HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE Form 10-Q November 02, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission File Number: 001-13958
THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

13-3317783

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Hartford Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06155

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(860) 547-5000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

As of October 27, 2010 there were outstanding 444,541,171 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of the registrant.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Description	Page
	Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>1.</u>	Financial Statements	
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	6
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	7
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	8
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	9
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	10
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	11
<u>2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	61
<u>3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	126
<u>4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	126
	Part II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>1.</u>	Legal Proceedings	127
<u>1A.</u>	Risk Factors	127
<u>2.</u>	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	130
<u>6.</u>	Exhibits	130
	<u>Signature</u>	131

Exhibits Index 132

Exhibit 15.01

Exhibit 31.01

Exhibit 31.02

Exhibit 32.01

Exhibit 32.02

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain of the statements contained herein are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such projects, and similar references to futu as anticipates. intends. plans. seeks. believes. estimates. expects, Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding economic, competitive and legislative developments. Because forward-looking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. They have been made based upon management s expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effect upon The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company). Future developments may not be in line with management s expectations or have unanticipated effects. Actual results could differ materially from expectations, depending on the evolution of various factors, including those set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report, Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors of The Hartford s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, as well as in Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors of this Form 10-Q. These important risks and uncertainties include:

risks and uncertainties related to the Company s current operating environment, which reflects continued volatility in financial markets, constrained capital and credit markets and uncertainty about the strength of an economic recovery and the impact of U.S. and other governmental stimulus, budgetary and legislative initiatives, and whether management s efforts to identify and address these risks will be timely and effective;

risks associated with our continued execution of steps to realign our business and reposition our investment portfolio, including the potential need to take other actions, such as divestitures;

market risks associated with our business, including changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices and foreign exchange rates, as well as challenging or deteriorating conditions in key sectors such as the commercial real estate market, that have pressured our results and have continued to do so in 2010;

volatility in our earnings resulting from our adjustment of our risk management program to emphasize protection of statutory surplus;

the impact on our statutory capital of various factors, including many that are outside the Company s control, which can in turn affect our credit and financial strength ratings, cost of capital, regulatory compliance and other aspects of our business and results;

risks to our business, financial position, prospects and results associated with negative rating actions or downgrades in the Company s financial strength and credit ratings or negative rating actions or downgrades relating to our investments;

the potential for differing interpretations of the methodologies, estimations and assumptions that underlie the valuation of the Company s financial instruments that could result in changes to investment valuations; the subjective determinations that underlie the Company s evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities;

losses due to nonperformance or defaults by others;

the potential for further acceleration of deferred policy acquisition cost amortization;

the potential for further impairments of our goodwill or the potential for additional valuation allowances against deferred tax assets;

the possible occurrence of terrorist attacks and the Company s ability to contain its exposure, including the effect of the absence or insufficiency of applicable terrorism legislation on coverage;

the difficulty in predicting the Company s potential exposure for asbestos and environmental claims;

the possibility of a pandemic or other man-made disaster that may adversely affect our businesses and cost and availability of reinsurance;

weather and other natural physical events, including the severity and frequency of storms, hail, snowfall and other winter conditions, natural disasters such as hurricanes and earthquakes, as well as climate change, including effects on weather patterns, greenhouse gases, sea, land and air temperatures, sea levels, rain and snow; the response of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts and the availability, pricing and adequacy of reinsurance to protect the Company against losses;

the possibility of unfavorable loss development; actions by our competitors, many of which are larger or have greater financial resources than we do;

3

Table of Contents

Trust Bank:

the restrictions, oversight, costs and other consequences of being a savings and loan holding company, including from the supervision, regulation and examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS), and in the future, as a result of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act), The Federal Reserve and the Office of the Controller of the Currency as regulator of Federal

the potential effect of domestic and foreign regulatory developments, including those that could adversely impact the demand for the Company s products, operating costs and required capital levels, including changes to statutory reserves and/or risk-based capital requirements related to secondary guarantees under universal life and variable annuity products;

the cost and other effects of increased regulation as a result of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act, which will, among other effects, vest a newly created Financial Services Oversight Council with the power to designate systemically important institutions, require central clearing of, and/or impose new margin and capital requirements on, derivatives transactions, and may affect our ability as a savings and loan holding company to manage our general account by limiting or eliminating investments in certain private equity and hedge funds; the Company s ability to distribute its products through distribution channels, both current and future; the uncertain effects of emerging claim and coverage issues;

the ability of the Company to declare and pay dividends is subject to limitations;

the Company s ability to effectively price its property and casualty policies, including its ability to obtain regulatory consents to pricing actions or to non-renewal or withdrawal of certain product lines;

the Company s ability to maintain the availability of its systems and safeguard the security of its data in the event of a disaster or other unanticipated events;

the risk that our framework for managing business risks may not be effective in mitigating risk and loss to us that could adversely affect our business;

the potential for difficulties arising from outsourcing relationships;

the impact of potential changes in federal or state tax laws, including changes affecting the availability of the separate account dividend received deduction;

the impact of potential changes in accounting principles and related financial reporting requirements;

the Company s ability to protect its intellectual property and defend against claims of infringement; unfavorable judicial or legislative developments; and

other factors described in such forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statement made by the Company in this document speaks only as of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q. Factors or events that could cause the Company s actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company to predict all of them. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

4

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.

Hartford, Connecticut

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2010, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and statements of changes in equity and cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of December 31, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity, comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 23, 2010 (which report includes an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company's change in its method of accounting and reporting for other-than-temporary impairments in 2009 and for the fair value measurement of financial instruments in 2008), we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Hartford, Connecticut November 2, 2010

5

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

Revenues Earned premiums S 3,513 S 3,499 S 10,546 S 10,920 Fee income 1,173 1,140 3,557 3,369 Net investment income (loss): Securities available-for-sale and other 1,083 1,049 3,296 2,990 Equity securities, trading 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Total net investment income 2,126 1,687 2,391 5,427 Total net investment income 2,126 1,687 2,391 5,427 Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses recognized in other comprehensive income 31 224 403 472 473 472 473 472 473 472 473 472 473 472 473 472 473 472 473 472 473 472 473 473 474 4	(In millions, except for per share data)	September 30, September 30, 2010 2009 2010				ember 30, 2009 audited)		
Fee income Net investment income (loss): 1,173 1,140 3,557 3,369 Net investment income (loss): 1,083 1,049 3,296 2,990 Equity securities, trading 1,083 1,049 3,296 2,990 Equity securities, trading 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Total net investment income 2,126 1,687 2,391 5,427 Net realized capital gains (losses): (146) (760) (778) (1,546) OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income 31 224 403 472 Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (115) (536) (375) (1,074) Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and expenses 1,043 638								
Net investment income (loss): Securities available-for-sale and other 1,083 1,049 3,296 2,990 Equity securities, trading 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Total net investment income 2,126 1,687 2,391 5,427 Net realized capital gains (losses): Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses (146) (760) (778) (1,546) OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income 31 224 403 472 Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (115) (536) (375) (1,074) Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 3,037 3,070 3,070 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	-	\$	3,513	\$	3,499	\$ 10,546	\$	10,920
Securities available-for-sale and other 1,083 1,049 3,296 2,990 Equity securities, trading 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Total net investment income 2,126 1,687 2,391 5,427 Net realized capital gains (losses): Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses (146) (760) (778) (1,546) OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income 31 224 403 472 Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (115) (536) (375) (1,074) Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities 1,043 <td>Fee income</td> <td></td> <td>1,173</td> <td></td> <td>1,140</td> <td>3,557</td> <td></td> <td>3,369</td>	Fee income		1,173		1,140	3,557		3,369
Equity securities, trading	Net investment income (loss):							
Equity securities, trading	Securities available-for-sale and other		1,083		1,049	3,296		2,990
Total net investment income 2,126 1,687 2,391 5,427 Net realized capital gains (losses): Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income 31 224 403 472 Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (115) (536) (375) (1,074) Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses			•		•	•		-
Net realized capital gains (losses): Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) Iosses (146)	Equity securities, training		1,0 15		0.50	(505)		2, 137
Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses (146) (760) (778) (1,546) OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income 31 224 403 472	Total net investment income		2,126		1,687	2,391		5,427
income 31 224 403 472 Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (115) (536) (375) (1,074) Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses 8 8 10,228 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 <td< td=""><td>Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI)</td><td></td><td>(146)</td><td></td><td>(760)</td><td>(778)</td><td></td><td>(1,546)</td></td<>	Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI)		(146)		(760)	(778)		(1,546)
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (115) (536) (375) (1,074) Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses 8 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive							
Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses 8 8 18,261 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	income		31		224	403		472
Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses 8 8 18,261 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717								
Net realized capital losses, excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses 8 8 18,261 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings		(115)		(536)	(375)		(1,074)
Iosses recognized in earnings (146) (683) (151) (742) Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses 8 8 10,799 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717			, ,		, ,	,		(, ,
Total net realized capital losses (261) (1,219) (526) (1,816) Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses 8 8 10,799 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717			(146)		(683)	(151)		(742)
Other revenues 122 123 360 361 Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	rosses recognized in earnings		(110)		(003)	(131)		(7.12)
Total revenues 6,673 5,230 16,328 18,261 Benefits, losses and expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities returns credited on international variable annuities and present value of future profits 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	Total net realized capital losses		(261)		(1,219)	(526)		(1,816)
Benefits, losses and expenses Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities returns credited on international variable annuities and present value of future profits 438 687 1,043 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	Other revenues		122		123	360		361
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits Insurance operating costs and other expenses Interest expense Goodwill impairment Total benefits, losses and expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 438 687 2,027 3,620 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	Total revenues		6,673		5,230	16,328		18,261
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits Insurance operating costs and other expenses Interest expense Goodwill impairment Total benefits, losses and expenses 3,037 3,070 9,762 10,799 1,043 638 (905) 2,437 438 687 2,027 3,620 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	Ranafite lasses and avnances							
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717			2.027		2.070	0.763		10.700
returns credited on international variable annuities Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3,037		3,070	9,762		10,799
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	v i					40 0 T		
and present value of future profits 438 687 2,027 3,620 Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717			1,043		638	(905)		2,437
Insurance operating costs and other expenses 1,105 1,174 3,461 3,472 Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	* • *							
Interest expense 128 118 380 357 Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	and present value of future profits		438		687	2,027		3,620
Goodwill impairment 153 32 Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	Insurance operating costs and other expenses		1,105		1,174	3,461		3,472
Total benefits, losses and expenses 5,751 5,687 14,878 20,717	Interest expense		128		118	380		357
	Goodwill impairment					153		32
	•							
	Total benefits, losses and expenses		5,751		5,687	14,878		20,717
(-7.5)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•	•		•
Income tax expense (benefit) 256 (237) 389 (1,012)					, ,	•		
	r				(/			(,)
Net income (loss) \$ 666 \$ (220) \$ 1,061 \$ (1,444)	Net income (loss)	\$	666	\$	(220)	\$ 1,061	\$	(1,444)
Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount 10 62 504 65	Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount		10		62	504		65

Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$	656	\$	(282)	\$	557	\$	(1,509)
Earnings (Loss) per common share Basic Diluted	\$ \$	1.48 1.34	\$	(0.79) (0.79)	\$	1.30 1.21	\$ \$	(4.52) (4.52)
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.05	\$	0.05	\$	0.15	\$	0.15

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In millions, except for share and per share data)	Se	eptember 30, 2010	Dec	cember 31, 2009
			audited	d)
Assets				
Investments				
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost of \$78,484 and				
\$76,015) (includes variable interest entity assets, at fair value, of \$440 as of				
September 30, 2010)	\$	79,736	\$	71,153
Fixed maturities, at fair value using the fair value option (includes variable interest				
entity assets of \$328 as of September 30, 2010)		564		
Equity securities, trading, at fair value (cost of \$33,674 and \$33,070)		32,495		32,321
Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value (cost of \$1,232 and \$1,333)		1,168		1,221
Mortgage loans (net of allowances for loan losses of \$164 and \$366)		4,684		5,938
Policy loans, at outstanding balance		2,180		2,174
Limited partnerships and other alternative investments (includes variable interest				
entity assets of \$14 as of September 30, 2010)		1,819		1,790
Other investments		1,427		602
Short-term investments		9,517		10,357
Total investments		133,590		125,556
Cash		1,707		2,142
Premiums receivable and agents balances, net		3,370		3,404
Reinsurance recoverables, net		5,242		5,384
Deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits		9,386		10,686
Deferred income taxes, net		1,721		3,940
Goodwill		1,051		1,204
Property and equipment, net		1,143		1,026
Other assets		2,497		3,981
Separate account assets		154,219		150,394
Total assets	\$	313,926	\$	307,717
Liabilities				
Reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$	39,890	\$	39,631
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable		45,889		45,852
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable international variable annuities		32,470		32,296
Unearned premiums		5,296		5,221
Short-term debt				343
Long-term debt		6,603		5,496
Consumer notes		384		1,136
Other liabilities (includes variable interest entity liabilities of \$405 as of				
September 30, 2010)		8,266		9,454
Separate account liabilities		154,219		150,394

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities	293,017	289,823
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)		
Equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value 50,000,000 shares authorized, 575,000 and		
3,400,000 shares issued, liquidation preference \$1,000 per share	556	2,960
Common stock, \$0.01 par value 1,500,000,000 shares authorized, 469,758,371		
and 410,184,182 shares issued	5	4
Additional paid-in capital	10,455	8,985
Retained earnings	11,488	11,164
Treasury stock, at cost 25,391,969 and 27,177,019 shares	(1,789)	(1,936)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	194	(3,312)
Total stockholders equity	20,909	17,865
Noncontrolling interest		29
Total equity	20,909	17,894
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 313,926	\$ 307,717

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

7

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

(In millions, except for share data)	Nine Mon Septem 2010	
	(Unau	dited)
Preferred Stock, at beginning of period	\$ 2,960	\$
Issuance of mandatory convertible preferred stock	556	
Accretion of preferred stock discount on issuance to U.S. Treasury		20
Accelerated accretion of discount from redemption of preferred stock issued to U.S.		
Treasury	440	2.020
Issuance (redemption) of preferred stock to the U.S. Treasury	(3,400)	2,920
Preferred Stock, at end of period	556	2,940
Common Stock	5	4
Additional Paid-in Capital, at beginning of period	8,985	7,569
Issuance of warrants to U.S. Treasury	0,702	480
Issuance of shares under discretionary equity issuance plan		887
Issuance of shares under public offering	1,599	
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans	(123)	(135)
Reclassification of warrants from other liabilities to equity and extension of warrants		
term		186
Tax expense on employee stock options and awards	(6)	(11)
Additional Paid-in Capital, at end of period	10,455	8,976
Retained Earnings, at beginning of period, before cumulative effect of accounting		
change, net of tax	11,164	11,336
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	26	
Retained Earnings, at beginning of period, as adjusted	11,190	11,336
Net income (loss)	1,061	(1,444)
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of tax	(194)	912
Accretion of preferred stock discount on issuance to U.S. Treasury		(20)
Accelerated accretion of discount from redemption of preferred stock issued to U.S.		
Treasury	(440)	(1 5)
Dividends on preferred stock	(64)	(45)
Dividends declared on common stock	(65)	(50)
Retained Earnings, at end of period	11,488	10,689
Treasury Stock, at Cost, at beginning of period	(1,936)	(2,120)
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans from treasury stock	150	187
Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans to treasury stock	(3)	(3)

14

Treasury Stock, at Cost, at end of period	(1,789)	(1,936)
	(2.212)	(7.70 0)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax, at beginning of period	(3,312)	(7,520)
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of tax	194 3,312	(912) 5,215
Total other comprehensive income	3,312	3,213
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax, at end of period	194	(3,217)
Total Stockholders Equity	20,909	17,456
Noncontrolling Interest, at beginning of period (Note 13)	29	92
Change in noncontrolling interest ownership		(61)
Noncontrolling loss		(6)
Recognition of noncontrolling interest in other liabilities	(29)	
Noncontrolling Interest, at end of period		25
Total Equity	\$ 20,909	\$ 17,481
Preferred Shares Outstanding, at beginning of period (in thousands)	3,400	6,048
Conversion of preferred to common shares	2,.00	(6,048)
Issuance (redemption) of shares issued to the U.S. Treasury	(3,400)	3,400
Issuance of mandatory convertible preferred shares	575	
Preferred Shares Outstanding, at end of period	575	3,400
Common Shares Outstanding, at beginning of period (in thousands)	383,007	300,579
Treasury stock acquired		(15)
Conversion of preferred to common shares		24,194
Issuance of shares under discretionary equity issuance plan		56,109
Issuance of shares under public offering	59,590	2.250
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans	1,901	2,353
Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans to treasury stock	(132)	(190)
Common Shares Outstanding, at end of period	444,366	383,030

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

8

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

		Three Mor Septem 2010	ber 3			Nine Mon Septem 2010	ber 3	
(In millions)	(Unaudited)				(Unaudited)			
Comprehensive Income		`	ŕ			•	Í	
Net income (loss)	\$	666	\$	(220)	\$	1,061	\$	(1,444)
Other comprehensive income (loss)								
Change in net unrealized gain / loss on securities		1,064		3,232		2,642		5,572
Change in OTTI losses recognized in other								
comprehensive income		44		(51)		97		(176)
Change in net gain (loss) on cash-flow hedging								
instruments		79		99		308		(269)
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments		164		102		205		57
Amortization of prior service cost and actuarial net								
losses included in net periodic benefit costs		28		11		60		31
Total other comprehensive income		1,379		3,393		3,312		5,215
Total comprehensive income	\$	2,045	\$	3,173	\$	4,373	\$	3,771

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In millions)	Nine Mon Septem 2010 (Unau	ber 3	0, 2009
Operating Activities			
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,061	\$	(1,444)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating			
activities			
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	2,027		3,620
Additions to deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	(1,999)		(2,155)
Change in reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment			
expenses and unearned premiums	(17)		903
Change in reinsurance recoverables	247		152
Change in receivables and other assets	(29)		212
Change in payables and accruals	(92)		(600)
Change in accrued and deferred income taxes	(5)		(252)
Net realized capital losses	526		1,816
Net receipts from investment contracts related to policyholder funds international			
variable annuities	174		2,691
Net increase in equity securities, trading	(174)		(2,694)
Depreciation and amortization	449		360
Goodwill impairment	153		32
Other operating activities, net	(76)		104
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,245		2,745
Investing Activities			
Proceeds from the sale/maturity/prepayment of:			
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	37,320		41,749
Equity securities, available-for-sale	207		598
Mortgage loans	1,517		480
Partnerships	312		405
Payments for the purchase of:			
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	(39,485)		(42,990)
Equity securities, available-for-sale	(135)		(284)
Mortgage loans	(273)		(249)
Partnerships	(226)		(228)
Proceeds from business sold	130		7
Derivatives, net	504		(298)
Change in policy loans, net	(6)		(1)
Change in payables for collateral under securities lending, net	(46)		(2,771)
Other investing activities, net	(105)		(239)
Net cash used for investing activities Financing Activities	(286)		(3,821)
Deposits and other additions to investment and universal life-type contracts	9,458		11,158

Withdrawals and other deductions from investment and universal life-type contracts		(16,426)	(18,528)
Net transfers from separate accounts related to investment and universal life-type			
contracts		5,998	5,418
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		1,090	
Repayments at maturity for long-term debt and payments on capital lease obligations		(343)	(24)
Change in commercial paper			(375)
Repayments at maturity or settlement of consumer notes		(752)	(17)
Net proceeds from issuance of mandatory convertible preferred stock		556	
Net proceeds from issuance of common shares under public offering		1,600	
Redemption of preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury		(3,400)	
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and warrants to U.S. Treasury			3,400
Net proceeds from issuance of common shares under discretionary equity issuance			
plan			887
Proceeds from net issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans			
and excess tax benefit		17	18
Dividends paid on preferred stock		(75)	(31)
Dividends paid on common stock		(62)	(129)
Changes in bank deposits and payments on bank advances		(56)	(85)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities		(2,395)	1,692
Foreign exchange rate effect on cash		1	(10)
Net increase (decrease) in cash		(435)	606
Cash beginning of period		2,142	1,811
Cash end of period	\$	1,707	\$ 2,417
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information			
Income taxes paid (received)	\$	249	\$ (392)
Interest paid	\$	324	\$ 303
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Stateme	nts		

10

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollar amounts in millions, except for per share data, unless otherwise stated) (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies Basis of Presentation

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. is a financial holding company for a group of subsidiaries that provide investment products and life and property and casualty insurance to both individual and business customers in the United States (collectively, The Hartford or the Company). Also, The Hartford continues to administer business previously sold in Japan and the U.K.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), which differ materially from the accounting practices prescribed by various insurance regulatory authorities.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes as of September 30, 2010, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 are unaudited. These financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report. The results of operations for the interim periods should not be considered indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc., companies in which the Company directly or indirectly has a controlling financial interest and those variable interest entities in which the Company is required to consolidate. Entities in which the Company has significant influence over the operating and financing decisions but are not required to consolidate are reported using the equity method. Material intercompany transactions and balances between The Hartford and its subsidiaries and affiliates have been eliminated. For further discussions on variable interest entities see Note 5 and Note 13.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period financial information to conform to the current period classifications.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with U.S. GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The most significant estimates include those used in determining property and casualty reserves, net of reinsurance; estimated gross profits used in the valuation and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts; evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities and valuation allowances on investments; living benefits required to be fair valued; goodwill impairment; valuation of investments and derivative instruments; pension and other postretirement benefit obligations; valuation allowance on deferred tax assets; and contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters. Certain of these estimates are particularly sensitive to market conditions, and deterioration and/or volatility in the worldwide debt or equity markets could have a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Significant Accounting Policies

For a description of significant accounting policies, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report, which should be read in conjunction with these accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) updated the guidance which amends the consolidation requirements applicable to variable interest entities (VIE). Under this new guidance, an entity would consolidate a VIE when the entity has both (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The FASB also issued an amendment to this guidance in February 2010 which defers application of this guidance to certain entities that apply specialized accounting guidance for investment companies. The Company adopted this guidance on January 1, 2010. As a result of adoption, in addition to those VIEs the Company consolidates under the previous guidance, the Company consolidated a Company sponsored Collateralized Debt Obligation (CDO), electing the fair value option, and a Company sponsored Collateralized Loan Obligation, at carrying values carried forward as if the Company had been the primary beneficiary from the date the Company entered into the VIE arrangement. The impact on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as a result of adopting this new guidance was an increase in assets of \$432, an increase in liabilities of \$406, and an increase in January 1, 2010 retained earnings, net of tax, of \$26. The Company has investments in mutual funds, limited partnerships and other alternative investments, including hedge funds, mortgage and real estate funds, mezzanine debt funds, and private equity and other funds which may be VIEs. The accounting for these investments will remain unchanged as they fall within the scope of the deferral of this new consolidation guidance. See Note 5 for further discussion.

Embedded Credit Derivatives

In March 2010, the FASB issued guidance clarifying the scope exception for certain credit derivatives embedded within structured securities which may result in bifurcation of these credit derivatives. Embedded credit derivatives resulting only from subordination of one financial instrument to another continue to qualify for the exemption. As a result, investments with an embedded credit derivative in a form other than the above mentioned subordination may need to be separately accounted for as an embedded credit derivative resulting in recognition of the change in the fair value of the embedded credit derivative in current period earnings. Upon adoption, an entity may elect the fair value option prospectively, with changes in fair value of the investment in its entirety recognized in earnings, rather than bifurcate the embedded credit derivative. The guidance is effective, on a prospective basis only, for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company adopted this guidance on July 1, 2010 and identified securities with an amortized cost and fair value of \$971 and \$639, respectively, which were impacted by the scope of this standard. Upon adoption, the Company elected the fair value option for securities having an amortized cost and fair value of \$447 and \$214, respectively. For further discussion of fair value option, see Note 4. For the remainder of securities that were impacted by the scope of this standard, upon adoption, the embedded credit derivatives were bifurcated but are reported with the host instrument in the consolidated balance sheets. As of July 1, 2010, these securities had an amortized cost and fair value of \$524 and \$425, respectively, with an associated embedded derivative notional value of \$525. For further discussion of embedded derivatives, see Note 5. The adoption, on July 1, 2010 resulted in the reclassification of \$194, after-tax and deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), net unrealized losses from accumulated other comprehensive loss to retained earnings, including \$211 of unrealized capital losses and \$17 of unrealized capital gains.

Future Adoption of New Accounting Standards

Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts

In October 2010, the FASB issued guidance clarifying the definition of acquisition costs that are eligible for deferral. Acquisition costs are to include only those costs that are directly related to the successful acquisition or renewal of insurance contracts; incremental direct costs of contract acquisition that are incurred in transactions with either independent third parties or employees; and advertising costs meeting the capitalization criteria for direct-response advertising.

This guidance will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, and interim periods within those years. This guidance may be applied prospectively upon the date of adoption, with retrospective application permitted, but not required. Early adoption is permitted.

The Company will adopt this guidance on January 1, 2012. The Company has not yet determined if it will apply the guidance on a prospective or retrospective basis or the effect of the adoption on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. If retrospective application is elected, the adoption could have a material impact on stockholders equity. If prospective application is elected, there could be a material impact to the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations as non-deferrable acquisition costs will increase while amortization would continue on the existing DAC balance.

12

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

Income Taxes

A reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision for income taxes is as follows:

	Three Mon Septem	 	Nine Mont Septem	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Tax expense (benefit) at U.S. Federal statutory rate	\$ 323	\$ (160)	\$ 508	\$ (860)
Tax-exempt interest	(38)	(36)	(116)	(111)
Dividends received deduction	(34)	(41)	(115)	(120)
Investment valuation allowance			86	
Nondeductible costs associated with warrants				78
Other	5		26	1
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 256	\$ (237)	\$ 389	\$ (1,012)

The separate account dividends received deduction (DRD) is estimated for the current year using information from the prior year-end, adjusted for current year equity market performance and other appropriate factors, including estimated levels of corporate dividend payments and level of policy owner equity account balances. The actual current year DRD can vary from estimates based on, but not limited to, changes in eligible dividends received by the mutual funds, amounts of distribution from these mutual funds, amounts of short-term capital gains at the mutual fund level and the Company s taxable income before the DRD. The Company evaluates its DRD computations on a quarterly basis. The Company s unrecognized tax benefits were unchanged during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, remaining at \$48 as of September 30, 2010. This entire amount, if it were recognized, would affect the effective tax rate for the applicable periods.

The Company s federal income tax returns are routinely audited by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Audits have been concluded for all years through 2006. The audit of 2007 and 2008 commenced in the second quarter of 2010 and the audit of 2009 commenced in the third quarter of 2010. In addition, the Company is working with the IRS on a possible settlement of a DRD issue related to prior periods which, if settled, may result in the booking of tax benefits. Such benefits are not expected to be material to the statement of operations.

The Company s net deferred tax asset as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 includes a net deferred tax liability of \$1.4 billion and \$849, respectively, for the Company s subsidiary in Japan.

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset valuation allowance that is adequate to reduce the total deferred tax asset to an amount that will more likely than not be realized. The deferred tax asset valuation allowance was \$174 as of September 30, 2010 and was \$86 as of December 31, 2009. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, management considered future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards, and taxable income in prior carry back years, as well as tax planning strategies that include holding debt securities with market value losses until recovery, selling appreciated securities to offset capital losses, and sales of certain corporate assets, including subsidiaries. Such tax planning strategies are viewed by management as prudent and feasible and will be implemented if necessary to realize the deferred tax asset. An increase in interest rates can adversely impact the Company s tax planning strategies and in particular the Company s ability to utilize tax benefits to offset certain previously recognized realized capital losses. Also, for the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company incurred a charge of \$19 related to a decrease in deferred tax assets as a result of recent federal legislation that will reduce the tax deduction available to the Company related to retiree health care costs beginning in 2013.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

2. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income (loss) and shares used in calculating basic earnings (loss) per common share to those used in calculating diluted earnings (loss) per common share.

(In millions, except for per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009				
Income (loss)								
Net income (loss)	\$	666	\$	(220)	\$	1,061	\$	(1,444)
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion of		4.0				~ 0.4		- -
discount		10		62		504		65
Net income (loss) available to common								
shareholders	\$	656	\$	(282)	\$	557	\$	(1,509)
Common shares Basic Weighted average common shares outstanding		444.1		356.1		427.2		334.1
Diluted								
Warrants		29.0				32.6		
Stock compensation plans		1.4				1.3		
Mandatory convertible preferred shares		20.8						
Weighted average shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares		495.3		356.1		461.1		334.1
Earnings (loss) per common share								
Basic	\$	1.48	\$	(0.79)	\$	1.30	\$	(4.52)
Diluted	\$	1.34	\$	(0.79)	\$	1.21	\$	(4.52)

On March 23, 2010, The Hartford issued 23 million depositary shares, each representing a 1/40th interest in The Hartford s 7.25% mandatory convertible preferred stock, Series F. These shares and the related dividend adjustment are included in diluted earnings per share, if dilutive, using the if converted method. For additional information on the mandatory convertible preferred stock see Note 13.

As a result of the net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company is required to use basic weighted average common shares outstanding in the calculation of the three months ended September 30, 2009 diluted loss per share, since the inclusion of shares for warrants of 25.3 million and stock compensation plans of 1.1 million would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculation. In the absence of the net loss, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 382.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

As a result of the net loss in the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company is required to use basic weighted average common shares outstanding in the calculation of the nine months ended September 30, 2009 diluted loss per share, since the inclusion of shares for warrants of 8.7 million and stock compensation plans of 0.8 million would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculation. In the absence of the net loss, weighted average

common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 343.6 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, 14.9 million shares for mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculation. Assuming the impact of the mandatory convertible preferred shares was not antidilutive, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 476.0 million.

14

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information

Effective for third quarter 2010 reporting, The Hartford made changes to its reporting segments to reflect the manner in which the Company is currently organized for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing performance. Accordingly, segment data for prior reporting periods has been adjusted to reflect the new segment reporting. As a result, the Company created three customer focused divisions, Commercial Markets, Consumer Markets and Wealth Management, conducting business principally in seven reporting segments.

The following discussion describes the significant changes to the reporting segments:

The Commercial Markets division consists of the reporting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Group Benefits. The Property & Casualty Commercial reporting segment includes the former Small Commercial, Middle Market and Specialty Commercial reporting segments. Group Benefits is now included in the Commercial Markets division and is otherwise unchanged from June 30, 2010.

The Consumer Markets division and reporting segment includes the former Personal Lines reporting segment.

The Wealth Management division consists of the following reporting segments: Global Annuity, Life Insurance, Retirement Plans and Mutual Funds. Global Annuity includes the former Global Annuity - U.S. and Global Annuity International reporting segments, as well as institutional investment products (IIP) which was within the former Institutional Solutions Group (Institutional) reporting segment. Life Insurance includes the former Individual Life reporting segment and private placement life insurance (PPLI) operations formerly within Institutional and Life Other. The former Retirement segment is now reported as two separate segments: Retirement Plans and Mutual Funds.

Corporate and Other includes Property & Casualty Other Operations and the former Life Other, excluding the PPLI operations now included in Life Insurance.

Certain inter-segment arrangements have been terminated retrospectively whereby the former Specialty Commercial reporting segment was reimbursing the former Personal Lines, Small Commercial and Middle Market reporting segments for certain losses incurred from uncollectible reinsurance and under certain liability claims.

As a result of this reorganization, the Company s seven reporting segments, as well as the Corporate and Other category, are as follows:

Commercial Markets

Property & Casualty Commercial

Property & Casualty Commercial provides workers compensation, property, automobile, marine, livestock, liability and umbrella coverages primarily throughout the United States (U.S.), along with a variety of customized insurance products and risk management services including professional liability, fidelity, surety, specialty casualty coverages and third-party administrator services.

Group Benefits

Group Benefits provides employers, associations, affinity groups and financial institutions with group life, accident and disability coverage, along with other products and services, including voluntary benefits, and group retiree health.

Consumer Markets

Consumer Markets provides standard automobile, homeowners and home-based business coverages to individuals across the U.S., including a special program designed exclusively for members of AARP. Consumer Markets also operates a member contact center for health insurance products offered through the AARP Health program.

Wealth Management

Global Annuity

Global Annuity offers individual variable, fixed market value adjusted (fixed MVA) and single premium immediate annuities in the U.S., a range of products to institutional investors, including but not limited to, stable value contracts and institutional annuities, and administers investments, retirement savings and other insurance and savings products to individuals and groups outside the U.S., primarily in Japan and Europe.

Life Insurance

Life Insurance sells a variety of life insurance products, including variable universal life, universal life, and term life, as well as variable PPLI owned by corporations and high net worth individuals.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information (continued)

Retirement Plans

Retirement Plans provides products and services to corporations pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and products and services to municipalities and not-for-profit organizations under Sections 457 and 403(b) of the Code, collectively referred to as government plans.

Mutual Funds

Mutual Funds offers retail mutual funds, investment-only mutual funds and college savings plans under Section 529 of the Code (collectively referred to as non-proprietary) and proprietary mutual funds.

Corporate and Other

The Hartford includes in Corporate and Other the Company s debt financing and related interest expense, as well as other capital raising activities; banking operations; certain fee income and commission expenses associated with sales of non-proprietary products by broker-dealer subsidiaries; and certain purchase accounting adjustments and other charges not allocated to the segments. Also included in Corporate and Other is the Company s management of certain property and casualty operations that have discontinued writing new business and substantially all of the Company s asbestos and environmental exposures, collectively referred to as Other Operations.

Financial Measures and Other Segment Information

The following table presents net income (loss) for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate and Other category.

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2010			2009		2010	2009			
Property & Casualty Commercial	\$	306	\$	217	\$	782	\$	484		
Group Benefits		46		65		145		148		
Consumer Markets		70		15		113		55		
Global Annuity		175		(320)		141		(1,304)		
Life Insurance		97		8		224		18		
Retirement Plans		30		(34)		38		(162)		
Mutual Funds		18		11		67		17		
Corporate and Other		(76)		(182)		(449)		(700)		
Net income (loss)	\$	666	\$	(220)	\$	1,061	\$	(1,444)		

16

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information (continued)

The following table presents revenues by product line for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate and Other category.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009				
Earned premiums, fees, and other considerations	2010		2007		2010		2007	
Property & Casualty Commercial							. =	
Workers compensation	\$ 605	\$	556	\$	1,754	\$	1,706	
Property	137		144		412		451	
Automobile	149		159		453		497	
Package business	281		277		842		842	
Liability	129		149		405		477	
Fidelity and surety	56		61		169		192	
Professional liability	82		96		243		298	
Total Property & Casualty Commercial Group Benefits	1,439		1,442		4,278		4,463	
Group disability	487		479		1,520		1,493	
Group life and accident	513		528		1,539		1,600	
Other	58		62		175		188	
Total Group Benefits Consumer Markets	1,058		1,069		3,234		3,281	
Automobile	698		717		2,122		2,136	
Homeowners	287		272		854		821	
Total Consumer Markets [1] Global Annuity	985		989		2,976		2,957	
Variable annuity	629		555		1,855		1,634	
Fixed / MVA annuity	21		10		43		24	
IIP	4		38		21		333	
Other	5		8		13		23	
Total Global Annuity Life Insurance	659		611		1,932		2,014	
Variable life	113		123		315		396	
Universal life	72		92		282		286	
Term / Other life	12		11		36		35	
PPLI	46		21		129		86	
Total Life Insurance Retirement Plans	243		247		762		803	
401(k)	77		74		233		208	
Government plans	12		10		32		29	

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Total Retirement Plans	89	84	265	237
Mutual Funds				
Non-Proprietary	151	137	467	370
Proprietary	15		46	
Total Mutual Funds	166	137	513	370
Corporate and Other	47	60	143	164
Total earned premiums, fees, and other				
considerations	4,686	4,639	14,103	14,289
Net investment income (loss):				
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,083	1,049	3,296	2,990
Equity securities, trading	1,043	638	(905)	2,437
Total net investment income	2,126	1,687	2,391	5,427
Net realized capital losses	(261)	(1,219)	(526)	(1,816)
Other revenues	122	123	360	361
Total revenues	\$ 6,673	\$ 5,230	\$ 16,328	\$ 18,261

[1] For both the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, AARP members accounted for earned premiums of \$712. For both the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, AARP members accounted for earned premiums of \$2.1 billion.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits

The following financial instruments are carried at fair value in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements: fixed maturity and equity securities, available-for-sale (AFS), fixed maturities at fair value using fair value option (FVO), equity securities, trading, short-term investments, freestanding and embedded derivatives, separate account assets and certain other liabilities.

The following section and Note 4a apply the fair value hierarchy and disclosure requirements for the Company s financial instruments that are carried at fair value. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs in the valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad Levels (Level 1, 2 or 3).

- Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 securities include highly liquid U.S. Treasuries, money market funds and exchange traded equity securities, open-ended mutual funds reported in separate account assets and derivative securities, including futures and certain option contracts.
- Level 2 Observable inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, for the asset or liability or prices for similar assets and liabilities. Most fixed maturities and preferred stocks, including those reported in separate account assets, are model priced by vendors using observable inputs and are classified within Level 2. Also included in the Level 2 category are investment grade private placement securities and derivative instruments that are priced using models with significant observable market inputs, including interest rate, foreign currency and certain credit default swap contracts and have no significant unobservable market inputs.
- Level 3 Valuations that are derived from techniques in which one or more of the significant inputs are unobservable (including assumptions about risk). Level 3 securities include less liquid securities such as lower quality asset-backed securities (ABS), commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), commercial real estate (CRE) CDOs, residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) primarily backed by below-prime loans and below investment grade private placement securities. Also included in Level 3 are guaranteed product embedded and reinsurance derivatives and other complex derivative securities, including customized guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit (GMWB) hedging derivatives (see Note 4a for further information on GMWB product related financial instruments), equity derivatives, long dated derivatives, swaps with optionality, certain complex credit derivatives and certain other liabilities. Because Level 3 fair values, by their nature, contain one or more significant unobservable market inputs as there is little or no observable market for these assets and liabilities, considerable judgment is used to determine the Level 3 fair values. Level 3 fair values represent the Company s best estimate of an amount that could be realized in a current market exchange absent actual market exchanges.

In many situations, inputs used to measure the fair value of an asset or liability position may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In these situations, the Company will determine the level in which the fair value falls based upon the lowest level input that is significant to the determination of the fair value. Transfers of securities among the levels occur at the beginning of the reporting period. Transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 were not material for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. In most cases, both observable (e.g., changes in interest rates) and unobservable (e.g., changes in risk assumptions) inputs are used in the determination of fair values that the Company has classified within Level 3. Consequently, these values and the related gains and losses are based upon both observable and unobservable inputs. The Company s fixed maturities included in Level 3 are classified as such as they are primarily priced by independent brokers and/or within illiquid markets (i.e. below-prime RMBS and CRE CDOs).

18

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

These disclosures provide information as to the extent to which the Company uses fair value to measure financial instruments and information about the inputs used to value those financial instruments to allow users to assess the relative reliability of the measurements. The following tables present assets and (liabilities) carried at fair value by hierarchy level, excluding those related to the Company s living benefits and associated hedging programs, which are reported in Note 4a.

			September 30, 2010 Quoted Prices					
				1 Active	Sig	nificant	Sig	nificant
			ľ	Aarkets for	Ob	servabld	Jnol	oservable
				dentical				
	Total		Assets (Level 1)		Inputs (Level 2)			nputs evel 3)
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis		Total	(.	Level 1)	(1	2C (CI 2)	(12	ever 5)
Fixed maturities, AFS								
ABS	\$	3,009	\$		\$	2,500	\$	509
CDOs		2,563				7		2,556
CMBS		8,160				7,547		613
Corporate		40,851				38,741		2,110
Foreign government/government agencies		1,924				1,873		51
States, municipalities and political subdivisions (Municipal)		12,723				12,434		289
RMBS		5,551				4,279		1,272
U.S. Treasuries		4,955		774		4,181		
Total fixed maturities, AFS		79,736		774		71,562		7,400
Fixed maturities, FVO		564				64		500
Equity securities, trading		32,495		2,286		30,209		
Equity securities, AFS		1,168		327		741		100
Derivative assets								
Credit derivatives		(9)				(9)		
Equity derivatives		3						3
Foreign exchange derivatives		482				482		
Interest rate derivatives		74				98		(24)
Other derivative contracts		34						34
Total derivative assets [1]		584				571		13
Short-term investments		9,517		715		8,802		
Separate account assets [2]		148,771		112,182		35,512		1,077
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	272,835	\$	116,284	\$	147,461	\$	9,090
Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis Other policyholder funds and benefits payable								
Institutional notes	\$	3	\$		\$		\$	3

33

Equity linked notes	(8)			(8)
Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable	(5)			(5)
Derivative liabilities				
Credit derivatives	(509)		(53)	(456)
Equity derivatives	1			1
Foreign exchange derivatives	172		172	
Interest rate derivatives	(77)		(52)	(25)
Total derivative liabilities [3]	(413)		67	(480)
Other liabilities	(30)			(30)
Consumer notes [4]	(4)			(4)
Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring				
basis	\$ (452)	\$ \$	67	(519)

[1] Includes

over-the-counter

derivative

instruments in a

net asset value

position which

may require the

 $counterparty\ to$

pledge collateral

to the Company.

As of

September 30,

 $2010, \$828 \ of \ a$

cash collateral

liability was netted

against the

derivative asset

value in the

Condensed

Consolidated

Balance Sheet and

is excluded from

the table above.

See footnote 3

 $below \, for \,$

derivative

liabilities.

[2] As of

September 30,

2010, excludes

approximately

\$5 billion of

investment sales

receivable that are not subject to fair value accounting.

[3] Includes

over-the-counter derivative instruments in a net negative market value position (derivative liability). In the Level 3 roll-forward table included below in this Note 4, the derivative asset and liability are referred to as freestanding derivatives and are presented on a net basis.

[4] Represents

embedded
derivatives
associated with
non-funding
agreement-backed
consumer equity
linked notes.

19

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) 4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

			December 31, 2009								
				Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical		gnificant bservable	Significant Unobservable				
				Assets		Inputs]	Inputs			
		Total		(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(I	Level 3)			
Assets accounted for at fair value on a											
recurring basis											
Fixed maturities, AFS ABS	\$	2,523	\$		\$	1,943	\$	580			
CDOs	Ф	2,323	Ф		Ф	1,943	Ф	2,835			
CMBS		8,544				8,237		307			
Corporate		35,243				27,216		8,027			
Foreign government/government agencies		1,408				1,315		93			
Municipal		12,065				11,803		262			
RMBS		4,847				3,694		1,153			
U.S. Treasuries		3,631		526		3,105		-,			
		- ,				-,					
Total fixed maturities, AFS		71,153		526		57,370		13,257			
Equity securities, trading		32,321		2,443		29,878					
Equity securities, AFS		1,221		259		904		58			
Derivative assets [1]		178				97		81			
Short-term investments		10,357		6,846		3,511					
Separate account assets [2]		147,432		112,877		33,593		962			
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a											
recurring basis	\$	262,662	\$	122,951	\$	125,353	\$	14,358			
Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a											
recurring basis											
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable	¢	(2)	Φ		Φ		¢	(2)			
Institutional notes	\$	(2)	\$		\$		\$	(2)			
Equity linked notes		(10)						(10)			
Total other policyholder funds and benefits											
payable		(12)						(12)			
Derivative liabilities [3]		(214)				56		(270)			
Consumer notes [4]		(5)						(5)			
Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	(231)	\$		\$	56	\$	(287)			

[1] Includes

over-the-counter

derivative

instruments in a

net asset value

position which

may require the

counterparty to

pledge collateral

to the Company.

As of

December 31,

2009, \$149 of a

cash collateral

liability was netted

against the

derivative asset

value in the

Condensed

Consolidated

Balance Sheet and

is excluded from

the table above.

See footnote 3

below for

derivative

liabilities.

[2] As of

December 31,

2009, excludes

approximately

\$3 billion of

investment sales

receivable that are

not subject to fair

value accounting.

[3] Includes

over-the-counter

derivative

instruments in a

net negative

market value

position

(derivative

liability). In the

Level 3

roll-forward table

included below in

this Note 4, the

derivative asset and liability are referred to as freestanding derivatives and are presented on a net basis.

[4] Represents
embedded
derivatives
associated with
non-funding
agreement-backed
consumer equity
linked notes.

Determination of Fair Values

The valuation methodologies used to determine the fair values of assets and liabilities under the exit price notion reflect market-participant objectives and are based on the application of the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes relevant observable market inputs over unobservable inputs. The Company determines the fair values of certain financial assets and financial liabilities based on quoted market prices where available and where prices represent a reasonable estimate of fair value. The Company also determines fair value based on future cash flows discounted at the appropriate current market rate. Fair values reflect adjustments for counterparty credit quality, the Company s default spreads, liquidity and, where appropriate, risk margins on unobservable parameters. The following is a discussion of the methodologies used to determine fair values for the financial instruments listed in the above tables.

20

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

Available-for-Sale Securities, Fixed Maturities, FVO, Equity Securities, Trading, and Short-term Investments

The fair value of AFS securities, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, trading, and short-term investments in an active and orderly market (e.g. not distressed or forced liquidation) is determined by management after considering one of three primary sources of information: third-party pricing services, independent broker quotations or pricing matrices. Security pricing is applied using a waterfall approach whereby publicly available prices are first sought from third-party pricing services, the remaining unpriced securities are submitted to independent brokers for prices, or lastly, securities are priced using a pricing matrix. Based on the typical trading volumes and the lack of quoted market prices for fixed maturities, third-party pricing services will normally derive the security prices from recent reported trades for identical or similar securities making adjustments through the reporting date based upon available market observable information as outlined above. If there are no recently reported trades, the third-party pricing services and independent brokers may use matrix or model processes to develop a security price where future cash flow expectations are developed based upon collateral performance and discounted at an estimated market rate. Included in the pricing of ABS and RMBS are estimates of the rate of future prepayments of principal over the remaining life of the securities. Such estimates are derived based on the characteristics of the underlying structure and prepayment speeds previously experienced at the interest rate levels projected for the underlying collateral. Actual prepayment experience may vary from these estimates.

Prices from third-party pricing services are often unavailable for securities that are rarely traded or are traded only in privately negotiated transactions. As a result, certain securities are priced via independent broker quotations which utilize inputs that may be difficult to corroborate with observable market based data. Additionally, the majority of these independent broker quotations are non-binding.

A pricing matrix is used to price private placement securities for which the Company is unable to obtain a price from a third-party pricing service by discounting the expected future cash flows from the security by a developed market discount rate utilizing current credit spreads. Credit spreads are developed each month using market based data for public securities adjusted for credit spread differentials between public and private securities which are obtained from a survey of multiple private placement brokers. The appropriate credit spreads determined through this survey approach are based upon the issuer s financial strength and term to maturity, utilizing an independent public security index and trade information and adjusting for the non-public nature of the securities. For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, the Company compared the results of the private placement pricing model to actual trades, as well as to third party broker quotes and determined that the pricing model results were consistent with market observable data for investment grade private placement securities. As a result, the Company reclassified investment grade private placement securities from Level 3 to Level 2. Below investment grade private placement securities remain classified as Level 3.

