

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC

Form 10-Q

August 07, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13
OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number	Registrant, State of Incorporation, Address of Principal Executive Offices, Telephone Number, and IRS Employer Identification No.	Commission File Number	Registrant, State of Incorporation, Address of Principal Executive Offices, Telephone Number, and IRS Employer Identification No.
1-11299	ENTERGY CORPORATION (a Delaware corporation) 639 Loyola Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 Telephone (504) 576-4000 72-1229752	1-31508	ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC. (a Mississippi corporation) 308 East Pearl Street Jackson, Mississippi 39201 Telephone (601) 368-5000 64-0205830
1-10764	ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC. (an Arkansas corporation) 425 West Capitol Avenue Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 Telephone (501) 377-4000 71-0005900	0-5807	ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC. (a Louisiana corporation) 1600 Perdido Street, Building 505 New Orleans, Louisiana 70112 Telephone (504) 670-3700 72-0273040
333-148557	ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C. (a Louisiana limited liability company) 446 North Boulevard Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802 Telephone (800) 368-3749	000-53134	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. (a Texas corporation) 350 Pine Street Beaumont, Texas 77701 Telephone (409) 838-6631 61-1435798

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

74-0662730

1-32718 ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
(a Texas limited liability company)
446 North Boulevard
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802
Telephone (225) 381-5868
75-3206126

1-9067 SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES,
INC.
(an Arkansas corporation)
Echelon One
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, Mississippi 39213
Telephone (601) 368-5000
72-0752777

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

	Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer	Smaller reporting company
Entergy Corporation	☒			
Entergy Arkansas, Inc.			☒	
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C.			☒	
Entergy Louisiana, LLC			☒	
Entergy Mississippi, Inc.			☒	
Entergy New Orleans, Inc.			☒	
Entergy Texas, Inc.			☒	
System Energy Resources, Inc.			☒	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes ☐

No ☒

Common Stock Outstanding

Entergy Corporation

(\$0.01 par value)

Outstanding at July 31, 2008

191,574,567

Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Texas, Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc. separately file this combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Information contained herein relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Each company reports herein only as to itself and makes no other representations whatsoever as to any other company. This combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q supplements and updates the Entergy Annual Report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2007, the Entergy Texas Form 10, and the Entergy and Entergy Texas Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarter

ended March 31, 2008, filed by the individual registrants with the SEC, and should be read in conjunction therewith.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
June 30, 2008

Page
Number

Definitions	1
Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	
Plan to Pursue Separation of Non-Utility Nuclear	3
Results of Operations	6
Liquidity and Capital Resources	11
Significant Factors and Known Trends	16
Critical Accounting Estimates	20
New Accounting Pronouncements	20
Consolidated Statements of Income	21
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	22
Consolidated Balance Sheets	24
Consolidated Statements of Retained Earnings, Comprehensive Income, and Paid-In Capital	26
Selected Operating Results	28
Notes to Financial Statements	29
Part I. Item 4. Controls and Procedures	51
Entergy Arkansas, Inc.	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	
Results of Operations	53
Liquidity and Capital Resources	56
Significant Factors and Known Trends	58
Critical Accounting Estimates	59
New Accounting Pronouncements	59
Income Statements	60
Statements of Cash Flows	61
Balance Sheets	62
Selected Operating Results	64
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C.	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	
Jurisdictional Separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy	65

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Texas	
Results of Operations	65
Liquidity and Capital Resources	70
Significant Factors and Known Trends	72
Critical Accounting Estimates	73
New Accounting Pronouncements	73
Income Statements	74
Statements of Cash Flows	75
Balance Sheets	76
Statements of Members' Equity and Comprehensive Income	78
Selected Operating Results	79
Entergy Louisiana, LLC	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	
Results of Operations	80
Liquidity and Capital Resources	82
Significant Factors and Known Trends	85
Critical Accounting Estimates	87
New Accounting Pronouncements	87
Income Statements	88
Statements of Cash Flows	89

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
June 30, 2008

	<u>Page</u> <u>Number</u>
Balance Sheets	90
Statements of Members' Equity and Comprehensive Income	92
Selected Operating Results	93
Entergy Mississippi, Inc.	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	
Results of Operations	94
Liquidity and Capital Resources	97
Significant Factors and Known Trends	98
Critical Accounting Estimates	99
New Accounting Pronouncements	99
Income Statements	100
Statements of Cash Flows	101
Balance Sheets	102
Selected Operating Results	104

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Entergy New Orleans, Inc.

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	
Hurricane Katrina	105
Results of Operations	105
Liquidity and Capital Resources	108
Significant Factors and Known Trends	109
Critical Accounting Estimates	110
New Accounting Pronouncements	110
Income Statements	111
Statements of Cash Flows	113
Balance Sheets	114
Selected Operating Results	116

Entergy Texas, Inc.

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	
Jurisdictional Separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas	117
Results of Operations	117
Liquidity and Capital Resources	120
Significant Factors and Known Trends	122
Critical Accounting Estimates	123
New Accounting Pronouncements	123
Consolidated Statements of Operations	124
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	125
Consolidated Balance Sheets	126
Consolidated Statements of Retained Earnings and Paid-in-Capital	128
Selected Operating Results	129

System Energy Resources, Inc.

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	
Results of Operations	130
Liquidity and Capital Resources	130
Significant Factors and Known Trends	131
Critical Accounting Estimates	132
New Accounting Pronouncements	132
Income Statements	133
Statements of Cash Flows	135
Balance Sheets	136

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
June 30, 2008

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings	138
Item 1A. Risk Factors	138
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	138
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	139
Item 5. Other Information	140
Item 6. Exhibits	145
Signature	148

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

In this combined report and from time to time, Entergy Corporation and the Registrant Subsidiaries each makes statements as a registrant concerning its expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, and future events or performance. Such statements are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "believes," "intends," "plans," "predicts," "estimates," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the only means to identify these statements. Although each of these registrants believes that these forward-looking statements and the underlying assumptions are reasonable, it cannot provide assurance that they will prove correct. Any forward-looking statement is based on information current as of the date of this combined report and speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made. Except to the extent required by the federal securities laws, these registrants undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties. There are factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements, including those factors discussed or incorporated by reference in (a) Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Form 10-K and the Entergy Texas Form 10, (b) Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis in the Form 10-K, the Entergy Texas Form 10, and in this report, and (c) the following factors (in addition to others described elsewhere in this combined report and in subsequent securities filings):

- resolution of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including various performance-based rate discussions and implementation of Texas restructuring legislation, and other regulatory proceedings, including those related to Entergy's System Agreement, Entergy's utility supply plan, recovery of storm costs, and recovery of fuel and purchased power costs
- changes in utility regulation, including the beginning or end of retail and wholesale competition, the ability to recover net utility assets and other potential stranded costs, the operations of the independent coordinator of transmission that includes Entergy's utility service territory, and the application of more stringent transmission reliability requirements or market power criteria by the FERC
- changes in regulation of nuclear generating facilities and nuclear materials and fuel, including possible shutdown of nuclear generating facilities, particularly those in the Non-Utility Nuclear business
- resolution of pending or future applications for license renewals or modifications of nuclear generating

facilities

- the performance of Entergy's generating plants, and particularly the capacity factors at its nuclear generating facilities
- Entergy's ability to develop and execute on a point of view regarding future prices of electricity, natural gas, and other energy-related commodities
- prices for power generated by Entergy's unregulated generating facilities, the ability to hedge, sell power forward or otherwise reduce the market price risk associated with those facilities, including the Non-Utility Nuclear plants, and the prices and availability of fuel and power Entergy must purchase for its utility customers, and Entergy's ability to meet credit support requirements for fuel and power supply contracts
- volatility and changes in markets for electricity, natural gas, uranium, and other energy-related commodities
- changes in law resulting from federal energy legislation
- changes in environmental, tax, and other laws, including requirements for reduced emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, carbon, mercury, and other substances
- uncertainty regarding the establishment of interim or permanent sites for spent nuclear fuel and nuclear waste storage and disposal
- variations in weather and the occurrence of hurricanes and other storms and disasters, including uncertainties associated with efforts to remediate the effects of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and recovery of costs associated with restoration
- Entergy's and its subsidiaries' ability to manage their capital projects and operation and maintenance costs
- Entergy's ability to purchase and sell assets at attractive prices and on other attractive terms
- the economic climate, and particularly growth in Entergy's service territory
- the effects of Entergy's strategies to reduce tax payments

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION (Concluded)

- changes in the financial markets, particularly those affecting the availability of capital and Entergy's ability to refinance existing debt, execute its share repurchase program, and fund investments and acquisitions
- actions of rating agencies, including changes in the ratings of debt and preferred stock, changes in general corporate ratings, and changes in the rating agencies' ratings criteria
- changes in inflation and interest rates
- the effect of litigation and government investigations
- advances in technology
- the potential effects of threatened or actual terrorism and war
- Entergy's ability to attract and retain talented management and directors
- changes in accounting standards and corporate governance
- and the following transactional factors (in addition to others described elsewhere in this and in subsequent securities filings): (i) risks inherent in the contemplated Non-Utility Nuclear spin-off, joint venture and related transactions (including the level of debt incurred by the spun-off company and the terms and costs related thereto); (ii) legislative and regulatory actions; and (iii) conditions of the capital markets during the periods covered by the forward-looking statements. Entergy Corporation cannot provide any assurances that the spin-off or any of the proposed transactions related thereto will be completed, nor can it give assurances as to the terms on which such transactions will be consummated. The transaction is subject to certain conditions precedent, including regulatory approvals and the final approval by the Board.

DEFINITIONS

Certain abbreviations or acronyms used in the text and notes are defined below:

<u>Abbreviation or Acronym</u>	<u>Term</u>
AEEC	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers
AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
ALJ	Administrative Law Judge
ANO 1 and 2	Units 1 and 2 of Arkansas Nuclear One Steam Electric Generating Station (nuclear), owned by Entergy Arkansas
APSC	Arkansas Public Service Commission
Board	Board of Directors of Entergy Corporation
capacity factor	Actual plant output divided by maximum potential plant output for the period
City Council or Council	Council of the City of New Orleans, Louisiana
Entergy	Entergy Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries
Entergy Corporation	Entergy Corporation, a Delaware corporation
Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Predecessor company for financial reporting purposes to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana that included the assets and business operations of both Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., a company created in connection with the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. and the successor company to Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for financial reporting purposes. The term is also used to refer to the Louisiana jurisdictional business of Entergy Gulf States, Inc., as the context requires.
Entergy-Koch	Entergy-Koch, LP, a joint venture equally owned by subsidiaries of Entergy and Koch Industries, Inc.
Entergy Texas	Entergy Texas, Inc., a company created in connection with the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. The term is also used to refer to the Texas jurisdictional business of Entergy Gulf States, Inc., as the context requires.
Entergy Texas Form 10	Registration Statement on Form 10 filed with the SEC by Entergy Texas, as amended July 15, 2008.
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ERCOT	Electric Reliability Council of Texas
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
firm liquidated damages	Transaction that requires receipt or delivery of energy at a specified delivery point (usually at a market hub not associated with a specific asset); if a party fails to deliver or receive energy, the defaulting party must compensate the other party as specified in the contract

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Form 10-K	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2007 filed by Entergy Corporation and its Registrant Subsidiaries (other than Entergy Texas) with the SEC
Grand Gulf	Unit No. 1 of Grand Gulf Steam Electric Generating Station (nuclear), 90% owned or leased by System Energy
GWh	Gigawatt-hour(s), which equals one million kilowatt-hours
Independence	Independence Steam Electric Station (coal), owned 16% by Entergy Arkansas, 25% by Entergy Mississippi, and 7% by Entergy Power
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
ISO	Independent System Operator
kW	Kilowatt
kWh	Kilowatt-hour(s)
LPSC	Louisiana Public Service Commission
MMBtu	One million British Thermal Units

1

DEFINITIONS (Continued)

MPSC	Mississippi Public Service Commission
MW	Megawatt(s), which equals one thousand kilowatt(s)
MWh	Megawatt-hour(s)
Net debt ratio	Gross debt less cash and cash equivalents divided by total capitalization less cash and cash equivalents
Net MW in operation	Installed capacity owned or operated
Non-Utility Nuclear	Entergy's business segment that owns and operates six nuclear power plants and sells electric power produced by those plants to wholesale customers
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NYPA	New York Power Authority
PPA	Purchased power agreement
production cost	Cost in \$/MMBtu associated with delivering gas, excluding the cost of the gas
PUCT	Public Utility Commission of Texas
PUHCA 1935	Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended
PUHCA 2005	Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005, which repealed PUHCA 1935, among other things
Registrant Subsidiaries	Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Texas, Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc.
River Bend	River Bend Steam Electric Generating Station (nuclear), owned by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission

SFAS	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards as promulgated by the FASB
System Agreement	Agreement, effective January 1, 1983, as modified, among the Utility operating companies relating to the sharing of generating capacity and other power resources
System Energy	System Energy Resources, Inc.
TIEC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers
TWh	Terawatt-hour(s), which equals one billion kilowatt-hours
unit-contingent	Transaction under which power is supplied from a specific generation asset; if the asset is unavailable, the seller is not liable to the buyer for any damages
Unit Power Sales Agreement	Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, as amended and approved by FERC, among Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and System Energy, relating to the sale of capacity and energy from System Energy's share of Grand Gulf
Utility	Entergy's business segment that generates, transmits, distributes, and sells electric power, with a small amount of natural gas distribution
Utility operating companies	Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and Entergy Texas
Waterford 3	Unit No. 3 (nuclear) of the Waterford Steam Electric Generating Station, 100% owned or leased by Entergy Louisiana
weather-adjusted usage	Electric usage excluding the effects of deviations from normal weather

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Entergy operates primarily through two business segments: Utility and Non-Utility Nuclear.

• Utility

generates, transmits, distributes, and sells electric power in a four-state service territory that includes portions of Arkansas, Mississippi, Texas, and Louisiana, including the City of New Orleans; and operates a small natural gas distribution business.

• Non-Utility Nuclear

owns and operates six nuclear power plants located in the northern United States and sells the electric power produced by those plants primarily to wholesale customers. This business also provides services to other nuclear power plant owners.

In addition to its two primary, reportable, operating segments, Entergy also operates the non-nuclear wholesale assets business. The non-nuclear wholesale assets business sells to wholesale customers the electric power produced by power plants that it owns while it focuses on improving performance and exploring sales or restructuring opportunities for its power plants.

Plan to Pursue Separation of Non-Utility Nuclear

In November 2007, the Board approved a plan to pursue a separation of the Non-Utility Nuclear business from Entergy through a tax-free spin-off of the Non-Utility Nuclear business to Entergy shareholders. Enexus Energy Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Entergy and formerly referred to as SpinCo, will be a new, separate, and publicly-traded company. In addition, under the plan, Enexus and Entergy are expected to enter into a nuclear services business joint venture, EquaGen L.L.C., with 50% ownership by Enexus and 50% ownership by Entergy. The EquaGen board of members will be comprised of equal membership from both Entergy and Enexus.

Upon completion of the spin-off, Entergy Corporation's shareholders will own 100% of the common stock in both Enexus and Entergy. Entergy expects that Enexus' business will be substantially comprised of Non-Utility Nuclear's assets, including its six nuclear power plants, and Non-Utility Nuclear's power marketing operation. Entergy Corporation's remaining business will primarily be comprised of the Utility business. EquaGen is expected to operate the nuclear assets owned by Enexus, and provide certain services to the Utility's nuclear operations. EquaGen is also expected to offer nuclear services to third parties, including decommissioning, plant relicensing, plant operations, and ancillary services.

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., the current NRC-licensed operator of the Non-Utility Nuclear plants, filed an application in July 2007 with the NRC seeking indirect transfer of control of the operating licenses for the six Non-Utility Nuclear power plants, and supplemented that application in December 2007 to incorporate the planned business separation. Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., which is expected to be wholly-owned by EquaGen, will remain the operator of the plants after the separation. Entergy Operations, Inc., the current NRC-licensed operator of Entergy's five Utility nuclear plants, will remain a wholly-owned subsidiary of Entergy and will continue to be the operator of the Utility nuclear plants. In the December 2007 supplement to the NRC application, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. provided additional information regarding the spin-off transaction, organizational structure, technical and financial qualifications, and general corporate information. The NRC published a notice in the Federal Register establishing a period for the public to submit a request for hearing or petition to intervene in a hearing proceeding. The NRC notice period expired on February 5, 2008 and two petitions to intervene in the hearing proceeding were filed before the deadline. Each of the petitions opposes the NRC's approval of the license transfer on various grounds, including contentions that the approval request is not adequately supported regarding the basis for the proposed structure, the adequacy of decommissioning funding, and the adequacy of financial qualifications. Entergy submitted answers to the petitions on March 31 and April 8, and the NRC or a presiding officer designated by the NRC will determine whether a hearing will be granted. If a hearing is granted, the NRC is expected to issue a procedural schedule providing for limited discovery, written testimony and a legislative-type hearing. Under the NRC's procedural rules for license transfer approvals, the NRC Staff will continue to review the application,

prepare a Safety Evaluation Report and issue an approval or denial without regard to whether a hearing request is pending or has been granted. Thus, resolution of the hearing requests is not a prerequisite to obtaining the required NRC approval. On July 28, 2008 the NRC approved Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.'s application.

Pursuant to Federal Power Act Section 203, on February 21, 2008, an application was filed with the FERC requesting approval for the indirect disposition and transfer of control of jurisdictional facilities of a public utility. In June 2008 the FERC issued an order authorizing the requested indirect disposition and transfer of control.

On January 28, 2008, Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. requested approval from the Vermont Public Service Board for the indirect transfer of control, consent to pledge assets, issue guarantees

and assign material contracts, amendment to certificate of public good, and replacement of guaranty and substitution of a credit support agreement for Vermont Yankee. Two Vermont utilities that buy power from Vermont Yankee, the regional planning commission for the area served by Vermont Yankee, a municipality in which the Vermont Yankee training center is located, the union that represents certain Vermont Yankee employees, and two unions that represent certain employees at the Pilgrim plant in Massachusetts petitioned to intervene. Although the Pilgrim unions' petition to intervene was denied, the Pilgrim unions filed for reconsideration or, in the alternative, for participation as amicus curiae, and the Vermont Public Service Board has allowed the unions to participate as amicus curiae. Discovery is underway in this proceeding, in which parties can ask questions about or request the production of documents related to the transaction.

In addition, the Vermont Department of Public Service, which is the public advocate in proceedings before the Public Service Board, has prefiled its initial and rebuttal testimony in the case in which the Vermont Department of Public Service takes the position that Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. have not demonstrated that the restructuring promotes the public good because its benefits do not outweigh the risks, raising concerns that the target rating for Enexus Energy's debt is below investment grade and that the company may not have the financial capability to withstand adverse financial developments, such as an extended outage. The Vermont Department of Public Service's testimony also expresses concern about the EquaGen joint venture structure and Enexus' ability, under the operating agreement between Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., to ensure that Vermont Yankee is well-operated. Two distribution utilities that buy Vermont Yankee power prefiled testimony that also expresses concerns about the structure but found that there was a small net benefit to the restructuring. The Vermont Public Service Board conducted hearings on July 28-30, 2008, during which it considered the testimony prefiled by Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., the Vermont Department of Public Service, and the two distribution utilities. Briefing will now follow the hearings, and the Vermont Public Service Board will then issue a decision.

On January 28, 2008, Entergy Nuclear FitzPatrick, Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2, Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., and corporate affiliate NewCo (now named Enexus) filed a petition with the New York Public Service Commission (NYPSC) requesting a declaratory ruling regarding corporate reorganization or in the alternative an order approving the transaction and an order approving debt financing. Petitioners also requested confirmation that the corporate reorganization will not have an effect on Entergy Nuclear FitzPatrick's, Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2's, Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3's, and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.'s status as lightly regulated entities in New York, given that they will continue to be competitive wholesale generators. The New York State Attorney General's Office, Westchester County, and Riverkeeper, Inc. have filed objections to the business separation and to the transfer of the FitzPatrick and Indian Point Energy Center nuclear power plants, arguing that the debt associated with the spin-off could threaten access to adequate financial resources for those nuclear power plants, that Entergy could potentially be able to terminate revenue sharing agreements with the New York Power Authority (NYPA), the entity from which Entergy purchased the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 nuclear power plants, and because the New York State Attorney General's Office believes Entergy must file an environmental impact statement assessing the proposed corporate restructuring. In addition to the New York State Attorney General's Office, several other parties have also requested to be added to the service list for this proceeding.

L

On May 23, 2008, the NYPSC issued its Order Establishing Further Procedures in this matter. In the order, the NYPSC determined that due to the nuclear power plants' unique role in supporting the reliability of electric service in New York, and their large size and unique operational concerns, a more searching inquiry of the transaction will be conducted than if other types of lightly-regulated generation were at issue. Accordingly, the NYPSC assigned an ALJ

to preside over this proceeding and prescribed a sixty (60) day discovery period. The order provided that after at least sixty (60) days, the ALJ would establish when the discovery period would conclude. The NYPSC stated that the scope of discovery will be tightly bounded by the public interest inquiry relevant to this proceeding; namely, adequacy and security of support for the decommissioning of the New York nuclear facilities; financial sufficiency of the proposed capital structure in supporting continued operation of the facilities; and, arrangements for managing, operating and maintaining the facilities. The NYPSC also stated that during the discovery period, the NYPSC Staff may conduct technical conferences to assist in the development of a full record in this proceeding.

On July 23, 2008, the ALJs issued a ruling concerning discovery and seeking comments on a proposed process and schedule. In the ruling, the ALJs proposed a process for completing a limited, prescribed discovery process, to be followed three weeks later by the filing of initial comments addressing defined issues, with reply comments due two weeks after the initial comment deadline. Following receipt of all comments, a ruling will be made on whether, and to what extent, an evidentiary hearing is required. The ALJs' ruling acknowledged that the proposed process will not facilitate a decision by the NYPSC in September 2008. The ALJs asked the parties to address three specific topic areas: (1) the financial impacts related to the specific issues previously outlined by the NYPSC; (2) other obligations associated with the arrangement for managing, operating and maintaining the facilities; and (3) the extent that NYPA revenues from value sharing payments under the value sharing agreement between Entergy and NYPA would decrease. The ALJs have indicated that the potential financial effect of the termination of the value sharing payments on NYPA and New York electric consumers are factors the ALJs believe should be considered by the NYPSC in making its public interest determination. For further discussion of the value sharing agreements, see Note 1 to the financial statements herein. Entergy continues to seek regulatory approval from the NYPSC in a timely manner.

In connection with the separation, Enexus is currently expected to incur up to \$4.5 billion of debt in the form of debt securities. The debt will be incurred in the following transactions:

- Enexus is expected to issue up to \$3.5 billion of debt securities in partial consideration of Entergy's transfer to it of the non-utility nuclear business.
- These debt securities are expected to be exchanged for up to \$3.5 billion of debt securities that Entergy plans to issue prior to the separation. As a result of the exchange (should the exchange occur), the holders of the debt securities that Entergy plans to issue prior to the separation will become holders of the up to \$3.5 billion of Enexus debt securities.
- Enexus is expected to incur the balance of the debt through one or more public or private offerings of notes or other debt securities.

Out of the proceeds Enexus receives from the public or private offerings, it expects to retain approximately \$500 million, which it intends to use for working capital and other general corporate purposes. All of the remaining proceeds are expected to be transferred to Entergy to settle intercompany debt. Enexus will not receive any proceeds from either the issuance of up to \$3.5 billion of its debt securities or the exchange of its debt securities for Entergy debt securities. Entergy expects to use the proceeds that it receives from the issuance of its debt securities to reduce outstanding Entergy debt or repurchase Entergy shares. The amount to be paid to Entergy, the amount and term of the debt Enexus will incur, and the type of debt and entity that will incur the debt have not been finally determined, but will be determined prior to the separation. A number of factors could affect this final determination, and the amount of debt ultimately incurred could be different from the amount disclosed. Additionally, Entergy expects Enexus to enter into one or more credit facilities or other financing arrangements intended to support Enexus' working capital needs, collateral obligations, and other corporate needs arising from hedging and normal course of business requirements.

