UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC Form 10-Q November 07, 2014 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(MARK ONE)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-10765

## UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of 23-2077891 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Io UNIVERSAL CORPORATE CENTER

Identification No.)

## **367 SOUTH GULPH ROAD**

#### KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406

#### (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

#### Registrant s telephone number, including area code (610) 768-3300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $x = No^{-1}$ 

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "
Non-accelerated filer "
Smaller reporting company "
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange
Act). Yes "No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common shares outstanding, as of October 31, 2014:

Class A	6,595,708
Class B	91,681,078
Class C	664,000
Class D	29,181

### UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC.

### **INDEX**

PAGE NO.

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	

Item 1. Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Three and Nine Months Ended</u>	5
September 30, 2014 and 2013	4
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and	(
$\frac{2013}{2013}$	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	57
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	57
PART II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	57
Item 1A. Risk Factors	59
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	59
Item 6. Exhibits	60
Signatures	61
EXHIBIT INDEX	62
	C 1.1

In this Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014, we, us, our UHS and the Compa refer to Universal Health Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries. UHS is a registered trademark of UHS of Delaware, Inc., the management company for, and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Universal Health Services, Inc. Universal Health Services, Inc. is a holding company and operates through its subsidiaries including its management company, UHS of Delaware, Inc. All healthcare and management operations are conducted by subsidiaries of Universal Health Services, Inc. To the extent any reference to UHS or UHS facilities in this report including letters, narratives or other forms contained herein relates to our healthcare or management operations it is referring to Universal Health Services, Inc. s subsidiaries including UHS of Delaware, Inc. Further, the terms we, our or the Company in such context simila us, refer to the operations of Universal Health Services Inc. s subsidiaries including UHS of Delaware, Inc. Any reference to employees or employment contained herein refers to employment with or employees of the subsidiaries of Universal Health Services, Inc. including UHS of Delaware, Inc.

# PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

		nths ended Iber 30, 2013	Nine mon Septem 2014	
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 2,175,554	\$2,134,740	\$6,499,680	\$6,294,750
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	157,796	318,371	541,935	811,774
Net revenues	2,017,758	1,816,369	5,957,745	5,482,976
Operating charges:				
Salaries, wages and benefits	953,583	903,212	2,850,868	2,702,842
Other operating expenses	512,794	393,549	1,323,274	1,100,118
Supplies expense	222,708	206,995	662,280	613,981
Depreciation and amortization	93,456	86,971	277,506	248,465
Lease and rental expense	23,860	23,904	70,656	72,651
Electronic health records incentive income	(1,425)	(23,148)	(4,029)	(27,943)
Costs related to extinguishment of debt	36,171	0	36,171	0
	1,841,147	1,591,483	5,216,726	4,710,114
Income from operations	176,611	224,886	741,019	772,862
Interest expense, net	32,133	32,314	102,413	110,488
Income before income taxes	144,478	192,572	638,606	662,374
Provision for income taxes	48,440	69,473	224,102	241,537
Net income	96,038	123,099	414,504	420,837
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	13,241	8,512	41,958	34,625
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 82,797	\$ 114,587	\$ 372,546	\$ 386,212
Basic earnings per share attributable to UHS	\$ 0.84	\$ 1.17	\$ 3.77	\$ 3.94

Diluted earnings per share attributable to UHS	\$ 0.82	\$ 1.15	\$ 3.71	\$ 3.89
Weighted average number of common shares - basic	99,052	98,151	98,832	97,965
Add: Other share equivalents	1,981	1,436	1,643	1,158
Weighted average number of common shares and equivalents - diluted	101,033	99,587	100,475	99,123

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# **UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

### **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(amounts in thousands, unaudited)

		nths ended 1ber 30, 2013	Nine mon Septem 2014	
Net income	\$96,038	\$ 123,099	\$414,504	\$420,837
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow hedges	4,712	3,054	12,922	12,871
Amortization of terminated hedge	(84)	(84)	(252)	(252)
Currency translation adjustment	(2,506)	0	(2,506)	0
Other comprehensive income before tax	2,122	2,970	10,164	12,619
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive				
income	1,620	1,120	4,685	4,758
Total other comprehensive income, net of tax	502	1,850	5,479	7,861
Comprehensive income	96,540	124,949	419,983	428,698
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	13,241	8,512	41,958	34,625
Comprehensive income attributable to UHS	\$ 83,299	\$116,437	\$378,025	\$ 394,073

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(amounts in thousands, unaudited)

	Se	ptember 30, 2014	D	ecember 31, 2013
Assets				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	39,711	\$	17,238
Accounts receivable, net		1,239,478		1,116,961
Supplies		104,390		101,781
Deferred income taxes		95,204		119,903
Other current assets		143,311		76,446
Total current assets		1,622,094		1,432,329
Property and equipment		6,105,090		5,691,902
Less: accumulated depreciation		(2,456,116)		(2,249,733)
		3,648,974		3,442,169
Other assets:				
Goodwill		3,296,358		3,049,016
Deferred charges		41,910		57,881
Other		352,256		330,328
	\$	8,961,592	\$	8,311,723
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity				
Current liabilities:				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	81,477	\$	99,312
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		1,083,987		953,449
Federal and state taxes		0		7,127
Total current liabilities		1,165,464		1,059,888
Other noncurrent liabilities		280,797		284,589
Long-term debt		3,373,341		3,209,762
Deferred income taxes		253,191		239,148
Redeemable noncontrolling interests		231,473		218,107
Equity:				
UHS common stockholders equity		3,603,204		3,249,979

Noncontrolling interest	54,122	50,250
Total equity	3,657,326	3,300,229
	\$ 8,961,592	\$ 8,311,723

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(amounts in thousands, unaudited)

	Nine m ended Sept	ember 30,
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	2014	2013
Net income	\$ 414,504	\$ 420,837
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	φ -11-,50-	φ 120,057
Depreciation & amortization	277,506	248,648
Stock-based compensation expense	22,713	20,072
Gains on sales of assets and businesses, net of losses	(7,837)	(2,973)
Write-off of deferred financing costs related to extinguishment of debt	19,730	0
Changes in assets & liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions and dispositions:	- ,	
Accounts receivable	(98,193)	(99,261)
Accrued interest	6,547	10,376
Accrued and deferred income taxes	(18,392)	5,109
Other working capital accounts	59,613	10,313
Other assets and deferred charges	15,868	13,425
Other	(7,000)	5,792
Accrued insurance expense, net of commercial premiums paid	57,729	(1,406)
Payments made in settlement of self-insurance claims	(53,234)	(55,009)
Net cash provided by operating activities	689,554	575,923
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Property and equipment additions, net of disposals	(309,361)	(279,751)
Proceeds received from sale of assets and businesses	15,178	37,118
Cash paid/reserved related to acquisition of property and businesses	(402,405)	(1,320)
Costs incurred for purchase and implementation of electronic health records application	(11,204)	(42,353)
Net cash used in investing activities	(707,792)	(286,306)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Reduction of long-term debt	(842,543)	(234,231)
Additional borrowings	969,800	1,500
Financing costs	(13,413)	0
Repurchase of common shares	(63,292)	(22,186)
Dividends paid	(19,794)	(14,706)
Issuance of common stock	4,907	4,096
Excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation	30,242	15,809
Profit distributions to noncontrolling interests	(25,074)	(51,248)

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		40,833	(3	300,966)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(122)		0
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		22,473		(11,349)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		17,238		23,471
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	39,711	\$	12,122
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:				
Interest paid, including early redemption premium and original issue discount write-off				
in 2014	\$	98,670	\$	88,430
Income taxes paid, net of refunds	\$	212,148	¢ ⁄	218,290
income taxes paid, net of refunds	φ	212,140	φı	210,290

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# **UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### (1) General

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014. In this Quarterly Report, we, us, our UHS and the Company refer to Universal Health Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our majority-owned subsidiaries and partnerships and limited liability companies controlled by us, or our subsidiaries, as managing general partner or managing member. The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by us, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which, in our opinion, are necessary to fairly state results for the interim periods. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although we believe that the accompanying disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, significant accounting policies and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

### (2) Relationship with Universal Health Realty Income Trust and Related Party Transactions

#### Relationship with Universal Health Realty Income Trust:

At September 30, 2014, we held approximately 6.1% of the outstanding shares of Universal Health Realty Income Trust (the Trust ). We serve as Advisor to the Trust under an annually renewable advisory agreement pursuant to the terms of which we conduct the Trust s day-to-day affairs, provide administrative services and present investment opportunities. In addition, certain of our officers and directors are also officers and/or directors of the Trust. Management believes that it has the ability to exercise significant influence over the Trust, therefore we account for our investment in the Trust using the equity method of accounting. We earned an advisory fee from the Trust, which is included in net revenues in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income, of approximately \$700,000 and \$600,000 during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and approximately \$1.9 million and \$1.8 million during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Our pre-tax share of income from the Trust was \$1.7 million and \$86,000 during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$2.1 million and \$599,000 for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Included in our share of the Trust s income for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014, was approximately \$1.6 million related to our share of an aggregate gain on fair value recognition recorded by the Trust during the third quarter of 2014 in connection with its purchases of third-party minority ownership interests in various limited liability companies in which the Trust formerly held noncontrolling majority ownership interests (the Trust now owns 100% of each of these entities).

The carrying value of our investment in the Trust was approximately \$9 million and \$8 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and is included in other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The market value of this investment, based on the closing price of the Trust s stock on the respective dates, was approximately \$33 million at September 30, 2014 and \$32 million at December 31, 2013.

Total rent expense under the operating leases on the hospital facilities with the Trust was approximately \$4.0 million during each of the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and approximately \$12.0 million for each of the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. In addition, certain of our subsidiaries are tenants in several medical office buildings owned by limited liability companies in which the Trust holds either 100% ownership interests or non-controlling majority ownership interests.

During the third quarter of 2014, we provided notification to the Trust that, upon the December, 2014 expiration of the current lease term on The Bridgeway, we intend to exercise our option to purchase the real property of the facility. We anticipate that the purchase of The Bridgeway s real property (a 103-bed behavioral health facility located in North Little Rock, Arkansas) will occur on December 31, 2014. Pursuant to the terms of the lease, we and the Trust are both required to obtain appraisals of the property to determine its fair market value. Based upon the preliminary property appraisals obtained by each party, we estimate that the purchase price of The Bridgeway s real property will approximate \$17.0 million to \$17.5 million.

In addition, we are currently negotiating with the Trust regarding the Trust s potential ownership of the real property of two free-standing emergency departments located in Texas which are currently under construction by us and scheduled to be completed during the first quarter of 2015. Since these potential transactions are contingent upon the completion and execution of acquisition and lease agreements (subsidiaries of ours are expected to operate the facilities), we can provide no assurance that these transactions will ultimately occur. Should they occur, pursuant to the terms of the acquisition and lease agreements as currently contemplated, we estimate that the aggregate construction cost/sales proceeds of these facilities will approximate \$12.5 million to \$13.0 million, and the aggregate rent expense paid to the Trust at the commencement of the leases will approximate \$850,000 to \$900,000 annually.

The table below details the renewal options and terms for each of our four hospital facilities leased from the Trust:

		Annual		Renewal
Hospital Name	Type of Facility	Minimum Rent	End of Lease Term	Term (years)
McAllen Medical Center	Acute Care	\$ 5,485,000	December, 2016	15(a)
Wellington Regional Medical Center	Acute Care	\$3,030,000	December, 2016	15(b)
Southwest Healthcare System,				
Inland Valley Campus	Acute Care	\$ 2,648,000	December, 2016	15(b)
The Bridgeway	Behavioral Health	\$ 930,000	December, 2014	10(c)

- (a) We have three 5-year renewal options at existing lease rates (through 2031).
- (b) We have one 5-year renewal option at existing lease rates (through 2021) and two 5-year renewal options at fair market value lease rates (2022 through 2031).
- (c) As discussed above, we have provided notification to the Trust that we intend to purchase the real property of The Bridgeway upon expiration of the current lease term in December, 2014. We had two 5-year renewal options at fair market value lease rates (2015 through 2024) remaining on this property.

## **Other Related Party Transactions:**

In December, 2010, our Board of Directors approved the Company s entering into supplemental life insurance plans and agreements on the lives of our chief executive officer and his wife. As a result of these agreements, based on actuarial tables and other assumptions, during the life expectancies of the insureds, we would pay approximately \$25 million in premiums and certain trusts, owned by our chief executive officer, would pay approximately \$8 million in premiums. Based on the projected premiums mentioned above, and assuming the policies remain in effect until the death of the insureds, we will be entitled to receive death benefit proceeds of no less than \$33 million representing the \$25 million of aggregate premiums paid by us as well as the \$8 million of aggregate premiums paid by the trusts. These agreements did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements or results of operations during 2013 or the first nine months of 2014.

A member of our Board of Directors and member of the Executive Committee is Of Counsel to Norton Rose Fulbright, one of the law firms used by us as outside counsel. This Board member is also the trustee of certain trusts for the benefit of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and his family. This law firm also provides personal legal services to our CEO.

#### (3) Other Noncurrent liabilities and Redeemable/Noncontrolling Interests

Other noncurrent liabilities include the long-term portion of our professional and general liability, workers compensation reserves and pension liability.

Outside owners hold noncontrolling, minority ownership interests of: (i) approximately 28% in five acute care facilities currently operating in Las Vegas, Nevada, and a sixth facility currently under construction; (ii) 20% in an acute care facility located in Washington, D.C.; (iii) approximately 11% in an acute care facility located in Laredo, Texas, and; (iv) 20% in a behavioral health care facility located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The redeemable noncontrolling interest balances of \$231 million and \$218 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and the noncontrolling interest balances of \$54 million and \$50 million as of September 30, 2014 and

December 31, 2013, respectively, consist primarily of the third-party ownership interests in these hospitals.

In connection with the acute care facilities located in Las Vegas, Nevada, the minority ownership interests of which are reflected as redeemable noncontrolling interests on our Consolidated Balance Sheet, the outside owners have certain put rights , that are currently exercisable, that if exercised, require us to purchase the minority member s interests at fair market value. The put rights are exercisable upon the occurrence of: (i) certain specified financial conditions falling below established thresholds; (ii) breach of the management contract by the managing member (a subsidiary of ours), or; (iii) if the minority member s ownership percentage is reduced to less than certain thresholds. In connection with the behavioral health care facility located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, the minority ownership interest of which is also reflected as redeemable noncontrolling interest to us at any time. If exercised, the put option requires us to purchase the minority member s interest at fair market value. As of September 30, 2014, we believe the fair market value of the minority ownership interests in these facilities, pursuant to the terms of the put options, approximates the book value of the redeemable noncontrolling interests.

### (4) Long-term debt and cash flow hedges

### Credit Facilities and Outstanding Debt Securities

During the third quarter of 2014, we completed the following previously disclosed financing transactions:

On August 7, 2014, we entered into a Fourth Amendment (the Fourth Amendment ) to our credit agreement dated as of November 15, 2010, as amended on March 15, 2011, September 21, 2012 and May 16, 2013, among UHS, as borrower, the several banks and other financial institutions from time to time parties thereto, as lenders (Credit Agreement ). The Credit Agreement, as amended, which is scheduled to mature in August, 2019, consists of: (i) an \$800 million revolving credit facility, and; (ii) a \$1.775 billion term loan A facility which combined our previous term loan A and term loan A2 facilities (which were scheduled to mature in 2016);

Repaid \$550 million of outstanding borrowings pursuant to our previous term loan B facility which was scheduled to mature in 2016;

Increased the borrowing capacity on our existing \$275 million accounts receivable securitization program (Securitization) to \$360 million effective August 1, 2014. The Securitization, the terms of which remain the same as the previous agreement, as discussed below, is scheduled to mature on October 25, 2016;

Issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 3.750% senior secured notes due in 2019 (see below for additional disclosure);

Issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.750% senior secured notes due in 2022 (see below for additional disclosure);

Redeemed our previously outstanding \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes due in 2018 on July 31, 2014 for an aggregate price equal to 104.56% of the principal amount.

In connection with these transactions, our results of operations during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 included a \$36 million pre-tax charge incurred for the costs related to the extinguishment of debt. This charge consisted of the write-off of deferred charges (\$20 million) and original issue discount on the extinguished debt (\$5 million) as well as the make-whole premium paid (\$11 million) on the early redemption of the \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes.

Pursuant to the terms of our Credit Agreement and related amendments, as of September 30, 2014, we had:

\$1.775 billion of borrowings outstanding pursuant to our term loan A facility, and;

\$267 million of borrowings outstanding pursuant to our revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at either (1) the ABR rate which is defined as the rate per annum equal to, at our election: the greatest of (a) the lender s prime rate, (b) the weighted average of the federal funds rate, plus 0.5% and (c) one month LIBOR rate plus 1%, in each case, plus an applicable margin based upon our consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each quarter ranging from 0.50% to 1.25% for revolving credit and term loan-A borrowings, or (2) the one, two, three or six month LIBOR rate (at our election), plus an applicable margin based upon our consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each quarter ranging from 1.50% to 2.25% for revolving credit and term loan-A borrowings. As of September 30, 2014, the applicable margins were 0.50% for ABR-based loans, 1.50% for LIBOR-based loans under the revolving credit and term loan-A facilities.

As of September 30, 2014, we had \$267 million of outstanding borrowings pursuant to the terms of our \$800 million revolving credit facility and we had \$478 million of available borrowing capacity, net of \$35 million of outstanding borrowings pursuant to a short-term, on-demand credit facility and \$20 million of outstanding letters of credit. The revolving credit facility includes a \$125 million sub-limit for letters of credit. The Credit Agreement is secured by certain assets of the Company and our material subsidiaries and guaranteed by our material subsidiaries.

Pursuant to the terms of the Fourth Amendment to our Credit Agreement, term Ioan A quarterly installment payments of approximately: (i) \$11 million are due commencing in the fourth quarter of 2014 and continuing through September, 2016, and; (ii) \$22 million are due from the fourth quarter of 2016 through June, 2019. Prior to commencement of the Fourth Amendment, we made scheduled principal payments of \$36 million on the term Ioan-A and term Ioan A2 facilities during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 and \$18 million and \$54 million, respectively, during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013.

As discussed above, on August 1, 2014, our accounts receivable securitization program (Securitization), with a group of conduit lenders and liquidity banks which is scheduled to mature on October 25, 2016, was amended to increase the borrowing capacity to \$360 million from \$275 million. Substantially all of the patient-related accounts receivable of our acute care hospitals (Receivables) serve as collateral for the outstanding borrowings. We have accounted for this Securitization as borrowings. We maintain effective control over the Receivables since, pursuant to the terms of the Securitization, the Receivables are sold from certain of our subsidiaries to special purpose entities that are wholly-owned by us. The Receivables, however, are owned by the special purpose entities, can be used only to satisfy the debts of the wholly-owned special purpose entities. The wholly-owned special purpose entities use the Receivables to collateralize the loans obtained from the group of third-party conduit lenders and liquidity banks. The group of third-party conduit lenders and liquidity banks do not have recourse to us beyond the assets of the wholly-owned special purpose entities that securitize the loans. At September 30, 2014, we had \$333 million of outstanding borrowings and \$27 million of additional capacity pursuant to the terms of our accounts receivable securitization program.

<sup>9</sup> 

On August 7, 2014, we issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 3.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 (the 2019 Notes ) and \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2022 (the 2022 Notes , and together with the 2019 Notes, the New Senior Secured Notes ). The New Senior Secured Notes were offered only to qualified institutional buyers under Rule 144A and to non-U.S. persons outside the United States in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ). The New Senior Secured Notes have not been registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from registration requirements. Interest is payable on the New Senior Secured Notes on February 1 and August 1 of each year to the holders of record at the close of business on the January 15 and July 15 immediately preceding the related interest payment dates, commencing on February 1, 2015 until the maturity date of August 1, 2019 for the 2019 Notes and August 1, 2022 for the 2022 Notes.

On June 30, 2006, we issued \$250 million of senior secured notes which have a 7.125% coupon rate and mature on June 30, 2016 (the 7.125% Notes). Interest on the 7.125% Notes is payable semiannually in arrears on June 30th and December 30th of each year. In June, 2008, we issued an additional \$150 million of 7.125% Notes which formed a single series with the original 7.125% Notes issued in June, 2006. Other than their date of issuance and initial price to the public, the terms of the 7.125% Notes issued in June, 2008 are identical to and trade interchangeably with, the 7.125% Notes which were originally issued in June, 2006.

On July 31, 2014, we redeemed the \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes (the Unsecured Notes ), which were scheduled to mature on October 1, 2018, at a redemption price equal to 104.56% of the principal amount of the Unsecured Notes resulting in a make-whole premium payment of approximately \$11 million. The Unsecured Notes were issued on September 29, 2010 and registered in April, 2011. Interest on the Unsecured Note was payable semiannually in arrears on April 1st and October 1st of each year.

In connection with entering into the previous Credit Agreement on November 15, 2010, and in accordance with the Indenture dated January 20, 2000 governing the rights of our existing notes, we entered into a supplemental indenture pursuant to which our 7.125% Notes (due in 2016) were equally and ratably secured with the lenders under the Credit Agreement with respect to the collateral for so long as the lenders under the Credit Agreement are so secured.

Our Credit Agreement includes a material adverse change clause that must be represented at each draw. The Credit Agreement contains covenants that include a limitation on sales of assets, mergers, change of ownership, liens and indebtedness, transactions with affiliates and dividends; and requires compliance with financial covenants including maximum leverage and minimum interest coverage ratios. We are in compliance with all required covenants as of September 30, 2014.

As of September 30, 2014, the carrying value of our debt was \$3.45 billion and the fair-value of our debt was \$3.49 billion. The fair value of our debt was computed based upon quotes received from financial institutions and we consider these to be level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as outlined in the authoritative guidance for disclosures in connection with debt instruments.

## Cash Flow Hedges:

We manage our ratio of fixed and floating rate debt with the objective of achieving a mix that management believes is appropriate. To manage this risk in a cost-effective manner, we, from time to time, enter into interest rate swap agreements in which we agree to exchange various combinations of fixed and/or variable interest rates based on agreed upon notional amounts. We account for our derivative and hedging activities using the Financial Accounting Standard Board s (FASB) guidance which requires all derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, to be carried at fair value on the balance sheet. For derivative transactions designated as

hedges, we formally document all relationships between the hedging instrument and the related hedged item, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction.

Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Cash flow hedges are accounted for by recording the fair value of the derivative instrument on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability, with a corresponding amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ) within shareholders equity. Amounts are reclassified from AOCI to the income statement in the period or periods the hedged transaction affects earnings. We use interest rate derivatives in our cash flow hedge transactions. Such derivatives are designed to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows related to the hedged liability. For derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, the ineffective portion of the change in expected cash flows of the hedged item are recognized currently in the income statement.

For hedge transactions that do not qualify for the short-cut method, at the hedge s inception and on a regular basis thereafter, a formal assessment is performed to determine whether changes in the fair values or cash flows of the derivative instruments have been highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged items and whether they are expected to be highly effective in the future.

The fair value of interest rate swap agreements approximates the amount at which they could be settled, based on estimates obtained from the counterparties. We assess the effectiveness of our hedge instruments on a quarterly basis. We performed periodic

assessments of the cash flow hedge instruments during 2013 and the first nine months of 2014 and determined the hedges to be highly effective. We also determined that any portion of the hedges deemed to be ineffective was de minimis and therefore there was no material effect on our consolidated financial position, operations or cash flows. The counterparties to the interest rate swap agreements expose us to credit risk in the event of nonperformance. However, at September 30, 2014, each swap agreement entered into by us was in a net liability position which would require us to make the net settlement payments to the counterparties. We do not anticipate nonperformance by our counterparties. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

We entered into six forward starting interest rate swaps in the first quarter of 2011 whereby we pay a fixed rate on a total notional amount of \$425 million and receive three-month LIBOR. Three of these swaps with a total notional amount of \$225 million became effective in March, 2011 and will mature in May, 2015. The average fixed rate payable on these swaps is 1.91%. The three remaining interest rate swaps with total notional amounts of \$100 million, \$25 million became effective in December, 2011 and have/had fixed rates of 2.50%, 1.96% and 1.32%, and maturity dates in December, 2014, December, 2013 and December, 2012, respectively.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we entered into four forward starting interest rate swaps whereby we pay a fixed rate on a total notional amount of \$600 million and receive three-month LIBOR. Each of the four swaps became effective in December, 2011 and will mature in May, 2015. The average fixed rate payable on these swaps is 2.38%.

We measure our interest rate swaps at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of our interest rate swaps is based primarily on quotes from banks. We consider those inputs to be level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as outlined in the authoritative guidance for disclosures in connection with derivative instruments and hedging activities. The fair value of our interest rate swaps was an aggregate gross and net liability of \$11 million at September 30, 2014, which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet. The fair value of our interest rate swaps was an aggregate gross and net liability of \$24 million at December 31, 2013, of which \$19 million was included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and \$5 million was included in other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet.

## (5) Commitments and Contingencies

## Professional and General Liability and Workers Compensation Liability:

Effective November, 2010, excluding certain subsidiaries acquired since 2010 as discussed below, our subsidiaries are self-insured for professional and general liability exposure up to \$10 million and \$3 million per occurrence, respectively. Our subsidiaries were provided with several excess policies through commercial insurance carriers which provide for coverage in excess of the applicable per occurrence self-insured retention (either \$3 million or \$10 million) up to \$250 million per occurrence and in the aggregate for claims incurred from 2011 through 2013. We remain liable for 10% of the claims paid pursuant to the commercially insured coverage in excess of \$10 million up to \$60 million per occurrence and in the aggregate.

Since our acquisition of Psychiatric Solutions, Inc. (PSI) in November, 2010, the former PSI subsidiaries are self-insured for professional and general liability exposure up to \$3 million per occurrence. The 9 behavioral health facilities acquired from Ascend Health Corporation (Ascend) in October, 2012 have general and professional liability policies through commercial insurance carriers which provide for up to \$10 million of aggregate coverage, subject to a \$100,000 per occurrence deductible. The 18 facilities acquired from Cygnet Health Care Limited (Cygnet), consisting of 16 inpatient behavioral health hospitals and 2 nursing homes, have policies through a commercial insurance carrier located in the United Kingdom that provides for £10 million of professional liability coverage and £25 million of

general liability coverage. The facilities acquired from PSI, Ascend and Cygnet, like our other facilities, are also provided excess coverage through commercial insurance carriers for coverage in excess of the underlying commercial policy limitations, as mentioned above.

Our estimated liability for self-insured professional and general liability claims is based on a number of factors including, among other things, the number of asserted claims and reported incidents, estimates of losses for these claims based on recent and historical settlement amounts, estimates of incurred but not reported claims based on historical experience, and estimates of amounts recoverable under our commercial insurance policies. While we continuously monitor these factors, our ultimate liability for professional and general liability claims could change materially from our current estimates due to inherent uncertainties involved in making this estimate. Given our significant self-insured exposure for professional and general liability claims, there can be no assurance that a sharp increase in the number and/or severity of claims asserted against us will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

During the second quarter of 2013, pursuant to a reserve analysis, we recorded reductions to our professional and general liability self-insurance reserves (relating to years prior to 2013) amounting to \$65 million in the aggregate which is included in our financial results for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013. The favorable changes in our estimated future claims payments relating to years prior to 2013 were due to: (i) an increased weighting given to company-specific metrics (to 100% from 75%), and decreased general industry metrics (to 0% from 25%), related to projected incidents per exposure, historical claims experience and loss development factors; (ii) historical data which measured the realized favorable impact of medical malpractice tort reform experienced in several

states in which we operate, and; (iii) a decrease in claims related to certain higher risk specialties (such as obstetrical) due to a continuation of the company-wide patient safety initiative undertaken during the last several years. As the number of our facilities and our patient volumes have increased, thereby providing for a statistically significant data group, and taking into consideration our long-history of company-specific risk management programs and claims experience, our reserve analyses have included a greater emphasis on our historical professional and general liability experience which has developed favorably as compared to general industry trends.

As of September 30, 2014, the total accrual for our professional and general liability claims was \$209 million, of which \$44 million is included in current liabilities. As of December 31, 2013, the total accrual for our professional and general liability claims was \$206 million, of which \$44 million is included in current liabilities.

As of September 30, 2014, the total accrual for our workers compensation liability claims was \$66 million, of which \$34 million is included in current liabilities. As of December 31, 2013, the total accrual for our workers compensation liability claims was \$64 million, of which \$34 million is included in current liabilities

### Property Insurance:

We have commercial property insurance policies covering catastrophic losses, including windstorm damage, up to a \$1 billion policy limit per occurrence, subject to a \$250,000 deductible for the majority of our properties (the properties acquired from PSI are subject to a \$50,000 deductible). Losses resulting from named windstorms are subject to deductibles between 3% and 5% of the declared total insurable value of the property. In addition, we have commercial property insurance policies covering catastrophic losses resulting from earthquake and flood damage, each subject to aggregated loss limits (as opposed to per occurrence losses). Our earthquake limit is \$250 million, subject to a deductible of \$250,000, except for facilities located within documented fault zones. Earthquake losses that affect facilities located in fault zones within the United States are subject to a \$100 million limit and will have applied deductibles ranging from 1% to 5% of the declared total insurable value of the property. The earthquake limit in Puerto Rico is \$25 million, subject to a \$25,000 deductible. Non-critical flood losses have either a \$250,000 or \$500,000 deductible, based upon the location of the facility. Since certain of our facilities have been designated by our insurer as flood prone, we have elected to purchase policies from The National Flood Insurance Program to cover a substantial portion of the applicable deductible. Property insurance for the facilities acquired from Cygnet are provided on an all risk basis up to a £180 million limit that includes coverage for real and personal property as well as business interruption losses.

## Other

Our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 include amounts due from Illinois of approximately \$33 million and \$49 million, respectively. Collection of the outstanding receivables continues to be delayed due to state budgetary and funding pressures. Approximately \$10 million as of September 30, 2014 and \$28 million as of December 31, 2013, of the receivables due from Illinois were outstanding in excess of 60 days, as of each respective date. In addition, our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 includes approximately \$107 million and \$72 million due from Texas in connection with Medicaid supplemental payment programs. The \$107 million due from Texas as of September 30, 2014 consists of \$62 million related to uncompensated care program revenues and \$45 million related to disproportionate share hospital program revenues. Although the accounts receivable due from Illinois and Texas could remain outstanding for the foreseeable future, since we expect to eventually collect all amounts due to us, no related reserves have been established in our consolidated financial statements. However, we can provide no assurance that we will eventually collect all amounts due to us from Illinois and/or Texas. In September, 2014 CMS deferred the federal matching funds on Texas Medicaid uncompensated care payments made to providers in certain counties in Texas. Although we do not believe our

hospitals located in Texas are impacted by this deferral since they are not located in the impacted geographical areas, we can provide no assurance that our hospitals will not be impacted by future deferrals if CMS expands the deferrals to other counties in Texas. Failure to ultimately collect all outstanding amounts due from these states would have an adverse impact on our future consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

As of September 30, 2014 we were party to certain off balance sheet arrangements consisting of standby letters of credit and surety bonds which totaled \$94 million consisting of: (i) \$73 million related to our self-insurance programs, and; (ii) \$21 million of other debt and public utility guarantees.

## Legal Proceedings

We are subject to claims and suits in the ordinary course of business, including those arising from care and treatment afforded by our hospitals and are party to litigation, as outlined below.

## Office of Inspector General ( OIG ) and Other Government Investigations

In September, 2010, we, along with many other companies in the healthcare industry, received a letter from the United States Department of Justice ( DOJ ) advising of a False Claim Act investigation being conducted in connection with the implantation of implantable cardioverter defibrillators ( ICDs ) from 2003 to 2010 at several of our acute care facilities. The DOJ alleges that ICDs were implanted and billed by our facilities in contravention of a National Coverage Determination regarding these devices. We have established a reserve in connection with this matter which did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February, 2013, the OIG served a subpoena requesting various documents from January, 2008 to the date of the subpoena directed at Universal Health Services, Inc. ( UHS ) concerning it and UHS of Delaware, Inc., and several UHS owned behavioral health facilities including: Keys of Carolina, Old Vineyard Behavioral Health, The Meadows Psychiatric Center, Streamwood Behavioral Health, Hartgrove Hospital, Rock River Academy and Residential Treatment Center, Roxbury Treatment Center, Harbor Point Behavioral Health Center, f/k/a, The Pines Residential Treatment Center, including the Crawford, Brighton and Kempsville campuses, Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health. Prior to receiving this subpoena: (i) the Keys of Carolina and Old Vineyard received notification during the second half of 2012 from the United States Department of Justice of its intent to proceed with an investigation following requests for documents for the period of January, 2007 to the date of the subpoenas from the North Carolina state Attorney General s Office; (ii) Harbor Point Behavioral Health Center received a subpoena in December, 2012 from the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Virginia requesting various documents from July, 2006 to the date of the subpoena, and; (iii) The Meadows Psychiatric Center received a subpoena from the OIG in February, 2013 requesting certain documents from 2008 to the date of the subpoena. Unrelated to these matters, the Keys of Carolina was closed and the real property was sold in January, 2013. We were advised that a qui tam action had been filed against Roxbury Treatment Center but the government declined to intervene and the case was dismissed.

In April, 2013, the OIG served facility specific subpoenas on Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health requesting various documents from January, 2005 to the date of the subpoenas. In July, 2013, another subpoena was issued to Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health requesting additional records. In October, 2013, we were advised by the DOJ s Criminal Frauds Section that they received a referral from the DOJ Civil Division and opened an investigation of River Point Behavioral Health and Wekiva Springs Center. Subsequent subpoenas have since been issued to River Point Behavioral Health and Wekiva Springs Center requesting additional documentation. In April, 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) instituted a Medicare payment suspension at River Point Behavioral Health in accordance with federal regulations which implemented provisions of the Affordable Care Act regarding suspension of payments during certain investigations. The Florida Agency for Health Care Administration subsequently issued a Medicaid payment suspension for the facility. River Point Behavioral Health submitted a rebuttal statement disputing the basis of the suspension and requesting revocation of the suspension. In response, CMS has continued the payment suspension. River Point Behavioral Health has provided additional information to CMS in an effort to obtain relief from the payment suspension but the suspension remains in effect. In August 2014, we received notification from CMS that the payment suspension was to be continued for another 180 days. We cannot predict if and/or when the facility s suspended payments will resume. However, if continued for a significant period of time, the payment suspension will likely have a material adverse effect on River Point Behavioral Health s future results of operations and financial condition. The operating results of River Point Behavioral Health did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 or the year ended December 31, 2013.

In June, 2013, the OIG served a subpoena on Coastal Harbor Health System in Savannah, Georgia requesting documents from January, 2009 to the date of the subpoena.

In February, 2014, we were notified that the investigation conducted by the Criminal Frauds Section had been expanded to include the National Deaf Academy. In March, 2014, a Civil Investigative Demand ( CID ) was served on the National Deaf Academy requesting documents and information from the facility from January 1, 2008 through the date of the CID. We have been advised by the government that the National Deaf Academy has been added to the facilities which are the subject of the coordinated investigation referenced above.

In March, 2014, CIDs were served on Hartgrove Hospital, Rock River Academy and Streamwood Behavioral Health requesting documents and information from those facilities from January 2008 through the date of the CID.

In September, 2014, the DOJ Civil Division advised us that they were expanding their investigation to include four additional facilities and were requesting production of documents from these facilities. These facilities are Arbour-HRI Hospital, Behavioral Hospital of Bellaire, St. Simons by the Sea, and Turning Point Care Center.

At present, we are uncertain as to the specific focus, scope or extent of the investigations, liability of the facilities and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with these matters.

# Matters Relating to PSI:

The following matters pertain to PSI or former PSI facilities (owned by subsidiaries of Psychiatric Solutions, Inc.) which were in existence prior to the acquisition of PSI and for which we have assumed the defense as a result of our acquisition which was completed in November, 2010:

Garden City Employees Retirement System v. Psychiatric Solutions, Inc. (PSI), Joey A. Jacobs, Brent Turner and Jack E. Polson:

This is a shareholder class action lawsuit filed in 2009 in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee against PSI and certain of its former officers alleging violations of federal securities laws. As we previously disclosed, in September of 2014,

a \$65 million settlement agreement was reached in connection with this matter. A settlement escrow, pending final court approval, was funded in October of 2014. A pre-tax charge of \$49 million was incurred by us during the first nine months of 2014 in connection with this settlement which is net of approximately \$16 million of commercial insurance recoveries.

## Department of Justice Investigation of Friends Hospital:

In October, 2010, Friends Hospital in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, received a subpoena from the DOJ requesting certain documents from the facility. The requested documents have been collected and provided to the DOJ for review and examination. Another subpoena was issued to the facility in July 2011 requesting additional documents, which have been collected and delivered to the DOJ. At present, we are uncertain as to the focus, scope or extent of the investigation, liability of the facility and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with this matter.

### Department of Justice Investigation of Riveredge Hospital:

In 2008, Riveredge Hospital in Chicago, Illinois received a subpoena from the DOJ requesting certain information from the facility. Additional requests for documents were also received from the DOJ in 2009 and 2010. The requested documents have been provided to the DOJ. At present, we are uncertain as to the focus, scope or extent of the investigation, liability of the facility and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with this matter.

### General:

The healthcare industry is subject to numerous laws and regulations which include, among other things, matters such as government healthcare participation requirements, various licensure, certifications, and accreditations, reimbursement for patient services, and Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse. Government action has increased with respect to investigations and/or allegations concerning possible violations of fraud and abuse and false claims statutes and/or regulations by healthcare providers. Currently, and from time to time, some of our facilities are subjected to inquiries and/or actions and receive notices of potential non-compliance of laws and regulations from various federal and state agencies. Providers that are found to have violated these laws and regulations may be excluded from participating in government healthcare programs, subjected to potential licensure, certification, and/or accreditation revocation, subjected to payment suspension, subjected to fines or penalties or required to repay amounts received from the government for previously billed patient services. We monitor all aspects of our business and have developed a comprehensive ethics and compliance program that is designed to meet or exceed applicable federal guidelines and industry standards. Because the law in this area is complex and constantly evolving, governmental investigation or litigation may result in interpretations that are inconsistent with industry practices, including ours. Although we believe our policies, procedures and practices comply with governmental regulations, there is no assurance that we will not be faced with sanctions, fines or penalties in connection with such inquiries or actions, including with respect to the investigations and other matters discussed herein. Even if we were to ultimately prevail, such inquiries and/or actions could have a material adverse effect on us.

The outcome of any current or future litigation or governmental or internal investigations, including the matters described above, cannot be accurately predicted, nor can we predict any resulting penalties, fines or other sanctions that may be imposed at the discretion of federal or state regulatory authorities. We record accruals for such contingencies to the extent that we conclude it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. No estimate of the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued, if any, can be made at this time regarding the matters specifically described above because the inherently unpredictable nature of legal proceedings may be exacerbated by various factors, including, but not limited to: (i) the damages sought in the proceedings are unsubstantiated or indeterminate; (ii) discovery is not complete; (iii) the proceeding is in

its early stages; (iv) the matters present legal uncertainties; (v) there are significant facts in dispute; (vi) there are a large number of parties, or; (vii) there is a wide range of potential outcomes. It is possible that the outcome of these matters could have a material adverse impact on our future results of operations, financial position, cash flows and, potentially, our reputation.

In addition, various suits and claims arising against us in the ordinary course of business are pending. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such claims and litigation will not materially affect our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### (6) Segment Reporting

Our reportable operating segments consist of acute care hospital services and behavioral health care services. The Other segment column below includes centralized services including information services, purchasing, reimbursement, accounting, taxation, legal, advertising, design and construction and patient accounting as well as the operating results for our other operating entities including outpatient surgery and radiation centers. The chief operating decision making group for our acute care hospital services and behavioral health care services is comprised of the Chief Executive Officer, the President and the Presidents of each operating segment. The Presidents of each operating segment also manage the profitability of each respective segment s various facilities. The operating segments are managed separately because each operating segment represents a business unit that offers different types of healthcare services or operates in different healthcare environments. The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

	Three months ended September 30, 2014								
	Ac	cute Care	B	ehavioral					
	I	Hospital		Health				Total	
	S	Services		Services		Other	Co	onsolidated	
			(Amounts in thousands)						
Gross inpatient revenues	\$	3,616,647	\$	1,678,222	\$	0	\$	5,294,869	
Gross outpatient revenues	\$	2,058,148	\$	192,032	\$	8,972	\$	2,259,152	
Total net revenues	\$	1,038,135	\$	976,014	\$	3,609	\$	2,017,758	
Income/(loss) before allocation of corporate									
overhead and income taxes	\$	100,388	\$	228,710	(\$	184,620)	\$	144,478	
Allocation of corporate overhead	(\$	44,698)	(\$	24,750)	\$	69,448	\$	0	
Income/(loss) after allocation of corporate									
overhead and before income taxes	\$	55,690	\$	203,960	(\$	115,172)	\$	144,478	
Total assets as of 9/30/14	\$	3,321,166	\$	5,429,453	\$	210,973	\$	8,961,592	

Nine months ended September 30, 2014									
Acute Care		B	ehavioral						
	Hospital		Health				Total		
Services			Services	Other			Consolidated		
(Amounts in thousands)									
\$	11,217,320	\$	4,973,633	\$	0	\$	16,190,953		
\$	6,083,715	\$	580,627	\$	25,821	\$	6,690,163		
\$	3,033,535	\$	2,913,819	\$	10,391	\$	5,957,745		
\$	330,382	\$	693,399	(\$	385,175)	\$	638,606		
(\$	134,088)	(\$	74,055)	\$	208,143	\$	0		
\$	196,294	\$	619,344	(\$	177,032)	\$	638,606		
\$	3,321,166	\$	5,429,453	\$	210,973	\$	8,961,592		
	\$ \$ \$ (\$ \$	Acute Care Hospital Services           \$ 11,217,320           \$ 6,083,715           \$ 3,033,535           \$ 330,382           (\$ 134,088)           \$ 196,294	Acute Care Hospital Services       B         \$ 11,217,320       \$         \$ 11,217,320       \$         \$ 0,083,715       \$         \$ 3,033,535       \$         \$ 330,382       \$         \$ 134,088)       (\$         \$ 196,294       \$	Acute Care Hospital Services         Behavioral Health Services           \$ 11,217,320         \$ 4,973,633           \$ 6,083,715         \$ 580,627           \$ 3,033,535         \$ 2,913,819           \$ 330,382         \$ 693,399           (\$ 134,088)         (\$ 74,055)           \$ 196,294         \$ 619,344	Acute Care Hospital Services       Behavioral Health Services         \$ 11,217,320       \$ 4,973,633         \$ 11,217,320       \$ 4,973,633         \$ 6,083,715       \$ 580,627         \$ 3,033,535       \$ 2,913,819         \$ 330,382       \$ 693,399         \$ 134,088)       \$ 74,055         \$ 196,294       \$ 619,344	Acute Care Hospital Services       Behavioral Health Services       Other (Amounts in Housands)         \$ 11,217,320       \$ 4,973,633       \$ 0         \$ 11,217,320       \$ 4,973,633       \$ 0         \$ 6,083,715       \$ 580,627       \$ 25,821         \$ 3,033,535       \$ 2,913,819       \$ 10,391         \$ 330,382       \$ 693,399       \$ 385,175)         \$ 134,088       \$ 74,055       \$ 208,143         \$ 196,294       \$ 619,344       \$ 177,032)	Acute Care Hospital Services       Behavioral Health       Image: Care of the services       Care of the services       Other of the services       Care of the services         \$ 11,217,320       \$ 4,973,633       \$ 0       \$         \$ 11,217,320       \$ 4,973,633       \$ 0       \$         \$ 3,033,535       \$ 2,913,819       \$ 10,391       \$         \$ 330,382       \$ 693,399       (\$ 385,175)       \$         \$ 134,088)       (\$ 74,055)       \$ 208,143       \$         \$ 196,294       \$ 619,344       (\$ 177,032)       \$		

	Three months ended September 30, 2013							
	A	Acute Care Behavioral						
	]	Hospital	oital Health					Total
	5	Services	ices Services		Other		Co	onsolidated
	(Amounts in thousands)							
Gross inpatient revenues	\$	3,296,484	\$	1,567,436	\$	0	\$	4,863,920
Gross outpatient revenues	\$	1,712,290	\$	179,783	\$	10,207	\$	1,902,280
Total net revenues	\$	899,533	\$	907,935	\$	8,901	\$	1,816,369
Income/(loss) before allocation of corporate								
overhead and income taxes	\$	68,266	\$	211,456	(\$	87,150)	\$	192,572
Allocation of corporate overhead	(\$	46,112)	(\$	22,265)	\$	68,377	\$	0
Income/(loss) after allocation of corporate								
overhead and before income taxes	\$	22,154	\$	189,191	(\$	18,773)	\$	192,572
Total assets as of 9/30/13	\$	3,123,780	\$	4,926,271	\$	301,692	\$	8,351,743

	Nine months ended September 30, 2013							
	Acute Care Hospital Services		Behavioral Health Services		Other		С	Total onsolidated
	(Amounts in thousands)							
Gross inpatient revenues	\$	10,124,908	\$	4,741,967	\$	0	\$	14,866,875
Gross outpatient revenues	\$	5,072,065	\$	559,288	\$	31,680	\$	5,663,033
Total net revenues	\$	2,702,913	\$	2,746,949	\$	33,114	\$	5,482,976
Income/(loss) before allocation of corporate								
overhead and income taxes	\$	267,285	\$	672,787	(\$	277,698)	\$	662,374
Allocation of corporate overhead	(\$	138,333)	(\$	67,093)	\$	205,426	\$	0
Income/(loss) after allocation of corporate								
overhead and before income taxes	\$	128,952	\$	605,694	(\$	72,272)	\$	662,374
Total assets as of 9/30/13	\$	3,123,780	\$	4,926,271	\$	301,692	\$	8,351,743
		, ,				,		, , ,

(7) Earnings Per Share Data (  $\ \mbox{EPS}$  ) and Stock Based Compensation

Basic earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period adjusted to give effect to common stock equivalents.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three mor Septem	ber 30,	Nine months ended September 30, 1 thousands)			
	2014	2013	2014	2013		
Basic and Diluted:						
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 82,797	\$114,587	\$372,546	\$386,212		
Less: Net income attributable to unvested restricted share grants	(17)	(43)	(164)	(200)		
Net income attributable to UHS basic and diluted	\$ 82,780	\$114,544	\$ 372,382	\$386,012		
Weighted average number of common shares - basic	99,052	98,151	98,832	97,965		
Net effect of dilutive stock options and grants based on the treasury stock method	1,981	1,436	1,643	1,158		
Weighted average number of common shares and equivalents - diluted	101,033	99,587	100,475	99,123		
Earnings per basic share attributable to UHS:	\$ 0.84	\$ 1.17	\$ 3.77	\$ 3.94		

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEA	LTH	SERVIC	ES II	NC - Fo	rm 1(	)-Q	
Earnings per diluted share attributable to UHS:	\$	0.82	\$	1.15	\$	3.71	\$ 3.89

The Net effect of dilutive stock options and grants based on the treasury stock method , for all periods presented above, excludes certain outstanding stock options applicable to each period since the effect would have been anti-dilutive. There were no significant anti-dilutive stock options during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. All classes of our common stock have the same dividend rights.

**Stock-Based Compensation:** During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation cost of \$7.5 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, was recognized related to outstanding stock options. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation cost of \$21.7 million and \$19.0 million, respectively was recognized related to outstanding stock options. In addition, during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation cost of approximately \$274,000 and \$307,000, respectively, was recognized related to restricted stock. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation cost of approximately \$274,000 and \$307,000, respectively, was recognized related to restricted stock. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation cost of approximately \$274,000 and \$307,000, respectively, was recognized related to restricted stock. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation cost of approximately \$921,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively, was recognized related to restricted stock. As of September 30, 2014 there was \$57.4 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested options and restricted stock which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average vesting period of 2.8 years. There were 2,749,150 stock options granted (net of cancellations) during the first nine months of 2014 with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$17.16 per share.

The expense associated with share-based compensation arrangements is a non-cash charge. In the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, share-based compensation expense is an adjustment to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities and aggregated to \$22.7 million and \$20.0 million during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In accordance with ASC 718, excess income tax benefits related to stock based compensation are classified as cash inflows from financing activities on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. Previously for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013, we included \$15.8 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock based compensation as net cash provided by operating activities as included in the change in accrued and deferred income taxes for that period. In our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, as included herein, that amount is reflected as cash inflows from financing activities for the 2013 nine-month period. We assessed this misclassification and concluded that it was not material to our previously issued quarterly Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. During the first nine months of 2014, we generated \$30.2 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock based compensation which are reflected as cash inflows from financing activities for the 2013 nine-month period. We assessed this misclassification and concluded that it was not material to our previously issued quarterly Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. During the first nine months of 2014, we generated \$30.2 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock based compensation which are reflected as cash inflows from financing activities in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

## (8) Dispositions and acquisitions

## Nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

## Acquisitions:

During the first nine months of 2014, we spent \$402 million to: (i) acquire the stock of Cygnet Health Care Limited which consists of 18 facilities located throughout the United Kingdom including 16 inpatient behavioral health hospitals and 2 nursing homes with a total of 734 beds (during the third quarter); (ii) acquire and fund the required capital reserves related to a commercial health insurer headquartered in Reno, Nevada (during the second quarter); (iii) acquire the Psychiatric Institute of Washington ( PIW ), a 124-bed behavioral health care facility and outpatient treatment center located in Washington, D.C. (during the second quarter), and; (iv) acquire the operations of Palo Verde Behavioral Health, a 48-bed behavioral health facility in Tucson, Arizona. As part of the acquisition of PIW, we also acquired the Arbor Group, L.L.C., which operates three management contracts covering 66 beds in the Washington, D.C. and Maryland market.

The aggregate net purchase price of these acquisitions was allocated to the assets and liabilities based on their preliminary estimated fair values as follows:

	Amount (000s)
Working capital, net (a)	(\$ 41,547)
Property & equipment	149,914
Goodwill	246,606
Other assets	58,835
Income tax assets, net	4,019
Debt	(16,286)
Other	864
Cash paid during first nine months of 2014	\$ 402,405

(a) Includes liability for incurred but unpaid medical claims at date of acquisition of commercial health insurer. During the first nine months of 2013, we spent approximately \$1 million in connection with the acquisition of real property located in Pennsylvania.

## Divestitures:

During the first nine months of 2014, we received approximately \$15 million of cash proceeds for the divestiture of a non-operating investment sold during the first quarter of 2014 and the real property of a closed behavioral health facility sold during the second quarter of 2014. In connection with these transactions, our consolidated results of operations included a pre-tax loss of \$2 million recorded during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014, and a net pre-tax gain of \$8 million recorded during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014.

During the first nine months of 2013, we received aggregate cash proceeds of approximately \$37 million for the divestiture of: (i) Peak Behavioral Health Services (Peak), a 104-bed behavioral health care facility located in Santa Teresa, New Mexico (sold during the second quarter of 2013); (ii) the real property of five previously closed behavioral health care facilities and an outpatient surgery center, and; (iii) our ownership interest in an outpatient surgery center. In connection with the receipt of antitrust clearance from the Federal Trade Commission in connection with our acquisition of Ascend Health Corporation in October of 2012, we agreed to divest Peak. The pre-tax net gain on these divestitures did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013.

## (9) Dividends

In July, 2014, our Board of Directors authorized a \$.05 per share increase to our quarterly cash dividend to \$.10 per share. We declared and paid dividends of \$9.9 million, or \$.10 per share, during the third quarter of 2014 and \$4.9 million, or \$.05 per share, during the third quarter of 2013. We declared and paid dividends of \$19.8 million, or \$.20 per share, during the first nine months of 2014 and \$14.7 million, or \$.15 per share, during the first nine months of 2013.

## (10) Income Taxes

As of January 1, 2014, our unrecognized tax benefits were approximately \$3 million. The amount, if recognized, that would affect the effective tax rate is approximately \$2 million. During the quarter ended September 30, 2014, changes to the estimated liabilities for uncertain tax positions (including accrued interest) relating to tax positions taken during prior and current periods did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

We recognize accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of the tax provision. As of September 30, 2014, we have less than \$1 million of accrued interest and penalties. The U.S. federal statute of limitations remains open for the 2011 and subsequent years. Foreign and U.S. state and local jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from 3 to 4 years. The statute of limitations on certain jurisdictions could expire within the next twelve months. It is reasonably possible that the amount of uncertain tax benefits will change during the next 12 months, however, it is anticipated that any such change, if it were to occur, would not have a material impact on our results of operations.

We operate in multiple jurisdictions with varying tax laws. We are subject to audits by any of these taxing authorities. Our tax returns have been examined by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) through the year ended December 31, 2006. We believe that adequate accruals have been provided for federal, foreign and state taxes.

## (11) Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Certain of our senior notes are guaranteed by a group of subsidiaries (the Guarantors ). The Guarantors, each of which is a 100% directly owned subsidiary of Universal Health Services, Inc., fully and unconditionally guarantee the senior

## Table of Contents

notes on a joint and several basis, subject to certain customary release provisions.

The following financial statements present condensed consolidating financial data for (i) Universal Health Services, Inc. (on a parent company only basis), (ii) the combined Guarantors, (iii) the combined non guarantor subsidiaries (all other subsidiaries), (iv) an elimination column for adjustments to arrive at the information for the parent company, Guarantors, and non guarantors on a consolidated basis, and (v) the parent company and our subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the parent company and the Guarantors using the equity method for this presentation. Results of operations of subsidiaries are therefore classified in the parent company s and Guarantors investment in subsidiaries accounts. The elimination entries set forth in the following condensed consolidating financial statements eliminate distributed and undistributed income of subsidiaries, investments in subsidiaries, and intercompany balances and transactions between the parent, Guarantors, and non guarantors.

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

# FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net revenues before provision for					
doubtful accounts	\$ 0	\$ 1,530,301	\$ 652,376	\$ (7,123)	\$ 2,175,554
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	0	109,858	47,938	0	157,796
Net revenues	0	1,420,443	604,438	(7,123)	2,017,758
Operating charges:					
Salaries, wages and benefits	0	680,877	272,706	0	953,583
Other operating expenses	0	366,675	152,813	(6,694)	512,794
Supplies expense	0	134,966	87,742	0	222,708
Depreciation and amortization	0	67,204	26,252	0	93,456
Lease and rental expense	0	15,034	9,255	(429)	23,860
Electronic health records incentive					
income	0	(531)	(894)		(1,425)
Costs related to extinguishment of debt	36,171	0	0	0	36,171
	36,171	1,264,225	547,874	(7,123)	1,841,147
Income from operations	(36,171)	156,218	56,564	0	176,611
Interest expense	30,503	1,277	353	0	32,133
Interest (income) expense, affiliate	0	22,113	(22,113)	0	0
Equity in net income of consolidated					
affiliates	(123,951)	(32,595)	0	156,546	0
Income before income taxes	57,277	165,423	78,324	(156,546)	144,478
Provision for income taxes	(25,520)	54,139	19,821	0	48,440
Net income	82,797	111,284	58,503	(156,546)	96,038
Less: Income attributable to					
noncontrolling interests	0	0	13,241	0	13,241
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 82,797	\$ 111,284	\$ 45,262	\$ (156,546)	\$ 82,797

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

# FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net revenues before provision for					
doubtful accounts	\$ 0	\$ 4,514,444	\$ 2,007,037	\$ (21,801)	\$ 6,499,680
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	0	367,375	174,560	0	541,935
Net revenues	0	4,147,069	1,832,477	(21,801)	5,957,745
Operating charges:					
Salaries, wages and benefits	0	2,038,171	812,697	0	2,850,868
Other operating expenses	0	890,720	453,265	(20,711)	1,323,274
Supplies expense	0	403,195	259,085	0	662,280
Depreciation and amortization	0	199,540	77,966	0	277,506
Lease and rental expense	0	43,827	27,919	(1,090)	70,656
Electronic health records incentive					
income	0	(2,665)	(1,364)	0	(4,029)
Costs related to extinguishment of debt	36,171	0	0	0	36,171
	36,171	3,572,788	1,629,568	(21,801)	5,216,726
Income from operations	(36,171)	574,281	202,909	0	741,019
Interest expense	97,665	3,248	1,500	0	102,413
Interest (income) expense, affiliate	0	66,337	(66,337)	0	0
Equity in net income of consolidated					
affiliates	(455,156)	(125,458)	0	580,614	0
Income before income taxes	321,320	630,154	267,746	(580,614)	638,606
Provision for income taxes	(51,226)	217,340	57,988	0	224,102
Net income	372,546	412,814	209,758	(580,614)	414,504
Less: Income attributable to					
noncontrolling interests	0	0	41,958	0	41,958
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 372,546	\$ 412,814	\$ 167,800	\$ (580,614)	\$ 372,546

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

# FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net revenues before provision for					
doubtful accounts	\$ 0	\$ 1,451,793	\$ 690,311	\$ (7,364)	\$ 2,134,740
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	0	188,151	130,220	0	318,371
Net revenues	0	1,263,642	560,091	(7,364)	1,816,369
Operating charges:					
Salaries, wages and benefits	0	646,595	256,617	0	903,212
Other operating expenses	0	255,295	145,222	(6,968)	393,549
Supplies expense	0	128,284	78,711	0	206,995
Depreciation and amortization	0	60,615	26,356	0	86,971
Lease and rental expense	0	15,518	8,782	(396)	23,904
Electronic health records incentive income	0	(16,089)	(7,059)	0	(23,148)
	0	1,090,218	508,629	(7,364)	1,591,483
			·		
Income from operations	0	173,424	51,462	0	224,886
Interest expense	30,853	840	621	0	32,314
Interest (income) expense, affiliate	0	24,391	(24,391)	0	0
Equity in net income of consolidated affiliates	(133,630)	(28,048)	0	161,678	0
Income before income taxes	102,777	176,241	75,232	(161,678)	192,572
Provision for income taxes	(11,810)	62,429	18,854	0	69,473
Net income	114,587	113,812	56,378	(161,678)	123,099
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	0	0	8,512	0	8,512
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 114,587	\$ 113,812	\$ 47,866	\$ (161,678)	\$ 114,587

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

# FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net revenues before provision for					
doubtful accounts	\$ 0	\$ 4,289,656	\$ 2,026,466	\$ (21,372)	\$ 6,294,750
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	0	488,213	323,561	0	811,774
Net revenues	0	3,801,443	1,702,905	(21,372)	5,482,976
Operating charges:					
Salaries, wages and benefits	0	1,931,610	771,232	0	2,702,842
Other operating expenses	0	693,102	427,488	(20,472)	1,100,118
Supplies expense	0	380,888	233,093	0	613,981
Depreciation and amortization	0	173,991	74,474	0	248,465
Lease and rental expense	0	46,729	26,822	(900)	72,651
Electronic health records incentive income	0	(19,657)	(8,286)	0	(27,943)
	0	3,206,663	1,524,823	(21,372)	4,710,114
	0				
Income from operations	0	594,780	178,082	0	772,862
Interest expense	105,571	2,540	2,377	0	110,488
Interest (income) expense, affiliate	0	73,173	(73,173)	0	0
Equity in net income of consolidated affiliates	(451,375)	(98,326)	0	549,701	0
Income before income taxes	345,804	617,393	248,878	(549,701)	662,374
Provision for income taxes	(40,408)	221,322	60,623	0	241,537
Net income	386,212	396,071	188,255	(549,701)	420,837
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	0	0	34,625	0	34,625
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 386,212	\$ 396,071	\$ 153,630	\$ (549,701)	\$ 386,212

# **UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

# **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

# FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net income	\$82,797	\$ 111,284	\$ 58,503	\$ (156,546)	\$ 96,038
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow					
hedges	4,712	0	0	0	4,712
Amortization of terminated hedge	(84)	0	0	0	(84)
Currency translation adjustment	(2,506)	0	0	0	(2,506)
Other comprehensive income before tax	2,122	0	0	0	2,122
Income tax expense related to items of					
other comprehensive income	1,620	0	0	0	1,620
Total other comprehensive income, net of tax	502	0	0	0	502
Comprehensive income	83,299	111,284	58,503	(156,546)	96,540
Less: Comprehensive income attributable	,	,	2		
to noncontrolling interests	0	0	13,241	0	13,241
č			-		·
Comprehensive income attributable to					
UHS	\$ 83,299	\$ 111,284	\$ 45,262	\$ (156,546)	\$ 83,299

# **UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

## FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net income	\$372,546	\$ 412,814	\$ 209,758	\$ (580,614)	\$ 414,504
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow					
hedges	12,922	0	0	0	12,922
Amortization of terminated hedge	(252)	0	0	0	(252)
Currency translation adjustment	(2,506)	0	0	0	(2,506)
Other comprehensive income before tax	10,164	0	0	0	10,164
Income tax expense related to items of other					
comprehensive income	4,685	0	0	0	4,685
Total other comprehensive income, net of tax	5,479	0	0	0	5,479
Comprehensive income	378,025	412,814	209,758	(580,614)	419,983
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	0	0	41,958	0	41,958
Comprehensive income attributable to UHS	\$378,025	\$ 412,814	\$ 167,800	\$ (580,614)	\$ 378,025

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

## FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Net income	\$114,587	\$ 113,812	\$ 56,378	\$ (161,678)	\$ 123,099
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow					
hedges	3,054	0	0	0	3,054
Amortization of terminated hedge	(84)	0	0	0	(84)
Other comprehensive income before tax	2,970	0	0	0	2,970
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	1,120	0	0	0	1,120
Total other comprehensive income, net of tax	1,850	0	0	0	1,850
Comprehensive income Less: Comprehensive income attributable	116,437	113,812	56,378	(161,678)	124,949
to noncontrolling interests	0	0	8,512	0	8,512
Comprehensive income attributable to UHS	\$ 116,437	\$ 113,812	\$ 47,866	\$ (161,678)	\$ 116,437

# **UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

# **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

# FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net income	\$386,212	\$ 396,071	\$ 188,255	\$ (549,701)	\$ 420,837
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow					
hedges	12,871	0	0	0	12,871
Amortization of terminated hedge	(252)	0	0	0	(252)
Other comprehensive income before tax	12,619	0	0	0	12,619
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	4,758	0	0	0	4,758
Total other comprehensive income, net of					
tax	7,861	0	0	0	7,861
Comprehensive income	394,073	396,071	188,255	(549,701)	428,698
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	0	0	34,625	0	34,625
Comprehensive income attributable to UHS	\$ 394,073	\$ 396,071	\$ 153,630	\$ (549,701)	\$ 394,073

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**

# AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Assets					
Current assets:	¢ 0	¢ 20.000	ф <u>10</u> <b>5</b> 00	ф О	ф <u>20</u> 711
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0	\$ 29,203	\$ 10,508	\$ 0	\$ 39,711
Accounts receivable, net	0	885,715	353,763	0	1,239,478
Supplies	0	65,120	39,270	0	104,390
Deferred income taxes	51,505	43,699	0	0	95,204
Other current assets	0	129,965	13,346	0	143,311
Total current assets	51,505	1,153,702	416,887	0	1,622,094
Investments in subsidiaries	6,833,655	1,602,369	0	(8,436,024)	0
Intercompany receivable	312,807	0	512,904	(825,711)	0
Intercompany note receivable	0	0	1,104,881	(1,104,881)	0
Property and equipment	0	4,415,264	1,689,826	0	6,105,090
Less: accumulated depreciation	0	(1,629,256)	(826,860)	0	(2,456,116)
	0	2,786,008	862,966	0	3,648,974
Other assets: Goodwill	0	2 70( 207	500.051	0	2 206 259
	33,981	2,796,307	500,051	0	3,296,358
Deferred charges Other	6,891	5,434 285,684	2,495	0	41,910
Other	0,891	283,084	59,681	0	352,256
	\$7,238,839	\$ 8,629,504	\$ 3,459,865	\$ (10,366,616)	\$ 8,961,592
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Current liabilities:					
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 79,374	\$ 1,256	\$ 847	\$ 0	\$ 81,477
Accounts payable and accrued		- 1,200	- 017	- U	- 01,177
liabilities	27,145	970,673	86,169	0	1,083,987
Federal and state taxes	0	0	0	0	0
	Ũ	0	0	0	0
Total current liabilities	106,519	971,929	87,016	0	1,165,464

Table of Contents

Intercompany payable	0	825,711	0	(825,711)	0
Other noncurrent liabilities	2,187	200,806	77,804	0	280,797
Long-term debt	3,328,369	20,474	24,498	0	3,373,341
Intercompany note payable	0	1,104,881	0	(1,104,881)	0
Deferred income taxes	198,560	54,631	0	0	253,191
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	0	0	231,473	0	231,473
UHS common stockholders equity	3,603,204	5,451,072	2,984,952	(8,436,024)	3,603,204
Noncontrolling interest	0	0	54,122	0	54,122
Total equity	3,603,204	5,451,072	3,039,074	(8,436,024)	3,657,326
	\$ 7,238,839	\$ 8,629,504	\$ 3,459,865	\$ (10,366,616)	\$ 8,961,592

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**

# AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2013

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0	\$ 7,990	\$ 9,248	\$ 0	\$ 17,238
Accounts receivable, net	0	799,898	317,063	0	1,116,961
Supplies	0	63,562	38,219	0	101,781
Deferred income taxes	76,719	43,184	0	0	119,903
Other current assets	0	63,786	12,660	0	76,446
Total current assets	76,719	978,420	377,190	0	1,432,329
Investments in subsidiaries	6,378,499	1,476,911	0	(7,855,410)	0
Intercompany receivable	226,592	0	531,411	(758,003)	0
Intercompany note receivable	0	0	982,568	(982,568)	0
Property and equipment	0	4,093,914	1,597,988	0	5,691,902
Less: accumulated depreciation	0	(1,478,758)	(770,975)	0	(2,249,733)
	0	2,615,156	827,013	0	3,442,169
Other assets:					
Goodwill	0	2,552,190	496,826	0	3,049,016
Deferred charges	49,866	5,577	2,438	0	57,881
Other	8,411	251,365	70,552	0	330,328
	\$6,740,087	\$ 7,879,619	\$ 3,287,998	\$ (9,595,981)	\$ 8,311,723
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Current liabilities:					
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 97,403	916	993	0	\$ 99,312
Accounts payable and accrued	28 000	027 251	97 006	0	052 440
liabilities	28,099	837,354	87,996	0	953,449
Federal and state taxes	4,963	2,164	0	0	7,127
Total current liabilities	130,465	840,434	88,989	0	1,059,888

Table of Contents

Intercompany payable	0	758,003	0	(758,003)	0
Other noncurrent liabilities	7,591	199,104	77,894	0	284,589
Long-term debt	3,168,819	5,337	35,606	0	3,209,762
Intercompany note payable	0	982,568	0	(982,568)	0
Deferred income taxes	183,233	55,915	0	0	239,148
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	0	0	218,107	0	218,107
UHS common stockholders equity	3,249,979	5,038,258	2,817,152	(7,855,410)	3,249,979
Noncontrolling interest	0	0	50,250	0	50,250
Total equity	3,249,979	5,038,258	2,867,402	(7,855,410)	3,300,229
	\$6,740,087	\$ 7,879,619	\$ 3,287,998	\$ (9,595,981)	\$ 8,311,723

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

# FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

	Parent	Guarantors		Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 8,445	431,986	249,123	\$ 0	\$ 689,554
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Property and equipment additions, net of disposals	0	(205,455)	(103,906)	0	(309,361)
Proceeds received from sale of assets and businesses	0	11,450	3,728	0	15,178
Cash paid/reserved related to acquisition of property and businesses	0	(394,854)	(7,551)	0	(402,405)
Costs incurred for purchase and implementation of electronic health					
records application	0	(11,204)	0	0	(11,204)
Net cash used in investing activities	0	(600,063)	(107,729)	0	(707,792)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Reduction of long-term debt	(830,680)	(609)	(11,254)	0	(842,543)
Additional borrowings	969,800	0	0	0	969,800
Financing costs	(13,413)	0	0	0	(13,413)
Repurchase of common shares	(63,292)	0	0	0	(63,292)
Dividends paid	(19,794)	0	0	0	(19,794)
Issuance of common stock	4,907	0	0	0	4,907
Excess income tax benefits related to					
stock-based compensation	30,242	0	0	0	30,242
Profit distributions to noncontrolling					
interests	0	0	(25,074)	0	(25,074)
Changes in intercompany balances with affiliates, net	(86,215)	190,021	(103,806)	0	0
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(8,445)	189,412	(140,134)	0	40,833
		,			,

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash					
and cash equivalents	0	(122)	0	0	(122)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	0	21,213	1,260	0	22,473
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of					
period	0	7,990	9,248	0	17,238
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 0	\$ 29,203	\$ 10,508	\$ 0	\$ 39,711
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 0	\$ 29,203	\$ 10,508	\$ 0	\$ 39,711

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

# FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

	Parent	Guarantors		Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net cash (used in) provided by operating					
activities	\$ (6,371)	\$ 341,031	241,263	\$ 0	\$ 575,923
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Property and equipment additions, net of	_			_	
disposals Proceeds received from sale of assets and	0	(202,758)	(76,993)	0	(279,751)
businesses	0	7,552	29,566	0	37,118
Cash paid/reserved related to acquisition					
of property and businesses Costs incurred for purchase and	0	(1,320)	0	0	(1,320)
implementation of electronic health					
records application	0	(42,353)	0	0	(42,353)
Net cash used in investing activities	0	(238,879)	(47,427)	0	(286,306)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Reduction of long-term debt	(226,761)	(807)	(6,663)	0	(234,231)
Additional borrowings	1,500	0	0	0	1,500
Repurchase of common shares	(22,186)	0	0	0	(22,186)
Dividends paid	(14,706)	0	0	0	(14,706)
Issuance of common stock	4,096	0	0	0	4,096
Excess income tax benefits related to	15 000	0	0	0	15 900
stock-based compensation	15,809	0	0	0	15,809
Profit distributions to noncontrolling interests	0	0	(51,248)	0	(51,248)
Changes in intercompany balances with	0	0	(31,240)	0	(31,240)
affiliates, net	248,619	(108,930)	(139,689)	0	0
Net provided by (cash used) in financing activities	6,371	(109,737)	(197,600)	0	(300,966)

Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	0	(7,585)	(3,764)	0	(11,349)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	0	11,949	11,522	0	23,471
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 0	\$ 4,364	\$ 7,758	\$ 0	\$ 12,122

# (12) Recent Accounting Standards

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) updated the accounting guidance related to the definition of a discontinued operation and the related disclosures. The updated accounting guidance defines a discontinued operation as a disposal of a component or a group of components that is to be disposed of or is classified as held for sale and represents a strategic shift that has or will have a major effect on an entity s operations and financial results. The updated guidance is applicable to us effective January 1, 2015 with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this update to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which provides guidance for revenue recognition. The standard s core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This ASU also requires additional disclosures. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. We are currently in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption of this ASU on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Preparation of Financial Statements Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40), Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern (ASU 2014-15). Continuation of a reporting entity as a going concern is presumed as the basis for preparing financial statements unless and until the entity s liquidation becomes imminent. Preparation of financial statements under this presumption is commonly referred to as the going concern basis of accounting. If and when an entity s liquidation becomes imminent, financial statements should be prepared under the liquidation basis of accounting in accordance with Subtopic 205-30,

Presentation of Financial Statements Liquidation Basis of Accounting . Even when an entity s liquidation is not imminent, there may be conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the entity s ability to continue as a going concern. In those situations, financial statements should continue to be prepared under the going concern basis of accounting, but the new criteria in ASU 2014-15 should be followed to determine whether to disclose information about the relevant conditions and events. The amendments in ASU 2014-15 are effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. We will evaluate the going concern considerations in this ASU.

#### Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 2. Overview

Our principal business is owning and operating, through our subsidiaries, acute care hospitals, behavioral health centers, surgical hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers and radiation oncology centers. As of September 30, 2014, we owned and/or operated 24 acute care hospitals and 215 behavioral health centers located in 37 states, Washington, D.C., the United Kingdom, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. As part of our ambulatory treatment centers division, we manage and/or own outright or in partnerships with physicians, 5 surgical hospitals and surgery and radiation oncology centers located in 4 states.

In late September, 2014, we acquired the stock of Cygnet Health Care Limited. Through this acquisition, we have added a total of 18 facilities located throughout the United Kingdom including 16 inpatient behavioral health hospitals and 2 nursing homes with a total of 734 beds.

Net revenues from our acute care hospitals, surgical hospitals, surgery centers and radiation oncology centers accounted for 52% and 50% of our consolidated net revenues during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 51% and 49% during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Net revenues from our behavioral health care facilities accounted for 48% and 50% of our consolidated net revenues during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 49% and 50% of our consolidated net revenues during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Services provided by our hospitals include general and specialty surgery, internal medicine, obstetrics, emergency room care, radiology, oncology, diagnostic care, coronary care, pediatric services, pharmacy services and/or behavioral health services. We provide capital resources as well as a variety of management services to our facilities, including central purchasing, information services, finance and control systems, facilities planning, physician recruitment services, administrative personnel management, marketing and public relations.

# **Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors**

You should carefully review the information contained in this Quarterly Report, and should particularly consider any risk factors that we set forth in this Quarterly Report and in other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). In this Quarterly Report, we state our beliefs of future events and of our future financial performance. This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements that reflect our current estimates, expectations and projections about our future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, the information concerning our possible future results of operations, business and growth strategies, financing plans, expectations that regulatory developments or other matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition, our competitive position and the effects of competition, the projected growth of the industry in which we operate, and the benefits and synergies to be obtained from our completed and any future acquisitions, and statements of our goals and objectives, and other similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as may, should. will. could. would, potential, continue, expects, anticipates, future, intends, believes, plans, estimates, appears, proj expressions, as well as statements in future tense, identify forward-looking statements. In evaluating those statements, you should specifically consider various factors, including the risks related to healthcare industry trends and those detailed in our filings with the SEC including those set forth herein and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 in Item 1A Risk Factors and in Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward Looking Statements and Risk Factors. Those factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from any of our forward-looking statements.

pre

Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times at, or by which, such performance or results will be achieved. Forward-looking information is based on information available at the time and/or our good faith belief with respect to future events, and is subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual performance or results to differ materially from those expressed in the statements. Such factors include, among other things, the following:

our ability to comply with the existing laws and government regulations, and/or changes in laws and government regulations;

an increasing number of legislative initiatives have recently been passed into law that may result in major changes in the health care delivery system on a national or state level. No assurances can be given that the implementation of these new laws will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations;

possible unfavorable changes in the levels and terms of reimbursement for our charges by third party payors or government programs, including Medicare or Medicaid;

an increase in the number of uninsured and self-pay patients treated at our acute care facilities that unfavorably impacts our ability to satisfactorily and timely collect our self-pay patient accounts;

our ability to enter into managed care provider agreements on acceptable terms and the ability of our competitors to do the same, including contracts with United/Sierra Healthcare in Las Vegas, Nevada;

the outcome of known and unknown litigation, government investigations, false claim act allegations, and liabilities and other claims asserted against us and other matters as disclosed in *Item 1. Legal Proceedings*;

the potential unfavorable impact on our business of deterioration in national, regional and local economic and business conditions, including a worsening of unfavorable credit market conditions;

competition from other healthcare providers (including physician owned facilities) in certain markets, including McAllen/Edinburg, Texas, the site of one of our largest acute care facilities and Riverside County, California;

technological and pharmaceutical improvements that increase the cost of providing, or reduce the demand for healthcare;

our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel, nurses, physicians and other healthcare professionals and the impact on our labor expenses resulting from a shortage of nurses and other healthcare professionals;

demographic changes;

our ability to successfully integrate and improve our recent acquisitions and the availability of suitable acquisitions and divestiture opportunities;

as discussed below in *Sources of Revenue*, we receive revenues from various state and county based programs, including Medicaid in all the states in which we operate, (we receive Medicaid revenues in excess of \$90 million annually from each of Texas, Pennsylvania, Washington, D.C., Illinois, Virginia, Nevada and California); CMS-approved Medicaid supplemental programs in certain states including Oklahoma, Illinois, Ohio and Arkansas, and; state Medicaid disproportionate share hospital payments in certain states including Texas and South Carolina. We are therefore particularly sensitive to potential reductions in Medicaid and other state based revenue programs as well as regulatory, economic, environmental and competitive changes in those states. We can provide no assurance that reductions to revenues earned pursuant to these programs, particularly in the above-mentioned states, will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations;

our ability to continue to obtain capital on acceptable terms, including borrowed funds, to fund the future growth of our business;

some of our acute care facilities continue to experience decreasing inpatient admission trends;

our financial statements reflect large amounts due from various commercial and private payors and there can be no assurance that failure of the payors to remit amounts due to us will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations;

in March, 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act were enacted into law and created significant changes to health insurance coverage for U.S. citizens as well as material revisions to the federal Medicare and state Medicaid programs. The two combined primary goals of these acts are to provide for increased access to coverage for healthcare and to reduce healthcare-related expenses. Medicare, Medicaid and other health care industry changes are scheduled to be implemented at various times during this decade. We cannot predict the effect, if any, these enactments will have on our future results of operations;

the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) published final regulations in July, 2010 implementing the health information technology (HIT) provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (referred to as the HITECH Act ). The final regulation defines the meaningful use of Electronic Health Records ( EHR ) and establishes the requirements for the Medicare and Medicaid EHR payment incentive programs. The implementation period for these new Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments started in federal fiscal year 2011 and can end as late as 2016 for Medicare and 2021 for the state Medicaid programs. Hospitals that do not qualify as a meaningful user of EHR by 2015 are subject to a reduced market basket update to the inpatient prospective payment system standardized amount in 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year. Certain of our acute care hospitals implemented EHR applications in 2011 and 2012 and we continued the implementation at each of our acute care hospitals, on a facility-by-facility basis, until completion which occurred in June, 2013. Our acute care hospitals are eligible for Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive payments upon implementation of the EHR application, once they have demonstrated meaningful use of certified EHR technology for the applicable stage or have completed attestations to their adoption or implementation of certified EHR technology. We believe that all of our acute care hospitals have met the stage 1, year one meaningful use criteria. Although we believe that our acute care hospitals will be in compliance with the EHR standards by 2015, there can be no assurance that all of our facilities will be in compliance and therefore not subject to the penalty provision of the HITECH Act. Should we (our acute care hospitals) qualify for incentive payments, there may be timing differences in the recognition of the incentive income and expenses recorded in connection with the implementation of the EHR applications which may cause material period-to-period changes in our future results of operations;

in August, 2011, the Budget Control Act of 2011 (the 2011 Act ) was enacted into law. The 2011 Act imposed annual spending limits for most federal agencies and programs aimed at reducing budget deficits by \$917 billion between 2012 and 2021, according to a report released by the Congressional Budget Office. Among its other provisions, the law

established a bipartisan Congressional committee, known as the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction (the Joint Committee ), which was tasked with making recommendations aimed at reducing future federal budget deficits by an additional \$1.5 trillion over 10 years. The Joint Committee was unable to reach an agreement by the November 23, 2011 deadline and, as a result, across-the-board cuts to discretionary, national defense and Medicare spending were implemented on March 1, 2013 resulting in Medicare payment reductions of up to 2% per fiscal year (approximately \$35 million annual reduction to our Medicare net revenues effective as of April 1, 2013) with a uniform percentage reduction across all Medicare programs. We cannot predict whether Congress will restructure the implemented Medicare payment reductions or what other federal budget deficit reduction initiatives may be proposed by Congress;

our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 include amounts due from Illinois of approximately \$33 million and \$49 million, respectively. Collection of the outstanding receivables continues to be delayed due to state budgetary and funding pressures. Approximately \$10 million as of September 30, 2014 and \$28 million as of December 31, 2013, of the receivables due from Illinois were outstanding in excess of 60 days, as of each respective date. In addition, our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 includes approximately \$107 million and \$72 million due from Texas in connection with Medicaid supplemental payment programs. The \$107 million due from Texas as of September 30, 2014 consists of \$62 million related to uncompensated care program revenues and \$45 million related to disproportionate share hospital program revenues. Although the accounts receivable due from Illinois and Texas could remain outstanding for the foreseeable future, since we expect to eventually collect all amounts due to us, no related reserves have been established in our consolidated financial statements. However, we can provide no assurance that we will eventually collect all amounts due to us from Illinois and/or Texas. In September, 2014 CMS deferred the federal matching funds on Texas Medicaid uncompensated care payments made to providers in certain counties in Texas. Although we do not believe our hospitals located in Texas are impacted by this deferral since they are not located in the impacted geographical areas, we can provide no assurance that our hospitals will not be impacted by future deferrals if CMS expands the deferrals to other counties in Texas. Failure to ultimately collect all outstanding amounts due from these states would have an adverse impact on our future consolidated results of operations and cash flows;

the ability to obtain adequate levels of general and professional liability insurance on current terms;

changes in our business strategies or development plans;

fluctuations in the value of our common stock, and;

other factors referenced herein or in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Given these uncertainties, risks and assumptions, as outlined above, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. Our actual results and financial condition could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. We assume no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information, except as may be required by law. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly

qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

# **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. We consider our critical accounting policies to be those that require us to make significant judgments and estimates when we prepare our consolidated financial statements. For a summary of our significant accounting policies, please see *Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements* as included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

**Revenue recognition:** We record revenues and related receivables for health care services at the time the services are provided. Medicare and Medicaid revenues represented 37% and 39% of our net patient revenues during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 37% and 39% of our net patient revenues during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Revenues from managed care entities, including health maintenance organizations and managed Medicare and Medicaid programs, accounted for 53% and 49% of our net patient revenues during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Revenues from managed for 53% and 49% of our net patient revenues during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. and 51% and 49% of our net patient revenues during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

**Provision for Doubtful Accounts:** On a consolidated basis, we monitor our total self-pay receivables to ensure that the total allowance for doubtful accounts provides adequate coverage based on historical collection experience. Our accounts receivable are recorded net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$217 million at September 30, 2014 and \$395 million at December 31, 2013.

Our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 include amounts due from Illinois of approximately \$33 million and \$49 million, respectively. Collection of the outstanding receivables continues to be delayed due to state budgetary and funding pressures. Approximately \$10 million as of September 30, 2014 and \$28 million as of December 31, 2013, of the receivables

due from Illinois were outstanding in excess of 60 days, as of each respective date. In addition, our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 includes approximately \$107 million and \$72 million due from Texas in connection with Medicaid supplemental payment programs. The \$107 million due from Texas as of September 30, 2014 consists of \$62 million related to uncompensated care program revenues and \$45 million related to disproportionate share hospital program revenues. Although the accounts receivable due from Illinois and Texas could remain outstanding for the foreseeable future, since we expect to eventually collect all amounts due to us, no related reserves have been established in our consolidated financial statements. However, we can provide no assurance that we will eventually collect all amounts due to us from Illinois and/or Texas. In September, 2014 CMS deferred the federal matching funds on Texas Medicaid uncompensated care payments made to providers in certain counties in Texas. Although we do not believe our hospitals located in Texas are impacted by this deferral since they are not located in the impacted geographical areas, we can provide no assurance that our hospitals will not be impacted by future deferrals if CMS expands the deferrals to other counties in Texas. Failure to ultimately collect all outstanding amounts due from these states would have an adverse impact on our future consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

Accounting for Medicare and Medicaid Electronic Health Records Incentive Payments: In July 2010, the Department of Health and Human Services published final regulations implementing the health information technology provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The regulation defines the meaningful use of Electronic Health Records (EHR) and established the requirements for the Medicare and Medicaid EHR payment incentive programs. The implementation period for these new Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments started in federal fiscal year 2011 and can end as late as 2016 for Medicare and 2021 for the state Medicaid programs. We recognize income related to Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments using a gain contingency model that is based upon when our eligible hospitals have demonstrated meaningful use of certified EHR technology for the applicable period and the cost report information for the full cost report year that will determine the final calculation of the incentive payment is available.

**Medicare EHR incentive payments:** Federal regulations require that Medicare EHR incentive payments be computed based on the Medicare cost report that begins in the federal fiscal period in which a hospital meets the applicable meaningful use requirements. Since the annual Medicare cost report periods for each of our acute care hospitals ends on December 31<sup>st</sup>, we will recognize Medicare EHR incentive income for each hospital during the fourth quarter of the year in which the facility meets the meaningful use criteria and during the fourth quarter of each applicable subsequent year.

**Medicaid EHR incentive payments:** Medicaid EHR incentive payments are determined based upon prior period cost report information available at the time our hospitals meet the meaningful use criteria. Therefore, the majority of the Medicaid EHR incentive income recognition occurs in the period in which the applicable hospitals are deemed to have met initial meaningful use criteria. Upon meeting subsequent fiscal year meaningful use criteria, our hospitals may become entitled to additional Medicaid EHR incentive payments which will be recognized as incentive income in future periods.

**Self-Insured Risks:** We provide for self-insured risks, primarily general and professional liability claims and workers compensation claims. Our estimated liability for self-insured professional and general liability claims is based on a number of factors including, among other things, the number of asserted claims and reported incidents, estimates of losses for these claims based on recent and historical settlement amounts, estimate of incurred but not reported claims based on historical experience, and estimates of amounts recoverable under our commercial insurance policies. All relevant information, including our own historical experience is used in estimating the expected amount of claims. While we continuously monitor these factors, our ultimate liability for professional and general liability claims could change materially from our current estimates due to inherent uncertainties involved in making this estimate. Our

estimated self-insured reserves are reviewed and changed, if necessary, at each reporting date and changes are recognized currently as additional expense or as a reduction of expense. Given our significant self-insured exposure for professional and general liability claims, there can be no assurance that a sharp increase in the number and/or severity of claims asserted against us will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total accrual for our professional and general liability claims was \$209 million and \$206 million, respectively, of which \$44 million is included in current liabilities as of each date.

**Recent Accounting Standards:** For a summary of accounting standards, please see *Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements*, as included herein.

# **Results of Operations**

# Three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

The following table summarizes our results of operations and is used in the discussion below for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

		nths ended r 30, 2014 % of Net	Three months ended September 30, 2013 % of Net			
	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues		
Net revenues before provision for doubtful						
accounts	\$2,175,554		\$2,134,740			
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	157,796		318,371			
Net revenues	2,017,758	100.0%	1,816,369	100.0%		
Operating charges:						
Salaries, wages and benefits	953,583	47.3%	903,212	49.7%		
Other operating expenses	512,794	25.4%	393,549	21.7%		
Supplies expense	222,708	11.0%	206,995	11.4%		
Depreciation and amortization	93,456	4.6%	86,971	4.8%		
Lease and rental expense	23,860	1.2%	23,904	1.3%		
EHR incentive income	(1,425)	0.1%	(23,148)	1.3%		
Costs related to extinguishment of debt	36,171	1.8%	0	0.0%		
Subtotal-operating expenses	1,841,147	91.2%	1,591,483	87.6%		
Income from operations	176,611	8.8%	224,886	12.4%		
Interest expense, net	32,133	1.6%	32,314	1.8%		
Income before income taxes	144,478	7.2%	192,572	10.6%		
Provision for income taxes	48,440	2.4%	69,473	3.8%		
Net income	96,038	4.8%	123,099	6.8%		
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	13,241	0.7%	8,512	0.5%		
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 82,797	4.1%	\$ 114,587	6.3%		

Net revenues increased 11%, or \$201 million, to \$2.02 billion during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$1.82 billion during the comparable quarter of the prior year. The net increase was primarily attributable to a \$127 million or 7% increase in net revenues generated at our acute care hospitals and behavioral health care facilities owned during both periods (which we refer to as same facility ).

Income before income taxes (before deduction for income attributable to noncontrolling interests) decreased \$48 million to \$144 million during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$193 million during the comparable quarter of the prior year. The net decrease in our income before income taxes during the third quarter of 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year quarter, was due to the increased operating results experienced during the third quarter of 2014, as outlined below, offset by the unfavorable change resulting from: (i) a pre-tax charge of \$44 million incurred during the third quarter of 2014 in connection with the settlement of *Garden City Employees Retirement System v. Psychiatric Solutions, Inc., Joey A. Jacobs, Brent Turner and Jack E. Polson (Garden City )*, as discussed in *Item 1-Legal Proceedings*, and in c. below, and; (ii) an aggregate pre-tax charge of \$36 million recorded during the third quarter of 2014 in connection with the costs related to extinguishment of debt, as discussed in d. below.

The net \$48 million decrease in our income before income taxes during the third quarter of 2014, as compared to the comparable quarter of 2013, consisted of:

- a. an increase of \$48 million at our acute care facilities as discussed below in Acute Care Hospital Services, excluding the EHR impact, as mentioned in e. below;
- b. an increase of \$17 million at our behavioral health care facilities, as discussed below in Behavioral Health Services;
- c. a decrease of \$44 million resulting from a charge incurred during the third quarter of 2014 in connection with the settlement of the *Garden City* matter. This matter was a shareholder class action lawsuit filed in 2009 against PSI and certain of its former officers alleging their violations of federal securities laws and we assumed the defense and liability of this matter as a result of our acquisition of PSI in 2010. The charge incurred during the third quarter of 2014 is net of approximately \$16 million of commercial insurance recoveries that we were entitled to and a previously recorded estimated reserve;
- d. a decrease of \$36 million recorded during the third quarter of 2014 in connection with the costs related to extinguishment of debt resulting primarily from the early redemption of our previously outstanding \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes that were scheduled to mature in 2018 and the repayment of \$550 million of borrowings pursuant to the terms of our previously outstanding Term Loan B facility which was scheduled to mature in 2016;
- e. a decrease of \$16 million related to the change in incentive income, net of expenses, recorded during each of the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 in connection with the implementation of EHR applications at our acute care hospitals, and;

## f. \$17 million of other combined net decreases.

Net income attributable to UHS decreased \$32 million during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable quarter of 2013, as follows:

a decrease of \$48 million in income before income taxes, as discussed above;

a decrease of \$5 million due to an increase in income attributable to noncontrolling interests, and;

an increase of \$21 million resulting primarily from a decrease in the provision for income taxes resulting primarily from the income tax benefit recorded on the \$53 million decrease in pre-tax income (\$48 million decrease in income before income taxes plus the \$5 million increase in the income attributable to

# noncontrolling interests). Nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

The following table summarizes our results of operations and is used in the discussion below for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Nine mont September		Nine months ended September 30, 2013 % of Net			
	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues		
Net revenues before provision for doubtful						
accounts	\$6,499,680		\$6,294,750			
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	541,935		811,774			
Net revenues	5,957,745	100.0%	5,482,976	100.0%		
Operating charges:						
Salaries, wages and benefits	2,850,868	47.9%	2,702,842	49.3%		
Other operating expenses	1,323,274	22.2%	1,100,118	20.1%		
Supplies expense	662,280	11.1%	613,981	11.2%		
Depreciation and amortization	277,506	4.7%	248,465	4.5%		
Lease and rental expense	70,656	1.2%	72,651	1.3%		
EHR incentive income	(4,029)	0.1%	(27,943)	0.5%		
Costs related to extinguishment of debt	36,171	0.6%	0	0.0%		
Subtotal-operating expenses	5,216,726	87.6%	4,710,114	85.9%		
Income from operations	741,019	12.4%	772,862	14.1%		
Interest expense, net	102,413	1.7%	110,488	2.0%		
Income before income taxes	638,606	10.7%	662,374	12.1%		
Provision for income taxes	224,102	3.8%	241,537	4.4%		
Net income	414,504	7.0%	420,837	7.7%		
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	41,958	0.7%	34,625	0.6%		
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 372,546	6.3%	\$ 386,212	7.0%		

Net revenues increased 9%, or \$475 million, to \$5.96 billion during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$5.48 billion during the comparable period of the prior year. The net increase was primarily attributable to a \$369 million or 7% increase in net revenues generated at our acute care hospitals and behavioral health care facilities owned during both periods.

Income before income taxes (before deduction for income attributable to noncontrolling interests) decreased \$24 million to \$639 million during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$662 million during the comparable period of the prior year. The net increase in our income before income taxes during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year period, was due to:

- a. an increase of \$137 million at our acute care facilities as discussed below in Acute Care Hospital Services, excluding the EHR impact, as mentioned in f. below, and excluding the reduction to our prior year professional and general liability self-insurance reserves recorded during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013, as mentioned in c. below;
- b. an increase of \$34 million at our behavioral health care facilities, as discussed below in Behavioral Health Services, excluding the reduction to our prior year professional and general liability self-insurance reserves recorded during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013, as mentioned in c. below;
- c. a decrease of \$65 million resulting from a reduction recorded during the first nine months of 2013 to our professional and general liability self-insurance reserves relating to years prior to 2013, based upon a reserve analysis (\$51 million is applicable to our acute care hospitals and \$14 million is applicable to our behavioral health care facilities);
- d. a decrease of \$49 million resulting from a charge incurred in connection with the settlement of the *Garden City* matter, as discussed above. The charge incurred during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 is net of approximately \$16 million of commercial insurance recoveries;
- e. a decrease of \$36 million recorded during the third quarter of 2014 in connection with the above-mentioned costs related to extinguishment of debt;
- f. a decrease of \$22 million related to the change in incentive income, net of expenses, recorded during each of the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 in connection with the implementation of EHR applications at our acute care hospitals;
- g. an increase of \$8 million due to the net pre-tax gain realized during the first nine months of 2014 resulting from the divestiture of a non-operating investment (sold during the first quarter of 2014) and the sale of the real property of a closed behavioral health facility (sold during the second quarter of 2014), and;

h. \$31 million of other combined net decreases.

Net income attributable to UHS decreased \$14 million to \$373 million during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$386 million during the comparable period of 2013. The increase during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the first nine months of 2013, consisted of:

an decrease of \$24 million in income before income taxes, as discussed above;

a decrease of \$7 million due to an increase in income attributable to noncontrolling interests, and;

an increase of \$17 million resulting primarily from decreases in the provision for income taxes due to: (i) the reduction in the income tax provision resulting from the \$31 million decrease in pre-tax income (\$24 million decrease in income before income taxes plus the \$7 million increase in the income attributable to noncontrolling interests); (ii) the income tax provision recorded during the first nine months of 2013 on the sale of Peak Behavioral Health Services (the tax basis gain realized on the sale in 2013 exceeded the gain recorded pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles), and; (iii) a decrease to our blended effective state income tax rate.

# **Acute Care Hospital Services**

## Same Facility Basis Acute Care Hospitals

We believe that providing our results on a Same Facility basis, which includes the operating results for facilities owned in both the current year and prior year periods, is helpful to our investors as a measure of our operating performance. Our Same Facility results also neutralize the impact of the EHR applications and the effect of items that are non-operational in nature including items such as, but not limited to, gains on sales of assets and businesses, impacts of settlements, legal judgments and lawsuits and other amounts that may be reflected in the current or prior year financial statements that relate to prior periods.

The following table summarizes the results of operations for our acute care facilities, on a same facility and all acute care basis, and is used in the discussion below for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	ende Septemb	e months Three m nded ende mber 30, Septemb 2014 2012		ed oer 30, 3	Nine mont Septemb 201	oer 30, 4	Nine mont Septemb 201	oer 30, 3
		% of Net		% of Net		% of Net		% of Net
	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues
Net revenues before provision for								
doubtful accounts	\$ 1,098,228		\$1,190,403		\$3,373,421		\$3,419,420	
Less: Provision for								
doubtful accounts	128,065		290,875		453,512		724,971	
Net revenues	970,163	100.0%	899,528	100.0%	2,919,909	100.0%	2,694,449	100.0%
Operating charges:								
Salaries, wages and								
benefits	420,526	43.3%	405,395	45.1%	1,252,044	42.9%	1,207,837	44.8%
Other operating							<	
expenses	199,158		202,953		593,250		600,805	
Supplies expense	172,502	17.8%	161,858	18.0%	516,661	17.7%	479,415	17.8%
Depreciation and amortization	50,730	5.2%	48,595	5.4%	148,332	5.1%	143,294	5.3%
Lease and rental					,		,	
expense	12,929	1.3%	13,867	1.5%	38,445	1.3%	42,496	1.6%
Subtotal-operating expenses	855,845	88.2%	832,668	92.6%	2,548,732	87.3%	2,473,847	91.8%
Income from operations	114,318	11.8%	66,860	7.4%	371,177	12.7%	220,602	8.2%

Interest expense, net	1,104	0.1%	1,121	0.1%	3,260	0.1%	3,382	0.1%
Income before income taxes	113,214	11.7%	65,739	7.3%	367,917	12.6%	217,220	8.1%

Three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

During the three-month period ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year quarter, net revenues at our acute care hospitals, on a same facility basis, increased \$71 million or 7.9%. Income before income taxes (and before income attributable to noncontrolling interests) increased \$47 million or 72% to \$113 million or 11.7% of net revenues during the third quarter of 2014 as compared to \$66 million or 7.3% of net revenues during the comparable prior year quarter.

During the three-month period ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year quarter, inpatient admissions to our acute care facilities increased 0.8% and adjusted admissions (adjusted for outpatient activity) increased 4.1%. Patient days at these facilities increased 3.4% during the third quarter of 2014 and adjusted patient days increased 6.7% during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable prior year quarter. The average length of inpatient stay at these facilities was 4.6 days and 4.5 days during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The occupancy rate, based on the average available beds at these facilities, was 56% and 55% during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During the three-month period ended September 30, 2014, net revenue per adjusted admission increased 3.6% and net revenue per adjusted patient day increased 1.0%, as compared to the comparable quarter of the prior year.

## Nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year period, net revenues at our acute care hospitals, on a same facility basis, increased \$225 million or 8.4%. Income before income taxes (and before income attributable to noncontrolling interests) increased \$151 million or 69% to \$368 million or 12.6% of net revenues during the first nine months of 2014 as compared to \$217 million or 8.1% of net revenues during the comparable prior year period.

The increased income before income taxes experienced at our acute care facilities during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year periods, was due in part to improving general economic conditions as well as a decrease in the number of uninsured patients treated at our hospitals due to the favorable impact of the Affordable Care Act which includes the expansion of Medicaid in certain states in which we operate and the enrollment of patients in newly created commercial exchanges.

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year period, inpatient admissions to our acute care facilities decreased 0.4% and adjusted admissions (adjusted for outpatient activity) increased 2.3%. Patient days at these facilities increased 3.6% during the first nine months of 2014 and adjusted patient days increased 6.4% during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable prior year period. The average length of inpatient stay at these facilities was 4.7 days and 4.5 days during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The occupancy rate, based on the average available beds at these facilities, was 59% and 57% during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014, net revenue per adjusted admission increased 5.9% and net revenue per adjusted patient day increased 1.9%, as compared to the comparable period of the prior year.

#### Charity care, uninsured discounts and provision for doubtful accounts:

Historically, a significant portion of the patients treated throughout our portfolio of acute care hospitals are uninsured patients which, in part, has resulted from patients who are employed but do not have health insurance or who have policies with relatively high deductibles. Patients treated at our hospitals for non-elective services, who have gross income less than 400% of the federal poverty guidelines, are deemed eligible for charity care. The federal poverty guidelines are established by the federal government and are based on income and family size. Because we do not pursue collection of amounts that qualify as charity care, they are not reported in our net revenues or in our accounts receivable, net.

Our patient registration process includes an interview of the patient or the patient s responsible party at the time of registration. At that time, an insurance eligibility determination is made and an insurance plan code is assigned. There are various pre-established insurance profiles in our patient accounting system which determine the expected insurance reimbursement for each patient based on the insurance plan code assigned and the services rendered. Certain patients may be classified as Medicaid pending at registration based upon a screening evaluation if we are unable to definitively determine if they are currently Medicaid eligible. When a patient is registered as Medicaid eligible or Medicaid pending, our patient accounting system records net revenues for services provided to that patient based upon the established Medicaid reimbursement rates, subject to the ultimate disposition of the patient s Medicaid eligibility. When the patient s ultimate eligibility is determined, reclassifications may occur which impacts the reported amounts in future periods for the provision for doubtful accounts and other accounts such as Medicaid pending. Although the patient s ultimate eligibility determination may result in amounts being reclassified among these accounts from period to period, these reclassifications do not have a material impact on our net revenues, net income attributable to UHS or net accounts receivable since our facilities make estimates at each financial reporting period to reserve for amounts

that are deemed to be uncollectible.

We also provide discounts to uninsured patients (included in uninsured discounts amounts below) who do not qualify for Medicaid or charity care. Because we do not pursue collection of amounts classified as uninsured discounts, they are not reported in our net revenues or in our net accounts receivable. In implementing the discount policy, we first attempt to qualify uninsured patients for governmental programs, charity care or any other discount program. If an uninsured patient does not qualify for these programs, the uninsured discount is applied. Effective January 1, 2014, in response to market conditions and other considerations, we modified our uninsured discount policy and increased the discount to 60% of gross charges from 30% previously. The increase in the uninsured discount in 2014 had no material impact on our net revenues, net income attributable to UHS or net accounts receivable, as compared to prior year periods. However, since we expect to collect only a small portion of amounts due from our uninsured patients, this change resulted in an increase in uninsured discounts and a decrease in the provision for doubtful accounts.

The following tables show the amounts recorded at our acute care hospitals for charity care and uninsured discounts, based on charges at established rates, for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

## Uncompensated care:

Amounts in millions	<b>Three Months Ended</b>					Nine Months Ended						
	September 3	30, September 30,			Sep	otember 3	30, S	epter				
	2014	%	2	2013	%	2014	%	2	013	%		
Charity care	\$137	52%	6\$	164	59%	\$384	45%	\$	478	63%		
Uninsured discounts	129	48%	0	112	41%	468	55%		286	37%		
Total uncompensated care	\$ 266	100%	6\$	276	100%	\$852	100%	\$	764	100%		

The provision for doubtful accounts at our acute care hospitals decreased to approximately \$129 million during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$291 million during the comparable quarter of 2013, and decreased to \$460 million during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$725 million during the comparable period of 2013. The decrease in the provision for doubtful accounts during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year periods, was primarily due to the increase in the uninsured discount (effective January 1, 2014, as discussed above), and reclassifications among provision for doubtful accounts and other accounts such as Medicaid pending based upon our patients ultimate eligibility determination (as discussed above).

## Estimated cost of providing uncompensated care:

The estimated costs of providing uncompensated care as reflected below were based on a calculation which multiplied the percentage of operating expenses for our acute care hospitals to gross charges for those hospitals by the above-mentioned total uncompensated care amounts. Amounts included in the provision for doubtful accounts, which as mentioned above decreased during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the comparable periods of 2013, are not included in the calculation of estimated costs of providing uncompensated care. The percentage of cost to gross charges is calculated based on the total operating expenses for our acute care facilities divided by gross patient service revenue for those facilities.

## Estimated cost of providing uncompensated care

	Th							
		Ended		Nine M	Nine Months Ended			
	September	Repten	nber <b>39</b> e	ptember 3	3Øepte	mber 30,		
Amounts in millions	2014	20	013	2014	2	2013		
Estimated cost of providing charity care	\$ 22	\$	27	\$ 57	\$	78		
Estimated cost of providing uninsured discounts related	d							
care	20		19	69		47		
Estimated cost of providing uncompensated care	\$ 42	\$	46	\$126	\$	125		

## **All Acute Care Hospitals**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for all our acute care operations during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 which includes our acute care results on a same facility basis, as well as the impact of other items, as mentioned below (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three m ende September Amount	ed	Three m ende September Amount	ed	Nine montl September Amount		Nine montl September Amount	
Net revenues before provision for doubtful	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Kevenues	Amount	Revenues
accounts	\$1,167,048		\$1,190,408		\$ 3,493,854		\$ 3,427,884	
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	128,913		290,875		460,319		724,971	
Net revenues	1,038,135	100.0%	899,533	100.0%	3,033,535	100.0%	2,702,913	100.0%
Operating charges: Salaries, wages and								
benefits	432,953	41.7%	414,538	46.1%	1,283,082	42.3%	1,219,073	45.1%
Other operating expenses	252,483	24.3%	203,153	22.6%	670,575	22.1%	552,039	20.4%
Supplies expense	175,234		162,164	18.0%	523,808		479,785	
Depreciation and amortization	64,344	6.2%	59,527	6.6%	187,735		166,724	6.2%
Lease and rental expense	13,056	1.3%	13,912	1.5%	38,724	1.3%	42,568	1.6%

EHR incentive income	(1,425)	0.1%	(23,148)	2.6%	(4,029)	0.1%	(27,943)	1.0%
Subtotal-operating expenses	936,645	90.2%	830,146	92.3%	2,699,895	89.0%	2,432,246	90.0%
Income from operations Interest expense,	101,490	9.8%	69,387	7.7%	333,640	11.0%	270,667	10.0%
net	1,102	0.1%	1,121	0.1%	3,258	0.1%	3,382	0.1%
Income before income taxes	100,388	9.7%	68,266	7.6%	330,382	10.9%	267,285	9.9%

## Three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

Income before income taxes increased \$32 million, or 47%, to \$100 million, or 9.7% of net revenues during the third quarter of 2014 as compared to \$68 million, or 7.6% of net revenues during the third quarter of 2013. The increase in income before income taxes at our acute care facilities during the third quarter of 2014, as compared to the third quarter of 2013, resulted from:

a \$47 million increase at our acute care facilities on a same facility basis, as discussed above;

a decrease of \$16 million related to the incentive income, net of incremental expenses, recorded during the third quarter of 2014, as compared to the comparable quarter in 2013, in connection with the implementation of EHR applications at our acute care hospitals (\$8 million loss before income taxes incurred during the third quarter of 2014 as compared to \$8 million income before income taxes recorded during the third quarter of 2013), and;

an increase of \$1 million from other combined net changes.

#### Nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

Income before income taxes increased \$63 million, or 24%, to \$330 million, or 10.9% of net revenues during the first nine months of 2014 as compared to \$267 million, or 9.9% of net revenues during the comparable period of 2013

The \$63 million increase in income before income taxes during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the comparable period of 2013, resulted from:

a \$151 million increase at our acute care facilities on a same facility basis, as discussed above;

a decrease of \$51 million resulting from a reduction recorded during the first nine months of 2013 to our professional and general liability self-insurance reserves relating to years prior to 2013;

a decrease of \$22 million related to the incentive income, net of incremental expenses, recorded during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the comparable period in 2013, in connection with the implementation of EHR applications at our acute care hospitals (\$24 million loss before income taxes incurred during the first nine months of 2014 as compared to a \$2 million loss before income taxes incurred during the comparable period of 2013), and;

a decrease of \$15 million from other combined net changes, including the net operating loss incurred from our commercial health insurance company that was acquired in June, 2014 and \$8 million of supplemental Medicaid revenues relating to prior periods that were recording during the first nine months of 2013.

## **Behavioral Health Services**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for our behavioral health care facilities, on a same facility basis, and is used in the discussion below for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

#### Same Facility Behavioral Health

	Three months ended September 30, 2014	Three months ended September 30, 2013	Nine months ended September 30, 2014	Nine months ended September 30, 2013
	% of	% of	% of	% of Not
	Net Amount Revenues	Net Amount Revenues	Net Amount Revenues	Net Amount Revenues
Net revenues before provision for doubtful				
accounts	\$ 988,789	\$931,937	\$ 2,961,281	\$ 2,822,509
	28,391	27,439	81,512	86,706

# Less: Provision for doubtful accounts

Net revenues	960,398	100.0%	904,498	100.0%	2,879,769	100.0%	2,735,803	100.0%
Operating charges:								
Salaries, wages and								
benefits	464,313	48.3%	443,911	49.1%	1,394,951	48.4%	1,339,833	49.0%
Other operating								
expenses	185,066	19.3%	169,938	18.8%	543,416	18.9%	494,593	18.1%
Supplies expense	45,620	4.8%	43,615	4.8%	133,923	4.7%	130,031	4.8%
Depreciation and								
amortization	26,547	2.8%	25,038	2.8%	81,951	2.8%	74,837	2.7%
Lease and rental								
expense	10,196	1.1%	9,566	1.1%	30,254	1.1%	28,517	1.0%
Subtotal-operating								
expenses	731,742	76.2%	692,068	76.5%	2,184,495	75.9%	2,067,811	75.6%
<b>T</b> 0								
Income from		<b></b>	<b></b>					
operations	228,656	23.8%	212,430	23.5%	695,274	24.1%	667,992	24.4%
Interest expense, net	68	0.0%	340	0.0%	661	0.0%	1,534	0.1%
Income before income								
taxes	228,588	23.8%	212,090	23.4%	694,613	24.1%	666,458	24.4%

#### Three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

On a same facility basis during the third quarter of 2014, as compared to the third quarter of 2013, net revenues at our behavioral health care facilities increased 6.2% or \$56 million to \$960 million from \$904 million. Income before income taxes increased \$16 million or 8% to \$229 million or 23.8% of net revenues during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$212 million or 23.4% of net revenues during the comparable prior year quarter.

On a same facility basis, inpatient admissions and adjusted admissions to our behavioral health facilities each increased 5.4% during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable quarter of 2013. Patient days and adjusted patient days increased 2.2% and 2.1%, respectively, during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable prior year quarter. During the three-month period ended September 30, 2014, net revenue per adjusted admission decreased 0.3% and net revenue per adjusted patient day increased 2.9%, as compared to the comparable quarter of the prior year. The average length of inpatient stay at these facilities was 12.6 days and 13.0 days during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The occupancy rate, based on the average available beds at these facilities, was 74% during each of the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

## Nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

On a same facility basis during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the comparable period of 2013, net revenues at our behavioral health care facilities increased 5.3% or \$144 million to \$2.88 billion from \$2.74 billion. Income before income taxes increased \$28 million or 4% to \$695 million or 24.1% of net revenues during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$666 million or 24.4% of net revenues during the comparable prior year period.

On a same facility basis, inpatient admissions and adjusted admissions to our behavioral health facilities increased 4.1% and 4.0%, respectively, during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable period of 2013. Patient days and adjusted patient days each increased 1.4% during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable prior year period. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014, net revenue per adjusted admission was relatively unchanged and net revenue per adjusted patient day increased 2.5%, as compared to the comparable period of the prior year. The average length of inpatient stay at these facilities was 12.7 days and 13.1 days during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The occupancy rate, based on the average available beds at these facilities, was 76% and 75% during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

## **All Behavioral Health Care Facilities**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for all our behavioral health care facilities during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 which includes our behavioral health results on a same facility basis, as well as the impact of the facilities acquired or opened within the previous twelve months (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three m ende September	ed 30, 2014 % of Net	Three n end Septeml 201	ed per 30, 3 % of Net	Nine mont September	30, 2014 % of Net	Nine mont September	30, 2013 % of Net
	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues
Net revenues before provision for								
doubtful accounts	\$1,004,818		\$935,354		\$ 2,995,868	5	\$ 2,833,559	)
	28,804		27,419		82,049	)	86,610	

# Less: Provision for doubtful accounts

Net revenues	976,014	100.0%	907,935	100.0%	2,913,819	100.0%	2,746,949	100.0%
Operating charges:								
Salaries, wages and								
benefits	473,895	48.6%	446,411	49.2%	1,415,962	48.6%	1,352,285	49.2%
Other operating								
expenses	188,416	19.3%	170,551	18.8%	551,504	18.9%	483,709	17.6%
Supplies expense	46,600	4.8%	43,886	4.8%	136,090	4.7%	131,154	4.8%
Depreciation and								
amortization	27,321	2.8%	25,553	2.8%	84,298	2.9%	76,256	2.8%
Lease and rental								
expense	10,560	1.1%	9,738	1.1%	31,134	1.1%	29,224	1.1%
Subtotal-operating								
expenses	746,792	76.5%	696,139	76.7%	2,218,988	76.2%	2,072,628	75.5%
Income from								
operations	229,222	23.5%	211,796	23.3%	694,831	23.8%	674,321	24.5%
Interest expense, net	512	0.1%	340	0.0%	1,432	0.0%	1,534	0.1%
Income before								
income taxes	228,710	23.4%	211,456	23.3%	693,399	23.8%	672,787	24.5%

## Three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

Income before income taxes increased \$17 million to \$229 million during the third quarter of 2014 as compared to \$211 million during the third quarter of 2013. The \$17 million increase in income before income taxes resulted from:

a \$16 million increase at our behavioral health care facilities on a same facility basis, as discussed above, and;

an increase of \$1 million from other combined net favorable changes. *Nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:* 

Income before income taxes increased \$21 million to \$693 million during the first nine months of 2014 as compared to \$673 million during the comparable period of 2013. The \$21 million increase in income before income taxes resulted from:

a \$28 million increase at our behavioral health care facilities on a same facility basis, as discussed above;

a decrease of \$14 million (amount applicable to our behavioral health care facilities) resulting from a reduction recorded during the first nine months of 2013 to our professional and general liability self-insurance reserves relating to years prior to 2013, and;

an increase of \$7 million from other combined net favorable changes. **Sources of Revenue** 

**Overview:** We receive payments for services rendered from private insurers, including managed care plans, the federal government under the Medicare program, state governments under their respective Medicaid programs and directly from patients.

Hospital revenues depend upon inpatient occupancy levels, the medical and ancillary services and therapy programs ordered by physicians and provided to patients, the volume of outpatient procedures and the charges or negotiated payment rates for such services. Charges and reimbursement rates for inpatient routine services vary depending on the type of services provided (e.g., medical/surgical, intensive care or behavioral health) and the geographic location of the hospital. Inpatient occupancy levels fluctuate for various reasons, many of which are beyond our control. The percentage of patient service revenue attributable to outpatient services has generally increased in recent years, primarily as a result of advances in medical technology that allow more services to be provided on an outpatient basis, as well as increased pressure from Medicare, Medicaid and private insurers to reduce hospital stays and provide services, where possible, on a less expensive outpatient basis. We believe that our experience with respect to our increased outpatient levels mirrors the general trend occurring in the health care industry and we are unable to predict the rate of growth and resulting impact on our future revenues.

Patients are generally not responsible for any difference between customary hospital charges and amounts reimbursed for such services under Medicare, Medicaid, some private insurance plans, and managed care plans, but are responsible for services not covered by such plans, exclusions, deductibles or co-insurance features of their coverage. The amount of such exclusions, deductibles and co-insurance has generally been increasing each year. Indications from recent federal and state legislation are that this trend will continue. Collection of amounts due from individuals is typically more difficult than from governmental or business payers which unfavorably impacts the collectability of our patient accounts.

The following table shows the approximate percentages of net patient revenue for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 presented on: (i) a combined basis for both our acute care and behavioral health facilities; (ii) for our acute care facilities only, and; (iii) for our behavioral health facilities only:

Acute Care and Behavioral Health Facilities Combined	Percentag Patient R Three Mon Septem	evenues ths Ended	Percentage of Net Patient Revenues Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Third Party Payors:					
Medicare	22%	24%	22%	24%	
Medicaid	15%	15%	15%	15%	
Managed Care (HMO and PPOs)	53%	49%	51%	49%	
Other Sources	10%	12%	12%	12%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Acute Care Facilities	Percentag Patient R Three Mon Septem	evenues ths Ended	Percentage of Net Patient Revenues Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Third Party Payors:					
Medicare	20%	28%	24%	28%	
Medicaid	8%	10%	8%	8%	
Managed Care (HMO and PPOs)	66%	58%	62%	58%	
Other Sources	6%	4%	6%	6%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Behavioral Health Facilities	Patient F Three Mor	Percentage of Net Patient Revenues Three Months Ended September 30,		ge of Net levenues lhs Ended ber 30,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Third Party Payors:				
Medicare	21%	19%	19%	20%
Medicaid	22%	22%	22%	22%
Managed Care (HMO and PPOs)	39%	40%	39%	40%
Other Sources	18%	19%	20%	18%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

**Medicare:** Medicare is a federal program that provides certain hospital and medical insurance benefits to persons aged 65 and over, some disabled persons and persons with end-stage renal disease. All of our acute care hospitals and many of our behavioral health centers are certified as providers of Medicare services by the appropriate governmental authorities. Amounts received under the Medicare program are generally significantly less than a hospital s customary charges for services provided. Since a substantial portion of our revenues will come from patients under the Medicare program, our ability to operate our business successfully in the future will depend in large measure on our ability to adapt to changes in this program.

Under the Medicare program, for inpatient services, our general acute care hospitals receive reimbursement under the inpatient prospective payment system (IPPS). Under the IPPS, hospitals are paid a predetermined fixed payment amount for each hospital discharge. The fixed payment amount is based upon each patient s Medicare severity diagnosis related group (MS-DRG). Every MS-DRG is assigned a payment rate based upon the estimated intensity of hospital resources necessary to treat the average patient with that particular diagnosis. The MS-DRG payment rates are based upon historical national average costs and do not consider the actual costs incurred by a hospital in providing care. This MS-DRG assignment also affects the predetermined capital rate paid with each MS-DRG. The MS-DRG and capital payment rates are adjusted annually by the predetermined geographic adjustment factor for the geographic region in which a particular hospital is located and are weighted based upon a statistically normal distribution of severity. While we generally will not receive payment from Medicare for inpatient services, other than the MS-DRG payment, a hospital may qualify for an outlier payment if a particular patient s treatment costs are extraordinarily high and exceed a specified threshold.

MS-DRG rates are adjusted by an update factor each federal fiscal year, which begins on October 1. The index used to adjust the MS-DRG rates, known as the hospital market basket index, gives consideration to the inflation experienced by hospitals in purchasing goods and services. Generally, however, the percentage increases in the MS-DRG payments have been lower than the projected increase in the cost of goods and services purchased by hospitals.

In August, 2014, CMS published its IPPS 2015 payment rule which provides for a 2.9% market basket increase to the base Medicare MS-DRG blended rate. When statutorily mandated budget neutrality factors, annual geographic wage index updates, documenting and coding adjustments and Health Care Reform mandated adjustments are considered, without consideration for the decreases related to

the required Medicare Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) payment changes and increase to the Medicare Outlier threshold, the overall increase in IPPS payments would approximate 0.6%. Including the estimated decreases to our DSH payments (1.9%) and Medicare Outlier threshold (0.6%), we estimate our overall decrease from the IPPS 2015 rule (covering the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015) will approximate 1.9%, or approximately \$13 million annually. This projected impact from the IPPS 2015 rule includes both the impact of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 documentation and coding adjustment and the required changes to the DSH payments related to the traditional Medicare fee for service, however, it excludes the impact of the sequestration reductions related to the Budget Control Act of 2011, as discussed below.

In August, 2013, CMS published its final IPPS 2014 payment rule which provides for a 2.5% market basket increase to the base Medicare MS-DRG blended rate. When statutorily mandated budget neutrality factors, annual geographic wage index updates, documenting and coding adjustments and Health Care Reform mandated adjustments are considered, we estimate our overall increase from the final federal fiscal year 2014 rule (covering the period of October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014) approximated 1.0%. This projected impact from the IPPS 2014 final rule includes both the impact of the ATRA of 2012 documentation and coding adjustment and the required changes to the Medicare Disproportionate Share Hospital payments related to the traditional Medicare fee for service, however, it excludes the impact of the sequestration reductions related to the Budget Control Act of 2011, as discussed below. The final rule also expands CMS s policy under which it defines inpatient admissions to include the use of an objective time of care standard. Specifically, it would require Medicare s external review contractors to presume that hospital inpatient admissions are reasonable and necessary when beneficiaries receive a physician order for admission and receive medically necessary services for at least two midnights (the Two Midnight rule). Correspondingly, under the final rule, CMS presumes that hospital services spanning less than two midnights should have been provided on an outpatient basis and paid under Medicare Part B unless the medical record contains clear documentation supporting the physician s order and an expectation that the Medicare beneficiary would need medically necessary care for more than two midnights, or is receiving services which CMS designates as inpatient only. Our acute care hospitals have begun to comply with the Two Midnight rule and, although we are unable to determine the ultimate impact at this time, its application could have a material unfavorable impact on our future results of operations. Excluding the potential impact of the Two Midnight rule, we do not expect the final IPPS 2014 payment rule to have a material impact on our future results of operations. In February, 2014, CMS extended by an additional six months a policy under which Recovery Auditor Contractors and other Medicare review contractors will not conduct post-payment patient status reviews of inpatient hospital claims with dates of admission on or after October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014. Due to the continued delay in awarding contracts to new Recovery Audit Contractors, beginning in August, 2104, CMS initiated modifications to the current Recovery Auditor Contractor agreements to allow the restart of some reviews.

In August, 2012, CMS published its final IPPS 2013 payment rule which provided for a 2.6% market basket increase to the base Medicare MS-DRG blended rate. When statutorily mandated budget neutrality factors, annual geographic wage index updates, documenting and coding adjustments and Health Care Reform mandated adjustments are considered, we estimate our overall increase from the final federal fiscal year 2013 rule (covering the period of October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013) approximated 1.8%. The impact from the IPPS 2013 final rule reflects all of the adjustments described in this paragraph, however, it excludes the impact of potential reductions related to the Budget Control Act of 2011, as discussed below.

In August, 2011, the Budget Control Act of 2011 (the 2011 Act ) was enacted into law. Included in this law are the imposition of annual spending limits for most federal agencies and programs aimed at reducing budget deficits by \$917 billion between 2012 and 2021, according to a report released by the Congressional Budget Office. Among its other provisions, the law established a bipartisan Congressional committee, known as the Joint Committee, which was responsible for developing recommendations aimed at reducing future federal budget deficits by an additional \$1.5

trillion over 10 years. The Joint Committee was unable to reach an agreement by the November 23, 2011 deadline and, as a result, across-the-board cuts to discretionary, national defense and Medicare spending were implemented on March 1, 2013 resulting in Medicare payment reductions of up to 2% per fiscal year (approximately \$35 million annual reduction to our Medicare net revenues effective as of April 1, 2013) with a uniform percentage reduction across all Medicare programs.

On January 2, 2013 ATRA was enacted which, among other things, includes a requirement for CMS to recoup \$11 billion from hospitals from Medicare IPPS rates during federal fiscal years 2014 to 2017. The recoupment relates to IPPS documentation and coding adjustments for the period 2008 to 2013 for which adjustments were not previously applied by CMS. Both the 2014 and 2015 IPPS final rules include a -0.8% recoupment adjustment. CMS expects to make similar adjustments in federal fiscal years 2016 and 2017 in order to recover the entire \$11 billion. This adjustment is reflected in the 2014 and 2015 IPPS estimated impact amounts noted above.

On January 1, 2005, CMS implemented a new Psychiatric Prospective Payment System (Psych PPS) for inpatient services furnished by psychiatric hospitals under the Medicare program. This system replaced the cost-based reimbursement guidelines with a per diem Psych PPS with adjustments to account for certain facility and patient characteristics. The Psych PPS also contained provisions for outlier payments and an adjustment to a psychiatric hospital s base payment if it maintains a full-service emergency department. In April, 2011 CMS published its final Psych PPS rule for the fifteen month period July 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012. The market basket increase for this time period was 2.95%, which included a 0.25% reduction required by the federal Health Care Reform legislation enacted in 2010. In August, 2012, CMS published its final Psych PPS rate notice for the federal fiscal year beginning October 1, 2012. That final notice contained a Psych PPS market basket update of 2.7%, which was reduced by 0.7% to reflect a productivity adjustment, and reduced by 0.1% to reflect an other adjustment required by the Social Security Act for rate years 2010 through 2019. In July, 2013, CMS released its final Psych PPS rate notice for the federal fiscal year by 0.5% to reflect a productivity adjustment, and reduced by 0.1% to reflect an other adjustment required by the Social Security Act for rate years 2010 through 2019. In July, 2013, CMS released its final Psych PPS rate notice for the federal fiscal year 2014. The final notice contains a Psych PPS market basket update of 2.6% which is reduced by 0.5% to reflect a productivity adjustment, and reduced by 0.1% to reflect an other adjustment required by the Social Security Act.

On July 31, 2014, CMS published it Psych PPS final rule for the federal fiscal year 2015. Under this final rule, payments to psychiatric hospitals and units are estimated to increase by 2.1% compared to federal fiscal year 2014. This amount includes the effect of the 2.9% market basket update adjusted by the Affordable Care Act required 0.3% reduction and the -0.5% productivity adjustment. The final rule also updates the Inpatient Psychiatric Quality Reporting Program, which requires psychiatric facilities to report on quality measures or incur a reduction in their annual payment update.

In October, 2014, CMS published its Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) final rule for 2015. The proposed hospital market basket increase is 2.9%. The Medicare statute requires a productivity adjustment reduction of 0.5% and 0.2% reduction to the 2015 OPPS market basket resulting in a 2015 OPPS market basket update at 2.2%. In the final rule, CMS will reduce the 2015 Medicare rates for both hospital-based and community mental health center partial hospitalization programs. When other statutorily required adjustments, hospital patient service mix and the aforementioned partial hospitalization rates are considered, we estimate that our overall Medicare OPPS for 2015 will aggregate to a net increase of 0.7%. Excluding the behavioral health division partial hospitalization rate impact, our Medicare OPPS payment increase for 2015 is estimated to be 1.5%.

In December, 2013, CMS published its annual final OPPS rule for 2014. The final hospital market basket increase is 2.5%. The Medicare statute requires a productivity adjustment reduction of 0.5% and 0.3% reduction to the 2014 OPPS market basket reducing the final 2014 OPPS market basket update to 1.7%. In the final rule, CMS reduced the 2014 Medicare rates for both hospital-based and community mental health center partial hospitalization programs. When other statutorily required adjustments, hospital patient service mix and the aforementioned partial hospitalization rates are considered, we estimate that our overall Medicare OPPS for 2014 will aggregate to a net increase of 1.4%. Excluding the behavioral health partial hospitalization rate impact, our Medicare OPPS payment increase for 2014 is estimated to be 2.5%.

In November, 2012, CMS published its annual final Medicare OPPS rule for 2013. The market basket increase to the OPPS base rate is 2.6%. In addition, as outlined in the Sources of Revenues and Health Care Reform discussion below, CMS was also required by federal law to reduce the update factor by 0.1% in federal fiscal year 2013 and to reduce the annual update by a productivity adjustment which is 0.7%. In the final rule, CMS implemented a significant increase in the 2013 Medicare rates for both hospital-based and community mental health center partial hospitalization programs. When other statutorily required adjustments, hospital patient service mix and the aforementioned partial hospitalization rates are considered, our overall Medicare OPPS payment increase for 2013 is estimated to be 3.5%. Excluding the behavioral health division partial hospitalization rate impact, our Medicare OPPS payment increase for 2013 was approximately 1.7%.

**Medicaid:** Medicaid is a joint federal-state funded health care benefit program that is administered by the states to provide benefits to qualifying individuals who are unable to afford care. Most state Medicaid payments are made under a PPS-like system, or under programs that negotiate payment levels with individual hospitals. Amounts received under the Medicaid program are generally significantly less than a hospital s customary charges for services provided. In addition to revenues received pursuant to the Medicare program, we receive a large portion of our revenues either directly from Medicaid programs or from managed care companies managing Medicaid. All of our acute care hospitals and most of our behavioral health centers are certified as providers of Medicaid services by the appropriate governmental authorities.

We receive Medicaid revenues in excess of \$90 million annually from each of Texas, Pennsylvania, Washington, D.C., Illinois, Virginia, Nevada and California, making us particularly sensitive to reductions in Medicaid and other state based revenue programs as well as regulatory, economic, environmental and competitive changes in those states. Based upon the state budgets for those states for the 2014 and 2015 fiscal years (which generally began/begin at

various times during the second half of 2013 and 2014, respectively), we estimate that, on a blended basis, our aggregate Medicaid rates were/will be relatively unchanged from the 2013 fiscal year rates.

The Affordable Care Act substantially increases the federally and state-funded Medicaid insurance program, and authorizes states to establish federally subsidized non-Medicaid health plans for low-income residents not eligible for Medicaid starting in 2014. However, the Supreme Court has struck down portions of the Affordable Care Act requiring states to expand their Medicaid programs in exchange for increased federal funding. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that states in which we operate will expand Medicaid coverage to individuals at 133% of the federal poverty level. Facilities in states not opting to expand Medicaid coverage under the Affordable Care Act may be additionally penalized by corresponding reductions to Medicaid disproportionate share hospital payments, as discussed below. We can provide no assurance that further reductions to Medicaid revenues, particularly in the above-mentioned states, will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

Certain of our acute care hospitals located in various counties of Texas (Hidalgo, Maverick, Potter and Webb) participate in Medicaid supplemental payment Section 1115 Waiver indigent care programs. Section 1115 Waiver Uncompensated Care ( UC ) payments replace the former Upper Payment Limit ( UPL ) payments. These hospitals also have affiliation agreements with third-party hospitals to provide free hospital and physician care to qualifying indigent residents of these counties. Our hospitals receive both supplemental payments from the Medicaid program and indigent care payments from third-party, affiliated hospitals. The supplemental payments are contingent on the county or hospital district making an Inter-Governmental Transfer ( IGT ) to the state Medicaid program while the indigent care payment is contingent on a transfer of funds from the applicable affiliated hospitals. However, the county or hospital district is prohibited from entering into an agreement to condition any IGT on the amount of any private hospital sindigent care obligation. We recorded net Medicaid supplemental payments and affiliated hospital indigent care revenues of \$16 million and \$21 million during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$54 million and \$43 million during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. If the applicable hospital district or county makes IGTs consistent with 2013 levels, and without giving effect to potential reductions resulting from the THHSC waiver incentive programs, discussed below, we estimate that our aggregate net revenues earned pursuant to these programs will approximate \$70 million during the year ending December 31, 2014.

On September 30, 2014, CMS notified the Texas Health and Human Services Commission that it was deferring the federal matching funds (approximately \$75 million) on Texas Medicaid UC payments made to providers in certain counties. A deferral results in CMS withholding funds from the state representing the federal portion of Medicaid payments the state has previously made to providers. A deferral goes into effect when CMS questions the basis for all or part of the amount of Medicaid payments made to certain providers, and remains in place subject to CMS s final determination. Our Texas hospitals are not located in the geographic areas impacted by this deferral.

For state fiscal year 2015, Texas Medicaid will continue to operate under a CMS-approved Section 1115 five-year Medicaid waiver demonstration program. During the first five years of this program that started in state fiscal year 2012, the Texas Health and Human Services Commission (THHSC) transitioned away from UPL payments to new waiver incentive payment programs, UC payments and Delivery System Reform Incentive Payments (DSRIP). During the first year of transition, which commenced on October 1, 2011, THHSC made payments to Medicaid UPL recipient providers that received payments during the state s prior fiscal year. During demonstration years two through five (October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2017), THHSC has, and will continue to, make incentive payments under the program after certain qualifying criteria are met by hospitals. Supplemental payments are also subject to an aggregate statewide caps based on CMS approved Medicaid waiver amounts.

In addition, the Texas Medicaid Section 1115 Waiver includes a DSRIP pool to incentivize hospitals and other providers to transform their service delivery practices to improve quality, health status, patient experience, coordination, and cost-effectiveness. DSRIP pool payments are incentive payments to hospitals and other providers that develop programs or strategies to enhance access to health care, increase the quality of care, the cost-effectiveness of care provided and the health of the patients and families served. In May, 2014, CMS formally approved specific DSRIP projects for certain of our hospitals for demonstration years 3 to 5 (our facilities did not materially participate in the DSRIP pool during demonstration years 1 or 2). DSRIP payments are contingent on the hospital meeting certain pre-determined milestones, metrics and clinical outcomes. Additionally, DSRIP payments are contingent on a governmental entity providing an IGT for the non-federal share component of the DSRIP payment. THHSC generally approves DSRIP reported metrics, milestones and clinical outcomes on a semi-annual basis in June and December. In connection with the DSRIP program and THHSC s approval for specific programs at certain of our hospitals and availability of a governmental IGT, we recorded approximately \$6 million of net revenues during the second quarter of 2014 applicable to the period of October 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014. Although we can provide no assurance that we will ultimately qualify for additional DSRIP revenues, subject to CMS s approval and other conditions as outlined above, we estimate that we may be entitled to additional DSRIP net revenues of approximately \$10 million during the fourth quarter of 2014.

We incur health-care related taxes ( Provider Taxes ) imposed by states in the form of a licensing fee, assessment or other mandatory payment which are related to: (i) healthcare items or services; (ii) the provision of, or the authority to provide, the health care items of services, or; (iii) the payment for the health care items or services. Such Provider Taxes are subject to various federal regulations that limit the scope and amount of the taxes that can be levied by states in order to secure federal matching dollars as part of their respective state Medicaid programs. We derive a related Medicaid reimbursement benefit from assessed Provider Taxes in the form of Medicaid claims based payment increases and/or lump sum Medicaid supplemental payments. Including the impact of the California program included in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013, as mentioned below, we earned an aggregate net benefit of approximately \$16 million and \$13 million during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$50 million and \$51 million during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, from Medicaid supplemental payments, after assessed Provider Taxes were considered. We estimate that our aggregate net benefit from Provider Tax programs will approximate \$61 million during 2014. The aggregate net benefit is earned from multiple states and therefore no particular state s portion is individually material to our consolidated financial statements. However, Provider Taxes are governed by both federal and state laws and are

subject to future legislative changes that, if reduced from current rates in several states, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated future results of operations.

In California, a Medicaid state plan amendment (SPA) was submitted to CMS by the state requesting an extension of a prior provider tax and related Medicaid supplemental payment program retroactive to July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013. In June, 2012, CMS approved a portion of the SPA which did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements during 2013 or 2012. In June, 2013, CMS approved the Medicaid managed care component of the SPA covering the period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013. The net aggregate benefit for the period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013 was \$11 million (of which \$8 million was applicable to prior years) which was included in our financial results during the second quarter of 2013. The SPA noted above covering the six month period July 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013 is still subject to CMS approval. Similarly, in October, 2013 the state of California enacted new legislation (SB 239) that would continue the provider tax and related Medicaid supplemental payment program for three years effective January 1, 2014 and likewise is subject to CMS approval. As such, if these CMS approvals are obtained by the state, the program impact will be retroactive to July 1, 2013 through we cannot predict whether or not CMS will ultimately approve these additional programs (covering the period of July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2016), if approved by CMS, we estimate the average pre-tax favorable impact on our results of operations to be approximately \$8 million annually.

In Nevada, CMS approved a SPA in August, 2014 that implemented a hospital supplemental payment program retroactive to January 1, 2014 and effective to June 30, 2015. Included in our net revenues during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014, was approximately \$8 million earned in connection with this program.

**State Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments:** Hospitals that have an unusually large number of low-income patients (i.e., those with a Medicaid utilization rate of at least one standard deviation above the mean Medicaid utilization, or having a low income patient utilization rate exceeding 25%) are eligible to receive a disproportionate share hospital ( DSH ) adjustment. Congress established a national limit on DSH adjustments. Although this legislation and the resulting state broad-based provider taxes have affected the payments we receive under the Medicaid program, to date the net impact has not been materially adverse.

Upon meeting certain conditions and serving a disproportionately high share of Texas and South Carolina s low income patients, five of our facilities located in Texas and one facility located in South Carolina received additional reimbursement from each state s DSH fund. The South Carolina and Texas DSH programs were renewed for each state s 2014 DSH fiscal year (covering the period of October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014). In September, 2013, the THHSC published its 2013 final DSH rule that included changes that resulted in approximately \$9 million of additional reimbursements to our acute care facilities located in Texas applicable to the state s 2013 fiscal year (which were included in our 2013 pre-tax consolidated financial results). In connection with these DSH programs, included in our financial results was an aggregate of \$13 million and \$18 million during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$38 million and \$39 million during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Assuming that the Texas and South Carolina programs are renewed and fully funded for each state s 2015 fiscal years, at amounts similar to the 2014 fiscal year estimates, we estimate our aggregate reimbursements pursuant to these programs to be approximately \$50 million during 2014. Failure to renew these DSH programs beyond their scheduled termination dates, failure of the public hospitals to provide the necessary IGTs for the states share of the DSH programs, failure of our hospitals that currently receive DSH payments to qualify for future DSH funds under these programs, or reductions in reimbursements (see below), could have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

In connection with revenues earned pursuant to the Texas UPL/UC and DSH programs, as outlined above, our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2014 includes an aggregate receivable of \$107 million, \$45 million of which relates to the DSH program revenues previously recorded and \$62 million of which relates to UPL/UC program revenues previously recorded.

The Affordable Care Act provides for a significant reduction in Medicaid disproportionate share payments beginning in federal fiscal year 2016 (see below in *Sources of Revenues and Health Care Reform-Medicaid Revisions* for additional disclosure). The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services is to determine the amount of Medicaid DSH payment cuts imposed on each state based on a defined methodology. As Medicaid DSH payments to states will be cut, consequently, payments to Medicaid-participating providers, including our hospitals in Texas and South Carolina, will likely be reduced in the coming years. Based on the September, 2013 CMS final rule, our Medicaid DSH payments in Texas and South Carolina could be reduced by approximately 4% in the 2016 federal fiscal year. This statutorily required reduction was originally scheduled to be implemented in federal fiscal year 2014 but was delayed to FFY 2017 by subsequent federal legislation.

In May, 2013 the state of Texas enacted legislation that would increase the state s contribution of the non-federal DSH share for the 2013 DSH year to \$138 million as compared to the \$100 million previously expected. Similarly, the state s approved 2014-2015 General Appropriations bill (Rider 86) passed in May, 2013 authorized \$160 million for 2014 and \$140 million for 2015, respectively, for the non-federal DSH share. Furthermore, in June, 2014, THHSC proposed certain changes to DSH reimbursement methodology as a result of Rider 86. We expect the 2015 DSH year

amounts, to be comparable to the 2014 DSH year amounts.

**HITECH Act:** In July 2010, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) published final regulations implementing the health information technology (HIT) provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (referred to as the HITECH Act). The final regulation defines the meaningful use of Electronic Health Records (EHR) and establishes the requirements for the Medicare and Medicaid EHR payment incentive programs. The final rule established an initial set of standards and certification criteria. The implementation period for these new Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments started in federal fiscal year 2011 and can end as late as 2016 for Medicare and 2021 for the state Medicaid programs. State Medicaid program participation in this federally funded incentive program is voluntary but we expect that all of the states in which our eligible hospitals operate will ultimately choose to participate. Our acute care hospitals may qualify for these EHR incentive payments upon implementation of the EHR application assuming they meet the meaningful use criteria. The government s ultimate goal is to promote more effective (quality) and efficient healthcare delivery through the use of technology to reduce the total cost of healthcare for all Americans and utilizing the cost savings to expand access to the healthcare system.

During 2011, we began implementing EHR applications at certain of our acute care hospitals and continued to do so, on a hospital-by-hospital basis, until completion which occurred at the end of June, 2013. Our acute care hospitals are eligible for Medicare and

Medicaid EHR incentive payments upon implementation of the EHR application, once they have demonstrated meaningful use of certified EHR technology for the applicable stage or have completed attestations to their adoption or implementation of certified EHR technology. We believe that all of our acute care hospitals have met the stage 1, year one meaningful use criteria.

Our consolidated results of operations during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 include an unfavorable pre-tax impact of approximately \$7 million and \$21 million, respectively, consisting primarily of depreciation and amortization expense offset by aggregate EHR incentive income (approximately \$1 million during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 and \$4 million during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014). Our consolidated results of operations during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014). Our consolidated results of operations during the three-month period ended September 30, 2013 includes a favorable pre-tax impact of approximately \$8 million consisting primarily \$23 million of EHR incentive income partially offset by \$11 million of depreciation and amortization expense and \$5 million of salaries, wages and benefits expense. Our consolidated results of operations during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013 included relatively no pre-tax impact as the \$28 million of EHR incentive income was offset by \$23 million of depreciation and amortization expense.

Federal regulations require that Medicare EHR incentive payments be computed based on the Medicare cost report that begins in the federal fiscal period in which a hospital meets the applicable meaningful use requirements. Since the annual Medicare cost report periods for each of our acute care hospitals ends on December 31<sup>st</sup>, we will recognize Medicare EHR incentive income for each hospital during the fourth quarter of the year in which the facility meets the meaningful use criteria and during the fourth quarter of each applicable subsequent year.

Hospitals that do not qualify as a meaningful user of EHR by 2015 are subject to a reduced market basket update to the IPPS standardized amount in 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year. Although we believe that our acute care hospitals will be in compliance with the EHR standards by 2015, there can be no assurance that all of our facilities will be in compliance and therefore not subject to the penalty provision of the HITECH Act.

**Managed Care:** A significant portion of our net patient revenues are generated from managed care companies, which include health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations and managed Medicare (referred to as Medicare Part C or Medicare Advantage) and Medicaid programs. In general, we expect the percentage of our business from managed care programs to continue to grow. The consequent growth in managed care networks and the resulting impact of these networks on the operating results of our facilities vary among the markets in which we operate. Typically, we receive lower payments per patient from managed care payors than we do from traditional indemnity insurers, however, during the past few years we have secured price increases from many of our commercial payors including managed care companies.

**Commercial Insurance:** Our hospitals also provide services to individuals covered by private health care insurance. Private insurance carriers typically make direct payments to hospitals or, in some cases, reimburse their policy holders, based upon the particular hospital s established charges and the particular coverage provided in the insurance policy. Private insurance reimbursement varies among payors and states and is generally based on contracts negotiated between the hospital and the payor.

Commercial insurers are continuing efforts to limit the payments for hospital services by adopting discounted payment mechanisms, including predetermined payment or DRG-based payment systems, for more inpatient and outpatient services. To the extent that such efforts are successful and reduce the insurers reimbursement to hospitals and the costs of providing services to their beneficiaries, such reduced levels of reimbursement may have a negative impact on the operating results of our hospitals.

**Other Sources:** Our hospitals provide services to individuals that do not have any form of health care coverage. Such patients are evaluated, at the time of service or shortly thereafter, for their ability to pay based upon federal and state poverty guidelines, qualifications for Medicaid or other state assistance programs, as well as our local hospitals indigent and charity care policy. Patients without health care coverage who do not qualify for Medicaid or indigent care write-offs are offered substantial discounts in an effort to settle their outstanding account balances.

**Sources of Revenues and Health Care Reform:** Given increasing budget deficits, the federal government and many states are currently considering additional ways to limit increases in levels of Medicare and Medicaid funding, which could also adversely affect future payments received by our hospitals. In addition, the uncertainty and fiscal pressures placed upon the federal government as a result of, among other things, the War on Terrorism, economic recovery stimulus packages, responses to natural disasters, the expansion of a Medicare drug benefit and the federal budget deficit in general may affect the availability of federal funds to provide additional relief in the future. We are unable to predict the effect of future policy changes on our operations.

In March, 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (H.R. 4872, P.L. 111-152), (the Reconciliation Act ) and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (P.L. 111-148), (the Affordable Care Act ), were enacted into law and created significant changes to health insurance coverage for U.S. citizens as well as material revisions to the federal Medicare and state Medicaid programs. Medicare, Medicaid and other health care industry changes which are scheduled to be implemented at various times during this decade are noted below.

#### Implemented Medicare Reductions and Reforms:

The Reconciliation Act reduced the market basket update for inpatient and outpatient hospitals and inpatient behavioral health facilities by 0.25% in each of 2010 and 2011, by 0.10% in each of 2012 and 2013.

The Affordable Care Act implemented certain reforms to Medicare Advantage payments, effective in 2011.

A Medicare shared savings program, effective in 2012.

A hospital readmissions reduction program, effective in 2012.

A value-based purchasing program for hospitals, effective in 2012.

A national pilot program on payment bundling, effective in 2013.

Reduction to Medicare disproportionate share hospital ( DSH ) payments, effective in 2014, as discussed above.

# Medicaid Revisions:

Expanded Medicaid eligibility and related special federal payments, effective in 2014.

The Affordable Care Act (as amended by subsequent federal legislation) requires annual aggregate reductions in federal DSH funding from federal fiscal year (FFY) 2017 through FFY 2024. The aggregate annual reduction amounts are:

- \$1.8 billion for FFY 2017
- \$4.7 billion for FFY 2018
- \$4.7 billion for FFY 2019
- \$4.7 billion for FFY 2020
- \$4.8 billion for FFY 2021
- \$5.0 billion for FFY 2022
- \$5.0 billion for FFY 2023

#### Table of Contents

\$4.4 billion for FFY 2024

## Health Insurance Revisions:

Large employer insurance reforms, effective in 2015.

Individual insurance mandate and related federal subsidies, effective in 2014.

Federally mandated insurance coverage reforms, effective in 2010 and forward. The Affordable Care Act seeks to increase competition among private health insurers by providing for transparent federal and state insurance exchanges. The Affordable Care Act also prohibits private insurers from adjusting insurance premiums based on health status, gender, or other specified factors. We cannot provide assurance that these provisions will not adversely affect the ability of private insurers to pay for services provided to insured patients, or that these changes will not have a negative material impact on our results of operations going forward.

## Value-Based Purchasing:

There is a trend in the healthcare industry toward value-based purchasing of healthcare services. These value-based purchasing programs include both public reporting of quality data and preventable adverse events tied to the quality and efficiency of care provided by facilities. Governmental programs including Medicare and Medicaid currently require hospitals to report certain quality data to receive full reimbursement updates. In addition, Medicare does not reimburse for care related to certain preventable adverse events. Many large commercial payers currently require hospitals to report quality data, and several commercial payers do not reimburse hospitals for certain preventable adverse events.

The Affordable Care Act contains a number of provisions intended to promote value-based purchasing. The Affordable Care Act prohibits the use of federal funds under the Medicaid program to reimburse providers for medical assistance provided to treat hospital acquired conditions (|HAC|). Beginning in FFY 2015, hospitals that fall into the top 25% of national risk-adjusted HAC rates for all hospitals in the previous year will receive a 1% reduction in their total Medicare payments. Hospitals with excessive readmissions for conditions designated by HHS will receive reduced payments for all inpatient discharges, not just discharges relating to the conditions subject to the excessive readmission standard.

The Affordable Care Act also required HHS to implement a value-based purchasing program for inpatient hospital services which became effective on October 1, 2012. The Affordable Care Act requires HHS to reduce inpatient hospital payments for all discharges by a percentage beginning at 1% in FFY 2013 and increasing by 0.25% each fiscal year up to 2% in FFY 2017 and subsequent years. HHS will pool the amount collected from these reductions to fund payments to reward hospitals that meet or exceed certain quality performance standards established by HHS. HHS will determine the amount each hospital that meets or exceeds the quality performance standards will receive from the pool of dollars created by these payment reductions. In its fiscal year 2015 IPPS final rule, CMS will fund the value-based purchasing program by reducing base operating DRG payment amounts to participating hospitals by 1.5%.

## Readmission Reduction Program:

In the Affordable Care Act, Congress also mandated implementation of the hospital readmission reduction program (HRRP). The HRRP assesses penalties on hospitals having excess readmission rates when compared to expected rates, effective for discharges beginning October 1, 2012. In the fiscal year 2013 IPPS final rule, CMS finalized certain policies with regard to payment under the HRRP, including which hospitals are subject to the HRRP, the methodology to calculate the hospital readmission payment adjustment factor, and what portion of the IPPS payment is used to calculate the readmission adjustment factor. In the fiscal year 2014 IPPS final rule, CMS finalized revisions to the three 30-day admission measures in the program heart failure, myocardial infarction, and pneumonia to exclude planned readmissions. Under the Affordable Care Act, beginning in fiscal year 2015, the maximum reduction in payments under the HRRP will increase from 2% to 3%. CMS will expand the program and add two readmission measures, one, acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and, two, patients admitted for elective total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA). In the fiscal year 2015 IPPS final rule, CMS added readmissions for coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgical procedures beginning in fiscal year 2017. We do not believe impact of HRRP for federal fiscal year 2014 had or will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

## Accountable Care Organizations:

The Affordable Care Act requires HHS to establish a Medicare Shared Savings Program that promotes accountability and coordination of care through the creation of accountable care organizations ( ACOs ). The ACO program allows providers (including hospitals), physicians and other designated professionals and suppliers to voluntarily work together to invest in infrastructure and redesign delivery processes to achieve high quality and efficient delivery of services. The program is intended to produce savings as a result of improved quality and operational efficiency. ACOs that achieve quality performance standards established by HHS will be eligible to share in a portion of the amounts saved by the Medicare program.

In addition to statutory and regulatory changes to the Medicare and each of the state Medicaid programs, our operations and reimbursement may be affected by administrative rulings, new or novel interpretations and determinations of existing laws and regulations, post-payment audits, requirements for utilization review and new governmental funding restrictions, all of which may materially increase or decrease program payments as well as affect the cost of providing services and the timing of payments to our facilities. The final determination of amounts we receive under the Medicare and Medicaid programs often takes many years, because of audits by the program representatives, providers rights of appeal and the application of numerous technical reimbursement provisions. We believe that we have made adequate provisions for such potential adjustments. Nevertheless, until final adjustments are made, certain issues remain unresolved and previously determined allowances could become either inadequate or more than ultimately required.

Finally, we expect continued third-party efforts to aggressively manage reimbursement levels and cost controls. Reductions in reimbursement amounts received from third-party payors could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and our results of operations.

## **Other Operating Results**

The combined net revenues and income before income taxes generated at our surgical hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers and radiation oncology centers was not material to our results of operations during each of the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

## Interest Expense:

Interest expense was \$32 million during each of the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 and \$102 million and \$110 million during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Below is a schedule of our interest expense for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (amounts in thousands):

						ne Months		
	-	Ended		Ended		Ended		Ended
	Sept	ember 30	Sept	ember 30	Sept		Sep	tember 30,
		2014		2013		2014		2013
Revolving credit & demand notes (a.)	\$	641	\$	692	\$	1,750	\$	2,896
\$400 million, 7.125% Senior Notes due 2016		7,124		7,124		21,372		21,372
\$250 million, 7.00% Senior Notes due 2018 (b.)	)	1,458		4,375		10,208		13,125
\$300 million, 3.75% Senior Notes due 2019 (c.)		1,688		0		1,688		0
\$300 million, 4.75% Senior Notes due 2022 (c.)		2,137		0		2,137		0
Term loan facility A/new 8/2014 (a.)		4,813		0		4,813		0
Term loan facility A/original (a.)		1,622		4,651		9,769		14,722
Term loan facility B (a.)		1,358		4,646		8,009		17,467
Term loan facility A2 (a.)		1,474		4,085		8,747		12,866
Accounts receivable securitization program (d.)		624		618		1,643		2,026
Subtotal-revolving credit & demand notes, senior notes, term loan facilities and accounts								
receivable securitization program		22,939		26,191		70,136		84,474
Interest rate swap expense, net		4,840		4,854		14,338		14,305
Amortization of financing fees		3,161		5,453		13,635		16,361
Other combined interest expense		1,634		1,508		4,755		4,982
Capitalized interest on major projects		0		(1,006)		0		(4,921)
Interest income		(441)		(4,686)		(451)		(4,713)
Interest expense, net	\$	32,133	\$	32,314	\$	102,413	\$	110,488

- (a.) On August 7, 2014 we entered into a fourth amendment to our credit agreement dated November 15, 2010, as amended. The fourth amendment extends scheduled maturity date of the credit agreement to August 7, 2019 and consists of: (i) an \$800.0 million revolving credit facility, and; (ii) a \$1.775 million Term Loan A facility, which was used to refinance the previously outstanding Term Loan A and Term Loan A2 facilities. Interest rates were not impacted by this fourth amendment. The previously outstanding Term Loan B facility was repaid utilizing other borrowed funds as discussed herein.
- (b.) On July 31, 2014, we redeemed the entire \$250 million aggregate principal amount of our 7% Senior Notes due in 2018. An early redemption bond premium fee of \$11.4 million was paid in connection with this early extinguishment.
- (c.) On August 7, 2014, we completed an offering of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 3.750% Senior Secured Notes due in 2019 and \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.750% Senior Secured Notes due in

## 2022.

(d.) Effective August 1, 2014, we completed a third amendment to our Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2010. Among other things, the third amendment provides for an increase in the borrowing limit to \$360 million from \$275 million.

Interest expense was relatively net unchanged during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable quarter of 2013. Changes in the components of interest expense during the third quarter of 2014, as compared to the third quarter of 2013, consist of the following: (i) a \$3 million decrease in aggregate interest expense on our revolving credit & demand notes, senior notes, term loan facilities and accounts receivable securitization program primarily due to a decrease in the average outstanding borrowings and the average cost of borrowings; (ii) a \$2 million net decrease in amortization of financing fees, resulting primarily from the write-off of certain deferred financing costs and original issue discounts during the third quarter of 2014 in connection with the various financing transactions, as mentioned above and discussed herein, offset by; (iii) a \$4 million decrease in interest income, primarily related to the interest income received from Illinois during the third quarter of 2013 related to delayed cash remittances to us, and; (iv) \$1 million of capitalized interest recorded on major projects during the third quarter of 2013.

Interest expense decreased \$8 million during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the comparable period of 2013. The decrease was due primarily to: (i) a \$14 million decrease in aggregate interest expense on our revolving credit & demand notes, senior notes, term loan facilities and accounts receivable securitization program due to a decrease in the average outstanding borrowings and the average cost of borrowings; (ii) a \$3 million net decrease in amortization of financing fees, resulting primarily from the write-off of certain deferred financing costs and original issue discounts during the third quarter of 2014, partially offset by; (iii) \$5 million of capitalized interest recorded on major projects during the first nine months of 2013, and; (iv) a \$4 million decrease in interest income, primarily related to the interest income received from Illinois during the first nine months of 2013 related to delayed cash remittances to us.

## **Discontinued** Operations

In connection with the receipt of antitrust clearance from the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) in connection with our acquisition of Ascend Health Corporation in October of 2012, we agreed to certain conditions, including the divestiture of Peak Behavioral Health Services (Peak), a 104-bed behavioral health care facility located in Santa Teresa, New Mexico. The divestiture of Peak was completed during the second quarter of 2013. The operating results for Peak were reflected as discontinued operations during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013. Since the aggregate income from discontinued operations before income tax expense for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 was not material to our consolidated financial statements (as reflected below), it was included as a reduction to other operating expenses.

		Three months ended SeptemberS&ptemberS&p			Nine months ended		
	2014	aah	2013	2014	Bath	2013	
Net revenues	\$ 0	\$	390	<b>\$</b> 0	\$	7,550	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, before incom	e						
taxes (a)	0		271	0		4,091	
Income tax (expense) benefit	0		(102)	0		(1,541)	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	e \$ 0	\$	169	\$0	\$	2,550	

 <sup>(</sup>a) Included in the income from discontinued operations, before income taxes for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013 was a \$3.1 million gain on the sale of Peak.

# Provision for Income Taxes and Effective Tax Rates:

The effective tax rates, as calculated by dividing the provision for income taxes by income before income taxes, were as follows for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

		Three months ended September 30, September 30,			Nine months ended September 30, September			
	2014	-	2013	2014	-	2013		
Provision for income taxes	\$ 48,440	\$	69,473	\$224,102	\$	241,537		
Income before income taxes	144,478		192,572	638,606		662,374		
Effective tax rate	33.5%		36.1%	35.1%		36.5%		

Outside owners hold various noncontrolling, minority ownership interests in seven of our acute care facilities and one behavioral health care facility. Each of these facilities are owned and operated by limited liability companies (LLC) or limited partnerships (LP). As a result, since there is no income tax liability incurred at the LLC/LP level (since it passes through to the members/partners), the net income attributable to noncontrolling interests does not include any income tax provision/benefit. When computing the provision for income taxes, as reflected on our consolidated statements of income, the net income attributable to noncontrolling interests is deducted from income before income taxes since it represents the third-party members /partners share of the income generated by the joint-venture entities. In addition to providing the effective tax rates, as reflected on the consolidated statements of income), we believe it is helpful to our investors that we also provide our effective tax rate as calculated after giving effect to the portion of our pre-tax income that is attributable to the third-party members/partners.

The effective tax rates, as calculated by dividing the provision for income taxes by the difference in income before income taxes, minus net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, were as follows for each of the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30,September 30,			Nine months ended ),September 30,September			
	2014		2013	2014		2013	
Provision for income taxes	\$ 48,440	\$	69,473	\$224,102	\$	241,537	
Income before income taxes	144,478		192,572	638,606		662,374	
Less: Net income attributable to							
noncontrolling interests	(13,241)		(8,512)	(41,958)		(34,625)	
Income before income taxes and after net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	s 131,237		184,060	596,648		627,749	
Effective tax rate	36.9%		37.7%	37.6%		38.5%	

The decrease in the effective tax rate during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year periods, was due primarily to: (i) a decrease in our blended effective state income tax rate during the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2014, and; (ii) the income tax provision recorded during the first nine months of 2013 on the sale of Peak Behavioral Health Services which was divested in May, 2013 (the tax basis gain realized on the sale of Peak Behavioral Health Services exceeded the gain recorded pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles), and; (iii) a decrease to our federal income tax provision relating to 2013 that was recorded during the third quarter of 2014.

## **Liquidity**

## Net cash provided by operating activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$690 million during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 and \$576 million during the comparable period of 2013. The net increase of \$114 million was primarily attributable to the following:

a favorable change of \$40 million due to an increase in net income plus/minus depreciation and amortization expense, stock-based compensation expense, net gains on sales of assets and businesses, and write-off of deferred financing costs related to extinguishment of debt;

a favorable change of \$61 million in accrued insurance expense, net of commercial premiums paid, due primarily to a reduction, recorded during the first nine months of 2013, to our professional and general liability self-insurance reserves relating to years prior to 2013;

a \$49 million favorable change in other working capital accounts (due primarily to the *Garden City* litigation settlement that was funded into escrow in October, 2014, as discussed herein);

a \$24 million unfavorable change in accrued and deferred income taxes, and;

\$12 million of other combined net unfavorable changes.

*Days sales outstanding* (*DSO*): Our DSO are calculated by dividing our net revenue by the number of days in the nine-month periods. The result is divided into the accounts receivable balance at September 30th of each year to obtain the DSO. Our DSO were 57 days at September 30, 2014 and 58 days at September 30, 2013.

#### Net cash used in investing activities

During the first nine months of 2014, we used \$708 million of net cash in investing activities as follows:

spent \$309 million to finance capital expenditures including capital expenditures for equipment, renovations and new projects at various existing facilities;

spent \$402 million to: (i) acquire the stock of Cygnet Health Care Limited which consists of 18 facilities located throughout the United Kingdom including 16 inpatient behavioral health hospitals and 2 nursing homes with a total of 734 beds (during the third quarter); (ii) acquire and fund the required capital reserves related to a commercial health insurer headquartered in Reno, Nevada (during the second quarter); (iii) acquire a 124-bed behavioral health care facility and outpatient treatment center located in Washington, D.C. (during the second quarter), and; (iv) to acquire the operations of a 48-bed behavioral health facility in Tucson, Arizona (during the first quarter);

received \$15 million in connection with the divestiture of a non-operating investment during the first quarter and the real property of a closed behavioral health facility sold during the second quarter, and;

spent \$11 million in connection with the purchase and implementation of an electronic health records applications.

During the first nine months of 2013, we used \$286 million of net cash in investing activities as follows:

spent \$280 million to finance capital expenditures including capital expenditures for equipment, renovations and new projects at various existing facilities, including: (i) the construction costs related to the newly constructed Temecula Valley Hospital, a 140-bed acute care facility located in Temecula, California, that was completed and opened in October, 2013; (ii) the construction costs related to Austin Oaks Hospital, a newly constructed, 80-bed behavioral health facility located in Austin, Texas, that was completed and opened during the second quarter of 2013, and; (iii) additional/renovated capacity at certain of our behavioral health facilities;

spent \$42 million in connection with the purchase and implementation of electronic health records applications;

received \$37 million in connection with the divestiture of Peak Behavioral Health Services and certain other assets and real property including three previously closed behavioral health care facilities, and;

spent \$1 million in for the purchase of real property located in Pennsylvania. **Net cash used in financing activities** 

#### Table of Contents

During the first nine months of 2014, we generated \$41 million of net cash from financing activities as follows:

generated \$970 million of proceeds from additional borrowings pursuant to: (i) the issuance in August of 2014 of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 3.750% senior secured notes due 2019 and the issuance of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.750% senior secured notes due 2022; (ii) \$267 million from new borrowings pursuant to our revolving credit facility; (iii) \$93 million of proceeds from new borrowings pursuant to our accounts receivable securitization program, and; (iv) \$10 million from new borrowing pursuant to our on-demand line of credit;

spent \$843 million on net repayments of debt due primarily to repayments pursuant to our: (i) previously outstanding term loan B facility (\$550 million); (ii) previously outstanding \$250 million, 7% senior unsecured notes (\$250 million); (iii) previously outstanding term loan term loan A and A2 facilities (\$36 million), and; (iv) various other debt facilities (\$7 million);

spent \$63 million to repurchase shares of our Class B Common Stock in connection with: (i) income tax withholding obligations related to stock-based compensation programs (\$38 million), and; (ii) open market purchases pursuant to our \$400 million stock repurchase program (\$25 million);

generated \$30 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation;

spent \$13 million in financing costs in connection with the various financing transactions as discussed below in *Credit Facilities and Outstanding Debt Securities*;

spent \$20 million to pay quarterly cash dividends of \$.05 per share during each of the first and second quarters and \$.10 per share during the third quarter;

spent \$25 million to pay profit distributions related to noncontrolling interests in majority owned businesses, and;

generated \$5 million from the issuance of shares of our Class B Common Stock pursuant to the terms of employee stock purchase plans.

During the first nine months of 2013, we used \$301 million of net cash in financing activities as follows:

spent \$234 million on net repayments of debt due to repayments pursuant to our: (i) previously outstanding Term Loan A and A2 facilities (\$54 million); (ii) revolving credit facility (\$134 million); (iii) accounts receivable securitization facility (\$39 million), and; (iv) various other debt facilities (\$7 million);

generated \$1 million of proceeds from new borrowings pursuant to our short-term, on-demand credit facility;

spent \$51 million to pay profit distributions related to noncontrolling interests in majority owned businesses;

spent \$22 million to repurchase shares of our Class B Common Stock in connection with income tax withholding obligations related to employee stock-based compensation programs;

spent \$15 million to pay quarterly cash dividends of \$.05 per share;

generated \$16 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation, and;

generated \$4 million from the issuance of shares of our Class B Common Stock pursuant to the terms of employee stock purchase plans.

## Expected Capital Expenditures During the Remainder of 2014:

During the remaining three months of 2014, we expect to spend approximately \$90 million to \$100 million on capital expenditures. We believe that our capital expenditure program is adequate to expand, improve and equip our existing hospitals. We expect to finance all capital expenditures and acquisitions with internally generated funds and/or additional funds, as discussed below.

#### **Capital Resources**

#### Credit Facilities and Outstanding Debt Securities

During the third quarter of 2014, we completed the following previously disclosed financing transactions:

On August 7, 2014, we entered into a Fourth Amendment (the Fourth Amendment ) to our credit agreement dated as of November 15, 2010, as amended on March 15, 2011, September 21, 2012 and May 16, 2013, among UHS, as borrower, the several banks and other financial institutions from time to time parties thereto, as lenders ( Credit Agreement ). The Credit Agreement, as amended, which is scheduled to mature in August, 2019, consists of: (i) an \$800 million revolving credit facility, and; (ii) a \$1.775 billion term loan A facility which combined our previous term loan A and term loan A2 facilities (which were scheduled to mature in

2016);

Repaid \$550 million of outstanding borrowings pursuant to our previous term loan B facility which was scheduled to mature in 2016;

Increased the borrowing capacity on our existing \$275 million accounts receivable securitization program (Securitization) to \$360 million effective August 1, 2014. The Securitization, the terms of which remain the same as the previous agreement, as discussed below, is scheduled to mature on October 25, 2016;

Issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 3.750% senior secured notes due in 2019 (see below for additional disclosure);

Issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.750% senior secured notes due in 2022 (see below for additional disclosure);

Redeemed our previously outstanding \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes due in 2018 on July 31, 2014 for an aggregate price equal to 104.56% of the principal amount.

In connection with these transactions, our results of operations during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 included a \$36 million pre-tax charge incurred for the costs related to the extinguishment of debt. This charge consisted of the write-off of deferred charges (\$20 million) and original issue discount on the extinguished debt (\$5 million) as well as the make-whole premium paid (\$11 million) on the early redemption of the \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes.

Pursuant to the terms of our Credit Agreement and related amendments, as of September 30, 2014, we had:

\$1.775 billion of borrowings outstanding pursuant to our term loan A facility, and;

\$267 million of borrowings outstanding pursuant to our revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at either (1) the ABR rate which is defined as the rate per annum equal to, at our election: the greatest of (a) the lender s prime rate, (b) the weighted average of the federal funds rate, plus 0.5% and (c) one month LIBOR rate plus 1%, in each case, plus an applicable margin based upon our consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each quarter ranging from 0.50% to 1.25% for revolving credit and term loan-A borrowings, or (2) the one, two, three or six month LIBOR rate (at our election), plus an applicable margin based upon our consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each quarter ranging from 1.50% to 2.25% for revolving credit and term loan-A borrowings. As of September 30, 2014, the applicable margins were 0.50% for ABR-based loans, 1.50% for LIBOR-based loans under the revolving credit and term loan-A facilities.

As of September 30, 2014, we had \$267 million of outstanding borrowings pursuant to the terms of our \$800 million revolving credit facility and we had \$478 million of available borrowing capacity, net of \$35 million of outstanding borrowings pursuant to a short-term, on-demand credit facility and \$20 million of outstanding letters of credit. The revolving credit facility includes a \$125 million sub-limit for letters of credit. The Credit Agreement is secured by certain assets of the Company and our material subsidiaries and guaranteed by our material subsidiaries.

Pursuant to the terms of the Fourth Amendment to our Credit Agreement, term Ioan A quarterly installment payments of approximately: (i) \$11 million are due commencing in the fourth quarter of 2014 and continuing through September, 2016, and; (ii) \$22 million are due from the fourth quarter of 2016 through June, 2019. Prior to commencement of the Fourth Amendment, we made scheduled principal payments of \$36 million on the term Ioan-A and term Ioan A2 facilities during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 and \$18 million and \$54 million, respectively, during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013.

As discussed above, on August 1, 2014, our accounts receivable securitization program (Securitization), with a group of conduit lenders and liquidity banks which is scheduled to mature on October 25, 2016, was amended to increase the borrowing capacity to \$360 million from \$275 million. Substantially all of the patient-related accounts receivable of our acute care hospitals (Receivables) serve as collateral for the outstanding borrowings. We have accounted for this Securitization as borrowings. We maintain effective control over the Receivables since, pursuant to the terms of the Securitization, the Receivables are sold from certain of our subsidiaries to special purpose entities that are wholly-owned by us. The Receivables, however, are owned by the special purpose entities, can be used only to satisfy the debts of the wholly-owned special purpose entities. The wholly-owned special purpose entities use the Receivables to collateralize the loans obtained from the group of third-party conduit lenders and liquidity banks do not have recourse to us beyond the assets of the wholly-owned special purpose entities that securitize the loans. At September 30, 2014, we had \$333 million of outstanding borrowings and \$27 million of additional capacity pursuant to the terms of our accounts receivable securitization program.

On August 7, 2014, we issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 3.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 (the 2019 Notes ) and \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2022 (the 2022 Notes , and together with the 2019 Notes, the New Senior Secured Notes ). The New Senior Secured Notes were offered only to qualified institutional buyers under Rule 144A and to non-U.S. persons outside the United States in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ). The New Senior Secured Notes have not been registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from registration requirements. Interest is payable on the New Senior Secured Notes on February 1 and August 1 of each year to the holders of record at the close of business on the January 15 and July 15 immediately preceding the related interest payment dates, commencing on February 1, 2015 until the maturity date of August 1, 2019 for the 2019 Notes and August 1, 2022 for the 2022 Notes.

On June 30, 2006, we issued \$250 million of senior secured notes which have a 7.125% coupon rate and mature on June 30, 2016 (the 7.125% Notes). Interest on the 7.125% Notes is payable semiannually in arrears on June 30th and December 30th of each year. In June, 2008, we issued an additional \$150 million of 7.125% Notes which formed a single series with the original 7.125% Notes issued in June, 2006. Other than their date of issuance and initial price to the public, the terms of the 7.125% Notes issued in June, 2008 are identical to and trade interchangeably with, the 7.125% Notes which were originally issued in June, 2006.

On July 31, 2014, we redeemed the \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes (the Unsecured Notes ), which were scheduled to mature on October 1, 2018, at a redemption price equal to 104.56% of the principal amount of the Unsecured Notes resulting in a make-whole premium payment of approximately \$11 million. The Unsecured Notes were issued on September 29, 2010 and registered in April, 2011. Interest on the Unsecured Note was payable semiannually in arrears on April 1st and October 1st of each year.

In connection with entering into the previous Credit Agreement on November 15, 2010, and in accordance with the Indenture dated January 20, 2000 governing the rights of our existing notes, we entered into a supplemental indenture pursuant to which our 7.125% Notes (due in 2016) were equally and ratably secured with the lenders under the Credit

Agreement with respect to the collateral for so long as the lenders under the Credit Agreement are so secured.

Our Credit Agreement includes a material adverse change clause that must be represented at each draw. The Credit Agreement contains covenants that include a limitation on sales of assets, mergers, change of ownership, liens and indebtedness, transactions with affiliates and dividends; and requires compliance with financial covenants including maximum leverage and minimum interest coverage ratios. We are in compliance with all required covenants as of September 30, 2014.

As of September 30, 2014, the carrying value of our debt was \$3.45 billion and the fair-value of our debt was \$3.49 billion. The fair value of our debt was computed based upon quotes received from financial institutions and we consider these to be level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as outlined in the authoritative guidance for disclosures in connection with debt instruments.

Our total debt as a percentage of total capitalization was 49% at September 30, 2014 and 51% at December 31, 2013.

We expect to finance all capital expenditures and acquisitions, pay dividends and potentially repurchase shares of our common stock utilizing internally generated and additional funds. Additional funds may be obtained through: (i) the issuance of equity; (ii) borrowings under our existing revolving credit facility, and/or; (iii) the issuance of other long-term debt. We believe that our operating cash flows, cash and cash equivalents, available borrowing capacity under our \$800 million revolving credit facility and access to the capital markets provide us with sufficient capital resources to fund our operating, investing and financing requirements for the next twelve months. However, in the event we need to access the capital markets or other sources of financing, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain financing on acceptable terms or within an acceptable time. Our inability to obtain financing on terms acceptable to us could have a material unfavorable impact on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, there have been no material changes in the off-balance sheet arrangements consisting of operating leases and standby letters of credit and surety bonds. Reference is made to *Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

We have various obligations under operating leases or master leases for real property and under operating leases for equipment. The real property master leases are leases for buildings on or near hospital property for which we guarantee a certain level of rental income. We sublease space in these buildings and any amounts received from these subleases are offset against the expense. In addition, we lease four hospital facilities from Universal Health Realty Income Trust with terms scheduled to expire in 2014 and 2016. These leases contain up to four, 5-year renewal options.

As of September 30, 2014 we were party to certain off balance sheet arrangements consisting of standby letters of credit and surety bonds which totaled \$94 million consisting of: (i) \$73 million related to our self-insurance programs, and; (ii) \$21 million of other debt and public utility guarantees.

# Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative disclosures during the three months ended September 30, 2014. Reference is made to *Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk* in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

# Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of September 30, 2014, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), we performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the 1934 Act). Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that material information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported by management on a timely basis in order to comply with our disclosure obligations under the 1934 Act and the SEC rules thereunder.

#### **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors during the third quarter of 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to claims and suits in the ordinary course of business, including those arising from care and treatment afforded by our hospitals and are party to litigation, as outlined below.

### Office of Inspector General ( OIG ) and Other Government Investigations

In September, 2010, we, along with many other companies in the healthcare industry, received a letter from the United States Department of Justice ( DOJ ) advising of a False Claim Act investigation being conducted in connection with the implantation of implantable cardioverter defibrillators ( ICDs ) from 2003 to 2010 at several of our acute care facilities. The DOJ alleges that ICDs were implanted and billed by our facilities in contravention of a National Coverage Determination regarding these devices. We have established a reserve in connection with this matter which did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February, 2013, the OIG served a subpoena requesting various documents from January, 2008 to the date of the subpoena directed at Universal Health Services, Inc. ( UHS ) concerning it and UHS of Delaware, Inc., and several UHS owned behavioral health facilities including: Keys of Carolina, Old Vineyard Behavioral Health, The Meadows Psychiatric Center, Streamwood Behavioral Health, Hartgrove Hospital, Rock River Academy and Residential Treatment Center, Roxbury Treatment Center, Harbor Point Behavioral Health Center, f/k/a, The Pines Residential Treatment Center, including the Crawford, Brighton and Kempsville campuses, Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health. Prior to receiving this subpoena: (i) the Keys of Carolina and Old Vineyard received notification during the second half of 2012 from the United States Department of Justice of its intent to proceed with an investigation following requests for documents for the period of January, 2007 to the date of the subpoenas from the North Carolina state Attorney General s Office; (ii) Harbor Point Behavioral Health Center received a subpoena in December, 2012 from the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Virginia requesting various documents from July, 2006 to the date of the subpoena, and; (iii) The Meadows Psychiatric Center received a subpoena from the OIG in February, 2013 requesting certain documents from 2008 to the date of the subpoena. Unrelated to these matters, the Keys of Carolina was closed and the real property was sold in January, 2013. We were advised that a qui tam action had been filed against Roxbury Treatment Center but the government declined to intervene and the case was dismissed.

In April, 2013, the OIG served facility specific subpoenas on Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health requesting various documents from January, 2005 to the date of the subpoenas. In July, 2013, another subpoena was issued to Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health requesting additional records. In October, 2013, we were advised by the DOJ s Criminal Frauds Section that they received a referral from the DOJ Civil Division and opened an investigation of River Point Behavioral Health and Wekiva Springs Center. Subsequent subpoenas have since been issued to River Point Behavioral Health and Wekiva Springs Center requesting additional documentation. In April, 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) instituted a Medicare payment suspension at River Point Behavioral Health in accordance with federal regulations which implemented provisions of the Affordable Care Act regarding suspension of payments during certain investigations. The Florida Agency for Health Care Administration subsequently issued a Medicaid payment suspension for the facility. River Point Behavioral Health submitted a rebuttal statement disputing the basis of the suspension and requesting revocation of the suspension. In response, CMS has continued the payment suspension. River Point Behavioral Health has provided additional information to CMS in an effort to obtain relief from the payment suspension but the suspension remains in effect. In August 2014, we received notification from CMS that the payment suspension was to be continued for another 180 days. We cannot predict if and/or when the facility s suspended payments will resume. However, if continued for a significant period of time, the payment suspension will likely have a material adverse effect on River Point Behavioral Health s future results of operations and financial condition. The operating results of River Point Behavioral Health did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 or the year ended December 31, 2013.

In June, 2013, the OIG served a subpoena on Coastal Harbor Health System in Savannah, Georgia requesting documents from January, 2009 to the date of the subpoena.

In February, 2014, we were notified that the investigation conducted by the Criminal Frauds Section had been expanded to include the National Deaf Academy. In March, 2014, a Civil Investigative Demand ( CID ) was served on the National Deaf Academy requesting documents and information from the facility from January 1, 2008 through the date of the CID. We have been advised by the government that the National Deaf Academy has been added to the facilities which are the subject of the coordinated investigation referenced above.

In March, 2014, CIDs were served on Hartgrove Hospital, Rock River Academy and Streamwood Behavioral Health requesting documents and information from those facilities from January 2008 through the date of the CID.

In September, 2014, the DOJ Civil Division advised us that they were expanding their investigation to include four additional facilities and were requesting production of documents from these facilities. These facilities are Arbour-HRI Hospital, Behavioral Hospital of Bellaire, St. Simons by the Sea, and Turning Point Care Center.

At present, we are uncertain as to the specific focus, scope or extent of the investigations, liability of the facilities and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with these matters.

## Matters Relating to PSI:

The following matters pertain to PSI or former PSI facilities (owned by subsidiaries of Psychiatric Solutions, Inc.) which were in existence prior to the acquisition of PSI and for which we have assumed the defense as a result of our acquisition which was completed in November, 2010:

Garden City Employees Retirement System v. Psychiatric Solutions, Inc. (PSI), Joey A. Jacobs, Brent Turner and Jack E. Polson:

This is a shareholder class action lawsuit filed in 2009 in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee against PSI and certain of its former officers alleging violations of federal securities laws. As we previously disclosed, in September of 2014,

a \$65 million settlement agreement was reached in connection with this matter. A settlement escrow, pending final court approval, was funded in October of 2014. A pre-tax charge of \$49 million was incurred by us during the first nine months of 2014 in connection with this settlement which is net of approximately \$16 million of commercial insurance recoveries.

### Department of Justice Investigation of Friends Hospital:

In October, 2010, Friends Hospital in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, received a subpoena from the DOJ requesting certain documents from the facility. The requested documents have been collected and provided to the DOJ for review and examination. Another subpoena was issued to the facility in July 2011 requesting additional documents, which have been collected and delivered to the DOJ. At present, we are uncertain as to the focus, scope or extent of the investigation, liability of the facility and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with this matter.

### Department of Justice Investigation of Riveredge Hospital:

In 2008, Riveredge Hospital in Chicago, Illinois received a subpoena from the DOJ requesting certain information from the facility. Additional requests for documents were also received from the DOJ in 2009 and 2010. The requested documents have been provided to the DOJ. At present, we are uncertain as to the focus, scope or extent of the investigation, liability of the facility and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with this matter.

### General:

The healthcare industry is subject to numerous laws and regulations which include, among other things, matters such as government healthcare participation requirements, various licensure, certifications, and accreditations, reimbursement for patient services, and Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse. Government action has increased with respect to investigations and/or allegations concerning possible violations of fraud and abuse and false claims statutes and/or regulations by healthcare providers. Currently, and from time to time, some of our facilities are subjected to inquiries and/or actions and receive notices of potential non-compliance of laws and regulations from various federal and state agencies. Providers that are found to have violated these laws and regulations may be excluded from participating in government healthcare programs, subjected to potential licensure, certification, and/or accreditation revocation, subjected to payment suspension, subjected to fines or penalties or required to repay amounts received from the government for previously billed patient services. We monitor all aspects of our business and have developed a comprehensive ethics and compliance program that is designed to meet or exceed applicable federal guidelines and industry standards. Because the law in this area is complex and constantly evolving, governmental investigation or litigation may result in interpretations that are inconsistent with industry practices, including ours. Although we believe our policies, procedures and practices comply with governmental regulations, there is no assurance that we will not be faced with sanctions, fines or penalties in connection with such inquiries or actions, including with respect to the investigations and other matters discussed herein. Even if we were to ultimately prevail, such inquiries and/or actions could have a material adverse effect on us.

The outcome of any current or future litigation or governmental or internal investigations, including the matters described above, cannot be accurately predicted, nor can we predict any resulting penalties, fines or other sanctions that may be imposed at the discretion of federal or state regulatory authorities. We record accruals for such contingencies to the extent that we conclude it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. No estimate of the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued, if any, can be made at this time regarding the matters specifically described above because the inherently unpredictable nature of legal proceedings may be exacerbated by various factors, including, but not limited to: (i) the damages sought in the proceedings are unsubstantiated or indeterminate; (ii) discovery is not complete; (iii) the proceeding is in

its early stages; (iv) the matters present legal uncertainties; (v) there are significant facts in dispute; (vi) there are a large number of parties, or; (vii) there is a wide range of potential outcomes. It is possible that the outcome of these matters could have a material adverse impact on our future results of operations, financial position, cash flows and, potentially, our reputation.

In addition, various suits and claims arising against us in the ordinary course of business are pending. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such claims and litigation will not materially affect our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes a listing of risk factors to be considered by investors in our securities. There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

In various prior years, our Board of Directors approved stock repurchase programs authorizing us to purchase shares of our outstanding Class B Common Stock on the open market at prevailing market prices or in negotiated transactions off the market. In conjunction with the new stock repurchase program discussed below, remaining shares available for repurchase pursuant to prior authorizations were cancelled.

In July, 2014, our Board of Directors authorized a new stock repurchase program whereby, from time to time as conditions allow, we may spend up to \$400 million to purchase shares of our Class B Common Stock on the open market or in negotiated private transactions. There is no expiration date for our stock repurchase program. As reflected below, during the three-month period ended September 30, 2014, 226,692 shares (\$25.2 million in the aggregate) were repurchased pursuant to the terms of our recently authorized stock repurchase program and 21,825 shares were repurchased in connection with income tax withholding obligations resulting from the exercise of stock options and the vesting of restricted stock grants.

	Additional Dollars Authorized For Repurchase	Total number of shares		price paid per share or forfeite	Total Number of shares purchased as part of edpublicly announced	as part of publicly	N n sh Aggregati purchaşæ price	Maximum laximumumber of umberdoflars that aares thatay yet be ay yet <b>pe</b> urchased urchased under under the the program
	(in thousands	purchased	cancelled	shares	programs	programi	n thousan	<b>ds</b> )gr <b>(im</b> thousands)
July, 2014	\$ 400,000	11,813	767,702	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	\$ 400,000
August, 2014		78,217		N/A	71,255	\$112.68	\$ 8,029	\$ 391,971
September, 2014		158,487		N/A	155,437	\$110.54	\$17,182	\$ 374,789
Total July through September	1 \$ 400,000	248,517	767,702	N/A	226,692	\$111.21	\$ 25,211	

### Dividends

During the quarter ended September 30, 2014, we declared and paid dividends of \$.10 per share. In July, 2014, our Board of Directors authorized a \$.05 per share increase to our quarterly cash dividend to \$.10 per share.

### Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

- 11 Statement re computation of per share earnings is set forth in Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
- 31.1 Certification of the Company s Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of the Company s Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

- 32.1 Certification of the Company s Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the Company s Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

# UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Universal Health Services, Inc.

(Registrant)

Date: November 7, 2014

/s/ ALAN B. MILLER Alan B. Miller, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ STEVE FILTON Steve Filton, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

## EXHIBIT INDEX

#### Exhibit

No.	Description
11	Statement re computation of per share earnings is set forth in Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
31.1	Certification of the Company s Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of the Company s Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification of the Company s Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Company s Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document