ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. Form 10-K March 02, 2015 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM

TO

0-10593

(Commission File Number)

ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

11-2481903 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

1450 Broadway, New York, New York 10018

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (212) 730-0030

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common Stock, \$.001 Par Value Name of each exchange on which registered The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ Global Market)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer , accelerated filer , and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company "
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the registrant s Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the close of business on June 30, 2014 was approximately \$2,062.5 million. As of February 24, 2015, 47,863,080 shares of the registrant s Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share, were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the registrant s proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2015 are incorporated by reference in Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III of this Form 10-K.

ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		Page 1
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	21
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	34
Item 2.	Properties	34
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	34
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	34
PART II		35
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	35
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	36
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	37
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	50
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	50
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	50
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	50
Item 9B.	Other Information	54
PART III		54
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	54
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	54
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	54
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	54
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	54
PART IV		54
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	54
Signatures		56
Consolidated 1	Financial Statements	63

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this Form 10-K to the Company, Iconix, we, us, our, or similar pronouns refer to Iconix Brand Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

Iconix Brand Group is a brand management company and owner of a diversified portfolio of 35 global consumer brands across women s, men s, entertainment and home. The Company s business strategy is to maximize the value of its brands primarily through strategic licenses and joint venture partnerships around the world, as well as to grow the portfolio of brands through strategic acquisitions.

The Company s brand portfolio includes Candie®sBongo®, Badgley Mischka®, Joe Boxer®, Rampage®, Mudd®, London Fog®, Mossimo®, Ocean Pacific/OP®, Danskin/Danskin Now®, Rocawear®/Roc Nation®, Cannon®, Royal Velvet®, Fieldcrest®, Charisma®, Starter®, Waverly®, Ecko Unltd®/Mark Ecko Cut & Sew®, Zoo York®, Sharper Image®, Umbro® and Lee Cooper®; and interest in Artful Dodger®, Material Girl®, Peanuts®, Ed Hardy®, Truth or Dare®, Billionaire Boys Club®, Ice Cream®, Modern Amusement®, Buffalo®, Nick Graham® and Hydraulic®.

The Company looks to monetize the Intellectual Property (herein referred to as IP) related to its brands throughout the world and in all relevant categories by licensing directly with leading retailers (herein referred to as direct to retail), through consortia of wholesale licensees, through joint ventures in specific territories and via other activity such as corporate sponsorships and content as well as the sale of IP for specific categories or territories. Products bearing the Company s brands are sold across a variety of distribution channels from the mass tier to the luxury market and, in the case of the Peanuts brand, through various media outlets, including television, movies, digital and mobile content. The licensees are responsible for designing, manufacturing and distributing the licensed products. The Company supports its brands with advertising and promotional campaigns designed to increase brand awareness. Additionally the Company provides its licensees with coordinated trend direction to enhance product appeal and help build and maintain brand integrity.

Globally, the Company has over 50 direct-to-retail licenses and more than 1,100 total licenses. Licensees are selected based upon the Company s belief that such licensees will be able to produce and sell quality products in the categories of their specific expertise and that they are capable of exceeding minimum sales targets and royalties that the Company generally requires for each brand. This licensing strategy is designed to permit the Company to operate its licensing business, leverage its core competencies of marketing and brand management with minimal working capital, and without inventory, production or distribution costs or risks, and maintain high margins. The vast majority of the Company s licensing agreements include minimum guaranteed royalty revenue which provides the Company with greater visibility into future cash flows. As of January 1, 2015, the Company had over \$800 million of aggregate guaranteed royalty revenue over the terms of its existing contracts excluding renewals.

A key initiative in the Company s global brand expansion plans has been the formation of international joint ventures. The strategy in forming international joint ventures is to partner with best-in-class, local partners to bring the Company s brands to market more quickly and efficiently, generating greater short- and long-term value from its IP, than the Company believes is possible if it were to build-out wholly-owned operations ourselves across a multitude of regional or local offices. Since September 2008, the Company has established the following international joint ventures: Iconix China, Iconix Latin America, Iconix Europe, Iconix India, Iconix Canada, Iconix Australia, Iconix Southeast Asia, Iconix Israel and Iconix Middle East.

The Company also plans to continue to build and maintain its brand portfolio by acquiring additional brands directly or through joint ventures. In assessing potential acquisitions or investments, the Company primarily

1

evaluates the strength of the target brand as well as the expected viability and sustainability of future royalty streams. The Company believes that this focused approach allows it to effectively screen a wide pool of consumer brand candidates and other asset light businesses, strategically evaluate acquisition targets and complete due diligence for potential acquisitions efficiently.

The Company s primary goal of maximizing the value of its IP also includes, in certain instances, the sale to third parties of a brand s trademark in specific territories or categories. As such, the Company evaluates potential offers to acquire some or all of a brand s IP by comparing whether the offer is more valuable than the Company s estimate of the current and potential revenue streams to be earned via the Company s traditional licensing model. Further, as part of the Company s evaluation process it also considers whether or not the buyer s future development of the brand may help to expand the brand s overall recognition and global revenue potential.

Since October 2004, the Company has acquired the following brands:

Date acquired	Brand
October 2004	Badgley Mischka
July 2005	Joe Boxer
September 2005	Rampage
April 2006	Mudd
August 2006	London Fog
October 2006	Mossimo
November 2006	Ocean Pacific/ OP
March 2007	Danskin/ Danskin Now
March 2007	Rocawear/ Roc Nation
October 2007	Official-Pillowtex brands (Cannon, Royal Velvet, Fieldcrest and Charisma)
December 2007	Starter
October 2008	Waverly
October 2009, July 2011	Zoo York ⁽¹⁾
October 2011	Sharper Image
November 2012	Umbro
February 2013	Lee Cooper ⁽²⁾
October 2009, May 2013	Ecko Unltd/ Marc Ecko Cut & Sew ⁽³⁾

In July 2011, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary ZY Holdings, purchased the Zoo York brand and related assets from its IPH Unltd joint venture, increasing its effective ownership in the Zoo York brand from 51% to 100%.

In March 2014 the Company sold 50% of its Lee Cooper marks in the United States to its newly formed joint venture, LC Partners.

In May 2013 the Company purchased the remaining 49% of the equity interest in IPH Unltd from its minority partner, increasing its effective ownership of the Ecko portfolio of brands from 51% to 100%.

In addition to the acquisitions above, the Company has acquired ownership interests in the following brands through its investments in joint ventures as of December 31, 2014:

Date Acquired/Invested	Brand	Investment / Joint Venture	Iconix s Interest
November 2007	Artful Dodger	Scion	50%
May 2009, April 2011	Ed Hardy ⁽¹⁾	Hardy Way	85%
March 2010	Material Girl and Truth or Dare	MG Icon	50%
June 2010	Peanuts	Peanuts Holdings	80%
May 2012	Ice Cream, Billionaire Boys Club	Scion	25%
December 2012	Modern Amusement	Icon Modern Amusement	51%
February 2013	Buffalo	Alberta ULC	51%
October 2014	Nick Graham	NGX	51%
December 2014	Hydraulic	Hydraulic IP Holdings	51%

⁽¹⁾ In April 2011, the Company acquired an additional interest in Hardy Way LLC, increasing its effective ownership of the brand from 50% to 85%.

Through December 31, 2014, the Company formed the following joint ventures to develop and market its brands in specific international markets, herein collectively referred to as the Company s International Joint Ventures:

Date Created	Investment /Joint Venture	Iconix s Interest
September 2008	Iconix China	50%
December 2009	Iconix Europe ⁽¹⁾	51%
May 2012	Iconix India	50%
June 2013	Iconix Canada	50%
September 2013	Iconix Australia	50%
October 2013	Iconix Southeast Asia	50%
December 2013	Iconix Israel	50%
December 2014	Iconix Middle East	50%

⁽¹⁾ In January 2014 the Company purchased an additional 1% of the equity interest in Iconix Europe from its partner, increasing its effective ownership from 50% to 51%.

Corporate Information

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware in 1978. Its principal executive offices are located at 1450 Broadway, New York, New York 10018, and its telephone number is (212) 730-0030. The Company s website address is www.iconixbrand.com. The information on the Company s website does not constitute part of this Form 10-K. The Company has included its website address in this document as an inactive textual reference only.

The Company s brands

The Company owns a diversified portfolio of 35 iconic brands across women s, men s, home and entertainment. The Company s objective is to grow its existing portfolio organically, both domestically and internationally, and acquire new brands, both of which leverages its brand management expertise, platform and infrastructure, and where third parties offer similar leverage of their relationships and infrastructures, enter into joint ventures or other partnerships. To achieve this objective, the Company intends to:

extend its existing brands by adding additional product categories, expanding the brands distribution and retail presence and optimizing its licensees sales through marketing that increases consumer awareness and loyalty;

continue its international expansion through additional licenses, partnerships, joint ventures and other arrangements with leading retailers and wholesalers worldwide; and

continue acquiring consumer brands or the rights to such brands with high consumer awareness, broad appeal, applicability to a range of product categories and an ability to diversify the Company s portfolio.

In managing its brands, the Company seeks to capitalize on its heritage and authenticity, while simultaneously working to keep its brands relevant to today s consumer.

Brands Wholly-Owned by Iconix:

Women s Brands

Candie s. Candie s is known primarily as a junior lifestyle brand, with products in the footwear, apparel and accessories categories, and the brand has achieved high recognition for its flirty and fun image and affiliations with celebrity spokespeople. Candie s was established as a brand in 1977 and is Iconix s longest held trademark. The primary licensee for Candie s is Kohl s Department Stores, Inc., herein referred to as Kohl s, which commenced the roll out of the brand in July 2005 in all of its stores in the United States with a multi-category line of Candie s lifestyle products, including sportswear, denim, footwear, handbags, intimate apparel, children s apparel, fragrance and home accessories. Candie s shop-in-shops are in all of Kohl s over 1,100 stores, creating a brand specific shopping experience. Celebrity spokespeople for the Candie s brand over the past two decades have included Jenny McCarthy, Destiny s Child, Kelly Clarkson, Hilary Duff, Fergie, Hayden Panetierre, Britney Spears, Vanessa Hudgens, Lea Michele, Carly Rae Jepsen and, currently, singer and actress Bella Thorne. The brand is licensed in Latin America, Southeast Asia, India and Korea and is sold through more than 700 Candie s retail locations in China.

Bongo. The Bongo brand is positioned as a California lifestyle brand, with a broad range of women s and children s casual apparel and accessories, including denim, sportswear, eyewear, footwear and watches. The brand was established in 1982. In February 2010, the Company signed an exclusive direct-to-retail license agreement with Kmart Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sears Holding Corporation (herein referred to as Kmart/Sears), for the brand in the United States. Bongo is a highly visible brand across Kmart/Sears, with strong presence across women s apparel, accessories and footwear. Celebrity spokespeople for the Bongo brand have included Liv Tyler, Rachel Bilson, Nicole Richie, Vanessa Minnillo, Kim Kardashian, Jesse McCartney, Audrina Patridge, Lucy Hale and, currently, actress Vanessa Hudgens. The Bongo brand is also licensed in Latin America.

Badgley Mischka. The Badgley Mischka brand is known as one of the premiere couture eveningwear brands. The brand was established in 1988 and was acquired by the Company in October 2004. Badgley Mischka products are sold in the United States through luxury department and specialty stores, including Bergdorf Goodman, Neiman Marcus and Saks Fifth Avenue, with its largest retail categories being women s apparel, bridal, footwear, handbags and other accessories. In 2013, Badgley Mischka launched a more accessible diffusion line called Belle Badgley Mischka sold exclusively at Dillards and Lord and Taylor. Badgley Mischka designs have been worn by such celebrities as Angelina Jolie, Catherine Zeta Jones, Halle Berry, Kate Winslet, Ashley and Mary Kate Olsen, Teri Hatcher, Eva Longoria, Carrie Underwood, Lauren Hutton, Angelica Huston, Brooke Shields and Rumer Willis. Badgley Mischka products are distributed internationally, primarily by our licensees based in the United States and, also, through 12 Badgley Mischka retail locations in China. The brand is also licensed in Europe, the Middle East, Korea and Canada.

Joe Boxer. Joe Boxer is a highly recognized lifestyle brand known for its irreverent and humorous image and provocative promotional events. The brand was established in 1985 and was acquired by the Company in July 2005. Since August 2001, Kmart/Sears has held the exclusive license for the brand in the United States covering apparel, fashion accessories and home products for men, women, teens and children. In September 2006, the Company expanded the license with Kmart/Sears to extend the brand, already present across Kmart

4

stores, into all Sears stores. In 2013, Joe Boxer launched a clever musical ad campaign across national TV and social media campaigns around Ring in the Holidays that invited people to Kmart to buy their Joe Boxer during the Christmas Season. The brand is also licensed in Europe, Latin America, and Southeast Asia.

Rampage. Rampage was established in 1982 and is known as a contemporary/junior women s sportswear brand. The brand was acquired by the Company in September 2005. Rampage products are sold through better department stores such as Macy s and Belk Stores, with the largest retail categories being sportswear, footwear, intimate apparel and swimwear. Supermodels Petra Nemcova, Gisele Bundchen and Bar Rafaeli have previously been the spokespersons for the Rampage brand and have modeled for its campaigns in past seasons. The brand is also licensed in Latin America, South Korea and Canada.

Mudd. Mudd is a highly recognizable junior lifestyle brand, particularly in the denim, footwear and accessories categories. It was established in 1995 and acquired by the Company in April 2006. In November 2008, the Company entered into a multi-year licensing agreement with Kohl s under which Kohl s became the exclusive retailer in the United States for apparel, footwear, fashion accessories and jewelry. The brand was launched at Kohl s in July 2009 and is currently sold in all Kohl s stores in numerous categories. The brand is also licensed in Latin America and Japan.

London Fog. London Fog is a classic brand known worldwide for its outerwear, cold weather accessories, umbrellas, luggage and travel products. The brand was established over 80 years ago and was acquired by the Company in August 2006. The brand is sold in a variety of categories through wholesale licenses in the United States, primarily through the department store channel including Macy s and Dillards Department Store. Further, the Company has a direct-to-retail license agreement for London Fog with Hudson s Bay Corporation in Canada, covering outerwear, apparel, accessories and lifestyle products. In recent years, the celebrity spokespeople for the brand have been Christina Hendricks and Nicole Scherzinger. Currently, the London Fog spokespersons are Neil Patrick Harris and David Burtka. The brand is also licensed in Latin America, Europe, India and Korea and is sold through more than 60 London Fog retail locations in China.

Mossimo. Mossimo is known as a contemporary, active and youthful lifestyle brand and is one of the largest apparel brands in the United States. The brand was established in 1986 and acquired by the Company in October 2006. Since 2000, Target Corporation, herein referred to as Target, has held the exclusive license in the United States and Canada, covering apparel products for men, women and children, including casual sportswear, denim, swimwear, bodywear, watches, handbags and other fashion accessories. Target sells Mossimo apparel and other products chainwide. The brand is also licensed on a direct-to-retail basis to Falabella Retail S.A. in Latin America and to wholesale licensees in Europe, Latin America, Southeast Asia, Australia, India, Canada and Japan.

Ocean Pacific/OP. (58% Women s, 42% Men s) Ocean Pacific and OP are global action-sports lifestyle apparel brands which trace their heritage to Ocean Pacific s roots as a 1960 s surfboard label. The Company acquired the Ocean Pacific/OP brands in November 2006 and in 2007, the OP business in the United States was converted to a direct-to-retail license with Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. (herein referred to as Wal-Mart). In Spring 2008, OP launched exclusively in select Wal-Mart stores in the United States, and was expanded to all stores in 2009. Currently the brand is distributed by Wal-Mart as a direct-to-retail license in the United States, Canada, parts of Latin America and the Middle East, with products that include apparel, footwear and swim for men, women and children. OP is distributed via a direct-to-retail license with Sports Direct in Europe. Celebrity endorsers for the brand include Ashley Tisdale, Sarah Hyland and Matt Lanter. The brand is also licensed via wholesalers in certain parts of Latin America and Europe.

Danskin/Danskin Now. Danskin is a 126 year-old iconic brand of women s activewear, legwear, dancewear, yoga apparel and fitness equipment, which the Company acquired in March 2007. Danskin has maintained a legacy of health, strength and female empowerment in its core values. In 2014, Danskin enlisted Giuliana Rancic to remain the face of its marketing campaign to authentically represent these attributes. The

5

primary license for the Danskin brand is a direct-to-retail license with Wal-Mart for Danskin Now in the United States, Canada and parts of Latin America covering a wide range of women s and girl s apparel, footwear, accessories and fitness equipment in every store. In addition, the Danskin brand continues to be sold through better department, mid-tier, specialty and sporting goods stores, as well as through Danskin.com by wholesale licensees in the United States. In 2014, the brand relaunched its e-commerce site, blog, and expanded its social media efforts. Sustaining its heritage with dance, Danskin formed a new partnership with the School of American Ballet and continued its support of the New York City Ballet. The Danskin brand is also licensed in Latin America and Europe.

Men s Brands:

Rocawear/Roc Nation. Rocawear is a leading youth culture brand established by Shawn Jay-Z Carter and his partners in 1999. The Company acquired the Rocawear brand in March 2007. In July 2013, the Company acquired the global rights to the Roc Nation name, a higher-end halo brand of Rocawear, to use and register as a trademark for apparel, footwear and related categories. The Roc Nation brand is a higher-end halo brand of Rocawear associated with the Roc Nation entertainment and talent agency currently licensed in the U.S. The Rocawear brands are currently licensed in the United States in a variety of categories, including men s, women s and kids apparel, outerwear, footwear, jewelry and handbags. Rocawear products are sold primarily through department and specialty stores nationwide. The 2014 Rocawear spokesperson, featured in print and online, was Grammy award nominee Fabolous. The brand is also licensed in Europe, Latin America, Southeast Asia, the Middle East, Canada, and Japan.

Starter. Starter, founded in 1971, is one of the original brands in licensed team sports merchandise and is a highly recognized brand of athletic apparel and footwear. The Company acquired Starter in December 2007. At the time of the acquisition, the brand was distributed in the United States primarily at Wal-Mart through a number of wholesale licensees. In July 2008, the brand was licensed to Wal-Mart on a direct-to-retail basis. The Starter brand is sold through all Wal-Mart stores in the United States and Canada. The Starter brand has been worn by some of the greatest athletes in MLB, NBA, NFL and NHL. The 2014 spokespeople for the brand included Kevin Love and Eric Decker. Most recently, the Company has partnered with all the professional sports leagues and many NCAA universities throughout the U.S. and re-launched the iconic Starter satin jacket, sold through various specialty stores, sporting goods stores and online. In addition, the brand is licensed in Europe, Latin America, the Middle East, Australia, South Korea, Africa, Canada, and Japan.

Zoo York. Zoo York is an East Coast based action lifestyle brand, named for the graffiti-art infused counterculture of 1970 s New York City. Zoo York has licenses with wholesalers covering a variety of products, including men s, women s and kid s apparel and footwear. The Company acquired a 51% interest in the Zoo York brand as part of the Ecko Untld. acquisition in 2009, and the Company increased its ownership to 100% of Zoo York in 2011. In the U.S., the brand has wholesale licensees for men s and boy s apparel, footwear, socks and accessories. Zoo York is currently distributed in department stores including Kohl s, JCPenney, and Stage Stores. Celebrity spokespeople for the brand include professional skateboarders Chaz Ortiz and Brandon Wesgate. With the permission of the NY Yankees, Zoo York unveiled a controversial and highly viewed video of the skate team riding in an empty Yankee Stadium. The brand is also licensed in Canada, Europe, Latin America, Australia, Africa, and Southeast Asia.

