

BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD CALIFORNIA QUALITY FUND, INC.

Form N-CSRS

April 04, 2018

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM N-CSR**

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT  
COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number 811-06692

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc., 55 East 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2018

Date of reporting period: 01/31/2018

**Table of Contents**

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

**Table of Contents**

JANUARY 31, 2018

**SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT (UNAUDITED)**

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)**

**BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)**

**BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MYN)**

**BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc. (MYI)**

**Not FDIC Insured    May Lose Value    No Bank  
Guarantee**

## Table of Contents

### The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder,

In the 12 months ended January 31, 2018, assets with higher risk and return potential, such as stocks and high-yield bonds, continued to deliver strong performance. The equity market advanced despite geopolitical uncertainty and relatively high valuations, while bond returns were constrained by rising interest rates.

Emerging market stocks posted the strongest performance, as accelerating growth in China, the second largest economy in the world and the most influential of all developing economies, improved the outlook for corporate profits and economic growth across most developing nations. Chinese demand for commodities and other raw materials allayed concerns about the country's banking system, leading to rising equity prices and foreign investment flows.

Rising interest rates worked against high-quality assets with more interest rate sensitivity. Consequently, the 10-year U.S. Treasury—a bellwether of the bond market—posted a modest negative return, as rising energy prices, higher wages, and steady job growth drove expectations of higher inflation and interest rate increases by the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed).

The market's performance reflected reflationary expectations early in the reporting period, as investors began to sense that a global recovery was afoot. Thereafter, many countries experienced sustained and synchronized growth for the first time since the financial crisis. Growth rates and inflation are still relatively low, but they are finally rising together. Consensus expectations for global economic growth also rose, as long-anticipated fiscal stimulus and capital spending plans indicated that new sources of demand could extend the current economic cycle.

The Fed responded to these positive developments by increasing short-term interest rates three times during the year. In October 2017, the Fed also reduced its \$4.5 trillion balance sheet by \$10 billion, while setting expectations for additional modest reductions and rate hikes in 2018.

By contrast, the European Central Bank (ECB) and the Bank of Japan (BoJ) continued to expand their balance sheets despite nascent signs of sustained economic growth. Rising global growth and inflation, as well as limited bond supply, put steady pressure on other central banks to follow in the Fed's footsteps. In October 2017, the ECB announced plans to cut its bond purchases in half for 2018, while the BoJ reiterated its commitment to economic stimulus, as the country's inflation rate remained below 2.0%.

Rising consumer confidence and improving business sentiment are driving momentum for the U.S. economy. If the Fed maintains a measured pace of stimulus reduction, to the extent that inflation rises, it's likely to be accompanied by rising real growth and higher wages. That could lead to a favorable combination of moderately higher inflation, steadily rising interest rates, and improving growth in 2018. We continue to believe the primary risks to the economic expansion are trade protectionism, rapidly rising interest rates, and geopolitical tension.

In December 2017, Congress passed a sweeping tax reform bill. The U.S. tax overhaul is likely to accentuate the existing reflationary themes, including faster growth and rising interest rates. Changing the corporate tax rate to a flat 21% will create many winners and losers among high-and-low tax companies, while the windfall from lower taxes could boost business and consumer spending.

In this environment, investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes, and be nimble as market conditions change. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit [blackrock.com](http://blackrock.com) for further insight about investing in today's markets.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

**Total Returns as of January 31, 2018**

	<b>6-month</b>	<b>12-month</b>
U.S. large cap equities (S&P 500 <sup>®</sup> Index)	15.43%	26.41%
U.S. small cap equities (Russell 2000 <sup>®</sup> Index)	11.23	17.18
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	12.14	27.60
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging Markets Index)	18.51	41.01
3-month Treasury bills (ICE BofAML 3-Month U.S. Treasury Bill Index)	0.58	0.93
U.S. Treasury securities (ICE BofAML 10-Year U.S. Treasury Index)	(2.74)	(0.47)
U.S. investment grade bonds (Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index)	(0.35)	2.15
Tax-exempt municipal bonds (S&P Municipal Bond Index)	0.01	3.41
U.S. high yield bonds (Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	1.94	6.60

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

**Table of Contents**

Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<u>The Markets in Review</u>	2
<b>Semi-Annual Report:</b>	
<u>Municipal Market Overview</u>	4
<u>The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging</u>	5
<u>Derivative Financial Instruments</u>	5
<u>Fund Summaries</u>	6
Financial Statements:	
<u>Schedules of Investments</u>	14
<u>Statements of Assets and Liabilities</u>	39
<u>Statements of Operations</u>	40
<u>Statements of Changes in Net Assets</u>	41
<u>Statements of Cash Flows</u>	43
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	44
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	48
<u>Director and Officer Information</u>	57
<u>Additional Information</u>	58
<u>Glossary of Terms Used in this Report</u>	60

3

**Table of Contents**

Municipal Market Overview For the Reporting Period Ended January 31, 2018

**Municipal Market Conditions**

Municipal bonds experienced positive performance during the period alongside a favorable technical backdrop and a flattening yield curve resulting from continued Fed monetary policy normalization and largely muted inflation expectations. Ongoing reassurance from the Fed that rates would be increased gradually and would likely remain low overall resulted in continued demand for fixed income investments. More specifically, investors favored the tax-exempt income, diversification, quality, and value of municipal bonds amid fiscal policy uncertainty, which saw tax reform ultimately lower the top individual tax rate just 2.6% while eliminating deductions and increasing demand for tax shelter. During the 12 months ended January 31, 2018, municipal bond funds experienced net inflows of approximately \$33 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance remained elevated from a historical perspective at \$394 billion (though well below the robust \$455 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). Notably, issuance in December posted the highest monthly total on record at \$56 billion, as issuers rushed deals to market ahead of the expected elimination of the tax-exemption for advanced refunding bonds and possibly private activity bonds (PABs). Ultimately, the final version of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act left PABs unchanged, though the elimination of advanced refundings will likely suppress supply going forward, providing a powerful technical.

**A Closer Look at Yields****S&P Municipal Bond Index**

Total Returns as of January 31, 2018

6 months: 0.01%

12 months: 3.41%

From January 31, 2017 to January 31, 2018, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds decreased by 17 basis points ( bps ) from 3.08% to 2.91%, while 10-year rates increased by 3 bps from 2.32% to 2.35% and 5-year rates increased by 20 bps from 1.63% to 1.83% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). The municipal yield curve flattened significantly over the 12-month period with the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattening by 64 bps.

During the same time period, on a relative basis, tax-exempt municipal bonds strongly outperformed U.S. Treasuries with the greatest outperformance experienced in the front and intermediate portions of the yield curve. Notably, January saw interest rates move rapidly higher alongside strong global growth and a more hawkish bias from global central banks. The relative positive performance of municipal bonds was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income and incremental yield in an environment where

opportunities became increasingly scarce. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise.

### **Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers**

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized distress among a few issuers. Four of the five states with the largest amount of debt outstanding – California, New York, Texas and Florida – have exhibited markedly improved credit fundamentals during the slow national recovery. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities have seen their bond prices decline noticeably and remain vulnerable to additional price deterioration. On the local level, Chicago’s credit quality downgrade is an outlier relative to other cities due to its larger pension liability and inadequate funding remedies. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remains imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

The opinions expressed are those of BlackRock as of January 31, 2018, and are subject to change at any time due to changes in market or economic conditions. The comments should not be construed as a recommendation of any individual holdings or market sectors. Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax ( AMT ). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

The Standard & Poor’s Municipal Bond Index, a broad, market value-weighted index, seeks to measure the performance of the U.S. municipal bond market. All bonds in the index are exempt from U.S. federal income taxes or subject to the AMT. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.



**Table of Contents**

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value ( NAV ) of, their common shares ( Common Shares ). However, there is no guarantee that these objectives can be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Funds (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Funds' shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund's Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, a Fund's financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by a Fund with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, a Fund's financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on a Fund's longer-term investments acquired from such leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares ( Common Shareholders ) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Funds' return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Funds had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Funds' portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Funds' obligations under their respective leverage arrangements generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Funds' NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that the Funds' intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

The use of leverage also generally causes greater changes in each Fund's NAV, market price and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the NAV and market price of a Fund's Common Shares than if the Fund were not leveraged. In addition, each Fund may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Fund to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Fund's ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. Each Fund incurs expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Funds' investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Funds' investment adviser will be higher than if the Funds did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Fund has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares ( VRDP Shares ) or Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares ( VMTP Shares ) (collectively, Preferred Shares ) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts ( TOB Trusts ) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), each Fund is permitted to issue debt up to 33 1/3% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Fund may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Fund may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Fund segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of a Fund's obligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), then the TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements imposed by the 1940 Act.

#### Derivative Financial Instruments

The Funds may invest in various derivative financial instruments. These instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security commodity, index, market, and/or other assets without owning or taking physical custody of securities, commodities and/or other referenced assets or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage and involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the instrument. The Funds' successful use of a derivative financial instrument depends on the investment adviser's ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of these instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Funds' investments in these instruments, if any, are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2018

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc.****Fund Overview**

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc.** s (MUE) (the **Fund** ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from U.S. federal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax). The municipal obligations in which the Fund primarily invests are either rated investment grade quality, or are considered by the Fund s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

**Fund Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE )	MUE
Initial Offering Date	February 26, 1999
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2018 (\$12.91) <sup>(a)</sup>	5.95%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	10.05%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.0640
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.7680
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2018 <sup>(d)</sup>	38%

<sup>(a)</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>(b)</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.8%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

<sup>(c)</sup> The monthly distribution per Common Share, declared on March 1, 2018, was decreased to \$0.0540 per share. The yield on closing market price, current monthly distribution per Common Share and current annualized distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change in the future.

<sup>(d)</sup> Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

**Performance**

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2018 were as follows:

	Returns Based On	
	<i>Market Price</i>	<i>NAV</i>
MUE <sup>(a)(b)</sup>	(6.32)%	0.14%
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) <sup>(c)</sup>	(6.00)%	0.50%

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Fund's discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

**The following discussion relates to the Fund's absolute performance based on NAV:**

U.S. municipal bonds produced generally flat returns during the period, with income offsetting a modest decline in prices. Stronger economic growth and concerns about emerging inflation pressures fueled expectations that the Fed would continue to tighten monetary policy, dampening returns across the fixed-income market.

The Fund's positions in Illinois and New Jersey bonds made meaningful contributions to performance. Illinois passed its budget and moved closer to achieving fiscal balance and stabilizing its credit rating. In New Jersey, the state government passed legislation that redirected roughly \$1 billion annually in lottery proceeds to its pension funds, which helped steady its credit rating and contributed to outperformance for the state's debt.

At the sector level, transportation was the largest contributor to performance.

The Fund's investments in lower-rated issues, which outpaced the broader market, also aided returns.

Holdings in longer-term bonds added value, as this segment strongly outperformed. Conversely, positions in short- and intermediate maturity bonds detracted.

The Fund sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this aspect of the Fund's positioning had a positive effect on returns.

On the negative side, the Fund's higher-quality bias was a headwind to results at a time in which lower-quality issues outperformed.

Reinvestment had an adverse effect on the Fund's income, as the proceeds of higher-yielding bonds that matured or were called needed to be reinvested at lower prevailing rates.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

**Table of Contents**

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2018 (continued)

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc.****Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary**

	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>	<i>Change</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
Market Price	\$ 12.91	\$ 14.17	(8.89)%	\$ 14.31	\$ 12.89
Net Asset Value	13.82	14.19	(2.61)	14.29	13.82

**Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years****Overview of the Fund's Total Investments\*****SECTOR ALLOCATION**

<i>Sector</i>	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>
Transportation	35%	41%
County/City/Special District/School District	24	22
Utilities	13	13
Health	11	11
Education	7	3
State	5	6
Housing	3	2
Tobacco	1	1
Corporate	1	1

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund's sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

**CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE <sup>(c)</sup>**

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2018	16%
2019	12
2020	2
2021	8
2022	5

(c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

\* Excludes short-term securities.

**CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION** <sup>(a)</sup>

<i>Credit Rating</i>	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>
AAA/Aaa	7%	7%
AA/Aa	54	55
A	27	29
BBB/Baa	8	7
N/R	4 <sup>(b)</sup>	2

(a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor's (S&P) or Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

(b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of not-rated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2018, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 1% of the Fund's total investments.

**Table of Contents**

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2018

**BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc.****Fund Overview**

**BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. s (MCA) (the Fund )** investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from U.S. federal and California income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Fund s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

**Fund Information**

Symbol on NYSE	MCA
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2018 (\$14.02) <sup>(a)</sup>	5.01%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	10.92%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.0585
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.7020
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2018 <sup>(d)</sup>	41%

<sup>(a)</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>(b)</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 54.1%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

<sup>(c)</sup> The monthly distribution per Common Share, declared on March 1, 2018, was decreased to \$0.0520 per share. The yield on closing market price, current monthly distribution per Common Share and current annualized distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change in the future.

<sup>(d)</sup> Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

**Performance**

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2018 were as follows:



	Returns Based On	
	<i>Market Price</i>	<i>NAV</i>
MCA <sup>(a)(b)</sup>	(5.36)%	0.52%
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds <sup>(c)</sup>	(5.62)%	0.03%

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Fund's discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

**The following discussion relates to the Fund's absolute performance based on NAV:**

U.S. municipal bonds produced generally flat returns during the period, with income offsetting a modest decline in prices. Stronger economic growth and concerns about emerging inflation pressures fueled expectations that the Fed would continue to tighten monetary policy, dampening returns across the fixed-income market.

California's economy demonstrated impressive gains and outperformed the nation as a whole, with healthy gains in personal income, nonfarm employment and median housing values. These trends helped boost tax receipts and enabled the state to bring in revenues well above the level incorporated into the current budget. However, California underperformed the national indices due to questions about the long-term effects the federal tax reform bill could have on the supply-and-demand profile of the state's municipal market.

Positions in longer-dated securities contributed to performance, as yields on longer-maturity bonds rose less than those of short-term issues. (Prices and yields move in opposite directions.) In addition, longer-term debt generated higher income relative to other segments of the yield curve. Conversely, positions in shorter-dated and intermediate-term maturities underperformed.

The Fund sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this aspect of the Fund's positioning had a positive effect on returns.

Positions in AA-rated securities, particularly those in the health care and school district sectors, made a further contribution. However, the Fund's emphasis in higher-quality securities detracted from results as lower rated securities outperformed the broader market.

The use of leverage helped performance by augmenting portfolio income, but it also exacerbated the impact of declining bond prices.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and

are no guarantee of future results.

**Table of Contents**

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2018 (continued)

**BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc.****Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary**

	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>	<i>Change</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
Market Price	\$ 14.02	\$ 15.18	(7.64)%	\$ 15.69	\$ 13.93
Net Asset Value	15.43	15.73	(1.91)	15.90	15.43

**Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years****Overview of the Fund's Total Investments\*****SECTOR ALLOCATION**

<i>Sector</i>	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>
County/City/Special District/School District	33%	36%
Transportation	18	15
Health	18	16
Utilities	17	17
Education	9	10
State	4	5
Corporate	1	1

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund's sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

**CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE <sup>(b)</sup>**

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2018	8%
2019	15
2020	6
2021	11
2022	3

(b) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

\* Excludes short-term securities.

**CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION** <sup>(a)</sup>

<i>Credit Rating</i>	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>
AAA/Aaa	11%	7%
AA/Aa	72	80
A	12	11
BBB/Baa	2	1
N/R	3	1

(a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody's if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

**Table of Contents**

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2018

**BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.****Fund Overview**

**BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.** s (MYN) (the **Fund** ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from U.S. federal income taxes and New York State and New York City personal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Fund s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

**Fund Information**

Symbol on NYSE	MYN
Initial Offering Date	February 28, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2018 (\$12.55) <sup>(a)</sup>	4.54%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	9.01%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.0475
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.5700
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2018 <sup>(d)</sup>	40%

<sup>(a)</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>(b)</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 49.6%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

<sup>(c)</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

<sup>(d)</sup> Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

**Performance**

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2018 were as follows:

	Returns Based On
	<i>Market Price</i> <i>NAV</i>

MYN <sup>(a)(b)</sup>	(3.06)%	0.41%
Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds <sup>(c)</sup>	(4.98)%	(0.20)%

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Fund's discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

**The following discussion relates to the Fund's absolute performance based on NAV:**

U.S. municipal bonds produced generally flat returns during the period, with income offsetting a modest decline in prices. Stronger economic growth and concerns about emerging inflation pressures fueled expectations that the Fed would continue to tighten monetary policy, dampening returns across the fixed-income market.

New York municipal bonds underperformed the national market. New issuance in the state was relatively robust compared to the nation as a whole, which contributed to the weaker performance. Unfortunately, much of the new issuance was concentrated in several large issuers in which the Fund already had positions, thereby limiting the opportunity set. New York's overall economic trends continued to improve, albeit at a rate slightly below the national level. However, the state continued to enjoy a broad and diverse economic base. One area of potential concern was the capping of deductibility of state and local taxes due to recently enacted federal tax reform policies, which may reduce New York's ability to raise taxes in the future.

Portfolio income, enhanced by leverage, made the largest positive contribution to performance at a time in which bond prices fell. However, the use of leverage also exacerbated the effect of market weakness.

The Fund sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this aspect of the Fund's positioning had a positive effect on returns.

Exposure to lower-rated issues (those rated A and below) helped results as this market segment outperformed higher-rated bonds.

From a sector perspective, the Fund's allocations to the education and transportation sectors were beneficial.

The Fund's positions in shorter-term bonds proved detrimental as rates increased the most in the two- to five-year portion of the yield curve. This allocation is largely comprised of advance-refunded bonds purchased in a higher-yield environment. Conversely, the Fund's exposure to the long end of the yield curve was beneficial as rates increased less in this area.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

**Table of Contents**

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2018 (continued)

**BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.****Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary**

	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>	<i>Change</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
Market Price	\$ 12.55	\$ 13.26	(5.35)%	\$ 13.42	\$ 12.45
Net Asset Value	13.97	14.25	(1.96)	14.40	13.97

**Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years****Overview of the Fund's Total Investments\*****SECTOR ALLOCATION**

<i>Sector</i>	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>
Transportation	24%	25%
Education	17	18
State	17	13
County/City/Special District/School District	17	18
Utilities	15	14
Health	5	6
Housing	2	3
Corporate	2	1
Tobacco	1	2

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund's sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

**CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE <sup>(c)</sup>**

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2018	8%
2019	4
2020	6
2021	17
2022	8



(c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

\* Excludes short-term securities.

**CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION** <sup>(a)</sup>

<i>Credit Rating</i>	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>
AAA/Aaa	19%	20%
AA/Aa	51	55
A	19	19
BBB/Baa	5	5
N/R <sup>(b)</sup>	6	1

(a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody's if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

(b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of not-rated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2018 and July 31, 2017, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 1% and less than 1%, respectively, of the Fund's total investments.

**Table of Contents**

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2018

**BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.****Fund Overview**

**BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc. s (MYI) (the Fund )** investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from U.S. federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Fund s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

**Fund Information**

Symbol on NYSE	MYI
Initial Offering Date	March 27, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2018 (\$12.77) <sup>(a)</sup>	5.50%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	9.29%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.0585
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.7020
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2018 <sup>(d)</sup>	39%

<sup>(a)</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>(b)</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.8%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

<sup>(c)</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

<sup>(d)</sup> Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

**Performance**

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2018 were as follows:

MYI <sup>(a)(b)</sup>	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV
	(10.41)%	0.64%

Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)<sup>(c)</sup> (6.00)% 0.50%

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Fund moved from a premium to NAV to a discount during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

**The following discussion relates to the Fund's absolute performance based on NAV:**

U.S. municipal bonds produced generally flat returns during the period, with income offsetting a modest decline in prices. Stronger economic growth and concerns about emerging inflation pressures fueled expectations that the Fed would continue to tighten monetary policy, dampening returns across the fixed-income market.

Portfolio income, enhanced by leverage, made the largest positive contribution to performance at a time in which bond prices fell. However, the use of leverage also exacerbated the effect of market weakness.

The Fund sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that Treasury yields rose, as prices fell, this aspect of the Fund's positioning had a positive effect on returns.

Exposure to lower-rated issues (those rated A and below) helped results as this market segment outperformed higher-rated bonds. The Fund's allocation to the transportation sector was also beneficial.

The Fund's positions in shorter-term bonds proved detrimental as rates increased the most in the two- to five-year portion of the yield curve. This allocation is largely comprised of advance-refunded bonds purchased in a higher-yield environment. Conversely, the Fund's exposure to the long end of the yield curve was beneficial as rates increased less in this area.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

**Table of Contents**

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2018 (continued)

**BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.****Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary**

	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>	<i>Change</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
Market Price	\$ 12.77	\$ 14.66	(12.89)%	\$ 14.86	\$ 12.76
Net Asset Value	14.17	14.48	(2.14)	14.66	14.17

**Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years****Overview of the Fund's Total Investments\*****SECTOR ALLOCATION**

<i>Sector</i>	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>
Transportation	26%	27%
State	17	18
Health	16	13
Utilities	14	14
County/City/Special District/School District	12	15
Education	10	9
Corporate	3	2
Tobacco	1	1
Housing	1	1

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund's sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

**CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE <sup>(c)</sup>**

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2018	16%
2019	12
2020	2
2021	8
2022	5

(c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

\* Excludes short-term securities.

**CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION** <sup>(a)</sup>

<i>Credit Rating</i>	<i>01/31/18</i>	<i>07/31/17</i>
AAA/Aaa	7%	11%
AA/Aa	52	54
A	20	21
BBB/Baa	14	12
N/R <sup>(b)</sup>	7	2

(a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody's if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

(b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of not-rated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2018 and July 31, 2017, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 1% and less than 1%, respectively, of the Fund's total investments.

**Table of Contents**

Schedule of Investments (unaudited)

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)**

January 31, 2018

**(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)**

<i>Security</i>	<i>Par (000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
<b>Municipal Bonds</b>		
<b>Alabama 2.1%</b>		
City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC), 6.00%, 06/01/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 5,225	\$ 5,537,768
City of Selma Alabama IDB, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone, International Paper Co. Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/35	940	1,044,641
		6,582,409
<b>California 22.6%</b>		
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	5,050	5,182,714
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 08/15/42	2,865	3,147,747
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB, Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.10%, 01/01/20	465	466,800
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, AMT, Series A:		
2nd, 5.50%, 05/01/28	1,800	2,080,224
2nd, 5.25%, 05/01/33	1,410	1,595,077
5.00%, 05/01/44	1,860	2,064,805
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Norman Y Mineta San Jose International Airport SJC, Series A-1, AMT, 5.50%, 03/01/30	4,045	4,463,293
City of Sunnyvale California, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 04/01/20 <sup>(a)</sup>	2,800	3,020,808
County of Riverside Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40	4,500	5,245,830
County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 07/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	3,500	3,561,180
County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 08/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	3,175	3,241,040
Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 08/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	1,875	2,121,375
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/33	2,445	2,904,415
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 08/01/20 <sup>(a)</sup>	2,000	2,181,600
Oceanside Unified School District, GO, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 08/01/33	1,675	1,706,004
Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 08/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	2,670	3,025,724
Regents of the University of California Medical Center Pooled Revenue, Refunding RB, Series J:		
5.25%, 05/15/23 <sup>(a)</sup>	5,905	6,927,687
5.25%, 05/15/38	1,675	1,913,285
State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I:		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD CALIFORNIA QUALITY FUND, INC. - Form N-CSRS

5.50%, 11/01/30	5,000	5,871,300
5.50%, 11/01/31	3,130	3,666,232
5.50%, 11/01/33	3,000	3,498,120
State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 09/01/33	1,260	1,447,198
Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 08/01/40	940	1,108,147
		70,440,605
<b>Colorado 2.1%</b>		
City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT:		
5.50%, 11/15/28	1,500	1,724,400
5.50%, 11/15/30	565	645,614
5.50%, 11/15/31	675	769,379
	<i>Par</i>	
<i>Security</i>	<i>(000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
<b>Colorado (continued)</b>		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 05/15/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,485,328
		6,624,721
<b>Connecticut 0.4%</b>		
Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority, Refunding RB, Sacred Heart University Issue, Series I -1, 5.00%, 07/01/42	1,015	1,143,123
<b>Florida 20.6%</b>		
City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/33	405	464,616
County of Broward Florida Airport System Revenue, ARB, Series A, AMT:		
5.13%, 10/01/38	5,665	6,353,354
5.00%, 10/01/45	1,440	1,599,365
County of Hillsborough Florida Aviation Authority, Refunding ARB, Tampa International Airport, Series A, AMT, 5.50%, 10/01/29	2,995	3,425,831
County of Lee Florida, Refunding ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.38%, 10/01/32	2,500	2,743,550
County of Lee Florida HFA, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac), 6.00%, 09/01/40	220	223,634
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Seaport Department:		
Series A, 5.38%, 10/01/33	1,765	2,000,451
Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/42	3,000	3,387,870
Series B, AMT, 6.25%, 10/01/38	800	945,896
Series B, AMT, 6.00%, 10/01/42	1,060	1,238,292
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer System, Series B, 5.25%, 10/01/29	3,130	3,612,239
County of Miami-Dade Florida Aviation, Refunding ARB, AMT:		
Miami International Airport (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	4,510	4,619,999
Miami International Airport (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/41	100	102,197
Miami International Airport, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 10/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	4,180	4,288,805
Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/31	5,155	5,664,005
Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/32	5,000	5,489,100
County of Orange Florida School Board, COP, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 08/01/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	7,600	8,047,260
Reedy Creek Florida Improvement District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 06/01/32	1,805	2,067,718
Tohopekaliga Water Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	6,965	7,844,819

		64,119,001
<b>Hawaii 1.7%</b>		
State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation, COP, AMT:		
5.25%, 08/01/25	740	839,034
5.25%, 08/01/26	1,205	1,359,035
State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation, RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/45	2,805	3,120,170
		5,318,239
<b>Illinois 15.2%</b>		
City of Chicago Illinois Midway International Airport, Refunding GARB, 2nd Lien, Series A, AMT:		
5.00%, 01/01/41	1,140	1,251,025
5.50%, 01/01/28	1,000	1,130,480
5.50%, 01/01/29	1,500	1,692,030
5.38%, 01/01/33	2,000	2,227,060
City of Chicago Illinois O Hare International Airport, GARB:		
3rd Lien, Series A, 5.75%, 01/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	1,680	1,875,653
3rd Lien, Series A, 5.75%, 01/01/39	320	352,554
3rd Lien, Series C, 6.50%, 01/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	9,085	10,336,186
Senior Lien, Series D, AMT, 5.00%, 01/01/42	735	821,759



**Table of Contents**

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)**

January 31, 2018

**(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)**

<i>Security</i>	<i>Par (000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
<b>Illinois (continued)</b>		
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB:		
Federal Transit Administration, Section 5309, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 12/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 3,400	\$ 3,529,778
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/36	2,940	3,203,130
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/40	1,500	1,632,555
City of Chicago Illinois Wastewater Transmission, RB, 2nd Lien, 5.00%, 01/01/42	2,985	3,169,801
County of Cook Illinois Community College District No. 508, GO, City College of Chicago:		
5.25%, 12/01/30	1,270	1,361,999
5.50%, 12/01/38	1,205	1,284,554
5.25%, 12/01/43	2,960	3,086,422
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Presence Health Network, Series C, 5.00%, 02/15/41	975	1,072,373
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB <sup>(a)</sup> :		
5.50%, 06/01/21	2,350	2,637,099
6.00%, 06/01/21	670	762,654
State of Illinois, GO:		
5.25%, 02/01/31	1,495	1,575,835
5.25%, 02/01/32	2,320	2,442,937
5.50%, 07/01/33	1,000	1,059,850
5.50%, 07/01/38	700	740,159
		47,245,893
<b>Indiana 0.9%</b>		
Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A (AGC):		
5.50%, 01/01/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	465	482,331
5.50%, 01/01/38	1,905	1,971,408
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40	460	495,158
		2,948,897
<b>Louisiana 2.0%</b>		
City of New Orleans Aviation Board, ARB, General Airport North Terminal Project, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 01/01/48	1,275	1,417,226
Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29	2,225	2,535,966
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 05/15/29	2,020	2,112,435
		6,065,627
<b>Maryland 0.9%</b>		

County of Howard Maryland Housing Commission, RB, M/F Housing, Woodfield Oxford Square Apartments, 5.00%, 12/01/42	2,450	2,751,178
<b>Massachusetts 1.1%</b>		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, RB, Emerson College Issue, Series A: 5.00%, 01/01/47	420	470,261
5.25%, 01/01/42	940	1,074,289
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding RB, Emerson College, Series A, 5.00%, 01/01/40	1,025	1,165,712
Massachusetts HFA, Refunding RB, Series C, AMT, 5.35%, 12/01/42	830	853,049
		3,563,311
<b>Michigan 2.3%</b>		
Hudsonville Michigan Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.25%, 05/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	3,420	3,789,292
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, Series V, 8.25%, 09/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	3,115	3,238,260
		7,027,552
	<i>Par</i>	<i>Value</i>
<i>Security</i>	<i>(000)</i>	
<b>Minnesota 1.0%</b>		
City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series B (AGC): 6.50%, 11/15/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 305	\$ 317,112
6.50%, 11/15/38	1,670	1,732,675
County of St. Paul Minnesota Housing & Redevelopment Authority, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series A, 4.00%, 11/15/43	985	1,026,301
		3,076,088
<b>Mississippi 1.3%</b>		
Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Jackson Water & Sewer System Project (AGM), 6.88%, 12/01/40	2,225	2,740,488
Mississippi State University Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB, Mississippi State University Improvement Project, 5.25%, 08/01/23 <sup>(a)</sup>	1,000	1,172,370
		3,912,858
<b>Montana 0.3%</b>		
Montana State Board of Housing, RB, S/F, Series B-2: 3.38%, 12/01/37	420	410,760
3.50%, 12/01/42	185	182,873
3.60%, 12/01/47	280	275,954
		869,587
<b>Nevada 4.3%</b>		
City of Carson City Nevada, Refunding RB, Carson Tahoe Regional Healthcare Project, 5.00%, 09/01/42	550	607,684
County of Clark Nevada, ARB, Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 07/01/39	3,210	3,411,749
County of Clark Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 5.00%, 06/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	5,000	5,061,200
County of Clark School District, GO, Refunding, Building, Series A, 5.00%, 06/15/25	3,650	4,228,634

		13,309,267
<b>New Jersey 4.3%</b>		
New Jersey EDA, RB, Goethals Bridge Replacement Project, AMT, Private Activity Bond: 5.38%, 01/01/43	1,940	2,151,324
(AGM), 5.00%, 01/01/31	1,355	1,518,752
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Virtua Health, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 07/01/38	3,400	3,569,422
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System: Series A (AGC), 5.63%, 12/15/28	2,930	3,026,954
Series AA, 5.50%, 06/15/39	3,040	3,261,251
		13,527,703
<b>New York 8.1%</b>		
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 2nd General Resolution, Series EE: Fiscal 2009, 5.25%, 06/15/40	6,930	7,261,462
Water & Sewer System, 5.38%, 06/15/43	2,220	2,440,934
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Senior, Fiscal 2012: 5.75%, 02/15/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	580	649,037
5.75%, 02/15/47	360	395,327
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB: Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	8,500	9,618,430
Series A-1, 5.25%, 11/15/39	1,550	1,782,748
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding ARB, Consolidated, 166th Series, 5.25%, 07/15/36	2,000	2,200,580
TSASC, Inc., Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 06/01/41	895	997,272
		25,345,790
<b>Ohio 0.9%</b>		
State of Ohio Turnpike Commission, RB, Junior Lien, Infrastructure Projects, Series A-1, 5.25%, 02/15/31	2,500	2,847,525

**Table of Contents**

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)**

January 31, 2018

**(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)**

<i>Security</i>	<i>Par (000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
<b>Oklahoma 0.6%</b>		
Oklahoma Development Finance Authority, RB, Provident Oklahoma Education Resources, Inc., Cross Village Student Housing Project, Series A, 5.25%, 08/01/57	\$ 1,640	\$ 1,799,310
<b>Pennsylvania 3.5%</b>		
County of Westmoreland Pennsylvania Municipal Authority, Refunding RB, (BAM), 5.00%, 08/15/36	2,215	2,540,428
Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, RB, S/F, Series 125B, 3.65%, 10/01/42	3,890	3,815,312
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series B-1, 5.25%, 06/01/47	500	565,715
Township of Bristol Pennsylvania School District, GO: 5.25%, 06/01/37	2,500	2,803,875
5.25%, 06/01/43	1,100	1,226,203
		10,951,533
<b>South Carolina 5.7%</b>		
County of Charleston South Carolina, RB, Special Source, 5.25%, 12/01/38	3,760	4,370,812
County of Charleston South Carolina Airport District, ARB, Series A, AMT: 6.00%, 07/01/38	2,940	3,387,144
5.50%, 07/01/41	2,500	2,814,100
State of South Carolina Ports Authority, RB, AMT, 5.25%, 07/01/50	1,870	2,078,150
State of South Carolina Public Service Authority, Refunding RB: Series C, 5.00%, 12/01/46	1,000	1,099,310
Series E, 5.25%, 12/01/55	3,500	3,908,555
		17,658,071
<b>Tennessee 1.1%</b>		
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority, ARB, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40	3,000	3,374,880
<b>Texas 14.8%</b>		
City of Beaumont Texas, GO, Certificates of Obligation, 5.25%, 03/01/37	2,345	2,655,619
City of Houston Texas Combined Utility System Revenue, Refunding RB, Combined 1st Lien, Series A (AGC): 6.00%, 05/15/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	6,345	6,705,523
6.00%, 11/15/35	355	375,359
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Refunding RB, Christus Health, Series A (AGC): 6.50%, 01/01/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	620	648,427
6.50%, 07/01/37	2,380	2,475,152
Dallas Texas Area Rapid Transit, Refunding RB, Senior Lien <sup>(a)</sup> : 5.25%, 12/01/18	2,605	2,688,360
5.25%, 12/01/18	1,950	2,012,400

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD CALIFORNIA QUALITY FUND, INC. - Form N-CSRS

Dallas-Fort Worth Texas International Airport, ARB, Joint Improvement, AMT:		
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/38	1,615	1,722,817
Series H, 5.00%, 11/01/37	1,810	1,969,479
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, 5.50%, 05/15/33	2,155	2,492,839
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, Special Projects, Series A, 5.50%, 09/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	5,480	6,165,767
North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB:		
1st Tier System, Series K-2 (AGC), 6.00%, 01/01/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	1,000	1,041,330
1st Tier-Series A, 5.00%, 01/01/43	1,515	1,732,600
Red River Texas Education Financing Corp., RB, Texas Christian University Project, 5.25%, 03/15/38	1,070	1,212,192
State of Texas, GO, Refunding, Transportation Commission-Mobility Fund, Series B, 5.00%, 10/01/36	10,260	12,083,100
		45,980,964
	<i>Par</i>	
	<i>(000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
<i>Security</i>		
<b>Vermont 0.9%</b>		
University of Vermont & State Agricultural College, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/43	\$ 2,535	\$ 2,902,550
<b>Virginia 2.5%</b>		
City of Lexington Virginia IDA, RB, Washington & Lee University, 5.00%, 01/01/43	945	1,040,700
State of Virginia Public School Authority, RB, Fluvanna County School Financing, 6.50%, 12/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	2,195	2,286,883
Virginia Small Business Financing Authority, RB, Transform 66 P3 Project, AMT, 5.00%, 12/31/49	4,000	4,423,360
		7,750,943
<b>Washington 2.7%</b>		
City of Seattle Washington Municipal Light & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 02/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	2,400	2,648,688
Port of Seattle Washington, ARB, Intermediate Lien, Series C, AMT, 5.00%, 05/01/37	2,485	2,836,205
Port of Seattle Washington, RB, Intermediate Lien, Series C, AMT, 5.00%, 05/01/42	660	748,757
State of Washington, GO, Various Purposes, Series B, 5.25%, 02/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	1,865	2,061,123
		8,294,773
<b>Wyoming 0.2%</b>		
State of Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, Inc., Refunding RB, Series A (BAM), 5.00%, 01/01/42	570	643,348
<b>Total Municipal Bonds 124.1%</b>		
<b>(Cost \$360,788,035)</b>		386,075,746
<b>Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts<sup>(b)</sup></b>		
<b>California 2.8%</b>		
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, Refunding, Consolidated Capital Assessment District No. 2, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/47	7,499	8,608,837
<b>Colorado 3.0%</b>		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health, Series C-3 (AGM), 5.10%, 04/29/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	9,410	9,492,855

**Connecticut 1.1%**

Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/45	3,061	3,444,466
---	-------	-----------

**District of Columbia 0.6%**

District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/18 <sup>(a)(c)</sup>	1,699	1,749,548
---	-------	-----------

**Florida 4.1%**

County of Miami-Dade Florida, GO, Building Better Communities Program, Series B-1, 6.00%, 07/01/18 <sup>(a)</sup>	12,500	12,742,562
---	--------	------------

**Illinois 4.2%**

City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Waterworks, 2nd Lien (AGM), 5.25%, 11/01/33	3,967	4,075,773
---	-------	-----------

State of Illinois Toll Highway Authority, RB: Series A, 5.00%, 01/01/40	1,980	2,218,715
--	-------	-----------

Series B, 5.00%, 01/01/40	6,148	6,899,904
---------------------------	-------	-----------

13,194,392

**Maryland 0.9%**

City of Baltimore Maryland, RB, Wastewater Project, Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 07/01/46	2,499	2,848,220
---	-------	-----------

**Nevada 6.1%**

County of Clark Nevada Water Reclamation District, GO <sup>(a)</sup> : Limited Tax, 6.00%, 07/01/18	10,000	10,194,050
--	--------	------------

Series B, 5.50%, 07/01/19	8,247	8,703,841
---------------------------	-------	-----------

18,897,891

**Table of Contents**

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)**

January 31, 2018

**(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)**

<i>Security</i>	<i>Par (000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
<b>New Jersey 1.7%</b>		
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29	\$ 3,639	\$ 3,719,447
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series B, 5.25%, 06/15/36 <sup>(c)</sup>	1,500	1,584,518
		5,303,965
<b>New York 7.2%</b>		
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series FF, 5.00%, 06/15/45	5,958	6,605,659
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, BARB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 01/15/39	2,300	2,378,952
New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, 1 World Trade Center Port Authority Consolidated Bonds, 5.25%, 12/15/43	7,515	8,351,760
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 4 World Trade Center Project, 5.75%, 11/15/51 <sup>(c)</sup>	4,400	4,956,598
		22,292,969
<b>Pennsylvania 0.7%</b>		
County of Westmoreland Pennsylvania Municipal Authority, Refunding RB, (BAM), 5.00%, 08/15/38	1,963	2,202,417
<b>Texas 1.5%</b>		
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Baylor Health Care System Project, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/38	4,296	4,746,050
<b>Utah 0.8%</b>		
City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services, Inc., 5.00%, 08/15/41	2,504	2,615,460
	<i>Shares/Par (000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
<b>Virginia 1.4%</b>		
County of Fairfax Virginia EDA, RB, Metrorail Parking System Project, 5.00%, 04/01/47 <sup>(c)</sup>	\$ 3,720	\$ 4,278,707
<b>Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 36.1%</b> <b>(Cost \$108,893,239)</b>		112,418,339
<b>Total Long-Term Investments 160.2%</b> <b>(Cost \$469,681,274)</b>		498,494,085

<b>Short-Term Securities</b>	<b>0.2%</b>		
BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash, Institutional Class, 1.59% <sup>(d)(e)</sup>		433,400	433,444
<b>Total Short-Term Securities</b>	<b>0.2%</b>		
(Cost \$ 433,444)			433,444
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>160.4%</b>		
(Cost \$470,114,718)			498,927,529
<b>Other Assets Less Liabilities</b>	<b>1.9%</b>		6,180,091
<b>Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable</b>	<b>(20.2)%</b>		(62,967,195)
<b>VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value</b>	<b>(42.1)%</b>		(131,000,000)
<b>Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		\$ 311,140,425

- (a) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Fund. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details.
- (c) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement. The aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire between May 7, 2018 to October 1, 2024, is \$6,783,458. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details.
- (d) Annualized 7-day yield as of period end.
- (e) During the six months ended January 31, 2018, investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at 07/31/17	Net Activity	Shares Held at 01/31/18	Value at 01/31/18	Income	Change in Net Unrealized Appreciation	
						Realized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash, Institutional Class	327,393	106,007	433,400	\$ 433,444	\$ 10,773	\$ 1,859	\$

(a) Includes net capital gain distributions, if applicable.

#### Derivative Financial Instruments Outstanding as of Period End

##### Futures Contracts

Description	Number of Contracts	Expiration Date	Notional Amount (000)	Value/ Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Short Contracts:				



Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD CALIFORNIA QUALITY FUND, INC. - Form N-CSRS

10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	44	03/20/18	\$ 5,349	\$ 124,751
Long U.S. Treasury Bond	68	03/20/18	10,051	314,312
5-Year U.S. Treasury Note	52	03/29/18	5,965	76,732
				\$ 515,795

SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS

17

**Table of Contents**

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)**

January 31, 2018

**Derivative Financial Instruments Categorized by Risk Exposure**

As of period end, the fair values of derivative financial instruments located in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities were as follows:

	<i>Commodity Contracts</i>	<i>Credit Contracts</i>	<i>Equity Contracts</i>	<i>Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts</i>	<i>Interest Rate Contracts</i>	<i>Other Contracts</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Assets</b>							
<b>Derivative Financial Instruments</b>							
Futures contracts							
Net unrealized appreciation <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$515,795	\$	\$515,795

<sup>(a)</sup> Includes cumulative appreciation (depreciation) on futures contracts, if any, as reported in the Schedule of Investments. Only current day's variation margin is reported within the Statements of Assets and Liabilities. For the six months ended January 31, 2018, the effect of derivative financial instruments in the Statements of Operations was as follows:

	<i>Commodity Contracts</i>	<i>Credit Contracts</i>	<i>Equity Contracts</i>	<i>Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts</i>	<i>Interest Rate Contracts</i>	<i>Other Contracts</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Net Realized Gain (Loss) from:</b>							
Futures contracts	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 296,251	\$	\$ 296,251
<b>Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on:</b>							
Futures contracts	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 536,803	\$	\$ 536,803

**Average Quarterly Balances of Outstanding Derivative Financial Instruments**

Futures contracts:

Average notional value of contracts short \$ 21,048,234

For more information about the Fund's investment risks regarding derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

### Fair Value Hierarchy as of Period End

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. For information about the Fund's policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund's investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	<i>Level 1</i>	<i>Level 2</i>	<i>Level 3</i>	<i>Total</i>
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	\$ 498,494,085	\$	\$ 498,494,085
Short-Term Securities	433,444			433,444
	\$ 433,444	\$ 498,494,085	\$	\$ 498,927,529
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>(b)</sup>				
Assets:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ 515,795	\$	\$	\$ 515,795

<sup>(a)</sup> See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

<sup>(b)</sup> Derivative financial instruments are futures contracts which are valued at the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on the instrument.

The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of period end, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	<i>Level 1</i>	<i>Level 2</i>	<i>Level 3</i>	<i>Total</i>
Liabilities:				
TOB Trust Certificates	\$	\$ (62,821,330)	\$	\$ (62,821,330)
VMTP Shares at Liquidation Value		(131,000,000)		(131,000,000)
	\$	\$ (193,821,330)	\$	\$ (193,821,330)

During the six months ended January 31, 2018, there were no transfers between levels.

See notes to financial statements.



**Table of Contents**

Schedule of Investments (unaudited)

**BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)**

January 31, 2018

**(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)**

<i>Security</i>	<i>Par (000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
<b>Municipal Bonds</b>		
<b>California 89.0%</b>		
<b>Corporate 1.3%</b>		
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, RB, San Jose Water Company Project, AMT, 4.75%, 11/01/46	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,339,240
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric, Series A, 5.88%, 02/15/34	2,435	2,576,425
		6,915,665
<b>County/City/Special District/School District 30.7%</b>		
Chaffey Joint Union High School District, GO, CAB, Election of 2012, Series C <sup>(a)</sup> :		
0.00%, 08/01/32	250	151,783
0.00%, 08/01/33	500	288,925
0.00%, 08/01/34	505	278,311
0.00%, 08/01/35	545	286,365
0.00%, 08/01/36	500	250,470
0.00%, 08/01/37	650	310,186
0.00%, 08/01/38	630	286,499
0.00%, 08/01/39	750	325,230
0.00%, 08/01/40	1,850	765,696
0.00%, 08/01/41	305	120,463
0.00%, 02/01/42	350	134,631
City & County of San Francisco California, COP, Port Facilities Project, Series C, AMT, 5.25%, 03/01/32	1,050	1,183,203
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 02/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	2,000	2,092,020
County of Orange California Sanitation District, COP, Series A, 5.00%, 02/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	2,500	2,591,600
County of Orange California Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.25%, 08/15/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	9,045	9,578,022
County of San Joaquin California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Limited Tax, Measure K, Series A, 6.00%, 03/01/21 <sup>(b)</sup>	2,755	3,117,916
El Monte City School District, GO, Los Angeles Country, California Series B, 5.50%, 08/01/46	4,265	5,166,365
Fowler Unified School District, GO, Election of 2016, Series A (BAM), 5.25%, 08/01/46	3,700	4,380,208
Garden Grove Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series C, 5.25%, 08/01/37	2,725	3,130,289
Gavilan Joint Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series D <sup>(b)</sup> :		
5.50%, 08/01/21	2,165	2,449,481
5.75%, 08/01/21	8,400	9,574,908
Grossmont California Healthcare District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.13%, 07/15/21 <sup>(b)</sup>	2,500	2,876,675
Grossmont California Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series C, 5.50%, 08/01/21 <sup>(b)</sup>	1,880	2,130,472
	5,715	6,725,298

Kern Community College District, GO, Safety Repair & Improvements, Series C, 5.25%, 11/01/32		
Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding LRB, Real Property, Series B (AGC), 5.50%, 04/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	2,075	2,173,583
Mount San Antonio Community College District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.00%, 08/01/34	4,500	5,116,590
Ohlone Community College District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.25%, 08/01/21 <sup>(b)</sup>	8,140	9,155,465