2004:					
Total revenue	\$	810,108	\$ 876,581	\$ 1,009,279	\$ 1,211,141
Operating income		75,652	77,844	96,238	128,201
Net income		47,186	48,858	59,680	80,087
Net income per common share:					
Basic (b)	\$	1.18	\$ 1.22	\$ 1.49	\$ 2.02
Diluted (b)	\$	1.14	\$ 1.17	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.82

<sup>(</sup>a) In March 2005, the Company recognized a \$130.2 million non-cash, non-tax-deductible goodwill impairment charge to write off substantially all of the goodwill allocated to certain underperforming markets in Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky and North Carolina. In addition to the operating income reported above in accordance with GAAP, the Company has provided operating income before goodwill impairment, a non-GAAP financial measure. Management believes that this non-GAAP measure is useful to both management and investors in the analysis of the Company s financial performance when comparing it to prior and subsequent periods and that it provides investors with an important perspective on the current underlying core operating performance of the business by isolating the impact of the goodwill impairment charge related to a prior acquisition.

(b) Per share information has been adjusted to give retrospective application to the March 2005 three-for-one stock split and for the inclusion of shares issuable upon conversion of our Co-Co s in accordance with EITF 04-08, as applicable.

### Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon, and as of the date of that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective, in all material respects, to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required. Further, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), as amended, is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, in a manner to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosure.

In accordance with Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15, the Company has re-evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that re-evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company had reasonable support for its original position and that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures are still effective. The Company has considered the effects of the restatement discussed in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements in arriving at this conclusion. The Company does not believe the change in segment disclosure represents a material weakness in disclosure controls and procedures for a number of reasons. The long-standing historical practice of all large, geographically diverse homebuilders has been to report homebuilding operations as one segment. While the new disclosure represents a change in judgment as to the application of SFAS 131, the additional disclosure did not result in any change to the Company s consolidated financial position or its results of operations and cash flow for any of the periods presented. Also, the Company s disclosures in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations have historically included extensive disclosure regarding performance of the Company s various markets, including disclosures regarding differences in its various geographic regions. While the additional footnote disclosure includes certain additional information, it does not represent a material change in the information provided regarding the Company s performance by region.

There were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) identified in connection with the foregoing evaluation that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2005, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting and the attestation report of Beazer Homes independent registered public accounting firm are included in Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this report under the captions entitled Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and are incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Director information is incorporated by reference to the section entitled Election of Directors of our Proxy Statement for our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be filed on or before December 23, 2005. Information regarding our executive officers is set forth herein under Part I as a separate item.

Beazer Homes has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for its senior financial officers, which applies to its principal financial officer and controller, other senior financial officers and Chief Executive Officer. The full text of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics can be found on the Company s website. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charters of the following committees of our Board of Directors:

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follows5

Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Governance, are also posted to our website, and are available in print to any stockholder who requests a printed copy. Information regarding waivers of our code of business conduct and ethics will also be published on our website.

Item 11. Executive Compensation
The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the section entitled Executive Compensation of our Proxy Statement for our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be filed on or before December 23, 2005.
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the section entitled Security Ownership of Management of our Proxy Statement for our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be filed on or before December 23, 2005.
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions
None.
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services
The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the section entitled Principal Accounting Firm Fees of our Proxy Statemetro our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be filed on or before December 23, 2005.
PART IV
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules
The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended September 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003. Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2005 and 2004.

1. Financial Statements

(a)

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follows7

### 2. Financial Statement Schedules

None required.

#### 3. Exhibits

Exhibit		Page herein or incorporate by
Number	Exhibit Description	reference from
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger among Beazer Homes USA, Inc., Beazer	(6)
	Homes Investment Corp., and Crossmann Communities Inc. dated as of January	
	29, 2002	
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company	(9)
3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company	(12)
4.1	Indenture dated as of May 21, 2001 among the Company and U.S. Bank Trust	(5)
	National Association, as trustee, related to the Company s \$/8% Senior	
	Notes due 2011	

71

4.2	C. 1 (17.1) (0.5/207/ Notes) dated as of May 21, 2001	(5)
4.2	Supplemental Indenture (8 5/8% Notes) dated as of May 21, 2001	(3)
	among the Company, its subsidiaries party thereto and U.S.	
	Bank Trust National Association, as trustee	
4.3	Form of 8 5/8% Senior Notes due 2011	(5)
4.4	Specimen of Common Stock Certificate	(2)
4.5*	Retirement Savings and Investment Plan (the RSIP )	(1)
4.6*	RSIP Summary Plan Description	(1)
4.7	Rights Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1996, between the Company and First Chicago Trust Company of New York, as Rights Agent	(8)
4.8	Indenture dated as of April 17, 2002 among Beazer, the Guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as trustee, related to the	(6)
	Company s 8/8% Senior Notes due 2012	
4.9	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 17, 2002 among Beazer, the Guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as trustee,	(6)
	related to the Company s 8/8% Senior Notes due 2012	
4.10	Form of 8 3/8% Senior Notes due 2012	(6)
4.11	Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 13, 2003 among Beazer, the Guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as trustee, related to the Company s 6 ½% Senior Notes due 2013	(8)
4.12	Form of 6 1/2% Senior Notes due 2013	(8)
4.13	Indenture dated as of June 8, 2004 among Beazer, the Guarantors party thereto	(10)
7.13	and SunTrust Bank, as trustee, related to the 4 5/8% Convertible Senior  Notes due 2024	(10)
4.14	Form of 4 5/8% Convertible Senior Notes due 2024	(10)
4.15	Form of 6 7/8% Senior Notes due 2015	(15)
4.16	Form of Fifth Supplement Indenture, dated as of June 8, 2005, by and among	(13)
4.10	Beazer, the Subsidiary Guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee	(15)
10.14	,	(15)
10.1*	Amended and Restated 1994 Stock Incentive Plan	(16)
10.2* 10.3*	Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Incentive Plan	(8)
10.4*	2005 Value Created Incentive Plan	(7)
10.4**		(12)
10.6*	Amended and Restated Corporate Management Stock Purchase Program Customer Survey Incentive Plan	(12)
10.7*	Director Stock Purchase Program	(12) (12)
10.7*	Form of Stock Option and Restricted Stock Award Agreement	
10.9*	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement	(12) (12)
10.9-15	Amended and Restated Employment Agreements dated as of September 1, 2004:	(12)
10.10*	Ian J. McCarthy	(11)
10.11*	Michael H. Furlow	(11)
10.12*	James O Leary	(11)
10.13*	C. Lowell Ball	(11)
10.14*	Michael T. Rand	(11)
10.15*	John Skelton	(11)
10.16-22	Supplemental Employment Agreements dated as of September 1, 2004:	,
10.16*	Ian J. McCarthy	(11)
10.17*	Michael H. Furlow	(11)
10.18*	James O Leary	(11)
10.19*	C. Lowell Ball	(11)
10.20*	Michael T. Rand	(11)
10.21*	John Skelton	(11)
10.22*	Jonathan P. Smoke	(11)
10.23*	Employment Agreement dated as of September 1, 2004 for Cory J. Boydston	(12)
10.24*	2005 Executive Value Created Incentive Plan	(3)
10.25	Purchase Agreement for Sanford Homes of Colorado LLLP	(4)

10.26

Credit Agreement dated as of August 22, 2005 between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA, as Agent, Guaranty Bank, BNP Paribas and Wachovia Bank, National Association as Syndication Agents, The Royal Bank of

72

	Scotland plc as Documentation Agent, SunTrust Bank, Citicorp North America,	
	Inc. and Washington Mutual Bank, FA, as Managing Agents, Comerica Bank,	
	PNC Bank, National Association and UBS Loan Finance LLC as Co-Agents,	
	and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., as Lead Arranger and Sole Bookrunner.	(14)
10.27*	Employment Agreement dated as of 3/14/05 for Kenneth J. Gary	(13)
10.28*	Supplemental Employment Agreement dated as of 3/14/05 for Kenneth J. Gary	(13)
21	Subsidiaries of the Company	(16)
23	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP	Filed herewith
31.1	Certification pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14 promulgated under Section 302 of	Filed herewith
	the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
31.2	Certification pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14 promulgated under Section 302 of	Filed herewith
	the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to	Filed herewith
	Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to	Filed herewith
	Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	

#### \* Represents a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 33-91904) filed on May 4, 1995.
- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 33-72576) initially filed on December 6, 1993.
- (3) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibit to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on February 9, 2005.
- (4) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on August 10, 2001.
- (5) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2001.
- (6) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-92470) filed on July 16, 2002.
- (7) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8/S-3 (Registration No. 333-101142) filed on November 12, 2002.
- (8) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2003.
- (9) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-4/A filed on March 12, 2002.
- (10) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2004.
- (11) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on September 1, 2004.
- (12) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004.
- (13) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on March 18, 2005.
- (14) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibit to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2005.
- (15) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Registration Statement of Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-127165) initially filed on August 3, 2005.
- (16) Incorporated herein by reference to the exhibits to the Company s report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2005.

#### (c) Exhibits

Reference is made to Item 15(a)3 above. The following is a list of exhibits, included in item 15(a)3 above, that are filed concurrently with this report.

- 23 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14 promulgated under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14 promulgated under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

## (d) Financial Statement Schedules

Reference is made to Item 15(a)2 above.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

#### Beazer Homes USA, Inc.

By: /s/ Ian J. McCarthy

Name: Ian J. McCarthy

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 23, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

May 23, By: /s/ Brian C. Beazer

2006

Date Brian C. Beazer, Director and Non-Executive Chairman of the Board

May 23, By: /s/ Ian J. McCarthy

2006 Date

Ian J. McCarthy, Director, President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

May 23, By: /s/ Laurent 2006 Alpert

Date Laurent Alpert, Director

May 23, By: /s/ Katie J. 2006 Bayne

Date Katie J. Bayne, Director

May 23, By: /s/ Peter G. Leemputte

2006

Date Peter G. Leemputte, Director

May 23, By: /s/ Maureen E. O Connell

2006

Date Maureen E. O Connell, Director

May 23, By: /s/ Larry T. 2006 Solari

Date Larry T. Solari, Director

May 23, By: /s/ Stephen P. Zelnak

2006

Stock Option Awards. Information regarding activity under our stock option plans is summarized as follow43

Date Stephen P. Zelnak, Jr., Director

May 23, By: /s/ James O Leary

2006 Date

James O Leary, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

May 23, By: /s/ Michael T. Rand

2006

Date Michael T. Rand, Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer

(Principal Accounting Officer)

74