Umbro. Founded in 1924, Umbro is a global football (soccer) brand. The brand combines its British heritage with a modern football lifestyle to create iconic sports apparel and footwear with strong global awareness and distribution. The Company acquired the Umbro brand in November 2012. In the U.S. the Company has a direct-to-retail license with Dick s Sporting Goods and wholesale licenses for apparel, footwear and accessories for distribution in Department stores. In October 2013, the Company entered into a sponsorship agreement with the Everton Football Club of the English Premier League to supply team kits (i.e. uniforms) through the 2018/2019 season. The Company and its licensees sponsor hundreds of national and league teams across Europe, Latin America and Asia. Umbro products are sold globally through a strong network of licensees in the U.S., Europe, Latin America, Southeast Asia, Middle East, Africa, Canada, and Australia.

6

Lee Cooper. Founded in 1908, Lee Cooper is an iconic British denim brand that has expanded into multiple lifestyle categories including men s and women s casual wear, footwear and accessories. The Company acquired the Lee Cooper brand in February 2013. Lee Cooper has a wide range of direct-to-retail licenses including, Sports Direct in the UK and with Big W in Australia and over 35 international licensees: the brand is sold in over 80 countries. Lee Cooper continues to execute a global marketing campaign, The Makers, which glorifies the authenticity and tradition of the brand.

Home Brands:

Cannon. Cannon is one of the most recognizable brands in home textiles with a strong heritage and history and is known as the first textile brand to sew logos onto products. Cannon was established in 1887, making it the Company s third oldest brand. The Company acquired Cannon as part of the 2007 Pillowtex acquisition. At the time of the acquisition, the brand was distributed in various regional department stores. In February 2008, the Company signed a direct-to-retail license with Kmart/Sears for Cannon to be sold exclusively in the United States and Canada in both Kmart and Sears stores in multiple categories. In addition, the brand is licensed in Latin America, Europe, Southeast Asia, Middle East, India, and Australia.

Royal Velvet. Royal Velvet is a distinctive luxury home textile brand that strives to deliver the highest quality to consumers. Royal Velvet products include towels, sheets, rugs and shams. The Royal Velvet towel has been an industry standard since 1954. The Company acquired Royal Velvet as part of the 2007 Pillowtex acquisition. In April 2011, the Company entered into a direct-to-retail license with JC Penney Corporation, Inc, (herein referred to as JC Penney), for the Royal Velvet brand to be sold exclusively in JC Penney stores in the United States, which commenced in February 2012. In addition, the brand has been licensed in Europe, Latin America, the Middle East and Australia.

Fieldcrest. Fieldcrest is a brand known for quality bed and bath textiles that are classic in style. The Fieldcrest brand was established in 1883, making it the Company s second oldest brand. The Company acquired Fieldcrest as part of the 2007 Pillowtex acquisition. Since 2005, the Fieldcrest brand has been licensed exclusively to Target in the United States. Categories include fashion bedding, bath, towel, rugs, basic bedding and sheets. The brand is also licensed in Europe, Latin America, Canada, the Middle East and Australia.

Charisma. Charisma home textiles were introduced in the 1970 s and are known for their quality materials and classic designs. The Company acquired Charisma as part of the 2007 Pillowtex acquisition. In February 2009, the Company signed a direct-to-retail license with Costco Wholesale Corporation, (herein referred to as Costco), for certain Charisma products to be sold in Costco stores in the United States and other countries. The brand is also licensed in the United States and Canada for distribution through better department stores such as Bloomingdales. Celebrity spokespeople for the brand have included Kellan Lutz, Eddie Cibrian, and Scott Foley. Charisma is licensed in Europe, Korea, Canada and Australia.

Waverly. Founded in 1923, Waverly is a premier home fashion and lifestyle brand and one of the most recognized names in home decor. The Company acquired Waverly in October 2008. Waverly has a direct-to-retail agreement in the United States with Walmart for the Waverly Inspirations Collection covering fabrics and craft. Waverly also has wholesale licensees in the United States for products including fabric, window treatments and bedding that are sold through retailers such as Jo-Ann s and Lowe s as well as interior design rooms and other specialty retailers. The Waverly brand is also licensed in Europe, Australia, the Middle East, Southeast Asia and Canada.

Sharper Image. Founded in 1977, Sharper Image is a lifestyle brand with unique product assortments across a host of categories including consumer electronics, home goods, luggage, eclectic gifts and kitchen accessories. The Company acquired the Sharper Image brand in October 2011. In the United States, Sharper Image s innovative products are broadly distributed through department/specialty stores, clubs, consumer electronics retailers, travel retail and TV shopping networks. Recent marketing campaigns for the brand have included world-renowned spokespersons Heidi Klum, Betty White and Megan Fox. The brand is also licensed in Canada, Mexico and Japan.

7

Subsequent Events:

Strawberry Shortcake. On February 2, 2015, the Company and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries entered into an agreement with American Greetings Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Those Characters From Cleveland, Inc. (collectively, AG), providing for the purchase of AG s Strawberry Shortcake brand and related intangible assets and licensed agreements. Iconix will pay \$105.0 million in cash at closing and will assume all ordinary course contracts and related ordinary course obligations for the Strawberry Shortcake property arising after the closing. The acquisition is expected to close no later than March 31, 2015, subject to the satisfaction of customary closing conditions and the receipt of required consents.

The iconic Strawberry Shortcake character made her debut 35 years ago and today is a global brand with a diversified network of over 350 licensees. Strawberry Shortcake currently has a strong international business, with revenue outside of the U.S. representing approximately 50% of total sales. The two largest international markets are currently Brazil and France, where the brand is highly recognized as a local brand, marketed as Moranguinho in Brazil and Charlotte aux Fraises in France.

Brands Acquired by Iconix with Equity Partners at Time of Acquisition

Women s Brands:

MG Icon - Material Girl, Truth or Dare

MG Icon, a joint venture in which the Company has a 50% interest, was formed by the Company with Madonna and Guy Oseary in March 2010 to buy, create, develop and license brands across a spectrum of consumer product categories, with Madonna serving as the creative director. Concurrent with the formation of this joint venture, MG Icon entered into a direct-to-retail license with Macy s Retail Holdings, Inc, (herein referred to as Macy s), for the Material Girl brand covering a wide array of consumer categories. Ambassadors for the Material Girl brand have included Zendaya, Rita Ora, and Kelly Osborne. Material Girl is sold in more than 35 branded retail locations in China. The brand is also licensed in Canada and Australia.

Buffalo Brand Joint Venture - Buffalo by David Bitton

In February 2013, the Company formed a joint venture with Buffalo International ULC (Buffalo International) in which the Company effectively purchased a 51% interest in the Buffalo trademarks and related assets. Founded in 1985, Buffalo is a lifestyle brand consisting of denim, sportswear, active wear, and accessories. Buffalo is primarily sold through better department stores including Macy s, Dillard s and Lord & Taylor, and has 20 stand-alone retail stores, mainly in Canada, operated by Buffalo International ULC, our core licensee. Celebrities that have recently appeared in campaigns are Chandler Parsons, Eric Decker, Erin Heatherton, Adrian Grenier and Amber Arbucci. Additionally, the brand is licensed in Latin America.

Hydraulic IP Holdings, LLC

In December 2014, the Company formed a joint venture with Top On International Group Limited in which the Company effectively purchased a 51% interest in the Hydraulic trademarks and related assets. Hydraulic was founded in New York in 1998 and is known for setting the blue jean standard in the denim market for junior s, women s and plus sizes. The brand differentiates itself from other denim by positioning itself with the theme that all denim was not created equally. Hydraulic is currently distributed in department stores, including a strong presence at Kohl s, and is licensed for women s and kid s apparel in the United States.

Men s Brands:

Scion- Artful Dodger, Billionaire Boys Club/BBC, Ice Cream

Scion, a joint venture in which the Company has a 50% interest, is a brand management and licensing company formed by the Company with Shawn Jay-Z Carter in March 2007 to buy and license brands across a

Table of Contents 13

8

spectrum of consumer product categories. In November 2007, Scion, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Artful Holdings LLC, purchased the Artful Dodger brand, a high end urban apparel brand. Also, in May 2012, Scion purchased a 50% interest in the Billionaire Boys Club (BBC) and Ice Cream brands. BBC and Ice Cream are licensed for distribution in high end boutiques and department stores. Pharell Williams, the iconic singer-songwriter, rapper, record producer, and fashion designer is the founder and an equity partner in these brands. The brands have been worn by celebrities such as Justin Bieber, Miley Cyrus, Beyoncé, Rihanna, and Jay Z. BBC and Ice Cream, is also licensed in Europe, the Middle East, Australia and Japan.

Hardy Way- Ed Hardy

In May 2009, the Company acquired a 50% interest in Hardy Way, the owner of the Ed Hardy brand and trademarks. In April 2011, the Company made an additional investment in Hardy Way which increased its ownership interest to 85%. Don Ed Hardy and his artwork date back to 1967 when he transformed the tattoo business into an artistic medium. He began licensing his name and artwork for apparel in 2003 and today the Ed Hardy brand is recognized by its tattoo inspired lifestyle products. The brand is licensed to wholesalers in the United States for men s, women s, and kid s apparel, footwear and accessories. Distribution in the United States, includes mass department stores, such as Walmart and Kmart. Celebrities that have worn the brand include Shakira, Lil Wayne, Madonna, Dwight Howard, Jessica Alba and Eva Longoria. In China, the brand is sold through 52 Ed Hardy retail locations. The brand is also licensed in Latin America, Southeast Asia, Canada and India.

IPH Unltd- Ecko Unltd, Marc Ecko Cut & Sew

In October 2009, the Company, through the then newly formed joint venture company IPH Unltd, acquired a 51% controlling stake in the Ecko portfolio of brands. In May 2013, the Company purchased the remaining 49% interest from its minority partner, increasing its ownership in IPH Unltd from 51% to 100%. Founded in 1993, Ecko and its various brands are marketed and sold to consumers in the youth culture lifestyle categories, including active-athletic, streetwear, collegiate/preppy and denim fashion. Licenses for Ecko Unltd products in the United States cover a variety of categories, including men s, and kids apparel, outerwear, underwear, fragrance and electronics. Ecko Unltd products are sold primarily through department and specialty stores including Dillard s and JCPenney. Ecko Unltd brand ambassadors include Manny Santiago-Pro Skateboarder and Miguel Cotto. Marc Ecko Cut & Sew is the halo brand. The brand is licensed in men s apparel, outerwear, underwear, fragrance and accessories. It is distributed in boutiques, specialty stores and Dillard s Department Store. The Ecko brands are also licensed to wholesale licensees in Europe, Southeast Asia, Latin America, Australia, Canada, and Africa.

Icon Modern Amusement - Modern Amusement

In December 2012, the Company entered into an interest purchase and management agreement with Dirty Bird Productions, Inc, in which the Company purchased a 51% interest in the Modern Amusement trademarks and related assets. Modern Amusement is a premium, west coast-lifestyle brand with a focus on casual sportswear apparel and related accessories for young men and young women. Modern Amusement has a direct-to-retail license in the U.S. with PacSun who distributes men s and has plans to extend into women s apparel and footwear. The brand is also licensed in Australia.

NGX, LLC - Nick Graham

In October 2014, the Company formed a joint venture with NGO, LLC (Nick Graham) in which the Company purchased a 51% interest in the Nick Graham trademarks and related assets. Founded in 2013, Nick Graham is a men s lifestyle brand which launched sets of dress shirts and ties sold at multiple levels of retail including Macy s, JCPenney, Kohl s, and Target. Nick Graham, a businessman, marketer and entrepreneur, is the founder of the Joe Boxer brand and operates the core licensee for the distribution of dress shirts and ties.

9

Entertainment:

Peanuts Worldwide Peanuts, Charlie Brown, Snoopy

In June 2010, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Icon Entertainment LLC, acquired an 80% controlling stake in Peanuts Holdings, which, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Peanuts Worldwide, owns and manages the Peanuts brand and characters, including Snoopy, Charlie Brown, Lucy, Linus, Peppermint Patty, Sally, Schroeder, Pig-Pen and Woodstock. The Company s 20% partner in Peanuts Holdings is the family of Charles Schulz, the creator of the Peanuts brand and characters. Peanuts has a strong diversified global licensing platform with over 700 licensing agreements including relationships with MetLife, ABC Network, Hallmark, Universal Studios, Warner Bros., Target, Uniqlo, Zara, Benetton, J+J and Nestle. In October 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with Twentieth Century Fox Animation to produce The Peanuts Movie, an animated movie featuring the iconic Peanuts characters, which is scheduled for an international release commencing in November 2015. The Peanuts brand is licensed in over 100 countries.

Subsequent Events:

Pony International, LLC

On February 2, 2015, the Company through its newly-formed subsidiary, US Pony Holdings, LLC, acquired the North American rights to the Pony brand. These rights include the rights in the United States obtained from Pony, Inc. and Pony International, LLC (collectively, referred to as US Pony Seller), and the rights in Mexico and Canada obtained from Super Jumbo Holdings Limited (referred to as Non-US Pony seller and, together with US Pony Seller, the Pony sellers). The purchase price was \$37.0 million. US Pony Holdings, LLC is owned 75% by the Company and 25% by its partner, Anthony L&S Athletics, LLC. Additionally, the Company received an option to purchase, until February 28, 2015, from the Pony Sellers and their affiliates certain IP related assets and trademarks related to the Pony brand in Europe, the Middle East and Africa. The Company also received a 90-day option, expiring May 29, 2015, to purchase from the Pony Sellers and their affiliates certain IP related assets and trademarks related to the Pony brand in Latin America.

Formed in 1972 in New York City, PONY became one of the top athletic footwear brands worldwide in the 1990s appearing on professional athletes in the World Cup, NBA, NFL, MLB and Boxing. Leveraging this legacy success, the Company expects to utilize a multi-tier strategy, similar to Starter and Danskin, to deliver function and fashion for men and women at accessible price points.

International Joint Ventures

The Company s primary purpose in forming international joint ventures is to bring its brands to market more quickly and efficiently, generating greater short- and long-term value from its IP than the Company believes is possible if it were to build-out wholly-owned operations on its own across a multitude of regional or local offices. The success from the company s first two international joint ventures demonstrate how this approach has enabled its brands to increase licensed revenue, market share and profitability beyond what the Company believes it could have achieved on its own. As an example, in China at the formation of the Iconix China joint venture, the Company s brands had minimal presence. Today, the Company s brands have more than 900 stores, shops-in-shops and counters across China. Similarly, in Latin America, revenue for the Company s brands increased 77% by the end of year two of the joint venture and grew 349% by the end of year five of the joint venture. When the Latin American joint venture was formed in December 2008, the Company had 16 licenses and one direct-to-retail agreement. Today, the Company has 53 licenses and six direct-to-retail licenses with retailers including Falabella, Suburbia and Walmart.

To get best-in-class local partners to invest in and represent the Company s brands in their respective territories, the Company offers its partner the ability to buy equity interests in the IP. These equity interests provide the Company s partners with the necessary incentive to devote management time and resources to the

10

brands. By leveraging the partners local market expertise, retail relationships, wholesale networks, business contacts and staff, including hundreds of employees across numerous cities worldwide, the Company has significantly grown licensing royalties in key global markets, collected monies owed by licensees more effectively and maintained stricter enforcement against counterfeit products.

Since 2008, the formation and administration of international joint ventures have been a central and ongoing component of our business, and the Company has established the following international joint ventures: Iconix China, Iconix Latin America, Iconix Europe, Iconix India, Iconix Canada, Iconix Australia, Iconix Southeast Asia, Iconix Israel and Iconix Middle East. As these businesses in each territory reach sufficient scale to support the Company s full business structure of brand management, marketing, licensing, acquisitions and finance, the Company may consider acquiring control or full ownership of the joint ventures, where possible, as was the case in Latin America in 2014.

Iconix China

In September 2008, the Company and Novel Fashions Holdings Limited, (referred to as Novel), formed a joint venture, Iconix China, to develop, exploit and market the Company s brands in the People s Republic of China, Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan, (herein referred to Greater China). Iconix China seeks to maximize brand monetization through investment, whereby Iconix China receives a minority equity stake in local operating companies in exchange for the rights to one or more of the Company s brands in Greater China, and brand management support. Pursuant to the terms of this transaction, the Company contributed to Iconix China substantially all rights to its brands in Greater China and contributed \$2.0 million, and Novel contributed \$17 million to Iconix China.

To date, the brands that Iconix China has successfully placed include Candie s and Marc Ecko Cut & Sew with Shanghai La Chapelle Fashion Co. Ltd (HK 6116); London Fog with China Outfitters (HK1146); Material Girl with Ningbo Peacebird; Ed Hardy with Landmark International; Ecko Unltd. with Xi Ha Clothing; Badgley Mischka with Eve NY; Joe Boxer with Northeast Socks; and Royal Velvet with Qingdao Hongfang. These brands are collectively sold through more than 850 branded retail locations.

In December 2011, Iconix China completed its first monetization through the initial public offering of China Outfitters Holdings Limited, herein referred to as China Outfitters, its partner for the London Fog brand in the region. In connection with the offering, Iconix China converted a minority equity stake in a subsidiary of China Outfitters that it had received in 2008 in exchange for the contribution of its rights to the London Fog brand. Also, in December 2012, Iconix China sold its interests in the Artful Dodger and Zoo York brands in Greater China to China Outfitters.

Iconix Latin America

In December 2008, the Company formed a joint venture partnership, (Iconix Latin America), with New Brands, an affiliate of the Falic Group, to develop, exploit, market and license the Latin American territory comprising of Mexico, Central America, South America and the Caribbean. In February 2014, the Company purchased from New Brands its 50% interest in Iconix Latin America for \$42.0 million. Today, Iconix Latin America has over 50 licenses with key direct-to-retail licenses with Falabella, Walmart and Suburbia.

Iconix Europe

In December 2009, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its wholly-owned brands in all member states and candidate states of the European Union, and certain other European countries, to Iconix Europe, a then newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Shortly thereafter, an investment group led by Albion Equity Partners LLC, purchased a 50% interest in Iconix Europe for \$4 million through Brand Investments Vehicle Group 3 Limited (BIV). Also, as part of this transaction, Iconix Europe entered into a

11

multi-year brand management and services agreement with The Licensing Company to assist in developing, exploiting, marketing and licensing the contributed brands in the European territory.

In January 2014, the Company consented to the purchase of BIV s 50% ownership interest in Iconix Europe by LF Asia Limited (LF Asia), an affiliate of Li & Fung Limited, in exchange for \$1.5 million from LF Asia. In addition, the Company acquired an additional 1% equity interest in Iconix Europe from LF Asia thereby increasing the Company s ownership in Iconix Europe to a controlling 51% interest. LF Asia, our joint venture partner in Iconix SE Asia, had recently acquired several licensing companies including The Licensing Company in Europe.

Li & Fung is an investment holding company principally engaged in managing the supply chain for retailers and brands worldwide from over 300 offices and distribution centers in more than 40 countries generating \$19 billion of revenue in 2013. Its subsidiary, LF Asia, is principally engaged in the licensing and wholesale business of global brands.

Iconix Europe has multiple direct-to-retail partnerships including OP with Sports Direct, one of UK s leading sports retailers and Danskin with Go Sport as well as a wide range of licenses in multiple territories for key brands such as Ecko Unltd., Mossimo, Rocawear and Starter.