The Company performs a monthly analysis of the prices and credit spreads received from third parties to ensure that the prices represent a reasonable estimate of the fair value. As a part of this analysis, the Company considers trading volume and other factors to determine whether the decline in market activity is significant when compared to normal activity in an active market, and if so, whether transactions may not be orderly considering the weight of available evidence. If the available evidence indicates that pricing is based upon transactions that are stale or not orderly, the Company places little, if any, weight on the transaction price and will estimate fair value utilizing an internal pricing model. This process involves quantitative and qualitative analysis and is overseen by investment and accounting professionals. Examples of procedures performed include, but are not limited to, initial and on-going review of third-party pricing services methodologies, review of pricing statistics and trends, back testing recent trades, and monitoring of trading volumes, new issuance activity and other market activities. In addition, the Company ensures that prices received from independent brokers represent a reasonable estimate of fair value through the use of internal and external cash flow models developed based on spreads, and when available, market indices. As a result of this analysis, if the Company determines that there is a more appropriate fair value based upon the available market data, the price received from the third party is adjusted accordingly. The Company s internal pricing model utilizes the

Company s best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at a rate of return that a market participant would require. The significant inputs to the model include, but are not limited to, current market inputs, such as credit loss assumptions, estimated prepayment speeds and market risk premiums.

The Company has analyzed the third-party pricing services—valuation methodologies and related inputs, and has also evaluated the various types of securities in its investment portfolio to determine an appropriate fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity and the observability of market inputs. Most prices provided by third-party pricing services are classified into Level 2 because the inputs used in pricing the securities are market observable. Due to a general lack of transparency in the process that brokers use to develop prices, most valuations that are based on brokers—prices are classified as Level 3. Some valuations may be classified as Level 2 if the price can be corroborated with observable market data.

21

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued) Derivative Instruments, including embedded derivatives within investments

Derivative instruments are fair valued using pricing valuation models that utilize independent market data inputs, quoted market prices for exchange-traded derivatives, or independent broker quotations. Excluding embedded and reinsurance related derivatives, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 98% and 97%, respectively, of derivatives, based upon notional values, were priced by valuation models or quoted market prices. The remaining derivatives were priced by broker quotations. The Company performs a monthly analysis on derivative valuations which includes both quantitative and qualitative analysis. Examples of procedures performed include, but are not limited to, review of pricing statistics and trends, back testing recent trades, analyzing the impacts of changes in the market environment, and review of changes in market value for each derivative including those derivatives priced by brokers.

The Company utilizes derivative instruments to manage the risk associated with certain assets and liabilities. However, the derivative instrument may not be classified with the same fair value hierarchy level as the associated assets and liabilities. Therefore the realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives reported in Level 3 may not reflect the offsetting impact of the realized and unrealized gains and losses of the associated assets and liabilities.

Valuation Techniques and Inputs for Investments

Generally, the Company determines the estimated fair value of its AFS securities, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, trading, and short-term investments using the market approach. The income approach is used for securities priced using a pricing matrix, as well as for derivative instruments. For Level 1 investments, which are comprised of on-the-run U.S. Treasuries, exchange-traded equity securities, short-term investments, and exchange traded futures and option contracts, valuations are based on observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

For most of the Company s debt securities, the following inputs are typically used in the Company s pricing methods: reported trades, benchmark yields, bids and/or estimated cash flows. For securities, except U.S. Treasuries, inputs also include issuer spreads, which may consider credit default swaps. Derivative instruments are valued using mid-market inputs that are predominantly observable in the market.

A description of additional inputs used in the Company s Level 2 and Level 3 measurements is listed below:

Level 2 The fair values of most of the Company s Level 2 investments are determined by management after considering prices received from third party pricing services. These investments include most fixed maturities and preferred stocks, including those reported in separate account assets.

ABS, CDOs, CMBS and RMBS Primary inputs also include monthly payment information, collateral performance, which varies by vintage year and includes delinquency rates, collateral valuation loss severity rates, collateral refinancing assumptions, credit default swap indices and, for ABS and RMBS, estimated prepayment rates.

Corporates Primary inputs also include observations of credit default swap curves related to the issuer.

Foreign government/government agencies Primary inputs also include observations of credit default swap curves related to the issuer and political events in emerging markets.

Municipals Primary inputs also include Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board reported trades and material event notices, and issuer financial statements.

Short-term investments Primary inputs also include material event notices and new issue money market rates.

Equity securities, trading Consist of investments in mutual funds. Primary inputs include net asset values obtained from third party pricing services.

Credit derivatives Significant inputs primarily include the swap yield curve and credit curves.

Foreign exchange derivatives Significant inputs primarily include the swap yield curve, currency spot and forward rates, and cross currency basis curves.

Interest rate derivatives Significant input is primarily the swap yield curve.

22

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

Level 3 Most of the Company's securities classified as Level 3 are valued based on brokers prices. Certain long-dated securities are priced based on third party pricing services, including municipal securities and foreign government/government agencies, as well as bank loans and below investment grade private placement securities. Primary inputs for these long-dated securities are consistent with the typical inputs used in Level 1 and Level 2 measurements noted above, but include benchmark interest rate or credit spread assumptions that are not observable in the marketplace. Also included in Level 3 are certain derivative instruments that either have significant unobservable inputs or are valued based on broker quotations. Significant inputs for these derivative contracts primarily include the typical inputs used in the Level 1 and Level 2 measurements noted above, but also may include the following:

Credit derivatives Significant unobservable inputs may include credit correlation and swap yield curve and credit curve extrapolation beyond observable limits.

Equity derivatives Significant unobservable inputs may include equity volatility.

Interest rate contracts Significant unobservable inputs may include swap yield curve extrapolation beyond observable limits and interest rate volatility.

Separate Account Assets

Separate account assets are primarily invested in mutual funds but also have investments in fixed maturity and equity securities. The separate account investments are valued in the same manner, and using the same pricing sources and inputs, as the fixed maturity, equity security, and short-term investments of the Company.

23

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

The tables below provide fair value roll-forwards for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2010 and 2009, for the financial instruments classified as Level 3, excluding those related to the Company s living benefits and associated hedging programs, which are reported in Note 4a.

Roll-forward of Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended September 30, 2010

	Fair value as of	re	To ealized/u gains (includ	nre loss	ses)		urchases, ssuances,T	`ra	nsfers	Tr			Fair value as of	inc ne fi ins	anges in realized gains losses) cluded in t income clated to nancial truments
	June 30,	Net				and		in to		(out of	Se	eptember 30,	Se	ptember 30,
	guille e e,	i	income	(OCI				evel 3		evel 3				
Asset (Liability)	2010		[1]		[2]	se	ettlements		[3]		[3]		2010	2	010 [1]
Assets															
Fixed maturities, AFS					•								~ 00		
ABS	\$ 548		\$ (6)	\$	28		\$ (26)	\$		\$	(35)	\$		\$	
CDOs	2,778		(45)		110		(110)		15		(192)		2,556		(47)
CMBS	652		(23)		58		(32)		36		(78)		613		(34)
Corporate	8,816		(10)		74		(140)		5		(6,635)		2,110		(11)
Foreign govt./govt.	~ 1						(4)						~ 1		
agencies	51				1		(1)		1.1		(2.4)		51		
Municipal	317		1		14		(30)		11		(24)		289		(4)
RMBS	1,466		(4)		56		(243)				(3)		1,272		(4)
Total fixed maturities, AFS	14,628		(87)		341		(582)		67		(6,967)		7,400		(96)
Fixed maturities, FVO			48				(1)		453				500		48
Equity securities, AFS	80				(1))	7		14				100		
Freestanding derivatives			0.0				(2)								
Credit derivatives	(533)	80				(3)						(456)		79
Equity derivatives	(40	,	4										4		4
Interest rate derivatives	(49		(4)										(49)		1
Other derivative contracts	35		(1)										34		(1)
Total freestanding															
derivatives [4]	(547)	83				(3)						(467)		83
Separate accounts [5]	937		13				72		61		(6)		1,077		9

44

Liabilities

Other policyholder funds and benefits payable \$ 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 3 \$ Institutional notes 1 1 Equity linked notes (7) (1) (8) (1) Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable (5) (5) Other liabilities (16)(14)(30)Consumer notes (4) (4)

[1] All amounts in these columns are reported in net realized capital gains (losses) except for less than \$1, which is reported in benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses. All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits (DAC).

[2] OCI refers to
Other
comprehensive
income in the
Condensed
Consolidated
Statement of
Comprehensive
Income. All
amounts are before
income taxes and
amortization of
DAC.

[3] Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 are primarily attributable to the reclassification of

investment grade private placement securities, changes in the availability of market observable information, the re-evaluation of the observability of pricing inputs and the election of fair value option for investments containing an embedded credit derivative.

[4] Derivative

instruments are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.

[5] The

realized/unrealized gains (losses) included in net income for separate account assets are offset by an equal amount for separate account liabilities, which results in a net zero impact on net income for the Company.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)
Roll-forward of Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant
Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) for the nine months ended September 30, 2010

		Fair value	re		otal ınrea	nlized	Pui	rchases,					F	air value	ga i	Changes in unrealized ains (losses) ncluded in net income related to financial astruments	
		as of		gains (losses) included in:			issuances, Transfers Transfers							as of		still held at	
	J	as or anuary		inciuae		ieu III.		uances,	cs, 11 ansicis		Transicis		as of September			September	
	1,		•				and			in to out of Level 3 Level 3			30,			30,	
Asset (Liability)		2010		[1]	00	CI [2]	sett	lements		[3]	L	[3]		2010		2010 [1]	
Assets																	
Fixed maturities, AFS																	
ABS	\$	580	\$	(9)	\$	71	\$	(49)	\$	28	\$	(112)	\$	509	\$	(2)	
CDOs	Ψ	2,835	Ψ.	(130)	4	430		(177)	Ψ	42	Ψ	(444)	Ψ	2,556	Ψ	(137)	
CMBS		307		(137)		333		(55)		302		(137)		613		(108)	
Corporate		8,027		(2)		306		137		515		(6,873)		2,110		(4)	
Foreign govt./govt.																	
agencies		93				3		(9)		6		(42)		51			
Municipal		262		1		48		(5)		11		(28)		289		(22)	
RMBS		1,153		(38)		220		(37)				(26)		1,272		(33)	
Total fixed		100==		(24.5)				(405)		004		(7 (()		= 400		(20.4)	
maturities, AFS Fixed maturities,		13,257		(315)		1,411		(195)		904		(7,662)		7,400		(284)	
FVO				48				(1)		453				500		48	
Equity securities,				70				(1)		733				300		70	
AFS		58		(2)		8		16		20				100		(5)	
Freestanding																	
derivatives																	
Credit derivatives		(228)		60				2		(290)				(456)		59	
Equity derivatives		(2)		6										4		6	
Interest rate		_		1				(4.4)				(11)		(40)		(10)	
derivatives Other derivative		5		1				(44)				(11)		(49)		(19)	
contracts		36		(2)										34		(2)	
Contracts		50		(2)										34		(2)	
Total freestanding																	
derivatives [4]		(189)		65				(42)		(290)		(11)		(467)		44	

47

Separate accounts [5]	962	29		154	65	(133)	1,077	21
Liabilities Other policyholder funds and benefits payable Institutional notes Equity linked notes	\$ (2) \$ (10)	5 2	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	3 \$ (8)	5 2
Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable	(12)	7					(5)	7
Other liabilities Consumer notes	(5)	(19) 1			(11)		(30) (4)	1

- [1] All amounts in these columns are reported in net realized capital gains (losses) except for less than \$1, which is reported in benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses. All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.
- [2] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.
- [3] Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 are primarily attributable to the reclassification of investment grade private placement securities, changes in the availability of market observable information, the re-evaluation of the

observability of pricing inputs and the election of fair value option for investments containing an embedded credit derivative. Transfers in also include the consolidation of additional VIEs due to the adoption of new accounting guidance on January 1, 2010.

[4] Derivative

instruments are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.

[5] The

realized/unrealized gains
(losses) included in net income for separate account assets are offset by an equal amount for separate account liabilities, which results in a net zero impact on net income for the Company.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)
Roll-forward of Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant
Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended September 30, 2009

		Fair value as of	r	To ealized/u gains (includ	inre loss	es)		rchases, uances,	Tı	ransfers in and/or		air value as of eptember	ir	Changes in unrealized gains (losses) icluded in net income related to financial instruments still held at
	J	June 30,		Net		and			(out) of Level		30,	S	eptember 30,	
Asset (Liability)		2009	II	ncome [1]	o	CI [2]	seti	lements		3 [3]		2009		2009 [1]
Assets				-										
Fixed maturities, AFS	ф	502	ф	(20)	Φ	100	Ф	(26)	ф	10	ф	57.4	ф	(22)
ABS CDOs	\$	502	\$	(32) (218)	\$	122 436		(36) 35	\$		\$	574	\$	(32)
CMBS		2,562 198		(117)		171		(5)		(31) 211		2,784 458		(218) (117)
Corporate		6,530		(6)		587		80		(54)		7,137		(11)
Foreign govt./govt.		0,550		(0)		307		00		(31)		7,137		(11)
agencies		68		1		4		(3)		(2)		68		1
Municipal		214				13		29		7		263		
RMBS		1,353		(66)		158		(231)		(64)		1,150		(66)
Total fixed maturities, AFS Equity securities, AFS		11,427 228		(438) (4)		1,491)	(131) 1		85 16		12,434 236		(443)
Freestanding derivatives		(202)		40		_		1.1				(017)		5.4
[4] Separate accounts [5]		(282) 673		49 40		5		11 29		(24)		(217) 718		54 34
Separate accounts [5]		073		40				29		(24)		/10		34
Liabilities Other policyholder funds and benefits payable Institutional notes Equity linked notes	\$	2 (6)	\$	(9) (2)	\$		\$		\$		\$	(7) (8)	\$	(9) (2)
Equity mixed notes		(0)		(2)								(0)		(2)
Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable Consumer notes		(4) (4)		(11) (1)								(15) (5)		(11) (1)
<i>[11]</i>														

[1]

All amounts in these columns are reported in net realized capital gains/losses except for less than \$1 for the three months ended September 30, 2009, which is reported in benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses. All amounts are before income taxes and amortization DAC.

- [2] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.
- [3] Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 are attributable to a change in the availability of market observable information and re-evaluation of the observability of pricing inputs.
- [4] Derivative
 instruments are
 reported in this
 table on a net basis
 for asset/(liability)
 positions and
 reported in the
 Condensed
 Consolidated
 Balance Sheet in
 other investments
 and other
 liabilities.
- [5] The realized/unrealized gains

(losses) included in net income for separate account assets are offset by an equal amount for separate account liabilities, which results in a net zero impact on net income for the Company.

26

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)
Roll-forward of Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant
Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) for the nine months ended September 30, 2009

	Fair value as of anuary 1,	To ealized/u gains (includ Net ncome	ınre loss	ses)		rchases, suances, and	T	ransfers in and/or (out) f Level 3	air value as of eptember 30,	g in	Changes in unrealized sains (losses) cluded in net income related to financial instruments still held at eptember 30,
Asset (Liability)	2009	[1]	0	CI [2]	set	tlements	5	[3]	2009		2009 [1]
Assets											
Fixed maturities, AFS ABS CDOs CMBS Corporate	\$ 536 2,612 341 6,396	\$ (41) (313) (165) (66)	\$	158 534 199 994	\$	(35) (18) (13) 278	\$	(44) (31) 96 (465)	\$ 574 2,784 458 7,137	\$	(37) (312) (143) (38)
Foreign govt./govt. agencies Municipal RMBS	100 163 1,662	1 (235)		2 6 (86))	(13) 16 (130)		(22) 78 (61)	68 263 1,150		1 (150)
Total fixed maturities, AFS Equity securities, AFS Freestanding derivatives [4] Separate accounts [5]	11,810 541 (281) 786	(819) (5) 44 (82)		1,807 (6)		85 (1) 31 139		(449) (293) (6) (125)	12,434 236 (217) 718		(679) 63 (39)
Liabilities Other policyholder funds and benefits payable Institutional notes Equity linked notes	\$ (41) (8)	\$ 34	\$		\$		\$		\$ (7) (8)	\$	34
Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable Other derivative liabilities [6] Consumer notes	(49) (163) (5)	34 70				93			(15) (5)		34

- [1] All amounts in these columns are reported in net realized capital gains (losses) except for \$2 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, which is reported in benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses. All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.
- [2] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.
- [3] Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 are attributable to a change in the availability of market observable information and re-evaluation of the observability of pricing inputs.
- [4] Derivative instruments are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.

[5]

The realized/unrealized gains (losses) included in net income for separate account assets are offset by an equal amount for separate account liabilities, which results in a net zero impact on net income for the Company.

[6] On March 26, 2009, certain of the Allianz warrants were reclassified to equity, at their current fair value, as shareholder approval of the conversion of these warrants to common shares was received. See Note 21 of the Notes to Consolidated **Financial** Statements included in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report for further discussion.

27

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued) Fair Value Option

The Company elected the fair value option for its investments containing an embedded credit derivative which were not bifurcated as a result of adoption of new accounting guidance effective July 1, 2010. The underlying credit risk of these securities is primarily corporate bonds and commercial real estate. The Company elected the fair value option given the complexity of bifurcating the economic components associated with the embedded credit derivative. Similar to other fixed maturities, income earned from these securities is recorded in net investment income. Changes in the fair value of these securities are recorded in net realized capital gains and losses.

The Company previously elected the fair value option for one of its consolidated VIEs in order to apply a consistent accounting model for the VIE s assets and liabilities. The VIE is an investment vehicle that holds high quality investments, derivative instruments that references third-party corporate credit and issues notes to investors that reflect the credit characteristics of the high quality investments and derivative instruments. The risks and rewards associated with the assets of the VIE inure to the investors. The investors have no recourse against the Company. As a result, there has been no adjustment to the market value of the notes for the Company s own credit risk. Electing the fair value option for the VIE resulted in lowering other liabilities with an offsetting impact to the cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings of \$232, representing the difference between the fair value and outstanding principal of the notes as of January 1, 2010.

The following table presents the changes in fair value of those assets and liabilities accounted for using the fair value option reported in net realized capital gains and losses in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	Three M End Septem 201	led ber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010		
Assets					
Fixed maturities, FVO					
ABS	\$	1	\$	3	
CRE CDOs		44		44	
Corporate		4			
Other liabilities					
Credit-linked notes		(14)		(19)	
Total realized capital gains	\$	35	\$	28	

The following table presents the fair value of assets and liabilities accounted for using the fair value option included in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	September 30, 2010				
Assets					
Fixed maturities, FVO					
ABS	\$ 64				
CRE CDOs	236				
Corporate	260				
RMBS	4				

Total fixed maturities, FVO	\$ 564
Other liabilities	
Credit-linked notes [1]	\$ 30
[1] As of	
September 30,	
2010, the	
outstanding	
principal	
balance of the	
notes was \$243.	
Not included in	
the table above	
was \$270 of	
derivative	
instruments held	
in one of the	
Company s	
consolidated	
VIEs which is	
included in the	
Company s	
Condensed	
Consolidated	
Balance Sheets.	
28	

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued) Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value

The following table presents carrying amounts and fair values of The Hartford s financial instruments not carried at fair value and not included in the above fair value discussion as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

		Septembe	r 30,	2010	December	r 31, 2	31, 2009	
	Carrying Fair Amount Value				arrying mount	Fair Value		
Assets								
Policy loans	\$	2,180	\$	2,328	\$ 2,174	\$	2,321	
Mortgage loans		4,684		4,728	5,938		5,091	
Liabilities								
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable [1]	\$	11,486	\$	11,845	\$ 12,330	\$	12,513	
Senior notes [2]		4,880		5,110	4,054		4,037	
Junior subordinated debentures [2]		1,723		2,466	1,717		2,338	
Consumer notes [3]		380		394	1,131		1,194	

[1] Excludes

guarantees on

variable

annuities, group

accident and

health and

universal life

insurance

contracts,

. 1 1.

including

corporate owned life

insurance.

[2] Included in

long-term debt

in the

Condensed

Consolidated

Balance Sheets,

except for

current

maturities.

which are

included in

short-term debt.

[3]

Excludes amounts carried at fair value and included in disclosures above.

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, included in other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are carrying amounts of \$234 and \$273, respectively, for deposits and \$60 and \$78, respectively, for Federal Home Loan Bank advances related to Federal Trust Corporation. These carrying amounts approximate fair value.

The Company has not made any changes in its valuation methodologies for the following assets and liabilities since December 31, 2009.

Fair value for policy loans and consumer notes were estimated using discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates.

Fair values for mortgage loans were estimated using discounted cash flow calculations based on current lending rates for similar type loans. Current lending rates reflect changes in credit spreads and the remaining terms of the loans.

Fair values for other policyholder funds and benefits payable, not carried at fair value, are determined by estimating future cash flows, discounted at the current market rate.

Fair values for senior notes and junior subordinated debentures are based primarily on market quotations from independent third-party pricing services.

29

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4a. Fair Value Measurements Guaranteed Living Benefits

These disclosures provide information as to the extent to which the Company uses fair value to measure financial instruments related to variable annuity product guaranteed living benefits and the related variable annuity hedging program and information about the inputs used to value those financial instruments to allow users to assess the relative reliability of the measurements. The following tables present assets and (liabilities) related to the guaranteed living benefits program carried at fair value by hierarchy level.

			0	30, 20)10			
	Total		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		_	iificant ervable	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
						puts		
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis		Total	,	(Level 1)	(Le	evel 2)	(1	Level 3)
Variable annuity hedging derivatives Macro hedge program Reinsurance recoverable for U.S. GMWB	\$	162 587 458	\$	1	\$	(16) 185	\$	178 401 458
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	1,207	\$	1	\$	169	\$	1,037
Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis Other policyholder funds and benefits payable U.S. guaranteed withdrawal benefits International guaranteed withdrawal benefits International other guaranteed living benefits Variable annuity hedging derivatives	\$	(2,541) (64) 1 352	\$		\$	(30)	\$	(2,541) (64) 1 382
Macro hedge program Total liabilities accounted for at fair value		11				()		11
on a recurring basis	\$	(2,241)	\$		\$	(30)	\$	(2,211)
			Qu	December oted Prices				
				n Active arkets for		ificant ervable	Significant Unobservable	

			December	December 31, 2009					
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for	Significant Observable	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)				
	To	otal	Identical Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)					
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis Variable annuity hedging derivatives	\$	9	\$	\$	\$	9			

Macro hedge program Reinsurance recoverable for U.S. GMWB	203 347	8	16	179 347
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 559	\$ 8	\$ 16	\$ 535
Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis Other policyholder funds and benefits payable				
U.S. guaranteed withdrawal benefits International guaranteed withdrawal benefits International other guaranteed living benefits Variable annuity hedging derivatives Macro hedge program	\$ (1,957) (45) 2 43 115	\$ (2)	\$ (184) 6	\$ (1,957) (45) 2 227 111
Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ (1,842)	\$ (2)	\$ (178)	\$ (1,662)

30

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4a. Fair Value Measurements Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

Product Derivatives

The Company currently offers certain variable annuity products with GMWB riders in the U.S., and formerly offered such products in the U.K. and Japan. The GMWB represents an embedded derivative in the variable annuity contract. When it is determined that (1) the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, and (2) a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is bifurcated from the host for measurement purposes. The embedded derivative is carried at fair value, with changes in fair value reported in net realized capital gains and losses. The Company s GMWB liability is reported in other policyholder funds and benefits payable in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In valuing the embedded derivative, the Company attributes to the derivative a portion of the expected fees to be collected over the expected life of the contract from the contract holder equal to the present value of future GMWB claims (the Attributed Fees). The excess of fees collected from the contract holder in the current period over the current period s Attributed Fees are associated with the host variable annuity contract and reported in fee income.

U.S. GMWB Reinsurance Derivative

The Company has reinsurance arrangements in place to transfer a portion of its risk of loss due to GMWB. These arrangements are recognized as derivatives and carried at fair value in reinsurance recoverables. Changes in the fair value of the reinsurance agreements are reported in net realized capital gains and losses.

The fair value of the U.S. GMWB reinsurance derivative is calculated as an aggregation of the components described in the Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued discussion below and is modeled using significant unobservable policyholder behavior inputs, identical to those used in calculating the underlying liability, such as lapses, fund selection, resets and withdrawal utilization and risk margins.

Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued (in Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable)

Fair values for GMWB and guaranteed minimum accumulation benefit (GMAB) contracts are calculated using the income approach based upon internally developed models because active, observable markets do not exist for those items. The fair value of the Company s guaranteed benefit liabilities, classified as embedded derivatives, and the related reinsurance and customized freestanding derivatives is calculated as an aggregation of the following components: Best Estimate Claim Payments; Credit Standing Adjustment; and Margins. The resulting aggregation is reconciled or calibrated, if necessary, to market information that is, or may be, available to the Company, but may not be observable by other market participants, including reinsurance discussions and transactions. The Company believes the aggregation of these components, as necessary and as reconciled or calibrated to the market information available to the Company, results in an amount that the Company would be required to transfer or receive, for an asset, to or from market participants in an active liquid market, if one existed, for those market participants to assume the risks associated with the guaranteed minimum benefits and the related reinsurance and customized derivatives. The fair value is likely to materially diverge from the ultimate settlement of the liability as the Company believes settlement will be based on our best estimate assumptions rather than those best estimate assumptions plus risk margins. In the absence of any transfer of the guaranteed benefit liability to a third party, the release of risk margins is likely to be reflected as realized gains in future periods net income. Each component described below is unobservable in the marketplace and requires subjectivity by the Company in determining their value.

Best Estimate

Claim Payments

The Best Estimate Claim Payments is calculated based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows, including the present value of benefits and related contract charges, over the lives of the contracts, incorporating expectations concerning policyholder behavior such as lapses, fund selection, resets and withdrawal utilization. For the customized derivatives, policyholder behavior is prescribed in the derivative contract. Because of the dynamic and complex nature of these cash flows, best estimate assumptions and a Monte Carlo stochastic process is used in valuation. The Monte Carlo stochastic process involves the generation of thousands of

scenarios that assume risk neutral returns consistent with swap rates and a blend of observable implied index volatility levels. Estimating these cash flows involves numerous estimates and subjective judgments regarding a number of variables including expected market rates of return, market volatility, correlations of market index returns to funds, fund performance, discount rates and assumptions about policyholder behavior which emerge over time.

At each valuation date, the Company assumes expected returns based on:

risk-free rates as represented by the eurodollar futures, LIBOR deposits and swap rates to derive forward curve rates;

market implied volatility assumptions for each underlying index based primarily on a blend of observed market implied volatility data;

correlations of historical returns across underlying well known market indices based on actual observed returns over the ten years preceding the valuation date; and

three years of history for fund indexes compared to separate account fund regression.

31

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4a. Fair Value Measurements Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

As many guaranteed benefit obligations are relatively new in the marketplace, actual policyholder behavior experience is limited. As a result, estimates of future policyholder behavior are subjective and based on analogous internal and external data. As markets change, mature and evolve and actual policyholder behavior emerges, management continually evaluates the appropriateness of its assumptions for this component of the fair value model.

On a daily basis, the Company updates capital market assumptions used in the GMWB liability model such as interest rates and equity indices. On a weekly basis, the blend of implied equity index volatilities is updated. The Company continually monitors various aspects of policyholder behavior and may modify certain of its assumptions, including living benefit lapses and withdrawal rates, if credible emerging data indicates that changes are warranted. At a minimum, all policyholder behavior assumptions are reviewed and updated, as appropriate, in conjunction with the completion of the Company s comprehensive study to refine its estimate of future gross profits during the third quarter of each year.

Credit Standing Adjustment

This assumption makes an adjustment that market participants would make, in determining fair value, to reflect the risk that guaranteed benefit obligations or the GMWB reinsurance recoverables will not be fulfilled (nonperformance risk). As a result of sustained volatility in the Company's credit default spreads, during 2009 the Company changed its estimate of the Credit Standing Adjustment to incorporate a blend of observable Company and reinsurer credit default spreads from capital markets, adjusted for market recoverability. Prior to the first quarter of 2009, the Company calculated the Credit Standing Adjustment by using default rates published by rating agencies, adjusted for market recoverability. The credit standing adjustment assumption, net of reinsurance and exclusive of the impact of the credit standing adjustment on other market sensitivities, resulted in a pre-tax realized gain (loss) of \$(23) and \$(80), for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$32 and \$56 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Margins

The behavior risk margin adds a margin that market participants would require, in determining fair value, for the risk that the Company s assumptions about policyholder behavior could differ from actual experience. The behavior risk margin is calculated by taking the difference between adverse policyholder behavior assumptions and best estimate assumptions.

Assumption updates, including policyholder behavior assumptions, affected best estimates and margins for a total pre-tax realized gain (loss) of \$163 and \$(126) for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$163 and \$306 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Assumption updates for the three months ended September 30, 2010, primarily related to decreasing withdrawal and lapse rates.

In addition to the non-market-based updates described above, the Company recognized non-market-based updates driven by the relative outperformance of the underlying actively managed funds as compared to their respective indices resulting in pre-tax realized gains of approximately \$28 and \$119, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$70 and \$510 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4a. Fair Value Measurements Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

The tables below provide fair value roll forwards for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, for the financial instruments related to the Guaranteed Living Benefits Program classified as Levels 1, 2 and 3.

Roll-forward of Financial Instruments related to the Guaranteed Living Benefits Program Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the three months ended September 30, 2010

		rea	alized/un	real		Pur	chases,			Fa	air value	unre gains inclu net i rela fina	nges in calized (losses) ded in ncome ted to nncial
	as of	included in:				issu	ances,T	'ransfél				still held at September	
Jι	ine 30,		ncome	0	CI			in to	of	50	30,	30,	
	2010	L	[6]					3	3		2010	2010	[1] [2]
\$	(91) 928	\$	(89) (295)	\$		\$	134 (73)	\$	\$	\$	(46) 560	\$	[4] (241)
	837		(384)				61				514		[4]
	550		(101)				9				458		(101)
	(3,148)		639				(32)				(2,541)		639
	(72)		16		(4)		(4)				(64)		16
	(1 922)		170		(4)		24				(1.622)		[4]
	(1,833)		170		(4)		34				(1,033)		[4]
	190 663		(165) (278)				161 27				186 412		[4] (278)
	853		(443)				188				598		[4]
	Ju \$	as of June 30, 2010 \$ (91) 928 837 550 (3,148) (72) (1,833) 190 663	value reads as of June 30, in [2010] \$ (91) \$ 928	value as of as of as of 30, include Net 30, income [1] [2] 2010 [6] y (91) \$ (89) 928 (295) 837 (384) 550 (101) (3,148) 639 (72) 16 (1,833) 170 190 (165) 663 (278)	value realized/unreal gains (losses included in Net Income [1] [2] Oc. June 30, income [1] [2] O. 2010 [6] [2] \$ (91) \$ (89) \$ 928 (295) 837 (384) 550 (101) (3,148) 639 (72) 16 190 (165) 663 (278)	value realized/unrealized gains (losses) included in: Net June 30, income [1] [2] OCI 2010 [6] [2] \$ (91) \$ (89) \$ 928 (295) 837 (384) 550 (101) (3,148) 639 (72) 16 (4) (1,833) 170 (4) 190 (165) 663 (278)	value realized/unrealized Purgains (losses) as of included in: issumed in included in: issumed income inc	value realized/unrealized Purchases, gains (losses) as of included in: Net issuances, and income [1] [2] OCI settlements 2010 [6] [2] [3] \$ (91) \$ (89) \$ \$ 134 928 (295) (73) \$ 37 (384) 61 61 9 (3,148) 639 (32) (72) 16 (4) (4) (1,833) 170 (4) 34 190 (165) 663 (278) 161 663 (278) 27	value realized/unrealized Purchases, gains (losses) as of included in: Net Net issuances, Transfél Net June 30, income [1] [2] OCI settlements Level 2010 [6] [2] [3] 3 \$ (91) \$ (89) \$ \$ 134 \$ 928 (295) (73) \$ 37 (384) 61 550 (101) 9 (3,148) 639 (32) (72) 16 (4) (4) (1,833) 170 (4) 34 190 (165) 161 663 (278) 27	value realized/unrealized Purchases, gains (losses) as of included in: Net Net Included in: Net Net Included in: Net Out Includ	value realized/unrealized Purchases, gains (losses) Fragains (losses) as of included in: Net Net IJune 30, income [1] [2] OCI settlements Level Level 2010 [6] [2] [3] 3 3 and in to of Level Level Level 2010 [6] [2] [3] 3 3 \$ (91) \$ (89) \$ \$ 134 \$ \$ \$ \$ 928 (295) \$ 134 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	value Feair value gains (losses) as of included in: Net issuances; ransfél*sansfers sas of out out of 30, september June 30, [1] [2] income [1] [2] and in to of of Octor 30, level 2010 [6] [2] [3] 3 3 2010 \$ (91) \$ (89) \$ 134 \$ \$ \$ (46) 560 \$ 37 (384) 61 \$ 514 550 \$ (3148) 639 (32) \$ (2,541) (72) 16 (4) (4) (4) (64) (1,833) 170 (4) 34 (1,633) 190 (165) 161 186 663 (278) 27 412	Fair Total Fair Total Fair Total Fair Total Total Fair Fair

65

International other guaranteed living benefits

Level 3 (1) 3 (1) 1 3

[1] The Company classifies gains and losses on GMWB reinsurance derivatives and Guaranteed Living Benefit embedded derivatives as unrealized gains (losses) for purposes of disclosure in this table because it is impracticable to track on a contract-by-contract basis the realized gains (losses) for these derivatives and embedded derivatives.

- [2] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.
- [3] The Purchases, issuances, and settlements primarily relates to the receipt of cash on futures and option contracts classified as Level 1 and interest rate, currency and credit default swaps classified as Level 2. For GMWB reinsurance and guaranteed withdrawal benefits, purchases, issuances and settlements represent the reinsurance premium

paid and the attributed fees collected, respectively.

- [4] Disclosure of changes in unrealized gains (losses) is not required for Levels 1 and 2. Information presented is for Level 3 only.
- [5] The variable annuity hedging derivatives and the macro hedge program derivatives are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.
- [6] Includes both market and non-market impacts in deriving realized and unrealized gains (losses).

33

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4a. Fair Value Measurements Guaranteed Living Benefits Program (continued)
Roll-forward of Financial Instruments related to the Guaranteed Living Benefits Program Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2010

		Fair value	To realized/u	nrealize	d Pu	ırchases,			F	air value	gains (inclu- net in relat fina	ges in alized (losses) ded in acome ted to aments
	J	as of anuary 1,	gains (losses) included in: Net income [1] [2] OCI			suances,T And ttlements	in to Level	out of Level	September 30,		still held at September 30, 2010 [1] [2]	
Asset (Liability) Variable annuity hedging derivatives [5] Levels 1 and 2	\$	2010 (184)	[6]	[2] \$	\$	[3]	3 \$	\$	\$	2010 (46)	2010	[4]
Level 3		236	244			80				560	\$	261
Total variable annuity hedging derivatives Reinsurance recoverable		52	278			184				514		[4]
for GMWB U.S. guaranteed withdrawal benefits Leve	-1	347	84			27				458		84
3 International guaranteed withdrawal benefits Leve		(1,957)	(481)			(103)				(2,541)		(481)
3	<i>7</i> 1	(45)	(8)	(4	1)	(7)				(64)		(8)
Total Guaranteed withdrawal benefits net of reinsurance and hedging derivatives		(1,603)	(127)	(4	1)	101				(1,633)		[4]
Macro hedge program [5] Levels 1 and 2 Level 3		28 290	(73) (137)			231 259				186 412		[4] (117)
Total macro hedge program		318	(210)			490				598		[4]
		2	1			(2)				1		1

International other guaranteed living benefits Level 3

[1] The Company classifies gains and losses on GMWB reinsurance derivatives and Guaranteed Living Benefit embedded derivatives as unrealized gains (losses) for purposes of disclosure in this table because it is impracticable to track on a contract-by-contract basis the realized gains (losses) for these derivatives and embedded derivatives.

- [2] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.
- [3] The Purchases, issuances, and settlements primarily relates to the receipt of cash on futures and option contracts classified as Level 1 and interest rate, currency and credit default swaps classified as Level 2. For GMWB reinsurance and guaranteed withdrawal benefits, purchases, issuances and settlements represent the reinsurance premium paid and the

attributed fees collected, respectively.

- [4] Disclosure of changes in unrealized gains (losses) is not required for Levels 1 and 2. Information presented is for Level 3 only.
- [5] The variable annuity hedging derivatives and the macro hedge program derivatives are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.
- [6] Includes both market and non-market impacts in deriving realized and unrealized gains (losses).

34

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4a. Fair Value Measurements Guaranteed Living Benefits Program (continued)
Roll-forward of Financial Instruments related to the Guaranteed Living Benefits Program Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the three months ended September 30, 2009

	Fair value as of June 30,	gains (l include Net income	nrealized osses) ed in:	l Purchases, issuances, and	Transfers in and/or (out)	\$ air value as of ptember 30,	Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at
Asset (Liability)	2009	[1] [2] [6]	OCI [2]	settlements [3]	of Level 3	2009	2009 [1] [2]
Variable annuity hedging derivatives [5] Levels 1 and 2 Level 3	\$ (167) 1,022) \$ (268)	\$	\$ 309 (302)		\$ (126) 510	[4]
Total variable annuity hedging derivatives Reinsurance recoverable for	855	(478)		7		384	[4]
GMWB U.S. guaranteed withdrawal	632	(103)		9		538	(103)
benefits Level 3 International guaranteed	(3,289)) 383		(38))	(2,944)	383
withdrawal benefits Level 3	3 (57)) 8		(2))	(51)	8
Total Guaranteed withdrawal benefits net of reinsurance and hedging derivatives	(1,859)) (190)		(24)	,	(2,073)	[4]
Macro hedge program [5] Levels 1 and 2 Level 3	28 113	` ′		130 379		61 261	[4] (231)
Total macro hedge program	141	(328)		509		322	[4]
International other guaranteed living benefits Level 3	2	1				3	1

[1]

The Company classifies gains and losses on GMWB reinsurance derivatives and Guaranteed Living Benefit embedded derivatives as unrealized gains (losses) for purposes of disclosure in this table because it is impracticable to track on a contract-by-contract basis the realized gains (losses) for these derivatives and embedded derivatives.

- [2] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.
- [3] The Purchases, issuances, and settlements primarily relates to the receipt of cash on futures and option contracts classified as Level 1 and interest rate, currency and credit default swaps classified as Level 2. For GMWB reinsurance and guaranteed withdrawal benefits, purchases, issuances and settlements represent the reinsurance premium paid and the attributed fees collected, respectively.

- [4] Disclosure of changes in unrealized gains (losses) is not required for Levels 1 and 2. Information presented is for Level 3 only.
- [5] The variable annuity hedging derivatives and the macro hedge program derivatives are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.
- [6] Includes both market and non-market impacts in deriving realized and unrealized gains (losses).

35

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4a. Fair Value Measurements Guaranteed Living Benefits Program (continued)
Roll-forward of Financial Instruments related to the Guaranteed Living Benefits Program Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2009

		Fair value as of anuary 1,	Tota ealized/un gains (lo include Net income	real osses)		archases, suances, and	Fransfers in and/or (out) of	air value as of eptember 30,	in in s	Changes in increalized sins (losses) included in net income related to financial astruments till held at ptember 30,
Asset (Liability) Variable annuity hedging		2009	[1] [2] [6]		CI 2]	set	tlements [3]	Level 3	2009	2	009 [1] [2]
derivatives [5] Levels 1 and 2 Level 3	\$	27 2,637	\$ (782) (1,096)	\$		\$	629 (1,031)	\$	\$ (126) 510	\$	[4] (838)
Total variable annuity hedging derivatives Reinsurance recoverable		2,664	(1,878)				(402)		384		[4]
for GMWB U.S. guaranteed withdrawal benefits Leve	el	1,302	(788)				24		538		(788)
International guaranteed withdrawal benefits Leve	.1	(6,526)	3,683				(101)		(2,944)		3,683
3	<i>,</i> 1	(94)	53		(3)		(7)		(51)		53
Total Guaranteed withdrawal benefits net of reinsurance and hedging derivatives		(2,654)	1,070		(3)		(486)		(2,073)		[4]
Macro hedge program [5] Levels 1 and 2 Level 3		137	(254) (438)				315 562		61 261		[4] (438)
Total macro hedge program		137	(692)				877		322		[4]

International other guaranteed living benefits Level 3

Level 3 5 (2) 3 5

[1] The Company classifies gains and losses on GMWB reinsurance derivatives and Guaranteed Living Benefit embedded derivatives as unrealized gains (losses) for purposes of disclosure in this table because it is impracticable to track on a contract-by-contract basis the realized gains (losses) for these derivatives and embedded derivatives.

[2] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.

[3] The Purchases, issuances, and settlements primarily relates to the receipt of cash on futures and option contracts classified as Level 1 and interest rate, currency and credit default swaps classified as Level 2. For GMWB reinsurance and guaranteed withdrawal benefits, purchases, issuances and settlements represent the reinsurance premium paid and the

attributed fees collected, respectively.

- [4] Disclosure of changes in unrealized gains (losses) is not required for Levels 1 and 2. Information presented is for Level 3 only.
- [5] The variable annuity hedging derivatives and the macro hedge program derivatives are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.
- [6] Includes both market and non-market impacts in deriving realized and unrealized gains (losses).

36

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments

Significant Investment Accounting Policies

Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The Company deems debt securities and certain equity securities with debt-like characteristics (collectively debt securities) to be other-than-temporarily impaired (impaired) if a security meets the following conditions: a) the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, or b) the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and amortized cost basis of the security. For those impaired debt securities which do not meet the first condition and for which the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, the difference between the security s amortized cost basis and the fair value is separated into the portion representing a credit other-than-temporary impairment (impairment), which is recorded in net realized capital losses, and the remaining impairment, which is recorded in OCI. Generally, the Company determines a security s credit impairment as the difference between its amortized cost basis and its best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security s effective yield prior to impairment. The remaining non-credit impairment, which is recorded in OCI, is the difference between the security s fair value and the Company s best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security s effective yield prior to the impairment, which typically represents current market liquidity and risk premiums. The previous amortized cost basis less the impairment recognized in net realized capital losses becomes the security s new cost basis. The Company accretes the new cost basis to the estimated future cash flows over the expected remaining life of the security by prospectively adjusting the security s yield, if necessary. The following table presents the change in non-credit impairments recognized in OCI as disclosed in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

	1	Three Mon Septem			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2010	20	09 [1]		2010	20	09 [1]
OTTI losses recognized in OCI	\$	(31)	\$	(224)	\$	(403)	\$	(472)
Changes in fair value and/or sales		114		137		591		236
Tax and deferred acquisition costs		(39)		36		(91)		60
Change in non-credit impairments recognized in OCI	\$	44	\$	(51)	\$	97	\$	(176)

[1] The Company adopted the other-than-temporary impairment guidance as of April 1, 2009.

The Company s evaluation of whether a credit impairment exists for debt securities includes but is not limited to, the following factors: (a) changes in the financial condition of the security s underlying collateral, (b) whether the issuer is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments, (c) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (d) the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost of the security and (e) the payment structure of the security. The Company s best estimate of expected future cash flows used to determine the credit loss amount is a quantitative and qualitative process that incorporates information received from third-party sources along with certain internal assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the security. The Company s best estimate of future cash flows involves assumptions including, but not limited to, various performance indicators, such as historical and projected default and recovery rates, credit ratings, current delinquency rates, and loan-to-value ratios. In addition, for structured securities, the Company considers factors including, but not limited to, average cumulative collateral loss rates that vary by vintage year, commercial and residential property value declines that vary by property type and location and commercial real estate delinquency

levels. These assumptions require the use of significant management judgment and include the probability of issuer default and estimates regarding timing and amount of expected recoveries which may include estimating the underlying collateral value. In addition, projections of expected future debt security cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the issuer and/or underlying collateral such as changes in the projections of the underlying property value estimates.

For equity securities where the decline in the fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and cost basis of the security. The previous cost basis less the impairment becomes the security s new cost basis. The Company asserts its intent and ability to retain those equity securities deemed to be temporarily impaired until the price recovers. Once identified, these securities are systematically restricted from trading unless approved by a committee of investment and accounting professionals (Committee). The Committee will only authorize the sale of these securities based on predefined criteria that relate to events that could not have been reasonably foreseen. Examples of the criteria include, but are not limited to, the deterioration in the issuer s financial condition, security price declines, a change in regulatory requirements or a major business combination or major disposition.

The primary factors considered in evaluating whether an impairment exists for an equity security include, but are not limited to: (a) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost of the security, (b) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (c) whether the issuer is current on contractually obligated payments and (d) the intent and ability of the Company to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery.

37

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

	ŗ	Three Mon Septem			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
(Before-tax)		2010		2009		2010		2009	
Gross gains on sales	\$	179	\$	205	\$	654	\$	570	
Gross losses on sales		(88)		(104)		(293)		(1,013)	
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings		(115)		(536)		(375)		(1,074)	
Valuation allowances on mortgage loans		(7)		(40)		(159)		(193)	
Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [1]		11		(7)		22		28	
Periodic net coupon settlements on credit									
derivatives/Japan		(4)		(7)		(15)		(39)	
Results of variable annuity hedge program									
GMWB derivatives, net		170		(190)		(127)		1,070	
Macro hedge program		(443)		(328)		(210)		(692)	
Total results of variable annuity hedge program		(273)		(518)		(337)		378	
Other, net [2]		36		(212)		(23)		(473)	
Net realized capital losses	\$	(261)	\$	(1,219)	\$	(526)	\$	(1,816)	

[1] Relates to derivative hedging instruments, excluding periodic net coupon settlements, and is net of the Japanese fixed annuity product liability adjustment for changes in the dollar/yen exchange spot rate.

[2] Primarily
consists of
losses on Japan
3Win related
foreign currency
swaps, changes

in fair value on non-qualifying derivatives and fixed maturities, FVO, and other investment gains and losses.

Net realized capital gains (losses) from investment sales, after deducting the life and pension policyholders—share for certain products, are reported as a component of revenues and are determined on a specific identification basis. Net realized capital gains (losses) on sales and impairments previously reported as unrealized losses in AOCI were \$24 and \$14 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and \$435 and \$1.5 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Proceeds from sales of AFS securities totaled \$13.7 billion and \$35.8 billion, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, and \$6.2 billion and \$34.3 billion, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Losses

The following table presents a roll-forward of the Company s cumulative credit impairments on debt securities held. The Company adopted the impairment guidance as of April 1, 2009.

