

MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC
Form 10-Q
November 08, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-08430

McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

REPUBLIC OF PANAMA
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

72-0593134
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

757 N. ELDRIDGE PKWY

HOUSTON, TEXAS
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

77079
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (281) 870-5000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding at October 31, 2011 was 235,039,616.

Table of Contents

McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

INDEX - FORM 10-Q

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 6 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| <u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u> | 31 |
| PART II - OTHER INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 33 |
| <u>Item 6 Exhibits</u> | 33 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 34 |

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|--|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands, except share and per share amounts) | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 879,894 | \$ 732,095 | \$ 2,628,935 | \$ 1,864,121 |
| Costs and Expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of operations | 802,951 | 565,996 | 2,253,981 | 1,421,041 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 48,046 | 56,099 | 163,827 | 159,911 |
| Loss on asset impairments | | 24,444 | | 24,444 |
| Gain on asset disposals | (7,811) | (108) | (8,107) | (2,414) |
| Total costs and expenses | 843,186 | 646,431 | 2,409,701 | 1,602,982 |
| Equity in Income (Loss) of Unconsolidated Affiliates | (1,492) | (1,361) | 59 | (5,507) |
| Operating Income | 35,216 | 84,303 | 219,293 | 255,632 |
| Other Income (Expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | 319 | 314 | 1,060 | 1,139 |
| Interest expense | (152) | (392) | (415) | (2,671) |
| Other income (expense) net | 206 | (3,460) | (3,942) | (4,188) |
| Total other income (expense) | 373 | (3,538) | (3,297) | (5,720) |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes and noncontrolling interests | 35,589 | 80,765 | 215,996 | 249,912 |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 20,535 | 10,085 | 60,351 | 35,229 |
| Income from continuing operations before noncontrolling interests | 15,054 | 70,680 | 155,645 | 214,683 |
| Loss on disposal of discontinued operations | | (32,936) | | (123,356) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 1,187 | (7,094) | 6,459 | 89,048 |
| Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 1,187 | (40,030) | 6,459 | (34,308) |
| Net Income | 16,241 | 30,650 | 162,104 | 180,375 |
| Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 5,290 | 9,847 | 13,405 | 23,597 |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net Income Attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | \$ 10,951 | \$ 20,803 | \$ 148,699 | \$ 156,778 |
| Earnings per Common Share: | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations, less noncontrolling interests | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.61 | 0.82 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.01 | (0.17) | 0.03 | (0.15) |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.63 | 0.67 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations, less noncontrolling interests | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.60 | 0.81 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.01 | (0.17) | 0.03 | (0.14) |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.63 | 0.67 |
| Shares used in the computation of earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | 234,940,184 | 232,670,579 | 234,451,430 | 231,780,675 |
| Diluted | 236,947,663 | 236,271,411 | 237,079,305 | 235,149,331 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010⁽¹⁾ | 2011 | 2010⁽¹⁾ |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 16,241 | \$ 30,650 | \$ 162,104 | \$ 180,375 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | |
| Amortization of benefit plan costs | 4,080 | 9,911 | 14,136 | 39,404 |
| Unrealized gain on benefit plan revaluation | | | 9,883 | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on investments | (753) | 927 | (117) | 1,992 |
| Realized (gain) loss on investments | | (83) | 20 | 79 |
| Translation adjustments | (11,277) | (16,700) | (7,246) | (24,355) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives | (6,536) | 14,845 | 4,842 | 1,528 |
| Realized (gain) loss on derivatives | 288 | (3,072) | 142 | 1,727 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | (14,198) | 5,828 | 21,660 | 20,375 |
| Total Comprehensive Income | \$ 2,043 | \$ 36,478 | \$ 183,764 | \$ 200,750 |
| Less: Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 4,703 | 9,856 | 14,328 | 23,597 |
| Comprehensive Income (Loss) Attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | \$ (2,660) | \$ 26,622 | \$ 169,436 | \$ 177,153 |

⁽¹⁾ Amortization of benefit plan costs for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 is shown net of tax of \$2.4 million and \$18.6 million, respectively. The tax impact on other amounts presented is not significant.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|---|----------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | (In thousands, except share and per share amounts) | |
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 403,589 | \$ 403,463 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 14,297 | 197,861 |
| Investments | 159,086 | 209,463 |
| Accounts receivable trade, net | 296,303 | 323,497 |
| Accounts receivable other | 36,354 | 28,447 |
| Contracts in progress | 300,331 | 65,853 |
| Deferred income taxes | 13,102 | 10,323 |
| Assets held for sale | 20,630 | 10,161 |
| Other current assets | 44,071 | 36,570 |
| Total Current Assets | 1,287,763 | 1,285,638 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | 1,923,733 | 1,720,040 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (840,365) | (804,471) |
| Net Property, Plant and Equipment | 1,083,368 | 915,569 |
| Assets Held for Sale | 76,315 | 77,150 |
| Investments | 41,860 | 75,742 |
| Goodwill | 41,202 | 41,202 |
| Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates | 45,505 | 45,016 |
| Other Assets | 183,788 | 158,371 |
| Total Assets | \$ 2,759,801 | \$ 2,598,688 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Notes payable and current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 6,615 | \$ 8,547 |
| Accounts payable | 299,535 | 252,974 |
| Accrued liabilities | 311,021 | 286,831 |
| Advance billings on contracts | 103,896 | 250,053 |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,969 | 12,849 |
| Income taxes payable | 46,034 | 32,851 |
| Liabilities associated with assets held for sale | 23,288 | 20,902 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 794,358 | 865,007 |
| Long-Term Debt | 82,478 | 46,748 |
| Self-Insurance | 39,040 | 35,655 |
| Pension Liability | 43,488 | 52,831 |
| Other Liabilities | 99,342 | 86,180 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' Equity: | | |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, authorized 400,000,000 shares; issued 242,251,921 and 240,791,473 shares at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively | 242,252 | 240,791 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 1,372,968 | 1,357,316 |
| Retained earnings | 249,072 | 100,373 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 7,308,140 and 6,906,262 shares at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively | (95,261) | (85,735) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (142,980) | (163,717) |
| Stockholders' Equity McDermott International, Inc. | 1,626,051 | 1,449,028 |
| Noncontrolling Interests | 75,044 | 63,239 |
| Total Equity | 1,701,095 | 1,512,267 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 2,759,801 | \$ 2,598,688 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010 (Unaudited) (In thousands) | |
|---|--|------------|
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 162,104 | \$ 180,375 |
| Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 6,459 | (34,308) |
| | \$ 155,645 | \$ 214,683 |
| Income from continuing operations | | |
| Non-cash items included in net income: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 59,900 | 57,424 |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates | (59) | 5,507 |
| Loss on asset impairments | | 24,444 |
| Gain on asset disposals | (8,107) | (2,414) |
| Benefit from deferred taxes | (2,910) | (4,272) |
| Pension costs | 15,367 | 16,282 |
| Other non-cash items | 14,249 | 21,921 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 26,238 | 64,415 |
| Net contracts in progress and advance billings on contracts | (380,635) | 29,306 |
| Accounts payable | 44,667 | (88,778) |
| Accrued and other current liabilities | 71,148 | 10,055 |
| Pension liability and accrued postretirement and employee benefits | (51,041) | (138,783) |
| Other | (15,327) | (71,992) |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities Continuing Operations | (70,865) | 137,798 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (231,872) | (136,555) |
| (Increase) decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents | 183,564 | (83,498) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (516,628) | (844,103) |
| Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 601,128 | 706,234 |
| Proceeds from asset disposals | 8,483 | 4,563 |
| Other investing activities, net | (16) | (15,647) |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities Continuing Operations | 44,659 | (369,006) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Payment of debt | (6,473) | (6,398) |
| Debt issuance costs | (4,824) | (13,247) |
| Increase in debt | 40,212 | |
| Dividend received from B&W | | 100,000 |
| Other financing activities, net | (2,059) | 2,177 |
| Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities Continuing Operations | 26,856 | 82,532 |
| Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (524) | (183) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 126 | (148,859) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 403,463 | 428,298 |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | Continuing Operations | \$ 403,589 | \$ 279,439 |
| Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Income taxes (net of refunds) | | \$ 45,932 | \$ 49,661 |
| Cash Flows From Discontinued Operations: | | | |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities | | \$ 1,802 | \$ (43,666) |
| Net Cash Used In Investing Activities | | | (77,386) |
| Net Cash Used In Financing Activities | | | (343,551) |
| Effects of exchange rate changes on cash | | 211 | 485 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 2,013 | (464,118) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | | 1,426 | 470,972 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | Discontinued Operations | \$ 3,439 | \$ 6,854 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY**

| | Common Stock | | Capital In Excess of Par Value | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Stockholders Equity | Non- Controlling Interests | Total Equity |
|--|--------------|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Par Value | | | | | | | |
| (Unaudited) | | | | | | | | | |
| (In thousands, except share amounts) | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance December 31, 2009 | 236,919,404 | \$ 236,919 | \$ 1,300,998 | \$ 951,647 | \$ (69,370) | \$ (612,997) | \$ 1,807,197 | \$ 25,903 | \$ 1,833,100 |
| Net income | | | | 156,778 | | | 156,778 | 23,597 | 180,375 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | | | 20,375 | 20,375 | | 20,375 |
| Exercise of stock options | 690,561 | 691 | 1,980 | | (650) | | 2,021 | | 2,021 |
| Excess tax benefits on stock options | | | 2,192 | | | | 2,192 | | 2,192 |
| Contributions to thrift plan | 282,022 | 282 | 6,641 | | | | 6,923 | | 6,923 |
| Share vesting | 2,528,433 | 2,528 | (2,528) | | | | | | |
| Purchase of treasury shares | | | | | (15,531) | | (15,531) | | (15,531) |
| Stock-based compensation charges, net of tax | | | 43,783 | | | | 43,783 | | 43,783 |
| Acquisition of noncontrolling interests | | | (1,786) | | | | (1,786) | 12,018 | 10,232 |
| Spin-off of The Babcock & Wilcox Company | | | (1,441) | (1,052,940) | | 444,456 | (609,925) | (503) | (610,428) |
| Balance September 30, 2010 | 240,420,420 | \$ 240,420 | \$ 1,349,839 | \$ 55,485 | \$ (85,551) | \$ (148,166) | \$ 1,412,027 | \$ 61,015 | \$ 1,473,042 |
| Balance December 31, 2010 | 240,791,473 | \$ 240,791 | \$ 1,357,316 | \$ 100,373 | \$ (85,735) | \$ (163,717) | \$ 1,449,028 | \$ 63,239 | \$ 1,512,267 |
| Net income | | | | 148,699 | | | 148,699 | 13,405 | 162,104 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | | | 20,737 | 20,737 | 923 | 21,660 |
| Exercise of stock options | 464,196 | 464 | 1,994 | | | | 2,458 | | 2,458 |
| Share vesting | 996,252 | 997 | (997) | | | | | | |
| Purchase of treasury shares | | | | | (9,526) | | (9,526) | | (9,526) |
| | | | 14,655 | | | | 14,655 | | 14,655 |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--|--------------|
| Stock-based compensation charges | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | (2,523) | | (2,523) |
| Balance September 30, 2011 | 242,251,921 | \$ 242,252 | \$ 1,372,968 | \$ 249,072 | \$ (95,261) | \$ (142,980) | \$ 1,626,051 | \$ 75,044 | | \$ 1,701,095 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

McDermott International, Inc. (MII), a corporation incorporated under the laws of the Republic of Panama, is a leading engineering, procurement, construction and installation (EPCI) company focused on designing and executing complex offshore oil and gas projects worldwide. Providing fully integrated EPCI services for oil and gas field developments, we deliver fixed and floating production facilities, pipeline and subsea systems from concept to commissioning. We support these activities with comprehensive project management and procurement services. Our customers include national and major oil and gas companies, and we operate in most major offshore oil and gas producing regions throughout the world. In these notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements, unless the context otherwise indicates, we, us and our mean MII and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

We have presented our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in U.S. Dollars, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) applicable to interim reporting. Financial information and disclosures normally included in our financial statements prepared annually in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted. Readers of these financial statements should, therefore, refer to the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

We have included all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of McDermott International, Inc., its consolidated subsidiaries and controlled entities. We use the equity method to account for investments in entities that we do not control, but over which we have significant influence. We generally refer to these entities as joint ventures, or unconsolidated affiliates. We have eliminated intercompany transactions and accounts.

On July 30, 2010, we completed the spin-off of our previously reported Government Operations and Power Generation Systems segments into an independent publicly traded company named The Babcock & Wilcox Company (B&W). Additionally, during the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we committed to a plan to sell our charter fleet business which operates 10 of the 14 vessels acquired in our 2007 acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Secunda International Limited. We are actively marketing and plan to sell the charter fleet business if we obtain an offer on terms that are acceptable to us. The condensed consolidated balance sheets reflect the charter fleet business as held for sale. The condensed consolidated statements of income and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows reflect the historical operations of B&W for 2010 and the charter fleet business for all periods presented as discontinued operations. The 2010 condensed consolidated statement of equity and the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 contain amounts attributable to the spun-off B&W operations. Certain 2010 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 presentation. We have presented the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements on the basis of continuing operations.

Business Segments

We have the following reporting segments:

Asia Pacific

Atlantic

Middle East

Corporate

See Note 8 for summarized financial information on our segments.

Revenue Recognition

We determine the appropriate accounting method for each of our long-term contracts before work on the project begins. We generally recognize contract revenues and related costs on a percentage-of-completion method for individual contracts or combinations of contracts based on work performed, man hours, or a cost-to-cost method, as applicable to the activity involved. We include revenues and related costs recorded, plus accumulated contract costs that exceed amounts invoiced to customers under the terms of the contracts, in contracts in progress. We include in advance billings on contracts, billings that exceed accumulated contract costs and revenues and costs recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. Most long-term contracts contain provisions for

Table of Contents

progress payments. We expect to invoice customers for all unbilled revenues. Certain costs are excluded from the cost-to-cost method of measuring progress, such as significant costs for materials and major third-party subcontractors, if it appears that such exclusion would result in a more meaningful measurement of actual contract progress and resulting periodic allocation of income. Total estimated costs, and resulting contract income, are affected by changes in the expected cost of materials and labor, productivity, scheduling and other factors. Additionally, external factors such as weather, customer requirements and other factors outside of our control may affect the progress and estimated cost of a project's completion and, therefore, the timing and amount of revenue and income recognition. In addition, change orders, which are a normal and recurring part of our business, can increase (and sometimes substantially) the future scope and cost of a job. Therefore, change order awards (although frequently beneficial in the long term) can have the short-term effect of reducing the job percentage of completion and thus the revenues and profits recognized to date. We regularly review contract price and cost estimates as the work progresses and reflect adjustments in profit, proportionate to the percentage-of-completion in the period when those estimates are revised.

For contracts as to which we are unable to estimate the final profitability except to assure that no loss will ultimately be incurred, we recognize equal amounts of revenue and cost until the final results can be estimated more precisely. For these contracts, we only recognize gross profit when reasonably estimable, which we generally determine to be when the contract is approximately 70% complete. We treat long-term construction contracts that contain such a level of risk and uncertainty that estimation of the final outcome is impractical except to assure that no loss will be incurred as deferred profit recognition contracts. We currently have one active contract being accounted for under our deferred profit recognition policy.

Our policy is to account for fixed-price contracts under the completed contract method if we believe that we are unable to reasonably forecast cost to complete at start-up. Under the completed contract method, revenue and gross profit is recognized only when a contract is completed or substantially complete. We did not enter into any significant contracts that we have accounted for under the completed contract method during the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010.

Variations from estimated contract performance could result in material adjustments to operating results for any fiscal quarter or year. We include claims for extra work or changes in scope of work, to the extent of costs incurred, in contract revenues when we believe collection is probable. For all contracts, if a current estimate of total contract costs indicates a loss, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined.

Use of Estimates

We use estimates and assumptions to prepare our financial statements in conformity with GAAP. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts we report in our financial statements and accompanying notes. Our actual results could differ from these estimates, and variances could materially affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Impairment Review

We review goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if circumstances indicate that an impairment may exist. The annual impairment review, which is performed as of December 31, involves comparing the fair value of each applicable operating segment with its net book value and, therefore, is significantly impacted by estimates and judgments.

We review our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If an evaluation is required, the estimated undiscounted future cash flows associated with an asset are compared to the carrying value of the asset to determine if impairment exists, in which case an impairment is recognized for the difference between the recorded and fair value of the asset.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we recognized an impairment charge of \$24.4 million in our condensed consolidated statements of income on two of the four vessels we plan to retain from the Secunda acquisition, the *Agile* and *Bold Endurance*.

Loss Contingencies

We record liabilities for loss contingencies when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss is reasonably estimable. We provide disclosure when there is a reasonable possibility that the ultimate loss will exceed the recorded provision or if such loss is not reasonably estimable. We are currently involved in litigation and other proceedings, as discussed in Note 10. We have accrued our estimates of the probable losses associated with these matters. However, our losses are typically resolved over long periods of time and are often difficult to estimate due to various factors, including the possibility of multiple actions by third parties. Therefore, it is possible future earnings could be affected by changes in our estimates related to these matters.

Table of Contents**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Our cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when we purchase them.

We record cash and cash equivalents as restricted when we are unable to freely use such cash and cash equivalents for our general operating purposes. At September 30, 2011, we had restricted cash and cash equivalents of \$15.3 million in the aggregate, of which \$13.8 million was held in restricted foreign-entity accounts, \$0.5 million was held to meet reinsurance reserve requirements of our captive insurance subsidiary and \$1.0 million was classified as non-current and is included in other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, we restructured certain of our Middle East subsidiaries, which resulted in the removal of the restrictions on the available funds held at those subsidiaries.

Investments

At September 30, 2011, we had investments with a fair value of \$200.9 million. Our investment portfolio consists primarily of investments in government and agency obligations and commercial paper. Our investments are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI). Our net unrealized loss on investments was \$4.4 million and \$4.3 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The major components of our investments in an unrealized loss position are asset-backed and mortgage-backed obligations. Based on our analysis of these investments, we believe that none of our available-for-sale securities were other than temporarily impaired at September 30, 2011.

Accounts Receivable Trade, Net

A summary of contract receivables is as follows:

| | September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Contract receivables: | | |
| Contracts in progress | \$ 241,917 | \$ 191,216 |
| Completed contracts | 26,353 | 85,587 |
| Retainages | 43,370 | 63,558 |
| Unbilled | 1,346 | 12,697 |
| Less allowances | (16,683) | (29,561) |
| Accounts receivable trade, net | \$ 296,303 | \$ 323,497 |

We expect to invoice our unbilled receivables after contractually specified milestones or other metrics are reached, and we expect to collect all unbilled amounts. We believe that our provision for losses on uncollectible accounts receivable is adequate for our credit loss exposure.

The following amounts represent retainages on contracts:

| | September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Retainages expected to be collected within one year | \$ 43,370 | \$ 63,558 |
| Retainages expected to be collected after one year | 99,283 | 83,143 |
| Total retainages | \$ 142,653 | \$ 146,701 |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

We have included in accounts receivable trade, net, retainages expected to be collected within one year. Retainages expected to be collected after one year are included in other assets.

Table of Contents**Comprehensive Loss**

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss included in stockholders' equity are as follows:

| | September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | \$ (14,134) | \$ (6,888) |
| Net loss on investments | (4,426) | (4,330) |
| Net gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments | 3,205 | (855) |
| Unrecognized losses on benefit obligations | (127,625) | (151,644) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (142,980) | \$ (163,717) |

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In September 2011, the FASB issued an update to the topic *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*. This update amends current guidance on the testing of goodwill for impairment, by providing an entity with the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, prior to calculating the fair value of the reporting unit. We are currently evaluating this update, which is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, for adoption, and we do not expect this update to have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an update to the topic *Comprehensive Income*. This update eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity and requires those components to instead be presented as one continuous statement with the statement of operations or as a separate, consecutive financial statement. The update is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect the adoption of this update to have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued a revision to the topic *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. This revision sets forth new rules on providing enhanced information for Level 3 measurements. We adopted the disclosure provisions required by this revision on January 1, 2011, for both interim and annual disclosures, which did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Each reporting period we consider all newly issued but not yet adopted accounting and reporting guidance applicable to our operations and the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. We do not expect other recently issued standards and updates to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND OTHER ITEMS**Discontinued Operations**

The following discussion provides information pertaining to our significant discontinued operations.

Charter Fleet Business

During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we classified our charter fleet business as a discontinued operation and recognized a \$27.7 million write-down of the carrying value of these assets to their estimated net realizable value. The write-down was based on the estimated fair value of consideration expected from the sale and estimated selling costs, and we considered that fair value measurement as Level 2 in nature.

Table of Contents

The following table presents selected financial information regarding the results of operations attributable to our charter fleet business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 13,404 | \$ 14,230 | \$ 33,970 | \$ 46,812 |
| Loss on disposal of discontinued operations | | (27,690) | | (27,690) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 2,004 | 1,362 | 6,745 | 7,766 |
| | 2,004 | (26,328) | 6,745 | (19,924) |
| Provision for income taxes | (817) | (240) | (2,286) | (1,759) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ 1,187 | \$ (26,568) | \$ 4,459 | \$ (21,683) |

The following table presents the carrying values of the major classes of assets and liabilities held for sale that are included in our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets:

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Cash | \$ 3,439 | \$ 1,426 |
| Accounts receivable net | 13,200 | 5,253 |
| Other assets | 3,991 | 3,482 |
| Total current assets held for sale | 20,630 | 10,161 |
| Property, plant and equipment net | 67,247 | 68,595 |
| Other assets | 9,068 | 8,555 |
| Total long-term assets held for sale | 76,315 | 77,150 |
| Total assets held for sale | \$ 96,945 | \$ 87,311 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 7,830 | \$ 8,748 |
| Other liabilities | 15,458 | 12,154 |
| Total liabilities associated with assets held for sale | \$ 23,288 | \$ 20,902 |

Spin-off of B&W

On July 30, 2010, we completed the spin-off of B&W to our stockholders through a stock distribution. B&W's assets and businesses primarily consisted of those that we previously reported as our Government Operations and Power Generation Systems segments. In connection with the spin-off, our stockholders received 100% (approximately 116 million shares) of the outstanding common stock of B&W. The distribution of B&W common stock occurred by way of a pro rata stock dividend to our stockholders. Each stockholder generally received one share of B&W common stock for every two shares of our common stock held by such stockholder on July 9, 2010, and cash in lieu of any fractional shares. Prior to the completion of the spin-off, B&W made a cash distribution to us totaling \$100 million.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

In order to effect the distribution and govern MII's relationship with B&W after the distribution, MII and B&W entered into a master separation agreement and several other agreements, including a tax sharing agreement and transition services agreements.

Table of Contents

The following table presents selected financial information regarding the results of operations of our former B&W business for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010. Loss on disposal of discontinued operations represents costs incurred in connection with the B&W spin-off.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Revenues | \$ 173,540 | \$ 1,524,424 |
| Loss on disposal of discontinued operations | (5,246) | (95,666) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | (12,342) | 105,796 |
| | (17,588) | 10,130 |
| Provision for income taxes | 4,126 | (22,755) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ (13,462) | \$ (12,625) |

Other Items**Vessel Sale**

On August 26, 2011, we completed the sale of the *DB 23* marine vessel. Cash consideration received from the vessel sale was approximately \$8.0 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$7.7 million that is included in our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 for the Atlantic segment.

Fabrication Facility

During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we incurred approximately \$20 million of costs to discontinue our development plans for a new fabrication yard in Kazakhstan, including estimated lease termination costs. These costs are reflected in our condensed consolidated statements of income in costs of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 for the Middle East segment.

NOTE 3 PENSION PLANS

We historically provided retirement benefits for certain U.S.-based employees through the McDermott (U.S.) Retirement Plan (the McDermott Plan) and other supplemental defined pension benefits. The McDermott Plan and the supplemental defined pension benefits are collectively referred to as the Domestic Plan. The J. Ray McDermott, S.A. Third Country National Employees Pension Plan (the TCN Plan) provides retirement benefits for certain of our foreign employees.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we changed the investment strategy of the McDermott Plan, which caused us to remeasure the plan's assets and benefit obligations. In connection with the investment strategy change, we increased the expected rate of return on plan assets assumption to 6.50%, as compared to 5.75% at December 31, 2010, which is consistent with the long-term asset returns expected from the portfolio after the investment strategy change. Additionally, we increased the discount rate assumption to 5.40%, as compared to 5.30% at December 31, 2010.

Net periodic benefit cost for the Domestic Plan and the TCN Plan includes the following components:

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Domestic Plan
Three Months Ended **Nine Months Ended**
September 30, **September 30,**
2011 **2010** **2011** **2010**
(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

| | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Service cost | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 409 |
| Interest cost | 7,129 | 6,467 | 21,359 | 22,406 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (8,069) | (8,177) | (22,144) | (23,278) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss and other | 3,365 | 3,550 | 12,087 | 13,112 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 2,425 | \$ 1,840 | \$ 11,302 | \$ 12,649 |

Table of Contents

| | TCN Plan | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|----------|
| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 684 | \$ 505 | \$ 2,055 | \$ 1,728 |
| Interest cost | 594 | 546 | 1,784 | 1,638 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (612) | (457) | (1,838) | (1,371) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss and other | 688 | 546 | 2,064 | 1,638 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 1,354 | \$ 1,140 | \$ 4,065 | \$ 3,633 |

NOTE 4 LONG-TERM DEBT AND NOTES PAYABLE

In May 2010, we entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of lenders and letter of credit issuers and in August 2011, we amended the credit agreement (the Credit Agreement). The amendment, among other things, (1) extended the scheduled maturity date of the credit facility from May 3, 2014 to August 19, 2016; (2) increased the aggregate lender commitments from \$900 million to \$950 million for all revolving loan and letter of credit commitments under the Credit Agreement; (3) reduced the interest rate, commitment fee and letter of credit fee payable under the Credit Agreement; (4) increased permitted capital expenditures (prior to adjustment based on amount of restricted payments and allowed carry forward) from \$400.0 million to \$600.0 million per year; (5) permits the incurrence of unsecured debt so long as we are in pro forma compliance with a maximum 2.75:1.00 leverage ratio, which replaced a pre-existing limit of \$400.0 million on unsecured debt, and increased the maximum permitted leverage ratio from 2.50:1.00 to 3.00:1.00; (6) permits us to use the net proceeds from the issuance of debt (other than loans under the Credit Agreement) to make investments in joint ventures and subsidiaries that are not guarantors under the Credit Agreement; (7) increased the annual basket for restricted payments from \$50.0 million to \$100.0 million; (8) permits the sale of certain vessels and other assets; (9) eliminated the annual limit on asset sales, so long as certain conditions are met; and (10) released certain assets from the liens securing the credit facility. Proceeds from borrowings under the Credit Agreement are available for working capital needs and other general corporate purposes. The Credit Agreement includes procedures for additional financial institutions to become lenders, or for any existing lender to increase its commitment thereunder.

Our overall borrowing capacity is in large part dependent on maintaining compliance with covenants under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement contains customary financial covenants relating to leverage and interest coverage and includes covenants that restrict, among other things, debt incurrence, liens, investments, acquisitions, asset dispositions, dividends, prepayments of subordinated debt, mergers, and capital expenditures. At September 30, 2011, we were in compliance with our covenant requirements.

Other than customary mandatory prepayments in connection with casualty events, the Credit Agreement requires only interest payments on a quarterly basis until maturity. We may prepay all loans under the Credit Agreement at any time without premium or penalty (other than customary LIBOR breakage costs), subject to certain notice requirements.

Loans outstanding under the Credit Agreement bear interest at the borrower's option at either the Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 1.50% to 2.50% per year or the base rate (the highest of the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, the 30-day Eurodollar rate plus 1.0%, or the administrative agent's prime rate) plus a margin ranging from 0.50% to 1.50% per year. The applicable margin for revolving loans varies depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. We are charged a commitment fee on the unused portions of the Credit Agreement, and that fee varies between 0.200% and 0.450% per year depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. Additionally, we are charged a letter of credit fee of between 1.50% and 2.50% per year with respect to the amount of each financial letter of credit issued under the Credit Agreement and a letter of credit fee of between 0.75% and 1.25% per year with respect to the amount of each performance letter of credit issued under the Credit Agreement, in each case depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, we also pay customary issuance fees and other fees and expenses in connection with the issuance of letters of credit under the Credit Agreement. In connection with entering into the Credit Agreement, we paid certain up-front fees to the lenders thereunder, and certain arrangement and other fees to the arrangers and agents for the Credit Agreement, which are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Credit Agreement.

At September 30, 2011, there were no borrowings outstanding, and letters of credit issued under the Credit Agreement totaled \$304.9 million. At September 30, 2011, there was \$645.1 million available for borrowings or to meet letter of credit requirements under the Credit Agreement. There were no borrowings under this facility during the quarter ended September 30, 2011. Had there been borrowings, the applicable base interest rate would have been approximately 4.25% per annum. In addition, we had \$275.8 million in outstanding unsecured bilateral letters of credit at September 30, 2011.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Based on the credit ratings at September 30, 2011 applicable to the Credit Agreement, the applicable margin for Eurodollar-rate loans was 2.00%, the applicable margin for base-rate loans was 1.00%, the letter of credit fee for financial letters of credit was 2.00%, the letter of credit fee for performance letters of credit was 1.00%, and the commitment fee for unused portions of the Credit Agreement was 0.30%. The Credit Agreement does not have a floor for the base rate or the Eurodollar rate.

North Ocean Financing

North Ocean 102

In December 2009, J. Ray McDermott, S.A. (JRMSA) entered into a vessel-owning joint venture transaction with Oceanteam ASA. As a result of this transaction, we have consolidated notes payable of approximately \$45.5 million onto our balance sheet at September 30, 2011, of which approximately \$6.6 million is classified as current notes payable. JRMSA has guaranteed approximately 50% of this debt based on its ownership percentages in the vessel-owning companies. The outstanding debt bears interest at a rate equal to the three-month LIBOR (which resets every three months) plus a margin of 2.815% and matures in January 2014.

North Ocean 105

On September 30, 2010, MII, as guarantor, and North Ocean 105 AS, in which we have a 75% ownership interest, as borrower, entered into a financing agreement to finance a portion of the construction costs of a pipeline construction support vessel to be named the *North Ocean 105*. The agreement provides for borrowings of up to \$69.4 million, bearing interest at 2.76% per year, and requires principal repayment in 17 consecutive semi-annual installments commencing on the earlier of nine months after the delivery date of the vessel and October 1, 2012. Borrowings under the agreement are secured by, among other things, a pledge of all of the equity of North Ocean 105 AS, a mortgage on the *North Ocean 105*, and a lien on substantially all of the other assets of North Ocean 105 AS. MII unconditionally guaranteed all amounts to be borrowed under the agreement. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were \$43.6 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, in borrowings outstanding under this agreement.

NOTE 5 DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Our worldwide operations give rise to exposure to changes in certain market conditions, which may adversely impact our financial performance. When we deem it appropriate, we use derivatives as a risk management tool to mitigate the potential impacts of certain market risks. The primary market risk we manage through the use of derivative instruments is movement in foreign currency exchange rates. We use foreign currency forward-exchange contracts to reduce the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on our operating results. We use these instruments to hedge our exposure associated with revenues or costs on our long-term contracts and other cash flow exposures that are denominated in currencies other than our operating entities' functional currencies. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

In certain cases, contracts with our customers may contain provisions under which payments from our customers are denominated in U.S. Dollars and in a foreign currency. The payments denominated in a foreign currency are designed to compensate us for costs that we expect to incur in such foreign currency. In these cases, we may use derivative instruments to reduce the risks associated with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations arising from differences in timing of our foreign currency cash inflows and outflows.

We enter into derivative financial instruments primarily as hedges of certain firm purchase and sale commitments denominated in foreign currencies. We record these contracts at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets. Depending on the hedge designation at the inception of the contract, the related gains and losses on these contracts are either (1) deferred as a component of AOCI until the hedged item is recognized in earnings or (2) offset against the change in fair value of the hedged firm commitment through earnings. At the inception and on an ongoing basis, we assess the hedging relationship to determine its effectiveness in offsetting changes in cash flows attributable to the hedged risk. We exclude from our assessment of effectiveness the portion of the fair value of the forward contracts attributable to the difference between spot exchange rates and forward exchange rates. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value and any portion excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are immediately recognized in earnings. Gains and losses on derivative financial instruments that are immediately recognized in earnings are included as a component of other income (expense) net in our condensed consolidated statements of income. At September 30, 2011, we had designated the majority of our foreign currency forward-exchange contracts as cash flow hedging instruments.

At September 30, 2011, we had deferred approximately \$3.2 million of net gains on these derivative financial instruments in AOCI, and we expect to reclassify the net gains on the derivative financial instruments in the periods that we reclassify the net losses on the forecasted transactions. We expect to reclassify approximately \$3.7 million of the net deferred gains out of AOCI over the next 12 months.

At September 30, 2011, the majority of our derivative financial instruments consisted of foreign currency forward-exchange contracts. The notional value of our forward contracts totaled \$391.9 million at September 30, 2011, with maturities extending to December 2013. These instruments consist of contracts to purchase or sell foreign-denominated currencies. At September 30, 2011, the fair value of these contracts was in a net liability position totaling \$8.3 million. The fair value of outstanding derivative instruments is determined using observable financial

market inputs, such as quoted market prices, and is classified as Level 2 in nature.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize our derivative financial instruments:

Asset and Liability Derivatives

| | September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Derivatives Designated as Hedges: | | |
| Location | | |
| Accounts receivable other | \$ 5,350 | \$ 6,066 |
| Other assets | 552 | 3,225 |
| Total asset derivatives | \$ 5,902 | \$ 9,291 |
| Accounts payable | \$ 11,535 | \$ 2,207 |
| Other liabilities | 2,659 | 5,733 |
| Total liability derivatives | \$ 14,194 | \$ 7,940 |

The Effects of Derivative Instruments on our Financial Statements

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | |
|--|---|----------|--|------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Derivatives Designated as Hedges: | | | | |
| Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to MII | \$ (6,536) | \$ 9,957 | \$ 4,842 | \$ (2,314) |
| Income (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income: effective portion attributable to MII | | | | |
| Location | | | | |
| Cost of operations | \$ 468 | \$ (906) | \$ 426 | \$ 1,980 |
| Gain (loss) recognized in income: ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing attributable to MII | | | | |
| Location | | | | |
| Other income (expense) net | \$ (1,437) | \$ 3,116 | \$ (3,260) | \$ (950) |

NOTE 6 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In addition to defining fair value, the authoritative accounting guidance expands disclosures about fair value measurements and establishes a hierarchy for valuation inputs that emphasizes the use of observable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The fair value hierarchy established by this topic is broken down as follows:

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Level 1 inputs are based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 inputs are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for similar or identical instruments in inactive markets and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets and liabilities.

Level 3 inputs are generally unobservable and typically reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The fair values are therefore determined using model-based techniques that include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar valuation techniques.

The following tables summarize our available-for-sale securities measured at fair value:

| | September 30, 2011 | Level 1 (Unaudited) | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Mutual funds ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,831 | \$ | \$ 1,831 | \$ |
| Commercial paper | 158,924 | | 158,924 | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities ⁽²⁾ | 25,900 | 25,900 | | |
| Asset-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations ⁽³⁾ | 14,291 | | 7,888 | 6,403 |
| Total | \$ 200,946 | \$ 25,900 | \$ 168,643 | \$ 6,403 |

| | December 31, 2010 | Level 1 (Unaudited) | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Mutual funds | \$ 2,007 | \$ | \$ 2,007 | \$ |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | 269,161 | 269,161 | | |
| Asset-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 9,869 | | 2,497 | 7,372 |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 4,168 | | 4,168 | |
| Total | \$ 285,205 | \$ 269,161 | \$ 8,672 | \$ 7,372 |

(1) Various U.S. equities and other investments managed under mutual funds.

(2) Investments in U.S. Treasury securities with maturities of two years or less.

(3) Asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities with maturities of up to 26 years.

Table of Contents

Our Level 2 investments consist primarily of commercial paper, mutual funds and asset-backed commercial paper notes backed by a pool of mortgage-backed securities. The fair value of our Level 2 investments was determined using a market approach which is based on quoted prices and other information for similar or identical instruments.

Our Level 3 investment consists of asset-backed commercial paper notes backed by a pool of mortgage-backed securities. The fair value of this Level 3 investment was based on the calculation of an overall weighted-average valuation, using the prices of the underlying individual securities. Individual securities in the pool were valued based on market observed prices, where available. If market prices were not available, prices of similar securities backed by similar assets were used.

Changes in Level 3 Instrument

The following is a summary of the changes in our Level 3 instrument measured on a recurring basis for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 7,072 | \$ 7,487 | \$ 7,372 | \$ 7,326 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) | (318) | 212 | 147 | 1,489 |
| Purchases, issuances and settlements | | 283 | | 172 |
| Principal repayments | (351) | (549) | (1,116) | (1,554) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 6,403 | \$ 7,433 | \$ 6,403 | \$ 7,433 |

Other Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of our other financial instruments are as follows:

| | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Balance Sheet Instruments | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 403,589 | \$ 403,589 | \$ 403,463 | \$ 403,463 |
| Debt | \$ 89,093 | \$ 90,475 | \$ 55,295 | \$ 56,180 |
| Forward contracts, net | \$ (8,292) | \$ (8,292) | \$ 1,352 | \$ 1,352 |

We used the following methods and assumptions in estimating our fair value disclosures for our other financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents. The carrying amounts that we have reported in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair values and are generally considered Level 1 in nature.

Current and long-term restricted cash and cash equivalents. The carrying amounts that we have reported in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets for restricted cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair values are generally considered Level 1 in nature.

Short-term and long-term debt. We base the fair values of debt instruments on quoted market prices. Where quoted prices are not available, we base the fair values on the present value of future cash flows discounted at estimated borrowing rates for similar debt instruments or on estimated prices based on current yields for debt issues of similar quality and terms.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Forward contracts. The fair value of forward contracts is determined using observable financial market inputs, such as quoted market prices.

NOTE 7 STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Total stock-based compensation expense, net recognized for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

| | Three Months | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Ended | | September 30 | |
| | September 30 | 2010⁽¹⁾ | 2011 | 2010⁽¹⁾ |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Stock Options | \$ 1,015 | \$ 600 | \$ 2,885 | \$ 1,344 |
| Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units | 2,154 | 795 | 10,305 | 4,426 |
| Performance Shares and Deferred Stock Units | 962 | 4,114 | 1,465 | 5,955 |
| Total | \$ 4,131 | \$ 5,509 | \$ 14,655 | \$ 11,725 |

⁽¹⁾ Unrealized tax benefits for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 was \$2.4 million and \$5.0 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

In connection with the spin-off of B&W, we made certain adjustments to our stock-based compensation awards. For holders of performance shares issued in or prior to May 2009, we cancelled the performance shares and issued restricted stock in an amount equal to the fair value of the shares held immediately prior to the spin-off. For holders of restricted stock granted in or prior to May 2010, the holder received additional units of restricted stock to maintain the total fair value of restricted stock held immediately prior to the spin-off. For stock options granted in or prior to May 2010, we adjusted the number of options held by each holder so that the intrinsic value of the stock options held immediately following the spin-off equaled the intrinsic value of the stock options held immediately prior to the spin-off.

NOTE 8 SEGMENT REPORTING

We report our financial results under four reporting segments, consisting of Asia Pacific, Atlantic, Middle East and Corporate. Our Corporate segment primarily reflects corporate personnel and activities, incentive compensation programs and other costs. Costs incurred in our Corporate segment are generally fully allocated to our other segments.

We account for intersegment sales at prices that we generally establish by reference to similar transactions with unaffiliated customers. Reporting segments are measured based on operating income, which is defined as revenues reduced by total costs and expenses and equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates. Summarized financial information is shown in the following tables:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 528,453 | \$ 277,394 | \$ 1,551,099 | \$ 722,338 |
| Atlantic | 74,683 | 32,818 | 167,129 | 135,412 |
| Middle East | 276,758 | 421,883 | 910,707 | 1,006,371 |
| Total revenues | \$ 879,894 | \$ 732,095 | \$ 2,628,935 | \$ 1,864,121 |
| <i>Segment revenues include the following intersegment transfers and eliminations:</i> | | | | |
| Atlantic | \$ | \$ 9,181 | \$ 297 | \$ 18,223 |
| Middle East | | | | 330 |
| Eliminations | | (9,181) | (297) | (18,553) |
| Total adjustments and eliminations | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Operating income (loss): | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 44,960 | \$ 23,054 | \$ 142,350 | \$ 98,159 |
| Atlantic | (37,020) | (41,459) | (71,264) | (68,175) |
| Middle East | 27,276 | 102,708 | 148,207 | 225,648 |
| Total operating income | \$ 35,216 | \$ 84,303 | \$ 219,293 | \$ 255,632 |
| Capital expenditures: | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 20,924 | \$ 8,071 | \$ 59,341 | \$ 15,255 |
| Atlantic | 46,649 | 24,009 | 129,549 | 56,690 |
| Middle East | 17,522 | 3,007 | 31,014 | 54,600 |
| Corporate | 5,095 | 3,852 | 11,968 | 10,010 |
| Total capital expenditures⁽¹⁾ | \$ 90,190 | \$ 38,939 | \$ 231,872 | \$ 136,555 |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Depreciation and amortization:

| | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Asia Pacific | \$ 6,621 | \$ 3,829 | \$ 18,973 | \$ 12,912 |
| Atlantic | 3,494 | 4,295 | 10,735 | 14,042 |
| Middle East | 7,600 | 7,361 | 21,529 | 18,765 |
| Corporate | 2,086 | 4,116 | 8,663 | 11,705 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$ 19,801 | \$ 19,601 | \$ 59,900 | \$ 57,424 |

⁽¹⁾ Total capital expenditures exclude \$9.5 million and \$4.9 million in accrued capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

| | September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Segment assets: | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 699,366 | \$ 564,403 |
| Atlantic | 380,249 | 265,607 |
| Middle East | 967,082 | 1,302,398 |
| Corporate | 616,159 | 378,969 |
| | | |
| Total continuing operations | 2,662,856 | 2,511,377 |
| Total discontinued operations | 96,945 | 87,311 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 2,759,801 | \$ 2,598,688 |

NOTE 9 EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands, except share and per share amounts) | | | |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations less noncontrolling interests | \$ 9,764 | \$ 60,833 | \$ 142,240 | \$ 191,086 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 1,187 | (40,030) | 6,459 | (34,308) |
| | | | | |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | \$ 10,951 | \$ 20,803 | \$ 148,699 | \$ 156,778 |
| | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares | 234,940,184 | 232,670,579 | 234,451,430 | 231,780,675 |
| | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations less noncontrolling interests | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.61 | 0.82 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.01 | (0.17) | 0.03 | (0.15) |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.63 | 0.67 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations less noncontrolling interests | \$ 9,764 | \$ 60,833 | \$ 142,240 | \$ 191,086 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 1,187 | (40,030) | 6,459 | (34,308) |
| | | | | |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | \$ 10,951 | \$ 20,803 | \$ 148,699 | \$ 156,778 |
| | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares (basic) | 234,940,184 | 232,670,579 | 234,451,430 | 231,780,675 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | 2,007,479 | 3,600,832 | 2,627,875 | 3,368,656 |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units⁽¹⁾

Adjusted weighted average common shares and assumed exercises of stock options and vesting of stock awards

236,947,663 236,271,411 237,079,305 235,149,331

Income from continuing operations less noncontrolling interests

0.04 0.26 0.60 0.81

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax

0.01 (0.17) 0.03 (0.14)

Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc.

0.05 0.09 0.63 0.67

⁽¹⁾ Approximately 0.6 million and 1.7 million and 0.5 million and 2.5 million shares underlying outstanding stock-based awards for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.

Table of Contents**NOTE 10 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES*****Litigation***

The following discussion updates Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies in each of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011.

On April 9, 2009, two of our subsidiaries, McDermott Gulf Operating Company (MGOC) and J. Ray McDermott Canada, Ltd., through its registered business name, Secunda Marine Services (Secunda), filed a lawsuit in the Supreme Court of Nova Scotia against Oceanografia Sociedad Anonima de Capital Variable (OSA) and Con-Dive, LLC for damages, including unpaid charter hire for the charter of the vessel *Bold Endurance*. On April 13, 2009, as security for the unpaid charter hire, MGOC filed suit and obtained seizure orders for a saturation dive system aboard the *Bold Endurance* in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama in a matter entitled *McDermott Gulf Operating Company, et al. v. Con-Dive, LLC et al.* The seizure was vacated on equitable grounds by court order dated May 29, 2009. MGOC and Secunda appealed the decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, which affirmed the order to vacate. On April 13, 2010, OSA filed a lawsuit entitled *Oceanografia S.A. de C.V. v. McDermott Gulf Operating Company, Inc. and Secunda Marine Services, Inc.* in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama, alleging wrongful arrest, wrongful attachment and conversion of the saturation-diving system (the Alabama Proceeding). In its complaint, OSA claimed damages for loss of revenue in excess of \$10 million and physical damage to the equipment and requested punitive damages, attorneys fees and costs. Subsequently, OSA asserted that its damages were in excess of \$25 million. The parties have entered into an agreement to settle both the Nova Scotia and Alabama Proceedings, and final settlement documents are being prepared. With the execution of the settlement documents, this matter will be concluded.

The following discussion presents pending litigation contained in Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies in each of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011, for which there have been no material developments.

A lawsuit entitled *Coto v. J. Ray McDermott, S.A., et al.*, was filed in Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, Louisiana in November 1995. The lawsuit arose out of the sinking of the *DLB-269* off the coast of Mexico on October 15, 1995. At the time trial began in 2005, 13 plaintiffs had claims pending, primarily for post traumatic stress disorder allegedly suffered as a result of the incident. Settlement agreements have been executed with each of the 13 claimants, and accordingly the case is now concluded.

On or about August 23, 2004, a declaratory judgment action entitled *Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, et al. v. J. Ray McDermott, Inc. et al.*, was filed by certain underwriters at Lloyd's, London and Threadneedle Insurance Company Limited (the London Insurers), in the 23rd Judicial District Court, Assumption Parish, Louisiana, against MII, JRMI and two insurer defendants, Travelers and INA, seeking a declaration that the London Insurers have no obligation to indemnify MII and JRMI for certain bodily injury claims, including claims for asbestos and welding rod fume personal injury which have been filed by claimants in various state courts, and an environmental claim involving Babcock & Wilcox Power Generation Group, Inc., a subsidiary of B&W (B&W PGG). Additionally, Travelers filed a cross-claim requesting a declaration of non-coverage in approximately 20 underlying matters. This proceeding was stayed by the court on January 3, 2005. We do not believe an adverse judgment or material losses in this matter are probable, and, accordingly, we have not accrued any amounts relating to this contingency. Although there is a possibility of an adverse judgment, the amount or potential range of loss is not estimable at this time. The insurer-plaintiffs in this matter commenced this proceeding in a purported attempt to obtain a determination of insurance coverage obligations for occupational exposure and/or environmental matters for which the Company has given notice that it could potentially seek coverage. Because estimating losses would require, for every matter, known and unknown, on a case by case basis, anticipating what impact on coverage a judgment would have and a determination of an otherwise expected insured value, damages cannot be reasonably estimated.

In a proceeding entitled *Antoine, et al. vs. J. Ray McDermott, Inc., et al.*, filed in the 24th Judicial District Court, Jefferson Parish, Louisiana, approximately 88 plaintiffs filed suit against approximately 215 defendants, including JRMI and Delta Hudson Engineering Corporation (DHEC), another affiliate of ours, generally alleging injuries for exposure to asbestos, and unspecified chemicals, metals and noise while the plaintiffs were allegedly employed as Jones Act seamen. On January 10, 2007, the District Court dismissed the plaintiffs' claims, without prejudice to their right to refile their claims. On January 29, 2007, in a matter entitled *Boudreaux, et al. v. McDermott, Inc., et al.*, originally filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, 21 plaintiffs originally named in the Antoine matter filed suit against JRMI, MI and approximately 30 other employer defendants, alleging Jones Act seaman status and generally alleging exposure to welding fumes, solvents, dyes, industrial paints and noise. Boudreaux was transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana on May 2, 2007. The District Court entered an order in September 2007 staying the matter until further order of the Court due to the bankruptcy filing of one of the co-defendants. Additionally, on January 29, 2007, in a matter entitled *Antoine, et al. v. McDermott, Inc., et al.*, filed in the 164th Judicial District Court for Harris County, Texas, 43 plaintiffs originally named in the Antoine matter filed suit against JRMI, MI and approximately 65 other employer defendants and 42 maritime products defendants, alleging Jones Act seaman status and generally alleging

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

personal injuries for exposure to asbestos and noise. On April 27, 2007, the District Court entered an order staying all activity and deadlines in this matter other than service of process and answer/appearance dates until further order of the Court. The *Antoine*

Table of Contents

plaintiffs filed a motion to lift the stay on February 20, 2009, which is pending before the Texas District Court. The plaintiffs seek monetary damages in an unspecified amount in both cases and attorneys' fees in the new *Antoine* case.

Additionally, due to the nature of our business, we are, from time to time, involved in routine litigation or subject to other disputes or claims related to our business activities, including, among other things:

performance- or warranty-related matters under our customer and supplier contracts and other business arrangements; and

workers' compensation claims, Jones Act claims, premises liability claims and other claims.

Based upon our prior experience, we do not expect that any of these other litigation proceedings, disputes and claims will have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental Matters

We have been identified as a potentially responsible party at various cleanup sites under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (CERCLA) and other state and foreign CERCLA-type environmental laws. Such laws can impose liability for the entire cost of cleanup on any of the potentially responsible parties, regardless of fault or the lawfulness of the original conduct. Generally, however, where there are multiple responsible parties, a final allocation of costs is made based on the amount and type of wastes disposed of by each party and the number of financially viable parties, although this may not be the case with respect to any particular site. We have not been determined to be a major contributor of wastes to any of these sites. On the basis of our relative contribution of waste to each site, we expect our share of the ultimate liability for the various sites will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in any given year.

At September 30, 2011 we had total environmental reserves of \$1.7 million, of which \$0.9 million was included in current liabilities. Inherent in the estimates of those reserves and recoveries are our expectations regarding the levels of contamination, remediation costs and recoverability from other parties, which may vary significantly as remediation activities progress. Accordingly, changes in estimates could result in material adjustments to our operating results, and the ultimate loss may differ materially from the amounts that we have provided for in our consolidated financial statements.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we recovered \$2.0 million of environmental reserves associated with the April 2006 sale of our former Mexican subsidiary, Talleres Navales del Golfo, S.A. de C.V. (TNG). TNG was reported as a discontinued operation in our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006, and accordingly, the recovery of this reserve is included in income from discontinued operations, net of tax for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011.

Contracts Containing Liquidated Damages Provisions

Some of our contracts contain provisions that require us to pay liquidated damages if we are responsible for the failure to meet specified contractual milestone dates and the applicable customer asserts a claim under these provisions. These contracts define the conditions under which our customers may make claims against us for liquidated damages. In many cases in which we have historically had potential exposure for liquidated damages, such damages ultimately were not asserted by our customers. As of September 30, 2011, it is possible that we may incur liabilities for liquidated damages aggregating approximately \$55 million, of which approximately \$10 million has been recorded in our financial statements, based on our actual or projected failure to meet certain specified contractual milestone dates. The date range during which these potential liquidated damages could arise is from February 2011 to June 2012. We believe we will be successful in obtaining schedule extensions or other customer-agreed changes that should resolve the potential for additional liquidated damages being incurred. However, we may not achieve relief on some or all of the issues. We do not believe any amounts for these potential liquidated damages in excess of the amounts recorded in our financial statements are probable of being paid by us.

Other

MII, B&W PGG and McDermott Holdings, Inc., which in connection with the spin-off of B&W was renamed Babcock & Wilcox Holdings, Inc. and merged with B&W, have jointly executed general agreements of indemnity in favor of various surety underwriters relating to surety bonds those underwriters issued in support of B&W PGG's contracting activity. As of September 30, 2011, bonds issued under such arrangements

totaled \$76.2 million. Pursuant to the master separation agreement entered into between us and B&W in connection with the spin-off, B&W has agreed to indemnify us with respect to any losses we may incur in connection with these surety bonds.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We are including the following discussion to inform our existing and potential security holders generally of some of the risks and uncertainties that can affect our company and to take advantage of the safe harbor protection for forward-looking statements that applicable federal securities law affords. This information should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included under Item 1 and the audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes and Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, unless the context otherwise indicates, we, us and our mean MII and its consolidated subsidiaries.

From time to time, our management or persons acting on our behalf make forward-looking statements to inform existing and potential security holders about our company. These statements may include projections and estimates concerning the scope, execution, timing and success of specific projects and our future backlog, revenues, income and capital spending. Forward-looking statements are generally accompanied by words such as estimate, project, predict, forecast, believe, expect, anticipate, plan, seek, goal, could, may, or should the uncertainty of future events or outcomes. In addition, sometimes we will specifically describe a statement as being a forward-looking statement and refer to this cautionary statement.

These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements that relate to, or statements that are subject to risks, contingencies or uncertainties that relate to:

future levels of revenues, operating margins, income from operations, net income or earnings per share;

outcome of project awards and scope, execution and timing of specific projects;

anticipated levels of demand for our products and services;

future levels of capital, environmental or maintenance expenditures;

the success or timing of completion of ongoing or anticipated capital or maintenance projects;

the adequacy of our sources of liquidity and capital resources;

expectations regarding the acquisition or divestiture of assets;

the ability to dispose of assets held for sale in a timely manner or for a price at or above net realizable value;

the potential effects of judicial or other proceedings on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows; and

the anticipated effects of actions of third parties such as competitors, or federal, foreign, state or local regulatory authorities, or plaintiffs in litigation.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report; we disclaim any obligation to update these statements unless required by securities law, and we caution you not to rely on them unduly. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and assumptions about future events. While our management considers these expectations and assumptions to be reasonable, they are inherently subject to significant business, economic, competitive, regulatory and other risks, contingencies and uncertainties, most of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. These risks, contingencies and uncertainties relate to, among other matters, the following:

general economic and business conditions and industry trends;

general developments in the industries in which we are involved;

decisions about offshore developments to be made by oil and gas companies;

the highly competitive nature of our industry;

cancellations of and adjustments to backlog and the resulting impact from using backlog as an indicator of future revenues or earnings;

the ability of our suppliers and subcontractors to deliver raw materials in sufficient quantities and/or perform in a timely manner;

our ability to perform projects on time, in accordance with the schedules established by the applicable contracts with customers;

volatility and uncertainty of the credit markets;

Table of Contents

our ability to comply with covenants in our credit agreements and other debt instruments and availability, terms and deployment of capital;

the unfunded liabilities of our pension plans, which may negatively impact our liquidity and, depending upon future operations, may impact our ability to fund our pension obligations;

the continued availability of qualified personnel;

the operating risks normally incident to our lines of business, including the potential impact of liquidated damages;

changes in, or our failure or inability to comply with, government regulations;

adverse outcomes from legal and regulatory proceedings;

impact of potential regional, national and/or global requirements to significantly limit or reduce greenhouse gas and other emissions in the future;

changes in, and liabilities relating to, existing or future environmental regulatory matters;

changes in tax laws;

rapid technological changes;

the consequences of significant changes in interest rates and currency exchange rates;

difficulties we may encounter in obtaining regulatory or other necessary approvals of any strategic transactions;

the risks associated with integrating acquired businesses;

the risk we may not be successful in updating and replacing current key financial and human resources legacy systems with enterprise systems;

social, political and economic situations in foreign countries where we do business;

the risks associated with our international operations, including local content requirements;

the possibilities of war, other armed conflicts or terrorist attacks;

the effects of asserted and unasserted claims and the extent of available insurance coverages;

our ability to obtain surety bonds, letters of credit and financing;

our ability to maintain builder's risk, liability, property and other insurance in amounts and on terms we consider adequate and at rates that we consider economical;

the aggregated risks retained in our captive insurance subsidiary; and

the impact of the loss of insurance rights as part of the Chapter 11 Bankruptcy settlement concluded in 2006 involving several B&W subsidiaries.

We believe the items outlined above are important factors that could cause estimates in our financial statements to differ materially from actual results and those expressed in a forward-looking statement made in this report or elsewhere by us or on our behalf. We have discussed many of these factors in more detail elsewhere in this report and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. These factors are not necessarily all the factors that could affect us. Unpredictable or unanticipated factors we have not discussed in this report could also have material adverse effects on actual results of matters that are the subject of our forward-looking statements. We do not intend to update our description of important factors each time a potential important factor arises, except as required by applicable securities laws and regulations. We advise our security holders that they should (1) be aware that factors not referred to above could affect the accuracy of our forward-looking statements and (2) use caution and common sense when considering our forward-looking statements.

Recent Development

Vessel Sale

On August 26, 2011, we completed the sale of the *DB 23* marine vessel. Cash consideration received from the vessel sale was approximately \$8.0 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$7.7 million that is included in our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 for the Atlantic segment.

Accounting for Contracts

We execute our contracts through a variety of methods, primarily fixed-price, and also including cost reimbursable, cost-plus, day-rate and unit-rate basis or some combination of those methods. Contracts are usually awarded through a competitive bid process, primarily based on price. However, other factors that customers may consider include facility or equipment availability, technical capabilities of equipment and personnel, efficiency, safety record and reputation.

Table of Contents

Fixed-price contracts are for a fixed amount to cover costs and any profit element for a defined scope of work. Fixed-price contracts entail more risk to us because they require us to predetermine both the quantities of work to be performed and the costs associated with executing the work.

We have contracts that extend beyond one year. Most of our long-term contracts have provisions for progress payments. We attempt to cover anticipated increases in labor, material and service costs of our long-term contracts either through an estimate of such charges, which is reflected in the original price, or through risk-sharing mechanisms, such as escalation or price adjustments for items such as labor and commodity prices.

We generally recognize our contract revenues and related costs on a percentage-of-completion basis. Accordingly, we review contract price and cost estimates periodically as the work progresses and reflect adjustments in profit proportionate to the percentage-of-completion in the period when we revise those estimates. To the extent that these adjustments result in a reduction or elimination of previously reported profits with respect to a project, we would recognize a charge against current earnings, which could be material.

Our arrangements with customers frequently require us to provide letters of credit, bid and performance bonds or guarantees to secure bids or performance under contracts. While these letters of credit, bonds and guarantees may involve significant dollar amounts, historically, there have been no material payments to our customers under these arrangements.

Some of our contracts contain provisions that require us to pay liquidated damages if we are responsible for the failure to meet specified contractual milestone dates and the applicable customer asserts a claim under these provisions. These contracts define the conditions under which our customers may make claims against us for liquidated damages. In many cases in which we have historically had potential exposure for liquidated damages, such damages ultimately were not asserted by our customers. As of September 30, 2011, it is possible that we may incur liabilities for liquidated damages aggregating approximately \$55 million, of which approximately \$10 million has been recorded in our financial statements, based on our actual or projected failure to meet certain specified contractual milestone dates. The date range during which these potential liquidated damages could arise is from February 2011 to June 2012. We believe we will be successful in obtaining schedule extensions or other customer-agreed changes that should resolve the potential for additional liquidated damages being incurred. However, we may not achieve relief on some or all of the issues. We do not believe any amounts for these potential liquidated damages in excess of the amounts recorded in our financial statements are probable of being paid by us.

In the event of a contract deferral or cancellation, we generally would be entitled to recover costs incurred, settlement expenses and profit on work completed prior to deferral or termination. Significant or numerous cancellations could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

For a discussion of critical accounting policies and estimates we use in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements refer to Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. See Note 1 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report for information on recently adopted accounting standards.

Business Segments and Results of Operations

Business Segments

We report our financial results under four reporting segments, consisting of Asia Pacific, Atlantic, Middle East and Corporate. Our Corporate segment primarily reflects corporate personnel and activities, incentive compensation programs and other costs. Costs incurred in our Corporate segment are generally fully allocated to our other segments. The following is a discussion of our Asia Pacific, Atlantic and Middle East segments.

Asia Pacific Segment

Through our Asia Pacific segment, we serve the needs of national and major oil and gas companies primarily in Australia, Indonesia, Vietnam, Malaysia and Thailand. Project focus in this segment includes the fabrication and installation of fixed and floating structures and the installation of pipelines and subsea systems. The majority of our projects in this segment are performed on an EPCI basis. Engineering and procurement services are provided by our Singapore office and are supported by additional resources located in Houston, Texas. The primary fabrication facility for this segment is located on Batam Island, Indonesia. Additionally, through our equity ownership interest in a joint venture, we are developing a fabrication facility located in China.

Atlantic Segment

Through our Atlantic segment, we serve the needs of national and major oil and gas companies, primarily in the United States, Mexico, Trinidad, Brazil, West Africa and the North Sea. Project focus in this segment includes the fabrication and installation of fixed and floating structures and the installation of pipelines and subsea systems. Engineering and procurement services are provided

Table of Contents

by our Houston office, and our New Orleans office provides specialized marine engineering capabilities to support our global marine activities. The primary fabrication facilities for this segment are located in Morgan City, Louisiana and Altamira, Mexico.

Middle East Segment

Through our Middle East segment, which includes the Caspian region, we serve the needs of national and major oil and gas companies primarily in Saudi Arabia, Qatar, the United Arab Emirates (U.A.E.), Kuwait, India, Azerbaijan, Russia and Turkmenistan. Project focus in this segment relates primarily to the fabrication and installation of fixed structures and the installation of pipelines and subsea systems. The majority of our projects in this segment are performed on an EPCI basis. Engineering and procurement services are provided by our Dubai, U.A.E. and Chennai, India offices and are supported by additional resources from our Houston and Baku, Azerbaijan offices. The primary fabrication facility for this segment is located in Dubai, U.A.E.

The above-mentioned fabrication facilities in each segment are equipped with a wide variety of heavy-duty construction and fabrication equipment, including cranes, welding equipment, machine tools and robotic and other automated equipment. Project installation is performed by major construction vessels, which we own or operate and are stationed throughout the various regions and provide structural lifting/lowering and pipelay services. These major construction vessels are supported by our multi-function vessels and chartered vessels from third parties to perform a wide array of installation activities that include anchor handling, pipelay, cable/umbilical lay, dive support and hookup/commissioning.

Discontinued Operations

Charter Fleet Business

During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we committed to a plan to sell our charter fleet business, which operates 10 of the 14 vessels acquired in our 2007 acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Secunda International Limited. Accordingly, we classified the charter fleet business as a discontinued operation and recognized a \$27.7 million write-down of the carrying value of these assets to their estimated net realizable value, based on the estimated fair value of consideration expected from the sale and estimated selling costs.

Spin-off of B&W

On July 30, 2010, we completed the spin-off of B&W to our stockholders through a stock distribution. B&W's assets and businesses primarily consisted of those that we previously reported as our Government Operations and Power Generation Systems segments. In connection with the spin-off, our stockholders received 100% (approximately 116 million shares) of the outstanding common stock of B&W. The distribution of B&W common stock occurred by way of a pro rata stock dividend to our stockholders. Each stockholder generally received one share of B&W common stock for every two shares of our common stock held by such stockholder on July 9, 2010, and cash in lieu of any fractional shares. Prior to the completion of the spin-off, B&W made a cash distribution to us totaling \$100 million. In order to effect the distribution and govern MII's relationship with B&W after the distribution, MII and B&W entered into a master separation agreement and several other agreements, including a tax sharing agreement and transition services agreements.

Our results of operations for the periods presented in this report reflect the operations of B&W and the charter fleet business as discontinued operations. The discussion in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is presented on the basis of continuing operations.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations***Selected Financial Data:*

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 528,453 | \$ 277,394 | \$ 1,551,099 | \$ 722,338 |
| Atlantic | 74,683 | 32,818 | 167,129 | 135,412 |
| Middle East | 276,758 | 421,883 | 910,707 | 1,006,371 |
| Total Revenues | \$ 879,894 | \$ 732,095 | \$ 2,628,935 | \$ 1,864,121 |
| Operating Income (Loss): | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 44,960 | \$ 23,054 | \$ 142,350 | \$ 98,159 |
| Atlantic | (37,020) | (41,459) | (71,264) | (68,175) |
| Middle East | 27,276 | 102,708 | 148,207 | 225,648 |
| Total Operating Income | \$ 35,216 | \$ 84,303 | \$ 219,293 | \$ 255,632 |
| Other Income (Expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 319 | \$ 314 | \$ 1,060 | \$ 1,139 |
| Interest expense | (152) | (392) | (415) | (2,671) |
| Other income (expense) net | 206 | (3,460) | (3,942) | (4,188) |
| Total Other Income (Expense) | \$ 373 | \$ (3,538) | \$ (3,297) | \$ (5,720) |
| Provision for Income Taxes | \$ 20,535 | \$ 10,085 | \$ 60,351 | \$ 35,229 |
| Total Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax | \$ 1,187 | \$ (40,030) | \$ 6,459 | \$ (34,308) |
| Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | \$ 5,290 | \$ 9,847 | \$ 13,405 | \$ 23,597 |

Three months ended September 30, 2011 vs. 2010*Revenues*

Revenues increased approximately 20%, or \$147.8 million, to \$879.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$732.1 million for the corresponding 2010 period. The revenue growth was primarily attributable to the Asia Pacific segment, in which revenues increased \$251.1 million to \$528.5 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$277.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010, primarily influenced by the expanded scope and increased marine activity on one of our EPCI projects. Revenues in our Atlantic segment also increased \$41.9 million to \$74.7 million, largely influenced by increased fabrication activities in the three-month period ended September 30, 2011. Revenue improvements were partially offset by a decline in our Middle East segment where revenues decreased approximately 34% to \$276.8 million, driven largely by lower fabrication and marine activity on a project in Saudi Arabia.

Operating Income

Operating income decreased \$49.1 million to \$35.2 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$84.3 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2010, driven principally by the Middle East segment and certain project charges across each segment of approximately \$50 million in total.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Operating income is frequently influenced by the finalization of change orders, project close-outs and settlements, which can cause operating margins to improve during the period in which these items are approved or resolved as these items generally contribute higher operating margins. While we expect change orders, close-outs and settlements to continue as part of our normal business activities, the period in which they are recognized is largely driven by the finalization of agreements with customers and suppliers and, therefore, is difficult to predict.

Operating income in the Middle East segment declined \$75.4 million to \$27.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily driven by reduced fabrication and marine activity levels, lower change orders, project close-outs and settlements on completed projects and approximately \$5 million for increased vessel mobilization costs charged to a project (which we expect to complete in early 2012). The three-month period ended September 30, 2010 for the Middle East segment was negatively impacted by \$20.0 million of costs to discontinue the construction of a fabrication facility in Kazakhstan.

Table of Contents

The Asia Pacific segment reported an increase in operating income of approximately \$22.0 million to \$45.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the corresponding 2010 period, primarily due to expanded scope and increased marine activity on one of our large EPCI projects. This increase in the Asia Pacific segment for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was partially offset by lower change orders, project close-outs and settlements on completed projects and project charges of approximately \$7 million on two marine projects (one of which is now complete and the other of which we expect to complete in the first half of 2012), primarily related to failure of a supplier product, other cost increases and adverse weather conditions.

The Atlantic segment reported an operating loss of \$37.0 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, which included: (1) approximately \$28 million of incremental costs associated with a five-year marine charter in Brazil, primarily for increases in estimated vessel operating costs, overruns on certain vessel upgrades and drydock expenses for the *Agile* and estimated liquidated damages based on resulting delays in project commencement; (2) approximately \$10 million of costs incurred on a marine project in Mexico (which we expect to complete in early 2012), primarily attributable to unfavorable weather conditions in the Gulf of Mexico and Mexico importation delays, which caused reduced productivity and subcontractor standby costs; and (3) a \$7.7 million gain recognized on the sale of the *DB 23* marine vessel. The Atlantic segment reported an operating loss of \$41.5 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, which included a \$24.4 million impairment charge on two of the four vessels we plan to retain from the Secunda acquisition, the *Agile* and *Bold Endurance*. Excluding the impact of these items from both periods, the Atlantic segment operating loss would have been lower for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2010, reflecting the impact of certain cost reduction efforts and increased fabrication activity, partially offset by lower marine asset utilization.

Our Atlantic segment continues to be adversely impacted by uncertainty in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. We have and will continue to monitor the regulatory and market developments in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, and we believe we will continue to incur losses in our Atlantic segment in the near term, in large part due to our fixed costs. We continue to take certain measures to reduce our U.S. cost structure to address current and anticipated business levels. While these cost reductions are expected to improve long-term operating performance, short-term operating performance could be negatively impacted due to restructuring, severance and other costs related to the anticipated actions.

Our Atlantic segment accounts for one project under our deferred profit recognition policy, under which we recognize revenue and cost equally and only recognize profit when probable and reasonably estimable, generally when the contract is approximately 70% complete. This project, which was awarded to one of our joint ventures, contributed revenues totaling approximately \$6 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2011.

Other Items in Operating Income

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$8.1 million to \$48.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$56.1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to lower corporate and employee benefit costs, partially offset by increased bidding and proposal-related costs.

Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates was essentially flat at \$1.5 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$1.4 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2010. While we experienced increased expenses and equity loss at our Qingdao joint venture, that amount was nearly offset by an improvement at our FloaTEC joint venture.

Other Items

Results for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 were not significantly impacted by interest income or expense, due to the continued lower rates of interest in general and continued capitalization of interest expense on capital projects.

Other income (expense) net improved by \$3.7 million to income of \$0.2 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to foreign currency gains in the 2011 period as compared to losses in the 2010 period, partially offset by losses recognized on foreign currency financial instruments in the 2011 period.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the provision for income taxes increased \$10.4 million to \$20.5 million, while income before provision for income taxes decreased \$45.2 million to \$35.6 million. The increase in the provision for income taxes was primarily influenced by operating losses in our Atlantic segment for which we do not expect to realize a benefit and, to a lesser extent, incremental tax from an extended marine campaign on an Asia Pacific project and capital gains tax from the restructuring of certain of our Middle East subsidiaries, resulting in an effective tax rate of approximately 58% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to approximately 12% for the prior year

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

period. Excluding the impact of these items, the effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 would have been more comparable to the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Discontinued Operations and Noncontrolling Interests

Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax was income of \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and a loss of \$40.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Included in the quarter ended September 30, 2010 amount are \$32.9 million of costs related to the spin-off of B&W and the results of the B&W business.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased by \$4.6 million to \$5.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to reduced activity and lower net income at our joint ventures.

Nine months ended September 30, 2011 vs. 2010

Revenues

Revenues increased approximately 41%, or \$764.8 million, to \$2,628.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$1,864.1 million in the corresponding 2010 period. The revenue growth was attributable to the Asia Pacific and Atlantic segments. Revenues in our Asia Pacific segment increased \$828.8 million to \$1,551.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$722.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily influenced by the expanded scope and increased marine activity on one of our EPCI projects as well as increased marine activity on other projects. In addition, revenues in the Atlantic segment improved by \$31.7 million to \$167.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the prior-year period, influenced primarily by increased fabrication activities. The revenue improvements in our Asia Pacific and Atlantic segments were partially offset by a \$95.7 million revenue decline in our Middle East segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, largely as a result of reduced fabrication and marine activity levels on certain projects in Saudi Arabia.

Operating Income

Operating income decreased \$36.3 million to \$219.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 from \$255.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily attributable to our Middle East segment and approximately \$57.0 million of certain project charges across each segment.

Operating income is frequently influenced by the finalization of change orders, project close-outs and settlements, which generally can cause operating margins to improve during the period in which these items are approved or resolved as these items generally contribute higher operating margins. While we expect change orders, close-outs and settlements to continue as part of our normal business activities, the period in which they are recognized is largely driven by the finalization of agreements with customers and suppliers and, therefore, is difficult to predict.

Operating income in the Middle East segment declined \$77.4 million to \$148.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$225.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily driven by reduced fabrication activity levels, lower change orders, project close-outs and settlements on completed projects and approximately \$5 million for increased vessel mobilization costs charged to a project (which we expect to complete in early 2012). The nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 for the Middle East segment was negatively impacted by \$20.0 million of costs to discontinue the construction of a fabrication facility in Kazakhstan.

The operating income decline experienced in the Middle East segment was moderated by the Asia Pacific segment, in which operating income increased \$44.2 million from \$98.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to \$142.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily attributable to the expanded scope and increased marine activity on one of our EPCI projects. This increase in the Asia Pacific segment was partially offset by lower change orders, project close-outs and settlements on completed projects and project charges of approximately \$7 million on two marine projects (one of which is now complete and the other we expect to complete in the first half of 2012), primarily related to failure of a supplier product, other cost increases and adverse weather conditions.

The Atlantic segment reported an operating loss of \$71.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, which included:

(1) approximately \$35 million of incremental costs associated with a five-year marine charter in Brazil, primarily for increases in estimated vessel operating costs, overruns on certain vessel upgrades and drydock expenses for the *Agile* and estimated liquidated damages based on resulting delays in project commencement; (2) approximately \$10 million of costs incurred on a marine project in Mexico (which we expect to complete in early 2012), primarily attributable to unfavorable weather conditions in the Gulf of Mexico and Mexico importation delays, which caused reduced productivity and subcontractor standby costs; and (3) a \$7.7 million gain recognized on the sale of the *DB 23* marine vessel. The Atlantic segment reported an operating loss of \$68.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, which included a \$24.4 million impairment charge on two of the four vessels we plan to retain from the Secunda acquisition, the *Agile* and *Bold Endurance*. Excluding the impact of these items from both periods, the Atlantic segment operating loss would have been lower for the nine months ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

2011 as compared to the September 30, 2010 period, reflecting the impact of certain cost reduction efforts and increased fabrication activity, partially offset by lower marine asset utilization.

Our Atlantic segment continues to be adversely impacted by uncertainty in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. We have and will continue to monitor the regulatory and market developments in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, and we believe we will continue to incur losses in our Atlantic segment in the near term, in large part due to our fixed costs. We continue to take certain measures to reduce our U.S. cost structure to address current and anticipated business levels. While these cost reductions are expected to improve long-term operating

Table of Contents

performance, short-term operating performance could be negatively impacted due to restructuring, severance and other costs related to the anticipated actions.

Our Atlantic segment accounts for one project under our deferred profit recognition policy, under which we recognize revenue and cost equally and only recognize profit when probable and reasonably estimable, generally when the contract is approximately 70% complete. This project, which was awarded to one of our joint ventures, contributed revenues totaling approximately \$24 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011. The Atlantic segment backlog includes a subcontract from our joint venture of approximately \$145 million relating to this project.

Other Items in Operating Income

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$3.9 million to \$163.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$159.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to increased expenses related to bidding and proposal-related costs as compared to the prior-year period.

Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates improved \$5.6 million to \$0.1 million of income in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to a \$5.5 million loss in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily attributable to fee income recognized at one of our joint ventures. Our nine months ended September 30, 2010 results also included our share of an asset impairment at our FloaTEC joint venture.

Other Items

Interest income was \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010.

Interest expense improved \$2.3 million to \$0.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily as a result of the write-off in the corresponding 2010 period of unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the replacement of our previous credit facility in the corresponding 2010 period, with no comparable write-off in the 2011 period.

Other income (expense) net improved by \$0.3 million to expense of \$3.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 from expense of \$4.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to foreign currency gains in the 2011 period as compared to losses in the 2010 period.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the provision for income taxes increased \$25.1 million to \$60.4 million, while income before provision for income taxes decreased \$33.9 million to \$216.0 million. The increase in provision for income taxes was primarily attributable to a change in the mix of earnings across jurisdictions, resulting in a larger proportion of our income being taxed at higher tax rates, compounded by operating losses in our Atlantic segment for which we do not expect to realize a benefit, incremental tax from an extended marine campaign on an Asia Pacific project and capital gains tax from the restructuring of certain of our Middle East subsidiaries. As a result, our effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was approximately 28%, as compared to 14% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Discontinued Operations and Noncontrolling Interests

Total income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax was income of \$6.5 million and a loss of \$34.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The nine months ended September 30, 2011 amount includes a \$2.0 million gain in connection with the recovery of an environmental reserve associated with our TNG sale and the results of our charter fleet business. Included in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 amount are \$95.7 million of costs related to the spin-off of B&W, the results of the B&W business and a \$27.7 million write-down of the carrying value of the charter fleet business.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased by \$10.2 million to \$13.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to reduced activity and lower net income at our joint ventures during the 2011 period, including a project-specific profit sharing arrangement on an installation project in the 2010 period.

Table of Contents**Backlog**

Backlog is not a measure recognized by generally accepted accounting principles. It is possible that our methodology for determining backlog may not be comparable to methods used by other companies. We generally include expected revenue in our backlog when we receive written confirmation from our customers. Backlog may not be indicative of future operating results, and projects in our backlog may be cancelled, modified or otherwise altered by customers. We can provide no assurance as to the profitability of our contracts reflected in backlog.

| | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---------------|-----------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 1,537 | 36% | \$ 2,176 | 43% |
| Atlantic | 766 | 18% | 315 | 6% |
| Middle East | 1,952 | 46% | 2,548 | 51% |
| Total Backlog | \$ 4,255 | 100% | \$ 5,039 | 100% |

Of the September 30, 2011 backlog, we expect to recognize revenues as follows:

| | 2011 | 2012 (In millions) | Thereafter |
|---------------|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Total Backlog | \$ 952 | \$ 2,635 | \$ 668 |

Of the September 30, 2011 backlog, approximately \$335 million is from projects currently in a loss position whereby future revenues are expected to equal costs when recognized. It is possible that our estimates of gross profit could increase or decrease based on improved productivity, actual downtime and the resolution of change orders and claims with our customers. Additionally, as discussed above, the Company continues to have one project that we account for under our deferred profit recognition policy, representing approximately \$145 million of the September 30, 2011 backlog.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary source of liquidity is cash flows generated from operations. Revolving borrowings under the credit agreement we entered into with a syndicate of lenders and letter of credit issuers in May 2010, as amended in August 2011 (the Credit Agreement) provide an additional resource to fund our operating and investing activities. Our overall borrowing capacity is in large part dependent on maintaining compliance with covenants under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement contains customary financial covenants relating to leverage and interest coverage and includes covenants that restrict, among other things, debt incurrence, liens, investments, acquisitions, asset dispositions, dividends, prepayments of subordinated debt, mergers, and capital expenditures. At September 30, 2011, we were in compliance with our covenant requirements. Management believes the sources of liquidity and capital resources described above will be sufficient to fund our liquidity requirements for the next twelve months.

In the aggregate, our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments decreased by \$266.7 million to \$619.8 million at September 30, 2011 from \$886.5 million at December 31, 2010, primarily due to the change in the net amount of contracts in progress and advanced billings, purchases of property, plant and equipment and payments associated with certain employee benefits.

At September 30, 2011, we had investments with a fair value of \$200.9 million. Our investment portfolio consists primarily of investments in government and agency obligations and commercial paper. Our investments are classified as available for sale and are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). Our net unrealized loss on investments was \$4.4 million and \$4.3 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The major components of our investments in an unrealized loss position are asset-backed and mortgage-backed obligations.

Our current assets, less current liabilities, excluding cash and cash equivalents and current restricted cash increased by \$256.2 million to \$75.5 million at September 30, 2011 from a negative \$180.7 million at December 31, 2010, primarily due to an increase in the net amount of contracts in progress and advanced billings.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Operating activities. Our net cash used in operations was \$70.9 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, compared to cash provided by operations of \$137.8 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. This change was primarily attributable to changes in our net contracts in progress and advance billings.

Investing activities. Our net cash provided by investing activities was \$44.7 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, compared to cash used by investing activities of \$369.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This change

Table of Contents

was primarily attributable to cash provided from net sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities and a reduction in our restricted cash balance in the 2011 period.

Financing activities. Our net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$55.6 million to \$26.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$82.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily attributable to the \$100 million dividend received from B&W in the 2010 period.

Credit Agreement

The Credit Agreement provides for revolving credit borrowings and issuances of letters of credit in an aggregate outstanding amount of up to \$950.0 million, and is scheduled to mature on August 19, 2016. Proceeds from borrowings under the Credit Agreement are available for working capital needs and other general corporate purposes. The Credit Agreement includes procedures for additional financial institutions to become lenders, or for any existing lender to increase its commitment thereunder.

In August 2011, we amended the Credit Agreement. The amendment, among other things, (1) extended the scheduled maturity date of the credit facility from May 3, 2014 to August 19, 2016; (2) increased the aggregate lender commitments from \$900 million to \$950 million for all revolving loan and letter of credit commitments under the Credit Agreement; (3) reduced the interest rate, commitment fee and letter of credit fee payable under the Credit Agreement; (4) increased permitted capital expenditures (prior to adjustment based on amount of restricted payments and allowed carry forward) from \$400.0 million to \$600.0 million per year; (5) permits the incurrence of unsecured debt so long as we are in pro forma compliance with a maximum 2.75:1.00 leverage ratio, which replaced a pre-existing limit of \$400.0 million on unsecured debt, and increased the maximum permitted leverage ratio from 2.50:1.00 to 3.00:1.00; (6) permits us to use the net proceeds from the issuance of debt (other than loans under the Credit Agreement) to make investments in joint ventures and subsidiaries that are not guarantors under the Credit Agreement; (7) increased the annual basket for restricted payments from \$50.0 million to \$100.0 million; (8) permits the sale of certain vessels and other assets; (9) eliminated the annual limit on asset sales, so long as certain conditions are met; and (10) released certain assets from the liens securing the credit facility.

Other than customary mandatory prepayments in connection with casualty events, the Credit Agreement requires only interest payments on a quarterly basis until maturity. We may prepay all loans under the Credit Agreement at any time without premium or penalty (other than customary LIBOR breakage costs), subject to certain notice requirements.

Loans outstanding under the Credit Agreement bear interest at the borrower's option at either the Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 1.50% to 2.50% per year or the base rate (the highest of the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, the 30-day Eurodollar rate plus 1.0%, or the administrative agent's prime rate) plus a margin ranging from 0.50% to 1.50% per year. The applicable margin for revolving loans varies depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. We are charged a commitment fee on the unused portions of the Credit Agreement, and that fee varies between 0.200% and 0.450% per year depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. Additionally, we are charged a letter of credit fee of between 1.50% and 2.50% per year with respect to the amount of each financial letter of credit issued under the Credit Agreement and a letter of credit fee of between 0.75% and 1.25% per year with respect to the amount of each performance letter of credit issued under the Credit Agreement, in each case depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, we also pay customary issuance fees and other fees and expenses in connection with the issuance of letters of credit under the Credit Agreement. In connection with entering into the Credit Agreement, we paid certain up-front fees to the lenders thereunder, and certain arrangement and other fees to the arrangers and agents for the Credit Agreement, which are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Credit Agreement.

At September 30, 2011, there were no borrowings outstanding, and letters of credit issued under the Credit Agreement totaled \$305.0 million. At September 30, 2011, there was \$645.0 million available for borrowings or to meet letter of credit requirements under the Credit Agreement. There were no borrowings under this facility during the quarter ended September 30, 2011. Had there been borrowings, the applicable base interest rate would have been approximately 4.25% per annum. In addition, we had \$275.8 million in outstanding unsecured bilateral letters of credit at September 30, 2011.

Based on the credit ratings at September 30, 2011 applicable to the Credit Agreement, the applicable margin for Eurodollar-rate loans was 2.00%, the applicable margin for base-rate loans was 1.00%, the letter of credit fee for financial letters of credit was 2.00%, the letter of credit fee for performance letters of credit was 1.00%, and the commitment fee for unused portions of the Credit Agreement was 0.30%. The Credit Agreement does not have a floor for the base rate or the Eurodollar rate.

Table of Contents

North Ocean Financing

North Ocean 102

In December 2009, J. Ray McDermott, S.A. (JRMSA) entered into a vessel-owning joint venture transaction with Oceanteam ASA. As a result of this transaction, we have consolidated notes payable of approximately \$45.5 million onto our balance sheet at September 30, 2011, of which approximately \$6.6 million is classified as current notes payable. JRMSA has guaranteed approximately 50% of this debt based on its ownership percentages in the vessel-owning companies. The outstanding debt bears interest at a rate equal to the three-month LIBOR (which resets every three months) plus a margin of 2.815% and matures in January 2014.

North Ocean 105

On September 30, 2010, MII, as guarantor, and North Ocean 105 AS, in which we have a 75% ownership interest, as borrower, entered into a financing agreement to finance a portion of the construction costs of a pipeline construction support vessel to be named the *North Ocean 105*. The agreement provides for borrowings of up to \$69.4 million, bearing interest at 2.76% per year, and requires principal repayment in 17 consecutive semi-annual installments commencing on the earlier of nine months after the delivery date of the vessel and October 1, 2012. Borrowings under the agreement are secured by, among other things, a pledge of all of the equity of North Ocean 105 AS, a mortgage on the *North Ocean 105*, and a lien on substantially all of the other assets of North Ocean 105 AS. MII unconditionally guaranteed all amounts to be borrowed under the agreement. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were \$43.6 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, in borrowings outstanding under this agreement.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our exposures to market risks have not changed materially from those disclosed in Item 7A included in Part II of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) adopted by the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). Our disclosure controls and procedures were developed through a process in which our management applied its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures, which, by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance regarding the control objectives. You should note that the design of any system of disclosure controls and procedures is based in part upon various assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and we cannot assure you that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. Based on the evaluation referred to above, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of September 30, 2011 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For information regarding ongoing investigations and litigation, see Note 10 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I of this report, which we incorporate by reference into this Item.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following discussion updates the risk factor disclosure in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as updated by the risk factors in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

Provisions in our corporate documents and Panamanian law could delay or prevent a change in control of our company, even if that change may be considered beneficial by some stockholders.

Table of Contents

The existence of some provisions of our articles of incorporation and by-laws and Panamanian law could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company that a stockholder may consider favorable. These include provisions:

providing that our board of directors fixes the number of members of the board;

limiting who may call special meetings of stockholders;

restricting the ability of stockholders to take action by written consent, rather than at a meeting of the stockholders;

establishing advance notice requirements for nominations of candidates for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings;

establishing supermajority vote requirements for certain amendments to our articles of incorporation and by-laws;

authorizing a large number of shares of common stock that are not yet issued, which would allow our board of directors to issue shares to persons friendly to current management, thereby protecting the continuity of our management, or which could be used to dilute the stock ownership of persons seeking to obtain control of us; and

authorizing the issuance of blank check preferred stock, which could be issued by our board of directors to increase the number of outstanding shares and thwart a takeover attempt.

In addition, we are registered with the Panamanian National Securities Commission (the PNSC) and, as a result, we are subject to Decree No. 45 of December 5, 1977, of the Republic of Panama, as amended (the Decree). The Decree imposes certain restrictions on offers to acquire voting securities of a company registered with the PNSC if, following such an acquisition, the acquiror would own directly or indirectly more than 5% of the outstanding voting securities (or securities convertible into voting securities) of such company, with a market value of at least five million Balboas (approximately \$5 million). Under the Decree, any such offeror would be required to provide McDermott with a declaration stating, among other things, the identity and background of the offeror, the source and amount of funds to be used in the proposed transaction and the offeror's plans with respect to McDermott. In that event, the PNSC may, at our request, hold a public hearing as to the adequacy of the disclosure provided by the offeror. Following such a hearing, the PNSC would either determine that full and fair disclosure had been provided and that the offeror had complied with the Decree or prohibit the offeror from proceeding with the offer until it has furnished the required information and fully complied with the Decree. Under the Decree, such a proposed transaction cannot be consummated until 45 days after the delivery of the required declaration prepared or supplemented in a complete and accurate manner, and our board of directors may, in its discretion, within 15 days of receiving a complete and accurate declaration, elect to submit the transaction to a vote of our stockholders. In that case, the transaction could not proceed until approved by the holders of at least two-thirds of the voting power of the shares entitled to vote at a meeting held within 30 days of the date it is called. If such a vote is obtained, the shares held by the offeror would be required to be voted in the same proportion as all other shares that are voted in favor of or against the offer. If the stockholders approved the transaction, it would have to be consummated within 60 days following the date of that approval. The Decree provides for a civil right of action by stockholders against an offeror who does not comply with the provisions of the Decree. It also provides that certain persons, including brokers and other intermediaries who participate with the offeror in a transaction that violates the Decree, may be jointly and severally liable with the offeror for damages that arise from a violation of the Decree. We have a long-standing practice of not requiring a declaration under the Decree from passive investors who do not express any intent to exercise influence or control over our company and who remain as passive investors, so long as they timely file appropriate information on Schedule 13D or Schedule 13G under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This practice is consistent with advice we have received from our Panamanian counsel to the effect that our Board of Directors may waive the protection afforded by the Decree and not require declarations from passive investors who invest in our common stock with no intent to exercise influence or control over our Company.

We believe these provisions protect our stockholders from coercive or otherwise unfair takeover tactics by requiring potential acquirors to negotiate with our board of directors and by providing our board of directors with more time to assess any acquisition proposal, and are not intended to make our company immune from takeovers. However, these provisions apply even if the offer may be considered beneficial by some

stockholders and could delay or prevent an acquisition that our board of directors determines is not in the best interests of our company and our stockholders.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

The following table provides information on our purchases of equity securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2011, all of which involved repurchases of restricted shares of MII common stock pursuant to the provisions of employee benefit plans that permit the repurchase of restricted shares to satisfy statutory tax withholding obligations associated with the lapse of restrictions applicable to those shares:

| Period | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| July 1 July 31, 2011 | 117,050 | \$ 20.11 | not applicable | not applicable |
| August 1 August 31, 2011 | 4,857 | 13.93 | not applicable | not applicable |
| September 1 September 30, 2011 | | | not applicable | not applicable |
| Total | 121,907 | \$ 18.05 | not applicable | not applicable |

Item 6. Exhibits**Exhibit****Number****Description**

| | |
|------|--|
| 3.1* | McDermott International, Inc. s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to McDermott International, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 3.2* | McDermott International, Inc. s Amended and Restated By-Laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to McDermott International, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (file No. 1-08430)). |
| 3.3* | Amended and Restated Certificate of Designation of Series D Participating Preferred Stock of McDermott International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to McDermott International, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 4.1* | Amendment No. 1 and Consent, dated as of August 19, 2011, entered into by and among McDermott International, Inc., as borrower, certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, certain banks and financial institutions executing the signature pages thereto, as lenders and letter of credit issuers, and Cr dit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, as administrative agent and collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to McDermott International, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 25, 2011 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 10.1 | McDermott International, Inc. Director and Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as Amended and Restated November 8, 2011. |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of Chief Financial Officer. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 certification of Chief Financial Officer. |

* Incorporated by reference to the filing indicated.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---------|--|
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

By: */s/* PERRY L. ELDERS
Perry L. Elders
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

**(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting
Officer)**

November 8, 2011

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 3.1* | McDermott International, Inc. s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to McDermott International, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 3.2* | McDermott International, Inc. s Amended and Restated By-Laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to McDermott International, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (file No. 1-08430)). |
| 3.3* | Amended and Restated Certificate of Designation of Series D Participating Preferred Stock of McDermott International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to McDermott International, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 4.1* | Amendment No. 1 and Consent, dated as of August 19, 2011, entered into by and among McDermott International, Inc., as borrower, certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, certain banks and financial institutions executing the signature pages thereto, as lenders and letter of credit issuers, and Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, as administrative agent and collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to McDermott International, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 25, 2011 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 10.1 | McDermott International, Inc. Director and Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as Amended and Restated November 8, 2011. |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of Chief Financial Officer. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 certification of Chief Financial Officer. |

* Incorporated by reference to the filing indicated.

| | |
|---------|--|
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |