

Home Federal Bancorp, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-33795

HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

500 12th Avenue South, Nampa, Idaho

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

68-0666697

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

83651

(Zip Code)

(208) 466-4634

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 14,490,376 shares outstanding as of July 31, 2013.

HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC.
FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page Number |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I- FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>28</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>46</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>47</u> |
| <u>PART II- OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>50</u> |

Item 1. Financial Statements

| HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except share data) (unaudited) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$76,116 | \$115,529 |
| Investments available-for-sale, at fair value | 440,886 | 420,505 |
| FHLB stock, at cost | 17,086 | 17,401 |
| Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of \$11,099 and \$12,528 | 388,847 | 409,846 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,870 | 2,776 |
| Property and equipment, net | 27,112 | 29,057 |
| Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") | 16,172 | 15,938 |
| Real estate owned and other repossessed assets ("REO") | 8,822 | 10,386 |
| FDIC indemnification receivable, net | 7,359 | 10,846 |
| Core deposit intangible | 2,281 | 2,523 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 16,618 | 9,022 |
| Other assets | 3,579 | 4,791 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$1,007,748 | \$1,048,620 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Deposit accounts: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$154,023 | \$142,207 |
| Interest-bearing demand | 246,284 | 248,836 |
| Money market | 151,925 | 167,202 |
| Savings | 85,801 | 83,401 |
| Certificates | 186,035 | 209,242 |
| Total deposit accounts | 824,068 | 850,888 |
| Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 734 | 490 |
| Accrued interest payable | 136 | 167 |
| Deferred compensation | 6,332 | 6,149 |
| Repurchase agreements | 612 | 4,775 |
| Other liabilities | 5,513 | 6,366 |
| Total liabilities | 837,395 | 868,835 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Serial preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 10,000,000 authorized; issued and outstanding: none | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 90,000,000 authorized; issued and outstanding: Jun. 30, 2013 - 17,514,997 issued; 14,490,376 outstanding Dec. 31, 2012 - 17,512,997 issued; 14,453,399 outstanding | 145 | 145 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 132,622 | 131,934 |
| Retained earnings | 45,719 | 46,337 |
| Unearned shares issued to employee stock ownership plan ("ESOP") | (6,444 |) (6,823 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,689 |) 8,192 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 170,353 | 179,785 |

| | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$1,007,748 | \$1,048,620 |
|--|-------------|-------------|

See accompanying notes.

2

HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC. AND
SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except share and per share data)
(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Loans | \$8,751 | \$9,033 | \$16,989 | \$20,250 |
| Investments | 2,605 | 2,209 | 5,180 | 4,413 |
| Other interest income | 62 | 71 | 116 | 141 |
| Total interest income | 11,418 | 11,313 | 22,285 | 24,804 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Deposits | 750 | 991 | 1,546 | 2,093 |
| Repurchase agreements | 3 | 16 | 19 | 37 |
| Total interest expense | 753 | 1,007 | 1,565 | 2,130 |
| Net interest income | 10,665 | 10,306 | 20,720 | 22,674 |
| Provision for loan losses | (356) |) (434) |) (583) |) (1,217) |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 11,021 | 10,740 | 21,303 | 23,891 |
| Noninterest income: | | | | |
| Service charges and fees | 2,131 | 2,274 | 4,114 | 4,381 |
| Gain on sale of investments (\$231 and \$485 of gains during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, are comprised of accumulated other comprehensive income reclassifications) | 231 | 603 | 485 | 1,138 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of BOLI | 118 | 122 | 234 | 242 |
| FDIC indemnification provision | (6) |) (411) |) (47) |) (1,230) |
| Impairment of FDIC indemnification asset, net | (2,322) |) (1,705) |) (4,316) |) (5,048) |
| Other income | 80 | 253 | 260 | 546 |
| Total noninterest income | 232 | 1,136 | 730 | 29 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | 5,659 | 6,175 | 11,669 | 12,312 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 1,374 | 1,514 | 2,794 | 3,077 |
| Data processing | 935 | 942 | 1,863 | 1,947 |
| Advertising | 166 | 223 | 289 | 377 |
| Postage and supplies | 215 | 247 | 421 | 553 |
| Professional services | 595 | 630 | 1,119 | 1,269 |
| Insurance and taxes | 453 | 561 | 804 | 1,082 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 118 | 144 | 242 | 296 |
| Provision for REO | 548 | 291 | 643 | 398 |
| Other expenses | 334 | 379 | 650 | 755 |
| Total noninterest expense | 10,397 | 11,106 | 20,494 | 22,066 |
| Income before income taxes | 856 | 770 | 1,539 | 1,854 |
| Income tax provision | 281 | 211 | 503 | 593 |
| Net income | \$575 | \$559 | \$1,036 | \$1,261 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.04 | \$0.04 | \$0.07 | \$0.09 |
| Diluted | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 13,697,281 | 14,638,663 | 13,673,036 | 14,705,256 |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Diluted | 13,763,806 | 14,638,663 | 13,738,501 | 14,705,256 |
| Dividends declared per share: | \$0.06 | \$0.055 | \$0.12 | \$0.11 |

See accompanying notes.

3

HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF
 COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (In thousands)
 (unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Net income | \$575 | \$559 | \$1,036 | \$1,261 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Change in unrealized holding gain on securities available-for-sale, net of taxes of \$(5,372), \$1,013, \$(6,115) and \$1,406, respectively | (8,420 |) 1,587 | (9,585 |) 2,203 |
| Adjustment for realized gains, net of taxes of \$(90), \$(236), \$(189) and \$(445), respectively | (141 |) (368 |) (296 |) (695 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (8,561 |) 1,219 | (9,881 |) 1,508 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$(7,986 |) \$1,778 | \$(8,845 |) \$2,769 |

See accompanying notes.

HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS'
EQUITY

| | (In thousands, except share data) (unaudited) | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Unearned Shares Issued to ESOP | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
|---|---|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|------------|
| | Common Stock Shares | Amount | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 14,453,399 | \$ 145 | \$ 131,934 | \$ 46,337 | \$(6,823) | \$ 8,192 | \$ 179,785 |
| Restricted stock issued, net of forfeitures | 40,857 | — | | | | | — |
| Repurchased restricted stock to pay taxes | (5,880) | | (73) | | | | (73) |
| ESOP shares committed to be released | | | 100 | | 379 | | 479 |
| Exercise of stock options | 2,000 | | 24 | | | | 24 |
| Share-based compensation | | | 639 | | | | 639 |
| Dividends paid (\$0.12 per share) | | | | (1,654) | | | (1,654) |
| Tax adjustments for equity comp. plans | | | (2) | | | | (2) |
| Net income | | | | 1,036 | | | 1,036 |
| Other comprehensive loss | | | | | | (9,881) | (9,881) |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | 14,490,376 | \$ 145 | \$ 132,622 | \$ 45,719 | \$(6,444) | \$(1,689) | \$ 170,353 |

See accompanying notes.

HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands) (unaudited)

Six Months Ended

June 30,

2013

2012

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---|
| Net income | \$1,036 | \$1,261 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided from operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,423 | 1,539 | |
| Amortization of core deposit intangible | 242 | 296 | |
| Impairment of FDIC indemnification receivable | 4,316 | 5,048 | |
| Net amortization of premiums and discounts on investments | 1,395 | 2,765 | |
| Gain on sale of investments available-for-sale ("AFS") | (485) | (1,138) |) |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets and repossessed assets | (100) | (372) |) |
| ESOP shares committed to be released | 479 | 389 | |
| Share based compensation expense | 639 | 473 | |
| Provision for loan losses | (583) | (1,217) |) |
| Valuation allowance on real estate and other property owned | 643 | 398 | |
| Accrued deferred compensation expense, net | 183 | 124 | |
| Net deferred loan fees | 96 | (936) |) |
| Deferred income tax provision | (1,292) | (3,222) |) |
| Net increase in cash surrender value of BOLI | (234) | (242) |) |
| Change in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Interest receivable | (94) | (110) |) |
| Other assets | 866 | (2,213) |) |
| Interest payable | (30) | (41) |) |
| Other liabilities | (924) | (1,809) |) |
| Net cash provided from operating activities | 7,576 | 993 | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Principal repayments, maturities and calls of investments AFS | 54,187 | 47,109 | |
| Proceeds from sales of investments AFS | 19,460 | 56,110 | |
| Purchase of investments AFS | (111,124) | (145,524) |) |
| Proceeds from redemption of FHLB stock | 315 | — | |
| Reimbursement (repayment) of loan losses under loss share agreement | (155) | 442 |) |
| Net decrease in loans | 19,994 | 13,287 | |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed assets and repossessed assets | 2,890 | 10,739 | |
| Purchases of fixed assets | (114) | (473) |) |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (14,547) | (18,310) |) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net decrease in deposits | (26,820) | (38,334) |) |
| Net increase in advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 244 | 487 | |
| Net decrease in investments sold under obligation to repurchase | (4,163) | (172) |) |
| Repurchased restricted stock to pay taxes | (73) | (38) |) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 24 | — | |
| Repurchases of common stock | — | (4,106) |) |
| Dividends paid | (1,654) | (1,620) |) |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (32,442) | (43,783) |) |
| NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (39,413) | (61,100) |) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 115,529 | 144,293 | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | \$76,116 | \$83,193 | |

See accompanying notes.

6

HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(continued)
 (In thousands) (unaudited)

Six Months Ended
 June 30,

2013 2012

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW
 INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the year for:

| | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| Interest | \$ 1,596 | \$ 2,171 |
| Income taxes | 765 | 7,064 |

NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING
 ACTIVITIES:

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Acquisition of real estate and other assets in settlement of loans | \$ 1,562 | \$ 7,917 |
| Fair value adjustment to securities AFS, net of taxes | (9,881 |) 1,508 |
| Transfer of fixed assets into REO | 609 | — |

See accompanying notes.

7

HOME FEDERAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
SELECTED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1 – Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements presented in this report include the accounts of Home Federal Bancorp, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the “Company”), and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Home Federal Bank (the “Bank”), which is a state-chartered commercial bank headquartered in Nampa, Idaho. As used throughout this report, the term the “Company” refers to Home Federal Bancorp, Inc., and its consolidated subsidiary, unless the context otherwise requires.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information and are unaudited. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. In the opinion of the Company's management, all adjustments consisting of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations for the interim periods included herein have been made. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for future periods.

On July 30, 2010, the Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and acquire certain assets of LibertyBank, headquartered in Eugene, Oregon (“LibertyBank Acquisition”). In August 2009, the Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and certain assets of Community First Bank, headquartered in Prineville, Oregon (“CFB Acquisition”). All of the loans purchased in the CFB Acquisition and the majority of loans and leases purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition are included under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC and are referred to as “covered loans.” Real estate owned and repossessed assets (“REO”) acquired in the CFB Acquisition and the LibertyBank Acquisition that are also included in the loss sharing agreements are referred to as “covered REO.” The covered loans and covered REO are collectively referred to as “covered assets.” Loans and foreclosed and repossessed assets not subject to loss sharing agreements with the FDIC are referred to as “noncovered loans” or “noncovered assets.”

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the Company's annual consolidated financial statements have been condensed or omitted. Therefore, these consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 (“2012 Form 10-K”), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on March 15, 2013.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year's financial statements in order to conform to the current year presentation. The reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income or equity.

Note 2 – Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This ASU requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about these amounts. The new guidance was effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a significant

impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements but the disclosures are included.

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-06, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution. ASU 2012-06 addresses the diversity in practice about how to interpret the terms “on the same basis” and “contractual limitations” when subsequently measuring an indemnification asset. The adoption of this ASU was effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2012. This ASU did not have a

8

significant impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements as the Company accounted for its indemnification asset in a manner consistent with this ASU.

In June 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-08, Financial Services - Investment Companies (Topic 946) - Amendments to the Scope, Measurement and Disclosure Requirements. ASU 2013-08 sets forth the characteristics of investment companies and a new approach for determining whether a company is an investment company. The fundamental characteristics of an investment company include (i) the company obtains funds from investors and provides the investors with investment management services; (ii) the company commits to its investors that its business purpose and only substantive activities are investing the funds for returns solely from capital appreciation, investment income, or both; and (iii) the company or its affiliates do not obtain or have the objective of obtaining returns or benefits from an investee or its affiliates that are not normally attributable to ownership interests or that are other than capital appreciation or investment income. ASU 2013-08 also sets forth the scope, measurement and disclosure requirements for investment companies. ASU 2013-08 will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2014 and is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-10, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) - Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes. ASU 2013-10 permits the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes under Topic 815, in addition to interest rates on direct U.S. Treasury obligations and the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). ASU 2013-10 is effective prospectively for qualifying new or re-designated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013 and is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Note 3 – Earnings Per Share ("EPS")

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income allocated to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period which excludes the participating securities (securities that may participate in undistributed earnings with common stock). Diluted earnings per common share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares from stock compensation awards, but excludes awards considered participating securities. ESOP shares are not considered outstanding for earnings per share purposes until they are committed to be released.

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except share and per share data):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income | \$575 | \$559 | \$1,036 | \$1,261 |
| Allocated to participating securities | (4 |) (4 |) (8 |) (11 |
| Net income allocated to common stock | \$571 | \$555 | \$1,028 | \$1,250 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding, gross | 14,473,548 | 15,531,981 | 14,460,002 | 15,588,611 |
| Less: Average unearned ESOP shares | (671,370 |) (749,210 |) (681,100 |) (758,941 |
| Less: Average participating securities | (104,897 |) (144,108 |) (105,866 |) (124,414 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding, net | 13,697,281 | 14,638,663 | 13,673,036 | 14,705,256 |
| Net effect of dilutive stock options | 66,525 | — | 65,465 | — |
| Weighted average shares and common stock equivalents | 13,763,806 | 14,638,663 | 13,738,501 | 14,705,256 |
| Income per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.04 | \$0.04 | \$0.07 | \$0.09 |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Diluted | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| Options excluded from the calculation due to their anti-dilutive effect on EPS | 966,854 | 986,590 | 967,914 | 986,590 |

9

Note 4 – Investments

The Company's investment policies are designed to provide and maintain adequate liquidity and to generate favorable rates of return without incurring undue interest rate or credit risk, and generally limit investments to mortgage-backed securities, securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises ("GSE"), municipal bonds, certificates of deposit and marketable corporate debt obligations. Investments available-for-sale consisted of the following at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Total | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---|
| June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. GSE | \$62,067 | \$519 | \$(909) | \$61,677 | 14.0 | % |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 42,124 | 753 | (1,090) | 41,787 | 9.5 | |
| U.S. Treasury bonds | 9,602 | — | (752) | 8,850 | 2.0 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities, GSE-issued | 329,602 | 5,433 | (6,714) | 328,321 | 74.4 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities, private label | 258 | — | (7) | 251 | 0.1 | |
| Total | \$443,653 | \$6,705 | \$(9,472) | \$440,886 | 100.0 | % |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. GSE | \$56,179 | \$1,481 | \$— | \$57,660 | 13.7 | % |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 38,932 | 2,009 | (51) | 40,890 | 9.7 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities, GSE-issued | 311,690 | 10,116 | (134) | 321,672 | 76.5 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities, private label | 287 | — | (4) | 283 | 0.1 | |
| Total | \$407,088 | \$13,606 | \$(189) | \$420,505 | 100.0 | % |

For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, proceeds from sales of investments available-for-sale amounted to \$19.5 million and \$56.1 million, respectively. Gross realized gains for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$518,000 and \$1.1 million respectively, against gross realized losses of \$33,000 and \$0, respectively. All gains and losses were included in noninterest income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The fair value of investments with unrealized losses, the amount of unrealized losses and the length of time these unrealized losses existed as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, were as follows (in thousands):

| | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. GSE | \$25,662 | \$(909) | \$— | \$— | \$25,662 | \$(909) |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 24,556 | (1,090) | — | — | 24,556 | (1,090) |
| U.S. Treasury bonds | 8,850 | (752) | — | — | 8,850 | (752) |
| Mortgage-backed securities, GSE-issued | 155,545 | (6,712) | 113 | (2) | 155,658 | (6,714) |
| Mortgage-backed securities, private label | — | — | 251 | (7) | 251 | (7) |
| Total | \$214,613 | \$(9,463) | \$364 | \$(9) | \$214,977 | \$(9,472) |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| | \$6,117 | \$(51) | \$— | \$— | \$6,117 | \$(51) |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Obligations of states and political subdivisions

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--------|---------|------|------------|--------|---|
| Mortgage-backed securities, GSE-issued | 20,461 | (131 |) 114 | (3 |) 20,575 | (134 |) |
| Mortgage-backed securities, private label | — | — | 283 | (4 |) 283 | (4 |) |
| Total | \$26,578 | \$(182 |) \$397 | \$(7 |) \$26,975 | \$(189 |) |

10

Management has evaluated these investments and has determined that the decline in value is not other than temporary and not related to the underlying credit quality of the issuers or an industry specific event. The declines in value are on investments that have contractual maturity dates and future principal payments that will be sufficient to recover the current amortized cost of the investments. The Company does not have the intent to sell these investments and it is likely that it will not be required to sell these investments before their anticipated recovery.

The contractual maturities of investments available-for-sale at the dates indicated are shown below (in thousands). Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due within one year | \$11,042 | \$11,078 | \$14,136 | \$14,206 |
| Due after one year through five years | 6,300 | 6,495 | 7,051 | 7,280 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 24,068 | 24,197 | 20,719 | 21,908 |
| Due after ten years | 72,383 | 70,544 | 53,205 | 55,156 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 329,860 | 328,572 | 311,977 | 321,955 |
| Total | \$443,653 | \$440,886 | \$407,088 | \$420,505 |

As of June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, the Bank pledged investments for the following obligations (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| FHLB borrowings | \$18,902 | \$20,241 | \$23,482 | \$25,397 |
| Federal Reserve Bank | 904 | 935 | 1,166 | 1,222 |
| Repurchase agreements | 5,868 | 6,059 | 4,607 | 4,855 |
| Deposits of municipalities and public units | 8,376 | 8,894 | 9,871 | 10,573 |
| Total | \$34,050 | \$36,129 | \$39,126 | \$42,047 |

Note 5 – Loans and Leases Receivable and the Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans and leases receivable are summarized as follows at June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | Amount | Percent of Gross | Amount | Percent of Gross |
| Real estate: | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$76,567 | 19.1 % | \$87,833 | 20.8 % |
| Multifamily residential | 33,250 | 8.3 | 34,377 | 8.1 |
| Commercial | 177,041 | 44.3 | 185,132 | 43.8 |
| Total real estate | 286,858 | 71.7 | 307,342 | 72.7 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 21,780 | 5.4 | 13,016 | 3.1 |
| Multifamily residential | 2,726 | 0.7 | 520 | 0.1 |
| Commercial and land development | 21,739 | 5.4 | 25,391 | 6.0 |
| Total real estate construction | 46,245 | 11.5 | 38,927 | 9.2 |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Home equity | 38,678 | 9.7 | 41,793 | 9.9 |
| Automobile | 780 | 0.2 | 966 | 0.2 |
| Other consumer | 3,527 | 0.9 | 4,012 | 1.1 |
| Total consumer | 42,985 | 10.8 | 46,771 | 11.2 |
| Commercial business | 23,869 | 6.0 | 29,249 | 6.9 |
| Gross loans | 399,957 | 100.0 % | 422,289 | 100.0 % |
| Deferred loan (fees) costs, net | (11) | | 85 | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (11,099) | | (12,528) | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$388,847 | | \$409,846 | |

The following tables present loans at their recorded investment. Recorded investment includes the unpaid principal balance, net of purchase adjustments, plus accrued interest less charge offs and net deferred loan fees. Accrued interest on loans was \$1.1 million at both June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012.

Delinquent and nonaccrual loans. The following tables present the recorded investment in nonperforming loans and an aging of performing loans by class as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|---------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | Nonperforming Loans | | | | | | |
| | Nonaccrual | Past Due 90 or More Days, Still Accruing | Total | Loans Delinquent 30-59 Days | Loans Delinquent 60-89 Days | Loans Not Past Due | Total Loans |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$2,915 | \$— | \$2,915 | \$— | \$ 105 | \$66,501 | \$69,521 |
| Multifamily residential | 795 | — | 795 | — | — | 30,468 | 31,263 |
| Commercial real estate | 119 | — | 119 | — | — | 130,925 | 131,044 |
| Total real estate | 3,829 | — | 3,829 | — | 105 | 227,894 | 231,828 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 631 | — | 631 | — | — | 21,031 | 21,662 |
| Multifamily residential | — | — | — | — | — | 2,715 | 2,715 |
| Commercial real estate | 192 | — | 192 | — | — | 17,491 | 17,683 |
| Total real estate construction | 823 | — | 823 | — | — | 41,237 | 42,060 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 527 | — | 527 | 103 | — | 29,440 | 30,070 |
| Automobile | 6 | — | 6 | — | — | 646 | 652 |
| Other consumer | — | — | — | — | — | 2,938 | 2,938 |
| Total consumer | 533 | — | 533 | 103 | — | 33,024 | 33,660 |
| Commercial business | 248 | — | 248 | — | — | 15,757 | 16,005 |
| Total noncovered loans | 5,433 | — | 5,433 | 103 | 105 | 317,912 | 323,553 |
| Covered loans | | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 252 | — | 252 | — | — | 6,851 | 7,103 |
| Multifamily residential | — | — | — | — | — | 2,881 | 2,881 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,517 | — | 3,517 | — | — | 42,537 | 46,054 |
| Total real estate | 3,769 | — | 3,769 | — | — | 52,269 | 56,038 |
| Commercial real estate construction | 228 | — | 228 | — | — | 3,759 | 3,987 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 35 | — | 35 | — | — | 8,797 | 8,832 |
| Automobile | — | — | — | — | — | 130 | 130 |
| Other consumer | — | — | — | — | — | 627 | 627 |
| Total consumer | 35 | — | 35 | — | — | 9,554 | 9,589 |
| Commercial business | — | — | — | — | — | 7,866 | 7,866 |
| Total covered loans | 4,032 | — | 4,032 | — | — | 73,448 | 77,480 |
| Total gross loans | \$9,465 | \$— | \$9,465 | \$ 103 | \$ 105 | \$391,360 | \$401,033 |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|---|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Nonperforming Loans | | | | | | | |
| | Nonaccrual | Past Due 90 or More Days, Still Accruing | Total | Loans Delinquent 30-59 Days | Loans Delinquent 60-89 Days | Loans Not Past Due | Total Loans |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$3,240 | \$— | \$3,240 | \$ 498 | \$ 217 | \$75,741 | \$79,696 |
| Multifamily residential | 825 | — | 825 | — | — | 30,228 | 31,053 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,727 | — | 3,727 | — | — | 132,825 | 136,552 |
| Total real estate | 7,792 | — | 7,792 | 498 | 217 | 238,794 | 247,301 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 593 | — | 593 | — | — | 12,423 | 13,016 |
| Multifamily residential | — | — | — | — | — | 520 | 520 |
| Commercial real estate | 218 | — | 218 | — | — | 19,756 | 19,974 |
| Total real estate construction | 811 | — | 811 | — | — | 32,699 | 33,510 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 643 | — | 643 | 31 | 7 | 30,979 | 31,660 |
| Automobile | — | — | — | — | 3 | 752 | 755 |
| Other consumer | — | — | — | 13 | — | 3,257 | 3,270 |
| Total consumer | 643 | — | 643 | 44 | 10 | 34,988 | 35,685 |
| Commercial business | 351 | — | 351 | — | — | 17,183 | 17,534 |
| Total noncovered loans | 9,597 | — | 9,597 | 542 | 227 | 323,664 | 334,030 |
| Covered loans | | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 338 | — | 338 | — | — | 7,835 | 8,173 |
| Multifamily residential | — | — | — | — | — | 3,325 | 3,325 |
| Commercial real estate | 4,108 | — | 4,108 | — | — | 44,471 | 48,579 |
| Total real estate | 4,446 | — | 4,446 | — | — | 55,631 | 60,077 |
| Commercial real estate construction | 248 | — | 248 | — | — | 5,169 | 5,417 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 85 | — | 85 | 30 | — | 10,164 | 10,279 |
| Automobile | — | — | — | — | — | 210 | 210 |
| Other consumer | 10 | — | 10 | 5 | 5 | 742 | 762 |
| Total consumer | 95 | — | 95 | 35 | 5 | 11,116 | 11,251 |
| Commercial business | — | — | — | — | — | 12,699 | 12,699 |
| Total covered loans | 4,789 | — | 4,789 | 35 | 5 | 84,615 | 89,444 |
| Total gross loans | \$14,386 | \$— | \$14,386 | \$ 577 | \$ 232 | \$408,279 | \$423,474 |

Loan classification. The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis is performed on a monthly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk classification ratings:

Watch. A loan is categorized as watch if it possesses some reason for additional management oversight, such as correctable documentation deficiencies, recent financial setbacks, deteriorating financial position, industry concerns, and failure to perform on other borrowing obligations. Loans with this classification are to be monitored in an effort to correct deficiencies and upgrade the credit if warranted. At the time of this classification, they are not believed to expose the Company to significant risk.

Special Mention. Performing loans that have developed minor credit weaknesses since origination are categorized as special mention. Evidence of credit weakness include the primary source of repayment has deteriorated and no longer meets debt service requirements as defined in Company policy, the borrower may have a short track record and little depth of management, inadequate current financial information, marginal capitalization, and susceptibility to negative industry trends. The primary source of repayment remains viable but there is increasing reliance on collateral or guarantor support.

Substandard. A loan is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth, liquidity and paying capacity of the borrower or collateral pledged. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values.

Loss. This classification of loans includes loans that are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as an active asset is not warranted. This does not mean the loan has no salvage value, however, is neither desirable nor practical to defer writing off this asset at this time. Once a determination has been made that a loss exists, the loss amount will be charged-off. As a result, generally, the Company will not report loan balances as "Loss."

Pass. Loans not meeting the criteria above are considered to be pass rated loans. The pass classification also includes homogeneous loans (such as one-to-four family residential and consumer loans) unless the borrower experiences a delinquency or requests a modification, at which point the loan is graded as specified above.

As of June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans was as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|
| | Pass | Watch | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total Loans |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$65,259 | \$482 | \$— | \$3,780 | \$— | \$69,521 |
| Multifamily residential | 30,312 | 20 | 46 | 885 | — | 31,263 |
| Commercial real estate | 99,478 | 10,217 | 6,001 | 15,348 | — | 131,044 |
| Total real estate | 195,049 | 10,719 | 6,047 | 20,013 | — | 231,828 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 18,418 | 2,613 | — | 631 | — | 21,662 |
| Multifamily residential | 2,715 | — | — | — | — | 2,715 |
| Commercial real estate | 17,175 | 316 | — | 192 | — | 17,683 |
| Total real estate construction | 38,308 | 2,929 | — | 823 | — | 42,060 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 29,433 | 110 | — | 527 | — | 30,070 |
| Automobile | 647 | — | — | 5 | — | 652 |
| Other consumer | 2,859 | 52 | 18 | 9 | — | 2,938 |
| Total consumer | 32,939 | 162 | 18 | 541 | — | 33,660 |
| Commercial business | 15,070 | 491 | 141 | 303 | — | 16,005 |
| Total noncovered loans | 281,366 | 14,301 | 6,206 | 21,680 | — | 323,553 |
| Covered loans | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 3,294 | 150 | — | 3,659 | — | 7,103 |
| Multifamily residential | 2,211 | 192 | — | 478 | — | 2,881 |
| Commercial real estate | 27,567 | 1,890 | 2,203 | 14,394 | — | 46,054 |
| Total real estate | 33,072 | 2,232 | 2,203 | 18,531 | — | 56,038 |
| Commercial real estate construction | 485 | 3,274 | — | 228 | — | 3,987 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 8,694 | — | 103 | 35 | — | 8,832 |
| Automobile | 130 | — | — | — | — | 130 |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|-----|-----------|
| Other consumer | 601 | 11 | — | 15 | — | 627 |
| Total consumer | 9,425 | 11 | 103 | 50 | — | 9,589 |
| Commercial business | 4,941 | 1,138 | 958 | 829 | — | 7,866 |
| Total covered loans | 47,923 | 6,655 | 3,264 | 19,638 | — | 77,480 |
| Total gross loans | \$329,289 | \$20,956 | \$9,470 | \$41,318 | \$— | \$401,033 |

15

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | December 31, 2012 | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| | Pass | Watch | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loans |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$74,974 | \$603 | \$— | \$4,119 | \$— | \$79,696 |
| Multifamily residential | 30,073 | — | 39 | 941 | — | 31,053 |
| Commercial real estate | 91,684 | 11,477 | 11,456 | 21,935 | — | 136,552 |
| Total real estate | 196,731 | 12,080 | 11,495 | 26,995 | — | 247,301 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 11,771 | 594 | — | 651 | — | 13,016 |
| Multifamily residential | 520 | — | — | — | — | 520 |
| Commercial real estate | 19,365 | 391 | — | 218 | — | 19,974 |
| Total real estate construction | 31,656 | 985 | — | 869 | — | 33,510 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 30,901 | 116 | — | 643 | — | 31,660 |
| Automobile | 755 | — | — | — | — | 755 |
| Other consumer | 3,159 | 26 | 21 | 64 | — | 3,270 |
| Total consumer | 34,815 | 142 | 21 | 707 | — | 35,685 |
| Commercial business | 16,249 | 675 | 175 | 435 | — | 17,534 |
| Total noncovered loans | 279,451 | 13,882 | 11,691 | 29,006 | — | 334,030 |
| Covered loans | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 3,494 | 151 | — | 4,528 | — | 8,173 |
| Multifamily residential | 2,617 | 205 | — | 503 | — | 3,325 |
| Commercial real estate | 22,272 | 10,302 | 1,813 | 14,192 | — | 48,579 |
| Total real estate | 28,383 | 10,658 | 1,813 | 19,223 | — | 60,077 |
| Real estate construction: | 849 | 3,939 | — | 629 | — | 5,417 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 10,024 | 109 | — | 146 | — | 10,279 |
| Automobile | 210 | — | — | — | — | 210 |
| Other consumer | 725 | 12 | — | 25 | — | 762 |
| Total consumer | 10,959 | 121 | — | 171 | — | 11,251 |
| Commercial business | 8,361 | 742 | 1,175 | 2,421 | — | 12,699 |
| Total covered loans | 48,552 | 15,460 | 2,988 | 22,444 | — | 89,444 |
| Total gross loans | \$328,003 | \$29,342 | \$14,679 | \$51,450 | \$— | \$423,474 |

Impaired loans. A loan is considered impaired when, based upon currently known information, it is deemed probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due as scheduled according to the original terms of the agreement with the borrower. Additionally, all troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”) are considered impaired.

