IDT CORP Form 10-K October 15, 2007 Table of Contents

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### **FORM 10-K**

[ü] Annual report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the securities exchange act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2007, or

[ ] Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the securities exchange act of 1934.

Commission File Number: 1-16371

# **IDT Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware** (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation

22-3415036 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

or organization)

520 Broad Street Newark, New Jersey 07102

(Address of principal executive offices, zip code)

(973) 438-1000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Class B common stock, par value \$.01 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Common stock, par value \$.01 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE
As of October 5, 2007, the registrant had outstanding 56,043,551 shares of Class B common stock, 9,816,988 shares of Class A common stock, and 14,996,273 shares of common stock. Excluded from these numbers are 7,217,652 shares of Class B common stock and 10,078,587 shares of common stock held in treasury by IDT Corporation.
The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price on January 31, 2007 (the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter) of the Class B common stock of \$13.45 and of the common stock of \$13.93, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange, was approximately \$607 million.
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [ ] No [ü]
Large accelerated filer [ ] Accelerated filer [ü] Non-accelerated filer [ ]
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [ü]
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [ü] No [ ]
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes [ ] No [ü]
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes [ü] No [ ]

Table of Contents 2

The definitive proxy statement relating to the registrant s Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be held December 18, 2007, is incorporated by

reference into Part III of this Form 10-K to the extent described therein.

### Index

# **IDT Corporation**

# Annual Report on Form 10-K

<u>Part I</u>		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	22
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	31
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	31
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	31
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	33
Part II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	34
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	37
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	38
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks	67
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	67
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	67
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	67
Item 9B.	Other Information	68
Part III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	68
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	69
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	69
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	69
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	69
Part IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	70
	<u>Signatures</u>	72
	Index to Consolidated Financial Statement	F-1

#### Part I

As used in this Annual Report, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms the Company, IDT, we, us, and our refer to IDT Corporation, a Delaware corporation, its predecessor, International Discount Telecommunications, Corp., a New York corporation, and its subsidiaries, collectively. Each reference to a fiscal year in this Annual Report refers to the fiscal year ending in the calendar year indicated (for example, fiscal 2007 refers to the fiscal year ended July 31, 2007).

#### Item 1. Business.

#### **OVERVIEW**

We are a multinational holding company with operations that span several industries. Our principal businesses consist of:

IDT Telecom, through which we provide telecommunications services and products worldwide to retail and wholesale customers, including prepaid and rechargeable calling cards, consumer local and long distance service, prepaid wireless phone services and wholesale carrier services;

IDT Energy, which operates our Energy Services Company, or ESCO, in New York State;

IDT Carmel, our receivables portfolio management and collection businesses;

IDT Local Media, which is primarily comprised of CTM Brochure Display, our brochure distribution company, and the WMET AM radio station in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area; and

IDT Internet Mobile Group, under which we operate our Zedge websites and platform geared toward content for mobile devices, and Zedge Studios, which is focused on creating and distributing proprietary and licensed content for traditional and internet/mobile distribution.

We hold assets and operate other smaller or early-stage initiatives and operations under our IDT Capital subsidiary, including IDT Spectrum, which holds a significant number of Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, licenses for commercial fixed wireless spectrum in the United States, Ethnic Grocery Brands, our grocery distribution business, IDT Global Services, which is primarily comprised of call center operations, and certain real estate investments.

IDT began operations in 1990 offering international call re-origination services. As we grew, we shifted our focus to carrying international telecommunications traffic for other carriers at competitive rates, utilizing a least-cost-routing system, and then began providing those services to retail customers through the introduction of prepaid calling cards in 1997, and the launch of consumer long-distance services in 1993. Via Net2Phone, we introduced VoIP services in 1996.

Throughout our history, IDT has invested in a number of non-telecom businesses, including in the entertainment industry. We sold IDT Entertainment to affiliates of Liberty Media Corporation in fiscal 2007.

We conduct our business through the following reportable segments:

Prepaid Products; Consumer Phone Services; Wholesale Telecommunications Services; and IDT Energy.

Prepaid Products, Consumer Phone Services and Wholesale Telecommunications Services comprise IDT Telecom. All other operating segments that are not reportable individually are called IDT Capital.

**IDT Telecom.** IDT Telecom s business consists principally of:

our Prepaid Products segment, which sells prepaid and rechargeable calling cards and wireless services; our Consumer Phone Services segment, which sells consumer local, long distance and mobile phone services; and

1

our Wholesale Telecommunications Services segment, which sells telecommunications services to wholesale customers.

IDT Telecom, particularly the Prepaid Products segment, is facing extraordinary competitive and other pressures, which have caused a decline in its revenues and an increase in its operating and net losses. IDT Telecom s competitors continue to aggressively price their services. In addition, there has been a gradual shift in demand away from calling cards and into wireless products, which has further eroded pricing power in our calling card business. Furthermore, we believe that many of our calling card competitors do not operate in accordance with relevant regulations and do not provide their customers with all services purchased. We have commenced legal action and a lobbying campaign in an attempt to level the playing field in the calling card industry.

Our Prepaid Products segment markets and sells prepaid and rechargeable calling cards in the United States and abroad, providing telephone services to more than 230 countries and territories. Our prepaid calling cards are marketed primarily to ethnic and immigrant communities in the United States, Europe, Latin America and Asia that generate high levels of international call volume.

In the United States, our prepaid calling cards are mainly distributed to retail outlets, including local groceries, convenience stores, newsstands and gas stations, through our 51%-owned U.S. calling card distribution partnership, Union Telecard Alliance, LLC, or UTA. UTA utilizes a network of more than 1,000 sub-distributors, ranging from large companies to sole proprietors that sell to retail outlets throughout the United States. In addition to UTA s sub-distributors network, UTA is in the early stages of developing its own direct-to-retailer distribution network in order to increase revenues and margins by, among other things, driving sales with an increased brand identity. We believe that the development of UTA s direct-to-retailer distribution network may take significant time, and there is no assurance UTA can successfully or cost-effectively build such a network or that the development of this distribution network may not otherwise adversely affect our business.

Our Prepaid Products segment also markets private label retail and promotional calling cards primarily to retail chains.

We sold approximately 220 million prepaid calling cards during fiscal 2007. Our Prepaid Products segment generated \$936.7 million in revenues and had an operating loss of \$81.5 million in fiscal 2007.

Our Prepaid Products segment markets our prepaid calling cards in Europe, Latin America, Asia Pacific and Africa.

Our Prepaid Products segment also includes TúYo Mobile, the wireless unit of IDT Telecom that operates as a Mobile Virtual Network Operator, or MVNO, which markets wireless services utilizing another company s network.

Our Consumer Phone Services segment operates in the United States, and continued to operate during a portion of fiscal 2007 in parts of Europe until the business was sold. In the United States, we offer bundled local and long distance phone service in 11 states, marketed under the brand name IDT America. As of July 31, 2007, we provided such services to approximately 78,000 customers. We also provided stand-alone long distance service to approximately 207,000 customers in the United States as of July 31, 2007. Beginning in fiscal 2006, due to changes in the U.S. regulatory environment, we significantly curtailed marketing efforts for our consumer phone services business in the United States, but continue to provide services. This has resulted in a sharp decline in our revenues and customer base from this business. Our United Kingdom-based consumer phone services business, marketed under the Toucan brand name, was sold to Pipex Communications plc in early fiscal 2007. Our Netherlands consumer phone services business, also marketed under the Toucan brand name, was also sold in early fiscal 2007, resulting in our exit from the consumer phone service business in Europe. In fiscal 2007, Consumer Phone Services had revenues of \$148.8 million and an operating income of \$69.9 million, which includes the \$44.7 million gain on the sale of our Toucan business.

Our Wholesale Telecommunications Services segment carries our international telecommunications traffic and the international traffic of other telecommunications companies. This segment also acts as the sales channel for

2

all telecommunications services sold to our wholesale customers, which number approximately 620 worldwide. In fiscal 2007, Wholesale Telecommunications Services had revenues of \$645.1 million and an operating loss of \$35.2 million.

Beginning in fiscal 2007, our Prepaid Products and Wholesale Telecommunications Services segments also include our Voice over IP, or VoIP, business, which consists primarily of our Net2Phone subsidiary. Net2Phone provides VoIP communications services to resellers, consumers, cable operators and service providers globally.

In fiscal 2007, IDT Telecom s revenues represented 86.0% of our total consolidated revenues.

**IDT Energy.** IDT Energy operates our energy services company, or ESCO, which resells natural gas and electrical power to residential consumers and select small business customers throughout seven utility markets in New York State. As an ESCO, IDT Energy does not own electrical power generation, transmission or distribution facilities, or natural gas production pipeline or distribution facilities, but instead purchases natural gas through wholesale suppliers and various utility companies, and buys electricity in the wholesale market in time-specific, bulk or block quantities, usually at fixed prices. IDT Energy also manages internally all of its energy procurement from its numerous suppliers and its supply.

In fiscal 2007, IDT Energy had revenues of \$190.8 million, representing 9.5% of our total consolidated revenues, and operating income of \$11.4 million.

**IDT Capital.** IDT Capital is responsible for developing, incubating and, in some cases, operating our newer businesses, as well as overseeing certain existing non-core businesses. IDT Capital consists primarily of:

IDT Carmel, which operates our management and collection of aged receivables businesses;

IDT Local Media, which is primarily comprised of CTM Brochure Display, our brochure distribution company, and WMET 1160 AM, our Washington, D.C.-based radio station;

IDT Internet Mobile Group, under which we operate our Zedge websites and platform geared toward content for mobile devices, and Zedge Studios, which is focused on creating and distributing proprietary and licensed content for traditional and internet/mobile distribution; and

Other smaller holdings and operations including call center operations, a grocery distribution business, real estate investments, as well as IDT Spectrum, through which we hold a significant number of Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, licenses for commercial fixed wireless spectrum in the United States.

In fiscal 2007, IDT Capital had revenues of \$91.3 million, representing 4.5% of our total consolidated revenues, and an operating loss of \$53.6 million.

**Additional Information.** Financial information by segment is presented below under the heading Business Segment Information in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report.

Our main offices are located at 520 Broad Street, Newark, New Jersey 07102. The telephone number at our headquarters is (973) 438-1000 and our web site is www.idt.net.

We make available free of charge through the investor relations page of our web site (www.idt.net/ir) our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to these reports, and all beneficial ownership reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed by directors, officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our equity as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We have adopted codes of business conduct and ethics for all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. Copies of the codes of business conduct and ethics are available on our web site.

Our web site and the information contained therein or incorporated therein are not incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### **KEY EVENTS IN OUR HISTORY**

We entered the telecommunications business in 1990, providing international call re-origination service. In 1993, we began reselling the long distance services of other carriers. In 1995, we began selling access to the favorable international telephone rates we received as a result of our calling volume to other long distance carriers.

We completed an initial public offering of our common stock on March 15, 1996. Our common stock was quoted on the NASDAQ National Market until February 26, 2001, when it was listed on the New York Stock Exchange, where it now trades under the symbol IDT.C. On May 31, 2001, we distributed a stock dividend of one share of our Class B common stock for each outstanding share of our common stock, Class A common stock and Class B common stock. On June 1, 2001, our Class B common stock was listed on the New York Stock Exchange and now trades under the symbol IDT.

We entered the Internet telephony market in 1996 with our introduction, through Net2Phone, of PC2Phone, the first commercial service to connect voice calls between personal computers and telephones over the Internet.

We began marketing prepaid calling cards in January 1997.

In August 1999, Net2Phone completed an initial public offering of its common stock, and completed a follow-on offering in December 1999. In connection with the second offering, we sold 2.2 million shares of Net2Phone common stock for proceeds of \$115.0 million.

In August 2000, we completed the sale of 14.9 million shares of Net2Phone common stock to AT&T for approximately \$1.1 billion in cash.

In November 2004, we launched our retail energy business that provides natural gas and electricity to residential and select small business customers throughout New York State.

In March 2006, we consummated a merger with Net2Phone, in which we acquired all outstanding shares of Net2Phone that were not acquired by us in a tender offer. In connection with the tender offer and the merger, IDT paid a total consideration of \$97.1 million for 46.6 million shares of Net2Phone common stock.

Also, in March 2006, we sold our Russian telecom business, Corbina Telecom, to a Moscow-based consortium of private equity investors. Net proceeds for the transaction after banking and other transaction-related costs were \$129.9 million in cash.

#### RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

#### **IDT Entertainment**

In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we completed the sale of our IDT Entertainment segment to Liberty Media Corporation for (i) 14.9 million shares of our Class B common stock and Liberty Media s approximate 4.8% interest in IDT Telecom, (ii) \$229.5 million in cash, subject to certain working capital adjustments, (iii) the repayment of \$58.7 million of IDT Entertainment s intercompany indebtedness payable to IDT and (iv) the assumption of all of IDT Entertainment s existing indebtedness. We agreed to repay Liberty Media \$9.5 million for working capital adjustments, of which \$1.0 million was paid in fiscal 2007 and the remaining \$8.5 million was paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. We are also eligible to receive additional consideration from Liberty Media based upon any appreciation in the value of IDT Entertainment over the five-year period following the closing of the transaction or a shorter period under specified circumstances ( Contingent Value ), equal to 25% of the excess, if any, of the net equity value of IDT Entertainment over \$453 million. However, we would have to pay Liberty Media up to \$3.5 million if the Contingent Value does not exceed \$439 million.

#### **Toucan**

In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we sold our United Kingdom-based consumer phone services business, which we marketed under the Toucan brand name, to Pipex Communications plc in exchange for \$38.4 million in

4

cash (including the assumption of intercompany obligations owed to IDT and its subsidiaries) and 43.2 million Pipex ordinary shares which we later sold for \$7.9 million. Toucan was launched in November 2003 and marketed local, long distance, broadband and wireless communications services to customers in the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. Our Netherlands consumer phone services business, also marketed under the Toucan brand name, was sold in early fiscal 2007, resulting in our exit from the consumer phone service business in Europe.

#### **IDT Carmel**

In the second quarter of fiscal 2007, FFPM Carmel Holdings I, LLC, which is 99% owned by IDT Carmel Portfolio Management, LLC, a subsidiary of the Company s IDT Capital division, and 1% owned by First Financial Portfolio Management, Inc. (FFPM), committed to purchase 12 monthly forward flow credit card debt portfolios from a major commercial bank. The total investment of the Company will depend on the size of the portfolios provided by the selling bank, to a maximum commitment of \$125 million for the 12 monthly portfolios. As of July 31, 2007, our maximum remaining outstanding commitment was \$52 million. FFPM manages the portfolios, subject to IDT Carmel Portfolio Management s approval rights over major decisions. During fiscal 2007, (i) IDT Carmel Portfolio Management purchased debt portfolios with a face value of \$997.6 million for \$78.4 million, including \$57.3 million of credit card debt through FFPM Carmel Holdings I, LLC, and (ii) IDT Carmel s principal collections and proceeds from resale of debt portfolios totaled \$28.1 million. The carrying value of the receivables in the portfolio management business as of July 31, 2007 was \$51.1 million.

### **IDT Internet Mobile Group**

In the second quarter of fiscal 2007, we formed our Internet Mobile Group and acquired 90% of Norway-based Zedge.net, a social networking community for mobile users and provider of free mobile content, for cash of \$2.1 million and an aggregate of \$1.3 million to be paid in equal installments in December 2007 and December 2008. In addition, in December 2006 we invested \$7.0 million in Zedge preferred shares. In June 2007, the Company acquired for IDT Internet Mobile Group a controlling interest in IDW Publishing for \$2.5 million, which is net of cash acquired of \$1.6 million. IDW is an independent comics publisher pre-eminent in the horror and action genres, boasting such high-profile titles as *The Transformers*, 30 Days of Night, CSI, Star Trek, 24, and Scarface.

#### **IDT Telecom**

In the third quarter of fiscal 2007, UTA and IDT Telecom commenced a civil anti-fraud lawsuit against certain of their competitors in the U.S. pre-paid calling card market. The lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the defendants are systematically falsely selling minutes that consumers cannot obtain from the calling cards that they have purchased. The complaint alleges that the defendants deceptive practice causes consumers to lose more than one million dollars per day. To date, three of the named defendants have agreed to a settlement of the lawsuit and agreed to work to change what we deem to be unlawful and deceptive practices in the prepaid calling card industry.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we reached a settlement with respect to our previously disclosed litigation with Aerotel involving an alleged patent infringement. The settlement provides for a payment of \$15 million in cash to Aerotel, which we paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, and making available to Aerotel calling cards or PINs over time with potential termination costs of up to \$15 million, subject to certain other conditions. In connection with this settlement, we accrued an expense in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007.

#### **OTHER CHANGES**

Towards the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2006, we initiated a company-wide cost savings program to better align our infrastructure to our current business needs. As of July 31, 2007, this program resulted in the termination of approximately 880 employees. These terminations resulted in approximately \$25.0 million and \$20.0 million in severance costs in fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively. We expect to realize cost savings of approximately \$45 million to \$50 million on an annualized basis related to these terminations.

### **STRATEGY**

We intend to, where appropriate, make strategic acquisitions and dispositions with respect to our telecommunications businesses. From time to time, we evaluate potential acquisitions and disposition of companies, technologies, products and customer accounts. We intend to expand our geographic penetration of our prepaid calling cards, particularly in Latin America, Asia and Eastern Europe, as well as invest in the growth of TúYo Mobile. We have placed our consumer phone service business in harvest mode, wherein we seek to

5

retain existing customers but do not actively market to new customers, and to maximize its profits by managing costs associated with this business. We intend to expand our wholesale carrier business by launching higher-margin services, such as SMS and VoIP services. Additionally, we intend to continue expanding our direct relationships with mobile network providers, reflecting our belief that the trend of voice traffic transitioning from landline to mobile networks will continue. We also plan to leverage our existing sales channels by expanding customer relationships to include sales of our new products.

We also intend to make strategic investments and acquisitions to complement and/or expand our IDT Capital segment, which may include the purchase of businesses and/or assets in the local media and other industries, as well as additional purchases of consumer debt businesses and/or portfolios, which we are aggressively pursuing. In considering investments and acquisitions, we search for opportunities to profitably grow our existing businesses, to add qualitatively to the range of businesses in the IDT portfolio and to achieve operational synergies. In addition, we intend to seek opportunities in new businesses, particularly businesses where we believe we can achieve synergies and optimize our systems, infrastructure and operational expertise.

#### **IDT TELECOM**

Our Telecom business currently provides our customers with a variety of services, including:

prepaid debit and rechargeable calling cards; mobile wireless services; consumer phone services; and wholesale carrier services.

#### **Prepaid Product Services**

#### Prepaid Debit and Rechargeable Calling Cards

We sell prepaid debit and rechargeable calling cards under the IDT, Entrix, DSA and PT-1 brand names, providing telephone access to more than 230 countries and territories. We also sell select cards under the Net2Phone brand name, including the Net2Phone Direct and PennyTalk calling cards. We sell more than 1,000 different prepaid calling cards in the United States, and more than 350 different cards abroad, with specific cards featuring favorable rates to specific international destinations.

Our prepaid calling cards are marketed primarily to the ethnic and immigrant communities in the United States, Europe, Asia and Latin America that tend to generate high levels of international volume. Specifically, a large portion of our U.S. calling cards are purchased by the Hispanic community resulting in a significant proportion (76% in fiscal 2007, 72.1% in fiscal 2006 and 69.2% in fiscal 2005) of our international prepaid calling card minutes being terminated in Latin America.

Our prepaid calling card business has traditionally been strongest in the northeastern United States because of UTA s extensive local distribution network and of our competitive rates to countries that immigrants in the northeastern United States tend to call, such as Colombia, Mexico and the Dominican Republic. In fiscal 2007, prepaid calling card sales in the northeastern United States were approximately 36% of our total U.S. prepaid calling card sales, as compared to 27.9% in fiscal 2006 and 31.1% in fiscal 2005. The fluctuations are based on the expansion of our operation in areas of the United States outside of the Northeast and the disparate impact of competition, including the unfair competition discussed elsewhere in the report, on operation in different areas.

We primarily market our prepaid calling cards to retail outlets in the United States through UTA, a joint venture which is owned 51% by us and 49% by the Gomez Family Trust. UTA utilizes a network of more than 1,000 sub-distributors that sell to retail outlets throughout most of the United States. UTA develops marketing and distribution strategies for our prepaid calling card products, including card design, pricing and market expansion opportunities. UTA generated \$569.5 million in revenues from its sale of IDT calling cards, representing 97.8% of UTA s total revenues, in fiscal 2007.

In addition to UTA s network of sub-distributors, UTA is in the early stages of developing its own direct-to-retailers distribution network in an attempt to increase revenues and margins by, among other things,

6

driving sales with an increased brand identity. We believe that the development of UTA s direct-to retailer distribution network may take significant time, and there is no assurance UTA can successfully or cost-effectively build such a network or that the development of this distribution network may not otherwise adversely affect our business.

We also sell prepaid calling cards in Europe, Latin America and Asia, as discussed in detail in the International Operations section below. Although calling card revenue is comparatively low in Latin America and Asia, we believe that these will continue to be growth areas for our calling card business.

Our Prepaid Products segment markets a variety of prepaid calling cards, including:

Customized (Private Label) Retail Calling Cards. We market these prepaid calling cards to major national retailers, who sell them primarily in high-traffic stores. We print these prepaid calling cards with the retailer s name and logo and provide them to the retailer, who in turn sells the cards to its customers.

IDT-Branded Retail Calling Cards. These prepaid calling cards are printed with the IDT logo and design and are sold to small and medium-sized retail chains, such as supermarkets, drug stores and convenience stores, for resale to their customers.

Our rechargeable calling cards, marketed to consumers and business customers nationwide, can be used by U.S. callers to call internationally from any phone, including a cell phone. In addition, callers can use the cards to make calls from over 30 countries around the world through international toll-free services. At the customer s request, an account is automatically recharged with a credit card that the customer provides at the time of initial card activation.

During fiscal 2007, our Prepaid Products segment worldwide generated \$936.7 million in revenues, as compared with \$1.195 billion in fiscal 2006 and \$1.246 billion in fiscal 2005. Our calling card businesses account for over 97% of the revenues of our Prepaid Products segment. During fiscal 2007, we sold 85.3% of our prepaid products in the United States, as compared to 86.8% and 86.0% in the United States in fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005, respectively.

We believe that the following factors are advantages that we have against our competition that we seek to exploit, and if a level playing field is established in the industry (see Competition section below), we believe these advantages will enable us to achieve a significant market share:

our ability to offer customers attractive pricing, due to our extensive network of interconnection and termination arrangements, purchasing power and least-cost-routing system;

our prepaid platform, which is a proprietary database that keeps track of the remaining balance on each calling card, and which enables us to process a large number of cards and transactions simultaneously and provide multilingual, multi-currency and multi-function cards;

our extensive distribution channel, which covers a wide variety of retail outlets worldwide;

the quality and dependability of the telephone service we provide; and

our understanding of, and commitment to, the ethnic prepaid calling card market.

In all of our IDT Telecom businesses, particularly the calling cards business, competitors continue to aggressively price their services. In addition, there has been a gradual shift in demand away from calling cards and into wireless products, which has further eroded pricing power in our calling card business. In our wholesale markets as well, we have generally had to pass along portions of our per-minute cost savings to our customers in the form of lower prices. These trends have impacted our telecom businesses, and as a result we have generally experienced

declines in our revenues, profits and overall per-minute price realizations.

The declines in minutes predominantly in our U.S. calling card business occurred despite the implementation of price cuts to several destinations. Historically, there had been an inverse relationship between our pricing and calling volume as we increased prices, minutes of use would decrease with an increase in minutes following lowering of prices. However, during fiscal 2007, we did not experience an increase in minutes-of-use or sales of new cards, despite our aggressive lower pricing.

7

The breakdown in this price/volume relationship in our U.S. calling card business and a concurrent analysis of our major markets led us to investigate the calling cards of our major competitors. We believe that certain of our competitors were significantly overstating the number of minutes delivered by their cards. Accordingly, on March 8, 2007, we filed a civil anti-fraud action in the federal district court in Newark, New Jersey, claiming that these competitors have been misleading calling card customers, and as a result, negatively impacting our market share, resulting in a reduction of our revenues and profits. Although the judge in this case decided not to grant the preliminary injunction we requested, which decision was affirmed on appeal, we are continuing to pursue this lawsuit. In addition, we have commenced a lobbying campaign in an attempt to level the playing field in the calling card industry. We are uncertain, even with the potential of fair competition, whether we will be able to regain revenues lost over the past number of quarters.

#### Mobile Wireless Services

TúYo Mobile is the wireless unit of IDT Telecom that operates as a Mobile Virtual Network Operator, or MVNO, which markets wireless services utilizing another company s network. TúYo aims to take advantage of IDT Telecom s existing prepaid platform infrastructure and competitive international termination rates to provide low cost wireless phone service to the U.S. Hispanic community, which currently comprises the largest proportion of our calling card customer base. The service was also launched as a response to the ongoing and intensifying trend of wireless substitution in the prepaid market segment, wherein traditional calling card users have been transitioning from wireline phones to mobile phones to make their calls.

TúYo Mobile launched commercial operations in November 2005. Although TúYo Mobile is an early growth-stage company, it had approximately 100,000 active subscribers as of July 31, 2007. TúYo s handsets are presently distributed through third party cellular distributors, and through local ethnic markets affiliated with UTA s distribution, and is currently participating in a multi-state trial with a major US big box retailer. Túyo handsets are subsidized by the Company in the form of commission credits to the distributors. Wireless top up cards, used to add minutes of use to existing handsets, are also sold at these locations as well as in electronic PIN distribution only outlets (like Blackstone terminals).

In March 2007, TúYo Mobile launched its newest calling feature, Conecta2. This calling feature allows callers in Mexico, Argentina, Chile, Colombia and the Dominican Republic to call TúYo Mobile subscribers in the United States for free, while the subscriber pays TúYo Mobile s international rates plus airtime for the call. The Conecta2 service also provides TúYo Mobile subscribers with a toll free access number in their participating country of choice.

During fiscal 2007, our TúYo Mobile generated \$24.0 million in revenues, as compared with \$4.8 million in fiscal 2006. TúYo Mobile represents approximately 2.6% of the total revenues of our Prepaid Products segment.

### **Consumer Phone Services**

We currently provide our bundled local/long distance phone service in 11 states, marketed under the brand name IDT America. Our bundled local/long distance service, offered primarily to residential customers, includes unlimited local, regional toll and domestic long distance calling and popular calling features. A second plan is available, providing unlimited local service with IDT long distance included for as low as 3.9 cents per minute. With either plan, competitive international rates and/or additional features can be added for additional monthly fees. We also offer stand-alone long distance service throughout the United States. Due to changes in the U.S. regulatory environment in 2005 that affected our cost of providing bundled local/long distance phone services (as discussed below under the heading Interconnection and Unbundled Network Elements ) and increased competition, we significantly curtailed marketing activities for the service, and as a result, the revenues and number of customers have declined significantly.

Consumer Phone Services generated revenues of \$148.8 million in fiscal 2007, as compared to \$262.1 million in 2006 and \$333.8 million in 2005. As of July 31, 2007, we had approximately 78,000 active customers for our bundled local/long distance plans and approximately 207,000 customers for our metered long distance plans. Our highest customer concentrations are in large urban areas, with the greatest number of customers located in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania and California.

#### **Wholesale Telecommunications Services**

Our Wholesale Telecommunications Services segment carries our international telecommunications traffic and the international traffic of other telecommunications companies. This segment also acts as the sales channel for all telecommunications services sold to our wholesale customers.

8

By utilizing our proprietary least-cost-routing system and capitalizing on our own high volume of international long distance telephone traffic generated by our calling card business, aggressive purchasing strategies and extensive experience in provisioning circuits, we are able to provide major carriers and niche carriers alike with rates that we believe are often lower than those traditionally available through other carriers. Wholesale telecommunications services revenues were \$645.1 million in fiscal 2007, as compared with revenues of \$597.7 million in fiscal 2006 and \$592.9 million in fiscal 2005.

During fiscal 2007, IDT Telecom s wholesale carrier services business continued operating as a full service telecommunications provider. IDT Telecom terminates over 16 billion international minutes per year (over 20 billion minutes overall), making IDT one of the largest carriers of international minutes worldwide. Within our wholesale carrier services business, our Mobile Operator Services group provides mobile operators with data and voice products, and our VoIP Services group provides carriers with a quick and efficient expansion into the VoIP marketplace. Our strategy enables us to manage costs on a carrier-by-carrier basis, while diversifying our portfolio of product offerings to various regions around the world. Due to the acquisition of Net2Phone in fiscal 2006 and its network integration into IDT Telecom, we are now able to better serve the needs of wholesale carrier customers who seek IP products and services.

We believe that a direct connection from one of our switches to a Tier 1 provider (which are the largest recognized licensed carriers in each country) both increases the quality of a call and reduces cost. We also believe that establishing such connections enables us to generate more traffic with higher margins to that foreign locale. During fiscal 2008, we intend to continue to expand our existing direct relationships with Tier 1 providers, particularly in Asia and Africa. Additionally, we intend to continue expanding our direct relationships with mobile network providers, reflecting our belief that the trend of voice traffic transitioning from landline to mobile networks will continue. We also plan to leverage our existing sales channels by expanding customer relationships to include sales of our new products.

In addition to offering competitive rates to our carrier customers, we have also emphasized our ability to offer the high quality connections that these providers often require. To that end, we have broadened our wholesale carrier services offerings to include higher-priced, premium services in which we guarantee higher quality connections, based upon a set of predetermined quality-measuring criteria. These services meet a growing need for some of our customers, who are providing services to high-value, quality-conscious retail customers. As of July 31, 2007, our wholesale carrier services business had approximately 620 customers. Including vendors, IDT has over 840 carrier relationships globally.

Through Net2Phone, we also sell VoIP communications products and services. In March 2006, we consummated a merger with Net2Phone in which Net2Phone became our wholly owned subsidiary. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2007, Voice over IP was no longer reported as a separate segment; rather it was included in the results for IDT Telecom.

Net2Phone s network has now been fully integrated into IDT Telecom. Our short-term focus is on streamlining our global network through the hybridization of the Net2Phone and IDT networks. We continue to market using the Net2Phone brand name where we believe we can realize a competitive advantage through this established name.

#### **International Operations**

We maintain our European corporate and carrier operations in London, England, and our retail calling card business headquarters in Dublin, Ireland. IDT Europe operates satellite offices in Germany, France, the Netherlands, Belgium, Switzerland, Czech Republic, Spain, Sweden, Greece, Italy, Bosnia and Denmark.

In Europe, we market our prepaid calling cards in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Spain, Germany, Belgium, France, Ireland, Italy, Luxemburg, Sweden, Switzerland, Denmark, Norway, Portugal, Austria and Greece, seeking to capitalize on the opportunity presented by immigration from underdeveloped countries to Europe s developed nations. Because the immigrant market is fragmented, and due to the large number of markets in which we compete, we offer over 250 different prepaid calling cards in Europe. We also market our prepaid calling cards in Israel.

9

We recently launched IDT Mobile in the Netherlands, United Kingdom and Belgian markets. IDT Mobile sells international wireless minutes in Europe on prepaid SIM cards.

We also provide wholesale carrier services to European telecom companies, including foreign state-owned or state sanctioned post, telephone or telegraph companies and Tier-1 carriers, new and emerging telephone companies, and value-added service providers.

Our European operations generated \$353.6 million of revenues in fiscal 2007, a 15.9% decrease over the \$420.5 million of revenues generated during fiscal 2006. Our European operations revenues constituted 20.4% of our Telecom revenues in fiscal 2007, as compared to 20.5% in fiscal 2006 and 19.6% in fiscal 2005. During fiscal 2007, prepaid calling cards constituted 29.8% of our European operations revenues, while wholesale carrier services represented 65.2%.

We believe there is a significant market for prepaid calling cards in Asia Pacific. We maintain Asia Pacific headquarters in Hong Kong and African headquarters in Johannesburg, South Africa. IDT Asia Pacific operates satellite offices in Singapore, the Philippines, India and Japan. We began our Asia Pacific regional operations in 2003, offering wholesale carrier services in the region and prepaid calling card distribution in Hong Kong. We have since expanded our prepaid calling card operations into Singapore, the Philippines, India, Japan and Korea. In fiscal 2007, we generated \$12.9 million in revenues from the sale of calling cards in the Asia Pacific region.

We also believe there is a lucrative market for prepaid calling cards in Latin America and in Africa. We maintain Latin American headquarters in Buenos Aires, Argentina. IDT Latin America currently sells cards in Argentina, Brazil, Peru, Chile, and Uruguay. We have, additionally, launched consumer phone services and VoIP services in Argentina, Brazil and Peru, and expect to launch VoIP and consumer phone services in Chile during fiscal 2008, as well as expand our geographic penetration into more countries such as Colombia and El Salvador. In fiscal 2007, we generated \$19.6 million in revenues from the sale of calling cards in Latin America.

#### Sales Marketing and Distribution

We market our prepaid calling cards primarily to retail outlets in the United States through an exclusive distribution agreement with our majority-owned subsidiary, UTA. In addition to UTA s sub-distributors, UTA is in the early stages of developing its own direct-to-retailer distribution network in order to increase revenues and margins by, among other things, driving sales with an increased brand identity. We believe that our direct-to-retailer distribution network may take significant time to develop, and there is no assurance we can build such a network. In addition, our customized retail calling cards and our IDT-branded retail calling cards are also marketed to retail chains and outlets primarily through our own internal sales force, although from time to time we may utilize third-party agents or brokers to acquire accounts. We market our consumer phone services primarily through direct television and print advertising in targeted markets, although such marketing has been significantly scaled back in the United States since fiscal 2005. In Europe, we sell our prepaid calling cards and our customized retail and IDT-branded retail calling cards through independent distributors and our own internal sales force. Wholesale carrier services are sold through IDT s internal wholesale sales team. TúYo Mobile products are marketed through retail stores, national, regional and local wireless distributors and through UTA s distribution channels. These sales are supported by a combination of print, radio and television advertising.

#### **Telecommunication Network Infrastructure**

We maintain a global telecommunications switching and transmission infrastructure that enables us to provide an array of telecommunications services to our customers worldwide. Our network is continuously monitored by our Network Operations Centers in the United States and Europe.

We have historically made significant expenditures designed to expand and optimize our global telecommunications network. After our acquisition of Net2Phone in March 2006, we greatly expanded the VoIP capabilities of our network by integrating the Net2Phone network into the IDT Telecom network. Due to this expansion of the VoIP capabilities of our network and a decrease in demand in traffic, we decommissioned a U.S.-based switch. We now operate a total of six international gateway switches, four in the United States and two in the United Kingdom. We are in the process of decommissioning one of the U.K.-based international

10

gateway switches in order to more efficiently service the decreased traffic. In addition, we have extensive soft-switching capacity in the United States, United Kingdom, Argentina, Peru, Brazil and Hong Kong. We also maintain points of presence, or POPs, providing interconnect capabilities in numerous countries. Our global network is connected through leased and owned fiber connections.

Our near-term focus is on reducing costs by streamlining our global network through the hybridization of the Net2Phone and IDT networks, expanding our soft-switching capacity, and expanding our VoIP traffic.

#### **IDT ENERGY**

In November 2004, we launched our retail energy business, which has since experienced significant growth. Today, IDT Energy operates as an energy service company, or ESCO, that resells natural gas and electricity to customers throughout seven utility markets in New York State, including those currently served by Con Ed, Orange and Rockland, Central Hudson, National Fuel, National Grid, Keyspan, and Rochester Gas and Electric.

As an ESCO, IDT Energy does not own electrical power generation, transmission, or distribution facilities, or natural gas production, pipeline or distribution facilities, but instead purchases natural gas through wholesale suppliers and various utility companies, and buys electricity in the wholesale market in time-specific, bulk or block quantities, usually at fixed prices. The vast majority of our electricity is purchased through the New York State competitive wholesale markets for capacity, energy and ancillary services administrated by the New York Independent System Operator. Independent System Operators, or ISOs, and Regional Transmission Organizations, or RTOs, perform real-time load balancing for each of the electrical power grids in which we operate. Similarly, load balancing is performed by the utilities or Local Distribution Companies, or LDCs, for each of the natural gas markets in which we operate. Load balancing ensures that the amount of electricity and natural gas we purchase is equal to the amount necessary to service our customers demands at any specific point in time. We are charged or credited by the ISOs, RTOs and LDCs for balancing the electricity and natural gas purchased and sold for our account.

We manage the differences between the actual electricity and natural gas demands of our customers and our bulk or block purchases by buying and selling any shortfall or excess in the spot market, and through monthly cash settlements and/or adjustments to future deliveries in accordance with the load balancing performed by the utilities, LDCs and the New York ISO.

The electricity and natural gas we sell is generally metered and delivered to our customers by the local utilities. As such, IDT Energy does not maintain any maintenance or service staff for customer locations, as such services are provided by the local incumbent utility. These utilities may also provide billing and collection services for the majority of our customers on our behalf.

The ESCO business, particularly for the natural gas segment, is a seasonal business. Approximately 77% of annual natural gas revenues are generated during the Company s second and third fiscal quarters when heat load is highest. The load curve for electricity is not as seasonal as natural gas, but is higher during the Company s first and fourth fiscal quarters when air conditioning usage peaks. Electric revenues in the first and fourth quarters represent approximately 57% of annual electric revenues. Commodity prices are generally higher during these peak demand seasons, and, therefore contribute to the seasonal fluctuation in revenues.

We market our energy services primarily through direct marketing methods, including D2D (Door-to-Door) sales, outbound telemarketing, and Internet signup. The aggressive customer growth experienced can be attributed to IDT Energy successful expansion into many of the LDCs that comprise New York State. Additionally, the outsourced vendors that are relied upon for customer acquisition have significantly expanded their sales and support staff. The New York PSC published web site in March 2007 quotes that approximately 13.8% of eligible New York customers

migrated from a utility to an ESCO. According to these statistics, IDT has captured approximately 15.7% of the migrated customers. Many of its customers reside in Con Edison territory with IDT capturing approximately 36.3% of the migrated customers.

In fiscal 2007, IDT Energy generated revenues of \$190.8 million and operating income of \$11.4 million, as compared with revenues of \$112.8 million and operating income of \$1.1 million in fiscal 2006 and revenues of

11

\$12.0 million and an operating loss of \$1.6 million in fiscal 2005. As of July 31, 2007, IDT Energy serviced approximately 300,000 meters in New York State, as compared to approximately 200,000 meters serviced at the end of fiscal 2006.

#### **IDT CAPITAL**

IDT Capital is responsible for developing, incubating and, in some cases, operating our newer businesses, as well as overseeing certain existing non-core businesses. IDT Capital consists primarily of our acquisition and collection of aged receivables operation that operates under the names IDT Carmel Portfolio Holdings and IDT Carmel, our IDT Local Media unit (which is primarily comprised of CTM Brochure Display and WMET radio), IDT Internet Mobile Group (which is comprised of our Zedge platform consisting of Zedge.net and Zedge.com and Zedge Studios), Ethnic Grocery Brands, call center operations, and other smaller holdings and operations including real estate initiatives. During fiscal 2007, IDT Capital generated \$91.3 million in revenues and an operating loss of \$53.6 million, as compared with revenues of \$58.9 million and \$37.8 million in fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005, respectively. Operating losses of IDT Capital were \$68.8 million in fiscal 2006 and \$55.0 million in fiscal 2005.

#### **IDT Carmel**

IDT Carmel was launched in fiscal 2006, initially as a natural outgrowth of our internal collection activities, and is engaged in the acquisition and resolution of charged-off debt portfolios, and debt collection services. The company acquires portfolio assets at a discount to face value and services such portfolios in an effort to maximize ultimate cash recoveries. IDT Carmel also provides debt collection services for debt portfolios owned by third parties for a service fee. IDT Carmel also outsources some of its portfolios for collection by other agencies.

IDT Carmel s initial entry into the third-party charged-off consumer receivables market has been focused on portfolios of credit-card issuers.

IDT Carmel Group is comprised of IDT Carmel Holdings, Inc. and its two wholly owned subsidiaries IDT Carmel Portfolio Management LLC (engaged in portfolio acquisitions) and IDT Carmel, Inc. (engaged in servicing and collecting debt portfolios).

On January 9, 2007, FFPM Carmel Holdings I, LLC, which is 99% owned by IDT Carmel Portfolio Management and 1% owned by First Financial Portfolio Management, Inc., committed to purchase 12 monthly forward flow credit card debt portfolios from a major commercial bank.

During fiscal 2007, (i) IDT Carmel Portfolio Management purchased debt portfolios for \$78.4 million, including \$57.3 million of credit card debt through FFPM Carmel Holdings I, LLC and (ii) IDT Carmel s principal collections and proceeds on resale of debt portfolios totaled \$28.1 million. The carrying value of the receivables in the portfolio management business as of July 31, 2007 is \$51.1 million.

During fiscal 2007, Carmel grew to 40 employees at its Newark, New Jersey main office, its Jerusalem, Israel branch, and its Minneapolis, Minnesota satellite office (scheduled to become a collection branch during fiscal 2008). Carmel also completed the build-up of its upper management team by recruiting industry-experienced executives. During fiscal 2007, Carmel continued to make progress toward the expected closing during fiscal 2008 of the second stage of its two-part acquisition from JKW Financial, LLC of the assets of JKW s wholly owned subsidiaries, People First Recoveries, LLC and Big Ten Capital Management, LLC, that is anticipated to result in the addition of 140 employees and three branch offices in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

### **IDT Local Media**

IDT Local Media includes CTM Brochure Display, Inc., our brochure distribution company, WMET 1160 AM, our Washington, D.C.-based radio station, and other smaller initiatives involving local level advertising.

12

During fiscal 2006, these businesses were pooled together as IDT Local Media, to better align our internal management focus on local level advertising with these various business units. In fiscal 2007, IDT Local Media had revenues of \$22.6 million an operating loss of \$2.2 million.

CTM is a distributor of travel and entertainment brochures in central and eastern United States, Puerto Rico and Canada. In fiscal 2007, CTM serviced over 3,000 clients and maintained more than 11,000 display racks, in over 30 states and provinces. CTM s display stands are located in travel and entertainment venues, including hotels, resorts, interstate highway rest areas, airports and local attractions. Through its local sales force, CTM sells brochure slots in these stands to local advertisers, maintains the stands and ensures placement and replenishment of brochures in the appropriate slots. In fiscal 2007, CTM generated revenues of \$19.7 million and operating income of \$1.3 million.

In accordance with IDT Local Media s plan to complement its traditional marketing services with new media internet based services, in September 2006 we acquired Local Pull, a nascent online directory listing business which creates customized search listings that are distributed to the leading local search engines. In fiscal 2007, the new media initiatives generated revenues of \$1.1 million, which included Local Pull revenues of \$0.4 million. We continue to seek acquisition candidates and partnerships with companies that could benefit from and leverage IDT Local Media s core competency of selling to many disparate local businesses via our telesales and direct sales channels.

We own and operate WMET 1160 AM, a radio station serving the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, the nation s eighth-largest radio market, including the corridor from Baltimore, Maryland to Richmond, Virginia. WMET is primarily a reseller of radio broadcast time to outside parties. In this format, WMET earns revenues through the rental of airtime slots as well as the sale of advertising. In fiscal 2007, WMET generated revenues of \$1.3 million and an operating loss of \$1.4 million.

#### **IDT Internet Mobile Group**

In the second quarter of fiscal 2007, we formed our Internet Mobile Group, under which we operate our Zedge websites and platform geared toward content for mobile devices and Zedge Studios. In December 2006, we acquired 90% of the Norway based mobile Internet community Zedge.net. Zedge is a worldwide distribution platform and destination for free user-generated content for mobile devices. As of October 1, 2007, there were approximately 5.8 million registered users of Zedge.net. In June 2007, the Company acquired for IDT Internet Mobile Group a controlling interest in IDW Publishing, an independent comics publisher pre-eminent in the horror and action genres, boasting such high-profile titles as *The Transformers*, 30 Days of Night, CSI, Star Trek, 24, and Scarface that focuses on creating and distributing proprietary and license content for traditional and internet/mobile distribution. IDW is operated by the Zedge Studios division of IDT Internet Mobile Group.

#### COMPETITION

#### **IDT Telecom**

We believe that the principal competitive factor affecting our telecom business is the price of our services. Additionally, our ability to compete is dependent upon the quality and reliability of our services and our customer care. We also rely heavily upon our ability to innovate, which drives the continuing evolution of our suite of product and services offerings, enabling us to provide our customers with the services they seek. Many of our current and potential competitors have greater name recognition and greater financial, marketing, personnel and other resources than we do, as well as other competitive advantages. We anticipate that price competition will remain intense in all of our Telecom market segments.

### **Calling Card Services**

We believe success in providing our calling card services is dependent on our ability to provide low rates and reliable service to our customers, while efficiently distributing our calling cards to a geographically and culturally diverse customer base. The calling card industry is notable for its relative lack of regulation compared to the rest of the telecommunications industry, and for its ease of market entry. As calling rates continue to decline and competition increases, thereby reducing the influence of pricing as a differentiating competitive factor, we will increasingly compete on the basis of our call quality, customer service and distribution capabilities.

We compete with other providers of calling cards as well as established carriers and numerous small or regional operators, and with providers of alternative telecommunications services. Many of the largest telecommunications providers, including AT&T, Verizon and Sprint, currently market prepaid calling cards, which in certain cases compete with our cards. In marketing prepaid calling cards to customers outside the United States, we compete with large foreign state-owned or state sanctioned post, telephone or telegraph companies. We believe that our interconnect and termination agreements, network infrastructure and least-cost-routing system provide us with the ability to offer low-cost, high quality services, while our distribution network provides us with access to customers, and that these factors represent competitive advantages. However, as some of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources and name recognition, and are capable of providing comparable call quality and service levels, our ability to maintain and/or to capture additional market share will remain dependent upon our ability to continue to provide competitively priced services.

In all of our IDT Telecom businesses, competitors continue to aggressively price their services and there has been a gradual shift in demand away from calling cards and into wireless products, which has further eroded pricing power. These trends have impacted our telecom businesses, and as a result we have generally experienced sharp declines in both our revenues and overall per-minute price realizations.

We also believe that many of our calling card competitors in the United States are significantly overstating the number of minutes delivered by their cards. Accordingly, on March 8, 2007, we filed a civil anti-fraud action in the federal district court in Newark, New Jersey, claiming that these competitors have been misleading calling card customers, and as a result, negatively impacting our market share, resulting in a reduction of our revenues and profits. Although the judge in this case decided not to grant the preliminary injunction we requested, which decision was affirmed on appeal, we are continuing to pursue this lawsuit. We are uncertain, even with the potential of fair competition, whether we will be able to regain revenues lost over the past number of quarters.

#### **TúYo Mobile**

Competition in the MVNO market has been fierce, and a number of other start-ups also target the Hispanic demographic, including Movida Communications, DEXA Wireless and Azteca Mobile.

#### **Wholesale Carrier Services**

The wholesale carrier business has numerous entities competing for the same customers, primarily on the basis of price, products and quality of service. We believe that the industry consolidation will affect our wholesale carrier business by, among other things, reducing the number of customers to whom we can sell.

In the wholesale carrier services business, we compete with:

interexchange carriers and other long distance resellers and providers, including large carriers such as AT&T, Verizon and Qwest; foreign state-owned or state-sanctioned post, telephone or telegraph companies such as Telefonica, France Telecom and KDD; on-line, spot-market trading exchanges for voice minutes, such as Arbinet; other VoIP providers;

other providers of international long distance services; and

alliances between large multinational carriers that provide wholesale carrier services.

We believe that our extensive network of interconnect and termination agreements, as well as the significant volume of traffic to specific locations generated by our wholesale and calling card businesses, provide us with a competitive advantage and the ability to offer quality services at competitive prices. However, we have generally had to pass along portions of our per-minute cost savings to our customers in the form of lower prices.

#### **Consumer Phone and Related Services**

We offer consumer long distance phone services to residential and business customers in the United States. In 11 states we also offer local and long distance phone services bundled at a flat monthly rate. The U.S. consumer phone services industry is characterized by intense competition, with numerous providers competing

14

for a relatively static number of customers, leading to a high churn rate because customers frequently change providers in response to offers of lower rates or promotional incentives.

The regional bell operating companies, or RBOCs, remain our primary competitors in the local exchange market as well. Competing against the RBOCs is particularly challenging. Each of the RBOCs continues to enjoy a virtual monopoly as the Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier, or ILEC, in its respective territory, and most of the RBOCs are well funded and enjoy high levels of name brand recognition, which represent significant resources in the battle for market share. We are also increasingly competing with providers offering communications service over broadband connections using VoIP technology, such as the cable companies and independent VoIP providers.

Previously, our ability to provide local services to our customers was based upon our access to both the customers premises and local switching infrastructure, which are owned by the incumbent provider in each local market, giving the ILEC a natural monopoly in its market. This access was provided to us via the FCC s UNE-P rules, which required the incumbent provider to offer access to the required network elements, at a mandated wholesale rate, to competitive providers. As discussed in the Regulation section below, a change in the FCC s UNE-P rules has resulted in the ILECs no longer being required to provide us access to the customers premises and local switching infrastructure.

We have negotiated wholesale commercial agreements with most of the ILECs in order to procure cost-effective rates for our local phone service offering, albeit at higher rates than those previously provided under the UNE-P rules. We have signed long-term wholesale agreements with Verizon, AT&T and BellSouth (acquired by AT&T in December 2006). Due to these changes in the U.S. regulatory environment that affected our cost of provisioning bundled local/long distance phone services, and increased competition, the business has declined significantly. We expect this trend to continue in fiscal 2008.

Some providers also include other services as part of their bundled offerings, such as high-speed Internet access, either via a Digital Subscriber Line or a cable modem, wireless telecommunications services and cable TV/satellite services. Our bundled offering currently includes only local and long distance services.

#### **Alternative Providers**

In all aspects of the telecommunications industry, we may face competition from an increasing number of market entrants such as cable television companies, fixed and mobile wireless system operators, operators of private networks built for large end users, and electric utilities. Cable television companies, who already possess access to the customers premises, entered the telecommunications market by upgrading their networks with fiber optics and installing facilities to provide fully interactive transmission of broadband voice, video and data communications. New technology permits companies to provide voice telephone services over broadband Internet connections, allowing users of these Internet services, such as Skype, to obtain communications services without subscribing to a conventional telephone line. Mobile wireless companies are deploying wireless technology as a substitute for traditional wireline local telephones. Electric utilities have existing assets (in the form of last mile connections to the customer s premises), very large back-office support organizations and access to low-cost capital that could allow them to enter a telecommunications market rapidly and accelerate network development.

Additionally, the World Trade Organization agreement on basic telecommunications services could increase the level of competition we face. Under this agreement, the United States and 68 other member states of the World Trade Organization are committed to opening their respective telecommunications markets, including permitting foreign companies to enter into basic telecommunications services markets. This development may increase the number of established foreign-based telecommunications carriers entering U.S. markets.

### **IDT Energy**

We compete with the local utility companies in the areas where we provide service, including Con Ed, Orange and Rockland, Central Hudson, National Fuel, National Grid, Keyspan and Rochester Gas and Electric. In addition to the local utilities and their ESCO affiliates, we also compete with several large vertically integrated energy companies as well as many smaller ESCO companies. The fierce competition with the utilities and ESCOs allows us to potentially gain customers and at the same time exposes us to the risk of losing customers as well.

15

As of July 2007, there were over 50 eligible ESCOs in New York. In each major utility service territory there are at least 8 ESCOs serving residential natural gas customers and at least 7 ESCOs serving residential electric customers. While it is unclear whether new entrants will enter these markets, we believe ESCO competition in the residential market (which is a significant market for IDT Energy) is not as intense as in the enterprise and commercial markets because the majority of ESCOs have focused their activities on the enterprise and commercial markets.

#### **IDT Carmel**

We compete for both portfolios and collection accounts with large buyers and collectors of debt who have developed certain key relationships with creditors and sellers of receivables and large entities with strong financial resources that purchase small to mid-size debt collection companies for strategic purposes.

#### **REGULATION**

The following summary of regulatory developments and legislation is intended to describe what we believe to be the most important, but not all, current and proposed international, federal, state and local laws, regulations, orders and legislation that are likely to materially affect us.

#### **REGULATION OF TELECOM IN THE UNITED STATES**

#### Prepaid Products, Consumer Phone, Wholesale Telecommunications Services, and Spectrum

Telecommunications services are subject to extensive government regulation at both the federal and state levels in the United States. Any violations of the regulations may subject us to enforcement actions, including interest and penalties. The FCC has jurisdiction over all telecommunications common carriers to the extent they provide interstate or international communications services. Each state regulatory commission has jurisdiction over the same carriers with respect to their provision of local and intrastate communications services. Local governments often indirectly regulate aspects of our communications business by imposing zoning requirements, taxes, permit or right-of-way procedures or franchise fees. The FCC and the International Telecommunications Union, or ITU, set certain parameters on our domestic spectrum use. Significant changes to the applicable laws or regulations imposed by any of these regulators could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

#### REGULATION OF TELECOM BY THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

The FCC has jurisdiction over all U.S. telecommunications service providers to the extent they provide interstate or international communications services, including the use of local networks to originate or terminate such services.

#### Universal Service and Other Regulatory Fees and Charges

In 1997, the FCC issued an order, referred to as the Universal Service Order, that requires all telecommunications carriers providing interstate telecommunications services to contribute to universal service support programs administered by the FCC (the Universal Service Fund ). These periodic contributions are currently assessed based on a percentage of each contributor s interstate and international end user telecommunications revenues reported to the FCC. We also contribute to several other regulatory funds and programs, most notably Telecommunications Relay Service, FCC Regulatory Fees, and Local Number Portability (collectively, the Other Funds ). We and most of our competitors pass through Universal Service Fund and Other Funds contributions as part of the price of our services, either as part of the base rate or, to the extent allowed, as a separate surcharge on customer bills. Due to the manner in which these contributions are calculated, we cannot be assured that we fully

recover all of our contributions from our customers. In addition, based on the nature of our current business, we receive certain exemptions from federal Universal Service Fund and Other Funds contributions. Changes in our business could eliminate our ability to qualify for some or all of these exemptions. As a result, our ability to pursue certain new business opportunities in the future may be constrained in order to maintain these exemptions, the elimination of which could materially affect the rates we would need to charge for existing services. Changes in regulation may also have an impact on the availability of some or all of these exemptions. If these exemptions become unavailable, it could materially increase our federal Universal Service Fund or Other Funds contributions and have a material adverse effect on the cost of our operations and therefore development and growth of our business.

16

#### Interconnection and Unbundled Network Elements

The Communications Act of 1934, as amended, requires ILECs to allow competitors to interconnect with their networks in a nondiscriminatory manner at any technically feasible point on their networks at cost-based prices, which are more favorable than past pricing based on the historic regulated costs of the ILEC. Since the FCC s 1996 Local Competition Order, competitive local exchange carriers, or CLECs, have enjoyed the right to lease unbundled network elements at rates determined by state public utility commissions employing the FCC s TELRIC (Total Element Long Run Incremental Cost) forward looking, cost-based pricing model.

In February 2005, the FCC eliminated the availability of unbundled local switching at TELRIC prices, and thereby eliminated the ability of CLECs to obtain a full UNE Platform that provides all elements of local dial-tone service at TELRIC prices. The FCC also limited the availability of high-capacity loops and dedicated transport elements at TELRIC prices.

The FCC s changes to its unbundling rules resulted in increased costs to purchase services and increased uncertainty regarding the financial viability of providing service using unbundled network elements. As a result, IDT has placed its Consumer Phone Services business in harvest mode, wherein we seek to retain existing customers but do not actively market to new customers.

We continue to negotiate interconnection arrangements with each ILEC, generally on a state-by-state basis, for our Consumer Phone Services business as well as other businesses. These agreements typically have terms of two or three years; accordingly, a substantial number of our interconnection agreements with ILECs will expire and require renegotiation in any given year. Each of these agreements provides for a holdover that continues the agreement on its current terms pending renegotiation. While current FCC rules and regulations require the incumbent provider to provide certain network elements necessary for us to provision end-user services on an individual and combined basis, we cannot assure that the ILECs will provide these components in a manner and at a price that will support competitive operations.

#### **Access Charges**

As a provider of long distance, we remit access fees directly to local exchange carriers or indirectly to our underlying long distance carriers for the origination and termination of our long distance telecommunications traffic. Generally, intrastate access charges are higher than interstate access charges. Therefore, to the degree access charges increase or a greater percentage of our long distance traffic is intrastate, our costs of providing long distance services will increase. As a local exchange provider, we bill access charges to long distance providers for the origination and termination of those providers long distance calls. Accordingly, as opposed to our long distance business, our local exchange business benefits from the receipt of intrastate and interstate long distance traffic. Under FCC rules, our interstate access rates must be set at levels no higher than those of the ILEC in each area we serve, which limits our ability to seek increased revenue from these services. Some, but not all, states have similar restrictions on our intrastate access charges.

In April 2001, the FCC released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in which it proposed a fundamental re-examination of all currently regulated forms of intercarrier compensation. The FCC proposed that carriers transport and terminate local traffic on a bill-and-keep basis, rather than per minute reciprocal compensation charges. Several different industry groups have submitted access charge reform proposals to the FCC since the issuance of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. In August 2006, the FCC sought comment on an access charge reform plan commonly referred to as the Missoula Plan, which was submitted by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. While the FCC has not yet acted on any of these proposals, and it is not yet known when it will act, these proposals would result in substantial reductions in access charge payments, and some would eliminate these payments entirely over a period of time. Because we both make payments to and receive payments from other carriers for exchange of local and long distance calls, at this time we cannot predict the effect that the FCC s determination may have upon our business.

### **Customer Proprietary Network Information**

In 2007, the FCC increased its regulatory oversight of Customer Proprietary Network Information ( CPNI ). The Commission took this increased role in response to several high-profile cases of pretexting, which occurs when an individual secures, through deception, from a communications provider the private phone records of another person. IDT has a CPNI compliance policy in place and we believe we currently meet or

17

exceed all FCC requirements for the protection of CPNI. However, we cannot be assured that we are in full compliance and if the FCC were to conclude that we were not in compliance, we could be subject to fines or other forms of sanction.

### International Telecommunications Services International Settlements

The FCC s International Settlements Policy (Policy) restricts the terms on which U.S.-based carriers and certain of their foreign correspondents settle the cost of terminating each other s traffic over their respective networks. Under this Policy, absent approval from the FCC, international telecommunications service agreements with dominant foreign carriers must be non-discriminatory, provide for settlement rates usually equal to one-half of the accounting rate, and require proportionate share of return traffic. This Policy, however, does not apply to arrangements with any non-dominant foreign carrier or, since March 30, 2005, with any dominant foreign carrier on routes where a demonstration has been made that at least one U.S. carrier has a settlement arrangement with the dominant foreign carrier that is compliant with the FCC s applicable benchmark settlement rates. This action has greatly lessened the number of instances in which the Policy applies, effectively granting U.S. and foreign carriers greater freedom to set rates and terms in their agreements. As a result, 164 countries currently are exempt from the Policy, representing over 90% of all U.S.-originated international traffic. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the FCC could find that we do not meet certain Policy requirements with respect to certain of our foreign carrier agreements. Although the FCC generally has not issued penalties in this area, it has issued a Notice of Apparent Liability to a U.S. company for violations of the Policy and it could, among other things, issue a cease and desist order, impose fines or allow the collection of damages if it finds that we are not in compliance with the Policy. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operation.

### **Regulation of Enhanced Service Providers**

Under FCC rules, telecommunications services are those that transmit user information from point to point (or points) without change in form or content, while enhanced services either provide additional information or provide some manipulation of the form or content of the user s transmitted information. Enhanced service providers are exempt from federal and state regulations governing common carriers, including the obligation to pay access charges and contributions to the federal Universal Service Fund. Similarly, where telecommunications service providers have offered enhanced services in addition to their telecommunications services, the FCC and state regulatory bodies have exempted the enhanced service component and its associated revenue from legacy telecommunications regulations, such as access charges and contributions to the respective Universal Service Fund. Some of the services that IDT provides may be characterized for regulatory purposes as enhanced services.

The FCC held in a Declaratory Ruling and Report and Order released on June 30, 2006, or the Order, that calling cards that use VoIP to transmit telecommunications were telecommunications, not enhanced services. Consequently, the Order held that, both retrospectively and prospectively from the effective date, the minutes from IP-in-the-middle calling cards were subject to access charges and that service providers must contribute to the Universal Service Fund based on revenue generated from such calling cards. In the Order, the FCC also held that calling cards that jointly provide enhanced and telecommunications services are to be treated as telecommunications services and thus, subject to access charges and Universal Service Fund contributions. However, for the enhanced, or menu-driven, cards, application of access charges and USF contributions would only be prospective. In the Order, the Commission also imposed several intercarrier and governmental reporting obligations. Numerous aspects of the Order are on appeal and we cannot be sure that the FCC s conclusions and requirements will remain in effect. As a result of the Order, particularly its retroactive application for IP-in-the-middle services, we could be subject to fines, penalties or additional costs for services provided in the past, which could adversely affect our financial condition.

### REGULATION OF TELECOM BY STATE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSIONS

Our telecommunications services that originate and terminate within the same state, including both local service and in-state long distance toll calls, are subject to the jurisdiction of that state spublic utility commission. The Communications Act of 1934, as amended, generally preempts state statutes and regulations that prevent the provision of competitive services, but permits state public utility commissions to regulate the rates, terms and conditions of intrastate services, so long as such regulation is not inconsistent with the requirements of federal law. IDT is certified to provide facilities-based and/or resold long distance service in all 50 states and

18

facilities-based and resold local exchange service in 45 states. In addition to requiring certification, state regulatory authorities may impose tariff and filing requirements, consumer protection measures, and obligations to contribute to universal service and other funds. Rates for intrastate switched access services, which we both pay to local exchange companies and collect from long-distance companies for originating and terminating in-state toll calls, are subject to the jurisdiction of the state commissions. State commissions also have jurisdiction to approve negotiated rates, or establish rates through arbitration, for interconnection, including rates for unbundled network elements. Changes in those access charges or rates for unbundled network elements could have a substantial and material impact on our business.

#### REGULATION OF TELECOM INTERNATIONAL

### **International Licensing for Telecommunications Services**

In connection with our international operations, we have obtained licenses or are otherwise authorized to provide telecommunications services in various foreign countries. We have obtained licenses or authorizations in Argentina, Australia, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Mexico, the Netherlands, Peru, Portugal, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and Uruguay. In numerous countries where we operate or plan to operate, we are subject to many local laws and regulations that, among other things, may restrict or limit the ability of telecommunications companies to provide telecommunications services in competition with state-owned or state-sanctioned dominant carriers.

#### **REGULATION OF INTERNET TELEPHONY**

The use of the Internet and private IP networks to provide voice communications services is a relatively recent market development. Although the provision of such services is currently permitted by United States law and largely unregulated within the United States, several foreign governments have adopted laws and/or regulations that could restrict or prohibit the provision of voice communications services over the Internet or private IP networks. More aggressive regulation of the Internet in general, and Internet telephony providers and services specifically, may materially and adversely affect our business.

In June 2006, the FCC announced that interconnected VoIP providers, such as Net2Phone, would be required to contribute to the federal Universal Service Fund (USF), beginning October 2006. As a result of the FCC s action, we now contribute to the USF for our interconnected VoIP revenue. If we fail to report our revenue and remit contributions to the USF on that revenue accurately, we may be subject to late fees, penalties or other actions, which could negatively affect our business.

The action by the FCC also expanded the possibility that our interconnected VoIP services may become subject to state regulation, which will likely lead to higher costs and reduce or eliminate the competitive advantage interconnected VoIP holds over traditional telecommunications services.

### **REGULATION OF SPECTRUM**

The FCC regulates the grant, administration, and renewal of spectrum licenses in the United States. The FCC and the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) also regulate a variety of spectrum interference, coordination, and power emission standards and authorizations. IDT Spectrum holds certain fixed wireless spectrum licenses and provides service over that spectrum. Some significant areas of regulation include:

### **Secondary Spectrum Markets: Spectrum Leasing**

On May 15, 2003, the FCC adopted rules designed, in part, to assist in creating a national secondary market in spectrum leasing. On July 8, 2004, the FCC adopted a 2nd Report and Order, Order on Reconsideration, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to further streamline the rules governing secondary spectrum markets and to request further comments about additional future rule changes. Among other things, the FCC installed unprecedented immediate approval processes for certain de facto spectrum leases, which essentially means that an eligible license holder, like IDT Spectrum, may lease the same spectrum to multiple users, provided those users are aware that the arrangement is non-exclusive. Additionally, the FCC allows an eligible license holder to issue leases that allow splitting spectrum usage over frequency, space and time.

### Renewal of 39 GHz and 28 GHz Local Multipoint Distribution Service (LMDS) Licenses

IDT Spectrum s area-wide 39 GHz licenses (our major holdings) possess a 10-year term expiring on October 18, 2010. Our remaining 39 GHz licenses expire at various times between November 2006 and

19

February 2017, and IDT Spectrum filed applications with the FCC requesting either renewal or a waiver and an extension of the license period to October 2010 for 103 39 GHz Rectangular Service Area or RSA licenses whose term expires on or before the end of the calendar year 2007. The term of IDT Spectrum s New York City LMDS license ended on February 1, 2006, and IDT Spectrum filed applications with the FCC requesting either renewal or a waiver and an extension of the license period to October 2010. On January 31, 2007, the FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau granted a limited extension of the 39 GHz RSA licenses and the New York City LMDS license until August 1, 2007. We filed a petition for reconsideration on March 2, 2007, and on July 31, 2007, the FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau conditionally renewed the New York City LMDS license until February 1, 2016, subject to a demonstration of substantial service by October 6, 2008. Failure to demonstrate substantial service by this date shall result in automatic cancellation of this license. The FCC has yet to decide the issues of the renewal and/or extensions of the license periods for the 39 GHz RSA licenses. The renewal date for our 15 other LMDS licenses is August 10, 2008. IDT Spectrum has followed the lead of two ad hoc coalitions of LMDS licensees who petitioned the FCC earlier this year for multi-year extensions of the build out requirements for LMDS licenses. The general bases for these petitions are the lack of affordable LMDS radio equipment and the lack of widespread demand for services provided over LMDS spectrum. As part of its petition, IDT Spectrum also requests similar treatment of the other upper millimeter wave band licenses, specifically the 39 GHz Economic Area (EA) licenses that have a November 2010 construction/renewal deadline. The FCC s stated policy for renewal of licenses in these bands is that licensees will have a renewal expectancy if they demonstrate substantial service during the initial license periods. The FCC s application of substantial service criteria cannot be predicted and we cannot be assured that the FCC will renew or extend the construction deadline for any or all of our licenses. A failure by the FCC to renew or extend the construction deadline for our licenses in major markets could have a material adverse effect on those businesses.

#### **REGULATION OF ENERGY**

IDT Energy operates as an ESCO in an evolving market, affected by the actions of governmental agencies, mostly on the state level (such as the New York Public Service Commission (NYPSC)), and other organizations (such as the New York ISO (NYISO) and indirectly the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)s). ESCOs are regulated primarily pursuant to retail access-related orders of the NYPSC as implemented by the retail access plans, programs, operating procedures and tariffs and rate schedules of the utilities in New York. In addition, IDT Energy is affected by and must comply with the applicable NYISO tariff terms and conditions related to Load Serving Entities that purchase electricity in the NYISO markets. ESCOs must also comply with certain limited provisions of the Home Energy Fair Practices Act, within the New York Public Service Law, and regulations promulgated thereunder. While New York State is considered a leader in the restructuring of the energy industry from regulated vertically-integrated monopolies to competitive markets, IDT Energy may be subject to new laws, orders or regulations or the revision or interpretation of existing laws, orders or regulations.

### **REGULATION OF CARMEL**

Debt collection practices are governed by both federal and state law in the United States. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, or FDCPA, is the primary federal law governing debt collection practices. The FDCPA makes provision for aggrieved consumers to file private lawsuits against a collection agency that violates the law. Alternately, the Federal Trade Commission or the state Attorney General may take action against a noncompliant collection agency, including issuing fines, ordering damages, restricting the agency s operations or even closing it down. Regulation of collection agencies occurs primarily at the individual state level as most states require collection agencies to be licensed and/or bonded. In addition, many states have laws regulating debt collection activities. The FDCPA provides that a more restrictive state law will supersede any similar provision of the FDCPA. During fiscal 2007, some states began to take steps to regulate not only debt collection agencies but also companies that engage in debt portfolio acquisition and management activities.

### **REGULATION OF OTHER BUSINESSES**

We operate other smaller or early-stage initiatives and operations, including Ethnic Grocery Brands, our grocery distribution business, IDT Global Services, which is primarily comprised of its call center operations, and certain real estate investments, any of which may be subject to federal, state, or local laws and regulations.

### **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY**

We rely on a combination of patents, copyrights, trademarks, domain name registrations and trade secret laws in the United States and other jurisdictions and contractual restrictions to protect our intellectual property

20

rights and our brand names. All employees of IDT sign confidentiality agreements. These agreements provide that the employee may not use or disclose confidential Company information except as expressly permitted in connection with the performance of his or her duties for the Company, or in other limited circumstances. These agreements also state that, to the extent rights in any invention conceived of by the employee while employed by us do not vest in the Company automatically by operation of law, the employee is required to assign his or her rights to us.

IDT owns more than 290 trademark and service mark registrations and pending applications in the United States and additional registrations abroad. IDT protects its brands in the marketplace including the IDT and Net2Phone Brands. Where deemed appropriate, we have filed trademark applications throughout the world in an effort to protect our trademarks. Where deemed appropriate, we have also filed patent applications in an effort to protect our patentable intellectual property. IDT s business units now have over 81 issued patents and 78 patent applications pending in the United States and abroad. Excluding those issued to Net2Phone, discussed below, we own 6 issued patents and 22 patent applications in the United States and 16 patents issued abroad with more than 34 patent applications pending abroad.

In fiscal 2007, IDT s business units have procured new issued patents and filed new patent applications in the United States and abroad. IDT s businesses also have modified or pruned their portfolios based on strategic initiatives, cost effectiveness and other factors.

IDT maintains a global telecommunications switching and transmission infrastructure that enables us to provide an array of telecommunications, Internet access and Internet telephony services to our customers worldwide. Our network is continuously monitored by our Network Operations Center based in Piscataway, New Jersey. IDT has domestic and foreign patents and patent applications regarding its infrastructure and or global telecommunication network for its international telecommunications traffic and the international traffic of other telecommunications companies.

Circumstances outside our control could pose a threat to our intellectual property rights. For example, effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which our products and services are distributed. Also, the efforts we have taken to protect our proprietary rights may not be sufficient or effective. Any significant impairment of our intellectual property rights could harm our business or our ability to compete. Also, protecting our intellectual property rights is costly and time consuming. Any increase in the unauthorized use of our intellectual property could make it more expensive to do business and harm our operating results.

Companies in the telecommunications industry and other industries in which we compete own large numbers of patents, copyrights and trademarks and frequently enter into litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. As we face increasing competition, the possibility of intellectual property claims against us grows. Our technologies may not be able to withstand any third-party claims or rights against their use.

### **IDT Telecom**

IDT Telecom currently owns 3 issued patents and has 8 pending patent applications in the United States. IDT Telecom has 13 foreign patent applications pending in various countries abroad.

### **IDT Capital**

IDT Capital currently owns a combined total of 12 pending patent applications in the United States and in various countries abroad that relate to either business operations it oversees or businesses-in-development. IDT Capital also owns, or has licenses to, certain trademark and service mark registrations and pending applications in the United States and additional registrations abroad.

# **IDT Spectrum**

IDT Spectrum currently owns 3 issued patents and one pending patent application in the United States as well as 14 foreign patents and 8 foreign patent applications pending in various countries abroad.

### Net2Phone

Net2Phone currently owns 37 issued patents and has over 20 pending patent applications in the United States. Net2Phone has 33 foreign issued patents, and over 11 patent applications pending abroad. Many of these patents relate to VoIP communications.

21

On June 1, 2006, we filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging that eBay, Inc., Skype Technologies SA, Skype, Inc. and several as-of-yet-unidentified business entities (collectively, Skype) infringed a patent owned by us. Our complaint was amended to include claims for Skype s alleged infringement of additional patents, all owned by us. The lawsuit seeks, among other things, an injunction enjoining Skype from infringing these patents and monetary damages in connection with Skype s alleged infringement. Skype has answered the complaint, denying any liability with respect to the Company s claims.

Net2Phone owns more than 22 trademark and service mark registrations in the United States. Net2Phone owns more than 135 trademark and service mark registrations and pending applications in various foreign countries. Net2Phone s most important mark is NET2PHONE. Net2Phone has made a significant investment in protecting this mark, and Net2Phone believes it has achieved recognition in the United States and abroad. Net2Phone is currently engaged in an international filing program to file trademark applications for trademark registrations of the mark NET2PHONE in a number of foreign countries.

### **INTERNATIONAL SALES**

In fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005, revenue from customers located outside of the United States accounted for approximately 31%, 31%, and 27% of our total revenues, respectively. We anticipate that revenues from international customers will continue to account for a significant percentage of our total revenues.

#### **EMPLOYEES**

As of October 1, 2007, we had a total of approximately 2,360 employees.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

### **RISK FACTORS**

Our business, operating results or financial condition could be materially adversely affected by any of the following risks as well as the other risks highlighted elsewhere in this document, particularly the discussions about regulation, competition and intellectual property. The trading price of our Class B common stock and common stock could decline due to any of these risks.

# RISKS RELATED TO OUR TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUSINESSES

Each of our telecommunications business lines is highly sensitive to declining prices, which may adversely affect our revenues and margins.

The worldwide telecommunications industry has been characterized in recent years by intense price competition, which has resulted in a significant decline in both our average per-minute price realizations and our average per-minute termination costs, as well as more recent decreases in revenue in our calling cards division. Many of our competitors in all of the retail telecommunications market segments in which we operate are aggressively pricing their services. We believe that a factor in our competitors—ability to aggressively price their services is attributable to misrepresentations made by certain of our competitors regarding their services, which led us to file a lawsuit against our competitors in an attempt to level the playing field in our industry. Our intense competition has led to continued erosion in our pricing power,

both in our retail and wholesale markets, and we have generally had to pass along any savings we achieve on our per minute costs to our customers in the form of lower prices. Any increase by us in pricing may result in our prices not being as attractive, which may result in a reduction of revenue. We have recently introduced increased pricing on certain of our calling card products, which, along with other competitive factors, has caused revenue generated by our prepaid calling cards to decrease as a result of decreased traffic. If this trend continues or intensifies, it could have a material adverse effect on the revenues generated by our telecommunications business lines or our ability to maintain our margins.

Because our calling cards generate the bulk of our revenue, our growth and our results of operations are substantially dependent upon growth in this business, and we face significant competition.

During fiscal 2007, our Prepaid Products segment generated \$936.7 million in revenues, which accounted for 54.1% of IDT Telecom s revenues and 46.5% of our total consolidated revenues. Our calling card businesses account for over 97% of the revenues of our Prepaid Products segment. Accordingly, our results of operations and future growth depend on the performance of this business. We compete in the prepaid calling card market

22

with many of the established facilities-based carriers, such as AT&T, Verizon and Sprint. These companies are substantially larger and have greater financial, technical, engineering, personnel and marketing resources, longer operating histories, greater name recognition and larger customer bases than we do. The use by these competitors of their resources could significantly impact our ability to compete successfully.

In addition to these larger competitors, we face significant competition from smaller calling card providers, who from time-to-time offer rates that are substantially below our rates, and in some instances below what we believe to be the cost to provide the service, in order to gain market share. This type of pricing by one or more competitors can adversely affect our revenues, as they gain market share at our expense, and our gross margins, if we lower rates in order to better compete. We believe one of the reasons that certain of our competitors are able to offer lower pricing is because their cards do not deliver all the minutes they claim to sell. Accordingly, on March 8, 2007, we filed a civil anti-fraud action in the federal district court in Newark, New Jersey, claiming that these competitors have been misleading calling card customers, and as a result, negatively impacting our market share, resulting in a reduction of our revenues and profits. Although the judge in this case chose not to grant the preliminary injunction we requested, a decision which was affirmed on appeal, we are continuing with this lawsuit. We are uncertain, even with the potential of fair competition, whether we will be able to regain revenues lost over the past number of quarters. Additionally, we cannot be assured that our actions will adjust the market so that we can better compete.

The continued growth of the use of wireless services, largely due to lower pricing of such services, has adversely affected the sales of our prepaid calling cards as customers migrate from using prepaid calling cards to wireless services. We expect pricing of wireless services to continue to decrease, resulting in increased substitution of prepaid calling cards by wireless services and increased pricing pressure on our prepaid calling cards.

If we are not able to increase or maintain our sales of prepaid calling cards, our overall results of operations could continue to materially suffer. Further, if our competitors continue to utilize their greater resources or operate at lower levels of profitability in order to more aggressively market their products and services, or continue to mislead calling card customers, this significant portion of our revenues and profitability could continue to be adversely affected.

We may not be able to obtain sufficient or cost-effective termination capacity to particular destinations.

Most of our telecommunications traffic is terminated through third-party providers. In order to support our minutes-of-use demands and geographic expansion, we may need to obtain additional termination capacity or destinations. We may not be able to obtain sufficient termination capacity from high-quality carriers to particular destinations or may have to pay significant amounts to obtain such capacity. This could result in our not being able to support our minutes-of-use demands or in a higher cost-per-minute to particular destinations, which could adversely affect our revenues and margins.

The termination of our carrier agreements with foreign partners or our inability to enter into carrier agreements in the future could materially and adversely affect our ability to compete in foreign countries, which could reduce our revenues and profits.

We rely upon our carrier agreements with foreign partners in order to provide our telecommunications services to our customers. These carrier agreements are for finite terms and, therefore, there can be no guarantee that these agreements will be renewed at all or on favorable terms to us. Our ability to compete in foreign countries would be adversely affected if our carrier agreements with foreign partners were terminated or we were unable to enter into carrier agreements in the future to provide our telecommunications services to our customers, which could result in a reduction of our revenues and profits.

Our customers, particularly our wholesale carrier customers, could experience financial difficulties, which could adversely affect our revenues and profitability if we experience difficulties in collecting our receivables.

As a provider of international long distance services, we depend upon sales of transmission and termination of traffic to other long distance providers and the collection of receivables from these customers. The wholesale market continues to feature many smaller, less financially stable companies. If continued weakness in the telecommunications industry reduces our ability to collect our accounts receivable from our major customers, particularly our wholesale carrier customers, our profitability may be substantially reduced. While our most significant customers vary from quarter to quarter, our five largest wholesale carrier customers accounted for

5.4% of our total consolidated revenues in fiscal 2007 compared with 5.1% in fiscal 2006. This concentration of revenues increases our exposure to non-payment by our larger customers, and we may experience significant write-offs related to the provision of wholesale carrier services if any of our large customers fail to pay their outstanding balances, which could adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

Our revenues will continue to suffer if our distributors and sales representatives, particularly Union Telecard Alliance, LLC, fail to effectively market and distribute our prepaid calling card products and other services.

We currently rely on our distributors and representatives for marketing and distribution of our prepaid calling card products and other services. We hold a 51% ownership interest in Union Telecard Alliance, LLC, or UTA, which utilizes a network of more than 1,000 sub-distributors (ranging from large companies to sole proprietors) that sell to retail outlets throughout the United States to distribute our prepaid calling cards. Subject to provisions of early termination, our exclusive distribution agreement with UTA is set to expire on April 24, 2009. In addition to UTA s sub-distributors, UTA is in the early stages of developing its own direct-to-retailer distribution network in order to increase revenues and margins by, among other things, driving sales with an increased brand identity. We believe that the development of UTA s own direct-to retailer distribution network may take significant time, and there is no assurance UTA can successfully or cost-effectively build such a network or that the development of UTA s distribution network may not otherwise adversely affect our business.

In foreign countries, we are dependent upon our distributors and independent sales representatives, many of which also sell services or products of other companies. As a result, we cannot control whether these foreign distributors and sales representatives will devote sufficient efforts to selling our services. In addition, we may not succeed in finding capable retailers and sales representatives in new markets that we may enter. If our distributors or sales representatives fail to effectively market or distribute our prepaid calling card products and other services, our ability to generate revenues and grow our customer base could be substantially impaired.

Increased competition in the consumer and business telephone market, particularly from the regional bell operating companies, or RBOCs, and cable operators, could accelerate our customer churn rate, revenue declines and profit declines in that business.

We offer stand-alone long distance phone service to residential and business subscribers throughout the United States and we offer local service, bundled with long distance service, to residential subscribers in 11 states. The U.S. consumer phone services industry is characterized by numerous entities competing for a relatively static number of customers, leading to a high customer turnover rate because customers frequently change service providers in response to offers of lower rates or promotional incentives. Competition in the United States to provide phone services is intense. Our primary competitors in the long distance market include major long distance carriers and the RBOCs. The three RBOCs are (i) AT&T (which acquired BellSouth in December 2006), (ii) Qwest and (iii) Verizon (which now includes MCI). Each of the RBOCs continues to enjoy a virtual monopoly as the Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier, or ILEC, in its respective territory and the RBOCs are well funded. In a battle for market share, the RBOCs have considerable resources and we expect the RBOCs to continue to increase their share of the long distance market. Some of our competitors offer products and services available as part of their bundled service offerings, such as wireless services, high speed Internet access and television, that we do not presently offer as a bundled service offering.

We also compete in the consumer phone services market with cable operators. Many cable operators market their cable telephony product as a VoIP service, so they do not charge certain fees, such as the Subscriber Line Charge and the Federal Excise Tax, to subscribers, thus permitting the cable operators to provide their service at highly competitive rates. Cable operators also offer television and high-speed Internet access along with their telephony product, providing a one stop shopping service. In addition, we are at a disadvantage vis-à-vis cable operators because cable operators have their own network and are not reliant on ILEC facilities to provide service and are not affected by regulatory uncertainty facing access to and the cost of ILEC facilities. In particular, we face an additional competitive challenge because Cablevision and Time Warner two cable operators that have been particularly aggressive in rolling out a cable telephony product have clusters of cable franchises that overlap areas where a high percentage of our local telephony subscribers are located.

In the consumer phone services market, we also compete with stand-alone VoIP operators, who provide service over a customer s existing broadband Internet connection. While these operators have captured a relatively small portion of the overall market to date, their share is growing.

This increased competition could accelerate our customer churn rate, revenue declines and profit declines in the consumer and business telecom markets, thereby reducing the duration that we can harvest the business.

We rely on the RBOCs for access to our consumer customers premises, and if that access is not maintained our ability to offer local telephone service will be constrained.

We rely on utilizing the RBOCs networks to gain access to our customers premises to provide the local portion of our bundled local and long distance services. That access was previously assured by the UNE-P rules of the Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, which mandated that the RBOCs make their networks available to alternate service providers, such as us, at set rates. In February 2005, the FCC effectively repealed the UNE-P rules, which has constrained our ability to compete. We have entered into agreements with Verizon, AT&T and BellSouth (acquired by AT&T in December 2006) granting us access to their respective networks, albeit at higher rates than we paid under the UNE-P system. This has impaired our overall ability to offer our bundled service at competitive rates and has led to a decline in our consumer phone services business and our overall revenues. Further, as the consumer bundled service has higher margins than does most of our other telecom offerings, the decrease in the proportions of our overall revenues from that source has negatively affected our overall profit margins.

We have invested and are continuing to invest significant time and resources in an attempt to grow the business and subscriber base of our TúYo Mobile wireless unit, which ultimately may not be profitable.

Although we have invested significant time and resources into the growth of TúYo Mobile, such investments may not be realized and the business may not be profitable. Competition in the MVNO market has been fierce, and a number of other mobile wireless service providers have also targeted the Hispanic population. Such intense competition presents significant pricing pressures and results in relatively low margins, and many other MVNOs have already failed. In addition, the costs we incur in acquiring TúYo Mobile subscribers may not provide us with a reasonable return on investment, due to the risk of high turnover of subscribers.

### **RISKS RELATED TO IDT ENERGY**

In the event that certain best practices and programs with which we comply were to be revised, it could disrupt our operations and adversely affect our results and operations.

Certain retail access best practices and programs proposed and/or required by the NYPSC have been implemented by utilities in several service territories in which IDT Energy operates. IDT Energy utilizes and has incorporated these practices and programs in its current business plan. These practices include: ESCO referral programs, purchase of receivables, access to customer data, and alignment of utility incentives. In particular, we participate in a program under which certain utilities offer guarantees of customer receivables in exchange for a percentage, generally less than or equal to 1.5%, of the total receivables of our customers in their region. This program is a key component of our control of bad debt risk in our ESCO business. In the event that any of these best practices or programs were to be revised or eliminated by the NYPSC or the individual utilities, we would need to adjust IDT Energy s current strategy regarding customer acquisition and its focus on the growth of its customer base. Any failure to properly respond to changing conditions could adversely affect our results of operations and profitability. The NYPSC recently initiated a proceeding in order to generically examine the utility programs and practices it directed in recent years to advance the development of the competitive retail market for electricity and natural gas in New York. According to the NYPSC s Notice in this proceeding, the NYPSC stated that it may be appropriate at this time to review these programs and practices given the existence of numerous ESCOs providing competitive retail services and the current condition of the market. The NYPSC has yet to issue an order in this proceeding and it is unclear when and how the NYPSC may rule on the utility programs and practices currently in place and whether IDT Energy may be

adversely affected by any related rulings or rate proceedings of the specific utility.

Our current strategy with respect to our energy business is based on current regulatory and market conditions and assumptions which could change or prove to be incorrect.

Our current approach to the ESCO business is to aggressively seek to add customers through active marketing. We believe that is the proper strategy based on market conditions, the financial results of the business and our ability to manage our costs and risk profile. All of those factors are subject to change based on changes in the relatively young industry, weather conditions and the prices for energy which are subject to market, regulatory,

25

geopolitical and other factors out of our control. Milder weather than expected could reduce demand for our services. With respect to the regulatory environment, regulation over the electricity and gas markets have been in flux at the state and federal levels. In particular, the pricing for capacity, energy and ancillary services in the NYISO markets continues to evolve as the NYISO recently has filed changes to its market rules involving Installed Capacity in New York City with FERC to address market power mitigation issues. To the extent these and other NYISO market changes are adopted by FERC, they may be subject to rehearing, judicial review and complaints by market participants or the NYISO. Any proposed NYISO market changes may affect the prices at which IDT Energy purchases electricity for its customers. While we seek to pass along increases in energy costs to our customers, we may not always be able to do so. Any changes in these factors, or if the industry development changes significantly, could have an adverse effect on the revenues, profitability and growth of this business or call into question the viability of our current growth strategy.

The ESCO business, and our participation in this market, is relatively new and evolving factors could adversely impact the market and our performance.

The ESCO business grew out of the deregulation of the energy market in the State of New York, which only began in 2000. Further, IDT only entered the market in 2004. Accordingly, the entire market is still evolving and we are continuing to hone our operations and strategy. We cannot predict how the market will develop or if our focus on customer acquisition and growth will prove to be the proper strategy. If our presumptions prove to be incorrect, the results of operations of this business could be adversely affected. Moreover, the ESCO business is fiercely competitive and competitors often adopt unfair business practices to sign up new customers. Such unfair practices by other companies can adversely affect our ability to grow or maintain our customer base.

#### **RISKS RELATED TO IDT CARMEL**

IDT Carmel Portfolio Management may not be able to purchase consumer receivables portfolios at appropriate prices, and a decrease in our ability to purchase portfolios of receivables could adversely affect our ability to generate revenue and profits.

Our success depends on the continued availability of consumer receivable portfolios that meet our purchasing criteria and our ability to identify and finance the purchase of such portfolios. The availability of consumer receivable portfolios at favorable prices and on terms acceptable to us depend on many factors outside of our control, including, but not limited to, the continuation of the current growth trend in consumer debt and the continued volume of consumer receivable portfolios available for sale. We may not be able to continue to acquire receivables in sufficient amounts to operate efficiently and profitably.

IDT Carmel may not be able to collect the expected amounts on its consumer receivables portfolios, which would adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

Many factors affect our ability to recover on the debt portfolios that we purchased through IDT Carmel, many of which are out of our control. For example, our ability to recover on the debt portfolios purchased through IDT Carmel may decrease in a weak economic cycle. Furthermore, a weak economic cycle may depress portfolio market prices thus reducing the value of existing portfolios in our inventory. The inability to recover on our debt portfolios at the rates anticipated would adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

The debt collection business is heavily regulated and highly competitive, which could adversely affect our operations.

Any change in laws, rules, regulations and ordinances may negatively limit our ability to acquire, recover and/or enforce our rights with respect to receivables and may create compliance exposure. Increased regulation of debt portfolio companies at the state level may negatively limit our ability to acquire and manage debt portfolios through our IDT Carmel Portfolio Management, LLC subsidiary. Debtors and regulatory authorities frequently sue violators, including via class action lawsuits under consumer credit, collections, employment, securities and other laws

The consumer debt collection industry is highly competitive and fragmented. We compete in the acquisition of portfolios and collection accounts with a wide range of other purchasers of consumer receivables, third party collection agencies, large buyers of debt who have developed certain key relationships with sellers of receivables and large entities with strong financial resources that purchase small to mid-size debt collection companies for strategic purposes. Some of our competitors may have substantially greater personnel, capital and financial resources. The potential entry of new competitors, including companies that historically focused

26

on the acquisition of different asset types, and the expected increase in competition from current market participants may reduce our access to consumer receivable portfolios. Aggressive pricing by our competitors could raise the price of consumer receivable portfolios above levels that we are willing to pay, which could reduce the number of consumer receivable portfolios suitable for us to purchase or if purchased by us, reduce the profits, if any, generated by such portfolios. If we are unable to purchase receivable portfolios at favorable prices or at all, our finance income and earnings could be materially reduced and substantially hinder our ability to grow the business. Furthermore, in this highly competitive industry, maintaining skilled and motivated collectors is essential to being competitive, and is increasingly difficult. Our failure to compete effectively for skilled collectors and portfolios can adversely affect the development, growth and profitability of this business.

IDT Carmel s collections may decrease if bankruptcy filings increase or if bankruptcy laws change.

During times of economic recession, the amount of charged-off consumer receivables generally increases, which contributes to an increase in the amount of personal bankruptcy filings. Under certain bankruptcy filings, a debtor s assets are sold to repay creditors, but since the charged-off consumer receivables we are attempting to collect are generally unsecured or secured on a second or third priority basis, we often would not be able to collect on those receivables. Our collections may decline with an increase in bankruptcy filings or if the bankruptcy laws change in a manner adverse to our business, in which case, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

### RISKS RELATED TO OUR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE AND GROWTH STRATEGY

We have incurred significant losses since our inception, which could cause the trading price of our stock to decline.

We have incurred significant losses since our inception. During fiscal 2007, we had consolidated net income of \$58.6 million, as a result, in part, of a \$205.2 million gain recorded on the sale of IDT Entertainment and a \$44.7 million gain on the sale of our U.K.-based Toucan business. Absent these gains, we would have recorded a net loss, as we have in prior fiscal years. If we are not able to achieve overall profitability or maintain any profitability that we do achieve, the trading price of our stock could be negatively affected.

Our growth strategy depends, in part, on our acquiring complementary businesses and assets and expanding our existing operations, which we may be unable to do.

Our growth strategy is based, in part, on our ability to acquire businesses and assets that are typically complimentary to our existing operations. The success of this acquisition strategy will depend, in part, on our ability to accomplish the following:

identify suitable businesses or assets to buy; complete the purchase of those businesses on terms acceptable to us; complete the acquisitions in the time frame we expect;

improve the results of operations of the businesses that we buy and successfully integrate their operations into our own; and avoid or overcome any concerns expressed by regulators, including antitrust concerns.

There can be no assurance that we will be successful in pursuing any or all of these steps. Our failure to implement our acquisition strategy could have an adverse effect on other aspects of our business strategy and our business in general. We may not be able to find appropriate acquisition candidates, acquire those candidates that we find or integrate acquired businesses effectively or profitably.

Our acquisition program and strategy may lead us to contemplate acquisitions of companies in distress or in bankruptcy, which entail additional risks and uncertainties. Such risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, that, before assets may be acquired, customers may leave in search of more stable providers and vendors may terminate key relationships. Also, assets are generally acquired on an as is basis, with no recourse to the seller if the assets are not as valuable as may be represented. Finally, while distressed or bankrupt companies may be acquired for comparatively less money, the cost of continuing the operations may significantly exceed expectations.

We have in the past used, and may continue to use, our capital stock as payment for all or a portion of the purchase price for acquisitions. If we issue significant amounts of our capital stock for such acquisitions, this could result in substantial dilution of the equity interests of our stockholders.

27

We hold significant cash, cash equivalents marketable securities and investments that are subject to various market risks.

As of July 31, 2007, we had approximately \$661.0 million of cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities, and investments. As discussed below in the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure of Market Risk section, due to the variety of financial instruments that we hold we are exposed to various market risks. In particular, we are exposed to changes in interest rates primarily from our investments in cash equivalents and marketable debt securities. Furthermore, we hold a portion of our total asset portfolio (included in investments) in holdings of pooled investment vehicles, including hedge funds, that we hold for strategic and speculative purposes; as of July 31, 2007, the carrying value of our investments in such pooled investment vehicles was approximately \$112.3 million. This carries a degree of risk, as there can be no assurance that the managers of the hedge funds in which we have invested will be able to accurately predict the course of price movements of securities and other instruments and, in general, the securities markets have in recent years been characterized by great volatility and unpredictability. As a result of these different market risks, our holdings of cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and investments could be materially and adversely affected.

### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, TAX AND REGULATORY RISKS

We may be adversely affected if we fail to protect our proprietary technology.

We depend on proprietary technology and other intellectual property rights in conducting our various business operations. We rely on a combination of patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secret protection and contractual rights to establish and protect our proprietary rights. Failure of our patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secret protection, non-disclosure agreements and other measures to provide protection of our technology and our intellectual property rights could enable our competitors to more effectively compete with us and have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, we may be required to litigate in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims of infringement or invalidity. Any such litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations, and there can be no assurances that we will be successful in any such litigation. To date, we have not been successful in litigating certain infringement claims regarding patents owned by our Net2Phone subsidiary relating to VoIP technology.

We may be subject to claims of infringement of intellectual property rights of others.

From time to time we may be subject to claims and legal proceedings from third parties regarding alleged infringement by us of trademarks, copyrights, patents and other intellectual property rights. Such suits can be expensive and time consuming and could distract us and our management from focusing on our businesses. Further, loss of such suits could result in financial burdens and the requirement to modify our modes of operation, which could materially adversely affect our business.

IDT is subject to tax and regulatory audits which could result in the imposition of liabilities that may or may not have been reserved against.

IDT is subject to audit by taxing and regulatory authorities with respect to certain of its income and operations. These audits can cover periods for several years prior to the date the audit is undertaken and could result in the imposition of liabilities, interest and penalties if IDT s positions are not accepted by the auditing entity. Our financial statements contain reserves against certain of such liabilities, but we do not reserve against liabilities that we do not reasonably expect to be imposed.

On February 10, 2006, Universal Service Administrative Company, or USAC, notified us that it issued an Audit Report from its Internal Audit Division, or IAD. In calendar year 2005, the IAD audited our FCC Form 499-A filings for calendar years 2000 through 2004 related to the payments to the Universal Service Fund, and concluded that we incorrectly reported certain revenues on Forms 499-A. USAC directed us to refile our Forms 499-A for calendar years 2002 through 2004 in a manner consistent with the IAD s findings. We did not refile the Forms 499-A, as we believed the IAD is mistaken in certain conclusions regarding the treatment of our revenues. USAC, however, filed the forms on our behalf, which we believe to be impermissible under the FCC s rules and regulations.

28

We filed with the FCC a Request for Review of the Audit Report, which remains pending as of the date we are filing this Annual Report. USAC s revisions to our filing methodology resulted in additional regulatory payments for the years covered by the audit. Because we believe in the accuracy of our filing methodology and our Request remains pending, we have not revised our methodology for post-audit Form 499-A filings. We have accrued for all regulatory fees we believe may be incurred under IAD s methodology from 2002 through the present, in the event our Request is denied and/or our methodology is not upheld on appeal, and we have made payments on amounts that have been invoiced to us by USAC. The accrual amount for the years covered by the audit and subsequent years, as of July 31, 2007, is \$42.7 million. Until a final decision has been reached in our dispute, we will continue to accrue in accordance with IAD s methodology. Our total accrual will likely continue to increase unless we remit certain payments to avoid late fees and/or penalties in spite of our pending appeal. If we do not properly calculate, or have not properly calculated, the amount payable by us to the Universal Service Fund, we may be subject to interest and penalties.

On June 5, 2007, we were notified by USAC that it intended to audit our Form 499-A filings for calendar years 2005 and 2006. USAC s audit remains ongoing as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Since the audit has not concluded, we believe it is premature to state what, if any, legal or financial impact the audit may have on us.

The Internal Revenue Service, in the ordinary course of business, may audit some or all of our tax filings. In 2006, the IRS commenced an audit of us covering our fiscal years 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, which audit is ongoing and is of a broad scope. Our pre-tax income (loss) for those years was \$741.8 million, \$(281.2) million, \$(91.4) million and \$(99.6) million, respectively.

As discussed above, we are currently subject to audits by different European taxing authorities, including audits relating to VAT we have not collected from calling cards sold to distributors who, in turn, resell such cards in Europe. In the conduct of such audits, we may be required to disclose information of a sensitive nature and, in general, to modify the way we have conducted business with our distributors until the present, which may affect our business in an adverse manner. An additional audit of our VAT payments and our taxes is ongoing in the Netherlands.

Imposition of assessments as a result of tax and regulatory audits could have an adverse affect on our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Federal, state, local and international government regulations may reduce our ability to provide services or make our business less profitable.

We are subject to varying degrees of regulation by federal, state, local and foreign regulators in each of our businesses. The implementation, modification, interpretation and enforcement of these laws and regulations vary and can limit our ability to provide many of our services. Our ability to compete in our target markets depends, in part, upon favorable regulatory conditions and the favorable interpretations of existing laws and regulations. For example, in February 2005, the FCC eliminated the availability of unbundled local switching at TELRIC prices, and thereby eliminated the ability of CLECs to obtain a full UNE Platform that provides all elements of local dial-tone service at TELRIC prices. The FCC also limited the availability of high-capacity loops and dedicated transport elements at TELRIC prices; these elements are now available only in particular markets that do not meet tests for the presence of competitive facilities as detailed in the FCC s rules. These changes have resulted in higher wholesale rates payable by us and have impaired our ability to offer local phone service at competitive rates.

In addition, pursuant to rules adopted by the FCC, our consumer phone services business is required to contribute to the Universal Service Fund. The FCC has proceedings underway to evaluate possible changes to the current rules for assessing contributions for the Universal Service Fund. Any change in the current assessment calculation procedure could result in higher fees payable by our consumer phone services business and could adversely affect our revenues and margins in consumer phone services.

We are subject to various taxes, fees and charges imposed pursuant to the different regulations to which we are subject. We believe that the reserves we have allocated for such taxes, fees and charges are adequate, but there can be no assurance that our assumptions and calculations used in determining the size of the reserves will be

agreed with by the applicable regulatory bodies. In addition, such reservations and the amounts we are obligated to pay are based upon our positions under, and the interpretations we have taken of, complex regulatory schemes. Any changes to such regulations or in our business that affect our positions under such regulations could result in an increase in the amounts we are obligated to pay (on a prospective basis and, potentially, retroactively), and could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

We may become subject to increased price competition from other carriers due to federal regulatory changes in determining international settlement rates.

Revenues from, and payments made in connection with, international service reflect payments under agreements between us and foreign telecommunications administrations or private carriers, which are influenced by the guidelines of the international tariff and trade regulations and cover virtually all international calls to and from the United States. Various factors, including declining settlement rates, could affect the amount of net settlement payments from carriers to us in future years. These include changes in the proportion of outgoing as opposed to incoming calls. Any federal regulatory change to international telecommunications policy and/or settlement rates, may adversely affect our revenues costs and profitability.

Federal and state regulations may be passed that could harm Net2Phone s business.

Net2Phone s ability to provide VoIP communications services at attractive rates arises in large part from the fact that VoIP services are not currently subject to the same level of regulation as traditional, switch-based telephony. As such, VoIP providers can currently avoid paying some of the charges that traditional telephone companies must pay. Local exchange carriers are lobbying the FCC and the states to regulate VoIP on the same basis as traditional telephone services. Congress, the FCC and several states are examining this issue. If these regulators decide to increase VoIP regulations, they may impose surcharges, taxes or additional regulations upon providers of Internet telephony. These surcharges could include access charges payable to local exchange carriers to carry and terminate traffic or other charges and fees. The imposition of any such additional fees, charges, taxes and regulations on IP communications services could materially increase our costs and may limit or eliminate our competitive pricing advantages. In addition, we expect that regulations requiring compliance with the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA), or provision of 911 services required for traditional telecommunications providers, could place a significant financial burden on us depending on the technical changes required to accommodate the requirements. As a result of recent FCC actions regarding interconnect VoIP, we believe states may attempt to impose new or additional regulatory obligations and require us to pay additional charges and taxes. As a result, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our ability to offer services outside the United States is subject to the local regulatory environment, which may be unfavorable, complicated and often uncertain.

Regulatory treatment outside the United States varies from country to country. We distribute our products and services through resellers that may be subject to telecommunications regulations in their home countries. The failure of these resellers to comply with these laws and regulations could reduce our revenue and profitability, or expose us to audits and other regulatory proceedings. Regulatory developments such as these could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

In many countries in which we operate or our services are sold, the status of the laws that may relate to our services is unclear. We cannot be certain that our customers, resellers, or other affiliates are currently in compliance with regulatory or other legal requirements in their respective countries, that they or we will be able to comply with existing or future requirements, and/or that they or we will continue in compliance with any requirements. Our failure or the failure of those with whom we transact business to comply with these requirements could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

While we expect additional regulation of our industry in some or all of these areas, and we expect continuing changes in the regulatory environment as new and proposed regulations are reviewed, revised and amended, we cannot predict with certainty what impact new laws in

these areas will have on us, if any. For a complete discussion of what we believe are the most material regulations impacting our business, see Business Regulation included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

30

### **RISKS RELATED TO OUR CAPITAL STRUCTURE**

Holders of our Class B common stock have significantly less voting power than holders of our Class A common stock and our common stock.

Holders of our Class B common stock are entitled to one-tenth of a vote per share on all matters on which our stockholders are entitled to vote, while holders of our Class A common stock are entitled to three votes per share and holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote per share. As a result, the ability of holders of our Class B common stock to influence the management of our Company is limited.

IDT is controlled by its principal stockholder, which limits the ability of other stockholders to affect the management of IDT.

Howard S. Jonas, our Chairman of the Board and founder, has voting power over 11,642,130 shares of our common stock (which includes 9,816,988 shares of our Class A common stock, which are convertible into shares of our common stock on a 1-for-1 basis) and 5,253,946 shares of our Class B common stock, representing approximately 63.5% of the combined voting power of our outstanding capital stock, as of October 5, 2007. Mr. Jonas is able to control matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of all of the directors and the approval of significant corporate matters, including any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. As a result, the ability of any of our other stockholders to influence the management of our Company is limited.

### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

### Item 2. Properties.

Our headquarters are located in Newark, New Jersey in an approximately 484,000 square foot facility that we occupy under a lease that expires in 2019. Our headquarters house our executive offices, administrative, finance and marketing functions, carrier and customer service departments and our various developing operations, and serve as the headquarters for each of our operating segments.

We also occupy space in both leased and owned properties in New Jersey, Los Angeles, California, and other locations in metropolitan areas primarily to house telecommunications equipment.

We lease office space in Washington, D.C. for federal legal and government relations personnel.

We lease office space in Silver Spring, MD, which houses WMET s studio.

We own a building of approximately 45,000 square feet in Puerto Rico, 50% of which houses one of our call center operations and 50% of which is leased to a third party.

We maintain our European headquarters in London, England (corporate and carrier operations) and Dublin, Ireland (retail operations). We also maintain various international office locations and telecommunications facilities in portions of Europe, South America, Central America, Asia and Africa where we conduct operations.

We own approximately 86,100 square feet of a building in Jerusalem that houses one of our call centers and other operations. IDT leases space in three other locations in Israel to house call center operations.

IDT Capital has a controlling interest in a joint venture which holds a 100% leasehold interest in two leased buildings totaling 120,000 square feet in Palo Alto, California.

# Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

On May 5, 2004, we filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, seeking injunctive relief and damages against Tyco Group, S.A.R.L., Tyco Telecommunications (US) Inc. (f/k/a TyCom (US) Inc.), Tyco International, Ltd., Tyco International (US) Inc., and TyCom Ltd. We alleged that the defendants breached a settlement agreement that they had entered into with us to resolve certain disputes and civil actions among the parties. We alleged that the defendants did not provide us, as required under the settlement agreement, free of charge and for our exclusive use, a 15-year indefeasible right to use four Wavelengths in Ring Configuration (as defined in the settlement agreement) ( Wavelengths ) on a global undersea

31

fiber optic network that TyCom Ltd. was deploying at that time. In June 2004, Tyco International (US) Inc. and Tyco Telecommunications (US) Inc. asserted several counterclaims against us, alleging that we breached the settlement agreement and are liable for damages for allegedly refusing to accept the defendants—offer regarding the Wavelengths and for making a public statement that Tyco failed to provide us with the use of its Wavelengths. The parties completed pre-trial discovery and each party filed motions for summary judgment. On July 11, 2007, the Court granted our motion for partial summary judgment on liability, and granted our motion for summary judgment on Tyco s counterclaims.

On or about August 27, 2003, Aerotel, Ltd., Aerotel U.S.A., and Aerotel U.S.A., LLC ( Aerotel ) filed a complaint against us in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, seeking damages for alleged infringement of a patent. The parties reached a settlement and pursuant to a stipulation of dismissal all claims and counterclaims have been dismissed. The settlement provides for a payment of \$15 million in cash to Aerotel, which we paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, and making available to Aerotel calling cards or PINs over time with potential termination costs of up to \$15 million, subject to certain other conditions. In connection with this settlement, we accrued an expense in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007 that is included in the Prepaid Products segment selling, general and administrative expenses.

On March 29, 2004, D. Michael Jewett ( Jewett ), a former employee whose employment we terminated less than seven months after he was first hired, filed a complaint against us in the United States District Court, District of New Jersey, following his termination. The complaint alleges (i) violations of the New Jersey Anti-Racketeering Statute; (ii) violations of the New Jersey Conscientious Employee Protection Act ( CEPA ); (iii) violations of the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination ( LAD ); (iv) common law defamation; and (v) New Jersey common law intentional infliction of emotional distress. Jewett is seeking damages of \$31 million, plus attorneys fees. The Court dismissed the Anti-Racketeering claim and a portion of the LAD claim; and narrowed the remaining claims described above. On January 25, 2006, Jewett filed an amended supplemental pleading which we moved to dismiss. Plaintiff opposed our motion. On September 11, 2007, Judge Chesler issued an order which dismissed the CEPA and LAD claims, without prejudice, against all individual defendants with the exception of Jewett s direct supervisor. Judge Chesler also granted in part and denied in part our motion to dismiss the supplemental complaint. Judge Chesler dismissed plaintiff s abuse of process and defamation claims with prejudice. However, the judge denied the motion to dismiss the count for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress. Plaintiff also sought leave to amend his complaint and supplemental complaint to add some additional claims, which was denied as well. The parties are engaged in discovery.

On or about April 1, 2004, Jewett sent a copy of his complaint to the United States Attorney s Office because in his complaint, Jewett alleged, among other things, that improper payments were made to foreign officials in connection with an IDT Telecom contract. As a result, the Department of Justice, the Securities and Exchange Commission and the United States Attorney in Newark, New Jersey conducted an investigation of this matter. We and the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors initiated independent investigations, conducted by outside counsel, regarding certain of the matters raised in the Jewett complaint and in these investigations. Neither our nor the Audit Committee s investigations have found any evidence that we made any such improper payments to foreign officials. We continue to cooperate with these investigations.

On June 1, 2006, we filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging that eBay, Inc., Skype Technologies SA, Skype, Inc. and several as of yet unidentified business entities (collectively, Skype) infringed patents owned by us. Our complaint was amended to include claims for Skype s alleged infringement of additional patents, all owned by us. The lawsuit seeks, among other things, an injunction enjoining Skype from infringing these patents and monetary damages in connection with Skype s alleged infringement. Skype has answered the complaint and amended complaints, denying any liability with respect to our claims.

On March 8, 2007, IDT Telecom, Inc. and UTA filed a complaint and on April 2, 2007 an amended complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against several prepaid calling card companies. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants are systematically falsely promising minutes in their voice prompts and other advertisements that consumers cannot obtain from the cards they have bought. We sought an injunction barring the defendants from continuing their false promises as well as money damages and asserted that the defendants have violated the federal Lanham Act as well as several states—false advertising and

32

deceptive trade practices statutes. We have settled with three of the defendant groups. On May 9, 2007, the judge in the case denied our motion for a preliminary injunction, which decision was affirmed by the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, and also denied motions to dismiss filed by all of the non-settling defendants who claimed that the Court lacked jurisdiction. We are continuing to pursue the case against the non-settling defendants.

In addition to the foregoing, the Company is subject to other legal proceedings that have arisen in the ordinary course of business and have not been finally adjudicated. Although there can be no assurance in this regard, in the opinion of management, none of the legal proceedings to which we are a party, whether discussed above or otherwise, will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

33

### Part II

# Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

### PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DIVIDEND POLICY

Our Class B common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol IDT and our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol IDT.C.

The table below sets forth the high and low sales prices for our Class B common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange for the fiscal periods indicated.

	High	Low
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2006	_	
First Quarter	\$ 13.50	\$ 11.76
Second Quarter	\$ 12.60	\$ 11.38
Third Quarter	\$ 12.75	\$ 10.69
Fourth Quarter	\$ 14.29	\$ 11.01
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2007		
First Quarter	\$ 14.89	\$ 12.34
Second Quarter	\$ 13.79	\$ 12.42
Third Quarter	\$ 13.87	\$ 11.01
Fourth Quarter	\$ 13.08	\$ 9.69

The table below sets forth the high and low sales prices for our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange for the fiscal periods indicated.

	High	Low
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2006	_	
First Quarter	\$ 13.20	\$ 11.99
Second Quarter	\$ 12.40	\$ 11.20
Third Quarter	\$ 12.55	\$ 10.81
Fourth Quarter	\$ 13.90	\$ 10.84
Fiscal year ended July 31, 2007		
First Quarter	\$ 14.48	\$ 12.50
Second Quarter	\$ 14.17	\$ 12.29
Third Quarter	\$ 14.17	\$ 10.57
Fourth Quarter	\$ 12.46	\$ 9.55

On October 5, 2007, there were 312 holders of record of our Class B common stock and 259 holders of record of our common stock. These numbers do not include the number of persons whose shares are in nominee or in street name accounts through brokers. On October 5, 2007, the last sales price reported on the New York Stock Exchange for the Class B common stock was \$8.82 per share and for the common stock was \$8.28 per share.

On April 24, 2007, we paid a \$0.25 per share dividend to shareholders of record of our common stock, Class A common stock and Class B common stock at the close of business on March 27, 2007 in the aggregate amount of \$20.6 million and we paid a cash dividend of \$0.125 per share of our common stock, Class A common stock and Class B common stock on July 31, 2007 to shareholders of record at the close of business on July 23, 2007 in the aggregate amount of \$10.2 million. The Board did not declare a dividend in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The declaration of cash dividends in the future is subject to determination each quarter by the Board of Directors based on a number of factors, including our financial performance and our available cash resources. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that dividends in the future will be equal or similar to previous amounts or that the Board of Directors will not decide to suspend or discontinue the payment of cash dividends.

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K will be contained in our Proxy Statement for our Annual Stockholders Meeting, which we will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after July 31, 2007, and which is incorporated by reference herein.

34

# **Performance Graph of Stock**

The following chart sets forth the cumulative total stockholder return (assuming reinvestment of dividends, if any) on the Company s Class B common stock and common stock from July 31, 2001 through July 31, 2007, as well as the cumulative total return on (i) the New York Stock Exchange Composite Index, (ii) Standard & Poor s SmallCap 600 Index and (iii) the Nasdaq Telecommunications Index during such five-year period. The stock price performance of the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

35

### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

This table provides information with respect to purchases by us of shares of our Class B common stock and common stock during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007.

				Maximum
			Total Number of	Number of Shares
	Total	Average	Shares Purchased	That May Yet
	Number of	Price	as Part of Publicly	Be Purchased
	Shares	Paid per	Announced Plans	Under the Plans
Period	Purchased	Share	or Programs	or Programs(1)
May 1 31, 2007	0	\$ 0	0	24,584,500
June 1 30, 2007(2)	27,350	\$ 11.66	0	24,584,500
July 1 31, 2007(3)	1,761,256	\$ 10.35	1,693,300	22,891,200
Total	1,788,606	\$ 10.37	1,693,300	

<sup>(1)</sup> Under our existing stock repurchase program, approved by our Board of Directors on June 13, 2006, we are authorized to repurchase up to an aggregate of 25 million shares of our Class B common stock and common stock, without regard to class.

36

<sup>(2)</sup> Consists of shares of Class B common stock that were tendered by employees of the Company to satisfy the employees tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of awards of restricted stock. Such shares are repurchased by the Company based on their fair market value on the trading day immediately prior to the vesting date.

<sup>(3)</sup> Consists of 181,900 shares of common stock and 1,511,400 shares of Class B common stock purchased pursuant to the stock repurchase program, resulting in an aggregate of 22,891,200 shares that may yet be purchased under the stock repurchase program, and 67,956 shares of Class B common stock that were tendered by employees of the Company to satisfy the employees tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of awards of restricted stock. Such shares are repurchased by the Company based on their fair market value on the trading day immediately prior to the vesting date.

# Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The selected consolidated financial data presented below for each of the fiscal years in the five-year period ended July 31, 2007 has been derived from our Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm. The selected consolidated financial data relating to IDT Entertainment and Corbina Telecom has been reclassified to discontinued operations for all periods presented. The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Fiscal Year Ended July 31,										
(in thousands, except per share data)		2007		2006		2005		2004		2003
Statement of Operations Data:										
REVENUES:										
Prepaid Products	\$ 936	5,720	\$ 1.	,194,926	\$ 1	,245,546	\$	1,175,445	\$ 1	,097,931
Consumer Phone Services	148	3,773		262,094		333,774		224,980		151,988
Wholesale Telecommunications Services	645	5,136		597,727		592,875		572,041		445,034
IDT Energy	190	),751		112,773		12,047				
IDT Capital	91	1,359		58,902		37,743		94,349		110,092
TOTAL REVENUES	2,012	2,739	2,	,226,422	2	,221,985	:	2,066,815	1	,805,045
COSTS AND EXPENSES:										
Direct cost of revenues (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	1,615	5,047	1.	,779,980	1	,700,866		1,586,343	1	,391,646
Selling, general and administrative (i)	501	1,658		556,161		533,076		464,363		447,341
Depreciation and amortization	80	),011		87,422		93,631		93,795		88,225
Gain on settlement of litigation										(58,034)
Restructuring and impairment charges	33	3,404		23,646		34,212		58,220		13,312
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	2,230	),120	2,	,447,209	2	,361,785		2,202,721	1	,882,490
Gain on sale of U.Kbased Toucan business	44	1,671								
Loss from operations	(172	2,710)	(	(220,787)		(139,800)		(135,906)		(77,445)
Interest income, net	18	3,069		9,416		20,575		23,512		26,268
Other income, net	28	3,980		7,284		71,454		46,563		2,667
Minority interests	(10	),180)		(16,177)		(2,639)		(33,728)		(42,989)
(Provision for) benefit from income taxes	(3	3,605)		(2,576)		(6,317)		30,798		71,196
Loss from continuing operations	(139	),446)	(	(222,840)		(56,727)		(68,761)		(20,303)
Discontinued operations, net of tax:										
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(7	7,165)		(35,883)		12,913		9,850		2,786
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	205	5,235		80,069						
Total discontinued operations	198	3,070		44,186		12,913		9,850		2,786
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 58	3,624	\$ (	(178,654)	\$	(43,814)	\$	(58,911)	\$	(17,517)
Earnings per share:										
Basic and diluted:										
Loss from continuing operations		(1.70)	\$	(2.32)	\$	(0.58)	\$	(0.78)	\$	(0.25)
Net income (loss)	\$	0.71	\$	(1.86)	\$	(0.45)	\$	(0.67)	\$	(0.22)
Weighted-average number of shares used in calculation of basic and										
diluted earnings per share	82	2,165		96,028		97,049		87,920		80,176
Cash dividend declared per common share	\$ 0	).375	\$		\$		\$		\$	
(i) Stock-based compensation included in selling, general and										
administrative expense	\$ 7	7,726	\$	21,521	\$	30,328	\$	8,539	\$	32,286

Table of Contents					
As of July 31					
(in thousands)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
BALANCE SHEET DATA:					
Cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and investments	\$ 661,037	\$ 561,677	\$ 916,718	\$ 1,083,345	\$ 1,053,191
Working capital	301,176	558,342	868,630	910,045	785,557
TOTAL ASSETS	1,367,311	1,762,839	1,977,590	1,856,148	1,732,342
Capital lease obligations long-term portion	23,401	32,122	38,936	31,810	45,084
Notes payable long term portion	82,847	90,370	83,142	9,174	
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 630,162	\$ 803,352	\$ 1,038,197	\$ 1,028,033	\$ 897,527

## Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1934 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including statements that contain the words believes, anticipates, expects, plans, intends and similar w and phrases. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results projected in any forward-looking statement. In addition to the factors specifically noted in the forward-looking statements, other important factors, risks and uncertainties that could result in those differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed under Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors in this Annual Report. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this Annual Report, and we assume no obligation to update the forward-looking statements, or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Investors should consult all of the information set forth in this report and the other information set forth from time to time in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including our reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in Item 8 of this Annual Report.

#### **OVERVIEW**

#### General

We are a multinational holding company with operations that span several industries. Our principal businesses consist of:

IDT Telecom, through which we provide telecommunications services and products worldwide to retail and wholesale customers, including prepaid and rechargeable calling cards, consumer local and long distance service, prepaid wireless phone services and wholesale carrier services;

IDT Energy, which operates our Energy Services Company, or ESCO, in New York State;

IDT Carmel, our receivables portfolio management and collection businesses;

IDT Local Media, which is primarily comprised of CTM Brochure Display, our brochure distribution company, and the WMET-AM radio station in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area; and

IDT Internet Mobile Group, under which we operate our Zedge websites and platform geared toward content for mobile devices, and Zedge Studios, which is focused on creating and distributing proprietary and licensed content for traditional and internet/mobile distribution.

We hold assets and operate other smaller or early-stage initiatives and operations under our IDT Capital subsidiary, including IDT Spectrum, which holds a significant number of FCC licenses for commercial fixed wireless spectrum in the United States, Ethnic Grocery Brands, our grocery distribution business, IDT Global Services, which is primarily comprised of call center operations, and certain real estate investments.

We conduct our business through the following four reportable segments: Prepaid Products, Consumer Phone Services and Wholesale Telecommunications Services, which comprise IDT Telecom, and IDT Energy. All other operating segments that are not reportable individually are collectively called IDT Capital. IDT Capital includes the following businesses: Ethnic Grocery Brands, IDT Carmel, IDT Local Media, IDT Internet Mobile

38

Group and various other smaller lines of business. The primary changes in our reportable segments from fiscal 2006 include: (1) bifurcation of the Retail Telecommunications Services segment into the Prepaid Products and Consumer Phone Services segments, (2) the integration of the VoIP segment into the Prepaid Products and Wholesale Telecommunications Services segments, (3) the breakout of IDT Energy as a reportable segment separate from IDT Capital, and (4) our subsidiary IDT Spectrum is no longer a reportable segment and is included in IDT Capital.

## **Acquisitions**

#### Internet Mobile Group

In December 2006, we formed our IDT Internet Mobile Group and acquired 90% of Norway-based Zedge.net, a social networking community for mobile users and provider of free mobile content, for cash of \$2.1 million and an aggregate of \$1.3 million to be paid in equal installments in December 2007 and December 2008. In addition, in December 2006 we invested \$7.0 million in Zedge preferred shares. In June 2007, we acquired for IDT Internet Mobile Group a controlling interest in IDW Publishing for \$2.5 million, which is net of cash acquired of \$1.6 million. IDW is an independent comics publisher pre-eminent in the horror and action genres, boasting such high-profile titles as *The Transformers*, 30 Days of Night, CSI, Star Trek, 24, and Scarface.

#### Net2Phone

On November 10, 2005, we commenced a tender offer for all outstanding shares of common stock of Net2Phone we did not already own. During the second quarter of fiscal 2006, we purchased 33.2 million shares of Net2Phone, which were validly tendered in the offer, at a price of \$2.05 per share, for a total purchase price of \$68.3 million. On March 13, 2006, we and Net2Phone consummated a merger transaction in which IDT acquired all remaining outstanding shares of Net2Phone. All previously outstanding shares of Net2Phone, other than shares held by IDT and its subsidiaries, were canceled and converted automatically into the right to receive \$2.05 per share in cash for a total purchase price of \$28.8 million. As a result of the merger, Net2Phone is a wholly owned subsidiary of IDT and the Net2Phone common stock is no longer publicly traded.

## Ethnic Grocery Brands

In fiscal 2006, we established our Ethnic Grocery Brands, or EGB, operation to distribute ethnic-oriented foods. In March 2006, EGB, which is 90% owned by UTA, our 51%-owned calling card distribution subsidiary, purchased the assets of Vitarroz Corp. for \$5.2 million.

## **Dispositions**

## Sale of IDT Entertainment

In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we completed the sale of our IDT Entertainment segment to Liberty Media Corporation for (i) 14.9 million shares of our Class B common stock and Liberty Media s approximate 4.8% interest in IDT Telecom, (ii) \$229.5 million in cash, subject to certain working capital adjustments, (iii) the repayment of \$58.7 million of IDT Entertainment s intercompany indebtedness payable to IDT and (iv) the assumption of all of IDT Entertainment s existing indebtedness. We agreed to repay Liberty Media \$9.5 million for working capital adjustments, of which \$1.0 million was paid in fiscal 2007 and the remaining \$8.5 million was paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. We are also eligible to receive additional consideration from Liberty Media based upon any appreciation in the value of IDT Entertainment over the five-year period following the closing of the transaction or a shorter period under specified circumstances ( Contingent Value ), equal to 25% of the excess, if any, of the net equity value of IDT Entertainment over \$453 million. However, we would have to pay Liberty Media up to \$3.5 million if the Contingent Value does not exceed \$439 million.

IDT Entertainment consisted primarily of animation and live-action production operations as well as a home entertainment distribution business. Through studios based in the United States and Canada, IDT Entertainment developed and produced 2D and 3D animated content for distribution theatrically, on television, and direct-to-video/DVD. Production was focused on proprietary content and was also performed for third parties. IDT Entertainment was also involved in the development and production of live-action content for feature films, television and direct-to-video/DVD distribution.

39

The sale met the criteria to be reported as a discontinued operation and, accordingly, IDT Entertainment s results of operations for all periods presented are classified as part of discontinued operations. In fiscal 2007, we recognized a gain of \$205.2 million in connection with the sale.

#### Sale of Corbina Telecom

On March 2, 2006, we completed the sale of our Russian telecom business, Corbina Telecom, or Corbina, to a Moscow-based consortium of private equity investors, for net proceeds of \$129.9 million in cash after banking and other transaction costs. Corbina operated a licensed full-service telecommunications business, offering a broad range of services throughout the 24 largest industrial areas in the Russian market. Corbina s operational results were historically included in our Consumer Phone Services and Wholesale Telecommunications Services segments. The sale of Corbina met the criteria to be reported as a discontinued operation and, accordingly, Corbina s results of operations for all periods presented are classified as part of discontinued operations. In fiscal 2006, we recognized a gain of \$80.1 million in connection with the sale.

Revenues, (loss) income before income taxes and net (loss) income of IDT Entertainment and Corbina, which are included in discontinued operations, are as follows:

Year ended July 31, (in thousands)	2007	2006	2005
Revenues:			
IDT Entertainment	\$ 17,905	\$ 181,376	\$ 187,283
Corbina		43,766	59,305
	\$ 17,905	\$ 225,142	\$ 246,588
(Loss) income before income taxes:			
IDT Entertainment	<b>\$</b> (6,995)	\$ (39,337)	\$ 1,294
Corbina		7,107	17,200
	<b>\$</b> (6,995)	\$ (32,230)	\$ 18,494
Net (loss) income:			
IDT Entertainment	\$ (7,165)	\$ (41,311)	\$ 132
Corbina		5,428	12,781
	\$ (7,165)	\$ (35,883)	\$ 12,913

## Sale of Toucan

In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we completed the sale of our United Kingdom-based consumer phone services business, Toucan, to Pipex Communications plc, in exchange for \$38.4 million in cash (including the assumption of intercompany obligations owed to IDT and its subsidiaries) and 43.2 million Pipex ordinary shares, which were later sold for \$7.9 million. Toucan was launched in November 2003 and marketed local, long distance, broadband and wireless communications services in the United Kingdom. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Pipex assumed Toucan s existing customer base and those employees supporting its operations. Toucan s historical results of operations are included in our Consumer Phone Services segment. We provide Toucan with termination, call center and other support services. As a result of these continuing services, the sale did not meet the criteria to be reported as a discontinued operation. Our results of operations for fiscal 2007, 2006 and 2005 included revenues generated by Toucan s operations of \$16.4 million, \$69.1 million and \$43.3 million, respectively, and loss from operations of \$2.6 million, \$18.3 million and \$23.6 million, respectively. In fiscal 2007, we recognized a gain of \$44.7 million in connection with the sale.

## **Purchase of Debt Portfolios**

On January 9, 2007, FFPM Carmel Holdings I, LLC, which is 99% owned by our subsidiary IDT Carmel Portfolio Management, LLC, and 1% owned by First Financial Portfolio Management, Inc. (FFPM), committed to purchase 12 monthly forward flow credit card debt portfolios from a major commercial bank. Our total investment will depend on the size of the portfolios provided by the selling bank, to a maximum commitment of \$125 million for the 12 monthly portfolios. As of July 31, 2007, our maximum remaining outstanding commitment was \$52 million. FFPM manages the portfolios, subject to IDT Carmel Portfolio Management s approval rights over major decisions. In fiscal 2007, IDT

Carmel Portfolio Management purchased debt portfolios with a face value of \$997.6 million for \$78.4 million, including \$57.3 million of credit card debt through FFPM Carmel Holdings I, LLC. Also in fiscal 2007, IDT Carmel s principal collections and proceeds from resale of debt portfolios totaled \$28.1 million. The carrying value of the receivables in the portfolio management business as of July 31, 2007 was \$51.1 million

#### **IDT Telecom**

Since our inception, we have derived the majority of our revenues and operating expenses from IDT Telecom s businesses. IDT Telecom s revenues represented 86.0% of our total revenues from continuing operations in fiscal 2007, 92.3% in fiscal 2006 and 97.8% in fiscal 2005.

The organizational integration into IDT Telecom of our VoIP business, which through fiscal 2006 was reported as a separate segment, began during the first quarter of fiscal 2007, and, as such, the relevant portions of our VoIP business are now reported as part of the Prepaid Products and Wholesale Telecommunications Services business segments for all periods presented. We continue to sell VoIP communications products and services under the Net2Phone brand name to resellers, consumers, cable operators and service providers worldwide.

Our Prepaid Products segment markets and sells prepaid and rechargeable calling cards in the United States and abroad and prepaid wireless phone services. Our calling card business sells prepaid calling cards to distributors at a discount to their face values of different denominations, and records the sales as deferred revenues. These deferred revenues are recognized into revenues when telecommunications services are provided and/or administrative fees are imposed.

Calling cards are also sold to national retailers under the IDT, Entrix, DSA and PT-1 brand names, as well as cards that are specially branded for a specific retail chain of stores (private label). In addition, cards are branded for companies seeking to use them as promotional items. We also offer rechargeable calling cards, marketed primarily to consumers and business customers nationwide. These cards can be automatically recharged using a credit card number provided by the customer at the time of initial card activation.

Our Prepaid Products segment includes TúYo Mobile, the wireless unit of IDT Telecom that operates as a Mobile Virtual Network Operator.

Our Consumer Phone Services segment provides consumer local and long distance services.

Our Wholesale Telecommunications Services business consists of carrying our international traffic and the telecommunication traffic of other telecommunications companies. Wholesale Telecommunications Services also includes our cable telephony services.

Direct costs related to our telecom businesses consist primarily of three major categories: termination and origination costs, network costs and toll-free costs.

Termination costs represent costs associated with the transmission and termination of international and domestic long distance services. We terminate our traffic via the arbitrage market or through direct interconnections with other carriers. This cost is primarily variable, with a price paid on a per-minute basis. Origination costs relating to our retail consumer phone services business consists primarily of leased lines from the RBOCs, which are billed to us as a monthly fee.

Network costs are fixed for a range of minutes of use, and include customer/carrier interconnect charges and leased fiber circuit charges. Local circuits are generally leased for a 12 to 24 month term, while long haul circuits generally are leased for longer terms. Although these are not

purely variable costs, where the cost increases for each additional minute carried on our suppliers networks, a general growth in minutes will result in incrementally higher network costs as more circuits are added to interconnect with customers or carriers, and more circuits are leased to expand the size of our network.

Toll-free costs are variable costs paid to providers of toll-free services, used primarily by our calling card customers to access our Enhanced Services options. On a per-minute basis, these costs increased 2.2% to \$0.00704 in fiscal 2007 from \$0.00689 in fiscal 2006, and 1.8% in fiscal 2006 from \$0.00677 in fiscal 2005, reflecting industry-wide increases in rates from toll-free services suppliers. In fiscal 2007, we added a new high- quality, low-cost carrier to our mix of service providers, which has resulted in our toll-free costs declining on a per-minute basis from \$0.00716 in the first half of fiscal 2007 to \$0.00688 in the second half of fiscal 2007.

During any given fiscal quarter, our calling card business, particularly in the United States, may experience gross margin fluctuations. Historically, the fluctuations were significantly dependent on whether the business was in investment mode where we introduce new, aggressively-priced, lower-margin cards in an attempt to

41

enter into new markets or to increase market share in existing markets or in harvest mode, where we raise rates on many cards even at the expense of minutes volumes in order to improve margins. Please see below under Calling Card Competition for recent factors that also affect our gross margins and have contributed to a breakdown of this relationship. Calling card revenues, although largely driven by whether the business is in investment or harvest mode and other competitive factors, also tend to be somewhat seasonal, with the second fiscal quarter (which contains Christmas and New Year s Day) and the fourth fiscal quarter (which contains Mother s Day and Father s Day) typically showing higher minutes volumes.

Selling expenses in our IDT Telecom segment consist primarily of sales commissions paid to internal salespersons and independent agents, and advertising costs, which are the primary costs associated with the acquisition of customers. General and administrative expenses include salaries, benefits, professional fees, rent and other administrative costs. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased significantly in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 as a result of the sale of our U.K.-based Toucan business described above, our decision to scale back the expansion of our operations and as a result of the company-wide cost savings program we initiated in late fiscal 2006.

IDT Telecom s calling cards, consumer phone services and wireless services generally have higher selling, general and administrative expenses associated with them than do its wholesale carrier services. Within these businesses, revenues from the consumer phone services and wireless services businesses are generally associated with higher selling, general and administrative expenses than are revenues from calling card sales, due primarily to the relatively large marketing costs necessary to acquire new customers.

## Telecom Competition

In our IDT Telecom businesses, our competitors continue to aggressively price their services. In addition, with particular regard to our calling card business, there has been a gradual shift in demand away from calling cards and into wireless products, which has further eroded pricing power. In our wholesale markets as well, we have generally had to pass along portions of our per-minute cost savings to our customers in the form of lower prices. These trends have impacted our telecom businesses, and as a result, we have generally experienced declines in both our revenues and overall per-minute price realizations. At times, though, we have chosen to raise prices, particularly within our calling card business, in an effort to increase per-minute price realizations, which generally results in a negative impact on minute volumes, thereby reducing revenues. During the second half of fiscal 2006 and continuing through part of the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we took this approach and instituted selective price increases on our calling cards in the United States and Europe. As a result, we experienced improved revenue-per-minute price realizations, which resulted in declines in minutes-of-use and overall revenues. However, in October 2006, we began instituting selective price decreases on certain cards, in an attempt to regain share in certain markets in both the U.S. and Europe. Despite this strategy our revenues continued to deteriorate in the second quarter of fiscal 2007 and our gross margins declined.

Minutes-of-use in our global calling card business has declined each quarter beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 as follows:

Second quarter of fiscal 2006	4.23 billion minutes
Third quarter of fiscal 2006	3.97 billion minutes
Fourth quarter of fiscal 2006	3.66 billion minutes
First quarter of fiscal 2007	3.17 billion minutes
Second quarter of fiscal 2007	2.96 billion minutes
Third quarter of fiscal 2007	2.58 billion minutes
Fourth quarter of fiscal 2007	2.51 billion minutes

The declines in minutes predominantly in our U.S. calling card business occurred despite the implementation of price cuts to several destinations, which began towards the end of the first quarter. Historically, there has been an inverse relationship between pricing and volume. However, during the second quarter, we did not experience an increase in minutes-of-use or sales of new cards, despite our more aggressive

pricing.

The breakdown in this price/volume relationship in our U.S. calling card business and a concurrent analysis of our major markets led us to investigate the cards of major competitors of ours. We discovered that many were

42

significantly overstating the number of minutes to be delivered by their cards. Accordingly, on March 8, 2007, we filed a civil anti-fraud action in the federal district court in Newark, New Jersey, claiming that these competitors have been misleading calling card customers, and as a result, negatively impacting our market share, resulting in a reduction of our gross revenues and profits. Although the judge in this case chose not to grant the preliminary injunction we requested, a decision which was affirmed on appeal, we are continuing with this lawsuit until we reach an acceptable resolution that rectifies the inequities created when one party is complying with applicable rules and others are not. We are uncertain, even with the potential of fair competition, whether we will be able to regain revenues lost over the past number of quarters.

## **IDT Energy**

Through our retail energy business, we operate an Energy Service Company, or ESCO, that resells both natural gas and electricity to customers throughout seven utility markets in New York State, including those currently served by Con Ed, Orange and Rockland, Central Hudson, National Fuel, National Grid, Keyspan, and Rochester Gas and Electric. IDT Energy s revenues represented 9.5% of our total revenues from continuing operations in fiscal 2007, 5.1% in fiscal 2006 and 0.5% in fiscal 2005.

We sell electricity and natural gas at contracted prices based on the real-time demand or usage of our customers. Direct costs for our retail energy business consist primarily of gas and electric we purchase for resale. We do not own electrical power generation, transmission, or distribution facilities, or natural gas production, pipeline or distribution facilities. We purchase gas through wholesale suppliers and various utility companies. We buy electricity and natural gas in the wholesale market in time-specific, bulk or block quantities, usually at fixed prices. We purchase the vast majority of our electricity through the New York State competitive wholesale market for capacity, energy and ancillary services administrated by the New York Independent System Operator. Independent System Operators, or ISOs, and Regional Transmission Organizations, or RTOs, perform real-time load balancing for each of the electrical power grids in which we operate. Similarly, load balancing is performed by the utilities or Local Distribution Companies, or LDCs, for each of the natural gas markets in which we operate. Load balancing ensures that the amount of electricity and natural gas we purchase is equal to the amount necessary to service our customers—demands at any specific point in time. We are charged or credited by the ISOs, RTOs, and LDCs for balancing the electricity and natural gas purchased and sold for our account. We manage the differences between the actual electricity and natural gas demands of our customers and our bulk or block purchases by buying and selling any shortfall or excess in the spot market, and through monthly cash settlements and/or adjustments to future deliveries in accordance with the load balancing performed by utilities, LDCs and the New York ISO. Also included in direct energy costs are scheduling costs, ISO fees, pipeline costs and utility service charges.

The electricity and natural gas we sell is generally metered and delivered to our customers by the local utilities. The local utilities also provide billing and collection services for most of our customers on our behalf. The positive difference between the sales price of energy to our customers and the sum of the wholesale cost of our energy supplies, transmission costs and ancillary services costs provides us with a gross profit margin.

Selling expenses in our energy business consist primarily of sales commissions paid to independent agents and advertising costs, which are the primary costs associated with the acquisition of customers. These costs increased significantly in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 due to the growth of our energy business. General and administrative expenses include salaries, benefits, professional fees, rent and other administrative costs.

#### **Concentration of Customers**

Our most significant customers consist of either distributors of IDT Telecom s calling cards or long distance carriers to whom IDT Telecom provides wholesale telecommunications services. While they may vary from quarter to quarter, our five largest customers collectively accounted for 8.6%, 10.6% and 10.4% of total consolidated revenues from continuing operations in fiscal 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. This concentration of revenues increases our risk associated with nonpayment by those customers. Thus, in an effort to reduce our risk, we perform ongoing credit evaluations of our significant retail telecom and wholesale carrier customers, and in some cases, do not offer credit terms to

customers, choosing instead to demand prepayment. Historically, when we have issued credit, we have not required collateral to support trade accounts receivable from our customers. However, when necessary, IDT Telecom has imposed stricter credit restrictions on them. In some cases, this has resulted in IDT Telecom sharply curtailing, or ceasing completely, sales to certain customers. IDT Telecom also attempts to mitigate its financial exposure with many wholesale carriers by offsetting trade accounts receivable from these wholesale customers with trade accounts payable due to them for

purchases of telecommunications services (including both termination and connectivity). In this way, IDT Telecom can continue to sell services to these wholesale customers, and reduce its risk position, through the offset of receivables and payables.

#### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management continually evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to allowance for doubtful accounts, goodwill, valuation of long-lived and intangible assets, income taxes and regulatory agency fees, and contingent liabilities. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that of our significant accounting policies, the following may involve a higher degree of judgment (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements below in this Annual Report for a complete discussion of our significant accounting policies).

#### **Allowance for Doubtful Accounts**

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses that result from the inability or unwillingness of our customers to make required payments. We base our allowances on our determination of the likelihood of recoverability of trade accounts receivable based on past experience and current collection trends that are expected to continue. In addition, we perform ongoing credit evaluations of our significant retail telecom, wholesale carrier and cable telephony customers. Historically, we have not required collateral to support trade accounts receivable from our customers. IDT Telecom attempts to mitigate its financial exposure with certain wholesale carriers by offsetting trade accounts receivable from these wholesale customers with trade accounts payable due to them for purchases of telecommunications services (including both minutes termination and connectivity).

#### Goodwill and Intangible Assets with Indefinite Useful Lives

In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are not amortized but are subject to impairment tests, performed at least annually. Other intangible assets with definite lives continue to be amortized over their useful lives.

The annual goodwill impairment assessment involves estimating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing it with its carrying amount. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, additional steps are followed to determine if an impairment of goodwill is required. Calculating the fair value of the reporting units requires significant estimates and assumptions by management. Should our estimates and assumptions regarding the fair value of our reporting units prove to be incorrect, we may be required to record additional impairments to our goodwill in future periods and such impairments could be material. We estimate the fair value of our reporting units by applying discounted cash flow methodologies, as well as considering third party market value indicators.

## Valuation of Long-Lived and Intangible Assets with Finite Useful Lives

We assess the recoverability of our long-lived assets and identifiable intangible assets with finite useful lives whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of any such asset may not be recoverable. Such events or changes in circumstances include:

significant actual underperformance relative to expected performance or projected future operating results;

significant changes in the manner or use of the asset or the strategy of our overall business; significant adverse changes in the business climate in which we operate; and loss of a significant contract.

If we determine that the carrying value of certain long-lived assets or identifiable intangible assets may not be recoverable and exceeds its fair value based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators, we will test for impairment based on the projected undiscounted cash flows to be derived from such asset. If the projected undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, we will record an impairment

44

loss based on the difference between the estimated fair value and the carrying value of the asset. Cash flow projections and fair value estimates require significant estimates and assumptions by management. Should our estimates and assumptions prove to be incorrect, we may be required to record impairments in future periods and such impairments could be material.

#### **Income Taxes and Regulatory Agency Fees**

Our current and deferred income taxes, and associated valuation allowances as well as certain telecom regulatory agency fee accruals, are impacted by events and transactions arising in the normal course of business as well as in connection with special and nonrecurring items. Assessment of the appropriate amount and classification of income taxes and certain regulatory agency fees is dependent on several factors, including estimates of the timing and realization of deferred income tax assets, the results of pending Internal Revenue Service (IRS) tax audits of the Company s Federal tax returns, changes in tax laws, as well as unanticipated future actions impacting related accruals of regulatory agency fees. As a result, the actual tax and/or regulatory fee payments may materially differ from these estimates.

## **Contingent Liabilities**

We are subject to a number of lawsuits, investigations and claims that arise out of the conduct of our global business operations. We recognize a liability for such contingencies when both (a) information available prior to issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that a liability had been incurred at the date of the financial statements and (b) the amount of loss can reasonably be estimated. We continually assess the likelihood of any adverse judgments or outcomes to our contingencies, as well as potential amounts or ranges of probable losses, and recognize a liability, if any, for these contingencies based on an analysis of each matter with the assistance of outside legal counsel and, if applicable, other experts. Because many of these matters are resolved over long periods of time, our estimate of liabilities may change due to new developments, changes in assumptions or changes in our strategy.

## RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS AND STANDARDS NOT YET ADOPTED

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109.* FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity s financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109 and prescribes that a company should use a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold based on the technical merits of the tax position taken or expected to be taken. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be measured in order to determine the tax benefit to be recognized in the financial statements. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. We were required to adopt FIN 48 on August 1, 2007. We will classify interest and penalties on income taxes as a component of income tax expense. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of FIN 48 on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement does not require any new fair value measurements, however, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. We are required to adopt SFAS 157 effective August 1, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact of SFAS 157 on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 does not eliminate disclosure requirements included in other accounting standards, including requirements for disclosures about fair value measurements included in SFAS 157 and SFAS 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. We are required to adopt SFAS 159 effective August 1, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact of SFAS 159 on our consolidated financial statements.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table sets forth the percentages from continuing operations of our total revenues for the relevant period represented by certain items in our statements of operations:

Year ended July 31,	2007	2006	2005
REVENUES:			
IDT Telecom	86.0%	92.3%	97.8%
IDT Energy	9.5	5.1	0.5
IDT Capital	4.5	2.6	1.7
	100.0	100.0	100.0
COSTS AND EXPENSES:			
Direct cost of revenues (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	80.2	79.9	76.5
Selling, general and administrative	24.9	25.0	24.0
Depreciation and amortization	4.0	3.9	4.2
Restructuring and impairment charges	1.7	1.1	1.5
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	110.8	109.9	106.3
Gain on sale of U.Kbased Toucan business	2.2		
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(8.6)	(9.9)	(6.3)
Interest income, net	0.9	0.4	0.9
Other income, net	1.4	0.3	3.2
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE MINORITY INTERESTS AND INCOME TAXES	(6.3)%	(9.2)%	(2.1)%

We evaluate the performance of our operating business segments based primarily on income (loss) from operations. Accordingly, the income and expense line items below income (loss) from operations are only included in our discussion of the consolidated results.

Results of operations for all periods presented relating to the operations of IDT Entertainment and Corbina Telecom are classified as part of discontinued operations.

## YEAR ENDED JULY 31, 2007 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED JULY 31, 2006

## Consolidated

(in millions)			Chanş	Change	
Year ended July 31,	2007	2006	\$	%	
Revenues					
IDT Telecom	\$ 1,730.6	\$ 2,054.7	\$ (324.1)	(15.8)%	
IDT Energy	190.8	112.8	78.0	69.1	
IDT Capital	91.3	58.9	32.4	55.1	
Total revenues	\$ 2.012.7	\$ 2,226.4	\$ (213.7)	(9.6)%	

Revenues. The decrease in consolidated revenues in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was due to a decline in IDT Telecom revenues, partially offset by increases in IDT Energy and IDT Capital revenues. The decrease in IDT Telecom revenues of \$324.1 million in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 resulted primarily from lower calling card sales in both the United States and in Europe, the decline in consumer phone services revenues in the United States, and the sale of our United Kingdom-based consumer phone services business, partially offset by an increase in Wholesale Telecommunications Services revenues. IDT Telecom minutes of use declined 7.5% (excluding minutes related to our consumer phone services business, as the portion of such minute traffic carried in our network is insignificant), from 25.238 billion in fiscal 2006 to 23.353 billion in fiscal 2007. The increase in IDT Energy revenues in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was driven by the significant growth in the customer base of IDT Energy. The increase in IDT Capital revenues in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was primarily due to increases in Ethnic Grocery Brands and IDT Carmel revenues.

(in millions)			Chang	e
Year ended July 31,	2007	2006	\$	%
Costs and expenses				
Direct cost of revenues	\$ 1,615.0	\$ 1,780.0	\$ (165.0)	(9.3)%
Selling, general and administrative	501.7	556.2	(54.5)	(9.8)
Depreciation and amortization	80.0	87.4	(7.4)	(8.5)
Restructuring and impairment charges	33.4	23.6	9.8	41.3
Total costs and expenses	\$ 2,230.1	\$ 2,447.2	\$ (217.1)	(8.9)%

Direct Cost of Revenues. The decrease in direct cost of revenues in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was due primarily to the decline in IDT Telecom s revenues, partially offset by increases in IDT Energy and IDT Capital s direct cost of revenues. The \$253.7 million decrease in direct cost of revenues in IDT Telecom reflects a \$57.0 million regulatory fee accrual recorded in fiscal 2006 as a result of an audit of our U.S. calling card business for calendar years 2000 through 2004 by the Universal Service Administration Corporation (USAC), which did not recur in fiscal 2007. The \$61.6 million increase in IDT Energy s direct cost of revenues in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was a result of the significant growth of its revenues. The \$27.1 million increase in IDT Capital s direct cost of revenues in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was primarily due to increases in Ethnic Grocery Brands and IDT Carmel. As a percentage of total revenues, direct cost of revenues increased to 80.2% in fiscal 2007 compared to 79.9% in fiscal 2006 due primarily to the decrease in gross margins in IDT Telecom.

Selling, General and Administrative. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was due primarily to the sale of our U.K.-based consumer phone services business, cost savings resulting from the integration of Net2Phone within IDT Telecom, lower compensation and other expenses as a result of the cost savings program we initiated in the third quarter of fiscal 2006, lower stock-based compensation, and IDT Spectrum s \$10.0 million settlement reached with Lucent Technologies, Inc. that was recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. This overall decrease was partially offset by a litigation accrual recorded in IDT Telecom in fiscal 2007 and an increase of \$6.0 million in IDT Energy in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 primarily due to higher expenses due to the growth of our retail energy operations. As a percentage of total revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses remained flat at 25.0% in fiscal 2006 compared to 24.9% in fiscal 2007.

Stock-based compensation expense included in selling, general and administrative expenses, primarily relating to the vesting of restricted stock and option grants, was \$7.7 million in fiscal 2007 compared to \$21.5 million in fiscal 2006. In April 2007, options to purchase an aggregate of 2.2 million shares of our Class B common stock were granted to certain of our executives and senior managers. These options were granted as a result of a reevaluation of our compensation policy to replace a portion of current cash compensation with stock options. The stock-based compensation expense for these options that will be charged to selling, general and administrative expenses from August 2007 through December 2009 is an aggregate of approximately \$3.1 million. In addition, stock-based compensation expense in fiscal 2006 includes \$7.8 million recorded by Net2Phone due to modifications to its stock options and restricted stock in connection with the IDT merger.

Restructuring and Impairment Charges. Towards the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2006, we initiated a company-wide cost savings program to better align our infrastructure to our current business needs. As of

47

July 31, 2007, this program had resulted in the termination of approximately 880 employees. These terminations resulted in approximately \$25.0 million and \$20.0 million in severance costs in fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively. We expect to realize cost savings of approximately \$45 million to \$50 million on an annualized basis related to these terminations. Restructuring and impairment charges in fiscal 2007 also included impairment charges of \$7.4 million relating to Ethnic Grocery Brands trademarks and goodwill. Restructuring and impairment charges in fiscal 2006 included IDT Spectrum real estate network reduction and impairment charges of \$3.8 million.

The following tables summarize the changes in the reserve balances related to our restructuring activities (substantially all of which relates to workforce reductions):

				Non-cash	
	Balance at	Charged to			Balance at
(in thousands)	July 31, 2006	expense	Payments	charges	July 31, 2007
IDT Capital	\$ 4,768	\$ 9,337	\$ (5,285)	\$ (7,986)	\$ 834
IDT Telecom	8,647	15,895	(15,635)	(196)	8,711
IDT Energy		31	(31)		
Corporate	1,629	8,141	(1,520)		8,250
TOTAL	\$ 15,044	\$ 33,404	\$ (22,471)	\$ (8,182)	\$ 17,795

Gain on sale of U.K.-based Toucan business. In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we completed the sale of our United Kingdom-based consumer phone services business, Toucan, to Pipex Communications plc, in exchange for \$38.4 million in cash (including the assumption of intercompany obligations owed to IDT and its subsidiaries) and 43.2 million Pipex ordinary shares, which were later sold for \$7.9 million. Loss from operations in fiscal 2007 is net of the gain of \$44.7 million that we recognized in connection with this sale.

(in millions)		Change		
Year ended July 31,	2007	2006	\$	%
Loss from operations	\$ (172.8)	\$ (220.8)	\$ 48.0	21.8%
Interest income, net	18.1	9.4	8.7	92.6
Other income, net	29.0	7.3	21.7	297.3
Minority interests	(10.2)	(16.2)	6.0	37.0
Provision for income taxes	(3.6)	(2.6)	(1.0)	(38.5)
Loss from continuing operations	(139.5)	(222.9)	83.4	37.4
Income from discontinued operations	198.1	44.2	153.9	348.2
Net income (loss)	\$ 58.6	\$ (178.7)	\$ 237.3	132.8%

*Interest.* The increase in net interest income in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was due primarily to an increase in interest income as a result of an increase in interest rates on the Company s cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities balances.

Other Income. In fiscal 2007, other income included \$23.9 million relating to income from certain pooled investment vehicles including hedge funds. Other income in fiscal 2007 also included net realized gains from the sale of marketable securities. In fiscal 2006, other income consisted primarily of a \$7.9 million gain from the buyout payment relating to Altice searly termination of cable telephony license agreements with Net2Phone.

*Minority Interests*. Minority interests arise mostly from the 49% minority owners of Union Telecard Alliance, or UTA, our calling card distributor in the United States. In fiscal 2006, minority interests also arose from our average equity ownership of Net2Phone. We ceased recording minority interest in the net loss of Net2Phone in the third quarter of fiscal 2006. The decrease in minority interest expense in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 was primarily due to a decrease in the net income of UTA in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006, partially offset

by our acquisition of the entire minority ownership in Net2Phone in the second and third quarters of fiscal 2006.

*Income Taxes.* Income tax expense results primarily from income generated by our foreign subsidiaries that cannot be offset against losses generated in the United States. In fiscal 2006, the Internal Revenue Service commenced an audit of our federal tax returns for fiscal years 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004 that is still in process.

48

## IDT Telecom Prepaid Products, Consumer Phone Services and Wholesale Telecommunications Services Segments

IDT Telecom operates as three business segments: Prepaid Products, Consumer Phone Services and Wholesale Telecommunications Services. Historically, the Prepaid Products and the Consumer Phone Services segments were both reported in the Retail Telecommunications segment. In addition, IDT Telecom now also includes the operations of Net2Phone, which were integrated into IDT Telecom in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. Net2Phone s international reseller sales channel, which represented its largest revenue unit, its cable telephony business unit, which provides turnkey telephony solutions to small cable operators, and its wholesale carrier operations are included in the Wholesale Telecommunications Services segment. Net2Phone s calling card business is included in the Prepaid Products segment. To the extent possible, comparative historical results have been reclassified to conform to the current business segment presentation, although these results may not be indicative of the results which would have been achieved had the business segment structure been in effect during those periods.

(in millions, except revenue-per-minute)
Year ended July 31,

Change

2007 2006 \$