	Three Mon Septem	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
(Before-tax)	2010	2009		2010			2009
Balance as of beginning of period	\$ (2,281)	\$	(1,578)	\$	(2,200)	\$	(1,320)
Additions for credit impairments recognized on							
[1]:							
Securities not previously impaired	(19)		(315)		(183)		(527)
Securities previously impaired	(52)		(180)		(143)		(229)
Reductions for credit impairments previously							
recognized on:							
Securities that matured or were sold during the							
period	224		28		378		28
Securities that the Company intends to sell or more							
likely than not will be required to sell before							
recovery							3
Securities due to an increase in expected cash							
flows	10		2		30		2
Balance as of end of period	\$ (2,118)	\$	(2,043)	\$	(2,118)	\$	(2,043)

[1] These additions are included in the net OTTI losses recognized in earnings in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued) Available-for-Sale Securities

		Septe	ember 30, 2	2010	December 31, 2009							
	Cost or	Gross	Gross		Non-	Cost or	Gross	Gross		Non-		
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair		Amortized	Unrealize	Unrealized	Fair	Credit		
			_		OTTI			_		OTTI		
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	[1]	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	[1]		
ABS	\$ 3,341	\$ 75	\$ (407)	\$ 3,009	\$ (22)	\$ 3,040	\$ 36	\$ (553)	\$ 2,523	\$ (48)		
CDOs	3,114	16	(567)	2,563	(90)	4,054	27	(1,189)	2,892	(174)		
CMBS	8,776	301	(917)	8,160	(3)	10,736	114	(2,306)	8,544	(6)		
Corporate [2]	38,368	3,244	(702)	40,851	(4)	35,318	1,368	(1,443)	35,243	(23)		
Foreign												
govt./govt.												
agencies	1,773	162	(11)	1,924		1,376	52	(20)	1,408			
Municipal	12,235	596	(108)	12,723		12,125	318	(378)	12,065	(3)		
RMBS	5,919	156	(524)	5,551	(132)	5,512	104	(769)	4,847	(185)		
U.S.			` ,		, ,			, ,		, ,		
Treasuries	4,958	75	(78)	4,955		3,854	14	(237)	3,631			
75 4 1 e* 1												
Total fixed maturities,												
AFS	78,484	4,625	(3,314)	79,736	(251)	76,015	2,033	(6,895)	71,153	(439)		
	70,404	4,025	(3,314)	19,130	(231)	70,015	2,033	(0,093)	/1,155	(439)		
Equity												
securities,	1 222	76	(140)	1 160		1 222	90	(102)	1 221			
AFS	1,232	76	(140)	1,168		1,333	80	(192)	1,221			
Total AFS												
securities	\$79,716	\$ 4,701	\$ (3,454)	\$80,904	\$ (251)	\$77,348	\$ 2,113	\$ (7,087)	\$72,374	\$ (439)		

[1] Represents the amount of cumulative non-credit OTTI losses recognized in OCI on securities that also had credit impairments. These losses are included in gross unrealized losses as of September 30, 2010 and

December 31, 2009.

[2] Gross

unrealized gains
(losses) exclude
the fair value of
bifurcated
embedded
derivative
features of
certain
securities.
Subsequent
changes in
value will be
recorded in net
realized capital
gains (losses).

	September 30, 2010								
	Amortized								
Contractual Maturity One year or less Over one year through five years Over five years through ten years Over ten years Subtotal Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities Total fixed maturities AFS	Cost	Fa	air Value						
One year or less	\$ 1,894	\$	1,912						
Over one year through five years	16,649)	17,564						
Over five years through ten years	14,668	}	15,836						
Over ten years	24,123	}	25,141						
Subtotal	57,334	ļ	60,453						
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities	21,150)	19,283						
One year or less Over one year through five years Over five years through ten years Over ten years Subtotal	\$ 78,484	1 \$	79,736						

Estimated maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to security call or prepayment provisions. Due to the potential for variability in payment spreads (i.e. prepayments or extensions), mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are not categorized by contractual maturity.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Available-for-Sale Securities Unrealized Loss Aging

The following tables present the Company s unrealized loss aging for AFS securities by type and length of time the security was in a continuous unrealized loss position.

	September 30, 2010 Less Than 12 Months 12 Months or More Total											
		han 12	Months	12 N	Ionths or	More	Total					
	Cost or			Cost or			Cost or					
	Amortized	Fair	Unrealized		Fair	Unrealized	Unrealized Amortized		Unrealized			
	Cost	Value	Losses	Cost	Value	Losses	Cost	Fair Value	Losses			
ABS	\$ 204	\$ 186	\$ (18)	\$ 1,479	\$ 1,090	\$ (389)	\$ 1,683	\$ 1,276	\$ (407)			
CDOs	317	279	(38)	2,763	2,234	(529)	3,080	2,513	(567)			
CMBS	251	238	(13)	4,531	3,627	(904)	4,782	3,865	(917)			
Corporate [1]	1,531	1,418	(107)	4,710	4,062	(595)	6,241	5,480	(702)			
Foreign												
govt./govt.												
agencies	35	34	(1)	75	65	(10)	110	99	(11)			
Municipal	319	315	(4)	1,139	1,035	(104)	1,458	1,350	(108)			
RMBS	1,064	1,041	(23)	1,693	1,192	(501)	2,757	2,233	(524)			
U.S. Treasuries	764	762	(2)	575	499	(76)	1,339	1,261	(78)			
Total fixed maturities,												
AFS	4,485	4,273	(206)	16,965	13,804	(3,108)	21,450	18,077	(3,314)			
Equity												
securities, AFS	66	61	(5)	789	654	(135)	855	715	(140)			
Total AFS securities in an unrealized loss		\$4,334	\$ (211)	\$ 17,754	\$ 14,458	\$ (3,243)	\$ 22,305	\$ 18,792	\$ (3,454)			

[1] Unrealized

losses exclude

the fair value of

bifurcated

embedded

derivative

features of

certain

securities.

Subsequent

changes in

value will be

recorded in net

realized capital

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

gains (losses).

	December 31, 2009 Less Than 12 Months 12 Months or More Total										
	Cost or	111411 12 1	Tonting	Cost or		1VIOI C	Cost or				
	Amortized	Fair	Unrealized		Fair	Unrealized		Fair	Unrealized		
	Cost	Value	Losses	Cost	Value	Losses	Cost	Value	Losses		
ABS	\$ 445	\$ 376	\$ (69)	\$ 1,574	\$ 1,090	\$ (484)	\$ 2,019	\$ 1,466	\$ (553)		
CDOs	1,649	1,418	(231)	2,388	1,430	(958)	4,037	2,848	(1,189)		
CMBS	1,951	1,628	(323)	6,330	4,347	(1,983)	8,281	5,975	(2,306)		
Corporate	5,715	5,314	(401)	6,675	5,633	(1,042)	12,390	10,947	(1,443)		
Foreign											
govt./govt.											
agencies	543	530	(13)	43	36	(7)	586	566	(20)		
Municipal	2,339	2,283	(56)	2,184	1,862	(322)	4,523	4,145	(378)		
RMBS	855	787	(68)	1,927	1,226	(701)	2,782	2,013	(769)		
U.S. Treasuries	2,592	2,538	(54)	648	465	(183)	3,240	3,003	(237)		
Total fixed maturities,											
AFS Equity	16,089	14,874	(1,215)	21,769	16,089	(5,680)	37,858	30,963	(6,895)		
securities, AFS	419	356	(63)	676	547	(129)	1,095	903	(192)		
Total AFS securities in an unrealized	\$ 16,508	¢ 15 220	¢ (1 279)	\$ 22 <i>445</i>	\$ 16 624	¢ (5 900)	¢ 29 052	¢ 21 944	¢ (7.097)		
loss	Ф 10,508	\$ 15,230	\$ (1,278)	\$ 22,445	\$ 16,636	\$ (5,809)	\$ 38,953	\$ 31,866	\$ (7,087)		

As of September 30, 2010, AFS securities in an unrealized loss position, comprised of 2,197 securities, primarily related to CMBS, corporate securities primarily within the financial services sector, CDOs and RMBS which have experienced significant price deterioration. As of September 30, 2010, 70% of these securities were depressed less than 20% of cost or amortized cost. The decline in unrealized losses during 2010 was primarily attributable to declining interest rates and, to a lesser extent, credit spread tightening. The Company neither has an intention to sell nor does it expect to be required to sell the securities outlined above.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

${\bf 5.\ Investments\ and\ Derivative\ Instruments\ (continued)}$

Mortgage Loans

	September 30, 2010							December 31, 2009					
	ortized ost [1]		luation owance		arrying Value		ortized ost [1]		luation owance		rrying Value		
Agricultural	\$ 350	\$	(22)	\$	328	\$	604	\$	(8)	\$	596		
Commercial	4,321		(139)		4,182		5,492		(358)		5,134		
Residential	177		(3)		174		208				208		
Total mortgage loans	\$ 4,848	\$	(164)	\$	4,684	\$	6,304	\$	(366)	\$	5,938		

[1] Amortized cost represents carrying value prior to valuation allowances, if

Included in the table above, are mortgage loans held-for-sale with a carrying value and valuation allowance of \$107 and \$14, respectively, as of September 30, 2010, and \$209 and \$98, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. The carrying value of these loans is included in mortgage loans in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010. The following table presents the activity within the Company s valuation allowance for mortgage loans.

	2	2010		
Balance as of January 1	\$	(366)	\$	(26)
Additions		(159)		(198)
Deductions		361		48
Balance as of September 30	\$	(164)	\$	(176)

As of September 30, 2010, deductions of \$361 primarily relate to sales of B-Note participations and mezzanine loans with a carrying value at time of sale of \$699.

Mortgage Loans by Region

	September 30, 2010					r 31, 2009
	Carrying Value		Percent of	Carrying Value		Percent of
			Total			Total
East North Central	\$	77	1.6%	\$	125	2.1%
Middle Atlantic		432	9.2%		689	11.6%
Mountain		112	2.4%		138	2.3%
New England		390	8.3%		449	7.6%
Pacific		1,182	25.2%		1,377	23.2%
South Atlantic		1,188	25.4%		1,213	20.4%

Total mortgage loans	\$ 4,684	100.0%	\$ 5,938	100.0%
Other [1]	1,024	21.9%	1,599	26.9%
West South Central	242	5.2%	297	5.0%
West North Central	37	0.8%	51	0.9%

[1] Primarily
represents loans
collateralized by
multiple
properties in
various regions.

Mortgage Loans by Property Type

	September 30, 2010				December	31, 2009	
	Ca	arrying	Percent of	Ca	rrying	Percent of	
	•	Value	Total	1	Value	Total	
Agricultural	\$	328	7.0%	\$	596	10.0%	
Industrial		1,144	24.4%		1,068	18.0%	
Lodging		167	3.6%		421	7.1%	
Multifamily		820	17.5%		835	14.1%	
Office		1,011	21.6%		1,727	29.1%	
Residential		174	3.7%		208	3.5%	
Retail		655	14.0%		712	12.0%	
Other		385	8.2%		371	6.2%	
Total mortgage loans	\$	4,684	100.0%	\$	5,938	100.0%	

41

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Variable Interest Entities

The Company is involved with various special purpose entities and other entities that are deemed to be VIEs primarily as a collateral manager and as an investor through normal investment activities, as well as a means of accessing capital. A VIE is an entity that either has investors that lack certain essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or lacks sufficient funds to finance its own activities without financial support provided by other entities.

The Company performs ongoing qualitative assessments of its VIEs to determine whether the Company has a controlling financial interest in the VIE and therefore is the primary beneficiary. The Company is deemed to have a controlling financial interest when it has both the ability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Based on the Company s assessment, if it determines it is the primary beneficiary, the Company consolidates the VIE in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. *Consolidated VIEs*

The following table presents the carrying value of assets and liabilities, and the maximum exposure to loss relating to the VIEs for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. Creditors have no recourse against the Company in the event of default by these VIEs nor does the Company have any implied or unfunded commitments to these VIEs. The Company s financial or other support provided to these VIEs is limited to its investment management services and original investment. As a result of accounting guidance adopted on January 1, 2010, certain CDO VIEs were consolidated in 2010 and are included in the following table, while in prior periods they were reported in the Non-Consolidated VIEs table further below. See Note 1 for further information on the adoption.

		S	Septem	ber 30, 20	10			Ι	December 31, 2009				
					Max	ximum					Max	ximum	
	T	'otal	T	otal	Exp	osure	T	'otal		Total	Exp	osure	
			Lia	bilities	to	Loss			Li	abilities			
	A	ssets		[1]		[2]	A	ssets		[1]	to Lo	oss [2]	
CDOs [3]	\$	768	\$	404	\$	334	\$	226	\$	32	\$	196	
Limited partnerships		14		1		13		31		1		30	
Other investments [3]								111		20		87	
Total	\$	782	\$	405	\$	347	\$	368	\$	53	\$	313	

[1] Included in other liabilities in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

[2] The maximum
exposure to loss
represents the
maximum loss
amount that the
Company could

recognize as a reduction in net investment income or as a realized capital loss and is the cost basis of the Company s investment.

[3] Total assets

included in fixed maturities, AFS, and fixed maturities, FVO, in the Company s

Consolidated

Balance Sheets.

CDOs represent structured investment vehicles for which the Company has a controlling financial interest as it provides collateral management services, earns a fee for those services and also holds investments in the securities issued by these vehicles. Limited partnerships represent a hedge fund for which the Company holds a majority interest in the fund as an investment. Other investments represent an investment trust for which the Company has a controlling financial interest as it provides investment management services, earns a fee for those services and also holds investments in the securities issued by the trusts. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, the Company liquidated this investment trust.

42

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Non-Consolidated VIEs

The following table presents the carrying value of assets and liabilities, and the maximum exposure to loss relating to significant VIEs for which the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The Company has no implied or unfunded commitments to these VIEs.

		Se	010			D	December 31, 2009					
	As	Assets Lial			Maximum Exposure Liabilities to Loss			ssets	Liab	oilities	Maximur Exposure s to Loss	
CDOs [1]	\$		\$		\$		\$	262	\$		\$	273
Other [2]		34		32		4		36		36		5
Total	\$	34	\$	32	\$	4	\$	298	\$	36	\$	278

[1] Maximum
exposure to loss
represents the
Company s
investment in
securities issued
by CDOs at
cost.

[2] Maximum
exposure to loss
represents
issuance costs
that were
incurred to
establish a
contingent

capital facility.

Other represents the Company s variable interest in a contingent capital facility (facility), which has been held for less than four years. For further information on the facility, see Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report. The Company does not have a controlling financial interest as it does not manage the assets of the facility nor does it have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the facility, as the asset manager has significant variable interest in the vehicle. The Company s financial or other support provided to the facility is limited to providing ongoing support to cover the facility s operating expenses.

In addition, the Company, through normal investment activities, makes passive investments in structured securities issued by VIEs for which the Company is not the manager which are included in ABS, CDOs, CMBS and RMBS in the Available-for-Sale Securities table and fixed maturities, FVO, in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company has not provided financial or other support with respect to these investments other than its original investment. For these investments, the Company determined it is not the primary beneficiary due to the relative size of the Company s investment in comparison to the principal amount of the structured securities issued by

the VIEs, the level of credit subordination which reduces the Company s obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits and the Company s inability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIEs. The Company s maximum exposure to loss on these investments is limited to the amount of the Company s investment.

43

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes a variety of over-the-counter and exchange traded derivative instruments as a part of its overall risk management strategy, as well as to enter into replication transactions. Derivative instruments are used to manage risk associated with interest rate, equity market, credit spread, issuer default, price, and currency exchange rate risk or volatility. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would otherwise be permissible investments under the Company s investment policies. The Company also purchases and issues financial instruments and products that either are accounted for as free-standing derivatives, such as certain reinsurance contracts, or may contain features that are deemed to be embedded derivative instruments, such as the GMWB rider included with certain variable annuity products.

Cash flow hedges

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps are primarily used to convert interest receipts on floating-rate fixed maturity securities or interest payments on floating-rate guaranteed investment contracts to fixed rates. These derivatives are predominantly used to better match cash receipts from assets with cash disbursements required to fund liabilities.

The Company also enters into forward starting swap agreements to hedge the interest rate exposure related to the purchase of fixed-rate securities or the anticipated future cash flows of floating-rate fixed maturity securities due to changes in interest rates. These derivatives are primarily structured to hedge interest rate risk inherent in the assumptions used to price certain liabilities.

Forward rate agreements

Forward rate agreements are used to convert interest receipts on floating-rate securities to fixed rates. These derivatives are used to lock in the forward interest rate curve and reduce income volatility that results from changes in interest rates. As of September 30, 2010, the Company does not have any forward rate agreements.

Foreign currency swaps

Foreign currency swaps are used to convert foreign currency-denominated cash flows related to certain investment receipts and liability payments to U.S. dollars in order to minimize cash flow fluctuations due to changes in currency rates.

Fair value hedges

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain fixed rate liabilities and fixed maturity securities due to fluctuations in interest rates.

Foreign currency swaps

Foreign currency swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain foreign currency-denominated fixed rate liabilities due to changes in foreign currency rates by swapping the fixed foreign payments to floating rate U.S. dollar denominated payments.

Non-qualifying strategies

Interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and futures

The Company uses interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and futures to manage duration between assets and liabilities in certain investment portfolios. In addition, the Company enters into interest rate swaps to terminate existing swaps, thereby offsetting the changes in value of the original swap. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the notional amount of interest rate swaps in offsetting relationships was \$7.1 billion and \$7.3 billion, respectively.

Foreign currency swaps, forwards and options

The Company enters into foreign currency swaps and forwards to convert the foreign currency exposures to U.S. dollars in certain of its foreign currency-denominated fixed maturity investments.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Japan 3Win related foreign currency swaps

Prior to the second quarter of 2009, The Company offered certain variable annuity products with a GMIB rider through a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary. The GMIB rider is reinsured to a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary, which invests in U.S. dollar denominated assets to support the liability. The U.S. subsidiary entered into pay U.S. dollar, receive yen forward contracts to hedge the currency and interest rate exposure between the U.S. dollar denominated assets and the yen denominated fixed liability reinsurance payments.

Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments

Prior to the second quarter of 2009, The Company offered a yen denominated fixed annuity product through a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary and reinsured to a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary. The U.S. subsidiary invests in U.S. dollar denominated securities to support the yen denominated fixed liability payments and entered into currency rate swaps to hedge the foreign currency exchange rate and yen interest rate exposures that exist as a result of U.S. dollar assets backing the yen denominated liability.

Japanese variable annuity hedging instruments

The Company enters into foreign currency forward and option contracts to hedge the foreign currency risk associated with certain Japanese variable annuity liabilities. Foreign currency risk may arise for some segments of the business where assets backing the statutory reserves are denominated in U.S. dollars while the liabilities are denominated in yen. Foreign currency risk may also arise when certain variable annuity policyholder accounts are invested in various currencies while the related GMDB and GMIB guarantees are effectively yen-denominated.

Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection

Credit default swaps are used to purchase credit protection on an individual entity or referenced index to economically hedge against default risk and credit-related changes in value on fixed maturity securities. These contracts require the Company to pay a periodic fee in exchange for compensation from the counterparty should the referenced security issuers experience a credit event, as defined in the contract.

Credit derivatives that assume credit risk

Credit default swaps are used to assume credit risk related to an individual entity, referenced index, or asset pool, as a part of replication transactions. These contracts entitle the Company to receive a periodic fee in exchange for an obligation to compensate the derivative counterparty should the referenced security issuers experience a credit event, as defined in the contract. The Company is also exposed to credit risk due to credit derivatives embedded within certain fixed maturity securities. These securities are primarily comprised of structured securities that contain credit derivatives that reference a standard index of corporate securities.

Credit derivatives in offsetting positions

The Company enters into credit default swaps to terminate existing credit default swaps, thereby offsetting the changes in value of the original swap going forward.

Equity index swaps, options, and futures

The Company offers certain equity indexed products, which may contain an embedded derivative that requires bifurcation. The Company enters into S&P index swaps, futures and options to economically hedge the equity volatility risk associated with these embedded derivatives.

Warrants

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company issued warrants to purchase the Company s Series C Non-Voting Contingent Convertible Preferred Stock, which were required to be accounted for as a derivative liability at December 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2009, the warrants were no longer required to be accounted for as derivatives and were reclassified to equity.

GMWB product derivatives

The Company offers certain variable annuity products with a GMWB rider in the U.S. and formerly in the U.K. and Japan. The GMWB is a bifurcated embedded derivative that provides the policyholder with a guaranteed remaining balance (GRB) if the account value is reduced to zero through a combination of market declines and withdrawals. The

GRB is generally equal to premiums less withdrawals. Certain contract provisions can increase the GRB at contractholder election or after the passage of time. The notional value of the embedded derivative is the GRB.

45

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

GMWB reinsurance contracts

The Company has entered into reinsurance arrangements to offset a portion of its risk exposure to the GMWB for the remaining lives of covered variable annuity contracts. Reinsurance contracts covering GMWB are accounted for as free-standing derivatives. The notional amount of the reinsurance contracts is the GRB amount.

GMWB hedging instruments

The Company enters into derivative contracts to partially hedge exposure associated with the portion of the GMWB liabilities that are not reinsured. These derivative contracts include customized swaps, interest rate swaps and futures, and equity swaps, options, and futures, on certain indices including the S&P 500 index, EAFE index, and NASDAQ index.

The following table represents notional and fair value for GMWB hedging instruments.

		Notiona	ount		Fair	Value	/alue		
	Se	ptember 30, 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009	-	tember 30, 2010	December 31, 2009		
Customized swaps	\$	9,886	\$	10,838	\$	393	\$	234	
Equity swaps, options, and futures		3,479		2,994		163		9	
Interest rate swaps and futures		2,619		1,735		(42)		(191)	
Total	\$	15,984	\$	15,567	\$	514	\$	52	

Macro hedge program

The Company utilizes equity options, currency options, and equity futures contracts to partially hedge against a decline in the equity markets or changes in foreign currency exchange rates and the resulting statutory surplus and capital impact primarily arising from guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB), GMIB and GMWB obligations. The following table represents notional and fair value for the macro hedge program.

		Notiona	al Amo					
	September 30, 2010			31, 2009	•	tember 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	
Equity options and futures Cross-currency equity options	\$	14,747 1,000	\$	25,373	\$	393 20	\$	296
Long currency options Short currency options		6,808 5,355		1,000 1,075		198 (13)		22
Total	\$	27,910	\$	27,448	\$	598	\$	318

GMAB product derivatives

The GMAB rider associated with certain of the Company s Japanese variable annuity products is accounted for as a bifurcated embedded derivative. The GMAB provides the policyholder with their initial deposit in a lump sum after a specified waiting period. The notional amount of the embedded derivative is the yen denominated GRB converted to U.S. dollars at the current foreign spot exchange rate as of the reporting period date.

Contingent capital facility put option

The Company entered into a put option agreement that provides the Company the right to require a third-party trust to purchase, at any time, The Hartford s junior subordinated notes in a maximum aggregate principal amount of \$500.

Under the put option agreement, The Hartford will pay premiums on a periodic basis and will reimburse the trust for certain fees and ordinary expenses.

46

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Derivative Balance Sheet Classification

The table below summarizes the balance sheet classification of the Company s derivative related fair value amounts, as well as the gross asset and liability fair value amounts. The fair value amounts presented do not include income accruals or cash collateral held amounts, which are netted with derivative fair value amounts to determine balance sheet presentation. Derivatives in the Company s separate accounts are not included because the associated gains and losses accrue directly to policyholders. The Company s derivative instruments are held for risk management purposes, unless otherwise noted in the table below. The notional amount of derivative contracts represents the basis upon which pay or receive amounts are calculated and is presented in the table to quantify the volume of the Company s derivative activity. Notional amounts are not necessarily reflective of credit risk.

	Net Derivatives					sset vatives	Liability Derivatives		
	Notional	Amount	Fair \	Value		Value	Fair V		
Hedge Designation/ Derivative Type	Sept. 30, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	
Cash flow hedges									
Interest rate swaps	\$ 10,193	\$ 11,170	\$ 433	\$ 123	\$ 433	\$ 294	\$	\$ (171)	
Forward rate agreements		6,355							
Foreign currency swaps	346	381	(3)	(3)	33	30	(36)	(33)	
Total cash flow hedges	10,539	17,906	430	120	466	324	(36)	(204)	
Fair value hedges									
Interest rate swaps	979	1,745	(89)	(21)		16	(89)	(37)	
Foreign currency swaps	696	696	(10)	(9)	66	53	(76)	(62)	
Total fair value hedges	1,675	2,441	(99)	(30)	66	69	(165)	(99)	
Non-qualifying strategies									
Interest rate contracts									
Interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and futures <i>Foreign exchange contracts</i>	7,939	8,355	(347)	(84)	505	250	(852)	(334)	
Foreign currency swaps and forwards	386	1,039	(10)	(13)	2	14	(12)	(27)	
Japan 3Win related foreign currency swaps	2,515	2,514	74	(19)			(1-)	(54)	
Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments Japanese variable annuity hedging	2,206	2,271	564	316	564	319		(3)	
instruments	1,395	257	39	(8)	42		(3)	(8)	
Credit contracts									
Credit derivatives that purchase credit	2.007	2 (0)	22	(50)	60	4.5	(20)	(0.5)	
protection	2,897	2,606	22	(50)			(38)	(95)	
Credit derivatives that assume credit risk [1]	2,695	1,158	(522)			2	(525)	(242)	
Credit derivatives in offsetting positions Equity contracts	6,618	6,176	(77)	(71)	122	185	(199)	(256)	
Equity index swaps, options, and futures Variable annuity hedge program	195	220	(8)	(16)	5	3	(13)	(19)	

GMWB product derivatives [2]	44,179	47,329	(2,605)	(2,002)			(2,605)	(2,002)
GMWB reinsurance contracts	9,141	10,301	458	347	458	347		
GMWB hedging instruments	15,984	15,567	514	52	660	264	(146)	(212)
Macro hedge program	27,910	27,448	598	318	626	558	(28)	(240)
Other								
GMAB product derivatives [2]	242	226	1	2	1	2		
Contingent capital facility put option	500	500	34	36	34	36		
Total non-qualifying strategies	124,802	125,967	(1,265)	(1,432)	3,156	2,060	(4,421)	(3,492)
Total cash flow hedges, fair value hedges, and non-qualifying strategies	\$ 137,016	\$ 146,314	\$ (934)	\$ (1,342)	\$ 3,688	\$ 2,453	\$ (4,622)	\$ (3,795)
Balance Sheet Location	·	·			·	·		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	\$ 763		. ,			\$	\$ (59)	. ,
Other investments	44,543	24,006	1,333	390	1,824	492	(491)	(102)
Other liabilities	38,050	64,061	(50)	(56)	1,405	1,612	(1,455)	(1,668)
Consumer notes	39	64	(4)	(5)			(4)	(5)
Reinsurance recoverables	9,141	10,301	458	347	458	347		
Other policyholder funds and benefits								
payable	44,480	47,613	(2,612)	(2,010)	1	2	(2,613)	(2,012)

\$137,016 \$146,314 \$ (934) \$(1,342) \$3,688 \$2,453 \$(4,622) \$(3,795)

[1] The derivative instruments related to these strategies are held for other investment purposes.

Total derivatives

[2] These
derivatives are
embedded
within liabilities
and are not held
for risk
management
purposes.

47

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Change in Notional Amount

The net decrease in notional amount of derivatives since December 31, 2009, was primarily due to the following: The Company terminated \$6.4 billion notional of forward rate agreements as a result of the sale of the hedged variable rate securities. The \$6.4 billion notional was comprised of a series of one month forward contracts that were hedging the variability of cash flows related to coupon payments on \$555 of variable rate securities for consecutive monthly periods during 2010.

The GMWB product derivative notional declined \$3.2 billion primarily as a result of policyholder lapses and withdrawals.

The notional amount related to credit derivatives that assume credit risk increased by \$1.5 billion as a result of the Company adding \$676 notional of exposure to a standard market basket of corporate issuers to manage credit spread duration, \$525 notional related to the bifurcation of certain embedded credit derivatives as a result of new accounting guidance, and \$353 related to the consolidation of a VIE as a result of new accounting guidance. See Adoption of New Accounting Standards in Note 1 for further discussion of the new accounting guidance on embedded credit derivatives and VIEs adopted during 2010.

Change in Fair Value

The change in the total fair value of derivative instruments since December 31, 2009, was primarily related to the following:

The increase in fair value of the macro hedge program is primarily due to appreciation of the Japanese yen and purchases of equity and currency options made during the first half of the year.

The increase in fair value related to the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments is primarily due to the U.S. dollar weakening in comparison to the Japanese yen.

The fair value related to credit derivatives that assume credit risk primarily decreased as a result of the Company adopting new accounting guidance related to the consolidation of VIEs; see Adoption of New Accounting Standards in Note 1. As a result of this new guidance, the Company has consolidated a Company sponsored CDO that included credit default swaps with a notional amount of \$353 and a fair value of \$(270) as of September 30, 2010. These swaps reference a basket of corporate issuers.

Cash Flow Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current earnings. All components of each derivative s gain or loss were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

The following table presents the components of the gain or loss on derivatives that qualify as cash flow hedges:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

		Gain (Loss)	Recognized
		i	n
Gain (Loss)	Recognized in		
	OCI	Income on	Derivative
on Deriva	tive (Effective		
Po	rtion)	(Ineffective	ve Portion)
Three		Three	Nine
Months	Nine Months	Months	Months
Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended

		September 30,		-	ember 0,	-	ember 0,	September 30,		
		2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Interest rate swaps	Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$ 182	\$ 156	\$ 542	\$ (310)	\$	\$	\$ 3	\$ (2)	
Foreign currency swaps	Net realized capital gains (losses)	(15)	(23)		(160)		17		56	
Total		\$ 167	\$ 133	\$ 542	\$ (470)	\$	\$ 17	\$ 3	\$ 54	

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into
Income
(Effective Portion)

				(l	Effective	ve Portion)					
		Thr	ee Mo	nths F	Ended	Nine Months Ended					
		September 30,					Septen	ıber î	30,		
		20)10	2	009	20	010	2009			
Interest rate swaps	Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$	7	\$		\$	11	\$	11		
Interest rate swaps	Net investment income (loss)		27		13		61		33		
Foreign currency swaps	Net realized capital gains (losses)		11		(31)		(5)		(102)		
Foreign currency swaps	Net investment income (loss)				1				2		
Total		\$	45	\$	(17)	\$	67	\$	(56)		

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the before-tax deferred net gains on derivative instruments recorded in AOCI that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months are \$110. This expectation is based on the anticipated interest payments on hedged investments in fixed maturity securities that will occur over the next twelve months, at which time the Company will recognize the deferred net gains (losses) as an adjustment to interest income over the term of the investment cash flows. The maximum term over which the Company is hedging its exposure to the variability of future cash flows (for forecasted transactions, excluding interest payments on existing variable-rate financial instruments) is three years.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company had no net reclassifications and less than \$1 of net reclassifications, respectively, from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring. For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company had no net reclassifications and \$1 of net reclassifications, respectively, from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring.

Fair Value Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings. The Company includes the gain or loss on the derivative in the same line item as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item. All components of each derivative s gain or loss were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness. The Company recognized in income gains (losses) representing the ineffective portion of fair value hedges as follows:

Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships

Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income [1]

								itteg	Sinzeu in income [1]								
			Thr	ee Mo	nths	Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,								
			5	Septen	nber	30,											
		20 1		•		200)9			201	10	•		200)9		
			H	edge			Не	edge			Не	edge			H	edge	
	Deri	ivative	It	tem	Der	ivative		em	Der	ivative	It	em	Deri	vative		tem	
Interest rate swaps																	
Net realized capital																	
gains (losses)	\$	(25)	\$	25	\$	(15)	\$	15	\$	(77)	\$	72	\$	51	\$	(47)	
Benefits, losses and		(-)	·		·	(-)	·		·	()			·		·		
loss adjustment																	
expenses		(1)		2		9		(9)		(3)		5		(33)		35	
Foreign currency		()				-		(-)		(-)				()			
swaps																	
Net realized capital																	
gains (losses)		44		(44)		(1)		1		4		(4)		46		(46)	
Benefits, losses and				()		(-)						(-)				(10)	
loss adjustment																	
expenses		(5)		5		2		(2)		(6)		6		2		(2)	
enpenses		(5)		3		2		(2)		(0)		O		_		(2)	
Total	\$	13	\$	(12)	\$	(5)	\$	5	\$	(82)	\$	79	\$	66	\$	(60)	

[1]

The amounts presented do not include the periodic net coupon settlements of the derivative or the coupon income (expense) related to the hedged item. The net of the amounts presented represents the ineffective portion of the hedge.

49

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Non-qualifying Strategies

For non-qualifying strategies, including embedded derivatives that are required to be bifurcated from their host contracts and accounted for as derivatives, the gain or loss on the derivative is recognized currently in earnings within net realized capital gains or losses. The following table presents the gain or loss recognized in income on non-qualifying strategies:

Non-qualifying Strategies Gain (Loss) Recognized within Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

		Three Mon Septem 2010		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009				
Interest rate contracts		2010	2007		2010		2007	
Interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and forwards	\$	50	\$ 3	\$	45	\$	23	
Foreign exchange contracts								
Foreign currency swaps, forwards, and swaptions		(21)	(30)		8		(52)	
Japan 3Win hedging derivatives [1]		84	128		93		18	
Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments [2]		160	178		301		60	
Japanese variable annuity hedging instruments		15	7		60		(12)	
Credit contracts							, ,	
Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection		(34)	(103)		4		(493)	
Credit derivatives that assume credit risk		113	51		100		128	
Equity contracts								
Equity index swaps, options, and futures		2	3		7		(2)	
Warrants							70	
Variable annuity hedge program								
GMWB product derivatives		655	391		(489)		3,736	
GMWB reinsurance contracts		(101)	(103)		84		(788)	
GMWB hedging instruments		(384)	(478)		278		(1,878)	
Macro hedge program		(443)	(328)		(210)		(692)	
Other								
GMAB product derivatives		3	1		1		5	
Contingent capital facility put option	(1) (1)			(3)	(6)			
Total	\$	98	\$ (281)	\$	279	\$	117	

[1] The associated liability is adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized capital gains and losses and was \$(114) and \$(150) for

the three months ended
September 30,
2010 and 2009,
respectively and
\$(210) and
\$(10) for the
nine months
ended
September 30,
2010 and 2009,
respectively.

liability is adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized capital gains and losses and was \$(140) and \$(176) for

[2] The associated

ended September 30, 2010 and 2009,

the three months

respectively,

and \$(258) and

\$(25) *for the*

nine months

ended

September 30,

2010 and 2009,

respectively.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily comprised of the following:

The net loss associated with the macro hedge program is primarily due to a higher equity market valuation, time decay, and lower implied market volatility.

The net gain on the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments is primarily due to the U.S. dollar weakening in comparison to the Japanese yen and a decrease in Japanese interest rates.

The gain for the three months ended September 30, 2010 related to the net GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives is primarily driven by liability model assumption updates and lower implied market volatility, partially offset by losses due to a general decrease in long-term rates. The loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 related to the net GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives is primarily driven by a general decrease in long-term interest rates, partially offset by gains on liability model assumption updates.

The net gain associated with credit derivatives that assume credit risk is primarily due to credit spreads tightening.

The net gain related to the Japan 3 Win hedging derivatives is primarily due to the strengthening of the Japanese yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar, partially offset by the decrease in U.S. long-term interest rates.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily comprised of the following:

The loss on the net GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives for the three months ended September 30, 2009, was primarily due to a general decrease in long-term interest rates, higher implied market volatility, and rising equity markets. Additional losses on the GMWB related derivatives beyond market impacts include liability model assumption updates and changes in credit standing, partially offset by gains due to the relative outperformance of the underlying actively managed funds as compared to their respective indices. The net gain on the net GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, was primarily due to lower implied market volatility and a general increase in long-term interest rates, partially offset by rising equity markets. Additional gains on GMWB related derivatives beyond market impacts include the relative outperformance of the underlying actively managed funds as compared to their respective indices, liability model assumption updates, and changes in credit standing. For further discussion on liability model assumption updates, refer to Note 4a.

The net loss associated with the macro hedge program was primarily due to higher equity market valuation and the impact of trading activity and to a lesser extent, time decay on foreign exchange rates.

The net gain on the Japanese fixed annuity and Japan 3Win hedging instruments for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Japanese yen.

The loss on credit derivatives that purchase credit protection and the net gain on credit derivatives that assume credit risk as a part of replication transactions resulted from credit spreads tightening.

Refer to Note 9 for additional disclosures regarding contingent credit related features in derivative agreements.

Credit Risk Assumed through Credit Derivatives

The Company enters into credit default swaps that assume credit risk of a single entity, referenced index, or asset pool in order to synthetically replicate investment transactions. The Company will receive periodic payments based on an agreed upon rate and notional amount and will only make a payment if there is a credit event. A credit event payment will typically be equal to the notional value of the swap contract less the value of the referenced security issuer—s debt obligation after the occurrence of the credit event. A credit event is generally defined as a default on contractually obligated interest or principal payments or bankruptcy of the referenced entity. The credit default swaps in which the Company assumes credit risk primarily reference investment grade single corporate issuers and baskets, which include trades ranging from baskets of up to five corporate issuers to standard and customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers. The diversified portfolios of corporate issuers are established within sector concentration limits and are typically divided into tranches that possess different credit ratings.

The following tables present the notional amount, fair value, weighted average years to maturity, underlying referenced credit obligation type and average credit ratings, and offsetting notional amounts and fair value for credit derivatives in which the Company is assuming credit risk as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

As of September 30, 2010

				Underlying Referenced				
			Weighted	Credit Obligation(s)	[1]			
			Average	Ave	AverageOffsetting			
Credit Derivative type by derivative	Notional	Fair	Years to	Cr	edit	Notional Offsetting		
							Fair	
	Amount					Amount	Value	
risk exposure	[2]	Value	Maturity	Type Ra	ting	[3]	[3]	
Single name credit default swaps								
Investment grade risk exposure	\$ 1,531	\$ (17)	4 years	A	4+	\$ 1,415	\$ (43)	

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 6,004	\$ (49)				\$ 3,309	\$ 12
Below investment grade risk exposure	525	444	6 years	Corporate Credit	BB		
Investment grade risk exposure	60	59	2 years	Corporate Credit	BBB		
Embedded credit derivatives							
Below investment grade risk exposure	1,227	(462)	4 years	Corporate Credit	BBB	25	1
Investment grade risk exposure	525	(75)	6 years	CMBS Credit	A-	525	75
Investment grade risk exposure	1,975	9	4 years	Corporate Credit	BBB+	1,224	(11)
Basket credit default swaps [4]							
Below investment grade risk exposure	161	(7)	3 years	Corporate Credit	BB-	120	(10)
				Corporate Credit/			

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) 5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

As of December 31, 2009

					Weighted Average Years	_	enced edit tion(s)] Average				
Credit Derivative type by derivative		otional mount	J	Fair	to		Credit		otional mount	Offsetting Fair	
risk exposure Single name credit default swaps	Α.	[2]	V	alue	Maturity	Type	Rating	73.	[3]		lue [3]
single name crous deraute sin aps						Corporate	.				
						Credit/					
	4	1.006	4		4	Foreign		4	4.004		(7 0)
Investment grade risk exposure	\$	1,226	\$	4	years 3	Gov. Corporate	AA-	\$	1,201	\$	(59)
Below investment grade risk exposure		156		(4)	years	Credit	B+		85		(12)
Basket credit default swaps [4]						~					
		2.052		(5 4)		Corporate			1 255		(21)
Investment grade risk exposure		2,052		(54)	years 7	Credit CMBS	BBB+		1,277		(21)
Investment grade risk exposure		525		(141)	•	Credit	A		525		141
						Corporate					
Below investment grade risk exposure Credit linked notes		200		(157)	years	Credit	BBB+				
					2	Corporate)				
Investment grade risk exposure		87		83	years	Credit	BBB+				
Total	\$	4,246	\$	(269)	1			\$	3,088	\$	49

[1] The average credit ratings are based on availability and the midpoint of the applicable ratings among Moody s, S&P, and Fitch. If no rating is available from a rating agency,

then an internally developed rating is used.

[2] Notional amount is equal to the maximum potential future loss amount. There is no specific collateral related to these contracts or recourse provisions included in the contracts to offset losses.

[3] The Company has entered into offsetting credit default swaps to terminate certain existing credit default swaps, thereby offsetting the future changes in value of, or losses paid related to, the original swap.

[4] Includes \$3.1 billion and \$2.5 billion as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, of standard market indices of diversified portfolios of corporate issuers

referenced through credit default swaps. These swaps are subsequently valued based upon the observable standard market index. Also includes \$628 and \$325 as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, of customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers referenced through credit default swaps.

6. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits

Changes in deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits were as follows:

	2010	2009	
Balance, January 1	\$ 10,686	\$ 13,248	
Deferred Costs	1,999	2,155	
Amortization DAC	(2,057)	(2,531)	
Amortization Unlock (charge) benefit, pre-tax [1]	30	(1,089)	
Adjustments to unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale and other			
[2] [3]	(1,462)	(692)	
Effect of currency translation	179	27	
Effect of new accounting guidance [3]	11	(78)	
Balance, September 30	\$ 9,386	\$ 11,040	

[1] The most significant contributors to the Unlock benefit recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were actual separate account returns from January 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010

being above the Company s aggregated estimated return, as well as assumption updates primarily related to decreasing lapse and withdrawal rates and lower hedge costs.

The most significant contributor to the Unlock charge recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was the result of actual separate account returns from October 1, 2008 to March 31, 2009 being significantly below our aggregated estimated return while the opposite was true from April 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009.

- [2] The 2010 adjustment reflects the effect of declining interest rates, resulting in unrealized gains on securities classified in AOCI.
- [3] For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 the effect of adopting new accounting guidance for investments *other-than-temporarily* impaired resulted in an increase to retained earnings and as a result a DAC charge. In addition, an offsetting amount was recorded in unrealized losses as unrealized losses increased upon

adoption of the new accounting guidance.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 the effect of adopting new accounting guidance for embedded credit derivatives resulted in a decrease to retained earnings and as a result a DAC benefit. In addition, an offsetting amount was recorded in unrealized losses as unrealized losses decreased upon adoption of the new accounting guidance.

52

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features

U.S. GMDB, International GMDB/GMIB, and UL Secondary Guarantee Benefits

Changes in the gross U.S. GMDB, International GMDB/GMIB, and UL secondary guarantee benefits are as follows:

			U.S.	Inter	national		U L ondary
		G	MDB	GMD	B/GMIB	Guai	rantees
Liability	gross as of January 1, 2010	\$	1,233	\$	599	\$	76
Incurred	<i>y</i> ,		183	·	87	·	29
Paid			(233)		(95)		
Unlock			(24)		(20)		(2)
	translation adjustment		(2.)		70		(=)
currency	dunification adjustment				, 0		
Liability	gross, as of September 30, 2010	\$	1,159	\$	641	\$	103
Reinsura	nce recoverable asset, as of January 1, 2010	\$	787	\$	52	\$	22
Unlock			(4)		(5)		
Other			(31)		(4)		6
Reinsura	nce recoverable asset, as of September 30, 2010	\$	752	\$	43	\$	28
							UL
			T	Inter	national	Seco	ondary
			U.S.	G1 575	D (C) 57D	~	
T 4 1 114.	4.4000		SMDB		B/GMIB		rantees
Liability	gross as of January 1, 2009	\$	870	\$	232	\$	40
Incurred			243		67		21
Paid			(387)		(93)		_
Unlock			519		339		5
Currency	translation adjustment				62		
Liability	gross, as of September 30, 2009	\$	1,245	\$	607	\$	66
Reinsura	nce recoverable asset, as of January 1, 2009	\$	593	\$	32	\$	16
Unlock			281		31		(1)
Other			(72)		(8)		5
Reinsura	nce recoverable asset, as of September 30, 2009	\$	802	\$	55	\$	20

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features (continued)

The following table provides details concerning GMDB and GMIB exposure as of September 30, 2010:

Breakdown of Individual Variable and Group Annuity Account Value by GMDB/GMIB Type

]	Retained Net	
			Net Amount		Amount		Weighted Average Attained Age
	A	Account	a	t Risk	at Risk (RNAR)		of
Maximum anniversary value (MAV) [1]		Value		NAR) [10]		[10]	Annuitant
MAV only	\$	24,880	\$	7,196	\$	1,963	68
With 5% rollup [2]		1,710		589		219	67
With Earnings Protection Benefit Rider (EPB) [3	3]	6,311		1,173		121	64
With 5% rollup & EPB		707		195		40	67
Total MAV		33,608		9,153		2,343	
Asset Protection Benefit (APB) [4]		27,097		4,319		2,775	65
Lifetime Income Benefit (LIB) Death Benefit	[5]	1,276		161		161	63
Reset [6] (5-7 years)		3,555		398		394	68
Return of Premium (ROP) [7]/Other		22,206		1,117		1,083	64
Subtotal U.S. GMDB [8] Less: General account value subject to U.S.		87,742	\$	15,148		6,756	66
GMDB		6,861					
Subtotal Separate Account Liabilities with							
U.S. GMDB		80,881					
Separate Account Liabilities without U.S. GMDB		73,338					
Total Separate Account Liabilities	\$	154,219					
Japan GMDB and GMIB [9]	\$	30,912	\$	8,569	\$	7,233	69

[1] MAV GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid and the highest AV on any anniversary before age 80 (adjusted for withdrawals).

[2] Rollup GMDB is the greatest of the MAV, current AV, net premium paid and premiums (adjusted for withdrawals) accumulated at generally 5% simple interest

up to the earlier of age 80 or 100% of adjusted premiums.

[3] EPB GMDB is

the greatest of the MAV, current AV, or contract value plus a percentage of the contract s growth. The contract s growth is AV less premiums net of withdrawals, subject to a cap of 200% of premiums net of withdrawals.

[4] APB GMDB is

the greater of current AV or MAV, not to exceed current AV plus 25% times the greater of net premiums and MAV (each adjusted for premiums in the past 12 months).

[5]

LIB GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid, or for certain contracts a benefit amount that ratchets over time, generally based on market performance.

[6] Reset GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid and the most recent five to seven year anniversary AV before age 80 (adjusted for withdrawals).

[7] ROP GMDB is the greater of current AV and net premiums paid.

[8] AV includes the contract holder s investment in the separate account and the general account.

[9] GMDB includes a ROP and MAV (before age 80) paid in a single lump sum. GMIB is a guarantee to return initial investment, adjusted for earnings

liquidity, which

allows for free

withdrawal of

earnings, paid

through a fixed

payout annuity,

after a minimum

deferral period

of 10, 15 or

20 years. The

GRB related to

the Japan GMIB

was

\$30.8 billion

and

\$28.6 billion as

of

September 30,

2010 and

December 31,

2009,

respectively.

The GRB

related to the

Japan GMAB

and GMWB was

\$695 and \$648

as of

September 30,

2010 and

December 31,

2009,

respectively.

These liabilities

are not included

in the Separate

Account as they

are not legally

insulated from

the general

account

liabilities of the

insurance

enterprise. As of

September 30,

2010, 60% of

the AV and 54%

of RNAR is

reinsured to a

Hartford

affiliate. NAR

increased due to lower equity markets during the second quarter, as well as the strengthening of the yen.

[10] NAR is defined

as the guaranteed benefit in excess of the current AV. RNAR represents NAR reduced for reinsurance. NAR and RNAR are highly sensitive to equity markets movements and increase when equity markets

decline.

Account balances of contracts with guarantees were invested in variable separate accounts as follows:

Asset type	As of Se	As of December 31, 2009		
Equity securities (including mutual funds) Cash and cash equivalents	\$	72,291 8,590	\$	75,720 9,298
Total	\$	80,881	\$	85,018

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, approximately 17% and 16%, respectively, of the equity securities above were invested in fixed income securities through these funds and approximately 83% and 84%, respectively, were invested in equity securities.

See Note 4a for a description of the Company s guaranteed living benefits and variable annuity hedging derivatives that are accounted for at fair value.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

8. Sales Inducements

Changes in deferred sales inducement activity were as follows for the nine months ended September 30:

	2010	2009		
Balance, January 1	\$ 438	\$	553	
Sales inducements deferred	20		48	
Amortization	(10)		(94)	
Amortization Unlock	(6)		(73)	
Balance, September 30	\$ 442	\$	434	

9. Commitments and Contingencies Litigation

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. Subject to the uncertainties discussed below under the caption Asbestos and Environmental Claims, management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of The Hartford.

The Hartford is also involved in other kinds of legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, putative state and federal class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, underpayment of claims or improper underwriting practices in connection with various kinds of insurance policies, such as personal and commercial automobile, property, life and inland marine; improper sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance and other investment products; and improper fee arrangements in connection with investment products. The Hartford also is involved in individual actions in which punitive damages are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims. Like many other insurers, The Hartford also has been joined in actions by asbestos plaintiffs asserting, among other things, that insurers had a duty to protect the public from the dangers of asbestos and that insurers committed unfair trade practices by asserting defenses on behalf of their policyholders in the underlying asbestos cases. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of The Hartford. Nonetheless, given the large or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these actions, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, an adverse outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Broker Compensation Litigation Following the New York Attorney General s filing of a civil complaint against Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., and Marsh, Inc. (collectively, Marsh) in October 2004 alleging that certain insurance companies, including The Hartford, participated with Marsh in arrangements to submit inflated bids for business insurance and paid contingent commissions to ensure that Marsh would direct business to them, private plaintiffs brought several lawsuits against the Company predicated on the allegations in the Marsh complaint, to which the Company was not party. Among these is a multidistrict litigation in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. There are two consolidated amended complaints filed in the multidistrict litigation, one related to conduct in connection with the sale of group benefits products. The Company and various of its subsidiaries are named in both complaints. The complaints assert, on behalf of a putative class of persons who purchased insurance through broker defendants, claims under the Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), state law, and in the case of

the group benefits complaint, claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). The claims are predicated upon allegedly undisclosed or otherwise improper payments of contingent commissions to the broker defendants to steer business to the insurance company defendants. The district court dismissed the Sherman Act and RICO claims in both complaints for failure to state a claim and has granted the defendants motions for summary judgment on the ERISA claims in the group-benefits products complaint. The district court further declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims and dismissed those claims without prejudice. The plaintiffs appealed the dismissal of the claims in both consolidated amended complaints, except the ERISA claims. In August 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit affirmed the dismissal of the Sherman Act and RICO claims against the Company. The Third Circuit vacated the dismissal of the Sherman Act and RICO claims against some defendants in the property casualty insurance case and vacated the dismissal of the state-law claims as to all defendants in light of the reinstatement of the federal claims. In September 2010, the district court entered final judgment for the defendants in the group benefits case. The defendants have moved to dismiss the remaining claims in the property casualty insurance case.

55

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

In September 2007, the Ohio Attorney General filed a civil action in Ohio state court alleging that certain insurance companies, including The Hartford, conspired with Marsh in violation of Ohio s antitrust statute. In September 2010, the Company reached an agreement in principle with the Ohio Attorney General s Office to resolve the dispute for \$100 thousand.

Investment and Savings Plan ERISA and Shareholder Securities Class Action Litigation In November and December 2008, following a decline in the share price of the Company's common stock, seven putative class action lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut on behalf of certain participants in the Company's Investment and Savings Plan (the Plan), which offers the Company's common stock as one of many investment options. These lawsuits have been consolidated, and a consolidated amended class-action complaint was filed on March 23, 2009, alleging that the Company and certain of its officers and employees violated ERISA by allowing the Plan's participants to invest in the Company's common stock and by failing to disclose to the Plan's participants information about the Company's financial condition. The lawsuit seeks restitution or damages for losses arising from the investment of the Plan's assets in the Company's common stock during the period from December 10, 2007 to the present. In January 2010, the district court denied the Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint. The Company disputes the allegations and intends to defend this action vigorously.

The Company and certain of its present or former officers are defendants in a putative securities class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in March 2010. The operative complaint, filed in October 2010, is brought on behalf of persons who acquired Hartford common stock during the period of July 28, 2008 through February 5, 2009, and alleges that the defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5, by making false or misleading statements during the alleged class period about the Company s valuation of certain asset-backed securities and its effect on the Company s capital position. The Company disputes the allegations and intends to defend this action vigorously.

Structured Settlement Class Action In October 2005, a putative nationwide class action was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut against the Company and several of its subsidiaries on behalf of persons who had asserted claims against an insured of a Hartford property & casualty insurance company that resulted in a settlement in which some or all of the settlement amount was structured to afford a schedule of future payments of specified amounts funded by an annuity from a Hartford life insurance company (Structured Settlements). The operative complaint alleges that since 1997 the Company deprived the settling claimants of the value of their damages recoveries by secretly deducting 15% of the annuity premium of every Structured Settlement to cover brokers commissions, other fees and costs, taxes, and a profit for the annuity provider, and asserts claims under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) and state law. The district court certified a class for the RICO and fraud claims in March 2009, and the Company s petition to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit for permission to file an interlocutory appeal of the class-certification ruling was denied in October 2009. In April 2010, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle on a nationwide class basis, under which the Company would pay \$72.5 in exchange for a full release and dismissal of the litigation. The \$72.5 was accrued in the first quarter of 2010. The settlement received final court approval in September 2010 and was paid in the third quarter of 2010.

Fair Credit Reporting Act Class Action In February 2007, the United States District Court for the District of Oregon gave final approval of the Company s settlement of a lawsuit brought on behalf of a class of homeowners and automobile policy holders alleging that the Company willfully violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by failing to send appropriate notices to new customers whose initial rates were higher than they would have been had the customer had a more favorable credit report. The Company paid approximately \$84.3 to eligible claimants and their counsel in connection with the settlement, and sought reimbursement from the Company s Excess Professional Liability Insurance Program for the portion of the settlement in excess of the Company s \$10 self-insured retention. Certain insurance carriers participating in that program disputed coverage for the settlement, and one of the excess insurers commenced an arbitration that resulted in an award in the Company s favor and payments to the Company of

approximately \$30.1, thereby exhausting the primary and first-layer excess policies. In June 2009, the second-layer excess carriers commenced an arbitration to resolve the dispute over coverage for the remainder of the amounts paid by the Company. Management believes it is probable that the Company s coverage position ultimately will be sustained.

Mutual Funds Litigation In October 2010, a derivative action was brought on behalf of six Hartford Mutual Funds in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC received excessive advisory and distribution fees in violation of its statutory fiduciary duty under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940. Plaintiff seeks to rescind the investment management agreements and distribution plans between the Company and the six Funds and to recover the total fees charged thereunder or, in the alternative, to recover any improper compensation the Company received. The Company disputes the allegations and intends to defend the action vigorously.

56

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

Asbestos and Environmental Claims As discussed in Note 12, Commitments and Contingencies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under the caption Asbestos and Environmental Claims , included in the Company s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report, The Hartford continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims that involve significant uncertainty regarding policy coverage issues. Regarding these claims, The Hartford continually reviews its overall reserve levels and reinsurance coverages, as well as the methodologies it uses to estimate its exposures. Because of the significant uncertainties that limit the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses, particularly those related to asbestos, the ultimate liabilities may exceed the currently recorded reserves. Any such additional liability cannot be reasonably estimated now but could be material to The Hartford's consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

Derivative Commitments

Certain of the Company s derivative agreements contain provisions that are tied to the financial strength ratings of the individual legal entity that entered into the derivative agreement as set by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. If the legal entity s financial strength were to fall below certain ratings, the counterparties to the derivative agreements could demand immediate and ongoing full collateralization and in certain instances demand immediate settlement of all outstanding derivative positions traded under each impacted bilateral agreement. The settlement amount is determined by netting the derivative positions transacted under each agreement. If the termination rights were to be exercised by the counterparties, it could impact the legal entity s ability to conduct hedging activities by increasing the associated costs and decreasing the willingness of counterparties to transact with the legal entity. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position as of September 30, 2010, is \$429. Of this \$429, the legal entities have posted collateral of \$415 in the normal course of business. Based on derivative market values as of September 30, 2010, a downgrade of one level below the current financial strength ratings by either Moody s or S&P could require approximately an additional \$24 to be posted as collateral. Based on derivative market values as of September 30, 2010, a downgrade by either Moody s or S&P of two levels below the legal entities current financial strength ratings could require approximately an additional \$44 of assets to be posted as collateral. These collateral amounts could change as derivative market values change, as a result of changes in our hedging activities or to the extent changes in contractual terms are negotiated. The nature of the collateral that we may be required to post is primarily in the form of U.S. Treasury bills and U.S. Treasury notes.

10. Pension Plans and Postretirement Health Care and Life Insurance Benefit Plans Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

In the Company s non-qualified pension plan the amount of lump sum benefit payments exceeded the amount of service and interest cost for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. As a result, the Company recorded settlement expense of \$20 to recognize the actuarial loss associated with the pro-rata portion of the obligation that has been settled.

Total net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 includes the following components:

					Other Post	retire	ment		
		Pension	Benefi	ts	Benefits				
	20	010	2	009	2010		2009		
Service cost	\$	25	\$	27	\$ 2	\$	2		
Interest cost		64		61	6		6		
Expected return on plan assets		(71)		(69)	(4)		(4)		
Amortization of prior service credit		(2)		(2)	(1)				
Amortization of actuarial loss		26		19					
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	42	\$	36	\$ 3	\$	4		

Total net periodic benefit cost for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 includes the following components:

		Pension	Renef	itc		Other Post Ben	ent
	2	2010		2009	,	2010	009
Service cost	\$	76	\$	79	\$	5	\$ 5
Interest cost		189		182		17	18
Expected return on plan assets		(214)		(206)		(10)	(9)
Settlement expense		20					
Amortization of prior service credit		(7)		(7)		(1)	(1)
Amortization of actuarial loss		80		56			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	144	\$	104	\$	11	\$ 13

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

10. Pension Plans and Postretirement Health Care and Life Insurance Benefit Plans (continued) Employer Contributions

In July 2010, the Company, at its discretion, made a \$120 contribution to the U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan (the Plan). For 2010, the Company does not have a required minimum funding contribution for the Plan and the funding requirements for all of the pension plans are expected to be immaterial.

11. Stock Compensation Plans

The Company s stock-based compensation plans include The Hartford 2005 Incentive Stock Plan, The Hartford Employee Stock Purchase Plan and The Hartford Deferred Stock Unit Plan. For a description of these plans, see Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report. On May 19, 2010 at the Company s Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the shareholders of The Hartford approved The Hartford 2010 Incentive Stock Plan (the 2010 Stock Plan), which supersedes and replaces The Hartford 2005 Incentive Stock Plan. The terms of the 2010 Stock Plan are substantially similar to the terms of the superseded plan. However, the 2010 Stock Plan provides for an increased maximum number of shares that may be awarded to employees of the Company, and also permits awards to be made to third party service providers, and permits additional forms of stock-based awards.

The 2010 Stock Plan provides for awards to be granted in the form of non-qualified or incentive stock options qualifying under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, stock appreciation rights, performance shares, restricted stock or restricted stock units, or any other form of stock based award. The aggregate number of shares of stock, which may be awarded, is subject to a maximum limit of 18,000,000 shares applicable to all awards for the ten-year duration of the 2010 Stock Plan. If any award under the prior The Hartford Incentive Stock Plan (as approved by the Company s shareholders in 2000) or under the prior The Hartford 2005 Incentive Stock Plan (as approved by the Company s shareholders in 2005) that was outstanding as of March 31, 2010, is forfeited, terminated, surrendered, exchanged, expires unexercised, or is settled in cash in lieu of stock (including to effect tax withholding) or for the net issuance of a lesser number of shares than the number subject to the award, the shares of stock subject to such award (or the relevant portion thereof) shall be available for awards under the 2010 Stock Plan and such shares shall be added to the maximum limit to the extent of such forfeiture, termination, expiration, or cash or net settlement of such awards.

Under the 2010 Stock Plan, all options granted have an exercise price at least equal to the market price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant, and an option s maximum term is not to exceed ten years. For any year, no individual employee may receive an award of options for more than 2,000,000 shares.

Performance awards of common stock granted under the 2010 Stock Plan become payable upon the attainment of specific performance goals achieved over a period of not less than one nor more than five years, and the restricted stock granted is subject to a restriction period. For any year, the maximum award of performance shares, restricted stock awards, or restricted stock unit awards for any individual employee in any year is 500,000 shares or units. Shares issued in satisfaction of stock-based compensation may be made available from authorized but unissued shares, shares held by the Company in treasury or from shares purchased in the open market. The Company typically issues shares from treasury in satisfaction of stock-based compensation.

	Tł	ree Mon Septem			N	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	20	010	20	009	2	010	2	009	
Stock-based compensation plans expense Income tax benefit	\$	16 (6)	\$	32 (8)	\$	57 (20)	\$	54 (14)	
Total stock-based compensation plans expense, after-tax	\$	10	\$	24	\$	37	\$	40	

The Company did not capitalize any cost of stock-based compensation. As of September 30, 2010, the total compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized was \$102, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years.

12. Debt

Senior Notes

On June 15, 2010, The Hartford repaid its \$275, 7.9% senior notes at maturity.

On March 23, 2010, The Hartford issued \$1.1 billion aggregate principal amount of its senior notes. The issuance consisted of \$300 of 4.0% senior notes due March 30, 2015, \$500 of 5.5% senior notes due March 30, 2020 and \$300 of 6.625% senior notes due March 30, 2040. The senior notes bear interest at their respective rate, payable semi-annually in arrears on March 30 and September 30 of each year, beginning September 30, 2010.

58

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

13. Equity

Issuance of Common Stock

On March 23, 2010, The Hartford issued approximately 59.6 million shares of common stock at a price to the public of \$27.75 per share and received net proceeds of \$1.6 billion.

Issuance of Series F Preferred Stock

On March 23, 2010, The Hartford issued 23 million depositary shares, each representing a 1/40th interest in The Hartford s 7.25% mandatory convertible preferred stock, Series F, at a price of \$25 per depositary share and received net proceeds of approximately \$556. The Company will pay cumulative dividends on each share of the mandatory convertible preferred stock at a rate of 7.25% per annum on the initial liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. Dividends will accrue and cumulate from the date of issuance and, to the extent that the Company is legally permitted to pay dividends and its board of directors declares a dividend payable, the Company will, from July 1, 2010 until and including January 1, 2013 pay dividends on each January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1, in cash and (whether or not declared prior to that date) on April 1, 2013 will pay or deliver, as the case may be, dividends in cash, shares of its common stock, or a combination thereof, at its election. Dividends on and repurchases of the Company s common stock will be subject to restrictions in the event that the Company fails to declare and pay, or set aside for payment, dividends on the Series F preferred stock.

The 575,000 shares of mandatory convertible preferred stock, Series F, will automatically convert into shares of common stock on April 1, 2013, if not earlier converted at the option of the holder, at any time, or upon the occurrence of a fundamental change. The number of shares issuable upon mandatory conversion of each share of mandatory convertible preferred stock will be a variable amount based on the average of the daily volume weighted average price per share of the Company s common stock during a specified period of 20 consecutive trading days with the number of shares of common stock ranging from 29.536 to 36.036 per share of mandatory convertible preferred stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments.

Redemption of Series E Preferred Stock and U.S. Treasury Auction of Warrants issued under the Capital Purchase Program

On March 31, 2010, the Company repurchased all 3.4 million shares of Series E preferred stock issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the Treasury) for an aggregate purchase price of \$3.4 billion and made a final dividend payment of \$22 on the Series E preferred stock. The Company recorded a \$440 charge to retained earnings representing the acceleration of the accretion of the remaining discount on the Series E preferred stock.

On September 27, 2010, the Treasury sold its warrants to purchase approximately 52 million shares of The Hartford s common stock in a secondary public offering for net proceeds of approximately \$706. The Hartford did not receive any proceeds from this sale. The warrants are exercisable, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time until June 26, 2019 at an initial exercise price of \$9.79. The exercise price will be paid by the withholding by The Hartford of a number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants equal to the value of the aggregate exercise price of the warrants so exercised determined by reference to the closing price of The Hartford s common stock on the trading day on which the warrants are exercised and notice is delivered to the warrant agent. The Hartford did not purchase any of the warrants sold by the Treasury.

Adjustment to warrants previously issued to Allianz

The issuance of common and preferred stock during the first quarter of 2010 triggered an anti-dilution provision in The Hartford s Investment Agreement with Allianz, which resulted in the adjustment to the warrant exercise price to \$25.23 from \$25.25 and to the number of shares that may be purchased to 69,351,806 from 69,314,987.

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interest includes VIEs in which the Company has concluded that it is the primary beneficiary, see Note 5 for further discussion of the Company s involvement in VIEs, and general account mutual funds where the Company holds the majority interest due to seed money investments. In 2010, the Company recognized the noncontrolling interest in these entities in other liabilities since these entities represent investment vehicles whereby the noncontrolling interests may redeem these investments at any time.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

14. Goodwill

The Hartford has changed its reporting segments effective for third quarter 2010 reporting. Accordingly, the goodwill by segment data for prior reporting periods has been adjusted to reflect the new reporting segments. See Note 3 for further description of the changes to the reporting segments. The carrying amount of goodwill allocated to reporting segments as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is shown below.

		S	eptem	ber 30, 201	10			Ι	Decem l	er 31, 200	9	
	(Gross		umulated airments		rrying Value	(Gross		mulated airments		rrying Value
Commercial Markets			•						•			
Property & Casualty												
Commercial	\$	30	\$		\$	30	\$	30	\$		\$	30
Total Commercial Markets		30				30		30				30
Consumer Markets		119				119		119				119
Wealth Management												
Global Annuity		422		(422)				422		(422)		
Life Insurance		224				224		224				224
Retirement Plans		87				87		87				87
Mutual Funds		159				159		159				159
Total Wealth Management		892		(422)		470		892		(422)		470
Corporate and Other		940		(508)		432		940		(355)		585
Total Goodwill	\$	1,981	\$	(930)	\$	1,051	\$	1,981	\$	(777)	\$	1,204

The Company completed its annual goodwill assessment for the Federal Trust Corporation reporting unit within Corporate and Other during the second quarter of 2010, resulting in a goodwill impairment of \$153, pre-tax.

The Company completed its annual goodwill assessment for the individual reporting units within Wealth Management and Corporate and Other, except for the Federal Trust Corporation reporting unit, as of January 1, 2010, which resulted in no write-downs of goodwill in 2010. The reporting units passed the first step of their annual impairment tests with a significant margin with the exception of the Individual Life reporting unit within Life Insurance. Individual Life completed the second step of the annual goodwill impairment test resulting in an implied goodwill value that was in excess of its carrying value. Even though the fair value of the reporting unit was lower than its carrying value, the implied level of goodwill in Individual Life exceeded the carrying amount of goodwill. In the implied purchase accounting required by the step two goodwill impairment test, the implied present value of future profits was substantially lower than that of the DAC asset removed in purchase accounting. A higher discount rate was used for calculating the present value of future profits as compared to that used for calculating the present value of estimated gross profits for DAC. As a result, in the implied purchase accounting, implied goodwill exceeded the carrying amount of goodwill.

The Company will complete the annual impairment test for the reporting units within Commercial Markets and Consumer Markets in the fourth quarter of 2010.

15. Sale of Joint Venture Interest in ICATU Hartford Seguros, S.A.

On November 23, 2009, in keeping with the Company s June 2009 announcement to return to its historical strengths as a U.S.-centric insurance company, the Company entered into a Share Purchase Agreement to sell its joint venture interest in ICATU Hartford Seguros, S.A. (IHS), its Brazilian insurance operation, to its partner, ICATU Holding S.A., for \$135. The transaction closed in the second quarter of 2010, and the Company received cash proceeds of

\$130, which was net of capital gains tax withheld of \$5. The investment in IHS was reported as an equity method investment in Other assets.

16. Subsequent Event

In October 2010, the Company announced the sale of Hartford Investments Canada Corporation. This sale of The Hartford's Canadian mutual fund business is expected to close in fourth quarter 2010 and is subject to approval of Canadian securities regulatory authorities. The Hartford estimates a net realized gain on the sale of \$40 to \$45, after-tax, and does not expect this sale to have a material impact on the Company's future earnings.

60

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollar amounts in millions except share data unless otherwise stated)

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) addresses the financial condition of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, The Hartford or the Company) as of September 30, 2010, compared with December 31, 2009, and its results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the equivalent 2009 periods. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the MD&A in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period financial information to conform to the current period classifications.

INDEX

Description	Page
Consolidated Results of Operations	62
<u>Outlooks</u>	64
Critical Accounting Estimates	67
Key Performance Measures and Ratios	78
Property & Casualty Commercial	86
Group Benefits	88
Consumer Markets	89
Global Annuity	93
Life Insurance	96
Retirement Plans	98
Mutual Funds	100
Corporate and Other	101
Property and Casualty Insurance Product Underwriting Risk Management	102
Investment Credit Risk	102
Capital Markets Risk Management	111
Capital Resources and Liquidity	118
Impact of New Accounting Standards	126

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

		e Months Feptember 3		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
Operating Summary	2010	2009	Change	2010	2009	Change		
Earned premiums	\$ 3,513	\$ 3,499		\$ 10,546	\$10,920	(3%)		
Fee income	1,173	1,140	3%	3,557	3,369	6%		
Net investment income (loss):	1.002	1.040	200	2.207	2 000	100		
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,083	1,049 638	3%	3,296	2,990	10%		
Equity securities, trading [1]	1,043	038	63%	(905)	2,437	NM		
Total net investment income	2,126	1,687	26%	2,391	5,427	(56%)		
Net realized capital gains (losses):						, ,		
Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI)							
losses	(146)	(760)	81%	(778)	(1,546)	50%		
OTTI losses recognized in other	2.1	22.4	(0.6%)	402	450	(1.50()		
comprehensive income	31	224	(86%)	403	472	(15%)		
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(115)	(536)	79%	(375)	(1,074)	65%		
Net realized capital gains (losses), excluding	()	()		(= , =)	(-,-,-,			
net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(146)	(683)	79%	(151)	(742)	80%		
Total not malined posital lasses	(261)	(1.210)	700	(526)	(1.016)	710		
Total net realized capital losses	(261)	(1,219)	79%	(526)	(1,816)	71%		
Other revenues	122	123	(1%)	360	361			
Total revenues	6,673	5,230	28%	16,328	18,261	(11%)		
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	3,037	3,070	(1%)	9,762	10,799	(10%)		
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses								
returns credited on international variable								
annuities [1]	1,043	638	63%	(905)	2,437	NM		
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition) 120	697	(2601)	2.027	2 620	(1107)		
costs and present value of future profits (DAC Insurance operating costs and other expenses) 438 1,105	687 1,174	(36%) (6%)	2,027 3,461	3,620 3,472	(44%)		
Interest expense	1,103	1,174	8%	380	3,472	6%		
Goodwill impairment	120	110	070	153	32	NM		
r					-			
Total benefits, losses and expenses	5,751	5,687	1%	14,878	20,717	(28%)		
Income (loss) before income taxes	922	(457)	NM	1,450	(2,456)	NM		
Income tax expense (benefit)	256	(237)	NM	389	(1,012)	NM		
Net income (loss)	\$ 666	\$ (220)	NM	\$ 1,061	\$ (1,444)	NM		
G 1 410 4 B								
Supplemental Operating Data Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ 1.34	\$ (0.79)	NM	\$ 1.21	\$ (4.52)	NM		
Total revenues, excluding net investment	ψ 1. <i>J</i> +	ψ (0.79)	1 4141	ψ 1.41	ψ (4.54)	1 4141		
income (loss) on equity securities, trading	5,630	4,592	23%	17,233	15,824	9%		

DAC Unlock benefit (charge), after-tax 193 63 NM 48 (1,071) NM

	Se	September 30,				
Summary of Financial Condition		2010		2009		
Total assets	\$	313,926	\$	307,717		
Total investments, excluding equity securities, trading		101,095		93,235		
Total stockholders equity		20,909		17,865		

[1] Includes

investment

income and

mark-to-market

effects of equity

securities,

trading,

supporting the

international

variable annuity

business, which

are classified in

net investment

income with

corresponding

amounts

credited to

policyholders

within benefits,

losses and loss

adjustment

expenses.

The Hartford defines increases or decreases greater than or equal to 200%, or changes from a net gain to a net loss position, or vice versa, as NM or not meaningful.

62

	Three Months Ended September 30,						Nine Months Ended September 30,					
					(Dec	crease crease) rom					(De	crease ecrease) From
Segment Results	2010		2009		2009 to 2010		2010		2009		2009 to 2010	
Property & Casualty												
Commercial	\$	306	\$	217	\$	89	\$	782	\$	484	\$	298
Group Benefits		46		65		(19)		145		148		(3)
Commercial Markets		352		282		70		927		632		295
Consumer Markets		70		15		55		113		55		58
Global Annuity		175		(320)		495		141		(1,304)		1,445
Life Insurance		97		8		89		224		18		206
Retirement Plans		30		(34)		64		38		(162)		200
Mutual Funds		18		11		7		67		17		50
Wealth Management		320		(335)		655		470		(1,431)		1,901
Corporate and Other		(76)		(182)		106		(449)		(700)		251
Net income (loss)	\$	666	\$	(220)	\$	886	\$	1,061	\$	(1,444)	\$	2,505

Three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009

The change from consolidated net loss to consolidated net income was primarily due to net realized capital losses of \$885, after-tax, in 2009 compared to losses of \$44, after-tax, in 2010. In addition, the DAC Unlock benefit of \$193, after-tax, in 2010 was higher than the DAC Unlock benefit of \$63, after-tax, in 2009.

Excluding the after-tax impacts of net realized capital losses and DAC Unlocks, earnings decreased approximately \$85 from 2009 to 2010. See the segment sections of the MD&A for a discussion on their respective performances.

See Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision (benefit) for income taxes.

Nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009

The change from consolidated net loss to consolidated net income was primarily due to a DAC Unlock charge of \$1.1 billion, after-tax, in 2009 compared to a benefit of \$48, after-tax, in 2010, net realized capital losses of \$1.6 billion, after-tax, in 2009 compared to losses of \$286, after-tax, in 2010, partially offset by a goodwill impairment of approximately \$100, after-tax, in 2010, compared to \$32, after-tax, in 2009.

Excluding the after-tax impacts of net realized capital losses, DAC Unlocks and goodwill impairments, earnings increased approximately \$160 from 2009 to 2010. See the segment sections of the MD&A for a discussion on their respective performances.

See Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision (benefit) for income taxes.

Table of Contents

OUTLOOKS

The Hartford provides projections and other forward-looking information in the following discussions, which contain many forward-looking statements, particularly relating to the Company s future financial performance. These forward-looking statements are estimates based on information currently available to the Company, are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are subject to the precautionary statements set forth on pages 3-4 of this Form 10-Q. Actual results are likely to differ, and in the past have differed, materially from those forecast by the Company, depending on the outcome of various factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth in each discussion below and in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report, Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors of The Hartford s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, as well as in Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors of this Form 10-O.

Property & Casualty Commercial

Standard commercial lines consist of The Hartford s small commercial and middle market lines of business. Written premium for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 for total Property & Casualty Commercial, which includes both standard commercial and specialty lines, increased by 1% driven by rate improvements and policy retention. The Company expects these trends to continue in the fourth quarter as premium retention improves in standard businesses and the economy continues to slowly improve. The Company expects Property & Casualty Commercial s written premiums to grow in the low single digits for the full year 2010.

Property & Casualty Commercial introduced several initiatives in 2009 that continue to support improvements in 2010 including: programs aimed at improving policy count retention and the rollout of a new product offering for package business (Growing Spectrum). In addition, the segment introduced a new pricing model for commercial auto that is being implemented during 2010. Property & Casualty Commercial is expected to continue to grow policy counts for the remainder of 2010 led by workers compensation reflecting: our current market position and capabilities; targeted broadening of underwriting capabilities in selected industries; and leveraging the payroll model to both increase penetration in well-established partners and continue developing opportunities with recently added partners such as Intuit.

The Property & Casualty Commercial segment s combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development was 92.8 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The Company expects the 2010 full year combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development to be higher than the 91.2 achieved in 2009. The increase in the combined ratio results from an expected increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, as well as a higher expense ratio. Standard commercial lines have experienced less negative frequency trends on workers compensation and commercial auto claims in recent accident years while 2010 severity remains benign. Management expects a continuation of these trends for the remainder of the 2010 accident year as the economy continues to recover. Across standard commercial lines, as the economy slowly recovers, severity is expected to move back to its long-term upward trend. The 2010 expense ratio is expected to be higher than 2009 due to a decrease in earned premiums.

Group Benefits

Group Benefits sales may fluctuate based on the competitive pricing environment in the marketplace. The Company s year-to-date sales have declined from 2009 levels. This decline in sales combined with the Company s disciplined underwriting in the competitive pricing environment will likely result in lower full year sales for 2010 compared to 2009. The Company anticipates relatively stable loss ratios and expense ratios over the long-term based on underlying trends in the in-force business and disciplined new business and renewal underwriting. In 2010, disability incidence has increased and claim terminations have declined compared to 2009 levels. The Company believes a component of this experience is normal volatility in the book of business. However, management has been evaluating the current experience and has reflected this current experience into its pricing for future new business and renewals.

The economic downturn, which resulted in rising unemployment, combined with the potential for employees to lessen spending on the Company s products, has negatively impacted premium levels, which is expected to continue until there is sustained economic expansion and lower unemployment rates compared to the end of 2009 levels. Over time, as employers design benefit strategies to attract and retain employees, while attempting to control their benefit costs,

management believes that the need for the Company s products will continue to expand. This combined with the significant number of employees who currently do not have coverage or adequate levels of coverage, creates continued opportunities for our products and services.

Consumer Markets

The Company expects Consumer Markets—written premiums for the 2010 full year will be lower than 2009 as written premium is expected to be lower in both AARP and Agency. The Company expects personal auto written premiums will be lower in 2010 driven by a decline in new business and policy retention due primarily to the effects of rate increases and underwriting actions to improve profitability and actions to reduce written premiums in certain market segments and territories. Partially offsetting these drivers is an expected increase in the sale of the Company s Open Road Advantage product. The Company expects homeowners—written premiums will increase modestly driven by an increase in written pricing and the cross-sell of AARP homeowners—insurance to auto policyholders, partially offset by the effect of rate and underwriting actions lowering new business and policy retention.

64

Table of Contents

AARP and Agency written premium is expected to be lower for the full year driven by a decline in new business and policy retention with new business down for AARP due to lower responses from direct marketing and a lower conversion rate. The decline in conversion rate for AARP and new business for Agency is largely being driven by pricing and underwriting actions taken to improve profitability. Pricing and underwriting actions are also driving the expected decline in policy retention for both AARP and Agency. The Company will continue to use direct marketing to AARP members to drive new business in AARP and will expand the sale of its Open Road Advantage product through independent agents to drive new business in Agency. The Company distributes its discounted AARP Open Road Advantage auto product through those independent agents who are authorized to offer the AARP product. As of September 30, 2010, the Open Road Advantage auto product was available in 24 states and the Company expects the product to be available to authorized agents in 39 states by the end of the first quarter of 2011.

Renewal written pricing has increased for both auto and homeowners for the first nine months of 2010 driven by rate increases in response to rising loss costs relative to average premium. For both auto and home, the increase in average written premium per policy in 2010 has not been as significant as the increase in written pricing due primarily to a continued shift to more preferred market segment business (which has lower average premium) and growth in states and territories with lower average premium.

The combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development for Consumer Markets was 92.6 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Management expects the full year ratio will be slightly higher than the 92.3 ratio achieved in 2009 as the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes is expected to increase slightly over the prior year for auto and remain relatively flat for homeowners. For auto business, the expected increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for the 2010 full year is largely being driven by higher expected auto liability loss costs relative to average earned premium per policy and, to a lesser extent, higher auto physical damage emerged frequency. For the balance of 2010, management expects an improvement in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for auto driven by the effect of rate increases and an improvement in claim frequency for both auto physical damage and auto liability coverages, partially offset by an increase in severity that is in line with historical experience. The improvement in auto claim frequency is expected to come from the shift to more preferred market segment business and the impact of underwriting actions to improve profitability.

For home, the Company expects the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes will be relatively flat to 2009 for the 2010 full year due to the effect of earned pricing increases, low average claim severity and the shift to more preferred market segment business, largely offset by increased frequency of weather claims.

Management expects the expense ratio will be relatively flat for the 2010 full year as higher information technology costs and higher amortization of AARP acquisition costs will largely be offset by a reduction in direct marketing for consumer direct business.

Global Annuity

In the long-term, management continues to believe the market for annuities will expand as individuals increasingly save and plan for retirement. Demographic trends suggest that as the baby boom generation matures, a significant portion of the United States population will allocate a greater percentage of their disposable incomes to saving for their retirement years due to uncertainty surrounding the Social Security system and increases in average life expectancy. Further, due to volatility in the equity markets, the Company is expecting that many baby boomers will be looking to provide more stability to the value of their accumulated wealth and will focus more on identifying and creating dependable and certain income streams that can provide known payments throughout their retirement.

Near-term, the Company is continuing to experience lower variable annuity sales as a result of the competitiveness of the Company s current product offerings. The Company expects these lower sales to continue through 2010. The current market conditions and market volatility have increased the cost and volatility of hedging programs, and the level of capital needed to support living benefit guarantees when compared to historical levels. Management is committed to the U.S. variable annuity marketplace and will continue to evaluate the benefits offered within its variable annuities, and ensure the product portfolio meets customer needs within the risk tolerances of The Hartford. With variable annuity sales at their current levels, management expects to continue to be in a net outflow position

through 2010.

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company suspended all new annuity sales in its Japan and European operations. The Company continues to restructure its operations to maximize profitability and capital efficiency while continuing to focus on risk management and maintaining appropriate service levels.

Continued equity market volatility and the low level of interest rates will continue to impact the cost and effectiveness of our guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit (GMWB) hedging program and could result in material losses in our hedging program. For more information on the GMWB hedging program, see the Variable Product Equity Risk Management section within Capital Markets Risk Management.

The Company s fixed annuity sales will remain depressed as a result of lower interest rates. Management expects fixed annuity sales to continue to be challenged until interest rates increase.

65

Table of Contents

Assets under management are relatively level compared to 2009 which is the result of improved equity market levels offsetting continued net outflows in the variable annuity business. Although the markets have partially recovered over the past year they have not reached their 2008 levels and, as a result, the extent of the scale efficiencies that Global Annuity has benefited from in recent years has been reduced. Although the business has improved profitability compared to prior year, the profitability rates are not consistent with historical levels. This condition is expected to persist for the remainder of 2010.

Due to the loss of some efficiencies of scale within its domestic annuity operations and the decision to suspend sales within the international annuity operations, the Company has evaluated its expense structure and taken actions to improve its cost structure, and will continue to actively evaluate expense levels to ensure the business is controlling costs, while maintaining an appropriate level of service to our customers.

Life Insurance

Future sales for all products will be influenced by active management of current distribution relationships, responding to the negative impact of recent merger and consolidation activity on existing distribution relationships and the development of new sources of distribution, and the Company s ratings, as published by the various ratings agencies, while offering competitive and innovative products and product features. The current economic environment poses challenges for future sales; while life insurance products respond well to consumer demand for financial security and wealth accumulation solutions, individuals may be reluctant to transfer funds when market volatility has recently resulted in significant declines in investment values. In addition, the availability and terms of capital solutions in the marketplace, as discussed below, to support universal life products with secondary guarantees, may reduce future growth in these products.

Life Insurance reinsured the policy liability related to statutory reserves in individual universal life with secondary guarantees to a captive reinsurance affiliate. A letter of credit by an unaffiliated standby third-party (issuer) supports a portion of the statutory reserves that have been ceded to this subsidiary. The use of the letter of credit enhanced statutory capital but resulted in a decline in net investment income and increased expenses for Life Insurance. As of September 30, 2010, the transaction provided approximately \$540 of statutory capital relief associated with the Company s individual universal life products with secondary guarantees. The issuer terminated the letter of credit for new business effective January 31, 2010. The letter of credit is expected to provide sufficient coverage for the reinsured business through 2028. On July 1, 2010, management launched a competitively priced individual universal life product with secondary guarantees that meets the Company s capital efficiency objectives.

Life Insurance continues to face uncertainty surrounding estate tax legislation, aggressive competition from other life insurance providers, reduced availability and higher price of reinsurance, and the current regulatory environment related to reserving for term life insurance and universal life products with no-lapse guarantees. Additionally, volatility in the equity markets may reduce the attractiveness of variable universal life products. These risks may have a negative impact on Life Insurance s future sales and earnings. Despite these risks, management believes there are opportunities to increase future sales by implementing strategies to expand distribution capabilities, including utilizing independent agents and continuing to build on the strong relationships within the financial institution marketplace. The private placement life insurance industry (including the corporate-owned and bank-owned life insurance markets) has experienced a slowdown in sales due to, among other things, limited availability of stable value wrap providers. The Company believes that the current private placement life insurance (PPLI) assets will experience stable persistency, but our ability to grow this business in the future will be affected by near term market and industry challenges.

Retirement Plans

The future financial results of this segment will depend on Retirement Plans ability to increase assets under management across all businesses, achieve scale in areas with a high degree of fixed costs and maintain its investment spread earnings on the general account products sold largely in the 403(b)/457 business. Disciplined expense management will continue to be a focus of the Retirement Plans segment as necessary investments in service and technology are made to effect the integration of the acquisitions made in 2008.

The continued improvements in the equity markets over the last year have continued to help improve both quarterly deposits and assets under management. These improvements have been partially offset by a few large case surrenders

in 2009; however, assets under management at September 30, 2010 are \$49.2 billion representing an increase of 15% from prior year. Assuming no further significant declines in equity markets, due to current sales momentum, management expects assets under management to improve.

Mutual Funds

The partial equity market recovery, and the fact that certain key funds performed strongly relative to the market, has driven an increase in deposits year over year. Assets under management have been favorably affected by recent market performance. As the mutual fund business continues to evolve, success will be driven by diversifying net sales across the mutual fund platform, delivering superior investment performance and creating new investment solutions for current and future mutual fund shareholders. The increase in assets under management from the prior year has led to an increase in earnings and ROA from 2009 levels.

For the Retail and Investment-Only mutual fund business, net sales can vary significantly depending on market conditions, as we have experienced over the past two years. In addition, underlying fund performance relative to the market and peers can affect investment mandates for the Investment-Only mutual funds.

For Proprietary mutual funds, net flows are affected by the level of net sales in the insurance products that invest in these funds, as well as the relative performance of the underlying fund relative to the other fund offerings of the product. The Proprietary mutual funds have experienced negative net flows as the primary variable annuity products invested in these funds have been in a net outflow position as the block has aged, and management expects that this business will continue producing net outflows.

66

Table of Contents

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ, and in the past has differed, from those estimates.

The Company has identified the following estimates as critical in that they involve a higher degree of judgment and are subject to a significant degree of variability: property and casualty insurance product reserves, net of reinsurance; estimated gross profits used in the valuation and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts; evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities (AFS) and valuation allowances on investments; living benefits required to be fair valued; goodwill impairment; valuation of investments and derivative instruments; pension and other postretirement benefit obligations; valuation allowance on deferred tax assets and contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters. Certain of these estimates are particularly sensitive to market conditions, and deterioration and/or volatility in the worldwide debt or equity markets could have a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In developing these estimates management makes subjective and complex judgments that are inherently uncertain and subject to material change as facts and circumstances develop. Although variability is inherent in these estimates, management believes the amounts provided are appropriate based upon the facts available upon compilation of the financial statements. The Hartford's critical accounting estimates are discussed in Part II, Item 7 MD&A in The Hartford's Critical accounting estimates for September 30, 2010 results.

Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance

Based on the results of the quarterly reserve review process, the Company determines the appropriate reserve adjustments, if any, to record. Recorded reserve estimates are changed after consideration of numerous factors, including but not limited to, the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves, improvement or deterioration of actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past and the level of volatility within a particular line of business. In general, changes are made more quickly to more mature accident years and less volatile lines of business.

As part of its quarterly reserve review process, the Company is closely monitoring reported loss development in certain lines where the recent emergence of paid losses and case reserves could indicate a trend that may eventually lead the Company to change its estimate of ultimate losses in those lines. If, and when, the emergence of reported losses is determined to be a trend that changes the Company s estimate of ultimate losses, prior accident years reserves would be adjusted in the period the change in estimate is made. Such adjustments of reserves are referred to as reserve development. Reserve development that increases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called reserve strengthening. Reserve development that decreases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called reserve releases. Reserve development can influence the comparability of year over year underwriting results and is set forth in the paragraphs and tables that follow.

67

Reserve Rollforwards and Development

A roll-forward follows of property and casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010:

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

	Pro	operty &						Total roperty and
Decimination likelities for unneid lesses and	Casualty Commercial		Consumer Markets		Corporate and Other		Casualty Insurance	
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross Reinsurance and other recoverables	\$	15,051 2,570	\$	2,109 11	\$	4,491 860	\$	21,651 3,441
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net		12,481		2,098		3,631		18,210
Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses								
Current accident year before catastrophes		2,634		2,034				4,668
Current accident year catastrophes		134		229				363
Prior accident years		(339)		(51)		236		(154)
Total provision for unpaid losses and loss								
adjustment expenses		2,429		2,212		236		4,877
Payments		(2,568)		(2,146)		(306)		(5,020)
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss								
adjustment expenses, net		12,342		2,164		3,561		18,067
Reinsurance and other recoverables		2,438		11		701		3,150
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$	14,780	\$	2,175	\$	4,262	\$	21,217
aujustinent expenses, gross	Ф	14,700	Φ	2,173	Ф	4,202	Ф	21,217
Earned premiums	\$	4,278	\$	2,976				
Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1]		60.0		72.1				
Loss and loss expense incurred ratio		56.8		74.3				
Prior accident years development (pts) [2]		(7.9)		(1.9)				

[1] The loss and loss expense paid ratio represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to

earned premiums.

[2] Prior accident

years
development
(pts)
represents the
ratio of prior
accident years
development to
earned
premiums.

Prior accident years development recorded in 2010

Included within prior accident years development for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were the following reserve strengthenings (releases):

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

	Cas	erty & sualty mercial	sumer arkets	•	Corporate and Other	Total Property and Casualty Insurance		
Auto liability	\$	(26)	\$ (41)	\$		\$	(67)	
Package business		(17)					(17)	
Workers compensation		(36)					(36)	
General liability, umbrella and high hazard								
liability		(19)					(19)	
General liability, excluding umbrella and high								
hazard liability		(28)					(28)	
Professional liability		(8)					(8)	
Property			3				3	
Net environmental reserves					62		62	
Other reserve re-estimates, net [1]		16	4		2		22	
Total prior accident years development	\$	(118)	\$ (34)	\$	64	\$	(88)	

[1] Includes reserve discount accretion of \$7 in Property & Casualty Commercial.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

Total Property &

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

						Pr	operty and			
	~	•	~		Corporate					
		sualty mercial		sumer arkets	and Other	Casualty Insurance				
Auto liability	\$	(50)	\$	(82)	\$ Other	\$	(132)			
Package business		(23)		(-)		'	(23)			
Workers compensation		(56)					(56)			
General liability, umbrella and high hazard										
liability		(61)					(61)			
General liability, excluding umbrella and high										
hazard liability		(33)					(33)			
Professional liability		(87)					(87)			
Property				21			21			
Net environmental reserves					64		64			
Net asbestos reserves					172		172			
Uncollectible reinsurance		(30)					(30)			
Other reserve re-estimates, net [1]		1		10			11			
Total prior accident years development	\$	(339)	\$	(51)	\$ 236	\$	(154)			

[1] Includes reserve discount accretion of \$20 in Property & Casualty Commercial.

68

Table of Contents

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company s re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Released reserves for commercial auto claims in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 as the Company lowered its reserve estimate to recognize a lower severity trend during 2009 and 2010 on larger claims in accident years 2002 to 2009.

Released reserves for personal auto liability claims in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. During 2009, the Company recognized that favorable development in reported severity, due in part to changes made to claim handling procedures in 2007, was a sustained trend for accident years 2005 through 2008 and, accordingly, management reduced its reserve estimate. The reserve releases are in response to a continuation of these same favorable trends, primarily affecting accident years 2005 through 2009.

Released reserves for package business claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily related to accident years 2005 through 2009. Claim emergence within the liability portion of the package coverage for these accident years continues to be lower than anticipated. Management now believes this lower level of claim activity will continue into the future and has reduced its reserve estimate in response to these favorable trends.

Released reserves for workers compensation business in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily related to accident years 2006 and 2007. Management updated reviews of state reforms affecting these accident years and determined impacts to be more favorable than previously estimated. Accordingly, management reduced reserve estimates for these years.

Released reserves for general liability claims for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2010, primarily related to accident years 2005 through 2008. Claim emergence for these accident years continues to be lower than anticipated. Management now believes this lower level of claim activity will continue into the future and has reduced its reserve estimate in response to these favorable trends. Partially offsetting this reserve release is strengthening on loss adjustment expense reserves during the second quarter of 2010 due to higher than expected allocated loss expenses for claims in accident years 2000 and prior.

Released reserves for professional liability claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily related to directors and officers (D&O) claims in accident years 2008 and prior. For these accident years, reported losses for claims under D&O policies have been emerging favorably to initial expectations due to lower than expected claim severity.

Strengthened reserves for property in personal homeowners claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. During 2010, the Company observed a lengthening of the claim reporting period for homeowners claims for prior accident years which resulted in increasing management s estimate of the ultimate cost to settle these claims.

The Company reviewed its allowance for uncollectible reinsurance in the second quarter of 2010 and reduced its allowance, in part, by a reduction in gross ceded loss recoverables.

Refer to the Other Operations Claims section for further discussion on strengthening of net environmental and net asbestos reserves.

69

Table of Contents

A roll-forward follows of property & casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

	Pro	operty &						Total roperty and
D		Casualty Commercial		nsumer larkets	C	Corporate and Other		asualty surance
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$	15,273	\$	2,083	\$	4,577	\$	21 022
Reinsurance and other recoverables	Ф	2,742	Φ	2,083 46	Ф	4, 377	Ф	21,933 3,586
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net		12,531		2,037		3,779		18,347
Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses								
Current accident year before catastrophes		2,726		1,974		1		4,701
Current accident year catastrophes		80		242				322
Prior accident years		(246)		(15)		203		(58)
Total provision for unpaid losses and loss								
adjustment expenses		2,560		2,201		204		4,965
Payments		(2,470)		(2,156)		(275)		(4,901)
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss								
adjustment expenses, net		12,621		2,082		3,708		18,411
Reinsurance and other recoverables		2,594		43		853		3,490
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss								
adjustment expenses, gross	\$	15,215	\$	2,125	\$	4,561	\$	21,901
Earned premiums	\$	4,463	\$	2,957				
Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1]		55.3		72.9				
Loss and loss expense incurred ratio		57.3		74.5				
Prior accident years development (pts) [2]		(5.5)		(0.5)				
[1] The loss and loss expense paid ratio								

[1] The loss and loss expense paid ratio represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums.

[2] Prior accident years development (pts) represents the ratio of prior accident years development to earned premiums.

Prior accident years development recorded in 2009

Included within prior accident years development for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 were the following reserve strengthenings (releases):

Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

	Proj	perty &		(Corporate	Pro	otal operty and
		sualty mercial	 sumer irkets		and Other		sualty irance
Auto liability	\$		\$ (20)	\$		\$	(20)
Workers compensation		(45)					(45)
General liability		(14)					(14)
Professional liability		(24)					(24)
Net environmental reserves					75		75
Other reserve re-estimates, net [1]		(25)	(5)		6		(24)
Total prior accident years development	\$	(108)	\$ (25)	\$	81	\$	(52)

[1] Includes reserve discount accretion of \$6 in Property & Casualty Commercial.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

	Property &			Pro	otal perty and	
	Casualty Commercial	sumer arkets	rporate and Other	Casualty Insurance		
Auto liability	\$	\$ (53)	\$	\$	(53)	
Package business	36				36	
Workers compensation	(68)				(68)	

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

General liability	(85)			(85)
Professional liability	(74)			(74)
Property		18		18
Surety business	25			25
Net environmental reserves			75	75
Net asbestos reserves			138	138
Uncollectible reinsurance	(20)		(20)	(40)
Other reserve re-estimates, net [1]	(60)	20	10	(30)
Total prior accident years development	\$ (246)	\$ (15)	\$ 203	(58)

[1] Includes reserve discount accretion of \$18 in Property & Casualty Commercial.