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Entergy is targeting the fourth quarter 2008 as the effective date for the spin-off and EquaGen transactions to be completed. Entergy expects the transactions to qualify for tax-free treatment for U.S. federal income tax purposes for both Entergy and its shareholders, and Entergy submitted a private letter ruling request to the IRS in April 2008 regarding the tax-free treatment. Final terms of the transactions and spin-off completion are subject to several conditions, including the final approval of the Board.

Results of Operations

Income Statement Variances

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Following are income statement variances for Utility, Non-Utility Nuclear, Parent & Other, and Entergy comparing the second quarter 2008 to the second quarter 2007 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

	Utility	Non-Utility Nuclear	Parent & Other (1)	Entergy
	(In Thousands)			
2 nd Quarter 2007 Consolidated Net Income	\$148,194	\$108,726	\$10,682	\$267,602
Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits)	52,329	134,664	8,595	195,588
Other operation and maintenance expenses	17,895	25,546	27,198	70,639
Taxes other than income taxes	5,980	4,156	(542)	9,594
Depreciation and amortization	2,547	6,612	165	9,324
Other income	4,895	(24,551)	(1,941)	(21,597)
Interest charges	(929)	9,227	(19,739)	(11,441)
Other expenses	6,250	9,709	3	15,962
Income taxes	13,961	19,973	42,627	76,561
2 nd Quarter 2008 Consolidated Net Income	\$159,714	\$143,616	(\$32,376)	\$270,954

(1) Parent & Other includes eliminations, which are primarily intersegment activity.

Refer to "**ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES - SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS**" for further information with respect to operating statistics.

N

Net Revenue

Utility

Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the second quarter 2008 to the second quarter 2007.

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

	Amount (In Millions)
2007 net revenue	\$1,129.5
Volume/weather	42.3
Other	10.0
2008 net revenue	\$1,181.8

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to increased electricity usage, including the effect of more favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007 and higher sales during the unbilled period. Billed retail electricity usage increased a total of 594 GWh in the residential and commercial sectors, an increase of 4.4%.

Non-Utility Nuclear

Net revenue increased for Non-Utility Nuclear from \$419 million for the second quarter 2007 to \$553 million for the second quarter 2008 primarily due to higher pricing in its contracts to sell power and increased production resulting from fewer outage days and the acquisition of the Palisades plant on April 11, 2007. In addition to refueling outages, second quarter 2007 was affected by a 28 day unplanned outage. Following are key performance measures for Non-Utility Nuclear for the second quarter 2008 and 2007:

	2008	2007
Net MW in operation at June 30	4,998	4,998
Average realized price per MWh	\$58.22	\$51.28
GWh billed	10,145	8,896
Capacity factor	92%	82%
Refueling Outage Days:		
Indian Point 2	19	-
Pilgrim	-	33
Vermont Yankee	-	24

Other Operation and Maintenance Expenses

Utility

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$461 million for the second quarter 2007 to \$479 million for the second quarter 2008 primarily due to:

- an increase of \$8 million in loss reserves, including storm damage reserves at Entergy Mississippi;
- an increase of \$6 million in storm damage charges as a result of several storms hitting Entergy Arkansas' service territory in 2008. Entergy Arkansas discontinued regulatory storm reserve accounting beginning July 2007 as a result of the APSC order issued in Entergy Arkansas' rate case. As a result, non-capital storm expenses are charged to other operation and maintenance expenses;
- an increase of \$6 million in payroll-related costs; and
- various other insignificant factors.

These increases were partially offset by a reimbursement of \$7 million of costs in connection with a litigation settlement.

Non-Utility Nuclear

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$175 million for the second quarter 2007 to \$201 million for the second quarter 2008 primarily due to deferring costs from one refueling outage in 2008 compared to two refueling outages in second quarter 2007, in addition to the acquisition of the Palisades plant in April 2007. Other operation and maintenance expenses associated with the Palisades plant were \$31 million for the second quarter 2008 compared to \$24 million for the second quarter 2007.

Parent & Other

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased for the parent company, Entergy Corporation, for the second quarter 2008 primarily due to outside services costs related to the planned spin-off of the Non-Utility Nuclear business.

Other Income

Other income decreased primarily due to a \$24.4 million charge to interest income in the second quarter 2008 resulting from the recognition of the other than temporary impairment of certain securities held in Non-Utility Nuclear's decommissioning trust funds.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rates for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007 were 39.9% and 28.0%, respectively. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the second quarter 2008 is primarily due to state income taxes and book and tax differences for utility plant items. The reduction in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the second quarter 2007 is primarily due to the resolution of tax audit issues in the 2002-2003 audit cycle, book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction, and the amortization of investment tax credits. These factors were partially offset by book and tax differences for utility plant items and state income taxes.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Following are income statement variances for Utility, Non-Utility Nuclear, Parent & Other, and Entergy comparing the six months ended June 30, 2008 to the six months ended June 30, 2007 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

	Utility	Non-Utility Nuclear	Parent & Other (1)	Entergy
	(In Thousands)			
2007 Consolidated Net Income	\$252,644	\$236,896	(\$9,743)	\$479,797

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits)	79,620	338,153	7,258	425,031
Other operation and maintenance expenses	29,871	60,183	27,477	117,531
Taxes other than income taxes	(8,518)	9,243	(5,243)	(4,518)
Depreciation and amortization	1,572	20,071	256	21,899
Other income	(13,572)	(21,687)	(5,929)	(41,188)
Interest charges	(6,403)	13,732	(14,213)	(6,884)
Other expenses	7,797	24,608	6	32,411
Income taxes	17,512	60,211	45,775	123,498
2008 Consolidated Net Income	\$276,861	\$365,314	(\$62,472)	\$579,703

8

(1) Parent & Other includes eliminations, which are primarily intersegment activity.

Net Revenue

Utility

Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the six months ended June 30, 2008 to the six months ended June 30, 2007.

	Amount (In Millions)
2007 net revenue	\$2,136.8
Volume/weather	43.4
Fuel recovery	18.3
Rider revenue	15.3
Base revenues	15.1
Purchased power capacity	(19.1)
Other	6.6
2008 net revenue	\$2,216.4

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to increased electricity usage, including the effect of more favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007. Billed retail electricity usage increased a total of 936 GWh in the residential and commercial sectors, an increase of 3.4%.

The fuel recovery variance resulted primarily from a reserve for potential rate refunds in the first quarter 2007 in Texas as a result of a PUCT ruling related to the application of past PUCT rulings addressing transition to competition in Texas.

The rider revenue variance is primarily due to:

- an increase in the Attala power plant costs that are recovered through the power management rider by Entergy Mississippi. The net income effect of this recovery is limited to a portion representing an allowed return on equity

with the remainder offset by Attala power plant costs in other operation and maintenance expenses, depreciation expenses, and taxes other than income taxes;

- a storm damage rider that became effective in October 2007 at Entergy Mississippi; and
- an Energy Efficiency rider that became effective in November 2007 at Entergy Arkansas.

The establishment of the storm damage rider and the Energy Efficiency rider results in an increase in rider revenue and a corresponding increase in other operation and maintenance expense with no impact on net income.

The base revenues variance is primarily due to the interim surcharge to collect \$10 million in under-recovered incremental purchased capacity costs incurred through July 2007 in Texas. The surcharge was collected over a two-month period beginning February 2008. The incremental capacity recovery rider and PUCT approval is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K. The variance is also due to a formula rate plan increase effective July 2007 at Entergy Mississippi.

The purchased power capacity variance is due to the amortization of deferred capacity costs and is partially offset in base revenues due to the incremental purchased capacity costs recovered through the interim surcharge, as discussed above.

9

Non-Utility Nuclear

Net revenue increased for Non-Utility Nuclear from \$840 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 to \$1,178 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 primarily due to higher pricing in its contracts to sell power, additional production resulting from the acquisition of the Palisades plant in April 2007, and fewer outage days. In addition to refueling outages, second quarter 2007 was affected by a 28 day unplanned outage. Palisades contributed \$154 million of net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$70 million of net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Included in the Palisades net revenue is \$38 million and \$15 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, of amortization of the Palisades purchased power agreement liability, which is non-cash revenue and is discussed in Note 15 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K. Following are key performance measures for Non-Utility Nuclear for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

	2008	2007
Net MW in operation at June 30	4,998	4,998
Average realized price per MWh	\$59.89	\$53.13
GWh billed	20,905	17,211
Capacity factor	95%	86%
Refueling Outage Days:		
Indian Point 2	26	-
Indian Point 3	-	24
Pilgrim	-	33
Vermont Yankee	-	24

Other Operation and Maintenance Expenses

Utility

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$870 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 to \$899 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 primarily due to:

- an increase of \$16 million in fossil expenses primarily due to higher costs for plant maintenance outages as a result of differing outage schedules for 2008 compared to 2007;
- an increase of \$16 million in storm damage charges as a result of several storms hitting Entergy Arkansas' service territory in 2008. Entergy Arkansas discontinued regulatory storm reserve accounting beginning July 2007 as a result of the APSC order issued in Entergy Arkansas' rate case. As a result, non-capital storm expenses are charged to other operation and maintenance expenses; and
- an increase of \$9 million in loss reserves, including storm damage reserves at Entergy Mississippi.

The increase was partially offset by a reimbursement of \$7 million of costs in connection with a litigation settlement.

Non-Utility Nuclear

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$322 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 to \$382 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 primarily due to deferring costs from one refueling outage in 2008 compared to three refueling outages in 2007, in addition to the acquisition of the Palisades plant in April 2007. Other operation and maintenance expenses associated with the Palisades plant were \$60 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$24 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

IO

Parent & Other

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased for the parent company, Entergy Corporation, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 primarily due to outside services costs related to the planned spin-off of the Non-Utility Nuclear business, including approximately \$23.7 million of such costs in the second quarter 2008.

Other Income

Other income decreased primarily due to approximately \$27 million in charges to interest income in 2008 resulting from the recognition of the other than temporary impairment of certain securities held in Non-Utility Nuclear's decommissioning trust funds. Other factors contributing to the decrease were a reduction in the allowance for equity funds used during construction in the Utility due to a revision in the first quarter 2007 related to removal costs and a reduction in carrying charges on storm costs as recovery of some of those costs has been completed.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were 38.9% and 33.9%, respectively. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the six months ended June 30, 2008 is primarily due to state income taxes and book and tax differences for utility plant items, partially offset by an adjustment to state income taxes for Non-Utility Nuclear to reflect the effect of a change in the methodology of computing New York state income taxes as required by that state's taxing authority. The reduction in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the six months ended June 30, 2007 is primarily due to the resolution of tax audit issues in the 2002-2003 audit cycle, book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction, and the amortization of investment tax credits. These factors were partially offset by

book and tax differences for utility plant items and state income taxes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's capital structure, capital expenditure plans and other uses of capital, and sources of capital. Following are updates to that discussion.

Capital Structure

Entergy's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table. The increase in the debt to capital percentage from 2007 to 2008 is primarily the result of additional borrowings under Entergy Corporation's revolving credit facilities, along with a decrease in shareholders' equity primarily due to an increase in accumulated other comprehensive loss and repurchases of common stock, offset by an increase in retained earnings. The increase in accumulated other comprehensive loss is primarily due to derivative instrument fair value changes. See Note 1 (Derivative Financial Instruments and Commodity Derivatives)

and Note 16 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for additional discussion of the accounting treatment of derivative instruments. The increase in the debt to capital percentage is in line with Entergy's financial and risk management aspirations.

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Net debt to net capital	58.3%	54.7%
Effect of subtracting cash from debt	2.4%	2.9%
Debt to capital	60.7%	57.6%

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt, common shareholders' equity, and preferred stock without sinking fund. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy's financial condition.

11

As discussed in the Form 10-K, Entergy Corporation has in place a \$3.5 billion credit facility that expires in August 2012. Entergy Corporation has the ability to issue letters of credit against the total borrowing capacity of the facility. As of June 30, 2008, amounts outstanding under the credit facility are:

Capacity	Borrowings	Letters of Credit	Capacity Available
(In Millions)			
\$3,500	\$2,772	\$72	\$656

Entergy Corporation's credit facility requires it to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. If Entergy fails to meet this ratio, or if Entergy or one of the Utility operating companies (except Entergy New Orleans) defaults on other indebtedness or is in bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, an acceleration of

the facility's maturity date may occur.

Capital Expenditure Plans and Other Uses of Capital

See the table and discussion in the Form 10-K under "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Capital Expenditure Plans and Other Uses of Capital**," that sets forth the amounts of planned construction and other capital investments by operating segment for 2008 through 2010. Following is an update to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Little Gypsy Repowering Project

The preconstruction and operating air permits for the Little Gypsy repowering project were issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in November 2007 under then-effective federal and state air regulations, including the EPA's Clean Air Mercury Rule that had been issued in 2005 (CAMR 2005). As discussed in more detail in part I, Item 1, "Environmental Regulation, Clean Air Act and Subsequent Amendments, Hazardous Air Pollutants" in the Form 10-K, in February 2008 the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit struck down CAMR 2005. The D.C. Circuit decision requires utilities that have not yet begun construction of the facility in question to undergo a case-by-case Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) analysis for construction or reconstruction of emission units pursuant to the Clean Air Act before beginning construction. The Little Gypsy project as currently configured is expected to meet MACT standards. Little Gypsy received its construction permit before a formal MACT analysis was required, however, and Entergy Louisiana has sought a MACT determination from the LDEQ. The filing was made in June 2008, and the LDEQ has certified that the filing is complete. A decision on the MACT determination is expected by first quarter 2009. Entergy Louisiana also is awaiting permit determinations from several additional agencies. These permits are unrelated to CAMR 2005 and always have been part of the construction process. Onsite construction of the project was scheduled to begin in July 2008, but obtaining the MACT determination will cause a delay in the start of construction, which Entergy Louisiana now expects to begin in mid-year 2009. This delays the expected commercial operation date of the project to mid-2013. The LPSC approved the temporary suspension of Phase II of the Little Gypsy proceedings because Entergy Louisiana must update its estimated project cost and schedule in order to support the request to recover cash earnings on its construction work in progress costs. Entergy Louisiana plans to refile the Phase II case in September 2008, and a decision is expected in the first quarter 2009. The LPSC Phase I order has been appealed to the state district court in Baton Rouge, Louisiana by a group led by the Sierra Club and represented by the Tulane Environmental Law Clinic. A procedural schedule for the appeal has not been set.

The delayed construction of the Little Gypsy repowering project is expected to increase the total project cost from approximately \$1.55 billion to \$1.76 billion, primarily due to price escalation on non-contracted equipment and material and increased carrying cost due to the extended construction period.

Waterford 3 Steam Generator Replacement Project

As discussed in more detail in the Form 10-K, Entergy Louisiana plans to replace the Waterford 3 steam generators, along with the reactor vessel closure head and control element drive mechanisms, in 2011. In June 2008, Entergy Louisiana filed with the LPSC for approval of the project, including full cost recovery. Entergy Louisiana estimates in the filing that it will spend approximately \$511 million on this project. The filing seeks relief in two phases. Phase I seeks certification within 120 days that the public convenience and necessity would be served by undertaking this

project. Among other relief requested, Entergy Louisiana is also seeking approval for a procedure to synchronize permanent base rate recovery when the project is placed in service, either by a formula rate plan or base rate filing. In Phase II, Entergy Louisiana will seek cash earnings on construction work in progress. A status conference was held on July 31, 2008, and a procedural schedule for Phase I was adopted providing for hearings in October 2008 and LPSC consideration in December 2008.

White Bluff Environmental Project

The planned construction and other capital investments disclosure in the Form 10-K includes approximately \$24 million for initial spending during the 2008-2010 period on installation of scrubbers and low NOx burners at Entergy Arkansas' White Bluff coal plant, which under current environmental regulations must be operational by September 2013. The project remains in the planning stages and has not been fully designed, but the latest conceptual cost estimate has gone up significantly from previous estimates due to increases in equipment, commodity, and labor costs. These estimates indicate that Entergy Arkansas' share of the project could cost approximately \$630 million compared to the \$375 million reported in the Form 10-K. Entergy continues to review potential environmental spending needs and financing alternatives for any such spending, and future spending estimates could change based on the results of this continuing analysis.

Sources of Capital

The short-term borrowings of the Registrant Subsidiaries and certain other Entergy subsidiaries are limited to amounts authorized by the FERC. The current FERC-authorized limits are effective through March 31, 2010, as established by a FERC order issued March 31, 2008 (except for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, which are effective through November 8, 2009, as established by an earlier FERC order). See Note 4 to the financial statements for further discussion of Entergy's short-term borrowing limits.

Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita

In August and September 2005, Hurricanes Katrina and Rita caused catastrophic damage to large portions of the Utility's service territory in Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas, including the effect of extensive flooding that resulted from levee breaks in and around the greater New Orleans area. The storms and flooding resulted in widespread power outages, significant damage to electric distribution, transmission, and generation and gas infrastructure, and the loss of sales and customers due to mandatory evacuations and the destruction of homes and businesses. Entergy has pursued a broad range of initiatives to recover storm restoration and business continuity costs, including obtaining reimbursement of certain costs covered by insurance and pursuing recovery through existing or new rate mechanisms regulated by the FERC and local regulatory bodies, including the issuance of securitization or bonds. Following are updates regarding Entergy's cost recovery efforts.

Storm Cost Financings

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation (LURC), an instrumentality of the State of Louisiana, filed at the LPSC an application requesting that the LPSC grant financing orders authorizing the financing of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana storm costs, storm reserves, and issuance costs pursuant to Act 55 of the Louisiana Legislature (Act 55 financings). The Act 55 financings are expected to produce additional customer benefits as compared to Act 64 traditional securitization. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana also filed an application requesting LPSC approval for ancillary issues including the mechanism to flow

charges and savings to customers via a Storm Cost Offset rider. On April 3, 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted preliminary approval for the Act 55 financings. On April 8, 2008, the Louisiana Public Facilities Authority (LPFA), which is the issuer of the bonds pursuant to the Act 55 financings, approved requests for the Act 55 financings. On April 10, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC Staff filed with the LPSC an uncontested stipulated settlement that includes Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana's proposals under the Act 55 financings, which includes a commitment to pass on to customers a minimum of \$10 million and \$30 million of customer benefits, respectively. On April 16, 2008, the LPSC approved the settlement and issued two financing orders and one ratemaking order intended to facilitate implementation of the Act 55 financings. On May 6, 2008, the State Bond Commission voted to approve the Act 55 financings.

On July 29, 2008, the LPFA issued \$679 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Louisiana invested \$527 million in affiliate securities. The LURC deposited \$152 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Louisiana. As approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, Entergy Louisiana withdrew \$17.8 million from the restricted escrow account and also invested this amount in affiliate securities.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana expects that in September 2008 the LPFA will issue \$273 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the bond proceeds expected to be received by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana is expected to invest \$186 million in affiliate securities. In addition, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana expects the LURC to deposit \$87 million to a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. As approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, it is expected that Entergy Gulf States Louisiana will withdraw \$1.7 million from the restricted escrow account and will also invest this amount in affiliate securities.

Insurance Claims

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's conventional property insurance program and its Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita claims.

In April 2008, Entergy received from its primary insurer \$53.6 million of additional insurance proceeds on its Hurricane Katrina claim, and almost all of the April 2008 proceeds were allocated to Entergy New Orleans.

Entergy has settled its lawsuit against one of its excess insurers on the Hurricane Katrina claim, and in July 2008 received \$71.5 million in proceeds on the claim. The July 2008 proceeds were allocated as follows: \$2.0 million to Entergy Arkansas, \$3.7 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$12.4 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$1.8 million to Entergy Mississippi, and \$48.4 million to Entergy New Orleans, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

Cash Flow Activity

As shown in Entergy's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

2008	2007
------	------

(In Millions)

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$1,253	\$1,016
Effect of reconsolidating Entergy New Orleans	-	17
Cash flow provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	914	964
Investing activities	(1,008)	(1,016)
Financing activities	(73)	339
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(167)	287
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$1,086	\$1,320

Operating Activities

Entergy's cash flow provided by operating activities decreased by \$50 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. Following are cash flows from operating activities by segment:

- Utility provided \$398 million in cash from operating activities in 2008 compared to providing \$764 million in 2007 primarily due to decreased collection of fuel costs in 2008 and the receipt of \$177 million of Community Development Block Grant funds by Entergy New Orleans in 2007. Fuel prices have been increasing, and due to the time lag before the fuel recovery rates increase in response, the Utility has under-recovered fuel costs thus far in 2008.
- Non-Utility Nuclear provided \$594 million in cash from operating activities in 2008 compared to providing \$259 million in 2007, primarily due to an increase in net revenue, partially offset by an increase in operation and maintenance costs.
- Parent & Other used approximately \$78 million in cash in operating activities in 2008 and used approximately \$59 million in cash in operating activities in 2007.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. The following significant investing cash flow activity occurred in the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

- Construction expenditures were \$62 million higher in 2008 than in 2007, primarily due to increased spending on various projects by the Utility that are discussed further in "Capital Expenditure Plans" in the Form 10-K.
- In April 2007, Non-Utility Nuclear purchased the 798 MW Palisades nuclear power plant located near South Haven, Michigan for a net cash payment of \$336 million.
- In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana purchased the Calcasieu Generating Facility, a 322 MW simple-cycle, gas-fired power plant located near the city of Sulphur in southwestern Louisiana, for approximately \$56.4 million.
- Non-Utility Nuclear made a \$72 million payment to NYPA in 2008 under the value sharing agreement associated with the acquisition of the Fitzpatrick and Indian Point 3 power plants. See Note 1 to the financial statements herein for additional discussion of the value sharing agreement.

- In 2008, Non-Utility Nuclear posted \$102 million of cash as collateral in support of its agreements to sell power.
- Entergy Mississippi realized proceeds in 2007 from \$100 million of investments held in trust that were received from a bond issuance in 2006 and used to redeem bonds in 2007.

Financing Activities

Financing activities used \$73 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and provided \$339 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The following significant financing cash flow activity occurred in the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

- Entergy Corporation increased the net borrowings under its revolving credit facility by \$521 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 and by \$1,150 million in the six months ended June 30, 2007. See Note 4 to the financial statements for a description of the Entergy Corporation credit facilities.
- The Utility operating companies increased the borrowings outstanding on their long-term credit facilities by \$230 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008.
- A subsidiary of Entergy Texas issued \$329.5 million of securitization bonds in June 2007. See Note 5 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for additional information regarding the securitization bonds.
- Entergy Corporation repaid \$87 million of notes payable at their maturity in March 2008.
- Entergy Mississippi redeemed \$100 million of first mortgage bonds in 2007.
- The Utility operating companies increased the borrowings outstanding on their short-term credit facilities by \$150 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008.
- Entergy Corporation repurchased \$370 million of its common stock in the six months ended June 30, 2008 and \$825 million of its common stock in the six months ended June 30, 2007.
- Entergy Corporation increased the dividend on its common stock. The quarterly dividend was \$0.54 per share in 2007 and \$0.75 per share for the first two quarters in 2008.

Significant Factors and Known Trends

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Significant Factors and Known Trends**" in the Form 10-K for discussions of rate regulation, federal regulation, and market and credit risk sensitive instruments. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

State and Local Rate Regulation

See the Form 10-K for a chart summarizing material rate proceedings. See Note 2 to the financial statements herein for updates to the proceedings discussed in that chart.

Federal Regulation

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of federal regulatory proceedings. Following are updates to that discussion.

System Agreement Proceedings

Production Cost Equalization Proceeding Commenced by the LPSC

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the June 2005 FERC decision in the System Agreement litigation that had been commenced by the LPSC, which was essentially affirmed in the FERC's decision in a December 2005 order on rehearing. The LPSC, APSC, MPSC, and the AEEC appealed the FERC's decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. Entergy and the City of New Orleans intervened in the various appeals. The D.C. Circuit issued its decision in April 2008. The D.C. Circuit affirmed the FERC's decision in most respects, but remanded the case to the FERC for further proceedings and reconsideration of its conclusion that it was prohibited from ordering refunds and its determination to

16

implement the bandwidth remedy commencing with calendar year 2006 production costs (with the first payments/receipts commencing in June 2007), rather than commencing the remedy on June 1, 2005. The D.C. Circuit concluded the FERC had failed so far in the proceeding to offer a reasoned explanation regarding these issues. On July 17, 2008, the Utility operating companies filed with FERC a motion proposing additional procedures on the remanded issues.

Rough Production Cost Equalization Rates

J007 Rate Filing Based on Calendar Year 2006 Production Costs

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the proceeding in which Entergy filed the rates to implement the FERC's orders in the production cost equalization proceeding. Intervenor cross-answering testimony was filed during March and April 2008, in which the intervenors and FERC Staff advocate a number of positions on issues that affect the level of production costs the individual Utility operating companies are permitted to reflect in the bandwidth calculation, including the level of depreciation and decommissioning expense for nuclear facilities. The effect of the various positions would be to reallocate costs among the Utility operating companies. Additionally, the APSC, while not taking a position on whether Entergy Arkansas was imprudent for not exercising its right of first refusal to repurchase a portion of the Independence plant in 1996 and 1997 as alleged by the LPSC, alleges that if the FERC finds Entergy Arkansas to be imprudent for not exercising this option, the FERC should disallow recovery from customers by Entergy of approximately \$43 million of increased costs. On April 28, 2008 the Utility operating companies filed rebuttal testimony refuting the allegations of imprudence concerning the decision not to acquire the portion of the Independence plant, explaining why the bandwidth payments are properly recoverable under the AmerenUE contract, and explaining why the positions of FERC Staff and intervenors on the other issues should be rejected. A hearing in this proceeding concluded in July 2008, post-hearing briefing is scheduled to conclude in August 2008, and the ALJ is expected to issue an initial decision in September 2008.

As discussed in the Form 10-K, the Utility operating companies had also filed with the FERC during 2007 certain proposed modifications to the rough production cost equalization calculation. The FERC rejected certain of the proposed modifications, accepted certain of the proposed modifications without further proceedings, and set two of the proposed modifications for hearing and settlement procedures. With respect to the proceeding involving changes to the functionalization of costs to the production function, a hearing was held in March 2008 and the ALJ issued an Initial Decision in June 2008 finding the modifications proposed by the Utility operating companies to be just and reasonable. Following briefing, the matter will be submitted to the FERC for decision. In the second proceeding, a contested settlement supported by the Utility operating companies has been submitted to the Settlement ALJ. In conjunction with the second proceeding, the LPSC has appealed to the Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit the FERC's determination that changes proposed by the Utility operating companies and accepted by the FERC can become effective for the next bandwidth calculation even though such bandwidth calculation may include production

costs incurred prior to the date the change is proposed by the Utility operating companies.

The intervenor AmerenUE has argued that its current wholesale power contract with Entergy Arkansas, pursuant to which Entergy Arkansas sells power to AmerenUE, does not permit Entergy Arkansas to flow through to AmerenUE any portion of Entergy Arkansas' bandwidth payment. According to AmerenUE, Entergy Arkansas has sought to collect from AmerenUE approximately \$14.5 million of the 2007 Entergy Arkansas bandwidth payment. The AmerenUE contract is scheduled to expire in August 2009. In April 2008, AmerenUE filed a complaint with the FERC seeking refunds of this amount, plus interest, in the event the FERC ultimately determines that bandwidth payments are not properly recovered under the AmerenUE contract.

On March 31, 2008, the LPSC filed a complaint with the FERC seeking, among other things, three amendments to the rough production cost equalization bandwidth formula. On April 22, 2008, the Utility operating companies filed an answer to the LPSC complaint urging the FERC to reject two of the proposed amendments and not opposing the third. On July 2, 2008, the FERC issued an order that, among other things, ordered the Utility operating companies to implement the LPSC's proposed amendment that they did not oppose and setting two of the LPSC's proposed amendments for hearing and settlement proceedings.

I7

J008 Rate Filing Based on Calendar Year 2007 Production Costs

In May 2008, Entergy filed with the FERC the rates for the second year to implement the FERC's orders in the System Agreement proceeding that are discussed in the Form 10-K.

The filing shows the following payments/receipts among the Utility operating companies for 2008, based on calendar year 2007 production costs, commencing for service in June 2008, are necessary to achieve rough production cost equalization under the FERC's orders:

	Payments or (Receipts) (In Millions)
Entergy Arkansas	\$252
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	(\$124)
Entergy Louisiana	(\$35)
Entergy Mississippi	(\$20)
Entergy New Orleans	(\$7)
Entergy Texas	(\$66)

Several parties intervened in the proceeding at the FERC, including the APSC, the LPSC, and AmerenUE, which have also filed protests. Several other parties, including the MPSC and the City Council, have intervened in the proceeding without filing a protest. On July 29, 2008, the FERC set the proceeding for hearing and settlement procedures. A settlement judge should be appointed and a conference scheduled in August 2008.

Entergy Arkansas will pay \$36 million per month for seven months in 2008, and began making the payments in June 2008. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the APSC has approved a production cost allocation rider for recovery from customers of the retail portion of the costs allocated to Entergy Arkansas.

Independent Coordinator of Transmission

In the FERC's April 2006 order that approved Entergy's ICT proposal, the FERC stated that the weekly procurement process (WPP) must be operational within approximately 14 months of the FERC order, or June 24, 2007, or the FERC may reevaluate all approvals to proceed with the ICT. The Utility operating companies have been working with the ICT and a software vendor to develop the software and systems necessary to implement the WPP. The Utility operating companies also filed with the FERC in April 2007 a request to make certain corrections and limited modifications to the current WPP tariff provisions. The Utility operating companies have filed status reports with the FERC notifying the FERC that, due to unexpected issues with the development of the WPP software and testing, the WPP is still not operational. The Utility operating companies filed a revised tariff with the FERC on January 31, 2008 to address issues identified during the testing of the WPP. The Utility operating companies requested the FERC to rule on the proposed amendments by April 30, 2008 and allow them to go into effect May 11, 2008, following which the WPP would be expected to become operational. In May 2008, the FERC determined it would be premature to implement the WPP on May 11, 2008 as the WPP has not been shown to be just and reasonable. Accordingly, the FERC conditionally accepted and suspended Entergy's proposed tariff amendments for five months from the requested effective date, to become effective October 11, 2008, or on an earlier date, subject to refund and subject to a further order on proposed tariff revisions directed to be filed in the order. The FERC stated that it will consider allowing an effective date earlier than October 11, 2008, if the ICT agrees that the model is ready and Entergy files the required tariff revisions no later than 60 days before that date. The FERC also denied the requests for a technical conference at this time and indicated it will reassess the need for such a technical conference after the WPP is functioning.

18

Market and Credit Risk Sensitive Instruments

Commodity Price Risk

Power Generation

As discussed more fully in the Form 10-K, the sale of electricity from the power generation plants owned by Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business, unless otherwise contracted, is subject to the fluctuation of market power prices. Following is an updated summary of the amount of the Non-Utility Nuclear business' output that is sold forward as of June 30, 2008 under physical or financial contracts (2008 represents the remaining two quarters of the year):

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Non-Utility Nuclear					
:					
Percent of planned energy output sold forward:					
Unit-contingent	48%	48%	31%	29%	17%
Unit-contingent with availability guarantees	40%	35%	28%	14%	7%
(1)					
Firm liquidated damages	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total	93%	83%	59%	43%	24%
Planned energy output (TWh)	21	41	40	41	41
Average contracted price per MWh (2)	\$55	\$61	\$58	\$55	\$54

- (1) A sale of power on a unit-contingent basis coupled with a guarantee of availability provides for the payment to the power purchaser of contract damages, if incurred, in the event the seller fails to deliver power as a result of the failure of the specified generation unit to generate power at or above a specified availability threshold. All

of Entergy's outstanding guarantees of availability provide for dollar limits on Entergy's maximum liability under such guarantees.

- (2) The Vermont Yankee acquisition included a 10-year PPA under which the former owners will buy most of the power produced by the plant, which is through the expiration in 2012 of the current operating license for the plant. The PPA includes an adjustment clause under which the prices specified in the PPA will be adjusted downward monthly, beginning in November 2005, if power market prices drop below PPA prices, which has not happened thus far and is not expected in the foreseeable future.

Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business' purchase of the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 plants from NYPA included value sharing agreements with NYPA. In October 2007, NYPA and the subsidiaries that own the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 plants amended and restated the value sharing agreements to clarify and amend certain provisions of the original terms. Under the amended value sharing agreements, Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business agreed to make annual payments to NYPA based on the generation output of the Indian Point 3 and FitzPatrick plants from January 2007 through December 2014. Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business will pay NYPA \$6.59 per MWh for power sold from Indian Point 3, up to an annual cap of \$48 million, and \$3.91 per MWh for power sold from FitzPatrick, up to an annual cap of \$24 million. The annual payment for each year is due by January 15 of the following year. If Entergy or an Entergy affiliate ceases to own the plants, then, after January 2009, the annual payment obligation terminates for generation after the date that Entergy ownership ceases. We believe that the contractual obligation to make value sharing payments to NYPA, other than for 2008 generation output, will terminate if the Non-Utility Nuclear spin-off transaction is completed. On June 3, 2008, NYPA informed Entergy in writing that it disagrees with Entergy's interpretation of the termination provisions of the agreement. In addition, in regulatory proceedings in New York, the Administrative Law Judges have indicated that the potential financial effect of the termination of the value sharing payments on NYPA and New York electric consumers are factors the Administrative Law Judges believe should be considered by the New York Public Service Commission in making its public interest determination.

Some of the agreements to sell the power produced by Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear power plants contain provisions that require an Entergy subsidiary to provide collateral to secure its obligations under the agreements. The Entergy subsidiary is required to provide collateral based upon the difference between the current market and contracted power prices in the regions where Non-Utility Nuclear sells power. The primary form of

19

collateral to satisfy these requirements is an Entergy Corporation guaranty. Cash and letters of credit are also acceptable forms of collateral. At June 30, 2008, based on power prices at that time, Entergy had in place as collateral \$1,501 million of Entergy Corporation guarantees for wholesale transactions, including \$64 million of guarantees that support letters of credit and \$102 million of cash collateral. The assurance requirement associated with Non-Utility Nuclear is estimated to increase by an amount of up to \$302 million if gas prices increase \$1 per MMBtu in both the short- and long-term markets. In the event of a decrease in Entergy Corporation's credit rating to below investment grade, Entergy will be required to replace Entergy Corporation guarantees with cash or letters of credit under some of the agreements.

In addition to selling the power produced by its plants, the Non-Utility Nuclear business sells installed capacity to load-serving distribution companies in order for those companies to meet requirements placed on them by the ISO in their area. Following is a summary of the amount of the Non-Utility Nuclear business' installed capacity that is currently sold forward, and the blended amount of the Non-Utility Nuclear business' planned generation output and installed capacity that is currently sold forward as of June 30, 2008 (2008 represents the remaining two quarters of the year):

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Non-Utility Nuclear					
:					
Percent of capacity sold forward:					
Bundled capacity and energy contracts	26%	27%	26%	27%	19%
Capacity contracts	62%	38%	31%	15%	2%
Total	88%	65%	57%	42%	21%
Planned net MW in operation	4,998	4,998	4,998	4,998	4,998
Average capacity contract price per kW per month	\$2.0	\$2.0	\$3.4	\$3.7	\$3.5
<u>Blended Capacity and Energy (based on revenues)</u>					
% of planned generation and capacity sold forward	87%	74%	47%	31%	15%
Average contract revenue per MWh	\$57	\$62	\$61	\$57	\$54

As of June 30, 2008, approximately 96% of Non-Utility Nuclear's counterparty exposure from energy and capacity contracts is with counterparties with public investment grade credit ratings.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy's accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, unbilled revenue, impairment of long-lived assets, qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and other contingencies.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2008 the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161 "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" (SFAS 161), which requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008.

J0

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	(In Thousands, Except Share Data)			
OPERATING REVENUES				
Electric	\$2,524,222	\$2,194,644	\$4,570,449	\$4,306,104
Natural gas	53,985	42,909	143,380	127,861
Competitive businesses	686,064	531,799	1,415,176	1,029,446

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

TOTAL	3,264,271	2,769,352	6,129,005	5,463,411
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Operating and Maintenance:				
Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale	726,836	595,602	1,267,337	1,383,014
Purchased power	748,203	601,000	1,368,845	1,045,239
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	55,840	44,614	107,098	87,589
Other operation and maintenance	710,309	639,670	1,321,577	1,204,046
Decommissioning	46,816	42,080	92,812	79,910
Taxes other than income taxes	125,942	116,348	234,513	239,031
Depreciation and amortization	247,977	238,653	492,962	471,063
Other regulatory charges - net	34,239	13,345	69,519	36,885
TOTAL	2,696,162	2,291,312	4,954,663	4,546,777
OPERATING INCOME	568,109	478,040	1,174,342	916,634
OTHER INCOME				
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	9,085	7,459	18,371	24,717
Interest and dividend income	23,399	53,948	77,680	111,058
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated equity affiliates	(2,572)	477	(3,501)	2,101
Miscellaneous - net	3,916	(6,459)	(7,640)	(11,778)
TOTAL	33,828	55,425	84,910	126,098
INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES				
Interest on long-term debt	119,903	124,057	243,047	247,156
Other interest - net	28,030	33,553	60,567	65,768
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(4,937)	(4,386)	(10,053)	(14,915)
Preferred dividend requirements and other	4,975	6,188	9,973	12,409
TOTAL	147,971	159,412	303,534	310,418
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	453,966	374,053	955,718	732,314
Income taxes	183,012	106,451	376,015	252,517
CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME	\$270,954	\$267,602	\$579,703	\$479,797
Earnings per average common share:				
Basic	\$1.42	\$1.36	\$3.02	\$2.41
Diluted	\$1.37	\$1.32	\$2.93	\$2.34
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.75	\$0.54	\$1.50	\$1.08
Basic average number of common shares outstanding				
	191,326,928	196,979,140	191,983,266	198,754,673
Diluted average number of common shares outstanding				
	197,864,459	203,423,646	198,101,863	204,785,090

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Consolidated net income	\$579,703	\$479,797
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities:		
Reserve for regulatory adjustments	(2,808)	8,038
Other regulatory charges - net	69,519	36,885
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	585,774	550,973
Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued	365,337	507,929
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated equity affiliates - net of dividends	3,501	(2,101)
Changes in working capital:		
Receivables	(216,810)	(123,088)
Fuel inventory	(12,257)	(10,533)
Accounts payable	357,503	(137,102)
Taxes accrued	-	(189,410)
Interest accrued	(48,799)	(29,093)
Deferred fuel	(555,444)	37,705
Other working capital accounts	(218,001)	(169,775)
Provision for estimated losses and reserves	10,680	56,241
Changes in other regulatory assets	39,964	132,989
Other	(44,293)	(185,323)
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	913,569	964,132
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Construction/capital expenditures	(778,818)	(717,115)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	18,371	24,717
Nuclear fuel purchases	(217,487)	(219,328)
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	152,353	124,185
Proceeds from sale of assets and businesses	30,725	13,063
Payment for purchase of plant	(56,409)	(336,211)
Insurance proceeds received for property damages	63,088	82,081
Changes in transition charge account	9,171	-
NYPA value sharing payment	(72,000)	-
Decrease (increase) in other investments	(95,166)	73,969
Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales	748,181	1,013,414
Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds	(809,653)	(1,075,084)
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(1,007,644)	(1,016,309)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from the issuance of:		
Long-term debt	1,800,543	2,042,123
Common stock and treasury stock	27,862	53,706
Retirement of long-term debt	(1,383,393)	(699,906)
Repurchase of common stock	(369,612)	(825,460)
Redemption of preferred stock	-	(2,250)
Changes in credit line borrowings - net	150,000	-
Dividends paid:		
Common stock	(288,172)	(215,472)
Preferred stock	(10,030)	(13,344)
Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities	(72,802)	339,397
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(430)	(243)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(167,307)	286,977
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,253,728	1,016,152
Effect of the reconsolidation of Entergy New Orleans on cash and cash equivalents	-	17,093
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$1,086,421	\$1,320,222
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$340,077	\$297,229
Income taxes	\$127,856	\$228,750

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS
June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

2008 **2007**
(In Thousands)

CURRENT ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	\$168,624	\$126,652
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market	917,797	1,127,076
Total cash and cash equivalents	1,086,421	1,253,728
Securitization recovery trust account	10,102	19,273
Accounts receivable:		
Customer	755,425	610,724
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(20,357)	(25,789)
Other	310,645	303,060
Accrued unbilled revenues	347,163	288,076
Total accounts receivable	1,392,876	1,176,071
Deferred fuel costs	500,498	-
Accumulated deferred income taxes	-	38,117
Fuel inventory - at average cost	220,841	208,584
Materials and supplies - at average cost	725,176	692,376
Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs	194,736	172,936
System agreement cost equalization	215,869	268,000
Gas hedge contracts	122,971	-
Prepayments and other	268,505	129,162
TOTAL	4,737,995	3,958,247

OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS

Investment in affiliates - at equity	76,959	78,992
Decommissioning trust funds	3,154,962	3,307,636
Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation)	224,536	220,204
Other	176,500	82,563
TOTAL	3,632,957	3,689,395

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Electric	33,650,605	32,959,022
Property under capital lease	738,492	740,095
Natural gas	297,622	300,767
Construction work in progress	1,026,306	1,054,833
Nuclear fuel under capital lease	429,414	361,502
Nuclear fuel	609,426	665,620
TOTAL PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT	36,751,865	36,081,839
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	15,457,574	15,107,569
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT - NET	21,294,291	20,974,270

DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS

Regulatory assets:		
SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net	615,832	595,743
Other regulatory assets	2,932,336	2,971,399
Deferred fuel costs	168,122	168,122
Goodwill	377,172	377,172

Other	934,636	908,654
TOTAL	5,028,098	5,021,090
TOTAL ASSETS	\$34,693,341	\$33,643,002

See Notes to Financial Statements.

24

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Currently maturing long-term debt	\$397,527	\$996,757
Notes payable	175,037	25,037
Accounts payable	1,388,805	1,031,300
Customer deposits	298,632	291,171
Accumulated deferred income taxes	118,061	-
Interest accrued	139,162	187,968
Deferred fuel costs	-	54,947
Obligations under capital leases	151,721	152,615
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	35,765	34,795
System agreement cost equalization	215,909	268,000
Fair value of derivative instruments	363,957	60,025
Other	167,654	154,139
TOTAL	3,452,230	3,256,754
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued	6,306,393	6,379,679
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	334,552	343,539
Obligations under capital leases	287,641	220,438
Other regulatory liabilities	576,601	490,323
Decommissioning and asset retirement cost liabilities	2,575,683	2,489,061
Accumulated provisions	144,875	133,406
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	1,299,857	1,361,326
Long-term debt	10,755,654	9,728,135
Fair value of derivative instruments	370,374	26,964
Other	955,657	1,039,544
TOTAL	23,607,287	22,212,415
Commitments and Contingencies		
Preferred stock without sinking fund	311,019	311,162

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 500,000,000 shares; issued 248,174,087 shares in 2008 and in 2007	2,482	2,482
Paid-in capital	4,860,481	4,850,769
Retained earnings	7,027,630	6,735,965
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(510,958)	8,320
Less - treasury stock, at cost (57,633,453 shares in 2008 and 55,053,847 shares in 2007)	4,056,830	3,734,865
TOTAL	7,322,805	7,862,671
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$34,693,341	\$33,643,002

See Notes to Financial Statements.

25

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS, COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, AND
PAID-IN CAPITAL
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

2008 **2007**
(In Thousands)

RETAINED EARNINGS

Retained Earnings - Beginning of period	\$6,900,345	\$6,211,617
Add: Consolidated net income	270,954	267,602
Deduct:		
Dividends declared on common stock	143,669	106,532
Retained Earnings - End of period	\$7,027,630	\$6,372,687

ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Balance at beginning of period:		
Accumulated derivative instrument fair value changes	(\$191,306)	(\$64,111)
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(111,281)	(105,431)
Net unrealized investment gains	89,061	108,547
Foreign currency translation	6,377	6,435
Total	(207,149)	(54,560)

Net derivative instrument fair value changes arising during the period (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$160,474) and \$1,851)	(285,280)	(285,280)	4,549	4,549
Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$348 and \$1,092)	2,247	2,247	(339)	(339)
Net unrealized investment gains (losses) (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$7,901) and \$4,317)	(21,223)	(21,223)	8,350	8,350
Foreign currency translation (net of tax expense of \$241 and \$124)	447	447	231	231
Balance at end of period:				
Accumulated derivative instrument fair value changes	(476,586)		(59,562)	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(109,034)		(105,770)	
Net unrealized investment gains	67,838		116,897	
Foreign currency translation	6,824		6,666	
Total	(\$510,958)		(\$41,769)	
Comprehensive Income (Loss)		(\$32,855)		\$280,393

PAID-IN CAPITAL

Paid-in Capital - Beginning of period	\$4,853,837	\$4,831,803
Add:		
Common stock issuances related to stock plans	6,644	9,256
Paid-in Capital - End of period	\$4,860,481	\$4,841,059

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS, COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, AND PAID-IN CAPITAL For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)

2008 2007
(In Thousands)

RETAINED EARNINGS

Retained Earnings - Beginning of period	\$6,735,965		\$6,113,042	
Add:				
Consolidated net income	579,703	\$579,703	479,797	\$479,797
Adjustment related to FIN 48 implementation	-		(4,600)	
Total	579,703		475,197	
Deduct:				
Dividends declared on common stock	288,038		215,552	
Retained Earnings - End of period	\$7,027,630		\$6,372,687	

**ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE
LOSS**

Balance at beginning of period:				
Accumulated derivative instrument fair value changes	(\$12,540)		(\$105,578)	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(107,145)		(105,909)	
Net unrealized investment gains	121,611		104,551	
Foreign currency translation	6,394		6,424	
Total	8,320		(100,512)	
Net derivative instrument fair value changes arising during the period (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$259,574) and \$30,176)	(464,046)	(464,046)	46,016	46,016
Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$4,325 and \$1,366)	(1,889)	(1,889)	139	139
Net unrealized investment gains (losses) (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$34,531) and \$7,107)	(53,773)	(53,773)	12,346	12,346
Foreign currency translation (net of tax expense of \$232 and \$130)	430	430	242	242
Balance at end of period:				
Accumulated derivative instrument fair value changes	(476,586)		(59,562)	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(109,034)		(105,770)	
Net unrealized investment gains	67,838		116,897	
Foreign currency translation	6,824		6,666	
Total	(\$510,958)		(\$41,769)	
Comprehensive Income		\$60,425		\$538,540

PAID-IN CAPITAL

Paid-in Capital - Beginning of period	\$4,850,769	\$4,827,265
Add (Deduct):		
Common stock issuances related to stock plans	9,712	13,794
Paid-in Capital - End of period	\$4,860,481	\$4,841,059

See Notes to Financial Statements.

27

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

Description	Three Months Ended 2008	2007	Increase/ (Decrease)	%
	(Dollars in Millions)			
Utility Electric Operating Revenues:				
Residential	\$808	\$691	\$117	17
Commercial	661	576	85	15
Industrial	739	640	99	15
Governmental	59	54	5	9
Total retail	2,267	1,961	306	16
Sales for resale	108	98	10	10
Other	149	136	13	10
Total	\$2,524	\$2,195	\$329	15
Utility Billed Electric Energy				
Sales (GWh):				
Residential	7,372	6,985	387	6
Commercial	6,688	6,481	207	3
Industrial	9,730	9,814	(84)	(1)
Governmental	586	562	24	4
Total retail	24,376	23,842	534	2
Sales for resale	1,440	1,428	12	1
Total	25,816	25,270	546	2
Non-Utility Nuclear:				
Operating Revenues	\$610	\$472	\$138	29
Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh)	10,145	8,896	1,249	14

Description	Six Months Ended 2008	2007	Increase/ (Decrease)	%
-------------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------	---

(Dollars in Millions)

Utility Electric Operating Revenues:

Residential	\$1,539	\$1,435	\$104	7
Commercial	1,209	1,132	77	7
Industrial	1,345	1,273	72	6
Governmental	113	105	8	8
Total retail	4,206	3,945	261	7
Sales for resale	196	189	7	4
Other	168	172	(4)	(2)
Total	\$4,570	\$4,306	\$264	6

Utility Billed Electric Energy

Sales (GWh):

Residential	15,384	14,777	607	4
Commercial	12,926	12,597	329	3
Industrial	19,107	19,137	(30)	-
Governmental	1,155	1,111	44	4
Total retail	48,572	47,622	950	2
Sales for resale	2,729	3,066	(337)	(11)
Total	51,301	50,688	613	1

Non-Utility Nuclear:

Operating Revenues	\$1,290	\$930	\$360	39
Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh)	20,905	17,211	3,694	21

28

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries are involved in a number of legal, regulatory, and tax proceedings before various courts, regulatory commissions, and governmental agencies in the ordinary course of business. While management is unable to predict the outcome of such proceedings, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on Entergy's results of operations, cash flows, or financial condition. Entergy discusses regulatory proceedings in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K, the Entergy Texas Form 10, and herein and discusses tax proceedings in Note 3 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and the Entergy Texas Form 10, and in Note 10 to the financial statements herein.

Nuclear Insurance

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information on nuclear liability and property insurance associated with Entergy's nuclear power plants.

Conventional Property Insurance

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and Note 6 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for information regarding Entergy's non-nuclear property insurance program. In April 2008, Entergy received from its primary insurer \$53.6 million of additional insurance proceeds on its Hurricane Katrina claim, and almost all of the April 2008 proceeds were allocated to Entergy New Orleans.

Entergy has settled its lawsuit against one of its excess insurers on the Hurricane Katrina claim, and in July 2008 received \$71.5 million in proceeds on the claim. The July 2008 proceeds were allocated as follows: \$2.0 million to Entergy Arkansas, \$3.7 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$12.4 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$1.8 million to Entergy Mississippi, and \$48.4 million to Entergy New Orleans, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

NYPA Value Sharing Agreements

Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business' purchase of the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 plants from NYPA included value sharing agreements with NYPA. In October 2007, NYPA and the subsidiaries that own the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 plants amended and restated the value sharing agreements to clarify and amend certain provisions of the original terms. Under the amended value sharing agreements, Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business agreed to make annual payments to NYPA based on the generation output of the Indian Point 3 and FitzPatrick plants from January 2007 through December 2014. Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business will pay NYPA \$6.59 per MWh for power sold from Indian Point 3, up to an annual cap of \$48 million, and \$3.91 per MWh for power sold from FitzPatrick, up to an annual cap of \$24 million. The annual payment for each year is due by January 15 of the following year. If Entergy or an Entergy affiliate ceases to own the plants, then, after January 2009, the annual payment obligation terminates for generation after the date that Entergy ownership ceases. We believe that the contractual obligation to make value sharing payments to NYPA, other than for 2008 generation output, will terminate if the Non-Utility Nuclear spin-off transaction is completed. On June 3, 2008, NYPA informed Entergy in writing that it disagrees with Entergy's interpretation of the termination provisions of the agreement. In addition, in regulatory proceedings in New York, the Administrative Law Judges have indicated that the potential financial effect of the termination of the value sharing payments on NYPA and New York electric consumers are factors the Administrative Law Judges believe should be considered by the New York Public Service Commission in making its public interest determination.

J9

Employment Litigation

The Registrant Subsidiaries and other Entergy subsidiaries are responding to various lawsuits in both state and federal courts and to other labor-related proceedings filed by current and former employees and third parties not selected for open positions. These actions include, but are not limited to, allegations of wrongful employment actions; wage disputes and other claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act or its state counterparts; claims of race, gender and disability discrimination; disputes arising under collective bargaining agreements; unfair labor practice proceedings and other administrative proceedings before the National Labor Relations Board; claims of retaliation; and claims for or regarding benefits under various Entergy Corporation sponsored plans. Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries are responding to these suits and proceedings and deny liability to the claimants.

Asbestos and Hazardous Material Litigation

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and Entergy Texas)

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding asbestos and hazardous material litigation involving Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, and Entergy New Orleans and see Note 6 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for information regarding asbestos and hazardous material litigation involving Entergy Texas.

NOTE 2. RATE AND REGULATORY MATTERS

Regulatory Assets

Other Regulatory Assets

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for information regarding regulatory assets in the Utility business reflected on the balance sheets of Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries.

Fuel and purchased power cost recovery

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding fuel proceedings involving the Utility operating companies. Following are updates to that information.

Entergy Arkansas

Production Cost Allocation Rider

In its June 2007 decision on Entergy Arkansas' August 2006 rate filing, the APSC approved a production cost allocation rider for recovery from customers of the retail portion of the costs allocated to Entergy Arkansas as a result of the System Agreement proceedings, but set a termination date of December 31, 2008 for the rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued a subsequent order stating the production cost allocation rider will remain in effect, and any future termination of the rider will be subject to eighteen months advance notice by the APSC, which would occur following notice and hearing. On March 18, 2008, the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order. The Arkansas attorney general's and the AEEC's appeal briefs are due September 5, 2008, and the appellees' briefs, including Entergy Arkansas', are due October 5, 2008.

In June 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC its annual redetermination of the production cost allocation rider. The redetermination resulted in a slight increase in the rates beginning with the first billing cycle of July 2008.

See Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries' "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Significant Factors and Known Trends - Federal Regulation - System Agreement Proceedings**" in the Form 10-K and herein for a discussion of the System Agreement proceedings.

K0

Energy Cost Recovery Rider

Entergy Arkansas' retail rates include an energy cost recovery rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued an order stating that Entergy Arkansas' energy cost recovery rider will remain in effect, and any future termination of the rider will be subject to eighteen months advance notice by the APSC, which would occur following notice and hearing. On

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

March 18, 2008, the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order. The Arkansas attorney general's and the AEEC's appeal briefs are due September 5, 2008, and the appellees' briefs, including Entergy Arkansas', are due October 5, 2008.

In March 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC its annual energy cost rate for the period April 2008 through March 2009. The filed energy cost rate increased from \$0.01179/kWh to \$0.01869/kWh. The increase was caused by the following: 1) all three of the nuclear power plants from which Entergy Arkansas obtains power, ANO 1 and 2 and Grand Gulf, will have refueling outages in 2008, and the energy cost rate is adjusted to account for the replacement power costs that will be incurred while these units are down; 2) Entergy Arkansas has a deferred fuel cost balance from under-recovered fuel costs at December 31, 2007; and 3) fuel and purchased power prices have increased.

Entergy Mississippi

In May 2008, Entergy Mississippi filed its quarterly fuel adjustment factor for the third quarter 2008, effective beginning with July 2008 bills. The third quarter 2008 factor is \$0.038861/kWh, which is an increase from the \$0.010878/kWh factor for the second quarter 2008. The increase is due to a significant increase in fuel prices, and Entergy Mississippi has gone from an over-recovery to an under-recovery position during 2008. In July 2008, the MPSC began a proceeding to investigate the fuel procurement practices and fuel adjustment schedules of the Mississippi utility companies, including Entergy Mississippi. A two-day public hearing was held in July 2008, and after a recess as the MPSC reviewed information, the hearing resumed on August 5, 2008 for additional testimony by an expert witness retained by the MPSC. The expert witness presented testimony regarding a review of the utilities' fuel adjustment clauses. The MPSC stated that the goal of the proceeding is fact-finding so that the MPSC may decide whether to amend the current fuel cost recovery process.

Entergy Texas

In January 2008, Entergy Texas made a compliance filing with the PUCT describing how its 2007 Rough Production Cost Equalization receipts under the System Agreement were allocated between Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s Texas and Louisiana jurisdictions. Several parties have intervened in the proceeding. A hearing was held at the end of July 2008, and a decision is pending.

In October 2007, Entergy Texas filed a request with the PUCT to refund \$45.6 million, including interest, of fuel cost recovery over-collections through September 2007. In January 2008, Entergy Texas filed with the PUCT a stipulation and settlement agreement among the parties that updated the over-collection balance through November 2007 and establishes a refund amount, including interest, of \$71 million. The PUCT approved the agreement in February 2008. The refund was made over a two-month period beginning February 2008, but was reduced by \$10.3 million of under-recovered incremental purchased capacity costs. Amounts refunded through the interim fuel refund are subject to final reconciliation in a future fuel reconciliation proceeding.

Storm Cost Recovery Filings

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding storm cost recovery filings involving the Utility operating companies. The following is an update to the Form 10-K.

K1

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana - Act 55 Storm Cost Financings

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation (LURC), an instrumentality of the State of Louisiana, filed at the LPSC an application requesting that the LPSC grant financing orders authorizing the financing of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana storm costs, storm reserves, and issuance costs pursuant to Act 55 of the Louisiana Legislature (Act 55 financings). The Act 55 financings are expected to produce additional customer benefits as compared to Act 64 traditional securitization. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana also filed an application requesting LPSC approval for ancillary issues including the mechanism to flow charges and savings to customers via a Storm Cost Offset rider. On April 3, 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted preliminary approval for the Act 55 financings. On April 8, 2008, the Louisiana Public Facilities Authority (LPFA), which is the issuer of the bonds pursuant to the Act 55 financings, approved requests for the Act 55 financings. On April 10, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC Staff filed with the LPSC an uncontested stipulated settlement that includes Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana's proposals under the Act 55 financings, which includes a commitment to pass on to customers a minimum of \$10 million and \$30 million of customer benefits, respectively. On April 16, 2008, the LPSC approved the settlement and issued two financing orders and one ratemaking order intended to facilitate implementation of the Act 55 financings. On May 6, 2008, the State Bond Commission voted to approve the Act 55 financings.

On July 29, 2008, the LPFA issued \$679 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Louisiana invested \$527 million in affiliate securities. The LURC deposited \$152 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Louisiana. As approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, Entergy Louisiana withdrew \$17.8 million from the restricted escrow account and also invested this amount in affiliate securities.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana expects that in September 2008 the LPFA will issue \$273 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the bond proceeds expected to be received by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana is expected to invest \$186 million in affiliate securities. In addition, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana expects the LURC to deposit \$87 million to a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. As approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, it is expected that Entergy Gulf States Louisiana will withdraw \$1.7 million from the restricted escrow account and will also invest this amount in affiliate securities.

Retail Rate Proceedings

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding retail rate proceedings involving the Utility operating companies. The following are updates to the Form 10-K.

Filings with the APSC (Entergy Arkansas)

Ouachita Acquisition

Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC in September 2007 for its approval of the Ouachita plant acquisition, including full cost recovery. The APSC Staff and the Arkansas attorney general supported Entergy Arkansas' acquisition of the plant, but oppose the sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The industrial group AEEC opposes Entergy Arkansas' purchase of the plant. The Arkansas attorney general opposes recovery of the non-fuel costs of the plant through a separate rider, while the APSC Staff recommended revisions to the rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued an order approving recovery through a rider of the capacity costs associated with the interim tolling agreement, which will be in effect until APSC action on the acquisition of the plant. A hearing before the APSC was held in April 2008 to address Entergy Arkansas' request for acquisition of the plant and concurrent cost recovery. In June 2008 the APSC approved Entergy Arkansas' acquisition of the Ouachita plant and approved recovery of the acquisition and ownership costs through a rate rider. The APSC also approved the planned sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The Arkansas attorney general,

the AEEC, and Entergy Arkansas have

K2

requested rehearing of the APSC order. Entergy Arkansas' request for rehearing concerns the 7.61% before-tax return on rate base approved by the APSC, which reflects significant sources of zero-cost capital already reflected in base rates. Entergy Arkansas had requested a 10.87% before-tax return on rate base reflecting the cost of the debt and equity capital resources available to finance the Ouachita plant acquisition.

On March 18, 2008 the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order that approved recovery through a rider of the capacity costs associated with the interim tolling agreement. The Arkansas attorney general's and the AEEC's appeal briefs are due September 20, 2008, and the appellees' briefs, including Entergy Arkansas', are due October 20, 2008.

Storm Cost Recovery Proposal

In June 2008, together with other Arkansas utilities, Entergy Arkansas filed a joint application for approval of storm cost recovery accounting and a storm damage rider. To enable recovery of 2008 storm cost expenditures through the rider and storm reserve accounting, the applicants requested that the APSC establish a procedural schedule that would allow resolution of this proceeding no later than December 15, 2008.

Filings with the LPSC

Retail Rates - Electric

(Entergy Louisiana)

In May 2008, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2007 test year, seeking an \$18.4 million rate increase, comprised of \$12.6 million of recovery of incremental and deferred capacity costs and \$5.8 million related to lost contribution to fixed costs associated with the loss of customers due to Hurricane Katrina. The filing includes two alternative versions of the calculated revenue requirement, one that reflects Entergy Louisiana's full request for recovery of the loss of fixed cost contribution and the other that reflects the anticipated rate implementation in September 2008, subject to refund, of only a portion of the full request, with the remainder deferred, until the lost fixed cost contribution issue is resolved. Under the first alternative, Entergy Louisiana's earned return on common equity was 9.44%, whereas under the other alternative, its earned return on common equity was 9.04%. The LPSC staff and intervenors issued their reports on Entergy Louisiana's filing on July 31, 2008 and, with minor exceptions, primarily raised proposed disallowance issues that were previously raised with regard to Entergy Louisiana's May 2007 filing and remain at issue in that proceeding. Entergy Louisiana disagrees with the majority of the proposed adjustments.

In May 2007, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2006 test year, indicating a 7.6% earned return on common equity. That filing included Entergy Louisiana's request to recover \$39.8 million in unrecovered fixed costs associated with the loss of customers that resulted from Hurricane Katrina, a request that was recently reduced to \$31.7 million. In September 2007, Entergy Louisiana modified its formula rate plan filing to reflect its implementation of certain adjustments proposed by the LPSC Staff in its review of Entergy Louisiana's original filing with which Entergy Louisiana agreed, and to reflect its implementation of an \$18.4 million annual formula rate plan increase comprised of (1) a \$23.8 million increase representing 60% of Entergy Louisiana revenue deficiency, and (2) a \$5.4 million decrease for reduced incremental and deferred capacity costs. The LPSC authorized Entergy Louisiana to defer for accounting purposes the difference between its \$39.8 million claim, now at \$31.7

million, for unrecovered fixed cost and 60% of the revenue deficiency to preserve Entergy Louisiana's right to pursue that claim in full during the formula rate plan proceeding. In October 2007, Entergy Louisiana implemented a \$7.1 million formula rate plan decrease that was due primarily to the reclassification of certain franchise fees from base rates to collection via a line item on customer bills pursuant to an LPSC Order. The LPSC staff and intervenors have recommended disallowance of certain costs included in Entergy Louisiana's filing. Entergy Louisiana disagrees with the majority of the proposed disallowances and a hearing on the disputed issues is set to begin in late September 2008.

K3

In May 2006, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2005 test year. Entergy Louisiana modified the filing in August 2006 to reflect a 9.45% return on equity which is within the allowed bandwidth. The modified filing includes an increase of \$24.2 million for interim recovery of storm costs from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and a \$119.2 million rate increase to recover LPSC-approved incremental deferred and ongoing capacity costs. The filing requested recovery of approximately \$50 million for the amortization of capacity deferrals over a three-year period, including carrying charges, and approximately \$70 million for ongoing capacity costs. The increase was implemented, subject to refund, with the first billing cycle of September 2006. Entergy Louisiana subsequently updated its formula rate plan rider to reflect adjustments proposed by the LPSC Staff with which it agrees. The adjusted return on equity of 9.56% remains within the allowed bandwidth. Ongoing and deferred incremental capacity costs were reduced to \$118.7 million. The updated formula rate plan rider was implemented, subject to refund, with the first billing cycle of October 2006. An uncontested stipulated settlement was filed in February 2008 that will leave the current base rates in place, and the LPSC approved the settlement in March 2008. In the settlement Entergy Louisiana agreed to credit customers \$7.2 million, plus \$0.7 million of interest, for customer contributions to the Central States Compact in Nebraska that was never completed and agreed to a one-time \$2.6 million deduction from the deferred capacity cost balance. The credit, for which Entergy Louisiana had previously recorded a provision, was made in May 2008.

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In May 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2007 test year. The filing reflected a 9.26% return on common equity, which is below the allowed earnings bandwidth, and indicated a \$5.4 million revenue deficiency, offset by a \$4.1 million decrease in required additional capacity costs. Consideration of the filing is pending, and under the formula rate plan Entergy Gulf States Louisiana would implement new rates in September 2008.

In May 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2006 test year. The filing reflected a 10.0% return on common equity, which is within the allowed earnings bandwidth, and an anticipated formula rate plan decrease of \$23 million annually attributable to adjustments outside of the formula rate plan sharing mechanism related to capacity costs and the anticipated securitization of storm costs related to Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and the securitization of a storm reserve. In September 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana modified the formula rate plan filing to reflect a 10.07% return on common equity, which is still within the allowed bandwidth. The modified filing also reflected implementation of a \$4.1 million rate increase, subject to refund, attributable to recovery of additional LPSC-approved incremental deferred and ongoing capacity costs. The rate decrease anticipated in the original filing did not occur because of the additional capacity costs approved by the LPSC, and because securitization of storm costs associated with Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and the establishment of a storm reserve have not yet occurred. In October 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$16.4 million formula rate plan decrease that is due to the reclassification of certain franchise fees from base rates to collection via a line item on customer bills pursuant to an LPSC order. The LPSC staff issued its final report in

December 2007, indicating a \$1.6 million decrease in formula rate plan revenues for which interim rates were already in effect. In addition, the LPSC staff recommended that the LPSC give a one-year extension of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's formula rate plan to synchronize with the final year of Entergy Louisiana's formula rate plan, or alternatively, to extend the formula rate plan for a longer period. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana indicated it is amenable to a one-year extension. An uncontested stipulated settlement was filed in February 2008 that will leave the current base rates in place and extend the formula rate plan for one year, and the LPSC approved the settlement in March 2008.

Retail Rates - Gas

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In January 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana filed with the LPSC its gas rate stabilization plan for the test year ending September 30, 2007. The filing showed a revenue deficiency of \$3.7 million based on a return on common equity mid-point of 10.5%. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$3.4 million rate increase in April 2008 pursuant to an uncontested agreement with the LPSC staff.

34

Filings with the PUCT and Texas Cities

Entergy Texas made a rate filing in September 2007 with the PUCT requesting an annual rate increase totaling \$107.5 million, including a base rate increase of \$64.3 million and riders totaling \$43.2 million. The base rate increase includes a \$12.2 million annual increase for the storm damage reserve. Entergy Texas requested an 11% return on common equity. In December 2007 the PUCT issued an order setting September 26, 2008 as the effective date for the rate change from the rate filing. In May 2008, Entergy Texas and certain parties in the rate case filed a non-unanimous settlement that provides for a \$42.5 million base rate increase beginning in October 2008 and an additional \$17 million base rate increase beginning in October 2009. The non-unanimous settlement also provides that \$25 million of System Agreement rough production cost equalization payments will offset the effect on customers of the rate increase. The non-unanimous settlement further provides that an additional \$17 million on an annual basis of System Agreement rough production cost equalization payments will be retained by Entergy Texas from January 2009 through September 2009. The non-unanimous settlement also resolves the fuel reconciliation portion of the proceeding with a \$4.5 million disallowance. The PUCT staff, the Texas Industrial Energy Consumers (TIEC), and the state of Texas did not join in the settlement and filed a separate agreement among them that provides for a rate decrease, later revised to a slight increase, and a \$4.7 million fuel cost disallowance. In May 2008 the ALJs issued an order stating that the proceeding will continue with Entergy Texas having the burden of proof to show that the non-unanimous settlement results in reasonable rates. The hearing on the merits of the non-unanimous settlement was held from June 23 through July 2, 2008, and post-hearing briefing by the parties is ongoing.

Filings with the MPSC

In March 2008, Entergy Mississippi made its annual scheduled formula rate plan filing for the 2007 test year with the MPSC. The filing showed that a \$10.1 million increase in annual electric revenues is warranted. In June 2008, Entergy Mississippi reached a settlement with the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff that results in a \$3.8 million rate increase. An MPSC decision on the settlement is pending.

Filings with the New Orleans City Council

Retail Rates

In January 2008, Entergy New Orleans voluntarily implemented a 6.15% base rate credit (the recovery credit) for electric customers, which Entergy New Orleans estimates will return approximately \$10.6 million to electric customers in 2008. Entergy New Orleans was able to implement this credit because during 2007 the recovery of New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina was occurring faster than expected in 2006 projections. In addition, Entergy New Orleans committed to set aside \$2.5 million for an energy efficiency program focused on community education and outreach and weatherization of homes.

On July 31, 2008, Entergy New Orleans filed an electric and gas base rate case with the City Council. The filing requests an 11.75% return on common equity. The filing calls for a \$23.0 million decrease in electric base rates, which includes keeping the recovery credit in effect, as well as realigning approximately \$12.3 million of capacity costs from recovery through the fuel adjustment clause to electric base rates. The filing also calls for a \$9.1 million increase in gas base rates to fund on-going operations. This request is unrelated to the on-going rebuild of Entergy New Orleans' natural gas system. The procedural schedule calls for a hearing on the filing to commence on January 5, 2009, with certification of the evidentiary record by a hearing officer on or before February 28, 2009.

Fuel Adjustment Clause Litigation

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the complaint filed in April 1999 by a group of ratepayers against Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Corporation, Entergy Services, and Entergy Power in state court in Orleans Parish purportedly on behalf of all Entergy New Orleans ratepayers and a corresponding complaint filed with the City Council. In February 2004, the City Council approved a resolution that resulted in a refund to customers of \$11.3 million, including interest, during the months of June through September 2004. In May 2005 the Civil District Court

for the Parish of Orleans affirmed the City Council resolution, finding no support for the plaintiffs' claim that the refund amount should be higher. In June 2005, the plaintiffs appealed the Civil District Court decision to the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal. On February 25, 2008, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal issued a decision affirming in part, and reversing in part, the Civil District Court's decision. Although the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal did not reverse any of the substantive findings and conclusions of the City Council or the Civil District Court, the Fourth Circuit found that the amount of the refund was arbitrary and capricious and increased the amount of the refund to \$34.3 million. Entergy New Orleans believes that the increase in the refund ordered by the Fourth Circuit is not justified. Entergy New Orleans, the City Council, and the plaintiffs requested rehearing, and in April 2008, the Fourth Circuit granted the plaintiffs' request for rehearing. In addition to changing the basis for the court's decision in the manner requested by the plaintiffs, the court also granted the plaintiffs' request that it provide for interest on the refund amount. The court denied the motions for rehearing filed by the City Council and Entergy New Orleans. In May 2008, Entergy New Orleans and the City Council filed with the Louisiana Supreme Court applications for a writ of certiorari seeking, among other things, reversal of the Fourth Circuit decision.

System Energy Rate Proceeding

In March 2008, the LPSC filed a complaint at the FERC under Federal Power Act section 206 against System Energy and Entergy Services. The complaint requests that the FERC set System Energy's rate of return on common equity at no more than 9.75%. The LPSC's complaint further requests that System Energy base its decommissioning and depreciation expenses on a 60-year useful life for Grand Gulf as opposed to the 40-year life specified in the existing NRC operating license. The APSC, the City of New Orleans, the MPSC, and other parties have intervened in the proceeding. System Energy filed its answer to the complaint in April 2008, in which it denies the allegations of the LPSC and requests that the FERC dismiss the complaint without a hearing. On July 1, 2008, the FERC issued an order

denying the relief requested by the LPSC.

Electric Industry Restructuring in Texas

Refer to Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and Entergy Texas Form 10 for a discussion of electric industry restructuring activity that involves Entergy Texas.

NOTE 3. COMMON EQUITY

Common Stock

Earnings per Share

The following tables present Entergy's basic and diluted earnings per share calculations included on the consolidated income statement:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2008		2007	
	(In Millions, Except Per Share Data)			
		\$/share		\$/share
Consolidated net income	\$271.9		\$267.6	
Average number of common shares outstanding - basic	191.3	\$1.42	197.0	\$1.36
Average dilutive effect of:				
Stock Options	5.0	(0.036)	5.1	(0.034)
Equity Units	1.6	(0.011)	1.2	(0.008)
Deferred Units	-	(0.000)	0.1	(0.001)
Average number of common shares outstanding - diluted	197.9	\$1.37	203.4	\$1.32

36

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	
	(In Millions, Except Per Share Data)		
		\$/share	\$/share
Consolidated net income	\$580.6		\$479.8

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Average number of common shares outstanding - basic	192.0	\$3.02	198.8	\$2.41
Average dilutive effect of:				
Stock Options	4.8	(0.073)	5.0	(0.059)
Equity Units	1.3	(0.021)	0.9	(0.011)
Deferred Units	-	(0.001)	0.1	(0.001)
Average number of common shares outstanding - diluted	198.1	\$2.93	204.8	\$2.34

Entergy's stock option and other equity compensation plans are discussed in Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements in the Form 10-K.

Treasury Stock

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, Entergy Corporation issued 687,693 shares of its previously repurchased common stock to satisfy stock option exercises and other stock-based awards. Also, during the six months ended June 30, 2008, Entergy Corporation purchased 3,267,299 shares of common stock for a total purchase price of \$369.6 million.

Retained Earnings

On July 28, 2008, Entergy Corporation's Board of Directors declared a common stock dividend of \$0.75 per share, payable on September 2, 2008 to holders of record as of August 8, 2008.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Based on market prices as of June 30, 2008, cash flow hedges with net unrealized losses of approximately \$233.2 million net-of-tax at June 30, 2008 are expected to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to operating revenues during the next twelve months. The actual amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, however, could vary due to future changes in market prices. See Note 1 (Derivative Financial Instruments and Commodity Derivatives) and Note 16 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for additional discussion of the accounting treatment of cash flow hedges.

NOTE 4. LINES OF CREDIT, RELATED SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS, AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Entergy Corporation has in place a credit facility that expires in August 2012 and has a borrowing capacity of \$3.5 billion. Entergy Corporation also has the ability to issue letters of credit against the total borrowing capacity of the credit facility. The facility fee is currently 0.09% of the commitment amount. Facility fees and interest rates on loans under the credit facility can fluctuate depending on the senior unsecured debt ratings of Entergy Corporation. The weighted average interest rate as of June 30, 2008 was 3.002% on the drawn portion of the facility. Following is a summary of the borrowings outstanding and capacity available under the facility as of June 30, 2008.

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Capacity	Borrowings	Letters of Credit	Capacity Available
(In Millions)			
\$3,500	\$2,772	\$72	\$656

Entergy Corporation's facility requires it to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. If Entergy fails to meet this ratio, or if Entergy or one of the Utility operating companies (except Entergy New Orleans) defaults on other indebtedness or is in bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, an acceleration of the facility maturity date may occur.

Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, and Entergy Texas each had credit facilities available as of June 30, 2008 as follows:

Company	Expiration Date	Amount of Facility	Interest Rate (a)	Amount Drawn as of June 30, 2008
Entergy Arkansas	April 2009	\$100 million (b)	4.50%	\$100 million
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	August 2012	\$100 million (c)	5.00%	\$30 million
Entergy Louisiana	August 2012	\$200 million (d)	2.91%	\$200 million
Entergy Mississippi	May 2009	\$30 million (e)	3.926%	\$30 million
Entergy Mississippi	May 2009	\$20 million (e)	3.926%	\$20 million
Entergy Texas	August 2012	\$100 million (f)	2.9075%	-

- (a) The interest rate is the weighted average interest rate as of June 30, 2008 applied or that would be applied to the outstanding borrowings under the facility.
- (b) The credit facility requires Entergy Arkansas to maintain a debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization.
- (c) The credit facility allows Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to issue letters of credit against the borrowing capacity of the facility. As of June 30, 2008, no letters of credit were outstanding. The credit facility requires Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. Pursuant to the terms of the credit agreement, the amount of debt assumed by Entergy Texas (\$930 million as of June 30, 2008 and \$1.079 billion as of December 31, 2007) is excluded from debt and capitalization in calculating the debt ratio.
- (d) The credit facility allows Entergy Louisiana to issue letters of credit against the borrowing capacity of the facility. As of June 30, 2008, no letters of credit were outstanding. The credit facility requires Entergy Louisiana to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization.
- (e) Borrowings under the Entergy Mississippi credit facilities may be secured by a security interest in its accounts receivable.
- (f) The credit facility allows Entergy Texas to issue letters of credit against the borrowing capacity of the facility. As of June 30, 2008, no letters of credit were outstanding. The credit facility requires Entergy Texas to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. Pursuant to the terms of the credit agreement, the transition bonds issued by Entergy Gulf States Reconstruction Funding I, LLC, a subsidiary of Entergy Texas, are excluded from debt and capitalization in calculating the debt ratio.

The facility fees on the credit facilities range from 0.09% to 0.15% of the commitment amount.

The short-term borrowings of the Registrant Subsidiaries and certain other Entergy subsidiaries are limited to amounts authorized by the FERC. The current FERC-authorized limits are effective through March 31, 2010 (except Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, which are effective through November 8, 2009). In addition to borrowings

from commercial banks, these companies are authorized under a FERC order to borrow from the Entergy System money pool. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' dependence on external short-term borrowings. Borrowings from the money pool and external borrowings combined may not exceed the FERC authorized limits. As of June 30, 2008, Entergy's subsidiaries' aggregate money pool and external short-term borrowings authorized limit was \$2.1

38

billion, the aggregate outstanding borrowing from the money pool was \$403 million, and Entergy's subsidiaries' had \$380 million in outstanding short-term borrowing from external sources.

The following are the FERC-authorized limits for short-term borrowings and the outstanding short-term borrowings for the Registrant Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008:

	Authorized	Borrowings
	(In Millions)	
Entergy Arkansas	\$250	\$125.5
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	\$200	-
Entergy Louisiana	\$250	\$52.4
Entergy Mississippi	\$175	\$50.0
Entergy New Orleans	\$100	-
Entergy Texas	\$200	-
System Energy	\$200	-

Debt Issuances and Redemptions

(Entergy Arkansas)

In July 2008, Entergy Arkansas issued \$300 million of 5.4% Series First Mortgage Bonds due August 2013. Entergy Arkansas intends to use the proceeds to fund the purchase of, and improvements relating to, the Ouachita power plant and for general corporate purposes. Pending the application of the net proceeds, Entergy Arkansas intends to use the proceeds for working capital purposes, including repayment of short-term debt, and it may invest them in temporary cash investments or the Entergy System money pool.

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In May 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana issued \$375 million of 6.00% Series First Mortgage Bonds due May 2018. The proceeds were used to pay at maturity the portion of the \$325 million of the 3.6% Series First Mortgage Bonds due June 2008 that had not been assumed by Entergy Texas and to redeem, prior to maturity, \$189.7 million of the \$350 million Floating Rate series of First Mortgage Bonds due December 2008, and for other general corporate purposes.

The portion of the \$325 million of 3.6% Series First Mortgage Bonds due June 2008 that had been assumed by Entergy Texas was paid at maturity by Entergy Texas in June 2008, and that bond series is no longer outstanding. The

remainder of the \$350 million Floating Rate series of First Mortgage Bonds due December 2008 had been assumed by Entergy Texas, and management expects Entergy Texas to redeem those bonds by their maturity date.

(Entergy Louisiana)

In April 2008, Entergy Louisiana repurchased, prior to maturity, \$60 million of Auction Rate governmental bonds, which are being held for possible remarketing at a later date.

(Entergy Mississippi)

In April 2008, Entergy Mississippi repurchased its \$30 million series of Independence County Pollution Control Revenue Bonds due July 2022. At the time of repurchase, the bonds were converted from an Auction Rate mode to a Daily Mode. In June 2008, Entergy Mississippi remarketed the series and converted the bonds to a Multi-Annual Mode and fixed the rate to maturity at 4.90%. Entergy Mississippi used the proceeds from the remarketing to repay short-term borrowings that were drawn on its credit facilities to repurchase the bonds in April 2008.

39

(Entergy New Orleans)

On August 1, 2008, Entergy New Orleans paid, at maturity, its \$30 million of 3.875% Series first mortgage bonds.

Tax Exempt Bond Audit

The IRS completed an audit of certain Tax Exempt Bonds (Bonds) issued by St. Charles Parish, State of Louisiana (the Issuer). The Bonds were issued to finance previously unfinanced acquisition costs expended by Entergy Louisiana to acquire certain radioactive solid waste disposal facilities (the Facilities) at the Waterford Steam Electric Generating Station. In March and April 2005, the IRS issued proposed adverse determinations that the Issuer's 7.0% Series bonds due 2022, 7.5% Series bonds due 2021, and 7.05% Series bonds due 2022 were not tax exempt. The stated basis for these determinations was that radioactive waste did not constitute "solid waste" within the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and therefore the Facilities did not qualify as solid waste disposal facilities. The three series of Bonds are the only series of bonds issued by the Issuer for the benefit of Entergy Louisiana that were the subject of audits by the IRS. Because the Issuer, Entergy Louisiana, and IRS Office of Appeals desired to settle the issue that was raised, Entergy Louisiana made a \$1.25 million payment to the IRS. The terms of the settlement have no effect on the Issuer or the bondholders.

NOTE 5. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Entergy grants stock options, which are described more fully in Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements in the Form 10-K. Entergy adopted SFAS 123R, "Share-Based Payment" on January 1, 2006. The adoption of the standard did not materially affect Entergy's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows because Entergy adopted the fair value based method of accounting for stock options prescribed by SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" on January 1, 2003. Prior to 2003, Entergy applied the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations in accounting for those plans. Awards under Entergy's plans generally vest over three years.

The following table includes financial information for stock options for the second quarter and six months ended June 30 for each of the years presented:

	2008	2007
	(In Millions)	
Compensation expense included in Entergy's Net Income for the second quarter	\$4.7	\$3.9
Tax benefit recognized in Entergy's Net Income for the second quarter	\$1.8	\$1.5
Compensation expense included in Entergy's Net Income for the six months ended June 30,	\$9.1	\$7.1
Tax benefit recognized in Entergy's Net Income for the six months ended June 30,	\$3.5	\$2.7
Compensation cost capitalized as part of fixed assets and inventory	\$1.7	\$1.2

Entergy granted 1,617,400 stock options during the first quarter 2008 with a weighted-average fair value of \$14.43. At June 30, 2008, there were 11,464,959 stock options outstanding with a weighted-average exercise price of \$65.49. The aggregate intrinsic value of the stock options outstanding was \$631 million.

L0

NOTE 6. RETIREMENT AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Components of Net Pension Cost

Entergy's qualified pension cost, including amounts capitalized, for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$22,598	\$24,141
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	51,646	46,292
Expected return on assets	(57,640)	(50,880)
Amortization of prior service cost	1,266	1,383
Amortization of loss	6,482	11,444
Net pension costs	\$24,352	\$32,380

Entergy's qualified pension cost, including amounts capitalized, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$45,196	\$48,038
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	103,293	92,154
Expected return on assets	(115,279)	(101,506)
Amortization of prior service cost	2,532	2,766
Amortization of loss	13,416	22,888
Net pension costs	\$49,158	\$64,340

The Registrant Subsidiaries' qualified pension cost, including amounts capitalized, for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

Entergy

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

2008	Entergy Arkansas	Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
(In Thousands)							
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$3,584	\$1,841	\$2,058	\$1,063	\$445	\$968	\$930
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	11,616	5,047	6,784	3,627	1,415	3,882	1,937
Expected return on assets	(11,765)	(7,165)	(8,134)	(4,075)	(1,839)	(5,047)	(2,452)
Amortization of prior service cost	223	110	119	90	52	80	9
Amortization of loss	2,303	115	920	485	319	156	90
Net pension cost/(income)	\$5,961	(\$52)	\$1,747	\$1,190	\$392	\$39	\$514

41

2007	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
(In Thousands)							
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$3,638	\$3,011	\$2,231	\$1,089	\$470	\$1,012	\$1,021
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	10,498	8,139	6,251	3,371	1,260	3,439	1,710
Expected return on assets	(11,009)	(10,750)	(7,808)	(3,837)	(1,446)	(4,536)	(2,136)
Amortization of prior service cost	412	304	160	114	44	133	12
Amortization of loss	2,721	623	1,433	749	368	262	151
Net pension cost	\$6,260	\$1,327	\$2,267	\$1,486	\$696	\$310	\$758

The Registrant Subsidiaries' qualified pension cost, including amounts capitalized, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

2008	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New	Entergy Texas	System Energy
------	---------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	----------------	------------------	------------------

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Orleans
(In Thousands)

Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$7,168	\$3,682	\$4,116	\$2,126	\$890	\$1,936	\$1,860
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	23,232	10,094	13,568	7,254	2,830	7,764	3,874
Expected return on assets	(23,530)	(14,330)	(16,268)	(8,150)	(3,678)	(10,094)	(4,904)
Amortization of prior service cost	446	220	238	180	104	160	18
Amortization of loss	4,606	230	1,840	970	638	312	180
Net pension cost/(income)	\$11,922	(\$104)	\$3,494	\$2,380	\$784	\$78	\$1,028

2007	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
(In Thousands)							
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$7,276	\$6,022	\$4,462	\$2,178	\$940	\$2,024	\$2,042
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	20,996	16,278	12,502	6,742	2,520	6,878	3,420
Expected return on assets	(22,018)	(21,500)	(15,616)	(7,674)	(2,892)	(9,072)	(4,272)
Amortization of prior service cost	824	608	320	228	88	266	24
Amortization of loss	5,442	1,246	2,866	1,498	736	524	302
Net pension cost	\$12,520	\$2,654	\$4,534	\$2,972	\$1,392	\$620	\$1,516

Entergy recognized \$4.3 million and \$4.0 million in pension cost for its non-qualified pension plans in the second quarters of 2008 and 2007, respectively. Entergy recognized \$8.5 million and \$8.0 million in pension cost for its non-qualified pension plans for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Registrant Subsidiaries recognized the following pension cost for their non-qualified pension plans in the second quarters of 2008 and 2007:

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas
	(In Thousands)					
Non-Qualified Pension Cost Second Quarter 2008	\$133	\$78	\$7	\$54	\$12	\$227
Non-Qualified Pension Cost Second Quarter 2007	\$123	\$317	\$6	\$44	\$57	\$231

The Registrant Subsidiaries recognized the following pension cost for their non-qualified pension plans for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas
	(In Thousands)					
Non-Qualified Pension Cost Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	\$266	\$156	\$14	\$108	\$24	\$454
Non-Qualified Pension Cost Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	\$246	\$634	\$12	\$88	\$114	\$462

Components of Net Other Postretirement Benefit Cost

Entergy's other postretirement benefit cost, including amounts capitalized, for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$11,800	\$11,034
Interest cost on APBO	17,824	15,808
Expected return on assets	(7,027)	(6,325)
Amortization of transition obligation	957	958
Amortization of prior service cost	(4,104)	(3,959)
Amortization of loss	3,890	4,743
Net other postretirement benefit cost	\$23,340	\$22,259

Entergy's other postretirement benefit cost, including amounts capitalized, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$23,600	\$21,927
Interest cost on APBO	35,648	31,494
Expected return on assets	(14,054)	(12,585)
Amortization of transition obligation	1,914	1,916
Amortization of prior service cost	(8,208)	(7,918)
Amortization of loss	7,780	9,486
Net other postretirement benefit cost	\$46,680	\$44,320

The Registrant Subsidiaries' other postretirement benefit cost, including amounts capitalized, for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

2008	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
	(In Thousands)						
Service cost - benefits earned							
during the period	\$1,706	\$1,251	\$1,099	\$514	\$295	\$606	\$513
Interest cost on APBO	3,443	1,917	2,187	1,141	953	1,440	531
Expected return on assets	(2,492)	-	-	(905)	(789)	(1,885)	(511)
Amortization of transition obligation	205	84	96	88	415	66	2
Amortization of prior service cost	(197)	146	117	(62)	90	72	(283)
Amortization of loss	1,440	494	677	534	291	357	177
Net other postretirement benefit cost	\$4,105	\$3,892	\$4,176	\$1,310	\$1,255	\$656	\$429

2007	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
	(In Thousands)						
Service cost - benefits earned							
during the period	\$1,525	\$1,547	\$973	\$476	\$255	\$500	\$451
Interest cost on APBO	3,037	2,876	1,941	1,049	870	1,260	433
Expected return on assets	(2,231)	(1,697)	-	(819)	(682)	(1,697)	(470)

Amortization of transition obligation	205	151	96	88	416	67	2
Amortization of prior service cost	(197)	218	117	(62)	90	72	(283)
Amortization of loss	1,500	793	764	613	282	349	149
Net other postretirement benefit cost	\$3,839	\$3,888	\$3,891	\$1,345	\$1,231	\$551	\$282

L4

The Registrant Subsidiaries' other postretirement benefit cost, including amounts capitalized, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

2008	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
(In Thousands)							
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$3,412	\$2,502	\$2,198	\$1,028	\$590	\$1,212	\$1,026
Interest cost on APBO	6,886	3,834	4,374	2,282	1,906	2,880	1,062
Expected return on assets	(4,984)	-	-	(1,810)	(1,578)	(3,770)	(1,022)
Amortization of transition obligation	410	168	192	176	830	132	4
Amortization of prior service cost	(394)	292	234	(124)	180	144	(566)
Amortization of loss	2,880	988	1,354	1,068	582	714	354
Net other postretirement benefit cost	\$82,10	\$7,784	\$8,352	\$2,620	\$2,510	\$1,312	\$858
2007	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
(In Thousands)							
Service cost - benefits earned							

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

during the period	\$3,050	\$3,094	\$1,946	\$952	\$510	\$1,000	\$902
Interest cost on APBO	6,074	5,752	3,882	2,098	1,740	2,520	866
Expected return on assets	(4,462)	(3,394)	-	(1,638)	(1,364)	(3,394)	(940)
Amortization of transition obligation	410	302	192	176	832	134	4
Amortization of prior service cost	(394)	436	234	(124)	180	144	(566)
Amortization of loss	3,000	1,586	1,528	1,226	564	698	298
Net other postretirement benefit cost	\$7,678	\$7,776	\$7,782	\$2,690	\$2,462	\$1,102	\$564

Employer Contributions

Based on current assumptions, Entergy expects to contribute \$268 million to its qualified pension plans in 2008. As of the end of July 2008, Entergy had contributed \$164 million to its pension plans. Therefore, Entergy presently anticipates contributing an additional \$104 million to fund its qualified pension plans in 2008.

L5

Based on current assumptions, the Registrant Subsidiaries expect to contribute the following to qualified pension plans in 2008:

	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
	(In Thousands)						
Expected 2008 pension contributions	\$70,863	\$27,143	\$ -	\$19,182	\$ -	\$14,960	\$144
Pension contributions made through July 2008	\$21,420	\$21,324	\$ -	\$5,798	\$ -	\$11,752	\$88
Remaining estimated pension contributions to be made in 2008	\$49,443	\$5,819	\$ -	\$13,384	\$ -	\$3,208	\$56

Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (Medicare Act)

Based on actuarial analysis, the estimated effect of future Medicare subsidies reduced the December 31, 2007 Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation (APBO) by \$182 million, and reduced the second quarter 2008 and 2007 other postretirement benefit cost by \$6.2 million and \$6.6 million, respectively. It reduced the six months ended

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2008 and 2007 other postretirement benefit cost by \$12.4 million and \$13.2 million, respectively.

Based on actuarial analysis, the estimated effect of future Medicare subsidies reduced the December 31, 2007 APBO, the second quarters 2008 and 2007 other postretirement benefit cost and the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 other postretirement benefit cost for the Registrant Subsidiaries as follows:

	Entergy Arkansas	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Entergy Louisiana	Entergy Mississippi	Entergy New Orleans	Entergy Texas	System Energy
	(In Thousands)						
Reduction in 12/31/2007 APBO	(\$39,653)	(\$19,662)	(\$21,797)	(\$13,223)	(\$9,487)	(\$15,270)	(\$6,185)
Reduction in second quarter 2008							
other postretirement benefit cost	(\$1,266)	(\$876)	(\$706)	(\$406)	(\$279)	(\$263)	(\$236)
Reduction in second quarter 2007							
other postretirement benefit cost	(\$1,376)	(\$1,222)	(\$762)	(\$438)	(\$311)	(\$172)	(\$246)
Reduction in six months ended June 30, 2008 other postretirement benefit cost	(\$2,532)	(\$1,752)	(\$1,412)	(\$812)	(\$558)	(\$526)	(\$472)
Reduction in six months ended June 30, 2007 other postretirement benefit cost	(\$2,752)	(\$2,444)	(\$1,524)	(\$876)	(\$622)	(\$344)	(\$492)

For further information on the Medicare Act refer to Note 11 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

NOTE 7. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

Entergy Corporation

Entergy's reportable segments as of June 30, 2008 are Utility and Non-Utility Nuclear. Utility generates, transmits, distributes, and sells electric power in portions of Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas, and provides natural gas utility service in portions of Louisiana. Non-Utility Nuclear owns and operates six nuclear power plants and is primarily focused on selling electric power produced by those plants to wholesale

L6

customers. "All Other" includes the parent company, Entergy Corporation, and other business activity, including the non-nuclear wholesale assets business and earnings on the proceeds of sales of previously-owned businesses.

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Entergy's segment financial information for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Utility	Non-Utility Nuclear*	All Other* (In Thousands)	Eliminations	Consolidated
2008					
Operating Revenues	\$2,579,303	\$609,730	\$82,088	(\$6,850)	\$3,264,271
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated equity affiliates	\$-	\$-	(\$2,572)	\$-	(\$2,572)
Income Taxes (Benefit)	\$112,421	\$83,902	(\$13,311)	\$-	\$183,012
Net Income	\$159,714	\$143,616	(\$32,376)	\$-	\$270,954
2007					
Operating Revenues	\$2,238,555	\$471,521	\$65,817	(\$6,541)	\$2,769,352
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated equity affiliates	\$-	\$-	\$477	\$-	\$477
Income Taxes (Benefit)	\$98,460	\$63,929	(\$55,938)	\$-	\$106,451
Net Income	\$148,194	\$108,726	\$10,682	\$-	\$267,602

Entergy's segment financial information for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Utility	Non-Utility Nuclear*	All Other* (In Thousands)	Eliminations	Consolidated
2008					
Operating Revenues	\$4,715,633	\$1,290,215	\$136,889	(\$13,732)	\$6,129,005
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated equity affiliates	\$-	\$-	(\$3,501)	\$-	(\$3,501)
Income Taxes (Benefit)	\$196,664	\$208,875	(\$29,524)	\$-	\$376,015
Net Income (Loss)	\$276,861	\$365,314	(\$62,472)	\$-	\$579,703
Total Assets	\$26,807,661	\$7,326,735	\$1,984,560	(\$1,425,615)	\$34,693,341
2007					
Operating Revenues	\$4,435,654	\$929,772	\$110,865	(\$12,880)	\$5,463,411
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated equity affiliates	\$-	\$-	\$2,101	\$-	\$2,101
Income Taxes (Benefit)	\$179,152	\$148,664	(\$75,299)	\$-	\$252,517
Net Income (Loss)	\$252,644	\$236,896	(\$9,743)	\$-	\$479,797
Total Assets	\$26,244,883	\$6,654,700	\$2,815,623	(\$2,300,479)	\$33,414,727

Businesses marked with * are sometimes referred to as the "competitive businesses," with the exception of the parent company, Entergy Corporation. Eliminations are primarily intersegment activity. Almost all of Entergy's goodwill is

related to the Utility segment.

Registrant Subsidiaries

The Registrant Subsidiaries' have one reportable segment, which is an integrated utility business, except for System Energy, which is an electricity generation business. The Registrant Subsidiaries' operations are managed on an integrated basis because of the substantial effect of cost-based rates and regulatory oversight on the business process, cost structures, and operating results.

NOTE 8. ACQUISITION

Calcasieu (Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana purchased the Calcasieu Generating Facility, a 322 MW simple-cycle gas-fired power plant located near the city of Sulphur in southwestern Louisiana, for approximately \$56.4 million from Dynegy, Inc. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana received the plant, materials and supplies, SO₂ emission allowances, and related real estate in the transaction. The FERC and the LPSC approved the acquisition.

NOTE 9. RISK MANAGEMENT AND FAIR VALUE

Fair Values

See Note 16 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's and the Registrant Subsidiaries' exposure to market and commodity risks. See Note 17 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's and the Registrant Subsidiaries' decommissioning trust funds.

Effective January 1, 2008, Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 generally does not require any new fair value measurements. However, in some cases, the application of SFAS 157 in the future may change Entergy's and the Registrant Subsidiaries' practice for measuring and disclosing fair values under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements.

SFAS 157 defines fair value as an exit price, or the price that would be received to sell an asset or the amount that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between knowledgeable market participants at date of measurement. Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries use assumptions or market input data that market participants would use in pricing assets or liabilities at fair value. The inputs can be readily observable, corroborated by market data, or generally unobservable. Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries endeavor to use the best available information to determine fair value.

SFAS 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy establishes the highest priority for unadjusted market quotes in an active market for the identical asset or liability and the lowest priority for unobservable inputs. The three levels of fair value hierarchy defined in SFAS 157 are as follows:

- Level 1 - Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. Level 1 primarily consists of individually owned common stocks and debt instruments.

48

- Level 2 - Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in level 1 that are, either directly or indirectly, observable for the asset or liability at the measurement date. Level 2 inputs include the following:
 - quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
 - quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
 - inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; or
 - inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 2 consists primarily of individually owned debt instruments or shares in common trusts.

- Level 3- Level 3 inputs are pricing inputs that are generally less observable or unobservable from objective sources. These inputs are used with internally developed methodologies to produce management's best estimate of fair value for the asset or liability. Level 3 consists primarily of derivative power contracts used as cash flow hedges of power sales at unregulated power plants.

The following table sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy established by SFAS 157, Entergy's assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2008. The assessment of the significance of a particular input to a fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(In Millions)			
Assets:				
Decommissioning trust funds	\$476	\$2,646	\$-	\$3,122
Gas hedge contracts	123	-	-	123
	\$599	\$2,646	\$-	\$3,245
Liabilities:				
Power contracts	\$-	\$-	\$734	\$734

A small portion of the assets in the decommissioning trust funds are cash and cash equivalents.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the liabilities for the fair value of derivatives classified as level 3 in the SFAS 157 fair value hierarchy (in millions):

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

	Second Quarter 2008	Six Months Ended June 30, 2008
Balance as of beginning of period	\$288	\$12
Price changes	480	676
Originated	3	77
Settlements	(37)	(31)
Balance as of June 30, 2008	\$734	\$734

The following table sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy established by SFAS 157, the Registrant Subsidiaries' assets that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2008. The assessment of the significance of a particular input to a fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect its placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

49

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(In Millions)			
Entergy Arkansas:				
Assets:				
Decommissioning trust funds	\$16.1	\$419.7	\$-	\$435.8
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana:				
Assets:				
Decommissioning trust funds	\$15.6	\$327.8	\$-	\$343.4
Gas hedge contracts	25.1	-	-	25.1
	\$40.7	\$327.8	\$-	\$368.5
Entergy Louisiana:				
Assets:				
Decommissioning trust funds	\$40.0	\$165.1	\$-	\$205.1
Gas hedge contracts	52.8	-	-	52.8
	\$92.8	\$165.1	\$-	\$257.9
Entergy Mississippi:				
Assets:				
Gas hedge contracts	\$44.8	\$-	\$-	\$44.8
System Energy:				
Assets:				
Decommissioning trust funds	\$42.9	\$259.6	\$-	\$302.5

Other Than Temporary Impairment

In the second quarter 2008 Non-Utility Nuclear recorded a \$24.4 million charge to interest income resulting from the recognition of the other than temporary impairment of certain securities held in its decommissioning trust funds.

NOTE 10. INCOME TAXES

Income Tax Audits and Litigation

Entergy expects to reach a final agreement with the IRS relating to the method of allocating overhead. Under this agreement Entergy will concede certain deductions that will result in an increase to taxable income for income tax purposes of \$361 million for 2005 and \$240 million for 2006. Because Entergy has a consolidated net operating loss carryover into these years, this concession has the effect of reducing the consolidated net operating loss carryover. Entergy's concession will not have a material effect on the Registrant Subsidiaries' net income. Of the total increase to taxable income for income tax purposes of \$601 million, the taxable income for income tax purposes of the Registrant Subsidiaries will increase as follows: Entergy Arkansas, \$173 million; Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$200 million, of which Entergy Texas is accountable for \$104 million in accordance with the jurisdictional separation plan; Entergy Louisiana, \$15 million; Entergy Mississippi, \$89 million; Entergy New Orleans, \$15 million; and System Energy, \$20 million.

M0

NOTE 11. ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA AND ENTERGY TEXAS BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Effective December 31, 2007, Entergy Gulf States, Inc. completed a jurisdictional separation into two vertically integrated utility companies, one operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the PUCT, Entergy Texas, and the other operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the LPSC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. Entergy Texas now owns all Entergy Gulf States, Inc. distribution and transmission assets located in Texas, the gas-fired generating plants located in Texas, undivided 42.5% ownership shares of Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s 70% ownership interest in Nelson 6 and 42% ownership interest in Big Cajun 2, Unit 3, which are coal-fired generating plants located in Louisiana, and other assets and contract rights to the extent related to utility operations in Texas. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana now owns all of the remaining assets that were owned by Entergy Gulf States, Inc. On a book value basis, approximately 58.1% of the Entergy Gulf States, Inc. assets were allocated to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and approximately 41.9% were allocated to Entergy Texas.

As the successor to Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for financial reporting purposes, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's income statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and cash flow statement for the six months ended June 30, 2007 include the operations of Entergy Texas. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's income statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, cash flow statement for the six months ended June 30, 2008, and balance sheets as of December 31, 2007 and June 30, 2008 reflect the effects of the separation of the Texas business.

Because the jurisdictional separation was a transaction involving entities under common control, Entergy Texas recognized the assets and liabilities allocated to it at their carrying amounts in the accounts of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. at the time of the jurisdictional separation. Entergy Texas' financial statements herein report results of operations for 2007 as though the jurisdictional separation had occurred at the beginning of 2007.

NOTE 12. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In March 2008 the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" (SFAS 161), which

requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008.

In the opinion of the management of Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Texas, and System Energy, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting primarily of normal recurring accruals and reclassification of previously reported amounts to conform to current classifications) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. The business of the Registrant Subsidiaries is subject to seasonal fluctuations, however, with the peak periods occurring during the third quarter. The results for the interim periods presented should not be used as a basis for estimating results of operations for a full year.

Part I, Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2008, evaluations were performed under the supervision and with the participation of Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Texas, and System Energy Resources (individually "Registrant" and collectively the "Registrants") management, including their respective Chief Executive Officers (CEO) and Chief

M1

Financial Officers (CFO). The evaluations assessed the effectiveness of the Registrants' disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the evaluations, each CEO and CFO has concluded that, as to the Registrant or Registrants for which they serve as CEO or CFO, the Registrant's or Registrants' disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by each Registrant in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms; and that the Registrant's or Registrants' disclosure controls and procedures are also effective in reasonably assuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Registrant's or Registrants' management, including their respective CEOs and CFOs, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

M2

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Results of Operations

Net Income

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Net income decreased \$3.1 million primarily due to a higher effective income tax rate, partially offset by higher net revenue. Also contributing to the decrease were higher taxes other than income taxes and higher depreciation and amortization expenses.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Net income decreased \$9.2 million primarily due to higher other operation and maintenance expenses and a higher effective income tax rate, partially offset by higher net revenue and lower interest and other charges. Also contributing to the decrease were higher depreciation and amortization expenses and lower other income.

Net Revenue

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory credits. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the second quarter 2008 to the second quarter 2007.

	Amount (In Millions)
2007 net revenue	\$267.6
Net wholesale revenue	11.1
Rider revenue	2.3
Purchased power capacity	(3.4)
Other	2.3
2008 net revenue	\$279.9

The net wholesale revenue variance is primarily due to improved results from wholesale contracts and higher sales to affiliated companies.

The rider revenue variance is due to an Energy Efficiency rider which became effective in November 2007. The establishment of the rider results in an increase in rider revenue and a corresponding increase in other operation and maintenance expense with no impact on net income. Also contributing to the variance is the capacity acquisition rider which became effective in February 2008. See Note 2 to the financial statements for discussion of the capacity acquisition rider.

The purchased power capacity variance is primarily due to higher purchased power capacity charges, including the Ouachita interim tolling agreement, partially offset by lower reserve equalization expenses.

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory credits

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$51.6 million in production cost allocation rider revenues which became effective in July 2007 as a result of the System Agreement proceedings. As a result of the System Agreement proceedings, Entergy Arkansas also has a corresponding increase in deferred fuel expense for payments to other Entergy system companies such that there is no effect on net income. Entergy Arkansas made payments based on the 2006 test year over a seven-month period in 2007 but collections from customers are occurring over a twelve-month period. The production cost allocation rider and System Agreement proceedings are discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K;
- an increase of \$52.8 million in gross wholesale revenue due to an increase in the average price of energy available for resale sales and an increase in sales to affiliated customers; and
- an increase of \$32.3 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to a change in the energy cost recovery rider effective April 2008. The energy cost recovery rider filings are discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$51.6 million in deferred System Agreement payments, as discussed above;
- an increase of \$32.9 million in deferred fuel expense related to higher energy cost recovery rates effective April 2008, as discussed above; and
- an increase in the average market price of purchased power.

Other regulatory credits decreased primarily due to increased recovery of Grand Gulf costs due to increased usage and higher rates.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory credits. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the six months ended June 30, 2008 to the six months ended June 30, 2007.

	Amount (In Millions)
2007 net revenue	\$520.9
Net wholesale revenue	14.2
Deferred fuel costs revisions	(5.8)
Purchased power capacity	(5.2)
Other	4.0
2008 net revenue	\$528.1

The net wholesale revenue variance is primarily due to improved results from wholesale contracts and higher billings to affiliated companies.

The deferred fuel cost revisions variance is primarily due to the 2006 energy cost recovery true-up, made in the first quarter 2007, which increased prior year net revenue by \$6.6 million.

The purchased power capacity variance is primarily due to higher purchased power capacity charges, including the Ouachita interim tolling agreement, partially offset by lower reserve equalization expenses.

M4

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory credits

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$109.0 million in production cost allocation rider revenues which became effective in July 2007 as a result of the System Agreement proceedings. As a result of the System Agreement proceedings, Entergy Arkansas also has a corresponding increase in deferred fuel expense for payments to other Entergy system companies such that there is no effect on net income. Entergy Arkansas made payments based on the 2006 test year over a seven-month period in 2007 but collections from customers are occurring over a twelve-month period. The production cost allocation rider and System Agreement proceedings are discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K; and
- an increase of \$70.7 million in gross wholesale revenue due to an increase in the average price of energy available for resale sales and an increase in sales to affiliated customers.

The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$50.8 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to a change in the energy cost recovery rider effective April 2007. The energy cost recovery rider filings are discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased due to:

- an increase of \$109.0 million in deferred System Agreement payments, as discussed above; and
- an increase in purchased power expense due to an increase in the average market price of purchased power and an increase in volume as a result of a refueling outage at ANO in March 2008.

The increase was partially offset by a decrease in deferred fuel expense due to a lower energy cost recovery rate.

Other regulatory credits decreased primarily due to increased recovery of Grand Gulf costs due to increased usage and higher rates.

Other Income Statement Variances

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Taxes other than income taxes increased primarily due to an increase in local franchise taxes as a result of higher residential and commercial revenue and an increase in ad valorem taxes due to a higher millage rate and a higher 2008 assessment.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased primarily due to an increase in plant in service.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased primarily due to an increase of \$16.4 million in storm damage charges as a result of several storms hitting Entergy Arkansas' service territory in the first quarter 2008. Entergy Arkansas discontinued regulatory storm reserve accounting beginning July 2007 as a result of the APSC order issued

in Entergy Arkansas' rate case. As a result, non-capital storm expenses are charged to other operation and maintenance expenses. The increase was partially offset by a reimbursement of \$7 million of costs in connection with a litigation settlement.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased primarily due to an increase in plant in service.

Other income decreased primarily due to a revision in 2007 to the allowance for equity funds used during construction related to removal costs and a decrease in interest earned on money pool investments.

M5

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to interest expense of \$2.9 million recorded in the first quarter 2007 on advances from independent power producers per a FERC order and a decrease in interest accrued on the long-term DOE spent fuel obligation, partially offset by a revision to the allowance for borrowed funds used during construction related to removal costs.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 47.9% for the second quarter of 2008 and 45.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the second quarter 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items, state income taxes, and an adjustment of the federal tax reserve for prior years, partially offset by flow-through book and tax timing differences.

The effective income tax rate was 36.8% for the second quarter of 2007 and 41.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the second quarter of 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes, partially offset by an adjustment of the federal tax reserve for prior years and book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes, partially offset by a downward revision in the estimate of federal income tax expense related to tax depreciation.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$212	\$34,815
Cash flow provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	151,750	225,125
Investing activities	(179,625)	(159,165)
Financing activities	38,222	(33,937)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	10,347	32,023

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$10,559	\$66,838
--	----------	----------

Operating Activities

Cash flow from operations decreased \$73.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to decreased recovery of deferred fuel costs, an increase in income taxes paid, the timing of payments to vendors, and an increase of \$10.7 million in pension contributions.

M6

Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities increased \$20.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to an increase in fossil construction expenditures resulting from a coal plant equipment purchase in 2008.

Financing Activities

Financing activities provided \$38.2 million of cash for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to using \$33.9 million of cash for the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to borrowings of \$100 million on Entergy Arkansas' credit facility, partially offset by money pool activity. Decreases in Entergy Arkansas' payable to the money pool are a use of cash flow, and Entergy Arkansas' payable to the money pool decreased by \$52.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Capital Structure

Entergy Arkansas' capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table.

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Net debt to net capital	50.2%	49.0%
Effect of subtracting cash from debt	0.1%	0.0%
Debt to capital	50.3%	49.0%

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and shareholders' equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy Arkansas uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy Arkansas' financial condition.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Arkansas' uses and sources of capital. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

The planned construction and other capital investments disclosure in the Form 10-K includes approximately \$24 million for initial spending during the 2008-2010 period on installation of scrubbers and low NOx burners at Entergy Arkansas' White Bluff coal plant, which under current environmental regulations must be operational by September

2013. The project remains in the planning stages and has not been fully designed, but the latest conceptual cost estimate has gone up significantly from previous estimates due to increases in equipment, commodity, and labor costs. These estimates indicate that Entergy Arkansas' share of the project could cost approximately \$630 million compared to the \$375 million reported in the Form 10-K. Entergy Arkansas continues to review potential environmental spending needs and financing alternatives for any such spending, and future spending estimates could change based on the results of this continuing analysis.

In July 2008, Entergy Arkansas issued \$300 million of 5.4% Series First Mortgage Bonds due August 2013. Entergy Arkansas intends to use the proceeds to fund the purchase of, and improvements relating to, the Ouachita power plant and for general corporate purposes. Pending the application of the net proceeds, Entergy Arkansas intends to use the proceeds for working capital purposes, including repayment of short-term debt, and it may invest them in temporary cash investments or the Entergy System money pool.

M7

In April 2008, Entergy Arkansas renewed its \$100 million credit facility through April 2009. As of June 30, 2008, \$100 million was outstanding on the credit facility.

Entergy Arkansas' receivables from or (payables to) the money pool were as follows:

June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
(In Thousands)			
(\$25,541)	(\$77,882)	\$26,450	\$16,109

In May 2007, \$1.8 million of Entergy Arkansas' receivable from the money pool was replaced by a note receivable from Entergy New Orleans. See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool.

Significant Factors and Known Trends

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Significant Factors and Known Trends**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of state and local rate regulation, federal regulation, Energy Policy Act of 2005, utility restructuring, nuclear matters, and environmental risks. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

State and Local Rate Regulation

Ouachita Acquisition

Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC in September 2007 for its approval of the Ouachita plant acquisition, including full cost recovery. The APSC Staff and the Arkansas attorney general supported Entergy Arkansas' acquisition of the plant, but oppose the sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The industrial group AEEC opposes Entergy Arkansas' purchase of the plant. The Arkansas attorney general opposes recovery of the non-fuel costs of the plant through a separate rider, while the APSC Staff recommended revisions to the rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued an order approving recovery through a rider of the capacity costs associated with the interim tolling agreement, which will be in effect until APSC action on the acquisition of the plant. A hearing before the APSC was held in April 2008 to address Entergy Arkansas' request for acquisition of the plant.

and concurrent cost recovery. In June 2008 the APSC approved Entergy Arkansas' acquisition of the Ouachita plant and approved recovery of the acquisition and ownership costs through a rate rider. The APSC also approved the planned sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The Arkansas attorney general, the AEEC, and Entergy Arkansas have requested rehearing of the APSC order. Entergy Arkansas' request for rehearing concerns the 7.61% before-tax return on rate base approved by the APSC, which reflects significant sources of zero-cost capital already reflected in base rates. Entergy Arkansas had requested a 10.87% before-tax return on rate base reflecting the cost of the debt and equity capital resources available to finance the Ouachita plant acquisition.

On March 18, 2008 the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order that approved recovery through a rider of the capacity costs associated with the interim tolling agreement. The Arkansas attorney general's and the AEEC's appeal briefs are due September 20, 2008, and the appellees' briefs, including Entergy Arkansas', are due October 20, 2008.

Production Cost Allocation Rider

In its June 2007 decision on Entergy Arkansas' August 2006 rate filing, the APSC approved a production cost allocation rider for recovery from customers of the retail portion of the costs allocated to Entergy Arkansas as a result of the System Agreement proceedings, but set a termination date of December 31, 2008 for the rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued a subsequent order stating the production cost allocation rider will remain

M8

in effect, and any future termination of the rider will be subject to eighteen months advance notice by the APSC, which would occur following notice and hearing. On March 18, 2008 the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order. The Arkansas attorney general's and the AEEC's appeal briefs are due September 5, 2008, and the appellees' briefs, including Entergy Arkansas', are due October 5, 2008.

In June 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC its annual redetermination of the production cost allocation rider. The redetermination resulted in a slight increase in the rates beginning with the first billing cycle of July 2008.

Energy Cost Recovery Rider

Entergy Arkansas' retail rates include an energy cost recovery rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued an order stating that Entergy Arkansas' energy cost recovery rider will remain in effect, and any future termination of the rider will be subject to eighteen months advance notice by the APSC, which would occur following notice and hearing. On March 18, 2008 the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order. The Arkansas attorney general's and the AEEC's appeal briefs are due September 5, 2008, and the appellees' briefs, including Entergy Arkansas', are due October 5, 2008.

In March 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC its annual energy cost rate for the period April 2008 through March 2009. The filed energy cost rate increased from \$0.01179/kWh to \$0.01869/kWh. The increase was caused by the following: 1) all three of the nuclear power plants from which Entergy Arkansas obtains power, ANO 1 and 2 and Grand Gulf, will have refueling outages in 2008, and the energy cost rate is adjusted to account for the replacement power costs that will be incurred while these units are down; 2) Entergy Arkansas has a deferred fuel cost balance from under-recovered fuel costs at December 31, 2007; and 3) fuel and purchased power prices have increased.

Storm Cost Recovery Proposal

In June 2008, together with other Arkansas utilities, Entergy Arkansas filed a joint application for approval of storm cost recovery accounting and a storm damage rider. To enable recovery of 2008 storm cost expenditures through the rider and storm reserve accounting, the applicants requested that the APSC establish a procedural schedule that would allow resolution of this proceeding no later than December 15, 2008.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy Arkansas' accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, unbilled revenue, and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "New Accounting Pronouncements" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

M9

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)		(In Thousands)	
OPERATING REVENUES				
Electric	\$580,462	\$434,027	\$1,079,835	\$936,765
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Operation and Maintenance:				
Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale	83,703	(17,191)	167,265	120,847
Purchased power	223,318	193,089	389,842	309,495
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	7,286	7,260	14,217	14,274
Other operation and maintenance	116,547	115,203	223,671	215,058
Decommissioning	8,696	8,134	17,248	16,134
Taxes other than income taxes	22,480	16,251	38,219	36,234
Depreciation and amortization	59,066	56,764	116,303	112,829
Other regulatory credits - net	(6,435)	(9,462)	(5,392)	(14,491)
TOTAL	514,661	370,048	961,373	810,380
OPERATING INCOME	65,801	63,979	118,462	126,385

OTHER INCOME

Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1,563	1,800	3,341	7,396
Interest and dividend income	5,547	4,150	10,804	11,733
Miscellaneous - net	(722)	(601)	(1,735)	(1,805)
TOTAL	6,388	5,349	12,410	17,324

INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES

Interest on long-term debt	18,207	19,776	36,835	39,130
Other interest - net	1,907	1,918	3,845	6,815
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(749)	(767)	(1,599)	(3,510)
TOTAL	19,365	20,927	39,081	42,435

INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	52,824	48,401	91,791	101,274
-----------------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	----------------

Income taxes	25,303	17,809	41,552	41,799
--------------	--------	--------	--------	--------

NET INCOME	27,521	30,592	50,239	59,475
-------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

Preferred dividend requirements and other	1,718	1,718	3,437	3,437
---	-------	-------	-------	-------

**EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO
COMMON STOCK**

\$25,803	\$28,874	\$46,802	\$56,038
-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

See Notes to Financial Statements.

60

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$50,239	\$59,475
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities:		
Reserve for regulatory adjustments	(3,010)	658
Other regulatory credits - net	(5,392)	(14,491)
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	133,551	128,963
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued	34,884	76,124

Changes in working capital:		
Receivables	(273)	49,691
Fuel inventory	(8,846)	(10,150)
Accounts payable	(85,077)	198,752
Taxes accrued	-	(37,161)
Interest accrued	(670)	(2,962)
Deferred fuel costs	38,826	46,850
Other working capital accounts	21,347	(245,647)
Provision for estimated losses and reserves	(37)	(29)
Changes in other regulatory assets	8,739	(23,273)
Other	(32,531)	(1,675)
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	151,750	225,125

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Construction expenditures	(174,456)	(150,285)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	3,341	7,396
Nuclear fuel purchases	(60,335)	(40,129)
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	60,377	42,220
Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales	104,860	14,075
Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds	(113,412)	(20,290)
Change in money pool receivable - net	-	(12,152)
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(179,625)	(159,165)

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Change in credit borrowings - net	100,000	-
Change in money pool payable - net	(52,341)	-
Dividends paid:		
Common stock	(6,000)	(30,500)
Preferred stock	(3,437)	(3,437)
Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities	38,222	(33,937)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	10,347	32,023
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	212	34,815
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$10,559	\$66,838

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$36,634	\$41,895
Income taxes	\$36,174	\$18,643

See Notes to Financial Statements.

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$10,559	\$212
Accounts receivable:		
Customer	103,694	85,414
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(15,551)	(16,649)
Associated companies	74,409	75,756
Other	92,507	124,111
Accrued unbilled revenues	82,086	68,240
Total accounts receivable	337,145	336,872
Deferred fuel costs	75,937	114,763
Fuel inventory - at average cost	29,351	20,505
Materials and supplies - at average cost	111,515	106,165
Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs	27,257	17,623
System agreement cost equalization	215,869	268,000
Prepayments and other	38,690	16,511
TOTAL	846,323	880,651
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS		
Investment in affiliates - at equity	11,203	11,203
Decommissioning trust funds	439,344	466,348
Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation)	1,441	1,442
Other	5,391	5,391
TOTAL	457,379	484,384
UTILITY PLANT		
Electric	6,950,028	6,792,825
Property under capital lease	1,478	2,436
Construction work in progress	128,358	146,651
Nuclear fuel under capital lease	144,125	124,585
Nuclear fuel	15,713	19,548
TOTAL UTILITY PLANT	7,239,702	7,086,045
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	3,188,094	3,112,896
UTILITY PLANT - NET	4,051,608	3,973,149
DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS		
Regulatory assets:		
SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net	99,452	93,557
Other regulatory assets	526,173	534,937
Other	32,294	33,128
TOTAL	657,919	661,622
TOTAL ASSETS	\$6,013,229	\$5,999,806

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Notes payable	\$100,000	\$-
Accounts payable:		
Associated companies	325,278	486,201
Other	123,751	100,246
Customer deposits	60,483	57,751
Accumulated deferred income taxes	18,355	26,964
Interest accrued	16,777	17,447
Obligations under capital leases	48,805	49,738
Other	14,537	10,890
TOTAL	707,986	749,237
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued	1,374,017	1,330,324
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	53,868	55,854
Obligations under capital leases	96,798	77,283
Other regulatory liabilities	81,953	117,510
Decommissioning	522,875	505,626
Accumulated provisions	14,377	14,414
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	251,660	260,381
Long-term debt	1,316,750	1,314,525
Other	51,230	73,739
TOTAL	3,763,528	3,749,656
Commitments and Contingencies		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock without sinking fund	116,350	116,350
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 325,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 46,980,196 shares in 2008 and 2007	470	470
Paid-in capital	588,527	588,527
Retained earnings	836,368	795,566
TOTAL	1,541,715	1,500,913
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$6,013,229	\$5,999,806

See Notes to Financial Statements.

63

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

Description	Three Months Ended 2008	2007	Increase/ (Decrease)	%
	(Dollars In Millions)			
Electric Operating Revenues:				
Residential	\$ 158	\$ 126	\$ 32	25
Commercial	109	83	26	31
Industrial	110	81	29	36
Governmental	5	4	1	25
Total retail	382	294	88	30
Sales for resale				
Associated companies	115	70	45	64
Non-associated companies	44	36	8	22
Other	39	34	5	15
Total	\$ 580	\$ 434	\$ 146	34
Billed Electric Energy				
Sales (GWh):				
Residential	1,551	1,523	28	2
Commercial	1,384	1,383	1	-
Industrial	1,765	1,799	(34)	(2)
Governmental	66	67	(1)	(1)
Total retail	4,766	4,772	(6)	-
Sales for resale				
Associated companies	1,964	1,578	386	24
Non-associated companies	590	586	4	1
Total	7,320	6,936	384	6

Description	Six Months Ended 2008	2007	Increase/ (Decrease)	%
	(Dollars In Millions)			
Electric Operating Revenues:				
Residential	\$ 337	\$ 307	\$ 30	10
Commercial	203	182	21	12
Industrial	202	183	19	10
Governmental	9	9	-	-
Total retail	751	681	70	10
Sales for resale				
Associated companies	211	148	63	43

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Non-associated companies	77	70	7	10
Other	41	38	3	8
Total	\$ 1,080	\$ 937	\$ 143	15

Billed Electric Energy

Sales (GWh):

Residential	3,694	3,555	139	4
Commercial	2,731	2,711	20	1
Industrial	3,478	3,520	(42)	(1)
Governmental	131	132	(1)	(1)
Total retail	10,034	9,918	116	1
Sales for resale				
Associated companies	3,918	3,571	347	10
Non-associated companies	1,130	1,255	(125)	(10)
Total	15,082	14,744	338	2

64

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Jurisdictional Separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas

See Part I, Item 1 in the Form 10-K and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into two vertically integrated utility companies, one operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the PUCT, Entergy Texas, and the other operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the LPSC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana is the successor for financial reporting purposes to Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Income Statement for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Cash Flow Statement for the six months ended June 30, 2008, reflect the effects of the separation of the Texas business. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Income Statement for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Cash Flow Statement for the six months ended June 30, 2007, include the operations of Entergy Texas. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's balance sheets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 reflect the effects of the separation of the Texas business.

Pursuant to the LPSC order approving the jurisdictional separation plan, Entergy Gulf States has made two compliance filings in 2008. On March 31, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its jurisdictional separation plan balance sheet compliance filing with the LPSC. On June 11, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its revenue and expense compliance filing.

Results of Operations

Following are income statement variances for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana comparing the second quarter 2008 to the second quarter 2007 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

	Second Quarter 2007	Variance caused directly by the jurisdictional separation (In Thousands)	Variance caused by other factors	Second Quarter 2008
Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits)	\$308,654	(\$90,646)	(\$11,086)	\$206,922
Other operation and maintenance expenses	141,275	(47,239)	(2,549)	91,487
Taxes other than income taxes	34,830	(12,871)	(2,556)	19,403
Depreciation and amortization	53,060	(17,346)	(1,606)	34,108
Other expenses	6,718	(43)	4,509	11,184
Other income	18,578	4,759	(3,754)	19,583
Interest charges	36,634	(3,259)	(1,880)	31,495
Income taxes	22,755	(5,291)	(1,823)	15,641
Net Income (Loss)	\$31,960	\$162	(\$8,935)	\$23,187

65

Following are income statement variances for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana comparing the six months ended June 30, 2008 to the six months ended June 30, 2007 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

	Six months ended June 30, 2007	Variance caused directly by the jurisdictional separation (In Thousands)	Variance caused by other factors	Six months ended June 30, 2008
Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits)	\$587,107	(\$166,220)	(\$18,475)	\$402,412
Other operation and maintenance expenses	267,129	(89,190)	(6,975)	170,964
Taxes other than income taxes	66,142	(26,004)	(3,453)	36,685
Depreciation and amortization	105,475	(34,480)	(3,761)	67,234
Other expenses	13,217	(85)	4,790	17,922
Other income	39,383	8,768	(4,995)	43,156
Interest charges	73,983	(8,233)	(2,744)	63,006
Income taxes	40,987	(3,018)	(2,225)	35,744

Net Income (Loss)	\$59,557	\$3,558	(\$9,102)	\$54,013
-------------------	----------	---------	-----------	----------

Net Income

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Net income decreased by \$8.8 million primarily due to lower net revenue, other than the effect on net revenue directly caused by the jurisdictional separation.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Net income decreased by \$5.5 million primarily due to lower net revenue, other than the effect on net revenue directly caused by the jurisdictional separation, partially offset by lower other operation and maintenance expenses and the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, Entergy Texas reported a net loss of \$3.6 million.

Net Revenue

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses and 3) other regulatory charges (credits). Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the second quarter 2008 to the second quarter 2007.

N6

	Amount (In Millions)
2007 net revenue	\$308.7
Jurisdictional separation	(90.6)
Volume/weather	11.1
Other	(22.3)
2008 net revenue	\$206.9

Net revenue decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

The volume/weather variance is due to increased electricity usage in the residential and commercial sectors primarily as a result of more favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007 and increased electricity sales during the unbilled sales period. Billed retail electricity usage increased a total of 91 GWh in the residential and commercial sectors. See Note 1 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the accounting for unbilled revenues.

The Other variance is primarily caused by various operational effects of the jurisdictional separation on revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges (credits)

Gross operating revenues decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007, partially offset by an increase in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and increased usage.

Fuel and purchased power expense decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Other regulatory charges decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007 and a decrease in capacity charges.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing six months ended June 30, 2008 to the six months ended June 30, 2007.

	Amount (In Millions)
2007 net revenue	\$587.1
Jurisdictional separation	(166.2)
Volume/weather	10.5
Other	(29.0)
2008 net revenue	\$402.4

Net revenue decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

67

The volume/weather variance is due to increased electricity usage in the residential and commercial sectors primarily as a result of more favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007. Billed retail electricity usage increased a total of 119 GWh for the residential and commercial sectors.

The Other variance is primarily caused by various operational effects of the jurisdictional separation on revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges

Gross operating revenues decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007, partially offset by an increase in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and increased usage.

Fuel and purchased power expense decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Other regulatory charges decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007 and a decrease in capacity charges.

Other Income Statement Variances

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Other operation and maintenance decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Taxes other than income taxes decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Depreciation and amortization decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Nuclear refueling outage expenses increased due to the amortization of higher expenses associated with the planned maintenance and refueling outage at River Bend in the first quarter 2008 as well as the delay of this outage from late 2007 to early 2008 resulting in a shorter amortization period for these costs.

Other income includes \$15 million in interest and dividend income in 2008 related to the debt assumption agreement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas and the \$1.079 billion of debt assumed by Entergy Texas as of December 31, 2007. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana remains primarily liable on this debt. The increase in interest income is partially offset by \$10 million of other income reported by Entergy Texas for the second quarter 2007. The income from the debt assumption agreement offsets the interest expense on the portion of long-term debt assumed by Entergy Texas. The remaining variance was caused by various individually insignificant factors.

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007 and due to a decrease in long-term debt outstanding.

68

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Other operation and maintenance decreased primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$89.2 million due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007;
- a decrease of \$7.9 million in nuclear labor and contract costs due to a non-refueling plant outage in March 2007; and
- a decrease of \$2.9 million in payroll-related costs.

The decrease was partially offset by an increase of \$4.8 million in transmission spending due to higher transmission equalization expenses.

Taxes other than income taxes decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Depreciation and amortization decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Nuclear refueling outage expenses increased due to the amortization of higher expenses associated with the planned maintenance and refueling outage at River Bend in the first quarter 2008 as well as the delay of this outage from late

2007 to early 2008 resulting in a shorter amortization period for these costs.

Other income includes \$30 million in interest and dividend income in 2008 related to the debt assumption agreement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas and the \$1.079 billion of debt assumed by Entergy Texas as of December 31, 2007. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana remains primarily liable on this debt. The increase in interest income is partially offset by \$21 million of other income reported by Entergy Texas for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The income from the debt assumption agreement offsets the interest expense on the portion of long-term debt assumed by Entergy Texas. The remaining variance was caused by various individually insignificant factors.

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007 and due to a decrease in long-term debt outstanding.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 40.3% for the second quarter 2008 and 39.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The differences in the effective income tax rate for the second quarter 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% are due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes, partially offset by flow-through book and tax timing differences, the amortization of investment tax credits, and book and tax differences related to allowance for equity funds used during construction.

The effective income tax rate was 41.6% for the second quarter 2007 and 40.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The differences in the effective income tax rate for the second quarter 2007 and the six months ended June 30, 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% are primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes, partially offset by flow-through book and tax timing differences related to a pension payment, book and tax differences related to allowance for equity funds used during construction, and the amortization of investment tax credits.

N9

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$108,036	\$180,381
Cash flow provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	107,817	245,575
Investing activities	(176,998)	(246,690)
Financing activities	(5,379)	272,290
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(74,560)	271,175
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$33,476	\$451,556

Operating Activities

Net cash flow provided by operating activities decreased \$137.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007 and decreased recovery of deferred fuel costs, partially offset by the timing of payments to vendors. Fuel prices have been increasing, and due to the time lag before the fuel adjustment rate increases in response, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana has under-recovered fuel costs thus far in 2008.

Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities decreased \$69.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to the effect of the jurisdictional separation on money pool activity and construction expenditures. The decrease was partially offset by the purchase of the Calcasieu Generating Facility for \$56.4 million and an increase in nuclear construction expenditures of \$19.1 million primarily due to the rescheduling of the River Bend refueling outage from late 2007 to early 2008. See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the Calcasieu purchase which was completed in March 2008.

Increases in Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's receivable from the money pool are a use of cash flow, and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's receivable from the money pool increased by \$19.5 million the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to increasing by \$117.7 million the six months ended June 30, 2007. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Financing Activities

Financing activities used \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to providing \$272.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to the issuance of \$329.5 million of securitization bonds in June 2007, partially offset by borrowings of \$30 million on Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's credit facility.

70

Capital Structure

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table.

The calculation below does not reduce the debt by the long-term debt assumed by Entergy Texas (\$930 million as of June 30, 2008 and \$1.079 billion as of December 31, 2007) because Entergy Gulf States Louisiana remains primarily liable on the debt.

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Net debt to net capital	63.9%	64.4%
Effect of subtracting cash from debt	0.3%	1.0%
Debt to capital	64.2%	65.4%

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and members' equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's financial condition.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's uses and sources of capital. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's receivables from the money pool were as follows:

June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
(In Thousands)			
\$74,961	\$55,509	\$192,747	\$75,048

See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool.

As discussed in the Form 10-K, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana has a credit facility in the amount of \$100 million scheduled to expire in August 2012. As of June 30, 2008, \$30 million was outstanding on the credit facility.

In May 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana issued \$375 million of 6.00% Series First Mortgage Bonds due May 2018. The proceeds were used to pay at maturity the portion of the \$325 million of 3.6% Series First Mortgage Bonds due June 2008 that had not been assumed by Entergy Texas and to redeem, prior to maturity, \$189.7 million of the \$350 million Floating Rate series of First Mortgage bonds due December 2008, and for other general corporate purposes.

The portion of the \$325 million of 3.6% Series First Mortgage Bonds due June 2008 that had been assumed by Entergy Texas was paid at maturity by Entergy Texas in June 2008, and that bond series is no longer outstanding. The remainder of the \$350 million Floating Rate series of First Mortgage bonds due December 2008 had been assumed by Entergy Texas, and management expects Entergy Texas to redeem those bonds by their maturity date.

Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the effects of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, which hit Entergy Gulf States Inc.'s jurisdictions in Louisiana and Texas in August and September 2005, which resulted in power outages, significant damage to electric distribution, transmission, and generation

infrastructure, the temporary loss of sales and customers due to mandatory evacuations, and Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s initiatives to recover storm restoration and business continuity costs and incremental losses.

Act 55 Storm Cost Financings

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation (LURC), an instrumentality of the State of Louisiana, filed at the LPSC an application requesting that the LPSC grant financing orders authorizing the financing of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana storm costs, storm reserves, and issuance costs pursuant to Act 55 of the Louisiana Legislature (Act 55 financings). The Act 55 financings are expected to produce additional customer benefits as compared to Act 64 traditional securitization. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana also filed an application requesting LPSC approval for ancillary issues including the mechanism to flow charges and savings to customers via a Storm Cost Offset rider. On April 3, 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted preliminary approval for the Act 55 financings. On April 8, 2008, the Louisiana Public Facilities Authority (LPFA), which is the issuer of the bonds pursuant to the Act 55 financings, approved requests for the Act 55 financings. On April 10, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC Staff filed with the LPSC an uncontested stipulated settlement that includes Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana's proposals under the Act 55 financings, which includes a commitment to pass on to customers a minimum of \$10 million and \$30 million of customer benefits, respectively. On April 16, 2008, the LPSC approved the settlement and issued two financing orders and one ratemaking order intended to facilitate implementation of the Act 55 financings. On May 6, 2008, the State Bond Commission voted to approve the Act 55 financings.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana expects that in September 2008 the LPFA will issue \$273 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the bond proceeds expected to be received by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana is expected to invest \$186 million in affiliate securities. In addition, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana expects the LURC to deposit \$87 million to a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. As approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, it is expected that Entergy Gulf States Louisiana will withdraw \$1.7 million from the restricted escrow account and will also invest this amount in affiliate securities.

Significant Factors and Known Trends

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Significant Factors and Known Trends" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of state and local rate regulation; transition to retail competition; federal regulation; the Energy Policy Act of 2005; industrial and commercial customers; nuclear matters; and environmental risks. Following are updates to the information disclosed in the Form 10-K.

State and Local Rate Regulation

Retail Rates - Electric

In July 2008, the LPSC approved an uncontested settlement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and the LPSC Staff authorizing Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's purchase of one-third of the capacity and energy from the 789 MW Ouachita plant, which Entergy Arkansas plans to acquire in 2008. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana expects to purchase one-third of the plant's capacity and output from Entergy Arkansas under a life-of-unit agreement.

In May 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2007 test year. The filing reflected a 9.26% return on common equity, which is below the allowed earnings bandwidth, and indicated a \$5.4 million revenue deficiency, offset by a \$4.1 million decrease in required additional capacity costs. Consideration of the filing is pending, and under the formula rate plan Entergy Gulf States Louisiana would implement new rates in September 2008.

In May 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2006 test year. The filing reflected a 10.0% return on common equity, which is within the allowed earnings bandwidth, and an anticipated formula rate plan decrease of \$23 million annually attributable

to adjustments outside of the formula rate plan sharing mechanism related to capacity costs and the anticipated securitization of storm costs related to Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and the securitization of a storm reserve. In September 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana modified the formula rate plan filing to reflect a 10.07% return on common equity, which is still within the allowed bandwidth. The modified filing also reflected implementation of a \$4.1 million rate increase, subject to refund, attributable to recovery of additional LPSC-approved incremental deferred and ongoing capacity costs. The rate decrease anticipated in the original filing did not occur because of the additional capacity costs approved by the LPSC, and because securitization of storm costs associated with Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and the establishment of a storm reserve have not yet occurred. In October 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$16.4 million formula rate plan decrease that is due to the reclassification of certain franchise fees from base rates to collection via a line item on customer bills pursuant to an LPSC order. The LPSC staff issued its final report in December 2007, indicating a \$1.6 million decrease in formula rate plan revenues for which interim rates were already in effect. In addition, the LPSC staff recommended that the LPSC give a one-year extension of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's formula rate plan to synchronize with the final year of Entergy Louisiana's formula rate plan, or alternatively, to extend the formula rate plan for a longer period. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana indicated it is amenable to a one-year extension. An uncontested stipulated settlement was filed in February 2008 that will leave the current base rates in place and extend the formula rate plan for one year, and the LPSC approved the settlement in March 2008.

Retail Rates - Gas

In January 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana filed with the LPSC its gas rate stabilization plan for the test year ending September 30, 2007. The filing showed a revenue deficiency of \$3.7 million based on a return on common equity mid-point of 10.5%. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$3.4 million rate increase in April 2008 pursuant to an uncontested agreement with the LPSC staff.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, the application of SFAS 71, unbilled revenue, and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "**New Accounting Pronouncements**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.
INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)		(In Thousands)	
OPERATING REVENUES				
Electric	\$681,491	\$864,568	\$1,201,787	\$1,659,822
Natural gas	21,045	17,090	59,313	55,018
TOTAL	702,536	881,658	1,261,100	1,714,840
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Operation and Maintenance:				
Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale	56,394	192,623	82,116	432,190
Purchased power	440,379	376,395	772,185	683,199
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	8,084	3,816	11,783	7,472
Other operation and maintenance	91,487	141,275	170,964	267,129
Decommissioning	3,100	2,902	6,139	5,745
Taxes other than income taxes	19,403	34,830	36,685	66,142
Depreciation and amortization	34,108	53,060	67,234	105,475
Other regulatory charges (credits) - net	(1,159)	3,986	4,387	12,344
TOTAL	651,796	808,887	1,151,493	1,579,696
OPERATING INCOME	50,740	72,771	109,607	135,144
OTHER INCOME				
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1,222	1,999	2,915	6,430
Interest and dividend income	19,461	15,920	42,269	32,294
Miscellaneous - net	(1,100)	659	(2,028)	659
TOTAL	19,583	18,578	43,156	39,383
INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES				
Interest on long-term debt	31,486	34,797	63,252	69,690
Other interest - net	740	3,122	1,564	8,466
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(731)	(1,285)	(1,810)	(4,173)
TOTAL	31,495	36,634	63,006	73,983
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES				
TAXES	38,828	54,715	89,757	100,544
Income taxes	15,641	22,755	35,744	40,987
NET INCOME	23,187	31,960	54,013	59,557

Preferred distribution requirements and other	207	929	413	1,891
---	-----	-----	-----	-------

EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO COMMON EQUITY	\$22,980	\$31,031	\$53,600	\$57,666
---	----------	----------	----------	----------

See Notes to Financial Statements.

74

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$54,013	\$59,557
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities:		
Reserve for regulatory adjustments	-	11,534
Other regulatory charges - net	4,387	12,344
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	73,373	111,220
Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued	77,410	(11,844)
Changes in working capital:		
Receivables	(74,624)	(171,125)
Fuel inventory	(3,458)	(14,410)
Accounts payable	81,767	40,920
Taxes accrued	-	(12,913)
Interest accrued	(376)	58
Deferred fuel costs	(65,694)	25,258
Other working capital accounts	(98,852)	133,953
Provision for estimated losses and reserves	1,398	(1,163)
Changes in other regulatory assets	(935)	10,539
Other	59,408	51,647
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	107,817	245,575
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Construction expenditures	(100,924)	(139,892)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	2,915	6,430
Insurance proceeds	-	6,580
Nuclear fuel purchases	(21,807)	(7,542)
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	21,755	9,923
Payment for purchase of plant	(56,409)	-

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales	26,318	29,533
Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds	(33,328)	(36,404)
Change in money pool receivable - net	(19,452)	(117,699)
Changes in other investments - net	3,934	2,381
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(176,998)	(246,690)

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	369,549	321,938
Retirement of long-term debt	(366,681)	-
Redemption of preferred stock	-	(2,250)
Changes in credit borrowing - net	30,000	-
Dividends/distributions paid:		
Common equity	(37,800)	(45,500)
Preferred membership interests	(447)	(1,898)
Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities	(5,379)	272,290

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(74,560)	271,175
---	-----------------	----------------

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	108,036	180,381
---	----------------	----------------

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$33,476	\$451,556
---	-----------------	------------------

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$63,446	\$73,694
Income taxes	\$11,154	\$9,559

Noncash financing activities:		
Repayment by Entergy Texas of assumed long-term debt	\$148,837	\$-

See Notes to Financial Statements.

75

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.

BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

2008	2007
(In Thousands)	

CURRENT ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	\$86	\$233
Temporary cash investments - at cost,		
which approximates market	33,390	107,803
Total cash and cash equivalents	33,476	108,036
Accounts receivable:		

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Customer	88,533	62,408
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,259)	(979)
Associated companies	274,645	218,891
Other	63,246	59,059
Accrued unbilled revenues	62,311	54,021
Total accounts receivable	487,476	393,400
Deferred fuel costs	71,338	5,644
Accumulated deferred income taxes	-	21,938
Fuel inventory - at average cost	35,268	31,810
Materials and supplies - at average cost	103,032	100,161
Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs	31,219	5,155
Debt assumption by Entergy Texas	160,286	309,123
Gas hedge contracts	25,089	-
Prepayments and other	53,093	23,533
TOTAL	1,000,277	998,800

**OTHER PROPERTY AND
INVESTMENTS**

Decommissioning trust funds	344,859	366,062
Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation)	112,534	109,517
Other	12,990	17,350
TOTAL	470,383	492,929

UTILITY PLANT

Electric	6,285,221	6,132,362
Natural gas	102,463	98,484
Construction work in progress	100,379	141,528
Nuclear fuel under capital lease	122,393	110,769
Nuclear fuel	9,047	11,256
TOTAL UTILITY PLANT	6,619,503	6,494,399
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	3,460,471	3,433,131
UTILITY PLANT - NET	3,159,032	3,061,268

**DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER
ASSETS**

Regulatory assets:		
SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net	308,009	299,023
Other regulatory assets	330,454	335,897
Deferred fuel costs	100,124	100,124
Long-term receivables	1,311	1,872
Debt assumption by Entergy Texas	769,971	769,971
Other	19,721	12,807
TOTAL	1,529,590	1,519,694
TOTAL ASSETS	\$6,159,282	\$6,072,691

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.
BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY
June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Currently maturing long-term debt	\$160,286	\$675,000
Accounts payable:		
Associated companies	273,316	201,217
Other	121,247	111,579
Customer deposits	39,885	38,061
Accumulated deferred income taxes	12,191	-
Interest accrued	29,022	29,398
Obligations under capital leases	28,795	28,795
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	7,255	7,064
System agreement cost equalization	106,581	124,775
Other	9,928	9,052
TOTAL	788,506	1,224,941
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued	1,268,194	1,219,568
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	93,690	95,745
Obligations under capital leases	93,598	81,974
Other regulatory liabilities	87,111	69,890
Decommissioning and asset retirement cost liabilities	213,687	204,828
Accumulated provisions	13,285	11,887
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	88,823	102,510
Long-term debt	2,077,190	1,674,113
Other	118,999	87,468
TOTAL	4,054,577	3,547,983
Commitments and Contingencies		
MEMBERS' EQUITY		
Preferred membership interests without sinking fund	10,000	10,000
Members' equity	1,328,501	1,312,701
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(22,302)	(22,934)
TOTAL	1,316,199	1,299,767
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY		
	\$6,159,282	\$6,072,691

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.
STATEMENTS OF MEMBERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	Three Months Ended (In Thousands)	2007	
MEMBERS' EQUITY				
Members' Equity - Beginning of period	\$1,312,933		\$2,218,500	
Add: Net Income	23,187	\$23,187	31,960	\$31,960
Deduct:				
Dividends/distributions declared on common equity	7,400		11,900	
Preferred membership interests	207	207	929	929
Other	12		-	
	7,619		12,829	
Members' Equity - End of period	\$1,328,501		\$2,237,631	
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (Net of Taxes):				
Balance at beginning of period:				
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(\$22,605)		(\$19,580)	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$452 and \$326)	303	303	335	335
Balance at end of period:				
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(\$22,302)		(\$19,245)	
Comprehensive Income		\$23,283		\$31,366

	2008	Six Months Ended (In Thousands)	2007	
MEMBERS' EQUITY				
Members' Equity - Beginning of period	\$1,312,701		\$2,225,465	
Add: Net Income	54,013	\$54,013	59,557	\$59,557
Deduct:				

Dividends/distributions declared on common equity	37,800		45,500	
Preferred membership interests	413	413	1,891	1,891
	38,213		47,391	
Members' Equity - End of period	\$1,328,501		\$2,237,631	
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (Net of Taxes):				
Balance at beginning of period:				
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(\$22,934)		(\$19,914)	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$880 and \$652)	632	632	669	669
Balance at end of period:				
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(\$22,302)		(\$19,245)	
Comprehensive Income		\$54,232		\$58,335

See Notes to Financial Statements.

78

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

Description	Three Months Ended 2008	2007	Increase/ (Decrease)	%
	(Dollars In Millions)			
Electric Operating Revenues:				
Residential	\$131	\$238	(\$107)	(45)
Commercial	131	208	(77)	(37)
Industrial	179	284	(105)	(37)
Governmental	6	12	(6)	(50)
Total retail	447	742	(295)	(40)
Sales for resale				
Associated companies	162	39	123	315
Non-associated companies	48	52	(4)	(8)
Other	24	32	(8)	(25)
Total	\$681	\$865	(\$184)	(21)
Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh):				
Residential	1,133	2,210	(1,077)	(49)

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Commercial	1,213	2,160	(947)	(44)
Industrial	2,161	3,918	(1,757)	(45)
Governmental	53	109	(56)	(51)
Total retail	4,560	8,397	(3,837)	(46)
Sales for resale				
Associated companies	1,932	481	1,451	302
Non-associated companies	671	693	(22)	(3)
Total	7,163	9,571	(2,408)	(25)

Description	Six Months Ended		Increase/ (Decrease)	%
	2008	2007 (Dollars In Millions)		
Electric Operating Revenues:				
Residential	\$246	\$480	(\$234)	(49)
Commercial	242	402	(160)	(40)
Industrial	332	539	(207)	(38)
Governmental	12	23	(11)	(48)
Total retail	832	1,444	(612)	(42)
Sales for resale				
Associated companies	248	66	182	276
Non-associated companies	93	102	(9)	(9)
Other	29	48	(19)	(40)
Total	\$1,202	\$1,660	(\$458)	(28)
Billed Electric Energy				
Sales (GWh):				
Residential	2,224	4,532	(2,308)	(51)
Commercial	2,348	4,184	(1,836)	(44)
Industrial	4,298	7,502	(3,204)	(43)
Governmental	106	221	(115)	(52)
Total retail	8,976	16,439	(7,463)	(45)
Sales for resale				
Associated companies	2,678	1,234	1,444	117
Non-associated companies	1,335	1,544	(209)	(14)
Total	12,989	19,217	(6,228)	(32)

(1) Amounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 reflect the effects of the separation of the Texas business. Amounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 include the operations of Entergy Texas.

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Results of Operations

Net Income

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Net income increased \$5.7 million primarily due to higher net revenue and higher other income, partially offset by higher other operation and maintenance expenses.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Net income increased slightly, by \$1.6 million, primarily due to higher net revenue, lower depreciation and amortization expenses, higher other income, and lower interest charges, substantially offset by higher other operation and maintenance expenses and a higher effective income tax rate.

Net Revenue

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the second quarter 2008 to the second quarter 2007.

	Amount (In Millions)
2007 net revenue	\$246.4
Volume/weather	12.9
Other	(1.1)
2008 net revenue	\$258.2

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to increased electricity usage, including the effect of more favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007. Billed retail electricity usage increased a total of 355 GWh in all sectors.

Gross operating revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to an increase of \$75.9 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and usage and an increase of \$12.9 million related to volume/weather, as discussed above.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to increases in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power, offset by a decrease in the recovery from customers of deferred fuel costs.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the six months ended June 30, 2008 to the six months ended June 30, 2007.

	Amount (In Millions)
2007 net revenue	\$460.8
Volume/weather	13.4
Other	3.3
2008 net revenue	\$477.5

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to increased electricity usage, including the effect of more favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007. Billed retail electricity usage increased a total of 385 GWh in all sectors.

Gross operating revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to an increase of \$27.4 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and usage and an increase of \$13.4 million related to volume/weather, as discussed above.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to increases in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power, offset by a decrease in the recovery from customers of deferred fuel costs.

Other Income Statement Variances

Second Quarter 2008 Compared to Second Quarter 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$1.4 million in payroll-related costs;
- an increase of \$1.2 million due to higher insurance premiums for non-nuclear property;
- an increase of \$1.0 million in loss reserves; and
- an increase of \$1.0 million in transmission spending due to additional costs related to compliance, substation maintenance, and line and vegetation maintenance.

Other income increased primarily due to interest earned on the deferred fuel balance and carrying charges on storm restoration costs approved by the LPSC.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$6.9 million in fossil expenses due to a fossil plant maintenance outage in 2008;
- an increase of \$2.3 million due to higher insurance premiums for non-nuclear property;
- an increase of \$1.8 million in loss reserves; and
- an increase of \$1.7 million in transmission spending due to additional costs related to compliance, substation maintenance, and line and vegetation maintenance.

Depreciation and amortization expenses decreased primarily because Entergy Louisiana stopped recording depreciation on storm cost-related assets in the third quarter 2007. Recovery of the cost of those assets will now be through the securitization of storm costs as approved by the LPSC in the third quarter 2007. See **"MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina"** in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the securitization approval.

Other income increased primarily due to interest earned on the deferred fuel balance and carrying charges on storm restoration costs approved by the LPSC.

Interest charges decreased primarily due to lower interest expense on money pool borrowings and a decrease in long-term debt outstanding as a result of the repurchase of \$60 million Auction Rate governmental bonds in April 2008. These bonds are being held for remarketing at a later date.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 39.7% for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007. The effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were 41.5% and 38%, respectively. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007 and the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% are primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes, partially offset by book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction and the amortization of investment tax credits.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$300	\$2,743
Cash flow provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	14,596	141,156
Investing activities	(201,257)	(130,609)
Financing activities	186,731	(10,548)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	70	(1)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$370	\$2,742

Operating Activities

Cash flow provided by operating activities decreased \$126.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to decreased recovery of deferred fuel costs, partially offset by the timing of collections from customers, the timing of payments to vendors, and a decrease of \$28.5 million

in income tax payments. Fuel prices have been increasing, and due to the time lag before the fuel adjustment rate increases in response, Entergy Louisiana has under-recovered fuel costs thus far in 2008.

82

Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities increased \$70.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to:

- increased spending on the Little Gypsy Unit 3 repowering project;
- increased spending on various nuclear projects;
- timing differences between nuclear fuel payments and reimbursements from the trust that occurred in 2007; and
- more insurance proceeds received in 2007 than in 2008 relating to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita.

Financing Activities

Financing activities provided cash of \$186.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to using cash of \$10.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 primarily due to borrowings of \$200 million on Entergy Louisiana's credit facility and money pool activity, partially offset by the repurchase, prior to maturity, of \$60 million of Auction Rate governmental bonds, which are being held for remarketing at a later date. Increases in Entergy Louisiana's payable to the money pool are a source of cash flow, and Entergy Louisiana's payable to the money pool increased by \$49.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to decreasing by \$7.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Capital Structure

Entergy Louisiana's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table. The increase in the debt to capital ratio for Entergy Louisiana as of June 30, 2008 is primarily due to borrowings of \$200 million on Entergy Louisiana's credit facility, partially offset by the repurchase, prior to maturity, of \$60 million of Auction Rate governmental bonds.

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Net debt to net capital	46.3%	43.4%
Effect of subtracting cash from debt	-	-
Debt to capital	46.3%	43.4%

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and members' equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy Louisiana uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy Louisiana's financial condition.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Louisiana's uses and sources of capital. Following are updates to the

discussion in the Form 10-K.

83

Entergy Louisiana's payables to the money pool were as follows:

June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
(In Thousands)			
(\$52,419)	(\$2,791)	(\$46,968)	(\$54,041)

See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool.

As discussed in the Form 10-K, Entergy Louisiana has a credit facility in the amount of \$200 million scheduled to expire in August 2012. As of June 30, 2008, \$200 million was outstanding on the credit facility.

Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the effects of Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and Entergy's initiatives to recover storm restoration and business continuity costs and incremental losses, which includes obtaining reimbursement of certain costs covered by insurance and pursuing recovery through existing or new rate mechanisms regulated by the FERC and local regulatory bodies, in combination with securitization. In August and September 2005, Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita, along with extensive flooding that resulted from levee breaks in and around Entergy Louisiana's service territory, caused catastrophic damage.

Insurance Claims

Entergy has settled its lawsuit against one of its excess insurers on the Hurricane Katrina claim, and in July 2008 received \$71.5 million in proceeds on the claim. The July 2008 proceeds were allocated as follows: \$2.0 million to Entergy Arkansas, \$3.7 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$12.4 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$1.8 million to Entergy Mississippi, and \$48.4 million to Entergy New Orleans, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

Act 55 Storm Cost Financings

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation (LURC), an instrumentality of the State of Louisiana, filed at the LPSC an application requesting that the LPSC grant financing orders authorizing the financing of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana storm costs, storm reserves, and issuance costs pursuant to Act 55 of the Louisiana Legislature (Act 55 financings). The Act 55 financings are expected to produce additional customer benefits as compared to Act 64 traditional securitization. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana also filed an application requesting LPSC approval for ancillary issues including the mechanism to flow charges and savings to customers via a Storm Cost Offset rider. On April 3, 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted preliminary approval for the Act 55 financings. On April 8, 2008, the Louisiana Public Facilities Authority (LPFA), which is the issuer of the bonds pursuant to the Act 55 financings, approved requests for the Act 55 financings. On April 10, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC Staff filed with the LPSC an uncontested stipulated settlement that includes Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana's proposals under the Act 55 financings, which includes a commitment to pass on to customers a minimum of \$10 million and \$30 million of customer benefits, respectively. On April 16, 2008, the LPSC approved the settlement and issued two financing orders and one ratemaking order intended to facilitate implementation of the Act 55 financings. On May 6, 2008, the State Bond Commission voted to approve the

Act 55 financings.

On July 29, 2008, the LPFA issued \$679 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Louisiana invested \$527 million in affiliate securities. The LURC deposited \$152 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Louisiana. As approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, Entergy Louisiana withdrew \$17.8 million from the restricted escrow account and also invested this amount in affiliate securities.

84

Little Gypsy Repowering Project

The preconstruction and operating air permits for the Little Gypsy repowering project were issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in November 2007 under then-effective federal and state air regulations, including the EPA's Clean Air Mercury Rule that had been issued in 2005 (CAMR 2005). As discussed in more detail in part I, Item 1, "Environmental Regulation, Clean Air Act and Subsequent Amendments, Hazardous Air Pollutants" in the Form 10-K, in February 2008 the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit struck down CAMR 2005. The D.C. Circuit decision requires utilities that have not yet begun construction of the facility in question to undergo a case-by-case Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) analysis for construction or reconstruction of emission units pursuant to the Clean Air Act before beginning construction. The Little Gypsy project as currently configured is expected to meet MACT standards. Little Gypsy received its construction permit before a formal MACT analysis was required, however, and Entergy Louisiana has sought a MACT determination from the LDEQ. The filing was made in June 2008, and the LDEQ has certified that the filing is complete. A decision on the MACT determination is expected by first quarter 2009. Entergy Louisiana also is awaiting permit determinations from several additional agencies. These permits are unrelated to CAMR 2005 and always have been part of the construction process. Onsite construction of the project was scheduled to begin in July 2008, but obtaining the MACT determination will cause a delay in the start of construction, which Entergy Louisiana now expects to begin in mid-year 2009. This delays the expected commercial operation date of the project to mid-2013. The LPSC approved the temporary suspension of Phase II of the Little Gypsy proceedings because Entergy Louisiana must update its estimated project cost and schedule in order to support the request to recover cash earnings on its construction work in progress costs. Entergy Louisiana plans to refile the Phase II case in September 2008, and a decision is expected in the first quarter 2009. The LPSC Phase I order has been appealed to the state district court in Baton Rouge, Louisiana by a group led by the Sierra Club and represented by the Tulane Environmental Law Clinic. A procedural schedule for the appeal has not been set.

Entergy Louisiana expects a net reduction of committed capital expenditures for 2008-2010 of approximately \$210 million from the estimates disclosed in the Form 10-K as a result of delayed construction of the Little Gypsy repowering project. The delay is expected to increase the total project cost, however, from approximately \$1.55 billion to \$1.76 billion, primarily due to price escalation on non-contracted equipment and material and increased carrying cost due to the extended construction period.

Waterford 3 Steam Generator Replacement Project

As discussed in more detail in the Form 10-K, Entergy Louisiana plans to replace the Waterford 3 steam generators, along with the reactor vessel closure head and control element drive mechanisms, in 2011. In June 2008, Entergy Louisiana filed with the LPSC for approval of the project, including full cost recovery. Entergy Louisiana estimates in the filing that it will spend approximately \$511 million on this project. The petition seeks relief in two phases. Phase I seeks certification within 120 days that the public convenience and necessity would be served by undertaking this project. Among other relief requested, Entergy Louisiana is also seeking approval for a procedure to synchronize permanent base rate recovery when the project is placed in service, either by a formula rate plan or base rate filing. In Phase II, Entergy Louisiana will seek cash earnings on construction work in progress. A status conference was held on

July 31, 2008, and a procedural schedule for Phase I was adopted providing for hearings in October 2008 and LPSC consideration in December 2008.

Significant Factors and Known Trends

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Significant Factors and Known Trends**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of state and local rate regulation, federal regulation, the Energy Policy Act of 2005, utility restructuring, nuclear matters, and environmental risks. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

85

Retail Rates

In May 2008, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2007 test year, seeking an \$18.4 million rate increase, comprised of \$12.6 million of recovery of incremental and deferred capacity costs and \$5.8 million related to lost contribution to fixed costs associated with the loss of customers due to Hurricane Katrina. The filing includes two alternative versions of the calculated revenue requirement, one that reflects Entergy Louisiana's full request for recovery of the loss of fixed cost contribution and the other that reflects the anticipated rate implementation in September 2008, subject to refund, of only a portion of the full request, with the remainder deferred, until the lost fixed cost contribution issue is resolved. Under the first alternative, Entergy Louisiana's earned return on common equity was 9.44%, whereas under the other alternative, its earned return on common equity was 9.04%. The LPSC staff and intervenors issued their reports on Entergy Louisiana's filing on July 31, 2008 and, with minor exceptions, primarily raised proposed disallowance issues that were previously raised with regard to Entergy Louisiana's May 2007 filing and remain at issue in that proceeding. Entergy Louisiana disagrees with the majority of the proposed adjustments.

In May 2007, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2006 test year, indicating a 7.6% earned return on common equity. That filing included Entergy Louisiana's request to recover \$39.8 million in unrecovered fixed costs associated with the loss of customers that resulted from Hurricane Katrina, a request that was recently reduced to \$31.7 million. In September 2007, Entergy Louisiana modified its formula rate plan filing to reflect its implementation of certain adjustments proposed by the LPSC Staff in its review of Entergy Louisiana's original filing with which Entergy Louisiana agreed, and to reflect its implementation of an \$18.4 million annual formula rate plan increase comprised of (1) a \$23.8 million increase representing 60% of Entergy Louisiana revenue deficiency, and (2) a \$5.4 million decrease for reduced incremental and deferred capacity costs. The LPSC authorized Entergy Louisiana to defer for accounting purposes the difference between its \$39.8 million claim, now at \$31.7 million, for unrecovered fixed cost and 60% of the revenue deficiency to preserve Entergy Louisiana's right to pursue that claim in full during the formula rate plan proceeding. In October 2007, Entergy Louisiana implemented a \$7.1 million formula rate plan decrease that was due primarily to the reclassification of certain franchise fees from base rates to collection via a line item on customer bills pursuant to an LPSC Order. The LPSC staff and intervenors have recommended disallowance of certain costs included in Entergy Louisiana's filing. Entergy Louisiana disagrees with the majority of the proposed disallowances and a hearing on the disputed issues is set to begin in late September 2008.

In May 2006, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2005 test year. Entergy Louisiana modified the filing in August 2006 to reflect a 9.45% return on equity which is within the allowed bandwidth. The modified filing includes an increase of \$24.2 million for interim recovery of storm costs from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and a \$119.2 million rate increase to recover LPSC-approved incremental deferred and ongoing capacity costs. The filing requested recovery of approximately \$50 million for the amortization of capacity deferrals over a three-year period, including carrying charges, and approximately \$70 million for ongoing capacity costs. The increase was implemented, subject to refund, with the first billing cycle of September 2006. Entergy Louisiana subsequently updated its formula rate plan rider to reflect adjustments proposed by the LPSC Staff with

which it agrees. The adjusted return on equity of 9.56% remains within the allowed bandwidth. Ongoing and deferred incremental capacity costs were reduced to \$118.7 million. The updated formula rate plan rider was implemented, subject to refund, with the first billing cycle of October 2006. An uncontested stipulated settlement was filed in February 2008 that will leave the current base rates in place, and the LPSC approved the settlement in March 2008. In the settlement Entergy Louisiana agreed to credit customers \$7.2 million, plus \$0.7 million of interest, for customer contributions to the Central States Compact in Nebraska that was never completed and agreed to a one-time \$2.6 million deduction from the deferred capacity cost balance. The credit, for which Entergy Louisiana had previously recorded a provision, was made in May 2008.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

86

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy Louisiana's accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, unbilled revenue, and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "**New Accounting Pronouncements**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

87

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)		(In Thousands)	
OPERATING REVENUES				
Electric	\$753,778	\$656,299	\$1,318,522	\$1,273,778
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Operation and Maintenance:				
Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale	142,279	172,762	255,274	366,718
Purchased power	342,322	226,165	564,849	423,928

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Nuclear refueling outage expenses	4,222	4,418	8,725	8,615
Other operation and maintenance	111,537	104,694	212,409	196,161
Decommissioning	4,931	4,591	9,775	9,099
Taxes other than income taxes	16,507	14,962	31,248	28,776
Depreciation and amortization	47,909	49,214	94,970	98,192
Other regulatory charges - net	10,944	10,949	20,927	22,292
TOTAL	680,651	587,755	1,198,177	1,153,781
OPERATING INCOME	73,127	68,544	120,345	119,997
OTHER INCOME				
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	3,765	2,309	7,022	6,257
Interest and dividend income	3,956	1,861	8,705	5,455
Miscellaneous - net	(727)	(456)	(1,939)	(1,688)
TOTAL	6,994	3,714	13,788	10,024
INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES				
Interest on long-term debt	18,777	20,350	38,332	40,583
Other interest - net	3,031	2,359	4,186	5,719
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(2,308)	(1,554)	(4,304)	(4,300)
TOTAL	19,500	21,155	38,214	42,002
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	60,621	51,103	95,919	88,019
Income taxes	24,077	20,305	39,780	33,453
NET INCOME	36,544	30,798	56,139	54,566
Preferred distribution requirements and other	1,738	1,737	3,475	3,475
EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO COMMON EQUITY	\$34,806	\$29,061	\$52,664	\$51,091

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

2008

2007

(In Thousands)

OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Net income	\$56,139	\$54,566
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities:		
Reserve for regulatory adjustments	-	(179)
Other regulatory charges - net	20,927	22,292
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	104,745	107,291
Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued	55,975	5,252
Changes in working capital:		
Receivables	(49,797)	(108,934)
Accounts payable	134,714	(51,003)
Taxes accrued	19,130	48,577
Interest accrued	(7,248)	(23)
Deferred fuel costs	(260,114)	24,968
Other working capital accounts	(106,877)	62,853
Provision for estimated losses and reserves	2,630	(3,299)
Changes in other regulatory assets	12,824	2,466
Other	31,548	(23,671)
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	14,596	141,156

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Construction expenditures	(203,859)	(153,715)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	7,022	6,257
Insurance proceeds	612	10,065
Nuclear fuel purchases	(70,626)	(3,103)
Proceeds from the sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	70,216	14,279
Changes in other investments - net	(500)	-
Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales	9,293	6,423
Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds	(13,415)	(10,815)
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(201,257)	(130,609)

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Additional equity from parent	-	1,119
Retirement of long-term debt	(60,000)	-
Changes in credit borrowing - net	200,000	-
Change in money pool payable - net	49,628	(7,073)
Distributions paid:		
Preferred membership interests	(2,897)	(4,594)
Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities	186,731	(10,548)

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	70	(1)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	300	2,743
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$370	\$2,742

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$48,039	\$44,328
Income taxes	\$1,250	\$29,736

See Notes to Financial Statements.

89

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS
June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$370	\$300
Accounts receivable:		
Customer	138,458	96,679
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,329)	(1,988)
Associated companies	90,769	91,873
Other	9,878	14,186
Accrued unbilled revenues	88,631	75,860
Total accounts receivable	326,407	276,610
Deferred fuel costs	211,330	-
Accumulated deferred income taxes	-	15,229
Materials and supplies - at average cost	112,428	108,959
Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs	26,072	7,080
Gas hedge contracts	52,789	-
Prepayments and other	15,251	7,820
TOTAL	744,647	415,998
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS		
Decommissioning trust funds	209,562	221,971
Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation)	1,397	1,488
Note receivable - Entergy New Orleans	9,353	9,353
Other	504	4
TOTAL	220,816	232,816
UTILITY PLANT		
Electric	6,671,733	6,550,597
Property under capital lease	253,387	253,387
Construction work in progress	321,695	276,974
Nuclear fuel under capital lease	98,511	44,532
TOTAL UTILITY PLANT	7,345,326	7,125,490

Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	3,168,205	3,095,473
UTILITY PLANT - NET	4,177,121	4,030,017

**DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER
ASSETS**

Regulatory assets:		
SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net	116,388	117,322
Other regulatory assets	820,116	832,449
Deferred fuel costs	67,998	67,998
Long-term receivables	1,772	2,982
Other	25,948	23,539
TOTAL	1,032,222	1,044,290
TOTAL ASSETS	\$6,174,806	\$5,723,121

See Notes to Financial Statements.

90

**ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY
June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)**

	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)	
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable:		
Associated companies	\$174,405	\$65,930
Other	224,518	148,651
Customer deposits	78,505	79,013
Taxes accrued	26,886	7,756
Accumulated deferred income taxes	73,567	-
Interest accrued	22,491	29,739
Deferred fuel costs	-	48,784
Obligations under capital leases	42,714	42,714
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	8,936	8,772
System agreement cost equalization	30,090	46,000
Other	11,020	18,961
TOTAL	693,132	496,320
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued	1,763,322	1,803,430
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	84,446	86,045
Obligations under capital leases	55,797	1,818
Other regulatory liabilities	156,220	127,836

Decommissioning	266,841	257,066
Accumulated provisions	21,035	18,405
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	149,475	145,786
Long-term debt	1,287,666	1,147,660
Other	89,703	85,214
TOTAL	3,874,505	3,673,260

Commitments and Contingencies

MEMBERS' EQUITY

Preferred membership interests without sinking fund	100,000	100,000
Members' equity	1,534,173	1,481,509
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(27,004)	(27,968)
TOTAL	1,607,169	1,553,541

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY

\$6,174,806	\$5,723,121
--------------------	--------------------

See Notes to Financial Statements.

91

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
STATEMENTS OF MEMBERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

	2008	Three Months Ended	2007
		(In Thousands)	
MEMBERS' EQUITY			
Members' Equity - Beginning of period	\$1,499,367	\$1,367,152	
Add:			
Net income	36,544	\$36,544	30,798
			\$30,798
Deduct:			
Distributions declared:			
Preferred membership interests	1,738	1,738	1,737
	1,738	1,737	1,737
Members' Equity - End of period	\$1,534,173	\$1,396,213	

ACCUMULATED OTHER
COMPREHENSIVE
INCOME (Net of Taxes):

Balance at beginning of period:

Edgar Filing: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(\$27,486)		(\$25,184)	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$409 and \$466)	482	482	511	511
Balance at end of period:				
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(\$27,004)		(\$24,673)	
Com				