

SURMODICS INC
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-23837

SurModics, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

MINNESOTA
(State of incorporation)

41-1356149
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

9924 West 74th Street

Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (952) 500-7000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.05 par value per share, outstanding as of May 1, 2012 was 17,543,188.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 27 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 30 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 31 |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1. Financial Statements

SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

| <i>(in thousands, except share data)</i> | March 31, 2012 | September 30, 2011 |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | <i>(Unaudited)</i> | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 60,184 | \$ 23,217 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 13,404 | 12,196 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | | 3,030 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$40 and \$32 as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively | 4,628 | 4,385 |
| Inventories | 3,665 | 3,181 |
| Deferred tax assets | 79 | 142 |
| Prepays and other | 3,494 | 2,268 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | 1,428 | 5,983 |
| Total Current Assets | 86,882 | 54,402 |
| Property and equipment, net | 13,695 | 14,586 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 28,766 | 29,754 |
| Deferred tax assets | 9,224 | 9,017 |
| Intangible assets, net | 4,802 | 5,199 |
| Goodwill | 8,010 | 8,010 |
| Other assets, net | 3,024 | 3,303 |
| Non-current assets of discontinued operations | | 32,511 |
| Total Assets | \$ 154,403 | \$ 156,782 |

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

| | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,496 | \$ 1,572 |
| Accrued liabilities: | | |
| Compensation | 1,048 | 1,952 |
| Accrued other | 968 | 1,241 |
| Deferred revenue | 51 | 53 |
| Other current liabilities | 554 | 873 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | 1,758 | 5,349 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 5,875 | 11,040 |
| Deferred revenue, less current portion | 207 | 222 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 2,391 | 2,421 |
| Non-current liabilities of discontinued operations | | 3,491 |
| Total Liabilities | 8,473 | 17,174 |

Commitments and Contingencies (Note 17)

Stockholders Equity:

Series A Preferred stock- \$.05 par value, 450,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Common stock- \$.05 par value, 45,000,000 shares authorized; 17,543,188 and 17,531,408 shares issued and outstanding | 877 | 877 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 76,132 | 74,490 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 97 | (153) |
| Retained earnings | 68,824 | 64,394 |
| Total Stockholders Equity | 145,930 | 139,608 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 154,403 | \$ 156,782 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

| <i>(In thousands, except income (loss) per share)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | <i>(Unaudited)</i> | | <i>(Unaudited)</i> | |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Royalties and license fees | \$ 6,283 | \$ 7,643 | \$ 12,893 | \$ 15,160 |
| Product sales | 5,067 | 5,128 | 9,701 | 9,577 |
| Research and development | 860 | 563 | 1,532 | 1,119 |
| Total revenue | 12,210 | 13,334 | 24,126 | 25,856 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Product costs | 1,615 | 1,849 | 3,205 | 3,412 |
| Research and development | 3,512 | 3,800 | 7,150 | 6,305 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 3,394 | 3,473 | 6,860 | 7,197 |
| Restructuring charges | | | | 609 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 8,521 | 9,122 | 17,215 | 17,523 |
| Operating income from continuing operations | 3,689 | 4,212 | 6,911 | 8,333 |
| Other (loss) income: | | | | |
| Investment income, net | 143 | 167 | 281 | 351 |
| Impairment loss on investment | (804) | | (804) | |
| Other income (loss), net | 162 | 208 | 170 | 208 |
| Other (loss) income | (499) | 375 | (353) | 559 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 3,190 | 4,587 | 6,558 | 8,892 |
| Income tax provision | (1,244) | (1,763) | (2,457) | (3,208) |
| Income from continuing operations | 1,946 | 2,824 | 4,101 | 5,684 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | (344) | (336) | 1,261 | (9,367) |
| Income (loss) on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 121 | | (933) | |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | (223) | (336) | 328 | (9,367) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 1,723 | \$ 2,488 | \$ 4,429 | \$ (3,683) |
| Basic income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.33 |
| Discontinued operations | (0.01) | (0.02) | 0.02 | (0.54) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.25 | \$ (0.21) |
| Diluted income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.33 |
| Discontinued operations | (0.01) | (0.02) | 0.02 | (0.54) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.25 | \$ (0.21) |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Weighted average number of shares outstanding:

| | | | | |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Basic | 17,519 | 17,407 | 17,498 | 17,395 |
| Diluted | 17,632 | 17,471 | 17,575 | 17,428 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | <i>(Unaudited)</i> | |
| <i>(in thousands)</i> | | |
| Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 4,429 | \$ (3,683) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations: | | |
| (Income) loss from discontinued operations | (1,261) | 9,367 |
| Loss on sale of discontinued operations | 933 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,480 | 1,604 |
| Gain on sale of investments | (170) | (209) |
| Amortization of premium on held-to-maturity securities | 31 | 52 |
| Impairment loss on investment | 804 | |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,533 | 1,913 |
| Deferred taxes | (294) | (1,562) |
| Reduction of tax benefit from stock-based compensation plans | | 41 |
| Other | 11 | 12 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (244) | 253 |
| Inventories | (484) | (110) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (3,159) | 108 |
| Income taxes | 2,739 | 5,984 |
| Deferred revenue | (17) | 454 |
| Prepays and other | (157) | (85) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations | 6,174 | 14,139 |
| Investing Activities: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (269) | (1,246) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (34,728) | (24,950) |
| Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 34,554 | 24,580 |
| Maturities of held-to-maturity securities | 3,000 | 1,000 |
| Payments related to a prior business acquisition | | (5,650) |
| Cash received from (transferred to) discontinued operations | 27,961 | (4,107) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities from continuing operations | 30,518 | (10,373) |
| Financing Activities: | | |
| Reduction of tax benefit from stock-based compensation plans | | (41) |
| Issuance of common stock | 217 | 383 |
| Purchase of common stock to pay employee taxes | (170) | (7) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations | 47 | 335 |
| Net cash provided by continuing operations | 36,739 | 4,101 |
| Discontinued Operations: | | |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (1,627) | (2,947) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 29,816 | (1,158) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (27,961) | 4,107 |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Net cash provided by discontinued operations | 228 | 2 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 36,967 | 4,103 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents: | | |
| Beginning of period | 23,217 | 11,391 |
| End of period | \$ 60,184 | \$ 15,494 |
| Supplemental Information: | | |
| Cash paid (received) for income taxes | \$ 28 | \$ (2,330) |
| Noncash transaction acquisition of property and equipment on account | \$ 102 | \$ 281 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Period Ended March 31, 2012

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S.) (GAAP) and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments, needed to fairly present the financial results of SurModics, Inc. and subsidiaries (SurModics or the Company) for the periods presented. These financial statements include some amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments. These estimates may be adjusted as more information becomes available, and any adjustment could be significant. The impact of any change in estimates is included in the determination of earnings in the period in which the change in estimate is identified. The results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire 2012 fiscal year.

In accordance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Company has omitted footnote disclosures that would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the audited financial statements of the Company. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read together with the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K/A as filed with the SEC on February 14, 2012.

Certain items in the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended March 31, 2011, and assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2011, have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. As discussed in Note 3, the results of operations, assets and liabilities of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (SurModics Pharmaceuticals) have been accounted for as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Accordingly, the results of operations, cash flows, assets and liabilities of SurModics Pharmaceuticals for prior periods have been reclassified to discontinued operations.

In addition, footnote disclosures have also been modified to conform to the current period presentation as appropriate.

Changes to Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

Beginning with the Form 10-Q for the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company changed the format of the condensed consolidated statements of operations for reporting cost of revenue associated with research and development revenue. The change in format combines customer research and development expenses with other research and development expenses into the research and development expense category. Previously the research and development revenue exceeded ten percent of total revenue and as such Regulation S-X Rule 5-03 required presentation of an associated cost of revenue. With the presentation of the Pharmaceuticals segment as discontinued operations, the research and development revenue no longer exceeds ten percent of total revenue and as such there is no requirement to disclose a corresponding cost. All prior periods have been reclassified to present results following this new format.

Subsequent Event

In May 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50.0 million of the Company's common stock through open-market purchases, private transactions, block trades, accelerated share repurchase transactions, tender offers, or by any combination of such methods. The repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date and is in addition to the \$5.3 million that remains under the November 2007 share repurchase authorization.

2. Key Accounting Policies

Revenue recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when all of the following criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) shipment has occurred or delivery has occurred if the terms specify destination; (3) the sales price is fixed or determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured. When there are additional performance requirements, revenue is recognized when all such requirements have been satisfied. Under

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables, the Company recognizes each separable deliverable as it is earned.

The Company's revenue is derived from three primary sources: (1) royalties and license fees from licensing its proprietary drug delivery and surface modification technologies to customers; (2) the sale of polymers and reagent chemicals, stabilization products, antigens, substrates and microarray slides to the diagnostics and biomedical research industries; and (3) research and development fees generated on customer projects.

Table of Contents

Royalties and license fees. The Company licenses technology to third parties and collects royalties. Royalty revenue is generated when a customer sells products incorporating the Company's licensed technologies. Royalty revenue is recognized as licensees report it to the Company, and payment is typically submitted concurrently with the report. For stand-alone license agreements, up-front license fees are recognized over the term of the related licensing agreement. Minimum royalty fees are recognized in the period earned.

Revenue related to a performance milestone is recognized upon the achievement of the milestone, as defined in the respective agreements and provided the following conditions have been met:

The milestone payment is non-refundable;

The milestone involved a significant degree of risk, and was not reasonably assured at the inception of the arrangement;

Accomplishment of the milestone involved substantial effort;

The amount of the milestone payment is commensurate with the related effort and risk; and

A reasonable amount of time passed between the initial license payment and the first and subsequent milestone payments. If these conditions have not been met, the milestone payment is deferred and recognized over the term of the agreement.

Product sales. Product sales to third parties are recognized at the time of shipment, provided that an order has been received, the price is fixed or determinable, collectability of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured and returns can be reasonably estimated. The Company's sales terms provide no right of return outside of the standard warranty policy. Payment terms are generally set at 30-45 days.

Research and development. The Company performs third party research and development activities, which are typically provided on a time and materials basis. Generally, revenue for research and development is recorded as performance progresses under the applicable contract.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued changes to the presentation of comprehensive income. The FASB subsequently deferred the effective date of certain provisions of this standard pertaining to the reclassification of items out of accumulated other comprehensive income, pending the issuance of further guidance on that matter. These changes give an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements; the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity was eliminated. The items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income were not changed. Additionally, no changes were made to the calculation and presentation of earnings per share. These changes become effective for the Company on October 1, 2012 (fiscal 2013). Management is currently evaluating these changes to determine which option will be chosen for the presentation of comprehensive income. Other than the change in presentation, management has determined these changes will not have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

No other new accounting pronouncement issued or effective has had, or is expected to have, a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

3. Discontinued Operations

On November 1, 2011, the Company entered into a definitive agreement (the Purchase Agreement) to sell substantially all of the assets of its wholly-owned subsidiary, SurModics Pharmaceuticals, to Evonik Degussa Corporation (Evonik). Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the entire portfolio of products and services of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, including the Company's Current Good Manufacturing Practices

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

(cGMP) development and manufacturing facility located in Birmingham, Alabama, were sold. The Company retained all accounts receivable and certain liabilities associated with the SurModics Pharmaceuticals business incurred prior to closing. The sale (the Pharma Sale) closed on November 17, 2011. The total consideration received from the Pharma Sale was \$30.0 million in cash.

As part of the Pharma Sale, the Company recorded a loss on the sale in the first six months of fiscal 2012 of \$1.6 million (\$0.9 million net of income tax benefit), including transaction costs of \$1.7 million. The loss is included in Loss on sale of discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company recognized asset impairment charges totaling \$28.1 million. The Company wrote down long-lived assets (fixed assets of \$23.3 million and intangibles of \$4.8 million), associated with its Pharmaceuticals segment, based on the valuation of the assets relative to their carrying value. The Company had been exploring strategic alternatives for the Pharmaceuticals segment, including a potential sale. The assets of the Pharmaceuticals segment did not qualify as held-for-sale as of September 30, 2011, because the Company had not committed to a plan to sell at that time.

As part of the Pharma Sale, SurModics agreed not to compete in the restricted business (as defined in the Purchase Agreement) for a period of five years and to indemnify Evonik against specified losses in connection with the SurModics Pharmaceuticals business, including, for a period of five years, certain contingent consideration obligations related to the acquisition by SurModics Pharmaceuticals of the portfolio of intellectual property and drug delivery projects from PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. SurModics also retained responsibility for repayment obligations related to an agreement with various governmental authorities associated with creation of jobs in Alabama. The foregoing summary of the Purchase Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Purchase Agreement, which is attached as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 7, 2011. Refer to the Purchase Agreement for more details on the Pharma Sale.

All results of operations, cash flows, assets and liabilities of SurModics Pharmaceuticals for all periods presented are classified as discontinued operations, and the condensed consolidated financial statements, including the notes, have been reclassified to reflect such segregation for all periods presented. Prior to reclassification, the discontinued operations were reported in the Pharmaceuticals segment as a separate operating segment. The summary of operating results from discontinued operations is as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Six months ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Total revenue | \$ | \$ 4,180 | \$ 5,311 | \$ 6,853 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | \$ (221) | \$ (2,185) | \$ 2,309 | \$ (11,889) |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | (123) | 1,849 | (1,048) | 2,522 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | \$ (344) | \$ (336) | \$ 1,261 | \$ (9,367) |
| Income (loss) on sale of discontinued operations | \$ 26 | \$ | \$ (1,634) | \$ |
| Income tax benefit | 95 | | 701 | |
| Income (loss) on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes | \$ 121 | \$ | \$ (933) | \$ |

The major classes of assets and liabilities of discontinued operations as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 were as follows (*in thousands*):

| | March 31, 2012 | September 30, 2011 |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 589 | \$ 3,309 |
| Inventories | | 969 |
| Other current assets | 839 | 1,705 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | 1,428 | 5,983 |
| Property and equipment, net | | 24,911 |
| Intangible assets, net | | 3,683 |
| Other assets, net | | 3,917 |
| Total assets of discontinued operations | \$ 1,428 | \$ 38,494 |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ 849 |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Accrued liabilities - compensation | 1,522 | |
| Deferred revenue | 550 | |
| Other current liabilities | 1,758 | 2,428 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | 1,758 | 5,349 |
| Deferred revenue, less current portion | | 3,371 |
| Other long-term liabilities | | 120 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities of discontinued operations | \$ 1,758 | \$ 8,840 |

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations as of March 31, 2012 are mainly associated with accounts receivable not purchased by Evonik, deferred tax assets and a retained liability of \$1.7 million associated with financial incentives SurModics Pharmaceuticals received from various Alabama governmental authorities related to creation of jobs in Alabama. See also Note 17 for further discussion of the Alabama jobs commitment liability.

Table of Contents**4. Fair Value Measurements**

The accounting guidance on fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The guidance is applicable for all financial assets and financial liabilities and for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and also considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions and risk of nonperformance.

Fair Value Hierarchy

Accounting guidance on fair value measurements requires that assets and liabilities carried at fair value be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 Quoted (unadjusted) prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

The Company's Level 1 asset consists of its investment in OctoPlus, N.V. (OctoPlus) (see Note 7 for further information). The fair market value of this investment is based on the quoted price of OctoPlus shares as traded on the Euronext Amsterdam Stock Exchange.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

The Company's Level 2 assets consist of money market funds, U.S. Treasury securities, corporate bonds, municipal bonds, U.S. government agency securities, government agency and municipal securities and certain asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities. Fair market values for these assets are based on quoted vendor prices and broker pricing where all significant inputs are observable.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include those whose fair value measurements are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar valuation techniques, as well as significant management judgment or estimation.

Level 3 assets can include asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities. When applicable, the fair market values of these investments are determined by broker pricing where not all significant inputs are observable. There were no Level 3 assets at March 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011 and there was no Level 3 activity during the second quarter of fiscal 2012.

In valuing assets and liabilities, the Company is required to maximize the use of quoted market prices and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The Company did not significantly change its valuation techniques from prior periods.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

In instances where the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2012 (*in thousands*):

| Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments | Significant Other Observable Inputs | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Fair Value as of March 31, |
|---|--|--|---|
|---|--|--|---|

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | 2012 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Assets: | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 8,301 | \$ 8,301 |
| Available-for-sale debt securities: | | | |
| U.S. government and government agency obligations | | 31,862 | 31,862 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 3,459 | 3,459 |
| Municipal bonds | | 3,049 | 3,049 |
| Asset-backed securities | | 973 | 973 |
| Corporate bonds | | 2,827 | 2,827 |
| Other assets | 910 | | 910 |
| | | | |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 910 | \$ 50,471 | \$ 51,381 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2011 (*in thousands*):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Fair Value as of September 30, 2011 |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 8,419 | \$ | \$ 8,419 |
| Available-for-sale debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government and government agency obligations | | 30,604 | | 30,604 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 3,933 | 15 | 3,948 |
| Municipal bonds | | 3,614 | | 3,614 |
| Asset-backed securities | | 1,278 | 9 | 1,287 |
| Corporate bonds | | 2,497 | | 2,497 |
| Other assets | 1,190 | | | 1,190 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 1,190 | \$ 50,345 | \$ 24 | \$ 51,559 |

Changes in Level 3 Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables provide a reconciliation of financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (*in thousands*). Transfers of instruments into and out of Level 3 are based on beginning of period values.

| | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 Available-for-Sale Debt Securities | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|-------|
| | Mortgage- Backed Securities | Asset- Backed Securities | Total |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | \$ 15 | \$ 9 | \$ 24 |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | | |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | (15) | (9) | (24) |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses): | | | |
| Included in other comprehensive (loss) income | | | |
| Purchases | | | |
| Issuances | | | |
| Sales | | | |
| Settlements | | | |
| Balance at March 31, 2012 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Table of Contents

| | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Available-for-Sale Debt Securities | | |
|---|---|--|--------------|
| | U.S. Government Obligations | Mortgage- Backed Securities | Total |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 695 | \$ 685 | \$ 1,380 |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | | |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | (695) | (68) | (763) |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses): | | | |
| Included in other comprehensive (loss) income | | 3 | 3 |
| Purchases | | | |
| Issuances | | | |
| Sales | | (620) | (620) |
| Settlements | | | |
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

| | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Six Months Ended March 31, 2011 Available-for-Sale Debt Securities | | |
|---|---|--|--------------|
| | U.S. Government Obligations | Mortgage- Backed Securities | Total |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | \$ 704 | \$ 69 | \$ 773 |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | | |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | (695) | (68) | (763) |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses): | | | |
| Included in other comprehensive (loss) income | 19 | (1) | 18 |
| Purchases | | 620 | 620 |
| Issuances | | | |
| Sales | (28) | (620) | (648) |
| Settlements | | | |
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

5. Investments

Investments consist principally of U.S. government and government agency obligations and mortgage-backed securities and are classified as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses net of tax excluded from operations and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity, except for other-than-temporary impairments, which are reported as a charge to current operations. A loss would be recognized when there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the fair value of any individual security classified as available-for-sale, with the associated net unrealized loss reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income with a corresponding adjustment to other income. This adjustment results in a new cost basis for the investment. Investments that management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and reported at amortized cost. When an other-than-temporary impairment in the fair value of any individual security classified as held-to-maturity occurs, the Company writes down the security to fair value with a corresponding adjustment to other income. Interest on debt securities, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is included in other income. Realized gains and losses from the sales of debt securities, which are included in other income, are determined using the specific identification method.

Table of Contents

The original cost, unrealized holding gains and losses, and fair value of available-for-sale securities as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 were as follows (*in thousands*):

| | March 31, 2012 | | | Fair Value |
|---|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Original Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | |
| U.S. government and government agency obligations | \$ 31,792 | \$ 85 | \$ (15) | \$ 31,862 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 3,373 | 123 | (37) | 3,459 |
| Municipal bonds | 3,020 | 29 | | 3,049 |
| Asset-backed securities | 1,027 | | (54) | 973 |
| Corporate bonds | 2,807 | 23 | (3) | 2,827 |
| Total | \$ 42,019 | \$ 260 | \$ (109) | \$ 42,170 |

| | September 30, 2011 | | | Fair Value |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Original Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | |
| U.S. government and government agency obligations | \$ 30,433 | \$ 176 | \$ (6) | \$ 30,603 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 3,871 | 131 | (54) | 3,948 |
| Municipal bonds | 3,561 | 53 | | 3,614 |
| Asset-backed securities | 1,336 | 1 | (49) | 1,288 |
| Corporate bonds | 2,474 | 32 | (9) | 2,497 |
| Total | \$ 41,675 | \$ 393 | \$ (118) | \$ 41,950 |

The original cost and fair value of investments by contractual maturity at March 31, 2012 were as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Debt securities due within: | | |
| One year | \$ 13,398 | \$ 13,404 |
| One to five years | 22,555 | 22,661 |
| Five years or more | 6,066 | 6,105 |
| Total | \$ 42,019 | \$ 42,170 |

The following table summarizes sales of available-for-sale securities (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2012 | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2012 | March 31, 2011 |
| Proceeds from sales | \$ 31,913 | \$ 23,380 | \$ 34,554 | \$ 24,580 |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 163 | \$ 210 | \$ 171 | \$ 212 |
| Gross realized losses | \$ (1) | \$ (2) | \$ (1) | \$ (4) |

During the second quarter of fiscal 2012, all remaining held-to-maturity debt securities matured. Therefore, there were no held-to-maturity debt securities at March 31, 2012. At September 30, 2011, the amortized cost and fair market value of held-to-maturity debt securities were \$3.0 million and \$3.1 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**6. Inventories**

Inventories are principally stated at the lower of cost or market using the specific identification method and include direct labor, materials and overhead. Inventories consisted of the following components (*in thousands*):

| | March 31, 2012 | September 30, 2011 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 1,493 | \$ 1,218 |
| Finished products | 2,172 | 1,963 |
| Total | \$ 3,665 | \$ 3,181 |

7. Other Assets

Other assets consist principally of strategic investments as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | September 30, 2011 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Investment in OctoPlus N.V. | \$ 910 | \$ 1,190 |
| Investment in Nexeon MedSystems | 285 | 285 |
| Investment in ThermopeutiX | 1,185 | 1,185 |
| Investment in ViaCyte, Inc. | 559 | 559 |
| Other | 85 | 84 |
| Other assets, net | \$ 3,024 | \$ 3,303 |

The Company accounts for most of its strategic investments under the cost method. The Company accounts for its investment in OctoPlus common stock, whose shares are traded on the Euronext Amsterdam Stock Exchange, as an available-for-sale investment. Available-for-sale investments are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity, except for other-than-temporary impairments, which are reported as a charge to current operations, recorded in the other income section of the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The cost basis in the Company's investment in OctoPlus was \$1.7 million. In the second quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company recognized an impairment loss on the investment totaling \$0.8 million based on a significant decline in the stock price of OctoPlus and length of time the stock price has been trading below the previous cost basis. The new cost basis in OctoPlus is \$0.9 million which is also the fair value of the investment.

The Company recognized revenue of less than \$0.1 million for each of the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, from activity with companies in which it had a strategic investment.

8. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist principally of acquired patents and technology, customer relationships, licenses and trademarks. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded amortization expense of \$0.2 million for each period. For the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded amortization expense of \$0.4 million for each period.

Intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | Weighted Average Original Life (Years) | Gross Carrying Amount | March 31, 2012 Accumulated Amortization | Net |
|--|---|--------------------------|---|-----|
|--|---|--------------------------|---|-----|

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| Definite-lived intangible assets: | | | | |
|--|------|----|--------------|----------------------------|
| Customer lists | 9.0 | \$ | 4,857 | \$ (2,464) \$ 2,393 |
| Core technology | 8.0 | | 530 | (309) 221 |
| Patents and other | 16.8 | | 2,255 | (647) 1,608 |
| Subtotal | | | 7,642 | (3,420) 4,222 |
| Unamortized intangible assets: | | | | |
| Trademarks | | | 580 | 580 |
| Total | | \$ | 8,222 | \$ (3,420) \$ 4,802 |

Table of Contents

| | Weighted Average Original Life (Years) | September 30, 2011 | | Net |
|--|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Definite-lived intangible assets: | | | | |
| Customer lists | 9.0 | \$ 4,857 | \$ (2,195) | \$ 2,662 |
| Core technology | 8.0 | 530 | (276) | 254 |
| Patents and other | 16.8 | 2,308 | (605) | 1,703 |
| Subtotal | | 7,695 | (3,076) | 4,619 |
| Unamortized intangible assets: | | | | |
| Trademarks | | 580 | | 580 |
| Total | | \$ 8,275 | \$ (3,076) | \$ 5,199 |

Based on the intangible assets in service as of March 31, 2012, estimated amortization expense for each of the next five fiscal years is as follows (*in thousands*):

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| Remainder of 2012 | \$ 371 |
| 2013 | 742 |
| 2014 | 742 |
| 2015 | 731 |
| 2016 | 594 |
| 2017 | 183 |

Future amortization amounts presented above are estimates. Actual future amortization expense may be different, as a result of future acquisitions, impairments, changes in amortization periods, or other factors.

9. Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of the acquired entities over the fair value assigned to the assets purchased and liabilities assumed in connection with the Company's acquisitions. The carrying amount of goodwill is evaluated annually, and between annual evaluations if events occur or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of goodwill may be impaired.

The \$8.0 million of goodwill at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 is related to the In Vitro Diagnostics reporting unit. The goodwill was not impaired based on the outcome of the fiscal 2011 annual impairment test, and there have been no events or circumstances that have occurred in fiscal 2012 to indicate that the goodwill may be impaired.

10. Revolving Credit Facility

In February 2011, the Company extended its unsecured revolving credit facility through March 2012 and reduced the credit facility to \$15.0 million. As of March 31, 2012, the credit facility expired and was not renewed.

11. Stock-based Compensation

The Company has stock-based compensation plans under which it grants stock options, restricted stock awards and performance share awards. Accounting guidance requires all share-based payments to be recognized as an operating expense, based on their fair values, over the requisite service period. The Company's stock-based compensation expenses were allocated to the following expense categories (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Six months ended March 31, | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Product costs | \$ 11 | \$ 22 | \$ 26 | \$ 41 |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| Research and development | 161 | 336 | 382 | 594 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 468 | 714 | 1,125 | 1,278 |
| Total | \$ 640 | \$ 1,072 | \$ 1,533 | \$ 1,913 |

As of March 31, 2012, approximately \$4.6 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.4 years. The unrecognized compensation costs above include \$1.0 million associated with performance share awards that are currently anticipated to be fully expensed because the

Table of Contents

performance conditions for certain award periods are expected to be met. The unrecognized compensation costs above exclude \$0.1 million associated with performance share awards that are currently anticipated to not be fully expensed because the performance conditions for certain award periods are not expected to be met.

Stock Option Plans

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the weighted average grant date fair value of stock options granted. The weighted average per share fair values of stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$5.95 and \$4.84, respectively. The weighted average per share fair values of stock options granted during the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$5.24 and \$3.96, respectively. The assumptions used as inputs in the model were as follows:

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Six months ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Risk-free interest rates | 0.7% | 1.9% | 0.8% | 1.5% |
| Expected life (years) | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Expected volatility | 49.6% | 45.6% | 49.6% | 45.0% |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

The risk-free interest rate assumption was based on the U.S. Treasury's rates for U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the award. The expected life of options granted is determined based on the Company's experience. Expected volatility is based on the Company's stock price movement over a period approximating the expected term. Based on management's judgment, dividend rates are expected to be zero for the expected life of the options. The Company also estimates forfeitures of options granted, which are based on historical experience.

Non-qualified stock options are granted at fair market value on the date of grant. Non-qualified stock options expire in seven to ten years or upon termination of employment or service as a Board member. Non-qualified stock options granted prior to May 2008 generally become exercisable with respect to 20% of the shares on each of the first five anniversaries following the grant date, and non-qualified stock options granted subsequent to April 2008 generally become exercisable with respect to 25% of the shares on each of the first four anniversaries following the grant date.

The total pre-tax intrinsic value of options exercised during each of the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 was \$49,000. The intrinsic value represents the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the last day of the respective fiscal period end. No stock options were exercised during the three or six months ended March 31, 2011.

Restricted Stock Awards

The Company has entered into restricted stock agreements with certain key employees, covering the issuance of common stock (Restricted Stock). Under accounting guidance these shares are considered to be non-vested shares. The Restricted Stock will be released to the key employees if they are employed by the Company at the end of the vesting period. The stock-based compensation table above includes Restricted Stock expenses of less than \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million during the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, respectively, and \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million for the three and six months ended March 31, 2011, respectively.

Performance Share Awards

The Company has entered into Performance Share agreements with certain key employees, covering the issuance of common stock (Performance Shares). The Performance Shares vest upon the achievement of all or a portion of certain performance objectives, which must be achieved during the performance period. Compensation is recognized in each period based on management's best estimate of the achievement level of the grants' specified performance objectives and the resulting vesting amounts. The Company recognized expenses of approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million related to Performance Shares for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, respectively. For the three and six months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recognized expenses of approximately \$0.1 million for each period related to Performance Shares. The stock-based compensation table above includes the Performance Shares expenses.

1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Table of Contents

Under the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Stock Purchase Plan), the Company is authorized to issue up to 400,000 shares of common stock. All full-time and part-time employees can choose to have up to 10% of their annual compensation withheld, with a limit of \$25,000, to purchase the Company's common stock at purchase prices defined within the provisions of the Stock Purchase Plan. As of March 31, 2012 and 2011, there were less than \$0.1 million of employee contributions for each period, included in accrued liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Stock compensation expense recognized related to the Stock Purchase Plan for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled less than \$0.1 million for each period. Stock compensation expense for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled less than \$0.1 million for each period. The stock-based compensation table above includes the Stock Purchase Plan expenses.

12. Restructuring Charges

In August 2011, the Company announced a realignment of its business to optimize the Company's resources according to its strategic plan. As a result of the organizational change, the Company eliminated approximately 9% of its workforce. These employee terminations occurred across various functions, and the reorganization plan was completed by the end of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. The Company recorded total pre-tax restructuring charges of \$1.0 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, which consisted of severance pay and benefits expenses.

In October 2010, the Company announced initiatives to reduce its cost structure and renew its focus on business units to more closely match operations and cost structure with the current customer environment. As a result of the organizational change, the Company eliminated 30 positions, or approximately 13% of its workforce. These employee terminations occurred across various functions, and the reorganization plan was completed by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011. The Company recorded total pre-tax restructuring charges of \$0.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, which consisted of \$0.6 million of severance pay and benefits expenses and less than \$0.1 million of facility-related costs.

During the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, the Company did not incur any restructuring charges. The charges for fiscal 2011 have been presented separately as restructuring charges in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. All restructuring costs related to SurModics Pharmaceuticals are included in discontinued operations.

Cash payments associated with the two fiscal 2011 restructuring events totaled \$0.7 million during the six months ended March 31, 2012, leaving a restructuring accrual balance of less than \$0.1 million at March 31, 2012. There were also payments of less than \$0.1 million during the six months ended March 31, 2012 associated with facility-related costs related to a fiscal 2010 restructuring event, leaving a restructuring accrual balance of \$0.2 million at March 31, 2012.

The following table summarizes the restructuring accrual activity for the six months ended March 31, 2012 (*in thousands*):

| | Employee severance and benefits | Facility- related costs | Total |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | \$ 730 | \$ 250 | \$ 980 |
| Cash payments | (720) | (33) | (753) |
| Balance at March 31, 2012 | \$ 10 | \$ 217 | \$ 227 |

The remaining restructuring accrual balance relates to the fiscal 2011 and 2010 restructurings and is expected to be paid within the next 21 months. As such, the current portion totaling \$0.2 million is recorded as a current liability within other current liabilities and the long-term portion totaling less than \$0.1 million is recorded as a long-term liability within other long-term liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2012.

13. Income (Loss) Per Share Data

The following table sets forth the denominator for the computation of basic and diluted income (loss) per share (*in thousands*):

| Three months ended March 31, | Six months ended March 31, |
|---|---------------------------------------|
|---|---------------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | 17,519 | 17,407 | 17,498 | 17,395 |
| Dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and non-vested stock | 113 | 64 | 77 | 33 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 17,632 | 17,471 | 17,575 | 17,428 |

Table of Contents

The calculation of weighted average diluted shares outstanding excluded outstanding stock options for 0.7 million and 1.2 million shares of common stock for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 0.7 million and 1.3 million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, as their inclusion would have had an antidilutive effect on diluted income (loss) per share.

14. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------|------------------|------------|
| | March 31, | | March 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 1,723 | \$ 2,488 | \$ 4,429 | \$ (3,683) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities arising during the period, net of tax | 529 | 183 | 357 | (3) |
| Less reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income (loss), net of tax | (99) | (129) | (107) | (129) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 430 | 54 | 250 | (132) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 2,153 | \$ 2,542 | \$ 4,679 | \$ (3,815) |

15. Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax provisions associated with income from continuing operations of \$1.2 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 39.0% and 38.4%, respectively. The Company recorded income tax provisions associated with income from continuing operations of \$2.5 million and \$3.2 million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 37.5% and 36.1%, respectively. The difference between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% and the Company's effective tax rate for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 reflects the impact of state income taxes, permanent tax items and discrete tax benefits.

The Company recorded an income tax expense from discontinued operations of \$0.1 million and an income tax benefit from discontinued operations of \$1.8 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company recorded an income tax expense from discontinued operations of \$1.0 million and an income tax benefit from discontinued operations of \$2.5 million for each of the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million from the sale of discontinued operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012. The effective tax rate applied to discontinued operations was 14.4% and 84.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 51.4% and 21.2% for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The tax rate for the six months ended March 31, 2011 is lower than the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% because of a non-deductible goodwill impairment charge of \$5.7 million on a pre-tax loss of \$11.9 million.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits including interest and penalties that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, are \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million. Currently, the Company does not expect the liability for unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly in the next 12 months with the above balances classified on the condensed consolidated balance sheets in other long-term liabilities. Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are recorded in income tax expense.

The Company files income tax returns, including returns for its subsidiaries, in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in various state jurisdictions. Uncertain tax positions are related to tax years that remain subject to examination. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced an examination of the Company's U.S. income tax return for fiscal 2010 in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The IRS completed an examination of the Company's U.S. income tax return for fiscal 2009 and a payment was made in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 associated with timing adjustments. U.S. income tax returns for fiscal 2007 and 2008 remain subject to examination by federal tax authorities. Tax returns for state and local jurisdictions for fiscal years 2003 through 2010 remain subject to examination by state and local tax authorities.

16. Operating Segments

Table of Contents

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, following the sale of SurModics Pharmaceuticals which was previously reported as a separate operating segment, the Company is now organized into two segments, as follows: (1) the Medical Device unit, which is comprised of surface modification coating technologies to improve access, deliverability, and predictable deployment of medical devices, as well as drug delivery coating technologies to provide site-specific drug delivery from the surface of a medical device, with end markets that include coronary, peripheral, and neuro-vascular, and urology, among others, and (2) the In Vitro Diagnostics unit, which consists of component products and technologies for diagnostic test kits and biomedical research applications, with products that include microarray slide technologies, protein stabilization reagents, substrates, and antigens.

The tables below present segment revenue, operating income and depreciation and amortization, as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Six months ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 8,753 | \$ 9,978 | \$ 17,620 | \$ 19,813 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 3,457 | 3,356 | 6,506 | 6,043 |
| Total revenue | \$ 12,210 | \$ 13,334 | \$ 24,126 | \$ 25,856 |
| Operating income (loss): | | | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 4,121 | \$ 4,684 | \$ 8,053 | \$ 10,461 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 1,271 | 1,182 | 2,177 | 1,915 |
| Corporate | (1,703) | (1,654) | (3,319) | (4,043) |
| Total operating income | \$ 3,689 | \$ 4,212 | \$ 6,911 | \$ 8,333 |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 354 | \$ 418 | \$ 718 | \$ 833 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 194 | 199 | 389 | 398 |
| Corporate | 183 | 190 | 373 | 373 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$ 731 | \$ 807 | \$ 1,480 | \$ 1,604 |

Segment results above for the six months ended March 31, 2011 include restructuring charges of \$0.6 million in Corporate. There were no restructuring charges for the three months ended March 31, 2011 or the three and six months ended March 31, 2012.

Corporate includes expenses for administrative corporate functions, such as executive, corporate accounting, legal, human resources and Board related, that have not been fully allocated to segments. Corporate also includes special charges, such as restructuring costs, which are not specific to a segment.

Asset information by segment is not presented in the table above because the Company does not provide its chief operating decision maker assets by segment, as the data are not readily available.

17. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation. From time to time, the Company has been, and may become, involved in various legal actions involving its operations, products and technologies, including intellectual property and employment disputes. The outcomes of these legal actions are not within the Company's complete control and may not be known for prolonged periods of time. In some actions, the claimants seek damages, as well as other relief, including injunctions barring the sale of products that are the subject of the lawsuit, which, if granted, could require significant expenditures or result in lost revenue. The Company records a liability in the consolidated financial statements for these actions when a loss is known or considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If the reasonable estimate of a known or probable loss is a range, and no amount within the range is a better estimate, the minimum amount of the range is accrued. If a loss is possible but not known or probable, and

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

can be reasonably estimated, the estimated loss or range of loss is disclosed. In most cases, significant judgment is required to estimate the amount and timing of a loss to be recorded.

Southern Research Institute (SRI) Litigation. On July 31, 2009, the Company's SurModics Pharmaceuticals subsidiary was named as a defendant in litigation pending in the circuit court of Jefferson County, Alabama, between SRI and two of SRI's former employees (the Plaintiffs). In the litigation, the Plaintiffs allege that they contributed to or invented certain intellectual property while they were employed at SRI, and pursuant to SRI's policies then in effect, they are entitled to, among other things, a portion of the purchase price consideration paid by the Company to SRI as part of the Company's acquisition of SurModics Pharmaceuticals pursuant to a stock purchase agreement made effective on July 31, 2007 (the Stock Purchase Agreement). The Plaintiffs have also

Table of Contents

alleged that they are entitled to a portion of the intellectual property income derived from license agreements with certain customers of SurModics Pharmaceuticals that make use of patents to which the Plaintiffs invented or contributed. A trial has not yet been scheduled. Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company has certain rights of indemnification against losses (including without limitation, damages, expenses and costs) incurred as a result of the litigation. The Company has recorded unreimbursed legal expenses related to this litigation within selling, general and administrative expenses from continuing operations in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. However, the Company has not recorded an expense or any liabilities related to damages related to this litigation as the probability of the outcome is currently not determinable and any potential loss is not estimable. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the Plaintiff's claims and will vigorously defend and prosecute this matter.

InnoRx, Inc. In January 2005, the Company entered into a merger agreement whereby SurModics acquired all of the assets of InnoRx, Inc. (InnoRx), an early stage company developing drug delivery devices and therapies for the ophthalmology market. SurModics will be required to issue up to approximately 480,059 additional shares of its common stock to the stockholders of InnoRx upon the successful completion of the remaining development and commercial milestones involving InnoRx technology acquired in the transaction.

PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. In November 2008, the Company's subsidiary SurModics Pharmaceuticals acquired certain contracts and assets of PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to enhance its portfolio of drug delivery technologies for the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. The sellers of PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. are still eligible to receive up to an additional \$3.0 million in cash based on successful achievement of specified milestones for successful patent issuances and product development. The Company agreed to indemnify Evonik, for a period of five years, for certain contingent consideration obligations when it sold substantially all of the SurModics Pharmaceuticals assets to Evonik on November 17, 2011.

Alabama Jobs Commitment. In April 2008, the Company purchased a 286,000 square foot office and warehouse facility to support cGMP needs of customers and the anticipated growth of the SurModics Pharmaceuticals business. At the same time, SurModics Pharmaceuticals entered into an agreement with various governmental authorities to obtain financial incentives associated with creation of jobs in Alabama. Some of the governmental agencies have recapture rights in connection with the financial incentives if a specific number of full-time employees are not hired by June 2012, with an extension to June 2013 if circumstances or events occur that are beyond the control of SurModics Pharmaceuticals or could not have been reasonably anticipated by SurModics Pharmaceuticals. As of March 31, 2012, SurModics Pharmaceuticals received \$1.7 million in connection with the agreement, and the Company recorded the payments in other current liabilities because the Company has not met the criteria to recognize the amounts received as income as of March 31, 2012. This liability was retained by the Company and did not transfer to Evonik as part of the Pharma Sale.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion and analysis provides information that we believe is useful in understanding our operating results, cash flows and financial condition. The discussion should be read in conjunction with both the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Form 10-Q, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. This discussion contains various Forward-Looking Statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We refer readers to the statement entitled Forward-Looking Statements located at the end of Part I of this report.

Overview

SurModics is a leading provider of surface modification and in vitro diagnostic technologies to the healthcare industry. In December 2010, we announced that the Board of Directors of the Company had authorized the Company to explore strategic alternatives for our Pharmaceuticals business, including a potential sale of that business. This decision by the Board reflected our focus on returning the Company to profitable growth, and our renewed commitment to pursuing growth opportunities and investments in our Medical Device and In Vitro Diagnostics businesses. On November 1, 2011, we entered into a definitive agreement (the Purchase Agreement) to sell substantially all of the assets of our wholly-owned subsidiary, SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (SurModics Pharmaceuticals), to Evonik Degussa Corporation (Evonik). The sale (the Pharma Sale) closed on November 17, 2011. Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the entire portfolio of products and services of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, including its Current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) development and manufacturing facility located in Birmingham, Alabama, were sold. The Company retained all accounts receivable and the vast majority of liabilities associated with the SurModics Pharmaceuticals business incurred prior to closing. The total consideration received from the Pharma Sale was \$30.0 million in cash.

We have reported the Pharmaceuticals segment as discontinued operations beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, as disclosed in Notes 1 and 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, following the sale of SurModics Pharmaceuticals which was previously reported as a separate operating segment, the Company is now organized into two segments, as follows: (1) the Medical Device unit, which is comprised of surface modification coating technologies to improve access, deliverability, and predictable deployment of medical devices, as well as drug delivery coating technologies to provide site-specific drug delivery from the surface of a medical device, with end markets that include coronary, peripheral, and neuro-vascular, and urology, among others, and (2) the In Vitro Diagnostics unit, which consists of component products and technologies for diagnostic test kits and biomedical research applications, with products that include microarray slide technologies, protein stabilization reagents, substrates, and antigens.

Our revenue is derived from three primary sources: (1) royalties and license fees from licensing our proprietary drug delivery and surface modification technologies and in vitro diagnostic formats to customers; the vast majority (typically in excess of 90%) of revenue in the royalties and license fees category is in the form of royalties; (2) the sale of polymers and reagent chemicals, stabilization products, antigens, substrates and microarray slides to the diagnostics and biomedical research industry; and (3) research and development (R&D) fees generated on customer projects. Revenue fluctuates from quarter to quarter depending on, among other factors: our customers' success in selling products incorporating our technologies; the timing of introductions of licensed products by customers; the timing of introductions of products that compete with our customers' products; the number and activity level associated with customer development projects; the number and terms of new license agreements that are finalized; the value of reagent chemicals and other products sold to customers; and the timing of future acquisitions we complete, if any.

For financial accounting and reporting purposes, we report our results for the two reportable segments noted above. We made this determination based on how we manage our operations and the information provided to our chief operating decision maker, who is our Chief Executive Officer.

Overview of Research and Development Activities

We manage our customer-sponsored R&D programs based largely on the requirements of our customers. In this regard, our customers typically establish the various measures and metrics that are used to monitor a program's progress, including key deliverables, milestones, timelines and an overall program budget. The customer is ultimately responsible for deciding whether to continue or terminate a program, and does so based on research results (relative to the above measures and metrics) and other factors, including their own strategic and/or business priorities. Following the Pharma Sale in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, customer R&D programs are mainly in our Medical Device segment.

Table of Contents

For our internal R&D programs in our two segments, we utilize R&D review committees to prioritize these programs based on a number of factors, including a program's strategic fit, commercial impact, potential competitive advantage, technical feasibility and the amount of investment required. The measures and metrics used to monitor a program's progress vary based on the program, and typically include many of the same factors discussed above with respect to our customer R&D programs. We typically make decisions to continue or terminate a program based on research results (relative to the above measures and metrics) and other factors, including our own strategic and/or business priorities, and the amount of additional investment required.

With respect to cost components, R&D expenses consist of labor, materials and overhead costs (utilities, depreciation, indirect labor, etc.) for both customer R&D and internal R&D programs. We manage our R&D organization in a flexible manner, balancing workloads/resources between customer R&D and internal R&D programs primarily based on the level of customer program activity. Therefore, costs incurred for customer R&D and internal R&D can shift as customer activity increases or decreases.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those policies that require the application of management's most challenging subjective or complex judgment, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. Critical accounting policies involve judgments and uncertainties that are sufficiently likely to result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. For a detailed description of our critical accounting policies, see the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

Results of Operations – Three Months Ended March 31

Revenue. Revenue during the second quarter of fiscal 2012 was \$12.2 million, a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 8%, compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The decrease in revenue, as detailed in the table below, is further explained in the narrative below.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Increase (Decrease) | Change |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | March 31, 2011 | | |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 8,753 | \$ 9,978 | \$ (1,225) | (12)% |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 3,457 | 3,356 | 101 | 3% |
| Total revenue | \$ 12,210 | \$ 13,334 | \$ (1,124) | (8)% |

Medical Device. Revenue in Medical Device was \$8.7 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, a decrease of 12% compared with \$10.0 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The decrease in total revenue reflected lower royalty revenue, product sales and license fees, partially offset by higher R&D revenue. Our royalty and product revenue from Cordis Corporation, a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson (Cordis), decreased \$1.6 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2011. Offsetting this negative impact was continued growth in our hydrophilic coatings offerings, including R&D revenue, to other medical device customers.

As we have disclosed in previous filings, Medical Device has derived a substantial amount of revenue from royalties and license fees and product sales attributable to Cordis, on its CYPHER® Sirolimus-eluting Coronary Stent. The CYPHER® stent incorporated a proprietary SurModics polymer coating that delivers a therapeutic drug designed to reduce the occurrence of restenosis in coronary artery lesions. The CYPHER® stent faced continuing competition from Boston Scientific Corporation, Medtronic, Inc. (Medtronic) and Abbott Laboratories. In June 2011, Cordis announced the cessation of the manufacture of the CYPHER® and CYPHER SELECT® Plus stents by the end of calendar 2011. In July 2011, Cordis notified the Company of its intention to terminate the exclusivity arrangements under the license agreement, which also resulted in a termination of the minimum quarterly royalty requirements beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. For the last several years, royalty revenue and reagent product sales have decreased as a result of lower CYPHER® stent sales, and we had anticipated that royalty revenue from CYPHER® stents would continue to decrease. Beginning with the first quarter of fiscal 2012, since the minimum royalty requirements have been eliminated, royalties under the license agreement are based on a percentage of CYPHER® sales until the products are no longer sold.

In Vitro Diagnostics. Revenue in In Vitro Diagnostics was \$3.5 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, an increase of 3% compared with \$3.4 million for the prior-year period. This increase was attributable to higher sales of our antigen products, partially offset by lower BioFX

branded substrate product sales.

Product costs. Product costs were \$1.6 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, compared to \$1.8 million in the prior-year period. The \$0.2 million decrease in product costs principally reflected the mix of products sold. Overall product gross margins averaged 68% in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, compared with 64% for the prior-year period. The increase in product gross

Table of Contents

margins compared with prior-year results reflected the higher gross margins associated with reagent products as well as improved gross margins associated with our stabilization and antigen diagnostic products. The consolidation of all diagnostic manufacturing activities into our Eden Prairie, Minnesota facility in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 has allowed us to leverage our fixed operating expenses over higher production volumes and has contributed to the improved gross margins experienced in the second quarter of fiscal 2012.

Research and development expenses. R&D expenses were \$3.5 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2012, a decrease of 8% compared with \$3.8 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The decrease was primarily a result of \$0.4 million of lower compensation costs offset partially by higher temporary labor costs.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$3.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of 2% compared with \$3.5 million for the prior-year period. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower stock-based and cash compensation costs, offset partially by higher general legal expenses.

Other (loss) income. Other (loss) income was a loss of \$0.5 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, compared with income of \$0.4 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The loss in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 reflects a \$0.8 million impairment loss on our investment in OctoPlus N.V. (OctoPlus), which we recorded based on a significant decline in the stock price of OctoPlus and length of time during fiscal 2012 when the stock price has been trading below its previous cost basis. Income from investments was \$0.1 million, compared with \$0.2 million in the prior-year period. The decrease primarily reflects lower yields on our investment balances. In addition, we recognized \$0.2 million in realized investment gains associated with our investment portfolio in the second quarter of each of fiscal 2012 and 2011.

Income tax provision. The income tax provision associated with continuing operations was \$1.2 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 39.0% and 38.4%, respectively. The lower income tax provision for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was a result of lower pre-tax income. The difference between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% and the Company's effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 reflects the impact of state income taxes, permanent tax items and discrete tax benefits. Discrete tax items were less than \$0.1 million in each of the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

Loss from discontinued operations. Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax provision or benefit, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, from the Pharmaceuticals segment, was \$0.3 million for each period. Revenue from the Pharmaceuticals segment was zero and \$4.2 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. Activity related to the Pharmaceuticals segment in fiscal 2012 includes the period from October 1, 2011 to November 17, 2011, the date of the Pharma Sale. Expenses recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 relate to the settlement of liabilities incurred prior to the Pharma Sale, which were initially accrued for using management's best estimate of outstanding liabilities at the time of the Pharma Sale.

Income on sale of discontinued operations. Income on sale of discontinued operations, related to the Pharma Sale in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, was \$0.1 million, which is comprised of the reversal of certain estimated closing costs of less than \$0.1 million and additional recognition of a tax benefit of \$0.1 million.

Segment Operating Results

Operating income for each of our two reportable segments, following the sale of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating income (loss): | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 4,121 | \$ 4,684 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 1,271 | 1,182 |
| Corporate | (1,703) | (1,654) |
| Total operating income | \$ 3,689 | \$ 4,212 |

Medical Device. Operating income was \$4.1 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, compared with \$4.7 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The decreased operating income resulted from \$1.6 million lower royalty revenue and reagent sales from our license agreement with

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Cordis related to the CYPHER[®] and CYPHER SELECT[®] Plus stents in fiscal 2012 offset partially by higher royalty income from other customers. Medical Device product gross margins improved to 72% in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 compared with 62% in the prior-year quarter. Medical Device research and development expenses in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 were 6% lower, or \$0.2 million, compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2011, as a result of lower compensation and development costs.

Table of Contents

In Vitro Diagnostics. Operating income was \$1.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, compared with \$1.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The revenue increase of \$0.1 million compared with the prior-year period was the primary contributor to the operating income increase.

Corporate. Operating loss was \$1.7 million in both the second quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011. The increase of less than \$0.1 million in the operating loss in fiscal 2012 mainly reflected higher general legal expenses offset partially by lower compensation costs.

Results of Operations Six Months Ended March 31

Revenue. Revenue during the first six months of fiscal 2012 was \$24.1 million, a decrease of \$1.7 million, or 7%, compared with the first six months of fiscal 2011. The decrease in revenue, as detailed in the table below, is further explained in the narrative below.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Six Months Ended March 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | Change |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 17,620 | \$ 19,813 | \$ (2,193) | (11)% |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 6,506 | 6,043 | 463 | 8% |
| Total revenue | \$ 24,126 | \$ 25,856 | \$ (1,730) | (7)% |

Medical Device. Revenue in Medical Device was \$17.6 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012, a decrease of 11% compared with \$19.8 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011. The decrease in total revenue reflected \$2.3 million of lower royalty revenue and \$0.3 million of lower product sales, partially offset by higher license fees and R&D revenue. Our royalty and product revenue from Cordis decreased \$3.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012 compared with the first six months of fiscal 2011. Partially offsetting this impact was growth of approximately 7% in our hydrophilic coatings royalties associated with other medical device customers.

In Vitro Diagnostics. Revenue in In Vitro Diagnostics was \$6.5 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012, an increase of 8% compared with \$6.0 million for the prior-year period. This increase was attributable to higher sales of our stabilization, antigens and microarray slide products, partially offset by lower sales of BioFX branded products.

Product costs. Product costs were \$3.2 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012, compared with \$3.4 million in the prior-year period. The \$0.2 million decrease in product costs principally reflected the mix of products sold. Overall product gross margins averaged 67% in the first six months of fiscal 2012, compared with 64% for the prior-year period. The increase in product gross margins reflected the mix of products sold in the first six months of fiscal 2012, as there were increased levels of higher margin diagnostic product sales compared with prior-year results.

Research and development expenses. R&D expenses were \$7.2 million for the first six months of fiscal 2012, an increase of 13% compared with \$6.3 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011. The increase was primarily a result of the recognition of \$0.8 million of therapeutic grant income (which was recorded as a reduction of expenses) in the first six months of fiscal 2011, associated with awards received under the federal qualified therapeutic discovery project program and \$0.2 million of higher temporary labor costs in the first six months of fiscal 2012 partially offset by lower compensation costs.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$6.9 million for the six months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of 5% compared with \$7.2 million for the prior-year period. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower Board and compensation costs in fiscal 2012, offset partially by higher general legal expenses.

Restructuring charges. During the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, we did not incur any restructuring charges. The charges for fiscal 2011 have been presented separately as restructuring charges in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. All restructuring costs related to SurModics Pharmaceuticals are included in discontinued operations.

In October 2010, we announced initiatives to reduce our cost structure and renew our focus on business units to more closely match operations and cost structure with the current customer environment. As a result of the organizational change, we eliminated 30 positions, or approximately 13% of our workforce. These employee terminations occurred across various functions, and the reorganization plan was completed by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011. We recorded total pre-tax restructuring charges of \$0.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, which consisted

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

of \$0.6 million of severance pay and benefits expenses and less than \$0.1 million of facility-related costs.

Table of Contents

Cash payments associated with the two fiscal 2011 restructuring events (including the fiscal fourth quarter 2011 restructuring event) totaled \$0.7 million during the six months ended March 31, 2012, resulting in a restructuring accrual balance of less than \$0.1 million at March 31, 2012. There were also payments of less than \$0.1 million during the six months ended March 31, 2012 associated with facility-related costs related to a fiscal 2010 restructuring event, resulting in a restructuring accrual balance of \$0.2 million at March 31, 2012. The total remaining restructuring accrual balance of \$0.2 million at March 31, 2012 relates to the fiscal 2011 and 2010 restructurings and is expected to be paid within the next 21 months.

Other (loss) income. Other (loss) income was a loss of \$0.4 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012, compared with income of \$0.6 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011. The loss in the first six months of fiscal 2012 principally reflects a \$0.8 million impairment loss on our investment in OctoPlus, based on a significant decline in the stock price of OctoPlus and length of time during fiscal 2012 when the stock price has been trading below its previous cost basis. Income from investments was \$0.3 million, compared with \$0.4 million in the prior-year period. The decrease primarily reflects lower yields on our investment balances. In addition, we recognized \$0.2 million in realized investment gains associated with our investment portfolio in both the first six months of fiscal 2012 and 2011.

Income tax provision. The income tax provision associated with continuing operations was \$2.5 million and \$3.2 million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 37.5% and 36.1%, respectively. The lower income tax provision for the six months ended March 31, 2012 was a result of lower pre-tax income. The difference between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% and the Company's effective tax rate for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 reflects the impact of state income taxes, permanent tax items and discrete tax benefits. Discrete tax benefits were less than \$0.1 million in each of the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations. Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of an income tax provision or benefit, for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, from the Pharmaceuticals segment was income of \$1.3 million and loss of \$9.4 million, respectively. Revenue from the Pharmaceuticals segment was \$5.3 million and \$6.9 million for the first six months of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. Activity related to the Pharmaceuticals segment for the first six months of fiscal 2012 includes the period from October 1, 2011 to November 17, 2011, the date of the Pharma Sale. The loss from discontinued operations for the first six months of fiscal 2011 included a \$5.7 million goodwill impairment charge.

Loss on sale of discontinued operations. The loss on sale of discontinued operations related to the Pharma Sale in the first six months of fiscal 2012 was \$0.9 million (\$1.6 million on a pre-tax basis), which was principally related to transaction closing costs which totaled \$1.7 million.

Segment Operating Results

Operating income for each of our two reportable segments, following the sale of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, was as follows (in thousands):

| | Six months ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating income (loss): | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 8,053 | \$ 10,461 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 2,177 | 1,915 |
| Corporate | (3,319) | (4,043) |
| Total operating income | \$ 6,911 | \$ 8,333 |

Medical Device. Operating income was \$8.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012, compared with \$10.5 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011. The decreased operating income resulted principally from \$3.6 million lower royalty revenue and reagent sales from our license agreement with Cordis related to the CYPHER® and CYPHER SELECT® Plus stents in fiscal 2012 compared with fiscal 2011 and to the recognition of \$0.8 million in qualified therapeutic grant income in fiscal 2011. Partially offsetting these factors were improved product gross margins of 69% in fiscal 2012 compared with 64% in fiscal 2011, reflecting a change in the mix of products sold in the first six months of fiscal 2012, and lower operating expenses.

In Vitro Diagnostics. Operating income was \$2.2 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012, compared with \$1.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011. The increase in product sales of \$0.5 million compared with the prior-year period was the primary contributor to the operating

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

income increase. Product gross margins improved slightly in fiscal 2012 compared with the prior-year period and operating expenses, including corporate allocations, increased \$0.1 million in fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents

Corporate. Operating loss was \$3.3 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012, compared with \$4.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011. The first six months of fiscal 2012 included higher legal expenses of \$0.4 million while the first six months of fiscal 2011 included a restructuring charge of \$0.6 million and non-recurring advisory services expenses related to the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The operating loss for the first six months of both fiscal 2012 and 2011, adjusted to exclude these items, was \$2.9 million in each period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating Activities. As of March 31, 2012, we had working capital of \$82.7 million, of which \$73.6 million consisted of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments (comprised of available-for-sale securities). Working capital increased \$37.6 million from the September 30, 2011 level, resulting primarily from an increase in cash of \$30.0 million associated with the Pharma Sale. Our cash, cash equivalents, available-for-sale securities and held-to-maturity securities totaled \$102.4 million at March 31, 2012, an increase of \$34.2 million from \$68.2 million at September 30, 2011. As noted above, the increase resulted principally from an increase of \$30.0 million in cash from the Pharma Sale. Our investments principally consist of U.S. government and government agency obligations and investment grade, interest-bearing corporate and municipal debt securities with varying maturity dates, the majority of which are five years or less. The Company's investment policy requires that no more than 5% of investments be held in any one credit issue, excluding U.S. government and government agency obligations. The primary investment objective of the portfolio is to provide for the safety of principal and appropriate liquidity while meeting or exceeding a benchmark (Merrill Lynch 1-3 Year Government-Corporate Index) total rate of return. Management plans to continue to direct its investment advisors to manage the Company's securities investments primarily for the safety of principal for the foreseeable future as it assesses other investment opportunities and uses of its cash and securities investments, including those described below.

We had cash flows from operating activities from continuing operations of \$6.2 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012, compared with \$14.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011. The decrease compared with prior-year results primarily reflects short-term incentive compensation payments made in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 which were related to fiscal 2011 operating results, a \$3.1 million decline in royalty revenue and reagent sales from our license agreement with Cordis related to the CYPHER® and CYPHER SELECT® Plus stents in fiscal 2012 and a decrease from income taxes of \$3.3 million. In the first six months of fiscal 2011 there were no payouts associated with short-term compensation programs. Additionally, there were higher payments related to accounts payable and other accruals as well as lower cash generated from our income tax balances.

Investing Activities. We invested \$0.3 million in property and equipment in the first six months of fiscal 2012, compared with \$1.2 million in the prior-year period. The lower property and equipment investment in fiscal 2012 is a return to more historical investment levels. Fiscal 2011 investment reflected higher spending associated with the buildout of manufacturing space in our Eden Prairie, Minnesota facility to accommodate production of our BioFX branded products. We also received cash from our discontinued operations which totaled \$28.0 million. Fiscal 2011 included \$5.7 million of milestone payments associated with the July 2007 SurModics Pharmaceuticals acquisition and \$4.1 million of cash transferred to our discontinued operations to fund its operating activities.

Financing Activities. There was minimal financing activity in each of the fiscal 2012 and 2011 periods with the activity comprised of stock option exercises or vesting of restricted stock. In November 2007, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$35.0 million of the Company's common stock in open-market transactions, private transactions, tender offers, or other transactions. The repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date. No shares were repurchased during the six months ended March 31, 2012. Under the current authorization, the Company has \$5.3 million remaining available for authorized share repurchases as of March 31, 2012.

Subsequent to the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2012, in May 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50.0 million of the Company's common stock through open-market purchases, private transactions, block trades, accelerated share repurchase transactions, tender offers, or by any combination of such methods. The repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date.

In February 2011, we extended our unsecured revolving credit facility through March 2012 and reduced the credit facility to \$15.0 million. As of March 31, 2012, the credit facility expired and was not renewed.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and investments, together with cash flow from operations, will provide liquidity sufficient to meet the below stated needs and fund our operations for the next 12 months. There can be no assurance, however, that SurModics' business will continue to generate cash flows at current levels, and disruptions in financial markets may

Table of Contents

negatively impact our ability to access capital in a timely manner and on attractive terms. Our anticipated liquidity needs for the remainder of fiscal 2012 may include, but are not limited to, the following: general capital expenditures in the range of \$1.2 million to \$1.8 million; contingent consideration payments, if any, related to our five-year indemnification agreement with Evonik related to their assumption of the contingencies related to the purchase of certain assets from PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; any payments related to our agreement with various governmental authorities associated with creation of jobs in Alabama; and any amounts associated with the repurchase of common stock under the authorizations discussed above.

Discontinued Operations. Our Pharmaceuticals discontinued operations used operating cash of \$1.6 million and \$2.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. Cash used in operations in fiscal 2012 was lower than fiscal 2011 principally as a result of fewer months of operations in fiscal 2012 because of the Pharma Sale. Cash provided by investing activities was \$29.8 million in fiscal 2012 and related principally to proceeds received from the Pharma Sale. Cash used in investing activities in fiscal 2011 of \$1.2 million related to investment in property and equipment. Cash used in financing activities in fiscal 2012 of \$28.0 million related to transfers of cash to the continuing operations of the Company and consisted principally of cash generated from the Pharma Sale. The \$4.1 million source of funds in fiscal 2011 consisted of cash transfers provided by the continuing operations of the Company to the discontinued operations.

Customer Concentrations. Our licensed technologies provide royalty revenue, which represents the largest revenue stream to the Company. We have licenses with a diverse base of customers and certain customers have multiple products using our technology. Medtronic is our largest customer at 19% of total revenue in the first six months of fiscal 2012. Medtronic has several separately licensed products that generate royalty revenue for SurModics. In addition, there has been a decline in royalty revenue from one of our largest customers, Cordis, associated with their June 2011 announcement of the cessation of the manufacture of the CYPHER® and CYPHER SELECT® Plus stents by the end of calendar 2011. Beginning with the first quarter of fiscal 2012, since the minimum royalty requirements have been eliminated, royalties under the license agreement are based on a percentage of CYPHER® sales until the products are no longer sold. No other individual customer product using licensed technology constitutes more than 10% of SurModics' total revenue. Further, our licensing agreements with many of our customers, including most of our significant customers, cover many licensed products that each separately generate royalty revenue. This situation reduces the potential risk to our operations that may result from reduced sales (or the termination of a license) of a single product for any specific customer.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2012, the Company did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements with any unconsolidated entities.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 2, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include expectations concerning our growth strategy, product development programs, future cash flow and sources of funding, short-term liquidity requirements, the impact of potential lawsuits or claims, and the impact of the Medtronic and Cordis agreements, as well as other significant customer agreements. Without limiting the foregoing, words or phrases such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, forecast, intend, may, plan, possible, project, will and similar terminology, generally identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements may also represent challenging goals for us. These statements, which represent the Company's expectations or beliefs concerning various future events, are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those of such forward-looking statements. We caution that undue reliance should not be placed on such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. Some of the factors which could cause results to differ from those expressed in any forward-looking statement are set forth under Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. We disclaim any intent or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, whether because of new information, future events or otherwise.

Although it is not possible to create a comprehensive list of all factors that may cause actual results to differ from the Company's forward-looking statements, such factors include, among others:

the inability to realize the anticipated benefits of the Pharma Sale, or of our other recent cost savings initiatives;

the Company's reliance on a small number of significant customers, which causes our financial results and stock price to be subject to factors affecting those significant customers and their products, the timing of market introduction of their or competing products,

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

product safety or efficacy concerns and intellectual property litigation could adversely affect our growth strategy and the royalty revenue we derive;

general economic conditions which are beyond our control, including the impact of recession, business investment and changes in consumer confidence;

Table of Contents

the Company's change in its organizational structure may not increase the number of market segments and applications that use its technologies;

a decrease in the Company's available cash or the value of its investment holdings could impact short-term liquidity requirements and expected capital expenditures;

the difficulties and uncertainties associated with the lengthy and costly new product development and foreign and domestic regulatory approval processes, such as delays, difficulties or failures in achieving acceptable clinical results or obtaining foreign or FDA marketing clearances or approvals, which may result in lost market opportunities or postpone or preclude product commercialization by licensees;

the development of new products or technologies by competitors, technological obsolescence and other changes in competitive factors;

the Company's ability to successfully internally perform certain product development activities and governmental and regulatory compliance activities which the Company has not previously undertaken in any significant manner;

possible adverse market conditions, possible adverse impacts on our cash flows and competing cash needs could impact the ability and timing of purchases under the share repurchase program; and

other factors described in "Risk Factors" and other sections of SurModics' Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, which you are encouraged to read carefully.

Many of these factors are outside the control and knowledge of the Company, and could result in increased volatility in period-to-period results. Investors are advised not to place undue reliance upon the Company's forward-looking statements and to consult any further disclosures by the Company on this subject in its filings with the SEC.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Information.

In addition to disclosing financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, this report includes certain non-GAAP financial results. We believe these non-GAAP measures provide meaningful insight into our operating performance, excluding certain event-specific charges, and provide an alternative perspective of our results of operations. We use non-GAAP measures, including certain of those set forth in this report, to assess our operating performance and to determine payout under our executive compensation programs. We believe that presentation of certain non-GAAP measures allows investors to review our results of operations from the same perspective as management and our Board of Directors and facilitates comparisons of our current results of operations. The method we use to produce non-GAAP results is not in accordance with GAAP and may differ from the methods used by other companies. Non-GAAP results should not be regarded as a substitute for corresponding GAAP measures but instead should be utilized as a supplemental measure of operating performance in evaluating our business. Non-GAAP measures do have limitations in that they do not reflect certain items that may have a material impact upon our reported financial results. As such, these non-GAAP measures presented should be viewed in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company's investment policy requires investments with high credit quality issuers and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. The Company's investments principally consist of U.S. government and government agency obligations and investment-grade, interest-bearing corporate and municipal debt securities with varying maturity dates, the majority of which are five years or less. Because of the credit criteria of the Company's investment policies, the primary market risk associated with these investments is interest rate risk. SurModics does not use derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk or to speculate on future changes in interest rates. A one percentage point increase in interest rates would result in an approximate \$0.8 million decrease in the fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities as of March 31, 2012, but no material impact on the results of operations or cash flows.

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Management believes that a reasonable change in raw material prices would not have a material impact on future earnings or cash flows because the Company's inventory exposure is not material.

Although we conduct business in foreign countries, our international operations consist primarily of sales of reagent and stabilization chemicals. Additionally, all sales transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, we do not expect to be subject to material foreign currency risk with respect to future costs or cash flows from our foreign sales. To date, we have not entered into any foreign currency forward exchange contracts or other derivative financial instruments to hedge the effects of adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Table of Contents

SurModics, Inc. maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC rules and forms, and to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer regarding the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation and because the material weakness previously disclosed in both our amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2012 and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on February 14, 2012 had not been remediated as of March 31, 2012, the Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2012.

The Company has reviewed its internal control procedures related to the evaluation of non-routine events or transactions and has developed additional control procedures to address the material weakness. However, these additional control procedures have not operated for an appropriate amount of time to determine their operational effectiveness and as such, the Company has determined that the material weakness has not been remediated as of March 31, 2012.

Changes in Internal Controls

Other than efforts to remediate the material weakness noted above, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. The Company has reviewed its internal control procedures related to the evaluation of non-routine events or transactions and has developed additional control procedures to remediate the material weakness. In particular, the Company has changed its internal control procedures related to the evaluation of non-routine events or transactions to require that such events are prepared and reviewed by individuals with an appropriate level of accounting expertise. However, these additional control procedures have not operated for an appropriate amount of time to determine their operational effectiveness and as such, the Company has determined that the material weakness has not been remediated as of March 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There have been no material developments in the legal proceedings previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In our report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, filed with the SEC on February 14, 2012, we identify under Part 1, Item 1A. Risk Factors, important factors which could affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from our anticipated results or other expectations, including those expressed in any forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors subsequent to the filing of our Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

There were no purchases of common stock of the Company made during the three months ended March 31, 2012, by the Company or on behalf of the Company or any affiliated purchaser of the Company, as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Exchange Act.

On November 15, 2007, our Board of Directors announced the authorization of the repurchase of \$35.0 million of our outstanding common stock. As of March 31, 2012, pursuant to this authorization, we have repurchased a cumulative 1,024,181 shares at an average price of \$29.00 per share and the Company has \$5.3 million available for authorized share repurchases. This repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date.

On May 7, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50.0 million of our outstanding common stock. This repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended December 31, 1999, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 3.2 | Restated Bylaws of SurModics, Inc., as amended November 30, 2009 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.1** | Change of Control Agreement with Timothy J. Arens dated February 9, 2012 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.2** | Change of Control Agreement with Charles W. Olson dated February 9, 2012 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.3** | Change of Control Agreement with Bryan K. Phillips dated February 9, 2012 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.4** | Change of Control Agreement with Joseph J. Stich dated February 9, 2012 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101* | Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for SurModics, Inc. for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012, filed on May 10, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. |

* Filed herewith

** Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

May 10, 2012

SurModics, Inc.

By: /s/ Timothy J. Arens
Timothy J. Arens
Vice President of Finance and
Interim Chief Financial Officer
(duly authorized signatory and principal financial officer)

31

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
EXHIBIT INDEX TO FORM 10-Q
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2012
SURMODICS, INC.

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended December 31, 1999, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 3.2 | Restated Bylaws of SurModics, Inc., as amended November 30, 2009 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.1** | Change of Control Agreement with Timothy J. Arens dated February 9, 2012 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.2** | Change of Control Agreement with Charles W. Olson dated February 9, 2012 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.3** | Change of Control Agreement with Bryan K. Phillips dated February 9, 2012 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.4** | Change of Control Agreement with Joseph J. Stich dated February 9, 2012 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document*** |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document*** |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document*** |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document*** |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document*** |

* Filed herewith

** Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

*** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