Iconix India

In May 2012, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its wholly-owned and controlled brands in India to Imaginative Brand Developers Private Limited, now known as Iconix Lifestyle India Private Limited (Iconix India), a then newly formed subsidiary of the Company. Shortly thereafter, Reliance Brands Limited (Reliance), purchased a 50% interest in Iconix India for \$6.0 million. Reliance is an affiliate of Reliance Industries Limited, one of India s largest private sector enterprises.

Iconix India has signed many long term licensing partnerships and strong direct-to-retail licenses including licenses for Mossimo, London Fog, Umbro and Cannon and licenses with some of the largest companies in India including Future Group, Arvind and Kapsons.

Iconix Canada

In June 2013, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its wholly-owned and controlled brands in Canada into two entities: Ico Brands L.P. (Ico Brand) and Iconix Canada L.P. (Ico Canada) and together with Ico Brand, collectively Iconix Canada). Shortly thereafter, through their acquisitions of limited partnership and general partnership interests, Buffalo International ULC and its affiliates (BIU) purchased a 50% interest in Iconix Canada for an aggregate of \$17.8 million.

Buffalo International ULC (BIU) is based in Montreal, Canada and its management team has extensive experience working in the apparel industry. Since founding the Buffalo brand in 1985, the management team has established over 3,000 points of distribution for the brand including 20 branded, standalone stores. In February 2013 the Company acquired a controlling interest in the Buffalo by David Bitton brand and extended that relationship through Iconix Canada.

Iconix Canada has many direct-to-retail licenses including OP, Starter and Danskin Now at Walmart, and London Fog at The Bay as well as a wide range of licenses for key brands such as Ecko Unltd. Wholesale licenses are present for virtually all other brands including larger licenses for Charisma, Danskin, Ecko Unltd. and Umbro, which sponsors the Canadian National Soccer team.

12

Iconix Australia

In September 2013, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its wholly-owned and controlled brands in Australia and New Zealand (the Australia Territory) to Iconix Australia, LLC (Iconix Australia), a then newly formed, Delaware limited liability company and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, through an exclusive, royalty-free perpetual master license agreement with Iconix Australia. Shortly thereafter, Pac Brands USA, Inc. (Pac Brands USA) purchased a 50% interest in Iconix Australia for \$7.2 million from the Company to assist the Company in developing, exploiting, marketing and licensing the Company is brands in the Australia Territory.

Pac Brands USA, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Brands LLC, is a consumer products company, headquartered in Melbourne, Australia. Pac Brands has approximately 3,500 employees who are responsible for manufacturing, selling and marketing a diverse portfolio of brands throughout Australia and New Zealand. In 2014, Pac Brands generated revenue of approximately \$1 billion.

Iconix Australia has direct-to-retail licenses for Lee Cooper at Big W and Material Girl at Myer as well as licenses for key brands such as Fieldcrest, Mossimo, Starter, Umbro and Zoo York.

Iconix Israel

In November 2013, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its wholly-owned and controlled brands in the State of Israel and the geographical regions of the West Bank and the Gaza Strip (together, the Israel Territory) to Iconix Israel LLC (Iconix Israel), a then newly formed subsidiary of the Company through an exclusive, royalty-free perpetual master license agreement with Iconix Israel. Shortly thereafter, M.G.S. Sports Trading Limited (IMGSI) purchased a 50% interest in Iconix Israel for approximately \$3.4 million to assist the Company in developing, exploiting, marketing and licensing the Company is brands in the Israel Territory.

MGS, established in 1986 by Gideon Moliov, is the largest wholesale apparel company in Israel. MGS is one of Israel s leading companies in sports and fashion and they are a distributor and/or licensee for Adidas, Converse, Diadora, Superga and many other brands. MGS has over 1,500 employees and operates over 70 retail stores including Mega Sport, the largest sports chain in Israel.

MGS and its affiliated companies, have licenses for Umbro, OP and Ecko which they distribute through their vast wholesale network and through its Mega Sport stores. Iconix Israel also includes a license with Brill Fashion for Lee Cooper, operators of over 40 Lee Cooper branded retail stores.

Iconix Israel has licensed many key brands in the territory including Ecko Unltd., Lee Cooper, OP, Starter and Umbro.

Iconix Southeast Asia

In October 2013, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its wholly-owned and controlled brands in Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos, Brunei, Myanmar and East Timor (together, the Southeast Asia Territory) to Lion Network Limited (Iconix SE Asia), a then newly formed subsidiary of the Company through an exclusive, royalty-free perpetual master license agreement with Iconix SE Asia. Shortly thereafter, LF Asia Limited (IF Asia), an affiliate of Li & Fung Limited, purchased a 50% interest in Iconix SE Asia for \$12 million to assist the Company in developing, exploiting, marketing and licensing the Company s brands in the Southeast Asia Territory.

In June 2014, the Company amended Iconix SE Asia by contributing substantially all rights to its wholly-owned and controlled brands in the territory of South Korea, and the Company s Marc Ecko Cut & Sew, Ecko Unltd., Zoo York, Ed Hardy and Sharper Image brands in the European Union and Turkey, in each case, to Iconix SE Asia. In return, LF Asia agreed to pay the Company \$15.9 million.

13

During September 2014, the Iconix SE Asia territory was further amended to include China, Macau, Hong Kong and Taiwan for the Umbro and Lee Cooper marks. In respect of its 50% interest in the joint venture, Global Brands Group Asia Limited f/k/a LF Asia (GBG), formerly, LF Asia, agreed to pay the Company \$21.5 million.

Iconix Southeast Asia has licensed many key brands in the territory including Candie s, Cannon, Rocawear, Lee Cooper, Ecko Unltd., Ed Hardy and Umbro.

Iconix Middle East and North Africa

In December 2014, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its wholly-owned and controlled brands in the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Kuwait, Bahrain, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Jordan, Egypt, Pakistan, Uganda, Yemen, Iraq, Azerbaijan, Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan, Lebanon, Tunisia, Libya, Algeria, Morocco, Cameroon, Gabon, Mauritania, Ivory Coast, Nigeria and Senegal (the MENA Territory) to Iconix MENA LTD (Iconix MENA), a then newly formed subsidiary of the Company through an exclusive, royalty-free perpetual master license agreement with Iconix MENA. Shortly thereafter, GBG, purchased a 50% interest in Iconix MENA for \$18.8 million to assist the Company in developing, exploiting, marketing and licensing the Company s brands in the MENA Territory.

Iconix Middle East includes a direct-to-retail license with Landmark Group for Lee Cooper through the various retail stores that they own and licenses for Ecko, Rocawear, Cannon, Fieldcrest, Badgley Mischka and many more.

Other:

Diamond Icon, LLC

In March 2013, the Company, via Iconix Luxembourg Holdings SARL, entered into a joint venture agreement with Albion Agencies Ltd, an English limited company, in which the Company purchased a 51% interest in Diamond Icon Ltd, also an English limited company. Diamond Icon was established to design, develop and facilitate the supply of apparel, footwear and sports equipment for the Umbro brand; a service the wholesale licensees depended on that was previously provided by the former owner, Nike. The apparel, footwear and accessories developed by Diamond Icon for Umbro are distributed by wholesale licensees of the Umbro brand around the world.

Bright Star

Bright Star provides design direction and arranges for the manufacturing and distribution of men s private label footwear products primarily for Wal-Mart under its private labels. Bright Star acts solely as an agent and never assumes ownership of the goods. For each of the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 Bright Star s agency commissions represented less than 1% of the Company s revenues. As of December 31, 2014 this company ceased operations.

Investments:

Marcy Media Holdings, LLC

In July 2013, the Company purchased a minority interest in Marcy Media Holdings, LLC (MM Holdings), resulting in the Company s indirect ownership of a 5% interest in Roc Nation, LLC. Founded in 2008, Roc Nation is a full-service entertainment company. Roc Nation Sports, a division of Roc Nation, launched in Spring 2013 and focuses on elevating premier professional athletes career on and off the field by executing marketing and endorsement deals, community outreach, charitable tie-ins, media relations and brand strategy. Roc Nation entertainment and talent agency represents Kevin Durant, Robinson Cano and many other influential athletes and artists.

14

Complex Media Inc.

In September 2013, the Company purchased convertible preferred shares, representing on an as-converted basis as of December 31, 2014, an approximate 14.4% minority interest in Complex Media Inc. (Complex Media), a multi-media lifestyle company which, among other things, owns Complex magazine and its online counterpart, Complex.com. Complex Media is one of the leading multi-media platforms for influential young male consumers focusing its content on style, music, sneakers, sports, games, gear and girls. At the center of the Complex Media platform in its flagship site- Complex.com- a consumer portal into the complex lifestyle of young men.

Licensing strategy

Through its licensing business model, the Company has substantially eliminated inventory risk and reduced the operating exposure associated with traditional operating companies, thereby improving its cash flows and operating margins. The Company enters into strategic licenses with licensees who have the responsibility for manufacturing and selling the licensed products. The Company licenses its brands with respect to a broad range of products, including apparel, footwear, fashion accessories, sportswear, home products and décor, and beauty and fragrance, and in the case of its Sharper Image brand, consumer electronics and novelty products, and further, in the case of our Peanuts brands, a wide range of consumer products and entertainment and media services. The Company seeks licensees with the ability to produce and sell quality products in their licensed categories and the demonstrated ability to meet and exceed minimum sales thresholds and royalty payments to the Company. The Company has over 1,100 licenses and has benefited from the model s scalability, which enables the Company to leverage its existing infrastructure to support new business. A key objective of the Company is to capitalize on its brand management expertise and relationships to build and maintain a diversified portfolio of consumer brands that generate increasing revenues.

The Company maintains direct-to-retail and traditional wholesale licenses. Typically, in a direct-to-retail license, the Company grants exclusive rights to one of its brands to a single national retailer for a broad range of product categories. For example, the Candie s brand is licensed exclusively to Kohl s in the United States across a variety of product categories. Direct-to-retail licenses provide retailers with proprietary rights to national brands and favorable economics. Proprietary brands also typically receive greater support from retailers, including premium shelf space and strong in-store presentations. In a traditional wholesale license, the Company grants rights to a single or small group of related product categories to a wholesale supplier, who is permitted to sell licensed products to multiple stores within an approved channel of distribution. For example, the Company licenses the Umbro brand to numerous wholesale suppliers for products ranging from athleticwear to footwear and apparel, for sale and distribution primarily to department and specialty stores.

The Company s licenses typically require the licensee to pay the Company royalties based upon net sales with guaranteed minimum royalties in the event that net sales do not reach certain specified targets. The Company s licenses also typically require the licensees to pay to the Company certain minimum amounts for the advertising and marketing of the respective licensed brands. As of January 1, 2015 the Company and its joint ventures had a contractual right to receive over \$800 million of aggregate minimum licensing revenue through the balance of all of their current licenses, excluding any renewals.

The Company believes that coordination of brand presentation across product categories is critical to maintaining the strength and integrity of its brands. Accordingly, the Company typically maintains the right in its licenses to preview and approve all product, packaging and other presentations of the licensed mark. Moreover, in many of its licenses, prior to each season, representatives of the Company supply licensees with trend guidance as to the look and feel of the current trends for the season, including colors, fabrics, silhouettes and an overall style sensibility, and then work with licensees to coordinate the licensed products across the categories to maintain the cohesiveness of the brand's overall presentation in the market place. Thereafter, the Company obtains and approves (or objects and requires modification to) product and packaging provided by each licensee on an on-going basis. In addition, the Company communicates with its licensees throughout the year to obtain and review reporting of sales and the calculation and payment of royalties.

15

Marketing

The Company believes marketing is a critical element in maximizing brand value to its licensees and to the Company. The Company s in-house marketing department conceives and produces advertising and marketing initiatives for the Company s brands throughout the year that aim to increase brand awareness, positive perception and drive engagement and conversion.

The Company believes that its national marketing and promotional campaigns result in increased sales and consumer recognition of its brands. Because of the Company s established relationships with agents, managers, celebrities, tastemakers, influencers and media partners, the Company has been able to leverage advertising dollars into successful public relations campaigns reaching hundreds of millions of consumers. The Company also works with its joint venture partners with respect to marketing, advertising and trend direction.

The Company s advertising expenditures for each of its brands are dedicated largely to the crafting and production of marketing campaigns, securing contractual arrangements with spokespeople, content creation for advertisements in magazines, on television, and on the internet and public relations activities including events, securing product placements, product launches and developing sweepstakes and media contests often featuring personal appearances and concerts. The print advertisements for the Company s various brands have appeared in fashion magazines such as Vogue, Seventeen, GQ, Teen Vogue, Cosmopolitan, InStyle and Elle, as well as in popular lifestyle and entertainment magazines such as People, Us Weekly, Sports Illustrated, Better Homes and Gardens, Good Housekeeping, Elle Décor, and Vanity Fair, as well as in newspapers, on outdoor billboards, through social media sites such as Facebook ®, Twitter ®, Pinterest ® Vine®, Snapchat®, YouTube® and Instagram ®, as well as through various blogs, e-mail blasts, banner advertisements, online sweepstakes and gift with purchase programs. The Company also has used television commercials to promote certain of its brands, partnering with licensees to create and air commercials to generate excitement for its brands with consumers. Additionally, the company uses new forms of media, including multi-channel networks to build and maintain integrated marketing campaigns that cater to its growing audience who are shifting to digital consumption.

The Company maintains a website (www.iconixbrand.com) to further market its brands. In addition, the Company has established an intranet for approved vendors and service providers who can access additional materials and download them through a secure network. The Company also maintains, in some cases through its licensees, separate, dedicated websites and e-commerce sites for its brands.

Many of the Company s license agreements require the payment of an advertising royalty by the licensee, and in certain cases, the Company s licensees are required to supplement the marketing of the Company s brands by performing additional advertising through trade, cooperative or other sources.

The Company has organized its brand management and marketing functions to foster its ability to develop creative marketing and brand support for its brands. This structure can be leveraged to support future acquisitions with limited growth in expense. Typically, each brand is staffed with a dedicated brand manager who is supported by a fashion and product development team and who works closely with the creative and graphic groups in the marketing department. Although each brand s creative direction and image is developed independently, the creative team meets together on a regular basis to leverage and share ideas that might work across multiple or all brands. Licensees are provided information both through group meetings and individual sessions, as well as through intranet sites, where creative ideas, brand marketing campaigns and graphics are accessible and easy to download and use in an authorized manner. In addition, the Company produces a quarterly digital newsletter, The Icon, that it shares with its global partners.

Trend direction

The Company s in-house fashion direction teams support the brands by providing licensees with unified trend direction and guidance and by coordinating the brand image across licensees and product categories. The team also creates customized brand trims and packaging that all licensees must follow. The Company s trend

16

direction personnel are focused on identifying and interpreting the most current trends, both domestically and internationally, and helping forecast the future design and product demands of the respective brands—customers. Typically, the Company develops a trend guide, including colors, fabrics, silhouettes and an overall style sensibility for a brand and for each product season, and then works with licensees to maintain consistency with the overall brand presentation across product categories. The trend team also provides insight into new categories and business shifts that affect the merchandising of the brand. Often times, these new ideas can be formulated and sold as capsule collections or sub-brands into current or new retailers, based on the guidance given by the fashion and brand management team. In addition, the Company has product approval rights in most licenses and further controls the look and mix of products its licensees produce through that process. In cases where we do not hold contractual approval rights, which are always the direct-to-retail brands, the brand management team still works closely with the designers and merchants of the particular retailer to give guidance and opinions on the product aesthetic. The team often provides bought samples from trend travels that inspire key items within each collection. With respect to Badgley Mischka, the Company has contracted the exclusive services of the designers who founded the brand to control creative direction. Mark Badgley and James Mischka oversee all design, licensing, and brand marketing. Also, with respect to Alberta ULC (owner of the Buffalo brand), Hardy Way (owner of the Ed Hardy brand), and MG Icon (owner of the Material Girl and Truth or Dare brands), the Company has entered into arrangements with its partners to oversee and control the creative aspects of the brands, including design, original artworks and brand marketing. With respect to our Umbro brand, we have created a design entity, Diamond Icon, who designs apparel and footwea

Key direct-to-retail licenses

For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company s largest direct-to-retail licensees were with Wal-Mart for the OP, Starter, Danskin Now and Waverly Inspirations brands, Target for the Mossimo and Fieldcrest brands, Kohl s for the Candie s and Mudd brands and Sears/Kmart for the Joe Boxer, Bongo and Cannon brands. The relationships with these major retailers collectively represented approximately 26% of total revenue for the period.

Wal-Mart licenses

Revenue generated by the Company s four licenses with Wal-Mart accounted for, in the aggregate, 11%, 13% and 17% of the Company s revenue for the years ended December 31, 2014 (FY 2014), December 31, 2013 (FY 2013) and December 31, 2012 (FY 2012), respectively. The following is a description of these licenses:

Danskin Now. In July 2008, the Company entered into a license agreement with Wal-Mart pursuant to which Wal-Mart was granted the exclusive right to use the Danskin Now trademark in the United States and Canada in connection with the design, manufacture, promotion and sale of women s and girl s soft lines, including active wear, dancewear, footwear, intimate apparel, apparel accessories and fitness equipment through Wal-Mart stores and Walmart.com. The current term of the license continues through December 31, 2016, and may be renewed at Wal-Mart s option for an additional two year term, contingent on Wal-Mart meeting specified performance and minimum sales standards. The license has been renewed three prior times. The license provides for guaranteed annual minimum royalties that Wal-Mart is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year.

Ocean Pacific/OP. In August 2007, the Company entered into an exclusive direct-to-retail license agreement with Wal-Mart granting Wal-Mart the right to design, manufacture, sell and distribute through Wal-Mart stores and Walmart.com a broad range of apparel and accessories under the Ocean Pacific/OP marks in the United States, Canada, Mexico and the Middle East. The current term of the OP license continues through June 30, 2015, and may be renewed at Wal-Mart s option for an additional two year term, contingent on Wal-Mart meeting specified performance and minimum sales standards. The license has been renewed two prior times. The license provides for guaranteed annual minimum royalties that Wal-Mart is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year.

17

Starter. In December 2007, the Company entered into a license agreement with Wal-Mart granting Wal-Mart the exclusive right to design, manufacture, sell and distribute a broad range of apparel and accessories under the Starter trademark in the United States, Canada and Mexico. The initial term of this license expired on December 31, 2013 and was renewed for a two-year term expiring on December 31, 2015, and may be renewed at Wal-Mart s option for up to two additional consecutive terms of five years, each contingent on Wal-Mart meeting specified performance and minimum sales standards. The license has been renewed one prior time. The license provides for guaranteed annual minimum royalties that Wal-Mart is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year.

Waverly Inspirations. In July 2014, the Company entered into a license agreement with Wal-Mart granting Wal-Mart the exclusive right to design, manufacture, sell and distribute a broad range of fabrics and crafts under the Waverly Inspirations trademark in the United States. The initial term of this license expires on January 31, 2018 with an option to renew. The license also provides for guaranteed annual minimum royalties that Wal-Mart is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year.

Target licenses

Revenue generated by the Company s licenses with Target accounted for, in the aggregate, 5%, 6% and 7% of the Company s revenue for FY 2014, FY 2013 and FY 2012, respectively. The following is a description of these licenses.

Mossimo. As part of the Company s acquisition of the Mossimo trademarks in October 2006, the Company acquired the license with Target, which was originally signed in 2000 and was subsequently amended and restated in March 2006. Pursuant to this license, as further amended, Target has the exclusive right to design, manufacture, and sell through Target stores and Target.com in the United States, its territories and possessions and Canada, a wide range of Mossimo-branded products, including men s, women s and kid s apparel, footwear and fashion accessories. The current term of the license continues through January 31, 2016, subject to Target s right to renew the license on the same terms and conditions for successive additional terms of two years each. The license also provides for guaranteed annual minimum royalties that Target is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year. In January 2015, Target renewed their Mossimo license for the eighth time at an additional two years and currently expires January 31, 2018.

Fieldcrest. As part of the Company s acquisition of Official-Pillowtex in October 2007, the Company acquired the license with Target for the Fieldcrest brand, which commenced in March 2004. Pursuant to this license, Target has the exclusive right to design, manufacture, and sell through Target stores and Target.com in the United States and Canada a wide range of home products, including bedding, towels, rugs, furniture and dinnerware. The current term of the license continues through January 31, 2020. The license has been renewed one prior time. The license provides for guaranteed annual minimum royalties that Target is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year.

Kohl s licenses

Revenue generated by the Company s two licenses with Kohl s accounted for, in the aggregate, 5%, 5%, and 6% of the Company s revenue for FY 2014, FY 2013 and FY 2012, respectively. The following is a description of these licenses.

Candie s. In December 2004, the Company entered into a license agreement with Kohl s for an initial term of five years which continued through January 29, 2011. In November 2009, the license agreement was amended to extend the term for an additional five year period expiring on January 26, 2016. Pursuant to this license, Kohl s has the exclusive right to design, manufacture, sell and distribute a broad range of products under the Candie s trademark, including women s, juniors and children s apparel, footwear and accessories (except prescription eyewear), beauty and personal care products, home accessories and electronics. Kohl s has the

18

option to renew the license for up to two additional consecutive terms of five years contingent on Kohl s meeting specified performance and minimum sale standards. The license has been renewed one prior time. The license provides for guaranteed minimum royalties and advertising payments that Kohl s is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year.

Mudd. In November 2008, the Company entered into a license agreement with Kohl s granting Kohl s the exclusive right to design, manufacture, sell and distribute a broad range of Mudd-branded apparel and accessories in the United States and its territories. The initial term of this license expired on January 31, 2015, and was renewed for a five-year term expiring on December 31, 2020 and is subject to Kohl s option to renew for up to two additional consecutive terms of five years. The license has been renewed one prior time. The license provides for guaranteed minimum royalties that Kohl s is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year.

Kmart/Sears licenses

Revenue generated by the Company s three licenses with Kmart/Sears, accounted for, in the aggregate, 5%, 5% and 6% of the Company s revenue for FY 2014, FY 2013 and FY 2012, respectively. The following is a description of these licenses.

Joe Boxer. As part of the Company s acquisition of Joe Boxer in July 2005, the Company acquired the license with Kmart/Sears, which commenced in August 2001, pursuant to which Kmart/Sears was granted the exclusive right to manufacture, market and sell through Kmart stores located in the United States and its territories a broad range of products under the Joe Boxer trademark, including men s, women s and children s underwear, apparel, apparel-related accessories, footwear and home products, for an initial term that ended in 2007. In September 2006, the Company entered into a new license with Kmart/Sears that extended the initial term through December 31, 2010. In June 2010, the license was renewed by Kmart/Sears for an additional five year term continuing through December 31, 2015 and Kmart/Sears has options to renew the license for up to three additional terms of five years. The license has been renewed two prior times. The license provides for guaranteed annual minimum royalties and provides for the expansion of Joe Boxer s distribution into Sears stores.

Cannon. In February 2008, the Company entered into a license agreement with Kmart/Sears granting Kmart/Sears the exclusive right to design, manufacture, sell and distribute a broad range of home furnishings under the Cannon trademark in the United States and Canada. The initial term of this license continued through February 1, 2014, and has been renewed for another term ending February 1, 2019, subject to Kmart/Sears s option to renew for up to two additional consecutive terms of five years, each contingent on Kmart/Sears meeting specified performance and minimum sale standards. The license provides for guaranteed minimum royalties that Kmart/Sears is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year. The Cannon brand was fully launched in both Kmart and Sears stores in the Company s third fiscal quarter of 2009.

Bongo. In February 2010, the Company entered into a license agreement with Kmart/Sears granting Kmart/Sears the exclusive right to design, manufacture, sell and distribute a broad range of apparel, accessories and other categories under the Bongo trademark in the United States and its territories. The initial term of this license expires on February 1, 2016. The license provides for guaranteed minimum royalties that Kmart/Sears is obligated to pay the Company for each contract year. The Bongo brand was fully launched in Sears stores during the Fall 2010.

Competition

The Company s brands are all subject to extensive competition from various domestic and foreign brands. Each of its brands has many competitors within each of the brands respective specific distribution channels that span a broad variety of product categories including the fashion apparel and home furnishings and decor, sports and entertainment industries. For example, while Candie s may compete with Express, XOXO and Forever 21

19

with respect to juniors/ young women s fast fashion in the United States mid-tier, Starter competes with brands like Russell Athletic and C9 in the athletic apparel business and Avia and And1 in the footwear category in the mass tier, and Badgley Mischka competes with other couture apparel and bridal brands in the luxury market, such as Vera Wang or Oscar de la Renta.

Likewise, Umbro competes with global brands like Nike and Adidas in activewear and with global and local brands regarding technical soccer categories while the Peanuts characters compete globally with characters owned by Disney and Viacom and locally with indigenous characters regarding all children s licenses products.

Other of our brands (such as Danskin), which are distributed both at the mass level (through the diffusion brand Danskin Now) and at the department and specialty store level, may have many competitors in different or numerous distribution channels. These competitors compete with the Company s licensees in terms of fashion, quality, price and advertising.

In addition, the Company faces competition for retail licenses. Companies owning established brands may decide to enter into licensing arrangements with retailers similar to the ones the Company currently has in place, thus creating direct competition. Similarly, the retailers to which the Company currently, or may otherwise, licenses its brands, may decide to develop labels or purchase brands rather than enter into license agreements with the Company.

Lastly, in America, the Company competes with traditional apparel, consumer and entertainment brand companies, financial buyers and with other brand management companies for acquisitions. Throughout the rest of the world the Company also competes with strategic and financial buyers, specifically private equity firms, for global brand acquisitions.

Intellectual Property

We believe that the Company s worldwide IP portfolio, which includes trademarks, service marks, copyrights and other proprietary information, is our most valuable asset. As of December 31, 2014, we owned nearly 9,000 trademark and service mark registrations and applications over 600 of which are domestic and over 8,000 of which are foreign. Trademarks and associated marks are registered or pending registration with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and in other countries throughout the world in block letter and/or logo formats, as well as in combination with a variety of ancillary marks for use with respect to a variety of product categories, including footwear, apparel, fragrance, handbags, watches and various other goods and services, including in some cases, home accessories and electronics. In the case of the Peanuts brands, the trademarks are registered for a wide range of consumer products and entertainment and media services, and the Company also holds copyrights in the comic strip and the characters. In addition, the Company owns numerous copyrights in its iconic Waverly and Joe Boxer patterns and designs. The Company also owns over 1,500 domain names worldwide and registers key domain names containing its trademarks.

The Company regularly monitors its IP portfolio to maintain its registrations and file new registrations as it determines are necessary, and relies primarily upon a combination of national, federal, state, and local laws, as well as contractual restrictions to protect its IP rights both domestically and internationally. The Company and its joint venture partners also work with their licensees to ensure that our trademarks are properly used and monitored.

We believe that our distinctive IP allows us to build brand recognition and attract licensees, joint venture partners and new consumers for our brands. As the Company continues to execute on its strategy for international expansion, we expect to increase our worldwide IP portfolio.

20

Employees

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had a total of 150 employees. Of these 150 full-time employees, five were named executive officers of the Company. The remaining employees are senior managers, middle management, marketing and administrative personnel. Of the Company s 150 full-time employees, 126 employees reside in the U.S. and 24 reside in Europe. None of the Company s employees are represented by a labor union. The Company considers its relationship with its employees to be satisfactory.

Financial information about geographical areas

Revenues from external customers related to operations in the United States and foreign countries are as follows:

	FY 2014	FY 2013 (000 s omitted)	FY 2012
Licensing and other revenue by geographic region:			
United States	\$ 293,134	\$ 292,619	\$ 273,903
Japan	30,168	29,734	36,432
Other ⁽¹⁾	137,941	110,273	43,483
Total	\$ 461,243	\$ 432,626	\$ 353,818

No single country represented 10% of the Company s revenues in the periods presented within Other on this table.

Available Information

The Company maintains a website at www.iconixbrand.com, which provides a wide variety of information on each of its brands. The Company also makes available free of charge on its website its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission, herein referred to as the SEC, under applicable law as soon as reasonably practicable after it files such material. The Company s website also contains information about its history, investor relations, governance and links to access copies of its publicly filed documents. Further, the Company has established an intranet with approved vendors and service providers who can access additional materials and download them through a secure network. In addition, there are websites for many of the Company s brands, operated by the Company or its licensees, for example, at www.candies.com, www.badgleymischka.com, www.joeboxer.com and www.peanuts.com. The information regarding the Company s website address and/or those sites established for its brands is provided for convenience, and the Company is not including the information contained on the Company s and brands websites as part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We operate in a changing environment that involves numerous known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could impact our operations. The following highlights some of the factors that have affected, and in the future could affect, our operations:

The failure of our licensees to adequately produce, market, import and sell products bearing our brand names in their license categories, continue their operations, renew their license agreements or pay their obligations under their license agreements could result in a decline in our results of operations.

Our revenue is almost entirely dependent on royalty payments made to us under our license agreements. Although the license agreements for our brands usually require the advance payment to us of a portion of the license fees and, in most cases, provide for guaranteed minimum royalty payments to us, the failure of our

licensees to satisfy their obligations under these agreements, or their inability to operate successfully or at all, could result in their breach and/or the early termination of such agreements, their non-renewal of such agreements or our decision to amend such agreements to reduce the guaranteed minimums or sales royalties due thereunder, thereby eliminating some or all of that stream of revenue. There can be no assurances that we will not lose the licensees under our license agreements due to their failure to exercise the option to renew or extend the term of those agreements or enter into agreements, or the cessation of their business operations (as a result of their financial difficulties or otherwise) without equivalent options for replacement. Any of such failures could reduce the anticipated revenue stream to be generated by the license agreements. In addition, the failure of our licensees to meet their production, manufacturing and distribution requirements, or to be able to continue to import goods (including, without limitation, as a result of labor strikes or unrest), could cause a decline in their sales and potentially decrease the amount of royalty payments (over and above the guaranteed minimums) due to us. A weak economy or softness in certain sectors including apparel, consumer products, retail and entertainment could exacerbate this risk. This, in turn, could decrease our potential revenues and cash flows.

A substantial portion of our licensing revenue is concentrated with a limited number of licensees, such that the loss of any of such licensees or their renewal on terms less favorable than today, could slow our growth plans, decrease our revenue and impair our cash flows.

Our licenses with Wal-Mart, Target, Kohl s and Kmart/Sears represent, each in the aggregate, our four largest direct-to-retail licensees during FY 2014, representing approximately 11%, 5%, 5% and 5%, respectively, of our total revenue for such period. Because we are dependent on these licensees for a significant portion of our licensing revenue, if any of them were to have financial difficulties affecting their ability to make payments, cease operations, or if any of these licensees decides not to renew or extend any existing agreement with us, or to significantly reduce its sales of licensed products under any of the agreement(s), our revenue and cash flows could be reduced substantially.

Alternatively, we may face increasing competition in the future for direct-to-retail licenses as other companies owning established brands may decide to enter into licensing arrangements with retailers similar to the ones we currently have in place. Furthermore, our current or potential direct-to-retail licensees may decide to more prominently promote and market competing brands, or develop or purchase other brands, rather than continue their licensing arrangements with us. In addition, this increased competition could result in lower sales of products offered by our direct-to-retail licensees under our brands. If our competition for retail licenses increases, it may take us longer to procure additional retail licenses, which could slow our growth rate.

As a result of the intense competition within our licensees markets and the strength of some of their competitors, we and our licensees may not be able to continue to compete successfully.

Many of our trademark licenses are for products in the apparel, fashion accessories, footwear, beauty and fragrance, home products and décor, consumer electronics and entertainment industries in which our licensees face intense competition, including from our other brands and licensees. In general, competitive factors include quality, price, style, name recognition and service. In addition, various fads and the limited availability of shelf space could affect competition for our licensees products. Many of our licensees competitors have greater financial, importation, distribution, marketing and other resources than our licensees and have achieved significant name recognition for their brand names. Our licensees may be unable to compete successfully in the markets for their products, and we may not be able to continue to compete successfully with respect to our licensing arrangements.

Our business is dependent on continued market acceptance of our brands and the products of our licensees bearing these brands.

Although most of our licensees guarantee minimum net sales and minimum royalties to us, a failure of our brands or of products bearing our brands to achieve or maintain market acceptance could cause a reduction of our

22

licensing revenue and could further cause existing licensees not to renew their agreements. Such failure could also cause the devaluation of our trademarks, which are our primary IP assets, making it more difficult for us to renew our current licenses upon their expiration or enter into new or additional licenses for our trademarks. In addition, if such devaluation of our trademarks were to occur, a material impairment in the carrying value of one or more of our trademarks could also occur and be charged as an expense to our operating results.

The industries in which we compete, including the apparel industry, are subject to rapidly evolving trends and competition. In addition, consumer tastes change rapidly. The licensees under our licensing agreements may not be able to anticipate, gauge or respond to such changes in a timely manner. Failure of our licensees to anticipate, identify and capitalize on evolving trends could result in declining sales of our brands and devaluation of our trademarks. Continued and substantial marketing efforts, which may, from time to time, also include our expenditure of significant additional funds to keep pace with changing consumer demands, are required to maintain market acceptance of the licensees products and to create market acceptance of new products and categories of products bearing our trademarks; however, these expenditures may not result in either increased market acceptance of, or licensees for, our trademarks or increased market acceptance, or sales, of our licensees products. Furthermore, while we believe that we currently maintain sufficient control over the products our licensees produce under our brand names through the provision of trend direction and our right to preview and approve a majority of such products, including their presentation and packaging, we do not actually design or manufacture products bearing our marks and therefore have more limited control over such products quality and design than a traditional product manufacturer might have.

We are dependent upon our chief executive officer and other key executives. If we lose the services of these individuals we may not be able to fully implement our business plan and future growth strategy, which would harm our business and prospects.

Our success is largely due to the efforts of Neil Cole, our president, chief executive officer and chairman. Mr. Cole guides our business model, and our continued success is largely dependent upon his continued efforts and those of the other key executives he has assembled. Although we have entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Cole, expiring on December 31, 2015, as well as employment agreements with other of our key executives, there is no guarantee that we will not lose their services. To the extent that any of their services become unavailable to us, we will be required to hire other qualified executives, and we may not be successful in finding or hiring adequate replacements. This could impede our ability to fully implement our business plan and future growth strategy, which would harm our business and prospects.

We are subject to local laws and regulations in the U.S. and abroad.

We are subject to U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting our business. Our International Joint Ventures are subject to similar regulations in the countries where they operate. While we actively identify and monitor our obligations and the applicability of all laws, to ensure that we are compliant and our contractual arrangements with our International Joint Venture partners require them to do the same, our efforts to maintain compliance with local laws and regulations may require us to incur significant expenses, and our failure to comply with such laws may expose us to potential liability. In addition, our ability to operate or compete effectively as well as our financial results could be adversely affected by the introduction of new laws, policies or regulations; changes in the interpretation or application of existing laws, policies and regulations; or our failure to obtain required regulatory approvals.

Changes in effective tax rates or adverse outcomes resulting from examination of our income or other tax returns could adversely affect our results.

Our future effective tax rates could be adversely affected by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, or by changes in tax laws or interpretations thereof. In addition, our current global tax structure could be negatively impacted by various factors, including changes in the tax rates in jurisdictions in

23

which we earn income or changes in, or in the interpretation of, tax rules and regulations in jurisdictions in which we operate. An increase in our effective tax rate could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial position.


We also are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities both domestically (including state and local entities) and abroad. We regularly assess the likelihood of recovering the amount of deferred tax assets recorded on the balance sheet and the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from examinations by various taxing authorities in order to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. We cannot guarantee that the outcomes of these evaluations and continuous examinations will not harm our reported operating results and financial conditions.

We are subject to additional risks associated with our international licensees and joint ventures.

We market and license our brands outside the United States and many of our licensees are located, and joint ventures operate, outside the United States. As a key component of our business strategy, we intend to expand our international sales, including, without limitation, through joint ventures. We and our joint ventures face numerous risks in doing business outside the United States, including: (i) unusual or burdensome foreign laws or regulatory requirements or unexpected changes to those laws or requirements; (ii) tariffs, trade protection measures, import or export licensing requirements, trade embargoes, sanctions and other trade barriers; (iii) competition from foreign companies; (iv) longer accounts receivable collection cycles and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable; (v) less effective and less predictable protection and enforcement of our IP; (vi) changes in the political or economic condition of a specific country or region (including, without limitation, as a result of political unrest), particularly in emerging markets; (vii) fluctuations in the value of foreign currency versus the U.S. dollar and the cost of currency exchange; (viii) potentially adverse tax consequences; and (ix) cultural differences in the conduct of business. Any one or more of such factors could cause our future international sales, or distributions from our international joint ventures, to decline or could cause us to fail to execute on our business strategy involving international expansion. In addition, our business practices in international markets are subject to the requirements of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and all other applicable anti-bribery laws, any violation of which could subject us to significant fines, criminal sanctions and other penalties.

The terms of our securitized debt financing have restrictive covenants and our failure to comply with any of these could put us in default, which would have an adverse effect on our business and prospects and could cause us to lose title to our key IP assets.

Unless and until we repay all outstanding borrowings under our securitized debt, we will remain subject to the restrictive terms of these borrowings. The securitized debt, under which certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries (the ABS Co-Issuers) issued and guaranteed the Senior Secured Notes and a revolving financing facility consisting of variable funding notes, herein referred to as Variable Funding Notes, contain a number of covenants, with the most significant financial covenant being a debt service coverage calculation. These covenants limit the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to, among other things:



These restrictions could reduce our liquidity and thereby affect our ability to pay dividends or repurchase shares of our common stock. The securitized debt requires us to maintain a specified financial ratio relating to

available cash to service the borrowings at the end of each fiscal quarter. Our ability to meet this financial ratio can be affected by events beyond our control, and we may not satisfy such a test. A breach of this covenant could result in a rapid amortization event or default under the securitized debt.

In the event that a rapid amortization event occurs under the indenture (including, without limitation, upon an event of default under the indenture or the failure to repay the securitized debt at the end of the five year interest-only period), the funds available to us would be reduced or eliminated, which would in turn reduce our ability to operate or grow our business.

Furthermore, a reserve account has been established for the benefit of the secured parties under the indenture for the purpose of trapping cash upon the occurrence of our failure to maintain a specified financial ratio at the end of each fiscal quarter. Once it commences, such cash trapping period would extend until the quarterly payment date on which that financial ratio becomes equal to or exceeds the minimum ratio. In the event that a cash trapping period commences, the funds available for the ABS Co-Issuers to pay amounts to us will be reduced or eliminated, which would in turn reduce our ability to support our business.

In an event of default, all unpaid amounts under the Senior Secured Notes and Variable Funding Notes could become immediately due and payable at the direction or consent of holders of a majority of the outstanding Senior Secured Notes. Such acceleration of our debt could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity if we are unable to negotiate mutually acceptable terms with our lenders or if alternate funding is not available to us.

Furthermore, if amounts owed under the securitized debt were to become accelerated because of a failure to meet the specified financial ratio or to make required payments, the holders of our Senior Secured Notes would have the right to foreclose on the Candie s, Bongo, Joe Boxer, Rampage, Mudd, London Fog, Mossimo, Ocean Pacific/OP, Danskin/Danskin Now, Rocawear, Cannon, Fieldcrest, Royal Velvet, Charisma, Starter, Waverly and Sharper Image trademarks in the United States and Canada (with the exception of the London Fog brand for outerwear in the United States); on our joint venture interests in Hardy Way, MG Icon, ZY Holdings and Peanuts; on the equity interests in certain of our subsidiaries; and on other related assets securing the notes.

If a manager termination event under the management agreement were to occur we could lose control over the management of the IP assets owned by the ABS Co-Issuers and there can be no assurance that a successor manager would properly manage the assets.

We serve as the manager under a management agreement with the ABS Co-Issuers. Our primary responsibility under this agreement is to perform or otherwise assist each ABS Co-Issuer in performing its duties and obligations, including certain licensing, IP and operational functions. Pursuant to the management agreement, if we perform or fail to perform certain acts (herein referred to as Manager Termination Events) all of our rights, powers, duties, obligations and responsibilities under the management agreement can be terminated.

There can be no assurance that if we are terminated pursuant to the terms of the management agreement a successor manager can be identified and retained that is capable of managing all or a portion of the IP assets, or that can perform its obligations with the same level of experience and expertise as we do. A failure to continue managing our IP assets as they are currently managed could have a material adverse effect on our business and could result in a decline in our results of operations.

We may not be able to pay the cash portion of the conversion price upon any conversion of the principal amounts of our convertible notes, which would constitute an event of default with respect to such notes and could also constitute a default under the terms of our other debt.

We may not have sufficient cash to pay, or may not be permitted to pay, the cash portion of the consideration that we will be required to pay when our 2.50% Convertible Notes become due in June 2016 or when our 1.50% Convertible Notes become due in March 2018. Upon conversion of our 2.50% Convertible

25

Table of Contents

Notes and our 1.50% Convertible Notes, we will be required to pay to the holder of each such notes a cash payment equal to the par value of those convertible notes. As a result, we will be required to pay a minimum of \$300.0 million and \$400.0 million in cash to holders of the 2.50% Convertible Notes and 1.50% Convertible Notes, respectively, upon conversion.

If we do not have sufficient cash on hand at the time of conversion, we may have to raise funds through debt or equity financing. Our ability to raise such financing will depend on prevailing market conditions. Further, we may not be able to raise such financing within the period required to satisfy our obligation to make timely payment upon any conversion. In addition, the terms of any current or future debt may prohibit us from making these cash payments or otherwise restrict our ability to make such payments and/or may restrict our ability to raise any such financing. In particular, the terms of our Senior Secured Notes restrict the amount of proceeds from collateral pledged to secure our obligations thereunder that may be used by us to make payments in cash under certain circumstances, including payments to the convertible note holders upon conversion. A failure to pay the required cash consideration upon conversion would constitute an event of default under the indenture governing the convertible notes, which could constitute a default under the terms of our other debt.

Convertible note hedge and warrant transactions that we have entered into may affect the value of our common stock.

In connection with the initial sale of our 2.50% Convertible Notes we purchased convertible note hedges, herein referred to as 2.50% Convertible Note Hedges, from affiliates of Barclays PLC and Goldman Sachs Inc., herein referred to as the 2.50% Hedge Counterparties. At such time, the hedging transactions were expected, but were not guaranteed, to eliminate the potential dilution upon conversion of the 2.50% Convertible Notes. Concurrently, we entered into warrant transactions with the 2.50% Hedge Counterparties, herein referred to as the 2.50% Sold Warrants.

Moreover, in connection with the 2.50% Sold Warrants, to the extent that the price of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 2.50% Sold Warrants, the warrant transaction could have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share which may affect the value of our common stock.

In connection with the initial sale of our 1.50% Convertible Notes we purchased convertible note hedges, herein referred to as 1.50% Convertible Note Hedges, from affiliates of Barclays PLC, herein referred to as the 1.50% Hedge Counterparties. At such time, the hedging transactions were expected, but were not guaranteed, to eliminate the potential dilution upon conversion of the 1.50% Convertible Notes. Concurrently, we entered into warrant transactions with the 1.50% Hedge Counterparties, herein referred to as the 1.50% Sold Warrants.

Moreover, in connection with the 1.50% Sold Warrants, to the extent that the price of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.50% Sold Warrants, the warrant transaction could have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share which may affect the value of our common stock.

Our existing and future debt obligations could impair our liquidity and financial condition, and in the event we are unable to meet our debt obligations we could lose title to certain trademarks.

As of December 31, 2014, our consolidated balance sheet reflects debt of approximately \$1,394.1 million, including secured debt of \$774.0 million under our Senior Secured Notes. In accordance with ASC 470, our 1.50% Convertible Notes and our 2.50% Convertible Notes are included in our \$1,394.1 million of consolidated debt at a net debt carrying value of \$339.9 and \$280.1 million, respectively; however, the principal amount owed to the holders of our 1.50% Convertible Notes and 2.50% Convertible Notes is \$400.0 million (due March 2018) and \$300.0 million (due June 2016), respectively. We may also assume or incur additional debt, including secured debt, in the future in connection with, or to fund, future acquisitions or refinance our existing debt obligations. Our debt obligations:

could impair our liquidity;

26

could make it more difficult for us to satisfy our other obligations;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow to payments on our debt obligations, which reduces the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other corporate requirements;

could impede us from obtaining additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and general corporate purposes;

impose restrictions on us with respect to the use of our available cash, including in connection with future acquisitions;

make us more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business prospects and could limit our flexibility to plan for, or react to, changes in our licensing markets; and

could place us at a competitive disadvantage when compared to our competitors who have less debt.

In addition, as of December 31, 2014, approximately \$65.9 million, or 35%, of our total cash (including restricted cash) was held in foreign subsidiaries. Our investments in these foreign subsidiaries are considered indefinitely reinvested and unavailable for the payment of any U.S. based expenditures, including debt obligations. Any repatriation of cash from these foreign subsidiaries may require the accrual and payment of U.S. federal and certain state taxes, which could negatively impact our results of operations and/or the amount of available funds. While we currently have no intention to repatriate cash from these subsidiaries, should the need arise domestically, there is no guarantee that we could do so without adverse consequences.

While we believe that by virtue of the cash on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2014, our ability to draw down additional funds under a revolving financing facility consisting of variable funding notes, herein referred to as Variable Funding Notes, additional capacity under the securitization facility underlying our Senior Secured Notes, and the guaranteed minimum and percentage royalty payments due to us under our licenses, we will generate sufficient revenue from our licensing operations to satisfy our obligations for the foreseeable future. In the event that we were to fail in the future to make any required payment under agreements governing our indebtedness or fail to comply with the financial and operating covenants contained in those agreements, we would be in default regarding that indebtedness. A debt default could significantly diminish the market value and marketability of our common stock and could result in the acceleration of the payment obligations under all or a portion of our consolidated indebtedness.

We have a material amount of goodwill and other intangible assets, including our trademarks, recorded on our balance sheet. As a result of changes in market conditions and declines in the estimated fair value of these assets, we may, in the future, be required to write down a portion of this goodwill and other intangible assets and such write-down would, as applicable, either decrease our net income or increase our net loss.

As of December 31, 2014, goodwill represented approximately \$231.7 million, or approximately 8% of our total consolidated assets, and trademarks and other intangible assets represented approximately \$2,024.5 million, or approximately 70% of our total consolidated assets. Under current U.S. GAAP accounting standards, goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets, including some of our trademarks, are no longer amortized, but instead are subject to impairment evaluation based on related estimated fair values, with such testing to be done at least annually. While, to date, no impairment write-downs have been necessary, any write-down of goodwill or intangible assets resulting from future periodic evaluations would, as applicable, either decrease our net income or increase our net loss and those decreases or increases could be material.

A portion of our revenue and net income are generated outside of the United States, by certain of our licensees and our joint ventures, in countries that may have volatile currencies or other risks.

A portion of our revenue is attributable to activities in territories and countries outside of the United States by certain of our joint ventures and our licensees. The fact that some of our revenue and certain business

operations of our joint ventures and certain licensees are conducted outside of the United States exposes them to several additional risks, including, but not limited to social, political, regulatory and economic conditions or to laws and policies governing foreign trade and investment in the territories and countries where our joint ventures or certain licensees currently have operations or will in the future operate. Any of these factors could have a negative impact on the business and operations of our joint ventures and certain of our licensees operations, which could also adversely impact our results of operations. Increase of revenue generated in foreign markets may also increase our exposure to risks related to foreign currencies, such as fluctuations in currency exchange rates. Currency exchange rate fluctuations may also adversely impact our International Joint Ventures and licensees. In the past, we and our joint ventures have attempted to have contracts that relate to activities outside of the United States denominated in U.S. currency, however, we do not know to the extent that we will be able to continue this as we increase our contracts with foreign licensees. In certain instances we have entered into foreign currency hedges to mitigate our risk related to fluctuations in our contracts denominated in foreign currencies; however, we cannot predict the effect that future exchange rate fluctuations will have on our operating results.

Our licensees are subject to risks and uncertainties of foreign manufacturing and importation of goods, and the price, availability and quality of raw materials, along with labor unrest at shipping/receiving ports, could interrupt their operations or increase their operating costs, thereby affecting their ability to deliver goods to the market, reduce or delay their sales and decrease our potential royalty revenue.

Substantially all of the products sold by our licensees are manufactured overseas and there are substantial risks associated with foreign manufacturing and importation, including changes in laws relating to quotas, the payment of tariffs and duties, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, shipping delays, labor unrest that could hinder or delay shipments and international political, regulatory and economic developments. Further, our licensees may experience fluctuations in the price, availability and quality of fabrics and raw materials used by them in their manufactured or purchased finished goods. Any of these risks could increase our licensees—operating costs. Our licensees also import finished products and assume all risk of loss and damage with respect to these goods once they are shipped by their suppliers. If these goods are destroyed or damaged during shipment, the revenue of our licensees, and thus our royalty revenue over and above the guaranteed minimums, could be reduced as a result of our licensees—inability to deliver or their delay in delivering their products.

We participate in international joint ventures, which we do not typically control.

We participate in a number of International Joint Ventures, some of which we do not control. As we continue to expand our business and execute our strategy for growth, we expect to enter into additional International Joint Ventures in the future. Joint ventures pose an inherent risk. Regardless of whether we hold a majority interest in or directly control the management of our International Joint Ventures, our partners may have business goals and interests that are not aligned with ours, exercise their rights in a manner of which we do not approve, be unable to fulfill their obligations under the joint venture agreements, or exploit our trademarks in a manner that harms the overall quality and image of our brands. In addition, an International Joint Venture partner may simply be unable to identify licensees for our brands. In these cases, the termination of an arrangement with an International Joint Venture partner or an International Joint Venture partners failure to build the business could result in the delay of our expansion in a particular market or markets, and will not allow us to achieve the worldwide growth that we seek on our current timeline. We may not be able to identify another suitable partner for an International Joint Venture in such market or markets, which could result in further delay, and could materially and adversely affect our business and operating results.

A sale of our trademarks or other IP related to our brands in a foreign jurisdiction could have a negative effect on the brands in other jurisdictions or worldwide.

From time to time, we may sell IP related to our brands to a third party in a foreign territory, where we do not intend to exploit the brand. In these instances, we enter into co-existence agreements with any such third

28

party, the terms of which require that the sold IP be exploited in a manner befitting the brand image and prestige. Though we try to limit our potential exposure related to potential misuse of the IP, we cannot ensure that third parties will comply with their contractual requirements or that they will use the IP in an appropriate manner. Any misuse by a third party of IP related to our brands could lead to a negative perception of our brands by current and potential licensees, International Joint Venture partners or consumers, and could adversely affect our ability to develop the brands and meet our strategic goals. This, in turn, could decrease our potential revenue.

Our failure to protect our proprietary rights could compromise our competitive position and result in cancellation, loss of rights or diminution in value of our brands.

We monitor on an ongoing basis unauthorized filings of our trademarks and imitations thereof, and rely primarily upon a combination of U.S., Canadian and other international federal, state and local laws, as well as contractual restrictions to protect and enforce our IP rights. We believe that such measures afford only limited protection and, accordingly, there can be no assurance that the actions taken by us to establish, protect and enforce our trademarks and other proprietary rights will prevent infringement of our IP rights by others, or prevent the loss of licensing revenue or other damages caused therefrom.

For instance, despite our efforts to protect and enforce our IP rights, unauthorized parties may misappropriate or attempt to copy aspects of our IP, which could harm the reputation of our brands, decrease their value and/or cause a decline in our licensees—sales and thus our revenue. Further, we and our licensees may not be able to detect infringement of our IP rights quickly or at all, and at times we or our licensees may not be successful combating counterfeit, infringing or knockoff products, thereby damaging our competitive position. In addition, we depend upon the laws of the countries where our licensees—products are sold to protect our IP. IP rights may be unavailable or limited in some countries because standards of register ability vary internationally. Consequently, in certain foreign jurisdictions, we have elected or may elect not to apply for trademark registrations. If we fail to timely file a trademark application in any such country, we may be precluded from obtaining a trademark registration in such country at a later date. Failure to adequately pursue and enforce our trademark rights could damage our brands, enable others to compete with our brands and impair our ability to compete effectively.

In addition, our license agreements provide our licensees with rights to our trademarks and contain provisions requiring our licensees to comply with certain standards to be monitored by us. Our failure to adequately monitor our licensees compliance with the license agreements or take appropriate corrective action when necessary may subject our IP assets to cancellation, loss of rights or diminution in value.

Further, the rights to our brands in our international joint venture territories are controlled primarily through our joint ventures in these regions. While we believe that our partnerships in these areas will enable us to better protect our trademarks in the countries covered by the ventures, we do not control all of our joint venture companies and thus most decisions relating to the use and enforcement of the marks in these countries will be subject to the approval of our local partners.

We also own the exclusive right to use various domain names containing or relating to our brands. There can be no assurances that we will be able to prevent third parties from acquiring and maintaining domain names that infringe or otherwise decrease the value of our trademarks. Failure to protect our domain names could adversely affect our brands which could cause a decline in our licensees sales and the related revenue and in turn decrease the amount of royalty payments (over and above the guaranteed minimums) due to us.

Third-party claims regarding our intellectual property assets could result in our licensees being unable to continue using our trademarks, which could adversely impact our revenue or result in a judgment or monetary damages being levied against us or our licensees.

We may be subject to legal proceedings and claims, including claims of alleged infringement or violation of the patents, trademarks and other intellectual property rights of third parties. In the future, we may be required to

29

assert infringement claims against third parties or third parties may assert infringement claims against us and/or our licensees. To the extent that any of our intellectual property assets is deemed to violate the proprietary rights of others in any litigation or proceeding or as a result of any claim, then we and our licensees may be prevented from using it, which could cause a breach or termination of certain license agreements. If our licensees are prevented from using our trademarks, this could adversely impact the revenue of our licensees with respect to those IP assets, and thus the royalty payments over and above the guaranteed minimums could be reduced as a result of the licensees inability to continue using our trademarks. Litigation could also result in a judgment or monetary damages being levied against us and our licensees. Further, if we, our International Joint Ventures or our licensees are alleged to have infringed the IP rights of another party, any resulting litigation could be costly and could damage the Company s reputation. There can be no assurance that we, our International Joint Ventures or our licensees would prevail in any litigation relating to our IP.

We may not be able to establish or maintain our trademark rights and registrations, which could impair our ability to perform our obligations under our license agreements, which could cause a decline in our licensees sales and potentially decrease the amount of royalty payments (over and above the guaranteed minimums) due to us.

While we intend to take reasonable steps to protect our trademark rights, it may not be possible to obtain or maintain legal protection and registrations for all of our trademarks for all forms of goods and services based on certain facts, such as the timing of our or our predecessors entrance into the market or the fact that a third party previously adopted a similar mark for use in connection with a similar set of goods or services. As a result, it may be difficult or not possible for our trademarks to be registered or even protected so as to prohibit third party use in a particular manner. Moreover, third parties may challenge or seek to oppose or cancel existing trademark applications or registrations, and we cannot guarantee we will succeed against such challenges. Any failure to secure and maintain rights and registrations could impair our ability to perform our obligations under the license agreements, enter new product or service categories or could affect our ability to enter into new license agreements or renew existing license agreements, both of which could cause a decline in our licensees sales and potentially decrease the amount of royalty payments (over and above the guaranteed minimums) due to us.

If we are unable to identify and successfully acquire additional brands and trademarks, our growth may be limited, and, even if additional trademarks are acquired, we may not realize anticipated benefits due to integration or licensing difficulties.

A key component of our growth strategy is the acquisition of additional brands and trademarks. Historically, we have been involved in numerous acquisitions of varying sizes. We continue to explore new acquisitions. We generally compete with traditional apparel and consumer brand companies, other brand management companies and private equity groups for brand acquisitions. However, as more of our competitors continue to pursue our brand management model, competition for specific acquisition targets may become more acute, acquisitions may become more expensive and suitable acquisition candidates could become more difficult to find. In addition, even if we successfully acquire additional trademarks or the rights to use additional trademarks, we may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability levels that justify our investment in, or realize planned benefits with respect to, those additional brands.

Although we seek to temper our acquisition risks by following acquisition guidelines relating to the existing strength of the brand, its diversification benefits to us, its potential licensing scale and credit worthiness of the licensee base, acquisitions, whether they be of additional IP assets or of the companies that own them, entail numerous risks, any of which could detrimentally affect our results of operations and/or the value of our equity. These risks include, among others:

unanticipated costs associated with the target acquisition;

appropriately valuing the target acquisition and analyzing its marketability;

30

negative effects on reported results of operations from acquisition related charges and amortization of acquired intangibles;

diversion of management s attention from other business concerns;

the challenges of maintaining focus on, and continuing to execute, core strategies and business plans as our brand and license portfolio grows and becomes more diversified;

adverse effects on existing licensing and joint venture relationships;

potential difficulties associated with the retention of key employees, and the assimilation of any other employees, who may be retained by us in connection with or as a result of our acquisitions; and

risks of entering new domestic and international markets (whether it be with respect to new licensed product categories or new licensed product distribution channels) or markets in which we have limited prior experience.

When we acquire IP assets or the companies that own them, our due diligence reviews are subject to inherent uncertainties and may not reveal all potential risks. Although we generally attempt to seek contractual protections through representations, warranties and indemnities, we cannot be sure that we will obtain such provisions in our acquisitions or that such provisions will fully protect us from all unknown, contingent or other liabilities or costs. Finally, claims against us relating to any acquisition may necessitate our seeking claims against the seller for which the seller may not, or may not be able to, indemnify us or that may exceed the scope, duration or amount of the seller s indemnification obligations.

Acquiring additional trademarks could also have a significant effect on our financial position and could cause substantial fluctuations in our quarterly and yearly operating results. Acquisitions could result in the recording of significant goodwill and intangible assets on our financial statements, the amortization or impairment of which would reduce our reported earnings in subsequent years. No assurance can be given with respect to the timing, likelihood or financial or business effect of any possible transaction. As a result, there is no guarantee that our stockholders will achieve greater returns as a result of any future acquisitions we complete.

We may require additional capital to finance the acquisition of additional brands and our inability to raise such capital on beneficial terms or at all could restrict our growth.

We may, in the future, require additional capital to help fund all or part of potential acquisitions. If, at the time required, we do not have sufficient cash to finance those additional capital needs, we will need to raise additional funds through equity and/or debt financing. We cannot guarantee that, if and when needed, additional financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. Further, if additional capital is needed and is either unavailable or cost prohibitive, our growth may be limited as we may need to change our business strategy to slow the rate of, or eliminate, our expansion plans. In addition, any additional financing we undertake could impose additional covenants upon us that restrict our operating flexibility, and, if we issue equity securities to raise capital or as acquisition consideration, our existing stockholders may experience dilution or the new securities may have rights senior to those of our common stock.

We may be a party to litigation in the normal course of business, which could affect our financial position and liquidity.

From time to time, we may be made a party to litigation in the normal course of business. For example, as the owner of a trademark, we may be named as a defendant in a lawsuit relating to a product designed and manufactured by a licensee of that trademark. In most cases, our licensees under the existing license agreements are obligated to defend and indemnify us, as licensor, and our affiliates with respect to such litigation. In addition, while third parties could assert infringement claims involving our trademarks, we believe our trademarks are not subject to significant litigation risk because they are widely known and well-established trademarks, which have been consistently used by us and the previous owners. We also maintain insurance for

Table of Contents 37

31

certain risks, but it is not possible to obtain insurance to protect against all possible liabilities. Although historically the litigation involving us has not been material to our financial position or our liquidity, any litigation has an element of uncertainty and if any such litigation were to be adversely determined and/or a licensee were to fail to properly indemnify us and/or we did not have appropriate insurance coverage, such litigation could affect our financial position and liquidity.

The market price of our common stock has been, and may continue to be, volatile, which could reduce the market price of our common stock.

The publicly traded shares of our common stock have experienced, and may continue to experience, significant price and volume fluctuations. This market volatility could reduce the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In addition, the trading price of our common stock could change significantly over short periods of time in response to actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results, announcements by us, our licensees or our respective competitors, factors affecting our licensees markets generally and/or changes in national or regional economic conditions, making it more difficult for shares of our common stock to be sold at a favorable price or at all. The market price of our common stock could also be reduced by general market price declines or market volatility in the future or future declines or volatility in the prices of stocks for companies in the trademark licensing business or companies in the industries in which our licensees compete.

Future sales of our common stock may cause the prevailing market price of our shares to decrease.

We have issued a substantial number of shares of common stock that are eligible for resale under Rule 144 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Securities Act, and that may become freely tradable. We have also already registered a substantial number of shares of common stock that are issuable upon the exercise of options and warrants and have registered for resale a substantial number of restricted shares of common stock issued in connection with our acquisitions. If the holders of our options and warrants choose to exercise their purchase rights and sell the underlying shares of common stock in the public market, or if holders of currently restricted shares of our common stock choose to sell such shares in the public market under Rule 144 or otherwise, the prevailing market price for our common stock may decline. The sale of shares issued upon the exercise of our derivative securities could also further dilute the holdings of our then existing stockholders, including holders of the convertible notes that receive shares of our common stock upon conversion of their notes. In addition, future public sales of shares of our common stock could impair our ability to raise capital by offering equity securities.

We do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the short term.

An investor should not rely on an investment in our common stock to provide dividend income in the short term, as we have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not plan to pay any in the foreseeable future. Instead, we plan to retain any earnings to maintain and expand our existing licensing operations, further develop our trademarks and finance the acquisition of additional trademarks. Accordingly, investors must rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any return on their investment.

We have experienced rapid growth in recent years. If we fail to manage this or any future growth, our business and operating results could be harmed.

Our business has grown dramatically over the past several years. For example, our total revenue increased from \$30.1 million for fiscal 2005 to \$461.2 million for FY 2014. Our growth has largely resulted from our acquisition of new brands of various sizes. Since October 2004, we acquired directly and through our joint ventures numerous iconic brands (or rights to use those brands and trademarks) and increased our total number of licenses from approximately 18 to over 1,100. Furthermore, we continue to evaluate and pursue appropriate acquisition opportunities to the extent we believe that such opportunities would be in the best interests of our company and our stockholders including, without limitation, other asset light models.

This significant growth has placed considerable demands on our management and other resources and continued growth could place additional demands on such resources. Our ability to compete effectively and to manage future growth, if any, will depend on the sufficiency and adequacy of our current resources and infrastructure and our ability to continue to identify, attract and retain personnel to manage our brands and the businesses we may acquire. There can be no assurance that our personnel, systems, procedures and controls will be adequate to support our operations and properly oversee our brands.

While we audit our licensees from time to time in the ordinary course, we otherwise rely on the accuracy of our licensees retail sales reports for reporting and collecting our revenues, and if these reports are untimely or incorrect, our revenue could be delayed or inaccurately reported.

Most of our revenue is generated from retailers that license our brands for manufacture and sale of products bearing our brands in their stores. Under our existing agreements, these licensees pay us licensing fees based in part on the retail value of products sold. We rely on our licensees to accurately report the retail sales in collecting our license fees, preparing our financial reports, projections, budgets, and directing our sales and marketing efforts. All of our license agreements permit us to audit our licensees. If any of our licensee reports understate the retail sales of products they sell, we may not collect and recognize revenue to which we are entitled, or may endure significant expense to obtain compliance.

A decline in general economic conditions resulting in a decrease in consumer-spending levels and an inability to access capital may adversely affect our business.

Our performance is subject to worldwide economic conditions and its corresponding impact on the levels of consumer spending which may affect our licensees—sales. It is difficult to predict future levels of consumer spending and any such predictions are inherently uncertain. The worldwide apparel industry is heavily influenced by general economic cycles. Purchases of goods offered under our brands tend to decline in periods of recession or uncertainty regarding future economic prospects, as disposable income typically declines. As a result, our operating results may be materially affected by trends in the United States or global economy.

A significant disruption in our computer systems, including from a malicious attack, and our inability to adequately maintain and update those systems, could adversely affect our operations.

We rely extensively on our computer systems to manage our operations and to communicate with our licensees, International Joint Venture partners and other third parties, and to collect, summarize and analyze results. We depend on continued and unimpeded access to the internet to use our computer systems. Our systems are subject to damage or interruption from power outages, telecommunications failures, computer hackings, cyber-attacks, computer viruses or other malicious activities, security breaches and catastrophic events. If our systems are damaged, threatened, attacked or fail to function properly, we may incur substantial repair or replacement costs, experience data loss and impediments to our ability to manage our internal control system, a loss in confidence by our partners, negative publicity and lost revenue, all of which could adversely affect our results of operations.

Provisions in our charter and Delaware law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, discourage a takeover and adversely affect our stockholders.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation could have the effect of making more difficult, delaying or deterring unsolicited attempts by others to obtain control of our company, even when these attempts may be in the best interests of our stockholders. Our certificate of incorporation currently authorizes 150,000,000 shares of common stock to be issued. Based on our outstanding capitalization at December 31, 2014, and assuming the exercise of all outstanding options and warrants and the issuance of the maximum number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of all of our outstanding convertible notes, there are still a substantial number of shares of common stock available for issuance by our board of directors without

stockholder approval, including shares held in treasury primarily as a result of our stock repurchase plans. Our certificate of incorporation also authorizes our board of directors, without stockholder approval, to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, in one or more series, which could have voting and conversion rights that adversely affect or dilute the voting power of the holders of our common stock, none of which is outstanding.

We are also subject to the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which could prevent us from engaging in a business combination with a 15% or greater stockholder for a period of three years from the date it acquired that status unless appropriate board or stockholder approvals are obtained.

These provisions could deter unsolicited takeovers or delay or prevent changes in our control or management, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over the then current market price. These provisions may also limit the ability of stockholders to approve transactions that they may deem to be in their best interests.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

On November 9, 2007, we entered into a lease agreement covering approximately 30,550 square feet of office and showroom space at 1450 Broadway in New York, New York. The term of the lease runs through June 30, 2024 and provides for total aggregate annual base rental payments for such space of approximately \$26.4 million (ranging from approximately \$1.1 million for the first year following the rent commencement date to approximately \$2.2 million, on an annualized basis, in the last year of the lease). We will also be required to pay our proportionate share of any increased taxes attributed to the premises.

We lease a showroom and office space at 550 7th Avenue, New York, NY, a portion of which has been sublet through the term of the lease, which ends May 31, 2015.

We assumed obligations for approximately 4,500 square feet of office space at 261 Fifth Ave in New York, New York in connection with the Waverly acquisition with an annual rent of approximately \$0.3 million for a period ending February 2018. This space is currently being sublet to a third party.

We lease office and showroom space in the United Kingdom in the city of Manchester for approximately £0.1 million per annum, pursuant to a lease that expires in January 2021.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Normal Course litigation

From time to time, we are made a party to litigation incurred in the normal course of business. While any litigation has an element of uncertainty, we believe that the final outcome of any of these routine matters will not have a material effect on our financial position or future liquidity.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company s common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, its only class of common equity, is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market tier of The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, herein referred to as NASDAQ, under the symbol ICON . The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per share of the Company s common stock for the periods indicated, as reported on NASDAQ:

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2014		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 41.03	\$ 32.78
Third Quarter	44.33	36.93
Second Quarter	44.81	36.53
First Quarter	42.47	35.50
Year Ended December 31, 2013		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 40.78	\$ 31.40
Third Quarter	34.63	29.46
Second Quarter	31.22	24.20
First Quarter	26.31	22.33

As of February 23, 2015 there were 1,407 holders of record of the Company s common stock.

The Company has never declared or paid any cash dividends on its common stock and the Company does not anticipate paying any such cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Payment of cash dividends, if any, will be at the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors and will depend upon the Company s financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, contractual restrictions, restrictions imposed by applicable law and other factors its Board of Directors deems relevant. The Company s ability to pay dividends on its common stock and repurchase of its common stock is restricted by certain of its current indebtedness and may be restricted or prohibited under future indebtedness.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

2014	Total Number of Shares Purchased ^(*)	Weighted Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan ⁽¹⁾	Maximum Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plan
October 1 - October 31	12,420	\$ 36.38	425,000	\$ 531,831,815
November 1 - November 30				531,831,815
December 1 - December 31	84,799	33.80	169,578	525,668,099
Total	97,219	\$ 34.13	594,578	\$ 525,668,099

On February 18, 2014, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$500 million of the Company s common stock over a period ending February 18, 2017, herein referred to as the 2014 Program. The 2014 Program is in addition to prior programs. The 2014 Program does not obligate the Company to repurchase any specific number of shares and may be suspended at any time at management s discretion.

^{*} Amounts not purchased under the repurchase plan represent shares surrendered to the Company to pay withholding taxes due upon the vesting of restricted stock.

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

During FY 2014, the Company repurchased 4,994,578 shares for \$193.4 million under the Company s July 2013 share repurchase plan. Shares purchased in FY 2014, FY 2013 and FY 2012 that were not part of the Company s share repurchase plan represent shares surrendered to the Company to pay withholding taxes due

upon the vesting of restricted stock of employees. At December 31, 2014, \$25.7 million of the Company s common stock may yet be purchased under the Company s July 2013 Program. As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the February 2014 Program has \$500.0 million of the Company s common stock that may yet be purchased.

The information regarding equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference to Item 12 of this Form 10-K, which incorporates by reference the information set forth in the Company s Definitive Proxy Statement in connection with the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2015.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Selected Historical Financial Data

(amounts in tables, but not footnotes, in thousands, except earnings per share amounts)

The following table presents selected historical financial data of the Company for the periods indicated. The selected historical financial information is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company referred to under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and previously published historical financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with Item 7 - Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements, including the notes thereto, included elsewhere herein.

	Year Ended December 31, (000 s omitted)				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Consolidated Income Statement Data ⁽¹⁾					
Licensing revenue	\$ 406,940	\$ 398,047	\$ 341,685	\$ 364,265	\$ 332,390
Other revenue	54,303	34,579	12,133	5,580	169
Total revenue	461,243	432,626	353,818	369,845	332,559
Selling, general and administrative expenses	205,410	175,215	138,368	140,985	138,532
Operating income	255,833	257,411	215,450	228,766	209,715
Other expenses - net ⁽²⁾	26,667	56,749	32,978	16,239	46,826
Net income	167,429	142,587	123,509	141,241	110,480
Net income attributable to Iconix Brand Group, Inc.	152,736	128,048	109,408	126,105	98,847
Earnings per share:					
Basic	\$ 3.15	\$ 2.28	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.37
Diluted	\$ 2.66	\$ 2.11	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.32
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:					
Basic	48,431	56,281	69,689	73,111	72,151
Diluted	57,366	60,734	71,957	75,495	74,713

^{*} The year ended December 31, 2011 will herein be referred to as FY 2011; and the year ended December 31, 2010 will herein be referred to as FY 2010.

			At December 31, (000 s omitted)		
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data					
Cash	\$ 128,019	\$ 278,789	\$ 238,672	\$ 167,717	\$ 118,635
Working capital	248,140	339,186	258,391	(78,278)	125,906
Trademarks and other intangibles, net	2,024,541	1,955,644	1,769,508	1,550,996	1,400,550
Total assets	2,873,391	2,864,158	2,481,738	2,161,303	1,951,470
Long-term debt, including current portion	1,394,077	1,427,319	911,718	633,389	584,387
Total stockholders equity	\$ 1,054,537	\$ 1,101,942	\$ 1,299,840	\$ 1,293,576	\$ 1,138,914

36

- During FY 2014, FY 2013, FY 2012, FY 2011 and FY 2010, the Company made four (including investments in joint venture that are consolidated in our financial statements), two, two, three (including the purchase of Zoo York from our IPH Untld joint venture, effectively increasing our ownership in the Zoo York brand from 51% to 100%, and our additional investment in Hardy Way, which increased our ownership from 50% to 85%), and one acquisitions (including investments in joint ventures that are consolidated in our financial statements), respectively. See Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information about the Company s 2014 acquisitions and investments through its joint ventures.
- Includes a non-cash gain of approximately \$37.9 million in FY 2014 related to our purchase of our joint venture partner s interest in Iconix Latin America, and a non-cash gain of approximately \$21.5 million in FY 2011 related to our additional investment in Hardy Way (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Also includes net gain related to specific litigation of \$15.7 million in FY 2010.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including this Item 7, includes forward-looking statements based on the Company s current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections about its business and its industry. These statements include those relating to future events, performance and/or achievements, and include those relating to, among other things, the Company s future revenues, expenses and profitability, the future development and expected growth of the Company s business, its projected capital expenditures, future outcomes of litigation and/or regulatory proceedings, competition, expectations regarding the retail sales environment, continued market acceptance of the Company s current brands and its ability to market and license brands it acquires, the Company s ability to continue identifying, pursuing and making acquisitions, the ability of the Company to obtain financing for acquisitions, the ability of the Company s current licensees to continue executing their business plans with respect to their product lines and the ability to pay contractually obligated royalties, and the Company s ability to continue sourcing licensees that can design, distribute, manufacture and sell their own product lines.

These statements are only predictions and are not guarantees of future performance. They are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond the Company s control and difficult to predict and could cause its actual results to differ materially from those expressed or forecasted in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. In evaluating these forward-looking statements, the risks and uncertainties described in Item 1A. Risk Factors above and elsewhere in this report and in the Company s other SEC filings should be carefully considered.

Words such as may, should, will, could, estimate, predict, potential, continue, anticipate, believe, plan, expect, future of these terms or other comparable expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statement was made.

Overview

We are a brand management company and owner of a diversified portfolio of 35 global consumer brands across women s, men s, entertainment and home. The Company s business strategy is to maximize the value of its brands primarily through strategic licenses and joint venture partnerships around the world, as well as to grow the portfolio of brands through strategic acquisitions.

The Company s brand portfolio includes Candie®s, Bongo®, Badgley Mischka®, Joe Boxer®, Rampage®, Mudd®, London Fog®, Mossimo®, Ocean Pacific/OP®, Danskin/Danskin Now®, Rocawear®/Roc Nation®, Cannon®, Royal Velvet®, Fieldcrest®, Charisma®, Starter®, Waverly®, Ecko Unltd®/Mark Ecko Cut & Sew®, Zoo York®, Sharper Image®, Umbroo® and Lee Cooper®; and interest in Artful Dodger®, Material Girl®, Peanuts®, Ed Hardy®, Truth or Dare®, Billionaire Boys Club®, Ice Cream®, Modern Amusement®, Buffalo®, Nick Graham® and Hydraulic®.

37

The Company looks to monetize the Intellectual Property (herein referred to as IP) related to its brands throughout the world and in all relevant categories by licensing directly with leading retailers (herein referred to as direct to retail), through consortia of wholesale licensees, through joint ventures in specific territories and via other activity such as corporate sponsorships and content as well as the sale of IP for specific categories or territories. Products bearing the Company s brands are sold across a variety of distribution channels from the mass tier to the luxury market and, in the case of the Peanuts brand, through various media outlets, including television, movies, digital and mobile content. The licensees are responsible for designing, manufacturing and distributing the licensed products. The Company supports its brands with advertising and promotional campaigns designed to increase brand awareness. Additionally the Company provides its licensees with coordinated trend direction to enhance product appeal and help build and maintain brand integrity.

Globally, the Company has over 50 direct-to-retail licenses and more than 1,100 total licenses. Licensees are selected based upon the Company s belief that such licensees will be able to produce and sell quality products in the categories of their specific expertise and that they are capable of exceeding minimum sales targets and royalties that the Company generally requires for each brand. This licensing strategy is designed to permit the Company to operate its licensing business, leverage its core competencies of marketing and brand management with minimal working capital, and without inventory, production or distribution costs or risks, and maintain high margins. The vast majority of the Company s licensing agreements include minimum guaranteed royalty revenue which provides the Company with greater visibility into future cash flows. As of January 1, 2015, the Company had over \$800 million of aggregate guaranteed royalty revenue over the terms of its existing contracts excluding renewals.

Highlights of 2014

Total revenue of \$461.2 million, a 7% increase from prior year.
Licensing revenue of \$406.9 million, a 2% increase from prior year.
Other revenue of \$54.3 million, a 57% increase from prior year.
Continued expansion of international platform
Acquired full ownership and control of Iconix Latin America
Expanded South East Asia joint venture partnership with Global Brands Group to include additional territories and brands
Established Iconix Middle East joint venture
Strong Peanuts business

Repurchase of approximately 5.0 million shares of our common stock for \$193.4 million

Women s and home business divisions supported by strong stable direct-to-retail licenses

Renewed ABC and MetLife licenses

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

FY 2014 Compared to FY 2013

Total Revenue. Total Revenue for FY 2014 totaled \$461.2 million, a 7% increase as compared to \$432.6 million for FY 2013.

Licensing Revenue. Licensing revenue for FY 2014 totaled \$406.9 million, a 2% increase as compared to \$398.0 million for FY 2013. Our Peanuts brands, women s brands and home brands performed well in FY 2014, generating an aggregate increase of \$41.1 million in licensing revenue as compared to FY 2013. This aggregate increase was offset by an aggregate decrease of \$32.2 million primarily related to weakness in our men s brands, specifically our Rocawear and Ecko brands as we complete the transition to our new core licensees, as well as a transition agreement we had with Nike for the Umbro brand, which generated approximately \$19.0 million in 2013 and expired prior to 2014, for territories that we have now replaced with new licenses and partnerships.

38

Other Revenue. Other revenue for FY 2014 totaled \$54.3 million, a 57% increase as compared to \$34.6 million for FY 2013. We realized (i) an aggregate \$32.3 million gain in FY 2014 on the partial sale of certain trademarks to our Iconix SE Asia joint venture (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for descriptions of these transactions), (ii) a \$10.3 million gain on the partial sale of certain trademarks to our Iconix Middle East joint venture (iii) a \$7.8 million gain on the sale of the sharperimage.com domain name and certain categories under the Sharper Image trademark and (iv) a \$4.0 million gain on the formation of a Lee Cooper U.S. joint venture, as compared to an aggregate \$34.6 million gain realized in FY 2013 on the formation of our Iconix Canada, Iconix Australia, Iconix Israel and Iconix South East Asia joint ventures as well as the sale of the Umbro trademark in Korea (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for descriptions of these transactions).

Operating Expenses. Selling, general and administrative (SGA) expenses totaled \$205.4 million for FY 2014 compared to \$175.2 million for FY 2013 an increase of \$30.2 million. This increase in SG&A was driven by (i) an increase of \$12.8 million in talent expenses related to the incremental increase in revenue in our Peanuts business (see above), (ii) a \$10.3 million increase in advertising primarily related to international markets, (iii) a \$5.2 million increase in other G&A primarily related to retail support at Macy s for Rocawear as well as fixturing support and (iv) a \$3.5 million increase in compensation mostly due to recently completed joint ventures. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$2.8 million in consulting fees primarily related to fees incurred in connection to unconsummated transactions in 2013.

Operating Income. Operating income for FY 2014 decreased to \$255.8 million, or approximately 55% of total revenue, compared to \$257.4 million or approximately 59% of total revenue in FY 2013.

Other Expenses - Net. Other expense - net was approximately \$26.7 million in FY 2014 as compared to \$56.7 million in FY 2013. Interest expense increased approximately \$8.2 million for the following reasons: (i) an increase in interest related to our Senior Secured Notes (issued November 2012 and June 2013) of approximately \$3.0 million, due to the second tranche of Senior Secured Notes issued in June 2013, (ii) an increase of approximately \$5.3 million in interest related to our 1.50% Convertible Notes issued March 2013, partially offset by \$1.7 million of interest expense related to our Ecko Note (extinguished in May 2013) in FY 2013 for which there is no comparable expense in FY 2014. Interest and other income increased \$33.4 million from \$7.4 million in FY 2013 to approximately \$40.8 million in FY 2014 primarily due to a \$37.9 million non-cash gain in FY 2014 related to the fair value re-measurement of our original 50% interest in Iconix Latin America - see Note 3 to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of this transaction, as compared to a \$5.4 million gain in FY 2013 related to the sale of securities for which there is no comparable transaction in FY 2014. Our equity earnings on joint ventures increased approximately \$4.9 million from approximately \$12.1 million in FY 2013 to \$17.0 million in FY 2014, due to international joint ventures created since June 2013 as compared to a full twelve months of earnings in FY 2014, partially offset by our Latin America joint venture which was included in equity earnings on joint ventures until February 2014 when we began consolidating following our purchase of our partner s remaining interest in Iconix Latin America - see Note 3 to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of these joint ventures.

Provision for Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate for FY 2014 is approximately 26.9% resulting in a \$61.7 million income tax expense, as compared to an effective income tax rate of 28.9% in FY 2013 which resulted in the \$58.1 million income tax expense. The decrease in our effective tax rate primarily relates to, a larger portion of our income in FY 2014 as compared to FY 2013 being generated and permanently reinvested in countries outside the U.S. that have lower statutory rates than the U.S., partially offset by a one-time tax assessment of approximately \$2.1 million related to new legislation on income related to New York State Corporation taxes.

Net Income. Our net income was approximately \$167.4 million in FY 2014, compared to net income of approximately \$142.6 million in FY 2013, as a result of the factors discussed above.

39

Highlights of 2013

Acquisition of the Lee Cooper brand

51% investment in the Buffalo brand

Formation of four international joint ventures:

Iconix Canada

Iconix Australia

Iconix Southeast Asia

Iconix Israel

Licensing revenue growth of 16%, from \$341.7 million in FY 2012 to \$398.0 million in FY 2013

Repurchase of approximately 15.8 million shares of our common stock for \$436.4 million

Completion of a secondary issuance of our Senior Secured Notes for \$275.0 million

Completion of issuance of 1.50% Convertible Notes for \$400.0 million FY 2013 Compared to FY 2012

Total Revenue. Total revenue for FY 2013 totaled \$432.6 million, a 22% increase as compared to \$353.8 million for FY 2012.

Licensing. Licensing revenue for FY 2013 totaled \$398.0 million, a 16% increase as compared to \$341.7 million for FY 2012, driven by an aggregate increase of \$82.0 million of revenue primarily related to recently completed acquisitions (i.e. the acquisition of the Umbro brand in November 2012; the acquisition of the Buffalo brand in February 2013 and the acquisition of the Lee Cooper brand in February 2013; the acquisition of Modern Amusement brand in December 2012 - see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for descriptions of these transactions). This aggregate increase was partially offset by general weakness in revenue related to our OP brand at Wal-Mart and our men s brands (particularly Ed Hardy and Rocawear), a decrease in revenue related to the sale of our London Fog outerwear in FY 2012, as well as a decrease in revenue related to our Peanuts brand partly due to weakness in the Japanese yen.

Other Revenue. Other revenue for FY 2013 totaled \$34.6 million, a 185% increase as compared to \$12.1 million for FY 2012. This increase was driven by the revenue realized on the formation of our Iconix Australia, Iconix Canada, Iconix Southeast Asia, Iconix Israel and the Umbro Korea transactions as compared to our Iconix India joint venture and OP Japan transaction in FY 2012.

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Operating Expenses. SG&A totaled \$175.2 million for FY 2013 compared to \$138.4 million for FY 2012. After excluding SG&A totaling \$18.2 million in FY 2013 for which there is no comparable SG&A in FY 2012 (i.e. the acquisition of Umbro in November 2012; the acquisition of Buffalo in February 2013 and the acquisition of Lee Cooper in February 2013; the acquisition of Modern Amusement in December 2012 - see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for descriptions of these transactions), comparable SG&A increased approximately \$18.6 million. This increase in comparable SG&A was driven by (i) an increase of \$11.3 million in compensation expense primarily due to the achievement of certain performance-based compensation as being deemed earned, (ii) an increase of \$6.3 million in professional fees primarily related to recently completed transactions as well as an unconsummated transaction, and (iii) an increase of \$4.2 million in bad debt expense due to weakness in our men s brands. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$5.1 million in agent and talent costs related to the decrease in revenue from our Peanuts brand.

Operating Income. Operating income for FY 2013 increased to \$257.4 million, or approximately 59% of total revenue, compared to approximately \$215.5 million or approximately 61% of total revenue in FY 2012.

Other Expenses - Net. Other expenses - net was approximately \$56.7 million in FY 2013 as compared to \$33.0 million in FY 2012. Interest expense increased approximately \$29.7 million for the following reasons: (i) interest related to our Senior Secured Notes (issued November 2012 and June 2013) was approximately

40

\$29.1 million, for which there is no comparable expense in FY 2012, (ii) interest related to our 1.50% Convertible Notes (issued March 2013) was approximately \$17.3 million, for which there is no comparable expense in FY 2012 and (iii) an increase in the amortization of our deferred financing fees of \$1.6 million as a result of our recently completed financings; partially offset by: (i) \$11.3 million of interest expense in FY 2012 related to our 1.875% convertible notes which were paid off in June 2012, (ii) interest expense related to our Ecko Note decreased approximately \$3.0 million primarily related to the early extinguishment of the Ecko Note in May 2013, (iii) interest expense related to our asset-backed notes (repaid and extinguished in November 2012) was \$2.7 million and (iv) \$2.3 million of interest expense related to our revolver financing which we drew down in June 2012 and was paid off and extinguished in November 2012, for each of which there is no comparable expense in FY 2013. Interest and other income increased \$4.7 million from \$2.7 million in FY 2012 to \$7.4 million in FY 2013 due to a \$5.4 million gain in FY 2013 related to the sale of securities that had been written down to zero in FY 2010. Our equity earnings on joint ventures increased approximately \$1.2 million from approximately \$10.9 million in FY 2012 to \$12.1 million in FY 2013, primarily due to strong performance from our MG Icon joint venture, as well as strong aggregate earnings from our international joint ventures, partially offset by a gain realized by our Iconix China joint venture in FY 2012 related to the monetization of an investment.

Provision for Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate for FY 2013 is approximately 28.9% resulting in a \$58.1 million income tax expense, as compared to an effective income tax rate of 32.3% in FY 2012 which resulted in the \$59.0 million income tax expense. The decrease in our effective tax rate primarily relates to a greater portion of our income being generated and permanently reinvested in countries outside the U.S. that have lower statutory rates than the U.S.

Net Income. Our net income was approximately \$142.6 million in FY 2013, compared to net income of approximately \$123.5 million in FY 2012, as a result of the factors discussed above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Our principal capital requirements have been to fund acquisitions, working capital needs, share repurchases and, to a lesser extent, capital expenditures. We have historically relied on internally generated funds to finance our operations and our primary source of capital needs for acquisition has been the issuance of debt and equity securities. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, our cash totaled \$128.0 million and \$278.8 million, respectively, not including short-term restricted cash of \$59.6 million and \$58.9 million, respectively. Our short term restricted cash primarily consists of collection and investment accounts related to our Senior Secured Notes.

In March 2013, we issued our 1.50% Convertible Notes, the proceeds of which (including transaction fees) were approximately \$390.6 million. In connection with this transaction, we entered into the 1.50% Convertible Note Hedges and sold the 1.50% Convertible Note Warrants, the net cost of which was \$26.4 million. Further, in connection with this offering, we entered into a private transaction whereby we repurchased 2.96 million shares of our common stock from a third party for \$69.0 million.

In June 2013, we completed a second offering under Senior Secured Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$275.0 million.

We believe that cash from future operations and our currently available cash will be sufficient to satisfy our anticipated working capital requirements for the foreseeable future. We intend to continue financing future brand acquisitions through a combination of cash from operations, bank financing and the issuance of additional equity and/or debt securities. See Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of certain prior financings consummated by us.

41

Subsequent to FY 2014, on February 12, 2015, we delivered a notice to fund to the administrator to our Variable Funding Notes (see definition below). On February 18, 2015, the Company received \$100.0 million of cash, which will be used primarily for acquisitions, as well as for general corporate purposes. See below under Obligations and Commitments for a description of our Variable Funding Notes. See Note 16 to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional disclosure regarding this funding of our Variable Funding Notes as well as our acquisition of the Strawberry Shortcake brand and related assets.

Changes in Working Capital

At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 the working capital ratio (current assets to current liabilities) was 2.81 to 1 and 3.57 to 1, respectively.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased approximately \$40.6 million, from \$203.2 million in FY 2013 to \$162.5 million in FY 2014. After excluding the non-cash gain in FY 2014 related to the fair value re-measurement of our original 50% interest in Iconix Latin America and the deferred taxes associated with that non-cash gain, the decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily related to an increase in the gains on sale of trademarks (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for descriptions of these transactions) and an increase in our earnings from our equity investments in joint ventures in FY 2014, as well as an aggregate decrease in the net change in balance sheet items of approximately \$18.3 million. These aggregate decreases were partially offset by an increase in amortization of the convertible note discount related to the 1.50% Convertible Notes financed in March 2013, and a gain on the sale of securities of \$5.4 million FY 2013 as compared to \$0.3 million in FY 2014.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities decreased approximately \$129.7 million, from \$163.4 million in FY 2013 to \$33.7 million in FY 2014. This decrease is primarily due to our purchase of the remaining 50% interest in Iconix Latin America from our joint venture partner in FY 2014 for \$42.0 million in cash, as compared to the following acquisitions in FY 2013: our acquisition of a 51% interest in Buffalo for \$76.5 million in cash, our acquisition of Lee Cooper for \$66.7 million in cash, which is net of approximately \$6 million obtained from the entity, our investment in Marcy Media for \$32.0 million in cash, and our investment in Complex Media for \$25.0 million in cash.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$272.3 million in FY 2014, compared to \$0.5 million in the FY 2013. In FY 2013 we issued our 1.50% Convertible Notes which resulted in net proceeds of \$364.2 million (which includes the purchase of hedges and sale of warrants), as well as the issuance of the second tranche of our Senior Secured Notes which resulted in net proceeds of \$267.8 million. There were no comparable financings in FY 2014. This was partially offset by a decrease in share repurchases of \$243.0 million from \$436.4 million in FY 2013 to \$193.4 million in FY 2014, and a decrease in principal payments of \$32.2 million from \$95.1 million FY 2013 compared to \$62.9 million in FY 2014 primarily related to the early extinguishment of our Ecko Note in May 2013, partially offset by an increase in principal payments related to the second tranche of our Senior Secured Notes which was issued in June 2013 for which there was only one quarterly principal payment in FY 2013.

Obligations and commitments

Senior Secured Notes.

On November 29, 2012, Icon Brand Holdings, Icon DE Intermediate Holdings LLC, Icon DE Holdings LLC and Icon NY Holdings LLC, each a limited-purpose, bankruptcy remote, wholly-owned direct or indirect

subsidiary of the Company, (collectively, the Co-Issuers) issued \$600.0 million aggregate principal amount of Series 2012-1 4.229% Senior Secured Notes, Class A-2 (the 2012 Senior Secured Notes) in an offering exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Simultaneously with the issuance of the 2012 Senior Secured Notes, the Co-Issuers also entered into a revolving financing facility of Series 2012-1 Variable Funding Senior Notes, Class A-1 (the Variable Funding Notes), which allows for the funding of up to \$100 million of Variable Funding Notes and certain other credit instruments, including letters of credit. The Variable Funding Notes were issued under the Indenture and allow for drawings on a revolving basis. Drawings and certain additional terms related to the Variable Funding Notes are governed by the Class A-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated November 29, 2012 (the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement), among the Co-Issuers, Iconix, as manager, certain conduit investors, financial institutions and funding agents, and Barclays Bank PLC, as provider of letters of credit, as swingline lender and as administrative agent. The Variable Funding Notes will be governed, in part, by the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement and by certain generally applicable terms contained in the Indenture. Interest on the Variable Funding Notes will be payable at per annum rates equal to the CP Rate, Base Rate or Eurodollar Rate, as defined in the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement.

As of December 31, 2014, no amounts under the Variable Funding Notes have been drawn (see above regarding subsequent event). There is a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Variable Funding Notes facility of 0.5% per annum. It is anticipated that any outstanding principal of and interest on the Variable Funding Notes will be repaid in full on or prior to January 2018. Following the anticipated repayment date, additional interest will accrue on the Variable Funding Notes equal to 5% per annum. The Variable Funding Notes and other credit instruments issued under the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement are secured by the collateral described below.

On June 21, 2013, the Co-Issuers issued \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of Series 2013-1 4.352% Senior Secured Notes, Class A-2 (the 2013 Senior Secured Notes and, together with the 2012 Senior Secured Notes, the Senior Secured Notes) in an offering exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

The Senior Secured Notes and the Variable Funding Notes are referred to collectively as the Notes. The Notes were issued in securitization transactions pursuant to which substantially all of Iconix s United States and Canadian revenue-generating assets (the Securitized Assets), consisting principally of its IP and license agreements for the use of its IP, were transferred to and are currently held by the Co-Issuers. The Securitized Assets do not include revenue generating assets of (x) the Iconix subsidiaries that own the Badgley Mischka trademark, the Ecko Unltd trademark, the Mark Ecko trademark, the Umbro trademark and the Lee Cooper trademark, (y) the Iconix subsidiaries that own Iconix s other brands outside of the United States and Canada or (z) the joint ventures in which Iconix and certain of its subsidiaries have investments and which own the Artful Dodger trademark, the Modern Amusement trademark and the Buffalo trademark and a 50% interest in the Ice Cream trademark and the Billionaire Boys Club trademark.

The Notes were issued under a base indenture and related supplemental indentures (collectively, the Indenture) among the Co-Issuers and Citibank, N.A., as trustee (in such capacity, the Trustee) and securities intermediary. The Indenture allows the Co-Issuers to issue additional series of notes in the future subject to certain conditions.

While the Notes are outstanding, payments of interest are required to be made on the Senior Secured Notes on a quarterly basis. To the extent funds are available, principal payments in the amount of \$10.5 million and \$4.8 million are required to be made on the 2012 Senior Secured Notes and 2013 Senior Secured Notes, respectively, on a quarterly basis.

In June 2014, the Company sold the sharperimage.com domain name and the exclusive right to use the Sharper Image trademark in connection with the operation of a branded website and catalog distribution in

43

specified jurisdictions, in which the Senior Secured Notes had a security interest pursuant to the Indenture. As a result of this permitted disposition, the Company paid an additional \$1.6 million in principal in July 2014.

The legal final maturity date of the Senior Secured Notes is in January of 2043, but it is anticipated that, unless earlier prepaid to the extent permitted under the Indenture, the Senior Secured Notes will be repaid in January of 2020. If the Co-Issuers have not repaid or refinanced the Senior Secured Notes prior to the anticipated repayment date, additional interest will accrue on the Senior Secured Notes equal to the greater of (A) 5% per annum and (B) a per annum interest rate equal to the excess, if any, by which the sum of (i) the yield to maturity (adjusted to a quarterly bond-equivalent basis), on the anticipated repayment date of the United States treasury security having a term closest to 10 years plus (ii) 5% plus (iii) with respect to the 2012 Senior Secured Notes, 3.4%, or with respect to the 2013 Senior Secured Notes, 3.14%, exceeds the original interest rate. The Senior Secured Notes rank pari passu with the Variable Funding Notes.

Pursuant to the Indenture, the Notes are the joint and several obligations of the Co-Issuers only. The Notes are secured under the Indenture by a security interest in substantially all of the assets of the Co-Issuers (the Collateral), which includes, among other things, (i) IP assets, including the U.S. and Canadian registered and applied for trademarks for the following brands and other related IP assets: Candie s, Bongo, Joe Boxer (excluding Canadian trademarks, none of which are owned by Iconix), Rampage, Mudd, London Fog (other than the trademark for outerwear products sold in the United States), Mossimo, Ocean Pacific and OP, Danskin and Danskin Now, Rocawear, Starter, Waverly, Fieldcrest, Royal Velvet, Cannon, Charisma, and Sharper Image (other than for a Sharper Image branded website or catalog in the United States and other specified jurisdictions); (ii) the rights (including the rights to receive payments) and obligations under all license agreements for use of those trademarks; (iii) the following equity interests in the following joint ventures: an 85% interest in Hardy Way LLC which owns the Ed Hardy brand, a 50% interest in MG Icon LLC which owns the Material Girl and Truth or Dare brands, a 100% interest in ZY Holdings LLC which owns the Zoo York brand, and an 80% interest in Peanuts Holdings LLC which owns the Peanuts brand and characters; and (iv) certain cash accounts established under the Indenture.

If the Company contributes a newly organized, limited purpose, bankruptcy remote entity (each an Additional IP Holder and, together with the Co-Issuers, the Securitization Entities) to Icon Brand Holdings LLC or Icon DE Intermediate Holdings LLC, that Additional IP Holder will enter into a guarantee and collateral agreement in a form provided for in the Base Indenture pursuant to which such Additional IP Holder will guarantee the obligations of the Co-Issuers in respect of any Notes issued under the Base Indenture and the other related documents and pledge substantially all of its assets to secure those guarantee obligations pursuant to a guarantee and collateral agreement.

Neither the Company nor any subsidiary of the Company, other than the Securitization Entities, will guarantee or in any way be liable for the obligations of the Co-Issuers under the Indenture or the Notes.

The Notes are subject to a series of covenants and restrictions customary for transactions of this type, including (i) that the Co-Issuers maintain specified reserve accounts to be used to make required payments in respect of the Notes, (ii) provisions relating to optional and mandatory prepayments, including mandatory prepayments in the event of a change of control (as defined in the supplemental indentures) and the related payment of specified amounts, including specified make-whole payments in the case of the Senior Secured Notes under certain circumstances, (iii) certain indemnification payments in the event, among other things, the transfers of the assets pledged as collateral for the Notes are in stated ways defective or ineffective and (iv) covenants relating to recordkeeping, access to information and similar matters. The Company was in compliance with all covenants under the Notes during FY 2014 and FY 2013.

The Notes are also subject to customary rapid amortization events provided for in the Indenture, including events tied to (i) the failure to maintain a stated debt service coverage ratio, which tests the amount of net cash flow generated by the assets of the Co-Issuers against the amount of debt service obligations of the Co-Issuers

44

(including any commitment fees and letter of credit fees with respect to the Variable Funding Notes, due and payable accrued interest, and due and payable scheduled principal payments on the Senior Secured Notes), (ii) certain manager termination events, (iii) the occurrence of an event of default and (iv) the failure to repay or refinance the Notes on the anticipated repayment date. If a rapid amortization event were to occur, Icon DE Intermediate Holdings LLC and Icon Brand Holdings LLC would be restricted from declaring or paying distributions on any of its limited liability company interests.

The Company used approximately \$150.4 million of the proceeds received from the issuance of the 2012 Senior Secured Notes to repay amounts outstanding under its revolving credit facility (see below) and approximately \$20.9 million to pay the costs associated with the 2012 Senior Secured Notes financing transaction. In addition approximately \$218.3 million of the proceeds from the 2012 Senior Secured Notes were used for the Company s purchase of the Umbro brand. The Company used approximately \$7.2 million of the proceeds received from the issuance of the 2013 Senior Secured Notes to pay the costs associated with the 2013 Senior Secured Notes securitized financing transaction.

As of December 31, 2014, the total principal balance of the Senior Secured Notes is \$774.0 million, of which \$61.1 million is included in the current portion of long-term debt on the consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$59.6 million and \$58.9 million, respectively is included in restricted cash on the consolidated balance sheet and represents short-term restricted cash consisting of collections on behalf of the Securitized Assets, restricted to the payment of principal, interest and other fees on a quarterly basis under the Senior Secured Notes.

1.50% Convertible Notes.

On March 18, 2013, the Company completed the issuance of \$400.0 million principal amount of the Company s 1.50% convertible senior subordinated notes due March 15, 2018 (1.50% Convertible Notes) in a private offering to certain institutional investors. The net proceeds received by the Company from the offering, excluding the net cost of hedges and sale of warrants (described below) and including transaction fees, were approximately \$390.6 million.

Concurrently with the sale of the 1.50% Convertible Notes, we purchased note hedges for approximately \$84.1 million and issued warrants to the hedge counterparties for proceeds of approximately \$57.7 million. These transactions will generally have the effect of increasing the conversion price of the 1.50% Convertible Notes (by 100% based on the price of our common stock at the time of the offering). As a result of these transactions, we recorded an increase to additional paid-in-capital of \$3.0 million. These note hedges and warrants are separate and legally distinct instruments that bind only us and the counterparties thereto and have no binding effect on the holders of the 1.50% Convertible Notes.

We utilized a portion of the proceeds of the 1.50% Convertible Notes as follows: approximately \$69.0 million was used to repurchase 2,964,000 shares of the Company in a private transaction with a third party, and approximately \$26.4 million was the net payment for the related convertible note hedge. There are no covenants for this debt obligation.

2.50% Convertible Notes.

In May 2011, the Company completed the issuance of \$300.0 million principal amount of our 2.50% convertible senior subordinated notes due June 2016, herein referred to as our 2.50% Convertible Notes, in a private offering to certain institutional investors from which we received net proceeds, after transaction fees, of approximately \$291.6 million. The 2.50% Convertible Notes bear interest at an annual rate of 2.50%, payable semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1 of each year, commencing as of December 1, 2011. At December 31, 2014, the net balance of the 2.50% Convertible Notes was \$254.7 million, which reflects the net debt carrying value in accordance with accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion. However, the principal amount owed to the 2.50% Convertible Note holders is \$300.0 million.

Concurrently with the sale of the 2.50% Convertible Notes, we purchased note hedges for approximately \$58.7 million and issued warrants to the hedge counterparties for proceeds of approximately \$28.8 million. These transactions will generally have the effect of increasing the conversion price of the 2.50% Convertible Notes (by 100% based on the price of our common stock at the time of the offering). As a result of these transactions, we recorded a reduction to additional paid-in-capital of \$9.4 million. These note hedges and warrants are separate and legally distinct instruments that bind only us and the counterparties thereto and have no binding effect on the holders of the 2.50% Convertible Notes.

We utilized a portion of the proceeds of the 2.50% Convertible Notes as follows: approximately \$112.6 million was used to extinguish the outstanding obligation under a term loan facility, and approximately \$29.9 million was the net payment for the related convertible note hedge. There are no covenants for this debt obligation.

The following is a summary of contractual cash obligations, including interest for the periods indicated that existed as of December 31, 2014:

	2015	2016	2017	2018 (000 s omitte	2019 ed)	Thereafter	Total
Senior Secured Notes	\$ 61,123	\$ 61,123	\$ 61,123	\$ 61,123	\$ 61,123	\$ 468,415	\$ 774,030
1.50% Convertible Notes				400,000			400,000
2.50% Convertible Notes		300,000					300,000
Operating leases	2,933	2,750	2,806	2,628	2,639	11,257	25,013
Employment contracts	5,771	874					6,645
Interest	45,235	38,252	32,518	24,910	21,301	76,500	238,716
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 115,062	\$ 402,999	\$ 96,447	\$ 488,661	\$ 85,063	\$ 556,172	\$ 1,744,404

Other Factors

We continue to seek to expand and diversify the types of licensed products being produced under our various brands, as well as diversify the distribution channels within which licensed products are sold, in an effort to reduce dependence on any particular retailer, consumer or market sector. The success of our Company, however, remains largely dependent on our ability to build and maintain brand awareness and contract with and retain key licensees and on our licensees ability to accurately predict upcoming trends within their respective customer bases and fulfill the product requirements of their particular distribution channels within the global marketplace. Unanticipated changes in consumer fashion preferences, slowdowns in the global economy, changes in the prices of supplies, consolidation of retail establishments, and other factors noted in Risk Factors, could adversely affect our licensees ability to meet and/or exceed their contractual commitments to us and thereby adversely affect our future operating results.

We market and license our brands outside the United States and many of our licensees are located, and joint ventures operate, outside the United States. As a key component of our business strategy, we intend to expand our international sales, including, without limitation, through joint ventures. Tariffs, trade protection measures, import or export licensing requirements, trade embargoes, sanctions and other trade barriers; less effective and less predictable protection and enforcement of intellectual property; changes in the political or economic condition of a specific country or region; fluctuations in the value of foreign currency versus the U.S. dollar and the cost of currency exchange; and potentially adverse tax consequences, and other factors noted in Risk Factors, could adversely affect our licensees and International Joint Ventures future operating results.

Effects of Inflation

We do not believe that the relatively moderate rates of inflation experienced over the past few years in the United States, where we primarily compete, have had a significant effect on revenues or profitability. If there was an adverse change in the rate of inflation by less than 10%, the expected effect on net income would be immaterial.

New Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which is the new comprehensive revenue recognition standard that will supersede all existing revenue recognition guidance under U.S. GAAP. The standard s core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to a customer in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This ASU is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2016, and early adoption is not permitted. Companies will have the option of using either a full retrospective approach or a modified approach to adopt the guidance in the ASU. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08 Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity (ASU 2014-08). ASU 2014-08 raises the threshold for a disposal to qualify as a discontinued operation and requires new disclosures of both discontinued operations and certain other disposals that do not meet the definition of a discontinued operation. This ASU is effective for annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted but only for disposals that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued. We do not expect this new accounting pronouncement to have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to exercise its judgment. We exercise considerable judgment with respect to establishing sound accounting policies and in making estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of our assets and liabilities, our recognition of revenues and expenses, and disclosure of commitments and contingencies at the date of the financial statements. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. While we believe that the factors we evaluate provide us with a meaningful basis for establishing and applying sound accounting policies, we cannot guarantee that the results will always be accurate. Since the determination of these estimates requires the exercise of judgment, actual results could differ from such estimates.

Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements. We believe, however, the following critical accounting policies, among others, affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We have entered into various trade name license agreements that provide revenues based on minimum royalties and advertising/marketing fees and additional revenues based on a percentage of defined sales. Minimum royalty and advertising/marketing revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of each contract year, as defined, in each license agreement. Royalties exceeding the defined minimum amounts are recognized as income during the period corresponding to the licensee s sales. Payments received as consideration for the grant of a license or advanced royalty payments are recognized ratably as revenue over the term of the

47

license agreement and are reflected on the Company s consolidated balance sheets as deferred license revenue at the time payment is received and recognized ratably as revenue over the term of the license agreement. Revenue is not recognized unless collectability is reasonably assured. If licensing arrangements are terminated prior to the original licensing period, we will recognize revenue for any contractual termination fees, unless such amounts are deemed non-recoverable.

In addition, we sell a brand's territories and/or categories through joint venture transactions which is a central and ongoing part of our business. Since our goal is to maximize the value of the IP, we evaluate sale opportunities by comparing whether the offer is more valuable than the current and potential revenue stream in the Company's traditional licensing model. Further, as part of the Company's evaluation process, it will also look at whether or not the buyer's future development of the brand could help expand the brands global recognition and revenue. The Company considers, among others, the following guidance in determining the appropriate accounting and gains recognized from the initial sale of our brands/trademarks to our joint ventures: ASC 323, *Investments - Equity Method and Joint Venture*, ASC 605, *Revenue Recognition*, ASC 810, *Consolidations*, and ASC 845, *Nonmonetary Transactions - Exchanges Involving Monetary Consideration*.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

We evaluate our allowance for doubtful accounts and estimate collectability of accounts receivable based on our analysis of historical bad debt experience in conjunction with our assessment of the financial condition of individual licensees—with which we do business. In times of domestic or global economic turmoil, our estimates and judgments with respect to the collectability of our receivables are subject to greater uncertainty than in more stable periods.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Intangibles

Long-lived assets, representing predominantly trademarks related to the Company s brands, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Indefinite lived intangible assets are tested for impairment on an annual basis (December 31 for the Company) and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate that the carrying amount of the indefinite lived intangible asset may not be recoverable. When conducting its annual indefinite lived intangible asset impairment assessment, the Company initially performs a qualitative evaluation of whether it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. If it is determined by a qualitative evaluation that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired, the Company then tests the asset for recoverability. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets.

Assumptions used in our fair value estimates are as follow: (i) discount rates; (ii) royalty rates; (iii) projected average revenue growth rates; and (iv) projected long-term growth rates. The testing also factors in economic conditions and expectations of management and may change in the future based on period-specific facts and circumstances. During the years FY 2014, FY 2013 and FY 2012, there were no write-downs from impairments.

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level (The Company has one operating segment with various components, that have been aggregated into one Reporting Unit or one level below an operating segment) on an annual basis (December 31 for the Company) and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. The Company considers its market capitalization and the carrying value of its assets and liabilities, including

48

goodwill, when performing its goodwill impairment test. When conducting its annual goodwill impairment assessment, the Company initially performs a qualitative evaluation of whether it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired. If it is determined by a qualitative evaluation that it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired, the Company then applies a two-step impairment test. The two-step impairment test first compares the fair value of the Company s reporting unit to its carrying or book value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired and the Company is not required to perform further testing. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company determines the implied fair value of the reporting unit s goodwill and if the carrying value of the reporting unit s goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then an impairment loss equal to the difference is recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. As of December 31, 2014 there have been no impairments.

Variable Interest Entities

In accordance with the variable interest entities (VIE) sub-section of ASC 810, Consolidation, we perform a formal assessment at each reporting period regarding whether any consolidated entity is considered the primary beneficiary of a VIE based on the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity and the obligation to absorb losses or rights to receive benefits that could be significant to us.

Business combinations

We allocate the purchase price of acquired companies to the tangible and intangible assets acquired, and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. Such a valuation requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets. The results of operations for each acquisition are included in our financial statements from the date of acquisition.

We account for business acquisitions as purchase business combinations in accordance with ASC 805, Business Combinations (ASC 805). The fundamental requirement of ASC 805 is that the acquisition method of accounting be used for all business combinations.

Management estimates fair value based on assumptions believed to be reasonable. These estimates are based on historical experience and information obtained from the management of the acquired companies. Critical estimates in valuing certain intangible assets include, but are not limited to: future expected cash flows; acquired developed technologies and patents; the acquired company s brand awareness and market position, as well as assumptions about the period of time the acquired brand will continue to be used in our product portfolio; and discount rates.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation under ASC 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation, which requires companies to measure and recognize compensation expense for all stock-based payments at fair value.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 740-10, Income Taxes (ASC 740-10), which requires the use of the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using current enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to reverse. Inherent in the measurement of deferred balances are certain judgments and interpretations of enacted tax law and published guidance with respect to applicability to the Company s operations. The effective tax rate utilized by the Company reflects management s judgment of the expected tax liabilities within the various taxing jurisdictions.

49

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, net operating loss carryback potential, and tax planning strategies in making these assessments.

The Company adopted guidance under ASC 740 as it relates to uncertain tax positions. The implementation of this guidance did not have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations. At December 31, 2014, the total unrecognized tax benefit was \$1,180 million. However, this unrecognized tax benefit is not recognized for accounting purposes because the related deferred tax asset has been fully reserved in prior years. We are continuing our practice of recognizing interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. There was no accrual for interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions for the year ended December 31, 2014. We file federal and state tax returns and we are generally no longer subject to tax examinations for fiscal years prior to 2009.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We limit exposure to foreign currency fluctuations by requiring the majority of our licenses to be denominated in U.S. dollars. Certain other licenses are denominated in Japanese Yen and the Euro. To mitigate interest rate risks, we have, from time to time, purchased derivative financial instruments such as forward contracts to convert certain portions of our revenue and cash received in foreign currencies to fixed exchange rates. If there were an adverse change in the exchange rate from Japanese Yen to U.S. dollars or the Euro to U.S. dollars of less than 10%, the expected effect on net income would be immaterial.

Moreover, in connection with the warrant transactions with the counterparties related to our 2.50% Convertible Notes and our 1.50% Convertible Notes, to the extent that the price of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the warrants, the warrant transactions could have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share. The effect, if any, of these transactions and activities on the trading price of our common stock will depend in part on market conditions and cannot be ascertained at this time, but any of these activities could adversely affect the value of our common stock.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements and supplementary data required to be submitted in response to this Item 8 are set forth after Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2014. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (Exchange Act), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2014.

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) under the Exchange Act. The Company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may change over time.

Our management, under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013), issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2014.

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, which consists of independent, non-executive directors, meets regularly with management and the independent accountants to review accounting, reporting, auditing and internal control matters. The committee has direct and private access to external auditors.

The Company reviewed the results of management s assessment with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. The effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 has been audited by BDO USA, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2014 that materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitation on Effectiveness of Controls

Management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and fraud. Any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, is based upon certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that its objectives will be met. Further, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

52

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Iconix Brand Group, Inc.

New York, New York

We have audited Iconix Brand Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Iconix Brand Group, Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting are out over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Iconix Brand Group, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Iconix Brand Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 and our report dated March 2, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA LLP

New York, New York

March 2, 2015

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item concerning our directors, executive officers and certain corporate governance matters is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement relating to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2015 (2015 Definitive Proxy Statement) to be filed with the SEC.

Code of Business Conduct

We have adopted a written code of business conduct that applies to our officers, directors and employees. Copies of our code of business conduct are available, without charge, upon written request directed to our corporate secretary at Iconix Brand Group, Inc., 1450 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required under this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2015 Definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required under this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2015 Definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required under this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2015 Definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required under this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2015 Definitive Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) Documents included as part of this Annual Report
- 1. The following consolidated financial statements are included in this Annual Report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Income Statements for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

54

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. The following financial statement schedules are included in this Annual Report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedule

Schedule for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Schedule II Valuation and qualifying accounts

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

- 3. See the Index to Exhibits for a list of exhibits filed as part of this Annual Report.
- (b) See Item (a) 3 above.
- (c) See Item (a) 2 above.

55

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC.

Date: March 2, 2015

By: /s/ Neil Cole,
Neil Cole,

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

	Name	Title	Date
/s/ Neil Cole		Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	March 2, 2015
Neil Cole		(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ Jeff Lupinacci		Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 2, 2015
Jeff Lupinacci			
/s/ Barry Emanuel		Director	March 2, 2015
Barry Emanuel			
/s/ Drew Cohen		Director	March 2, 2015
Drew Cohen			
/s/ F. Peter Cuneo		Director	March 2, 2015
F. Peter Cuneo			
/s/ Mark Friedman		Director	March 2, 2015
Mark Friedman			
/s/ James A. Marcum		Director	March 2, 2015
James A. Marcum			
/s/ Sue Gove		Director	March 2, 2015
Sue Gove			

56

Index to Exhibits

Exhibit

Numbers	Description
2.1	Contribution and Sale Agreement dated October 26, 2009 by and among the Company, IP Holder LLC, now known as IP Holdings Unltd LLC, Seth Gerszberg, Suchman LLC, Yakira, L.L.C., Ecko.Complex, LLC, Zoo York LLC and Zoo York THC LLC(1)+
2.2	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement dated as of March 9, 2010 by and between the Company and Purim LLC(2)+
2.3	Purchase Agreement dated as of April 26, 2010 by and among the Company, United Features Syndicate, Inc. and The E.W. Scripps Company ⁽³⁾ +
2.4	Asset Purchase Agreement dated April 26, 2011 by and among Hardy Way LLC, Nervous Tattoo, Inc. and Audigier Brand Management Group, LLC ⁽⁴⁾ +
2.5	Asset Purchase Agreement dated October 26, 2011 by and between the Company and Sharper Image Acquisition LLC ⁽⁵⁾ +
2.6	Asset Purchase Agreement dated October 24, 2012 by and among Iconix Brand Group, Inc., Umbro IP Holdings LLC, Iconix Luxembourg Holdings SARL, Umbro International Limited, Nike Global Services Pte. Ltd. and NIKE, Inc ⁽⁶⁾ +
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, as amended ⁽⁹⁾
3.2	Restated and Amended By-Laws ⁽¹⁰⁾
4.1	Indenture, dated May 23, 2011, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust, N.A.(11)
4.2	Global Note ⁽¹¹⁾
4.3	Base Indenture dated November 29, 2012 ⁽¹²⁾
4.4	Supplemental Indenture dated November 29, 2012 ⁽¹²⁾
4.5	Supplemental Indenture Series 2013-1 Supplement dated as of June 21, 2013 ⁽⁸⁾
4.6	Indenture 1.50% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2018 dated as of March 18, 2013 ⁽³⁹⁾
4.7	Global Note ⁽³⁹⁾
10.2	2000 Stock Option Plan of the Company ⁽¹⁴⁾ ∗
10.3	2001 Stock Option Plan of the Company ⁽¹⁵⁾ ∗
10.4	2002 Stock Option Plan of the Company ⁽¹⁶⁾ ∗
10.5	Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan