

PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 0-3134

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Ohio
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

34-1867219
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

6065 Parkland Boulevard, Cleveland, Ohio
(Address of principal executive offices)

44124
(Zip Code)

440/947-2000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. is a successor issuer to Park-Ohio Industries, Inc.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant:

(1) Has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and

(2) Has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares outstanding of registrant's Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share, as of July 31, 2012: 12,410,885.

The Exhibit Index is located on page 26.

Table of Contents

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated balance sheets June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated statements of operations Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated statement of shareholders' equity Six months ended June 30, 2012</u> | 6 |
| <u>Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows Six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements June 30, 2012</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u> | 21 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 22 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Exhibits</u> | 24 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 25 |
| <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u> | 26 |

Table of Contents**PART I. Financial Information****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | (Unaudited) | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 43,440 | \$ 78,001 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowances for doubtful accounts of \$3,057 at June 30, 2012 and \$5,483 at December 31, 2011 | 183,473 | 139,941 |
| Inventories, net | 226,241 | 202,039 |
| Deferred tax assets | 23,036 | 20,561 |
| Unbilled contract revenue | 12,441 | 18,778 |
| Other current assets | 14,840 | 8,790 |
| Total Current Assets | 503,471 | 468,110 |
| Property, plant and equipment: | | |
| Land and land improvements | 6,075 | 3,654 |
| Buildings | 52,553 | 47,594 |
| Machinery and equipment | 237,398 | 208,727 |
| | 296,026 | 259,975 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 203,994 | 198,165 |
| | 92,032 | 61,810 |
| Other Assets: | | |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 98,205 | 20,187 |
| Other | 65,372 | 63,833 |
| | \$ 759,080 | \$ 613,940 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Trade accounts payable | \$ 134,312 | \$ 99,588 |
| Accrued expenses | 92,407 | 73,651 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 4,480 | 1,415 |
| Current portion of other postretirement benefits | 2,002 | 2,002 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 233,201 | 176,656 |
| Long-Term Liabilities, less current portion: | | |
| Senior Notes | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Credit facility | 140,829 | 93,000 |
| Other long-term debt | 2,937 | 3,165 |
| Deferred tax liability | 28,355 | 1,392 |
| Other postretirement benefits and other long-term liabilities | 24,456 | 24,285 |

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| | 446,577 | 371,842 |
| Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Capital stock, par value \$1 a share: | | |
| Serial preferred stock: | | |
| Authorized 632,470 shares: Issued and outstanding none | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| Authorized 40,000,000 shares: Issued 14,048,630 shares in 2012 and 13,813,774 in 2011 | 14,049 | 13,814 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 72,332 | 70,248 |
| Retained earnings | 23,791 | 10,392 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 1,724,028 shares in 2012 and 1,673,926 shares in 2011 | (21,602) | (20,607) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) | (9,268) | (8,405) |
| | 79,302 | 65,442 |
| | \$ 759,080 | \$ 613,940 |

Note: The balance sheet at December 31, 2011 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

See accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)**

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Amounts in thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 308,817 | \$ 246,808 | \$ 571,873 | \$ 488,436 |
| Cost of products sold | 252,867 | 201,628 | 467,044 | 401,321 |
| Gross profit | 55,950 | 45,180 | 104,829 | 87,115 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 29,623 | 28,846 | 58,368 | 54,511 |
| Settlement of litigation | 13,000 | | 13,000 | |
| Operating income | 13,327 | 16,334 | 33,461 | 32,604 |
| Interest expense | 6,540 | 14,229 | 13,275 | 20,092 |
| Income before income taxes | 6,787 | 2,105 | 20,186 | 12,512 |
| Income taxes | 2,344 | 3,212 | 6,787 | 4,890 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 4,443 | \$ (1,107) | \$ 13,399 | \$ 7,622 |
| Amounts per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$.37 | \$ (.10) | \$ 1.13 | \$.66 |
| Diluted | \$.37 | \$ (.10) | \$ 1.11 | \$.64 |
| Common shares used in the computation: | | | | |
| Basic | 11,929 | 11,545 | 11,858 | 11,503 |
| Diluted | 12,112 | 11,545 | 12,077 | 12,000 |

See accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (UNAUDITED)**

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 4,443 | \$ (1,107) | \$ 13,399 | \$ 7,622 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation (loss) gain | (2,891) | 816 | (1,670) | 3,436 |
| Pension and postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax | 645 | 107 | 807 | 213 |
| Comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | \$ 2,197 | \$ (184) | \$ 12,536 | \$ 11,271 |

See accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (UNAUDITED)**

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) | Total |
|--|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | \$ 13,814 | \$ 70,248 | \$ 10,392 | \$ (20,607) | \$ (8,405) | \$ 65,442 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | 13,399 | | (863) | 12,536 |
| Amortization of restricted stock | | 1,224 | | | | 1,224 |
| Restricted stock awards | 170 | (170) | | | | |
| Purchase of treasury stock (50,102 shares) | | | | (995) | | (995) |
| Exercise of stock options (64,856 shares) | 65 | 1,016 | | | | 1,081 |
| Share-based compensation | | 14 | | | | 14 |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ 14,049 | \$ 72,332 | \$ 23,791 | \$ (21,602) | \$ (9,268) | \$ 79,302 |

See accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 13,399 | \$ 7,622 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,295 | 8,277 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 1,238 | 920 |
| Debt extinguishment costs | 305 | 7,335 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (12,612) | (20,896) |
| Inventories and other current assets | (10,037) | (17,370) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 20,810 | 26,518 |
| Other | (2,278) | (831) |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 19,120 | 11,575 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment, net | (6,851) | (5,258) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (96,707) | |
| Net Cash Used by Investing Activities | (103,558) | (5,258) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from (payments on) term loans and other debt | 23,373 | (35,939) |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility | 27,293 | 300 |
| Issuance of 8.125% senior notes, net of deferred financing costs | | 244,970 |
| Redemption of 8.375% senior subordinated notes due 2014 | | (189,555) |
| Bank debt issue costs | (875) | (1,080) |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,081 | 8 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (995) | (238) |
| Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities | 49,877 | 18,466 |
| (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (34,561) | 24,783 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 78,001 | 35,311 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$ 43,440 | \$ 60,094 |
| Taxes paid | \$ 3,598 | \$ 1,769 |
| Interest paid (includes \$5,720 of senior subordinated debt redemption costs in 2011) | 11,709 | 15,389 |

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2012

(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)

NOTE A Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company). All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain amounts in the prior years' financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

NOTE B Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Pronouncements Adopted in the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU No. 2011-05 amends existing guidance by allowing only two options for presenting components of net income and other comprehensive income: (1) in a single continuous financial statement, statement of comprehensive income or (2) in two separate but consecutive financial statements, consisting of an income statement followed by a separate statement on other comprehensive income. Also, items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income must be presented on the face of the financial statements. ASU No. 2011-05 requires retrospective application, and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, deferring its requirements that companies present reclassification adjustments for each component of accumulated other comprehensive income in both net income and other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements. Entities continue to be required to present amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements or disclose those amounts in the notes to the financial statements. The requirement to present reclassification adjustments in interim periods was also deferred. However, entities are required to report a total for comprehensive income in condensed financial statements of interim periods in a single continuous statement or in two consecutive statements. The FASB is reconsidering the presentation requirements for reclassification adjustments. The Company adopted ASU No. 2011-5 in the first quarter of 2012 and elected to present the components of net income and comprehensive income in two separate but consecutive statements.

NOTE C Segments

On March 23, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of Fluid Routing Solutions Holding Corp. (FRS), a leading manufacturer of automotive and industrial rubber and thermoplastic hose products and fuel filler and hydraulic fluid assemblies for the automotive and industrial industries. FRS will expand the Company's sales of assembled components.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter, as a result of the FRS acquisition, the Company realigned its segments in order to better align its business with the underlying markets and customers that the Company serves. In so doing, we combined Aluminum Products, Rubber Products (previously included in the former Manufactured Products segment), and Delo Screw Products (previously included in the Supply Technologies segment) along with FRS to form the Assembly Components segment. The former Manufactured Products segment will now be referred to as Engineered Products. The results of operations of FRS from the date of the acquisition through June 30, 2012 are included in the Assembly Components segment. The business segment results for the prior year have been reclassified to reflect these changes. Following is a description of each of our three reportable segments.

Supply Technologies provides our customers with Total Supply Management™ services for a broad range of high-volume, specialty production components. Total Supply Management™ manages the efficiencies of every aspect of supplying production parts and materials to our customers manufacturing floor, from strategic planning to program implementation, and includes such services as engineering and design support, part usage and cost analysis, supplier selection, quality assurance, bar coding, product packaging and tracking, just-in-time and point-of-use delivery, electronic billing services and ongoing technical support. Assembly Components manufactures cast aluminum components, automotive and industrial rubber and thermoplastic products, fuel filler and hydraulic assemblies for automotive, agricultural equipment, construction equipment, heavy-duty truck and marine equipment industries. Assembly Components also provides value-added services such as design and engineering, machining and assembly. Engineered Products operates a diverse group of niche manufacturing businesses that design and manufacture a broad range of high quality products engineered for specific customer applications.

The Company primarily evaluates performance and allocates resources based on segment operating income as well as projected future performance. Segment operating income is defined as revenues less expenses identifiable to the product lines included within each segment. Segment operating income reconciles to consolidated income before income taxes by deducting corporate costs and other income or expense items that are not attributed to the segments and net interest expense.

Results by business segment were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net sales: | | | | |
| Supply Technologies | \$ 131,495 | \$ 123,770 | \$ 264,157 | \$ 245,323 |
| Assembly Components | 91,425 | 40,699 | 136,048 | 88,011 |
| Engineered Products | 85,897 | 82,339 | 171,668 | 155,102 |
| | \$ 308,817 | \$ 246,808 | \$ 571,873 | \$ 488,436 |
| Segment operating income: | | | | |
| Supply Technologies | \$ 9,659 | \$ 8,119 | \$ 19,572 | \$ 16,597 |
| Assembly Components | 7,249 | 934 | 8,380 | 4,056 |
| Engineered Products | 14,299 | 12,003 | 28,480 | 20,896 |
| | 31,207 | 21,056 | 56,432 | 41,549 |
| Corporate costs | (4,880) | (4,722) | (9,971) | (8,945) |
| Settlement of litigation | (13,000) | | (13,000) | |
| Interest expense | (6,540) | (14,229) | (13,275) | (20,092) |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 6,787 | \$ 2,105 | \$ 20,186 | \$ 12,512 |

June 30,
2012

December 31,
2011

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Identifiable assets: | | |
| Supply Technologies | \$ 235,262 | \$225,346 |
| Assembly Components | 220,202 | 72,233 |
| Engineered Products | 207,288 | 195,834 |
| General corporate | 96,328 | 120,527 |
| | \$ 759,080 | \$613,940 |

Table of Contents**NOTE D Inventories**

The components of inventory consist of the following:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 123,833 | \$122,010 |
| Work in process | 29,630 | 20,660 |
| Raw materials and supplies | 72,778 | 59,369 |
| | \$ 226,241 | \$202,039 |

NOTE E Shareholders Equity

At June 30, 2012, capital stock consists of (i) Serial Preferred Stock, of which 632 shares were authorized and none were issued, and (ii) Common Stock, of which 40,000 shares were authorized and 14,049 shares were issued, of which 12,325 were outstanding and 1,724 were treasury shares.

NOTE F Net Income Per Common Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| NUMERATOR | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 4,443 | \$ (1,107) | \$ 13,399 | \$ 7,622 |
| DENOMINATOR | | | | |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average shares | 11,929 | 11,545 | 11,858 | 11,503 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options and restricted stock | 183 | | 219 | 497 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share weighted average shares and assumed conversions | 12,112 | 11,545 | 12,077 | 12,000 |
| Amounts per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.37 | \$ (.10) | \$ 1.13 | \$.66 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.37 | \$ (.10) | \$ 1.11 | \$.64 |

Basic earnings per common share is computed as net income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average basic shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share is computed as net income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average diluted shares outstanding.

Pursuant to ASC 260, Earnings Per Share, when a loss is reported the denominator of diluted earnings per share cannot be adjusted for the dilutive impact of stock options and awards because doing so will result in anti-dilution. Therefore, for the three months ended June 30, 2011, basic weighted-average shares outstanding are used in calculating diluted earnings per share.

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Outstanding stock options with exercise prices greater than the average price of the common shares are anti-dilutive and are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. Stock options on 43 shares were excluded in the three months ended June 30, 2012, because they were anti-dilutive. Stock options on 41 and 20 shares were excluded in the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because they were anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents**NOTE G Stock-Based Compensation**

Total stock compensation expense recorded in the first six months of 2012 and 2011 was \$1,362 and \$920, respectively. Total stock compensation expense recorded in the second quarter of 2012 and 2011 was \$862 and \$492, respectively. There were no stock options awards during the first six months of 2012 and 2011. There were 170 shares of restricted stock awarded during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012 at prices ranging from \$18.82 to \$21.59 per share and 140 shares of restricted stock awarded during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 at a price of \$20.90 per share. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$5,857 of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based compensation, which cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.4 years.

NOTE H Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

The components of net periodic benefit (gain) cost recognized during interim periods was as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | | | Postretirement Benefits | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service costs | \$ 542 | \$ 604 | \$ 1,084 | \$ 713 | \$ 15 | \$ 12 | \$ 30 | \$ 24 |
| Interest costs | 565 | 596 | 1,130 | 1,192 | 201 | 228 | 402 | 456 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2,059) | (2,239) | (4,118) | (4,468) | | | | |
| Transition obligation | (10) | (10) | (20) | (20) | | | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 11 | 11 | 22 | 22 | (24) | (24) | (48) | (48) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 241 | | 482 | | 186 | 129 | 372 | 258 |
| Net periodic benefit (gains) cost | \$ (710) | \$ (1,038) | \$ (1,420) | \$ (2,561) | \$ 378 | \$ 345 | \$ 756 | \$ 690 |

NOTE I Comprehensive Loss

The components of accumulated comprehensive loss at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | \$ 3,182 | \$ 4,852 |
| Pension and postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax | (12,450) | (13,257) |
| | \$ (9,268) | \$ (8,405) |

The pension and postretirement benefit liability amounts are net of deferred taxes of \$5,571 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. No income taxes are provided on foreign currency translation adjustments as foreign earnings are considered permanently invested.

Table of Contents**NOTE J Accrued Warranty Costs**

The Company estimates the amount of warranty claims on sold products that may be incurred based on current and historical data. The actual warranty expense could differ from the estimates made by the Company based on product performance. The following table presents the changes in the Company's product warranty liability:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Balance at January 1 | \$ 4,208 | \$ 4,046 |
| Claims paid during the year | (948) | (313) |
| Additional warranties issued during the first six months | 1,414 | 371 |
| Acquired warranty liabilities | 3,317 | |
| Balance at June 30 | \$ 7,991 | \$ 4,104 |

NOTE K Income Taxes

The Company's tax provision for interim periods is determined using an estimate of its annual effective income tax rate, adjusted for discrete items, if any, that are taken into account in the relevant period. Each quarter, the Company updates the estimated annual effective income tax rate, and if the estimated income tax rate changes, a cumulative adjustment is made.

The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2012 and 2011 was 33.6% and 39.1%, respectively. The 2012 annual effective income tax rate is estimated to be approximately 33.0% and is lower than the 35.0% United States federal statutory rate primarily due to anticipated income in jurisdictions outside of the United States where the effective income tax rate is lower than in the United States.

NOTE L Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures financial assets and liabilities at fair value in three levels of inputs. The three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies, is:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 Valuations based on observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Valuations based on unobservable inputs reflecting our own assumptions, consistent with reasonably available assumptions made by other market participants. These valuations require significant judgment.

The fair value of the 8.125% Senior Notes due 2021 is estimated based on a third-party's bid price, which was determined to be a Level 1 input. The fair value approximated \$256,250 at June 30, 2012 and \$247,500 at December 31, 2011 compared to a \$250,000 carrying value. The fair value of the Company's term loan and revolving credit portion of the Credit Agreement as defined in Note M below approximated its carrying amount as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

NOTE M Financing Arrangement

The Company is a party to a credit and security agreement dated November 5, 2003, as amended (the "Credit Agreement"), with a group of banks, under which it may borrow or issue standby letters of credit or commercial letters of credit. On March 23, 2012, the Credit Agreement was amended and restated to, among other things, increase the revolving loan commitment from \$200,000 to \$220,000, and provide a term loan for \$25,000 that is secured by certain real estate and machinery and equipment. Amounts borrowed under the revolving credit facility may be borrowed at either (i) LIBOR plus 1.75% to 2.75% or (ii) the bank's prime lending rate minus .25% to 1.00%, at the Company's election. The LIBOR-based interest rate is dependent on the Company's debt service coverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, a detailed borrowing base formula provides borrowing availability to the Company based on percentages of eligible accounts

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

receivable and inventory. The interest rate on the revolving credit facility was 2% at June 30, 2012. Interest on the term loan is at either (i) LIBOR plus 2.75% or (ii) the bank's prime lending rate plus .25%, at the Company's election. The term loan is amortized based on a seven-year schedule with the balance due at maturity. The interest rate on the term loan was 3.25% at June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 8.125% senior notes due 2021 | \$ 250,000 | \$ 250,000 |
| Revolving credit | 120,293 | 93,000 |
| Term loan | 24,107 | |
| Other | 3,846 | 4,580 |
| | 398,246 | 347,580 |
| Less current maturities | 4,480 | 1,415 |
| Total | \$ 393,766 | \$ 346,165 |

NOTE N Accounts Receivable

During the first six months of 2012 and 2011, the Company sold approximately \$41,491 and \$27,467, respectively, of accounts receivable to mitigate accounts receivable concentration risk and to provide additional financing capacity and recorded a loss in the amount of \$184 and \$122, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. These losses represented implicit interest on the transactions.

NOTE O Acquisition

On March 23, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of FRS, a leading manufacturer of automotive and industrial rubber and thermoplastic hose products and fuel filler and hydraulic fluid assemblies, in an all cash transaction valued at \$98,909. FRS products include fuel filler, hydraulic, and thermoplastic assemblies and several forms of manufactured rubber and thermoplastic hose, including bulk and formed fuel, power steering, transmission oil cooling, hydraulic and thermoplastic hose. FRS sells to automotive and industrial customers throughout North America, Europe and Asia. FRS has five production facilities located in Florida, Michigan, Ohio, Tennessee and the Czech Republic. FRS is included in the Company's Assembly Components segment and had revenues of \$61,000 and net income of \$4,363 for the period from the date acquired through June 30, 2012. The Company funded the acquisition with cash of \$40,000, a \$25,000 seven-year amortizing term loan provided by the Credit Agreement and secured by certain real estate and machinery and equipment of the Company and \$33,909 of borrowings under the revolving credit facility provided by the Credit Agreement. The acquisition was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the total estimated purchase price is allocated to FRS' net tangible assets and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as of March 23, 2012, the effective date of the acquisition. Based on management's valuation of the fair value of tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, which are based on estimates and assumptions, the preliminary purchase price is allocated as follows:

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,202 |
| Accounts receivable | 30,920 |
| Inventories | 12,355 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 3,998 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 30,243 |
| Customer relationships | 30,000 |
| Trademarks and trade name | 10,900 |
| Other assets | 212 |
| Accounts payable | (17,207) |
| Accrued expenses | (15,462) |
| Deferred tax liability | (26,946) |
| Other long-term liabilities | (776) |
| Goodwill | 38,470 |
| Total purchase price | \$ 98,909 |

Table of Contents

There were \$1,047 of direct transaction costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses during the first six months of 2012.

During the second quarter, the Company made adjustments to its preliminary purchase price allocation for FRS resulting in a net decrease to goodwill of \$4,878. The adjustments made primarily relate to the working capital adjustment and valuation of property, plant and equipment and the associated impact of deferred taxes.

The following unaudited pro forma information is provided to present a summary of the combined results of the Company's operations with FRS as if the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2011. The unaudited pro forma financial information is for informational purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what the results would have been had the acquisition been completed at the date indicated above.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Pro forma revenues | \$ 308,817 | \$ 292,212 | \$ 622,742 | \$ 581,173 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 4,443 | \$ 2,503 | \$ 9,740 | \$ 10,795 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$.37 | \$.22 | \$.82 | \$.94 |
| Diluted | \$.37 | \$.21 | \$.81 | \$.90 |

NOTE P Commitments, Contingencies and Litigation Settlement

The Company is subject to various pending and threatened legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the Company cannot precisely predict the amount of any liability that may ultimately arise with respect to any of these matters, the Company records provisions when it considers the liability probable and reasonably estimable. Our provisions are based on historical experience and legal advice, reviewed quarterly and adjusted according to developments. Estimating probable losses requires the analysis of multiple forecasted factors that often depend on judgments about potential actions by third parties, such as regulators, courts, and state and federal legislatures. Changes in the amounts of our loss provisions, which can be material, affect our financial condition. Due to the inherent uncertainties in the process undertaken to estimate potential losses, we are unable to estimate an additional range of loss in excess of our accruals. While it is reasonably possible that such excess liabilities, if they were to occur, could be material to operating results in any given quarter or year of their recognition, we do not believe that it is reasonably possible that such excess liabilities would have a material adverse effect on our long-term results of operations, liquidity or consolidated financial position.

Our subsidiaries are involved in a number of contractual and warranty related disputes. At this time, we cannot reasonably determine the timing and amount of any such loss. We believe that appropriate liabilities for these contingencies have been recorded; however, actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

One of our subsidiaries, Ajax Tocco Magnethermic (ATM), which is included in the Engineered Products segment, was a party to a binding arbitration proceeding pending in South Africa with its customer Evraz Highveld Steel and Vanadium (Evraz). The arbitration involved a dispute over the design and installation of a melting furnace. Evraz sought binding arbitration in September

Table of Contents

2011 for breach of contract and sought compensatory damages in the amount of \$37,000, as well as fees and expenses related to the arbitration. ATM counterclaimed in the arbitration, alleging breach of contract for non-payment of \$2,700 as well as fees and expenses related to the arbitration. The arbitration was scheduled to commence in June 2012. Prior to the start of the arbitration, after complete evaluation of Evraz's evidence, consideration of the jurisdiction of the matter, the uncertainty of a specific outcome and other pertinent facts noted in preparation for the arbitration, we entered into a settlement agreement with Evraz pursuant to which we agreed to settle all claims subject to the arbitration proceeding by paying Evraz \$13,000 in cash, which payment was made in June 2012. The \$2,700 amount receivable from Evraz had been previously reserved and was written off in conjunction with the settlement.

NOTE Q Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The change in goodwill and other intangibles assets reflected on the balance sheet from December 31, 2011 to June 30, 2012 was the result of an increase of \$79,370 related to the acquisition of FRS and foreign currency translation. Information regarding other intangible assets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 follows:

| | Acquisition Costs | June 30, 2012 Accumulated Amortization | Net | Acquisition Costs | December 31, 2011 Accumulated Amortization | Net |
|--|----------------------|--|-----------|----------------------|--|-----------|
| Non-contractual customer relationships | \$ 41,670 | \$ 4,393 | \$ 37,277 | \$ 11,670 | \$ 3,320 | \$ 8,350 |
| Other | 3,420 | 1,212 | 2,208 | 3,420 | 1,046 | 2,374 |
| | \$ 45,090 | \$ 5,605 | \$ 39,485 | \$ 15,090 | \$ 4,366 | \$ 10,724 |
| Indefinite-lived tradenames | | | 10,900 | | | |
| Goodwill | | | 47,820 | | | 9,463 |
| Total | | | \$ 98,205 | | | \$ 20,187 |

Amortization expense for the first six months of 2012 was \$1,239 and is estimated to be \$2,640 in 2012, \$3,086 in 2013 and \$3,029 for each of the three subsequent years thereafter. The weighted-average amortization period for the acquired intangible assets was approximately 14 years.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Our condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Executive Overview

We are an industrial Total Supply Management and diversified manufacturing business, operating in three segments: Supply Technologies, Assembly Components and Engineered Products.

Our Supply Technologies business provides our customers with Total Supply Management, a proactive solutions approach that manages the efficiencies of every aspect of supplying production parts and materials to our customers' manufacturing floor, from strategic planning to program implementation. Total Supply Management includes such services as engineering and design support, part usage and cost analysis, supplier selection, quality assurance, bar coding, product packaging and tracking, just-in-time and point-of-use delivery, electronic billing services and ongoing technical support. The principal customers of Supply Technologies are in the heavy-duty truck, automotive and vehicle parts, electrical distribution and controls, consumer electronics, power sports/fitness equipment, HVAC, agricultural and construction equipment, semiconductor equipment, plumbing, aerospace and defense, and appliance industries.

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Assembly Components manufactures industrial hose and injected molded rubber components, and fuel filler assemblies. In addition, Assembly Components casts and machines aluminum engine, transmission, brake, suspension and other components such as pump housings, clutch retainers/pistons, control arms, knuckles, master cylinders, pinion housings, brake calipers, oil pans and flywheel spacers for automotive, agricultural, construction, heavy-duty truck and marine original equipment manufacturers

Table of Contents

(OEMs), primarily on a sole-source basis. Assembly Components also provides value-added services such as design and engineering and assembly.

Engineered Products operates a diverse group of niche manufacturing businesses that design and manufacture a broad range of highly-engineered products including induction heating and melting systems, pipe threading systems, industrial oven systems, and forged and machined products. Engineered Products also produces and provides services and spare parts for the equipment it manufactures. The principal customers of Engineered Products are OEMs, sub-assemblers and end users in the ferrous and non-ferrous metals, silicon, coatings, forging, foundry, heavy-duty truck, construction equipment, automotive, oil and gas, rail and locomotive manufacturing and aerospace and defense industries.

Sales, segment operating income and other relevant financial data for these three segments are provided in Note C to the condensed consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere herein.

On March 23, 2012, we completed the acquisition of Fluid Routing Solutions Holding Corp. (FRS), a leading manufacturer of automotive and industrial rubber and thermoplastic hose products and fuel filler and hydraulic fluid assemblies, in an all cash transaction valued at \$98.9 million. FRS products include fuel filler, hydraulic, and thermoplastic assemblies and several forms of manufactured hose, including bulk and formed fuel, power steering, transmission oil cooling, hydraulic and thermoplastic hose. FRS sells to automotive and industrial customers throughout North America, Europe and Asia. FRS has five production facilities located in Florida, Michigan, Ohio, Tennessee and the Czech Republic. FRS is included in the our Assembly Components segment.

In connection with the acquisition of FRS, we amended and restated our existing credit and security agreement, dated November 5, 2003, as amended (the Credit Agreement), to, among other things, increase the revolving loan commitment from \$200 million to \$220 million and provide a seven-year amortizing term loan for \$25 million that is secured by certain real estate and machinery and equipment. We funded the acquisition with cash of \$40 million, the \$25 million term loan provided by the Credit Agreement and \$33.9 million of borrowings under the revolving credit facility provided by the Credit Agreement.

As discussed elsewhere in this quarterly report, in June 2012 we agreed to settle the Evraz Highveld Steel and Vanadium (Evraz) arbitration proceeding for the sum of \$13.0 million in cash, which payment was made in June 2012.

Results of Operations*Second Quarter 2012 Compared with Second Quarter 2011**Net Sales by Segment:*

| | Three Months | | Change | Percent Change |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|----------------|
| | Ended June 30, | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Supply Technologies | \$ 131.5 | \$ 123.8 | \$ 7.7 | 6% |
| Assembly Components | 91.4 | 40.7 | 50.7 | 125% |
| Engineered Products | 85.9 | 82.3 | 3.6 | 4% |
| Consolidated Net Sales | \$ 308.8 | \$ 246.8 | \$ 62.0 | 25% |

Net sales increased \$62.0 million to \$308.8 million in the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$246.8 million in the same period in 2011, as we experienced volume increases in each of our segments. Supply Technologies sales increased 6% primarily due to volume increases in the heavy-duty truck, power sports, electrical, lawn and garden, industrial and computer and office equipment

Table of Contents

industries offset primarily by declines in the consumer electronics, HVAC, agriculture and construction, medical, appliance and instruments industries. Assembly Components sales increased 125% primarily due to incremental sales of \$55.5 million resulting from the acquisition of FRS offset by lower sales in the aluminum products business unit. Engineered Products sales increased 4% primarily due to the increased sales associated with the rail industry in the forged and machine business units.

Cost of Products Sold & Gross Profit:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Change | Percent Change |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|
| | 2012 (Dollars in millions) | 2011 | | |
| Consolidated cost of products sold | \$ 252.9 | \$ 201.6 | \$ 51.3 | 25% |
| Consolidated gross profit | \$ 55.9 | \$ 45.2 | \$ 10.7 | 24% |
| Gross Margin | 18.1% | 18.3% | | |

Cost of products sold increased \$51.3 million to \$252.9 million in the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$201.6 million in the same period in 2011, while gross margin decreased to 18.1% in the second quarter of 2012 compared to 18.3% in the same period in 2011. The increase in cost of products sold was due primarily to the inclusion of FRS results in 2012. Gross margin decreased primarily due to product mix.

Selling, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expenses:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Change | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------|-------------------|
| | 2012 (Dollars in millions) | 2011 | | |
| Consolidated SG&A expenses | \$ 29.6 | \$ 28.8 | \$ 0.8 | 3% |
| SG&A as a percentage of net sales | 9.6% | 11.7% | | |

Consolidated SG&A expenses increased 3% in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, representing a 210 basis point decrease in SG&A expenses as a percent of sales. SG&A expenses increased in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 primarily due to the acquisition of FRS.

Interest Expense:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Change | Percent Change |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| | 2012 (Dollars in millions) | 2011 | | |
| Interest expense | \$ 6.5 | \$ 14.2 | \$ (7.7) | (54)% |
| Debt extinguishment costs included in interest expense | \$ | \$ 7.3 | \$ (7.3) | (100)% |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and bank service charges | \$ 0.6 | \$ 0.9 | \$ (0.3) | (33)% |
| Average outstanding borrowings | \$ 395.0 | \$ 336.7 | \$ 58.3 | 17% |
| Average borrowing rate | 6.82% | 8.20% | (138) | basis points |

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Interest expense decreased \$7.7 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011, primarily due to debt extinguishment costs in 2011 as a result of the refinancing of our senior subordinated notes and amendment of our Revolving

Table of Contents

Credit facility. Average borrowings in the second quarter of 2012 were higher when compared to the same period in 2011 due to our sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of the Notes, offset by the purchase of all of our outstanding 8.375% senior subordinated notes due 2014, additional borrowings to fund the acquisition of FRS and the litigation settlement. The lower average borrowing rate in the second quarter of 2012 was due primarily to the interest rate mix of our revolving credit facility and the Notes when compared to the interest rate mix in the same period in 2011.

Income Tax:

The provision for income taxes was \$2.3 million in the second quarter of 2012, a 34.5% effective income tax rate, compared to income taxes of \$3.2 million provided in the corresponding period of 2011, a 152.6% effective income tax rate. Included in the income tax provision for 2011 was \$2.1 million associated with the retirement of senior subordinated notes that were held by a foreign affiliate.

Six Months 2012 Compared with Six Months 2011**Net Sales by Segment:**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 2011 (Dollars in millions) | | Change | Percent Change |
|------------------------|--|----------|---------|-------------------|
| Supply Technologies | \$ 264.2 | \$ 245.3 | \$ 18.9 | 8% |
| Assembly Components | 136.0 | 88.0 | 48.0 | 55% |
| Engineered Products | 171.7 | 155.1 | 16.6 | 11% |
| Consolidated Net Sales | \$ 571.9 | \$ 488.4 | \$ 83.5 | 17% |

Net sales increased \$83.5 million to \$571.9 million in the first six months of 2012, compared to \$488.4 million in the same period in 2011, as we experienced volume increases in each of our segments. Supply Technologies sales increased 8% primarily due to volume increases in the heavy-duty truck, power sports, computer office equipment and lawn and garden industries offset primarily by declines in the consumer electronics, semi-conductor, appliance, HVAC and instruments industries. Assembly Components sales increased 55% primarily from sales of \$61.0 million resulting from the acquisition of FRS, offset by lower sales in the aluminum business unit. Engineered Products sales increased 11% primarily due to increased volume in the capital equipment and forged and machine business units.

Cost of Products Sold & Gross Profit:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 2011 (Dollars in millions) | | Change | Percent Change |
|------------------------------------|--|----------|---------|-------------------|
| Consolidated cost of products sold | \$ 467.0 | \$ 401.3 | \$ 65.7 | 16% |
| Consolidated gross profit | \$ 104.8 | \$ 87.1 | \$ 17.7 | 20% |
| Gross Margin | 18.3% | 17.8% | | |

Cost of products sold increased \$65.7 million to \$467.0 million in the first six months of 2012, compared to \$401.3 million in the same period in 2011, while gross margin increased to 18.3% in the first six months of 2012 compared to 17.8% in the same period in 2011. The increase in cost

of products sold was due primarily to the inclusion of FRS results in 2012. Gross margin increased in each segment resulting primarily from volume increases.

Table of Contents**SG&A Expenses:**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Change | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|--------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Consolidated SG&A expenses | \$ 58.4 | \$ 54.5 | \$ 3.9 | 7% |
| SG&A as a percentage of net sales | 10.2% | 11.2% | | |

Consolidated SG&A expenses increased 7% in the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. However, we generated a 100 basis point decrease in SG&A expenses as a percent of sales. SG&A expenses increased in the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 primarily due to increases in payroll and payroll related expenses, a reduction in pension income of \$1.1 million, and \$1.0 million of expenses associated with the acquisition of FRS and \$1.0 million of legal expenses associated with the litigation settlement.

Interest Expense:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Change | Percent Change |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Interest expense | \$ 13.3 | \$ 20.1 | \$ (6.8) | (34)% |
| Debt extinguishment costs included in interest expense | \$ 0.3 | \$ 7.3 | (7.0) | (96)% |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and bank service charges | \$ 1.5 | \$ 1.7 | \$ (0.2) | (12)% |
| Average outstanding borrowings | \$ 374.1 | \$ 325.8 | \$ 48.3 | 15% |
| Average borrowing rate | 7.10% | 6.81% | 29 | basis points |

Interest expense decreased \$6.8 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011, primarily due to higher debt extinguishment costs in 2011 as a result of the refinancing of our Senior Notes and amendment of its Revolving Credit facility. Average borrowings in the first six months of 2012 were higher when compared to the same period in 2011 due to our sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of the Notes, offset by the purchase of all of its outstanding 8.375% senior subordinated notes due 2014, additional borrowings to fund the acquisition of FRS and the litigation settlement. The higher average borrowing rate in the first six months of 2012 was due primarily to the interest rate mix of our revolving credit facility and the Notes when compared to the interest rate mix in the same period in 2011.

Income Tax:

The provision for income taxes was \$6.8 million in the first six months of 2012, a 33.6% effective income tax rate, compared to income taxes of \$4.9 million provided in the corresponding period of 2011, a 39.1% effective income tax rate. We estimate that the effective tax rate for full-year 2012 will be approximately 33%.

Liquidity and Sources of Capital

As of June 30, 2012, we had \$120.3 million outstanding under its revolving credit facility, approximately \$73.2 million of unused borrowing availability and cash and cash equivalents of \$43.4 million.

Our liquidity needs are primarily for working capital and capital expenditures. Our primary sources of liquidity have been funds provided by operations and funds available from existing bank credit arrangements and the sale of our debt securities. On April 7, 2011, we completed the sale of \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of Notes. The Notes bear an interest rate of 8.125% per annum and will be payable semi-annually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year beginning on April 1, 2011. The Notes mature on April 1, 2021.

Table of Contents

We are a party to the Credit Agreement with a group of banks, under which we may borrow or issue standby letters of credit or commercial letters of credit. On March 23, 2012, the Credit Agreement was amended and restated to, among other things, increase the revolving loan commitment from \$200 million to \$220 million, and provide a term loan for \$25 million that is secured by certain real estate and machinery and equipment. Amounts borrowed under the revolving credit facility may be borrowed at either (i) LIBOR plus 1.75% to 2.75% or (ii) the bank's prime lending rate minus .25% to 1.00%, at our election. The LIBOR-based interest rate is dependent on our debt service coverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, a detailed borrowing base formula provides borrowing availability to us based on percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventory. Interest on the term loan is at either (i) LIBOR plus 2.75% or (ii) the bank's prime lending rate plus .25%, at our election. The term loan is amortized based on a seven-year schedule with the balance due at maturity.

Current financial resources (working capital and available bank borrowing arrangements) and anticipated funds from operations are expected to be adequate to meet current cash requirements for at least the next twelve months. The future availability of bank borrowings under the revolving credit facility provided by the Credit Agreement is based on our ability to meet a debt service ratio covenant, which could be materially impacted by negative economic trends. Failure to meet the debt service ratio could materially impact the availability and interest rate of future borrowings.

We had cash and cash equivalents held by foreign subsidiaries of \$32.1 million at June 30, 2012 and \$61.2 million at December 31, 2011. For each of our foreign subsidiaries, we make a determination regarding the amount of earnings intended for permanent reinvestment, with the balance, if any, available to be repatriated to the United States. The cash held by foreign subsidiaries for permanent reinvestment is generally used to finance the foreign subsidiaries' operational activities and/or future foreign investments. At June 30, 2012, management believed that sufficient liquidity was available in the United States, and it is our current intention to permanently reinvest undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries outside of the United States. Although we have no intention to repatriate the approximately \$87.7 million of undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries, as of June 30, 2012, if we were to repatriate these earnings, there would potentially be an adverse tax impact.

At June 30, 2012, our debt service coverage ratio was 2.0, and, therefore, we were in compliance with the debt service coverage ratio covenant contained in the revolving credit facility. We were also in compliance with the other covenants contained in the revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2012. The debt service coverage ratio is calculated at the end of each fiscal quarter and is based on the most recently ended four fiscal quarters of consolidated EBITDA minus cash taxes paid, minus unfunded capital expenditures, plus cash tax refunds to consolidated debt charges that are consolidated cash interest expense plus scheduled principal payments on indebtedness plus scheduled reductions in our term debt as defined in the Credit Agreement. The debt service coverage ratio must be greater than 1.0 and not less than 1.1 for any two consecutive fiscal quarters. While we expect to remain in compliance throughout 2012, declines in sales volumes in 2012 could adversely impact our ability to remain in compliance with certain of these financial covenants. Additionally, to the extent our customers are adversely affected by declines in the economy in general, they may not be able to pay their accounts payable to us on a timely basis or at all, which would make the accounts receivable ineligible for purposes of the revolving credit facility and could reduce our borrowing base and our ability to borrow under such facility.

The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 2.16 at June 30, 2012, versus 2.65 at December 31, 2011. Working capital decreased by \$21.2 million to \$270.3 million at June 30, 2012, from \$291.5 million at December 31, 2011. Accounts receivable increased \$43.6 million to \$183.5 million at June 30, 2012, from \$139.9 million at December 31, 2011, primarily resulting from the acquisition of FRS and its \$26.2 million of accounts receivable and sales volume increases. Inventory increased by \$24.2 million at June 30, 2012, to \$226.2 million from \$202.0 million at December 31, 2011, primarily resulting from planned increases due to sales volume increases and \$11.1 million of increases associated with the acquisition of FRS. Accrued expenses increased by \$18.7 million to \$92.4 million at June 30, 2012, from \$73.7 million at December 31, 2011, primarily resulting from the terms of the payments of interest due on the Notes and accrued liabilities of FRS of \$12.0 million. Accounts payable increased \$34.7 million to \$134.3 million at June 30, 2012, from \$99.6 million at December 31, 2011, primarily as a result of acquiring the accounts payable of FRS of \$17.3 million and the timing of payments at June 30, 2012.

During the first six months of 2012, we provided \$19.1 million from operating activities compared to \$11.6 million in the same period of 2011. The increase in the operating cash flows of \$7.5 million in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily the result of an increase in net income and an increase in cash flows from operating assets and liabilities compared to the prior year. In the first six months of 2012, we used cash of \$6.9 million for capital expenditures and \$96.7 million for the acquisition of FRS. These activities, plus cash interest and tax payments of \$15.3 million, an increase in borrowings of \$50.7 million and purchase of treasury stock of \$1.0 million offset by funds received upon the exercise of stock options of \$1.1 million, resulted in a decrease in cash of \$34.6 million in the first six months of 2012.

We do not have off-balance sheet arrangements, financing or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons. There are occasions whereupon we enter into forward contracts on foreign currencies, purely for the purpose of hedging exposure to changes in the value of accounts receivable in those currencies against the U.S. dollar. At June 30, 2012, none were outstanding. We currently have no other derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

Seasonality; Variability of Operating Results

The timing of orders placed by our customers has varied with, among other factors, orders for customers' finished goods, customer production schedules, competitive conditions and general economic conditions. The variability of the level and timing of orders has, from time to time, resulted in significant periodic and quarterly fluctuations in the operations of our business units. Such variability is particularly evident at the capital equipment business unit, included in the Engineered Products segment, which typically ships a few large systems per year.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies are described in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and in the notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 contained in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There were no new accounting policies or updates to existing accounting policies as a result of new accounting pronouncements discussed in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The application of our critical accounting policies may require management to make judgments and estimates about the amounts reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Management uses historical experience and all available information to make these estimates and judgments, and different amounts could be reported using different assumptions and estimates.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain statements that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. The words "believes", "anticipates", "plans", "expects", "intends", "estimates" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance and achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following: our substantial indebtedness; continuation of the current negative global economic environment; general business conditions and competitive factors, including pricing pressures and product innovation; demand for our products and services; raw material availability and pricing; component part availability and pricing; changes in our relationships with customers and suppliers; the financial condition of our customers, including the impact of any bankruptcies; our ability to successfully integrate FRS and achieve the expected results of the acquisition; our ability to retain FRS's relationship with customers and suppliers; our ability to successfully integrate recent and future acquisitions into existing operations; changes in general domestic economic conditions such as inflation rates, interest rates, tax rates, unemployment rates, higher labor and healthcare costs, recessions and changing government policies, laws and regulations, including the uncertainties related to the current global financial crisis; adverse impacts to us, our suppliers and customers from acts of terrorism or hostilities; our ability to meet various covenants, including financial covenants, contained in the agreements governing our indebtedness; disruptions, uncertainties or volatility in the credit markets that may limit our access to capital; increasingly stringent domestic and foreign governmental regulations, including those affecting the environment; inherent uncertainties involved in assessing our potential liability for environmental remediation-related activities; the outcome of pending and future litigation and other claims and disputes with customers; our dependence on the automotive and heavy-duty truck industries, which are highly cyclical; the dependence of the automotive industry on consumer spending, which could be lower due to the effects of the current financial crisis; our ability to negotiate contracts with labor unions; our dependence on key management; our dependence on information systems; and the other factors we describe under Item 1A. Risk Factors included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In light of these and other uncertainties, the inclusion of a forward-looking statement herein should not be regarded as a representation by us that our plans and objectives will be achieved.

Review By Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The consolidated financial statements at June 30, 2012, and for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, have been reviewed, prior to filing, by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm.

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk*

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk, including changes in interest rates. We are subject to interest rate risk on borrowings under the floating rate revolving credit facility provided by our Credit Agreement, which consisted of borrowings of \$120.3 million at June 30, 2012. A 100 basis point increase in the interest rate would have resulted in an increase in interest expense of approximately \$0.6 million during the six-month period

ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Our foreign subsidiaries generally conduct business in local currencies. During the second quarter of 2012, we recorded a favorable foreign currency translation adjustment of \$(2.9) million related to net assets located outside the United States. This foreign currency translation adjustment resulted primarily from strengthening of the U.S. dollar. Our foreign operations are also subject to other customary risks of operating in a global environment, such as unstable political situations, the effect of local laws and taxes, tariff increases and regulations and requirements for export licenses, the potential imposition of trade or foreign exchange restrictions and transportation delays.

We periodically enter into forward contracts on foreign currencies, primarily the euro and the British pound sterling, purely for the purpose of hedging exposure to changes in the value of accounts receivable in those currencies against the U.S. dollar. We currently use no other derivative instruments. At June 30, 2012, there were no such currency hedge contracts outstanding.

Item 4. *Controls and Procedures*

Under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report.

Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second quarter of 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

We are subject to various pending and threatened lawsuits in which claims for monetary damages are asserted in the ordinary course of business. While any litigation involves an element of uncertainty, in the opinion of management, liabilities, if any, arising from currently pending or threatened litigation are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

One of our subsidiaries, Ajax Tocco Magnethermic (ATM) included in the Engineered Products segment, was a party to a binding arbitration proceeding in South Africa with its customer Evraz Highveld Steel and Vanadium (Evraz). The arbitration involved a dispute over the design and installation of a melting furnace. Evraz sought binding arbitration in September 2011 for breach of contract and sought compensatory damages in the amount of \$37.0 million, as well as fees and expenses related to the arbitration. ATM counterclaimed in the arbitration, alleging breach of contract for non-payment of \$2.7 million as well as fees and expenses related to the arbitration. The arbitration was scheduled to commence in June 2012. Prior to the start of the arbitration, after complete evaluation of Evraz 's evidence, consideration of the jurisdiction of the matter, the uncertainty of a specific outcome and other pertinent facts noted in preparation for the arbitration, we entered into a settlement agreement with Evraz pursuant to which we agreed to settle all claims subject to the arbitration proceeding by paying Evraz \$13.0 million in cash, which payment was made in June 2012.

In addition to the routine lawsuits and asserted claims noted above, we were a party to the lawsuits and legal proceedings described below at June 30, 2012.

We were a co-defendant in approximately 287 cases asserting claims on behalf of approximately 722 plaintiffs alleging personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos. These asbestos cases generally relate to production and sale of asbestos-containing products and allege various theories of liability, including negligence, gross negligence and strict liability, and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages.

In every asbestos case in which we are named as a party, the complaints are filed against multiple named defendants. In substantially all of the asbestos cases, the plaintiffs either claim damages in excess of a specified amount, typically a minimum amount sufficient to establish jurisdiction of the court in which the case was filed (jurisdictional minimums generally range from \$25,000 to \$75,000), or do not specify the monetary damages sought. To the extent that any specific amount of damages is sought, the amount applies to claims against all named defendants.

There are only seven asbestos cases, involving 25 plaintiffs that plead specified damages. In each of the seven cases, the plaintiff is seeking compensatory and punitive damages based on a variety of potentially alternative causes of action. In three cases, the plaintiff has alleged compensatory damages in the amount of \$3.0 million for four separate causes of action and \$1.0 million for another cause of action and punitive damages in the amount of \$10.0 million. In the fourth case, the plaintiff has alleged against each named defendant, compensatory and punitive damages, each in the amount of \$10.0 million, for seven separate causes of action. In the fifth case, the plaintiff has alleged compensatory damages in the amount of \$20.0 million for three separate causes of action and \$5.0 million for another cause of action and punitive damages in the amount of \$20.0 million. In the remaining two cases, the plaintiffs have each alleged against each named defendant compensatory and punitive damages, each in the amount of \$50.0 million, for four separate causes of action.

Historically, we have been dismissed from asbestos cases on the basis that the plaintiff incorrectly sued one of our subsidiaries or because the plaintiff failed to identify any asbestos-containing product manufactured or sold by us or our subsidiaries. We intend to vigorously defend these asbestos cases, and believe we will continue to be successful in being dismissed from such cases. However, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of asbestos-related lawsuits, claims and proceedings due to the unpredictable nature of personal injury litigation. Despite this uncertainty, and although our results of operations and cash flows for a particular period could be adversely affected by asbestos-related lawsuits, claims and proceedings, management believes that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations. Among the factors management considered in reaching this conclusion were: (a) our historical success in being dismissed from these types of lawsuits on the bases mentioned above; (b) many cases have been improperly filed against one of our subsidiaries; (c) in many cases the plaintiffs have been unable to establish any causal relationship to us or our products or premises; (d) in many cases, the plaintiffs have been unable to demonstrate that they have suffered any identifiable injury or compensable loss at all or that any injuries that they have incurred did in fact result from alleged exposure to asbestos; and (e) the complaints assert claims against multiple defendants and, in most cases, the damages alleged are not attributed to individual defendants. Additionally, we do not believe that the amounts claimed in any of the asbestos cases are meaningful indicators of our potential exposure because the amounts claimed typically bear no relation to the extent of the plaintiff 's injury, if any.

Table of Contents

Our cost of defending these lawsuits has not been material to date and, based upon available information, our management does not expect its future costs for asbestos-related lawsuits to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity or financial position.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in the our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Set forth below is information regarding our repurchases of our common stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans(1) | Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Program |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| April 1 - April 30, 2012 | | \$ | | 340,920 |
| May 1 - May 31, 2012 | 17,811(2) | 18.86 | | 340,920 |
| June 1 - June 30, 2012 | | | | 340,920 |
| Total | 17,811 | \$ 18.86 | | 340,920 |

- (1) On September 27, 2006, we announced a share repurchase program whereby we may repurchase up to 1.0 million shares of our common stock. During the second quarter of 2012, no shares were purchased as part of this program.
- (2) Consists of shares of common stock we acquired from recipients of restricted stock awards at the time of vesting of such awards in order to settle recipient minimum withholding tax liabilities.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are included herein:

| | |
|---------|--|
| 10.1 | Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on May 24, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 30, 2012, SEC File No. 000-03134, and incorporated by reference and made a part hereof) |
| 31.1 | Principal Executive Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Principal Financial Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification requirement under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP.
(Registrant)

By /s/ W. SCOTT EMERICK
Name: W. Scott Emerick
Title: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: August 9, 2012

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Exhibit

| | |
|---------|--|
| 10.1 | Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on May 24, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. on May 30, 2012, SEC File No. 000-03134) |
| 31.1 | Principal Executive Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Principal Financial Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification requirement under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |