RELIANT RESOURCES INC Form 10-K/A July 05, 2002

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A

AMENDMENT NO. 1

(MARK ONE)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-16455

RELIANT RESOURCES, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 76-(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer I

1111 LOUISIANA HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 (Address and zip code of principal executive offices)

(713) (Registrant's telephone

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON

Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share, and associated rights to purchase Series A Preferred Stock

New York Stock

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

1

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of Reliant Resources, Inc. (Reliant Resources) was \$833,436,412 as of April 1, 2002, using the definition of beneficial ownership contained in Rule 13d-3 promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and excluding shares held by directors and executive officers. As of April 1, 2002, Reliant Resources had 289,354,781 shares of Common Stock outstanding including 240,000,000 shares which were held by Reliant Energy, Incorporated. Excluded from the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding are 10,449,219 shares held by Reliant Resources as treasury stock.

Portions of the definitive proxy statement relating to the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Reliant Resources, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2001, are incorporated by reference in Item 10, Item 11, Item 12 and Item 13 of Part III of this Form 10-K.

Reliant Resources, Inc. (Reliant Resources) hereby amends Items 1, 6, 7 and 8 of its Annual Report of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 as originally filed on April 15, 2002.

Restatement

On May 9, 2002, Reliant Resources determined that it had engaged in same-day commodity trading transactions involving purchases and sales with the same counterparty for the same volume at substantially the same price, which the personnel who effected these transactions apparently did so with the sole objective of increasing volumes. Reliant Resources commenced a review to quantify the amount and assess the impact of these trades (round trip trades). The Audit Committees of each of the Board of Directors of Reliant Resources and Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Reliant Energy), a diversified international energy services and energy delivery company that owns approximately 83% of our outstanding common stock, also directed an internal investigation by outside legal counsel, with assistance by outside accountants, of the facts and circumstances relating to the round trip trades and related matters.

We currently report all trading, marketing and risk management services transactions on a gross basis with such transactions being reported in revenues and expenses except primarily for financial gas transactions such as swaps. Therefore, the round trip trades were reflected in both our revenues and expenses (i.e. they should have been reflected on a net basis). The round trip trades should not have been recognized in revenues or expenses. However, since the round trip trades were done at the same volume and substantially the same price, they had no impact on our reported cash flows, operating income or net income. In addition to the round trip trades reported on May 13, 2002, we also identified an additional transaction in 1999, which based on available information, Reliant Resources believes was also recorded with the sole objective of increasing volumes but also resulted in increased revenues and fuel and cost of gas sold expense.

In addition, during the May 2001 through September 2001 time frame, we entered into four structured transactions involving a series of forward or swap contracts to buy and sell an energy commodity in 2001 and to buy and sell an energy commodity in 2002 or 2003 (four structured transactions). The four structured transactions were intended to increase future cash flow and earnings and to increase certainty associated with future cash flow and earnings, albeit at the expense of 2001 cash flow and earnings. Each series of contracts in a structure were executed contemporaneously with the same counterparty and were for the same commodities, quantities and locations. The contracts in each structure were offsetting in terms of physical attributes. The transactions that settled in 2001 were previously recorded on a gross basis with such transactions being reported in revenues and expenses which resulted in \$1.5 billion of revenues, \$364 million in fuel and cost of gas sold and \$1.2 billion of purchased power expense being recognized during the period from May 2001 through December 31, 2001. Having further reviewed the transactions, we now believe these transactions should have been accounted for on a net basis.

In the course of our review, we also identified and determined to record on a net basis several transactions for energy related services (not involving round trip trades) that totaled \$85 million over the three year period ended December 31, 2001. These transactions were originally recorded on a gross basis.

During the fourth quarter of 2000, two power generation swap contracts with a fair value of \$261 million were terminated and replaced with a substantially similar contract providing for physical delivery and designated to hedge electric generation. The termination of the original contracts and execution of the replacement contract represented a substantive modification to the original contract. As a result, upon termination of the original contracts, a contractual liability representing the fair value of the original contracts and a deferred asset of equal amount should have been recorded. As of January 1, 2001, in connection with the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended, the deferred asset should have been recorded as a transition adjustment to other comprehensive loss. The liability and transition adjustment should have been amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the power generation contract replacing the terminated power generation contracts (through May 2004). We previously did not give accounting recognition to these transactions. As a result, we have restated our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2000 and 2001 and the Statement of Consolidated Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2001, to appropriately account for these transactions as described above. The restatement had no impact on our reported consolidated cash flows, operating income or net income.

As a result, Reliant Resources' consolidated financial statements (Original Consolidated Financial Statements) and related disclosures for 1999, 2000 and 2001 have been restated from amounts previously reported. The principal effects of the restatement on the consolidated financial statements are set forth in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements contained in this Form 10-K/A.

For purposes of this Form 10-K/A, and in accordance with Rule 12b-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, each item of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 as originally filed on April 15, 2002 that was affected by the restatement has been amended to the extent affected and restated in its entirety. NO ATTEMPT HAS BEEN MADE IN THIS FORM 10-K/A TO MODIFY OR UPDATE OTHER DISCLOSURES AS PRESENTED IN THE ORIGINAL FORM 10-K EXCEPT AS REQUIRED TO REFLECT THE EFFECTS OF THE RESTATEMENT.

We are a party to numerous lawsuits and regulatory proceedings relating to our trading and marketing activities, including the round trip trades and the

four structured transactions, and our activities in the California wholesale market. In addition, various state and federal governmental agencies have commenced investigations relating to such activities. For a description of certain of these lawsuits, proceedings and investigations, please read "Legal Proceedings" in Item 3 of this Form 10-K and Notes 13(e) and 13(i) to our consolidated financial statements, Notes 11 and 14(c) to our interim financial statements included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2002 (First Quarter Form 10-Q), and our Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 3, 2002.

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1.	Business
Item 2.	Properties
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II

Item 5.	Market for Our Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Opera
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
Item 8.	Financial Statements (as Restated) and Supplementary Data
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclo

PART III

Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers
Item 11.	Executive Compensation
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

PART IV

Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K.....

4

ii

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

From time to time we make statements concerning our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance and underlying assumptions and other statements that are not historical facts. These statements are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these statements. In some cases, you can identify our forward-looking statements by the words "anticipates," "believes," "continue," "could," "estimates," "expects," "forecast," "goal," "intends," "may," "objective," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "projection," "should," "will," or other similar words.

For a list of factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

We have based our forward-looking statements on management's beliefs and assumptions based on information available at the time the statements are made. We caution you that assumptions, beliefs, expectations, intentions and projections about future events may and often do vary materially from actual results. Therefore, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements.

You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

The following sections of this Form 10-K contain forward-looking statements:

- o Our Business
 - o General
 - o Formation, Initial Public Offering and Anticipated Distribution
- o Wholesale Energy
 - o Northeast Region
 - o Facilities
 - o Midwest Region
 - o Facilities
 - o Florida and Other Southeastern Markets -

- o Facilities
- o West Region
 - o Facilities
- o ERCOT Region
 - o Facilities
- o Development Activities
- Domestic Trading, Marketing, Power Origination and Risk Management Services Operations
 - o Natural Gas Trading and Marketing
- o European Energy
 - o European Trading and Power Origination Operations
- o Retail Energy
 - o Market Framework
 - o Retail Energy Supply
- o Regulation
 - o Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
 - o Electricity
 - o Texas Retail Energy

1

- o Environmental Matters
 - o General
 - o Air Emissions
 - o Water Issues
 - o Liability for Preexisting Conditions and Remediation
 - o European Energy
- Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
 - o Our Separation from Reliant Energy, Incorporated
 - o Consolidated Results of Operations
 - o 2001 Compared to 2000
 - o Income Tax Expense

- o Results of Operations by Business Segment
 - o Retail Energy
 - o Other Operations
 - o 2001 Compared to 2000
 - Related-Party Transactions -
 - Agreements Between Reliant Energy and Reliant Resources
 - o Service Agreements
 - o Payment to Reliant Energy
 - Common Directors on Reliant Resources' and Reliant Energy's Board of Directors
- o Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings -
 - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations
 - o Price Volatility
 - Risk Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities
 - o Uncertainty in the California Market
 - o Industry Restructuring, the Risk of Re-regulation and the Impact of Current Regulations
 - Uncertainty Related to the New York Regulatory Environment
 - o Integration and Other Risks Associated with Our Orion Power Assets
 - o Operating Risks
 - Factors Affecting Our Acquisition and Project Development Activities
 - o Increasing Competition in Our Industry
 - o Hydroelectric Facilities Licensing
 - Factors Affecting the Results of Our European Energy Operations
 - o General
 - o Competition in the European Market

- o Deregulation of the Dutch Market
- o Plant Outages
- o Other Factors
- Factors Affecting the Results of Our Retail Energy Operations
 - o General
 - o Competition in the Texas Market
 - o Obligations as a Provider of Last Resort
 - o "Clawback" Payment to Reliant Energy
 - o Operational Risks
- o Factors Related to our Separation from Reliant Energy
 - o Distribution
 - o Reliant Energy as a 80+% Stockholder
 - o Possible Conflicts of Interest
 - o Adverse Tax Consequences
 - o Deconsolidation from the Reliant Energy Consolidated Tax Group
- o Other Factors
 - o Terrorist Attacks and Acts of War
 - o Environmental Regulation
 - o Holding Company Organizational Structure
 - o Liquidity Concerns
 - 2
- o Liquidity and Capital Resources
 - o Consolidated Capital Requirements and Uses of Cash
 - o Environmental Expenditures
 - o Mid-Atlantic Assets Lease Obligation
 - o Naming Rights to Houston Sports Complex
 - o Payment to Reliant Energy
 - o Treasury Stock Purchases
 - o Downgrade in Our Credit Rating

- o Counterparty Credit Risk
- o Consolidated Sources of Cash
 - o Reliant Resources Restricted Cash
 - o Credit Facilities
 - o Reliant Resources Credit Facilities Covenants
 - o Orion Power Credit Facilities
- o Potential Future Liquidity Sources
 - o Commercial Paper Program
 - o Debt Securities in the Capital Markets
 - o Settlement of Indemnification of REPGB Stranded Costs
- o Factors Affecting Our Sources of Cash and Liquidity
- o Credit Ratings
- o Off-Balance Sheet Transactions
 - o Construction Agency Agreements
 - o Equipment Financing Structure
- o New Accounting Pronouncements and Critical Accounting Policies
 - o New Accounting Pronouncements
 - o Critical Accounting Policies
- o Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
 - o Market Risk
 - o Trading Market Risk
 - o Non-trading Market Risk
 - o Risk Management Structure
 - o Credit Risk

3

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

GENERAL

Reliant Resources, Inc., a Delaware corporation, was incorporated in August 2000. In this Form 10-K, we refer to Reliant Resources, Inc. as "Reliant Resources," and to Reliant Resources and its subsidiaries collectively, as "we" or "us," unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. The executive offices of Reliant Resources are located at 1111 Louisiana, Houston, TX 77002 (telephone number 713-207-3000).

We provide electricity and energy services with a focus on the competitive wholesale and retail segments of the electric power industry in the United States. We acquire, develop and operate electric power generation facilities that are not subject to traditional cost-based regulation and therefore can generally sell power at prices determined by the market. We also trade and market power, natural gas and other energy-related commodities and provide related risk management services.

As of December 31, 2001, we owned or leased electric power generation facilities with an aggregate net generating capacity of 14,585 megawatts (MW), including 11,109 MW in the United States and 3,476 MW in the Netherlands. Of the 11,109 MW in the United States, 1,179 MW represent our entitlement to capacity of facilities that we lease under operating leases. For additional information regarding these operating leases, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Consolidated Capital Requirements and Uses of Cash" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, and Note 13(c) to our consolidated financial statements, which, together with the notes related to these statements, we refer to in this Form 10-K as our "consolidated financial statements." We acquired our first power generation facilities in 1998 and have increased our net generating capacity since then through a combination of acquisitions and development of new generation projects. Since December 31, 2001, we have added 5,644 MW of additional net generating capacity to our asset portfolio through our acquisition of Orion Power Holdings, Inc. According to Resource Data International, Inc., we are the second largest independent electric power producer in the United States based on total MW of wholesale generation capacity in operation as of February 28, 2002.

As of December 31, 2001, we had 3,587 MW (3,391 MW, net of 196 MW to be retired upon completion of one facility) of additional net generating capacity under construction, including 2,120 MW of facilities owned by off-balance sheet special purpose entities that are being constructed under construction agency agreements pursuant to synthetic leasing arrangements. Upon the completion of construction, we expect that we will lease these facilities from their owners. For additional information regarding the construction agency agreements, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Off-Balance Sheet Transactions" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and Note 13(h) to our consolidated financial statements. We consider a project to be "under construction" once we have acquired the necessary permits to begin construction, broken ground on the project site and contracted to purchase machinery for the project, including the combustion turbines.

Additionally, we became a retail electric provider (i.e., a seller of electricity to retail customers) in Texas when that market began opening to retail electric competition in late 2001 and fully opened to retail competition in January 2002. Since then, all classes of customers of most investor-owned Texas utilities, as well as those of any municipal utility or electric cooperative that opted to participate in the competitive marketplace, have been able to choose their retail electric provider. Under Texas regulation, retail electric providers procure or buy electricity from wholesale generators at unregulated rates, sell electricity at generally unregulated rates to their retail customers and pay the local transmission and distribution regulated

utilities a regulated tariff rate for delivering the electricity to their customers. In January 2002, we became the retail electric provider for all of Reliant Energy HL&P's (formerly the integrated electric utility serving the Houston, Texas metropolitan area) (Reliant Energy's electric utility) approximately 1.7 million customers in the Houston area who did not take action to select another retail electric provider. At that time, we were also able to acquire and serve new retail electric customers in other Texas competitive markets.

4

We conduct our operations through the following business segments:

- Wholesale Energy provides electricity and energy services in the competitive segments of the United States wholesale energy industries,
- European Energy includes power generation assets in the Netherlands and a related trading and power origination business,
- Retail Energy provides electricity and related services to retail customers primarily in Texas, and
- Other Operations includes the operations of our venture capital and Communications businesses, and unallocated corporate costs.

For information about the revenues, operating income, assets and other financial information relating to our business segments, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations by Business Segment" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements. For information regarding the decision to exit our Communications business, please read Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements.

FORMATION, INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING AND ANTICIPATED DISTRIBUTION

Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Reliant Energy) owns more than 80% of our outstanding common stock. Reliant Energy has adopted a business separation plan in response to the Texas Electric Choice Plan (Texas electric restructuring law) adopted by the Texas legislature in June 1999. The Texas electric restructuring law substantially amended the regulatory structure governing electric utilities in Texas in order to allow retail electric competition with respect to all customer classes beginning in January 2002. Under its business separation plan filed with the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Texas Utility Commission), Reliant Energy has transferred substantially all of its unregulated businesses to us in order to separate its regulated and unregulated operations. In accordance with the plan, we completed our initial public offering (IPO) of nearly 20% of our common stock in May 2001 and received net proceeds from the IPO of \$1.7 billion. Pursuant to the terms of the master separation agreement between Reliant Energy and us, we used \$147 million of the net proceeds to repay certain indebtedness owed to Reliant Energy. We used the remainder of the net proceeds of the IPO for repayment of third party borrowings, capital expenditures, repurchases of our common stock and to increase our working capital. For additional information regarding the IPO, please read Notes 1 and $9\left(a\right)$ to our consolidated financial statements. For additional information regarding agreements and transactions between Reliant Resources and Reliant Energy, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Related-Party Transactions" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and Notes 3 and 4 to our consolidated financial statements.

As part of its business separation plan, Reliant Energy has publicly disclosed that it intends to restructure its corporate organization into a public utility holding company structure (Reorganization) and to distribute, subject to further governmental and corporate approvals, market and other conditions, its remaining equity interest in our common stock to its or its successor's shareholders (Distribution). In December 2001, Reliant Energy's shareholders voted to approve the merger required for the holding company reorganization. As a result of the Reorganization and the Distribution, Reliant Energy's successor holding company will be named "CenterPoint Energy, Inc." and will own essentially all of Reliant Energy's regulated businesses (CenterPoint Energy), and we will become a separate company unaffiliated with CenterPoint Energy. Reliant Energy has publicly disclosed its goal to complete the Reorganization and subsequent Distribution as quickly as possible after all the necessary conditions are fulfilled, including receipt of an order from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) granting the required approvals under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (1935 Act) and an extension from the IRS for a private letter ruling obtained by Reliant Energy regarding tax-free treatment of the Distribution. Reliant Energy has filed an application with the SEC requesting the required approvals. The IRS private letter ruling is predicated on the completion of the Distribution by April 30, 2002. Reliant Energy is in the process of requesting an extension of this deadline. Reliant Energy currently expects to complete the Reorganization and Distribution in the summer of 2002. We cannot assure you that the Distribution will be completed as described or within the time period outlined above.

5

ORION POWER ACQUISITION

On February 19, 2002, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Orion Power Holdings, Inc. (Orion Power) for \$26.80 per share in cash pursuant to a definitive merger agreement for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.9 billion. At the time of closing, Orion Power had approximately \$2.4 billion of debt obligations (\$2.1 billion net of cash acquired, some of which is restricted pursuant to debt covenants). Orion Power is an independent electric power generating company that was formed in March 1998 to acquire, develop, own and operate power generating facilities in certain deregulated wholesale markets in North America. Orion Power has a diversified portfolio of generating assets, both geographically across the states of New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio and West Virginia, and by fuel type, including gas, oil, coal and hydropower. As of February 28, 2002, Orion Power owned 81 power plants with an aggregate net generating capacity of 5,644 MW and had two development projects with an additional 804 MW of capacity under construction. We consider most of the Orion Power facilities to be part of our Northeast regional portfolio and the remainder to be part of our Midwest regional portfolio. For additional information regarding our acquisition of Orion Power and its operations, please read " - Wholesale Energy - Northeast Region," and " - Midwest Region," and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Integration and Other Risks Associated with Our Orion Power Assets" and " - Uncertainty Related to the New York Regulatory Environment" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, and Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements.

WHOLESALE ENERGY

Our Wholesale Energy business segment provides energy and energy services

with a focus on the competitive wholesale segment of the United States energy industry. We acquire, develop and operate electric power generation facilities that are not subject to traditional cost-based regulation and therefore can generally sell power at prices determined by the market, subject to regulatory limitations in certain regions. We also trade and market power, natural gas, natural gas transportation capacity and other energy-related commodities and provide related risk management services.

POWER GENERATION OPERATIONS

As of December 31, 2001, our Wholesale Energy business segment owned or leased electric power generation facilities with an aggregate net generating capacity of 11,109 MW located in five regions of the United States. We also had 3,587 MW (3,391 MW, net of 196 MW to be retired upon completion of one facility) of net generating capacity under construction as of that date. In addition, by acquiring Orion Power in February 2002, we added 81 power plants with an aggregate net generating capacity of 5,644 MW and two development projects with an additional 804 MW of capacity under construction to our regional portfolios.

6

The following table describes our Wholesale Energy business segment's electric power generation facilities by region as of December 31, 2001.

REGIONAL SUMMARY OF OUR GENERATION FACILITIES (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001)

REGION	NUMBER OF GENERATION FACILITIES(1)	TOTAL NET GENERATING CAPACITY(MW)	DISPATCH TYPE(2)
NORTHEAST			
Operating(3)	21	4,262	Base, Inter, Peak
Under Construction(4)(5)(6)	1	1,120	Base, Inter, Peak
Combined	22	5,382	
Operating	2	1,063	Peak
Under Construction(7)		154	Peak
Combined	2	1,217	
Operating(8)	3	979	Inter, Peak, CoGen
Under Construction(5)(9)	1	958	Base, Inter, Peak
Combined	4	1,937	
Operating(7)	7	4,635	Base, Inter, Peak
Under Construction	1	548	Base, Peak
Combined ERCOT(10)	8	5,183	
Operating	1	170	Base, CoGen

Combined	37	14,500	
Under Construction	3	3,391	
Operating	34	11,109	
TOTAL			
Combined	1	781	
Under Construction(4)		611	Base, CoGen

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, we own a 100% interest in each facility listed.
- (2) We use the designations "Base," "Inter," "Peak" and "CoGen" to indicate whether the facilities described are base-load, intermediate, peaking or cogeneration facilities, respectively.
- (3) We lease a 100%, 16.67% and 16.45% interest in three Pennsylvania facilities having 613 MW, 285 MW and 281 MW, respectively, through facility lease agreements having terms of 26.5 years, 33.75 years and 33.75 years, respectively.
- (4) One of our two construction projects in this region will replace one of our existing facilities upon completion. Therefore, this project is not included in the facility count for the "Under Construction" group of this region.
- (5) Our two construction projects in the Northeast region and one of our projects in the Southeast region are owned by off-balance sheet special purpose entities and are being constructed under construction agency agreements pursuant to synthetic leasing arrangements. We expect that we will lease these facilities from their owners upon completion.
- (6) The 1,120 MW of net capacity under construction is based on 1,316 MW of capacity currently under construction less 196 MW of operating capacity that will be retired upon completion of one of the projects.
- (7) Five of the six generating units of one of the facilities in this region are operational while the sixth unit is under construction. This partially operational facility is included in the facility count for the "Operating" group of this region.
- (8) We own a 50% interest in one of these facilities. An independent third party owns the other 50%.
- (9) Two of the three generating units of one of the facilities in this region are operational while the third unit is under construction. This partially operational facility is included in the facility count for the "Operating" group of this region.

(10) We also have an option, which is exercisable in January 2004, subject to completion of the Distribution, to acquire Reliant Energy's approximate 80% interest in a company that is currently expected to own approximately 13,900 MW of net generating capacity in the Electric Reliability Council of

Texas (ERCOT) in January 2004. For additional information regarding this option, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Related-Party Transactions - Agreements between Reliant Energy and Reliant Resources - Genco Option Agreement" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and Note 4(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

The following table describes our Orion Power electric power generation facilities by region as of February 28, 2002.

REGIONAL SUMMARY OF OUR ORION POWER FACILITIES (AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2002)

REGION	NUMBER OF GENERATION FACILITIES	TOTAL NET GENERATING CAPACITY (MW)	DISPATCH TYPE(1)	
NORTHEAST				
Operating(2)	78	4,174	Base, Inter, Peak	Gas/
Under Construction	2	804	Base, Inter	Gas
Combined MIDWEST	80	4,978		
Operating TOTAL	3	1,470	Base, Inter, Peak	Coal
Operating(2)	81	5,644		
Under Construction	2	804		
Combined(2)	83	6,448		
		, ==============		

- (1) We use the designations "Base," "Inter" and "Peak" to indicate whether the facilities described are base-load, intermediate or peaking, respectively.
- (2) Two hydro plants with a net generating capacity of approximately 5 MW are not currently operational.

NORTHEAST REGION

Facilities. As of December 31, 2001, we owned or leased 21 electric power generation facilities with an aggregate net generating capacity of 4,262 MW located in the control area of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM ISO), the independent system operator in the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland market (PJM market). These facilities are owned or leased by subsidiaries of one of our wholly owned subsidiaries, Reliant Energy Mid-Atlantic Power Holdings, LLC (REMA). The generating capacity of these facilities consists of approximately 40% of base-load, 40% of intermediate and 20% of peaking capacity, and represents approximately 7% of the total generation capacity located in the PJM ISO's control area. For additional information regarding our acquisition of these facilities, please read Note 5(a) to our consolidated financial statements.

By acquiring Orion Power in February 2002, we added 78 power generation facilities, of which 75 are currently operational, with an aggregate net

generating capacity of 4,174 MW to our Northeast regional portfolio. These facilities include 70 hydroelectric facilities, of which 68 are currently operational, located in central and northern New York State, three facilities located in New York City, one facility located in East Syracuse, New York, and four facilities, three of which are currently fully operational, located in Pennsylvania. The generating capacity of these facilities consists of approximately 45% of base-load, 35% of intermediate and 20% of peaking capacity. For a discussion of factors that may affect the future earnings generated by these Orion Power facilities, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Integration and Other Risks Associated With Our Orion Power Assets" and " - Uncertainty Related to the New York Regulatory Environment" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

8

We have begun construction on a 795 MW gas-fired base-load and intermediate facility located in Pennsylvania. We expect this facility will begin commercial operation in the second quarter of 2003. We have also begun construction on a 521 MW coal-fired base-load facility, also located in Pennsylvania, that will replace one of our existing facilities. This facility will add 325 MW of additional capacity to our Northeast regional portfolio, net of the 196 MW of capacity of the currently existing facility that will be retired upon commencement of commercial operations of the new facility. We expect this facility will begin commercial operation near the end of 2004. These facilities are owned by off-balance sheet special purpose entities and are being constructed under the terms of separate construction agency agreements pursuant to synthetic leasing arrangements. Upon completion of the construction of these facilities, we expect that we will lease these facilities from their owners, purchase or remarket each facility. For additional information regarding the construction agency agreements, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Off-Balance Sheet Transactions - Construction Agency Agreements" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and Note 13(h) to our consolidated financial statements.

By acquiring Orion Power in February 2002, we added two additional development projects with an additional 804 MW of capacity under construction. The first project is the construction of a 550 MW gas-fired base-load facility located south of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. We expect this facility will begin commercial operation in the second quarter of 2002. The second project is the conversion and upgrade of a peaking facility located near downtown Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. We expect this project will be completed by the third quarter of 2002 and will increase the aggregate generating capacity of this facility by 254 MW to a total capacity of 308 MW.

Market Framework. We currently sell the power generated by our Northeast regional facilities in the PJM market, the wholesale energy market of the State of New York (New York wholesale market) operated by the New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) and to buyers in adjacent power markets, such as the region covered by the East Central Area Reliability Coordinating Counsel (ECAR market). We also expect to sell power in a newly created extension of the PJM market in western Pennsylvania (PJM West market). Each of the PJM Market, the New York wholesale market and the PJM West market operate as centralized power pools with open-access, non-discriminatory transmission systems administered by independent system operators approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Although the transmission infrastructure within these markets is generally well developed and independently operated, transmission constraints exist between, and to a certain extent within, these markets. In particular,

transmission of power from eastern Pennsylvania to western Pennsylvania and into New York City may be constrained from time to time. Depending on the timing and nature of transmission constraints, market prices may vary from market to market, or between sub-regions of a particular market. For example, as a result of transmission constraints into New York City, power prices are generally higher there than in other parts of the state.

In addition to managing the transmission system for each market, the respective independent system operator for each of the PJM market, the New York wholesale market and the PJM West market is responsible for maintaining competitive wholesale markets, operating the spot wholesale energy market and determining the market clearing price based on bids submitted by participating generators in each market. Each independent system operator generally matches sellers with buyers within a particular market that meet specified minimum credit standards. We sell capacity, energy and ancillary services into the markets maintained by the applicable independent system operator for each of these types of products for both real-time sales and forward sales for periods of up to one year. Our customers include the members of each market, consisting of municipalities, electric cooperatives, integrated utilities, transmission and distribution utilities, retail electric providers and power marketers. We also sell capacity, energy and ancillary services to customers in the Northeast region under negotiated bilateral contracts. Bilateral contracts, in addition to other physical and financial transactions enable us to hedge a portion of our generation portfolio. For a more complete description of our hedging strategy and a summary of the consolidated hedge position of our United States generating assets, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings -Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

Our markets in the Northeast region are subject to constant and significant regulatory oversight and control and the results of our operations in the region may be adversely affected by any changes or additions to the current regulatory structure. Our sales into markets administered by the PJM ISO are governed by the PJM ISO's operating

9

agreements, tariffs and protocols (PJM Protocols). The PJM Protocols provide the structure, rules and pricing mechanisms for the PJM ISO's energy, capacity and ancillary services markets, and establish rates, terms and conditions for transmission service in the PJM ISO's control area and the PJM West market, including transmission congestion pricing. Wholesale energy prices in the markets administered by the PJM ISO are currently capped at \$1,000 per megawatt hour. Lower caps are utilized in other regions and it is possible that this price cap might be lowered in the future.

Our sales into markets administered by the NYISO are governed by the NYISO's tariff and protocols (NYISO Protocols). The NYISO Protocols provide the structure, rules and pricing mechanisms for the NYISO's energy, capacity and ancillary services markets, and establish rates, terms and conditions for transmission service in the NYISO's control area. The NYISO Protocols allow energy demand, commonly referred to as "load," to respond to high prices in

emergency and non-emergency situations. The lack of programs, however, to implement load response to prices has been cited as one of the primary reasons for retaining wholesale energy bid caps, which are currently set at \$1,000 per megawatt hour. Lower price caps are utilized in other regions and it is possible that this price cap might be lowered in the future.

A capacity market has been established by the NYISO that ensures that there is enough generation capacity to meet retail energy demand and ancillary services requirements. All power retailers are required to demonstrate commitments for capacity sufficient to meet their peak forecasted load plus a reserve requirement, currently set at 18%. As an extra reliability measure, power retailers located in New York City are required to procure the majority of this capacity, currently 80% of their peak forecasted load, from generating units located in New York City. Because New York City is currently short of this capacity requirement and the existing capacity is owned by only a few entities, a price cap has been instituted for in-city generators.

For additional discussion of the impact of current regulations on the markets in the Northeast region and the related risks of re-regulation, please read " - Regulation - Federal Energy Regulatory Commission" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Industry Restructuring, the Risk of Re-regulation and the Impact of Current Regulations" and " - Uncertainty Related to the New York Regulatory Environment" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

MIDWEST REGION

Facilities. As of December 31, 2001, we owned two electric power generation facilities located in the State of Illinois with an aggregate net generating capacity of 1,063 MW in operation. One of these facilities is a 344 MW gas-fired peaking generation facility located in Shelby County, Illinois. The first phase of this facility was initially placed in commercial operation in June 2000 and the second phase was placed in commercial operation in May 2001. We also have an 873 MW gas-fired peaking generation facility under construction in Aurora, Illinois. As of December 31, 2001, five of the six generating units at this facility with an aggregate net generating capacity of 719 MW had been placed in commercial operation. We expect the remaining unit at this facility will begin commercial operation in the second quarter of 2002.

By acquiring Orion Power in February 2002, we added three power generation facilities with an aggregate net generating capacity of 1,470 MW to our Midwest regional portfolio. Two of these facilities are located in Ohio and one is located in West Virginia. The generating capacity of these facilities consists of approximately 50% of base-load, 15% of intermediate and 35% of peaking capacity. For a discussion of the factors that may affect the future earnings generated by these Orion Power assets, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Integration and Other Risks Associated With Our Orion Power Assets" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

Market Framework. We sell the power generated by our Midwest regional facilities into the ECAR market and the region covered by the Mid-America Interconnected Network Reliability Council (MAIN market). These markets include all or portions of the states of Illinois, Wisconsin, Missouri, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Virginia, West Virginia, Tennessee, Maryland and Pennsylvania. These markets are currently in a state of transition and are in the process of establishing regional transmission organizations (RTO) that would define the rules and 10

requirements around which competitive wholesale markets in the region would develop. The FERC has approved proposals by the Midwest Independent System Operator (Midwest ISO) to administer a substantial portion of the transmission facilities in the Midwest region. The FERC also has ordered the Alliance RTO, which had a separate proposal to be the RTO for parts of the Midwest region, to explore joining the Midwest ISO. As a result, the final market structure for the Midwest region remains unsettled. The timing of the development of a RTO and the extent to which the Midwest ISO and the Alliance RTO would combine is currently unknown. In addition, some states within these markets have restructured their electric power markets to competitive markets from traditional utility monopoly markets, while others have not. Currently the transmission infrastructure in these markets is generally owned by non-independent market participants, some of which are our competitors, which has the potential to create market anomalies. Transmission constraints exist in these markets and have been managed by the owners of the transmission infrastructure, subject to transmission tariffs and protocols regulated by the FERC.

We currently sell power from our facilities in the Midwest region to customers under bilateral contracts that are generally non-standard with highly negotiated terms and conditions. Our customers include municipalities, electric cooperatives, integrated utilities, transmission and distribution utilities and power marketers. Direct customer sales, in addition to other physical and financial transactions enable us to hedge a portion of our generation portfolio. For a more complete description of our hedging strategy and a summary of the consolidated hedge position of our United States generating assets, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

FLORIDA AND OTHER SOUTHEASTERN MARKETS

Facilities. As of December 31, 2001, we owned, or owned interests in, three power generation facilities with an aggregate net generating capacity of 979 MW located in the states of Florida and Texas. These facilities include one gas and oil-fired generation facility with an aggregate net generating capacity of 619 MW located near Titusville, Florida. This facility can be operated as either an intermediate or a peaking facility. We also own a 464 MW gas and oil-fired peaking generation facility in Osceola County, Florida. Two of the three generating units of this plant with an aggregate net generating capacity of 310 MW commenced commercial operation in December 2001. We expect the remaining generating unit at this facility will begin commercial operation in the second quarter of 2002. In addition, we own a 50% interest in a 100 MW gas-fired base-load/cogeneration facility located in Orange, Texas. Air Liquide owns the other 50% interest in this plant which has been in commercial operation since December 1999.

We have begun construction on an 804 MW gas-fired intermediate/peaking facility in Choctaw County, Mississippi. We expect this facility will begin commercial operation in the second quarter of 2003. This facility is being constructed under the terms of a construction agency agreement under a synthetic leasing arrangement. Upon completion of the construction of this facility, we will have the right to lease, purchase or remarket the facility. For additional information regarding the construction agency agreement, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Off-Balance Sheet Transactions -Construction Agency Agreements" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, and Note 13(h) to

our consolidated financial statements.

Market Framework. We currently conduct the majority of our Southeast regional operations in the state of Florida. The state of Florida, other than a portion of the western panhandle, constitutes a single reliability council and contains approximately 5% of the United States population. The transmission-owning utilities in Florida have proposed establishing an independent system operator to assume control of the transmission system and undertake to define the rules and requirements for a competitive wholesale market. The timing of the development of an independent system operator for the Florida market is currently unknown. Under its present structure, the Florida market is dominated by incumbent utilities. There are a number of statutory and regulatory restrictions that negatively impact the development of additional power generation facilities in the region.

We currently sell power from our facilities in the Florida market under bilateral contracts that are non-standard and highly negotiated for terms and conditions. Until the rules for system operations are established, we expect limited trading opportunities will exist in the Florida market. The customers who participate in power transactions

11

in this region include municipalities, electric cooperatives and integrated utilities. We sell capacity and energy to customers in the Florida market, however a market for ancillary services has not developed. Forward hedging of a portion of our Florida portfolio is generally accomplished through customer-tailored, multi-year sale agreements as no liquid, over-the-counter or auction markets currently exists in Florida. For a more complete description of our hedging strategy and a summary of the consolidated hedge position of our United States generation assets, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

With respect to our facilities in East Texas and Mississippi, several of the transmission-owning utilities in the Southeast region have formed the SETrans Grid Company (SETrans RTO) that they are proposing to serve as the region's RTO. The proposed SETrans RTO would manage, but not own, the transmission grid in the region and operate forward and spot markets for energy. The SETrans RTO has filed a status report with the FERC, but has not filed tariffs or protocols and has not been approved as the region's RTO.

WEST REGION

Facilities. As of December 31, 2001, we owned, or owned interests in, seven electric power generation facilities with an aggregate net generating capacity of 4,635 MW located in the states of California, Nevada and Arizona. These facilities include approximately 20% of base-load, 75% of intermediate and 5% of peaking capacity. Our facilities in the West region include five facilities with an aggregate net generating capacity of 3,800 MW located in California. We also own a 50% interest in a 490 MW gas-fired, base-load, peaking facility located near Las Vegas, Nevada. Sempra Energy owns the other 50% interest in this plant. In addition, we own a 590 MW gas-fired, base-load, peaking generation facility in Casa Grande, Arizona. This facility was placed in commercial operation in the fourth quarter of 2001. We also have a 548 MW gas-fired, base-load, peaking generation facility under construction in Nevada. We expect this facility will begin commercial operation in the fourth quarter of 2003.

Market Framework. Our West regional market includes the states of Arizona, California, Oregon, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Washington. Generally we sell the power generated by our California and Nevada facilities to customers located in the Los Angeles basin of southern California. We also sell power generated by our Nevada facility to customers located in southern Nevada. Our customers in these states include power marketers, investor-owned utilities, electric cooperatives, municipal utilities and the California Independent System Operator (Cal ISO) acting on behalf of load-serving entities. We sell power and ancillary services to these customers through a combination of bilateral contracts and sales made in the Cal ISO's day-ahead and hour-ahead ancillary services markets and its real-time energy market. The Cal ISO does not currently maintain a market for capacity; however, a capacity market has recently been proposed by the Cal ISO under its market mitigation plan for the California market.

We have agreed to sell up to 100% of the power generated by our Arizona facility to the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District of the State of Arizona under a long-term power purchase agreement. Bilateral contracts, in addition to other physical and financial transactions enable us to hedge a portion of our generation portfolio. For a more complete description of our hedging strategy and a summary of the consolidated hedge position of our United States generating assets, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K. In addition, although we do not own generation facilities in the states of Oregon, New Mexico, Utah and Washington, our trading and marketing operations purchase and deliver energy commodities in these states.

Our operations in the California market are subject to numerous environmental and other regulatory restrictions. Permits issued by local air districts restrict the output of some of our generating facilities. In addition, certain air districts require us to purchase emission credits to offset Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) emissions from our facilities.

In response to California's electricity market restructuring initiative, the FERC issued a series of orders in 1996 and 1997 approving a wholesale market structure administered by two independent non-profit corporations: the Cal ISO, responsible for operational control of the transmission system and the purchase or sale of electricity in "real-

12

time" to balance actual supply and demand, and the California Power Exchange (Cal PX), responsible for conducting auctions for the purchase or sale of electricity on a day-ahead or day-of basis. As part of this market restructuring, California's distribution utilities sold essentially all of their gas-fired plants to third-party generators. The utilities were required to sell their remaining generation into the Cal PX markets and purchase all of their power requirements from the Cal PX markets at market-based rates approved by the FERC. California's regulatory system initially prohibited the utilities from entering into forward contracts to cover the bulk of their customers' requirements. Retail electricity rates were initially frozen at levels in effect on June 10, 1996, with a 10% rate reduction for residential and smaller commercial customers. When wholesale power costs began to rise dramatically in 2000, driven by a combination of factors, including higher natural gas prices and emission allowance costs, reduction in available hydroelectric generation resources, increased demand and decreases in net imports, some of the California

utilities were unable to recover their purchased power costs through the retail rates they were allowed to charge. As a result, the utilities accumulated huge debts to wholesale power suppliers, including us. The Cal ISO currently is conducting a major market redesign process that, if approved by the FERC, could change the structure of the markets operated by the Cal ISO, including changes to market monitoring and mitigation, congestion management and capacity obligations. For a discussion of litigation and other legal proceedings related to energy sales in California, the impact of current regulations on our West region and related uncertainty associated with the California wholesale market, please read " - Regulation - Federal Energy Regulatory Commission," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Uncertainty in the California Market" and Notes 13(e) and 13(i) to our consolidated financial statements.

In Nevada and Arizona, there is presently no RTO in place to manage the transmission systems or to operate energy markets, although one RTO working group is evaluating the establishment of an organization that would assume control, subject to FERC approval, over the transmission systems of the utilities operating in this region. The FERC has recently expressed its intention to pursue the establishment of an RTO in the West region.

Additionally, in Nevada and Arizona, state-level regulatory initiatives may impact competition in the electric sector. In Nevada, the state legislature has passed legislation prohibiting the state's investor-owned utilities from divesting generation. Similarly, in Arizona, proceedings are pending before the Arizona Corporation Commission that would allow the Arizona Public Service Company to avoid a requirement to seek competitive bids for 50% of the Arizona Public Service Company's generation needs.

ERCOT REGION

Facilities. We currently own a partially operational 781 MW gas-fired, combined cycle, cogeneration facility in Channelview, Texas. 170 MW of this facility's capacity is currently operational and 611 MW are under construction. We expect the remaining generating units for this facility will begin commercial operations in the third quarter of 2002.

In addition to our Channelview facility, we have an option exercisable in January 2004, subject to completion of the Distribution, to acquire Reliant Energy's ownership interest in a company (Texas Genco) that is currently expected to own approximately 13,900 MW of aggregate net generation capacity in Texas in January 2004 (Texas Genco Option). Reliant Energy has agreed to publicly offer or distribute to its shareholders approximately 20% of the common stock of Texas Genco before December 31, 2002. The generating capacity of these facilities consists of approximately 60% of base-load, 35% of intermediate and 5% of peaking capacity, and represents approximately 20% of the total capacity in ERCOT. As part of Reliant Energy's business separation plan, Reliant Energy's electric utility will convey its generating assets to Texas Genco. The conveyance is part of the anticipated restructuring of Reliant Energy's businesses into a holding company structure. For additional information regarding the Texas Genco Option, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Related Party Transactions - Agreements between Reliant Energy and Reliant Resources - Genco Option Agreement" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, and Note 4(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

Market Framework. The state of Texas, other than a portion of the panhandle and a portion of the east bordering on Louisiana, constitutes a single reliability council (ERCOT market). As part of the transition to deregulation in Texas, ERCOT changed its operations from 10 control areas, managed by utilities in the state, to a single control area on July 31, 2001. The ERCOT independent

system operator (ERCOT ISO) is responsible for

13

maintaining reliable operations of the bulk electric power supply system in the ERCOT market. Its responsibilities include ensuring that information relating to a customer's choice of retail electric provider is conveyed in a timely manner to anyone needing the information. It is also responsible for ensuring that electricity production and delivery are accurately accounted for among the generation resources and wholesale buyers and sellers in the ERCOT market. Unlike independent systems operators in other regions of the country, ERCOT is not a centrally dispatched pool and the ERCOT ISO does not procure energy on behalf of its members other than to maintain the reliable operation of the transmission system. Members are responsible for contracting their energy requirements bilaterally. ERCOT also serves as agent for procuring ancillary services for those who elect not to provide their own ancillary services requirement.

Members of ERCOT include retail customers, investor and municipal owned electric utilities, rural electric cooperatives, river authorities, independent generators, power marketers and retail electric providers. The ERCOT market operates under the reliability standards set by the North American Electric Reliability Council. The Texas Utility Commission has primary jurisdictional authority over the ERCOT market to ensure the adequacy and reliability of electricity across the state's main interconnected power grid. For information regarding ERCOT systems issues and delays, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Retail Energy Operations - Operational Risks" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

As part of the change to a single control area, ERCOT initially established three congestion zones; north, west and south. ERCOT will perform an annual analysis of the transmission capability in ERCOT to determine if changes to the congestions zones is required. Any required changes will take effect January 1 of the following year. Such an analysis was performed in the fall of 2001 and as a result, ERCOT was divided into four congestion zones on January 1, 2002. The current zones are north, south, west and Houston. In addition, ERCOT conducts annual and monthly auctions of Transmission Congestion Rights (TCR) which provide the entity owning TCRs the ability to financially hedge price differences between zones (basis risk). Entities are currently limited to owning a maximum of 25% of the available TCRs. The retail load obligation of our Retail Energy segment that was acquired as part of full retail deregulation on January 1, 2002 is predominately in the Houston zone. For additional information regarding the retail load obligations of our Retail Energy segment, please read " - Retail Energy Supply."

LONG-TERM PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENTS

In the ordinary course of business, and as part of our hedging strategy, we enter into long-term sales arrangements for power, as well as long-term purchase arrangements. For information regarding our long-term fuel supply contracts, purchase power and electric capacity contracts and commitments, electric energy and electric sale contracts and tolling arrangements, please read Notes 6, 13(a) and 13(c) to our consolidated financial statements. For information regarding our hedging strategy relating to such long-term commitments, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting

the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

As of December 31, 2001, we had 3,587 MW (3,391 MW, net of 196 MW to be retired upon completion of one facility) of additional net generating capacity under construction, including 2,120 MW of facilities owned by off-balance sheet special purpose entities, that are being constructed under construction agency agreements pursuant to synthetic leasing arrangements. Upon the completion of the construction of these facilities, we expect that we will lease these facilities from their owners. For additional information regarding the construction agency agreements, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Off-Balance Sheet Transactions - Construction Agency Agreements" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and Note 13(h) to our consolidated financial statements.

In addition, Orion Power had three projects totaling 1,054 MW under construction as of December 31, 2001. However, at this time, we have decided to postpone a 250 MW project in Florida because of capital market and economic considerations. With improved capital market conditions and required approvals from Florida authorities

14

on a newly configured 500 MW design, we would plan to proceed with construction in the future. Also, Orion Power had two projects under advanced development as of December 31, 2001, which have been deferred. A 1,088 MW project in Maryland has been postponed due to capital market considerations and because we believe that the PJM market will be sufficiently supplied for the next few years. A repowering project in New York City with a total capacity of 1,608 MW has been postponed until we see an improvement in the capital markets.

As a result of several recent events, including the United States economic recession, the price decline of our industry sector in the equity capital markets and the downgrading of the credit ratings of several of our significant competitors, the availability and cost of capital for our business and the businesses of our competitors has been adversely affected. In response to these events and the intensified scrutiny of companies in our industry sector by the rating agencies, we have reduced our planned capital expenditures by \$2.7 billion over the 2002 - 2006 time frame.

DOMESTIC TRADING, MARKETING, POWER ORIGINATION AND RISK MANAGEMENT SERVICES OPERATIONS

In addition to our power generation operations, we trade and market power, natural gas and other energy-related commodities and provide related risk management services to our customers. Our domestic trading, marketing, power origination and risk management operations complement our domestic power generation operations by providing a full range of energy management services. These services include management of the sales and marketing of energy, capacity and ancillary services from these facilities, and also management of the purchase and sale of fuels and emission allowances needed to operate these facilities. Generally, we seek to sell a portion of the capacity of our domestic

facilities under fixed-price sale contracts, fixed-capacity payments or contracts to sell power at a predetermined multiple of either gas or oil prices. This provides us with certainty as to a portion of our margins while allowing us to maintain flexibility with respect to the remainder of our generation output. We evaluate the regional forward power market versus our own fundamental analysis of projected future prices in the region to determine the amount of our capacity we would like to sell and the terms of sale pursuant to longer-term contracts. We also take operational constraints and operating risk into consideration in making these determinations. Generally, we seek to hedge a portion of our fuel costs, which are usually linked to a percentage of our power sales. We also market energy-related commodities and offer physical and financial wholesale energy marketing and price risk management products and services to a variety of customers. These customers include natural gas distribution companies, electric utilities, municipalities, cooperatives, power generators, marketers or other retail energy providers, aggregators and large volume industrial customers.

The following table illustrates the growth of our physical power and gas trading volumes since 1999.

TRADING VOLUMES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEM

	1999	2000	
Total Power (MWh(1))	82,381,103	171,618,485	
Total Gas (Bcf(2))	1,564	2,423	

(1) Megawatt hours.

(2) Billion cubic feet.

Electric Power Trading and Marketing. We purchase electric power from other generators and marketers and sell power primarily to electric utilities, municipalities and cooperatives and other marketing companies. Our trading and marketing group is also responsible for the marketing of power produced from the power plants we own. We also provide risk management, physical and financial fuel purchase and power sales and optimization services to our customers.

Power Origination. Some of our employees focus on developing and providing customers with long-term customized products (power origination products). These products are designed and negotiated on a case-by-case basis to meet the specific energy requirements of our customers. Our power origination teams work closely with our trading and marketing group and our power generation group to sell long-term products from our power generation assets. They also work to leverage our market knowledge to capture attractive opportunities available through

selling products that combine or repackage energy products purchased from third parties with other third-party products or with products from our power generation assets. Our efforts to sell power origination products from our power generation assets have been focused on longer-term forward sales to municipalities, cooperatives and other companies that serve end users, as well as sales of near-term products that are not widely traded. Our power origination products that combine or repackage third-party products are generally highly structured and therefore require the application of our commercial capabilities (e.g., power trading and asset positions).

Natural Gas Trading and Marketing. We purchase natural gas from a variety of suppliers under daily, monthly and term, variable-load and base-load contracts that include either market sensitive or fixed pricing provisions. We sell natural gas under sales agreements that have varying terms and conditions, most of which are intended to match seasonal and other changes in demand. We sold an average of 9.6 Bcf per day of natural gas in 2001, an average of 6.6 Bcf per day in 2000 and an average of 4.3 Bcf per day in 1999, some of which was sold to the natural gas distribution company subsidiaries of Reliant Energy. We plan to continue to purchase natural gas to supply to our power plants.

Our natural gas marketing activities include contracting to buy natural gas from suppliers at various points of receipt, aggregating natural gas supplies and arranging for their transportation, negotiating the sale of natural gas and matching natural gas receipts and deliveries based on volumes required by customers.

We arrange for, schedule and balance the transportation of the natural gas we market from the supply receipt point to the purchaser's delivery point. We generally obtain pipeline transportation to serve our customers. Accordingly, we use a variety of transportation arrangements for our customers, including short-term and long-term firm and interruptible agreements with intrastate and interstate pipelines. We also utilize brokered firm transportation agreements when dealing on the interstate pipeline system. As of December 31, 2001, we held over two bcf per day of firm transportation in the United States. In the normal course of business it is common for us to hedge the risk of pipeline transportation expenses through "basis swaps." To the extent we have contractually secured pipeline transportation rights in order to fulfill our obligations to sell gas at specific delivery points, or to acquire gas for our own requirements at generation facilities as part of our hedging strategy for power sales, and a pipeline experiences a force majeure event, our ability to transport gas on a contracted capacity basis could become impaired, which could affect the integrity of our hedged position.

We also enter into various short-term and long-term firm and interruptible agreements for natural gas storage in order to offer peak delivery services to satisfy winter heating and summer electric generating demands. Natural gas storage capacity allows us to better manage the unpredictable daily or seasonal imbalances between supply volumes and demand levels. In addition to entering into contracts of natural gas storage capacity in strategic locations throughout the country, we are actively pursuing a natural gas storage development plan. These services are also intended to provide an additional level of performance security and backup services to our customers.

Other Commodities and Derivatives. We trade and market other energy-related commodities. We use derivative instruments to manage and hedge our fixed-price purchase and sale commitments and to provide fixed-price or floating-price commitments as a service to our customers and suppliers. We also use derivative instruments to reduce our exposure relative to the volatility of the cash and forward market prices and to protect our investment in storage inventories. For

additional information regarding our financial exposure to derivative instruments, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations -Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

Intercontinental-Exchange. In July 2000, we, along with five other natural gas and power companies, American Electric Power, Aquila Energy, Duke Energy, El Paso Corporation and Mirant Corporation, made an investment in Intercontinental-Exchange, a new, web-based, on-line trading platform (www.intcx.com) for trading various commodities including precious metals, crude oil and refined products, natural gas and electricity. The other five natural gas and power companies, along with us, own less than 50% of Intercontinental -Exchange. In June 2001, Intercontinental-Exchange acquired the International Petroleum Exchange. With this acquisition, Intercontinental-Exchange became the first company to offer both an exchange trading over-the-counter commodity contracts and an exchange trading commodity futures contracts. At the same time, Intercontinental-Exchange announced plans to

16

integrate the two types of exchanges into a single electronic trading platform. Our decision to invest, as one of a group of natural gas and power companies, in Intercontinental-Exchange was based on a desire to support the development of a neutral, anonymous, electronic trading platform for bi-lateral energy transactions. We believe the commercial success of such an exchange model will benefit us by contributing to improved price transparency and transaction liquidity in the wholesale energy markets. The principal online competitors of Intercontinental-Exchange are currently TradeSpark.com and the NYMEX, a traditional futures exchange that has announced an online initiative.

Risk Management Controls. For information regarding our risk management structure and accounting policies, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Trading and Marketing Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

COMPETITION

For a discussion of competitive factors affecting our Wholesale Energy segment, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations -Increasing Competition in Our Industry" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, which section is incorporated herein by reference.

EUROPEAN ENERGY

Our European Energy business segment includes 3,476 MW of power generation assets located in the Netherlands and a related trading and power origination operations. This segment includes the operations of Reliant Energy Power Generation Benelux N.V. (formerly UNA N.V.) (REPGB) and Reliant Energy Trading & Marketing B.V. and its affiliates.

In 2001, we evaluated strategic alternatives for our European Energy

segment, including a possible sale. We completed our evaluation and have determined that given current market conditions and prices, it is not advisable to sell our European Energy operations. Consequently, we decided to continue to own and operate our European Energy segment and expand our trading and origination activities in Northwest Europe.

EUROPEAN POWER GENERATION OPERATIONS

Facilities. As of December 31, 2001 we owned five electric power generation facilities in the Netherlands with an aggregate net generating capacity of 3,476 MW and include approximately 39% of base-load, 36% of intermediate and 25% of peaking capacity. Our facilities are grouped in three clusters adjacent to the cities of Amsterdam, Utrecht and Velsen. In 2001, our generation facilities produced 14 million MWh, an amount which represented approximately 13% of the electricity production of the Netherlands (excluding electricity generated by cogeneration or other industrial processes). In addition to electricity, our generating stations sell heated water produced as a byproduct of the generation process for use in providing heating (district heating) to the cities of Amsterdam, Nieuwegein, Utrecht and Purmerend.

In 2001, approximately 51% of our European Energy segment's generation output was natural gas-fired, 30% was coal-fired, 18% was blast furnace gas-fired and less than 1% was oil-fired. Our European Energy segment purchases substantially all of its gas fuel requirements under medium to long-term gas purchase contracts with N.V. Nederlandse Gasunie, the primary supplier and transporter of natural gas in the Netherlands. The purchase price and transportation costs for natural gas under these contracts are calculated on the basis of regulated tariffs.

Our European Energy segment historically purchased all of its coal requirements under short-term contracts with a coal trading and supply company now owned by two of the Dutch generation companies. In December 2001, REPGB and the other shareholder of the coal trading and supply company agreed to terminate future coal purchases through this entity effective in mid-2002. Our European Energy segment intends to obtain its future coal requirements through short to medium-term forward purchase contracts on the open market through a variety of suppliers and brokers.

17

One of our European Energy generation stations, which has a production capacity of 144 MW, uses blast furnace gas, an industrial waste gas generated by a steel plant adjacent to the generation station, as its fuel. Two of our other European Energy segment's generation plants have the flexibility to operate using blast furnace gas. We purchase the blast furnace gas from the adjacent steel plant under a medium-term and a long-term contract. We purchase our fuel oil requirements on the open market.

We acquired REPGB in October 1999 for approximately \$1.9 billion (based on the then applicable exchange rate of 2.06 Dutch Guilders (NLG) per U.S. dollar). For information regarding the acquisition, please read note 5(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

Market Framework. Our European Energy segment produces, buys and sells electricity, gas and other energy-related commodities in the Northern European wholesale market. Its generation production activities are centered in the Netherlands, where it is one of the four large-scale generation companies. It operates five generation facilities with an installed capacity of 3,476 MW. Its energy trading and origination operations concentrate their activities primarily

in the Netherlands, Germany and the Scandinavian regions. In the fourth quarter of 2001, our European Energy segment expanded its electricity trading operations to the United Kingdom.

The primary customers of our European Energy segment are electric distribution companies, large industrial consumers and energy trading companies. We sell electricity and other energy-related commodities primarily in the form of forward purchase contracts transacted in the over-the-counter markets, on various European energy exchanges and in individually negotiated transactions with individual counterparties. To a lesser extent, we also engage in transactions involving financial energy-related derivative products.

The most significant factor affecting the markets in which our European Energy segment operates has been the recent deregulation of the Dutch and certain other European wholesale energy markets, including access on a non-discriminatory basis to high voltage transmission grid systems, the establishment of new energy exchanges and other events. Notwithstanding these factors, the scope and pace of the future liberalization of the European energy markets is uncertain. For example, access to some European markets continues to be subject to transmission and other constraints. In some cases, fuel suppliers continue to operate in largely regulated markets not yet open to full competition.

EUROPEAN TRADING AND POWER ORIGINATION OPERATIONS

Our European Energy segment's trading and power origination operations are centered in Amsterdam, Netherlands, with additional offices in London and Frankfurt. Our European Energy segment trades electricity and fuel products in the Netherlands, Germany, Austria, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and the Scandinavian countries. Our marketing operations focus on distribution companies and large industrial and commercial customers in the Benelux and German markets. As of December 31, 2001, our European Energy segment had entered into forward purchase and sale contracts, and associated hedging transactions, covering approximately 18.6 million MWh for delivery in 2002.

Our European Energy segment's trading and power origination operations seek to utilize a business model, including risk management and related control policies, similar to that utilized in our Wholesale Energy operations in the United States. There are, however, significant differences in the United States and European markets. Among other things, European energy markets involve increased currency hedging requirements (the Euro and non-Euro currencies), and more complicated cross-border tax and transmission tariff systems than in the United States. In addition, European energy markets are significantly less mature than United States energy markets in terms of liquidity, the scope and complexity of trading and marketing products, the use of standardized market-based trading contracts and other aspects.

In addition, there exist greater uncertainties in some European jurisdictions as to the enforceability of certain contract-based mechanisms to hedge risks, such as the enforceability of automatic termination rights and rights of set-off upon bankruptcy, limitations on liquidated damages and the rules by which European courts construct contracts. In many civil law jurisdictions, courts reserve the right to interpret contracts based upon principles of good faith and fairness as opposed to a literal construction of the contract. 18

As of December 31, 2001, we had provided an aggregate of \$831 million in guarantees with respect to contract obligations of the European Energy segment.

COMPETITION

For a discussion of competitive factors affecting our European Energy segment, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings -Factors Affecting the Results of Our European Energy Operations - Competition in the European Market" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, which section is incorporated herein by reference.

RETAIL ENERGY

We provide electricity and related services to retail customers primarily in Texas through our wholly owned subsidiaries Reliant Energy Retail Services, LLC (Residential Services), Reliant Energy Solutions, LLC (Solutions) and StarEn Power, LLC (StarEn Power). As a retail electric provider, generally we procure or buy electricity from wholesale generators at unregulated rates, sell electricity at generally unregulated rates to our retail customers and pay the local transmission and distribution utilities a regulated tariff rate for delivering the electricity to our customers. We became a provider of retail electricity in Texas when that market began opening to retail competition in late 2001 and fully opened to retail competition in January 2002. In January 2002, we began to provide retail electricity services to all of the approximately 1.7 million customers of Reliant Energy's electric utility located in its service area who did not take action to select another retail electric provider. We provide electricity and related products and services to residential and small commercial (i.e., small and medium-sized business customers with a peak demand for power at or below one MW) customers through Residential Services, and offer customized, integrated electric commodity and energy management services to large commercial, industrial and institutional (e.g., hospitals, universities, school systems and government agencies) customers through Solutions for customers with a peak demand for power of greater than one MW. Residential Services, Solutions and StarEn Power have been certified as retail electric providers by the Texas Utility Commission. StarEn Power has been appointed by the Texas Utility Commission to be the provider of last resort (POLR) in certain areas of the State of Texas. Under the Texas electric restructuring law, a POLR is required to offer a standard retail electric service package to requesting customers of a class designated by the Texas Utility Commission within the POLR's territory at a fixed, nondiscountable rate.

In preparation for retail electric competition in Texas, we expanded our infrastructure of information technology systems, business processes and staffing levels to meet the needs of our retail businesses. These include a customer care system module and wholesale/retail energy supply, risk management, e-commerce, scheduling/settlement, customer relationship management and sales force automation systems. As of December 31, 2001, we had invested \$153 million in retail infrastructure development. For additional information regarding the Texas retail electric market, please read " - Market Framework," " - Regulation - Texas - Retail Energy" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Retail Energy Operations - Competition in the Texas Market" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

RESIDENTIAL SERVICES

Residential Services provides electricity to residential retail and small commercial customers in Texas. As of January 1, 2002, Residential Services was the retail electric provider for approximately 1.5 million residential customers located in the Houston metropolitan area, making us the second largest retail electric provider in Texas as of that date. Residential Services' marketing strategy for residential customers emphasizes reliability and trust with our customers, and focuses on savings, value and customer service. We launched an advertising campaign to reposition our brand in the Houston and Dallas/Fort Worth metropolitan areas in the second half of 2001.

As the affiliated retail electric provider, or successor in interest, to Reliant Energy's electric utility, Residential Services was also the retail electric provider for approximately 200,000 small commercial customers in the Houston metropolitan area as of January 1, 2002. Residential Services' marketing strategy for small commercial customers uses a combination of direct marketing and individual sales calls to establish our brand and to attract additional customers.

19

As the affiliated retail electric provider, Residential Services will not be permitted to sell electricity to residential and small commercial customers in Reliant Energy's electric utility service territory at a price other than a fixed, specified price (price to beat) until January 1, 2005, unless before that date the Texas Utility Commission determines that 40% or more of the amount of electric power that was consumed in 2000 by the relevant class of customers in the service territory is committed to be served by other retail electric providers. In addition, the Texas electric restructuring law requires us, as the affiliated retail electric provider, to make the price to beat available to residential and small commercial customers in Reliant Energy's electric utility service territory through January 1, 2007, if requested by such customers. For more information about the price to beat, please read " - Regulation - Texas -Retail Energy."

SOLUTIONS

Solutions provides electricity and energy services to large commercial, industrial and institutional customers with whom it has signed contracts. In addition, it provides electricity at previously established default rates to those large commercial, industrial and institutional customers in the service territory of Reliant Energy's electric utility who have not entered into a contract with another retail electric provider. The majority of Solutions' revenues will come from the sale of electricity to its customers. In order to be classified as a large commercial customer, an electricity customer may aggregate the purchase of electricity for its own use at multiple locations such that the total peak demand exceeds one MW.

In addition to providing electricity, Solutions provides customized, integrated energy solutions, including risk management and energy services products, and demand side and energy information services to large commercial, industrial and institutional customers. Since its formation in April 1996, Solutions has completed over 220 energy services projects for large commercial, industrial and institutional clients. The services that Solutions provides its customers include the replacement or upgrade of energy-intensive capital equipment, the financing of energy-intensive equipment, infrastructure optimization, substation development and maintenance and power quality assurance.

Solutions is recognized as the affiliated retail electric provider, or successor in interest, to Reliant Energy's electric utility for large commercial, industrial and institutional customers. Solutions targets institutional, manufacturing, industrial and other large commercial customers, including multisite retailers and restaurants, petroleum refineries, chemical companies, real estate management firms, educational institutions and healthcare providers. As of December 31, 2001, this customer segment in Texas included approximately 1,750 buying organizations consuming an aggregate of approximately 16,000 MW of electricity at peak demand. As of December 31, 2001, Solutions had signed contracts with customers representing a peak demand of approximately 3,700 MW and serving approximately 12,000 meter locations.

STAREN POWER

StarEn Power serves as the POLR in portions of the state of Texas, as designated by the Texas Utility Commission. For 2002, StarEn Power has been appointed to serve as the POLR for residential and small commercial customers in the western portion of the Dallas/Fort Worth metropolitan area formally served by TXU Electric Company. In addition, StarEn Power has been appointed as the POLR in the service territory of Reliant Energy's electric utility for large commercial, industrial and institutional customers. The rates and terms under which StarEn Power provides service are governed by the terms of a settlement agreement between StarEn Power and various interested parties approved by the Texas Utility Commission. For additional information regarding our POLR obligations, rates and terms of service, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings – Factors Affecting the Results of Our Retail Energy Operations – Obligations as a Provider of Last Resort" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

MARKET FRAMEWORK

The Texas electric restructuring law substantially amended the regulatory structure governing electric utilities in Texas in order to allow retail competition, which fully began in January 2002. In order to prepare for the opening of the retail market, a retail pilot project for up to 5% of each utility's load in all customer classes began in August

20

2001. For information regarding the retail market framework in Texas, please read " - Regulation - Texas - Retail Energy" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Retail Energy Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K. Generally, under the Texas electric restructuring law, the retail electric provider procures or buys electricity from wholesale generators, sells electricity at retail to its customers and pays the transmission and distribution utility a regulated tariffed rate for delivering electricity to its customers. All retail electric providers in an area pay the same rates and other charges for transmission and distribution, whether or not they are affiliated with the transmission and distribution utility for that area. The transmission and distribution rates in effect as of January 1, 2002 for each utility were set through rate cases before the Texas Utility Commission.

RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY

In Texas, our Wholesale Energy group and our Retail Energy group work together in order to determine the price, demand and supply of energy required

to meet the needs of our Retail Energy segment's customers. Our Wholesale Energy trading and marketing operations are responsible for commodity pricing, risk assessment and supply procurement for our Retail Energy segment. Our Retail Energy segment manages retail pricing decisions and forecasts the demand for the procurement of electricity by the Wholesale Energy segment. The costs of our trading, marketing and risk management services associated with obtaining the electricity supply for our retail customers in Texas are borne by our Retail Energy segment. Our Wholesale Energy group acquires supply for our Retail Energy segment by several means. We may purchase capacity from non-affiliated parties in the capacity auctions mandated by the Texas Utility Commission. Please read " - Regulation - Texas - Retail Energy" for more information about these auctions. Under the terms of the Master Separation Agreement between Reliant Resources and Reliant Energy, we may also participate in and purchase up to approximately 50% of the remaining capacity of the generation facilities to be owned by Texas Genco sold in auctions substantially similar to, but separate from, the capacity auctions mandated by the Texas Utility Commission in which 15% of the total capacity of these facilities is required to be auctioned. In addition, we have the right to purchase 50% (but not less than 50%) of the remaining capacity of Texas Genco following the state mandated capacity auctions at prices to be established in the aforementioned Texas Genco auctions. Please read Notes 3 and 4(b) to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our participation in these auctions. We also enter into bilateral contracts with third parties for capacity, energy and ancillary services. We continuously monitor and update these positions based on retail sales forecasts and market conditions.

COMPETITION

For a discussion of competitive factors affecting our Retail Energy segment, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Retail Energy Operations -Competition in the Texas Market" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K, which section is incorporated herein by reference.

OTHER OPERATIONS

For 2001, our Other Operations business segment included:

- o the operations of our venture capital division (New Ventures),
- o the operations of our communications business (Communications), and
- o unallocated corporate costs.

NEW VENTURES

Our New Ventures division manages our existing new technology investments and identifies and invests in promising new technologies and businesses that relate to our energy services operations. Focus areas for investment include distributed generation, clean energy and energy industry software and systems.

21

Generally, we make our investments either directly or indirectly as limited partners in venture capital funds. As of December 31, 2001, we have invested approximately \$35 million in five venture capital funds with an energy and utility focus and have made commitments to invest an additional \$11 million in these funds. As of December 31, 2001, these funds held investments in 43

33

companies. Excluding our investment in Grande Communications, Inc. discussed below, New Ventures' direct investment portfolio consists of eight companies with a total of \$7 million invested as of December 31, 2001.

In September 2000, we committed to make a \$25 million investment in Grande Communications, Inc., which was completed in August 2001. Grande Communications is a Texas-based communications company building a deep fiber broadband network that will offer bundled services, including high-speed Internet, all-distance telephone and advanced cable entertainment to homes and businesses. We invested a further \$1 million in Grande Communications in October 2001 as part of a larger debt and equity financing for the company. Grande Communications has announced its intention to build a broadband network in the Houston area and has secured a cable franchise from the City of Houston. The Houston build out will be in addition to the Central Texas cities of Austin, San Marcos, and San Antonio which are already under development.

COMMUNICATIONS

During the third quarter of 2001, we decided to exit our Communications business. The business served as a facility-based competitive local exchange carrier and Internet services provider and owned network operations centers and managed data centers in Houston and Austin. Our exit plan was substantially completed in the first quarter of 2002. For more information regarding the exiting of our Communication business, please read Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements.

REGULATION

OVERVIEW

We are subject to regulation by various federal, state, local and foreign governmental agencies, including the regulations described below.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Electricity. Under the Federal Power Act, the FERC has exclusive rate-making jurisdiction over wholesale sales of electricity and the transmission of electricity in interstate commerce by "public utilities." Public utilities that are subject to the FERC's jurisdiction must file rates with the FERC applicable to their wholesale sales or transmission of electricity in interstate commerce. All of our generation subsidiaries sell power at wholesale and are public utilities under the Federal Power Act with the exception of two facilities in Texas, which are qualifying facilities and not regulated as public utilities. The FERC has authorized these subsidiaries to sell electricity and related services at wholesale at market-based rates. In its orders authorizing market-based rates, the FERC also has granted these subsidiaries waivers of many of the accounting, record keeping and reporting requirements that are imposed on public utilities with cost-based rate schedules.

The FERC's orders accepting the market-based rate schedules filed by our subsidiaries or their predecessors, as is customary with such orders, reserve the right to revoke or limit our market-based rate authority if the FERC subsequently determines that any of our affiliates possess excessive market power. If the FERC were to revoke or limit our market-based rate authority, we would have to file, and obtain the FERC's acceptance of, cost-based rate schedules for all or some of our sales. In addition, the loss of market-based rate authority could subject us to the accounting, record keeping and reporting requirements that the FERC imposes on public utilities with cost-based rate schedules.

The FERC issued Order No. 2000 in December 1999. Order No. 2000, which applies to all FERC jurisdictional transmission providers, describes the FERC's

intention to promote the establishment of large RTOs and sets forth the minimum characteristics and functions of RTOs. Among the basic minimum characteristics are that the RTOs must be independent of market participants and must be of sufficient scope and geographical configuration. Order No. 2000 also encourages RTOs to work with each other to minimize or eliminate "seams" issues between RTOs

22

that operate as barriers to inter-regional transactions. The FERC's goal is to encourage the growth of a robust competitive wholesale market for electricity. Although jurisdictional transmission providers are not required to join RTOS, they are encouraged to do so. Under Order No. 2000, RTOs were to be operational by December 15, 2001. However, because RTO development was in different stages in different regions of the country, the FERC issued an order on November 7, 2001 extending the deadline until it resolves issues relating to geographic scope and governance of qualifying RTOs across the country and issues relating to business and procedural needs. For organizations to accomplish the functions of Order No. 2000, the FERC is taking steps to create business standards and protocols to facilitate RTO formation. However, there can be no assurance that the FERC's goals will be achieved. Also there is considerable state-level resistance in some regions, including regions in which we operate, to the formation of RTOs. At least 14 separate organizations, covering the substantial majority of all the FERC jurisdictional transmission providers, are in various stages of organization and have made at least preliminary filings with the FERC.

Trading and Marketing. Our domestic trading and marketing operations are also subject to the FERC's jurisdiction under the Federal Power Act. As a gas marketer, we make sales of natural gas in interstate commerce at wholesale pursuant to a blanket certificate issued by the FERC, but the FERC does not otherwise regulate the rates, terms or conditions of these gas sales. We are also a "public utility" under the Federal Power Act, and our wholesale sales of electricity in interstate commerce are subject to a FERC-filed rate schedule that authorizes us to make sales at negotiated, market-based rates.

In authorizing market-based rates for various of our subsidiaries, the FERC has imposed some restrictions on these entities' transactions with Reliant Energy's electric utility, including a prohibition on the receipt of goods or services on a preferential basis. The FERC also has imposed restrictions on natural gas transactions between us and Reliant Energy's natural gas pipeline subsidiaries to preclude any preferential treatment. Similar restrictions apply to transactions between us and Reliant Energy's electric utility under Texas utility regulatory laws.

Hydroelectric Facilities. The majority of our generating facilities located in the state of New York are hydroelectric facilities, many of which are subject to the FERC's exclusive authority under the Federal Power Act to license non-federal hydroelectric projects located on navigable waterways and federal lands. These FERC licenses must be renewed periodically and can include conditions on operation of the project at issue.

TEXAS - RETAIL ENERGY

In June 1999, Texas adopted the Texas electric restructuring law. The Texas electric restructuring law substantially amended the regulatory structure governing electric utilities in Texas. Full retail competition in the service territories of some investor-owned electric utilities began in January 2002, and in the territories of any municipally-owned utility and electric cooperative that opts to open its market to retail competition. Under the Texas electric

restructuring law, the traditional, vertically-integrated utility is required to separate its generation, transmission and distribution, and retail activities. Unlike the vertically-integrated utility, which was subject to cost-of-service rate regulation, the profit earned by retail electric providers will not be subject to regulation, except for the price to beat requirement described below. The transmission and distribution business will continue to be subject to cost-of-service rate regulation and will be responsible for the delivery of electricity to retail customers through retail electric providers. Wholesale power generators will continue to sell electric energy to purchasers, including retail electric providers, at unregulated rates. To facilitate a competitive market, each power generator affiliated with a transmission and distribution utility is required to sell at auction 15% of the output of its installed generating capacity. This auction obligation continues until January 1, 2007, unless the Texas Utility Commission determines before that date that at least 40% of the quantity of electric power consumed in 2000 by residential and small commercial customers in the affiliated transmission and distribution utility's service area is being served by retail electric providers not affiliated with the incumbent utility. An affiliated retail electric provider may not purchase capacity sold by its affiliated power generation company in the state mandated capacity auctions.

The Texas electric restructuring law allows most retail electric customers of Texas investor-owned electric utilities, and those of any municipally-owned utility or electric cooperative that opts to open its market to retail competition, to take action to select their retail electric provider for service as of January 1, 2002. Retail electric providers which are affiliates of, or successors in interest to, electric utilities may compete substantially statewide for these sales, but prices they may charge to residential and small commercial customers within the affiliated

23

electric utility's traditional service territory are subject to a fixed, specified price (price to beat) at the outset of retail competition. The price to beat is subject to potential adjustments up to two times per year, as described below. In December 2001, the Texas Utility Commission established the price to beat we are required to charge our residential and small commercial customers for electricity sales in the Houston metropolitan area. Our price to beat was set at a level resulting in an estimated 17% reduction to pre-existing rates for our residential customers and an estimated 22% reduction to pre-existing rates for our small commercial customers.

Municipally-owned utilities and electric cooperatives have the option to open their markets to retail competition any time after January 1, 2002. However, until a municipally-owned utility or electric cooperative adopts a resolution opting to open its market to retail competition, it may not offer electric energy at unregulated prices to retail customers outside its service area. In November 2001, Nueces Electric Cooperative and San Patricio Electric Cooperative received Texas Utility Commission approval of required filings necessary to open their markets to retail competition. Some large Texas cities, including San Antonio and Austin, are served by municipally-owned utilities that have not announced when or if they will open their markets to competition.

New, unaffiliated retail electric providers that enter a particular market may sell electricity to residential and small commercial customers at any price, including a price below the price to beat. By allowing non-affiliated retail electric providers to provide retail electric service to customers in an electric utility's traditional service territory at any price, including a price below the price to beat, the Texas electric restructuring law is designed to

encourage competition among retail electric providers. Affiliated retail electric providers will not be permitted to sell electricity to residential and small commercial customers in the transmission and distribution utility's traditional service territory at a price other than the price to beat until January 1, 2005, unless before that date the Texas Utility Commission determines that 40% or more of the amount of electric power that was consumed in 2000 by the relevant class of customers in the certificated service area of the affiliated transmission and distribution utility is committed to be served by other retail electric providers. In addition, the Texas electric restructuring law requires the affiliated retail electric provider to make the price to beat available to residential and small commercial customers in the traditional service area of the related incumbent utility through January 1, 2007. The price to beat only applies to electric services provided to residential and small commercial customers (i.e., customers with an aggregate peak demand at or below one MW). Electric services provided to large commercial, industrial and institutional customers (i.e., customers with an aggregate peak demand of greater than one MW), whether by the affiliated retail electric provider or a non-affiliated retail electric provider, may be provided at any negotiated price.

The Texas Utility Commission's regulations allow an affiliated retail electric provider to adjust the wholesale energy supply cost component or "fuel factor," included in its price to beat based on a percentage change in the price of natural gas. The fuel factor included in our price to beat was initially set by the Texas Utility Commission at the then average forward 12 month gas price strip of approximately \$3.11/mmbtu. In addition, the affiliated retail electric provider may also request an adjustment as a result of changes in its price of purchased energy. In such a request, the affiliated retail electric provider may adjust the fuel factor to the extent necessary to restore the amount of headroom that existed at the time the initial price to beat fuel factor was set by the Texas Utility Commission. An affiliated retail electric provider may request that its price to beat be adjusted twice a year. Currently, we cannot estimate with any certainty the magnitude and timing of the adjustments required, if any, and the eventual impact of such adjustments on headroom. To the extent that the adjustments are not received on a timely basis, our results of operations may be adversely affected. Based on forward gas prices at the end of March 2002, we estimate that we would be able to increase our price to beat by between approximately 4% and 5%.

The Texas electric restructuring law requires the affiliated retail electric provider to reconcile and credit to the affiliated transmission and distribution utility in early 2004 any positive difference between the price to beat, reduced by a specified delivery charge, and the prevailing market price of electricity unless the Texas Utility Commission determines that, on or prior to January 1, 2004, 40% or more of the amount of electric power that was consumed in 2000 by residential or small commercial customers, as applicable, within the affiliated transmission and distribution utility's traditional service territory is committed to be served by other non-affiliated retail electric providers. If the 40% test is not met, the reconciliation and credit will be in the form of a payment to Reliant Energy, not to exceed \$150 per customer. For additional information regarding this payment, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Consolidated Capital Requirements and Uses of Cash - Payment to Reliant Energy" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K and Note 13(g) to our consolidated financial statements.

The Texas electric restructuring law requires the Texas Utility Commission

to designate retail electric providers as POLR in areas of the state in which retail competition is in effect. A POLR is required to offer a standard retail electric service package for each class of customers designated by the Texas Utility Commission at a fixed, nondiscountable rate approved by the Texas Utility Commission, and is required to provide the service package to any requesting retail customer in the territory for which it is the POLR. In the event that another retail electric provider fails to serve any or all of its customers, the POLR is required to offer that customer the standard retail service package for that customer class with no interruption of service to the customer. For additional information regarding our obligation as a POLR, and regarding the Texas retail market framework in general, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Retail Energy Operations" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION - PUBLIC UTILITY HOLDING COMPANY ACT OF 1935

Under the Energy Policy Act of 1992, a company engaged exclusively in the business of owning and/or operating facilities used for the generation of electric energy exclusively for sale at wholesale and selling electric energy at wholesale may be exempted from regulation under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (1935 Act) as an exempt wholesale generator (EWG). Qualifying facilities, such as two of our projects in Texas, are similarly exempt from regulation under the 1935 Act. Our electric generation facilities have received determinations of EWG status from the FERC. If any of these subsidiaries lose their EWG or qualifying facility status, we would have to restructure our organization or risk being subjected to regulation under the 1935 Act.

Reliant Energy is both a holding company and an electric utility as defined in the 1935 Act. However, Reliant Energy is exempt from regulation as a holding company under Section 3(a)(2) of the 1935 Act.

REPGB is a foreign utility company exempt from regulation as a "public utility company" under the 1935 Act. The Texas Utility Commission and the state regulatory commissions of Arkansas and Minnesota have imposed limitations on the amount of investments that Reliant Energy or its subsidiaries may invest in foreign utility companies and, in some cases, foreign electric wholesale generating companies. These limitations are based upon Reliant Energy's consolidated net worth, retained earnings, and debt and stockholders' equity, respectively. Subject to some limited exceptions, the 1935 Act also prohibits any public utility from issuing any security for the purpose of financing the acquisition, ownership or operation of a foreign utility company, or assuming any obligation or liability in respect of any security of a foreign utility company.

In connection with its business separation plan, Reliant Energy plans to restructure its remaining businesses and to register as a public utility holding company under the 1935 Act or to seek an exemption from the registration requirements of the 1935 Act. If Reliant Energy becomes a registered public utility holding company prior to the distribution of our common stock to its shareholders, we will be subject to regulation as a "subsidiary company" under the 1935 Act. As a result, we would be subject to limitations under the 1935 Act related to, among other things, our acquisition, ownership and operation of energy assets outside of our current business plan and payments of dividends by us and our subsidiaries from unearned surplus. Additionally, we would need to obtain approval under the 1935 Act prior to acquiring the voting securities of any public utility or taking any other actions that would result in affiliation with another public utility. Following the Distribution, we would no longer be subject to the provisions of the 1935 Act either as a subsidiary or an affiliate of Reliant Energy. 25

THE NETHERLANDS

Prior to the deregulation of the Dutch wholesale market in 2001, our European Energy segment sold its generating output to a national production pool and, in return, received a standardized remuneration. The remuneration included fuel cost, return of and on capital and operation and maintenance expenses. Under a transitional agreement which expired in 2000, the non-fuel portion of this amount was fixed during the period 1997 through 2000. For additional information, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our European Energy Operations -Competition in the European Market" and " - Deregulation of the Dutch Market" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

In 2001, the wholesale energy market of our European Energy segment's primary market in the Netherlands was opened to competition. Our European Energy segment continues to be subject to regulation by a number of national and European regulatory agencies and regulations relating to the environment, labor, tax and other matters. For example, our European Energy segment's operations are subject to the regulation of Dutch and European Community anti-trust authorities, who have extensive authority to investigate and prosecute violations by energy companies of anti-monopolistic and price-fixing regulations. In addition, our European Energy segment must also comply with various national and regional grid codes and other regulations establishing access to transmission systems. Many of the significant suppliers and customers of our European Energy segment are subject to continued regulation by various energy regulatory bodies that have the authority to establish tariffs for such entities. The impact of regulations on these entities has an indirect impact on our European Energy segment.

In some European countries, it is uncertain to what extent companies trading in energy, fuel and other commodities (physical and financial) might be deemed subject to regulation as brokers and dealers under local securities laws. To the extent that its operations are deemed subject to these laws, our European Energy segment could become subject to minimum capitalization, licensing and reporting requirements similar to that which exists for securities broker and dealer firms. Although our European Energy segment believes that its operations are currently outside the scope of such regulations, no assurance can be given as to the future positions of these regulatory agencies regarding the applicability of these regulations to our European Energy segment's operations.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

GENERAL

We are subject to numerous federal, state and local requirements relating to the protection of the environment and the safety and health of personnel and the public. These requirements relate to a broad range of our activities, including the discharge of pollutants into air, water, and soil, the proper handling of solid, hazardous, and toxic materials and waste, noise, and safety and health standards applicable to the workplace. In order to comply with these requirements, we will spend substantial amounts from time to time to construct, modify and retrofit equipment, acquire air emission allowances for operation of our facilities, and to clean up or decommission disposal or fuel storage areas and other locations as necessary. For the domestic and European operations we owned as of December 31, 2001, we anticipate spending approximately \$135 million in capital and other special project expenditures between 2002 and 2006 for

environmental compliance. Additionally, environmental capital expenditures for the recently acquired Orion Power assets were estimated by Orion Power to be \$241 million over the same time period. We are currently reviewing these estimates.

If we do not comply with environmental requirements that apply to our operations, regulatory agencies could seek to impose on us civil, administrative and/or criminal liabilities as well as seek to curtail our operations. Under some statutes, private parties could also seek to impose upon us civil fines or liabilities for property damage, personal injury and possibly other costs.

AIR EMISSIONS

As part of the 1990 amendments to the Federal Clean Air Act (Clean Air Act), requirements and schedules for compliance were developed for attainment of health-based standards. As part of this process, standards for the emission of NOx, a product of the combustion process associated with power generation and natural gas

26

compression, are being developed or have been finalized. The standards require reduction of emissions from our power generating units in the United States.

Our REPGB facilities in the Netherlands were in compliance with applicable Dutch NOx emission standards through the year 2001. New NOx reduction targets have recently been adopted in the Netherlands which will require a 50% reduction in NOx emissions from 2000 levels by 2010. The reductions may be achieved through the installation of emission control equipment or through the participation in a planned market-based emission trading system. We currently believe that REPGB facilities will not be required to install NOx controls or purchase emission credits until the 2005 through 2006 time period. Projected emission control costs are estimated to be approximately \$30 million, although this investment may be offset to some extent or delayed if a market-based trading program develops.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has announced its determination to regulate hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), including mercury, from coal-fired and oil-fired steam electric generating units under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act. The EPA plans to develop maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standards for these types of units. The rulemaking for coal and oil-fired steam electric generating units must be completed by December 2004. Compliance with the rules will be required within three years thereafter. The MACT standards that will be applicable to the units cannot be predicted at this time and may adversely impact our results of operations. In addition, a request for reconsideration of the EPA's decision to impose MACT standards has been filed with the EPA. We cannot predict the outcome of the request.

In 1998, the United States became a signatory to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Kyoto Protocol). The Kyoto Protocol calls for developed nations to reduce their emissions of greenhouse gases. Carbon dioxide, which is a major byproduct of the combustion of fossil fuel, is considered to be a greenhouse gas. If the United States Senate ultimately ratifies the Kyoto Protocol, any resulting limitations on power plant carbon dioxide emissions could have a material adverse impact on all fossil fuel fired facilities, including those belonging to us. The European Union, of which the Netherlands is a member, has adopted the Kyoto Protocol as the goal for greenhouse gas emission targets. We expect REPGB, through use of "green fuels" and efficiency improvements, will be able to meet its portion of the target

reductions.

The EPA is conducting a nationwide investigation regarding the historical compliance of coal-fueled electric generating stations with various permitting requirements of the Clean Air Act. Specifically, the EPA and the United States Department of Justice have initiated formal enforcement actions and litigation against several other utility companies that operate these stations, alleging that these companies modified their facilities without proper pre-construction permit authority. Since June 1998, six of our coal-fired facilities have received requests for information related to work activities conducted at those sites, as have two of our recently acquired Orion Power facilities. The EPA has not filed an enforcement action or initiated litigation in connection with these facilities at this time. Nevertheless, any litigation, if pursued successfully by the EPA, could accelerate the timing of emission reductions currently contemplated for the facilities and result in the imposition of penalties.

In February 2001, the United States Supreme Court upheld a previously adopted EPA ambient air quality standards for fine particulate matter and ozone. While attaining this new standard may ultimately require expenditures for air quality control system upgrades for our facilities, regulations addressing affected sources and required controls are not expected until after 2005. Consequently, it is not possible to determine the impact on our operations at this time.

Multi-pollutant air emission initiative. On February 14, 2002, the White House announced its "Clear Skies Initiative." The proposal is aimed at long-term reductions of multiple pollutants produced from fossil fuel-fired power plants. Reductions averaging 70% are targeted for Sulfur Dioxide (SO2), NOx, and mercury. In addition, a voluntary program for greenhouse gas emissions is proposed as an alternative to the Kyoto Protocol discussed above. The implementation of the initiative, if approved by the United States Congress, would be a market-based program, modeled after the Acid Rain Program, beginning in 2008 and phased full compliance by 2018. Fossil fuel-fired power plants in the United States would be affected by the adoption of this program, or other legislation currently pending in the United States Congress addressing similar issues. Such programs would require compliance to be achieved by the installation of pollution controls, the purchase of emission allowances or curtailment of operations.

27

WATER ISSUES

In July 2000, the EPA issued final rules for the implementation of the Total Maximum Daily Load program of the Clean Water Act (TMDL). The goal of the TMDL rules is to establish, over the next 15 years, the maximum amounts of various pollutants that can be discharged into waterways while keeping those waterways in compliance with water quality standards. The establishment of TMDL values may eventually result in more stringent discharge limits in each facility's discharge permit. Such limits may require our facilities to install additional water treatment, modify operational practices or implement other wastewater control measures. Certain members of the United States Congress have expressed concern to the EPA about the TMDL program and the EPA, in October 2001, extended the effective date of the regulation until April 2003.

In November 2001, the EPA promulgated rules that impose additional technology based requirements on new cooling water intake structures. Draft

rules for existing intake structures have also been issued. It is not known at this time what requirements the final rules for existing intake structures will impose and whether our existing intake structures will require modification as a result of such requirements. The process by which the intake structure rules were written was a contentious one and litigation is expected. Court action in response to this expected litigation could result in unforeseen changes in the requirements.

A number of efforts are under way within the EPA to evaluate water quality criteria for parameters associated with the by-products of fossil fuel combustion. These parameters include arsenic, mercury and selenium. Significant changes in these criteria could impact station discharge limits and could require our facilities to install additional water treatment equipment. The impact on us as a result of these initiatives is unknown at this time.

LIABILITY FOR PREEXISTING CONDITIONS AND REMEDIATIONS

Under the purchase agreements between Sithe Energies and Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. (REPG) relating to some of our Northeast regional facilities, and in the transaction with Orion Power, we, with a few exceptions, assumed liability for preexisting conditions, including some ongoing remediations at the electric generating stations. Funds for carrying out any identified actions have been included in our planning for future requirements, and we are not currently aware of any environmental condition at any of our facilities that we expect to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

A prior owner of one of our Northeast facilities entered into a Consent Order Agreement with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PaDEP) to remediate a coal refuse pile on the property of the facility. We expect the remediation will cost between \$10 million and \$15 million. Under the acquisition agreements between Sithe Energies and GPU, Inc. relating to some of our Northeast regional facilities, GPU has agreed to retain responsibility for up to \$6 million of environmental liabilities associated with the coal refuse site at this facility. We will be responsible for any amounts in excess of that \$6 million. In August 2000 we signed a modified consent order that committed us to complete the remediation work no later than November 2004. In addition to the coal refuse site at this facility, we had liabilities associated with six future ash disposal site closures and six current site investigations and environmental remediations. We expect to pay approximately \$16 million over the next five years to monitor and remediate these sites.

Under the New Jersey Industrial Site Recovery Act (ISRA), owners and operators of industrial properties are responsible for performing all necessary remediation at the facility prior to the closing of a facility and the termination of operations, or undertaking actions that ensure that the property will be remediated after the closing of a facility and the termination of operations. In connection with the acquisition of our facilities from Sithe Energies, we have agreed to take responsibility for any costs under ISRA relating to the four New Jersey properties we purchased. We estimate that the costs to fulfill our obligations under ISRA will be approximately \$10 million. However, these remedial activities are still in the early stage. Following further investigation the scope of the necessary remedial work could increase, and we could, as a result, incur greater costs.

One of our Florida generation facilities discharges wastewater to percolation ponds which in turn, percolate into the groundwater. Elevated levels of vanadium and sodium have been detected in groundwater monitoring wells. A noncompliance letter has been received from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. A study to 28

evaluate the cause of the elevated constituents has been undertaken. At this time, if remediation is required, the cost, if any, is not anticipated to be material.

As a result of their age, many of our facilities contain significant amounts of asbestos insulation, other asbestos containing materials, as well as lead-based paint. Existing state and federal rules require the proper management and disposal of these potentially toxic materials. We have developed a management plan that includes proper maintenance of existing non-friable asbestos installations, and removal and abatement of asbestos containing materials where necessary because of maintenance, repairs, replacement or damage to the asbestos itself. We have planned for the proper management, abatement and disposal of asbestos and lead-based paint at our facilities in our financial planning.

Under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) owners and operators of facilities from which there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances, together with those who have transported or arranged for the disposal of those substances, are liable for the costs of responding to that release or threatened release, and the restoration of natural resources damaged by any such release. We are not aware of any liabilities under CERCLA that would have a material adverse effect on us, our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

EUROPEAN ENERGY

European and Dutch environmental laws are among the most stringent in the industrial world. Under Dutch environmental laws, an environmental permit is required to be maintained for each generation facility. As is customary in Dutch practice, our European Energy segment has, together with other industry participants entered into various contractual agreements with the national government on specific environmental matters, including the reduction of the use of coal and other fossil fuel. The environmental laws also address public safety. We believe our European Energy segment holds all necessary authorizations and approvals for its current operations.

The European Union, of which the Netherlands is a member, adopted the Kyoto Protocol as the goal for greenhouse gas emission targets. For further discussion of the protocol, please read " - Air Emissions." We believe our European Energy segment will meet its current portion of target reductions because of its use of "green fuels" and efficiency improvements to its facilities.

NOx reduction targets will require a 50 percent reduction in NOx emissions from 2000 levels by 2010. The reductions may be achieved through the installation of emission control equipment or through the participation in a planned market-based emission trading system. Our European facilities are in compliance with current and applicable Dutch NOx emission standards. Based on current factors, we believe that our European facilities will not be required to install NOx controls or purchase emission credits until the 2005-2006 time period.

We estimate that we will spend approximately \$30 million in emission control and other environmental costs associated with our European Energy segment for the period 2002 through 2006. In addition, we expect to spend approximately \$18 million in asbestos and other environmental remediation

programs during this period.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2001, we had 5,052 full-time employees. Of these employees, 1,555 are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The collective bargaining agreements expire on various dates until May 15, 2007. The following table sets forth the number of our employees by business segment as of December 31, 2001.

SEGMENT	NUMBER
Wholesale Energy European Energy Retail Energy Other Operations	2,395 916 1,202 539
Total	5,052

29

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS (AS OF MARCH 1, 2002)

NAME	AGE	PRESENT POSITION
R. Steve Letbetter	53	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
Robert W. Harvey	46	Executive Vice President and Group President, Retail
Stephen W. Naeve	54	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Joe Bob Perkins	41	Executive Vice President and Group President, Wholes
Hugh Rice Kelly	59	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate
Mary P. Ricciardello	46	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

R. STEVE LETBETTER is our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Letbetter also serves as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Reliant Energy. He has been Chairman of Reliant Energy since January 2000 and President and Chief Executive Officer since June 1999. Since 1978, he has served in various positions as an executive officer of Reliant Energy and its corporate predecessors. Mr. Letbetter has been a director of Reliant Energy since 1995. Mr. Letbetter will resign as President and Chief Executive Officer of Reliant Energy at the time of the Distribution, but will continue to serve as non-executive Chairman until 2004, subject to his re-election annually as Chairman by the board of directors.

ROBERT W. HARVEY is our Executive Vice President and Group President, Retail Businesses. Mr. Harvey has also served as Vice Chairman of Reliant Energy

since June 1999. From 1982 to 1999, Mr. Harvey was employed with the Houston office of McKinsey & Co., Inc. He was a director (senior partner) and was the leader of the firm's North American electric power and natural gas practice. Mr. Harvey will resign as Vice Chairman of Reliant Energy at the time of the Distribution.

STEPHEN W. NAEVE is our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. He has also served as Vice Chairman of Reliant Energy since June 1999 and as Chief Financial Officer of Reliant Energy since 1997. From 1997 to 1999, Mr. Naeve held the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Reliant Energy. Since 1988, he served in various executive officer capacities with Reliant Energy, including Vice President - Strategic Planning and Administration between 1993 and 1996. Mr. Naeve will resign as Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of Reliant Energy at the time of the Distribution.

JOE BOB PERKINS is our Executive Vice President and Group President, Wholesale Businesses. He served as President and Chief Operating Officer, Reliant Energy Wholesale Group and as President and Chief Operating Officer of Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. since 1998. In 1998, Mr. Perkins served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Reliant Energy Power Generation Group. Between 1996 and 1998, he served as Vice President - Corporate Planning and Development.

HUGH RICE KELLY is our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. He has also served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Reliant Energy since 1997. Between 1984 and 1997, he served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Reliant Energy. Mr. Kelly will resign as an officer of Reliant Energy at the time of the Distribution.

MARY P. RICCIARDELLO is our Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer. She has also served as Chief Accounting Officer of Reliant Energy since June 2000 and as Senior Vice President since 1999. She previously served as Vice President and Comptroller of Reliant Energy from 1996 through 1999, and in various executive officer capacities with Reliant Energy since 1993. Ms. Ricciardello will resign as an officer of Reliant Energy at the time of the Distribution.

30

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

CHARACTER OF OWNERSHIP

Our corporate offices currently occupy approximately 500,000 square feet of leased office space in Houston, Texas, which lease expires in 2003, subject to renewal options.

In addition to our corporate office space, we lease or own various real property and facilities relating to our generation assets and development activities. Our principal generation facilities are generally described under "Our Business - Wholesale Energy" and "Our Business - European Energy - European Power Generation Operations" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K. We believe we have satisfactory title to our facilities in accordance with standards generally accepted in the electric power industry, subject to exceptions which, in our opinion, would not have a material adverse effect on the use or value of the facilities.

WHOLESALE ENERGY

For information regarding the properties of our Wholesale Energy segment, please read "Our Business - Wholesale Energy" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

EUROPEAN ENERGY

For information regarding the properties of our European Energy segment, please read "Our Business - European Energy - European Power Generation Operations" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

RETAIL ENERGY

For information regarding the properties of our Retail Energy segment, please read "Our Business - Retail Energy" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

OTHER OPERATIONS

For information regarding the properties of our Other Operations segment, please read "Our Business - Other Operations" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

For a description of certain legal and regulatory proceedings affecting us, please read Notes 13(e), 13(i) and 17 to our consolidated financial statements, which notes are incorporated herein by reference.

RESTATEMENT OF SECOND AND THIRD QUARTER 2001 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

On February 5, 2002, we announced that we were restating our earnings for the second and third quarters of 2001. As more fully described in our March 15, 2002 Current Report on Form 8-K, the restatement related to a correction in accounting treatment for a series of four structured transactions that were inappropriately accounted for as cash flow hedges for the period of May 2001 through September 2001, rather than as derivatives with changes in fair value recognized through the income statement. Each structured transaction involved a series of forward contracts to buy and sell an energy commodity in 2001 and to buy and sell an energy commodity in 2002 or 2003.

At the time of the public announcement of our intention to restate our reporting of the structured transactions, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors instructed us to conduct an internal audit review to determine whether there were any other transactions included in the asset books as cash flow hedges that failed to meet the cash flow hedge requirements under SFAS No. 133. This targeted internal audit review found no other similar transactions.

31

The Audit Committee also directed an internal investigation by outside legal counsel of the facts and circumstances leading to the restatement, which investigation has been completed. In connection with the restatement and related investigations, the Audit Committee has met eight times to hear and assess reports from the investigative counsel regarding its investigation and

contacts with the Staff of the SEC. To address the issues identified in the investigation process, the Audit Committee and management have begun analyzing and implementing remedial actions, including, among other things, changes in organizational structure and enhancement of internal controls and procedures.

On April 5, 2002, we were advised that the Staff of the Division of Enforcement of the SEC is conducting an informal inquiry into the facts and circumstances surrounding the restatement. We are cooperating with this inquiry. Before releasing our 2001 earnings, we received concurrence from the SEC's accounting staff on the accounting treatment of the restatement, which increased our earnings for the two quarters by a total of \$134 million. At this time, we cannot predict the outcome of the SEC's inquiry. In addition, we cannot predict what effect the inquiry may have on Reliant Energy's pending application to the SEC under the 1935 Act, which is required for Reliant Energy's restructuring. For more information about Reliant Energy's restructuring, please read " -Formation, Initial Public Offering and Anticipated Distribution."

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

No matters were submitted to a vote of Reliant Resources' security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.

32

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR OUR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

As of April 1, 2002, our common stock was held of record by approximately 41 stockholders of record and approximately 15,000 beneficial owners. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange and is traded under the symbol "RRI."

We completed the initial public offering of our common stock in May 2001. Our common stock began trading on the New York Stock Exchange on May 1, 2001. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange composite tape during the periods indicated, as reported by Bloomberg.

	MARKET PRICE						
-		IIGH	LOW				
2001							
Second Quarter (from May 1 through June 30) May 21June 26	Ş	36.75	\$	24.48			
Third Quarter July 10	Ś	27 96					
September 27	Ŷ	27.90	\$	15.75			

Fourth Quarter		
October 16	\$ 19.65	
December 17		\$ 13.55

The closing market price of our common stock on December 31, 2001 was \$16.51 per share.

We have not paid or declared any dividends since our formation and currently intend to retain earnings for use in our business. Any future dividends will be subject to determination based upon our results of operations and financial condition, our future business prospects, any applicable contractual restrictions and other factors that our Board of Directors considers relevant.

33

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following tables present our selected consolidated financial data. The financial data set forth below for 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000 are derived from the consolidated historical financial statements of Reliant Energy. The data set forth below should be read together with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," our historical consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements included in this Form 10-K. The historical financial information may not be indicative of our future performance and does not reflect what our financial position and results of operations would have been had we operated as a separate, stand-alone entity during the periods presented.

		YE.	AR ENDE	D DE		
					1999	
			(IN	MILLIONS,	EXCEPT	PER
INCOME STATEMENT DATA:						
Revenues Expenses:	\$	1,321	\$	4,371	\$	6
- Fuel and cost of gas sold		978		2,352		3
Purchased power		313		1,824		2
Operation and maintenance		17		65		
General, administrative and development		20		78		
Depreciation and amortization		2		15		
Total		1,330		4,334		6
Operating (Loss) Income Other (Expense) Income:		(9)		37		
Interest expense		(1)		(2)	
Interest income Interest income (expense) – affiliated				1		
companies, net		2		2		
Gains (losses) from investments						

<pre>(Loss) income of equity investments of unconsolidated subsidiaries Gain on sale of development project Other, net</pre>		(1) 1	
Total Other Income (Expense)	1	1	
(Loss) Income Before Income Taxes, Extraordinary Item and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	(8)	38 (17)	
(Loss) Income Before Extraordinary Item and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change	(6)	21	
Extraordinary Item, net of tax Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax			
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (6) ======	\$ 21	\$ =======

BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE:

Income before cumulative effect of accounting
change
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax
Net income

34

			YEAR EN	DED DECI	EMBER 31,
	 1997(1)	1	1998(1)		1)(3)
	 	(IN	MILLIONS,	EXCEPT	OPERATING
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW DATA:					
Cash Flows From Operating Activities	\$ (22)	\$	(2)	\$	35
Cash Flows From Investing Activities	(4)		(365)		(1,406)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities	26		379		1,408
OTHER OPERATING DATA:					
Net Power Generation Capacity (MW)			3,800		7,945
Domestic Wholesale Power Sales (MMWh)(4)	12		65		82
Domestic Natural Gas Sales (Bcf)(5)	366		1,115		1,564
European Power Sales (MMWh)					3

		DECEMBER	31
1997	1998	1999	

(IN MILLIONS)

BALANCE SHEET DATA:			
Property, Plant and Equipment, net	\$ 5	\$ 270	\$ 2,407
Total Assets	822	1,409	5,624
Short-term Borrowings			170
Long-term Debt to Third Parties, including current			
maturities			460
Accounts and Notes Receivable (Payable) - Affiliated			
Companies, net	45	(17)	(1,333)
Stockholders' Equity	291	652	741

- (1) Our results of operations include the results of the following acquisitions, all of which were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, from their respective acquisition dates: Reliant Energy Services, Inc. and Arkla Finance Corporation acquired in August 1997, the five generating facilities in California substantially acquired in April 1998, a generating facility in Florida and REPGB both acquired in October 1999 and the REMA acquisition that occurred in May 2000. Please read Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements for further information about these acquisitions.
- (2) Effective January 1, 2001, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" as amended (SFAS No. 133), which established accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments. Please read Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements for further information regarding the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 133.
- (3) As described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements, our consolidated financial statements for 1999, 2000 and 2001 have been restated from amounts previously reported. The restatement had no impact on previously reported consolidated cash flows, operating income or net income.
- (4) Million megawatt hours.
- (5) Billion cubic feet.

35

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

RESTATEMENT

On May 9, 2002, Reliant Resources determined that it had engaged in same-day commodity trading transactions involving purchases and sales with the same counterparty for the same volume at substantially the same price, which the personnel who effected these transactions apparently did so with the sole objective of increasing volumes. Reliant Resources commenced a review to quantify the amount and assess the impact of these trades (round trip trades). The Audit Committees of each of the Board of Directors of Reliant Resources and Reliant Energy also directed an internal investigation by outside legal counsel,

with assistance by outside accountants, of the facts and circumstances relating to the round trip trades and related matters.

We currently report all trading, marketing and risk management services transactions on a gross basis with such transactions being reported in revenues and expenses except primarily for financial gas transactions such as swaps. Therefore, the round trip trades were reflected in both our revenues and expenses. The round trip trades should not have been recognized in revenues or expenses (i.e. they should have been reflected on a net basis). However, since the round trip trades were done at the same volume and substantially the same price, they had no impact on our reported cash flows, operating income or net income. In addition to the round trip trades reported on May 13, 2002, we also identified an additional transaction in 1999, which based on available information, Reliant Resources believes was also recorded with the sole objective of increasing volumes but also resulted in increased revenues and fuel and cost of gas sold expense.

In addition, during the May 2001 through September 2001 time frame, we entered into four structured transactions involving a series of forward or swap contracts to buy and sell an energy commodity in 2001 and to buy and sell an energy commodity in 2002 or 2003 (four structured transactions). The four structured transactions were intended to increase future cash flow and earnings and to increase certainty associated with future cash flow and earnings, albeit at the expense of 2001 cash flow and earnings. Each series of contracts in a structure were executed contemporaneously with the same counterparty and were for the same commodities, quantities and locations. The contracts in each structure were offsetting in terms of physical attributes. The transactions that settled in 2001 were previously recorded on a gross basis with such transactions being reported in revenues and expenses which resulted in \$1.5 billion of revenues, \$364 million in fuel and cost of gas sold and \$1.2 billion of purchased power expense being recognized during the period from May 2001 through December 31, 2001. Having further reviewed the transactions, we now believe these transactions should have been accounted for on a net basis.

In the course of our review, we also identified and determined to record on a net basis several transactions for energy related services (not involving round trip trades) that totaled \$85 million over the three year period ended December 31, 2001. These transactions were originally recorded on a gross basis.

During the fourth quarter of 2000, two power generation swap contracts with a fair value of \$261 million were terminated and replaced with a substantially similar contract providing for physical delivery and designated to hedge electric generation. The termination of the original contracts and execution of the replacement contract represented a substantive modification to the original contract. As a result, upon termination of the original contracts, a contractual liability representing the fair value of the original contracts and a deferred asset of equal amount should have been recorded. As of January 1, 2001, in connection with the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended, the deferred asset should have been recorded as a transition adjustment to other comprehensive loss. The liability and transition adjustment should have been amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the power generation contract replacing the terminated power generation contracts (through May 2004). We previously did not give accounting recognition to these transactions. As a result, we have restated our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2000 and 2001 and the Statement of Consolidated Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2001, to appropriately account for these transactions as described above. The restatement had no impact on our reported consolidated cash flows, operating income or net income.

The consolidated financial statements for 1999, 2000 and 2001 have been restated from amounts previously reported. The restatement had no impact on

previously reported consolidated cash flows, operating income or net income. A summary of the principal effects of the restatement on our consolidated financial statements for 1999, 2000 and 2001 are set forth in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements.

The following discussion and analysis has been modified for the restatement and should be read in combination with our consolidated financial statements and notes to those statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

OVERVIEW

We provide electricity and energy services with a focus on the competitive wholesale and retail segments of the electric power industry in the United States. We acquire, develop and operate electric power generating facilities that are not subject to traditional cost-based regulation and therefore can generally sell power at prices determined by the market. We also trade and market power, natural gas and other energy-related commodities and provide related risk management services.

In this section we discuss our results of operations on a consolidated basis and on a segment basis for each of our financial reporting segments. Our segments include Wholesale Energy, European Energy, Retail Energy and Other Operations. For segment reporting information, please read Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements.

OUR SEPARATION FROM RELIANT ENERGY, INCORPORATED

In connection with our anticipated separation from Reliant Energy, Incorporated (Reliant Energy), Reliant Energy contributed to us effective December 31, 2000, our wholesale, retail and other operations. Through December 31, 2000, these operations were conducted by Reliant Energy and its direct and indirect subsidiaries. These operations consist of the following:

36

- non-rate regulated power generation assets and related energy trading, marketing, power origination and risk management operations in North America and Northwest Europe,
- o retail electric operations, and
- o other operations, including venture capital and Communications businesses.

For additional information regarding agreements with Reliant Energy entered into as a part of Reliant Energy's business separation plan, please read Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements.

The financial information for the years ended December 31, 1999 and 2000 discussed in this section is derived from the consolidated historical financial statements of Reliant Energy, which include the results of operations for all of Reliant Energy's businesses, including those businesses which we do not own. In order to prepare our financial statements for 1999 and 2000, contained in this Form 10-K and discussed in this section, we carved out the results of operations of the businesses that we own from Reliant Energy's consolidated historical financial statements. Accordingly, the results of operations discussed in this section for such years include only revenues and costs directly attributable to

the businesses we own and operate. Some of these costs are for facilities and services provided by Reliant Energy and for which our operations have historically been charged based on usage or other allocation factors. We believe these allocations are reasonable, but they are not necessarily indicative of the expenses that would have resulted if we had actually operated independently of Reliant Energy. We may experience changes in our cost structure, funding and operations as a result of our anticipated separation from Reliant Energy, including increased costs associated with reduced economies of scale, and increased costs associated with being a publicly traded, independent company. We cannot currently predict with any certainty the actual amount of increased costs we may incur, if any.

In May 2001, we offered 59.8 million shares of our common stock to the public at an initial public offering (IPO) price of \$30 per share and received net proceeds of \$1.7 billion. Pursuant to the master separation agreement with Reliant Energy (Master Separation Agreement), we used \$147 million of the net proceeds to repay certain indebtedness owed to Reliant Energy. Reliant Energy has publicly disclosed that it expects to distribute (Distribution) the remaining Reliant Resources common stock that it owns to its or its successor's shareholders in the summer of 2002. The Distribution is subject to the declaration of the Distribution by the Board of Directors of Reliant Energy, market and other conditions and government actions and approvals. We cannot assure you that the Distribution will be completed as described or within the time period outlined above.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table provides summary data regarding our consolidated results of operations for 1999, 2000 and 2001.

		YEAR E	NDED DECEMBER 31,		
	1	.999		2000	
			(IN	MILLIONS)	
Revenues Operating Expenses	\$	6,539 6,525		,	
Operating Income Other Income (Expense), net Income Tax Expense		14 12 2		438 (147) 88	
Income Before Extraordinary Gain and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change Extraordinary Gain Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, net of tax		24 		203 7 	
Net Income	\$ ====	24	\$	210	

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31.

2001 COMPARED TO 2000

Net Income. We reported consolidated net income of \$557 million, or \$2.01 earnings per share, for 2001 compared to \$210 million for 2000. The 2001 results included a cumulative effect of accounting change of \$3 million, net of tax, related to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended. For additional discussion of the adoption of SFAS No. 133, please read Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements. The 2000 results included an extraordinary gain of \$7 million related to the early extinguishment of \$272 million of long-term debt. For additional discussion of the extraordinary gain, please read Note 8(b) to our consolidated financial statements. Our consolidated net income, before cumulative effect of accounting change, was \$554 million for 2001 compared to consolidated net income, before extraordinary gain, of \$203 million for 2000. The increase of \$351 million was primarily due to the following:

- o a \$674 million increase in gross margins (revenues less fuel and cost of gas sold and purchased power) from our Wholesale Energy segment, excluding the impact of a \$68 million provision related to energy sales to Enron Corp. and its affiliates (Enron) which filed a voluntary petition for bankruptcy during the fourth quarter of 2001;
- o a \$57 million decrease in operating losses from our Retail Energy
 segment;
- a \$37 million net gain resulting from the settlement of an indemnity agreement related to certain energy obligations entered into in connection with our acquisition of Reliant Energy Power Generation Benelux N.V. (REPGB), formerly N.V. UNA;
- o a \$51 million gain recorded in equity income in 2001 related to a preacquisition contingency for the value of NEA B.V. (NEA), the coordinating body for the Dutch electricity generating sector, which is an equity investment in which REPGB holds a 22.5% economic interest;
- a \$184 million decrease in net interest expense related to debt with affiliated companies; and
- o a \$27 million pre-tax impairment loss on marketable equity securities classified as "available-for-sale" in 2000.

The above items were partially offset by:

- o a \$66 million decrease in European Energy's gross margins, primarily attributable to the Dutch wholesale electric market opening to competition on January 1, 2001, excluding the impact of a \$17 million provision related to energy sales to Enron recorded in the fourth quarter of 2001;
- a \$100 million pre-tax, non-cash charge relating to the redesign of some of Reliant Energy's benefit plans in anticipation of our separation from Reliant Energy;
- an \$85 million pre-tax provision related to energy sales to Enron which was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2001;
- \$54 million in pre-tax disposal charges and impairments of goodwill and fixed assets related to the exiting of our Communications business;

- a \$37 million decrease in our Wholesale Energy segment's equity earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries in 2001 as compared to 2000; and
- o an \$18 million pre-tax gain in 2000 on the sale of our interest in one of our development-stage electric generation projects.

Operating Income. For an explanation of changes in our operating income and margins, please read the discussion below of operating income (loss) by segment.

38

Other Income/Expense. We incurred net other income of \$64 million during 2001 compared to net other expense of \$147 million in 2000. The increase in other income of \$211 million in 2001 as compared to 2000 resulted primarily from the following:

- o a \$184 million decrease in interest expense on debt owed to affiliated companies;
- a \$51 million gain recorded in equity income with respect to our equity investment in NEA;
- o a \$27 million pre-tax impairment loss on marketable equity securities classified as "available-for-sale" in 2000;
- a \$12 million net increase in holding gains from investments in 2001, including an \$18 million increase in realized holding gains from equity and debt securities and a \$1 million increase in unrealized holding gains from equity and debt securities partially offset by (a) a decrease of \$1 million in realized gains by our Other Operations segment resulting from reduced cash distributions from venture capital investments, (b) a \$2 million impairment of investments and (c) a \$4 million decrease in foreign exchange gains on financial instruments; and
- o a \$9 million increase in interest income in 2001 earned by our European Energy segment related to interest receivable on our claims pursuant to an indemnity for certain energy obligations and the related settlement and by our Wholesale Energy segment on restricted deposits related to our energy trading activities and on collateral related to electric generation equipment.

The \$184 million decrease in interest expense on debt owed to affiliated companies, net of interest expense capitalized on projects, in 2001 as compared to 2000 is primarily due to the following:

- o the conversion into equity of \$1.7 billion of debt owed to Reliant Energy and its subsidiaries in connection with the completion of the IPO in May 2001;
- o the repayment in August 2000 of \$1.0 billion of debt owed to Reliant Energy related to our Mid-Atlantic acquisition, which is included in our Northeast region operations, from proceeds received from the generating facilities' sale-leaseback transactions; and
- o the advancing of excess cash primarily resulting from the IPO to a subsidiary of Reliant Energy.

The increase in other income noted above was partially offset by:

- o a \$21 million increase in interest expense to third parties, net of interest expense capitalized on projects, primarily as a result of higher levels of borrowings related to construction of power generation facilities and credit facility fees;
- an \$18 million pre-tax gain in 2000 on the sale of our interest in one of our development-stage electric generation projects; and
- o a \$37 million decrease in our Wholesale Energy segment's equity earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries in 2001 as compared to 2000.

Our Wholesale Energy segment reported income from equity investments in 2001 of \$6 million compared to \$43 million in 2000. The equity income in both years primarily resulted from an investment in an electric generation plant in Boulder City, Nevada. The plant became operational in May 2000. The equity income related to our investment in the plant declined in 2001 from 2000 primarily due to higher plant outages in 2001 and reduced power prices realized by the project company.

During the second quarter of 2001, we recorded a \$51 million gain as equity income for the preacquisition gain contingency related to the acquisition of REPGB for the value of its equity investment in NEA. This gain was based on our evaluation of NEA's financial position and fair value. Pursuant to the purchase agreement of REPGB, as

39

amended, REPGB was entitled to a \$51 million (NLG 125 million) dividend from NEA with any remainder owed to the former shareholders of REPGB. In December 2001, REPGB entered into a settlement agreement resolving its former shareholders' stranded cost indemnity obligations. Under the settlement agreement, the former shareholders waived all rights to claim distributions from NEA. For further information regarding the settlement agreement, please read the European Energy segment's operating income analysis below and Note 13(f) to our consolidated financial statements.

During 2000, we incurred a pre-tax impairment loss of \$27 million on marketable equity securities classified as "available-for-sale" by Other Operations. Management's determination to recognize this impairment resulted from a combination of events occurring in 2000 related to this investment. Such events affecting the investment included changes occurring in the investment's senior management, announcement of significant restructuring charges and related downsizing for the entity, reduced earnings estimates for this entity by brokerage analysts and the bankruptcy of a competitor of the investment in the first quarter of 2000. These events, coupled with the stock market value of our investment in these securities continuing to be below our cost basis, caused management to believe the decline in fair value to be other than temporary. During 2001, we recognized a pre-tax gain of \$14 million from the sale of a portion of this investment. For additional discussion of this investment, please read Note 2(m) to our consolidated financial statements.

Income Tax Expense. We calculate our income tax provision on a separate return basis under a tax sharing agreement with Reliant Energy. Our deferred income taxes are calculated using the liability method of accounting, which measures deferred income taxes for all significant income tax temporary differences. Our current federal and some state income taxes are payable to or

receivable from Reliant Energy. Our federal statutory tax rate is 35%. During 2001 and 2000, our effective tax rate was 32.9% and 30.4%, respectively. Our reconciling items from the federal statutory tax rate to the effective tax rate totaled \$18 million and \$13 million for 2001 and 2000, respectively. These items primarily related to a tax holiday for income earned by REPGB and were partially offset by nondeductible goodwill, state income taxes and valuation allowances. In 2001 and prior years, under Dutch corporate income tax laws, the earnings of REPGB were subject to a zero percent Dutch corporate income tax rate as a result of the Dutch tax holiday applicable to its electric industry. In 2002, all of European Energy's earnings in the Netherlands will be subject to the standard Dutch corporate income tax rate, which currently is 34.5%.

Subsequent to the Distribution, we will cease to be a member of the Reliant Energy consolidated tax group. This separation could have future income tax implications for us. Our separation from the Reliant Energy consolidated tax group will change our overall future income tax posture. As a result, we could be limited in our future ability to effectively use future tax attributes. We have agreed with Reliant Energy that we may carry back net operating losses we generate in our tax years after deconsolidation to tax years when we were part of the Reliant Energy consolidated tax group subject to Reliant Energy's consent and any existing statutory carryback limitations. Reliant Energy has agreed not to unreasonably withhold such consent.

As discussed in Note 13(f) to our consolidated financial statements, the Dutch parliament has adopted legislation allocating to the Dutch generation sector, including REPGB, financial responsibility for certain stranded costs and other liabilities incurred by NEA prior to the deregulation of the Dutch wholesale market. These obligations include NEA's obligations under an out-of-market gas supply contract and three out-of-market electricity contracts. REPGB's allocated share of these liabilities is 22.5%. As a result, we recorded a net stranded cost liability of \$369 million and a related deferred tax asset of \$127 million at December 31, 2001 for our statutorily allocated share of these gas supply and electricity contracts. We believe that the costs incurred by REPGB subsequent to the tax holiday ending in 2001 related to these contracts will be deductible for Dutch tax purposes. However, due to uncertainties related to the deductibility of these costs, we have recorded an offsetting liability in other liabilities in our consolidated financial statements of \$127 million as of December 31, 2001.

2000 COMPARED TO 1999

Net Income. We reported consolidated net income of \$210 million for 2000 compared to consolidated net income of \$24 million for 1999. The 2000 results included an extraordinary gain of \$7 million related to the early extinguishment of \$272 million of long-term debt, which gain is further described in Note 8(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

40

Our consolidated net income, before the extraordinary gain, was \$203 million for 2000 compared to consolidated net income of \$24 million for 1999. The \$179 million increase in 2000 compared to 1999 was primarily due to increased earnings from our Wholesale Energy segment, the inclusion of earnings from the Mid-Atlantic generating assets, which our Wholesale Energy segment acquired in May 2000, and the inclusion of earnings from our European Energy segment, which was established in the fourth quarter of 1999 with the acquisition of REPGB. The Mid-Atlantic generating assets and European Energy segment contributed \$212 million and \$84 million, respectively, to operating income for 2000. For additional information on the acquisition of the

Mid-Atlantic generating assets and REPGB, please read Notes 5(a) and 5(b) to our consolidated financial statements. The increases in 2000 earnings compared to 1999 earnings from our Wholesale Energy and European Energy segments were partially offset by increased losses from our Retail Energy and Other Operations segments over the same period.

Operating Income. For an explanation of changes in our operating income, please read the discussion below of operating income (loss) by segment.

Other Income/Expense. We incurred net other expense of \$147 million for 2000 compared to net other income of \$12 million for 1999. The increase in expense of \$159 million in 2000 as compared to 1999 resulted primarily from a pre-tax impairment loss of \$27 million on marketable equity securities classified as "available-for-sale" incurred in 2000 by Other Operations, increased net interest expense on obligations to Reliant Energy and its subsidiaries of \$162 million and increased interest expense on obligations to third parties of \$33 million, each net of interest capitalized on construction projects. Increased interest expense resulted primarily from higher levels of debt during 2000 compared to 1999. Increased debt levels were primarily associated with borrowings for the funding of the acquisition of REPGB in the fourth quarter of 1999 and the first quarter of 2000, the acquisition of our Mid-Atlantic generating facilities in the second quarter of 2000, capital expenditures and increased margin deposits on energy trading and hedging activities. In 2000, we had a decrease of \$12 million in unrealized holding gains from debt and equity securities classified as "trading," a \$3 million increase in foreign exchange gains on financial instruments and a \$3 million increase in realized gains by our Other Operations segment primarily as a result of increased cash distributions from venture capital investments.

The increased net other expense noted above was partially offset by:

- an \$18 million pre-tax gain in 2000 on the sale of our interest in one of our development-stage electric generation projects,
- a \$18 million increase in interest income in 2000 earned on increased deposits primarily related to our Wholesale Energy segment,
- a \$22 million increase in equity earnings in unconsolidated subsidiaries in 2000, and
- o a \$7 million option premium expense recorded in 1999 to economically hedge foreign currency risks for our REPGB purchase obligation.

Our Wholesale Energy segment reported income from equity investments in 2000 of \$43 million compared to equity losses of \$1 million in 1999. The equity income in 2000 primarily resulted from an investment in an electric generation plant in Boulder City, Nevada. The plant became operational in May 2000. In 1999, we recorded \$22 million in equity income related to REPGB for the period from October 1, 1999 through November 30, 1999. For additional information about the REPGB acquisition, including our accounting treatment, please read Note 5(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

Income Tax Expense. During 2000 and 1999, our effective tax rate was 30.4% and 9.6%, respectively. Our reconciling items from the federal statutory tax rate to the effective tax rate totaled \$13 million for 2000. These items primarily related to a tax holiday for income earned by REPGB and were partially offset by nondeductible goodwill, state income taxes and valuation allowances. Our reconciling items from the federal statutory tax rate to the effective tax rate totaled \$7 million for 1999. These items primarily related to income earned by REPGB and were partially offset by nondeductible goodwill and valuation allowances.

41

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

The following table presents operating income (loss) for each of our business segments for 1999, 2000 and 2001.

	OPERAT	ING INCO	OME (LOS	SS) BY BUS	INESS	SEGMENT			
	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,								
	1999 2000 2003								
			(IN MII	LLIONS)					
Wholesale Energy	Ş	19 12	Ş	485 84	\$	899 56			
European Energy Retail Energy		(13)		(70)		(13)			
Other Operations		(4)		(61)		(180)			
Total Consolidated	\$	14	\$	438	\$	762			

WHOLESALE ENERGY

Our Wholesale Energy segment includes our non-rate regulated power generation operations in the United States and our wholesale energy trading, marketing, origination and risk management operations in North America.

As of December 31, 2001, we owned or leased electric power generation facilities with an aggregate net generating capacity of 11,109 megawatts (MW) in the United States. We acquired our first power generation facility in April 1998, and have increased our aggregate net generating capacity since that time principally through acquisitions, as well as contractual agreements and the development of new generating projects. As of December 31, 2001, we had 3,587 MW of additional net generating capacity under construction, including facilities having 2,120 MW that are being constructed under a construction agency agreement by off-balance sheet special purpose entities. We consider a project to be "under construction" once we have acquired the necessary permits to begin construction, broken ground on the project site and contracted to purchase machinery for the project, including the combustion turbines. On May 12, 2000, one of our subsidiaries purchased entities owning electric power generating assets and development sites located in Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland having an aggregate net generating capacity of approximately 4,262 MW. For additional information regarding this acquisition of our Mid-Atlantic generating assets completed in May 2000 by Wholesale Energy, including the accounting treatment of this acquisition, please read Note 5(a) to our consolidated financial statements.

On February 19, 2002, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Orion Power Holdings, Inc. (Orion Power) for \$26.80 per share in cash for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.9 billion. As of February 19, 2002, Orion Power's debt obligations were \$2.4 billion (\$2.1 billion net of cash acquired, some of which is restricted pursuant to debt covenants). Orion Power is an independent electric power generating company that was formed in March 1998 to acquire, develop, own and operate power-generating facilities in certain

deregulated wholesale markets in North America. As of February 28, 2002, Orion Power had 81 power plants in operation with a total generating capacity of 5,644 MW and an additional 804 MW under construction or in various stages of development.

For a discussion of the factors that may affect the future results of operations of Wholesale Energy, please read " - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations."

The following table provides summary data regarding the results of operations of Wholesale Energy for 1999, 2000 and 2001.

WHOLESALE ENERGY

		**11								
	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,									
	(IN M: \$ 6, 2, 2, \$ 6, \$ 6, \$ 6, \$ 4,		2000							
				XCEPT OPEF						
Operating Revenues Operating Expenses:	\$	6,449	\$	18,072	Ş	29,742				
Fuel and cost of gas sold		3,548		10,295		14,834				
Purchased power		2,688		6,775		13,300				
Operation and maintenance		92		237		349				
General, administrative and development		81		172		242				
Depreciation and amortization		21		108		118				
Total Operating Expenses				17,587		28,843				
Operating Income		19	\$	485		899				
Operating Data:										
Net Generation Capacity (MW)		4.469		9,231		11,109				
Electricity Wholesale Power Sales (MMWh)(1)		82		•		300				
Natural Gas Sales (Bcf) (2)		1,564								

42

- (1) Million megawatt hours.
- (2) Billion cubic feet.

2001 Compared to 2000. Wholesale Energy's operating income increased by \$414 million in 2001 compared to 2000. The results for 2001 include a \$68 million provision against net receivables, trading and marketing assets and non-trading derivative balances related to Enron, and a \$29 million provision and a \$12 million net write-off against receivable balances related to energy sales in California. A \$39 million provision against receivable balances related to energy sales in California was recorded in 2000.

The increase in operating income was primarily due to increased gross margins. Gross margins for Wholesale Energy increased by \$606 million primarily due to increased volumes on power sales from our generation facilities, increased volumes from our trading and marketing activities and the addition of our Mid-Atlantic assets and strong commercial and operational performance in other regions. Margins on power sales from our generation facilities, excluding a \$63 million provision related to Enron, increased by \$429 million in the West region (Arizona, California and portions of New Mexico and Nevada), \$85 million in the Mid-Atlantic region, and \$32 million in other regions in 2001 compared to 2000. Favorable market conditions in the first six months of 2001 in the West region resulting from a combination of factors, including reduction in available hydroelectric generation resources, increased demand and decreased electric imports, positively impacted Wholesale Energy's operating margins. These favorable market conditions did not exist in the second half of 2001, and we do not expect them to return in 2002. Trading and marketing gross margins, excluding a \$5 million provision related to Enron, increased \$113 million from \$197 million in 2000 to \$310 million in 2001 primarily as a result of increased natural gas trading volumes. These results were partially offset by the \$68 million provision related to Enron as discussed above, higher operation and maintenance expenses from facilities in the Mid-Atlantic region acquired in 2000, higher general and administrative expenses and increased depreciation expense.

The following table provides further summary data regarding gross margin by commodity of Wholesale Energy for 2000 and 2001.

		AR ENDED D					
			2001				
	(IN MILLIONS)						
Gas revenues Power revenues Other commodity revenues Credit provision related to Enron		9,326 8,666 80 					
Total revenues		18,072		29,742			
Cost of gas sold Fuel and purchased power Other commodity costs		9,213 7,770 87		13,571 14,499 64			
Total cost of sales		17,070		28,134			
Gross margin	\$ ====	1,002		1,608			

Wholesale Energy's revenues increased by \$11.7 billion (65%) in 2001 compared to 2000. The increased revenues were primarily due to increased volumes for natural gas (approximately \$4.2 billion) and power sales (approximately \$6.6 billion) and to a lesser extent increased prices for power sales compared to 2000, which increased approximately \$0.7 billion. Wholesale Energy's fuel and cost of gas sold and purchased power increased 43

by \$11.1 billion in 2001 compared to 2000, largely due to increased volumes for natural gas and power sales and to a lesser extent increases in power generation plant output, which increased approximately 33% compared to 2000, and increased prices for power purchases.

Operation and maintenance expenses for Wholesale Energy increased \$112 million in 2001 compared to the same period in 2000, primarily due to costs associated with the operation and maintenance of generating plants acquired in the Mid-Atlantic region of \$53 million and higher lease expense of \$38 million associated with the Mid-Atlantic generation facilities' sale-leaseback transactions that were entered into in August 2000. The higher lease expense associated with the Mid-Atlantic generating facilities was offset by lower interest expense in the consolidated results of operations in 2001 compared to 2000. General, administrative and development expenses increased \$70 million in 2001 compared to 2000, primarily due to higher administrative costs to support growing wholesale commercial activities of \$69 million and higher legal and regulatory expenses related to the West region of \$25 million, partially offset by decreased development expenses of \$12 million. Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$10 million in 2001 compared to 2000 primarily as a result of higher expense related to the depreciation of our Mid-Atlantic plants, which were acquired in May 2000, and other generating plants placed into service during 2001, partially offset by a decrease in amortization of our air emissions regulatory allowances of \$8 million.

2000 Compared to 1999. Wholesale Energy's operating income increased \$466 million for 2000 compared to 1999. The increase was primarily due to increased energy sales volumes, higher prices for energy and ancillary services, and improved operating results from trading and marketing activities, as well as expansion of our generation operations into regions other than the Western United States, including the Mid-Atlantic United States, Florida and Texas.

Wholesale Energy's operating revenues increased \$11.6 billion (180%) for 2000 compared to 1999. The increase was primarily due to an increase in prices and volumes for both gas and power sales in 2000 compared to 1999. Wholesale Energy's fuel and cost of gas sold and purchased power costs increased \$6.7 billion and \$4.1 billion, respectively, in 2000 compared to 1999. The increase in fuel and cost of gas sold was primarily due to an increase in gas volumes purchased, and to increases in plant output and in the price of gas. The increase in purchased power cost was primarily due to a higher average cost of power and higher power volumes purchased. Operation and maintenance expenses and general, administrative and development expenses increased \$145 million and \$91 million, respectively, in 2000 compared to 1999. These increases were primarily due to costs associated with the maintenance of facilities acquired or placed into commercial operation during the period, lease expense associated with the Mid-Atlantic generating facilities sale-leaseback transactions, higher run rates at existing facilities, increased costs associated with developing new power generation projects and higher staffing levels to support increased sales and expanded trading and marketing efforts. Depreciation and amortization expense for 2000 increased \$87 million as compared to 1999, primarily as a result of our acquisition of the Mid-Atlantic generating facilities and other generating facilities in 2000.

EUROPEAN ENERGY

Our European Energy segment includes the operations of REPGB and its

subsidiaries and our European trading and power origination operations. We created European Energy in the fourth quarter of 1999 with the acquisition of REPGB and the formation of our European trading and power origination operations. European Energy generates and sells power from its generation facilities in the Netherlands and participates in the emerging wholesale energy trading markets in Northwest Europe.

Effective October 7, 1999, we acquired REPGB, a Dutch generation company, for a net purchase price of \$1.9 billion. Our 1999 consolidated financial statements reflect REPGB's results of operations for the period from October 1, 1999 through November 30, 1999 under the equity method of accounting rather than under the consolidation method. Subsequent to December 1, 1999, we have consolidated 100% of REPGB's operating results. For additional information regarding the acquisition of REPGB and the related accounting treatment, please read Note 5(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

In connection with our evaluation of the acquisition of REPGB, we also began to assess and formulate an employee severance plan to be undertaken as soon as reasonably possible post-acquisition. The intent of this plan

44

was to make REPGB competitive in the Dutch electricity market when it became deregulated on January 1, 2001. This plan was finalized, approved and completed in September 2000. At that time, we recorded the severance liability as a purchase price adjustment in the amount of \$19 million. During 2001, we utilized \$8 million of the reserve. As of December 31, 2001, the remaining severance liability is \$11 million.

REPGB and the other major Dutch generators historically operated under a protocol agreement, pursuant to which the generators provided capacity and energy to distributors in exchange for regulated production payments, plus compensation for actual fuel expended in the production of electricity over the period from 1997 through 2000. Effective January 1, 2001, these agreements expired in all material aspects. Beginning January 1, 2001, the Dutch wholesale electric market was opened to competition. Consistent with our expectations at the time that we made the acquisition, REPGB experienced a significant decline in electric margins in 2001 attributable to the deregulation of the wholesale electric market.

In 2001, we evaluated strategic alternatives for our European Energy segment, including a possible sale. We completed our evaluation, and determined that given current market conditions and prices, it is not advisable to sell our European Energy operations. Consequently, we decided to continue to own and operate our European Energy segment and to expand our trading and origination activities in Northwest Europe. During December 2001, we evaluated our European Energy segment's long-lived assets and goodwill for impairment. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on an estimate of undiscounted cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared to the carrying value of the assets. As of December 31, 2001, pursuant to SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of," no impairment has been indicated. For assessing of impairment in 2002 under SFAS No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," please read " - New Accounting Pronouncements and Critical Accounting Policies" below.

For additional information regarding these and other factors that may affect the future results of operations of European Energy, please read " -

Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our European Energy Operations."

For information regarding foreign currency matters, please read Note 6(b) to our consolidated financial statements and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

The following table provides summary data for the results of operations of our European Energy segment for the three months ended December 31, 1999 and the years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001.

	EUROPEAN ENERGY								
	THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 				R 31,				
			2000		20	001			
Operating Revenues Operating Expenses:	\$	56	\$	580	\$	1,192			
Fuel		24		260		400			
Purchased power				34		589			
Operation and maintenance		8		87		30			
General and administrative		6		39		41			
Depreciation and amortization		6		76		76			
Total Operating Expenses	\$	44	\$	496	\$	1,136			
Operating Income			T	84		56			
Operating Data:									
Net Generation Capacity (MW)		3,476		3,476		3,476			
Electric Sales (MMWh)		3		13		42			

2001 Compared to 2000. European Energy's operating income decreased by \$28 million for 2001 compared to 2000. This decrease was primarily due to the anticipated decline in electric power generation gross margins (revenues less fuel and purchased power), as the Dutch electric market was completely opened to wholesale competition on January 1, 2001. Further contributing to the decline in operating margins were a number of

45

unscheduled outages at our electric generating facilities. We estimate that these unplanned outages resulted in losses of \$11 million. Increased margins from ancillary services of \$33 million and district heating sales of \$9 million in 2001 compared to 2000 and efficiency and energy payments from NEA totaling \$30 million in 2001 partially offset this decline. Trading gross margins decreased \$12 million from a \$3 million gross margin in 2000 to a \$9 million gross margin loss in 2001 primarily as a result of a \$17 million provision against receivable and trading and marketing asset balances related to Enron.

Excluding this provision, trading gross margins increased primarily due to a significant increase in power trading volumes, trading origination transactions and increased volatility in the Dutch and German markets. In addition, the decrease in operating income was partially offset by a \$37 million net gain related to the settlement of an indemnity agreement with the former shareholders of REPGB in the fourth quarter of 2001, as discussed below.

European Energy's operating revenues increased by \$612 million for 2001 compared to 2000. The increase was primarily due to increased trading revenues in the Dutch, German and Austrian power markets of \$544 million and, to a lesser extent, increased volumes of electric generation sales, which increased 41%, partially offset by a 29% decrease in prices for power sales. Fuel and purchased power costs increased \$695 million for 2001 compared to 2000 primarily due to increased purchased power for trading activities, and to a lesser extent increased cost of natural gas due to higher gas prices, increased output from our generating facilities and increased transmission and grid charges as a result of a change in the tariff structure.

Operation and maintenance and general and administrative expenses decreased by \$55 million for 2001 compared to 2000. These expenses declined primarily due to (a) the net gain of \$37 million recorded in operation expenses related to the settlement of the former shareholders' indemnity obligation, as discussed below, (b) provisions in 2000 against environmental tax subsidies receivable from Dutch distribution companies, REPGB's former shareholders and the Dutch government, coupled with the reversal of such accrual in 2001 due to the indemnity obligation settlement with REPGB's former shareholders and (c) decreases in provisions for environmental liabilities, employee benefits and other accruals totaling \$6 million. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in personnel and operating expenses related to our trading operations, facilities costs and systems upgrades.

In December 2001, REPGB and its former shareholders entered into a settlement agreement resolving the former shareholders' stranded cost indemnity obligations under the purchase agreement of REPGB. During the fourth quarter of 2001, we recognized a net settlement gain of \$37 million in operation expenses for the difference between the sum of (a) the cash settlement consideration of \$202 million, and REPGB's rights to claim future distributions of our NEA investment of an estimated \$248 million and (b) the amount recorded as "stranded cost indemnity receivable" related to the stranded cost gas and electric commitments of \$369 million and claims receivable related to stranded costs incurred in 2001 of \$44 million both previously recorded in our consolidated balance sheet. Future changes in the valuation of the stranded cost import contracts that remain an obligation of REPGB will be recorded as adjustments to our consolidated statement of income, thus introducing potential earnings volatility. For additional information regarding the settlement, please read Note 13(f) to our consolidated financial statements.

2000 Compared to 1999. For the year ended December 31, 2000, European Energy reported operating income of \$84 million. European Energy reported operating income of \$12 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999. In 1999, we recorded \$22 million in equity income related to REPGB for the period from October 1, 1999 through November 30, 1999.

RETAIL ENERGY

Our Retail Energy segment provides energy products and services to end-use customers, ranging from residential and small commercial customers to large commercial, institutional and industrial customers. In addition, Retail Energy provided billing, customer service, credit and collection and remittance services to Reliant Energy's regulated electric utility and two of its natural gas distribution divisions. The service agreement governing these services terminated on December 31, 2001. Retail Energy charged the regulated electric

and natural gas utilities for these services at cost. We acquired approximately 1.7 million electric retail customers in the Houston metropolitan area when the Texas market opened to competition in January 2002. During the first half of 2002, the Texas electric retail market will be largely focused on the extensive efforts necessary to transition customers from the utilities to the affiliated retail electric providers. We expect to expand our marketing efforts for small residential and

46

commercial customers (i.e., customers with an aggregate peak demand at or below one MW) to other areas in Texas outside of the Houston territory during the second quarter of 2002. We signed 246 contracts with large commercial, industrial and institutional (e.g., hospitals, universities, school systems and government agencies) customers (i.e., customers with an aggregate peak demand of more than one MW) during 2001, with an aggregate peak electric energy demand of approximately 3,700 MW and serving approximately 12,000 meter locations. These customers are both in the Houston metropolitan area as well as outside of the Houston territory. Our marketing efforts for large commercial, industrial and institutional customers are continuing throughout the competitive region of the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT).

For a discussion of the factors that may affect the future results of operations of Retail Energy, please read " - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Operations of Our Retail Energy Operations."

The following table provides summary data regarding the results of operations of Retail Energy for 1999, 2000 and 2001.

	RETAIL ENERGY							
	 YEAR E	INDED DE	ECEMBER 31	L,				
	 999	2()00		2001			
	 	(IN MII	LIONS)					
Operating Revenues Operating Expenses:	\$ 34	\$	64	\$	211			
Purchased power					27			
Operation and maintenance	35		101		110			
General and administrative	12		29		76			
Depreciation and amortization			4		11			
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 47	\$	134	\$	224			
Operating Loss	\$ (13)	\$	(70)	\$	(13)			

2001 Compared to 2000. Our Retail Energy segment's operating loss decreased by \$57 million for 2001 compared to 2000. The operating loss reduction was primarily due to increased sales of energy and energy services to commercial, industrial and institutional customers, partially offset by (a) increased

personnel costs and employee related costs and (b) increased costs associated with developing an infrastructure necessary to prepare for competition in the retail electric market in Texas. Contracted energy sales to large commercial, industrial and institutional customers are accounted for under the mark-to-market method of accounting. These energy contracts are recorded at fair value in revenue upon contract execution. The net changes in their market values are recognized in the income statement in revenue in the period of the change. During 2001, our Retail Energy segment recognized \$74 million of mark-to-market revenues related to commercial, industrial and institutional energy contracts of which \$73 million relates to energy that will be supplied in future periods ranging from one to three years.

Operating revenues increased by \$147 million for 2001 compared to 2000 largely due to increased revenues from sales of energy and energy services to large commercial, industrial and institutional customers, as well as increased revenues for the billing and remittance services provided to Reliant Energy. Purchased power expenses increased by \$27 million in 2001 primarily due to a \$22 million increase in wholesale electricity purchases and a \$5 million increase in the cost of transmission service both related to the Texas retail pilot program during the last half of 2001. Our Wholesale Energy segment purchases and manages Retail Energy's wholesale purchased power requirements needed to fulfill its retail energy commitments. The Wholesale Energy segment charges Retail Energy for the purchased power at its actual cost and charges an administrative fee for such service.

Operations and maintenance costs increased by \$9 million and general and administrative expenses increased \$47 million in 2001 as compared to 2000, primarily due to increased personnel and employee-related costs and costs related to building an infrastructure necessary to prepare for competition in the retail electric market in Texas totaling \$35 million and increased costs incurred in performing billing, customer service, credit and collections and remittance service for Reliant Energy of \$31 million.

47

2000 Compared to 1999. Retail Energy's operating loss increased \$57 million for 2000 compared to 1999. Operating revenues increased \$30 million (88%) for 2000 as compared to 1999. This increase was primarily the result of the inclusion of revenues generated by the operations acquired during November 1999, additional revenue generated by an increase in the number of new energy service contracts and additional revenues for the billing and remittance services provided to Reliant Energy. For 2000 as compared to 1999, operations and maintenance costs increased \$66 million and general and administrative costs increased \$17 million. Increased operation and maintenance costs resulted primarily from costs associated with servicing contracts acquired during 1999 as well as new contracts entered into in 2000, costs incurred in performing billing, customer service, credit and collection and remittance services for Reliant Energy, and costs related to building an infrastructure necessary to prepare for competition in the retail electric market in Texas. General and administrative costs increased as a result of building the infrastructure necessary to prepare for competition in the retail electric market in Texas. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2000, we incurred an obligation to pay \$12 million in order to secure the naming rights to a Houston sports complex and for the initial advertising of which \$10 million was expensed in 2000. Starting in 2002, when the new stadium in the sports complex is operational, we will pay \$10 million each year through 2032 for annual advertising associated with the sports complex.

OTHER OPERATIONS

Our Other Operations segment includes the operations of our venture capital and Communications businesses, and unallocated corporate costs.

During the third quarter of 2001, we decided to exit our Communications business. The business served as a facility-based competitive local exchange carrier and Internet services provider and owns network operations centers and managed data centers in Houston and Austin. Our exit plan was substantially completed in the first quarter of 2002.

The following table provides summary data for the results of operations for Other Operations for 1999, 2000 and 2001.

		(OTHER OPI	ERATIONS		
	 	YEAP	R ENDED I	DECEMBER 3	31,	
	 1999		2	000		2001
	 		(IN MI	LLIONS)		
Operating Revenues Operating Expenses:	\$		\$	6	\$	11
Operation and maintenance				9		21
General and administrative Depreciation and amortization		2 2		52 6		128 42
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 	4	\$	67	\$	191
Operating Loss	\$ 	(4)	\$ ======	(61)	\$ ===	(180)

2001 Compared to 2000. Other Operation's operating loss increased by \$119 million for 2001 compared to 2000. During 2001, we recognized \$54 million of restructuring charges related to exiting our Communications business as discussed above. In addition, we incurred a non-cash charge of \$100 million during 2001 relating to the redesign of some of Reliant Energy's benefit plans in anticipation of our separation from Reliant Energy. These items were partially offset by decreased corporate operating expenses of \$12 million and decreased charitable contributions of \$15 million of equity securities classified as "trading" to a charitable foundation. For additional information about the benefit charge noted above, please read Notes 11(b) and 11(d) to our consolidated financial statements.

In connection with our decision to exit the Communication business, we determined that the goodwill associated with the Communications business was impaired. We recorded \$54 million of pre-tax disposal charges in 2001, including the impairment of goodwill of \$19 million and fixed assets of \$22 million, and severance accruals, lease cancellation costs and other incremental costs associated with exiting the Communications business, totaling \$13 million. The goodwill and fixed asset impairments are included in depreciation and amortization expense.

In connection with our anticipated separation from Reliant Energy, we

expect to record in the quarter in which the Distribution is completed, a pre-tax net loss of approximately \$36 million related to the settlement of pension and post retirement obligations for former employees of Reliant Energy, who transferred to us.

2000 Compared to 1999. During 2000, Other Operations had operating revenues of \$6 million primarily from its Communications business, which was formed in June 1999. General and administrative and operation and maintenance costs in 2000 of \$61 million, compared to \$2 million for 1999, resulted primarily from costs related to our Communications business and a \$15 million non-cash contribution of equity securities, as discussed above. The increase in depreciation and amortization of \$4 million is primarily related to increased capital expenditures in 2000 as compared to the same period in 1999.

TRADING AND MARKETING OPERATIONS

We trade and market power, natural gas and other energy-related commodities and provide related risk management services to our customers. We apply mark-to-market accounting for all of our non-asset based energy trading, marketing, power origination and risk management services activities. For information regarding mark-to-market accounting, please read Notes 2(d) and 6 to our consolidated financial statements. These trading and marketing activities consist of:

- the domestic energy trading, marketing, power origination and risk management services operations of our Wholesale Energy segment;
- o the European energy trading and power origination operations of our European Energy segment; and
- o the large contracted commercial, industrial and institutional retail electricity business of our Retail Energy segment.

Our domestic and European energy trading and marketing operations enter into derivative transactions as a means of optimization of our current power generation asset position and to take a market position. For additional information regarding the types of contracts and activities of our trading and marketing operations, please read "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K and Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements.

Below is a detail of our net trading and marketing assets (liabilities) by segment:

	I	AS OF DECE	MBER 31	,
	2	(IN MILLIONS)	2001	
		(IN MILL	IONS)	
Wholesale Energy European Energy Retail Energy	\$	31 1 	\$	154 (9) 73
Net trading and marketing assets and liabilities \ldots	\$ =====	32	\$ =====	218

Our trading and marketing and risk management services margins realized and unrealized are as follows:

	FOR	THE	YEAR	ENDED	DECEMBER	31,
		2000	0		2001	
	(IN MILLIONS) \$ 202 \$					
Realized Unrealized	\$		202 (2		•	184 186
Total	\$ ===		200		\$ =======	370

Below is an analysis of our net trading and marketing assets and liabilities for 2001 (in millions):

Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2000 Fair value of new contracts when entered into during the year Contracts realized or settled during the year Changes in fair values attributable to changes in valuation techniques and assumptions Changes in fair values attributable to market price and other market changes

Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2001

49

During 2001, our Retail Energy segment entered into contracts with large commercial, industrial and institutional customers, with a peak demand of approximately 3,700 MW, ranging from one to three years. These contracts had an aggregated fair value of \$97 million at the contract inception dates. Subsequent to the inception dates, the fair values of these contracts were adjusted to \$74 million due to changes in assumptions used in the valuation models, as described below. The fair value of these Retail Energy electric supply contracts was determined by comparing the contractual pricing to the estimated market price for the retail energy delivery and applying the estimated volumes under the provisions of these contracts. This calculation involves estimating the customer's anticipated load volume, and using the forward ERCOT over-the-counter (OTC) commodity prices, adjusted for the customer's anticipated load pattern. Load characteristics in the valuation model include: the customer's expected hourly electricity usage profile, the potential variability in the electricity usage profile (due to weather or operational uncertainties), and the electricity usage limits included in the customer's contract. In addition, some estimates include anticipated delivery costs, such as regulatory and transmission charges, electric line losses, ERCOT system operator administrative fees and other market interaction charges, estimated credit risk and administrative costs to serve. The weighted-average duration of these transactions is approximately one year.

The remaining fair value of new contracts recorded at inception of \$22 million primarily relates to Wholesale Energy fixed and variable-priced power purchases and sales. The fair values of these Wholesale Energy contracts at inception are estimated using OTC forward price and volatility curves and

\$

\$

correlation among power and fuel prices, net of estimated credit risk. A significant portion of the value of these contracts required utilization of internal models. For the contracts extending beyond December 31, 2001, the weighted-average duration of these transactions is less than two years.

Below are the maturities of our contracts related to our trading and marketing assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2001 (in millions):

SOURCE OF FAIR VALUE	2	002	200)3	20	004	2	005	2006
Prices actively quoted	\$	(43)	\$	4	\$	1	\$		Ş
Prices provided by other external sources		142		58		(5)		(3)	
Prices based on models and other valuation methods		34		(1)		3		3	
Total	\$ ===	133	\$ ====	61	\$ ====	(1)	\$ ===		\$ ======

FAIR VALUE OF CONTRACTS AT DECEMBER 31, 200

The "prices actively quoted" category represents our New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) futures positions in natural gas and crude oil. As of December 31, 2001, the NYMEX had quoted prices for natural gas and crude oil for the next 36 and 30 months, respectively.

The "prices provided by other external sources" category represents our forward positions in natural gas and power at points for which OTC broker quotes are available. On average, OTC quotes for natural gas and power extend 60 and 36 months into the future, respectively. We value these positions against internally developed forward market price curves that are continuously compared to and recalibrated against OTC broker quotes. This category also includes some transactions whose prices are obtained from external sources and then modeled to hourly, daily or monthly prices, as appropriate.

The "prices based on models and other valuation methods" category contains (a) the value of our valuation adjustments for liquidity, credit and administrative costs, (b) the value of options not quoted by an exchange or OTC broker, (c) the value of transactions for which an internally developed price curve was constructed as a result of the long-dated nature of the transaction or the illiquidity of the market point, and (d) the value of structured transactions. In certain instances structured transactions can be composed and modeled by us as simple forwards and options based on prices actively quoted. Options are typically valued using Black-Scholes option valuation models.

50

Although the valuation of the simple structures might not be different than the valuation of contracts in other categories, the effective model price for any given period is a combination of prices from two or more different instruments and therefore have been included in this category due to the complex nature of

these transactions.

The fair values in the above table are subject to significant changes based on fluctuating market prices and conditions. Changes in the assets and liabilities from trading, marketing, power origination and price risk management services result primarily from changes in the valuation of the portfolio of contracts, newly originated transactions and the timing of settlements. The most significant parameters impacting the value of our portfolio of contracts include natural gas and power forward market prices, volatility and credit risk. For the Retail Energy sales discussed above, significant variables affecting contract values also include the variability in electricity consumption patterns due to weather and operational uncertainties (within contract parameters). Market prices assume a normal functioning market with an adequate number of buyers and sellers providing market liquidity. Insufficient market liquidity could significantly affect the values that could be obtained for these contracts, as well as the costs at which these contracts could be hedged. Please read "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K for further discussion and measurement of the market exposure in the trading and marketing businesses and discussion of credit risk management.

For additional information about price volatility and our hedging strategy, please read " - Certain Factors Affecting Our Future Earnings - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Price Volatility," and " - Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities."

For information regarding our counterparty credit risk, including credit ratings, exposure and collateral held by us, please read, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk - Credit Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

For a description of accounting policies for our trading and marketing activities, please read Notes 2(d) and 6 to our consolidated financial statements.

We seek to monitor and control our trading risk exposures through a variety of processes and committees. For additional information, please read "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk - Risk Management Structure" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the normal course of operations and in anticipation of our separation from Reliant Energy, we have entered into transactions and agreements with related parties, including Reliant Energy. For a discussion of historical related party transactions, please read Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements. Below are details of significant current related party transactions, arrangements and agreements.

AGREEMENTS BETWEEN RELIANT ENERGY AND RELIANT RESOURCES

Master Separation Agreement. Shortly before the IPO, we entered into the Master Separation Agreement with Reliant Energy. The Master Separation Agreement provides for the separation of our assets and businesses from those of Reliant Energy. It also contains agreements governing the relationship between us and Reliant Energy after the IPO, and in some cases after the Distribution, and specifies the related ancillary agreements that we have signed with Reliant Energy, some of which are described in further detail below.

The Master Separation Agreement provides for cross-indemnities intended to place sole financial responsibility on us and our subsidiaries for all liabilities associated with the current and historical businesses and operations we conduct after giving effect to the separation, regardless of the time those

liabilities arise, and to place sole financial responsibility for liabilities associated with Reliant Energy's other businesses with Reliant Energy and its other subsidiaries. Each party has also agreed to assume and be responsible for some specified liabilities associated with activities and operations of the other party and its subsidiaries to the extent performed for or on behalf of the other party's current or historical business.

51

Genco Option Agreement. In connection with the separation of our businesses from those of Reliant Energy, Reliant Energy has granted us an option to purchase, subject to completion of the Distribution, all of the shares of capital stock owned by Reliant Energy in January 2004 of an entity (Texas Genco) that will hold the Texas generating assets of Reliant Energy's electric utility division. For additional information regarding the Texas Genco option and various agreements between Reliant Energy and us related to the Texas Genco option, please read Note 4(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

Service Agreements. We have entered into agreements with Reliant Energy under which Reliant Energy will provide us, on an interim basis, various corporate support services, information technology services and other previously shared services such as corporate security, facilities management, accounts receivable, accounts payable and payroll, office support services and purchasing and logistics. The charges we will pay Reliant Energy for these services are generally intended to allow Reliant Energy to recover its fully allocated costs of providing the services, plus out-of-pocket costs and expenses. In addition, pursuant to lease agreements, Reliant Energy will lease us office space in its headquarters building and various other locations in Houston, Texas for various terms. For additional information regarding these agreements, please read Note 4(a) to our consolidated financial statements.

Payment to Reliant Energy. To the extent that our price for providing retail electric service to residential and small commercial customers in Reliant Energy's Houston service territory during 2002 and 2003, which price is mandated by the Texas electric restructuring law, exceeds the market price of electricity, we may be required to make a payment to Reliant Energy in early 2004 unless the Texas Utility Commission determines that, on or prior to January 1, 2004, 40% or more of the amount of electric power that was consumed in 2000 by residential or small commercial customers, as applicable, within Reliant Energy's electric utility's Houston service territory as of January 1, 2002 is committed to be served by retail electric providers other than us. For additional information regarding this payment, please read Note 13(g) to our consolidated financial statements.

Guarantee of Certain Benefit Payments. We have guaranteed, in the event Reliant Energy becomes insolvent, certain non-qualified benefits of Reliant Energy's and its subsidiaries' existing retirees at the Distribution totaling approximately \$55 million.

Transportation Agreement. Prior to the IPO, Reliant Energy Services entered into an agreement whereby a subsidiary of Reliant Energy agreed to reimburse Reliant Energy Services for any transportation payments made under a transportation agreement with ANR Pipeline Company and for the refund of \$41 million due to ANR Pipeline Company, an unaffiliated company. For additional information regarding this transportation agreement, please read Note 13(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

Commodity Risk Hedges Entered Into by Us on Behalf of Subsidiaries of Reliant Energy. Reliant Energy Services enters into derivative instruments on

behalf of affiliated entities within the Reliant Energy consolidated group in accordance with Reliant Energy's risk management policies. Historically, Reliant Energy Services was subject to the related counterparty credit risk. During 2001, related to the Enron bankruptcy, we recognized a \$6 million loss related to such transactions.

Various Other Agreements. In connection with the separation of our businesses from those of Reliant Energy, we have entered into other agreements providing for, among other things, mutual indemnities and releases with respect to our respective businesses and operations, matters relating to corporate governance, matters relating to responsibility for employee compensation and benefits, and the allocation of tax liabilities. In addition, we and Reliant Energy have entered into various agreements relating to ongoing commercial arrangements including, among other things, the leasing of optical fiber and related maintenance activities, gas purchasing and agency matters, and subcontracting energy services under existing contracts. For additional information regarding these agreements, please read Note 4(c) to our consolidated financial statements.

COMMON DIRECTORS ON RELIANT RESOURCES' AND RELIANT ENERGY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Three of our directors are also directors of Reliant Energy. One of these directors is our chairman, president and chief executive officer. These directors owe fiduciary duties to the stockholders of each company. As a result, in connection with any transaction or other relationship involving both companies, these directors may need to recuse themselves and not participate in any board action relating to these transactions or relationships. It is anticipated that at the time of the Distribution, one of these directors will resign as director of Reliant Energy.

52

CONSTRUCTION AGENCY AGREEMENTS

In 2001, we, through several of our subsidiaries, entered into operative documents with special purpose entities to facilitate the development, construction, financing and leasing of several power generation projects. Upon completion of an individual project and exercise of the lease option, our subsidiaries will be required to make lease payments in an amount sufficient to provide a return to the investors. If we do not exercise our option to lease any project upon its completion, we must purchase the project or remarket the project on behalf of the special purpose entities. We have guaranteed the performance and payment of our subsidiaries' obligations during the construction periods and, if the lease option is exercised, each lessee's obligations during the lease period. For additional information regarding the construction agency agreements and our generating equipment agreements, please read Note 13(h) to our consolidated financial statements.

CERTAIN FACTORS AFFECTING OUR FUTURE EARNINGS

Our past earnings are not necessarily indicative of our future earnings and results of operations. The magnitude of our future earnings and results of our operations will depend on numerous factors including:

o state, federal and international legislative and regulatory developments, including deregulation, re-regulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry, changes in or application of environmental and other laws and regulations to which we are subject, and changes in or application of laws or regulations applicable to

other aspects of our business, such as commodities trading and hedging activities,

- o the timing of our separation from Reliant Energy,
- the effects of competition, including the extent and timing of the entry of additional competitors in our markets,
- o liquidity concerns in our markets,
- o the degree to which we successfully integrate the operations and assets of Orion Power into our Wholesale Energy segment,
- the successful and timely completion of our construction programs, as well as the successful start-up of completed projects,
- o our pursuit of potential business strategies, including acquisitions or dispositions of assets or the development of additional power generation facilities,
- the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices and interest rates,
- o the availability of adequate supplies of fuel, water, and associated transportation necessary to operate our generation portfolio,
- weather variations and other natural phenomena, which can effect the demand for power from or our ability to produce power at our generating facilities,
- o financial market conditions, our access to capital and the results of our financing and refinancing efforts, including availability of funds in the debt/capital markets for merchant generation companies,
- the credit worthiness or bankruptcy or other financial distress of our trading, marketing and risk management services counterparties,
- o actions by rating agencies with respect to us or our competitors,
- o acts of terrorism or war,
- o the availability and price of insurance,

53

- the reliability of the systems, procedures and other infrastructure necessary to operate our retail electric business, including the systems owned and operated by ERCOT,
- o political, legal, regulatory and economic conditions and developments in the United States and in foreign countries in which we operate or into which we might expand our operations, including the effects of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates,
- o the successful operation of deregulating power markets, and
- o the resolution of the refusal by California market participants to pay our receivables balances due to the recent energy crisis in the West region.

In order to adapt to the increasingly competitive environment in our industry, we continue to evaluate a wide array of potential business strategies, including business combinations or acquisitions involving other utility or non-utility businesses or properties, dispositions of currently owned businesses, as well as developing new generation projects, products, services and customer strategies.

FACTORS AFFECTING THE RESULTS OF OUR WHOLESALE ENERGY OPERATIONS

Price Volatility. We sell electricity from our facilities into spot markets under short and long-term contractual arrangements. We are not guaranteed any rate of return on our capital investments through cost of service rates, and our revenues and results of operations are likely to depend, in large part, upon prevailing market prices for electricity and fuel in our regional markets. In addition to our power generation operations, we trade and market power. Market prices may fluctuate substantially over relatively short periods of time. Demand for electricity can fluctuate dramatically, creating periods of substantial under- or over-supply. During periods of over-supply, prices are depressed. During periods of under-supply, there is frequently regulatory or political pressure to regulate prices to compensate for product scarcity.

In addition, the FERC, which has jurisdiction over wholesale power rates, as well as independent system operators that oversee some of these markets, have imposed price limitations, bidding rules and other mechanisms to attempt to address some of the volatility in these markets and mitigate market prices. For a discussion of the implementation of price limitations and other rules in the California market, please read Note 13(i) to our consolidated financial statements.

Most of our Wholesale Energy business segment's domestic power generation facilities purchase fuel under short-term contracts or on the spot market. Fuel prices may also be volatile, and the price we can obtain for power sales may not change at the same rate as changes in fuel costs. In addition, we trade and market natural gas and other energy-related commodities. These factors could have an adverse impact on our revenues, margins and results of operations.

Volatility in market prices for fuel and electricity may result from:

- o weather conditions,
- o seasonality,
- o forced or unscheduled plant outages,
- o addition of generating capacity,
- o changes in market liquidity,
- disruption of electricity or gas transmission or transportation, infrastructure or other constraints or inefficiencies,
- o availability of competitively priced alternative energy sources,

54

-		£		commodities]			
()	nemann	I O F	enerav	COMMODILLES	ano	neneral	economic	CODOLL LODS.

o availability and levels of storage and inventory for fuel stocks,

- natural gas, crude oil and refined products, and coal production levels,
- o natural disasters, wars, embargoes and other catastrophic events, and
- o federal, state and foreign governmental regulation and legislation.

Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities. To lower our financial exposure related to commodity price fluctuations, our trading, marketing and risk management services operations routinely enter into contracts to hedge a portion of our purchase and sale commitments, exposure to weather fluctuations, fuel requirements and inventories of natural gas, coal, crude oil and refined products, and other commodities. As part of this strategy, we routinely utilize fixed-price forward physical purchase and sales contracts, futures, financial swaps and option contracts traded in the over-the-counter markets and on exchanges. However, we do not expect to cover the entire exposure of our assets or our positions to market price volatility, and the coverage will vary over time. This hedging activity fluctuates according to strategic objectives, taking into account the desire for cash flow or earnings certainty and our view on market prices. To the extent we have unhedged positions, fluctuating commodity prices could negatively impact our financial results and financial position. For additional information regarding the accounting treatment for our hedging, trading and marketing and risk management activities, please read Notes 2(d) and 6 to our consolidated financial statements. For additional information regarding the types of contracts and activities of our trading and marketing operations, please read " - Trading and Marketing Operations" and "Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

We manage our power generation hedge objectives in the context of market conditions while targeting certain hedge percentages of future earnings through hedge actions in the current year. As of December 31, 2001, we had hedged 39% and 29% of our planned Wholesale Energy margins for 2002 and 2003, respectively, excluding margins related to Orion Power. Margins for 2002 and 2003 are expected to be positively impacted by the acquisition of Orion Power and negatively affected by lower forward electric power prices as they relate to unhedged positions and an estimated decline in our trading and marketing operations due to projected decreases in volatility in energy commodity markets.

At times, we have open trading positions in the market, within established corporate risk management guidelines, resulting from the management of our trading portfolio. To the extent open trading positions exist, changes in commodity prices could negatively impact our financial results and financial position.

The risk management procedures we have in place may not always be followed or may not always work as planned. As a result of these and other factors, we cannot predict with precision the impact that our risk management decisions may have on our businesses, operating results or financial position. For information regarding our risk management policies, please read "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk - Risk Management Structure" in Item 7A to this Form 10-K.

Our trading, marketing and risk management services operations (as well as some of our operations conducted on behalf of Reliant Energy) are also exposed to the risk that counterparties who owe us money or physical commodities, such as power, natural gas or coal, will not perform their obligations. Should the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, we might be forced to acquire alternative hedging arrangements or replace the underlying commitment at then-current market prices. In this event, we might incur additional losses to the extent of amounts, if any, already paid to the counterparties. For

information regarding our credit risk, including exposure to Enron and utilities in California, please read "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk - Credit Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K and Notes 6(d), 13(i) and 17 to our consolidated financial statements.

In the ordinary course of business, and as part of our hedging strategy, we enter into long-term sales arrangements for power, as well as long-term purchase arrangements. For information regarding our long-term fuel supply contracts, purchase power and electric capacity contracts and commitments, electric energy and electric sale contracts and tolling arrangements, please read Notes 6, 13(a) and 13(c) to our consolidated financial statements.

55

Uncertainty in the California Market. During portions of 2000 and 2001, prices for wholesale electricity in California increased dramatically as a result of a combination of factors, including higher natural gas prices and emission allowance costs, reduction in available hydroelectric generation resources, increased demand, decreased net electric imports and limitations on supply as a result of maintenance and other outages. Because of the high prices that prevailed during this period, Reliant Energy, and several of our subsidiaries, including Reliant Energy Services and REPG, as well as some of the officers of some of these companies, have been named as defendants in class action lawsuits and other lawsuits filed against a number of companies that own generation plants in California and other sellers of electricity in California markets.

In response to the filing of a number of complaints challenging the level of these wholesale prices, the FERC initiated a staff investigation and issued a number of orders implementing a series of wholesale market reforms and modifications to those reforms. On February 13, 2002, the FERC issued an order initiating a staff investigation into potential manipulation of electric and natural gas prices in the West region for the period January 1, 2000 forward. Some of our long-term bilateral contracts already have been challenged by one of our many counterparties based on the alleged market dysfunction in Western power markets in 2000 and 2001. If these challenges are successful, the precedent set by the challenge could have larger ramifications to our business and operations beyond the challenged contracts at issue. Furthermore, in addition to FERC investigations, several state and other federal regulatory investigations have commenced in connection with the wholesale electricity prices in California and other neighboring Western states to determine the causes of the high prices and potentially to recommend remedial action.

Finally, there have been proposals in the California state legislature to regulate the operations of our California generating subsidiaries, beyond the existing state regulation regarding siting, environmental and other health and safety matters. For additional information regarding the litigation and market uncertainty in California, please read Notes 13(e) and 13(i) to our consolidated financial statements.

Industry Restructuring, the Risk of Re-regulation and the Impact of Current Regulations. The regulatory environment applicable to the United States electric power industry is undergoing significant changes as a result of varying restructuring initiatives at both the state and federal levels and the reassessment of existing regulatory mechanisms stemming from the California power market situation and the bankruptcy of Enron. These initiatives have had a significant impact on the nature of the industry and the manner in which its participants conduct their business. These changes are ongoing and we cannot predict the future development of restructuring in these markets or the ultimate

effect that this changing regulatory environment will have on our business.

Moreover, existing regulations may be revised or reinterpreted, new laws and regulations may be adopted or become applicable to us, our facilities or our commercial activities, and future changes in laws and regulations may have a detrimental effect on our business. Some restructured markets, particularly California, have experienced supply problems and price volatility. These supply problems and volatility have been the subject of a significant amount of press coverage, much of which has been critical of the restructuring initiatives. In some markets, including California, proposals have been made by governmental agencies and/or other interested parties to delay or discontinue proposed restructuring or to re-regulate areas of these markets, especially with respect to residential retail customers, that have previously been deregulated. In this connection, state officials, the California Independent System Operator (Cal ISO) and the investor-owned utilities in California have argued to the FERC that our California generating subsidiaries should not continue to have market-based rate authority. While the FERC to date has consistently refused petitions to force entities with market-based rates to return to cost-based rates, some of these proceedings are ongoing and we cannot predict what action the FERC may take on such petitions in the future. If we were forced to adopt cost-based rates, future earnings would be affected. Furthermore, the Cal ISO is undertaking a market redesign process to fundamentally change the structure of wholesale electricity markets and transmission service in California. These changes, if approved by the FERC, could include a revised market monitoring and mitigation structure, a revised congestion management mechanism and an obligation for load-serving entities in California to maintain capacity reserves. The Cal ISO's stated goal is to complete the first phase of this redesign by September 30, 2002, when the existing FERC market mitigation scheme for California will expire.

On November 20, 2001, the FERC instituted an investigation under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act regarding the tariffs of all sellers with market-based rates authority, including the Company. For information regarding this FERC proceeding and other FERC actions relating to the California market, please read Note 13(i) to

56

our consolidated financial statements. If the FERC does not modify or reject its proposed approach for dealing with anti-competitive behavior, our future earnings may be affected by the open-ended refund obligation.

Additionally, federal legislative initiatives have been introduced and discussed to address the problems being experienced in some of these markets, including legislation seeking to impose price caps on sales. We cannot predict whether other proposals to re-regulate will be made or whether legislative or other attention to the restructuring of the electric power industry will cause the restructuring to be delayed or reversed. If the trend towards competitive restructuring of the wholesale power markets is reversed, discontinued or delayed, the business growth prospects and financial results of our Wholesale Energy and Retail Energy segments could be adversely affected.

If Regional Transmission Organizations (RTOs) are established as envisioned by Order No. 2000, "rate pancaking," or multiple transmission charges that apply to a single point-to-point delivery of energy will be eliminated within a region, and wholesale transactions within the region, and between regions will be facilitated. The end result could be a more competitive, transparent market for the sale of energy and a more economic and efficient use and allocation of

resources; however, considerable opposition exists in some arenas to the development of RTOs.

The FERC also has initiated a rulemaking proceeding to establish standardized transmission service throughout the United States, a standard wholesale electric market design, including forward and spot markets for energy and an ancillary services market, and specifications regarding the entities that administer these markets and for market monitoring and mitigation, that could be used in all RTOs. We cannot predict at this time what effect FERC's standard market design will have on our business growth prospects and financial results.

Partly in response to the bankruptcy of Enron, there have been proposals in the United States Congress to make online platforms that trade energy and metals derivatives subject to oversight by the Commodities Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), to prohibit market price manipulation and fraud. Under some of these proposals, dealers in energy derivatives would be required to file reports with the CFTC and maintain amounts of capital, as determined by the CFTC, to support the risks of their transactions. Other proposals would require the CFTC to review these markets for potential regulatory recommendations. We do not know what impact, if any, these proposals would have on our business if enacted. Additionally, there may be other broader proposals introduced to submit energy trading to comprehensive regulation by the FERC or by the CFTC.

The acquisition, ownership and operation of power generation facilities require numerous permits, approvals and certificates from federal, state and local governmental agencies. The operation of our generation facilities must also comply with environmental protection and other legislation and regulations. At present, we have operations in Arizona, California, Florida, Illinois, Maryland, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas and West Virginia. Most of our existing domestic generation facilities are exempt wholesale generators that sell electricity exclusively into the wholesale market. These facilities are subject to regulation by the FERC regarding rate matters and by state public utility commissions regarding siting, environmental and other health and safety matters. The FERC has authorized us to sell our generation from these facilities at market prices. The FERC retains the authority to modify or withdraw our market-based rate authority and to impose "cost of service" rates if it determines that market pricing is not in the public interest.

Uncertainty Related to the New York Regulatory Environment. The New York market is subject to significant regulatory oversight and control. Our operating results are as dependent on the continuance of the regulatory structure as they are on fluctuations in the market price for electricity. The rules governing the current regulatory structure are subject to change. We cannot assure you that we will be able to adapt our business in a timely manner in response to any changes in the regulatory structure, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and costs. The primary regulatory risk in this market is associated with the oversight activity of the New York Public Service Commission, the New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) and the FERC.

Our assets located in New York are subject to "lightened regulation" by the New York Public Service Commission, including provisions of the New York Public Service Law that relate to enforcement, investigation, safety, reliability, system improvements, construction, excavation, and the issuance of securities. Because "lightened regulation" was accomplished administratively, it could be revoked.

The NYISO has the ability to revise wholesale prices, which could lead to delayed or disputed collection of amounts due to us for sales of energy and ancillary services. The NYISO also has the ability, in some cases subject to FERC approval, to impose cost-based pricing and/or price caps. The NYISO has implemented a measure known as the "Automated Mitigation Procedure" (AMP) under which day-ahead energy bids will be automatically reviewed and, if necessary, mitigated if economic or physical withholding is determined. Proposed modifications to the AMP provide a level of uncertainty over the impacts of that procedure in the summer of 2002. FERC has also directed the NYISO to adopt mitigation measures for all limits in New York City consistent with its overall market-monitoring plan. NYISO has filed in-city mitigation measures with the FERC, which it is proposing to be implemented beginning in late spring of 2002. The full impact of these revisions may not be known until the summer of 2002.

Integration and Other Risks Associated with Our Orion Power Assets. We have made a substantial investment in our recent acquisition of Orion Power. If we are unable to profitably integrate, operate, maintain and manage our newly acquired power generation facilities, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

Duquesne Light Company is obligated to supply electricity at predetermined tariff rates to all retail customers in its existing service territory who do not select another electricity supplier. Orion Power has committed to provide 100% of the energy that Duquesne Light Company needs to meet this obligation under a contract that was recently extended through December 2004. If our obligation under this contract exceeds the available output from the combination of Orion Power's generation facilities and our additional generation facilities in the region, we would be forced to buy additional energy at prevailing market prices and, in certain cases where we failed to deliver the required amount, we could incur penalties during periods of peak demand of up to \$1,000 per megawatt hour. If this situation were to occur during periods of peak energy prices, we could suffer substantial losses that could materially adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, our revenues generated under this contract may be adversely impacted if a substantial number of Duquesne Light Company's retail customers select other retail electric providers.

Operating Risks. Our Wholesale Energy operations and our European Energy operations are exposed to risks relating to the breakdown or failure of equipment or processes, fuel supply interruptions, shortages of equipment, material and labor, and operating performance below expected levels of output or efficiency. A significant portion of our facilities were constructed many years ago. Older generating equipment, even if maintained in accordance with good engineering practices, may require significant capital expenditures to add or upgrade equipment to keep it operating at peak efficiency, to comply with changing environmental requirements, or to provide reliable operations. Such changes could affect operating costs. Any unexpected failure to produce power, including failure caused by breakdown or forced outage, could result in reduced earnings.

We depend on transmission and distribution facilities owned and operated by utilities and other power companies to deliver the electricity we sell from our power generation facilities to our customers, who in turn deliver these products to the ultimate consumers of the power. If transmission is disrupted, or transmission capacity is inadequate, our ability to sell and deliver our products may be hindered.

Factors Affecting Our Acquisition and Project Development Activities. Our plans indicate a shift in emphasis from identifying and pursuing acquisition and development candidates to construction and integration of generation facilities. We believe this is a temporary shift based on the requirements of integrating the Orion Power assets and the maturation of both our and Orion Power's development projects and by the current state of the wholesale electricity and

capital markets.

There are numerous risks relating to the acquisition and development of power generation plants and construction and integration of these facilities. We may not be able to identify attractive acquisitions or development opportunities, complete acquisitions or development projects we undertake, or we may not be able to integrate these plants, especially larger acquisitions, into our portfolios and achieve the synergies, including cost savings, we originally envisioned.

Currently, we have a select number of power generation facilities under development and many under construction (either owned or leased). Our completion of these facilities is subject to the following:

58

- o market prices,
- o shortages and inconsistent quality of equipment, material and labor,
- o financial market conditions and the results of our financing efforts,
- o actions by rating agencies with respect to us or our competitors,
- o work stoppages, due to plant bankruptcies and contract labor disputes,
- o permitting and other regulatory matters,
- o unforeseen weather conditions,
- o unforeseen equipment problems,
- o environmental and geological conditions, and
- o unanticipated capital cost increases.

Any of these factors could give rise to delays, cost overruns or the termination of the plant expansion, construction or development. Many of these risks cannot be adequately covered by insurance. While we maintain insurance, obtain warranties from vendors and obligate contractors to meet specified performance standards, the proceeds of such insurance, warranties or performance guarantees may not be adequate to cover lost revenues, increased expenses or liquidated damages payments we may owe.

If we were unable to complete the development of a facility, we would generally not be able to recover our investment in the project. The process for obtaining initial environmental, siting and other governmental permits and approvals is complicated, expensive, lengthy and subject to significant uncertainties. Transmission interconnection, fuel supply and cooling water represent some cost uncertainties during project development that may also result in termination of the project. In addition, construction delays and contractor performance shortfalls can result in the loss of revenues and may, in turn, adversely affect our results of operations. The failure to complete construction according to specifications can result in liabilities, reduced plant efficiency, higher operating costs and reduced earnings. We may not be successful in the development or construction of power generation facilities in the future.

As a result of several recent events, including the United States economic

recession, the price decline of our industry sector in the equity capital markets and the downgrading of the credit ratings of several of our significant competitors, the availability and cost of capital for our business and the businesses of our competitors has been adversely affected. In response to these events and the intensified scrutiny of companies in our industry sector by the rating agencies, we have reduced our planned capital expenditures by \$2.7 billion over the 2002 - 2006 time frame.

Successful integration of plants, especially acquisitions, is subject to a number of risks, including the following:

- o unforeseen liabilities or other exposures,
- inaccurate due diligence of acquired facilities, such as underestimates of outage rates and operating costs,
- inability to achieve adequate cost savings in both overhead and operations,
- inability to achieve various commercial synergies with existing operations, and
- o market prices for power and fuels.

Any of these factors could significantly affect the economic impact of an acquisition on our results of operations.

59

As part of this integration process and our temporary shift in emphasis, the Orion Power plants will be part of an operations improvement process that strives to achieve both reduced operating and maintenance costs and increase gross margins through improved availability and reliability of plants. This process is currently underway at our other plants and will be introduced at the Orion Power facilities beginning in the third quarter of 2002.

Increasing Competition in Our Industry. Our Wholesale Energy business segment competes with other energy merchants. In order to successfully compete, we must have the ability to aggregate supplies at competitive prices from different sources and locations and must be able to efficiently utilize transportation services from third-party pipelines and transmission services from electric utilities. We also compete against other energy merchants on the basis of our relative skills, financial position and access to credit sources. Energy customers, wholesale energy suppliers and transporters often seek financial guarantees and other assurances that their energy contracts will be satisfied. As pricing information becomes increasingly available in the energy trading and marketing business, we anticipate that our operations will experience greater competition and downward pressure on per-unit profit margins. Furthermore, demands for liquidity to support trading and merchant asset businesses are increasing at the same time that the credit rating agencies are reviewing the liquidity and other credit criteria for trading, marketing and merchant generation firms. Other companies we compete with may not have similar credit ratings pressure or may have higher credit ratings. The growth of electronic trading platforms has increased the number of transactions, potential counterparties and level of price transparency in the energy commodity market. As a result, we are likely to transact with a wide range of customers potentially increasing our risk due to their changing credit circumstances, while at the same time potentially diversifying our reliance on a smaller number of customers.

Developments with respect to our competitors frequently have a collateral and tangible impact on us. Credit and liquidity concerns impact our ability to do business with counterparties. Adverse regulatory and political ramifications can result from activities and investigations directed at our competitors.

Hydroelectric Facilities Licensing. The Federal Power Act gives the FERC exclusive authority to license non-federal hydroelectric projects on navigable waterways and federal lands. The FERC hydroelectric licenses are issued for terms of 30 to 50 years. Some of our hydroelectric facilities, representing approximately 90 MW of capacity, have licenses that expire within the next ten years. Facilities that we own representing approximately 160 MW of capacity have new or initial license applications pending before the FERC. Upon expiration of a FERC license, the federal government can take over the project and compensate the licensee, or the FERC can issue a new license to either the existing licensee or a new licensee. In addition, upon license expiration, the FERC can decommission an operating project and even order that it be removed from the river at the owner's expense. In deciding whether to issue a license, the FERC gives equal consideration to a full range of licensing purposes related to the potential value of a stream or river. It is not uncommon for the relicensing process to take between four and ten years to complete. Generally, the relicensing process begins at least five years before the license expiration date and the FERC issues annual licenses to permit a hydroelectric facility to continue operations pending conclusion of the relicensing process. We expect that the FERC will issue to us new or initial hydroelectric licenses for all the facilities with pending applications. Presently, there are no applications for competing licenses and there is no indication that the FERC will decommission or order any of the projects to be removed.

FACTORS AFFECTING THE RESULTS OF OUR EUROPEAN ENERGY OPERATIONS

General. Our European Energy segment intends to focus its activities in existing trading markets in the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Germany, the Scandinavian countries, Austria and Switzerland. Historical results of operations may not be indicative of future results of operations. In particular, results of operations for our European Energy segment prior to 2001 reflect the impact of a regulated generation price system that has been discontinued. In addition, in 2001 and prior years, under Dutch corporate income tax laws, the earnings of REPGB were subject to a zero percent Dutch corporate income tax rate as a result of the Dutch tax holiday applicable to its electric industry. In 2002, all of European Energy's earnings in the Netherlands will be subject to the standard Dutch corporate income tax rate, which currently is 34.5%. Furthermore, European Energy's results of operations for 2001 include the effect of a number of non-recurring items, including the \$37 million net gain resulting from the settlement of a stranded cost indemnity agreement.

60

Future results of operations of our European Energy segment could be affected by, among other things, the following:

- increasing competition in the Dutch wholesale energy market, resulting in declining electric power margins,
- the timing and pace of the deregulation of other sectors of the European energy markets,
- the continuing negative impact of the bankruptcy of Enron on market liquidity and credit requirements in European trading markets,

- the mark-to-market price risk exposure associated with certain stranded cost electricity and natural gas supply contracts,
- the impact of any renegotiation of European Energy's stranded cost contracts,
- the impact and changes of natural gas tariffs pursuant to changes in the regulatory structure,
- o the ability to negotiate new contracts or renew contracts with customers on favorable terms, and
- o the impact of slowing economic growth on power generation demand in the markets in which our European Energy segment operates.

Competition in the European Market. Competition for energy customers in the markets in which our European Energy segment operates is high. The primary factors affecting our European Energy segment's competitive position are price, regulation, the economic resources of its competitors, and its market reputation and perceived creditworthiness.

Our European Energy segment competes in the Dutch wholesale market against a variety of other companies, including other Dutch generation companies, co-generators, various producers of alternate sources of power and non-Dutch generators of electric power, primarily from France and Germany. As of December 31, 2001, the Dutch electricity system had three operational interconnection points with Germany and two interconnection points with Belgium. There are also a number of projects that are at various stages of development and that may increase the number of interconnections in the future (post 2005), including interconnections with Norway and the United Kingdom. The Belgian interconnections are primarily used to import electricity from France, but a larger portion of Dutch electricity imports comes from Germany. It is anticipated that over time, transmission constraints between the Netherlands and other European markets will be reduced, thereby exposing our European Energy segment to even greater competitive pressures.

Our European Energy segment's trading and marketing operations are also subject to increasing levels of competition. Competition among power generators for customers is intense and is expected to increase as more participants enter increasingly deregulated markets. Many of our European Energy segment's existing competitors have geographic market positions far more extensive than that of our European Energy segment. In addition, many of these competitors possess significantly greater financial, personnel and other resources than our European Energy segment.

Deregulation of the Dutch Market. The Dutch wholesale electric market was completely opened to competition on January 1, 2001. Consistent with our expectations at the time we acquired our operations in the Netherlands, the gross margin of our European Energy segment declined in 2001 as a result of the deregulation of the market and the termination of an agreement with the other Dutch generators and the Dutch distributors. Commercial markets were generally opened to retail competition in January 2002. We expect the remainder of the market, consisting of mainly residential customers, will be open to competition by January 1, 2003. The timing of opening of the residential segment of the market is subject to change, however, at the discretion of the Dutch Minister of Economic Affairs. Since our European Energy segment's operations focus on the wholesale market, we do not expect that the opening of the Dutch commercial or residential electric market will have a significant impact on the segment's results of operations. 61

Plant Outages. During 2001, our margins were negatively impacted by unplanned outages at some of our Dutch generation facilities. The unplanned outages were primarily due to malfunctions of the generation turbines and related equipment and complications encountered in the maintenance of one of our facilities. We estimate that these unplanned outages resulted in losses of approximately \$11 million, a significant portion of which is covered by property damage and business interruption insurance. For additional information regarding operational risks applicable to our European Energy segment, including unplanned plant outages, please read " - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Operating Risks."

Other Factors. In December 2001, REPGB and its former shareholders entered into a settlement agreement resolving the former shareholders' stranded cost indemnity obligations under the purchase agreement of REPGB. For additional information regarding the stranded cost indemnity settlement and the potential impact on earnings from changes in the valuation in the future of the related stranded cost contracts, please read Notes 6(b) and 13(f) to our consolidated financial statements. We have begun discussions with the other parties to these contracts to modify the terms of certain of the out-of-market contracts. The structure of these settlements, if consummated, likely would entail an upfront cash payment to the counterparty in exchange for amendments to price and other terms intended to make the contracts more market conforming. REPGB would seek to fund these payments, if made, to the extent possible through the proceeds from the settlement of its stranded cost indemnity agreement and, possibly, anticipated distributions from NEA. We cannot currently predict the outcome of these negotiations. However, to the extent that these discussions result in amendments to the contracts, we could realize a gain.

We are in the process of reviewing our European Energy segment's goodwill and certain intangibles for impairment pursuant to SFAS No. 142. For information regarding assessing the impairment in 2002 under SFAS No. 142, please read " -New Accounting Pronouncements and Critical Accounting Policies."

Our European operations are subject to various risks incidental to investing or operating in foreign countries. These risks include economic risks, such as fluctuations in currency exchange rates, restrictions on the repatriation of foreign earnings and/or restrictions on the conversion of local currency earnings into U.S. dollars. For example, we estimate that the impact of the devaluation of the Euro relative to the U.S. dollar during 2001 negatively affected U.S. dollar net income by approximately \$2 million.

FACTORS AFFECTING THE RESULTS OF OUR RETAIL ENERGY OPERATIONS

General. The Texas retail electricity market fully opened to competition in January 2002. Therefore, we do not expect the earnings from our Retail Energy segment for past years to be indicative of our future earnings and results. The level of future earnings generated by our Retail Energy segment will depend on numerous factors including:

- legislative and regulatory developments related to the newly-opened retail electricity market in Texas and changes in the application of such laws and regulations,
- o the effects of competition, including the extent and timing of the entry or exit of competitors in our markets and the impact of competition on retail prices and margins,
- o customer attrition rates and cost associated with acquiring and

retaining new customers,

- o our ability to negotiate new contracts or renew contracts with customers on favorable terms,
- the timing and extent of changes in wholesale commodity prices and transmission and distribution rates,
- o our ability to procure adequate electricity supply upon economic terms,
- o our ability to effectively hedge commodity prices,
- o our ability to pass increased supply costs on to customers in a timely manner,
- o our ability to timely perform our obligations under our customer contracts,

62

- o market liquidity for wholesale power,
- o the financial condition and payment patterns of our customers,
- o weather variations and other natural phenomena,
- o the timely and accurate implementation of the new internal and external information technology systems and processes necessary to provide customer information and to implement customer switching in the retail electricity market in Texas which was established in late 2001,
- o the costs associated with operating our internal customer service and other operating functions, and
- o the timing and accuracy of ERCOT settlements, and the exchange of information between ERCOT, the transmission and distribution utility and our retail electric provider, which facilitates our Retail Energy segment's billing, collection and supply management processes.

Competition in the Texas Market. In June 1999, the Texas legislature adopted the Texas electric restructuring law, which substantially amended the regulatory structure governing electric utilities in Texas in order to allow full retail competition. Beginning in 2002, all classes of Texas customers of most investor-owned utilities, and those of any municipal utility and electric cooperative that opted to participate in the competitive marketplace, were able to choose their retail electric provider. In January 2002, we began to provide retail electric services to all customers of Reliant Energy's electric utility who did not take action to select another retail electric provider. Under the market framework established by the Texas electric restructuring law, we are recognized as the affiliated retail electric provider of Reliant Energy's electric utility. The Distribution will not change this treatment, even though we will cease to be a subsidiary of Reliant Energy after the Distribution. As an affiliated retail electric provider, we are initially required to sell electricity to these Houston area residential and small commercial customers at a specified price, which is referred to in the law as the "price to beat," whereas other retail electric providers are allowed to sell electricity to these customers at any price. Our price to beat was set at a level resulting in an

estimated average 17% reduction from December 31, 2001 rates for our residential customers and an estimated average 22% reduction from December 31, 2001 rates for our pre-existing small commercial customers. The wholesale energy supply cost component, or "fuel factor," included in our price to beat was initially set by the Texas Utility Commission at the then average forward 12 month gas price strip of approximately \$3.11/mmbtu.

We are not permitted to offer electricity to these customers at a price other than the price to beat until January 1, 2005, unless before that date the Texas Utility Commission determines that 40% or more of the amount of electric power that was consumed in 2000 by the relevant class of customers in the Houston metropolitan area is committed to be served by retail electric providers other than us. Because we will not be able to compete for residential and small commercial customers on the basis of price in the Houston area, we may lose a significant number of these customers to other retail electric providers. Customers were given the opportunity to switch beginning in August 2001 through the retail pilot project. Due to system related problems which restricted the timely switching of customers during the pilot project and in early 2002, we cannot be sure of the number of customers that have attempted to switch to other retail electric providers. For additional information regarding retail market systems problems, please read " - Operational Risks." Between the beginning of the pilot project in August 2001 and February 28, 2002, we estimate that approximately 67,000 customers (or approximately 4% of our residential and small commercial customers) have switched to other retail electric providers. Due to the switching systems problems, the actual numbers of customers that switched or attempted to switch by this date may actually be higher.

As discussed above, as the affiliated retail electric provider, we may only sell electricity to residential and small commercial customers in Reliant Energy's electric utility service territory at the price to beat for a period of up to three years. In addition, as the affiliated retail electric provider, we are obligated to offer the price to beat to requesting residential and small commercial customers in Reliant Energy's electric utility service territory through January 1, 2007.

We are providing commodity service to the large commercial, industrial and institutional customers previously served by Reliant Energy's electric utility who did not take action to select another retail electric provider. In addition, we have signed contracts to provide electricity and services to large commercial, industrial and institutional customers, both in the Houston area as well as outside of the Houston market. We or any other retail electric

63

provider can provide services to these customers at any negotiated price. The market for these customers is very competitive, and any of these customers that select us as their provider may subsequently decide to switch to another provider at the conclusion of the term of their contract with us.

In most retail electric markets outside the Houston area, our principal competitor may be the local incumbent utility company's retail affiliate. These retail affiliates have the advantage of long-standing relationships with their customers. In addition to competition from the incumbent utilities' affiliates, we may face competition from a number of other retail providers, including affiliates of other non-incumbent utilities, independent retail electric providers and, with respect to sales to large economical and industrial customers, independent power producers acting as retail electric providers. Some of these competitors or potential competitors may be larger and better capitalized than we are.

Generally, retail electric providers will purchase electricity from the wholesale generators at unregulated rates, sell electricity to their retail customers and pay the transmission and distribution utility a regulated tariffed rate for delivering the electricity to their customers. Retail electric providers will then bill and collect payments from the customers. Because we are required to sell electricity to residential and small commercial customers in the Houston area at the price to beat, we may lose a significant number of these customers to non-affiliated retail electric providers if their cost to provide electricity to these customers is lower than the price to beat. In addition, the results of our Retail Energy operations for sales to residential and small commercial customers over the next several years in Texas will be largely dependent upon the amount of gross margin, or "headroom," available in our price to beat. Until 2004, when we will have the option to acquire Reliant Energy's ownership interest in Texas Genco, our results will be largely based on the ability of our Wholesale Energy segment to buy power at prices that yield acceptable gross margins at revenue levels determined by the price to beat set by the Texas Utility Commission. The available headroom in the price to beat is equal to the difference between the price to beat and the sum of the charges, fees and transmission and distribution utility rates approved by the Texas Utility Commission and the price we pay for power to serve our price to beat customers. The larger the amount of headroom, the more incentive new market entrants should have to provide retail electric services in that particular market. The Texas Utility Commission's regulations allow affiliated retail electric providers to adjust their price to beat fuel factor based on the percentage change in the price of natural gas. In addition, they may also request an adjustment as a result of changes in their price of purchased energy. In such a request, they may adjust the fuel factor to the extent necessary to restore the amount of headroom that existed at the time the initial price to beat fuel factor was set by the Texas Utility Commission. Affiliated retail electric providers may not request that their price to beat be adjusted more than twice a year. We cannot estimate with any certainty the magnitude and frequency of the adjustments we may seek, if any, and the eventual impact of such adjustments on the amount of headroom. Based on forward gas prices at the end of March 2002, we would be able to increase our price to beat rates by approximately 4-5%. Available headroom in the Houston market, as well as in other Texas markets where we intend to compete, will be affected by any changes in transmission and distribution rates that may be requested by the transmission and distribution provider in the respective service territory and in taxes, fees and other charges assessed or levied by third parties. Any changes in transmission and distribution rates must be approved by the Texas Utility Commission. The Texas Utility Commission has initiated a proceeding to determine what taxes a municipality or other local taxing authority can charge retail electric providers relating to the provision of electricity.

In Texas, our Wholesale Energy group and our Retail Energy group work together in order to determine the price, demand and supply of energy required to meet the needs of our Retail Energy segment's customers. We may purchase capacity from non-affiliated parties in the capacity auctions mandated by the Texas Utility Commission and from Texas Genco in auctions substantially similar to, but separate from, the mandated auctions. These positions are continuously monitored and updated based on retail sales forecasts and market conditions. However, we do not expect to cover the entire exposure of these positions to market price volatility, and the coverage will vary over time. For a discussion of risks similar to those associated with our Retail Energy segment's hedging activities, please read " - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Price Volatility," and " - Risks Associated with Our Hedging and Risk Management Activities." In addition to the factors noted in these sections, our ability to adequately hedge our retail electricity requirements is also dependent on the accurate forecast of the number of our customers in each customer class and uncertainties associated with the recently established ERCOT settlement procedures.

64

Obligations as a Provider of Last Resort. The Texas electric restructuring law requires the Texas Utility Commission to designate certain retail electric providers as providers of last resort in areas of the state in which retail competition is in effect. A provider of last resort is required to offer a standard retail electric service package for each class of customers designated by the Texas Utility Commission at a fixed, nondiscountable rate approved by the Texas Utility Commission, and is required to provide the service package to any requesting retail customer in the territory for which it is the provider of last resort. In the event that another retail electric provider fails to serve any or all of its customers, the provider of last resort is required to offer that customer the standard retail service package for that customer class with no interruption of service to the customer. The Texas Utility Commission designated our subsidiary, StarEn Power, to serve as the provider of last resort for residential and small commercial customers in the western portion of the Dallas/Fort Worth metropolitan area formally served by Texas Utilities, Inc., a subsidiary of TXU, Inc. In addition, StarEn Power has been appointed as the provider of last resort for large commercial, industrial and institutional customers in Reliant Energy's electric utility service territory. StarEn Power will serve two consecutive six month terms as the provider of last resort. The first term began on January 1, 2002. The second six-month term, beginning July 1, 2002, will include a potential adjustment to the energy component of our provider of last resort rate based on a NYMEX Henry Hub natural gas index. The terms and rates for provider of last resort service are governed by a settlement between us and various interested parties, which settlement was approved by the Texas Utility Commission. In this role, StarEn Power retains the rights to require customer deposits and disconnect service in accordance with Texas Utility Commission rules, and to petition the Texas Utility Commission for a price change in the event it is determined that StarEn power will experience a net financial loss over the term of its provider of last resort obligations. In the first quarter of 2002, the Texas Utility Commission initiated a proceeding to review and possibly amend both the governing rules and structure of provider of last resort service and obligations. This proceeding is in its initial stages and we cannot be sure whether the structure of provider of last resort service and obligations will change, how they will change or what effect, if any, any changes would have on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of StarEn Power or our Retail Energy segment.

"Clawback" Payment to Reliant Energy. To the extent the price to beat exceeds the market price of electricity, we will be required to make a payment to Reliant Energy in 2004 unless the Texas Utility Commission determines that, on or prior to January 1, 2004, 40% or more of the amount of electric power that was consumed in 2000 by residential or small commercial customers (at or below one MW), as applicable, within Reliant Energy's electric utility service territory is committed to be served by retail electric providers other than us. If the 40% test is not met and the reconciliation and a retail payment is required, the amount of this retail payment will be equal to (a) the amount that the price to beat, less non-bypassable delivery charges, is in excess of the market price of electricity per customer, but not to exceed \$150 per customer, multiplied by (b) the number of residential or small commercial customers, as the case may be, that we serve on January 1, 2004 in Reliant Energy's electric utility service territory, less the number of new retail electric customers we serve in other areas of Texas.

Operational Risks. The price of purchased power could have an adverse effect on the costs incurred by our Retail Energy segment in acquiring power to serve the demand of its retail customers. For additional information regarding

commodity price volatility, please read " - Factors Affecting the Results of Our Wholesale Energy Operations - Price Volatility."

We are dependent on local transmission and distribution utilities for maintenance of the infrastructure through which we deliver electricity to our retail customers. Any infrastructure failure that interrupts or impairs delivery of electricity to our customers could negatively impact the satisfaction of our customers with our service. Additionally, we are dependent on the local transmission and distribution utilities for the reading of our customers' energy meters. We are required to rely on the local utility or, in some cases, the independent transmission system operator, to provide us with our customers' information regarding energy usage, and we may be limited in our ability to confirm the accuracy of the information. The provision of inaccurate information or delayed provision of such information by the local utilities or system operators could have a material negative impact on our business and results of operations and cash flows.

The ERCOT ISO is the independent system operator responsible for maintaining reliable operations of the bulk electric power supply system in the ERCOT market. Its responsibilities include ensuring that information relating to a customer's choice of retail electric provider is conveyed in a timely manner to anyone needing the information. Problems in the flow of information between the ERCOT ISO, the transmission and distribution utility and the retail

65

electric providers have resulted in delays in switching customers. While the flow of information is improving, operational problems in the new system and processes are still being worked out. In some instances, large commercial, industrial and institutional customers who have not yet been switched to be customers of Solutions due to system delays are paying for electricity at the default rate which is higher than their contracted price of electricity. Until the customer is switched to us, Solutions cannot provide it electricity. This delay in switching has also caused us, at times, to sell in the spot market or through bilateral contracts at prices below the contracted prices the electricity that we had intended to provide to such customers.

The ERCOT ISO is also responsible for handling scheduling and settlement for all electricity supply volumes in the Texas deregulated electricity market. In addition, the ERCOT ISO plays a vital role in the collection and dissemination of metering data from the transmission and distribution utilities to the retail electric providers. We and other retail electric providers schedule volumes based on forecasts. As part of settlement, the ERCOT ISO communicates the actual volumes delivered compared to the forecast volumes scheduled. The ERCOT ISO calculates an additional charge or credit based on the difference between the actual and forecast volumes, based on a market clearing price for the difference. Settlement charges also include allocated costs such as unaccounted-for energy. Currently, there is a three to four month delay in receiving final settlement information. As a result, we must estimate our supply costs. Timing delays in receiving final settlement information creates supply cost estimation risk.

FACTORS RELATED TO OUR SEPARATION FROM RELIANT ENERGY

Distribution. Although Reliant Energy has advised us that it currently intends to complete the distribution of our common stock to its shareholders promptly upon the receipt of certain regulatory approvals related to its restructuring, which it currently expects to obtain in the next few months, we cannot assure you whether or when the Distribution will occur. Reliant Energy is

not obligated to complete the Distribution, and it may decide not to do so.

Upon completion of the Distribution, substantially all of the 240,000,000 shares of our common stock that Reliant Energy owns would be eligible for immediate resale in the public market. We are unable to predict whether significant amounts of our common stock will be sold in the open market in anticipation of, or following, the Distribution. We are also unable to predict whether a sufficient number of buyers would be in the market at that time, such that an imbalance of sellers and buyers could eventually affect the price of our stock.

A portion of Reliant Energy's common stock is held by index funds tied to the Standard & Poor's 500 Index, the Standard & Poor's Electric Utilities Index and the Dow Jones Utilities Index or other stock indices. If our stock is not included in these indices at the time of the Distribution, these index funds will be required to sell our stock. Similarly, other institutional stockholders are not allowed by their charters to hold the stock of companies that do not pay dividends. Since we currently do not intend to pay dividends, we expect that these stockholders will sell the shares of our common stock distributed to them. Any sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the expectation that such sales might occur, whether as a result of the Distribution or otherwise, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Reliant Energy as a 80+% Stockholder. Reliant Energy owns over 80% of our outstanding common stock. As long as Reliant Energy owns a majority of our outstanding common stock, Reliant Energy will continue to be able to elect our entire board of directors without calling a special meeting. As a result, Reliant Energy, subject to any fiduciary duty owed to our minority stockholders under Delaware law, will be able to control all matters affecting us.

In addition, Reliant Energy may enter into credit agreements, indentures or other contracts that limit the activities of its subsidiaries. While we would not likely be contractually bound by these limitations, Reliant Energy would likely cause its representatives on our board of directors to direct our business so as not to breach any of these agreements. Moreover, the Texas Utility Commission and the state regulatory commissions of Arkansas and Minnesota have imposed limitations on the amount Reliant Energy or its subsidiaries may invest in foreign utility companies and, in some cases, foreign electric wholesale generating companies. These limitations are based upon Reliant Energy's consolidated net worth, retained earnings, and debt and stockholders' equity.

66

Possible Conflicts of Interest. We may have potential business conflicts of interest with Reliant Energy with respect to our past and ongoing relationships, and because of Reliant Energy's controlling ownership prior to the Distribution, we may not be able to resolve these conflicts on terms commensurate with those possible in arms' length transactions. In anticipation of our separation from Reliant Energy, we have entered into many agreements with Reliant Energy. These agreements may be amended upon agreement of the parties. While we are controlled by Reliant Energy, Reliant Energy may be able to require us to agree to amendments to these agreements. We may not be able to resolve any potential conflicts with Reliant Energy, and even if we do, the resolution may be less favorable than if we were dealing with an unaffiliated party.

Our executive officers and some of our directors own a substantial amount of Reliant Energy common stock and options to purchase Reliant Energy common

stock. Ownership of Reliant Energy common stock by our directors and officers after the Distribution could create, or appear to create, potential conflicts of interest when directors and officers are faced with decisions that could have different implications for Reliant Energy than they do for us.

We expect that even after the Distribution, two of our directors will also be directors of Reliant Energy. One of these directors will be our chairman, president and chief executive officer. These directors will owe fiduciary duties to the stockholders of each company. As a result, in connection with any transaction or other relationship involving both companies, these directors may need to recuse themselves and to not participate in any board action relating to these transactions or relationships.

Adverse Tax Consequences. If we take actions which cause the Distribution to fail to qualify as a tax-free transaction, we will be required to indemnify Reliant Energy for any resulting taxes. Under an agreement with Reliant Energy, if we breach any representation in the agreement relating to the IRS ruling that Reliant Energy receives in connection with the Distribution, take any action that causes our representations in the agreement relating to the ruling to be untrue or engage in a transaction after the Distribution that causes the Distribution to be taxable to Reliant Energy, we will be required to indemnify Reliant Energy for any resulting taxes. The amount of any indemnification payments could be substantial.

Current tax law provides that, depending on the facts and circumstances, the Distribution may be taxable to Reliant Energy if we undergo a 50% or greater change in stock ownership within two years after the Distribution. Under agreements with Reliant Energy, Reliant Energy is entitled to require us to reimburse any tax costs incurred by Reliant Energy as a result of a transaction resulting in a change in control of our company. These costs may be so great that they delay or prevent a strategic acquisition or change in control of our company.

Deconsolidation from the Reliant Energy Consolidated Tax Group. Subsequent to the Distribution, we will cease to be a member of the Reliant Energy consolidated tax group. This separation will have both current and future income tax implications to us. The event of deconsolidation itself will result in the triggering of deferred intercompany gains. We will recognize taxable income related to these gains, which will not have a material impact on our net income and cash flow. In addition to the current income tax consequences triggered by the act of deconsolidation discussed above, our separation from the Reliant Energy consolidated tax group will change our overall future income tax posture. As a result, we could be limited in our ability to effectively use future tax attributes. We have agreed with Reliant Energy that we may carry back net operating losses we generate in our tax years after deconsolidation to tax years when we were part of the Reliant Energy consolidated group subject to Reliant Energy's consent. Reliant Energy has agreed not to unreasonably withhold such consent. Additionally, we may also be able to utilize such net operating losses in our tax years after deconsolidation (subject to the applicable carryforward limitation periods) but only to the extent of our income in such tax years.

OTHER FACTORS

Terrorist Attacks and Acts of War. We are currently unable to measure the ultimate impact of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 on our industry and the United States economy as a whole. The uncertainty associated with the retaliatory military response of the United States and other nations and the risk of future terrorist activity may impact our results of operations and financial condition in unpredictable ways. These actions could result in adverse changes in the insurance markets and disruptions of power and fuel markets. In addition, our generation facilities or the power transmission and distribution facilities on which we rely could be directly or indirectly harmed by future

terrorist activity. The occurrence or risk of occurrence of future terrorist attacks or

67

related acts of war could also adversely affect the United States economy. A lower level of economic activity could result in a decline in energy consumption which could adversely affect our revenues, margins and limit our future growth prospects. The occurrence or risk of occurrence could also increase pressure to regulate or otherwise limit the prices charged for electricity or gas. Also, these risks could cause instability in the financial markets and adversely affect our ability to access capital.

Environmental Regulation. Our wholesale business is subject to extensive environmental regulation by federal, state and local authorities. We are required to comply with numerous environmental laws and regulations, and to obtain numerous governmental permits, in operating our facilities. We may incur significant additional costs to comply with these requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could be subject to civil or criminal liability and fines. Existing environmental regulations could be revised or reinterpreted, new laws and regulations could be adopted or become applicable to us or our facilities, and future changes in environmental laws and regulations could occur, including potential regulatory and enforcement developments related to air emissions. If any of these events occur, our business, operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We may not be able to obtain or maintain from time to time all required environmental regulatory approvals. If there is a delay in obtaining any required environmental regulatory approvals or if we fail to obtain and comply with them, the operation of our facilities could be prevented or become subject to additional costs.

We are generally responsible for all on-site liabilities associated with the environmental condition of our power generation facilities which we have acquired and developed, regardless of when the liabilities arose and whether they are known or unknown. These liabilities may be substantial.

Holding Company Organizational Structure. All of our operations are conducted by our subsidiaries. Our cash flow and our ability to service parent-level indebtedness when due is dependent upon our receipt of cash dividends, distributions or other transfers from our subsidiaries. The terms of some of our subsidiaries' indebtedness restrict their ability to pay dividends or make restricted payments to us in some circumstances. As of December 31, 2001, all of the specified conditions in these agreements were satisfied. Under the credit agreements of certain of Orion Power's subsidiaries, these subsidiaries are restricted from distributing cash to Orion Power.

In addition, the ability of REMA, our subsidiary that owns some of the power generation facilities in our Northeast regional portfolio, to pay dividends or make restricted payments to us is restricted under the terms of three lease agreements under which we lease all or an undivided interest in these generating facilities. These agreements allow our Mid-Atlantic subsidiary to pay dividends or make restricted payments only if specified conditions are satisfied, including maintaining specified fixed charge coverage ratios.

Liquidity Concerns. As of February 19, 2002, we have \$2.9 billion of credit facilities which will expire in 2002. To the extent that we continue to need access to this amount of committed credit, we expect to extend or replace these facilities. The current credit environment currently impacting our industry may

require our future facilities to include terms that are more restrictive or burdensome or at higher borrowing rates than those of our current facilities. In addition, the terms of any new credit facilities may be adversely affected by any delay in the date of the Distribution. For a discussion of other factors affecting our sources of cash and liquidity, please read "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

HISTORICAL CASH FLOWS

The net cash provided by or used in operating, investing and financing activities for 1999, 2000 and 2001 is as follows (in millions).

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,					
	 1 	L999	2	2000		2001
Cash provided by (used in): Operating activities Investing activities Financing activities	Ş	35 (1,406) 1,408	Ş	328 (3,013) 2,721	Ş	(127) (838) 1,000

68

Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities during 2001 decreased by \$455 million compared to 2000. This decrease was primarily due to changes in working capital and other changes in assets and liabilities. Changes in working capital and other assets and liabilities in 2001 resulted in net cash outflows of approximately \$720 million primarily due to the following:

- o a \$409 million net cash outflow due to a reduction in accounts payable partially offset by a reduction in accounts receivable and net intercompany accounts receivable during 2001 due to the timing of cash receipts and cash payments at our European Energy segment and the payment of a significant gas payable by Wholesale Energy in 2001 which was accrued in 2000;
- o a lease prepayment of \$181 million related to the REMA sale-leaseback
 agreements (please see Note 13(c) to our consolidated financial
 statements);
- increased restricted cash of \$117 million related to our REMA operations (please see Note 2(j) to our consolidated financial statements); and
- o increased deposits of \$145 million in a collateral account related to an equipment financing structure (please see Note 13(h) to our consolidated financial statements);
- o the foregoing items were partially offset by \$167 million of reduced net margin deposits on energy trading and hedging activities as a result of reduced commodity volatility and relative price levels of natural gas and power compared to the fourth quarter of 2000.

Changes in working capital and other assets and liabilities in 2000 resulted in net cash outflows of approximately \$27 million primarily due to the following:

- o increased restricted cash of \$50 million related to our REMA operations;
- increased deposits of \$85 million in a collateral account related to an equipment financing structure;
- increased net margin deposits of \$206 million on energy trading and hedging activities as a result of increased commodity volatility and relative price levels of natural gas and power in the fourth quarter of 2000; and
- o other changes in working capital;
- o the foregoing items were partially offset by a \$142 million net cash inflow due to an increase in accounts payable partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable and net intercompany accounts receivable due to the timing of cash receipts and cash payments related to a significant gas payable which was accrued in 2000 and \$123 million of proceeds from the sale of an investment in marketable debt securities during 2000.

Cash flows from operations, excluding changes in working capital and other changes in assets and liabilities, were approximately \$593 million in 2001 compared to approximately \$355 million in 2000. This increase was primarily due to a \$498 million increase in operating margins from Wholesale Energy's power generation operations in 2001 compared to 2000. This increase was partially offset by increased costs related to Retail Energy's increased staffing levels and preparation for competition in the retail electric market in Texas and reduced cash flows from our European Energy segment primarily resulting from a decline in electric power generation gross margins as the Dutch electric market was completely opened to wholesale competition on January 1, 2001.

Net cash provided by operating activities during 2000 increased by \$293 million compared to 1999. This increase primarily resulted from proceeds from the sale of an investment in marketable debt securities, improved operating results of Wholesale Energy's California generating facilities, incremental cash flows provided by

69

REPGB, acquired in the fourth quarter of 1999, and cash flows from the Mid-Atlantic generating facilities, acquired in the second quarter of 2000.

Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$2.2 billion during 2001 compared to 2000. This decrease was primarily due to the funding of the remaining purchase obligation for REPGB for \$982 million on March 1, 2000, and the acquisition of REMA for \$2.1 billion on May 12, 2000, partially offset by proceeds from the REMA sale-leaseback transactions of \$1.0 billion, each as more fully described below, partially offset by reduced capital expenditures of \$93 million primarily by our Wholesale Energy segment partially offset by increased capital expenditures by our Retail Energy segment related to acquiring and developing information technology systems.

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$1.6 billion during 2000 compared to 1999. This increase was primarily due to the funding of the remaining purchase obligation for REPGB for \$982 million on March 1, 2000 and the purchase of REMA for \$2.1 billion on May 12, 2000, as well as increased capital expenditures related to the construction of domestic power generation projects. Proceeds of \$1.0 billion from the REMA sale-leaseback partially offset these increases, as well as 1999 payments related to the acquisition of REPGB and a generating facility located in Florida.

Acquisition of REMA and REMA Sale-Leaseback. On May 12, 2000, we completed the acquisition of REMA from Sithe Energies, Inc. for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.1 billion. The acquisition was originally financed through bridge loans from Reliant Energy, of which \$1.0 billion was converted to equity. In August 2000, we entered into separate sale-leaseback transactions with each of the three owner-lessors for our respective 16.45%, 16.67% and 100% interests in the Conemaugh, Keystone and Shawville generating stations, respectively, which we acquired as part of the REMA acquisition. As consideration for the sale of our interest in the facilities, we received a total of \$1.0 billion in cash that we used to repay indebtedness owed by us to Reliant Energy. For additional information about the acquisition and these transactions, please read Notes 5(a) and 13(c) to our consolidated financial statements.

Acquisition of REPGB. In the fourth quarter of 1999, we funded \$833 million of the REPGB purchase obligation. On March 1, 2000, we funded the \$982 million remaining REPGB purchase obligation. We obtained a portion of the funds for this purchase from a Euro 600 million (\$596 million) three-year term loan facility established in February 2000 that matures in March 2003. For more information about the acquisition, please read Note 5(b) to our consolidated financial statements.

Cash Used in/Provided by Financing Activities

Cash flows provided by financing activities decreased by \$1.7 billion in 2001 compared to 2000, primarily due to a decrease in borrowings from Reliant Energy coupled with advancing excess cash on a short-term basis to a subsidiary of Reliant Energy which provides a cash management function for Reliant Energy, reduced contributions from Reliant Energy, a decrease in long-term borrowings and purchase of treasury stock during the second half of 2001. These items were partially offset by an increase in short-term borrowings from third parties, primarily used to fund Wholesale Energy's capital expenditures and for general corporate purposes, and by \$1.7 billion in net proceeds from the IPO.

Cash flows provided by financing activities increased by \$1.3 billion in 2000 compared to 1999. The increase resulted primarily from an increase in contributions from Reliant Energy and net proceeds from long-term debt from third parties. We utilized the net borrowings incurred during 2000 to fund the remaining REPGB purchase obligation, to fund the acquisition of REMA, to support increased capital expenditures by Wholesale Energy and for general corporate purposes.

Our Initial Public Offering. In May 2001, we offered 59.8 million shares of our common stock to the public at an IPO price of \$30 per share and received net proceeds from the IPO of \$1.7 billion. Pursuant to the terms of the Master Separation Agreement with Reliant Energy, we used \$147 million of the net proceeds to repay certain indebtedness owed to Reliant Energy. We used the remainder of the net proceeds of the IPO for repayment of third party borrowings, capital expenditures, repurchase of common stock and to increase our working capital. Proceeds 70

not initially utilized from the IPO during 2001 were advanced on a short-term basis to a subsidiary of Reliant Energy which provides a cash management function for Reliant Energy. As of December 31, 2001, we have \$390 million of outstanding advances to this subsidiary of Reliant Energy. In May 2001, prior to the closing of the IPO, Reliant Energy converted to equity or contributed to us an aggregate of \$1.7 billion of indebtedness owed by us to Reliant Energy and it subsidiaries of which \$35 million was related to accrued intercompany interest expense. Following the IPO, Reliant Energy no longer provided us financing or credit support, except for specified transactions or for a limited period of time. For additional information, please read Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements.

Treasury Stock Purchase. During 2001, we purchased 11 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$17.22 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$189 million.

CONSOLIDATED CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND USES OF CASH

Our liquidity and capital requirements are affected primarily by the results of operations, capital expenditures, debt service requirements and working capital needs. We expect to grow through the construction of new generation facilities and the acquisition of generation facilities, the expansion of our energy trading and marketing activities and the expansion of our energy retail business. We expect any resulting capital requirements to be met with cash flows from operations, and proceeds from debt and equity offerings, project financings, securitization of assets, other borrowings and off-balance sheet financings. Additional capital expenditures, some of which may be substantial, depend to a large extent upon the nature and extent of future project commitments which are discretionary. In the discussion below, we have provided several tables outlining our expected future capital requirements by category of expenditure followed by more detailed descriptions of the most significant of our currently known future capital requirements and descriptions of known uncertainties that could impact these items.

The following table sets forth our consolidated capital requirements for 2001, and estimates of our consolidated capital requirements for 2002 through 2006 (in millions).

	2	2001	2	2002	2	003		2004
Wholesale Energy(1)(2)(3)	\$	658	\$	3,579	\$	322	Ş	1
European Energy		21		22				
Retail Energy		117		40		19		
Other Operations		44		75		46		
Major maintenance cash outlays		88		94		87		1
Total	 \$	 928	 \$	3,810	 \$	474	 \$	3
				·				

 Capital requirements for 2002 includes \$2.9 billion for the acquisition of Orion Power.

- (2) In connection with our separation from Reliant Energy, Reliant Energy has granted us an option, subject to completion of the Distribution, to purchase all of the shares of capital stock owned by Reliant Energy in January 2004 of an entity (Texas Genco) that will hold the Texas generating assets of Reliant Energy's electric utility division. This option may be exercised between January 10, 2004 and January 24, 2004. The purchase of Texas Genco has been excluded from the above table. For additional information regarding this option to purchase Texas Genco, please read Note 4 (b) to our consolidated financial statements.
- (3) We currently estimate the capital expenditures by off-balance sheet special purpose entities to be \$704 million, \$343 million, \$163 million and \$48 million in 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Capital expenditures for these projects have been excluded from the table above. Please read " -Off-Balance Sheet Transactions - Construction Agency Agreements" and " -Equipment Financing Structure" for additional information regarding these transactions.

71

Acquisition of Orion Power. On February 19, 2002, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Orion Power for \$26.80 per share in cash for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.9 billion. As of February 19, 2002, Orion Power's debt obligations were \$2.4 billion (\$2.1 billion net of cash acquired, some of which is restricted pursuant to debt covenants). We funded the purchase of Orion Power with a \$2.9 billion credit facility (Orion Bridge Facility) and \$41 million of cash on hand. Please read " - Consolidated Sources of Cash - Orion Bridge Facility" for further information.

Generating Projects. As of December 31, 2001, we had three generating facilities under construction. Total estimated costs of constructing these facilities are \$1.1 billion, including \$304 million in commitments for the purchase of combustion turbines. As of December 31, 2001, we had incurred \$690 million of the total projected costs of these projects, which were funded primarily from equity and debt facilities. In addition, we have options to purchase additional combustion turbines for a total estimated cost of \$42 million. We are actively attempting to market these turbines, having determined that they are in excess of our current needs. In addition to these facilities, we are constructing facilities as construction agents under construction agency agreements under synthetic leasing arrangements, which permit us to lease or buy each of these facilities at the conclusion of their construction. For more information regarding the construction agency agreements."

Environmental Expenditures. We anticipate investing up to \$135 million in capital and other special project expenditures between 2002 and 2006 for environmental compliance, totaling approximately \$53 million, \$20 million, \$9 million, \$29 million and \$24 million in 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006, respectively, which is included in the above table. Additionally, environmental capital expenditures for the recently acquired Orion Power assets were estimated by Orion Power to be approximately \$241 million over the same time period. We are currently reviewing Orion Power's estimates.

The following table sets forth estimates of our consolidated contractual obligations as of December 31, 2001 to make future payments for 2002 through 2006 and thereafter (in millions):

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS	TOTAL	2002	2003	2004	2
Long-term debt	\$ 892	2 \$ 24	\$ 539	\$ 42	Ş
Short-term borrowing, including		·	·	·	·
credit facilities	29	297			
Mid-Atlantic generating assets					
operating lease payments	1,560) 136	77	84	
Other operating lease payments	85	52	72	87	
Trading and marketing liabilities	1,840	1,478	216	85	
Non-trading derivative liabilities	1,03	3 399	191	113	
Other commodity commitments	3,134	465	242	207	
Other long-term obligations	300	10	10	10	
Total contractual cash obligations	\$	\$ 2,861	\$ 1,347	\$ 628	 \$ ===

Long-term debt obligations as of December 31, 2001, include \$829 million of borrowings under credit facilities that have been classified as long-term debt, based upon the availability of committed credit facilities and management's intention to maintain these borrowings in excess of one year.

As of December 31, 2001, we have issued \$396 million of letters of credit of which \$345 million were issued under two credit facilities expiring in 2003 and \$51 million were issued under a credit facility expiring in 2004.

Mid-Atlantic Assets Lease Obligation. In August 2000, we entered into separate sale-leaseback transactions with each of the three owner-lessors for our respective 16.45%, 16.67% and 100% interests in the Conemaugh, Keystone and Shawville generating stations, respectively, which we acquired as part of the REMA acquisition. As lessee, we lease an interest in each facility from each owner-lessor under a facility lease agreement. The equity interests in all the subsidiaries of REMA are pledged as collateral for REMA's lease obligations. In addition, the subsidiaries have guaranteed the lease obligations. The lease documents contain restrictive covenants that restrict REMA's ability to,

72

among other things, make dividend distributions unless REMA satisfies various conditions. The covenant restricting dividends would be suspended if the direct or indirect parent of REMA, meeting specified criteria, including having a credit rating on its long-term unsecured senior debt of at least BBB from Standard & Poor's and Baa2 from Moody's, guarantees the lease obligations. For additional discussion of these lease transactions, please read Notes 5(a) and 13(c) to our consolidated financial statements. We expect to make lease payments through 2029 under these leases, with total cash payments of \$1.6 billion. The lease terms expire in 2034. During 2000 and 2001, we made cash lease payments totaling \$1 million and \$259 million, respectively.

Other Operating Lease Commitments. For a discussion of other operating leases, please read Note 13(c) to our consolidated financial statements.

Other Commodity Commitments. For a discussion of other commodity commitments, please read Note 13(a) to our consolidated financial statements.

Naming Rights to Houston Sports Complex. In October 2000, we acquired the naming rights for the new football stadium for the Houston Texans, the National

Football League's thirty-second franchise. The agreement extends for 31 years. The aggregate undiscounted cost of the naming rights under this agreement is expected to be \$300 million. Starting in 2002, when the new stadium is operational, we will pay \$10 million each year through 2032 for annual advertising under this agreement. For additional information on the naming rights agreement, please read Note 13(a) to our consolidated financial statements.

Payment to Reliant Energy. To the extent that our price for providing retail electric service to residential and small commercial customers in Reliant Energy's Houston service territory during 2002 and 2003, which price is mandated by the Texas electric restructuring law, exceeds the market price of electricity, we will be required to make a payment to Reliant Energy in early 2004. For discussion of possible payment, please read Note 13 (g) to our consolidated financial statements. Due to the nature of this possible payment, we currently cannot reasonably estimate this payment, accordingly it is excluded from the above table.

Treasury Stock Purchases. On December 6, 2001, our Board of Directors authorized us to purchase up to 10 million additional shares of common stock through June 2003. Purchases will be made on a discretionary basis in the open market or otherwise at times and in amounts as determined by management subject to market conditions, legal requirements and other factors. Since the date of such authorization through April 1, 2002, we have not purchased any of these shares of our common stock under this program.

In addition to the capital requirements discussed above, the following items, among others, could impact our future capital requirements.

Downgrade in our Credit Rating. In accordance with industry practice, we have entered into commercial contracts or issued guarantees related to our trading, marketing and risk management operations that require us to maintain an investment grade credit rating. If one or more of our credit ratings decline below investment grade, we may be obligated to provide additional or other credit support to the guaranteed parties in the form of a pledge of cash collateral, a letter of credit or other similar credit support.

Counterparty Credit Risk. We are exposed to the risk that counterparties who owe us money or physical commodities, such as energy or gas, as a result of market transactions fail to perform their obligations. Should the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, we might incur losses if we are forced to acquire alternative hedging arrangements or replace the underlying commitment at then-current market prices. In addition, we might incur additional losses to the extent of amounts, if any, already paid to the defaulting counterparties.

CONSOLIDATED SOURCES OF CASH

We believe that our current level of cash and borrowing capability, along with our future anticipated cash flows from operations and assuming successful refinancings of credit facilities as they mature, will be sufficient to meet the existing operational needs of our business for the next 12 months. If cash generated from operations is insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell either equity or debt securities or obtain additional credit facilities or long-term financings from financial institutions. In the discussion below, we have

73

provided a description of the significant factors that could impact our cash flows from operations, our currently available liquidity sources, currently contemplated future liquidity sources and known uncertainties that could impact these sources.

The following items will affect our future cash flows from operations:

Reliant Resources Restricted Cash. Covenants under the Mid-Atlantic assets lease, discussed above, restrict REMA's ability to make dividend distributions. The restricted cash is available for REMA's working capital needs and for it to make future lease payments. As of December 31, 2001, REMA had \$167 million of restricted cash. We currently anticipate that REMA will be able to satisfy the conditions necessary to distribute these restricted funds in 2002. In addition, the terms of two of our subsidiaries' indebtedness restrict their ability to pay dividends or make restricted payments to us in some circumstances. Specifically, our subsidiary which holds an electric power generation facility in Channelview, Texas (Channelview) and our subsidiary which holds an equity investment in the entity owning and operating an electric power generation facility in Nevada (El Dorado) are each party to credit agreements used to finance construction of their generating plants. Both the Channelview credit agreement and the El Dorado credit agreement allow the respective subsidiary to pay dividends or make restricted payments only if specified conditions are satisfied, including maintaining specified debt service coverage ratios and debt service reserve account balances. In both cases, the amount of the dividends or restricted payments that may be paid if the conditions are met is limited to a specified level and may be paid only from a particular account.

Orion Power Restricted Cash. Substantially all of Orion Power's operations are conducted by its subsidiaries. The terms of some of its subsidiaries' indebtedness restrict their ability to pay dividends to Orion Power or us. Restricted funds are available for such subsidiaries to make debt service payments and to meet their working capital needs. In addition, covenants under some indebtedness of Orion Power restrict its ability to pay dividends to us unless Orion Power meets certain conditions, including the ability to incur additional indebtedness without violating the required fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.0 to 1.0. A credit facility of Orion Power also restricts its ability to pay dividends to us unless the restrictions contained in certain of its subsidiaries' credit agreements have terminated and no restrictions remain under their credit agreements.

California Trade Receivables. As of December 31, 2001, we were owed \$302 million by the Cal ISO, the California Power Exchange (Cal PX) and the California Department of Water Resources (CDWR) and California Energy Resource Scheduling for energy sales in the California wholesale market, during the fourth quarter of 2000 through December 31, 2001 and have recorded an allowance against such receivables of \$68 million. From January 1, 2002 through March 26, 2002, we have collected \$45 million of these receivable balances. For additional information regarding uncertainties in the California wholesale market, please read Notes 13(e) and 13(i) to our consolidated financial statements.

Other Items. For other items that may affect our future cash flows from operations, please read " - Certain Factors Affecting Future Earnings."

The following discussion summarizes our currently available liquidity sources and material factors that could impact that availability.

Credit Facilities. The following table provides a summary of the amounts owed and amounts available under our various credit facilities (in millions).

CREDIT	AMOUNT	CREDIT	A
COMMITTED	DRAWN	LETTERS OF	U
TOTAL			

Reliant Resources, as of December 31, 2001	\$ 5,563 \$	1,078 \$	396 \$
Orion Power, as of February 19, 2002	2,028	1,827	95

Total

 Excludes \$383 million of facilities expiring in November 2002 as borrowings under such facilities are convertible into a long-term loan.

74

As of February 19, 2002, we have \$2.9 billion of credit facilities which will expire in 2002. To the extent that we continue to need access to this amount of committed credit, we expect to extend or replace these facilities. The current credit environment currently impacting our industry may require our future facilities to include terms that are more restrictive or burdensome or at higher borrowing rates than those of our current facilities.

Reliant Resources Credit Facilities Covenants. As of December 31, 2001, we, including certain of our subsidiaries, had committed credit facilities of \$5.6 billion. Of these facilities, \$5.0 billion contain various business and financial covenants requiring us to, among other things, maintain a ratio of net balance sheet debt to the sum of net balance sheet debt, subordinated affiliate balance sheet debt and stockholders' equity not to exceed 0.60 to 1.00. These covenants are not anticipated to materially restrict us from borrowing funds or obtaining letters of credit under these facilities. The remaining credit facilities of \$0.6 billion, which were held by certain of our domestic power generation subsidiaries, contain various business and financial covenants that are typical for limited or non-recourse project financings. Such covenants include restrictions on dividends and capital expenditures, as well as requirements regarding insurance, approval of operating budgets and commercial contracts. These covenants are not anticipated to materially restrict us from borrowing funds or obtaining letters of credit under our credit facilities. None of the above committed bank credit facilities have any defaults or prepayments triggered by changes in credit ratings, or in any way linked to the price of our common stock or any other traded instrument.

For additional information regarding the terms and related interest rates of these credit facilities, please read Note 8 of our consolidated financial statements.

Orion Power Credit Facilities. The credit facilities of Orion Power and its subsidiaries contain various business and financial covenants that are typical for limited or non-recourse project financings. Such covenants include restrictions on dividends and capital expenditures, as well as requirements regarding insurance, approval of operating budgets and commercial contracts. These include covenants that require two of Orion Power's significant subsidiaries which have credit facilities with outstanding borrowings of \$1.6 billion as of December 31, 2001, to, among other things, maintain a debt service

coverage ratio of at least 1.5 to 1.0 and for Orion Power, which has a \$75 million credit facility, to, among other things, maintain a debt service coverage ratio of at least 1.4 to 1.0. One of the subsidiaries may not be able to meet this debt service coverage ratio for the quarter ended June 30, 2002, and Orion Power did not meet the debt service coverage ratio for the quarter ended March 31, 2002. In the event that Orion Power is unable to meet this financial covenant for a second consecutive fiscal quarter it would constitute a default under its credit facility. It is our current intention to arrange for the repayment, refinancing or amendment of these facilities prior to June 30, 2002. If these facilities are not repaid, refinanced or amended prior to that date, and if a waiver is required under either or both of these credit facilities, we believe that we will be able to obtain such a waiver on or prior to June 30, 2002. However, we currently have no assurance that we will be able to obtain such a waiver or amendment from the respective lender groups if required under either or both of these redit required under either or both of these redit solutions and the respective lender groups if required under either or both of these facilities.

Orion Bridge Facility. In November 2001, we entered into a \$2.2 billion term loan facility to be utilized for the acquisition of Orion Power. In January 2002, the facility was increased to \$2.9 billion. On February 19, 2002, in connection with the Orion Power acquisition we borrowed \$2.9 billion under the Orion Bridge Facility, which is required to be repaid on or before February 19, 2003.

Potential Future Liquidity Sources. We are currently considering pursuing the following sources of cash to meet our future capital requirements.

Commercial Paper Program. We plan to commence a commercial paper program in 2002, which will be supported by our existing credit facilities. Although we have not yet determined the size of such program, we do not expect that it would exceed \$300 million initially, due to market conditions and our current credit ratings. To the extent that we are not successful in placing commercial paper consistently, we will borrow directly under our existing credit facilities.

Debt Securities in the Capital Markets. As part of refinancing the Orion Bridge Facility, we currently expect that we will issue various fixed and floating rate debt securities in 2002 having maturities up to ten years or greater depending upon market conditions. We expect to offer debt securities in the amount of \$2.5 to \$3.0 billion, depending on market conditions. Our ability to complete such debt offerings in the capital markets will depend on

75

our future performance and prevailing market conditions. This Form 10-K does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy our debt securities.

Settlement of Indemnification of REPGB Stranded Costs. In December 2001, REPGB and its former shareholders entered into a settlement agreement resolving the former shareholders' stranded cost indemnity obligations under the purchase agreement of REPGB. Under the settlement agreement, the former shareholders paid to REPGB NLG 500 million (\$202 million based on an exchange rate of 2.48 NLG per U.S. dollar as of December 31, 2001) in January and February 2002. In addition, under the settlement agreement, the former shareholders waived all rights under the original indemnification agreement to claim distributions from NEA, a 22.5% owned equity investment. We estimate that there will be future distributions from 2002 through 2005 from NEA to REPGB totaling approximately \$299 million. For additional information regarding the settlement agreement, our investment in NEA and indemnification of district heat contract obligations, please read Note 13(f) to our consolidated financial statements.

Factors Affecting Our Sources of Cash and Liquidity. As a result of several recent events, including the United States economic recession, the price decline of the common stock of participants in our industry sector and the downgrading of the credit ratings of several of our significant competitors, the availability and cost of capital for our business and the businesses of our competitors have been adversely affected. Any future acquisition or development projects will likely require us to access substantial amounts of capital from outside sources on acceptable terms. We may also need external financing to fund capital expenditures, including capital expenditures necessary to comply with air emission regulations or other regulatory requirements. If we are unable to obtain outside financing to meet our future capital requirements on terms that are acceptable to us, our financial condition and future results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In order to meet our future capital requirements we may increase the proportion of debt in our overall capital structure. Increases in our debt levels may adversely affect our credit ratings thereby increasing the cost of our debt. In addition, the capital constraints currently impacting our industry may require our future indebtedness to include terms and or pricing that are more restrictive or burdensome than those of our current indebtedness. This may negatively impact our ability to operate our business, or severely restrict or prohibit distributions from our subsidiaries.

Our ability to arrange financing, including refinancing, and our cost of capital are dependent on the following factors:

- general economic and capital market conditions, 0
- maintenance of acceptable credit ratings, 0
- 0 credit availability from banks and other financial institutions,
- 0 investor confidence in us, our competitors and peer companies and our wholesale power markets,
- market expectations regarding our future earnings and probable cash 0 flows,
- market perceptions of our ability to access capital markets on 0 reasonable terms,
- the success of current power generation projects, 0
- the perceived quality of new power generation projects, and 0
- provisions of relevant tax and securities laws. 0

76

Credit Ratings. Our credit ratings for our senior unsecured debt are as follows:

DATE ASSIGNED	RATING AGENCY	RATI
March 22, 2002	Moody's	Baa3, s
February 14, 2002	Fitch (1)	BBB, negati

105

March 21, 2002

Standard & Poor's

(1) Fitch assigned a negative rating outlook to reflect its analysis of our plan for financing and integrating the acquisition of Orion Power.

We cannot assure you that these ratings will remain in effect for any given period of time or that one or more of these ratings will not be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency. We note that these credit ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold our securities and may be revised or withdrawn at any time by rating agency. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. Any future reduction or withdrawal of one or more of our credit ratings could have a material adverse impact on our ability to access capital on acceptable terms. We have commercial contracts and/or guarantees related to our trading, marketing and risk management and hedging operations that require us to maintain an investment grade credit rating. If our credit rating declines below investment grade, we estimate that we could be obligated to provide significant credit support to the counterparties in the form of a pledge of cash collateral, a letter of credit or other similar credit support.

Furthermore, if our credit ratings decline below an investment grade credit rating, our trading partners may refuse to trade with us or trade only on terms less favorable to us. As of December 31, 2001, we had \$214 million of margin deposits on energy trading and hedging activities posted as collateral with counterparties. As of December 31, 2001, we had \$1.5 billion available under our credit facilities to satisfy future commodity obligations.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET TRANSACTIONS

Construction Agency Agreements. In 2001, we, through several of our subsidiaries, entered into operative documents with special purpose entities to facilitate the development, construction, financing and leasing of several power generation projects. The special purpose entities are not consolidated by us. The special purpose entities have an aggregate financing commitment from equity and debt participants (Investors) of \$2.5 billion of which the last \$1.1 billion is currently available only if cash collateralized. The availability of the commitment is subject to satisfaction of various conditions, including the obligation to provide cash collateral for the loans and letters of credit outstanding on November 27, 2004. We, through several of our subsidiaries, act as construction agent for the special purpose entities and are responsible for completing construction of these projects by December 31, 2004, but we have generally limited our risk during construction to an amount not in excess of 89.9% of costs incurred to date, except in certain events. Upon completion of an individual project and exercise of the lease option, our subsidiaries will be required to make lease payments in an amount sufficient to provide a return to the Investors. If we do not exercise our option to lease any project upon its completion, we must purchase the project or remarket the project on behalf of the special purpose entities. Our ability to exercise the lease option is subject to certain conditions. We must guarantee that the Investors will receive an amount at least equal to 89.9% of their investment in the case of a remarketing sale at the end of construction. At the end of an individual project's initial operating lease term (approximately five years from construction completion), our subsidiary lessees have the option to extend the lease with the approval of Investors, purchase the project at a fixed amount equal to the original construction cost, or act as a remarketing agent and sell the project to an independent third party. If the lessees elect the remarketing option, they may be required to make a payment of an amount not to exceed 85% of the project cost, if the proceeds from remarketing are not sufficient to repay the Investors. We have guaranteed the performance and payment of our

subsidiaries' obligations during the construction periods and, if the lease option is exercised, each lessee's obligations during the lease period. At anytime during the construction period or during the lease, we may purchase a facility by paying an amount approximately equal to the outstanding balance plus costs. As of December 31, 2001, the special purpose entities had property, plant and equipment of \$428 million, net other assets of \$52 million, which were primarily restricted cash, and debt obligations of \$465 million. As of December 31, 2001, the special purpose entities had equity from unaffiliated third parties of \$15 million. We currently estimate the aggregate cost of the three generating facilities that are currently under construction by the special purpose entities to be approximately \$1.8 billon.

Equipment Financing Structure. We, through our subsidiary, REPG, have entered into an agreement with a bank whereby the bank, as owner, entered or will enter into contracts for the purchase and construction of power generation equipment and REPG, or its subagent, acts as the bank's agent in connection with administering the contracts for such equipment. Under the agreement, the bank has agreed to provide up to a maximum aggregate

77

amount of \$650 million. REPG and its subagents must cash collateralize their obligation to administer the contracts. This cash collateral is approximately equivalent to the total payments by the bank for the equipment, interest and other fees. As of December 31, 2001, the bank had assumed contracts for the purchase of eleven turbines, two heat recovery steam generators and one air cooled condenser with an aggregate cost of \$398 million. REPG, or its designee, has the option at any time to purchase or, at equipment completion, subject to certain conditions, including the agreement of the bank to extend financing, to lease the equipment, or to assist in the remarketing of the equipment under terms specified in the agreement. All costs, including the purchase commitment on the turbines, are the responsibility of the bank. The cash collateral is deposited by REPG or an affiliate into a collateral account with the bank and earns interest at the London inter-bank offered rate (LIBOR) less 0.15%. Under certain circumstances, the collateral deposit or a portion of it will be returned to REPG or its designee. Otherwise, it will be retained by the bank. At December 31, 2001, REPG and its subsidiary had deposited \$230 million into the collateral account. The bank's payments for equipment under the contracts totaled \$227 million as of December 31, 2001. In January 2002, the bank sold to the parties to the construction agency agreements discussed above, equipment contracts with a total contractual obligation of \$258 million under which payments and interest during construction totaled \$142 million. Accordingly, \$142 million of our collateral deposits were returned to us. As of December 31, 2001, there were equipment contracts with a total contractual obligation of \$140 million under which payments during construction totaled \$83 million. Currently this equipment is not designated for current planned power generation construction projects. Therefore, we anticipate that we will either purchase the equipment, assist in the remarketing of the equipment or negotiate to cancel the related contracts.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS AND CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 141 "Business Combinations" (SFAS No. 141) and SFAS No. 142 "Goodwill and

Other Intangible Assets" (SFAS No. 142). SFAS No. 141 requires business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 to be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and broadens the criteria for recording intangible assets separate from goodwill. Recorded goodwill and intangibles will be evaluated against these new criteria and may result in certain intangibles being transferred to goodwill, or alternatively, amounts initially recorded as goodwill may be separately identified and recognized apart from goodwill. SFAS No. 142 provides for a nonamortization approach, whereby goodwill and certain intangibles with indefinite lives will not be amortized into results of operations, but instead will be reviewed periodically for impairment and written down and charged to results of operations only in the periods in which the recorded value of goodwill and certain intangibles with indefinite lives is more than its fair value. We adopted the provisions of each statement which apply to goodwill and intangible assets acquired prior to June 30, 2001 on January 1, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 141 did not have a material impact on our historical results of operations or financial position. On January 1, 2002, we discontinued amortizing goodwill into our results of operations pursuant to SFAS No. 142. We recognized \$32 million of goodwill amortization expense in our statement of consolidated income during 2001, excluding a \$19 million write-off of our Communications business goodwill balance which was recorded as goodwill amortization expense (please read Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements). We are in the process of determining further effects of adoption of SFAS No. 142 on our consolidated financial statements, including the review of goodwill and certain intangibles for impairment. We have not completed our review pursuant to SFAS No. 142. However, based on our preliminary review, we believe an impairment of our European Energy segment goodwill is reasonably possible. As of December 31, 2001, net goodwill associated with our European Energy segment is \$632 million. We anticipate finalizing our review of goodwill and certain intangibles for our reporting units during 2002. We do not believe impairments of goodwill and certain intangibles, if any, related to our other reporting units will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" (SFAS No. 143). SFAS No. 143 requires the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement legal obligation to be recognized in the period in which it is incurred. When the liability is initially recorded, associated costs are capitalized by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its present value each period, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. SFAS No. 143 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002, with earlier application encouraged. SFAS No. 143 requires entities to record a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle in the income statement in the period of adoption. We

78

plan to adopt SFAS No. 143 on January 1, 2003 and are in the process of determining the effect of adoption on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" (SFAS No. 144). SFAS No. 144 provides new guidance on the recognition of impairment losses on long-lived assets to be held and used or to be disposed of and also broadens the definition of what constitutes a discontinued operation and how the results of a discontinued operation are to be measured and presented. SFAS No. 144 supercedes SFAS No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" and Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30 "Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a

Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions," while retaining many of the requirements of these two statements. Under SFAS No. 144, assets held for sale that are a component of an entity will be included in discontinued operations if the operations and cash flows will be or have been eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity and the entity will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations prospectively. SFAS No. 144 is not expected to materially change the methods used by us to measure impairment losses on long-lived assets, but may result in additional future dispositions being reported as discontinued operations than is currently permitted. We adopted SFAS No. 144 on January 1, 2002.

Effective January 1, 2001, we adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (SFAS No. 133), as amended. The application of SFAS No. 133 is still evolving as the FASB clears issues submitted to the Derivatives Implementation Group for consideration. During the second quarter of 2001, an issue that applies exclusively to the electric industry and allows the normal purchases and normal sales exception for option-type contracts if certain criteria are met was approved by the FASB with an effective date of July 1, 2001. The adoption of this cleared guidance had no impact on our results of operations. Certain criteria of this previously approved guidance were revised in October 2001 and December 2001 and will become effective on April 1, 2002. We are currently in the process of determining the effect of adoption of this revised guidance.

During the third quarter of 2001, the FASB cleared an issue related to application of the normal purchases and normal sales exception to contracts that combine forward and purchased option contracts. The effective date of this guidance is April 1, 2002, and we are currently assessing the impact of this recently cleared issue and do not believe it will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

During the first quarter of 2002, the FASB considered proposed approaches related to identifying and accounting for special-purpose entities. The current proposal being considered by the FASB is likely to limit special purpose entities used by a company for financing and other purpose not being consolidated with its results of operations. One criterion being considered is to require consolidation of a special purposes entity if the equity investments held by third-party owners in the special purposes entity is less than 10% of total capitalization. The FASB likely will not grandfather special purpose entities in existence at the date of adoption of this interpretation will likely be consolidated by the primary beneficiary. For information regarding special purposes entities affiliated with us, please read " - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Off-Balance Sheet Transactions" and Notes 13(c) and (h) to our consolidated financial statements.

79

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A critical accounting policy is one that is both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and requires management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments. The circumstances that make these judgments difficult, subjective and/or complex have to do with the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be perceived with certainty. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the

circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments. These estimates may change as new events occur, as more experience is acquired, as additional information is obtained and as our operating environment changes.

We believe the following are the most significant estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

- o determination of fair value of trading and marketing assets and liabilities for our energy trading, marketing and price risk management services operations, and non-trading derivative assets and liabilities, including stranded costs obligations related to our European Energy operations (please read " - Trading and Marketing Operations" and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of this Form 10-K and Notes 2(d) and 6 to our consolidated financial statements); and
- o impairment of long-lived assets and intangibles (please read "European Energy" and Notes 2(f) and 2(q) to our consolidated financial statements).

For a description of all significant accounting policies, please read Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements.

80

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

MARKET RISK

We are exposed to various market risks. These risks arise from transactions entered into in the normal course of business and are inherent in our consolidated financial statements. Most of the revenues and income from our business activities are impacted by market risks. Categories of market risks include exposures to commodity prices through trading and marketing and non-trading activities, interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity prices. A description of each market risk category is set forth below:

- Commodity price risk results from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and price volatilities of commodities, such as electricity, natural gas and other energy commodities.
- o Interest rate risk primarily results from exposures to changes in the level of borrowings and changes in interest rates.
- Currency rate risk results from exposures to changes in the value of foreign currencies relative to our reporting currency, the U.S. dollar, and exposures to changes in currency rates in transactions executed in currencies other than a business segment's reporting currency.
- o Equity price ris