

CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC
Form 10-K
February 03, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended: **December 31, 2010**
Or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: **001-13221**

CULLEN/FROST BANKERS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Texas
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

74-1751768
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

100 W. Houston Street, San Antonio, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

78205
(Zip code)

(210) 220-4011

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value,
(Title of each class)

The New York Stock Exchange, Inc.
(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer []

Accelerated filer []

Non-accelerated filer [] (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.) Yes [] No []

As of June 30, 2010, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the shares of common stock held by non-affiliates, based upon the closing price per share of the registrant's common stock as reported on The New York Stock Exchange, Inc., was approximately \$3.0 billion.

As of January 24, 2011, there were 61,189,260 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. to be held on April 28, 2011 are incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K in response to Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

Table of Contents

CULLEN/FROST BANKERS, INC.
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	22
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	31
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	31
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	31
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	31
PART II	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	32
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	35
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	38
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	79
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	81
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	142
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	142
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	143
PART III	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	144
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	144
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	144
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	144
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	144
PART IV	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	145
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	147

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by Item 1A. Risk Factors and the section captioned Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

The Corporation

Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. (Cullen/Frost), a Texas business corporation incorporated in 1977, is a financial holding company and a bank holding company headquartered in San Antonio, Texas that provides, through its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the Corporation), a broad array of products and services throughout numerous Texas markets. The Corporation offers commercial and consumer banking services, as well as trust and investment management, mutual funds, Section 1031 property exchange services, investment banking, insurance, brokerage, leasing, asset-based lending, treasury management and item processing services. At December 31, 2010, Cullen/Frost had consolidated total assets of \$17.6 billion and was one of the largest independent bank holding companies headquartered in the State of Texas.

The Corporation's philosophy is to grow and prosper, building long-term relationships based on top quality service, high ethical standards, and safe, sound assets. The Corporation operates as a locally oriented, community-based financial services organization, augmented by experienced, centralized support in select critical areas. The Corporation's local market orientation is reflected in its regional management and regional advisory boards, which are comprised of local business persons, professionals and other community representatives, that assist the Corporation's regional management in responding to local banking needs. Despite this local market, community-based focus, the Corporation offers many of the products available at much larger money-center financial institutions.

The Corporation serves a wide variety of industries including, among others, energy, manufacturing, services, construction, retail, telecommunications, healthcare, military and transportation. The Corporation's customer base is similarly diverse. The Corporation is not dependent upon any single industry or customer.

The Corporation's operating objectives include expansion, diversification within its markets, growth of its fee-based income, and growth internally and through acquisitions of financial institutions, branches and financial services businesses. The Corporation generally seeks merger or acquisition partners that are culturally similar and have experienced management and possess either significant market presence or have potential for improved profitability through financial management, economies of scale and expanded services. The Corporation regularly evaluates merger and acquisition opportunities and conducts due diligence activities related to possible transactions with other financial institutions and financial services companies. As a result, merger or acquisition discussions and, in some cases, negotiations may take place and future mergers or acquisitions involving cash, debt or equity securities may occur. Acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and, therefore, some dilution of the Corporation's tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction. The Corporation did not make any acquisitions during 2010. The Corporation acquired insurance agencies in both the Dallas and San Marcos market areas during 2009 and an insurance agency in the Dallas market area in 2008. None of these acquisitions had a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements during their respective reporting periods.

Although Cullen/Frost is a corporate entity, legally separate and distinct from its affiliates, bank holding companies such as Cullen/Frost are generally required to act as a source of financial strength for their subsidiary banks. The principal source of Cullen/Frost's income is dividends from its subsidiaries. There are certain

Table of Contents

regulatory restrictions on the extent to which these subsidiaries can pay dividends or otherwise supply funds to Cullen/Frost. See the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" for further discussion of these matters.

Cullen/Frost's executive offices are located at 100 W. Houston Street, San Antonio, Texas 78205, and its telephone number is (210) 220-4011.

Subsidiaries of Cullen/Frost

The New Galveston Company

Incorporated under the laws of Delaware, The New Galveston Company is a wholly owned second-tier financial holding company and bank holding company, which directly owns all of Cullen/Frost's banking and non-banking subsidiaries with the exception of Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II.

Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II

Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II (Trust II) is a Delaware statutory business trust formed in 2004 for the purpose of issuing \$120.0 million in trust preferred securities and lending the proceeds to Cullen/Frost. Cullen/Frost guarantees, on a limited basis, payments of distributions on the trust preferred securities and payments on redemption of the trust preferred securities.

Trust II is a variable interest entity for which the Corporation is not the primary beneficiary. As such, the accounts of Trust II are not included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements. See the Corporation's accounting policy related to consolidation in Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which is located elsewhere in this report.

Although the accounts of Trust II are not included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, the \$120.0 million in trust preferred securities issued by Trust II are included in the Tier 1 capital of Cullen/Frost for regulatory capital purposes. The aggregate amount of restricted core capital elements (which includes trust preferred securities, among other things) that may be included in the Tier 1 capital of most bank holding companies, including Cullen/Frost's, is limited to 25% of all core capital elements, including restricted core capital elements, net of goodwill less any associated deferred tax liability. Amounts of restricted core capital elements in excess of these limits generally may be included in Tier 2 capital. The quantitative limits do not currently preclude the Corporation from including the \$120.0 million in trust preferred securities in Tier 1 capital. As further discussed below, provisions of the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act will apply the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to insured depository institutions to most bank holding companies, which, among other things, will require the Corporation to deduct, over three years beginning January 1, 2013, all trust preferred securities from the Corporation's Tier 1 capital.

In July 2010, the Corporation redeemed \$12.4 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 2.65%) junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures, due July 7, 2034, held of record by Summit Bancshares Statutory Trust I (Summit Trust). Concurrently, the \$12.0 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 2.65%) trust preferred securities issued by Summit Trust were also redeemed. Summit Trust was a Delaware statutory trust formed in 2004 for the purpose of issuing \$12.0 million in trust preferred securities. Summit Trust was acquired by Cullen/Frost through the acquisition of Summit Bancshares in December 2006.

Table of Contents

The Frost National Bank

The Frost National Bank (Frost Bank) is primarily engaged in the business of commercial and consumer banking through more than 110 financial centers across Texas in the Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Rio Grande Valley and San Antonio regions. Frost Bank was chartered as a national banking association in 1899, but its origin can be traced to a mercantile partnership organized in 1868. At December 31, 2010, Frost Bank had consolidated total assets of \$17.6 billion and total deposits of \$14.5 billion and was one of the largest commercial banks headquartered in the State of Texas.

Significant services offered by Frost Bank include:

- “ *Commercial Banking.* Frost Bank provides commercial banking services to corporations and other business clients. Loans are made for a wide variety of general corporate purposes, including financing for industrial and commercial properties and to a lesser extent, financing for interim construction related to industrial and commercial properties, financing for equipment, inventories and accounts receivable, and acquisition financing, as well as commercial leasing and treasury management services.

- “ *Consumer Services.* Frost Bank provides a full range of consumer banking services, including checking accounts, savings programs, automated teller machines, overdraft facilities, installment and real estate loans, home equity loans and lines of credit, drive-in and night deposit services, safe deposit facilities, and brokerage services.

- “ *International Banking.* Frost Bank provides international banking services to customers residing in or dealing with businesses located in Mexico. These services consist of accepting deposits (generally only in U.S. dollars), making loans (in U.S. dollars only), issuing letters of credit, handling foreign collections, transmitting funds, and to a limited extent, dealing in foreign exchange.

- “ *Correspondent Banking.* Frost Bank acts as correspondent for approximately 322 financial institutions, which are primarily banks in Texas. These banks maintain deposits with Frost Bank, which offers them a full range of services including check clearing, transfer of funds, fixed income security services, and securities custody and clearance services.

- “ *Trust Services.* Frost Bank provides a wide range of trust, investment, agency and custodial services for individual and corporate clients. These services include the administration of estates and personal trusts, as well as the management of investment accounts for individuals, employee benefit plans and charitable foundations. At December 31, 2010, the estimated fair value of trust assets was \$24.9 billion, including managed assets of \$9.9 billion and custody assets of \$15.0 billion.

- “ *Capital Markets - Fixed-Income Services.* Frost Bank’s Capital Markets Division was formed to meet the transaction needs of fixed-income institutional investors. Services include sales and trading, new issue underwriting, money market trading, and securities safekeeping and clearance.

Frost Insurance Agency, Inc.

Frost Insurance Agency, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that provides insurance brokerage services to individuals and businesses covering corporate and personal property and casualty insurance products, as well as group health and life insurance products.

Frost Brokerage Services, Inc.

Frost Brokerage Services, Inc. (FBS) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that provides brokerage services and performs other transactions or operations related to the sale and purchase of securities of all types. FBS is registered as a fully disclosed introducing broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, as such, does not hold any customer accounts.

Table of Contents

Frost Premium Finance Corporation

Frost Premium Finance Corporation is a wholly owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that makes loans to qualified borrowers for the purpose of financing their purchase of property and casualty insurance.

Frost Investment Advisors, LLC

Frost Investment Advisors is a registered investment advisor entity and a wholly owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that provides investors access to various Frost-managed mutual funds.

Frost 1031 Exchange, LLC

Frost 1031 Exchange is a wholly owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that assists customers in structuring the exchange of property such that the transactions result in a tax-deferred exchange in compliance with Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Frost Securities, Inc.

Frost Securities, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of The New Galveston Company that provides advisory and private equity services to middle market companies in Texas.

Main Plaza Corporation

Main Plaza Corporation is a wholly owned non-banking subsidiary of The New Galveston Company that occasionally makes loans to qualified borrowers. Loans are funded with current cash or borrowings against internal credit lines.

Other Subsidiaries

Cullen/Frost has various other subsidiaries that are not significant to the consolidated entity.

Operating Segments

Cullen/Frost's operations are managed along two reportable operating segments consisting of Banking and the Financial Management Group. See the sections captioned "Results of Segment Operations" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 17 -Operating Segments in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which are located elsewhere in this report.

Competition

There is significant competition among commercial banks in the Corporation's market areas. In addition, the Corporation also competes with other providers of financial services, such as savings and loan associations, credit unions, consumer finance companies, securities firms, insurance companies, insurance agencies, commercial finance and leasing companies, full service brokerage firms and discount brokerage firms. Some of the Corporation's competitors have greater resources and, as such, may have higher lending limits and may offer other services that are not provided by the Corporation. The Corporation generally competes on the basis of customer service and responsiveness to customer needs, available loan and deposit products, the rates of interest charged on loans, the rates of interest paid for funds, and the availability and pricing of trust, brokerage and insurance services.

Table of Contents

Supervision and Regulation

Cullen/Frost, Frost Bank and many of its non-banking subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation under federal and state laws. The regulatory framework is intended primarily for the protection of depositors, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole and not for the protection of shareholders and creditors.

Significant elements of the laws and regulations applicable to Cullen/Frost and its subsidiaries are described below. The description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the statutes, regulations and policies that are described. Also, such statutes, regulations and policies are continually under review by Congress and state legislatures and federal and state regulatory agencies. A change in statutes, regulations or regulatory policies applicable to Cullen/Frost and its subsidiaries could have a material effect on the business of the Corporation.

The Dodd-Frank Act

On July 21, 2010, financial regulatory reform legislation entitled the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act implements far-reaching changes across the financial regulatory landscape, including provisions that, among other things, will:

- “ Centralize responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating a new agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, responsible for implementing, examining and enforcing compliance with federal consumer financial laws.
- “ Restrict the preemption of state law by federal law and disallow subsidiaries and affiliates of national banks, such as Frost Bank, from availing themselves of such preemption.
- “ Apply the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to insured depository institutions to most bank holding companies, which, among other things, will require the Corporation to deduct, over three years beginning January 1, 2013, all trust preferred securities from the Corporation’s Tier 1 capital.
- “ Require the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency to seek to make its capital requirements for national banks, such as Frost Bank, countercyclical so that capital requirements increase in times of economic expansion and decrease in times of economic contraction.
- “ Require financial holding companies, such as Cullen/Frost, to be well capitalized and well managed as of July 21, 2011. Bank holding companies and banks must also be both well capitalized and well managed in order to acquire banks located outside their home state.
- “ Change the assessment base for federal deposit insurance from the amount of insured deposits to consolidated assets less tangible capital, eliminate the ceiling on the size of the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) and increase the floor of the size of the DIF.
- “ Impose comprehensive regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market, which would include certain provisions that would effectively prohibit insured depository institutions from conducting certain derivatives businesses in the institution itself.
- “ Require large, publicly traded bank holding companies, such as Cullen/Frost, to create a risk committee responsible for the oversight of enterprise risk management.

Table of Contents

- .. Implement corporate governance revisions, including with regard to executive compensation and proxy access by shareholders, that apply to all public companies, not just financial institutions.
- .. Make permanent the \$250 thousand limit for federal deposit insurance and increase the cash limit of Securities Investor Protection Corporation protection from \$100 thousand to \$250 thousand and provide unlimited federal deposit insurance until December 31, 2012 for non-interest bearing demand transaction accounts at all insured depository institutions.
- .. Repeal the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts.
- .. Amend the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (EFTA) to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers, such as Frost Bank, having assets over \$10 billion and to enforce a new statutory requirement that such fees be reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of a transaction to the issuer.
- .. Increase the authority of the Federal Reserve to examine Cullen/Frost and its non-bank subsidiaries.

Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on the Corporation, its customers or the financial industry more generally. Provisions in the legislation that affect the payment of interest on demand deposits and interchange fees are likely to increase the costs associated with deposits as well as place limitations on certain revenues those deposits may generate. Provisions in the legislation that revoke the Tier 1 capital treatment of trust preferred securities and otherwise require revisions to the capital requirements of the Corporation and Frost Bank could require the Corporation and Frost Bank to seek other sources of capital in the future. Some of the rules that have been proposed and, in some cases, adopted to comply with the Dodd-Frank Act s mandates are discussed further below.

Regulatory Agencies

Cullen/Frost is a legal entity separate and distinct from Frost Bank and its other subsidiaries. As a financial holding company and a bank holding company, Cullen/Frost is regulated under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (BHC Act), and its subsidiaries are subject to inspection, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve Board). The BHC Act provides generally for umbrella regulation of financial holding companies such as Cullen/Frost by the Federal Reserve Board, and for functional regulation of banking activities by bank regulators, securities activities by securities regulators, and insurance activities by insurance regulators. Cullen/Frost is also under the jurisdiction of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and is subject to the disclosure and regulatory requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as administered by the SEC. Cullen/Frost s common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the trading symbol CFR, and is subject to the rules of the NYSE for listed companies.

Frost Bank is organized as a national banking association under the National Bank Act. It is subject to regulation and examination by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

Many of the Corporation s non-bank subsidiaries also are subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board and other federal and state agencies. Frost Securities, Inc. and Frost Brokerage Services, Inc. are regulated by the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and state securities regulators. Frost Investment Advisors, LLC is subject to the disclosure and regulatory requirements of the Investment Advisors Act of 1940, as administered by the SEC. The Corporation s insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation by applicable state insurance regulatory agencies. Other non-bank subsidiaries are subject to both federal and state laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

Bank Holding Company Activities

In general, the BHC Act limits the business of bank holding companies to banking, managing or controlling banks and other activities that the Federal Reserve Board has determined to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. In addition, bank holding companies that qualify and elect to be financial holding companies may engage in any activity, or acquire and retain the shares of a company engaged in any activity, that is either (i) financial in nature or incidental to such financial activity (as determined by the Federal Reserve Board in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury) or (ii) complementary to a financial activity and does not pose a substantial risk to the safety and soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally (as solely determined by the Federal Reserve Board), without prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board. Activities that are financial in nature include securities underwriting and dealing, insurance underwriting and making merchant banking investments.

To maintain financial holding company status, all of the financial holding company's depository institution subsidiaries must be well capitalized and well managed. A depository institution subsidiary is considered to be well capitalized if it satisfies the requirements for this status discussed in the section captioned Capital Adequacy and Prompt Corrective Action, included elsewhere in this item. A depository institution subsidiary is considered well managed if it received a composite rating and management rating of at least satisfactory in its most recent examination. Beginning in July 2011, the Corporation's financial holding company status will also depend upon it maintaining its status as well capitalized and well managed under applicable Federal Reserve regulations. If a financial holding company ceases to meet these requirements, the Federal Reserve Board may impose corrective capital and/or managerial requirements on the financial holding company and place limitations on its ability to conduct the broader financial activities permissible for financial holding companies. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board may require divestiture of the holding company's depository institutions if the deficiencies persist.

In order for a financial holding company to commence any new activity permitted by the BHC Act or to acquire a company engaged in any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, each insured depository institution subsidiary of the financial holding company must have received a rating of at least satisfactory in its most recent examination under the Community Reinvestment Act. See the section captioned Community Reinvestment Act included elsewhere in this item.

The Federal Reserve Board has the power to order any bank holding company or its subsidiaries to terminate any activity or to terminate its ownership or control of any subsidiary when the Federal Reserve Board has reasonable grounds to believe that continuation of such activity or such ownership or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial soundness, safety or stability of any bank subsidiary of the bank holding company.

The BHC Act, the Bank Merger Act, the Texas Banking Code and other federal and state statutes regulate acquisitions of commercial banks. The BHC Act requires the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board for the direct or indirect acquisition of more than 5.0% of the voting shares of a commercial bank or its parent holding company. Under the Bank Merger Act, the prior approval of the OCC is required for a national bank to merge with another bank or purchase the assets or assume the deposits of another bank. In reviewing applications seeking approval of merger and acquisition transactions, the bank regulatory authorities will consider, among other things, the competitive effect and public benefits of the transactions, the capital position of the combined organization, the applicant's performance record under the Community Reinvestment Act (see the section captioned Community Reinvestment Act included elsewhere in this item) and fair housing laws and the effectiveness of the subject organizations in combating money laundering activities.

Dividends

The principal source of Cullen/Frost's cash revenues is dividends from Frost Bank. The prior approval of the OCC is required if the total of all dividends declared by a national bank in any calendar year would exceed the sum of the bank's net profits for that year and its retained net profits for the preceding two calendar years, less

Table of Contents

any required transfers to surplus. Federal law also prohibits national banks from paying dividends that would be greater than the bank's undivided profits after deducting statutory bad debt in excess of the bank's allowance for loan losses. Under the foregoing dividend restrictions, and while maintaining its well capitalized status, Frost Bank could pay aggregate dividends of approximately \$309.1 million to Cullen/Frost, without obtaining affirmative governmental approvals, at December 31, 2010. This amount is not necessarily indicative of amounts that may be paid or available to be paid in future periods.

In addition, Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank are subject to other regulatory policies and requirements relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain adequate capital above regulatory minimums. The appropriate federal regulatory authority is authorized to determine under certain circumstances relating to the financial condition of a bank holding company or a bank that the payment of dividends would be an unsafe or unsound practice and to prohibit payment thereof. The appropriate federal regulatory authorities have stated that paying dividends that deplete a bank's capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice and that banking organizations should generally pay dividends only out of current operating earnings. In addition, in the current financial and economic environment, the Federal Reserve Board has indicated that bank holding companies should carefully review their dividend policy and has discouraged payment ratios that are at maximum allowable levels unless both asset quality and capital are very strong.

Transactions with Affiliates

Transactions between Frost Bank, on the one hand, and Cullen/Frost and its other subsidiaries, on the other hand, are regulated by the Federal Reserve Board. These regulations limit the types and amounts of transactions (including loans due and extensions of credit from the U.S. bank subsidiaries) that may take place and generally require those transactions to be on an arm's-length basis. In general, these regulations require that any covered transaction by Frost Bank (or its subsidiaries) with an affiliate must be secured by designated amounts of specified collateral and must be limited, as to any one of Cullen/Frost or its non-bank subsidiaries, to 10% of Frost Bank's capital stock and surplus, and, as to Cullen/Frost and all such non-bank subsidiaries in the aggregate, to 20% of Frost Bank's capital stock and surplus. The Dodd-Frank Act significantly expands the coverage and scope of the limitations on affiliate transactions within a banking organization. For example, commencing in July 2011, the Dodd-Frank Act will require that the 10% of capital limit on covered transactions begin to apply to financial subsidiaries. Covered transactions are defined by statute to include a loan or extension of credit, as well as a purchase of securities issued by an affiliate, a purchase of assets (unless otherwise exempted by the Federal Reserve Board) from the affiliate, the acceptance of securities issued by the affiliate as collateral for a loan, and the issuance of a guarantee, acceptance or letter of credit on behalf of an affiliate.

Source of Strength Doctrine

Federal Reserve Board policy has historically required bank holding companies to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to their subsidiary banks. The Dodd-Frank Act codifies this policy as a statutory requirement. Under this requirement, Cullen/Frost is expected to commit resources to support Frost Bank, including at times when Cullen/Frost may not be in a financial position to provide such resources. Any capital loans by a bank holding company to any of its subsidiary banks are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of such subsidiary banks. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, any commitment by the bank holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to priority of payment.

In addition, under the National Bank Act, if the capital stock of Frost Bank is impaired by losses or otherwise, the OCC is authorized to require payment of the deficiency by assessment upon Cullen/Frost. If the assessment is not paid within three months, the OCC could order a sale of the Frost Bank stock held by Cullen/Frost to make good the deficiency.

Table of Contents

Capital Requirements

As a bank holding company, we are subject to consolidated regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve Board. Frost Bank is subject to similar capital requirements administered by the OCC. The federal regulatory authorities' risk-based capital guidelines are based upon the 1988 capital accord (Basel I) of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee). The Basel Committee is a committee of central banks and bank supervisors/regulators from the major industrialized countries that develops broad policy guidelines for use by each country's supervisors in determining the supervisory policies they apply. The requirements are intended to ensure that banking organizations have adequate capital given the risk levels of assets and off-balance sheet financial instruments. Under the requirements, banking organizations are required to maintain minimum ratios for Tier 1 capital and total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet items, such as letters of credit). For purposes of calculating the ratios, a banking organization's assets and some of its specified off-balance sheet commitments and obligations are assigned to various risk categories. A depository institution's or holding company's capital, in turn, is classified in one of three tiers, depending on type:

- *Core Capital (Tier 1)*. Tier 1 capital includes common equity, retained earnings, qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, a limited amount of qualifying cumulative perpetual stock at the holding company level, minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, and qualifying trust preferred securities, less goodwill, most intangible assets and certain other assets.
- *Supplementary Capital (Tier 2)*. Tier 2 capital includes, among other things, perpetual preferred stock and trust preferred securities not meeting the Tier 1 definition, qualifying mandatory convertible debt securities, qualifying subordinated debt, and allowances for possible loan and lease losses, subject to limitations.
- *Market Risk Capital (Tier 3)*. Tier 3 capital includes qualifying unsecured subordinated debt.

Cullen/Frost, like other bank holding companies, currently is required to maintain Tier 1 capital and total capital (the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital) equal to at least 4.0% and 8.0%, respectively, of its total risk-weighted assets (including various off-balance-sheet items, such as letters of credit). Frost Bank, like other depository institutions, is required to maintain similar capital levels under capital adequacy guidelines. In addition, for a depository institution to be considered well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, its Tier 1 and total capital ratios must be at least 6.0% and 10.0% on a risk-adjusted basis, respectively.

Bank holding companies and banks subject to the market risk capital guidelines are required to incorporate market and interest rate risk components into their risk-based capital standards. Under the market risk capital guidelines, capital is allocated to support the amount of market risk related to a financial institution's ongoing trading activities.

Bank holding companies and banks are also required to comply with minimum leverage ratio requirements. The leverage ratio is the ratio of a banking organization's Tier 1 capital to its total adjusted quarterly average assets (as defined for regulatory purposes). The requirements necessitate a minimum leverage ratio of 3.0% for bank holding companies and national banks that either have the highest supervisory rating or have implemented the appropriate federal regulatory authority's risk-adjusted measure for market risk. All other bank holding companies and national banks are required to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%, unless a different minimum is specified by an appropriate regulatory authority. In addition, for a depository institution to be considered well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, its leverage ratio must be at least 5.0%. The Federal Reserve Board has not advised Cullen/Frost, and the OCC has not advised Frost Bank, of any specific minimum leverage ratio applicable to it.

Table of Contents

In 2004, the Basel Committee published a new capital accord (Basel II) to replace Basel I. Basel II provides two approaches for setting capital standards for credit risk – an internal ratings-based approach tailored to individual institutions’ circumstances and a standardized approach that bases risk weightings on external credit assessments to a much greater extent than permitted in existing risk-based capital guidelines. Basel II also sets capital requirements for operational risk and refines the existing capital requirements for market risk exposures.

A definitive final rule for implementing the advanced approaches of Basel II in the United States, which applies only to certain large or internationally active banking organizations, or “core banks” defined as those with consolidated total assets of \$250 billion or more or consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposures of \$10 billion or more, became effective as of April 1, 2008. Other U.S. banking organizations can elect to adopt the requirements of this rule (if they meet applicable qualification requirements), but they are not required to apply them. The rule also allows a banking organization’s primary federal supervisor to determine that the application of the rule would not be appropriate in light of the bank’s asset size, level of complexity, risk profile, or scope of operations. The Corporation is not required to comply with the advanced approaches of Basel II. In July 2008, the agencies issued a proposed rule that would give banking organizations that do not use the advanced approaches the option to implement a new risk-based capital framework, which would adopt the standardized approach of Basel II for credit risk, the basic indicator approach of Basel II for operational risk, and related disclosure requirements. While this proposed rule generally parallels the relevant approaches under Basel II, it diverges where United States markets have unique characteristics and risk profiles. Comments on the proposed rule were due to the agencies by October 2008, but a definitive final rule has not been issued.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the Federal Reserve Board, the OCC and the FDIC to adopt regulations imposing a continuing “floor” of the Basel I-based capital requirements in cases where the Basel II-based capital requirements and any changes in capital regulations resulting from Basel III (see below) otherwise would permit lower requirements. In December 2010, the Federal Reserve Board, the OCC and the FDIC issued a joint notice of proposed rulemaking that would implement this requirement.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, now officially identified by the Basel Committee as “Basel III”. Basel III, when implemented by the U.S. banking agencies and fully phased-in, will require bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries to maintain substantially more capital, with a greater emphasis on common equity.

The Basel III final capital framework, among other things, (i) introduces as a new capital measure “Common Equity Tier 1” (CET1), (ii) specifies that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and “Additional Tier 1 capital” instruments meeting specified requirements, (iii) defines CET1 narrowly by requiring that most adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital and (iv) expands the scope of the adjustments as compared to existing regulations.

When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, Basel III requires banks to maintain (i) as a newly adopted international standard, a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% “capital conservation buffer” (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7%), (ii) a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation), (iii) a minimum ratio of Total (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2) capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation) and (iv) as a newly adopted international standard, a minimum leverage ratio of 3%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to balance sheet exposures plus certain off-balance sheet exposures (computed as the average for each quarter of the month-end ratios for the quarter).

Table of Contents

Basel III also provides for a countercyclical capital buffer, generally to be imposed when national regulators determine that excess aggregate credit growth becomes associated with a buildup of systemic risk, that would be a CET1 add-on to the capital conservation buffer in the range of 0% to 2.5% when fully implemented (potentially resulting in total buffers of between 2.5% and 5%).

The aforementioned capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer (or below the combined capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer, when the latter is applied) will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall.

The implementation of the Basel III final framework will commence January 1, 2013. On that date, banking institutions will be required to meet the following minimum capital ratios:

- 3.5% CET1 to risk-weighted assets.
- 4.5% Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets.
- 8.0% Total capital to risk-weighted assets.

The Basel III final framework provides for a number of new deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets dependent upon future taxable income and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1.

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 will begin on January 1, 2014 and will be phased-in over a five-year period (20% per year). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at 0.625% and be phased in over a four-year period (increasing by that amount on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019).

The U.S. banking agencies have indicated informally that they expect to propose regulations implementing Basel III in mid-2011 with final adoption of implementing regulations in mid-2012. Notwithstanding its release of the Basel III framework as a final framework, the Basel Committee is considering further amendments to Basel III, including the imposition of additional capital surcharges on globally systemically important financial institutions. In addition to Basel III, Dodd-Frank requires or permits the Federal banking agencies to adopt regulations affecting banking institutions' capital requirements in a number of respects, including potentially more stringent capital requirements for systemically important financial institutions. Accordingly, the regulations ultimately applicable to the Corporation may be substantially different from the Basel III final framework as published in December 2010. Requirements to maintain higher levels of capital or to maintain higher levels of liquid assets could adversely impact the Corporation's net income and return on equity.

Liquidity Requirements

Historically, regulation and monitoring of bank and bank holding company liquidity has been addressed as a supervisory matter, without required formulaic measures. The Basel III final framework requires banks and bank holding companies to measure their liquidity against specific liquidity tests that, although similar in some respects to liquidity measures historically applied by banks and regulators for management and supervisory purposes, going forward will be required by regulation. One test, referred to as the liquidity coverage ratio (LCR), is designed to ensure that the banking entity maintains an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets equal to the entity's expected net cash outflow for a 30-day time horizon (or, if greater, 25% of its expected total cash outflow) under an acute liquidity stress scenario. The other, referred to as the net stable

Table of Contents

funding ratio (NSFR), is designed to promote more medium- and long-term funding of the assets and activities of banking entities over a one-year time horizon. These requirements will incent banking entities to increase their holdings of U.S. Treasury securities and other sovereign debt as a component of assets and increase the use of long-term debt as a funding source. The LCR would be implemented subject to an observation period beginning in 2011, but would not be introduced as a requirement until January 1, 2015, and the NSFR would not be introduced as a requirement until January 1, 2018. These new standards are subject to further rulemaking and their terms may well change before implementation.

Prompt Corrective Action

The Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended (FDIA), requires among other things, the federal banking agencies to take prompt corrective action in respect of depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. The FDIA sets forth the following five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. A depository institution's capital tier will depend upon how its capital levels compare with various relevant capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation. The relevant capital measures are the total capital ratio, the Tier 1 capital ratio and the leverage ratio.

A bank will be (i) well capitalized if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10.0% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.0% or greater, and a leverage ratio of 5.0% or greater, and is not subject to any order or written directive by any such regulatory authority to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure; (ii) adequately capitalized if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8.0% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.0% or greater, and a leverage ratio of 4.0% or greater and is not well capitalized ; (iii) undercapitalized if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 8.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 4.0% or a leverage ratio of less than 4.0%; (iv) significantly undercapitalized if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 3.0% or a leverage ratio of less than 3.0%; and (v) critically undercapitalized if the institution's tangible equity is equal to or less than 2.0% of average quarterly tangible assets. An institution may be downgraded to, or deemed to be in, a capital category that is lower than indicated by its capital ratios if it is determined to be in an unsafe or unsound condition or if it receives an unsatisfactory examination rating with respect to certain matters. A bank's capital category is determined solely for the purpose of applying prompt corrective action regulations, and the capital category may not constitute an accurate representation of the bank's overall financial condition or prospects for other purposes.

The FDIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distributions (including payment of a dividend) or paying any management fee to its parent holding company if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized. Undercapitalized institutions are subject to growth limitations and are required to submit a capital restoration plan. The agencies may not accept such a plan without determining, among other things, that the plan is based on realistic assumptions and is likely to succeed in restoring the depository institution's capital. In addition, for a capital restoration plan to be acceptable, the depository institution's parent holding company must guarantee that the institution will comply with such capital restoration plan. The bank holding company must also provide appropriate assurances of performance. The aggregate liability of the parent holding company is limited to the lesser of (i) an amount equal to 5.0% of the depository institution's total assets at the time it became undercapitalized and (ii) the amount which is necessary (or would have been necessary) to bring the institution into compliance with all capital standards applicable with respect to such institution as of the time it fails to comply with the plan. If a depository institution fails to submit an acceptable plan, it is treated as if it is significantly undercapitalized.

Significantly undercapitalized depository institutions may be subject to a number of requirements and restrictions, including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately capitalized, requirements to reduce total assets, and cessation of receipt of deposits from correspondent banks. Critically undercapitalized institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator.

Table of Contents

The appropriate federal banking agency may, under certain circumstances, reclassify a well capitalized insured depository institution as adequately capitalized. The FDIA provides that an institution may be reclassified if the appropriate federal banking agency determines (after notice and opportunity for hearing) that the institution is in an unsafe or unsound condition or deems the institution to be engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice.

The appropriate agency is also permitted to require an adequately capitalized or undercapitalized institution to comply with the supervisory provisions as if the institution were in the next lower category (but not treat a significantly undercapitalized institution as critically undercapitalized) based on supervisory information other than the capital levels of the institution.

Cullen/Frost believes that, as of December 31, 2010, its bank subsidiary, Frost Bank, was well capitalized based on the aforementioned ratios. For further information regarding the capital ratios and leverage ratio of Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank see the discussion under the section captioned Capital and Liquidity included in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 10 -Regulatory Matters in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, elsewhere in this report.

Deposit Insurance

Substantially all of the deposits of Frost Bank are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) of the FDIC and are subject to deposit insurance assessments to maintain the DIF. The FDIC utilizes a risk-based assessment system that imposes insurance premiums based upon a risk matrix that takes into account a bank's capital level and supervisory rating (CAMELS rating). The risk matrix utilizes four risk categories which are distinguished by capital levels and supervisory ratings.

In December 2008, the FDIC issued a final rule that raised the then current assessment rates uniformly by 7 basis points for the first quarter of 2009 assessment, which resulted in annualized assessment rates for institutions in the highest risk category (Risk Category 1 institutions) ranging from 12 to 14 basis points (basis points representing cents per \$100 of assessable deposits). In February 2009, the FDIC issued final rules to amend a restoration plan for the DIF, change the risk-based assessment system and set assessment rates for Risk Category 1 institutions beginning in the second quarter of 2009. For Risk Category 1 institutions that have long-term debt issuer ratings, the FDIC determines the initial base assessment rate using a combination of weighted-average CAMELS component ratings, long-term debt issuer ratings (converted to numbers and averaged) and the financial ratios method assessment rate (as defined), each equally weighted. The initial base assessment rates for Risk Category 1 institutions range from 12 to 16 basis points, on an annualized basis. After the effect of potential base-rate adjustments, total base assessment rates range from 7 to 24 basis points. The potential adjustments to a Risk Category 1 institution's initial base assessment rate, include (i) a potential decrease of up to 5 basis points for long-term unsecured debt, including senior and subordinated debt and (ii) a potential increase of up to 8 basis points for secured liabilities in excess of 25% of domestic deposits.

In May 2009, the FDIC issued a final rule which levied a special assessment applicable to all insured depository institutions totaling 5 basis points of each institution's total assets less Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, not to exceed 10 basis points of domestic deposits. The special assessment was part of the FDIC's efforts to rebuild the DIF. Deposit insurance expense during 2009 included \$7.3 million recognized in the second quarter related to the special assessment.

In November 2009, the FDIC issued a rule that required all insured depository institutions, with limited exceptions, to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. The FDIC also adopted a uniform three-basis point increase in assessment rates effective on January 1, 2011; however, as further discussed below, the FDIC has elected to forgo this increase under a new DIF restoration plan adopted in October 2010. As of December 31, 2010, \$43.6 million in pre-paid deposit insurance assessments is included in accrued interest receivable and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

In October 2010, the FDIC adopted a new DIF restoration plan to ensure that the fund reserve ratio reaches 1.35% by September 30, 2020, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act. Under the new restoration plan, the FDIC will forego the uniform three-basis point increase in initial assessment rates scheduled to take place on January 1, 2011 and maintain the current schedule of assessment rates for all depository institutions. At least semi-annually, the FDIC will update its loss and income projections for the fund and, if needed, will increase or decrease assessment rates, following notice-and-comment rulemaking if required.

In November 2010, the FDIC issued a final rule to implement provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that provide for temporary unlimited coverage for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts. The separate coverage for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts became effective on December 31, 2010 and terminates on December 31, 2012.

In November 2010, the FDIC issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to change the deposit insurance assessment base from total domestic deposits to average total assets minus average tangible equity, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act, effective April 1, 2011. The FDIC also issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to revise the deposit insurance assessment system for large institutions. The FDIC proposes to create a two scorecard system, one for most large institutions, including Frost Bank, that have more than \$10 billion in assets and another for highly complex institutions that have over \$50 billion in assets and are fully owned by a parent with over \$500 billion in assets. Each scorecard would have a performance score and a loss-severity score that would be combined to produce a total score, which would be translated into an initial assessment rate. In calculating these scores, the FDIC would continue to utilize CAMELS ratings, would introduce certain new financial measures to assess an institution's ability to withstand asset-related stress and funding-related stress, and would eliminate the use of risk categories and long-term debt issuer ratings. The FDIC would have the ability to make discretionary adjustments to the total score, up or down, by a maximum of 15 points, based upon significant risk factors that are not adequately captured in the scorecard. The total score would be constrained to be between 30 and 90 and would then translate to an initial base assessment rate on a non-linear, sharply-increasing scale.

For large institutions, including Frost Bank, the initial base assessment rate would range from 5 to 35 basis points on an annualized basis (basis points representing cents per \$100 of assessable assets). After the effect of potential base-rate adjustments, the total base assessment rate could range from 2.5 to 45 basis points on an annualized basis. The potential adjustments to an institution's initial base assessment rate include (i) a potential decrease of up to 5 basis points for certain long-term unsecured debt (unsecured debt adjustment) and (ii) a potential increase of up to 10 basis points for brokered deposits in excess of 10% of domestic deposits (brokered deposit adjustment). As the DIF reserve ratio grows, the rate schedule will be adjusted downward. Additionally, the proposed rule includes a new adjustment for depository institution debt whereby an institution would pay an additional premium equal to 50 basis points on every dollar of long-term, unsecured debt held that was issued by another insured depository institution (excluding debt guaranteed under the TLGP). The final rule related to this proposal is expected to be effective April 1, 2011. The Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the effect of any proposed change in its deposit insurance premium rate, should such a change occur, as such changes are dependent upon a variety of factors, some of which are beyond the Corporation's control.

FDIC insurance expense totaled \$20.5 million, \$25.8 million and \$4.6 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. FDIC insurance expense includes deposit insurance assessments and Financing Corporation (FICO) assessments related to outstanding FICO bonds. The FICO is a mixed-ownership government corporation established by the Competitive Equality Banking Act of 1987 whose sole purpose was to function as a financing vehicle for the now defunct Federal Savings & Loan Insurance Corporation. Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005, which became law in 2006, Frost Bank received a one-time assessment credit of \$8.2 million to be applied against future deposit insurance assessments, subject to certain limitations. This credit was utilized to offset \$4.0 million of deposit insurance assessments during 2008.

Table of Contents

Under the FDIA, the FDIC may terminate deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe and unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.

Safety and Soundness Standards

The FDIA requires the federal bank regulatory agencies to prescribe standards, by regulations or guidelines, relating to internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings, stock valuation and compensation, fees and benefits, and such other operational and managerial standards as the agencies deem appropriate. Guidelines adopted by the federal bank regulatory agencies establish general standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risk and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal stockholder. In addition, the agencies adopted regulations that authorize, but do not require, an agency to order an institution that has been given notice by an agency that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If, after being so notified, an institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails in any material respect to implement an acceptable compliance plan, the agency must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions of the types to which an undercapitalized institution is subject under the prompt corrective action provisions of the FDIA. See Prompt Corrective Action above. If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the agency may seek to enforce such order in judicial proceedings and to impose civil money penalties.

Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program

In November 2008, the Board of Directors of the FDIC adopted a final rule relating to the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLG Program). The TLG Program was announced by the FDIC in October 2008, preceded by the determination of systemic risk by the Secretary of the Department of Treasury (after consultation with the President), as an initiative to counter the system-wide crisis in the nation's financial sector. Under the TLG Program, the FDIC will (i) guarantee, through the earlier of maturity or December 31, 2012 (extended from June 30, 2012 by subsequent amendment), certain newly issued senior unsecured debt issued by participating institutions on or after October 14, 2008, and before October 31, 2009 (extended from June 30, 2009 by subsequent amendment) and (ii) provide full FDIC deposit insurance coverage for non-interest bearing transaction deposit accounts, Negotiable Order of Withdrawal (NOW) accounts paying less than 0.5% interest per annum and Interest on Lawyers Trust accounts held at participating FDIC insured institutions through December 31, 2010 (extended twice from December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010, respectively, subject to an opt-out provision, by subsequent amendment). The Corporation elected to participate in both guarantee programs and did not opt out of the first six-month extension of the transaction account guarantee program. The Corporation, however, did opt out of the second six-month extension, as further discussed below. Coverage under the TLG Program was available for the first 30 days without charge. The fee assessment for coverage of senior unsecured debt ranged from 50 basis points to 100 basis points per annum, depending on the initial maturity of the debt. The fee assessment for deposit insurance coverage was 10 basis points per quarter during 2009 on amounts in covered accounts exceeding \$250,000. During the extension period covering the first six months of 2010, in which the Corporation elected to participate, the fee assessment increased to 15 basis points per quarter for institutions that were in Risk Category 1 of the risk-based premium system.

Effective as of July 1, 2010, the Corporation opted out of the transaction account guarantee component of the TLGP. Accordingly, the standard insurance amount was in effect for the Corporation's deposit accounts through December 31, 2010. As discussed above, separate temporary unlimited coverage for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts became effective on December 31, 2010 and will last until December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Depositor Preference

The FDIA provides that, in the event of the liquidation or other resolution of an insured depository institution, the claims of depositors of the institution, including the claims of the FDIC as subrogee of insured depositors, and certain claims for administrative expenses of the FDIC as a receiver, will have priority over other general unsecured claims against the institution. If an insured depository institution fails, insured and uninsured depositors, along with the FDIC, will have priority in payment ahead of unsecured, non-deposit creditors, including depositors whose deposits are payable only outside of the United States and the parent bank holding company, with respect to any extensions of credit they have made to such insured depository institution.

Liability of Commonly Controlled Institutions

FDIC-insured depository institutions can be held liable for any loss incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the FDIC due to the default of another FDIC-insured depository institution controlled by the same bank holding company, or for any assistance provided by the FDIC to another FDIC-insured depository institution controlled by the same bank holding company that is in danger of default. Default means generally the appointment of a conservator or receiver. In danger of default means generally the existence of certain conditions indicating that default is likely to occur in the absence of regulatory assistance. Such a cross-guarantee claim against a depository institution is generally superior in right of payment to claims of the holding company and its affiliates against that depository institution. At this time, Frost Bank is the only insured depository institution controlled by Cullen/Frost for this purpose. However, if Cullen/Frost were to control other FDIC-insured depository institutions in the future, the cross-guarantee would apply to all such FDIC-insured depository institutions.

Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA) requires depository institutions to assist in meeting the credit needs of their market areas consistent with safe and sound banking practice. Under the CRA, each depository institution is required to help meet the credit needs of its market areas by, among other things, providing credit to low- and moderate-income individuals and communities. Depository institutions are periodically examined for compliance with the CRA and are assigned ratings. In order for a financial holding company to commence any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, or to acquire any company engaged in any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, each insured depository institution subsidiary of the financial holding company must have received a rating of at least satisfactory in its most recent examination under the CRA. Furthermore, banking regulators take into account CRA ratings when considering approval of a proposed transaction. Frost Bank received a rating of satisfactory in its most recent CRA examination.

Financial Privacy

The federal banking regulators adopted rules that limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. These limitations require disclosure of privacy policies to consumers and, in some circumstances, allow consumers to prevent disclosure of certain personal information to a nonaffiliated third party. These regulations affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors.

Anti-Money Laundering and the USA Patriot Act

A major focus of governmental policy on financial institutions in recent years has been aimed at combating money laundering and terrorist financing. The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (the USA Patriot Act) substantially broadened the scope of United States anti-money laundering laws and regulations by imposing significant new compliance and due diligence obligations, creating new crimes and penalties and expanding the extra-territorial jurisdiction of the United States. The United States Treasury Department has issued and, in some cases, proposed

Table of Contents

a number of regulations that apply various requirements of the USA Patriot Act to financial institutions such as Cullen/Frost's bank and broker-dealer subsidiaries. These regulations impose obligations on financial institutions to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing and to verify the identity of their customers. Certain of those regulations impose specific due diligence requirements on financial institutions that maintain correspondent or private banking relationships with non-U.S. financial institutions or persons. Failure of a financial institution to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing, or to comply with all of the relevant laws or regulations, could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution.

Office of Foreign Assets Control Regulation

The United States has imposed economic sanctions that affect transactions with designated foreign countries, nationals and others. These are typically known as the OFAC rules based on their administration by the U.S. Treasury Department Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC). The OFAC-administered sanctions targeting countries take many different forms. Generally, however, they contain one or more of the following elements: (i) restrictions on trade with or investment in a sanctioned country, including prohibitions against direct or indirect imports from and exports to a sanctioned country and prohibitions on U.S. persons engaging in financial transactions relating to making investments in, or providing investment-related advice or assistance to, a sanctioned country; and (ii) a blocking of assets in which the government or specially designated nationals of the sanctioned country have an interest, by prohibiting transfers of property subject to U.S. jurisdiction (including property in the possession or control of U.S. persons). Blocked assets (e.g., property and bank deposits) cannot be paid out, withdrawn, set off or transferred in any manner without a license from OFAC. Failure to comply with these sanctions could have serious legal and reputational consequences.

Incentive Compensation

In June 2010, the Federal Reserve, OCC and FDIC issued a comprehensive final guidance on incentive compensation policies intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The guidance, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a banking organization's incentive compensation arrangements should (i) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (ii) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management, and (iii) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the organization's board of directors.

The Federal Reserve will review, as part of the regular, risk-focused examination process, the incentive compensation arrangements of banking organizations, such as the Corporation, that are not large, complex banking organizations. These reviews will be tailored to each organization based on the scope and complexity of the organization's activities and the prevalence of incentive compensation arrangements. The findings of the supervisory initiatives will be included in reports of examination. Deficiencies will be incorporated into the organization's supervisory ratings, which can affect the organization's ability to make acquisitions and take other actions. Enforcement actions may be taken against a banking organization if its incentive compensation arrangements, or related risk-management control or governance processes, pose a risk to the organization's safety and soundness and the organization is not taking prompt and effective measures to correct the deficiencies.

Legislative and Regulatory Initiatives

From time to time, various legislative and regulatory initiatives are introduced in Congress and state legislatures, as well as by regulatory agencies. Such initiatives may include proposals to expand or contract the powers of bank holding companies and depository institutions or proposals to substantially change the financial institution regulatory system. Such legislation could change banking statutes and the operating environment of the Corporation in substantial and unpredictable ways. If enacted, such legislation could increase or decrease the

Table of Contents

cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities or affect the competitive balance among banks, savings associations, credit unions, and other financial institutions. The Corporation cannot predict whether any such legislation will be enacted, and, if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, would have on the financial condition or results of operations of the Corporation. A change in statutes, regulations or regulatory policies applicable to Cullen/Frost or any of its subsidiaries could have a material effect on the business of the Corporation.

Employees

At December 31, 2010, the Corporation employed 3,777 full-time equivalent employees. None of the Corporation's employees are represented by collective bargaining agreements. The Corporation believes its employee relations to be good.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The names, ages as of December 31, 2010, recent business experience and positions or offices held by each of the executive officers of Cullen/Frost are as follows:

Name and Position Held	Age	Recent Business Experience
Richard W. Evans, Jr. Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director	64	Officer of Frost Bank since 1973. Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Cullen/Frost from October 1997 to present.
Patrick B. Frost President of Frost Bank and Director	50	Officer of Frost Bank since 1985. President of Frost Bank from August 1993 to present. Director of Cullen/Frost from May 1997 to present.
Phillip D. Green Group Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	56	Officer of Frost Bank since July 1980. Group Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Cullen/Frost from October 1995 to present.
David W. Beck President, Chief Business Banking Officer of Frost Bank	60	Officer of Frost Bank since July 1973. President, Chief Business Banking Officer of Frost Bank from February 2001 to present.
Robert A. Berman Group Executive Vice President, Internet Financial Services of Frost Bank	48	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1989. Group Executive Vice President, Internet Financial Services of Frost Bank from May 2001 to present.
Paul H. Bracher President, State Regions of Frost Bank	54	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1982. President, State Regions of Frost Bank from February 2001 to present.
Richard Kardys Group Executive Vice President, Executive Trust Officer of Frost Bank	64	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1977. Group Executive Vice President, Executive Trust Officer of Frost Bank from May 2001 to present.
Paul J. Olivier Group Executive Vice President, Consumer Banking of Frost Bank	58	Officer of Frost Bank since August 1976. Group Executive Vice President, Consumer Banking of Frost Bank from May 2001 to present.
William L. Perotti Group Executive Vice President, Chief Credit Officer and Chief Risk Officer of Frost Bank	53	Officer of Frost Bank since December 1982. Group Executive Vice President, Chief Credit Officer of Frost Bank from May 2001 to present. Chief Risk Officer of Frost Bank from April 2005 to present.
Emily A. Skillman Group Executive Vice President, Human Resources of Frost Bank	66	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1998. Group Executive Vice President, Human Resources of Frost Bank from October 2003 to present.

Table of Contents

There are no arrangements or understandings between any executive officer of Cullen/Frost and any other person pursuant to which such executive officer was or is to be selected as an officer.

Available Information

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Cullen/Frost is required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). You may read and copy any document Cullen/Frost files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about the public reference room. The SEC maintains a website at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. Cullen/Frost files electronically with the SEC.

Cullen/Frost makes available, free of charge through its website, its reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with or furnished to the SEC. Additionally, the Corporation has adopted and posted on its website a code of ethics that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. The Corporation's website also includes its corporate governance guidelines and the charters for its audit committee, its compensation and benefits committee, and its corporate governance and nominating committee. The address for the Corporation's website is <http://www.frostbank.com>. The Corporation will provide a printed copy of any of the aforementioned documents to any requesting shareholder.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in the Corporation's common stock is subject to risks inherent to the Corporation's business. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Corporation are described below. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Corporation. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair the Corporation's business operations. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

If any of the following risks actually occur, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the market price of the Corporation's common stock could decline significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related To The Corporation's Business

The Corporation's Business May Be Adversely Affected by Conditions in the Financial Markets and Economic Conditions Generally

From December 2007 through June 2009, the U.S. economy was in recession. Business activity across a wide range of industries and regions in the U. S. was greatly reduced. Although economic conditions have begun to improve, certain sectors, such as real estate, remain weak and unemployment remains high. Local governments and many businesses are still in serious difficulty due to lower consumer spending and the lack of liquidity in the credit markets.

Market conditions also led to the failure or merger of several prominent financial institutions and numerous regional and community-based financial institutions. These failures, as well as projected future failures, have had a significant negative impact on the capitalization level of the deposit insurance fund of the FDIC, which, in turn, has led to a significant increase in deposit insurance premiums paid by financial institutions.

The Corporation's financial performance generally, and in particular the ability of borrowers to pay interest on and repay principal of outstanding loans and the value of collateral securing those loans, as well as demand for loans and other products and services the Corporation offers, is highly dependent upon on the business environment in the markets where the Corporation operates, in the State of Texas and in the United States as a whole. A favorable business environment is generally characterized by, among other factors, economic growth, efficient capital markets, low inflation, low unemployment, high business and investor confidence, and strong business earnings. Unfavorable or uncertain economic and market conditions can be caused by declines in economic growth, business activity or investor or business confidence; limitations on the availability or increases in the cost of credit and capital; increases in inflation or interest rates; high unemployment, natural disasters; or a combination of these or other factors.

Overall, during 2010, the business environment has been adverse for many households and businesses in the United States and worldwide. While economic conditions in the State of Texas, the United States and worldwide have begun to improve, there can be no assurance that this improvement will continue. Such conditions could adversely affect the credit quality of the Corporation's loans, results of operations and financial condition.

The Corporation Is Subject To Lending Risk

There are inherent risks associated with the Corporation's lending activities. These risks include, among other things, the impact of changes in interest rates and changes in the economic conditions in the markets where the Corporation operates as well as those across the State of Texas and the United States. Increases in interest rates

Table of Contents

and/or weakening economic conditions could adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans or the value of the collateral securing these loans. The Corporation is also subject to various laws and regulations that affect its lending activities. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject the Corporation to regulatory enforcement action that could result in the assessment of significant civil money penalties against the Corporation.

As of December 31, 2010, approximately 86% of the Corporation's loan portfolio consisted of commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate mortgage loans. These types of loans are generally viewed as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans or consumer loans. These types of loans are also typically larger than residential real estate loans and consumer loans. Because the Corporation's loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in non-performing loans. An increase in non-performing loans could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for possible loan losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations. See the section captioned "Loans" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans.

The Corporation Is Subject To Interest Rate Risk

The Corporation's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the Corporation's control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Federal Open Market Committee. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest the Corporation receives on loans and securities and the amount of interest it pays on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect (i) the Corporation's ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of the Corporation's financial assets and liabilities, and (iii) the average duration of the Corporation's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, the Corporation's net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings.

Although management believes it has implemented effective asset and liability management strategies, including the use of derivatives as hedging instruments, to reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on the Corporation's results of operations, any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations. See the section captioned "Net Interest Income" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to the Corporation's management of interest rate risk.

The Corporation's Allowance For Possible Loan Losses May Be Insufficient

The Corporation maintains an allowance for possible loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions and

Table of Contents

unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires the Corporation to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Continuing deterioration in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of the Corporation's control, may require an increase in the allowance for possible loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for possible loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. Furthermore, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for possible loan losses, the Corporation will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for possible loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for possible loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations. See the section captioned "Allowance for Possible Loan Losses" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to the Corporation's process for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan losses.

The Corporation's Profitability Depends Significantly On Economic Conditions In The State Of Texas

The Corporation's success depends primarily on the general economic conditions of the State of Texas and the specific local markets in which the Corporation operates. Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, the Corporation provides banking and financial services to customers across Texas through financial centers in the Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Rio Grande Valley and San Antonio regions. The local economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for the Corporation's products and services as well as the ability of the Corporation's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans and the stability of the Corporation's deposit funding sources. A significant decline in general economic conditions in Texas, whether caused by recession, inflation, unemployment, changes in securities markets, acts of terrorism, outbreak of hostilities or other international or domestic occurrences or other factors could impact these local economic conditions and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation May Be Adversely Affected By The Soundness Of Other Financial Institutions

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. The Corporation has exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely executes transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, brokers and dealers, investment banks, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose the Corporation to credit risk in the event of a default by a counterparty or client. In addition, the Corporation's credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by the Corporation cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the credit or derivative exposure due to the Corporation. Any such losses could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Operates In A Highly Competitive Industry and Market Area

The Corporation faces substantial competition in all areas of its operations from a variety of different competitors, many of which are larger and may have more financial resources. Such competitors primarily include national, regional, and community banks within the various markets where the Corporation operates. The Corporation also faces competition from many other types of financial institutions, including, without limitation, savings and loans, credit unions, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, factoring companies and other financial intermediaries. The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Also, technology and other changes have lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for non-banks to offer products and services

Table of Contents

traditionally provided by banks. For example, consumers can maintain funds that would have historically been held as bank deposits in brokerage accounts or mutual funds. Consumers can also complete transactions such as paying bills and/or transferring funds directly without the assistance of banks. The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries, known as disintermediation, could result in the loss of fee income, as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. Further, many of the Corporation's competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures. Additionally, due to their size, many competitors may be able to achieve economies of scale and, as a result, may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for those products and services than the Corporation can.

The Corporation's ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including, among other things:

- The ability to develop, maintain and build long-term customer relationships based on top quality service, high ethical standards and safe, sound assets.
- The ability to expand the Corporation's market position.
- The scope, relevance and pricing of products and services offered to meet customer needs and demands.
- The rate at which the Corporation introduces new products and services relative to its competitors.
- Customer satisfaction with the Corporation's level of service.
- Industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken the Corporation's competitive position, which could adversely affect the Corporation's growth and profitability, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Is Subject To Extensive Government Regulation and Supervision

The Corporation, primarily through Cullen/Frost, Frost Bank and certain non-bank subsidiaries, is subject to extensive federal and state regulation and supervision. Banking regulations are primarily intended to protect depositors' funds, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, not security holders. These regulations affect the Corporation's lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy and growth, among other things. Congress and federal regulatory agencies continually review banking laws, regulations and policies for possible changes. The Dodd-Frank Act, enacted in July 2010, instituted major changes to the banking and financial institutions regulatory regimes in light of the recent performance of and government intervention in the financial services sector. Other changes to statutes, regulations or regulatory policies, including changes in interpretation or implementation of statutes, regulations or policies, could affect the Corporation in substantial and unpredictable ways. Such changes could subject the Corporation to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products the Corporation may offer and/or increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, among other things. Failure to comply with laws, regulations or policies could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties and/or reputation damage, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations. See the sections captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business and Note 10 - Regulatory Matters in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which are located elsewhere in this report.

The Recent Repeal of Federal Prohibitions on Payment of Interest on Demand Deposits Could Increase the Corporation's Interest Expense.

All federal prohibitions on the ability of financial institutions to pay interest on demand deposit accounts were repealed as part of the Dodd-Frank Act. As a result, beginning on July 21, 2011, financial institutions could commence offering interest on demand deposits to compete for clients. The Corporation does not yet know what interest rates other institutions may offer. The Corporation's interest expense will increase and its net interest

Table of Contents

margin will decrease if it begins offering interest on demand deposits to attract additional customers or maintain current customers, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation May Need to Raise Additional Capital in the Future, and Such Capital May Not Be Available When Needed or at All

The Corporation may need to raise additional capital in the future to provide it with sufficient capital resources and liquidity to meet its commitments and business needs, particularly if its asset quality or earnings were to deteriorate significantly. The Corporation's ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on, among other things, conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside of its control, and its financial performance. Economic conditions and the loss of confidence in financial institutions may increase the Corporation's cost of funding and limit access to certain customary sources of capital, including inter-bank borrowings, repurchase agreements and borrowings from the discount window of the Federal Reserve.

The Corporation cannot assure that such capital will be available on acceptable terms or at all. Any occurrence that may limit the Corporation's access to the capital markets, such as a decline in the confidence of debt purchasers, depositors of Frost Bank or counterparties participating in the capital markets, or a downgrade of Cullen/Frost's or Frost Bank's debt ratings, may adversely affect the Corporation's capital costs and its ability to raise capital and, in turn, its liquidity. Moreover, if the Corporation needs to raise capital in the future, it may have to do so when many other financial institutions are also seeking to raise capital and would have to compete with those institutions for investors. An inability to raise additional capital on acceptable terms when needed could have a materially adverse effect on the Corporation's businesses, financial condition and results of operations.

The Value of the Corporation's Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets May Decline in the Future.

As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation had \$542.0 million of goodwill and other intangible assets. A significant decline in the Corporation's expected future cash flows, a significant adverse change in the business climate, slower growth rates or a significant and sustained decline in the price of Cullen/Frost's common stock may necessitate taking charges in the future related to the impairment of the Corporation's goodwill and other intangible assets. If the Corporation were to conclude that a future write-down of goodwill and other intangible assets is necessary, the Corporation would record the appropriate charge, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation's Controls and Procedures May Fail or Be Circumvented

Management regularly reviews and updates the Corporation's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of the Corporation's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, results of operations and financial condition.

New Lines of Business or New Products and Services May Subject The Corporation to Additional Risks

From time to time, the Corporation may implement new lines of business or offer new products and services within existing lines of business. There are substantial risks and uncertainties associated with these efforts, particularly in instances where the markets are not fully developed. In developing and marketing new lines of business and/or new products and services the Corporation may invest significant time and resources. Initial timetables for the introduction and development of new lines of business and/or new products or services may not be achieved and price and profitability targets may not prove feasible. External factors, such as compliance

Table of Contents

with regulations, competitive alternatives, and shifting market preferences, may also impact the successful implementation of a new line of business or a new product or service. Furthermore, any new line of business and/or new product or service could have a significant impact on the effectiveness of the Corporation's system of internal controls. Failure to successfully manage these risks in the development and implementation of new lines of business or new products or services could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, results of operations and financial condition.

Cullen/Frost Relies On Dividends From Its Subsidiaries For Most Of Its Revenue

Cullen/Frost is a separate and distinct legal entity from its subsidiaries. It receives substantially all of its revenue from dividends from its subsidiaries. These dividends are the principal source of funds to pay dividends on Cullen/Frost's common stock and interest and principal on Cullen/Frost's debt. Various federal and/or state laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends that Frost Bank and certain non-bank subsidiaries may pay to Cullen/Frost. Also, Cullen/Frost's right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors. In the event Frost Bank is unable to pay dividends to Cullen/Frost, Cullen/Frost may not be able to service debt, pay obligations or pay dividends on the Corporation's common stock. The inability to receive dividends from Frost Bank could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations. See the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" in Item 1. Business and Note 10 "Regulatory Matters" in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which are located elsewhere in this report.

Potential Acquisitions May Disrupt the Corporation's Business and Dilute Stockholder Value

The Corporation generally seeks merger or acquisition partners that are culturally similar and have experienced management and possess either significant market presence or have potential for improved profitability through financial management, economies of scale or expanded services. Acquiring other banks, businesses, or branches involves various risks commonly associated with acquisitions, including, among other things:

- Potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of the target company.
- Exposure to potential asset quality issues of the target company.
- Potential disruption to the Corporation's business.
- Potential diversion of the Corporation's management's time and attention.
- The possible loss of key employees and customers of the target company.
- Difficulty in estimating the value of the target company.
- Potential changes in banking or tax laws or regulations that may affect the target company.

The Corporation regularly evaluates merger and acquisition opportunities and conducts due diligence activities related to possible transactions with other financial institutions and financial services companies. As a result, merger or acquisition discussions and, in some cases, negotiations may take place and future mergers or acquisitions involving cash, debt or equity securities may occur at any time. Acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and, therefore, some dilution of the Corporation's tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction. Furthermore, failure to realize the expected revenue increases, cost savings, increases in geographic or product presence, and/or other projected benefits from an acquisition could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation acquired insurance agencies in both the Dallas and San Marcos market areas during 2009 and an insurance agency in the Dallas market area in 2008. None of these acquisitions had a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements during their respective reporting periods.

Table of Contents

The Corporation is Subject to Liquidity Risk.

The Corporation requires liquidity to meet its deposit and debt obligations as they come due. The Corporation's access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance its activities or on terms that are acceptable to it could be impaired by factors that affect it specifically or the financial services industry or economy generally. Factors that could reduce its access to liquidity sources include a downturn in the Texas market, difficult credit markets or adverse regulatory actions against the Corporation. The Corporation's access to deposits may also be affected by the liquidity needs of its depositors. In particular, a substantial majority of the Corporation's liabilities are demand, savings, interest checking and money market deposits, which are payable on demand or upon several days' notice, while by comparison, a substantial portion of its assets are loans, which cannot be called or sold in the same time frame. Although the Corporation historically has been able to replace maturing deposits and advances as necessary, it might not be able to replace such funds in the future, especially if a large number of its depositors sought to withdraw their accounts, regardless of the reason. A failure to maintain adequate liquidity could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation May Not Be Able To Attract and Retain Skilled People

The Corporation's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities engaged in by the Corporation can be intense and the Corporation may not be able to hire people or to retain them. The Corporation does not currently have employment agreements or non-competition agreements with any of its senior officers. The unexpected loss of services of key personnel of the Corporation could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business because of their skills, knowledge of the Corporation's market, years of industry experience and the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel.

The Corporation's Information Systems May Experience An Interruption Or Breach In Security

The Corporation relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Corporation's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. While the Corporation has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption or security breach of its information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of the Corporation's information systems could damage the Corporation's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Corporation to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Corporation to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Continually Encounters Technological Change

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. The Corporation's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as to create additional efficiencies in the Corporation's operations. Many of the Corporation's competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. The Corporation may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to its customers. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

The Corporation Is Subject To Claims and Litigation Pertaining To Fiduciary Responsibility

From time to time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to the Corporation's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to the Corporation's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Corporation they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Corporation and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation's Operations Rely on Certain External Vendors

The Corporation is reliant upon certain external vendors to provide products and services necessary to maintain day-to-day operations of the Corporation. Accordingly, the Corporation's operations are exposed to risk that these vendors will not perform in accordance with the contracted arrangements under service level agreements. The Corporation maintains a system of comprehensive policies and a control framework designed to monitor vendor risks including, among other things, (i) changes in the vendor's organizational structure, (ii) changes in the vendor's financial condition, (iii) changes in the vendor's support for existing products and services and (iv) changes in the vendor's strategic focus. While the Corporation believes these policies and procedures help to mitigate risk, the failure of an external vendor to perform in accordance with the contracted arrangements under service level agreements could be disruptive to the Corporation's operations, which could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Is Subject To Environmental Liability Risk Associated With Lending Activities

A significant portion of the Corporation's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Corporation may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Corporation may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Corporation to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Corporation's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Corporation's exposure to environmental liability. Although the Corporation has policies and procedures to perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Severe Weather, Natural Disasters, Acts Of War Or Terrorism and Other External Events Could Significantly Impact The Corporation's Business

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Corporation's ability to conduct business. In addition, such events could affect the stability of the Corporation's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Corporation to incur additional expenses. Although management has established disaster recovery policies and procedures, the occurrence of any such event in the future could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Financial Services Companies Depend On The Accuracy And Completeness Of Information About Customers And Counterparties

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, the Corporation may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. The Corporation may also rely on representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Associated With The Corporation's Common Stock

The Corporation's Stock Price Can Be Volatile

Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive. The Corporation's stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors including, among other things:

- .. Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations.
- .. Recommendations by securities analysts.
- .. Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to the Corporation.
- .. News reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry.
- .. Perceptions in the marketplace regarding the Corporation and/or its competitors.
- .. New technology used, or services offered, by competitors.
- .. Significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by or involving the Corporation or its competitors.
- .. Failure to integrate acquisitions or realize anticipated benefits from acquisitions.
- .. Changes in government regulations.
- .. Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

General market fluctuations, industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions, interest rate changes or credit loss trends, could also cause the Corporation's stock price to decrease regardless of operating results.

The Trading Volume In The Corporation's Common Stock Is Less Than That Of Other Larger Financial Services Companies

Although the Corporation's common stock is listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), the trading volume in its common stock is less than that of other, larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of the Corporation's common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which the Corporation has no control. Given the lower trading volume of the Corporation's common stock, significant sales of the Corporation's common stock, or the expectation of these sales, could cause the Corporation's stock price to fall.

Cullen/Frost May Not Continue to Pay Dividends on its Common Stock in the Future

Holders of Cullen/Frost common stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as its board of directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. Although Cullen/Frost has historically declared cash

Table of Contents

dividends on its common stock, it is not required to do so and may reduce or eliminate its common stock dividend in the future. This could adversely affect the market price of Cullen/Frost's common stock. Also, Cullen/Frost is a bank holding company, and its ability to declare and pay dividends is dependent on certain federal regulatory considerations, including the guidelines of the Federal Reserve regarding capital adequacy and dividends.

An Investment In The Corporation's Common Stock Is Not An Insured Deposit

The Corporation's common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), any other deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in the Corporation's common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this Risk Factors section and elsewhere in this report and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in any company. As a result, if you acquire the Corporation's common stock, you could lose some or all of your investment.

The Corporation's Articles Of Incorporation and By-Laws As Well As Certain Banking Laws May Have An Anti-Takeover Effect

Provisions of the Corporation's articles of incorporation and by-laws and federal banking laws, including regulatory approval requirements, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire the Corporation, even if doing so would be perceived to be beneficial to the Corporation's shareholders. The combination of these provisions effectively inhibits a non-negotiated merger or other business combination, which, in turn, could adversely affect the market price of the Corporation's common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Corporation's headquarters are located in downtown San Antonio, Texas. These facilities, which are owned by the Corporation, house the Corporation's executive and primary administrative offices, as well as the principal banking headquarters of Frost Bank. The Corporation also owns or leases other facilities within its primary market areas in the regions of Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Rio Grande Valley and San Antonio. The Corporation considers its properties to be suitable and adequate for its present needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Corporation is subject to various claims and legal actions that have arisen in the normal course of conducting business. Management does not expect the ultimate disposition of these matters to have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES***Common Stock Market Prices and Dividends*

The Corporation's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (NYSE) under the symbol CFR . The tables below set forth for each quarter of 2010 and 2009 the high and low intra-day sales prices per share of Cullen/Frost's common stock as reported by the NYSE and the cash dividends declared per share.

Sales Price Per Share	2010		2009	
	High	Low	High	Low
First quarter	\$ 57.14	\$ 49.55	\$ 50.99	\$ 35.40
Second quarter	60.78	51.39	53.59	43.66
Third quarter	56.65	50.04	53.20	43.59
Fourth quarter	62.13	51.20	51.59	45.67
Cash Dividends Per Share				
			2010	2009
First quarter			\$ 0.43	\$ 0.42
Second quarter			0.45	0.43
Third quarter			0.45	0.43
Fourth quarter			0.45	0.43
Total			\$ 1.78	\$ 1.71

As of December 31, 2010, there were 61,108,184 shares of the Corporation's common stock outstanding held by 1,539 holders of record. The closing price per share of common stock on December 31, 2010, the last trading day of the Corporation's fiscal year, was \$61.12.

The Corporation's management is currently committed to continuing to pay regular cash dividends; however, there can be no assurance as to future dividends because they are dependent on the Corporation's future earnings, capital requirements and financial condition. See the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business, the section captioned "Capital and Liquidity" included in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 10 - Regulatory Matters in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, all of which are included elsewhere in this report.

Table of Contents

Stock-Based Compensation Plans

Information regarding stock-based compensation awards outstanding and available for future grants as of December 31, 2010, segregated between stock-based compensation plans approved by shareholders and stock-based compensation plans not approved by shareholders, is presented in the table below. Additional information regarding stock-based compensation plans is presented in Note 11 - Employee Benefit Plans in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data located elsewhere in this report.

Plan Category	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise	Number of Shares Available for Future Grants
	to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Awards	Price of Outstanding Awards	
Plans approved by shareholders	4,383,885	\$ 52.08	2,759,347
Plans not approved by shareholders	-	-	-
Total	4,383,885	\$ 52.08	2,759,347

Stock Repurchase Plans

The Corporation has maintained several stock repurchase plans authorized by the Corporation's board of directors. In general, stock repurchase plans allow the Corporation to proactively manage its capital position and return excess capital to shareholders. Shares purchased under such plans also provide the Corporation with shares of common stock necessary to satisfy obligations related to stock compensation awards. Under the most recent plan, 404 thousand shares approved for repurchase were repurchased during the first quarter of 2008 at a total cost of \$21.9 million.

The following table provides information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Corporation or any affiliated purchaser (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), of the Corporation's common stock during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans at the End of the Period
October 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010	15,018 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 52.82	-	-
November 1, 2010 to November 30, 2010	-	-	-	-
December 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010	-	-	-	-
Total	15,018	\$ 52.82	-	-

(1) Represents repurchases made in connection with the vesting of certain share awards.

Table of Contents**Performance Graph**

The performance graph below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on Cullen/Frost Common Stock with the cumulative total return on the equity securities of companies included in the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and the Standard and Poor's 500 Bank Index, measured at the last trading day of each year shown. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2005 and reinvestment of dividends on the date of payment without commissions. The performance graph represents past performance and should not be considered to be an indication of future performance.

Cumulative Total Returns**on \$100 Investment Made on December 31, 2005**

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Cullen/Frost	\$ 100.00	\$ 106.45	\$ 99.53	\$ 102.71	\$ 104.95	\$ 132.47
S&P 500	100.00	115.76	122.11	77.00	97.31	111.95
S&P 500 Banks	100.00	117.72	95.35	75.98	71.29	85.52

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following consolidated selected financial data is derived from the Corporation's audited financial statements as of and for the five years ended December 31, 2010. The following consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. All of the Corporation's acquisitions during the five years ended December 31, 2010 were accounted for using the purchase method. Accordingly, the operating results of the acquired companies are included with the Corporation's results of operations since their respective dates of acquisition. Dollar amounts, except per share data, and common shares outstanding are in thousands.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Consolidated Statements of Income					
Interest income:					
Loans, including fees	\$ 409,651	\$ 432,222	\$ 504,680	\$ 573,039	\$ 502,657
Securities	202,713	188,446	167,044	165,517	144,501
Interest-bearing deposits	4,901	2,161	429	396	251
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	74	207	3,498	29,895	36,550
Total interest income	617,339	623,036	675,651	768,847	683,959
Interest expense:					
Deposits	29,973	56,015	104,871	190,237	155,090
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	437	1,052	12,954	31,951	31,167
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	6,982	7,231	6,972	11,283	17,402
Subordinated notes payable and other borrowings	16,488	22,059	16,829	16,639	11,137
Total interest expense	53,880	86,357	141,626	250,110	214,796
Net interest income	563,459	536,679	534,025	518,737	469,163
Provision for possible loan losses	43,611	65,392	37,823	14,660	14,150
Net interest income after provision for possible loan losses	519,848	471,287	496,202	504,077	455,013
Non-interest income:					
Trust fees	68,428	67,268	74,554	70,359	63,469
Service charges on deposit accounts	98,796	102,474	87,566	80,718	77,116
Insurance commissions and fees	34,015	33,096	32,904	30,847	28,230
Other charges, commissions and fees	30,452	27,699	35,557	32,558	28,105
Net gain (loss) on securities transactions	6	(1,260)	(159)	15	(1)
Other	50,336	64,429	56,900	53,734	43,828
Total non-interest income	282,033	293,706	287,322	268,231	240,747
Non-interest expense:					
Salaries and wages	239,589	230,643	225,943	209,982	190,784
Employee benefits	52,352	55,224	47,219	47,095	46,231
Net occupancy	46,166	44,188	40,464	38,824	34,695
Furniture and equipment	47,651	44,223	37,799	32,821	26,293
Deposit insurance	20,451	25,812	4,597	1,220	1,162
Intangible amortization	5,125	6,537	7,906	8,860	5,628
Other	124,207	125,611	122,717	123,644	105,560
Total non-interest expense	535,541	532,238	486,645	462,446	410,353

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Income before income taxes	266,340	232,755	296,879	309,862	285,407
Income taxes	57,576	53,721	89,624	97,791	91,816
Net income	\$ 208,764	\$ 179,034	\$ 207,255	\$ 212,071	\$ 193,591

Table of Contents**Selected Financial Data** (continued)

As of or for the Year Ended December 31,

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Per Common Share Data					
Net income - basic	\$ 3.44	\$ 3.00	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.59	\$ 3.48
Net income - diluted	3.44	3.00	3.50	3.57	3.44
Cash dividends declared and paid	1.78	1.71	1.66	1.54	1.32
Book value	33.74	31.55	29.68	25.18	23.01
Common Shares Outstanding					
Period-end	61,108	60,038	59,416	58,662	59,839
Weighted-average shares - basic	60,411	59,456	58,846	58,952	55,467
Dilutive effect of stock compensation	175	58	324	645	1,043
Weighted-average shares - diluted	60,586	59,514	59,170	59,597	56,510
Performance Ratios					
Return on average assets	1.21%	1.14%	1.51%	1.63%	1.67%
Return on average equity	10.30	9.78	13.11	15.20	18.03
Net interest income to average earning assets	4.08	4.23	4.67	4.69	4.67
Dividend pay-out ratio	51.75	57.05	47.36	42.83	37.91
Balance Sheet Data					
Period-end:					
Loans	\$ 8,117,020	\$ 8,367,780	\$ 8,844,082	\$ 7,769,362	\$ 7,373,384
Earning assets	15,806,350	14,437,267	13,001,103	11,556,385	11,460,741
Total assets	17,617,092	16,288,038	15,034,142	13,485,014	13,224,189
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	5,360,436	4,645,802	4,152,348	3,597,903	3,699,701
Interest-bearing deposits	9,118,906	8,667,508	7,356,589	6,931,770	6,688,208
Total deposits	14,479,342	13,313,310	11,508,937	10,529,673	10,387,909
Long-term debt and other borrowings	373,757	392,646	392,661	400,323	428,636
Shareholders equity	2,061,680	1,894,424	1,763,527	1,477,088	1,376,883
Average:					
Loans	\$ 8,125,150	\$ 8,652,563	\$ 8,314,265	\$ 7,464,140	\$ 6,523,906
Earning assets	15,333,348	13,803,919	11,868,262	11,339,876	10,202,981
Total assets	17,186,572	15,701,960	13,684,531	13,041,682	11,581,253
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	5,023,780	4,258,484	3,614,747	3,524,132	3,334,280
Interest-bearing deposits	9,023,839	8,161,143	6,916,372	6,688,509	5,850,116
Total deposits	14,047,619	12,419,627	10,531,119	10,212,641	9,184,396
Long-term debt and other borrowings	382,651	576,161	394,763	413,700	405,752
Shareholders equity	2,027,699	1,831,133	1,580,311	1,395,022	1,073,599
Asset Quality					
Allowance for possible loan losses	\$ 126,316	\$ 125,309	\$ 110,244	\$ 92,339	\$ 96,085
Allowance for possible loan losses to period-end loans	1.56%	1.50%	1.25%	1.19%	1.30%
Net loan charge-offs	\$ 42,604	\$ 50,327	\$ 19,918	\$ 18,406	\$ 11,110
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	0.52%	0.58%	0.24%	0.25%	0.17%

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Non-performing assets	\$	164,950	\$	180,179	\$	78,040	\$	29,849	\$	57,749
Non-performing assets to:										
Total loans plus foreclosed assets		2.03%		2.14%		0.88%		0.38%		0.78%
Total assets		0.94		1.11		0.52		0.22		0.44
Consolidated Capital Ratios										
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio		13.82%		11.91%		10.30%		9.96%		11.25%
Total risk-based capital ratio		15.91		14.19		12.58		12.59		13.43
Leverage ratio		8.68		8.50		8.80		8.37		9.56
Average shareholders equity to average total assets		11.80		11.66		11.55		10.70		9.27

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth unaudited consolidated selected quarterly statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Dollar amounts are in thousands, except per share data.

	Year Ended December 31, 2010			
	4th Quarter	3rd Quarter	2nd Quarter	1st Quarter
Interest income	\$ 154,176	\$ 155,688	\$ 155,314	\$ 152,161
Interest expense	12,613	13,272	13,418	14,577
Net interest income	141,563	142,416	141,896	137,584
Provision for possible loan losses	11,290	10,100	8,650	13,571
Non-interest income ⁽¹⁾	70,278	70,430	69,932	71,393
Non-interest expense	133,741	132,552	134,654	134,594
Income before income taxes	66,810	70,194	68,524	60,812
Income taxes	13,759	15,199	15,624	12,994
Net income	\$ 53,051	\$ 54,995	\$ 52,900	\$ 47,818
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.79
Diluted	0.87	0.90	0.87	0.79

	Year Ended December 31, 2010			
	4th Quarter	3rd Quarter	2nd Quarter	1st Quarter
Interest income	\$ 156,265	\$ 155,490	\$ 157,225	\$ 154,056
Interest expense	17,671	21,501	22,761	24,424
Net interest income	138,594	133,989	134,464	129,632
Provision for possible loan losses	22,250	16,940	16,601	9,601
Non-interest income ⁽²⁾	86,345	69,491	68,006	69,864
Non-interest expense	134,216	132,234	136,289	129,499
Income before income taxes	68,473	54,306	49,580	60,396
Income taxes	16,979	9,607	11,721	15,414
Net income	\$ 51,494	\$ 44,699	\$ 37,859	\$ 44,982
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.76
Diluted	0.86	0.75	0.63	0.76

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

- (1) Includes net gains on securities transactions of \$1 thousand during the second quarter and \$5 thousand during the first quarter of 2010.
- (2) Includes net losses on securities transactions of \$1.3 million during the fourth quarter of 2009 and net gains of \$49 thousand during the second quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results

Certain statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not statements of historical fact constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act"), notwithstanding that such statements are not specifically identified as such. In addition, certain statements may be contained in the Corporation's future filings with the SEC, in press releases, and in oral and written statements made by or with the approval of the Corporation that are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: (i) projections of revenues, expenses, income or loss, earnings or loss per share, the payment or nonpayment of dividends, capital structure and other financial items; (ii) statements of plans, objectives and expectations of Cullen/Frost or its management or Board of Directors, including those relating to products or services; (iii) statements of future economic performance; and (iv) statements of assumptions underlying such statements. Words such as "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "targeted," "continue," "remain," "will," "should," "may" and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those in such statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- .. Local, regional, national and international economic conditions and the impact they may have on the Corporation and its customers and the Corporation's assessment of that impact.
- .. Volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets.
- .. Government intervention in the U.S. financial system.
- .. Changes in the mix of loan geographies, sectors and types or the level of non-performing assets and charge-offs.
- .. Changes in estimates of future reserve requirements based upon the periodic review thereof under relevant regulatory and accounting requirements.
- .. The effects of and changes in trade and monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve Board.
- .. Inflation, interest rate, securities market and monetary fluctuations.
- .. The effect of changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance) with which the Corporation and its subsidiaries must comply.
- .. The soundness of other financial institutions.
- .. Political instability.
- .. Impairment of the Corporation's goodwill or other intangible assets.
- .. Acts of God or of war or terrorism.
- .. The timely development and acceptance of new products and services and perceived overall value of these products and services by users.
- .. Changes in consumer spending, borrowings and savings habits.
- .. Changes in the financial performance and/or condition of the Corporation's borrowers.
- .. Technological changes.
- .. Acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses.
- .. The ability to increase market share and control expenses.
- .. The Corporation's ability to attract and retain qualified employees.
- .. Changes in the competitive environment in the Corporation's markets and among banking organizations and other financial service providers.
- .. The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters.

Table of Contents

- .. Changes in the reliability of the Corporation's vendors, internal control systems or information systems.
- .. Changes in the Corporation's liquidity position.
- .. Changes in the Corporation's organization, compensation and benefit plans.
- .. The costs and effects of legal and regulatory developments including the resolution of legal proceedings or regulatory or other governmental inquiries and the results of regulatory examinations or reviews.
- .. Greater than expected costs or difficulties related to the integration of new products and lines of business.
- .. The Corporation's success at managing the risks involved in the foregoing items.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made. The Corporation undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies followed by the Corporation conform, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and to general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. While the Corporation bases estimates on historical experience, current information and other factors deemed to be relevant, actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Corporation considers accounting estimates to be critical to reported financial results if (i) the accounting estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain and (ii) different estimates that management reasonably could have used for the accounting estimate in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, could have a material impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

Accounting policies related to the allowance for possible loan losses are considered to be critical, as these policies involve considerable subjective judgment and estimation by management. The allowance for possible loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Corporation's allowance for possible loan loss methodology includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 310, *Receivables* and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450, *Contingencies*. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio quality, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio, as well as trends in the foregoing. Portions of the allowance may be allocated for specific credits; however, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Corporation's control, including the performance of the Corporation's loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications. See the section captioned *Allowance for Possible Loan Losses* elsewhere in this discussion and Note 3 - *Loans* in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* elsewhere in this report for further details of the risk factors considered by management in estimating the necessary level of the allowance for possible loan losses.

Table of Contents**Overview**

The following discussion and analysis presents the more significant factors affecting the Corporation's financial condition as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and results of operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, notes thereto and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report. The Corporation acquired insurance agencies in both the Dallas and San Marcos market areas during 2009 and an insurance agency in the Dallas market area in 2008. All of the Corporation's acquisitions during the reported periods were accounted for as purchase transactions, and as such, their related results of operations are included from the date of acquisition, though none of these acquisitions had a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements during their respective reporting periods.

Taxable-equivalent adjustments are the result of increasing income from tax-free loans and investments by an amount equal to the taxes that would be paid if the income were fully taxable based on a 35% federal tax rate, thus making tax-exempt yields comparable to taxable asset yields.

Dollar amounts in tables are stated in thousands, except for per share amounts.

Results of Operations

Net income totaled \$208.8 million, or \$3.44 diluted per common share, in 2010 compared to \$179.0 million, or \$3.00 diluted per common share, in 2009 and \$207.3 million, or \$3.50 diluted per common share, in 2008.

Selected income statement data, returns on average assets and average equity and dividends per share for the comparable periods were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Taxable-equivalent net interest income	\$ 616,319	\$ 577,716	\$ 554,353
Taxable-equivalent adjustment	52,860	41,037	20,328
Net interest income	563,459	536,679	534,025
Provision for possible loan losses	43,611	65,392	37,823
Non-interest income	282,033	293,706	287,322
Non-interest expense	535,541	532,238	486,645
Income before income taxes	266,340	232,755	296,879
Income taxes	57,576	53,721	89,624
Net income	\$ 208,764	\$ 179,034	\$ 207,255
Earnings per common share:			
Basic	\$ 3.44	\$ 3.00	\$ 3.51
Diluted	3.44	3.00	3.50
Return on average assets	1.21%	1.14%	1.51%
Return on average equity	10.30	9.78	13.11

Net income for 2010 increased \$29.7 million, or 16.6%. The increase was primarily the result of a \$26.8 million increase in net interest income and a \$21.8 million decrease in the provision for possible loan losses partly offset by an \$11.7 million decrease in non-interest income, a \$3.9 million increase in income tax expense and a \$3.3 million increase in non-interest expense. Net income for 2009 decreased \$28.2 million, or 13.6%. The decrease was primarily the result of a \$45.6 million increase in non-interest expense and a \$27.6 million increase in the provision for possible loan losses partly offset by a \$35.9 million decrease in income tax expense, a \$6.4 million increase in non-interest income and a \$2.7 million increase in net interest income.

Details of the changes in the various components of net income are further discussed below.

Table of Contents**Net Interest Income**

Net interest income is the difference between interest income on earning assets, such as loans and securities, and interest expense on liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings, which are used to fund those assets. Net interest income is the Corporation's largest source of revenue, representing 66.6% of total revenue during 2010. Net interest margin is the ratio of taxable-equivalent net interest income to average earning assets for the period. The level of interest rates and the volume and mix of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities impact net interest income and net interest margin.

The Federal Reserve Board influences the general market rates of interest, including the deposit and loan rates offered by many financial institutions. The Corporation's loan portfolio is significantly affected by changes in the prime interest rate. The prime interest rate, which is the rate offered on loans to borrowers with strong credit, began 2008 at 7.25% and decreased 200 basis points in the first quarter, 25 basis points in the second quarter and 175 basis points in the fourth quarter to end the year at 3.25%. During 2009 and 2010, the prime interest rate remained at 3.25%. The Corporation's loan portfolio is also impacted, to a lesser extent, by changes in the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). At December 31, 2010, the one-month and three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR rates were 0.26% and 0.30%, respectively, while at December 31, 2009, the one-month and three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR rates were 0.23% and 0.25%, respectively. The intended federal funds rate, which is the cost of immediately available overnight funds, fluctuated in a similar manner to the prime interest rate. It began 2008 at 4.25% and decreased 200 basis points in the first quarter, 25 basis points in the second quarter and 175 basis points in the fourth quarter to end the year at 0.25%. During 2009 and 2010, the intended federal funds rate remained at zero to 0.25%.

The Corporation's balance sheet has historically been asset sensitive, meaning that earning assets generally reprice more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities. Therefore, the Corporation's net interest margin was likely to increase in sustained periods of rising interest rates and decrease in sustained periods of declining interest rates. During the fourth quarter of 2007, in an effort to make the Corporation's balance sheet less sensitive to changes in interest rates, the Corporation entered into various interest rate swaps which effectively converted certain variable-rate loans into fixed-rate instruments for a period of time. During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Corporation also entered into an interest rate swap which effectively converted variable-rate debt into fixed-rate debt for a period of time. As a result of these actions, the Corporation's balance sheet was more interest-rate neutral and changes in interest rates had a less significant impact on the Corporation's net interest margin than would have otherwise been the case. During the fourth quarter of 2009, a portion of the interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans were terminated, while the remaining interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans were terminated during the fourth quarter of 2010. These actions increased the asset sensitivity of the Corporation's balance sheet. See Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information related to these interest rate swaps.

The Corporation is primarily funded by core deposits, with non-interest-bearing demand deposits historically being a significant source of funds. This lower-cost funding base is expected to have a positive impact on the Corporation's net interest income and net interest margin in a rising interest rate environment. As stated previously in the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business, elsewhere in this report, the Dodd-Frank Act repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. Although the ultimate impact of this legislation on the Corporation has not yet been determined, the Corporation expects interest costs associated with demand deposits to increase. Further analysis of the components of the Corporation's net interest margin is presented below.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the changes in taxable-equivalent net interest income and identifies the changes due to differences in the average volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the changes due to changes in the average interest rate on those assets and liabilities. The changes in net interest income due to changes in both average volume and average interest rate have been allocated to the average volume change or the average interest rate change in proportion to the absolute amounts of the change in each. The Corporation's consolidated average balance sheets along with an analysis of taxable-equivalent net interest income are presented on pages 140 and 141 of this report.

	2010 vs. 2009			2009 vs. 2008		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in			Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in		
	Rate	Volume	Total	Rate	Volume	Total
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ (87)	\$ 2,827	\$ 2,740	\$ (298)	\$ 2,030	\$ 1,732
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	6	(139)	(133)	(1,961)	(1,330)	(3,291)
Securities:						
Taxable	(21,804)	18,122	(3,682)	(16,080)	(2,196)	(18,276)
Tax-exempt	(1,550)	31,031	29,481	3,232	59,590	62,822
Loans, net of unearned discounts	5,055	(27,335)	(22,280)	(95,098)	20,205	(74,893)
Total earning assets	(18,380)	24,506	6,126	(110,205)	78,299	(31,906)
Savings and interest checking	(366)	417	51	(799)	515	(284)
Money market deposit accounts	(11,704)	4,787	(6,917)	(35,015)	8,217	(26,798)
Time accounts	(13,597)	(4,978)	(18,575)	(23,893)	6,946	(16,947)
Public funds	(795)	194	(601)	(4,922)	95	(4,827)
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	(415)	(200)	(615)	(8,188)	(3,714)	(11,902)
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	80	(329)	(249)	262	(3)	259
Subordinated notes payable and other notes	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	3,116	(8,687)	(5,571)	(370)	5,600	5,230
Total interest-bearing liabilities	(23,681)	(8,796)	(32,477)	(72,925)	17,656	(55,269)
Net change	\$ 5,301	\$ 33,302	\$ 38,603	\$ (37,280)	\$ 60,643	\$ 23,363

Taxable-equivalent net interest income for 2010 increased \$38.6 million, or 6.7%, compared to 2009. The increase primarily resulted from an increase in the average volume of interest-earning assets. Additionally, taxable-equivalent net interest income was positively impacted as the decrease in the average cost of funds was proportionally larger than the decrease in the average yield on interest-earning assets. The average volume of interest-earning assets for 2010 increased \$1.5 billion, or 11.1%, compared to 2009. The net interest margin decreased 15 basis points from 4.23% in 2009 to 4.08% in 2010. The decrease in the net interest margin was partly due to an increase in the relative proportion of interest-earning assets invested in lower-yielding interest-bearing deposits during 2010 compared to 2009 while the relative proportion of average interest-earning assets invested in higher-yielding loans decreased. The average cost of funds decreased 37 basis points from 0.92% in 2009 to 0.55% in 2010 while the average yield on interest-earning assets decreased 42 basis points from 4.86% in 2009 to 4.44% in 2010. The average yield on interest-earning assets is primarily impacted by changes in market interest rates as well as changes in the volume and relative mix of interest-earning assets. As stated above, market interest rates decreased to historically low levels during 2008 and remained at those levels during 2009 and 2010.

Table of Contents

The effect of lower average market interest rates on the average yield on average interest-earning assets was partly limited by the aforementioned interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income for 2009 increased \$23.4 million, or 4.2%, compared to 2008. The increase primarily resulted from an increase in the average volume of interest-earning assets partly offset by a decrease in the net interest margin and the effect of a decrease in the number of days in 2009 due to a leap year in 2008. The average volume of interest-earning assets for 2009 increased \$1.9 billion, or 16.3%, compared to 2008. Over the same time frame, the net interest margin decreased 44 basis points from 4.67% in 2008 to 4.23% in 2009. Taxable-equivalent net interest income for 2009 included 365 days compared to 366 days for 2008. The additional day added approximately \$1.5 million to taxable-equivalent net interest income during 2008. Excluding the impact of the additional day during 2008 results in an effective increase in taxable-equivalent net interest income of approximately \$24.9 million during 2009 compared to 2008. This effective increase was the result of the aforementioned increase in the average volume of interest-earning assets. The net interest margin decreased during 2009 compared to 2008 in part due to the decrease in market interest rates discussed above. The net interest margin was also partly impacted by an increase in the proportion of average interest-earning assets invested in lower yielding interest-bearing deposits during 2009 compared to 2008, while the proportion of average interest-earning assets invested in higher-yielding loans decreased. The average yield on interest-earning assets decreased 100 basis points from 5.86% in 2008 to 4.86% in 2009, while the average cost of funds decreased 78 basis points from 1.70% in 2008 to 0.92% in 2009. The effect of lower average market interest rates on the average yield on average interest-earning assets was partly limited by the aforementioned interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans.

The average volume of loans, the Corporation's primary category of earning assets, decreased \$527.4 million, or 6.1%, in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased \$338.3 million, or 4.1%, in 2009 compared to 2008. Loans made up approximately 53.0% of average interest-earning assets in 2010 compared to 62.7% in 2009 and 70.1% in 2008. The average yield on loans was 5.11% in the 2010 compared to 5.05% in 2009 and 6.16% in 2008. Loans generally have significantly higher yields compared to securities, interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold and resell agreements and, as such, have a more positive effect on the net interest margin.

The average volume of securities increased \$950.9 million in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased \$936.0 million in 2009 compared to 2008. Securities made up approximately 34.0% of average interest-earning assets in 2010 compared to 30.9% in 2009 and 28.0% in 2008. The average yield on securities was 5.02% in 2010 compared to 5.45% in 2009 and 5.41% in 2008. The relative proportion of higher-yielding, tax-exempt municipal securities increased to 37.0% of average securities in 2010 from 34.0% in 2009 and 16.7% in 2008. Despite the increase in the relative proportion of higher-yielding, tax-exempt municipal securities in 2010 compared to 2009, the average yield on securities decreased primarily as a result of a decrease in the yield on taxable securities as proceeds from principal repayments were reinvested at lower market rates. The average yield on taxable securities was 3.84% in 2010 compared to 4.58% in 2009 and 5.17% in 2008, while the average taxable-equivalent yield on tax-exempt securities was 7.04% in 2010 compared to 7.15% in 2009 and 6.61% in 2008.

Average federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits during 2010 increased \$1.1 billion, or 124.5%, compared to 2009 and increased \$661.3 million, or 291.2%, in 2009 compared to the 2008. Federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits made up approximately 13.0% of average interest-earning assets in 2010 compared to approximately 6.4% in 2009 and 1.9% in 2008. The combined average yield on federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits was 0.25% in 2010 compared to 0.27% in 2009 and 1.73% in 2008. The increase in federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits compared to prior years was primarily due to significant deposit growth, as further discussed below.

Average deposits increased \$1.6 billion, or 13.1%, in 2010 compared to 2009 and \$1.9 billion, or 17.9%, in 2009 compared to 2008. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$862.7 million in 2010 compared to 2009 and \$1.2 billion in 2009 compared 2008, while average non-interest-bearing deposits increased \$765.3 million in

Table of Contents

2010 compared to 2009 and \$643.7 million in 2009 compared to 2008. The ratio of average interest-bearing deposits to total average deposits was 64.2% in 2010 and 65.7% in both 2009 and 2008. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits and total deposits was 0.33% and 0.21% in 2010 compared to 0.69% and 0.45% in 2009 and 1.52% and 1.00% in 2008. The decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits during the comparable periods was primarily the result of decreases in interest rates offered on certain deposit products due to decreases in average market interest rates and decreases in renewal interest rates on maturing certificates of deposit given the current low interest rate environment. Additionally, during 2010 compared to 2009, the relative proportion of higher-cost certificates of deposit to total average interest-bearing deposits decreased from 19.7% in 2009 to 13.9% in 2010.

The Corporation's net interest spread, which represents the difference between the average rate earned on earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, was 3.89% in 2010 compared to 3.94% in 2009 and 4.16% in 2008. The net interest spread, as well as the net interest margin, will be impacted by future changes in short-term and long-term interest rate levels, as well as the impact from the competitive environment. A discussion of the effects of changing interest rates on net interest income is set forth in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included elsewhere in this report.

The Corporation's hedging policies permit the use of various derivative financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps and floors, to manage exposure to changes in interest rates. Details of the Corporation's derivatives and hedging activities are set forth in Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Information regarding the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on the Corporation's derivative financial instruments is set forth in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included elsewhere in this report.

Provision for Possible Loan Losses

The provision for possible loan losses is determined by management as the amount to be added to the allowance for possible loan losses after net charge-offs have been deducted to bring the allowance to a level which, in management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. The provision for possible loan losses totaled \$43.6 million in 2010 compared to \$65.4 million in 2009 and \$37.8 million in 2008. During the third quarter of 2008, the Corporation recorded a provision for possible loan losses totaling approximately \$10 million for probable loan losses related to Hurricane Ike, which impacted the Corporation's Houston and Galveston market areas. See the section captioned "Allowance for Possible Loan Losses" elsewhere in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for possible loan losses.

Non-Interest Income

The components of non-interest income were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Trust fees	\$ 68,428	\$ 67,268	\$ 74,554
Service charges on deposit accounts	98,796	102,474	87,566
Insurance commissions and fees	34,015	33,096	32,904
Other charges, commissions and fees	30,452	27,699	35,557
Net gain (loss) on securities transactions	6	(1,260)	(159)
Other	50,336	64,429	56,900
Total	\$ 282,033	\$ 293,706	\$ 287,322

Total non-interest income for 2010 decreased \$11.7 million, or 4.0%, compared to 2009 while total non-interest income for 2009 increased \$6.4 million, or 2.2%, compared to 2008. Changes in the various components of non-interest income are discussed in more detail below.

Table of Contents

Trust Fees. Trust fee income for 2010 increased \$1.2 million, or 1.7%, compared to 2009 while trust fee income for 2009 decreased \$7.3 million, or 9.8%, compared to 2008. Investment fees are the most significant component of trust fees, making up approximately 75% of total trust fees in 2010, approximately 74% of total trust fees in 2009 and approximately 67% of total trust fees in 2008. Investment and other custodial account fees are generally based on the market value of assets within a trust account. Volatility in the equity and bond markets impacts the market value of trust assets and the related investment fees.

The increase in trust fee income during 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily the result of increases in investment fees (up \$1.6 million) and real estate fees (up \$414 thousand) partly offset by decreases in financial consulting fees (down \$560 thousand) and securities lending income (down \$429 thousand). The increase in investment fees was primarily due to the general appreciation in the market values of assets in trust accounts on which these fees are generally based. Equity valuations during 2010 have been higher on average compared to 2009, recovering somewhat from the market correction in equity valuations which began in the latter part of 2008 and reached its lowest levels during the first quarter of 2009. The decrease in securities lending income during 2010 was primarily related to a decrease in transaction spreads.

The decrease in trust fee income during 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily the result of decreases in oil and gas trust management fees (down \$4.7 million), securities lending income (down \$929 thousand), custody fees (down \$668 thousand), investment fees (down \$521 thousand) and estate fees (down \$434 thousand). The decrease in oil and gas trust management fees was primarily due to decreases in oil and natural gas prices, which impacted the amount of royalties received and decreases in new lease bonuses. The decrease in securities lending income was due in part to higher transaction spreads in 2008. The decreases in investment and custody fees were primarily due to the general decline in the market values of assets in trust accounts on which these fees are generally based. Equity valuations during 2009 were lower on average compared to 2008, the impact of which was partly mitigated as bond valuations were higher as a result of lower market interest rates. The Corporation's success with business development activities and customer retention, helped dampen the effect of the lower average equity valuations.

At December 31, 2010, trust assets, including both managed assets and custody assets, were primarily composed of fixed income securities (43.7% of trust assets), equity securities (41.1% of trust assets) and cash equivalents (9.1% of trust assets). The estimated fair value of trust assets was \$24.9 billion (including managed assets of \$9.9 billion and custody assets of \$15.0 billion) at December 31, 2010 compared to \$22.7 billion (including managed assets of \$10.4 billion and custody assets of \$12.3 billion) at December 31, 2009 and \$21.7 billion (including managed assets of \$9.9 billion and custody assets of \$11.8 billion) at December 31, 2008.

Service Charges on Deposit Accounts. Service charges on deposit accounts for 2010 decreased \$3.7 million, or 3.6%, compared to 2009. The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts was due to a decrease in service charges on commercial accounts (down \$3.1 million) and overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts (down \$2.3 million). These decreases were partly offset by an increase in point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions (up \$1.9 million). The decrease in service charges on commercial accounts during 2010 was primarily related to a decrease in billable services. The decrease in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts during 2010 was partly related to a new rule issued by the Federal Reserve Board that became effective in the third quarter of 2010, as further discussed below. The increase in point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions during 2010 was partly related to an increase in the interchange pricing structure and, to a lesser extent, an increase in the volume of transactions.

Service charges on deposit accounts for 2009 increased \$14.9 million, or 17.0%, compared to 2008. The increase was primarily related to service charges on commercial accounts (up \$13.2 million). The increase in service charges on commercial accounts was primarily related to increased treasury management fees. The increased treasury management fees resulted primarily from a lower earnings credit rate. The earnings credit rate is the value given to deposits maintained by treasury management customers. Because average market interest

Table of Contents

rates were lower during 2009 compared to 2008, deposit balances were less valuable and yielded a lower earnings credit rate. As a result, customers paid for more of their services through fees rather than with earnings credits applied to their deposit balances.

Overdraft/insufficient funds charges totaled \$39.0 million during 2010 compared to \$41.1 million in 2009 and \$40.5 million in 2008. Overdraft/insufficient funds charges included \$30.4 million, \$32.6 million and \$32.0 million related to consumer accounts during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$8.6 million, \$8.5 million and \$8.5 million related to commercial accounts during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, a new rule issued by the Federal Reserve Board prohibits financial institutions from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions. Consumers must be provided a notice that explains the financial institution's overdraft services, including the fees associated with the service, and the consumer's choices. The Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate impact of this rule on the amount of overdraft/insufficient funds charges reported in future periods.

The Corporation had point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions totaling \$6.2 million, \$4.3 million and \$3.5 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As stated previously in the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business, elsewhere in this report, the Dodd-Frank Act amended the EFTA to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers, such as Frost Bank. In December 2010, the Federal Reserve proposed a new regulation that, among other things, establishes standards for determining whether an interchange fee received or charged by an issuer with respect to an electronic debit transaction is reasonable and proportional to the cost incurred by the issuer with respect to the transaction. These new standards would take effect on July 21, 2011 and would apply to issuers, such as the Corporation, that, together with their affiliates, have assets of \$10 billion or more. The Federal Reserve is requesting comment on two alternative interchange fee standards that would apply to all covered issuers. One alternative would be based on each issuer's costs, with a safe harbor (initially set at 7 cents per transaction) and a cap (initially set at 12 cents per transaction); and the other a stand-alone cap (initially set at 12 cents per transaction). Under both alternatives, circumvention or evasion of the interchange fee limitations would be prohibited. Because of the uncertainty as to the final outcome of the Federal Reserve's rulemaking process, the Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate impact of this proposal on the amount of point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions reported in future periods; however, based on the current proposal the Corporation's revenues from these transactions would likely be reduced to less than one third of current levels. Also see the discussion regarding income from Visa check card usage below under "Other Non-Interest Income."

Insurance Commissions and Fees. Insurance commissions and fees for 2010 increased \$919 thousand, or 2.8%, compared to 2009. The increase was related to an increase in commission income (up \$945 thousand). Insurance commissions and fees for 2009 increased \$192 thousand, or 0.6%, compared to 2008. The increase was related to an increase in commission income (up \$140 thousand).

Insurance commissions and fees include contingent commissions which totaled \$3.8 million during 2010 and 2009 and \$3.7 million during 2008. Contingent commissions primarily consist of amounts received from various property and casualty insurance carriers. The carriers use several non-client specific factors to determine the amount of the contingency payments. Such factors include the aggregate loss performance of insurance policies previously placed and the volume of business, among other things. Such commissions are seasonal in nature and are mostly received during the first quarter of each year. These commissions totaled \$2.5 million in 2010, \$2.8 million in 2009 and \$3.0 million in 2008. Contingent commissions also include amounts received from various benefit plan insurance companies related to the volume of business generated and/or the subsequent retention of such business. These commissions totaled \$1.2 million in 2010, \$972 thousand in 2009 and \$716 thousand in 2008.

Table of Contents

Other Charges, Commissions and Fees. Other charges, commissions and fees for 2010 increased \$2.8 million, or 9.9%, compared to 2009. The increase in other charges, commissions and fees was primarily related to increases in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds (up \$1.4 million), mutual fund management fees (up \$1.2 million) and unused balance fees on loan commitments (up \$711 thousand). These increases were partly offset by a decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts (down \$1.0 million). The increase in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds and the concurrent decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts reflects the apparent increased willingness of customers to invest in equities as market conditions have improved. The decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts was also partly related to lower marketing fees paid by fund companies.

Other charges, commissions and fees for 2009 decreased \$7.9 million, or 22.1%, compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services (down \$4.2 million) and decreases in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts (down \$2.9 million), account management fees (down \$877 thousand), annuities (down \$752 thousand), federal funds agent fees (down \$564 thousand) and receivables factoring income (down \$414 thousand), as well as decreases in various other categories of service charges. Investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services are transaction based and can vary significantly from year to year. The decreases in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts, annuities and mutual funds were partly related to decreases in volumes due to weaker market conditions. Additionally, the decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts was also related to a reduction in marketing fees paid by fund companies. The decrease in account management fees relates to a line of business acquired in a 2006 acquisition that was phased-out in 2009. The decrease in federal funds agent fees was primarily related to decreases in pricing for competitive purposes. These decreases were partly offset by increases in mutual fund management fees related to Frost Investment Advisors, LLC, a registered investment advisor subsidiary of the Corporation which began operations in 2008 (up \$795 thousand) and loan processing fees (up \$409 thousand).

Net Gain/Loss on Securities Transactions. During 2010, the Corporation sold available-for-sale securities with an amortized cost totaling \$10.0 billion and realized a net gain of \$6 thousand on those sales. These securities were purchased during the first and second quarters of 2010 and subsequently sold in connection with certain tax planning strategies. During 2009, the Corporation sold available-for-sale securities with an amortized cost totaling \$206.2 million and realized a net gain of \$283 thousand on those sales. The majority of the securities sold were Freddie Mac mortgage-backed securities. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Corporation purchased approximately \$404.9 million of Freddie Mac TBA (to be announced) mortgage-backed securities. The Corporation subsequently sold these securities prior to settlement and realized a net loss of \$1.5 million. The Corporation instead invested the funds in mortgage-backed securities issued by Ginnie Mae. During 2008, the Corporation sold available-for-sale securities with an amortized cost totaling \$4.9 billion and realized a related net loss of \$159 thousand. The Corporation sold \$599.1 million of securities during the first quarter of 2008 in connection with a restructuring of the Corporation's securities portfolio to help improve net interest income in light of actions taken by the Federal Reserve that resulted in 200 basis point declines in both the federal funds rate and the prime interest rate. The proceeds from the sales were reinvested in longer-term securities with higher yields. The Corporation sold \$257.2 million of securities during the second quarter of 2008, of which approximately \$190.0 million were primarily sold for liquidity management purposes to fund loan growth. During the third quarter of 2008, the Corporation sold its entire portfolio (with a book value totaling \$32.0 million) of unsecured, non-mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government agencies and corporations due to increasing risks associated with these investments. During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Corporation purchased and subsequently sold \$4.0 billion of securities in connection with certain tax planning strategies.

Other Non-Interest Income. Other non-interest income decreased \$14.1 million, or 21.9%, for 2010 compared to 2009. The decrease was primarily related to a \$17.7 million gain realized in the fourth quarter of 2009 related to the termination of interest rate swaps on certain Federal Home Loan Bank advances. See Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this

Table of Contents

report for additional information related to the interest rate swaps. Excluding this gain from 2009, other non-interest income would have effectively increased \$3.6 million for 2010. Contributing to this effective increase were increases in income from Visa check card usage (up \$2.6 million), income from securities trading and customer derivative activities (up \$1.5 million) and mineral interest income (up \$726 thousand). Income from securities trading and customer derivative activities primarily relates to customer interest rate swap transaction fees. Mineral interest income is related to bonus, rental and shut-in payments and oil and gas royalties received from severed mineral interests on property owned by Main Plaza Corporation, a wholly owned non-banking subsidiary of the Corporation. The increases in the aforementioned items were partly offset by decreases in gains on the sale of assets/foreclosed assets (down \$574 thousand), lease rental income (down \$566 thousand) and earnings on the cash surrender value of life insurance policies (down \$322 thousand).

Other non-interest income increased \$7.5 million, or 13.2%, for 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was primarily related to the aforementioned \$17.7 million gain related to the termination of interest rate swaps on certain Federal Home Loan Bank advances. The increase in other non-interest income also included an increase in income from Visa check card usage (up \$1.4 million). These increases were partly offset by decreases in income from securities trading and customer derivative activities (down \$2.4 million), sundry income from various miscellaneous items (down \$2.4 million), gains on the sale of student loans (down \$1.7 million), mineral interest income (down \$1.5 million), earnings on cashier check balances (down \$1.4 million), lease rental income (down \$884 thousand) and gains on sale of assets (down \$805 thousand). The decrease in income from securities trading and customer derivative activities primarily relates to a decrease in the volume of customer interest rate swap transactions. Sundry income from various miscellaneous items generally includes income from non-recurring items. Sundry income for 2008 included \$2.8 million in income recognized from the collection of loan interest and other charges written-off in prior years, as well as \$1.9 million related to the partial redemption of shares received from the VISA, Inc. initial public offering. During the second quarter of 2008, the Corporation elected to discontinue the origination of student loans for resale, aside from previously outstanding commitments. Sales of student loans during 2009 were limited as the Corporation's student loan servicer had not been able to obtain the necessary funding required to purchase the loans. Earnings on cashier check balances decreased as the Corporation began maintaining cashiers check balances in-house during the second quarter of 2008. While the Corporation no longer receives third-party fees on cashier check balances, maintaining such balances in-house provides investable funds from which the Corporation derives interest income. Gains on the sale of assets during 2008 included a \$1.1 million gain related to a tract of land sold in connection with an eminent domain condemnation.

The Corporation had income from Visa check card usage totaling \$21.1 million, \$18.5 million and \$17.1 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008. As stated previously in the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business, elsewhere in this report, the Dodd-Frank Act amended the EFTA to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers, such as Frost Bank. As more fully discussed above relative to point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions under "Service Charges on Deposit Accounts," in December 2010, the Federal Reserve proposed a new regulation which, if enacted, will significantly impact the level of interchange fees that may be charged. Because of the uncertainty as to the final outcome of the Federal Reserve's rulemaking process, the Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate impact of this proposal on the amount of income from Visa check card usage reported in future periods; however, based on the current proposal the Corporation's revenues from Visa check card usage would likely be reduced to less than one third of current levels.

Table of Contents**Non-Interest Expense**

The components of non-interest expense were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Salaries and wages	\$ 239,589	\$ 230,643	\$ 225,943
Employee benefits	52,352	55,224	47,219
Net occupancy	46,166	44,188	40,464
Furniture and equipment	47,651	44,223	37,799
Deposit insurance	20,451	25,812	4,597
Intangible amortization	5,125	6,537	7,906
Other	124,207	125,611	122,717
Total	\$ 535,541	\$ 532,238	\$ 486,645

Total non-interest expense for 2010 increased \$3.3 million, or 0.6%, compared to 2009 while total non-interest expense for 2009 increased \$45.6 million, or 9.4%, compared to 2008. Changes in the various components of non-interest expense are discussed below.

Salaries and Wages. Salaries and wages for 2010 increased \$8.9 million, or 3.9%, compared to 2009. The increase was primarily related to increases in incentive compensation expense (up \$6.1 million), normal annual merit increases and an increase in stock-based compensation expense partly offset by a decrease in headcount, a decrease in overtime expense and an increase in cost deferrals related to lending activity. During 2009, the level of incentive compensation was below targeted levels as financial performance for the Corporation was below budget.

Salaries and wages for 2009 increased \$4.7 million, or 2.1%, compared to 2008. The increase was primarily related to normal annual merit increases, a decrease in cost deferrals related to lending activity and an increase in stock-based compensation expense. The impact of these items was partly offset by a decrease in incentive compensation expense (down \$7.2 million).

Employee Benefits. Employee benefits expense for 2010 decreased \$2.9 million, or 5.2%, compared to 2009. The decrease during 2010 was primarily related to decreases in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plan (down \$3.4 million) and expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans (down \$195 thousand). These decreases were partly offset by increases in medical insurance expense (up \$529 thousand) and payroll taxes (up \$256 thousand).

Employee benefits expense for 2009 increased \$8.0 million, or 17.0%, compared to 2008. The increase was primarily related to increases in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement and restoration plans (up \$3.3 million), expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans (up \$2.4 million) and medical insurance expense (up \$2.1 million).

The Corporation's defined benefit retirement and restoration plans were frozen effective as of December 31, 2001 and were replaced by the profit sharing plan. Management believes these actions help reduce the volatility in retirement plan expense. However, the Corporation still has funding obligations related to the defined benefit and restoration plans and could recognize retirement expense related to these plans in future years, which would be dependent on the return earned on plan assets, the level of interest rates and employee turnover. The Corporation recognized a net benefit related to the defined benefit retirement and restoration plans of \$369 thousand in 2010 compared to a net expense of \$3.1 million in 2009 and a net benefit of \$269 thousand in 2008. Future benefits/expense related to these plans is dependent upon a variety of factors, including the actual return on plan assets. The decrease in expense related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plan during 2010 compared to 2009 was partly related to a higher than expected return on plan assets during 2009. The increase in expense related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plan during the 2009 compared to 2008 was partly the result of a weaker return on plan assets during 2008.

Table of Contents

For additional information related to the Corporation's employee benefit plans, see Note 11 - Employee Benefit Plans in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Net Occupancy. Net occupancy expense for 2010 increased \$2.0 million, or 4.5%, compared to 2009. The increase was primarily related to increases in building depreciation (up \$1.4 million), service contracts expense (up \$606 thousand), repairs expense (up \$411 thousand), building maintenance (up \$410 thousand) and property taxes (up \$291 thousand). Offsetting these increases were decreases in lease expense (down \$458 thousand) and depreciation on leasehold improvements (down \$341 thousand). The increases in building depreciation and property taxes were partly related to a new technology operations center placed into service during the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in depreciation on leasehold improvements during 2010 resulted as certain leasehold improvements were fully depreciated in 2009.

Net occupancy expense for 2009 increased \$3.7 million, or 9.2%, compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to increases in lease expense (up \$1.6 million), property taxes (up \$1.0 million) and building depreciation (up \$790 thousand) as well as increases in various other categories of net occupancy expense. The increases in these items were partly related to the additional costs associated with new branch locations.

Furniture and Equipment. Furniture and equipment expense for 2010 increased \$3.4 million, or 7.8%, compared to 2009. The increase was primarily related to increases in software amortization (up \$2.0 million), equipment rental (up \$1.4 million) and software maintenance (up \$696 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in furniture and fixtures depreciation (down \$539 thousand). The increases in software amortization and maintenance were primarily related to new applications placed into service in 2010 and 2009. The increase in equipment rental during 2010 was related to new equipment leases associated with the aforementioned new technology operations center.

Furniture and equipment expense for 2009 increased \$6.4 million, or 17.0%, compared to 2008. The increase was primarily related to increases in software amortization expense (up \$2.3 million), depreciation expense related to furniture and fixtures (up \$1.6 million) and software maintenance expense (up \$1.6 million). The increases in software amortization and software maintenance expense were primarily related to certain applications related to data management, internet banking, treasury management and teller/retail delivery systems. The increases in depreciation expense related to furniture and fixtures were partly related to new branch locations.

Deposit Insurance. Deposit insurance expense totaled \$20.5 million for 2010, compared to \$25.8 million in 2009 and \$4.6 million in 2008. As further discussed below, deposit insurance expense during 2009 included \$7.3 million related to a one-time special assessment in the second quarter. Excluding the impact of the special assessment, deposit insurance expense increased \$2.0 million during 2010 compared to 2009. The effective increase was primarily related to deposit growth. Average deposits during 2010 totaled \$14.0 billion compared to \$12.4 billion during 2009. Deposit insurance expense during 2010 was partly reduced as a result of the Corporation opting out of the Transaction Account Guarantee (TAG) component of the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program effective July 1, 2010.

The increase in deposit insurance expense during 2009 compared to 2008 was due to increases in the fee assessment rates during 2009 and a special assessment applied to all insured institutions as of June 30, 2009. With regard to the increase in fee assessment rates, the FDIC finalized a rule in December 2008 that raised the then current assessment rates uniformly by 7 basis points for the first quarter of 2009 assessment. The new rule resulted in annualized assessment rates for Risk Category 1 institutions ranging from 12 to 14 basis points. In February 2009, the FDIC amended the deposit insurance fund restoration plan, changed the risk-based assessment system and set assessment rates for Risk Category 1 institutions. Beginning in the second quarter of 2009, the initial base assessment rates for Risk Category 1 institutions range from 12 to 16 basis points, on an annualized basis, and from 7 to 24 basis points after the effect of potential base-rate adjustments, in each case depending upon various factors. The increase in deposit insurance expense during 2009 compared to 2008 was

Table of Contents

also partly related to the Corporation's utilization of available credits to offset assessments during the first half of 2008. Also affecting the 2009 increase was an additional 10 basis point assessment paid on covered transaction accounts exceeding \$250 thousand under the Temporary Liquidity Guaranty Program.

In May 2009, the FDIC issued a final rule which levied a special assessment applicable to all insured depository institutions totaling 5 basis points of each institution's total assets less Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, not to exceed 10 basis points of domestic deposits. The special assessment was part of the FDIC's efforts to rebuild the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF). Deposit insurance expense during 2009 included \$7.3 million recognized in the second quarter related to the special assessment.

In November 2009, the FDIC issued a rule that required all insured depository institutions, with limited exceptions, to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. The FDIC also adopted a uniform three-basis point increase in assessment rates effective on January 1, 2011; however, as further discussed below, the FDIC subsequently elected to forgo this increase under a new Restoration Plan adopted in October 2010. In December 2009, the Corporation paid \$64.5 million in prepaid risk-based assessments, which included \$4.0 million related to the fourth quarter of 2009 that would have otherwise been payable in the first quarter of 2010. This amount is included in deposit insurance expense for 2009. The pre-paid deposit insurance included in accrued interest receivable and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$43.6 million and \$60.5 million, respectively.

In October 2010, the FDIC adopted a new DIF restoration plan to ensure that the fund reserve ratio reaches 1.35% by September 30, 2020, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act. Under the new restoration plan, the FDIC will forego the uniform three-basis point increase in initial assessment rates scheduled to take place on January 1, 2011 and maintain the current schedule of assessment rates for all depository institutions. At least semi-annually, the FDIC will update its loss and income projections for the fund and, if needed, will increase or decrease assessment rates, following notice-and-comment rulemaking if required. Concurrent with the adoption of the new restoration plan, the FDIC also issued a notice of proposed rulemaking that included new assessment rate schedules; however, as further discussed below, the FDIC re-proposed the new assessment schedules in November 2010 in connection with the proposal to change the assessment base.

In November 2010, the FDIC issued a final rule to implement provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that provide for temporary unlimited coverage for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts. The separate coverage for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts becomes effective on December 31, 2010 and terminates on December 31, 2012.

In November 2010, the FDIC issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to change the deposit insurance assessment base from total domestic deposits to average total assets minus average tangible equity, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act, effective April 1, 2011. The FDIC also issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to revise the deposit insurance assessment system for large institutions. The FDIC proposes to create a two scorecard system, one for most large institutions, including Frost Bank, that have more than \$10 billion in assets and another for highly complex institutions that have over \$50 billion in assets and are fully owned by a parent with over \$500 billion in assets. Each scorecard would have a performance score and a loss-severity score that would be combined to produce a total score, which would be translated into an initial assessment rate. In calculating these scores, the FDIC would continue to utilize CAMELS ratings, would introduce certain new financial measures to assess an institution's ability to withstand asset-related stress and funding-related stress, and would eliminate the use of risk categories and long-term debt issuer ratings. The FDIC would have the ability to make discretionary adjustments to the total score, up or down, by a maximum of 15 points, based upon significant risk factors that are not adequately captured in the scorecard. The total score would be constrained to be between 30 and 90 and would then translate to an initial base assessment rate on a non-linear, sharply-increasing scale.

Table of Contents

For large institutions, including Frost Bank, the initial base assessment rate would range from 5 to 35 basis points on an annualized basis (basis points representing cents per \$100 of assessable deposits). After the effect of potential base-rate adjustments, the total base assessment rate could range from 2.5 to 45 basis points on an annualized basis. The potential adjustments to an institution's initial base assessment rate include (i) a potential decrease of up to 5 basis points for certain long-term unsecured debt (unsecured debt adjustment) and (ii) a potential increase of up to 10 basis points for brokered deposits in excess of 10% of domestic deposits (brokered deposit adjustment). As the DIF reserve ratio grows, the rate schedule will be adjusted downward. Additionally, the proposed rule includes a new adjustment for depository institution debt whereby an institution would pay an additional premium equal to 50 basis points on every dollar of long-term, unsecured debt held that was issued by another insured depository institution (excluding debt guaranteed under the TLGP). The final rule related to this proposal is expected to be effective April 1, 2011. The Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the effect of any proposed change in its deposit insurance premium rate, should such a change occur, as such changes are dependent upon a variety of factors, some of which are beyond the Corporation's control.

Intangible Amortization. Intangible amortization is primarily related to core deposit intangibles and, to a lesser extent, intangibles related to customer relationships and non-compete agreements. Intangible amortization totaled \$5.1 million for 2010 compared to \$6.5 million for 2009 and \$7.9 million for 2008. The decrease in amortization expense during the comparable years was primarily the result of the completion of amortization of certain intangible assets, as well as a reduction in the annual amortization rate of certain intangible assets as the Corporation uses an accelerated amortization approach which results in higher amortization rates during the earlier years of the useful lives of intangible assets. The decreases in amortization were partly offset by the additional amortization related to intangible assets recorded in connection with acquisitions during 2008 and 2009. See Note 5 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Other Non-Interest Expense. Other non-interest expense for 2010 decreased \$1.4 million, or 1.1%, compared to 2009. Components of other non-interest expense with significant decreases included armored motor services expense (down \$2.4 million), Federal Reserve service charges (down \$1.4 million), amortization of net deferred costs related to loan commitments (down \$1.2 million), outside computer services expense (down \$1.1 million), depreciation expense related to leased properties (down \$864 thousand) and supplies expense (down \$822 thousand). Additionally, other non-interest expense in 2009 included \$1.4 million related to a prepayment penalty on the early repayment of certain Federal Home Loan Bank advances further discussed in Note 7 - Borrowed Funds. Components of other non-interest expense with significant increases included losses on the sale/write-down of foreclosed assets (up \$2.4 million), sundry expense from miscellaneous items (up \$1.5 million), Visa check card expense (up \$1.0 million), messenger services expense (up \$1.2 million) and sub-advisor investment management fees related to Frost Investment Advisors, LLC (up \$1.2 million). The implementation of remote check image capture at the Corporation's branches has reduced the need for armored motor services. The Corporation now utilizes more cost effective messenger services. The increase in losses on the sale/write-down of assets during 2010 was partly due to the write-down of certain bank-owned properties.

Other non-interest expense for 2009 increased \$2.9 million, or 2.4%, compared to 2008. Significant components of other non-interest expense with increases compared to 2008 included losses on the sale/write-down of foreclosed assets (up \$2.3 million), property tax expense on foreclosed assets (up \$2.1 million), cost deferrals related to lending activity (down \$971 thousand), professional services expense (up \$759 thousand) and sub-advisor investment management fees related to Frost Investment Advisors, LLC (up \$670 thousand). Other non-interest expense also included the aforementioned \$1.4 million related to a prepayment penalty on the early repayment of certain Federal Home Loan Bank advances. The increases in foreclosed asset related expenses/losses were primarily related to a significant increase in real estate foreclosures in 2009 as a result of weaker economic conditions. Significant components of other non-interest expense with decreases compared to 2008 included sundry expense from various miscellaneous items (down \$2.8 million), amortization of net deferred costs related to loan commitments (down \$1.3 million), travel expense (down \$628 thousand) and meals and entertainment expense (down \$584 thousand). Sundry expense from various miscellaneous items for 2008

Table of Contents

included \$1.1 million related to a settlement of certain patent infringement claims, \$1.0 million related to costs associated with Hurricane Ike which impacted the Corporation's Houston and Galveston market areas and \$410 thousand related to a lease settlement.

Results of Segment Operations

The Corporation's operations are managed along two operating segments: Banking and the Financial Management Group (FMG). A description of each business and the methodologies used to measure financial performance is described in Note 17 - Operating Segments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Net income (loss) by operating segment is presented below:

	2010	2009	2008
Banking	\$ 210,351	\$ 179,404	\$ 188,955
Financial Management Group	7,624	8,642	26,863
Non-Banks	(9,211)	(9,012)	(8,563)
Consolidated net income	\$ 208,764	\$ 179,034	\$ 207,255

Banking

Net income for 2010 increased \$30.9 million, or 17.2%, compared to 2009. The increase was primarily the result of a \$29.6 million increase in net interest income and a \$21.8 million decrease in the provision for possible loan losses partly offset by a \$14.4 million decrease in non-interest income, a \$3.9 million increase in income tax expense and a \$2.2 million increase in non-interest expense. Net income for 2009 decreased \$9.6 million, or 5.1%, compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily the result of a \$44.1 million increase in non-interest expense and a \$27.6 million increase in the provision for possible loan losses partly offset by a \$25.7 million decrease in income tax expense, a \$19.6 million increase in non-interest income and a \$16.8 million increase in net interest income.

Net interest income for 2010 increased \$29.6 million, or 5.5%, compared to 2009 while net interest income for 2009 increased \$16.8 million, or 3.2%, compared to 2008. The increases were for the most part the result of growth in the average volume of earning assets partly offset by a decrease in the average yield on interest-earning assets. The change in net interest income during 2009 compared to 2008 was also partly impacted by a difference in the number of days as 2008 included an extra day due to leap year. See the analysis of net interest income included in the section captioned *Net Interest Income* included elsewhere in this discussion.

The provision for possible loan losses for 2010 totaled \$43.6 million compared to \$65.4 million in 2009 and \$37.8 million in 2008. During the third quarter of 2008, the Banking segment recorded a provision for possible loan losses totaling approximately \$10 million for probable loan losses related to Hurricane Ike which impacted the Corporation's Houston and Galveston market areas. See the analysis of the provision for possible loan losses included in the section captioned *Allowance for Possible Loan Losses* included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest income for 2010 decreased \$14.4 million, or 6.9%, compared to 2009. The decrease was primarily due to decreases in other non-interest income and service charges on deposits partly offset by increases in other charges, commissions and fees and insurance commissions as well as the impact of net securities gains/losses realized during the comparable years. The decrease in other non-interest income was primarily related to a \$17.7 million gain realized in the fourth quarter of 2009 related to the termination of interest rate swaps on certain Federal Home Loan Bank advances. See Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information related to the interest rate swaps. Excluding the gain from 2009, other non-interest income would have effectively increased \$3.6 million, in part due to increases in income from Visa check card usage and income from securities trading and customer derivative activities, primarily interest rate swap transaction fees. The decrease in service

Table of Contents

charges on deposit accounts was partly related to commercial accounts due, in part, to a decrease in service volumes for billable services. The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts was also partly related to a decrease in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts due, in part, to a new rule issued by the Federal Reserve Board which impacted such fees beginning in the third quarter of 2010. The increase in other charges, commissions and fees was primarily related to increases in unused balance fees on loan commitments, fees on letters of credit and fees related to accounts receivable factoring. Non-interest income for 2009 increased \$19.6 million, or 10.4%, compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to an increase in service charges on deposit accounts and other non-interest income partly offset by decreases in other charges, commissions and fees and a larger net loss on securities transactions. The increase in service charges on deposit accounts was primarily related to increased treasury management fees on commercial accounts. The increase in other non-interest income was primarily related to the aforementioned \$17.7 million gain related to the termination of interest rate swaps on certain Federal Home Loan Bank advances. The decrease in other charges, commissions and fees was primarily related to decreases in investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services, federal funds agent fees and receivables factoring income. See further analysis of these categories of non-interest income included in the section captioned **Non-Interest Income** included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest expense for 2010 increased \$2.2 million, or 0.5%, compared to 2009. The increase was primarily related to increases in salaries and wages, furniture and equipment expense and occupancy expense partly offset by decreases in deposit insurance expense, employee benefits expense, other non-interest expense and intangible amortization. The increase in salaries and wages was mostly related to increases in incentive compensation, normal annual merit increases and stock-based compensation expense partly offset by a decrease in headcount, a decrease in overtime expense and an increase in cost deferrals related to lending activity. The increase in furniture and equipment expense was primarily related to increases in software amortization and maintenance related to new applications and equipment rental expense related to new equipment leases associated with a new technology operations center. The increase in occupancy expense was primarily related to increases in building depreciation and property taxes, which were partly related to the aforementioned new technology operations center as well as increases in service contracts, repairs expense and building maintenance. The decrease in deposit insurance expense during 2010 resulted as 2009 included \$7.3 million related to a one-time special assessment. The decreases in employee benefits expense were primarily due to decreases in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plan and expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans partly offset by increases in medical insurance expense and payroll taxes. The decrease in intangible amortization expense was primarily the result of the completion of amortization of certain intangible assets, as well as a reduction in the annual amortization rate of certain intangible assets. See further analysis of these categories of non-interest expense included in the section captioned **Non-Interest Expense** included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest expense for 2009 increased \$44.1 million, or 10.9%, compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to an increase in deposit insurance expense due to increases in the fee assessment rates during 2009 and a special assessment applied to all insured depository institutions as of June 30, 2009. The increase was also partly due to increases in employee benefits, furniture and equipment expense, net occupancy expense and salaries and wages. The increase in employee benefits expense was primarily related to increases in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement and restoration plans, expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans and medical insurance expense. The increase in furniture and equipment expense was primarily related to increases in software amortization expense, depreciation expense related to furniture and fixtures and software maintenance expense. The increase in salaries and wages were primarily related to normal annual merit increases, a decrease in cost deferrals related to lending activity and an increase in stock-based compensation expense. The impact of these items was partly offset by a decrease in incentive compensation expense. The increase in net occupancy expense was primarily due to increases in lease expense, property taxes and building depreciation. See further analysis of these categories of non-interest expense included in the section captioned **Non-Interest Expense** included elsewhere in this discussion.

Frost Insurance Agency, which is included in the Banking operating segment, had gross commission revenues of \$34.6 million in 2010 compared to \$33.6 million in 2009 and \$33.3 million in 2008. Insurance commission

Table of Contents

revenues increased \$964 thousand, or 2.9%, during 2010 compared to 2009 and increased \$304 thousand, or 0.9%, during 2009 compared to 2008. The increases during both 2010 and 2009 were primarily related to higher commission income. See the analysis of insurance commissions and fees included in the section captioned *Non-Interest Income* included elsewhere in this discussion.

Financial Management Group (FMG)

Net income for 2010 decreased \$1.0 million, or 11.8%, compared to 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a \$3.0 million decrease in net interest income, a \$624 thousand increase in non-interest expense partly offset by a \$2.1 million increase in non-interest income and a \$553 thousand decrease in income tax expense. Net income for 2009 decreased \$18.2 million, or 67.8%, compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a \$13.9 million decrease in net interest income, a \$12.6 million decrease in non-interest income and a \$1.6 million increase in non-interest expense partly offset by a \$9.8 million decrease in income tax expense.

Net interest income decreased \$3.0 million, or 32.6%, in 2010 compared to 2009 and decreased \$13.9 million, or 59.9%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The decreases were due to decreases in the average volume of funds provided due to decreases in the average volume of FMGs repurchase agreements which resulted from lower interest rates offered combined with a decrease in the funds transfer price received for providing those funds due to the lower interest rate environment.

Non-interest income for 2010 increased \$2.1 million, or 2.5%, compared to 2009. The increase was primarily due to increases in other charges, commissions and fees (up \$1.5 million) and trust fees (up \$1.3 million). Non-interest income for 2009 decreased \$12.6 million, or 13.0%, compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily due to decreases in trust fees (down \$7.7 million) and other charges, commissions and fees (down \$4.1 million).

Trust fee income is the most significant income component for FMG. Investment fees are the most significant component of trust fees, making up approximately 75% of total trust fees in 2010, approximately 74% of total trust fees in 2009 and approximately 67% in 2008. Investment and other custodial account fees are generally based on the market value of assets within a trust account. Volatility in the equity and bond markets impacts the market value of trust assets and the related investment fees. The increase in trust fee income during 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily the result of increases in investment fees and real estate fees partly offset by decreases in financial consulting fees and securities lending income. The decrease in trust fee income during 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily the result of decreases in oil and gas trust management fees, securities lending income, custody fees, investment fees and estate fees. See the analysis of trust fees included in the section captioned *Non-Interest Income* included elsewhere in this discussion.

The increase in other charges, commissions and fees during 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily due to increases in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds and mutual fund management fees partly offset by a decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts. The increase in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds and the concurrent decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts reflects the apparent increased willingness of customers to invest in equities as market conditions have improved. The decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts was also partly related to lower marketing fees paid by fund companies. The decrease in other charges, commissions and fees during 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily due to decreases in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts, annuities and mutual funds and a decrease in account management fees related to a line of business that was phased-out in 2009 offset by an increase in mutual fund management fees related to Frost Investment Advisors. Decreases in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts, annuities and mutual funds were partly related to decreases in volumes due to weaker market conditions. Additionally, the decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts was also related to a reduction in marketing fees paid by fund companies.

Non-interest expense for 2010 increased \$624 thousand, or 0.8%, compared to 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in other non-interest expense (up \$989 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in

Table of Contents

salaries and wages (down \$370 thousand). The increase in other non-interest expense was primarily due to increases in sub-advisor investment management fees related to Frost Investment Advisors, LLC and sundry expense from miscellaneous items, among other things, partly offset by a decrease in outside computer services expense. The decrease in salaries and wages was primarily related to decrease in incentive compensation as well as a decrease in stock-based compensation expense resulting from the forfeiture of certain awards.

Non-interest expense for 2009 increased \$1.6 million, or 2.0%, compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to an increase in salaries and wages and employee benefits (up \$1.4 million on a combined basis). The increase in salaries and wages and employee benefits was primarily the result of increases in incentive and stock-based compensation expense and expenses related to employee benefit plans and medical insurance.

Non-Banks

The net loss for the Non-Banks operating segment for 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to 2009 as increases in legal and professional services expense, travel expense, property tax expense and income tax expense were mostly offset by an increase in mineral interest income and a decrease in interest expense. Mineral interest income is related to bonus, rental and shut-in payments and oil and gas royalties received from severed mineral interests on property owned by Main Plaza Corporation. The decrease in interest expense resulted from the redemption of \$12.4 million of floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures during the third quarter of 2010. See Note 7 Borrowed Funds in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information related to this redemption.

The net loss for the Non-Banks operating segment increased \$449 thousand for 2009 compared to 2008. The increased loss was largely related to a decrease in mineral interest income.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Non-Banks operating segment entered into an interest rate swap contract related to its variable-rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued in February 2004. The terms of the swap effectively convert the variable-rate debentures to a fixed rate for a period of five years. See Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information related to this swap.

Income Taxes

The Corporation recognized income tax expense of \$57.6 million, for an effective rate of 21.6%, in 2010, compared to \$53.7 million, for an effective tax rate of 23.1% in 2009 and \$89.6 million, for an effective rate of 30.2%, in 2008. The effective income tax rates differed from the U.S. statutory rate of 35% during the comparable periods primarily due to the effect of tax-exempt income from loans, securities and life insurance policies. The decrease in the effective tax rate during the comparable years was primarily the result of an increase in holdings of tax-exempt municipal securities.

Table of Contents**Sources and Uses of Funds**

The following table illustrates, during the years presented, the mix of the Corporation's funding sources and the assets in which those funds are invested as a percentage of the Corporation's average total assets for the period indicated. Average assets totaled \$17.2 billion in 2010 compared to \$15.7 billion in 2009 and \$13.7 billion in 2008.

	2010	2009	2008
Sources of Funds:			
Deposits:			
Non-interest-bearing	29.2%	27.1%	26.4%
Interest-bearing	52.5	52.0	50.5
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	2.8	3.9	7.4
Long-term debt and other borrowings	2.2	3.7	2.9
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities	1.5	1.7	1.3
Equity capital	11.8	11.6	11.5
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uses of Funds:			
Loans	47.3%	55.1%	60.7%
Securities	30.3	27.1	24.3
Federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits	11.6	5.7	1.7
Other non-interest-earning assets	10.8	12.1	13.3
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Deposits continue to be the Corporation's primary source of funding. Average deposits increased \$1.6 billion, or 13.1% in 2010 compared to 2009 and \$1.9 billion, or 17.9% in 2009 compared to 2008. Non-interest-bearing deposits remain a significant source of funding, which has been a key factor in maintaining the Corporation's relatively low cost of funds. Non-interest-bearing deposits totaled 35.8% of total average deposits in 2010 and 34.3% in 2009 and 2008. As stated previously in the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business, elsewhere in this report, the Dodd-Frank Act repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. Although the ultimate impact of this legislation on the Corporation has not yet been determined, the Corporation expects the relative proportion of non-interest-bearing deposits to total deposits to decrease.

The Corporation primarily invests funds in loans and securities. Loans continue to be the largest component of the Corporation's mix of invested assets despite decreasing balances during 2010 compared to 2009. Average loans decreased \$527.4 million, or 6.1%, in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased \$338.3 million, or 4.1%, in 2009 compared to 2008. Average securities increased \$950.9 million, or 22.3%, in 2010 compared to 2009 and \$936.0 million, or 28.1% in 2009 compared to 2008. Average federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits increased \$1.1 billion in 2010 compared to 2009 and \$661.3 million in 2009 compared to 2008. The increases in average securities and average federal funds sold, resell agreements and other interest-bearing deposits during the comparable years were primarily funded by deposit growth.

Table of Contents**Loans**

Year-end loans were as follows:

	2010	Percentage of Total	2009	2008	2007	2006
Commercial and industrial:						
Commercial	\$ 3,479,349	42.9%	\$ 3,577,758	\$ 3,950,648	\$ 3,472,759	\$ 3,229,570
Leases	186,443	2.3	197,605	205,290	184,140	174,075
Asset-based	122,866	1.5	117,213	85,865	32,963	33,856
Total commercial and industrial	3,788,658	46.7	3,892,576	4,241,803	3,689,862	3,437,501
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial mortgages	2,374,542	29.3	2,327,471	2,250,442	1,982,786	1,766,469
Construction	593,273	7.3	659,459	755,704	560,176	649,140
Land	234,952	2.9	259,200	346,591	397,319	407,055
Total commercial real estate	3,202,767	39.5	3,246,130	3,352,737	2,940,281	2,822,664
Consumer real estate:						
Home equity loans	275,806	3.4	289,535	320,220	282,947	241,680
Home equity lines of credit	186,465	2.3	166,441	122,608	86,873	87,103
1-4 family residential mortgages	57,877	0.7	66,351	79,446	98,077	125,294
Construction	23,565	0.3	30,325	55,947	61,595	114,142
Other	254,551	3.1	275,780	266,862	220,897	185,185
Total consumer real estate	798,264	9.8	828,432	845,083	750,389	753,404
Total real estate	4,001,031	49.3	4,074,562	4,197,820	3,690,670	3,576,068
Consumer and other:						
Consumer installment	319,384	3.9	346,255	349,641	325,351	314,227
Student loans held for sale	-	-	24,201	28,889	62,861	47,335
Other	28,234	0.4	52,406	53,662	29,891	27,703
Total consumer and other	347,618	4.3	422,862	432,192	418,103	389,265
Unearned discounts	(20,287)	(0.3)	(22,220)	(27,733)	(29,273)	(29,450)
Total	\$ 8,117,020	100.0%	\$ 8,367,780	\$ 8,844,082	\$ 7,769,362	\$ 7,373,384

Overview. Year-end total loans decreased \$250.8 million, or 3.0%, during 2010 compared to 2009. The Corporation stopped originating 1-4 family residential mortgage loans in 2000, and as such, this portfolio is excluded when analyzing the growth of the loan portfolio. Student loans are similarly excluded because the Corporation primarily originated these loans for resale. Accordingly, student loans were classified as held for sale. During 2008, the Corporation elected to discontinue the origination of student loans for resale, aside from previously outstanding commitments. All remaining student loans were sold during the second quarter of 2010. Excluding 1-4 family residential mortgages and student loans, year-end loans decreased \$218.1 million, or 2.6%, during 2010 compared to 2009, decreased \$458.5 million, or 5.3%, during 2009 compared to 2008, increased \$1.1 billion, or 14.8% during 2008 compared to 2007 and increased \$407.7 million, or 5.7%, during 2007 compared to 2006.

Table of Contents

The majority of the Corporation's loan portfolio is comprised of commercial and industrial loans and real estate loans. Commercial and industrial loans made up 46.7% and 46.5% of total loans at December 31, 2010 and 2009 while real estate loans made up 49.3% and 48.7% of total loans at December 31, 2010 and 2009. Real estate loans include both commercial and consumer balances.

Loan Origination/Risk Management. The Corporation has certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and non-performing and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions. See Note 3 – Loans in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for further details of the Corporation's policies and procedures related to loan origination and risk management.

Commercial and Industrial Loans. Commercial and industrial loans decreased \$103.9 million, or 2.7% from \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2009 to \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2010. The Corporation's commercial and industrial loans are a diverse group of loans to small, medium and large businesses. The purpose of these loans varies from supporting seasonal working capital needs to term financing of equipment. While some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis, most are secured by the assets being financed with collateral margins that are consistent with the Corporation's loan policy guidelines. The commercial and industrial loan portfolio also includes the commercial lease and asset-based lending portfolios as well as purchased shared national credits (SNCs), which are discussed in more detail below.

Industry Concentrations. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were no concentrations of loans within any single industry in excess of 10% of total loans, as segregated by Standard Industrial Classification code (SIC code). The SIC code is a federally designed standard industrial numbering system used by the Corporation to categorize loans by the borrower's type of business. The following table summarizes the industry concentrations of the Corporation's loan portfolio, as segregated by SIC code. Industry concentrations are stated as a percentage of year-end total loans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	2010	2009
Industry concentrations:		
Energy	9.9%	9.9%
Medical services	6.5	5.7
Public finance	4.8	4.1
Services	3.3	3.7
General and specific trade contractors	3.0	2.6
Manufacturing, other	2.9	2.9
Building construction	2.8	3.2
Religion	2.7	2.8
Insurance	2.6	3.1
Legal services	2.0	2.0
Restaurants	1.9	2.1
All other (35 categories in 2010 and 2009)	57.6	57.9
Total loans	100.0%	100.0%

Table of Contents

The Corporation's largest concentration in any single industry is in energy. Year-end energy loans were as follows:

	2010	2009
Energy loans:		
Production	\$ 603,887	\$ 647,177
Service	155,362	113,903
Manufacturing	23,459	37,395
Traders	20,793	25,986
Refining	3,387	5,124
Total energy loans	\$ 806,888	\$ 829,585

Large Credit Relationships. The market areas served by the Corporation include three of the top ten most populated cities in the United States. These market areas are also home to a significant number of Fortune 500 companies. As a result, the Corporation originates and maintains large credit relationships with numerous commercial customers in the ordinary course of business. The Corporation considers large credit relationships to be those with commitments equal to or in excess of \$10.0 million, excluding treasury management lines exposure, prior to any portion being sold. Large relationships also include loan participations purchased if the credit relationship with the agent is equal to or in excess of \$10.0 million. In addition to the Corporation's normal policies and procedures related to the origination of large credits, the Corporation's Central Credit Committee (CCC) must approve all new and renewed credit facilities which are part of large credit relationships. The CCC meets regularly and reviews large credit relationship activity and discusses the current pipeline, among other things. The following table provides additional information on the Corporation's large credit relationships outstanding at year-end.

	2010			2009		
	Number of Relationships	Period-End Balances		Number of Relationships	Period-End Balances	
		Committed	Outstanding		Committed	Outstanding
Large credit relationships:						
\$20.0 million and greater	127	\$ 4,168,836	\$ 2,100,807	120	\$ 4,103,100	\$ 2,049,732
\$10.0 million to \$19.9 million	133	1,829,472	1,173,454	135	1,883,661	1,192,125

The average commitment per large credit relationship in excess of \$20.0 million totaled \$32.8 million at December 31, 2010 and \$34.2 million at December 31, 2009. The average outstanding balance per large credit relationship with a commitment in excess of \$20.0 million totaled \$16.5 million at December 31, 2010 and \$17.1 million at December 31, 2009. The average commitment per large credit relationship between \$10.0 million and \$19.9 million totaled \$13.8 million at December 31, 2010 and \$14.0 million at December 31, 2009. The average outstanding balance per large credit relationship with a commitment between \$10 million and \$19.9 million totaled \$8.8 million at both December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents

Purchased Shared National Credits. Purchased SNCs are participations purchased from upstream financial organizations and tend to be larger in size than the Corporation's originated portfolio. The Corporation's purchased SNC portfolio totaled \$460.0 million at December 31, 2010, decreasing \$3.4 million, or 0.7%, from \$463.4 million at December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2010, 66.9% of outstanding purchased SNCs was related to the energy industry. The remaining purchased SNCs were diversified throughout various other industries, with no other single industry exceeding 10% of the total purchased SNC portfolio. Additionally, almost all of the outstanding balance of purchased SNCs was included in the commercial and industrial portfolio, with the remainder included in the real estate categories. SNC participations are originated in the normal course of business to meet the needs of the Corporation's customers. As a matter of policy, the Corporation generally only participates in SNCs for companies headquartered in or which have significant operations within the Corporation's market areas. In addition, the Corporation must have direct access to the company's management, an existing banking relationship or the expectation of broadening the relationship with other banking products and services within the following 12 to 24 months. SNCs are reviewed at least quarterly for credit quality and business development successes. The following table provides additional information about certain credits within the Corporation's purchased SNCs portfolio as of year-end.

	2010			2009		
	Number of Relationships	Period-End Balances		Number of Relationships	Period-End Balances	
		Committed	Outstanding		Committed	Outstanding
Purchased shared national credits:						
\$20.0 million and greater	30	\$ 788,980	\$ 312,349	33	\$ 774,196	\$ 340,830
\$10.0 million to \$19.9 million	16	250,181	122,613	16	229,133	108,106

Real Estate Loans. Real estate loans totaled \$4.0 billion at December 31, 2010, decreasing \$73.5 million, or 1.8%, compared to \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2009. Commercial real estate loans totaled \$3.2 billion or 80.0% of total real estate loans, at December 31, 2010 and \$3.2 billion, or 79.7% of total real estate loans, at December 31, 2009. The majority of this portfolio consists of commercial real estate mortgages, which includes both permanent and intermediate term loans. The Corporation's primary focus for the commercial real estate portfolio has been growth in loans secured by owner-occupied properties. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Consequently, these loans must undergo the analysis and underwriting process of a commercial and industrial loan, as well as that of a real estate loan.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the Corporation's commercial real estate loan portfolio, as segregated by (i) the type of property securing the credit and (ii) the geographic region in which the loans were originated. Property type concentrations are stated as a percentage of year-end total commercial real estate loans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	2010	2009
Property type:		
Office/warehouse	16.7%	17.3%
Office building	16.5	16.7
Medical offices and services	8.4	7.0
Non-farm/non-residential	7.1	6.0
Religious	6.6	5.6
Retail	6.2	8.2
1-4 family	4.6	5.1
All other	33.9	34.1
Total commercial real estate loans	100.0%	100.0%
Geographic region:		
Fort Worth	27.1%	27.4%
San Antonio	23.7	22.4
Houston	20.3	21.2
Austin	10.3	9.4
Dallas	8.0	9.3
Rio Grande Valley	5.5	5.3
Corpus Christi	5.1	5.0
Total commercial real estate loans	100.0%	100.0%

Consumer Loans. The consumer loan portfolio, including all consumer real estate, totaled \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2010 and \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2009. As the following table illustrates as of year-end, the consumer loan portfolio has four distinct segments, including consumer real estate (excluding 1-4 family residential mortgages), consumer installment, student loans held for sale and 1-4 family residential mortgages.

	2010	2009
Consumer real estate:		
Home equity loans	\$ 275,806	\$ 289,535
Home equity lines of credit	186,465	166,441
Construction	23,565	30,325
Other	254,551	275,780
Total consumer real estate	740,387	762,081
Consumer installment	319,384	346,255
Student loans held for sale	-	24,201
1-4 family residential mortgages	57,877	66,351
Total consumer loans	\$ 1,117,648	\$ 1,198,888

Consumer real estate loans, excluding 1-4 family residential mortgages, decreased \$21.7 million, or 2.8%, from December 31, 2009. Combined, home equity loans and lines of credit made up 62.4% and 59.8% of the consumer real estate loan total at December 31, 2010 and 2009. The

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Corporation offers home equity loans up to 80% of the estimated value of the personal residence of the borrower, less the value of existing mortgages and home improvement loans.

Table of Contents

The consumer installment loan portfolio primarily consists of automobile loans, unsecured revolving credit products, personal loans secured by cash and cash equivalents, and other similar types of credit facilities.

As previously stated, the Corporation discontinued the origination of student loans for resale, aside from previously outstanding commitments, during 2008 and sold all remaining student loans during 2010. Student loans were primarily originated for resale on the secondary market and classified as held for sale though included in total loans in the consolidated balance sheet. Student loans were generally sold on a non-recourse basis after the deferment period ended; however, from time to time, the Corporation sold such loans prior to the end of the deferment period. The Corporation sold approximately \$23.5 million of student loans during 2010 compared to \$4.6 million during 2009 and \$67.6 million during 2008.

In general, the Corporation no longer originates 1-4 family mortgage loans, however, from time to time, the Corporation may invest in such loans to meet the needs of its customers.

Foreign Loans. The Corporation makes U.S. dollar-denominated loans and commitments to borrowers in Mexico. The outstanding balance of these loans and the unfunded amounts available under these commitments were not significant at December 31, 2010 or 2009.

Maturities and Sensitivities of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates. The following table presents the maturity distribution of the Corporation's loans, excluding 1-4 family residential real estate loans, student loans and unearned discounts, at December 31, 2010. The table also presents the portion of loans that have fixed interest rates or variable interest rates that fluctuate over the life of the loans in accordance with changes in an interest rate index such as the prime rate or LIBOR.

	Due in One Year or Less	After One, but Within Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,943,652	\$ 1,447,259	\$ 397,747	\$ 3,788,658
Real estate construction	258,137	245,796	112,905	616,838
Commercial real estate and land	458,960	1,145,942	1,004,592	2,609,494
Consumer and other	170,075	245,365	649,000	1,064,440
Total	\$ 2,830,824	\$ 3,084,362	\$ 2,164,244	\$ 8,079,430
Loans with fixed interest rates	\$ 787,936	\$ 926,495	\$ 1,161,331	\$ 2,875,762
Loans with floating interest rates	2,042,888	2,157,867	1,002,913	5,203,668
Total	\$ 2,830,824	\$ 3,084,362	\$ 2,164,244	\$ 8,079,430

The Corporation may renew loans at maturity when requested by a customer whose financial strength appears to support such renewal or when such renewal appears to be in the Corporation's best interest. In such instances, the Corporation generally requires payment of accrued interest and may adjust the rate of interest, require a principal reduction or modify other terms of the loan at the time of renewal.

Table of Contents**Non-Performing Assets and Potential Problem Loans**

Non-Performing Assets. Year-end non-performing assets and accruing past due loans were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Non-accrual loans:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 60,408	\$ 82,219	\$ 27,123	\$ 11,445	\$ 20,813
Real estate	76,270	63,926	36,764	12,026	29,580
Consumer and other	462	722	1,287	972	1,811
Total non-accrual loans	137,140	146,867	65,174	24,443	52,204
Restructured loans	-	-	-	-	-
Foreclosed assets:					
Real estate	27,339	33,305	12,312	4,596	5,500
Other	471	7	554	810	45
Total foreclosed assets	27,810	33,312	12,866	5,406	5,545
Total non-performing assets	\$ 164,950	\$ 180,179	\$ 78,040	\$ 29,849	\$ 57,749
Ratio of non-performing assets to:					
Total loans and foreclosed assets	2.03%	2.14%	0.88%	0.38%	0.78%
Total assets	0.94	1.11	0.52	0.22	0.44
Accruing past due loans:					
30 to 89 days past due	\$ 55,045	\$ 90,173	\$ 102,053	\$ 45,290	\$ 56,836
90 or more days past due	26,922	23,911	19,751	14,347	10,917
Total accruing past due loans	\$ 81,967	\$ 114,084	\$ 121,804	\$ 59,637	\$ 67,753
Ratio of accruing past due loans to total loans:					
30 to 89 days past due	0.68%	1.08%	1.16%	0.58%	0.77%
90 or more days past due	0.33	0.28	0.22	0.19	0.15
Total accruing past due loans	1.01%	1.36%	1.38%	0.77%	0.92%

Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans and foreclosed assets. Non-performing assets at December 31, 2010 decreased \$15.2 million from December 31, 2009. In general, the level of non-performing assets in recent years is reflective of the weaker economic conditions which began in the latter part of 2008. Non-accrual commercial loans included three credit relationships in excess of \$5 million totaling \$25.8 million at December 31, 2010 and three credit relationships in excess of \$5 million totaling \$37.6 million at December 31, 2009. Non-accrual commercial and commercial real estate loans also included \$6.5 million and \$16.3 million in loans to certain Mexican borrowers at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, primarily related to deterioration in the U.S. dollar exchange rate of the Mexican peso. These loans have third party insurance covering between 80% to 90% of the outstanding balance. Non-accrual real estate loans primarily consist of land development, 1-4 family residential construction credit relationships and loans secured by office buildings and religious facilities.

Non-performing assets at December 31, 2009 increased \$102.1 million from December 31, 2008. The increase in non-accrual commercial loans was partly related to the addition of two credit relationships with an aggregate total of \$31.4 million. Non-accrual commercial and commercial real estate loans at December 31, 2009 also included the aforementioned \$16.3 million in loans to certain Mexican borrowers. The increase in non-accrual real estate loans was primarily related to land development and 1-4 family residential construction credit relationships. Non-performing assets at December 31, 2008 increased \$48.2 million from December 31, 2007. The increase in non-performing assets was primarily related to land development and 1-4 family residential construction credit relationships. The increase was reflective of the deterioration of economic conditions during

Table of Contents

2008, as well as overall growth in the loan portfolio. Non-performing assets at December 31, 2007 decreased \$27.9 million from December 31, 2006. The decrease was largely related to a single credit relationship totaling \$23.1 million. The properties securing this credit relationship were sold at auction during 2007.

Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days past due and/or management deems the collectibility of the principal and/or interest to be in question, as well as when required by regulatory requirements. Loans to a customer whose financial condition has deteriorated are considered for non-accrual status whether or not the loan is 90 days or more past due. For consumer loans, collectibility and loss are generally determined before the loan reaches 90 days past due. Accordingly, losses on consumer loans are recorded at the time they are determined. Consumer loans that are 90 days or more past due are generally either in liquidation/payment status or bankruptcy awaiting confirmation of a plan. Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest is charged to current year operations. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. Classification of a loan as non-accrual does not preclude the ultimate collection of loan principal or interest.

Restructured loans are loans on which, due to deterioration in the borrower's financial condition, the original terms have been modified in favor of the borrower or either principal or interest has been forgiven.

Foreclosed assets represent property acquired as the result of borrower defaults on loans. Foreclosed assets are recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs, at the time of foreclosure. Write-downs occurring at foreclosure are charged against the allowance for possible loan losses. On an ongoing basis, properties are appraised as required by market indications and applicable regulations. Write-downs are provided for subsequent declines in value and are included in other non-interest expense along with other expenses related to maintaining the properties.

Potential Problem Loans. Potential problem loans consist of loans that are performing in accordance with contractual terms but for which management has concerns about the ability of an obligor to continue to comply with repayment terms because of the obligor's potential operating or financial difficulties. Management monitors these loans closely and reviews their performance on a regular basis. As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation had \$33.8 million in loans of this type which are not included in either of the non-accrual or 90 days past due loan categories. At December 31, 2010, potential problem loans consisted of seven credit relationships. Of the total outstanding balance at December 31, 2010, 27.2% related to a customer in the credit collections industry, 17.8% related to a customer that provides contract services, 16.6% related to a customer that provides transportation services and 15.4 related to a customer that operates a hotel. Weakness in these companies' operating performance has caused the Corporation to heighten the attention given to these credits.

Allowance For Possible Loan Losses

The allowance for possible loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Corporation's allowance for possible loan loss methodology includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 310, *Receivables* and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450, *Contingencies*. Accordingly, the methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of credit and internal risk grade, specific homogeneous risk pools and specific loss allocations, with adjustments for current events and conditions. The Corporation's process for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan losses is designed to account for credit deterioration as it occurs. The provision for possible loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to non-accrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, classified and criticized loans and net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors. The provision for possible loan losses

Table of Contents

also reflects the totality of actions taken on all loans for a particular period. In other words, the amount of the provision reflects not only the necessary increases in the allowance for possible loan losses related to newly identified criticized loans, but it also reflects actions taken related to other loans including, among other things, any necessary increases or decreases in required allowances for specific loans or loan pools. See Note 3 - Loans in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for further details regarding the Corporation's methodology for estimating the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan losses.

The table below provides an allocation of the year-end allowance for possible loan losses by loan type; however, allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories:

	2010		2009		2008		2007		2006	
	Allowance for Possible Loan Losses	Percentage of Loans in each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Possible Loan Losses	Percentage of Loans in each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Possible Loan Losses	Percentage of Loans in each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Possible Loan Losses	Percentage of Loans in each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Possible Loan Losses	Percentage of Loans in each Category to Total Loans
Commercial and industrial	\$ 57,789	46.7%	\$ 57,394	46.5%	\$ 51,534	48.0%	\$ 50,245	47.1%	\$ 44,603	46.2%
Real estate	31,757	49.3	31,074	48.7	29,145	47.5	20,800	47.5	24,955	48.5
Consumer and other	11,974	4.0	16,929	4.8	18,846	4.5	13,426	5.4	10,363	5.3
Unallocated	24,796	-	19,912	-	10,719	-	7,868	-	16,164	-
Total	\$ 126,316	100.0%	\$ 125,309	100.0%	\$ 110,244	100.0%	\$ 92,339	100.0%	\$ 96,085	100.0%

During 2010, the reserve allocated to commercial and industrial loans and real estate loans did not significantly fluctuate compared to 2009 as the effect of a slight decrease in the level of classified loans as well as decreases in the overall volume of commercial and industrial loans and real estate loans was offset by the effect of increases in the historical loss allocation factors applied to both classified and non-classified loans. The base historical loss allocation for each category of loans is the product of the volume of loans within each level of risk classification and the historical loss allocation factor for that particular level of risk classification, adjusted, as necessary to reflect the impact of current conditions. The base historical loss allocation is then adjusted upwards utilizing an environmental adjustment factor that is based upon a more qualitative analysis of risk. The historical loss allocation factors for non-classified loans determined based upon actual historical experience were adjusted upwards given the continued higher levels of net charge-offs relative to historical average and the continued uncertain economic conditions. Specific valuation allowances related to commercial and industrial loans decreased approximately \$2.8 million in 2010 compared to 2009 while specific valuation allowances related to real estate loans increased \$2.8 million in 2010 compared to 2009. The decrease in the reserve allocated to consumer and other loans during 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily related to a decrease in the volume of such loans. Despite improving economic conditions relative to 2009, the increase in the unallocated portion of the allowance for possible loan losses during 2010 compared to 2009 is reflective of continued weakness in certain business sectors, high unemployment and relatively low consumer spending activity.

During 2009, the reserve allocated to commercial and industrial loans and real estate loans increased compared to 2008 primarily due to an increase in the historical loss allocation factors applied to non-classified loans. The historical loss allocation factors for non-classified loans determined based upon actual historical experience were adjusted upwards in 2009 given the significant increase in net charge-offs relative to historical average and the continued uncertain economic conditions. Specific valuation allowances related to commercial and industrial loans increased approximately \$4.8 million in 2009 compared to 2008 while specific valuation allowances related to real estate loans decreased \$461 thousand in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase in the unallocated portion of the allowance for possible loan losses during 2009 compared to 2008 is reflective of continued recessionary economic conditions which began in 2008.

Table of Contents

During 2008, the reserve allocated to all categories of loans increased compared to 2007 primarily due to increases in the level of classified loans which impacted the level of allocations required based upon historical loss experience combined with overall growth in loans. Specific valuation allowances also increased in 2008. Specific valuation allowances related to commercial and industrial loans and real estate loans increased approximately \$4.1 million and \$2.0 million in 2008 compared to 2007, respectively. The increase in the reserve allocated to commercial and industrial loans due to the increase in classified loans and specific valuation allowances was mostly offset by a decrease in general valuation allowances related to large balance and highly leveraged credit relationships that exceed specified risk grades. The increase in the reserve allocated to real estate loans due to the increase in classified loans and specific valuation allowances was partly offset by a decrease in general valuation allowances previously allocated to compensate for concentration risk related to certain higher-risk categories of real estate loans. The increase in the unallocated portion of the allowance for possible loan losses during 2008 compared to 2007 is reflective of the deterioration of economic conditions during 2008.

During 2007, the reserve allocated to commercial and industrial loans increased compared to 2006 primarily due to an increase in general valuation allowances related to large balance and highly leveraged credit relationships that exceed specified risk grades and an increase in the level of classified loans which impacted the level of allocations required based upon historical loss experience. The increase from these items was partly offset by a decrease in specific valuation allowances. Specific valuation allowances related to commercial and industrial loans decreased approximately \$5.5 million in 2007 compared to 2006. The decrease in the reserve allocated to real estate loans during 2007 compared to 2006 was primarily related to a decrease in specific valuation allowances of approximately \$3.0 million and a decrease in general valuation allowances previously allocated to compensate for concentration risk related to certain higher-risk categories of real estate loans. The decrease in specific valuation allowances related to real estate loans was primarily due to the charge-off of a large credit relationship during 2007, as further discussed below. Specific valuation allowances related to this credit relationship totaled \$2.0 million at December 31, 2006. The increase in the reserve allocated to consumer and other loans during 2007 compared to 2006 was primarily due to growth in the consumer loan portfolio combined with an increase in the historical loss ratio associated with consumer loans. The unallocated portion of the allowance for possible loan losses decreased during 2007 compared to 2006. During 2006, higher unallocated reserves were maintained in part due to the relative uncertainty of the credit quality of certain loans acquired in connection with an acquisition during the fourth quarter of 2006.

Table of Contents

Activity in the allowance for possible loan losses is presented in the following table.

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Balance of allowance for possible loan losses at beginning of year	\$ 125,309	\$ 110,244	\$ 92,339	\$ 96,085	\$ 80,325
Provision for possible loan losses	43,611	65,392	37,823	14,660	14,150
Allowance for possible loan losses acquired	-	-	-	-	12,720
Charge-offs:					
Commercial and industrial	(31,324)	(35,432)	(13,910)	(7,541)	(10,983)
Real estate	(10,206)	(12,132)	(6,855)	(9,309)	(727)
Consumer and other	(11,893)	(12,047)	(8,422)	(8,309)	(7,223)
Total charge-offs	(53,423)	(59,611)	(29,187)	(25,159)	(18,933)
Recoveries:					
Commercial and industrial	2,794	2,525	3,285	2,125	3,019
Real estate	1,603	497	1,101	331	483
Consumer and other	6,422	6,262	4,883	4,297	4,321
Total recoveries	10,819	9,284	9,269	6,753	7,823
Net charge-offs	(42,604)	(50,327)	(19,918)	(18,406)	(11,110)
Balance at end of year	\$ 126,316	\$ 125,309	\$ 110,244	\$ 92,339	\$ 96,085
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans	0.52%	0.58%	0.24%	0.25%	0.17%
Allowance for possible loan losses as a percentage of year-end loans	1.56	1.50	1.25	1.19	1.30
Allowance for possible loan losses as a percentage of year-end non-accrual loans	92.11	85.32	169.15	377.77	184.06
Average loans outstanding during the year	\$ 8,125,150	\$ 8,652,563	\$ 8,314,265	\$ 7,464,140	\$ 6,523,906
Loans outstanding at year-end	8,117,020	8,367,780	8,844,082	7,769,362	7,373,384
Non-accrual loans outstanding at year-end	137,140	146,867	65,174	24,443	52,204

As stated above, the provision for possible loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the level of net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors. The provision for possible loan losses decreased \$21.8 million in 2010 to \$43.6 million compared to \$65.4 million in 2009 and increased \$27.6 million in 2009 compared to \$37.8 million in 2008. The decrease in the provision for possible loan losses during 2010 is reflective of a decrease in the level of net charge-offs compared to 2009 as well as decreases in the level of classified loans and overall loan volumes. The increase in the provision for possible loan losses during 2009 compared to 2008 was partly due to higher levels of net charge-offs and an increase in classified loans related to the weaker economic conditions. The weak economic conditions particularly affected the performance of many of the Corporation's land development and 1-4 family residential construction credit relationships. The increase in 2008 compared to 2007 was partly due to a provision totaling approximately \$10 million for probable loan losses related to Hurricane Ike which impacted the Corporation's Houston and Galveston market areas during the third quarter of 2008. In determining the amount of the provision, the Corporation identified customers that were likely impacted by the hurricane based on their geographic location. The Corporation adjusted risk grades for loans to these customers based on estimated loan payment abilities and loss of collateral value. Furthermore, the Corporation increased the historical loss allocation factors for all lower-risk, pass loans to customers within the areas directly impacted by Hurricane Ike and the greater Houston/Galveston market area as a whole. The increase in the provision for possible loan losses was also partly due to an increase in classified loans and the overall growth in loans, which increased \$1.1 billion, or 13.8%, during 2008 compared to 2007.

Table of Contents

Net charge-offs during 2010 decreased \$7.7 million compared to 2009 while net charge-offs in 2009 increased \$30.4 million compared to 2008. As a percentage of average loans, net charge-offs decreased 6 basis points in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased 34 basis points in 2009 compared to 2008. The decrease in charge-offs in 2010 is reflective of a stabilization of the trend of increasing classified assets experienced through-out 2009 and early 2010 as well as the Corporation's ability to manage the overall credit quality of the loan portfolio. The increase in net charge-offs in 2009 is related to the deterioration of economic conditions which began in 2008. During 2007, the Corporation recognized real estate related charge-offs totaling \$6.3 million related to a single credit relationship. Excluding the effect of the charge-offs related to this credit relationship from 2007, net charge-offs for 2008 would have increased \$7.8 million and 8 basis points as a percentage of average loans. This effective increase in net charge-offs is reflective of the increase in classified assets related to the deterioration of economic conditions, as well as overall growth in the loan portfolio during 2008.

The ratio of the allowance for possible loan losses to total loans increased 6 basis points from 1.50% at December 31, 2009 to 1.56% at December 31, 2010, which is reflective of the overall decrease in the volume of loans. Management believes the level of the allowance for possible loan losses was adequate as of December 31, 2010. Should any of the factors considered by management in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for possible loan losses change, the Corporation's estimate of probable loan losses could also change, which could affect the level of future provisions for possible loan losses.

Securities

Year-end securities were as follows:

	2010		2009		2008	
	Amount	Percentage of Total	Amount	Percentage of Total	Amount	Percentage of Total
Held to maturity:						
U.S. Treasury	\$ 247,421	4.5%	\$ -	-%	\$ -	-%
Residential mortgage-backed securities	4,405	0.1	5,115	0.1	5,948	0.2
States and political subdivisions	30,803	0.6	-	-	-	-
Other	1,000	-	1,000	-	1,000	-
Total	283,629	5.2	6,115	0.1	6,948	0.2
Available for sale:						
U.S. Treasury	987,031	18.1	400,255	8.2	24,999	0.7
Residential mortgage-backed securities	2,091,330	38.3	2,577,309	52.5	2,560,871	71.6
States and political subdivisions	2,040,300	37.4	1,868,658	38.1	931,073	26.0
Other	38,809	0.7	38,035	0.8	37,586	1.1
Total	5,157,470	94.5	4,884,257	99.6	3,554,529	99.4
Trading:						
U.S. Treasury	14,986	0.3	16,126	0.3	14,489	0.4
States and political subdivisions	115	-	-	-	-	-
Common stock	-	-	-	-	63	-
Total	15,101	0.3	16,126	0.3	14,552	0.4
Total securities	\$ 5,456,200	100.0%	\$ 4,906,498	100.0%	\$ 3,576,029	100.0%

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the maturity distribution schedule with corresponding weighted-average yields of securities held to maturity and securities available for sale as of December 31, 2010. Weighted-average yields have been computed on a fully taxable-equivalent basis using a tax rate of 35%. Mortgage-backed securities are included in maturity categories based on their stated maturity date. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations. Other securities classified as available for sale include stock in the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank, which have no maturity date. These securities have been included in the total column only.

	Within 1 Year		1-5 Years		5-10 Years		After 10 Years		Total	
	Amount	Weighted Average Yield	Amount	Weighted Average Yield	Amount	Weighted Average Yield	Amount	Weighted Average Yield	Amount	Weighted Average Yield
Held to maturity										
U.S. Treasury	\$ -	-%	\$ -	-%	\$ 247,421	3.44%	\$ -	-%	\$ 247,421	3.44%
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	-	37	9.48	846	4.71	3,522	2.97	4,405	3.36
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,803	5.68	30,803	5.68
Other	-	-	1,000	1.76	-	-	-	-	1,000	1.76
Total	\$ -	-	\$ 1,037	2.04	\$ 248,267	3.44	\$ 34,325	5.40	\$ 283,629	3.68
Available for Sale										
U.S. Treasury	\$ 200,586	0.96%	\$ 786,445	1.59%	\$ -	-%	\$ -	-%	\$ 987,031	1.46%
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	-	27,045	5.06	497,053	4.64	1,567,232	4.50	2,091,330	4.54
States and political subdivisions	32,042	7.16	73,755	5.39	160,308	5.52	1,774,195	6.64	2,040,300	6.52
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38,809	-
Total	\$ 232,628	1.80	\$ 887,245	2.00	\$ 657,361	4.85	\$ 3,341,427	5.66	\$ 5,157,470	4.74

Securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax. The remaining securities are classified as trading. Trading securities are held primarily for sale in the near term and are carried at their fair values, with unrealized gains and losses included immediately in other income. Management determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase. Securities with limited marketability, such as stock in the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank, are carried at cost.

At December 31, 2010, there were no holdings of any one issuer, other than the U.S. government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of the Corporation's shareholders' equity.

The average taxable-equivalent yield on the securities portfolio was 5.02% in 2010 compared to 5.45% in 2009 and 5.41% in 2008. The decrease in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily related to a decrease in the yield on taxable securities as proceeds from principal repayments were reinvested at lower market rates. The impact of lower market rates on the overall taxable-equivalent yield on securities was partly mitigated by an increase in the relative proportion of average funds invested in higher-yielding, tax-exempt municipal securities. Tax-exempt municipal securities increased to 37.0% of average securities in 2010 from 34.0% in 2009 and 16.7% in 2008. The average yield on taxable securities was 3.84% in 2010 compared to 4.58% in 2009 and 5.17% in 2008, while the average taxable-equivalent yield on tax-exempt securities was 7.04% in 2010 compared to 7.15% in 2009 and 6.61% in 2008. The growth in tax-exempt municipal securities during 2010 and 2009 was, for the most part, related to investments in local school district general obligation bonds guaranteed by the Texas Permanent School Fund, which has a triple-A insurer financial strength rating. See the section captioned "Net Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion. The overall growth in the securities portfolio over the comparable periods was primarily funded by deposit growth.

Table of Contents**Deposits**

The table below presents the daily average balances of deposits by type and weighted-average rates paid thereon during the years presented:

	2010		2009		2008	
	Average Balance	Average Rate Paid	Average Balance	Average Rate Paid	Average Balance	Average Rate Paid
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits:						
Commercial and individual	\$ 4,546,054		\$ 3,793,195		\$ 3,246,169	
Correspondent banks	310,599		360,238		311,034	
Public funds	167,127		105,051		57,544	
Total	5,023,780		4,258,484		3,614,747	
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Private accounts:						
Savings and interest checking	2,277,982	0.13%	2,024,867	0.15%	1,694,688	0.19%
Money market accounts	5,066,747	0.35	4,152,225	0.60	3,492,935	1.47
Time accounts of \$100,000 or more	634,185	0.67	841,063	1.76	755,598	3.24
Time accounts under \$100,000	616,903	0.63	768,615	1.56	604,391	3.18
Public funds	428,022	0.22	374,373	0.41	368,760	1.72
Total	9,023,839	0.33	8,161,143	0.69	6,916,372	1.52
Total deposits	\$ 14,047,619	0.21	\$ 12,419,627	0.45	\$ 10,531,119	1.00

Average deposits increased \$1.6 billion, or 13.1% in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased \$1.9 billion, or 17.9% in 2009 compared to 2008. The most significant volume growth during the comparable years was in money market accounts, non-interest-bearing commercial and individual accounts and savings and interest checking accounts. The ratio of average interest-bearing deposits to total average deposits was 64.2% in 2010 compared to 65.7% in both 2009 and 2008. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits and total deposits was 0.33% and 0.21% during 2010 compared to 0.69% and 0.45% during 2009 and 1.52% and 1.00% during 2008. The decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits during the comparable periods was primarily the result of decreases in interest rates offered on certain deposit products due to decreases in average market interest rates and decreases in renewal interest rates on maturing certificates of deposit given the current low interest rate environment. Additionally, during 2010 compared to 2009, relative proportion of higher-cost time accounts to total average interest-bearing deposits decreased from 19.7% in 2009 to 13.9% in 2010. As stated previously in the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business, elsewhere in this report, the Dodd-Frank Act repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. Although the ultimate impact of this legislation on the Corporation has not yet been determined, the Corporation expects interest costs associated with demand deposits to increase.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the proportion of each component of average non-interest-bearing deposits to the total of such deposits during the years presented:

	2010	2009	2008
Commercial and individual	90.5%	89.1%	89.8%
Correspondent banks	6.2	8.4	8.6
Public funds	3.3	2.5	1.6
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Average non-interest-bearing deposits increased \$765.3 million, or 18.0%, in 2010 compared to 2009 while average non-interest-bearing deposits increased \$643.7 million, or 17.8%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily due to a \$752.9 million, or 19.8%, increase in average commercial and individual deposits. The increase in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily due to a \$547.0 million, or 16.9%, increase in average commercial and individual deposits. Average commercial and individual demand deposits during 2008 included approximately \$88.5 million of deposits that were received under a contractual relationship assumed in connection with an acquisition. This contractual relationship was terminated in 2008.

The following table presents the proportion of each component of average interest-bearing deposits to the total of such deposits during the years presented:

	2010	2009	2008
Private accounts:			
Savings and interest checking	25.3%	24.8%	24.5%
Money market accounts	56.2	50.9	50.5
Time accounts of \$100,000 or more	7.0	10.3	10.9
Time accounts under \$100,000	6.8	9.4	8.8
Public funds	4.7	4.6	5.3
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Total average interest-bearing deposits increased \$862.7 million, or 10.6%, in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased \$1.2 billion, or 18.0%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The relative proportion of time accounts to total average interest-bearing deposits decreased from 19.7% in 2009 to 13.8% in 2010, in favor of money market accounts and savings and interest checking accounts. The shift in relative proportions toward money market accounts and savings and interest checking accounts appears to be related to the lower interest rate environment experienced over the last two years as many customers appear to have become less inclined to invest their funds for extended periods. The relative mix of interest-bearing deposits did not significantly change in 2009 compared to 2008.

Some of the Corporation's interest-bearing deposits were obtained through brokered transactions and the Corporation's participation in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS). Average brokered money market deposits totaled \$222.6 million in 2010 compared to \$98.2 million in 2009 and \$1.7 million in 2008. The Corporation expects average brokered money market deposits to decrease in 2011 as a result of a decrease in the contracted amount during the fourth quarter of 2010. Average CDARS deposits totaled \$81.7 million in 2010 compared to \$148.7 million in 2009 and \$3.8 million in 2008.

Table of Contents

Geographic Concentrations. The following table summarizes the Corporation's average total deposit portfolio, as segregated by the geographic region from which the deposit accounts were originated. Certain accounts, such as correspondent bank deposits, are recorded at the statewide level. Geographic concentrations are stated as a percentage of average total deposits during the years presented.

	2010	2009	2008
San Antonio	32.1%	31.9%	32.0%
Fort Worth	18.6	19.9	22.1
Houston	16.6	17.6	18.1
Austin	10.1	10.3	10.6
Corpus Christi	6.3	6.3	6.6
Dallas	5.8	5.8	4.2
Rio Grande Valley	3.6	3.4	3.3
Statewide	6.9	4.8	3.1
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The Corporation experienced deposit growth in all regions during 2010 compared to 2009. The San Antonio region had the largest dollar volume increase during 2010, increasing \$545.2 million, or 13.7%. The Statewide region had the largest percentage increase, increasing \$376.1 million, or 62.9%, primarily due to growth in correspondent bank deposits and capital markets accounts. Average deposits for the Houston region increased \$163.1 million, or 7.5%, while average deposits for the Austin and Fort Worth regions increased \$142.4 million, or 11.2%, and \$138.1 million, or 5.6%, respectively. Average deposits for the Corpus Christi and Dallas regions increased \$99.4 million, or 12.6%, and \$88.3 million, or 12.2%.

The Corporation experienced deposit growth in all regions during 2009 compared to 2008. The San Antonio region had the largest dollar volume increase during 2009, increasing \$598.4 million, or 17.8%. The Statewide region had the largest percentage increase, increasing \$268.3 million, or 81.3%, primarily due to growth in correspondent bank deposits and capital markets accounts. Average deposits for the Houston region increased \$277.6 million, or 14.6%, while average deposits for the Dallas, Austin and Fort Worth regions increased \$278.4 million, or 62.5%, \$152.7 million, or 15.6%, and \$139.5 million, or 6.0%, respectively.

Foreign Deposits. Mexico has historically been considered a part of the natural trade territory of the Corporation's banking offices. Accordingly, U.S. dollar-denominated foreign deposits from sources within Mexico have traditionally been a significant source of funding. Average deposits from foreign sources, primarily Mexico, totaled \$782.7 million in 2010, \$716.4 million in 2009 and \$686.2 million in 2008.

Short-Term Borrowings

The Corporation's primary source of short-term borrowings is federal funds purchased from correspondent banks and repurchase agreements in the natural trade territory of the Corporation, as well as from upstream banks. Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements totaled \$475.7 million, \$482.0 million and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The maximum amount of these borrowings outstanding at any month-end was \$532.8 million in 2010, \$686.8 million in 2009 and \$1.4 billion in 2008. The weighted-average interest rate on federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements was 0.01%, 0.05% and 0.24% at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Corporation's average net funding position during the years indicated:

	2010		2009		2008	
	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	\$ 20,646	0.36%	\$ 59,236	0.35%	\$ 141,724	2.47%
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	(472,492)	0.09	(610,945)	0.17	(1,008,019)	1.29
Net funds position	\$ (451,846)		\$ (551,709)		\$ (866,295)	

The net funds purchased position decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to a \$89.9 million decrease in average repurchase agreements and a \$48.5 million decrease in average federal funds purchased partly offset by the impact of a \$35.6 million decrease in average federal funds sold and a \$3.0 million decrease in average resell agreements. The net funds purchased position decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a \$233.7 million decrease in average repurchase agreements and a \$163.4 million decrease in average federal funds purchased partly offset by the impact of a \$78.9 million decrease in average federal funds sold and a \$3.6 million decrease in average resell agreements. Average interest-bearing deposits totaled \$2.0 billion in 2010 compared to \$829.2 million in 2009 and \$85.4 million in 2008. During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Corporation began maintaining excess liquid funds in interest-bearing deposits with the Federal Reserve rather than federal funds sold in order to capitalize on higher available yields.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements, Commitments, Guarantees, and Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the Corporation's contractual obligations and other commitments to make future payments as of December 31, 2010. Payments for borrowings do not include interest. Payments related to leases are based on actual payments specified in the underlying contracts. Loan commitments and standby letters of credit are presented at contractual amounts; however, since many of these commitments are expected to expire unused or only partially used, the total amounts of these commitments do not necessarily reflect future cash requirements.

	Payments Due by Period				
	1 Year or Less	More than 1 Year but Less than 3 Years	3 Years or More but Less than 5 Years	5 Years or More	Total
Contractual obligations:					
Subordinated notes payable	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 250,000
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	-	-	-	123,712	123,712
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	19	18	8	-	45
Operating leases	16,332	26,144	15,406	42,993	100,875
Deposits with stated maturity dates	1,193,107	131,194	-	-	1,324,301
	1,359,458	157,356	15,414	266,705	1,798,933
Other commitments:					
Commitments to extend credit	69,431	3,457,227	481,476	520,062	4,528,196
Standby letters of credit	4,704	280,469	8,700	243	294,116
	74,135	3,737,696	490,176	520,305	4,822,312

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Total contractual obligations and other commitments	\$ 1,433,593	\$ 3,895,052	\$ 505,590	\$ 787,010	\$ 6,621,245
---	--------------	--------------	------------	------------	--------------

Table of Contents

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk. In the normal course of business, the Corporation enters into various transactions, which, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, are not included in its consolidated balance sheets. The Corporation enters into these transactions to meet the financing needs of its customers. These transactions include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, which involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Corporation minimizes its exposure to loss under these commitments by subjecting them to credit approval and monitoring procedures. The Corporation also holds certain assets which are not included in its consolidated balance sheets including assets held in fiduciary or custodial capacity on behalf of its trust customers and certain collateral funds resulting from acting as an agent in its securities lending program.

Commitments to Extend Credit. The Corporation enters into contractual commitments to extend credit, normally with fixed expiration dates or termination clauses, at specified rates and for specific purposes. Substantially all of the Corporation's commitments to extend credit are contingent upon customers maintaining specific credit standards at the time of loan funding. Commitments to extend credit outstanding at December 31, 2010 are included in the table above.

Standby Letters of Credit. Standby letters of credit are written conditional commitments issued by the Corporation to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. In the event the customer does not perform in accordance with the terms of the agreement with the third party, the Corporation would be required to fund the commitment. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Corporation could be required to make is represented by the contractual amount of the commitment. If the commitment is funded, the Corporation would be entitled to seek recovery from the customer. The Corporation's policies generally require that standby letter of credit arrangements contain security and debt covenants similar to those contained in loan agreements. Standby letters of credit outstanding at December 31, 2010 are included in the table above.

Trust Accounts. The Corporation also holds certain assets in fiduciary or custodial capacity on behalf of its trust customers. The estimated fair value of trust assets was approximately \$24.9 billion (including managed assets of \$9.9 billion and custody assets of \$15.0 billion) at December 31, 2010. These assets were primarily composed of fixed income securities (43.7% of trust assets), equity securities (41.1% of trust assets) and cash equivalents (9.1% of trust assets).

Securities Lending. The Corporation lends certain customer securities to creditworthy brokers on behalf of those customers. If the borrower fails to return these securities, the Corporation indemnifies its customers based on the then current net realizable fair value of the securities. The Corporation holds collateral received in securities lending transactions as an agent. Accordingly, such collateral assets are not assets of the Corporation. The Corporation requires borrowers to provide collateral equal to or in excess of 100% of the fair value of the securities borrowed. The collateral is valued daily and additional collateral is requested as necessary. The maximum future payments guaranteed by the Corporation under these contractual agreements (representing the fair value of securities lent to brokers) totaled \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation held in trust liquid assets with a fair value of \$1.9 billion as collateral for these agreements.

Capital and Liquidity

Capital. At December 31, 2010, shareholders' equity totaled \$2.1 billion compared to \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2009. In addition to net income of \$208.8 million, other significant changes in shareholders' equity during 2010 included \$108.0 million of dividends paid, \$38.8 million in proceeds from stock option exercises and the related tax benefits of \$419 thousand and \$14.9 million related to stock-based compensation. Additionally, the Corporation issued/sold \$13.3 million in common stock, including \$11.2 million related to new shares and \$2.1 related to shares held in treasury, to the Corporation's 401(k) plan. During the second quarter of 2009, the Corporation began to issue shares of the Corporation's common stock directly to the Corporation's

Table of Contents

401(k) plan in connection with matching contributions. Additionally, the 401(k) plan began to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock directly from the Corporation utilizing proceeds from dividends that plan participants elected to reinvest in the Corporation's common stock. Previously, the Corporation contributed the matching contributions in cash, which, along with the proceeds from dividends on the Corporation's common stock, were then utilized to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock on the open market.

The accumulated other comprehensive income/loss component of shareholders' equity totaled a net, after-tax, unrealized gain of \$154.3 million at December 31, 2010 compared to a net, after-tax, unrealized gain of \$154.2 million at December 31, 2009. The lack of significant fluctuation resulted as the after-tax effect of a change in the accumulated net gain/loss on effective cash flow hedges during 2010 was, for the most part, offset by the after-tax effect of changes in the unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale and the net actuarial gain/loss of the Corporation's defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans. Under regulatory requirements, amounts reported as accumulated other comprehensive income/loss related to securities available for sale, defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans and effective cash flow hedges do not increase or reduce regulatory capital and are not included in the calculation of risk-based capital and leverage ratios. Regulatory agencies for banks and bank holding companies utilize capital guidelines designed to measure Tier 1 and total capital and take into consideration the risk inherent in both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet items. See Note 10 -Regulatory Matters in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

The Corporation paid quarterly dividends of \$0.43, \$0.45, \$0.45 and \$0.45 per common share during the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2010, respectively, and \$0.42, \$0.43, \$0.43 and \$0.43 per common share during the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2009, respectively. This equates to a dividend payout ratio of 51.7% in 2010 and 57.0% in 2009.

From time to time, the Corporation's board of directors has authorized stock repurchase plans. In general, stock repurchase plans allow the Corporation to proactively manage its capital position and return excess capital to shareholders. Shares purchased under such plans also provide the Corporation with shares of common stock necessary to satisfy obligations related to stock compensation awards. Under the most recent plan, 404 thousand shares approved for repurchase were repurchased during the first quarter of 2008 at a total cost of \$21.9 million. Also see Part II, Item 5 - Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities, included elsewhere in this report.

Liquidity. Liquidity measures the ability to meet current and future cash flow needs as they become due. The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to meet loan requests, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rate market opportunities. The ability of a financial institution to meet its current financial obligations is a function of its balance sheet structure, its ability to liquidate assets, and its access to alternative sources of funds. The Corporation seeks to ensure its funding needs are met by maintaining a level of liquid funds through asset/liability management.

Asset liquidity is provided by liquid assets which are readily marketable or pledgeable or which will mature in the near future. Liquid assets include cash, interest-bearing deposits in banks, securities available for sale, maturities and cash flow from securities held to maturity, and federal funds sold and resell agreements.

Liability liquidity is provided by access to funding sources which include core deposits and correspondent banks in the Corporation's natural trade area that maintain accounts with and sell federal funds to Frost Bank, as well as federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements from upstream banks and deposits obtained through financial intermediaries.

Since Cullen/Frost is a holding company and does not conduct operations, its primary sources of liquidity are dividends upstreamed from Frost Bank and borrowings from outside sources. Banking regulations may limit the amount of dividends that may be paid by Frost Bank. See Note 10 - Regulatory Matters in the accompanying

Table of Contents

notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report regarding such dividends. At December 31, 2010, Cullen/Frost had liquid assets, including cash and resell agreements, totaling \$156.3 million, which included \$8.5 million in cash collateral on deposit with other financial institution counterparties to interest rate swap transactions.

The liquidity position of the Corporation is continuously monitored and adjustments are made to the balance between sources and uses of funds as deemed appropriate. Management is not aware of any events that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's liquidity, capital resources or operations. In addition, management is not aware of any regulatory recommendations regarding liquidity, which if implemented, would have a material adverse effect on the Corporation.

The Corporation's operating objectives include expansion, diversification within its markets, growth of its fee-based income, and growth internally and through acquisitions of financial institutions, branches and financial services businesses. The Corporation generally seeks merger or acquisition partners that are culturally similar and have experienced management and possess either significant market presence or have potential for improved profitability through financial management, economies of scale and expanded services. The Corporation regularly evaluates merger and acquisition opportunities and conducts due diligence activities related to possible transactions with other financial institutions and financial services companies. As a result, merger or acquisition discussions and, in some cases, negotiations may take place and future mergers or acquisitions involving cash, debt or equity securities may occur. Acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and, therefore, some dilution of the Corporation's tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction.

In July 2010, the Corporation redeemed \$12.4 million of floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures held of record by Summit Bancshares Statutory Trust I (Summit Trust). Concurrently, the \$12.0 million of floating rate trust preferred securities issued by Summit Trust were also redeemed. In January 2008, the Corporation redeemed \$3.1 million of floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures held of record by Alamo Corporation of Texas Trust I (Alamo Trust). Concurrently, the \$3.0 million of floating rate trust preferred securities issued by Alamo Trust were also redeemed. See Note 7 - Borrowed Funds in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Corporation's financial statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). GAAP presently requires the Corporation to measure financial position and operating results primarily in terms of historic dollars. Changes in the relative value of money due to inflation or recession are generally not considered. The primary effect of inflation on the operations of the Corporation is reflected in increased operating costs. In management's opinion, changes in interest rates affect the financial condition of a financial institution to a far greater degree than changes in the inflation rate. While interest rates are greatly influenced by changes in the inflation rate, they do not necessarily change at the same rate or in the same magnitude as the inflation rate. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the control of the Corporation, including changes in the expected rate of inflation, the influence of general and local economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government, its agencies and various other governmental regulatory authorities, among other things, as further discussed in the next section.

Table of Contents

Regulatory and Economic Policies

The Corporation's business and earnings are affected by general and local economic conditions and by the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government, its agencies and various other governmental regulatory authorities, among other things. The Federal Reserve Board regulates the supply of money in order to influence general economic conditions. Among the instruments of monetary policy historically available to the Federal Reserve Board are (i) conducting open market operations in United States government obligations, (ii) changing the discount rate on financial institution borrowings, (iii) imposing or changing reserve requirements against financial institution deposits, and (iv) restricting certain borrowings and imposing or changing reserve requirements against certain borrowings by financial institutions and their affiliates. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has taken a variety of extraordinary actions during the current recession that have had a material expansionary effect on the money supply. These methods are used in varying degrees and combinations to affect directly the availability of bank loans and deposits, as well as the interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits. For that reason alone, the policies of the Federal Reserve Board have a material effect on the earnings of the Corporation.

Governmental policies have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to do so in the future; however, the Corporation cannot accurately predict the nature, timing or extent of any effect such policies may have on its future business and earnings.

Accounting Standards Updates

See Note 19 - Accounting Standards Updates in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for details of recently issued accounting pronouncements and their expected impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by Item 1A. Risk Factors and the section captioned Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results included in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this report, and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

Market risk refers to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, and other relevant market rates and prices, such as equity prices. The risk of loss can be assessed from the perspective of adverse changes in fair values, cash flows, and future earnings. Due to the nature of its operations, the Corporation is primarily exposed to interest rate risk and, to a lesser extent, liquidity risk.

Interest rate risk on the Corporation's balance sheets consists of repricing, option, and basis risks. Repricing risk results from differences in the maturity, or repricing, of asset and liability portfolios. Option risk arises from embedded options present in many financial instruments such as loan prepayment options, deposit early withdrawal options and interest rate options. These options allow customers opportunities to benefit when market interest rates change, which typically results in higher costs or lower revenue for the Corporation. Basis risk refers to the potential for changes in the underlying relationship between market rates and indices, which subsequently result in a narrowing of the profit spread on an earning asset or liability. Basis risk is also present in administered rate liabilities, such as savings accounts, negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, and money market accounts where historical pricing relationships to market rates may change due to the level or directional change in market interest rates.

The Corporation seeks to avoid fluctuations in its net interest margin and to maximize net interest income within acceptable levels of risk through periods of changing interest rates. Accordingly, the Corporation's interest rate sensitivity and liquidity are monitored on an ongoing basis by its Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO), which oversees market risk management and establishes risk measures, limits and policy guidelines for managing the amount of interest rate risk and its effect on net interest income and capital. A variety of measures are used to provide for a comprehensive view of the magnitude of interest rate risk, the distribution of risk, the level of risk over time and the exposure to changes in certain interest rate relationships.

The Corporation utilizes an earnings simulation model as the primary quantitative tool in measuring the amount of interest rate risk associated with changing market rates. The model quantifies the effects of various interest rate scenarios on projected net interest income and net income over the next 12 months. The model measures the impact on net interest income relative to a base case scenario of hypothetical fluctuations in interest rates over the next 12 months. These simulations incorporate assumptions regarding balance sheet growth and mix, pricing and the repricing and maturity characteristics of the existing and projected balance sheet. The impact of interest rate derivatives, such as interest rate swaps, caps and floors, is also included in the model. Other interest rate-related risks such as prepayment, basis and option risk are also considered.

ALCO continuously monitors and manages the balance between interest rate-sensitive assets and liabilities. The objective is to manage the impact of fluctuating market rates on net interest income within acceptable levels. In order to meet this objective, management may lengthen or shorten the duration of assets or liabilities or enter into derivative contracts to mitigate potential market risk.

As of December 31, 2010, the model simulations projected that 100 and 200 basis point increases in interest rates would result in positive variances in net interest income of 0.1% and 1.0%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next 12 months, while a decrease in interest rates of 25 basis points would result in a negative variance in net interest income of 0.7% relative to the base case over the next 12 months. As of December 31, 2009, the model simulations projected that 100 and 200 basis point increases in interest rates would result in positive variances in net interest income of 1.8% and 3.6%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next

Table of Contents

12 months, while a decrease in interest rates of 25 basis points would result in a negative variance in net interest income of 0.4% relative to the base case over the next 12 months. The likelihood of a decrease in interest rates beyond 25 basis points as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 was considered to be remote given prevailing interest rate levels.

The Corporation experienced significant growth in deposits in 2010 compared to 2009. The deposit growth funded a significant increase in fixed-rate securities and short-term interest-bearing deposits, which are generally immediately impacted by changes in interest rates. During the fourth quarters of 2009 and 2010, the Corporation also terminated portions of certain interest rate swap contracts that were designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges that effectively fixed the interest rates on \$1.2 billion of variable-rate loans (\$400 million terminated in 2009 and \$800 million terminated in 2010). See Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information. The increase in short-term interest-bearing deposits and the terminations of the interest rate swap contracts increased the asset sensitivity for the Corporation's balance sheet while the increase in fixed-rate securities decreased the asset sensitivity. The Corporation's overall sensitivity to increases in interest rates as of December 31, 2010 decreased compared to December 31, 2009 primarily as a result of the expectation that certain deposit liabilities that are currently non-interest-bearing will become rate sensitive during the third quarter of 2011, as further discussed below.

As stated previously in the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business, elsewhere in this report, the Dodd-Frank Act repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. Although the ultimate impact of this legislation on the Corporation has not yet been determined, the Corporation expects interest costs associated with demand deposits to increase. Furthermore, the Corporation's balance sheet is expected to become less asset sensitive. Because the interest rate that will ultimately be paid on these demand deposits depends upon a variety of factors, some of which are beyond the Corporation's control, the Corporation assumed an aggressive pricing structure for the purposes of the model simulations discussed above. Should the actual interest rate paid on demand deposits be less than the rate assumed in the model simulations, or should the interest rate paid for such deposits become an administered rate with less direct correlation to movements in general market interest rates, the Corporation could be more asset sensitive than the model currently indicates.

As of December 31, 2010, the effect of a 200 basis point increase in interest rates on the Corporation's derivative holdings would result in a 0.08% positive variance in net interest income. The effect of a 25 basis point decrease in interest rates on the Corporation's derivative holdings would result in a 0.02% negative variance in net interest income.

The effects of hypothetical fluctuations in interest rates on the Corporation's securities classified as "trading" under ASC Topic 320, "Investments - Debt and Equity Securities" are not significant, and, as such, separate quantitative disclosure is not presented.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Ernst & Young LLP

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. (the Corporation) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 3, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Antonio, Texas

February 3, 2011

Table of Contents**Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Income**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Interest income:			
Loans, including fees	\$ 409,651	\$ 432,222	\$ 504,680
Securities:			
Taxable	121,402	125,084	143,360
Tax-exempt	81,311	63,362	23,684
Interest-bearing deposits	4,901	2,161	429
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	74	207	3,498
Total interest income	617,339	623,036	675,651
Interest expense:			
Deposits	29,973	56,015	104,871
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	437	1,052	12,954
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	6,982	7,231	6,972
Other long-term borrowings	16,488	22,059	16,829
Total interest expense	53,880	86,357	141,626
Net interest income	563,459	536,679	534,025
Provision for possible loan losses	43,611	65,392	37,823
Net interest income after provision for possible loan losses	519,848	471,287	496,202
Non-interest income:			
Trust fees	68,428	67,268	74,554
Service charges on deposit accounts	98,796	102,474	87,566
Insurance commissions and fees	34,015	33,096	32,904
Other charges, commissions and fees	30,452	27,699	35,557
Net gain (loss) on securities transactions	6	(1,260)	(159)
Other	50,336	64,429	56,900
Total non-interest income	282,033	293,706	287,322
Non-interest expense:			
Salaries and wages	239,589	230,643	225,943
Employee benefits	52,352	55,224	47,219
Net occupancy	46,166	44,188	40,464
Furniture and equipment	47,651	44,223	37,799
Deposit insurance	20,451	25,812	4,597
Intangible amortization	5,125	6,537	7,906
Other	124,207	125,611	122,717
Total non-interest expense	535,541	532,238	486,645

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Income before income taxes	266,340	232,755	296,879
Income taxes	57,576	53,721	89,624
Net income	\$ 208,764	\$ 179,034	\$ 207,255
Earnings per common share:			
Basic	\$ 3.44	\$ 3.00	\$ 3.51
Diluted	3.44	3.00	3.50

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Assets:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 587,847	\$ 558,490
Interest-bearing deposits	2,171,828	1,157,699
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	61,302	5,290
Total cash and cash equivalents	2,820,977	1,721,479
Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost	283,629	6,115
Securities available for sale, at estimated fair value	5,157,470	4,884,257
Trading account securities	15,101	16,126
Loans, net of unearned discounts	8,117,020	8,367,780
Less: Allowance for possible loan losses	(126,316)	(125,309)
Net loans	7,990,704	8,242,471
Premises and equipment, net	316,909	324,098
Goodwill	527,684	527,684
Other intangible assets, net	14,335	19,460
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	129,922	125,405
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	360,361	420,943
Total assets	\$ 17,617,092	\$ 16,288,038
Liabilities:		
Deposits:		
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 5,360,436	\$ 4,645,802
Interest-bearing deposits	9,118,906	8,667,508
Total deposits	14,479,342	13,313,310
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	475,673	482,048
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	123,712	136,084
Other long-term borrowings	250,045	256,562
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	226,640	205,610
Total liabilities	15,555,412	14,393,614
Shareholders Equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-
Junior participating preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 250,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 210,000,000 shares authorized; 61,108,184 shares issued in 2010 and 60,236,862 shares issued in 2009	611	602
Additional paid-in capital	657,335	600,355
Retained earnings	1,249,484	1,150,103
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	154,250	154,205
Treasury stock, 198,586 shares in 2009, at cost	-	(10,841)

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Total shareholders' equity	2,061,680	1,894,424
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 17,617,092	\$ 16,288,038

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(Dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 208,764	\$ 179,034	\$ 207,255
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:			
Provision for possible loan losses	43,611	65,392	37,823
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	4,644	(9,854)	11,421
Accretion of loan discounts	(11,336)	(13,974)	(12,207)
Securities premium amortization (discount accretion), net	8,065	3,108	818
Net (gain) loss on securities transactions	(6)	1,260	159
Depreciation and amortization	37,588	36,459	33,567
Net (gain) loss on sale of loans held for sale and other assets	5,929	2,584	(2,063)
Stock-based compensation	14,925	12,645	10,166
Net tax benefit (deficiency) from stock-based compensation	(264)	815	619
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(683)	(681)	(7,770)
Earnings on life insurance policies	(4,517)	(4,858)	(4,966)
Net change in:			
Trading account securities	1,025	(1,574)	(2,639)
Student loans held for sale	24,029	4,829	34,701
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	69,830	68,162	(148,227)
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	22,929	(69,418)	75,717
Net cash from operating activities	424,533	273,929	234,374
Investing Activities:			
Securities held to maturity:			
Purchases	(277,998)	(1,000)	-
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	708	1,832	1,173
Securities available for sale:			
Purchases	(11,194,766)	(12,389,403)	(7,650,782)
Sales	9,997,994	206,477	4,871,311
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	893,702	10,930,488	2,739,555
Net change in loans	176,599	403,865	(1,130,116)
Net cash paid in acquisitions	-	(1,205)	(586)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	1,063	715	1,287
Purchases of premises and equipment	(16,826)	(78,262)	(67,470)
Benefits received on life insurance policies	-	650	-
Proceeds from sales of repossessed properties	19,705	6,460	6,301
Net cash from investing activities	(399,819)	(919,383)	(1,229,327)
Financing Activities:			
Net change in deposits	1,166,032	1,804,373	979,264
Net change in short-term borrowings	(6,375)	(591,231)	140,207
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	-	230,000	-
Principal payments on long-term borrowings	(18,889)	(230,015)	(7,662)

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Proceeds from stock option exercises	38,807	16,226	37,400
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	683	681	7,770
Purchase of treasury stock	(986)	(800)	(23,055)
Common stock/treasury stock sold to the 401(k) stock purchase plan	3,539	2,498	-
Cash dividends paid	(108,027)	(102,130)	(98,152)
Net cash from financing activities	1,074,784	1,129,602	1,035,772
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	1,099,498	484,148	40,819
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,721,479	1,237,331	1,196,512
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 2,820,977	\$ 1,721,479	\$ 1,237,331

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**Cullen/Frost Bankers,****Inc. Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax	Treasury Stock	Total
Balance at January 1, 2008	\$ 602	\$ 573,799	\$ 992,138	\$ (7,382)	\$ (82,069)	\$ 1,477,088
Cumulative effect of adoption of a new accounting principle on January 1, 2008	-	-	(240)	-	-	(240)
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	-	-	207,255	-	-	207,255
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	-	-	-	144,676	-	144,676
Total comprehensive income						351,931
Stock option exercises (1,116,470 shares)	-	-	(20,841)	-	58,241	37,400
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation	-	8,389	-	-	-	8,389
Stock-based compensation expense recognized in earnings	-	10,166	-	-	-	10,166
Non-vested stock awards (63,000 shares)	-	(3,289)	-	-	3,289	-
Purchase of treasury stock (425,167 shares)	-	-	-	-	(23,055)	(23,055)
Cash dividends (\$1.66 per share)	-	-	(98,152)	-	-	(98,152)
Balance at December 31, 2008	602	589,065	1,080,160	137,294	(43,594)	1,763,527
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	-	-	179,034	-	-	179,034
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	-	-	-	16,911	-	16,911
Total comprehensive income						195,945
Stock option exercises (426,625 shares)	-	-	(6,147)	-	22,373	16,226
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation	-	1,496	-	-	-	1,496
Stock-based compensation expense recognized in earnings	-	12,645	-	-	-	12,645
Non-vested stock awards (56,300 shares)	-	(2,851)	(176)	-	3,027	-
Purchase of treasury stock (15,777 shares)	-	-	-	-	(800)	(800)
Treasury stock issued/sold to the 401(k) stock purchase plan (154,695 shares)	-	-	(638)	-	8,153	7,515
Cash dividends (\$1.71 per share)	-	-	(102,130)	-	-	(102,130)
Balance at December 31, 2009	602	600,355	1,150,103	154,205	(10,841)	1,894,424
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	-	-	208,764	-	-	208,764
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	-	-	-	45	-	45
Total comprehensive income						208,809

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Stock option exercises/deferred stock unit conversions (820,853 shares)	7	31,191	(1,225)	-	8,834	38,807
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation	-	419	-	-	-	419
Stock-based compensation expense recognized in earnings	-	14,925	-	-	-	14,925
Non-vested stock awards (22,360 shares)	-	(793)	-	-	793	-
Purchase of treasury stock (18,424 shares)	-	-	-	-	(986)	(986)
Treasury stock issued/sold to the 401(k) stock purchase plan (40,019 shares)	-	-	(131)	-	2,200	2,069
Common stock issued/sold to the 401(k) stock purchase plan (205,100 shares)	2	11,238	-	-	-	11,240
Cash dividends (\$1.78 per share)	-	-	(108,027)	-	-	(108,027)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 611	\$ 657,335	\$ 1,249,484	\$ 154,250	\$ -	\$ 2,061,680

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

(table amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations. Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. (Cullen/Frost) is a financial holding company and a bank holding company headquartered in San Antonio, Texas that provides, through its subsidiaries, a broad array of products and services throughout numerous Texas markets. In addition to general commercial and consumer banking, other products and services offered include trust and investment management, investment banking, insurance brokerage, leasing, asset-based lending, treasury management and item processing.

Basis of Presentation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Cullen/Frost and all other entities in which Cullen/Frost has a controlling financial interest (collectively referred to as the Corporation). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The accounting and financial reporting policies the Corporation follows conform, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The Corporation determines whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity (VIE) under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Voting interest entities are entities in which the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to enable the entity to finance itself independently and provides the equity holders with the obligation to absorb losses, the right to receive residual returns and the right to make decisions about the entity's activities. The Corporation consolidates voting interest entities in which it has all, or at least a majority of, the voting interest. As defined in applicable accounting standards, VIEs are entities that lack one or more of the characteristics of a voting interest entity. A controlling financial interest in a VIE is present when an enterprise has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The enterprise with a controlling financial interest, known as the primary beneficiary, consolidates the VIE. The Corporation's wholly owned subsidiary Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II is a VIE for which the Corporation is not the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, its accounts are not included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

Certain items in prior financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. The Corporation has evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and/or disclosure through the date these consolidated financial statements were issued. All acquisitions during the reported periods were accounted for using the purchase method. Accordingly, the operating results of the acquired companies are included with the Corporation's results of operations since their respective dates of acquisition.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The allowance for possible loan losses, the fair value of stock-based compensation awards, the fair values of financial instruments and the status of contingencies are particularly subject to change.

Table of Contents

Cash Flow Reporting. Cash and cash equivalents include cash, deposits with other financial institutions that have an initial maturity of less than 90 days when acquired by the Corporation, federal funds sold and resell agreements. Net cash flows are reported for loans, deposit transactions and short-term borrowings. Additional cash flow information was as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Cash paid for interest	\$ 56,924	\$ 93,328	\$ 157,864
Cash paid for income tax	62,830	82,486	89,311
Significant non-cash transactions			
Loans foreclosed and transferred to other real estate owned and foreclosed assets	19,518	36,111	15,139
Loans to facilitate the sale of other real estate owned	480	4,699	275
Common stock/treasury stock issued to the Corporation's 401(k) stock purchase plan	9,770	5,017	-
Transfers of other real estate owned to premises and equipment	-	976	-

Concentrations and Restrictions on Cash and Cash Equivalents. The Corporation maintains deposits with other financial institutions in amounts that exceed federal deposit insurance coverage. Furthermore, federal funds sold are essentially uncollateralized loans to other financial institutions. Management regularly evaluates the credit risk associated with the counterparties to these transactions and believes that the Corporation is not exposed to any significant credit risks on cash and cash equivalents.

The Corporation was required to have \$104.9 million and \$104.6 million of cash on hand or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank to meet regulatory reserve and clearing requirements at December 31, 2010 and 2009. These deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank do not earn interest. Additionally, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Corporation had \$8.5 million and \$6.0 million in cash collateral on deposit with other financial institution counterparties to interest rate swap transactions.

Repurchase/Resell Agreements. The Corporation purchases certain securities under agreements to resell. The amounts advanced under these agreements represent short-term loans and are reflected as assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The securities underlying these agreements are book-entry securities. The Corporation also sells certain securities under agreements to repurchase. The agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions and the obligations to repurchase securities sold are reflected as a liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The dollar amount of the securities underlying the agreements remain in the asset accounts.

Securities. Securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them until maturity. Securities to be held for indefinite periods of time are classified as available for sale and carried at fair value, with the unrealized holding gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax. Securities held for resale in anticipation of short-term market movements are classified as trading and are carried at fair value, with changes in unrealized holding gains and losses included in income. Management determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase. Securities with limited marketability, such as stock in the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank, are carried at cost.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premiums and discounts. Realized gains and losses are derived from the amortized cost of the security sold. Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers, among other things, (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (ii) the financial

Table of Contents

condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (iii) the intent and ability of the Corporation to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Loans. Loans are reported at the principal balance outstanding net of unearned discounts. Interest income on loans is reported on the level-yield method and includes amortization of deferred loan fees and costs over the loan term. Net loan commitment fees or costs for commitment periods greater than one year are deferred and amortized into fee income or other expense on a straight-line basis over the commitment period. Income on direct financing leases is recognized on a basis that achieves a constant periodic rate of return on the outstanding investment.

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payment obligations as they become due, as well as when required by regulatory provisions. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received in excess of principal due. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable the Corporation will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled principal and interest payments. Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of a similar nature and on an individual loan basis for other loans. If a loan is impaired, a specific valuation allowance is allocated, if necessary, so that the loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Interest payments on impaired loans are typically applied to principal unless collectibility of the principal amount is reasonably assured, in which case interest is recognized on a cash basis. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

Loans Acquired Through Transfer. Loans acquired through the completion of a transfer, including loans acquired in a business combination, that have evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the Corporation will be unable to collect all contractually required payment receivable are initially recorded at fair value (as determined by the present value of expected future cash flows) with no valuation allowance. The difference between the undiscounted cash flows expected at acquisition and the investment in the loan, or the accretable yield, is recognized as interest income on a level-yield method over the life of the loan. Contractually required payments for interest and principal that exceed the undiscounted cash flows expected at acquisition, or the nonaccretable difference, are not recognized as a yield adjustment or as a loss accrual or a valuation allowance. Increases in expected cash flows subsequent to the initial investment are recognized prospectively through adjustment of the yield on the loan over its remaining life. Decreases in expected cash flows are recognized as impairment. Valuation allowances on these impaired loans reflect only losses incurred after the acquisition (meaning the present value of all cash flows expected at acquisition that ultimately are not to be received).

Loans Held for Sale. Prior to the second quarter of 2008, the Corporation originated student loans primarily for sale in the secondary market. These loans were generally sold on a non-recourse basis and were carried at the lower of cost or market on an aggregate basis. Gains or losses recognized upon the sale of loans were determined on a specific identification basis. During the second quarter of 2008, the Corporation elected to discontinue the origination of student loans for resale, aside from previously outstanding commitments. All remaining student loans were sold during the second quarter of 2010.

Allowance for Possible Loan Losses. The allowance for possible loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance for

Table of Contents

possible loan losses includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 310, Receivables and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450, Contingencies. Further information regarding the Corporation's policies and methodology used to estimate the allowance for possible loan losses is presented in Note 3 - Loans.

Premises and Equipment. Land is carried at cost. Building and improvements, and furniture and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation, computed principally by the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the related property. Leasehold improvements are generally depreciated over the lesser of the term of the respective leases or the estimated useful lives of the improvements.

Foreclosed Assets. Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. Costs after acquisition are generally expensed. If the fair value of the asset declines, a write-down is recorded through expense. The valuation of foreclosed assets is subjective in nature and may be adjusted in the future because of changes in economic conditions. Foreclosed assets are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and totaled \$27.8 million and \$33.3 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of businesses acquired over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is assigned to reporting units and tested for impairment at least annually, or on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying value. See Note 5 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

Intangibles and Other Long-Lived Assets. Intangible assets are acquired assets that lack physical substance but can be distinguished from goodwill because of contractual or other legal rights or because the asset is capable of being sold or exchanged either on its own or in combination with a related contract, asset, or liability. The Corporation's intangible assets relate to core deposits, non-compete agreements and customer relationships. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized on an accelerated basis over their estimated life. Intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortized until their lives are determined to be definite. Intangible assets, premises and equipment and other long-lived assets are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value. See Note 5 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

Insurance Commissions and Fees. Commission revenue is recognized as of the effective date of the insurance policy. The Corporation also receives contingent commissions from insurance companies as additional incentive for achieving specified premium volume goals and/or the loss experience of the insurance placed by the Corporation. Contingent commissions from insurance companies are recognized when determinable, which is generally when such commissions are received or when the Corporation receives data from the insurance companies that allows the reasonable estimation of these amounts. The Corporation maintains a reserve for commission adjustments based on estimated policy cancellations. This reserve was not significant at December 31, 2010 or 2009.

Stock-Based Compensation. Compensation expense for stock options, non-vested stock awards/stock units and deferred stock units is based on the fair value of the award on the measurement date, which, for the Corporation, is the date of the grant and is recognized ratably over the service period of the award. The fair value of stock options is estimated using a binomial lattice-based valuation model. The fair value of stock options granted prior to the fourth quarter of 2006 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of non-vested stock awards/stock units and deferred stock units is generally the market price of the Corporation's stock on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

Advertising Costs. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities (excluding deferred tax assets and liabilities related to business combinations or components of other comprehensive income). Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the expected amount most likely to be realized. Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of a sufficient level of future taxable income and recoverable taxes paid in prior years. Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that all of the deferred tax assets will be realized.

The Corporation files a consolidated income tax return with its subsidiaries. Federal income tax expense or benefit has been allocated to subsidiaries on a separate return basis.

Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Common Share. Effective January 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new accounting guidance under ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share, which provides that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The Corporation has determined that its outstanding non-vested stock awards/stock units and deferred stock units are participating securities. Accordingly, effective January 1, 2009, earnings per common share is computed using the two-class method prescribed under FASB ASC Topic 260. All previously reported earnings per common share data has been retrospectively adjusted to conform to the new computation method.

Under the two-class method, basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net earnings allocated to common stock by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the applicable period, excluding outstanding participating securities. Diluted earnings per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares determined for the basic earnings per common share computation plus the dilutive effect of stock compensation using the treasury stock method. A reconciliation of the weighted-average shares used in calculating basic earnings per common share and the weighted average common shares used in calculating diluted earnings per common share for the reported periods is provided in Note 9 - Shareholders' Equity and Earnings Per Common Share.

Comprehensive Income. Comprehensive income includes all changes in shareholders' equity during a period, except those resulting from transactions with shareholders. Besides net income, other components of the Corporation's comprehensive income include the after tax effect of changes in the net unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale, changes in the net actuarial gain/loss on defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans and changes in the accumulated gain/loss on effective cash flow hedging instruments. Comprehensive income is reported in the accompanying consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity. See Note 14 - Other Comprehensive Income.

Derivative Financial Instruments. The Corporation's hedging policies permit the use of various derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk or to hedge specified assets and liabilities. All derivatives are recorded at fair value on the Corporation's balance sheet. Derivatives executed with the same counterparty are generally subject to master netting arrangements, however, fair value amounts recognized for derivatives and fair value amounts recognized for the right/obligation to reclaim/return cash collateral are not offset for financial reporting purposes. The Corporation may be required to recognize certain contracts and commitments as derivatives when the characteristics of those contracts and commitments meet the definition of a derivative.

To qualify for hedge accounting, derivatives must be highly effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged and must be designated as a hedge at the inception of the derivative contract. The Corporation considers a hedge to be highly effective if the change in fair value of the derivative hedging instrument is within 80% to 125% of the opposite change in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to the

Table of Contents

hedged risk. If derivative instruments are designated as hedges of fair values, and such hedges are highly effective, both the change in the fair value of the hedge and the hedged item are included in current earnings. Fair value adjustments related to cash flow hedges are recorded in other comprehensive income and are reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction is reflected in earnings. Ineffective portions of hedges are reflected in earnings as they occur. Actual cash receipts and/or payments and related accruals on derivatives related to hedges are recorded as adjustments to the interest income or interest expense associated with the hedged item. During the life of the hedge, the Corporation formally assesses whether derivatives designated as hedging instruments continue to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of hedged items. If it is determined that a hedge has ceased to be highly effective, the Corporation will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively. At such time, previous adjustments to the carrying value of the hedged item are reversed into current earnings and the derivative instrument is reclassified to a trading position recorded at fair value.

Fair Value Measurements. ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. See Note 16 - Fair Value Measurements. In general, fair values of financial instruments are based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality and the Corporation's creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time.

Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. On January 1, 2008, the Corporation changed its accounting policy and recognized a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings totaling \$240 thousand related to accounting for certain endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements in connection with the adoption of new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715, Compensation - Retirement Benefits. See Note 19 - Accounting Standards Updates.

Transfers of Financial Assets. Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (i) the assets have been isolated from the Corporation, (ii) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (iii) the Corporation does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Loss Contingencies. Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated.

Trust Assets. Assets of the Corporation's trust department, other than cash on deposit at Frost Bank, are not included in the accompanying financial statements because they are not assets of the Corporation.

Table of Contents**Note 2 - Securities**

Year-end securities held to maturity and available for sale consisted of the following:

	2010				2009			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Securities Held to Maturity:								
U.S. Treasury	\$ 247,421	\$ 13,517	\$ -	\$ 260,938	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Residential mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government agencies and corporations	4,405	136	-	4,541	5,115	141	-	5,256
States and political subdivisions	30,803	-	1,054	29,749	-	-	-	-
Other	1,000	-	-	1,000	1,000	-	-	1,000
Total	\$ 283,629	\$ 13,653	\$ 1,054	\$ 296,228	\$ 6,115	\$ 141	\$ -	\$ 6,256
Securities Available for Sale:								
U. S. Treasury	\$ 973,033	\$ 13,998	\$ -	\$ 987,031	\$ 399,444	\$ 811	\$ -	\$ 400,255
Residential mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government agencies and corporations	1,989,299	103,018	987	2,091,330	2,491,579	89,740	4,010	2,577,309
States and political subdivisions	2,008,618	53,358	21,676	2,040,300	1,785,914	83,894	1,150	1,868,658
Other	38,809	-	-	38,809	38,035	-	-	38,035
Total	\$ 5,009,759	\$ 170,374	\$ 22,663	\$ 5,157,470	\$ 4,714,972	\$ 174,445	\$ 5,160	\$ 4,884,257

All mortgage-backed securities included in the above table were issued by U.S. government agencies and corporations. Securities with limited marketability, such as stock in the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank, are carried at cost and are reported as other available for sale securities in the table above.

The carrying value of pledged securities to secure public funds, trust deposits, repurchase agreements and for other purposes, as required or permitted by law was \$2.3 billion and \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents

Year-end securities with unrealized losses, segregated by length of impairment, were as follows:

	Less than 12 Months		More than 12 Months		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
2010						
Held to Maturity						
States and political subdivisions	\$ 29,749	\$ 1,054	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,749	\$ 1,054
Available for Sale						
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 101,141	\$ 987	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 101,141	\$ 987
States and political subdivisions	855,428	21,521	1,346	155	856,774	21,676
Total	\$ 956,569	\$ 22,508	\$ 1,346	\$ 155	\$ 957,915	\$ 22,663
2009						
Available for Sale						
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 594,272	\$ 4,010	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 594,272	\$ 4,010
States and political subdivisions	131,142	1,150	-	-	131,142	1,150
Total	\$ 725,414	\$ 5,160	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 725,414	\$ 5,160

Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses to the extent the impairment is related to credit losses. The amount of the impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers, among other things, (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (ii) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (iii) the intent and ability of the Corporation to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in cost.

Management has the ability and intent to hold the securities classified as held to maturity in the table above until they mature, at which time the Corporation will receive full value for the securities. Furthermore, as of December 31, 2010, management does not have the intent to sell any of the securities classified as available for sale in the table above and believes that it is more likely than not that the Corporation will not have to sell any such securities before a recovery of cost. Any unrealized losses are largely due to increases in market interest rates over the yields available at the time the underlying securities were purchased. The fair value is expected to recover as the bonds approach their maturity date or repricing date or if market yields for such investments decline. Management does not believe any of the securities are impaired due to reasons of credit quality. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2010, management believes the impairments detailed in the table above are temporary and no impairment loss has been realized in the Corporation's consolidated income statement.

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities, excluding trading securities, at December 31, 2010 are presented below by contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations. Residential mortgage-backed securities and equity securities are shown separately since they are not due at a single maturity date.

	Held to Maturity		Available for Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 231,458	\$ 232,628
Due after one year through five years	1,000	1,000	843,894	860,200
Due after five years through ten years	247,421	260,938	154,273	160,308
Due after ten years	30,803	29,749	1,752,026	1,774,195
Residential mortgage-backed securities	4,405	4,541	1,989,299	2,091,330
Equity securities	-	-	38,809	38,809
Total	\$ 283,629	\$ 296,228	\$ 5,009,759	\$ 5,157,470

Sales of securities available for sale were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Proceeds from sales	\$ 9,997,994	\$ 206,477	\$ 4,871,311
Gross realized gains	8	283	5,917
Gross realized losses	(2)	(1,543)	(6,076)

Year-end trading account securities, at estimated fair value, were as follows:

	2010	2009
U.S. Treasury	\$ 14,986	\$ 16,126
States and political subdivisions	115	-
Total	\$ 15,101	\$ 16,126

Net gains and losses on trading account securities were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net gain on sales transactions	\$ 1,688	\$ 1,887	\$ 2,786
Net mark-to-market gains	145	101	(266)
Net gain on trading account securities	\$ 1,833	\$ 1,988	\$ 2,520

Table of Contents**Note 3 - Loans**

Year-end loans consisted of the following:

	2010	2009
Commercial and industrial:		
Commercial	\$ 3,479,349	\$ 3,577,758
Leases	186,443	197,605
Asset-based	122,866	117,213
Total commercial and industrial	3,788,658	3,892,576
Commercial real estate:		
Commercial mortgages	2,374,542	2,327,471
Construction	593,273	659,459
Land	234,952	259,200
Total commercial real estate	3,202,767	3,246,130
Consumer real estate:		
Home equity loans	275,806	289,535
Home equity lines of credit	186,465	166,441
1-4 family residential mortgages	57,877	66,351
Construction	23,565	30,325
Other	254,551	275,780
Total consumer real estate	798,264	828,432
Total real estate	4,001,031	4,074,562
Consumer and other:		
Consumer installment	319,384	346,255
Student loans held for sale	-	24,201
Other	28,234	52,406
Total consumer and other	347,618	422,862
Unearned discounts	(20,287)	(22,220)
Total loans	\$ 8,117,020	\$ 8,367,780

Loan Origination/Risk Management. The Corporation has certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and non-performing and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions.

Commercial and industrial loans are underwritten after evaluating and understanding the borrower's ability to operate profitably and prudently expand its business. Underwriting standards are designed to promote relationship banking rather than transactional banking. Once it is determined that the borrower's management possesses sound ethics and solid business acumen, the Corporation's management examines current and projected cash flows to determine the ability of the borrower to repay their obligations as agreed. Commercial and industrial loans are primarily made based on the identified cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Most commercial and industrial loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets such as accounts receivable or inventory and may

Table of Contents

incorporate a personal guarantee; however, some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the availability of funds for the repayment of these loans may be substantially dependent on the ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers.

Commercial real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and industrial loans, in addition to those of real estate loans. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts and the repayment of these loans is generally largely dependent on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. Commercial real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy. The properties securing the Corporation's commercial real estate portfolio are diverse in terms of type and geographic location. This diversity helps reduce the Corporation's exposure to adverse economic events that affect any single market or industry. Management monitors and evaluates commercial real estate loans based on collateral, geography and risk grade criteria. As a general rule, the Corporation avoids financing single-purpose projects unless other underwriting factors are present to help mitigate risk. The Corporation also utilizes third-party experts to provide insight and guidance about economic conditions and trends affecting market areas it serves. In addition, management tracks the level of owner-occupied commercial real estate loans versus non-owner occupied loans. At December 31, 2010, approximately 62.4% of the outstanding principal balance of the Corporation's commercial real estate loans were secured by owner-occupied properties.

With respect to loans to developers and builders that are secured by non-owner occupied properties that the Corporation may originate from time to time, the Corporation generally requires the borrower to have had an existing relationship with the Corporation and have a proven record of success. Construction loans are underwritten utilizing feasibility studies, independent appraisal reviews, sensitivity analysis of absorption and lease rates and financial analysis of the developers and property owners. Construction loans are generally based upon estimates of costs and value associated with the complete project. These estimates may be inaccurate. Construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment substantially dependent on the success of the ultimate project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long-term lenders, sales of developed property or an interim loan commitment from the Corporation until permanent financing is obtained. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, general economic conditions and the availability of long-term financing.

The Corporation originates consumer loans utilizing a computer-based credit scoring analysis to supplement the underwriting process. To monitor and manage consumer loan risk, policies and procedures are developed and modified, as needed, jointly by line and staff personnel. This activity, coupled with relatively small loan amounts that are spread across many individual borrowers, minimizes risk. Additionally, trend and outlook reports are reviewed by management on a regular basis. Underwriting standards for home equity loans are heavily influenced by statutory requirements, which include, but are not limited to, a maximum loan-to-value percentage of 80%, collection remedies, the number of such loans a borrower can have at one time and documentation requirements.

The Corporation maintains an independent loan review department that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Corporation's policies and procedures.

Concentrations of Credit. Most of the Corporation's lending activity occurs within the State of Texas, including the four largest metropolitan areas of Austin, Dallas/Ft. Worth, Houston and San Antonio, as well as other markets. The majority of the Corporation's loan portfolio consists of commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were no concentrations of loans related to any single industry in excess of 10% of total loans.

Table of Contents

Student Loans Held for Sale. Prior to the second quarter of 2008, the Corporation originated student loans primarily for sale in the secondary market. These loans were generally sold on a non-recourse basis and were carried at the lower of cost or market on an aggregate basis. During the second quarter of 2008, the Corporation elected to discontinue the origination of student loans for resale, aside from previously outstanding commitments. All remaining student loans were sold during the second quarter of 2010.

Foreign Loans. The Corporation has U.S. dollar denominated loans and commitments to borrowers in Mexico. The outstanding balance of these loans and the unfunded amounts available under these commitments were not significant at December 31, 2010 or 2009.

Related Party Loans. In the ordinary course of business, the Corporation has granted loans to certain directors, executive officers and their affiliates (collectively referred to as related parties). These loans were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other unaffiliated persons and do not involve more than normal risk of collectibility. Activity in related party loans during 2010 is presented in the following table. Other changes were primarily related to changes in related-party status and expired letters of credit.

Balance outstanding at December 31, 2009	\$ 56,785
Principal additions	55,433
Principal reductions	(49,911)
Other changes	(3,133)
	<hr/>
Balance outstanding at December 31, 2010	\$ 59,174
	<hr/>

Non-Accrual and Past Due Loans. Loans are considered past due if the required principal and interest payments have not been received as of the date such payments were due. Loans are placed on non-accrual status when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payment obligations as they become due, as well as when required by regulatory provisions. Loans may be placed on non-accrual status regardless of whether or not such loans are considered past due. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received in excess of principal due. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Year-end non-accrual loans, segregated by class of loans, were as follows:

	2010	2009
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Commercial and industrial:		
Energy	\$ -	\$ 1,064
Other commercial	60,408	81,155
Commercial real estate:		
Buildings, land and other	64,213	54,658
Construction	9,299	6,974
Consumer real estate	2,758	2,294
Consumer and other	462	722
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total	\$ 137,140	\$ 146,867
	<hr/>	<hr/>

Had non-accrual loans performed in accordance with their original contract terms, the Corporation would have recognized additional interest income, net of tax, of approximately \$3.9 million in 2010, \$4.0 million in 2009 and \$1.5 million in 2008.

Table of Contents

An age analysis of past due loans, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2010 was as follows:

	Loans 30-89 Days Past Due	Loans 90 or More Days Past Due	Total Past Due Loans	Current Loans	Total Loans	Accruing Loans 90 or More Days Past Due
Commercial and industrial:						
Energy	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 806,888	\$ 806,888	\$ -
Other commercial	22,426	50,173	72,599	2,909,171	2,981,770	17,574
Commercial real estate:						
Buildings, land and other	24,286	37,512	61,798	2,547,696	2,609,494	4,449
Construction	17,083	5,935	23,018	570,255	593,273	1,687
Consumer real estate	6,779	4,477	11,256	787,008	798,264	2,879
Consumer and other	4,395	450	4,845	342,773	347,618	333
Unearned discounts	-	-	-	(20,287)	(20,287)	-
Total	\$ 74,969	\$ 98,547	\$ 173,516	\$ 7,943,504	\$ 8,117,020	\$ 26,922

Impaired Loans. Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable the Corporation will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled principal and interest payments. Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of a similar nature and on an individual loan basis for other loans. If a loan is impaired, a specific valuation allowance is allocated, if necessary, so that the loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Interest payments on impaired loans are typically applied to principal unless collectibility of the principal amount is reasonably assured, in which case interest is recognized on a cash basis. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

Year-end impaired loans are set forth in the following table. No interest income was recognized on impaired loans subsequent to their classification as impaired.

	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance	Recorded Investment With No Allowance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
2010						
Commercial and industrial:						
Energy	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,012
Other commercial	73,518	40,901	14,541	55,442	9,137	61,076
Commercial real estate:						
Buildings, land and other	72,099	50,551	11,254	61,805	4,076	59,179
Construction	9,834	8,553	747	9,300	300	8,132
Consumer real estate	517	517	-	517	-	960
Consumer and other	-	-	-	-	-	393
Total	\$ 155,968	\$ 100,522	\$ 26,542	\$ 127,064	\$ 13,513	\$ 130,752

2009

Commercial and industrial:

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Energy	\$ 1,238	\$ -	\$ 1,065	\$ 1,065	\$ 1,065	\$ 7,374
Other commercial	89,566	51,288	22,492	73,780	9,805	62,618
Commercial real estate:						
Buildings, land and other	60,140	38,656	13,847	52,503	1,574	43,240
Construction	6,721	6,362	253	6,615	-	13,556
Consumer real estate	1,258	1,258	-	1,258	-	1,457
Consumer and other	306	283	-	283	-	286
<hr/>						
Total	\$ 159,229	\$ 97,847	\$ 37,657	\$ 135,504	\$ 12,444	\$ 128,531
<hr/>						

The average recorded investment in impaired loans was \$34.5 million in 2008.

Table of Contents

Credit Quality Indicators. As part of the on-going monitoring of the credit quality of the Corporation's loan portfolio, management tracks certain credit quality indicators including trends related to (i) the weighted-average risk grade of commercial loans, (ii) the level of classified commercial loans, (iii) net charge-offs, (iv) non-performing loans (see details above) and (v) the general economic conditions in the State of Texas.

The Corporation utilizes a risk grading matrix to assign a risk grade to each of its commercial loans. Loans are graded on a scale of 1 to 14. A description of the general characteristics of the 14 risk grades is as follows:

- “ *Grades 1, 2 and 3* - These grades include loans to very high credit quality borrowers of investment or near investment grade. These borrowers are generally publicly traded (grades 1 and 2), have significant capital strength, moderate leverage, stable earnings and growth, and readily available financing alternatives. Smaller entities, regardless of strength, would generally not fit in these grades.
- “ *Grades 4 and 5* - These grades include loans to borrowers of solid credit quality with moderate risk. Borrowers in these grades are differentiated from higher grades on the basis of size (capital and/or revenue), leverage, asset quality and the stability of the industry or market area.
- “ *Grades 6, 7 and 8* - These grades include pass grade loans to borrowers of acceptable credit quality and risk. Such borrowers are differentiated from Grades 4 and 5 in terms of size, secondary sources of repayment or they are of lesser stature in other key credit metrics in that they may be over-leveraged, under capitalized, inconsistent in performance or in an industry or an economic area that is known to have a higher level of risk, volatility, or susceptibility to weaknesses in the economy.
- “ *Grade 9* - This grade includes loans on management's watch list and is intended to be utilized on a temporary basis for pass grade borrowers where a significant risk-modifying action is anticipated in the near term.
- “ *Grade 10* - This grade is for Other Assets Especially Mentioned in accordance with regulatory guidelines. This grade is intended to be temporary and includes loans to borrowers whose credit quality has clearly deteriorated and are at risk of further decline unless active measures are taken to correct the situation.
- “ *Grade 11* - This grade includes Substandard loans, in accordance with regulatory guidelines, for which the accrual of interest has not been stopped. By definition under regulatory guidelines, a Substandard loan has defined weaknesses which make payment default or principal exposure likely, but not yet certain. Such loans are apt to be dependent upon collateral liquidation, a secondary source of repayment or an event outside of the normal course of business.
- “ *Grade 12* - This grade includes Substandard loans, in accordance with regulatory guidelines, for which the accrual of interest has been stopped. This grade includes loans where interest is more than 120 days past due and not fully secured and loans where a specific valuation allowance may be necessary, but does not exceed 30% of the principal balance.
- “ *Grade 13* - This grade includes Doubtful loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Such loans are placed on non-accrual status and may be dependent upon collateral having a value that is difficult to determine or upon some near-term event which lacks certainty. Additionally, these loans generally have a specific valuation allowance in excess of 30% of the principal balance.
- “ *Grade 14* - This grade includes Loss loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Such loans are to be charged-off or charged-down when payment is acknowledged to be uncertain or when the timing or value of payments cannot be determined. Loss is not intended to imply that the loan or some portion of it will never be paid, nor does it in any way imply that there has been a

forgiveness of debt.

Table of Contents

The following table presents weighted average risk grades and classified loans by class of commercial loan. Classified loans include loans in Risk Grades 11, 12 and 13.

	December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Weighted Average Risk Grade	Classified Loans	Weighted Average Risk Grade	Classified Loans
Commercial and industrial:				
Energy	5.36	\$ -	5.71	\$ 2,820
Other commercial	6.75	198,323	6.91	206,111
Commercial real estate:				
Buildings, land and other	7.29	190,616	7.20	175,135
Construction	7.59	22,946	7.71	44,713
Total		\$ 411,885		\$ 428,779

Net (charge-offs)/recoveries, segregated by class of loans, were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Commercial and industrial:			
Energy	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 242
Other commercial	(28,530)	(32,907)	(10,805)
Commercial real estate:			
Buildings, land and other	(5,928)	(5,612)	(1,523)
Construction	(616)	(4,497)	(2,811)
Consumer real estate	(2,059)	(1,526)	(1,420)
Consumer and other	(5,471)	(5,785)	(3,601)
Total	\$ (42,604)	\$ (50,327)	\$ (19,918)

In assessing the general economic conditions in the State of Texas, management monitors and tracks the Texas Leading Index (TLI), which is produced by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. The TLI is a single summary statistic that is designed to signal the likelihood of the Texas economy's transition from expansion to recession and vice versa. Management believes this index provides a reliable indication of the direction of overall credit quality. The TLI is a composite of the following eight leading indicators: (i) Texas Value of the Dollar, (ii) U.S. Leading Index, (iii) real oil prices (iv) well permits, (v) initial claims for unemployment insurance, (vi) Texas Stock Index, (vii) Help-Wanted Index and (viii) average weekly hours worked in manufacturing. The TLI totaled 117.3 at November 30, 2010 (most recent date available) and 112.6 at December 31, 2009. A higher TLI value implies more favorable economic conditions.

Allowance for Possible Loan Losses. The allowance for possible loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Corporation's allowance for possible loan loss methodology includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 310, Receivables and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450, Contingencies. Accordingly, the methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of credit and internal risk grade, specific homogeneous risk pools and specific loss allocations, with adjustments for current events and conditions. The Corporation's process for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan losses is designed to account for credit deterioration as it occurs. The provision for possible loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to non-accrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans and net charge-offs or recoveries,

among other factors. The provision for possible loan losses also reflects the totality of actions taken on all loans for a particular period. In other words,

Table of Contents

the amount of the provision reflects not only the necessary increases in the allowance for possible loan losses related to newly identified criticized loans, but it also reflects actions taken related to other loans including, among other things, any necessary increases or decreases in required allowances for specific loans or loan pools.

The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio quality, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. Portions of the allowance may be allocated for specific credits; however, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Corporation's control, including, among other things, the performance of the Corporation's loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications.

The Corporation's allowance for possible loan losses consists of three elements: (i) specific valuation allowances determined in accordance with ASC Topic 310 based on probable losses on specific loans; (ii) historical valuation allowances determined in accordance with ASC Topic 450 based on historical loan loss experience for similar loans with similar characteristics and trends, adjusted, as necessary, to reflect the impact of current conditions; and (iii) general valuation allowances determined in accordance with ASC Topic 450 based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to the Corporation.

The allowances established for probable losses on specific loans are based on a regular analysis and evaluation of problem loans. Loans are classified based on an internal credit risk grading process that evaluates, among other things: (i) the obligor's ability to repay; (ii) the underlying collateral, if any; and (iii) the economic environment and industry in which the borrower operates. This analysis is performed at the relationship manager level for all commercial loans. When a loan has a calculated grade of 10 or higher, a special assets officer analyzes the loan to determine whether the loan is impaired and, if impaired, the need to specifically allocate a portion of the allowance for possible loan losses to the loan. Specific valuation allowances are determined by analyzing the borrower's ability to repay amounts owed, collateral deficiencies, the relative risk grade of the loan and economic conditions affecting the borrower's industry, among other things.

Historical valuation allowances are calculated based on the historical loss experience of specific types of loans and the internal risk grade of such loans at the time they were charged-off. The Corporation calculates historical loss ratios for pools of similar loans with similar characteristics based on the proportion of actual charge-offs experienced to the total population of loans in the pool. The historical loss ratios are periodically updated based on actual charge-off experience. A historical valuation allowance is established for each pool of similar loans based upon the product of the historical loss ratio and the total dollar amount of the loans in the pool. The Corporation's pools of similar loans include similarly risk-graded groups of commercial and industrial loans, commercial real estate loans, consumer real estate loans and consumer and other loans.

General valuation allowances are based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to the Corporation. In general, such valuation allowances are determined by evaluating, among other things: (i) the experience, ability and effectiveness of the bank's lending management and staff; (ii) the effectiveness of the Corporation's loan policies, procedures and internal controls; (iii) changes in asset quality; (iv) changes in loan portfolio volume; (v) the composition and concentrations of credit; (vi) the impact of competition on loan structuring and pricing; (vii) the effectiveness of the internal loan review function; (viii) the impact of environmental risks on portfolio risks; and (ix) the impact of rising interest rates on portfolio risk. Management evaluates the degree of risk that each one of these components has on the quality of the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis. Each component is determined to have either a high, moderate or low degree of risk. The results are then input into a general allocation matrix to determine an appropriate general valuation allowance.

Table of Contents

Included in the general valuation allowances are allocations for groups of similar loans with risk characteristics that exceed certain concentration limits established by management. Concentration risk limits have been established, among other things, for certain industry concentrations, large balance and highly leveraged credit relationships that exceed specified risk grades, and loans originated with policy exceptions that exceed specified risk grades.

Loans identified as losses by management, internal loan review and/or bank examiners are charged-off. Furthermore, consumer loan accounts are charged-off automatically based on regulatory requirements.

The following table details activity in the allowance for possible loan losses by portfolio segment for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Consumer Real Estate	Consumer and Other	Unallocated	Total
2010						
Beginning balance	\$ 57,394	\$ 28,514	\$ 2,560	\$ 16,929	\$ 19,912	\$ 125,309
Provision for possible loan losses	28,925	6,564	2,722	516	4,884	43,611
Charge-offs	(31,324)	(7,524)	(2,682)	(11,893)	-	(53,423)
Recoveries	2,794	980	623	6,422	-	10,819
Net charge-offs	(28,530)	(6,544)	(2,059)	(5,471)	-	(42,604)
Ending balance	\$ 57,789	\$ 28,534	\$ 3,223	\$ 11,974	\$ 24,796	\$ 126,316
Period-end amount allocated to:						
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 31,948	\$ 8,591	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,539
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	25,841	19,943	3,223	11,974	24,796	85,777
Ending balance	\$ 57,789	\$ 28,534	\$ 3,223	\$ 11,974	\$ 24,796	\$ 126,316
2009						
Beginning balance	\$ 51,534	\$ 25,928	\$ 3,217	\$ 18,846	\$ 10,719	\$ 110,244
Provision for possible loan losses	38,767	12,695	869	3,868	9,193	65,392
Charge-offs	(35,432)	(10,412)	(1,720)	(12,047)	-	(59,611)
Recoveries	2,525	303	194	6,262	-	9,284
Net charge-offs	(32,907)	(10,109)	(1,526)	(5,785)	-	(50,327)
Ending balance	\$ 57,394	\$ 28,514	\$ 2,560	\$ 16,929	\$ 19,912	\$ 125,309
Period-end amount allocated to:						
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 32,789	\$ 5,870	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 38,659
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	24,605	22,644	2,560	16,929	19,912	86,650

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Ending balance	\$	57,394	\$	28,514	\$	2,560	\$	16,929	\$	19,912	\$	125,309
----------------	----	--------	----	--------	----	-------	----	--------	----	--------	----	---------

Table of Contents

Activity in the allowance for possible loan losses during 2008 was as follows:

	2008
Balance at the beginning of the year	\$ 92,339
Provision for possible loan losses	37,823
Net charge-offs:	
Losses charged to the allowance	(29,187)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	9,269
Net charge-offs	(19,918)
Balance at the end of the year	\$ 110,244

The Corporation's recorded investment in loans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 related to each balance in the allowance for possible loan losses by portfolio segment and disaggregated on the basis of the Corporation's impairment methodology was as follows:

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Consumer Real Estate	Consumer and Other	Unearned Discounts	Total
2010						
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 314,482	\$ 337,578	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 652,060
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	3,474,176	2,865,189	798,264	347,618	(20,287)	7,464,960
Ending balance	\$ 3,788,658	\$ 3,202,767	\$ 798,264	\$ 347,618	\$ (20,287)	\$ 8,117,020
2009						
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 317,443	\$ 290,515	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 607,958
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	3,575,133	2,955,615	828,432	422,862	(22,220)	7,759,822
Ending balance	\$ 3,892,576	\$ 3,246,130	\$ 828,432	\$ 422,862	\$ (22,220)	\$ 8,367,780

Note 4 - Premises and Equipment

Year-end premises and equipment were as follows:

	2010	2009
Land	\$ 93,616	\$ 93,375
Buildings	244,088	195,373
Furniture and equipment	196,234	184,152
Leasehold improvements	54,221	53,202

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Construction in progress	7,605	60,762
	595,764	586,864
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(278,855)	(262,766)
Total premises and equipment, net	\$ 316,909	\$ 324,098

Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment totaled \$22.2 million in 2010, \$21.7 million in 2009 and \$19.8 million in 2008.

Table of Contents

Note 5 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill. Year-end goodwill was as follows:

	2010	2009
Goodwill	\$ 527,684	\$ 527,684

Other Intangible Assets. Year-end other intangible assets were as follows:

	Gross Intangible Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangible Assets
2010			
Core deposits	\$ 34,966	\$ (23,147)	\$ 11,819
Customer relationships	5,932	(3,679)	2,253
Non-compete agreements	549	(286)	263
	<u>\$ 41,447</u>	<u>\$ (27,112)</u>	<u>\$ 14,335</u>
2009			
Core deposits	\$ 35,690	\$ (19,624)	\$ 16,066
Customer relationships	6,473	(3,491)	2,982
Non-compete agreements	2,463	(2,051)	412
	<u>\$ 44,626</u>	<u>\$ (25,166)</u>	<u>\$ 19,460</u>

Other intangible assets are amortized on an accelerated basis over their estimated lives, which range from 5 to 10 years. Amortization expense related to intangible assets totaled \$5.1 million in 2010, \$6.5 million in 2009 and \$7.9 million in 2008. The estimated aggregate future amortization expense for intangible assets remaining as of December 31, 2010 is as follows:

2011	\$ 4,299
2012	3,500
2013	2,729
2014	1,944
2015	1,206
Thereafter	657
	<u>\$ 14,335</u>

Table of Contents**Note 6 - Deposits**

Year-end deposits were as follows:

	2010	2009
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits:		
Commercial and individual	\$ 4,791,149	\$ 4,147,516
Correspondent banks	361,100	343,622
Public funds	208,187	154,664
Total non-interest-bearing demand deposits	5,360,436	4,645,802
Interest-bearing deposits:		
Private accounts:		
Savings and interest checking	2,505,143	2,291,374
Money market accounts	4,949,764	4,546,365
Time accounts of \$100,000 or more	611,836	669,397
Time accounts under \$100,000	571,447	685,973
Total private accounts	8,638,190	8,193,109
Public funds:		
Savings and interest checking	255,605	255,717
Money market accounts	84,093	86,893
Time accounts of \$100,000 or more	137,506	127,599
Time accounts under \$100,000	3,512	4,190
Total public funds	480,716	474,399
Total interest-bearing deposits	9,118,906	8,667,508
Total deposits	\$ 14,479,342	\$ 13,313,310

The following table presents additional information about the Corporation's year-end deposits:

	2010	2009
Money market deposits obtained through brokers	\$ 24,700	\$ 97,054
Deposits from the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) deposits	60,972	115,573
Deposits from foreign sources (primarily Mexico)	748,255	747,719
Deposits from certain directors, executive officers and their affiliates	72,822	78,824

Scheduled maturities of time deposits, including both private and public funds, at December 31, 2010 were as follows:

2011	\$ 1,193,107
2012	131,190
2013	4

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

\$ 1,324,301

Scheduled maturities of time deposits in amounts of \$100,000 or more, including both private and public funds, at December 31, 2010, were as follows:

Due within 3 months or less	\$ 276,589
Due after 3 months and within 6 months	169,808
Due after 6 months and within 12 months	240,327
Due after 12 months	62,618
	<u>749,342</u>

Table of Contents**Note 7 - Borrowed Funds**

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances. Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances totaled \$45 thousand at December 31, 2010 and totaled \$6.6 million at December 31, 2009. The advances mature at varying dates through 2013 and had a weighted-average rate of 6.0% and 6.50% at December 31, 2010 and 2009. The advances are collateralized by a blanket floating lien on all first mortgage loans, certain pledged securities, the FHLB capital stock owned by the Corporation and any funds on deposit with the FHLB. Scheduled minimum future principal payments on FHLB advances were not significant at December 31, 2010.

In January 2009, the Corporation borrowed an aggregate of \$230 million in three separate variable-rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 0.36% adjusted quarterly) FHLB advances (one \$30 million advance and two \$100 million advances). Although principal payments on each of the advances were not due until maturity in January 2019, the Corporation elected to repay the advances in full in October 2009. In connection with the early repayment, the Corporation incurred a prepayment penalty totaling \$1.4 million. Concurrent with the advances, the Corporation entered into three separate interest rate swap transactions that effectively fixed the interest rates on the advances at a weighted-average rate of 2.85%. Upon repayment of the advances, the Corporation also terminated the interest rate swaps and recognized a \$17.7 million gain representing the fair value of the interest rate swaps on the termination date. See Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments for additional information related to the interest rate swaps.

Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase. Federal funds purchased are short-term borrowings that typically mature within one to ninety days. Federal funds purchased totaled \$2.0 million and \$30.7 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are secured short-term borrowings that typically mature within thirty to ninety days. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are stated at the amount of cash received in connection with the transaction. The Corporation may be required to provide additional collateral based on the fair value of the underlying securities. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaled \$473.7 million and \$451.4 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Subordinated Notes Payable. In February 2007, the Corporation issued \$100 million of 5.75% fixed-to-floating rate subordinated notes due February 15, 2017. The notes, which qualify as Tier 2 capital for Cullen/Frost, bear interest at the rate of 5.75% per annum, payable semi-annually on each February 15 and August 15, commencing on August 15, 2007 until February 15, 2012. From and including February 15, 2012, to but excluding the maturity date or date of earlier redemption, the notes will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to three-month LIBOR for the related interest period plus 0.53%, payable quarterly on each February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15, commencing May 15, 2012. The notes are subordinated in right of payment to all our senior indebtedness and effectively subordinated to all existing and future debt and all other liabilities of our subsidiaries. The notes cannot be accelerated except in the event of bankruptcy or the occurrence of certain other events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization. The notes mature on February 15, 2017. The Corporation may elect to redeem the notes (subject to regulatory approval), in whole or in part, on any interest payment date on or after February 15, 2012 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus any accrued and unpaid interest. Unamortized debt issuance costs related to these notes, which are included in other assets, totaled \$730 thousand and \$850 thousand at December 31, 2010 and 2009. Proceeds from sale of the notes were used to fund a portion of the redemption of certain junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures as further discussed below.

In August 2001, Frost Bank issued \$150 million of subordinated notes that mature in 2011 and bear interest at 6.875%, per annum, which is payable semi-annually. The notes are not redeemable prior to maturity. A portion of the notes qualified as Tier 2 capital for both Frost Bank and Cullen/Frost during the reported periods prior to the third quarter of 2010. Proceeds from the sale of the notes were used for general corporate purposes. Unamortized debt issuance costs related to these notes, which are included in other assets, totaled \$79 thousand and \$214 thousand at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents

Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation had \$123.7 million of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued to Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II (Trust II), a wholly owned Delaware statutory business trust. At December 31, 2009, the Corporation had a total of \$136.1 million of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued to Trust II and Summit Bancshares Statutory Trust I (Summit Trust), a wholly owned Delaware statutory business trust. Unamortized debt issuance costs related to Trust II, which are included in other assets, totaled \$1.3 million and \$1.4 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009. The trusts are considered variable interest entities for which the Corporation is not the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, the accounts of the trusts are not included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements. See Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for additional information about the Corporation's consolidation policy. Details of the Corporation's transactions with the capital trusts are presented below.

Trust II was formed in 2004 for the purpose of issuing \$120 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 1.55%) trust preferred securities, which represent beneficial interests in the assets of the trust. The trust preferred securities will mature on March 1, 2034 and are redeemable with the approval of the Federal Reserve Board in whole or in part at the option of the Corporation at any time after March 1, 2009 and in whole at any time upon the occurrence of certain events affecting their tax or regulatory capital treatment. Distributions on the trust preferred securities are payable quarterly in arrears on March 1, June 1, September 1 and December 1 of each year. Trust II also issued \$3.7 million of common equity securities to Cullen/Frost. The proceeds of the offering of the trust preferred securities and common equity securities were used to purchase \$123.7 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 1.55%, which was equal to 1.85% and 1.81% at December 31, 2010 and 2009) junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued by the Corporation, which have terms substantially similar to the trust preferred securities. In October 2008, the Corporation entered into an interest rate swap contract on the junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures that effectively fixed the interest rate on the debentures for a period of five years. See Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments.

The Corporation has the right to defer payments of interest on the debentures at any time or from time to time for a period of up to twenty consecutive quarterly periods with respect to each deferral period. Under the terms of the debentures, in the event that under certain circumstances there is an event of default under the debentures or the Corporation has elected to defer interest on the debentures, the Corporation may not, with certain exceptions, declare or pay any dividends or distributions on its capital stock or purchase or acquire any of its capital stock.

Payments of distributions on the trust preferred securities and payments on redemption of the trust preferred securities are guaranteed by the Corporation on a limited basis. The Corporation also entered into an agreement with the Trust II as to expenses and liabilities pursuant to which the Corporation has agreed, on a subordinated basis, to pay any costs, expenses or liabilities of Trust II other than those arising under the trust preferred securities. The obligations of the Corporation under the junior subordinated debentures, the related indenture, the trust agreement establishing the trust, the guarantee and the agreement as to expenses and liabilities, in the aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Corporation of Trust II's obligations under the trust preferred securities.

Summit Trust was formed in 2004 for the purpose of issuing \$12.0 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 2.65%) trust preferred securities. Summit Trust also issued \$372 thousand of common equity securities to Summit Bancshares. Summit Trust was acquired by Cullen/Frost through the acquisition of Summit Bancshares in December 2006. The trust preferred securities had an original maturity date of July 7, 2034; however, as further discussed below, the securities were redeemed in July 2010. The proceeds of the offering of the trust preferred securities and common equity securities were used to purchase \$12.4 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 2.65%, which was equal to 2.93% at December 31, 2009) junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued by Summit Bancshares, which had terms substantially similar to the trust preferred securities. In July 2010, the Corporation redeemed the \$12.4 million of floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures held by Summit Trust. Concurrently, the \$12.0 million of floating rate trust preferred securities issued by Summit Trust were also redeemed.

Table of Contents

In January 2008, the Corporation redeemed \$3.1 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 3.30%) junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures, due January 7, 2033, held of record by Alamo Corporation of Texas Trust I (Alamo Trust). Concurrently, the \$3.0 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 3.30%) trust preferred securities issued by Alamo Trust were also redeemed.

Despite the fact that the accounts of the capital trusts are not included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, the trust preferred securities issued by these subsidiary trusts are included in the Tier 1 capital of Cullen/Frost for regulatory capital purposes. Federal Reserve Board rules limit the aggregate amount of restricted core capital elements (which includes trust preferred securities, among other things) that may be included in the Tier 1 capital of most bank holding companies to 25% of all core capital elements, including restricted core capital elements, net of goodwill less any associated deferred tax liability. Large, internationally active bank holding companies (as defined) are subject to a 15% limitation. Amounts of restricted core capital elements in excess of these limits generally may be included in Tier 2 capital. The current quantitative limits do not preclude the Corporation from including the \$120 million in trust preferred securities outstanding in Tier 1 capital as of December 31, 2010. In July 2010, financial regulatory reform legislation entitled the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law. Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will require the Corporation to deduct, over three years beginning on January 1, 2013, all trust preferred securities from the Corporation's Tier 1 capital. Nonetheless, excluding trust preferred securities from Tier 1 capital at December 31, 2010 would not affect the Corporation's ability to meet all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

Note 8 - Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk. In the normal course of business, the Corporation enters into various transactions, which, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles are not included in its consolidated balance sheets. The Corporation enters into these transactions to meet the financing needs of its customers. These transactions include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, which involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Corporation minimizes its exposure to loss under these commitments by subjecting them to credit approval and monitoring procedures.

The Corporation enters into contractual commitments to extend credit, normally with fixed expiration dates or termination clauses, at specified rates and for specific purposes. Substantially all of the Corporation's commitments to extend credit are contingent upon customers maintaining specific credit standards at the time of loan funding. Standby letters of credit are written conditional commitments issued by the Corporation to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. In the event the customer does not perform in accordance with the terms of the agreement with the third party, the Corporation would be required to fund the commitment. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Corporation could be required to make is represented by the contractual amount of the commitment. If the commitment were funded, the Corporation would be entitled to seek recovery from the customer. The Corporation's policies generally require that standby letter of credit arrangements contain security and debt covenants similar to those contained in loan agreements.

The Corporation considers the fees collected in connection with the issuance of standby letters of credit to be representative of the fair value of its obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. In accordance with applicable accounting standards related to guarantees, the Corporation defers fees collected in connection with the issuance of standby letters of credit. The fees are then recognized in income proportionately over the life of the standby letter of credit agreement. The deferred standby letter of credit fees represent the fair value of the Corporation's potential obligations under the standby letter of credit guarantees.

Table of Contents

Year-end financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk were as follows:

	2010	2009
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 4,528,196	\$ 4,441,622
Standby letters of credit	294,116	267,697
Deferred standby letter of credit fees	1,707	1,520

Credit Card Guarantees. The Corporation guarantees the credit card debt of certain customers to the merchant bank that issues the cards. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the guarantees totaled \$5.9 million and \$13.0 million, of which amounts, \$722 thousand and \$4.8 million were fully collateralized.

Securities Lending. The Corporation lends certain customer securities to creditworthy brokers on behalf of those customers. If the borrower fails to return these securities, the Corporation indemnifies its customers based on the then current net realizable fair value of the securities. The Corporation holds collateral received in securities lending transactions as an agent. Accordingly, such collateral assets are not assets of the Corporation. The Corporation requires borrowers to provide collateral equal to or in excess of 100% of the fair value of the securities borrowed. The collateral is valued daily and additional collateral is requested as necessary. The maximum future payments guaranteed by the Corporation under these contractual agreements (representing the fair value of securities lent to brokers) totaled \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation held in trust liquid assets with a fair value of \$1.9 billion as collateral for these agreements.

Lease Commitments. The Corporation leases certain office facilities and office equipment under operating leases. Rent expense for all operating leases totaled \$21.6 million in 2010, \$20.6 million in 2009 and \$18.5 million in 2008. Future minimum lease payments due under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2010 were as follows:

2011	\$ 16,332
2012	13,690
2013	12,454
2014	9,072
2015	6,334
Thereafter	42,993
	<u>\$ 100,875</u>

It is expected that certain leases will be renewed, or equipment replaced with new leased equipment, as these leases expire. Aggregate future minimum rentals to be received under non-cancelable subleases greater than one year at December 31, 2010, were \$312 thousand.

The Corporation leases a branch facility from a partnership interest of a director. Payments related to this lease totaled \$852 thousand in 2010, \$840 thousand in 2009 and \$816 thousand in 2008. The terms of the lease are substantially the same as those offered for comparable transactions with non-related parties at the time the lease transaction was consummated.

Change in Control Agreements. The Corporation has change-in-control agreements with certain executive officers. Under these agreements, each covered person could receive, upon the effectiveness of a change-in-control, two to three times (depending on the person) his or her base compensation plus the target bonus established for the year, and any unpaid base salary and pro rata target bonus for the year in which the termination occurs, including vacation pay. Additionally, the executive's insurance benefits will continue for two to three full years after the termination and all long-term incentive awards will immediately vest.

Litigation. The Corporation is subject to various claims and legal actions that have arisen in the course of conducting business. Management does not expect the ultimate disposition of these matters to have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

Table of Contents**Note 9 - Shareholders Equity and Earnings Per Common Share**

Earnings Per Common Share. The following table presents a reconciliation of the number of shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per common share.

	2010	2009	2008
Distributed earnings allocated to common stock	\$ 107,597	\$ 101,718	\$ 97,760
Undistributed earnings allocated to common stock	100,340	76,594	108,671
Net earnings allocated to common stock	\$ 207,937	\$ 178,312	\$ 206,431
Weighted-average shares outstanding for basic earnings per common share	60,410,680	59,456,393	58,845,451
Dilutive effect of compensation	174,972	57,415	324,127
Weighted-average shares outstanding for diluted earnings per common share	60,585,652	59,513,808	59,169,578

Stock Repurchase Plans. From time to time, the Corporation's board of directors has authorized stock repurchase plans. In general, stock repurchase plans allow the Corporation to proactively manage its capital position and return excess capital to shareholders. Shares purchased under such plans also provide the Corporation with shares of common stock necessary to satisfy obligations related to stock compensation awards. Under the most recent plan, 404 thousand shares were repurchased during the first quarter of 2008 at a total cost of \$21.9 million.

Note 10 - Regulatory Matters

Regulatory Capital Requirements. Banks and bank holding companies are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by state and federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and, additionally for banks, prompt corrective action regulations, involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weighting and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulations to ensure capital adequacy require the maintenance of minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital to adjusted quarterly average assets (as defined).

Cullen/Frost's and Frost Bank's Tier 1 capital consists of shareholders' equity excluding unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, the accumulated gain or loss on effective cash flow hedging derivatives, the net actuarial gain/loss on the Corporation's defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans, goodwill and other intangible assets. Tier 1 capital for Cullen/Frost also includes trust preferred securities issued by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts totaling \$120 million in 2010 and \$132 million in 2009 (see Note 7 - Borrowed Funds). Cullen/Frost's and Frost Bank's total capital is comprised of Tier 1 capital for each entity plus a permissible portion of the allowance for possible loan losses. In 2009, total capital also included \$30 million of the Corporation's aggregate \$150 million of 6.875% subordinated notes payable (of which the permissible portion decreases 20% per year during the final five years of the term of the notes). The Corporation's aggregate \$100 million of 5.75% fixed-to-floating rate subordinated notes are not included in Tier 1 capital but are included in total capital of Cullen/Frost.

The Tier 1 and total capital ratios are calculated by dividing the respective capital amounts by risk-weighted assets. Risk-weighted assets are calculated based on regulatory requirements and include total assets, excluding goodwill and other intangible assets, allocated by risk weight category, and certain off-balance-sheet items (primarily loan commitments). The leverage ratio is calculated by dividing Tier 1 capital by adjusted quarterly average total assets, which exclude goodwill and other intangible assets.

Table of Contents

Year-end actual and required capital ratios for Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank were as follows:

	Actual		Minimum Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes		Required to be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Regulations	
	Capital Amount	Ratio	Capital Amount	Ratio	Capital Amount	Ratio
2010						
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Cullen/Frost	\$ 1,720,691	15.91%	\$ 865,081	8.00%	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,558,977	14.43	864,318	8.00	\$ 1,080,397	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Cullen/Frost	1,494,375	13.82	432,540	4.00	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,432,661	13.26	432,159	4.00	648,238	6.00
Leverage Ratio						
Cullen/Frost	1,494,375	8.68	688,880	4.00	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,432,661	8.33	688,196	4.00	860,246	5.00
2009						
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Cullen/Frost	\$ 1,590,391	14.19%	\$ 896,896	8.00%	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,474,190	13.16	896,242	8.00	\$ 1,120,302	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Cullen/Frost	1,335,082	11.91	448,448	4.00	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,318,881	11.77	448,121	4.00	672,181	6.00
Leverage Ratio						
Cullen/Frost	1,335,082	8.50	628,007	4.00	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,318,881	8.41	627,437	4.00	784,296	5.00

Cullen/Frost believes that, as of December 31, 2010, its bank subsidiary, Frost Bank, was well capitalized based on the ratios presented above.

Cullen/Frost is subject to the regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve, while Frost Bank is subject to the regulatory capital requirements administered by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Regulatory authorities can initiate certain mandatory actions if Cullen/Frost or Frost Bank fail to meet the minimum capital requirements, which could have a direct material effect on the Corporation's financial statements. Management believes, as of December 31, 2010, that Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

Dividend Restrictions. In the ordinary course of business, Cullen/Frost is dependent upon dividends from Frost Bank to provide funds for the payment of dividends to shareholders and to provide for other cash requirements. Banking regulations may limit the amount of dividends that may be paid. Approval by regulatory authorities is required if the effect of dividends declared would cause the regulatory capital of Frost Bank to fall below specified minimum levels. Approval is also required if dividends declared exceed the net profits for that year combined with the retained net profits for the preceding two years. Under the foregoing dividend restrictions and while maintaining its well capitalized status, at December 31, 2010, Frost Bank could pay aggregate dividends of up to \$309.1 million to Cullen/Frost without prior regulatory approval.

Table of Contents**Note 11 - Employee Benefit Plans****Retirement Plans**

Profit Sharing Plans. The profit-sharing plan is a defined contribution retirement plan that covers employees who have completed at least one year of service and are age 21 or older. All contributions to the plan are made at the discretion of the Corporation based upon the fiscal year profitability. Contributions are allocated to eligible participants pro rata, based upon compensation, age and other factors. Plan participants self-direct the investment of allocated contributions by choosing from a menu of investment options. Account assets are subject to withdrawal restrictions and participants vest in their accounts after three years of service. The Corporation also maintains a separate non-qualified profit sharing plan for certain employees whose participation in the qualified profit sharing plan is limited. The plan offers such employees an alternative means of receiving comparable benefits. Expense related to these plans totaled \$12.0 million in 2010, \$12.1 million in 2009 and \$10.4 million in 2008.

Retirement Plan and Restoration Plan. The Corporation maintains a non-contributory defined benefit plan (the Retirement Plan) that was frozen as of December 31, 2001. The plan provides pension and death benefits to substantially all employees who were at least 21 years of age and had completed at least one year of service prior to December 31, 2001. Defined benefits are provided based on an employee's final average compensation and years of service at the time the plan was frozen and age at retirement. The freezing of the plan provides that future salary increases will not be considered. The Corporation's funding policy is to contribute yearly, at least the amount necessary to satisfy the funding standards of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). In the ordinary course of business, Frost Bank acts as agent for the plan in securities lending transactions in which the plan lends certain of its securities to third parties.

The Corporation's Restoration of Retirement Income Plan (the Restoration Plan) provides benefits for eligible employees that are in excess of the limits under Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, that apply to the Retirement Plan. The Restoration Plan is designed to comply with the requirements of ERISA. The entire cost of the plan, which was also frozen as of December 31, 2001, is supported by contributions from the Corporation.

The Corporation uses a December 31 measurement date for its defined benefit plans. Combined activity in the Corporation's defined benefit pension plans was as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Change in benefit obligation:			
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 132,456	\$ 120,730	\$ 121,268
Interest cost	7,724	7,973	7,742
Actuarial (gain) loss	10,189	8,512	(3,814)
Benefits paid	(5,123)	(4,759)	(4,466)
Benefit obligation at end of year	145,246	132,456	120,730
Change in plan assets:			
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	128,044	106,489	102,465
Actual return on plan assets	17,580	25,795	(27,239)
Employer contributions	549	519	35,729
Benefits paid	(5,123)	(4,759)	(4,466)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	141,050	128,044	106,489
Funded status of the plan at end of year and accrued benefit liability recognized	\$ 4,196	\$ 4,412	\$ 14,241
Accumulated benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 145,246	\$ 131,863	\$ 119,865

Table of Contents

Certain disaggregated information related to the Corporation's defined benefit pension plans as of year-end was as follows:

	Retirement Plan		Restoration Plan	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 129,961	\$ 117,608	\$ 15,285	\$ 14,848
Accumulated benefit obligation	129,961	117,608	15,285	14,255
Fair value of plan assets	141,050	128,044	-	-
Funded status of the plan at end of year and accrued benefit (asset) liability recognized	(11,089)	(10,436)	15,285	14,848

The components of the combined net periodic benefit cost (benefit) for the Corporation's defined benefit pension plans were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Expected return on plan assets, net of expenses	\$ (11,008)	\$ (9,125)	\$ (9,240)
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	7,724	7,972	7,742
Net amortization and deferral	2,915	4,231	1,229
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)	\$ (369)	\$ 3,078	\$ (269)

Amounts related to the Corporation's defined benefit pension plans recognized as a component of other comprehensive income were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Net actuarial gain (loss)	\$ (702)	\$ 12,388	\$ (31,436)
Deferred tax (expense) benefit	245	(4,335)	11,003
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	\$ (457)	\$ 8,053	\$ (20,433)

Amounts recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss as of year-end that have not been recognized as a component of the combined net period benefit cost of the Corporation's defined benefit pension plans are presented in the following table. The Corporation expects to recognize approximately \$3.1 million of the net actuarial loss reported in the following table as of December 31, 2010 as a component of net periodic benefit cost during 2011.

	2010	2009
Net actuarial loss	\$ (43,824)	\$ (43,122)
Deferred tax benefit	15,338	15,093
Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$ (28,486)	\$ (28,029)

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligations as of the end of the years indicated and the net periodic benefit cost for the years indicated are presented in the table below. Because the plans were frozen, increases in compensation are not considered after 2001.

	2010	2009	2008
Benefit obligations:			
Discount rate	5.55%	5.95%	6.75%
Net periodic benefit cost:			
Discount rate	5.95%	6.75%	6.50%
Expected return on plan assets	8.75	8.75	8.75

Table of Contents

Management uses an asset allocation optimization model to analyze the potential risks and rewards associated with various asset allocation strategies on a quarterly basis. As of December 31, 2010, management's investment objective for the Corporation's defined benefit plans is to achieve long-term growth. This strategy provides for a target asset allocation of approximately 62% invested in equity securities, approximately 35% invested in fixed income debt securities with any remainder invested in cash or short-term cash equivalents. The modeling process calculates, with a 90% confidence ratio, the potential risk associated with a given asset allocation and helps achieve adequate diversification of investment assets. The plan assets are reviewed annually to determine if the obligations can be met with the current investment mix and funding strategy.

The major categories of assets in the Corporation's Retirement Plan as of year-end is presented in the following table. Assets are segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy established by ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, utilized to measure fair value (see Note 16 - Fair Value Measurements). The Corporation's Restoration Plan is unfunded.

	2010	2009
Level 1:		
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 3,562	\$ 6,299
Corporate bonds and notes	10,625	15,358
Common stocks	53,162	39,496
Mutual funds	68,312	58,295
Cash and cash equivalents	4,434	8,596
Level 2:		
U.S. government agency securities	955	-
Total fair value of plan assets	\$ 141,050	\$ 128,044

U.S. Treasury securities consist of longer-term notes with varying maturity dates. Corporate bonds and notes include investment-grade bonds and notes of U.S. companies from diversified industries. Investments in common stocks primarily include U.S. companies with large market capitalizations. Common stock investments are diversified amongst various industries with no industry representing more than 10% of the Plan's total investment. Mutual funds include various equity, fixed-income and blended funds with varying investment strategies. Approximately 70% of mutual fund investments consist of equity investments. The investment objective of equity funds is long-term capital appreciation with current income. The remaining mutual fund investments consist of U.S. fixed-income securities, including investment-grade U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agency securities and mortgage-backed securities, corporate bonds and notes and collateralized mortgage obligations. The investment objective of fixed-income funds is to maximize investment return while preserving investment principal. U.S. government agency securities at December 31, 2010 include obligations of Ginnie Mae.

The asset allocation optimization model is used to estimate the expected long-term rate of return for a given asset allocation strategy. Expectations of returns for each asset class are based on comprehensive reviews of historical data and economic/financial market theory. During periods with volatile interest rates and equity security prices, the model may call for changes in the allocation of plan investments to achieve desired returns. Management assumed a long-term rate of return of 8.75% in the determination of the net periodic benefit cost for 2010. The expected long-term rate of return on assets was selected from within the reasonable range of rates determined by historical real returns, net of inflation, for the asset classes covered by the plan's investment policy and projections of inflation over the long-term period during which benefits are payable to plan participants.

The Corporation's investment strategies prohibit selling assets short and the use of derivatives. Additionally, the Corporation's defined benefit plans do not directly invest in real estate, commodities, or private investments.

Table of Contents

The plans may lend certain plan securities to creditworthy brokers. The brokers must provide cash collateral equal to or in excess of 100% of the fair value of the securities borrowed or non-cash collateral equal to or in excess of 102% of the fair value of the securities borrowed.

As of December 31, 2010, expected future benefit payments related to the Corporation's defined benefit plans were as follows:

2011	\$ 6,184
2012	7,002
2013	7,444
2014	7,846
2015	8,335
2016 through 2020	47,479
	<hr/>
	\$ 84,290
	<hr/>

The Corporation expects to contribute \$1.2 million to the defined benefit plans during 2011.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. The Corporation maintains a supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) for one active key executive. The plan provides for target retirement benefits, as a percentage of pay, beginning at age 55. The target percentage is 45 percent of pay at age 55, increasing to 60 percent at age 60 and later. Benefits under the SERP are reduced, dollar-for-dollar, by benefits received under the profit sharing, non-qualified profit sharing, defined benefit retirement and restoration plans, described above, and any social security benefits.

Post-Retirement Healthcare Benefits. The Corporation provides post-retirement healthcare benefits to certain former employees. The related unfunded benefit obligations, net periodic benefit cost and the Corporation's share of benefits paid under the plan were not significant during any of the reported periods.

Savings Plans

401(k) Plan and Thrift Incentive Plan. The Corporation maintains a 401(k) stock purchase plan that permits each participant to make before- or after-tax contributions in an amount not less than 2% and not exceeding 50% of eligible compensation and subject to dollar limits from Internal Revenue Service regulations. The Corporation matches 100% of the employee's contributions to the plan based on the amount of each participant's contributions up to a maximum of 6% of eligible compensation. Eligible employees must complete 90 days of service in order to enroll and vest in the Corporation's matching contributions immediately. Expense related to the plan totaled \$9.8 million in 2010, \$9.8 million in 2009 and \$9.2 million in 2008. The Corporation's matching contribution is initially invested in Cullen/Frost common stock. However, employees may immediately reallocate the Corporation's matching portion, as well as invest their individual contribution, to any of a variety of investment alternatives offered under the 401(k) Plan.

The Corporation maintains a thrift incentive stock purchase plan to offer certain employees whose participation in the 401(k) plan is limited an alternative means of receiving comparable benefits. Expense related to this plan was not significant during 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Stock Compensation Plans

The Corporation has two active executive stock plans (the 2001 Stock Plan and the 2005 Omnibus Incentive Plan) and two active outside director stock plans (the 1997 Director Stock Plan and the 2007 Outside Directors Incentive Plan). The executive stock plans were established to help the Corporation retain and motivate key

Table of Contents

employees, while the outside director stock plans were established as a means to compensate outside directors for their service to the Corporation. All of the plans have been approved by the Corporation's shareholders. The Compensation and Benefits Committee (Committee) of the Corporation's Board of Directors has sole authority to select the employees, establish the awards to be issued, and approve the terms and conditions of each award contract under the executive stock plans.

During 2005, the 2005 Omnibus Incentive Plan (2005 Plan) was established to replace all other previously approved executive stock plans and the remaining shares authorized for grant under the 2001 Stock Plan were cancelled. Under the 2005 Plan, the Corporation may grant, among other things, nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock awards, stock award units, stock appreciation rights, or any combination thereof to certain employees.

During 2007, the 2007 Outside Directors Incentive Plan (the 2007 Directors Plan) was established to replace the 1997 Director Stock Plan (the 1997 Directors Plan). The 2007 Directors Plan allows the Corporation to grant nonqualified stock options, stock awards and stock award units to outside directors. Subject to the terms of the plan, stock options, stock awards and/or stock award units may be awarded in such number, and upon such terms, and at any time and from time to time as determined by the Committee.

Options awarded under the 2005 Plan during the periods presented have a ten-year life and vest in equal annual installments over a four-year period. Options awarded under the 2007 and 1997 Directors Plans during the periods presented have a six-year life with immediate vesting. Non-vested stock awards/stock units are generally awarded with a four-year-cliff vesting period.

Each award from all plans is evidenced by an award agreement that specifies the option price, the duration of the option, the number of shares to which the option pertains, and such other provisions as the Committee determines. The option price for each grant is at least equal to the fair market value of a share of Cullen/Frost's common stock on the date of grant. Options granted expire at such time as the Committee determines at the date of grant and in no event does the exercise period exceed a maximum of ten years. Upon a change-in-control of Cullen/Frost, as defined in the plans, all outstanding options and non-vested stock awards/units immediately vest.

Table of Contents

A combined summary of activity in the Corporation's active stock plans is presented in the following table.

	Shares Available for Grant	Director Deferred Stock Units Outstanding	Non-Vested Stock Awards/Stock Units Outstanding		Stock Options Outstanding	
			Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Balance, January 1, 2008	1,896,150	-	233,100	\$ 50.68	4,526,276	\$ 45.44
Granted	(963,350)	5,450	63,000	52.44	894,900	52.44
Stock options exercised	-	-	-	-	(1,116,470)	33.50
Stock awards vested	-	-	(67,100)	47.29	-	-
Forfeited	32,656	-	-	-	(32,656)	51.93
Cancelled/expired	(1,631)	-	-	-	-	-
Balance, December 31, 2008	963,825	5,450	229,000	\$ 52.16	4,272,050	\$ 49.98
Shares authorized - 2005 Plan	3,310,725	-	-	-	-	-
Granted	(849,818)	7,238	56,300	50.64	786,280	50.64
Stock options exercised	-	-	-	-	(426,625)	38.03
Stock awards vested	-	-	(52,100)	50.01	-	-
Forfeited	24,250	-	-	-	(24,250)	52.71
Cancelled/expired	(3,475)	-	-	-	(7,000)	52.09
Balance, December 31, 2009	3,445,507	12,688	233,200	\$ 52.27	4,600,455	\$ 51.18
Granted	(814,910)	5,030	56,300	52.46	753,580	52.51
Stock options exercised	-	-	-	-	(819,650)	47.34
Stock awards vested	-	-	(61,950)	56.73	-	-
Deferred stock unit conversions	-	(1,203)	-	-	-	-
Forfeited	150,500	-	-	-	(150,500)	52.49
Cancelled/expired	(21,750)	-	-	-	-	-
Balance, December 31, 2010	2,759,347	16,515	227,550	\$ 51.10	4,383,885	\$ 52.08

Of the 2,759,347 shares available for grant included in the above table as of December 31, 2010, a total of 179,682 shares may be granted as full value awards, meaning awards other than in the form of stock options or stock appreciation rights, and which are settled by the issuance of shares.

Upon retirement from the Corporation's board of directors, non-employee directors will receive one share of the Corporation's common stock for each deferred stock unit held. The deferred stock units were fully vested upon being awarded and will receive equivalent dividend payments as such dividends are declared on the Corporation's common stock.

Other information regarding options outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2010 is as follows:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

						Life in Years			
\$ 45.01	-	\$ 50.00	750,475	\$ 48.76	6.63	546,150	\$ 48.72		
50.01	-	55.00	2,901,435	51.52	7.96	1,170,221	51.06		
55.01	-	60.00	731,975	57.71	5.73	726,475	57.70		
Total			4,383,885	52.08	7.36	2,442,846	52.51		

Table of Contents

Proceeds from stock option exercises totaled \$38.8 million in 2010, \$16.2 million in 2009 and \$37.4 million in 2008. Shares issued in connection with stock compensation awards are issued from available treasury shares. If no treasury shares are available, new shares are issued from available authorized shares. During 2010, 666,222 shares issued in connection with stock compensation awards were new shares issued from available authorized shares, while 176,991 shares were issued from available treasury stock. During 2009 and 2008, all shares issued in connection with stock compensation awards were issued from available treasury stock.

The total intrinsic value of outstanding in-the-money stock options and outstanding in-the-money exercisable stock options was \$39.6 million and \$21.1 million at December 31, 2010. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$6.6 million in 2010, \$4.9 million in 2009 and \$24.7 million in 2008. The total fair value of stock awards/stock units vested was \$3.3 million in 2010, \$2.6 million in 2009 and \$3.7 million in 2008.

Stock-based Compensation Expense. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized ratably over the requisite service period for all awards. Stock-based compensation expense and the related income tax benefit was as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Stock options	\$ 9,839	\$ 9,314	\$ 6,979
Non-vested stock awards/stock units	4,786	3,001	2,887
Deferred stock-units	300	330	300
Total	\$ 14,925	\$ 12,645	\$ 10,166
Income tax benefit	\$ 5,224	\$ 4,426	\$ 3,558

Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense at December 31, 2010 was as follows:

Stock options	\$ 22,890
Non-vested stock awards/stock units	5,192
Total	\$ 28,082

The weighted-average period over which this unrecognized expense related to stock options was expected to be recognized was 3.1 years. The weighted-average period over which this unrecognized expense related to non-vested stock awards/stock units was expected to be recognized was 1.9 years.

Valuation of Stock-Based Compensation. The fair value of the Corporation's employee stock options granted is estimated on the measurement date, which, for the Corporation, is the date of grant. The fair value of stock options is estimated using a binomial lattice-based valuation model that takes into account employee exercise patterns based on changes in the Corporation's stock price and other variables, and allows for the use of dynamic assumptions about interest rates and expected volatility. The fair value of stock options granted prior to the fourth quarter of 2006 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted during 2010, 2009 and 2008 estimated using a binomial lattice-based valuation model, was \$12.94, \$14.43 and \$12.42. The assumptions used to determine the fair value of options granted are detailed in the table below.

	2010	2009	2008
Risk-free interest rate	0.14% to 4.98%	0.08% to 5.21%	1.08% to 6.02%

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Weighted-average risk-free interest rate	2.79%	3.50 %	3.93%
Dividend yield	2.79%	2.74 %	2.60%
Expected market price volatility	31.11% to 41.40%	31.16% to 52.70%	28.42% to 38.43%
Weighted-average expected market price volatility	34.65%	39.25%	30.87%
Expected term	5.6 to 6.9 Years	5.7 to 6.8 Years	5.3 to 6.9 Years
Weighted-average expected term	6.0 Years	6.3 Years	6.1 Years

Table of Contents

Expected volatility is based on the short-term historical volatility (estimated over the most recent two years) and the long-term historical volatility (estimated over a period at least equal to the contractual term of the options) of the Corporation's stock, and other factors. A variance targeting methodology is utilized to estimate the convergence, or mean reversion, from short-term to long-term volatility within the model. In estimating the fair value of stock options under the binomial lattice-based valuation model, separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior are considered separately. The expected term of options granted is derived using a regression model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The range of expected term results from certain groups of employees exhibiting different behavior.

The fair value of non-vested stock awards/stock units and deferred stock units for the purposes of recognizing stock-based compensation expense is the market price of the stock on the measurement date, which, for the Corporation, is the date of the award.

Note 12 - Other Non-Interest Income and Expense

Other non-interest income and expense totals are presented in the following tables. Components of these totals exceeding 1% of the aggregate of total net interest income and total non-interest income for any of the years presented are stated separately.

	2010	2009	2008
Other non-interest income:			
Check card income	\$ 21,116	\$ 18,536	\$ 17,106
Gain on termination of interest rate swap	-	17,722	-
Other	29,220	28,171	39,794
Total	\$ 50,336	\$ 64,429	\$ 56,900
Other non-interest expense:			
Legal and other professional fees	\$ 20,792	\$ 19,858	\$ 19,099
Advertising, promotions and public relations	15,087	15,327	15,277
Other	88,328	90,426	88,341
Total	\$ 124,207	\$ 125,611	\$ 122,717

Note 13 - Income Taxes

Income tax expense was as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Current income tax expense	\$ 52,932	\$ 63,575	\$ 78,203
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	4,644	(9,854)	11,421
Income tax expense	\$ 57,576	\$ 53,721	\$ 89,624

Reported income tax expense differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% to income before income taxes as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Income tax expense computed at the statutory rate	\$ 93,219	\$ 81,464	\$ 103,908

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Effect of tax-exempt interest	(34,355)	(26,673)	(13,210)
Bank owned life insurance income	(1,581)	(1,694)	(1,738)
Other	293	624	664
	<hr/>		
Income tax expense, as reported	\$ 57,576	\$ 53,721	\$ 89,624
	<hr/>		

Table of Contents

Year-end deferred taxes were as follows:

	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for possible loan losses	\$ 44,211	\$ 43,858
Net actuarial loss on defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans	15,269	14,959
Stock-based compensation	13,314	11,378
Gain on sale of assets	2,519	2,614
Non-accrual loans	2,083	1,406
Building modification reserve	1,263	1,268
Non-compete agreements	1,134	1,259
Other	1,363	1,722
Total gross deferred tax assets	81,156	78,464
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale and effective cash flow hedging derivatives	(98,327)	(97,992)
Intangible assets	(8,170)	(9,332)
Defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans	(13,638)	(13,311)
Premises and equipment	(17,502)	(9,904)
Leases	(5,352)	(5,032)
Prepaid expenses	(1,413)	(1,270)
Other	(1,939)	(2,139)
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	(146,341)	(138,980)
Net deferred tax asset (liability)	\$ (65,185)	\$ (60,516)

No valuation allowance for deferred tax assets was recorded at December 31, 2010 and 2009 as management believes it is more likely than not that all of the deferred tax assets will be realized because they were supported by recoverable taxes paid in prior years.

The Corporation files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007.

Table of Contents**Note 14 - Other Comprehensive Income**

Total comprehensive income is reported in the accompanying statements of changes in shareholders' equity. Information related to net other comprehensive income is as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Net income:	\$ 208,764	\$ 179,034	\$ 207,255
Other comprehensive income:			
Securities available for sale:			
Change in net unrealized gain/loss during the period	(21,568)	80,397	108,415
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in income	(6)	1,260	159
Change in the net actuarial gain/loss on defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans	(887)	12,173	(31,682)
Change in accumulated gain/loss on effective cash flow hedging derivatives	22,531	(67,814)	145,686
	70	26,016	222,578
Deferred tax expense	25	9,105	77,902
Net other comprehensive income	45	16,911	144,676
Comprehensive income	\$ 208,809	\$ 195,945	\$ 351,931

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, as of year-end were as follows:

	2010	2009
Net actuarial loss on defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans	\$ (28,357)	\$ (27,780)
Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale	96,012	110,035
Accumulated gain on effective cash flow hedging derivatives	86,595	71,950
	\$ 154,250	\$ 154,205

Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments

The fair value of derivative positions outstanding is included in accrued interest receivable and other assets and accrued interest payable and other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and in the net change in each of these financial statement line items in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

Interest Rate Derivatives. The Corporation utilizes interest rate swaps, caps and floors to mitigate exposure to interest rate risk and to facilitate the needs of its customers. The Corporation's objectives for utilizing these derivative instruments is described below:

The Corporation has entered into certain interest rate swap contracts that are matched to specific fixed-rate commercial loans or leases that the Corporation has entered into with its customers. These contracts have been designated as hedging instruments to hedge the risk of changes in the fair value of the underlying commercial loan/lease due to changes in interest rates. The related contracts are structured so that the notional amounts reduce over time to generally match the expected amortization of the underlying loan/lease.

During 2007, the Corporation entered into three interest rate swap contracts on variable-rate loans with a total notional amount of \$1.2 billion. The interest rate swap contracts were designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges with the objective of protecting the overall cash flows from the Corporation's monthly interest receipts on a rolling portfolio of \$1.2 billion of variable-rate loans outstanding throughout the

84-month period

121

Table of Contents

beginning in October 2007 and ending in October 2014 from the risk of variability of those cash flows such that the yield on the underlying loans would remain constant. As further discussed below, during November 2009, the Corporation terminated portions of the hedges and settled portions of the interest rate swap contracts during November 2009 and terminated the remaining portions of the hedges and settled the remaining portions interest rate swap contracts during November 2010. Under the initial hedge relationship, the desired constant yield was 7.559% in the case of the first contract (underlying loan pool totaling \$650.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime), 8.059% in the case of the second contract (underlying loan pool totaling \$230.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime plus a margin of 50 basis points) and 8.559% in the case of the third contract (underlying loan pool totaling \$320.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime plus a margin of 100 basis points). Under the swaps, the Corporation received a fixed interest rate of 7.559% and paid a variable interest rate equal to the daily Federal Reserve Statistical Release H-15 Prime Rate (Prime), with monthly settlements.

As stated above, during November 2009, the Corporation settled portions of two of the interest rate swap contracts having a total notional amount of \$400.0 million and concurrently terminated the hedges related to the interest cash flows on a rolling portfolio of \$400.0 million of variable rate loans. The terminated hedges had underlying loan pools totaling \$300.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime and \$100.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime plus a margin of 50 basis points. In November 2010, the Corporation settled the remaining interest rate swap contracts having a total notional amount of \$800.0 million and concurrently terminated the hedges related to the interest cash flows on a rolling portfolio of \$800.0 million of variable rate loans. The terminated hedges had underlying loan pools totaling \$350.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime, \$130.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime plus a margin of 50 basis points and \$320.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime plus a margin of 100 basis points. The deferred accumulated after-tax gain applicable to the settled interest rate contracts included in accumulated other comprehensive income totaled \$92.8 million and \$29.0 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The deferred gain will be reclassified into earnings during future periods when the formerly hedged transactions impact future earnings.

In October 2008, the Corporation entered into an interest rate swap contract on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures with a total notional amount of \$120.0 million. The interest rate swap contract was designated as a hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge with the objective of protecting the quarterly interest payments on the Corporation's \$120.0 million of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued to Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II throughout the five-year period beginning in December 2008 and ending in December 2013 from the risk of variability of those payments resulting from changes in the three-month LIBOR interest rate. Under the swap, the Corporation will pay a fixed interest rate of 5.47% and receive a variable interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 1.55% on a total notional amount of \$120.0 million, with quarterly settlements.

In January 2009, the Corporation entered into three interest rate swap contracts on FHLB advances with a total notional amount of \$230.0 million. The interest rate swap contracts were designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges with the objective of protecting the forty quarterly interest payments on each of the Corporation's variable-rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 0.36%) FHLB advances which, in the aggregate, totaled \$230.0 million throughout the forty quarterly periods beginning in January 2009 and ending in January 2019 from the risk of variability of those payments resulting from changes in the three-month LIBOR interest rate. Under the swaps, the Corporation would pay a weighted-average fixed interest rate of 2.85% and would receive a variable interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 0.36% on a total notional amount of \$230.0 million, with quarterly settlements. During October 2009, the Corporation elected to repay the \$230.0 million of FHLB advances associated with the interest rate swaps. Upon repayment of the advances, the Corporation also terminated the interest rate swaps and recognized a \$17.7 million gain representing the fair value of the interest rate swaps on the termination date.

The Corporation has entered into certain interest rate swap, cap and floor contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments. These derivative contracts relate to transactions in which the Corporation enters into an interest rate swap, cap and/or floor with a customer while at the same time entering into an offsetting interest rate swap, cap and/or floor with another financial institution. In connection with each swap transaction, the

Table of Contents

Corporation agrees to pay interest to the customer on a notional amount at a variable interest rate and receive interest from the customer on a similar notional amount at a fixed interest rate. At the same time, the Corporation agrees to pay another financial institution the same fixed interest rate on the same notional amount and receive the same variable interest rate on the same notional amount. The transaction allows the Corporation's customer to effectively convert a variable rate loan to a fixed rate. Because the Corporation acts as an intermediary for its customer, changes in the fair value of the underlying derivative contracts for the most part offset each other and do not significantly impact the Corporation's results of operations.

The notional amounts and estimated fair values of interest rate derivative contracts outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are presented in the following table. The Corporation obtains dealer quotations to value its interest rate derivative contracts designated as hedges of cash flows, while the fair values of other interest rate derivative contracts are estimated utilizing internal valuation models with observable market data inputs.

	December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Notional Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Notional Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of fair value:				
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	\$ 104,088	\$ (8,350)	\$ 134,808	\$ (9,453)
Interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows:				
Interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans	-	-	800,000	74,214
Interest rate swap on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	120,000	(9,895)	120,000	(6,943)
Non-hedging interest rate derivatives:				
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	593,792	44,335	555,334	32,608
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	593,792	(44,666)	555,334	(32,786)
Commercial loan/lease interest rate caps	20,000	388	22,792	1,086
Commercial loan/lease interest rate caps	20,000	(388)	22,792	(1,086)
Commercial loan/lease interest rate floors	-	-	2,792	40
Commercial loan/lease interest rate floors	-	-	2,792	(40)

The weighted-average rates paid and received for interest rate swaps outstanding at December 31, 2010 were as follows:

	Weighted-Average	
	Interest Rate Paid	Interest Rate Received
Interest rate swaps:		
Fair value hedge commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	4.53%	0.26%
Cash flow hedge interest rate swaps on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	5.47	1.85
Non-hedging interest rate swaps	1.88	5.19
Non-hedging interest rate swaps	5.19	1.88

The weighted-average strike rate for outstanding interest rate caps was 3.10% at December 31, 2010.

Commodity Derivatives. The Corporation enters into commodity swaps and option contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments primarily to accommodate the business needs of its customers. Upon the origination of a commodity swap or option contract with a customer, the Corporation simultaneously enters into an offsetting contract with a third party to mitigate the exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices.

Table of Contents

The notional amounts and estimated fair values of commodity derivative positions outstanding are presented in the following table. The Corporation obtains dealer quotations to value its commodity derivative positions.

		December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
		Notional Units	Notional Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Notional Amount
Non-hedging commodity swaps:					
Oil	Barrels	321	\$ 2,502	71	\$ 1,482
Oil	Barrels	321	(2,428)	71	(1,468)
Natural gas	MMBTUs	510	195	-	-
Natural gas	MMBTUs	510	(174)	-	-
Non-hedging commodity options:					
Oil	Barrels	1,288	7,706	1,726	11,581
Oil	Barrels	1,288	(7,706)	1,726	(11,581)
Natural gas	MMBTUs	3,820	3,774	6,970	4,151
Natural gas	MMBTUs	3,820	(3,774)	6,970	(4,151)

Foreign Currency Derivatives. The Corporation enters into foreign currency forward contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments primarily to accommodate the business needs of its customers. Upon the origination of a foreign currency denominated transaction with a customer, the Corporation simultaneously enters into an offsetting contract with a third party to negate the exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The Corporation also utilizes foreign currency forward contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments to mitigate the economic effect of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on certain short-term, non-U.S. dollar denominated loans. The notional amounts and fair values of open foreign currency forward contracts were not significant at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Gains, Losses and Derivative Cash Flows. For fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of both the derivative hedging instrument and the hedged item are included in other non-interest income or other non-interest expense. The extent that such changes in fair value do not offset represents hedge ineffectiveness. Net cash flows from interest rate swaps on commercial loans/leases designated as hedging instruments in effective hedges of fair value are included in interest income on loans. For cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss due to changes in the fair value of the derivative hedging instrument is included in other comprehensive income, while the ineffective portion (indicated by the excess of the cumulative change in the fair value of the derivative over that which is necessary to offset the cumulative change in expected future cash flows on the hedge transaction) is included in other non-interest income or other non-interest expense. Net cash flows from interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans designated as hedging instruments in effective hedges of cash flows and the reclassification from other comprehensive income of deferred gains associated with the termination of those hedges are included in interest income on loans. Net cash flows from the interest rate swap on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures designated as a hedging instrument in an effective hedge of cash flows are included in interest expense on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures. Net cash flows from interest rate swaps on FHLB advances designated as hedging instruments in effective hedges of cash flows were included in interest expense on other long-term borrowings. For non-hedging derivative instruments, gains and losses due to changes in fair value and all cash flows are included in other non-interest income and other non-interest expense.

Table of Contents

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of income related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of fair value were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps:			
Amount of gain (loss) included in interest income on loans	\$ (5,082)	\$ (5,852)	\$ (2,908)
Amount of (gain) loss included in other non-interest expense	(110)	(390)	(9)

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of income and in other comprehensive income for the period related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows were as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Interest rate swaps/caps/floors on variable-rate loans:			
Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest income on loans	\$ 43,389	\$ 51,377	\$ 29,710
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income	68,865	(20,177)	185,712

Interest rate swaps on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures:

Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	4,367	3,595	177
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income	(7,312)	145	(10,493)

Interest rate swaps on FHLB advances:

Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to:			
Other non-interest income	-	17,722	-
Interest expense on other long-term borrowings	-	2,950	-
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income	-	14,772	-

No ineffectiveness related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows was recognized in the consolidated statements of income during the reported periods. The accumulated net after-tax gain related to effective cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive income totaled \$86.6 million at December 31, 2010 and \$72.0 million at December 31, 2009. The Corporation expects approximately \$21.5 million of the net after-tax gain related to effective cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2010 will be reclassified into earnings during 2011. This amount represents management's best estimate given current expectations about market interest rates and volumes related to loan pools underlying the terminated cash flow hedges. Because actual market interest rates and volumes related to loan pools underlying the terminated cash flow hedges may differ from management's expectations, there can be no assurance as to the ultimate amount that will be reclassified into earnings during 2011.

As stated above, the Corporation enters into non-hedge related derivative positions primarily to accommodate the business needs of its customers. Upon the origination of a derivative contract with a customer, the Corporation simultaneously enters into an offsetting derivative contract with a third party. The Corporation recognizes immediate income based upon the difference in the bid/ask spread of the underlying transactions with its customers and the third party. Because the Corporation acts only as an intermediary for its customer, subsequent changes in the fair value of the underlying derivative contracts for the most part offset each other and do not significantly impact the Corporation's results of operations.

Table of Contents

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of income related to non-hedging interest rate and commodity derivative instruments are presented in the table below. Amounts included in the consolidated statements of income related to foreign currency derivatives during the reported periods were not significant.

	2010	2009	2008
Non-hedging interest rate derivatives:			
Other non-interest income	\$ 2,713	\$ 915	\$ 2,934
Other non-interest expense	154	198	20
Non-hedging commodity derivatives:			
Other non-interest income	221	375	265

Counterparty Credit Risk. Derivative contracts involve the risk of dealing with both bank customers and institutional derivative counterparties and their ability to meet contractual terms. Institutional counterparties must have an investment grade credit rating and be approved by the Corporation's Asset/Liability Management Committee. The Corporation's credit exposure on interest rate swaps is limited to the net favorable value and interest payments of all swaps by each counterparty, while the Corporation's credit exposure on commodity swaps/options is limited to the net favorable value of all swaps/options by each counterparty. Credit exposure may be reduced by the amount of collateral pledged by the counterparty. There are no credit-risk-related contingent features associated with any of the Corporation's derivative contracts.

The Corporation's credit exposure relating to interest rate swaps and commodity swaps/options with bank customers was approximately \$47.7 million at December 31, 2010. This credit exposure is partly mitigated as transactions with customers are generally secured by the collateral, if any, securing the underlying transaction being hedged. The Corporation had no credit exposure, net of collateral pledged, relating to interest rate swaps and commodity swaps/options with upstream financial institution counterparties at December 31, 2010. Collateral levels for upstream financial institution counterparties are monitored and adjusted as necessary.

The aggregate fair value of securities posted as collateral by the Corporation related to derivative contracts totaled \$55.2 million at December 31, 2010. At such date, the Corporation also had \$8.5 million in cash collateral on deposit with other financial institution counterparties.

Note 16 - Fair Value Measurements

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell that asset or paid to transfer that liability in an orderly transaction occurring in the principal market (or most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market) for such asset or liability. In estimating fair value, the Corporation utilizes valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach. Such valuation techniques are consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques include the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

- “ *Level 1 Inputs* - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- “ *Level 2 Inputs* - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by market data by correlation or other means.
- “ *Level 3 Inputs* - Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

Table of Contents

In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality and the Corporation's creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time. The Corporation's valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes the Corporation's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. Furthermore, the reported fair value amounts have not been comprehensively revalued since the presentation dates, and therefore, estimates of fair value after the balance sheet date may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein. A more detailed description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value is set forth below. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of the event or circumstances that caused the transfer, which generally coincides with the Corporation's monthly and/or quarterly valuation process.

Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities: Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include the following:

Securities Available for Sale. U.S. Treasury securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, the Corporation obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

Trading Securities. U.S. Treasury securities and exchange-listed common stock are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as trading are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs in the same manner as described above for securities available for sale.

Derivatives. Derivatives are generally reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs, except for foreign currency contracts, which are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. The Corporation obtains dealer quotations to value its prime-rate loan swaps, the swap related to its junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures and commodity swaps/options. The Corporation utilizes internal valuation models with observable market data inputs to estimate fair values of customer interest rate swaps, caps and floors. The Corporation also obtains dealer quotations for these derivatives for comparative purposes to assess the reasonableness of the model valuations. In cases where significant credit valuation adjustments are incorporated into the estimation of fair value, reported amounts are considered to have been derived utilizing Level 3 inputs.

For purposes of potential valuation adjustments to its derivative positions, the Corporation evaluates the credit risk of its counterparties as well as that of the Corporation. Accordingly, the Corporation has considered factors such as the likelihood of default by the Corporation and its counterparties, its net exposures, and remaining contractual life, among other things, in determining if any fair value adjustments related to credit risk are required. Counterparty exposure is evaluated by netting positions that are subject to master netting arrangements, as well as considering the amount of collateral securing the position. The Corporation reviews its counterparty exposure on a regular basis, and, when necessary, appropriate business actions are taken to adjust the exposure. The Corporation also utilizes this approach to estimate its own credit risk on derivative liability positions. To date, the Corporation has not realized any significant losses due to a counterparty's inability to pay any net uncollateralized position. The change in value of derivative assets and derivative liabilities attributable to credit risk was not significant during the reported periods.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value:

	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs	Total Fair Value
2010				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 987,031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 987,031
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	2,091,330	-	2,091,330
States and political subdivisions	-	2,040,300	-	2,040,300
Other	-	38,809	-	38,809
Trading account securities:				
U.S. Treasury	14,986	-	-	14,986
States and political subdivisions	-	115	-	115
Derivative assets:				
Interest rate swaps, caps and floors	-	43,633	1,090	44,723
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	-	14,177	-	14,177
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps, caps and floors	-	63,299	-	63,299
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	19	14,082	-	14,101
2009				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 400,255	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 400,255
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	2,577,309	-	2,577,309
States and political subdivisions	-	1,868,658	-	1,868,658
Other	-	38,035	-	38,035
Trading account securities:				
U.S. Treasury	16,126	-	-	16,126
Derivative assets:				
Interest rate swaps, caps and floors	-	107,003	945	107,948
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	23	17,214	-	17,237
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps, caps and floors	-	50,308	-	50,308
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	-	17,200	-	17,200

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending balances of derivative assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs:

	2010	2009
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 945	\$ -
Transfers into Level 3	-	1,354
Cash settlements	(462)	(210)
Realized gains included in other non-interest income	761	-
Realized losses included in other non-interest expense	(154)	(199)
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,090	\$ 945

Certain financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). Financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during 2010 and 2009 include certain impaired loans reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 2 inputs based on observable market data or Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. The following table presents impaired loans that were remeasured and reported at fair value through a specific valuation allowance allocation of the allowance for possible loan losses based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral during 2010 and 2009.

	2010		2009	
	Level 2	Level 3	Level 2	Level 3
Carrying value of impaired loans	\$ 18,020	\$ 5,458	\$ 7,827	\$ 7,357
Specific valuation allowance allocations	(7,311)	(3,722)	(1,600)	(3,743)
Fair value	\$ 10,709	\$ 1,736	\$ 6,227	\$ 3,614

Non-Financial Assets and Non-Financial Liabilities: The Corporation has no non-financial assets or non-financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Certain non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis include foreclosed assets (upon initial recognition or subsequent impairment), non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities measured at fair value in the second step of a goodwill impairment test, and intangible assets and other non-financial long-lived assets measured at fair value for impairment assessment. Non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during 2010 and 2009 include certain foreclosed assets which, upon initial recognition, were remeasured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for possible loan losses and certain foreclosed assets which, subsequent to their initial recognition, were remeasured at fair value through a write-down included in other non-interest expense. The fair value of a foreclosed asset is estimated using Level 2 inputs based on observable market data or Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. During the reported periods, all fair value measurements for foreclosed assets utilized Level 2 inputs.

The following table presents foreclosed assets that were remeasured and reported at fair value:

	2010	2009
Foreclosed assets remeasured at initial recognition:		
Carrying value of foreclosed assets prior to remeasurement	\$ 22,585	\$ 42,091
Charge-offs recognized in the allowance for possible loan losses	(3,067)	(5,980)

Fair value	\$ 19,518	\$ 36,111
Foreclosed assets remeasured subsequent to initial recognition:		
Carrying value of foreclosed assets prior to remeasurement	\$ 9,414	\$ 5,749
Write-downs included in other non-interest expense	(2,302)	(1,557)
Fair value	\$ 7,112	\$ 4,192

Table of Contents

ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis. The methodologies for estimating the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis are discussed above. The estimated fair value approximates carrying value for cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest and the cash surrender value of life insurance policies. The methodologies for other financial assets and financial liabilities are discussed below:

Loans. The estimated fair value approximates carrying value for variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk. The fair value of fixed-rate loans and variable-rate loans which reprice on an infrequent basis is estimated by discounting future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans with similar terms would be made to borrowers of similar credit quality. An overall valuation adjustment is made for specific credit risks as well as general portfolio credit risk.

Deposits. The estimated fair value approximates carrying value for demand deposits. The fair value of fixed-rate deposit liabilities with defined maturities is estimated by discounting future cash flows using the interest rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The estimated fair value of deposits does not take into account the value of the Corporation's long-term relationships with depositors, commonly known as core deposit intangibles, which are separate intangible assets, and not considered financial instruments. Nonetheless, the Corporation would likely realize a core deposit premium if its deposit portfolio were sold in the principal market for such deposits.

Borrowed Funds. The estimated fair value approximates carrying value for short-term borrowings. The fair value of long-term fixed-rate borrowings is estimated using quoted market prices, if available, or by discounting future cash flows using current interest rates for similar financial instruments. The estimated fair value approximates carrying value for variable-rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures that reprice quarterly.

Loan Commitments, Standby and Commercial Letters of Credit. The Corporation's lending commitments have variable interest rates and escape clauses if the customer's credit quality deteriorates. Therefore, the fair values of these items are not significant and are not included in the following table.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values of financial instruments were as follows:

	December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,820,977	\$ 2,820,977	\$ 1,721,479	\$ 1,721,479
Securities	5,456,200	5,468,799	4,906,498	4,906,639
Loans, net	7,990,704	8,038,760	8,242,471	8,222,049
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	129,922	129,922	125,405	125,405
Interest rate swaps on variable rate loans designated as hedges of cash flows	-	-	74,214	74,214
Non-hedging commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps, caps and floors	44,723	44,723	33,734	33,734
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	14,177	14,177	17,237	17,237
Accrued interest receivable	72,328	72,328	69,883	69,883
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	14,479,342	14,480,725	13,313,310	13,315,611
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	475,673	475,673	482,048	482,048
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	123,712	123,712	136,084	136,084
Subordinated notes payable and other borrowings	250,045	256,172	256,562	255,588
Interest rate swap on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures designated as a hedge of cash flows	9,895	9,895	6,943	6,943
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps designated as hedges of fair value	8,350	8,350	9,453	9,453
Non-hedging commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps, caps and floors	45,054	45,054	33,912	33,912
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	14,101	14,101	17,200	17,200
Accrued interest payable	9,991	9,991	13,035	13,035

Under ASC Topic 825, entities may choose to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value at specified election dates. The fair value measurement option (i) may be applied instrument by instrument, with certain exceptions, (ii) is generally irrevocable and (iii) is applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value measurement option has been elected must be reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. During the reported periods, the Corporation had no financial instruments measured at fair value under the fair value measurement option.

Table of Contents

Note 17 - Operating Segments

The Corporation is managed under a matrix organizational structure whereby significant lines of business, including Banking and the Financial Management Group (FMG), overlap a regional reporting structure. The regions are primarily based upon geographic location and include Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Rio Grande Valley, San Antonio and Statewide. The Corporation is primarily managed based on the line of business structure. In that regard, all regions have the same lines of business, which have the same product and service offerings, have similar types and classes of customers and utilize similar service delivery methods. Pricing guidelines for products and services are the same across all regions. The regional reporting structure is primarily a means to scale the lines of business to provide a local, community focus for customer relations and business development.

The Corporation has two primary operating segments, Banking and FMG, that are delineated by the products and services that each segment offers. The Banking operating segment includes both commercial and consumer banking services, Frost Insurance Agency and Frost Securities, Inc. Commercial banking services are provided to corporations and other business clients and include a wide array of lending and cash management products. Consumer banking services include direct lending and depository services. Frost Insurance Agency provides insurance brokerage services to individuals and businesses covering corporate and personal property and casualty products, as well as group health and life insurance products. Frost Securities, Inc. provides advisory and private equity services to middle market companies. The FMG operating segment includes fee-based services within private trust, retirement services, and financial management services, including personal wealth management and brokerage services. The third operating segment, Non-Banks, is for the most part the parent holding company, as well as certain other insignificant non-bank subsidiaries of the parent that, for the most part, have little or no activity. The parent company's principal activities include the direct and indirect ownership of the Corporation's banking and non-banking subsidiaries and the issuance of debt and equity. Its principal source of revenue is dividends from its subsidiaries.

The accounting policies of each reportable segment are the same as those of the Corporation except for the following items, which impact the Banking and FMG segments: (i) expenses for consolidated back-office operations and general overhead-type expenses such as executive administration, accounting and internal audit are allocated to operating segments based on estimated uses of those services, (ii) income tax expense for the individual segments is calculated essentially at the statutory rate, and (iii) the parent company records the tax expense or benefit necessary to reconcile to the consolidated total.

The Corporation uses a match-funded transfer pricing process to assess operating segment performance. The process helps the Corporation to (i) identify the cost or opportunity value of funds within each business segment, (ii) measure the profitability of a particular business segment by relating appropriate costs to revenues, (iii) evaluate each business segment in a manner consistent with its economic impact on consolidated earnings, and (iv) enhance asset and liability pricing decisions.

Table of Contents

Financial results by operating segment are detailed below. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

	Banking	FMG	Non-Banks	Consolidated
2010				
Net interest income (expense)	\$ 570,019	\$ 6,271	\$ (12,831)	\$ 563,459
Provision for possible loan losses	43,607	4	-	43,611
Non-interest income	194,111	85,804	2,118	282,033
Non-interest expense	449,430	80,346	5,765	535,541
Income (loss) before income taxes	271,093	11,725	(16,478)	266,340
Income tax expense (benefit)	60,742	4,101	(7,267)	57,576
Net income (loss)	\$ 210,351	\$ 7,624	\$ (9,211)	\$ 208,764
Revenues from (expenses to) external customers	\$ 764,130	\$ 92,075	\$ (10,713)	\$ 845,492
Average assets (in millions)	\$ 17,140	\$ 27 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 20	\$ 17,187
2009				
Net interest income (expense)	\$ 540,458	\$ 9,303	\$ (13,082)	\$ 536,679
Provision for possible loan losses	65,392	-	-	65,392
Non-interest income	208,476	83,715	1,515	293,706
Non-interest expense	447,251	79,722	5,265	532,238
Income (loss) before income taxes	236,291	13,296	(16,832)	232,755
Income tax expense (benefit)	56,887	4,654	(7,820)	53,721
Net income (loss)	\$ 179,404	\$ 8,642	\$ (9,012)	\$ 179,034
Revenues from (expenses to) external customers	\$ 748,934	\$ 93,018	\$ (11,567)	\$ 830,385
Average assets (in millions)	\$ 15,653	\$ 32 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17	\$ 15,702
2008				
Net interest income (expense)	\$ 523,642	\$ 23,215	\$ (12,832)	\$ 534,025
Provision for possible loan losses	37,822	1	-	37,823
Non-interest income	188,838	96,277	2,207	287,322
Non-interest expense	403,164	78,164	5,317	486,645
Income (loss) before income taxes	271,494	41,327	(15,942)	296,879
Income tax expense (benefit)	82,539	14,464	(7,379)	89,624
Net income (loss)	\$ 188,955	\$ 26,863	\$ (8,563)	\$ 207,255
Revenues from (expenses to) external customers	\$ 712,480	\$ 119,492	\$ (10,625)	\$ 821,347
Average assets (in millions)	\$ 13,641	\$ 30 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 14	\$ 13,685

- (1) Excludes off balance sheet managed and custody assets with a total fair value of \$24.9 billion, \$22.7 billion and \$21.7 billion at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents**Note 18 - Condensed Financial Statements of Parent Company**

Condensed financial statements pertaining only to Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. are presented below. Investments in subsidiaries are stated using the equity method of accounting.

Condensed Statements of Income

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Income:			
Dividend income	\$ 109,741	\$ 96,781	\$ 110,870
Interest and other income	165	151	853
Total income	109,906	96,932	111,723
Expenses:			
Interest expense	12,852	13,101	12,842
Salaries and employee benefits	1,141	1,116	1,075
Other	5,138	4,693	5,227
Total expenses	19,131	18,910	19,144
Income before income taxes and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	90,775	78,022	92,579
Income tax benefit	8,000	8,398	8,228
Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	109,989	92,614	106,448
Net income	\$ 208,764	\$ 179,034	\$ 207,255

Condensed Balance Sheets

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Assets:		
Cash	\$ 22,404	\$ 9,440
Resell agreements	133,860	101,900
Total cash and cash equivalents	156,264	111,340
Investment in subsidiaries	2,133,779	2,022,398
Other assets	10,135	8,339
Total assets	\$ 2,300,178	\$ 2,142,077
Liabilities:		
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	\$ 123,712	\$ 136,084
Subordinated notes payable	100,000	100,000

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	14,786	11,569
Total liabilities	238,498	247,653
Shareholders' Equity	2,061,680	1,894,424
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,300,178	\$ 2,142,077

Table of Contents**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows**

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 208,764	\$ 179,034	\$ 207,255
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	(109,989)	(92,614)	(106,448)
Stock-based compensation	300	330	300
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(614)	(464)	(7,088)
Net change in other assets and other liabilities	9,891	4,602	7,304
Net cash from operating activities	108,352	90,888	101,323
Investing Activities:			
Net cash paid in acquisitions	-	-	(352)
Redemption of capital trusts	372	-	93
Net cash from investing activities	372	-	(259)
Financing Activities:			
Redemption of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	(12,372)	-	(3,093)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	38,807	16,226	37,400
Proceeds from stock-based compensation activities of subsidiaries	14,625	12,315	9,866
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	614	464	7,088
Purchase of treasury stock	(986)	(800)	(23,055)
Treasury stock sold to the 401(k) stock purchase plan	3,539	2,498	-
Cash dividends paid	(108,027)	(102,130)	(98,152)
Net cash from financing activities	(63,800)	(71,427)	(69,946)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	44,924	19,461	31,118
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	111,340	91,879	60,761
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 156,264	\$ 111,340	\$ 91,879

Note 19 - Accounting Standards Updates

Accounting Standards Codification. The Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) became effective on July 1, 2009. At that date, the ASC became FASB's officially recognized source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) applicable to all public and non-public non-governmental entities, superseding existing FASB, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) and related literature. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under the authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. All other accounting literature is considered non-authoritative. The switch to the ASC affects the way companies refer to U.S. GAAP in financial statements and accounting policies. Citing particular content in the ASC involves specifying the unique numeric path to the content through the Topic, Subtopic, Section and Paragraph structure.

FASB ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share. On January 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new accounting guidance that provides that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. See Note 1 Significant Accounting Policies.

Table of Contents

FASB ASC Topic 320, Investments Debt and Equity Securities. New accounting guidance (i) changed existing guidance for determining whether an impairment is other than temporary to debt securities and (ii) replaced the existing requirement that the entity's management assert it has both the intent and ability to hold an impaired security until recovery with a requirement that management assert: (a) it does not have the intent to sell the security; and (b) it is more likely than not it will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. Under ASC Topic 320, declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses to the extent the impairment is related to credit losses. The amount of the impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. This guidance became effective during the first quarter of 2009 and did not significantly impact the Corporation's financial statements.

FASB ASC Topic 715, Compensation Retirement Benefits. New accounting guidance expanded disclosure requirements related to plan assets of defined benefit pension or other post-retirement benefit plans effective, for the Corporation, beginning with the year-ended December 31, 2009. See Note 11 - Employee Benefit Plans.

Additional new accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715, Compensation Retirement Benefits, requires the recognition of a liability and related compensation expense for endorsement split-dollar life insurance policies that provide a benefit to an employee that extends to post-retirement periods. Under ASC Topic 715, life insurance policies purchased for the purpose of providing such benefits do not effectively settle an entity's obligation to the employee. Accordingly, the entity must recognize a liability and related compensation expense during the employee's active service period based on the future cost of insurance to be incurred during the employee's retirement. The Corporation adopted the new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715 on January 1, 2008 as a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings totaling \$240 thousand.

FASB ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. On January 1, 2009, new accounting guidance under ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations, became applicable to the Corporation's accounting for business combinations closing on or after January 1, 2009. ASC Topic 805 requires an acquirer, upon initially obtaining control of another entity, to recognize the assets, liabilities and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at fair value as of the acquisition date. Any contingent consideration is also required to be recognized and measured at fair value on the date of acquisition. Acquisition-related costs are to be expensed as incurred. Assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination that arise from contingencies are to be recognized at fair value if fair value can be reasonably estimated. ASC Topic 805 also expands required disclosures regarding the nature and financial effect of business combinations.

FASB ASC Topic 810, Consolidation. New accounting guidance amended prior guidance to establish accounting and reporting standards for the non-controlling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. This guidance became effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2009 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

FASB ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging. New accounting guidance expanded the disclosure requirements for derivatives and hedging activities to require disclosure of the entity's objectives for the use of derivatives, how the entity accounts for derivatives and the related hedged items and their affect on the entity's financial position and results of operations. This guidance became effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2009 and the required disclosures are reported in Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments.

FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. ASC Topic 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of ASC Topic 820 became effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2008 for financial assets and financial liabilities and on January 1, 2009 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities. Additional new accounting guidance under ASC Topic 820, which became effective during the first quarter of 2009, expanded certain disclosure requirements and affirmed

Table of Contents

that the objective of fair value when the market for an asset is not active is the price that would be received to sell the asset in an orderly transaction, and clarifies and includes additional factors for determining whether there has been a significant decrease in market activity for an asset when the market for that asset is not active. ASU 2009-5, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value*, which became effective during the fourth quarter of 2009, provided guidance for measuring the fair value of a liability in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available. See Note 16 *Fair Value Measurements*.

FASB ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events. New accounting guidance established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. This guidance became effective for the Corporation's financial statements for periods ending after June 15, 2009 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-16, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets. ASU 2009-16 amends prior accounting guidance to enhance reporting about transfers of financial assets, including securitizations, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. ASU 2009-16 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. ASU 2009-16 also requires additional disclosures about all continuing involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. The provisions of ASU 2009-16 became effective on January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

ASU No. 2009-17, Consolidations (Topic 810) Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities. ASU 2009-17 amends prior guidance to change how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. ASU 2009-17 requires additional disclosures about the reporting entity's involvement with variable-interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement as well as its affect on the entity's financial statements. As further discussed below, ASU No. 2010-10, *Consolidations (Topic 810) Amendments for Certain Investment Funds*, deferred the effective date of ASU 2009-17 for a reporting entity's interests in investment companies. The provisions of ASU 2009-17 became effective on January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements. ASU 2010-06 requires expanded disclosures related to fair value measurements including (i) the amounts of significant transfers of assets or liabilities between Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the reasons for the transfers, (ii) the reasons for transfers of assets or liabilities in or out of Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, with significant transfers disclosed separately, (iii) the policy for determining when transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized and (iv) for recurring fair value measurements of assets and liabilities in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, a gross presentation of information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. ASU 2010-06 further clarifies that (i) fair value measurement disclosures should be provided for each class of assets and liabilities (rather than major category), which would generally be a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position and (ii) company's should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements for each class of assets and liabilities included in Levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The disclosures related to the gross presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of assets and liabilities included in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy will be required for the Corporation beginning January 1, 2011. The remaining disclosure requirements and clarifications made by ASU 2010-06 became effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2010. See Note 16 *Fair Value Measurements*.

Table of Contents

ASU No. 2010-10, Consolidations (Topic 810) Amendments for Certain Investment Funds. ASU 2010-10 defers the effective date of the amendments to the consolidation requirements made by ASU 2009-17 to a company's interest in an entity (i) that has all of the attributes of an investment company, as specified under ASC Topic 946, Financial Services Investment Companies, or (ii) for which it is industry practice to apply measurement principles of financial reporting that are consistent with those in ASC Topic 946. As a result of the deferral, a company will not be required to apply the ASU 2009-17 amendments to the Subtopic 810-10 consolidation requirements to its interest in an entity that meets the criteria to qualify for the deferral. ASU 2010-10 also clarifies that any interest held by a related party should be treated as though it is an entity's own interest when evaluating the criteria for determining whether such interest represents a variable interest. In addition, ASU 2010-10 also clarifies that a quantitative calculation should not be the sole basis for evaluating whether a decision maker's or service provider's fee is a variable interest. The provisions of ASU 2010-10 became effective for the Corporation as of January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

ASU No. 2010-11, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives. ASU 2010-11 clarifies that the only form of an embedded credit derivative that is exempt from embedded derivative bifurcation requirements are those that relate to the subordination of one financial instrument to another. As a result, entities that have contracts containing an embedded credit derivative feature in a form other than such subordination may need to separately account for the embedded credit derivative feature. The provisions of ASU 2010-11 became effective for the Corporation on July 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. ASU 2010-20 requires entities to provide disclosures designed to facilitate financial statement users' evaluation of (i) the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity's portfolio of financing receivables, (ii) how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses and (iii) the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. Disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment, the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses, and class of financing receivable, which is generally a disaggregation of portfolio segment. The required disclosures include, among other things, a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses as well as information about modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loans and credit quality indicators. ASU 2010-20 became effective for the Corporation's financial statements as of December 31, 2010, as it relates to disclosures required as of the end of a reporting period. Disclosures that relate to activity during a reporting period will be required for the Corporation's financial statements that include periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011. ASU 2011-01, Receivables (Topic 310) Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20, temporarily deferred the effective date for disclosures related to troubled debt restructurings to coincide with the effective date of a proposed accounting standards update related to troubled debt restructurings, which is currently expected to be effective for periods ending after June 15, 2011. See Note 3 Loans.

ASU No. 2010-28, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts. ASU 2010-28 modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist such as if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. ASU 2010-28 will be effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2011 and is not expected have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

Table of Contents

ASU No. 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805) Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations. ASU 2010-29 provides clarification regarding the acquisition date that should be used for reporting the pro forma financial information disclosures required by Topic 805 when comparative financial statements are presented. ASU 2010-29 also requires entities to provide a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments that are directly attributable to the business combination. ASU 2010-29 is effective for the Corporation prospectively for business combinations occurring after December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.****Consolidated Average Balance Sheets**

(Dollars in thousands - tax-equivalent basis)

The following unaudited schedule is presented for additional information and analysis

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2010			2009		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost
Assets:						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 1,973,675	\$ 4,901	0.25%	\$ 829,178	\$ 2,161	0.26%
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	20,646	74	0.36	59,236	207	0.35
Securities:						
Taxable	3,286,489	121,402	3.84	2,813,801	125,084	4.58
Tax-exempt	1,927,388	129,027	7.04	1,449,141	99,546	7.15
Total securities	5,213,877	250,429	5.02	4,262,942	224,630	5.45
Loans, net of unearned discount	8,125,150	414,795	5.11	8,652,563	437,075	5.05
Total earning assets and average rate earned	15,333,348	670,199	4.44	13,803,919	664,073	4.86
Cash and due from banks	549,256			585,825		
Allowance for possible loan losses	(126,742)			(120,160)		
Premises and equipment, net	320,030			297,958		
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	1,110,680			1,134,418		
Total assets	\$ 17,186,572			\$ 15,701,960		
Liabilities:						
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits:						
Commercial and individual	\$ 4,546,054			\$ 3,793,195		
Correspondent banks	310,599			360,238		
Public funds	167,127			105,051		
Total non-interest-bearing demand deposits	5,023,780			4,258,484		
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Private accounts:						
Savings and interest checking	2,277,982	3,066	0.13	2,024,867	3,015	0.15
Money market deposit accounts	5,066,747	17,792	0.35	4,152,225	24,709	0.60
Time accounts	1,251,088	8,184	0.65	1,609,678	26,759	1.66
Public funds	428,022	931	0.22	374,373	1,532	0.41
Total interest-bearing deposits	9,023,839	29,973	0.33	8,161,143	56,015	0.69
Total deposits	14,047,619			12,419,627		
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	472,492	437	0.09	610,945	1,052	0.17
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	130,051	6,982	5.37	136,084	7,231	5.31
Subordinated notes payable and other notes	250,000	16,318	6.53	250,000	16,318	6.53
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	2,600	170	6.54	190,077	5,741	3.02

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

Total interest-bearing liabilities and average rate paid	9,878,982	53,880	0.55	9,348,249	86,357	0.92
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	256,111			264,094		
Total liabilities	15,158,873			13,870,827		
Shareholders' equity	2,027,699			1,831,133		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 17,186,572			\$ 15,701,960		
Net interest income		\$ 616,319			\$ 577,716	
Net interest spread			3.89%			3.94%
Net interest income to total average earning assets			4.08%			4.23%

For these computations: (i) average balances are presented on a daily average basis, (ii) information is shown on a taxable-equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate, (iii) average loans include loans on non-accrual status, and (iv) average securities include unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, while yields are based on average amortized cost.

Table of Contents**Year Ended December 31,**

2008			2007			2006			2005		
Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Cost	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Cost	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Cost	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Cost
\$85,380	\$ 429	0.50%	\$ 7,454	\$ 396	5.31%	\$ 4,000	\$ 251	6.28%	\$ 5,644	\$ 150	2.66%
141,724	3,498	2.47	579,964	29,895	5.15	718,950	36,550	5.08	521,674	18,147	3.48
2,771,797	143,360	5.17	2,876,235	148,467	5.08	2,680,706	133,184	4.85	2,586,904	121,377	4.68
555,096	36,724	6.61	412,083	26,520	6.41	275,419	17,685	6.46	260,207	16,521	6.48
3,326,893	180,084	5.41	3,288,318	174,987	5.24	2,956,125	150,869	5.00	2,847,111	137,898	4.84
8,314,265	511,968	6.16	7,464,140	579,027	7.76	6,523,906	506,264	7.76	5,594,477	361,304	6.46
11,868,262	695,979	5.86	11,339,876	784,305	6.89	10,202,981	693,934	6.76	8,968,906	517,499	5.77
660,369			626,253			615,609			604,625		
(96,755)			(95,226)			(85,038)			(77,551)		
237,517			219,873			200,008			175,829		
1,015,138			950,906			647,693			471,436		
\$13,684,531			\$ 13,041,682			\$ 11,581,253			\$ 10,143,245		
\$3,246,169			\$ 3,224,741			\$ 3,005,811			\$ 2,639,071		
311,034			248,591			277,332			323,712		
57,544			50,800			51,137			45,967		
3,614,747			3,524,132			3,334,280			3,008,750		
1,694,688	3,299	0.19	1,401,437	6,555	0.47	1,283,830	4,579	0.36	1,206,055	3,009	0.25
3,492,935	51,507	1.47	3,494,704	107,486	3.08	3,022,866	92,075	3.05	2,646,975	48,158	1.82
1,359,989	43,706	3.21	1,382,707	60,264	4.36	1,122,979	42,806	3.81	894,459	20,499	2.29
368,760	6,359	1.72	409,661	15,932	3.89	420,441	15,630	3.72	376,547	7,268	1.93
6,916,372	104,871	1.52	6,688,509	190,237	2.84	5,850,116	155,090	2.65	5,124,036	78,934	1.54
10,531,119			10,212,641			9,184,396			8,132,786		
1,008,019	12,954	1.29	867,152	31,951	3.68	764,173	31,167	4.08	605,965	16,632	2.74
136,135	6,972	5.12	153,582	11,283	7.35	230,178	17,402	7.56	226,805	14,908	6.57
250,000	16,318	6.53	237,671	15,591	6.56	150,000	9,991	6.66	150,000	7,626	5.08
8,628	511	5.92	22,447	1,048	4.67	25,574	1,146	4.48	10,807	461	4.27
8,319,154	141,626	1.70	7,969,361	250,110	3.14	7,020,041	214,796	3.06	6,117,613	118,561	1.94
170,319			153,167			153,333			136,242		
12,104,220			11,646,660			10,507,654			9,262,605		
1,580,311			1,395,022			1,073,599			880,640		

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

\$13,684,531	\$ 13,041,682	\$ 11,581,253	\$ 10,143,245
\$ 554,353	\$ 534,195	\$ 479,138	\$ 398,938
4.16%	3.75%	3.70%	3.83%
4.67%	4.69%	4.67%	4.45%

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, an evaluation was carried out by the Corporation's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. No changes were made to the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the last fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. (the Corporation) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Corporation's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

As of December 31, 2010, management assessed the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Based on the assessment, management determined that the Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on those criteria.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. The report, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, is included in this Item under the heading Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Report of Ernst & Young LLP

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.

We have audited Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.'s (the Corporation) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

Table of Contents

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balances sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity, and the cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. and our report dated February 3, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Antonio, Texas

February 3, 2011

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Certain information regarding executive officers is included under the section captioned "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Part I, Item 1, elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the Corporation's fiscal year-end.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the Corporation's fiscal year-end.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Certain information regarding securities authorized for issuance under the Corporation's equity compensation plans is included under the section captioned "Stock-Based Compensation Plans" in Part II, Item 5, elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the Corporation's fiscal year-end.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the Corporation's fiscal year-end.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the Corporation's fiscal year-end.

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

- (a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:
1. *Consolidated Financial Statements*. Reference is made to Part II, Item 8, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
 2. *Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules*. These schedules are omitted as the required information is inapplicable or the information is presented in the consolidated financial statements or related notes.
 3. *Exhibits*. The exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K listed below have been included only with the copy of this report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Copies of individual exhibits will be furnished to shareholders upon written request to Cullen/Frost and payment of a reasonable fee.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed Herewith	Incorporated by Reference			
			Form	File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.		10-Q	001-13221	3.1	7/26/06
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.		10-K	001-13221	3.2	2/1/08
4.1*	Instruments Defining the Rights of Holders of Long-Term Debt					
10.1+	Restoration of Retirement Income Plan for Participants in the Retirement Plan for Employees of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. and its Affiliates (as amended and restated)		10-K	001-13221	10.1	3/31/99
10.2+	The 401(k) Stock Purchase Plan for Employees of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. and its Affiliates		S-8	333-157236	4.3	2/11/09
10.3+	1991 Thrift Incentive Stock Purchase Plan for Employees of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. and its Affiliates		S-8	33-39478	4.4	3/18/91
10.4+	Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. Restricted Stock Plan		S-8	33-53492	4.4	10/20/92
10.5+	Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan		10-K	001-13221	10.13	3/30/95
10.6+	Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. 1997 Director Stock Plan		S-8	333-102133	4.4	12/23/02
10.7+	Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. 1992 Stock Plan, as amended		S-8	333-68928	4.5-4.7	9/4/01
10.8+	Change-In-Control Agreements with 5 Executive Officers		10-K	001-13221	10.8	2/3/09
10.9+	Change-In-Control Agreements with 5 Executive Officers		10-K	001-13221	10.9	2/3/09
10.10+	Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. 2001 Stock Plan		S-8	333-68928	4.4	9/4/01
10.11+	Retirement Agreement with a former Executive Officer		10-K	001-13221	10.10	3/28/03

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed Herewith	Incorporated by Reference			Filing Date
			Form	File No.	Exhibit	
10.12+	Deferred Compensation Plan for Covered Employees		10-K	001-13221	10.11	3/28/03
10.13+	Cullen/Frost Restoration Profit Sharing Plan		10-K	001-13221	10.12	2/4/05
10.14+	2005 Omnibus Incentive Plan		S-8	333-158903	4.3	4/30/09
10.15+	2007 Outside Director Incentive Plan		S-8	333-143397	4.4	5/31/07
10.16+	Description of the Bonus Plan for the Chief Executive Officer		10-Q	001-13221	10.1	7/28/10
10.17+	Description of the Executive Management Bonus Plan		10-Q	001-13221	10.2	7/28/10
21.1	Subsidiaries of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.	X				
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	X				
24.1	Power of Attorney	X				
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer	X				
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer	X				
32.1++	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer	X				
32.2++	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer	X				
101 **	Interactive Data File	X				

* The Corporation agrees to furnish to the SEC, upon request, copies of any such instruments.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

++ This exhibit shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

** As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(b) Exhibits - See exhibit index included in Item 15(a)3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(c) Financial Statement Schedules - See Item 15(a)2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 3, 2011

CULLEN/FROST BANKERS, INC.
(Registrant)

/s/ PHILLIP D. GREEN

By: _____

Phillip D. Green

Group Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ RICHARD W. EVANS, JR.* _____ Richard W. Evans, Jr.	Chairman of the Board, Director and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 3, 2011
/s/ PHILLIP D. GREEN _____ Phillip D. Green	Group Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	February 3, 2011
/s/ R. DENNY ALEXANDER* _____ R. Denny Alexander	Director	February 3, 2011
/s/ CARLOS ALVAREZ* _____ Carlos Alvarez	Director	February 3, 2011
/s/ ROYCE S. CALDWELL* _____ Royce S. Caldwell	Director	February 3, 2011
/s/ CRAWFORD H. EDWARDS* _____ Crawford H. Edwards	Director	February 3, 2011
/s/ RUBEN M. ESCOBEDO* _____ Ruben M. Escobedo	Director	February 3, 2011

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-K

<hr/> <i>/s/ PATRICK B. FROST*</i> <hr/> Patrick B. Frost	Director and President of The Frost National Bank	February 3, 2011
<hr/> <i>/s/ DAVID J. HAEMISEGGER*</i> <hr/> David J. Haemisegger	Director	February 3, 2011
<hr/> <i>/s/ KAREN E. JENNINGS*</i> <hr/> Karen E. Jennings	Director	February 3, 2011

Table of Contents

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ RICHARD M. KLEBERG, III*	Director	February 3, 2011
Richard M. Kleberg, III		
/s/ CHARLES W. MATTHEWS*	Director	February 3, 2011
Charles W. Matthews		
/s/ IDA CLEMENT STEEN*	Director	February 3, 2011
Ida Clement Steen		
/s/ HORACE WILKINS, JR.*	Director	February 3, 2011
Horace Wilkins, Jr.		

*By: /s/ PHILLIP D. GREEN

Phillip D. Green

As attorney-in-fact for the persons indicated

Group Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial
Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)