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table presents loans deemed impaired by class of loans as of and during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | | | Average Recorded Investment | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment | Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$3,771 | \$3,221 | \$— | \$3,360 | \$3,446 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,994 | 3,912 | — | 6,459 | 6,745 |
| Total real estate | 7,765 | 7,133 | — | 9,819 | 10,191 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 317 | 312 | — | 281 | 273 |
| Commercial real estate | 191 | 192 | — | 195 | 179 |
| Total real estate construction | 508 | 504 | — | 476 | 452 |
| Home equity | 491 | 324 | — | 370 | 391 |
| Commercial business | 257 | 257 | — | 302 | 321 |
| Total noncovered loans with no related allowance | 9,021 | 8,218 | — | 10,967 | 11,355 |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 1,022 | 1,019 | (270) | 1,030 | 1,039 |
| Multifamily residential | 795 | 795 | (66) | 803 | 810 |
| Commercial real estate | 89 | 89 | (3) | 215 | 259 |
| Total real estate | 1,906 | 1,903 | (339) | 2,048 | 2,108 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 319 | 319 | (70) | 351 | 364 |
| Commercial real estate | — | — | — | — | 24 |
| Total real estate construction | 319 | 319 | (70) | 351 | 388 |
| Home equity | 221 | 221 | (118) | 221 | 222 |
| Total noncovered loans with an allowance recorded | 2,446 | 2,443 | (527) | 2,620 | 2,718 |
| Covered loans | | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | — | — | — | — | 42 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,936 | 2,186 | — | 2,530 | 2,536 |
| Total real estate | 2,936 | 2,186 | — | 2,530 | 2,578 |
| Commercial real estate construction | 498 | 228 | — | 231 | 236 |
| Home equity | 541 | 35 | — | 85 | 85 |
| Commercial business and leases | — | — | — | — | 3 |
| Total covered loans with no related allowance | 3,975 | 2,449 | — | 2,846 | 2,902 |
| Total impaired loans | \$15,442 | \$13,110 | \$(527) | \$16,433 | \$16,975 |

At June 30, 2013, the unpaid principal balance for purposes of this table includes \$2.3 million that was partially charged-off but not forgiven.

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table presents loans deemed impaired by class of loans as of December 31, 2012, and during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | | | Average Recorded Investment | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment | Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$4,259 | \$3,620 | \$— | \$3,814 | \$4,094 |
| Commercial real estate | 7,403 | 7,316 | — | 4,869 | 4,051 |
| Total real estate | 11,662 | 10,936 | — | 8,683 | 8,145 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential construction | 317 | 259 | — | 162 | 365 |
| Commercial real estate | 146 | 146 | — | 151 | 101 |
| Total real estate construction | 463 | 405 | — | 313 | 466 |
| Consumer: | | | | | |
| Home equity | 758 | 434 | — | 742 | 699 |
| Automobile | — | — | — | 29 | 30 |
| Other consumer | — | — | — | 10 | 10 |
| Total consumer | 758 | 434 | — | 781 | 739 |
| Commercial business | 360 | 361 | — | 368 | 388 |
| Total noncovered loans with no related allowance | 13,243 | 12,136 | — | 10,145 | 9,738 |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 1,057 | 1,057 | (309) | 1,559 | 1,558 |
| Multifamily residential | 825 | 825 | (114) | 427 | 285 |
| Commercial real estate | 347 | 347 | (41) | 5,498 | 5,683 |
| Total real estate | 2,229 | 2,229 | (464) | 7,484 | 7,526 |
| Real estate construction: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential construction | 392 | 392 | (145) | 355 | 382 |
| Commercial real estate | 72 | 72 | (12) | 337 | 370 |
| Total real estate construction | 464 | 464 | (157) | 692 | 752 |
| Home equity | 224 | 224 | (171) | 295 | 298 |
| Total noncovered loans with an allowance recorded | 2,917 | 2,917 | (792) | 8,471 | 8,576 |
| Covered loans | | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 63 | 63 | — | 181 | 266 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,027 | 2,548 | — | 2,621 | 2,650 |
| Total real estate | 3,090 | 2,611 | — | 2,802 | 2,916 |
| Commercial real estate construction | 508 | 248 | — | 403 | 557 |
| Home equity | 633 | 85 | — | 160 | 176 |
| Commercial business and leases | 10 | 10 | — | 747 | 569 |
| Total covered loans with no related allowance | 4,241 | 2,954 | — | 4,112 | 4,218 |
| Total impaired loans | \$20,401 | \$18,007 | \$(792) | \$22,728 | \$22,532 |

At December 31, 2012, the unpaid principal balance for purposes of this table includes \$2.4 million that was partially charged-off but not forgiven. Interest income recorded on impaired loans was immaterial during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | | | | Recorded Investment | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| | Allowance for Loan Losses | | | Total | Recorded Investment | | | Total |
| | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality | | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality | |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | | | | |
| Real estate | \$339 | \$4,715 | \$— | \$5,054 | \$9,036 | \$222,792 | \$— | \$231,828 |
| Construction | 70 | 1,105 | — | 1,175 | 823 | 41,237 | — | 42,060 |
| Consumer | 118 | 1,286 | — | 1,404 | 545 | 31,880 | 1,235 | 33,660 |
| Commercial business | — | 392 | — | 392 | 257 | 15,748 | — | 16,005 |
| Total noncovered | 527 | 7,498 | — | 8,025 | 10,661 | 311,657 | 1,235 | 323,553 |
| Covered loans | | | | | | | | |
| Real estate | — | 738 | 1,106 | 1,844 | 2,186 | 20,588 | 33,264 | 56,038 |
| Construction | — | 75 | 109 | 184 | 228 | 858 | 2,901 | 3,987 |
| Consumer | — | 120 | 152 | 272 | 35 | 4,737 | 4,817 | 9,589 |
| Commercial business | — | 184 | 590 | 774 | — | 2,880 | 4,986 | 7,866 |
| Total covered | — | 1,117 | 1,957 | 3,074 | 2,449 | 29,063 | 45,968 | 77,480 |
| Total | \$527 | \$8,615 | \$1,957 | \$11,099 | \$13,110 | \$340,720 | \$47,203 | \$401,033 |

| | December 31, 2012 | | | | Recorded Investment | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| | Allowance for Loan Losses | | | Total | Recorded Investment | | | Total |
| | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality | | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality | |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | | | | |
| Real estate | \$464 | \$4,715 | \$— | \$5,179 | \$13,165 | \$234,136 | \$— | \$247,301 |
| Construction | 157 | 809 | — | 966 | 869 | 32,641 | — | 33,510 |
| Consumer | 171 | 1,627 | — | 1,798 | 658 | 33,437 | 1,590 | 35,685 |
| Commercial business | — | 668 | — | 668 | 361 | 17,173 | — | 17,534 |
| Total noncovered | 792 | 7,819 | — | 8,611 | 15,053 | 317,387 | 1,590 | 334,030 |
| Covered loans | | | | | | | | |
| Real estate | — | 704 | 1,452 | 2,156 | 2,611 | 21,725 | 35,741 | 60,077 |
| Construction | — | 179 | 295 | 474 | 248 | 1,857 | 3,312 | 5,417 |
| Consumer | — | 281 | 278 | 559 | 85 | 5,263 | 5,893 | 11,241 |
| Commercial business | — | 169 | 559 | 728 | 10 | 3,832 | 8,867 | 12,709 |
| Total covered | — | 1,333 | 2,584 | 3,917 | 2,954 | 32,677 | 53,813 | 89,444 |
| Total | \$792 | \$9,152 | \$2,584 | \$12,528 | \$18,007 | \$350,064 | \$55,403 | \$423,474 |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was as follows (in thousands):

| | As of March 31, 2013 | Provisions | Charge-Offs | Recoveries | As of June 30, 2013 |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|
| Noncovered loans | | | | | |
| Real estate | \$5,354 | \$(303) | \$(48) | \$51 | \$5,054 |
| Construction | 968 | 201 | — | 6 | 1,175 |
| Consumer | 1,687 | (250) | (61) | 28 | 1,404 |
| Commercial business | 403 | (12) | — | 1 | 392 |
| Total noncovered loans | 8,412 | (364) | (109) | 86 | 8,025 |
| Covered loans | | | | | |
| Real estate | 2,412 | 437 | (1,007) | 2 | 1,844 |
| Construction | 305 | (233) | (68) | 180 | 184 |
| Consumer | 549 | (175) | (109) | 7 | 272 |
| Commercial business | 895 | (21) | (129) | 29 | 774 |
| Total covered loans | 4,161 | 8 | (1,313) | 218 | 3,074 |
| Total | \$12,573 | \$(356) | \$(1,422) | \$304 | \$11,099 |

| | As of March 31, 2012 | Provisions | Charge-Offs | Recoveries | As of June 30, 2012 |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|
| Noncovered loans | | | | | |
| Real estate | \$5,750 | \$(197) | \$(247) | \$5 | \$5,311 |
| Construction | 804 | 35 | — | 1 | 840 |
| Consumer | 2,142 | 26 | (140) | 19 | 2,047 |
| Commercial business | 596 | 136 | (25) | — | 707 |
| Total noncovered loans | 9,292 | — | (412) | 25 | 8,905 |
| Covered loans | | | | | |
| Real estate | 1,190 | (165) | (266) | 112 | 871 |
| Construction | 2,085 | (498) | (258) | 392 | 1,721 |
| Consumer | 704 | 178 | (217) | — | 665 |
| Commercial business | 468 | 51 | (105) | 44 | 458 |
| Total covered loans | 4,447 | (434) | (846) | 548 | 3,715 |
| Total | \$13,739 | \$(434) | \$(1,258) | \$573 | \$12,620 |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was as follows (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, 2012 | Provisions | Charge-Offs | Recoveries | As of June 30, 2013 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|
| Noncovered loans | | | | | |
| Real estate | \$5,179 | \$(53) | \$(132) | \$60 | \$5,054 |
| Construction | 966 | 198 | — | 11 | 1,175 |
| Consumer | 1,798 | (457) | (69) | 132 | 1,404 |
| Commercial business | 668 | (277) | — | 1 | 392 |
| Total noncovered loans | 8,611 | (589) | (201) | 204 | 8,025 |
| Covered loans | | | | | |
| Real estate | 2,156 | 595 | (1,007) | 100 | 1,844 |
| Construction | 474 | (537) | (77) | 324 | 184 |
| Consumer | 559 | (168) | (128) | 9 | 272 |
| Commercial business | 728 | 116 | (129) | 59 | 774 |
| Total covered loans | 3,917 | 6 | (1,341) | 492 | 3,074 |
| Total | \$12,528 | \$(583) | \$(1,542) | \$696 | \$11,099 |

| | As of December 31, 2011 | Provisions | Charge-Offs | Recoveries | As of June 30, 2012 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|
| Noncovered loans | | | | | |
| Real estate | \$6,923 | \$(880) | \$(746) | \$14 | \$5,311 |
| Construction | 722 | 74 | — | 44 | 840 |
| Consumer | 2,097 | 233 | (316) | 33 | 2,047 |
| Commercial business | 205 | 573 | (71) | — | 707 |
| Total noncovered loans | 9,947 | — | (1,133) | 91 | 8,905 |
| Covered loans | | | | | |
| Real estate | 1,056 | (1,105) | (320) | 1,240 | 871 |
| Construction | 2,201 | (808) | (278) | 606 | 1,721 |
| Consumer | 319 | 579 | (233) | — | 665 |
| Commercial business | 648 | 117 | (357) | 50 | 458 |
| Total covered loans | 4,224 | (1,217) | (1,188) | 1,896 | 3,715 |
| Total | \$14,171 | \$(1,217) | \$(2,321) | \$1,987 | \$12,620 |

TDRs. The internal process used to assess whether a modification should be reported and accounted for as a TDR includes an assessment of the borrower's payment history, considering whether the borrower is in financial difficulty, whether a concession has been granted, and whether it is likely the borrower will be able to perform under the modified terms. The modification of the terms of such loans generally includes one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for the new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

TDRs totaled \$8.3 million and \$11.8 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and are included in the impaired loan disclosures in this footnote. Of these amounts, \$354,000 and \$338,000 were covered under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company has allocated \$496,000 of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs at June 30, 2013, compared to \$676,000 at December 31, 2012. There were no commitments to lend additional amounts to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as TDRs.

Modifications to loans not accounted for as TDRs totaled \$149,000 at June 30, 2013, of which \$71,000 was in the noncovered loan portfolio. These loans were not considered to be TDRs because the borrower was not under financial difficulty at the time of the modification or extension. Extensions are made at market rates as evidenced by comparison to newly originated loans of generally comparable credit quality and structure.

The following table presents TDRs at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|---|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | Accrual Status | Nonaccrual Status | Total Modifications | Accrual Status | Nonaccrual Status | Total Modifications |
| Noncovered | | | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$ 1,324 | \$ 799 | \$ 2,123 | \$ 1,436 | \$ 732 | \$ 2,168 |
| Multifamily residential | — | 795 | 795 | — | 825 | 825 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,876 | 31 | 3,907 | 3,936 | 3,315 | 7,251 |
| Total real estate | 5,200 | 1,625 | 6,825 | 5,372 | 4,872 | 10,244 |
| One-to-four family residential construction | — | 545 | 545 | 59 | 571 | 630 |
| Commercial and land development | — | 191 | 191 | — | 124 | 124 |
| Total real estate construction | — | 736 | 736 | 59 | 695 | 754 |
| Home equity | 15 | 159 | 174 | 15 | 159 | 174 |
| Commercial business | 9 | 247 | 256 | 10 | 305 | 315 |
| Total noncovered TDRs | 5,224 | 2,767 | 7,991 | 5,456 | 6,031 | 11,487 |
| Covered | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 172 | 147 | 319 | 174 | 164 | 338 |
| Home equity | — | 35 | 35 | — | — | — |
| Total covered TDRs | 172 | 182 | 354 | 174 | 164 | 338 |
| Total | \$ 5,396 | \$ 2,949 | \$ 8,345 | \$ 5,630 | \$ 6,195 | \$ 11,825 |

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, there were five new TDRs with pre-modification and post-modification balance of \$218,000. Each involved an extension of a maturity, and in four cases, a reduction in rate of between 1.0% and 2.0%. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, there were fourteen new TDRs. The modifications, including nine of which involved one customer relationship, involved extensions of the maturity dates by up to two years. None of the modifications involved a charge-off of a loan, although there are specific impairments on two of the loans totaling \$290,000. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not incur payment defaults on loans that had been modified within twelve months of those dates. A default on a TDR results in either a transfer to nonaccrual status or a charge-off.

