

AMERIPATH INC  
Form 10-K  
March 28, 2006  
Table of Contents

---

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

## FORM 10-K

---

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934  
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_ .

---

## AMERIPATH, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

---

|   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Delaware</b><br>(State or Other Jurisdiction)  | <b>65-0642485</b><br>(I.R.S. Employer |
| <b>Incorporation or Organization)</b><br>7111 Fairway Drive, Suite 400, Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418 | <b>Identification No.)</b>            |

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (561) 712-6200

---

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer   
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

All of the voting and non-voting common equity of the Registrant is held by affiliates.

The number of shares of Common Stock of the Registrant outstanding as of March 27, 2006 was 100.

---

**Table of Contents****INDEX TO ITEMS**

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b><u>PART I</u></b>  |             |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u>   | 1           |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u>   | 17          |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>  | 17          |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>  | 18          |
| <b><u>PART II.</u></b>  |             |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 19          |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>  | 19          |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                        | 21          |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>  | 40          |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data; Index to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>                      | 41          |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                         | 41          |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>   | 41          |
| <b><u>PART III.</u></b>   |             |
| Item 10. <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>  | 42          |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>  | 44          |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>              | 47          |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>  | 48          |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>  | 50          |
| <b><u>PART IV.</u></b>  |             |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>  | 50          |
| <u>Signatures</u>   |             |

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

**Our Company**

We are one of the leading anatomic pathology laboratory companies in the United States. We are a provider of physician-based anatomic pathology, dermatopathology, molecular diagnostic services, and other esoteric services to physicians, hospitals, clinical laboratories and surgery centers. We support community-based medicine by helping physicians provide excellent and effective care for their patients. During 2005, we processed and diagnosed over four million tissue biopsies. We believe that we are the only anatomic pathology laboratory company with substantial operations in both the outpatient and inpatient sections of the anatomic pathology services market. For the year 2005, we generated net revenue and income from operations of \$563.6 million and \$68.3 million, respectively.

We service an extensive referring physician base through our 48 laboratories, and we provide inpatient diagnostic and medical director services at 208 hospitals. We have operations in 24 states, providing us with a regional or local presence in 17 of the 30 most populous metropolitan areas of the United States. Our services are performed by over 390 pathologists, many of whom are leaders in their field. We have built our business by completing over 60 acquisitions of pathology laboratories and operations since our formation as a Delaware corporation in 1996, enabling us to build regional density in attractive geographic markets and to establish a platform for organic growth.

Our fields of expertise include dermatopathology, in which we maintain a leading market position, oncology, women's health diagnostic services, urologic pathology and gastrointestinal pathology. We also believe that we are the leading anatomic pathology services provider to hospitals in the United States. Generally, we are the exclusive provider of anatomic pathology services for the hospitals we serve, which arrangements have historically provided us with a stable stream of revenue. In addition, through our managed care relationships, we contract with HMOs and PPOs that insure approximately 42 million and 127 million individuals, respectively, which represents more than half of all individuals covered by managed care in the United States.

**Company History**

On December 8, 2002, Amy Holding Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Amy Acquisition Corp., entered into a merger agreement with the predecessor of AmeriPath, Inc. ( AmeriPath or the Company ) pursuant to which Amy Acquisition Corp. merged with and into the predecessor, with AmeriPath continuing as the surviving corporation (the March 2003 Transaction ). As a result of the March 2003 Transaction, AmeriPath became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Amy Holding Company, which was renamed AmeriPath Holdings, Inc. ( Holdings ). Amy Holding Company and Amy Acquisition Corp. were Delaware corporations formed at the direction of Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe IX, L.P. ( WCAS ). WCAS, its related investors and several employees or affiliates of the Company at December 31, 2005 owned 100% of the outstanding common stock of Holdings. The March 2003 Transaction was approved by the Company's stockholders and subsequently consummated on March 27, 2003. References herein to our predecessor refer to the activities, financial position and results of operations of AmeriPath prior to the March 2003 Transaction.

The funds necessary to consummate the March 2003 Transaction were approximately \$804.0 million, including approximately \$629.6 million to pay the stockholders and option holders of AmeriPath (other than WCAS and its affiliates) all amounts due under the merger agreement, approximately \$127.5 million to refinance existing indebtedness and approximately \$46.9 million to pay related fees and expenses. Prior to the merger, the 1,534,480 shares of AmeriPath common stock owned by WCAS and its affiliates were contributed to Holdings in exchange for shares of Holdings common stock. These shares were cancelled without payment of any merger consideration. The March 2003 Transaction was financed by a cash common equity investment by WCAS and its related equity investors of \$296.2 million in Holdings, which funds were contributed by Holdings to AmeriPath in exchange for shares of AmeriPath's common stock, \$225.0 million in term loan borrowings under AmeriPath's credit facility, the issuance of \$275.0 million in senior subordinated notes and existing AmeriPath cash of \$7.8 million.

The consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include the accounts of both the predecessor company AmeriPath, Inc. (prior to the March 2003 Transaction) as well as the successor company (subsequent to the acquisition discussed above.) The financial position and results of operations of AmeriPath, Inc. for periods prior to March 28, 2003 are referred to as that of our predecessor. The financial statements and financial data of the predecessor include the combined historical financial statements of the wholly owned subsidiaries of AmeriPath that were acquired by Amy Acquisition Corp.

Unless otherwise noted, references to the Company, we, us, and our, refer to AmeriPath, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Our fiscal year is the calendar year ending December 31. As noted in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the March 2003 Transaction resulted in a new basis of accounting for the Company. In some cases, for ease of comparison purposes, financial data for the period from March 28, 2003, though

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

December 31, 2003 has been added to financial data of the predecessor for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, to arrive at a 12-month combined period ended December 31, 2003. This combined data may be referred to herein as fiscal year 2003, year 2003 or 2003.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The address of our principal executive office is 7111 Fairway Drive, Suite 400, Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418. Our phone number is (561) 712-6200. Our Internet website address is [www.ameripath.com](http://www.ameripath.com).

### **Industry Overview**

The practice of pathology consists of anatomic and clinical pathology. Anatomic pathology involves the diagnosis of cancer and other diseases and medical conditions through the examination of tissue and cell samples taken from patients. Generally, the anatomic pathology process involves the mounting of samples on slides by highly skilled technicians, which are then reviewed by anatomic pathologists. Anatomic pathologists are medical doctors who do not examine patients, but rather assist other physicians in determining the correct diagnosis of a patient's ailments. As a result, an anatomic pathologist is often referred to as a physician's physician. Clinical pathology, on the other hand, generally involves the chemical testing and analysis of body fluids utilizing standardized laboratory tests. The results of these standardized tests are provided to the referring physician for use in a patient's diagnosis. Clinical laboratory tests typically do not require the interpretive skills of a pathologist. The process is frequently routine, automated and performed by large national or regional clinical laboratory companies and hospital laboratories.

We believe the market for anatomic pathology services is approximately \$7 billion per year, and we expect it to continue to grow for the following reasons:

the aging of Americans should lead to more incidences of cancer and should result in greater demand for healthcare services, including those provided by anatomic pathologists,

the increasing reliance on pathology testing by physicians to aid in the identification of risk factors and symptoms of disease, the choice of therapeutic regimen and the evaluation of treatment results, and

the increasing awareness by physicians, patients and payors of the value of preventative testing to improve the effectiveness of medical services and reduce the overall cost of healthcare.

In addition to traditional anatomic pathology services, pathologists increasingly are performing highly complex esoteric tests. Traditionally performed in academic settings, technological advancements have provided large commercial laboratories with highly specialized equipment and the means to perform these advanced tests for patients in both outpatient and inpatient settings. As these tests typically require more advanced equipment and highly skilled personnel to perform, they are generally reimbursed at rates higher than more routine tests. We believe the market for esoteric testing services is approximately \$4 billion per year. We also believe the growth in the esoteric testing services market benefits from demand factors similar to those in the traditional anatomic pathology services market. In addition, we believe that emerging technologies and tests, such as gene-based tests, or genomics, should drive growth in the esoteric testing services market at a rate that exceeds the growth rate for the traditional anatomic pathology services market.

According to the American Society for Clinical Pathologists, there are approximately 15,000 pathologists in the United States. Historically, the anatomic pathology industry has been highly fragmented with a majority of the services being performed by individual or small groups of pathologists working in independent laboratories, hospital laboratories or academic institutions. Recently, there has been a trend among pathologists to join larger laboratories in order to offer a broader range of outpatient and inpatient services, take advantage of economies of scale and reduce the burdens of managing the administrative aspects of their operations.

### **Competitive Strengths**

We believe that we are distinguished by the following competitive strengths:

**Leadership in anatomic pathology services.** We are an established and experienced leader in the highly fragmented anatomic pathology services market. We believe that we are the only anatomic pathology laboratory company with substantial operations in both the outpatient and inpatient segments of the anatomic pathology services market. Our pathologist base comprises what we believe is the largest single group of pathologists in the nation, and provides us with the ability to offer services in all subspecialties of

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

anatomic pathology. Within the subspecialty of dermatopathology we believe we have the largest market share in the industry. In addition, we have expertise in esoteric testing as well as in the anatomic pathology subspecialties of women's health diagnostic services, urologic pathology, oncology, and gastrointestinal pathology. We believe our broad service offerings provide us with an advantage over most of our competitors in maintaining and developing customer relationships.

---

**Table of Contents**

**National scale with regional and local density.** We believe we have the broadest national footprint within the anatomic pathology services market. We have operations in 24 states, providing us with a regional or local presence in 17 of the 30 most populous metropolitan areas of the United States. We also have a presence in 208 hospitals, which we believe makes us the leading provider of anatomic pathology services in hospitals. Furthermore, we have contractual relationships with HMOs and PPOs whose members comprise more than half of the individuals covered by managed care in the United States. We have developed a substantial presence in our target markets by forming regional operations that deliver our services locally and enable our pathologists to establish strong relationships with our referring physician base. As a result of our regional coverage, we have been able to grow our revenues, enhance our laboratory utilization, offer a broader range of testing services and benefit from economies of scale and increased managed care contracting leverage.

**Attractive industry dynamics.** The demand for traditional anatomic pathology services and esoteric testing services has created significant and growing markets. We believe the market for traditional anatomic pathology services, excluding esoteric testing services, is approximately \$7 billion per year, and the market for esoteric testing services is approximately \$4 billion per year. We expect these markets to continue to grow primarily due to an aging population, increasing incidences of cancer and medical advancements that allow for more accurate and earlier diagnosis and treatment of diseases. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the number of people aged 65 and older in the United States is expected to grow 19% over the next ten years. Generally, people aged 65 or older have a greater incidence of chronic health conditions such as cancer, diabetes, heart disease, arthritis or hypertension and are heavier users of healthcare services than people under age 65. For example, according to the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program of the National Cancer Institute, the average annual cancer incidence rate for people aged 65 to 74 is 2,007 per 100,000 people or approximately 14 times the incidence rate of people aged 20-49 and approximately 125 times the incidence rate of people aged 20 and under. Additionally, the National Cancer Institute estimates that incidences of melanoma, a type of skin cancer, in the United States will grow 11% from 2003 to 2007. We also believe that emerging technologies and tests, such as genomics, will further drive growth in the market for esoteric testing services.

**Strong cash flow generation.** We believe our strong cash flow substantially enhances our competitive position in the highly fragmented anatomic pathology services market. In 2005, we generated operating income of \$68.3 million, or 12.1% of net revenues. In addition, during 2005 we had cash flow from operating activities of \$38.2 million less capital expenditures of \$29.4 million, or free operating cash flow, of \$8.8 million. Our attractive margins are a result of our enhanced laboratory utilization, our broad range of testing services, economies of scale and our success in contracting with managed care organizations. In addition, we believe our strong cash flow strengthens our ability to fund organic and external growth initiatives, which enhances our competitiveness relative to most of our smaller, regional competitors.

**Favorable payor relationships.** Currently, we have contractual relationships with HMOs and PPOs whose members comprise more than half of the individuals covered by managed care in the United States. These relationships provide us with access to a large number of current and potential patients. Our national scale and regional concentration have facilitated our entry into a growing number of relationships with managed care organizations, such as Blue Cross/Blue Shield, Aetna and United Healthcare. In addition, we signed a multi-year agreement to provide anatomic pathology and esoteric testing for CIGNA HealthCare effective March 1, 2005. Under the agreement, we will be a participating provider in CIGNA HealthCare's HMO, POS, PPO and indemnity health plans and may actively market our services to CIGNA HealthCare members and physicians in certain states. Since 1999, we have more than tripled the number of people covered under our managed care agreements, which we believe validates our managed care strategy. Furthermore, the overwhelming majority of our revenues from these relationships are generated from fee-for-service payments, rather than from fee-per-person, or capitated payments. In addition, our payments from government-sponsored programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, are relatively limited. During 2005, we derived approximately 22% of our cash collections and net revenues from government-sponsored payors. We believe our diverse payor mix limits our exposure to the loss of any single source of payment for our services.

**Business Strategy**

We believe our business strategy will help us maintain our status as a leading provider of anatomic pathology services and increase our share of the markets in which we compete. The key elements of our strategy are to:



## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

**Capitalize on our leading market position.** Through our 48 laboratories and 390 pathologists, we will continue to provide a comprehensive array of anatomic pathology services to primary care and specialty physicians and serve over 200 hospitals. We will further enhance our extensive expertise in the subspecialties of dermatopathology, oncology, women's health

## Table of Contents

diagnostic services, urologic pathology and gastrointestinal pathology. We also plan to leverage our market position, regional model and broad range of services to further penetrate the markets we serve and expand our relationships with physicians, hospitals, managed care organizations and other customers.

**Continue to focus on organic growth.** We are focused on generating internal revenue growth. For 2005, we generated annual same store sales growth of 7.7%. Our same store growth compares results of practices open for greater than one year. We believe that our organic growth has been and will continue to be a result of the following initiatives:

increasing test volume by continuing to invest in a formal sales and marketing effort,

enhancing our payor mix by pursuing additional managed care contracts,

continuing to expand our service offerings, including the offering of new, higher revenue, esoteric tests, and

improving patient care and customer service by providing more specific, informative and timely reports through the development of a standardized pathology reporting system.

Collectively, these initiatives will provide us with the opportunity to grow our business organically.

**Maintain quality leadership through a strong pathologist base.** We believe that employing anatomic pathologists who provide accurate and efficient diagnoses is a key to our success. A pathologist's experience and reputation is critical to ensuring a successful relationship with local referring physicians. We actively recruit top anatomic pathologists by targeting practicing pathologists who are locally, nationally and/or internationally renowned. In 2005, we successfully recruited 35 pathologists. In addition, we operate one of the leading centers in the United States devoted to the diagnosis and instruction of diseases of the skin. Founded in 1999, this center provides fellowship programs that enable students to train in various aspects of dermatopathology. We also are affiliated with three leading dermatopathology fellowship programs in the United States. Collectively, these relationships enhance our ability to attract new pathologists and allow us to more easily transfer technical innovations to the anatomic pathology services market. We also believe our size and strength of reputation provide an attractive alternative for pathologists who are seeking to offer a broader range of services, take advantage of available economies of scale and reduce the burden of managing the administrative aspects of their operations.

**Emphasize information technology capabilities and improve operational efficiencies.** We invest in information technology enhancements to improve our services and increase efficiency. For example, in the subspecialty of women's health diagnostics, we offer customers enhanced pathology reports, including color micrographs that allow pathologists and referring physicians to more accurately view highly abnormal cell populations. In addition, to enhance efficiency, we are consolidating various internal billing systems and outsourced billing arrangements into fewer billing systems, which we believe will increase collections and reduce our days sales outstanding. We also are committed to increasing efficiencies and economies of scale by promoting best practices throughout our organization.

**Selectively pursue strategic growth initiatives.** We plan to invest in new outpatient laboratories and other strategic initiatives. We believe these new facilities and programs drive revenue growth by providing national support for our existing regional and local operations and increasing our menu of testing services. We also plan to further penetrate our existing regional markets by opening new laboratory facilities. In addition, we expect to make additional acquisitions, as opportunities arise, in order to strategically enter new markets or further penetrate existing regional markets.

## Operations

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

We serve both the outpatient and inpatient sections of the anatomic pathology services market. Outpatient services are provided to physician offices, clinics and freestanding surgery centers. Primary outpatient customers include dermatologists, gynecologists, urologists, gastroenterologists and oncologists. Inpatient pathology services generally are provided through our hospital-based operations. Primary inpatient customers include hospitals, staff physicians and surgeons who work in hospitals.

*Outpatient Market.* In the outpatient market, a patient will visit a physician's office or clinic for a medical problem or concern. Typically, the physician will determine whether a biopsy or Pap smear is necessary and perform the procedure to collect the necessary sample in the office or clinic. The sample, accompanied by an AmeriPath service requisition, is then sent, either by a land-based courier that we contract with or employ, or by a commercial overnight courier service, to one of our outpatient laboratories for diagnostic evaluation. If the test is a biopsy, the sample is prepared for review, generally overnight, by one of our histologists and examined by one of our pathologists the next day. The pathologist then renders a diagnosis and dictates a pathology report. The final report is reviewed and signed, manually or electronically, by the pathologist and sent to the referring physician's office. Reports can

---

## **Table of Contents**

be delivered to the referring physician in numerous ways including by facsimile, courier service or mail or over the Internet. If the test is a Pap smear, the same process occurs except the sample is prepared for review and initially screened by a cytotechnologist who will issue a final report if the sample contains only normal cells. If the sample includes abnormal cells, then a pathologist's interpretation is performed to ensure accuracy. The referring physician, often in consultation with our pathologist, then determines the next steps for patient care.

*Inpatient Market.* We generally are the exclusive provider of all anatomic pathology services for the hospitals in which our pathologists work and as a result, our revenues from these services are directly related to the volume of patients in the hospitals we serve. In the hospital, the examination process is similar to that performed in the outpatient segment except, if the hospital has its own histology laboratory, samples are prepared for review within the hospital instead of by one of our histologists. As part of our inpatient services, we generally staff each hospital with at least one pathologist who serves as the medical director of the hospital's clinical laboratory, microbiology laboratory and blood banking operation and who facilitates the hospital's compliance with licensing requirements. The medical director is often responsible for the overall management of the laboratory, including quality of care, professional discipline and utilization review, and serves as a liaison to the hospital administrators, medical staff and the hospital's community.

### **Services**

Anatomic pathology involves the diagnosis of disease through the examination of tissue and cell samples that have been processed and mounted on slides. We offer a broad range of anatomic pathology laboratory testing and information services used by physicians in the detection, diagnosis, evaluation and treatment of cancer and other medical diseases and conditions. Our services play an indispensable role in determining whether a patient's illness is benign, inflammatory or cancerous. We provide services in four primary subspecialties of anatomic pathology: dermatopathology, women's health diagnostics, urologic pathology and gastrointestinal pathology. In addition, we have significant esoteric testing capabilities that compliment these services.

*Dermatopathology.* Dermatopathology is the examination and diagnosis of skin biopsies taken by a dermatologist. Our dermatopathology services include physician-to-physician consultation, patient education materials, a dedicated sales and service team and quick turnaround to our customers. In addition to the routine microscopic examination of tissue, we offer a wide range of advanced testing, including B-cell and T-cell gene rearrangement, fungal cultures, frozen sections, immunohistochemistry profiles and indirect and direct immunofluorescence. Through our DermPath Diagnostics Division, we provide customers with access to approximately 85 board-certified dermatopathologists, which we believe is the largest group of dermatopathologists in our industry. Our customers typically include dermatologists, plastic surgeons, family practitioners, otolaryngologists and podiatrists.

*Women's Health Diagnostics.* Women's health diagnostic services, or gynecologic pathology, includes testing such as conventional and monolayer Pap smears, cervical and breast biopsy examination and testing for chlamydia, gonorrhea and HPV. We offer our customers enhanced pathology reports, including color photomicrographs, which allow pathologists to more accurately view highly abnormal cell populations. We have 65 board-certified cytopathologists providing medical expertise in the women's health market. Our customers primarily include gynecologists and family practitioners.

*Hematopathology.* Hematopathology pertains to diseases of the blood and blood-forming tissues. We offer a variety of comprehensive anatomic pathology and esoteric tests for benign and malignant disorders of the peripheral blood, bone marrow and lymphoid tissues. These services include morphologic evaluation, flow cytometric immunophenotyping and DNA analysis, immunohistochemistry, cytogenetic and fluorescence in situ hybridization studies, molecular genetic analysis, and diagnostic consultation. Using an integrated approach, we provide detailed diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic information to hematologists/oncologists and pathologists to optimize patient management. The team of professionals providing these services includes board-certified hematopathologists, clinical cytogeneticists, and clinical molecular geneticists.

*Urologic Pathology.* Urologic pathology relates to diseases of the male and female urinary tract and male reproductive systems. We offer services including the examination of the prostate, bladder and testicular biopsies, a kidney stone management program and recurrent bladder monitoring for cancer. We also offer prognostic testing including DNA analysis and tumor markers. Our kidney stone management program provides patients and referring physicians access to care through our strategic partnership with Mission Pharmacal, a San Antonio-based pharmaceutical company focused on treatment of kidney stones and other urological ailments. Our physicians include board-certified pathologists who specialize in urologic pathology. Our customers for these services are primarily urologists.

*Gastrointestinal Pathology.* We offer a comprehensive gastrointestinal, or GI, disease management program focusing on the digestive tract. We offer a broad range of GI tests, including routine gastric and liver biopsies, prognostic testing and more advanced molecular testing, including hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer testing. During 2002, we opened the AmeriPath Institute of Gastrointestinal Pathology and Digestive Disease, a national laboratory specializing in rendering specific diagnoses of GI biopsy



---

## **Table of Contents**

specimens, providing second opinion surgical pathology interpretation, studying GI disease and educating both clinicians and pathologists. Our physicians include board-certified pathologists who specialize in gastrointestinal pathology. Our customers in this sub-specialty include endoscopy centers and gastroenterologists.

*Esoteric Testing.* Esoteric tests are highly complex tests, typically ordered when a physician requires additional information to establish a diagnosis or choose a therapeutic regimen. Esoteric tests require sophisticated instrumentation and highly skilled personnel to perform and analyze results and consequently have higher reimbursement rates than routine tests. Commonly ordered esoteric tests include flow cytometry (testing for leukemia and lymphoma), DNA analysis, molecular genetics and cytoogenetics.

### **Billing**

Billing for laboratory services involves numerous parties and complex issues and procedures. Laboratories must bill various payors, such as patients, government programs, physicians, hospitals and managed care organizations, all of which have different requirements. Additionally, auditing for compliance with applicable laws and internal compliance policies adds further complexity to the billing process. See Government Regulation Reevaluations and Examination of Billing.

Current Procedural Terminology, or CPT, is a coding system that is applicable to medical services provided under government programs, including Medicare. In addition, most managed care organizations and other third-party payors utilize these codes in determining whether or not a particular service or treatment is a covered expense. During 2005, most of our net revenues resulted from procedures covered by a small number of CPT codes, which makes determination of which code to bill under easier for us than for most other healthcare companies. Upon completion of a pathology report, we generally bill a patient's insurance carrier, which may be a managed care organization, government program or other carrier, or a patient, if a patient does not have insurance. When billing for a test, we use information contained in the service requisition form accompanying the test to obtain the appropriate CPT code for the anatomic pathology test performed. In the outpatient segment, we generally bill for both the technical processing and the professional interpretation of the sample, which we refer to as global billing. In the inpatient segment, we bill globally if we perform both the technical and professional component of the test, or we bill for the professional component only, if our pathologist performs the examination and interpretation and the hospital performs the technical processing of the sample. In hospitals where our pathologists also serve as the medical director, we often bill non-Medicare patients according to a fee schedule for what are referred to as clinical professional component, or CPC, charges. For Medicare patients at some hospitals, we are paid a medical director fee by the hospital for serving as their laboratory medical director.

Because substantially all of our revenues are derived from services for which our operations charge on a fee-for-service basis, we assume the financial risk related to collection. This includes potential write-offs of doubtful accounts and long collection cycles for accounts receivable, including reimbursements by third-party payors, such as government programs and managed care organizations. Our provision for doubtful accounts for the year 2005 was 13.1% of net revenues, with net revenues from outpatient and inpatient services having a provision for doubtful accounts of 8.3% and 24.0%, respectively. The difference between our provision for doubtful accounts in each segment is principally due to the lower recoverability of CPC fees in the inpatient segment. Each of these fees is typically a de minimus amount that is billed directly to the insurance carrier or the patient and, as a result, frequently go unpaid.

Billing for our operations currently is performed by multiple internal billing systems and other outsourced billing arrangements. Approximately 85% of our revenue in 2005 was billed through five separate billing systems. We plan to integrate substantially all of our operations into a single system by the end of 2007.

### **Regional Business Model**

Our strategy is to develop our resources nationally but remain in a position to deliver our services regionally and locally in order to strengthen our dialogue and relations with our referring physician base. We believe that this strategy benefits our Company, our pathologists, referring physicians, third-party payors and patients. Our regional operations:

have a substantial market presence,

offer a broad range of services,

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

have extensive physician contacts and

possess complementary strengths and opportunities for enhanced operational efficiency.

We continue to integrate our operations administrative and technical support functions, including information technology, accounting, payroll, purchasing, risk management, billing and collections. We expect this integration to result in enhanced operational efficiencies. Our courier system for transporting samples enables our pathology operations to penetrate areas beyond their current markets and enhances the utilization of our laboratory facilities. We integrate and coordinate our sales and marketing efforts by

## Table of Contents

targeting physicians, hospitals, managed care organizations and other customers on a national, regional and local basis. Our marketing efforts promote the broad geographic coverage, pathologist expertise and the extensive services offered by us. We believe that implementation of this regional model helps to increase the revenues and profitability of the operations in each of our regions.

### **Sales and Marketing**

We employ formal sales and marketing techniques to capitalize on the medical reputations of our pathologists, which we believe distinguishes us from most independent pathologists. Our sales efforts are focused on providing dedicated service and support along five distinct specialty lines.

the dermatopathology specialty line, which markets itself under the name DermPath Diagnostics, focuses on servicing and growing the national skin pathology market comprised of dermatologists, plastic surgeons, family practitioners, and podiatrists. This specialty line is supported by two distinct Institutes; The Institute for Podiatric Pathology, focusing on providing specialized service to Podiatrists and The Institute for Immunofluorescence focusing on providing specialized testing services to Dermatologists.

the general anatomic specialty line, which markets itself under the name AmeriPath, is sub-divided into four different specialty lines; Women's Health, Urology, Gastroenterology and Oncology. These specialty lines focus on servicing and growing our business with gynecologists, urologists, gastroenterologists, clinics and freestanding surgery centers, outpatient oncology offices and hospitals that provide specialized anatomic pathology testing. The general anatomic pathology specialty line is supported by 4 distinct Institutes; The GI Institute, focusing on providing specialized services to gastroenterologists; The GU Institute, focusing on providing specialized services to urologists and The Center for Advanced Diagnostics and AmeriPath Esoteric Institute, focusing on providing esoteric testing services to oncologists, hospitals and gynecologists.

Our sales force is split into the two primary specialty lines, dermatopathology and anatomic pathology. Our dermatopathology sales team consists of 23 Territory Managers and Associate Sales Representatives focused on servicing dermatologists, podiatrists, family practitioners and plastic surgeons. Our anatomic pathology sales team consists of 44 Territory Managers and Associate Sales Managers servicing the Women's Health, Urology, GI and Oncology markets. We believe these specialty lines are structured to best identify and take advantage of the buying patterns within the markets we serve. Each sales representative is supported by regional sales managers, each of whom work closely with their regional president and report directly to our vice president of sales. The regional sales managers supervise and coordinate the efforts of our field sales representatives. In addition, we utilize a specialized team of managed care contracting representatives to support all five specialty lines in marketing our services to managed care organizations.

We also employ product managers in each of our specialty lines. The product managers report directly to our Senior Vice President of Marketing. The primary responsibility of each product manager is to work in conjunction with our pathologists and sales and operational teams to develop and market new tests and to assist in training the sales force on the technical attributes of any new test or product within their specialty line.

### **Payor Mix**

Our services are provided to a wide variety of healthcare providers and payors including physicians, hospitals, managed care organizations and government programs. We consider a payor to be the party that actually pays for our services. Depending on the billing arrangement and applicable law, the payor may be the referring physician, the patient or a third party who pays the bill for the patient, such as a managed care organization or government program. The following table provides the percentages of our cash collections of our owned operations from the identified sources:

|  | Year Ended<br>December 31, |      |      |
|--|----------------------------|------|------|
|  | 2003                       | 2004 | 2005 |
| <b>Source of cash collections:</b>                 |                            |      |      |
| Government programs                                | 22%                        | 22%  | 22%  |
| Third party (including managed care organizations) | 58%                        | 56%  | 54%  |
| Private payors                                     | 12%                        | 14%  | 15%  |



## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|   |    |    |    |
|---|----|----|----|
| National clinical laboratories  | 2% | 1% |    |
| Other   | 6% | 7% | 9% |
| See Government Regulation for a discussion of amounts received from the Government. |    |    |    |

## **Table of Contents**

### **Contracts and Relationships with Physicians**

In connection with our owned operations, we either directly employ our pathologists or control a physician-owned entity that employs our pathologists. Each of our pathologists typically enters into an employment agreement with us or a company we control. Although these employment agreements typically have terms of three to five years, they generally can be terminated at any time, without penalty, upon 60 to 180 days notice. If the pathologist is terminated without cause, we may be contractually obligated to pay severance.

Our pathologists generally receive a base salary and fringe benefits and may be eligible for an incentive performance bonus. In addition to compensation, we provide our pathologists with uniform benefit plans, such as disability, supplemental retirement, life and group health insurance and medical malpractice insurance under our captive insurance arrangements. Our pathologists are each required to hold a valid license to practice medicine in the jurisdiction in which they practice and, with respect to inpatient services, to become a member of the medical staff at the contracting hospital with privileges in pathology.

Most of our employment agreements prohibit the pathologist from competing with our Company within a defined geographic area and prohibit solicitation of other pathologists, other employees or clients for a period of one to two years after termination of employment. We attempt to structure all these contracts in accordance with applicable laws and to maintain and enforce these contracts as necessary. Agreements not to compete, however, are subject to many limitations under state law and these limitations may vary from state to state. We cannot predict whether a particular court will enforce the non-competition covenants in our employment agreements.

### **Information Technology**

Information technology is used extensively in virtually all aspects of our business, including laboratory testing, billing, customer service, logistics and management of medical data. Through information technology initiatives, we believe we can improve efficiencies in our billing and collections and reporting systems. In addition, we believe our information technology initiatives will improve our services through enhanced utilization of our pathologists and more advanced and practical laboratory reporting. Among the initiatives currently being implemented by our information technology group are:

the creation of a National Data Center in two facilities that will provide redundancy of all our key components in order to improve the overall uptime of all our applications,

the creation of a Physician's WEB Portal that gives AmeriPath clients the ability to view Pathology reports on the WEB and to print populated requisitions with information interfaced from their practice management system,

the development of a state of the art laboratory information system that will be utilized by all AmeriPath laboratories and will include advanced features for specimen tracking, document scanning, voice recognition, image reporting, as well as facilitate standardization of data input for consistent management reporting,

the development of a state of the art billing information system that is designed to meet AmeriPath's unique billing needs in the Anatomic Pathology business, as well as provide complete control of system enhancements necessary to accommodate ever changing regulatory requirements.

### **Competition**

The anatomic pathology services market is highly fragmented and competitive. We have numerous competitors, and competition can reasonably be expected to increase. Competitors include anatomic pathology practices, large physician group practices, hospital laboratories, specialized commercial laboratories and the anatomic pathology divisions of some national clinical laboratories. Moreover, companies in other healthcare segments, some of which have previously been customers of ours, such as hospitals, national clinical laboratories, managed care organizations and third party payors, may compete with us in the employment of pathologists and provision of anatomic pathology testing services. These companies also may have greater financial resources than we do.

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

We compete primarily on the basis of service capability, convenience of facilities, scope of testing services performed, accuracy, timeliness and consistency in reporting test results and reputation in the medical community. We believe that our principal competitive advantages are our leading market position, subspecialty focus and our regional business model. We compete for new pathologists and acquisitions on the basis of our reputation, management experience, status and focus on anatomic pathology.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Intellectual Property**

We have registered the service marks AmeriPath, CAD-The Center for Advanced Diagnostics, Dermopath Diagnostics and the AmeriPath logo with the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

We are in the process of building brand equity in our trademarks and service marks. Other than the use of such marks, however, our business generally is not dependent upon any intellectual property and as a result, we do not rely on patents or licensed technology in operating our business.

### **Employees**

At December 31, 2005, we employed over 390 pathologists. In addition, we employed 1,018 laboratory technicians, 888 billing, marketing, transcription and administrative staff and 589 other full-time employees. Our total employee count was 2,885 at December 31, 2005. None of these employees or any prospective employee is subject to any collective bargaining agreement.

### **Website Access to SEC Filings**

AmeriPath makes its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, available free of charge on or through our Internet website, [www.ameripath.com](http://www.ameripath.com), as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

### **Insurance**

We are at risk for being sued for acts or omissions of our pathologists, our laboratory personnel or hospital employees who are under the supervision of our hospital-based pathologists. We and our pathologists periodically become involved as defendants in medical malpractice and other lawsuits, some of which are currently ongoing, and are subject to the attendant risk of substantial damage awards. In June 2002, we replaced our existing medical malpractice insurance coverage with third party insurance companies with a new self-insurance, or captive, arrangement. Under our self-insurance structure, we retain more risk for medical malpractice costs, including settlements and claims expense, than under our previous coverage. While we have obtained excess liability coverage for medical malpractice costs, we have no aggregate excess stop loss protection, meaning there is no aggregate limitation on the amount of risk we retain under these arrangements. Our medical malpractice costs are based on actuarial estimates of our medical malpractice settlement and claims expense and the costs of maintaining our captive insurance program and excess coverage. We periodically review and update the appropriateness of our accrued liability for medical malpractice costs. Because we retain these risks, in addition to an actual increase in claims or related expenses, a change in the actuarial assumptions upon which our medical malpractice costs are based could materially affect results of operations in a particular period, even if we do not experience an actual increase in claims or related expenses. For the period of July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, our medical malpractice costs were approximately \$14.3 million. The terms of the purchase agreements relating to each of our past acquisitions generally contain certain limited rights of indemnification from the sellers of the practices. We also maintain property and general liability insurance policies and obtain indemnity agreements from third parties such as hospitals and national clinical laboratories.

While we believe we have a prudent risk management system for our Company and our pathologists, pending or future claims may be successful and, if successful, may not be covered or may exceed the limitations of our risk management program, including the limits of our captive insurance arrangements, our excess liability coverage and applicable indemnification provisions. It is also possible that our excess liability and other insurance coverage will not continue to be available at acceptable costs or on favorable terms. In addition, our insurance does not cover all potential liabilities arising from governmental fines and penalties, indemnification agreements and certain other uninsurable losses. For example, from time to time we agree to indemnify third parties, such as hospitals and national clinical laboratories, for various claims that may not be covered by insurance. As a result we may become responsible for substantial damage awards that are uninsured. We are currently subject to indemnity claims, which if determined adversely to us or one or more of our pathologists or other persons whom we indemnify, could exceed the limitations of our risk management program. Such a result would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **Government Regulation**

Our business is subject to governmental and regulatory requirements relating to healthcare matters as well as laws and regulations relating to business corporations. We exercise care to structure our operations and arrangements with hospitals and physicians to comply with relevant federal and state laws. We believe our current arrangements and practices are in material compliance with applicable statutes and regulations. We have not received or applied, however, for legal opinions from counsel or from any federal or state regulatory authority to this effect, and

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

many aspects of our business operations have not been the subject of federal or state regulatory interpretation. As a result, it is possible that our current or prior practices or arrangements could be found to be noncompliant with applicable laws and regulations, and any such occurrence could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

We derived approximately 22% of our cash collections for each of the years 2005, 2004 and 2003 from payments made by government sponsored healthcare programs, principally Medicare and Medicaid. These programs are subject to substantial regulation by the federal and state governments. Any change in payment regulations, policies, practices, interpretations or statutes that places limitations on reimbursement amounts, or changes in reimbursement coding or practices, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. The Medicare statute includes a methodology to adjust payments for services, including anatomic pathology services, under the physician fee schedule. Congress revised the methodology through legislation enacted in December 2003. This revised methodology is applied each year unless it is overridden by Congressional action. The statutory methodology would have led to a 4.4% reduction in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in 2003, a 4.5% reduction in 2004, a 3.3% reduction in 2005, and a 4.4% reduction in 2006, if those reductions had not been blocked by Congress. Instead, Congress required a 1.6% increase in 2003 and a 1.5% increase in each of 2004 and 2005. In 2006, Congress required that the conversion factor be frozen at the 2005 amount, establishing a 0% update of the conversion factor in 2006. It is unclear how the revised methodology will affect the annual adjustments in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years and, if it will not prevent reductions, whether Congress will intervene to prevent decreases in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years.

Increasing budgetary pressures at both the federal and state levels and concerns over the continued increase of the costs of healthcare have led, and may continue to lead, to significant reductions in healthcare payments and may lead to significant reductions in our revenue or our revenue for specific tests. State concerns over the growth in Medicaid costs also could result in payment reductions. Although governmental payment reductions have not materially affected us in the past, it is possible that such changes in the future could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, Medicare, Medicaid and other government sponsored healthcare programs are increasingly shifting to some form of managed care. Some states have enacted legislation that require that all Medicaid patients be converted to managed care organizations, and similar legislation may be enacted in other states, which could result in reduced payments to our Company for such patients. In addition, a state-legislated shift in a Medicaid plan to managed care could cause the loss of some, or all, Medicaid business for us in that state if we were not selected as a participating provider. Additionally, funds received under all healthcare reimbursement programs are subject to audit with respect to the proper billing for physician services. Retroactive adjustments of revenue from these programs could occur. We expect that there will continue to be proposals to reduce or limit Medicare and Medicaid payment for services.

In connection with our past acquisitions, we performed due diligence investigations with respect to the potential liabilities of acquired operations and obtained indemnification with respect to some liabilities from the sellers of these operations. Nevertheless, there could be undiscovered claims. Further, despite our efforts to obtain adequate indemnification, liabilities for which we become responsible in respect of acquired operations could be material and may exceed either the limitations of any applicable indemnification provisions or the financial resources of the indemnifying parties. We regularly review compliance by our acquired businesses with federal and state healthcare laws and regulations and revise, as appropriate, the policies and procedures of our acquired businesses to conform to our policies and procedures and applicable laws. Although we maintain an active compliance program, it is possible that the government might challenge some of our current practices as not being in full compliance with applicable laws and regulations. A violation of these laws could result in the government's recoupment of fees previously paid to us, forfeiture of revenues due to us, civil and criminal penalties, exclusion of the physician, the operation or our Company from participation in Medicare and Medicaid programs and loss of a physician's license to practice medicine.

*Anti-Kickback Laws*

Federal anti-kickback laws and regulations prohibit any knowing and willful offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration, either directly or indirectly, in return for, or to induce: (i) the referral of an individual for a service for which payment may be made by Medicare and Medicaid or certain other federal healthcare programs; or (ii) the purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for, or recommending the purchase, lease or order of, any service or item for which payment may be made by Medicare, Medicaid or certain other federal healthcare programs. Violations of federal anti-kickback laws and regulations are punishable by monetary fines, civil and criminal penalties and exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs. Several states have similar laws.

The federal government has published regulations that provide safe-harbors from prosecution under federal anti-kickback laws for business transactions that meet certain requirements. Failure to meet the requirements of a safe harbor does not necessarily mean a transaction violates the anti-kickback law. Although many of our operations do not satisfy the requirements of the safe harbors, we believe our operations are in material compliance with applicable anti-kickback laws, and we seek to structure arrangements to comply with applicable safe harbors where reasonably possible. There is a risk, however, that the federal government might conclude that our arrangements violate the anti-kickback statute. If any of our arrangements were found to be illegal, our

---

## **Table of Contents**

Company and the individual physicians involved could be subject to government recoupment of fees paid to us, forfeiture of revenues due to us or civil and criminal penalties, including exclusion from the participation in government reimbursement programs, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, or OIG, issues advisory opinions that provide advice on whether proposed business arrangements violate the anti-kickback law. In Advisory Opinion 99-13, the OIG opined that when prices for laboratory services for non-governmental patients are discounted below Medicare reimbursable rates, the anti-kickback law may be implicated. The OIG found prices discounted below the laboratory supplier's costs to be particularly problematic. In the same opinion, OIG suggested that a laboratory may be excluded from federal healthcare programs if it charges the Medicare or Medicaid programs amounts substantially in excess of discounted charges to other customers. In the OIG's opinion, charges are likely excessive if the profit margin for Medicare business exceeds the profit margin for non-federally reimbursed business.

The OIG also has addressed physician practice management arrangements in an advisory opinion. In Advisory Opinion 98-4, the OIG found that management fees based on a percentage of practice revenues may violate the anti-kickback statute. These Advisory Opinions suggest that OIG might challenge prices below Medicare reimbursement rates or arrangements based on a percentage of revenues. While we believe our arrangements are in material compliance with applicable law and regulations, OIG's advisory opinions suggest there is a risk of an adverse OIG finding relating to arrangements reviewed in the advisory opinions. Any such finding could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### *Self-Referral and Financial Inducement Laws*

We are subject to federal and state statutes and regulations banning payments for referral of patients and referrals by physicians to healthcare providers with whom the physicians (or their immediate family members) have a financial relationship. The federal physician anti-self referral law, or the Stark Law, applies to Medicare and Medicaid and prohibits a physician from referring patients for certain designated health services, including laboratory services, to an entity with which the physician has a financial relationship. Financial relationships include both investment (and ownership) interests in an entity and compensation arrangements with an entity. If an arrangement or relationship is covered by the Stark Law, all of the requirements of a Stark Law exception must be satisfied. Most states have enacted some form of referral law. State statutes and regulations affecting the referral of patients to healthcare providers range from statutes and regulations that are substantially similar to the federal law to simple requirements that physicians and other healthcare professionals disclose to patients any financial relationship the physicians or healthcare professionals have with a healthcare provider to which the patient is referred. These laws and regulations are often vague and, in many cases, have not been interpreted by courts or regulatory agencies. The state statutes and regulations generally apply to services reimbursed by both governmental and private payors. Violations of these laws may result in prohibition of payment for services rendered, government recoupment of fees paid to us and forfeiture of revenues due to us, loss of licenses and fines and civil and criminal penalties. In addition, violation of the Stark Law may result in exclusion from Medicare and Medicaid and other federal and state healthcare programs. Adverse judicial or administrative interpretations of any of these laws could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, expansion of our operations to new jurisdictions, or new interpretations of laws in existing jurisdictions, could require structural and organizational modifications of our relationships with physicians to comply with that jurisdiction's laws.

The Stark Law exempts from its definition of a referral any request for diagnostic laboratory tests and pathological examination services when made by a pathologist pursuant to a consultation requested by another physician. Our business has been structured so that substantially all tests we perform on the basis of requests from our affiliated physicians will fall within this special pathology exemption. Certain referrals to us are however ineligible for this exemption and, if other Stark Law exemptions do not apply (such as the in-office ancillary service exemption or exemptions for certain employment and personal services arrangements), the government may determine that we are in violation of these complex, constantly evolving Stark Law exemptions and rules. We have also attempted to design our business so that it is in material compliance with applicable state anti-referral laws and regulations, many of which are modeled after the federal statute. If our financial relationships with one or more pathologists were found to be non-exempt or if non-exempt referrals were found to have been made, or if our compensation to physicians were interpreted as violating a state's anti-referral laws, we and the affected pathologists could be subject to civil and criminal penalties, including fines, exclusions from participation in government and private payor programs, forfeiture of revenues due to us and requirements to refund amounts previously received from government and private payors.

### *False Claims Laws*

Under the federal False Claims Act, the government may fine any person who knowingly submits, or participates in submitting, claims for payment to the federal government that are false or fraudulent or that contain false or misleading information. In addition, knowingly making or using a false record or statement to avoid paying the federal government is a violation. Entities found to have





---

## **Table of Contents**

violated the False Claims Act may be required to make significant payments to the government, including damages, penalties, forfeiture of revenues due and reimbursements of amounts previously collected. Individuals associated with the entity may be subject to prison terms and large fines. In addition, entities and individuals may be excluded from participating in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs. Many states have similar false claims statutes.

In addition, private insurers may bring actions under false claim laws. In certain circumstances, federal and some state laws authorize private whistleblowers to bring false claim suits on behalf of the government against providers and reward the whistleblower with a portion of any final recovery. In addition, the federal government has engaged a number of nongovernmental-audit organizations to assist it in tracking and recovering false claims for healthcare services. The practices targeted include: billing for tests not performed, billing for tests not medically necessary or not ordered by the physician, unbundling, or billing for tests individually rather than as a group, upcoding tests to realize higher reimbursement than what is owed, offering inducements to physicians for testing referrals and duplicate billing. These practices have led to governmental investigations and whistleblower suits that have resulted in financially significant payments made by a number of healthcare providers in the past decade.

Since investigations relating to false claims have increased in recent years, it is more likely companies conducting business in the healthcare industry could become the subject of a federal or state civil or criminal investigation or action, be required to defend the results of such investigation, be subjected to civil and criminal fines, be sued by private payors and be excluded from Medicare, Medicaid or other federally funded healthcare programs. Although we monitor our billing practices for compliance with prevailing industry practice under applicable laws, such laws are complex and constantly evolving.

### *Government Investigations of Hospitals and Hospital Laboratories*

Significant media and public attention has been focused on the healthcare industry due to ongoing federal and state investigations related to referral and billing practices, laboratory and home healthcare services and physician ownership and joint ventures involving hospitals. Most notably, HCA, Inc., or ( HCA ), has been under investigation with respect to such practices. We provide medical director services for numerous hospital laboratories, including 25 HCA hospital laboratories as of December 31, 2005. The government's investigation of HCA could result in a governmental investigation of one or more of our operations that have arrangements with HCA. In addition, the OIG and the Department of Justice have initiated hospital laboratory billing review projects in some states and are expected to extend such projects to additional states, including states in which we operate hospital laboratories. These projects increase the likelihood of governmental investigations of our operations. Although we monitor our billing practices and hospital arrangements for compliance with applicable laws, such laws are complex and constantly evolving. The government's investigations of entities with which we contract may have other effects, which could adversely affect us, including termination or amendment of one or more of our contracts or business relationships.

### *Corporate Practice of Medicine Restrictions*

We are not licensed to practice medicine. The practice of medicine is conducted solely by our licensed pathologists. The manner in which licensed physicians can be organized to perform and bill for medical services is governed by the laws of the state in which medical services are provided and by the medical boards or other entities authorized by these states to oversee the practice of medicine. Business corporations generally are not permitted under the laws of many states to exercise control over the medical judgments or decisions of physicians or engage in certain practices, such as fee-splitting, with physicians. In states where we are not permitted to directly own a medical practice, we perform only non-medical and administrative and support services, do not represent to the public or our clients that we offer medical services and do not exercise influence or control over the practice of medicine. In those states, we conduct our laboratory operations indirectly through one or more physician-owned entities that are controlled by us.

If the laws of a state restrict the direct employment of physicians or the practice of medicine by a company like ours, we conduct business in that state by contracting with an affiliated physician-owned entity that, in turn, employs the physicians who, in turn, practice medicine. In those states, we generally enter into a contract that restricts the owner of the affiliated entity from transferring his, her or its ownership interests in the affiliated entity and otherwise provides us or our designee with a controlling voting or financial interest in the affiliated entity and its laboratory operations. Our controlling financial interest is generally obtained pursuant to a long-term management service agreement between us and the affiliated physician-owned entity. Under the management services agreement, we exclusively manage all aspects of the operation other than the provision of medical services. Generally, the affiliated entity has no operating assets because we acquired all of its operating assets at the time we acquired the related laboratory operations. As part of the management services agreements, each affiliated physician-owned entity is required to maintain medical malpractice insurance that names our company as an additional insured, and we are required to maintain general liability insurance that names the affiliated physician-owned entity as additional insured. Upon termination of the services agreement, each affiliated physician-owned entity is required to obtain continuing liability insurance coverage under either a tail policy or a prior acts policy.



---

## **Table of Contents**

We believe that we are currently in material compliance with the corporate practice laws in the states in which we operate. Regulatory authorities or other parties could assert, however, that we are engaged in the corporate practice of medicine. If such a claim were successfully asserted in any jurisdiction, our Company and our pathologists could be subject to civil and criminal penalties under such jurisdiction's laws and could be required to restructure our contractual and other arrangements. Alternatively, some of our existing contracts could be found to be illegal and unenforceable. Any such occurrence could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, expansion of our operations to other states may require structural and organizational modification of our form of relationship with physicians or hospitals.

### *Restrictions on Fee-Splitting*

Many states prohibit the splitting or sharing of fees between physicians and non-physicians. These laws vary from state to state and are enforced by courts and regulatory agencies, each with broad discretion. Most of the states with fee-splitting laws only prohibit a physician from sharing fees with a referral source. Some states, however, have interpreted management agreements between entities and physicians as unlawful fee-splitting.

We believe our arrangements with pathologists materially comply with the fee-splitting laws of the states in which we operate. Nevertheless, it is possible regulatory authorities or other parties could claim we are engaged in fee-splitting. If such a claim were successfully asserted in any jurisdiction, our Company and our pathologists could be subject to civil and criminal penalties, and we could be required to restructure our contractual and other arrangements. Any restructuring of our contractual and other arrangements could result in lower revenues, increased expenses and reduced control over our operations. Alternatively, some of our existing contracts could be found to be illegal and unenforceable, which could result in the termination of those contracts and an associated loss of revenue. In addition, expansion of our operations to other states with fee-splitting prohibitions may require structural and organizational modification to the form of relationships that we currently have with pathologists, affiliated operations and hospitals.

### *Medicare Fee Schedules for Diagnostic Laboratory Testing*

Medicare reimburses hospitals for services performed for a patient based on location-specific fee schedules, which in part are based on Consumer Price Index, or CPI, related adjustments. At various times, Congress has implemented a national cap on Medicare laboratory fee schedules and has either limited or eliminated the annual CPI adjustment of the Medicare laboratory fee schedules.

Since 1999, the Medicare statute has included a methodology, the Sustainable Growth Rate (SRG), which automatically calculates payments for services, including anatomic pathology services, under the annual CMS Physician Fee Schedule. The SGR is routinely factored into creation of the annual physician fee schedule, unless this methodology is overridden by an annual act of Congress. The SRG methodology would have resulted in a 4.4% reduction in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in 2003 and a 4.5% reduction in 2004 if those reductions had not been blocked by Congress. Instead, Congress required a 1.6% increase in 2003 and a 1.5% increase in each of 2004 and 2005. In addition, because it was projected that the SRG would result in further reductions in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years, Congress revised the SRG through legislation (the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003), which was enacted in December 2003. It is unclear how this revision in the SRG methodology will affect annual adjustments in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years and, if it will not prevent reductions, whether Congress will continue to intervene through the annual repeal of the SGR to prevent decreases in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years.

State Medicaid programs similarly pay in accordance with a fee schedule and may cap payments either in accordance with Medicare caps or state requirements.

### *Reevaluations and Examination of Billing*

Payors periodically reevaluate the services they cover. In some cases, government payors such as Medicare also may seek to recoup payments previously made for services determined not to be covered. Moreover, recently the federal government has become more aggressive in examining laboratory billing and seeking repayments and penalties as the result of improper billing for services. The primary focus of this initiative has been on hospital laboratories and on clinical laboratory tests as opposed to anatomic pathology tests. The scope of this initiative, however, could expand. Furthermore, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, and a joint governmental initiative commenced in 1995 called Operation Restore Trust, have strengthened the powers of the OIG and increased the funding for Medicare and Medicaid audits and investigations. As a result, the OIG has expanded and continues to expand the scope of its healthcare audits and investigations. State enforcement actions are similarly expanding. Federal and state audits and inspections, whether on a scheduled or unannounced basis, are conducted from time to time at our facilities. We believe our practices are proper and do not include any allegedly improper practices now being examined.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### *Laboratory Compliance Plan*

In February 1997, the OIG released a model compliance plan for laboratories based largely on the corporate integrity agreements negotiated with the laboratories against which government enforcement actions were brought under Operation Restore Trust. We adopted and maintain a compliance plan, which includes components of the OIG's model compliance plan, as we deem appropriate to the conduct of our business. Our chief compliance officer reports to our Vice President of Legal and monitors our compliance plan and audit process. The chief compliance officer reports compliance issues directly to the audit committee of our board of directors as she deems appropriate.

### *Antitrust Laws*

In connection with state corporate practice of medicine laws discussed above, the physician-owned affiliates through which we operate are organized as separate legal entities. As such, the physician practice entities may be deemed to be persons separate both from our company and from one another under the antitrust laws and, accordingly, subject to a wide range of federal and state laws prohibiting anti-competitive conduct among separate legal entities. We believe we are in compliance with federal and state antitrust laws and intend to comply with any state and federal laws that may affect us. The government has increased its scrutiny regarding antitrust violations, particularly with regard to healthcare providers. A review of our business and operations by courts or regulatory authorities may adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

### *Licensing*

The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments program, or CLIA, extends federal oversight to virtually all healthcare laboratories by requiring that laboratories be certified by the government. Many laboratories also must meet governmental quality and personnel standards, undergo proficiency testing and biennial inspections. Rather than focusing on location, size or type of laboratory, oversight is based on the complexity of the test performed by the laboratory. The CLIA quality standards regulations divide all tests into three categories: waived, moderate complexity and high complexity. They also establish requirements depending upon the complexity of the test performed. Our outpatient laboratories are licensed by the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, under CLIA to perform high complexity testing. Generally, the HHS regulations require laboratories that perform high complexity or moderate complexity tests to implement systems that ensure the accurate performance and reporting of test results, establish quality control systems, conduct proficiency testing and perform biennial inspections. We also are subject to state regulation, and CLIA provides that a state may adopt more stringent regulations than federal law. For example, some states in which we operate require that laboratory personnel meet certain qualifications and quality controls, maintain certain records and undergo proficiency testing.

On January 31, 2006, the Company completed its acquisition of Specialty Laboratories, Inc., a leading hospital-focused clinical reference laboratory specializing in high end esoteric testing. Because of the location of Specialty's laboratory in Valencia, licensure is also required under the laws of the State of California. Since we perform testing for patients from all states, we hold licenses in additional states where such licensure is required by local state law, including Florida, Maryland, New Hampshire, New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, West Virginia, and Rhode Island. We will apply for licenses in other states as needed, and if and when other states require licensure of out-of-state laboratories, we may need to obtain additional state licenses. Specialty's laboratory is also accredited by the College of American Pathologists, a private accrediting agency that has deemed status under CLIA.

Specialty previously received sanctions based on alleged failures to comply with certain state and federal regulations, and Specialty's laboratory will be subject to additional future inspections. We can provide no assurances that our facilities will pass all future inspections conducted to ensure compliance with federal or any other applicable licensure or certification laws.

Persons engaged in the practice of medicine must be licensed by each state in which they practice. The professional practice of physicians is regulated in each state by the state board of medicine. Each board of medicine has rules enumerating the activities that constitute unprofessional conduct. A board may sanction unprofessional conduct by suspending, restricting or revoking a physician's license. Other possible sanctions include restraining orders, injunctions, imprisonment and fines.

### *Regulation of Genetic Testing*

The Federal Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates the manufacture of medical devices, including laboratory testing equipment, diagnostic kits and certain reagents. While the FDA believes that it has authority to regulate tests developed by laboratories for their own use, the FDA, to date, has allowed the development of such tests to proceed under CLIA regulations governing a laboratory's development of its own assays. The FDA has also subjected the commercialization of certain immunohistochemical stains, tumor markers and analyte specific reagents to limited regulation, and requires us to make certain disclosures in connection with their use. In addition, the FDA has announced that it is

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

evaluating whether it should regulate analyte specific reagents as either Class II or Class III medical devices. Our existing and future assays may be subject to federal regulatory

---

## **Table of Contents**

approval similar to the pre-marketing approval process that the FDA applies to drugs and medical devices, or may be subject to other increased regulatory standards, which could have a negative effect on our business. If the FDA seeks to regulate in-house genetic testing, depending on the nature and scope of such regulation, it could have a detrimental effect on our business. At the state level, the New York State Department of Health now requires detailed review of our scientific validations and technical procedures for each assay before approval for New York residents. This level of scrutiny delays test availability in New York.

### *HIPAA Criminal Penalties*

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, established an array of new federal criminal authorities prohibiting the commission of fraud against any healthcare benefit program, theft, embezzlement involving healthcare and false statements in connection with the payment of any health benefits. HIPAA also provided broad prosecutorial subpoena authority and authorized property forfeiture upon conviction of a federal healthcare offense. Significantly, the HIPAA provisions apply both to federal programs and to private health benefit programs. HIPAA also broadened the authority of the OIG to exclude participants from federal healthcare programs. Enforcement of the new HIPAA provisions is in its early stages, and we currently are unable to predict their ultimate impact on us.

### *HIPAA Regulations Relating to Privacy, Security and Electronic Transactions and Code Sets*

Among other things, HIPAA established several requirements regarding the privacy, security and electronic transmission of individually identifiable health information. HHS has issued several sets of regulations in accordance with its authority under HIPAA. In general, these regulations apply to healthcare providers, health plans, and healthcare clearinghouses, which the regulations refer to as covered entities. Our Company and most of our operations are subject to the HIPAA regulations.

The HIPAA regulations include:

regulations that protect individual privacy by limiting the uses and disclosures of individually identifiable health information, or the Privacy Regulations;

regulations that prescribe specific transaction formats and data code sets for specified electronic healthcare transactions, or the TCS Regulations; and

regulations that require covered entities to implement administrative, physical and technological safeguards to ensure the confidentiality, integrity and availability of individually identifiable health information in electronic form, or the Security Regulations. Failure to comply with the HIPAA regulations may subject the company to civil monetary penalties and, in certain circumstances, criminal penalties. Under HIPAA, covered entities may be subject to civil monetary penalties in the amount of \$100 per violation, capped at a maximum of \$25,000 per year for violation of any particular standard. However, civil monetary penalties may not be assessed if a covered entity's failure to comply is based on reasonable cause and not willful neglect, and the failure to comply is remedied within 30 days, or a longer period determined to be appropriate by HHS. On April 17, 2003, HHS published an interim final rule regarding civil monetary penalties. The rule largely deals with procedural issues regarding imposition of penalties, and does not address substantive issues regarding what violations will result in the imposition of a civil monetary penalty and what factors will be taken into account in determining the amount of a penalty. The U.S. Department of Justice, or DOJ, may seek to impose criminal penalties for intentional violations of HIPAA. Criminal penalties under HIPAA vary depending upon the nature of the violation, but could include fines of up to \$250,000 and/or imprisonment.

At this time, we are not able to determine the full consequences of the HIPAA regulations to our business or the total cost of complying with these regulations. Although we believe we are in material compliance with these HIPAA regulations with which compliance is currently required, the HIPAA regulations are expected to continue to impact us operationally and financially and will pose increased regulatory risk.

### *HIPAA Privacy Regulations*

The Privacy Regulations establish comprehensive federal standards relating to the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health information, or protected health information. The Privacy Regulations establish limits on the use and disclosure of protected health information, provide for patients' rights, including rights to access, request amendment of, and receive an accounting of certain disclosures of protected health

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

information, and require certain safeguards to protect protected health information. In addition, each covered entity must contractually bind individuals and entities that furnish services to the covered entity or perform a function on its behalf, and to which the covered entity discloses protected health information, to restrictions on the use and disclosure of that



---

## Table of Contents

information. The Privacy Regulations do not supersede state laws that are more stringent. Thus, we must reconcile the Privacy Regulations and other state privacy laws that are more stringent than the Privacy Regulations. Our operations that are regulated by HIPAA were required to be in compliance with the Privacy Regulations by April 14, 2003. We believe our operations are in material compliance with the Privacy Regulations. Because uncertainties remain regarding the application and interpretation of the Privacy Regulations, and because there is limited information currently available regarding civil enforcement activities by the HHS Office for Civil Rights, or OCR, and criminal enforcement activities by DOJ, there is no assurance that OCR or DOJ would find the Company to be operating in compliance with the Privacy Regulations.

### *HIPAA TCS Regulations*

The TCS Regulations establish uniform standards relating to data reporting, formatting and coding that covered entities must use in conducting certain transactions. The TCS Regulations presently apply to eight different transactions, including transactions relating to healthcare claims and healthcare payment and remittance advice. Upon the compliance date, healthcare providers must use these standards when electronically conducting a covered transaction with health plans. The compliance date for the TCS Regulations was October 16, 2002, although the Administrative Simplification Compliance Act granted a covered entity an additional one year to achieve compliance if it filed a compliance plan on or before October 15, 2002. We filed a compliance plan to extend the applicable compliance date for the TCS Regulations until October 16, 2003. Any of our operations acquired or formed after October 15, 2002 that did not file for an extension on or before that date, were required to be in immediate compliance.

Many covered entities, including our company, were not fully compliant with the TCS Regulations as of October 16, 2003. However, we have deployed a contingency plan to continue to send and receive non-standard transactions, as contemplated in the Guidance on Compliance with HIPAA Transactions and Code Sets after the October 16, 2003 Implementation Deadline (which we refer to as the CMS Guidance) issued by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, on July 24, 2003. In the CMS Guidance, CMS stated that covered entities are responsible for complying with the TCS Regulations following the October 16, 2003 compliance date. However, the CMS Guidance also provides that CMS's focus will be on obtaining voluntary compliance and that CMS will follow a complaint-driven approach to enforcement of the TCS Regulations. The CMS Guidance further indicates that CMS will consider a covered entity's good faith efforts to comply with the TCS Regulations in determining whether to seek civil monetary penalties against a non-compliant covered entity and whether to extend the time allowed for the covered entity to remedy the non-compliance.

In light of the CMS Guidance, we have taken a number of steps to update our systems and work with our trading partners to achieve compliance with the TCS Regulations. We have updated the software and information systems that we use to conduct electronic transactions with our trading partners to enable us to conduct those transactions in compliance with the TCS Regulations. Where our systems could not be updated to achieve compliance, we have engaged third party clearinghouses to conduct transactions for us. We have also established with most of our trading partners the electronic pathways necessary to process transactions in compliance with the TCS Regulations, and have conducted testing, re-testing and quality assurance processes related to such transactions. Currently, we believe we are HIPAA compliant for those transactions that we conduct and with those trading partners that can conduct HIPAA compliant transactions.

Although we have taken these proactive steps, by deploying our contingency plan and conducting non-standard transactions, our Company, like most covered entities, including CMS, was not in full compliance with the TCS Regulations as of and in the period immediately after October 16, 2003. Although the CMS Guidance indicated that CMS will follow a complaint-driven approach, we cannot provide any assurances regarding how CMS would apply the CMS Guidance in general or to our Company in particular. In addition, we understand that CMS has received a limited number of complaints regarding covered entities' compliance with the TCS Regulations, and are not currently aware of any complaint against our Company. In the event of enforcement action by CMS, there can be no assurances that we will be able to establish our good faith efforts to CMS's satisfaction so as to avoid liability for civil monetary penalties. There also can be no assurances that CMS would be willing to extend the 30-day time period for us to remedy non-compliance, or that we would be able to remedy our non-compliance within the 30-day time period or any extended period granted by CMS.

We expect that in the near future CMS and other health plans are likely to end their contingency plans, and at that time will require healthcare providers like our Company to operate in full compliance with the TCS Regulations. We cannot be sure that these health plans will provide us with sufficient notice to allow us to prepare to transition to operating in full compliance with the TCS Regulations. Since the healthcare system has not operated at full capacity using the newly-mandated standard electronic transactions, unforeseen errors may occur which could cause rejection of claims, extended payment cycles, and reduction of cash flow.

As stated above, DOJ may seek to impose criminal penalties, including fines and imprisonment, in the event of a covered entity's knowing violation of HIPAA. It is not clear whether criminal penalties may be imposed for violations only of the Privacy Regulations, or also for violations of the TCS Regulations. To date, DOJ has not provided any formal guidance regarding when it



---

## **Table of Contents**

would seek to impose criminal penalties for violations of the HIPAA regulations. While there can be no assurances that DOJ will not seek criminal penalties against us for our initial failure to fully comply with the TCS Regulations, we believe that, given the CMS Guidance, prosecution of technical violations of the TCS Regulations is unlikely.

### *HIPAA Security Regulations*

The Security Regulations were finalized on February 20, 2003 and compliance was required by April 21, 2005. The Security Regulations establish detailed requirements for safeguarding protected health information that is electronically transmitted or electronically stored. The Security Regulations establish 42 implementation specifications, 20 of which are required, meaning they must be implemented as specified in the Security Regulations, while the other 22 are addressable. Complying with addressable implementation specifications will require the Company to assess whether these specifications constitute a reasonable and appropriate safeguard for the particular business activity; if not, the Company must design and implement an alternative approach to satisfy the particular standard.

Some of the Security Regulations are technical in nature, while others may be addressed through policies and procedures. The Security Regulations may require us to incur significant costs in ensuring that our systems and facilities have in place all of the technical and physical safeguards to meet all of the implementation specifications. The effect of the Security Regulations on our business is difficult to predict and there can be no assurances that we will adequately address the risks created by the Security Regulations and their implementation.

### *Other Regulations*

In addition, our facilities and operations are subject to licensing and regulation under federal, state and local laws relating to the safety and health of laboratory employees and the collecting, storing, handling and disposal of medical specimens, infectious and hazardous waste and radioactive materials. We believe our laboratory operations are in material compliance with applicable federal and state laws and regulations relating to the generation, use, storage, treatment and disposal of all laboratory specimens and other biohazardous waste. We utilize licensed vendors for the disposal of such specimen and waste.

In addition to its comprehensive regulation of safety in the workplace, the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration has established extensive requirements relating to workplace safety for healthcare employees, including clinical laboratories, whose workers may be exposed to blood-borne pathogens, such as HIV and the hepatitis B virus. These regulations require work practice controls, protective clothing and equipment, training, medical follow-up, vaccinations and other measures designed to minimize exposure to and transmission of, blood-borne pathogens. Regulations of the Department of Transportation, the Public Health Services and the U.S. Postal Service also apply to the transportation of laboratory specimens. We believe we are in material compliance with these regulations.

## **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

We lease our executive offices located in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida (approximately 22,500 square feet), our administrative and billing offices in Pompano Beach, Florida (approximately 48,400 square feet) and our administrative, billing, and information technology offices in Addison, Texas (approximately 44,416 square feet), and, including our managed operations, lease 71 other facilities: 16 in Florida, 11 in Texas, four in each of Kentucky, New York, Ohio and Pennsylvania, three in each of Colorado, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Tennessee, two in Alabama, Arizona, Georgia, South Carolina and Wisconsin, and one each in Connecticut, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, North Carolina and Utah. These facilities are used for laboratory operations, administrative and billing and collections operations and storage space. All the facilities encompass an aggregate of approximately 590,000 square feet, have an aggregate annual rent of approximately \$9.6 million and have lease terms expiring from 2006 to 2020. As laboratory leases are scheduled to expire, we will consider whether to extend or renegotiate the existing lease or move the facility to another location within the defined geographic area of the operation.

## **ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

From time to time, we receive subpoenas from government officials. While to date none of these investigations has resulted in liability, investigations are expensive and take valuable management time. For instance, we received subpoenas from the United States Attorney's office in Tampa, Florida to deliver Medicare billing records and other documents relating to alleged financial inducements received by a Florida physician who is not a pathologist with our company, but is one of our clients. In addition, certain of our affiliates received subpoenas from the Florida Attorney General Medicaid Fraud Control Unit requesting copies of agreements that we have with certain hospitals and certain patient records. To our knowledge, numerous other hospitals and facilities have received similar subpoenas, which may indicate a state-wide audit of pathology operations. We are providing information to both the United States Attorney's office and the Florida Attorney General's office and intend to cooperate in the investigations. It is not



---

## **Table of Contents**

possible at this point in either investigation to determine whether the government will pursue action against us or to assess the merits of possible defenses we may have to any such action. Accordingly, no assurances can be given regarding the ultimate outcome of these investigations. Any action against us by the government could result in fines or penalties being imposed upon us. Additionally, although we believe that we are in material compliance with federal and state fraud and abuse laws, there is no assurance that at a future time a federal or state government agency will not reach a different conclusion.

### *Specialty Litigation*

Specialty Laboratories Asia Pte. Ltd., a Singapore corporation, ( SLA ), is 60% owned by our wholly-owned subsidiary, Specialty Laboratories International Ltd., a British Virgin Islands corporation ( SLIL ). SLA was headquartered in Singapore but, in early 1999, SLA ceased all operations and is currently insolvent. A former employee of SLA has obtained a judgment for \$350,000 against SLA and a default judgment of approximately \$2.0 million in a wrongful termination action against SLA filed by him in Singapore. The former employee has filed an action against SLA in San Diego Superior Court to attempt to collect on the Singapore judgment and has obtained a default judgment of approximately \$2.5 million against SLA in California. The former employee served discovery upon us and certain of our directors and officers. Our management believes that any claim against us or our directors and officers in connection with these judgments, if made, would be without merit, and we would vigorously defend any such action.

In December 2003, we were served with an action in which we are named as a defendant, together with certain of our former officers, SLIL, and multiple other parties located in Singapore and India, in a lawsuit brought in the High Court of the Republic of Singapore by Dragon Investment Company ( Dragon ), one of the shareholders in SLA. Dragon has also brought the lawsuit in the name of SLA as a derivative action. The lawsuit alleges, among other things, that SLA and Dragon suffered damages as a result of the winding up of the affairs of SLA and disposition of its assets. The lawsuit also alleges that certain of the defendants breached certain written agreements to allow Dragon to acquire more shares of SLA, that certain of our former officers conspired to run down and dissipate the assets of SLA, and that they fraudulently concealed their actions from Dragon and the other minority shareholder of SLA. We have provided notice to the applicable insurance carriers. While we believe that we have insurance applicable to the defense of the lawsuits, and continue to work with the relevant insurance carriers on the coverage issue, such carriers have not yet acknowledged coverage of the matter.

From time to time, we receive letters alleging infringement of patent or other intellectual property rights. Our management believes that these letters generally are without merit and intend to contest them vigorously. For more information, please see **Risk Factors**. Our tests and business processes may infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, which could cause us to engage in costly litigation, pay substantial damages or prohibit us from selling our services.

In addition, during the ordinary course of business, we have become and may in the future become subject to legal actions and proceedings. We may have liability with respect to our employees and our pathologists and with respect to hospital employees who are under the supervision of our hospital-based pathologists. The majority of these pending legal proceedings involve claims of medical malpractice. Based upon investigations conducted to date, we believe the outcome of pending legal actions and proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. There can be no assurance that our captive insurance arrangements and our excess liability insurance coverage will be adequate to cover all potential medical malpractice liabilities that we may incur. We have no aggregate excess stop loss protection, meaning once our claim limits have been reached, we are subject for any excess amounts. We also may, from time to time, be involved with legal actions related to the acquisition of anatomic pathology operations, the prior conduct of acquired operations or the employment and restriction on competition of physicians. There can be no assurance that any costs or liabilities for which we become responsible in connection with these claims or actions will not be material or will not exceed the limitations of any applicable indemnification provisions or the financial resources of the indemnifying parties.

## **ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

None.

**Table of Contents****PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

There is no established public trading market for our Common Stock. As of March 27, 2006, there was one holder of our Common Stock. We have not declared any cash dividends on our Common Stock for our two most recent fiscal years, and we do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. In addition, our credit facility and indenture restrict the payment of dividends on our common stock.

**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected historical consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated audited financial statements. The Statements of Income Data and Balance Sheet Data are derived from our audited financial statements. Our consolidated audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005, for the year ended December 31, 2004, for the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, and for the year ended December 31, 2002 have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent auditors. Our consolidated audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2001 have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP.

**STATEMENTS OF INCOME DATA:****YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,****(dollars in thousands)**

|  | Predecessor (1) |              |                        | Successor (1)           |              |              |
|--|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|  | Year Ended      | Year Ended   | Period from            | Period from             | Year Ended   | Year Ended   |
|  | December 31,    | December 31, | March 28, 2003 through | January 1, 2003 through | December 31, | December 31, |
|  | 2005            | 2004         | December 31, 2003      | March 27, 2003          | 2002         | 2001         |
| Net revenues   | \$ 563,617      | \$ 507,271   | \$ 366,046             | \$ 118,957              | \$ 478,818   | \$ 418,732   |
| Operating costs and expenses:                        |                 |              |                        |                         |              |              |
| Cost of services                                     | 300,244         | 270,959      | 189,771                | 62,145                  | 238,573      | 200,102      |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses         | 109,208         | 95,688       | 65,579                 | 21,726                  | 84,868       | 71,856       |
| Provision for doubtful accounts                      | 73,766          | 76,463       | 56,376                 | 14,997                  | 58,170       | 48,287       |
| Amortization expense <sup>(8)</sup>                  | 11,227          | 11,100       | 8,352                  | 3,107                   | 11,389       | 18,659       |
| Merger-related charges <sup>(2)</sup>                |                 |              | 2,404                  | 10,010                  | 2,836        | 7,103        |
| Restructuring costs <sup>(3)</sup>                   |                 |              | 2,044                  | 1,196                   |              |              |
| Asset impairment and related charges <sup>(4)</sup>  | 883             | 611          | 425                    |                         | 2,753        | 3,809        |
| Total operating costs and expenses                   | 495,328         | 454,821      | 324,951                | 113,181                 | 398,589      | 349,816      |
| Income from operations                               | 68,289          | 52,450       | 41,095                 | 5,776                   | 80,229       | 68,916       |
| Interest expense                                     | (48,885)        | (44,797)     | (34,469)               | (1,180)                 | (4,016)      | (16,350)     |
| Termination of interest rate swap agreement          |                 |              |                        |                         |              | (10,386)     |
| Change in value of derivative <sup>(5)</sup>         | (280)           | (1,015)      |                        |                         |              |              |
| Write-off of Genomics investment <sup>(6)</sup>      |                 |              |                        |                         | (1,000)      |              |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs <sup>(7)</sup> | (468)           | (3,829)      |                        | (957)                   |              | (1,574)      |
| Other income, net                                    | 620             | 66           | 318                    | 33                      | 548          | 145          |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|   |          |          |          |          |           |           |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Income before income taxes                  | 19,276   | 2,875    | 6,944    | 3,672    | 75,761    | 40,751    |
| Provision for income taxes                  | 9,355    | 1,361    | 3,090    | 2,131    | 31,120    | 17,399    |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 9,921 | \$ 1,514 | \$ 3,854 | \$ 1,541 | \$ 44,641 | \$ 23,352 |

**Table of Contents****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET DATA:****DECEMBER 31,****(dollars in thousands)**

|   | 2005     | 2004      | 2003      | 2002    | 2001     |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents                 | \$ 3,998 | \$ 20,980 | \$ 23,536 | \$ 964  | \$ 3,208 |
| Restricted Cash                           | 26,684   | 17,940    | 12,825    | 8,453   |          |
| Total assets                              | 984,149  | 964,309   | 912,753   | 708,460 | 604,462  |
| Long-term debt, including current portion | 479,490  | 497,853   | 492,458   | 116,253 | 93,322   |
| Stockholders' equity                      | 384,717  | 358,092   | 338,675   | 451,326 | 399,190  |

- (1) Consolidated financial data for periods subsequent to from March 27, 2003 reflect the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with the merger. The comparability of the operating results for the periods presented is affected by the revaluation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the date of the merger. The financial data for the periods prior to March 28, 2003 consists of the historical data and subsidiaries prior to the merger.
- (2) In connection with our combination with Inform DX, we recorded \$7.1 million in 2001 for costs related to transaction fees, change in control payments and various exit costs associated with the consolidation of certain operations. In addition, in connection with the March 2003 Transaction, we recorded \$2.8 million of transaction fees in the fourth quarter of 2002 and \$12.4 million during 2003.
- (3) Represents restructuring costs that were recognized based upon criteria set forth in SFAS 146 of (i) \$1.2 million for employee severance costs in connection with a reduction in workforce at our Southern California, Philadelphia, Central Florida and North Texas laboratories, and (ii) \$2.0 million incurred for remaining severance costs and the closure of our Southern California laboratory. The Southern California facility was closed as a result of a loss of revenue from Quest Diagnostics, which historically accounted for a significant portion of revenues for this individual lab.
- (4) During 2001, we recorded an asset impairment charge of \$3.8 million related to the closure of an Alabama laboratory. During 2002, we recorded charges consisting of approximately \$2.1 million in connection with the write-off of our remaining Quest laboratory contract intangibles and approximately \$0.7 million in connection with our termination of a management service agreement in Georgia. During 2003, we recorded a pre-tax, non-cash charge of approximately \$0.4 million in connection with the sale of two hospital-based practices in Florida. During 2004, we recorded a pre-tax, non-cash charge of approximately \$0.6 million in connection with the sale of a practice in Michigan. In August 2005, the Company sold its managed practice in Memphis, Tennessee. As a result of the sale, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$1.3 million. As a result of the sale and termination of the Memphis managed service agreement, the Company performed an impairment analysis relative to the carrying value of this identifiable intangible and determined that no impairment existed at September 30, 2005. In February 2005, the Company sold a managed practice in Los Gatos, California resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.4 million.
- (5) During 2004, we entered into a swap agreement, and recorded its change in market value as of December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2005, respectively.
- (6) During 2002, we wrote off the \$1.0 million carrying value of our interest in a genomics company as a result of a decline in the fair value of this investment.
- (7) Consists of write-offs of deferred financing costs relating to the termination of then-existing credit facilities in 2001, the March 2003 Transaction, and two voluntary paydowns on our current credit facility in both 2004 and 2005.
- (8) The predecessor adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 142 as of January 1, 2002. SFAS 142 clarifies the criteria to recognize intangible assets separately from goodwill and promulgates that goodwill and certain indefinite-lived intangible assets not be amortized.



---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 include the accounts of AmeriPath, Inc. and subsidiaries (collectively, AmeriPath or the Company) subsequent to the March 2003 Transaction as well as the accounts of the predecessor prior to the March 2003 Transaction. The financial statements and financial data of the predecessor are presented for comparative purposes and include the consolidated historical financial statements of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. The predecessor ceased operations as of the date of the merger.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with the Selected Financial Data and our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included elsewhere in Item 8. Our fiscal year is the calendar year ending December 31. As noted in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the March 2003 Transaction resulted in a new basis of accounting for the Company. In some cases, for ease of comparison purposes, financial data for the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 has been added to financial data for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, to arrive at a 12-month combined period ended December 31, 2003. This combined data may be referred to herein as fiscal year 2003, year 2003, 2003, or the 12-month combined period ended December 31, 2003.

**General**

We are one of the leading anatomic pathology laboratory companies in the United States. We offer a broad range of anatomic pathology laboratory testing and information services used by physicians in the detection, diagnosis, evaluation and treatment of cancer and other diseases and medical conditions. We service an extensive referring physician base through our 48 laboratories, and we provide inpatient diagnostic and medical director services at 208 hospitals. Our services are performed by over 390 pathologists.

Since our formation in 1996, we have completed over 60 acquisitions of pathology laboratories and operations.

Because the laws of many states restrict corporations from directly employing physicians or owning corporations that employ physicians, we often conduct our business through affiliated entities that we manage and control but do not own. In states where we are under these restrictions, we perform only non-medical administrative and support services, do not represent to the public or our clients that we offer medical services and do not exercise influence or control over the practice of medicine by our physicians. Because of the degree of non-medical managerial control we exercise over our affiliated entities, we consolidate the financial results of these entities with those of our wholly-owned operations. We collectively refer to these consolidated entities and our wholly owned operations as our owned operations. In addition, we also have entered into management agreements with a few anatomic pathology laboratory operations over which we do not exercise non-medical managerial control and, accordingly, do not consolidate with our owned operations. We refer to these operations as our managed operations. For fiscal year 2005, our revenues from owned operations and managed operations accounted for 97% and 3% of our total net revenues, respectively.

**The March 2003 Transaction**

On December 8, 2002, Amy Holding Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Amy Acquisition Corp., entered into a merger agreement with the predecessor of AmeriPath, pursuant to which Amy Acquisition Corp. merged with and into the predecessor, with AmeriPath continuing as the surviving corporation (the March 2003 Transaction). Amy Holding Company and Amy Acquisition Corp. were Delaware corporations formed at the direction of WCAS. WCAS, its related investors and several employees or affiliates of the Company currently own 100% of the outstanding common stock of Holdings. The March 2003 Transaction was approved by the Company's stockholders and subsequently consummated on March 27, 2003. References herein to our predecessor refer to the activities, financial position and results of operations of AmeriPath prior to the March 2003 Transaction. As a result of the March 2003 Transaction, AmeriPath became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Amy Holding Company, which was renamed Holdings.

The funds necessary to consummate the March 2003 Transaction were approximately \$804.0 million, including approximately \$629.6 million to pay the stockholders and option holders of AmeriPath (other than WCAS and its affiliates) all amounts due under the merger agreement, approximately \$127.5 million to refinance existing indebtedness and approximately \$46.9 million to pay related fees and expenses. Prior to the merger, the 1,534,480 shares of AmeriPath common stock owned by WCAS and its affiliates were contributed to Holdings in exchange for shares of Holdings common stock. These shares were cancelled without payment of any merger consideration. The March 2003 Transaction was financed by a cash common equity investment by WCAS and its related equity investors of \$296.2 million in Holdings, which funds were contributed by Holdings to AmeriPath in exchange for shares of AmeriPath's common stock, \$225.0 million in term loan borrowings under AmeriPath's credit facility, the issuance of \$275.0 million in senior subordinated notes and existing AmeriPath cash of \$7.8 million. Accordingly, our interest expense currently is and will continue to be higher than it was prior to the March 2003 Transaction.



## **Table of Contents**

The March 2003 Transaction has been accounted for under the purchase method of accounting prescribed in SFAS 141, with intangible assets recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 142. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142, no amortization of indefinite-lived intangible assets or goodwill is recorded.

### **Financial Statement Presentation**

The following paragraphs provide a brief description of the most important items that appear in our financial statements and general factors that impact these items.

*Net Revenues.* Net revenues consist of revenues received from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered. Our same store net revenue is affected by changes in customer volume, payor mix and reimbursement rates. References to same store refer to operations that have been included in our financial statements throughout the periods compared.

*Cost of Services.* Cost of services consists principally of the compensation and fringe benefits of pathologists, medical malpractice insurance, licensed technicians and support personnel, laboratory supplies, shipping and distribution costs and facility costs. Historically, acquisitions, and the costs associated with additional personnel and facilities, have been the most significant factor driving increases in our cost of services. Also, increases in medical malpractice insurance have affected our cost of services.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.* Selling, general and administrative expenses primarily include the cost of field operations, corporate support, sales and marketing, information technology and billing and collections. As we have developed our national sales and marketing infrastructure, our selling, general and administrative expenses have increased. In addition, spending on new information technology initiatives historically has contributed to increased expenses in this category.

*Provision for Doubtful Accounts.* Provision for doubtful accounts is affected by our mix of revenue from outpatient and inpatient services. The provision for doubtful accounts typically is higher for inpatient services than for outpatient services due primarily to a larger concentration of indigent and private pay patients, greater difficulty gathering complete and accurate billing information and longer billing and collection cycles for inpatient services. Management service revenue generally does not include a provision for doubtful accounts.

*Amortization Expense.* Our acquisitions have resulted in significant net identifiable intangible assets and goodwill. We record net identifiable intangible assets at fair value on the date of acquisition. Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, which required us to cease amortizing goodwill and instead perform a transitional impairment test as of January 1, 2002 and an annual impairment analysis to assess the recoverability of goodwill. The results of the transitional and annual impairment tests indicated no impairment of goodwill or other indefinite lived intangibles. We continually evaluate whether events or circumstances have occurred that may warrant revisions to the carrying values of our goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets or to the estimated useful lives assigned to such assets. Any significant impairment on the carrying values of our goodwill or other identifiable intangible assets would be recorded as a charge to income from operations and a reduction of intangible assets and could materially reduce our profitability in the period in which the charge is recorded.

### **Recent Trends and Events**

*Acquisitions.* There were no acquisitions in 2005. During 2004, we acquired two anatomic pathology practices and one dermatopathology practice. The total consideration paid by us included cash of \$38.9 million, and stock of our parent company valued at \$10.0 million. During 2003, we acquired four anatomic pathology practices. The total consideration paid by us in connection with these acquisitions included cash of \$4.8 million and additional purchase price consideration issued in the form of contingent notes.

On January 31, 2006, we completed our previously announced acquisition of Specialty Laboratories, Inc. ( Specialty ) by way of a merger (the Merger ) pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 29, 2005 (as amended, the Merger Agreement ), among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., AmeriPath, Silver Acquisition Corp. ( Silver ) and Specialty under which Silver was merged with and into Specialty, with Specialty as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of AmeriPath. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, at the effective time of the Merger, each issued and outstanding share of common stock of Specialty ( Specialty Common Stock ), other than shares of Specialty Common Stock held in treasury, or shares that had been contributed to and held by AmeriPath Group Holdings, Inc. ( Parent ), or any direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Parent, immediately prior to the consummation of the Merger, or held by stockholders who are entitled to and properly exercise dissent rights under California law, were converted into the right to receive \$13.25 in cash. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, each outstanding option to purchase a share of Specialty Common Stock is entitled to receive, unless otherwise provided in an applicable agreement with the optionee, the difference between the exercise price of the option and \$13.25. The total merger consideration paid in cash to Specialty shareholders was approximately \$208 million. We financed the acquisition through a combination of cash on hand,

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

additional cash equity from our majority stockholder, Welsh Carson, Anderson & Stowe IX, L.P., and borrowings under our new credit facility.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The foregoing description does not purport to be a complete statement of the parties' rights and obligations under the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby or a complete explanation of the material terms thereof. The foregoing description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Merger Agreement a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 2.1 to the Form 8-K filed by us on October 4, 2005 and is incorporated by reference herein.

*Contingent Note Payments.* During the year ended December 31, 2005, we made contingent note payments of approximately \$17.1 million. During the year ended December 31, 2004, we made contingent note payments of approximately \$14.1 million. During the 12-month combined period ended December 31, 2003, we made contingent note payments of approximately \$37.0 million.

*Medical Malpractice Insurance Costs.* In June 2002, we replaced our existing medical malpractice insurance coverage by third party insurance companies with a new self-insurance, or captive, arrangement. We entered into this self-insurance arrangement because we were unable to renew our existing coverage at acceptable rates, which we believe was an industry-wide situation. Under our self-insurance structure, we retain more risk for medical malpractice costs, including settlements and claims expense, than under our previous coverage. While we have obtained excess liability coverage for medical malpractice costs, we have no aggregate excess stop loss protection, meaning there is no aggregate limitation on the amount of risk we retain under these arrangements. Our medical malpractice costs are based on actuarial estimates of our medical malpractice settlements and claims expense and the costs of maintaining our captive insurance program and excess coverage. We periodically review and update the appropriateness of our accrued liability for medical malpractice costs. Because we retain these risks, in addition to an actual increase in claims or related expenses, a change in the actuarial assumptions upon which our medical malpractice costs are based could materially affect results of operations in a particular period even if we do not experience an actual increase in claims or related expenses. For fiscal year 2005 and 2004, our medical malpractice costs were approximately \$14.3 million and \$13.5 million, respectively.

*Medicare Reimbursement.* The Medicare statute includes a methodology to adjust payments for services, including anatomic pathology services, under the physician fee schedule. This methodology is applied each year unless it is overridden by Congressional action. The statutory methodology would have led to a 4.4% reduction in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in 2003 and a 4.5% reduction in 2004 if those reductions had not been blocked by Congress. Instead, Congress required a 1.6% increase in 2003 and a 1.5% increase in each of 2004 and 2005. In addition, because it was projected that the statutory methodology would result in additional reductions in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years, Congress revised the methodology through legislation enacted in December 2003. It is unclear how this revision in the methodology will affect the annual adjustments in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years and, if it will not prevent reductions, whether Congress will intervene to prevent decreases in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our most critical accounting policies have a significant impact on the results we report in our consolidated financial statements. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Our experience and assumptions form the basis for our judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may vary from what we anticipate and different assumptions or estimates about the future could change our reported results.

*Intangible Assets.* As of December 31, 2005, we had net identifiable intangible assets and goodwill of \$165.9 million and \$608.2 million, respectively. Our identifiable intangible assets include hospital contracts, laboratory contracts, management service contracts, employment and non-compete agreements, and trade names acquired by us in connection with acquisitions. We continually assess whether an impairment in the carrying value of our intangible assets has occurred. If the undiscounted future cash flows over the remaining amortization period of an intangible asset indicates that the value assigned to the intangible asset may not be recoverable, we reduce the carrying value of the intangible asset. We would determine the amount of any such impairment by comparing anticipated discounted future cash flows from acquired businesses with the carrying value of the related assets. In performing this analysis, we consider such factors as current results, trends and future prospects, in addition to other relevant factors. In September 2003, the Company finalized the recording of the fair value of the identifiable intangibles acquired and the amount of goodwill recorded as a result of the March 2003 Transaction. Fair value was determined based upon a valuation completed by an independent third-party valuation firm. As a result, in the third quarter of 2003, the Company recorded additional goodwill of approximately \$12.4 million, recorded non-compete and employment agreements of \$18.0 million, trade names of \$27.2 million and payor contracts of \$9.2 million. In addition, the Company also reduced the carrying value of its hospital contracts by \$65.3 million, client lists by \$70.8 million, and the carrying value of deferred taxes associated with previous acquisitions by \$63.3 million. The change in the value of the Company's hospital contracts was primarily a result of changes in valuation assumptions that reflected lower projected profitability.

---

## **Table of Contents**

levels being received from these contracts, an increase in contributed capital as a result of an increase in the value of other separately identifiable intangibles and the utilization of a decay curve based on turnover statistics. Client lists were not valued because they did not meet the separability criteria as defined in EITF 02-17 *Recognition of Customer Relationship Intangible Assets Acquired in a Business Combination*. Prior to the March 2003 Transaction, the predecessor amortized hospital contracts over periods ranging from 25-40 years. As part of the valuation, the Company reviewed the lives of its intangible assets and estimated the remaining life of its hospital contracts to be 25 years and reduced the life of its management service agreements from 25 years to 20 years. The Company considered the effects of demand, competition, the expected useful life and other economic factors in determining the useful lives. The changes in the fair values of the Company's intangible assets as well as the changes in the estimated useful lives, discussed above, will reduce amortization expense in future periods by approximately \$1.3 million annually.

*Revenue Recognition.* We recognize net patient service revenue at the time we perform services. We record unbilled receivables for services rendered during, but billed subsequent to, the reporting period. We report net patient service revenue at the estimated realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered. Revenue under certain third-party payor agreements is subject to audit and retroactive adjustments. We estimate our provision for third-party payor settlements and adjustments in the period the related services are rendered and adjust in future periods as final settlements are determined. We adjust the provision and the related allowance periodically, based upon our evaluation of historical collection experience with specific payors for particular services, anticipated collection levels with specific payors for new services, industry reimbursement trends and other relevant factors. Changes in these factors in future periods could result in increases or decreases in the Company's provision for doubtful accounts and its results of operations and financial position.

*Professional Liability and Captive Insurance Program.* Through June 30, 2002, we were insured for medical malpractice risks on a claims made basis under traditional insurance policies. We formed a self-insurance, or captive, insurance company, on July 1, 2002 to partially self-insure for medical malpractice costs. The captive arrangement, combined with excess coverage, provides insurance on a per claim basis. We do not have any aggregate excess stop loss protection. We use actuarial estimates to determine accruals for settlement costs, claims expenses and incurred but not reported claims. Actual costs in future periods could differ materially from actuarial studies, depending on the frequency and severity of actual claims experienced.

*Contingent Notes.* Our acquisitions generally have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The aggregate consideration paid, and to be paid, by us in connection with our acquisitions is based on a number of factors, including the acquired operation's demographics, size, local prominence, position in the marketplace and historical cash flows from operations. Prior to the March 2003 Transaction, we generally used as consideration a combination of cash, stock, assumed liabilities and contingent notes when acquiring operations. Typically, the contingent notes were structured to provide for payments to sellers upon the achievement of specified levels of operating income by the acquired operations over three to five year periods from the date of acquisition. Some of our contingent notes were structured to provide for payments to sellers contingent on the retention of specified hospital contracts by the acquired operations. In either case, the contingent notes are not contingent on the continued employment by us of the sellers. If a contingent note payment is earned, we are required to pay the specified amount and interest on this amount. The amount of the payments under our contingent notes cannot be determined until final determination of the operating income levels or other performance targets during the relevant periods specified in the respective agreements. Pursuant to SFAS 141, principal and interest payments made in connection with the contingent notes are accounted for as additional purchase price, which increases our recorded goodwill and, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, are not reflected in our results of operations.

*Provision for Doubtful Accounts and Related Allowance.* We estimate our provision for doubtful accounts in the period the related services are rendered and adjust in future accounting periods as necessary. We base the estimates for the provision and the related allowance on our evaluation of historical collection experience, the aging profile of the accounts receivable, the historical doubtful account write-off percentages, revenue channel, in other words, inpatient as opposed to outpatient, and other relevant factors.

*Income Taxes.* The Company accounts for income taxes utilizing the asset and liability method, in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS 109). Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for tax loss carryforwards. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date. Future tax benefits, such as net operating loss carryforwards, are recognized to the extent that realization of such benefit is more likely than not.

## **Principles of Consolidation**

Our consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our owned operations. As part of the consolidation process, we have eliminated intercompany accounts and transactions. We do not consolidate the results of operations of our managed operations.



**Table of Contents****Segments**

The company operates in one reportable segment, the medical laboratory industry. Medical laboratories offer a broad range of testing services to the medical profession. The company's testing services are categorized based upon the nature of the test: Anatomic Pathology testing and Dermatopathology testing. These testing services are used by physicians in the diagnosis, prognosis, monitoring and general management of diseases and other clinical conditions. The tests included in such services generally detect medically-significant abnormalities and visual patterns in blood, tissue samples and other specimens.

**Results of Operations**

The following table outlines, for the periods indicated, selected operating data as a percentage of net revenues.

|  | Successor                  |        |  | Predecessor   |   |
|--|----------------------------|--------|--|---|---|
|  | Year Ended<br>December 31, |        | Period from<br>March 28, 2003<br>through<br>December 31,<br>2003 | Period from<br>January 1, 2003<br>through<br>March 27, 2003 | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2003<br>Combined |
|  | 2005                       | 2004   |  |   |   |
| Net revenues                                 | 100.0%                     | 100.0% | 100.0%   | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                      |
| Operating costs and expenses:                |                            |        |  |   |   |
| Cost of services                             | 53.3                       | 53.4   | 51.8   | 52.2  | 51.9  |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 19.4                       | 18.9   | 17.9   | 18.3  | 18.0  |
| Provision for doubtful accounts              | 13.1                       | 15.1   | 15.4   | 12.6  | 14.7  |
| Amortization expense                         | 2.0                        | 2.2    | 2.3  | 2.6   | 2.3   |
| Merger-related charges                       |                            |        | 0.7  | 8.4   | 2.6   |
| Restructuring costs                          |                            |        | 0.6  | 1.0   | 0.7   |
| Asset impairment and related charges         | 0.2                        | 0.1    | 0.1  |   | 0.1   |
| Total operating costs and expenses           | 88.0                       | 89.7   | 88.8   | 95.1  | 90.3  |
| Income from operations                       | 12.0                       | 10.3   | 11.2   | 4.9   | 9.7   |
| Interest expense                             | (8.7)                      | (8.8)  | (9.4)  | (1.0)   | (7.4)                                       |
| Change in value of derivative                | 0.1                        | (0.2)  |  |   |   |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs        | (0.1)                      | (0.8)  |  | (0.8)   | (0.2)                                       |
| Write-off of Genomics investment             |                            |        |  |   |   |
| Other income, net                            | 0.1                        |        | 0.1  |   | 0.1   |
| Income before income taxes                   | 3.4                        | 0.5    | 1.9  | 3.1   | 2.2   |
| Provision for income taxes                   | 1.7                        | 0.2    | 0.8  | 1.8   | 1.1   |
| Net income                                   | 1.7%                       | 0.3%   | 1.1%   | 1.3%  | 1.1%  |

**Year Ended December 31, 2005 compared with Year Ended December 31, 2004***Net Revenues.*

Net revenues increased by \$56.3 million, or 11.1%, from \$507.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$563.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in net revenues for the period ended December 31, 2005 has been driven by the Company's continued same store growth and successful integration of our acquisitions and was primarily related to increased volumes. Same store net revenue increased \$38.4 million, or 7.7%, from \$498.8 million for 2004 to \$537.2 million for 2005.



*Cost of Services.*

Cost of services increased by \$29.2 million, or 10.8%, from \$271.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$300.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Cost of services, as a percentage of net revenues, decreased from 53.4% for 2004 to 53.3% in the comparable period of 2005. Cost of Sales which includes primarily laboratory, distribution, and physician costs increased from over the two year period ended December 31, 2005 primarily due to increased volume in our inpatient and outpatient practices. Gross margin increased from 46.6% in 2004 to 46.7% for the same period in 2005.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.*

Selling, general and administrative expense increased by \$13.5 million, or 14.1%, from \$95.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$109.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. As a percentage of net revenues, selling, general and administrative expense increased from 18.9% for 2004 to 19.4% for the same period of 2005. The increases are primarily due to investments in information technology, expansion of the sales and marketing efforts, increases in audit costs and related costs to comply with Sarbanes-Oxley, and the severance costs associated with the former Chief Information Officer and former Senior Vice President of Human Resources.

*Provision for Doubtful Accounts.*

Our provision for doubtful accounts decreased by \$2.7 million, or 3.6%, from \$76.5 million for 2004 to \$73.8 million for the same period in 2005. The provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of net revenues decreased from 15.1% for 2004 to 13.1% for the same period in 2005. One of the primary reasons for the reduction in the provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of revenues for the period ended December 31, 2005 compared to the period ended December 31, 2004 is the increase in outpatient revenues as a percentage of consolidated revenues. The provision for doubtful accounts on our outpatient revenues are lower than the provision for doubtful accounts on our inpatient revenues.

*Amortization Expense.*

Amortization expense increased by \$0.1 million, or 0.9%, from \$11.1 million for 2004 to \$11.2 million for the same period of 2005.

*Asset Impairment and Related Charges.*

In August 2005, the Company sold its managed practice in Memphis, Tennessee. As a result of the sale, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$1.3 million. As a result of the sale and termination of the Memphis managed service agreement, the Company performed an impairment analysis relative to the carrying value of this identifiable intangible and determined that no impairment existed at September 30, 2005. In February 2005, the Company sold a managed practice in Los Gatos, California resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.4 million. During 2004, we sold a practice in Michigan and recorded a loss on the sale of approximately \$0.6 million.

*Income from Operations.*

Income from operations increased \$15.8 million, or 30%, from \$52.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$68.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

*Write-off of Deferred Financing Costs.*

In April 2005, the Company wrote-off approximately \$0.2 million of its deferred debt financing costs as a result of a \$6.3 million voluntary prepayment of the term loan facility. In June 2005, the Company wrote-off approximately \$0.2 million of its deferred debt financing costs as a result of a \$5.0 million voluntary prepayment of the term loan facility. In August 2005, the Company wrote-off approximately \$0.1 million of its deferred debt financing costs as a result of a \$4.3 million voluntary prepayment of the term loan facility.

In February 2004, the Company wrote-off a portion of the balance of its deferred debt financing costs totaling approximately \$3.8 million related to the amendment of its term B credit facility and the related reduction in the facility from \$225.0 million to \$125.0 million. The remaining balance is being amortized over the life of the term loan facility.

*Interest Expense.*

Interest expense increased by \$4.1 million, from \$44.8 million for 2004 to \$48.9 million for 2005. This increase was attributable to a higher effective interest rate. Our effective interest rate was 9.7% and 9.4% for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### *Change in Value of Derivative.*

In April 2004, the Company entered into a 2 1/2 year interest rate swap transaction with a notional amount of \$75.0 million. For the year ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the Company recognized a \$0.3 million loss and \$1.0 million loss in the value of the derivative, respectively.

### *Provision for Income Taxes.*

Our effective income tax rate was approximately 48.5% and 47.3% for 2005 and 2004, respectively. Provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2005, was \$9.4 million, compared with provision for income taxes of \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase in provision for income taxes of \$8 million from 2004 to 2005 was attributable to the increase in taxable income from operations.

### *Net Income.*

Net income increased by \$8.4 million from \$1.5 million for the combined year ended December 31, 2004 to \$9.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## **Year Ended December 31, 2004 compared with Combined Period Ended December 31, 2003**

The 12-month combined period ended December 31, 2003 includes the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003 (predecessor) and the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 (successor).

### *Net Revenues.*

Net revenues increased by \$22.3 million, or 4.6%, from \$485.0 million for the 12-month combined period ended December 31, 2003 to \$507.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. Revenues for 2003 were negatively impacted by a \$4.5 million charge to revenues based on changes in our estimated contractual allowances resulting from the analysis of our managed care contracts. Same store net revenue increased \$23.0 million, or 4.9%, from \$473.6 million for 2003 to \$496.6 million for 2004. Same store net revenue, excluding revenue from national laboratory companies, for 2004 increased 5.8%, or \$27.1 million, compared to the same period of 2003. For 2004, revenue from our contracts with national laboratory companies was \$0.2 million, down from \$4.3 million for the same period of 2003. Our mix of revenue for 2004 was 54.0% outpatient, 41.2% inpatient (hospital based) and 4.8% management services.

### *Cost of Services.*

Cost of services increased by \$19.1 million, or 7.6%, from \$251.9 million in the 12-month combined period ended December 31, 2003 to \$271.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. Cost of services, as a percentage of net revenues, increased from 51.9% for 2003 to 53.4% in the comparable period of 2004. The increases in costs of services as a percentage of net revenues are primarily due to increases in physician compensation both from adjustments to existing contracts and from adding additional physicians in selected subspecialties, and from increased courier and distribution costs associated with the increased revenues from physician's offices. Gross margin decreased from 48.1% in 2003 to 46.6% for the same period in 2004.

### *Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.*

Selling, general and administrative expense increased by \$8.4 million, or 9.6%, from \$87.3 million for the 12-month combined period ended December 31, 2003 to \$95.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. As a percentage of net revenues, selling, general and administrative expense increased from 18.0% for 2003 to 18.9% for the same period of 2004. The increases are primarily due to investments in information technology, expansion of the sales and marketing efforts, increases in audit costs and related costs to comply with Sarbanes-Oxley, and the severance costs associated with the former CEO in January 2004 and former COO in November 2004.

### *Provision for Doubtful Accounts.*

Our provision for doubtful accounts increased by \$5.1 million, or 7.1%, from \$71.4 million for 2003 to \$76.5 million for the same period in 2004. The provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of net revenues increased from 14.7% for 2003 to 15.1% for the same period in 2004. The provision for doubtful accounts for 2003 included charges of \$6.5 million related to a change in the net realizable value of certain receivables based on our analysis of the ability to collect historical revenues and billings associated with clinical professional component

services.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Amortization Expense.*

Amortization expense decreased by \$0.4 million, or 3.5%, from \$11.5 million for 2003 to \$11.1 million for the same period of 2004.

### *Merger-related Charges.*

The merger-related charges of \$12.4 million for 2003 relate to the March 2003 Transaction. These costs were primarily legal, accounting, advisory services and employee change in control payments related to the March 2003 Transaction.

### *Restructuring Costs.*

During 2003, we incurred certain restructuring costs as promulgated by SFAS No. 146 of approximately \$1.2 million for employee severance costs in connection with a reduction in workforce at our Southern California, Philadelphia, Central Florida and North Texas laboratories. We also incurred an additional \$2.0 million during 2003 for remaining severance costs and the closure of our Southern California laboratory. The Southern California laboratory was closed as a result of a loss of Quest revenues that historically accounted for a significant portion of revenues for this individual lab. It is estimated that these restructuring costs will rationalize excess capacity at certain laboratories.

### *Asset Impairment and Related Charges.*

During 2004, we sold a practice in Michigan and recorded a loss on the sale of approximately \$0.6 million. During 2003, we sold two practices in Florida resulting in an impairment charge of approximately \$425,000.

### *Income from Operations.*

Income from operations increased \$5.6 million, or 11.9%, from \$46.9 million for the combined year ended December 31, 2003 to \$52.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase was due to the merger-related charges of \$12.4 million and restructuring costs of \$3.2 million that were incurred in 2003.

### *Write-off of Deferred Financing Costs.*

In March 2003, we wrote off the \$1.0 million remaining balance of deferred financing costs related to the termination of our former credit facility as part of the March 2003 Transaction. In 2004, we wrote off approximately \$3.8 million related to early paydowns on our credit facility.

### *Interest Expense.*

Interest expense increased by \$9.2 million, from \$35.6 million for 2003 to \$44.8 million for 2004. This increase was attributable to interest on senior subordinated notes that were issued in 2003, partially offset by a decrease in the interest on the term loan, along with a higher effective interest rate. Our effective interest rate was 9.4% and 9.2% for 2004 and 2003, respectively.

### *Change in Value of Derivative.*

In 2004, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement. We recorded the change in market valuation adjustment as of December 31, 2004.

### *Provision for Income Taxes.*

Our effective income tax rate was approximately 47.3% and 49.2% for 2004 and 2003, respectively.

### *Net Income.*

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2004, was \$1.5 million, compared with net income of \$9.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The primary reason for the increase in net income was the addition of approximately \$9.1 million in interest charges during 2004, along with an additional \$8.4 million in selling, general and administrative expenses for 2004.



---

**Table of Contents****Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We fund our ongoing capital and working capital requirements, including our internal growth and acquisitions, through a combination of cash flows from operations and borrowings under our revolving loan facility. In addition, we fund payments under certain of our contingent notes from contributions made to us by our parent out of the funds held in our Parent's cash collateral account and, if needed, cash flows from operations.

For 2004 and 2005, our cash flows provided by operations were \$54.0 million, and \$38.2 million, respectively. The decrease in cash flow from operations by \$15.8 million from 2004 to 2005 was primarily due to an increase in accounts receivable related to our 2004 acquisitions. In 2005, there were no acquisitions. The Company acquired property and equipment of \$29.4 million in 2005 mainly related to the development of our new laboratory information system, the development of our new billing information system, the implementation of Oracle software, and various laboratory construction build outs. For 2004, cash flows from operations, borrowings under our credit facility, and the proceeds from issuance of senior subordinated notes were used to make acquisitions of \$38.5 million and acquire property and equipment of \$12.8 million. For 2003, cash flows from operations, borrowings under our senior credit facility, the proceeds from issuance of senior subordinated notes and proceeds from equity contributions from our parent related to the March 2003 Transaction were used to fund the purchase price of \$629.6 million of our publicly held shares of stock, payoff the remaining debt under our former credit facility of \$127.5 million, pay debt issuance costs of \$22.8 million, and make contingent note payments of \$37.0 million.

At December 31, 2005, we had working capital of approximately \$63.7 million, a decrease of \$6.4 million from working capital of \$70.1 million at December 31, 2004. The decrease in working capital for 2005 was due primarily to decreases in cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash, of \$8.2 million, increases in accounts receivable of \$8.4 million, increases in accrued expenses and accrued interest of \$7.5 million.

At December 31, 2004 and 2005, the Company had \$115.0 million and \$99.0 million, respectively, outstanding under its senior credit facility borrowings. In February 2004, we repaid the term loan outstanding under our senior credit facility, and amended and restated the credit agreement governing that facility, which provided for a new \$125.0 million term loan and certain covenants and mandatory prepayment provisions of the senior credit facility.

On January 31, 2006, in connection with the merger of Specialty Laboratories, Inc., the Company refinanced its current senior secured credit facility. The new senior credit facility consists of a \$203.5 million term loan and a \$95.0 million revolving credit facility. The Company borrowed \$203.5 million of the term loan and \$52.0 million of the revolving credit facility to fund a portion of the Specialty Laboratories merger consideration, to pay certain transaction costs related to the merger, to refinance existing indebtedness of the Company and to pay related expenses with the merger. The credit agreement related to the new senior credit facility contains certain covenants that the Company must comply with. The remaining balance of the new revolving credit facility will be available to fund ongoing working capital needs.

The interest rates per annum applicable to loans under our senior credit facility are, at our option, equal to either an alternate base rate or an adjusted LIBOR for a one, two, three or six month interest period chosen by us, or a nine or twelve month period if agreed to by all participating lenders, in each case, plus an applicable margin percentage.

In connection with the March 2003 Transaction, Amy Acquisition Corp. issued \$275.0 million of 10<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013. We assumed Amy Acquisition Corp.'s obligations under these notes upon consummation of the March 2003 Transaction. Interest became payable semi-annually in arrears beginning in October 2003. In February 2004, we issued an additional \$75.0 million of 10<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 at a price of 106%. All of the Senior Subordinated Notes are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally and on an unsecured senior subordinated basis by certain of our current and former subsidiaries. The notes and guarantees rank junior to all of our and the guarantors' existing and future senior indebtedness, on par with all of our and the guarantors' existing and future senior subordinated indebtedness and senior to all of our and the guarantors' existing and future subordinated indebtedness.

The indenture governing the notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to incur or guarantee additional indebtedness, pay dividends or make other equity distributions, purchase or redeem capital stock, make certain investments, enter into arrangements that restrict dividends from subsidiaries, transfer and sell assets, engage in certain transactions with affiliates and effect a consolidation or merger.

Prior to the March 2003 Transaction, we generally agreed, in connection with our acquisitions, to pay a base purchase price plus additional contingent purchase price consideration to the sellers of the acquired operations. The additional payments generally are contingent upon the achievement of specified levels of operating income by the acquired operations over periods of three to five years





**Table of Contents**

from the date of acquisition. In certain cases, the payments are contingent upon other factors such as the retention of certain hospital contracts or relationships for periods ranging from three to five years. The amount of the payments cannot be determined until the final determination of the operating income levels or other performance targets during the relevant periods of the respective agreements. If the maximum specified levels of operating income for all acquired operations are achieved, we estimate that we would make aggregate maximum principal payments of approximately \$13.1 million over the next five years. A lesser amount or no payments at all would be made if the stipulated levels of operating income specified in each agreement were not met. In 2005, 2004, and 2003, we made contingent note payments, including interest, aggregating \$17.1 million, \$14.1 million, \$37.0 million, respectively. In addition, we intend to fund future payments under our contingent payment obligations relating to acquisitions completed prior to the March 2003 Transaction from contributions made to us by our Parent out of the funds from the remaining cash collateral account balance of \$22.4 million at December 31, 2005 and, if needed, cash flows from operations. We do not expect to use contingent notes on future acquisitions.

Historically, our capital expenditures have been primarily for laboratory equipment, information technology equipment and leasehold improvements. Total capital expenditures were \$9.3 million, \$12.8, and \$29.4 million in 2003, 2004, and 2005 respectively.

We expect to use borrowings under our revolving loan facility to fund internal growth and acquisitions and for working capital. We anticipate that funds generated by operations, funds available under our revolving loan facility and funds in the cash collateral account will be sufficient to meet working capital requirements and anticipated contingent note obligations and to finance capital expenditures over the next 12 months. Further, in the event payments under the contingent notes exceed the amounts held in the cash collateral account, we believe that the incremental cash generated from operations would exceed the cash required to satisfy those additional payments. All additional payments will result in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We had no off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2005.

**Contractual Obligations**

The following is a summary of our contractual cash obligations, excluding interest and payments on our contingent notes, as of December 31, 2005 (in millions):

| Contractual Obligations <sup>(1)</sup>     | Payments Due By Period |           |           |                  | Total    |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|----------|
|  | Less than<br>1 year    | 1-2 years | 3-5 years | After<br>5 years |          |
| Term loan under our senior credit facility | \$                     | \$        | \$ 99.0   | \$               | \$ 99.0  |
| Revolver loan                              |                        |           | 30.0      |                  | 30.0     |
| Other indebtedness                         | 3.5                    | 0.5       | 0.3       |                  | 4.3      |
| Operating leases                           | 7.8                    | 7.0       | 15.8      | 18.4             | 49.0     |
| Senior subordinated notes                  |                        |           |           | 350.0            | 350.0    |
| Total contractual cash obligations         | \$ 11.3                | \$ 7.5    | \$ 145.1  | \$ 368.4         | \$ 532.3 |

(1) In addition, we have issued contingent notes in connection with our previous acquisitions that are structured to provide for payments to sellers upon the achievement of specified levels of operating income by the acquired operations over three to five year periods from the date of acquisition. As of December 31, 2005, our maximum obligation remaining under the contingent notes was \$13.1 million. On January 31, 2006, in connection with the merger of Specialty Laboratories, Inc., the Company refinanced its current senior secured credit facility. The new senior credit facility consists of a \$203.5 million term loan and a \$95.0 million revolving credit facility. The Company borrowed \$203.5 million of the term loan and \$52.0 million of the revolving credit to fund a portion of the Specialty Laboratories merger consideration, to pay certain transaction costs related to the merger, to refinance existing indebtedness of the Company and to pay related expenses with the merger.

The following is a summary of our contractual cash obligations, excluding interest and payments on our contingent notes, as of January 31, 2006 after giving effect to the aforementioned Specialty Laboratories, Inc. acquisition (in millions):

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

| Contractual Obligations <sup>(1)</sup>     | Payments Due By Period |               |                |                  | Total           |
|--|------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
|  | Less than<br>1 year    | 1-2 years     | 3-5 years      | After<br>5 years |                 |
| Term loan under our senior credit facility | \$                     | \$            | \$             | \$ 203.5         | \$ 203.5        |
| Revolver loan                              |                        |               | 52.0           |                  | 52.0            |
| Other indebtedness                         | 3.5                    | 0.5           | 0.3            |                  | 4.3             |
| Operating leases                           | 7.8                    | 7.0           | 15.8           | 18.4             | 49.0            |
| Senior subordinated notes                  |                        |               |                | 350.0            | 350.0           |
| <b>Total contractual cash obligations</b>  | <b>\$ 11.3</b>         | <b>\$ 7.5</b> | <b>\$ 68.1</b> | <b>\$ 571.9</b>  | <b>\$ 658.8</b> |

- (1) In addition, we have issued contingent notes in connection with our previous acquisitions that are structured to provide for payments to sellers upon the achievement of specified levels of operating income by the acquired operations over three to five year periods from the date of acquisition. As of December 31, 2005, our maximum obligation remaining under the contingent notes was \$13.1 million.

---

**Table of Contents****Interest Rate Risk**

The Company is subject to market risk associated principally with changes in interest rates. Our principal interest rate exposure relates to the amount outstanding under the Company's credit facility. The balances outstanding under the credit facility are at floating rates. Based on the outstanding balance of \$99.0 million at December 31, 2005, each quarter point increase or decrease in the floating rate increases or decreases interest expense by approximately \$0.3 million per year.

In April 2004, the Company entered into a 2 1/2 year interest rate swap transaction which involves the exchange of fixed for floating rate interest payments without the exchange of the underlying principal amount. The interest differential to be paid or received is accrued and is recognized as an adjustment to interest expense. The change in the market value of the derivative instrument is recognized in the consolidated statements of income. For the year ended December 31, 2005, the change in the value of the derivative was a loss of approximately \$0.3 million. The agreement has a notional amount of \$75.0 million. The Company receives interest on the notional amount if the LIBOR rate is less than 2.405% and pays interest on the notional amount if the LIBOR rate exceeds 2.405%. The floating rate resets every October 1 and April 1. In August 2004, the Company locked in to a forward LIBOR rate contract for October 2004 through March 2005 at a rate of 2.08%. In April 2005, the floating rate reset at 3.39% until October 2005. The Company locked in to a forward LIBOR rate contract for October 2005 through March 2006 at a rate of 4.216%. This derivative instrument is being used by the Company to reduce interest rate volatility and associated risks arising from the fixed rate structure of our Senior Subordinated Notes, and is not held or issued for trading purposes.

**Inflation**

Inflation was not a material factor in either revenue or operating expenses during 2003, 2004 or 2005.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued SFAS No. 154 *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections - A Replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3* ( SFAS No. 154 ) which requires that a voluntary change in accounting principle be applied retrospectively with all prior period financial statements presented using the new accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to do so. SFAS No. 154 also provides that (i) a change in method of depreciating or amortizing a long-lived nonfinancial asset be accounted for as a change in estimate (prospectively) that was affected by a change in accounting principle, and (ii) correction of errors in previously issued financial statements should be termed a restatement . In accordance with the new rule, the Company will adopt SFAS No. 154 in the first quarter of 2006. We do not believe the effect of adopting SFAS No. 154 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* ( SFAS No. 123(R) ), which is a revision of FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* ( SFAS No. 123 ). The Statement supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* ( APB No. 25 ), and amends FASB Statement No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. SFAS No. 123(R) focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. Generally, the approach in SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expense to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow, as prescribed under current accounting rules. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods after adoption. Total cash flow will remain unchanged from what would have been reported under prior accounting rules. In April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted a new rule that amends the effective dates for SFAS No. 123(R). In accordance with the new rule, the accounting provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) will be effective for us beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

---

## **Table of Contents**

SFAS No. 123(R) permits public companies to adopt its requirements using one of two methods- modified prospective or modified retrospective. The modified prospective method requires compensation cost to be recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R) for all share-based payments granted, modified or settled after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R) that remain unvested on the effective date. The modified retrospective method includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits entities to restate, based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures, either (a) all prior periods presented or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption. We plan to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method January 1, 2006.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123, we currently account for share-based payments to employees using APB No. 25's intrinsic value method and, as such, generally recognize no compensation cost for employee stock options. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)'s fair value method will not affect our total cash flows or financial position, but it will reduce reported income. The Company currently estimates the adoption to impact net income by approximately \$2.0 million, net of tax, for 2006. However, had we adopted SFAS No. 123(R) in prior periods, the impact of that standard would have approximated the impact of SFAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income in Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

### **Qualification of Forward Looking Statements**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements contained anywhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not limited to historical information are considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including, without limitation, statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, intentions, plans or strategies regarding the future. These forward-looking statements are based largely on our expectations which are subject to a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors discussed in this report and in other documents filed by us with the SEC, which may cause actual results to be materially different from those anticipated, expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on information available to us on the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements to reflect future events or circumstances. Forward-looking statements are sometimes indicated by words such as *may*, *should*, *believe*, *expect*, *anticipate* and similar expressions.

In addition to the risks and uncertainties identified elsewhere herein and in other documents filed by us with the SEC, the matters discussed below under the heading *Risk Factors* should be carefully considered when evaluating our business and future prospects. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results..

### **RISK FACTORS**

*The risks described below are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.*

#### **We may not successfully integrate the acquisition of Specialty Laboratories with AmeriPath and may be unable to achieve anticipated cost savings and other synergies.**

The integration of Specialty's operations following the consummation of the merger involves a number of risks and presents financial, managerial and operational challenges. In particular, we may have difficulty, and may incur unanticipated expenses related to, integrating management and personnel from Specialty with AmeriPath's management and personnel. Additionally, we may not be able to achieve the anticipated cost savings or other synergies. Failure to integrate the acquisition of Specialty successfully may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

#### **Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations under our term loan and subordinated debt.**

We have a significant amount of indebtedness. As of December 31, 2005 our total debt was \$479.5 million, excluding unused revolving loan commitments under our senior credit facility, which represented approximately 55.5% of our total capitalization. This debt does not include \$13.1 million of obligations under our contingent notes. As of January 31, 2006, after the acquisition of Specialty Laboratories, Inc., our total debt was \$605.9 million, excluding unused revolving loan commitments under our senior credit facility, which represents approximately 61.2% of our total capitalization. This debt does not include \$13.1 million of obligations under our contingent notes.



## Table of Contents

Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences by adversely affecting our financial condition and thus making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations. Our substantial indebtedness could:

increase our vulnerability to adverse general economic and industry conditions,

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, payments under our contingent notes, research and development efforts and other general corporate purposes,

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate,

place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt and

limit our ability to borrow additional funds.

**Despite our level of indebtedness, we will be able to incur substantially more debt. This could further exacerbate the risks to our financial condition described above.**

We will be able to incur significant additional indebtedness in the future. Although the indenture governing the notes and the credit agreement governing our senior credit facility contain restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions and the indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. Moreover, the restrictions also do not prevent us from incurring obligations that do not constitute indebtedness. To the extent new debt is added to our currently anticipated debt levels, the substantial leverage risks described above would increase.

**The terms of our senior credit facility and the indenture relating to our notes may restrict our current and future operations, particularly our ability to respond to changes or to take certain actions.**

Our senior credit facility contains a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us and may limit our ability to engage in acts that may be in our long-term best interests. Our senior credit facility includes covenants restricting, among other things, our ability to:

incur additional debt,

pay dividends and make restricted payments,

create liens,

use the proceeds from sales of assets and subsidiary stock,

enter into sale and leaseback transactions,

make capital expenditures,

change our business,

enter into transactions with affiliates and

transfer all or substantially all of our assets or enter into merger or consolidation transactions.

The indenture relating to the notes also contains numerous operating and financial covenants including, among other things, restrictions on our ability to:

incur additional debt,

pay dividends or purchase our capital stock,

make investments,

enter into transactions with affiliates,

**Table of Contents**

sell or otherwise dispose of assets and

merge or consolidate with another entity.

Our senior credit facility also includes financial covenants, including requirements that we maintain:

a minimum interest coverage ratio,

a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and

a maximum senior leverage ratio.

These financial covenants will become more restrictive over time.

A failure by us to comply with the covenants contained in our senior credit facility or the indenture could result in an event of default. In the event of any default under our senior credit facility, the lenders under our senior credit facility could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be due and payable, enforce their security interest, require us to apply all of our available cash to repay these borrowings (even if the lenders have not declared a default) or prevent us from making debt service payments on the notes, any of which would result in an event of default under the notes. In addition, future indebtedness could contain financial and other covenants more restrictive than those applicable to our senior credit facility and the notes.

**We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service obligations.**

Our cash flow from operations declined \$15.8 million from \$54.0 million in 2004 to \$38.2 million in 2005. Our ability to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to make scheduled payments on our debt obligations will depend on our future financial performance, which will be affected by a range of economic, competitive, regulatory, legislative and business factors, many of which are outside of our control. If we do not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to satisfy our debt obligations, including payments on the notes, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as refinancing or restructuring our debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or seeking to raise additional capital. We cannot assure you that any refinancing would be possible or that any assets could be sold on acceptable terms or otherwise. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations, or to refinance our obligations on commercially reasonable terms, would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as on our ability to satisfy our obligations under the notes.

**We conduct business in a heavily regulated industry, and changes in regulations or violations of regulations may, directly or indirectly, reduce our revenues and harm our business.**

The healthcare industry is highly regulated, and there can be no assurance that the regulatory environment in which we operate will not change significantly and adversely in the future. Several areas of regulatory compliance that may affect our ability to conduct business include:

federal and state anti-kickback laws,

federal and state self-referral and financial inducement laws, including the federal physician anti-self-referral law, or the Stark Law,

federal and state false claims laws,



Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

state laws regarding prohibitions on the corporate practice of medicine,

state laws regarding prohibitions on fee-splitting,

federal and state anti-trust laws,

the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA,

federal and state regulation of privacy, security and electronic transactions and code sets and

---

## **Table of Contents**

federal, state and local laws governing the handling and disposal of medical and hazardous waste. These laws and regulations are extremely complex. In many instances, the industry does not have the benefit of significant regulatory or judicial interpretation of these laws and regulations. It also is possible that the courts could ultimately interpret these laws in a manner that is different from our interpretations. While we believe that we are currently in material compliance with applicable laws and regulations, a determination that we have violated these laws, or the public announcement that we are being investigated for possible violations of these laws, would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For a more complete description of these regulations, see Business Government Regulation.

### **Our business could be materially harmed by future interpretation or implementation of state laws regarding prohibitions on the corporate practice of medicine.**

The manner in which licensed physicians can be organized to perform and bill for medical services is governed by state laws and regulations. Under the laws of some states, business corporations generally are not permitted to employ physicians or to own corporations that employ physicians or to otherwise exercise control over the medical judgments or decisions of physicians.

We believe that we currently are in compliance with the corporate practice of medicine laws in the states in which we operate in all material respects. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that regulatory authorities or other parties will not assert that we are engaged in the corporate practice of medicine or that the laws of a particular state will not change. If such a claim were successfully asserted in any jurisdiction, or as a result of such a change in law, we could be required to restructure our contractual and other arrangements, our Company and our pathologists could be subject to civil and criminal penalties and some of our existing contracts, including non-competition provisions, could be found to be illegal and unenforceable. In addition, expansion of our operations to other states may require structural and organizational modification of our form of relationship with pathologists, operations or hospitals. These results or the inability to successfully restructure contractual arrangements would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **We could be hurt by future interpretation or implementation of federal and state anti-kickback and anti-referral laws.**

Federal and state anti-kickback laws prohibit the offer, solicitation, payment and receipt of remuneration in exchange for referrals of products and services for which payment may be made by Medicare, Medicaid or other federal and state healthcare programs. Federal and state anti-referral laws, including the Stark Law, prohibit physicians from referring their patients to healthcare providers with whom the physicians or their immediate family members have a financial relationship for designated services when such services are subject to reimbursement by Medicare or Medicaid. A violation of any of these laws could result in monetary fines, civil and criminal penalties and exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state healthcare programs, which accounted for approximately 22% of our revenues during 2005.

We owe some of our physicians contingent payment obligations entered into in connection with acquisitions we have completed and some of our physicians are a party to compensation arrangements with us and own common stock of our parent. Although we have attempted to structure our businesses so that our financial relationships with our physicians and our referral practices comply in all material respects with federal and state anti-referral laws, including the Stark Law, the government may take the position that they do not comply, or a prohibited referral may be made by one of our physicians without our knowledge. If our financial relationships with our physicians were found to be unlawful or unlawful referrals were found to have been made, we or they could be fined, become subject to government recoupment of fees previously paid to us and forfeiture of revenues due to us or become subject to civil and criminal penalties. In such situations, we also may be excluded from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state healthcare programs. Any one of these consequences could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **Our business could be harmed by future interpretation or implementation of state law prohibitions on fee-splitting.**

Many states prohibit the splitting or sharing of fees between physicians and non-physicians. We believe our arrangements with pathologists and operations comply in all material respects with the fee-splitting laws of the states in which we operate. Nevertheless, it is possible that regulatory authorities or other parties could claim we are engaged in fee-splitting. If such a claim were successfully asserted in any jurisdiction, our pathologists could be subject to civil and criminal penalties, including loss of licensure, and we could be required to restructure our contractual and other arrangements. In addition, expansion of our operations to new states with fee-splitting prohibitions may require structural and organizational modification to the form of our current relationships which may be less profitable. A claim of fee-splitting or modification of our business to avoid such a claim could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Federal and state regulation of privacy could cause us to incur significant costs.**

The Federal Trade Commission, or FTC, pursuant to consumer protection laws, and the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, pursuant to HIPAA, regulate the use and disclosure of information we may have about our patients. Many states also have laws regarding privacy of health information. While we believe that we are in compliance with FTC and state laws regarding privacy, and with the HIPAA privacy regulations, these laws are complex and will have an impact upon our operations. Violations of the HIPAA privacy regulations are punishable by civil and criminal penalties. In addition, while individuals do not have a private right of action under HIPAA, the privacy regulations may be viewed by the courts as setting a standard of conduct, and the failure to comply could serve as the basis for a private claim. In addition, HIPAA regulations regarding the security of health information and standards for electronic transactions have also been issued. While many of our systems have already been configured to comply with these regulations, to achieve compliance we may need to modify or replace systems in certain of our locations and incur related expenses.

### **We are subject to significant professional or other liability claims and we cannot assure you that insurance coverage will be available or sufficient to cover such claims.**

We may be sued under physician liability or other liability law for acts or omissions by our pathologists, laboratory personnel and hospital employees who are under the supervision of our hospital-based pathologists. We and our pathologists periodically become involved as defendants in medical malpractice and other lawsuits, some of which are currently ongoing, and are subject to the attendant risk of substantial damage awards.

Through June 30, 2002, we were insured for medical malpractice risks on a claims made basis under traditional professional liability insurance policies. In July 2002, we began using a captive insurance program to partially self-insure our medical malpractice risk. Under the captive insurance program we retain more risk for medical malpractice costs, including settlements and claims expenses, than under our prior coverage. We have no aggregate excess stop loss protection under our captive insurance arrangements, meaning there is no aggregate limitation on the amount of risk we retain under these arrangements. Because of our self-insurance arrangements and our lack of aggregate excess stop loss protection, professional malpractice claims could result in substantial uninsured losses. In addition, it is possible that the costs of our captive insurance arrangements and excess insurance coverage will rise, causing us either to incur additional costs or to further limit the amount of our coverage. Further, our insurance does not cover all potential liabilities arising from governmental fines and penalties, indemnification agreements and certain other uninsurable losses. For example, from time to time we agree to indemnify third parties, such as hospitals and national clinical laboratories, for various claims that may not be covered by insurance. As a result, we may become responsible for substantial damage awards that are uninsured. We are currently subject to indemnity claims, which if determined adversely to us, could result in substantial uninsured losses. Therefore, it is possible that pending or future claims will not be covered by or will exceed the limits of our insurance coverage and indemnification agreements or that third parties will fail or otherwise be unable to comply with their obligations to us.

### **Government programs account for approximately 22% of our revenues, so a decline in reimbursement rates from government programs would harm our revenues and profitability.**

We derived approximately 22% of our net revenues during 2005 from payments made by government programs, principally Medicare and Medicaid. These programs are subject to substantial regulation by federal and state governments. Any changes in reimbursement policies, practices, interpretations or statutes that place limitations on reimbursement amounts or change reimbursement coding practices could materially harm our business by reducing revenues and lowering profitability. Increasing budgetary pressures at both the federal and state levels and concerns over escalating costs of healthcare have led, and may continue to lead, to significant reductions in healthcare reimbursements, which would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **We incur financial risk related to collections as well as potentially long collection cycles when seeking reimbursement from third-party payors.**

Substantially all of our net revenues are derived from services for which our operations charge on a fee-for-service basis. Accordingly, we assume the financial risk related to collection, including potential write-offs of doubtful accounts, and long collection cycles for accounts receivable, including reimbursements by third-party payors, such as governmental programs, private insurance plans and managed care organizations. Our provision for doubtful accounts for 2005 was 13.1% of net revenues, with net revenues from inpatient services having a provision for doubtful accounts of approximately 24.0%. If our revenue from hospital-based services increases as a percentage of our total net revenues, our provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of total net revenues may increase. Increases in write-offs of doubtful accounts, delays in receiving payments or potential retroactive adjustments and penalties resulting from audits by payors could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.



---

## **Table of Contents**

In addition to services billed on a fee-for-service basis, our hospital-based pathologists in their capacities as medical directors of hospitals clinical laboratories, microbiology laboratories and blood banking operations, bill non-Medicare patients according to a fee schedule for their clinical professional component, or CPC, services. Our historical collection experience for CPC services is significantly lower than other anatomic pathology procedures. See Business-Billing. Hospitals and third party payors are continuing to increase pressure to reduce our revenues from CPC services, including but not limited to encouraging their patients not to pay us for such services.

### **The continued growth of managed care may have a material adverse effect on our business.**

The number of individuals covered under managed care contracts or other similar arrangements has grown over the past several years and may continue to grow in the future. In addition, Medicare and Medicaid and other government healthcare programs may continue to shift to managed care. In 2004 and 2005, approximately 56%, and 54%, respectively, of our net revenue was derived from reimbursements from managed care organizations and third party payors. Entities providing managed care coverage have reduced payments for medical services in numerous ways, including entering into arrangements under which payments to a service provider are capitated, limiting testing to specified procedures, denying payment for services performed without prior authorization and refusing to increase fees for specified services. These trends reduce our revenues and limit our ability to pass cost increases to our customers. Also, if these or other managed care organizations do not select us as a participating provider, we may lose some or all of that business, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **There has been an increasing number of state and federal investigations of healthcare companies, which may increase the likelihood of investigations of our business practices and the possibility that we will become subject to lawsuits.**

Prosecution of fraudulent practices by healthcare companies is a priority of the United States Department of Justice, HHS's Office of the Inspector General, or OIG, and state authorities. The federal government has become more aggressive in examining laboratory billing practices and seeking repayments and penalties allegedly resulting from improper billing practices, such as using an improper billing code for a test to realize higher reimbursement. While the primary focus of this initiative has been on hospital laboratories and on routine clinical chemistry tests, which comprise only a small portion of our revenues, the scope of this initiative could expand, and it is not possible to predict whether or in what direction the expansion might occur. In certain circumstances, federal and some state laws authorize private whistleblowers to bring false claim or qui tam suits against providers on behalf of the government and reward the whistleblower with a portion of any final recovery. In addition, the federal government has engaged a number of non-governmental audit organizations to assist in tracking and recovering false claims for healthcare services.

Since investigations relating to false claims have increased in recent years, it is more likely that companies in the healthcare industry, like us, could become the subject of a federal or state civil or criminal investigation or action. While we believe that we are in compliance in all material respects with federal and state fraud and abuse statutes and regulations, and we monitor our billing practices and hospital arrangements for compliance with prevailing industry practices under applicable laws, these laws are complex and constantly evolving, and it is possible that governmental investigators may take positions that are inconsistent with our practices. Moreover, even when the results of an investigation or a qui tam suit are favorable to a company, the process is time consuming and legal fees and diversion of company management focus are expensive. Any lengthy investigation could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **Investigations of entities with which we do business and regulatory audits could adversely affect us.**

HCA Inc., or HCA, has been under investigation with respect to fraud and abuse issues. As of December 31, 2004, we provided medical director services for 27 HCA hospital laboratories. As a result, the government's investigation of HCA could result in investigations of one or more of our operations. Furthermore, we have received subpoenas from the United States Attorney's office in Tampa, Florida to deliver Medicare billing records and other documents relating to alleged financial inducements received by a Florida physician who is not a pathologist with our Company but is one of our clients. We are providing information to the United States Attorney's office and intend to cooperate in the investigation. We also are conducting our own internal investigation of the matter. It is not possible at this point in the investigation to determine whether the government will pursue action against us or to assess the merits of possible defenses we may have to any such action. In addition, certain of our affiliates received subpoenas from the Florida Attorney General Medicaid Fraud Control Unit requesting copies of agreements that we have with certain hospitals and certain patient records. To our knowledge, numerous other hospitals and facilities have received similar subpoenas, which may indicate a state-wide audit of pathology operations. We are providing information to both the United States Attorney's office and the Florida Attorney General's office and intend to cooperate in the investigations. Accordingly, no assurances can be given regarding the ultimate outcome of the investigations.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **We derive a significant portion of our revenues from short-term hospital contracts and hospital relationships that can be terminated without penalty.**

Many of our hospital contracts may be terminated prior to the expiration of the initial or any renewal term by either party with relatively short notice and without cause. We also have business relationships with hospitals that are not governed by written contracts and may be terminated by the hospitals at any time. Loss of a hospital contract or relationship would not only result in a loss of net revenues but may also result in a loss of the outpatient net revenues derived from our association with the hospital and its medical staff. Any such loss could also result in an impairment of the balance sheet value of the assets we have acquired or may acquire, requiring substantial charges to earnings. Continuing consolidation in the hospital industry resulting in fewer hospitals and fewer laboratories enhances the risk that some of our hospital contracts and relationships may be terminated, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **If we cannot effectively implement our internal growth strategy, it would materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.**

Our focus on internal growth, which is based upon our existing relationships and services offered, is a departure from our prior focus on growth through acquisitions. The success of our strategy rests upon increasing testing volumes, improving the mix of our services and obtaining more favorable pricing, all of which will result in a greater focus on our sales and marketing function. The success of this strategy also is dependent upon our ability to hire and retain qualified personnel, including pathologists, to develop new areas of expertise and new customer relationships and to expand our current relationships with existing customers. There can be no assurance that we will be able to make our new strategy a success.

### **We may inherit significant liabilities from operations that we have acquired or acquire in the future.**

We perform due diligence investigations with respect to potential liabilities of acquired operations and typically obtain indemnification from the sellers of such operations. Nevertheless, undiscovered claims may arise, and liabilities for which we become responsible may be material and may exceed either the limitations of any applicable indemnification provisions or the financial resources of the indemnifying parties. Claims or liabilities of acquired operations may include matters involving compliance with laws, including healthcare laws. While we believe, based on our due diligence investigations, that our acquired operations were generally in compliance with applicable healthcare laws prior to their acquisition, they may not have been in full compliance and we may become accountable for their non-compliance. A violation of the healthcare laws could result in monetary fines, government recoupment of fees previously paid to us, forfeiture of revenues due to us or civil and criminal penalties. In such situations, we may also be excluded from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state healthcare programs. Any one of these consequences could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **We have significant contingent liabilities payable to many of the sellers of operations that we have acquired.**

In connection with our past acquisitions, we typically have agreed to pay the sellers additional consideration in the form of contingent note obligations. Payment on these contingent notes typically depends upon the financial performance of the acquired operation or the retention of specified hospital contracts over periods ranging from three to five years after the acquisition. The amount of these contingent note payments cannot be determined until the contingency periods terminate and the level of the performance is ascertainable. As of December 31, 2005, if the minimum performance that would result in the maximum amount being payable for existing contingent notes were achieved, we would be obligated to make principal payments of approximately \$13.1 million over the next five years. Lesser amounts would be paid if the maximum criteria are not met. Although we believe we will be able to make payments on contingent note obligations existing prior to the March 2003 Transaction from the remaining balance in the cash collateral account held by our parent, it is possible that such payments, or payments on additional contingent notes issued as part of subsequent acquisitions, could cause significant liquidity problems for us.

### **We have recorded a significant amount of intangible assets, which may never generate the returns we expect.**

Our acquisitions have resulted in significant increases in net identifiable intangible assets and goodwill. Net identifiable intangible assets, which include hospital contracts, management service agreements and laboratory contracts acquired in acquisitions, were approximately \$165.9 million at December 31, 2005, representing approximately 16.9% of our total assets. Goodwill, which relates to the excess of cost over the fair value of the net assets of the businesses acquired, was approximately \$608.2 million at December 31, 2005, representing approximately 61.8% of our total assets. Goodwill and net identifiable intangible assets are recorded at fair value on the date of acquisition and, under Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 142, will be reviewed at least annually for impairment. Impairment may result from, among other things, deterioration in performance of the acquired company, adverse market conditions, adverse changes in applicable laws or regulations, including changes that restrict the activities of the acquired business, and a variety of other circumstances. The amount of any impairment must be written off. We evaluated our recorded goodwill and identifiable intangible assets during December 2005 and determined that there was no asset

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

impairment charge required with respect to our intangible assets. We may not ever realize the full value of our intangible assets. Any future determination requiring the write-off of a significant portion of intangible assets would have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.



---

**Table of Contents**

**Our business is highly dependent on the recruitment and retention of qualified pathologists.**

Our business is dependent upon recruiting and retaining pathologists, particularly those with subspecialties, such as dermatopathology, hematopathology, immunopathology and cytopathology. While we have been able to recruit and retain pathologists in the past, we may be unable to continue to do so in the future as competition for the services of pathologists increases. In addition, we may need to provide more compensation to our pathologists in order to enhance our recruitment and retention efforts and may be unable to recover these increased costs through price increases. The relationship between the pathologists and their respective local medical communities is important to the operation and continued profitability of each of our local operations. Loss of even one of our pathologists could lead to the loss of hospital contracts or other sources of revenue derived from our relationship with the pathologist. For the years ending 2003, 2004 and 2005, turnover rates for our pathologists were 13.3%, 8.1% and 9.0%, respectively. If turnover rates were to increase, our revenues and earnings could be adversely affected.

**We may be unable to enforce non-competition provisions with departed pathologists.**

We either directly employ our pathologists or control a physician-owned entity that employs our pathologists. Each of our pathologists typically enters into an employment agreement with us or a company we control. Most of these employment agreements prohibit the pathologist from competing with our company within a defined geographic area and prohibit solicitation of other pathologists, employees or clients for a period of one to two years after termination of employment. We attempt to structure all of these contracts in accordance with applicable laws and to maintain and enforce these contracts as necessary. However, agreements not to compete are subject to many limitations under state law and these limitations may vary from state to state. We cannot predict whether a court will enforce the non-competition covenants in our various employment agreements. A finding that these covenants are unenforceable could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Competition from other providers of pathology services may materially harm our business.**

We have numerous competitors, including anatomic pathology practices, large physician group practices, hospital laboratories, specialized commercial laboratories and the anatomic pathology divisions of some national clinical laboratories. Moreover, companies in other healthcare segments, some of which have previously been customers of ours, such as hospitals, national clinical laboratories, managed care organizations and other third-party payors, may enter our markets and begin to compete with us. For example, Quest Diagnostics, Incorporated, or Quest, a national clinical laboratory company and former customer of ours, has begun to compete with us in some markets. Some of our competitors may have greater financial resources than us, which could further intensify competition. Increasing competition may erode our customer base, reduce our sources of revenue, cause us to reduce prices, enter into more capitated contracts in which we take on greater pricing risks or increase our marketing and other costs of doing business. Increasing competition may also impede our growth objectives by making it more difficult or more expensive for us to acquire or affiliate with additional pathology operations.

**We depend on numerous complex information systems, and any failure to successfully maintain those systems or implement new systems could materially harm our operations.**

We depend upon numerous information systems for operational and financial information, test reporting for our physicians and our complex billing operations. We currently have several major information technology initiatives underway, including the integration of information from our operations. No assurance can be given that we will be able to enhance existing or implement new information systems that can integrate successfully our disparate operational and financial information systems. In addition to their integral role in helping our operations realize efficiencies, these new systems are critical to developing and implementing a comprehensive enterprise-wide management information database. To develop an integrated network, we must continue to invest in and administer sophisticated management information systems. We may experience unanticipated delays, complications and expenses in implementing, integrating and operating our systems. Furthermore, our information systems may require modifications, improvements or replacements as we expand and as new technologies become available. These modifications, improvements or replacements may require substantial expenditures and may require interruptions in operations during periods of implementation. Moreover, implementation of these systems is subject to the availability of information technology and skilled personnel to assist us in creating and implementing the systems. The failure to successfully implement and maintain operation, financial, test reports, billing and physician practice information systems would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Failure to timely or accurately bill for our services may have a substantial negative impact on our revenues, cash flow and bad debt expense.**

Billing for laboratory testing services involves numerous parties and complex issues and procedures. The industry practice is to perform tests in advance of payment and without certainty as to the outcome of the billing process. We bill various payors, such as patients, government programs, physicians, hospitals and managed care organizations. These various payors have different billing information requirements and typically reimburse us only for medically necessary tests and only after we comply with a variety of procedures, such as providing them with Current Procedural Terminology, or CPT, codes and other information. If we do not meet all of the payors' stringent requirements, we may not be reimbursed, which would increase our bad debt expense.

Among many other factors complicating our billing are:

disputes between payors as to which party is responsible for payment,

disparity in coverage among various payors, and

difficulty satisfying the specific compliance requirements and CPT coding of and other procedures mandated by various payors. The complexity of laboratory billing also tends to cause delays in our cash collections. Confirming incorrect or missing billing information generally slows down the billing process and increases the age of our accounts receivable. We assume the financial risk related to collection, including the potential write-off of doubtful accounts and delays due to incorrect or missing information.

**Our tests and business processes may infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, which could cause us to engage in costly litigation, pay substantial damages or prohibit us from selling our services.**

Other companies or individuals, including our competitors, may obtain patents or other property rights that would prevent, limit or interfere with our ability to develop, perform or sell our tests or operate our business. As a result, we may be involved in intellectual property litigation and may be found to infringe on the proprietary rights of others, which could force us to do one or more of the following:

cease developing and performing services that incorporate the challenged intellectual property,

obtain and pay for licenses from the holder of the infringed intellectual property right,

redesign or reengineer our tests,

change our business processes or

pay substantial damages, court costs and attorneys' fees, including potentially increased damages for any infringement determined to be willful.

Infringement and other intellectual property claims, whether with or without merit, can be expensive and time-consuming to litigate. In addition, any requirement to reengineer our tests or change our business processes could substantially increase our costs, force us to interrupt the delivery of our services or delay new test releases.

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

The Company is subject to market risk associated principally with changes in interest rates. Our principal interest rate exposure relates to the amount outstanding under the Company's credit facility. The balances outstanding under the credit facility are at floating rates. Based on the outstanding balance of \$99.0 million at December 31, 2005, each quarter point increase or decrease in the floating rate increases or decreases interest expense by approximately \$0.3 million per year.

In April 2004, the Company entered into a 2 1/2 year interest rate swap transaction which involves the exchange of fixed for floating rate interest payments without the exchange of the underlying principal amount. The interest differential to be paid or received is accrued and is recognized as an adjustment to interest expense. The change in the market value of the derivative instrument is recognized in the consolidated statements of income. For the year ended December 31, 2005, the change in the value of the derivative was a loss of approximately \$0.3 million. The agreement has a notional amount of \$75.0 million. The Company receives interest on the notional amount if the LIBOR rate is less than 2.405% and pays interest on the notional amount if the LIBOR rate exceeds 2.405%. The floating rate resets every October 1 and April 1. In August 2004, the Company locked in to a forward LIBOR rate contract for October 2004 through March 2005 at a rate of 2.08%. In April 2005, the floating rate reset at 3.39% until October 2005. . The Company locked in to a forward LIBOR rate contract for October 2005 through March 2006 at a rate of 4.216%. This derivative instrument is being used by the Company to reduce interest rate volatility and associated risks arising from the fixed rate structure of our Senior Subordinated Notes, and is not held or issued for trading purposes.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA; INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Our consolidated financial statements and independent auditor's report thereon appear beginning on page F-2. See index to such consolidated financial statements and reports on page F-1.

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

We are currently in the process of reviewing and formalizing our internal controls and procedures for financial reporting in accordance with the SEC's rules implementing the internal control reporting requirements included in Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Changes have been and will be made to our internal controls over financial reporting as a result of these efforts. We are dedicating significant resources, including senior management time and effort, in connection with our ongoing Section 404 assessment in order to allow us to comply with applicable SEC rules and regulations by the filing deadline for our annual report for the calendar year ended December 31, 2007. The evaluation of our internal controls is being conducted under the direction of our senior management in consultation with an independent third party consulting firm. In addition, our senior management is regularly discussing the results of our testing and any proposed improvements to our control environment with our Audit Committee. We will continue to assess our controls and procedures on a regular basis and we will continue to work to improve our controls and procedures and educate and train our employees on our existing controls and procedures in connection with our efforts to maintain an effective controls infrastructure at our Company.

During the course of their audit of our consolidated financial statements for the calendar year ended December 31, 2005, our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, advised management and the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors that they had identified one deficiency in internal controls that they considered to be a material weakness as defined under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The material weakness relates to the adequacy of general controls relating to an information technology system.

Prior to the identification of the deficiency, we had already undertaken, or were in the process of undertaking, a number of steps to improve the Company's control environment, including:

Significant investments in new systems for the Company, including the recent purchase of an Oracle financial reporting system to replace the Company's current system.

Retention of outside consulting firms to assist in the Company's Section 404 initiative, including the engagement of a firm to provide guidance specific to IT concerns.

Development of an internal billing information system that will interface with the Oracle financial reporting system. We have discussed our corrective actions and future plans with our Audit Committee and Ernst & Young LLP. While we believe that the remedial actions that have been or will be taken will result in correcting the conditions that are considered to be material weaknesses as soon as practicable, the exact timing of when the conditions will be corrected is dependent upon future events which may or may not occur.

Senior management of the Company, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2005. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has concluded that, except for the internal control deficiency described above and taking into account the efforts to address this deficiency described above, as of the evaluation date, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed, and are effective, to give reasonable assurance that information we must disclose in reports filed with the SEC is properly recorded, processed, and summarized, and then reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC.



**Table of Contents****PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

The following table sets forth information about our directors and executive officers:

| <b>Name</b>                 | <b>Age</b> | <b>Position(s)</b>  |
|-----------------------------|------------|---|
| Donald E. Steen             | 59         | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board   |
| Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D.    | 53         | Vice Chairman   |
| Clay J. Cockerell, M.D.     | 49         | Managing Director, Cockerell & Associates, part of AmeriPath's DermPath Diagnostics Division and Director |
| James B. Peter, M.D., Ph.D. | 72         | Chief Science Officer, Specialty Laboratories and Director  |
| David L. Redmond            | 54         | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer                                |
| R. Keith Laughman           | 54         | President, Esoteric Services  |
| Steven E. Casper            | 38         | President, Dermatopathology Services  |
| Stephen W. Aldred, M.D.     | 53         | Regional President, West Region, Anatomic Pathology Services  |
| Bruce C. Walton             | 46         | Regional President, East Region, Anatomic Pathology Services  |
| Brett P. Brodnax            | 41         | Director  |
| Paul B. Queally             | 41         | Director  |
| Raymond A. Ranelli          | 58         | Director  |
| C. Arnold Renschler, M.D.   | 64         | Director  |
| Sean M. Traynor             | 36         | Director  |

Set forth below is a brief description of the business experience of each of our directors and executive officers.

*Donald E. Steen* joined AmeriPath on March 22, 2004 as Chairman of the Board of Directors and was appointed Chief Executive Officer effective July 1, 2004. Prior to AmeriPath, Mr. Steen founded United Surgical Partners International, Inc. ( USPI ) in February 1998 and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of USPI until he resigned as Chief Executive Officer effective April 1, 2004. Mr. Steen continues to serve as Chairman of the Board for USPI. Prior to USPI, Mr. Steen served as President of the International Group of HCA from 1995 until 1997 and as President of the Western Group of HCA from 1994 until 1995. Mr. Steen founded Medical Care International, Inc., a pioneer in the surgery center business, in 1981. Mr. Steen is also a member of the Board of Directors of Kinetic Concepts, Inc.

*Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D.*, has been our Vice Chairman since January 2005 and has been a director of our Company since May 2004. Dr. Mossler joined our Company in September of 1997 when CoLab, Inc. in Indianapolis, Indiana was acquired by us. Since that date, Dr. Mossler has served as Managing Director of CoLab, Managing Director of our Indiana practice, Regional Managing Director for the Midwest Region and Chief Medical Officer. Dr. Mossler graduated from the Indiana University School of Medicine in 1977 and completed his residency at Duke University Medical Center. He became board certified in Anatomic and Clinical Pathology in 1981, and has practiced medicine for the past 23 years.

*Clay J. Cockerell, M.D.* has been associated with AmeriPath since the acquisition of his practice in 1996 and has been a Director of AmeriPath since May 2004. He is Managing Director of Cockerell and Associates Dermatopathology Laboratories based in Dallas, Texas, a part of AmeriPath's DermPath Diagnostics division. Dr. Cockerell also serves as Clinical Professor of Dermatology and Pathology and Director of Dermatopathology at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. Dr. Cockerell received his M.D. degree from Baylor College of Medicine in 1981.

*James B. Peter, M.D., Ph.D.* joined our Board of Directors on January 31, 2006 in connection with the merger with Specialty Laboratories, Inc. and currently serves as the Chief Science Officer for Specialty Laboratories. Prior to Specialty Laboratories merging with AmeriPath, Dr. Peter was Emeritus Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer of Specialty Laboratories. Before founding Specialty in 1975, Dr. Peter was Professor of Medicine at the University of California, Los Angeles. He is the author of more than 450 publications in science and medicine and editor of 27 books. Dr. Peter has contributed significantly to the advancement of clinical laboratory technology as manifest by membership in and honors from prestigious societies of science and medicine. Having graduated magna cum laude from Creighton University in Omaha, Nebraska, Dr. Peter received his M.D. degree with research distinction from St. Louis University School of Medicine and served his internship and fellowship in medicine at the University of Minnesota Hospital. Prior to joining the faculty of the UCLA School of Medicine, Dr. Peter earned his Ph.D. degree in Biochemistry and Organic Chemistry at the University of Minnesota under Professor Paul D. Boyer (Nobel Laureate, 1997).



**Table of Contents**

*David L. Redmond* has been our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer since June 2, 2003. Prior to joining us, Mr. Redmond served as the Chief Financial Officer for both Accentia, Inc., a specialty pharmacy and pharmacoeconomics company, and MedHost, Inc., a management information software and services company for hospital emergency departments. Mr. Redmond was the Chief Financial Officer of PharMerica, Inc. from 1998 through 1999 where he directed the corporate restructuring and eventual sale of the company to Bergen Brunswig Corporation in 1999. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Redmond served as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Pharmacy Corporation of America, prior to which he was a Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Pharmacy Management Services, Inc. Mr. Redmond is a Certified Public Accountant and spent approximately 16 years with KPMG Peat Marwick, including six years as a partner.

*R. Keith Laughman* has been our President, Esoteric Services since April 2005. Prior to joining AmeriPath, Mr. Laughman had 30 years of experience at the Mayo Clinic where he most recently served as the President of Mayo Collaborative Services (MCSI), a for-profit company owned by the Mayo Clinic that provides the non-Mayo community, medical centers, academic medical centers and pharmaceutical firm clients from around the world access to the Mayo Clinic's scientific and medical expertise. These services included clinical research support, medically advanced diagnostic services and medical testing.

*Steven E. Casper* has been our President of Dermatopathology Services since August 2005. Mr. Casper joined AmeriPath in February of 2002 as Vice President of Business Development where he was responsible for executing on business development opportunities related to the expansion of AmeriPath. In that capacity, Mr. Casper was involved in sourcing and closing on the acquisition of over 25 physician practices. From 1999 to 2002, he held the position of Vice President within the firm of Summit Partners, a \$6 billion Venture Capital firm focused primarily on health care and technology investing. From 1997 to 1999, Mr. Casper served as the Director of Business Development for AmeriPath assisting in the creation of the Company's practice acquisition program. From 1994 through 1997, Mr. Casper held the position of Associate within Summit Partners.

*Stephen W. Aldred, M.D.*, has been our Regional President, West Region, Anatomic Pathology Services since August 2005. Dr. Aldred joined AmeriPath on September 1, 1997 when Unipath, LTD was acquired by the Company. Since that date, Dr. Aldred has served as Medical Director of Unipath Outpatient Facilities, Managing Director of AmeriPath North Texas, Regional Managing Director for the Southwest Region and Regional President, Southwest Region. Dr. Aldred graduated from Indiana University School of Medicine in 1977 and completed a four-year residency in pathology at Parkland Memorial Hospital and Southwestern Medical School in Dallas. He became Board Certified in Anatomic and Clinical Pathology in 1981.

*Bruce C. Walton* has been our Regional President, East Region, Anatomic Pathology Services since August 2005. Mr. Walton joined AmeriPath in June 1999 and has served as Regional President Northeast, Director of Sales and Vice President of Sales, Marketing and Managed Care Contracting. Prior to joining AmeriPath, Mr. Walton served two years as Vice President of Sales & Marketing for Interactive Information, Inc., a start-up company focusing on didactic, interactive patient education. Prior to Interactive, Mr. Walton served in a variety of sales and marketing roles including Sales Director at C.R. Bards, Inc., a manufacturer of healthcare surgical products.

*Brett P. Brodnax* joined our board of directors on January 1, 2005. Mr. Brodnax is the Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer of United Surgical Partners International, Inc. Prior to joining USPI in December 1999, Mr. Brodnax was an assistant vice president at Baylor Health Care System from May 1990 until December 1999.

*Paul B. Queally* has been a director of AmeriPath since the consummation of the March 2003 Transaction. Mr. Queally is a general partner of Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe, where he focuses primarily on investments in the healthcare industry and is a managing member of the general partner of Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe IX, L.P. Prior to joining Welsh Carson in 1996, Mr. Queally was a general partner at the Sprout Group, the private equity group of the former Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette. Mr. Queally received his bachelor's degree from the University of Richmond and an MBA from Columbia Business School. He is a member of the boards of directors of Concentra, Inc., MedCath, Inc., United Surgical Partners International, Inc., AmComp, Inc., Amerisafe, Inc., and several private companies.

*Raymond A. Ranelli* has been a director since November 2003. Mr. Ranelli retired from PricewaterhouseCoopers in 2003 where he was a partner for over 25 years. Mr. Ranelli held several positions at PricewaterhouseCoopers including Vice Chairman and Global Leader of the Financial Advisory Services practice. Mr. Ranelli is also a director of Hawaiian Telecom Communications, Inc., Centennial Communications Corp., and United Components, Inc.

*C. Arnold Renschler, M.D.* rejoined our board of directors in May 2003 after previously serving as a member from April 1997 until the consummation of the March 2003 Transaction. Retired in May 2000, he had been Executive Vice President of Bergen Brunswig Corp. since April 1999. From December 1997 to April 1999, he was President and CEO of PharMerica, Inc. and a member of its board of directors. From June 1996 to November 1997, Dr. Renschler was President and Chief Executive Officer of Pharmacy Corporation of America, a division of Beverly Enterprises, Inc. From January 1990 to June 1996, he held various positions, including





**Table of Contents**

serving as a director, President and Chief Operating Officer and Chief Clinical Officer of NovaCare, Inc. From 1981 to 1989, he served as President of Manor HealthCare Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Manor Care. He was also President, Chief Operating Officer and a member of the board of Manor Care, Inc. from 1985 to 1990. He currently serves as a director of two privately-held health care companies: Cora Health, Inc. and Reflectix Resources, Inc. Dr. Renschler is certified in pediatric medicine.

*Sean M. Traynor* has been a director of our Company since consummation of the March 2003 Transaction. Mr. Traynor is a general partner at Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe, where he focuses primarily on investments in the healthcare, information services and telecommunications industries. Prior to joining Welsh Carson in 1999, Mr. Traynor worked in the healthcare and insurance investment banking groups at Bankers Trust Alex Brown from 1996 until 1999. Prior to joining Bankers Trust Alex Brown, Mr. Traynor spent three years with Coopers & Lybrand. Mr. Traynor earned his bachelor's degree from Villanova University and an MBA from the Wharton School of Business. Mr. Traynor is also a director of Amerisafe Inc., AmComp Inc., and Select Medical Corporation.

**Board Committees**

Our board directs the management of our business and affairs as provided by Delaware law and conducts its business through meetings of the full board of directors and two standing committees: the audit committee and the compensation committee. In addition, from time to time, other committees may be established under the direction of the board of directors when necessary to address specific issues.

The audit committee currently includes Messrs. Ranelli, Renschler and Traynor. The duties and responsibilities of the audit committee include recommending to the board of directors the appointment or termination of the engagement of our independent public accountants, otherwise overseeing the independent auditor relationship, reviewing our significant accounting policies and internal controls and reporting its recommendations and findings to the full board of directors. Mr. Ranelli has been identified as our audit committee financial expert and is Chairman of the audit committee. The compensation committee currently includes Messrs. Queally and Traynor. The compensation committee reviews and approves the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and other senior management and administers our stock option plan. The stock options are options in our parent company, although the compensation committee of AmeriPath determines the grants.

We have developed a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer. The Code of Ethics is posted on our website, [www.ameripath.com](http://www.ameripath.com).

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth the aggregate compensation paid or earned during the prior three years to our Chief Executive Officer and each of our four other most highly compensated executive officers whose total annual salary and bonus was \$100,000 or more for 2005 (the Chief Executive Officer and such other executive officers are sometimes referred to herein as the named executive officers).

| Name And Principal Position                          | Fiscal Year | Annual Compensation |            |                                | Long-Term Compensation Number Of Options Granted (4) | All Other Compensation (\$) |
|--|-------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
|  |             | Salary (\$)         | Bonus (\$) | Other Annual Compensation (\$) |  |                             |
| Donald E. Steen                                      | 2005        | 474,231             | 150,000    | 90,143(7)                      |  |                             |
| Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board    | 2004        | 286,862(1)          |            |                                | 2,556,248  |                             |
| Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D.                             | 2005        | 546,154             | 90,002     | 4,031(5)                       |  |                             |
|  | 2004        | 450,000             | 50,000     |                                | 20,704   |                             |
| Vice Chairman  | 2003        | 461,058             |            |                                | 479,296  |                             |
| David L. Redmond                                     | 2005        | 348,077             | 150,000    | 8,741(5)                       |  |                             |
|  | 2004        | 292,308             | 75,833     | 4,084(5)                       |  |                             |
| Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2003        | 145,000(2)          |            |                                | 1,118,362  |                             |
| Stephen W. Aldred, M.D.                              | 2005        | 514,615             | 75,000     |                                |  |                             |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|                                      |         |            |        |         |           |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------------|--------|---------|-----------|
| Regional President, Southwest Region | 2004    | 475,481    | 60,000 | 50,000  |           |
|                                      | 2003(3) |            |        |         |           |
| R. Keith Laughman                    | 2005    | 262,500(7) |        | 300,000 | 20,562(8) |
| President, Esoteric Services         |         |            |        |         |           |

(1) Represents base salary from March 2004 when Mr. Steen joined AmeriPath.

---

**Table of Contents**

- (2) Represents base salary from June 2003 when Mr. Redmond joined AmeriPath.
- (3) Dr. Aldred was not an executive officer during 2003.
- (4) Represents stock options granted in our parent's company stock.
- (5) Represents reimbursement of insurance premiums.
- (6) Represents reimbursement of club initiation fees for 2005.
- (7) Represents base salary from April 2005 when Mr. Laughman joined AmeriPath.
- (8) Represents reimbursement of moving expenses.

**Director Compensation**

We pay each director who is not an employee of our Company or an affiliate of our Company a retainer of \$20,000 per year, plus \$1,500 for each meeting of the board of directors or a committee of the board attended in person and \$500 for meetings attended by telephone. In addition, each such director is also entitled to receive an initial grant of an option to purchase 10,000 shares of our parent's common stock in connection with such director's initial election to the board and an annual grant of an option to purchase 7,500 shares of our parent's common stock, each granted pursuant to our parent's stock option plan. We also reimburse all directors for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the rendering of services as a director.

**Employment Agreements**

*Donald E. Steen*, our Chief Executive Officer, entered into an employment agreement with us on March 22, 2004. Mr. Steen's employment agreement provides, among other things, for a minimum base salary of \$445,000, subject to annual review, and annual performance-based bonus compensation. Additionally, Mr. Steen's employment agreement provides that if his employment is terminated by us without cause, he shall be entitled to the continued payment of his annual base salary and bonus for a period of twenty four months after such termination.

*Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D.*, our Vice Chairman, entered into an employment agreement with us on April 25, 2003. Dr. Mossler's employment agreement provides, among other things, for a minimum base salary of \$450,000, subject to annual review and annual performance-based bonus compensation. Additionally, Dr. Mossler's employment agreement provides that if his employment is terminated by us without cause, he shall be entitled to the continued payment of his annual base salary for a period of twelve months after such termination. The agreement further provides that Dr. Mossler shall be entitled to a lump sum bonus equal to his annual base salary upon a change of control.

*David L. Redmond*, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, entered into an employment agreement with us on May 15, 2003. Mr. Redmond's employment agreement provides, among other things, for a minimum base salary of \$300,000, subject to annual review, and annual performance-based bonus compensation. Mr. Redmond's employment agreement provides that, if his employment is terminated by us without cause, he shall be entitled to the continued payment of his annual base salary and bonus for a period of twenty-four months after such termination. The agreement further provides that Mr. Redmond shall be entitled to a lump sum bonus equal to his annual base salary and bonus upon a change of control. In addition, if following a change of control, we require Mr. Redmond to be based more than 30 miles from his current office, or materially reduce his duties and responsibilities, Mr. Redmond can elect to terminate his employment agreement and we must continue to pay him his base salary for twenty-four months thereafter.

*R. Keith Laughman*, our President, Esoteric Services, entered into an employment agreement with us on April 1, 2005. Mr. Laughman's employment agreement provides, among other things, for a minimum base salary of \$300,000, subject to annual review, and annual performance-based compensation. Mr. Laughman's employment agreement provides that, if his employment is terminated by us without cause, he shall be entitled to the continued payment of his annual base salary for a period of twelve months after such termination.

**Table of Contents**

*Stephen W. Aldred, M.D.*, our Regional President of the Southwest Region, entered into an employment agreement with us on September 2, 1997. Dr. Aldred's employment agreement provides, among other things, for a minimum base salary of \$450,000, subject to annual review, and annual performance-based compensation. Dr. Aldred's employment agreement provides that if Dr. Aldred is terminated without cause within one year subsequent to a change of control of the Company, Dr. Aldred shall be entitled to a lump sum payment equal to his annual base salary.

**Stock Option Plan of AmeriPath Holdings, Inc.**

Our parent has adopted a 2006 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Purchase Plan, which we refer to as the stock option plan. The total number of shares of common stock for which options or awards may be granted under the stock option plan are 11,697,146 shares of our parent's common stock. Shares of common stock relating to expired or terminated options may again be subject to an option or award under the stock option plan, subject to any limitation required by the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. The stock option plan provides for the grants of incentive stock options, within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code, to selected employees and for grants of non-qualified stock options and awards to selected employees and other persons providing services for us. The purpose of the stock option plan is to attract and retain the best available personnel, provide additional incentives to our employees and consultants and promote the success of our business.

A committee of not less than two persons appointed by the board of directors of our parent administers the stock option plan. If no such committee is appointed, the board of directors serves as the administrator and has all authority and obligations under the stock option plan. The administrator has the sole discretion to grant options to employees and to determine the terms of awards and options granted under the plan. Incentive and non-qualified stock options, however, are not transferable other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution and are not issued at an exercise price less than the fair market value of the underlying shares.

The exercise price of any incentive stock option granted to an employee who possess more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our shares within the meaning of Section 422(b)(6) of the Code must be at least 110% of the fair market value of the underlying share at the time the option is granted and by its terms is not exercisable more than five years from the date it is assigned. Furthermore, the aggregate fair market value of shares of common stock purchased under an incentive stock option for the first time by an employee during any calendar year may not exceed \$100,000. The term of any incentive stock option cannot exceed ten years from the date of grant.

The stock option plan will terminate in March 2013, but the board of directors of our parent may terminate the stock option plan at any time in its sole discretion. The board of directors of our parent may amend the plan subject to limited restrictions requiring the vote of a majority of the outstanding voting common stock of our parent.

The following table presents information regarding options granted to the Company's named executive officers during fiscal 2005 to purchase shares of our parent company's common stock:

**Option Grants In Fiscal 2005**

| Name              | Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted | Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees in Year | Exercise Price per Share | Expiration Date | Potential Realizable Value at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term (1) |            |
|-------------------|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------|--|------------|
|                   |   |   |                          |                 | 5%   | 10%        |
| R. Keith Laughman | 300,000   | 43.7%   | \$ 6.00                  | 4/1/10          | \$ 90,000  | \$ 180,000 |

(1) These assumed annual rates of appreciation were used in compliance with the rules of the SEC and are not intended to forecast future price appreciation of our parent company's common stock.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table sets forth information as of March 27, 2006, with respect to the beneficial ownership of our parent company's common stock by (i) our named executive officers, (ii) each of our directors, (iii) all of our directors and executive officers as a group and (iv) each holder of five percent or more of the outstanding shares of our parent company's common stock.

Beneficial ownership is defined in accordance with rules adopted by the SEC and includes shares subject to stock options if exercisable on March 27, 2006 or within 60 days thereafter.

| Name of Beneficial Owner (1)                    | Shares<br>Beneficially<br>Owned | Percent<br>Beneficially<br>Owned |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe                 | 62,918,457(2)                   | 74%                              |
| James B. Peter, M.D., Ph.D.                     | 19,018,618(3)                   | 22%                              |
| Specialty Family Limited Partnership            | 19,018,618(4)                   | 22%                              |
| Donald E. Steen                                 | 1,533,749(5)                    | *                                |
| David L. Redmond                                | 447,344(6)                      | *                                |
| Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D.                        | 295,859(7)                      | *                                |
| Clay J. Cockerell, M.D.                         | 212,500(8)                      | *                                |
| Paul B. Queally                                 | 94,963(9)                       | *                                |
| Steven W. Aldred, M.D.                          | 61,666(10)                      | *                                |
| R. Keith Laughman                               | 60,000(11)                      | *                                |
| Brett P. Brodnax                                | 31,167(12)                      | *                                |
| Raymond A. Ranelli                              | 24,666(13)                      | *                                |
| C. Arnold Renschler, M.D.                       | 14,333(14)                      | *                                |
| Sean M. Traynor                                 | 1,900(15)                       | *                                |
| All directors and executive officers as a group | 22,708,355(16)                  | 27%                              |

\* Less than one percent.

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the beneficial owners identified is 7111 Fairway Drive, Suite 400, Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418.
- (2) Represents (A) 52,574,999 shares held by WCAS IX over which WCAS IX has sole voting and investment power, (B) 1,432,313 shares held by WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. over which WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. has sole voting and investment power, (C) an aggregate 1,554,969 shares held by individuals who are general partners of WCAS IX Associates LLC, the sole general partner of WCAS IX, general partners of WCAS CP III Associates LLC, the sole general partner of WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. and/or otherwise employed by an affiliate of WCAS IX, and (D) an aggregate of 7,356,176 shares held by entities who are limited partners of WCAS IX or who are affiliates of such limited partners over which WCAS IX has sole voting power, including the shares held by Co-Investment Partners, L.P. WCAS IX Associates LLC, the sole general partner of WCAS IX, and the individuals who serve as general partners of WCAS IX Associates LLC, including Paul B. Queally and Sean M. Traynor, may be deemed to beneficially own the shares beneficially owned by WCAS IX. Such persons disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares. WCAS CP III Associates LLC, the sole general partner of WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P., and the individuals who serve as general partners of WCAS CP III Associates LLC, including Paul B. Queally and Sean M. Traynor, may be deemed to beneficially own the shares beneficially owned by WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. Such persons disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares. The principal executive offices of Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe are located at 320 Park Avenue, Suite 2500, New York, New York 10022.
- (3) Represents shares held by James B. Peter in his capacity as trustee of the Peter Family Revocable Trust which is the managing general partner of the Specialty Family Limited Partnership, dated 9/1/1995, as amended ( SFLP ). James B. Peter, as the managing general partner of SFLP, has sole voting power and shared dispositive power with the general partners and limited partners of SFLP. James B. Peter disclaims beneficial ownership of all shares held by SFLP.

**Table of Contents**

- (4) Represents shares held by SFLP. Dr. James B. Peter, as the co-trustee of the Peter Family Revocable Trust, is the sole managing general partner.
- (5) Consists of 1,533,749 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (6) Consists of 447,344 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (7) Consists of 295,859 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (8) Includes 206,000 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (9) Includes 94,963 shares over which Mr. Queally has sole voting and investment power. Does not include 52,574,999 shares owned by WCAS IX or 1,432,313 shares owned by WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. Mr. Queally, as a general partner of each of the respective sole general partners of WCAS IX and WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P., may be deemed to beneficially own the shares beneficially owned by WCAS IX and WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. Mr. Queally disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares.
- (10) Includes 20,000 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (11) Consists of 60,000 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (12) Includes 2,000 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (13) Includes 2,000 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (14) Includes 6,000 shares subject to stock options which are exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days.
- (15) Includes 1,900 shares over which Mr. Traynor has sole voting and investment power. Does not include 52,574,999 shares owned by WCAS IX or 1,432,313 shares owned by WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. Mr. Traynor, as a general partner of each of the respective sole general partners of WCAS IX and WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. may be deemed to beneficially own the shares beneficially owned by WCAS IX and WCAS Capital Partners, L.P. Mr. Traynor disclaims ownership of such shares.
- (16) Does not include 52,574,999 shares owned by WCAS IX or 1,432,313 shares owned by WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. Mr. Queally and Mr. Traynor, each, as a general partner of each of the respective sole general partners of WCAS IX and WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P., may be deemed to beneficially own the shares beneficially owned by WCAS IX and WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P. Mr. Queally and Mr. Traynor each disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

**Arrangements with Our Investors**

In connection with the acquisition of Specialty Laboratories, WCAS IX and its co-investors, including Paul B. Queally, Sean M. Traynor and other individuals affiliated with WCAS IX along with Raymond A. Ranelli, C. Arnold Renschler, and Brett P. Brodnax, which we refer to collectively as our continuing investors in this annual report, and certain former stockholders of Specialty Laboratories, including the Specialty Family Limited Partnership and other entities and individuals affiliated with one of our directors, Dr. James B. Peter, which we refer to collectively as the continuing Specialty stockholders in this annual report, entered into agreements with our new parent company, AmeriPath Group Holdings, Inc., or Group Holdings, as described below.

*Subscription, Merger and Exchange Agreement*

Pursuant to an amended and restated subscription, merger and exchange agreement, in connection with the acquisition of Specialty Laboratories, our continuing investors contributed all of their shares of common stock of Holdings in exchange for an equal number of shares of common stock of Group Holdings. Additionally, WCAS IX and certain of our other continuing investors purchased shares of Group Holdings common stock for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$46.1 million in cash, or \$6.00 per share. The continuing Specialty stockholders contributed shares of Specialty Laboratories common stock to Group Holdings in exchange for shares of Group Holdings common stock (with such Specialty Laboratories shares being valued at approximately \$119.6 million in the aggregate, or \$6.00 per share, for such purposes). Also pursuant to the amended and restated subscription, merger and exchange agreement, Holdings was merged with and into Aqua Acquisition Corp, a new wholly owned subsidiary of Group Holdings, with Holdings continuing as the surviving corporation and as a wholly owned subsidiary of Group Holdings. Upon consummation of the merger of Aqua Acquisition Corp. with and into Holdings, all of the shares of Holdings common stock contributed to Group Holdings were cancelled without payment of any merger consideration. Each of the former stockholders of Holdings who were not party to the amended and restated subscription, merger and exchange agreement, became entitled to receive as merger consideration one share of Group Holdings common stock for each share of Holdings common stock that such stockholder held.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### *Stockholders Agreement and Registration Rights Agreement*

WCAS IX and our other continuing stockholders and the continuing Specialty stockholders entered into a stockholders agreement and registration rights agreement with Group Holdings. The stockholders agreement contains certain restrictions on the transfer of Group Holdings common stock and provides certain stockholders with certain preemptive and information rights. Additionally, the continuing Specialty stockholders, acting as a group, are entitled to appoint one of the directors of Group Holdings so long as they continue to hold a minimum number of shares of Group Holdings common stock. Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, Group Holdings granted certain of our investors rights to require Group Holdings to register shares of its common stock under the Securities Act.

### *Transaction Fee*

A fee of \$459,000 was paid to an affiliate of WCAS IX in connection with the acquisition of Specialty Laboratories and we reimbursed WCAS IX and its affiliates for their out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the acquisition of Specialty Laboratories.

### **Senior Subordinated Notes and Registration Rights**

In connection with the March 2003 Transaction, Holdings and an affiliate of WCAS IX, WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P., or WCAS CP III, entered into a securities purchase agreement pursuant to which it purchased senior subordinated notes and shares of Holdings common stock from Holdings for an aggregate purchase price of \$67.0 million. In connection with such investment, WCAS CP III entered into a separate registration rights agreement with Holdings that granted WCAS CP III the right to require Holdings to register the senior subordinated notes under the Securities Act in certain circumstances.

### **Management Agreement**

In connection with the March 2003 Transaction, Holdings entered into a management agreement with WCAS Management Corporation, an affiliate of WCAS IX, pursuant to which WCAS Management Corporation provides management and financial advisory services to Holdings and its subsidiaries, including us. WCAS Management Corporation is entitled to a management fee of \$1.0 million per year and reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the provision of such services.

### **Arrangements with Management**

We have entered into employment agreements with many of our named executive officers, each of which is described under Item 11 of this annual report. Additionally, many of our employees, including many of our named executive officers, have been granted options to purchase shares of common stock of Group Holdings under its 2006 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Purchase Plan which is described under Item 11 of this annual report.

### **Consulting Agreement and Other Arrangements with Director**

In connection with the acquisition of Specialty Laboratories, we entered into a consulting agreement with James B. Peter, M.D., Ph.D., a member of our board of directors. Pursuant to the terms of the consulting agreement, Dr. Peter agreed to make himself available for agreed upon projects as requested by us and consistent with his status and seniority. In exchange for his services, Dr. Peter is entitled to annual compensation of \$225,000. The term of the consulting agreement is for three years. The consulting agreement with Dr. Peter also provides that if he is terminated without cause, or if he terminates the consulting relationship for certain enumerated good reasons, then Dr. Peter will be entitled to his annual payments plus other benefits provided under the consulting agreement for the remainder of the term of the agreement.

In connection with the acquisition of Specialty Laboratories, we assumed Specialty Laboratories' obligation to provide Dr. Peter, for the remainder of his life, and his wife, for the remainder of her life, coverage, at the our expense, for dental, eye and health care to the same extent that coverage is provided to our actively employed senior executives.

### **Family Members of James B. Peter, M.D., Ph.D.**

Dr. Peter has immediate family members employed by Specialty Laboratories. Specifically, James Estes, Specialty's Director of Laboratory Information Systems, is the son-in-law of James B. Peter, M.D., Ph.D. Mr. Estes has been employed by Specialty since 1994, and his compensation was established and updated by Specialty in accordance with its standard employment and compensation policies and procedures applicable to employees with equivalent qualifications and responsibilities, and who hold similar positions within Specialty.





**Table of Contents****Director Stock Grants**

Holdings granted Raymond A. Ranelli, one of our directors, two grants of 3,000 restricted shares of Holdings common stock as an annual retainer for 2005 and 2006 in consideration for his services as chairman of our audit committee.

**Stock Offering and Redemption**

In July 2003, Holdings consummated a private placement of 710,648 shares of its common stock to physicians and other selected employees of our company at a price of \$6.00 per share, the price per share paid by WCAS IX in connection with the March 2003 Transaction. The gross proceeds of \$4,263,888 from such offering were used by our parent to redeem 710,648 shares of common stock of Holdings then held by WCAS IX at a price of \$6.00 per share.

**Investment in MPI**

In October 2004, the Company purchased 700,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock of Molecular Profiling Institute, Inc. ( MPI ) for \$350,000. In October 2005, the Company purchased 1,000,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock MPI for \$2,500,000. Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D., one of our executive officers and a member of our board of directors, is entitled to receive 200,000 shares of common stock of MPI under MPI s restricted stock plan, or approximately 4% of MPI s outstanding common stock, as consideration for past services in the development of MPI. As of December 31, 2005 the Company owned a 12.65% fully diluted interest in MPI.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

The following table presents fees related to accounting and tax professional services rendered for fiscal years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004.

|                        | 2005         | 2004         |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Audit fees (a)         | \$ 809,508   | \$ 836,435   |
| Audit-related fees (b) | 166,795      | 280,000      |
| Tax fees (c)           | 64,020       |              |
| Total fees             | \$ 1,040,323 | \$ 1,116,435 |

- (a) Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of AmeriPath s annual consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year, reviews of the financial statements included in AmeriPath s quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the fiscal year, and other accounting assistance, including expenses.
- (b) Audit-related fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonable related to the performance of the audit or review and are not reported under audit fees. These fees for 2005 and 2004 primarily relate to Sarbanes Oxley, debt offerings and audits and accounting consultation in connection with acquisitions.
- (c) Tax fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for tax compliance and research of tax matters.
- The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and non-audit services performed by the Company s independent auditors and all related fees to assure that the provision of such services does not impair the auditor s independence. Under the Audit Committee policy, the independent auditors are prohibited from performing any non-audit services in contravention of SEC Rules. Any additional services or fees in excess of the approved amount require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee may delegate its pre-approval authority to one or more of its members, but not to management. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated shall report any pre-approval decisions to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

**(a) 1. Financial Statements:**

Reference is made to the index set forth on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**2. Financial Statement Schedules:**

Reference is made to the index set forth on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

**3. Exhibits:**

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among AmeriPath, Inc., AMP Merger Corp., and Pathology Consultants of America, Inc. (d/b/a Inform DX), dated as of November 7, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, dated April 2, 2001)
- 2.2 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 8, 2002, by and between AmeriPath, Inc., AmeriPath Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Amy Holding Company) and Amy Acquisition Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 filed by AmeriPath with its Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2002)
- 2.3 Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of September 29, 2005, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, AmeriPath, Inc., Specialty Laboratories, Inc., a California corporation, and Silver Acquisition Corp., a California corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 4, 2005)
- 2.4 Amendment No. 1, dated as of January 3, 2006, to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 29, 2005, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, AmeriPath, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Specialty Laboratories, Inc., a California corporation, and Silver Acquisition Corp., a California corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on January 3, 2006)
- 3.1 AmeriPath, Inc.'s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 filed by AmeriPath with its registration statement on Form S-4 on April 30, 2003)
- 3.2 AmeriPath, Inc.'s Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 filed by AmeriPath with its registration statement on Form S-4 on April 30, 2003)
- 4.1 Indenture with respect to the 10.50% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 between AmeriPath, Inc., AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., the Subsidiary Guarantors listed on the signature pages thereto and U.S. Bank, National Association as Trustee, dated March 27, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 filed with AmeriPath's registration statement on Form S-4 on April 30, 2003)
- 4.2 Form of 10.50% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 filed with AmeriPath's registration statement on Form S-4 on April 30, 2003)
- 4.3 Registration Rights Agreement among AmeriPath, Inc., each of the Subsidiary Guarantors listed thereto, Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. and Wachovia Securities, Inc., dated February 11, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with AmeriPath's registration statement on Form S-4 on April 14, 2004)
- 10.1 Amended and Restated Purchase Agreement among AmeriPath, Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC. dated February 11, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's registration statement on Form S-4 on April 14, 2004)
- 10.2 Credit Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2006, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., AmeriPath, Inc., the lenders party thereto from time to time, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., as Syndication Agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 3, 2006)

**Table of Contents**

- 10.3 Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2006, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., AmeriPath, Inc., subsidiaries of AmeriPath, Inc. identified therein and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Collateral Agent. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 3, 2006)
- 10.4 Subscription, Merger and Exchange Agreement dated as of September 29, 2005, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, AmeriPath Group Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Aqua Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation, the stockholders of AmeriPath Holdings, Inc. listed therein and the stockholders of Specialty Laboratories, Inc. listed therein. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 4, 2005)
- 10.5 Voting Agreement dated as of September 29, 2005, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation and the stockholders of Specialty Laboratories, Inc. listed therein. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 4, 2005)
- 10.6 Employment Agreement dated March 22, 2004 by and between AmeriPath and Donald E. Steen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, dated and filed on August 12, 2004)
- 10.6.1 Amendment to Employment Agreement between AmeriPath, Inc. and Donald E. Steen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, dated and filed on August 11, 2005)
- 10.7 Employment Agreement dated May 15, 2003 by and between AmeriPath and David L. Redmond (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2003, dated and filed on August 14, 2003)
- 10.8 Employment Agreement dated April 25, 2003 by and between AmeriPath and Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9 Employment Agreement dated September 2, 1997 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9.1 Amendment to Employment Agreement dated November 21, 2000 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.1 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9.2 Second Amendment to Employment Agreement dated February 8, 2001 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.2 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9.3 Third Amendment to Employment Agreement dated November 11, 2002 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.3 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9.4 Fourth Amendment to Employment Agreement dated July 17, 2003 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.4 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.10 Employment Agreement dated April 1, 2005 by and between AmeriPath and R. Keith Laughman

**Table of Contents**

- 10.11 Lease dated June 22, 2004 between AmeriPath and 7111 Fairway, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with AmeriPath's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004, dated and filed on November 12, 2004)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of AmeriPath
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

---

**Table of Contents**

**AMERIPATH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

|  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>   | F-2         |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004</u>  | F-3         |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003.</u>             | F-4         |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the years ended December 31 2005 and 2004, the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003.</u> | F-5         |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003.</u>         | F-6         |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>  | F-7 to F-33 |
| All schedules called for by Regulation S-X have been omitted because they are not applicable or because the required information is included in the financial statements or the notes thereto.                             |             |

**Table of Contents**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholder of AmeriPath, Inc. and subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of AmeriPath, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholder's equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 and of its predecessor for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of AmeriPath, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 and of its predecessor for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP  
Certified Public Accountants

West Palm Beach, Florida

March 13, 2006



**Table of Contents****AMERIPATH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands)**

|  | <b>December 31,</b> |                   |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
|  | <b>2005</b>         | <b>2004</b>       |
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |                     |                   |
| <b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>   |                     |                   |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$ 3,998            | \$ 20,980         |
| Restricted cash  | 26,684              | 17,940            |
| Accounts receivable, net   | 84,968              | 76,567            |
| Inventories  | 2,327               | 2,335             |
| Deferred tax assets, net   | 10,909              | 13,345            |
| Other current assets   | 4,963               | 4,823             |
| <b>Total current assets</b>  | <b>133,849</b>      | <b>135,990</b>    |
| <b>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET</b>   | <b>49,196</b>       | <b>30,964</b>     |
| <b>OTHER ASSETS:</b>   |                     |                   |
| Goodwill, net  | 608,160             | 591,819           |
| Identifiable intangibles, net  | 165,878             | 179,903           |
| Other  | 27,066              | 25,633            |
| <b>Total other assets</b>  | <b>801,104</b>      | <b>797,355</b>    |
| <b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>  | <b>\$ 984,149</b>   | <b>\$ 964,309</b> |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY</b>  |                     |                   |
| <b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>  |                     |                   |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses  | \$ 59,823           | \$ 52,620         |
| Accrued interest   | 9,721               | 9,456             |
| Current portion of long-term debt  | 354                 | 2,682             |
| Other current liabilities  | 218                 | 1,164             |
| <b>Total current liabilities</b>   | <b>70,116</b>       | <b>65,922</b>     |
| <b>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:</b>  |                     |                   |
| Long-term debt   | 479,136             | 495,171           |
| Other liabilities  | 33,228              | 29,220            |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net  | 16,952              | 15,904            |
| <b>Total long-term liabilities</b>   | <b>529,316</b>      | <b>540,295</b>    |
| <b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>   |                     |                   |
| <b>STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY</b>  |                     |                   |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, 100 shares authorized, issued and outstanding at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively | 1                   | 1                 |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 369,427             | 352,723           |
| Retained earnings  | 15,289              | 5,368             |
| <b>Total stockholder s equity</b>  | <b>384,717</b>      | <b>358,092</b>    |

|  |            |            |
|--|------------|------------|
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY | \$ 984,149 | \$ 964,309 |
|--|------------|------------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

**Table of Contents****AMERIPATH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(in thousands)

|  | Year<br>ended        | Successor<br>Year ended |   | Predecessor   |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
|  | December 31,<br>2005 | December 31,<br>2004    | Period from<br>March 28, 2003<br>through<br>December 31, 2003 | Period from<br>January 1, 2003<br>through<br>March 27, 2003 |
| <b>NET REVENUES:</b>                                   |                      |                         |   |   |
| Total net revenues                                     | \$ 563,617           | \$ 507,271              | \$ 366,046  | \$ 118,957  |
| <b>OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES:</b>                   |                      |                         |   |   |
| Cost of services                                       | 300,244              | 270,959                 | 189,771   | 62,145  |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses           | 109,208              | 95,688                  | 65,579  | 21,726  |
| Provision for doubtful accounts                        | 73,766               | 76,463                  | 56,376  | 14,997  |
| Amortization expense                                   | 11,227               | 11,100                  | 8,352   | 3,107   |
| Merger-related charges                                 |                      |                         | 2,404   | 10,010  |
| Restructuring costs                                    |                      |                         | 2,044   | 1,196   |
| Loss on sale of practices and asset impairment charges | 883                  | 611                     | 425   |   |
| Total operating costs and expenses                     | 495,328              | 454,821                 | 324,951   | 113,181   |
| <b>INCOME FROM OPERATIONS</b>                          | <b>68,289</b>        | <b>52,450</b>           | <b>41,095</b>   | <b>5,776</b>  |
| <b>OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):</b>                         |                      |                         |   |   |
| Interest expense                                       | (48,885)             | (44,797)                | (34,469)  | (1,180)   |
| Change in value of derivative                          | (280)                | (1,015)                 |   |   |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs                  | (468)                | (3,829)                 |   | (957)   |
| Other income, net                                      | 620                  | 66                      | 318   | 33  |
| Total other expense, net                               | (49,013)             | (49,575)                | (34,151)  | (2,104)   |
| <b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>                      | <b>19,276</b>        | <b>2,875</b>            | <b>6,944</b>  | <b>3,672</b>  |
| <b>PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES</b>                      | <b>9,355</b>         | <b>1,361</b>            | <b>3,090</b>  | <b>2,131</b>  |
| <b>NET INCOME</b>                                      | <b>\$ 9,921</b>      | <b>\$ 1,514</b>         | <b>\$ 3,854</b>   | <b>\$ 1,541</b>   |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****AMERIPATH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY**

(in thousands)

|   | Common Stock  |               | Additional         | Retained          | Total             |
|---|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|   | Shares        | Amount        | Paid in<br>Capital | Earnings          |                   |
| Predecessor:  |               |               |                    |                   |                   |
| BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2002  | 30,673        | \$ 307        | \$ 321,658         | \$ 129,361        | \$ 451,326        |
| Exercise of options and warrants  | 19            |               | 268                |                   | 268               |
| Tax benefit from stock options  |               |               | 40                 |                   | 40                |
| Net income for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003   |               |               |                    | 1,541             | 1,541             |
| <b>BALANCE, MARCH 27, 2003</b>  | <b>30,692</b> | <b>\$ 307</b> | <b>\$ 321,966</b>  | <b>\$ 130,902</b> | <b>\$ 453,175</b> |
| Successor:  |               |               |                    |                   |                   |
| Capitalization of successor company at March 28, 2003                   | 100           | \$ 1          | \$ 319,666         | \$                | \$ 319,667        |
| Contingent note proceeds  |               |               | 15,154             |                   | 15,154            |
| Net income for the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 |               |               |                    | 3,854             | 3,854             |
| <b>BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2003</b>                                       | <b>100</b>    | <b>\$ 1</b>   | <b>\$ 334,820</b>  | <b>\$ 3,854</b>   | <b>\$ 338,675</b> |
| Contingent note proceeds  |               |               | 13,678             |                   | 13,678            |
| Parent stock issued in connection with acquisition                      |               |               | 10,000             |                   | 10,000            |
| Transfers to parent company   |               |               | (5,775)            |                   | (5,775)           |
| Net income  |               |               |                    | 1,514             | 1,514             |
| <b>BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2004</b>                                       | <b>100</b>    | <b>\$ 1</b>   | <b>\$ 352,723</b>  | <b>\$ 5,368</b>   | <b>\$ 358,092</b> |
| Contingent note proceeds  |               |               | 16,704             |                   | 16,704            |
| Net income  |               |               |                    | 9,921             | 9,921             |
| <b>BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2005</b>                                       | <b>100</b>    | <b>\$ 1</b>   | <b>\$ 369,427</b>  | <b>\$ 15,289</b>  | <b>\$ 384,717</b> |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****AMERIPATH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in thousands)

|  | Year<br>ended<br>December 31,<br><br>2005 | Successor<br>Year ended<br>December 31,<br><br>2004 | Period from<br>March 28, 2003<br>through<br>December 31, 2003 | Predecessor<br>Period from<br>January 1, 2003<br>through<br>March 27, 2003 |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>                                      |   |   |   |  |
| Net income   | \$ 9,921                                  | \$ 1,514  | \$ 3,854  | \$ 1,541   |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities |   |   |   |  |
| Depreciation   | 11,349                                    | 9,013   | 6,673   | 2,130  |
| Amortization   | 13,240                                    | 13,374  | 10,317  | 3,169  |
| Loss (gain) on disposal of assets  | 168                                       | 302   | 39  | (2)  |
| Deferred income taxes  | 3,481                                     | (1,768)   | 2,603   |  |
| Provision for doubtful accounts  | 73,766                                    | 76,463  | 56,376  | 14,997   |
| Loss on sale of practices and asset impairment charges                           | 883                                       | 611   | 425   |  |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs  | 468                                       | 3,829   |   | 957  |
| Acquisition and merger-related charges   |   |   | 2,404   | 10,010   |
| Change in value of derivative  | 280                                       | 1,015   |   |  |
| Changes in assets and liabilities (net of effect of acquisitions)                |   |   |   |  |
| Increase in accounts receivable  | (84,976)                                  | (69,223)  | (42,252)  | (19,607)   |
| (Increase) decrease in inventories   | (151)                                     | (432)   | (122)   | 42   |
| (Increase) decrease in other current assets                                      | (1,286)                                   | 1,064   | 5,665   | 1,321  |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued interest  | 264                                       | 2,138   | 7,292   | (155)  |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets  | (1,400)                                   | (1,381)   | (1,815)   | 139  |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses                     | 12,145                                    | 17,519  | (7,210)   | 10,108   |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 38,152                                    | 54,038  | 44,249  | 24,650   |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>                                      |   |   |   |  |
| Acquisitions of property and equipment   | (29,431)                                  | (12,803)  | (6,750)   | (2,553)  |
| Cash paid for acquisitions and acquisition costs, net of cash acquired           |   | (38,461)  | (4,120)   | (702)  |
| Acquisition and merger-related charges paid                                      |   |   | (13,544)  | (642)  |
| Proceeds from sale of managed practice   | 5,150                                     |   |   |  |
| Increase in restricted cash  | (8,744)                                   | (5,115)   | (4,357)   | (15)   |
| Investment in stock of genomics company  | (2,650)                                   | (350)   |   |  |
| Payments of contingent notes   | (17,065)                                  | (14,079)  | (15,154)  | (21,879)   |
| Net cash used in investing activities  | (52,740)                                  | (70,808)  | (43,925)  | (25,791)   |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

|  |          |           |           |       |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants           |          |           |           | 268   |
| Debt issuance costs  | (211)    | (3,245)   | (22,834)  |       |
| Net (payments) borrowings on long-term debt and capital leases | (2,936)  | (1,631)   | 756       | (131) |
| Proceeds from term loan facility                               |          |           | 225,000   |       |
| Payments on former credit facility                             |          |           | (113,190) |       |
| Repayments under term loan facility                            |          |           | (11,687)  |       |
| Proceeds from senior debt offering                             |          |           | 275,000   |       |
| Equity investment by Parent                                    |          |           | 296,222   |       |
| Contingent note proceeds                                       | 16,704   | 13,678    | 15,154    |       |
| Purchase of common stock and outstanding options               |          |           | (629,554) |       |
| Transaction costs  |          |           | (11,655)  |       |
| Net payment of term loan facility                              | (15,951) | (98,313)  |           |       |
| Proceeds from sale of bonds                                    |          | 79,500    |           |       |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options                     |          |           |           | 40    |
| Payments to Parent   |          | (5,775)   |           |       |
| Proceeds from revolving debt facility                          |          | 30,000    |           |       |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities            | (2,394)  | 14,214    | 23,212    | 177   |
| (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS               | (16,982) | (2,556)   | 23,536    | (964) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD                 | 20,980   | 23,536    |           | 964   |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD                       | \$ 3,998 | \$ 20,980 | \$ 23,536 | \$    |

SUPPLEMENTAL NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS

|  |     |    |        |     |
|--|-----|----|--------|-----|
| Rollover of Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe IX, L.P. ( WCAS ) equity |     |    | 23,445 |     |
| Property and equipment acquired pursuant to capital leases           | 522 | 41 | 12     | 444 |

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

|                                      |        |        |        |     |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| Cash paid during period for interest | 48,885 | 44,797 | 25,761 | 552 |
| Cash paid during period for taxes    | 2,665  | 1,693  | 2,462  | 892 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**AMERIPATH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(dollars in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)**

**Note 1. Business and Organization**

AmeriPath, Inc. and subsidiaries ( AmeriPath or the Company ), is one of the leading anatomic pathology laboratory companies in the United States. The Company is a provider of physician-based anatomic pathology, dermatopathology, molecular diagnostic services, and other esoteric services to physicians, hospitals, clinical laboratories and surgery centers. We support community-based medicine by helping physicians provide excellent and effective care for their patients. The Company services an extensive referring physician base through its 48 laboratories, and provides inpatient diagnostic and medical director services at more than 200 hospitals. Our services are performed by 390 pathologists.

On December 8, 2002, AmeriPath Holdings, Inc. ( Holdings ), formerly known as Amy Holding Company, and its wholly-owned subsidiary Amy Acquisition Corp., entered into a merger agreement providing for the merger of Amy Acquisition Corp. with and into AmeriPath, with AmeriPath continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdings. The merger was consummated on March 27, 2003. The Company refers to the merger as the March 2003 Transaction (see Note 3). References herein to our predecessor refer to the activities, financial position and results of operations of AmeriPath prior to the March 2003 Transaction.

Amy Holding Company and Amy Acquisition Corp. were Delaware corporations formed at the direction of Welsh, Carson, Anderson and Stowe IX ( WCAS ). WCAS, its related investors and several employees or affiliates of the Company own 100% of the outstanding common stock of Holdings.

Our predecessor was incorporated in February 1996 and since that time has built its business by completing over 60 acquisitions of anatomic pathology laboratories and operations and through internal growth.

The Company provides anatomic pathology services to both the outpatient and inpatient markets. In the outpatient market, our laboratory testing and diagnostic services are provided to physician offices, clinics and freestanding surgery centers. As part of these services, the Company owns and operates outpatient anatomic pathology laboratories, for which it bills patients and third party payors, principally on a fee-for-service basis, covering both the professional and technical components of such services. In the inpatient market, our services are provided through our hospital contracts with over 200 hospitals. In addition to providing anatomic pathology services, we generally serve as the medical director of the hospital's clinical laboratory, microbiology laboratory and blood banking operation and facilitate the hospital's compliance with licensing requirements. The Company typically bills and collects the professional component of the charges for medical services rendered by the Company's pathologists, and, in some cases, the Company is also paid an annual fee for providing the medical director for the hospital's clinical laboratory.

AmeriPath's industry is highly regulated. The manner in which licensed physicians can organize to perform and bill for medical services is governed by state laws and regulations. Business corporations like AmeriPath often are not permitted to employ physicians or to own corporations that employ physicians or to otherwise exercise control over the medical judgments or decisions of physicians.

In states where AmeriPath is not permitted to directly own a medical operation, it performs only non-medical administrative and support services, does not represent to the public or its clients that it offers medical services and does not exercise influence or control over the practice of medicine. In those states, AmeriPath conducts business through entities that it controls, and it is these affiliated entities that employ the physicians who practice medicine. In such states, AmeriPath generally enters into a contract that restricts the owner of the affiliated entity from transferring their ownership interests in the affiliated entity and otherwise provides the Company or its designee with a controlling voting or financial interest in the affiliated entity and its laboratory operations. This controlling financial interest generally is obtained pursuant to a long-term management service agreement between AmeriPath and the affiliated entity. Under the management services agreement, AmeriPath exclusively manages all aspects of the operation, including entering into all managed care contracts, other than the provision of medical services. Generally, the affiliated entity has no operating assets because AmeriPath acquired all of its operating assets at the time it acquired the related laboratory operations. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 97-2, *Physician Practice Management Entities and Certain Other Entities with Contractual Management Agreements* ( EITF 97-2 ), Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Statement No. 94 and Accounting Pronouncements Board ( APB ) Opinion No. 16, the financial statements of the operations AmeriPath controls, including these affiliated entities, are included in the consolidated financial statements of AmeriPath.





---

## **Table of Contents**

The Company has also acquired an interest in a few anatomic pathology laboratory operations whose financial statements are not required to be consolidated with its own under ETIF 97-2 ( managed operations ). In these circumstances, the Company acquired assets of physician groups and entered into service contracts with the physician groups to provide equipment, supplies, support personnel, and management and financial advisory services. The financial statements of these entities are not required to be included in the consolidated financial statements of AmeriPath since AmeriPath has no controlling interest in these operations. Management service fees received pursuant to service agreements with these operations constituted approximately 3% and 5% of the Company s net revenues for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### **Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

A summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Company is as follows:

#### **Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of AmeriPath, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and companies in which the Company has a controlling financial interest by means other than the direct record ownership of voting stock, as discussed in Note 1. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The Company does not consolidate the affiliated physician groups it manages, as it does not have a controlling financial interest as described in EITF 97-2.

#### **Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( generally accepted accounting principles ) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Because of the inherent uncertainties in this process, actual results could differ from those estimates. Such estimates include the recoverability of intangible assets and the collectibility of receivables, establishing self-insurance reserves for medical malpractice claims, health insurance and workers compensation costs, and incurred but not reported ( IBNR ) claims.

#### **Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The Company s financial instruments consist mainly of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, senior credit facility borrowings and senior subordinated notes. The carrying amounts of the Company s cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the entire \$99 million and \$115 million outstanding, respectively, under the Company s senior credit facility borrowings bear interest at a variable market rate, and thus have a carrying amount that approximates fair value. The \$350.0 million of senior subordinated notes outstanding as of December 31, 2005 were trading at a 106%.

#### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid instruments with maturities at the time of purchase of three months or less.

#### **Restricted Cash**

Restricted cash at December 31, 2005 and 2004 consists of approximately \$26.7 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, of premium revenue received by the Company s insurance captive to be used for future insurance claims and expenses. The insurance captive was formed in 2002.

#### **Inventories**

Inventories, consisting primarily of laboratory supplies, are stated at the lower of cost, determined on a first-in first-out basis, or market.

#### **Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Routine maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, while costs of betterments and renewals are capitalized.



---

## **Table of Contents**

Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis, over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, which range from 3 to 7 years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the term of the related lease, or the useful life of the asset.

Certain software development costs for internally developed software are capitalized in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Position 98-1, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*, and are being amortized over 3 years. Amortization of capitalized software costs begins when the software is placed into service and is included in depreciation expense, which is included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

### **Intangible Assets**

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had net identifiable intangible assets and net goodwill of \$165.9 million and \$608.2 million, respectively. The Company continually assesses whether an impairment in the carrying value of the intangible assets has occurred. If the undiscounted future cash flows over the remaining amortization period of an intangible asset indicates that the value assigned to the intangible asset may not be recoverable, the Company reduces the carrying value of the intangible asset. The Company would determine the amount of any such impairment by comparing anticipated discounted future cash flows from acquired businesses with the carrying value of the related assets. In performing this analysis, the Company considers such factors as current results, trends and future prospects, in addition to other relevant factors.

The predecessor adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* as of January 1, 2002. SFAS No. 142 clarifies the criteria to recognize intangible assets separately from goodwill and promulgates that goodwill and certain indefinite-lived intangible assets not be amortized. Instead, these assets are reviewed for impairment annually with any related losses recognized in earnings in the period incurred.

During 2004, the Company sold its ownership interest in a Michigan practice which resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$0.6 million. In February 2005, the Company sold a managed practice in Los Gatos, California resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.4 million. In August 2005, the Company sold its managed practice in Memphis, Tennessee. As a result of the sale, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$1.3 million. As a result of the sale and termination of the Memphis and Los Gatos managed service agreements, the Company performed an impairment analysis relative to the carrying value of this identifiable intangible and determined that no impairment existed.

### **Deferred Debt Issuance Costs**

On March 27, 2003, and in connection with the consummation of the March 2003 Transaction, the Company terminated its existing senior credit facility and entered into a new senior credit facility (the Credit Facility).

In February 2004, the Company wrote-off a portion of the balance of its deferred debt financing costs totaling approximately \$3.5 million related to the amendment of its term B credit facility and the related reduction in the facility to \$125.0 million. In June 2004, the Company wrote-off a portion of the balance of its deferred debt financing costs of approximately \$0.3 million related to voluntary principal prepayments of its term B credit facility. The 2004 write-offs are included in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2004.

In April 2005, the Company wrote-off approximately \$0.2 million of its deferred debt financing costs as a result of a \$6.3 million voluntary prepayment of the term loan facility. In June 2005, the Company wrote-off approximately \$0.2 million of its deferred debt financing costs as a result of a \$5.0 million voluntary prepayment of the term loan facility. In August 2005, the Company wrote-off approximately \$0.1 million of its deferred debt financing costs as a result of a \$4.3 million voluntary prepayment of the term loan facility. The 2005 write-offs are included in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Debt financing costs associated with the Credit Facility have been capitalized and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over terms ranging from six to ten years. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, gross amounts of \$21.3 million and \$21.8 million of debt financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$6.3 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

### **Self Insured Claims Liability**

Effective July 1, 2002, the predecessor replaced its existing medical malpractice insurance coverage by third party insurance companies with a new self-insurance, or wholly owned captive, arrangement. The predecessor entered into this self-insurance



## **Table of Contents**

arrangement because of its inability to renew existing coverage at acceptable rates, which the predecessor believed to be an industry-wide situation. Under this self-insurance structure, the Company retains more risk for medical malpractice costs, including settlements and claims expense, than under previous coverages. While the predecessor obtained excess liability coverage for medical malpractice costs, there is no aggregate excess stop loss protection, meaning there is no aggregate limitation on the amount of risk the predecessor retains under these arrangements. The Company's medical malpractice costs are based on actuarial estimates of its medical malpractice settlement and claims expense and the costs of maintaining the captive insurance program and excess coverage. The determination of such claims and expenses and the appropriateness of the related liability is periodically reviewed and updated. Because the Company retains these risks, in addition to an actual increase in claims or related expenses, a change in the actuarial assumptions could materially affect the Company's results of operations in a particular period, even if the Company does not experience an actual increase in claims or related expenses. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, \$10.9 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, of estimated loss reserves were accrued, based on actuarial estimates and discount rates of 2.5%, to cover existing claims filed. In addition, the Company has accrued incurred but not reported IBNR costs of \$13.7 million as of December 31, 2005 to cover future IBNR claims, which are based on actuarial estimates, utilizing a discount rate of 2.5%. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had accrued IBNR costs of \$13.5 million. All accrued insurance loss reserves and IBNR costs are recorded in cost of services in the consolidated statements of income and other long term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

### **Revenue Recognition**

The Company recognizes net patient service revenue at the time services are performed. Unbilled receivables are recorded for services rendered during, but billed subsequent to, the reporting period. Net patient service revenue is reported at the estimated realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered. Revenue under certain third-party payor agreements is subject to audit and retroactive adjustments. Provisions for estimated third-party payor settlements and adjustments are estimated in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods as final settlements are determined. The provision for doubtful accounts and the related allowance are adjusted periodically, based upon an evaluation of historical collection experience with specific payors for particular services, anticipated collection levels with specific payors for new services, industry reimbursement trends, and other relevant factors. Changes in these factors in future periods could result in increases or decreases in the Company's provision for doubtful accounts and its results of operations and financial position.

Unbilled receivables for the owned practices, net of allowances, as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 amounted to approximately \$12.4 and \$11.2 million and are included in accounts receivable, net on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Net management service revenue reported by the Company represents net physician group revenue less amounts retained by physician groups. The amounts retained by physician groups represent amounts paid to the physicians pursuant to the management service agreements between the Company and the physician groups. Net physician group revenue is equal to billed charges reduced by provisions for bad debt and contractual adjustments. Contractual adjustments represent the difference between amounts billed and amounts reimbursable by commercial insurers and other third-party payors pursuant to their respective contracts with the physician groups. The provision for bad debts represents management's estimate of potential credit issues associated with amounts due from patients, commercial insurers, and other third-party payors. Net management service revenue is included in total net revenues in the consolidated statements of income. Net management service revenue was \$17.2 million, \$24.3 million, and \$23.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

### **Stock Options**

The Company accounts for its employee stock options plan using the intrinsic method under APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* ( APB No. 25 ) and related interpretations. The Company applies the provision of APB No. 25 in accounting for its employee stock option plan, and because the exercise price of its options is equal to or greater than the market value of its options at the date of grant, no compensation cost has been recognized for the option plan in the consolidated statements of income.

As part of the March 2003 Transaction, all predecessor options that were outstanding at March 27, 2003 were repurchased by the Company. During 2003, Holdings granted approximately 7.6 million options to purchase shares of Holdings common stock to certain directors and employees of the Company. The options were granted with an exercise price of \$6.00 per share and most vest ratably over 5 years. Because Holdings is the principal shareholder in the Company, FASB Financial Interpretation No. (FIN) 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation*, requires that the Company account for option grants in Holdings stock as if the Company itself granted such options. No expense has been incurred related to these options since the exercise price of all such grants exceeded the fair value of Holdings common stock on the respective grant dates.

**Table of Contents**

Had the Company determined compensation costs based on the fair value method as defined in SFAS No. 123 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* as amended by SFAS No. 148 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure* an amendment of FASB *Statement No. 123*, the impact on the Company's net earnings on a pro forma basis is indicated below:

|   | Successor                          |                                    |   | Predecessor  |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
|   | Year ended<br>December 31,<br>2005 | Year ended<br>December 31,<br>2004 | Period from<br>March 28<br>through<br>December 31, 2003 | Period from<br>January 1<br>through<br>March 27,<br>2003 |
| Net income as reported  | \$ 9,921                           | \$ 1,514                           | \$ 3,854  | \$ 1,541   |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under SFAS No. 123 for all awards, net of related tax effect | (1,273)                            | (1,541)                            | (967)   | (1,654)  |
| Pro forma net income (loss)   | \$ 8,648                           | \$ (27)                            | \$ 2,887  | \$ (113)   |

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123 (revised 2004, Share-Based Payment ( SFAS No. 123R ), which is a revision of SFAS No. 123. The Statement supersedes APB Opinion No. 25 and its related implementation guidance. SFAS No. 123 (R) eliminates the intrinsic value measurement method of accounting for services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments and requires the measurement of such services to be based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant. The standard requires grant date fair value to be estimated using an option-pricing model which is consistent with the terms of the award or a market observed price, if such a price exists. Such costs must be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. The standard also requires estimating the number of instruments that will ultimately be issued, rather than accounting for forfeitures as they occur. SFAS No. 123(R) permits companies to adopt its requirements using either a modified prospective method, or a modified retrospective method. Under the modified prospective method, compensation cost is recognized in the financial statements beginning with the effective date, based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R) for all share-based payments granted after that date, and based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R) for all unvested awards granted prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R). Under the modified retrospective method, the requirements are the same under the modified prospective method, but such method also permits entities to restate financial statements of previous periods based on pro forma disclosures made in accordance with SFAS 123(R). The Company plans to adopt SFAS No. 123 (R) under the modified prospective method on January 1, 2006. The Company currently estimates the adoption to impact net income by approximately \$2.0 million, net of tax, for 2006.

**Income Taxes**

The Company's provision for income taxes includes federal and state income taxes currently payable and changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities, excluding the establishment of deferred tax assets and liabilities related to acquisitions. Deferred income taxes are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* ( SFAS No. 109 ) and represent the estimated future tax effects resulting from temporary differences between financial statement carrying values and tax reporting bases of assets and liabilities.

AmeriPath, Inc. and its guarantor subsidiaries are included in a federal consolidated tax return with its Parent company, AmeriPath Holdings, Inc. due to Internal Revenue Code filing requirements. The non-guarantor subsidiaries file separate tax returns.

**Comprehensive Income**

In 2001, the predecessor adopted SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income* ( SFAS No. 130 ), which requires the predecessor to report and display certain information related to comprehensive income. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, net income equaled comprehensive income.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154 *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* A Replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB *Statement No. 3* ( SFAS No. 154 ) which requires that a voluntary change in accounting principle be applied retrospectively with all prior period

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

financial statements presented using the new accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to do so. SFAS No. 154 also provides that (i) a change in method of depreciating or amortizing a long-lived nonfinancial asset be accounted for as a change in estimate (prospectively) that was affected by a change in accounting principle, and (ii) correction of errors in previously issued financial statements should be termed a restatement . In accordance with the new rule, the Company will adopt SFAS No. 154 in the first quarter of 2006. The Company does not believe the effect of adopting SFAS No. 154 will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

F-11

**Table of Contents****Reclassifications**

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2005 presentation.

**Note 3. The March 2003 Transaction**

On December 8, 2002, Amy Holding Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Amy Acquisition Corp., entered into a merger agreement with the predecessor of AmeriPath pursuant to which Amy Acquisition Corp. merged with and into the predecessor, with AmeriPath continuing as the surviving corporation. Amy Holding Company and Amy Acquisition Corp. were Delaware corporations formed at the direction of Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe IX, L.P. ( WCAS ). WCAS, its related investors and several employees of the Company own 100% of the outstanding common stock of Holdings after the March 2003 Transaction. The March 2003 Transaction was approved by the Company's stockholders and subsequently consummated on March 27, 2003. As a result of the March 2003 Transaction, AmeriPath became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Amy Holding Company, which was renamed Holdings.

The funds necessary to consummate the March 2003 Transaction were approximately \$804.0 million, including approximately \$629.6 million to pay the stockholders and option holders of AmeriPath (other than WCAS and its affiliates) all amounts due under the merger agreement, approximately \$127.5 million to refinance existing indebtedness and approximately \$46.9 million to pay related fees and expenses. Prior to the merger, the 1,534,480 shares of AmeriPath common stock then owned by WCAS and its affiliates were contributed to Holdings in exchange for shares of Holdings' common stock. These shares were cancelled without payment of any merger consideration. The March 2003 Transaction was financed by a cash common equity investment by WCAS and its related equity investors of \$296.2 million in Holdings, which funds were contributed by Holdings to AmeriPath in exchange for shares of AmeriPath's common stock, \$225.0 million in term loan borrowings under AmeriPath's credit facility, the issuance of \$275.0 million in senior subordinated notes and existing AmeriPath cash of \$7.8 million.

The March 2003 Transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting prescribed in SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, (SFAS No. 141), with intangible assets recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, (SFAS No. 142). In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142, no amortization of indefinite-lived intangible assets or goodwill is recorded.

As required under current guidance, any amounts recorded or incurred (such as goodwill or debt) by our parent as a result of the March 2003 Transaction should be pushed down and recorded on our financial statements. The following table summarizes the final allocation of the March 2003 Transaction based upon a valuation completed by an independent third-party valuation firm during September 2003.

|                                     |            |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Cash and equity contributed by WCAS | \$ 319,667 |
| Total liabilities assumed           | 587,801    |
| Fair value of assets acquired       | (676,458)  |
| Excess purchase price (goodwill)    | \$ 231,010 |

In addition, Holdings issued to WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P., an investment fund affiliated with WCAS, \$67.0 million in principal amount of Holdings' senior subordinated notes and an agreed-upon number of shares of its common stock, for an aggregate purchase price of \$67.0 million. The proceeds from this transaction were deposited into a Holdings company cash collateral account, which cash, subject to some exceptions, will be contributed to the Company from time to time to fund up to \$67.0 million of future payments under the Company's contingent notes relating to acquisitions consummated prior to the March 2003 Transaction. As of December 31, 2005, approximately \$45.5 million of the \$67.0 million has been contributed to the Company to fund contingent note payments. The lenders under the Company's Credit Facility have a first-priority security interest in all funds held in such cash collateral account.

For the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 and for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, the Company recorded merger-related charges of approximately \$2.4 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, related to the March 2003 Transaction.

**Note 4. Merger and Acquisitions**

During 2005, the Company did not acquire any new practices. In December 2004, the Company acquired a dermatopathology practice located in New Rochelle, New York for a total purchase price of \$44.0 million, which included cash of \$34.0 million and





**Table of Contents**

1,666,667 shares of the Parent's company common stock valued at \$10.0 million. The acquisition was not considered significant therefore pro forma financial statements were not required to be presented. The practice provides outpatient services to the Northeast region, and will expand the Company's geographical presence in this area. The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with this acquisition as of the date of the acquisition as accounted for under SFAS No. 41, which requires the use of the purchase method of accounting.

The purchase price of the acquisition is summarized below:

|                              |                  |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Cash paid                    | \$ 34,000        |
| Holdings common stock issued | 10,000           |
| <b>Total purchase price</b>  | <b>\$ 44,000</b> |

The allocation of the purchase price is summarized below:

|                            |                  |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Cash                       | \$ 382           |
| Accounts receivable, net   | 2,018            |
| Property & equipment, net  | 236              |
| Deposits                   | 270              |
| Goodwill                   | 41,211           |
| <b>Total assets</b>        | <b>\$ 44,117</b> |
| Accounts payable           | 5                |
| Accrued liabilities        | 112              |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>   | <b>\$ 117</b>    |
| <b>Net assets acquired</b> | <b>\$ 44,000</b> |

None of the goodwill acquired will be deductible for tax purposes.

During 2004, the Company also acquired two anatomic pathology practices. The total consideration paid by the Company in connection with these acquisitions was cash of \$4.9 million. During the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, the successor acquired three anatomic pathology practices. The total consideration paid by the Company in connection with these acquisitions included cash of \$4.1 million and additional purchase price consideration in the form of contingent notes. During the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, the predecessor acquired one anatomic pathology practice. The total consideration paid by the Company in connection with this acquisition included cash of \$0.7 million and additional purchase price consideration in the form of contingent notes. During 2002, the predecessor acquired seven anatomic pathology practices. The total consideration paid by the predecessor in connection with these acquisitions included cash of \$44.0 million, and 108,265 shares of common stock valued at \$1.7 million. In addition, the predecessor issued additional purchase price consideration in the form of contingent notes.

All of the above acquisitions were recorded using the purchase method of accounting. The final allocation of the purchase price was determined based on the fair value of assets acquired and the fair value of liabilities assumed as of the date that the acquisition was consummated. Intangible assets have been identified which are valued apart from goodwill in the amount of approximately \$4.4 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, for the 2004 and 2003 acquisitions. Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill associated with these acquisitions is no longer being amortized, but is reviewed annually for impairment. Goodwill recorded as a result of the acquisitions totaled \$41.4 million and \$1.2 million for 2004 and 2003, respectively. The operating results of the companies acquired are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from their respective dates of purchase.

During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 the Company made contingent note payments of \$17.1 million, \$14.1 million, and \$15.1 million, respectively. During the period from January 1, 2003 through

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

March 27, 2003, the predecessor made contingent note payments of \$21.9 million.

All of the Company's and the predecessor's acquisitions have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, except for the InformaDX acquisition in 2000. The aggregate consideration paid, and to be paid for acquisitions, is based on a number of factors, including each practice's demographics, size, local prominence, position in the marketplace and historical cash flows from operations. Assessment of these and other factors, including uncertainties regarding the health care environment, resulted in the sellers of each of the practices and the Company being unable to reach agreement on the final purchase price. The Company agreed to pay a minimum purchase price and to pay additional purchase price consideration to the sellers of the practices in proportion to their respective ownership interest in each practice. The additional payments are contingent upon the achievement of stipulated levels of

F-13

**Table of Contents**

operating earnings (as defined) by each of the practices over three to five years from the date of the acquisition as set forth in the respective agreements, and are not contingent on the continued employment of the sellers of the practices. In certain cases, the payments are contingent upon other factors such as the retention of certain hospital contracts for periods ranging from three to five years. The amount of the payments cannot be determined until the achievement of the operating earnings levels or other factors during the terms of the respective agreements. If the maximum specified levels of operating earnings for each practice are achieved, the Company would make aggregate maximum payments of approximately \$13.1 million over the next three to five years. A lesser amount or no payments at all would be made if the mid-point levels of operating earnings specified in each agreement were not met. As of December 31, 2005, contingent note payments aggregating \$173.9 million have been paid. Pursuant to SFAS 141, principal and interest payments made in connection with the contingent notes are accounted for as additional purchase price, which increases our recorded goodwill and, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principals in the United States, are not reflected in our results of operations.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of acquisitions accounted for under the purchase method from the date acquired through December 31, 2005.

**Note 5. Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable are recorded at net realizable value. The allowance for contractual and other adjustments and the allowance for uncollectible accounts are based on historical experience and judgments about future events. Accordingly, the actual amounts experienced could vary significantly from the recorded allowances. For managed practices, terms of the service agreements require the Company to purchase receivables generated by the physician groups on a monthly basis. Such amounts are recorded net of contractual allowances and estimated bad debts. For managed practices, accounts receivable are a function of the net physician group revenue rather than the net revenue of the Company.

The following table represents the roll forward of the allowances for contractual adjustments and uncollectible accounts:

|   | Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|---|--------------------------|------------|------------|
|   | 2005                     | 2004       | 2003       |
| Beginning allowances for contractual adjustments and uncollectible accounts | \$ 129,512               | \$ 125,420 | \$ 96,208  |
| Provision for contractual adjustments                                       | 362,109                  | 383,544    | 368,274    |
| Provision for doubtful accounts   | 73,766                   | 76,463     | 71,373     |
| Managed practice contractual adjustments and bad debt expense               | 24,356                   | 32,096     | 29,439     |
| Write-offs and other adjustments  | (483,319)                | (488,011)  | (439,874)  |
| Ending allowances for contractual adjustments and uncollectible accounts    | \$ 106,424               | \$ 129,512 | \$ 125,420 |

The Company grants credit without collateral to individual patients, most of whom are insured under third-party payor agreements. The estimated mix of receivables from patients and third-party payors is as follows:

|                      | December 31, |        |
|----------------------|--------------|--------|
|                      | 2005         | 2004   |
| Government programs  | 20.5%        | 17.5%  |
| Third-party payors   | 54.5         | 48.8   |
| Private pay patients | 18.1         | 29.5   |
| Other                | 6.9          | 4.2    |
|                      | 100.0%       | 100.0% |

**Note 6. Net Revenue**

A significant portion of the Company's net revenue is generated by the hospital-based practices through contracts with various hospitals. HCA, Inc., (HCA) owned approximately 12% of these hospitals. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, approximately 8%, 10%, and 10%, respectively, of net patient service revenue was generated directly from contracts with hospitals owned by HCA. Generally, these contracts and other hospital contracts have remaining terms of less than five years and contain renewal provisions. Some of the contracts also

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

contain clauses that allow for termination by either party with relatively short notice. Although the Company, through its acquisitions, has had relationships with these hospitals for extended periods of time, the termination of one or more of these contracts could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

F-14

**Table of Contents****Note 7. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment, net consisted of the following:

|  | Estimated<br>Useful Life<br>(Years) | December 31,<br>2005 | December 31,<br>2004 |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Laboratory, office and data processing equipment | 3-7                                 | \$ 65,509            | \$ 53,645            |
| Leasehold improvements                           | 5-10                                | 20,606               | 12,954               |
| Furniture and fixtures                           | 3-7                                 | 6,247                | 5,195                |
| Mobile laboratory units                          | 3                                   | 210                  | 205                  |
| Automotive vehicles                              | 3-5                                 | 2,946                | 2,618                |
|  |                                     | 95,518               | 74,617               |
| Less accumulated depreciation                    |                                     | (57,106)             | (49,512)             |
| Construction in progress                         |                                     | 10,784               | 5,859                |
| Property and equipment, net                      |                                     | \$ 49,196            | \$ 30,964            |

Depreciation expense was \$11.3 million, \$9.0 million, and \$8.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

**Note 8. Intangible assets**

Intangible assets and the related accumulated amortization and amortization periods are set forth below:

|                                       | Successor .          |                      | December 31, 2005<br>Amortization periods |                           |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------|
|                                       | December 31,<br>2005 | December 31,<br>2004 | Range                                     | Weighted<br>Average Years |
| Hospital contracts                    | \$ 133,282           | \$ 136,712           | 25  | 25                        |
| Accumulated amortization              | (14,534)             | (9,224)              |   |                           |
| Client lists                          | 3                    | 3                    | 10  | 10                        |
| Accumulated amortization              | (1)                  | (1)                  |   |                           |
| Laboratory contracts                  | 240                  | 240                  | 1   | 1                         |
| Accumulated amortization              | (240)                | (240)                |   |                           |
| Management service agreements         | 4,642                | 8,000                | 20  | 20                        |
| Accumulated amortization              | (1,035)              | (700)                |   |                           |
| Non-compete and employment agreements | 19,150               | 18,000               | 3-5                                       | 4                         |
| Accumulated amortization              | (14,869)             | (9,287)              |   |                           |
| Payor contracts                       | 11,310               | 9,200                | N/A                                       | N/A                       |
| Trade names                           | 27,930               | 27,200               | N/A                                       | N/A                       |
| Identifiable intangibles, net         | \$ 165,878           | \$ 179,903           |   |                           |
| Goodwill, net                         | \$ 608,160           | \$ 591,819           |   |                           |

Amortization expense related to identifiable intangibles for each of the five succeeding fiscal years and thereafter as of December 31, 2005 is as follows:

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|            |        |
|------------|--------|
| 2006       | 7,923  |
| 2007       | 6,851  |
| 2008       | 6,019  |
| 2009       | 5,741  |
| 2010       | 5,563  |
| Thereafter | 97,380 |

The weighted average amortization period for identifiable intangible assets is approximately 14.1 years. As discussed in Note 2, the Company ceased amortizing goodwill during 2002 upon adoption of SFAS No. 142.

**Table of Contents****Note 9. Asset Impairment and Related Charges**

In August 2005, the Company sold its managed practice in Memphis, Tennessee. As a result of the sale, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$1.3 million. As a result of the sale and termination of the Memphis managed service agreement, the Company performed an impairment analysis relative to the carrying value of this identifiable intangible and determined that no impairment existed at September 30, 2005. In February 2005, the Company sold a managed practice in Los Gatos, California resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.4 million.

During 2004, the Company sold its ownership interest in a practice in Michigan resulting in a loss on the sale of approximately \$0.6 million. In 2003, the Company sold its ownership interest in two hospital-based practices in Florida and as a result, recorded a pre-tax loss of approximately \$0.4 million.

**Note 10. Derivative Instrument**

In April 2004, the Company entered into a 2<sup>1/2</sup> year interest rate swap transaction which involves the exchange of fixed for floating rate interest payments without the exchange of the underlying principal amount. The interest differential to be paid or received is accrued and is recognized as an adjustment to interest expense. The change in the market value of the derivative instrument is recognized in the consolidated statements of income. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the change in the value of the derivative was a loss of approximately \$0.3 million and \$1.0 million respectively, which is reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The agreement has a notional amount of \$75.0 million. The Company receives interest on the notional amount if the LIBOR rate is less than 2.405% and pays interest on the notional amount if the LIBOR rate exceeds 2.405%. The floating rate resets every October 1 and April 1. From April 2004 through October 2004, the LIBOR rate was 1.23%. In August 2004, the Company locked in to a forward LIBOR rate contract for October 2004 through March 2005 at a rate of 2.08%. In April 2005, the floating rate reset at 3.39% until October 2005. The Company locked in to a forward LIBOR rate contract for October 2005 through March 2006 at a rate of 4.216%. This derivative instrument is being used by the Company to reduce interest rate volatility and associated risks arising from the fixed rate structure of their Senior Subordinated Notes, and is not held or issued for trading purposes.

The Company is subject to market risk associated principally with changes in interest rates. The Company's principal interest rate exposure relates to the amount outstanding under its credit facility. The balances outstanding under the credit facility are at floating rates. Based on the outstanding balance of \$99 million at December 31, 2005, each quarter point increase or decrease in the floating rate, increases or decreases interest expense by approximately \$0.3 million per year, respectively.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ( SFAS No. 149 ). SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities. It is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003. In April 2004, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement. In accordance with SFAS No. 149, the Company is recording this derivative instrument at market value and is reflecting the change in the market value in the consolidated statements of income.

**Note 11. Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses**

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consist of the following:

|                           | December 31,<br>2005 | December 31,<br>2004 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Accounts payable          | \$ 28,362            | \$ 21,074            |
| Accrued compensation      | 27,286               | 26,159               |
| Accrued loss reserves     | 3,622                | 4,040                |
| Accrued acquisition costs | 555                  | 1,240                |
| Other accrued expenses    |                      | 107                  |
| Total                     | \$ 59,823            | \$ 52,620            |

**Note 12. Merger-Related Charges**



## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

In connection with the March 2003 Transaction and the Company's and predecessor's numerous acquisitions, the Company has recorded reserves for transaction costs, employee-related costs (including severance agreement payouts) and various exit costs associated with the consolidation of certain operations, including the elimination of duplicate facilities and certain exit and restructuring costs as it relates to the Inform DX acquisition. During 2003, the Company recorded merger-related charges of approximately \$12.4 million as a result of the March 2003 Transaction.

F-16

**Table of Contents**

A reconciliation of activity with respect to merger-related reserves is as follows:

|   | Balance<br>December 31,<br>2003 | Payments        | Balance<br>December 31,<br>2004 |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Employee termination costs                    | \$ 200                          | \$ (200)        | \$                              |
| Lease commitments                             | 1,173                           | (211)           | 962                             |
| Other costs                                   | 213                             | 12              | 225                             |
| <b>Total</b>                                  | <b>1,586</b>                    | <b>\$ (399)</b> | <b>1,187</b>                    |
| Less: portion included in current liabilities | (581)                           |                 | (246)                           |
| <b>Total included in other liabilities</b>    | <b>\$ 1,005</b>                 |                 | <b>\$ 941</b>                   |

|   | Balance<br>December 31,<br>2004 | Statement of<br>Operations<br>Charges<br>(successor) | Payments       | Balance<br>December 31,<br>2005 |
|---|---------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Lease commitments                             | \$ 962                          | \$ (443)   | \$ (91)        | \$ 428                          |
| Other costs                                   | 225                             | (7)  |                | 218                             |
| <b>Total</b>                                  | <b>1,187</b>                    | <b>\$ (450)</b>                                      | <b>\$ (91)</b> | <b>646</b>                      |
| Less: portion included in current liabilities | (246)                           |  |                | (246)                           |
| <b>Total included in other liabilities</b>    | <b>\$ 941</b>                   |  |                | <b>\$ 400</b>                   |

**Note 13. Restructuring Costs**

During the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, the predecessor incurred certain restructuring costs as promulgated by SFAS No. 146 *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* of approximately \$1.2 million for employee severance costs in connection with a reduction in workforce at our Southern California, Philadelphia, Central Florida and North Texas laboratories. The Company incurred an additional \$2.0 million during the second quarter of 2003 for remaining severance costs and the closure of the Southern California laboratory. The Southern California laboratory was closed as a result of a loss of revenues from Quest Diagnostics, Inc., which historically accounted for a significant portion of this laboratory's revenues.

**Note 14. Long-term Debt**

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

|                           | December 31,<br>2005 | Successor<br>December 31,<br>2004 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Revolving loan            | \$ 30,000            | \$ 30,000                         |
| Term loan                 | 99,049               | 115,000                           |
| Note payable, other       | 132                  | 218                               |
| Capital leases            | 309                  | 216                               |
| Senior subordinated notes | 350,000              | 350,000                           |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|  |            |            |
|--|------------|------------|
| Subordinated notes issued and assumed in connection with acquisitions, payable in 2005, at 8% interest |            | 2,419      |
|  | 479,490    | 497,853    |
| Less: current portion  | (354)      | (2,682)    |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion   | \$ 479,136 | \$ 495,171 |

At December 31, 2005, maturities of long-term debt were as follows:

|            |            |
|------------|------------|
| 2006       | \$ 354     |
| 2007       | 57         |
| 2008       | 30         |
| 2009       | 99,361     |
| 2010       | 29,688     |
| Thereafter | 350,000    |
| Total      | \$ 479,490 |

F-17

**Table of Contents**

The following is a summary of the Company's contractual cash obligations excluding interest and payments on the contingent notes, as of December 31, 2005 (in millions):

| Contractual Obligations                    | Payments Due By Period |           |           |                  | Total   |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|---------|
|  | Less than<br>1 year    | 1-2 years | 3-5 years | After<br>5 years |         |
| Term loan under the senior credit facility | \$                     | \$        | \$ 99.0   | \$               | \$ 99.0 |
| Revolver loan                              |                        |           | 30.0      |                  | 30.0    |
| Other indebtedness                         | 3.5                    | 0.5       | 0.3       |                  | 4.3     |
| Operating leases                           | 7.8                    | 7.0       | 15.8      | 18.4             | 49.0    |
| Senior subordinated notes                  |                        |           |           | 350.0            | 350.0   |

Total contractual cash obligations \$ 11.3 \$ 7.5 \$ 145.1 \$ 368.4 \$ 532.3

On January 31, 2006, in connection with the merger of Specialty Laboratories, Inc., the Company refinanced its current senior secured credit facility. The following is a summary of the contractual cash obligations, excluding interest and payments on the contingent notes, as of January 31, 2006 after giving effect to the Specialty Laboratories, Inc. acquisition (in millions):

| Contractual Obligations                    | Payments Due By Period |           |           |                  | Total    |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|----------|
|  | Less than<br>1 year    | 1-2 years | 3-5 years | After<br>5 years |          |
| Term loan under the senior credit facility | \$                     | \$        | \$        | \$ 203.5         | \$ 203.5 |
| Revolver loan                              |                        |           | 52.0      |                  | 52.0     |
| Other indebtedness                         | 3.5                    | 0.5       | 0.3       |                  | 4.3      |
| Operating leases                           | 7.8                    | 7.0       | 15.8      | 18.4             | 49.0     |
| Senior subordinated notes                  |                        |           |           | 350.0            | 350.0    |

Total contractual cash obligations \$ 11.3 \$ 7.5 \$ 68.1 \$ 571.9 \$ 658.8

**Credit Facility** On March 27, 2003, in connection with the consummation of the March 2003 Transaction, the predecessor terminated its existing senior credit facility and the Company entered into a new senior credit facility (the Credit Facility) with a syndicate of financial institutions led by Credit Suisse First Boston and Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. The write-off of the unamortized debt costs related to the former credit facility was approximately \$1.0 million and is included in the predecessor consolidated statement of income for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003.

On January 31, 2006, in connection with the merger of Specialty Laboratories, Inc., the Company terminated its existing senior credit facility and the Company entered into a new senior credit facility (the New Credit Facility) with a syndicate of financial institutions led by Wachovia Bank and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. The new senior credit facility consists of a \$203.5 million term loan and a \$95.0 million revolving credit facility. The Company borrowed \$203.5 million of the term loan and \$52.0 million of the revolving credit to fund a portion of the Specialty Laboratories merger consideration, to pay certain transaction costs related to the merger, to refinance existing indebtedness of the Company and to pay related expenses with the merger.

The interest rates per annum applicable to loans under the New Credit Facility are, at the Company's option, equal to either an alternate base rate or an adjusted LIBOR rate for a one, two, three or six month interest period chosen by the Company, or a nine or twelve month period if agreed to by all participating lenders, plus an applicable margin percentage in each case.

The alternate base rate is the greater of (1) the prime rate or (2) one-half of 1% over the weighted average of rates on overnight federal funds as published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The adjusted LIBOR rate will be determined by reference to settlement rates established for deposits in dollars in the London interbank market for a period equal to the interest period of the loan and the maximum reserve percentages established by the Board of Governors of the United States Federal Reserve to which our lenders are subject. The facility also requires a commitment fee to be paid quarterly equal to 0.125% of any unused commitments under the revolving loan facility.



---

## **Table of Contents**

The New Credit Facility requires scheduled quarterly payments on the term loan in amounts equal to \$508,750 on each of June 30, September 30, December 31 and March 31, beginning on June 30, 2006.

Indebtedness under the New Credit Facility is guaranteed by all of the Company's current restricted subsidiaries, certain of its future restricted subsidiaries and by Holdings. It is secured by a first priority security interest in substantially all of the Company's existing and future property and assets, including accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, general intangibles, intellectual property, investment property, other personal property, owned and material leased real property, cash and cash proceeds of the foregoing and a first priority pledge of the Company's capital stock and the capital stock of the guarantor subsidiaries.

The New Credit Facility requires that the Company comply on a quarterly basis with certain financial covenants, including an interest coverage ratio calculation, a fixed charge coverage ratio calculation and a maximum net senior leverage ratio calculation, which become more restrictive over time. In addition, the New Credit Facility includes negative covenants restricting or limiting the Company's ability and the ability of its subsidiaries to, among other things, incur, assume or permit to exist additional indebtedness or guarantees; incur liens and engage in sale leaseback transactions; make capital expenditures; make loans and investments; declare dividends, make payments or redeem or repurchase capital stock; engage in mergers, acquisitions and other business combinations; prepay, redeem or purchase certain indebtedness; amend or otherwise alter terms of our indebtedness; sell assets; transact with affiliates and alter the business that it conducts.

**Senior Subordinated Notes** On March 27, 2003, in connection with the March 2003 Transaction, Amy Acquisition Corp. issued \$275.0 million of 10<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013. The Company assumed Amy Acquisition Corp.'s obligations with respect to the notes upon consummation of the March 2003 Transaction. Interest became payable semi-annually in arrears beginning in October 2003. In February 2004, the Company issued an additional \$75.0 million of its 10<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 at a premium price of 106% plus accrued interest. The net premium amount is included in Other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. In February 2004, the Company paid down \$88.2 million of the term loan borrowings. As a result of the paydown, the Company recognized a \$3.5 million write-off of deferred financing costs. The notes are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally and on an unsecured senior subordinated basis, by certain of the Company's current and former subsidiaries. The notes and guarantees rank junior to all of the Company's and the subsidiary guarantors' existing and future senior indebtedness, on par with all of the Company's and the subsidiary guarantors' existing and future senior subordinated indebtedness and senior to all of the Company's and the subsidiary guarantors' existing and future subordinated indebtedness.

The Company may redeem any of the notes at any time and from time to time beginning on April 1, 2008, in whole or in part, in cash at the specified redemption prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption.

If a change in control of the Company occurs, subject to certain conditions, the Company must give holders of the notes an opportunity to sell the notes to the Company at a purchase price of 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of the purchase of the notes by the Company.

The indenture governing the notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's ability and the ability of the Company's restricted subsidiaries to incur or guarantee additional indebtedness, pay dividends or make other equity distributions, purchase or redeem capital stock, make certain investments, enter into arrangements that restrict dividends from subsidiaries, transfer and sell assets, engage in certain transactions with affiliates and effect a consolidation or merger.

### **Letters of Credit**

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had letters of credit outstanding totaling \$2.6 million. The letters of credit secure payments under certain operating leases and insurance policies and expire at various dates in 2005 through 2010. Some of the letters of credit automatically decline in value over various lease terms. The letters of credit have annual fees averaging 3.5%. Available borrowings under the \$65.0 million revolving credit facility are reduced by the notional balance outstanding on these letters of credit. In addition, the Company had \$300,000 of surety bonds outstanding on December 31, 2004 to satisfy Florida Medicaid requirements.

### **Note 15. Lease Commitments**

The Company leases various office and laboratory space, and certain equipment pursuant to operating lease agreements. The following information includes the related party leases discussed in Note 19. Future minimum lease commitments under noncancellable operating leases consisted of the following at December 31, 2005:

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|            |        |
|------------|--------|
| 2006       | 7,752  |
| 2007       | 6,979  |
| 2008       | 6,265  |
| 2009       | 5,366  |
| 2010       | 4,218  |
| 2011       | 3,982  |
| Thereafter | 14,410 |

\$ 48,972

F-19

**Table of Contents**

Owned practices rent expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 was \$9.6 million, \$7.6 million, and \$6.8 million, respectively.

**Note 16. Option Plan**

The Company's Parent has adopted a 2003 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Purchase Plan, which is referred to as the stock option plan. The total number of shares of common stock for which options or awards may be granted under the stock option plan are 11,697,146 shares of the Parent's common stock. Shares of common stock related to expired or terminated options may again be subject to an option or award under the stock option plan, subject to any limitation required by the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. The stock option plan provides for the grants of incentive stock options, within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code, to selected employees and other persons providing services for us and for grants of non-qualified stock options and awards. The purpose of the stock option plan is to attract and retain the best available personnel, provide additional incentives to our employees and consultants and promote the success of our business.

A committee of not less than two persons appointed by the board of directors of the Parent administers the stock option plan. If no such committee is appointed, the board of directors serves as the administrator and has all authority and obligations under the stock option plan. The administrator has the sole discretion to grant options to employees and to determine the terms of awards and options granted under the plan. Incentive and non-qualified stock options, however, are not transferable other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution and are not issued at an exercise price less than the fair market value of the underlying shares.

The exercise price of any incentive stock option granted to an employee who possesses more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our shares within the meaning of Section 422(b) (6) of the Code must be at least 110% of the fair market value of the underlying share at the time the option is granted and by its terms is not exercisable more than five years from the date it is assigned. Furthermore, the aggregate fair market value of shares of common stock purchased under an incentive stock option for the first time by an employee during any calendar year may not exceed \$100,000. The term of any incentive stock option cannot exceed ten years from the date of grant.

The stock option plan will terminate in March 2013, but the board of directors of the Parent may terminate the stock option plan at any time in its sole discretion. The board of directors of the Parent may amend the plan subject to limited restrictions requiring the vote of a majority of the outstanding voting common stock of the Parent.

Pro forma information regarding net income is required by SFAS No. 123, and has been determined as if employee stock options had been accounted for under the fair value methods of that statement. The value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using the minimum value method during 2005, 2004, and the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and used the following weighted-average assumptions:

|                               | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Risk free interest rate       | 4.2% | 4.2% | 3.3% |
| Dividend yield                |      |      |      |
| Volatility factor             | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| Weighted average life (years) | 8.0  | 8.0  | 8.0  |

Using the minimum value method, the estimated weighted-average grant date fair value per option granted during both 2005 and 2004 was \$1.66. Using the minimum value method, the estimated weighted-average grant date fair value per option granted during the period March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 was \$1.49. The predecessor did not grant any options during January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003.

The Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different than those of traded options, and because changes in the assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models may not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.



**Table of Contents**

A summary of option activity is presented below:

|                                | Successor         |                                 | Successor         |                                 | Successor March 28, |                                 | Predecessor January 1, |                                 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                | December 31, 2005 |                                 | December 31, 2004 |                                 | 2003 through        |                                 | 2003 through           |                                 |
|                                | Number            |                                 | Number            |                                 | December 31, 2003   |                                 | March 27, 2003         |                                 |
|                                | Of                | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Of                | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Of                  | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Of                     | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|                                | Shares            | Price                           | Shares            | Price                           | Shares              | Price                           | Shares                 | Price                           |
| Balance at beginning of period | 8,961,527         | \$ 6.00                         | 6,455,908         | \$ 6.00                         |                     |                                 | 2,331,540              | \$ 20.31                        |
| Repurchased                    |                   |                                 |                   |                                 |                     |                                 | (2,317,675)            | 20.31                           |
| Granted                        | 696,000           | 6.00                            | 4,517,811         | 6.00                            | 7,577,265           | 6.00                            |                        |                                 |
| Exercised                      |                   |                                 |                   |                                 |                     |                                 | (13,865)               | 11.95                           |
| Terminated/Lapsed              | (1,416,325)       | 6.00                            | (2,012,192)       | 6.00                            | (1,121,357)         | 6.00                            |                        |                                 |
| Balance at end of period       | 8,241,202         | 6.00                            | 8,961,527         | 6.00                            | 6,455,908           | 6.00                            |                        |                                 |
| Exercisable at end of period   | 2,150,690         | 6.00                            | 889,743           | 6.00                            |                     |                                 |                        |                                 |

As part of the March 2003 Transaction, all stock options granted prior to 2003 were fully vested and purchased by the Company.

**Note 17. Employee Benefit Plans**

Effective July 1, 1997, the predecessor consolidated its previous 401(k) plans into a new qualified 401(k) retirement plan (the 401(k) Plan ) covering substantially all eligible employees as defined in the 401(k) plan. The new 401 (k) Plan requires annual employer matching contributions equal to 50% (25% prior to July 1, 2000) of the employees' contributions up to a maximum of 6.0% of an employees annual salary (up to a maximum of one thousand dollars per employee prior to January 1, 2005). Matching contributions aggregating \$3.5 million, \$1.1 million, \$0.5 million, and \$0.5 million were expensed in years 2005, and 2004, in the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and in the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, respectively. Also, in connection with acquisitions, the Company assumes the obligations under certain defined contribution plans, which cover substantially all eligible employees of the acquired practices.

During 1999, the predecessor introduced a Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan ( SERP ) which covers only selected employees. The SERP is a non-qualified deferred compensation plan, which was established to aid in the retention of the non-selling physicians and other key employees. Ameripath does not fund the SERP liability, but instead pays for current benefits out of general funds available. Ameripath has formed a Rabbi Trust designated as the beneficiary for life insurance policies issued on the SERP participants. Proceeds from the life insurance policies are expected to be used to pay SERP participants life insurance benefits as well as future SERP payments. In 2005, the eligible participants were allowed to defer up to twenty thousand dollars of compensation and/or eligible bonuses per year. If the subscription to the plan fell below an established deferral range, the participating individuals were allowed to defer additional funds. The Company may also make discretionary contributions to the SERP. Employee and employer contributions to the SERP were \$1.6 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2005, \$1.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2004, and \$1.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2003. The account balances of the SERP were \$7.8 million, for the year ended December 31, 2005, and \$6.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The SERP liability is classified as other long term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company also sponsors certain defined contribution plans for substantially all employees of the former Inform DX who are at least 21 years old, have been employed by the Company for at least one year and have completed 1,000 hours of service. These plans include a 401(k)/profit sharing plan and a money purchase pension plan. Under the 401(k)/profit sharing plan, employees may contribute up to 15% of their qualifying salary on a pre-tax basis, subject to Federal income tax limitations. The amount expensed under both of these plans for employer contributions was approximately \$0.2 million, \$0.5 million, \$0.5 million, and \$0.2 million in years 2005 and 2004, in the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and in the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, respectively.

**18. Commitments and Contingencies**

During the ordinary course of business, the Company has become and may in the future become subject to legal actions and proceedings. The Company may have liability with respect to its employees and its pathologists and with respect to hospital

F-21

---

## Table of Contents

employees who are under the supervision of its hospital-based pathologists. The majority of these pending legal proceedings involve claims of medical malpractice and most of those suits relate to cytology services. Based upon investigations conducted to date, the Company believes the outcome of any pending legal actions and proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. If the Company is ultimately found liable under the outstanding medical malpractice claims, there can be no assurance that medical malpractice insurance arrangements will be adequate to cover all such liabilities. The Company also may, from time to time, be involved with legal actions related to the acquisition of anatomic pathology operations, the prior conduct of acquired operations or the employment and restriction on competition of physicians. There can be no assurance that any costs or liabilities for which the Company becomes responsible in connection with these claims or actions will not be material or will not exceed the limitations of any applicable indemnification provisions or the financial resources of the indemnifying parties.

Through June 30, 2002, the predecessor was insured for medical malpractice risks on a claims made basis under traditional indemnity insurance policies. Effective July 1, 2002, the predecessor formed a captive insurance company to partially self-insure for medical malpractice. The captive, combined with excess coverage, provides insurance on a per claim basis. The Company does not have aggregate stop loss protection. Accruals for settlement costs, claims expenses and incurred but not reported claims are made based on actuarial estimates. Actual costs in future periods could differ materially from actuarial studies, depending on the frequency and severity of actual claims experienced. For the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, the Company expects to incur approximately \$14.3 million for medical malpractice costs, of which \$7.2 million was incurred in the six months ended December 31, 2005. For the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005, approximately \$14.5 million was expensed for medical malpractice costs.

*Self-insured Health Benefits* Effective August 1, 2002, the predecessor provided health care benefits to its employees through a self insured plan. The Company records its estimate of the ultimate cost of, and reserves for, health care benefits based on computations using the Company's loss history as well as industry statistics. Furthermore, in determining its reserves, the Company will include reserves for estimated claims incurred but not reported. The maximum liability for claims paid in a year, based upon open enrollment levels at December 31, 2005 is \$15.3 million. The ultimate cost of health care benefits will depend on actual costs incurred to settle the claims and may differ from the amounts reserved by the Company for those claims.

*Workers' Compensation Policy* Effective July 1, 2000, the predecessor acquired workers' compensation insurance with a deductible program. The Company records its estimate of the ultimate cost of, and reserves for, workers' compensation exposures based on computations using the Company's loss history as well as industry statistics. Furthermore, in determining its reserves, the Company will include reserves for estimated claims incurred but not reported. The policies operate on an occurrence basis. As such, the exposure for incurred but not reported claims is slight. The ultimate cost of workers' compensation claims will depend on actual costs incurred to settle the claims and may differ from the amounts reserved by the Company for those claims. The Company currently aggressively defends each claim and pursues subrogation whenever available to recoup third party damages to offset payments.

*Healthcare Regulatory Environment and Reliance on Government Programs* The healthcare industry in general, and the services that the Company provides, are subject to extensive federal and state laws and regulations. Additionally, a significant portion of the Company's net revenue is from payments by government-sponsored health care programs, principally Medicare and Medicaid, and is subject to audits and adjustments by applicable regulatory agencies. Failure to comply with any of these laws or regulations, the results of increased regulatory audits and adjustments, or changes in the interpretation of the coding of services or the amounts payable for the Company's services under these programs could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations. The Company's operations are continuously subject to review and inspection by regulatory authorities.

The Company has received subpoenas issued by the United States Attorney's office in Tampa, Florida seeking information with respect to an investigation relating to Medicare billing and possible financial inducements in connection with a Florida physician who is not an AmeriPath pathologist but is a client of AmeriPath. In addition, certain affiliates of the Company have received an investigative subpoena from the Florida Attorney General Medicaid Fraud Control Unit requesting copies of agreements that we have with certain hospitals. To the Company's knowledge, numerous other hospitals and facilities have received similar subpoenas, which may indicate a state-wide audit of pathology operations. The Company is providing information to the United States Attorney's Office and the Florida Attorney General's Office and intends to cooperate in the investigations. The Company is conducting its own internal investigation of the matters. It is not possible at this point in either investigation to determine whether the government will pursue action against AmeriPath or to assess the merits of possible defenses AmeriPath might have to any such action. Accordingly, no assurances can be given regarding the ultimate outcome of these investigations.

*Employment Agreements* As part of the March 2003 Transaction, the Company entered into new or amended employment agreements with certain of its management employees, which include, among other terms, non-competition provisions and salary



## Table of Contents

continuation benefits. The Company also terminated employment contracts with certain of its management employees as a result of the March 2003 Transaction, which resulted in change in control payments to those former employees which are included in merger-related costs for the period January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003. In March 2004, Donald E. Steen entered into an employment contract with the Company and became the Company's Chairman of the Board of Directors. Effective as of July 1, 2004, Mr. Steen became the Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

*Medicare Reimbursement* The Medicare statute includes a methodology to adjust payments for services, including anatomic pathology services, under the physician fee schedule. Congress revised the methodology through legislation enacted in December 2003. This revised methodology is applied each year unless it is overridden by Congressional action. The statutory methodology would have led to a 4.4% reduction in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in 2003, a 4.5% reduction in 2004, a 3.3% reduction in 2005, and a 4.4% reduction in 2006, if those reductions had not been blocked by Congress. Instead, Congress required a 1.6% increase in 2003 and a 1.5% increase in each of 2004 and 2005. In 2006, Congress required that the conversion factor be frozen at the 2005 amount, establishing a 0% update of the conversion factor in 2006. It is unclear how the revised methodology will affect the annual adjustments in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years and, if it will not prevent reductions, whether Congress will intervene to prevent decreases in the physician fee schedule conversion factor in future years.

Specialty Laboratories Asia Pte. Ltd., a Singapore corporation, ( SLA ), is 60% owned by our wholly-owned subsidiary, Specialty Laboratories International Ltd., a British Virgin Islands corporation ( SLIL ). SLA was headquartered in Singapore but, in early 1999, SLA ceased all operations and is currently insolvent. A former employee of SLA has obtained a judgment for \$350,000 against SLA and a default judgment of approximately \$2.0 million in a wrongful termination action against SLA filed by him in Singapore. The former employee has filed an action against SLA in San Diego Superior Court to attempt to collect on the Singapore judgment and has obtained a default judgment of approximately \$2.5 million against SLA in California. The former employee served discovery upon us and certain of our directors and officers. Our management believes that any claim against us or our directors and officers in connection with these judgments, if made, would be without merit, and we would vigorously defend any such action.

In December 2003, we were served with an action in which we are named as a defendant, together with certain of our former officers, SLIL, and multiple other parties located in Singapore and India, in a lawsuit brought in the High Court of the Republic of Singapore by Dragon Investment Company ( Dragon ), one of the shareholders in SLA. Dragon has also brought the lawsuit in the name of SLA as a derivative action. The lawsuit alleges, among other things, that SLA and Dragon suffered damages as a result of the winding up of the affairs of SLA and disposition of its assets. The lawsuit also alleges that certain of the defendants breached certain written agreements to allow Dragon to acquire more shares of SLA, that certain of our former officers conspired to run down and dissipate the assets of SLA, and that they fraudulently concealed their actions from Dragon and the other minority shareholder of SLA. We have provided notice to the applicable insurance carriers. While we believe that we have insurance applicable to the defense of the lawsuits, and continue to work with the relevant insurance carriers on the coverage issue, such carriers have not yet acknowledged coverage of the matter.

### **Note 19. Related Party Transactions**

The Company leases laboratory and administrative facilities used in the operations of twelve practices from entities beneficially owned by parties related to the Company. The terms of the leases expire from 2006 to 2009 and some contain options to renew for additional periods. Lease payments made under leases with related parties were \$1.4 million, \$1.7 million, \$1.1 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively, for the years 2005 and 2004, for the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003.

In addition, Holdings issued to WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P., an investment fund affiliated with WCAS, \$67.0 million in principal amount of Holdings' senior subordinated notes and an agreed-upon number of shares of its common stock. The proceeds from this transaction were deposited into a Holdings company cash collateral account, which cash, subject to some exceptions, will be contributed to the Company from time to time to fund up to \$67.0 million of future payments under the Company's contingent notes relating to acquisitions consummated prior to the March 2003 Transaction. As of December 31, 2005, approximately \$45.5 million of the \$67.0 million has been contributed to the Company to fund contingent note payments. The lenders under the Company's New Credit Facility have a first-priority security interest in all funds held in such cash collateral account.

In connection with the March 2003 Transaction, the Parent entered into a management agreement with WCAS Management Corporation, an affiliate of WCAS IX, pursuant to which WCAS Management Corporation provides management and financial advisory services to the Company's Parent and its subsidiaries, including Ameripath. WCAS Management Corporation is entitled to a management fee of \$1.0 million per year and reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the provision of such services.



**Table of Contents**

On July 24, 2003, the Company's Parent consummated a private placement of 710,648 shares of its common stock to physicians and other selected employees of our Company at a price of \$6.00 per share, the price per share paid by WCAS IX in connection with the March 2003 Transaction. The gross proceeds of \$4,263,888 from such offering were used by the Company's Parent to redeem 710,648 shares of the Parent's common stock then held by WCAS IX at a redemption price of \$6.00 per share.

In October 2004, the Company purchased 700,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock of Molecular Profiling Institute, Inc. (MPI) for \$350,000. In October 2005, the Company purchased 1,000,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock MPI for \$2,500,000. Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D., one of the Company's executive officers and a member of the board of directors, is entitled to receive 200,000 shares of common stock of MPI under MPI's restricted stock plan, or approximately 4% of MPI's outstanding common stock, as consideration for past services in the development of MPI. As of December 31, 2005 the Company owned a 12.65% fully diluted interest in MPI.

In August 2004, three of the Company's executive officers, Donald E. Steen, Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D. and Clay J. Cockerell, M.D., purchased \$1.0 million of our outstanding Senior Subordinated Notes. In order to consummate this purchase, the Company purchased the Senior Subordinated Notes from the open market at market value plus accrued interest, and sold them directly to the three officers of the Company at market value plus accrued interest. The amounts invested by the three officers were approximately: \$500,000 by Donald E. Steen; \$400,000 by Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D. and \$100,000 by Clay J. Cockerell, M.D.

**Note 20. Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, for the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003, consists of the following:

|   | Successor                          |                                    |   | Predecessor<br>Period from<br>January 1, 2003<br>through |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
|   | Year ended<br>December 31,<br>2005 | Year ended<br>December 31,<br>2004 | Period from<br>March 28, 2003<br>through<br>December 31, 2003 | March<br>27, 2003  |
| <b>Current:</b>                         |                                    |                                    |   |  |
| Federal                                 | \$ 3,947                           | \$ 1,011                           | \$ 2,339  | \$ (562)   |
| State                                   | 1,926                              | 1,607                              | 248   | (60)   |
| Total current provision (benefit)       | 5,873                              | 2,618                              | 2,587   | (622)  |
| <b>Deferred:</b>                        |                                    |                                    |   |  |
| Federal                                 | 3,148                              | (1,136)                            | 455   | 2,488  |
| State                                   | 334                                | (121)                              | 48  | 265  |
| Total deferred (benefit) provision      | 3,482                              | (1,257)                            | 503   | 2,753  |
| <b>Total provision for income taxes</b> | <b>\$ 9,355</b>                    | <b>\$ 1,361</b>                    | <b>\$ 3,090</b>   | <b>\$ 2,131</b>  |

The effective tax rate on income before income taxes is reconciled to the statutory federal income tax rate as follows:

| Year ended   | Successor    |                   | Predecessor     |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| December 31, | Year ended   | Period from       | Period from     |
| 2005         | December 31, | March 28, 2003    | January 1, 2003 |
|              | 2004         | through           | through         |
|              |              | December 31, 2003 | March 27, 2003  |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|  |       |       |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Statutory federal rate                                   | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit    | 3.7   | 3.7   | 3.7   | 3.7   |
| Non-deductible items, primarily amortization of goodwill |       | 1.2   |       |       |
| Non-deductible items, merger- related charges            |       |       |       | 16.6  |
| Meals & entertainment and other non-deductibles          |       | 7.4   | (0.5) | 1.6   |
| Change in valuation allowance                            | 9.8   |       | 6.8   |       |
|  | 48.5% | 47.3% | 45.0% | 56.9% |

F-24



**Table of Contents**

The following is a summary of the Company's deferred tax assets, net and deferred tax liabilities, net as of December 31, 2005 and 2004:

|   | December 31,      |                   |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
|   | 2005              | 2004              |
| <b>Deferred tax assets (short term):</b>                            |                   |                   |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts                                     | \$ 10,164         | \$ 13,366         |
| Accrued liabilities   | 1,113             | 424               |
| <b>Deferred tax assets (short term)</b>                             | <b>11,277</b>     | <b>13,790</b>     |
| <b>Deferred tax liabilities (short term):</b>                       |                   |                   |
| 481(a) adjustment   | (368)             | (445)             |
| <b>Deferred tax liabilities (short term)</b>                        | <b>(368)</b>      | <b>(445)</b>      |
| <b>Net short term deferred tax assets</b>                           | <b>10,909</b>     | <b>13,345</b>     |
| <b>Deferred tax assets (long-term):</b>                             |                   |                   |
| Net operating loss  | 15,744            | 11,310            |
| Self insurance  | 5,366             | 5,527             |
| Other   | 4,159             | 3,277             |
| <b>Deferred tax assets (long-term)</b>                              | <b>25,269</b>     | <b>20,114</b>     |
| Less: valuation allowance   | (9,620)           | (6,383)           |
| <b>Net deferred tax assets (long-term)</b>                          | <b>15,649</b>     | <b>13,731</b>     |
| <b>Deferred tax liabilities (long-term):</b>                        |                   |                   |
| Change from cash to accrual basis of accounting by the acquisitions |                   | (727)             |
| Intangible assets acquired  | (27,651)          | (25,543)          |
| Property and equipment  | (2,314)           | (2,388)           |
| Other   | (2,636)           | (977)             |
| <b>Deferred tax liabilities (long-term)</b>                         | <b>(32,601)</b>   | <b>(29,635)</b>   |
| <b>Net long-term deferred tax liability</b>                         | <b>(16,952)</b>   | <b>(15,904)</b>   |
| <b>Net deferred tax liabilities</b>                                 | <b>\$ (6,043)</b> | <b>\$ (2,559)</b> |

In addition, future tax benefits, such as from federal net operating loss ( NOL ) and state net operating loss ( SNOL ) carryforwards and capital carryforwards, are required to be recognized to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not. A valuation allowance is established for those benefits that do not meet the more likely than not criteria. A valuation allowance has been established for \$9.6 million of net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2005 due to the uncertainty regarding the Company's ability to utilize the acquired NOLs and incurred capital loss due to Internal Revenue Code limitations.

| Name of Company                     | Year of Loss | Loss   | Expiration |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------|------------|
| American Path Resources, Inc. - NOL | 12/31/95     | \$ 337 | 12/31/07   |
| American Path Resources, Inc. - NOL | 12/31/96     | 681    | 12/31/08   |
| American Path Resources, Inc. - NOL | 12/31/97     | 2,346  | 12/31/09   |
| American Path Resources, Inc. - NOL | 04/30/98     | 721    | 12/31/15   |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|                                     |          |          |              |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Total American Path Resources, Inc. |          | 4,085    |              |
| Diagnostic Path Mgmt Svcs, LLC NOL  | 11/30/00 | 919      | 11/30/20     |
| Jeffrey R. Light, M.D., Inc. NOL    | 12/31/03 | 265      | 12/31/23     |
| Jeffrey R. Light, M.D., Inc. SNOL   | 12/31/03 | 28       |              |
| AmeriPath, Inc. SNOL                | 12/31/03 | 1,324    | 2018 to 2023 |
| AmeriPath, Inc. SNOL                | 12/31/04 | 1,818    | 2019 to 2024 |
| AmeriPath, Inc. SNOL                | 12/31/05 | 657      | 2020 to 2025 |
| Subtotal NOL valuation allowance    |          | 9,096    |              |
| Capital Losses                      | 12/31/03 | 137      | 12/31/08     |
| Capital Losses                      | 12/31/04 | 387      | 12/31/09     |
| Total valuation allowance           |          | \$ 9,620 |              |

**Note 21. Supplemental Cash Flow Information**

The following supplemental information presents the non-cash impact on the balance sheet of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with acquisitions consummated during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, for the period from March 28 through December 31, 2003, and for the period from January 1 through March 27, 2003:

**Table of Contents**

|  | Successor                             |                                    | Predecessor<br>Period from<br>January 1, 2003<br>through      |                   |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
|  | Year<br>ended<br>December 31,<br>2005 | Year ended<br>December 31,<br>2004 | Period from<br>March 28, 2003<br>through<br>December 31, 2003 | March 27,<br>2003 |
| Assets acquired  | \$                                    | \$ 49,404                          | \$ 5,563  | \$ 1,200          |
| Liabilities assumed  |                                       | (471)                              | (1,351)   | (500)             |
| Common stock issued by parent company                                  |                                       | (10,000)                           |   |                   |
| Cash paid for acquisitions   |                                       | 38,933                             | 4,212   | 700               |
| Less cash acquired   |                                       | (472)                              | (93)  |                   |
| Net cash paid for acquisitions   |                                       | 38,461                             | 4,119   | 700               |
| Costs related to completed and pending acquisitions                    |                                       |                                    | 1   | 2                 |
| Cash paid for acquisitions and acquisition costs, net of cash acquired | \$                                    | \$ 38,461                          | \$ 4,120  | \$ 702            |

**Note 22. Segment Reporting**

The Company operates in one reportable segment, the medical laboratory industry. Medical laboratories offer a broad range of testing services to the medical profession. The Company's testing services are categorized based upon the nature of the test: anatomic pathology and dermatopathology. These testing services are used by physicians in the diagnosis, prognosis, monitoring and general management of diseases and other clinical conditions. The tests included in such services generally detect medically-significant abnormalities and visual patterns in blood, tissue samples and other specimens.

**Note 23. Internally Developed Computer Software Costs**

During 2005 and 2004, the Company capitalized approximately \$3.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, of payroll and benefit related costs pertaining to the capitalization of internally developed software costs. Projects being undertaken, among others, are the development of a standardized lab information reporting and billing system, the creation of software interfaces and various online reports, and the development of a new online job applicant tracking system. These costs are being incurred during the application development stage and are capitalized in accordance with SOP 98-1 *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*. These unamortized costs are included in property and equipment, net, on the consolidated balance sheets and were \$6.1 million and \$2.5 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The unamortized costs are being amortized over a three-year period once they are placed into service. There was no amortization expense in 2005 and amortization expense was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

**Note 24. Subsequent Events**

On January 31, 2006, the Company completed its acquisition of Specialty Laboratories, Inc., in a transaction valued at approximately \$334.4 million. Specialty Laboratories is a leading hospital-focused clinical reference laboratory specializing in high end esoteric testing. Under the terms of the merger agreement the Company acquired all common shares of Specialty Laboratories common stock outstanding at closing for \$13.25 per common share, or \$317.4 million. The Company paid \$197.8 million in cash and issued \$119.6 million in Ameripath Holdings, Inc. stock. In addition, Ameripath paid \$9.7 million in cash for outstanding stock options of Specialty Laboratories. Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, Specialty Laboratories' outstanding stock options became fully vested and exercisable and were canceled in exchange for the right to receive an amount, for each share subject to the stock option, equal to the excess of \$13.25 per share over the exercise price per share of such option. The aggregate purchase price of approximately \$334.4 million includes transaction costs of approximately \$7.4 million. The Company is currently in the process of performing its allocation of purchase price, but goodwill as associated with the transaction is expected to approximate between \$190.0 million and \$200.0 million. As part of combining the two entities, the Company expects to incur restructuring costs during the next 12 months for severance payments and other restructuring costs. The Company has not yet estimated the full impact of these restructuring charges. For the year ended December 31, 2005, Specialty Laboratories reported net revenue of approximately \$151.7 million and a loss before income taxes of \$6.7 million.

## Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

In connection with the merger of Specialty Laboratories, the Company terminated its existing senior credit facility and the Company entered into a new senior credit facility (the New Credit Facility ) with a syndicate of financial institutions led by Wachovia Bank and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. The new senior credit facility consists of a \$203.5 million term loan and a \$95.0 million revolving credit facility. The Company borrowed \$203.5 million of the term loan and \$52.0 million of the revolving credit to fund a portion of the Specialty Laboratories merger consideration, to pay certain transaction costs related to the merger, to refinance existing indebtedness of the Company and to pay related expenses with the merger. The interest rates per annum applicable to loans under the new Credit Facility are, at the Company's option, equal to either an alternate base rate or an adjusted LIBOR rate for a one, two, three or six month interest period chosen by the Company, or a nine or twelve month period if agreed to by all participating lenders, plus an applicable margin percentage in each case.

F-26

**Table of Contents**

Subsequent to December 31, 2005, the Company paid approximately \$2.7 million in contingent notes issued in connection with previous acquisitions as additional purchase price.

**Note 25. Guarantor Subsidiaries**

The following information is presented as required by regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Company's 10 1/2% senior subordinated notes due 2013. This information is not routinely prepared for use by management. The operating and investing activities of the separate legal entities included in the Company's consolidated financial statements are fully interdependent and integrated. Accordingly, consolidating the operating results of those separate legal entities is not representative of what the actual operating results of those entities would be on a stand-alone basis. Operating expenses of those separate legal entities include intercompany charges for management fees and other services. Certain expense items and asset and liability balances that are applicable to the Company's subsidiaries are typically recorded in the books and records of AmeriPath, Inc. For purposes of this footnote disclosure, such balances and amounts have been pushed down to the respective subsidiaries either on a specific identification basis, or when such items cannot be specifically attributed to an individual subsidiary, have been allocated on an incremental or proportional cost basis to AmeriPath, Inc. and the Company's subsidiaries.

The following tables present consolidating financial information at December 31, 2005, for the year ended December 31, 2004, for the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003, and for the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003 for (i) AmeriPath, (ii) on a combined basis, the subsidiaries of AmeriPath that are guarantors of the Company's 10 1/2% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 (the Subsidiary Guarantors) and (iii) on a combined basis, the subsidiaries of AmeriPath that are not guarantors of the Company's 10% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 (the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries). The maximum potential amount of future payments the subsidiary Guarantors could be required to make under the Guarantee is \$350.0 million.

## Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets:

| December 31, 2005 (Successor)               | AmeriPath,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Guarantors | Non Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated<br>Total |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Assets</b>                               |                    |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Current assets:                             |                    |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Cash and cash equivalents                   | \$                 | \$ 3,325                 | \$ 673                        |                              | \$ 3,998              |
| Restricted cash                             |                    | 26,684                   |                               |                              | 26,684                |
| Accounts receivable, net                    | 450                | 64,421                   | 20,097                        |                              | 84,968                |
| Inventories                                 | 262                | 1,950                    | 115                           |                              | 2,327                 |
| Other current assets                        | 538                | 13,027                   | 2,307                         |                              | 15,872                |
| Total current assets                        | 1,250              | 109,407                  | 23,192                        |                              | 133,849               |
| Property & Equipment, net                   | 16,255             | 31,135                   | 1,806                         |                              | 49,196                |
| Goodwill, net                               |                    | 472,054                  | 136,106                       |                              | 608,160               |
| Other identifiable intangibles, net         | 16,937             | 116,791                  | 32,150                        |                              | 165,878               |
| Investment in subsidiaries                  | 1,088,071          |                          |                               | (1,088,071)                  |                       |
| Other assets                                | 18,726             | 6,585                    | 1,755                         |                              | 27,066                |
| Total assets                                | \$ 1,141,239       | \$ 735,972               | \$ 195,009                    | \$ (1,088,071)               | \$ 984,149            |
| <b>Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity</b> |                    |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Current Liabilities:                        |                    |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses       | \$ 22,356          | \$ 41,698                | \$ 5,708                      |                              | \$ 69,762             |
| Current portion of long-term debt           | 255                | 99                       |                               |                              | 354                   |
| Total Current Liabilities                   | 22,611             | 41,797                   | 5,708                         |                              | 70,116                |
| Long-term debt                              | 479,056            | 80                       |                               |                              | 479,136               |
| Other liabilities                           | 6,223              | 26,005                   | 1,000                         |                              | 33,228                |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net               | 536                | 20,926                   | (4,510)                       |                              | 16,952                |
| Total long-term liabilities                 | 485,815            | 47,011                   | (3,510)                       |                              | 529,316               |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|  |              |            |            |                |            |
|--|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| Intercompany payable (receivable)          | 657,400      | (294,073)  | 10,061     | (373,388)      |            |
| Stockholder's Equity:                      |              |            |            |                |            |
| Common stock                               | (1,272)      | 1,271      | 25         | (23)           | 1          |
| Additional paid-in capital                 | 334,807      | 31,633     | 2,987      |                | 369,427    |
| Retained earnings (deficit)                | (358,122)    | 908,333    | 179,738    | (714,660)      | 15,289     |
| Total stockholder's equity                 | (24,587)     | 941,237    | 182,750    | (714,683)      | 384,717    |
| Total liabilities and stockholder's equity | \$ 1,141,239 | \$ 735,972 | \$ 195,009 | \$ (1,088,071) | \$ 984,149 |

F-27

**Table of Contents**

| December 31, 2004 (Successor)                     | AmeriPath,<br>Inc.  | Subsidiary<br>Guarantors | Non Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated<br>Total |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Assets</b>                                     |                     |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Current assets:                                   |                     |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Cash and cash equivalents                         | \$                  | \$ 19,513                | \$ 1,467                      |                              | \$ 20,980             |
| Restricted cash                                   |                     | 17,940                   |                               |                              | 17,940                |
| Accounts receivable, net                          | 32                  | 59,483                   | 17,052                        |                              | 76,567                |
| Inventories                                       | 123                 | 2,157                    | 55                            |                              | 2,335                 |
| Other current assets                              | 1,040               | 12,527                   | 4,601                         |                              | 18,168                |
| <b>Total current assets</b>                       | <b>1,195</b>        | <b>111,620</b>           | <b>23,175</b>                 |                              | <b>135,990</b>        |
| Property & Equipment, net                         | 5,275               | 25,586                   | 103                           |                              | 30,964                |
| Goodwill, net                                     |                     | 458,364                  | 133,455                       |                              | 591,819               |
| Other identifiable intangibles, net               | 19,900              | 127,387                  | 32,616                        |                              | 179,903               |
| Investment in subsidiaries                        | 1,046,144           |                          |                               | (1,046,144)                  |                       |
| Other assets                                      | 18,129              | 6,216                    | 1,288                         |                              | 25,633                |
| <b>Total assets</b>                               | <b>\$ 1,090,643</b> | <b>\$ 729,173</b>        | <b>\$ 190,637</b>             | <b>\$ (1,046,144)</b>        | <b>\$ 964,309</b>     |
| <b>Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity</b>       |                     |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Current Liabilities:                              |                     |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses             | \$ 21,596           | \$ 34,534                | \$ 5,946                      |                              | \$ 62,076             |
| Current portion of long-term debt                 |                     | 2,682                    |                               |                              | 2,682                 |
| Other current liabilities                         |                     | 1,164                    |                               |                              | 1,164                 |
| <b>Total Current Liabilities</b>                  | <b>21,596</b>       | <b>38,380</b>            | <b>5,946</b>                  |                              | <b>65,922</b>         |
| Long-term debt                                    | 495,000             | 171                      |                               |                              | 495,171               |
| Other liabilities                                 | 5,128               | 23,282                   | 810                           |                              | 29,220                |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net                     | 536                 | 18,689                   | (3,321)                       |                              | 15,904                |
| <b>Total long-term liabilities</b>                | <b>500,664</b>      | <b>42,142</b>            | <b>(2,511)</b>                |                              | <b>540,295</b>        |
| Intercompany payable (receivable)                 | 577,649             | (250,637)                | 4,427                         | (331,439)                    |                       |
| Stockholder's Equity:                             |                     |                          |                               |                              |                       |
| Common stock                                      | (1,272)             | 1,271                    | 25                            | (23)                         | 1                     |
| Additional paid-in capital                        | 318,100             | 31,633                   | 2,990                         |                              | 352,723               |
| Retained earnings (deficit)                       | (326,094)           | 866,384                  | 179,760                       | (714,682)                    | 5,368                 |
| <b>Total stockholder's equity</b>                 | <b>(9,266)</b>      | <b>899,288</b>           | <b>182,775</b>                | <b>(714,705)</b>             | <b>358,092</b>        |
| <b>Total liabilities and stockholder's equity</b> | <b>\$ 1,090,643</b> | <b>\$ 729,173</b>        | <b>\$ 190,637</b>             | <b>\$ (1,046,144)</b>        | <b>\$ 964,309</b>     |

**Table of Contents**

Condensed Consolidating Income Statements:

| <b>For the Year-ended December 31, 2005 (Successor)</b> | <b>AmeriPath,<br/>Inc.</b> | <b>Subsidiary<br/>Guarantors</b> | <b>Non Guarantor<br/>Subsidiaries</b> | <b>Consolidated<br/>Total</b> |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Net revenues  | \$                         | \$ 437,010                       | \$ 126,607                            | \$ 563,617                    |
| Cost of services  |                            | 252,005                          | 48,239                                | 300,244                       |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses            | 5,834                      | 155,810                          | 21,330                                | 182,974                       |
| Amortization expense                                    |                            | 9,932                            | 1,295                                 | 11,227                        |
| Asset impairment and related charges                    |                            | 883                              |                                       | 883                           |
| Total operating costs and expense                       | 5,834                      | 418,630                          | 70,864                                | 495,328                       |
| (Loss) income from operations                           | (5,834)                    | 18,380                           | 55,743                                | 68,289                        |
| Other income (expense)                                  |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Interest expense  | (48,737)                   | (148)                            |                                       | (48,885)                      |
| Management fee (A)                                      |                            | 55,743                           | (55,743)                              |                               |
| Change in value of derivative                           | (280)                      |                                  |                                       | (280)                         |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs                   | (468)                      |                                  |                                       | (468)                         |
| Other, net  | 4                          | 616                              |                                       | 620                           |
| Total other expenses                                    | (49,481)                   | 56,211                           | (55,743)                              | (49,013)                      |
| (Loss) income before income taxes                       | (55,315)                   | 74,591                           |                                       | 19,276                        |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes                    | 22,015                     | (31,370)                         |                                       | (9,355)                       |
| Net (loss) income                                       | \$ (33,300)                | \$ 43,221                        | \$                                    | \$ 9,921                      |

| <b>For the Year-ended December 31, 2004 (Successor)</b> | <b>AmeriPath,<br/>Inc.</b> | <b>Subsidiary<br/>Guarantors</b> | <b>Non Guarantor<br/>Subsidiaries</b> | <b>Consolidated<br/>Total</b> |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Net revenues  | \$                         | \$ 392,868                       | \$ 114,403                            | \$ 507,271                    |
| Cost of services  |                            | 227,095                          | 43,864                                | 270,959                       |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses            | 7,936                      | 144,400                          | 19,815                                | 172,151                       |
| Amortization expense                                    |                            | 9,820                            | 1,280                                 | 11,100                        |
| Asset impairment and related charges                    |                            | 25                               | 586                                   | 611                           |
| Total operating costs and expense                       | 7,936                      | 381,340                          | 65,545                                | 454,821                       |
| (Loss) income from operations                           | (7,936)                    | 11,528                           | 48,858                                | 52,450                        |
| Other income (expense)                                  |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Interest expense  | (44,556)                   | (241)                            |                                       | (44,797)                      |
| Management fee (A)                                      |                            | 48,822                           | (48,822)                              |                               |
| Change in value of derivative                           | (1,015)                    |                                  |                                       | (1,015)                       |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs                   | (3,829)                    |                                  |                                       | (3,829)                       |
| Other, net  | (205)                      | 307                              | (36)                                  | 66                            |
| Total other expenses                                    | (49,605)                   | 48,888                           | (48,858)                              | (49,575)                      |
| (Loss) income before income taxes                       | (57,541)                   | 60,416                           |                                       | 2,875                         |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes                    | 22,280                     | (23,641)                         |                                       | (1,361)                       |
| Net (loss) income                                       | \$ (35,261)                | \$ 36,775                        | \$                                    | \$ 1,514                      |

(A) In accordance with the applicable management fee agreements, the Subsidiary Guarantors are the direct beneficiary of substantially all of the pre-tax income of the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries.





**Table of Contents**

| <b>For the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 (Successor)</b> | <b>AmeriPath,<br/>Inc.</b> | <b>Subsidiary<br/>Guarantors</b> | <b>Non Guarantor<br/>Subsidiaries</b> | <b>Consolidated<br/>Total</b> |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Net revenues  | \$                         | \$ 269,194                       | \$ 96,852                             | \$ 366,046                    |
| Cost of services  |                            | 155,565                          | 34,206                                | 189,771                       |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses                                    | 3,480                      | 102,739                          | 15,736                                | 121,955                       |
| Amortization expense  |                            | 7,493                            | 859                                   | 8,352                         |
| Merger-related charges  | 2,404                      |                                  |                                       | 2,404                         |
| Restructuring costs   | 127                        | 81                               | 1,836                                 | 2,044                         |
| Asset impairment and related charges  |                            | 138                              | 287                                   | 425                           |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs   |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| <b>Total operating costs and expense</b>  | <b>6,011</b>               | <b>266,016</b>                   | <b>52,924</b>                         | <b>324,951</b>                |
| (Loss) income from operations   | (6,011)                    | 3,178                            | 43,928                                | 41,095                        |
| Other income (expense)  |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Interest expense  | (34,274)                   | (195)                            |                                       | (34,469)                      |
| Management fee (A)  |                            | 43,970                           | (43,970)                              |                               |
| Other, net  | 6                          | 270                              | 42                                    | 318                           |
| <b>Total other expenses</b>   | <b>(34,268)</b>            | <b>44,045</b>                    | <b>(43,928)</b>                       | <b>(34,151)</b>               |
| (Loss) income before income taxes   | (40,279)                   | 47,223                           |                                       | 6,944                         |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes  | 14,666                     | (17,756)                         |                                       | (3,090)                       |
| <b>Net (loss) income</b>  | <b>\$ (25,613)</b>         | <b>\$ 29,467</b>                 | <b>\$</b>                             | <b>\$ 3,854</b>               |

(A) In accordance with the applicable management fee agreements, the Subsidiary Guarantors are the direct beneficiary of substantially all of the pre-tax income of the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries.

| <b>For the period from January 1 through March 27, 2003 (Predecessor)</b> | <b>AmeriPath,<br/>Inc.</b> | <b>Subsidiary<br/>Guarantors</b> | <b>Non Guarantor<br/>Subsidiaries</b> | <b>Consolidated<br/>Total</b> |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Net revenues  | \$                         | \$ 107,388                       | \$ 11,569                             | \$ 118,957                    |
| Cost of services  |                            | 56,354                           | 5,791                                 | 62,145                        |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses                              | 939                        | 33,123                           | 2,661                                 | 36,723                        |
| Amortization expense  |                            | 2,750                            | 357                                   | 3,107                         |
| Merger-related charges  | 10,010                     |                                  |                                       | 10,010                        |
| Restructuring costs   |                            | 699                              | 497                                   | 1,196                         |
| Asset impairment and related charges                                      |                            | 287                              | (287)                                 |                               |
| <b>Total operating costs and expense</b>                                  | <b>10,949</b>              | <b>93,213</b>                    | <b>9,019</b>                          | <b>113,181</b>                |
| (Loss) income from operations   | (10,949)                   | 14,175                           | 2,550                                 | 5,776                         |
| Other income (expense)  |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Interest expense  | (1,115)                    | (65)                             |                                       | (1,180)                       |
| Management fee (A)  |                            | 2,550                            | (2,550)                               |                               |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs                                     | (957)                      |                                  |                                       | (957)                         |
| Other, net  | 4                          | 29                               |                                       | 33                            |
| <b>Total other expenses</b>   | <b>(2,068)</b>             | <b>2,514</b>                     | <b>(2,550)</b>                        | <b>(2,104)</b>                |
| (Loss) income before income taxes   | (13,017)                   | 16,689                           |                                       | 3,672                         |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes                                      | 2,720                      | (4,851)                          |                                       | (2,131)                       |
| <b>Net (loss) income</b>  | <b>\$ (10,297)</b>         | <b>\$ 11,838</b>                 | <b>\$</b>                             | <b>\$ 1,541</b>               |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

- (A) In accordance with the applicable management fee agreements, the Subsidiary Guarantors are the direct beneficiary of substantially all of the pre-tax income of the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries.

F-30

**Table of Contents**

## Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows:

| <b>For the Year-ended December 31, 2005 (successor)</b>                                      | <b>AmeriPath,<br/>Inc.</b> | <b>Subsidiary<br/>Guarantors</b> | <b>Non Guarantor<br/>Subsidiaries</b> | <b>Consolidated<br/>Total</b> |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>   |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Net (loss) income  | \$ (33,299)                | \$ 43,220                        | \$                                    | \$ 9,921                      |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to cash provided by operating activities          | 5,714                      | 82,805                           | 15,117                                | 103,636                       |
| Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash, net of effects of acquisitions | 41,550                     | (104,868)                        | (12,087)                              | (75,405)                      |
| <b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>   | <b>13,965</b>              | <b>21,157</b>                    | <b>3,030</b>                          | <b>38,152</b>                 |
| Cash flows used for investing activities   | (14,506)                   | (34,410)                         | (3,824)                               | (52,740)                      |
| Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities                                       | 541                        | (2,935)                          |                                       | (2,394)                       |
| Decrease in cash equivalents   |                            | (16,188)                         | (794)                                 | (16,982)                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period   |                            | 19,513                           | 1,467                                 | 20,980                        |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period   | \$                         | \$ 3,325                         | \$ 673                                | \$ 3,998                      |

| <b>For the Year-ended December 31, 2004 (successor)</b>                                      | <b>AmeriPath,<br/>Inc.</b> | <b>Subsidiary<br/>Guarantors</b> | <b>Non Guarantor<br/>Subsidiaries</b> | <b>Consolidated<br/>Total</b> |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>   |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Net (loss) income  | \$ (35,261)                | \$ 36,775                        | \$                                    | \$ 1,514                      |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to cash provided by operating activities          | 8,167                      | 77,469                           | 17,202                                | 102,838                       |
| Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash, net of effects of acquisitions | 15,970                     | (55,310)                         | (10,974)                              | (50,314)                      |
| <b>Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities</b>                                  | <b>(11,124)</b>            | <b>58,934</b>                    | <b>6,228</b>                          | <b>54,038</b>                 |
| Cash flows used for investing activities   | (4,721)                    | (60,442)                         | (5,645)                               | (70,808)                      |
| Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities                                       | 15,845                     | (1,631)                          |                                       | 14,214                        |
| (Decrease) increase in cash equivalents  |                            | (3,139)                          | 583                                   | (2,556)                       |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period   |                            | 22,652                           | 884                                   | 23,536                        |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period   | \$                         | \$ 19,513                        | \$ 1,467                              | \$ 20,980                     |

| <b>For the period from March 28, 2003 through December 31, 2003 (successor)</b>               | <b>AmeriPath,<br/>Inc.</b> | <b>Subsidiary<br/>Guarantors</b> | <b>Non Guarantor<br/>Subsidiaries</b> | <b>Consolidated<br/>Total</b> |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>  |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Net (loss) income   | \$ (25,613)                | \$ 29,467                        | \$                                    | \$ 3,854                      |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to cash (used in) provided by operating activities | 5,341                      | 60,660                           | 12,836                                | 78,837                        |
| Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash, net of effects of acquisitions  | 12,854                     | (39,204)                         | (12,092)                              | (38,442)                      |
| <b>Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities</b>                                    | <b>(7,418)</b>             | <b>50,923</b>                    | <b>744</b>                            | <b>44,249</b>                 |
| Cash flows provided by (used for) investing activities  | (15,042)                   | (29,023)                         | 140                                   | (43,925)                      |
| Cash flows provided by financing activities   | 22,460                     | 752                              |                                       | 23,212                        |
| Increase in cash equivalents  |                            | 22,652                           | 884                                   | 23,536                        |

Edgar Filing: AMERIPATH INC - Form 10-K

|   |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period  |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period  | \$                         | \$ 22,652                        | \$ 884                                | \$ 23,536                     |
|   | <b>AmeriPath,<br/>Inc.</b> | <b>Subsidiary<br/>Guarantors</b> | <b>Non Guarantor<br/>Subsidiaries</b> | <b>Consolidated<br/>Total</b> |
| <b>For the period from January 1, 2003 through March 27, 2003 (predecessor)</b>               |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Cash flows from operating activities:   |                            |                                  |                                       |                               |
| Net (loss) income   | \$ (10,297)                | \$ 11,838                        | \$                                    | \$ 1,541                      |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to cash (used in) provided by operating activities | 11,319                     | 16,845                           | 3,097                                 | 31,261                        |
| Changes in assets and liabilities which (used) provided cash, net of effects of acquisitions  | (1,029)                    | (8,018)                          | 895                                   | (8,152)                       |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities   | (7)                        | 20,665                           | 3,992                                 | 24,650                        |
| Cash flows used for investing activities  | (300)                      | (20,510)                         | (4,981)                               | (25,791)                      |
| Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities  | 307                        | (130)                            |                                       | 177                           |
| Increase (decrease) in cash equivalents   |                            | 25                               | (989)                                 | (964)                         |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period  |                            | (25)                             | 989                                   | 964                           |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period  | \$                         | \$                               | \$                                    | \$                            |

**Note 26. Quarterly Results of Operations (unaudited)**

The following table presents certain unaudited quarterly financial data for each of the quarters in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. This information has been prepared on the same basis as the consolidated financial statements and includes, in the opinion of the Company, all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the quarterly results when read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto. The operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period or for the full year.

**Table of Contents****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

|   | 2005 Calendar Quarters |                 |                 |                | 2004 Calendar Quarters |                 |                 |                   |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|   | First                  | Second          | Third           | Fourth         | First                  | Second          | Third           | Fourth            |
| Net patient service revenue                               | \$ 128,525             | \$ 138,621      | \$ 139,868      | \$ 139,444     | \$ 119,620             | \$ 119,503      | \$ 121,706      | \$ 122,151        |
| Management service revenue                                | 5,355                  | 5,013           | 3,684           | 3,107          | 6,180                  | 5,814           | 6,006           | 6,291             |
| <b>Net revenues</b>                                       | <b>133,880</b>         | <b>143,634</b>  | <b>143,552</b>  | <b>142,551</b> | <b>125,800</b>         | <b>125,317</b>  | <b>127,712</b>  | <b>128,442</b>    |
| Operating costs and expenses:                             |                        |                 |                 |                |                        |                 |                 |                   |
| Cost of services  | 73,500                 | 74,276          | 76,795          | 75,673         | 66,693                 | 64,928          | 67,795          | 71,543            |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses              | 25,190                 | 27,162          | 27,347          | 29,509         | 24,272                 | 23,187          | 21,816          | 26,413            |
| Provision for doubtful accounts                           | 17,636                 | 18,804          | 18,017          | 19,309         | 17,353                 | 18,471          | 22,455          | 18,184            |
| Amortization expense                                      | 2,794                  | 2,853           | 2,799           | 2,781          | 2,814                  | 2,753           | 2,753           | 2,780             |
| (Gain) loss on sale of practices and asset impairment (2) | (454)                  |                 | 1,337           |                | 586                    |                 |                 | 25                |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>118,666</b>         | <b>123,095</b>  | <b>126,295</b>  | <b>127,272</b> | <b>111,718</b>         | <b>109,339</b>  | <b>114,819</b>  | <b>118,945</b>    |
| <b>Income from operations</b>                             | <b>15,214</b>          | <b>20,539</b>   | <b>17,257</b>   | <b>15,279</b>  | <b>14,082</b>          | <b>15,978</b>   | <b>12,893</b>   | <b>9,497</b>      |
| Interest expense  | (11,739)               | (12,328)        | (12,205)        | (12,613)       | (11,146)               | (11,021)        | (11,130)        | (11,500)          |
| Other income (expense), net                               | 104                    | 180             | 122             | 214            | 70                     | 110             | 112             | (226)             |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs (1)                 |                        | (345)           | (123)           |                | (3,488)                | (341)           |                 |                   |
| Change in value of derivative (3)                         | (620)                  | 329             | (221)           | 232            |                        | (1,275)         | 511             | (251)             |
| <b>Income (loss) before income taxes</b>                  | <b>2,959</b>           | <b>8,375</b>    | <b>4,830</b>    | <b>3,112</b>   | <b>(482)</b>           | <b>3,451</b>    | <b>2,386</b>    | <b>(2,480)</b>    |
| <b>Benefit (provision) for income taxes</b>               | <b>1,200</b>           | <b>(3,315)</b>  | <b>(1,923)</b>  | <b>(2,917)</b> | <b>178</b>             | <b>(1,335)</b>  | <b>(1,062)</b>  | <b>858</b>        |
| <b>Net income (loss)</b>                                  | <b>\$ 1,759</b>        | <b>\$ 5,060</b> | <b>\$ 2,907</b> | <b>\$ 195</b>  | <b>\$ (304)</b>        | <b>\$ 2,116</b> | <b>\$ 1,324</b> | <b>\$ (1,622)</b> |

- (1) In the first and second quarters of 2004, the successor wrote off approximately \$3.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, of deferred debt costs related to the credit facility.
- (2) In the first quarter of 2005, the Company sold a managed practice in Los Gatos, California resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.4 million. In third quarter of 2005, the Company sold its managed practice in Memphis, Tennessee. As a result of the sale, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$1.3 million. During the first quarter of 2004, the Company sold a practice in Michigan resulting in an impairment charge of approximately \$0.6 million.
- (3) In 2004, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement. The charges in the quarters are amounts related to the fair market value adjustments of the derivative instrument.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the quarterly consolidated statements of operations to conform to the annual presentations.

**Table of Contents****SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida, on March 27, 2006.

AMERIPATH, INC.

/s/ Donald E. Steen  
Donald E. Steen,

*Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the date indicated.

| <b>Signature</b>              | <b>Title</b>  | <b>Date</b>    |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------|
| /s/ Donald E. Steen           | Chief Executive Officer and   | March 27, 2006 |
| Donald E. Steen               | Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)   |                |
| /s/ David L. Redmond          | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary (Principal Financial Officer and Accounting Officer) | March 27, 2006 |
| David L. Redmond              |   |                |
| /s/ Clay J. Cockerell, M.D.   | Director  | March 27, 2006 |
| Clay J. Cockerell, M.D.       |   |                |
| /s/ Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D.  | Director  | March 27, 2006 |
| Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D.      |   |                |
| /s/ Paul B. Queally           | Director  | March 27, 2006 |
| Paul B. Queally               |   |                |
| /s/ Raymond A. Ranelli        | Director  | March 27, 2006 |
| Raymond A. Ranelli            |   |                |
| /s/ C. Arnold Renschler, M.D. | Director  | March 27, 2006 |
| C. Arnold Renschler, M.D.     |   |                |
| /s/ Sean M. Traynor           | Director  | March 27, 2006 |
| Sean M. Traynor               |   |                |
| /s/ Brett P. Brodnax          | Director  | March 27, 2006 |

Brett P. Brodnax



**Table of Contents****Exhibit Index**

| <b>Exhibit Number</b> | <b>Description</b>  |
|-----------------------|---|
| 2.1                   | Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among AmeriPath, Inc., AMP Merger Corp., and Pathology Consultants of America, Inc. (d/b/a Inform DX), dated as of November 7, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, dated April 2, 2001)  |
| 2.2                   | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 8, 2002, by and between AmeriPath, Inc., AmeriPath Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Amy Holding Company) and Amy Acquisition Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 filed by AmeriPath with its Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2002)   |
| 2.3                   | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of September 29, 2005, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, AmeriPath, Inc., Specialty Laboratories, Inc., a California corporation, and Silver Acquisition Corp., a California corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 4, 2005)   |
| 2.4                   | Amendment No. 1, dated as of January 3, 2006, to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 29, 2005, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, AmeriPath, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Specialty Laboratories, Inc., a California corporation, and Silver Acquisition Corp., a California corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on January 3, 2006)   |
| 3.1                   | AmeriPath, Inc.'s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 filed by AmeriPath with its registration statement on Form S-4 on April 30, 2003)   |
| 3.2                   | AmeriPath, Inc.'s Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 filed by AmeriPath with its registration statement on Form S-4 on April 30, 2003)   |
| 4.1                   | Indenture with respect to the 10.50% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 between AmeriPath, Inc., AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., the Subsidiary Guarantors listed on the signature pages thereto and U.S. Bank, National Association as Trustee, dated March 27, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 filed with AmeriPath's registration statement on Form S-4 on April 30, 2003)  |
| 4.2                   | Form of 10.50% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 filed with AmeriPath's registration statement on Form S-4 on April 30, 2003)  |
| 4.3                   | Registration Rights Agreement among AmeriPath, Inc., each of the Subsidiary Guarantors listed thereto, Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. and Wachovia Securities, Inc., dated February 11, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with AmeriPath's registration statement on Form S-4 on April 14, 2004)   |
| 10.1                  | Amended and Restated Purchase Agreement among AmeriPath, Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC. dated February 11, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's registration statement on Form S-4 on April 14, 2004)  |
| 10.2                  | Credit Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2006, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., AmeriPath, Inc., the lenders party thereto from time to time, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., as Syndication Agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 3, 2006) |

**Table of Contents**

- 10.3 Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2006, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., AmeriPath, Inc., subsidiaries of AmeriPath, Inc. identified therein and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Collateral Agent. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 3, 2006)
- 10.4 Subscription, Merger and Exchange Agreement dated as of September 29, 2005, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, AmeriPath Group Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Aqua Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation, the stockholders of AmeriPath Holdings, Inc. listed therein and the stockholders of Specialty Laboratories, Inc. listed therein. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 4, 2005)
- 10.5 Voting Agreement dated as of September 29, 2005, among AmeriPath Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation and the stockholders of Specialty Laboratories, Inc. listed therein. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with AmeriPath's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 4, 2005)
- 10.6 Employment Agreement dated March 22, 2004 by and between AmeriPath and Donald E. Steen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, dated and filed on August 12, 2004)
- 10.6.1 Amendment to Employment Agreement between AmeriPath, Inc. and Donald E. Steen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, dated and filed on August 11, 2005)
- 10.7 Employment Agreement dated May 15, 2003 by and between AmeriPath and David L. Redmond (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with AmeriPath's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2003, dated and filed on August 14, 2003)
- 10.8 Employment Agreement dated April 25, 2003 by and between AmeriPath and Jeffrey A. Mossler, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9 Employment Agreement dated September 2, 1997 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9.1 Amendment to Employment Agreement dated November 21, 2000 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.1 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9.2 Second Amendment to Employment Agreement dated February 8, 2001 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.2 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9.3 Third Amendment to Employment Agreement dated November 11, 2002 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.3 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.9.4 Fourth Amendment to Employment Agreement dated July 17, 2003 by and between DFW 501(a) Corporation and Stephen W. Aldred, M.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.4 filed with AmeriPath's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, dated and filed March 18, 2005)
- 10.10 Employment Agreement dated April 1, 2005 by and between AmeriPath and R. Keith Laughman
- 10.11 Lease dated June 22, 2004 between AmeriPath and 7111 Fairway, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with AmeriPath's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004, dated and filed on November 12, 2004)

**Table of Contents**

- 21.1 Subsidiaries of AmeriPath
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002