70

Table of Contents

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company s re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Released reserves for personal auto liability claims in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. Beginning in the first quarter of 2008, management observed an improvement in emerged claim severity for the 2005 through 2007 accident years attributed, in part, to changes made in claim handling procedures in 2007. In the first six months of 2009, the Company recognized that favorable development in reported severity was a sustained trend and, accordingly, management reduced its reserve estimate, primarily related to accident years 2005 to 2007. The release of reserves in the three months ended September 30, 2009 was principally related to AARP business for the 2006 through 2008 accident years. The reduction in reserves related to accident years 2006 and 2007 reflects a continuation of the favorable severity development trends that were first observed in early 2008. For accident year 2008, management first lowered its estimate in the fourth quarter of 2008, reflecting favorable frequency due to higher gas prices and reduced driving mileage. With the third quarter 2009 release, management now recognizes sustained improvement in reported severity development as accident year 2008 has matured.

Strengthened reserves for liability claims under package policies by \$16 in the first quarter of 2009, primarily related to allocated loss adjustment expenses for accident years 2000 to 2005 and by \$20 in the second quarter of 2009, principally related to allocated loss adjustment expenses for accident years 2007 and 2008. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company identified higher than expected expense payments on older accident years related to the liability coverage. Additional analysis in the second quarter of 2009 showed that this higher level of loss adjustment expense is likely to continue into more recent accident years. As a result, in the second quarter of 2009, the Company increased its estimates for future expense payments for the 2007 and 2008 accident years. In addition, during the third quarter of 2009, the Company recognized the cost of late emerging exposures were likely to be higher than previously expected. Also in the third quarter, the Company recognized a lower than expected frequency of high severity claims. These third quarter events were largely offsetting.

Released workers compensation reserves in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. The Company released reserves in the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily related to additional ceded losses on accident years 1999 and prior. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company observed lower than expected expense payments on older accident years. As a result, the Company reduced its estimate for future expense payments on more recent accident years.

Released reserves for general liability claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily related to accident years 2003 to 2007. Beginning in the third quarter of 2007, the Company observed that reported losses for high hazard and umbrella general liability claims, primarily related to the 2001 to 2006 accident years, were emerging favorably and this caused management to reduce its estimate of the cost of future reported claims for these accident years, resulting in a reserve release in each quarter since the third quarter of 2007. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, management determined that the lower level of loss emergence was also evident in accident year 2007 and had continued for accident years 2003 to 2006 and, as a result, the Company reduced the reserves. In addition, during the third quarter of 2009, the Company recognized that the cost of late emerging exposures were likely to be higher than previously expected. Also in the third quarter, the Company recognized additional ceded losses on accident years 1999 and prior. These third quarter events were largely offsetting.

Released reserves for professional liability claims in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily related to accident years 2003 to 2007. Beginning in 2008, the Company observed that claim severity for both D&O and E&O claims for the 2003 to 2006 accident years was developing favorably to previous expectations and the Company released reserves for these accident years in 2008. During the first nine months of 2009, the Company s updated analysis showed that claim severity for D&O losses in the 2003 to 2007 accident years continued to develop favorably to previous expectations, resulting in a reduction of reserves in each of the first three quarters of 2009.

Strengthened reserves for property personal homeowners claims for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily driven by increased claim settlement costs in recent accident years and increased losses from

underground storage tanks in older accident years. In 2008, the Company began to observe increasing claim settlement costs for the 2005 to 2008 accident years and, in the first quarter of 2009, determined that this higher cost level would continue, resulting in a reserve strengthening of \$9 for these accident years. In addition, beginning in 2008, the Company observed unfavorable emergence of homeowners—casualty claims for accident years 2003 and prior, primarily related to underground storage tanks. Following a detailed review of these claims in the first quarter of 2009, management increased its estimate of the magnitude of this exposure and strengthened homeowners—casualty claim reserves by \$9.

Strengthened reserves for surety business for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily related to accident years 2004 to 2007. The strengthening in 2009 consisted of net actions of \$45 strengthening of reserves for customs bonds, partially offset by a \$20 release of reserves for contract surety claims. During 2008, the Company became aware that there were a large number of late reported surety claims related to customs bonds. Continued high volume of late reported claims during the first and second quarters of 2009 caused the Company to strengthen the reserves in each period.

The Company reviewed its allowance for uncollectible reinsurance for Property & Casualty Commercial in the second quarter of 2009 and reduced its allowance for Property & Casualty Commercial driven, in part, by a reduction in gross ceded loss recoverables

Refer to the Other Operations Claims section for further discussion on strengthening of net environmental and net asbestos reserves.

71

Table of Contents

Other Operations Claims

Reserve Activity

Reserves and reserve activity in the Other Operations operating segment, within Corporate and Other, are categorized and reported as asbestos, environmental, or all other. The all other category of reserves covers a wide range of insurance and assumed reinsurance coverages, including, but not limited to, potential liability for construction defects, lead paint, silica, pharmaceutical products, molestation and other long-tail liabilities.

The following table presents reserve activity, inclusive of estimates for both reported and incurred but not reported claims, net of reinsurance, for Other Operations, categorized by asbestos, environmental and all other claims, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Other Operations Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

A 11 O 41

			All Other								
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010	As	sbestos	Environmental			[1]	Total				
Beginning liability net [2][3]	\$	1,944	\$	291	\$	1,351	\$	3,586			
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred				62		1		63			
Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid		(56)		(11)		(21)		(88)			
Ending liability net [2][3]	\$	1,888[4]	\$	342	\$	1,331	\$	3,561			

			All Other									
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	As	sbestos	Envir	onmental		[1]	,	Total				
Beginning liability net [2][3]	\$	1,892	\$	307	\$	1,432	\$	3,631				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred		172		64				236				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid		(176)		(29)		(101)		(306)				
Ending liability net [2][3]	\$	1,888[4]	\$	342	\$	1,331	\$	3,561				

```
[11] All Other
    includes
    unallocated loss
    adjustment
    expense
    reserves. All
    Other also
    includes The
    Company s
    allowance for
    uncollectible
    reinsurance.
    When the
    Company
    commutes a
    ceded
    reinsurance
    contract or
    settles a ceded
    reinsurance
    dispute, the
```

portion of the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance attributable to that commutation or settlement, if any, is reclassified to the appropriate cause of loss.

[2] Excludes

asbestos and environmental

net liabilities

reported in

Property &

Casualty

Commercial of

\$9 and \$5,

respectively, as

of

September 30,

2010, \$10 and

\$4, respectively,

as of June 30,

2010 and \$10

and \$5,

respectively, as

of December 31,

2009. Total net

losses and loss

adjustment

expenses

incurred in

Property &

Casualty

Commercial for

the three and

nine months

ended

September 30,

2010 includes

\$4 and \$10,

respectively,

related to

asbestos and

environmental

claims. Total net

losses and loss adjustment expenses paid in Property & Casualty Commercial for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 includes \$4 and \$11 respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

[3] Gross of reinsurance, asbestos and environmental reserves, including liabilities in Property & Casualty Commercial, were \$2,393 and \$395, respectively, as of September 30, 2010, \$2,545 and \$344, respectively, as of June 30, 2010 and \$2,484 and \$367, respectively, as

[4] The one year and average three year net paid amounts for asbestos claims, including Property &

2009.

of December 31,

Casualty Commercial, are \$232 and \$225. respectively, resulting in a one year net survival ratio of 8.2 and a three vear net survival ratio of 8.4. Net survival ratio is the quotient of the net carried reserves divided by the average annual payment amount and is an indication of the number of years that the net carried reserve would last (i.e. survive) if the future annual claim payments were consistent with the calculated historical average.

For paid and incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses reporting, the Company classifies its asbestos and environmental reserves into three categories: Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market. Direct insurance includes primary and excess coverage. Assumed reinsurance includes both treaty reinsurance (covering broad categories of claims or blocks of business) and facultative reinsurance (covering specific risks or individual policies of primary or excess insurance companies). London Market business includes the business written by one or more of the Company s subsidiaries in the United Kingdom, which are no longer active in the insurance or reinsurance business. Such business includes both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance.

Of the three categories of claims (Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market), direct policies tend to have the greatest factual development from which to estimate the Company s exposures.

Assumed reinsurance exposures are inherently less predictable than direct insurance exposures because the Company may not receive notice of a reinsurance claim until the underlying direct insurance claim is mature. This causes a delay in the receipt of information at the reinsurer level and adds to the uncertainty of estimating related reserves. London Market exposures are the most uncertain of the three categories of claims. As a participant in the London Market (comprised of both Lloyd s of London and London Market companies), certain subsidiaries of the Company wrote business on a subscription basis, with those subsidiaries involvement being limited to a relatively small percentage of a total contract placement. Claims are reported, via a broker, to the lead underwriter and, once agreed to, are presented to the following markets for concurrence. This reporting and claim agreement process makes estimating liabilities for this business the most uncertain of the three categories of claims.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, paid and incurred loss activity by the three categories of claims for asbestos and environmental.

Paid and Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses (LAE) Development Asbestos and Environmental

	P	Asbe Paid	stos [] Ir	1] ncurred		Environaid		[1] urred
	Los	sses &	L	osses &	Los	ses &	Los	ses &
Three Months Ended September 30, 2010	L	LAE		LAE	L	AE	LAE	
Gross								
Direct	\$	95	\$		\$	9	\$	47
Assumed Reinsurance		48				1		5
London Market		8				2		10
Total		151				12		62
Ceded		(95)				(1)		
Net	\$	56	\$		\$	11	\$	62
Nine months Ended September 30, 2010								
Gross								
Direct	\$	163	\$	209	\$	26	\$	47
Assumed Reinsurance		98				4		5
London Market		23		(15)		4		10
Total		284		194		34		62
Ceded		(108)		(22)		(5)		2
Net	\$	176	\$	172	\$	29	\$	64

[1] Excludes

asbestos and

environmental

paid and

incurred loss

and LAE

reported in

Property &

Casualty

Commercial.

Total gross

losses and LAE

incurred in

Property &

Casualty

Commercial for

the three and

nine months

ended September 30, 2010 includes \$4 and \$10, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims. Total gross losses and LAE paid in Property & Casualty Commercial for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 includes \$4 and \$11, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

During the third quarter of 2010, the Company completed its annual ground up environmental reserve evaluation. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to environmental liability, as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and its London Market exposures for both direct and assumed reinsurance. Based on this evaluation, the Company increased its net environmental reserves by \$62. The Company found estimates for some individual accounts increased based upon unfavorable litigation results and increased clean-up or expense costs, with the vast majority of this deterioration emanating from a limited number of insureds. These changes were case specific and not as a result of any underlying change in the current environment. The Company currently expects to continue to perform a ground up evaluation of its environmental liabilities annually and regularly evaluate the Company s historical direct net loss and expense paid and reported experience, and net loss and expense paid and reported experience by calendar and/or report year, to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and reported activity.

In reporting environmental results, the Company divides its gross exposure into Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market. The following table displays gross environmental reserves by category and net environmental reserves as of September 30, 2010:

	Total Reserves					
Gross						
Direct	\$	281				
Assumed Reinsurance		55				
London Market		61				
Total [1] [2]		397				
Ceded		(50)				
Net	\$	347				

- [1] The one-year gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$56, resulting in a one-year gross survival ratio of 7.0.
- [2] The three-year average annual gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$53, resulting in a three-year gross survival ratio of 7.6.

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company completed its annual ground-up asbestos reserve evaluation. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to asbestos liability, as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and its London Market exposures for both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance. Based on this evaluation, the Company increased its net asbestos reserves by \$169. For certain direct policyholders, the Company experienced increases in claim severity and expense. Increases in severity and expense were driven by litigation in certain jurisdictions and, to a lesser extent, development on primarily peripheral accounts. The Company also experienced unfavorable development on its assumed reinsurance accounts driven largely by the same factors experienced by the direct policyholders. The Company currently expects to continue to perform a ground up evaluation of its asbestos liabilities annually and regularly evaluate the Company s historical direct net loss and expense paid and reported experience, and net loss and expense paid and reported experience by calendar and/or report year, to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and reported activity.

73

Table of Contents

Uncertainties Regarding Adequacy of Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

A number of factors affect the variability of estimates for asbestos and environmental reserves including assumptions with respect to the frequency of claims, the average severity of those claims settled with payment, the dismissal rate of claims with no payment and the expense to indemnity ratio. The uncertainty with respect to the underlying reserve assumptions for asbestos and environmental adds a greater degree of variability to these reserve estimates than reserve estimates for more traditional exposures. While this variability is reflected in part in the size of the range of reserves developed by the Company, that range may still not be indicative of the potential variance between the ultimate outcome and the recorded reserves. The recorded net reserves as of September 30, 2010 of \$2.24 billion (\$1.90 billion and \$347 for asbestos and environmental, respectively) is within an estimated range, unadjusted for covariance, of \$1.78 billion to \$2.55 billion. The process of estimating asbestos and environmental reserves remains subject to a wide variety of uncertainties, which are detailed in the Company s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report. The Company believes that its current asbestos and environmental reserves are appropriate. However, analyses of future developments could cause the Company to change its estimates and ranges of its asbestos and environmental reserves, and the effect of these changes could be material to the Company s consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

The Company provides an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, reflecting management s best estimate of reinsurance cessions that may be uncollectible in the future due to reinsurers unwillingness or inability to pay. During the second quarter of 2010, the Company completed its annual evaluation of the collectibility of the reinsurance recoverables and the adequacy of the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Other Operations operating segment. The evaluation resulted in no addition to the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. In conducting this evaluation, the Company used its most recent detailed evaluations of ceded liabilities reported in the operating segment. The Company analyzed the overall credit quality of the Company s reinsurers, recent trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between cedants and reinsurers, and recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants. As of September 30, 2010, the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance for Other Operations totals \$221. The Company currently expects to perform its regular comprehensive review of Other Operations reinsurance recoverables annually. Due to the inherent uncertainties as to collection and the length of time before reinsurance recoverables become due, particularly for older, long-term casualty liabilities, it is possible that future adjustments to the Company s reinsurance recoverables, net of the allowance, could be required.

Consistent with the Company s long-standing reserve practices, the Company will continue to review and monitor its reserves in the Other Operations segment regularly, and where future developments indicate, make appropriate adjustments to the reserves. For a discussion of the Company s reserving practices, see the Critical Accounting Estimates Property and Casualty Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section of the MD&A included in the Company s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

74

Table of Contents

Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts

Estimated gross profits (EGPs) are used in the amortization of: the DAC asset, which includes the present value of future profits; sales inducement assets (SIA); and unearned revenue reserves (URR). See Note 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on DAC. See Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on SIA. Portions of EGPs are also used in the valuation of reserves for death and other insurance benefit features on variable annuity and universal life-type contracts. See Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on death and other insurance benefit reserves. See The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report for additional discussion on the Company s critical accounting estimates related to EGPs.

The most significant EGP based balances are as follows:

						Intern	atio	nal								
		U.S. A	nnı	ıity	Annuity			Retirement Plans				Life Insurance				
	September December 30,		Sep	September December 30,			Sept	September December 30,				ember	er Decembo			
												30,				
	20	10	30	, 2009		2010	30), 2009	2	010	30,	2009	2	010	30), 2009
DAC	\$3,	119	\$	3,378	\$	1,620	\$	1,693	\$	797	\$	701	\$ 2	2,632	\$	2,490
SIA	\$	318	\$	324	\$	38	\$	28	\$	24	\$	23	\$	44	\$	42
URR	\$	94	\$	96	\$	48	\$	584	\$		\$		\$ 1	1,331	\$	1,182
Death and Other																
Insurance Benefit																
Reserves	\$1,	158	\$	1,232	\$	641	\$	70	\$	1	\$	1	\$	103	\$	76
Unlocks																

The after-tax impact on the Company s assets and liabilities as a result of the Unlock during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was as follows:

Segment	Death and Other Insurance Benefit											
After-tax (Charge) Benefit	\mathbf{D}	AC	URR		Reserves		SIA		Total [1]			
Global Annuity	\$	58	\$	5	\$	77	\$	5	\$	145		
Life Insurance		31		(2)		1		(1)		29		
Retirement Plans		19								19		
Total	\$	108	\$	3	\$	78	\$	4	\$	193		

[1] The most
significant
contributors to
the Unlock
benefit recorded
during the third
quarter of 2010
were actual
separate
account returns

from July 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010 being above our aggregated estimated return and the impact of assumption updates primarily related to decreasing lapse and withdrawal rates and lower hedge costs.

The after-tax impact on the Company s assets and liabilities as a result of the Unlock during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was as follows:

Segment After-tax (Charge) Benefit	D	Death an Other Insurand Benefit DAC URR Reserve						SIA Total [1]				
Global Annuity Life Insurance Retirement Plans	\$	(22) 26 15	\$	8 3	\$	23	\$	(5) (1)	\$	4 29 15		
Total	\$	19	\$	11	\$	24	\$	(6)	\$	48		

[1] The most significant contributors to the Unlock benefit recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was actual separate account returns from January 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010 being above our aggregated estimated return and the impacts

of increased hedging costs and assumption updates.

75

Table of Contents

The after-tax impact on the Company s assets and liabilities as a result of the Unlock during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was as follows:

Segment After-tax (Charge) Benefit				O	th and ther ırance				
	D	OAC	URR	Be	nefit serves	S	SIA	Tot	tal [2]
Global Annuity [1] Life Insurance Corporate and Other	\$	18 (27) 1	\$ (14) 7	\$	92 (4)	\$	(10)	\$	86 (24) 1
Total	\$	(8)	\$ (7)	\$	88	\$	(10)	\$	63

- [1] Includes \$(49) related to DAC recoverability impairment associated with the decision to suspend sales in the U.K. variable annuity business.
- [2] The most significant contributor to the Unlock benefit recorded during the third quarter of 2009 was actual separate account returns from July 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009 being above our aggregated estimated return.

The after-tax impact on the Company s assets and liabilities as a result of the Unlock during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was as follows:

Death and Other

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Segment After-tax (Charge) Benefit	Insurance Benefit DAC URR Reserves SIA Total [1]											
Global Annuity	\$	(583)	\$	24	\$	(355)	\$	(50)	\$	(964)		
Life Insurance		(91)		47		(4)				(48)		
Retirement Plans		(54)				(1)		(1)		(56)		
Corporate and Other		(3)								(3)		
Total	\$	(731)	\$	71	\$	(360)	\$	(51)	\$	(1,071)		

[1] The most significant contributor to the Unlock charge recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was the result of actual separate account returns from October 1, 2008 to March 31, 2009 being significantly below our aggregated estimated return while the opposite was true from April 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009.

An Unlock revises EGPs, on a quarterly basis, to reflect market updates of policyholder account value and the Company s current best estimate assumptions. After each quarterly Unlock, the Company also tests the aggregate recoverability of DAC by comparing the DAC balance to the present value of future EGPs. The margin between the DAC balance and the present value of future EGPs for U.S. and Japan individual variable annuities was 26% and 38% as of September 30, 2010, respectively, and 23% and 59% as of December 31, 2009, respectively. If the margin between the DAC asset and the present value of future EGPs is exhausted, further reductions in EGPs would cause portions of DAC to be unrecoverable.

Table of Contents

Goodwill Impairment

Goodwill balances are reviewed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events occur or circumstances change that would indicate that a triggering event for a potential impairment has occurred. Effective for the third quarter of 2010, The Hartford changed its reporting segments, however the reporting units were not impacted. A reporting unit is defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment. Most of the Company s reporting units, for which goodwill has been allocated, are equivalent to the Company s operating segments as there is no discrete financial information available for the separate components of the segment or all of the components of the segment have similar economic characteristics. The homeowners and automobile components of Consumer Markets have been aggregated into one reporting unit; the variable life, universal life and term life components within Life Insurance have been aggregated into one reporting unit of Individual Life; the 401(k), 457 and 403(b) components of Retirement Plans have been aggregated into one reporting unit; the retail mutual funds component of Mutual Funds has been aggregated into one reporting unit; and the group disability and group life components of Group Benefits have been aggregated into one reporting unit. In circumstances where the components of an operating segment constitute a business for which discrete financial information is available and segment management regularly reviews the operating results of that component such as Hartford Financial Products, the Company has classified those components as reporting units. Goodwill associated with the June 30, 2000 buyback of Hartford Life, Inc. was allocated to each of Hartford Life s reporting units based on the reporting units fair value of in-force business. Although this goodwill was allocated to each reporting unit, it is held in Corporate and Other for segment reporting. In addition Federal Trust Corporation is an immaterial operating segment where the goodwill has been included in the Corporate and Other category.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had goodwill allocated to the following reporting units:

		gment odwill	Goodwill in Corporate and Other	Total	
Hartford Financial Products within Property & Casualty	300	04 W 111	o unci	-	
Commercial	\$	30	\$	\$	30
Group Benefits			138		138
Consumer Markets		119			119
Individual Life within Life Insurance		224	118		342
Retirement Plans		87	69		156
Mutual Funds		159	92		251
Federal Trust Corporation within Corporate and Other			15		15
Total	\$	619	\$ 432	\$	1.051

The Company completed its annual goodwill assessment for the Federal Trust Corporation reporting unit within Corporate and Other during the second quarter of 2010, resulting in a goodwill impairment of \$153, pre-tax.

The Company completed its annual goodwill assessment for the individual reporting units within Wealth Management and Corporate and Other, except for the Federal Trust Corporation reporting unit, as of January 1, 2010, which resulted in no write-downs of goodwill in 2010. The reporting units passed the first step of their annual impairment tests with a significant margin with the exception of the Individual Life reporting unit within Life Insurance. Individual Life completed the second step of the annual goodwill impairment test resulting in an implied goodwill value that was in excess of its carrying value. Even though the fair value of the reporting unit was lower than its carrying value, the implied level of goodwill in Individual Life exceeded the carrying amount of goodwill. In the implied purchase accounting required by the Step 2 goodwill impairment test, the implied present value of future profits was substantially lower than that of the DAC asset removed in purchase accounting. A higher discount rate was used for calculating the present value of future profits as compared to that used for calculating the present value of estimated gross profits for DAC. As a result, in the implied purchase accounting, implied goodwill exceeded the

carrying amount of goodwill.

The Company will complete the annual impairment test for the reporting units within Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets in the fourth quarter of 2010.

77

Table of Contents

KEY PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND RATIOS

The Hartford s operations overview

The Hartford is a financial holding company for a group of subsidiaries that provide investment products and life and property and casualty insurance to both individual and business customers in the United States. Also, The Hartford continues to administer business previously sold in Japan and the U.K.

The Company conducts business in three customer focused divisions, Commercial Markets, Consumer Markets and Wealth Management, each containing reporting segments. The Commercial Markets division consists of the reporting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Group Benefits. The Consumer Markets division is also the reporting segment. The Wealth Management division consists of the following reporting segments: Global Annuity, Life Insurance, Retirement Plans and Mutual Funds. For additional discussion regarding The Hartford s reporting segments, see Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company considers several measures and ratios to be the key performance indicators for its businesses. The following discussions include the more significant ratios and measures of profitability for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Management believes that these ratios and measures are useful in understanding the underlying trends in The Hartford s businesses. However, these key performance indicators should only be used in conjunction with, and not in lieu of, the results presented in the segment discussions that follow in this MD&A. These ratios and measures may not be comparable to other performance measures used by the Company s competitors.

Definitions of Non-GAAP and other measures and ratios

After-tax Margin

After-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment s operating performance. After-tax margin is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. The Hartford believes that the measure after-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, provides investors with a valuable measure of the performance of certain of the Company s on-going businesses because it reveals trends in those businesses that may be obscured by the effect of realized gains (losses) or quarterly DAC Unlocks. Some realized capital gains and losses are primarily driven by investment decisions and external economic developments, the nature and timing of which are unrelated to insurance aspects of our businesses. Accordingly, these non-GAAP measures exclude the effect of all realized gains and losses that tend to be highly variable from period to period based on capital market conditions. The Hartford believes, however, that some realized capital gains and losses are integrally related to our insurance operations, so after-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, should include net realized gains and losses on net periodic settlements on credit derivatives. These net realized gains and losses are directly related to an offsetting item included in the statement of operations such as net investment income. DAC Unlocks occur when the Company determines based on actual experience or other evidence, that estimates of future gross profits should be revised. As the DAC Unlock is a reflection of the Company s new best estimates of future gross profits, the result and its impact on the DAC amortization ratio is meaningful; however, it does distort the trend of after-tax margin. After-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, should not be considered as a substitute for after-tax margin and does not reflect the overall profitability of our businesses. Therefore, the Company believes it is important for investors to evaluate both after-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, and after-tax margin when reviewing the Company s performance.

Catastrophe ratio

The catastrophe ratio (a component of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio) represents the ratio of catastrophe losses incurred in the current calendar year (net of reinsurance) to earned premiums and includes catastrophe losses incurred for both the current and prior accident years. A catastrophe is an event that causes \$25 or more in industry insured property losses and affects a significant number of property and casualty policyholders and insurers. The catastrophe ratio includes the effect of catastrophe losses, but does not include the effect of reinstatement premiums.

Combined ratio

The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, the expense ratio and the policyholder dividend ratio. This ratio is a relative measurement that describes the related cost of losses and expenses for every \$100 of earned premiums. A combined ratio below 100.0 demonstrates underwriting profit; a combined ratio above

100.0 demonstrates underwriting losses.

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development

The combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development represents the combined ratio for the current accident year, excluding the impact of catastrophes. The Company believes this ratio is an important measure of the trend in profitability since it removes the impact of volatile and unpredictable catastrophe losses and prior accident year reserve development.

78

Table of Contents

DAC amortization ratio

DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment s operating performance. DAC amortization ratio is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. The Hartford believes that the measure DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, provides investors with a valuable measure of the performance of certain of the Company s on-going businesses because it reveals trends in our businesses that may be obscured by the effect of realized gains (losses) or quarterly DAC Unlocks. Some realized capital gains and losses are primarily driven by investment decisions and external economic developments, the nature and timing of which are unrelated to insurance aspects of our businesses. Accordingly, these non-GAAP measures exclude the effect of all realized gains and losses that tend to be highly variable from period to period based on capital market conditions. The Hartford believes, however, that some realized capital gains and losses are integrally related to our insurance operations, so amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and the present value of future profits (DAC amortization ratio), which is typically expressed as a percentage of pre-tax income before the cost of this amortization (an approximation of actual gross profits) and excludes the effects of realized capital gains and losses and DAC Unlock, however should include net realized gains and losses on net periodic settlements on the Japan fixed annuity cross-currency swap. These net realized gains and losses are directly related to an offsetting item included in the statement of operations such as net investment income. DAC Unlocks occur when the Company determines based on actual experience or other evidence, that estimates of future gross profits should be revised. As the DAC Unlock is a reflection of the Company s new best estimates of future gross profits, the result and its impact on the DAC amortization ratio is meaningful; however, it does distort the trend of DAC amortization ratio. DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, should not be considered as a substitute for DAC amortization ratio and does not reflect the overall profitability of our businesses. Therefore, the Company believes it is important for investors to evaluate both DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, and DAC amortization ratio when reviewing the Company s performance.

Net Investment Spread

Management evaluates performance of certain products based on net investment spread. These products include those that have insignificant mortality risk, such as fixed annuities, certain general account universal life contracts and certain institutional contracts. Net investment spread is determined by taking the difference between the earned rate, (excluding the effects of realized capital gains and losses, including those related to the Company s GMWB product and related reinsurance and hedging programs), and the related crediting rates on average general account assets under management. The net investment spreads are for the total portfolio of relevant contracts in each segment and reflect business written at different times. When pricing products, the Company considers current investment yields and not the portfolio average. The determination of credited rates is based upon consideration of current market rates for similar products, portfolio yields and contractually guaranteed minimum credited rates. Net investment spread can be volatile period over period, which can have a significant positive or negative effect on the operating results of each segment. The volatile nature of net investment spread is driven primarily by earnings on limited partnership and other alternative investments and prepayment premiums on securities. Investment earnings can also be influenced by factors such as changes in interest rates, credit spreads and decisions to hold higher levels of short-term investments.

Return on Assets (ROA)

ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment s operating performance. ROA is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. The Hartford believes that the measure ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, provides investors with a valuable measure of the performance of certain of the Company s on-going businesses because it reveals trends in our businesses that may be obscured by the effect of realized gains (losses) or quarterly DAC Unlocks. Some realized capital gains and losses are primarily driven by investment decisions and external economic developments, the nature and timing of which are unrelated to insurance aspects of our businesses. Accordingly, these non-GAAP measures exclude the effect of all realized gains and losses that tend to be highly variable from period to period based on capital market conditions. The Hartford believes, however, that some realized capital gains and losses are integrally related to our insurance operations, so ROA, excluding the

realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, should include net realized gains and losses on net periodic settlements on the Japan fixed annuity cross-currency swap. These net realized gains and losses are directly related to an offsetting item included in the statement of operations, such as net investment income. DAC Unlocks occur when the Company determines based on actual experience or other evidence, that estimates of future gross profits should be revised. As the DAC Unlock is a reflection of the Company s new best estimates of future gross profits, the result and its impact on the DAC amortization ratio is meaningful; however, it does distort the trend of ROA. ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, should not be considered as a substitute for ROA and does not reflect the overall profitability of our businesses. Therefore, the Company believes it is important for investors to evaluate both ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, and ROA when reviewing the Company s performance. *Underwriting results*

Underwriting results is a before-tax measure that represents earned premiums less incurred losses, loss adjustment expenses, underwriting expenses and policyholder dividends. The Hartford believes that underwriting results provides investors with a valuable measure of before-tax profitability derived from underwriting activities, which are managed separately from the Company s investing activities. The underwriting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets are evaluated by management primarily based upon underwriting results. A reconciliation of underwriting results to net income for Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets is set forth in their respective discussions herein.

79

Table of Contents

Written and earned premiums

Written premium is a statutory accounting financial measure which represents the amount of premiums charged for policies issued, net of reinsurance, during a fiscal period. Earned premium is a U.S. GAAP and statutory measure. Premiums are considered earned and are included in the financial results on a pro rata basis over the policy period. Management believes that written premium is a performance measure that is useful to investors as it reflects current trends in the Company s sale of property and casualty insurance products. Written and earned premium are recorded net of ceded reinsurance premium.

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development is a key indicator of overall profitability for the property and casualty underwriting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets since it removes the impact of volatile and unpredictable catastrophe losses and prior accident year reserve development.

	Three Mont Septemb		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Property & Casualty Commercial					
Combined ratio	84.9	87.3	88.0	88.0	
Catastrophe ratio	0.9	1.9	3.1	1.4	
Non-catastrophe prior year development	(8.2)	(7.7)	(7.9)	(5.1)	
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior					
year development	92.2	93.1	92.8	91.7	
Consumer Markets					
Combined ratio	94.1	101.2	98.5	98.5	
Catastrophe ratio	5.1	8.1	8.0	8.5	
Non-catastrophe prior year development	(4.3)	(1.5)	(2.1)	(0.8)	
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior					
year development	93.3	94.6	92.6	90.8	

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Property & Casualty Commercial s combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development for the three-month period decreased due to a decrease in the expense ratio as a result of lower compensation-related costs and lower amortization of DAC. For the nine-month period, the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development increased primarily due to a decrease in earned premiums.

Consumer Markets combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development for the three-month period decreased due to a decrease in the current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes driven by the effect of current accident year reserve strengthening in 2009 compared to releases in 2010. For the nine-month period, the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development increased primarily due to an increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for auto.

Table of Contents 173

80

Table of Contents

Return on Assets

Return on assets is a key indicator of overall profitability for the Global Annuity, Retirement Plans and Mutual Funds reporting segments as a significant portion of their earnings is based on average assets under management.

	Three Months September 2010		Nine Months September 2010	
Global Annuity	2010	2009	2010	2009
ROA [1] Effect of net realized losses, net of tax and	47.4bps	(81.0) bps	12.0bps	(111.0) bps
DAC on ROA [3]	(30.5) bps	(136.1) bps	(24.1) bps	(48.3) bps
Effect of DAC Unlock on ROA [2]	39.3bps	21.8bps	0.3bps	(82.0) bps
ROA, excluding realized losses and DAC Unlock	38.6bps	33.3bps	35.8bps	19.3bps
Cinock	30.00ps	33.3ups	33.oups	19.3008
Retirement Plans [1]				
ROA	25.8bps	(33.3) bps	10.9bps	(54.1) bps
Effect of net realized losses, net of tax and				
DAC on ROA	(0.4) bps	(41.6) bps	(2.8) bps	(40.9) bps
Effect of DAC Unlock on ROA [2]	16.3bps	bps	4.3bps	(18.7) bps
ROA, excluding realized losses and DAC Unlock	O Obrac	0 2hma	0.4hma	5 5hna
Unlock	9.9bps	8.3bps	9.4bps	5.5bps
Mutual Funds [1]				
ROA [4]	7.9bps	11.4bps	9.5 bps	6.1bps
Effect of net realized losses, net of tax and DAC on ROA	(0.4) bps	bps	bps	bps
ROA, excluding realized losses	8.3bps	11.4bps	9.5 bps	6.1bps

[1] Proprietary
mutual fund
assets are
included in
Mutual Funds
and those same
assets are also
included in
Global Annuity
and Retirement
Plans as those
same assets
generate
earnings for

each of these segments.

[2] See Unlocks within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A.

[3] Included in the net realized capital gain (losses) are amounts that represent the net periodic accruals on currency rate swaps used in the risk management of Japan fixed annuity products.

[4] Includes assets attributed to the transfer of Proprietary mutual funds, Investment-Only mutual funds, Canadian mutual funds, and 529 college savings plans effective January 1, 2010.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Global Annuity s ROA, excluding realized losses and DAC Unlock, increased primarily due to improved net investment income on limited partnerships and other alternative investments, a lower DAC amortization rate and improved operating expenses associated with the restructuring of Japan s operations. In addition, Global Annuity s ROA, excluding realized losses and DAC Unlock, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 improved due to 3 Win charges recognized in the first quarter of 2009 of \$40, after-tax. Excluding the effects of the 3 Win charge, ROA, excluding realized losses and DAC Unlock, DAC amortization would have been 22.7 bps for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

The increase in Retirement Plans ROA, excluding realized losses and DAC Unlock, was primarily driven by improved performance on limited partnerships and other alternative investments in 2010, and an unfavorable tax

adjustment recorded in the third quarter of 2009 related to a true-up for the 2008 tax year. The decrease in the Mutual Funds ROA, excluding realized losses, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 is driven by the addition of Proprietary and Canadian mutual fund assets to this line of business which have a lower ROA, as well as the capital infusion to the money market fund. The increase in Mutual Funds ROA, excluding realized losses, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was driven by improvement in the equity markets, which enabled this business to partially return to scale, and the impact of lower operating expenses, partially offset by the addition of Proprietary and Canadian mutual fund assets to this line of business which have a lower ROA.

81

Table of Contents

After-tax margin

After-tax margin is a key indicator of overall profitability for the Life Insurance and Group Benefits reporting segments as a significant portion of their earnings are a result of the net margin from losses incurred on earned premiums, fees and other considerations.

	Three Month Septembe		Nine Month Septembe	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Life Insurance				
After-tax margin	25.0%	2.7%	18.7%	1.9%
Effect of net realized gains (losses), net of tax				
and DAC on after-tax margin	2.9%	(6.1%)	1.5%	(6.4%)
Effect of DAC Unlock on after-tax margin [1]	7.1%	(8.3%)	2.4%	(5.5%)
After-tax margin, excluding realized gains				
(losses) and DAC Unlock	15.0%	17.1%	14.8%	13.8%
Group Benefits				
After-tax margin (excluding buyouts)	4.0%	5.7%	4.1%	4.2%
Effect of net realized gains (losses), net of tax	0.2%	(1.6%)	0.5%	(1.2%)
on after-tax margin	0.270	(1.0%)	0.5%	(1.2%)
After-tax margin (excluding buyouts),				
exlcuding realized gains (losses)	3.8%	7.3%	3.6%	5.4%

[1] See Unlocks
within the
Critical
Accounting
Estimates
section of the

section of th

MD&A.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, Life Insurance s after-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, decreased primarily due to higher mortality, partially offset by lower DAC amortization and favorable operating expenses. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, Life Insurance s after-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlock, increased primarily due to lower DAC amortization and favorable operating expenses, partially offset by higher mortality.

The decrease in Group Benefits after-tax margin (excluding buyouts), excluding realized gains (losses), was primarily due to a higher loss ratio from unfavorable morbidity.

82

Table of Contents

Investment Results

Composition of Invested Assets

The Company s primary investment objective is to maximize economic value, consistent with acceptable risk parameters, including the management of credit risk and interest rate sensitivity of invested assets, while generating sufficient after-tax income to meet policyholder and corporate obligations. Investment strategies are developed based on a variety of factors including business needs, regulatory requirements and tax considerations.

	September 30, 2010				December 31, 2009			
	Amount		Percent	Amount		Percent		
Fixed maturities, AFS, at fair value	\$	79,736	78.8%	\$	71,153	76.3%		
Fixed maturities, at fair value using the fair value								
option		564	0.6%					
Equity securities, AFS, at fair value		1,168	1.2%		1,221	1.3%		
Mortgage loans		4,684	4.6%		5,938	6.4%		
Policy loans, at outstanding balance		2,180	2.2%		2,174	2.3%		
Limited partnerships and other alternative								
investments		1,819	1.8%		1,790	1.9%		
Other investments [1]		1,427	1.4%		602	0.7%		
Short-term investments		9,517	9.4%		10,357	11.1%		
Total investments excluding equity securities,								
trading		101,095	100.0%		93,235	100.0%		
Equity securities, trading, at fair value [2]		32,495			32,321			
Total investments	\$	133,590		\$	125,556			

- [1] Primarily relates to derivative instruments.
- [2] These assets primarily support the Global Annuity-International variable annuity business. Changes in these balances are also reflected in the respective liabilities.

Total investments increased since December 31, 2009 primarily due to increases in fixed maturities, AFS, and other investments, partially offset by declines in mortgage loans and short-term investments. The increase in fixed maturities, AFS, was largely the result of improved security valuations as a result of declining interest rates and, to a lesser extent, credit spread tightening, as well as the reinvestment of short-term investment proceeds, which contributed to the decline in short-term investments. The increase in other investments primarily related to increases in value related to derivatives. Partially offsetting these increases were declines in mortgage loans primarily from sales.

Net Investment Income (Loss)

Three Months Ended September 30,

Nine Months Ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

	2010		200	2009		0	2009		
		Yield		Yield		Yield		Yield	
(Before-tax)	Amount	[1]	Amount	[1]	Amount	[1]	Amount	[1]	
Fixed maturities [2]	\$ 868	4.3%	\$ 883	4.4%	\$ 2,629	4.4%	\$ 2,765	4.6%	
Equity securities, AFS	12	3.9%	24	6.5%	39	4.2%	76	7.0%	
Mortgage loans	72	6.2%	82	5.1%	210	5.5%	240	5.0%	
Policy loans	33	6.1%	36	6.5%	101	6.2%	108	6.5%	
Limited partnerships and									
other alternative investments	49	11.5%	(32)	(6.2%)	141	10.9%	(334)	(20.2%)	
Other [3]	78		89		254		217		
Investment expense	(29)		(33)		(78)		(82)		
Total net investment									
income excl. equity									
securities, trading	1,083	4.4%	1,049	4.2%	3,296	4.5%	2,990	4.0%	
Equity securities, trading	1,043		638		(905)		2,437		
Total net investment									
income (loss)	\$2,126		\$ 1,687		\$ 2,391		\$5,427		

[1] Yields calculated using annualized investment income before investment expenses divided by the monthly average invested assets at cost, amortized cost, or adjusted carrying value, as applicable, excluding securities lending collateral and consolidated variable interest entity noncontrolling interests. Included in the fixed maturity yield is Other, which primarily relates to

derivatives (see

footnote [3] below).
Included in the total net investment income yield is investment expense.

[2] Includes net

investment income on short-term

investments.

[3] Includes income

from derivatives
that qualify for
hedge
accounting and
hedge fixed
maturities.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

For the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009, total net investment income increased largely due to equity securities, trading, resulting primarily from improvements in market performance of the underlying investment funds supporting the Japanese variable annuity product.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009, total net investment income decreased largely due to equity securities, trading, resulting primarily from deteriorations in market performance of the underlying investment funds supporting the Japanese variable annuity product.

83

Table of Contents

Total net investment income, excluding equity securities, trading, increased primarily due to improved performance of limited partnerships and other alternative investments primarily within real estate and private equity funds, partially offset by lower income on fixed maturities resulting from a decline in average short-term interest rates and lower asset levels.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

	7	Three Mon	ths I	Ended	Nine Months Ended					
		Septem	ber 3	30,	September 30,					
(Before-tax)	2	2010		2009		2010		2009		
Gross gains on sales	\$	179	\$	205	\$	654	\$	570		
Gross losses on sales		(88)		(104)		(293)		(1,013)		
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings		(115)		(536)		(375)		(1,074)		
Valuation allowances on mortgage loans		(7)		(40)		(159)		(193)		
Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [1]		11		(7)		22		28		
Periodic net coupon settlements on credit										
derivatives/Japan		(4)		(7)		(15)		(39)		
Results of variable annuity hedge program										
GMWB derivatives, net		170		(190)		(127)		1,070		
Macro hedge program		(443)		(328)		(210)		(692)		
Total results of variable annuity hedge program		(273)		(518)		(337)		378		
Other, net [2]		36		(212)		(23)		(473)		
Net realized capital losses	\$	(261)	\$	(1,219)	\$	(526)	\$	(1,816)		

[1] Relates to derivative hedging instruments, excluding periodic net coupon settlements, and is net of the Japanese fixed annuity product liability adjustment for changes in the dollar/yen exchange spot rate.

[2] Primarily
consists of
losses on Japan
3Win related
foreign currency

swaps, changes in fair value on non-qualifying derivatives and fixed maturities, FVO, and other investment gains and losses.

Details on the Company s net realized capital gains and losses are as follows:

Gross gains and losses on sales

Gross gains and losses on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were predominantly from sales of investment grade corporate securities, U.S. Treasuries and previously reserved mortgage loans in order to take advantage of attractive market opportunities.

Gross gains and losses on sales for the three and nine months September 30, 2009 were predominantly within financial services, structured and government securities due to efforts to reduce portfolio risk while simultaneously reallocating the portfolio to securities with more favorable risk/return profiles.

Net OTTI losses

For further information, see Other-Than-Temporary Impairments within the Investment Credit Risk section of the MD&A.

Valuation allowances on mortgage loans

For further information, see Valuation Allowances on Mortgage Loans within the Investment Credit Risk section of the MD&A.

Variable annuity hedge program

The gain on GMWB derivatives, net, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to liability model assumption updates of \$164 and lower implied market volatility of \$117, partially offset by losses due to a general decrease in long-term rates of \$(94). The loss on GMWB derivatives, net, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to a general decrease in long-term interest rates of \$(309), partially offset by gains on liability model assumption updates of \$164. The net loss on the macro hedge program was primarily the result of higher equity market valuation.

The loss on GMWB derivatives, net, for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to liability model assumption updates of \$(126) and a general decrease in long-term interest rates of \$(97). The gain on GMWB derivatives, net, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to outperformance of the underlying actively managed funds as compared to their respective indices of \$510, liability model assumption updates of \$306, and lower implied market volatility of \$201, partially offset by losses on higher equity market valuation of \$(194). For more information, see Note 4a of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The net losses on the macro hedge program for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 were primarily the result of a higher equity market valuation.

Other, net

Other, net gain for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to gains of \$109 on credit derivatives that assume credit risk driven by credit spreads tightening, and gains of \$58 on interest rate derivatives used to manage portfolio duration driven by a decline in long-term interest rates, partially offset by losses of \$(123) on transactional foreign currency re-valuation due to an increase in value of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI.

Other, net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to losses of \$(240) on transactional foreign currency re-valuation due to an increase in value of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI, and losses of \$(117) related to the Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps driven by a decrease in U.S. interest rates. These losses are partially offset by gains of \$140 on credit derivatives, gains of \$60 related to Japan variable annuity hedges due to the appreciation of the Japanese yen, gains of \$55 on interest rate derivatives used to manage portfolio duration driven by a decline in long-term interest rates and \$35 of other foreign currency related gains.

Other, net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily resulted from losses of \$(99) on transactional foreign currency re-valuation due to an increase in value of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI and losses of \$(84) on credit derivatives that purchase credit protection due to credit spreads tightening. Other, net loss for the nine months ended September 30 2009 primarily resulted from losses of \$(438) on credit derivatives that purchase credit protection due to credit spreads tightening, and losses of \$(223) related to contingent obligations associated with the Allianz transaction. These losses were partially offset by gains of \$118 on credit derivatives that assume credit risk driven by credit spreads tightening, and gains of \$80 on transactional foreign currency re-valuation gains predominantly on the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI.

85

PROPERTY & CASUALTY COMMERCIAL

	Three Months Ended September 30,						Nine Months Ended September 30,				
Operating Summary	2	2010	,	2009	Change		2010		2009	Change	
Written premiums	\$	1,447	\$	1,387	4%	\$	4,347	\$	4,316	1%	
Change in unearned premium											
reserve		8		(55)	NM		69		(147)	NM	
Earned premiums		1,439		1,442			4,278		4,463	(4%)	
Losses and loss adjustment		1,137		1,112			1,270		1,103	(170)	
expenses											
Current accident year before											
catastrophes		888		888			2,634		2,726	(3%)	
Current accident year										, ,	
catastrophes		13		25	(48%)		134		80	68%	
Prior accident years		(118)		(108)	(9%)		(339)		(246)	(38%)	
Total losses and loss adjustment					,					, -	
expenses		783		805	(3%)		2,429		2,560	(5%)	
Amortization of deferred policy		220		2.45	(2.64.)		1.010		1.071	(2.64.)	
acquisition costs		338		345	(2%)		1,018		1,051	(3%)	
Insurance operating costs and		100		100	(0.07.)		210		215	1.07	
expenses		100		109	(8%)		318		315	1%	
Underwriting results		218		183	19%		513		537	(4%)	
Net servicing income		6		4	50%		7		6	17%	
Net investment income		227		206	10%		696		546	27%	
Net realized capital gains (losses)		6		(66)	NM		(10)		(359)	97%	
Other expenses		(24)		(28)	14%		(87)		(87)		
Income before income taxes		433		299	45%		1,119		643	74%	
Income tax expense		127		82	55%		337		159	112%	
теоте шх ехрепзе		127		02	3370		331		137	11270	
Net income	\$	306	\$	217	41%	\$	782	\$	484	62%	
Premium Measures	2	2010	,	2009			2010		2009		
New business premium	\$	279	\$	269		\$	852	\$	823		
Standard commercial lines policy											
count retention		83%)	80%			84%		80%		
Standard commercial lines											
renewal written pricing increase											
(decrease)		1%)	(1%)			1%		(1%)		
Standard commercial lines											
renewal earned pricing decrease				(1%)					(2%)		
Standard commercial lines							1 201 062		1 151 000		
policies in-force							1,201,862	-	1,151,999		

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,					
Underwriting Ratios	20	10	2	2009	Chan	ge	20	10		2009	Change
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio Current accident year before											
catastrophes Current accident year		61.8		61.6	(0	.2)		61.6		61.1	(0.5)
catastrophes Prior accident years		0.9 (8.2)		1.8 (7.5)	0	.9 .7		3.1 (7.9)		1.8 (5.5)	(1.3) 2.4
Total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio		54.5		55.9	1	.4		56.8		57.3	0.5
Expense ratio Policyholder dividend ratio		30.1		31.1 0.3		.0		31.2		30.3 0.3	(0.9) 0.3
Combined ratio		84.9		87.3	2	.4		88.0		88.0	
Catastrophe ratio											
Current year Prior years		0.9		1.8 0.1	0	.9 .1		3.1		1.8 (0.4)	(1.3) (0.4)
Total catastrophe ratio		0.9		1.9	1	.0		3.1		1.4	(1.7)
Combined ratio before catastrophes Combined ratio before		84.0		85.5	1	.5		84.9		86.5	1.6
catastrophes and prior accident years development		92.2		93.1	0	.9		92.8		91.7	(1.1)
Other revenues [1]	\$	82	\$	85	((4%)	\$	237	\$	246	(4%)

^[1] Represents servicing revenues.

86

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Earned premiums

Earned premiums decreased slightly for the three-month period as a decrease in professional liability, general liability and marine was largely offset by an increase in workers compensation. For the nine-month period, earned premiums decreased driven by a decrease in professional liability, fidelity and surety, auto, general liability and marine. The effects of the economic downturn have contributed to the decrease in earned premiums in the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

New business premium

New business written premium increased for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in both periods was driven by an increase in specialty casualty, and to a lesser extent for the nine months, an increase in package business.

Standard commercial lines policy count retention

Policy count retention increased in both the three- and nine-month periods in nearly all lines of business, as a result of an improvement in mid-term cancellations in 2010.

Standard commercial lines renewal earned pricing decrease

For both the three- and nine-month periods, renewal earned pricing was flat, as an increase in package business was offset by a decrease for general liability. The earned pricing changes were primarily a reflection of written pricing changes over the last year.

Standard commercial lines policies in-force

The number of policies-in-force increased by 4% from September 30, 2009 to September 30, 2010, while earned premiums declined over the same period. The increase is primarily related to the increase in policy count retention.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses

Current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes

Current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes remained flat for the three-month period and decreased for the nine-month period. The decrease in the nine-month period was primarily due to decreases in earned premium, partially offset by increases in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes. The current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes increased, primarily due to higher severity on package and property business and higher frequency on workers compensation, largely offset by a lower ratio for specialty casualty.

Current accident year catastrophes

Current accident year catastrophe losses decreased for the three-month period and increased for the nine-month period. In the three-month period, losses in 2009 from windstorms and tornadoes in the Midwest were higher than losses in 2010. In the nine-month period, losses were higher in 2010 due to more severe windstorm events, particularly from hail in the Midwest, plains states and the Southeast and from winter storms in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast. Catastrophe losses in the nine-month period of 2009 included losses from ice storms, windstorms and tornadoes across many states.

Prior accident year reserve development

Net favorable prior accident year reserve development increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, and included reserve releases across nearly all lines of business. Net favorable reserve development for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 included reserve releases in workers—compensation, general liability and professional liability, which, for the nine-month period, was offset by reserve strengthening in surety and package business. For additional information on prior accident year reserve development, see the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section within Critical Accounting Estimates.

Operating expenses

Insurance operating costs and expenses decreased for the three-month period and increased for the nine-month period. The change for the three-month period was primarily due to lower compensation-related costs in 2010. The change for the nine-month period was driven by an increase in taxes, licenses and fees of \$19, which included a \$5 decrease in reserve strengthening for other state funds and taxes, and a \$7 reduction in TWIA assessments recognized in 2009 related to hurricane Ike, largely offset by a \$15 decrease in the estimated amount of dividends payable to certain workers compensation policyholders. Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs decreased for the three- and nine-month periods largely due to the decrease in earned premiums.

Net investment income

Net investment income increased for both the three- and nine-month periods primarily as a result of improvements in limited partnerships and other alternative investments, partially offset by lower returns on taxable fixed maturities due to declining interest rates.

Net realized gains (losses)

For both the three- and nine-month periods, net realized capital gains (losses) improved as compared to prior year. The improvements were primarily driven by lower impairments in 2010 compared to 2009 and realized gains on derivatives in 2010 compared to losses in 2009.

87

GROUP BENEFITS

		e Months E		Nine Months Ended					
		eptember 30	•		September 30,				
Operating Summary	2010	2009	Change	2010	2009	Change			
Premiums and other considerations	\$ 1,058	\$ 1,069	(1%)	\$ 3,234	\$ 3,281	(1%)			
Net investment income	107	105	2%	324	298	9%			
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(1)	(32)	97%	31	(70)	NM			
Total revenues Benefits, losses and loss adjustment	1,164	1,142	2%	3,589	3,509	2%			
expenses Amortization of deferred policy	816	742	10%	2,505	2,424	3%			
acquisition costs Insurance operating costs and other	15	16	(6%)	46	45	2%			
expenses	275	295	(7%)	839	846	(1%)			
Total benefits, losses and expenses	1,106	1,053	5%	3,390	3,315	2%			
Income before income taxes	58	89	(35%)	199	194	3%			
Income tax expense	12	24	(50%)	54	46	17%			
Net income	\$ 46	\$ 65	(29%)	\$ 145	\$ 148	(2%)			
Earned Premiums and Other									
Fully insured ongoing premiums Buyout premiums	\$ 1,043	\$ 1,059	(2%)	\$ 3,136 58	\$ 3,251	(4%)			
Other	15	10	50%	40	30	33%			
Total earned premiums and other	\$ 1,058	\$ 1,069	(1%)	\$ 3,234	\$ 3,281	(1%)			
Fully insured ongoing sales, excluding buyouts	\$ 100	\$ 122	(18%)	\$ 497	\$ 611	(19%)			
Ratios, excluding buyouts									
Loss ratio	77.1%	69.4%		77.0%	73.9%				
Loss ratio, excluding financial									
institutions	82.4%	74.0%		82.5%	78.2%				
Expense ratio	27.4%	29.1%		27.9%	27.2%				
Expense ratio, excluding financial									
institutions	22.8%	22.9%		23.1%	22.5%				
Three and nine months ended Sentemb			the three an			ontombor 20			

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Net income decreased as a result of higher claim costs, partially offset by improvements in the realized gains (losses) and net investment income, as well as lower operating expenses.

The following factors contributed to the changes in net income:

Premiums and other considerations

Premiums and other considerations decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 due to lower sales and reductions in covered lives within our customer base.

Net investment income

Net investment income increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as a result of higher weighted average portfolio yields primarily due to improved performance on limited partnerships and other alternative investments.

Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses/Loss ratio

The segment s loss ratio (defined as benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses as a percentage of premiums and other considerations excluding buyouts) was higher compared to the prior year periods due primarily to unfavorable morbidity experience from higher incidence and lower claim terminations.

Expense ratio and insurance operating costs and other expenses

The segment s expense ratio, excluding buyouts, declined for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the prior year period primarily due to a commission accrual adjustment recorded in the third quarter of 2009 on the financial institution business. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 the expense ratio was higher primarily due to an overall reduction in the underlying premium.

Income tax expense

The effective tax rate, in all periods, differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

88

CONSUMER MARKETS

				nths E		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
Operating Summary	20	10	20	09	Change	2010	2009	Change	
Written premiums	\$ 1,0	014	\$1,	,049	(3%)	\$ 2,990	\$ 3,042	(2%)	
Change in unearned premium reserve		29		60	(52%)	14	85	(84%)	
Earned premiums	Ģ	985		989		2,976	2,957	1%	
Losses and loss adjustment expenses									
Current accident year before catastrophes	(681		694	(2%)	2,034	1,974	3%	
Current accident year catastrophes		42		90	(53%)	229	242	(5%)	
Prior accident years		(34)		(25)	(36%)	(51)	(15)	NM	
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses Amortization of deferred policy acquisition	(689		759	(9%)	2,212	2,201		
costs		167		171	(2%)	503	505		
Insurance operating costs and expenses		71		69	3%	217	205	6%	
Underwriting results		58		(10)	NM	44	46	(4%)	
Net servicing income		7		6	17%	22	20	10%	
Net investment income		46		48	(4%)	139	128	9%	
Net realized capital gains (losses)		1		(15)	NM	(2)	(87)	98%	
Other expenses		(14)		(15)	7%	(47)	(47)		
Income before income taxes		98		14	NM	156	60	160%	
Income tax expense (benefit)		28		(1)	NM	43	5	NM	
Net income	\$	70	\$	15	NM	\$ 113	\$ 55	105%	

		ee Months E September 3			nded 80,		
Written Premiums	2010	2009	Change	2010	2009	Change	
Business Unit							
AARP	\$ 743	\$ 755	(2%)	\$ 2,166	\$ 2,199	(2%)	
Agency	258	280	(8%)	783	797	(2%)	
Other	13	14	(7%)	41	46	(11%)	
Total	\$ 1,014	\$ 1,049	(3%)	\$ 2,990	\$ 3,042	(2%)	
Product Line							
Automobile	\$ 700	\$ 742	(6%)	\$ 2,115	\$ 2,195	(4%)	
Homeowners	314	307	2%	875	847	3%	
Total	\$ 1,014	\$ 1,049	(3%)	\$ 2,990	\$ 3,042	(2%)	

Earned Premiums

Business Unit

AARP Agency Other	\$ 712 259 14	\$ 712 261 16		(1%) (13%)	\$ 2,143 789 44	\$ 2,124 783 50		1% 1% (12%)
Total	\$ 985	\$ 989			\$ 2,976	\$ 2,957		1%
Product Line Automobile Homeowners	\$ 698 287	\$ 717 272		(3%) 6%	\$ 2,122 854	\$ 2,136 821		(1%) 4%
Total	\$ 985	\$ 989			\$ 2,976	\$ 2,957		1%
Premium Measures		ee Mon Septem)	ber 3		Ni 20	ine Month Septemb 10		
Policies in-force end of period Automobile Homeowners						7,845 5,921		94,043 83,795
Total policies in-force end of period					3,74	3,766	3,8'	77,838
New business written premium Automobile Homeowners	\$ \$	74 26	\$ \$	118 41	\$ \$	249 86	\$ \$	356 113
Policy count retention Automobile Homeowners		82% 84%		86% 86%		83% 85%		86% 86%
Renewal written pricing increase Automobile Homeowners		8% 11%		3% 5%		6% 9%		3% 5%
Renewal earned pricing increase Automobile Homeowners		5% 8%		3% 6%		4% 7%		4% 6%

Table of Contents 191

89

Table of Contents

		ee Months Endeptember 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
Ratios and Supplemental Data	2010	2009	Change	2010	2009	Change	
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio							
Current accident year before							
catastrophes	69.2	70.4	1.2	68.4	66.8	(1.6)	
Current accident year							
catastrophes	4.3	9.1	4.8	7.7	8.2	0.5	
Prior accident years	(3.5)	(2.5)	1.0	(1.7)	(0.5)	1.2	
Total loss and loss adjustment							
expense ratio	70.0	76.9	6.9	74.4	74.5	0.1	
Expense ratio	24.1	24.2	0.1	24.2	24.0	(0.2)	
Combined ratio	94.1	101.2	7.1	98.5	98.5		
Catastrophe ratio							
Current year	4.3	9.1	4.8	7.7	8.2	0.5	
Prior years	0.7	(1.0)	(1.7)	0.4	0.3	(0.1)	
Total catastrophe ratio	5.1	8.1	3.0	8.0	8.5	0.5	
Combined ratio before							
catastrophes	89.1	93.1	4.0	90.5	90.0	(0.5)	
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident							
years development	93.3	94.6	1.3	92.6	90.8	(1.8)	
Other revenues [1]	\$ 40	\$ 38	5%	\$ 123	\$ 110	12%	

[1] Represents servicing revenues.

Product Line Combined Ratios		e Months Er eptember 30		Nine		
	2010	2009	, Change	2010	eptember 30 2009	Change
Automobile	93.3	98.1	4.8	95.2	94.7	(0.5)
Homeowners	96.3	109.3	13.0	107.4	108.5	1.1
Total	94.1	101.2	7.1	98.5	98.5	

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Earned premiums

Earned premiums were flat for the three-month period and were up 1% for the nine-month period with similar trends in both AARP and Agency.

AARP earned premiums were flat for the three-month period and were up 1% for the nine-month period. Earned premium growth for the nine-month period was driven by new business written premium growth through the third quarter of 2009. Since the third quarter of 2009, new business written premium and policy count retention have decreased driven by a lower auto policy conversion rate, lower responses from direct response marketing and lower average renewal earned premium per policy for auto business, partially offset by an increase in cross-selling homeowners insurance to insureds who have auto policies. For the three-month period, the decrease in AARP written premium in 2010 offset the positive effect on earned premium from growth in written premium in 2009.

Agency earned premiums decreased 1% for the three-month period and increased 1% for the nine-month period. Earned premium growth for the nine-month period was primarily due to new business written premium growth in 2009 and the first quarter of 2010, partially offset by a decrease in new business written premium and policy retention since the first quarter of 2010 as well as a decrease in average renewal earned premium per policy for auto business. The new business written premium growth through the first quarter of 2010 was driven by an increase in the number of agency appointments and the number of policy quotes. Since the first quarter of 2010, the number of quotes and the policy issue rate have declined as the Company has taken pricing and underwriting actions to improve profitability.

90

Table of Contents

Auto earned premiums were down 3% for the three-month period and 1% for the nine-month period, reflecting a decrease in new business written premium and policy count retention since the fourth quarter of 2009 and a decrease in average renewal earned premium per policy, particularly in Agency. Despite auto renewal earned pricing increases for the three- and nine-month periods, average renewal earned premium per policy for auto declined in the three- and nine-month periods of 2010 due to a shift to more preferred market segment business and a greater concentration of business in states and territories with lower average premium. Homeowners earned premiums grew 6% and 4%, respectively, for the three- and nine-month periods due primarily to the effect of increases in earned pricing, partially offset by a decrease in new business written premium and policy count retention in the nine-month period of 2010.

New business written premium

Auto new business written premium decreased by 37% and 30% for the three- and nine-month periods, respectively, due primarily to the effect of written pricing increases and underwriting actions that lowered the policy issue rate on direct marketing responses and agency business quotes. Also contributing to the decrease in auto new business was lower responses from direct marketing. Homeowners new business written premium decreased 37% and 24% for the three- and nine-month periods, respectively, as the effect of pricing and underwriting actions lowered the policy issue rate on direct marketing responses and agency business quotes. This was partially offset by an increase in the cross-sale of homeowners insurance to insureds that have auto policies.

Policy count retention

Policy count retention for auto decreased by 4 points for the three-month period and by 3 points for the nine-month period primarily driven by the effect of renewal written pricing increases and underwriting actions to improve profitability. Policy count retention for homeowners decreased 2 points for the three-month period and 1 point for the nine-month period, primarily driven by the effect of renewal written pricing increases and underwriting actions. For the nine-month period, the decrease in policy count retention was partially offset by the effect of the Company s non-renewal of Florida homeowners Agency business in 2009.

Renewal earned pricing increase

Auto renewal earned pricing increased in both the three- and nine-month periods due to rate increases and the effect of policyholders purchasing newer vehicle models in place of older models. Homeowners renewal earned pricing increased in both the three- and nine-month periods due to rate increases and increased coverage amounts reflecting higher rebuilding costs. For both auto and home, the Company has increased rates in certain states for certain classes of business to maintain profitability in the face of rising loss costs.

Policies in-force

Compared to September 30, 2009, the number of policies in-force as of September 30, 2010 decreased by 4% for auto, driven by a decrease in AARP and Agency policy retention, and by 2% for home, driven by a decrease in Agency policy retention.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses

Current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes

For the three-month period, Consumer Markets current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes decreased driven, primarily, by the effect of \$10 of prior quarter current accident year strengthening in 2009 and \$6 of net prior quarter current accident year reserve releases in 2010. Apart from the prior quarter reserve

actions in the third quarter of each year, current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes increased slightly in the three-month period as an increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for auto was largely offset by an improvement for home. For the nine-month period, Consumer Markets—current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes increased primarily due to an increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for auto and, to a lesser extent, the increase in earned premium.

Apart from the prior quarter reserve actions in the third quarter of each year, the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for auto increased for both the three- and nine-month period. The increase was due to higher than expected auto liability loss costs relative to average earned premium per policy and, to a lesser extent, higher auto physical damage emerged frequency. The current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for home decreased for the three-month period driven by earned rate increases and low average claim severity, partially offset by increased frequency of weather claims. For the nine-month period, the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for home was relatively flat.

91

Table of Contents

Current accident year catastrophes

Current accident year catastrophes decreased in both the three- and nine-month periods. The decrease in current accident year catastrophes in the three-month period was primarily due to two large hail and windstorm events in Colorado in the third quarter of 2009. Current accident year catastrophes for the nine-month period were modestly lower in 2010 than 2009 despite a slightly higher number of catastrophe events. Catastrophe losses in the nine-month period in 2010 primarily included losses from tornadoes, thunderstorms and hail events in the Midwest, plains states and the Southeast as well as losses from winter storms in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast. Catastrophe losses in the nine-month period in 2009 primarily included losses from windstorms in Texas and the Midwest as well as the two large Colorado hail and windstorm events.

Prior accident year reserve development

For both the three- and nine-month periods, there was greater net favorable prior accident year reserve development in 2010 than in 2009. Net favorable reserve development in 2010 included, among other reserve changes, releases of AARP and Agency auto liability reserves of \$41 and \$82 for the three- and nine-month periods, respectively, primarily related to the 2005 through 2009 accident years, partially offset by strengthening of AARP and Agency homeowners reserves and strengthening of prior year catastrophe reserves. Net favorable reserve development in 2009 included, among other reserve changes, releases of AARP and Agency auto liability reserves of \$20 and \$53 for the three- and nine-month periods, respectively, primarily related to accident years 2005 to 2008, partially offset by strengthening of homeowners reserves.

Operating expenses

For the three-month period, the expense ratio decreased slightly, driven primarily by lower direct marketing on consumer direct business. For the nine-month period, the expense ratio increased modestly driven by an increase in information technology costs, higher amortization of acquisition costs on AARP business and the cost of a legal settlement in 2010, largely offset by lower direct marketing on consumer direct business.

Net investment income

Net investment income increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to an increase in income from investments in limited partnerships and other alternative investments. Contributing to the decrease in net investment income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was a lower yield on taxable fixed maturities.

Net realized capital gains (losses)

For the three-month period, Consumer Markets recorded a modest net realized capital gain in 2010 compared to a net realized capital loss in 2009. For the nine-month period, Consumer Markets recognized lower net realized capital losses in 2010 as compared to 2009. The improvement in both periods was driven by a change from recording net losses on derivatives in 2009 to net gains on derivatives in 2010 and from a reduction in impairments. Net gains on derivatives in 2010 were driven by gains from a duration hedge program due to changes in interest rates and net gains from derivatives due to changes in credit spreads. Impairments decreased from 2009 to 2010 principally due to improved market conditions.

92

GLOBAL ANNUITY

		Three Months Ended September 30,							Ni		onths Ended ember 30,		
Operating Summary	2	2010			2009	Change			2010	1	2009	Change	
Fee income and other	\$	590		\$	580	2%	9	\$	1,769	\$	1,706	4%	
Earned premiums		69			31	123%			163		308	(47%)	
Net investment income													
(loss):													
Securities available-for sale													
and other		426			440	(3%))		1,277		1,282		
Equity securities, trading [1]		1,043			638	63%			(905)		2,437	NM	
Total net investment income		1,469			1,078	36%			372		3,719	(90%)	
Net realized capital losses		(320)			(917)	65%			(625)		(568)	(10%)	
Total revenues Benefits, losses and loss		1,808			772	134%			1,679		5,165	(67%)	
adjustment expenses Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns	.	388			403	(4%))		1,531		2,556	(40%)	
credited on international													
variable annuities [1]		1,043			638	63%			(905)		2,437	NM	
Amortization of DAC		(66)			78	NM			328		1,655	(80%)	
Insurance operating costs													
and other expenses		199			200	(1%))		573		646	(11%)	
Total benefits, losses and						10.54						(=0 ~()	
expenses Income (loss) before		1,564			1,319	19%			1,527		7,294	(79%)	
income taxes		244			(547)	NM			152		(2,129)	NM	
Income tax expense (benefit)		69			(227)	NM			11		(825)	NM	
Net income (loss)	\$	175		\$	(320)	NM	•	\$	141	\$	(1,304)	NM	
Assets Under Management Variable annuity account								•	112.012	Φ.	110.050	(40)	
values Fixed MVA annuity and								>	113,912	\$	119,079	(4%)	
other account values Institutional investment									17,100		16,816	2%	
products account values Investment-Only mutual									20,086		23,128	(13%)	
funds assets [2]											4,453	(100%)	
Total assets under management [3]							•	\$ 1	151,098	\$	163,476	(8%)	

Account Value Roll

Forward

\$ 107 295	\$ 108 548	\$ 119 387	\$ 105,921
•	·	•	(5,322)
(2,040)	(1,740)	(0,377)	(3,322)
7 631	10 165	1 2/10	16,299
7,031	10,103	*	1,188
		(1,555)	1,100
1 024	2 206	2 120	002
1,834	2,300	3,128	993
\$ 113,912	\$ 119,079	\$ 113,912	\$ 119,079
(4) bps	(2) bps	13bps	(26) bps
22.7bps	26.1bps	21.3bps	30.1bps
(37.1%)	(16.6%)	68.3%	(349.2%)
,	,		,
	(4) bps 22.7bps (37.1%)	(2,848) (1,940) 7,631 10,165 1,834 2,306 \$ 113,912 \$ 119,079 (4) bps (2) bps 22.7bps (37.1%) 26.1bps (16.6%)	(2,848) (1,940) (8,597) 7,631 10,165 1,349 (1,355) 1,834 2,306 3,128 \$113,912 \$119,079 \$113,912 (4) bps (2) bps 13bps 22.7bps (37.1%) 26.1bps (16.6%) 21.3bps (68.3%)

54.0%

51.2%

71.2%

46.9%

[1] Includes

investment

income and

DAC Unlocks [5] [6]

mark-to-market

effects of equity

securities,

trading,

supporting the

international

variable annuity

business, which

are classified in

net investment

income with

corresponding

amounts

credited to

policyholders

within benefits,

losses and loss

adjustment

expenses.

[2] Investment-Only mutual fund

assets were transferred to Mutual Funds effective January 1, 2010.

[3] Includes

policyholders balances for investment contracts and reserves for future policy benefits for insurance contracts.

[4] Canadian and

Candatan and
Offshore
businesses were
transferred from
Mutual Funds to
Global Annuity,
effective
January 1,
2009. Canadian
mutual funds
were transferred
from Global
Annuity to
Mutual Funds
effective
January 1,

[5] Excludes the

2010.

effects of
realized gains
and losses
except for net
periodic
settlements.
Included in the
net realized
capital gain
(losses) are
amounts that
represent the
net periodic

accruals on

currency rate swaps used in the risk management of Japan fixed annuity products.

[6] See Critical
Accounting
Estimates in the
MD&A.

93

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Net income increased for the three months ended September 30, 2010 primarily related to significantly lower realized capital losses and an improved DAC unlock benefit. The third quarter 2010 DAC Unlock was a benefit of \$145, after-tax, compared to a benefit of \$86, after-tax, in third quarter 2009. The 2010 DAC Unlock benefit was driven by equity market improvements in the third quarter 2010 that were greater than the market improvements made in the third quarter of 2009 in comparison to expectations.

Net income improved significantly for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 driven by continued improvements in equity markets which resulted in a slightly favorable DAC Unlock benefit in 2010 compared to an Unlock charge of \$(964) in 2009. The 2010 improvement was partially offset by higher net realized capital losses.

For further discussion of the 2010 and 2009 Unlocks, see Unlocks within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A. The following other factors contributed to the changes in net income (loss):

Fee income and other

Fee income and other increased as a result of slightly higher average variable annuity account values for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared with 2009. The increase in average account values has been driven by improvements in equity markets, which has been principally offset by net outflows of Global Annuity.

Earned premiums

Earned premiums, for the three months ended September 30, 2010, increased compared to the prior year due to the implementation of the new variable annuity product in the fourth quarter of 2009. The nature of this product results in the recognition of earned premiums with an offsetting increase in benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses.

Earned premiums, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, decreased due to management s decision to suspend sales of structured settlements and terminal funding products. This decrease in earned premiums was correspondingly offset by a decrease in benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses.

Net investment income (excluding Equity securities, trading) For the three month period ended September 30, 2010, net investment income decreased primarily as a result of a decline in general account values driven by net outflows since 2009.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, net investment income decreased primarily as a result of significant net outflow activity since 2009 and declines on returns from fixed maturity securities driven by the low interest rate environment, partially offset by improving investments results on limited partnership and other alternative investments.

Net investment spread

For the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 2009, net investment spreads for Global Annuity products are consistent.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 2009, net investment spreads improved primarily due to improved performance on limited partnership and other alternative investments of 54 bps, partially offset by a decline in yields on fixed maturity assets of 13 bps, respectively.

Net realized capital losses

For the three month period ended September 30, 2010, the \$597 improvement in net realized capital losses is primarily related to a reduction in variable annuity hedging program losses as compared to losses in the comparable prior year period and lower impairment losses.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, the change in net realized capital losses of \$(57) is primarily related to variable annuity hedging program losses in 2010 as compared to gains in the comparable prior year period, partially offset by lower impairment losses and net realized gains on sales of securities in 2010 compared with net losses in 2009.

Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses declined significantly as a result of the impact of the 2010 and 2009 Unlocks (for further discussion of the 2010 and 2009 Unlocks, see Unlocks within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A). Additionally, 2009 includes a 3 Win related charge of \$60 (pre-tax). Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses were lower for institutional investment products driven by the Company s execution on its call and buyback strategy associated with stable value products, which reduced the related liabilities, and a decrease in earned premiums associated with management s decision to suspend sales.

94

Table of Contents

Insurance operating costs and other expenses

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, insurance operating costs and other expenses have decreased as compared to 2009 as a result of lower operating and wholesaling expenses driven by management s active efforts to reduce operating expenses and management s efforts to match distribution costs with the Company s current lower sales levels.

General insurance expense ratio

The general insurance expense ratio declined as a result of management s efforts to reduce expenses associated with the U.S. annuity product, and the restructuring and active expense management of Japan s operations while the improving equity markets compared to 2009 have driven an increase in the average asset base.

Amortization of DAC

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, amortization of DAC changed on a comparative period basis primarily as a result of the Unlocks.

DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and DAC Unlocks For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the DAC amortization ratio decreased due to rising gross profits driven by equity market appreciation, and improved returns from limited partnerships and other alternative investments, as previously discussed.

Income tax expense (benefit)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences for the separate account DRD on U.S. annuity products as well as varying tax rates by country, and the valuation allowance on deferred tax benefits related to certain realized losses on securities that back certain institutional investment products. The three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include separate account DRD benefits of \$19 and \$23, and \$79 and \$83 respectively. For further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

95

LIFE INSURANCE

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,					
Operating Summary	2	010	_ 2	2009	Change		2010	_	2009	Change	
Fee income and other	\$	268	\$	269	<u> </u>	\$	832	\$	864	(4%)	
Earned premiums		(25)		(22)	(14%)		(70)		(61)	(15%)	
Net investment income		132		91	45%		391		260	50%	
Net realized capital gains											
(losses)		13		(37)	NM		45		(120)	NM	
Total revenues		388		301	29%		1,198		943	27%	
Benefits, losses and loss		227		176	2007		(16		525	2107	
adjustment expenses		227		176	29%		646		535	21%	
Amortization of DAC		(20)		82	NM		71		263	(73%)	
Insurance operating costs				40	(400)				4 7 0		
and other expenses		44		49	(10%)		154		153	1%	
Total benefits, losses and expenses		251		307	(18%)		871		951	(8%)	
Income (loss) before income taxes		127		(6)	NIM		227		(0)	NIM	
		137		(6)	NM		327		(8)	NM NM	
Income tax expense (benefit)		40		(14)	NM		103		(26)	NM	
Net income (loss)	\$	97	\$	8	NM	\$	224	\$	18	NM	
Account Values Individual variable universal											
life insurance Individual universal life						\$	5,757	\$	5,552	4%	
insurance [1]							5,995		5,591	7%	
PPLI [2]							35,558		33,197	7%	
Total account values						\$	47,310	\$	44,340	(7%)	
Individual Life Insurance In-Force Variable universal life											
insurance						\$	75,399	\$	75,667		
Universal life insurance [1]						•	57,734		54,775	5%	
Term life							73,959		68,447	8%	
Total individual life insurance in-force						\$	207,092	\$	198,889	4%	

Net Investment Spread

Individual variable universal and individual universal life

insurance [1] 142bps 111bps 148bps 88bps

Death Benefits \$ 134 \$ 92 \$ 348 \$ 304

[1] Includes
universal life,
interest sensitive
whole life,
modified
guaranteed life
insurance and
other.

[2] Includes PPLI
operations
transferred from
Corporate and
Other to Life
Insurance
effective
January 1,
2010.

96

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Net income increased as a result of net realized capital gains, improved net investment income and the impacts of the DAC Unlocks. For further discussion of the DAC Unlock, see Unlocks within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A. The following other factors contributed to the changes in net income (loss):

Fee income and other

Fee income and other decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to the impact of the DAC Unlock in the first nine months of 2009.

Earned premiums

Earned premiums, which include premiums for ceded reinsurance, decreased primarily due to higher ceded reinsurance premiums related to lower retention levels and the aging of the life insurance in-force.

Net investment income

Net investment income increased primarily due to improved performance of limited partnerships and other alternative investments.

Net investment spread

Net investment spread increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily related to improved performance of limited partnerships and other alternative investments of 28 bps and 50 bps, respectively, and lower average credited rates of 16 bps and 18 bps, respectively.

Amortization of DAC

Amortization of DAC decreased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily as a result of the Unlock benefit in 2010 compared to the Unlock charge in 2009. DAC amortization had a partial offset in amortization of deferred revenues, which drove the decrease in fee income noted above.

Income tax expense (benefit)

The effective tax rate for 2010 differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the recognition of separate account DRD partially offset by a valuation allowance on deferred tax benefits related to certain realized losses recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. For further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Death Benefits

Death benefits increased primarily due to mortality volatility.

97

RETIREMENT PLANS

		ree Months End September 30,	ed	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
Operating Summary	2010	2009	Change	2010	2009	Change			
Fee income and other	\$ 88	\$ 83	6%	\$ 260	\$ 234	11%			
Earned premiums	1	1		5	3	67%			
Net investment income	93	80	16%	267	237	13%			
Net realized capital losses		(89)	100%	(10)	(228)	96%			
Total revenues Benefits, losses and loss	182	75	143%	522	246	112%			
adjustment expenses	71	62	15%	204	204				
Amortization of DAC Insurance operating costs	(12)	(15)	20%	14	63	(78%)			
and other expenses	84	81	4%	250	241	4%			
Total benefits, losses and									
expenses Income (loss) before	143	128	12%	468	508	(8%)			
income taxes	39	(53)	NM	54	(262)	NM			
Income tax expense (benefit)	9	(19)	NM	16	(100)	NM			
Net income (loss)	\$ 30	\$ (34)	NM	\$ 38	\$ (162)	NM			
Assets Under Management 403(b)/457 account values 401(k) account values 401(k)/403(b) mutual funds				\$ 11,874 18,764 18,602	\$ 10,760 15,339 16,648	10% 22% 12%			
Total assets under management				\$ 49,240	\$ 42,747	15%			
Total assets under administration 401(k)				\$ 4,266	\$ 5,867	(27%)			
Account Value and Assets Under Management Roll Forward 401(k) / 403(b) Mutual Funds									
Assets under management, beginning of period Net flows	\$ 15,848 (71)	\$ 15,342 (748)		\$ 16,704 (606)	\$ 14,838 (1,388)				
Transfer in	1,294	(170)		1,294	(1,500)				
Change in market value	1,552	2,054		1,232	3,198				

Assets under management, end of period	\$ 18,602	\$ 16,648	\$ 18,602	\$ 16,648
401(k) / 403(b) / 457 Group				
Annuities Account value, beginning of				
period	\$ 27,943	\$ 23,490	\$ 27,258	\$ 22,198
Net flows	579	259	2,048	305
Transfers in of Lifetime				
Income & Maturity Funding			194	
Change in market value	2,095	2,350	1,116	3,596
Other	21		22	
Account value, end of period [1]	\$ 30,638	\$ 26,099	\$ 30,638	\$ 26,099

Net Investment Spread 100bps 94bps 111bps 65bps

[1] Includes
policyholder
balances for
investment
contracts and
reserves for
future policy
benefits for
insurance
contracts.

98

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Net income increased as a result of lower net realized capital losses, improved net investment income, higher fee income and the impacts of the DAC Unlocks. For further discussion of the DAC Unlock, see Unlocks within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A.

The following other factors contributed to the changes in net income (loss):

Fee income and other

For the three and nine month period ended September 30, 2010, fee income and other increased primarily due to increases in average assets under management resulting from improvements in equity markets and increased deposit activity as equity market improvements created an environment where investors were willing to re-enter the capital markets. Over the past 12 months, average account values have increased driven by \$2.3 billion of net flows and \$2.0 billion of market activity.

Net investment income

For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010, net investment income increased primarily due to improved performance on limited partnerships and other alternative investments.

Net investment spread

Net investment spread increased primarily as a result of higher earned rates driven primarily by improved performance on limited partnerships and other alternative investments in 2010 which added 26 bps of return for the three months ended September 30, 2010, and 48 bps of return for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the prior year, partially offset by lower yields on fixed maturities of 19 bps for the three months ended September 30, 2010, and 13 bps for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the prior year.

Net realized capital gains (losses)

The change in net realized capital gains (losses) for the three months ended September 30, 2010 is driven by higher losses on impairments in the third quarter of 2009.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, net realized capital losses were lower due to higher losses on impairments, derivatives, and trading losses in the first three quarters of 2009.

Insurance operating costs and other expenses

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, insurance operating costs and other expenses increased primarily due to greater assets under management resulting in higher trail commissions.

Amortization of DAC

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the change in amortization of DAC is attributed to the DAC Unlock.

Income tax expense (benefit)

The effective tax rate for 2010 differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent tax differences for DRD that are partially offset by a valuation allowance on deferred tax benefits related to certain realized losses recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include separate account DRD benefits of \$5 and \$0, and \$14 and \$7, respectively. For

further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

99

MUTUAL FUNDS

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,					
Operating Summary		2010		2009	Change		2010		2009	Change
Fee income and other	\$	166	\$	137	21%	\$	513	\$	370	39%
Net investment income		(2)		(5)	60%		(6)		(15)	60%
Net realized capital gains										
(losses)		(1)								
Total revenues		163		132	23%		507		355	43%
Benefits, losses and loss										
adjustment expenses		(1)					(1)			
Amortization of DAC		16		11	45%		47		38	24%
Insurance operating costs and										
other expenses		121		103	17%		358		290	23%
Total benefits, losses and										
expenses		136		114	19%		404		328	23%
Income (loss) before income										
taxes		27		18	50%		103		27	NM
Income tax expense (benefit)		9		7	29%		36		10	NM
-										
Net income (loss)	\$	18	\$	11	64%	\$	67	\$	17	NM
Non Proprietary Mutual Funds [3] Assets under management, beginning of period Transfer in of Investment-Only and Canadian mutual funds Net flows Change in market value and other [1]	\$	48,759 (163) 4,788	\$	35,693 796 4,761		\$	44,031 5,617 2,199 1,537	\$	32,710 1,486 7,054	
Assets under management, end of period [1]	\$	53,384	\$	41,250		\$	53,384	\$	41,250	
Proprietary Mutual Funds [4] Assets under management, beginning of period Transfers in of insurance product mutual funds Net flows Change in market value and other	\$	39,402 (1,299) 3,676	\$			\$	43,890 (3,763) 1,652	\$		
	\$	41,779	\$			\$	41,779	\$		

Assets under management, end of period

Mutual fund assets under management [1] [2]

\$ 95,163 \$ 41,250

\$ 95,163 \$ 41,250

[1] Includes amount attributed to the transfer of Investment-Only mutual funds and Canadian mutual funds effective January 1, 2010.

[2] Includes
Proprietary
mutual funds
effective
January 1, 2010.

[3] Includes Retail
mutual funds,
Investment-Only
mutual funds,
Canadian
mutual funds and
529 college
savings plan
assets.

[4] Includes mutual funds sponsored by the Company which are owned by the separate accounts of the Company to support insurance and investment products sold by the Company.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

Net income increased primarily due to higher overall account balances attributed to the improved equity markets, and positive net flows, resulting in higher fee income, partially offset by higher trail commissions, as well as the capital infusion to the money market fund. Also contributing to the net income in 2010 is the increase in scale of the reporting segment s businesses.

CORPORATE AND OTHER

	Three Months Ended September 30,						Nine Months Ended September 30,				
Operating Summary	2010		2009		Change	2010		2009		Change	
Earned premiums	\$	1	\$	(1)	NM	\$		\$	(1)	100%	
Fee income [1]		46		61	(25%)		143		165	(13%)	
Net investment income		54		84	(36%)		208		254	(18%)	
Net realized capital gains											
(losses)		41		(63)	NM		45		(384)	NM	
Other revenues									5	(100%)	
Total revenues		142		81	75%		396		39	NM	
Benefits, losses and loss											
adjustment expenses		64		123	(48%)		236		319	(26%)	
Insurance operating costs and											
other expenses [1]		64		111	(42%)		287		312	(8%)	
Interest expense		128		118	8%		380		357	6%	
Goodwill impairment							153		32	NM	
Total benefits, losses and											
expenses		256		352	(27%)		1,056		1,020	4%	
Loss before income taxes		(114)		(271)	58%		(660)		(981)	33%	
Income tax benefit		(38)		(89)	57%		(211)		(281)	25%	
Net loss	\$	(76)	\$	(182)	58%	\$	(449)	\$	(700)	36%	

[1] Fee income includes the income associated with the sales of non-proprietary insurance products in the Company s broker-dealer subsidiaries that has an offsetting commission expense in insurance operating costs and other expenses.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

The net loss in Corporate and Other decreased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to improvements in net realized capital gains (losses), partially offset by an increase in interest expense and goodwill impairments in the nine month period.

The change to net realized capital gains for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, from net realized capital losses for the comparable prior year periods, was due to impairments on investment securities recorded in 2009. In addition, the nine months ended September 30, 2009 included a net realized capital loss of approximately \$300 as a result of the contingency payment made to Allianz due to the Company s participation in the Capital Purchase Program.

Interest expense increased for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to the issuance of \$1.1 billion of senior notes in the first quarter of 2010. For further information, see Senior Notes within Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

101

PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE PRODUCT UNDERWRITING RISK MANAGEMENT

The Hartford s Property & Casualty Underwriting Risk Management Strategy are discussed in Part II, Item 7 MD&A in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report. The following discussion provides updates through September 30, 2010.

Use of Reinsurance

The Company has several catastrophe reinsurance programs, including reinsurance treaties that cover property and workers compensation losses aggregating from single catastrophe events. The following table summarizes the primary catastrophe treaty reinsurance coverages that the Company has in place as of July 1, 2010:

	% of layer(s)								
		currence							
Coverage	Treaty term	reinsured	liı	mit	Retention				
		Varies by layer,							
		but							
Principal property catastrophe program		averages 81%	Aggre	gates to					
covering property catastrophe losses	1/1/2010 to	across	\$690 across all layers						
from a single event	1/1/2011	all layers			\$	250			
Reinsurance with the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) covering									
Florida Personal Lines property	6/1/2010 to								
catastrophe losses from a single event	6/1/2011	90%	\$	170[1]		64			
Workers compensation losses arising	7/1/2010 to								
from a single catastrophe event	7/1/2011	95%		300[2]		50			

[1] The estimated per occurrence limit on the FHCF treaty is \$170 for the 6/1/2010 to 6/1/2011 treaty year based on the Company s election to purchase the required coverage from the FHCF. For the 6/1/2010 to 6/1/2011 treaty year, the Company elected not to purchase additional limits under the **Temporary**

Increase in

Coverage Limit (TICL) statutory provision.

[2] In addition to the limit shown above, the workers compensation reinsurance treaty includes a non-catastrophe, industrial accident layer of \$30 excess of a

\$20 retention.

For further explanation, refer to the MD&A in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

INVESTMENT CREDIT RISK

The Company has established investment credit policies that focus on the credit quality of obligors and counterparties, limit credit concentrations, encourage diversification and require frequent creditworthiness reviews. Investment activity, including setting of policy and defining acceptable risk levels, is subject to regular review and approval by senior management.

The Company primarily invests in securities which are rated investment grade and has established exposure limits, diversification standards and review procedures for all credit risks including borrower, issuer and counterparty. Creditworthiness of specific obligors is determined by consideration of external determinants of creditworthiness, typically ratings assigned by nationally recognized ratings agencies and is supplemented by an internal credit evaluation. Obligor, asset sector and industry concentrations are subject to established Company limits and are monitored on a regular basis.

The Company is not exposed to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer greater than 10% of the Company s stockholders equity other than U.S. government and government agencies backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. For further discussion of concentration of credit risk, see the Concentration of Credit Risk section in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Derivative Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company uses various derivative counterparties in executing its derivative transactions. The use of counterparties creates credit risk that the counterparty may not perform in accordance with the terms of the derivative transaction. The Company has developed exposure policies which limit the Company s exposure to credit risk.

The derivative counterparty exposure policy establishes market-based credit limits, favors long-term financial stability and creditworthiness of the counterparty and typically requires credit enhancement/credit risk reducing agreements. The Company minimizes the credit risk of derivative instruments by entering into transactions with high quality counterparties rated A2/A or better, which are monitored and evaluated by the Company s risk management team and reviewed by senior management. In addition, the Company monitors counterparty credit exposure on a monthly basis to ensure compliance with Company policies and statutory limitations. The Company also generally requires that derivative contracts, other than exchange traded contracts, certain forward contracts, and certain embedded and reinsurance derivatives, be governed by an International Swaps and Derivatives Association Master Agreement which is structured by legal entity and by counterparty and permits right of offset.

102

Table of Contents

The Company has developed credit exposure thresholds which are based upon counterparty ratings. Credit exposures are measured using the market value of the derivatives, resulting in amounts owed to the Company by its counterparties or potential payment obligations from the Company to its counterparties. Credit exposures are generally quantified daily based on the prior business day s market value and collateral is pledged to and held by, or on behalf of, the Company to the extent the current value of derivatives exceeds the contractual thresholds. In accordance with industry standards and the contractual agreements, collateral is typically settled on the next business day. The Company has exposure to credit risk for amounts below the exposure thresholds which are uncollateralized, as well as for market fluctuations that may occur between contractual settlement periods of collateral movements.

The maximum uncollateralized threshold for a derivative counterparty for a single legal entity is \$10. The Company currently transacts over-the-counter derivatives in five legal entities and therefore the maximum combined threshold for a single counterparty over all legal entities that use derivatives is \$50. In addition, the Company may have exposure to multiple counterparties in a single corporate family due to a common credit support provider. As of September 30, 2010, the maximum combined threshold for all counterparties under a single credit support provider over all legal entities that use derivatives is \$100. Based on the contractual terms of the collateral agreements, these thresholds may be immediately reduced due to a downgrade in a counterparty s credit rating. For further discussion, see the Derivative Commitments section of Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company has incurred no losses on derivative instruments due to counterparty default.

In addition to counterparty credit risk, the Company enters into credit default swaps to manage credit exposure. Credit default swaps involve a transfer of credit risk of one or many referenced entities from one party to another in exchange for periodic payments. The party that purchases credit protection will make periodic payments based on an agreed upon rate and notional amount, and for certain transactions there will also be an upfront premium payment. The second party, who assumes credit risk, will typically only make a payment if there is a credit event and such payment will be equal to the notional value of the swap contract less the value of the referenced security issuer—s debt obligation following the credit event. A credit event is generally defined as default on contractually obligated interest or principal payments or bankruptcy of the referenced entity after the occurrence of the credit event.

The Company uses credit derivatives to purchase credit protection and, to a lesser extent, assume credit risk with respect to a single entity, referenced index, or asset pool. The Company purchases credit protection through credit default swaps to economically hedge and manage credit risk of certain fixed maturity investments across multiple sectors of the investment portfolio. The Company has also entered into credit default swaps that assume credit risk as part of replication transactions. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would otherwise be permissible investments under the Company s investment policies. These swaps reference investment grade single corporate issuers and baskets, which include trades ranging from baskets of up to five corporate issuers to standard and customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers, which are established within sector concentration limits and are typically divided into tranches which possess different credit ratings ranging from AAA through the CCC rated first loss position.

Investments

The following table presents the Company s fixed maturities, AFS, by credit quality. The ratings referenced below are based on the ratings of a nationally recognized rating organization or, if not rated, assigned based on the Company s internal analysis of such securities.

Fixed Maturities, AFS, by Credit Quality

Sep	tember 30, 2	010	Dec	ember 31, 2	009
		Percent			Percent
		of			of
		Total			Total
Amortized		Fair	Amortized		Fair
	Fair			Fair	
Cost	Value	Value	Cost	Value	Value

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

United States						
Government/Government						
agencies	\$ 9,393	\$ 9,556	12.0%	\$ 7,299	\$ 7,172	10.1%
AAA	10,911	11,158	14.0%	11,974	11,188	15.7%
AA	15,400	15,591	19.6%	14,845	13,932	19.6%
A	19,163	19,922	25.0%	19,822	18,664	26.2%
BBB	19,453	20,022	25.0%	17,886	17,071	24.0%
BB & below	4,164	3,487	4.4%	4,189	3,126	4.4%
Total fixed maturities, AFS	\$ 78,484	\$ 79,736	100.0%	\$ 76,015	\$ 71,153	100.0%

The movement in the overall credit quality of the Company's portfolio was primarily attributable to purchases of U.S. Treasuries and investment grade corporate securities, partially offset by rating agency downgrades primarily associated with commercial real estate. Fixed maturities, FVO, are not included in the above table as of September 30, 2010. These investments include AA-rated securities within the financial services sector, as well as BBB and lower rated commercial real estate (CRE) collateralized debt obligations (CDOs). The majority of these CRE CDOs have been downgraded since purchase. For further discussion on the election of fair value option, see Note 4 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

103

The following table presents the Company s AFS securities by type, as well as fixed maturities, FVO.

Securities by Type

	September 30, 2010 Dec								009	
		•	,		Percent of			,		Percent of
	Cost or	Gross	Gross		Total	Cost or	Gross	Gross		Total
	Amortize	dnrealize	d nrealized	Fair	Fair	Amortizet	Inrealiz e	dnrealized	Fair	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	Value	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	Value
Asset-backed										
securities (ABS)									
Consumer loans	\$ 2,494	\$ 35	\$ (218)	\$ 2,311	2.9%	\$ 2,087	\$ 15	\$ (277)	\$ 1,825	2.6%
Small business	476	1	(165)	312	0.4%	548	1	(232)	317	0.4%
Other	371	39	(24)	386	0.5%	405	20	(44)	381	0.5%
CDOs										
Collateralized										
loan obligations										
(CLOs)	2,427	1	(240)	2,188	2.7%	-		(288)	2,439	3.5%
CREs	681	15	(327)	369	0.5%	,	21	(901)	439	0.6%
Other	6			6		8	6		14	
CMBS										
Agency backed	120	20		460	0.68	(2	2		. . .	0.16
[1]	439	30	(000)	469	0.6%		3	(0.041)	65	0.1%
Bonds	7,486	183	(892)	6,777	8.5%	9,600	52	(2,241)	7,411	10.4%
Interest only	0.51	0.0	(25)	014	1 107	1.074	50	((5)	1.060	1 501
(IOs)	851	88	(25)	914	1.1%	1,074	59	(65)	1,068	1.5%
Corporate Regio industry [2]	3,120	260	(51)	3,328	4.2%	2,642	112	(56)	2,698	3.8%
Basic industry [2] Capital goods	3,120	343	(29)	3,519	4.2%	•	140	(51)	3,174	4.5%
Consumer	3,203	343	(29)	3,319	4.470	3,063	140	(31)	3,174	4.5%
cyclical	1,934	168	(10)	2,092	2.6%	1,946	75	(45)	1,976	2.8%
Consumer	1,754	100	(10)	2,072	2.070	1,740	73	(43)	1,770	2.0 /0
non-cyclical	6,054	642	(13)	6,683	8.4%	4,737	281	(22)	4,996	7.0%
Energy	3,276	319	(14)	3,581	4.5%	•	163	(18)	3,215	4.5%
Financial services	-	378	(486)	7,899	9.9%	•	118	(917)	7,260	10.1%
Tech./comm.	4,186	399	(41)	4,544	5.7%	•	205	(75)	4,114	5.8%
Transportation	855	84	(4)	935	1.2%	•	22	(23)	697	1.0%
Utilities	6,861	633	(25)	7,469	9.4%	5,755	230	(85)	5,900	8.3%
Other [2]	870	18	(29)	801	1.0%		22	(151)	1,213	1.7%
Foreign										
govt./govt.										
agencies	1,773	162	(11)	1,924	2.4%	1,376	52	(20)	1,408	2.0%
Municipal										
Taxable	1,162	35	(72)	1,125	1.4%		4	(205)	975	1.4%
Tax-exempt	11,073	561	(36)	11,598	14.5%	10,949	314	(173)	11,090	15.6%
Residential										
mortgage-backed										
securities										
(RMBS)										

Agency	3,996	140	(4)	4,132	5.3%	3,383	99	(6)	3,476	4.9%
Non-agency	123		(5)	118	0.1%	143		(16)	127	0.2%
Alt-A	179	3	(25)	157	0.2%	218		(58)	160	0.2%
Sub-prime	1,621	13	(490)	1,144	1.4%	1,768	5	(689)	1,084	1.5%
U.S. Treasuries	4,958	75	(78)	4,955	6.2%	3,854	14	(237)	3,631	5.1%
Fixed maturities,										
AFS	78,484	4,625	(3,314)	79,736	100.0%	76,015	2,033	(6,895)	71,153	100.0%
Equity securities										
Financial services	632	3	(134)	501		836	7	(164)	679	
Other	600	73	(6)	667		497	73	(28)	542	
Equity										
securities, AFS	1,232	76	(140)	1,168		1,333	80	(192)	1,221	
Total AFS										
securities	\$79,716	\$4,701	\$ (3,454)	\$80,904		\$77,348	\$ 2,113	\$ (7,087)	\$72,374	
Fixed maturities,										
FVO				\$ 564					\$	

[1] Represents
securities with
pools of loans
by the Small
Business
Administration
whose issued
loans are
backed by the
full faith and
credit of the
U.S.

government.

unrealized gains

[2] Gross

(losses) exclude
the fair value of
bifurcated
embedded
derivative
features of
certain
securities.
Subsequent

changes in value will be recorded in net realized capital

gains (losses).

The Company continues to rebalance its AFS investment portfolio to securities with more favorable risk/return profiles, in particular investment grade corporate securities, while reducing its exposure to real estate related securities. The Company s AFS net unrealized position improved primarily as a result of improved security valuations largely due to declining interest rates and, to a lesser extent, credit spread tightening. The following sections highlight the Company s significant investment sectors.

104

Financial Services

The Company s exposure to the financial services sector is predominantly through banking firms. The following table presents the Company s exposure to the financial services sector included in the Securities by Type table above.

		S	epten	ıber 30, 2	010			D	ecem	ber 31, 2	009	
	An	ortized				Net	An	ortized]	Net
				Fair						Fair		
		Cost	1	Value	Unr	ealized		Cost	•	Value	Unr	ealized
AAA	\$	325	\$	324	\$	(1)	\$	299	\$	290	\$	(9)
AA		2,117		2,169		52		1,913		1,867		(46)
A		3,993		3,872		(121)		4,510		3,987		(523)
BBB		1,955		1,800		(155)		1,664		1,379		(285)
BB & below		249		235		(14)		509		416		(93)
Total	\$	8,639	\$	8,400	\$	(239)	\$	8,895	\$	7,939	\$	(956)

The improvement in the net unrealized loss position was attributed to improved security valuations resulting from increasing confidence in this sector, as well as sales. During the third quarter, in general, financial companies continued to stabilize with improved earnings performance, positive credit trends and strengthened capital and liquidity positions. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law and regulators finalized the Basel III agreement in an attempt to strengthen capital standards. Despite these positive impacts, financial companies remain vulnerable to ongoing stress in the real estate markets, high unemployment and global economic uncertainty, which could potentially result in declines in the Company s net unrealized position.

Commercial Mortgage Loans

The following tables present the Company s exposure to commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) bonds, CRE CDOs and CMBS IOs by current credit quality and vintage year, included in the Securities by Type table above. This sector appears to be stabilizing although security prices may be adversely impacted by pressures from commercial real estate market fundamentals, including lower rent rates and increased delinquencies. The Company continues to reduce its exposure to real estate related securities through sales. Credit protection represents the current weighted average percentage of the outstanding capital structure subordinated to the Company s investment holding that is available to absorb losses before the security incurs the first dollar loss of principal and excludes any equity interest or property value in excess of outstanding debt.

CMBS Bonds [1] September 30, 2010

	BB and																		
		\mathbf{A}	AA		AA		A	L		BB	B			Be	low	,	To	tal	
	Amo	ortize	d Fair	· Amorti	zedFair	Am	ortize	d Fair	Amo	rtize	d F	air	Amo	rtiz	edF	air	Amortize	d Fair	
	(Cost	Valu	e Cost	Value	(Cost	Value	C	ost	Va	alue	C	ost	Va	lue	Cost	Value	
2003 &																			
Prior	\$	821	\$ 84	15 \$ 146	\$ 141	\$	120	\$ 107	\$	46	\$	45	\$	41	\$	37	\$1,174	\$1,175	
2004		523	53	53 27	23		59	50		50		39		12		9	671	674	
2005		639	60	55 182	145		200	148		211		164		89		66	1,321	1,188	
2006]	1,091	1,08	34 420	349		452	413		627		521		432		307	3,022	2,674	
2007		275	2	70 171	160		159	142		290		182		348		254	1,243	1,008	
2008							55	58									55	58	
Total	\$3	3,349	\$3,4	17 \$946	\$ 818	\$ 2	1,045	\$ 918	\$ 1,	,224	\$	951	\$	922	\$	673	\$7,486	\$6,777	

Credit

protection 27.0% 21.9% 12.5% 13.1% 10.2% 21.0%

December 31, 2009

							BB and								
	\mathbf{A}_{I}	AA	A	A		A	В	BB	Be	low	To	otal			
	Amortize	d Fair	Amortiz	ed Fair	Amortiz	ed Fair	Amortiz	edFair .	Amortiz	eŒair	Amortize	d Fair			
	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value			
2003 &															
Prior	\$1,732	\$1,716	\$ 297	\$ 230	\$ 15	0 \$ 113	\$ 20	\$ 17	\$ 11	\$ 7	\$2,210	\$ 2,083			
2004	639	626	82	2 52	5	2 34	15	7			788	719			
2005	1,011	930	356	5 230	22	8 123	100	64	89	54	1,784	1,401			
2006	1,945	1,636	430	275	53	6 247	323	132	231	83	3,465	2,373			
2007	498	408	139	101	16	9 68	346	160	201	98	1,353	835			
Total	\$ 5,825	\$ 5,316	\$ 1,304	\$ 888	\$ 1,13	5 \$ 585	\$ 804	\$ 380	\$ 532	\$ 242	\$ 9,600	\$7,411			
Credit															
protection	n	26.5	%	21.2	%	13.1	%	11.69	%	8.79	%	22.0%			

[1] The vintage
year represents
the year the
pool of loans
was originated.

105

CRE CDOs [1] [2] September 30, 2010

														BB	an	d			
	A	AA	L	A	AA			A		B	BB			Be	low	7		To	tal
	Amortiz	edF	air <i>a</i>	Amortiz	edF	air	Amortiz	zed F	air	Amortize	ed F	air	Am	ortize	ed F	air	Amor	tize	ed Fair
	Cost	V	alue	Cost	V	alue	Cost	V	alue	Cost	V	alue	(Cost	V	alue	Co	st	Value
2003 &																			
Prior	\$32	\$	27	\$12	\$	8	\$ 63	\$	35	\$ 126	\$	53	\$	88	\$	32	\$ 32	21	\$ 155
2004				6		4	35		18	38		19		24		7	10)3	48
2005	1		1				11		7	9		6		17		9	3	38	23
2006							16		9	17		13		22		18		55	40
2007							29		18	24		19		32		19	8	35	56
2008							8		5	11		9		24		13	4	13	27
2009							9		6	7		6		14		5	2	30	17
2010							2		1	2		1		2		1		6	3
Total AFS	\$ \$33	\$	28	\$ 18	\$	12	\$ 173	\$	99	\$ 234	\$	126	\$	3 223	\$	104	\$ 68	31	\$ 369
Total FVC)	\$			\$	24		\$	60		\$	91			\$	61			\$ 236
Credit protection		:	53.09	%		16.19			32.19 er 31	% , 2009		23.29	%			28.59	%		27.5%

	AmortizedFair Amortiz		AA edFa	air	Amo		A edF	air		BB edF	air	Amo		low	7	Am	To ortize		air	
	Cost	Valu	e Cost	Va	lue	C	ost	Va	alue	Cost	\mathbf{V}	alue	(Cost	Va	alue	(Cost	\mathbf{V}	alue
2003 &																				
Prior	\$ 60	\$ 41	\$ 30	\$	15	\$	69	\$	26	\$ 165	\$	44	\$	95	\$	14	\$	419	\$	140
2004	19	1.	1 70		22		37		11	27		4		23		4		176		52
2005	17	8	3 72		12		35		14	49		8		26		6		199		48
2006	23	13	3 108		33		82		28	69		22		23		12		305		108
2007	62	33	3 12		3		20		5	26		9		15		10		135		60
2008	22	12	2				5		1	15		4		13		3		55		20
2009	15	8	3				2			4		1		9		2		30		11
Total	\$ 218	\$ 120	\$ 292	\$	85	\$ 2	250	\$	85	\$ 355	\$	92	\$	204	\$	51	\$	1,319	\$	439
Credit protection	1	40.0)%	1	0.5	%		2	25.5	%		34.9	%		<u>.</u>	31.6°	%			28.1%

[1] The vintage
year represents
the year that the
underlying
collateral in the

pool was originated. Individual CRE CDO amortized cost and fair value are allocated by the proportion of collateral within each vintage year.

[2] For certain CRE CDOs, the collateral manager has the ability to reinvest proceeds that become available, primarily from collateral maturities. The increase in recent vintage years reflects reinvestment for these CRE CDOs.

[3] For further discussion on the election of fair value option, see Note 4 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

CMBS IOs [1] September 30, 2010

	AAA		A		BB	В	BB and	Below	Tot	al
	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair
	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value
2003 & Prior	\$ 229	\$ 254	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 229	\$ 254
2004	166	190							166	190
2005	237	255			1	1			238	256
2006	122	116							122	116

Total	\$ 850	\$ 913	\$ \$	\$ 1	1 \$	1	\$ \$	\$851	\$ 914
2007	96	98						96	98

December 31, 2009

												BB a	ınd					
		AA	A			A			B	BB		Bel	ow			Tot	al	
	Am	ortized	F	air	Amo	rtized	Fai	ir 1	Amortize	d Fair	Amo	rtized	Fai	ir	Amo	ortized	F	air
	(Cost	V	alue	C	Cost	Valu	ue	Cost	Value	e C	ost	Val	ue	(Cost	V	alue
2003 & Prior	\$	331	\$	352	\$		\$		\$	\$	\$		\$		\$	331	\$	352
2004		207		217												207		217
2005		284		275					1	2						285		277
2006		137		120		3		1				1		2		141		123
2007		110		99												110		99
Total	\$ 1	1,069	\$ 1	1,063	\$	3	\$	1	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$	1	\$	2	\$ 1	,074	\$1	1,068

[1] The vintage
year represents
the year the
pool of loans
was originated.

106

Table of Contents

In addition to CMBS, the Company has exposure to commercial mortgage loans as presented in the following table. These loans are collateralized by a variety of commercial properties and are diversified both geographically throughout the United States and by property type. These loans may be either in the form of a whole loan, where the Company is the sole lender, or a loan participation. Loan participations are loans where the Company has purchased or retained a portion of an outstanding loan or package of loans and participates on a pro-rata basis in collecting interest and principal pursuant to the terms of the participation agreement. In general, A-Note participations have senior payment priority, followed by B-Note participations and then mezzanine loan participations. As of September 30, 2010, loans within the Company s mortgage loan portfolio have had minimal extension or restructurings. In general, the commercial real estate market appears to be stabilizing, although recovery is expected to be slow.

Commercial Mortgage Loans

		September 30, 2010							December 31, 2009						
		Cost [1]		uation		arrying	Amortized Cost [1]		Valuation Allowance			rrying			
	C			wance	7	Value					Value				
Whole loans	\$	3,443	\$	(29)	\$	3,414	\$	3,319	\$	(40)	\$	3,279			
A-Note participations		354				354		391				391			
B-Note participations		328		(71)		257		701		(176)		525			
Mezzanine loans		196		(39)		157		1,081		(142)		939			
Total [2]	\$	4,321	\$	(139)	\$	4,182	\$	5,492	\$	(358)	\$	5,134			

- [1] Amortized cost represents carrying value prior to valuation allowances, if any.
- [2] Excludes
 agricultural and
 residential
 mortgage loans.
 For further
 information on
 the total
 mortgage loan
 portfolio, see
 Note 5 of the
 Notes to
 Condensed
 Consolidated
 Financial
 Statements.

Since December 31, 2009, the Company significantly reduced its exposure to B-Note participations and mezzanine loans primarily through sales and as of September 30, 2010, the Company has identified an additional \$11 of carrying value as held-for-sale, with valuation allowances of \$5. These loans are included in the table above.

At origination, the weighted average loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of the Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio was approximately 64%. As of September 30, 2010, the current weighted average LTV ratio was approximately 78%. LTV ratios compare the loan amount to the value of the underlying property collateralizing the loan. The loan values are updated periodically through property level reviews of the portfolio. Factors considered in the property valuation include, but are not limited to, actual and expected property cash flows, geographic market data and capitalization rates.

Municipal Bonds

The Company holds investments in securities backed by states, municipalities and political subdivisions (municipal) with an amortized cost and fair value of \$12.2 billion and \$12.7 billion, respectively, as of September 30, 2010 and \$12.1 billion and \$12.1 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. The Company s municipal bond portfolio is diversified across the United States and primarily consists of essential service revenue and general obligation bonds. In the third quarter, purchases in this sector were concentrated in essential service revenue bonds and general obligation bonds with an average credit quality rating of AA+, partially offset by sales of lower quality bonds which were primarily insured bonds in California, Illinois and Florida. As of September 30, 2010, the largest concentrations were in California, Georgia and Massachusetts, which each comprised less than 3% of the municipal bond portfolio and were primarily comprised of general obligation securities. As of December 31, 2009, the largest concentrations were in California, Georgia and Illinois, which each comprised less than 3% of the municipal bond portfolio and were primarily comprised of general obligation securities. Certain of the Company s municipal bonds contained third-party insurance for the payment of principal and interest in the event of an issuer default.

Limited Partnerships and Other Alternative Investments

The following table presents the Company s investments in limited partnerships and other alternative investments which include hedge funds, mortgage and real estate funds, mezzanine debt funds, and private equity and other funds. Hedge funds include investments in funds of funds and direct funds. Mortgage and real estate funds consist of investments in funds whose assets consist of mortgage loans, mortgage loan participations, mezzanine loans or other notes which may be below investment grade, as well as equity real estate and real estate joint ventures. Mezzanine debt funds include investments in funds whose assets consist of subordinated debt that often incorporates equity-based options such as warrants and a limited amount of direct equity investments. Private equity and other funds primarily consist of investments in funds whose assets typically consist of a diversified pool of investments in small non-public businesses with high growth potential.

	September rrying	30, 2010	December arrying	31, 2009
	alue	Percent	Value	Percent
Hedge funds	\$ 432	23.7%	\$ 596	33.3%
Mortgage and real estate funds	327	18.0%	302	16.9%
Mezzanine debt funds	141	7.8%	133	7.4%
Private equity and other funds	919	50.5%	759	42.4%
Total	\$ 1,819	100.0%	\$ 1,790	100.0%

The decline in hedge funds since December 31, 2009 was primarily attributable to redemptions, while private equity and other funds increased primarily due to market value appreciation.

107

Available-for-Sale Securities Unrealized Loss Aging

As part of the Company s ongoing security monitoring process, the Company has reviewed its AFS securities in an unrealized loss position and concluded that there were no additional impairments as of September 30, 2010 and that these securities have sufficient expected future cash flows to recover the entire amortized cost basis, are temporarily depressed and are expected to recover in value as the securities approach maturity or as real estate related market spreads return to more normalized levels.

Most of the securities depressed over 20% for greater than nine months are structured securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate, as well as certain floating rate corporate securities or those securities with greater than 10 years to maturity, concentrated in the financial services sector. These securities have a weighted average current rating of BBB+. Current market spreads continue to be significantly wider for structured securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate, as compared to spreads at the security s respective purchase date, largely due to the economic and market uncertainties regarding future performance of commercial and residential real estate. The Company reviewed these securities as part of its impairment analysis. The Company s best estimate of future cash flows utilized in its impairment analysis involves both macroeconomic and security specific assumptions that may differ based on security type, vintage year and property location including, but not limited to, historical and projected default and recovery rates, current and expected future delinquency rates and property value declines. For these securities in an unrealized loss position where a credit impairment has not been recorded, the Company s best estimate of expected future cash flows are sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis of the security. Furthermore, the Company neither has an intention to sell nor does it expect to be required to sell these securities.

The same market conditions noted above also apply to AFS securities depressed over 50% for greater than twelve months, which consist primarily of structured securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate. Based upon the Company s cash flow modeling and current market and collateral performance assumptions, these securities have sufficient credit protection levels to receive contractually obligated principal and interest payments, and accordingly the Company has concluded that no credit impairment exists on these securities. Furthermore, the Company neither has an intention to sell nor does it expect to be required to sell these securities.

For the CMBS and CRE CDOs which are included in the AFS securities depressed over 50% for greater than twelve months, current market pricing reflects market illiquidity and higher risk premiums. The illiquidity and risk premiums are the result of the underlying collateral performance to date and the potential uncertainty in the securities future cash flows. Because of the uncertainty surrounding the future performance of commercial real estate, market participants are requiring substantially greater returns, in comparison to the securities stated coupon rate, to assume the associated securities credit risk. If the securities collateral underperforms macroeconomic and collateral assumptions in the future, loss severities may be significant as a result of the structure of the security. In addition, the majority of these securities have a floating-rate coupon referenced to a market index such as LIBOR. When the reference rate declines, the valuation of the respective security may also decline. LIBOR rates have declined substantially after these CMBS and CRE CDOs were purchased. For further information regarding the Company s security valuation process, see Note 4 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. For further information regarding the future collateral cash flows assumptions included in the Company s impairment analysis, see Other-Than-Temporary Impairments in the Investment Credit Risk section of this MD&A. For further discussion on the Company s ongoing security monitoring process and the factors considered in determining whether a credit impairment exists, see the Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments section in Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table presents the Company s unrealized loss aging for AFS securities by length of time the security was in a continuous unrealized loss position.

	Septemb	er 30, 2010		December 31, 2009							
	Cost or				Cost or						
	Amortized	Fair	Unrealized		Amortized	Fair	Unrealized				
Items	Cost	Value	Loss [1]	Items	Cost	Value	Loss				
377	\$ 2,758	\$ 2,691	\$ (67)	1,237	\$ 11,197	\$ 10,838	\$ (359)				

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Total	2,197	\$ 22,305	\$ 18,792	\$ (3,454)	3,807	\$ 38,953	\$31,866	\$ (7,087)
twelve months	1,357	17,754	14,458	(3,243)	2,020	22,445	16,636	(5,809)
Greater than nine to twelve months Greater than	97	760	677	(77)	134	2,054	1,674	(380)
Greater than six to nine months	83	309	290	(20)	311	2,940	2,429	(511)
Three months or less Greater than three to six months	283	724	676	(47)	105	317	289	(28)

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities.
Subsequent changes in value will be recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

108

Table of Contents

The following tables present the Company s unrealized loss aging for AFS securities continuously depressed over 20% by length of time (included in the table above).

	September 30, 2010						December 31, 2009							
		C	ost or						(Cost or				
		An	ortized	F	air	Un	realized		Aı	mortized	Fa	ıir	Un	realized
Consecutive Months	Items		Cost	Va	llue	L	oss [1]	Items		Cost	Va	lue		Loss
Three months or less	57	\$	184	\$	138	\$	(46)	161	\$	951	\$	672	\$	(279)
Greater than three to six														
months	64		359		264		(94)	51		55		38		(17)
Greater than six to nine months	18		81		60		(20)	159		2,046	1,	397		(649)
Greater than nine to twelve														
months	35		205		146		(59)	86		1,398		913		(485)
Greater than twelve months	479		5,394	3	,340		(2,051)	715		8,146	4,	228		(3,918)
Total	653	\$	6,223	\$3	,948	\$	(2,270)	1,172	\$	12,596	\$7 ,	248	\$	(5,348)

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities.
Subsequent changes in value will be recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

The following tables present the Company s unrealized loss aging for AFS securities continuously depressed over 50% by length of time (included in the tables above).

	September 30, 2010						December 31, 2009						
		Cost or				Cost or							
		An	ortized	Fair	Uı	nrealized		An	nortized	Fa	air	Un	realized
Consecutive Months	Items		Cost	Value		Loss	Items		Cost	Va	lue		Loss
Three months or less	12	\$	44	\$ 19	\$	(25)	62	\$	169	\$	61	\$	(108)
Greater than three to six months	17		74	32		(42)	28		5		2		(3)
Greater than six to nine months							54		190		74		(116)
Greater than nine to twelve													
months	10		13	5		(8)	58		592		210		(382)
Greater than twelve months	122		1,029	347		(682)	220		2,553		735		(1,818)
Total	161	\$	1,160	\$ 403	\$	(757)	422	\$	3,509	\$1 ,	082	\$	(2,427)

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The following table presents the Company s impairments recognized in earnings by security type.

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	201	0	2009		2010		2	2009		
ABS	\$		\$	42	\$	5	\$	51		
CDOs										
CREs		49		199		142		304		
Other				26				28		
CMBS										
Bonds		44		132		155		202		
IOs		1				2		25		
Corporate		15		43		21		163		
Equity				28		5		121		
Municipal		1		1		1		18		
RMBS										
Non-agency		1		2		2		3		
Alt-A		1		28		10		29		
Sub-prime		3		35		32		128		
U.S. Treasuries								2		
Total	\$	115	\$	536	\$	375	\$	1,074		

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2010

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, impairments recognized in earnings were comprised of credit impairments of \$71 and \$326, respectively, impairments on equity securities of \$0 and \$5, respectively, and impairments on debt securities for which the Company intends to sel1 of \$44 and \$44, respectively.

109

Credit impairments were primarily concentrated in structured securities associated with commercial and residential real estate which were impaired primarily due to continued property-specific deterioration of the underlying collateral and increased delinquencies. The Company calculated these impairments utilizing both a top down modeling approach and, for certain commercial real estate backed securities, a loan by loan collateral review. The top down modeling approach used discounted cash flow models that considered losses under current and expected future economic conditions. Assumptions used over the current period included macroeconomic factors, such as a high unemployment rate, as well as sector specific factors such as property value declines, commercial real estate delinquency levels and changes in net operating income. Those assumptions included CMBS peak-to-trough property value declines, on average, of 37% and RMBS peak-to-trough property value declines, on average, of 35%. The macroeconomic assumptions considered by the Company did not materially change from the previous several quarters and, as such, the credit impairments recognized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were largely driven by actual or expected collateral deterioration, largely as a result of the Company s loan-by-loan collateral review.

The loan-by-loan collateral review is performed to estimate potential future losses. This review incorporates assumptions about expected future collateral cash flows, including projected rental rates and occupancy levels that varied based on property type and sub-market. The results of the loan by loan collateral review allowed the Company to estimate the expected timing of a security s first loss, if any, and the probability and severity of potential ultimate losses. The Company then discounted these anticipated future cash flows at the security s book yield prior to impairment. The results of cash flow modeling utilized by the Company result in cumulative collateral loss rates that vary by vintage year. For the 2007 vintage year, the Company s cash flow modeling resulted in cumulative collateral loss rates for CMBS and sub-prime RMBS of approximately 12% and 44%, respectively.

Impairments on securities for which the Company has the intent to sell were primarily CMBS where market pricing continues to improve and the Company would like the ability to reduce its exposure to certain variable-rate CMBS. In addition to the credit impairments recognized in earnings, the Company recognized non-credit impairments in other comprehensive income of \$31 and \$403, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, predominantly concentrated in RMBS and CRE CDOs. These non-credit impairment represent the difference between fair value and the Company s best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security s effective yield prior to impairment, rather than at current market implied credit spreads. These non-credit impairments primarily represent increases in market liquidity premiums and credit spread widening that occurred after the securities were purchased, as well as a discount for variable-rate coupons which are paying less than at purchase date. In general, larger liquidity premiums and wider credit spreads are the result of deterioration of the underlying collateral performance of the securities, as well as the risk premium required to reflect future uncertainty in the real estate

Future impairments may develop as the result of changes in intent to sell of specific securities or if actual results underperform current modeling assumptions, which may be the result of, but are not limited to, macroeconomic factors, changes in assumptions used and property performance below current expectations.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2009

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recognized \$536 and \$1.1 billion, respectively, of impairments recognized in earnings. Of these losses, \$495 and \$840, respectively, represented credit impairments primarily concentrated in CRE CDOs, CMBS bonds and below prime RMBS. Also included were impairments on equity securities of \$28 and \$121, respectively, largely related to below investment grade hybrid securities, as well as debt securities for which the Company intended to sell of \$13 and \$113, respectively, mainly comprised of corporate financial services securities.

Valuation Allowances on Mortgage Loans

The following table presents additions to valuation allowances on mortgage loans.

	Three Mon	nths En	ded	N	line Mon	ths En	ded
	Septen	iber 30,		September 30,			
	2010	2	009	2	010	2	2009
Credit-related concerns	\$ 4	\$	45	\$	72	\$	198

Held for sale				
B-note participations			22	
Mezzanine loans			52	
Agricultural loans			10	
Residential	3		3	
Total	\$ 7	\$ 45	\$ 159	\$ 198

110

CAPITAL MARKETS RISK MANAGEMENT

The Company has a disciplined approach to managing risks associated with its capital markets and asset/liability management activities. Investment portfolio management is organized to focus investment management expertise on the specific classes of investments, while asset/liability management is the responsibility of a dedicated risk management unit supporting the Company s insurance operations. Derivative instruments are utilized in compliance with established Company policy and regulatory requirements and are monitored internally and reviewed by senior management.

The Company utilizes a variety of over-the-counter and exchange traded derivative instruments as a part of its overall risk management strategy, as well as to enter into replication transactions. Derivative instruments are used to manage risk associated with interest rate, equity market, credit spread, issuer default, price, and currency exchange rate risk or volatility. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would otherwise be permissible investments under the Company s investment policies. For further information, see Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Derivative activities are monitored and evaluated by the Company s risk management team and reviewed by senior management. In addition, the Company monitors counterparty credit exposure on a monthly basis to ensure compliance with Company policies and statutory limitations. The notional amounts of derivative contracts represent the basis upon which pay or receive amounts are calculated and are not reflective of credit risk. For further information on the Company s use of derivatives, see Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Market Risk

The Company is exposed to market risk, primarily relating to the market price and/or cash flow variability associated with changes in interest rates, credit spreads including issuer defaults, equity prices or market indices and the related volatility, and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company is also exposed to credit and counterparty repayment risk. For further discussion of market risk, see the Capital Markets Risk Management section of the MD&A in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company s exposure to interest rate risk relates to the market price and/or cash flow variability associated with changes in market interest rates. The Company manages its exposure to interest rate risk by constructing investment portfolios that maintain asset allocation limits and asset/liability duration matching targets which include the use of derivatives. For further discussion of interest rate risk, see the Interest Rate Risk discussion within the Capital Markets Risk Management section of the MD&A in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

The Company is also exposed to interest rate risk based upon the discount rate assumption associated with the Company s pension and other postretirement benefit obligations. The discount rate assumption is based upon an interest rate yield curve comprised of bonds rated Aa with maturities primarily between zero and thirty years. For further discussion of interest rate risk associated with the benefit obligations, see the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A under Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Obligations and Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

In addition, management evaluates performance of certain life insurance products based on net investment spread which is, in part, influenced by changes in interest rates. For further discussion, see the Global Annuity, Life Insurance, and Retirement Plans sections of the MD&A.

As interest rates decline, certain mortgage-backed securities are more susceptible to paydowns and prepayments. During such periods, the Company generally will not be able to reinvest the proceeds at comparable yields. Lower interest rates will also likely result in lower net investment income, increased hedging costs associated with variable annuities and, if declines are sustained for a long period of time, it may subject the Company to reinvestment risks, higher pension costs expense, higher ultimate claim costs on our living benefit guarantee programs, particularly in Japan, and possibly reduced profit margins associated with guaranteed crediting rates on certain life insurance products. Conversely, the fair value of the investment portfolio will increase when interest rates decline and the Company s interest expense will be lower on its variable rate debt obligations.

Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to credit risk within our investment portfolio and through counterparties. Credit risk relates to the uncertainty of an obligor s continued ability to make timely payments in accordance with the contractual terms of the instrument or contract. The Company manages credit risk through established investment credit policies which address quality of obligors and counterparties, credit concentration limits, diversification requirements and acceptable risk levels under expected and stressed scenarios. For further discussion of credit risk, see the Credit Risk section of the MD&A in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

For further information on credit risk associated with derivatives, see the Investment Credit Risk section of the MD&A.

111

Table of Contents

The Company is also exposed to credit spread risk related to security market price and cash flows associated with changes in credit spreads. Credit spread tightening will reduce net investment income associated with new purchases of fixed maturities and increase the fair value of the investment portfolio resulting in lower impairment losses. Credit spread widening will reduce the fair value of the investment portfolio and increase net investment income for new purchases. For a discussion of the movement of credit spread impacts on the Company s statutory financial results as it relates to the accounting and reporting for market value fixed annuities, see the Capital Resources & Liquidity section of the MD&A.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

The Company s foreign currency exchange risk is related to non-U.S. dollar denominated investments, which primarily consist of fixed maturity investments, the investment in and net income of the Company s life insurance products in Japan and U.K., and non-U.S. dollar denominated liability contracts, including its GMDB, GMAB, GMWB and GMIB benefits associated with its Japanese and U.K. variable annuities, and a yen denominated individual fixed annuity product. A portion of the Company s foreign currency exposure is mitigated through the use of derivatives. For further information on foreign currency exchange risk, see Foreign Currency Exchange Risk within the Capital Markets Risk Management section of the MD&A included in The Hartford s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as Variable Product Equity Risk discussion below.

Variable Product Equity Risk

The Company s variable products are significantly influenced by the U.S., Japanese, and other global equity markets. Increases or declines in equity markets impact certain assets and liabilities related to the Company s variable products and the Company s earnings derived from those products. The Company s variable products include variable annuity contracts, mutual funds, and variable life insurance.

Generally, declines in equity markets will:

reduce the value of assets under management and the amount of fee income generated from those assets; reduce the value of equity securities, trading, supporting the international variable annuities, the related policyholder funds and benefits payable, and the amount of fee income generated from those variable annuities; increase the liability for GMWB benefits resulting in realized capital losses;

increase the value of derivative assets used to hedge product guarantees resulting in realized capital gains; increases the costs of the hedging instruments we use in our hedging program;

increase the Company s net amount at risk for GMDB and GMIB benefits;

decrease the Company s actual gross profits, resulting in increased DAC amortization;

increase the amount of required assets to be held backing variable annuity guarantees to maintain required regulatory reserve levels and targeted risk based capital ratios;

adversely affect customer sentiment toward equity-linked products, causing a decline in sales; and

decrease the Company s estimated future gross profits. See Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A for further information.

Generally, increases in equity markets will reduce the value of derivative assets used to provide a macro hedge on statutory surplus, resulting in realized capital losses during periods of market appreciation.

GMWB

The majority of the Company s U.S. and U.K. variable annuities, and a small portion of Japan s variable annuities, include a GMWB rider. In the second quarter of 2009, the Company suspended all new sales in the U.K. and Japan. The Company s new variable annuity product, launched in the U.S. in October 2009 does not offer a GMWB. Declines in equity markets will generally increase the Company s liability for the in-force GMWB riders. As of September 30, 2010, U.S. GMWB account value was \$43.5 billion and International GMWB account value was \$2.5 billion. As of December 31, 2009, U.S. GMWB account value was \$45.5 billion and International GMWB account value was \$2.7 billion. A GMWB contract is in the money if the contract holder s guaranteed remaining benefit (GRB) is greater than

their current account value. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 46% and 48%, respectively, of all unreinsured U.S. GMWB contracts were in the money . For U.S. GMWB contracts that were in the money , the Company s net amount at risk (i.e. GRB less account value), after reinsurance, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, was \$1.9 billion and \$2.6 billion, respectively. For U.K. and Japan GMWB contracts that were in the money , the Company s net amount at risk, after reinsurance, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, was \$0.1 billion and \$0.1 billion, respectively. However, the Company expects to incur these payments in the future only if the policyholder has an in the money GMWB at their death or their account value is reduced to a specified level, through contractually permitted withdrawals and/or market declines. If the account value is reduced to the specified level, the contract holder will receive an annuity equal to the remaining GRB. For the Company s life-time GMWB products, this annuity can continue beyond the GRB. As the account value fluctuates with equity market returns on a daily basis and the life-time GMWB payments can exceed the GRB, the ultimate amount to be paid by the Company, if any, is uncertain and could be significantly more or less than \$2.0 billion. For additional information on the Company s GMWB liability, see Note 4a of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

112

GMDB and **GMIB**

The majority of the Company s U.S. variable annuity contracts include a GMDB rider. Declines in the equity markets will increase the Company s liability for GMDB riders. The Company s total gross exposure (i.e., before reinsurance) to U.S. GMDB as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is \$15.1 billion and \$18.4 billion, respectively. However, the Company will incur these payments in the future only if the policyholder has an in the money GMDB at their death. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 68% and 72%, respectively, of all unreinsured U.S. GMDB contracts were in the money . The Company reinsured 55% and 53% of these death benefit guarantees as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Under certain of these reinsurance agreements, the reinsurers exposure is subject to an annual cap. The Company s net exposure (i.e., after reinsurance), is \$6.8 billion and \$8.5 billion, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company suspended all new product sales in Japan. Prior to that, the Company offered variable annuity products in Japan with both a GMDB and a GMIB. For the in-force block of Japan business, declines in equity markets, as well as a strengthening of the Japanese yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar, the euro and other currencies will increase the Company s liability for GMDB and GMIB riders. This increase may be significant in extreme market scenarios. The Company s total gross exposure (i.e., before reinsurance) to the GMDB and GMIB offered in Japan is \$8.6 billion and \$6.3 billion as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. In general, the GMDB riders entitle the policyholder to receive the original investment value at the date of death. For GMIB contracts, the policyholder has the right to elect to annuitize benefits, beginning (for certain products) on the tenth anniversary of contract commencement. As a result, a small percentage of the contracts will first become eligible to elect annuitization beginning in 2013. The remainder of the contracts will first become eligible to elect annuitization from 2014 to 2022. Because policyholders have various contractual rights to defer their annuitization election, the period over which annuitization election can take place is subject to policyholder behavior and therefore indeterminate. For GMIB contracts, the policyholder is entitled to receive the original investment value over a 10- to 15-year annuitization period, even if the policyholder s account value is lower than the original investment value at the date of annuitization. In addition, upon annuitization the contractholder surrenders access to the account value. If the original investment value exceeds the account value upon annuitization or death then the contract is in the money. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 98% and 98%, respectively, of all unreinsured Japan GMDB and GMIB contracts were in the money . The Company reinsured 16% and 17% of the GMDB to a third party reinsurer as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Under certain of these reinsurance agreements, the reinsurers exposure is subject to an annual cap. The Company s net exposure (i.e., after reinsurance) is \$7.2 billion and \$5.2 billion as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. In addition, as of September 30, 2010, 60% of account value and 54% of retained net amount at risk is reinsured to an affiliate of The Hartford. For additional information on the Company s GMDB and GMIB liability, see Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Variable Product Equity Risk Management

Market Risk Exposures

The following table summarizes the broad Variable Annuity Guarantees offered by the Company and the market risks to which the guarantee is most exposed from a U.S. GAAP accounting perspective.

Variable Annuity Guarantee [1] U.S. GMDB	U.S. GAAP Treatment [1] Accumulation of fees received less accumulation of claims paid	Primary Market Risk Exposures [1] Equity Market Levels
Japan GMDB	Accumulation of fees received less accumulation of claims paid	Equity Market Levels / Interest Rates / Foreign Currency
GMWB	Fair Value	Equity Market Levels / Implied Volatility / Interest Rates

For Life Component of GMWB Accumulation of fees received less Equity Market Levels

accumulation of claims paid

Japan GMIB Accumulation of fees received less Equity Market Levels / Interest Rates /

accumulation of claims paid Foreign Currency

GMAB Fair Value Equity Market Levels / Implied

Volatility / Interest Rates

[1] Each of these guarantees and the related U.S. GAAP accounting volatility will also be influenced by actual and estimated

policyholder behavior.

113

Table of Contents

Risk Management

The Company carefully analyzes market risk exposures arising from: GMDB, GMWB, GMIB, GMAB; equity market, interest rate risks, implied volatility, foreign currency exchange risk, and correlation between these market risk exposures. The Company evaluates these risks both individually and in the aggregate, to determine the financial risk of its products and to judge their potential impacts on U.S. GAAP earnings and statutory surplus. The Company manages the equity market, interest rate, implied volatility and foreign currency exchange risks embedded in its products through reinsurance, customized derivatives, and dynamic hedging and macro hedging programs. In addition, the Company recently launched a new variable annuity product with reduced equity risk and has increased GMWB rider fees on new sales of the Company s legacy variable annuities and the related in-force policies, as contractually permitted. Depending upon competitors reactions with respect to products and related rider charges, the Company s strategy of reducing product risk and increasing fees has and may continue to result in a decline in market share.

The following table depicts the type of risk management strategy being used by the Company to either partially or fully mitigate market risk exposures, displayed above, by variable annuity guarantee as of September 30, 2010:

Variable Annuity Guarantee Reinsurance Customized Derivative Dynamic Hedging [1] Macro Hedging [2]

GMDB	ü			ü
GMWB	ü	ü	ü	ü
For Life Component of GMWB				ü
GMIB				ü
GMAB				ü

[1] Through the third quarter of 2010, the Company continued to maintain a reduced level of dynamic hedge protection on GMWB while placing a greater relative emphasis on the protection of statutory surplus through the inclusion of a macro hedging program. This portion of the GMWB hedge

strategy may

include derivatives with maturities of up to 10 years. U.S. GAAP fair value volatility will be driven by a reduced level of dynamic hedge protection and macro program positions.

[2] As described

below, the

Company s

macro hedging

program is not

designed to

provide

protection

against any one

variable annuity

guarantee

program, but

rather is a

broad based

hedge designed

to provide

protection

against multiple

guarantees and

market risks,

primarily

focused on

statutory

liability and

surplus

volatility.

Reinsurance

The Company uses reinsurance for a portion of contracts issued with GMWB riders prior to the third quarter of 2003 and GMWB risks associated with a block of business sold between the third quarter of 2003 and the second quarter of 2006. The Company also uses reinsurance for a majority of the GMDB issued in the U.S. and a portion of the GMDB issued in Japan.

Derivative Hedging Strategies

The Company maintains derivative hedging strategies for its product guarantee risk to meet multiple, and in some cases, competing risk management objectives, including providing protection against tail scenario market events, providing resources to pay product guarantee claims, and minimizing U.S. GAAP earnings volatility, statutory surplus volatility and other economic metrics.

Customized Derivatives

The Company holds customized derivative contracts to provide protection from certain capital market risks for the remaining term of specified blocks of non-reinsured GMWB riders. These customized derivative contracts are based on policyholder behavior assumptions specified at the inception of the derivative contracts. The Company retains the risk for differences between assumed and actual policyholder behavior and between the performance of the actively managed funds underlying the separate accounts and their respective indices.

Dynamic Hedging

The Company s dynamic hedging program uses derivative instruments to provide protection against the risks associated with the GMWB variable annuity product guarantees including equity market declines, equity implied volatility, and declines in interest rates (See Market Risk on Statutory Capital below). The Company uses hedging instruments including: interest rate futures and swaps, variance swaps, S&P 500, NASDAQ and EAFE index put options and futures contracts. During the first quarter and early in the second quarter of 2010, the Company added additional volatility protection. While the Company actively manages this dynamic hedging program, increased U.S. GAAP earnings volatility may result from factors including, but not limited to: policyholder behavior, capital markets, divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and the hedging indices, changes in hedging positions and the relative emphasis placed on various risk management objectives.

114

Table of Contents

Macro Hedging

The Company s macro hedging program uses derivative instruments such as options, futures, and forwards on equities, interest rates, and currencies to provide protection against the statutory tail scenario risk arising from U.S., U.K. and Japan GMWB, GMDB, GMIB and GMAB liabilities, on the Company s statutory surplus and the associated target RBC ratios (see Capital Resources and Liquidity). During the third quarter, the Company increased its equity macro hedge coverage and currency protection. The macro hedge program will result in additional U.S. GAAP earnings volatility as changes in the value of the macro hedge derivatives, which are designed to reduce statutory reserve and capital volatility, may not be closely aligned to changes in U.S. GAAP liabilities.

Based on the construction of the Company s derivative hedging program (both dynamic and macro hedge), which can change based on capital market conditions, notional amounts and other factors, an independent change in the following capital market factors is likely to have the following impacts. These sensitivities do not capture the impact of elapsed time on liabilities or hedge assets. Additionally, since duration varies by hedging strategy due to the impact of non parallel shifts in capital market factors, and since the Company continues to maintain a reduced level of dynamic hedging protection, U.S. GAAP volatility will increase. Each of the sensitivities set forth below is estimated individually under the indicated level of market movement and from the market levels at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2010, and without consideration of any correlation among the key assumptions. Therefore, it would be inappropriate to take each of the sensitivities below and assume different levels of market movement, or add them together in an attempt to estimate the volatility in our variable annuity hedging program. In addition, there are other factors, including changes to the underlying hedging program, policyholder behavior and variation in underlying fund performance relative to the hedged index, which could materially impact the GMWB liability. As a result, actual net changes in the value of the GMWB liability, the related dynamic hedging program derivative assets and the macro hedge program derivative assets may vary materially from those calculated using only the sensitivities disclosed below:

Net Impact on Net GMWB Liability
and Hedging Program
Pre-Tax/DAC Gain (Loss)

Expected		_		
for third quarter based on June 30,		Expected for fourth quarter		
		based on September 30,		
			2010	
\$	(17) / 17	\$	(34) / 34	
	(22) / 22		(25) / 25	
	3 / (3)		1/(1)	
	17 / (17)		44 / (44)	

Capital Market Factor

Equity markets increase / decrease 1% [1] [2] Volatility increases / decreases 1% [3] Interest rates increase / decrease 1 basis point [4] Yen strengthens / weakens 1% versus all other currencies [5]

[1] Represents the aggregate net impact of a 1% increase or decrease in broadly traded global equity indices.

[2] Due to the structure of the

macro hedge program, the increase in equity sensitivity was primarily due to additional purchases of equity macro hedges during the third quarter of 2010.

[3] Represents the aggregate net impact of a 1% increase or decrease in blended implied volatility that is generally skewed towards longer durations for broadly traded global equity indices.

[4] Represents the aggregate net impact of a 1 basis point parallel shift on the global LIBOR yield curve. The decrease in interest rate sensitivity was primarily due to lower liability interest rate sensitivity from favorable policyholder behavior assumption updates.

[5] Represents the aggregate net impact of a 1%

strengthening or weakening in the yen compared to all other currencies. Due to the structure of the macro hedge program, the increase in currency sensitivity was primarily due to additional purchases of currency protection and a strengthened yen during the quarter. A significant portion of our currency protection expires early in the first quarter of 2011.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, the Company incurred a net realized pre-tax loss of \$273 on GMWB liabilities, net of reinsurance and the dynamic and macro hedging programs, driven primarily by decreases in interest rates of approximately 43 basis points and increases in U.S. equity markets of approximately 11%, partially offset by decreases in volatility of approximately 4%, a strengthened yen of approximately 5% against USD, favorable assumption updates, and underlying fund performance relative to the hedge indices. The table below provides a predicted pre-tax net realized loss calculated using the Company s sensitivities expected for the third quarter disclosed above, as compared to the actual net changes:

GMWB Net Liability and Dynamic and Global Macro Programs	Predicted Earnings Impact Three Months Ended September 30, 2010	
Equity markets increased approximately 11%	\$	(187)
Volatility decreased approximately 4%		88
Interest rates decreased approximately 43 basis points		(129)
Yen strengthened approximately 5% against USD and weakened 5% against euro		
Total implied pre-tax net realized loss [1]	\$	(228)
Actual reported pre-tax net realized loss [1]	\$	(273)

[1] The difference

between actual

reported result and

the implied pre-tax

net realized

gain/(loss)

represents the

aggregate net

impact of the

following factors:

(i) non-parallel

shifts in capital

market factors,

(ii) shifts that are

not equal in size to

those assumed in

the calculation of

the sensitivities or

available

information is not

sufficiently detailed

enough to

determine the

impacts, and

(iii) other factors,

including

policyholder

behavior, variation

in underlying fund

performance

relative to the

hedged indices,

changes in the

Hartford s own

credit, policyholder

behavior

assumption

updates, additional

purchases of equity

and currency

macro hedges

during the 3rd

Quarter 2010, the

impact of elapsed

time on macro

hedge assets, and

changes in

Non-U.S. GMWB

fair value

liabilities. This

difference may vary

materially from quarter-to-quarter.

115

Table of Contents

Market Risk on Statutory Capital

Statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease in any period depending upon a variety of factors and may be compounded in extreme scenarios or if multiple factors occur at the same time. At times the impact of changes in certain market factors or a combination of multiple factors on RBC ratios can be counterintuitive. Factors include:

In general, as equity market levels and interest rates decline, the amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin for death and living benefit guarantees associated with U.S. variable annuity contracts can be materially negatively effected, sometimes at a greater than linear rate. Other market factors that can impact statutory surplus, reserve levels and capital margin include differences in performance of variable subaccounts relative to indices and/or realized equity and interest rate volatilities. In addition, as equity market levels increase, generally surplus levels will increase. RBC ratios will also tend to increase when equity markets increase. However, as a result of a number of factors and market conditions, including the level of hedging costs and other risk transfer activities, reserve requirements for death and living benefit guarantees and RBC requirements could increase with rising equity markets, resulting in lower RBC ratios. Non-market factors, which can also impact the amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin, include actual and estimated policyholder behavior experience as it pertains to lapsation, partial withdrawals, and mortality.

Similarly, for guaranteed benefits (GMDB and GMIB) reinsured from our Japanese operations to our U.S. insurance subsidiaries, the amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin can be materially affected by a variety of factors, both market and non-market. Market factors include declines in various equity market indices and interest rates, changes in value of the yen versus other global currencies, difference in the performance of variable subaccounts relative to indices, and increases in realized equity, interest rate, and currency volatilities. Non-market factors include actual and estimated policyholder behavior experience as it pertains to lapsation, withdrawals, mortality, and annuitization. Risk mitigation activities, such as hedging, may also result in material and sometimes counterintuitive impacts on statutory surplus and capital margin. Notably, as changes in these market and non-market factors occur, both our potential obligation and the related statutory reserves and/or required capital can increase or decrease at a greater than linear rate.

As the value of certain fixed-income and equity securities in our investment portfolio decreases, due in part to credit spread widening, statutory surplus and RBC ratios may decrease.

As the value of certain derivative instruments that do not get hedge accounting decreases, statutory surplus and RBC ratios may decrease.

The life insurance subsidiaries—exposure to foreign currency exchange risk exists with respect to non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are accounted for at their U.S. dollar equivalent values using exchange rates at the balance sheet date. As foreign currency exchange rates vary in comparison to the U.S. dollar, the remeasured value of those non-dollar denominated assets or liabilities will also vary, causing an increase or decrease to statutory surplus.

116

Table of Contents

Our statutory surplus is also impacted by widening credit spreads as a result of the accounting for the assets and liabilities in our fixed market value adjusted (MVA) annuities. Statutory separate account assets supporting the fixed MVA annuities are recorded at fair value. In determining the statutory reserve for the fixed MVA annuities, we are required to use current crediting rates in the U.S. and Japanese LIBOR in Japan. In many capital market scenarios, current crediting rates in the U.S. are highly correlated with market rates implicit in the fair value of statutory separate account assets. As a result, the change in statutory reserve from period to period will likely substantially offset the change in the fair value of the statutory separate account assets. However, in periods of volatile credit markets, such as we have experienced, actual credit spreads on investment assets may increase sharply for certain sub-sectors of the overall credit market, resulting in statutory separate account asset market value losses. As actual credit spreads are not fully reflected in the current crediting rates in the U.S. or Japanese LIBOR in Japan, the calculation of statutory reserves will not substantially offset the change in fair value of the statutory separate account assets resulting in reductions in statutory surplus. This has resulted and may continue to result in the need to devote significant additional capital to support the product.

With respect to our fixed annuity business, sustained low interest rates may result in a reduction in statutory surplus and an increase in National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) required capital.

Most of these factors are outside of the Company s control. The Company s financial strength and credit ratings are significantly influenced by the statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios of our insurance company subsidiaries. In addition, rating agencies may implement changes to their internal models that have the effect of increasing or decreasing the amount of statutory capital we must hold in order to maintain our current ratings.

Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes a variety of derivative instruments, including swaps, caps, floors, forwards, futures and options through one of four Company-approved objectives: to hedge risk arising from interest rate, equity market, credit spread including issuer default, price or currency exchange rate risk or volatility; to manage liquidity; to control transaction costs; or to enter into replication transactions.

Further downgrades to the credit ratings of The Hartford s insurance operating companies may have adverse implications for its use of derivatives including those used to hedge benefit guarantees of variable annuities. In some cases, further downgrades may give derivative counterparties the unilateral contractual right to cancel and settle outstanding derivative trades or require additional collateral to be posted. In addition, further downgrades may result in counterparties becoming unwilling to engage in additional over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives or may require collateralization before entering into any new trades. This will restrict the supply of derivative instruments commonly used to hedge variable annuity guarantees, particularly long-dated equity derivatives and interest rate swaps. Under these circumstances, The Hartford s operating subsidiaries could conduct hedging activity using a combination of cash and exchange-traded instruments, in addition to using the available OTC derivatives.

117

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

The following section discusses the overall financial strength of The Hartford and its insurance operations including their ability to generate cash flows from each of their business segments, borrow funds at competitive rates and raise new capital to meet operating and growth needs over the next twelve months.

Liquidity Requirements and Sources of Capital

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (Holding Company)

The liquidity requirements of the holding company of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (HFSG Holding Company) have been and will continue to be met by HFSG Holding Company s fixed maturities, short-term investments and cash of \$2.1 billion at September 30, 2010, dividends from its insurance operations, as well as the issuance of common stock, debt or other capital securities and borrowings from its credit facilities. Expected liquidity requirements of the HFSG Holding Company for the next twelve months include interest on debt of approximately \$500, common stockholder dividends, subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, of approximately \$90, and preferred stock dividends of approximately \$42.

Debt

On June 15, 2010, The Hartford repaid its \$275, 7.9% senior notes at maturity with funds from its capital raise in the first quarter of 2010.

On March 23, 2010, The Hartford issued \$1.1 billion aggregate principal amount of its senior notes. The issuance consisted of \$300 of 4.0% senior notes due March 30, 2015, \$500 of 5.5% senior notes due March 30, 2020 and \$300 of 6.625% senior notes due March 30, 2040. The senior notes bear interest at their respective rate, payable semi-annually in arrears on March 30 and September 30 of each year, beginning September 30, 2010. The issuance was made pursuant to the Company s shelf registration statement (Registration No. 333-142044). The Hartford used approximately \$425 of the net proceeds from the debt issuances to repurchase the Series E Preferred Stock issued to the U.S. Treasury as a part of its participation in the Capital Purchase Program, \$275 to repay senior notes at maturity in 2010, and intends to use the remaining proceeds to repay senior notes at maturity in 2011. For further discussion on the repurchase of the Series E Preferred Stock see the Capital Purchase Program discussion below.

For additional information regarding debt, see Notes 12 and 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Preferred Stock Issuance

On March 23, 2010, The Hartford issued 23 million depositary shares, each representing 1/40th interest in the Series F Preferred Stock, at a price of \$25 per depositary share and received net proceeds of \$556 under the program. The Hartford used the net proceeds from the preferred stock issuance to repurchase the Series E Preferred Stock issued to the U.S. Treasury as a part of its participation in the Capital Purchase Program. For further discussion on the repurchase of the Series E Preferred Stock see the Capital Purchase Program discussion below.

Common Stock Issuance

On March 23, 2010, The Hartford issued approximately 59.6 million shares of common stock at a price to the public of \$27.75 per share and received net proceeds of \$1.6 billion. The Hartford used the net proceeds from the common stock issuance to repurchase the Series E Preferred Stock issued to the U.S. Treasury as a part of its participation in the Capital Purchase Program. For further discussion on the repurchase of the Series E Preferred Stock see the Capital Purchase Program discussion below.

Preferred and Common Stock Dividends

On October 21, 2010, The Hartford s Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.05 per common share payable on January 3, 2011 to common shareholders of record as of December 1, 2010 and a dividend of \$18.125 on each share of Series F preferred stock payable on January 3, 2011 to shareholders of record as of December 15, 2010. *Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits*

While the Company has significant discretion in making voluntary contributions to its U. S. qualified defined benefit pension plan (the Plan), the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended by the Pension Protection Act of 2006 and further amended by the Worker, Retiree, and Employer Recovery Act of 2008, and Internal Revenue Code regulations mandate minimum contributions in certain circumstances. The Company does not have a required minimum funding contribution for the U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan for 2010 and the funding

requirements for all of the pension plans are expected to be immaterial. The Company contributed approximately \$120 to its pension plans and other postretirement plans in July 2010, and presently anticipates contributing approximately \$80 during the remainder of 2010, based upon certain economic and business assumptions. These assumptions include, but are not limited to, equity market performance, changes in interest rates and the Company s other capital requirements.

118

Table of Contents

Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries

Dividends to the HFSG Holding Company from its insurance subsidiaries are restricted. The payment of dividends by Connecticut-domiciled insurers is limited under the insurance holding company laws of Connecticut. These laws require notice to and approval by the state insurance commissioner for the declaration or payment of any dividend, which, together with other dividends or distributions made within the preceding twelve months, exceeds the greater of (i) 10% of the insurer s policyholder surplus as of December 31 of the preceding year or (ii) net income (or net gain from operations, if such company is a life insurance company) for the twelve-month period ending on the thirty-first day of December last preceding, in each case determined under statutory insurance accounting principles. In addition, if any dividend of a Connecticut-domiciled insurer exceeds the insurer s earned surplus, it requires the prior approval of the Connecticut Insurance Commissioner. The insurance holding company laws of the other jurisdictions in which The Hartford s insurance subsidiaries are incorporated (or deemed commercially domiciled) generally contain similar (although in certain instances somewhat more restrictive) limitations on the payment of dividends. Dividends paid to HFSG Holding Company by its insurance subsidiaries are further dependent on cash requirements of HLI and other factors. The Company s property-casualty insurance subsidiaries are permitted to pay up to a maximum of approximately \$1.4 billion in dividends to HFSG Holding Company in 2010 without prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner. Statutory dividends from the Company s life insurance subsidiaries in 2010 require prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner. The aggregate of these amounts, net of amounts required by HLI, is the maximum the insurance subsidiaries could pay to HFSG Holding Company in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, HFSG Holding Company and HLI received no dividends from the life insurance subsidiaries. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, HFSG Holding Company received \$822 in dividends from its property-casualty insurance subsidiaries.

Other Sources of Capital for the HFSG Holding Company

The Hartford endeavors to maintain a capital structure that provides financial and operational flexibility to its insurance subsidiaries, ratings that support its competitive position in the financial services marketplace (see the Ratings section below for further discussion), and shareholder returns. As a result, the Company may from time to time raise capital from the issuance of stock, debt or other capital securities and is continuously evaluating strategic opportunities. The issuance of common stock, debt or other capital securities could result in the dilution of shareholder interests or reduced net income due to additional interest expense.

Capital Purchase Program

On March 31, 2010, the Company repurchased all 3.4 million shares of Series E Preferred Stock issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the Treasury) for an aggregate purchase price of \$3.4 billion. The Hartford used approximately \$425 of the net proceeds from the debt issuance, \$1.6 billion from the common stock issuance, \$556 from the preferred stock issuance together with available funds at the HFSG Holding Company to repurchase the Series E Preferred Stock. The Company recorded a \$440 charge to retained earnings representing the acceleration of the accretion of the remaining discount on the preferred stock.

On September 27, 2010, the Treasury sold its warrants to purchase approximately 52 million shares of The Hartford s common stock in a secondary public offering for net proceeds of approximately \$706. The Hartford did not receive any proceeds from this sale. The warrants are exercisable, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time until June 26, 2019 at an initial exercise price of \$9.79. The exercise price will be paid by the withholding by The Hartford of a number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants equal to the value of the aggregate exercise price of the warrants so exercised determined by reference to the closing price of The Hartford s common stock on the trading day on which the warrants are exercised and notice is delivered to the warrant agent. The Hartford did not purchase any of the warrants sold by the Treasury.

During the Company s participation in the Capital Purchase Program (CPP), the Company was subject to numerous additional regulations, including restrictions on the ability to increase the common stock dividend, limitations on the compensation arrangements for senior executives and additional corporate governance standards. As a result of the redemption of Series E Preferred Stock, the Company is no longer subject to these regulations other than certain reporting and certification obligations to U.S. regulatory agencies.

Shelf Registrations

On August 4, 2010, The Hartford filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) an automatic shelf registration statement (Registration No. 333-168532) for the potential offering and sale of debt and equity securities. The registration statement allows for the following types of securities to be offered: debt securities, junior subordinated debt securities, preferred stock, common stock, depositary shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, and stock purchase units. In that The Hartford is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933, the registration statement went effective immediately upon filing and The Hartford may offer and sell an unlimited amount of securities under the registration statement during the three-year life of the shelf. Contingent Capital Facility

On February 12, 2007, The Hartford entered into a put option agreement (the Put Option Agreement) with Glen Meadow ABC Trust, a Delaware statutory trust (the ABC Trust), and LaSalle Bank National Association, as put option calculation agent. The Put Option Agreement provides The Hartford with the right to require the ABC Trust, at any time and from time to time, to purchase The Hartford s junior subordinated notes in a maximum aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$500.

119

Table of Contents

Commercial Paper and Revolving Credit Facility

The table below details the Company s short-term debt programs and the applicable balances outstanding.

			Maximum Available As of				Outstan	ding As of
Description	Effective	Expiration	•	otember 30,	-	cember 31,	September 30,	December 31,
Description Commencial Person	Date	Date		2010		2009	2010	2009
Commercial Paper								
The Hartford	11/10/86	N/A	\$	2,000	\$	2,000	\$	\$
Revolving Credit Facility								
5-year revolving credit								
facility	8/9/07	8/9/12		1,900		1,900		
Total Commercial Paper and Revolving Credit Facility			\$	3,900	\$	3,900	\$	\$

While The Hartford s maximum borrowings available under its commercial paper program are \$2.0 billion, the Company is dependent upon market conditions to access short-term financing through the issuance of commercial paper to investors. As of September 30, 2010, the Company has no commercial paper outstanding.

The revolving credit facility provides for up to \$1.9 billion of unsecured credit through August 9, 2012, which excludes a \$100 commitment from an affiliate of Lehman Brothers. Of the total availability under the revolving credit facility, up to \$100 is available to support letters of credit issued on behalf of The Hartford or other subsidiaries of The Hartford. Under the revolving credit facility, the Company must maintain a minimum level of consolidated net worth of \$12.5 billion. At September 30, 2010, the consolidated net worth of the Company as calculated in accordance with the terms of the credit facility was \$22.4 billion. The definition of consolidated net worth under the terms of the credit facility, excludes AOCI and includes the Company soutstanding junior subordinated debentures and perpetual preferred securities, net of discount. In addition, the Company must not exceed a maximum ratio of debt to capitalization of 40%. At September 30, 2010, as calculated in accordance with the terms of the credit facility, the Company s debt to capitalization ratio was 18%. Quarterly, the Company certifies compliance with the financial covenants for the syndicate of participating financial institutions. As of September 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants.

The Hartford s Japan operations also maintain a line of credit in the amount of \$60, or ¥5 billion, which expires January 4, 2011 in support of the subsidiary operations.

Derivative Commitments

Certain of the Company s derivative agreements contain provisions that are tied to the financial strength ratings of the individual legal entity that entered into the derivative agreement as set by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. If the legal entity s financial strength were to fall below certain ratings, the counterparties to the derivative agreements could demand immediate and ongoing full collateralization and in certain instances demand immediate settlement of all outstanding derivative positions traded under each impacted bilateral agreement. The settlement amount is determined by netting the derivative positions transacted under each agreement. If the termination rights were to be exercised by the counterparties, it could impact the legal entity s ability to conduct hedging activities by increasing the associated costs and decreasing the willingness of counterparties to transact with the legal entity. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position as of September 30, 2010, is \$429. Of this \$429, the legal entities have posted collateral of \$415 in the normal course of business. Based on derivative market values as of September 30, 2010, a downgrade of one level below the current financial strength ratings by either Moody s or S&P could require approximately an additional \$24 to be posted as collateral. Based on derivative market values as of September 30, 2010, a downgrade by either Moody s or S&P of two levels below the legal entities current financial strength ratings could require approximately an additional \$44 of

assets to be posted as collateral. These collateral amounts could change as derivative market values change, as a result of changes in our hedging activities or to the extent changes in contractual terms are negotiated. The nature of the collateral that we may be required to post is primarily in the form of U.S. Treasury bills and U.S. Treasury notes. The table below presents the aggregate notional amount and fair value of derivative relationships that could be subject to immediate termination in the event of further rating agency downgrades.

[1] The notional and fair value amounts include a customized GMWBderivative with a notional amount of \$4.9 billion and a fair value of \$214, for which the Company has a contractual right to make a collateral payment in the amount of approximately \$55 to prevent its termination.

120

Table of Contents

Insurance Operations

Current and expected patterns of claim frequency and severity or surrenders may change from period to period but continue to be within historical norms and, therefore, the Company s insurance operations current liquidity position is considered to be sufficient to meet anticipated demands over the next twelve months. For a discussion and tabular presentation of the Company s contractual obligations by period, refer to Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations within the Capital Resources and Liquidity section of the MD&A included in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

The principal sources of operating funds are premiums, fees earned from assets under management and investment income, while investing cash flows originate from maturities and sales of invested assets. The primary uses of funds are to pay claims, claim adjustment expenses, commissions and other underwriting expenses, to purchase new investments and to make dividend payments to the HFSG Holding Company.

The Company s insurance subsidiaries hold fixed maturity securities AFS and FVO, short-term investments (securities with maturities of one year or less at the time of purchase) and cash, as depicted below, to meet liquidity needs.

	Sep	As of ptember 30, 2010
Fixed maturities [1]	\$	80,029
Short-term investments		7,627
Cash		1,704
Less: Derivative collateral		(2,230)
Cash associated with Japan variable annuities		(680)
Total	\$	86,450

[1] Includes \$4.7 billion of

U.S. Treasuries.

For property & casualty insurance subsidiaries, liquidity requirements that are unable to be funded by short-term investments would be satisfied with current operating funds, including premiums received or through the sale of invested assets. A sale of invested assets could result in significant realized losses.

121

Table of Contents

For life insurance subsidiaries, capital resources available to fund liquidity, upon contractholder surrender, are a function of the legal entity in which the liquidity requirement resides. The following table presents general account contractholder obligations of the Company s life insurance subsidiaries.

Contractholder Obligations	Sep	As of otember 30, 2010
Total contractholder obligations	\$	251,635
Less: Separate account assets [1]		(154,219)
International statutory separate accounts [1]		(32,470)
General account contractholder obligations	\$	64,946
Composition of General Account Contractholder Obligations		
Contracts without a surrender provision and/or fixed payout dates [2]	\$	30,737
U.S. fixed MVA annuities [3]		10,655
International fixed MVA annuities		2,703
Guaranteed investment contracts (GIC) [4]		1,000
Other [5]		19,851
General account contractholder obligations	\$	64,946

[1] In the event customers elect to surrender separate account assets or international statutory separate accounts, the proceeds from the sale of the assets will be used to fund the surrender, and the liquidity position will not be impacted. In many instances, a percentage of the surrender amount will be received as compensation for early

surrender

(surrender

charge),

increasing the

liquidity

position. In

addition, a

surrender of

variable annuity

separate

account or

general account

assets (see

below) will

decrease the

obligation for

payments on

guaranteed

living and death

benefits.

[2] Relates to

contracts such

as payout

annuities or

institutional

notes, other

than guaranteed

investment

products with

an MVA feature

(discussed

below) or

surrenders of

term life, group

benefit contracts

or death and

living benefit

reserves for

which

surrenders will

have no current

effect on

liquidity

requirements.

[3] Relates to

annuities that

are held in a

statutory

separate

account, but

under U.S.

GAAP are

recorded in the

general account

as Fixed MVA

annuity contract

holders are

subject to the

Company s

credit risk. In

the statutory

separate

account,

invested assets

with a fair value

equal to the

MVA surrender

value of the

Fixed MVA

contract must be

maintained. In

the event assets

decline in value

at a greater rate

than the MVA

surrender value

of the Fixed

MVA contract,

additional

capital is

required to be

contributed to

the statutory

separate

account. These

required

contributions

will be funded

with operating

cash flows or

short-term

investments. In

the event that

operating cash

flows or

short-term

investments are

not sufficient to

fund required

contributions,

the Company

may have to sell other invested assets at a loss, potentially resulting in a decrease in statutory surplus. As the fair value of invested assets in the statutory separate account are generally equal to the MVA surrender value of the Fixed MVA contract, surrender of Fixed MVA annuities will have an insignificant impact on

[4] GICs are

liquidity requirements.

subject to discontinuance provisions which allow the policyholders to terminate their contracts prior to scheduled

maturity at the

lesser of the

book value or

market value.

Generally, the

market value

adjustment

reflects changes

in interest rates

and credit

spreads. As a

result, the

market value

adjustment

feature in the

GIC serves to protect the Company from interest rate risks and limit liquidity requirements in the event of a surrender.

[5] Surrenders of,

or policy loans

taken from, as

applicable,

these general

account

liabilities, which

include the

general account

option for

Global

Annuity s U.S.

variable

annuities, Life

Insurance s

variable and

universal life

contracts, and

Retirement

Plans

annuities may

be funded

through

operating cash

flows, available

short-term

investments, or

the Company

may be required

to sell fixed

maturity

investments to

fund the

surrender

payment. Sales

of fixed maturity

investments

could result in

the recognition

of significant

realized losses

and insufficient proceeds to fully fund the surrender amount. In this circumstance, the Company may need to take other actions, including enforcing certain contract provisions which could restrict surrenders and/or slow or defer payouts.

Consolidated Liquidity Position

The following table summarizes the liquidity available to The Hartford:

	Sep	As of tember 30, 2010
Short-term investments	\$	9,517
U.S. Treasuries		4,955
Cash		1,707
Less: Derivative collateral		(2,230)
Cash associated with Japan variable annuities		(680)
Total available liquidity [1]	\$	13,269

[1] Includes

Corporate and

Other

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There have been no material changes to the Company s off-balance sheet arrangements since the filing of the Company s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Aggregate Contractual Obligations

Since December 31, 2009, the Company issued \$1.1 billion aggregate principal amount of its senior notes. For additional information, see Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Capitalization

The capital structure of The Hartford as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 consisted of debt and stockholders equity, summarized as follows:

	•	ptember 30, 2010	De	ecember 31, 2009	Change
Short-term debt (includes current maturities of long-term					
debt and capital lease obligations)	\$		\$	343	(100)%
Long-term debt		6,603		5,496	20%
Total debt [1] Stockholders equity excluding accumulated other		6,603		5,839	13%
comprehensive loss, net of tax (AOCI)		20,715		21,177	(2)%
AOCI, net of tax		194		(3,312)	NM
Total stockholders equity	\$	20,909	\$	17,865	17%
Total capitalization including AOCI	\$	27,512	\$	23,704	16%
Debt to stockholders equity		32%		33%	
Debt to capitalization		24%		25%	

[1] Total debt of the

Company

excludes \$384

and \$1.1 billion

of consumer

notes as of

September 30,

2010 and

December 31,

2009,

respectively,

and \$60 and

\$78 of Federal

Home Loan

Bank advances

recorded in

other liabilities

as of

September 30,

2010 and

December 31,

2009,

respectively.

The Hartford s total capitalization increased \$3.8 billion, or 16%, from December 31, 2009 to September 30, 2010 primarily due to the following:

Total debt

Total debt increased primarily due to the issuance of \$1.1 billion in senior notes in March 2010 partially offset by the repayment of \$275 in senior notes in June 2010 and payment of capital lease obligations in January 2010.

AOCI, net of tax

AOCI, net of tax, improved primarily due to increases in net unrealized available-for-sale securities of \$2.9 billion primarily as a result of improved security valuations due to declining interest rates and an increase of \$308 in cash flow hedging instruments.

Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in stockholders—equity, excluding AOCI, which decreased primarily due to the redemption of \$3.4 billion in preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury offset by issuance of common shares under public offering of \$1.6 billion, issuance of mandatory convertible preferred stock of \$556 and net income of \$1,061. See Note 13 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the redemption of the preferred stock and issuances of stock in 2010.

For additional information on debt, equity and AOCI, see Notes 14, 15 and 16, respectively, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Cash Flows

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2010		2009
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	2,245	\$	2,745
Net cash used for investing activities	\$	(286)	\$	(3,821)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	\$	(2,395)	\$	1,692
Cash end of period	\$	1,707	\$	2,417

The decrease in cash from operating activities compared to the prior year period was primarily the result of tax refunds of \$392 received in 2009 compared to tax payments of \$249 in 2010. Additionally, operating activities in 2010 decreased due to lower written premiums.

Cash used for investing activities in 2010 primarily relates to \$2.1 billion of net purchases of available-for-sale securities partially offset by \$1.2 billion of net proceeds from sales of mortgage loans and net receipts on derivatives of \$504. Cash used for investing activity in 2009 consisted of net outflows of \$2.8 billion from changes in payables on securities lending and \$927 of net purchases of available-for-sale securities and net payments on derivatives of \$298 partially offset by \$231 of net proceeds from sales of mortgage loans.

Cash from financing activities decreased primarily due to the redemption of preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury of \$3.4 billion, repayments of consumer notes of \$752 in 2010, repayment of \$275 in senior notes in June 2010 and net outflows on investment and universal life-type contracts in 2010. Partially offsetting the decreases were proceeds from the issuance of \$1.1 billion in aggregate senior notes, issuance of common stock under a public offering of \$1.6 billion and issuance of mandatory convertible preferred stock of \$556.

Operating cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 have been adequate to meet liquidity requirements.

Equity Markets

For a discussion of the potential impact of the equity markets on capital and liquidity, see the Capital Markets Risk Management section of the MD&A under Market Risk above.

123

Table of Contents

Ratings

Ratings impact the Company s cost of borrowing and its ability to access financing and are an important factor in establishing competitive position in the insurance and financial services marketplace. There can be no assurance that the Company s ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be changed. In the event the Company s ratings are downgraded, the Company s cost of borrowing and ability to access financing, as well as the level of revenues or the persistency of its business may be adversely impacted.

The following table summarizes The Hartford s significant member companies financial ratings from the major independent rating organizations as of October 27, 2010.

Insurance Financial Strength Ratings:	A.M. Best	Fitch	Standard & Poor s	Moody s
Hartford Fire Insurance Company	A	A+	A	A2
Hartford Life Insurance Company	A	A-	A	A3
Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company	A	A-	A	A3
Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company	A	A-	A	A3
Other Ratings:				

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.:

Senior debt	bbb+	BBB-	BBB	Baa3
Commercial paper	AMB-2	F2	A-2	P-3

These ratings are not a recommendation to buy or hold any of The Hartford s securities and they may be revised or revoked at any time at the sole discretion of the rating organization.

The agencies consider many factors in determining the final rating of an insurance company. One consideration is the relative level of statutory surplus necessary to support the business written. Statutory surplus represents the capital of the insurance company reported in accordance with accounting practices prescribed by the applicable state insurance department.

Statutory Surplus

The table below sets forth statutory surplus for the Company s insurance companies. The statutory surplus amount as of December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010 in the table below is based on actual statutory filings with the applicable regulatory authorities. The statutory surplus amount as of September 30, 2010 is an estimate, as the third quarter 2010 statutory filings have not yet been made.

	Se	eptember 30, 2010	une 30, 2010]	December 31, 2009
U.S. life insurance subsidiaries, includes domestic captive insurance subsidiaries Property and casualty insurance subsidiaries	\$	7,557 7,690	\$ 7,141 7,388	\$	7,324 7,364
Total	\$	15,247	\$ 14,529	\$	14,688

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, total statutory capital and surplus increased primarily due to \$323 of statutory net income in our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries and \$805 of statutory net income in our U.S. life insurance subsidiaries, including domestic captive insurance subsidiaries. Offsetting statutory net income were other surplus charges.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, total statutory capital and surplus increased primarily due to \$1.1 billion of statutory net income in our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries. The net income in our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries was offset by \$954 of statutory net losses in our U.S. life insurance subsidiaries, including domestic captive insurance subsidiaries. In addition, there were other surplus benefits which increased total statutory capital and surplus.

The Company also holds regulatory capital and surplus for its operations in Japan. Using the investment in subsidiary accounting requirements defined in the U.S. National Association of Insurance Commissioners Statements of Statutory Accounting Practices, the Company s statutory capital and surplus attributed to the Japan operations was \$1.1 billion and \$1.3 billion as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. However, under the accounting practices and procedures governed by Japanese regulatory authorities, the Company s statutory capital and surplus was \$1.3 billion and \$1.1 billion as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

124

Table of Contents

Contingencies

Legal Proceedings For a discussion regarding contingencies related to The Hartford s legal proceedings, please see the information contained under Litigation in Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Legislative Developments

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) was enacted into law on July 21, 2010, and will introduce sweeping changes to the regulation of the financial services industry. Most of these will not become effective immediately, and many will require further regulatory action before they become effective. Nonetheless, we anticipate that the Dodd-Frank Act may affect our operations and governance in ways that could significantly affect our financial condition and results of operations.

In particular, the Dodd-Frank Act vests a newly created Financial Services Oversight Council with the power to designate systemically important institutions, which will be subject to special regulatory supervision and other provisions intended to prevent, or mitigate the impact of, future disruptions in the U.S. financial system. If we are designated as a systemically important institution, we will be subject to heightened prudential standards imposed by The Federal Reserve, as well as to post-event assessments imposed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) to recoup the costs associated with the orderly resolution of systemically important institutions in the event one or more such institutions fails. The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new resolution authority for systemically important institutions. Although insurance companies will not be subject to the special liquidation procedures in the Dodd-Frank Act, it contains back-up authority for the FDIC to force insurance companies into liquidation under state law if their state regulators fail to act. Other provisions will require central clearing of, and/or impose new margin and capital requirements on, derivatives transactions, which we expect will increase the costs of our hedging program.

A number of provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act affect us solely due to our status as a savings & loan holding company. For example, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the OTS will be dissolved. The Federal Reserve will assume regulatory authority over our holding company, and our thrift subsidiary, Federal Trust Bank, will be regulated by the Officer of the Controller of the Currency (OCC). The Dodd-Frank Act may also restrict us as a savings and loan holding company or systemically important institution from sponsoring and investing in private equity and hedge funds, which will limit our discretion in managing our general account. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act prohibits proprietary trading by any entity in our holding company structure that is not a licensed insurance company. The Dodd-Frank Act will also impose new minimum capital standards on a consolidated basis for holding companies that, like us, control insured depository institutions.

Other changes in the Dodd-Frank Act include: the possibility that regulators could break up firms that are considered too big to fail or mandate certain barriers between their activities in order to allow for the orderly resolution of failing financial institutions; a new Federal Insurance Office within Treasury to, among other things, conduct a study of how to improve insurance regulation in the United States; new means for regulators to limit the activities of financial firms; discretionary authority for the SEC to impose a harmonized standard of care for investment advisers and broker-dealers who provide personalized advice about securities to retail customers; additional regulation of compensation in the financial services industry; and enhancements to corporate governance, especially regarding risk management.

Given the significance of the changes and the additional regulatory action required for many of the new provisions, we cannot predict all of the ways or the degree to which our business, financial condition and results of operations may be affected by the Dodd-Frank Act, once it is fully implemented.

FY 2011, Budget of the United States Government

On February 1, 2010, the Obama Administration released its FY 2011, Budget of the United States Government (the Budget). Although the Administration has not released proposed statutory language, the Budget includes proposals which if enacted, would affect the taxation of life insurance companies and certain life insurance products. In particular, the proposals would affect the treatment of corporate owned life insurance (COLI) policies by limiting the availability of certain interest deductions for companies that purchase those policies. The proposals would also change the method used to determine the amount of dividend income received by a life insurance company on assets held in

separate accounts used to support products, including variable life insurance and variable annuity contracts, that are eligible for the dividends received deduction (DRD). The DRD reduces the amount of dividend income subject to tax and is a significant component of the difference between the Company's actual tax expense and expected amount determined using the federal statutory tax rate of 35%. If proposals of this type were enacted, the Company's sale of COLI, variable annuities, and variable life products could be adversely affected and the Company's actual tax expense could increase, reducing earnings. The Budget also included a proposal to levy a \$90 billion Financial Crisis Responsibility Fee on large financial institutions, including The Hartford.

125

Table of Contents

IMPACT OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

For a discussion of accounting standards, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2009 Form 10-K Annual Report and Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-O.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information contained in the Capital Markets Risk Management section of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

The Company s principal executive officer and its principal financial officer, based on their evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective for the purposes set forth in the definition thereof in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) as of September 30, 2010.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s third fiscal quarter of 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

126

Table of Contents

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see Litigation under Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Investing in The Hartford involves risk. In deciding whether to invest in The Hartford, you should carefully consider the following risk factors, any of which could have a significant or material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, operating results or liquidity of The Hartford. This information should be considered carefully together with the other information contained in this report and the other reports and materials filed by The Hartford with the SEC. The risk factors set forth below update the risk factors section previously disclosed in Item 1A of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and in Item 1A of Part II of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010.

We are exposed to significant financial and capital markets risk, including changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, foreign exchange rates and global real estate market deterioration which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

We are exposed to significant financial and capital markets risk, including changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, foreign currency exchange rates and global real estate market deterioration.

One important exposure to equity risk relates to the potential for lower earnings associated with certain of our wealth management businesses, such as variable annuities, where fee income is earned based upon the fair value of the assets under management. The decline in equity markets over the last several years has significantly reduced assets under management and related fee income during that period. In addition, certain of our products offer guaranteed benefits which increase our potential obligation and statutory capital exposure should equity markets decline. Due to declines in equity markets, our liability for these guaranteed benefits has significantly increased and our statutory capital position has decreased. Further sustained declines in equity markets may result in the need to devote significant additional capital to support these products. We are also exposed to interest rate and equity risk based upon the discount rate and expected long-term rate of return assumptions associated with our pension and other post-retirement benefit obligations. Sustained declines in long-term interest rates or equity returns are likely to have a negative effect on the funded status of these plans.

Our exposure to interest rate risk relates primarily to the market price and cash flow variability associated with changes in interest rates. A rise in interest rates, in the absence of other countervailing changes, will increase the net unrealized loss position of our investment portfolio and, if long-term interest rates rise dramatically within a six-to-twelve month time period, certain of our wealth management businesses may be exposed to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk refers to the risk that our policyholders may surrender their contracts in a rising interest rate environment, requiring us to liquidate assets in an unrealized loss position. An increase in interest rates can also impact our tax planning strategies and in particular our ability to utilize tax benefits to offset certain previously recognized realized capital losses. In a declining rate environment, due to the long-term nature of the liabilities associated with certain of our life businesses, such as structured settlements and guaranteed benefits on variable annuities, sustained declines in long-term interest rates may subject us to reinvestment risks, increased hedging costs, spread compression and capital volatility. Our exposure to credit spreads primarily relates to market price and cash flow variability associated with changes in credit spreads. If issuer credit spreads widen significantly or retain historically wide levels over an extended period of time, additional other-than-temporary impairments and increases in the net unrealized loss position of our investment portfolio will likely result. In addition, losses have also occurred due to the volatility in credit spreads. When credit spreads widen, we incur losses associated with the credit derivatives where the Company assumes exposure. When credit spreads tighten, we incur losses associated with derivatives where the Company has purchased credit protection. If credit spreads tighten significantly, the Company s net investment income associated with new purchases of fixed maturities may be reduced. In addition, a reduction in market liquidity can make it difficult to value certain of our securities when trading becomes less frequent. As such, valuations may include assumptions or estimates that may be more susceptible to significant period-to-period changes which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Our statutory surplus is also affected by widening credit spreads as a result of the accounting for the assets and liabilities on our fixed market value adjusted, or MVA, annuities. Statutory separate account assets supporting the fixed MVA annuities are recorded at fair value. In determining the statutory reserve for the fixed MVA annuities we are required to use current crediting rates in the U.S. and Japanese LIBOR in Japan. In many capital market scenarios, current crediting rates in the U.S. are highly correlated with market rates implicit in the fair value of statutory separate account assets. As a result, the change in the statutory reserve from period to period will likely substantially offset the change in the fair value of the statutory separate account assets. However, in periods of volatile credit markets, actual credit spreads on investment assets may increase sharply for certain sub-sectors of the overall credit market, resulting in statutory separate account asset market value losses. As actual credit spreads are not fully reflected in current crediting rates in the U.S. or Japanese LIBOR in Japan, the calculation of statutory reserves will not substantially offset the change in fair value of the statutory separate account assets resulting in reductions in statutory surplus. This has resulted and may continue to result in the need to devote significant additional capital to support the product.

127

Table of Contents

Our primary foreign currency exchange risks are related to net income from foreign operations, non-U.S. dollar denominated investments, investments in foreign subsidiaries, our yen-denominated individual fixed annuity product, and certain guaranteed benefits associated with the Japan and U.K. variable annuities. These risks relate to potential decreases in value and income resulting from a strengthening or weakening in foreign exchange rates versus the U.S. dollar. In general, the weakening of foreign currencies versus the U.S. dollar will unfavorably affect net income from foreign operations, the value of non-U.S. dollar denominated investments, investments in foreign subsidiaries and realized gains or losses on the yen denominated annuity products. In comparison, certain of our annuity products offer guaranteed benefits which could substantially increase our exposure to pay yen denominated obligations should the yen strengthen versus other currencies, generating losses and statutory surplus strain. Correspondingly, a strengthening of the U.S. dollar compared to other currencies will increase our exposure to the U.S. variable annuity guarantee benefits where policyholders have elected to invest in international funds, generating losses and statutory surplus strain.

Our real estate market exposure includes investments in commercial mortgage-backed securities, residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial real estate collateralized debt obligations, mortgage and real estate partnerships, and mortgage loans. The recent deterioration in the global real estate market, as evidenced by increases in property vacancy rates, delinquencies and foreclosures, has negatively impacted property values and sources of refinancing resulting in market illiquidity and risk premiums that reflect the current uncertainty in the real estate market. Should these trends continue, further reductions in net investment income associated with real estate partnerships, impairments of real estate backed securities and increases in our valuation allowance for mortgage loans may result.

If significant, further declines in equity prices, changes in U.S. interest rates, changes in credit spreads, the strengthening or weakening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar, and global real estate market deterioration, individually or in combination, could continue to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial condition and liquidity both directly and indirectly by creating competitive and other pressures including, but not limited to, employee retention issues and the potential loss of distributors for our products. In addition, in the conduct of our business, there could be scenarios where in order to reduce risks, fulfill our obligations or to raise incremental liquidity, we would sell assets at a loss.

Declines in equity markets, changes in interest rates and credit spreads and global real estate market deterioration can also negatively impact the fair values of each of our segments. If a significant decline in the fair value of a segment occurred and this resulted in an excess of that segment s book value over fair value, the goodwill assigned to that segment might be impaired and could cause the Company to record a charge to impair a part or all of the related goodwill assets.

The amount of statutory capital that we have and the amount of statutory capital that we must hold to maintain our financial strength and credit ratings and meet other requirements can vary significantly from time to time and is sensitive to a number of factors outside of our control, including equity market, credit market, interest rate and foreign currency conditions, changes in policyholder behavior and changes in rating agency models.

We conduct the vast majority of our business through licensed insurance company subsidiaries. Accounting standards and statutory capital and reserve requirements for these entities are prescribed by the applicable insurance regulators and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or the NAIC. Insurance regulators have established regulations that provide minimum capitalization requirements based on RBC formulas for both life and property and casualty companies. The RBC formula for life companies establishes capital requirements relating to insurance, business, asset and interest rate risks, including equity, interest rate and expense recovery risks associated with variable annuities and group annuities that contain death benefits or certain living benefits. The RBC formula for property and casualty companies adjusts statutory surplus levels for certain underwriting, asset, credit and off-balance sheet risks.

In any particular year, statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease depending on a variety of factors, including the amount of statutory income or losses generated by our insurance subsidiaries (which itself is sensitive to equity market and credit market conditions), the amount of additional capital our insurance subsidiaries must hold to support business growth, changes in equity market levels, the value of certain fixed-income and equity

securities in our investment portfolio, the value of certain derivative instruments, changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, the impact of internal reinsurance arrangements, as well as changes to the NAIC RBC formulas. Most of these factors are outside of the Company s control. The Company s financial strength and credit ratings are significantly influenced by the statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios of our insurance company subsidiaries. In addition, rating agencies may implement changes to their internal models that have the effect of increasing the amount of statutory capital we must hold in order to maintain our current ratings. Also, in extreme scenarios of equity market declines and other capital market volatility, the amount of additional statutory reserves that we are required to hold for our variable annuity guarantees increases at a greater than linear rate. This reduces the statutory surplus used in calculating our RBC ratios. When equity markets increase, surplus levels and RBC ratios will generally increase, however, as a result of a number of factors and market conditions, including the level of hedging costs and other risk transfer activities, reserve requirements for death and living benefit guarantees and RBC requirements could increase resulting in lower RBC ratios. Due to all of these factors, projecting statutory capital and the related RBC ratios is complex. In 2009, our financial strength and credit ratings were downgraded by multiple rating agencies. If our statutory capital resources are insufficient to maintain a particular rating by one or more rating agencies, we may seek to raise additional capital through public or private equity or debt financing. If we were not to raise additional capital, either at our discretion or because we were unable to do so, our financial strength and credit ratings might be further downgraded by one or more rating agencies.

128

Table of Contents

As a savings and loan holding company and former recipient of federal assistance, we remain subject to certain restrictions, oversight and costs that could materially affect our business, results and prospects.

We are a savings and loan holding company by virtue of our ownership of Federal Trust Bank (FTB), a federally chartered, FDIC-insured thrift, the acquisition of which was a condition to our participation in the CPP. As a savings and loan holding company, we are subject to various restrictions, oversight and costs and other potential consequences that could materially affect our business, results and prospects, including the following:

We are subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the OTS, including with respect to required capital, cash flow, organizational structure, risk management and earnings at the parent company level, and to the OTS reporting requirements. All of our activities must be financially-related activities as defined by federal law (which includes insurance activities), and the OTS has enforcement authority over us, including the right to pursue administrative orders or penalties and the right to restrict or prohibit activities determined by the OTS to be a serious risk to FTB. We must also be a source of strength to FTB, which could require further capital contributions. We will be subject to similar, potentially stricter, requirements when regulatory authority over us transfers to The Federal Reserve (for our holding company) and the OCC (for FTB).

Recipients of federal assistance continue to be subject to intense scrutiny, and future regulatory initiatives could be adopted at the federal or state level that have the effect of constraining the business or management of those enterprises. The Obama administration has proposed a financial crisis responsibility tax that would be levied on the largest financial institutions in terms of assets. We cannot predict the scope or impact of future regulatory initiatives or the effect that they may have on our ability to attract and retain key personnel, the cost and complexity of our compliance programs or on required levels of regulatory capital.

We are particularly vulnerable to losses from catastrophes, both natural and man-made, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Our property and casualty insurance operations expose us to claims arising out of catastrophes. Catastrophes can be caused by various unpredictable events, including earthquakes, hurricanes, hailstorms, severe winter weather, fires, tornadoes, explosions and other natural or man-made disasters. We also face substantial exposure to losses resulting from acts of terrorism, disease pandemics and political instability. The geographic distribution of our business subjects us to catastrophe exposure for natural events occurring in a number of areas, including, but not limited to, hurricanes in Florida, the Gulf Coast, the Northeast and the Atlantic coast regions of the United States, and earthquakes in California and the New Madrid region of the United States. We expect that increases in the values and concentrations of insured property in these areas will continue to increase the severity of catastrophic events in the future. Starting in 2004 and 2005, third-party catastrophe loss models for hurricane loss events have incorporated medium-term forecasts of increased hurricane frequency and severity reflecting the potential influence of multi-decadal climate patterns within the Atlantic. In addition, changing climate conditions across longer time scales, including the potential risk of broader climate change, may be increasing, or may in the future increase, the frequency and severity of certain natural catastrophe losses across various geographic regions. In addition, changing climate conditions, primarily rising global temperatures, may be increasing, or may in the future increase, the frequency and severity of natural catastrophes such as hurricanes. Potential examples of the impact of climate change on catastrophe exposure include, but are not limited to the following: an increase in the frequency or severity of wind and thunderstorm and tornado/hailstorm events due to increased convection in the atmosphere, more frequent brush fires in certain geographies due to prolonged periods of drought, higher incidence of deluge flooding, and the potential for an increase in severity of the largest hurricane events due to higher sea surface temperatures. Our life insurance companies operations are also exposed to risk of loss from catastrophes. For example, natural or man-made disasters or a disease pandemic such as could arise from avian flu, could significantly increase our mortality and morbidity experience. Policyholders may be unable to meet their obligations to pay premiums on our insurance policies or make deposits on our investment products.

Our liquidity could be constrained by a catastrophe, or multiple catastrophes, which could result in extraordinary losses. In addition, in part because accounting rules do not permit insurers to reserve for such catastrophic events until they occur, claims from catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, consolidated results of operations and cash flows. To the extent that loss experience unfolds or models improve, we

will seek to reflect any increased risk in the design and pricing of our products. However, the Company may be exposed to regulatory or legislative actions that prevent a full accounting of loss expectations in the design or price of our products or result in additional risk-shifting to the insurance industry.

129

Table of Contents

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

The following table summarizes the Company s repurchases of its common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2010:

	Total		A vono co	Total Number of Shares Purchased as	_	proximate Dollar ie of Shares that
	Total Number		Average Price	Part of Publicly	M	ay Yet Be
	of Shares Purchased]	Paid Per	Announced Plans or		hased Under e Plans or
Period	[1]		Share	Programs		Programs n millions)
July 1, 2010 July 31, 2010		\$			\$	807
August 1, 2010 August 31, 2010	5,969	\$	23.41		\$	807
September 1, 2010 September 30, 2010		\$			\$	807
Total	5,969	\$	23.41			N/A

[1] Represents
shares acquired
from employees
of the Company
for tax
withholding
purposes in
connection with
the Company s
stock
compensation
plans.

The Hartford s Board of Directors has authorized a \$1 billion stock repurchase program. The Company s repurchase authorization permits purchases of common stock, which may be in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. The Company also may enter into derivative transactions to facilitate future repurchases of common stock. The timing of any future repurchases will be dependent upon several factors, including the market price of the Company s securities, the Company s capital position, consideration of the effect of any repurchases on the Company s financial strength or credit ratings, and other corporate considerations. The repurchase program may be modified, extended or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

See Exhibits Index on page 132.

Table of Contents 278

130

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

The Hartford Financial Services Group.

Inc.

(Registrant)

Date: November 2, 2010 /s/ Beth A. Bombara Beth A. Bombara

Senior Vice President and Controller (Chief accounting officer and duly

authorized signatory)

131

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC. FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 FORM 10-Q EXHIBITS INDEX

Exhibit No. 3.01	Description Amended and Restated By-Laws of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc., amended effective October 21, 2010 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to The Hartford s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 27, 2010).
15.01	Deloitte & Touche LLP Letter of Awareness.
31.01	Certification of Liam E. McGee pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.02	Certification of Christopher J. Swift pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.01	Certification of Liam E. McGee pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.02	Certification of Christopher J. Swift pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document. [1]
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

[1] Includes the

following

materials

contained in this

Quarterly

Report on Form

10-Q for the

quarter ended

September 30,

2010 formatted

in XBRL

(eXtensible

Business

Reporting

Language)

(i) the

Condensed

Consolidated

Statements of

Operations,

(ii) the

Condensed

Consolidated

Balance Sheets,

(iii) the

Condensed

Consolidated

Statements of

Changes in

Equity, (iv) the

Condensed

Consolidated

Statements of

Comprehensive

Income, (v) the

Condensed

Consolidated

Statements of

Cash Flows, and

(vi) Notes to

Condensed

Consolidated

Financial

Statements,

which is tagged

as blocks of

text.

Table of Contents 281

132