The following table presents new TDRs during the six months ended June 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Balance | Post-Modification Balance |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Noncovered loans | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 2 | \$ 100 | \$ 100 |
| Commercial and land development | 1 | 77 | 77 |
| Home equity | 1 | 36 | 36 |
| Auto | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| Total | 5 | \$ 218 | \$ 218 |

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there were no charge-offs on any TDRs.

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table presents TDRs at June 30, 2013 which were performing according to agreement (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment |
| Noncovered loans | | |
| One-to-four family residential | 12 | \$1,988 |
| Multifamily residential | 1 | 795 |
| Commercial real estate | 3 | 3,907 |
| One-to-four family residential construction | 4 | 545 |
| Commercial and land development | 6 | 191 |
| Home equity | 3 | 168 |
| Commercial business | 2 | 256 |
| Total noncovered | 31 | 7,850 |
| Covered loans | | |
| Commercial real estate | 2 | 319 |
| Home equity | 1 | 35 |
| Total covered | 3 | 354 |
| Total | 34 | \$8,204 |

Purchased Credit Impaired Loans. The Bank has purchased loans, for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments would not be collected. At the acquisition date, management estimated the fair value of the acquired loan portfolios which represented the expected cash flows from the portfolio discounted at a market-based rate. Included in the estimate of fair value was a discount credit adjustment that reflected expected credit losses. In estimating the preliminary fair value, management calculated the contractual amount and timing of undiscounted principal and interest payments (the “undiscounted contractual cash flows”) and estimated the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal and interest payments (the “undiscounted expected cash flows”). The amount by which the undiscounted expected cash flows exceed the estimated fair value (the “accretable yield”) is accreted into interest income over the life of the loans. The difference between the undiscounted contractual cash flows and the undiscounted expected cash flows is the nonaccretable difference. The nonaccretable difference represents an estimate of the credit risk in the acquired loan and lease portfolio at the acquisition date.

The following table details activity of accretable yield for the periods shown (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Beginning balance of accretable yield | \$14,728 | \$26,320 | \$15,004 | \$28,915 |
| Changes in accretable yield due to: | | | | |
| Transfer from nonaccretable difference | 1,214 | 1,076 | 4,578 | 4,732 |
| Accretable yield recognized as interest income | (3,816) | (4,312) | (7,456) | (10,563) |
| Ending balance of accretable yield | \$12,126 | \$23,084 | \$12,126 | \$23,084 |

The carrying amount of loans for which income is not being recognized on loans individually accounted for under ASC 310-30 totaled \$1.8 million and \$2.0 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which were purchased in the CFB Acquisition. The carrying amount of purchased credit impaired loans acquired in the CFB Acquisition totaled \$2.1 million and \$2.4 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. At June 30, 2013, purchased credit impaired loans acquired in the LibertyBank Acquisition totaled \$45.1 million.

The allowance for loan losses on loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 was \$2.2 million and \$2.6 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. There were no provision for loan losses on loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Reductions in the allowance for loan losses on loans

accounted for under ASC 310-30 due to increases in estimated cash flows totaled \$887,000 and \$481,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, provision for loan losses on loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 totaled \$260,000 and \$230,000, respectively.

Reductions in the allowance for loan losses on loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 due to increases in estimated cash flows totaled \$887,000 and \$493,000 during six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 6 – Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2 – Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 – Significant unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate fair value:

Investments. The fair values for investments are determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1). For investments where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar investments (Level 2).

Impaired Loans. The fair value of impaired loans is generally based on recent collateral appraisals if the loan is collateral-based or on a cash flow analysis if repayment of the loan is generally dependent on the cash flow of the borrower. The collateral appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Collateral appraisals on loans secured by one-to-four family residential properties are updated when the loan becomes 120 days past due, or earlier if circumstances indicate the borrower will be unable to repay the loan under the terms of the note. Additionally, appraisals are updated if the borrower requests a modification to their loan. On commercial real estate and multifamily loans, appraisals are updated upon a determination that the borrower will be unable to repay the loan according to the terms of the note or upon a notice of default, whichever is earlier. Appraisals are updated on all loan types immediately prior to a foreclosure sale and at least annually thereafter once the collateral title has been transferred to the Bank. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually adjustments to the sales price of the comparable properties, as deemed appropriate by the appraiser, based on the age, condition, location or general characteristics of the subject property. If the income approach is used by an appraiser, a discount rate or a "capitalization rate" is applied to estimated net operating income for the income producing property. This capitalization rate is applied to estimate the fair value of the property. Capitalization rates vary based on the type of property (e.g., office, warehouse, retail) and local market dynamics (e.g., population, employment, absorption or saturation of specific property types), among other factors.

Real Estate Owned. Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as REO are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are based on recent real estate appraisals. At least semi-annually, all REO is evaluated and the respective carrying balances are adjusted downward if warranted. Appraisals may use a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and

typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. These valuation techniques and adjustments are described in greater detail above under “Impaired Loans.” Management will typically discount appraised values by 8.5%, which is based on historical experience to estimate selling costs, when determining the fair value of REO.

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value (in thousands):

| | Level 1 Inputs | Level 2 Inputs | Level 3 Inputs | Total Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| June 30, 2013 | | | | |
| Investments available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. GSE | \$— | \$61,677 | \$— | \$61,677 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | — | 41,787 | — | 41,787 |
| U.S. Treasury bonds | 8,850 | — | — | 8,850 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, GSE issued | — | 328,321 | — | 328,321 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, private label | — | 251 | — | 251 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Investments available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. GSE | \$— | \$57,660 | \$— | \$57,660 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | — | 40,890 | — | 40,890 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, GSE issued | — | 321,672 | — | 321,672 |
| Mortgage-backed securities, private label | — | 283 | — | 283 |

Additionally, certain assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These adjustments to fair value generally result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets due to impairment. The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets that were measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Level 1 Inputs | Level 2 Inputs | Level 3 Inputs | Total Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| June 30, 2013 | | | | |
| Impaired loans: | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$— | \$— | \$2,585 | \$2,585 |
| Commercial and multifamily | — | — | 2,828 | 2,828 |
| Real estate construction | — | — | 354 | 354 |
| Home equity | — | — | 136 | 136 |
| Total impaired loans | — | — | 5,903 | 5,903 |
| REO: | | | | |
| Commercial and multifamily | — | — | 2,496 | 2,496 |
| Real estate construction | — | — | 2,051 | 2,051 |
| Total REO | — | — | 4,547 | 4,547 |
| Total impaired loans and REO at fair value | \$— | \$— | \$10,450 | \$10,450 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Impaired loans: | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | \$— | \$— | \$2,802 | \$2,802 |
| Commercial and multifamily | — | — | 3,359 | 3,359 |
| Real estate construction | — | — | 429 | 429 |
| Home equity | — | — | 173 | 173 |
| Total impaired loans | — | — | 6,763 | 6,763 |
| REO: | | | | |
| One-to-four family residential | — | — | 307 | 307 |
| Commercial and multifamily | — | — | 5,513 | 5,513 |
| Real estate construction | — | — | 2,950 | 2,950 |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|----------|----------|
| Total REO | — | — | 8,770 | 8,770 |
| Total impaired loans and REO at fair value | \$— | \$— | \$15,533 | \$15,533 |

25

At June 30, 2013, impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral, had a carrying amount of \$5.9 million, net of specific valuation allowances totaling \$527,000. At December 31, 2012, impaired loans at fair value had a carrying amount of \$6.8 million, net of specific allowances totaling \$792,000. Included in the value of impaired loans presented above at June 30, 2013 was \$4.0 million of loans that have been charged down to fair value. During the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the specific valuation allowance on impaired loans required a reduction of \$224,000 and \$166,000, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the specific valuation allowance on impaired loans required a reduction of \$265,000 and \$100,000, respectively

REO is recorded at estimated fair value less costs to sell and had a carrying amount of \$4.5 million at June 30, 2013, which was comprised of the outstanding balance of \$4.5 million, with no valuation allowance. At December 31, 2012, REO measured at fair value less costs to sell had a carrying value of \$8.8 million, which was comprised of the outstanding balance of \$8.8 million, with no valuation allowance. The provision for declines in the value of REO totaled \$548,000 and \$291,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the provision for declines in the value of REO totaled \$643,000 and \$398,000, respectively. The provisions for the declines in the value of REO includes impairment on REO measured at fair value and REO properties sold during the periods.

The following tables present information as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, regarding significant unobservable inputs related to the Company's material categories of Level 3 financial assets measured on a nonrecurring basis (dollars in thousands):

| June 30, 2013 | | | | | |
|---|------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Inputs | Range of Inputs | Weighted Average |
| Impaired loans- Commercial real estate and multifamily | \$2,828 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | (33.9%) - 26.7% | (13.1)% |
| | | Income approach | Adjustment for differences in capitalization rates | 7.0% - 9.0% | 7.7 |
| Impaired loans- Construction | 354 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | (11.8%) - 7.1% | (3.3) |
| REO- Commercial real estate | 2,496 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | (14.2%) - 18.7% | (0.4) |
| | | Income approach | Adjustment for differences in capitalization rates | 9.3% - 9.5% | 9.4 |
| REO- Construction | 2,051 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | (1.8%) - 30.3% | 12.0 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Inputs | Range of Inputs | Weighted Average |
| Impaired loans- Commercial real estate and multifamily | \$3,359 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | (14.8%) - 8.8% | (4.4)% |
| | | Income approach | | 9.0% - 10.0% | 9.2 |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------|
| Impaired loans- Construction | 429 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences in capitalization rates Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | 1.9% - 12.7% | 7.0 |
| REO- Commercial real estate | 5,513 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | (15.2%) - 18.5% | (1.2) |
| | | Income approach | Adjustment for differences in capitalization rates | 8.0% - 8.8% | 8.4 |
| REO- Construction | 2,950 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | (39.3%) - 20.8% | (19.2) |

26

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments that are reported at amortized cost in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Level 1 inputs: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$76,116 | \$76,116 | \$115,529 | \$115,529 |
| Accrued interest on cash and U.S. Treasury bonds | 37 | 37 | — | — |
| Level 2 inputs: | | | | |
| Accrued interest receivable on other investments | 1,746 | 1,746 | 1,676 | 1,676 |
| Level 3 inputs: | | | | |
| Loans receivable, net, excluding loans at fair value and leases | 382,660 | 386,176 | 402,500 | 412,032 |
| Accrued interest receivable on loans | 1,087 | 1,087 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| FDIC indemnification receivable, net | 7,359 | 7,359 | 10,846 | 10,846 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Level 1 inputs: | | | | |
| Demand and savings deposits | \$638,033 | \$638,033 | \$641,646 | \$641,646 |
| Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 734 | 734 | 490 | 490 |
| Level 2 inputs: | | | | |
| Certificates of deposit | 186,035 | 188,297 | 209,242 | 212,436 |
| Accrued interest payable | 136 | 136 | 167 | 167 |
| Repurchase agreements | 612 | 612 | 4,775 | 4,790 |

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

Cash and Cash Equivalents. The carrying amount approximates fair value and are classified as Level 1.

FHLB Stock. The determination of fair value of FHLB stock was impractical due to restrictions on the transferability of the stock.

Loans Receivable. Fair values for all performing loans are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis, utilizing interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level 3 classification. In addition, the fair value reflects the decrease in loan values as estimated in the allowance for loan losses calculation. Leases are excluded from the table above. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent a liquidation price.

FDIC Indemnification Asset. Carrying value approximates fair value as the receivable is recorded at the net present value of estimated cash flows resulting in a Level 3 classification.

Accrued Interest Receivable. The carrying amount approximates fair value resulting in a Level 1, 2 or 3 classification, consistent with the underlying assets.

Deposits. The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date resulting in a Level 1 classification. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Repurchase Agreements. The fair value of these borrowings is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rate at which similar borrowings with similar remaining maturities could be made resulting in a Level 2 classification.

27

Advances by Borrowers for Taxes and Insurance. The carrying amount approximates fair value as it is the amount payable on the reporting date resulting in a Level 1 classification.

Accrued Interest Payable. The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Off-Balance Sheet Instruments. Fair values of off-balance-sheet lending commitments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the borrower's credit standing. The fair value of the fees at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were insignificant.

Note 7 – FDIC Indemnification Receivable

Activity in the FDIC indemnification receivable for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| Beginning balance | \$9,416 | \$20,160 | \$10,846 | \$23,676 |
| Amounts (received from) paid to the FDIC | (106) | (442) | 155 | (1,077) |
| FDIC indemnification provision and impairment, net | (2,328) | (2,116) | (4,363) | (6,278) |
| Increase in estimated losses | 377 | 768 | 721 | 2,049 |
| Ending balance | \$7,359 | \$18,370 | \$7,359 | \$18,370 |

For estimated losses on covered assets purchased in the CFB Acquisition, amounts receivable from the FDIC have been estimated at 80% of losses on covered assets (acquired loans and REO) up to \$34.0 million. Reimbursable losses in excess of \$34.0 million have been estimated at 95% of the amount recoverable from the FDIC. For estimated losses on covered assets purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition, amounts receivable from the FDIC have been estimated at 80% of losses on all covered assets.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements and "Safe Harbor" statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements, which can be identified by the use of words such as "believes," "intends," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates" or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements include but are not limited to:

- statements of our goals, intentions and expectations;
- statements regarding our business plans, prospects, growth and operating strategies;
- statements regarding the quality of our loan and investment portfolios; and
- estimates of our risks and future costs and benefits.

These forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements due to, among others, the following factors:

- the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs and
- changes in our allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses that may be impacted by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets;
- changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas;
-

changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short-term and long-term interest rates, deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources;

- risks related to acquiring assets in or entering markets in which we have not previously operated and may not be familiar;
- fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes and properties in foreclosure and fluctuations in real estate values in our market areas;

results of examinations of the Company by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve Board”) and of our bank subsidiary by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) and the Idaho Department of Finance or other regulatory authorities, including the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, require us to increase our reserve for loan losses, write-down assets, change our regulatory capital position or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings and could increase our deposit premiums;

legislative or regulatory changes, such as the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”) and its implementing regulations that adversely affect our business, as well as changes in regulatory policies and principles or the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules, including as a result of Basel III;

our ability to attract and retain deposits;

increases in premiums for deposit insurance;

our ability to control operating costs and expenses;

the use of estimates in determining the fair value of certain of our assets or cash flows on purchased credit impaired loans, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation;

difficulties in reducing risks associated with the loans on our balance sheet;

staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our workforce and potential associated charges;

computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach;

our ability to retain key members of our senior management team;

costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments;

the possibility that the expected benefits from acquisitions will not be realized;

increased competitive pressures among financial services companies;

changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;

the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions;

our ability to pay dividends on our common stock;

adverse changes in the securities markets and the value of our investments;

the inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us;

changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; and

other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services and the other risks described as detailed from time to time in our filings with the SEC, including our 2012 Form 10-K. Such developments could have an adverse impact on our financial position and our results of operations.

Any of the forward-looking statements that we make in this annual report and in other public statements we make may turn out to be wrong because of inaccurate assumptions we might make, because of the factors illustrated above or because of other factors that we cannot foresee. Because of these and other uncertainties, our actual future results may be materially different from the results indicated by these forward-looking statements and you should not rely on such statements. We undertake no obligation to publish revised forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events or circumstances after the date hereof. These risks could cause our actual results for 2013 and beyond to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements by or on behalf of us, and could negatively affect our financial condition, liquidity and operating and stock price performance.

As used throughout this report, the terms “we”, “our”, “us” or the “Company” refer to Home Federal Bancorp, Inc., and its consolidated subsidiary, Home Federal Bank, unless the context otherwise requires.

Background and Overview

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Home Federal Bancorp, Inc., is a Maryland corporation that serves as the holding company for Home Federal Bank (the “Bank”). The Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol “HOME” and is included in the U.S. Russell 2000® Index.

The Bank is a state-chartered, FDIC-insured commercial bank and is a community-oriented financial institution dedicated to serving the financial service needs of businesses and consumers within its market areas. The Bank's primary business is attracting deposits from the general public and using these funds to originate loans. The Bank

29

emphasizes the direct origination of commercial business loans, commercial real estate loans, construction and residential development loans, and consumer loans.

On August 7, 2009, the Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss sharing agreements with the FDIC to assume nearly all of the deposits and certain other liabilities and acquire certain assets, including loans and real estate owned and other repossessed assets (“REO”) of Community First Bank, a full service commercial bank, headquartered in Prineville, Oregon (the “CFB Acquisition”). The loans and REO purchased are covered by loss sharing agreements between the FDIC and Home Federal Bank, which afford the Bank significant protection. Under the loss sharing agreements, Home Federal Bank will share in the losses and certain reimbursable expenses on assets covered under the agreement. The FDIC has agreed to reimburse Home Federal Bank for 80% of losses and certain reimbursable expenses on the first \$34.0 million of losses on assets covered under the agreements, and 95% of losses that exceed that amount on covered assets.

On July 30, 2010, the Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and certain other liabilities and acquire certain assets of LibertyBank, headquartered in Eugene, Oregon (the “LibertyBank Acquisition”). Nearly all of the loans and REO purchased are covered by loss sharing agreements. The FDIC has agreed to reimburse Home Federal Bank for 80% of losses and certain reimbursable expenses on covered assets. The LibertyBank Acquisition has been incorporated prospectively in the Company's financial statements and significantly increased the Company's assets, income and expenses. Loans and REO purchased in these acquisitions are referred to herein as “covered loans” or “covered assets.” Loans and REO not subject to loss sharing agreements with the FDIC are referred to as “noncovered loans” or “noncovered assets.”

Nearly all of the assets remaining in the covered asset portfolio qualify as non-single family covered assets. Therefore, most of the covered assets will no longer be indemnified after September 2014 for assets purchased in the CFB Acquisition and September 2015 for assets purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition. Indemnification for losses on all other covered assets will continue for five years after these dates. Management is communicating with the FDIC and planning for the expiration of the indemnification for losses on non-single family loans.

At June 30, 2013, the Bank primarily operated in three distinct market regions including Boise, Idaho, and surrounding communities, together known as the Treasure Valley region of southwestern Idaho, which we refer to as the Idaho Region. The CFB Acquisition resulted in the Bank's entrance to the Tri-County Region of Central Oregon, including the counties of Crook, Deschutes and Jefferson. We refer to this market as the Central Oregon Region. In addition to deepening its presence in Central Oregon, as a result of the LibertyBank Acquisition, the Bank also operates in Lane, Josephine, Jackson, and Multnomah counties in Oregon, including the communities of Eugene, Grants Pass and Medford, Oregon. We refer to these markets as our Western Oregon Region. In March 2013, we opened a construction loan production office in Salt Lake City, Utah, which is managed by our Builder Finance Team in Boise. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had 24 full-service branches and three loan production offices located in Portland and Bend, Oregon, and Salt Lake City, Utah.

The Company reported net income of \$575,000, or \$0.04 per diluted share, for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to net income of \$559,000, or \$0.04 per diluted share, for the same period a year ago. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company reported net income of \$1.0 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$1.3 million, or \$0.09 per diluted share for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The following items summarize key activities of the Company during the quarter ended June 30, 2013:

- Net interest income increased \$610,000 compared to the linked quarter ended March 31, 2013, due to an increase in accretable yield on purchased loans;
- A net reverse provision for loan losses of \$(364,000) was recorded on noncovered loans during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, resulting in a net reverse provision of \$(356,000);
-

Noninterest income during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 included impairment of the FDIC indemnification asset of \$2.3 million, which was a result of a reduction in estimated future losses on covered loans and recoveries of previously charged-off loans. The impairment of the FDIC indemnification asset was \$2.0 million in the linked quarter and \$1.7 million in the year-ago quarter;

Gains on the sale of investments totaled \$231,000 during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$254,000 and \$603,000 in the linked and year-ago quarters, respectively;

Noninterest expense decreased by \$709,000 during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to the year-ago quarter, but increased \$300,000 compared to the linked quarter primarily due to the provision for REO,

which increased \$453,000 during the current quarter. Excluding the provision for REO, noninterest expenses totaled \$9.8 million during the second quarter of 2013;

Total assets decreased \$43.0 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to March 31, 2013, and decreased \$40.9 million since December 31, 2012;

Asset quality continued to improve as delinquent loans declined and remained low and noncovered nonperforming assets declined \$4.6 million to \$8.8 million at June 30, 2013, compared to March 31, 2013. Total nonperforming assets decreased \$5.6 million during the quarter to \$18.3 million at June 30, 2013; and

The Company declared and paid its regular quarterly dividend of \$0.06 per share.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Related Accounting Policies

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. Changes in these estimates and assumptions are considered reasonably possible and may have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements, and thus actual results could differ from the amounts reported and disclosed herein. Management has identified several accounting policies that, due to the judgments, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies, are critical to an understanding of the Company's consolidated financial statements. These policies relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses (including the evaluation of impaired loans and the associated provision for loan losses), accounting for acquired loans and covered assets, the valuation of real estate owned, as well as deferred income taxes and the associated income tax expense.

Allowance for Loan Losses. Management recognizes that losses may occur over the life of a loan and that the allowance for loan losses must be maintained at a level necessary to absorb specific losses on impaired loans and probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Management assesses the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis by analyzing several factors including delinquency rates, charge-off rates and the changing risk profile of the Company's loan portfolio, as well as local economic conditions such as unemployment rates, bankruptcies and vacancy rates of business and residential properties.

The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to the allowance for loan losses is a critical accounting estimate because it is highly susceptible to change from period to period, requiring management to make assumptions about probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The impact of a sudden large loss could deplete the allowance and require increased provisions to replenish the allowance, which would negatively affect earnings.

The Company's methodology for analyzing the allowance for loan losses consists of specific allocations on significant individual credits and a general allowance amount, including a range of losses. The specific allowance component is determined when management believes that the collectability of an individually reviewed loan has been impaired and a loss is probable. The general allowance component relates to assets with no well-defined deficiency or weakness and takes into consideration loss that is inherent within the portfolio but has not been identified. The general allowance is determined by applying a historical loss percentage to various types of loans with similar characteristics and classified loans that are not analyzed specifically. Adjustments are made to historical loss percentages to reflect current economic and internal environmental factors such as changes in underwriting standards and unemployment rates that may increase or decrease those loss factors. As a result of the imprecision in calculating inherent and potential losses, a range is added to the general allowance to provide an allowance for loan losses that is adequate to cover losses that may arise as a result of changing economic conditions and other qualitative factors that may alter historical loss experience.

The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is charged against current period operating results and decreased by the amount of actual loan charge-offs, net of recoveries. Provisions for losses on covered loans are recorded gross of recoverable amounts from the FDIC under the loss sharing agreements. The

recoverable portion of the provision for loan losses on covered loans is recorded in other income.

The allowance for loan losses on noncovered originated loans consists of specific reserves allocated to individually reviewed loans and general reserves on all other noncovered originated loans. A general allowance for loan losses is recorded on loans purchased in the CFB Acquisition that are not accounted for under ASC 310-30. Loans purchased in the CFB Acquisition that are accounted for under ASC 310-30 are partially charged down to the estimated net recoverable value if estimated losses exceed the fair value discount established on the acquisition date. Lastly, an allowance for loans purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition is established if the net present value of estimated cash flows expected to be received for loans in an acquired loan pool become impaired.

31

Acquired Loans. Loans acquired in the CFB Acquisition were valued as of the acquisition date in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. At the time of the CFB Acquisition, the Company applied SFAS No. 141, which was superseded by SFAS No. 141(R). The Company was not permitted to adopt SFAS No. 141(R) prior to its effective date, which was October 1, 2009, due to the Company's September fiscal year end at that time. ASC Topic 310-30 applies to a loan with evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination, acquired by completion of a transfer for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the investor will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable. For loans purchased in the CFB Acquisition that were accounted for under ASC 310-30, management determined the value of the loan portfolio based on work provided by an appraiser. Factors considered in the valuation were projected cash flows for the loans, type of loan and related collateral, classification status and current discount rates. Management also estimated the amount of credit losses that were expected to be realized for the loan portfolio primarily by estimating the liquidation value of collateral securing loans on non-accrual status or classified as substandard or doubtful. Loans purchased in the CFB Acquisition accounted for under ASC 310-30 were not aggregated into pools and are accounted for on a loan-by-loan basis. An allowance for loan losses was established for loans purchased in the CFB Acquisition not accounted for under ASC 310-30.

Loans purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition were valued as of acquisition date in accordance with ASC 805 Business Combinations, formerly SFAS 141(R). Further, the Company elected to account for all other loans purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition within the scope of ASC 310-30 using the same methodology. Under ASC 805 and ASC 310-30, loans purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition were recorded at fair value at acquisition date, factoring in credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loan. Accordingly, an allowance for loan losses is not carried over or recorded as of the acquisition date, unlike the loans purchased in the CFB Acquisition, which are accounted for under previous guidance as described above. In situations where loans have similar risk characteristics, loans were aggregated into pools to estimate cash flows under ASC 310-30. A pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single interest rate, cumulative loss rate and cash flow expectation. The Company aggregated all of the loans purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition into 22 different pools, based on common risk characteristics such as loan classification, loan structure, nonaccrual status and collateral type.

The cash flows expected over the life of the pools are estimated using an internal cash flow model that projects cash flows and calculates the carrying values of the pools, book yields, effective interest income and impairment, if any, based on pool level events. Assumptions as to cumulative loss rates, loss curves and prepayment speeds are utilized to calculate the expected cash flows. Under ASC 310-30, the excess of the expected cash flows at acquisition over the fair value is considered to be the accretable yield and is recognized as interest income over the life of the loan or pool. The excess of the contractual cash flows over the expected cash flows is considered to be the nonaccretable difference. Subsequent increases in cash flow over those expected at purchase date in excess of fair value are recorded as an adjustment to accretable difference on a prospective basis. Any subsequent decreases in cash flow over those expected at purchase date are recognized by recording an allowance for loan losses. Any disposals of loans, including sales of loans, payments in full or foreclosures result in the removal of the loan from the ASC 310-30 portfolio at the carrying amount.

Covered Assets. All of the loans purchased in the CFB Acquisition and nearly all of loans and leases purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition are included under various loss sharing agreements with the FDIC and are referred to as "covered loans." Covered loans, and provisions for loan losses, charge offs and recoveries, are reported exclusive of the expected cash flow reimbursements expected from the FDIC. All REO acquired in the CFB Acquisition and the LibertyBank Acquisition are also included in the loss sharing agreements and are referred to as "covered REO." Covered REO is reported exclusive of expected reimbursement cash flows from the FDIC. Upon transferring covered loan collateral to covered REO status, acquisition date fair value discounts on the related loan are also transferred to covered REO. Fair value adjustments on covered REO result in a reduction of the covered REO carrying amount and a corresponding increase in the estimated FDIC reimbursement, with the estimated net loss to the Bank charged against earnings. The Bank is reimbursed by the FDIC on losses and reimbursable expenses on covered assets

purchased in the CFB Acquisition at a rate of 80% on the first \$34.0 million of losses and at a rate of 95% on losses thereafter. The Bank is reimbursed by the FDIC on losses and reimbursable expenses on covered assets purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition at a rate of 80%.

FDIC Indemnification Asset. In conjunction with the CFB Acquisition and the LibertyBank Acquisition, the Bank entered into loss sharing agreements with the FDIC for amounts receivable under the loss sharing agreements. In some cases the FDIC indemnification agreement may be terminated on a loan by loan basis if the Bank renews or extends individual loans. At each acquisition date the Company elected to account for amounts receivable under the loss sharing

agreements as an indemnification asset. Subsequent to the acquisitions the indemnification asset is tied to the loss in the covered loans and is not being accounted for under fair value. The FDIC indemnification asset is accounted for on the same basis as the related covered loans and represents the present value of the cash flows the Company expects to collect from the FDIC under the loss sharing agreements. If losses in future periods are projected to decline, the indemnification asset is reduced over the shorter of the life of the underlying loan (or pool of loans) or the indemnification period. The difference between the present value and the undiscounted cash flow the Company expects to collect from the FDIC is accreted or amortized into noninterest income over the life of the FDIC indemnification asset.

The FDIC indemnification asset is adjusted for any changes in expected cash flows based on the loan performance. Any increases in cash flow of the loans over those expected will reduce the FDIC indemnification asset and any decreases in cash flow of the loans over those expected will increase the FDIC indemnification asset. The FDIC indemnification asset will be reduced as losses are recognized on covered assets, if losses in future periods are projected to decline, and loss sharing payments are received from the FDIC. Increases and decreases to the FDIC indemnification asset are recorded as adjustments to noninterest income.

Noncovered Real Estate Owned. Real estate properties acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure that are not covered under a loss sharing agreement with the FDIC (noncovered REO) are initially recorded at fair value at the date of foreclosure minus estimated costs to sell. Any valuation adjustments required at the time of foreclosure are charged to the allowance for loan losses. After foreclosure, the properties are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Any subsequent valuation adjustments, operating expenses or income, and gains and losses on disposition of such properties are recognized in current operations. The valuation allowance is established based on our historical realization of losses and adjusted for current market trends.

Deferred Income Taxes. The Company and its subsidiary file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return, as well as various state income tax returns. Income taxes, including deferred income taxes, are computed using the asset and liability approach as prescribed in ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which are expected to be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Under this guidance, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is "more likely than not" that all or a portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized.

COMPARISON OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AT JUNE 30, 2013 AND DECEMBER 31, 2012

Total assets decreased \$40.9 million, or 3.9%, to \$1.0 billion at June 30, 2013, from December 31, 2012. Total liabilities decreased \$31.4 million, or 3.6%, to \$837.4 million.

Assets. The increases and decreases in total assets were primarily concentrated in the following asset categories (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | Increase/(Decrease) Amount | Percent | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------|----|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$76,116 | \$115,529 | \$(39,413) | (34.1) |)% |
| Investments available-for-sale, at fair value | 440,886 | 420,505 | 20,381 | 4.8 | |
| Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses | 388,847 | 409,846 | (20,999) | (5.1) |) |

Cash and Amounts Due From Depository Institutions. The \$39.4 million decrease in cash and equivalents to \$76.1 million at June 30, 2013 from \$115.5 million at December 31, 2012, was due to the purchase of investments, payments on maturing certificates of deposit that were not renewed, reflecting our strategy of allowing higher cost certificates of deposit to decline, and declines in core deposits that occurred primarily during the second quarter of fiscal year 2013. We anticipate, subject to market conditions, that we will maintain higher than average liquidity due to the potential of rising interest rates and to provide flexibility for acquisitions, repurchases of common stock and dividends.

Since 2008, we have placed excess cash balances with the Federal Reserve of San Francisco ("Federal Reserve Bank") in order to reduce credit risk exposure to other financial institutions. Balances on deposit at the Federal Reserve Bank totaled \$66.7 million and \$105.0 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Currently, balances on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank that are in excess of our required reserve balances earn interest at a rate that approximates the federal funds rate. In 2009, in response to the national banking crisis, the Federal Reserve Board amended Regulation D, which established excess balance accounts. Previously, excess balances on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank did not earn interest. The excess balance accounts are intended to be temporary accounts to assist financial institutions during the economic crisis. We consider excess reserve balances at the Federal Reserve Bank to be the more attractive alternative to other short-term investments due to low credit risk and comparatively higher yield to investments with less than one year to maturity. While the Federal Reserve Board has not set a date for expiration of these accounts, we could experience a decline in interest income once the Federal Reserve Bank discontinues interest payments on excess balances. If these accounts expire, we may place excess cash in interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions that do not have a guarantee from the U.S. Government, or we may purchase additional investments.

Investments. Investments increased \$20.4 million, or 4.8% to \$440.9 million at June 30, 2013 from \$420.5 million at December 31, 2012. We have given preference to medium-term securities in anticipation of rising interest rates in the next 18 to 24 months. We estimate the effective duration of our investment portfolio to be 4.5 years at June 30, 2013, compared to 3.0 years at December 31, 2012. The increase in the estimated effective duration compared to December 31, 2012 was primarily due to the purchase of long-term U.S. Treasury bonds.

As noted earlier, we are holding a higher level of cash than is necessary rather than investing in short-term securities or investments with less than two years to maturity due to the very low interest rate environment and the incremental credit and interest rate risk incurred compared to the interest rate earned on balances held at the Federal Reserve Bank. We believe this strategy also serves to shorten the overall duration of the Bank's assets. At June 30, 2013, we estimate cash flow from the investment portfolio to be \$45.7 million through December 31, 2013.

The after-tax net unrealized gain on investments available-for-sale of \$8.2 million at December 31, 2012, which is recorded in equity as accumulated other comprehensive income, declined to a net loss of \$1.7 million at June 30,

2013. The decrease in the fair value of our investments was related to the abrupt increase in market interest rates during the second quarter of 2013. We estimate, as of June 30, 2013, that an immediate, parallel increase in market interest rates of 100 basis points from the level rates were a June 30, 2013, would cause the fair value of our investments available-for-sale to decline by 4.59%, or approximately \$22.6 million.

Most of the Company's mortgage-backed securities were issued by U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises such as Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. While the U.S. Government has affirmed its support for government-sponsored enterprises and the mortgage-backed securities they issued, significant deterioration in their financial strength

or a change in the organizational structure of these agencies may have a material effect on the valuation and performance of the Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio.

Loans and Leases. Loans receivable, net, decreased \$21.0 million to \$388.8 million at June 30, 2013, from \$409.8 million at December 31, 2012. Declines in nonperforming loans comprised \$4.9 million of the reduction in net loans. One-to-four family residential loans declined \$11.3 million, or 12.8% between December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013. The reduction of one-to-four family residential loans was consistent with our strategy to reduce the portfolio's concentration in those loans in favor of increasing the mix of commercial business and commercial real estate loans in order to improve interest rate sensitivity and net interest margin.

Real estate construction loans increased \$7.3 million, or 18.8%, between December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013. One-to-four family residential construction increased \$8.8 million and multifamily residential construction increased \$2.2 million, partially offset by a decrease of \$3.7 million in commercial and land development construction loans. Construction of single family residences increased in 2013, particularly in our Idaho Region. We opened a construction loan production office in Portland, Oregon, in 2012 and another construction loan production office in Salt Lake City, Utah in March 2013, which has also helped to increase the origination of construction loans. The significant increase in long-term market interest rates caused mortgage loan rates to rise during the quarter ended June 30, 2013. If mortgage rates remain at an elevated level, the housing market could slow down, resulting in a reduced demand for newly constructed single-family homes, which could reduce originations of one-to-four family construction loans.

Home equity loans declined \$3.1 million to \$38.7 million at June 30, 2013 from \$41.8 million at December 31, 2012. Consumer spending continues to improve, but households did not borrow to fund expenditures. Additionally, while home values began to rise again in 2012, we believe many homeowners still have negative equity, or insufficient equity, in their homes which has contributed to their reluctance to incur additional borrowings. Commercial business loans declined \$5.4 million or 18.4% to \$23.9 million at June 30, 2013 from \$29.2 million at December 31, 2012 as the loan and lease portfolio in the Bank's leasing subsidiary continues to decline as we wind-down operations. Loans and leases, net, in the Bank's leasing subsidiary totaled \$2.3 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$3.5 million at December 31, 2012.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses was \$11.1 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$12.5 million at December 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses on the noncovered loan portfolio was 2.48% of noncovered loans at June 30, 2013, with only \$527,000 of the \$8.0 million allowance for losses on noncovered loans specifically allocated to impaired noncovered loans. Continued improvement in asset quality metrics, including declines in delinquent and nonperforming loans, and the absence of meaningful charge-offs has resulted in reverse provisions for loan losses during the six months ended June 30, 2013, thereby reducing the balance of the allowance for loan losses. On noncovered loans, we experienced net charge-offs of \$23,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to net charge-offs on covered loans of \$1.1 million during the same period.

Loans delinquent 30 to 89 days and still accruing interest totaled \$208,000 at June 30, 2013, compared to \$809,000 at December 31, 2012. Nonperforming assets, which include nonaccrual loans and REO, totaled \$18.3 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$24.8 million at December 31, 2012. Nonperforming noncovered loans totaled \$5.4 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$9.6 million at December 31, 2012. Total nonperforming loans declined \$4.9 million from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013.

FDIC Indemnification Asset. As part of the purchase and assumption agreements for the acquisitions, we entered into loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. These agreements cover realized losses and certain related expenses on covered assets purchased from the FDIC. The decrease in the FDIC indemnification receivable to \$7.4 million at June 30, 2013 compared to \$10.8 million at December 31, 2012, was primarily due to the net reduction in estimated future losses on covered assets, which caused impairment charges of \$4.3 million during the six months ended June

30, 2013. The impairment in the FDIC indemnification asset recognizes the decreased amount that the Company expects to collect from the FDIC under the terms of its loss sharing agreements due to lower expected losses on covered assets recognized in noninterest income.

The loss sharing agreements will expire five years after the acquisition date for non-single family covered assets and ten years after the acquisitions date for single-family covered assets. After the expiration of the loss sharing agreements, the Company will not be indemnified for losses and related expenses on covered assets. Additionally, the Company's and the Bank's risk-based capital ratios will be reduced comparatively after expiration of the loss sharing agreements as covered assets currently receive a 20% risk-weighting. After the agreements expire, the risk-weighting for previously

35

covered assets will most likely increase to 100%, based on current regulatory capital definitions. Nearly all of the assets remaining in the covered asset portfolios qualify as non-single family covered assets. Therefore, most of the covered assets will no longer be indemnified after September 2014 for assets purchased in the CFB Acquisition and September 2015 for assets purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition.

Real Estate and Other Repossessed Assets. REO declined \$1.6 million to \$8.8 million at June 30, 2013 from \$10.4 million at December 31, 2012 due to the sale of foreclosed assets and provisions for REO during that period, partially offset by reclassifying one of our closed branches into REO during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 at a value of \$609,000. Covered REO totaled \$5.4 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$6.1 million at December 31, 2012. Noncovered REO totaled \$3.4 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$4.3 million at December 31, 2012.

Deferred Tax Assets, net. Deferred taxes, net, increased to \$16.6 million at June 30, 2013, from \$9.0 million at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the decline in the fair value of investments available-for-sale. At December 31, 2012, the investment portfolio, which is recorded at fair value, carried a gain of \$13.4 million compared to an unrealized loss of \$2.8 million at June 30, 2013. As a result, the deferred tax liability on the unrealized gain changed to a deferred tax asset on the net unrealized loss at June 30, 2013.

Deposits. Deposits decreased \$26.8 million, or 3.2%, to \$824.1 million at June 30, 2013, from \$850.9 million at December 31, 2012 primarily through the managed reduction of higher-rate certificates of deposit. A decline in money market account balances caused a decline in core deposits (defined as demand, money market and saving accounts) of \$3.6 million during the first six months of 2013. Most of the decline in money market accounts is attributable to the draw down of the balance of one municipal client. The following table details the changes in total deposit accounts (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | Increase/(Decrease) | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|----|
| | | | Amount | Percent | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$ 154,023 | \$ 142,207 | \$ 11,816 | 8.3 | % |
| Interest-bearing demand | 246,284 | 248,836 | (2,552) | (1.0) |) |
| Money market | 151,925 | 167,202 | (15,277) | (9.1) |) |
| Savings | 85,801 | 83,401 | 2,400 | 2.9 |) |
| Certificates of deposit | 186,035 | 209,242 | (23,207) | (11.1) |) |
| Total deposit accounts | \$ 824,068 | \$ 850,888 | \$ (26,820) | (3.2) |)% |

Since market interest rates remain very low and loan production remains tepid, we have reduced our deposit rates to continue to reduce certificates of deposit and our cost of funds. As a result, certificates of deposit declined \$23.2 million since December 31, 2012 to \$186.0 million at June 30, 2013. While core deposits at June 30, 2013 declined \$3.6 million compared to December 31, 2012, average core deposits were \$650.6 million and \$647.3 million during the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Core deposits comprised 77.4% of the deposit portfolio at June 30, 2013, compared to 75.4% at December 31, 2012.

While certificates of deposit continue to decline, and we have reduced the interest rates offered on core deposits, approximately \$86.3 million of our certificates of deposit had original terms of 48 months or longer that carry a weighted average rate of 2.73%. Most of these accounts will begin to mature in the third quarter of 2014; therefore, we do not expect our cost of funds to decline significantly until these accounts begin to mature.

Equity. Stockholders' equity decreased \$9.4 million to \$170.4 million at June 30, 2013, from \$179.8 million at December 31, 2012. The primary reason for the decrease was a \$9.9 million decline in accumulated other comprehensive income, which includes the unrealized gain or loss, net of taxes, on investments available-for-sale. The abrupt increase in market interest rates and the related decline in the fair value of investments, caused accumulated other comprehensive income to decline to a net loss of \$1.7 million at June 30, 2013, compared to a net gain of \$8.2 million at December 31, 2012. This decline was partially offset by net income of \$1.0 million and share-based

compensation of \$639,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2013. During that period, we also paid \$1.7 million in dividends to shareholders.

COMPARISON OF OPERATING RESULTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

General. Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2013, was \$575,000, or \$0.04 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$559,000, or \$0.04 per diluted share, for the same period in 2012. Net interest margin increased substantially to 4.47% during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, from 4.17% during the quarter ended June 30, 2012. Similarly, the Company's yield on earning assets increased to 4.78% in the quarter ended June 30, 2013, from 4.57% during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased \$359,000, or 3.5%, to \$10.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, from \$10.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in net interest income was primarily attributable to an increase in accretable income on purchase loans, a higher yield on investment securities and a decline in interest expense on certificates of deposit. Net interest income increased despite a significant decline in the average balance of loans receivable during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

The following table sets forth the results of balance sheet growth and changes in interest rates to our net interest income (in thousands). The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). Changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, are allocated proportionately to the changes in rate and volume.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to June 30, 2012 Increase/(Decrease) Due to | | |
|---|---|---------|---------|
| | Rate | Volume | Total |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$475 | \$(757) | \$(282) |
| Investments available-for-sale | 347 | 49 | 396 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other banks | (4) | (5) | (9) |
| Total net change in income on interest-earning assets | \$818 | \$(713) | 105 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | |
| Savings deposits | \$(7) | \$1 | (6) |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | (14) | 3 | (11) |
| Money market accounts | (29) | (11) | (40) |
| Certificates of deposit | (29) | (155) | (184) |
| Total deposits | (79) | (162) | (241) |
| Repurchase agreements | (4) | (9) | (13) |
| Total net change in expense on interest-bearing liabilities | \$(83) | \$(171) | (254) |
| Total increase in net interest income | | | \$359 |

Interest Income. Total interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2013, increased \$105,000, or 0.9%, to \$11.4 million, from \$11.3 million for the same period in 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher yield on earning assets, partially offset by a decrease in average interest-earning assets during the 2013 period. Average interest-earning assets decreased \$34.8 million to \$954.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 from \$989.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to the decline in the loan portfolio balance and lower average cash deposits held in other banks. This decline in average interest-earning assets was offset by an increase in the yield on earning assets to 4.78% during the second quarter of 2013 as compared to 4.57% during the same period in 2012.

Net interest margin is significantly enhanced by accretable income on purchased loans from the acquisitions. This additional income stems from the discount established at the time these loan portfolios were acquired and the related

impact of prepayments on purchased loans. Each quarter the Company analyzes the cash flow assumptions on loan pools purchased in the LibertyBank Acquisition and, at least semi-annually, the Company updates loss estimates, prepayment speeds and other variables when analyzing cash flows. In addition to this accretion income, which is recognized over the estimated life of the loan pools, if a loan is removed from a pool due to payoff or foreclosure, the unaccreted discount in excess of losses is recognized as an accretion gain in interest income. As a result, income from loan pools can be volatile from quarter to quarter. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company

37

experienced prepayments on pooled loans and recoveries on previously charged-off loans, which resulted in accretion gains.

The Company's net interest income and net interest margin continue to be reduced by an unfavorable interest-earning asset mix that is weighted heavily toward cash and investments (57.3% of interest earning assets during the three months ended June 30, 2013). This excess liquidity is primarily the result of the cash received in the LibertyBank Acquisition. It continues to adversely affect our asset yields as the weak lending and investing environment has limited our opportunities to invest this excess liquidity in higher yielding assets. We expect accretable yield will continue to be a significant component of loan income over the next several quarters. We believe net interest income will continue to perform below optimal levels due to the unfavorable asset mix. Additionally, as the acquired loan pools decline in balance, the impact of accretable yield is diminished. As a result, the Company expects net interest income to decline in 2013 as accretable yield declines compared to previous years. The following table compares average interest-earning asset balances, associated yields, and resulting changes in interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Increase/ (Decrease) in Interest and Dividend Income |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|--|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | |
| | Average Balance | Yield | Average Balance | Yield | |
| Loans receivable, net of deferred fees | \$407,675 | 8.59 | % \$443,699 | 8.14 | % \$(282) |
| Investments, available-for-sale | 434,746 | 2.40 | 425,497 | 2.08 | 396 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other banks | 95,200 | 0.26 | 102,785 | 0.28 | (9) |
| FHLB stock | 17,231 | — | 17,717 | — | — |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$954,852 | 4.78 | % \$989,698 | 4.57 | % \$105 |

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$254,000, or 25.2% to \$753,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$63.3 million between these periods to \$686.6 million, and our cost of funds decreased to 0.44% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 0.54% for the same period in 2012.

The decline in average deposits during the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was due to maturities of certificates of deposit and a decline in money market account balances. Due to our high cash and investments balances, the low interest rate environment, and weak loan demand from creditworthy borrowers, the need for additional funding through certificates has been muted; therefore, we reduced our rates on deposits throughout 2012 and continuing into 2013, which caused balances of certificates of deposit to decline and our cost of funds to decrease.

The following table details average balances, cost of funds and the change in interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Decrease in Interest Expense |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | |
| | Average Balance | Rate | Average Balance | Rate | |
| Savings deposits | \$86,668 | 0.05 | % \$81,228 | 0.08 | % \$(6) |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 249,761 | 0.16 | 243,635 | 0.18 | (11) |
| Money market deposits | 159,282 | 0.15 | 180,584 | 0.22 | (40) |
| Certificates of deposit | 189,582 | 1.23 | 239,706 | 1.28 | (184) |
| Repurchase agreements | 1,305 | 0.92 | 4,726 | 1.35 | (13) |

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------|---|-----------|------|---|--------|---|
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$686,598 | 0.44 | % | \$749,879 | 0.54 | % | \$(254 |) |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------|---|-----------|------|---|--------|---|

Approximately \$96.5 million of certificates of deposit are scheduled to mature within the next twelve months from June 30, 2013.

Provision for Loan Losses. As a result of improved asset quality, including lower balances of delinquent and classified loans and the absence of significant charge-offs, a net reverse provision for loan losses of \$(356,000) was recorded in connection with our analysis of losses in the loan portfolio for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to a net reverse provision for loan losses of \$(434,000) for the same period in 2012. The current period net reverse provision

38

included a \$(364,000) reverse provision on noncovered loans and an \$8,000 net provision on covered loans. The estimated indemnifiable portion for a negative provision for loan losses on covered loans is recorded as a decrease to the FDIC indemnification asset and a decrease in noninterest income, which totaled \$6,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The same offset to the provision for loan losses during the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$411,000, which was also recorded as a reduction of noninterest income.

We consider the allowance for loans losses at June 30, 2013, to be our best estimate of probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of that date based on the assessment of the above-mentioned factors affecting the loan portfolio. While we believe the estimates and assumptions used in the determination of the allowance are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such estimates and assumptions will not be proven incorrect in the future, or that the actual amount of future provisions will not exceed the amount of past provisions or that any increased provision that may be required will not adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the determination of the amount of our allowance for loan losses is subject to review by bank regulators, as part of the routine examination process, which may result in the establishment of additional reserves based upon their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income decreased \$904,000, to \$232,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Excluding the impact of the indemnification asset on noninterest income, noninterest income declined \$692,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The following table provides a detailed analysis of the changes in components of noninterest income (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/(Decrease) | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent | |
| Service charges and fees | \$2,131 | \$2,274 | \$(143) | (6.3) |)% |
| Gain on sale of investments | 231 | 603 | (372) | (61.7) |) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | 118 | 122 | (4) | (3.3) |) |
| FDIC indemnification provision | (6) | (411) | 405 | 98.5 |) |
| Impairment of FDIC indemnification asset | (2,322) | (1,705) | (617) | (36.2) |) |
| Other | 80 | 253 | (173) | (68.4) |) |
| Total noninterest income | \$232 | \$1,136 | \$(904) | 79.6 | % |

Service charges and fees decreased \$143,000 to \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012 due to lower overdraft fees and income from debit card interchange activity. The continued decline in overdraft fees was due to fewer “free checking” accounts which reduced the number of clients that typically incur overdraft fees. Regulatory guidance and the Company's risk management practices have caused further reductions in overdraft fees as fewer clients qualify for participation in our extended overdraft program, which exacerbated the decline in fee income.

Noninterest income also includes pre-tax gains on sales of investments of \$231,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$603,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and other income includes a net gain on the sale of REO and fixed assets of \$25,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to a gain of \$116,000 on the sale of REO and fixed assets in the quarter ended June 30, 2012. Due to the abrupt increase in market interest rates during the three months ended June 30, 2013, we expect gains on sales of investments to decline in 2013 compared to 2012 unless interest rates fall and the fair value of our investments increase.

The FDIC indemnification provision represents the amount expected from the FDIC under loss sharing agreements due to incremental provisions for loan losses in the current period or the impairment (reduction) in the expected amounts to be recovered from the FDIC due to a negative provision in the current period. Impairment of the FDIC indemnification asset generally relates to the reduction in the amounts estimated to be received from the FDIC on pooled loans as a result of decreases in estimated losses on covered loans. During the three months ended June 30,

2013, impairment of the FDIC indemnification asset totaled \$2.3 million compared to \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. While reductions in estimated losses may cause impairment of the FDIC indemnification asset, interest income on loans generally is increased due to transfers from nonaccretable yield to accretable yield as expected cash flows are higher than previously estimated. The Company amortizes the impairment of the FDIC indemnification asset over the period that covered assets are subject to the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC while accretable yield is recorded over the estimated life of the loan. Therefore, a mismatch in timing between the recognition of accretable yield and impairment of the FDIC indemnification asset could negatively affect the Company's results of operations.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense decreased \$709,000, or 6.4%, to \$10.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$11.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012, as we experienced declines in nearly every category of noninterest expense as a result of branch closures and continued operating efficiency initiatives. Excluding the provision for REO, noninterest expense totaled \$9.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$10.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012, a decline of \$1.0 million, or 8.9%. The following table provides a detailed analysis of the changes in components of noninterest expense (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June | | Decrease | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|---------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent | |
| Compensation and benefits | \$5,659 | \$6,175 | \$(516) | (8.4) |)% |
| Occupancy and equipment | 1,374 | 1,514 | (140) | (9.2) |) |
| Data processing | 935 | 942 | (7) | (0.7) |) |
| Advertising | 166 | 223 | (57) | (25.6) |) |
| Postage and supplies | 215 | 247 | (32) | (13.0) |) |
| Professional services | 595 | 630 | (35) | (5.6) |) |
| Insurance and taxes | 453 | 561 | (108) | (19.3) |) |
| Amortization of intangibles | 118 | 144 | (26) | (18.1) |) |
| Provision for REO | 548 | 291 | 257 | 88.3 |) |
| Other expenses | 334 | 379 | (45) | (11.9) |) |
| Total noninterest expense | \$10,397 | \$11,106 | \$(709) | (6.4) |)% |

Compensation and benefits decreased \$516,000 or 8.4% to \$5.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from \$6.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 due to branch closings in February 2013 and the consolidation of operations that occurred during 2012. These branch closures also reduced occupancy and equipment expense by \$140,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same year-ago period.

All other categories of expenses were \$53,000 in the aggregate lower during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Insurance and taxes declined by \$108,000 compared to the same quarter last year primarily as a result of a lower REO balance and reductions in our deposit insurance premiums. Provision for declines in the value of REO totaled \$548,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$291,000 for the same period in 2012, primarily on a single commercial real estate property in the Idaho region.

Income Tax Provision. The income tax provision from continuing operations during the three months ended June 30, 2013 was \$281,000 based on pre-tax income from operations of \$856,000, which equates to an effective rate of 32.83%. This compares to an income tax provision from continuing operations of \$211,000 based on pre-tax income of \$770,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

COMPARISON OF OPERATING RESULTS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

General. Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2013, was \$1.0 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share, compared to a net income of \$1.3 million, or \$0.09 per diluted share, for the same period in 2012. Net interest margin decreased substantially to 4.31% during the six months ended June 30, 2013, from 4.54% during the six months ended June 30, 2012. Similarly, the Company's yield on earning assets decreased to 4.64% in the six months ended June 30, 2013, from 4.97% during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income decreased \$2.0 million, or 8.6%, to \$20.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, from \$22.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in net interest income was primarily attributable to a decline in average loan balances, as well as a \$5.1 million decline in accretable yield recognized in interest income on purchased loans as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

The following table sets forth the results of balance sheet growth and changes in interest rates to our net interest income (in thousands). The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). Changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, are allocated proportionately to the changes in rate and volume.

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to June 30, 2012 Increase/(Decrease) Due to | | |
|---|---|------------|------------|
| | Rate | Volume | Total |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$(1,663 |) \$(1,598 |) \$(3,261 |
| Investments, available-for-sale | 604 | 163 | 767 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other banks | (7 |) (18 |) (25 |
| Total net change in income on interest-earning assets | (1,066 |) (1,453 |) (2,519 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | |
| Savings deposits | (21 |) 5 | (16 |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | (35 |) 5 | (30 |
| Money market accounts | (80 |) (20 |) (100 |
| Certificates of deposit | (58 |) (343 |) (401 |
| Total deposits | (194 |) (353 |) (547 |
| Repurchase agreements | (6 |) (12 |) (18 |
| Total net change in expense on interest-bearing liabilities | \$(200 |) \$(365 |) (565 |
| Total decrease in net interest income | | | \$(1,954 |

Interest Income. Total interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2013, decreased \$2.5 million, or 10.2%, to \$22.3 million, from \$24.8 million for the same period in 2012. The decrease during the period was primarily attributable to a decrease in the balance of loans purchased in the acquisitions and related accretable yield. Average interest-earning assets decreased \$37.3 million to \$960.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$997.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily due to the decline in the loan portfolio and lower average cash deposits held in other banks. This decline in average interest-earning assets was compounded by a decrease in the yield on earning assets to 4.64% during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to 4.97% during the same period in 2012.

The following table compares average interest-earning asset balances, associated yields, and resulting changes in interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | Increase/ (Decrease) in Interest and Dividend Income |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|--|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | |
| | Average Balance | Yield | Average Balance | Yield | |
| Loans receivable, net of deferred fees | \$412,280 | 8.24 | % \$449,289 | 9.01 | % \$(3,261) |
| Investments, available-for-sale | 432,312 | 2.40 | 417,311 | 2.11 | 767 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other banks | 98,633 | 0.24 | 113,560 | 0.25 | (25) |
| FHLB stock | 17,304 | — | 17,717 | — | — |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$960,529 | 4.64 | % \$997,877 | 4.97 | % \$(2,519) |

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$565,000, or 26.5% to \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$2.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$66.4 million between these periods to \$696.5 million, and our cost of funds decreased to 0.45% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 0.56% for the same period in 2012.

The decline in average deposits during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was due to maturities of certificates of deposit and a decline in money market account balances. The following table details average interest-bearing liability balances, cost of funds and the change in interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | Decrease in Interest Expense |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|--------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | |
| | Average Balance | Rate | Average Balance | Rate | |
| Savings deposits | \$86,016 | 0.05 | % \$80,752 | 0.09 | % \$(16) |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 248,788 | 0.16 | 245,697 | 0.19 | (30) |
| Money market deposits | 162,822 | 0.15 | 180,435 | 0.24 | (100) |
| Certificates of deposit | 195,867 | 1.23 | 251,240 | 1.28 | (401) |
| Repurchase agreements | 3,032 | 1.25 | 4,755 | 1.56 | (18) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$696,525 | 0.45 | % \$762,879 | 0.56 | % \$(565) |

Provision for Loan Losses. A net reverse provision for loan losses of \$(583,000) was recorded in connection with our analysis of losses in the loan portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to a net reverse provision for loan losses of \$(1.2) million for the same period in 2012. The net reverse provision for the six months ended June 30, 2013, included a \$(589,000) reverse provision on noncovered loans and a \$6,000 net provision on covered loans. The estimated indemnifiable portion for a negative provision for loan losses on covered loans is recorded as a decrease to the FDIC indemnification asset and a decrease in noninterest income, which totaled \$47,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The same offset to the provision for loan losses during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.2 million, which was also recorded as a reduction of noninterest income.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income increased \$701,000, to \$730,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$29,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Excluding the impact of the FDIC indemnification asset, noninterest income declined \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, to \$5.1 million compared to \$6.3 million in the prior year.

The following table provides a detailed analysis of the changes in components of noninterest income (dollars in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/(Decrease) | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent | |
| Service charges and fees | \$4,114 | \$4,381 | \$(267) | (6.1) |)% |
| Gain on sale of investments | 485 | 1,138 | (653) | (57.4) |) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | 234 | 242 | (8) | (3.3) |) |
| FDIC indemnification provision | (47) | (1,230) | 1,183 | 96.2 | |
| Impairment of FDIC indemnification asset | (4,316) | (5,048) | 732 | 14.5 | |
| Other income | 260 | 546 | (286) | (52.4) |) |
| Total noninterest income | \$730 | \$29 | \$701 | 2,417.2 | % |

Service charges and fees decreased \$267,000 to \$4.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$4.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 due to lower overdraft fees and income from debit card interchange activity.

Noninterest income also includes pre-tax gains on sales of investments of \$485,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and other income includes a net gain on the sale of REO and fixed assets of \$106,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to a gain of \$372,000 on the sale of REO and fixed assets in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, impairment of the FDIC indemnification asset totaled \$4.3 million compared to \$5.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense decreased \$1.6 million, or 7.1%, to \$20.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$22.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012, as we experienced declines in each category of noninterest expense due to branch closures and continued operating efficiency initiatives. The following table provides a detailed analysis of the changes in components of noninterest expense (dollars in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/(Decrease) | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent | |
| Compensation and benefits | \$11,669 | \$12,312 | \$(643) | (5.2) |)% |
| Occupancy and equipment | 2,794 | 3,077 | (283) | (9.2) |) |
| Data processing | 1,863 | 1,947 | (84) | (4.3) |) |
| Advertising | 289 | 377 | (88) | (23.3) |) |
| Postage and supplies | 421 | 553 | (132) | (23.9) |) |
| Professional services | 1,119 | 1,269 | (150) | (11.8) |) |
| Insurance and taxes | 804 | 1,082 | (278) | (25.7) |) |
| Amortization of intangibles | 242 | 296 | (54) | (18.2) |) |
| Provision for REO | 643 | 398 | 245 | 61.6 | |
| Other expenses | 650 | 755 | (105) | (13.9) |) |
| Total noninterest expense | \$20,494 | \$22,066 | \$(1,572) | (7.1) |)% |

Compensation and benefits decreased \$643,000, or 5.2%, to \$11.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, from \$12.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, due to branch closings in February 2013 and the consolidation of operations that occurred during 2012. These branch closures also reduced occupancy and equipment expense by \$283,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to same the year-ago period.

All other categories of expenses were \$646,000 in the aggregate lower during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. Insurance and taxes declined by \$278,000 compared to the six

months ended June 30, 2012 primarily as a result of a lower REO balance and reductions in our deposit insurance premiums. Provision for declines in the value of REO totaled \$643,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$398,000 for the same period in 2012.

Income Tax Provision. The income tax provision from continuing operations during the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$503,000 based on pre-tax income from operations of \$1.5 million, which equates to an effective rate of

43

32.68%. This compares to an income tax provision from continuing operations of \$593,000 based on pre-tax income of \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Liquidity, Commitments and Capital Resources

Liquidity. Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. On a monthly basis, we review and update cash flow projections to ensure that adequate liquidity is maintained. Excess liquidity is generally invested in short-term investments such as overnight deposits or excess balances at the Federal Reserve Bank. On a longer-term basis, we maintain a strategy of originating loans and purchasing investments.

Our primary sources of funds are client deposits, loan repayments, maturing investments and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle. These funds, together with retained earnings and equity, are used to originate or purchase loans, purchase investments and other assets, and fund continuing operations. We use our sources of funds primarily to meet ongoing commitments, to pay maturing certificates of deposit and savings withdrawals, to fund loan commitments and to maintain our portfolio of investments. While maturities and the scheduled amortization of loans and investments are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by the level of interest rates, economic conditions and competition. Alternatively, we may sell assets to meet our funding needs.

We measure our liquidity based on our ability to fund our assets and to meet liability obligations when they come due. Liquidity (and funding) risk occurs when funds cannot be raised at reasonable prices, or in a reasonable time frame, to meet our normal or unanticipated obligations. We regularly monitor the mix of our assets and our liabilities to effectively manage our liquidity and funding requirements.

We believe that our current liquidity position is sufficient to fund existing commitments. We currently maintain cash flow and liquidity above the minimum level believed to be adequate to meet the requirements of normal operations, including potential deposit outflows, as we continue to seek acquisition opportunities and need to ensure adequate liquidity to support the integration of the acquired balance sheet.

Balances on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank that are in excess of our required reserve balances earn interest at a rate that approximates the federal funds rate. As noted earlier, we could experience a decline in interest income once the Federal Reserve Bank discontinues interest payments on excess balances. If these accounts expire, we may place excess cash in interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions that do not have a guarantee from the U.S. Government, or we may purchase additional investments.

Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year or less at June 30, 2013, totaled \$96.5 million, which represented 51.8% of our certificates in our deposit portfolio at June 30, 2013. Historically, the Bank has been able to retain a significant amount of deposits as they mature. Since loan demand has slowed and in order to reduce our cost of funds, we have been reluctant to offer rates in excess of wholesale borrowing costs. This has resulted in expected deposit runoff at a higher pace than the Bank has historically experienced. Nonetheless, we believe the Company has adequate resources to fund loan and other commitments through growth in core deposits, FHLB advances, loan repayments and maturing investment securities.

The Bank is approved to borrow at the Discount Window of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and could use that facility as a funding source to meet commitments and for liquidity purposes. The Bank also holds a \$25.0 million line of credit with a third-party bank. There were no funds drawn on this line of credit at June 30, 2013.

We also had the ability at June 30, 2013 to borrow \$84.9 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle. We had no borrowed funds on this line at June 30, 2013; however, we are dependent on the FHLB of Seattle to provide the primary source of wholesale funding for immediate liquidity and borrowing needs. The failure of the FHLB of

Seattle or the FHLB system in general may materially impair our ability to meet our growth plans or to meet short and long term liquidity demands. However, our mortgage-backed securities are marketable and could be sold to obtain cash to meet liquidity demands should our access to FHLB funding be impaired.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. We are party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business in order to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial instruments generally include commitments to originate mortgage, commercial and consumer loans, and involve to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. Our maximum exposure to credit

loss in the event of nonperformance by the borrower is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Because some commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. We use the same credit policies in making commitments as we do for on-balance sheet instruments. Collateral is not required to support commitments.

Undisbursed balances of loans closed include funds not disbursed but committed for construction projects. Unused lines of credit include funds not disbursed, but committed to, home equity, commercial and consumer lines of credit. Commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily used to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. Collateral is required in instances where we deem it necessary.

The following is a summary of commitments and contingent liabilities with off-balance sheet risks as of June 30, 2013, (in thousands):

| | Contract or Notional Amount |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Commitments to originate loans: | |
| Fixed rate | \$27,225 |
| Adjustable rate | 22,030 |
| Undisbursed balance of loans | 20,409 |
| Unused lines of credit | 62,437 |
| Commercial letters of credit | 805 |
| Total | \$132,906 |

Capital. Consistent with our goal to operate a sound and profitable financial organization, we actively seek to maintain a “well capitalized” institution in accordance with regulatory standards. The Company's consolidated capital ratios at June 30, 2013 were as follows: Tier 1 capital 15.61%; Tier 1 (core) risk-based capital 37.31%; and total risk-based capital 38.58%. The applicable regulatory capital requirements to be considered well capitalized are 5%, 6% and 10%, respectively. The Bank's regulatory capital ratios at June 30, 2013 were as follows: Tier 1 capital 13.74%; Tier 1 (core) risk-based capital 32.64%; and total risk-based capital 33.91%. As of June 30, 2013, the Bank also exceeded all regulatory capital requirements.

Cyber Risks

As a financial institution that services over 80,000 accounts through 24 branches, the Internet and other distribution channels, we depend on our ability, and the abilities of several third party vendors, to process, record and monitor a large number of customer transactions on a continuous basis. As our customer base and locations have expanded through acquisition and organic growth, and as customer, public and regulatory expectations regarding operational and information security have increased, our operational systems and infrastructure have been, and must continue to be, safeguarded and monitored for potential failures, disruptions and breakdowns. Our business, financial, accounting, data processing systems or other operating systems and facilities may stop operating properly or become disabled or damaged as a result of a number of factors including events that are wholly or partially beyond our control. For example, there could be sudden increases in customer transaction volume; electrical or telecommunications outages; natural disasters such as earthquakes, tornadoes, and hurricanes; disease pandemics; events arising from local or larger scale political or social matters, including terrorist acts; and, as described below, cyber attacks. Although we have business continuity plans and other safeguards in place, our business operations may be adversely affected by significant and widespread disruption to our physical infrastructure or operating systems that support our businesses and customers.

Information security risks for financial institutions such as Home Federal Bank have generally increased in recent years in part because of the proliferation of new technologies, the use of the Internet and telecommunications technologies to conduct financial transactions, and the increased sophistication and activities of organized crime, hackers, terrorists, activists, and other external parties. Those parties also may attempt to fraudulently induce employees, customers, or other users of our systems to disclose confidential information in order to gain access to our data or that of our customers. As noted above, our operations rely on the secure processing, transmission and storage of confidential information in our computer systems and networks. Our retail and commercial banking services businesses rely on our digital technologies, computer and email systems, software, and networks to conduct their operations. In addition, to access

our products and services, our customers may use personal smart phones, tablets, and other mobile devices that are beyond our control systems. Although we believe we have effective information security procedures and controls, our technologies, systems, networks, and our customers' devices may become the target of cyber attacks or information security breaches that could result in the unauthorized release, gathering, monitoring, misuse, loss or destruction of Home Federal Bank's or our customers' confidential, proprietary and other information, or otherwise disrupt our business operations. We have purchased property and cyber insurance to mitigate the financial impact of such events; however, losses could exceed our coverage limits and the impact to our reputation as a result of a material breach could significantly impair our ability to maintain client relationships and conduct business.

Third parties with which we do business or that facilitate our business activities, including exchanges, clearing houses, financial intermediaries or vendors that provide services or security solutions for our operations, could also be sources of operational and information security risk to us, including from breakdowns or failures of their own systems or capacity constraints. Poor security controls utilized by merchants and merchant processors also expose us to risk of loss that is beyond our control.

Although to date we have not experienced any material losses relating to cyber attacks or other information security breaches, there can be no assurance that we will not suffer such losses in the future. Our risk and exposure to these matters remains heightened because of, among other things, the evolving nature of these threats, our role in the financial services industry, our plans to continue to implement our Internet banking and mobile banking channel strategies and develop additional remote connectivity solutions to serve our clients when and how they want to be served, the outsourcing of some of our business operations, and the continued uncertain economic environment. As a result, cyber security and the continued development and enhancement of our controls, processes and practices designed to protect our systems, computers, software, data and networks from attack, damage or unauthorized access remain a priority for Home Federal Bank. As cyber threats continue to evolve, we may be required to expend significant additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective measures or to investigate and remediate any information security vulnerabilities.

Disruptions or failures in the physical infrastructure or operating systems that support our businesses and customers, or cyber attacks or security breaches of the networks, systems or devices that our customers use to access our products and services could result in customer attrition, financial losses, the inability of our customers to transact business with us, violations of applicable privacy and other laws, regulatory fines, penalties or intervention, reputational damage, reimbursement or other compensation costs, and/or additional compliance costs, any of which could materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company's Board of Directors has established an asset and liability management policy to guide management in maximizing net interest spread by managing the differences in terms between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities while maintaining acceptable levels of liquidity, capital adequacy, interest rate sensitivity, credit risk and profitability. The Asset/Liability Management Committee, consisting of certain members of senior management, communicate, coordinate and manage asset/liability positions consistent with the business plan and Board-approved policies, as well as to price savings and lending products, and to develop new products.

One of the Bank's primary financial objectives is to generate ongoing profitability. The Bank's profitability depends primarily on its net interest income, which is the difference between the income it receives on its loan and investment portfolio and its cost of funds, which consists of interest paid on deposits and borrowings. The rates the Company earns on assets and pays on liabilities generally are established contractually for a period of time. Market interest rates change over time. The Bank's loans generally have longer maturities than its deposits. Accordingly, the Company's results of operations, like those of other financial institutions, are affected by changes in interest rates and the interest rate sensitivity of assets and liabilities. The Bank measures its interest rate sensitivity on a quarterly basis using an internal model.

In recent years, the Company has primarily utilized the following strategies in its efforts to manage interest rate risk:

Reduced our reliance on long-term, fixed-rate one-to-four family residential loans by originating nearly all of these loans for sale in the secondary market or through referrals to third party origination brokers and subsequently ceasing originations of these loans in December 2011;

Increased originations of adjustable-rate commercial and commercial real estate loans;

Acquisitions of banking operations with a higher mix of commercial loans than our organic portfolio; and, Reduced our reliance on higher-rate certificates of deposit and FHLB borrowings by focusing on core deposit growth, including checking and savings accounts, that are less-sensitive to interest rate changes and have longer average lives than certificates of deposit.

As a result of the significant level of cash assumed in the LibertyBank Acquisition and tepid loan demand in our primary markets, we have a greater-than-peer concentration of our assets in cash and investments. All of our investments are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The recent abrupt rise in long-term market interest rates reduced the fair value of our investments and caused a decline in the unrealized gain on investments, net of taxes, by \$9.9 million between December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2013, we estimate an immediate increase in market interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points would cause a decline in the fair value of our investments of 4.59% and 9.11%, respectively.

At June 30, 2013, the Company had no off-balance sheet derivative financial instruments, and the Company did not maintain a trading account for any class of financial instruments or engage in hedging activities or purchase high risk derivative instruments. Furthermore, the Company is not subject to foreign currency exchange rate risk or commodity price risk.

There has not been any material change in the market risk disclosures contained in the Company's 2012 Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and other members of the Company's management team as of the end of the period covered by this report. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of June 30, 2013, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. A number of internal control procedures were, however, modified during the quarter in conjunction with the Bank's internal control testing, ongoing operational changes and the integration of the application systems of acquired businesses. These controls also relate to the accounting and reporting for acquired loans, which is highly subjective and requires significant estimation of future events. The Company also continued to implement control enhancements to remediate findings and deficiencies identified by its internal auditor and independent registered public accounting firm.

The Company intends to continually review and evaluate the design and effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures and to improve its controls and procedures over time and to correct any deficiencies that it may discover in the future. The goal is to ensure that senior management has timely access to all material financial and non-financial information concerning the Company's business. While the Company believes the present design of its disclosure controls and procedures is effective to achieve its goal, future events affecting its business may cause the Company to modify its disclosure controls and procedures. The Company does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting will prevent every error or instance of fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns in controls or procedures can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of the Company's 2012 Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not applicable.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) The Company did not repurchase any common stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

48

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 2.1 Purchase and Assumption Agreement for Community First Bank Transaction ⁽¹⁾
- 2.2 Purchase and Assumption Agreement for LibertyBank Transaction ⁽⁸⁾
- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant ⁽²⁾
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant ⁽⁹⁾
- 10.1 Amended Employment Agreement entered into by Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. with Len E. Williams ⁽⁷⁾
- 10.2 Amended Severance Agreement with Eric S. Nadeau ⁽⁷⁾
- 10.3 Amended Severance Agreement with R. Shane Correa ⁽⁷⁾
- 10.4 Amended Severance Agreement with Cindy L. Bateman ⁽⁷⁾
- 10.5 Form of Home Federal Bank Employee Severance Compensation Plan ⁽³⁾
- 10.6 Amended Director Retirement Plan entered into by Home Federal Bank with each of its Directors *
- 10.7 Form of Director Deferred Incentive Agreement entered into by Home Federal Savings and Loan Association of Nampa with each of its Directors ⁽²⁾
Form of Executive Deferred Incentive Agreement, and amendment thereto, entered into by Home Federal Savings and Loan Association of Nampa with Daniel L. Stevens, Robert A. Schoelkoph, and Lynn A. Sander ⁽²⁾
- 10.8 Form of Amended and Restated Salary Continuation Agreement entered into by Home Federal Savings and Loan Association of Nampa with Daniel L. Stevens ⁽²⁾
- 10.9 Amended and Restated Salary Continuation Agreement entered into by Home Federal Savings and Loan Association of Nampa with Len E. Williams ⁽⁷⁾
- 10.10 Amended and Restated Salary Continuation Agreement entered into by Home Federal Savings and Loan Association of Nampa with Eric S. Nadeau ⁽⁷⁾
- 10.11 Amended and Restated Salary Continuation Agreement entered into by Home Federal Bank with R. Shane Correa ⁽¹⁰⁾
- 10.12 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan approved by stockholders on June 23, 2005 and Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement and Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement ⁽⁴⁾
- 10.13 2005 Recognition and Retention Plan approved by stockholders on June 23, 2005 and Form of Award Agreement ⁽⁴⁾
- 10.14 Transition Agreement with Daniel L. Stevens ⁽⁵⁾
- 10.15 2008 Equity Incentive Plan ⁽⁶⁾
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act *
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act *
- 32 Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act *
- 101 The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (1) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (2) Consolidated Statements of Operations; (3) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity; (4) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (5) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.* ⁽¹¹⁾

*Filed herewith

Copies of these exhibits are available upon written request to Eric S. Nadeau, Secretary, Home Federal Bancorp, Inc., 500 12th Avenue South, Nampa, Idaho 83651.

(1) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 7, 2009

(2) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (333-146289) dated September 25, 2007

(3) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2008

(4) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (333-127858)

(5) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 21, 2006

(6) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (333-157540)

Edgar Filing: Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(7) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011

(8) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 30, 2010

(9) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-K dated April 2, 2012

(10) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2011

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration

(11) statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto, duly authorized.

Home Federal Bancorp, Inc.

Date: August 8, 2013

/s/ Len E. Williams
Len E. Williams
President and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 8, 2013

/s/ Eric S. Nadeau
Eric S. Nadeau
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 10.6 Amended Director Retirement Plan entered into by Home Federal Bank with each of its Directors
 - 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
 - 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
 - 32 Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (1) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (2) Consolidated Statements of Operations; (3) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss); (4) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity; (5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (6) Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.