PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC Form 10-K February 29, 2008

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

Commission file number 001-09718

# THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania

**25-1435979** (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) One PNC Plaza

249 Fifth Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-2707

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code - (412) 762-2000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Name of Each Exchange

on Which Registered

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

 Title of Each Class
 on W

 Common Stock, par value \$5.00
 New Ye

 \$1.60 Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock-Series C, par value \$1.00
 New Ye

 \$1.80 Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock-Series D, par value \$1.00
 New Ye

 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

\$1.80 Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock - Series A, par value \$1.00

\$1.80 Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock - Series B, par value \$1.00

8.25% Convertible Subordinated Debentures Due 2008

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes \_\_ No X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\underline{X}$  No \_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark if the disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  $\underline{X}$ Accelerated filer  $\underline{\ }$ Non-accelerated filer  $\underline{\ }$ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  $\underline{\ }$  No  $\underline{X}$ 

The aggregate market value of the registrant s outstanding voting common stock held by nonaffiliates on June 29, 2007, determined using the per share closing price on that date on the New York Stock Exchange of \$71.58, was approximately \$24.4 billion. There is no non-voting common equity of the registrant outstanding.

Number of shares of registrant's common stock outstanding at February 15, 2008: 340,774,529

# DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on April 22, 2008 ("Proxy Statement") are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>		Page
Item 1	Business.	2
Item 1A	<u>Risk Factors.</u>	9
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments.	12
Item 2	Properties.	12
Item 3	Legal Proceedings.	12
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	14
	Executive Officers of the Registrant	14
	Directors of the Registrant	15
PART II		
Item 5	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity	
	Securities.	15
	Common Stock Performance Graph	16
Item 6	Selected Financial Data.	17
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	64
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.	65
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.	123
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures.	123
Item 9B	Other Information.	123
PART III		
Item 10	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.	123
Item 11	Executive Compensation.	124
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	124
Item 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.	126
Item 14	Principal Accounting Fees and Services.	126
PART IV		
Item 15	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.	126
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		127
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>		E-1
PART I		

Forward-Looking Statements: From time to time, The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. (PNC or the Corporation) has made and may continue to make written or oral forward-looking statements regarding our outlook or expectations for earnings, revenues, expenses, capital levels, asset quality or other future financial or business performance, strategies or expectations, or the impact of legal, regulatory or supervisory matters on our business operations or performance. This Annual Report on Form 10-K (the Report or Form 10-K) also includes forward-looking statements. With respect to all such forward-looking statements, you should review our Risk Factors discussion in Item 1A and our Risk Management, Critical Accounting Policies and Judgments, and Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information sections included in Item 7 of this Report.

### ITEM 1 BUSINESS

**BUSINESS OVERVIEW** We are one of the largest diversified financial services companies in the United States based on assets, with businesses engaged in retail banking, corporate and institutional banking, asset management and global fund processing services. We provide many of our products and services nationally and others in our primary geographic markets located in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Washington, DC, Maryland, Virginia, Ohio, Kentucky and Delaware. We also provide certain global fund processing services internationally. At December 31, 2007, our consolidated total assets, deposits and shareholders' equity were \$138.9 billion, \$82.7 billion and \$14.9 billion, respectively.

We were incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in 1983 with the consolidation of Pittsburgh National Corporation and Provident National Corporation. Since 1983, we have diversified our geographical presence, business mix and product capabilities through internal growth, strategic bank and non-bank acquisitions and equity investments, and the formation of various non-banking subsidiaries.

ACQUISITION AND DIVESTITURE ACTIVITY On December 7, 2007, we acquired Albridge Solutions Inc. (Albridge), a Lawrenceville, New Jersey-based provider of portfolio accounting and enterprise wealth management services. Albridge provides financial advisors with consolidated client account information from hundreds of data sources, and its enterprise approach to providing a unified client view and performance reporting helps advisors build their client and asset base. Albridge will extend PFPC s capabilities into the delivery of knowledge-based information services through its relationships with 150 financial institutions and more than 100,000 financial advisors with assets under management that exceed \$1 trillion.

Also on December 7, 2007, we acquired Coates Analytics, LP ( Coates Analytics ), a Chadds Ford, Pennsylvania-based provider of web-based analytic tools that help asset managers identify wholesaler territories and financial advisor targets, promote products in the marketplace and strengthen competitive intelligence. Coates Analytics will complement PFPC s business strategy as it currently serves six of the 10 largest broker/dealers and provides market data to more than 40 of the leading asset management and fund companies.

On November 16, 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L.Lyons, Inc. (Hilliard Lyons), a wholly-owned subsidiary of PNC and a full-service brokerage and financial services provider, to Houchens Industries, Inc. Hilliard Lyons is headquartered in Louisville, Kentucky and has 76 branch offices mainly in cities outside of PNC s retail banking footprint with approximately \$29 billion of brokerage account assets and \$5 billion of assets under management. As a result of the sale, we expect to report an after-tax gain of approximately \$20 million and increase

Tier 1 regulatory capital by approximately \$135 million after elimination of goodwill recorded upon the purchase of

Hilliard Lyons in 1998. We expect this transaction to close in the first half of 2008, subject to regulatory and certain other required approvals.

On October 26, 2007, we acquired Hamilton, New Jersey-based Yardville National Bancorp (Yardville). Total consideration paid was approximately \$399 million in stock and cash. Yardville s subsidiary bank, The Yardville National Bank (Yardville National Bank), is a commercial and consumer bank and at closing had approximately \$2.6 billion in assets, \$2.0 billion in deposits and 35 branches in central New Jersey and eastern Pennsylvania. We plan to merge Yardville National Bank into PNC Bank, National Association (PNC Bank, N.A.) in the first quarter of 2008.

On July 19, 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement with Sterling Financial Corporation (Sterling) for PNC to acquire Sterling for approximately 4.5 million shares of PNC common stock and \$224 million in cash. Sterling, based in Lancaster, Pennsylvania with approximately \$3.2 billion in assets and \$2.7 billion in deposits, provides banking and other financial services, including leasing, trust, investment and brokerage, to individuals and businesses through 67 branches in Pennsylvania, Maryland and Delaware. We expect this transaction to close in the second quarter of 2008, subject to customary closing conditions and the approval of Sterling s shareholders.

On July 2, 2007, we acquired ARCS Commercial Mortgage Co., L.P. (ARCS), a Calabasas Hills, California-based lender with 10 origination offices in the United States. ARCS has been a leading originator and servicer of agency multifamily loans for the past decade. It originated more than \$2.1 billion of loans in 2006 and services approximately \$13 billion of commercial mortgage loans.

On March 2, 2007, we acquired Mercantile Bankshares Corporation (Mercantile). Total consideration paid was approximately \$5.9 billion in stock and cash. Mercantile has added banking and investment and wealth management services through 235 branches in Maryland, Virginia, the District of Columbia, Delaware and southeastern Pennsylvania. This transaction has significantly expanded our presence in the mid-Atlantic region, particularly within the attractive Maryland, Northern Virginia and Washington, DC markets.

Our acquisition of Mercantile added approximately \$21 billion of assets and \$12.5 billion of deposits to our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Our Consolidated Income Statement includes the impact of Mercantile subsequent to our March 2, 2007 acquisition.

We include information on significant recent and pending acquisitions and divestitures in Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report and here by reference.

**REVIEW OF LINES OF BUSINESS** In addition to the following information relating to our lines of business, we incorporate information under the captions Line of Business Highlights,

Product Revenue, Leases and Related Tax Matters, and Business Segments Review in Item 7 of this Report here by reference. Also, we include financial and other information by business in Note 26 Segment Reporting in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report here by reference. We have four major businesses engaged in providing banking, asset management and global fund processing products and services: Retail Banking; Corporate & Institutional Banking; BlackRock; and PFPC. Assets, revenue and earnings attributable to foreign activities were not material in the periods presented.

### RETAIL BANKING

Retail Banking provides deposit, lending, brokerage, trust, investment management, and cash management services to approximately 2.9 million consumer and small business customers within our primary geographic markets. Our customers are serviced through over 1,100 offices in our branch network, the call center located in Pittsburgh and the Internet *www.pncbank.com*. The branch network is located primarily in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Washington, DC, Maryland, Virginia, Ohio, Kentucky and Delaware. Brokerage services are provided through PNC Investments, LLC, and Hilliard Lyons. See the Business Overview section of this Item 1 regarding our planned divestiture of Hilliard Lyons in the first half of 2008. Retail Banking also serves as investment manager and trustee for employee benefit plans and charitable and endowment assets and provides nondiscretionary defined contribution plan services. These services are provided to individuals and corporations primarily within our primary geographic markets.

Our core strategy is to acquire and retain customers who maintain their primary checking and transaction relationships with PNC. We also seek revenue growth by deepening our share of our customers financial assets and needs, including savings and liquidity deposits, loans and investable assets. A key element of our strategy is to continue to optimize our physical distribution network by opening and upgrading stand-alone and in-store branches in attractive sites while consolidating or selling branches with less opportunity for growth.

#### CORPORATE & INSTITUTIONAL BANKING

Corporate & Institutional Banking provides lending, treasury management, and capital markets-related products and services to mid-sized corporations, government entities, and selectively to large corporations. Lending products include secured and unsecured loans, letters of credit

and equipment leases. Treasury management services include cash and investment management, receivables management, disbursement services, funds transfer services, information reporting, and global trade services. Capital markets-related products and services include foreign exchange, derivatives, loan syndications, mergers and acquisitions advisory and related services to middle-market companies, securities underwriting, and securities sales and trading. We also provide commercial loan servicing, real estate advisory and technology solutions for the commercial real estate finance industry. We provide products and services generally within

our primary geographic markets, with certain products and services provided nationally.

Corporate & Institutional Banking is focused on becoming a premier provider of financial services in each of the markets it serves. Its value proposition to its customers is driven by providing a broad range of competitive and high quality products and services by a team fully committed to delivering the comprehensive resources of PNC to help each client succeed. Corporate & Institutional Banking s primary goals are to achieve market share growth and enhanced returns by means of expansion and retention of customer relationships and prudent risk and expense management.

### BLACKROCK

BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) is one of the largest publicly traded investment management firms in the United States with \$1.357 trillion of assets under management at December 31, 2007. BlackRock manages assets on behalf of institutional and individual investors worldwide through a variety of fixed income, cash management, equity and balanced and alternative investment separate accounts and funds. In addition, BlackRock provides risk management, investment system outsourcing and financial advisory services globally to institutional investors.

At December 31, 2007, our ownership interest in BlackRock was approximately 33.5%. Our investment in BlackRock is a strategic asset of PNC and a key component of our diversified earnings stream. The ability of BlackRock to grow assets under management is the key driver of increases in its revenue, earnings and, ultimately, shareholder value. BlackRock s strategies for growth in assets under management include a focus on achieving client investment performance objectives in a manner consistent with their risk preferences and delivering excellent client service. The business dedicates significant resources to attracting and retaining talented professionals and to the ongoing enhancement of its investment technology and operating capabilities to deliver on its strategy.

### <u>PFPC</u>

PFPC is a leading full service provider of processing, technology and business solutions for the global investment industry. Securities services include custody, securities lending, and accounting and administration for funds registered under the 1940 Act and alternative investments. Investor services include transfer agency, managed accounts, subaccounting, and distribution. We serviced \$2.5 trillion in total fund assets and 72 million shareholder accounts as of December 31, 2007 both domestically and internationally.

PFPC s international and domestic capabilities were expanded during 2007. We received approval for a banking license in Ireland and a branch in Luxembourg, which will allow PFPC to provide depositary services in Europe s leading domicile for traditional investment funds and the second largest

worldwide domicile after the United States. The opening of a sales office in London supported this initiative. The acquisition of Albridge and Coates Analytics in December 2007 will enhance our business model and product offerings with the delivery of information services to asset managers, financial advisors, and the distribution channel to help them better service their respective target markets.

PFPC focuses technological resources on driving efficiency through streamlining operations and developing flexible systems architecture and client-focused servicing solutions.

**SUBSIDIARIES** Our corporate legal structure at December 31, 2007 consisted of three subsidiary banks, including their subsidiaries, and approximately 67 active non-bank subsidiaries. PNC Bank, N.A., headquartered in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, is our principal bank subsidiary. At December 31, 2007, PNC Bank, N.A. had total consolidated assets representing approximately 90% of our consolidated assets. Our other bank subsidiaries are PNC Bank, Delaware and Yardville National Bank. Our non-bank PFPC subsidiary has obtained a banking license in Ireland and a branch in Luxembourg, which allow PFPC to provide depositary services as part of its business. For additional information on our subsidiaries, you may review Exhibit 21 to this Report.

STATISTICAL DISCLOSURE BY BANK HOLDING COMPANIES The following statistical information is included on the indicated pages of this Report and is incorporated herein by reference:

Average Consolidated Balance Sheet And Net Interest Analysis Analysis Of Year-To-Year Changes In Net Interest Income Book Values Of Securities Maturities And Weighted-Average Yield Of Securities Loan Types Form 10-K page 119 118 26 and 84-86 86 25, 87 and 120

Selected Loan Maturities And Interest Sensitivity Nonaccrual, Past Due And Restructured Loans And Other Nonperforming Assets Potential Problem Loans And Loans Held For Sale Summary Of Loan Loss Experience Assignment Of Allowance For Loan And Lease Losses Average Amount And Average Rate Paid On Deposits Time Deposits Of \$100,000 Or More Selected Consolidated Financial Data **SUPERVISION AND REGULATION**  122 47-48, 74, 89 and 120 27 and 47-48 48-49 and 121 48-49 and 121 119 91 and 122 17-18

### **OVERVIEW**

PNC is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 as amended (BHC Act) and a financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB Act).

We are subject to numerous governmental regulations, some of which are highlighted below. You should also read Note 22 Regulatory Matters in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report, included here by reference,

for additional information regarding our regulatory issues. Applicable laws and regulations restrict permissible activities and investments and require compliance with protections for loan, deposit, brokerage, fiduciary, mutual fund and other customers, among other things. They also restrict our ability to repurchase stock or to receive dividends from bank subsidiaries and impose capital adequacy requirements. The consequences of noncompliance can include substantial monetary and nonmonetary sanctions.

In addition, we are subject to comprehensive examination and supervision by, among other regulatory bodies, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve) and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC). We are subject to examination by these regulators, which results in examination reports and ratings (which are not publicly available) that can impact the conduct and growth of our businesses. These examinations consider not only compliance with applicable laws and regulations, but also capital levels, asset quality and risk, management ability and performance, earnings, liquidity, and various other factors. An examination downgrade by any of our federal bank regulators potentially can result in the imposition of significant limitations on our activities and growth. These regulatory agencies generally have broad discretion to impose restrictions and limitations on the operations of a regulated entity where the agencies determine, among other things, that such operations are unsafe or unsound, fail to comply with applicable law or are otherwise inconsistent with laws and regulations or with the supervisory policies of these agencies. This supervisory framework could materially impact the conduct, growth and profitability of our operations. We are also subject to regulation by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) by virtue of our status as a public company and due to the nature of some of our businesses.

As a regulated financial services firm, our relationships and good standing with regulators are of fundamental importance to the continuation and growth of our businesses. The Federal Reserve, OCC, SEC, and other domestic and foreign regulators have broad enforcement powers, and powers to approve, deny, or refuse to act upon our applications or notices to conduct new activities, acquire or divest businesses or assets, or reconfigure existing operations.

Over the last several years, there has been an increasing regulatory focus on compliance with anti-money laundering laws and regulations, resulting in, among other things, several significant publicly announced enforcement actions. There has also been a heightened focus recently on the protection of confidential customer information.

There are numerous rules governing the regulation of financial services institutions and their holding companies. Accordingly, the following discussion is general in nature and does not purport to be complete or to describe all of the laws and regulations that apply to us.

### BANK REGULATION

As a bank holding company and a financial holding company, we are subject to supervision and regular inspection by the Federal Reserve. Our subsidiary banks and their subsidiaries are subject to supervision and examination by applicable federal and state banking agencies, principally the OCC with respect to PNC Bank, N.A. and Yardville National Bank, and the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland and the Office of the State Bank Commissioner of Delaware with respect to PNC Bank, Delaware.

Notwithstanding PNC s reduced ownership interest in BlackRock and the deconsolidation resulting from the BlackRock/MLIM transaction (described in Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report), BlackRock continues to be subject to the supervision and regulation of the Federal Reserve to the same extent as it was prior to the transaction.

<u>Parent Company Liquidity and Dividends</u>. The principal source of our liquidity at the parent company level is dividends from PNC Bank, N.A. Our subsidiary banks are subject to various federal and state restrictions on their ability to pay dividends to PNC Bancorp, Inc., the direct parent of the subsidiary banks, which in turn may affect the ability of PNC Bancorp, Inc. to pay dividends to PNC at the parent company level. As noted below, we expect to merge Yardville National Bank into PNC Bank, N.A., in the first quarter of 2008. Our subsidiary banks are also subject to federal laws limiting extensions of credit to their parent holding company and non-bank affiliates as discussed in Note 22 Regulatory Matters included in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report, which is incorporated herein by reference. Further information on bank level liquidity and parent company liquidity and on certain contractual restrictions is also available in the Liquidity Risk Management section and in the Perpetual Trust Securities and Acquired Entity Trust Preferred Securities sections of the Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and VIEs section of Item 7 of this Report.

Under Federal Reserve policy, a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to each of its subsidiary banks and to commit resources to support each such bank. Consistent with the source of strength policy for subsidiary banks, the Federal Reserve has stated that, as a matter of prudent banking, a bank holding company generally should not maintain a rate of cash dividends unless its net income available to common shareholders has been sufficient to fully fund the dividends and the prospective rate of earnings retention appears to be consistent with the corporation's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. This policy does not currently have a negative impact on PNC s ability to pay dividends at our current level.

Additional Powers Under the GLB Act permits a qualifying bank holding company to become a financial holding company and thereby to affiliate with financial

companies engaging in a broader range of activities than would otherwise be permitted for a bank holding company. Permitted affiliates include securities underwriters and dealers, insurance companies and companies engaged in other activities that are determined by the Federal Reserve, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, to be financial in nature or incidental thereto or are determined by the Federal Reserve unilaterally to be complementary to financial activities. We became a financial holding company as of March 13, 2000.

The Federal Reserve is the umbrella regulator of a financial holding company, with its operating entities, such as its subsidiary broker-dealers, investment managers, investment companies, insurance companies and banks, also subject to the jurisdiction of various federal and state functional regulators with normal regulatory responsibility for companies in their lines of business.

As subsidiaries of a financial holding company under the GLB Act, our non-bank subsidiaries are allowed to conduct new financial activities or acquire non-bank financial companies with after-the-fact notice to the Federal Reserve. In addition, our non-bank subsidiaries (and any financial subsidiaries of subsidiary banks) are now permitted to engage in certain activities that were not permitted for banks and bank holding companies prior to enactment of the GLB Act, and to engage on less restrictive terms in certain activities that were previously permitted. Among other activities, we currently rely on our status as a financial holding company to conduct mutual fund distribution activities, merchant banking activities, and underwriting and dealing activities.

To continue to qualify for financial holding company status, our domestic subsidiary banks must maintain well capitalized capital ratios, examination ratings of 1 or 2 (on a scale of 1 to 5), and certain other criteria that are incorporated into the definition of well managed under the BHC Act and Federal Reserve rules. If we were to no longer qualify for this status, we could not continue to enjoy the after-the-fact notice process for new non-banking activities and non-banking acquisitions, and would be required promptly to enter into an agreement with the Federal Reserve providing a plan for our subsidiary banks to meet the well capitalized and well managed criteria. The Federal Reserve would have broad authority to limit our activities. Failure to satisfy the criteria within a six-month period could result in a requirement that we conform existing non-banking activities that were permissible prior to the enactment of the GLB Act. If a subsidiary bank failed to maintain a satisfactory or better rating under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977, as amended (CRA), we could not commence new activities or make new investments in reliance on the GLB Act.

In addition, the GLB Act permits a national bank, such as PNC Bank, N.A., to engage in expanded activities through the formation of a financial subsidiary. In order to qualify to

establish or acquire a financial subsidiary, PNC Bank, N.A. and each of its depository institution affiliates must be well capitalized and well managed and may not have a less than satisfactory CRA rating. A national bank that is one of the largest 50 insured banks in the United States, such as PNC Bank, N.A., must also have issued debt (which, for this purpose, may include the uninsured portion of PNC Bank, N.A. s long-term certificates of deposit) with certain minimum ratings. PNC Bank, N.A. has filed a financial subsidiary certification with the OCC and currently engages in insurance agency activities through a financial subsidiary. PNC Bank, N.A. may also generally engage through a financial subsidiary in any activity that is financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity. Certain activities, however, are impermissible for a financial subsidiary of a national bank, including insurance underwriting, insurance investments, real estate investment or development, and merchant banking.

If one of our domestic subsidiary banks were to fail to meet the well capitalized or well managed and related criteria, PNC Bank, N.A. would be required to enter into an agreement with the OCC to correct the condition. The OCC would have the authority to limit the activities of the bank. If the condition were not corrected within six months or within any additional time granted by the OCC, PNC Bank, N.A. could be required to conform the activities of any of its financial subsidiaries to activities in which a national bank could engage directly. In addition, if the bank or any insured depository institution affiliate receives a less than satisfactory CRA examination rating, PNC Bank, N.A. would not be permitted to engage in any new activities or to make new investments in reliance on the financial subsidiary authority.

<u>Other Federal Reserve and OCC Regulation</u>. The federal banking agencies possess broad powers to take corrective action as deemed appropriate for an insured depository institution and its holding company. The extent of these powers depends upon whether the institution in question is considered well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized or critically undercapitalized. Generally, the smaller an institution s capital base in relation to its risk-weighted assets, the greater the scope and severity of the agencies powers, ultimately permitting the agencies to appoint a receiver for the institution. Business activities may also be influenced by an institution s capital classification. For instance, only a well capitalized depository institution may accept brokered deposits without prior regulatory approval and an adequately capitalized depository institution may accept brokered deposits only with prior regulatory approval. At December 31, 2007, each of our domestic subsidiary banks exceeded the required ratios for classification as well capitalized. For additional discussion of capital adequacy requirements, we refer you to Funding and Capital Sources in the Consolidated Balance Sheet Review section of Item 7 of this Report and to Note 22 Regulatory Matters included in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

Laws and regulations limit the scope of our permitted activities and investments. In addition to the activities that would be permitted to be conducted by a financial subsidiary, national banks (such as PNC Bank, N.A.) and their operating subsidiaries may engage in any activities that are determined by the OCC to be part of or incidental to the business of banking.

Moreover, examination ratings of 3 or lower, lower capital ratios than peer group institutions, regulatory concerns regarding management, controls, assets, operations or other factors, can all potentially result in practical limitations on the ability of a bank or bank holding company to engage in new activities, grow, acquire new businesses, repurchase its stock or pay dividends, or to continue to conduct existing activities.

The Federal Reserve s prior approval is required whenever we propose to acquire all or substantially all of the assets of any bank or thrift, to acquire direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any bank or thrift, or to merge or consolidate with any other bank holding company or thrift holding company. When reviewing bank acquisition applications for approval, the Federal Reserve considers, among other things, each subsidiary bank s record in meeting the credit needs of the communities it serves in accordance with the CRA. Our ability to grow through acquisitions could be limited by these approval requirements.

At December 31, 2007, PNC Bank, N.A. and PNC Bank, Delaware, were rated outstanding and Yardville National Bank was rated satisfactory with respect to CRA.

<u>FDIC Insurance</u>. All three of our domestic subsidiary banks are insured by the FDIC and subject to premium assessments. Regulatory matters could increase the cost of FDIC deposit insurance premiums to an insured bank. Since 1996, the FDIC had not assessed banks in the most favorable capital and assessment risk classification categories for insurance premiums for most deposits, due to the favorable ratio of the assets in the FDIC s deposit insurance funds to the aggregate level of insured deposits outstanding. This resulted in significant cost savings to all insured banks. Deposit insurance premiums are assessed as a percentage of the deposits of the insured institution.

Beginning January 1, 2007, the FDIC reinstituted the assessment premiums for all deposits, which could impose a significant cost to all insured banks, including our subsidiary banks, reducing the net spread between deposit and other bank funding costs and the earnings from assets and services of the bank, and thus the net income of the bank. Because of a one-time assessment credit based on deposit premiums that the subsidiary banks of PNC had paid prior to 1996, the deposit insurance assessment for PNC subsidiary banks should be substantially offset through 2008.

FDIC deposit insurance premiums are risk based ; therefore, higher fee percentages would be charged to banks that have lower capital ratios or higher risk profiles. These risk profiles take into account weaknesses that are found by the primary banking regulator through its examination and supervision of

the bank. A negative evaluation by the FDIC or a bank s primary federal banking regulator could increase the costs to a bank and result in an aggregate cost of deposit funds higher than that of competing banks in a lower risk category. Our subsidiary banks are subject to cross-guarantee provisions under federal law that provide that if one of these banks fails or requires FDIC assistance, the FDIC may assess a commonly-controlled bank for the estimated losses suffered by the FDIC. Such liability could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or that of the assessed bank. While the FDIC's claim is junior to the claims of depositors, holders of secured liabilities, general creditors and subordinated creditors, it is superior to the claims of the bank s shareholders and affiliates, including PNC and intermediate bank holding companies.

### SECURITIES AND RELATED REGULATION

The SEC, together with either the OCC or the Federal Reserve, regulates our registered broker-dealer subsidiaries. These subsidiaries are also subject to rules and regulations promulgated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), among others. Hilliard Lyons is also a member of the New York Stock Exchange and various regional and national exchanges whose members are subject to regulation and supervision.

Several of our subsidiaries are registered with the SEC as investment advisers and, therefore, are subject to the requirements of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended, and the SEC's regulations thereunder. The principal purpose of the regulations applicable to investment advisers is the protection of clients and the securities markets, rather than the protection of creditors and shareholders of investment advisors. The regulations applicable to investment advisers cover all aspects of the investment advisory business, including limitations on the ability of investment advisers to charge performance-based or non-refundable fees to clients; record-keeping; operational, marketing and reporting requirements; disclosure requirements; limitations on principal transactions between an adviser or its affiliates and advisory clients; as well as general anti-fraud prohibitions. These investment advisors to registered investment companies and other managed accounts are subject to the requirements of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and the SEC s regulations thereunder. PFPC is subject to regulation by the SEC as a service provider to registered investment companies.

Additional legislation, changes in rules promulgated by the SEC, other federal and state regulatory authorities and self- regulatory organizations, or changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws and rules may directly affect the method of operation and profitability of investment advisers. The profitability of investment advisers could also be affected by rules and regulations that impact the business and financial communities in general, including changes to the laws

governing taxation, antitrust regulation and electronic commerce.

Over the past several years, the SEC and other governmental agencies have been investigating the mutual fund industry, including PFPC and other service providers. The SEC has adopted and proposed various rules, and legislation has been introduced in Congress, intended to reform the regulation of this industry. The effect of regulatory reform has, and is likely to continue to, increase the extent of regulation of the mutual fund industry and impose additional compliance obligations and costs on our subsidiaries involved with that industry.

Under provisions of the federal securities laws applicable to broker-dealers, investment advisers and registered investment companies and their service providers, a determination by a court or regulatory agency that certain violations have occurred at a company or its affiliates can result in fines, restitution, a limitation of permitted activities, disqualification to continue to conduct certain activities and an inability to rely on certain favorable exemptions. Certain types of infractions and violations can also affect a public company in its timing and ability to expeditiously issue new securities into the capital markets. In addition, expansion of activities of a broker-dealer generally requires approval of FINRA and regulators may take into account a variety of considerations in acting upon such applications, including internal controls, capital, management experience and quality, and supervisory concerns.

PFPC and BlackRock are also subject to regulation by appropriate authorities in the foreign jurisdictions in which they do business.

BlackRock has subsidiaries in securities and related businesses subject to SEC and FINRA regulation, as described above. For additional information about the regulation of BlackRock, we refer you to the discussion under the Regulation section of Item 1 Business in BlackRock s most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, which may be obtained electronically at the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

COMPETITION We are subject to intense competition from various financial institutions and from non-bank entities that engage in similar activities without being subject to bank regulatory supervision and restrictions.

In making loans, our subsidiary banks compete with traditional banking institutions as well as consumer finance companies, leasing companies and other non-bank lenders, and institutional investors including CLO managers, hedge funds, mutual fund complexes and private equity firms. Loan pricing, structure and credit standards are under competitive pressure as lenders seek to deploy capital and a broad range of borrowers have access to capital markets. Traditional deposit activities are subject to pricing pressures and customer migration as a result of intense competition for consumer investment dollars.

Our subsidiary banks compete for deposits with the following:

Other commercial banks, Savings banks, Savings and loan associations, Credit unions, Treasury management service companies, Insurance companies, and Issuers of commercial paper and other securities, including mutual funds.

Our various non-bank businesses engaged in investment banking and private equity activities compete with the following:

Commercial banks, Investment banking firms, Merchant banks, Insurance companies, Private equity firms, and Other investment vehicles.

In providing asset management services, our businesses compete with the following:

Investment management firms, Large banks and other financial institutions, Brokerage firms, Mutual fund complexes, and Insurance companies.

The fund servicing business is also highly competitive, with a relatively small number of providers. Merger, acquisition and consolidation activity in the financial services industry has also impacted the number of existing or potential fund servicing clients and has intensified

#### competition.

We include here by reference the additional information regarding competition included in the Item 1A Risk Factors section of this Report.

EMPLOYEES Period-end employees totaled 28,320 at December 31, 2007 (comprised of 25,480 full-time and 2,840 part-time employees).

#### SEC REPORTS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE INFORMATION

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), and, in accordance with the Exchange Act, we file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements, and other information with the SEC. Our SEC File Number is 001-09718. You may read and copy this information at the SEC s Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

You can also obtain copies of this information by mail from the Public Reference Section of the SEC, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549, at prescribed rates.

The SEC also maintains an internet World Wide Web site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information about issuers, like us, who file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is www.sec.gov. You can also inspect reports, proxy statements and other information about us at the offices of the New York Stock Exchange, 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005.

We also make our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished to the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act available free of charge on or through our internet website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. PNC s corporate internet address is www.pnc.com and you can find this information at www.pnc.com/secfilings. Shareholders and bondholders may also obtain copies of these filings without charge by contacting Shareholder Services at 800-982-7652 or via e-mail at web.queries@computershare.com for copies without exhibits, or by contacting Shareholder Relations at (800) 843-2206 or via e-mail at investor.relations@pnc.com for copies of exhibits. We filed the certifications of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 with respect to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2006 and both amendments thereto with the SEC as exhibits to that report and have filed the CEO and CFO certifications required by Section 302 of that Act with respect to this Form 10-K as exhibits to this Report.

Information about our Board and its committees and corporate governance at PNC is available on PNC s corporate website at www.pnc.com/corporategovernance. Shareholders who would like to request printed copies of the PNC Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or our Corporate Governance Guidelines or the charters of our Board s Audit, Nominating and Governance, or Personnel and Compensation Committees (all of which are posted on the PNC corporate website) may do so by sending their requests to George P. Long, III, Corporate Secretary, at corporate headquarters at One PNC Plaza, 249 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-2707. Copies will be provided without charge to shareholders.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol PNC. Our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer submitted the required annual CEO s Certification regarding the NYSE s corporate governance listing standards (a Section 12(a) CEO Certification) to the NYSE within 30 days after our 2007 annual shareholders meeting.

# ITEM 1A RISHFACTORS

We are subject to a number of risks potentially impacting our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Indeed, as a financial services organization, certain elements of risk are inherent in every one of our transactions and are presented by every business decision we make. Thus, we encounter risk as part of the normal course of our business, and we design risk management processes to help manage these risks.

There are risks that are known to exist at the outset of a transaction. For example, every loan transaction presents credit risk (the risk that the borrower may not perform in accordance with contractual terms) and interest rate risk (a potential loss in earnings or economic value due to adverse movement in market interest rates or credit spreads), with the nature and extent of these risks principally depending on the identity of the borrower and overall economic conditions. These risks are inherent in every loan transaction; if we wish to make loans, we must manage these risks through the terms and structure of the loans and through management of our deposits and other funding sources. The success of our business is dependent on our ability to identify, understand and manage the risks presented by our business activities so that we can balance appropriately revenue generation and profitability with these inherent risks. We discuss our principal risk management processes and, in appropriate places, related historical performance in the Risk Management section included in Item 7 of this Report.

The following are the key risk factors that affect us. These risk factors and other risks are also discussed further in other parts of this Report.

# A sustained weakness or weakening in business and economic conditions generally or specifically in the principal markets in which we do business could adversely affect our business and operating results.

PNC s business could be adversely affected to the extent that weaknesses in business and economic conditions have direct or indirect impacts on us or on our customers and counterparties. These conditions could lead, for example, to one or more of the following:

A decrease in the demand for loans and other products and services offered by us;

A decrease in the value of our loans held for sale;

A decrease in the usage of unfunded commitments;

A decrease in customer savings generally and in the demand for savings and investment products offered by us; and An increase in the number of customers and counterparties who become delinquent, file for protection under bankruptcy laws, or default on their loans or other obligations to us. An increase in the number of delinquencies, bankruptcies or defaults could result in a higher level of nonperforming assets, net charge-offs, provision for credit losses, and valuation adjustments on loans held for sale. Although many of our businesses are national and some are international in scope, our retail banking business is concentrated within our retail branch network footprint (Delaware, Indiana, Kentucky, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Washington, D.C., Maryland and Virginia), and thus that business is particularly vulnerable to adverse changes in economic conditions in these regions.

# Changes in interest rates, in the shape of the yield curve, in valuations in the debt or equity markets, or disruptions in the liquidity or other functioning of financial markets could directly impact our assets and liabilities and our performance.

Given our business mix, our traditional banking activities of gathering deposits and extending loans, and the fact that most of our assets and liabilities are financial in nature, we tend to be particularly sensitive to market interest rate movement and the performance of the financial markets. Starting in the middle of 2007, there has been significant turmoil and volatility in worldwide financial markets which is, at present, ongoing. These conditions have resulted in increased liquidity risk. In addition to the impact on the economy generally, with some of the potential effects outlined above, changes in interest rates, in the shape of the yield curve, or in valuations in the debt or equity markets or disruptions in the liquidity or other functioning of financial markets, all of which have been seen recently, could directly impact us in one or more of the following ways:

Such situations could affect the difference between the interest that we earn on assets and the interest that we pay on liabilities, as well as the value of some or all of our on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet financial instruments or the value of equity investments that we hold or of our equity funding obligations;

Such situations could affect the ability of borrowers to repay their loans or other counterparties to meet their obligations, and thus could directly or indirectly increase the credit risk associated with some of our financial transactions;

Such situations could adversely affect the operation of our businesses, including by impacting the nature, profitability or risk profile of the financial transactions in which we engage;

To the extent to which we access capital markets to raise funds to support our business and overall liquidity position, such situations could affect the cost of such funds or our ability to raise such funds; and

Such situations could affect the value of the assets that we manage or otherwise administer for others or the assets for which we provide processing and information services. Although we are not directly impacted by changes in the value of assets that we manage or administer for others or for which we provide processing and information services, decreases in the value of those assets would affect our fee income relating to those assets and could result in decreased demand for our services.

Among the situations that could have one or more of the preceding impacts are (1) ongoing volatility in the markets for real estate and other assets commonly securing financial products, as well as in the markets for such financial products, and (2) changes in the availability of insurance providing

credit enhancement with respect to financial products or in the ratings of the companies providing such insurance.

As a result of the high percentage of our assets and liabilities that are in the form of interest-bearing instruments, the monetary, tax and other policies of the government and its agencies, including the Federal Reserve, which have a significant impact on interest rates and overall financial market performance, can affect the activities and results of operations of bank holding companies and their subsidiaries, such as PNC and our subsidiaries. An important function of the Federal Reserve is to regulate the national supply of bank credit and market interest rates. The actions of the Federal Reserve influence the rates of interest that we charge on loans and that we pay on borrowings and interest-bearing deposits and can also affect the value of our on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet financial instruments. Both due to the impact on rates and by controlling access to direct funding from the Federal Reserve Banks, the Federal Reserve s policies also influence, to a significant extent, our cost of funding. We cannot predict the nature or timing of future changes in monetary, tax and other policies or the effect that they may have on our activities and results of operations.

We operate in a highly competitive environment, both in terms of the products and services we offer, the geographic markets in which we conduct business, as well as our labor markets and competition for talented employees. Competition could adversely impact our customer acquisition, growth and retention, as well as our credit spreads and product pricing, causing us to lose market share and deposits and revenues.

We are subject to intense competition from various financial institutions and from non-bank entities that engage in similar activities without being subject to bank regulatory supervision and restrictions. This competition is described in Item 1 under Competition.

In all, the principal bases for competition are pricing (including the interest rates charged on loans or paid on interest-bearing deposits), structure, the range of products and services offered, and the quality of customer service (including convenience and responsiveness to customer needs and concerns). The ability to access and use technology is an increasingly important competitive factor in the financial services industry. Technology is important not only with respect to delivery of financial services but also in processing information. Each of our businesses consistently must make significant technological investments to remain competitive.

A failure to address adequately the competitive pressures we face could make it harder for us to attract and retain customers across our businesses. On the other hand, meeting these competitive pressures could require us to incur significant additional expenses or to accept risk

beyond what we would otherwise view as desirable under the circumstances. In addition, in our interest sensitive businesses, pressures to increase rates on deposits or decrease rates on loans could

reduce our net interest margin with a resulting negative impact on our net interest income. Any of these results would likely have an adverse effect on our overall financial performance.

# We grow our business in part by acquiring from time to time other financial services companies, and these acquisitions present us with a number of risks and uncertainties related both to the acquisition transactions themselves and to the integration of the acquired businesses into PNC after closing.

Acquisitions of other financial services companies in general present risks to PNC in addition to those presented by the nature of the business acquired. In particular, acquisitions may be substantially more expensive to complete (including as a result of costs incurred in connection with the integration of the acquired company) and the anticipated benefits (including anticipated cost savings and strategic gains) may be significantly harder or take longer to achieve than expected. In some cases, acquisitions involve our entry into new businesses or new geographic or other markets, and these situations also present risks resulting from our inexperience in these new areas. As a regulated financial institution, our pursuit of attractive acquisition opportunities could be negatively impacted due to regulatory delays or other regulatory issues. Regulatory and/or legal issues relating to the pre-acquisition operations of an acquired business may cause reputational harm to PNC following the acquisition and integration of the acquired business into ours and may result in additional future costs arising as a result of those issues.

Our pending acquisition of Sterling presents many of the risks and uncertainties related to acquisition transactions themselves and to the integration of the acquired businesses into PNC after closing described above. Sterling presents regulatory and litigation risk as a result of financial irregularities at Sterling s commercial finance subsidiary.

# The performance of our asset management businesses may be adversely affected by the relative performance of our products compared with alternative investments.

Asset management revenue is primarily based on a percentage of the value of assets under management and, in some cases, performance fees, in most cases expressed as a percentage of the returns realized on assets under management, and thus is impacted by general changes in capital markets valuations and customer preferences. In addition, investment performance is an important factor influencing the level of assets under management. Poor investment performance could impair revenue and growth as existing clients might withdraw funds in favor of better performing products. Also, performance fees could be lower or nonexistent. Additionally, the ability to attract funds from existing and new clients might diminish.

# The performance of our fund servicing business may be adversely affected by changes in investor preferences, or changes in existing or potential fund servicing clients or alternative providers.

Fund servicing fees are primarily derived from the market value of the assets and the number of shareholder accounts that we administer for our clients. The performance of our fund processing business is thus partially dependent on the underlying performance of its fund clients and, in particular, their ability to attract and retain customers. Changes in interest rates or a sustained weakness, weakening or volatility in the debt and equity markets could (in addition to affecting directly the value of assets administered as discussed above) influence an investor s decision to invest or maintain an investment in a particular mutual fund or other pooled investment product. Other factors beyond our control may impact the ability of our fund clients to attract or retain customers or customer funds, including changes in preferences as to certain investment styles. Further, to the extent that our fund clients businesses are adversely affected by ongoing governmental investigations into the practices of the mutual and hedge fund industries, our fund processing business results also could be adversely impacted. As a result of these types of factors, fluctuations may occur in the level or value of assets for which we provide processing services. In addition, this regulatory and business environment is likely to continue to result in operating margin pressure for our various services.

# As a regulated financial services firm, we are subject to numerous governmental regulations and to comprehensive examination and supervision by regulators, which affects our business as well as our competitive position.

PNC is a bank and financial holding company and is subject to numerous governmental regulations involving both its business and organization. Our businesses are subject to regulation by multiple bank regulatory bodies as well as multiple securities industry regulators. Applicable laws and regulations restrict our ability to repurchase stock or to receive dividends from bank subsidiaries and impose capital adequacy requirements. They also restrict permissible activities and investments and require compliance with protections for loan, deposit, brokerage, fiduciary, mutual fund and other customers, and for the protection of customer information, among other things. The consequences of noncompliance can include substantial monetary and nonmonetary sanctions as well as damage to our reputation and business.

In addition, we are subject to comprehensive examination and supervision by banking and other regulatory bodies. Examination reports and ratings (which often are not publicly available) and other aspects of this supervisory framework can materially impact the conduct, growth, and

profitability of our businesses.

We discuss these and other regulatory issues applicable to PNC in the Supervision and Regulation section included in Item 1 of this Report and in Note 22 Regulatory Matters in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report and here by reference.

Over the last several years, there has been an increasing regulatory focus on compliance with anti-money laundering

laws and regulations, resulting in, among other things, several significant publicly-announced enforcement actions. There has also been a heightened focus recently, by customers and the media as well as by regulators, on the protection of confidential customer information. A failure to have adequate procedures to comply with anti-money laundering laws and regulations or to protect the confidentiality of customer information could expose us to damages, fines and regulatory penalties, which could be significant, and could also injure our reputation with customers and others with whom we do business.

# We must comply with generally accepted accounting principles established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, rules set forth by the SEC, income tax regulations established by the Department of the Treasury, and revenue rulings and other guidance issued by the Internal Revenue Service, which affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in accounting standards, or interpretations of those standards, can impact our revenue recognition and expense policies and affect our estimation methods used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. Changes in income tax regulations, revenue rulings, revenue procedures, and other guidance can impact our tax liability and alter the timing of cash flows associated with tax deductions and payments. New guidance often dictates how changes to standards and regulations are to be presented in our consolidated financial statements, as either an adjustment to beginning retained earnings for the period or as income or expense in current period earnings. Certain changes may also be required to be applied retrospectively.

# Our business and financial performance could be adversely affected, directly or indirectly, by natural disasters, by terrorist activities or by international hostilities.

The impact of natural disasters, terrorist activities and international hostilities cannot be predicted with respect to severity or duration. However, any of these could impact us directly (for example, by causing significant damage to our facilities or preventing us from conducting our business in the ordinary course), or could impact us indirectly through a direct impact on our borrowers, depositors, other customers, suppliers or other counterparties. We could also suffer adverse consequences to the extent that natural disasters, terrorist activities or international hostilities affect the economy and capital and other financial markets generally. These types of impacts could lead, for example, to an increase in delinquencies, bankruptcies or defaults that could result in our experiencing higher levels of nonperforming assets, net charge-offs and provisions for credit losses.

Our ability to mitigate the adverse consequences of such occurrences is in part dependent on the quality of our resiliency planning, including our ability to anticipate the nature of any such event that occurs. The adverse impact of natural disasters or terrorist activities or international hostilities also could be

increased to the extent that there is a lack of preparedness on the part of national or regional emergency responders or on the part of other organizations and businesses that we deal with, particularly those that we depend upon.

# ITEM 1B UNRESOLVEISTAFF COMMENTS

There are no SEC staff comments regarding PNC s periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act that are pending resolution.

# **ITEM 2 PROPERTIES**

Our executive and administrative offices are located at One PNC Plaza, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The thirty-story structure is owned by PNC Bank, N. A. We occupy the entire building. In addition, PNC Bank, N.A. owns a thirty-four story structure adjacent to One PNC Plaza, known as Two PNC Plaza, that houses additional office space.

We own or lease numerous other premises for use in conducting business activities. We consider the facilities owned or occupied under lease by our subsidiaries to be adequate. We include here by reference the additional information regarding our properties in Note 9 Premises, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

# ITEM 3 LEGAPROCEEDINGS

# Adelphia

Some of our subsidiaries are defendants (or have potential contractual contribution obligations to other defendants) in several pending lawsuits brought during late 2002 and 2003 arising out of the bankruptcy of Adelphia Communications Corporation and its subsidiaries.

One of the lawsuits was brought on Adelphia's behalf by the unsecured creditors' committee and equity committee in Adelphia's consolidated bankruptcy proceeding and was removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by order dated February 9, 2006. Pursuant to Adelphia's plan of reorganization, this lawsuit will be prosecuted by a contingent value vehicle, known as the Adelphia Recovery Trust. In October 2007, the Adelphia Recovery Trust filed an amended complaint in this lawsuit, adding defendants and making additional allegations. The other lawsuits, one of which is a putative consolidated class action, were brought by holders of debt and equity securities of Adelphia and have been consolidated for pretrial purposes in the above district court. The bank defendants, including the PNC defendants, have entered into a settlement of the consolidated class action. This settlement was approved by the district court in November 2006. In December 2006, a group of class members appealed orders related to the settlement to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The amount for which we would be responsible under this settlement is insignificant.

The non-settled lawsuits arise out of lending and investment banking activities engaged in by PNC subsidiaries together with other financial services companies. In the aggregate, hundreds of other financial services companies and numerous

other companies and individuals have been named as defendants in one or more of these lawsuits. Collectively, with respect to some or all of the defendants, the lawsuits allege federal law claims (including violations of federal securities and banking laws), violations of common law duties, aiding and abetting such violations, voidable preference payments, and fraudulent transfers, among other matters. The lawsuits seek monetary damages (including in some cases punitive or treble damages), interest, attorneys fees and other expenses, and a return of the alleged voidable preference and fraudulent transfer payments, among other remedies.

We believe that we have defenses to the claims against us in these lawsuits, as well as potential claims against third parties, and intend to defend the remaining lawsuits vigorously. These lawsuits involve complex issues of law and fact, presenting complicated relationships among the many financial and other participants in the events giving rise to these lawsuits, and have not progressed to the point where we can predict the outcome of the non-settled lawsuits. It is not possible to determine what the likely aggregate recoveries on the part of the plaintiffs in these remaining matters might be or the portion of any such recoveries for which we would ultimately be responsible, but the final consequences to PNC could be material.

# Data Treasury

In March 2006, a first amended complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas by Data Treasury Corporation against PNC and PNC Bank, N.A., as well as more than 50 other financial institutions, vendors, and other companies, claiming that the defendants are infringing, and inducing or contributing to the infringement of, the plaintiff s patents, which allegedly involve check imaging, storage and transfer. The plaintiff seeks unspecified damages and interest and trebling of both, attorneys fees and other expenses, and injunctive relief against the alleged infringement. We believe that we have defenses to the claims against us in this lawsuit and intend to defend it vigorously. In January 2007, the district court entered an order staying the claims asserted against PNC under two of the four patents allegedly infringed by PNC, pending reexamination of these patents by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The Patent Office has since indicated that all of the claims are allowable. In September 2007, the parties agreed to stay the other two patents-in-suit pending reexamination of those patents. The entire case is presently stayed. Data Treasury has moved to lift the stay.

### **CBNV** Mortgage Litigation

Between 2001 and 2003, on behalf of either individual plaintiffs or a putative class of plaintiffs, several separate actions were filed in state and federal court against Community Bank of Northern Virginia (CBNV) and other defendants challenging the validity of second mortgage loans the defendants made to the plaintiffs. CBNV was merged into one of Mercantile s banks. These cases were either filed in, or removed to, the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

In July 2003, the court conditionally certified a class for settlement purposes and preliminarily approved a settlement of the various actions. Thereafter, certain plaintiffs who had initially opted out of the proposed settlement and other objectors challenged the validity of the settlement in the district court. The district court approved the settlement, and these opt out plaintiffs and other objectors appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. In August 2005, the court of appeals reversed the district court s approval of the settlement and remanded the case to the district court with instructions to consider and address certain specific issues when re-evaluating the settlement. In August 2006, the settlement agreement to the district court for its approval. In January 2008, the district court conditionally certified a class for settlement purposes, preliminarily approved the proposed modified settlement agreement, and directed that the settlement agreement be submitted to the class members for their consideration. This settlement remains subject to final court approval. Some of the objecting plaintiffs are seeking permission to appeal the district court is decision certifying the class for settlement purposes and preliminarily approving the settlement. These same plaintiffs also filed a motion to stay the district court proceedings pending a decision on their appeal request. The district court denied the stay request, and there is a similar stay motion pending in the appeals court.

In January 2008, the district court also issued an order sending back to state court in North Carolina the claims of certain plaintiffs seeking to represent a class of North Carolina borrowers.

In addition to these lawsuits, several individuals have filed actions on behalf of themselves or a putative class of plaintiffs alleging claims involving CBNV s second mortgage loans. These actions also were filed in, or transferred for coordinated or consolidated pre-trial proceedings to, the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

The plaintiffs in these lawsuits seek unquantified monetary damages, interest, attorneys fees and other expenses, and a refund of all origination fees and fees paid for title services.

### **BAE Derivative Litigation**

In September 2007, a derivative lawsuit was filed on behalf of BAE Systems plc by a holder of its American Depositary Receipts against current and former directors and officers of BAE, Prince Bandar bin Sultan, PNC (as successor to Riggs National Corporation and Riggs Bank, N.A.), Joseph L. Allbritton, Robert L. Allbritton, and Barbara Allbritton. The complaint alleges that BAE directors and officers breached their fiduciary duties by making or permitting to be made improper or illegal bribes, kickbacks and other payments with respect to a military contract obtained in the mid-1980s from the Saudi Arabian Ministry of Defense, and that Prince Bandar was the primary recipient or beneficiary of these payments. The complaint also alleges that Riggs, together with the Allbrittons (as former directors, officers and controlling

persons of Riggs), acted as the primary intermediaries through which the payments were laundered and actively concealed, and aided and abetted the BAE defendants breaches of fiduciary duties. As it relates to PNC, plaintiff is seeking unquantified monetary damages (including punitive damages), an accounting, interest, attorneys fees and other expenses. We believe that we have defenses to the claims against us in this lawsuit and intend to defend it vigorously. We have filed a motion seeking dismissal of the claims against us. As a result of our acquisition of Riggs, PNC may be responsible for indemnifying the Allbrittons in connection with this lawsuit.

### **Regulatory and Governmental Inquiries**

In connection with an audit of the services provided by Mercantile Safe Deposit & Trust Company (now PNC Bank) as trustee of the AFL-CIO Building Investment Trust, a collective trust fund that invests pension plan assets in commercial real estate assets, the United States Department of Labor has identified the possibility that Mercantile collected unauthorized fees in violation of ERISA. If it is ultimately determined that these fees were collected in violation of the law, we could be subject to requirements to return the fees to the Building Investment Trust, with interest, and could also be subject to penalties and taxes.

As a result of the regulated nature of our business and that of a number of our subsidiaries, particularly in the banking and securities areas, we and our subsidiaries are the subject of investigations and other forms of regulatory inquiry, often as part of industry-wide regulatory reviews of specified activities. One of these situations is in connection with investigations of practices in the mutual fund industry, where several of our subsidiaries have received requests for information and other inquiries from governmental and regulatory authorities.

Our practice is to cooperate fully with regulatory and governmental investigations, audits and other inquiries, including those described above. Such investigations, audits and other inquiries may lead to remedies such as fines, restitution or alterations in our business practices.

### Other

In addition to the proceedings or other matters described above, PNC and persons to whom we may have indemnification obligations, in the normal course of business, are subject to various other pending and threatened legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages and other relief are asserted. See Note 24 Commitments and Guarantees in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report for additional information regarding the Visa indemnification and our obligation to provide indemnification to current and former officers, directors, employees and agents of PNC and companies we have acquired. We do not anticipate, at the present time, that the ultimate aggregate liability, if any, arising out of such other legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our financial position. However, we cannot now determine whether or not any claims asserted against us or others to whom we may have

indemnification obligations, whether in the proceedings or other matters specifically described above or otherwise, will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any future reporting period.

### ITEM 4 SUBMISSIONOF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None during the fourth quarter of 2007.

**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT** Information regarding each of our executive officers as of February 15, 2008 is set forth below. Executive officers do not have a stated term of office. Each executive officer has held the position or positions indicated or another executive position with the same entity or one of its affiliates for the past five years unless otherwise indicated below.

Year

Name	Age	Position with PNC	Employed (1)
James E. Rohr	59	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (2)	1972
Joseph C. Guyaux	57	President	1972
William S. Demchak	45	Vice Chairman	2002
William C. Mutterperl	61	Vice Chairman	2002
Timothy G. Shack	57	Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer	1976
Thomas K. Whitford	51	Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer	1983
Michael J. Hannon	51	Senior Vice President and Chief Credit Officer	1982
Richard J. Johnson	51	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2002

Samuel R. Patterson	49			
Helen P. Pudlin	58			
John J. Wixted, Jr.	56			
(1) Where applicable, refers to year employed by predecessor company.				

Senior Vice President and Controller1986Senior Vice President and General Counsel1989Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer2002

Where applicable, refers to year em
 Also serves as a director of PNC.

William S. Demchak joined PNC as Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer in September 2002. In August 2005, he took on additional oversight responsibilities for the Corporation s Corporate & Institutional Banking business and continued to oversee PNC s asset and liability management and equity management activities while transitioning the responsibilities of Chief Financial Officer to Richard J. Johnson.

Thomas K. Whitford was appointed Chief Administrative Officer in May 2007. From April 2002 through May 2007, he served as Chief Risk Officer.

Richard J. Johnson joined PNC in December 2002 and served as Senior Vice President and Director of Finance until his appointment as Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation effective in August 2005.

John J. Wixted, Jr. joined PNC as Senior Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer in August 2002. He served in this role until May 2007, when he was appointed Chief Risk Officer.

DIRECTORS OF THE REGISTRANT The name, age and principal occupation of each of our directors as of February 15, 2008, and the year he or she first became a director is set forth below:

Richard O. Berndt, 65, Managing Partner of Gallagher, Evelius & Jones LLP (law firm), (2007),

Charles E. Bunch, 58, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of PPG Industries, Inc. (coatings, sealants and glass products), (2007),

Paul W. Chellgren, 65, Operating Partner, SPG Partners, LLC, (private equity), (1995),

Robert N. Clay, 61, President and Chief Executive Officer of Clay Holding Company (investments), (1987),

George A. Davidson, Jr., 69, Retired Chairman of Dominion Resources, Inc. (public utility holding company), (1988),

Kay Coles James, 58, President and Founder of The Gloucester Institute (non-profit), (2006),

Richard B. Kelson, 61, Operating Advisor, Pegasus Capital Advisors, L.P., (private equity), (2002),

Bruce C. Lindsay, 66, Chairman and Managing Member of 2117 Associates, LLC (advisory company), (1995),

Anthony A. Massaro, 63, Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc. (full-line manufacturer of welding and cutting products), (2002),

Jane G. Pepper, 62, President of Pennsylvania Horticultural Society (non-profit membership organization), (1997),

James E. Rohr, 59, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of PNC, (1990),

Donald J. Shepard, 61, Chairman of the Executive Board and Chief Executive Officer, AEGON N.V. (insurance), (2007),

Lorene K. Steffes, 62, Independent Business Advisor, (2000),

Dennis F. Strigl, 61, President and Chief Operating Officer of Verizon Communications Inc. (telecommunications), (2001),

Stephen G. Thieke, 61, Retired Chairman, Risk Management Committee of JP Morgan Incorporated (financial and investment banking services), (2002),

Thomas J. Usher, 65, Retired Chairman of United States Steel Corporation (integrated steelmaker) and Chairman of Marathon Oil Corporation (oil and gas industry), (1992),

George H. Walls, Jr., 65, former Chief Deputy Auditor of the State of North Carolina, (2006),

Helge H. Wehmeier, 65, Retired President and Chief Executive Officer of Bayer Corporation (healthcare, crop protection, and chemicals), (1992).

### PART II

# ITEM 5 MARKEFOR REGISTRANT ©OMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

(a) Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange and is traded under the symbol PNC. At the close of business on February 15, 2008, there were 49,566 common shareholders of record.

Holders of PNC common stock are entitled to receive dividends when declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available for this purpose. Our Board of Directors may not pay or set apart dividends on the common stock until dividends for all past dividend periods on any series of outstanding preferred stock have been paid or declared and set apart for payment. The Board presently intends to continue the policy of paying quarterly cash dividends. However, the amount of any future dividends will depend on earnings, our financial condition and other factors, including contractual restrictions and applicable government regulations and policies (such as those relating to the ability of bank and non-bank subsidiaries to pay dividends to the parent company).

The Federal Reserve has the power to prohibit us from paying dividends without its approval. For further information concerning dividend restrictions and restrictions on loans, dividends or advances from bank subsidiaries to the parent company, you may review Supervision and Regulation in Item 1 of this Report, Liquidity Risk Management in the Risk Management section and Perpetual Trust Securities , PNC Capital Trust E Trust Preferred Securities and Acquired Entity Trust Preferred Securities in the Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and VIEs section of Item 7 of this Report, and Note 22 Regulatory Matters in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report, which we include here by reference.

We include here by reference additional information relating to PNC common stock under the caption Common Stock Prices/Dividends Declared in the Statistical Information (Unaudited) section of Item 8 of this Report.

We include here by reference the information regarding our compensation plans under which PNC equity securities are authorized for issuance as of December 31, 2007 in the table (with introductory paragraph and notes) that appears under Item 12 of this Report.

Our registrar, stock transfer agent, and dividend disbursing agent is:

Computershare Investor Services, LLC

250 Royall Street

Canton, MA 02021

800-982-7652

We include here by reference the information that appears under the caption Common Stock Performance Graph at the end of this Item 5.

#### (b) Not applicable.

(c) Details of our repurchases of PNC common stock during the fourth quarter of 2007 are included in the following table:

In thousands, except per share data

2007 pariod	Total shares	Average price paid per	Total shares purchased as part of publicly announced	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the
2007 period	purchased (a)	share	programs (b)	programs (b)
October 1 October 31 November 1	318	\$ 70.86	145	24,855
November 30	433	\$ 70.48	145	24,710
December 1				
December 31	169	\$ 69.39		24,710
Total	920	\$ 70.41	290	

(a) Includes PNC common stock purchased under the program referred to in note (b) to this table and PNC common stock purchased in connection with our various employee benefit plans.

(b) Our current stock repurchase program, allows us to purchase up to 25 million shares on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. This program was authorized on October 4, 2007 and replaced the prior program, which was authorized in February 2005. This program will remain in effect until fully utilized or until modified, superseded or terminated.

#### **Common Stock Performance Graph**

This graph shows the cumulative total shareholder return (i.e., price change plus reinvestment of dividends) on our common stock during the five-year period ended December 31, 2007, as compared with: (1) a selected peer group of our competitors, called the Peer Group; (2) an overall stock market index, the S&P 500 Index; and (3) a published industry index, the S&P 500 Banks. The yearly points marked on the horizontal axis of the graph correspond to December 31 of that year. The stock performance graph assumes that \$100 was invested on January 1, 2003 for the five-year period and that any dividends were reinvested. The table below the graph shows the resultant compound annual growth rate for the performance period.

	Period		Assumes \$100 investment at Close of				5-Year
			Market on December 31, 2002				Com-pound Growth
			Total Return = Price change plus				Rate
			reinvestment of dividends				
	Dec02	Dec03	Dec04	Dec05	Dec06	Dec07	
PNC	\$100	136.06	148.25	165.41	204.29	187.41	13.39%
S&P 500 Index	\$100	128.67	142.65	149.65	173.26	182.78	12.82%
S&P 500 Banks	\$100	126.65	144.92	142.86	165.89	116.49	3.10%
Peer Group	\$100	129.36	146.85	147.23	167.31	123.96	4.39%

The Peer Group for the preceding chart and table consists of the following companies: BB&T Corporation; Comerica Inc.; Fifth Third Bancorp; KeyCorp; National City Corporation; The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.; SunTrust Banks, Inc.; U.S. Bancorp.; Wachovia Corporation; Regions Financial Corporation; and Wells Fargo & Co. The Peer Group shown is the Peer Group approved by the Board s Personnel and Compensation Committee for 2007.

Each yearly point for the Peer Group is determined by calculating the cumulative total shareholder return for each company in the Peer Group from December 31, 2002 to December 31 of that year (End of Month Dividend Reinvestment Assumed) and then using the median of these returns as the yearly plot point.

In accordance with the rules of the SEC, this section, captioned Common Stock Performance Graph, shall not be incorporated by reference into any of our future filings made under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or the Securities Act of 1933. The Common Stock Performance Graph, including its accompanying table and footnotes, is not deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed under the Exchange Act or the Securities Act.

# ITEM 6 - SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

		Year ended December 31			
Dollars in millions, except per share data	2007	2006 (a)	2005	2004	2003
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS					
Interest income	\$6,166	\$4 612	\$3,734	\$2 752	\$2.712
Interest expense	3,251	2,367	1.580	783	716
Net interest income	2,915	2,307	2,154	1,969	1,996
Provision for credit losses	315	124	2,134	52	1,990
Noninterest income	3,790	6.327	4.173	3.572	3.263
Noninterest income	4,296	4,443	4,306	3,712	3,467
Income before minority interests and income taxes	2,094	4,005	2,000	1,777	1,615
Minority interest in income of BlackRock	<b>_</b> ,051	47	2,000	42	47
Income taxes	627	1,363	604	538	539
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change	1,467	2,595	1,325	1,197	1.029
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	1,107	2,375	1,020	1,177	(28)
Net income	\$1,467	\$2,595	\$1,325	\$1,197	. ,
	+-,-*	+_,=,=,=	+ - ,	+-,-> .	+-,
Per Common Share					
Basic earnings (loss)					
Before cumulative effect of accounting change	\$4.43	\$8.89	\$4.63	\$4.25	\$3.68
Cumulative effect of accounting change					(.10)
Net income	\$4.43	\$8.89	\$4.63	\$4.25	\$3.58
Diluted earnings (loss)					
Before cumulative effect of accounting change	\$4.35	\$8.73	\$4.55	\$4.21	\$3.65
Cumulative effect of accounting change					(.10)
Net income	\$4.35	\$8.73	\$4.55	\$4.21	\$3.55
Book value (At December 31)	\$43.60	\$36.80	\$29.21	\$26.41	\$23.97
Cash dividends declared	\$2.44	\$2.15	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$1.94
(a) See Note (a) on page 18.					

Certain prior-period amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation, which we believe is more meaningful to readers of our consolidated financial statements. See Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report for information on significant recent and planned business acquisitions and divestitures.

For information regarding certain business risks, see Item 1A Risk Factors and the Risk Management section of Item 7 of this Report. Also, see our Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information included in Item 7 of this Report for certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements or from historical performance.

		At or for the year ended December 31			
Dollars in millions, except as noted	2007	2006 (a)	2005	2004	2003
BALANCE SHEET HIGHLIGHTS					
Assets	\$138,920	\$101,820	\$91,954	\$79,723	\$68,168
Loans, net of unearned income	68,319	50,105	49,101	43,495	36,303
Allowance for loan and lease losses	830	560	596	607	632
Securities	30,225	23,191	20,710	16,761	15,690
Loans held for sale	3,927	2,366	2,449	1,670	1,400
Equity investments (b)	6,045	5,330	1,323	1,058	997
Deposits	82,696	66,301	60,275	53,269	45,241
Borrowed funds (c)	30,931	15,028	16,897	11,964	11,453
Shareholders equity	14,854	10,788	8,563	7,473	6,645
Common shareholders equity	14,847	10,781	8,555	7,465	6,636
Assets Administered (in billions)					
Managed (d)	\$73	\$54	\$494	\$383	\$354
Nondiscretionary	113	86	84	93	87
Fund assets serviced (in billions)					
Accounting/administration net assets	\$990	\$837	\$835	\$721	\$654
Custody assets	500	427	476	451	401
Selected Ratios					
Net interest margin	3.00%	2.92%	3.00%	3.22%	3.64%
Noninterest income to total revenue	57	74	66	64	62
Efficiency	64	52	68	67	66
Return on					
Average common shareholders equity	10.53	27.97	16.58	16.82	15.06
Average assets	1.19	2.73	1.50	1.59	1.49
Loans to deposits	83	76	81	82	80
Dividend payout	55.0	24.4	43.4	47.2	54.5
Tier 1 risk-based capital	6.8	10.4	8.3	9.0	9.5
Common shareholders equity to total assets	10.7	10.6	9.3	9.4	9.7
Average common shareholders equity to average assets	11.3	9.8	9.0	9.4	9.9

(a) Noninterest income for 2006 included the pretax impact of the following: gain on the BlackRock/Merrill Lynch Investment Managers (MLIM) transaction of \$2.1 billion; securities portfolio rebalancing loss of \$196 million; and mortgage loan portfolio repositioning loss of \$48 million. Noninterest expense for 2006 included the pretax impact of BlackRock/MLIM transaction integration costs of \$91 million. An additional \$10 million of integration costs, recognized in the fourth quarter of 2006, were included in noninterest income as a negative component of the asset management line. The after-tax impact of these items was as follows: BlackRock/MLIM transaction gain - \$1.3 billion; securities portfolio rebalancing loss - \$127 million; mortgage loan portfolio repositioning loss - \$31 million; and BlackRock/MLIM transaction integration costs - \$47 million.

(b) The balances at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 include our investment in BlackRock.

(c) Includes long-term borrowings of \$12.6 billion, \$6.6 billion, \$5.7 billion and \$5.8 billion for 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Borrowings which mature more than one year after December 31, 2007 are considered to be long-term.

(d) Assets under management at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 do not include BlackRock s assets under management as we deconsolidated BlackRock effective September 29, 2006.

### ITEM 7 - MANAGEMENT **\$** ISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

# THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

PNC is one of the largest diversified financial services companies in the United States based on assets, with businesses engaged in retail banking, corporate and institutional banking, asset management, and global fund processing services. We provide many of our products and services nationally and others in our primary geographic markets located in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Washington, DC, Maryland, Virginia, Ohio, Kentucky and Delaware. We also provide certain global fund processing services internationally.

### Key Strategic Goals

Our strategy to enhance shareholder value centers on driving positive operating leverage by achieving growth in revenue from our diverse business mix that exceeds growth in expenses as a result of disciplined cost management. In each of our business segments, the primary drivers of revenue growth are the acquisition, expansion and retention of customer relationships. We strive to expand our customer base by providing convenient banking options and leading technology systems, providing a broad range of fee-based products and services, focusing on customer service, and through a significantly enhanced branding initiative. We may also grow revenue through appropriate and targeted acquisitions and, in certain businesses, by expanding into new geographical markets.

We have maintained a moderate risk profile characterized by strong credit quality and limited exposure to earnings volatility resulting from interest rate fluctuations and the shape of the interest rate yield curve. Our actions have created a strong balance sheet, ample liquidity and investment flexibility to adjust, where appropriate, to changing interest rates and market conditions. We continue to be disciplined in investing capital in our businesses while returning a portion to shareholders through dividends and share repurchases when appropriate.

#### Acquisition And Divestiture Activity

A summary of pending and recently completed acquisitions and divestitures is included under Item 1 and in Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

#### Key Factors Affecting Financial Performance

Our financial performance is substantially affected by several external factors outside of our control, including:

- General economic conditions,
- Loan demand, utilization of credit commitments and standby letters of credit, and asset quality
- Customer demand for other products and services,
- Movement of customer deposits from lower to higher rate accounts or to investment alternatives,
- The level of, direction, timing and magnitude of movement in interest rates, and the shape of the interest rate yield curve, and The functioning and other performance of, and availability of liquidity in, the capital and other financial markets.

The impact of credit spreads on valuations of commercial mortgage loans held for sale and the market for securitization and sale of these assets.

Starting in the middle of 2007, and continuing at present, there has been significant turmoil and volatility in worldwide financial markets, accompanied by uncertain prospects for the overall economy. Our performance in 2008 will be impacted by developments in these areas. In addition, our success in 2008 will depend, among other things, upon:

Further success in the acquisition, growth and retention of customers,
The successful integration of Yardville and progress toward closing and integrating the Sterling acquisition,
Completing the divestiture of Hilliard Lyons,
Continued development of the Mercantile franchise, including full deployment of our product offerings,
Revenue growth,
A sustained focus on expense management and creating positive operating leverage,
Maintaining strong overall asset quality,
Prudent risk and capital management, and
Actions we take within the capital and other financial markets.

SUMMARY FINANCIAL RESULTS

In billions, except for	Year ended Dec	Year ended December 31		
per share data	2007	2006		
Net income	\$1.467	\$2.595		
Diluted earnings per share	\$4.35	\$8.73		
Return on				
Average common				
shareholders equity	10.53%	27.97%		
Average assets	1.19%	2.73%		
We refer you to the Consolidated Income Statement Review portion of the 20	06 Versus 2005 section of this Item 7 for significant	items which		

We refer you to the Consolidated Income Statement Review portion of the 2006 Versus 2005 section of this Item 7 for significant items which collectively increased net income for 2006 by \$1.1 billion, or \$3.67 per diluted share.

Our performance in 2007 included the following accomplishments:

Our total assets at December 31, 2007 reached a record level of \$139 billion, as further detailed in the Consolidated Balance Sheet Review section of this Item 7. We achieved growth by expanding our footprint, growing and deepening customer relationships, and enhancing product capabilities.

We differentiated ourselves with a diverse revenue mix of both interest and noninterest

income. Noninterest income represented 57% of total revenue for 2007.

Overall asset quality remained strong, reflecting our commitment to maintain a moderate risk profile. While nonperforming assets increased in 2007 compared with 2006, the coverage ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans was 190% and the allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans increased to 1.21% at December 31, 2007. PNC continued to be well capitalized and maintained a strong liquidity position.

At December 31, 2007, our investment in BlackRock was \$4.1 billion and, based upon the closing price of BlackRock s common stock on that date, we had an additional \$5.3 billion of pretax value that was not recognized.

#### **B**ALANCE SHEET HIGHLIGHTS

Total assets were \$138.9 billion at December 31, 2007 compared with \$101.8 billion at December 31, 2006. The increase compared with December 31, 2006 was primarily due to the addition of approximately \$21 billion of assets related to the Mercantile acquisition, growth in loans and higher securities available for sale.

Total average assets were \$123.4 billion for 2007 compared with \$95.0 billion for 2006. This increase reflected a \$20.3 billion increase in average interest-earning assets and an increase in average other noninterest-earning assets. An increase of \$12.9 billion in loans and a \$5.2 billion increase in securities available for sale were the primary factors for the increase in average interest-earning assets.

The increase in average other noninterest-earning assets for 2007 reflected our equity investment in BlackRock, which averaged \$3.8 billion for 2007 and which had been consolidated for the first nine months of 2006, and an increase in average goodwill of \$3.6 billion primarily related to the Mercantile and Yardville acquisitions.

Average total loans were \$62.5 billion for 2007 and \$49.6 billion for 2006. The increase in average total loans included the effect of the Mercantile acquisition for 10 months of 2007 and higher commercial loans. The increase in average total loans included growth in commercial loans of \$5.3 billion and growth in commercial real estate loans of \$4.5 billion. Loans represented 64% of average interest-earning assets for both 2007 and 2006.

Average securities available for sale totaled \$26.5 billion for 2007 and \$21.3 billion for 2006. The 10-month impact of Mercantile contributed to the increase in average securities for the 2007 period, along with overall balance sheet growth. Securities available for sale comprised 27% of average interest-earning assets for both 2007 and 2006.

Average total deposits were \$76.8 billion for 2007, an increase of \$13.5 billion over 2006. Average deposits grew from the

prior year primarily as a result of an increase in money market, noninterest-bearing demand deposits and retail certificates of deposit. These increases reflected the 10-month impact of the Mercantile acquisition and growth in deposits in Corporate & Institutional Banking.

Average total deposits represented 62% of average total assets for 2007 and 67% for 2006. Average transaction deposits were \$50.7 billion for 2007 compared with \$42.3 billion for 2006.

Average borrowed funds were \$23.0 billion for 2007 and \$15.0 billion for 2006. Increases of \$3.2 billion in bank notes and senior debt, \$2.5 billion in federal funds purchased and \$1.5 billion in Federal Home Loan bank borrowings drove the increase in average borrowed funds compared with 2006.

Shareholders equity totaled \$14.9 billion at December 31, 2007, compared with \$10.8 billion at December 31, 2006. The increase resulted primarily from the Mercantile and Yardville acquisitions. See the Consolidated Balance Sheet Review section of this Item 7 for additional information.

#### LINE OF BUSINESS HIGHLIGHTS

We refer you to Item 1 of this Report under the captions Business Overview and Review of Lines of Business for an overview of our business segments and to the Business Segments Review section of this Item 7 for a Results Of Businesses Summary table and further analysis of business segment results for 2007 and 2006, including presentation differences from Note 26. Total business segment earnings were \$1.7 billion for 2007 and \$1.5 billion for 2006.

We provide a reconciliation of total business segment earnings to total PNC consolidated net income as reported on a GAAP basis in Note 26 Segment Reporting in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

#### Retail Banking

Retail Banking s 2007 earnings increased \$128 million, to \$893 million, up 17% compared with 2006. The increase in earnings over the prior year was driven by acquisitions and strong fee income and customer growth, partially offset by increases in the provision for credit losses and continued investments in the business.

#### Corporate & Institutional Banking

Corporate & Institutional Banking earned \$432 million in 2007 compared with \$454 million in 2006. While total revenue increased more than noninterest expense, earnings declined due to an increase in the provision for credit losses. Market-related declines in commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) securitization activities and non-customer-related trading revenue resulted in a year-over-year reduction in noninterest income.

2	,	ĥ
2	ι	J

#### **BlackRock**

Our BlackRock business segment earned \$253 million in 2007 and \$187 million in 2006. Subsequent to the September 29, 2006 deconsolidation of BlackRock, these business segment earnings are determined primarily by taking our proportionate share of BlackRock s earnings. Also, for this business segment presentation, after-tax BlackRock/MLIM transaction integration costs totaling \$3 million and \$65 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively, have been reclassified from BlackRock to Other.

#### <u>PFPC</u>

PFPC earned \$128 million for 2007 compared with \$124 million in 2006. Results for 2006 benefited from the impact of a \$14 million reversal of deferred taxes related to earnings from foreign subsidiaries following management s determination that the earnings would be indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States. Apart from the impact of this item, the earnings increase of \$18 million in 2007 reflected the successful conversion of net new business, organic growth and market appreciation.

#### Other

Other incurred a loss of \$239 million in 2007 compared with earnings for 2006 of \$1.1 billion. Other for 2007 included the after-tax impact of the following:

Integration costs totaling \$99 million after taxes,

A net after-tax charge of \$83 million representing the net mark-to-market adjustment on our remaining BlackRock LTIP shares obligation partially offset by the gain recognized in connection with PNC s first quarter transfer of BlackRock shares to satisfy a portion of our BlackRock LTIP shares obligation, and

A \$53 million after-tax charge for an indemnification obligation related to certain Visa litigation.

Other earnings for 2006 included the \$1.3 billion after-tax gain on the BlackRock/MLIM transaction recorded in the third quarter of 2006, partially offset by the after-tax impact of charges related to our third quarter 2006 balance sheet repositioning activities and BlackRock/MLIM integration costs. Further information regarding the BlackRock/MLIM transaction is included in Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures included in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

# CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT REVIEW

#### **NET INTEREST INCOME - OVERVIEW**

Changes in net interest income and margin result from the interaction of the volume and composition of interest-earning assets and related yields, interest-bearing liabilities and related rates paid, and noninterest-bearing sources of funding.

See Statistical Information Analysis of Year-To-Year Changes In Net Interest Income and Average Consolidated Balance Sheet and Net Interest Analysis in Item 8 of this Report for additional information.

#### **NET INTEREST INCOME - GAAP RECONCILIATION**

The interest income earned on certain assets is completely or partially exempt from federal income tax. As such, these tax-exempt instruments typically yield lower returns than a taxable investment. To provide more meaningful comparisons of yields and margins for all interest-earning assets, we also provide net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis by increasing the interest income earned on tax-exempt assets to make it fully equivalent to interest income earned on other taxable investments. This adjustment is not permitted under GAAP in the Consolidated Income Statement.

A reconciliation of net interest income as reported in the Consolidated Income Statement (GAAP basis) to net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis follows (in millions):

	For the year	For the year ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005	
Net interest income, GAAP basis	\$ 2,915	\$ 2,245	\$ 2,154	
Taxable-equivalent adjustment	27	25	33	
Net interest income, taxable- equivalent basis	\$ 2,942	\$ 2,270	\$ 2,187	

Taxable-equivalent net interest income increased \$672 million, or 30%, in 2007 compared with 2006. This increase was consistent with the \$20.3 billion, or 26%, increase in average interest-earning assets during 2007 compared with 2006. The reasons driving the higher interest-earning assets in 2007 are further discussed in the Balance Sheet Highlights portion of the Executive Summary section of this Item 7.

We expect net interest income to be higher in 2008 compared with 2007, assuming our current expectations for interest rates and economic conditions. Our forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations that interest rates will remain low through most of 2008 and that economic conditions, although showing slower growth than in recent years, will avoid a recession.

#### NET INTEREST MARGIN

The net interest margin was 3.00% for 2007 and 2.92% for 2006. The following factors impacted the comparison:

The Mercantile acquisition.

The yield on interest-earning assets increased 35 basis points. The yield on loans, the single largest component, increased 31 basis points.

These factors were partially offset by an increase in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities of 25 basis points. The rate paid on interest-bearing deposits, the single largest component, increased 22 basis points.

The impact of noninterest-bearing sources of funding decreased 2 basis points in 2007 compared with the prior year.

Comparing yields and rates paid to the broader market, the average federal funds rate was 5.03% during 2007 compared with 4.96% for 2006.

We believe that net interest margins for our industry will continue to be impacted by competition for high quality loans and deposits and customer migration from lower to higher rate deposit or other products. We expect our net interest margin to improve slightly in 2008 compared with 2007, assuming our current expectations for interest rates and economic conditions.

#### **PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES**

The provision for credit losses totaled \$315 million for 2007 and \$124 million for 2006. Of the total 2007 provision, \$188 million was recorded in the fourth quarter, including approximately \$45 million related to our Yardville acquisition. The higher provision in 2007 was also impacted by an increase in our real estate portfolio, including residential real estate development exposure, and growth in total credit exposure. Total residential real estate development outstandings were approximately \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2007.

We do not expect to sustain asset quality at its current level. Given our projections for loan growth and continued credit deterioration, we expect nonperforming assets and the provision for credit losses will be higher in 2008 compared with 2007. Also, we expect that the level of provision for credit losses in the first quarter of 2008 will be modestly lower than the amount reported for the fourth quarter of 2007.

The Credit Risk Management portion of the Risk Management section of this Item 7 includes additional information regarding factors impacting the provision for credit losses.

#### NONINTEREST INCOME

#### <u>Summary</u>

Noninterest income was \$3.790 billion for 2007 and \$6.327 billion for 2006. Noninterest income for 2007 included the impact of \$83 million gain recognized in connection with our transfer of BlackRock shares to satisfy a portion of PNC s LTIP obligation and a \$210 million net loss representing the mark-to-market adjustment on our LTIP obligation.

Noninterest income for 2006 included the impact of the following items:

The gain on the BlackRock/MLIM transaction, which totaled \$2.078 billion,

The effects of our third quarter 2006 balance sheet repositioning activities that resulted in charges totaling \$244 million, and PNC consolidated BlackRock in its results for the first nine months of 2006 but accounted for BlackRock on the equity method for the fourth quarter of 2006 and all of 2007. Had our BlackRock investment been on the equity method for all of 2006, BlackRock s noninterest income reported by us would have been lower by \$943 million for that year.

Apart from the impact of these items, noninterest income increased \$367 million, or 10%, in 2007 compared with 2006 largely as a result of the Mercantile acquisition and growth in several fee income categories.

We expect that net interest income and noninterest income will increase in 2008 compared with 2007. We also believe that PNC will create positive operating leverage in 2008 with a percentage growth in total revenue relative to 2007 that will exceed the percentage growth in noninterest expense from 2007.

#### Additional analysis

Asset management fees totaled \$784 million for 2007 and \$1.420 billion for 2006. Our equity income from BlackRock has been included in asset management fees beginning with the fourth quarter of 2006. Asset management fees were higher in 2006 as the first nine months of 2006 reflected the impact of BlackRock s revenue on a consolidated basis.

Assets managed at December 31, 2007 totaled \$73 billion compared with \$54 billion at December 31, 2006. This increase resulted primarily from the Mercantile acquisition. We refer you to the Retail Banking section of the Business Segments Review section of this Item 7 for further discussion of assets under management.

Fund servicing fees declined \$58 million in 2007, to \$835 million, compared with \$893 million in the prior year. Amounts for 2006 included \$117 million of distribution fee revenue at PFPC. Effective January 1, 2007, we refined our accounting and reporting of PFPC s distribution fee revenue and related expense amounts and present these amounts net on a prospective basis. Prior to 2007, the distribution amounts were shown on a gross basis within fund servicing fees and within other noninterest expense and offset each other entirely with no impact on earnings.

Apart from the impact of the distribution fee revenue included in the 2006 amounts, fund servicing fees increased \$59 million in 2007 compared with the prior year. Higher revenue from offshore operations, transfer agency, managed accounts and alternative investments contributed to the increase in

2007, reflecting net new business and growth from existing clients. The PFPC section of the Business Segments Review section of this Item 7 includes information on net fund assets and custody fund assets serviced.

Service charges on deposits increased \$35 million, or 11%, to \$348 million for 2007 compared with 2006. The increase was primarily due to the impact of Mercantile.

Brokerage fees increased \$32 million, or 13%, to \$278 million for 2007 compared with the prior year. The increase was primarily due to higher mutual fund-related revenues, continued growth in our fee-based fund advisory business and higher annuity income.

Consumer services fees increased \$49 million, or 13%, to \$414 million in 2007 compared with 2006. The increase reflected the impact of Mercantile, higher debit card revenues resulting from higher transaction volumes, and fees from the credit card business that began in the latter part of 2006.

Corporate services revenue was \$713 million for 2007, an increase of \$87 million, or 14%, over 2006. Higher revenue from commercial mortgage servicing including the impact of the ARCS acquisition, treasury management, third party consumer loan servicing activities and the Mercantile acquisition contributed to the increase in 2007 over the prior year.

Equity management (private equity) net gains on portfolio investments totaled \$102 million in 2007 and \$107 million in 2006. Based on the nature of private equity activities, net gains or losses may fluctuate from period to period.

Net securities losses totaled \$5 million in 2007 and \$207 million in 2006. We took actions during the third quarter of 2006 that resulted in the sale of approximately \$6 billion of securities available for sale at an aggregate pretax loss of \$196 million during that quarter.

Noninterest revenue from trading activities totaled \$104 million in 2007 compared with \$183 million in 2006. While customer trading income increased in comparison, total trading revenue declined in 2007 largely due to the lower economic hedging gains associated with commercial mortgage loan activity and economic hedging losses associated with structured resale agreements. We provide additional information on our trading activities under Market Risk Management Trading Risk in the Risk Management section of this Item 7.

Net losses related to our BlackRock investment amounted to \$127 million in 2007, representing the net of the mark-to-market adjustment on our LTIP obligation and gain recognized in connection with our transfer of shares to satisfy a portion of our LTIP obligation, compared with a net gain of \$2.066 billion in 2006. The 2006 amount included the \$2.078 billion gain on the BlackRock/MLIM transaction. See the BlackRock portion of the Business Segments Review section of Item 7 of this Report for further information.

Other noninterest income increased \$29 million, to \$344 million, in 2007 compared with 2006. Other noninterest income for 2007 included the negative impact in the fourth quarter of a \$26 million valuation adjustment for commercial mortgage loans held for sale. In early 2008, spreads have been widening and there has been limited activity in the CMBS securitization market. We value our commercial mortgage loans held for sale based on securitization prices. Therefore, if these conditions continue, additional losses will be incurred that will be significantly higher than the losses incurred during the fourth quarter of 2007. However, we do not expect the impact to be significant to our capital position. Currently, these valuation losses are unrealized (non-cash) and all of the loans in this portfolio are performing.

Other noninterest income for 2006 included a \$48 million loss incurred in the third quarter in connection with the rebalancing of our residential mortgage portfolio.

Other noninterest income typically fluctuates from period to period depending on the nature and magnitude of transactions completed and market price fluctuations.

#### **PRODUCT REVENUE**

In addition to credit products to commercial customers, Corporate & Institutional Banking offers other services, including treasury management and capital markets-related products and services, commercial loan servicing and insurance products that are marketed by several businesses across PNC.

Treasury management revenue, which includes fees as well as net interest income from customer deposit balances, increased 14% to \$476 million for 2007 compared with \$418 million for 2006. The higher revenue reflected continued expansion and client utilization of commercial payment card services, strong revenue growth in investment products and in various electronic payment and information services.

Revenue from capital markets-related products and services totaled \$290 million for 2007 compared with \$283 million in 2006. This increase was driven primarily by merger and acquisition advisory and related services.

Midland Loan Services offers servicing, real estate advisory and technology solutions for the commercial real estate finance industry. Midland s revenue, which includes servicing fees and net interest income from servicing portfolio deposit balances, totaled \$220 million for 2007 and \$184 million for 2006, an increase of 20%. The revenue growth was primarily driven by growth in the commercial mortgage servicing portfolio and related services.

As a component of our advisory services to clients, we provide a select set of insurance products to fulfill specific customer financial needs. Primary insurance offerings include annuities, life, credit life, health, and disability. Revenue from these products increased 4% to \$74 million for 2007 compared with \$71 million for 2006.

PNC, through subsidiary company Alpine Indemnity Limited, participates as a direct writer for its general liability, automobile liability, workers compensation, property and terrorism insurance programs. In the normal course of business, Alpine Indemnity Limited maintains insurance reserves for reported claims and for claims incurred but not reported based on actuarial assessments. We believe these reserves were adequate at December 31, 2007.

#### Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expense was \$4.296 billion for 2007, a decrease of \$147 million compared with \$4.443 billion for 2006.

Item 6 of this Report includes our efficiency ratios for 2007 and 2006, along with information regarding certain significant items impacting noninterest income and expense in 2006.

Noninterest expense for 2007 included the following:

Acquisition integration costs of \$102 million, and

A charge of \$82 million for an indemnification obligation related to certain Visa litigation.

Noninterest expense for 2006 included the following:

The first nine months of 2006 included \$765 million of expenses related to BlackRock, which was still consolidated during that time, and

BlackRock/MLIM transaction integration costs totaling \$91 million.

Apart from the impact of these items, noninterest expense increased \$525 million, or 15%, in 2007 compared with 2006. These increases were largely a result of the acquisition of Mercantile. Investments in growth initiatives were mitigated by disciplined expense management.

We expect to incur pretax integration costs of approximately \$70 million in 2008 primarily related to our planned acquisition of Sterling and additional costs related to the Yardville and Albridge acquisitions.

#### EFFECTIVE TAX RATE

Our effective tax rate was 29.9% for 2007 and 34% for 2006. The lower effective tax rate in 2007 compared with the prior year reflected the impact of the following matters:

An increase in income taxes related to the gain from, and a \$57 million cumulative adjustment to increase deferred income taxes in connection with, the BlackRock/MLIM transaction in 2006, and

Lower pretax income for the fourth quarter of 2007 had the impact of reducing the effective tax rate for the full year.

Our effective tax rate is sensitive to levels of pretax income as certain income tax credits and items not subject to income tax remain relatively constant. Based upon current projections, we believe that the effective tax rate will be approximately 31% in 2008, before considering the impact of the pending sale of Hilliard Lyons.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET REVIEW

SUMMARIZED BALANCE SHEET DATA

December 31 - in millions	2007	2006
Assets		
Loans, net of unearned income	\$68,319	\$50,105
Securities available for sale	30,225	23,191
Loans held for sale	3,927	2,366
Equity investments	6,045	5,330
Goodwill and other intangible assets	9,551	4,043
Other	20,853	16,785
Total assets	\$138,920	\$101,820
Liabilities		
Funding sources	\$113,627	\$81,329
Other	8,785	8,818
Total liabilities	122,412	90,147
Minority and noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities	1,654	885
Total shareholders' equity	14,854	10,788
Total liabilities, minority and noncontrolling interests, and shareholders' equity	\$138,920	\$101,820
The summarized belongs sheet data above is based upon our Consolidated Polones Sheet in Item	e of this Deport	

The summarized balance sheet data above is based upon our Consolidated Balance Sheet in Item 8 of this Report.

Our Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007 reflects the addition of approximately \$21 billion of assets resulting from our Mercantile acquisition and approximately \$3 billion of assets related to our Yardville acquisition.

Various seasonal and other factors impact our period-end balances whereas average balances (discussed under the Balance Sheet Highlights section of this Item 7 and included in the Statistical Information section of Item 8 of this Report) are more indicative of underlying business trends.

An analysis of changes in selected balance sheet categories follows.

#### LOANS, NET OF UNEARNED INCOME

Loans increased \$18.2 billion, or 36%, as of December 31, 2007 compared with December 31, 2006. Our Mercantile acquisition added \$12.4 billion of loans including \$4.9 billion of commercial, \$4.8 billion of commercial real estate, \$1.6 billion of consumer and \$1.1 billion of residential mortgage loans. Our Yardville acquisition added \$1.9 billion of loans.

#### **Details Of Loans**

December 31 - in millions	2007	2006
Commercial		
Retail/wholesale	\$6,653	\$5,301
Manufacturing	4,563	4,189
Other service providers	3,014	2,186
Real estate related <sup>(a)</sup>	5,730	2,825
Financial services	1,226	1,324
Health care	1,260	707
Other	6,161	4,052
Total commercial	28,607	20,584
Commercial real estate		
Real estate projects	6,114	2,716

Mortgage	2,792	816
Total commercial real estate	8,906	3,532
Lease financing	3,500	3,556
Total commercial lending	41,013	27,672
Consumer	·	
Home equity	14,447	13,749
Automobile	1,513	1,135
Other	2,366	1,631
Total consumer	18,326	16,515
Residential mortgage	9,557	6,337
Other	413	376
Unearned income	<b>(990)</b>	(795)
Total, net of unearned income	\$68,319	\$50,105

(a) Includes loans related to customers in the real estate, rental, leasing and construction industries.

Our loan portfolio continued to be diversified among numerous industries and types of businesses. The loans that we hold are also concentrated in, and diversified across, our principal geographic markets. See Note 5 Loans, Commitments To Extend Credit and Concentrations of Credit Risk in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report for additional information.

Commercial lending outstandings in the table above are the largest category and are the most sensitive to changes in assumptions and judgments underlying the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses. We have allocated \$713 million, or 86%, of the total allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31, 2007 to these loans. We allocated \$68 million, or 8%, of the remaining allowance at that date to consumer loans and \$49 million, or 6%, to all other loans. This allocation also considers other relevant factors such as:

Actual versus estimated losses, Regional and national economic conditions, Business segment and portfolio concentrations, Industry conditions, The impact of government regulations, and Risk of potential estimation or judgmental errors, including the accuracy of risk ratings.

#### Net Unfunded Credit Commitments

December 31 - in millions	2007	2006
Commercial	\$ 39,171	\$ 32,265
Consumer	10,875	9,239
Commercial real estate	2,734	2,752
Other	567	579
Total	\$ 53,347	\$ 44,835

Unfunded commitments are concentrated in our primary geographic markets. Commitments to extend credit represent arrangements to lend funds or provide liquidity subject to specified contractual conditions. Commercial commitments are reported net of participations, assignments and syndications, primarily to financial institutions, totaling \$8.9 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$8.3 billion at December 31, 2006. Consumer home equity lines of credit accounted for 80% of consumer unfunded credit commitments.

Unfunded liquidity facility commitments and standby bond purchase agreements totaled \$9.4 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2006 and are included in the preceding table primarily within the Commercial and Consumer categories.

In addition to credit commitments, our net outstanding standby letters of credit totaled \$4.8 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$4.4 billion at December 31, 2006. Standby letters of credit commit us to make payments on behalf of our customers if specified future events occur. At December 31, 2007, the largest industry concentration was for general medical and surgical hospitals, which accounted for approximately 5% of the total letters of credit and bankers acceptances.

#### Leases and Related Tax Matters

The lease portfolio totaled \$3.5 billion at December 31, 2007. Aggregate residual value at risk on the lease portfolio at December 31, 2007 was \$1.1 billion. We have taken steps to mitigate \$.6 billion of this residual risk, including residual value insurance coverage with third parties, third party guarantees, and other actions. The portfolio included approximately \$1.7 billion of cross-border leases at December 31, 2007. Cross-border leases are leveraged leases of equipment located in foreign countries, primarily in western Europe and Australia. We have not entered into cross-border lease transactions since 2003.

We have reached a settlement with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regarding our tax liability related to cross- border lease transactions, principally arising from adjustments to the timing of income tax deductions in connection with IRS examinations of our 1998-2003 consolidated Federal income tax returns. The impact of the final settlement was included in results of operations for 2007 and was not material. See Note 1 Accounting Policies in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report regarding our adoption of FSP FAS 13-2, Accounting for a Change or Projected Change in the Timing of Cash Flows Relating to Income Taxes Generated by a Leveraged Lease

Transaction.

#### SECURITIES

#### **Details of Securities**

		Fair
	Amortized	
In millions	Cost	Value
December 31, 2007		
Securities Available for Sale		
Debt securities		
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 21,147	\$ 20,952
Commercial mortgage-backed	5,227	5,264
Asset-backed	2,878	2,770
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	151	155
State and municipal	340	336
Other debt	85	84

662		664
\$ 30,490	\$	30,225
\$ 17,325	\$	17,208
3,231		3,219
1,615		1,609
611		608
140		139
90		87
321		321
\$ 23,333	\$	23,191
\$	\$ 30,490 \$ 17,325 3,231 1,615 611 140 90 321	\$ 30,490 \$ \$ 17,325 \$ 3,231 1,615 611 140 90 321 \$ 23,333 \$

Securities represented 22% of total assets at December 31, 2007 and 23% of total assets at December 31, 2006. Our acquisition of Mercantile included approximately \$2 billion of securities classified as available for sale. The increase in total securities compared with December 31, 2006 was primarily due to higher balances in residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities.

We evaluate our portfolio of securities available for sale in light of changing market conditions and other factors and, where appropriate, take steps intended to improve our overall positioning.

At December 31, 2007, the securities available for sale balance included a net unrealized loss of \$265 million, which represented the difference between fair value and amortized cost. The comparable amount at December 31, 2006 was a net unrealized loss of \$142 million. Net unrealized gains and losses in the securities available for sale portfolio are included in shareholders equity as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, net of tax. The fair value of securities available for sale generally decreases when interest rates increase and vice versa.

The expected weighted-average life of securities available for sale (excluding corporate stocks and other) was 3 years and 6 months at December 31, 2007 and 3 years and 8 months at December 31, 2006.

We estimate that at December 31, 2007 the effective duration of securities available for sale was 2.8 years for an immediate 50 basis points parallel increase in interest rates and 2.5 years for an immediate 50 basis points parallel decrease in interest rates. Comparable amounts at December 31, 2006 were 2.6 years and 2.2 years, respectively.

#### LOANS HELD FOR SALE

Loans held for sale totaled \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2007 compared with \$2.4 billion a year ago.

Loans held for sale included commercial mortgage loans intended for securitization totaling \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$.9 billion at December 31, 2006. The balance at December 31, 2007 increased as market conditions were not conducive to completing securitization transactions during the fourth quarter of 2007. We also reduced our origination activity in early 2008. During the fourth quarter of 2007, a lower of cost or fair value adjustment was recorded of \$26 million. This loss was reflected in the other noninterest income line item in our Consolidated Income Statement and in the results of the Corporate & Institutional Banking business segment. In early 2008, spreads have been widening and there has been limited activity in the CMBS securitization market. We value our commercial mortgage loans held for sale based on securitization prices. Therefore, if these conditions continue, additional losses will be incurred that will be significantly higher than the losses incurred during the fourth quarter of 2007. However, we do not expect the impact to be significant to our capital position. Currently, these valuation losses are unrealized (non-cash) and all of the loans in this portfolio are performing.

Loans held for sale also included education loans held for sale of \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2006. Historically, we classified substantially all of our education loans as loans held for sale. Gains on sales of education loans totaled \$24 million in 2007, \$33 million for 2006 and \$19 million for 2005. These gains are reflected in the other noninterest income line item in our Consolidated Income Statement and in the results of the Retail Banking business segment.

In the past, we have sold education loans to issuers of asset-backed paper when the loans are placed into repayment status. Recently, the secondary markets for education loans have been impacted by liquidity issues similar to other asset classes. As a result, we believe the ability to sell education loans and generate related gains will be limited in 2008. Given this outlook and the economic and customer relationship value inherent in this product, in February 2008, we transferred the loans at lower of cost or market value from held for sale to the loan portfolio.

#### GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The sum of goodwill and other intangible assets increased \$5.5 billion at December 31, 2007 compared with the prior year end, to \$9.6 billion. We added \$4.7 billion of goodwill and other intangible assets in connection with the Mercantile acquisition. In addition, our acquisitions of ARCS, Yardville and Albridge collectively added \$.9 billion of goodwill and other intangible assets during 2007. Additional information is included in Note 7 Goodwill And Other Intangible Assets in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of this Report.

#### **O**THER ASSETS

The increase of \$4.1 billion in Assets-Other in the preceding Summarized Balance Sheet Data table included the impact of a \$1.0 billion increase in Federal funds sold and resale agreements and a \$1.0 billion increase in other short-term investments, including trading securities.



#### FUNDING AND CAPITAL SOURCES

#### **Details Of Funding Sources**

December 31 - in millions	2007	2006
Deposits		
Money market	\$32,785	\$28,580
Demand	20,861	16,833
Retail certificates of deposit	16,939	14,725
Savings	2,648	1,864
Other time	2,088	1,326
Time deposits in foreign offices	7,375	2,973
Total deposits	82,696	66,301
Borrowed funds		
Federal funds purchased	7,037	2,711
Repurchase agreements	2,737	2,051
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowing	7,065	42
Bank notes and senior debt	6,821	3,633
Subordinated debt	4,506	3,962
Other	2,765	2,629
Total borrowed funds	30,931	15,028
Total	\$113,627	\$81,329

Total funding sources increased \$32.3 billion at December 31, 2007 compared with the balance at December 31, 2006, as total deposits increased \$16.4 billion and total borrowed funds increased \$15.9 billion. Our acquisition of Mercantile added \$12.5 billion of deposits and \$2.1 billion of borrowed funds. The Yardville acquisition resulted in \$2.0 billion of deposits.

During the first quarter of 2007 we issued borrowings to fund the \$2.1 billion cash portion of the Mercantile acquisition. The remaining increase in borrowed funds was the result of growth in loans and securities and the need to fund other net changes in our balance sheet. During the second half of 2007 we substantially increased Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings, which provided us with additional liquidity at relatively attractive rates. The Liquidity Risk Management section of this Item 7 contains further details regarding actions we have taken which impacted our borrowed funds balances during 2007 and early 2008.

#### Capital

We manage our capital position by making adjustments to our balance sheet size and composition, issuing debt, equity or hybrid instruments, executing treasury stock transactions, managing dividend policies and retaining earnings.

Total shareholders equity increased \$4.1 billion, to \$14.9 billion, at December 31, 2007 compared with December 31, 2006. In addition to the net impact of earnings and dividends in 2007, this increase reflected a \$2.5 billion reduction in treasury stock and a \$1.0 billion increase in capital surplus, largely due to the issuance of PNC common shares for the Mercantile and Yardville acquisitions.

Common shares outstanding were 341 million at December 31, 2007 and 293 million at December 31, 2006. The increase in shares during 2007 reflected the issuance of approximately 53 million shares in connection with the Mercantile acquisition and approximately 3 million shares in connection with the Yardville acquisition.

In October 2007, our Board of Directors terminated the prior program and approved a new stock repurchase program to purchase up to 25 million shares of PNC common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. This new program will remain in effect until fully utilized or until modified, superseded or terminated. During 2007, we purchased 11 million common shares under our new and prior common stock repurchase programs at a total cost of approximately \$800 million.

The extent and timing of additional share repurchases under the new program will depend on a number of factors including, among others, market and general economic conditions, economic and regulatory capital considerations, alternative uses of capital, regulatory limitations resulting from merger activity, and the potential impact on our credit rating. We do not expect to actively engage in share repurchase activity for the foreseeable future.

#### **Risk-Based Capital**

December 31 - dollars in millions	2007	2006
Capital components		
Shareholders equity		
Common	\$14,847	\$10,781
Preferred	7	7
Trust preferred capital securities	572	965
Minority interest	985	494
Goodwill and other intangibles	(8,853)	(3,566)
Eligible deferred income taxes on intangible assets	119	26
Pension, other postretirement and postemployment benefit plan adjustments	177	148
Net unrealized securities losses, after tax	167	91
Net unrealized losses (gains) on cash flow hedge derivatives, after tax	(175)	13
Equity investments in nonfinancial companies	(31)	(30)
Other, net		(5)
Tier 1 risk-based capital	7,815	8,924
Subordinated debt	3,024	1,954
Eligible allowance for credit losses	964	681
Total risk-based capital	\$11,803	\$11,559
Assets		
Risk-weighted assets, including off-balance sheet instruments and market risk equivalent assets	\$115,132	\$85,539
Adjusted average total assets	126,139	95,590
Capital ratios		
Tier 1 risk-based	6.8%	10.4%
Total risk-based	10.3	13.5
Leverage	6.2	9.3
Tangible capital		
Common shareholders equity	\$14,847	\$10,781
Goodwill and other intangibles	(8,853)	(3,566)
Eligible deferred income taxes on intangible assets	119	26
Tangible capital	\$6,113	\$7,241
Total assets excluding goodwill and other intangible assets, net of eligible deferred income		
taxes	\$130,185	\$98,280
Tangible common equity	4.7%	7.4%

The declines in capital ratios from December 31, 2006 were primarily due to the impact of acquisitions, which increased risk-weighted assets and goodwill, common share repurchases and organic balance sheet growth.

The access to, and cost of, funding new business initiatives including acquisitions, the ability to engage in expanded business activities, the ability to pay dividends, the level of deposit insurance costs, and the level and nature of regulatory oversight depend, in part, on a financial institution's capital strength.

At December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, each of our domestic bank subsidiaries was considered well capitalized based on US regulatory capital ratio requirements. See the Supervision And Regulation section of Item 1 of this Report and Note 22 Regulatory Matters in the Notes To Consolidated

Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report for additional information. We believe our bank subsidiaries will continue to meet these requirements in 2008.

We refer you to the Perpetual Trust Securities and PNC Capital Trust E Trust Preferred Securities portions of the Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements And VIEs section of this Item 7 for a discussion of two February 2008 hybrid capital securities issuances totaling \$825 million that qualify as Tier 1 regulatory capital.

# **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND VIES**

We engage in a variety of activities that involve unconsolidated entities or that are otherwise not reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheet that are generally referred to as off-balance sheet arrangements. The following sections of this Report provide further information on these types of activities:

Commitments, including contractual obligations and other commitments, included within the Risk Management section of this Item 7, and

Note 24 Commitments and Guarantees in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Report. The following provides a summary of variable interest entities (VIEs), including those in which we hold a significant variable interest but have not consolidated and those that we have consolidated into our financial statements as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

#### Non-Consolidated VIEs Significant Variable Interests

			PNC Risk
	Aggregate	Aggregate	
In millions	Assets	Liabilities	of Loss
December 31, 2007			
Market Street	\$5,304	\$5,330	<b>\$9,019(a)</b>
Collateralized debt obligations	255	177	6
Partnership interests in low income housing projects	50	34	8
Total	\$5,609	\$5,541	\$9,033
December 31, 2006			
Market Street	\$4,020	\$4,020	\$6,117(a)
Collateralized debt obligations	815	570	22
Partnership interests in low income housing projects	33	30	8
Total	\$4,868	\$4,620	\$6,147

(a) PNC s risk of loss consists of off-balance sheet liquidity commitments to Market Street of \$8.8 billion and other credit enhancements of \$.2 billion at December 31, 2007. The comparable amounts at December 31, 2006 were \$5.6 billion and \$.6 billion, respectively. These liquidity commitments are included in the Net Unfunded Credit Commitments table in the Consolidated Balance Sheet Review section of this Report.

#### Market Street

Market Street Funding LLC (Market Street) is a multi-seller asset-backed commercial paper conduit that is owned by an independent third party. Market Street s activities primarily involve purchasing assets or making loans secured by interests in pools of receivables from US corporations that desire access to the commercial paper market. Market Street funds the purchases of assets or loans by issuing commercial paper which has been rated A1/P1 by Standard & Poor s and Moody s, respectively, and is supported by pool-specific credit enhancements, liquidity facilities and program-level credit enhancement. Generally, Market Street mitigates its potential interest rate risk by entering into agreements with its borrowers that reflect interest rates based upon its weighted average commercial paper cost of funds. During 2007 and 2006, Market Street met all of its funding needs through the issuance of commercial paper.

At December 31, 2007 Market Street commercial paper outstanding was \$5.1 billion compared with \$3.9 billion for the prior year-end. The weighted average maturity of the commercial paper was 32 days at December 31, 2007 compared with 23 days at December 31, 2006.

In the ordinary course of business during 2007, PNC Capital Markets, acting as a placement agent for Market Street, held a maximum daily position in Market Street commercial paper of \$113 million with an average of \$27 million and a year-end position of less than \$1 million. This compares with a maximum daily position of \$105 million with an average of \$12 million during 2006. PNC Capital Markets did not own any Market Street commercial paper at December 31, 2006. PNC made no other purchases of Market Street commercial paper during 2007 or 2006.

PNC Bank, N.A. provides certain administrative services, a portion of the program-level credit enhancement and 99% of liquidity facilities to Market Street in exchange for fees negotiated based on market rates. PNC recognized program administrator fees and commitments fees related to PNC s portion of the liquidity facilities of \$12.6 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2007.

PNC views its credit exposure for the Market Street transactions as limited. Neither creditors nor investors in Market Street have any recourse to our general credit. The commercial paper obligations at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were effectively collateralized by Market Street s assets. While PNC may be obligated to fund under liquidity facilities for events such as commercial paper market disruptions, borrower bankruptcies, collateral deficiencies or covenant violations, our credit risk under the liquidity facilities is secondary to the risk of first loss provided by the borrower or another third party in the form of deal-specific credit enhancement for example, by the over collateralization of the assets. Deal-specific credit enhancement that supports the commercial paper issued by Market Street is generally structured to cover a multiple of expected losses for the pool

of assets and is sized to generally meet rating agency standards for comparably structured transactions. Of the \$8.8 billion of liquidity facilities provided by PNC at December 31, 2007, only \$2.8 billion required PNC to fund if the assets are in default.

Program-level credit enhancement in the amount of 10% of commitments, excluding explicitly rated AAA/Aaa facilities, is provided by PNC and Ambac, a monoline insurer. PNC provides 25% of the enhancement in the form of a cash collateral account funded by a loan facility. This facility expires on March 23, 2012. See Note 5 Loans, Commitments To Extend Credit and Concentrations of Credit Risk and Note 24 Commitments and Guarantees included in Item 8 of this Report for additional information. The monoline insurer provides the remaining 75% of the enhancement in the form of a surety bond. The cash collateral account is subordinate to the surety bond.

Market Street was restructured as a limited liability company in October 2005 and entered into a Subordinated Note Purchase Agreement (Note) with an unrelated third party. The Note provides first loss coverage whereby the investor absorbs losses up to the amount of the Note, which was \$8.6 million as of December 31, 2007. Proceeds from the issuance of the Note are held by Market Street in a first loss reserve account that will be used to reimburse any losses incurred by Market Street, PNC Bank, N.A. or other providers under the liquidity facilities and the credit enhancement arrangements.

#### Assets of Market Street Funding LLC

In millions December 31, 2007 (a)	Outstanding	Commitments	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity In Years
Trade receivables	\$ 1,375	\$ 2,865	2.63
Automobile financing	1,387	1,565	4.06
Collateralized loan obligations	519	1,257	2.54

Credit cards	769	775	.26
Residential mortgage	37	720	.90
Other	1,031	1,224	1.89
Cash and miscellaneous receivables	186		
Total	\$ 5,304	\$ 8,406	2.41
December 31, 2006 (a)			
Trade receivables	\$ 1,165	\$ 2,429	2.14
Automobile financing	335	560	2.64
Collateralized loan obligations	129	146	5.92
Credit cards	814	819	.29
Residential mortgage	501	618	.38
Other	914	1,084	2.21
Cash and miscellaneous receivables	162		
Total	\$ 4,020	\$ 5,656	1.84
(-) Menter Charles did and an environment in a section of the sec	 	······································	

(a) Market Street did not recognize an asset impairment charge or experience a rating downgrade on its assets during 2007 or 2006.

#### Market Street Commitments by Credit Rating (a)

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
AAA/Aaa	19%	10%
AA/Aa	6	5
A/A	72	77
BBB/Baa	3	8
Total	100%	100%

a) Not all Facilities are explicitly rated by the rating agencies. Facilities are structured to meet rating agency standards for comparably structured transactions. As a result of the Note issuance, we reevaluated the design of Market Street, its capital structure and relationships among the variable interest holders under the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 46, (Revised 2003) Consolidation of Variable Interest entities (FIN 46R). Based on this analysis, we determined that we were no longer the primary beneficiary as defined by FIN 46R and deconsolidated Market Street from our Consolidated Balance Sheet effective October 17, 2005.

PNC considers changes to the variable interest holders (such as new expected loss note investors and changes to program-level credit enhancement providers), terms of expected loss notes, and new types of risks (such as foreign currency or interest rate) in Market Street as reconsideration events. PNC reviews the activities of Market Street on at least a quarterly basis to determine if a reconsideration event has occurred. As indicated earlier, 75% of the program-level credit enhancement is provided by Ambac in the form of a surety bond. PNC Bank, N.A., in the role of program administrator, is closely following market developments relative to the rating agency outlooks of monoline insurers. Ambac is currently rated AAA by two of the three major rating agencies and AA by the other agency. This rating change has not impacted the Market Street commercial paper ratings of A1/P1. Various alternatives to the program-level enhancement are under consideration if future rating changes impact either the ratings of Market Street commercial paper or its financial results.

Based on current accounting guidance and market conditions, we do not have to consolidate Market Street into our consolidated financial statements. However, if PNC would be determined to be the primary beneficiary under FIN 46R, we would consolidate the conduit at that time. To the extent that the par value of the assets in Market Street exceeded the fair value of the assets upon consolidation, the difference would be recognized by PNC as a loss in our Consolidated Income Statement in that period. Based on the fair value of the assets held by Market Street at December 31, 2007, this reduction in earnings would not have had a material impact on our risk-based capital ratios, credit ratings or debt covenants.

#### Consolidated VIEs PNC Is Primary Beneficiary

	Ag	gregate	
In millions	A	Assets	ggregate iabilities
Partnership interests in low income housing projects			
December 31, 2007	\$	1,110	\$ 1,110
December 31, 2006	\$	834	\$ 834
The table above reflects the aggregate assets and liabilities of VIEs that we have consolidated in our fine	noial stat	tomonto	

The table above reflects the aggregate assets and liabilities of VIEs that we have consolidated in our financial statements.

#### Low Income Housing Projects

We make certain equity investments in various limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital, to facilitate the sale of additional affordable housing product offerings and to assist us in achieving goals associated with the Community Reinvestment Act. The primary activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development and operation of multi-family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants. Generally, these types of investments are funded through a combination of debt and equity, with equity typically comprising 30% to 60% of the total project capital.

We consolidated those LIHTC investments in which we own a majority of the limited partnership interests and are deemed to be primary beneficiary. We also consolidated entities in which we, as a national syndicator of affordable housing equity, serve as the general partner, and no other entity owns a majority of the limited partnership interests (together with the investments described above, the LIHTC investments). In

these syndication transactions, we create funds in which our subsidiary is the general partner and sells limited partnership interests to third parties, and in some cases may also purchase a limited partnership interest in the fund. The fund s limited partners can generally remove the general partner without cause at any time. The purpose of this business is to generate income from the syndication of these funds and to generate servicing fees by managing the funds. General partner activities include selecting, evaluating, structuring, negotiating, and closing the fund investments in operating limited partnerships, as well as oversight of the ongoing operations of the fund portfolio. The assets are primarily included in Equity Investments on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Neither creditors nor equity investors in the LIHTC investments have any recourse to our general credit. The consolidated aggregate assets and debt of these LIHTC investments are provided in the Consolidated VIEs PNC Is Primary Beneficiary table and reflected in the Other business segment.

We have a significant variable interest in certain other limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects. We do not own a majority of the limited partnership interests in these entities and are not the primary beneficiary. We use the equity method to account for our investment in these entities.

Information regarding these partnership interests is reflected in the Non-Consolidated VIEs Significant Variable Interests table.

#### **Perpetual Trust Securities**

We issue certain hybrid capital vehicles that qualify as capital for regulatory and rating agency purposes.

In December 2006, one of our indirect subsidiaries, PNC REIT Corp., sold \$500 million of 6.517% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Exchangeable Perpetual Trust Securities (the Trust Securities ) of PNC Preferred Funding Trust I (Trust I) in a private placement. PNC REIT Corp. had previously acquired the Trust Securities from the trust in exchange for an equivalent amount of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Securities (the LLC Preferred Securities ), of PNC Preferred Funding LLC (the LLC ), held by PNC REIT Corp. The LLC s initial material assets consist of indirect interests in mortgages and mortgage-related assets previously owned by PNC REIT Corp.

In March 2007, PNC Preferred Funding LLC sold \$500 million of 6.113% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Exchangeable Perpetual Trust Securities of PNC Preferred Funding Trust II ( Trust II ), in a private placement. In connection with the private placement, Trust II acquired \$500 million of LLC Preferred Securities.

In February 2008, PNC Preferred Funding LLC sold \$375 million of 8.700% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Exchangeable Perpetual Trust Securities of PNC Preferred Funding Trust III (Trust III) in a private placement. In connection with the private placement, Trust III acquired \$375 million of LLC Preferred Securities.

PNC REIT Corp. owns 100% of the LLC s common voting securities. As a result, the LLC is an indirect subsidiary of PNC and is consolidated on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Trust I, Trust II and Trust III s investment in the LLC Preferred Securities is characterized as a minority interest on our Consolidated Balance Sheet since we are not the primary beneficiary of Trust I, Trust II or Trust III. This minority interest totaled approximately \$980 million at December 31, 2007 (excluding Trust III, which was not yet formed). Each Trust I Security is automatically exchangeable into a share of Series F Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock of PNC Bank, N.A. (the PNC Bank Preferred Stock ), each Trust II Security is automatically exchangeable into a share of Series I Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock of PNC (the Series I Preferred Stock ), and each Trust III Security is automatically exchangeable into a share of Series J Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock of PNC (the Series I Preferred Stock of PNC, in each case under certain conditions relating to the capitalization or the financial condition of PNC Bank, N.A. and upon the direction of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.

We entered into a replacement capital covenant in connection with each of the closing of the Trust Securities sale (the Trust

Covenant ) and the closing of the Trust II Securities sale (the Trust II Covenant ), in each case for the benefit of holders of a specified series of our long-term indebtedness (the Covered Debt ). As of December 31, 2007, Covered Debt consists of our \$200 million Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Notes issued on June 9, 1998.

We agreed in the Trust Covenant that neither we nor our subsidiaries (other than PNC Bank, N.A. and its subsidiaries) would purchase the Trust Securities, the LLC Preferred Securities or the PNC Bank Preferred Stock (collectively, the Trust Covered Securities ) unless: (i) we have received the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board, if such approval is then required under the Federal Reserve Board s capital guidelines applicable to bank holding companies and (ii) during the 180-day period prior to the date of purchase, we or our subsidiaries, as applicable, have received proceeds from the sale of Qualifying Securities in the amounts specified in the Trust Covenant (which amounts will vary based on the type of securities sold). The Trust Covenant does not apply to redemptions of the Trust Covered Securities by the issuers of those securities.

We agreed in the Trust II Covenant that until March 29, 2017, neither we nor our subsidiaries would purchase or redeem the Trust II Securities, the LLC Preferred Securities or the Series I Preferred Stock (collectively, the Trust II Covered Securities ), unless: (i) we have received the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board, if such approval is then required under the Federal Reserve Board s capital guidelines applicable to bank holding companies and (ii) during the 180-day period prior to the date of purchase, PNC, PNC Bank, N.A. or PNC Bank N.A. s subsidiaries, as applicable, have received proceeds from the sale of Qualifying Securities in the amounts specified in the Trust II Covenant (which amounts will vary based on the type of securities sold).

Qualifying Securities means debt and equity securities having terms and provisions that are specified in the Trust Covenant or the Trust II Covenant, as applicable and that, generally described, are intended to contribute to our capital base in a manner that is similar to the contribution to our capital base made by the Trust Covered Securities or the Trust II Covered Securities, as applicable. We filed a copy of each of the Trust Covenant and the Trust II Covenant with the SEC as Exhibit 99.1 to PNC s Form 8-K filed on December 8, 2006 and as Exhibit 99.1 to PNC s Form 8-K filed on March 30, 2007, respectively.

PNC Bank, N.A. has contractually committed to Trust I that if full dividends are not paid in a dividend period on the Trust Securities, LLC Preferred Securities or any other parity equity securities issued by the LLC, neither PNC Bank, N.A. nor its subsidiaries will declare or pay dividends or other distributions with respect to, or redeem, purchase or acquire or make a liquidation payment with respect to, any of its equity capital securities during the next succeeding period (other than to holders of the LLC Preferred Securities and any parity equity securities issued by the LLC) except: (i) in the case of

dividends payable to subsidiaries of PNC Bank, N.A., to PNC Bank, N.A. or another wholly-owned subsidiary of PNC Bank, N.A. or (ii) in the case of dividends payable to persons that are not subsidiaries of PNC Bank, N.A., to such persons only if, (A) in the case of a cash dividend, PNC has first irrevocably committed to contribute amounts at least equal to such cash dividend or (B) in the case of in-kind dividends payable by PNC REIT Corp., PNC has committed to purchase such in-kind dividend from the applicable PNC REIT Corp. holders in exchange for a cash payment representing the market value of such in-kind dividend, and PNC has committed to contribute such in-kind dividend to PNC Bank, N.A.

PNC has contractually committed to each of Trust II and Trust III that if full dividends are not paid in a dividend period on the Trust II Securities, as applicable, or the LLC Preferred Securities held by Trust II or Trust III, as applicable, PNC will not declare or pay dividends with respect to, or redeem, purchase or acquire, any of its equity capital securities during the next succeeding dividend period, other than: (i) purchases, redemptions or other acquisitions of shares of capital stock of PNC in connection with any employment contract, benefit plan or other similar arrangement with or for the benefit of employees, officers, directors or consultants, (ii) purchases of shares of common stock of PNC pursuant to a contractually binding requirement to buy stock existing prior to the commencement of the extension period, including under a contractually binding stock repurchase plan, (ii) any dividend in connection with the implementation of a shareholders rights plan, or the redemption or repurchase of any rights under any such plan, (iv) as a result of an exchange or conversion of any class or series of PNC s capital stock for any other class or series of PNC s capital stock, (v) the purchase of fractional interests in shares of PNC capital stock pursuant to the conversion or exchange provisions of such stock or the security being converted or exchanged or (vi) any stock dividends paid by PNC where the dividend stock is the same stock as that on which the dividend is being paid. We filed a copy of the Exchange Agreements containing those dividend restrictions with the SEC as Exhibit 4.16 to PNC s Form 8-K filed on March 30, 2007 and as Exhibit 99.1 to PNC s Form 8-K filed on February 19, 2008, respectively.

#### PNC Capital Trust E Trust Preferred Securities

In February 2008, PNC Capital Trust E issued \$450 million of  $7^{3}/4\%$  Trust Preferred Securities due March 15, 2068 (the Trust E Securities ). PNC Capital Trust E s only assets are \$450 million of  $\frac{3}{4\%}$  Junior Subordinated Notes due March 15, 2068 and issued by PNC (the JSNs ). The Trust E Securities are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by PNC.

We may, at our option, redeem the JSNs at 100% of their principal amount on or after March 15, 2013. We have agreed to redeem the JSNs on March 15, 2038, but only out of net proceeds from the sale of certain replacement capital securities described in the JSN indenture. The Trust E Securities will be redeemed at the time of the JSN redemption.

If we defer interest on the JSNs and either pay current interest or the fifth anniversary of the deferral passes, we are obligated to issue certain qualifying securities defined in the JSN indenture to raise proceeds to fund the payment of accrued and unpaid interest.

In addition, we have entered into a replacement capital covenant (the Trust E Covenant ) for the benefit of holders of a specified series of our long-term indebtedness (the Trust E Covered Debt ). As of February 13, 2008, the Trust E Covered Debt consists of our \$300 million 6.125% Junior Subordinated Notes issued in December 2003. We agreed in the Trust E Covenant that neither PNC nor its subsidiaries will repay, redeem or purchase the JSNs or the Trust E Securities on or after March 15, 2038 unless: (i) we have obtained the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board, if such approval is then required by the Federal Reserve Board; and (ii) subject to certain limitations, during the 180-day period prior to the date of repayment, redemption or purchase, we have received proceeds from the sale of Trust E Qualifying Securities in the amounts specified in the Trust E Covenant (which amounts will vary based on the redemption date and the type of securities sold). Trust E Qualifying Securities means debt and equity securities having terms and provisions that are specified in the Trust E Covenant and that, generally described, are intended to contribute to our capital base in a manner that is similar to the contribution to our capital base made by the Trust E Covered Securities.

The Trust E Covenant will terminate upon the earlier to occur of (i) March 15, 2048, (ii) the date on which the JSNs are otherwise redeemed in full, (iii) the date on which the holders of a majority of the principal amount of the Trust E Covered Debt agree to terminate the Trust E Covenant, (iv) the date on which we no longer have outstanding any indebtedness eligible to qualify as covered debt or (v) the occurrence of an event of default and acceleration of the JSNs under the related indenture. We filed a copy of the Trust E Covenant with the SEC as Exhibit 99.1 to PNC s Form 8-K filed February 13, 2008.

In connection with the closing of the Trust E Securities sale, we agreed that, if we have given notice of our election to defer interest payments on the JSNs or a related deferral period is continuing, then PNC would be subject during such period to restrictions on dividends and other provisions protecting the status of the JSN debenture holder similar to or in some ways more restrictive than those potentially imposed under the Exchange Agreements with Trust II and Trust III, as described above.

#### Acquired Entity Trust Preferred Securities

As a result of the Mercantile and Yardville acquisitions, we assumed obligations with respect to \$73 million in principal amount of junior subordinated debentures issued by the acquired entities. Under the terms of these debentures, if there is an event of default under the debentures or PNC exercises its right to defer payments on the related trust preferred securities issued by the statutory trusts or there is a default under PNC s guarantee of such payment obligations, PNC

would be subject during the period of such default or deferral to restrictions on dividends and other provisions protecting the status of the debenture holders similar to or in some ways more restrictive than those potentially imposed under the Exchange Agreements with Trust II and Trust III, as described above.

### **BUSINESS SEGMENTS REVIEW**

We have four major businesses engaged in providing banking, asset management and global fund processing products and services. Business segment results, including inter-segment revenues, and a description of each business are included in Note 26 Segment Reporting included in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of this Report.

Certain revenue and expense amounts included in this Business Segments Review differ from the amounts shown in Note 26 due to the presentation in this Business Segments Review of business revenue on a taxable-equivalent basis, the inclusion of BlackRock/MLIM transaction integration costs in the Other category, and income statement classification differences related to PFPC. Also, the presentation of BlackRock results for the 2006 period have been modified in this Business Segments Review as described on page 41 to conform with our current period presentation.

Results of individual businesses are presented based on our management accounting practices and our management structure. There is no comprehensive, authoritative body of guidance for management accounting equivalent to GAAP; therefore, the financial results of individual businesses are not necessarily comparable with similar information for any other company. We refine our methodologies from time to time as our management accounting practices are enhanced and our businesses and management structure change. Financial results are presented, to the extent practicable, as if each business, with the exception of our BlackRock segment, operated on a stand-alone basis. As permitted under GAAP, we have aggregated the business results for certain operating segments for financial reporting purposes.

Assets receive a funding charge and liabilities and capital receive a funding credit based on a transfer pricing methodology that incorporates product maturities, duration and other factors. Capital is intended to cover unexpected losses and is assigned to the banking and processing

businesses using our risk-based economic capital model. We have assigned capital equal to 6% of funds to Retail Banking to reflect the capital required for well-capitalized domestic banks and to approximate market comparables for this business. The capital assigned for PFPC reflects its legal entity shareholders' equity.

BlackRock business segment results for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 reflected our majority ownership in BlackRock during that period. Subsequent to the September 29, 2006 BlackRock/MLIM transaction closing, our ownership interest was reduced to approximately 34%. Since that date, our investment in BlackRock has been accounted for under the equity method but continues to be a separate reportable business segment of PNC. We describe our presentation method for the BlackRock segment for this Business Segments Review and our Line of Business Highlights on page 41.

We have allocated the allowances for loan and lease losses and unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit based on our assessment of risk inherent in the loan portfolios. Our allocation of the costs incurred by operations and other support areas not directly aligned with the businesses is primarily based on the use of services.

Total business segment financial results differ from total consolidated results. The impact of these differences is reflected in the Other category. Other for purposes of this Item 7 Financial Review includes residual activities that do not meet the criteria for disclosure as a separate reportable business, such as gains or losses related to BlackRock transactions including LTIP share distributions and obligations, BlackRock/MLIM transaction and acquisition integration costs, asset and liability management activities, net securities gains or losses, certain trading activities and equity management activities, differences between business segment performance reporting and financial statement reporting (GAAP), intercompany eliminations, and most corporate overhead.

Employee data as reported by each business segment in the tables that follow reflect staff directly employed by the respective businesses and excludes corporate and shared services employees. Prior period employee statistics generally are not restated for organizational changes.

#### **Results Of Businesses - Summary**

	Earn	Earnings Revenue (a)		Average Assets (b)		
Year ended December 31 - dollars in millions	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006
Retail Banking	\$893	\$765	\$3,801	\$3,125	\$42,424	\$29,248
Corporate & Institutional Banking	432	454	1,538	1,455	29,052	24,822
BlackRock (c)(d)	253	187	338	1,170	4,259	3,937
PFPC (e)	128	124	831	762	2,476	2,204
Total business segments	1,706	1,530	6,508	6,512	78,211	60,211
Other (c)(f) (g)	(239)	1,065	224	2,085	45,207	34,801
Total consolidated	\$1,467	\$2,595	\$6,732	\$8,597	\$123,418	\$95,012

(a) Business segment revenue is presented on a taxable-equivalent basis. The interest income earned on certain assets is completely or partially exempt from federal income tax. As such, these tax-exempt instruments typically yield lower returns than a taxable investment. To provide more meaningful comparisons of yields and margins for all interest-earning assets, we also provide revenue on a taxable-equivalent basis by increasing the interest income earned on tax-exempt assets to make it fully equivalent to interest income earned on other taxable investments. This adjustment is not permitted under GAAP. The following is a reconciliation of total consolidated revenue on a book (GAAP) basis to total consolidated revenue on a taxable-equivalent basis:

Year ended December 31 - dollars in millions	2007	2006
Total consolidated revenue, book (GAAP) basis	\$ 6,705	\$ 8,572
Taxable-equivalent adjustment	27	25
Total consolidated revenue, taxable-equivalent basis	\$ 6,732	\$ 8,597

(b) Period-end balances for BlackRock and PFPC.

(c) For our segment reporting presentation in this Business Segments Review and our Line of Business Highlights, after-tax BlackRock/MLIM transaction integration costs totaling \$3 million and \$65 million for 2007 and 2006 have been reclassified from BlackRock to Other. Other for 2007 also includes \$96 million of after-tax acquisition integration costs and \$53 million of after-tax Visa indemnification costs.

- (d) For full year 2007 and the fourth quarter of 2006, revenue represents our equity income from BlackRock. For the first nine months of 2006, revenue represents the sum of total operating revenue and nonoperating income.
- (e) PFPC revenue represents the sum of servicing revenue and nonoperating income (expense) less debt financing costs. Servicing revenue amounts for 2006 have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation.
- (f) Other for 2006 included the \$2.1 billion pretax, or \$1.3 billion after-tax, gain on the BlackRock/MLIM transaction.
- (g) Other average assets are comprised primarily of securities available for sale and residential mortgage loans associated with asset and liability management activities. The average total balance increased in 2007 compared with 2006 primarily due to acquisitions and purchases of securities.

### **R**etail Banking

Year ended December 31

Taxable-equivalent basis

Dollars in millions	2007	2006
INCOME STATEMENT		
Net interest income	\$2,065	\$1,678
Noninterest income		. ,
Asset management	466	352
Service charges on deposits	339	304
Brokerage	269	236
Consumer services	399	348
Other	263	207
Total noninterest income	1,736	1,447
Total revenue	3,801	3,125
Provision for credit losses	138	81
Noninterest expense	2,239	1,827
Pretax earnings	1,424	1,217
Income taxes	531	452
Earnings	\$893	\$765
AVERAGE BALANCE SHEET		
Loans		
Consumer		
Home equity	\$14,209	\$13,813
Indirect	1,897	1,052
Other consumer	1,597	1,248
Total consumer	17,703	16,113
Commercial and commercial real estate	12,534	5,721
Floor plan	978	910
Residential mortgage	1,992	1,440
Other	230	242
Total loans	33,437	24,426
Goodwill and other intangible assets	5,061	1,581
Loans held for sale	1,564	1,607
Other assets	2,362	1,634
Total assets	\$42,424	\$29,248
Deposits	Ψ.=,:=:	<i>\$27,210</i>
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$10,513	\$7,841
Interest-bearing demand	8,876	7,906
Money market	16,786	14,750
Total transaction deposits	36,175	30,497
Savings	2,678	2,035
Certificates of deposit	16,637	13,861
Total deposits	55,490	46,393
Other liabilities	621	553
Capital	3,558	2,986
Total funds	\$59,669	\$49,932
Performance Ratios	φ23,003	ψ1 <i>9</i> , <i>952</i>
	25%	2607
Return on average capital		26%
Noninterest income to total revenue	46	46
Efficiency	59	58
Other Information (a)		
Credit-related statistics:		
Nonperforming assets (b)	\$225	\$106
Net charge-offs	\$131	\$85
Net charge-off ratio	.39%	.35%
	.37 70	.55%
Home equity portfolio credit statistics: (c)	<b>•</b> • ~	
% of first lien positions	39%	43%
Weighted average loan-to-value ratios	73%	70%
Weighted average FICO scores	727	728

Loans 90 days past due	.37%	.24%
Checking-related statistics: (c)		
Retail Banking checking relationships	2,272,000	1,954,000
Consumer DDA households using		
online banking	1,091,000	938,000
% of consumer DDA households		
using online banking	54%	53%
Year ended December 31		

Taxable-equivalent basis

Dollars in millions	2007	2006
Checking-related statistics: (c) (continued)		
Consumer DDA households using		
online bill payment	667,000	404,000
% of consumer DDA households		
using online bill payment	33%	23%
Small business loans and managed deposits: (c)		
Small business loans	\$13,049	\$5,116
Managed deposits:		
On-balance sheet		
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$5,994	\$4,383
Interest-bearing demand	1,873	1,649
Money market	3,152	2,592
Certificates of deposit	1,068	802
Off-balance sheet (d)		
Small business sweep checking	2,780	1,733
Total managed deposits	\$14,867	\$11,159
Brokerage statistics:		
Margin loans	\$151	\$163
Financial consultants (e)	769	758
Full service brokerage offices	100	99
Brokerage account assets (billions)	\$48	\$46
Other statistics:		
Gains on sales of education loans (f)	\$24	\$33
Full-time employees	12,036	9,549
Part-time employees	2,309	1,829
ATMs	3,900	3,581
Branches (g)	1,109	852
ASSETS UNDER ADMINISTRATION		
(in billions) (h)		
Assets under management		
Personal	\$53	\$44
Institutional	20	10
Total	\$73	\$54
Asset Type		
Equity	\$42	\$34
Fixed income	18	12
Liquidity/other	13	8
Total	\$73	\$54
Nondiscretionary assets under administration		
Personal	\$30	\$25
Institutional	83	61
Total	\$113	\$86
Asset Type		
Equity	\$49	\$33
Fixed income	28	24
T invitient of the second	24	20

Liquidity/other

Total

(a) Presented as of December 31 except for net charge-offs, net charge-off ratio, and gains on sales of education loans.

(b) Includes nonperforming loans of \$215 million at December 31, 2007 and \$96 million at December 31, 2006.

Home equity portfolio credit statistics, checking-related statistics, and small business loans and managed deposits information excludes the impact of (c) Yardville, which we acquired effective October 26, 2007 and expect to convert onto PNC s financial and operational systems during March 2008.

(d) Represents small business balances. These balances are swept into liquidity products managed by other PNC business segments, the majority of which are off-balance sheet.

Financial consultants provide services in full service brokerage offices and PNC traditional branches. (e)

(f) Included in Noninterest income-Other.

(g) Excludes certain satellite branches that provide limited products and service hours. 29

\$86

36

\$113

(h) Excludes brokerage account assets.

Retail Banking s 2007 earnings increased \$128 million, to \$893 million, up 17% compared with 2006. The increase in earnings over the prior year was driven by acquisitions and strong fee income and customer growth, partially offset by increases in the provision for credit losses and continued investments in the business.

Retail Banking s performance during 2007 included the following:

The Mercantile acquisition added approximately \$10.3 billion of loans and \$12.0 billion of deposits to Retail Banking. The acquisition also:

Added 235 branches and 256 ATMs,

Significantly increased our presence in Maryland,

Added to our presence in Delaware, Virginia and the Washington, DC area,

Significantly increased the size of our small business banking franchise by adding approximately \$7.7 billion of commercial and commercial real estate loans,

Expanded our customer base with the addition of approximately 286,000 checking relationships, and

Expanded our wealth management business with the addition of \$22 billion in assets under management.

The Yardville acquisition has resulted in a leading deposit share in several wealthy counties in central New Jersey and added 35 branches and 39 ATMs.

The pending acquisition of Sterling, which did not impact 2007, is expected to result in a leading deposit share in the Central Pennsylvania footprint and enhance our presence in surrounding markets.

Customer service and customer retention continues to be our focus. In 2007, we partnered with the Gallup organization to help evaluate and improve customer and employee satisfaction.

Consumer and small business checking relationships increased 318,000 during 2007, not including the impact of Yardville. Our investment in online banking capabilities continues to pay off. Since December 31, 2006, the percentage of consumer checking households using online bill payment increased from 23% to 33%.

In September 2006, we launched our PNC-branded credit card product. As of December 31, 2007, more than 155,000 cards have been issued and we have \$244 million in receivable balances. The results to date have exceeded our expectations.

In addition to the acquisitions, we opened 21 new branches and consolidated 34 branches in 2007 for a total of 1,109 branches at December 31, 2007. We continue to optimize our network by opening new branches in high growth areas, relocating branches to areas of higher market opportunity, and consolidating branches in areas of declining opportunity.

Our wealth management and brokerage businesses have benefited from acquisitions, market conditions and strong business development in 2007. Asset management and brokerage fees increased \$147 million, or 25%, over 2006.

Total revenue for 2007 was \$3.801 billion compared with \$3.125 billion last year. Taxable-equivalent net interest income of \$2.065 billion increased \$387 million, or 23%, compared with 2006 due to a 20% increase in average deposits and a 37% increase in average loan balances. Net interest income growth was the result of acquisitions and core business growth, although this growth has stabilized in recent quarters. In the current interest rate environment, Retail Banking deposits will be less valuable, and are expected to result in lower net interest income for this business segment in 2008 compared with 2007.

Noninterest income increased \$289 million, to \$1.736 billion, up 20% compared with 2006. This growth can be attributed primarily to the following:

The acquisitions, Comparatively favorable equity markets, Increased brokerage revenue and volumes, Increased volume-related consumer fees, Increased third party loan servicing activities, New PNC-branded credit card product, and Customer growth.

In the past, we have sold education loans to issuers of asset-backed paper when the loans are placed into repayment status. Recently, the secondary markets for education loans have been impacted by liquidity issues similar to other asset classes. As a result, we believe the ability to sell education loans and generate related gains will be limited in 2008. Given this outlook and the economic and customer relationship value inherent in this product, in February 2008, we transferred the loans at lower of cost or market value from held for sale to the loan portfolio.

The provision for credit losses increased \$57 million in 2007, to \$138 million, compared with 2006. Net charge-offs were \$131 million in 2007, an increase of \$46 million compared with 2006. The increases in provision and net charge-offs were primarily a result of residential real estate development exposure, continued growth in our commercial loan portfolio and charge-offs returning to a more normal level given the current credit conditions. Charge-offs over the last few years have been low compared with historical averages. Given the current environment, we believe provision levels and nonperforming assets will continue to increase in 2008.

Noninterest expense in 2007 totaled \$2.239 billion, an increase of \$412 million, or 23%, compared with 2006. Increases were primarily attributable to acquisitions (77% of the increase), higher volume-related expenses tied to noninterest income growth, continued investment in new branches, and investments in various initiatives such as the new PNC-branded credit card.

Full-time employees at December 31, 2007 totaled 12,036, an increase of 2,487 over the prior year. The acquisitions added approximately 2,300 full-time Retail Banking employees. Part-time employees have increased by 480 since December 31, 2006. The increase in part-time employees is a result of acquisitions and various customer service enhancement and efficiency initiatives. These initiatives include utilizing more part-time customer-facing employees rather than full-time employees during peak business hours.

Growing core checking deposits as a lower-cost funding source and as the cornerstone product to build customer relationships is the primary objective of our deposit strategy. Furthermore, core checking accounts are critical to our strategy of expanding our payments business. Average total deposits increased \$9.1 billion, or 20%, compared with 2006.

Certificates of deposits increased \$2.8 billion and money market deposits increased \$2.0 billion. These increases were primarily attributable to acquisitions. The deposit strategy of Retail Banking is to remain disciplined on pricing, target specific markets for growth, and focus on the retention and growth of balances for relationship customers.

Average demand deposit growth of \$3.6 billion, or 23%, was almost solely due to acquisitions as the core growth was impacted by customers shifting funds into higher yielding deposits in the first part of 2007, small business sweep checking products, and investment products.

Small business and consumer-related checking relationships retention remained strong and stable. Consumer-related checking relationship retention has benefited from improved penetration rates of debit cards, online banking and online bill payment.

Currently, we are focused on a relationship-based lending strategy that targets specific customer sectors (homeowners, small businesses and auto dealerships) while seeking to maintain a moderate risk profile in the loan portfolio.

Average commercial and commercial real estate loans grew \$6.8 billion, or 119%, compared with 2006. The increase is attributable to acquisitions and organic loan growth on the strength of increased small business loan demand from existing customers and the acquisition of new relationships through our sales efforts. At December 31, 2007, commercial and commercial real estate loans totaled \$14.4 billion. This portfolio included \$3.6 billion of commercial real estate loans, the majority of which were added with the Mercantile acquisition. Average home equity loans grew \$396 million, or 3%, compared with 2006 primarily due to acquisitions. Consumer loan demand has slowed as a result of the current rate and economic environment. Our home equity loan portfolio is relationship based, with 93% of the portfolio attributable to borrowers in our primary geographic footprint. We monitor this portfolio closely and the charge-offs and delinquencies that we have experienced are within our expectations given current market conditions.

Average indirect loans grew \$845 million, or 80%, compared with 2006. The increase is attributable to acquisitions and growth in our core portfolio that has benefited from increased sales and marketing efforts.

Average residential mortgage loans increased \$552 million, or 38%, primarily due to the addition of loans from the acquisitions. In general, the only meaningful growth in our mortgage portfolio is a result of acquisitions.

Assets under management of \$73 billion at December 31, 2007 increased \$19 billion compared with the balance at December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to the Mercantile acquisition and core organic business growth, partially offset by other declines such as the divestiture of a Mercantile asset management subsidiary during the fourth quarter. The remaining portfolio growth was a result of positive client net asset flows. Client net asset flows are the result of investment additions from new and existing clients offset by ordinary course distributions from trust and investment management accounts and account closures.

Nondiscretionary assets under administration of \$113 billion at December 31, 2007 increased \$27 billion compared with the balance at December 31, 2006, primarily due to the impact of Mercantile.

See Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report regarding our planned sale of Hilliard Lyons during the first half of 2008.

# CORPORATE & INSTITUTIONAL BANKING

Year ended December 31

Taxable-equivalent basis

Dollars in millions except as noted	2007	2006
Income Statement		2000
Net interest income	\$818	\$703
Noninterest income	<i>4010</i>	¢705
Corporate service fees	564	526
Other	156	226
Noninterest income	720	752
Total revenue	1,538	1,455
Provision for credit losses	125	42
Noninterest expense	818	746
Pretax earnings	595	667
Income taxes	163	213
Earnings	\$432	\$454
Average Balance Sheet	ψ <b>-152</b>	φ-3-
Loans		
Corporate (a)	\$9,519	\$8,633
Compercial real estate	3,590	2,876
Commercial real estate related	3,590	2,870
Asset-based lending	4,634	4,467
Total loans	21,323	18,409
Goodwill and other intangible assets	1,919	1,352
Loans held for sale	1,319	893
Other assets	4,491	4,168
Total assets	\$29,052	\$24,822
Deposits	\$29,052	\$24,822
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$7 201	¢6 771
	\$7,301	\$6,771
Money market	4,784 1,325	2,654 907
Other Total deposite	1,525	10,332
Total deposits Other liabilities	3,347	2,863
Capital Total funds	2,152	1,838
	\$18,909	\$15,033
Performance Ratios	20.57	250
Return on average capital	20%	25%
Noninterest income to total revenue	47	52
Efficiency	53	51
COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE SERVICING PORTFOLIO (in billions)	<b>#300</b>	¢126
Beginning of period	\$200	\$136
Acquisitions/additions	88	102
Repayments/transfers	(45)	(38)
End of period	\$243	\$200
OTHER INFORMATION		
Consolidated revenue from (b):		
Treasury management	\$476	\$418
Capital markets	\$290	\$283
Midland Loan Services	\$220	\$184
Total loans (c)	\$23,861	\$18,957
Nonperforming assets (c) (d)	\$243	\$63
Net charge-offs	\$70	\$54
Full-time employees (c)	2,290	1,936
Net gains on commercial mortgage loan sales	\$39	\$55

Commercial mortgage loans held for sale (c)	\$2,100	\$871
Valuation adjustment on commercial mortgage loans held for sale	\$(26)	
Net carrying amount of commercial mortgage servicing rights (c)	\$694	\$471
(-) Includes land financian		

(a) Includes lease financing.(b) Represents consolidated PNC a

(b) Represents consolidated PNC amounts.

(c) Presented as of period end.

(d) Includes nonperforming loans of \$222 million at December 31, 2007 and \$50 million at December 31, 2006.

Corporate & Institutional Banking earned \$432 million in 2007 compared with \$454 million in 2006. While total revenue increased more than noninterest expense, earnings declined due to an increase in the provision for credit losses. Market-related declines in CMBS securitization activities and non-customer-related trading revenue resulted in a year- over-year reduction in noninterest income. Treasury management, commercial mortgage servicing, and capital markets revenues led by merger and acquisition advisory services showed growth over 2006.

Highlights during 2007 for Corporate & Institutional Banking included:

Total revenue increased \$83 million, or 6%, to \$1.5 billion for 2007 compared with 2006. The increase was driven by higher taxable-equivalent net interest income related to increases in loans and noninterest-bearing deposits. Corporate service fees were higher due to increased sales of treasury management products and services, commercial mortgage servicing, and fees generated by Harris Williams. Partially offsetting these increases were declines in other noninterest income from commercial mortgage loan sale gains and related economic hedging activities and lower non-customer-related trading revenue resulting from recent volatility in the financial markets. Other noninterest income also reflected a \$26 million negative valuation adjustment on our commercial mortgage loans held for sale in the fourth quarter of 2007.

In early 2008, spreads have been widening and there has been limited activity in the CMBS securitization market. We value our commercial mortgage loans held for sale based on securitization prices. Therefore, if these conditions continue, additional losses will be incurred that will be significantly higher than the losses incurred during the fourth quarter of 2007. Currently, these valuation losses are unrealized (non-cash) and all of the loans in this portfolio are performing.

On July 2, 2007, PNC acquired ARCS, a leading originator and servicer of agency multifamily permanent financing products, which added a commercial mortgage servicing portfolio of \$13 billion.

Commercial mortgage servicing-related revenue, which includes fees and net interest income, totaled \$233 million for 2007 compared with \$184 million for 2006. The 27% increase was primarily driven by growth in the commercial mortgage servicing portfolio. The total servicing portfolio increased to \$243 billion at December 31, 2007. The related increase in deposits drove the increase in the net interest income portion of this revenue.

Average total loan balances increased \$2.9 billion or 16%, to \$21.3 billion in 2007 compared with 2006. The Mercantile acquisition accounted for a large part of this increase and fueled growth in all loan categories. Continuing customer demand was also a factor in the increase in corporate loans.

Commercial mortgage loans held for sale totaled \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2007, driven by origination volumes along with an increase in the holding period during the second half of 2007 due to adverse market conditions. Subject to market conditions, our plan is for held for sale loans to decline as a result of placing loans in CMBS securitizations and reducing origination activity in the first half of 2008.

Beginning in 2008, we elected to identify commercial mortgage loans held for sale that we intend to securitize as instruments to be accounted for at fair value under the provisions of SFAS 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159. This change will result in the difference between the cost and fair value of these instruments being recognized in other noninterest income, where we also report the change in fair value of related economic hedging activities. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 159, under lower-of-cost-or-market accounting, gains were recognized when loans were sold and securitized. Losses were recognized either upon sale and securitization or at quarter-end if cost was greater than fair value.

The provision for credit losses increased \$83 million, to \$125 million, in 2007 compared with 2006. This increase was due to growth in total credit exposure and credit quality migration primarily related to commercial real estate exposures.

Nonperforming assets increased \$180 million at December 31, 2007 compared with December 31, 2006. Higher nonaccrual loans, the largest component of nonperforming assets, were driven by increases in commercial real estate and commercial real estate related loans. Of this increase, \$102 million occurred during the fourth quarter of 2007. Included in the December 31, 2007 amount was \$103 million of nonperforming assets associated with the Mercantile portfolio. Given the current environment, we believe provision levels and nonperforming assets will continue to rise in 2008.

Average deposit balances for 2007 increased \$3.1 billion, or 30%, to \$13.4 billion in 2007 compared with 2006. The increase in corporate money market deposits reflected PNC s action to avail itself of the opportunity to obtain funding from alternative sources. Growth in noninterest-bearing deposits was attributable primarily to our commercial mortgage servicing portfolio. In the current interest rate environment, deposits in this business segment will be less valuable and we expect the percentage growth in net interest income in 2008 to be less than it was in 2007.

Noninterest expense increased by \$72 million, or 10%, to \$818 million in 2007 compared with 2006. This increase reflected the impact of acquisitions as well as expenses associated with other growth and fee-based initiatives and customer growth. In addition, the noninterest expense increases reflected our business of originating transactions whose returns are heavily dependent on tax credits, whereby losses are taken through noninterest expense and the associated benefits result in a lower provision for income taxes. These losses were \$25 million higher in 2007 compared with 2006.

See the additional revenue discussion regarding treasury management and capital markets-related products and Midland Loan Services on page 24.

## BLACKROCK

Our BlackRock business segment earned \$253 million in 2007 and \$187 million in 2006. Subsequent to the September 29, 2006 deconsolidation of BlackRock, these business segment earnings are determined by taking our proportionate share of BlackRock s earnings and subtracting our additional income taxes recorded on our share of BlackRock s earnings. Also, for this business segment presentation, after-tax BlackRock/MLIM transaction integration costs totaling \$3 million and \$65 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively, have been reclassified from BlackRock to Other. In addition, the 2006 business segment earnings have been reduced by minority interest in income of BlackRock, excluding MLIM transaction integration costs, totaling \$65 million and additional income taxes recorded on our share of BlackRock s earnings totaling \$7 million.

PNC s investment in BlackRock was \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2006. Based upon BlackRock s closing market price of \$216.80 per common share at December 31, 2007, the market value of our investment in BlackRock was \$9.4 billion at that date. As such, an additional \$5.3 billion of pretax value was not recognized in our equity investment account at that date.

On October 1, 2007, BlackRock acquired the fund of funds business of Quellos Group, LLC (Quellos). The combined fund of funds platform operates under the name BlackRock Alternative Advisors and is comprised one of the largest fund of funds platforms in the world, with over \$25 billion in assets under management. In connection with the acquisition, BlackRock paid \$562 million in cash to Quellos and placed 1.2 million shares of BlackRock common stock into an escrow account. The shares of BlackRock common stock will be held in the escrow account for up to three years and will be available to satisfy certain indemnification obligations of Quellos under the acquisition agreement.

Therefore, any gain to be recognized by PNC resulting from the issuance of these shares and corresponding increase in PNC s investment in BlackRock will be deferred pending the release of shares from the escrow account. In addition, Quellos may receive up to an additional \$969 million in cash and BlackRock common stock through December 31, 2010 contingent on certain measures.

### BLACKROCK/MLIM TRANSACTION

On September 29, 2006 Merrill Lynch contributed its investment management business (MLIM) to BlackRock in exchange for 65 million shares of newly issued BlackRock common and preferred stock. BlackRock accounted for the MLIM transaction under the purchase method of accounting. Further information regarding this transaction is included in Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures included in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

#### BLACKROCK LTIP PROGRAMS

BlackRock adopted the 2002 LTIP program to help attract and retain qualified professionals. At that time, PNC agreed to transfer up to four million of the shares of BlackRock common stock then held by us to help fund the 2002 LTIP and future programs approved by BlackRock s board of directors, subject to certain conditions and limitations. Prior to 2006, BlackRock granted awards of approximately \$233 million under the 2002 LTIP program, of which approximately \$208 million were paid on January 30, 2007. The award payments were funded by 17% in cash from BlackRock and one million shares of BlackRock common stock transferred by PNC and distributed to LTIP participants. We recognized a pretax gain of \$82 million in the first quarter of 2007 from the transfer of BlackRock shares. The gain was included in noninterest income and reflected the excess of market value over book value of the one million shares transferred in January 2007.

PNC s noninterest income in 2007 also included a \$209 million pretax charge related to our commitment to fund additional BlackRock LTIP programs. This charge represents the mark-to-market adjustment related to our remaining BlackRock LTIP shares obligation as of December 31, 2007 and resulted from the increase in the market value of BlackRock common shares during 2007. We recognized a similar charge for \$12 million in 2006.

BlackRock granted additional restricted stock unit awards in January 2007, all of which are subject to achieving earnings performance goals prior to the vesting date of September 29, 2011. Of the shares of BlackRock common stock that we have agreed to transfer to fund their LTIP programs, approximately 1.6 million shares have been committed to fund the restricted stock unit awards vesting in 2011 and the amount remaining would then be available for future awards.

We may continue to see volatility in earnings as we mark to market our LTIP shares obligation each quarter-end. However, additional gains based on the difference between the market value and the book value of the committed BlackRock common shares will generally not be recognized until the shares are distributed to LTIP participants.

# PFPC

Year ended December 31

Dollars in millions except as noted	2007	2006
Income Statement		
Servicing revenue (a)	\$863	\$800
Operating expense (a)	637	586
Operating income	226	214
Debt financing	38	42
Nonoperating income (b)	6	4
Pretax earnings	194	176
Income taxes	66	52
Earnings	\$128	\$124
Period-end Balance Sheet		
Goodwill and other intangible assets	\$1,315	\$1,012
Other assets	1,161	1,192
Total assets	\$2,476	\$2,204
Debt financing	\$989	\$792
Other liabilities	865	917
Shareholder s equity	622	495
Total funds	\$2,476	\$2,204
Performance Ratios		
Return on average equity	23%	29%
Operating margin (c)	26	27
Servicing Statistics		

#### (at December 31)

Accounting/administration net fund

assets (in billions) (d)		
Domestic	\$869	\$746
Offshore	121	91
Total	\$990	\$837
Asset type (in billions)		
Money market	\$373	\$281
Equity	390	354
Fixed income	123	117
Other	104	85
Total	\$990	\$837
Custody fund assets (in billions)	\$500	\$427
Shareholder accounts (in millions)		
Transfer agency	19	18
Subaccounting	53	50
Total	72	68
Other information		
Full-time employees (at December 31)	4,784	4,381

(a) Certain out-of-pocket expense items which are then client billable are included in both servicing revenue and operating expense above, but offset each other entirely and therefore have no effect on operating income. Distribution revenue and expenses which related to 12b-1 fees that PFPC receives from certain fund clients for the payment of marketing, sales and service expenses also entirely offset each other, but are netted for presentation purposes above. Amounts for 2006 have been reclassified to conform with the 2007 presentation.

(b) Net of nonoperating expense.

(c) Total operating income divided by total servicing revenue.

(d) Includes alternative investment net assets serviced.

PFPC earned \$128 million for 2007 compared with \$124 million in 2006. Results for 2006 benefited from the impact of a \$14 million reversal of deferred taxes related to earnings from foreign subsidiaries following management s determination that the earnings would be indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States. Apart from the impact of this item, earnings increased \$18 million in 2007 reflecting the successful conversion of net new business, organic growth and market appreciation.

Highlights of PFPC s performance in 2007 included:

Acquisitions of Albridge and Coates Analytics in December 2007 which will allow PFPC to add analytical information tools to its current product offerings.

Expansion in Europe included the approval of a banking license in Ireland and a branch in Luxembourg, which will allow PFPC to provide depositary services in Europe s leading domicile for traditional investment funds and the second largest worldwide domicile after the United States. The opening of a new sales office in London will enhance efforts to expand global business development efforts afforded by these approvals.

Increases in total fund assets serviced from \$2.2 trillion to \$2.5 trillion, or 14%, and in total shareholder accounts serviced from 68 million to 72 million, or 6%, during the past year reflected the successful conversion of new business as well as organic growth from existing customers.

Combined revenue growth of 21% from managed accounts, offshore operations, and alternative investments, all targeted growth businesses, over the past year resulted from increased assets. Offshore and managed account assets serviced each exceeded \$100 billion.

Servicing revenue for 2007 increased by \$63 million, or 8%, over 2006, to \$863 million. Increases in offshore operations, transfer agency, managed accounts, and alternative investments drove the higher servicing revenue.

Operating expense increased \$51 million, or 9%, to \$637 million in 2007 compared with 2006. The majority of this increase is attributable to increased headcount and technology costs to support new business achieved over the past year, as well as costs related to the 2007 acquisitions.

The discussions of PFPC under Item 1 and the Executive Summary portion of Item 7 of this Report include additional information regarding the Albridge and Coates Analytics acquisitions.

# **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND JUDGMENTS**

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared by applying certain accounting policies. Note 1 Accounting Policies in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report describes the most significant accounting policies that we use. Certain of these policies require us to make estimates and strategic or economic assumptions that may prove inaccurate or be subject to variations that may significantly affect our reported results and financial position for the period or in future periods.

We must use estimates, assumptions, and judgments when financial assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at, or adjusted to reflect, fair value. Assets and liabilities carried at fair value inherently result in a higher degree of financial statement volatility. Fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based on either quoted market prices or are provided by other independent third-party sources, when available. When such third-party information is not available, we estimate fair value primarily by using cash flow and other financial modeling techniques. Changes in underlying factors, assumptions, or estimates in any of these areas could materially impact our future financial condition and results of operations.

### Allowances For Loan And Lease Losses And Unfunded Loan Commitments And Letters Of Credit

We maintain allowances for loan and lease losses and unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit at levels that we believe to be adequate to absorb estimated probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. We determine the adequacy of the allowances based on periodic evaluations of the loan and lease portfolios and other relevant factors. However, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates, all of which may be susceptible to significant change, including, among others:

Probability of default, Loss given default, Exposure at date of default, Amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, Value of collateral, Historical loss exposure on consumer loans, residential mortgages and commercial lending, and Amounts for changes in economic conditions and potential estimation or judgmental imprecision.

In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses, we make specific allocations to impaired loans, allocations to pools of watchlist and non-watchlist loans, and allocations to consumer and residential mortgage loans. We also allocate reserves to provide coverage for probable losses not covered in specific, pool and consumer reserve methodologies related to qualitative factors. While allocations are made to specific loans and pools of loans, the total reserve is available for all credit losses.

Commercial loans are the largest category of credits and are the most sensitive to changes in assumptions and judgments underlying the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses. We have allocated approximately \$560 million, or 67%, of the allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31, 2007 to the commercial loan category. Consumer and residential mortgage loan allocations are made at a total portfolio level based on historical loss experience adjusted for portfolio activity. Approximately \$77 million, or 9.3%, of the allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31, 2007 have been allocated to these loans. The remainder of the allowance is allocated primarily to commercial real estate and lease financing loans.

To the extent actual outcomes differ from our estimates, additional provision for credit losses may be required that would reduce future earnings. See the following for additional information:

Allowances For Loan And Lease Losses And Unfunded Loan Commitments And Letters Of Credit in the Credit Risk Management section of this Item 7 (which includes an illustration of the estimated impact on the aggregate of the allowance for loan and lease losses and allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit assuming we increased pool reserve loss rates for certain loan categories), and

In Item 8 of this Report, Note 6 Asset Quality in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements, and Allocation Of Allowance For Loan And Lease Losses in the Statistical Information (Unaudited) section.

### Private Equity Asset Valuation

At December 31, 2007, private equity investments carried at estimated fair value totaled \$561 million compared with \$463 million at December 31, 2006. We value private equity assets at each balance sheet date based primarily on either, in the case of limited partnership investments, the financial statements received from the general partner which reflect fair value or, for direct investments, the estimated fair value of the investments. There is a time lag in our receipt of the financial information that is the primary basis for the valuation of our limited partnership interests. As a result, we recognized in the fourth quarter of 2007 valuation changes related to limited partnership investments that

reflected the impact of third quarter 2007 market conditions and performance of the underlying companies.

Due to the nature of the direct investments, we must make assumptions as to future performance, financial condition, liquidity, availability of capital, and market conditions, among others, to determine the estimated fair value of the investments. The valuation procedures that we apply to direct investments include techniques such as multiples of adjusted earnings for the entity, independent appraisals of the entity or the pricing used to value the entity in a recent financing transaction.

We value affiliated partnership interests based on the underlying investments of the partnership utilizing procedures consistent with those applied to direct investments.

We reflect changes in the value of private equity investments in our results of operations. Market conditions and actual performance of the companies could differ from these assumptions, resulting in lower valuations that could reduce earnings in future periods. Accordingly, the valuations may not represent amounts that will ultimately be realized from these investments.

### Lease Residuals

We provide financing for various types of equipment, aircraft, energy and power systems, and rolling stock through a variety of lease arrangements. Direct financing leases are carried at the sum of lease payments and the estimated residual value of the leased property, less unearned income. Residual value insurance or guarantees by governmental entities provide support for a significant portion of the residual value. Residual values are subject to judgments as to the value of the underlying equipment that can be affected by changes in economic and market conditions and the financial viability of the residual guarantors and insurers. To the extent not guaranteed or assumed by a third party, or otherwise insured against, we bear the risk of ownership of the leased assets. This includes the risk that the actual value of the leased assets at the end of the lease term will be less than the residual value, which could result in an impairment charge and reduce earnings in the future. Residual values are reviewed for impairment on a quarterly basis.

#### Goodwill

Goodwill arising from business acquisitions represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements in the business acquired. Most of our goodwill relates to value inherent in the fund servicing, Retail Banking and Corporate & Institutional Banking businesses. The value of this goodwill is dependent upon our ability to provide quality, cost effective services in the face of competition from other market participants on a national and international basis. We also rely upon continuing investments in processing systems, the development of value-added service features, and the ease of access by customers to our services.

As such, the value of goodwill is ultimately supported by earnings, which is driven by transaction volume and, for certain businesses, the market value of assets under administration or for which processing services are provided. Lower earnings resulting from a lack of growth or our inability to deliver cost-effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment of goodwill, which could result in a current period charge to earnings. At least annually, management reviews the current operating environment and strategic direction of each reporting unit taking into consideration any events or changes in circumstances that may have an effect on the unit. A reporting unit is defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment. This input is then used to calculate the fair value of the reporting unit, including goodwill, which is compared to its carrying value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, then the goodwill of that reporting unit is

not considered impaired. Based on the results of our analysis, there have been no impairment charges related to goodwill for the years 2007, 2006, and 2005. See Note 7 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report for additional information.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

We derive net interest and noninterest income from various sources, including:

Lending, Securities portfolio, Asset management and fund servicing, Customer deposits, Loan servicing, Brokerage services, Merger and acquisition advisory services, Sale of loans and securities, Certain private equity activities, and Securities and derivatives trading activities including foreign exchange.

We also earn fees and commissions from issuing loan commitments, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees, selling various insurance products, providing treasury management services and participating in certain capital markets transactions.

The timing and amount of revenue that we recognize in any period is dependent on estimates, judgments, assumptions, and interpretation of contractual terms. Changes in these factors can have a significant impact on revenue recognized in any period due to changes in products, market conditions or industry norms.

In the normal course of business, we and our subsidiaries enter into transactions for which the tax treatment is unclear or subject to varying interpretations. In addition, filing requirements, methods of filing and the calculation of taxable income in various state and local jurisdictions are subject to differing interpretations.

We evaluate and assess the relative risks and merits of the appropriate tax treatment of transactions, filing positions, filing methods and taxable income calculations after considering statutes, regulations, judicial precedent, and other information, and maintain tax accruals consistent with our evaluation of these relative risks and merits. The result of our evaluation and assessment is by its nature an estimate. We and our subsidiaries are routinely subject to audit and challenges from taxing authorities. In the event we resolve a challenge for an amount different than amounts previously accrued, we will account for the difference in the period in which we resolve the matter.

We resolved disputed issues for our 1998-2000 and 2001-2003 consolidated federal income tax returns with the IRS appeals division, as described in the Leases and Related Tax and Accounting Matters in the Consolidated Balance Sheet Review section of this Item 7.

# **RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

See Note 1 Accounting Policies in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report for additional information on the following recent accounting pronouncements that are relevant to our business, including a description of each new pronouncement, the required date of adoption, our planned date of adoption, and the expected impact on our consolidated financial statements. All of the following pronouncements were issued by the FASB unless otherwise noted.

The following were issued in 2007:

SFAS 141(R), Business Combinations

SFAS 160, Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 51

In November 2007, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 109,

In June 2007, the AICPA issued Statement of Position 07-1, Clarification of the Scope of the Audit and Accounting Guide Investment Companies and Accounting by Parent Companies and Equity Method Investors for Investments in Investment Companies. The FASB issued a final FSP in February 2008 which indefinitely delays the effective date of AICPA SOP 07-1.

FASB Staff Position No. (FSP) FIN 46(R) 7, Application of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) to Investment Companies FSP FIN 48-1, Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48

SFAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 The following were issued during 2006:

SFAS 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R) (SFAS 158)

SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements

FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109

FSP FAS 13-2, Accounting for a Change or Projected Change in the Timing of Cash Flows Relating to Income Taxes Generated by a Leveraged Lease Transaction

SFAS 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140

SFAS 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140

The Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) of the FASB issued EITF Issue 06-4, Accounting for

Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements

# STATUS OF DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

We have a noncontributory, qualified defined benefit pension plan ( plan or pension plan ) covering eligible employees. Benefits are derived from a cash balance formula based on compensation levels, age and length of service. Pension contributions are based on an actuarially determined amount necessary to fund total benefits payable to plan participants. Consistent with our investment strategy, plan assets are currently approximately 60% invested in equity investments with most of the remainder invested in fixed income instruments. Plan fiduciaries determine and review the plan s investment policy.

We calculate the expense associated with the pension plan in accordance with SFAS 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions*, and we use assumptions and methods that are compatible with the requirements of SFAS 87, including a policy of reflecting trust assets at their fair market value. On an annual basis, we review the actuarial assumptions related to the pension plan, including the discount rate, the rate of compensation increase and the expected return on plan assets. Neither the discount rate nor the compensation increase assumptions significantly affects pension expense.

The expected long-term return on assets assumption does significantly affect pension expense. The expected long-term return on plan assets for determining net periodic pension cost for 2007 was 8.25%, unchanged from 2006. Under current accounting rules, the difference between expected long-term returns and actual returns is accumulated and amortized to pension expense over future periods. Each one percentage point difference in actual return compared with our expected return causes expense in subsequent years to change by up to \$4 million as the impact is amortized into results of operations.

The table below reflects the estimated effects on pension expense of certain changes in assumptions, using 2008 estimated expense as a baseline.

Estimated
Increase to 2008
Pension
Expense

	(In millions)
.5% decrease in discount rate	\$1
.5% decrease in expected long-term return on assets	\$10
.5% increase in compensation rate	\$2

We currently estimate a pretax pension benefit of \$26 million in 2008 compared with a pretax benefit of \$30 million in

2007. The 2008 values and sensitivities shown above include the qualified defined benefit plan maintained by Mercantile that we integrated into the PNC plan as of December 31, 2007.

SFAS 158 was effective for PNC as of December 31, 2006. This statement affects the accounting and reporting for our qualified pension plan, our nonqualified retirement plans, our postretirement welfare benefit plans, and our postemployment benefit plans. See Note 1 Accounting Policies for further information regarding our adoption of SFAS 158.

Our pension plan contribution requirements are not particularly sensitive to actuarial assumptions. Investment performance has the most impact on contribution requirements and will drive the amount of permitted contributions in future years. Also, current law, including the provisions of the Pension Protection Act of 2006, sets limits as to both minimum and maximum contributions to the plan. In any event, any contributions to the plan in the near term will be at our discretion, as we expect that the minimum required contributions under the law will be minimal or zero for several years.

We maintain other defined benefit plans that have a less significant effect on financial results, including various nonqualified supplemental retirement plans for certain employees. See Note 17 Employee Benefit Plans in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report for additional information.

### **RISK MANAGEMENT**

We encounter risk as part of the normal course of our business and we design risk management processes to help manage these risks. This Risk Management section first provides an overview of the risk measurement, control strategies, and monitoring aspects of our corporate-level risk management processes. Following that discussion is an analysis of the risk management process for what we view as our primary areas of risk: credit, operational, liquidity, and market. The discussion of market risk is further subdivided into interest rate, trading, and equity and other investment risk areas. Our use of financial derivatives as part of our overall asset and liability risk management process is also addressed within the Risk Management section of this Item 7. In appropriate places within this section, historical performance is also addressed.

### **O**VERVIEW

As a financial services organization, we take a certain amount of risk in every business decision. For example, every time we open an account or approve a loan for a customer, process a payment, hire a new employee, or implement a new computer system, we incur a certain amount of risk. As an organization, we must balance revenue generation and profitability with the risks associated with our business activities. Risk management is not about eliminating risks, but about identifying and

accepting risks and then effectively managing them so as to optimize shareholder value.

The key to effective risk management is to be proactive in identifying, measuring, evaluating, and monitoring risk on an ongoing basis. Risk management practices support decision-making, improve the success rate for new initiatives, and strengthen the market s confidence in an organization.

#### Corporate-Level Risk Management Overview

We support risk management through a governance structure involving the Board, senior management and a corporate risk management organization.

Although our Board as a whole is responsible generally for oversight of risk management, committees of the Board provide oversight to specific areas of risk with respect to the level of risk and risk management structure.

We use management level risk committees to help ensure that business decisions are executed within our desired risk profile. The Executive Risk Management Committee (ERMC), consisting of senior management executives, provides oversight for the establishment and implementation of new comprehensive risk management initiatives, reviews enterprise level risk profiles and discusses key risk issues.

The corporate risk management organization has the following key roles:

Facilitate the identification, assessment and monitoring of risk across PNC,

Provide support and oversight to the businesses, and

Identify and implement risk management best practices, as appropriate.

#### **Risk Measurement**

We conduct risk measurement activities specific to each area of risk. The primary vehicle for aggregation of enterprise-wide risk is a comprehensive risk management methodology that is based on economic capital. This primary risk aggregation measure is supplemented with secondary measures of risk to arrive at an estimate of enterprise-wide risk. The economic capital framework is a measure of potential losses above and beyond expected losses. Potential one year losses are capitalized to a level commensurate with a financial institution with an A rating by the credit rating agencies. Economic capital incorporates risk associated with potential credit losses (Credit Risk), fluctuations of the estimated market value of financial instruments (Market Risk), failure of people, processes or systems (Operational Risk), and income losses associated with declining volumes, margins and/or fees, and the fixed cost structure of the business (Business Risk). We estimate credit and market risks at an exposure level while we estimate the remaining risk types at an institution or business segment level. We routinely compare the output of our economic capital model with industry benchmarks.

### **Risk Control Strategies**

We centrally manage policy development and exception oversight through corporate-level risk management. Corporate risk management is authorized to take action to either prevent or mitigate exceptions to policies and is responsible for monitoring compliance with risk management policies. The Corporate Audit function performs an independent assessment of the internal control environment. Corporate Audit plays a critical role in risk management, testing the operation of the internal control system and reporting findings to management and to the Audit Committee of the Board.

#### **Risk Monitoring**

Corporate risk management reports on a regular basis to our Board regarding the enterprise risk profile of the Corporation. These reports aggregate and present the level of risk by type of risk and communicate significant risk issues, including performance relative to risk tolerance limits. Both the Board and the ERMC provide guidance on actions to address key risk issues as identified in these reports.

#### CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit risk represents the possibility that a customer, counterparty or issuer may not perform in accordance with contractual terms. Credit risk is inherent in the financial services business and results from extending credit to customers, purchasing securities, and entering into financial derivative transactions. Credit risk is one of our most significant risks.

Approved risk tolerances, in addition to credit policies and procedures, set portfolio objectives for the level of credit risk. We have established guidelines for problem loans, acceptable levels of total borrower exposure, and other credit measures. We seek to achieve our credit portfolio objectives by maintaining a customer base that is diverse in borrower exposure and industry types. We use loan participations with third parties, loan sales and syndications, and the purchase of credit derivatives to reduce risk concentrations.

The credit granting businesses maintain direct responsibility for monitoring credit risk within PNC. The Corporate Credit Policy area provides independent oversight to the measurement, monitoring and reporting of our credit risk and reports to the Chief Administrative Officer. Corporate Audit also provides an independent assessment of the effectiveness of the credit risk management process.

#### Nonperforming, Past Due And Potential Problem Assets

See the Nonperforming Assets And Related Information table in the Statistical Information (Unaudited) section of Item 8 of this Report and included here by reference for details of the types of nonperforming assets that we held at December 31 of each of the past five years. In addition, certain performing assets have interest payments that are past due or have the potential for future repayment problems.

Total nonperforming assets at December 31, 2007 increased \$307 million, to \$478 million, compared with the prior year- end. Nonperforming loans, the largest component of nonperforming assets, increased \$290 million, to \$437 million, at December 31, 2007 compared with December 31, 2006. Of this increase in nonperforming loans, \$190 million occurred during the fourth quarter of 2007. The increase was primarily due to higher nonaccrual commercial real estate loans primarily related to residential real estate development exposure. At December 31, 2007, our largest nonperforming asset was approximately \$20 million and our average nonperforming loan associated with commercial lending was approximately \$0.5 million. We expect nonperforming assets to increase in 2008, although at a slower pace than we experienced in the fourth quarter of 2007.

The ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets rose to .34% at December 31, 2007 compared with .17% at December 31, 2006.

The amount of nonperforming loans that was current as to principal and interest was \$178 million at December 31, 2007 and \$59 million at December 31, 2006.

#### Nonperforming Assets By Business

Retail Banking	\$225	\$106
Corporate & Institutional Banking	243	63
Other	10	2
Total nonperforming assets	\$478	\$171
Change In Nonperforming Assets		

In millions	2007	2006
January 1	\$171	\$216
Transferred from accrual	649	225
Acquired- Mercantile and Yardville	37	
Principal reductions and payoffs	(179)	(116)
Asset sales	(10)	(17)
Returned to performing	(23)	(17)
Charge-offs and valuation adjustments	(167)	(120)
December 31	\$478	\$171

Accruing Loans Past Due 90 Days Or More

			Per	cent of Total
	Amount		O	utstandings
	Dec. 31	Dec. 31		Dec. 31
			Dec. 31	
Dollars in millions	2007	2006	2007	2006
Commercial	\$14	\$9	.05%	.04%
Commercial real estate	18	5	.20	.14
Consumer	49	28	.27	.17
Residential mortgage	13	7	.14	.11
Other	12	1	2.91	.27
Total loans	\$106	\$50	.16%	.10%

Loans that are not included in nonperforming or past due categories but cause us to be uncertain about the borrower s ability to comply with existing repayment terms over the next six months totaled \$134 million at December 31, 2007, compared with \$41 million at December 31, 2006.

### Allowances For Loan And Lease Losses And Unfunded Loan Commitments And Letters Of Credit

We maintain an allowance for loan and lease losses to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. We determine the allowance based on quarterly assessments of the probable estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. While we make allocations to specific loans and pools of loans, the total reserve is available for all loan and lease losses.

In addition to the allowance for loan and lease losses, we maintain an allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit. We report this allowance as a liability on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. We determine this amount using estimates of the probability of the ultimate funding and losses related to those credit exposures. This methodology is similar to the one we use for determining the adequacy of our allowance for loan and lease losses.

We refer you to Note 6 Asset Quality in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report regarding changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses and in the allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit. Also see the Allocation Of Allowance For Loan And Lease Losses table in the Statistical Information (Unaudited) section of Item 8 of this Report for additional information included herein by reference.

We establish specific allowances for loans considered impaired using a method prescribed by SFAS 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan. All impaired loans except leases and large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans which may include but are not limited to credit card, residential mortgage, and consumer installment loans are subject to SFAS 114 analysis. Specific allowances for individual loans over a set dollar threshold are determined by our Special Asset Committee based on an analysis of the present value of expected future cash flows from the loans discounted at their effective interest rate, observable market price, or the fair value of the underlying collateral. We establish specific allowance on all other impaired loans based on the loss given default credit risk rating.

Allocations to non-impaired commercial and commercial real estate loans (pool reserve allocations) are assigned to pools of loans as defined by our business structure and are based on internal probability of default and loss given default credit risk ratings.

Key elements of the pool reserve methodology include:

Probability of default ( PD ), which is primarily based on historical default analyses and is derived from the borrower s internal PD credit risk rating;

Exposure at default ( EAD ), which is derived from historical default data; and

Loss given default (LGD), which is based on historical loss data, collateral value and other structural factors that may affect our ultimate ability to collect on the loan and is derived from the loan s internal LGD credit risk rating.

Our pool reserve methodology is sensitive to changes in key risk parameters such as PDs, LGDs and EADs. In general, a given change in any of the major risk parameters will have a corresponding change in the pool reserve allocations for non-impaired commercial loans. Our commercial loans are the largest category of credits and are most sensitive to changes in the key risk parameters and pool reserve loss rates. To illustrate, if we increase the pool reserve loss rates by 5% for all categories of non-impaired commercial loans, then the aggregate of the allowance for loan and lease losses and allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit would increase by \$39 million. Additionally, other factors such as the rate of migration in the severity of problem loans will contribute to the final pool reserve allocations.

We make consumer (including residential mortgage) loan allocations at a total portfolio level by consumer product line based on historical loss experience. We compute a four-quarter average loss rate from net charge-offs for the prior four quarters as a percentage of the average loans outstanding in those quarters. We apply this loss rate to loans outstanding at the end of the current period and make certain qualitative adjustments to determine the consumer loan allocation.

### Charge-Offs And Recoveries

Year ended December 31			Net	Percent of Average
Dollars in millions	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Charge-offs	Loans
2007			-	

Commercial	\$156	\$30	\$126	.49%
Commercial real estate	16	1	15	.20
Consumer	73	14	59	.33
Total	\$245	\$45	\$200	.32
2006				
Commercial	\$108	\$19	\$89	.44%
Commercial real estate	3	1	2	.06
Consumer	52	15	37	.23
Residential mortgage	3		3	.04
Lease financing	14	5	9	.32
Total	\$180	\$40	\$140	.28

We establish reserves to provide coverage for probable losses not considered in the specific, pool and consumer reserve methodologies, such as, but not limited to, industry concentrations and conditions, credit quality trends, recent loss experience in particular sectors of the portfolio, ability and depth of lending management, changes in risk selection and underwriting standards and the timing of available information. The amount of reserves for these qualitative factors is assigned to loan categories and to business segments

primarily based on the relative specific and pool allocation amounts. The amount of reserve allocated for qualitative factors represented 4.6% of the total allowance and .1% of total loans at December 31, 2007.

The provision for credit losses totaled \$315 million for 2007 and \$124 million for 2006. Of the total 2007 provision, \$188 million was recorded in the fourth quarter, including approximately \$45 million related to our Yardville acquisition. The higher provision in 2007 was also impacted by our real estate portfolio, including residential real estate development exposure, and growth in total credit exposure. See the Consolidated Balance Sheet Review section of this Item 7 for further information. In addition, the provision for credit losses for 2007 and the evaluation of the allowances for loan and lease losses and unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit as of December 31, 2007 reflected loan and total credit exposure growth, changes in loan portfolio composition, and other changes in asset quality. The provision includes amounts for probable losses on loans and credit exposure related to unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit.

We do not expect to sustain asset quality at its current level. Given our projections for loan growth and continued credit deterioration, we expect nonperforming assets and the provision for credit losses will be higher in 2008 compared with 2007. Also, we expect that the level of provision for credit losses in the first quarter of 2008 will be modestly lower than the amount reported for the fourth quarter of 2007.

The allowance as a percent of nonperforming loans was 190% and as a percent of total loans was 1.21% at December 31, 2007. The comparable percentages at December 31, 2006 were 381% and 1.12%.

### CREDIT DEFAULT SWAPS

From a credit risk management perspective, we buy and sell credit loss protection via the use of credit derivatives. When we buy loss protection by purchasing a credit default swap ( CDS ), we pay a fee to the seller, or CDS counterparty, in return for the right to receive a payment if a specified credit event occurs for a particular obligor or reference entity. We purchase CDSs to mitigate the risk of economic loss on a portion of our loan exposures. For credit protection, we use only traditional credit derivative instruments and do not purchase instruments such as total return swaps.

We also sell loss protection to mitigate the net premium cost and the impact of mark-to-market accounting on the CDS in cases where we buy protection to hedge the loan portfolio and to take proprietary trading positions. These activities represent additional risk positions rather than hedges of risk.

We approve counterparty credit lines for all of our trading activities, including CDSs. Counterparty credit lines are approved based on a review of credit quality in accordance with our traditional credit quality standards and credit policies.

The credit risk of our counterparties is monitored in the normal course of business. In addition, all counterparty credit lines are subject to collateral thresholds and exposures above these thresholds are secured.

Credit default swaps are included in the Free-Standing Derivatives table in the Financial Derivatives section of this Risk Management discussion. Net gains from credit default swaps, reflected in the Trading line item on our Consolidated Income Statement, totaled \$38 million for 2007 compared to net losses of \$17 million for 2006.

#### **OPERATIONAL RISK MANAGEMENT**

Operational risk is defined as the risk of financial loss or other damage to us resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes or systems, human factors, or from external events. Operational risk may occur in any of our business activities and manifests itself in various ways, including but not limited to the following:

- Errors related to transaction processing and systems,
- Breaches of the system of internal controls and compliance requirements, and
- Business interruptions and execution of unauthorized transactions and fraud by employees or third parties.

Operational losses may arise from legal actions due to operating deficiencies or noncompliance with contracts, laws or regulations.

To monitor and control operational risk, we maintain a comprehensive framework including policies and a system of internal controls that is designed to manage risk and to provide management with timely and accurate information about the operations of PNC. Management at each business unit is primarily responsible for its operational risk management program, given that operational risk management is integral to direct business management and most easily effected at the business unit level. Corporate Operational Risk Management oversees day-to-day operational risk management activities.

#### Technology Risk

The technology risk management program is a significant component of the operational risk framework. We have an integrated security and technology risk management framework designed to help ensure a secure, sound, and compliant infrastructure for information management. The technology risk management process is aligned with the strategic direction of the businesses and is integrated into the technology management culture, structure and practices. The application of this framework across the enterprise helps to support comprehensive and reliable internal controls.

Our business resiliency program manages the organization s capabilities to provide services in the case of an event that results in material disruption of business activities. Prioritization of investments in people, processes, technology and facilities are based on different types of events, business

risk and criticality. Comprehensive testing validates our resiliency capabilities on an ongoing basis, and an integrated governance model is designed to help assure transparent management reporting.

### Insurance

As a component of our risk management practices, we purchase insurance designed to protect us against accidental loss or losses which, in the aggregate, may significantly affect personnel, property, financial objectives, or our ability to continue to meet our responsibilities to our various stakeholder groups.

PNC, through a subsidiary company, Alpine Indemnity Limited, participates as a direct writer for its general liability, automobile liability, workers compensation, property and terrorism programs. PNC s risks associated with its participation as a direct writer for these programs are mitigated through policy limits and annual aggregate limits. Risks in excess of Alpine policy limits and annual aggregates are mitigated through the purchase of direct coverage provided by various insurers up to limits established by PNC s Corporate Insurance Committee.

#### LIQUIDITY RISK MANAGEMENT

Liquidity risk is the risk of potential loss if we were unable to meet our funding requirements at a reasonable cost. We manage liquidity risk to help ensure that we can obtain cost-effective funding to meet current and future obligations under both normal business as usual and stressful circumstances.

Our largest source of liquidity on a consolidated basis is the deposit base that comes from our retail and corporate and institutional banking activities. Other borrowed funds come from a diverse mix of short and long-term funding sources. Liquid assets and unused borrowing capacity from a number of sources are also available to maintain our liquidity position.

Liquid assets consist of short-term investments (federal funds sold, resale agreements and other short-term investments, including trading securities) and securities available for sale. At December 31, 2007, our liquid assets totaled \$37.1 billion, with \$24.2 billion pledged as collateral for borrowings, trust, and other commitments.

### Bank Level Liquidity

PNC Bank, N.A. can borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland s discount window to meet short-term liquidity requirements. These borrowings are secured by securities and commercial loans. PNC Bank, N.A. is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB)-Pittsburgh and as such has access to advances from FHLB-Pittsburgh secured generally by residential mortgage loans. At December 31, 2007, we maintained significant unused borrowing capacity from the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland s discount window and FHLB-Pittsburgh under current collateral requirements.

During the second half of 2007 we substantially increased FHLB borrowings, which provided us with additional liquidity

at relatively attractive rates. Total FHLB borrowings were \$7.1 billion at December 31, 2007 compared with \$42 million at December 31, 2006.

We can also obtain funding through traditional forms of borrowing, including federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements, and short and long-term debt issuances. In July 2004, PNC Bank, N.A. established a program to offer up to \$20 billion in senior and subordinated unsecured debt obligations with maturities of more than nine months. Through December 31, 2007, PNC Bank, N.A. had issued \$5.8 billion of debt under this program, including the following 2007 issuances:

In April 2007, \$500 million of senior bank notes were issued that mature on October 3, 2008. Interest will be reset monthly to 1-month LIBOR minus 6 basis points and will be paid monthly.

In May 2007, \$1 billion of senior bank notes were issued that mature June 17, 2008. Interest will be reset monthly to 1-month LIBOR minus 5 basis points and will be paid monthly.

In June 2007, \$1 billion of senior bank notes were issued that mature on December 29, 2008. Interest will be reset monthly to 1-month LIBOR minus 4 basis points and will be paid monthly.

In December 2007, \$350 million of subordinated bank notes were issued that mature on December 7, 2017. These notes pay interest semiannually at a fixed rate of 6.0%.

In January 2008, \$50 million of senior bank notes were issued that mature on January 25, 2011. Interest will be reset quarterly to 3-month LIBOR plus 55 basis points and will be paid quarterly.

In January 2008, \$100 million of senior bank notes were issued that mature on January 25, 2010. Interest will be reset quarterly to 3-month LIBOR plus 45 basis points and will be paid quarterly.

In February 2008, \$175 million of senior bank notes were issued that mature on February 1, 2010. Interest will be reset quarterly to 3-month LIBOR plus 45 basis points and will be paid quarterly.

In February 2008, \$500 million of senior bank notes were issued that mature on August 5, 2009. Interest will be reset quarterly to 3-month LIBOR plus 40 basis points and will be paid quarterly.

None of the 2007 or 2008 issuances described above are redeemable by us or the holders prior to maturity.

We have the ability to issue additional trust preferred securities out of our PNC Preferred Funding structure, subject to certain contractual restrictions. In February 2008, PNC Preferred Funding Trust III issued \$375 million of 8.700% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Exchangeable Perpetual Trust Securities. See Perpetual Trust Securities in the Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements And VIEs section of this Item 7.

PNC Bank, N.A. established a program in December 2004 to offer up to \$3.0 billion of its commercial paper. As of December 31, 2007, there were no issuances outstanding under this program.

As of December 31, 2007, there were \$5.0 billion of PNC Bank, N.A. short- and long-term debt issuances with maturities of less than one year.

### Parent Company Liquidity

Our parent company s routine funding needs consist primarily of dividends to PNC shareholders, share repurchases, debt service, the funding of non-bank affiliates, and acquisitions.

Parent company liquidity guidelines are designed to help ensure that sufficient liquidity is available to meet these requirements over the succeeding 12-month period. In managing parent company liquidity we consider funding sources, such as expected dividends to be received from PNC Bank, N.A. and potential debt issuance, and discretionary funding uses, the most significant of which is the external dividend to be paid on PNC s stock.

The principal source of parent company cash flow is the dividends it receives from PNC Bank, N.A., which may be impacted by the following:

Capital needs, Laws and regulations, Corporate policies, Contractual restrictions, and Other factors.

Also, there are statutory and regulatory limitations on the ability of national banks to pay dividends or make other capital distributions or to extend credit to the parent company or its non-bank subsidiaries. We provide additional information on these limitations in Note 22 Regulatory Matters in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Report and include such information here by reference. Dividends may also be impacted by the bank s capital needs and by contractual restrictions. We provide additional information on certain contractual restrictions under the Perpetual Trust Securities. PNC Capital Trust E Trust Preferred Securities, and Acquired Entity Trust Preferred Securities sections of the Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and VIEs section of this Item 7. The amount available for dividend payments to the parent company by PNC Bank, N.A. without prior regulatory approval was approximately \$655 million at December 31, 2007.

In addition to dividends from PNC Bank, N.A., other sources of parent company liquidity include cash and short-term investments, as well as dividends and loan repayments from other subsidiaries and dividends or distributions from equity investments. As of December 31, 2007, the parent company had approximately \$118 million in funds available from its cash and short-term investments. During 2007, \$1.0 billion of parent company senior debt was redeemed by the holders, all during the fourth quarter.

We can also generate liquidity for the parent company and PNC s non-bank subsidiaries through the issuance of securities in public or private markets.

In February 2007, we issued \$775 million of floating rate senior notes due January 2012. Interest will be reset quarterly to 3-month LIBOR plus 14 basis points and interest will be paid quarterly.

Also in February 2007, we issued \$500 million of floating rate senior notes due January 2014. Interest will be reset quarterly to 3-month LIBOR plus 20 basis points and will be paid quarterly.

In February 2007, we issued \$600 million of subordinated notes due February 2017. These notes pay interest semiannually at a fixed rate of 5.625%.

In June 2007, we issued \$500 million of Senior Notes that mature on June 12, 2009. Interest will be reset monthly to 1-month LIBOR plus 2 basis points. These notes are not redeemable by us or the holders prior to maturity.

In September 2007, we issued \$250 million of senior notes that mature on September 28, 2012. These notes pay interest semiannually at a fixed rate of 5.50%.

None of the 2007 issuances described above are redeemable by us or the holders prior to maturity.

In February 2008, PNC Capital Trust E was formed and issued \$450 million of Capital Securities. Proceeds from the issuance were used to purchase \$450 million of junior subordinated notes issued by PNC that mature on March 15, 2068 and are redeemable on or after March 15, 2013 at par. These notes pay interest quarterly at a fixed rate of 7.75%.

We used the February 2007 issuances described above to fund a substantial portion of the cash portion of our Mercantile acquisition.

In the first half of 2007, we elected to redeem all of the underlying Capital Securities related to the following trusts, totaling \$516 million:

UNB Capital Trust I (\$16 million), Riggs Capital Trust II (\$200 million), and

PNC Institutional Capital Trust B (\$300 million).

In July 2006, PNC Funding Corp established a program to offer up to \$3.0 billion of commercial paper to provide the parent company with additional liquidity. As of December 31, 2007, \$458 million of commercial paper was outstanding under this program.

As of December 31, 2007, there were \$1.4 billion of parent company contractual obligations, including commercial paper, with maturities of less than one year.

We have effective shelf registration statements which enable us to issue additional debt and equity securities, including certain hybrid capital instruments.

#### **Commitments**

The following tables set forth contractual obligations and various other commitments representing required and potential cash outflows as of December 31, 2007.

Contractual Obligations	Payment Due By Period				
		Less than	One to three	Four to five	After five
December 31, 2007 - in millions	Total	one year	years	years	years
Remaining contractual maturities of time deposits	\$26,402	\$22,500	\$2,443	\$349	\$1,110
Borrowed funds	30,931	18,309	6,967	1,282	4,373
Minimum annual rentals on noncancellable leases	1,239	172	296	233	538
Nonqualified pension and post-retirement benefits	314	32	67	66	149
Purchase obligations (a)	441	103	179	90	69
Total contractual cash obligations (b)	\$59,327	\$41,116	\$9,952	\$2,020	\$6,239

(a) Includes purchase obligations for goods and services covered by noncancellable contracts and contracts including cancellation fees.

(b) Excludes amounts related to our adoption of FIN 48 due to the uncertainty in terms of timing and amount of future cash outflows. Note 19 Income Taxes in our Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report includes additional information regarding our adoption of FIN 48 in 2007.

Other Commitments (a)	Total	Amount Of Commitment Expiration By Peri			n By Period
	Amounts	Less than	One to three	Four to five	After five
December 31, 2007 - in millions	Committed	one year	years	years	years
Loan commitments	\$53,347	\$20,401	\$21,886	\$10,128	\$932
Standby letters of credit (b)	4,761	2,427	1,317	862	155
Other commitments (c)	473	108	64	259	42
Total commitments	\$58,581	\$22,936	\$23,267	\$11,249	\$1,129

(a) Other commitments are funding commitments that could potentially require performance in the event of demands by third parties or contingent events. Loan commitments are reported net of participations, assignments and syndications.

(b) Includes \$1.8 billion of standby letters of credit that support remarketing programs for customers variable rate demand notes.

(c) Includes private equity funding commitments related to equity management, low income housing projects and other investments.

#### MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT OVERVIEW

Market risk is the risk of a loss in earnings or economic value due to adverse movements in market factors such as interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates, and equity prices. We are exposed to market risk primarily by our involvement in the following activities, among others:

Traditional banking activities of taking deposits and extending loans,

Private equity and other investments and activities whose economic values are directly impacted by market factors, and

Trading in fixed income products, equities, derivatives, and foreign exchange, as a result of customer activities, underwriting, and proprietary trading.

We have established enterprise-wide policies and methodologies to identify, measure, monitor, and report market risk. Market Risk Management provides independent oversight by monitoring compliance with these limits and

guidelines, and reporting significant risks in the business to the Joint Risk Committee of the Board.

#### MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT INTEREST RATE RISK

Interest rate risk results primarily from our traditional banking activities of gathering deposits and extending loans. Many factors, including economic and financial conditions, movements in interest rates, and consumer preferences, affect the difference between the interest that we earn on assets and the interest that we pay on liabilities and the level of our noninterest-bearing funding sources. Due to the repricing term mismatches and embedded options inherent in certain of these products, changes in market interest rates not only affect expected near-term earnings, but also the economic values of these assets and liabilities.

Asset and Liability Management centrally manages interest rate risk within limits and guidelines set forth in our risk management policies approved by the Asset and Liability Committee and the Risk Committee of the Board.

Sensitivity results and market interest rate benchmarks for the fourth quarters of 2007 and 2006 follow:

#### Interest Sensitivity Analysis

	Fourth Quarter	Fourth Quarter
	2007	2006
Net Interest Income Sensitivity Simulation		
Effect on net interest income in first year from gradual interest rate change over following 12 months of:		
100 basis point increase	(2.8)%	(2.6)%
100 basis point decrease	2.9%	2.5%
Effect on net interest income in second year from gradual interest rate change over the		
preceding 12 months of:		
100 basis point increase	(6.4)%	(5.5)%
100 basis point decrease	4.4%	3.7%
Duration of Equity Model		
Base case duration of equity (in years):	2.1	1.5
Key Period-End Interest Rates		
One month LIBOR	4.60%	5.32%
Three-year swap	3.91%	5.10%

In addition to measuring the effect on net interest income assuming parallel changes in current interest rates, we routinely simulate the effects of a number of nonparallel interest rate environments. The following Net Interest Income Sensitivity To Alternative Rate Scenarios table reflects the percentage change in net interest income over the next two 12-month periods assuming (i) the PNC Economist s most likely rate forecast, (ii) implied market forward rates, and (iii) a Two-Ten Inversion (a 200 basis point inversion between two-year and ten-year rates superimposed on current base rates) scenario. We are inherently sensitive to a flatter or inverted yield curve.

#### Net Interest Income Sensitivity To Alternative Rate Scenarios (Fourth Quarter 2007)

	PNC		
		Market	Two-Ten
	Economist	Forward	Inversion
First year sensitivity	6.4%	6.1%	(8.7)%
Second year sensitivity	9.5%	11.0%	(7.7)%
All abanges in foregested not interest income are relative to results in	a basa rata saanaria whara aurrant	morkat ratas ara assur	and to romain

All changes in forecasted net interest income are relative to results in a base rate scenario where current market rates are assumed to remain unchanged over the forecast horizon.

When forecasting net interest income, we make assumptions about interest rates and the shape of the yield curve, the volume and characteristics of new business, and the behavior of existing on- and off-balance sheet positions. These assumptions determine the future level of simulated net interest income in the base interest rate scenario and the other interest rate scenarios presented in the following table. These simulations assume that as assets and liabilities mature, they are replaced or repriced at market rates.

The graph below presents the yield curves for the base rate scenario and each of the alternate scenarios one year forward.

Our risk position is currently liability sensitive which was the objective of our recent balance sheet management strategies. We believe that we have the deposit funding base and balance sheet flexibility to adjust, where appropriate, to changing interest rates and market conditions.

### MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT TRADING RISK

Our trading activities primarily include customer-driven trading in fixed income securities, equities, derivatives, and foreign exchange contracts. They also include the underwriting of fixed income and equity securities and proprietary trading.

We use value-at-risk ( VaR ) as the primary means to measure and monitor market risk in trading activities. The Risk Committee of the Board establishes an enterprise-wide VaR limit on our trading activities.

During 2007, our VaR ranged between \$6.1 million and \$12.8 million, averaging \$8.5 million. This range reflected an increase in market volatility.

To help ensure the integrity of the models used to calculate VaR for each portfolio and enterprise-wide, we use a process known as backtesting. The backtesting process consists of comparing actual observations of trading-related gains or losses against the VaR levels that were calculated at the close of the prior day. We would expect a maximum of two to three instances a year in which actual losses exceeded the prior day VaR measure. During 2007, there were two such instances at the enterprise-wide level.

- 5	$\mathbf{a}$
	•
~	۰.

The following graph shows a comparison of enterprise-wide trading-related gains and losses against prior day VaR for the period.

Total trading revenue for the past three years was as follows:

Year end December 31 - in millions	2007	2006	2005
Net interest income (expense)	\$7	\$(6)	\$9
Noninterest income	104	183	157
Total trading revenue	\$111	\$177	\$166
Securities underwriting and			
trading (a)	\$41	\$38	\$47
Foreign exchange	58	55	39
Financial derivatives	12	84	80
Total trading revenue	\$111	\$177	\$166

(a) Includes changes in fair value for certain loans accounted for at fair value. Average trading assets and liabilities consisted of the following:

Year ended - in millions	December 31 2007	December 31 2006	December 31 2005
Assets			
Securities (a)	\$2,708	\$1,712	\$1,850
Resale agreements (b)	1,133	623	663
Financial derivatives (c)	1,378	1,148	772
Loans at fair value (c)	166	128	
Total assets	\$5,385	\$3,611	\$3,285
Liabilities			
Securities sold short (d)	\$1,657	\$965	\$993
Repurchase agreements and other borrowings (e)	520	833	1,044
Financial derivatives (f)	1,384	1,103	825
Borrowings at fair value (f)	39	31	
Total liabilities	\$3,600	\$2,932	\$2,862

(a) Included in Interest-earning assets-Other on the Average Consolidated Balance Sheet And Net Interest Analysis.

(b) Included in Federal funds sold and resale agreements.

(c) Included in Noninterest-earning assets-Other.

(d) Included in Other borrowed funds.

(e) Included in Repurchase agreements and Other borrowed funds.

(f) Included in Accrued expenses and other liabilities.

#### MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT EQUITY AND OTHER

#### INVESTMENT RISK

Equity investment risk is the risk of potential losses associated with investing in both private and public equity markets. In addition to extending credit, taking deposits, and underwriting and trading financial instruments, we make and manage direct investments in a variety of transactions, including management buyouts, recapitalizations, and later-stage growth financings in a variety of industries. We also have investments in affiliated and non-affiliated funds that make similar investments in private equity and in debt and equity-oriented hedge funds. The economic and/or book value of these investments and other assets such as loan servicing rights are directly affected by changes in market factors.

The primary risk measurement for equity and other investments is economic capital. Economic capital is a common measure of risk for credit, market and operational risk. It is an estimate of the worst-case value depreciation over one year within a 99.9% confidence level. Given the illiquid nature of many of these types of investments, it can be a challenge to determine their fair values. Market Risk Management and Finance provide independent oversight of the valuation process.

Various PNC business units manage our private equity and other investment activities. Our businesses are responsible for making investment decisions within the approved policy limits and associated guidelines.

### BlackRock

PNC owns approximately 43 million shares of BlackRock common stock, accounted for under the equity method. Our total investment in BlackRock was \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2007 compared with \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2006. The market value of our investment in BlackRock was \$9.4 billion at December 31, 2007. The primary risk measurement, similar to other equity investments, is economic capital.

#### Low Income Housing Projects And Historic Tax Credits

Included in our equity investments are limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects. At December 31, 2007 these investments, consisting of partnerships accounted for under the equity method as well as equity investments held by consolidated partnerships, totaled \$1.0 billion. The comparable amount at December 31, 2006 was \$708 million. PNC s equity investment at risk was \$188 million at December 31, 2007 compared with \$134 million at year-end 2006. We also had commitments to make additional equity investments in affordable housing limited partnerships of \$98 million at December 31, 2007 compared with \$71 million at December 31, 2007 historic tax credit investments totaled \$13 million with unfunded commitments related to these investments of \$26 million.

### Private Equity

The private equity portfolio is comprised of equity and mezzanine investments that vary by industry, stage and type of investment. Private equity investments are reported at fair value. Changes in the values of private equity investments are reflected in our results of operations. Due to the nature of the investments, the valuations incorporate assumptions as to future performance, financial condition, liquidity, availability of capital, and market conditions, among other factors, to determine the estimated fair value of the investments. Market conditions and actual performance of the investments could differ from these assumptions. Accordingly, lower valuations may occur that could adversely impact earnings in future periods. Also, the valuations may not represent amounts that will ultimately be realized from these investments. See Private Equity Asset Valuation in the Critical Accounting Policies And Judgments section of this Item 7 for additional information.

At December 31, 2007, private equity investments carried at estimated fair value totaled \$561 million compared with \$463 million at December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2007, approximately 47% of the amount was invested directly in a variety of companies and approximately 53% was invested in various limited partnerships. Our unfunded commitments related to private equity totaled \$270 million at December 31, 2007 compared with \$283 million at December 31, 2006.

#### **Other Investments**

We also make investments in affiliated and non-affiliated funds with both traditional and alternative investment strategies. The economic values could be driven by either the fixed-income market or the equity markets, or both. At December 31, 2007, other investments totaled \$389 million compared with \$269 million at December 31, 2006. Our unfunded commitments related to other investments totaled \$79 million at December 31, 2007 compared with \$16 million at December 31, 2006. The amounts of other investments and related unfunded commitments at December 31, 2007 included those related to Steel City Capital Funding LLC as further described in Note 24 Commitments and Guarantees in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

#### IMPACT OF INFLATION

Our assets and liabilities are primarily monetary in nature. Accordingly, future changes in prices do not affect the obligations to pay or receive fixed and determinable amounts of money. During periods of inflation, monetary assets lose value in terms of purchasing power and monetary liabilities have corresponding purchasing power gains. The concept of purchasing power, however, is not an adequate indicator of the effect of inflation on banks because it does not take into account changes in interest rates, which are an important determinant of our earnings.

#### FINANCIAL DERIVATIVES

We use a variety of financial derivatives as part of the overall asset and liability risk management process to help manage interest rate, market and credit risk inherent in our business activities. Substantially all such instruments are used to manage risk related to changes in interest rates. Interest rate and total return swaps, interest rate caps and floors and futures contracts are the primary instruments we use for interest rate risk management.

Financial derivatives involve, to varying degrees, interest rate, market and credit risk. For interest rate swaps and total return swaps, options and futures contracts, only periodic cash payments and, with respect to options, premiums are exchanged. Therefore, cash requirements and exposure to credit risk are significantly less than the notional amount on these instruments. Further information on our financial derivatives is presented in Note 1 Accounting Policies and Note 15 Financial Derivatives in the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

Not all elements of interest rate, market and credit risk are addressed through the use of financial or other derivatives, and such instruments may be ineffective for their intended purposes due to unanticipated market characteristics, among other reasons.

The following tables provide the notional or contractual amounts and estimated net fair value of financial derivatives used for risk management and designated as accounting hedges as well as free-standing derivatives at December 31, 2007 and 2006. Weighted-average interest rates presented are based on contractual terms, if fixed, or the implied forward yield curve at each respective date, if floating.

### Financial Derivatives - 2007

	Notional/ Contract	Estimated Net	Weighted	Weighted-AverageInterest Rates	
		Fair			
December 31, 2007 - dollars in millions	Amount	Value	Maturity	Paid	Received
Accounting Hedges	Amount	value	Watarity	1 alu	Received
Interest rate risk management					
Asset rate conversion					
Interest rate swaps (a)					
Receive fixed	\$7,856	\$325	4 yrs. 2 mos.	4.28%	5.34%
Total asset rate conversion	7,856	325	1 9 1 50 2 11050		
Liability rate conversion	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	010			
Interest rate swaps (a)					
Receive fixed	9,440	269	4 yrs. 10 mos.	4.12	5.09
Total liability rate conversion	9,440	269	1 915. 10 1105.		0.07
Total interest rate risk management	17,296	594			
Commercial mortgage banking risk management	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Commercial mortgage builting risk management					
Day fixed interact rate swame (a)	1 1 20	(70)	<b>9</b>	5.45	4.52
Pay fixed interest rate swaps (a)	1,128	(79)	8 yrs. 8 mos.	5.45	4.52
Total commercial mortgage banking risk management	1,128	(79) ¢515			
Total accounting hedges (b)	\$18,424	\$515			
Free-Standing Derivatives Customer-related					
Interest rate	¢(1 <b>7</b> (9	¢(20)	5 4	4.46%	4.49%
Swaps (c)	\$61,768	\$(39)	5 yrs. 4 mos.	4.40%	4.49%
Caps/floors	2 927	(5)	( ma E maa	NM	NM
Sold (c) Purchased	2,837	(5)	6 yrs. 5 mos. 3 yrs. 7 mos.	NM	NM
	2,356		5 yrs. 7 mos. 8 mos.	NM	NM
Futures (c)	5,564	(6) 8	7 mos.	NM	NM
Foreign exchange	7,028	-		NM	NM
Equity (c)	1,824 3,490	(69) 40	1 yr. 5 mos. 13 yrs. 10 mos.	NM	NM
Swaptions Other	200	40		NM	NM
Total customer-related		(64)	10 yrs. 6 mos.	INIVI	INIVI
	85,067	(64)			
Other risk management and proprietary					
Interest rate	41,247	6	4 yrs. 5 mos.	4.44%	4.47%
Swaps Constituents	41,247	U	4 yrs. 5 mos.	4.44 %	4.4770
Caps/floors Sold (c)	6,250	(82)	2 yrs 1 mo	NM	NM
Purchased	7,760	(82)	2 yrs. 1 mo. 1 yr. 11 mos.	NM	NM
	10 10	(15)	1 yr. 7 mos.	NM	NM
Futures (c) Foreign exchange	43,107 8,713	(13)	6 yrs. 8 mos.	NM	NM
Credit derivatives	5,823	42	12 yrs. 1 mo.	NM	NM
Risk participation agreements	1,183	42	4 yrs. 6 mos.	NM	NM
Commitments related to mortgage-related assets	3,190	10	4 yrs. 0 mos. 4 mos.	NM	NM
Options	5,190	10	4 11105.	ININI	TATAT
Futures	39,158	(2)	8 mos.	NM	NM
Swaptions	21,800	(2) 49	8 yrs. 1 mo.	NM	NM
Other (d)	442	(201)	NM	NM	NM
Total other risk management and proprietary	178,673	(201)	14141	TATAT	T A TAT
Total free-standing derivatives	\$263,740	(11)			
10tal nee-stalluling uchivatives	φ <b>203,74</b> 0	(155)			

- (a) The floating rate portion of interest rate contracts is based on money-market indices. As a percent of notional amount, 52% were based on 1-month LIBOR, 43% on 3-month LIBOR and 5% on Prime Rate.
- (b) Fair value amount includes net accrued interest receivable of \$130 million.
- (c) The increases in the negative fair values from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007 for equity and interest rate contracts were due to the changes in fair values of the existing contracts along with new contracts entered into during 2007.
- (d) Relates to PNC s obligation to help fund certain BlackRock LTIP programs. Additional information regarding the BlackRock/MLIM transaction and our BlackRock LTIP shares obligation is included in Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

NM Not meaningful

### Financial Derivatives - 2006

	Notional/		Weighted W	Weighted-AverageInterest Rates	
	Contract	Estimated Net	Average		
December 21, 2006, dellars in millions	Amount	Fair Value	Moturity	Paid	Received
December 31, 2006 - dollars in millions Accounting Hedges	Amount	Fair value	Maturity	Palu	Received
Interest rate risk management					
Asset rate conversion					
Interest rate swaps (a)					
Receive fixed	\$7,815	\$62	3 yrs. 9 mos.	5.30%	5.43%
Interest rate floors (b)	6	ψ02	4 yrs. 3 mos.	NM	NM
Total asset rate conversion	7,821	62	4 yrs. 5 mos.	14141	
Liability rate conversion	7,021	02			
Interest rate swaps (a)					
increst rate swaps (a)					
Receive fixed	4,245	6	6 yrs. 11 mos.	5.15	5.43
Total liability rate conversion	4,245	6			
Total interest rate risk management	12,066	68			
Commercial mortgage banking risk management					
Pay fixed interest rate swaps (a)	745	(7)	9 yrs. 11 mos.	5.25	5.09
Total commercial mortgage banking risk management	745	(7)	<i>y y</i> 15. 11 mos.	5.25	5.07
Total accounting hedges (c)	\$12,811	\$61			
Free-Standing Derivatives	φ12,011	φ01			
Customer-related					
Interest rate					
Swaps (d)	\$48,816	\$9	4 yrs. 11 mos.	5.00%	5.01%
Caps/floors	φ+0,010	ΨΣ	4 y13. 11 mos.	5.00 %	5.0170
Sold (d)	1,967	(3)	7 yrs. 4 mos.	NM	NM
Purchased	897	3	7 yrs. 2 mos.	NM	NM
Futures (d)	2,973	2	9 mos.	NM	NM
Foreign exchange	5,245	2	6 mos.	NM	NM
Equity (d)	2,393	(63)	1 yr. 6 mos.	NM	NM
Swaptions	8,685	16	6 yrs. 10 mos.	NM	NM
Other	20	10	10 yrs. 6 mos.	NM	NM
Total customer-related	70,996	(36)	10 yis. 0 mos.	14141	
Other risk management and proprietary	10,550	(50)			
Interest rate					
Swaps	19.631	4	7 yrs. 8 mos.	4.81%	4.97%
Caps/floors	17,051	Ŧ	, yis. 6 mos.	1.0170	т.7770
Sold (d)	6,500	(50)	2 yrs. 11 mos.	NM	NM
Purchased	7,010	59	3 yrs.	NM	NM
Futures (d)	13,955	(3)	1 yr. 4 mos.	NM	NM
Foreign exchange	1,958	(3)	5 yrs. 2 mos.	NM	NM
Credit derivatives	3,626	(11)	7 yrs.	NM	NM
Risk participation agreements	786	(11)	5 yrs. 5 mos.	NM	NM
Commitments related to mortgage-related assets	2,723	10	2 mos.	NM	NM
Options	2,723	10	2 11105.		1 1111
Futures	63,033	(2)	8 mos.	NM	NM
Swaptions	25,951	54	6 yrs. 10 mos.	NM	NM
Other (e)	596	(12)	NM	NM	NM
Total other risk management and proprietary	145,769	49			1,111
Total free-standing derivatives	\$216,765	\$13			
(a) The floating not nortion of interest rate contracts in the state of the second sec	wantatindiaaa A	ψις	anal amount (701 -		

(a) The floating rate portion of interest rate contracts is based on money-market indices. As a percent of a notional amount, 67% were based on 1-month LIBOR, 27% on 3-month LIBOR and 6% on Prime Rate.

(b) Interest rate floors have a weighted-average strike of 3.21%.

(c) Fair value amounts include net accrued interest receivable of \$94 million.

(d) See (c) on page 57.

(e) See (d) on page 57. NM Not meaningful

# 2006 VERSUS 2005

CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT REVIEW

#### **Summary Results**

Consolidated net income for 2006 was \$2.595 billion or \$8.73 per diluted share and for 2005 was \$1.325 billion or \$4.55 per diluted share.

Net income for 2006 included the after-tax impact of the following items:

The third quarter gain on the BlackRock/MLIM transaction of \$1.3 billion, or \$4.36 per diluted share;

The third quarter securities portfolio rebalancing loss of \$127 million, or \$.43 per diluted share;

BlackRock/MLIM transaction integration costs of \$47 million, or \$.16 per diluted share, and

The third quarter mortgage loan portfolio repositioning loss of \$31 million, or \$.10 per diluted share.

The aggregate impact of these items increased 2006 net income by \$1.1 billion, or \$3.67 per diluted share.

Results for 2005 included the impact of the following items:

The reversal of deferred tax liabilities that benefited earnings by \$45 million, or \$.16 per diluted share, in the first quarter related to our transfer of ownership in BlackRock from PNC Bank, N.A. to our intermediate bank holding company, PNC Bancorp, Inc.; Implementation costs totaling \$35 million after-tax, or \$.12 per diluted share, related to the One PNC initiative; The \$34 million after-tax benefit of a second quarter 2005 loan recovery; and

Integration costs of \$20 million after-tax, or \$.07 per diluted share, comprised of provision for credit losses, noninterest expense and deferred taxes, related to the May 2005 acquisition of Riggs.

#### **Net Interest Income**

Net interest income was \$2.245 billion for 2006 and \$2.154 billion for 2005. Net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis was \$2.270 billion for 2006 compared with \$2.187 billion for 2005, an increase of \$83 million, or 4%. The increase reflected the impact of the 6% increase in average interest-earning assets during 2006 partially offset by a decline in the net interest margin. The net interest margin was 2.92% for 2006, a decline of 8 basis points compared with 2005.

#### **Provision For Credit Losses**

The provision for credit losses was \$124 million for 2006 compared with \$21 million for 2005. The provision for credit losses for 2005 included the benefit of a \$53 million loan

recovery in the second quarter of that year resulting from a litigation settlement. In addition to this item, the increase in the provision for credit losses in 2006 reflected the following factors:

The impact of overall loan growth, as average total loans increased \$2.2 billion in 2006 compared with the prior year; The effect of a single large overdraft situation that occurred during the second quarter of 2006, and Growth in unfunded commitments.

### Noninterest Income

#### <u>Summary</u>

Noninterest income was \$6.327 billion for 2006 and \$4.173 billion for 2005. Noninterest income for 2006 included the impact of the gain on the BlackRock/MLIM transaction, which totaled \$2.078 billion, partially offset by the effects of our third quarter 2006 balance sheet repositioning activities that resulted in charges totaling \$244 million.

#### Additional analysis

Asset management fees amounted to \$1.420 billion for 2006 and \$1.443 billion for 2005, a decline of \$23 million. Our equity income from BlackRock was included in asset management fees beginning with the fourth quarter of 2006. Asset management fees for 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 reflected the impact of BlackRock s revenue on a consolidated basis.

Assets managed at December 31, 2006 totaled \$54 billion compared with \$494 billion at December 31, 2005 and reflected the deconsolidation of BlackRock effective September 29, 2006.

Fund servicing fees increased \$23 million in 2006, to \$893 million, compared with \$870 million in the prior year. Included in these amounts were distribution/out-of-pocket revenue amounts at PFPC totaling \$170 million in 2006 and \$147 million in 2005, the impacts of which were offset by expenses in the same amounts in each year.

PFPC provided fund accounting/administration services for \$837 billion of net fund assets and provided custody services for \$427 billion of fund assets at December 31, 2006, compared with \$835 billion and \$476 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2005. The decrease in custody fund assets at December 31, 2006 compared with December 31, 2005 resulted primarily from the deconversion of a major client during the first quarter of 2006, which was partially offset by new business, asset inflows from existing customers, and equity market appreciation.

Service charges on deposits increased \$40 million, to \$313 million, for 2006 compared with 2005. Customer growth,

expansion of the branch network, including our expansion into the greater Washington, DC area that began in May 2005, and various pricing actions resulting from the One PNC initiative all contributed to the increase in 2006.

Brokerage fees increased \$21 million, to \$246 million, for 2006 compared with the prior year. The increase was primarily due to higher annuity income and mutual fund-related revenues, including favorable production from the fee-based fund advisory business.

Consumer services fees increased \$72 million, to \$365 million, in 2006 compared with 2005. Higher fees reflected the impact of consolidating our merchant services activities in the fourth quarter of 2005 as a result of our increased ownership interest in the merchant services business. The increase was also due to higher debit card revenues resulting from higher transaction volumes, our expansion into the greater Washington, DC area, and pricing actions related to the One PNC initiative. These factors were partially offset by lower ATM surcharge revenue in 2006 resulting from changing customer behavior and a strategic decision to reduce the out-of-footprint ATM network.

Corporate services revenue was \$626 million for 2006, compared with \$485 million in 2005. The increase in corporate services revenue compared with the prior year was primarily due to the full year benefit in 2006 of our October 2005 acquisition of Harris Williams.

Equity management (private equity) net gains on portfolio investments totaled \$107 million in 2006 and \$96 million for 2005. Based on the nature of private equity activities, net gains or losses may be volatile from period to period.

Net securities losses totaled \$207 million in 2006 and \$41 million in 2005. We took actions during the third quarter of 2006 that resulted in the sale of approximately \$6 billion of securities available for sale at an aggregate pretax loss of \$196 million during that quarter.

Noninterest revenue from trading activities, which is primarily customer-related, totaled \$183 million in 2006 compared with \$157 million for 2005. We provide additional information on our trading activities under Market Risk Management Trading Risk in the Risk Management section of this Item 7.

Net gains related to our BlackRock investment were \$2.066 billion in 2006, comprised of the \$2.078 billion gain on the BlackRock/MLIM transaction partially offset by a fourth quarter mark-to-market adjustment of \$12 million on our BlackRock LTIP obligation. See the BlackRock portion of the Business Segments Review section of this Item 7 for further information.

Other noninterest income decreased \$57 million, to \$315 million, in 2006 compared with 2005. Other noninterest

income for 2006 included the impact of a \$48 million pretax loss incurred in the third quarter of 2006 in connection with the rebalancing of our residential mortgage portfolio.

#### Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expense was \$4.443 billion for 2006, an increase of \$137 million compared with 2005. Item 6 of this Report includes our efficiency ratios for 2006 and 2005 and information regarding certain significant items impacting noninterest income and expense in 2006.

Noninterest expense for 2006 included the following:

Our share of integration costs related to the BlackRock/MLIM transaction totaling \$91 million, which were almost entirely offset by a decrease in other BlackRock expenses of \$87 million due to our deconsolidation of BlackRock effective September 29, 2006, An increase of \$71 million of expenses related to Harris Williams, which we acquired in October 2005,

An increase of \$60 million related to the consolidation of our merchant services activities in the fourth quarter of 2005, and

An increase of \$23 million in PFPC s distribution/out-of-pocket expenses, the increase of which was entirely offset in noninterest income and which had no impact on our earnings.

Apart from the impact of these items, noninterest expense for 2006 decreased \$21 million compared with 2005 as the benefit of the One PNC initiative more than offset the impact of our expansion into the greater Washington, DC area and other investments in the business.

#### EFFECTIVE TAX RATE

Our effective tax rate was 34% for 2006 and 30.2% for 2005. The Consolidated Income Statement Review section of this Item 7 outlines the factors that contributed to the 2006 effective tax rate. The effective tax rate for 2005 reflected the benefit in that year of a reversal of deferred tax liabilities in connection with the transfer of our ownership in BlackRock to our intermediate bank holding company. This transaction reduced our first quarter 2005 tax provision by \$45 million, or \$.16 per diluted share.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET REVIEW

## Loans

Loans increased \$1.0 billion, or 2%, as of December 31, 2006 compared with December 31, 2005. Increases in total commercial lending and consumer loans, driven by targeted sales efforts across our banking businesses, more than offset the decline in residential mortgage loans that resulted primarily from our third quarter 2006 mortgage loan repositioning.

# Securities

Total securities at December 31, 2006 were \$23.2 billion compared with \$20.7 billion at December 31, 2005. Securities

represented 23% of total assets as of both dates. The increase in total securities compared with December 31, 2005 was primarily due to higher balances of mortgage-backed and commercial mortgage-backed securities, which more than offset the decline in US Treasury and government agencies securities.

At December 31, 2006, the securities available for sale balance included a net unrealized loss of \$142 million, which represented the difference between fair value and amortized cost. The comparable amount at December 31, 2005 was a net unrealized loss of \$370 million. The impact on bond prices of increases in interest rates during 2005 was reflected in the net unrealized loss position at December 31, 2005. The expected weighted-average life of securities available for sale was 3 years and 8 months at December 31, 2006 compared with 4 years and 1 month at December 31, 2005.

# **Equity Investments**

The increase in equity investments from \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2005 to \$5.3 billion at December 31, 2006 reflected BlackRock reported as an equity investment at December 31, 2006. BlackRock s assets and liabilities were

consolidated on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2005.

### Loans Held For Sale

Education loans held for sale totaled \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2006, and \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2005 and represented the majority of our loans held for sale at each date. Gains on sales of education loans totaled \$33 million for 2006 and \$19 million for 2005. These gains are reflected in the other noninterest income line item in our Consolidated Income Statement.

## Asset Quality

Nonperforming assets were \$171 million at December 31, 2006, a decrease of \$44 million from December 31, 2005. Nonperforming loans declined \$43 million in the comparison. The ratio of nonperforming assets to total loans and foreclosed assets was .34% at December 31, 2006 compared with .42% at December 31, 2005. The allowance for loan and lease losses was \$560 million and represented 1.12% of total loans and 381% of nonperforming loans at December 31, 2006. The comparable amounts were \$596 million, 1.21% and 314%, respectively, at December 31, 2005.

#### **Funding Sources**

Total funding sources were \$81.3 billion at December 31, 2006 and \$77.2 billion at December 31, 2005. The \$4.1 billion increase in total funding sources was comprised of a \$6.0 billion increase in total deposits partially offset by a \$1.9 billion decrease in total borrowed funds.

The increase in deposits as of December 31, 2006 was driven primarily by the impact of higher money market and certificates of deposit balances. In addition to growth in retail deposit balances, growth in deposits from commercial

mortgage loan servicing activities also contributed to the increase compared with the prior year-end.

The decline in borrowed funds compared with the balance at December 31, 2005 reflected a decrease in federal funds purchased, maturities of \$2.0 billion of bank notes and senior debt during 2006, a decline in subordinated debt in connection with our December 2006 redemption of \$453 million of Capital Securities, and the deconsolidation of BlackRock s \$250 million of convertible debentures. These factors were partially offset by issuances of \$1.5 billion of senior debt and \$500 million of bank notes in 2006.

## Shareholders Equity

The increase of \$2.2 billion in total shareholders equity at December 31, 2006 compared with December 31, 2005 reflected the impact of 2006 net income on retained earnings and an increase in capital surplus in connection with the BlackRock/MLIM transaction. Regulatory capital ratios at December 31, 2006 were 9.3% for leverage, 10.4% for tier 1 risk-based and 13.5% for total risk-based capital. At December 31, 2005, the regulatory capital ratios were 7.2% for leverage, 8.3% for tier 1 risk-based and 12.1% for total risk-based capital.

# **Glossary of Terms**

Accounting/administration net fund assets - Net domestic and foreign fund investment assets for which we provide accounting and administration services. We do not include these assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

<u>Adjusted average total assets</u> - Primarily comprised of total average quarterly (or annual) assets plus (less) unrealized losses (gains) on available-for-sale debt securities, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets (net of eligible deferred taxes).

<u>Annualized</u> - Adjusted to reflect a full year of activity.

<u>Assets under management</u> - Assets over which we have sole or shared investment authority for our customers/clients. We do not include these assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Basis point - One hundredth of a percentage point.

<u>Charge-off</u> - Process of removing a loan or portion of a loan from our balance sheet because it is considered uncollectible. We also record a charge-off when a loan is transferred to held for sale by reducing the carrying amount by the allowance for loan losses associated with such loan or if the market value is less than its carrying amount.

<u>Common shareholders</u> equity to total assets - Common shareholders' equity divided by total assets. Common shareholders' equity equals total shareholders' equity less the liquidation value of preferred stock.

<u>Credit derivatives</u> - Contractual agreements, primarily credit default swaps, that provide protection against a credit event of one or more referenced credits. The nature of a credit event is established by the protection buyer and protection seller at the inception of a transaction, and such events include bankruptcy, insolvency and failure to meet payment obligations when due. The buyer of the credit derivative pays a periodic fee in return for a payment by the protection seller upon the occurrence, if any, of a credit event.

<u>Credit spread</u> - The difference in yield between debt issues of similar maturity. The excess of yield attributable to credit spread is often used as a measure of relative creditworthiness, with a reduction in the credit spread reflecting an improvement in the borrower s perceived creditworthiness.

<u>Custody assets</u> - Investment assets held on behalf of clients under safekeeping arrangements. We do not include these assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Investment assets held in custody at other institutions on our behalf are included in the appropriate asset categories on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as if physically held by us.

<u>Derivatives</u> - Financial contracts whose value is derived from publicly traded securities, interest rates, currency exchange rates or market indices. Derivatives cover a wide assortment of financial contracts, including forward contracts, futures, options and swaps.

<u>Duration of equity</u> - An estimate of the rate sensitivity of our economic value of equity. A negative duration of equity is associated with asset sensitivity (*i.e.*, positioned for rising interest rates), while a positive value implies liability sensitivity (*i.e.*, positioned for declining interest rates). For example, if the duration of equity is +1.5 years, the economic value of equity declines by 1.5% for each 100 basis point increase in interest rates.

Earning assets - Assets that generate income, which include: federal funds sold; resale agreements; other short-term investments, including trading securities; loans held for sale; loans, net of unearned income; securities; and certain other assets.

<u>Economic capital</u> - Represents the amount of resources that a business segment should hold to guard against potentially large losses that could cause insolvency. It is based on a measurement of economic risk, as opposed to risk as defined by regulatory bodies. The economic capital measurement process involves converting a risk distribution to the capital that is required to support the risk, consistent with our target credit rating. As such, economic risk serves as a common currency of risk that allows us to compare different risks on a similar basis.

Effective duration - A measurement, expressed in years, that, when multiplied by a change in interest rates, would

approximate the percentage change in value of on- and off- balance sheet positions.

Efficiency - Noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income (GAAP basis) and noninterest income.

Foreign exchange contracts - Contracts that provide for the future receipt and delivery of foreign currency at previously agreed-upon terms.

<u>Funds transfer pricing</u> - A management accounting methodology designed to recognize the net interest income effects of sources and uses of funds provided by the assets and liabilities of a business segment. We assign these balances LIBOR-based funding rates at origination that represent the interest cost for us to raise/invest funds with similar maturity and repricing structures.

<u>Futures and forward contracts</u> - Contracts in which the buyer agrees to purchase and the seller agrees to deliver a specific financial instrument at a predetermined price or yield. May be settled either in cash or by delivery of the underlying financial instrument.

GAAP - Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

<u>Interest rate floors and caps</u> - Interest rate protection instruments that involve payment from the protection seller to the protection buyer of an interest differential, which represents the difference between a short-term rate (e.g., three-month LIBOR) and an agreed-upon rate (the strike rate) applied to a notional principal amount.

<u>Interest rate swap contracts</u> - Contracts that are entered into primarily as an asset/liability management strategy to reduce interest rate risk. Interest rate swap contracts are exchanges of interest rate payments, such as fixed-rate payments for floating-rate payments, based on notional principal amounts.

Intrinsic value - The amount by which the fair value of an underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of an option on that stock.

Leverage ratio - Tier 1 risk-based capital divided by adjusted average total assets.

<u>Net interest income from loans and deposits</u> - A management accounting assessment, using funds transfer pricing methodology, of the net interest contribution from loans and deposits.

Net interest margin - Annualized taxable-equivalent net interest income divided by average earning assets.

Nondiscretionary assets under administration - Assets we hold for our customers/clients in a non-discretionary, custodial

capacity. We do not include these assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Noninterest income to total revenue - Noninterest income divided by the sum of net interest income (GAAP basis) and noninterest income.

Nonperforming assets - Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans, troubled debt restructured loans, foreclosed assets and other assets. We do not accrue interest income on assets classified as nonperforming.

<u>Nonperforming loans</u> - Nonperforming loans include loans to commercial, commercial real estate, equipment lease financing, consumer, and residential mortgage customers as well as troubled debt restructured loans. Nonperforming loans do not include loans held for sale or foreclosed and other assets. We do not accrue interest income on loans classified as nonperforming.

Notional amount - A number of currency units, shares, or other units specified in a derivatives contract.

<u>Operating leverage</u> - The period to period percentage change in total revenue (GAAP basis) less the percentage change in noninterest expense. A positive percentage indicates that revenue growth exceeded expense growth (*i.e.*, positive operating leverage) while a negative percentage implies expense growth exceeded revenue growth (*i.e.*, negative operating leverage).

<u>Options</u> - Contracts that grant the purchaser, for a premium payment, the right, but not the obligation, to either purchase or sell the associated financial instrument at a set price during a period or at a specified date in the future.

<u>Recovery</u> - Cash proceeds received on a loan that we had previously charged off. We credit the amount received to the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Return on average capital - Annualized net income divided by average capital.

Return on average assets - Annualized net income divided by average assets.

Return on average common equity - Annualized net income divided by average common shareholders equity.

<u>Risk-weighted assets</u> - Primarily computed by the assignment of specific risk-weights (as defined by The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System) to assets and off-balance sheet instruments.

Securitization - The process of legally transforming financial assets into securities.

Swaptions - Contracts that grant the purchaser, for a premium payment, the right, but not the obligation, to enter into an interest rate swap agreement during a period or at a specified date in the future.

<u>Tangible common equity ratio</u> - Period-end common shareholders equity less goodwill and other intangible assets (net of eligible deferred taxes), and excluding loan servicing rights, divided by period-end assets less goodwill and other intangible assets (net of eligible deferred taxes), and excluding loan servicing rights.

<u>Taxable-equivalent interest</u> - The interest income earned on certain assets is completely or partially exempt from federal income tax. As such, these tax-exempt instruments typically yield lower returns than taxable investments. To provide more meaningful comparisons of yields and margins for all interest-earning assets, we also provide revenue on a taxable-equivalent basis by increasing the interest income earned on tax-exempt assets to make it fully equivalent to interest income earned on other taxable investments. This adjustment is not permitted under GAAP on the Consolidated Income Statement.

<u>Tier 1 risk-based capital</u> - Tier 1 risk-based capital equals: total shareholders' equity, plus trust preferred capital securities, plus certain minority interests that are held by others; less goodwill and certain other intangible assets (net of eligible deferred taxes), less equity investments in nonfinancial companies and less net unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale equity securities. Net unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale equity securities, net unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities and net unrealized holding gains (losses) on cash flow hedge derivatives are excluded from total shareholders equity for Tier 1 risk-based capital purposes.

Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio - Tier 1 risk-based capital divided by period-end risk-weighted assets.

Total fund assets serviced - Total domestic and offshore fund investment assets for which we provide related processing services. We do not include these assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

<u>Total return swap</u> - A non-traditional swap where one party agrees to pay the other the total return of a defined underlying asset (*e.g.*, a loan), usually in return for receiving a stream of LIBOR-based cash flows. The total returns of the asset, including interest and any default shortfall, are passed through to the counterparty. The counterparty is therefore assuming the credit and economic risk of the underlying asset.

<u>Total risk-based capital</u> - Tier 1 risk-based capital plus qualifying subordinated debt and trust preferred securities, other minority interest not qualified as Tier 1, and the allowance for loan and lease losses, subject to certain limitations.

Total risk-based capital ratio - Total risk-based capital divided by period-end risk-weighted assets.

Transaction deposits - The sum of money market and interest-bearing demand deposits and demand and other noninterest-bearing deposits.

<u>Value-at-risk</u> (<u>VaR</u>) - A statistically-based measure of risk which describes the amount of potential loss which may be incurred due to severe and adverse market movements. The measure is of the maximum loss which should not be exceeded on 99 out of 100 days.

<u>Yield curve</u> - A graph showing the relationship between the yields on financial instruments or market indices of the same credit quality with different maturities. For example, a normal or positive yield curve exists when long-term bonds have higher yields than short-term bonds. A flat yield curve exists when yields are the same for short-term and long-term bonds. A steep yield curve exists when yields on long-term bonds are significantly higher than on short-term bonds. An inverted or negative yield curve exists when short-term bonds have higher yields than long-term bonds.

# CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

We make statements in this Report, and we may from time to time make other statements, regarding our outlook or expectations for earnings, revenues, expenses and/or other matters regarding or affecting PNC that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as believe, expect, anticipate, intend, outlook, estimate, forecast, will, project and other similar words and expressions.

Forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, which change over time. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. We do not assume any duty and do not undertake to update our forward-looking statements. Actual results or future events could differ, possibly materially, from those that we anticipated in our forward-looking statements, and future results could differ materially from our historical performance.

Our forward-looking statements are subject to the following principal risks and uncertainties. We provide greater detail regarding some of these factors elsewhere in this Report, including in the Risk Factors and Risk Management sections. Our forward-looking statements may also be subject to other risks and uncertainties, including those discussed elsewhere in this Report or in our other filings with the SEC.

Our businesses and financial results are affected by business and economic conditions, both generally and specifically in the principal markets in which we operate. In particular, our businesses and financial results may be impacted by:

Changes in interest rates and valuations in the debt, equity and other financial markets.

Disruptions in the liquidity and other functioning of financial markets, including such disruptions in the markets for real estate and other assets commonly securing financial products.

Actions by the Federal Reserve and other government agencies, including those that impact money supply and market interest rates.

Changes in our customers, suppliers and other counterparties performance in general and their creditworthiness in particular.

Changes in customer preferences and behavior, whether as a result of changing business and economic conditions or other factors.

A continuation of recent turbulence in significant portions of the global financial markets could impact our performance, both directly by affecting our revenues and the value of our assets and liabilities and indirectly by affecting the economy generally.

Given current economic and financial market conditions, our forward-looking financial statements are subject to the risk that these conditions will be substantially different than we are currently expecting. These statements are based on our current expectations that interest rates will remain low through most of 2008 and that economic conditions, although showing slower growth than in recent years, will avoid a recession.

Our operating results are affected by our liability to provide shares of BlackRock common stock to help fund certain BlackRock long-term incentive plan (LTIP) programs, as our LTIP liability is adjusted quarterly (marked-to-market) based on changes in BlackRock s common stock price and the number of remaining committed shares, and we recognize gain or loss on such shares at such times as shares are transferred for payouts under the LTIP programs.

Legal and regulatory developments could have an impact on our ability to operate our businesses or our financial condition or results of operations or our competitive position or reputation. Reputational impacts, in turn, could affect matters such as business generation and retention, our ability to attract and retain management, liquidity, and funding. These legal and regulatory developments could include: (a) the unfavorable resolution of legal proceedings or regulatory and other governmental inquiries; (b) increased litigation risk from recent regulatory and other governmental developments; (c) the results of the regulatory examination process, our failure to satisfy the requirements of agreements with governmental agencies, and regulators future use of supervisory and enforcement tools; (d) legislative and

regulatory reforms, including changes to laws and regulations involving tax, pension, education lending, and the protection of confidential customer information; and (e) changes in accounting policies and principles.

Our business and operating results are affected by our ability to identify and effectively manage risks inherent in our businesses, including, where appropriate, through the effective use of third-party insurance, derivatives, and capital management techniques.

The adequacy of our intellectual property protection, and the extent of any costs associated with obtaining rights in intellectual property claimed by others, can impact our business and operating results.

Our ability to anticipate and respond to technological changes can have an impact on our ability to respond to customer needs and to meet competitive demands.

Our ability to implement our business initiatives and strategies could affect our financial performance over the next several years.

Competition can have an impact on customer acquisition, growth and retention, as well as on our credit spreads and product pricing, which can affect market share, deposits and revenues.

Our business and operating results can also be affected by widespread natural disasters, terrorist activities or international hostilities, either as a result of the impact on the economy and capital and other financial markets generally or on us or on our customers, suppliers or other counterparties specifically.

Also, risks and uncertainties that could affect the results anticipated in forward-looking statements or from historical performance relating to our equity interest in BlackRock, Inc. are discussed in more detail in BlackRock s filings with the SEC, including in the Risk Factors sections of BlackRock s reports. BlackRock s SEC filings are accessible on the SEC s website and on or through BlackRock s website at www.blackrock.com.

We grow our business from time to time by acquiring other financial services companies, including our pending Sterling Financial Corporation acquisition. Acquisitions in general present us with risks in addition to those presented by the nature of the business acquired. In particular, acquisitions may be substantially more expensive to complete (including as a result of costs incurred in connection with the integration of the acquired company) and the anticipated benefits (including anticipated cost savings and strategic gains) may be significantly harder or take longer to achieve than expected. In some cases, acquisitions involve our entry into new businesses

or new geographic or other markets, and these situations also present risks resulting from our inexperience in these new areas. As a regulated financial institution, our pursuit of attractive acquisition opportunities could be negatively impacted due to regulatory delays or other regulatory issues. Regulatory and/or legal issues related to the pre-acquisition operations of an acquired business may cause reputational harm to PNC following the acquisition and integration of the acquired business into ours and may result in additional future costs arising as a result of those issues.

## ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVAND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

This information is set forth in the Risk Management section of Item 7 of this Report.

# ITEM 8 FINANCIASTATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

## Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company ) at December 31, 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Responsibility for Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions. The financial statements of the Company as of December 31, 2006 and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were audited by other auditors whose report dated March 1, 2007 (February 4, 2008 as to the effects of the restatement discussed Note 1) expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania February 29, 2008

# CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT

THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

	Year e	Year ended December 31	
In millions, except per share data	2007	2006	2005
Interest Income			
Loans	\$ 4,232	\$ 3,203	\$ 2,669
Securities available for sale	1,429	1,049	822
Other	505	360	243
Total interest income	6,166	4,612	3,734
Interest Expense			
Deposits	2,053	1,590	981
Borrowed funds	1,198	777	599
Total interest expense	3,251	2,367	1,580
Net interest income	2,915	2,245	2,154
Provision for credit losses	315	124	21
Net interest income less provision for credit losses	2,600	2,121	2,133
Noninterest Income			
Asset management	784	1,420	1,443
Fund servicing	835	893	870
Service charges on deposits	348	313	273
Brokerage	278	246	225
Consumer services	414	365	293
Corporate services	713	626	485
Equity management gains	102	107	96
Net securities losses	(5)	(207)	(41)
Trading	104	183	157
Net gains (losses) related to BlackRock	(127)	2,066	
Other	344	315	372
Total noninterest income	3,790	6,327	4,173
Noninterest Expense			
Compensation	1,850	2,128	2,061
Employee benefits	290	304	332
Net occupancy	350	310	313
Equipment	311	303	296
Marketing	115	104	106
Other	1,380	1,294	1,198
Total noninterest expense	4,296	4,443	4,306
Income before minority interest and income taxes	2,094	4,005	2,000
Minority interest in income of BlackRock		47	71
Income taxes	627	1,363	604
Net income	\$ 1,467	\$ 2,595	\$ 1,325
Earnings Per Common Share	. ,		
Basic	\$ 4.43	\$ 8.89	\$ 4.63
Diluted	\$ 4.35	\$ 8.73	\$ 4.55
Average Common Shares Outstanding			
Basic	331	292	286
Diluted	335	297	290
See accompanying Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements		_/.	

See accompanying Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

		December 31		1
In millions, except par value		2007		2006
Assets				
Cash and due from banks	\$	3,567	\$	3,523
Federal funds sold and resale agreements		2,729		1,763
Other short-term investments, including trading securities		4,129		3,130
Loans held for sale		3,927		2,366
Securities available for sale		30,225		23,191
Loans, net of unearned income of \$990 and \$795		68,319		50,105
Allowance for loan and lease losses		(830)		(560)
Net loans		67,489		49,545
Goodwill		8,405		3,402
Other intangible assets		1,146		641
Equity investments		6,045		5,330
Other		11,258		8,929
Total assets	<b>\$</b> 1	38,920	\$ 1	01,820
Liabilities				
Deposits				
Noninterest-bearing		19,440		16,070
Interest-bearing		63,256		50,231
Total deposits		82,696		66,301
Borrowed funds				
Federal funds purchased		7,037		2,711
Repurchase agreements		2,737		2,051
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings		7,065		42
Bank notes and senior debt		6,821		3,633
Subordinated debt		4,506		3,962
Other		2,765		2,629
Total borrowed funds		30,931		15,028
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit		134		120
Accrued expenses		4,330		3,970
Other		4,321		4,728
Total liabilities	1	22,412		90,147
Minority and noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities		1,654		885
Shareholders Equity				
Preferred stock (a)				
Common stock - \$5 par value				
Authorized 800 shares, issued 353 shares		1,764		1,764
Capital surplus		2,618		1,651
Retained earnings		11,497		10,985
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(147)		(235)
Common stock held in treasury at cost: 12 and 60 shares		(878)		(3,377)
Total shareholders equity		14,854		10,788
Total liabilities, minority and noncontrolling interests, and shareholders equity	<b>\$</b> 1	38,920	\$ 1	01,820
(a) Less than \$.5 million at each date.				

See accompanying Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

	Shares Outstanding			Ac	cumulated Other		
	Common	Common	Capital	Retained	Comprehensive	Treasury	
					Income		
In millions	Stock	Stock	Surplus	Earnings	(Loss)	Stock	Total
Balance at January 1, 2005 (a)	283	\$ 1,764	\$ 1,214	\$ 8,273	\$ (54)	\$ (3,724)	\$ 7,473
Net income				1,325			1,325
Net unrealized securities losses					(174)		(174)
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedge					(22)		(22)
derivatives					(32)		(32)
Other					(7)		(7)
Comprehensive income							1,112
Cash dividends declared				(574)			(574)
Common Preferred				(574)			(574)
	10		61	(1)		468	(1)
Treasury stock activity	10		7			408	529 7
Tax benefit of stock option plans			30				30
Stock options granted Subsidiary stock transactions							
Restricted stock transactions			(5) (8)				(5) (8)
Balance at December 31, 2005 (a)	293	1,764	1,299	9,023	(267)	(3,256)	8,563
Net income	293	1,704	1,299	2,595	(207)	(3,230)	2,595
Net unrealized securities losses				2,393	149		149
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedge					149		149
derivatives					13		13
Additional minimum pension liability under SFAS 87					(1)		(1)
Other					3		3
Comprehensive income							2,759
Cash dividends declared							
Common				(632)			(632)
Preferred				(1)			(1)
BlackRock/MLIM transaction (b)			262				262
Treasury stock activity (c)			(12)			(121)	(133)
Tax benefit of stock option plans			29				29
Stock options granted			31				31
Effect of BlackRock equity transactions			27				27
Restricted stock/unit and incentive/performance							
unit share transactions			15		(100)		15
Net effect of adopting SFAS 158	202	1.54	1.651	10.005	(132)		(132)
Balance at December 31, 2006 (a)	293	1,764	1,651	10,985	(235)	(3,377)	10,788
Net income				1,467			1,467
Net unrealized securities losses					(76)		(76)
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives					188		188
Pension, other postretirement and							
postemployment benefit plan adjustments					(29)		(29)
Other					5		5
Comprehensive income							1,555
Cash dividends declared - common				(806)			(806)
Net effect of adopting FSP FAS 13-2				(149)			(149)

Treasury stock issued for acquisitions	56		872			3,147	4,019
Treasury stock activity - all other	(8)		(17)			(648)	(665)
Tax benefit of stock option plans			18				18
Stock options granted			28				28
Effect of BlackRock equity transactions			53				53
Restricted stock/unit and incentive/performance							
unit share transactions			13				13
Balance at December 31, 2007 (a)	341	\$ 1,764	\$ 2,618	\$ 11,497	\$ (147)	\$ (878)	\$ 14,854

(a) Our preferred stock outstanding was less than \$.5 million at each date and, therefore, is excluded from this presentation.

(b) Represents the portion of our gain on the BlackRock/MLIM transaction that was credited to capital surplus.

(c) Our net treasury stock activity in 2006 was less than .1 million shares issued.

See accompanying Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

		nded Decembe	
In millions	2007	2006	2005
Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ 1,467	\$ 2,595	\$ 1,325
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities			
Provision for credit losses	315	124	21
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	332	345	375
Deferred income taxes	78	752	1
Net securities losses	5	207	41
Valuation adjustments	24	45	(6)
Net losses (gains) related to BlackRock	127	(2,066)	
Undistributed earnings of BlackRock	(207)	(39)	
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	(15)	(29)	(4)
Loans held for sale	(1,465)	435	(680)
Other short-term investments, including trading securities	(552)	156	(613)
Other assets	37	173	315
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(498)	83	(1,326)
Other	(64)	(622)	(129)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	(416)	2,159	(680)
Investing Activities	(110)	2,107	(000)
Repayment of securities	4,374	3,667	4,261
Sales		5,007	4,201
Securities	6,056	11.102	13,304
Loans	329	1,1102	39
	329	1,110	39
Purchases	(15,884)	(15, 707)	(21.49.4)
Securities		(15,707)	(21,484)
Loans	(2,747)	(3,072)	(2,746)
Net change in		(	
Loans	(2,160)	(278)	(219)
Federal funds sold and resale agreements	(1,147)	(1,413)	1,775
Net cash received from divestitures	59		26
Net cash paid for acquisitions	(2,602)	(58)	(530)
Purchases of corporate and bank-owned life insurance	(117)	(425)	
Other	(800)	(288)	(222)
Net cash used by investing activities	(14,639)	(5,362)	(5,796)
Financing Activities			
Net change in			
Noninterest-bearing deposits	230	968	(280)
Interest-bearing deposits	1,769	4,940	3,538
Federal funds purchased	4,119	(1,417)	3,908
Repurchase agreements	(62)	359	5
Federal Home Loan Bank short-term borrowings	2,000		
Other short-term borrowed funds	514	239	(2,645)
Sales/issuances		207	(2,010)
Bank notes and senior debt	4,523	1,964	2,285
Subordinated debt	943	1,904	494
	4,750		1,000
Federal Home Loan Bank long-term borrowings Other long-term borrowed funds	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	270	641
e	250	279	
Treasury stock	253	343	220
Perpetual trust securities	490	489	
Repayments/maturities			
Bank notes and senior debt	(1,590)	(2,200)	(755)
Subordinated debt	(887)	(471)	(351)
Federal Home Loan Bank long-term borrowings	(232)	(1,124)	(510)
Other long-term borrowed funds	(217)	(26)	(49)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	15	29	4
Acquisition of treasury stock	(963)	(531)	(166)
Cash dividends paid	(806)	(633)	(575)
Net cash provided by financing activities	15,099	3,208	6,764
Net Increase In Cash And Due From Banks	44	5	288

Cash and due from banks at beginning of period	3,523	3,518	3,230
Cash and due from banks at end of period	\$ 3,567	\$ 3,523	\$ 3,518
Cash Paid For			
Interest	\$ 2,973	\$ 2,376	\$ 1,515
Income taxes	659	471	504
Non-cash Items			
Issuance of common stock for Mercantile and Yardville acquisitions	4,019		
Net increase in investment in BlackRock	180	3,179	
Transfer from loans to loans held for sale, net	288	2,280	93
Impact of FSP FAS 13-2, Accounting for a Change or Projected Change in the Timing of Cash Flows Relating to			
Income Taxes Generated by a Leveraged Lease Transaction	252		
See accompanying Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements.			

# Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

## BUSINESS

We are one of the largest diversified financial services companies in the United States based on assets, with businesses engaged in:

Retail banking, Corporate and institutional banking, Asset management, and Global fund processing services.

We provide many of our products and services nationally and others in our primary geographic markets located in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Washington, DC, Maryland, Virginia, Ohio, Kentucky, and Delaware. We also provide certain global fund processing services internationally. We are subject to intense competition from other financial services companies and are subject to regulation by various domestic and international authorities.

# **NOTE 1 ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

## **BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION**

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the parent company and its subsidiaries, most of which are wholly owned, and certain partnership interests and variable interest entities. See Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures regarding the deconsolidation of BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) from PNC s Consolidated Balance Sheet effective September 29, 2006. Our investment in BlackRock has been accounted for under the equity method of accounting since that date.

We prepared these consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (generally accepted accounting principles or GAAP). We have eliminated intercompany accounts and transactions. We have also reclassified certain prior year amounts to conform with the 2007 presentation. Other than the reclassification described below, these reclassifications did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Subsequent to the issuance of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, we determined that the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2006 should be restated. The restatement resulted from the misclassification of cash flows related to our 2006 issuance of perpetual trust securities further described in Note 3 Variable Interest Entities. The cash flows related to the issuance of these securities totaling \$489 million had previously been classified within the Operating Activities section of the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. We concluded that such cash flows should have been classified within the Financing Activities section of the Consolidated

Statement of Cash Flows and, accordingly, restated these amounts in Amendment No. 1 thereto on Form 10-K/A dated February 4, 2008. The Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows included in these Consolidated Financial Statements reflects this restatement.

#### USE OF ESTIMATES

We prepare the consolidated financial statements using financial information available at the time, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported. Actual results may differ from these estimates and the differences may be material to the consolidated financial statements.

## **BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**

We record the net assets of companies that we acquire at their estimated fair value at the date of acquisition and we include the results of operations of the acquired companies in our consolidated income statement from the date of acquisition. We recognize as goodwill the excess of the acquisition price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired.

#### SUBSIDIARY STOCK TRANSACTIONS

We recognize as income, when appropriate, any gain from the sale or issuance by subsidiaries of their stock to third parties. The gain is the difference between our basis in the stock and the increase in the book value per share of the subsidiaries equity and is recorded in noninterest income in the Consolidated Income Statement. We provide applicable taxes on the gain.

# SPECIAL PURPOSES ENTITIES

Special purpose entities are defined as legal entities structured for a particular purpose. We use special purpose entities in various legal forms to conduct normal business activities. We review the structure and activities of special purpose entities for possible consolidation under the guidance contained in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (Revised 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46R) and Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, as appropriate.

A variable interest entity (VIE) is a special purpose entity formed as a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, or any other legal structure used to conduct activities or hold assets that either:

Does not have equity investors with voting rights that can directly or indirectly make decisions about the entity s activities through those voting rights or similar rights, or

Has equity investors that do not provide sufficient equity for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support.

A VIE often holds financial assets, including loans or receivables, real estate or other property.

Based on the guidance contained in FIN 46(R), we consolidate a VIE if we are considered to be its primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary will absorb the majority of the expected losses from the VIE's activities, is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns, or both. Upon consolidation of a VIE, we recognize all of the VIE's assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests. See Note 3 Variable Interest Entities for information about VIEs that we do not consolidate but in which we hold a significant variable interest.

# **REVENUE RECOGNITION**

We earn net interest and noninterest income from various sources, including:

Lending,
Securities portfolio,
Asset management and fund servicing,
Customer deposits,
Loan servicing,
Brokerage services, and
Securities and derivatives trading activities, including foreign exchange.
We also earn revenue from selling loans, such as commercial mortgage and education loans, and securities, and we recognize income or loss from certain private equity activities.

We earn fees and commissions from:

Issuing loan commitments, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees, Selling various insurance products, Providing treasury management services, Providing merger and acquisition advisory and related services, and Participating in certain capital markets transactions. Revenue earned on interest-earning assets is recognized based on the effective yield of the financial instrument.

Asset management fees are generally based on a percentage of the fair value of the assets under management and performance fees are generally based on a percentage of the returns on such assets. Certain performance fees are earned upon attaining specified investment return thresholds and are recorded as earned. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2006, the caption asset management also includes our share of the earnings of BlackRock under the equity method of accounting.

Fund servicing fees are primarily based on a percentage of the fair value of the fund assets and the number of shareholder accounts we service.

Service charges on deposit accounts are recognized when earned. Brokerage fees and gains on the sale of securities and certain derivatives are recognized on a trade-date basis.

We record private equity income or loss based on changes in the valuation of the underlying investments or when we dispose of our interest. Dividend income from private equity investments is generally recognized when received and interest income from subordinated debt investments is recorded on an accrual basis.

We recognize revenue from loan servicing; securities and derivatives and foreign exchange trading; and securities underwriting activities as they are earned based on contractual terms, as transactions occur or as services are provided. We recognize any gains from the sale of loans upon cash settlement of the transaction.

In certain circumstances, revenue is reported net of associated expenses in accordance with GAAP.

#### CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and due from banks are considered cash and cash equivalents for financial reporting purposes.

### INVESTMENTS

We have interests in various types of investments. The accounting for these investments is dependent on a number of factors including, but not limited to, items such as:

Ownership interest, Our plans for the investment, and The nature of the investment.

# Investment in BlackRock

We deconsolidated the assets and liabilities of BlackRock from our Consolidated Balance Sheet effective September 29, 2006 and account for our investment in BlackRock under the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, our investment in BlackRock is reflected on our Consolidated Balance Sheet in the caption equity investments, while our equity in earnings of BlackRock is reported on our Consolidated Income Statement in the caption asset management.

We mark to market our obligation to transfer BlackRock shares related to certain BlackRock long-term incentive plan (LTIP) programs. As we transfer the shares for payouts under such LTIP programs, we recognize a gain or loss on those shares. The impact of those transactions is shown on a net basis on our Consolidated Income Statement in net gains (losses) related to BlackRock. Our obligation to transfer BlackRock shares related to the LTIP programs and the resulting accounting are described in more detail in Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures.

### **Private Equity Investments**

We report private equity investments, which include direct investments in companies, interests in limited partnerships, and affiliated partnership interests, at estimated fair values. These estimates are based on available information and may

not necessarily represent amounts that we will ultimately realize through distribution, sale or liquidation of the investments. The valuation procedures applied to direct investments include techniques such as multiples of adjusted earnings of the entities, independent appraisals of the entity or the pricing used to value the entity in a recent financing transaction. We value affiliated partnership interests based on the underlying investments of the partnership using procedures consistent with those applied to direct investments. We generally value limited partnership investments based on the financial statements we receive from the general partner. We include all private equity investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheet in the caption equity investments. Changes in the fair value of these assets are recognized in noninterest income.

We consolidate private equity investments when we are the general partner in a limited partnership and have determined that we have control of the partnership. The portion we do not own is reflected in the caption minority and noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

# Equity Securities and Partnership Interests

We account for equity investments other than BlackRock and private equity investments under one of the following methods:

Marketable equity securities are recorded on a trade-date basis and are accounted for based on the securities quoted market prices from a national securities exchange. Dividend income on these securities is recognized in net interest income. Those purchased with the intention of recognizing short-term profits are classified as trading and included in other short-term investments. Both realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading securities are included in noninterest income. Marketable equity securities not classified as trading are designated as securities available for sale with unrealized gains and losses, net of income taxes, reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any unrealized losses that we have determined to be other-than-temporary on securities classified as available for sale are recognized in current period earnings.

For investments in limited partnerships, limited liability companies and other investments that are not required to be consolidated, we use either the cost method or the equity method of accounting. We use the cost method for investments in which we are not considered to have influence over the operations of the investee and when cost appropriately reflects our economic interest in the underlying investment. We use the equity method for all other general and limited partner ownership interests and limited liability company investments. Under the cost method, there is no change to the cost basis unless there is an other-than-temporary decline in value. If the decline is determined to be other than temporary, we write down the cost basis of the investment to a new cost basis that represents realizable value. The amount of the write-down is accounted for as a loss included in noninterest income. Distributions received from income on cost method investments are included in interest income or loss of the investee in noninterest income. Investments described above are included in the caption equity investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## **Debt Securities**

Debt securities are recorded on a trade-date basis. We classify debt securities as held to maturity and carry them at amortized cost if we have the positive intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Debt securities that we purchase for short-term appreciation or other trading purposes are carried at market value and classified as short-term investments. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading securities are included in noninterest income. Interest income related to trading securities totaled \$116 million in 2007, \$62 million in 2006 and \$60 million in 2005 and is included in Other interest income in the Consolidated Income Statement.

Debt securities not classified as held to maturity or trading are designated as securities available for sale and carried at market value with unrealized gains and losses, net of income taxes, reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). We review all debt securities that are in an unrealized loss position for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis. Declines in the market value of available for sale debt securities that are deemed other-than-temporary are recognized as a securities loss included in noninterest income in the period in which the determination is made.

We include all interest on debt securities, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts using the interest method, in net interest income. We compute gains and losses realized on the sale of debt securities available for sale on a specific security basis and include them in noninterest income.

# LOANS AND LEASES

Except as described below, loans held for investment are stated at the principal amounts outstanding, net of unearned income, unamortized deferred fees and costs on originated loans, and premiums or discounts on loans purchased. Interest on performing loans is accrued based on the principal amount outstanding and recorded in interest income as earned using the interest method. Loan origination fees, direct loan origination costs, and loan premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized to net interest income, over periods not exceeding the contractual life of the loan.

Certain loans are accounted for at fair value in accordance with SFAS 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140, with changes in the fair value reported in trading revenue. The fair value of these loans was \$149 million, or less than .5% of the total loan portfolio, at December 31, 2007.

In addition to originating loans, we also acquire loans through portfolio purchases or business acquisitions. For certain acquired loans that have experienced a deterioration of credit quality prior to our acquisition, we follow the guidance contained in AICPA Statement of Position 03-3, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer (SOP 03-3). Under SOP 03-3, the excess of the cash flows expected to be collected over the purchase price of the loan at acquisition is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan. Any valuation allowance for these loans reflect only those losses incurred after acquisition. The carrying value of loans accounted for under SOP 03-3 at December 31, 2007 was \$43 million, or less than .5% of the total loan portfolio, and related to our October 26, 2007 acquisition of Yardville National Bancorp (Yardville).

We also provide financing for various types of equipment, aircraft, energy and power systems, and rolling stock through a variety of lease arrangements. Direct financing leases are carried at the aggregate of lease payments plus estimated residual value of the leased property, less unearned income. Leveraged leases, a form of financing lease, are carried net of nonrecourse debt. We recognize income over the term of the lease using the interest method. Lease residual values are reviewed for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis. Gains or losses on the sale of leased assets are included in other noninterest income while valuation adjustments on lease residuals are included in other noninterest expense.

## LOAN SALES, SECURITIZATIONS AND RETAINED INTERESTS

We recognize the sale of loans or other financial assets when the transferred assets are legally isolated from our creditors and the appropriate accounting criteria are met. We also sell mortgage and other loans through secondary market securitizations. In certain cases, we may retain a portion or all of the securities issued, interest-only strips, one or more subordinated tranches, servicing rights and, in some cases, cash reserve accounts, all of which are considered retained interests in the transferred assets.

When loans are redesignated from held for investment to held for sale, specific reserves and allocated pooled reserves included in the allowance for loan and lease losses are charged-off and reduce the basis of the loans. Gains or losses recognized on the sale of the loans depend on the allocation of carrying value between the loans sold and the retained interests, based on their relative fair market values at the date of sale. We generally estimate fair value based on the present

value of future expected cash flows using assumptions as to discount rates, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit losses and servicing costs, if applicable. Gains or losses on loan sales transactions are reported in noninterest income.

Our loan sales and securitizations are generally structured without recourse to us and with no restrictions on the retained interests with the exception of Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) loan sales.

In connection with our acquisition of ARCS Commercial Mortgage Co., L.P. (ARCS) in July 2007, we also originate, sell and service mortgage loans under the Fannie Mae Delegated Underwriting and Servicing (DUS) program. Under the provisions of the DUS program, PNC participates in a loss-sharing arrangement with Fannie Mae. Refer to Note 24 Commitments and Guarantees for more information about our obligations related to sales of loans under the DUS program.

When we are obligated for loss-sharing or recourse in a sale, our policy is to record such liabilities at fair value upon closing of the transaction based on the guidance contained in FIN 45, Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, or as a contingent liability recognized at inception of the guarantee under SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.

As of January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. SFAS 156 was issued in March 2006 and requires all newly recognized servicing rights and obligations to be initially measured at fair value. For subsequent measurement of the asset or obligation, the standard permits the election of either the amortization method or the fair value measurement method by class of recognized servicing rights and obligations. For servicing rights and obligations related to commercial loans and commercial mortgages, we have elected the amortization method. This method requires the amortization of the servicing assets or liabilities in proportion to and over the periods of estimated net servicing income or net servicing loss. For servicing rights or obligations related to residential mortgage loans, we have elected the fair value method, with changes in the value of the right or obligation reflected in noninterest income.

Each quarter, we analyze our servicing assets carried at amortized cost for impairment by categorizing the pools of assets underlying the servicing rights into various stratum. If the carrying amount of a specific asset category exceeds its fair value, a valuation allowance is recorded and reduces noninterest income.

In securitization transactions, we classify securities retained as debt securities available for sale or other assets, depending on the form of the retained interest. Retained interests that are subject to prepayment risk are reviewed on a quarterly basis

for impairment. If the fair value of the retained interests is below its carrying amount and the decline is determined to be other-than-temporary, then the decline is reflected in noninterest income.

# LOANS AND COMMITMENTS HELD FOR SALE

We designate loans and related loan commitments as held for sale when we have a positive intent to sell them. We transfer loans and commitments to the loans held for sale category at the lower of cost or fair market value. At the time of transfer, related write-downs on the loans and commitments are recorded as charge-offs or as a reduction in the liability for unfunded commitments. We establish a new cost basis upon transfer and recognize any subsequent lower of cost or market adjustment as a valuation allowance with charges included in noninterest income. Gains or losses on the actual sale of these loans and commitments are included in noninterest income when realized.

We apply the lower of cost or fair market value analysis on pools of commercial mortgage loans and commitments on a net aggregate basis. For other loans held for sale and commitments, we do this analysis on an individual loan and commitment basis.

Interest income with respect to loans held for sale classified as performing is accrued based on the principal amount outstanding.

In certain circumstances, loans and commitments designated as held for sale may be later transferred back to the loan portfolio based on a change in strategy to retain the credit relationship with those customers. We transfer these loans and commitments to the portfolio at the lower of cost or fair market value.

### NONPERFORMING ASSETS

Nonperforming assets include:

Nonaccrual loans, Troubled debt restructurings, and Foreclosed assets.

Measurement of delinquency and past due status are based on the contractual terms of each loan.

Other than consumer loans, we generally classify loans as nonaccrual when we determine that the collection of interest or principal is doubtful or when a default of interest or principal has existed for 90 days or more and the loans are not well-secured or in the process of collection. When the accrual of interest is discontinued, any accrued but uncollected interest previously included in income is reversed. We charge off these loans based on the facts and circumstances of the individual loan.

Consumer loans well-secured by residential real estate, including home equity installment loans and lines of credit,

are classified as nonaccrual at 12 months past due. These loans are considered well secured if the fair market value of the property, less 15% to cover potential foreclosure expenses, is greater than or equal to the principal balance including any superior liens. A fair market value assessment of the property is initiated when the loan becomes 80 to 90 days past due. The procedures for foreclosure of these loans is consistent with our general foreclosure process discussed below. The classification of consumer loans well-secured by residential real estate as nonaccrual loans at 12 months past due is consistent with Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) guidelines for consumer loans. We charge off these loans based on the facts and circumstances of the individual loan.

Consumer loans in the process of collection but not well-secured are classified as nonaccrual at 120 days past due if they are home equity installment loans and at 180 days past due if they are home equity lines of credit. These loans are recorded at the lower of cost or market value, less liquidation costs and the unsecured portion of these loans is generally charged off in accordance with FFIEC guidelines for consumer loans. At this time, the remaining portion of the loan is also placed on nonaccrual.

A loan is categorized as a troubled debt restructuring if a significant concession is granted due to deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower.

Nonperforming loans are generally not returned to performing status until the obligation is brought current and the borrower has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time and collection of the contractual principal and interest is no longer doubtful. Nonaccrual commercial and commercial real estate loans and troubled debt restructurings are designated as impaired loans. We recognize interest collected on these loans on the cost recovery method.

Foreclosed assets are comprised of any asset seized or property acquired through a foreclosure proceeding or acceptance of a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. Depending on various state statutes, legal proceedings are initiated on or about the 65<sup>th</sup> day of delinquency. If no other remedies arise from the legal proceedings, the final outcome will result in the sheriff s sale of the property. When PNC acquires the deed, the transfer of loans to other real estate owned (OREO) will be completed. These assets are recorded on the date acquired at the lower of the related loan balance or market value of the collateral less estimated disposition costs. We estimate market values primarily based on appraisals, when available, or quoted market prices on liquid assets. Subsequently, foreclosed assets are valued at the lower of the amount recorded at acquisition date or the current market value less estimated disposition costs. Valuation adjustments on these assets and gains or losses realized from disposition of such property are reflected in noninterest expense.

## Allowance For Loan And Lease Losses

We maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at a level that we believe to be adequate to absorb estimated probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Our determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on periodic evaluations of the loan and lease portfolios and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates, all of which may be susceptible to significant change, including, among others:

Probability of default, Loss given default, Exposure at date of default, Amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, Value of collateral, Historical loss exposure, and

Amounts for changes in economic conditions and potential estimation or judgmental imprecision. In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses, we make specific allocations to impaired loans, allocations to pools of watchlist and non-watchlist loans, and allocations to consumer and residential mortgage loans. We also allocate reserves to provide coverage for probable losses not covered in specific, pool and consumer reserve methodologies related to qualitative and quantitative factors. While allocations are made to specific loans and pools of loans, the total reserve is available for all credit losses.

Specific allocations are made to significant individual impaired loans and are determined in accordance with SFAS 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, with impairment measured based on the present value of the loan s expected cash flows, the loan s observable market price or the fair value of the loan s collateral. We establish a specific allowance on all other impaired loans based on their loss given default credit risk rating.

Allocations to loan pools are developed by business segment and products with estimated losses based on probability of default and loss given default risk ratings by using historical loss trends and our judgment concerning those trends and other relevant factors. These factors may include, among others:

Actual versus estimated losses,

Regional and national economic conditions, and

Business segment and portfolio concentrations.

Loss factors are based on industry and/or internal experience and may be adjusted for significant factors that, based on our judgment, impact the collectibility of the portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Consumer and residential mortgage loan allocations are made at a total portfolio level based on historical loss experience adjusted for portfolio activity.

While our pool reserve methodologies strive to reflect all risk factors, there continues to be a certain element of uncertainty

associated with, but not limited to, potential imprecision in the estimation process due to the inherent time lag of obtaining information. We provide additional reserves that are designed to provide coverage for losses attributable to such risks. In addition, these reserves include factors which may not be directly measured in the determination of specific or pooled reserves. These factors include:

Credit quality trends, Recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio, Ability and depth of lending management, and Changes in risk selection and underwriting standards. ALLOWANCE FOR UNFUNDED LOAN COMMITMENTS AND LETTERS OF CREDIT

We maintain the allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit at a level we believe is adequate to absorb estimated probable losses related to these unfunded credit facilities. We determine the adequacy of the allowance based on periodic evaluations of the unfunded credit facilities including an assessment of the probability of commitment usage, credit risk factors for loans outstanding to these same customers, and the terms and expiration dates of the unfunded credit facilities. The allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit is recorded as a liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Net adjustments to the allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit are included in the provision for credit losses.

### COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

We provide servicing under various commercial, loan servicing contracts. These contracts are either purchased in the open market or retained as part of a commercial mortgage loan securitization or loan sale. Prior to January 1, 2006, purchased contracts were recorded at cost and the

servicing rights retained from the sale or securitization of loans were recorded based on their relative fair value to all of the assets securitized or sold. As a result of the adoption of SFAS 156, beginning January 1, 2006 all newly acquired servicing rights are initially measured at fair value. Fair value is based on the present value of the expected future cash flows, including assumptions as to:

Interest rates for escrow and deposit balance earnings, Discount rates, Estimated interest rates, and Estimated servicing costs.

For subsequent measurements of our servicing rights, we have elected to account for our commercial mortgage loan servicing rights as a class of assets and use the amortization method. This election was made based on the unique characteristics of the commercial mortgage loans underlying these servicing rights with regard to market inputs used in determining fair value and how we manage the risks inherent in the commercial mortgage servicing rights assets. Specific risk characteristics of commercial mortgages include loan type,

currency or exchange rate, interest rates and expected cash flows. We record these servicing assets as other intangible assets and amortize them over their estimated lives based on estimated net servicing income or loss. On a quarterly basis, we test the assets for impairment. If the estimated fair value of the assets is less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized and a valuation reserve is established. Servicing fees are recognized as they are earned and are reported net of amortization expense in noninterest income.

# FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of financial instruments and the methods and assumptions used in estimating fair value amounts are detailed in Note 16 Fair Value of Financial Instruments.

# GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

We test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at least annually, or when events or changes in circumstances indicate the assets might be impaired. Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized to expense using accelerated or straight-line methods over their respective estimated useful lives. We review finite-lived intangible assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset s carrying amount may not be recoverable from undiscounted future cash flows or it may exceed its fair value.

## **DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION**

For financial reporting purposes, we depreciate premises and equipment net of salvage value principally using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives.

We use estimated useful lives for furniture and equipment ranging from one to 10 years, and depreciate buildings over an estimated useful life of up to 40 years. We amortize leasehold improvements over their estimated useful lives of up to 15 years or the respective lease terms, whichever is shorter.

We purchase, as well as internally develop and customize, certain software to enhance or perform internal business functions. Software development costs incurred in the planning and post-development project stages are charged to noninterest expense. Costs associated with designing software configuration and interfaces, installation, coding programs and testing systems are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method over periods ranging from one to seven years.

## **REPURCHASE AND RESALE AGREEMENTS**

Repurchase and resale agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried at the amounts at which the securities will be subsequently reacquired or resold, including accrued interest, as specified in the respective agreements. Our policy is to take possession of securities purchased under agreements to resell. We monitor the market value of securities to be repurchased and resold and additional collateral may be obtained where considered appropriate to protect against credit exposure.

## **OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

Other comprehensive income consists, on an after-tax basis, primarily of unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale and derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, and changes in pension, postretirement and postemployment liability adjustments. Details of each component are included in Note 14 Other Comprehensive Income.

# TREASURY STOCK

We record common stock purchased for treasury at cost. At the date of subsequent reissue, the treasury stock account is reduced by the cost of such stock on the first-in, first-out basis.

## DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

We use a variety of financial derivatives as part of our overall asset and liability risk management process to manage interest rate, market and credit risk inherent in our business activities. We use substantially all such instruments to manage risk related to changes in interest rates. Interest rate and total return swaps, interest rate caps and floors and futures contracts are the primary instruments we use for interest rate risk management.

Financial derivatives involve, to varying degrees, interest rate, market and credit risk. We manage these risks as part of our asset and liability management process and through credit policies and procedures. We seek to minimize counterparty credit risk by entering into transactions with only high-quality institutions, establishing credit limits, and generally requiring bilateral netting and collateral agreements.

We recognize all derivative instruments at fair value as either other assets or other liabilities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship. For derivatives not designated as an accounting hedge, the gain or loss is recognized in noninterest income.

For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as accounting hedges, we must designate the hedging instrument, based on the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge or a cash flow hedge. We have no derivatives that hedge the net investment in a foreign operation.

We formally document the relationship between the hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the risk management objective and strategy, before undertaking an accounting hedge. To qualify for hedge accounting, the derivatives and related hedged items must be designated as a hedge at inception of the hedge relationship. For accounting hedge relationships, we formally assess, both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, if the derivatives are highly effective in offsetting designated changes in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item. If it is determined that the derivative instrument is not highly effective, hedge accounting is discontinued.

For derivatives that are designated as fair value hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset or a liability attributable to a particular risk), changes in the fair value of the hedging instrument are recognized in earnings and offset by recognizing changes in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk. To the extent the hedge is not highly effective, the changes in fair value will not offset and the difference or ineffectiveness is reflected in the same financial statement category in the income statement as the hedged item.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows), the effective portions of the gain or loss on derivatives are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and subsequently reclassified to interest income in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The change in fair value of any ineffective portion of the hedging derivative is recognized immediately in noninterest income.

We discontinue hedge accounting when it is determined that the derivative is no longer qualifying as an effective hedge; the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; or the derivative is de-designated as a fair value or cash flow hedge or it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur by the end of the originally specified time period. If we determine that the derivative no longer qualifies as a fair value or cash flow hedge and hedge accounting is discontinued, the derivative will continue to be recorded on the balance sheet at its fair value with changes in fair value included in current earnings. For a discontinued fair value hedge, the previously hedged item is no longer adjusted for changes in fair value and changes of the fair value.

When hedge accounting is discontinued because it is no longer probable that a forecasted transaction will occur, the derivative will continue to be recorded on the balance sheet at its fair value with changes in fair value included in current earnings, and the gains and losses in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) will be recognized immediately into earnings. When we discontinue hedge accounting because the hedging instrument is sold, terminated or no longer designated, the amount reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) up to the date of sale, termination or de-designation continues to be reported in other comprehensive income or loss until the forecasted transaction affects earnings. We did not terminate any cash flow hedges in 2007, 2006 or 2005 due to a determination that a forecasted transaction was no longer probable of occurring.

We occasionally purchase or originate financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative. At the inception of the transaction, we assess if economic characteristics of the embedded derivative are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the financial instrument (host contract), whether the financial instrument that embodied both

the embedded derivative and the host contract are measured at fair value with changes in fair value reported in earnings, and whether a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded instrument would not meet the definition of a derivative. If the embedded derivative does not meet these three conditions, the embedded derivative would qualify as a derivative and be recorded apart from the host contract and carried at fair value with changes recorded in current earnings. On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 155, which, among other provisions, permits a fair value election for hybrid financial instruments requiring bifurcation on an instrument-by-instrument basis. Beginning January 1, 2006, we elected to account for certain previously bifurcated hybrid instruments and certain newly acquired hybrid instruments under this fair value election on an instrument-by-instrument basis. As such, certain previously reported embedded derivatives are reported with their host contracts at fair value in loans or other borrowed funds.

We enter into commitments to make loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is set prior to funding (interest rate lock commitments). We also enter into commitments to purchase or sell commercial mortgage loans. Both interest rate lock commitments and commitments to buy or sell mortgage loans are accounted for as free-standing derivatives. Interest rate lock commitments and purchase commitments that are considered to be derivatives are recorded at fair value in other assets or other liabilities. Any gain or loss from the change in fair value after the inception of the commitment is recognized in noninterest income.

# INCOME TAXES

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that we expect will apply at the time when we believe the differences will reverse.

# EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

We calculate basic earnings per common share by dividing net income adjusted for preferred stock dividends declared by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding.

Diluted earnings per common share are based on net income available to common stockholders. We increase the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding by the assumed conversion of outstanding convertible preferred stock and debentures from the beginning of the year or date of issuance, if later, and the number of shares of common stock that would be issued assuming the exercise of stock options and

the issuance of incentive shares using the treasury stock method. These adjustments to the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding are made only when such adjustments will dilute earnings per common share.

## STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We did not recognize stock-based employee compensation expense related to stock options granted before 2003 as permitted under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB 25).

Effective January 1, 2003, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure, prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2003. We did not restate results for prior years upon our adoption of SFAS 123. Since we adopted SFAS 123 prospectively, the cost related to stock-based employee compensation included in net income for 2005 was less than what we would have recognized if we had applied the fair value based method to all awards since the original effective date of the standard.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R Share-Based Payment, which replaced SFAS 123 and superseded APB 25. SFAS 123R requires compensation cost related to share-based payments to employees to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair value. We adopted SFAS 123R effective January 1, 2006, using the modified prospective method of transition, which required the provisions of SFAS 123R be applied to new awards and awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after the effective date. It also required changes in the timing of expense recognition for awards granted to retirement-eligible employees and clarified the accounting for the tax effects of stock awards. The adoption of SFAS 123R did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The following table shows the effect on 2005 net income and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123, as amended, to all outstanding and unvested awards.

## Pro Forma Net Income And Earnings Per Share (a)

In millions, except for per share data	2005
Net income	\$ 1,325
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	54
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards, net of	
related tax effects	(60)
Pro forma net income	\$ 1,319
Earnings per share	
Basic-as reported	\$ 4.63
Basic-pro forma	4.60
Diluted-as reported	\$ 4.55
Diluted-pro forma	4.52

(a) There were no differences between the GAAP basis and pro forma basis of reporting 2006 net income and related per share amounts.

See Note 18 Stock-Based Compensation Plans for additional information.

#### **RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 141(R), Business Combinations. This statement will require all businesses acquired to be measured at the fair value of the consideration paid as opposed to the cost-based provisions of SFAS 141. It will require an entity to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. SFAS 141(R) requires the value of consideration paid including any future contingent consideration to be measured at fair value at the closing date of the transaction. Also, restructuring costs and acquisition costs are to be expensed rather than included in the cost of the acquisition. This guidance is effective for all acquisitions with closing dates after January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 160, Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51. This statement amends ARB No. 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. This statement requires expanded disclosures that identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent s owners and the interests of the noncontrolling owners of an entity. This guidance is effective January 1, 2009. We are currently analyzing the standard but do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2007, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 109, that provides guidance regarding measuring the fair value of recorded written loan commitments. The guidance indicates that the expected future cash flows related to servicing should be included in the fair value measurement of all written loan commitments that are accounted for at fair value through earnings. SAB 109 is effective January 1, 2008, prospectively to loan commitments issued or modified after that date. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

In June 2007, the AICPA issued Statement of Position 07-1, Clarification of the Scope of the Audit and Accounting Guide Investment Companies and Accounting by Parent Companies and Equity Method Investors for Investments in Investment Companies (SOP 07-1). This statement provides guidance for determining whether an entity is within the scope of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Investment Companies* (Guide) and whether the specialized industry accounting principles of the Guide should be retained in the financial statements of a parent company of an investment company or an equity method investor in an

investment company. The FASB issued a final FSP in February 2008 which indefinitely delays the effective date of AICPA SOP 07-1.

In May 2007, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. (FSP) FIN 46(R) 7, Application of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) to Investment Companies. This guidance amends paragraph 4(e) of FIN 46(R) to provide a scope exception from the consolidation provisions for investments accounted for at fair value in accordance with the specialized accounting guidance of the Guide referenced above. This guidance will be effective for PNC upon adoption of SOP 07-1.

In May 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 48-1, Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48. This FSP amended FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, to provide guidance as to the determination of whether a tax position is deemed effectively settled for purposes of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits under FIN 48. This guidance was adopted effective January 1, 2007 in connection with our adoption of FIN 48. See Note 19 Income Taxes for additional information.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. This statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The fair value option may be applied on an instrument by instrument basis with a few exceptions. The election is irrevocable and must be applied to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments. We adopted SFAS 159 and elected to fair value certain loans held for sale and other financial instruments to align the accounting treatment for these instruments with their related hedges. The adoption did not have a material effect on retained earnings at January 1, 2008.

The Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) of the FASB issued EITF Issue 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements, which is effective January 1, 2008. This EITF provides guidance for an employer to recognize a liability for future premium payments on behalf of the employee or retiree benefits in accordance with Statement 106 or Opinion 12 based on the substantive agreement with the employee. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on retained earnings at January 1, 2008 and is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

During 2006, the FASB issued the following:

SFAS 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). This statement affects the accounting and reporting for our qualified pension plan, our nonqualified retirement plans, our postretirement

welfare benefit plans and our post employment benefit plan. SFAS 158 required recognition on the balance sheet of the over- or underfunded position of these plans as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the related benefit obligations previously recognized on the balance sheet. The difference, net of tax, was recorded as part of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss ( AOCI ) within the shareholders equity section of the balance sheet. This guidance also required the recognition of any unrecognized actuarial gains and losses and unrecognized prior service costs to AOCI, net of tax. SFAS 158 was effective for PNC as of December 31, 2006, with no restatement for prior year-end reporting periods permitted. The impact of adoption of SFAS 158 at December 31, 2006 was a reduction of AOCI of \$132 million after tax.

SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement applies whenever other accounting standards require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value; it does not expand the use of fair value to new accounting transactions and does not apply to pronouncements that address share-based payment transactions. As required, we will adopt SFAS 157 prospectively beginning January 1, 2008. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on retained earnings at January 1, 2008.

FIN 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements and sets forth recognition, derecognition and measurement criteria for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax filing. For PNC, this guidance was effective for all tax positions taken or expected to be taken beginning on January 1, 2007. See Note 19 Income Taxes for additional information.

FSP FAS 13-2, Accounting for a Change or Projected Change in the Timing of Cash Flows Relating to Income Taxes Generated by a Leveraged Lease Transaction, requires a recalculation of the timing of income recognition for a leveraged lease under SFAS 13,

Accounting for Leases, when a change in the timing of income tax deductions directly related to the leveraged lease transaction occurs or is projected to occur. Any tax positions taken regarding the leveraged lease transaction must be recognized and measured in accordance with FIN 48 described above. This guidance was effective for PNC beginning January 1, 2007 with the cumulative effect of applying the provisions of this FSP being recognized through an adjustment to opening retained earnings. Any immediate or future reductions in earnings from the change in accounting would be

recovered in subsequent years. Our adoption of the guidance in FSP FAS 13-2 resulted in an after-tax charge to beginning retained earnings at January 1, 2007 of approximately \$149 million.

## NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

### <u>2007</u>

#### Pending Sale of Hilliard Lyons

On November 16, 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L.Lyons, Inc. (Hilliard Lyons), a Louisville, Kentucky-based wholly-owned subsidiary of PNC and a full-service brokerage and financial services provider, to Houchens Industries, Inc. The transaction is expected to be completed in the first half of 2008 subject to regulatory and certain other required approvals.

#### Albridge Solutions Inc.

On December 7, 2007, we acquired Albridge Solutions Inc. ( Albridge ), a Lawrenceville, New Jersey-based provider of portfolio accounting and enterprise wealth management services. Albridge provides financial advisors with consolidated client account information from hundreds of data sources, and its enterprise approach to providing a unified client view and performance reporting helps advisors build their client and asset base. Albridge will extend PFPC s capabilities into the delivery of knowledge-based information services through its relationships with financial institutions and financial advisors.

### Coates Analytics, LP

Also on December 7, 2007, we acquired Coates Analytics, LP ( Coates Analytics ), a Chadds Ford, Pennsylvania-based provider of web-based analytic tools that help asset managers identify wholesaler territories and financial advisor targets, promote products in the marketplace and strengthen competitive intelligence. Coates Analytics will complement PFPC s business strategy as it currently serves six of the 10 largest broker/dealers and provides market data to more than 40 of the leading asset management and fund companies.

#### Yardville National Bancorp

On October 26, 2007 we acquired Hamilton, New Jersey-based Yardville. Yardville shareholders received in the aggregate approximately 3.4 million shares of PNC common stock and \$156 million in cash. Total consideration paid was approximately \$399 million in stock and cash. Yardville s subsidiary bank, Yardville National Bank, is a commercial and consumer bank and at closing had approximately \$2.6 billion in assets and \$2.0 billion in deposits.

#### **Sterling Financial Corporation**

On July 19, 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement with Lancaster, Pennsylvania-based Sterling Financial Corporation (Sterling) for PNC to acquire Sterling for approximately 4.5 million shares of PNC common stock and \$224 million in cash. Based upon PNC s closing common stock price on July 17, 2007, the consideration represents \$565 million in

stock and cash or approximately \$19.00 per Sterling share. The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2008 and is subject to customary closing conditions, including the approval of Sterling s shareholders.

### ARCS Commercial Mortgage Co., L.P.

On July 2, 2007, we acquired ARCS, a Calabasas Hills, California-based lender with 10 origination offices in the United States. ARCS has been a leading originator and servicer of agency multifamily loans for the past decade.

#### Mercantile Bankshares Corporation

Effective March 2, 2007, we acquired Mercantile Bankshares Corporation (Mercantile). Mercantile shareholders received .4184 shares of PNC common stock and \$16.45 in cash for each share of Mercantile, or in the aggregate approximately 53 million shares of PNC common stock and \$2.1 billion in cash. Total consideration paid was approximately \$5.9 billion.

Our acquisition of Mercantile added approximately \$21 billion of assets to our Consolidated Balance Sheet, including \$12.4 billion of loans, \$4.4 billion of goodwill and \$3.0 billion of available for sale and trading securities. Loans added with this acquisition included \$4.8 billion of commercial real estate, \$4.9 billion of commercial, \$1.1 billion of residential mortgage and \$1.6 billion of consumer loans. In addition, we added

\$12.5 billion of deposits and \$2.1 billion of borrowed funds in connection with this acquisition. Our Consolidated Income Statement includes the impact of Mercantile subsequent to our March 2, 2007 acquisition.

## <u>2006</u>

## BlackRock/MLIM Transaction

On September 29, 2006, Merrill Lynch contributed its investment management business ( MLIM ) to BlackRock in exchange for 65 million shares of newly issued BlackRock common and preferred stock. BlackRock accounted for the MLIM transaction under the purchase method of accounting.

Immediately following the closing, PNC continued to own 44 million shares of BlackRock common stock representing an ownership interest of 34% of the combined company (as compared with 69% immediately prior to the closing). Although PNC's share ownership percentage declined, PNC s investment in BlackRock increased due to the increase in total equity recorded by BlackRock as a result of the MLIM transaction.

Upon the closing of the BlackRock/MLIM transaction, the carrying value of our investment in BlackRock increased by \$3.1 billion to \$3.8 billion, primarily reflecting PNC's portion of the increase in BlackRock s equity resulting from the value of shares issued in the transaction.

We also recorded a liability at September 30, 2006 for deferred taxes of \$.9 billion, related to the excess of the book value over the tax basis of our investment in BlackRock, and a liability of

\$.6 billion related to our obligation to provide shares of BlackRock common stock to help fund certain BlackRock long-term incentive plan ("LTIP") programs. Beginning with fourth quarter 2006, we recognize gain or loss each quarter-end on our remaining liability to provide shares of BlackRock common stock to help fund certain BlackRock LTIP programs as that liability is marked to market based on changes in BlackRock s common stock price. We recognized a pretax gain of \$82 million in the first quarter of 2007 from the transfer of BlackRock shares for certain payouts under one of these programs. We will also continue to recognize gains or losses on the future transfer of shares for payouts under such LTIP programs.

The overall balance sheet impact of the BlackRock/MLIM transaction was an increase to our shareholders equity of \$1.6 billion. The increase to equity was comprised of an after-tax gain of \$1.3 billion, net of the expense associated with the LTIP liability and the deferred taxes, and an after-tax increase to capital surplus of \$.3 billion. The recognition of the gain is consistent with our existing accounting policy for the sale or issuance by subsidiaries of their stock to third parties. The gain represents the difference between our basis in BlackRock stock prior to the BlackRock/MLIM transaction and the new book value per share and resulting increase in value of our investment realized from the transaction. The direct increase to capital surplus rather than inclusion in the gain resulted from the accounting treatment required due to existing BlackRock repurchase commitments or programs.

For 2005 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, our Consolidated Income Statement included our former 69% 71% ownership interest in BlackRock s net income through the closing date. However, beginning September 30, 2006, our Consolidated Balance Sheet no longer reflected the consolidation of BlackRock s balance sheet but recognized our ownership interest in BlackRock as an investment accounted for under the equity method. This accounting has resulted in a reduction in certain revenue and noninterest expense categories on PNC's Consolidated Income Statement as our share of BlackRock s net income is now reported within asset management noninterest income.

## <u>2005</u>

### **Riggs National Corporation**

We acquired Riggs National Corporation (Riggs), a Washington, D.C. based banking company, effective May 13, 2005. Under the terms of the agreement, Riggs merged into The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. and PNC Bank, National Association (PNC Bank, N.A.) acquired substantially all of the assets of Riggs Bank, National Association, the principal banking subsidiary of Riggs. The acquisition gave us a substantial presence on which to build a market leading franchise in the affluent Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. In connection with the acquisition, Riggs shareholders received an aggregate of approximately \$297 million in cash and 6.6 million shares of PNC common stock valued at \$356 million.

### Harris Williams & Co.

On October 11, 2005, we acquired Harris Williams & Co., one of the nation s largest firms focused on providing mergers and acquisitions advisory and related services to middle market companies, including private equity firms and private and public companies.

## NOTE 3 VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

We are involved with various entities in the normal course of business that may be deemed to be VIEs. We consolidated certain VIEs as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 for which we were determined to be the primary beneficiary.

We hold significant variable interests in VIEs that have not been consolidated because we are not considered the primary beneficiary. Information on these VIEs follows:

### Non-Consolidated VIEs Significant Variable Interests

			PNC Risk
	Aggregate	Aggregate	
In millions	Assets	Liabilities	of Loss
December 31, 2007			
Market Street	\$ 5,304	\$ 5,330	<b>\$ 9,019(a)</b>
Collateralized debt obligations	255	177	6

Partnership interests in low income housing projects	50	34	8
Total	\$ 5,609	\$ 5,541	\$ 9,033
December 31, 2006			
Market Street	\$ 4,020	\$ 4,020	\$ 6,117 <sub>(a)</sub>
Collateralized debt obligations	815	570	22
Partnership interests in			
low income housing projects	33	30	8
Total	\$ 4,868	\$ 4,620	\$ 6,147

(a) PNC s risk of loss consists of off-balance sheet liquidity commitments to Market Street of \$8.8 billion and other credit enhancements of \$.2 billion at December 31, 2007. The comparable amounts at December 31, 2006 were \$5.6 billion and \$.6 billion, respectively.

Market Street Funding LLC (Market Street) is a multi-seller asset-backed commercial paper conduit that is owned by an independent third party. Market Street s activities primarily involve purchasing assets or making loans secured by interests in pools of receivables from US corporations that desire access to the commercial paper market. Market Street funds the purchases of assets or loans by issuing commercial paper which has been rated A1/P1 by Standard & Poor s and Moody s, respectively, and is supported by pool-specific credit enhancements, liquidity facilities and program-level credit enhancement. Generally, Market Street mitigates its potential interest rate risk by entering into agreements with its borrowers that reflect interest rates based upon its weighted average commercial paper cost of funds. During 2007 and 2006, Market Street met all of its funding needs through the issuance of commercial paper.

Market Street

PNC Bank, N.A. provides certain administrative services, a portion of the program-level credit enhancement and 99% of liquidity facilities to Market Street in exchange for fees negotiated based on market rates. PNC recognized program administrator fees and commitments fees related to PNC s portion of the liquidity facilities of \$12.6 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Neither creditors nor investors in Market Street have any recourse to our general credit. The commercial paper obligations at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were effectively collateralized by Market Street s assets. While PNC may be obligated to fund under liquidity facilities for events such as commercial paper market disruptions, borrower bankruptcies, collateral deficiencies or covenant violations, our credit risk under the liquidity facilities is secondary to the risk of first loss provided by the borrower or another third party in the form of deal-specific credit enhancement for example, by the over collateralization of the assets. Deal-specific credit enhancement that supports the commercial paper issued by Market Street is generally structured to cover a multiple of expected losses for the pool of assets and is sized to generally meet rating agency standards for comparably structured transactions. Of the \$8.8 billion of liquidity facilities provided by PNC at December 31, 2007, only \$2.8 billion required PNC to fund if the assets are in default.

Program-level credit enhancement in the amount of 10% of commitments, excluding explicitly rated AAA/Aaa facilities, is provided by PNC and a monoline insurer. PNC provides 25% of the enhancement in the form of a cash collateral account funded by a loan facility. This facility expires on March 23, 2012. See Note 5 Loans, Commitments To Extend Credit and Concentrations of Credit Risk and Note 24 Commitments and Guarantees for additional information. The monoline insurer provides the remaining 75% of the enhancement in the form of a surety bond. The cash collateral account is subordinate to the surety bond.

Market Street was restructured as a limited liability company in October 2005 and entered into a Subordinated Note Purchase Agreement (Note) with an unrelated third party. The Note provides first loss coverage whereby the investor absorbs losses up to the amount of the Note, which was \$8.6 million as of December 31, 2007. Proceeds from the issuance of the Note are held by Market Street in a first loss reserve account that will be used to reimburse any losses incurred by Market Street, PNC Bank, N.A. or other providers under the liquidity facilities and the credit enhancement arrangements.

As a result of the Note issuance, we reevaluated the design of Market Street, its capital structure and relationships among the variable interest holders under the provisions of FIN 46R. Based on this analysis, we determined that we were no longer the primary beneficiary as defined by FIN 46R and deconsolidated Market Street from our Consolidated Balance Sheet effective October 17, 2005.

PNC considers changes to the variable interest holders (such as new expected loss note investors and changes to program- level credit enhancement providers), terms of expected loss notes, and new types of risks (such as foreign currency or interest rate) in Market Street as reconsideration events. PNC reviews the activities of Market Street on at least a quarterly basis to determine if a reconsideration event has occurred.

The aggregate assets and liabilities of VIEs that we have consolidated in our financial statements are as follows:

### Consolidated VIEs PNC Is Primary Beneficiary

In millions	-	ggregate Assets	•	gregate
Partnership interests in low income housing projects				
December 31, 2007	\$	1,110	\$	1,110
December 31, 2006	\$	834	\$	834
Low Income Housing Projects				

We make certain equity investments in various limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital, to facilitate the sale of additional affordable housing product offerings and to assist us in achieving goals associated with the Community Reinvestment Act. The primary activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development and operation of multi-family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants. Generally, these types of investments are funded through a combination of debt and equity, with equity typically comprising 30% to 60% of the total project capital.

We consolidated those LIHTC investments in which we own a majority of the limited partnership interests and are deemed to be the primary beneficiary. We also consolidated entities in which we, as a national syndicator of affordable housing equity, serve as the general partner

(together with the aforementioned investments, the LIHTC investments ), and no other entity owns a majority of the limited partnership interests. In these syndication transactions, we create funds in which our subsidiary is the general partner and sells limited partnership interests to third parties, and in some cases may also purchase a limited partnership interest in the fund. The fund s limited partners can generally remove the general partner without cause at any time. The purpose of this business is to generate income from the syndication of these funds and to generate servicing fees by managing the funds. General partner activities include selecting, evaluating, structuring, negotiating, and closing the fund investments in operating limited partnerships, as well as oversight of the ongoing operations of the fund portfolio. The assets are primarily included in Equity Investments on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Neither creditors nor equity investors in the LIHTC investments have any recourse to our general credit. The consolidated aggregate assets and debt of these LIHTC

investments are provided in the Consolidated VIEs PNC Is Primary Beneficiary table and reflected in the Other business segment.

We have a significant variable interest in certain other limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects. We do not own a majority of the limited partnership interests in these entities and are not the primary beneficiary. We use the equity method to account for our investment in these entities. Information regarding these partnership interests is reflected in the Non-Consolidated VIEs Significant Variable Interests table.

#### PERPETUAL TRUST SECURITIES

We issue certain hybrid capital vehicles that qualify as capital for regulatory ratios and rating agency purposes.

In December 2006, one of our indirect subsidiaries, PNC REIT Corp., sold \$500 million of 6.517% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Exchangeable Perpetual Trust Securities (the Trust Securities ) of PNC Preferred Funding Trust I (Trust I) in a private placement. PNC REIT Corp. had previously acquired the Trust Securities from the trust in exchange for an equivalent amount of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Securities (the LLC Preferred Securities ), of PNC Preferred Funding LLC, (the LLC ), held by PNC REIT Corp. The LLC s initial material assets consist of indirect interests in mortgages and mortgage-related assets previously owned by PNC REIT Corp.

In March 2007, PNC Preferred Funding LLC sold \$500 million of 6.113% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Exchangeable Perpetual Trust Securities of PNC Preferred Funding Trust II ( Trust II ) in a private placement. In connection with the private placement, Trust II acquired \$500 million of LLC Preferred Securities.

PNC REIT Corp. owns 100% of the LLC s common voting securities. As a result, the LLC is an indirect subsidiary of PNC and is consolidated on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Trust I and Trust II s investment in the LLC Preferred Securities is characterized as a minority interest on our Consolidated Balance Sheet since we are not the primary beneficiary of Trust I or Trust II. This minority interest totaled approximately \$980 million at December 31, 2007.

PNC Bank, N.A. has contractually committed to Trust I that if full dividends are not paid in a dividend period on the Trust Securities, LLC Preferred Securities or any other parity equity securities issued by the LLC, neither PNC Bank, N.A. nor its subsidiaries will declare or pay dividends or other distributions with respect to, or redeem, purchase or acquire or make a liquidation payment with respect to, any of its equity capital securities during the next succeeding period (other than to holders of the LLC Preferred Securities and any parity equity securities issued by the LLC) except: (i) in the case of dividends payable to subsidiaries of PNC Bank, N.A., to PNC Bank, N.A. or another wholly-owned subsidiary of PNC Bank, N.A. or (ii) in the case of dividends payable to persons that are not subsidiaries of PNC Bank, N.A., to such persons only if, (A) in the case of a cash dividend, PNC has first irrevocably committed to contribute amounts at least equal to such cash dividend or (B) in the case of in-kind dividends payable by PNC REIT Corp., PNC has committed to purchase such in-kind dividend from the applicable PNC REIT Corp. holders in exchange for a cash payment representing the market value of such in-kind dividend, and PNC has committed to contribute such in-kind dividend to PNC Bank, N.A.

PNC has contractually committed to Trust II that if full dividends are not paid in a dividend period on the Trust II Securities, or the LLC Preferred Securities held by Trust II, PNC will not declare or pay dividends with respect to, or redeem, purchase or acquire, any of its equity capital securities during the next succeeding dividend period, other than: (i) purchases, redemptions or other acquisitions of shares of capital stock of PNC in connection with any employment contract, benefit plan or other similar arrangement with or for the benefit of employees, officers, directors or consultants, (ii) purchases of shares of common stock of PNC pursuant to a contractually binding requirement to buy stock existing prior to the commencement of the extension period, including under a contractually binding stock repurchase plan, (iii) any dividend in connection with the implementation of a shareholders rights plan, or the redemption or repurchase of any rights under any such plan, (iv) as a result of an exchange or conversion of any class or series of PNC s capital stock for any other class or series of PNC s capital stock, (v) the purchase of fractional interests in shares of PNC capital stock pursuant to the conversion or exchange provisions of such stock or the security being converted or exchanged or (vi) any stock dividends paid by PNC where the dividend stock is the same stock as that on which the dividend is being paid.

# **NOTE 4 SECURITIES**

	Amortized	Unre	ealized	Fair
In millions	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
December 31, 2007				
Securities Available For Sale				
Debt securities				
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	\$151	\$4		\$155
Residential mortgage-backed	21,147	118	\$(313)	20,952
Commercial mortgage-backed	5,227	53	(16)	5,264
Asset-backed	2,878	4	(112)	2,770
State and municipal	340	1	(5)	336
Other debt	85		(1)	84
Total debt securities	29,828	180	(447)	29,561
Corporate stocks and other	662	2		664
Total securities available for sale	\$30,490	\$182	\$(447)	\$30,225
December 31, 2006				
SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE Debt securities U.S. Treasury and government agencies	\$611		\$(3)	\$608
		\$20		1
Residential mortgage-backed	17,325	\$39	(156)	17,208
Commercial mortgage-backed	3,231	13	(25)	3,219
Asset-backed	1,615	3	(9)	1,609
State and municipal	140	1	(2)	139
Other debt	90	5(	(3)	87
Total debt securities	23,012	56	(198)	22,870
Corporate stocks and other	\$22 222	1 \$57	(1) ¢(100)	\$22,101
Total securities available for sale	\$23,333	\$57	\$(199)	\$23,191
December 31, 2005 SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE				
Debt securities				
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	\$3,816		\$(72)	\$3,744
Residential mortgage-backed	13,794	\$1	(251)	13,544
Commercial mortgage-backed	1,955	1	(37)	1,919
Asset-backed	1,073		(10)	1,063
State and municipal	159	1	(2)	158
Other debt	87		(1)	86
Total debt securities	20,884	3	(373)	20,514
Corporate stocks and other	196	c	(270)	196
Total securities available for sale	\$21,080	\$3	\$(373)	\$20,710
We evaluate our securities available for sale portfolio in light of changing market conditions and other	. ,			. ,
intended to improve our overall positioning			p, (	

intended to improve our overall positioning.

The fair value of securities available for sale generally decreases when interest rates increase and vice versa. Net unrealized gains and losses in the securities available for sale portfolio are included in shareholders equity as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, net of tax.

The expected weighted-average life of securities available for sale was 3 years and 6 months at December 31, 2007, 3 years and 8 months at December 31, 2006, and 4 years and 1 month at December 31, 2005.

The following table presents unrealized loss and fair value of securities at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 for which an other-than-temporary impairment has not been recognized. These securities are segregated between investments that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months and twelve months or more.

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	In millions		l loss position	Unrealized	l loss position		
Securities available for saleDebt securitiesU.S. Treasury and government agencies\$ 53Residential mortgage-backed\$ (157) $(3)$ 365 $(13)$ 769 $(16)$ 1,134Asset-backed $(87)$ $(15)$ 6,55 $(11)$ 2,174State and municipal $(4)$ $(1)$ 40 $(3)$ 3(1) $(4)$ 79 $(1)$ 40 $(3)$ 3(1) $(4)$ 79 $(1)$ 40 $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(4)$ 79 $(1)$ 40 $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(252)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(252)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(1)$ $(3)$ $(25)$ $(3)$ $(25)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $(3)$ $(3)$ $(22)$ $(3)$ $($	December 31, 2007			12 mont	ths or more	Т	Total
Debt securitiesU.S. Treasury and government agencies\$ 53\$ 53Residential mortgage-backed\$ (157) $6,994$ \$ (156)\$ 5,065\$ (313)12,059Commercial mortgage-backed(3)365(13)769(16)1,134Asset-backed(87)1,519(25)655(112)2,174State and municipal(4)79(1)82(5)161Other debt(1)403(1)43Total\$ (252)\$ 9,050\$ (195)\$ 6,574\$ (447)\$ 15,624December 31, 2006SecuritiesU.S. Treasury and government agencies\$ 15\$ (3)\$ 302\$ (3)\$ 317Residential mortgage-backed\$ (8)2,717(148) $6,925$ (156)9,642Commercial mortgage-backed\$ (8)2,717(148) $6,925$ (156)9,642Commercial mortgage-backed\$ (1)414(8)649(9)1,063State and municipal16(2)88(2)104Other debt65(3)59(3)124		Unrealized Loss	Fair Vallmerea	lized Loss	Fair Vallimereal	ized Loss	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury and government agencies\$ 53\$ 53Residential mortgage-backed $\$(157)$ $6,994$ $\$(156)$ $\$$ $5,065$ $\$(313)$ $12,059$ Commercial mortgage-backed(3) $365$ (13) $769$ (16) $1,134$ Asset-backed(87) $1,519$ (25) $655$ (112) $2,174$ State and municipal(4) $79$ (1) $82$ (5)161Other debt(1) $40$ $3$ (1) $43$ Total $\$(252)$ $\$$ $9,050$ $\$(195)$ $\$$ $6,574$ $\$(447)$ $\$$ Debt securities $5$ $302$ $\$$ $317$ $769$ $302$ $\$$ $317$ Residential mortgage-backed $\$$ $\$$ $2,717$ (148) $6,925$ (156) $9,642$ Commercial mortgage-backed $\$$ $\$$ $2,717$ (148) $6,925$ (156) $9,642$ Commercial mortgage-backed(1) $414$ (8) $649$ (9) $1,063$ State and municipal(1) $414$ (8) $649$ (9) $1,063$ State and municipal16(2) $88$ (2)104Other debt $65$ (3) $59$ (3) $124$	Securities available for sale						
Residential mortgage-backed       \$ (157)       6,994       \$ (156)       \$ 5,065       \$ (313)       12,059         Commercial mortgage-backed       (3)       365       (13)       769       (16)       1,134         Asset-backed       (87)       1,519       (25)       655       (112)       2,174         State and municipal       (4)       79       (1)       82       (5)       161         Other debt       (1)       40       3       (1)       43         Total       \$ (252)       \$ 9,050       \$ (195)       \$ 6,574       \$ (447)       \$ 15,624         December 31, 2006       \$       \$       15       \$ (3)       \$ 302       \$ (3)       \$ 317         Residential mortgage-backed       \$ (8)       2,717       (148)       6,925       (156)       9,642         Commercial mortgage-backed       \$ (3)       \$ 222       778       (25)       1,702         Asset-backed       (1)       414       (8)       649       (9)       1,063         State and municipal       16       (2)       88       (2)       104         Other debt       65       (3)       59       (3)       124	Debt securities						
Commercial mortgage-backed(3)365(13)769(16)1,134Asset-backed(87)1,519(25)655(112)2,174State and municipal(4)79(1)82(5)161Other debt(1)403(1)43Total\$ (252)\$ 9,050\$ (195)\$ 6,574\$ (447)\$ 15,624December 31, 2006\$\$\$15\$ (3)\$ 302\$ (3)\$ 317Securities\$15\$ (3)\$ 302\$ (3)\$ 317Residential mortgage-backed\$ (8)2,717(148)6,925(156)9,642Commercial mortgage-backed(3)924(22)778(25)1,702Asset-backed(1)414(8)649(9)1,063State and municipal16(2)88(2)104Other debt655(3)59(3)124	U.S. Treasury and government agencies		\$ 53				\$ 53
Asset-backed(87)1,519(25)655(112)2,174State and municipal(4)79(1)82(5)161Other debt(1)403(1)43Total\$ (252)\$ 9,050\$ (195)\$ 6,574\$ (447)\$ 15,624December 31, 2006\$\$9,050\$ (195)\$ 6,574\$ (447)\$ 15,624Securities available for sale\$15\$ (3)\$ 302\$ (3)\$ 317Residential mortgage-backed\$ (8)2,717(148)6,925(156)9,642Commercial mortgage-backed(3)924(22)778(25)1,702Asset-backed(1)414(8)649(9)1,063State and municipal16(2)88(2)104Other debt65(3)59(3)124	Residential mortgage-backed	\$ (157)	6,994	\$ (156)	\$ 5,065	\$ (313)	12,059
State and municipal       (4)       79       (1)       82       (5)       161         Other debt       (1)       40       3       (1)       43         Total       \$ (252)       \$ 9,050       \$ (195)       \$ 6,574       \$ (447)       \$ 15,624         December 31, 2006       \$       \$       9,050       \$ (195)       \$ 6,574       \$ (447)       \$ 15,624         December 31, 2006       \$       \$       15       \$ (3)       \$ 302       \$ (3)       \$ 317         Debt securities       \$       15       \$ (3)       \$ 302       \$ (3)       \$ 317         Residential mortgage-backed       \$ (8)       2,717       (148)       6,925       (156)       9,642         Commercial mortgage-backed       \$ (3)       924       (22)       778       (25)       1,702         Asset-backed       (1)       414       (8)       649       (9)       1,063         State and municipal       16       (2)       88       (2)       104         Other debt       65       (3)       59       (3)       124	Commercial mortgage-backed	(3)	365	(13)	769	(16)	1,134
Other debt       (1)       40       3       (1)       43         Total       \$ (252)       \$ 9,050       \$ (195)       \$ 6,574       \$ (447)       \$ 15,624         December 31, 2006       Securities available for sale       5       15       \$ (3)       \$ 302       \$ (3)       \$ 317         Dets securities       V.S. Treasury and government agencies       \$ 15       \$ (3)       \$ 302       \$ (3)       \$ 317         Residential mortgage-backed       \$ (8)       2,717       (148)       6,925       (156)       9,642         Commercial mortgage-backed       \$ (3)       924       (22)       778       (25)       1,702         Asset-backed       (1)       414       (8)       649       (9)       1,063         State and municipal       16       (2)       88       (2)       104         Other debt       65       (3)       59       (3)       124	Asset-backed	(87)	1,519	(25)	655	(112)	2,174
Total       \$ (252)       \$ 9,050       \$ (195)       \$ 6,574       \$ (447)       \$ 15,624         December 31, 2006       Securities available for sale       Securities available for sale       Securities available for sale       Securities       Secu	State and municipal	(4)	79	(1)	82	(5)	161
December 31, 2006         Securities available for sale         Debt securities         U.S. Treasury and government agencies       \$ 15       \$ (3)       \$ 302       \$ (3)       \$ 317         Residential mortgage-backed       \$ (8)       2,717       (148)       6,925       (156)       9,642         Commercial mortgage-backed       (3)       924       (22)       778       (25)       1,702         Asset-backed       (1)       414       (8)       649       (9)       1,063         State and municipal       16       (2)       88       (2)       104         Other debt       65       (3)       59       (3)       124	Other debt	(1)	40		-	(1)	43
Securities available for sale         Debt securities         U.S. Treasury and government agencies       \$ 15       \$ (3)       \$ 302       \$ (3)       \$ 317         Residential mortgage-backed       \$ (8)       2,717       (148)       6,925       (156)       9,642         Commercial mortgage-backed       (3)       924       (22)       778       (25)       1,702         Asset-backed       (1)       414       (8)       649       (9)       1,063         State and municipal       16       (2)       88       (2)       104         Other debt       65       (3)       59       (3)       124	Total	\$ (252)	\$ 9,050	\$ (195)	\$ 6,574	\$ (447)	\$ 15,624
Debt securities         U.S. Treasury and government agencies       \$ 15       \$ (3)       \$ 302       \$ (3)       \$ 317         Residential mortgage-backed       \$ (8)       2,717       (148)       6,925       (156)       9,642         Commercial mortgage-backed       (3)       924       (22)       778       (25)       1,702         Asset-backed       (1)       414       (8)       649       (9)       1,063         State and municipal       16       (2)       88       (2)       104         Other debt       65       (3)       59       (3)       124	December 31, 2006						
U.S. Treasury and government agencies\$ 15\$ (3)\$ 302\$ (3)\$ 317Residential mortgage-backed\$ (8)2,717(148)6,925(156)9,642Commercial mortgage-backed(3)924(22)778(25)1,702Asset-backed(1)414(8)649(9)1,063State and municipal16(2)88(2)104Other debt65(3)59(3)124	Securities available for sale						
Residential mortgage-backed\$ (8)2,717(148)6,925(156)9,642Commercial mortgage-backed(3)924(22)778(25)1,702Asset-backed(1)414(8)649(9)1,063State and municipal16(2)88(2)104Other debt65(3)59(3)124	Debt securities						
Commercial mortgage-backed(3)924(22)778(25)1,702Asset-backed(1)414(8)649(9)1,063State and municipal16(2)88(2)104Other debt65(3)59(3)124	U.S. Treasury and government agencies		\$ 15	\$ (3)	\$ 302	\$ (3)	\$ 317
Asset-backed(1)414(8)649(9)1,063State and municipal16(2)88(2)104Other debt65(3)59(3)124	Residential mortgage-backed	\$ (8)	2,717	(148)	6,925	(156)	9,642
State and municipal16(2)88(2)104Other debt $65$ (3) $59$ (3)124	Commercial mortgage-backed	(3)	924	(22)	778	(25)	1,702
Other debt         65         (3)         59         (3)         124	Asset-backed	(1)	414	(8)	649	(9)	1,063
	State and municipal		16	(2)	88	(2)	104
	Other debt		65	(3)	59	(3)	124
Total debt securities $(12)$ 4,151 $(186)$ 8,801 $(198)$ 12,952	Total debt securities	(12)	4,151	(186)	8,801	(198)	12,952
Corporate stocks and other (1) 10 (1) 10	Corporate stocks and other			(1)	10	(1)	10
Total       \$ (12)       \$ 4,151       \$ (187)       \$ 8,811       \$ (199)       \$ 12,962	Total	\$ (12)	\$ 4,151	\$ (187)	\$ 8,811	\$ (199)	\$ 12,962

We do not believe any individual unrealized loss as of December 31, 2007 represents an other-than-temporary impairment. The \$313 million unrealized losses reported for mortgage-backed securities relate primarily to securities issued by the Fannie Mae, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and private issuers. For those securities issued by private issuers, substantially all of the securities were rated AAA by at least two major ratings agencies. The \$16 million unrealized losses reported for commercial mortgage-backed securities relate primarily to fixed rate securities. The \$112 million unrealized losses associated with asset-backed securities relate primarily to securities collateralized by home equity, automobile and credit card loans. The majority of the unrealized losses associated with both mortgage and asset-backed securities are attributable to widening market credit spreads, primarily affecting the mortgage-backed and asset-backed security sectors, and not from the deterioration of credit quality.

Of those securities in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2007, 32 (19 mortgage-backed, 6 asset-backed, 5 commercial mortgage-backed, and 2 state and municipal) positions with fair value totaling \$640 million had an unrealized loss of more than 5% when compared with their amortized cost. The unrealized loss on these positions totaled \$50 million and the unrealized loss amount on any individual position did not exceed \$8 million. The mortgage-backed securities are primarily collateralized mortgage obligations where amortized cost approximates the par value of the security. These securities are all rated AAA. The asset-backed securities include six positions with an aggregate unrealized loss of \$10 million. These securities are all rated AAA with the exception of one security with amortized cost of \$4 million and an unrealized loss of \$2 million that was rated below investment grade. The aggregate unrealized loss on the commercial mortgage-backed and state and municipal securities positions was not significant.

Information relating to securities sold is set forth in the following table.

#### Securities Sold

Year ended				Net	
December 31		Gross	Gross	Gains	Tax Expense
In millions	Proceeds	Gains	Losses	(Losses)	(Benefit)
2007	\$ 6,056	\$ 20	\$ 25	\$ (5)	<b>\$</b> (2)
2006	11,102	2	209	(207)	(72)
2005	13,304	19	60	(41)	(14)

The fair value of securities pledged to secure public and trust deposits and repurchase agreements and for other purposes was \$24.2 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$10.6 billion at December 31, 2006. The increase is due primarily to an increase in securities pledged as collateral for the ability to borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland. The

pledged securities include positions held in our portfolio of securities available for sale, trading securities that are included in other short-term investments on our Consolidated Balance Sheet, and securities accepted as collateral from others that we are permitted by contract or custom to sell or repledge.

The fair value of securities accepted as collateral that we are permitted by contract or custom to sell or repledge was \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2006 and is a component of federal funds sold and resale agreements on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Of the permitted amount, \$1.5 billion was repledged to others at December 31, 2007 and \$1.3 billion was repledged to others at December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, securities accepted as collateral were obtained under resale agreements. Amounts repledged were used to cover securities sold short.

The following table presents, by remaining contractual maturity, the amortized cost, fair value and weighted-average yield of debt securities at December 31, 2007.

## **Contractual Maturity Of Debt Securities**

December 31, 2007

Dollars in millions	1 Year	or Less	 er 1 Year 5 Years	After through 1	5 Years 0 Years	After 10 Years	Total
SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE							
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	\$	60	\$ 85	\$	5	\$ 1	\$ 151
Residential mortgage-backed		2	24		386	20,735	21,147
Commercial mortgage-backed			149		77	5,001	5,227
Asset-backed		30	669		312	1,867	2,878
State and municipal		18	100		83	139	340
Other debt		6	21		13	45	85
Total debt securities available for sale	\$	116	\$ 1,048	\$	876	\$ 27,788	\$ 29,828
Fair value	\$	116	\$ 1,045	\$	883	\$ 27,517	\$ 29,561
Weighted-average yield, GAAP basis		4.66%	5.06%		5.02%	5.43%	5.41%

Based on current interest rates and expected prepayment speeds, the total weighted-average expected maturity of mortgage-backed securities was 3 years and 4 months, of commercial mortgage-backed securities was 4 years and 8 months and of asset-backed securities was 2 years and 9 months at December 31, 2007. Weighted-average yields are based on historical cost with effective yields weighted for the contractual maturity

of each security.

# NOTE 5 LOANS, COMMITMENTS TO EXTEND CREDIT AND CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Loans outstanding were as follows:

December 31 - in millions	2007	2006
Commercial	\$ 28,607	\$ 20,584
Commercial real estate	8,906	3,532
Consumer	18,326	16,515
Residential mortgage	9,557	6,337
Lease financing	3,500	3,556
Other	413	376
Total loans	69,309	50,900
Unearned income	(990)	(795)
Total loans, net of unearned income	\$ 68,319	\$ 50,105

Concentrations of credit risk exist when changes in economic, industry or geographic factors similarly affect groups of counterparties whose aggregate exposure is material in relation to our total credit exposure. Loans outstanding and related unfunded commitments are concentrated in our primary geographic markets. At December 31, 2007, no specific industry concentration exceeded 5% of total commercial loans outstanding and unfunded commitments.

In the normal course of business, we originate or purchase loan products whose contractual features, when concentrated, may increase our exposure as a holder and servicer of those loan products. Possible product terms and features that may create a concentration of credit risk would include loan products whose terms permit negative amortization, a high loan-to-value ratio, features that may expose the borrower to future increases in repayments above increases in market interest rates, below-market interest rates and interest-only loans, among others.

We originate interest-only loans to commercial borrowers. These products are standard in the financial services industry and the features of these products are considered during the underwriting process to mitigate the increased risk of this product feature that may result in borrowers not being able to make interest and principal payments when due. We do not believe that these product features create a concentration of credit risk.

We also originate home equity loans and lines of credit that result in a credit concentration of high loan-to-value ratio loan products at the time of origination. In addition, these loans are concentrated in our primary geographic markets as discussed above. At December 31, 2007, \$2.7 billion of the \$14.4 billion of home equity loans (included in Consumer in the table above) had a loan-to-value ratio greater than 90%. These loans are collateralized primarily by 1-4 family residential properties. As part of our asset and liability management activities, we also periodically purchase residential mortgage loans that are collateralized by 1-4 family residential properties. At December 31, 2007, \$3.0 billion of the \$9.6 billion of residential mortgage loans were interest-only loans.

We realized net gains from sales of commercial mortgages of \$39 million in 2007, \$55 million in 2006 and \$61 million in 2005. Gains on sales of education loans totaled \$24 million in 2007, \$33 million in 2006 and \$19 million in 2005. Loans held for sale are reported separately on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and are not included in the table above. Interest income from total loans held for sale was \$184 million for 2007, \$157 million for 2006 and \$10 million for 2007, \$157 million for 2006 and \$100 million for 2007, \$157 million for 2006 and \$100 million for 2005 million for 2007, \$157 million for 2006 and \$100 million for 2005 million for 2007, \$157 million for 2006 million for 2005 million

### Net Unfunded Credit Commitments

December 31 - in millions	2007	2006
Commercial	\$ 39,171	\$ 31,009
Consumer	10,875	10,495
Commercial real estate	2,734	2,752
Other	567	579
Total	\$ 53,347	\$ 44,835

Commitments to extend credit represent arrangements to lend funds subject to specified contractual conditions. At December 31, 2007, commercial commitments are reported net of \$8.9 billion of participations, assignments and syndications, primarily to financial services companies. The comparable amount at December 31, 2006 was \$8.3 billion. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates, may require payment of a fee, and contain termination clauses in the event the customer s credit quality deteriorates. Based on our historical experience, most commitments expire unfunded, and therefore cash requirements are substantially less than the total commitment. Consumer home equity lines of credit accounted for 80% of consumer unfunded credit commitments.

Unfunded credit commitments related to Market Street totaled \$8.8 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$5.6 billion at

December 31, 2006 and are included in the preceding table primarily within the Commercial and Consumer categories.

Note 24 Commitments and Guarantees includes information regarding standby letters of credit and bankers acceptances. At December 31, 2007, the largest industry concentration was for general medical and surgical hospitals, which accounted for approximately 5% of the total letters of credit and bankers acceptances.

At December 31, 2007, we pledged 1.6 billion of loans to the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) and 33.5 billion of loans to the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) as collateral for the contingent ability to borrow, if necessary.

Certain directors and executive officers of PNC and its subsidiaries, as well as certain affiliated companies of these directors and officers, were customers of and had loans with subsidiary banks in the ordinary course of business. All such loans were on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other customers and did not involve more than a normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. The aggregate principal amounts of these loans were \$13 million at December 31, 2007 and \$18 million at December 31, 2006. During 2007, new loans of \$48 million were funded and repayments totaled \$53 million.

# NOTE 6 ASSET QUALITY

The following table sets forth nonperforming assets and related information:

December 31 - dollars in millions	2007	2006
Nonaccrual loans		
Commercial	\$ 193	\$ 109
Commercial real estate	212	12
Consumer	17	13
Residential mortgage	10	12
Lease financing	3	1
Total nonaccrual loans	435	147
Restructured loans	2	
Total nonperforming loans	437	147
Foreclosed and other assets		
Lease financing	11	12
Residential mortgage	16	10
Other	14	2
Total foreclosed and other assets	41	24
Total nonperforming assets (a) (b)	\$ 478	\$ 171
Nonperforming loans to total loans	.64%	.29%
Nonperforming assets to total loans and foreclosed assets	.70	.34
Nonperforming assets to total assets	.34	.17
Interest on nonperforming loans		
Computed on original terms	\$ 51	\$ 15
Recognized prior to nonperforming status	32	4
Past due loans		
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 106	\$ 50
As a percentage of total loans	.16%	.10%
(a) Evaluate aquity management assate that are carried at estimated fair value of \$4 millio	an at December 21, 2007 and \$11 million (including \$4.	million of

(a) Excludes equity management assets that are carried at estimated fair value of \$4 million at December 31, 2007 and \$11 million (including \$4 million of troubled debt restructured assets) at December 31, 2006.

(b) Excludes loans held for sale carried at lower of cost or market value related to the Mercantile and Yardville acquisitions of \$25 million at December 31, 2007.

Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses were as follows:

In millions	2007	2006	2005
January 1	\$ 560	\$ 596	\$ 607
Charge-offs	(245)	(180)	(129)
Recoveries (a)	45	40	99
Net charge-offs (a)	(200)	(140)	(30)
Provision for credit losses	315	124	21
Acquired allowance (b)	152		23
Net change in allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit	3	(20)	(25)
December 31	\$ 830	\$ 560	\$ 596

(a) Amount for 2005 included the impact of a \$53 million loan recovery.

(b) Mercantile and Yardville in 2007 and Riggs in 2005.

Changes in the allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit were as follows:

Allowance at January 1	\$ 120	\$ 100	\$ 75
Acquired allowance- Mercantile	17		
Net change in allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit	(3)	20	25
December 31	\$ 134	\$ 120	\$ 100

All nonperforming loans at December 31, 2007 and 2006 are considered impaired, Under SFAS 114, which excludes leases and smaller homogeneous type loans (i.e., consumer-related loans), impaired loans amounted to \$407 million at December 31, 2007 and \$121 million at December 31, 2006 and had a corresponding specific allowance for loan and lease losses of \$124 million and \$32 million, respectively. The average balance of SFAS 114 impaired loans was \$200 million in 2007, \$147 million 2006 and \$106 million in 2005. We did not recognize any interest income on loans while they were impaired in 2007, 2006 or 2005.

## NOTE 7 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

A summary of the changes in goodwill by business segment during 2007 follows:

#### Goodwill

	Dec. 31	Additions/	Dec. 31
In millions	2006	Adjustments	2007
Retail Banking	\$ 1,466	\$ 4,162	\$ 5,628
Corporate & Institutional			
Banking	938	553	1,491
PFPC	968	261	1,229
BlackRock	30	27	57
Total	\$ 3,402	\$ 5,003	\$ 8,405

Assets and liabilities of acquired entities are recorded at estimated fair value as of the acquisition date and are subject to refinement as information relative to the fair values at that date becomes available. We are awaiting certain information relating to pre-acquisition contingencies. Revisions would likely result in subsequent adjustments to goodwill. The goodwill and other intangible assets related to Mercantile and Yardville are reported in the Retail Banking and Corporate & Institutional Banking business segments.

The gross carrying amount, accumulated amortization and net carrying amount of other intangible assets by major category consisted of the following:

#### **Other Intangible Assets**

December 31 - in millions	2007	2006
Customer-related and other intangibles		
Gross carrying amount	\$ 708	\$ 342
Accumulated amortization	(263)	(178)
Net carrying amount	\$ 445	\$ 164
Mortgage and other loan		
servicing rights		
Gross carrying amount	\$ 1,001	\$ 689
Accumulated amortization	(300)	(212)
Net carrying amount	\$ 701	\$ 477
Total	\$ 1,146	\$ 641

While most of our other intangible assets have finite lives and are amortized primarily on a straight-line basis, mortgage and other loan servicing rights and certain core deposit intangibles are amortized on an accelerated basis.

For customer-related intangibles, the estimated remaining useful lives range from less than one year to 15 years, with a weighted-average remaining useful life of approximately 9 years. Our mortgage and other loan servicing rights are amortized primarily over a period of 5 to 10 years in proportion to the estimated net servicing cash flows from the related loans.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill and net other intangible assets during 2007 follows:

### **Changes in Goodwill and Other Intangibles**

		Customer-		Se	rvicing
In millions	Goodwill	Re	elated		Rights
December 31, 2006	\$ 3,402	\$	164	\$	477
Additions/adjustments:					
Mercantile acquisition	4,363		281		9
ARCS acquisition (a)	83		1		188
Yardville acquisition	273		28		
Albridge and Coates Analytics acquisitions	261		56		
BlackRock	27				
Mortgage and other loan servicing rights					115
Other	(4)				
Amortization			(85)		(88)
December 31, 2007	\$ 8,405	\$	445	\$	701

(a) ARCS goodwill is expected to be deductible for tax purposes over 15 years.

Our investment in BlackRock changes when BlackRock repurchases its shares in the open market or issues shares for an acquisition or pursuant to its employee compensation plans. We record goodwill when BlackRock repurchases its shares at an amount greater than book value per share and this results in an increase in our percentage ownership interest.

Servicing revenue from both commercial and residential mortgage servicing assets and liabilities generated contractually specified servicing fees, net interest income from servicing portfolio deposit balances and ancillary fees totaling \$192 million and \$139 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We also generate servicing revenue from fee-based activities provided to others.

Amortization expense on intangible assets for 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$173 million, \$99 million and \$74 million, respectively. Amortization expense on existing intangible assets for 2008 through 2012 is estimated to be as follows:

2008: \$193 million, 2009: \$162 million, 2010: \$141 million, 2011: \$131 million, and 2012: \$115 million.

We conduct a goodwill impairment test on our reporting units at least annually or more frequently if any adverse triggering events occur. Based on the results of our analysis, there were no impairment charges related to goodwill recognized in 2007, 2006 or 2005. The fair value of our reporting units is determined by using discounted cash flow and market comparability methodologies.

## NOTE 8 COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE LOAN SALES AND RETAINED INTERESTS

We sold commercial mortgage loans with servicing retained of \$3.4 billion in 2007, \$3.1 billion in 2006 and \$3.4 billion in 2005 for cash in loan sales transactions. These transactions resulted in pretax net gains of \$39 million in 2007, \$55 million in 2006 and \$61 million in 2005.

For the transactions above, we continue to perform servicing and recognized servicing assets of \$26 million in 2007, \$24 million in 2006 and \$23 million in 2005. See Note 7 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets for additional information regarding servicing assets.

Changes in the commercial mortgage loan servicing assets were as follows:

#### **Commercial Mortgage Loan Servicing Assets**

In millions	2007	2006
Balance at January 1	\$ 471	\$ 344
Additions		
Purchases	284	150
Loan sales transactions	26	24
Amortization expense	(87)	(47)
Balance at December 31	\$ 694	\$ 471

We owned an interest-only strip related to education loans totaling \$59 million at December 31, 2006. This strip was retained from the sales of education loans to a third party trust prior to 2003. Loans that were held by the trust supporting the value of the strip were \$88 million at December 31, 2006. The trust related to the securitization terminated in 2007 and our retained interest was reduced to zero due to distributions from the trust.

## NOTE 9 PREMISES, EQUIPMENT AND LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS

Premises, equipment and leasehold improvements, stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization, were as follows:

December 31 - in millions	2007	2006
Land	\$ 250	\$ 187
Buildings	1,053	937
Equipment	2,029	1,771
Leasehold improvements	433	385
Total	3,765	3,280
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,764)	(1,578)
Net book value	\$ 2,001	\$ 1,702

Depreciation expense on premises, equipment and leasehold improvements totaled \$178 million in 2007, \$180 million in 2006 and \$192 million in 2005. Amortization expense, primarily for capitalized internally developed software, was \$40 million in 2007, \$44 million in 2006 and \$43 million in 2005.

We lease certain facilities and equipment under agreements expiring at various dates through the year 2066. We account for substantially all such leases as operating leases. Rental expense on such leases amounted to \$207 million in 2007, \$193 million in 2006 and \$189 million in 2005.

Required minimum annual rentals that we owe on noncancelable leases having initial or remaining terms in excess of one year totaled \$1.239 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$965 million at December 31, 2006. Minimum annual rentals for the years 2008 through 2013 and thereafter are as follows:

2008: \$172 million, 2009: \$156 million, 2010: \$140 million, 2011: \$124 million, 2012: \$109 million, and 2013 and thereafter: \$538 million.

## NOTE 10 DEPOSITS

The aggregate amount of time deposits with a denomination of \$100,000 or more was \$14.8 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$8.7 billion at December 31, 2006.

Total time deposits of \$26.4 billion at December 31, 2007 have contractual maturities for the years 2008 through 2013 and thereafter as follows:

2008: \$22.5 billion, 2009: \$1.9 billion, 2010: \$.6 billion, 2011: \$.1 billion, 2012: \$.2 billion, and 2013 and thereafter: \$1.1 billion.

## NOTE 11 BORROWED FUNDS

Bank notes at December 31, 2007 totaling \$3.2 billion have interest rates ranging from 2.75% to 10.25% with approximately \$3 billion maturing in 2008. Senior and subordinated notes consisted of the following:

December 31, 2007

Dollars in millions	Outstanding	Stated Rate	Maturity
Senior	\$ 3,592	4.20% 5.50%	2008-2014
Subordinated			
Junior	604	5.69% 10.18%	2013-2035
All other	3,902	4.63% 9.65%	2008-2017
Total subordinated	4,506		
Total senior and subordinated	\$ 8,098		

Included in outstandings for the senior and subordinated notes in the table above are basis adjustments of \$21 million and \$103 million, respectively, related to fair value accounting hedges as of December 31, 2007.

Total borrowed funds of \$30.9 billion at December 31, 2007 have scheduled or anticipated repayments for the years 2008 through 2013 and thereafter as follows:

2008: \$18.3 billion, 2009: \$3.6 billion, 2010: \$3.3 billion, 2011: \$.2 billion, 2012: \$1.1 billion, and 2013 and thereafter: \$4.4 billion.

Included in borrowed funds are FHLB borrowings of \$7.1 billion at December 31, 2007, \$6.9 billion of which are collateralized by a blanket lien on residential mortgage and other real estate-related loans and mortgage-backed and treasury securities. The remaining \$200 million are collateralized by pledged mortgage-backed and treasury securities. FHLB advances of \$2.0 billion have scheduled maturities of less than one year. The remainder of the FHLB borrowings have balances that will mature from 2009 2017, with interest rates ranging from 1.25% 5.38%.

Included in borrowed funds at December 31, 2006 were \$1 billion of Floating Rate Exchangeable Senior Notes that were issued through PNC Funding Corp, a subsidiary of PNC. These notes were redeemed on December 21, 2007 at a price equal to principal plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The \$604 million of junior subordinated debt included in the above table represents the only debt redeemable prior to maturity. The call price and related premiums are discussed in Note 12 Capital Securities of Subsidiary Trusts.

## NOTE 12 CAPITAL SECURITIES OF SUBSIDIARY TRUSTS

At December 31, 2007, the following capital securities totaling \$572 million, net of discount, represent non-voting preferred beneficial interests in the assets of PNC Capital Trusts C and D, Monroe Trusts II and III, and Yardville Capital Trusts II, III, IV, V and VI (the Trusts ). The Monroe Trusts were acquired in March 2007 as part of the Mercantile acquisition. The Yardville Capital Trusts were acquired in October 2007 as part of the STR wholly owned finance subsidiaries of PNC. In the event of certain changes or amendments to regulatory requirements or federal tax rules, the capital securities are redeemable in whole. The financial statements of the Trusts are not included in PNC s consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP.

Trust C, formed in June 1998, issued \$200 million of capital securities due June 1, 2028, bearing interest at a floating rate per annum equal to 3-month LIBOR

plus 57 basis points. The rate in effect at December 31, 2007 was 5.69%. Trust C Capital Securities are redeemable on or after June 1, 2008 at par.

Trust D, formed in December 2003, issued \$300 million of 6.125% capital securities due December 15, 2033 that are redeemable on or after December 18, 2008 at par.

Monroe Trust II, formed in July 2003, issued \$4 million of capital securities due July 31, 2033, bearing an interest rate equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 310 basis points. The rate in effect at December 31, 2007 was 8.33%. Monroe Trust II securities are redeemable on or after July 31, 2008 at par.

Monroe Trust III, formed in September 2005, issued \$8 million of capital securities due December 15, 2035 at a fixed rate of 6.253%. The fixed rate remains in effect until September 15, 2010 at which time the securities pay a floating rate of LIBOR plus 155 basis points. Monroe Trust III securities are redeemable on or after December 15, 2010.

Yardville Capital Trust II, formed in June 2000 issued \$15 million of 9.5% capital securities due June 22, 2030 that are redeemable on or after June 23, 2010 at par plus a premium of up to 4.75%.

Yardville Capital Trust III, formed in March 2001 issued \$6 million of 10.18% capital securities due June 2031 that are redeemable on or after June 8, 2011 at par plus a premium of up to 5.09%.

Yardville Capital Trust IV, formed in February 2003 issued \$15 million of capital securities due March 1, 2033, bearing an interest rate equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 340 basis points. The rate in effect at December 31, 2007 was 8.52%. Yardville Capital Trust IV securities are redeemable on or after March 1, 2008 at par.

Yardville Capital Trust V, formed in September 2003, issued \$10 million of capital securities due October 8, 2033, bearing an interest rate equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 300 basis points. The rate in effect at December 31, 2007 was 8.24%. Yardville Capital Trust V securities are redeemable on or after October 8, 2008 at par.

Yardville Capital Trust VI, formed in June 2004, issued \$15 million of capital securities due July 23, 2034, bearing an interest rate equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 270 basis points. The rate in effect at December 31, 2007 was 7.85%. Yardville Capital Trust VI securities are redeemable on or after July 23, 2009 at par.

At December 31, 2007, PNC s junior subordinated debt of \$604 million represented debentures purchased and held as assets by the Trusts.

The obligations of the respective parent of each Trust, when taken collectively, are the equivalent of a full and unconditional

guarantee of the obligations of such Trust under the terms of the Capital Securities. Such guarantee is subordinate in right of payment in the same manner as other junior subordinated debt. There are certain restrictions on PNC s overall ability to obtain funds from its subsidiaries. For additional disclosure on these funding restrictions, including an explanation of dividend and intercompany loan limitations, see Note 22 Regulatory Matters.

PNC is subject to restrictions on dividends and other provisions similar to or in some ways more restrictive than those potentially imposed under the Exchange Agreement with Trust II, as described in Note 3 Variable Interest Entities.

# NOTE 13 SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Information related to preferred stock is as follows:

				Preferred Shares			
December 31		Liquidation					
Shares in thousands	,	alue per share	2007	2006			
Authorized		•					
\$1 par value			16,985	17,012			
Issued and outstanding							
Series A	\$	40	7	7			
Series B		40	1	2			
Series C		20	128	144			
Series D		20	186	196			
Total issued and outstanding			322	349			

Series A through D are cumulative and, except for Series B, are redeemable at our option. Annual dividends on Series A, B and D preferred stock total \$1.80 per share and on Series C preferred stock total \$1.60 per share. Holders of Series A through D preferred stock are entitled to a number of votes equal to the number of full shares of common stock into which such preferred stock is convertible. Series A through D preferred stock have the following conversion privileges: (i) one share of Series A or Series B is convertible into eight shares of PNC common stock; and (ii) 2.4 shares of Series C or Series D are convertible into four shares of PNC common stock.

We have a dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan. Holders of preferred stock and PNC common stock may participate in the plan, which provides that additional shares of common stock may be purchased at market value with reinvested dividends and voluntary cash payments. Common shares issued pursuant to this plan were: 571,271 shares in 2007, 535,394 shares in 2006 and 688,665 shares in 2005.

At December 31, 2007, we had reserved approximately 72.3 million common shares to be issued in connection with certain stock plans and the conversion of certain debt and equity securities.

Effective October 4, 2007, our Board of Directors terminated the 2005 stock repurchase program and approved a new stock repurchase program to purchase up to 25 million shares of PNC common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The 2007 program will remain in effect until fully utilized or until modified, superseded or terminated. During 2007, we purchased 11 million common shares at a total cost of approximately \$800 million under the 2005 and 2007 programs. During 2006, we purchased 5 million common shares at a total cost of \$354 million under the 2005 program.

## NOTE 14 OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Details of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (in millions):

	Pretax	Tax	Af	ter-tax
Net unrealized securities gains (losses)				
Balance at January 1, 2005			\$	(66)
2005 activity				

Increase in net unrealized losses for securities held at year-end	\$ (312)	\$ 109	(203)
Less: net losses realized in net income (a)	(44)	15	(29)
Net unrealized securities losses	(268)	94	(174)
Balance at December 31, 2005			(240)
2006 activity			
Increase in net unrealized gains for securities held at year-end	129	(46)	83
Less: net losses realized in net income (a)	(101)	35	(66)
Net unrealized securities gains	230	(81)	149
Balance at December 31, 2006			(91)
2007 activity			
Increase in net unrealized losses for securities held at year-end	(134)	52	(82)
Less: net losses realized in net income (a)	(9)	3	(6)
Net unrealized securities losses	(125)	49	(76)
Balance at December 31, 2007			\$ (167)

(a) Pretax amounts represent net unrealized gains (losses) as of the prior year-end date that were realized in the subsequent year when the related securities were sold. These amounts differ from net securities losses included in the Consolidated Income Statement primarily because they do not include gains or losses realized on securities that were purchased and then sold during the same year.

	Pretax	Tax	After-tax		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedge derivatives					
Balance at January 1, 2005			\$	6	
2005 activity					
Increase in net unrealized losses on cash flow hedge derivatives	\$ (49)	\$ 17		(32)	
Less: net gains realized in net income					
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedge derivatives	(49)	17		(32)	
Balance at December 31, 2005				(26)	
2006 activity					
Increase in net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives	13	(5)		8	
Less: net gains realized in net income	(7)	2		(5)	
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives	20	(7)		13	
Balance at December 31, 2006				(13)	
2007 activity					
Increase in net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives	283	(104)		179	
Less: net gains realized in net income	(14)	5		(9)	
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives	297	(109)		188	
Balance at December 31, 2007			\$	175	
Pension, other postretirement and postemployment benefit plan adjustments					
Balance at January 1, 2005			\$	(15)	
Balance at December 31, 2005				(15)	
2006 activity					
SFAS 87 adjustment	\$ (2)	\$ 1		(1)	
SFAS 158 adjustment, net	(203)	71		(132)	
Total 2006 activity	(205)	72		(133)	
Balance at December 31, 2006				(148)	
2007 activity	(49)	20		(29)	
Balance at December 31, 2007			\$	(177)	
Other (b)					
Balance at January 1, 2005			\$	21	
2005 activity	(11)	4		(7)	
Balance at December 31, 2005				14	
<u>2006 activity</u>	6	(3)		3	
Balance at December 31, 2006				17	
2007 activity	24	(19)		5	
Balance at December 31, 2007			\$	22	

(b) Consists of interest-only strip valuation adjustments, foreign currency translation adjustments and in 2007, deferred tax adjustments on BlackRock s other comprehensive income.

The accumulated balances related to each component of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

December 31 in millions	2007	2006
Net unrealized securities gains (losses)	\$ (167)	\$ (91)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedge derivatives	175	(13)
Pension, other postretirement and post- employment benefit plan adjustments	(177)	(148)
Other	22	17
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	\$ (147)	\$ (235)
Note 15 Financial Derivatives		

We use a variety of derivative financial instruments to help manage interest rate, market and credit risk and reduce the effects that changes in interest rates may have on net income, fair value of assets and liabilities, and cash flows. These instruments include interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and floors, futures contracts, and total return swaps.

## **Fair Value Hedging Strategies**

We enter into interest rate and total return swaps, interest rate caps, floors and futures derivative contracts to hedge designated commercial mortgage loans held for sale, bank notes, Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings, senior debt and subordinated debt for changes in fair value primarily due to changes in interest rates. Adjustments related to the ineffective portion of fair value hedging instruments are recorded in interest income, interest expense or noninterest income depending on the hedged item.

#### **Cash Flow Hedging Strategies**

We enter into interest rate swap contracts to modify the interest rate characteristics of designated commercial loans from variable to fixed in order to reduce the impact of changes in future cash flows due to interest rate changes. We hedged our exposure to the variability of future cash flows for all forecasted transactions for a maximum of 10 years for hedges converting floating-rate commercial loans to fixed. The fair value of these derivatives is reported in other assets or other liabilities and offset in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the effective portion of the derivatives. We subsequently reclassify any unrealized gains or losses related to these swap contracts from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into interest income in the same period or periods during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Ineffectiveness of the strategies, if any, is recognized immediately in earnings.

During the next twelve months, we expect to reclassify to earnings \$75 million of pretax net gains, or \$49 million after-tax, on cash flow hedge derivatives currently reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). This amount could differ from amounts actually recognized due to changes in interest rates and the addition of other hedges subsequent to December 31, 2007. These net gains are anticipated to result from net cash flows on receive fixed interest rate swaps that would impact interest income recognized on the related floating rate commercial loans.

As of December 31, 2007 we have determined that there were no hedging positions where it was probable that certain forecasted transactions may not occur within the originally designated time period.

For those hedge relationships that require testing for ineffectiveness, any ineffectiveness present in the hedge relationship is recognized in current earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in value of these derivatives resulted in net losses of \$1 million for 2007 and \$4 million for 2006.

## **Free-Standing Derivatives**

To accommodate customer needs, we also enter into financial derivative transactions primarily consisting of interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and floors, futures, swaptions, and foreign exchange and equity contracts. We primarily manage our market risk exposure from customer positions through transactions with third-party dealers. The credit risk associated with derivatives executed with customers is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans and is subject to normal credit policies. We may obtain collateral based on our assessment of the customer. For derivatives not designated as an accounting hedge, the gain or loss is recognized in noninterest income.

Also included in free-standing derivatives are transactions that we enter into for risk management and proprietary purposes that are not designated as accounting hedges, primarily interest rate, basis and total rate of return swaps, interest rate caps, floors and futures contracts, credit default swaps, option and foreign exchange contracts and certain interest rate-locked loan origination commitments as well as commitments to buy or sell mortgage loans.

Basis swaps are agreements involving the exchange of payments, based on notional amounts, of two floating rate financial instruments denominated in the same currency, one pegged to one reference rate and the other tied to a second reference rate (e.g., swapping payments tied to one-month LIBOR for payments tied to three-month LIBOR). We use these contracts to mitigate the impact on earnings of exposure to a certain referenced interest rate.

We purchase credit default swaps ( CDS ) to mitigate the risk of economic loss on a portion of our loan exposure. We also sell loss protection to mitigate the net premium cost and the impact of mark-to-market accounting on the CDS in cases where we buy protection to hedge the loan portfolio and to take proprietary trading positions. The fair values of these derivatives typically are based on the change in value, due to changing credit spreads.

Interest rate lock commitments for, as well as commitments to buy or sell, mortgage loans that we intend to sell are considered free-standing derivatives. Our interest rate exposure on certain commercial mortgage interest rate lock commitments is economically hedged with pay-fixed interest rate swaps and forward sales agreements. These contracts mitigate the impact on earnings of exposure to a certain referenced rate.

Free-standing derivatives also include positions we take based on market expectations or to benefit from price differentials between financial instruments and the market based on stated risk management objectives.

### **Derivative Counterparty Credit Risk**

By purchasing and writing derivative contracts we are exposed to credit risk if the counterparties fail to perform. Our credit risk is equal to the fair value gain in the derivative contract. We minimize credit risk through credit approvals, limits, monitoring procedures and collateral requirements. We generally enter into transactions with counterparties that carry high quality credit ratings.

We enter into risk participation agreements to share some of the credit exposure with other counterparties related to interest rate derivative contracts or to take on credit exposure to generate revenue. We will make/receive payments under these guarantees if a customer defaults on its obligation to perform under certain credit agreements. Risk participation agreements entered into prior to July 1, 2003 were considered financial guarantees and therefore are not included in derivatives. Agreements entered into subsequent to June 30, 2003 are included in the derivatives table that follows. We determine that we meet our objective of reducing credit risk associated with certain counterparties to derivative contracts when the participation agreements share in their proportional credit losses of those counterparties.

We generally have established agreements with our major derivative dealer counterparties that provide for exchanges of marketable securities or cash to collateralize either party s positions. At December 31, 2007 we held short-term investments, US government securities and mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$354 million. We pledged short-term investments with a fair value of \$226 million under these agreements.

The total notional or contractual amounts, estimated net fair value and credit risk for derivatives were as follows:

	De Notional/	cembe	r 31, 200	)7		December 31, 2006 Notional/					
	Notional/					1	NOtional/				
	Contract						Contract I	Estimat	ed net		
	]	Estimat	ted net								
In millions	amount	faiı	value	Cre	edit risk		amount	fair	value	Cred	lit risk
Accounting hedges											
Fair value hedges	\$ 10,568	\$	190	\$	283	\$	4,996	\$	(1)	\$	51
Cash flow hedges	7,856		325		325		7,815		62		72
Total	\$ 18,424	\$	515	\$	608	\$	12,811	\$	61	\$	123
Free-standing derivatives											
Interest rate contracts	\$ 170,889	\$	(17)	\$	1,259	\$	101,749	\$			