FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC Form 10-Q August 13, 2013 UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013
OR
[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period fromto
Commission file number: 333-90273
FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.
STATE OF INCORPORATION. IPS EMPLOYED IDENTIFICATION NO.

23-3017653

PENNSYLVANIA

Address of principal executive offices:
BLAKELY & DRINKER ST.
DUNMORE, PENNSYLVANIA 18512
TELEPHONE:
570-342-8281
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subjected to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. [X] YES [] NO
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). [X] YES [] NO
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):
Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer []
Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company [X]
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

[] YES [X] NO

The number of outstanding shares of Common Stock of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. on July 31, 2013, the latest practicable date, was 2,356,829 shares.

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Form 10-Q June 30, 2013

Index

Part I. Financial Information	Page
Item 1.Financial Statements (unaudited):	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013	
and December 31, 2012 Consolidated Statements of Income for the three- and six-months	3
ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	4
for the three- and six-months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	5
for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months	6
ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Item 2.Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition	8
and Results of Operations	30
Item 3.Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	46
Item 4.Controls and Procedures	51
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1.Legal Proceedings	51
Item 1A.Risk Factors	51
Item 2.Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	51
Item 3.Defaults upon Senior Securities	51
Item 4.Mine Safety Disclosures	51
Item 5.Other Information	51
Item 6.Exhibits	51
Signatures	53
Exhibit index	54

PART I – Financial Information

Item 1: Financial Statements

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)		
(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets: Cash and due from banks Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions	\$ 15,238 28	\$ 12,657 9,189
Total cash and cash equivalents	15,266	21,846
Available-for-sale securities Held-to-maturity securities Federal Home Loan Bank stock Loans and leases, net (allowance for loan losses of \$8,296 in 2013; \$8,972 in 2012) Loans held-for-sale (fair value \$5,417 in 2013, \$10,824 in 2012) Foreclosed assets held-for-sale Bank premises and equipment, net Cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance Accrued interest receivable Other assets	96,259 207 3,214 451,665 5,389 2,617 13,802 10,231 2,073 14,452	100,441 289 2,624 424,584 10,545 1,607 14,127 10,065 1,985 13,412
Total assets	\$ 615,175	\$ 601,525
Liabilities: Deposits: Interest-bearing Non-interest-bearing	\$ 392,255 127,268	\$ 388,625 126,035
Total deposits	519,523	514,660
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities Short-term borrowings Long-term debt	3,550 16,199 16,000	3,863 8,056 16,000
Total liabilities	555,272	542,579
Shareholders' equity: Preferred stock authorized 5,000,000 shares with no par value; none issued	-	-

Capital stock, no par value (10,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding: 2.356 829 in 2013; and

shares issued and outstanding; 2,356,829 in 2013; and		
2,323,248 in 2012)	24,454	23,711
Retained earnings	36,732	34,999
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,283)	236
Total shareholders' equity	59,903	58,946
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 615,175	\$ 601,525

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited)	Three months ended		Three months ended Six mon	
(dollars in thousands except per share data)	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Interest income:				
Loans and leases:				
Taxable	\$ 5,335	\$ 5,292	\$ 10,705	\$ 10,572
Nontaxable	121	116	220	252
Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions	2	15	14	43
Investment securities:				
U.S. government agency and corporations	137	240	316	521
States and political subdivisions (nontaxable)	299	310	589	619
Other securities	18	18	36	36
Total interest income	5,912	5,991	11,880	12,043
Interest expense:				
Deposits	511	617	1,026	1,301
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	4	8	13	23
Other short-term borrowings and other	4	-	5	-
Long-term debt	213	213	423	452
Total interest expense	732	838	1,467	1,776
Net interest income	5,180	5,153	10,413	10,267
Provision for loan losses	600	600	1,150	1,300
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	4,580	4,553	9,263	8,967
Other income:				
Service charges on deposit accounts	459	424	911	843
Interchange fees	307	268	580	522
Fees from trust fiduciary activities	192	149	340	307
Fees from financial services	140	118	296	286
Service charges on loans	348	357	582	651
Fees and other revenue	119	97	221	169
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	86	81	166	161
Gain (loss) on sale, recovery, or disposal of:				
Loans	390	434	894	829
Investment securities	9	7	128	261
Premises and equipment	1	(1)	1	(1)
Impairment losses on investment securities:				
Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities	-	(31)	(61)	(241)
Non-credit-related losses on investment securities not expected				
to be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income (loss))	-	-	61	105
Net impairment losses on investment securities	-	(31)	-	(136)
Total other income	2,051	1,903	4,119	3,892
Other expenses:				
Salaries and employee benefits	2,422	2,294	4,896	4,651

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Premises and equipment	805	806	1,660	1,704
Advertising and marketing	215	243	467	395
Professional services	328	365	577	671
FDIC assessment	123	127	249	249
Loan collection	168	180	363	301
Other real estate owned	61	104	184	159
Office supplies and postage	114	93	216	212
Other	370	497	875	1,118
Total other expenses	4,606	4,709	9,487	9,460
Income before income taxes	2,025	1,747	3,895	3,399
Provision for income taxes	512	430	988	825
Net income	\$ 1,513	\$ 1,317	\$ 2,907	\$ 2,574
Per share data:				
Net income - basic	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.57	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.13
Net income - diluted	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.57	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.13
Dividends	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50

Three mended	Three months ended		nths
June 30.	,	June 30	,
2013	2012	2013	2012
\$ 1,513	\$ 1,317	\$ 2,907	\$ 2,574
(1,810)	514	(2,227)	1,013
(9)	(7)	(128)	(261)
-	31	-	136
(1,819)	538	(2,355)	888
619	(183)	801	(302)
(1,200)	355	(1,554)	586
92	238	53	282
(31)	(81)	(18)	(96)
61	157	35	186
(1,139)	512	(1,519)	772
\$ 374	\$ 1,829	\$ 1,388	\$ 3,346
	ended June 30 2013 \$ 1,513 (1,810) (9) - (1,819) 619 (1,200) 92 (31) 61 (1,139)	ended June 30, 2013 2012 \$ 1,513 \$ 1,317 (1,810) 514 (9) (7) - 31 (1,819) 538 619 (183) (1,200) 355 92 238 (31) (81) 61 157 (1,139) 512	ended June 30, 2013 2012 2013 \$ 1,513 \$ 1,317 \$ 2,907 (1,810) 514 (2,227) (9) (7) (128) - 31 (1,819) 538 (2,355) 619 (1,83) 801 (1,200) 355 (1,554) 92 238 31) (81) (18) 61 157 35 (1,139) 512 (1,519)

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (Unaudited)

(Unaudited)					Ac	cumulated		
	G 1.1.1			D 1	oth			
(1.11	Capital stock			Retained		mprehensive	-	
(dollars in thousands)	Shares	Amo		earnings		come (loss)		otal
Balance, December 31, 2011	2,254,542	\$ 22	,354	\$ 32,380	\$	(1,110)	\$	53,624
Net income				2,574				2,574
Other comprehensive income						772		772
Issuance of common stock through Employee Stock								
Purchase Plan	3,874	67	1					67
Issuance of common stock through Dividend								
Reinvestment Plan	32,099	64	4					644
Stock-based compensation expense		15	,					15
Cash dividends declared				(1,133)				(1,133)
Balance, June 30, 2012	2,290,515	\$ 23	,080,	\$ 33,821	\$	(338)	\$	56,563
D. 1	2 222 2 40	.		4.24 000	Φ.	226	Φ.	7 0 0 4 6
Balance, December 31, 2012	2,323,248	\$ 23	,711	\$ 34,999	\$	236	\$	58,946
Net income				2,907				2,907
Other comprehensive loss						(1,519)		(1,519)
Issuance of common stock through Employee Stock								
Purchase Plan	4,256	78	}					78
Issuance of common stock through Dividend								
Reinvestment Plan	29,191	60	6					606
Issuance of common stock from vested restricted								
share grants through stock compensation plans	134							
Stock-based compensation expense		59)					59
Cash dividends declared				(1,174)				(1,174)
Balance, June 30, 2013	2,356,829	\$ 24	,454	\$ 36,732	\$	(1,283)	\$	59,903

