

TERCICA INC  
Form 8-K  
June 12, 2006

---

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 8-K**

---

**CURRENT REPORT**

**Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): June 12, 2006**

---

**TERCICA, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

**000-50461**  
(Commission File Number)

**26-0042539**  
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**2000 Sierra Point Parkway, Suite 400**

**Brisbane, CA 94005**

(Address of principal executive offices, including Zip Code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (650) 624-4900**

---

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

Edgar Filing: TERCICA INC - Form 8-K

- .. Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
  - .. Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
  - .. Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
  - .. Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-

**Item 8.01. Other Events.**

Tercica, Inc. today filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Richmond division alleging Insmed Incorporated violated the Lanham Act and related statutes relating to false advertising and unfair competition. This action was taken after the presiding Judge for the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, the Honorable Sandra Brown Armstrong, dismissed on June 9, 2006 Tercica's complaint for the same violations on the basis that she could not exercise jurisdiction over Insmed, which is based in Virginia. Her ruling also indicated that the complaint should establish that the requisite false statements were disseminated to consumers of Increlex, e.g., the physicians who purchase and prescribe Increlex, rather than solely to investors. Tercica's re-filing adds new allegations of false and misleading advertising that Insmed disseminated specifically to pediatric endocrinologists, who for purposes of the Lanham Act and related statutes are among the relevant community of consumers affected.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

**Tercica, Inc.**

(Registrant)

By: /s/ STEPHEN N. ROSENFELD  
Stephen N. Rosenfield  
*Executive Vice President of Legal Affairs*

Dated: June 12, 2006