

HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

Form 10-Q

November 04, 2010

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-13754

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

440 Lincoln Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01653

04-3263626
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(508) 855-1000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock was 45,109,681 as of November 1, 2010.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	5
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u>	6
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	7
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	8
	<u>Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	9
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	78
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	78

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	79
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	80
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	85
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	85

<u>SIGNATURES</u>		86
-------------------	--	----

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In millions except per share data)	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
	Quarter Ended		Nine months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
REVENUES				
Premiums	\$ 728.0	\$ 637.4	\$ 2,092.3	\$ 1,899.4
Net investment income	61.3	62.1	184.2	188.3
Net realized investment gains (losses):				
Net realized gains from sales and other	7.1	6.1	24.3	19.6
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities	(0.2)	(4.5)	(3.5)	(39.1)
Portion of loss transferred (from) to other comprehensive income	(1.2)	(1.6)	(4.0)	9.8
Net other than temporary impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings	(1.4)	(6.1)	(7.5)	(29.3)
Total net realized investment gains (losses)	5.7	-	16.8	(9.7)
Fees and other income	9.0	9.0	25.6	25.8
Total revenues	804.0	708.5	2,318.9	2,103.8
LOSSES AND EXPENSES				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	454.6	403.0	1,384.6	1,225.1
Policy acquisition expenses	173.4	146.8	490.8	434.7
Gain from retirement of debt	-	(0.2)	-	(34.5)
Other operating expenses	102.9	91.4	308.0	281.1
Total losses and expenses	730.9	641.0	2,183.4	1,906.4
Income before federal income taxes	73.1	67.5	135.5	197.4
Federal income tax expense (benefit):				
Current	21.2	17.8	(8.5)	42.8
Deferred	0.5	1.1	48.2	22.7
Total federal income tax expense	21.7	18.9	39.7	65.5
Income from continuing operations	51.4	48.6	95.8	131.9
Discontinued operations (See Note 3):				
Gain from discontinued FAFLIC business (net of tax benefit of \$0.3 and \$0.3 for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$0.3 and \$0.3 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009)	0.5	0.4	0.4	6.3

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Gain (loss) from operations of discontinued accident and health insurance business (net of income tax benefit (expense) of \$0.1 and (\$0.4) or the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$0.3 and (\$0.5) for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009)	0.2	0.7	(0.9)	(2.4)
Gain on disposal of variable life and annuity business	0.1	-	1.0	4.1
Other discontinued operations	0.1	-	0.1	-
Net income	\$ 52.3	\$ 49.7	\$ 96.4	\$ 139.9

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (CONTINUED)

	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
	Quarter Ended		Nine months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
PER SHARE DATA				
<u>Basic</u>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.14	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.09	\$ 2.59
Discontinued operations:				
Gain from discontinued FAFLIC business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.01 and \$0.01 for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$0.01 and \$0.01 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.12
Gain (loss) from operations of discontinued accident and health insurance business (net of income tax benefit (expense) of \$0.01 and (\$0.01) for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$0.01 and (\$0.01) for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009)	0.01	0.01	(0.02)	(0.04)
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business	-	-	0.03	0.08
Net income per share	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.98	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.75
Weighted average shares outstanding	44.9	50.7	45.7	51.0
<u>Diluted</u>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.12	\$ 0.95	\$ 2.06	\$ 2.57
Discontinued operations:				
Gain from discontinued FAFLIC business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.01 and \$0.01 for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$0.01 and \$0.01 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009)	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.12
Gain (loss) from operations of discontinued accident and health insurance business (net of income tax benefit (expense) of \$0.01 and (\$0.01) for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$0.01 and (\$0.01) for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009)	0.01	0.01	(0.02)	(0.05)
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business	-	-	0.03	0.08
Net income per share	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.97	\$ 2.08	\$ 2.72
Weighted average shares outstanding	45.7	51.2	46.4	51.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC .****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	(Unaudited)	
	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
(In millions, except per share data)		
ASSETS		
Investments:		
Fixed maturities, at fair value (amortized cost of \$4,588.8 and \$4,520.3)	\$ 4,904.6	\$ 4,615.6
Equity securities, at fair value (cost of \$112.3 and \$57.3)	125.7	69.2
Mortgage loans and other long-term investments	41.2	32.3
Total investments	5,071.5	4,717.1
Cash and cash equivalents	271.6	316.5
Accrued investment income	53.5	52.3
Premiums, accounts and notes receivable, net	807.8	590.8
Reinsurance receivable on paid and unpaid losses and ceded unearned premiums	1,230.3	1,197.9
Deferred policy acquisition costs	346.0	286.3
Deferred federal income taxes	116.2	228.6
Goodwill	179.4	171.4
Other assets	386.3	351.2
Assets of discontinued operations	134.1	130.6
Total assets	\$ 8,596.7	\$ 8,042.7
LIABILITIES		
Policy liabilities and accruals:		
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 3,232.1	\$ 3,153.9
Unearned premiums	1,553.6	1,300.5
Total policy liabilities and accruals	4,785.7	4,454.4
Expenses and taxes payable	523.7	603.2
Reinsurance premiums payable	33.2	58.5
Long-term debt	640.0	433.9
Liabilities of discontinued operations	135.3	134.1
Total liabilities	6,117.9	5,684.1
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 20.0 million shares authorized, none issued	-	-
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 300.0 million shares authorized, 60.5 million shares issued	0.6	0.6
Additional paid-in capital	1,798.6	1,808.5

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income	203.2	28.8
Retained earnings	1,201.3	1,141.1
Treasury stock at cost (15.6 and 13.0 million shares)	(724.9)	(620.4)
Total shareholders' equity	2,478.8	2,358.6
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 8,596.7	\$ 8,042.7

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

(In millions)	(Unaudited)	
	2010	2009
Nine months Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009
PREFERRED STOCK		
Balance at beginning and end of period	\$ -	\$ -
COMMON STOCK		
Balance at beginning and end of period	0.6	0.6
ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL		
Balance at beginning of period	1,808.5	1,803.8
Employee and director stock-based awards and other	(9.9)	4.0
Balance at end of period	1,798.6	1,807.8
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)		
NET UNREALIZED APPRECIATION (DEPRECIATION) ON INVESTMENTS:		
Balance at beginning of period	107.7	(276.1)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-	(33.3)
Balance at beginning of period, as adjusted	107.7	(309.4)
Net appreciation during the period:		
Net appreciation on available-for-sale securities	227.1	428.8
(Provision) benefit for deferred federal income taxes	(57.4)	(30.2)
	169.7	398.6
Balance at end of period	277.4	89.2
DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT PLANS:		
Balance at beginning of period	(78.9)	(108.7)
Amounts arising in the period	-	(1.6)
Amortization during the period:		
Amount recognized as net periodic benefit cost	7.3	14.9
Provision for deferred federal income taxes	(2.6)	(4.6)
	4.7	8.7
Balance at end of period	(74.2)	(100.0)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	203.2	(10.8)

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

RETAINED EARNINGS		
Balance at beginning of period	1,141.1	949.8
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-	33.3
Balance at beginning of period, as adjusted	1,141.1	983.1
Net income	96.4	139.9
Dividends to shareholders	(35.9)	-
Treasury stock issued for less than cost	(7.9)	(4.1)
Recognition of share-based compensation	7.6	2.5
Balance at end of period	1,201.3	1,121.4
TREASURY STOCK		
Balance at beginning of period	(620.4)	(482.2)
Shares purchased at cost	(126.0)	(36.2)
Net shares reissued at cost under employee stock-based compensation plans	21.5	6.5
Balance at end of period	(724.9)	(511.9)
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 2,478.8	\$ 2,407.1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(In millions)				
Net income	\$ 52.3	49.7	\$ 96.4	\$ 139.9
Other comprehensive income:				
Available-for-sale securities:				
Net appreciation during the period	101.4	189.4	227.1	428.8
Provision for deferred federal income taxes	(25.9)	(30.4)	(57.4)	(30.2)
Total available-for-sale securities	75.5	159.0	169.7	398.6
Pension and postretirement benefits:				
Amounts arising in the period	-	-	-	(1.6)
Amortization recognized as net periodic benefit costs:				
Net actuarial loss	4.3	6.8	12.9	20.4
Prior service cost	(1.5)	(1.5)	(4.4)	(4.3)
Transition asset	(0.4)	(0.4)	(1.2)	(1.2)
Total amortization recognized as net periodic benefit costs	2.4	4.9	7.3	14.9
Provision for deferred federal income taxes	(0.9)	(1.7)	(2.6)	(4.6)
Total pension and postretirement benefits	1.5	3.2	4.7	8.7
Other comprehensive income	77.0	162.2	174.4	407.3
Comprehensive income	\$ 129.3	\$ 211.9	\$ 270.8	\$ 547.2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)	(Unaudited)	
	Nine months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 96.4	\$ 139.9
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business	(1.0)	(4.1)
Gain from sale of FAFLIC	(0.4)	(6.3)
Gain from retirement of debt	-	(34.5)
Net realized investment (gains) losses	(16.4)	13.0
Net amortization and depreciation	12.0	9.0
Stock-based compensation expense	8.6	8.9
Amortization of deferred benefit plan costs	7.4	14.9
Deferred federal income taxes	48.1	22.7
Change in deferred acquisition costs	(58.5)	(20.4)
Change in premiums and notes receivable, net of reinsurance premiums payable	(241.9)	(35.1)
Change in accrued investment income	(0.9)	(1.2)
Change in policy liabilities and accruals, net	276.2	(36.5)
Change in reinsurance receivable	(14.7)	27.2
Change in expenses and taxes payable	(104.6)	(80.8)
Other, net	(25.3)	10.2
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(15.0)	26.9
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from disposals and maturities of available-for-sale fixed maturities	998.6	1,749.2
Proceeds from disposals of equity securities and other investments	36.1	1.4
Proceeds from mortgages sold, matured or collected	8.8	10.7
Proceeds from the sale of FAFLIC	-	105.8
Cash transferred with sale of FAFLIC	-	(108.1)
Purchase of available-for-sale fixed maturities	(981.7)	(2,078.4)
Purchase of other investments	(95.3)	(31.0)
Net cash used for business acquisitions	(13.3)	-
Capital expenditures	(6.6)	(6.6)
Other investing items	-	1.5
Net cash used in investing activities	(53.4)	(355.5)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Exercise of options	9.3	1.6
Proceeds from long term debt	205.6	125.0
Change in collateral related to securities lending program	(23.4)	75.8
Dividends paid to shareholders	(35.9)	-
Repurchase of long-term debt	(0.4)	(125.9)
Repurchases of common stock	(130.6)	(36.1)

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Other financing activities	0.1	0.1
Net cash provided by financing activities	24.7	40.5
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(43.7)	(288.1)
Net change in cash related to discontinued operations	(1.2)	123.6
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	316.5	397.7
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 271.6	\$ 233.2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. (THG or the Company) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the requirements of Form 10-Q.

The interim consolidated financial statements of THG include the accounts of The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance), and Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), THG s principal property and casualty companies; and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. These legal entities conduct their operations through several business segments discussed in Note 11. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. On January 2, 2009, the Company sold First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC) to Commonwealth Annuity and Life Insurance Company (Commonwealth Annuity), a subsidiary of the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Goldman Sachs). Results related to the sale of FAFLIC are reported as discontinued operations. Accounts associated with the accident and health insurance business that was retained by the Company have been classified as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the consolidated Balance Sheets (See Note 3 Discontinued Operations).

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of the Company s management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Implemented Standards

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 105, *Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (ASC 105) reorganized by topic existing accounting and reporting guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) into a single source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) to be applied by nongovernmental entities. All guidance contained in the ASC carries an equal level of authority. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. Accordingly, all other accounting literature will be deemed non-authoritative . ASC 105 is effective on a prospective basis for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company has implemented the guidance included in ASC 105 as of July 1, 2009. The implementation of this guidance changed the Company s references to GAAP authoritative guidance but did not impact the Company s financial position or results of operations.

As of April 1, 2009, the Company adopted the guidance included in ASC 320, *Investments - Debt and Equity Securities* (ASC 320), which modifies the assessment of other-than-temporary impairments (OTTI) for fixed maturity securities, as well as the method of recording and reporting OTTI. Under the new guidance, if a company intends to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the amortized cost of the security is reduced to its fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings. If a company does not intend to sell the fixed maturity security, or more likely than not will not be required to sell it, the company is required to separate the other-than-temporary impairment into the portion which represents the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the estimated loss attributable to credit is recognized in earnings and the amount related to non-credit factors is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. A cumulative effect adjustment was recognized by the Company upon adoption of this guidance to reclassify the non-credit component of previously recognized impairments from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company increased the amortized cost basis of these fixed maturity securities and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$33.3 million as an increase to retained earnings and reduction to accumulated other comprehensive income. (See further disclosure in Note 8 Investments).

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

ASC 805, *Business Combinations* (ASC 805) contains guidance which was intended to provide additional clarification of application issues regarding initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. ASC 805 was effective for business combinations initiated on or after

Table of Contents

the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company implemented this guidance effective January 1, 2009. Implementing this guidance did not have an effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations; however, it will likely have an impact on the Company's accounting for future business combinations, but the effect is dependent upon acquisitions, if any, that are made in the future.

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASC Update 2009-17, *Consolidation (Topic 810) – Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities* (ASC Update 2009-17) which codified Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*. This guidance amends FASB Interpretation No. 46R, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51* to require an analysis to determine whether a company has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity as the enterprise that has a) the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. The statement requires an ongoing assessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity when the holders of the entity, as a group, lose power, through voting or similar rights, to direct the actions that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance. This statement also enhances disclosures about a company's involvement in variable interest entities. ASC Update 2009-17 is effective as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009. The Company implemented this guidance as of January 1, 2010. The effect of implementing this guidance was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820) includes guidance that was issued by the FASB which is to be considered when determining whether or not a transaction is orderly and clarifies the valuation of securities in markets that are not active. This guidance includes information related to a company's use of judgment, in addition to market information, in certain circumstances to value assets which have inactive markets. This fair value guidance in ASC 820 was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009.

In August 2009, the FASB issued ASC Update No. 2009-05, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value*. This update amends ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* and provides further guidance on measuring the fair value of a liability. The guidance establishes the types of valuation techniques to be used to value a liability when a quoted market price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, such as the use of an identical or similar liability when traded as an asset. The guidance also further clarifies that a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability at the measurement date and the quoted price for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market when no adjustments to the quoted price of the asset are required are both Level 1 fair value measurements. If adjustments are required to be applied to the quoted price, it results in a level 2 or 3 fair value measurement. The guidance provided in the update is effective for the first reporting period (including interim periods) beginning after issuance.

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASC Update No. 2009-12, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)* (ASC Update No. 2009-12). This update sets forth guidance on using the net asset value per share provided by an investee to estimate the fair value of an alternative investment. Specifically, the update permits a reporting entity to measure the fair value of this type of investment on the basis of the net asset value per share of the investment (or its equivalent) if all or substantially all of the underlying investments used in the calculation of the net asset value is consistent with ASC 820. The update also requires additional disclosures by each major category of investment, including, but not limited to, fair value of underlying investments in the major category, significant investment strategies, redemption restrictions, and unfunded commitments related to investments in the major category. The amendments in this update are effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2009.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASC Update 2010-06 (Topic 820) *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* (ASC Update 2010-06). This update amends ASC 820 and requires new and clarified disclosures for fair value measurements. The guidance requires that transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 be disclosed separately, including a description of the reasons for such transfers. Additionally, the reconciliation of fair value measurements of Level 3 assets should separately disclose information about purchases, sales, issuance and settlements in a gross, rather than net disclosure presentation. The guidance further clarifies that fair value disclosures should be separately presented for each class of assets and liabilities and disclosures should be provided for valuation techniques and inputs for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements related to Level 2 and Level 3 categories. The disclosure guidance provided in the update is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. The Company implemented this guidance effective at January 1, 2010. Implementing this guidance did not have an effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

The Company applied the provisions of ASC 820 to its financial assets and liabilities upon adoption at January 1, 2008 and adopted the remaining provisions relating to certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities on January 1, 2009. The difference between the carrying amounts and fair values of those financial instruments held upon initial adoption, on January 1, 2008, was recognized as a cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings and was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company implemented the guidance related to orderly transactions under current market conditions as of April 1, 2009, which also was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations. Furthermore, the implementation as of October 1, 2009 of ASC Update No. 2009-05 and ASC Update No. 2009-12 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. (See further disclosure in Note 9 Fair Value).

ASC 855, *Subsequent Events* (ASC 855), and as modified by ASC update 2010-9, *Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*, includes guidance that was issued by the FASB in May 2009, and is consistent with current auditing standards in defining a subsequent event. Additionally, the guidance provides for disclosure regarding the existence of a company's evaluation of its subsequent events. ASC 855 defines two types of subsequent events, recognized and non-recognized. Recognized subsequent events provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet and are required to be reflected in the financial statements. Non-recognized subsequent events provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after that date and, therefore, are not required to be reflected in the financial statements. However, certain non-recognized subsequent events may require disclosure to prevent the financial statements from being misleading. This guidance was effective prospectively for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company implemented the guidance included in ASC 855 as of April 1, 2009 and the updated guidance as of December 31, 2009. The effect of implementing the guidance was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASC Update 2009-16 *Transfers and Servicing* (Topic 860) - *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* (ASC Update 2009-16) which codified Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. This guidance revises FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Extinguishment of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement 125* and requires additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and any continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. It also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and enhances disclosure requirements. ASC Update 2009-16 is effective prospectively, for annual periods beginning after November 15, 2009, and interim and annual periods thereafter. The Company has implemented this guidance as of January 1, 2010. The effect of implementing this guidance was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Recently Issued Standards

In October 2010, the FASB issued ASC Update 2010-26 (Topic 944) *Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts* (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). This update provides clarity in defining which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify for deferral, commonly known as deferred acquisition costs. Additionally, this update specifies that only costs associated with the successful acquisition of a policy or contract may be deferred, whereas current industry practice often includes costs relating to unsuccessful contract acquisitions. This ASC Update is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this guidance to its financial position and results of operations.

3. Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations primarily consist of: (i) FAFLIC's discontinued operations, including both the loss associated with the sale of FAFLIC on January 2, 2009 and the loss or income resulting from its prior business operations; (ii) losses or gains associated with the sale of the variable life insurance and annuity business in 2005; and (iii) the discontinued accident and health insurance business.

The following table summarizes the results for this discontinued business for the periods indicated:

(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
Quarter Ended	Nine months Ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(In millions)	September 30,			
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Gain from operations of discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.4	\$ 6.3
Gain on disposal of variable life and annuity business, net of taxes	\$ 0.1	\$ -	\$ 1.0	\$ 4.1
Gain (loss) from discontinued accident and health insurance business, net of taxes	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.7	\$ (0.9)	\$ (2.4)
Other discontinued operations, net of taxes	\$ 0.1	\$ -	\$ 0.1	\$ -

Table of Contents***FAFLIC Discontinued Operations***

On January 2, 2009, THG sold its remaining life insurance subsidiary, FAFLIC, to Commonwealth Annuity, a subsidiary of Goldman Sachs. Approval was obtained from the Massachusetts Division of Insurance for a pre-close dividend from FAFLIC consisting of designated assets with a statutory book value of approximately \$130 million. Total proceeds from the sale, including the dividend, were approximately \$230 million, net of transaction costs. Additionally, coincident with the sale transaction, Hanover Insurance and FAFLIC entered into a reinsurance contract whereby Hanover Insurance assumed FAFLIC's discontinued accident and health insurance business. THG has also indemnified Commonwealth Annuity for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities related to the pre-closing activities of the business transferred. The Company recognized, in 2008, a \$77.3 million loss associated with this transaction.

The gain from FAFLIC's discontinued operations was \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million, net of tax, for the quarter and \$0.4 million and \$6.3 million, net of tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and resulted primarily from a change in the Company's estimate of indemnification liabilities related to the sale.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company's total gross indemnification liability provided in connection with the sale of FAFLIC was \$1.2 million. The Company regularly reviews and updates this liability for legal and regulatory matter indemnities. Although the Company believes its current estimate for this liability is appropriate, there can be no assurance that these estimates will not materially increase in the future. Adjustments to this reserve are recorded in the results of the Company in the period in which they are determined.

Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business

On December 30, 2005, the Company sold its run-off variable life insurance and annuity business to Goldman Sachs, including the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC. The Company agreed to indemnify Goldman Sachs for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities relating to the pre-closing activities of the business that was sold. In the third quarter of 2010, the Company recorded gains of \$0.1 million, net of tax, and in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively, the Company recorded gains of \$1.0 million and \$4.1 million, net of tax, primarily due to a change in the Company's estimate of indemnification liabilities.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company's total gross liability for guarantees and indemnifications provided in connection with the disposal of its variable life insurance and annuity business was \$4.1 million. The Company regularly reviews and updates this liability for legal and regulatory matter indemnities. Although the Company believes its current estimate for this liability is appropriate, there can be no assurance that these estimates will not materially increase in the future. Adjustments to this reserve are recorded in the results of the Company in the period in which they are determined.

Accident and Health Insurance Business

During 1999, the Company exited its accident and health insurance business, consisting of its Employee Benefit Services business, its Affinity Group Underwriters business and its accident and health assumed reinsurance pool business. Prior to 1999, these businesses comprised substantially all of the former Corporate Risk Management Services segment. Accordingly, the operating results of the discontinued segment have been reported in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, *Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions* (APB Opinion No. 30). On January 2, 2009, Hanover Insurance directly assumed a portion of the accident and health insurance business; and therefore continues to apply APB Opinion No. 30 to this business. In addition, the remainder of the FAFLIC accident and health business was reinsured by Hanover Insurance and has been reported in accordance with ASC 205.

During the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recognized gains of \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million, net of tax, respectively, from its discontinued accident and health insurance business. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recognized losses of \$0.9 million and \$2.4 million, net of tax, related to this business. Losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were driven by increased reserves resulting from the Company's current interpretation of the provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as well as realized investment losses due to impairments. These were partially offset by net investment income. Losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily reflect realized investment losses due to impairments.

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the portion of the discontinued accident and health insurance business that was directly assumed had assets of \$58.9 million and \$54.0 million, respectively, consisting primarily of invested assets, and liabilities of approximately \$53.3 million and \$48.7 million, respectively, consisting primarily of policy liabilities. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the assets and liabilities of this business, as well as those of the reinsured portion of the accident and health insurance business are classified as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

4. Other Significant Transactions

On September 23, 2010, June 7, 2010 and March 22, 2010, the Company paid dividends of 25 cents per share to its issued and outstanding common stock shareholders of record at the close of business on September 10, 2010, May 24, 2010 and March 8, 2010, respectively. The total dividends paid in the quarters ended September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and March 31, 2010 were \$11.6 million, \$12.0 million and \$12.3 million, respectively. On an annualized basis, these dividend payments represent a 33% increase over the annual dividend of 75 cents, paid in December 2009. The holding company receives dividends from its insurance subsidiaries; such dividends are restricted through state regulation. Both Citizens and Hanover Insurance paid dividends to their parent companies in 2009. Citizens could not pay a dividend to Hanover Insurance without the prior approval of the state regulators until June 2010. Beginning in June 2010, Citizens was able to pay up to \$70.3 million without the prior approval of state regulators. In September 2010, Citizens declared a \$70 million dividend to Hanover Insurance. Hanover Insurance cannot pay a dividend to the holding company without the prior approval of the state regulators until December 2010. In December 2010, the maximum dividend that Hanover Insurance can pay without the prior approval of state regulators will be \$173.7 million.

On June 14, 2010, the Company purchased approximately 11 acres of developable land in Worcester, Massachusetts for \$5 million. A portion of the land will be developed with the construction of a new 200,000 square foot office building and the redevelopment of an adjacent parking garage. In addition, the Company signed a 17 year lease agreement with a tenant for the new building and garage. The tenant is an unaffiliated public company with an investment grade credit rating. Through September 30, 2010, the Company capitalized \$6.8 million in related lease acquisition, legal, architectural and associated costs. Development costs are estimated between \$65 million and \$70 million and the project will be financed, in part, through the issuance of collateralized debt through the Company's membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (FHLBB). In July 2010, Hanover Insurance committed to borrow \$46.3 million from the FHLBB to finance the project. These borrowings will be drawn in several increments from July 2010 to January 2012. On July 19, 2010, Hanover Insurance received an advance of \$7.6 million from this commitment. Amounts drawn from the \$46.3 million mature on July 20, 2020 and carry fixed interest rates with a weighted average of 3.88%. (See also below for further information related to participation in the FHLBB's collateralized borrowing program).

On March 31, 2010, the Company acquired Campania for a cash purchase price of approximately \$24 million, subject to various terms and conditions. Campania specializes in insurance solutions for portions of the healthcare industry.

Through October 2010, the Company's Board of Directors has authorized aggregate repurchases of the Company's common stock of up to \$500 million, including a recent \$100 million increase in the program. Under the repurchase authorizations, the Company may repurchase its common stock from time to time, in amounts and prices and at such times as deemed appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. The Company's repurchases may be executed using open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated repurchase programs or other transactions. The Company is not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. On March 30, 2010 and December 8, 2009, the Company entered into accelerated share repurchase agreements with Barclays Bank PLC, acting through its agent Barclays Capital, Inc., for the immediate repurchase of 2.3 million and 2.4 million shares, respectively, of the Company's common stock at a cost of approximately \$100.9 million and \$100.6 million, respectively (in each case, subject to adjustment). The Company settled its accelerated stock repurchase program initiated in December 2009 on June 23, 2010 for an additional payment of \$4.6 million provided to Barclays Bank PLC. Total repurchases under these programs as of September 30, 2010 were 7.9 million shares at a cost of \$338.8 million.

On February 23, 2010, the Company issued \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.50% senior unsecured notes due March 1, 2020. The senior debentures are subject to certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on the issuance or disposition of capital stock of restricted subsidiaries and limitations on liens. These debentures pay interest semi-annually on March 1 and September 1.

On December 3, 2009, the Company entered into a renewal rights agreement with OneBeacon Insurance Group, LTD. (OneBeacon). Through this agreement, the Company acquired access to a portion of OneBeacon's small and middle market commercial business at renewal, including industry programs and middle market niches. This transaction included consideration of approximately \$23 million, plus certain potential additional consideration, primarily representing purchased renewal rights intangible assets which are included as Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The agreement was effective for renewals beginning January 1, 2010.

Table of Contents

On September 25, 2009, Hanover Insurance received an advance of \$125 million through its membership in the FHLBB as part of a collateralized borrowing program. This advance bears interest at a fixed rate of 5.50% per annum over a twenty-year term. The proceeds from the borrowing were used by Hanover Insurance to acquire AIX and its subsidiaries from the holding company. As noted above, in July 2010 an additional \$7.6 million advance was received from the FHLBB. As collateral to the FHLBB for all advances received as of September 30, 2010, Hanover Insurance has pledged government agency securities with a fair value of \$153.7 million. Collateral pledged to the FHLBB totaled \$142.0 million as of December 31, 2009. The fair value of the collateral pledged must be maintained at certain specified levels of the borrowed amount, which can vary depending on the type of assets pledged. If the fair value of this collateral declines below these specified levels, Hanover Insurance would be required to pledge additional collateral or repay outstanding borrowings. Hanover Insurance is permitted to voluntarily repay the outstanding borrowings at any time, subject to a repayment fee. As a requirement of membership in the FHLBB, Hanover Insurance acquired \$2.5 million of FHLBB stock, and as a condition to participating in the FHLBB's collateralized borrowing program, it was required to purchase additional shares of FHLBB stock in an amount equal to 4.5% of its outstanding borrowings. Such purchases totaled \$6.0 million through September 30, 2010.

The Company liquidated AFC Capital Trust I (the Trust) on July 30, 2009. Each holder of 8.207% Series B Capital Securities (Capital Securities) as of that date received a principal amount of the Company's Series B 8.207% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures (Junior Debentures) due February 3, 2027 equal to the liquidation amount of the Capital Securities held by such holder. The liquidation of the Trust did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

On June 29, 2009, prior to liquidating the Trust, the Company completed a cash tender offer to repurchase a portion of its Capital Securities that were issued by the Trust and a portion of its 7.625% Senior Debentures (Senior Debentures) due in 2025 that were issued by THG. As of that date, \$69.3 million of Capital Securities were tendered at a price equal to \$800 per \$1,000 of face value. In addition, the Company accepted for tender a principal amount of \$77.3 million of Senior Debentures. Depending on the time of tender, holders of the Senior Debentures accepted for purchase received a price of either \$870 or \$900 per \$1,000 of face value. Separately, the Company held \$65.0 million of Capital Securities previously repurchased at a discount in the open market prior to the tender offer, and \$1.1 million of Senior Debentures. The Company recognized a pre-tax gain of \$34.5 million in 2009 as a result of such purchases. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company repurchased \$0.4 million of Junior Debentures at a slight gain. As of September 30, 2010, a net principal amount of \$165.3 million of their Junior Debentures and \$121.4 million of their Senior Debentures, which are not held by us, remained outstanding.

5. Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	(Unaudited)	
(In millions)	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Senior debentures (unsecured) maturing March 1, 2020	\$ 199.3	\$ -
Senior debentures (unsecured) maturing October 16, 2025	121.4	121.4
Holding Company junior subordinated debentures	165.3	165.7
FHLBB borrowing	132.6	125.0
Capital securities	17.4	17.8
Surplus notes	4.0	4.0
	\$ 640.0	\$ 433.9

On February 23, 2010, the Company issued \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 7.50% senior unsecured notes due March 1, 2020. The senior debentures are subject to certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on the issuance or disposition of capital stock of restricted subsidiaries and limitations on liens. These debentures pay interest semi-annually (See also Note 4 Other Significant Transactions). The Company is in compliance with the covenants associated with this indenture.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

The Company also holds senior unsecured notes issued with a face value of \$200.0 million on October 16, 1995. In 2009, the Company repurchased a portion of these senior debentures with a face value of \$78.4 million. The remaining senior debentures have a \$121.4 million face value, pay interest semi-annually at a rate of 7.625% and mature on October 16, 2025. The senior debentures are subject to certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on the issuance or disposition of stock of restricted subsidiaries and limitations on

Table of Contents

liens (See also Note 4 – Other Significant Transactions). The Company is in compliance with the covenants associated with this indenture.

AFC Capital Trust I issued \$300.0 million of preferred securities in 1997, the proceeds of which were used to purchase junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company. The Company liquidated the Trust on July 30, 2009. Each holder of Capital Securities as of that date received a principal amount of the Company's Series B Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures equal to the liquidation amount of the Capital Securities held by such holder. In 2010 and 2009, the Company repurchased a portion of these debentures with a face value of \$0.4 million and \$134.3 million, respectively. These junior subordinated debentures have a face value of \$165.3 million and \$165.7 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and consistent with the capital securities, pay cumulative dividends semi-annually at 8.207% and mature February 3, 2027 (See also Note 4 – Other Significant Transactions). These securities are subject to certain restrictive covenants, with which the Company is in compliance. In addition, the Company holds \$3.0 million of capital securities related to Professionals Direct, Inc., and \$14.4 million of capital securities related to AIX Holdings, Inc. as of September 30, 2010.

In September 2009, Hanover Insurance received an advance of \$125.0 million through its membership in the FHLBB as part of a collateralized borrowing program (FHLBB 2009). This advance bears interest at a fixed rate of 5.50% per annum over a twenty-year term. In July 2010, the Company committed to borrow an additional \$46.3 million from FHLBB to finance the development of City Square. These borrowings will be drawn in several increments from July 2010 to January 2012. All amounts mature on July 20, 2020 and carry fixed interest rates with a weighted average of 3.88%. Through September 30, 2010, the Company has borrowed \$7.6 million under this arrangement (FHLBB 2010). All interest associated with this additional \$46.3 million will be capitalized through the construction phase of the City Square project. As collateral to FHLBB, Hanover Insurance has pledged government agency securities with a fair value of \$153.7 million and \$142.0 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively (See also Note 4 – Other Significant Transactions). The Company is in compliance with the covenants associated with these borrowings.

In June 2007, the Company entered into a \$150.0 million committed syndicated credit agreement which expired in June 2010. There were no borrowings under this agreement. The agreement provided covenants, including, but not limited to, maintaining a certain level of equity and an RBC ratio in the Company's primary property and casualty companies of at least 175% (based on the Industry Scale). The Company was in compliance with the covenants of this agreement for the duration of the contract. The Company did not renew or replace this syndicated credit agreement upon expiration. Additionally, the Company had no commercial paper borrowings as of September 30, 2010 and the Company does not anticipate utilizing commercial paper in the near term.

Interest expense was \$32.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$27.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and included interest related to the Company's senior debentures, junior subordinated debentures, FHLBB borrowings, capital securities and surplus notes. All interest expense is recorded in other operating expenses.

6. Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 has been computed using estimated effective tax rates. These rates are revised, if necessary, at the end of each successive interim period to reflect current estimates of the annual effective tax rates.

The Company or its subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2005. In September 2009, the Company received a Revenue Agents Report for the 2005 and 2006 IRS audit. The Company has agreed to all proposed adjustments other than a disallowance of Separate Account Dividends Received Deductions for which the Company has requested an Appeals conference. Due to available net operating loss carryovers and the 2005 sale of Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company, the effects of the proposed adjustments do not materially affect the Company's financial position. The IRS audits of the years 2007 and 2008 commenced in April 2010. The Company and its subsidiaries are still subject to U.S. state income tax examinations by tax authorities for years after 1998.

7. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

The Company's defined benefit pension plans, which provided retirement benefits based on a cash balance formula, were frozen as of January 1, 2005; therefore, no further cash balance allocations have been credited for plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2005. In addition, certain transition group employees were eligible for a grandfathered benefit based upon service and compensation; such benefits were also frozen at January 1, 2005 levels with an annual transition pension adjustment. The Company has additional unfunded pension plans and postretirement plans to provide benefits to certain full-time employees, former FAFLIC agents, retirees and their dependents.

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic benefit cost for pension and other postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

(Unaudited)					
Quarter Ended September 30,					
(In millions)	2010		2009		
	Pension Benefits		Postretirement Benefits		
Service cost – benefits earned during the period	\$	0.1	\$	0.1	\$ -
Interest cost	\$	8.2	\$	8.4	\$ 0.7
Expected return on plan assets	\$	(8.8)	\$	(6.4)	\$ -
Recognized net actuarial loss	\$	4.2	\$	6.7	\$ 0.1
Amortization of transition asset	\$	(0.4)	\$	(0.4)	\$ -
Amortization of prior service cost	\$	-	\$	-	\$ (1.5)
Net periodic cost (benefit)	\$	3.3	\$	8.4	\$ (0.7)

(Unaudited)					
Nine months Ended September 30,					
	2010		2009		
	Pension Benefits		Postretirement Benefits		
Service cost – benefits earned during the period	\$	0.1	\$	0.1	\$ 0.1
Interest cost	\$	24.5	\$	25.4	\$ 2.0
Expected return on plan assets	\$	(26.3)	\$	(19.1)	\$ -
Recognized net actuarial loss	\$	12.6	\$	20.2	\$ 0.3
Amortization of transition asset	\$	(1.2)	\$	(1.2)	\$ -
Amortization of prior service cost	\$	-	\$	-	\$ (4.4)
Net periodic cost (benefit)	\$	9.7	\$	25.4	\$ (2.0)

On January 4, 2010, the Company made a discretionary contribution of \$100.0 million to the qualified defined benefit pension plan. With this contribution, and based upon the current estimate of liabilities and certain assumptions regarding investment return and other factors, the Company's qualified defined benefit pension plan is essentially fully funded.

Table of Contents

8. Investments

A. Fixed maturities and equity securities

The Company holds investments in fixed maturities and equity securities, which are classified as available for sale, in accordance with ASC 320, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities*.

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale fixed maturities and equity securities were as follows:

(In millions)	(Unaudited)				Fair Value
	September 30, 2010				
	Amortized Cost (1)	Gross Unrealized Gains	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>		Fair Value
			Unrealized Losses	OTTI Unrealized Losses (2)	
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 232.4	\$ 8.3	\$ 0.5	\$ -	\$ 240.2
States and political subdivisions	931.9	48.1	7.6	-	972.4
Foreign governments	3.0	-	-	-	3.0
Corporate fixed maturities	2,404.8	239.8	3.2	25.5	2,615.9
Residential mortgage-backed securities	787.0	48.5	0.7	8.4	826.4
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	346.2	21.3	1.2	-	366.3
Total fixed maturities, including assets of discontinued operations	4,705.3	366.0	13.2	33.9	5,024.2
Less: fixed maturities of discontinued operations	(116.5)	(9.1)	(0.2)	(5.8)	(119.6)
Total fixed maturities, excluding discontinued operations	\$ 4,588.8	\$ 356.9	\$ 13.0	\$ 28.1	\$ 4,904.6
Equity securities, excluding discontinued operations	\$ 112.3	\$ 13.7	\$ 0.3	\$ -	\$ 125.7

(In millions)

	December 31, 2009				Fair Value
	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>				
	Amortized Cost (1)	Gross Unrealized Gains	<u>OTTI Unrealized Losses (2)</u>		Fair Value
			Unrealized Losses	OTTI Unrealized Losses (2)	
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 355.2	\$ 3.2	\$ 3.7	\$ -	\$ 354.7
States and political subdivisions	844.7	13.1	25.6	-	832.2
Foreign governments	3.0	-	-	-	3.0
Corporate fixed maturities	2,243.7	131.4	14.3	29.9	2,330.9
Residential mortgage-backed securities	858.8	29.7	3.4	10.7	874.4
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	334.5	10.1	7.4	-	337.2
Total fixed maturities, including assets of discontinued operations	4,639.9	187.5	54.4	40.6	4,732.4

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Less: fixed maturities of discontinued operations	(119.6)	(6.0)	(2.0)	(6.8)	(116.8)
Total fixed maturities, excluding discontinued operations	\$ 4,520.3	\$ 181.5	\$ 52.4	\$ 33.8	\$4,615.6
Equity securities, excluding discontinued operations	\$ 57.3	\$ 12.2	\$ 0.3	\$ -	\$ 69.2

- (1) Amortized cost for fixed maturities and cost for equity securities.

- (2) Represents other-than-temporary impairments recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. Amount excludes net unrealized gains on impaired securities relating to changes in the value of such securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date of \$39.2 million and \$30.1 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and fair value by maturity periods for fixed maturities are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties, or the Company may have the right to put or sell the obligations back to the issuers. Mortgage-backed securities are included in the category representing their stated maturity.

(Unaudited)		
September 30, 2010		
(In millions)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$182.9	\$ 185.9
Due after one year through five years	1,218.8	1,309.3
Due after five years through ten years	1,570.5	1,716.2
Due after ten years	1,733.1	1,812.8
Total fixed maturities, including assets of discontinued operations	4,705.3	5,024.2
Less: fixed maturities of discontinued operations	(116.5)	(119.6)
Total fixed maturities, excluding assets of discontinued operations	\$ 4,588.8	\$ 4,904.6

B. Securities in an unrealized loss position

The following tables provide information about the Company's fixed maturities and equity securities that are in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

(Unaudited)						
September 30, 2010						
(In millions)	12 months or less		Greater than 12 months		Total	
	Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI (1)	Fair Value
Fixed maturities:						
Investment grade:						
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ -	\$ 11.1	\$ 0.5	\$ -	\$ 0.5	\$ 11.1
States and political subdivisions	2.1	29.7	5.5	94.3	7.6	124.0
Corporate fixed maturities	0.3	13.7	12.3	96.9	12.6	110.6
Residential mortgage-backed securities	0.1	35.8	9.0	33.0	9.1	68.8
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	-	5.8	1.2	8.1	1.2	13.9

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Total investment grade	2.5	96.1	28.5	232.3	31.0	328.4
Below investment grade (2):						
Corporate fixed maturities	0.7	20.4	15.4	124.9	16.1	145.3
Total fixed maturities	3.2	116.5	43.9	357.2	47.1	473.7
Equity securities:						
Common equity securities	0.3	11.4	-	-	0.3	11.4
Total (3)	\$ 3.5	\$ 127.9	\$ 43.9	\$ 357.2	\$ 47.4	\$ 485.1

(1) Includes \$33.9 million unrealized loss related to other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive income, of which \$15.1 million are below investment grade aged greater than 12 months.

(2) Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's at September 30, 2010.

(3) Includes discontinued accident and health insurance business of \$6.0 million in total gross unrealized losses with \$40.5 million in fair value at September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

(In millions)	December 31, 2009					
	12 months or less		Greater than 12 months		Total	
	Gross		Gross		Gross	
	Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized	
	Losses and		Losses and		Losses and	
	OTTI	Fair Value	OTTI	Fair Value	OTTI (1)	Fair Value
Fixed maturities:						
Investment grade:						
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 3.7	\$ 170.8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.7	\$ 170.8
States and political subdivisions	9.0	275.2	15.6	176.5	24.6	451.7
Corporate fixed maturities	3.4	115.8	13.3	152.7	16.7	268.5
Residential mortgage-backed securities	6.6	89.1	7.5	62.6	14.1	151.7
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	0.4	13.5	7.0	30.0	7.4	43.5
Total investment grade	23.1	664.4	43.4	421.8	66.5	1,086.2
Below investment grade (2):						
States and political subdivisions	0.2	8.7	0.8	8.2	1.0	16.9
Corporate fixed maturities	10.6	84.1	16.9	150.1	27.5	234.2
Total below investment grade	10.8	92.8	17.7	158.3	28.5	251.1
Total fixed maturities	33.9	757.2	61.1	580.1	95.0	1,337.3
Equity securities:						
Common equity securities	-	-	0.3	1.4	0.3	1.4
Total (3)	\$ 33.9	\$ 757.2	\$ 61.4	\$ 581.5	\$ 95.3	\$ 1,338.7

(1) Includes \$40.6 million unrealized loss related to other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive income, of which \$14.8 million are below investment grade aged greater than 12 months.

(2) Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's at December 31, 2009.

(3) Includes discontinued accident and health insurance business of \$8.8 million in total gross unrealized losses with \$55.0 million in fair value at December 31, 2009.

The Company employs a systematic methodology to evaluate declines in fair value below amortized cost for all investments. The methodology utilizes a quantitative and qualitative process ensuring that available evidence concerning the declines in fair value below amortized cost is evaluated in a disciplined manner. In determining whether a decline in fair value below amortized cost is other-than-temporary, the Company evaluates several factors and circumstances, including the issuer's overall financial condition; the issuer's credit and financial strength ratings; the issuer's financial performance, including earnings trends, dividend payments and asset quality; any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer; a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates; the length of time and the degree to which the fair value of an issuer's securities remains below the Company's cost; with respect to fixed maturity investments, any factors that might raise doubt about the issuer's ability to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms and whether the Company expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security; and with respect to equity securities, the Company's ability and

intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery in value. The Company applies these factors to all securities.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide information on the Company's gross unrealized losses of fixed maturity securities by credit ratings, including ratings of securities with third party guarantees, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2010

(In millions)	AAA	AA	A	BBB	Total investment grade	BB	B	Total		Total
								CCC and below	investment grade	
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 0.5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.5
States and political subdivisions	0.3	0.9	1.8	4.6	7.6	-	-	-	-	7.6
Corporate fixed maturities	-	0.4	1.8	10.4	12.6	6.4	4.6	5.1	16.1	28.7
Residential mortgage-backed securities	0.7	0.5	-	7.9	9.1	-	-	-	-	9.1
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	-	-	1.2	-	1.2	-	-	-	-	1.2
Total fixed maturities, including discontinued operations	1.5	1.8	4.8	22.9	31.0	6.4	4.6	5.1	16.1	47.1
Less: losses included in discontinued operations	-	-	-	(3.1)	(3.1)	(2.2)	(0.2)	(0.5)	(2.9)	(6.0)
Total fixed maturities, excluding discontinued operations	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.8	\$ 4.8	\$ 19.8	\$ 27.9	\$ 4.2	\$ 4.4	\$ 4.6	\$ 13.2	\$ 41.1

December 31, 2009

(In millions)	AAA	AA	A	BBB	Total investment grade	BB	B	Total		Total
								CCC and below	investment grade	
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 3.7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.7
States and political subdivisions	4.1	7.3	4.8	8.4	24.6	0.8	-	0.2	1.0	25.6
Corporate fixed maturities	-	1.4	7.1	8.2	16.7	11.8	9.7	6.0	27.5	44.2
	2.4	1.4	7.9	2.4	14.1	-	-	-	-	14.1

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Residential mortgage-backed securities										
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	0.5	0.8	6.1	-	7.4	-	-	-	-	7.4
Total fixed maturities, including discontinued operations	10.7	10.9	25.9	19.0	66.5	12.6	9.7	6.2	28.5	95.0
Less: losses included in discontinued operations	-	(0.2)	(1.4)	(3.2)	(4.8)	(0.5)	(2.8)	(0.7)	(4.0)	(8.8)
Total fixed maturities, excluding discontinued operations	\$ 10.7	\$ 10.7	\$ 24.5	\$ 15.8	\$ 61.7	\$ 12.1	\$ 6.9	\$ 5.5	\$ 24.5	\$ 86.2

C. Other-than-temporary impairments

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, total other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities were \$0.2 million. Of this amount, \$1.4 million was recognized in earnings, including \$1.2 million that was transferred from unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes. Of the \$1.4 million loss recorded in earnings, \$1.2 million related to below investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial sector that we intend to sell and \$0.2 million was estimated credit losses on above investment grade residential mortgage-backed securities.

For the first nine months of 2010, total other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities and equity securities were \$3.5 million. Of this amount, \$7.5 million was recognized in earnings, including \$4.0 million that was transferred from unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes. Of the \$7.5 million recorded in earnings, \$3.0 million related to debt securities that the Company intends to sell, \$2.6 million was estimated credit losses on debt securities and \$1.9 million related to common stocks. Other-than-temporary impairments recognized on debt securities during the first nine months of 2010 primarily included \$2.4 million on

Table of Contents

investment grade residential mortgage-backed securities, \$1.4 million on below investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial sector and \$1.2 million on investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial sector.

For the three months ended September 30, 2009, total other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities and equity securities were \$4.5 million, of which \$6.1 million was recognized in earnings, including \$1.6 million that was transferred from unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes. Of the OTTI recognized in earnings, \$4.0 million was estimated credit losses, primarily on below investment grade debt securities, including \$1.7 million on corporate bonds in the industrial and financial sectors, \$1.4 million on residential mortgage-backed securities, and \$0.8 million on a municipal bond. In addition, OTTI recognized in earnings included \$1.7 million related to investment grade corporate debt securities in the financial sector that the Company intended to sell and \$0.5 million from perpetual preferred securities primarily in the industrial sector.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities and equity securities were \$39.1 million, of which \$29.3 million was recognized in earnings and the remaining \$9.8 million was recorded as unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes. Of the OTTI recognized in earnings, \$13.2 million related primarily to below investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial sector that the Company intended to sell and \$9.5 million were from perpetual preferred securities primarily in the finance sector. In addition, the Company recorded OTTI of \$6.6 million that was estimated credit losses on below investment grade debt securities, including \$3.5 million on corporate bonds that were primarily in the financial and industrial sectors, \$1.7 million on residential mortgage-backed securities and \$1.4 million on a municipal bond.

The methodology and significant inputs used to measure the amount of credit losses in 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

Asset-backed securities, including commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities - the Company utilized cash flow estimates based on bond specific facts and circumstances that include collateral characteristics, expectations of delinquency and default rates, loss severity, prepayment speeds and structural support, including subordination and guarantees.

Corporate bonds - the Company utilized a financial model that derives expected cash flows based on probability-of-default factors by credit rating and asset duration and loss-given-default factors based on security type. These factors are based on historical data provided by an independent third-party rating agency.

Municipals - the Company utilized cash flow estimates based on bond specific facts and circumstances that may include the political subdivision's taxing authority, the issuer's ability to adjust user fees or other sources of revenue to satisfy its debt obligations and the ability to access insurance or guarantees.

The following table provides rollforwards of the cumulative amounts related to the Company's credit loss portion of the OTTI losses on debt securities for which the non-credit portion of the loss is included in other comprehensive income:

	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
	Quarter	Nine months	Quarter	Period from
	Ended	Ended	Ended	to
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
(In millions)	2010	2010	2009	2009 ⁽¹⁾
Credit losses as of the beginning of the period	\$ 21.0	\$ 22.1	\$ 19.9	\$ 17.3
Credit losses for which an OTTI was not previously recognized	-	0.3	0.9	3.5
Additional credit losses on securities for which an OTTI was previously recognized	0.2	2.4	3.1	3.1

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Reductions for securities sold or matured during the period	(3.3)	(6.9)	(0.4)	(0.4)
Reductions for securities reclassified as intend to sell	(0.4)	(0.4)	-	-
Credit losses as of the end of the period	\$ 17.5	\$ 17.5	\$ 23.5	\$ 23.5

(1) The effective date of the section of ASC 320 requiring this disclosure was April 1, 2009.

Table of Contents

D. Proceeds from voluntary sales

The proceeds from voluntary sales of available-for-sale securities and the gross realized gains and gross realized losses on those sales were as follows:

	(Unaudited)			(Unaudited)		
	Quarter Ended			Quarter Ended		
	September 30, 2010			September 30, 2009		
	Proceeds			Proceeds		
	from		from			
	Voluntary	Gross	Gross	Voluntary	Gross	Gross
(In millions)	Sales	Gains	Losses	Sales	Gains	Losses
Fixed maturities	\$ 195.2	\$ 8.4	\$ 0.1	\$ 247.2	\$ 7.4	\$ 2.0
Equity securities	-	-	-	-	-	-

	(Unaudited)			(Unaudited)		
	Nine months Ended			Nine months Ended		
	September 30, 2010			September 30, 2009		
	Proceeds			Proceeds		
	from		from			
	Voluntary	Gross	Gross	Voluntary	Gross	Gross
(In millions)	Sales	Gains	Losses	Sales	Gains	Losses
Fixed maturities	\$ 371.3	\$ 17.9	\$ 1.4	\$ 1,243.8	\$ 30.5	\$ 12.0
Equity securities	25.8	6.2	-	-	-	-

9. Fair Value

The Company follows the guidance in ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820), as it relates to the fair value of its financial assets and liabilities. ASC 820 provides for a standard definition of fair value to be used in new and existing pronouncements. This guidance requires disclosure of fair value information about certain financial instruments (insurance contracts, real estate, goodwill and taxes are excluded) for which it is practicable to estimate such values, whether or not these instruments are included in the balance sheet. The fair values presented for certain financial instruments are estimates which, in many cases, may differ significantly from the amounts that could be realized upon immediate liquidation.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability, i.e., exit price, in an orderly transaction between market participants and also provides a hierarchy for determining fair value, which emphasizes the use of observable market data whenever available. The three broad levels defined by the hierarchy are as follows, with the highest priority given to Level 1 as these are the most reliable, and the lowest priority given to Level 3.

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data, including model-derived valuations.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity.

When more than one level of input is used to determine fair value, the financial instrument is classified as Level 2 or 3 according to the lowest level input that has a significant impact on the fair value measurement.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments and have not changed during the year:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For these short-term investments, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

Table of Contents

Fixed Maturities

Level 1 securities generally include U.S. Treasury issues and other securities that are highly liquid and for which quoted market prices are available. Level 2 securities are valued using pricing for similar securities and pricing models that incorporate observable inputs including, but not limited to yield curves and issuer spreads. Level 3 securities include issues for which little observable data can be obtained, primarily due to the illiquid nature of the securities, and for which significant inputs used to determine fair value are based on the Company's own assumptions. Non-binding broker quotes are also included in Level 3.

The Company utilizes a third party pricing service for the valuation of the majority of its fixed maturity securities and receives one quote per security. When quoted market prices in an active market are available, they are provided by the pricing service as the fair value and such values are classified as Level 1. Since fixed maturities other than U.S. Treasury securities generally do not trade on a daily basis, the pricing service prepares estimates of fair value for those securities using pricing applications based on a market approach. Inputs into the fair value pricing applications which are common to all asset classes include benchmark U.S. Treasury security yield curves, reported trades of identical or similar fixed maturity securities, broker/dealer quotes of identical or similar fixed maturity securities and structural characteristics of the security, such as maturity date, coupon, mandatory principal payment dates, frequency of interest and principal payments and optional principal redemption features. Inputs into the fair value applications that are unique by asset class include, but are not limited to:

States and political subdivisions - overall credit quality, including assessments of the level and variability of: sources of payment such as income, sales or property taxes, levies or user fees; credit support such as insurance; state or local economic and political base; natural resource availability; and susceptibility to natural or man-made catastrophic events such as hurricanes, earthquakes or acts of terrorism.

Corporate fixed maturities - overall credit quality, including assessments of the level and variability of: industry economic sensitivity; company financial policies; quality of management; regulatory environment; competitive position; indenture restrictive covenants; and security or collateral.

Residential mortgage-backed securities, U.S. agency pass-thrus and collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) - estimates of prepayment speeds based upon: historical prepayment rate trends; underlying collateral interest rates; geographic concentration; vintage year; borrower credit quality characteristics; interest rate and yield curve forecasts; U.S. government support programs; tax policies; and delinquency/default trends.

Residential mortgage-backed securities, non-agency CMOs - estimates of prepayment speeds based upon: historical prepayment rate trends; underlying collateral interest rates; geographic concentration; vintage year; borrower credit quality characteristics; interest rate and yield curve forecasts; U.S. government support programs; tax policies; delinquency/default trends; and severity of loss upon default and length of time to recover proceeds following default.

Commercial mortgage-backed securities - overall credit quality, including assessments of the level and variability of: collateral type such as office, retail, residential, lodging, or other; geographic concentration by region, state, metropolitan statistical area and locale; vintage year; historical collateral performance including defeasance, delinquency, default and special servicer trends; and capital structure support features.

Generally, all prices provided by the pricing service, except actively traded securities with quoted market prices, are reported as Level 2.

The Company holds privately placed fixed maturity securities and certain other fixed maturity securities that do not have an active market and for which the pricing service cannot provide fair values. The Company determines fair values for these securities using either matrix pricing or broker quotes utilizing the market approach. The Company will use observable market data as inputs into the fair value applications, as discussed in the determination of Level 2 fair values, to the extent it is available, but is also required to use a certain amount of unobservable judgment due to the illiquid nature of the securities involved. Unobservable judgment reflected in the Company's matrix model accounts for

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

estimates of additional spread required by market participants for factors such as issue size, structural complexity, high bond coupon, long maturity term or other unique features. These matrix-priced securities are reported as Level 2 or Level 3, depending on the significance of the impact of unobservable judgment on the security's value. Additionally, the Company may obtain non-binding broker quotes which are reported as Level 3.

Table of Contents**Equity Securities**

Level 1 includes publicly traded securities valued at quoted market prices. Level 2 includes securities that are valued using pricing for similar securities and pricing models that incorporate observable inputs. Level 3 consists of common stock of private companies for which observable inputs are not available.

The Company utilizes a third party pricing service for the valuation of the majority of its equity securities and receives one quote for each equity security. When quoted market prices in an active market are available, they are provided by the pricing service as the fair value and such values are classified as Level 1. Generally, all prices provided by the pricing service, except quoted market prices, are reported as Level 2. Occasionally, the Company may obtain non-binding broker quotes which are reported as Level 3.

Mortgage Loans

Fair values are estimated by discounting the future contractual cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings.

Legal Indemnities

Fair values are estimated using probability-weighted discounted cash flow analyses.

Long-term Debt

The fair value of long-term debt is estimated based on quoted market prices. If a quoted market price is not available, fair values are estimated using discounted cash flows that are based on current interest rates and yield curves for debt issuances with maturities and credit risks consistent with the debt being valued.

The estimated fair values of the financial instruments were as follows:

(In millions)	(Unaudited)			
	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
	Value	Value	Value	Value
Financial Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 272.9	\$ 272.9	\$ 316.7	\$ 316.7
Fixed maturities	5,024.2	5,024.2	4,732.4	4,732.4
Equity securities	125.7	125.7	69.3	69.3
Mortgage loans	5.7	6.0	14.1	15.0
Total financial assets, including financial assets of discontinued operations	5,428.5	5,428.8	5,132.5	5,133.4
Less: financial assets of discontinued operations	(120.9)	(120.9)	(117.1)	(117.1)
Total financial assets of continuing operations	\$ 5,307.6	\$ 5,307.9	\$ 5,015.4	\$ 5,016.3

Financial Liabilities

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Deposit contracts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2.0	\$ 2.0
Legal indemnities	5.3	5.3	7.0	7.0
Long-term debt	640.0	664.4	433.9	387.9
Total financial liabilities of continuing operations (1)	\$ 645.3	\$ 669.7	\$ 442.9	\$ 396.9

(1) There were no financial liabilities associated with the discontinued operations.

The Company performs a review of the fair value hierarchy classifications and of prices received from its third party pricing service on a quarterly basis. The Company reviews the pricing services' policy describing its processes, practices and inputs, including various financial models used to value securities. Also, the Company reviews the portfolio pricing. Securities with changes in prices that exceed a defined threshold are verified to independent sources such as Bloomberg. If upon review, the Company is not satisfied with the validity of a given price, a pricing challenge would be submitted to the pricing service along with supporting documentation for its review. The Company does not adjust quotes or prices obtained from the pricing service unless the pricing service agrees with the Company's challenge. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company did not adjust any prices received from brokers or its pricing service.

Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification of certain financial assets or liabilities within the fair value hierarchy. Reclassifications between levels of the fair value hierarchy are reported as of the beginning of the period in which the

Table of Contents

reclassification occurs. As previously discussed, the Company utilizes a third party pricing service for the valuation of the majority of its fixed maturities and equity securities. The pricing service has indicated that it will only produce an estimate of fair value if there is objectively verifiable information to produce a valuation. If the pricing service discontinues pricing an investment, the Company will use observable market data to the extent it is available, but may also be required to make assumptions for market based inputs that are unavailable due to market conditions.

The Company currently holds fixed maturity securities and equity securities for which fair value is determined on a recurring basis. The following tables present for each hierarchy level, the Company's assets that were measured at fair value at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

(Unaudited)

Fair Value at September 30, 2010

(In millions)	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Fixed maturities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 240.2	\$ 99.4	\$ 140.8	\$ -
States and political subdivisions	972.4	-	957.8	14.6
Foreign governments	3.0	-	3.0	-
Corporate fixed maturities	2,615.9	-	2,568.7	47.2
Residential mortgage-backed securities, U.S. agency backed	673.0	-	673.0	-
Residential mortgage-backed securities, non-agency	153.4	-	152.4	1.0
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	366.3	-	360.6	5.7
Total fixed maturities	5,024.2	99.4	4,856.3	68.5
Equity securities (1)	117.2	104.1	9.3	3.8
Total investment assets at fair value, including assets of discontinued operations	5,141.4	203.5	4,865.6	72.3
Investment assets of discontinued operations at fair value	(119.6)	(0.3)	(118.7)	(0.6)
Total investment assets of continuing operations at fair value	\$ 5,021.8	\$ 203.2	\$ 4,746.9	\$ 71.7

Fair Value at December 31, 2009

(In millions)	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Fixed maturities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 354.7	\$ 100.6	\$ 254.1	\$ -
States and political subdivisions	832.2	-	816.7	15.5
Foreign governments	3.0	-	3.0	-
Corporate fixed maturities	2,330.9	-	2,292.8	38.1
Residential mortgage-backed securities, U.S. agency backed	689.0	-	689.0	-
Residential mortgage-backed securities, non-agency	185.4	-	185.4	-
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	337.2	-	331.0	6.2
Total fixed maturities	4,732.4	100.6	4,572.0	59.8
Equity securities (1)	60.6	51.0	6.8	2.8

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Total investment assets at fair value, including assets of discontinued operations	4,793.0	151.6	4,578.8	62.6
Investment assets of discontinued operations at fair value	(116.9)	(0.3)	(116.6)	-
Total investment assets of continuing operations at fair value	\$ 4,676.1	\$ 151.3	\$ 4,462.2	\$ 62.6

(1) Excludes equities carried at cost of \$8.5 million at September 30, 2010 and \$8.7 million at December 31, 2009, which consists primarily of Federal Home Loan Bank common stock.

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Table of Contents

(Unaudited)

(In millions)	Quarter Ended September 30, 2010						
	States and political subdivisions	Corporate	Fixed Maturities Residential mortgage-backed securities, non-agency	Commercial mortgage-backed securities	Total	Equities	Total Assets
Balance July 1, 2010	\$ 14.9	\$ 46.9	\$ 1.1	\$ 5.9	\$ 68.8	\$ 2.8	\$ 71.6
Transfers into Level 3	-	3.8	-	-	3.8	-	3.8
Transfers out of Level 3	-	(2.7)	-	-	(2.7)	-	(2.7)
Total gains:							
Included in earnings	-	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	0.1
Included in other comprehensive income	0.1	0.7	-	-	0.8	-	0.8
Purchases and sales:							
Purchases	-	0.5	-	-	0.5	1.0	1.5
Sales	(0.4)	(2.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(2.8)	-	(2.8)
Balance September 30, 2010	\$ 14.6	\$ 47.2	\$ 1.0	\$ 5.7	\$ 68.5	\$ 3.8	\$ 72.3

(Unaudited)

(In millions)	Quarter Ended September 30, 2009						
	States and political subdivisions	Corporate	Fixed Maturities Residential mortgage-backed securities	Commercial mortgage-backed securities	Total	Equities	Total Assets
Balance July 1, 2009	\$ 18.4	\$ 41.6	\$ 10.6	\$ 70.6	\$ 1.2	\$ 71.8	
Transfers out of Level 3	(2.1)	(3.4)	-	(5.5)	-	(5.5)	
Total (losses) gains:							
Included in earnings	(0.1)	-	-	(0.1)	-	(0.1)	
Included in other comprehensive income	0.2	1.6	0.3	2.1	-	2.1	
Purchases and sales:							
Purchases	0.9	6.9	0.2	8.0	-	8.0	
Sales	(0.2)	(1.3)	(0.2)	(1.7)	-	(1.7)	
Balance September 30, 2009	\$ 17.1	\$ 45.4	\$ 10.9	\$ 73.4	\$ 1.2	\$ 74.6	

(Unaudited)

(In millions)	Nine months Ended September 30, 2010						
	States and political subdivisions	Corporate	Fixed Maturities Residential mortgage-backed securities, non-agency	Commercial mortgage-backed securities	Total	Equities	Total Assets
Balance January 1, 2010	\$ 15.5	\$ 38.1	\$ -	\$ 6.2	\$ 59.8	\$ 2.8	\$ 62.6
Transfers into Level 3	-	17.3	-	-	17.3	-	17.3
Transfers out of Level 3	-	(4.7)	-	-	(4.7)	-	(4.7)
Total gains (losses):							

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Included in earnings	-	0.2	-	-	0.2	(0.3)	(0.1)
Included in other comprehensive income	0.3	1.9	-	-	2.2	0.3	2.5
Purchases and sales:							
Purchases	-	2.8	1.4	-	4.2	1.0	5.2
Sales	(1.2)	(8.4)	(0.4)	(0.5)	(10.5)	-	(10.5)
Balance September 30, 2010	\$ 14.6	\$ 47.2	\$ 1.0	\$ 5.7	\$ 68.5	\$ 3.8	\$ 72.3

(Unaudited)

(In millions)	Nine months Ended September 30, 2009						
	States and political subdivisions	Corporate	Fixed Maturities Residential mortgage-backed securities, U.S. agency backed	Commercial mortgage backed securities	Total	Equities	Total Assets
Balance January 1, 2009	\$ 18.2	\$ 44.5	\$ 6.9	\$ 19.5	\$ 89.1	\$ 1.2	\$ 90.3
Assets of discontinued operations sold with FAFLIC							
Transfers out of Level 3	(0.1)	(3.4)	-	(2.3)	(5.8)	-	(5.8)
Total (losses) gains:							
Included in earnings	(0.3)	(0.4)	0.2	-	(0.5)	-	(0.5)
Included in other comprehensive income	(0.6)	3.5	-	0.9	3.8	-	3.8
Purchases and sales:							
Purchases	3.1	17.5	-	3.3	23.9	-	23.9
Sales	(1.1)	(4.4)	(7.1)	(0.5)	(13.1)	-	(13.1)
Balance September 30, 2009	\$ 17.1	\$ 45.4	\$ -	\$ 10.9	\$ 73.4	\$ 1.2	\$ 74.6

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company transferred fixed maturities between Level 2 and Level 3 primarily as a result of assessing the significance of unobservable inputs on the fair value measurement. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The following table summarizes gains and losses due to changes in fair value that are recorded in net income for Level 3 assets.

(In millions)	(Unaudited)			(Unaudited)		
	Quarter Ended September 30, 2010			Quarter Ended September 30, 2009		
	Other-than-temporary impairments	Net realized investment gains	Total	Other-than-temporary impairments	Net realized investment gains	Total
Level 3 Assets:						
Fixed maturities:						
States and political subdivisions	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.1)
Corporate fixed maturities	-	0.1	0.1	(0.1)	0.1	-
Total assets	\$ -	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ (0.1)	\$ -	\$ (0.1)

(In millions)	(Unaudited)			(Unaudited)		
	Nine months Ended September 30, 2010			Nine months Ended September, 2009		
	Other-than-temporary impairments	Net realized investment gains	Total	Other-than-temporary impairments	Net realized investment gains (losses)	Total
Level 3 Assets:						
Fixed maturities:						
States and political subdivisions	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.3)	\$ (0.3)
Corporate fixed maturities	-	0.2	0.2	(0.9)	0.5	(0.4)
Residential mortgage-backed securities, U.S. agency backed	-	-	-	-	0.2	0.2
Total fixed maturities	-	0.2	0.2	(0.9)	0.4	(0.5)
Equity securities:	(0.3)	-	(0.3)	-	-	-
Total assets	\$ (0.3)	\$ 0.2	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.9)	\$ 0.4	\$ (0.5)

10. Other Comprehensive Income

The following table provides a reconciliation of gross unrealized investment gains to the net balance shown in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income:

(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
Quarter Ended	Nine months Ended

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(In millions)	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Unrealized appreciation on available-for-sale securities:				
Unrealized holding gains arising during period, net of income tax expense of \$26.4 and \$26.9 for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$58.1 and \$26.7 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	\$ 84.4	\$ 162.5	\$ 187.7	\$ 389.2
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.5) and \$3.5 for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$(0.7) and \$3.5 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	8.9	3.5	18.0	(9.4)
Other comprehensive income	\$ 75.5	\$ 159.0	\$ 169.7	\$ 398.6

Table of Contents

11. Segment Information

The Company's primary business operations include insurance products and services in three property and casualty operating segments. These segments are Personal Lines, Commercial Lines, and Other Property and Casualty. Personal Lines includes personal automobile, homeowners and other personal coverages, while Commercial Lines includes commercial multiple peril, commercial automobile, workers' compensation, and other commercial coverages, such as inland marine, bond, specialty program business, professional liability and management liability. In addition, the Other Property and Casualty segment consists of: Opus Investment Management, Inc., which markets investment management services to institutions, pension funds and other organizations; earnings on holding company assets; as well as voluntary pools in which the Company has not actively participated since 1995. Additionally, prior to the sale of FAFLIC on January 2, 2009, the operations included the results of this run-off life insurance and annuity business as a separate segment. This business is now reflected as discontinued operations. The separate financial information of each segment is presented consistent with the way results are regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

The Company reports interest expense related to its debt separately from the earnings of its operating segments. The Company's debt consists of senior debentures, junior subordinated debentures, advances under the Company's collateralized borrowing program with FHLBB, capital securities and surplus notes.

Management evaluates the results of the aforementioned segments on a pre-tax basis. Segment income excludes certain items which are included in net income, such as federal income taxes and net realized investment gains and losses, because fluctuations in these gains and losses are determined by interest rates, financial markets and the timing of sales. Also, segment income excludes net gains and losses on disposals of businesses, discontinued operations, restructuring costs, extraordinary items, the cumulative effect of accounting changes and certain other items. Although the items excluded from segment income may be significant components in understanding and assessing the Company's financial performance, management believes that the presentation of segment income enhances an investor's understanding of the Company's results of operations by highlighting net income attributable to the core operations of the business. However, segment income should not be construed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Table of Contents

Summarized below is financial information with respect to business segments:

(In millions)	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
	Quarter Ended		Nine months	
	2010	September 30, 2009	2010	Ended September 30, 2009
Segment revenues:				
Property and Casualty:				
Personal Lines	\$ 397.1	\$ 397.2	\$ 1,190.3	\$ 1,186.0
Commercial Lines	397.2	308.4	1,099.3	912.5
Other Property and Casualty	5.1	3.9	15.9	17.9
Total Property and Casualty	799.4	709.5	2,305.5	2,116.4
Intersegment revenues	(1.1)	(1.0)	(3.4)	(2.9)
Total segment revenues	798.3	708.5	2,302.1	2,113.5
Adjustments to segment revenues:				
Net realized investment gains (losses)	5.7	-	16.8	(9.7)
Total revenues	\$ 804.0	\$ 708.5	\$ 2,318.9	\$ 2,103.8
Segment income before federal income taxes and discontinued operations:				
Property and Casualty:				
Personal Lines:				
GAAP underwriting income (loss)	\$ 15.2	\$ (4.1)	\$ (8.7)	\$ (33.4)
Net investment income	25.4	27.8	76.6	81.4
Other income	2.4	3.7	6.1	8.1
Personal Lines segment income	43.0	27.4	74.0	56.1
Commercial Lines:				
GAAP underwriting income (loss)	2.3	5.2	(22.5)	41.3
Net investment income	32.3	31.9	96.6	93.2
Other income	0.5	1.6	0.7	2.7
Commercial Lines segment income	35.1	38.7	74.8	137.2
Other Property and Casualty:				
GAAP underwriting income	0.4	10.3	0.7	10.6
Net investment income	3.6	2.4	11.0	13.3
Other net expenses	(2.9)	(5.2)	(9.0)	(17.4)
Other Property and Casualty segment income	1.1	7.5	2.7	6.5
Total Property and Casualty	79.2	73.6	151.5	199.8
Interest expense on debt	(11.8)	(6.3)	(32.8)	(27.2)
Segment income before federal income taxes	67.4	67.3	118.7	172.6
Adjustments to segment income:				

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Net realized investment gains (losses)	5.7	-	16.8	(9.7)
Gain on retirement of debt	-	0.2	-	34.5
Income from continuing operations before federal income taxes	\$ 73.1	\$ 67.5	\$ 135.5	\$ 197.4

Table of Contents

Summarized below is financial information with respect to business segments:

(In millions)	Identifiable Assets (Unaudited)	
	September 30,	December 31,
	2010	2009
Property and Casualty (1)	\$ 8,473.2	\$ 7,922.6
Assets of discontinued operations (2)	134.1	130.6
Intersegment eliminations	(10.6)	(10.5)
Total	\$ 8,596.7	\$ 8,042.7

(1) The Company reviews assets based on the total Property and Casualty Group and does not allocate between the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments.

(2) Includes assets related to the Company's discontinued accident and health insurance business.

12. Stock-based Compensation

Compensation cost and the related tax benefits were as follows:

(In millions)	(Unaudited)			
	(Unaudited) Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 3.1	\$ 3.1	\$ 8.6	\$ 8.5
Tax benefit	(1.1)	(1.1)	(3.0)	(3.0)
Stock-based compensation expense, net of taxes	\$ 2.0	\$ 2.0	\$ 5.6	\$ 5.5

Stock Options

Information on the Company's stock option plan activity is summarized below.

(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
Nine months Ended	Nine months Ended

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(In whole shares and dollars)	September 30, 2010		September 30, 2009	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, beginning of period	3,131,142	\$ 39.16	2,998,821	\$ 41.02
Granted	389,750	42.45	530,000	34.13
Exercised	(249,468)	37.07	(49,058)	33.61
Forfeited or cancelled	(138,760)	45.12	(98,805)	44.51
Expired	(125,400)	44.91	(184,100)	52.07
Outstanding, end of period	3,007,264	39.25	3,196,858	39.24

Table of Contents*Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units*

The following tables summarize activity information about employee restricted stock and restricted stock units:

(In whole shares and dollars)	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
	Nine months Ended		Nine months Ended	
	September 30, 2010		September 30, 2009	
	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Restricted stock and restricted stock units:				
Outstanding, beginning of period	700,904	\$ 41.12	470,905	\$ 45.41
Granted	352,445	42.62	290,005	34.33
Vested and exercised	(117,583)	47.97	(9,391)	44.77
Forfeited	(78,104)	40.58	(40,014)	42.02
Outstanding, end of period	857,662	40.85	711,505	41.14
Performance-based restricted stock units:				
Outstanding, beginning of period (1)	145,635	\$ 42.79	164,442	\$ 46.10
Granted (1)	41,250	42.15	47,375	34.19
Vested and exercised	(31,558)	48.46	(40,507)	46.28
Forfeited (2)	(31,396)	46.26	(23,404)	46.78
Outstanding, end of period (1)	119,931	40.05	147,906	40.67

- (1) Performance based restricted stock units are based upon the achievement of the performance metric at 100%. These units have the potential to range from 0% to 175% of the shares disclosed, which varies based on grant year and individual participation level. Increases to the 100% target level are reflected as granted in the period in which performance-based stock unit goals are achieved. Decreases from the 100% target level are reflected as forfeited in the period in which the achievement of the performance-based stock unit goals are estimated to be no longer probable.
- (2) In 2010 and 2009, respectively, 11,472 and 20,654 performance-based stock units were included as forfeited due to completion levels less than 100% for units originally granted in 2007. The weighted average grant date fair value for these awards was \$48.46. Additionally, in 2010, 20,044 performance-based stock units were included as forfeited due to estimated completion levels of less than 100% for units originally granted in 2008. The weighted average grant date fair value for this award was \$45.21.

13. Earnings Per Share

The following table provides share information used in the calculation of the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share:

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(In millions, except per share data)	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
	Quarter Ended		Nine months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Basic shares used in the calculation of earnings per share	44.9	50.7	45.7	51.0
Dilutive effect of securities:				
Employee stock options	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1
Non-vested stock grants and other	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3
Diluted shares used in the calculation of earnings per share	45.7	51.2	46.4	51.4
Per share effect of dilutive securities on income from continuing operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.02)
Per share effect of dilutive securities on net income	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.03)

Diluted earnings per share for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 excludes 1.1 million and 1.8 million, respectively, of common shares issuable under the Company's stock compensation plans, because their effect would be antidilutive. Diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 excludes 1.6 million and 2.5 million, respectively, of common shares issuable under the Company's stock compensation plans, because their effect would be antidilutive.

Table of Contents

14. Commitments and Contingencies

LITIGATION

Durand Litigation

On March 12, 2007, a putative class action suit captioned Jennifer A. Durand v. The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc., The Allmerica Financial Cash Balance Pension Plan was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. The named plaintiff, a former employee who received a lump sum distribution from the Company's Cash Balance Plan (the Plan) at or about the time of her termination, claims that she and others similarly situated did not receive the appropriate lump sum distribution because in computing the lump sum, the Company understated the accrued benefit in the calculation. The Company filed a Motion to Dismiss on the basis that the Plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative remedies, which motion was granted without prejudice in a decision dated November 7, 2007. This decision was reversed by an order dated March 24, 2009 issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and the case was remanded to the district court.

The Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint on December 11, 2009. In response, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss on January 30, 2010. In addition to the pending calculation of the lump sum distribution claim, the Amended Complaint includes: (a) a claim that the Plan failed to calculate participants' account balances properly because interest credits were based solely upon the performance of each participant's selection from among various hypothetical investment options (as the Plan provided) rather than crediting the greater of that performance or the 30 year Treasury rate; (b) a claim that the 2004 Plan amendment, which changed interest crediting for all participants from the performance of participant's investment selections to the 30 year Treasury rate, reduced benefits in violation of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) for participants who had account balances as of the amendment date by not continuing to provide them performance-based interest crediting on those balances; and (c) claims for breach of fiduciary duty and ERISA notice requirements for not properly informing participants of the various interest crediting and lump sum distribution matters of which Plaintiffs complain. In the Company's judgment, the outcome is not expected to be material to its financial position, although it could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period and on the funding of the Plan.

Hurricane Katrina Litigation

The Company has been named as a defendant in various litigation including putative class actions, relating to disputes arising from damages which occurred as a result of Hurricane Katrina in 2005. As of September 30, 2010, there were approximately 50 such cases. These cases have been filed in both Louisiana state courts and federal district courts. These cases generally involve, among other claims, disputes as to the amount of reimbursable claims in particular cases (e.g. how much of the damage to an insured property is attributable to flood and therefore not covered, and how much is attributable to wind, which may be covered), as well as the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners and commercial property policies due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of landscaping, business interruption and other matters. Certain of these cases claim a breach of duty of good faith or violations of Louisiana insurance claims handling laws or regulations and involve claims for punitive or exemplary damages.

On August 23, 2007, the State of Louisiana (individually and on behalf of the State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development) filed a putative class action in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana, entitled State of Louisiana, individually and on behalf of State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development ex rel The Honorable Charles C. Foti, Jr., The Attorney General For the State of Louisiana, individually and as a class action on behalf of all recipients of funds as well as all eligible and/or future recipients of funds through The Road Home Program v. AAA Insurance, et al., No. 07-8970. The Complaint named as defendants over 200 foreign and domestic insurance carriers, including the Company. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of current and former Louisiana citizens who have applied for and received or will receive funds through Louisiana's Road Home program. On August 29, 2007, Plaintiff filed an Amended Petition in this case, asserting myriad claims, including claims for breach of: contract, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fiduciary duty and Louisiana's bad faith statutes. Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of, among other things, declarations that (a) the efficient proximate cause of losses suffered by putative class members was windstorm, a covered peril under their policies; (b) the second efficient proximate cause of their losses was storm surge, which Plaintiff contends is not excluded under class members policies; (c) the damage caused by water entering affected parishes of Louisiana does not fall within the definition of "flood"; (d) the damages caused by water entering Orleans Parish and the surrounding area was a result of a man-made occurrence and are properly covered under class members' policies; (e) many class members suffered total losses to their residences; and (f) many class members are entitled to recover the full value for their residences stated on their policies pursuant to the Louisiana Valued Policy Law. In accordance with these requested declarations, Plaintiff seeks to recover amounts that it alleges should have been paid to policyholders under their insurance agreements, as well as penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs. The case has been removed to the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Table of Contents

On March 5, 2009, the court issued an Order granting in part and denying in part a Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants. The court dismissed all claims for bad faith and breach of fiduciary duty and all claims for flood damages under policies with flood exclusions or asserted under the Valued Policy Law, but rejected the insurers' arguments that the purported assignments from individual claimants to the state were barred by anti-assignment provisions in the insurers' policies. On April 16, 2009, the court denied a Motion for Reconsideration of its ruling regarding the anti-assignment provisions, but certified the issue as ripe for immediate appeal. On April 30, 2009, Defendants filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (Fifth Circuit), which was granted. Defendants' appeal is currently pending. On July 28, 2010, the Fifth Circuit certified the issue to the Louisiana Supreme Court.

The Company has established its loss and LAE reserves on the assumption that it will not have any liability under the Road Home or similar litigation, and that it will otherwise prevail in litigation as to the cause of certain large losses and not incur extra contractual or punitive damages.

REGULATORY AND INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENTS

Certain unfavorable economic conditions may contribute to an increase in the number of insurance companies that are under regulatory supervision. This may result in an increase in mandatory assessments by state guaranty funds, or voluntary payments by solvent insurance companies to cover losses to policyholders of insolvent or rehabilitated companies. Mandatory assessments, which are subject to statutory limits, can be partially recovered through a reduction in future premium taxes in some states. The Company is not able to reasonably estimate the potential impact of any such future assessments or voluntary payments.

Over the past three years, state-sponsored insurers, reinsurers and involuntary pools have increased significantly, particularly in those states which have Atlantic or Gulf Coast exposures. As a result, the potential assessment exposure of insurers doing business in such states and the attendant collection risks have increased, particularly, in the Company's case, in the states of Massachusetts, Louisiana and Florida. Such actions and related regulatory restrictions on rate increases, underwriting and the ability to non-renew business may limit the Company's ability to reduce the potential exposure to hurricane related losses. At this time, the Company is unable to predict the likelihood or impact of any such potential assessments or other actions.

The Governor of Michigan has endorsed a number of proposals by her appointed Automobile and Home Insurance Consumer Advocate which would, among other things, change the current rate approval process from the current file and use system to prior approval, mandate affordable rates, eliminate territorial ratings, reduce the threshold for lawsuits to be filed in at fault incidents, and prohibit the use of certain underwriting criteria such as credit-based insurance scores. The Michigan legislature is currently considering these and other proposals, including one to require insurance companies to offer low cost personal automobile prices. The Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation (OFIR) previously issued regulations prohibiting the use of credit scores to rate personal lines insurance policies, which regulations were the subject of litigation being reviewed by the Michigan Supreme Court. Pending a determination by the Michigan Supreme Court, OFIR was enjoined from disapproving rates on the basis that they were based in part on credit-based insurance scores. In a decision issued on July 8, 2010, the Michigan Supreme Court held that the regulations issued by the OFIR prohibiting the use of credit scores to rate personal lines insurance policies were invalid. On November 9, 2009, the Michigan Board of Canvassers issued preliminary approval allowing proponents to begin collecting signatures as the first step in placing a ballot initiative in front of voters in November of 2010. The proposed ballot question would have required a number of changes for the property and casualty market, including, subject to limitations, the rollback of rates by up to 20% for all lines with the exception of workers compensation and surety, and an additional 20% rollback of personal automobile rates for good drivers. Proponents of the ballot initiative, however, did not present the required number of valid signatures by the May 2010 deadline and the proposed ballot initiative failed. At this time, the Company is unable to predict the likelihood of adoption or impact on its business of any such proposals or regulations, but any such restrictions could have an adverse effect on its results of operations.

On August 1, 2010, the Michigan Supreme Court issued a decision in a case captioned McCormick v. Carrier, et. al., overturning the so-called Kreiner decision, and in so doing, the Company believes that the Court significantly expanded the circumstances under which claimants can sue for non-economic losses resulting from automobile accidents in Michigan. Although the full implications and application of the McCormick decision are not yet understood and may evolve in the future, the Company believes that the revised standard will adversely affect both past claims which are not finally resolved, as well as future claims. Although the Company's reserves reflect the Company's best estimate of the impact of this decision, in light of evolving law and the uncertain application of this new standard, the Company cannot be certain as to the adequacy of these reserves or of the Company's ability to raise rates for liability coverage of Michigan personal and commercial automobile policies to reflect the additional losses it expects to incur.

In May 2010, the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office published draft regulations purporting to provide greater consumer protections under the recently implemented managed competition system for Massachusetts private passenger automobile insurance. Hearings were held in June

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

and the record date for comment has been extended twice, from August 6, 2010 to September 22, 2010 and again to November 22, 2010. The proposed changes would significantly alter the current system of managed competition and change the manner in which insurers and agents operate in the market place, particularly for companies such as Hanover Insurance, which distribute

Table of Contents

through independent agents. At this time, the Company is uncertain as to whether the draft regulations will be revised or ultimately implemented and cannot predict the potential impact of any such regulations on its business.

From time to time, proposals have been made to establish a federal based insurance regulatory system and to allow insurers to elect either federal or state-based regulation (optional federal chartering). In light of the current economic crisis and the focus on increased regulatory controls, particularly with regard to financial institutions, there has been renewed interest in such proposals. In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Financial Reform Act) was enacted. The Financial Reform Act includes a provision to establish a Federal Insurance Office, with the primary purpose of collecting information to better understand insurance issues at the federal level and to resolve certain issues affecting foreign insurance companies doing business in the United States. The Financial Reform Act also contains provisions affecting financial institutions, credit rating agencies and other commercial and consumer businesses. THG is currently reviewing the legislation and while it expects that the legislation will have little direct impact on the Company s operations, it does not yet know the indirect consequences to the financial services sector, the Company s business or its Commercial Lines policyholders.

At this time, the Company is also uncertain of the various collateral consequences of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act on its business and on the property and casualty industry in general.

OTHER MATTERS

The Company has been named a defendant in various other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In addition, the Company is involved, from time to time, in examinations, investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies. The potential outcome of any such action or regulatory proceedings in which the Company has been named a defendant or the subject of an inquiry or investigation, and its ultimate liability, if any, from such action or regulatory proceedings, is difficult to predict at this time. In the Company s opinion, based on the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate resolutions of such proceedings will not have a material effect on its financial position, although they could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

RESIDUAL MARKETS

The Company is required to participate in residual markets in various states, which generally pertain to high risk insureds, disrupted markets or lines of business or geographic areas where rates are regarded as excessive. The results of the residual markets are not subject to the predictability associated with the Company s own managed business, and are significant to the workers compensation line of business, the homeowners line of business and both the personal and commercial automobile lines of business.

15. Subsequent Events

There were no subsequent events requiring adjustments to the financial statements. Additionally, there were no subsequent events requiring disclosure.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 2

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Introduction</u>	36
<u>Executive Overview</u>	36
<u>Description of Operating Segments</u>	38
<u>Results of Operations</u>	38
<u>Segment Results</u>	40
<u>Property and Casualty</u>	40
<u>Discontinued Operations</u>	57
<u>Other Items</u>	58
<u>Investment Portfolio</u>	60
<u>Income Taxes</u>	65
<u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u>	67
<u>Other Significant Transactions</u>	69
<u>Statutory Surplus of Insurance Subsidiaries</u>	70
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	70
<u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	72
<u>Contingencies and Regulatory Matters</u>	72
<u>Rating Agency Actions</u>	75
<u>Risks and Forward-Looking Statements</u>	75
<u>Glossary of Selected Insurance Terms</u>	75

Table of Contents

Introduction

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is intended to assist readers in understanding the interim consolidated results of operations and financial condition of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (THG) and should be read in conjunction with the interim Consolidated Financial Statements and related footnotes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Our results of operations include the accounts of The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance) and Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), our principal property and casualty companies; and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. On January 2, 2009, we sold First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC) to Commonwealth Annuity and Life Insurance Company (Commonwealth Annuity), a subsidiary of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Goldman Sachs). For all prior periods presented, operations from FAFLIC are reflected as discontinued operations.

Executive Overview

Our property and casualty business includes our Personal Lines segment, our Commercial Lines segment and our Other Property and Casualty segment.

With respect to segment results, during the first nine months of 2010, our pre-tax segment earnings declined from the same period in 2009, primarily as a result of increased catastrophe losses in the first nine months of 2010. Pre-tax catastrophe losses increased \$51.2 million, to \$143.5 million for the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$92.3 million for the same period in 2009. Although third quarter catastrophe activity was consistent with the prior year, our company and the industry in general, experienced a high level of catastrophes during the first half of 2010. In addition, decreased favorable development on prior years' loss and loss adjustment expense (LAE) reserves and higher underwriting expenses contributed to the overall decline. These results were partially offset by more favorable current accident year loss results.

We, and the industry in general, continue to experience pricing pressures, predominantly in Commercial Lines. We believe that our ongoing agency relationships, position in the marketplace and strong product set, position us well relative to many of our competitors in our principal markets.

Although our expense ratio is higher than that of some of our peer companies and other competitors, we have made an explicit decision to invest further in our business in support of our partner agent strategy. In Personal Lines, we are investing to improve the competitiveness of our products and in technology and systems enhancements intended to make our interactions with agents more efficient. In Commercial Lines, most of our investments are directed toward expanding our product capabilities and offerings in various niches and differentiated products, as well as investments in systems improvements. In addition, we recently started to expand our Commercial Lines offerings into selected states in the western part of the country. Our renewal rights transaction with OneBeacon Insurance Group (OneBeacon) supported both our Commercial Lines product expansion, as well as our western geographic expansion. As a result of these actions and for the first time in recent company history, our Commercial Lines net written premiums exceeded our Personal Lines net written premiums during the first nine months of 2010.

Personal Lines

In our Personal Lines business, the market continues to be very competitive, with continued pressure on agents from direct writers, as well as from the increased usage of real time comparative rating tools. We maintain our focus on partnering with high quality, value added agencies that stress the importance of account rounding (the conversion of single policy customers to accounts with multiple policies and/or additional coverages), and consultative selling. We are focused on making investments that are intended to help us maintain profitability, build a distinctive position in the market, such as through our *Think Hanover* initiative, and provide us with profitable growth opportunities.

Our *Think Hanover* initiative is intended to enhance retention and strengthen our value proposition for agents, including by introducing broad and innovative product offerings. In 2009, we introduced a substantially improved product suite (*The Hanover Household*) to make it easier for agents to write more lines of business per household. We also modified our operating model and improved our agency automation, which is intended to deliver a more competitive sales and services experience for agents (*Front Line Excellence*).

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Current market conditions continue to be challenging as pricing pressures and economic conditions remain difficult and we face uncertain legal and regulatory environments, especially in Michigan and Massachusetts. These competitive and economic

Table of Contents

pressures and legal and regulatory concerns have limited our growth and retention of business in Michigan and Massachusetts, our largest states, and elsewhere. We are working closely with our partner agents in these and our other core states to remain a significant writer with strong margins.

During the first nine months of 2010, we continued our mix management initiatives relating to our *Connections*[®] *Auto* product to improve the overall profitability of the business. We remain focused on reducing our growth in less profitable automobile segments and increasing our multi-car and total account business consistent with our account rounding strategy. We experienced slightly negative growth levels in our personal automobile business through the first nine months of 2010.

We believe that our *Connections Auto* product will help us profitably grow our market share over time. The *Connections Auto* product is designed to be competitively priced for a wide spectrum of drivers through its multivariate rating application, which calculates rates based upon the magnitude and correlation of multiple risk factors. At the same time, a core strategy is to broaden our portfolio offerings and write total accounts, which are accounts that include multiple personal line coverages for the same customer. Account business has historically provided us with stronger margins and superior retention. Total account business represents approximately two-thirds of our Personal Lines business.

Our homeowners product, *Connections*[®] *Home*, is intended to improve our competitiveness for total account business by making it easier and more efficient for our agents to write business with us and by providing more comprehensive coverage options for policyholders. In addition, in 15 states, we have introduced a more sophisticated, multivariate pricing approach to our homeowners product which is intended to better align rates with the underlying risk of each customer. We plan to continue to implement this price segmentation in our other states. We also continue to refine our products and work closely with high potential agents to increase the percentage of their business they place with us and to ensure that it is consistent with our preferred mix of business. Additionally, we remain focused on diversifying our state mix beyond our four core states of Michigan, Massachusetts, New York and New Jersey. We expect these efforts to contribute to profitable growth and improved retention in our Personal Lines segment over time.

Commercial Lines

In the Commercial Lines market, aggressive price competition requires us to be highly disciplined in our underwriting process to ensure that we write business only at acceptable margins. In certain lines of business where the weak economy may be a particularly important factor, such as surety and workers' compensation, we have endeavored to adjust pricing and/or take a more conservative approach to risk selection in order to more appropriately reflect the higher risk of loss.

In December 2009, we entered into a renewal rights agreement with OneBeacon, further strengthening our competitive position and advancing our expansion efforts in the western states. Through the agreement, we acquired access to a portion of OneBeacon's small and middle market commercial business at renewal, much of which fits into our current industry specific programs and middle market niches. Additionally, the transaction allowed for the development of new segment, niche and industry-specific program business. On January 1, 2010, we began renewing these policies through a reinsurance arrangement with OneBeacon whereby such policies are underwritten on OneBeacon policy forms and using OneBeacon systems. During the second quarter of 2010, we began converting renewals to our policy forms. We expect this conversion process to continue until late 2011.

We also continue to develop our segmentation of Commercial Lines, including our specialty businesses, which on average are expected to offer higher margins over time and enable us to deliver a more complete product portfolio to our agents and policyholders. Our specialty business, including marine and bond lines, now account for over one-third of our Commercial Lines premiums written. Growth in our specialty business continues to be a significant part of our strategy. Our ongoing focus on expanding our product offerings in our specialized businesses is evidenced by our acquisitions. Over the past three years, we have acquired several specialized businesses, including Professionals Direct, Inc. (PDI), which we market as Hanover Professionals, a professional liability insurance carrier for principally small to medium-sized legal practices; Verlan Holdings, Inc. (Verlan), which we market as Hanover Specialty Industrial, a provider of property insurance to small and medium-sized chemical, paint, solvent and other manufacturing and distribution companies; and AIX Holdings, Inc. (AIX), a specialty property and casualty insurance carrier that focuses on underwriting and managing program business. In January 2010, we acquired Benchmark Professional Insurance Services, Inc., a provider of insurance solutions to the design professionals industry, including architects and engineers. We acquired Campania Holding Company, Inc. (Campania) on March 31, 2010, which specializes in insurance solutions for portions of the healthcare professionals industry, including durable medical equipment suppliers, behavioral health specialists, eldercare providers, and podiatrists. Most recently, we entered into an agreement with the Insurance Company of the West (ICW) that is expected to build our surety capabilities in our western expansion states. In addition to these businesses, we have developed several niche insurance programs, such as for schools, religious institutions, moving and storage companies and human services organizations, such as non-profit youth and community service organizations, and we have added additional segmentation to our core middle market commercial products, including real estate, hospitality and wholesale distributors. As a

Table of Contents

complementary initiative, we have introduced products focused on management liability, specifically non-profit directors and officers liability and employment practices liability, and coverage for private company directors and officers liability.

In addition, we have made a number of enhancements to our core products and technology platforms that are intended to drive more total account placements in our small commercial business, which we believe will enhance margins. Our focus continues to be on improving and expanding our partnerships with a limited number of agents.

We believe our small commercial capabilities, distinctiveness in the middle market, and continued development of specialty business provides us with a more diversified portfolio of products and enables us to deliver significant value to our agents and policyholders. We believe these efforts will enable us to improve the overall mix of our business and ultimately our underwriting profitability.

Investment Portfolio

Our investment holdings totaled approximately \$5.5 billion at September 30, 2010 and consist primarily of investment grade fixed maturities with net unrealized gains of \$318.9 million, and cash and cash equivalents.

Recent developments have illustrated that the U.S. and global financial markets and economies, while continuing to recover, remain tenuous as market values have and could continue to fluctuate. Issuers of securities continue to be challenged by adverse business and liquidity circumstances and therefore unanticipated bond defaults could increase, particularly with respect to non-investment grade securities. Credit spreads of taxable municipal bonds, corporate bonds and commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities tightened during the period, resulting in increased unrealized gains in the first nine months of 2010. The first nine months of 2010 have continued the positive momentum the financial markets experienced in the latter half of 2009; however, uncertainty still exists in these markets, and with respect to the potential impact on our business of the current difficult economy.

Description of Operating Segments

Our primary business operations include insurance products and services in three property and casualty operating segments. These segments are Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty. Personal Lines includes personal automobile, homeowners and other personal coverages, while Commercial Lines includes commercial multiple peril, commercial automobile, workers compensation and other commercial coverages, such as inland marine, bonds, specialty program business, professional liability and management liability. In addition, the Other Property and Casualty segment consists of Opus Investment Management, Inc. (Opus), which markets investment management services to institutions, pension funds and other organizations, earnings on holding company assets, as well as voluntary pools business in which we have not actively participated since 1995. Additionally, prior to the sale of FAFLIC on January 2, 2009, our operations included the results of this run-off life insurance and annuity business as a separate segment. We present the separate financial information of each segment consistent with the manner in which our chief operating decision maker evaluates results in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

We report interest expense related to our debt separately from the earnings of our operating segments. Our debt consists of senior debentures, junior subordinated debentures, advances under our collateralized borrowing program with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (FHLBB), capital securities and surplus notes.

Results of Operations

Our consolidated net income includes the results of our three operating segments (segment income), which we evaluate on a pre-tax basis, and our interest expense on debt. Segment income excludes certain items which we believe are not indicative of our core operations. The income of our segments excludes items such as federal income taxes and net realized investment gains and losses, because fluctuations in these gains and losses are determined by interest rates, financial markets and the timing of sales. Also, segment income excludes net gains and losses on disposals of businesses, discontinued operations, restructuring costs, extraordinary items, the cumulative effect of accounting changes and certain other items. Although the items excluded from segment income may be significant components in understanding and assessing our financial performance, we believe segment income enhances an investor's understanding of our results of operations by highlighting net income attributable to the core operations of the business. However, segment income should not be construed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

Catastrophe losses are a significant component in understanding and assessing the financial performance of our business. However, catastrophic events make it difficult to assess the underlying trends in this business. Management believes that providing certain financial metrics and trends excluding the effects of catastrophes helps investors to understand the variability in periodic earnings and to evaluate the underlying

performance of our operations.

Table of Contents

Our consolidated net income for the third quarter of 2010 of \$52.3 million increased slightly from the same period in 2009 during which our consolidated net income was \$49.7 million. The P&C group's segment earnings increased \$5.6 million, which was essentially offset by a \$5.5 million increase in interest expense on our debt.

Our consolidated net income for the first nine months of 2010 was \$96.4 million, compared to \$139.9 million for the same period in 2009. The \$43.5 million decrease is primarily due a \$37.2 million decline in after-tax segment income, principally driven by higher catastrophe losses, which increased \$33.3 million, net of taxes. Results in 2009 included a \$34.3 million pre-tax gain (\$22.3 million, net of taxes) associated with a tender offer whereby we repurchased a portion of our mandatorily redeemable preferred securities and our senior debentures during the second quarter of 2009 (see also Significant Transactions). In addition, earnings from our discontinued operations decreased by \$7.3 million. Partially offsetting these decreases was a \$26.5 million improvement in our net realized investment position, from a loss of \$9.7 million in the first nine months of 2009, to a gain of \$16.8 million in the first nine months of 2010.

The following table reflects segment income as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and a reconciliation of total segment income to consolidated net income.

(In millions)	Quarter Ended		Nine months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Segment income before federal income taxes:				
Property and Casualty				
Personal Lines	\$ 43.0	\$ 27.4	\$ 74.0	\$ 56.1
Commercial Lines	35.1	38.7	74.8	137.2
Other Property and Casualty	1.1	7.5	2.7	6.5
Total Property and Casualty	79.2	73.6	151.5	199.8
Interest expense on debt	(11.8)	(6.3)	(32.8)	(27.2)
Total segment income before federal income taxes	67.4	67.3	118.7	172.6
Federal income tax expense on segment income	(22.7)	(22.0)	(40.2)	(56.9)
Net realized investment gains (losses)	5.7	-	16.8	(9.7)
Gain on retirement of debt	-	0.2	-	34.5
Federal income tax benefit (expense) on non-segment income	1.0	3.1	0.5	(8.6)
Income from continuing operations, net of taxes	51.4	48.6	95.8	131.9
Discontinued operations (net of taxes):				
Gain from discontinued FAFLIC business	0.5	0.4	0.4	6.3
Gain (loss) from discontinued accident and health insurance business	0.2	0.7	(0.9)	(2.4)
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business	0.1	-	1.0	4.1
Other discontinued operations	0.1	-	0.1	-
Net income	\$ 52.3	\$ 49.7	\$ 96.4	\$ 139.9

Table of Contents**Segment Results**

The following is our discussion and analysis of the results of operations by business segment. The segment results are presented before taxes and other items which management believes are not indicative of our core operations, including realized gains and losses.

Property and Casualty

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Property and Casualty group for the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Quarter Ended		Nine months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Segment revenues				
Net premiums written	\$ 803.7	\$ 688.8	\$ 2,330.9	\$ 1,981.8
Net premiums earned	\$ 728.0	\$ 637.4	\$ 2,092.3	\$ 1,899.4
Net investment income	61.3	62.1	184.2	187.9
Other income	10.1	10.0	29.0	29.1
Total segment revenues	799.4	709.5	2,305.5	2,116.4
Losses and operating expenses				
Losses and LAE	454.6	403.0	1,384.6	1,225.1
Policy acquisition expenses	173.4	146.8	490.8	434.7
Other operating expenses	92.2	86.1	278.6	256.8
Total losses and operating expenses	720.2	635.9	2,154.0	1,916.6
Segment income	\$ 79.2	\$ 73.6	\$ 151.5	\$ 199.8

Quarter Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Quarter Ended September 30, 2009

The Property and Casualty group's segment income increased \$5.6 million, or 7.6%, to \$79.2 million, in the third quarter of 2010, compared to \$73.6 million in the third quarter of 2009. Catastrophe related activity decreased by \$0.6 million in the quarter, to \$24.1 million, from \$24.7 million in the same period of 2009. Excluding the impact of catastrophe related activity, earnings would have increased by \$5.0 million. This increase was primarily due to more favorable current accident year loss results with improvements in both Personal and Commercial Lines, partially offset by lower favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves and slightly lower net investment income. Favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves decreased \$16.9 million in the quarter to \$25.9 million, from \$42.8 million in the same period in 2009.

Production and Underwriting Results

The following table summarizes GAAP net premiums written and GAAP loss, LAE, expense and combined ratios for the Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments. GAAP loss, LAE, catastrophe loss and combined ratios shown below include prior year reserve development. These items are not meaningful for our Other Property and Casualty segment.

Table of Contents

(In millions, except ratios)	Quarter Ended September 30,									
	2010			2009						
	GAAP Net	GAAP Catastrophe		GAAP Net	Loss	Catastrophe	GAAP			
		Loss	loss					Premiums	Ratios	Ratios
Premiums Written	Ratios (1)(2)	Ratios (3)	Premiums Written	Ratios (1)(2)	Ratios (3)					
Personal Lines:										
Personal automobile	\$ 239.1	59.7	0.6	\$ 249.1	59.9	0.3				
Homeowners	137.9	53.9	11.6	136.5	62.8	12.7				
Other personal	11.4	37.1	2.9	11.1	38.1	3.1				
Total Personal Lines	388.4	57.1	4.3	396.7	60.3	4.2				
Commercial Lines:										
Workers compensation	46.2	54.3	-	27.8	48.3	-				
Commercial automobile	61.2	57.0	0.2	47.4	50.9	1.7				
Commercial multiple peril	151.8	44.4	3.3	98.7	49.8	8.9				
Other commercial	156.1	42.5	2.8	118.2	42.1	0.4				
Total Commercial Lines	415.3	46.6	2.3	292.1	46.7	3.4				
Total	\$ 803.7	51.9	3.3	\$ 688.8	52.9	3.9				

	2010			2009		
	GAAP	GAAP	GAAP	GAAP	GAAP	GAAP
	LAE Ratio	Expense Ratio	Combined Ratio (4)(5)	LAE Ratio	Expense Ratio	Combined Ratio (4)(5)
Personal Lines	10.6	27.4	95.1	10.8	29.1	100.2
Commercial Lines	10.4	42.0	99.1	9.6	41.5	97.8
Total	10.5	34.6	97.0	10.3	34.3	97.6

- (1) GAAP loss ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio reflects incurred claims compared to premiums earned. Our GAAP loss ratios include catastrophe losses.
- (2) Includes policyholders' dividends.
- (3) Catastrophe loss ratio reflects incurred catastrophe claims compared to premiums earned.
- (4) GAAP combined ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio is the sum of incurred claims, claim expenses and underwriting expenses incurred to premiums earned. Our GAAP combined ratios also include the impact of catastrophes. Federal income taxes, net investment income and other non-underwriting expenses are not reflected in the GAAP combined ratio.
- (5) Total includes favorable development of \$0.1 million and \$10.5 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which is reflected in our Other Property and Casualty segment.

The following table summarizes GAAP underwriting results for the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments and reconciles it to GAAP segment income.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Quarter Ended September 30,

	2010				2009			
	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Other Property and Casualty	Total	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Other Property and Casualty	Total
GAAP underwriting profit (loss), excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes	\$ 21.8	\$ (6.0)	\$ 0.3	\$ 16.1	\$ 0.3	\$ (6.8)	\$ (0.2)	\$ (6.7)
Prior year favorable loss and LAE reserve development	9.3	16.5	0.1	25.9	11.0	21.3	10.5	42.8
Pretax catastrophe effect	(15.9)	(8.2)	-	(24.1)	(15.4)	(9.3)	-	(24.7)
GAAP underwriting profit (loss)	15.2	2.3	0.4	17.9	(4.1)	5.2	10.3	11.4
Net investment income (1)	25.4	32.3	3.6	61.3	27.8	31.9	2.4	62.1
Fees and other income	3.9	4.7	1.5	10.1	3.7	4.8	1.5	10.0
Other operating expenses	(1.5)	(4.2)	(4.4)	(10.1)	-	(3.2)	(6.7)	(9.9)
Segment income	\$ 43.0	\$ 35.1	\$ 1.1	\$ 79.2	\$ 27.4	\$ 38.7	\$ 7.5	\$ 73.6

(1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire Property and Casualty group. We allocate net investment income to each of our Property and Casualty segments based on actuarial information related to the underlying business.

Table of Contents

Personal Lines

Personal Lines net premiums written decreased \$8.3 million, or 2.1%, to \$388.4 million for the third quarter of 2010. The most significant factors contributing to lower net premiums written are our decision to reduce our market concentration in Louisiana and our continued focus on driving profit improvement in our core states, resulting in lower new business activity. These decreases were partially offset by our efforts to diversify our Personal Lines business into targeted growth states. Policies in force in our target growth states increased.

Net premiums written in the personal automobile line of business declined 4.0%, primarily as a result of lower policies in force in Massachusetts, Michigan, and New York, which we attribute to our efforts to improve or maintain margins in those states. Net premiums written in the homeowners line of business increased 1.0%, resulting from an increase in policies in force of 1.7% at the end of the third quarter of 2010, compared to the third quarter of 2009. Policies in force in the homeowners line increased in the majority of our states, primarily from our account rounding initiatives.

Personal Lines underwriting profit, excluding prior year loss and LAE reserve development and catastrophes, increased \$21.5 million, to \$21.8 million, in the third quarter of 2010, from \$0.3 million in the third quarter of 2009. This increase was primarily due to more favorable current accident year loss results, principally resulting from benign loss trends, which we attribute to improved non-catastrophe weather, an improvement in our mix of business, shifting to a greater proportion of whole account business, as well as continued rate increases in both the personal automobile and homeowners lines. Additionally, operating expenses decreased, primarily due to lower technology costs and lower employee related expenses, including lower pension costs, as well as the favorable resolution of a loss contingency.

Favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves decreased \$1.7 million, to \$9.3 million in 2010, from \$11.0 million in the third quarter of 2009.

Although we have been able to obtain rate increases in our Personal Lines markets, our ability to maintain and increase Personal Lines net written premium and to maintain and improve underwriting results could be affected by increasing price competition, regulatory and legal developments and the difficult economic conditions, particularly in Michigan and Massachusetts, which are our largest states.

Most of our new personal automobile business and an increasing proportion of our entire personal automobile business, currently approximately 60%, is written with our *Connections Auto* product. *Connections Auto* is designed to match the rate with the underlying risk for segmented groups of customers in a highly competitive marketplace. New business, whether written through our *Connections Auto* or legacy products, generally experiences higher loss ratios than our renewal business, and is more difficult to predict, particularly in states in which we have less experience and data. However, we believe that complete accounts offer better profitability and retention over time. Our ability to grow and be profitable will depend, in part, on our ability to continue to improve margins in the *Connections Auto* product and through our agency-centric, account rounding strategy, particularly as *Connections Auto* products account for an increasing proportion of our total personal automobile business. Our ability to grow could also be adversely affected by various underwriting, pricing and agency management actions.

It is difficult to predict the impact that the current difficult economic environment will have on our Personal Lines business. Our ability to increase pricing may continue to be impacted as agents and consumers become more price sensitive, customers shop for policies more frequently or aggressively, and agents increase their utilization of comparative rating models. Additionally, new business premiums, retention levels and renewal premiums may decrease as policyholders reduce coverages or change deductibles to reduce premiums, home values decline, foreclosures increase and policyholders retain older or less expensive automobiles. Additionally, claims frequency could increase as policyholders submit and pursue claims more aggressively than in the past, fraud incidences may increase, or we may experience higher incidents of abandoned properties or poorer maintenance which may also result in more claims activity. We have also experienced higher incidents of claims for uninsured or underinsured policy coverages as a greater percentage of the motoring public eliminates or reduces their liability coverages, thus exposing our policyholders to the greater likelihood of claims against uninsured or underinsured automobile coverages. Our Personal Lines segment could also be affected by an ensuing consolidation of independent insurance agencies.

In addition, as discussed under *Contingencies and Regulatory Matters - Certain Regulatory and Industry Developments*, certain states have taken, and others may take, actions which significantly affect the property and casualty insurance market, including ordering rate reductions for personal automobile and homeowners insurance products and subjecting insurance companies that do business in that state to onerous underwriting or other restrictions and potentially significant assessments. In addition, the Michigan Supreme Court recently issued a decision which we believe will significantly increase certain unresolved past claims and

Table of Contents

future claims for non-economic damages resulting from automobile accidents in that state. Such state actions or our responses thereto could have a significant impact on our underwriting margins and growth prospects, as well as our flexibility in managing our geographic mix of business. For example, starting in June 2010, we entered into an agreement to reduce approximately half of our Louisiana homeowners policies, a process that will continue over the next year, and which represents approximately 1% of our current total policies in force in our homeowners line of business.

Notwithstanding these concerns, we believe that our agency distribution strategy, the strength of our market share in key states, our account rounding strategy, the relatively inelastic demand for insurance products and our capital position, place us in a good position to manage these issues and concerns relative to many of our peer competitors.

Commercial Lines

Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$123.2 million, or 42.2%, to \$415.3 million for the third quarter of 2010. This increase was primarily driven by increased premiums associated with the OneBeacon renewal rights transaction of \$76.6 million, and growth in our managed program business through AIX, which accounted for \$16.3 million, as well as growth in various niche and segmented businesses. Also benefiting the overall growth comparison in net premiums written was a slight improvement in rate.

Commercial Lines underwriting loss, excluding prior year loss and LAE reserve development and catastrophes, improved \$0.8 million, to a loss of \$6.0 million, in the third quarter of 2010, compared to a loss of \$6.8 million in the third quarter of 2009. This change was primarily due to more favorable current accident year loss results in most of our commercial lines of business. This increase was partially offset by higher underwriting and loss adjustment expenses, principally attributable to higher variable compensation, increased costs in our specialty business, including our recently acquired subsidiaries, costs associated with our westward expansion initiative, and higher technology costs.

Favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves decreased \$4.8 million, to \$16.5 million in the third quarter 2010, from \$21.3 million for the third quarter of 2009.

We continue to experience significant price competition in all lines of business in our Commercial Lines segment. The industry is also experiencing overall rate decreases. Our ability to increase Commercial Lines net premiums written while maintaining or improving underwriting results may be affected by continuing price competition and the difficult economic conditions.

It is difficult to measure and predict the impact of the current difficult economic environment on our Commercial Lines segment. We may continue to experience downward pressure on new business levels, retention and renewal rates and renewal premiums. The overall decline in the economy has resulted in reductions in demand for insurance products and services as more companies cease to do business and there are fewer business start-ups, particularly as small businesses are affected by a decline in overall consumer and business spending. Our Commercial Lines segment could also be affected by an ensuing consolidation of independent insurance agencies. In addition, economic conditions may also continue to impact our surety bond business, especially in the small to middle market contractor business.

Notwithstanding these concerns, we believe that our agency distribution strategy, our broad product offerings, the strength of our growing specialty businesses, disruptions in the marketplace which may result in improved pricing and new business, the relatively inelastic demand for insurance products and our capital position, place us in a good position to manage these issues and concerns relative to many of our peer competitors.

Other Property and Casualty

Segment income of the Other Property and Casualty segment decreased \$6.4 million, to \$1.1 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, from \$7.5 million in the same period of 2009. The decrease is primarily due to lower favorable development in our run-off voluntary pools, partially offset by lower pension costs.

Table of Contents

Investment Results

Net investment income before taxes decreased \$0.8 million, or 1.3%, to \$61.3 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2010. This decrease is primarily due to the utilization of fixed maturities to fund certain corporate actions, such as stock repurchases and a \$100 million contribution to our pension plan on January 4, 2010, partially offset by higher income from opportunistic investments in fixed maturities, the continued investment of cash, principally into the bond market, and proceeds from our senior debt issuance. The average pre-tax earned yield on fixed maturities was 5.5% for both the third quarter of 2010 and 2009. If new money rates remain at their current lower levels, they will result in declines in average pre-tax investment yields in future periods.

Nine months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Nine months Ended September 30, 2009

The Property and Casualty group's segment income decreased \$48.3 million, or 24.2%, to \$151.5 million, in the nine months ended September 30 2010, compared to \$199.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Catastrophe related activity increased by \$51.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, to \$143.5 million, from \$92.3 million in the same period of 2009. Excluding the impact of catastrophe related activity, earnings would have increased by \$2.9 million. This increase was primarily due to more favorable current accident year loss results with improvements in both Personal and Commercial Lines, partially offset by lower favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves, higher expenses and lower net investment income. Favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves decreased \$40.8 million in the first nine months of 2010 to \$87.4 million, from \$128.2 million for the same period in 2009. Additionally, other operating expenses increased primarily due to increased costs in our specialty business, including our recently acquired subsidiaries, our Commercial Lines westward expansion initiative and higher variable compensation, partially offset by lower pension costs.

Table of Contents**Production and Underwriting Results**

The following table summarizes GAAP net premiums written and GAAP loss, LAE, expense and combined ratios for the Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments. These items are not meaningful for our Other Property and Casualty segment.

	Nine months Ended September 30,					
	2010 GAAP			2009		
	GAAP Net Premiums Written	Loss	Catastrophe loss	GAAP Net Premiums Written	GAAP Loss	Catastrophe loss
(In millions, except ratios)		Ratios (1)(2)	Ratios (3)		Ratios (1)(2)	Ratios (3)
Personal Lines:						
Personal automobile	\$ 717.4	60.1	1.2	\$ 740.6	59.5	0.6
Homeowners	365.3	67.2	22.6	344.8	72.6	18.0
Other personal	32.2	36.9	3.8	30.3	32.8	2.4
Total Personal Lines	1,114.9	61.8	8.2	1,115.7	62.7	5.9
Commercial Lines:						
Workers compensation	127.0	49.7	-	88.3	48.4	-
Commercial automobile	192.3	51.0	0.4	147.8	50.9	0.8
Commercial multiple peril	440.0	52.7	11.3	289.4	49.8	8.2
Other commercial	456.4	46.0	3.7	340.4	38.0	1.6
Total Commercial Lines	1,215.7	49.4	5.3	865.9	45.3	3.5
Total	\$ 2,330.6	56.0	6.9	\$ 1,981.6	54.8	4.9
	GAAP LAE Ratio	2010 GAAP Expense Ratio	GAAP Combined Ratio (4) (5)	GAAP LAE Ratio	2009 GAAP Expense Ratio	GAAP Combined Ratio (4)(5)
Personal Lines	10.5	27.7	100.0	10.9	28.5	102.1
Commercial Lines	9.9	42.7	102.1	8.1	41.2	94.6
Total	10.2	34.7	100.9	9.7	33.9	98.4

- (1) GAAP loss ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio reflects incurred claims compared to premiums earned. Our GAAP loss ratios include catastrophe losses.
- (2) Includes policyholders' dividends.
- (3) Catastrophe loss ratio reflects incurred catastrophe claims compared to premiums earned.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

- (4) GAAP combined ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio is the sum of incurred claims, claim expenses and underwriting expenses incurred to premiums earned. Our GAAP combined ratios also include the impact of catastrophes. Federal income taxes, net investment income and other non-underwriting expenses are not reflected in the GAAP combined ratio.
- (5) Total includes favorable development of \$0.6 million and \$10.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which is reflected in our Other Property and Casualty segment.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes GAAP underwriting results for the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments and reconciles it to GAAP segment income.

	Nine months Ended September 30,							
	2010				2009			
	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Other Property and Casualty	Total	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Other Property and Casualty	Total
GAAP underwriting profit (loss), excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes	\$ 45.7	\$ (20.2)	\$ 0.1	\$ 25.6	\$ (9.1)	\$ (8.5)	\$ 0.2	\$ (17.4)
Prior year favorable loss and LAE reserve development	36.6	50.2	0.6	87.4	39.8	78.0	10.4	128.2
Pretax catastrophe effect	(91.0)	(52.5)	-	(143.5)	(64.1)	(28.2)	-	(92.3)
GAAP underwriting (loss) profit	(8.7)	(22.5)	0.7	(30.5)	(33.4)	41.3	10.6	18.5
Net investment income (1)	76.6	96.6	11.0	184.2	81.4	93.2	13.3	187.9
Fees and other income	10.4	14.0	4.6	29.0	10.8	14.0	4.3	29.1
Other operating expenses	(4.3)	(13.3)	(13.6)	(31.2)	(2.7)	(11.3)	(21.7)	(35.7)
Segment income	\$ 74.0	\$ 74.8	\$ 2.7	\$ 151.5	\$ 56.1	\$ 137.2	\$ 6.5	\$ 199.8

(1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire Property and Casualty group. We allocate net investment income to each of our Property and Casualty segments based on actuarial information related to the underlying business.

Personal Lines

Personal Lines net premiums written were essentially flat, decreasing \$0.8 million, or 0.1%, to \$1,114.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. A factor contributing to lower net premiums written is our continued focus on driving profit improvement in our core states, resulting in lower new business activity. This was largely offset by our efforts to diversify our Personal Lines business into targeted growth states. Policies in force in our targeted growth states increased.

Net premiums written in the personal automobile line of business declined 3.1%, primarily as a result of lower policies in force in Massachusetts, Michigan, Georgia and New York, which we attribute to our efforts to improve or maintain margins in those states. Net premiums written in the homeowners line of business increased 5.9%, resulting from rate increases and an increase in policies in force of 1.7% at the end of the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Policies in force increased in our homeowners line in the majority of our states primarily from our account rounding initiatives.

Personal Lines underwriting loss decreased \$24.7 million, to \$8.7 million, in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to a loss of \$33.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily due to more favorable current accident year loss results, principally resulting from benign loss trends, which we attribute to improved non-catastrophe weather, and improvement in our mix of business, shifting to a greater proportion of whole account business, continued rate increases in both personal automobile and homeowners lines,

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

and lower expenses. These were partially offset by increased catastrophe losses due to several severe hail and wind storms. Catastrophe related activity increased \$26.9 million in the first nine months of 2010, from \$64.1 million in 2009 to \$91.0 million in 2010.

Personal Lines underwriting profit, excluding prior year loss and LAE reserve development and catastrophes, increased \$54.8 million, to \$45.7 million, in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to a loss of \$9.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily due to more favorable current accident year loss results, principally resulting from lower frequency of losses, which we attribute to improved non-catastrophe weather and benign loss trends. Additionally, we experienced an improvement in our mix of business, shifting to a greater proportion of whole account business, as well as continued rate increases on both personal automobile and homeowners lines. In addition, underwriting and other operating expenses decreased, primarily due to lower employee related expenses, including lower pension costs, the favorable resolution of a loss contingency and lower technology costs.

Favorable prior year loss and LAE reserve development during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased by \$3.2 million from \$39.8 million to \$36.6 million. Included in the current year results was approximately \$2 million of favorable LAE development, principally related to a change in the cost factors used for establishing unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves. LAE in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 included a benefit of approximately \$2 million, primarily associated with prior accident years, related to a change in our unallocated loss adjustment expense reserving methodology.

Table of Contents

Commercial Lines

Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$349.8 million, or 40.4%, to \$1,215.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This increase was primarily driven by increased premiums associated with the OneBeacon renewal rights transaction of \$226.9 million, and growth in our managed program business through AIX, which accounted for \$55.4 million, as well as growth in various niche and segmented businesses. Also affecting the overall growth comparison in net premiums written was a slight improvement in rate. Additionally, our core lines net premium written was affected by a decrease in exposures in our commercial multiple peril, commercial auto and workers' compensation lines as a result of the difficult economic conditions.

Commercial Lines underwriting profit decreased \$63.8 million, to a loss of \$22.5 million, in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to profit of \$41.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily due to a reduction in favorable loss and LAE reserve development, increased catastrophe losses resulting from several severe hail, wind and thunderstorm events, and to higher expenses, partially offset by more favorable current accident year loss results. Catastrophe related activity increased \$24.3 million in the first nine months of 2010, from \$28.2 million in 2009 to \$52.5 million in 2010.

Commercial Lines underwriting loss, excluding prior year loss and LAE reserve development and catastrophes, increased \$11.7 million, to a loss of \$20.2 million, in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to a loss of \$8.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This change was primarily due to higher operating expenses, principally attributable to increased costs associated with our specialty businesses, including our recently acquired subsidiaries, increased costs related to our westward expansion initiative, increased variable compensation and higher technology costs. This change was partially offset by more favorable current accident year loss results primarily in our surety bond and commercial multiple peril businesses.

Favorable prior year loss and LAE reserve development decreased by \$27.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in the prior year. Included in the current year results was approximately \$8 million of favorable LAE development, principally related to a change in the cost factors used for establishing unallocated LAE reserves. LAE in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 included a benefit of \$18.0 million, including \$14.4 million associated with prior accident years, related to a change in our unallocated loss adjustment expense reserving methodology.

Other Property and Casualty

Segment income of the Other Property and Casualty segment decreased \$3.8 million, to \$2.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$6.5 million in the same period of 2009. The decrease is primarily due to lower favorable development in our run-off voluntary pools, partially offset by lower pension costs.

Investment Results

Net investment income before taxes decreased \$3.7 million, or 2.0%, to \$184.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This decrease is primarily due to the utilization of fixed maturities to fund certain corporate actions, such as common stock and corporate debt repurchases and a \$100 million contribution to our pension plan on January 4, 2010. The impact of lower new money yields in recent periods also contributed to the decline. These decreases were partially offset by the continued investment of cash into the bond market and strategic investments in fixed maturities. The average pre-tax earned yield on fixed maturities was 5.5% for the first nine months of 2010 and 5.6% for the first nine months of 2009. If new money rates remain at their current lower levels, they will result in further declines in average pre-tax investment yields in future periods.

Reserve for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Overview of Loss Reserve Estimation Process

We maintain reserves for our property and casualty products to provide for our ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses (our loss reserves) with respect to reported and unreported claims incurred as of the end of each accounting period. These reserves are estimates, taking into account past loss experience, modified for current trends, as well as prevailing economic, legal and social conditions. Loss reserves represent our largest liability.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Our loss reserves include case estimates for claims that have been reported and estimates for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR) at the balance sheet date. They also include estimates of the expenses associated with processing and settling all reported and unreported claims, less estimates of anticipated salvage and subrogation recoveries. Our loss reserves are not discounted to present value.

Case reserves are established by our claim personnel individually on a claim by claim basis and based on information specific to the occurrence and terms of the underlying policy. For some classes of business, average case reserves are used initially. Case reserves are periodically reviewed and modified based on new or additional information pertaining to the claim.

Table of Contents

IBNR reserves are estimated by management and our reserving actuaries on an aggregate basis for each line of business, coverage and accident year for all loss and loss expense liabilities not reflected within the case reserves. The sum of the case reserves and the IBNR reserves represents our estimate of total unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense.

We regularly review our loss reserves using a variety of actuarial techniques. We update the reserve estimates as historical loss experience develops, additional claims are reported and resolved and new information becomes available. Any changes in estimates are reflected in operating results in the period in which the estimates are changed.

IBNR reserve estimates are generally calculated by first projecting the ultimate cost of all claims that have occurred or are expected to occur in the future in aggregate and then subtracting reported losses and loss expenses. Reported losses include cumulative paid losses and loss expenses plus case reserves. The IBNR reserve includes a provision for claims that have occurred but have not yet been reported to us, some of which may not yet be known to the insured, as well as a provision for future development on reported claims. IBNR represents a significant proportion of our total net loss reserves, particularly for long tail liability classes. In fact, approximately 48% of our aggregate net loss reserves at September 30, 2010 were for IBNR losses and loss expenses.

Management's process for establishing loss reserves is primarily based on the results of our reserving actuaries quarterly reserving process; however, there are a number of other factors in addition to the actuarial point estimates as further described under the section below entitled "Loss and LAE Reserves by Line of Business." In establishing our loss reserves, we consider facts currently known and the present state of the law and coverage litigation. Based on all information currently available, we believe that the aggregate loss reserves at September 30, 2010 were adequate to cover claims for losses that had occurred as of that date, including both those known to us and those yet to be reported. However, as described below, there are significant uncertainties inherent in the loss reserving process. It is therefore possible that management's estimate of the ultimate liability for losses that had occurred as of September 30, 2010 may change, which could have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Management's Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions

We determine the amount of our loss reserves based on an estimation process that is very complex and uses information from both company specific and industry data, as well as general economic information. The estimation process is a combination of objective and subjective information, the blending of which requires significant actuarial and business judgment. There are various assumptions required including future trends in frequency and severity of claims, trends in total loss costs, operational changes in claim handling processes, and trends related to general economic and social conditions. As a result, informed subjective estimates and judgments as to our ultimate exposure to losses are an integral component of our loss reserving process.

Given the inherent complexity of our loss reserving process and the potential variability of the assumptions used, the actual emergence of losses could vary, perhaps substantially, from the estimate of losses included in our financial statements, particularly in those instances where settlements do not occur until well into the future. Our net loss reserves at September 30, 2010 were \$2.2 billion. Therefore, a relatively small percentage change in the estimate of net loss reserves would have a material effect on our results of operations.

There is greater inherent uncertainty in estimating insurance reserves for certain types of property and casualty insurance lines, particularly workers' compensation, personal and bodily injury in our automobile lines, and other liability lines, where a longer period of time may elapse before a definitive determination of ultimate liability and losses may be made. In addition, the technological, judicial, regulatory and political climates involving these types of claims change regularly. There is also greater uncertainty in establishing reserves with respect to new business, particularly new business which is generated with respect to newly introduced product lines, by newly appointed agents or in geographies in which we have less experience in conducting business, such as the program business written by our AIX subsidiary. In such cases, there is less historical experience or knowledge and less data upon which the actuaries can rely. Historically, we have limited the issuance of long-tailed other liability policies, including directors and officers (D&O) liability, errors and omissions (E&O) liability and medical malpractice liability. With the acquisition of Hanover Professionals in 2007, which writes lawyers professional E&O coverage, and the introduction of new specialty coverages, we are modestly increasing and expect to continue to increase our exposure to longer-tailed liability lines, including D&O coverages.

We regularly update our reserve estimates as new information becomes available and further events occur which may impact the resolution of unsettled claims. Reserve adjustments are reflected in the results of operations as adjustments to losses and LAE. Often, these adjustments are recognized in periods subsequent to the period in which the underlying policy was written and the loss event occurred. When these types of subsequent adjustments affect prior years, they are described separately as "prior year reserve development." Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results and may vary by line of business.

Table of Contents

Inflation generally increases the cost of losses covered by insurance contracts. The effect of inflation varies by product. Our property and casualty insurance premiums are established before the amount of losses and LAE and the extent to which inflation may affect such expenses are known. Consequently, we attempt, in establishing rates and reserves, to anticipate the potential impact of inflation and increasing medical costs in the projection of ultimate costs. We have experienced increasing medical and attendant care costs, including those associated with personal automobile personal injury protection claims, particularly in Michigan, as well as in our workers' compensation line in most states. This increase is reflected in our reserve estimates, but continued increases could contribute to increased losses and LAE in the future.

We regularly review our reserving techniques, our overall reserving position and our reinsurance. Based on (i) our review of historical data, legislative enactments, judicial decisions, legal developments in impositions of damages and policy coverage, political attitudes and trends in general economic conditions, (ii) our review of per claim information, (iii) our historical loss experience and that of the industry, (iv) the relatively short-term nature of most policies written by us, and (v) our internal estimates of required reserves, we believe that adequate provision has been made for loss reserves. However, establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process and there can be no certainty that current established reserves will prove adequate in light of subsequent actual experience. A significant change to the estimated reserves could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position. An increase or decrease in reserve estimates would result in a corresponding decrease or increase in financial results. For example, each one percentage point change in the aggregate loss and LAE ratio resulting from a change in reserve estimation is currently projected to have an approximate \$25 million impact on property and casualty segment income, based on 2009 full year premiums.

As discussed below, estimated loss and LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$87.4 million and \$128.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which represents 4.0% and 6.1% of net loss reserves held, respectively.

The major causes of material uncertainty relating to ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses (risk factors) generally vary for each line of business, as well as for each separately analyzed component of the line of business. In some cases, such risk factors are explicit assumptions of the estimation method and in others, they are implicit. For example, a method may explicitly assume that a certain percentage of claims will close each year, but will implicitly assume that the legal interpretation of existing contract language will remain unchanged. Actual results will likely vary from expectations for each of these assumptions, resulting in an ultimate claim liability that is different from that being estimated currently.

Some risk factors will affect more than one line of business. Examples include changes in claim department practices, changes in settlement patterns, regulatory and legislative actions, court actions, timeliness of claim reporting, state mix of claimants, and degree of claimant fraud. Additionally, there is also a higher degree of uncertainty due to growth in our newly acquired companies, for which we have limited historical claims experience. The extent of the impact of a risk factor will also vary by components within a line of business. Individual risk factors are also subject to interactions with other risk factors within line of business components. Thus, risk factors can have offsetting or compounding effects on required reserves.

We are also defendants in various litigation, including putative class actions, which claim punitive damages or claim a broader scope of policy coverage than our interpretation, particularly in connection with losses incurred from Hurricane Katrina. The reserves established with respect to Hurricane Katrina assume that we will prevail with respect to these matters (See also Contingencies and Regulatory Matters). Although we believe our current Hurricane Katrina reserves are adequate, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with this event will not substantially exceed these estimates. We have fully utilized all of our available reinsurance with respect to losses and LAE related to Hurricane Katrina.

Loss and LAE Reserves by Line of Business

Reserves Other than those Relating to Asbestos and Environmental Claims

Our loss reserves include amounts related to short tail and long tail classes of business. Tail refers to the time period between the occurrence of a loss and the settlement of the claim. The longer the time span between the incidence of a loss and the settlement of the claim, the more the ultimate settlement amount can vary.

Short tail classes consist principally of automobile physical damage, homeowners, commercial property and marine business. For these coverages, claims are generally reported and settled shortly after the loss occurs because the claims relate to tangible property and are more likely to be discovered shortly after the loss occurs. Consequently, the estimation of loss reserves for these classes is less complex.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

While 57% of our written premium is in short tailed classes of business, most of our loss reserves relate to longer tail liability classes of business. Long tail classes include commercial liability, automobile liability, workers compensation and other types of

Table of Contents

third party coverage. For many liability claims, significant periods of time, ranging up to several years or more, may elapse between the occurrence of the loss, the discovery and reporting of the loss to us and the settlement of the claim. As a result, loss experience in the more recent accident years for the long tail liability coverage has limited statistical credibility because a relatively small proportion of losses in these accident years are reported claims and an even smaller proportion are paid losses. An accident year is the calendar year in which a loss is incurred. Liability claims are also more susceptible to litigation and can be significantly affected by changing contract interpretations, the legal environment and the expense of protracted litigation. Consequently, the estimation of loss reserves for these coverages is more complex and typically subject to a higher degree of variability compared to short tail coverages.

Most of our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools is long tail casualty reinsurance. Reserve estimates for this business are therefore subject to the variability caused by extended loss emergence periods. The estimation of loss reserves for this business is further complicated by delays between the time the claim is reported to the ceding insurer and when it is reported by the ceding insurer to us and by our dependence on the quality and consistency of the loss reporting by the ceding company.

Our reserving actuaries, who are independent of the business units, perform a comprehensive review of loss reserves for each of the numerous classes of business we write at the end of each quarter. This review process takes into consideration a variety of trends that impact the ultimate settlement of claims, including the emergence of paid and reported losses relative to expectations.

The loss reserve estimation process relies on the basic assumption that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and likely trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting future outcomes. As part of this process, our actuaries use a variety of actuarial methods that analyze experience, trends and other relevant factors. The principal standard actuarial methods used by our actuaries in the loss reserve reviews include loss development factor methods, expected loss methods (Bornheutter-Ferguson), and adjusted loss methods (Berquist-Sherman).

Loss development factor methods generally assume that the losses yet to emerge for an accident year are proportional to the paid or reported loss amount observed so far. Historical patterns of the development of paid and reported losses by accident year can be predictive of the expected future patterns that are applied to current paid and reported losses to generate estimated ultimate losses by accident year.

Bornheutter-Ferguson methods calculate IBNR directly for each accident year as the product of expected ultimate losses times the proportion of ultimate losses estimated to be unreported or unpaid (obtained from the loss development factor methods). Expected ultimate losses are determined by multiplying the expected loss ratio times earned premium. The expected loss ratio uses loss ratios from prior accident years adjusted to reflect current revenue and cost levels. The expected loss ratio is a critical component of Bornheutter-Ferguson and provides a general reasonability guide for all reserving methods.

Berquist-Sherman methods are used in cases where historical development patterns may be deemed less optimal for use in estimating ultimate losses of recent accident years. Under these methods, patterns of historical paid or reported losses are first adjusted to reflect current payment settlement patterns and case reserve adequacy and then evaluated in the same manner as the paid or reported loss development factor methods described above. The reported loss development factor method can be less appropriate when the adequacy of case reserves suddenly changes, while the paid loss development factor method can likewise be less appropriate when settlement patterns suddenly change.

For some low volume and high volatility classes of business, special reserving techniques are utilized that estimate IBNR by selecting the loss ratio that balances actual reported losses to expected reported losses as defined by the estimated underlying reporting pattern.

In completing their loss reserve analysis, our actuaries are required to determine the most appropriate actuarial methods to employ for each line of business, coverage and accident year. Each estimation method has its own pattern, parameter and/or judgmental dependencies, with no estimation method being better than the others in all situations. The relative strengths and weaknesses of the various estimation methods when applied to a particular class of business can also change over time, depending on the underlying circumstances. In many cases, multiple estimation methods will be valid for the particular facts and circumstances of the relevant class of business. The manner of application and the degree of reliance on a given method will vary by line of business and coverage, and by accident year based on our actuaries' evaluation of the above dependencies and the potential volatility of the loss frequency and severity patterns. The estimation methods selected or given weight by our actuaries at a particular valuation date are those that are believed to produce the most reliable indication for the loss reserves being evaluated. Selections incorporate input from claims personnel, pricing actuaries, and underwriting management on loss cost trends and other factors that could affect ultimate losses.

For short tail classes, the emergence of paid and incurred losses generally exhibits a reasonably stable pattern of loss development from one accident year to the next. Thus, for these classes in the vast majority of cases, the loss development factor method is

Table of Contents

generally appropriate. In certain cases where there is a relatively low level of reliability placed on the available paid and incurred loss data, expected loss methods or adjusted loss methods are considered appropriate for the most recent accident year.

For long tail lines of business, applying the loss development factor method often requires more judgment in selecting development factors as well as more significant extrapolation. For those long tail lines of business with high frequency and relatively low per-loss severity (e.g., personal automobile liability), volatility will often be sufficiently modest for the loss development factor method to be given significant weight, even in the most recent accident years, but expected loss methods and adjusted loss methods are always considered and frequently utilized in the selection process. For those long tail lines of business with low frequency and high loss potential (e.g., commercial liability), anticipated loss experience is less predictable because of the small number of claims and erratic claim severity patterns. In these situations, the loss development factor methods may not produce a reliable estimate of ultimate losses in the most recent accident years since many claims either have not yet been reported or are only in the early stages of the settlement process. Therefore, the actuarial estimates for these accident years are based on methods less reliant on extrapolation, such as Bornheutter-Ferguson. Over time, as a greater number of claims are reported and the statistical credibility of loss experience increases, loss development factor methods or adjusted loss methods are given increasingly more weight.

Using all the available data, our actuaries select an indicated loss reserve amount for each line of business, coverage and accident year based on the various assumptions, projections and methods. The total indicated reserve amount determined by our actuaries is an aggregate of the indicated reserve amounts for the individual classes of business. The ultimate outcome is likely to fall within a range of potential outcomes around this indicated amount, but the indicated amount is not expected to be precisely the ultimate liability.

As stated above, numerous factors (both internal and external) contribute to the inherent uncertainty in the process of establishing loss reserves, including changes in the rate of inflation for goods and services related to insured damages (e.g., medical care, home repairs, etc.), changes in the judicial interpretation of policy provisions, changes in the general attitude of juries in determination of damages, legislative actions, changes in the extent of insured injuries, changes in the trend of expected frequency and/or severity of claims, changes in our book of business (e.g., change in mix due to new product offerings, new geographic areas, etc.), changes in our underwriting norms, and changes in claim handling procedures and/or systems. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other facts.

In addition, we must consider the uncertain effects of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise as legal, judicial and social conditions change. These issues could have a negative effect on our loss reserves by either extending coverage beyond the original underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. As a result of these potential issues, the uncertainties inherent in estimating ultimate claim costs on the basis of past experience have further complicated the already complex loss reserving process.

As part of our loss reserving analysis, we take into consideration the various factors that contribute to the uncertainty in the loss reserving process. Those factors that could materially affect our loss reserve estimates include loss development patterns and loss cost trends, rate and exposure level changes, the effects of changes in coverage and policy limits, business mix shifts, the effects of regulatory and legislative developments, the effects of changes in judicial interpretations, the effects of emerging claims and coverage issues and the effects of changes in claim handling practices. In making estimates of reserves, however, we do not necessarily make an explicit assumption for each of these factors. Moreover, all estimation methods do not utilize the same assumptions and typically no single method is determinative in the reserve analysis for a line of business and coverage. Consequently, changes in our loss reserve estimates generally are not the result of changes in any one assumption. Instead, the variability will be affected by the interplay of changes in numerous assumptions, many of which are implicit to the approaches used.

For each line of business and coverage, we regularly adjust the assumptions and actuarial methods used in the estimation of loss reserves in response to our actual loss experience, as well as our judgments regarding changes in trends and/or emerging patterns. In those instances where we primarily utilize analyses of historical patterns of the development of paid and reported losses, this may be reflected, for example, in the selection of revised loss development factors. In those long tail classes of business that comprise a majority of our loss reserves and for which loss experience is less predictable due to potential changes in judicial interpretations, potential legislative actions, the cost of litigation or determining liability and the ultimate loss, inflation and potential claims issues, this may be reflected in a judgmental change in our estimate of ultimate losses for particular accident years.

The future impact of the various factors that contribute to the uncertainty in the loss reserving process is extremely difficult to predict. There is potential for significant variation in the development of loss reserves, particularly for long tail classes of business. We do not derive statistical loss distributions or confidence levels around our loss reserve estimate, and as a result, do not have

Table of Contents

reserve range estimates to disclose. Actuarial ranges of reasonable estimates are not a true reflection of the potential volatility between carried loss reserves and the ultimate settlement amount of losses incurred prior to the balance sheet date. This is due, among other reasons, to the fact that actuarial ranges are developed based on known events as of the valuation date whereas the ultimate disposition of losses is subject to the outcome of events and circumstances that were unknown as of the valuation date.

The following tables and related discussion includes disclosure of possible variation from current actuarial estimates of loss reserves due to a change in certain key assumptions for the primary long tail coverages within our major lines of business which typically represent the areas of greatest uncertainty in our reserving process. We believe that the estimated variation in reserves detailed below is a reasonable estimate of the possible variation that may occur in the future, and are provided to illustrate the relationship between claim reporting patterns and expected loss ratios, respectively, on actuarial loss reserve estimates for the lines identified. However, if such variation did occur, it would likely occur over a period of several years and therefore its impact on our results of operations would be spread over the same period. It is important to note, however, that there is the potential for future variation greater than the amounts discussed below and for any such variations to be recognized in a single quarterly or annual period.

As noted, the tables below illustrate the relationship between the impact on our actuarial loss reserve estimates of reasonably likely variations to claim reporting patterns and expected actuarial estimates of ultimate loss costs, two key actuarial assumptions used to estimate our net reserves at September 30, 2010, from the assumptions utilized by our actuaries. The five point change to our expected loss ratio selections, which incorporate variability in both ultimate frequency and severity, are within the historical variation present in our prior accident year development. The three month change to reporting patterns represent claims reporting that is both faster and slower than our current reporting assumption for reported loss patterns and this degree of change is within the historical variation present in actual reporting patterns. A faster reporting pattern results in lower indicated net loss reserves due to the presumption that a higher proportion of ultimate claims have been reported thus far; and therefore, a lower proportion of ultimate claims needs to be carried as IBNR. A slower reporting pattern results in higher indicated net loss reserves due to the presumption that a lower proportion of ultimate claims have been reported thus far; and therefore, a higher proportion of ultimate claims needs to be carried as IBNR.

The results show the cumulative dollar difference between our current actuarial estimate and the estimate that we would develop if our understanding with respect to loss reporting patterns and ultimate loss costs were different by three months or five points, respectively. No consideration has been given to potential correlation or lack of correlation among key assumptions or among lines of business and coverage. As a result, it would be inappropriate to take the amounts described below and add them together in an attempt to estimate volatility in total. While we believe these are reasonably likely scenarios, we do not believe the reader should consider the below sensitivity analysis as an actual reserve range.

Expected Dollar Effect on Actuarial Loss Reserve Estimates**Personal Automobile Bodily Injury**

(In millions)

Change in Expected Loss Ratio

Reporting Pattern	5 points		
	5 points lower	Unchanged	higher
3 months faster	\$ (16)	\$ (13)	\$ (10)
Unchanged	(3)	-	3
3 months slower	26	30	33

Example: Personal Automobile Bodily Injury, if losses are actually developing and emerging three months slower than we anticipate in our models, our actuarial estimate for this coverage would be understated by \$30 million. If our assumed payment patterns are consistent with our expectations, but the expected loss ratio in our model is 5% too low, then our actuarial estimate for this coverage would be understated by \$3 million.

Table of Contents**Expected Dollar Effect on Actuarial Loss Reserve Estimates****Workers Compensation Indemnity**
(In millions)

Change in Expected Loss Ratio

Reporting Pattern	<u>5 points</u>	<u>Unchanged</u>	<u>5 points</u>
	<u>lower</u>		<u>higher</u>
3 months faster	\$ (3)	\$ (1)	\$ 1
Unchanged	(2)	-	2
3 months slower	1	3	5

Workers Compensation Medical
(In millions)

Change in Expected Loss Ratio

Reporting Pattern	<u>5 points</u>	<u>Unchanged</u>	<u>5 points</u>
	<u>lower</u>		<u>higher</u>
3 months faster	\$ (4)	\$ (3)	\$ (2)
Unchanged	(1)	-	1
3 months slower	1	2	3

Commercial Multiple Peril Liability
(In millions)

Change in Expected Loss Ratio

Reporting Pattern	<u>5 points</u>	<u>Unchanged</u>	<u>5 points</u>
	<u>lower</u>		<u>higher</u>
3 months faster	\$ (6)	\$ (2)	\$ 2
Unchanged	(4)	-	4
3 months slower	(2)	2	6

Senior management meets with our reserving actuaries at the end of each quarter to review the results of the latest actuarial loss reserve analysis. Based on this quarterly process, management determines the carried reserve for each line of business and coverage and assesses the reasonableness of the difference between recorded and actuarially indicated reserves. In making the determination, management considers numerous factors, such as changes in actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past, the level of volatility within a particular class of business, general economic trends, and other factors not fully captured in the actuarial reserve analysis. In doing so, management must evaluate whether a change in the data represents credible actionable information or an anomaly. Such an assessment requires considerable judgment. Even if a change is determined to be apparent, it is not always possible to determine the extent of the change. As a result, there can be a time lag between the emergence of a change and a determination that the change should be reflected in the carried loss reserves. In general, changes are made more quickly to more mature accident years and less volatile classes of business.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

As described more fully under Contingencies and Regulatory Matters Certain Regulatory and Industry Developments , on August 1, 2010, the Michigan Supreme Court issued a decision in a case captioned McCormick v. Carrier, et al, overturning the so-called Kreiner decision, and in doing so, we believe the Court significantly expanded the circumstances under which claimants can sue for non-economic losses (for example, pain and suffering) resulting from automobile accidents in Michigan. We believe the revised standard will significantly increase certain unresolved past claims and future claims for non-economic damages in our personal automobile line. Accordingly, we established our actuarial point estimates based upon our understanding of the current state of the law as of September 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our actuarial point estimates were established based upon the prior law and management considered the likelihood of an adverse decision in this matter in establishing additional recorded net reserves greater than actuarially indicated reserves. As a result, the actuarial point estimate for the personal automobile line as of September 30, 2010 was increased by approximately \$15 million to take into account the estimated effects of the new law. A corresponding and offsetting decrease was made to the reserve established in excess of the actuarial point estimate for this line of business.

Table of Contents

The table below shows our recorded reserves, net of reinsurance, and the related actuarial reserve point estimates by line of business at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Recorded Net	Actuarial Point	Recorded Net	Actuarial Point
(In millions)	Reserves	Estimate	Reserves	Estimate
Personal Automobile	\$ 641.5	\$ 626.1	\$ 663.6	\$ 632.9
Homeowners	115.8	108.8	88.6	83.9
Other Personal Lines	19.3	19.5	20.2	19.2
Workers Compensation	327.8	317.3	334.5	324.6
Commercial Automobile	165.5	161.3	157.4	152.2
Commercial Multiple Peril	422.6	403.5	395.3	372.7
Other Commercial Lines	337.7	325.2	287.5	274.8
Asbestos and Environmental	10.4	10.4	11.3	11.4
Pools and Other	118.0	118.0	133.5	133.5
Total	\$ 2,158.6	\$ 2,090.1	\$ 2,091.9	\$ 2,005.2

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, total recorded net reserves were 3.3% and 4.3% greater than actuarially indicated reserves, respectively. The principal factors considered by management, in addition to the actuarial point estimates, in determining the recorded reserves vary by line of business.

In our Commercial Lines segment, management considered the growth in our specialty lines, including our acquisitions over the past few years, inland marine and bond businesses, product mix changes and recent adverse frequency and severity trends in certain coverages. Management considered the recent trends in our contract surety bond business where higher loss trends have emerged in recent quarters related to declining general economic conditions. Additionally, management considered the potential for adverse development in workers compensation where losses tend to emerge over long periods of time, trends are cyclical related to general economic conditions, and rising medical costs, while moderating, have continued to be a concern. With the growth of our specialty lines, we are modestly increasing our exposure to longer tailed liability lines and there is less historical experience and less actuarial data available, all of which results in less certainty when estimating ultimate reserves. In our commercial multiple peril line, management considered the potential for adverse development due to increasing legal defense costs related to exposures, such as personal injury, advertising injury, Chinese drywall, and other complex cases that may continue to trend higher than expected.

In our Personal Lines segment, management considered the Michigan Supreme Court's recent decision to overturn the so-called Kreiner decision and the resulting increase in our actuarial indications during the quarter as described above, the potential impact of the adverse frequency and development trends in personal and bodily injury claims in our automobile line related to the 2008 through 2010 accident years and the related potential for continued adverse trends due to costs shifting from health insurers to property and casualty insurers resulting from continued economic concerns and health insurance coverage trends, all of which have added additional uncertainty to future development in our personal automobile line. Additionally, management considered the growth in our new business in a number of states where there is additional uncertainty in the ultimate profitability and development of reserves due to the unseasoned nature of our new business and new agency relationships in these markets. Although our experience and data in these areas is growing with the passage of time, a sufficient number of years of actuarial data is not yet available to base loss estimates solely on this data in new geographical areas and agency relationships and with new products. As a result, there is less certainty when estimating ultimate reserves and more judgment by management is required. In our homeowners line, management also considered the potential for adverse development related to catastrophe losses.

Table of Contents

The table below provides a reconciliation of the gross beginning and ending reserve for unpaid losses and LAE as follows:

(In millions)	Nine months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Reserve for losses and LAE, beginning of period	\$ 3,152.1	\$ 3,201.3
Incurring losses and LAE, net of reinsurance recoverable:		
Provision for insured events of current year	1,471.0	1,352.9
Decrease in provision for insured events of prior years; favorable development	(87.4)	(128.2)
Total incurred losses and LAE	1,383.6	1,224.7
Payments, net of reinsurance recoverable:		
Losses and LAE attributable to insured events of current year	726.9	665.6
Losses and LAE attributable to insured events of prior years	620.2	653.8
Total payments	1,347.1	1,319.4
Change in reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses	4.2	(21.8)
Purchase of Campania	37.2	-
Reserve for losses and LAE, end of period	\$ 3,230.0	\$ 3,084.8

The table below summarizes the gross reserve for losses and LAE by line of business.

(In millions)	September 30,	December 31,
	2010	2009
Personal Automobile	\$ 1,316.7	\$ 1,303.4
Homeowners and Other	145.8	140.1
Total Personal	1,462.5	1,443.5
Workers Compensation	522.3	524.1
Commercial Automobile	219.9	217.4
Commercial Multiple Peril	468.1	449.7
Other Commercial	557.2	517.4
Total Commercial	1,767.5	1,708.6
Total reserve for losses and LAE	\$ 3,230.0	\$ 3,152.1

The total reserve for losses and LAE as disclosed in the above table increased by \$77.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Prior Year Development by Line of Business

When trends emerge that we believe affect the future settlement of claims, we adjust our reserves accordingly. Reserve adjustments are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as adjustments to losses and LAE. Often, we recognize these adjustments in periods subsequent to the period in which the underlying loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are disclosed and discussed separately as prior year reserve development. Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the change in the provision for insured events of prior years.

(In millions)	Nine months Ended	
	2010	September 30, 2009
(Decrease) increase in loss provision for insured events of prior years:		
Personal Automobile	\$ (27.8)	\$ (44.5)
Homeowners and Other	(1.9)	6.2
Total Personal	(29.7)	(38.3)
Workers Compensation	(16.8)	(17.1)
Commercial Automobile	(4.6)	(4.7)
Commercial Multiple Peril	(16.8)	(16.1)
Other Commercial	0.7	(20.0)
Total Commercial	(37.5)	(57.9)
Voluntary Pools	(0.6)	(10.4)
Decrease in loss provision for insured events of prior years	(67.8)	(106.6)
Decrease in LAE provision for insured events of prior years	(19.6)	(21.6)
Decrease in total loss and LAE provision for insured events of prior years	\$ (87.4)	\$ (128.2)

Estimated loss reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$67.8 million and \$106.6 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The favorable loss reserve development during the first nine months of 2010 is primarily the result of lower than expected severity in the personal automobile line across all coverages and lower frequency in the personal automobile line in property coverages, primarily related to the 2007 through 2009 accident years, and lower than expected severity in the workers compensation line, primarily related to the 2004 through 2009 accident years. In addition, lower than expected severity in the commercial multiple peril line related to the 2007 through 2009 accident years and in the commercial umbrella line related to the 2008 and 2009 accident years contributed to the favorable development, partially offset by unfavorable development in our bond line primarily related to the 2009 accident year.

The favorable loss reserve development during the first nine months of 2009 is primarily the result of lower than expected severity of bodily injury in the personal automobile line, primarily in the 2000 through 2008 accident years, lower than expected severity in the workers compensation line, primarily in the 2000 through 2008 accident years and lower than expected severity in the commercial multiple peril line, primarily in the 2000 through 2007 accident years. In addition, lower than expected severity in the bond line, lower projected losses in our run-off voluntary pools and lower projected exposures to asbestos and environmental liability for our direct written business contributed to the favorable development. Partially offsetting the favorable development was unfavorable non-catastrophe weather-related property loss development, primarily related to our commercial property, homeowners and personal automobile physical damage lines.

During the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, estimated LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$19.6 million and \$21.6 million, respectively. In 2009, we changed our unallocated loss adjustment expense reserving methodology from that based on cash payments to that based on unit costs, which resulted in a \$20.0 million benefit, of which \$16.0 million related to prior years. We believe that the methodology based on unit costs is more representative of our future costs of settling our existing claims. The 2010 amount includes \$9.8 million of favorable development resulting from a change in the cost factors used for establishing unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves.

Although we have experienced significant favorable development in both losses and LAE in recent years, there can be no assurance that this level of favorable development will occur in the future. We believe that we will experience less favorable prior year development in future years

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

than we experienced recently. The factors that resulted in the favorable development of prior year reserves, including the aforementioned changes in LAE reserves, are considered in our ongoing process for establishing current accident year reserves. In light of our recent years of favorable development, the factors driving this development were considered to varying degrees in setting the more recent years' accident year reserves. As a result, we expect the current and most recent accident year reserves not to develop as favorably as they have in the past. In light of the significance, in recent periods, of favorable development to our Property and Casualty group's segment income, declines in favorable development could be material to our results of operations.

Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

Although we attempt to limit our exposures to asbestos and environmental damage liability through specific policy exclusions, we have been and may continue to be subject to claims related to these exposures. Ending loss and LAE reserves for all direct

Table of Contents

business written by our property and casualty companies related to asbestos and environmental damage liability, included in the reserve for losses and LAE, were \$10.4 and \$11.3 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, net of reinsurance of \$19.9 at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. In recent years average asbestos and environmental payments have declined modestly. As a result of our historical direct underwriting mix of Commercial Lines policies toward smaller and middle market risks, past asbestos and environmental damage liability loss experience has remained minimal in relation to our total loss and LAE incurred experience.

In addition, and not included in the numbers above, we have established loss and LAE reserves for assumed reinsurance pool business with asbestos and environmental damage liability of \$34.9 million and \$45.6 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. These reserves relate to pools in which we have terminated our participation; however, we continue to be subject to claims related to years in which we were a participant. The \$10.7 million decrease in these reserves during the first nine months of 2010 was primarily due to a large claim settlement within these pools. A significant part of our pool reserves relates to our participation in the Excess and Casualty Reinsurance Association (ECRA) voluntary pool from 1950 to 1982. In 1982, the pool was dissolved and since that time, the business has been in runoff. Our percentage of the total pool liabilities varied from 1% to 6% during these years. Our participation in this pool has resulted in average paid losses of approximately \$2 million annually over the past ten years. Because of the inherent uncertainty regarding the types of claims in these pools, we cannot provide assurance that our reserves will be sufficient.

We estimate our ultimate liability for asbestos, environmental and toxic tort liability claims, whether resulting from direct business, assumed reinsurance or pool business, based upon currently known facts, reasonable assumptions where the facts are not known, current law and methodologies currently available. Although these outstanding claims are not significant, their existence gives rise to uncertainty and are discussed because of the possibility that they may become significant. We believe that, notwithstanding the evolution of case law expanding liability in asbestos and environmental claims, recorded reserves related to these claims are adequate. Nevertheless, the asbestos, environmental and toxic tort liability reserves could be revised, and any such revisions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for a particular quarterly or annual period or on our financial position.

Reinsurance

We maintain a reinsurance program designed to protect against large or unusual loss and LAE activity. Information regarding our reinsurance coverages is described in the Reinsurance section on pages 13 and 14 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. Effective July 1, 2010, for a twelve month term, we renewed our property catastrophe occurrence treaty for those coverages in excess of \$700 million. For our \$200 million excess of \$700 million property catastrophe coverage layer, we previously retained 50% of the losses associated with this layer. Beginning on July 1, 2010, 100% of losses in this layer will be covered by reinsurance. Also, effective July 1, 2010, for a twelve month term, we purchased an additional \$100 million excess of \$900 million property catastrophe coverage layer. Both of these layers only provide coverage for perils in the Northeast.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations primarily consist of: (i) FAFLIC's discontinued operations, including both the loss associated with the sale of FAFLIC on January 2, 2009 and the loss or income resulting from its prior business operations; (ii) losses or gains associated with the sale of the variable life insurance and annuity business in 2005; and (iii) the discontinued accident and health insurance business.

FAFLIC Discontinued Operations

On January 2, 2009, we sold our remaining life insurance subsidiary, FAFLIC, to Commonwealth Annuity, a subsidiary of Goldman Sachs. In connection with the sale, FAFLIC paid a dividend consisting of designated assets with a statutory book value of approximately \$130 million. Total net proceeds from the sale, including the dividend, were approximately \$230 million, net of transaction costs. Additionally, coincident with the sale transaction, Hanover Insurance and FAFLIC entered into a reinsurance contract whereby Hanover Insurance assumed FAFLIC's discontinued accident and health insurance business. We also agreed to indemnify Commonwealth Annuity for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities related to the pre-closing activities of the business transferred. We recognized, in 2008, a \$77.3 million loss associated with this transaction.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the results for this discontinued business for the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Gain from discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.4	\$ 6.3

Gains, net of taxes, from FAFLIC's discontinued operations of \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively and \$0.4 million and \$6.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively, resulted primarily from a change in our estimate of indemnification liabilities related to the sale.

In connection with the sales transaction, we agreed to indemnify Commonwealth Annuity for certain legal, regulatory and other matters that existed as of the sale and established a gross liability of \$9.9 million. As of September 30, 2010, our total gross liability was \$1.2 million. Although we believe our current estimate for this liability is appropriate, there can be no assurance that this estimate will be sufficient to pay future expenses associated with these guarantees.

Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business

On December 30, 2005, we sold our run-off variable life insurance and annuity business to Goldman Sachs and indemnified Goldman Sachs for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities relating to the pre-closing activities. Results currently consist primarily of expense and recoveries relating to indemnification obligations incurred in connection with this sale. The following table summarizes the results for this discontinued business for the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes	\$ 0.1	\$ -	\$ 1.0	\$ 4.1

For the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded gains of \$0.1 million and \$1.0 million, net of taxes, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded gains of \$4.1 million, net of taxes, primarily related to changes in our estimate of indemnification liabilities related to the 2005 sale of this business.

As of September 30, 2010, our total gross liability for guarantees and indemnifications provided in connection with the disposal of our former variable life insurance and annuity business was \$4.1 million. Although we believe our current estimate for this liability is appropriate, there can be no assurance that this estimate will be sufficient to pay future expenses associated with these guarantees.

Accident and Health Insurance Business

During the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized gains of \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, from our discontinued accident and health insurance business. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized losses of \$0.9 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, related to this business. Losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were driven by increased reserves resulting from our current interpretation of the provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA"), as well as realized investment losses due to impairments. These were partially offset by net investment income. Losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily reflect realized investment losses due to impairments.

Other Items

Net realized gains on investments were \$5.7 million in the third quarter of 2010. This compares to no net realized gains or losses in the same period of 2009. Net realized gains in 2010 are primarily due to \$10.4 million of gains recognized primarily from the sale of fixed maturities, partially offset by a \$3.7 million loss on futures contracts relating to the release of tax capital loss carryforwards (see Income Taxes) and \$1.4 million of impairments from both fixed maturities and equity securities. In 2009, impairments of \$6.1 million primarily from fixed maturities were offset by \$6.1 million of gains recognized from the sale of fixed maturities.

Net realized gains on investments were \$16.8 million for the first nine months of 2010 compared to net realized losses of \$9.7 million for the same period of 2009. Net realized gains in the first nine months of 2010 are due to \$26.4 million of gains

Table of Contents

recognized primarily from the sale of fixed maturities and, to a lesser extent, equity securities, partially offset by \$7.5 million of impairments from both fixed maturities and equity securities. Net realized losses in the first nine months of 2009 are due to \$29.3 million of impairments from fixed maturities and equity securities, partially offset by \$19.8 million of gains recognized primarily from the sale of fixed maturities.

Net income includes the following items:

Quarter Ended September 30, 2010**Property and Casualty****Other**

(In millions)	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Property and Casualty (2)	Discontinued Operations	Total
Net realized investment gains (1)	\$ 1.7	\$ 3.6	\$ 0.4	\$ -	\$ 5.7
Gain from operations of discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes	-	-	-	0.5	0.5
Gain from discontinued accident and health insurance business, net of taxes	-	-	-	0.2	0.2
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes	-	-	-	0.1	0.1
Other discontinued operations, net of taxes	-	-	-	0.1	0.1

Quarter Ended September 30, 2009**Property and Casualty****Other**

(In millions)	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Property and Casualty (2)	Discontinued Operations	Total
Net realized investment (losses) gains (1)	\$ (0.7)	\$ (0.4)	\$ 1.1	\$ -	\$ -
Gain on retirement of debt	-	-	0.2	-	0.2
Gain from operations of discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes business	-	-	-	0.4	0.4
Gain from discontinued accident and health insurance business, net of taxes	-	-	-	0.7	0.7

Nine months Ended September 30, 2010**Property and Casualty****Other**

(In millions)	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Property and Casualty (2)	Discontinued Operations	Total
Net realized investment gains (1)	\$ 8.8	\$ 7.4	\$ 0.6	\$ -	\$ 16.8

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Gain from operations of discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes	-	-	-	0.4	0.4
Loss from discontinued accident and health insurance business, net of taxes	-	-	-	(0.9)	(0.9)
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes	-	-	-	1.0	1.0
Other discontinued operations, net of taxes	-	-	-	0.1	0.1

Table of Contents

Nine months Ended September 30, 2009

Property and Casualty

(In millions)	Other				Total
	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Property and Casualty (2)	Discontinued Operations	
Net realized investment (losses) gains (1)	\$ (6.6)	\$ (5.7)	\$ 2.6	\$ -	\$ (9.7)
Gain on retirement of debt	-	-	34.5	-	34.5
Gain from operations of discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes	-	-	-	6.3	6.3
Loss from discontinued accident and health insurance business, net of taxes	-	-	-	(2.4)	(2.4)
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes	-	-	-	4.1	4.1

(1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire property and casualty group. We allocate the investment income, expenses and realized (losses) gains to our Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments based on actuarial information related to the underlying businesses.

(2) Includes corporate eliminations.

Investment Portfolio

We held general account investment assets diversified across several asset classes, as follows:

(In millions, except percentage data)	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Value	% of Total Carrying Value	Carrying Value	% of Total Carrying Value
Fixed maturities (1)	\$ 5,024.2	91.9%	\$ 4,732.4	91.9%
Equity securities (1)	125.7	2.3	69.3	1.3
Mortgages	5.7	0.1	14.1	0.3
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	272.9	5.0	316.7	6.1
Real estate and other long-term investments	35.5	0.7	18.2	0.4
Total, including assets of discontinued operations (2)	5,464.0	100.0%	5,150.7	100.0%
Investment assets of discontinued operations (2)	(120.9)		(117.1)	
Total investment assets of continuing operations	\$ 5,343.1		\$ 5,033.6	

(1) We carry these investments at fair value.

(2) Investment assets of discontinued operations include our discontinued accident and health insurance business.

Investment Assets

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

The following discussion includes the investment assets of our continuing operations, as well as the investment assets of our discontinued accident and health insurance business.

Total investment assets increased \$313.3 million, or 6.1%, to \$5.5 billion for the first nine months of 2010, of which fixed maturities increased \$291.8 million, equities increased \$56.4 million, and cash and cash equivalents decreased \$43.8 million. The increase in fixed maturities is primarily due to market value appreciation and the increase in equity securities is primarily due to additional net investments in 2010. The net decrease in cash and cash equivalents is primarily attributable to additional stock repurchases and a \$100.0 million contribution to our pension plan on January 4, 2010, partially offset by proceeds from our senior debt issuance.

Our fixed maturity portfolio is comprised primarily of investment grade corporate securities, taxable and tax-exempt issues of state and local governments, residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities, U.S. government and agency securities and asset-backed securities.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information about the investment type and credit quality of our fixed maturities portfolio:

September 30, 2010

(In millions, except percentage data)

Investment Type	Rating Agency	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Net Unrealized Gain (1)	Change in Net Unrealized Gains for the Period
	Equivalent Designation				
Corporates:					
NAIC 1	Aaa/Aa/A	\$ 929.6	\$ 1,016.2	\$ 86.6	\$ 54.3
NAIC 2	Baa	1,061.6	1,163.7	102.1	53.6
NAIC 3 and below	Ba, B, Caa and lower	342.3	359.6	17.3	13.4
Total Corporates		2,333.5	2,539.5	206.0	121.3
Asset-backed:					
Residential mortgage-backed securities		787.0	826.4	39.4	23.8
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		346.2	366.3	20.1	17.4
Asset-backed securities		74.3	79.4	5.1	2.6
Municipals:					
Taxable		778.1	813.0	34.9	51.5
Tax exempt		153.8	159.4	5.6	1.5
U.S. government		232.4	240.2	7.8	8.3
Total fixed maturities (2)		\$ 4,705.3	\$ 5,024.2	\$ 318.9	\$ 226.4

(1) Includes \$33.9 million unrealized loss related to other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive income.

(2) Includes discontinued accident and health insurance business of \$116.5 million in amortized cost and \$119.6 million in fair value at September 30, 2010.

During the first nine months of 2010, our net unrealized gains on fixed maturities increased \$226.4 million, or 245%, to a net unrealized gain of \$318.9 million at September 30, 2010 from \$92.5 million at December 31, 2009.

Amortized cost and carrying value by rating category were as follows:

NAIC Designation	Rating Agency Equivalent Designation	September 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
		Amortized Cost	Carrying Value	% of Total Carrying Value	Amortized Cost	Carrying Value	% of Total Carrying Value
1	Aaa/Aa/A	\$ 3,138.0	\$ 3,335.5	66.4%	\$ 3,120.8	\$ 3,168.0	66.9%
2	Baa	1,214.2	1,318.1	26.2	1,198.0	1,240.3	26.2
3	Ba	177.8	185.2	3.7	138.2	130.5	2.8
4	B	113.8	119.6	2.4	93.1	98.0	2.1

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

5	Caa and lower	52.0	54.1	1.1	84.0	88.0	1.9
6	In or near default	9.5	11.7	0.2	5.8	7.6	0.1
Total fixed maturities (1)		\$ 4,705.3	\$ 5,024.2	100.0%	\$ 4,639.9	\$ 4,732.4	100.0%

(1) Includes discontinued accident and health insurance business of \$116.5 million in amortized cost and \$119.6 million in fair value at September 30, 2010 and \$119.6 million in amortized cost and \$116.8 million in fair value at December 31, 2009.

Based on ratings by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), approximately 93% of our fixed maturity portfolio consisted of investment grade securities at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

The quality of our fixed maturity portfolio remains strong based on ratings, capital structure position, support through guarantees, underlying security, parent ownership and yield curve position. We do not hold any securities in the following sectors: subprime mortgages, either directly or through our mortgage-backed securities; collateralized debt obligations; collateralized loan obligations; or credit derivatives. Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) constitute \$366.3 million of our invested assets, of which approximately 20% is fully defeased with U.S. government securities. The portfolio is seasoned, with approximately 72% of our CMBS holdings from pre-2005 vintages, 10% from the 2007 vintage, 6% from the 2006 vintage and 12% from the 2005 vintage. The CMBS portfolio is of high quality with approximately 72% being AAA rated and 28% rated AA or A. The CMBS portfolio has a weighted average loan-to-value ratio of approximately 74% and credit enhancement of approximately 23% as of September 30, 2010. Our municipal bond portfolio constitutes approximately 18% of invested assets and is substantially all investment grade. Financial guarantor insurance enhanced municipal bonds were \$261.1 million, or approximately 27% of our municipal bond portfolio as of September 30, 2010. Without regard to the insurance enhancement, 99% of our municipal bond portfolio is investment grade as of September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2010, \$71.1 million of our fixed maturities were invested in traditional private placement securities compared to \$77.9 million at December 31, 2009. Fair values of traditional private placement securities are determined either by a third party broker or by pricing models that use discounted cash flow analyses.

Our fixed maturity and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value. Financial instruments whose value is determined using significant management judgment or estimation constitute less than 2% of the total assets we measured at fair value. (See also Note 9 Fair Value).

Although we expect to invest new funds primarily in cash, cash equivalents and investment grade fixed maturities, we have invested, and may continue to invest a portion of funds in common equity securities and below investment grade fixed maturities and other assets. The average earned yield on fixed maturities was 5.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2009, respectively. If new money rates remain at their current lower levels, they will result in declines in average pre-tax investment yields in future periods.

Other-than-Temporary Impairments

For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we recognized \$1.4 million of other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities in earnings, primarily related to below investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial sector that we intend to sell. For the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we recognized \$6.1 million of other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities and equities in earnings, which included \$1.7 million primarily from below investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial and financial sectors, \$1.7 million from investment grade corporate bonds in the finance sector, and \$1.4 million from below investment grade residential mortgage-backed securities.

For the first nine months of 2010, we recognized \$7.5 million of other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities and equity securities in earnings, of which \$3.0 million related to debt securities that we intend to sell, \$2.6 million was estimated credit losses on debt securities and \$1.9 million related to common stocks. Other-than-temporary impairments recognized on debt securities during the first nine months of 2010 primarily included \$2.4 million on investment grade residential mortgage-backed securities, \$1.4 million on below investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial sector, and \$1.2 million on investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial sector. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recognized other-than-temporary impairments of \$29.3 million in earnings, which included \$19.8 million primarily from below investment grade corporate bonds in the industrial and financial sectors and \$9.5 million from perpetual preferred securities primarily in the finance sector.

In our determination of other-than-temporary impairments, we consider several factors and circumstances, including the issuer's overall financial condition; the issuer's credit and financial strength ratings; the issuer's financial performance, including earnings trends, dividend payments, and asset quality; any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer; a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates; the length of time and the degree to which the fair value of an issuer's securities remains below our cost; with respect to fixed maturity investments, any factors that might raise doubt about the issuer's ability to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms and whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security; and with respect to equity securities, our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery in value. We apply these factors to all securities.

We monitor corporate fixed maturity securities with unrealized losses on a quarterly basis and more frequently when necessary to identify potential credit deterioration whether due to ratings downgrades, unexpected price variances, and/or company or industry specific concerns. We apply consistent standards of credit analysis which includes determining whether the issuer is current on its contractual payments, and we consider past events, current conditions and reasonable forecasts to evaluate whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We utilize valuation declines as a potential indicator of credit deterioration, and apply additional levels of scrutiny in our analysis as the severity of the decline increases or duration persists.

For our impairment review of asset-backed fixed maturity securities, we forecast our best estimate of the prospective future cash flows of the security to determine if we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Our analysis includes estimates of underlying collateral default rates based on historical and projected delinquency rates and estimates of the amount and timing of potential recovery. We consider all available information relevant to the collectibility of the security, including information about the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, the financial condition of the issuer, industry analyst reports, sector credit ratings and other market data when developing our estimate of the expected cash flows.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

When an other-than-temporary impairment of a debt security occurs, and we intend to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the amortized cost of the security is reduced to its fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings, which reduces net income and earnings per share. If we do not intend to sell the fixed maturity investment and more likely than not will not be required to sell it, we separate the other-than-temporary impairment into the amount we estimate represents the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the estimated loss

Table of Contents

attributable to credit is recognized in earnings, which reduces net income and earnings per share. The amount of the estimated other-than-temporary impairment that is non-credit related is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

We estimate the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment that relates to credit by comparing the amortized cost of the debt security with the net present value of the debt security's projected future cash flows, discounted at the effective interest rate implicit in the investment prior to impairment. The non-credit portion of the impairment is equal to the difference between the fair value and the net present value of the fixed maturity security at the impairment measurement date.

Other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities are recorded as realized losses, which reduce net income and earnings per share. The new cost basis of an impaired security is not adjusted for subsequent increases in estimated fair value.

Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses, which do not affect net income and earnings per share, but reduce other comprehensive income, which is reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We cannot provide assurance that the other-than-temporary impairments will be adequate to cover future losses or that we will not have substantial additional impairments in the future.

Unrealized Losses

The following tables provide information about our fixed maturities and equity securities that are in an unrealized loss position.

	12 months or less		September 30, 2010 Greater than 12 months		Total	
	Gross					
	Unrealized					
	Losses and		Gross		Gross	
	OTTI	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair
(In millions)		Value	Losses and	Value	Losses and	Value
			OTTI		OTTI (1)	
Fixed maturities:						
Investment grade:						
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ -	\$ 11.1	\$ 0.5	\$ -	\$ 0.5	\$ 11.1
States and political subdivisions	2.1	29.7	5.5	94.3	7.6	124.0
Corporate fixed maturities	0.3	13.7	12.3	96.9	12.6	110.6
Residential mortgage-backed securities	0.1	35.8	9.0	33.0	9.1	68.8
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	-	5.8	1.2	8.1	1.2	13.9
Total investment grade	2.5	96.1	28.5	232.3	31.0	328.4
Below investment grade (2):						
Corporate fixed maturities	0.7	20.4	15.4	124.9	16.1	145.3
Total fixed maturities	3.2	116.5	43.9	357.2	47.1	473.7
Equity securities:						
Common equity securities	0.3	11.4	-	-	0.3	11.4
Total (3)	\$ 3.5	\$ 127.9	\$ 43.9	\$ 357.2	\$ 47.4	\$ 485.1

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

- (1) Includes \$33.9 million of unrealized losses related to OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income, of which \$15.1 million are below investment grade aged greater than 12 months.
- (2) Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's at September 30, 2010.
- (3) Includes discontinued accident and health insurance business of \$6.0 million in gross unrealized losses with \$40.5 million in fair value at September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

(In millions)	12 months or less		December 31, 2009 Greater than 12 months		Total	
	Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI (1)	Fair Value
Fixed maturities:						
Investment grade:						
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 3.7	\$ 170.8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.7	\$ 170.8
States and political subdivisions	9.0	275.2	15.6	176.5	24.6	451.7
Corporate fixed maturities	3.4	115.8	13.3	152.7	16.7	268.5
Residential mortgage-backed securities	6.6	89.1	7.5	62.6	14.1	151.7
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	0.4	13.5	7.0	30.0	7.4	43.5
Total investment grade	23.1	664.4	43.4	421.8	66.5	1,086.2
Below investment grade (2):						
States and political subdivisions	0.2	8.7	0.8	8.2	1.0	16.9
Corporate fixed maturities	10.6	84.1	16.9	150.1	27.5	234.2
Total below investment grade	10.8	92.8	17.7	158.3	28.5	251.1
Total fixed maturities	33.9	757.2	61.1	580.1	95.0	1,337.3
Equity securities:						
Common equity securities	-	-	0.3	1.4	0.3	1.4
Total (3)	\$ 33.9	\$ 757.2	\$ 61.4	\$ 581.5	\$ 95.3	\$ 1,338.7

- (1) Includes \$40.6 million unrealized loss related to other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive income, of which \$14.8 million are below investment grade aged greater than 12 months.
- (2) Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's at December 31, 2009.
- (3) Includes discontinued accident and health insurance business of \$8.8 million in gross unrealized losses with \$55.0 million in fair value at December 31, 2009.

Gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and equity securities decreased \$47.9 million, or 50%, to \$47.4 million at September 30, 2010, compared to \$95.3 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease in unrealized losses was primarily due to lower interest rates and tightening of credit spreads of taxable municipal bonds, corporate bonds, and commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities during the first nine months of 2010. At September 30, 2010, gross unrealized losses primarily consist of \$28.7 million in corporate fixed maturities, \$10.3 million in mortgage-backed securities and \$5.6 million in taxable municipal bonds. Gross unrealized losses on corporate fixed maturities include \$18.6 million in the financial sector, \$9.6 million in the industrial sector and \$0.5 million in utilities and other.

Obligations of states and political subdivisions, the U.S. Treasury, U.S. government and agency securities had associated gross unrealized losses of \$8.1 million and \$29.3 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

We view the gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and equity securities as being temporary since it is our assessment that these securities will recover in the near term, as evidenced by the improvement in unrealized losses during the past year, allowing us to realize their anticipated long-term economic value. With respect to gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities, we do not intend to sell nor is it more likely than not we will be required to sell debt securities before this expected recovery of amortized cost (See also [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#)). With respect to equity securities, we have the intent and ability to retain such investments for the period of time anticipated to allow for this expected recovery in fair value. The risks inherent in our assessment methodology include the risk that, subsequent to the balance sheet date, market factors may differ from our expectations; the global economic recovery takes longer and is less robust than we expect; we may decide to subsequently sell a security for unforeseen business needs; or changes in the credit assessment or equity characteristics from our original assessment may lead us to determine that a sale at the current value would maximize recovery on such investments. To the extent that there

Table of Contents

are such adverse changes, an other-than-temporary impairment would be recognized. Although unrealized losses are not reflected in the results of financial operations until they are realized or deemed other-than-temporary, the fair value of the underlying investment, which does reflect the unrealized loss, is reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table sets forth gross unrealized losses for fixed maturities by maturity period and for equity securities at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations, with or without call or prepayment penalties, or we may have the right to put or sell the obligations back to the issuers. Mortgage-backed securities are included in the category representing their ultimate maturity.

<i>(In millions)</i>	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Due in one year or less	\$ 2.0	\$ 0.5
Due after one year through five years	8.3	14.0
Due after five years through ten years	10.7	23.0
Due after ten years	26.1	57.5
Total fixed maturities	47.1	95.0
Equity securities	0.3	0.3
Total fixed maturities and equity securities (1)	\$ 47.4	\$ 95.3

(1) Includes discontinued accident and health insurance business of \$6.0 million and \$8.8 million in gross unrealized losses at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Our investment portfolio and shareholders' equity can be and have been significantly impacted by changes in market values of our securities. Although we have seen improvement in unrealized losses during 2009 and in the first nine months of 2010, economic conditions remain tenuous, market values could continue to fluctuate, and defaults on fixed income securities could increase. As a result, depending on market conditions, we could incur additional realized and unrealized losses in future periods, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and/or financial position.

The carrying values of defaulted fixed maturity securities on non-accrual status at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were not material. The effects of non-accruals for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, compared with amounts that would have been recognized in accordance with the original terms of the fixed maturities, were reductions in net investment income of \$1.7 million and \$2.4 million, respectively. Any defaults in the fixed maturities portfolio in future periods may negatively affect investment income.

The U.S. and global financial markets and economies, while continuing to recover, remain in a state of uncertainty and instability. Several issuers continue to face adverse business and liquidity circumstances, increasing the possibility of unanticipated defaults in the future. While we may experience defaults on fixed income securities, particularly with respect to non-investment grade securities, it is difficult to foresee which issuers, industries or markets will be affected. As a result, the value of our fixed maturity portfolio could change rapidly in ways we cannot currently anticipate. Depending on market conditions, we could incur additional realized and unrealized losses in future periods.

Income Taxes

We file a consolidated United States federal income tax return that includes the holding company and its domestic subsidiaries (including non-insurance operations).

Quarter Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Quarter Ended September 30, 2009

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations was an expense of \$21.7 million during the third quarter of 2010, compared to \$18.9 million during the same period in 2009. These provisions resulted in consolidated effective federal tax rates of 29.7% and 28.0% for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The 2010 and 2009 provisions reflect a decrease in our valuation allowance related to capital loss carryforwards of \$3.0 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. Absent the aforementioned benefits, the provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations would have been an expense of \$24.7 million or 33.8% and an expense of \$22.3 million or 33.0% for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in the rate in 2010 is primarily due to a decrease in low-income housing tax credits and an increase in nondeductible expenses described below.

Table of Contents

Our federal income tax expense on segment income was \$22.7 million during the third quarter of 2010, compared to \$22.0 million during the same period in 2009. These provisions resulted in effective tax rates for segment income of 33.7% and 32.7% in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in 2010 is primarily due to a decrease in low-income housing tax credits and an increase in nondeductible expenses described below.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations was an expense of \$39.7 million for the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$65.5 million during the same period in 2009. These provisions resulted in consolidated effective federal tax rates of 29.3% and 33.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in the tax rate is primarily due to a decrease in our valuation allowance related to capital loss carryforwards, as a result of our realized gains.

Our federal income tax expense on segment income was \$40.2 million for the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$56.9 million during the same period in 2009. These provisions resulted in effective tax rates for segment income of 33.9% and 33.0% in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in 2010 is primarily due to a decrease in low-income housing tax credits and an increase in nondeductible expense described below.

In January 2010, we made a \$100.0 million pension contribution which resulted in both a current deduction of \$35.0 million and the utilization (reduction) of a deferred tax asset of the same amount. This contribution is the principal reason for the current tax benefit of \$8.5 million in the first nine months of the year.

As of September 30, 2010, we have alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforwards of \$113.8 million. We expect to utilize these tax credits during the next three to four years. The result of their utilization will be a lower current tax rate offset by a higher deferred tax provision, and also lower cash expenditures for federal income taxes during the utilization period. Once the minimum tax credits have been fully utilized, we expect our current tax rate to be closer to the statutory rate of 35%. Although there is no expiration on AMT credit carryforwards, we cannot be certain that we will utilize them as quickly as our expectations.

In March 2010, the PPACA was enacted. This act includes a provision to eliminate the tax deductibility of retiree health care costs to the extent of federal subsidies received by plan sponsors that provide retiree prescription drug benefits equivalent to Medicare Part D coverage effective in tax years after December 31, 2012. As a result, under PPACA, the deductible temporary difference and any related deferred tax asset on the employer's balance sheet associated with the federal subsidy may be reduced. Since we do not receive a federal retiree drug subsidy, the enactment of PPACA has no impact on our deferred tax asset. Another aspect of the PPACA which could impact us is the partial non-deductibility of certain employee compensation, beginning in 2013. There is considerable uncertainty as to whom these provisions will apply and as to the methodology required in calculating the non-deductible amount. However, under our current interpretation, this statute, as currently written, would apply to us with respect to limited amounts of employee compensation. For 2010, we estimate that our incremental tax expense will be approximately \$0.8 million, of which \$0.5 million is reflected in our financial statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. As the PPACA legislation is clarified, these amounts may change or we may be exempted from this aspect of the PPACA entirely.

In the first nine months of 2010, we decreased the valuation allowance related to our deferred tax asset by a total of \$29.5 million, from \$195.6 million to \$166.1 million. This decrease has several components. First, in July and September of 2010, we completed transactions which resulted in the realization, for tax purposes only, of unrealized gains in our investment portfolio of \$37.1 million and \$31.1 million, respectively. As a result of these transactions during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we were able to release \$23.9 million of the valuation allowance we held against the deferred tax asset related to these capital loss carryforwards as an increase in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The remainder of the decrease in the valuation allowance is related to realized capital gains in continuing operations, partially offset by realized capital losses in Discontinued Operations, and as a result of sales of securities subsequent to September 30, 2010. The result of all of these items is that we recorded decreases in our valuation allowance of \$22.1 million and \$6.3 million as an increase in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and a decrease in Federal Income Tax Expense, respectively, partially offset by an increase in our valuation allowance of \$0.1 million recorded as a decrease in our net gain in Discontinued Operations. In addition, we recorded a decrease in our valuation allowance in connection with the sale of FAFLIC of \$1.2 million as income in Discontinued Operations.

A corporation is entitled to a tax deduction from gross income for a portion of any dividend which was received from a domestic corporation that is subject to income tax. This is referred to as a dividends received deduction. In prior years, we have taken this dividends received deduction when filing our federal income tax return. Many separate accounts held by life insurance companies

Table of Contents

receive dividends from such domestic corporations, and therefore, were regarded as entitled to this dividends received deduction. In its Revenue Ruling 2007-61, issued on September 25, 2007, the IRS announced its intention to issue regulations with respect to certain computational aspects of the dividends received deduction on separate account assets held in connection with variable annuity contracts. Revenue Ruling 2007-61 suspended a revenue ruling issued in August 2007 that purported to change accepted industry and IRS interpretations of the statutes governing these computational questions. Any regulations that the IRS ultimately proposes for issuance in this area will be subject to public notice and comment, at which time insurance companies and other members of the public will have the opportunity to raise legal and practical questions about the content, scope and application of such regulations. As a result, the ultimate timing and substance of any such regulations are not yet known, but they could result in the elimination of some or all of the separate account dividends received deduction tax benefit that we receive. We believe that it is more likely than not that any such regulation would apply prospectively only, and application of this regulation is not expected to be material to our results of operations in any future annual period. However, there can be no assurance that the outcome of the revenue ruling will be as anticipated. We believe that retroactive application would not materially affect our financial position or results of operations. In September 2009, as part of the audit of 2005 and 2006, the IRS disallowed our dividends received deduction relating to separate account assets for both years 2005 and 2006. We challenged the disallowance by filing a formal protest, and have requested an IRS Appeals conference. Should we ultimately be unsuccessful in our challenge, due to tax attributes and the sale of Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company, the effects of this proposed adjustment should not be material to our financial position or results of operations.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon the consolidated financial statements. These statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following critical accounting estimates are those which we believe affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements. Additional information about our other significant accounting policies and estimates may be found in Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Property & Casualty Insurance Loss Reserves

See Segment Results Reserve for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses for a discussion of our critical accounting estimates for loss reserves.

Property and Casualty Reinsurance Recoverables

We share a significant amount of insurance risk of the primary underlying contracts with various insurance entities through the use of reinsurance contracts. As a result, when we experience loss events that are subject to a reinsurance contract, reinsurance recoveries are recorded. The amount of the reinsurance recoverable can vary based on the size of the individual loss or the aggregate amount of all losses in a particular line, book of business or an aggregate amount associated with a particular accident year. The valuation of losses recoverable depends on whether the underlying loss is a reported loss, or an incurred but not reported loss. For reported losses, we value reinsurance recoverables at the time the underlying loss is recognized, in accordance with contract terms. For incurred but not reported losses, we estimate the amount of reinsurance recoverable based on the terms of the reinsurance contracts and historical reinsurance recovery information and apply that information to the gross loss reserve estimates. The most significant assumption we use is the average size of the individual losses for those claims that have occurred but have not yet been recorded by us. The reinsurance recoverable is based on what we believe are reasonable estimates and is disclosed separately on the financial statements. However, the ultimate amount of the reinsurance recoverable is not known until all losses are settled.

Pension Benefit Obligations

Prior to 2005, we provided pension retirement benefits to substantially all of our employees based on a defined benefit cash balance formula. In addition to the cash balance allocation, certain transition group employees, who had met specified age and service requirements as of December 31, 1994, were eligible for a grandfathered benefit based primarily on the employees' years of service and compensation during their highest five consecutive plan years of employment. As of January 1, 2005, the defined benefit pension plans were frozen.

We account for our pension plans in accordance with ASC 715, *Compensation - Retirement Benefits*. In order to measure the liabilities and expense associated with these plans, we must make various estimates and key assumptions, including discount rates used to value liabilities, assumed rates of return on plan assets, employee turnover rates and anticipated mortality rates. These estimates and assumptions are reviewed at least annually and are based on our historical experience, as well as current facts and circumstances. In addition, we use outside actuaries to assist in measuring the expenses and liabilities associated with this plan.

Table of Contents

The discount rate enables us to state expected future cash flows as a present value on the measurement date. We also use this discount rate in the determination of our pre-tax pension expense or benefit. A lower discount rate increases the present value of benefit obligations and increases pension expense. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we determined our discount rate utilizing an independent yield curve which provides for a portfolio of high quality bonds that are expected to match the cash flows of our pension plan. Bond information used in the yield curve was provided by Standard & Poor's and included only those rated AA- or better as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At December 31, 2009, based upon our qualified plan assets and liabilities in relation to this discount curve, we decreased our discount rate to 6.125%, from 6.625% at December 31, 2008.

To determine the expected long-term return on plan assets, we consider the historical mean returns by asset class for passive indexed strategies, as well as current and expected asset allocations and adjust for certain factors that we believe will have an impact on future returns. For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the expected rate of return on plan assets was 7.50% and 7.75%, respectively. The decrease reflects our strategy to shift investment assets from equity securities to fixed maturity investments over the next few years. Actual returns on plan assets in excess of these expected returns will generally reduce our net actuarial losses (or increase actuarial gains) that are reflected in our accumulated other comprehensive income balance in shareholders' equity, whereas actual returns on plan assets which are less than expected returns will generally increase our net actuarial losses (or decrease actuarial gains) that are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income. These gains or losses are amortized into expense in future years.

Holding all other assumptions constant, sensitivity to changes in our key assumptions related to our qualified defined benefit pension plan are as follows:

Discount Rate A 25 basis point increase in discount rate would decrease our pension expense in 2010 by \$1.6 million and decrease our projected benefit obligation by approximately \$11.6 million. A 25 basis point reduction in the discount rate would increase our pension expense by \$1.7 million and increase our projected benefit obligation by approximately \$12.1 million.

Expected Return on Plan Assets A 25 basis point increase or decrease in the expected return on plan assets would decrease or increase our pension expense in 2010 by \$1.3 million.

Other-than-Temporary Impairments

We employ a systematic methodology to evaluate declines in fair values below amortized cost for all investments. The methodology utilizes a quantitative and qualitative process ensuring that available evidence concerning the declines in fair value below amortized cost is evaluated in a disciplined manner. In determining whether a decline in fair value below amortized cost is other-than-temporary, we evaluate several factors and circumstances, including the issuer's overall financial condition; the issuer's credit and financial strength ratings; the issuer's financial performance, including earnings trends, dividend payments and asset quality; any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer; a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates; the length of time and the degree to which the fair value of an issuer's securities remains below our cost; with respect to fixed maturity investments, any factors that might raise doubt about the issuer's ability to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms and whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security; and with respect to equity securities, our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery in value. We apply these factors to all securities.

We monitor corporate fixed maturity securities with unrealized losses on a quarterly basis and more frequently when necessary to identify potential credit deterioration whether due to ratings downgrades, unexpected price variances, and/or company or industry specific concerns. We apply consistent standards of credit analysis which includes determining whether the issuer is current on its contractual payments, and we consider past events, current conditions and reasonable forecasts to evaluate whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We utilize valuation declines as a potential indicator of credit deterioration, and apply additional levels of scrutiny in our analysis as the severity of the decline increases or duration persists.

For our impairment review of asset-backed fixed maturity securities, we forecast our best estimate of the prospective future cash flows of the security to determine if we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Our analysis includes estimates of underlying collateral default rates based on historical and projected delinquency rates and estimates of the amount and timing of potential recovery. We consider all available information relevant to the collectibility of the security, including information about the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, the financial condition of the issuer, industry analyst reports, sector credit ratings and other market data when developing our estimate of the expected cash flows.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

When an other-than-temporary impairment of a fixed maturity security occurs, and we intend to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the amortized cost of the security is reduced to its fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings, which reduces net income and earnings per share. If we do not intend to sell the fixed maturity investment or more likely than not will not be required to sell it, we separate the other-than-temporary impairment into the amount we estimate represents the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the estimated loss

Table of Contents

attributable to credit is recognized in earnings, which reduces net income and earnings per share. The amount of the estimated other-than-temporary impairment that is non-credit related is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

We estimate the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment that relates to credit by comparing the amortized cost of the fixed maturity security with the net present value of the fixed maturity security's projected future cash flows, discounted at the effective interest rate implicit in the investment prior to impairment. The non-credit portion of the impairment is equal to the difference between the fair value and the net present value of the fixed maturity security at the impairment measurement date.

Other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities are recorded as realized losses, which reduce net income and earnings per share. The new cost basis of an impaired security is not adjusted for subsequent increases in estimated fair value.

Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses, which do not affect net income and earnings per share, but reduce other comprehensive income, which is reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We cannot provide assurance that the other-than-temporary impairments will be adequate to cover future losses or that we will not have additional impairments in the future. (See "Investment Portfolio" for further discussion regarding other-than-temporary impairments and securities in an unrealized loss position).

Other Significant Transactions

On September 23, 2010, June 7, 2010 and March 22, 2010, we paid dividends of 25 cents per share to our shareholders of record at the close of business on September 10, 2010, May 24, 2010 and March 8, 2010, respectively. The total dividends paid in the quarters ended September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and March 31, 2010 were \$11.6 million, \$12.0 million and \$12.3 million, respectively. On an annualized basis, these dividend payments represent a 33% increase over the annual dividend of 75 cents, paid in December 2009.

On June 14, 2010, we purchased approximately 11 acres of developable land in Worcester, Massachusetts for \$5 million. A portion of the land will be developed with the construction of a new 200,000 square foot office building and the redevelopment of an adjacent parking garage. In addition, we signed a 17 year lease agreement with a tenant for the new building and garage. The tenant is an unaffiliated public company with an investment grade credit rating. Through September 30, 2010, we capitalized \$6.8 million in related lease acquisition, legal, architectural and associated costs. Development costs are estimated between \$65 million and \$70 million and the project will be financed, in part, through the issuance of collateralized debt through our membership in the FHLBB. In July 2010, Hanover Insurance committed to borrow \$46.3 million from the FHLBB to finance the project. These borrowings will be drawn down in several increments from July 2010 to January 2012. On July 19, 2010, Hanover Insurance received an advance of \$7.6 million from this commitment. Amounts drawn from the \$46.3 million mature on July 20, 2020 and carry fixed interest rates with a weighted average of 3.88%. (See also below for further information related to participation in the FHLBB's collateralized borrowing program).

On March 31, 2010, we acquired Campania for a cash purchase price of approximately \$24 million, subject to various terms and conditions. Campania specializes in insurance solutions for portions of the healthcare industry.

Through October 2010, our Board of Directors has authorized aggregate repurchases of our common stock of up to \$500 million, including a recent \$100 million increase in the program. Under the repurchase authorizations, we may repurchase our common stock from time to time, in amounts and prices and at such times as we deem appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. Our repurchases may be executed using open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated repurchase programs or other transactions. We are not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. On March 30, 2010 and December 8, 2009, we entered into accelerated share repurchase agreements with Barclays Bank PLC, acting through its agent Barclays Capital, Inc., for the immediate repurchase of 2.3 million and 2.4 million shares, respectively, of our common stock at a cost of approximately \$100.9 million and \$100.6 million, respectively (in each case, subject to adjustment). We settled our accelerated stock repurchase program initiated in December 2009 on June 23, 2010 for an additional payment of \$4.6 million provided to Barclays Bank PLC. Total repurchases as of September 30, 2010 were 7.9 million shares at a cost of \$338.8 million.

On February 23, 2010, we issued \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.50% senior unsecured notes due March 1, 2020. The senior debentures are subject to certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on the issuance or disposition of capital stock of restricted subsidiaries and limitations on liens. These debentures pay interest semi-annually on March 1 and September 1.

On December 3, 2009, we entered into a renewal rights agreement with OneBeacon. Through this agreement, we acquired access to a portion of OneBeacon's small and middle market commercial business at renewal, including industry programs and middle market niches. This transaction included consideration of approximately \$23 million, plus certain potential additional consideration, primarily representing purchased renewal rights intangible assets which are included as Other Assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The agreement was effective for renewals

beginning January 1, 2010.

Table of Contents

On September 25, 2009, Hanover Insurance received an advance of \$125 million through its membership in the FHLBB as part of a collateralized borrowing program. This advance bears interest at a fixed rate of 5.50% per annum over a twenty-year term. The proceeds from these borrowings were used by Hanover Insurance to acquire AIX and its subsidiaries from the holding company. As collateral to the FHLBB for all advances received as of September 30, 2010, Hanover Insurance has pledged government agency securities with a fair value of \$153.7 million. Collateral pledged to the FHLBB totaled \$142.0 million as of December 31, 2009. The fair value of the collateral pledged must be maintained at certain specified levels of the borrowed amount, which can vary depending on the type of assets pledged. If the fair value of this collateral declines below these specified levels, Hanover Insurance would be required to pledge additional collateral or repay outstanding borrowings. Hanover Insurance is permitted to voluntarily repay the outstanding borrowings at any time, subject to a repayment fee. As a requirement of membership in the FHLBB, Hanover Insurance acquired \$2.5 million of FHLBB stock, and as a condition to participating in the FHLBB's collateralized borrowing program, it was required to purchase additional shares of FHLBB stock in an amount equal to 4.5% of its outstanding borrowings. Such purchases totaled \$6.0 million through September 30, 2010.

We liquidated AFC Capital Trust I (the Trust) on July 30, 2009. Each holder of 8.207% Series B Capital Securities (Capital Securities) as of that date received a principal amount of our Series B 8.207% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures (Junior Debentures) due February 3, 2027 equal to the liquidation amount of the Capital Securities held by such holder. The liquidation of the Trust did not have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

On June 29, 2009, prior to liquidating the Trust, we completed a cash tender offer to repurchase a portion of our Capital Securities that were issued by the Trust and a portion of our 7.625% Senior Debentures (Senior Debentures) due in 2025 that were issued by THG. As of that date, \$69.3 million of Capital Securities were tendered at a price equal to \$800 per \$1,000 of face value. In addition, we accepted for tender a principal amount of \$77.3 million of Senior Debentures. Depending on the time of tender, holders of the Senior Debentures accepted for purchase received a price of either \$870 or \$900 per \$1,000 of face value. Separately, we held \$65.0 million of Capital Securities previously repurchased at a discount in the open market prior to the tender offer, and \$1.1 million of Senior Debentures. We recognized a pre-tax gain of \$34.5 million in 2009 as a result of such purchases. During the first quarter of 2010, we repurchased \$0.4 million of Junior Debentures at a slight gain. As of September 30, 2010, a net principal amount of \$165.3 million of our Junior Debentures and \$121.4 million of these Senior Debentures, which are not held by us, remained outstanding.

Statutory Surplus of Insurance Subsidiaries

The following table reflects the consolidated statutory surplus for our property and casualty businesses as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

(In millions)	<i>September 30, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
---------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------

Total Statutory Surplus Combined P&C Companies	\$ 1,795.3	\$ 1,741.6
--	-------------------	------------

The consolidated statutory surplus increased \$53.7 million during the first nine months of 2010. The increase is primarily due to underwriting results and net realized gains in the first nine months of 2010.

The NAIC prescribes an annual calculation regarding risk based capital (RBC). RBC ratios for regulatory purposes, as described in the glossary, are expressed as a percentage of the capital required to be above the Authorized Control Level (the Regulatory Scale); however, in the insurance industry RBC ratios are widely expressed as a percentage of the Company Action Level. The following table reflects the Company Action Level, the Authorized Control Level and RBC ratios for Hanover Insurance, as of September 30, 2010, expressed both on the Industry Scale (Total Adjusted Capital divided by the Company Action Level) and Regulatory Scale (Total Adjusted Capital divided by Authorized Control Level):

(In millions, except ratios)

RBC Ratio

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

<i>Company Action</i>	<i>Authorized Control</i>	<i>Industry Scale</i>	<i>RBC Ratio</i>
<i>Level</i>	<i>Level</i>		<i>Regulatory Scale</i>

The Hanover Insurance Company	\$ 543.0	\$ 271.5	328%	656%
-------------------------------	----------	----------	------	------

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is a measure of our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet the cash requirements of business operations. As a holding company, our primary ongoing source of cash is dividends from our insurance subsidiaries. However, dividend payments

Table of Contents

to us by our insurance subsidiaries are subject to limitations imposed by state regulators, such as the requirement that cash dividends be paid out of unreserved and unrestricted earned surplus. The payment of extraordinary dividends, as defined, from any of our insurance subsidiaries is restricted.

Sources of cash for our insurance subsidiaries primarily include premiums collected, investment income and maturing investments. Primary cash outflows are paid claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses, policy acquisition expenses, other underwriting expenses and investment purchases. Cash outflows related to losses and loss adjustment expenses can be variable because of uncertainties surrounding settlement dates for liabilities for unpaid losses and because of the potential for large losses either individually or in the aggregate. We periodically adjust our investment policy to respond to changes in short-term and long-term cash requirements.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$15.0 million during the first nine months of 2010, compared to net cash provided by operations of \$26.9 million in 2009. The increase in net cash used primarily resulted from a \$100 million contribution to our qualified pension plan in January 2010.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$53.4 million during the first nine months of 2010, compared to net cash used of \$355.5 million for the same period of 2009. During 2010, cash used was primarily related to our net purchases of equity securities. During 2009, cash was primarily used as we invested the proceeds from the sale of our Life Companies into fixed maturities and began reinvesting a portion of existing cash into fixed maturities. This investing activity was partially offset by cash provided from sales of fixed maturities to fund the repurchase of corporate debt and our stock repurchase program.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$24.7 million during the first nine months of 2010, compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$40.5 million in 2009. During 2010, cash provided by financing activities primarily resulted from proceeds from the issuance, on February 23, 2010, of unsecured senior debentures. Cash received from the issuance of debt was substantially offset by repurchases of treasury stock, our quarterly dividend payments to shareholders in the first nine months of 2010 and repayments of collateral related to our securities lending program. During 2009, cash provided by financing activities primarily resulted from a \$125 million advance received as part of the FHLBB collateralized borrowing program and cash collateral received related to our securities lending program. These proceeds were partially offset by the aforementioned cash tender offer (see Significant Transactions discussion) to repurchase a portion of our debt securities and net repurchases of our common stock under our stock repurchase program.

At September 30, 2010, THG, as a holding company, held \$349.2 million of fixed maturities and cash. We believe our holding company assets are sufficient to meet our future obligations through the remainder of 2010, which currently consist primarily of interest on our senior debentures, our dividends to shareholders, costs associated with retirement benefits provided to our former life employees and agents, and to the extent required, payments related to indemnification of liabilities associated with the sale of various subsidiaries. We do not expect that it will be necessary to dividend additional funds from our insurance subsidiaries in order to fund 2010 holding company obligations; however, we may decide to do so.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the Board announced plans to follow a quarterly dividend schedule, subject to quarterly board approval and declaration. During the first nine months of 2010, we paid three quarterly dividends, as declared by the Board, of twenty-five cents per share each to our shareholders totaling \$35.9 million. We believe that our holding company assets are sufficient to provide for future shareholder dividends should the Board of Directors declare them.

We expect to continue to generate sufficient positive operating cash to meet all short-term and long-term cash requirements, including the funding of our qualified defined benefit pension plan. On January 4, 2010, we made a discretionary contribution of \$100.0 million to the qualified defined benefit pension plan. With this contribution and based upon the current estimate of liabilities and certain assumptions regarding investment returns and other factors, our qualified defined benefit pension plan is essentially fully funded as of the date of this contribution. As a result, we currently expect that significant cash contributions will not be required for this plan for several years. However, the ultimate payment amount is based on several assumptions, including but not limited to, the rate of return on plan assets, the discount rate for benefit obligations, mortality experience, interest crediting rates and the ultimate valuation and determination of benefit obligations. Since differences between actual plan experience and our assumptions are likely, changes to our funding obligations in future periods are possible.

Our insurance subsidiaries maintain