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subs	idiary
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow	c

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	Civ months	andad Iuna
(Unaudited)	Six months 30,	ended June
(dollars in thousands)	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 2,907	\$ 2,574
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by		
operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	1,722	1,750
Provision for loan losses	1,150	1,300
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	406	(225)
Stock-based compensation expense	59	15
Proceeds from sale of loans held-for-sale	50,623	39,972
Originations of loans held-for-sale	(42,730)	(32,995)
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	(166)	(161)
Net gain from sales of loans	(894)	(829)
Net gain from sales of investment securities	(111)	(251)
Net loss on sale and write-down of foreclosed assets held-for-sale	87	75
(Gain) loss on disposal of equipment	(1)	1
Other-than-temporary impairment on securities	-	136
Change in:		
Accrued interest receivable	(94)	(61)
Other assets	(338)	51
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(271)	(3,812)
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,349	7,540
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Held-to-maturity securities:		
Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal pay-downs	82	52
Available-for-sale securities:		
Proceeds from sales	756	3,571
Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal pay-downs	15,838	15,505
Purchases	(15,374)	(20,885)
(Increase) decrease in FHLB stock	(590)	361
Net increase in loans and leases	(32,000)	(24,191)
Acquisition of bank premises and equipment	(467)	(936)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets held-for-sale	310	164
Net cash used by investing activities	(31,445)	(26,359)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	4,863	(3,733)

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings Repayments of long-term debt Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan participants Dividends paid, net of dividends reinvested Proceeds from dividend reinvestment plan participants	8,143 - 78 (727) 159	(1,401) (5,000) 67 (748) 260
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	12,516	(10,555)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(6,580)	(29,374)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	21,846	52,165
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	\$ 15,266	\$ 22,791

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Nature of operations and critical accounting policies

Nature of operations

Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank (the Bank) is a commercial bank chartered in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. (the Company or collectively, the Company). Having commenced operations in 1903, the Bank is committed to provide superior customer service, while offering a full range of banking products and financial and trust services to both our consumer and commercial customers from our main office located in Dunmore and other branches located throughout Lackawanna and Luzerne counties.

Principles of consolidation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company and the Bank have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to this Form 10-Q and Rule 8-03 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnote disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations for the periods have been included. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. For additional information and disclosures required under GAAP, refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Management is responsible for the fairness, integrity and objectivity of the unaudited financial statements included in this report. Management prepared the unaudited financial statements in accordance with GAAP. In meeting its responsibility for the financial statements, management depends on the Company's accounting systems and related internal controls. These systems and controls are designed to provide reasonable but not absolute assurance that the financial records accurately reflect the transactions of the Company, the Company's assets are safeguarded and that the financial statements present fairly the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

In the opinion of management, the consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and the related consolidated statements of income and consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three- and six-months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity and consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 present fairly the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. All material adjustments required for a fair presentation have been made. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2012

financial statements to conform to the 2013 presentation.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the notes included therein, included within the Company's Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

Critical accounting policies

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect many of the reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change relates to the determination of the allowance for loan losses. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013 is adequate and reasonable. Given the subjective nature of identifying and valuing loan losses, it is likely that well-informed individuals could make different assumptions and could, therefore, calculate a materially different allowance value. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, changes in economic conditions may necessitate revisions in the future. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Another material estimate is the calculation of fair values of the Company's investment securities. Except for the Company's investment in corporate bonds, consisting of pooled trust preferred securities, fair values of the other investment securities are determined by pricing provided by a third-party vendor, who is a provider of financial market data, analytics and related services

to financial institutions. For the Company's investment in pooled trust preferred securities, management is unable to obtain readily attainable and realistic pricing from market traders due to a lack of active market participants and therefore management has determined the market for these securities to be inactive. In order to determine the fair value of the pooled trust preferred securities, management relied on the use of an income valuation approach (present value technique) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs, the results of which are more representative of fair value than the market approach valuation technique used for the other investment securities.

Based on experience, management is aware that estimated fair values of investment securities tend to vary among valuation services. Accordingly, when selling investment securities, price quotes may be obtained from more than one source. The majority of the Company's investment securities are classified as available-for-sale (AFS). AFS securities are carried at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets, with unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax, reported separately within shareholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI).

The fair value of residential mortgage loans, classified as held-for-sale (HFS), is obtained from the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) or the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). Generally, the market to which the Company sells residential mortgages it originates for sale is restricted and price quotes from other sources are not typically obtained. On occasion, the Company may transfer loans from the loan portfolio to loans HFS. Under these circumstances, pricing may be obtained from other entities and the loans are transferred at the lower of cost or market value and simultaneously sold. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, loans classified as HFS consisted of residential mortgage loans.

During the first quarter of 2013, the Company commenced its automobile leasing operations, a component of auto loans and leases in the consumer segment of the loan portfolio. Financing of automobiles, provided to customers under lease arrangements of varying terms, are accounted for as direct finance leases. Interest on automobile direct finance leasing is determined using the interest method. Generally, the interest method is used to arrive at a level effective yield over the life of the lease.

Foreclosed assets held-for-sale includes other real estate acquired through foreclosure (ORE) and may, from time-to-time, include repossessed assets such as automobiles. ORE is carried at the lower of cost (principal balance at date of foreclosure) or fair value less estimated cost to sell. Any write-downs at the date of foreclosure or within a reasonable period of time after foreclosure are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Expenses incurred to maintain ORE properties, subsequent write downs to the asset's fair value and gains or losses on disposal are included as components of other real estate owned expense in the consolidated statements of income.

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents includes cash on hand, amounts due from banks and interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company paid interest of \$1.5 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. The Company was required to pay income taxes of \$0.9 million and \$1.2 million during the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Transfers from loans to foreclosed assets held-for-sale amounted to \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. During the same respective periods, transfers from loans to loans HFS amounted to \$2.7 million and \$2.0 million. Expenditures for construction in process, a component of other assets in the consolidated balance sheets, are included in acquisition of bank premises and equipment.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued the accounting update related to; Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The update requires entities to present information about reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income in their annual financial statements in a single note or on the face of the financial statements. The new requirement is effective

prospectively for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The provisions of this accounting update require expanded financial reporting disclosures.

3. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

The following tables illustrate the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component and the details about the components of accumulated comprehensive income (loss) as of and for the periods indicated:

As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2013

			No	n-credit-related		
	Unrealized gains on		impairment losses			
(dellars in the arganda)	av	ailable-for-		investment	T.	. 4 o 1
(dollars in thousands)	sa	le securities	sec	urities	1(otal
Beginning balance	\$	1,905	\$	(1,669)	\$	236
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications		(1,469)		35		(1,434)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other		(95)				(05)
comprehensive income		(85)		-		(85)
Net current-period other comprehensive (loss) income		(1,554)		35		(1,519)
Ending balance	\$	351	\$	(1,634)	\$	(1,283)

As of and for the three months ended June 30, 2013

		No	n-credit-related	
ga	ins	imp	pairment losses	
av	ailable-for-			Total
\$	1,551	\$	(1,695)	\$ (144)
	(1,194)		61	(1,133)
\$	(6) (1,200) 351	\$	- 61 (1 634)	(6) (1,139) \$ (1,283)
	ga on av sa	(1,194) (6) (1,200)	Unrealized gains impon available-for-sale securities sects \$ 1,551 \$ (1,194)	gains impairment losses on available-for-sale securities securities \$ 1,551

In the tables above, all amounts are net of tax at 34%. Amounts in parentheses indicate debits.

		ount assified	
Details about accumulated other	fron		
	accı	umulated	
comprehensive income components	othe		Affected line item in the statement
(dallars in they sands)		nprehensive	
(dollars in thousands) For the six months ended June 30, 2013	inco	nne	where net income is presented
Tot the six months ended June 30, 2015			
Unrealized gains on AFS securities	\$	128	Gain on sale, recovery, or disposal of investment securities
C		-	Net impairment losses on investment securities
		128	Income before income taxes
		(43)	Provision for income taxes
Total reclassifications for the period	\$	85	Net income
For the three months ended June 30, 2013			
Unrealized gains on AFS securities	\$	9	Gain on sale, recovery, or disposal of investment securities
-		-	Net impairment losses on investment securities
		9	Income before income taxes
	Φ.	(3)	Provision for income taxes
Total reclassifications for the period	\$	6	Net income

4. Investment securities

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

	A	mortized		ross realized		ross realized	F	air
(dollars in thousands) June 30, 2013	co	ost	ga	nins	lo	sses	V	alue
Held-to-maturity securities:								
MBS - GSE residential	\$	207	\$	20	\$	-	\$	227
Available-for-sale securities:								
Agency - GSE	\$	13,168	\$	35	\$	25	\$	13,178
Obligations of states and								
political subdivisions		31,726		1,231		416		32,541
Corporate bonds:								
Pooled trust preferred securities		6,203		222		4,302		2,123
MBS - GSE residential		46,812		1,301		192		47,921
Total debt securities		97,909		2,789		4,935		95,763
Equity securities - financial services		295		201		-		496
Total available-for-sale securities	\$	98,204	\$	2,990	\$	4,935	\$	96,259

(dollars in thousands) December 31, 2012	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
Held-to-maturity securities:				
MBS - GSE residential	\$ 289	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ 320
Available-for-sale securities:				
Agency - GSE	\$ 17,651	\$ 102	\$ 13	\$ 17,740
Obligations of states and				
political subdivisions	26,979	2,879	1	29,857
Corporate bonds:				

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Pooled trust preferred securities MBS - GSE residential	6,323 48,836	185 1,761	4,683 44	1,825 50,553
Total debt securities	99,789	4,927	4,741	99,975
Equity securities - financial services	295	171	-	466
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 100,084	\$ 5,098	\$ 4,741	\$ 100,441

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at June 30, 2013 by contractual maturity are summarized below:

(dollars in thousands)	Amortized cost	Fair value		
Held-to-maturity securities: MBS - GSE residential	\$ 207	\$ 227		
Available-for-sale securities: Debt securities:				
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years	\$ 2,864 10,150	\$ 2,873 10,152		
Due after five years through ten years Due after ten years	2,994 35,089	3,104 31,713		
Total debt securities	51,097	47,842		
		•		
MBS - GSE residential	46,812	47,921		
Total available-for-sale debt securities	\$ 97,909	\$ 95,763		

Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers and borrowers may have the right to call or repay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalty. Agency – GSE and municipal securities are included based on their original stated maturity. MBS – GSE residential, which are based on weighted-average lives and subject to monthly principal pay-downs, are listed in total.

The following table presents the fair value and gross unrealized losses of investment securities aggregated by investment type, the length of time and the number of securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(dollars in thousands)	Less than Fair value	12 months Unrealized losses	More than Fair value	1 12 months Unrealized losses	Total Fair value	Unrealized losses
June 30, 2013						
Agency - GSE	\$ 3,081	\$ 25	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,081	\$ 25
Obligations of states and political						
subdivisions	8,361	416	-	-	8,361	416
Corporate bonds:						
Pooled trust preferred securities	-	-	1,490	4,302	1,490	4,302
MBS - GSE residential	11,764	192	_	-	11,764	192
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 23,206	\$ 633	\$ 1,490	\$ 4,302	\$ 24,696	\$ 4,935

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Number of securities	26		7	33	
December 31, 2012					
Agency - GSE	\$ 1,017	\$ 13	\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,017 \$ 13	
Obligations of states and political					
subdivisions	281	1		281 1	
Corporate bonds:					
Pooled trust preferred securities	-	-	1,639 4,683	1,639 4,683	3
MBS - GSE residential	6,214	44		6,214 44	
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 7,512	\$ 58	\$ 1,639 \$ 4,683	\$ 9,151 \$ 4,741	1
Number of securities	5		8	13	

Most of the securities in the investment portfolio have fixed rates or have predetermined scheduled rate changes, and many have call features that allow the issuer to call the security at par before its stated maturity, without penalty. Management believes the

cause of the unrealized losses is related to changes in interest rates, instability in the capital markets or the limited trading activity due to illiquid conditions in the debt market and is not directly related to credit quality.

Management conducts a formal review of investment securities on a quarterly basis for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI). The accounting guidance related to OTTI requires the Company to assess whether OTTI is present when the fair value of a debt security is less than its amortized cost as of the balance sheet date. Under those circumstances, OTTI is considered to have occurred if: (1) the entity has intent to sell the security; (2) more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost.

The accounting guidance requires that credit-related OTTI be recognized in earnings while non-credit-related OTTI on securities not expected to be sold be recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI). Non-credit-related OTTI is based on other factors affecting market value, including illiquidity. Presentation of OTTI is made in the consolidated statements of income on a gross basis with an offset for the amount of non-credit-related OTTI recognized in OCI.

The Company's OTTI evaluation process also follows the guidance set forth in topics related to debt and equity securities. The guidance set forth in the pronouncements require the Company to take into consideration current market conditions, fair value in relationship to cost, extent and nature of changes in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, volatility of earnings, current analysts' evaluations, all available information relevant to the collectability of debt securities, the ability and intent to hold investments until a recovery of fair value which may be to maturity and other factors when evaluating for the existence of OTTI. The guidance requires that credit-related OTTI be recognized as a realized loss through earnings when there has been an adverse change in the holder's expected cash flows such that the full amount (principal and interest) will probably not be received. This requirement is consistent with the impairment model in the guidance for accounting for debt and equity securities.

For all security types, as of June 30, 2013, the Company applied the criteria provided in the recognition and presentation guidance related to OTTI. That is, management has no intent to sell the securities and no conditions were identified by management that more likely than not would require the Company to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. The results indicated there was no presence of OTTI in the Company's portfolios of Agency – Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE), Mortgage-backed securities (MBS) – GSE residential and Obligations of states and political subdivisions. Following is a description of the security types within the Company's investment portfolio.

Agency - GSE and MBS - GSE residential

Agency – GSE and MBS – GSE residential securities consist of short- and medium-term notes issued by Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA), Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), Federal Farm Credit Bank (FFCB) and Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA). These securities have interest rates that are fixed and adjustable, have varying short- to mid-term maturity dates and have contractual cash flows guaranteed by the U.S. government or agencies of the U.S. government.

Obligations of states and political subdivisions

The municipal securities are bank qualified or bank eligible, general obligation and revenue bonds rated as investment grade by various credit rating agencies and have fixed rates of interest with mid- to long-term maturities. Fair values of these securities are highly driven by interest rates. Management performs ongoing credit quality reviews on these issues.

In the above security types, management believes the change in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and those instruments with unrealized losses were not caused by deterioration of credit quality. Accordingly, as of

June 30, 2013, recognition of OTTI on these securities was unnecessary.

Pooled trust preferred securities

A Pooled Trust Preferred Collateralized Debt Obligation (CDO) is a type of investment security collateralized by trust preferred securities (TPS) issued by banks, insurance companies and real estate investment trusts. The primary collateral type is a TPS issued by a bank. A TPS is a hybrid security that consists of both debt and equity characteristics which includes the ability of the issuer to voluntarily defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters. A TPS is considered a junior security in the capital structure of the issuer.

There are various investment classes or tranches issued by the CDO. The most senior tranche has the lowest yield but the most protection from credit losses. Conversely, the most junior tranche has the highest yield but the most exposure to risk of credit loss. Junior tranches are subordinate to senior tranches and losses are generally allocated from the lowest tranche with the equity component holding the most risk of credit loss and then subordinate tranches in reverse order up to the most senior tranche. The allocation of losses is defined in the indenture when the CDO was formed.

Unrealized losses in the pooled trust preferred securities (PreTSLs) are caused mainly by: (1) collateral deterioration due to bank failures and credit concerns across the banking sector; (2) widening of credit spreads and (3) illiquidity in the market. The Company's review of its portfolio of pooled trust preferred securities determined that in 2012 credit-related OTTI be recorded on two holdings, both of which are contained in the Company's AFS securities portfolio, from credit quality downgrades on the underlying collateral, including the collateral of four banks deferring interest payments within these two securities and one bank

fully redeeming which removes all future earnings cash flow. There was no credit related OTTI required to be recognized during the three or six months ended June 30, 2013.

The following table summarizes the amount of OTTI recognized in earnings, by security during the periods indicated:

	Three month ended June 3	ns l 30,	Six months ended June 30,		
(dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Pooled trust preferred securities:					
PreTSL IX, B1, B3	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18	
PreTSL XVIII, C	-	31	-	118	
Total	\$ -	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ 136	

The following is a tabular roll-forward of the cumulative amount of credit-related OTTI recognized in earnings:

		onths ended 30, 2013			
(dollars in thousands)	HTM	AFS	Total		
Beginning balance of credit-related OTTI	\$ -	\$ (15,416)	\$ (15,416)		
Additions for credit-related OTTI					
not previously recognized	-	-	-		
Additional credit-related OTTI					
previously recognized when there					
is no intent to sell before recovery					
of amortized cost basis	-	-	-		
Ending balance of credit-related OTTI	\$ -	\$ (15,416)	\$ (15,416)		

To determine credit-related OTTI, the Company analyzes the collateral of each individual tranche within each of the 13 individual pools in the Company's portfolio of PreTSLs. The Company engaged a third party structured finance firm to: review the underlying collateral of each PreTSL; research trustee reports to update relevant data and credit ratings of the underlying collateral; project default rates and cash flows of the collateral and simulate 10,000 Monte Carlo time-to-default scenarios, performed quarterly, to arrive at the single best estimate of future cash flow for each tranche.

The sub-topics of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 320 provide the scope, steps and accounting guidance for impairment: 1) determine whether an investment is impaired; 2) evaluate whether impairment is other-than-temporary; then 3) recognition of OTTI. The guidance in ASC 320 retains

and emphasizes the objective of OTTI assessment and the related disclosure requirements by aligning the OTTI methodology for certain securitizations. ASC 325 provides a scope exception for investments that were considered of high credit quality (i.e. rated "AA" or higher) at the time of acquisition. The application of the guidance contained in ASC 320 is used for two investments considered of high credit quality and ASC 325 is used for the remaining eleven securities. In summary, the quarterly evaluations indicated there was no significant adverse change in cash flows in the securities. As a result, there was no credit related OTTI recorded during the three- and six- months ended June 30, 2013.

The guidance prescribed in ASC 320 is used for investments that, upon purchase, were rated of high credit quality, "AA" or higher, by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The Company has two PreTSLs (XXIV and XXVII) that were of high credit quality, "AA" rated, upon acquisition. PreTSL XXVII evaluation proved a high probability that the Company will be able to collect all amounts due, both principal and interest, by maturity and thus, determined the impairment is temporary. PreTSL XXIV was evaluated under ASC 320 to determine if the Company expects to recover the remaining amortized cost basis and whether OTTI is deemed to have occurred. An adverse change or short-fall in the expected cash flows compared to the amortized cost would be recorded as credit-related OTTI. To assess the likelihood of recoverability, the present value of the best estimate of future cash flows is compared to the amortized cost. In this situation, the discount rate used was the interest rate implicit in the security at the date of acquisition. The application of the guidance on this security did not result in an adverse change in cash flows when compared to the previous measurement date and therefore, no credit related OTTI has been recorded during 2013.

The remaining eleven PreTSLs were rated "A" by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization at the date of acquisition and as such are considered beneficial interests of securitized financial assets. For these securities, the Company applies the guidance of ASC 325. Under this and other relevant guidance, if the fair value is below amortized cost and the present value of the best estimate of future cash flows declines significantly, evidencing a probable material adverse change in cash flows since the previous measurement date, credit-related OTTI is deemed to exist and written down to the determined present value through a charge to current earnings. The discount rate used under ASC 325 is the yield to accrete beneficial interest, which is representative

of the resulting interest from the total gross estimated future cash flows less the current amortized cost. In applying this guidance to the remaining securities, none of the securities measured an adverse change in cash flows and therefore no credit related OTTI has been recorded during 2013.

The following table is the composition of the Company's non-accrual PreTSL securities as of the period indicated:

				Decembe	er 31,	
(dollars in thousands)		June 30,	2013	2012		
		Book	Fair	Book	Fair	
Deal	Class	value	value	value	value	
Pre TSL V	Mezzanine	\$ -	\$ 25	\$ -	\$ 27	
Pre TSL VII	Mezzanine	-	126	-	125	
Pre TSL IX	B-1,B-3	1,469	569	1,507	630	
Pre TSL XI	B-3	985	302	1,053	305	
Pre TSL XV	B-1	-	44	-	33	
Pre TSL XVIII	C	167	-	167	-	
Pre TSL XIX	C	316	5	316	-	
Pre TSL XXIV	B-1	407	18	407	12	
		\$ 3,344	\$ 1,089	\$ 3,450	\$ 1,132	

Non-accrual securities have experienced impairment of principal, and interest was "paid-in-kind". When these two conditions exist, the security is placed on non-accrual status. Quarterly, each of the other PreTSL issues is evaluated for the presence of these two conditions and if necessary placed on non-accrual status.

The following table provides additional information with respect to the Company's pooled trust preferred securities as of June 30, 2013:

(dollars in thousands)

					Current		Actual		Excess subordination	Effective nsubordinar
					number		deferrals and		(2)	(3)
					of		defaults		as a % of	as a % of
				Moody'	s					
				/	banks /	Actual	as a % of		current	current
	Book	Fair	Unrealize gain	ratings	insurance	deferrals and	current	Excess	performing	performin
Deal Class	value	value	(loss)	(1)	companies	defaults	collateral	subordina	a tio llateral	collateral
Pre				Caa2/						
TSL IV Mezzanin	e \$ 412	\$ 439	\$ 27	CCC	6 / -	\$ 12,000	18.0	\$ 16,415	28.3	39.6
Mezzanine	e -	25	25	C/D	3 / -	28,950	100.0	None	N/A	N/A

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Pre TSL V								
Pre TSL								
VII Mezzanine	-	126	126	Ca / C	15 / -	85,000 52.8	None	N/A N/A
Pre		120	120	<i>Cu</i> , <i>C</i>	10 /	55,555 52.5	1,0110	1,712
TSL IXB-1,B-3	1,469	569	(900)	Ca / C	46 / -	101,280 27.0	None	N/A 4.9
Pre								
TSL XIB-3	985	302	(683)	Ca / C	60 / -	167,250 29.8	None	N/A 1.2
Pre								
TSL				~ . ~	.	1=2 200 200		37/1
XV B-1	-	44	44	C/C	61 / 7	173,200 30.8	None	N/A N/A
Pre								
TSL XVI C				C/C	47 / 6	241,570 43.4	None	N/A N/A
Pre	-	-	-	C/C	4//0	241,370 43.4	None	IV/A IV/A
TSL								
XVII C	_	_	_	C/C	49 / 6	177,390 38.8	None	N/A N/A
Pre				0,0	.,, 0	177,000 00.0	1,0110	1,712
TSL								
XVIII C	167	-	(167)	Ca / C	63 / 14	194,140 30.4	None	N/A N/A
Pre								
TSL								
XIX C	316	5	(311)	C/C	51 / 14	140,150 22.9	None	N/A 1.9
Pre								
TSL				Ca				
XXIV B-1	407	18	(389)	/ CC	77 / 11	347,500 34.8	None	N/A 15.0
Pre								
TSL				$\alpha \cdot \alpha$	(1.17	257 000 22 5	NT	NI/A 1.77
XXV C-1 Pre	-	-	-	C/C	61 / 7	257,000 33.5	None	N/A 1.7
TSL				Caa3 /				
XXVII B	2,447	595	(1,852		40 / 7	81,800 26.1	9,559	4.1 27.8
AAA VIII D	,	\$ 2,123	\$ (4,080	*	TO / /	01,000 20.1),55)	7.1 27.0
	Ψ 0,203	Ψ 2,123	Ψ (Ξ,000	,				

⁽¹⁾ All ratings have been updated through June 30, 2013.

For a further discussion on the fair value determination of the Company's investment in PreTSLs and other financial instruments, see Note 8, "Fair value measurements".

⁽²⁾ Excess subordination represents the excess (if any) of the amount of performing collateral over the given class of bonds.

⁽³⁾ Effective subordination represents the estimated percentage of the performing collateral that would need to defer or default at the next payment in order to trigger a loss of principal or interest. This differs from excess subordination in that it considers the effect of excess interest earned on the performing collateral.

5. Loans and leases

The classifications of loans and leases at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial and industrial	\$ 71,352	\$ 65,110
Commercial real estate:		
Non-owner occupied	90,303	81,998
Owner occupied	79,547	80,509
Construction	10,207	10,679
Consumer:		
Home equity installment	34,823	32,828
Home equity line of credit	34,280	34,169
Auto loans and leases	19,202	17,411
Other	5,360	6,139
Residential:		
Real estate	105,675	96,765
Construction	9,253	7,948
Total	460,002	433,556
Less:		
Allowance for loan losses	(8,296)	(8,972)
Unearned lease revenue	(41)	-
Loans and leases, net	\$ 451,665	\$ 424,584

Net deferred loan costs of \$1.0 million have been added to the carrying values of loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company services real estate loans for investors in the secondary mortgage market which are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The approximate amount of mortgages serviced amounted to \$229.4 million as of June 30, 2013 and \$214.7 million as of December 31, 2012.

The Company utilizes an external independent loan review firm that reviews and validates the credit risk program on at least an annual basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management and the Board of Directors. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company's policies and procedures.

Non-accrual loans

The decision to place loans on non-accrual status is made on an individual basis after considering factors pertaining to each specific loan. Commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans are placed on non-accrual status when management has determined that payment of all contractual principal and interest is in doubt or the loan is past due 90

days or more as to principal and interest, unless well-secured and in the process of collection. Consumer loans secured by real estate and residential mortgage loans are placed on non-accrual status at 120 days past due as to principal and interest and unsecured consumer loans are charged off when the loan is 90 days or more past due as to principal and interest. The Company considers all non-accrual loans to be impaired loans.

Non-accrual loans, segregated by class, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, were as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial and industrial	\$ 9	\$ 18
Commercial real estate:		
Non-owner occupied Owner occupied Construction	1,698 1,488 857	1,884 5,031 1,123
Consumer:		
Home equity installment Home equity line of credit Other	778 334 32	1,306 381 48
Residential:		
Real estate	1,514	2,330
Total	\$ 6,710	\$ 12,121

Troubled Debt Restructuring

A modification of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the modification constitutes a concession. The Company considers all TDRs to be impaired loans. The Company offers various types of concessions when modifying a loan, however, forgiveness of principal is rarely granted. Commercial and industrial loans modified in a TDR often involve temporary interest-only payments, term extensions, and converting revolving credit lines to term loans. Additional collateral, a co-borrower, or a guarantor is often requested. Commercial real estate and construction loans modified in a TDR often involve reducing the interest rate for the remaining term of the loan, extending the maturity date at an interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or substituting or adding a new borrower or guarantor. Construction loans modified in a TDR may also involve extending the interest-only payment period. Residential mortgage loans modified in a TDR are primarily comprised of loans where monthly payments are lowered to accommodate the borrowers' financial needs for an extended period of time. After the lowered monthly payment period ends, the borrower would revert back to paying principal and interest per the original terms with the maturity date adjusted accordingly. Home equity and automobile loan modifications are typically not granted and therefore standard modification terms do not exist for loans of this type.

Loans modified in a TDR may or may not be placed on non-accrual status. As of June 30, 2013, total TDRs amounted to \$2.1 million, of which \$1.0 million were on non-accrual status. This was a slight reduction from the December 31, 2012 total of \$2.2 million of which \$1.1 million were on non-accrual status.

Loans modified in a TDR are closely monitored for delinquency as an early indicator of possible future default. If loans modified in a TDR subsequently default, the Company evaluates the loan for possible further impairment. There were no loans modified in a TDR during the three- and six-months ended June 30, 2013. There were no loans modified in a TDR during the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 that subsequently defaulted during the three- or six months ended June 30, 2013.

The allowance may be increased, adjustments may be made in the allocation of the allowance or partial charge offs may be taken to further write-down the carrying value of the loan. An allowance for impaired loans that have been modified in a TDR is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the loan's observable market price. If the loan is collateral dependent, the estimated fair value of the collateral, less any selling costs, is used to establish the allowance.

Past due loans

Loans are considered past due when the contractual principal and/or interest are not received by the due date. An aging analysis of past due loans, segregated by class of loans, as of the period indicated is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	20. 50	60 -	Past due			Total	Recorded investment past
	30 - 59 Days	89 Days	90 days	Total		loans	due ≥ 90 days
	·	past	or more				·
June 30, 2013	past due	due	*	past due	Current	receivables	and accruing
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate:	\$ 291	\$ -	\$ 233	\$ 524	\$ 70,828	\$ 71,352	\$ 224
Non-owner occupied	115	199	1,973	2,287	88,016	90,303	275
Owner occupied	155	-	1,528	1,683	77,864	79,547	40
Construction	-	-	857	857	9,350	10,207	-
Consumer:							
Home equity installment	87	23	803	913	33,910	34,823	25
Home equity line of credit	23	99	337	459	33,821	34,280	3
Auto loans and leases	289	29	-	318	18,843	19,161	-
Other	7	4	32	43	5,317	5,360	-
Residential:							
Real estate	205	395	1,940	2,540	103,135	105,675	426
Construction	-	-	-	-	9,253	9,253	-
Total	\$ 1,172	\$ 749	\$ 7,703	\$ 9,624	\$ 450,337	\$ 459,961	\$ 993

^{*} Includes \$6.7 million of non-accrual loans.

			Past due			Total	Recorded investment past
	30 - 59	60 - 89					
	Days	Days	90 days or more	Total		loans	due ≥ 90 days
December 31, 2012	past due	past due	*	past due	Current	receivables	and accruing
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate:	\$ 676	\$ 15	\$ 254	\$ 945	\$ 64,165	\$ 65,110	\$ 236
Non-owner occupied	-	141	1,884	2,025	79,973	81,998	-
Owner occupied	208	282	5,439	5,929	74,580	80,509	408

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Construction	-	-	1,123	1,123	9,556	10,679	-
Consumer:							
Home equity installment	216	132	1,325	1,673	31,155	32,828	19
Home equity line of credit	-	66	381	447	33,722	34,169	-
Auto	459	30	16	505	16,906	17,411	16
Other	48	4	65	117	6,022	6,139	17
Residential:							
Real estate	99	544	3,357	4,000	92,765	96,765	1,027
Construction	-	-	-	-	7,948	7,948	-
Total	\$ 1,706	\$ 1,214	\$ 13,844	\$ 16,764	\$ 416,792	\$ 433,556	\$ 1,723

^{*} Includes \$12.1 million of non-accrual loans.

Impaired loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events; it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Factors considered in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting payments when due. The significance of payment delays and/or shortfalls is determined on a case-by-case basis. All circumstances surrounding the loan are taken into account. Such factors include the length of the delinquency, the underlying reasons and the borrower's prior payment record. Impairment is measured on these loans on a loan-by-loan basis. Impaired loans may include non-accrual loans, TDRs and other loans deemed to be impaired based on the aforementioned factors. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, impaired loans consisted of non-accrual loans and TDRs.

At June 30, 2013, impaired loans consisted of accruing TDRs totaling \$1.1 million and \$6.7 million of non-accrual loans. At December 31, 2012, impaired loans consisted of accruing TDRs totaling \$1.1 million and \$12.1 million of non-accrual loans. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the non-accrual loans included non-accruing TDRs of \$1.0 million and \$1.1 million. Payments received from impaired loans are first applied against the outstanding principal balance, then to the recovery of any charged-off amounts. Any excess is treated as a recovery of interest income.

Impaired loans, segregated by class, as of the period indicated are detailed below:

(dollars in thousands)	p	Inpaid rincipal alance	in w	ecorded vestment ith lowance	in W	ecorded vestment ith no lowance	re	corded	elated lowance	re	verage ecorded evestment	in	terest come cognized	inc	sis erest ome
June 30, 2013 Commercial &															
industrial	\$	49	\$	-	\$	49	\$	49	\$ _	\$	117	\$	1	\$	_
Commercial real estate	: :														
Non-owner occupied		2,208		463		1,725		2,188	82		2,977		16		39
Owner occupied		3,164		1,134		902		2,036	103		5,170		22		-
Construction		1,110		210		647		857	3		1,073		-		-
Consumer:															
Home equity															
installment		898		122		656		778	19		932		37		-
Home equity line of															
credit		433		110		224		334	17		389		-		-
Auto loans and leases		-		-		-		-	-		1		-		-
Other		32		20		12		32	18		47		-		-
Residential:															
Real Estate		1,641		614		900		1,514	138		2,123		39		-
Construction		-		-		-		-	-		14		-		-
Total	\$	9,535	\$	2,673	\$	5,115	\$	7,788	\$ 380	\$	12,843	\$	115	\$	39

(dollars in thousands)	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded investment with allowance	Recorded investment with no allowance	Total recorded investment	Related allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized	Cash basis interest income d recognized
December 31, 2012								
Commercial &								
industrial	\$ 52	\$ 8	\$ 52	\$ 60	\$ 4	\$ 275	\$ 4	\$ -
Commercial real estate:								
Non-owner occupied	2,431	957	1,420	2,377	233	4,172	152	20
Owner occupied	5,940	4,500	1,099	5,599	1,230	7,292	121	-
Construction	1,123	210	913	1,123	194	941	-	-
Consumer: Home equity								
installment	1,480	524	782	1,306	38	1,023	-	-

Home equity line of								
credit	435	144	237	381	31	482	-	-
Auto	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Other	102	16	32	48	8	36	-	-
Residential:								
Real Estate	2,688	564	1,766	2,330	76	2,342	17	-
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	44	-	-
Total	\$ 14,251	\$ 6,923	\$ 6,301	\$ 13,224	\$ 1,814	\$ 16,608	\$ 294	\$ 20

Credit Quality Indicators

Commercial and industrial and commercial real estate

The Company utilizes a loan grading system and assigns a credit risk grade to its loans in the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate portfolios. The grading system provides a means to measure portfolio quality and aids in the monitoring of the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The credit risk grades are arrived at using a risk rating matrix to assign a grade to each of the loans in the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate portfolios.

The following is a description of each risk rating category the Company uses to classify each of its commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans:

Pass

Loans in this category have an acceptable level of risk and are graded in a range of one to five. Secured loans generally have good collateral coverage. Current financial statements reflect acceptable balance sheet ratios, sales and earnings trends. Management is considered to be good, and there is some depth existing. Payment experience on the loans has been good with minor or no delinquency experience. Loans with a grade of one are of the highest quality in the range. Those graded five are of marginally acceptable quality.

Special Mention

Loans in this category are graded a six and may be protected but are potentially weak. They constitute a credit risk to the

18

Company, but have not yet reached the point of adverse classification. Some of the following conditions may exist: little or no collateral coverage; lack of current financial information; delinquency problems; highly leveraged; available financial information reflects poor balance sheet ratios and profit and loss statements reflect uncertain trends; and document exceptions. Cash flow may not be sufficient to support total debt service requirements. Loans in this category should not remain on the list for an inordinate period of time (no more than one year) and then the loan should be passed or classified appropriately.

Substandard

Loans in this category are graded a seven and have a well-defined weakness which may jeopardize the ultimate collectability of the debt. The collateral pledged may be lacking in quality or quantity. Financial statements may indicate insufficient cash flow to service the debt; and/or do not reflect a sound net worth. The payment history indicates chronic delinquency problems. Management is considered to be weak. There is a distinct possibility that the Company may sustain a loss. All loans on non-accrual are rated substandard. Loans 90 days or more past due unless otherwise fully supported should be classified substandard. Also, borrowers that are bankrupt are substandard.

Doubtful

Loans in this category are graded an eight and have a better than 50% possibility of the Company sustaining a loss, but the loss cannot be determined because of specific reasonable factors which may strengthen credit in the near-term. Many of the weaknesses present in a substandard loan exist. Liquidation of collateral, if any, is likely. Any loan graded lower than an eight is considered to be uncollectible and charged-off.

Consumer and Residential

The consumer and residential loan segments are regarded as homogeneous loan pools and as such are not risk rated. For these portfolios, the Company utilizes payment activity, history and recency of payment. Non-performing loans are considered to be loans past due 90 days or more and accruing and non-accrual loans. All loans not classified as non-performing are considered performing.

The following table presents loans, segregated by class, categorized into the appropriate credit quality indicator category as of the period indicated:

Commercial credit exposure

Credit risk profile by creditworthiness category

			Commercial real estate		Commerci	al real estate	Commercial real estat		
	Commercia	l and	-		-		-		
(dollars in	industrial		non-owner	occupied	owner occ	upied	construction	on	
thousands)	6/30/2013	12/31/2012	6/30/2013	12/31/2012	6/30/2013	12/31/2012	6/30/2013	12/31/2012	
Pass	\$ 68.373	\$ 61.821	\$ 81.343	\$ 72.738	\$ 76.624	\$ 73.922	\$ 7.844	\$ 8.094	

Special mention	2,388	2,221	3,838	3,520	206	222	1,453	1,422
Substandard	591	1,068	5,122	5,740	2,717	6,365	910	1,163
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 71,352	\$ 65,110	\$ 90,303	\$ 81,998	\$ 79,547	\$ 80,509	\$ 10,207	\$ 10,679

Consumer credit exposure

Credit risk profile based on payment activity

(dollars in thousands	Home equinstallment	t	Home equicredit 6/30/2013	•		and leases 12/31/2012	Other 6/30/20131	2/31/2012
Performing	\$ 34,020	\$ 31,503	\$ 33,943	\$ 33,788	\$ 19,161	\$ 17,395	\$ 5,328 \$	6,074
Non-performing	803	1,325	337	381	-	16	32	65
Total	\$ 34,823	\$ 32,828	\$ 34,280	\$ 34,169	\$ 19,161	\$ 17,411	\$ 5,360 \$	6,139

Mortgage lending credit exposure

Credit risk profile based on payment activity

(dollars in thousands)	Residential 6/30/2013	rour ostato	Residential construction 6/30/201312/31/2012				
Performing	\$ 103,735	\$ 93,408	\$ 9,253 \$ 7,948				
Non-performing	1,940	3,357					
Total	\$ 105,675	\$ 96,765	\$ 9,253 \$ 7,948				
19							

Allowance for loan losses

Management continually evaluates the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio and performs a formal review of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses (the allowance) on a quarterly basis. The allowance reflects management's best estimate of the amount of credit losses in the loan portfolio. Management's judgment is based on the evaluation of individual loans, past experience, the assessment of current economic conditions and other relevant factors including the amounts and timing of cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans. Those estimates may be susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged directly against the allowance when loans are deemed to be uncollectible. Recoveries from previously charged-off loans are added to the allowance when received.

Management applies two primary components during the loan review process to determine proper allowance levels. The two components are a specific loan loss allocation for loans that are deemed impaired and a general loan loss allocation for those loans not specifically allocated. The methodology to analyze the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

- § identification of specific impaired loans by loan category;
- § specific loans that are not impaired, but have an identified potential for loss;
- § calculation of specific allowances where required for the impaired loans based on collateral and other objective and quantifiable evidence;
- § determination of loans with similar credit characteristics within each class of the loan portfolio segment and eliminating the impaired loans;
- § application of historical loss percentages (two-year average) to pools to determine the allowance allocation;
- § application of qualitative factor adjustment percentages to historical losses for trends or changes in the loan portfolio.
- § Qualitative factor adjustments include:
- o levels of and trends in delinquencies and non-accrual loans;
- o levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries;
- o trends in volume and terms of loans;
- o changes in risk selection and underwriting standards;
- o changes in lending policies, procedures and practices;
- o experience, ability and depth of lending management;
- o national and local economic trends and conditions; and
- o changes in credit concentrations.

Allocation of the allowance for different categories of loans is based on the methodology as explained above. A key element of the methodology to determine the allowance is the Company's credit risk evaluation process, which includes credit risk grading of individual commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans. Commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans are assigned credit risk grades based on the Company's assessment of conditions that affect the borrower's ability to meet its contractual obligations under the loan agreement. That process includes reviewing borrowers' current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and other information specific to each individual borrower. Upon review, the commercial loan credit risk grade is revised or reaffirmed as the case may be. The credit risk grades may be changed at any time management feels an upgrade or downgrade may be warranted. The credit risk grades for the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loan portfolios are taken into account in the reserve methodology and loss factors are applied based upon the credit risk grades. The loss factors applied are based upon the Company's historical experience reveals there is a direct correlation between the credit risk grades and loan charge-offs. The changes in allocations in the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loan portfolio from period to period are based upon the credit risk grading system and from periodic reviews of the loan portfolio.

Each quarter, management performs an assessment of the allowance for loan losses. The Company's Special Assets Committee meets quarterly and the applicable lenders discuss each relationship under review and reach a consensus on the appropriate estimated loss amount based on current accounting guidance. The Special Assets Committee's focus is on ensuring the pertinent facts are considered and the reserve amounts pursuant to the accounting principles are reasonable. The assessment process includes the review of all loans on a non-accruing basis as well as a review of certain loans to which the lenders or the Company's Credit Administration function have assigned a criticized or classified risk rating. In 2013, the Company did not change its policy or methodology in calculating the allowance for loan losses from the policy or methodology used in 2012.

The Company's policy is to charge off unsecured consumer loans when they become 90 days or more past due as to principal and interest. In the other portfolio segments, amounts are charged off at the point in time when the Company deems the balance, or a portion thereof, to be uncollectible.

20

Information related to the change in the allowance for loan losses and the Company's recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment as of the period indicated is as follows:

As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2013

	Commercia	1				
	&	Commercial		Residential		
(dollars in thousands)	industrial	real estate	Consumer	real estate	Unallocated	l Total
A.11 C. X X						
Allowance for Loan Losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 922	\$ 4,908	\$ 1,639	\$ 1,503	\$ -	\$ 8,972
Charge-offs	48	1,627	180	64	-	1,919
Recoveries	6	12	75	-	-	93
Provision	39	228	113	279	491	1,150
Ending balance	\$ 919	\$ 3,521	\$ 1,647	\$ 1,718	\$ 491	\$ 8,296
Ending balance: individually						
evaluated for impairment	\$ -	\$ 188	\$ 54	\$ 138		\$ 380
Ending balance: collectively						
evaluated for impairment	\$ 919	\$ 3,333	\$ 1,593	\$ 1,580		\$ 7,425
Loans Receivables:						
Ending balance	\$ 71,352	\$ 180,057	\$ 93,624	\$ 114,928		\$ 459,961
Ending balance: individually						
evaluated for impairment	\$ 49	\$ 5,081	\$ 1,144	\$ 1,514		\$ 7,788
Ending balance: collectively						
evaluated for impairment	\$ 71,303	\$ 174,976	\$ 92,480	\$ 113,414		\$ 452,173

As of and for the three months ended June 30, 2013

(dollars in thousands)	&	ommercia dustrial	C	ommercial al estate	onsumer	 esidential al estate	Ur	nallocated	T	otal
Allowance for Loan Losses:										
Beginning balance	\$	854	\$	3,729	\$ 1,581	\$ 1,658	\$	414	\$	8,236
Charge-offs		4		383	142	25		-		554
Recoveries		2		9	3	-		-		14
Provision		67		166	205	85		77		600
Ending balance	\$	919	\$	3,521	\$ 1,647	\$ 1,718	\$	491	\$	8,296

As of and for the year ended December 31, 2012

,	Commercia &	l Commercial		Residential		
(dollars in thousands)	industrial	real estate	Consumer	real estate	Unallocated	l Total
Allowance for Loan Losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 1,221	\$ 3,979	\$ 1,435	\$ 1,051	\$ 422	\$ 8,108
Charge-offs	185	1,335	737	231	-	2,488
Recoveries	26	46	30	-	-	102
Provision	(140)	2,218	911	683	(422)	3,250
Ending balance	\$ 922	\$ 4,908	\$ 1,639	\$ 1,503	\$ -	\$ 8,972
Ending balance: individually						
evaluated for impairment	\$ 4	\$ 1,657	\$ 77	\$ 76		\$ 1,814
Ending balance: collectively						
evaluated for impairment	\$ 918	\$ 3,251	\$ 1,562	\$ 1,427		\$ 7,158
Loans Receivables:						
Ending balance	\$ 65,110	\$ 173,186	\$ 90,547	\$ 104,713		\$ 433,556
Ending balance: individually						
evaluated for impairment	\$ 60	\$ 9,099	\$ 1,735	\$ 2,330		\$ 13,224
Ending balance: collectively						
evaluated for impairment	\$ 65,050	\$ 164,087	\$ 88,812	\$ 102,383		\$ 420,332

Information related to the change in the allowance for loan losses as of and for the three- and six- months ended June 30, 2012 is a follows:

As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2012

	Commercia					
(dollars in thousands)	& industrial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	Residential real estate	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for Loan Losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 1,221	\$ 3,979	\$ 1,435	\$ 1,051	\$ 422	\$ 8,108
Charge-offs	65	739	435	45	-	1,284
Recoveries	12	-	15	-	-	27
Provision	27	903	410	327	(367)	1,300
Ending balance	\$ 1,195	\$ 4,143	\$ 1,425	\$ 1,333	\$ 55	\$ 8,151

As of and for the three months ended June 30, 2012

•	Commercial										
	&	Commercial		Residential							
(dollars in thousands)	industrial	real estate	Consumer	real estate	Unallocated	d Total					
Allowance for Loan Losses:											
Beginning balance	\$ 1,206	\$ 4,041	\$ 1,453	\$ 1,198	\$ 422	\$ 8,320					
Charge-offs	65	464	228	28	-	785					
Recoveries	4	-	12	-	-	16					
Provision	50	566	188	163	(367)	600					
Ending balance	\$ 1,195	\$ 4,143	\$ 1,425	\$ 1,333	\$ 55	\$ 8,151					

6. Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed in the same manner as basic EPS but also reflects the potential dilution that could occur from the grant of stock-based compensation awards. The Company maintains two active share-based compensation plans that may generate additional potentially dilutive common shares. For granted and unexercised stock options, dilution would occur if Company-issued stock options were exercised and converted into common stock. Since the average share market prices of the Company's common stock, during the three- and six- months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, were below the strike prices of all unexercised outstanding options, there were no potentially dilutive shares outstanding in any of the reportable periods

related to stock options. For restricted stock, dilution would occur from the Company's unvested shares. There were 14,000 and 151 unvested restricted share grants outstanding as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In the computation of diluted EPS, the Company uses the treasury stock method to determine the dilutive effect of its granted but unexercised stock options and unvested restricted stock. Under the treasury stock method, the assumed proceeds, as defined, received from shares issued in a hypothetical stock option exercise or restricted stock grant, are assumed to be used to purchase treasury stock. Proceeds include: amounts received from the exercise of outstanding stock options; compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized in earnings; and any windfall tax benefits that would be credited directly to shareholders' equity when the grant generates a tax deduction (or a reduction in proceeds if there is a charge to equity). The Company does not consider awards from share-based grants in the computation of basic EPS.

The following table illustrates the data used in computing basic and diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

		Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,			
		2013		2012		2013		2012
(dollars in thousands except per share data) Basic EPS:								
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	1,513	\$	1,317	\$	2,907	\$	2,574
Weighted-average common shares outstanding		2,345,763		2,277,423		2,338,087		2,269,402
Basic EPS	\$	0.64	\$	0.57	\$	1.24	\$	1.13
Diluted EPS:	¢	1.512	¢	1 217	¢	2.007	¢	2.574
Net income available to common shareholders	Э	1,513	Э	1,317 2,277,423	Э	2,907 2,338,087	Э	2,574 2,269,402
Weighted-average common shares outstanding		2,345,763						
Potentially dilutive common shares Weighted-average common and potentially		2,932		151		4,172		151
dilutive shares outstanding		2,348,695		2,277,574		2,342,259		2,269,553
Diluted EPS	\$	0.64	\$	0.57	\$	1.24	\$	1.13

7. Stock plans

The Company has two stock-based compensation plans (the stock compensation plans) from which it can grant stock-based compensation awards, and applies the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation provided under current accounting guidance. The guidelines require the cost of share-based payment transactions (including those with employees and non-employees) be recognized in the financial statements. The Company's stock compensation plans were shareholder-approved and permit the grant of share-based compensation awards to its employees and directors. The Company believes that the stock-based compensation plans will advance the development, growth and financial condition of the Company by providing incentives through participation in the appreciation in the value of the common stock of the Company to secure, retain and motivate the Company's employees and directors who are responsible for the operation and the management of the affairs of the Company, thereby aligning the interest of its employees and directors with the interest of its shareholders. In the stock compensation plans, employees and directors are eligible to be awarded stock-based compensation grants which can consist of stock options (qualified and non-qualified), stock appreciation rights (SARs) and restricted stock.

At the annual shareholders' meeting held on May 1, 2012, the shareholders of the Company approved and the Company adopted the 2012 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan and the 2012 Director Stock Incentive Plan (collectively, the 2012 stock incentive plans). The 2012 stock incentive plans have replaced the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan and the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (collectively, the 2000 stock incentive plans), both of which expired in 2011. Unless terminated by the Company's board of directors, the 2012 stock incentive plans shall expire on, and no options shall be granted after the tenth anniversary – or in the year 2022. Previously issued and currently outstanding options under the 2000 stock incentive plans may be exercised pursuant to the terms of the stock option plans existing at the time of grant. However, the outstanding options under the 2000 stock incentive plans may be cancelled and replaced with grants under the 2012 stock incentive plans.

In the 2012 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, the Company has reserved 500,000 shares of its no-par common stock for future issuance. In the Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, 6,000 and 151 restricted stock awards were granted to employees during the first quarter of 2013 and the second quarter of 2012, respectively. In the 2012 grant, 134 of the 151 shares became fully vested during the second quarter of 2013 with the remaining 17 grants forfeited. The 2013 stock grants will vest over a period of four years. The Company recognizes share-based compensation expense over the requisite service or vesting period. Due to immateriality however, the entire expense, or \$2 thousand, from the 2012 grant was recognized on the date of grant.

In the 2012 Director Stock Incentive Plan, the Company has reserved 500,000 shares of its no-par common stock for issuance under the plan. In the Director Stock Incentive Plan, 8,000 restricted stock awards were granted to the members of the board of directors during the first quarter of 2013. The grants will vest over a period of two years. Share-based compensation expense is included as a component of salaries and employee benefits in the consolidated statements of income.

The following table summarizes the weighted-average fair value and vesting of restricted stock grants awarded during 2013 and 2012 under the 2012 stock incentive plans:

2013 2012

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Chausa	Weighted- average	Vaction	Chana	Weighted- average	V	
	Shares	grant date fair	Vesting	Shares	grant date fair	Vesting	
	granted	value	period	granted	value	period	
Director plan	8,000	\$ 21.20	2 yrs - 50% per year	-	\$ -		
Omnibus plan	6,000	21.20	4 yrs - 25% per year	151	21.50	1 year	
Total	14,000	\$ 21.20	•	151	\$ 21.50		

The following tables illustrate stock-based compensation expense recognized during the three- and six- months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and the unrecognized stock-based compensation expense as of June 30, 2013. There was no unrecognized stock-based compensation expense as of December 31, 2012:

Three Six months ended ended June 30, June 30, 2013 2012 2013 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Stock-based compensation expense: