

SANFILIPPO JOHN B & SON INC
Form DEF 14A
September 13, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material pursuant to § 240.14a-12

JOHN B. SANFILIPPO & SON, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: SANFILIPPO JOHN B & SON INC - Form DEF 14A

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:

- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:

- (5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

- (3) Filing Party:

- (4) Date Filed:

John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc.

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

October 30, 2012

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc., a Delaware corporation, of proxies for use at the annual meeting of our stockholders to be held on Tuesday, October 30, 2012, at 10:00 A.M., Central Time, at 1707 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820, and at any postponement or adjournment thereof (the Annual Meeting). All shares of our Common Stock, \$.01 par value (the Common Stock), and our Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value (the Class A Stock), entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting which are represented by properly submitted proxies will, unless such proxies have been revoked, be voted in accordance with the instructions given in such proxies.

Any stockholder who has submitted a proxy may revoke it by: (a) delivering a written notice of revocation to our Secretary prior to the exercise of the proxy at the Annual Meeting; (b) duly submitting a subsequent properly executed proxy (by Internet, telephone or mail) so that it is received by 5:00 P.M. Eastern Time on October 29, 2012 or (c) attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Any written notice of revocation should be received by us at 1703 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820, Attention: Secretary, or hand delivered to the Secretary, before the closing of the polls at the Annual Meeting.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references herein to we, us, our, the company or our company refer to John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. mailing address of our principal executive offices is 1703 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820.

A Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Internet Notice) will be electronically mailed to stockholders who were not sent the printed proxy materials. The Internet Notice provides details regarding the availability of our full proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement and our annual report, at the Internet website address <http://www.proxydocs.com/JBSS>. All stockholders holding shares of Common Stock on the record date were mailed either the Internet Notice, or the printed proxy materials which include a proxy card. If a stockholder wishes to vote electronically or by telephone, the stockholder should follow the instructions on how to vote electronically or by telephone that are included on the stockholder's proxy card or Internet Notice.

This Proxy Statement was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC or the Commission) on September 13, 2012, and we expect to first send the Internet Notice to stockholders on or around September 14, 2012.

Record Date and Shares Outstanding

We had outstanding on September 4, 2012, the record date for determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting, 8,164,805 shares of Common Stock (excluding 117,900 treasury shares, which are neither outstanding nor entitled to vote) and 2,597,426 shares of Class A Stock. The Common Stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Market. There is no established public trading market for the Class A Stock.

Voting and Quorum

Pursuant to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation (as amended, the Restated Certificate), so long as the total number of shares of Class A Stock outstanding is greater than or equal to 12 1/2% of the total number of shares of Class A Stock and Common Stock outstanding, the holders of Common Stock voting as a class are entitled to elect such number (rounded to the next highest number in the case of a fraction) of directors as equals 25% of the total number of directors constituting the full Board of Directors. The holders of Class A Stock voting as a class are entitled to elect the remaining directors. With respect to all matters other than the election of directors or any matters for which class voting is required by

Edgar Filing: SANFILIPPO JOHN B & SON INC - Form DEF 14A

law, the holders of Common Stock and the holders of Class A Stock will vote together as a single class, and the holders of Common Stock will be entitled to one vote per share of Common Stock and the holders of Class A Stock will be entitled to 10 votes per share of Class A Stock.

Our Restated Certificate does not entitle holders of Common Stock to cumulative voting. However, solely with respect to the election of directors, the Restated Certificate entitles, but does not require, each holder of Class A Stock, in person or by proxy, to either (a) vote the number of shares of Class A Stock owned by such holder for as many persons as there are directors to be elected by holders of Class A Stock (Class A Directors), or (b) cumulate said votes (by multiplying the number of shares of Class A Stock owned by such holder by the number of candidates for election as a Class A Director) and either (i) give one candidate all of the cumulated votes, or (ii) distribute the cumulated votes among such candidates as the holder sees fit.

The holders of Common Stock representing a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, shall constitute a quorum for such meeting in order to transact any business. Where a separate vote by a class is required, a majority of the outstanding shares of such class, present in person or represented by proxy, shall constitute a quorum entitled to take action with respect to that vote on that matter.

Proposals to be Voted Upon and the Board of Directors Recommendations

Three proposals are scheduled for stockholder consideration at the Annual Meeting, each of which is described more fully herein:

Election of directors (Proposal 1);

Ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2013 fiscal year (Proposal 2); and

Advisory vote to approve executive compensation (Proposal 3).

The vote required and related matters for each of these proposals are as follows:

Proposal 1: Election of Directors

At the Annual Meeting, the holders of Common Stock voting as a class will be entitled to elect three of the nine directors. The holders of Class A Stock voting as a class will be entitled to elect the remaining six directors. Directors elected by holders of both Common Stock and Class A Stock are elected by a plurality of the votes cast for each such class.

The Board of Directors recommends a FOR vote for all of the directors listed herein. If a properly submitted, unrevoked proxy does not specifically direct the voting of the shares covered by such proxy, the proxy will be voted FOR the election of all director nominees to be elected by holders of the class of shares covered by such proxy as listed herein.

If any nominee is unable to act as director because of an unexpected occurrence, the proxy holders may vote the proxies for another person or the Board of Directors may reduce the number of directors to be elected.

Proposal 2: Ratification of the Audit Committee s Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2013 Fiscal Year

To be approved, the ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2013 fiscal year requires the affirmative vote of the holders of shares representing a majority of the votes present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote by the holders of Common Stock and Class A Stock, voting together as one class.

The Board of Directors recommends a FOR vote for the ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2013 fiscal year. If a properly submitted, unrevoked proxy does not specifically direct the voting of the shares covered by such proxy, the proxy will be voted FOR Proposal 2.

Proposal 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

Pursuant to SEC rules, we are providing our stockholders with an advisory, nonbinding vote to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Summary Compensation Table of this Proxy Statement, beginning on page 25.

The Board of Directors recommends a FOR vote for the advisory vote to approve executive compensation. If a properly submitted, unrevoked proxy does not specifically direct the voting of the shares covered by such proxy, the proxy will be voted FOR Proposal 3.

Effect of Abstentions

While the Board of Directors recommends our stockholders vote in accordance with the recommendations set forth above, we also recognize that abstain votes are an option for each of the proposals. Please note, however, that abstentions have the following effect:

Shares voting abstain have no effect on the election of directors (Proposal 1).

Shares voting abstain for the proposal ratifying the Audit Committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2013 fiscal year (Proposal 2) are treated as shares present or represented and voting; therefore an abstention is treated as the same as a vote against this proposal.

Shares voting abstain for the proposal approving the advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 3) are treated as shares present or represented and voting; therefore an abstention is treated as the same as a vote against this proposal. For purposes of determining whether a quorum exists, abstentions will be counted as present.

Effect of Broker Non-Votes

Under applicable stock exchange rules, brokers and banks have discretionary authority to vote shares without instructions from beneficial owners only on matters considered routine, such as the vote to ratify the appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proposal 2). On non-routine matters, such as the election of directors (Proposal 1) and the advisory vote to approve executive compensation (Proposal 3), these brokers and banks do not have discretion to vote uninstructed shares and thus are not entitled to vote on such proposals, resulting in a broker non-vote for those shares. Broker non-votes will not be counted for determining whether stockholders have approved a specific proposal; however, they will be counted as present for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. **We encourage all stockholders that hold shares through a broker or bank to provide voting instructions to such parties to ensure that their shares are voted at the Annual Meeting.**

Other Proposals

If other matters are properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment. We have not received notice of other matters that may be properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN

BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information as of September 4, 2012, except where otherwise indicated in the footnotes, with respect to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock and Class A Stock by (a) each individual, group, or entity known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock or Class A Stock, (b) each of our directors and nominees for election as a director, (c) each of our named executive officers, and (d) all of our directors and executive officers as a group. The information set forth in the table as to directors and executive officers is based upon information furnished to us by them in connection with the preparation of this Proxy Statement. Except where otherwise indicated in the footnotes to this table, the mailing address of each of the stockholders named in the table is: c/o John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc., 1703 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820.

Name	No. of Shares of Common Stock ⁽¹⁾	% of Outstanding Shares of Common Stock	No. of Shares of Class A Stock ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	% of Outstanding Shares of Class A Stock	% of Outstanding Votes on Matters Other than Election of Directors
Jasper B. Sanfilippo ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾⁺	10,000	*	130,436	5.0	3.9
Marian R. Sanfilippo ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾			206,213	7.9	6.0
Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾⁺	25,332	*	72,026	2.8	2.2
Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾⁺	6,500	*	1,417,220	54.6	41.5
Lisa A. Sanfilippo ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	2,500	*	50,172	1.9	1.5
John E. Sanfilippo ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	18,832	*	90,178	3.5	2.7
James J. Sanfilippo ⁽⁶⁾			1,358,127	52.3	39.8
Total Sanfilippo Group ⁽⁷⁾	44,332	*	1,768,496	68.1	51.9
Michael J. Valentine ⁽⁸⁾⁺	6,500	*	768,442	29.6	22.5
Mathias A. Valentine ⁽⁹⁾⁺	10,000	*	60,488	2.3	1.8
Total Valentine Group ⁽¹⁰⁾	16,500	*	828,930	31.9	24.3
James A. Valentine ⁽¹¹⁾⁻	6,350	*			*
Walter R. Tankersley ⁽¹²⁾⁻	28,092	*			*
Timothy R. Donovan ⁽¹³⁾⁺	16,250	*			*
Governor Jim Edgar ⁽¹⁴⁾⁺	21,000	*			*
Daniel M. Wright ⁽¹⁵⁾⁺	19,000	*			*
Ellen C. Taaffe ⁽¹⁶⁾⁺	6,000	*			*
Pekin Singer Strauss Asset Management ⁽¹⁷⁾	1,300,930	15.9			3.8
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP ⁽¹⁸⁾	689,904	8.4			2.0
Franklin Resources, Inc. ⁽¹⁹⁾	428,000	5.2			1.3
All directors and executive officers as a group (16 persons all of whom are stockholders and/or option holders) ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽⁹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾⁽¹²⁾⁽¹³⁾⁽¹⁴⁾⁽¹⁵⁾⁽¹⁶⁾⁽²⁰⁾	189,708	2.3	2,448,612	94.3	72.1

- + Denotes director.
- Denotes named executive officer.
* Less than one percent (1%).

- (1) Except as otherwise indicated below, for purposes of the table above, beneficial ownership means the sole power to vote and dispose of shares. In calculating each holder's percentage ownership and beneficial ownership in the table above, shares of Common Stock which may be acquired by the holder through the exercise of stock options that are exercisable or the conversion of restricted stock units (RSUs) that

are vested on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, are included.

- (2) Each share of Class A Stock is convertible at the option of the holder thereof at any time and from time to time into one share of Common Stock. In addition, the Restated Certificate provides that Class A Stock may be transferred only to (a) Jasper B. Sanfilippo or Mathias A. Valentine, (b) a spouse or lineal descendant of Jasper B. Sanfilippo or Mathias A. Valentine, (c) trusts for the benefit of any of the foregoing individuals, (d) entities controlled by any of the foregoing individuals, (e) John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc., or (f) any bank or other financial institution as a bona fide pledge of shares of Class A Stock by the owner thereof as collateral security for indebtedness due to the pledgee (collectively, the Permitted Transferees), and that upon any transfer of Class A Stock to someone other than a Permitted Transferee each share transferred will automatically be converted into one share of Common Stock.
- (3) Includes 32,609 shares of Class A Stock held by Jasper B. Sanfilippo as trustee of the Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. Trust, dated September 23, 1991, 32,609 shares of Class A Stock held by Jasper B. Sanfilippo as trustee of the Lisa Ann Sanfilippo Trust, dated October 4, 1991, 32,609 shares of Class A Stock held by Jasper B. Sanfilippo as trustee of the Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo Trust, dated October 4, 1991, and 32,609 shares of Class A Stock held by Jasper B. Sanfilippo as trustee of the John E. Sanfilippo Trust, dated October 2, 1991. The beneficiaries of the aforementioned trusts are children of Jasper and Marian Sanfilippo (two of whom, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. and Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, are executive officers and directors of our company).
- (4) Includes 3,000 shares of Common Stock held directly by Jasper B. Sanfilippo and 7,000 RSUs that are convertible to 7,000 shares of Common Stock on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012.
- (5) Includes 50,170 shares of Class A Stock held by Marian Sanfilippo as co-trustee of the Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006, 55,701 shares of Class A Stock held by Marian Sanfilippo as co-trustee of the Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006, 50,170 shares of Class A Stock held by Marian Sanfilippo as co-trustee of the John E. Sanfilippo Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006 and 50,172 shares of Class A Stock held by Marian Sanfilippo as co-trustee of the Lisa A. Evon Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006. The beneficiaries of the aforementioned trusts are children of Jasper and Marian Sanfilippo (two of whom, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. and Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo are executive officers and directors of our company). As co-trustee, Marian Sanfilippo shares voting and dispositive power over the aggregate 206,213 shares of Class A Stock held in the aforementioned trusts.
- (6) On June 21, 2004, a Schedule 13D was filed jointly by the members of the Sanfilippo family referenced in the above beneficial ownership table (the Sanfilippo Group). The Schedule 13D was amended on March 21, 2007, January 16, 2008, September 10, 2009 and April 26, 2012. The Sanfilippo Group made a single, joint filing to reflect the formation of a group within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Except as expressly set forth in the Schedule 13D, each member of the Sanfilippo Group disclaims beneficial ownership of the Common Stock and Class A Stock beneficially owned by any other member of the Sanfilippo Group.

The members of the Sanfilippo Group are deemed to beneficially own an aggregate of 1,768,496 shares of Class A Stock and 44,332 shares of Common Stock, which includes 68.1% of the total outstanding shares of Class A Stock. The Sanfilippo Group would own 18.2% of the total outstanding shares of Common Stock, assuming the conversion of all such shares of Class A Stock into an equal number of shares of Common Stock. Based on the relative voting rights of the Class A Stock and Common Stock, the Sanfilippo Group has or shares 51.9% of the total outstanding voting power of our common equity, calculated by using 10 votes per share of Class A Stock and assuming that the applicable shares of Class A Stock are not converted into Common Stock. For additional information about our company's status as a controlled company under Nasdaq rules, see Corporate Governance Director Independence below.

The beneficial ownership of Jasper B. Sanfilippo and Marian R. Sanfilippo is described in footnotes (3), (4) and (5) above. The beneficial ownership of the remainder of the Sanfilippo Group is as follows:

Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo: The beneficial ownership of Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo includes (a) 18,832 shares of Common Stock held as a co-trustee of the Sanfilippo Family Education Trust, dated October 17, 1997, the beneficiaries of which are the grandchildren of Jasper and Marian Sanfilippo, (b) options to purchase 3,500 shares of Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.95 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, (c) 3,000 shares of Common Stock held directly by Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, (d) 21,856 shares of Class A Stock held directly by Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, and (e) 50,170 shares of

Class A Stock held as co-trustee of the Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006. As co-trustee, Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo shares voting and dispositive power over the 18,832 shares of Common Stock held in the Sanfilippo Family Education Trust and the 50,170 shares of Class A Stock held in the Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006.

Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr.: The beneficial ownership of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. includes (a) options to purchase 3,500 shares of Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.95 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, (b) 3,000 shares of Common Stock held directly by Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., (c) 1,349,663 shares of Class A Stock held as co-trustee of the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust Agreement, dated December 31, 1999, (d) 11,856 shares of Class A Stock held directly by Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., and (e) 55,701 shares of Class A Stock held as co-trustee of the Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006. As co-trustee, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. shares voting and dispositive power over the 55,701 shares of Class A Stock held in the Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006 and the 1,349,663 shares of Class A Stock held in the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust Agreement, dated December 31, 1999. 500,000 shares of Class A Stock in the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust Agreement, dated December 31, 1999 have been pledged to a financial institution.

Lisa A. Sanfilippo: The beneficial ownership of Lisa A. Sanfilippo includes (a) options to purchase 2,500 shares of Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.95 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, and (b) 50,172 shares of Class A Stock held as co-trustee of the Lisa A. Evon Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006. As co-trustee, Lisa A. Sanfilippo shares voting and dispositive power over the 50,172 shares of Class A Stock held in the trust.

John E. Sanfilippo: The beneficial ownership of John E. Sanfilippo includes (a) 18,832 shares of Common Stock held as a co-trustee of the Sanfilippo Family Education Trust, dated October 17, 1997, the beneficiaries of which are the grandchildren of Jasper and Marian Sanfilippo, (b) 40,008 shares of Class A Stock held directly by John E. Sanfilippo and (c) 50,170 shares of Class A Stock held as co-trustee of the John E. Sanfilippo Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006. As co-trustee, John E. Sanfilippo shares voting and dispositive power over the 18,832 shares of Common Stock held in the Sanfilippo Family Education Trust and the 50,170 shares of Class A Stock held in the John E. Sanfilippo Irrevocable Trust, dated October 6, 2006.

James J. Sanfilippo: The beneficial ownership of James J. Sanfilippo includes (a) 1,349,663 shares of Class A Stock held as co-trustee of the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust Agreement, dated December 31, 1999, and (b) 8,464 shares of Class A Stock held directly by James J. Sanfilippo. As co-trustee, James J. Sanfilippo shares voting and dispositive power over the 1,349,663 shares of Class A Stock held in the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust Agreement, dated December 31, 1999. 500,000 shares of Class A Stock in the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust Agreement, dated December 31, 1999 have been pledged to a financial institution.

Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., Lisa A. Sanfilippo and John E. Sanfilippo, as co-trustees of each of their aforementioned trusts dated October 6, 2006, are also the sole beneficiaries under each of their respective trusts.

The beneficiaries of the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust Agreement, dated December 31, 1999 are the descendants of Marian Sanfilippo, as grantor, which include James J. Sanfilippo and Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., who together are the trustees of that trust.

The information set forth in the table above and in the accompanying footnotes with respect to Marian R. Sanfilippo, Lisa A. Sanfilippo, John E. Sanfilippo and James J. Sanfilippo is based solely on the Schedule 13D filed by the Sanfilippo Group, as amended on April 26, 2012, as well as supplemental information provided to our company.

- (7) Excludes 32,609 shares of Class A Stock held by Jasper B. Sanfilippo as trustee of the trusts described in footnote (3) above, the beneficiary of which is the individual in the table that has a reference to this footnote (7) by his or her name.
- (8) Includes 768,442 shares of Class A Stock held as trustee of the following three trusts: the Trust for Michael J. Valentine, dated May 26, 1991, and the Trust for James A. Valentine, dated May 26, 1991, each of which owns 256,147 shares of Class A Stock, and the Trust for Mary Jo Carroll, dated May 26, 1991, which owns 256,148 shares of Class A Stock. The beneficiaries of these trusts are the children of Mathias and Mary Valentine, including Michael J. Valentine, an executive officer and director of our company, and

James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company. Includes (a) 3,000 shares of Common Stock held directly by Michael J. Valentine, and (b) options to purchase 3,500 shares of Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.95 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012.

(9) Includes (a) 3,000 shares of Common Stock held directly by Mathias A. Valentine, (b) 7,000 RSUs that are convertible to 7,000 shares of Common Stock on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, and (c) 60,488 shares of Class A Stock held directly by Mathias A. Valentine.

(10) Michael J. Valentine and Mathias A. Valentine have formed a group as reflected by the Schedule 13D filed on April 26, 2012 (the Valentine Group). The total beneficial ownership of the group consists of 828,930 shares of Class A Stock, 6,000 shares of Common Stock, 7,000 RSUs that are convertible to 7,000 shares of Common Stock on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012 and options to purchase 3,500 shares of Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.95 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, which represents 31.9% of the issued and outstanding Class A Stock, and 9.4% of the issued and outstanding Common Stock assuming the conversion of all such shares of Class A Stock into an equal number of shares of Common Stock.

Based on the relative voting rights of the Class A Stock and Common Stock, Michael J. Valentine directly or indirectly controls 22.5%, while Mathias A. Valentine directly controls 1.8% of the total outstanding voting power of our common equity. In addition, the Valentine Group directly controls 24.3% of the total outstanding voting power of our common equity. These percentages assume that the applicable shares of Class A Stock are not converted into Common Stock, and are calculated using 10 votes per share of Class A Stock.

(11) Includes options to purchase 3,500 shares of Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.95 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, and includes 2,850 shares of Common Stock held directly by James A. Valentine. Excludes 256,147 shares of Class A Stock held as trustee by Michael J. Valentine, an executive officer and director of our company.

(12) Includes options to purchase 20,500 shares of Common Stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$14.62 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, and includes 7,592 shares of Common Stock held directly by Walter R. Tankersley.

(13) Includes options to purchase 5,000 shares of Common Stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$17.33 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, and includes 4,250 shares of Common Stock held directly by Mr. Donovan and 7,000 RSUs that are convertible to 7,000 shares of Common Stock on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012. Excludes (a) 35,000 shares of Common Stock held by Mr. Donovan's spouse, Elaine Karacic, as trustee of certain trusts, the beneficiaries of which are the children of Mr. Donovan and Ms. Karacic, (b) 9,676 shares of Common Stock held by Ms. Karacic as trustee of a trust, the beneficiary of which is Ms. Karacic's sibling, and (c) 16,124 shares of Common Stock held by Ms. Karacic in her name. Mr. Donovan disclaims beneficial ownership of all of the foregoing excluded shares of Common Stock. Mr. Donovan's mailing address is: c/o Caesars Entertainment Corporation, One Caesars Palace Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109.

(14) Includes options to purchase 6,000 shares of Common Stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$15.74 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, includes 10,000 RSUs that are convertible to 10,000 shares of Common Stock on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, and includes 5,000 shares of Common Stock held directly by Gov. Jim Edgar.

(15) Includes options to purchase 3,000 shares of Common Stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$12.69 per share on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, includes 10,000 RSUs that are convertible to 10,000 shares of Common Stock on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, and includes 6,000 shares of Common Stock held directly by Daniel M. Wright.

(16) Includes 6,000 RSUs that are convertible to 6,000 shares of Common Stock on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012 by Ellen C. Taaffe.

(17) The information set forth in the table above and in this footnote is based solely on Form 13F as of June 30, 2012, filed by Pekin Singer Strauss Asset Management dated August 13, 2012. The mailing address of Pekin Singer Strauss Asset Management is: 21 South Clark

Street, Suite 3325, Chicago, Illinois 60603.

- (18) The information set forth in the table above and in this footnote is based solely on Form 13F as of June 30, 2012, filed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP dated August 13, 2012. The mailing address of Dimensional Fund Advisors LP is: 6300 Bee Cave Road, Building One, Austin, Texas 78746.
- (19) The information set forth in the table above and in this footnote is based solely on Form 13F as of June 30, 2012, filed by Franklin Resources, Inc. dated August 13, 2012. The mailing address of Franklin Resources, Inc. is: One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, California 94403.
- (20) Includes options to purchase a total of 53,000 shares of Common Stock (including the options referred to in footnotes 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 above) at prices ranging from \$7.80 to \$32.30 per share which are exercisable by certain of the directors and executive officers on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012, and includes 47,000 RSUs that are convertible to 47,000 shares of Common Stock on or within 60 days of September 4, 2012 (including the RSUs referred to in footnotes 4, 9, 13, 14, 15 and 16).

Pledging of Shares

The members of the Sanfilippo Group (Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Marian R. Sanfilippo, Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Jasper B. Sanfilippo Jr., Lisa A. Sanfilippo, John E. Sanfilippo and James J. Sanfilippo) have, from time to time, pledged their Class A Stock, which they either directly or beneficially own, to various financial institutions. Currently, 500,000 shares of Class A Stock in the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust Agreement, dated December 31, 1999 have been pledged to a financial institution. If certain members of the Sanfilippo Group default on any of their obligations under any pledge agreements, the related loan documents or any other arrangement pursuant to which they have pledged their shares, the other parties to the agreements may have the right to foreclose upon and sell the pledged shares. Such a sale could cause our stock price to decline. Many of the occurrences that could result in a foreclosure of the pledged shares are out of our control and are unrelated to our operations.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nine directors are to be elected to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors shall be elected and qualified. Three directors are to be elected by the holders of Common Stock voting as a class and the remaining six directors are to be elected by the holders of Class A Stock voting as a class. While the Board of Directors does not contemplate that any nominee for election as a director will not be able to serve, if any of the nominees for election shall be unable or shall fail to serve as a director, the holders of proxies shall vote such proxies for such other person or persons as shall be determined by such holders in their discretion or, so long as such action does not conflict with the provisions of our Restated Certificate relating to the proportion of directors to be elected by the holders of Common Stock, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion, reduce the number of directors to be elected.

The Board of Directors recommends that the stockholders vote FOR each of the nominees listed herein.

We believe that each nominee listed below has the qualifications, skills and experience that are consistent with our requirements for the selection of directors and that, as a group, the Board of Directors functions collegially, constructively and effectively together in overseeing our business. Below in each nominee's individual biography we identify and describe some of the specific qualifications, skills and experience that each nominee provides to the Board of Directors. However, the fact that we do not list a particular qualification, skill or experience for a nominee does not mean that the nominee does not possess that particular qualification, skill or experience.

NOMINEES FOR ELECTION BY THE HOLDERS OF COMMON STOCK

The name of and certain information regarding each nominee for election to our Board of Directors by the holders of Common Stock, as reported to us, is set forth below.

Governor Jim Edgar, Director, age 66 Gov. Edgar is currently a Distinguished Fellow at the University of Illinois Institute of Government and Public Affairs where he is also a teacher and lecturer. He has been in this position since January 1999. He was also a Resident Fellow at the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University during the 1999 fall semester. Gov. Edgar served as Governor of the State of Illinois from January 14, 1991 through January 11, 1999. Prior to his election, Gov. Edgar served as the Illinois Secretary of State from 1981 to 1991. Gov. Edgar's retirement from public office marked 30 years of state government service. Gov. Edgar currently serves on the board of directors of Horizon Group Properties, Inc. (since 2000), and previously served on the board of directors of Youbet.com, Inc. (from 2002 until June 2010) and Alberto Culver Company (from 2002 to 2011). During the last five years, Gov. Edgar has also served as a director or trustee for nine investment entities that are a part of the fund complex DWS Mutual Funds, Inc. (formerly known as Scudder Mutual Funds Inc.). Gov. Edgar has been a member of our Board of Directors since October 1999 and is a member of our Audit Committee and our Compensation Committee and is the Chairman of our Corporate Governance Committee (the Governance Committee).

The Board of Directors has concluded that Gov. Edgar should serve as a director as a result of his demonstrated leadership and management skills as the former Governor of Illinois. In addition, as a result of his current and past service as a director on the boards of other companies, including a company that manufactures and markets consumer products, Gov. Edgar also provides our Board of Directors with significant expertise in the oversight of consumer-oriented companies and corporate governance procedures and practices.

Ellen C. Taaffe, Director, age 50 Ms. Taaffe is the President of Smith-Dahmer Associates LLC, a research and brand strategy consulting firm established in 1991. Ms. Taaffe joined Smith-Dahmer Associates LLC following her resignation as Vice President of Brand Marketing and Corporate Officer of Whirlpool Corporation in 2009. Prior to Whirlpool Corporation, Ms. Taaffe served as Senior Vice President of Marketing and Corporate Officer at Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. from 2005 to 2007. Previously, Ms. Taaffe served as Vice President of Health and Wellness Strategy and Programming at PepsiCo from 2003 to 2005. She was Vice President of Marketing for the Convenience Foods Division of Frito-Lay, following PepsiCo's acquisition of the Quaker Oats Company in 2001, where she was Vice President of Marketing for Quaker Snacks and Side Dishes. At Quaker, Ms. Taaffe held numerous positions in Brand Management and Sales Management from 1984 to 2001. Ms. Taaffe was appointed to our Board of Directors in January 2011 and is a member of our Compensation Committee, our Governance Committee and our Audit Committee.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Ms. Taaffe should serve as a director because of her extensive and diverse background in sales and marketing. Specifically, Ms. Taaffe's experience in brand strategy for international consumer products companies provides us with a valuable resource as we continue to execute our corporate strategies and seek to expand the sales of our products.

Daniel M. Wright, Director, age 74 Mr. Wright previously worked for Arthur Andersen LLP for 37 years as an auditor, where his clients consisted of privately-held and registered public companies. Mr. Wright was a Partner with Arthur Andersen LLP from 1973 through August 1998, and became a certified public accountant in 1968. Mr. Wright served on the board of directors of RC2 Corporation from 2003 until May 2010, where he was a member of its Audit Committee. Throughout his career, and since his retirement in 1998, Mr. Wright has been active in numerous civic and philanthropic organizations. Mr. Wright has been a member of our Board of Directors since October 2005 and is a member of our Compensation Committee and our Governance Committee and is the Chairman of our Audit Committee.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Wright should serve as a director because of the extensive accounting and financial experience that he gained while serving as an auditor for over three decades. Mr. Wright's in-depth knowledge of the audit and financial reporting requirements of public companies allows Mr. Wright to provide our company with a valuable perspective in accounting and other related matters.

NOMINEES FOR ELECTION BY THE HOLDERS OF CLASS A STOCK

The name of and certain information regarding each nominee for election to our Board of Directors by the holders of Class A Stock, as reported to us, is set forth below.

Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Director, age 81 Mr. Sanfilippo was employed by us from 1953 to his retirement as an employee of our company in January 2008. Mr. Sanfilippo served as our President from 1982 to December 1995 and was our Treasurer from 1959 to October 1991. He became our Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer in October 1991 and has been a member of our Board of Directors since 1959. Mr. Sanfilippo was also a member of our Compensation Committee until April 28, 2004 and was a member of the Stock Option Committee until February 27, 1997 (when that Committee was disbanded). Mr. Sanfilippo resigned as Chief Executive Officer of our company in November 2006, as our employee Chairman of the Board of Directors on January 10, 2008 and as our Chairman of the Board of Directors on October 30, 2008. Mr. Sanfilippo is the father of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. and Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, both of whom are executive officers and directors of our company, the brother-in-law of Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company, the uncle of Michael J. Valentine, a director and an executive officer of our company, and James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company. Mr. Sanfilippo is also the uncle by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Sanfilippo should serve as a director, in part, because of his vast knowledge of the nut industry. He also provides our Board of Directors with a deep knowledge of our company and its values and history as a result of his service as an employee from 1953 until 2008, including as our former Chief Executive Officer. The Board of Directors believes that he, together with Mathias A. Valentine, provides a unique perspective regarding our company's history and operations and is well-suited to provide guidance to the company as to the best methods to build our brands and provide long-term stockholder value.

Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., Chief Operating Officer, President, Assistant Secretary and Director, age 44 Mr. Sanfilippo was appointed as a member of the Board of Directors in December 2003 upon the recommendation of our senior management and the unanimous approval of the Board of Directors. Mr. Sanfilippo has been employed by us since 1992 and in 2001 was named Executive Vice President Operations, retaining his position as Assistant Secretary, which he assumed in December 1995. He became our Senior Vice President Operations in August 1999 and served as Vice President Operations between December 1995 and August 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Sanfilippo was the General Manager of our Gustine, California facility beginning in October 1995, and from June 1992 to October 1995 he served as Assistant Treasurer and worked in our Financial Relations department. On May 8, 2006 our Board of Directors approved a succession plan finalized and adopted at the Board of Directors meeting held on November 6, 2006. Pursuant to the succession plan, Mr. Sanfilippo was elected as our Chief Operating Officer and President and he has since then continued to hold such positions. In May 2007, Mr. Sanfilippo was

named as our Treasurer and held that position until January 2009. Mr. Sanfilippo has previously served on the Board of Directors of the National Pecan Shellers Association, an industry association of which our company is a member. Mr. Sanfilippo is the son of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, a director of our company, the nephew of Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company, the brother of Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo and the cousin of Michael J. Valentine, both of whom are executive officers and directors of our company, and James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company. Mr. Sanfilippo is also a first cousin by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Sanfilippo should serve as a director as a result of his extensive knowledge of the nut industry, his operational and management experience and his leadership abilities. In addition, Mr. Sanfilippo brings to our Board of Directors an in-depth knowledge of our company due to his service as an employee since 1992 and his demonstrated leadership in managing the capital expenditures and increasing operational efficiencies of the company. Moreover, Mr. Sanfilippo is well-suited to serve as a director due to his continued engagement in new product development and branding efforts to provide our consumers with innovative product choices.

Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, age 49 Mr. Sanfilippo has been employed by us since 1991 and was named our Executive Vice President Sales and Marketing in January 2001. Mr. Sanfilippo became a director of our company in August 1999 and was elected as our Chairman of the Board of Directors on October 30, 2008. He served as Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing from August 1999 to January 2001 and as General Manager West Coast Operations from September 1991 to September 1993. He served as Vice President West Coast Operations and Sales from October 1993 to September 1995. He served as Vice President Sales and Marketing from October 1995 to August 1999. On May 8, 2006 our Board of Directors approved a succession plan finalized and adopted at the Board of Directors meeting held on November 6, 2006. Pursuant to the succession plan, Mr. Sanfilippo was elected as our Chief Executive Officer and he has since then continued to hold such position. Mr. Sanfilippo is the son of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, a director of our company, the nephew of Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company, the brother of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., an executive officer and director of our company, the cousin of Michael J. Valentine, an executive officer and director of our company, and James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company. Mr. Sanfilippo is also a first cousin by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company. Mr. Sanfilippo recently earned his Masters of Business Administration through a classroom executive evening program and is an active member of the Chicago chapter of the Young Presidents Organization.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Sanfilippo should serve as a director because, as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, he has demonstrated a deep understanding of our company, its operations and how to position the company for long-term growth through, among other things, the development and implementation of a comprehensive Strategic Plan. In addition, as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of our company, Mr. Sanfilippo has significant leadership, marketing, product development and financial experience and is well-suited to provide the company with effective guidance in managing our company's business.

Mathias A. Valentine, Director, age 79 Mr. Valentine was employed by us from 1960 until his retirement in January 2006. He was named our President in December 1995. He served as our Secretary from 1969 to December 1995, as our Executive Vice President from 1987 to October 1991 and as our Senior Executive Vice President and Treasurer from October 1991 to December 1995. He has been a member of our Board of Directors since 1969. Mr. Valentine was also a member of our Compensation Committee until April 28, 2004 and was a member of the Stock Option Committee until February 27, 1997 (when that Committee was disbanded). Mr. Valentine retired from our company on January 3, 2006. Mr. Valentine is the brother-in-law of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, a director of our company, the father of Michael J. Valentine, a director and an executive officer of our company, and James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company. Mr. Valentine is the uncle of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. and Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, both of whom are executive officers and directors of our company. Mr. Valentine is also the uncle by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Valentine should serve as a director as a result of his in-depth knowledge of our company and the nut industry and deep appreciation of our company's values and mission due to his service as an employee from 1960 until 2006, including as our former President. The Board of Directors believes that he, together with Jasper B. Sanfilippo, provides a unique perspective regarding our company's history and operations and is well-suited to provide guidance to the company as to the best methods to build our brands and provide long-term stockholder value.

Michael J. Valentine, Chief Financial Officer, Group President, Secretary and Director, age 53 Mr. Valentine has been employed by us since 1987 and in January 2001 was named Executive Vice President Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary. Mr. Valentine was elected as a director of our company in April 1997. Mr. Valentine served as our Senior Vice President and Secretary from August 1999 to January 2001. He served as Vice President and Secretary from December 1995 to August 1999. He served as our Assistant Secretary and General Manager of External Operations from June 1987 and 1990, respectively, to December 1995. On May 8, 2006 our Board of Directors approved a succession plan, which was finalized and adopted at the Board of Directors meeting held on November 6, 2006. Pursuant to the succession plan, Mr. Valentine was elected as our company's Chief Financial Officer and Group President and he has since then continued to hold such positions. In February 2007, Mr. Valentine was appointed as Secretary of our company. Since 1999 and 2009 Mr. Valentine has served on the Board of Directors of the Peanut and Tree Nut Processors Association and the Board of Directors of the American Peanut Council, respectively, both of which are nut industry associations of which our company is a member. Mr. Valentine is the son of Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company, the brother of James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company, the nephew of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, a director of our company, and cousin of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. and Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, both of whom are executive officers and directors of our company. Mr. Valentine is also a first cousin by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Valentine should serve as a director because of his extensive accounting and financial experience demonstrated through, among other things, obtaining two attractive credit facility amendment arrangements for our company in recent years. He also provides our Board of Directors with valuable knowledge of our company from his service as an employee since 1987 and our Chief Financial Officer since 2001, and an in-depth knowledge of our industry from his service as a member of the board of directors of two industry associations related to our core business. In addition, his position as Group President provides the Board of Directors with critical leadership and management experience.

Timothy R. Donovan, Director, age 56 Mr. Donovan is the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Regulatory and Compliance Officer of Caesars Entertainment Corporation, the world's largest gaming and resort company. Mr. Donovan joined Caesars in April 2009 upon his resignation as Executive Vice President and General Counsel for Republic Services, Inc. which merged with Allied Waste Industries where Mr. Donovan held similar positions since April 2007. Mr. Donovan served in various senior positions with Tenneco Inc. (formerly known as Tenneco Automotive Inc.) from July 1999 until his resignation in February 2007, most recently as Executive Vice President, Strategy and Business Development, and General Counsel. In addition to his duties as General Counsel, Mr. Donovan also served as Managing Director of portions of Tenneco's international operations from May 2001 through July 2005, including Asia (2001 through 2005), Australia (2004 through 2005) and South America (2001 through 2004), as a member of Tenneco's board of directors from March 2004 until February 2007 and as a member of Tenneco's Office of the Chief Executive from July 2006 until January 2007. Mr. Donovan was a partner in the law firm of Jenner & Block LLP from 1989 until his resignation in September 1999, and from approximately 1997 through 1999 served as the Chairman of the firm's Corporate and Securities Department and as a member of its Executive Committee. Mr. Donovan joined Jenner & Block LLP in 1982 after serving as a staff trial attorney at the Chicago District Counsel's Office of the Internal Revenue Service. Mr. Donovan was elected as a member of our Board of Directors in October 1999 and serves as a member of our Audit Committee, a member of our Governance Committee and the Chairman of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Donovan is a nephew by marriage of Mr. Jasper B. Sanfilippo and Mr. Mathias A. Valentine, both of whom are directors of our company, and the first cousin by marriage of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Michael J. Valentine and James A. Valentine, each of whom is an executive officer and certain of whom are also directors of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Donovan should serve as a director because of the vast experience he has acquired serving as the general counsel for four publicly traded companies. In addition, Mr. Donovan's experiences while holding executive officer positions for an automobile parts manufacturer have given him numerous insights into manufacturing and supply operations, as well as international operations, that make him an invaluable advisor to our company. Furthermore, as a former senior partner of a national law firm, Mr. Donovan also provides a key perspective as to legal issues facing companies of our size and complexity.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Director Independence

On June 21, 2004, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, his spouse Marian Sanfilippo and their five children (two of whom are directors and executive officers of our company) jointly filed a Schedule 13D indicating their intention to act together as a group. The Schedule 13D was amended on March 21, 2007, January 16, 2008, September 10, 2009 and April 26, 2012. The Sanfilippo Group beneficially owns shares entitled to cast 51.9% of votes eligible to be cast on matters submitted to stockholders generally (other than the election of directors which are elected as described above). In addition, Mathias A. Valentine and Michael J. Valentine (both directors and current or former executive officers of the company) filed a Schedule 13D on April 26, 2012 restating their intention to continue to act together as a group. The Valentine Group beneficially owns shares entitled to cast 24.3% of votes eligible to be cast on matters submitted to stockholders generally (other than the election of directors which are elected as described above). On account of (a) the share ownership as described above and (b) the oral understanding between the members of the Sanfilippo Group and the Valentine Group not to cumulate their votes for the election of Class A Directors and to vote in a reciprocal manner for each other's nominees, under Nasdaq Listing Rule 5615(c)(1), we qualify as a controlled company. Pursuant to the provisions of the Nasdaq rules applicable to controlled companies, we are not required to have (a) a majority of independent directors on our Board of Directors, (b) a nominations committee comprised solely of independent directors, or (c) a compensation committee comprised solely of independent directors. Nevertheless, four of our nominees for election to the Board of Directors are independent, and our Compensation Committee and Governance Committee are comprised solely of independent directors.

Both Jasper B. Sanfilippo and Mathias A. Valentine are uncles by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan and the Management Team (as defined below) members and James A. Valentine are cousins by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan. However, because Timothy R. Donovan is not considered a family member pursuant to the Nasdaq Listing Rules, and, for the reasons discussed below, he qualifies as an independent director pursuant to Nasdaq Listing Rule 5605(a)(2).

Independence of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has determined that Timothy R. Donovan, Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright are independent under Nasdaq Listing Rule 5605(a)(2) and that such directors have no material relationships with our company that would compromise their independence. At the Board of Directors meeting held on November 9, 2011, our Board of Directors reviewed the independence of the non-management independent directors in accordance with Nasdaq Listing Rule 5605(a)(2). In carrying out that review, our Board of Directors sought to determine whether there are or have been any relationships which would interfere with Timothy R. Donovan's, Gov. Jim Edgar's, Ellen C. Taaffe's and Daniel M. Wright's exercise of independent judgment in carrying out their responsibilities as directors. Specifically, our Board of Directors focused on their relationships with employees of our company and whether they, their family members or entities in which they have a significant interest, paid or received payments for property or services to or from our company. In particular, our Board of Directors considered Timothy R. Donovan's familial relationships with the members of the Management Team, James A. Valentine, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Mathias A. Valentine and Roseanne Christman (our Director of Creative Services and Customer Solutions), and unanimously concluded that such relationships did not impact Timothy R. Donovan's independence because, among other reasons, (a) his relationships with the Management Team, James A. Valentine, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Mathias A. Valentine and Roseanne Christman are sufficiently distant due to the fact that such relationships to those individuals are all based upon marriage, and (b) in his role and experience as general counsel of multiple public companies, he has a full understanding of his responsibilities with respect to being an independent director.

Independence of the Compensation Committee and the Corporate Governance Committee

As a controlled company, under applicable Nasdaq Listing Rules, we are not required to maintain independent committees overseeing our compensation and nominating policies and practices. However, as a matter of good corporate governance, the Board of Directors has nevertheless determined that the best interests of our company and its stockholders are served by adopting such practices.

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Timothy R. Donovan, Chairman, Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright. The Governance Committee is comprised of Gov. Jim Edgar, Chairman, Timothy R. Donovan, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright. Each member of the Compensation Committee and Governance Committee is an independent director as defined in Section 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules.

Independence of the Audit Committee

The Board of Directors has determined that (a) each member of the Audit Committee is an independent director as defined in Section 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules and (b) each member of the Audit Committee is independent for purposes of Section 10A and Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act.

Board of Directors Leadership Structure

The Board of Directors believes it is important to retain its flexibility to allocate the responsibilities of the offices of the Chairman of the Board of Directors (Chairman) and the Chief Executive Officer in any way that is in the best interests of our company and stockholders at any given point in time. The Board of Directors believes that the decision as to who should serve as Chairman and as Chief Executive Officer, and whether the offices should be combined or separate, should be assessed periodically by the Board of Directors, and that the Board of Directors should not be constrained by a rigid policy mandating that such positions be separate. The Board of Directors has determined that, in light of the current size of our company, the most efficient leadership structure is to combine the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and have Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo serve as such. Combining the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer helps the Board make efficient and expeditious decisions, allows our company to tap fully Mr. Sanfilippo's extensive knowledge of our industry and company, and enables him to effectively exercise his proven leadership skills.

Furthermore, we believe that the combined office of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer puts an individual in the best position to focus the directors' attention on the issues of greatest importance to the company and its stockholders, including issues related to strategy (including implementation of our company's Strategic Plan) and risk management.

The relatively small size of our Board of Directors allows all directors, including the independent directors, to have a very active role in all Board of Directors activities. We have not identified any independent director as the lead independent director. Three out of our four independent directors serve as the chairman of one of the three committees of the Board of Directors and all four independent directors are the only members on these committees. The independent directors, through their committee meetings, hold regular executive sessions without the attendance of management at which discussions are facilitated by the chair of the respective committees regarding the committee's work and responsibilities. The Board of Directors feels that it is unnecessary to distinguish one of the independent directors as a lead independent director at this time, although the Board of Directors will periodically assess, if appropriate, whether a lead independent director should be appointed.

Board of Directors Role in Risk Oversight

Throughout the year, risk is an integral part of the deliberations of the Board of Directors and its committees. Importantly, the Board of Directors oversees, reviews and helps formulate our company's Strategic Plan, taking into account, among other considerations, our company's risk profile and potential exposures. In addition, the Board of Directors receives regular reports from management regarding specific risks that the Board of Directors or management has identified as important for the Board of Directors' specific review and input. The Board of Directors' risk oversight is also implemented through its committees. Although the Board of Directors as a whole has the responsibility for risk oversight, its committees also help oversee the company's risk profile and exposures relating to matters within the scope of their authority and report to the Board of Directors about their deliberations and findings.

Specifically, the Compensation Committee reviews risks associated with our compensation programs, to ensure that incentive compensation programs do not encourage inappropriate risk-taking by management or employees. The Compensation Committee determined in fiscal 2012 that the company's compensation programs do not encourage inappropriate risk-taking and the company's compensation programs would be unlikely to have a material adverse effect upon the company. The Governance Committee considers risks related to corporate governance. The Audit Committee considers risks relating to the company's accounting and finance functions, internal controls, related party transactions and disclosure and financial reporting.

The Board of Directors' role in risk oversight of our company is consistent with our company's current leadership structure because the combined office of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer enables our current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, to more efficiently identify and effectively oversee our company's risks and report his conclusions and recommendations regarding such risks to the full Board of Directors.

In addition, our company has created a Risk Assessment Committee, chaired by William R. Pokrajac, Vice President of Risk Management and Investor Relations, and consisting of James A. Valentine, Chief Information Officer, Christopher H. Gardier, Senior Vice President of Consumer Sales, Michael E. Norsen, Operations Manager, Herbert J. Marros, Director of Financial Reporting and Taxation, Brenda Cannon, Vice President of Food Safety/Quality, Walter S. Kowal, Internal Audit Manager and Thomas J. Fordonski, Senior Vice President, Human Resources, to aid further the Board of Directors and its committees in reviewing the risks which face our company, including risks related to compensation policies and practices, information technology and general enterprise risks. The Risk Assessment Committee meets quarterly and delivers a report to the Board of Directors. With respect to supply procurement risks, our senior vice president of procurement and commodity risk management, Walter R. Tankersley, provides regular updates to management and, from time to time, provides updates to the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee as appropriate.

Board Meetings and Committees

Board of Directors

It is expected that each member of the Board of Directors will be available to attend all regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Directors and all regularly scheduled meetings of the committees on which a director serves, as well as our annual meeting of stockholders, after taking into consideration the director's other business and professional commitments. Each director is expected to make his or her best effort to attend all of the special meetings of the Board of Directors and of the committees on which a director serves.

Our Board of Directors held seven meetings during fiscal 2012. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the committees of the Board of Directors on which they served. All directors attended the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders. The separately-designated standing committees of the Board of Directors include the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance Committee. Each committee has adopted a charter which governs its activities. These committee charters are available on our website at www.jbssinc.com.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Timothy R. Donovan, Chairman, Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright. The Compensation Committee held six meetings during fiscal 2012.

The Compensation Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to the salaries, equity grants, incentive compensation and other compensation of executive officers and non-management directors (management directors are not separately compensated for their service as directors) other than for related parties, the review and approval of which is handled by the Audit Committee. The Compensation Committee may solicit recommendations as to compensation of non-management directors and executive officers from other members of the Board of Directors and executive officers. The Compensation Committee reviews market comparisons of the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers that are prepared by its independent compensation consultant and our company.

In carrying out its purposes, the Compensation Committee is authorized to take all actions that it deems necessary or appropriate, it may draw upon and direct such internal resources of the company as it deems necessary, and it may engage such compensation consultants, search firms, legal advisors and other advisors as it deems desirable, at the cost and expense of the company. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any such consultant, firm or advisor, including the sole authority to determine fees and terms of retention. The Compensation Committee is also authorized to establish a subcommittee, delegate to it the responsibilities provided for under the Compensation Committee's charter, and grant to it as much authority, including the full authority of the Compensation Committee, as the Compensation Committee deems necessary or appropriate, so long as the member or members of such subcommittee are independent directors as contemplated by the Compensation Committee's charter.

In fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee directly engaged ExeQuity LLP (ExeQuity), an independent compensation consultant, to, among other things, review the proposed fiscal 2012 base salaries, conduct certain market analysis, review the SVA Plan and its related targets, advise the Compensation Committee with respect to granting fiscal 2012 equity awards pursuant to the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2008 Plan), and advise the Compensation Committee on the compensation of our executive officers and our non-management directors until January of 2012. In light of ExeQuity s primary consultant to the Compensation Committee accepting a professor position at a highly-ranked business school and no longer providing consulting services, the Compensation Committee decided to engage a new compensation consultant. The Chairman of the Compensation Committee, after review of several consultants, selected Meridian Compensation Partners as its primary compensation consultant to the Compensation Committee in February of 2012.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During fiscal 2012, Timothy R. Donovan (the Chairman of the Compensation Committee), Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright served as the sole members of the Compensation Committee. Neither Gov. Jim Edgar, Daniel M. Wright, Ellen C. Taaffe nor Timothy R. Donovan (a) was, during the fiscal year, an officer or employee of the company, (b) was formerly an officer of the company, or (c) had any related party transactions with the company other than the one listed below for Timothy R. Donovan.

Roseanne Christman, Director of Creative Services and Customer Solutions of the company, is the sister-in-law of Timothy R. Donovan. Roseanne Christman s total compensation for fiscal 2012 was \$242,547. The Compensation Committee, of which Mr. Donovan is the Chairman, did not set Roseanne Christman s salary. Rather, the Audit Committee, of which Mr. Donovan is a member, reviewed and approved Roseanne Christman s salary and it will continue to review her salary along with the salaries of other related parties in the future. See Review of Related Party Transactions below for additional discussion.

No executive officer of our company served on the board of directors or the compensation committee of another company which had any of its officers or directors serving on our Compensation Committee or on our Board of Directors at any time during fiscal 2012.

Corporate Governance Committee

The Governance Committee was formed in order to, among other things, make director nominee recommendations to the Board of Directors and to assist our company in refining its corporate governance policies and procedures. The Governance Committee is comprised of Gov. Jim Edgar, Chairman, Timothy R. Donovan, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright. The Governance Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2012.

The Governance Committee reviews candidates considered for election to the Board of Directors. The Governance Committee reviews and makes recommendations on matters related to the practices, policies and procedures of the Board of Directors and the committees of the Board of Directors. The Governance Committee has the lead role in shaping our overall system of corporate governance. As part of its duties, the Governance Committee assesses the size, structure and composition of the Board of Directors and committees of the Board of Directors, including director qualifications and director succession planning, and coordinates the performance evaluation of the Board of Directors and the committees of the Board of Directors.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee provides oversight on matters relating to accounting, financial reporting, internal control, auditing, and regulatory compliance. The Audit Committee also has the sole authority to: (a) retain and terminate the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm that audits our annual consolidated financial statements; (b) evaluate the independence of the auditors; and (c) arrange with the auditors the scope of their audit. Additionally, the Audit Committee reviews our audited financial statements with management and the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, recommends whether such audited financial statements should be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and prepares a report to stockholders to be included in this Proxy Statement. Further, the Audit Committee reviews related party transactions as more specifically described under Review of Related Party Transactions below.

The Audit Committee is comprised of the independent directors Daniel M. Wright, Chairman, Timothy R. Donovan, Gov. Jim Edgar and Ellen C. Taaffe. The Audit Committee held six meetings during fiscal 2012.

The Board of Directors has determined that (a) each member of the Audit Committee is an independent director as defined in Section 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules, (b) each member of the Audit Committee is independent for purposes of Section 10A and Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act, and (c) Mr. Wright, the Chairman of the Audit Committee, and Mr. Donovan, a member of the Audit Committee, are audit committee financial experts as defined by the SEC. With respect to

its assessment of whether Messrs. Wright and Donovan are audit committee financial experts, the Board of Directors considered, among other things, Messrs. Wright and Donovan's experience as described under Nominees for Election by the Holders of Common Stock and Nominees for Election by the Holders of Class A Stock, respectively.

Stockholder Communication with Directors

We recognize the importance of providing our stockholders with the ability to communicate with members of the Board of Directors. Accordingly, we have established a policy for stockholder communications with directors. This policy is not intended to cover communications of complaints regarding accounting or auditing matters, with respect to which we have established the Anonymous Incident Reporting System for Accounting and Auditing Matters, which is posted on our website at www.jbssinc.com. Stockholders wishing to communicate with the Board of Directors as a whole, or with certain directors individually, may do so by sending a written communication to the following address:

John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc.

Stockholder Communications with Directors

Attn: Corporate Secretary

1703 N. Randall Road

Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820

Each stockholder communication should include an indication of the submitting stockholder's status as a valid stockholder in order to submit such communication. Each such communication will be received for handling by our Secretary for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a communication to the Board of Directors or to an individual director. The Secretary will maintain originals of each communication received and will provide copies to the addressee(s) and any appropriate committee(s) or director(s) based on the expressed desire of the communicating stockholder. The Board of Directors, the committee(s) or the applicable individual director(s) may elect to respond to the communication as each deems appropriate.

DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS

Director Qualifications

While there is no single set of characteristics required to be possessed by each member of the Board of Directors, the Governance Committee will consider whether to nominate a candidate for director based on a variety of criteria, including, but not limited to: (a) the candidate's personal integrity; (b) whether the candidate has demonstrated achievement in one or more forms of business, professional, governmental, communal, scientific or educational endeavors sufficient to enable the candidate to make a significant and immediate contribution to the Board of Directors discussion and decision-making regarding the array of complex issues facing our company; (c) the candidate's level of familiarity with our business and competitive environment; (d) the candidate's ability to function effectively in an oversight role; (e) the candidate's understanding of the issues affecting a public company of a size and complexity similar to our company; and (f) whether the candidate has, and is prepared to devote, adequate time to the Board of Directors and its committees. Under exceptional and limited circumstances, the Governance Committee may approve the candidacy of a candidate notwithstanding the foregoing criteria if the Governance Committee believes the service of such a nominee is in our best interests and those of our stockholders.

In selecting candidates, the Governance Committee and the Board of Directors take diversity into account, seeking to ensure a representation of varied perspectives and experience, although neither the Governance Committee nor the Board of Directors has prescribed specific standards for diversity or adopted a written diversity policy.

However, the Governance Committee considers certain items to be minimum requirements for nomination to our Board of Directors. Those requirements are: (a) a commitment to the duties and responsibilities of a director; (b) the ability to contribute meaningfully to the Board of Directors' supervisory management of the company and its officers; and (c) an outstanding record of integrity in prior professional activities.

In addition, the Governance Committee ensures that:

at least three of the directors serving at any time on the Board of Directors are independent, as defined under the rules of the principal stock market on which our common shares are listed for trading;

all members of the Audit Committee satisfy the financial literacy requirements required under the rules of the principal stock market on which our common shares are listed for trading;

at least one of the Audit Committee members qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under the rules of the Commission; and

at least one of the independent directors has experience as a senior executive at a public company or a substantially-large private company.

In selecting a nominee for our Board of Directors, the Governance Committee may receive suggestions from many different groups including, but not limited to, the company's current and former executive officers and directors, and such suggestions may or may not be in response to a request from the Governance Committee. As described below, the Governance Committee will also consider nominations from stockholders. From time to time, the Governance Committee may engage a third party for a fee to assist it in identifying potential director candidates.

After identifying a potential director nominee and deciding to further pursue the potential nominee, the Governance Committee will then evaluate the potential nominee by using information collected from a variety of sources. Those sources include, but are not limited to, publicly available information, information provided by knowledgeable members of the company and information provided by the potential candidate. The Governance Committee may contact the potential nominee to determine his or her interest and willingness to serve as a director and may conduct one or more in-person or telephonic interviews with the potential candidate. The Governance Committee may contact references of the potential candidate or other members of the professional community who may have relevant knowledge of the potential candidate's qualifications and successes. The Governance Committee may compare the potential candidate's information to all such information collected for other potential candidates.

Director Succession Planning

From time to time, the holders of Class A Stock have discussed with the Governance Committee director succession planning and processes with respect to the Class A Directors. In doing so, the holders of Class A Stock have identified potential director candidates, considered their characteristics with the criteria listed in *Director Qualifications* above and evaluated their specific skills among a number of factors the holders of Class A Stock consider important. The Governance Committee intends to continue these discussions and processes with the holders of Class A Stock on an ongoing basis.

Nominations of Directors by Stockholders

The Governance Committee does not solicit, but will consider, nominees for director submitted by holders of our Common Stock and Class A Stock. The Governance Committee follows the same process and uses the same criteria for evaluating candidates proposed by stockholders as it uses for all other candidates, although the number of shares held by the proposing stockholder and the length of time such shares have been held may be considered by the Governance Committee.

Stockholders wishing to have the Governance Committee consider a director nominee may do so by sending notice of the nominee's name, biographical information and qualifications to:

Governance Committee

c/o Corporate Secretary

John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc.

1703 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820

Under our company's Bylaws and applicable law, all director nominations submitted by our stockholders must provide (a) all information relating to the nominee that is required to be disclosed in a solicitation of proxies for the election of directors in an election contest, or as is otherwise required, pursuant to and in accordance with Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act, (b) the nominee's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director, if elected, and (c) the submitting stockholder's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as the stockholder recommending the director nomination. In addition, such notice of a nominee shall include, as to the stockholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination or proposal is made (a) the name and address of such stockholder, as

they appear on our company's books, and of such beneficial owner, (b) the class and number of shares of stock of our company which are owned beneficially and of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner, (c) a representation that the stockholder is a holder of record of the stock of our company entitled to vote at such meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to propose the nominee, and (d) a representation of whether the stockholder or the beneficial owner, if any, intends to or is part of a group which intends to (i) deliver a proxy statement and/or form of proxy to holders of at least the percentage of our company's outstanding capital stock required to elect the nominee and/or (ii) otherwise solicit proxies from stockholders in support of the nominee's election. The foregoing requirements will be deemed satisfied by the stockholder if that stockholder has notified our company of his, her or its intention to present a nomination at an annual meeting in compliance with the applicable rules and regulations under the Exchange Act and such stockholder's nomination has been included in a proxy statement that has been prepared by our company to solicit proxies for such annual meeting. Our company may require any proposed nominee to furnish such other information as it may reasonably require in order to determine the eligibility of such proposed nominee to serve as a director of our company.

Please see "Stockholder Proposals for the 2013 Annual Meeting" below for the notice deadlines for stockholder's director nominations to be considered for inclusion in our company's proxy materials and stockholder's director nominations to be presented at the 2013 annual meeting (but not to be included in our company's proxy materials).

**PROPOSAL 2: RATIFY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE S APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS
LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE 2013 FISCAL YEAR**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to examine our consolidated financial statements for the 2013 fiscal year, and to render other professional services as required, in accordance with our pre-approval policies and procedures described below. The Audit Committee and the Board of Directors, as a matter of company policy, are submitting the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to stockholders for ratification.

If the stockholders do not vote on an advisory basis in favor of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our company s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to engage PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP but may ultimately determine to engage PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP or another audit firm without re-submitting the matter to stockholders. Even if the stockholders vote in favor of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Audit Committee may, in its sole discretion, terminate the engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and direct the appointment of another Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm at any time during the year.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Aggregate fees billed by our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, for audit services related to the most recent two fiscal years, and for other professional services billed in the most recent two fiscal years, were as follows:

Type of Service	2012	2011
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 705,000	\$ 699,000
Audit Related Fees		
Tax Fees		
All Other Fees ⁽²⁾	2,700	2,400
Total⁽³⁾	\$ 707,700	\$ 701,400

(1) Comprised of services for the audit of our annual financial statements, the audit of our internal control over financial reporting, reviewing of our quarterly financial statements, consents and reviewing documents to be filed with the SEC.

(2) Comprised of the licensing of accounting technical research software.

(3) The actual amount paid by us is different than the total amount as stated here due to the variations in the timing of the billing cycles. Reports on our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm s projects and services are presented to the Audit Committee on a regular basis. The Audit Committee is solely responsible for the engagement of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The Audit Committee has established pre-approval policies and procedures in order for our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to perform all audit services and permitted non-audit services. These pre-approval policies and procedures allow for either general or specific pre-approval of certain designated services, depending on the type of service. All services not subject to the general pre-approval framework must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee. Under the pre-approval policies and procedures, the Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval responsibilities to its chairman or any other member or members. All of the fees described above were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to our pre-approval policies and procedures.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2013 fiscal year.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for fiscal 2012, the company's audited financial statements as of and for the year ended June 28, 2012. Management is responsible for the company's financial reporting process, including maintaining a system of internal controls, and is responsible for preparing the consolidated financial statements in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is responsible for auditing those financial statements and for giving an opinion regarding the conformity of the financial statements with GAAP. Additionally, in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management, the company's internal auditors and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, management's report on the operating effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's related report.

The Audit Committee has also discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, *The Auditors' Communication with Those Charged with Governance*, by the Auditing Standards Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (such Statement on Auditing Standards superseded Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, *Communication with Audit Committees*). In addition, the Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, as required by Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, *Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence*, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (such Ethics and Independence Rule superseded Independence Standard No. 1, *Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*). Also, the Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, including whether PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's independence is compatible with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP providing non-audit services to the company. Based on the foregoing discussions and reviews, the Audit Committee is satisfied with the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions described above and the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 28, 2012, for filing with the Commission.

Respectfully submitted by all of the members of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Daniel M. Wright, Chairman

Timothy R. Donovan

Governor Jim Edgar

Ellen C. Taaffe

The information contained in the preceding report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference in such filing.

PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required by SEC rules, we are providing our stockholders with an advisory, nonbinding vote to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers, as we have described it in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Summary Compensation Table of this Proxy Statement, beginning on page 25.

As described in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the Compensation Committee oversees our executive compensation program and determines compensation awarded to our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee recommends changes to the executive compensation program and recommends the awards of executive compensation to be paid as appropriate to reflect our performance and to promote the main objectives of the program. These objectives include helping us attract, motivate, reward and retain superior leaders who are capable of creating sustained, lasting value for our stockholders, and to promote a performance-based culture that is intended to align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

Highlights of our program include:

Our pay for performance orientation. As set forth more fully in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and related Summary Compensation Table, the company exceeded targeted levels of financial performance and therefore incentive compensation was awarded to our named executive officers in fiscal 2012;

Our named executive officers do not have employment agreements;

Our named executive officers have entered into clawback agreements entitling the company to recover payments to executives who engage in certain types of misconduct;

Providing limited perquisites and personal benefits awarded to the executives as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table and related disclosure;

Awarding restricted stock units to encourage our executives to remain with the company long-term and to align further their interests with the interests of our stockholders; and

Total direct compensation for the Management Team that currently is below the 50th percentile of a certain peer group of companies as determined by the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant.

We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for the compensation paid to our named executive officers by casting a **FOR** vote on this proposal. We believe that the information we have provided in this Proxy Statement demonstrates that our executive compensation program was designed appropriately in light of our goals and business and is adequately working to ensure that executives' interests are aligned with our stockholders' interests to support long-term value creation.

You may vote for or against the following resolution, or you may abstain. This vote is not intended to address any specific item or the policies generally regarding executive compensation, but rather the overall compensation paid to our named executive officers.

While this vote is advisory and not binding on our company, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee expect to consider the outcome of the vote, along with other relevant factors, when considering future executive compensation decisions. For information on how our Compensation Committee considered the 2011 advisory vote on executive compensation, see Response to the 2011 Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation as set forth below. If any stockholder wishes to communicate with the Board of Directors regarding executive compensation, the Board of Directors can be contacted using the procedures outlined in Stockholder Communications with Directors as set forth in this Proxy Statement.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the advisory vote to approve executive compensation.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Compensation of Directors

During fiscal 2012, compensation to directors who were not employees of our company was paid at the rate of \$31,200 per year plus \$1,600 for each Board of Directors or committee meeting attended and \$1,100 for telephonic meetings of the Board of Directors or committee meetings in which they participated. In addition, the Audit Committee Chairman and Compensation Committee Chairman were paid at the rate of \$10,400 per year. The Governance Committee Chairman was paid at a rate of \$5,200 per year. Directors are also reimbursed for their reasonable expenses incurred in attending such meetings. Directors who are current employees of our company receive no additional compensation for their services as directors.

Under the 2008 Plan, as amended, a director who is not a current employee of our company, our subsidiary, or any of their affiliates (an Outside Director) is eligible to participate in the 2008 Plan. On November 9, 2011, the Compensation Committee approved a grant of 3,000 RSUs to each of our six Outside Directors, with a grant date of November 23, 2011. These RSUs will vest on October 30, 2012, and once vested, they can be paid to the director in an equal number of shares of Common Stock upon vesting or become payable in an equal number of shares of Common Stock after the director ceases being a member of the Board of Directors.

The aggregate compensation paid to or earned by Outside Directors during fiscal 2012 was \$497,960, as detailed in the following table:

Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2012

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Timothy R. Donovan ⁽²⁾	71,500		23,760			95,260
Governor Jim Edgar ⁽³⁾	67,400		23,760			91,160
Daniel M. Wright ⁽⁴⁾	72,600		23,760			96,360
Ellen C. Taaffe ⁽⁵⁾	62,200		23,760			85,960
Mathias A. Valentine ⁽⁶⁾	41,400		23,760			65,160
Jasper B. Sanfilippo ⁽⁷⁾	40,300		23,760			64,060
	355,400		142,560			497,960

- (1) The amounts in the Stock Awards column reflect the grant date fair value of the RSUs awarded in fiscal 2012. The grant date fair value was determined by using the \$7.92 per share closing price of our Common Stock on the November 23, 2011 grant date multiplied by the number of RSUs awarded in fiscal 2012. Each Outside Director was awarded 3,000 RSUs in fiscal 2012 for their service as a director.
- (2) The Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column for Mr. Donovan consists of annual retainer fees of \$31,200, committee chairmanship fees of \$10,400, and meeting fees of \$29,900. As of June 28, 2012, Mr. Donovan had 4,000 RSUs and 5,000 stock options outstanding.
- (3) The Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column for Gov. Edgar consists of annual retainer fees of \$31,200, committee chairmanship fees of \$5,200, and meeting fees of \$31,000. As of June 28, 2012, Gov. Edgar had 7,000 RSUs and 6,000 stock options outstanding.

- (4) The Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column for Mr. Wright consists of annual retainer fees of \$31,200, committee chairmanship fees of \$10,400, and meeting fees of \$31,000. As of June 28, 2012, Mr. Wright had 7,000 RSUs and 3,000 stock options outstanding.
- (5) The Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column for Ms. Taaffe consists of annual retainer fees of \$31,200 and meeting fees of \$31,000. As of June 28, 2012, Ms. Taaffe had 3,000 RSUs outstanding.
- (6) The Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column for Mr. Valentine consists of annual retainer fees of \$31,200 and meeting fees of \$10,200. As of June 28, 2012, Mr. Valentine had 4,000 RSUs outstanding.
- (7) The Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column for Mr. Sanfilippo consists of annual retainer fees of \$31,200 and meeting fees of \$9,100. As of June 28, 2012, Mr. Sanfilippo had 4,000 RSUs outstanding.

During fiscal 2012, the company paid premiums on certain life insurance policies that were previously assigned to the company in 2003. The premiums paid were for life insurance policies on the lives of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Mathias A. Valentine and their respective spouses. These payments were not related to the services of Jasper B. Sanfilippo or Mathias A. Valentine as Outside Directors. See Certain Insurance Policy Arrangements below.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following is a discussion and analysis of the compensation paid to the following persons (collectively, the named executive officers) during the 2012 fiscal year:

Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman,

Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., our Chief Operating Officer, President and Assistant Secretary,

Michael J. Valentine, our Chief Financial Officer, Group President and Secretary,

James A. Valentine, our Chief Information Officer and

Walter R. Tankersley, our Senior Vice President of Procurement and Commodity Risk Management.

Publicly Traded and Family-Owned with Independent Committees

In 1922, Gaspare Sanfilippo and his son, John Sanfilippo, founded our company as a small storefront pecan shelling operation located in Chicago, Illinois. In 1959, our company began to diversify by beginning to roast a variety of nut types. In 1963, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Gaspare Sanfilippo's grandson and our former Chairman of the Board of Directors and current member of the Board of Directors, assumed the management of our company. Over the next forty years, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, along with Mathias A. Valentine, Jasper B. Sanfilippo's brother-in-law and current member of the Board of Directors, expanded our company from a storefront operation in Chicago, Illinois, to a publicly traded nut company with operations located in Illinois, Texas, Georgia, California and North Carolina.

Unlike most other publicly traded companies, our company remains largely family owned and controlled. As discussed elsewhere in this Proxy Statement, the Sanfilippo and Valentine Groups control 51.9% and 24.3%, respectively, of the voting control of our company. In addition, our Board of Directors and management continue to be largely comprised of members of the Sanfilippo and Valentine families. The Sanfilippo Group and Valentine Group, collectively, have the ability to nominate and elect six out of the nine directors on our company's Board of Directors. Jasper B. Sanfilippo is the father of (and Mathias A. Valentine is the uncle of) Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo and Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. Mathias A. Valentine is the father of (and Jasper B. Sanfilippo is the uncle of) Michael J. Valentine and James A. Valentine.

We qualify as a controlled company under Nasdaq Listing Rule 5615(c)(1) because (a) the Sanfilippo Group has the ability to direct 51.9% of voting control of our company and (b) the Sanfilippo Group and the Valentine Group have an oral understanding not to cumulate votes for the election of Class A Directors and to vote in a reciprocal manner for each other's nominees. In accordance with the provisions of the Nasdaq rules applicable to controlled companies, we are not required to have a compensation committee comprised solely of independent directors. Even though our company is not required to have an independent compensation committee, we have, nonetheless, decided to comply voluntarily with the Nasdaq provision. Accordingly, our Compensation Committee is comprised of Timothy R. Donovan, Chairman, Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright, all of whom are independent directors under Nasdaq rules. These independent directors meet in executive session to discuss the compensation of our executive officers. As discussed below, when making decisions regarding the compensation of the named executive officers and other members of our executive team, the Compensation Committee considers the unique structure of our company.

Executive Summary

In fiscal 2012, our company delivered substantially improved results from fiscal 2011. We achieved record net sales of \$700.6 million and improved profitability in spite of elevated commodity prices. As a result, our earnings per diluted share increased to \$1.58 in fiscal 2012 from \$0.26 for fiscal 2011. Accordingly, our investors enjoyed a significant appreciation in the value of our Common Stock, as our stock price increased from \$8.46 on June 30, 2011 to \$16.87 on June 28, 2012. We believe that these results would not have been possible without a skilled and motivated team of executive officers.

Our compensation programs are designed to reward our executive officers for our company's performance. In fiscal 2012, we continued to implement a number of compensation measures to reinforce our company's performance with the pay of our executive officers. Most notably, due to our strong operating performance, the executive officers earned cash incentive compensation significantly above targets set by the

Edgar Filing: SANFILIPPO JOHN B & SON INC - Form DEF 14A

Compensation Committee under the company's Sanfilippo Value-Added Plan, or SVA Plan. In addition, we continued our practice of evaluating our executive officers' performance when setting their salaries and awarding equity.

We believe that our stockholders agree with the design and implementation of our pay for performance compensation programs. In fiscal 2011, approximately 98.1% of votes cast in our advisory vote to approve executive compensation supported the compensation paid to our named executive officers.

The Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers our company's executive compensation program. In that regard, the purposes of the Compensation Committee, among others, are as follows:

Oversee the establishment of annual, long-term and other performance goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers;

Evaluate the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers;

Review and recommend to the Board of Directors for approval the manner and amount of compensation and all other employment related terms and agreements with respect to the Chief Executive Officer and all other executive officers;

Review and recommend to the Board of Directors for approval retirement, health and welfare and other benefit plans, policies and arrangements for the employees of our company;

Review and recommend to the Board of Directors for approval all stock option, RSU, other equity-related, incentive and other performance-related compensation plans and grant stock options, RSUs and other awards; and

Review, from time to time, market comparisons of the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers. Our company's compensation philosophy is based upon principles designed to align executive compensation with our company's objectives, management initiatives and business financial performance. In making decisions with respect to executive compensation, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee apply, among others, the following key principles:

Our total compensation should be comparable to that paid by our company's peers, to enable our company to attract and retain key executives who are critical to our success;

Our company should reward executives for long-term strategic management and the enhancement of stockholder value;

Our company should support a performance-oriented environment that rewards company and individual achievement; and

Our total compensation structure should balance the costs and benefits associated with both (a) short-term and long-term compensation and (b) cash and non-cash compensation, to achieve continuous improvement in financial performance and enhance employee retention and recruiting.

With respect to all areas of compensation, the Compensation Committee regularly communicates with management. For example, the Compensation Committee invites certain members of our senior management to be present for a significant portion of every Compensation Committee meeting. This allows the Compensation Committee to solicit management's input regarding various compensation matters, such as management's views regarding salary increases, equity compensation (such as RSUs or stock options) and the components of the SVA Plan. The Compensation Committee then meets in executive session without management.

Overview of Fiscal 2012 Executive Compensation Program

Our total compensation program for the named executive officers and other executive officers in fiscal 2012 consisted of both cash compensation and equity-based compensation in the form of RSUs. Each executive officer's annual cash compensation is comprised of a base salary and an opportunity to earn an annual incentive award under the SVA Plan. The SVA Plan rewards participants for year-over-year improvement in our net operating profit after tax in excess of our annual cost of capital, as determined using the average expected return on our company's debt and equity capital. In addition to other standard benefits available to all salaried employees, we provide life insurance for all named executive officers and participation in our Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERP) for certain named executive officers.

Operating Principles

When setting the various components of compensation for our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee's overriding objective is to increase stockholder value. In connection with furthering this objective, the Compensation Committee broadly considered the factors more specifically set forth below when setting compensation for our named executive officers in fiscal 2012.

Management Philosophy. The Management Team, which consists of Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Michael J. Valentine and Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. (the Management Team), has established an executive committee comprised of all of the named executive officers, as well as certain other executive officers (the Executive Committee). The members of this Executive Committee work together to manage our company's affairs, which includes meeting regularly to discuss various aspects of our company's operations and strategic goals. The Management Team adopted this collaborative approach to management for several reasons, including (a) the Management Team's belief that input from the Executive Committee members is essential to our company's success and (b) the Management Team's belief that the familial relationship between the Management Team members lends itself naturally to a collaborative approach to management. The Compensation Committee supports the Management Team's overall team-oriented approach to managing our company. Accordingly, at the Management Team's request, the Compensation Committee generally determined that the Management Team members' compensation should all be equal for fiscal 2012.

Food Industry Comparison Group. When setting compensation for the named executive officers for fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee compared elements of compensation (base salary, incentive compensation and equity grants) against the compensation reported for the named executive officers of a select group of other companies engaged in the food business and of a generally similar size to our company (the Food Industry Comparison Group) as an independent measure of reasonableness. For fiscal 2012, the Food Industry Comparison Group was comprised of 12 publicly traded companies with annual sales between approximately \$200 million and \$1.2 billion. The Compensation Committee's independent consultant prepared the reports regarding the Food Industry Comparison Group. For fiscal 2012, the Food Industry Comparison Group consisted of the following companies:

B&G Foods, Inc.

Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.

Calavo Growers, Inc.

Diamond Foods, Inc.

Farmer Bros. Co.

J & J Snack Foods Corp.

Lifetime Brands, Inc.

Overhill Farms, Inc.

Reddy Ice Holdings, Inc.

Smart Balance, Inc.

Snyder's-Lance, Inc.

Tootsie Roll Industries, Inc.

Individual Performance. Notwithstanding the Management Team's collaborative approach to management, the Compensation Committee considered the individual performance of each member of the Management Team, as well as the other executive officers, when it set and awarded compensation in fiscal 2012.

Independent Consultant. In fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee utilized two independent compensation consultants. The Compensation Committee used ExeQuity, its independent compensation consultant since 2007, to provide guidance regarding the compensation of our named executive officers until January of 2012. In light of ExeQuity's primary consultant to the Committee accepting a professor position at a highly-ranked business school and no longer providing consulting services, the Compensation Committee decided to engage a new compensation consultant. The Chairman of the Compensation Committee, after review of several consultants, selected Meridian Compensation Partners as its primary compensation consultant in February of 2012. For fiscal 2012, the independent consultant reviewed the various components of compensation for our named executive officers, as well as the proposed changes in such compensation, and advised the Compensation Committee regarding how the changes compared to the Food Industry Comparison Group.

Direct Compensation

Base Salary

The Compensation Committee recommends to the Board of Directors the level of base salary for named executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, and the other executive officers. When determining the base salaries of our named executive officers and executive officers for fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee considered the following factors:

The Management Team's collaborative approach to management;

The Compensation Committee's historical practices, including the salaries paid to our named executive officers and executive officers during the immediately preceding fiscal year;

The salaries paid to the named executive officers of the companies in the Food Industry Comparison Group;

The individual performance of our named executive officers and executive officers;

The input and recommendations from the Management Team regarding the performance, functions and responsibilities of other executive officers; and

The input from the Compensation Committee's independent consultants, including information regarding general executive salary trends and survey information.

In connection with setting the base salaries for fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee, with the input from the Management Team for executive officers, informally reviewed the individual performance of our company's management. The reviews consisted of the Compensation Committee members' observations of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers' performance throughout the fiscal year and specifically with respect to each individual officer's (a) roles and functions, and the fulfillment thereof and (b) positive contribution to our overall performance. The Compensation Committee also took into account its desire to bring, over a period of years, the base salaries of the Management Team up to the level of the 50th percentile of the Food Industry Comparison Group, after taking into account our company's performance and salary trends.

Based upon all of the foregoing factors, our Compensation Committee approved a 2.0% increase in the salaries paid to the Management Team and other named executive officers for fiscal 2012. The uniform increase to the base salary of the Management Team was approved in part because of the Management Team's collaborative approach to management outlined above. The increases for James A. Valentine and Walter R. Tankersley were proposed by our company's management based on each named executive officer's individual performance and the overall performance of our company.

Edgar Filing: SANFILIPPO JOHN B & SON INC - Form DEF 14A

After taking these increases into account, base salaries for the Management Team (Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Michael J. Valentine and Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr.) are, in the aggregate, below the 50th percentile for the Food Industry Comparison Group while base salaries for the remaining named executive officers are above the 50th percentile for the Food Industry Comparison Group.

It should be noted that, among other things, the roles of the named executive officers in the Food Industry Comparison Group may not fully align with the roles and responsibilities of our named executive officers due to our collaborative approach to management and our business needs. For example, our Chief Financial Officer also serves as Group President. At many

companies within the Food Industry Comparison Group, there is no specific officer who handles commodity procurement, a critical aspect of our business. In addition, market data for the Management Team was viewed relative to the average of the top three officers at each company in the Food Industry Comparison Group due to our collaborative approach to management, as opposed to a more traditional approach of individually comparing the pay of each member of the Management Team to the other individual corresponding top three officers of the companies in the Food Industry Comparison Group.

Sanfilippo Value Added Plan

In 2008 the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee (the CNG Committee) (which was the committee that existed prior to the creation of a separate Compensation Committee and a separate Governance Committee) developed the SVA Plan with input from the Management Team. Specifically, the Management Team worked with a consultant other than the Compensation Committee's independent consultants, to create a value-driven company culture including the development of supporting incentives, such as the SVA Plan. After months of planning, the Management Team, along with the company's consultant, proposed the SVA Plan to the CNG Committee and the CNG Committee's independent consultant. The Management Team explained to the CNG Committee and its independent consultant that the SVA Plan would motivate plan participants to work closely together towards significantly improving our company's bottom-line financial performance and not merely increase revenue no matter the cost. After much consideration and certain modifications by the CNG Committee and its independent consultant, the CNG Committee concurred with the Management Team that the SVA Plan was in our company's best interest and recommended the SVA Plan to the Board of Directors for approval. After deliberation, the Board of Directors ultimately approved and adopted the SVA Plan. Fiscal 2008 was the first fiscal year during which our company's SVA Plan was in effect and the plan continued to be in effect for the 2012 fiscal year.

The SVA Plan rewards plan participants with incentive compensation for year-over-year improvement in the excess of our net operating profit after tax over our annual cost of capital, as determined by using the average expected return on our company's debt and equity capital (such year-over-year improvement hereinafter referred to as SVA). The Compensation Committee believes that the SVA Plan motivates the plan participants to improve our company's financial performance and more effectively manage its working and fixed capital. For example, the Compensation Committee, from time to time, receives information from the Management Team on the impact of certain business decisions relative to SVA. For fiscal 2012, the SVA Plan participants included members of the Executive Committee and over 180 other salaried personnel.

SVA Targets and Payments

The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of ExeQuity, its independent consultant at that time, and the Management Team, established our targeted SVA goal for fiscal 2012. Initially, the targeted SVA goals were established for the three-year period covering fiscal 2009 through fiscal 2011. The Compensation Committee decided that, for fiscal 2012, setting an annual targeted SVA goal would result in better alignment between the company's business decisions and SVA results. The targets for SVA improvement and results are shown below:

Fiscal 2012 SVA Improvement Targets and Results	
Threshold/Minimum	\$-3,600,000
Target	\$ 3,000,000
Maximum	\$ 9,600,000
Result	\$ 9,366,000
SVA Multiple	1.97

For fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee established each SVA Plan participant's percentage of base salary to be used for computing their incentive compensation payment (Salary Percentage). Each SVA Plan participant earns an amount equal to a participant's base salary multiplied by their Salary Percentage multiplied by the SVA Multiple. The SVA Multiple, as used herein, is the ratio that reflects our company's SVA improvement in a fiscal year. If the actual SVA improvement in a fiscal year is equal to the targeted SVA goal for the fiscal year, then the SVA Multiple is 1. The Compensation Committee capped the total SVA Multiple at 2 for SVA performance that exceeded the maximum goal set forth in the table above. For fiscal 2012, each SVA Plan participant had a Salary Percentage ranging from 5% to 70% of their base salary and, based on our actual SVA results for fiscal 2012, the company achieved a SVA Multiple of 1.97. The payments for the named executive officers are set forth in more detail below.

Officer	Base Salary	Fiscal 2012 SVA Payout(1)			SVA Payment Declared(3)
		Salary Percentage(2)	SVA Multiple		
Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo	\$ 367,546	70%	1.97		\$506,846
Michael J. Valentine	\$ 367,546	70%	1.97		\$506,846
Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr.	\$ 367,546	X 70%	X 1.97	=	\$506,846
James A. Valentine	\$ 323,534	60%	1.97		\$382,417
Walter R. Tankersley	\$ 262,286	60%	1.97		\$310,022

- (1) As described under Individual Performance below, 20% of the total SVA Payment Declared (\$101,369) was subject to achievement of individual goals for each member of the Management Team.
- (2) The Compensation Committee set these Salary Percentages in light of the Management Team's collaborative approach to management and the substantial responsibility held by each Executive Committee member.
- (3) The SVA Payment Declared differs slightly from the reported amounts in the Summary Compensation Table and the Maximum Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Column for the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for Fiscal Year 2012 due to the allocation of forfeited awards reallocated on a pro-rata basis to all SVA Plan participants, including the Named Executive Officers. Specifically, \$28,271 of SVA payments earned were forfeited by former employees who left our company before the awards were paid in September 2012.

Individual Performance Payouts for the Management Team

For fiscal 2012, the SVA Payment Declared consisted of an individual performance component for the members of the Management Team. 20% of the total SVA Payment Declared was subject to the Compensation Committee's discretion based on the members' individual performances relative to goals proposed by each member of the Management Team. The Compensation Committee reviewed the proposed goals, which consisted of operational and business initiatives related to the company's Strategic Plan, before approving the goals in early fiscal 2012. Depending on whether the Management Team met their individual goals, the Compensation Committee could award anywhere from the full 20% of the total SVA Payment Declared, or not award any of the 20%. The Compensation Committee believes that this formal evaluation process has resulted in the Management Team's overall improved performance and improved understanding of the Compensation Committee's expectations regarding certain performance matters beyond those specifically addressed in SVA.

For fiscal 2012, after consideration of each of the Management Team's individual goals and their individual performance, the Compensation Committee awarded the full 20% of the individual portion of incentive compensation to members of the Management Team. As a whole, each member of the management team received \$405,476 from the non-discretionary component of the SVA Plan and \$101,369 in incentive compensation from the individual performance component of the SVA Plan.

Equity Awards Under the 2008 Plan

As described under Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, the Management Team, and the 13D groups to which they belong, have a large ownership interest in our company. The Compensation Committee recognizes that the Management Team's large equity holdings in our company have resulted in the alignment of the Management Team's interests with those of our stockholders. The Compensation Committee believes that this alignment is beneficial to our

company and therefore it has historically granted annual equity awards to all of our executive officers, including members of the Management Team. However, in part because of the Management Team's large pre-existing equity ownership of our company, grants of equity awards to the named executive officers were not considered to be a key component of compensation in fiscal 2012 and as such, the awards were below the 50th percentile of the Food Industry Comparison Group.

In the event of termination of employment by resignation or for cause, all unexercised option awards or unvested RSUs are forfeited as of the termination date. In the event of termination by reason of death, option awards, to the extent exercisable, may be exercised at any time within one year after the date of death, and RSUs will vest on a prorated basis. In the event of termination by reason of retirement under the provisions of a retirement plan, option awards, to the extent exercisable, may be exercised within 90 days after the date of retirement or one year after the date of retirement if the grantee died during the 90-day period. In the event of termination by reason of permanent disability, option awards, to the extent exercisable, may be exercised within one year after the termination date, and RSUs will vest on a prorated basis. Provided that our company does not give notice to stock award grantees of its intent to cancel all unexercised awards as of the date of a change in control (as defined in the 2008 Plan), all unexercised awards may be exercised commencing on the date of a change in control. Once awarded, equity awards cannot be modified in any other respect with certain exceptions. Awards of stock options or stock appreciation rights are limited to 100,000 shares annually per individual, and awards of Common Stock, restricted stock, or RSUs are limited to 50,000 shares annually per individual.

In fiscal 2012, members of the Executive Committee, including Management Team members, were each granted between 2,000 to 4,500 RSUs that vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. In deciding the number of RSUs to grant an Executive Committee member in fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee considered the responsibilities of each executive officer and our performance in the prior fiscal year. However, due to the Management Team's collaborative approach to management, each member of the Management Team was granted the same number of RSUs. The Compensation Committee historically approves the number of equity awards to be granted for any given fiscal year at the second Compensation Committee meeting held during the fiscal year (typically in October or November). Annual equity award grant dates are set on the 10th business day after the grant approval date - this date was chosen for administrative, compliance and governance reasons. For the fiscal 2012 RSU award grant, the Compensation Committee approved the grant on November 9, 2011, with a grant date of November 23, 2011.

As noted above, the Compensation Committee has determined not to grant substantial equity awards to the Management Team in light of their significant equity holdings. However, in order to enhance our company's recruiting abilities, the Compensation Committee authorized the Management Team to, at its discretion, grant up to 1,500 equity awards to each new management hire. The Compensation Committee is informed of these grants, if any, for a particular fiscal year on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting that is held in the succeeding fiscal year. These grants are approved for issue at the employment start date with the grant date being the 10th business day following the employment start date, and the exercise price is the closing price on the Nasdaq Global Market on the grant date. In fiscal 2012, the Management Team awarded an option grant to one new hire, who was not a named executive officer. In future years, it is possible that the Management Team could grant equity awards to a new hire who could become a named executive officer in that same fiscal year when his or her employment started or in future fiscal years.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Generally, executive officers and outside directors must hold a minimum of 10,500 shares of our company's Common Stock (including any earned but unvested RSUs) before any Common Stock can be sold or equity awards exercised for cash. The minimum amount is 20,000 shares for members of the Management Team.

Company-Provided Benefits

In addition to the direct compensation described above, our company offers certain other benefits to our executive officers, including the named executive officers.

Life Insurance We provide our named executive officers with life insurance.

Company-Sponsored Retirement Plans Our company offers retirement plans for eligible employees, as follows:

401(k) Plan. The company's 401(k) Plan is a tax-qualified defined-contribution retirement plan. All non-union, salaried employees who are 21 years of age or older, and have completed one year of service, including the named executive officers, are eligible to participate and receive a company match in our 401(k) Plan. All participants in our 401(k) Plan may receive company matching contributions of 100% of the employee's contribution up to 3% of an employee's salary and 50% of the next 2% of an employee's salary; however, the match may not exceed 4% of an employee's salary. The Compensation Committee approves these matching percentages and limits annually. Our company contributed \$28,026 as matching funds under the 401(k) Plan for fiscal 2012 for the named executive officers as a group, which are set forth in more detail in the All Other Compensation for Fiscal Year 2012 table below.

SERP. On August 2, 2007 the CNG Committee approved a restated Supplemental Retirement Plan, (the SERP) for certain named executive officers and key employees of our company. The restated SERP changes the plan adopted on August 25, 2005 to, among other things, clarify certain actuarial provisions and incorporate new Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requirements. The current SERP participants are Jasper B. Sanfilippo and Mathias A. Valentine, as former employees, members of the Management Team and James A. Valentine, a named executive officer. The purpose of the SERP is to provide unfunded, non-qualified deferred compensation benefits to participants upon retirement, disability or death. The Compensation Committee believes that the SERP is a useful tool in motivating employees that are key to our company's success and helps to ensure that the benefits provided by our company are competitive with the market. The current plan participants were chosen by our CNG Committee (prior to the creation of a separate Compensation Committee) based upon numerous factors, including the participant's seniority, role within our company, and demonstrated commitment and dedication to our company. Participants with at least five years of employment with us are eligible to receive monthly benefits from the SERP after separating from service with our company, provided such participant's employment is not terminated for cause (as defined in the SERP). For more information about the SERP please see pages 36-37 and 41 in this Proxy Statement.

Perquisites Our company provides a minimal amount of perquisites to the named executive officers, including members of the Management Team. The perquisites provided in fiscal 2012 were credit card memberships, travel expenses for spouses on business trips, and personal use of company vehicles or a direct car allowance. We have provided additional information on perquisites in the table entitled Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits for Fiscal Year 2012.

Response to the 2011 Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

In 2011, the stockholders of our company had the opportunity, pursuant to SEC regulations, to have an advisory vote to approve the compensation paid to the named executive officers. The results of the vote were as follows:

30,525,690 votes were For the compensation paid to our named executive officers;

496,945 votes were Against the compensation paid to our named executive officers; and

85,561 votes abstained.

Based on the above results, approximately 98.1% of our voting shares supported the compensation paid to our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee considered these results in light of our company's corporate structure, and determined that no changes were required to our company's compensation program as a result of the vote.

Policy With Respect to Qualifying Compensation for Tax Deductibility and Accounting Matters

Our company's ability to deduct compensation paid to covered employees (as defined in the Section 162(m) of the Code (Section 162(m)), including certain named executive officers, for tax purposes is generally limited by Section 162(m) to \$1.0 million annually. However, this limitation does not apply to performance-based compensation if certain conditions are satisfied as set forth in more detail in Section 162(m). We view preserving the tax deductibility of compensation, pursuant to Section 162(m), as an important objective, but not the only objective, in establishing executive compensation. The Compensation Committee has designed our compensation programs with the goal of preserving the tax deductibility, pursuant to Section 162(m), of performance-based compensation granted to covered employees.

The Compensation Committee reviews projections of the estimated accounting (pro forma expense) and tax impacts of all material elements of the executive compensation program. Generally, the accounting expenses are accrued over the requisite service period of the particular pay element (generally equal to the performance period) and our company realizes a tax deduction upon the payment to or realization by the executive. We account for our equity awards under FASB ASC Topic 718 and we use the Black-Scholes option pricing formula for determining the grant date fair value of our stock options at grant.

COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Summary Compensation

The Summary Compensation Table provides the total compensation for the last three completed fiscal years for each of our company's named executive officers.

Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2012

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	Non- Equity Incentive Compen- sation ⁽³⁾	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compen- sation ⁽⁴⁾	All Other Compen- sation ⁽⁵⁾	Total
							Earnings ⁽⁴⁾	Compen- sation ⁽⁵⁾	
Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo Chief Executive Officer	2012	\$ 367,546	\$	\$	\$ 15,840	\$ 508,510	\$ 336,289	\$ 11,349	\$ 1,239,534
	2011	\$ 364,867	\$	\$	\$ 61,800	\$	\$ 183,199	\$ 10,627	\$ 620,493
	2010	\$ 333,608	\$	\$	\$ 54,720	\$ 492,739	\$ 147,076	\$ 12,102	\$ 1,040,245
Michael J. Valentine Chief Financial Officer, Group President and Secretary	2012	\$ 367,546	\$	\$	\$ 15,840	\$ 508,510	\$ 405,696	\$ 13,752	\$ 1,311,344
	2011	\$ 364,867	\$	\$	\$ 61,800	\$	\$ 244,983	\$ 9,441	\$ 681,091
	2010	\$ 333,608	\$	\$	\$ 54,720	\$ 492,739	\$ 188,337	\$ 20,331	\$ 1,089,735
Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. Chief Operating Officer, President and Assistant Secretary	2012	\$ 367,546	\$	\$	\$ 15,840	\$ 508,510	\$ 253,094	\$ 24,391	\$ 1,169,381
	2011	\$ 364,867	\$	\$	\$ 61,800	\$	\$ 114,980	\$ 19,358	\$ 561,005
	2010	\$ 333,608	\$	\$	\$ 54,720	\$ 492,739	\$ 101,236	\$ 18,131	\$ 1,000,434
James A. Valentine Chief Information Officer	2012	\$ 323,534	\$	\$	\$ 15,840	\$ 383,673	\$ 293,971	\$ 11,263	\$ 1,028,281
	2011	\$ 322,965	\$	\$	\$ 43,100	\$	\$ 143,995	\$ 10,402	\$ 520,462
	2010	\$ 307,615	\$	\$	\$ 41,040	\$ 389,441	\$ 136,010	\$ 13,034	\$ 887,140
Walter R. Tankersley Senior Vice President of Procurement and Commodity Risk Management	2012	\$ 262,286	\$	\$	\$ 35,640	\$ 311,041	\$	\$ 13,380	\$ 622,347
	2011	\$ 262,203	\$	\$	\$ 43,100	\$	\$	\$ 14,401	\$ 319,704
	2010	\$ 252,197	\$	\$	\$ 41,040	\$ 319,281	\$	\$ 16,870	\$ 629,388

- (1) For the Management Team, the amounts in this column were previously comprised of the individual portion (20%) of the officer's incentive compensation under our SVA Plan for the 2010 fiscal year. Because these amounts are paid based on performance goals, these individual amounts were reclassified as part of Non-equity Incentive Compensation for 2010 and are classified as part of Non-equity Incentive Compensation for 2012. No amounts were paid under the SVA Plan for the 2011 fiscal year. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Overview of Fiscal 2012 Executive Compensation Program Sanfilippo Value Added Plan. No portion of Mr. James A. Valentine's or Mr. Walter R. Tankersley's SVA Plan payment was subject to individual adjustment in this manner and therefore no amounts were recorded any of the previous three fiscal years.

- (2) The amounts in this column reflect the grant date fair value of RSUs granted under the 2008 Plan in fiscal 2010, fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012, without regard to the possibility of forfeitures. The grant date fair value was determined by using the closing price of our Common Stock on the grant date multiplied by the number of awards.
- (3) The amounts in this column reflect payments made pursuant to our SVA Plan in the respective fiscal year, and reflect only earnings for services during such fiscal year and no earnings on outstanding awards. For the Management Team, this column reflects all SVA payments for fiscal 2010 and 2012.
- (4) On August 2, 2007 the CNG Committee approved a restated SERP for certain executive officers and key employees of our company. The SERP is an unfunded, non-qualified benefit plan that will provide eligible participants with monthly benefits upon retirement, disability or death, subject to certain conditions. The amounts in this column reflect the aggregate change in actuarial value of the named executive officers' accumulated benefit under the SERP from July 1, 2011 to June 28, 2012, June 25, 2010 to June 30, 2011 and June 26, 2009 to June 24, 2010, which were our SERP plan measurement dates used for financial reporting purposes for fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Assumptions used to calculate the amounts can be found immediately after the Pension Benefits Table for Fiscal Year 2012 below. None of our named executive officers earned above-market or preferential earnings on compensation that was deferred on a basis that was not tax-qualified. See pages 36-37 and 41 of this Proxy Statement for more information about the SERP.
- (5) The amounts in this column reflect perquisites and other personal benefits. The table below entitled All Other Compensation for Fiscal Year 2012 shows each component of the total amount included in this column. Additionally, for Walter R. Tankersley only, the amount in this column also reflects a \$1,000 payment for his service as the Chairman of the Capital Expenditures Committee for fiscal 2010.

All Other Compensation for Fiscal Year 2012

Name	Year	Personal and			Executive			Total
		Family Travel ⁽⁴⁾	Club Memberships ⁽⁴⁾	401(k) Match ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	Life Insurance ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	Car Allowance ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾		
Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo	2012	\$ 753	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,465	\$ 708	\$ 2,673	\$ 11,348	
	2011	\$ 650	\$ 785	\$ 6,324	\$ 653	\$ 2,215	\$ 10,627	
	2010	\$ 1,164	\$ 350	\$ 6,898	\$ 1,538	\$ 2,152	\$ 12,102	
Michael J. Valentine	2012	\$ 1,148	\$ 400	\$ 5,106	\$ 708	\$ 6,389	\$ 13,752	
	2011	\$ 1,306	\$ 375	\$				