

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC

Form 10-Q

August 04, 2010

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

☐ Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Transition Period From _____ to _____.

Commission file number 001-32265

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)
805 Las Cimas Parkway, Suite 400
Austin, TX
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

76-0753089
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

78746
(Zip Code)

(512) 732-1000
Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated
filer ☒

Accelerated Filer ☐

Non-accelerated

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☐

filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)

Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

There were 52,787,389 shares of American Campus Communities, Inc.'s common stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share outstanding as of the close of business on July 30, 2010.

FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PART I. | | PAGE NO. |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Item 1. | Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009</u> | 1 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (all unaudited)</u> | 2 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 (unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (all unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 5 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 45 |
| <u>PART II.</u> | | |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 46 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | | 47 |

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | June 30, 2010 (unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Investments in real estate: | | |
| Wholly-owned properties, net | \$ 1,977,475 | \$ 2,014,970 |
| On-campus participating properties, net | 63,755 | 65,690 |
| Investments in real estate, net | 2,041,230 | 2,080,660 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 20,932 | 66,093 |
| Restricted cash | 31,615 | 29,899 |
| Student contracts receivable, net | 4,249 | 5,381 |
| Other assets | 52,874 | 52,948 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,150,900 | \$ 2,234,981 |
| Liabilities and equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Secured mortgage, construction and bond debt | \$ 947,041 | \$ 1,029,455 |
| Senior secured term loan | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Secured agency facility | 94,000 | 94,000 |
| Secured revolving credit facility | 30,100 | - |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 26,963 | 26,543 |
| Other liabilities | 44,380 | 45,487 |
| Total liabilities | 1,242,484 | 1,295,485 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests | 34,654 | 36,722 |
| Equity: | | |
| American Campus Communities, Inc. stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, 800,000,000 shares authorized, 52,599,622 and 52,203,893 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 524 | 521 |
| Additional paid in capital | 1,101,686 | 1,092,030 |
| Accumulated earnings and dividends | (226,266) | (189,165) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (6,059) | (4,356) |
| Total American Campus Communities, Inc. stockholders' equity | 869,885 | 899,030 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 3,877 | 3,744 |
| Total equity | 873,762 | 902,774 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 2,150,900 | \$ 2,234,981 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited, in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Wholly-owned properties | \$68,549 | \$64,153 | \$139,741 | \$129,488 |
| On-campus participating properties | 4,142 | 3,922 | 11,453 | 10,796 |
| Third party development services | 1,628 | 886 | 2,202 | 1,938 |
| Third party management services | 2,121 | 2,105 | 4,335 | 4,347 |
| Resident services | 242 | 205 | 494 | 445 |
| Total revenues | 76,682 | 71,271 | 158,225 | 147,014 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Wholly-owned properties | 32,288 | 31,794 | 63,764 | 62,284 |
| On-campus participating properties | 2,620 | 2,783 | 5,019 | 4,813 |
| Third party development and management services | 2,796 | 2,810 | 5,895 | 5,787 |
| General and administrative | 2,616 | 2,829 | 5,369 | 5,577 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,795 | 19,591 | 35,283 | 38,923 |
| Ground/facility leases | 753 | 452 | 1,324 | 1,004 |
| Total operating expenses | 58,868 | 60,259 | 116,654 | 118,388 |
| Operating income | 17,814 | 11,012 | 41,571 | 28,626 |
| Nonoperating income and (expenses): | | | | |
| Interest income | 16 | 40 | 33 | 79 |
| Interest expense | (14,961) | (14,817) | (30,262) | (30,081) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | (1,015) | (769) | (2,057) | (1,559) |
| Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures | (711) | (483) | (2,125) | (1,037) |
| Other nonoperating income | - | 402 | - | 402 |
| Total nonoperating expenses | (16,671) | (15,627) | (34,411) | (32,196) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations | 1,143 | (4,615) | 7,160 | (3,570) |
| Income tax provision | (142) | (135) | (285) | (270) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 1,001 | (4,750) | 6,875 | (3,840) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Loss attributable to discontinued operations | (5) | (547) | (4,288) | (948) |
| Loss from disposition of real estate | (59) | - | (3,705) | - |
| Total discontinued operations | (64) | (547) | (7,993) | (948) |
| Net income (loss) | 937 | (5,297) | (1,118) | (4,788) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (169) | (13) | (303) | (245) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$768 | \$(5,310) | \$(1,421) | \$(5,033) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss) per share attributable to common
shareholders- basic and diluted:

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per share | \$0.01 | \$(0.10 |) \$0.12 | \$(0.10 |) |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$0.01 | \$(0.11 |) \$(0.03 |) \$(0.12 |) |

Weighted-average common shares outstanding:

| | | | | |
|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Basic | 52,335,642 | 47,897,196 | 52,285,919 | 45,152,665 |
| Diluted | 52,853,003 | 47,897,196 | 52,829,613 | 45,152,665 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Distributions declared per common share | \$0.3375 | \$0.3375 | \$0.675 | \$0.675 |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

| | Common Shares | Par Value of Common Shares | Additional Paid in Capital | Accumulated Earnings and Dividends | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Noncontrolling Interests | Total |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Equity, December 31, 2009 | 52,203,893 | \$ 521 | \$1,092,030 | \$(189,165) | \$ (4,356) | \$ 3,744 | \$902,774 |
| Net proceeds from sale of common stock | 268,200 | 3 | 7,514 | - | - | - | 7,517 |
| Amortization of restricted stock awards | - | - | 1,815 | - | - | - | 1,815 |
| Vesting of restricted stock awards | 90,525 | - | (917) | - | - | - | (917) |
| Distributions to common and restricted stockholders | - | - | - | (35,680) | - | - | (35,680) |
| Distributions to joint venture partners | - | - | - | - | - | (108) | (108) |
| Conversion of common units to common stock | 37,004 | - | 919 | - | - | - | 919 |
| Reclassification of noncontrolling interests | - | - | 325 | - | - | - | 325 |
| Comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps | - | - | - | - | (1,703) | - | (1,703) |
| Net loss | - | - | - | (1,421) | - | 241 | (1,180) |
| Total comprehensive loss | - | - | - | - | - | - | (2,883) |
| Equity, June 30, 2010 | 52,599,622 | \$ 524 | \$1,101,686 | \$(226,266) | \$ (6,059) | \$ 3,877 | \$873,762 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited, in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net loss attributable to common shareholders | \$(1,421) | \$(5,033) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss attributable to common shareholders to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 303 | 245 |
| Loss from disposition of real estate | 3,705 | - |
| Provision for asset impairment | 4,036 | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,617 | 40,502 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt premiums/discounts | 2,120 | 1,440 |
| Share-based compensation | 1,948 | 1,358 |
| Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures | 2,125 | 1,037 |
| Income tax provision | 285 | 270 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Restricted cash | (2,118) | 999 |
| Student contracts receivable, net | 1,262 | 294 |
| Other assets | (3,261) | (4,763) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (772) | (6,857) |
| Other liabilities | (2,958) | (5,105) |
| Distributions received from unconsolidated joint ventures | 180 | - |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 41,051 | 24,387 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Net proceeds from disposition of real estate | 2,115 | - |
| Cash paid for property acquisition | (9,618) | - |
| Cash paid for land acquisitions | (7,595) | (2,637) |
| Investments in wholly-owned properties | (15,023) | (68,356) |
| Investments in on-campus participating properties | (224) | (181) |
| Purchase of corporate furniture, fixtures and equipment | (436) | (1,181) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (30,781) | (72,355) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 7,663 | 207,719 |
| Offering costs | (130) | (9,369) |
| Secured revolving credit facility, net | 30,100 | (14,700) |
| Proceeds from construction loans | - | 5,334 |
| Pay-off of mortgage loans | (51,892) | (72,829) |
| Principal payments on debt | (4,314) | (4,850) |
| Change in construction accounts payable | - | (1,284) |
| Debt issuance and assumption costs | (31) | (15) |
| Distributions to common and restricted stockholders | (35,833) | (29,001) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling partners | (994) | (1,454) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (55,431) | 79,551 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (45,161) | 31,583 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 66,093 | 25,600 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$20,932 | \$57,183 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities | | |
| Issuance of Common Units in connection with land acquisition | \$- | \$(2,005) |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net | \$(1,703) | \$1,380 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information | | |
| Interest paid | \$29,204 | \$31,783 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Description of Business

American Campus Communities, Inc. (the “Company”) is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) that was incorporated on March 9, 2004 and commenced operations effective with the completion of an initial public offering (“IPO”) on August 17, 2004. Through the Company’s controlling interest in American Campus Communities Operating Partnership LP (the “Operating Partnership”), the Company is one of the largest owners, managers and developers of high quality student housing properties in the United States in terms of beds owned and under management. The Company is a fully integrated, self-managed and self-administered equity REIT with expertise in the acquisition, design, financing, development, construction management, leasing and management of student housing properties.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company’s property portfolio contained 86 student housing properties with approximately 53,300 beds in approximately 17,300 apartment units. The Company’s property portfolio consisted of 79 owned off-campus properties that are in close proximity to colleges and universities, three American Campus Equity (“ACE®”) properties operated under ground/facility leases with two university systems and four on-campus participating properties operated under ground/facility leases with the related university systems. As of June 30, 2010, the Company also owned a noncontrolling interest in two joint ventures that owned an aggregate of 18 student housing properties with approximately 9,800 beds in approximately 2,900 units. The Company’s communities contain modern housing units and are supported by a resident assistant system and other student-oriented programming, with many offering resort-style amenities.

Through the Company’s taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRS”), it also provides construction management and development services, primarily for student housing properties owned by colleges and universities, charitable foundations, and others. As of June 30, 2010, the Company provided third-party management and leasing services for 33 properties (five of which the Company served as the third-party developer and construction manager) that represented approximately 24,700 beds in approximately 9,400 units. Third-party management and leasing services are typically provided pursuant to multi-year management contracts that have initial terms that range from one to five years. As of June 30, 2010, the Company’s total owned, joint venture and third-party managed portfolio included 137 properties with approximately 87,800 beds in approximately 29,600 units.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) and include the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company, the Operating Partnership and subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership, including joint ventures in which the Company has a controlling interest. Third-party equity interests in the Operating Partnership and consolidated joint ventures are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the consolidated financial statements. The Company also has a noncontrolling interest in three unconsolidated joint ventures, which are accounted for under the equity method. All intercompany amounts have been eliminated. All dollar amounts in the tables herein, except share and per share amounts, are stated in thousands unless otherwise indicated. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On January 1, 2010 the Company adopted new accounting guidance related to variable interest entities (“VIEs”). These new accounting pronouncements amend the existing accounting guidance to: (i) require an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise’s variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a VIE, identifying the primary beneficiary of the VIE, (ii) require an ongoing reassessment of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, rather than only when specific events occur, (iii) eliminate the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE, (iv) amend certain guidance for determining whether an entity is a VIE, (v) add an additional reconsideration event when changes in facts and circumstances pertinent to a VIE occur, (vi) eliminate the exception for troubled debt restructuring regarding VIE reconsideration, and (vii) require advanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise’s involvement in a VIE. Upon the adoption of this new accounting guidance, management reevaluated its potential VIEs and concluded that there is no change from its initial assessment regarding which entities are consolidated by the Company and those that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Interim Financial Statements

The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but have been prepared in accordance with GAAP for interim financial information and in conjunction with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring matters) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for these interim periods have been included. Because of the seasonal nature of the Company's operations, the results of operations and cash flows for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for other interim periods or for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Investments in Real Estate

Investments in real estate are recorded at historical cost. Major improvements that extend the life of an asset are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. The cost of ordinary repairs and maintenance are charged to expense when incurred. Depreciation and amortization are recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Buildings and improvements | 7-40 years |
| Leasehold interest - on-campus participating properties | 25-34 years (shorter of useful life or respective lease term) |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 3-7 years |

Project costs directly associated with the development and construction of an owned real estate project, which include interest, property taxes, and amortization of deferred finance costs, are capitalized as construction in progress. Upon completion of the project, costs are transferred into the applicable asset category and depreciation commences. Interest totaling approximately \$0.2 million and \$1.2 million was capitalized during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$0.2 million and \$2.2 million was capitalized during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There was no amortization of deferred financing costs capitalized as construction in progress during the three or six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Management assesses whether there has been an impairment in the value of the Company's investments in real estate whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairment is recognized when estimated expected future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the property. The estimation of expected future net cash flows is inherently uncertain and relies on assumptions regarding current and future economics and market conditions. If such conditions change, then an adjustment to the carrying value of the Company's long-lived assets could occur in the future period in which the conditions change. To the extent that a property is impaired, the excess of the carrying amount of the property over its estimated fair value is charged to earnings. The Company believes that there were no impairments of the carrying

values of its investments in real estate as of June 30, 2010.

The Company allocates the purchase price of acquired properties to net tangible and identified intangible assets based on relative fair values. Fair value estimates are based on information obtained from a number of sources, including independent appraisals that may be obtained in connection with the acquisition or financing of the respective property and other market data. Information obtained about each property as a result of due diligence, marketing and leasing activities is also considered. The value of in-place leases is based on the difference between (i) the property valued with existing in-place leases adjusted to market rental rates and (ii) the property valued "as-if" vacant. As lease terms are typically one year or less, rates on in-place leases generally approximate market rental rates. Factors considered in the valuation of in-place leases include an estimate of the carrying costs during the expected lease-up period considering current market conditions, nature of the tenancy, and costs to execute similar leases. Carrying costs include estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up period, as well as marketing and other operating expenses. The value of in-place leases is amortized over the remaining initial term of the respective leases, generally less than one year. The purchase price of property acquisitions is not expected to be allocated to tenant relationships, considering the terms of the leases and the expected levels of renewals.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Long-Lived Assets—Held for Sale

Long-lived assets to be disposed of are classified as held for sale in the period in which all of the following criteria are met:

- a. Management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset.
- b. The asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets.
- c. An active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset have been initiated.
- d. The sale of the asset is probable, and transfer of the asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year.
- e. The asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value.
- f. Actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Concurrent with this classification, the asset is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, and depreciation ceases.

Owned On-Campus Properties

Under its ACE program, the Company as lessee has entered into three ground/facility lease agreements with two university systems to finance, construct, and manage three student housing properties. One property was under construction as of June 30, 2010 and is scheduled to open for occupancy in August 2011. The terms of the leases, including extension options, range from 65 to 85 years, and the lessor has title to the land and any improvements placed thereon. The Company's involvement in construction requires the lessor's post construction ownership of the improvements to be treated as a sale with a subsequent leaseback by the Company. However, these sale-leaseback transactions do not qualify for sale-leaseback accounting because of the Company's continuing involvement in the constructed assets. As a result of the Company's continuing involvement, these leases are accounted for by the deposit method, in which the assets subject to the ground/facility leases are reflected at historical cost, less amortization, and the financing obligations are reflected at the terms of the underlying financing.

On-Campus Participating Properties

The Company entered into ground and facility leases with two university systems and colleges to finance, construct, and manage four on-campus student housing facilities. Under the terms of the leases, the lessor has title to the land and any improvements placed thereon. Each lease terminates upon final repayment of the construction related financing, the amortization period of which is contractually stipulated. The Company's involvement in construction requires the lessor's post construction ownership of the improvements to be treated as a sale with a subsequent leaseback by the Company. The sale-leaseback transaction has been accounted for as a financing, and as a result, any fee earned during construction is deferred and recognized over the term of the lease. The resulting financing obligation is reflected at the terms of the underlying financing, i.e., interest is accrued at the contractual rates and principal reduces in accordance with the contractual principal repayment schedules.

The Company reflects these assets subject to ground/facility leases at historical cost, less amortization. Costs are amortized, and deferred fee revenue in excess of the cost of providing the service are recognized, over the lease term.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Intangible Assets

In connection with a property acquisition completed in March 2010 and the acquisition of GMH Communities Trust (“GMH”) in June 2008, the Company capitalized approximately \$0.2 million and \$18.8 million, respectively, related to management’s estimate of the fair value of the in-place leases assumed. These intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over the average remaining term of the underlying leases. Amortization expense was approximately \$0.1 million and \$4.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and approximately \$0.2 million and \$8.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company also capitalized \$1.5 million related to management’s estimate of the fair value of third-party management contracts acquired from GMH. These intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of three years. Amortization expense related to these acquired management contracts was approximately \$0.1 million for both three month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$0.2 million for both six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The amortization of intangible assets is included in depreciation and amortization expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. See Note 3 herein for a detailed discussion of the property acquisition completed during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Deferred Financing Costs

The Company defers financing costs and amortizes the costs over the terms of the related debt using the effective interest method. Upon repayment of or in conjunction with a material change in the terms of the underlying debt agreement, any unamortized costs are charged to earnings. Accumulated amortization at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 approximated \$9.2 million and \$9.0 million, respectively. Deferred financing costs, net of amortization, are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Joint Ventures

The Company holds interests in both consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures. The Company consolidates joint ventures when it exhibits financial or operational control, which is determined using accounting standards related to the consolidation of joint ventures and VIE’s. For joint ventures that are defined as VIE’s, the primary beneficiary consolidates the entity. In instances where the Company is not the primary beneficiary, it does not consolidate the joint venture for financial reporting purposes. For joint ventures that are not defined as VIEs, management first considers whether the Company is the general partner or a limited partner (or the equivalent in such investments which are not structured as partnerships). The Company consolidates joint ventures where it is the general partner (or the equivalent) and the limited partners (or the equivalent) in such investments do not have rights which would preclude control and, therefore, consolidation for financial reporting purposes. For joint ventures where the Company is the general partner (or the equivalent), but does not control the joint venture as the other partners (or the equivalent) hold substantive participating rights, the Company uses the equity method of accounting. For joint ventures where the Company is a limited partner (or the equivalent), management considers factors such as ownership interest, voting control, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners (or the equivalent) to determine if the presumption that the general partner controls the entity is overcome. In instances where these factors indicate the Company controls the joint venture, the Company consolidates the joint venture; otherwise it uses the equity method of accounting.

Debt Premiums and Discounts

Debt premiums and discounts represent fair value adjustments to account for the difference between the stated rates and market rates of debt assumed in connection with the Company’s property acquisitions. The debt premiums and

discounts are amortized to interest expense over the term of the related loans using the effective-interest method. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, net unamortized debt premiums were approximately \$3.0 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, and net unamortized debt discounts were approximately \$7.4 million and \$8.5 million, respectively. Debt premiums and discounts are included in secured mortgage, construction and bond debt on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Third-Party Development Services Revenue and Costs

Development revenues are generally recognized based on a proportionate performance method based on contract deliverables, while construction revenues are recognized using the percentage of completion method, as determined by construction costs incurred relative to total estimated construction costs. Costs associated with such projects are deferred and recognized in relation to the revenues earned on executed contracts. For projects where the Company's fee is based on a fixed price, any cost overruns incurred during construction, as compared to the original budget, will reduce the net fee generated on those projects. Incentive fees are generally recognized when the project is complete and performance has been agreed upon by all parties, or when performance has been verified by an independent third-party. The Company also evaluates the collectability of fee income and expense reimbursements generated through the provision of development and construction management services based upon the individual facts and circumstances, including the contractual right to receive such amounts in accordance with the terms of the various projects, and reserves any amounts that are deemed to be uncollectible.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pre-development expenditures such as architectural fees, permits and deposits associated with the pursuit of third-party and owned development projects are expensed as incurred, until such time that management believes it is probable that the contract will be executed and/or construction will commence. Because the Company frequently incurs these pre-development expenditures before a financing commitment and/or required permits and authorizations have been obtained, the Company bears the risk of loss of these pre-development expenditures if financing cannot ultimately be arranged on acceptable terms or the Company is unable to successfully obtain the required permits and authorizations. As such, management evaluates the status of third-party and owned projects that have not yet commenced construction on a periodic basis and expenses any deferred costs related to projects whose current status indicates the commencement of construction is unlikely and/or the costs may not provide future value to the Company in the form of revenues. Such write-offs are included in third-party development and management services expenses (in the case of third-party development projects) or general and administrative expenses (in the case of owned development projects) on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2010, the Company has deferred approximately \$9.4 million in pre-development costs related to third-party and owned development projects that have not yet commenced construction. Such costs are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company records all derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in fair value are recognized either in earnings or as other comprehensive income, depending on whether the derivative has been designated as a fair value or cash flow hedge and whether it qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, the nature of the exposure being hedged, and how effective the derivative is at offsetting movements in underlying exposure. The Company discontinues hedge accounting when: (i) it determines that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; (ii) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised; (iii) it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur; or (iv) management determines that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. In all situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued and the derivative remains outstanding, the Company will carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet, recognizing changes in the fair value in current-period earnings. The Company uses interest rate swaps to effectively convert a portion of its floating rate debt to fixed rate, thus reducing the impact of rising interest rates on interest payments. These instruments are designated as cash flow hedges and the interest differential to be paid or received is accrued as interest expense. The Company's counter-parties are major financial institutions. See Note 12 herein for an expanded discussion on derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholders. As a REIT, the Company will generally not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income it currently distributes to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years. Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local income and excise taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed income.

The Company owns two TRS entities that manage the Company's non-REIT activities and each is subject to federal, state and local income taxes.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed using net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect common shares issuable from the assumed conversion of common and preferred Operating Partnership units and common share awards granted. Only those items having a dilutive impact on basic earnings per share are included in diluted earnings per share.

The following potentially dilutive securities were outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effects of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Restricted stock awards (Note 11) | - | 467,529 | - | 455,310 |
| Common Operating Partnership units (Note 7) | 1,171,085 | 1,186,785 | 1,179,528 | 1,141,666 |
| Preferred Operating Partnership units (Note 7) | 114,963 | 114,963 | 114,963 | 114,963 |
| Total potentially dilutive securities | 1,286,048 | 1,769,277 | 1,294,491 | 1,711,939 |

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following is a summary of the elements used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|---------------------------|------------|---|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Basic earnings per share calculation: | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$1,001 | \$(4,750) |) | \$6,875 | \$(3,840) |) |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | (170) |) (30 |) | (498) |) (275 |) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | 831 | (4,780) |) | 6,377 | (4,115) |) |
| Amount allocated to participating securities | (175) |) (157 |) | (400) |) (339 |) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, net of amount allocated to participating securities | 656 | (4,937) |) | 5,977 | (4,454) |) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (64) |) (547 |) | (7,993) |) (948 |) |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | 1 | 17 | | 195 | 30 | |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders | (63) |) (530 |) | (7,798) |) (918 |) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted – basic | \$593 | \$(5,467) |) | \$(1,821) |) \$(5,372 |) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted – per share | \$0.01 | \$(0.10) |) | \$ 0.12 | \$(0.10) |) |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders – per share | \$0.00 | \$(0.01) |) | \$(0.15) |) \$(0.02 |) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted – per share | \$0.01 | \$(0.11) |) | \$(0.03) |) \$(0.12 |) |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 52,335,642 | 47,897,196 | | 52,285,919 | 45,152,665 | |
| Diluted earnings per share calculation: | | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, net of amount allocated to participating securities | \$656 | \$(4,937) |) | \$ 5,977 | \$(4,454) |) |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders | (63) |) (530 |) | (7,798) |) (918 |) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted – diluted | \$593 | \$(5,467) |) | \$(1,821) |) \$(5,372 |) |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, net of amount allocated | \$0.01 | \$(0.10) |) | \$ 0.12 | \$(0.10) |) |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| to participating securities – per share | | | | |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders – per share | \$0.00 | \$(0.01) |) \$(0.15 |) \$(0.02) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders - per share | \$0.01 | \$(0.11) |) \$(0.03 |) \$(0.12) |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 52,335,642 | 47,897,196 | 52,285,919 | 45,152,665 |
| Restricted Stock Awards (Note 11) | 517,361 | - | 543,694 | - |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 52,853,003 | 47,897,196 | 52,829,613 | 45,152,665 |

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

3. Property Acquisitions

In March 2010, one of the Fidelity joint ventures in which the Company owns a 10% interest assigned its ownership interest in the University Heights property to the Operating Partnership for a price of \$9.9 million, the value of the mortgage indebtedness. This 528-bed property, serving students attending the University of Alabama at Birmingham, is now 100% wholly-owned by the Operating Partnership.

The acquired property's results of operations have been included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations since the acquisition closing date. The following pro forma information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 presents consolidated financial information for the Company as if the property acquisition discussed above had occurred on January 1, 2009. The unaudited pro forma information is provided for informational purposes only and is not indicative of results that would have occurred or which may occur in the future:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total revenues | \$ 76,682 | \$ 71,723 | \$ 158,580 | \$ 147,874 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 914 | \$ (5,504) | \$ (1,273) | \$ (5,420) |
| Net income (loss) per share – basic | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.13) |
| Net income (loss) per share – diluted | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.13) |

4. Property Dispositions and Discontinued Operations

On April 30, 2010, the Company sold Campus Walk - Oxford for a purchase price of \$9.2 million, including the assumption of the existing \$8.1 million mortgage loan, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$1.0 million. The resulting loss on disposition of approximately \$55,000 is included in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. In March 2010, the Company classified Campus Walk – Oxford as held for sale and concurrent with the held for sale classification, the property was recorded at the lower of cost or fair value resulting in an impairment charge of approximately \$4.0 million, which is included in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

On March 26, 2010, the Company sold Cambridge at Southern for a purchase price of \$19.5 million, including the assumption of the existing \$18.4 million mortgage loan, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$0.9 million. The resulting loss on disposition of approximately \$3.6 million is included in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

On December 31, 2009, the Company sold Riverside Estates for a purchase price of \$18.2 million, including the assumption of the existing \$16.2 million mortgage loan, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$1.3 million.

The related net loss for the afore-mentioned properties is reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Below is a summary of the results of operations for Campus Walk – Oxford, Cambridge at Southern and Riverside Estates through their respective disposition dates for all periods presented:

Six Months Ended June 30,

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended June | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 30, | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total revenues | \$ 147 | \$ 1,999 | \$ 1,310 | \$ 3,996 |
| Total operating expenses | 117 | 1,906 | 1,153 | 3,672 |
| Provision for asset impairment | - | - | 4,036 | - |
| Operating income (loss) | 30 | 93 | (3,879) | 324 |
| Total nonoperating expenses | (35) | (640) | (409) | (1,272) |
| Net loss | \$(5) | \$(547) | \$(4,288) | \$(948) |

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Investments in Wholly-Owned Properties

Wholly-owned properties consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Land (1) | \$ 254,611 | \$ 250,044 |
| Buildings and improvements | 1,808,467 | 1,825,915 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 112,576 | 112,831 |
| Construction in progress | 5,367 | - |
| | 2,181,021 | 2,188,790 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (203,546) | (173,820) |
| Wholly-owned properties, net | \$ 1,977,475 | \$ 2,014,970 |

- (1) The land balance above includes undeveloped land parcels with a total book value of \$30.5 million and \$27.6 million as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

6. On-Campus Participating Properties

The Company is a party to ground/facility lease agreements (“Leases”) with certain state university systems and colleges (each, a “Lessor”) for the purpose of developing, constructing, and operating student housing facilities on university campuses. Under the terms of the Leases, title to the constructed facilities is held by the applicable Lessor and such Lessor receives a de minimus base rent paid at inception and 50% of defined net cash flows on an annual basis through the term of the lease. The Leases terminate upon the earlier to occur of the final repayment of the related debt, the amortization period of which is contractually stipulated, or the end of the lease term.

Pursuant to the Leases, in the event the leasehold estates do not achieve Financial Break Even (defined as revenues less operating expenses, excluding management fees, less debt service), the applicable Lessor would be required to make a rental payment, also known as the Contingent Payment, sufficient to achieve Financial Break Even. The Contingent Payment provision remains in effect until such time as any financing placed on the facilities would receive an investment grade rating without the Contingent Payment provision. In the event that the Lessor is required to make a Contingent Payment, future net cash flow distributions would be first applied to repay such Contingent Payments and then to unpaid management fees prior to normal distributions. Beginning in November 1999 and December 2002, as a result of the debt financing on the facilities achieving investment grade ratings without the Contingent Payment provision, the Texas A&M University System is no longer required to make Contingent Payments under either the Prairie View A&M University Village or University College Leases. The Contingent Payment obligation continues to be in effect for the Texas A&M International University and University of Houston leases.

In the event the Company seeks to sell its leasehold interest, the Leases provide the applicable Lessor the right of first refusal of a bona fide purchase offer and an option to purchase the lessee’s rights under the applicable Lease.

In conjunction with the execution of each Lease, the Company has entered into separate five-year agreements to manage the related facilities for 5% of defined gross receipts. The five-year terms of the management agreements are not contingent upon the continuation of the Leases. Upon expiration of the initial five year terms, the agreements continue on a month-to-month basis.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On-campus participating properties are as follows:

| Lessor/University | Lease Commencement | Required Debt Repayment (1) | Historical Cost June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Texas A&M University System / Prairie View A&M University (2) | 2/1/96 | 9/1/23 | \$ 39,039 | \$ 38,918 |
| Texas A&M University System / Texas A&M International | 2/1/96 | 9/1/23 | 6,224 | 6,216 |
| Texas A&M University System / Prairie View A&M University (3) | 10/1/99 | 8/31/25 / 8/31/28 | 24,471 | 24,398 |
| University of Houston System / University of Houston (4) | 9/27/00 | 8/31/35 | 35,214 | 35,192 |
| | | | 104,948 | 104,724 |
| Less accumulated amortization | | | (41,193) | (39,034) |
| On-campus participating properties, net | | | \$ 63,755 | \$ 65,690 |

(1) Represents the effective lease termination date. The Leases terminate upon the earlier to occur of the final repayment of the related debt or the end of the contractual lease term.

(2) Consists of three phases placed in service between 1996 and 1998.

(3) Consists of two phases placed in service in 2000 and 2003.

(4) Consists of two phases placed in service in 2001 and 2005.

7. Noncontrolling Interests

Third-party joint venture partners: The Company consolidates four joint ventures that own and operate the Callaway House, University Village at Sweet Home, University Centre and Villas at Chestnut Ridge owned-off campus properties. The portion of net assets attributable to the third-party partners in these joint ventures is classified as “noncontrolling interests” within equity on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Accordingly, the third-party partners’ share of the income or loss of the joint ventures is reported on the consolidated statements of operations as “noncontrolling interests share of net income / loss.”

Operating Partnership units: Certain partners in the Operating Partnership hold their ownership through common and preferred units of limited partnership interest, hereinafter referred to as “Common Units” or “Series A Preferred Units.” Common Units and Series A Preferred Units are exchangeable into an equal number of shares of the Company’s common stock, or, at the Company’s election, cash. A Common Unit and a share of the Company’s common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics, as they effectively participate equally in the net income and distributions of the Operating Partnership. Series A Preferred Units have a cumulative preferential per annum cash distribution rate of 5.99%, payable quarterly concurrently with the payment of dividends on the Company’s common stock.

The Company follows accounting guidance stipulating that securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets at a fixed or determinable price on a fixed or determinable date, at the option of the holder, or upon the occurrence of an event that is not solely within the control of the issuer, must be classified outside of permanent equity in the mezzanine section of the consolidated balance sheets. In accordance with such guidance, management evaluates whether the Company controls the actions or events necessary to issue the maximum number of shares that could be required to be delivered under share settlement of the contract. Based on this assessment, which includes evaluating terms in the applicable agreements related to redemption provisions, the Company has determined that Common Units and Series A Preferred Units in the Operating Partnership should be classified as “redeemable noncontrolling interests” in the mezzanine section of the consolidated balance sheets. The value of redeemable noncontrolling interests on the consolidated balance sheets is reported at the greater of fair value or historical cost at the end of each reporting period. Accordingly, income or loss allocated to these redeemable noncontrolling interests on the Company’s consolidated statements of operations includes the Series A Preferred Unit distributions as well as the pro rata share of the Operating Partnership’s net income or loss allocated to Common Units.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, 37,004 and 2,000 Common Units, respectively, were converted into shares of the Company's common stock. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, approximately 2% of the equity interests of the Operating Partnership was held by owners of Common Units and Series A Preferred Units.

8. Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures are accounted for utilizing the equity method. As discussed in Note 2 herein, the equity method is used when the Company has the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies of the joint venture but does not have control of the joint venture. Under the equity method, these investments are initially recognized in the balance sheet at cost and are subsequently adjusted to reflect the Company's proportionate share of net earnings or losses of the joint venture, distributions received, contributions, and certain other adjustments, as appropriate. When circumstances indicate there may have been a loss in value of an equity method investment, the Company evaluates the investment for impairment by estimating the Company's ability to recover its investment from future expected discounted cash flows. If the Company determines the loss in value is other than temporary, the Company recognizes an impairment charge to reflect the investment at fair value. The Company believes that there were no impairments of the carrying values of its equity method investments as of June 30, 2010.

Fidelity Joint Ventures: The Company owns a 10% interest in two joint ventures with Fidelity that own 18 properties containing approximately 9,800 beds. The Company serves as property manager for all of the joint venture properties. These joint ventures are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Fidelity Joint Ventures."

The Fidelity Joint Ventures are funded in part with secured third party debt in the amount of \$293.5 million. The Operating Partnership serves as non-recourse, carve-out guarantor of this debt, which means the Operating Partnership is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the respective limited liability company agreements, the Fidelity Joint Ventures agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Operating Partnership with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, the Operating Partnership's exposure under the guarantees for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates is not expected to exceed the Company's 10% proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt.

The Company's \$6.1 million and \$8.0 million investment in these two joint ventures at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, and the Company's \$0.7 million and \$0.3 million share in the loss from these two joint ventures for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$1.6 million and \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, is included in loss from unconsolidated joint ventures in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company earned approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, in property management fees from these joint ventures, and for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company earned approximately \$1.0 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, in property management fees from these joint ventures. Due to the respective limited liability company agreements not providing for maximum capital commitments from the members, the Company's maximum exposure to loss stemming from its investment in the Fidelity Joint Ventures could be unlimited.

Hampton Roads Joint Venture: The Company also holds a noncontrolling equity interest in a joint venture that owns a military housing privatization project with the United States Navy to design, develop, construct, renovate, and manage unaccompanied soldier housing located on naval bases in Norfolk and Newport News, Virginia. The project is financed through taxable revenue bonds. The Company's \$-0- and \$0.5 million investment in this joint venture at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, and the Company's share in the loss from this joint venture of \$-0- and \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$0.5 million for both six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, is included in loss from unconsolidated joint ventures in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The Company earned combined development and management fees from this joint venture of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

9. Debt

A summary of the Company's outstanding consolidated indebtedness, including unamortized debt premiums and discounts, is as follows:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Debt secured by wholly-owned properties: | | |
| Mortgage loans payable | \$ 767,468 | \$ 850,046 |
| Construction loan payable | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| | 867,468 | 950,046 |
| Debt secured by on-campus participating properties: | | |
| Mortgage loans payable | 32,569 | 32,718 |
| Bonds payable | 51,390 | 51,390 |
| | 83,959 | 84,108 |
| Senior secured term loan | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Secured revolving credit facility | 30,100 | - |
| Secured agency facility | 94,000 | 94,000 |
| Unamortized debt premiums | 2,999 | 3,765 |
| Unamortized debt discounts | (7,385) | (8,464) |
| Total debt | \$ 1,171,141 | \$ 1,223,455 |

Pay-off of Mortgage Debt

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company paid off \$51.9 million of fixed-rate mortgage debt secured by three of its wholly-owned properties, Campus Club – Statesboro, University Trails and River Club Apartments. The mortgage debt secured by Campus Club – Statesboro and University Trails was paid off on their respective scheduled maturity dates of March 1, 2010. The mortgage debt secured by River Club Apartments was paid off on April 1, 2010, four months before the scheduled maturity date of August 1, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, the Company has an additional \$31.9 million of outstanding fixed-rate mortgage debt scheduled to mature throughout the remainder of 2010, all of which the Company expects to pay-off on or before the respective maturity dates.

Secured Revolving Credit Facility

The Operating Partnership has a \$225 million revolving credit facility that may be expanded by up to an additional \$75 million upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. The maturity date of the facility is August 14, 2012 and can be extended 12 months through August 2013. As of June 30, 2010, the facility was secured by seven of the Company's wholly-owned properties.

Availability under the revolving credit facility is limited to an "aggregate borrowing base amount" equal to the lesser of (i) 50% to 65% of the value of certain properties, calculated as set forth in the credit facility, and (ii) the adjusted net operating income from these properties divided by a formula amount. The facility bears interest at a variable rate, at the Company's option, based upon a base rate or one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 2.0%, plus, in each case, a spread based upon the Company's total leverage. Additionally, the Company is required to pay an unused commitment fee of 0.35% per annum. As of June 30, 2010, the balance outstanding on the facility totaled \$30.1 million, bearing interest at a weighted average annual rate of 5.00%, with remaining availability under the facility totaling \$122.3 million.

The terms of the facility include certain restrictions and covenants, which limit, among other items, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, liens, and the disposition of assets. The facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and also contains financial covenants that, among other things, require the Company to maintain certain minimum ratios of “EBITDA” (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) to fixed charges and total indebtedness. The Company may not pay distributions that exceed a specified percentage of funds from operations, as adjusted, for any four consecutive quarters. The financial covenants also include consolidated net worth and leverage ratio tests. As of June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Secured Agency Facility

The Company has a \$125 million secured revolving credit facility with a Freddie Mac lender. The facility has a five-year term and is currently secured by 11 properties referred to as the "Collateral Pool." The facility bears interest at one- or three-month LIBOR plus a spread that varies based on the debt service ratio of the Collateral Pool. Additionally, the Company is required to pay an unused commitment fee of 1.0% per annum. As of June 30, 2010, the balance outstanding on the secured agency facility totaled \$94.0 million, bearing interest at a weighted average annual rate of 2.50%. The secured agency facility includes some, but not all, of the same financial covenants as the secured revolving credit facility, described above.

Senior Secured Term Loan

The Operating Partnership has a \$100 million senior secured term loan that matures on May 23, 2011 and can be extended through May 2012 through the exercise of a 12-month extension option. The secured term loan bears interest at a variable rate, at the Company's option, based upon a base rate or one-, two-, three-, or six-month LIBOR plus, in each case, a spread based upon the Company's total leverage. As of June 30, 2010, the balance outstanding on the secured term loan was \$100 million. The Company guarantees the Operating Partnership's obligations under the secured term loan. The secured term loan includes the same restrictions and covenants as the secured revolving credit facility, described above.

On February 23, 2009, the Company entered into two \$50.0 million interest rate swap agreements effective March 20, 2009 through February 20, 2012, which are both used to hedge the Company's exposure to fluctuations in interest payments on its LIBOR-based senior secured term loan. Under the terms of the two interest rate swap agreements, the Company pays an average fixed rate of 1.7925% and receives a one-month LIBOR floating rate. As a result of these two interest rate swaps, the Company effectively fixed the interest rate on its senior secured term loan to 3.55% as of June 30, 2010 (1.7925% + 1.75% spread). In the event that the swaps at any time have a negative fair value below a certain threshold level, the Company is required to post cash into a collateral account pledged to the interest rate swap providers. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had deposited approximately \$0.9 million into a collateral account related to one of the interest rate swaps. See Note 12 herein for a more detailed discussion of the Company's derivative instruments and hedging activities.

10. Stockholders' Equity

In May 2010, the Company announced the establishment of an at-the-market share offering program (the "ATM Equity Program") through which the Company may issue and sell, from time to time, shares of common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$150 million. Actual sales under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, market conditions, the trading price of the Company's common stock and determinations of the appropriate sources of funding for the Company. From inception of the ATM Equity Program through June 30, 2010, the Company sold approximately 0.3 million shares at weighted average price of \$28.57 per share for net proceeds of approximately \$7.5 million after payment of approximately \$0.1 million of commissions to the sales agents. The Company may continue to sell shares of common stock under this program from time to time based on market conditions, although the Company is not under an obligation to sell any shares. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had approximately \$142.3 million available for issuance under this program.

11. Incentive Award Plan

In May 2010, the Company's stockholders approved the American Campus Communities, Inc. 2010 Incentive Award Plan (the "2010 Plan"). The 2010 Plan provides for the grant of various stock-based incentive awards to selected employees and directors of the Company and the Company's affiliates. The types of awards that may be granted under the 2010 Plan include incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock awards ("RSAs"), restricted stock units ("RSUs"), profits interest units ("PIUs") and other stock-based awards. The Company has reserved a total of 1.7 million shares of the Company's common stock for issuance pursuant to the 2010 Plan, subject to certain adjustments for changes in the Company's capital structure, as defined in the 2010 Plan. As of June 30, 2010, 1,715,563 shares were available for issuance under the 2010 Plan.

Restricted Stock Units

Upon initial appointment to the Board of Directors and reelection to the Board of Directors at each Annual Meeting of Stockholders, each outside member of the Board of Directors is granted RSUs. On the Settlement Date, the Company will deliver to the recipients a number of shares of common stock or cash, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, equal to the number of RSUs held by the recipients. In addition, recipients of RSUs are entitled to dividend equivalents equal to the cash distributions paid by the Company on one share of common stock for each RSU issued, payable currently or on the Settlement Date, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Upon reelection to the Board of Directors in May 2010, the Chairman of the Board of Directors was granted RSUs valued at \$51,500 and the remaining outside members were each granted RSUs valued at \$41,500. The number of RSUs was determined based on the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant, as defined in the Plan. All awards vested and settled immediately on the date of grant, and the Company delivered shares of common stock and cash, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. A compensation charge of approximately \$0.3 million was recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2010 related to these awards. A summary of the Company's RSUs under the Plan as of June 30, 2010 and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2010, is presented below:

| | Number of RSUs |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 5,376 |
| Granted | 9,674 |
| Settled in common shares | (5,894) |
| Settled in cash | (9,156) |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2010 | - |

Restricted Stock Awards

The Company awards RSAs to its executive officers and certain employees that vest in equal annual installments over a five year period. Unvested awards are forfeited upon the termination of an individual's employment with the Company under specified circumstances. Recipients of RSAs receive dividends, as declared by the Company's Board of Directors, on unvested shares, provided that the recipient continues to be employed by the Company. A summary of the Company's RSAs under the Plan as of June 30, 2010 and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2010, is presented below:

| | Number of RSAs |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Nonvested balance at December 31, 2009 | 461,935 |
| Granted | 206,711 |
| Vested | (84,631) |
| Forfeited | (68,232) |
| Nonvested balance at June 30, 2010 | 515,783 |

The Company recognizes the value of these awards as an expense over the vesting periods, which amounted to approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and

\$1.8 million and \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

12. Derivatives Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's investments and borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with the Company's \$100 million senior secured term loan and the Cullen Oaks Phase I and Phase II loans.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes the Company's outstanding interest rate swap contracts as of June 30, 2010:

| Date Entered | Effective Date | Maturity Date | Pay Fixed Rate | Receive Floating Rate Index | Notional Amount | Fair Value |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | | LIBOR – 1 mo. plus | | |
| Feb. 12, 2007 | Feb. 15, 2007 | Feb. 15, 2014 | 6.689% | 1.35% | \$ 33,156 | \$ (4,273) |
| Feb. 23, 2009 | March 20, 2009 | Feb. 20, 2012 | 1.785% | LIBOR – 1 month | 50,000 | (889) |
| Feb. 23, 2009 | March 20, 2009 | Feb. 20, 2012 | 1.800% | LIBOR – 1 month | 50,000 | (897) |

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| | Derivative Liabilities | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | As of June 30, 2010 | | As of December 31, 2009 | |
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Interest rate swap contracts | Other liabilities | \$ 6,059 | Other Liabilities | \$ 4,356 |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | \$ 6,059 | | \$ 4,356 |

The table below presents the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on other comprehensive income ("OCI") for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

| | Amount of (Loss) Income Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$(1,703) | \$ 1,380 |
| Total | \$(1,703) | \$ 1,380 |

The Company reported a comprehensive loss of \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, which includes a net loss of \$4.8 million offset by an unrealized gain of \$1.4 million (reflected in the table above).

13. Fair Value Disclosures

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2010, and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques

utilized by the Company to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and inputs other than quoted prices observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety has been determined is based on the lowest level input significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. Disclosures concerning assets and liabilities measured at fair value are as follows:

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring or Nonrecurring Basis as of June 30, 2010

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance at June 30, 2010 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ - | \$ 6,059 | \$ - | \$6,059 |

The Company uses derivative financial instruments, specifically interest rate swaps, for nontrading purposes. The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk arising from previously unhedged interest payments associated with variable rate debt. Through June 30, 2010, derivative financial instruments were designated and qualified as cash flow hedges. Derivative contracts with positive net fair values inclusive of net accrued interest receipts or payments, are recorded in other assets. Derivative contracts with negative net fair values, inclusive of net accrued interest payments or receipts, are recorded in other liabilities. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds and guarantees.

Although the Company has determined the majority of the inputs used to value its derivative fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustment associated with its derivative utilizes Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparty. However, as of June 30, 2010, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of the Company's derivative financial instruments. As a result, the Company has determined its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other Fair Value Disclosures

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash, Student Contracts Receivable, Other Assets, Account Payable and Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities: The Company estimated that the carrying amount approximates fair value, due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Derivative Instruments: These instruments are reported on the balance sheet at fair value, which is based on calculations provided by independent, third-party financial institutions and represent the discounted future cash flows expected, based on the projected future interest rate curves over the life of the instrument.

Senior Secured Term Loan, Secured Credit Facilities and Construction Loans: the fair value of the Company's secured term loan, secured credit facilities and construction loans approximate carrying values due to the variable interest rate feature of these instruments.

Mortgage Loans: the fair value of mortgage loans is based on the present value of the cash flows at current rates through maturity.

Bonds Payable: the fair value of bonds payable is based on market quotes for bonds outstanding.

The table below contains the estimated fair value and related carrying amounts for the Company's mortgage loans and bonds payable as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount |
| Mortgage loans | \$856,777 | \$ 795,651 | \$912,332 | \$ 878,065 |
| Bonds payable | 52,201 | 51,390 | 49,865 | 51,390 |

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

Development-related guarantees: For its third-party development projects, the Company commonly provides alternate housing and project cost guarantees, subject to force majeure. These guarantees are typically limited, on an aggregate basis, to the amount of the projects' related development fees or a contractually agreed-upon maximum exposure amount. Alternate housing guarantees typically expire five days after construction is complete and generally require the Company to provide substitute living quarters and transportation for students to and from the university if the project is not complete by an agreed-upon completion date. Under project cost guarantees, the Company is responsible for the construction cost of a project in excess of an approved budget. The budget consists primarily of costs included in the general contractors' guaranteed maximum price contract ("GMP"). In most cases, the GMP obligates the general contractor, subject to force majeure and approved change orders, to provide completion date guarantees and to cover cost overruns and liquidated damages. In addition, the GMP is typically secured with payment and performance bonds. Project cost guarantees expire upon completion of certain developer obligations, which are normally satisfied within one year after completion of the project.

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into various development-related purchase commitments with parties that provide development-related goods and services. In the event that the Company was to terminate development services prior to the completion of projects under construction, the Company could potentially be committed to satisfy outstanding purchase orders with such parties. At June 30, 2010, management did not anticipate any material deviations from schedule or budget related to third-party development projects currently in progress.

Guaranty of Joint Venture Mortgage Debt: As mentioned in Note 8, the Fidelity Joint Ventures are funded in part with secured third party debt in the amount of \$293.5 million. The Operating Partnership serves as non-recourse, carve-out guarantor of this debt, which means the Operating Partnership is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the respective limited liability company agreements, the Fidelity Joint Ventures agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Operating Partnership with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, the Operating Partnership's exposure under the guarantees for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates is not expected to exceed the Company's 10% proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt.

The Company has estimated the fair value of guarantees entered into to be immaterial. The Company's estimated maximum exposure amount under the above guarantees is approximately \$305.3 million.

Contingencies

Gain on Insurance Settlement: In April 2010, the Company's Campus Trails property located in Starkville, Mississippi had 72 beds destroyed by a fire, which are currently in the process of being rebuilt. This fire caused substantial business interruption and property damage, both of which are covered under the Company's existing insurance policies. Management anticipates that the ultimate proceeds received from insurance will exceed the book value of the property destroyed, and accordingly a gain on insurance settlement will be recorded in a future period. Management anticipates that the gain will be recorded during the third or fourth quarter 2010, once all contingencies have been resolved and the amount of the gain is determinable.

Litigation: In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to claims, lawsuits, and legal proceedings. While it is not possible to ascertain the ultimate outcome of such matters, management believes that the aggregate amount of such liabilities, if any, in excess of amounts provided or covered by insurance, will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Letters of Intent: In the ordinary course of the Company's business, the Company enters into letters of intent indicating a willingness to negotiate for acquisitions, dispositions or joint ventures. Such letters of intent are non-binding, and neither party to the letter of intent is obligated to pursue negotiations unless and until a definitive contract is entered into by the parties. Even if definitive contracts are entered into, the letters of intent relating to the acquisition and disposition of real property and resulting contracts generally contemplate that such contracts will provide the acquirer with time to evaluate the property and conduct due diligence, during which periods the acquiror will have the ability to terminate the contracts without penalty or forfeiture of any deposit or earnest money. There can be no assurance that definitive contracts will be entered into with respect to any matter covered by letters of intent or that the Company will consummate any transaction contemplated by any definitive contract. Furthermore, due diligence periods for real property are frequently extended as needed. Once the due diligence period expires, the Company is then at risk under a real property acquisition contract, but only to the extent of any earnest money deposits associated with the contract.

Environmental Matters: The Company is not aware of any environmental liability with respect to the properties that would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, assets or results of operations. However, there can be no assurance that such a material environmental liability does not exist. The existence of any such material environmental liability could have an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and cash flows.

15. Segments

The Company defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided. The Company has identified four reportable segments: Wholly-Owned Properties, On-Campus Participating Properties, Development Services, and Property Management Services. Management evaluates each segment's performance based on operating income before depreciation, amortization, noncontrolling interests and allocation of corporate overhead. Intercompany fees are reflected at the contractually stipulated amounts.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Wholly-Owned Properties | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$68,791 | \$64,358 | \$140,235 | \$129,933 |
| Interest and other income | 9 | 10 | 20 | 21 |
| Total revenues from external customers | 68,800 | 64,368 | 140,255 | 129,954 |
| Operating expenses before depreciation, amortization, ground/facility lease and allocation of corporate overhead | 32,575 | 32,034 | 64,266 | 62,792 |
| Ground/facility leases | 267 | 252 | 532 | 512 |
| Interest expense | 11,475 | 13,297 | 23,656 | 26,977 |
| Other nonoperating income | - | 402 | - | 402 |
| Operating income before depreciation, amortization, and allocation of corporate overhead | \$24,483 | \$19,187 | \$51,801 | \$40,075 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$16,371 | \$18,140 | \$32,437 | \$36,052 |
| Capital expenditures | \$10,322 | \$32,543 | \$15,023 | \$68,356 |
| Total segment assets at June 30, | \$2,031,569 | \$2,100,190 | \$2,031,569 | \$2,100,190 |
| On-Campus Participating Properties | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$4,142 | \$3,922 | \$11,453 | \$10,796 |
| Interest and other income | 5 | 9 | 8 | 33 |
| Total revenues from external customers | 4,147 | 3,931 | 11,461 | 10,829 |
| Operating expenses before depreciation, amortization, ground/facility lease and allocation of corporate overhead | 2,458 | 2,644 | 4,690 | 4,541 |
| Ground/facility lease | 486 | 200 | 792 | 492 |
| Interest expense | 1,513 | 1,556 | 3,016 | 3,115 |
| Operating (loss) income before depreciation, amortization and allocation of corporate overhead | \$(310) | \$(469) | \$2,963 | \$2,681 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$1,080 | \$1,092 | \$2,159 | \$2,182 |
| Capital expenditures | \$181 | \$143 | \$224 | \$181 |
| Total segment assets at June 30, | \$77,320 | \$79,981 | \$77,320 | \$79,981 |
| Development Services | | | | |
| Development and construction management fees from external customers | \$1,628 | \$886 | \$2,202 | \$1,938 |
| Operating expenses before allocation of corporate overhead | 2,005 | 2,108 | 4,332 | 4,375 |
| Operating loss before depreciation, amortization and allocation of corporate overhead | \$(377) | \$(1,222) | \$(2,130) | \$(2,437) |
| Total segment assets at June 30, | \$4,012 | \$6,281 | \$4,012 | \$6,281 |
| Property Management Services | | | | |
| Property management fees from external customers | \$2,121 | \$2,105 | \$4,335 | \$4,347 |
| Intersegment revenues | 2,711 | 2,551 | 5,586 | 5,247 |
| Total revenues | 4,832 | 4,656 | 9,921 | 9,594 |
| Operating expenses before allocation of corporate overhead | 1,787 | 1,936 | 3,814 | 3,767 |
| Operating income before depreciation, amortization | \$3,045 | \$2,720 | \$6,107 | \$5,827 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| and allocation of corporate overhead | | | | |
| Total segment assets at June 30, | \$3,931 | \$5,565 | \$3,931 | \$5,565 |
| Reconciliations | | | | |
| Total segment revenues | \$79,407 | \$73,841 | \$163,839 | \$152,315 |
| Unallocated interest income earned on corporate cash | 2 | 21 | 5 | 25 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenues | (2,711) | (2,551) | (5,586) | (5,247) |
| Total consolidated revenues, including interest income | \$76,698 | \$71,311 | \$158,258 | \$147,093 |
| Segment operating income before depreciation, amortization and allocation of corporate overhead | \$26,841 | \$20,216 | \$58,741 | \$46,146 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (18,810) | (20,360) | (37,340) | (40,482) |
| Net unallocated expenses relating to corporate overhead | (6,177) | (3,988) | (12,116) | (8,197) |
| Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures | (711) | (483) | (2,125) | (1,037) |
| Income tax provision | (142) | (135) | (285) | (270) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operation | \$1,001 | \$(4,750) | \$6,875 | \$(3,840) |
| Total segment assets | \$2,116,832 | \$2,192,017 | \$2,116,832 | \$2,192,017 |
| Unallocated corporate assets | 34,068 | 57,138 | 34,068 | 57,138 |
| Total assets at June 30, | \$2,150,900 | \$2,249,155 | \$2,150,900 | \$2,249,155 |

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

16. Subsequent Events

Property Acquisition: On July 8, 2010, the Company acquired a 201-unit, 487-bed wholly-owned property (Sanctuary Lofts) located near the campus of Texas State University in San Marcos, Texas, for a purchase price of \$21.4 million, which excludes approximately \$1.8 million of anticipated transaction costs, initial integration expenses and capital expenditures necessary to bring this property up to the Company's operating standards. The Company did not assume any debt as part of this transaction.

Pay-off of Mortgage Debt: The Company paid off \$19.1 million in fixed-rate mortgage debt secured by one of its wholly-owned properties (The Village at Alafaya Club), on the scheduled maturity date of August 2, 2010.

ATM Equity Program: Subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Company sold approximately 0.2 million shares under the ATM Equity Program for net proceeds of approximately \$4.4 million after payment of approximately \$67,000 of commissions to the sales agents.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this report, or which management may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on management's beliefs and assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used, the words "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "intend," "may," "might," "plan," "project," "should," "will," "result" and similar expressions, which do not relate solely to historical matters, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. We caution you that while forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs when we make them, they are not guarantees of future performance and are impacted by actual events when they occur after we make such statements. We expressly disclaim any responsibility to update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on past forward-looking statements, which are based on results and trends at the time they were made, to anticipate future results or trends.

Some of the risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include, among others, the following: general risks affecting the real estate industry; risks associated with changes in university admission or housing policies; risks associated with the availability and terms of financing and the use of debt to fund acquisitions and developments; failure to manage effectively our growth and expansion into new markets or to integrate acquisitions successfully; risks and uncertainties affecting property development and construction; risks associated with downturns in the national and local economies, volatility in capital and credit markets, increases in interest rates, and volatility in the securities markets; costs of compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and other similar laws; potential liability for uninsured losses and environmental contamination; and risks associated with our Company's potential failure to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), as amended, and possible adverse changes in tax and environmental laws.

The risks included here are not exhaustive, and additional factors could adversely affect our business and financial performance, including factors and risks included in other sections of this report. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

Our Company and Our Business

American Campus Communities, Inc. (referred to herein as the "Company," "us," "we," and "our") is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") that was incorporated on March 9, 2004 and commenced operations effective with the completion of our initial public offering ("IPO") on August 17, 2004. Through our controlling interest in American Campus Communities Operating Partnership LP (the "Operating Partnership"), we are one of the largest owners, managers and developers of high quality student housing properties in the United States in terms of beds owned, developed, and under management. We are a fully integrated, self-managed and self-administered equity REIT with expertise in the acquisition, design, financing, development, construction management, leasing and management of student housing properties.

As of June 30, 2010, our property portfolio contained 86 student housing properties with approximately 53,300 beds and approximately 17,300 apartment units. Our property portfolio consisted of 79 owned off-campus properties that are in close proximity to colleges and universities, three American Campus Equity (“ACE®”) properties operated under ground/facility leases with two university systems and four on-campus participating properties operated under ground/facility leases with the related university systems. As of June 30, 2010, we also owned a noncontrolling interest in two joint ventures that owned an aggregate of 18 student housing properties with approximately 9,800 beds in approximately 2,900 units. Our communities contain modern housing units and are supported by a resident assistant system and other student-oriented programming, with many offering resort-style amenities.

Through our taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRS”), we provide construction management and development services, primarily for student housing properties owned by colleges and universities, charitable foundations, and others. As of June 30, 2010, we provided third-party management and leasing services for 33 properties (five of which we served as the third-party developer and construction manager) that represented approximately 24,700 beds in approximately 9,400 units. Third-party management and leasing services are typically provided pursuant to multi-year management contracts that have initial terms that range from one to five years. As of June 30, 2010, our total owned, joint venture and third-party managed portfolio was comprised of 137 properties with approximately 87,800 beds in approximately 29,600 units.

Third-Party Development Services

Our third-party development and construction management services as of June 30, 2010 consisted of four projects under contract and currently in progress with fees ranging from \$2.2 million to \$7.6 million. As of June 30, 2010, fees of approximately \$2.8 million remained to be earned by us with respect to these projects, which have scheduled completion dates of July 2010 through August 2011.

While we believe that our third party development/construction management and property management services allow us to develop strong and key relationships with colleges and universities, revenue from this area has over time become a smaller portion of our operations due to the continued focus on and growth of our wholly-owned property portfolio. Nevertheless, we believe these services continue to provide synergies with respect to our ability to identify, acquire or develop, and successfully operate, student housing properties.

American Campus Equity (“ACE®”) Development Activities

An emerging opportunity in the wholly-owned property segment is the equity investment and ownership of on-campus housing via traditional long-term ground leases. Branded and marketed to colleges and universities as the ACE program, the transaction structure provides us with what we believe is a lower-risk opportunity compared to other off-campus projects, as our ACE projects will have premier on-campus locations with marketing and operational assistance from the university. The subject university substantially benefits by increasing its housing capacity with modern, well-amenitized student housing with no or minimal impacts to its own credit ratios, preserving the university’s credit capacity to fund academic and research facilities.

Acquisitions

In June 2010, we entered into an agreement to purchase the full ownership interests in 14 student housing properties containing 8,534 beds owned in two joint ventures in which we currently have a 10 percent interest. The Company will acquire the controlling members’ 90 percent interest in the 14 properties based on a total estimated value of approximately \$348.9 million, which includes \$252.2 million in mortgage loan debt at a weighted average interest rate of 5.85 percent. Two of the joint venture properties are not included in the acquisition and will remain in the existing joint venture. Management anticipates that this transaction will close in the third quarter of 2010, subject to various closing conditions, including lender approvals.

On July 8, 2010, we acquired a 201-unit, 487-bed wholly-owned property (Sanctuary Lofts) located near the campus of Texas State University in San Marcos, Texas, for a purchase price of \$21.4 million, which excludes approximately \$1.8 million of anticipated transaction costs, initial integration expenses and capital expenditures necessary to bring this property up to our operating standards. We did not assume any debt as part of this transaction.

Owned Development Activities

Overview: As of June 30, 2010, we were in the process of constructing one owned off-campus property and one ACE property that will be operated under a ground/facility lease with a related university system. We estimate that the total development costs relating to these activities will be approximately \$74.6 million. As of June 30, 2010, we have incurred development costs of approximately \$10.3 million in connection with these properties, including land costs of approximately \$4.6 million. Remaining development costs are estimated to be approximately \$64.3 million. The activities are described below:

Villas at Babcock: As of June 30, 2010, our Villas at Babcock owned off-campus property was under construction with total development costs estimated to be approximately \$35.4 million. The project is scheduled to complete

construction and open for occupancy in August 2011 and will serve students attending the University of Texas – San Antonio. As of June 30, 2010, the project was approximately 5% complete, and we estimate that remaining development costs will be approximately \$27.9 million. As of June 30, 2010, we have funded 100% of the project's development costs and plan to fund the remaining development costs internally.

University of New Mexico Phase I: As of June 30, 2010, our University of New Mexico Phase I ACE property was under construction with total development costs estimated to be approximately \$39.2 million. The project is scheduled to complete construction and open for occupancy in August 2011 and will serve students attending the University of New Mexico. As of June 30, 2010, the project was approximately 1% complete, and we estimate that remaining development costs will be approximately \$36.4 million. As of June 30, 2010, we have funded 100% of the project's development costs and plan to fund the remaining development costs internally.

ATM Equity Program

In May 2010, we announced the establishment of an at-the-market share offering program (the "ATM Equity Program") through which we may issue and sell, from time to time, shares of common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$150 million. Actual sales under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, market conditions, the trading price of the Company's common stock and determinations of the appropriate sources of funding for the Company. From inception of the ATM Equity Program through June 30, 2010, we issued approximately 0.3 million shares at weighted average price of \$28.57 per share for net proceeds of approximately \$7.5 million, after payment of approximately \$0.1 million of commissions to the sales agents. We may continue to sell shares of common stock under this program from time to time based on market conditions, although we are not under an obligation to sell any shares. As of June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$142.3 million available for issuance under this program. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, we sold approximately 0.2 million shares under the ATM Equity Program for net proceeds of approximately \$4.4 million, after payment of approximately \$67,000 of commissions to the sales agents.

Property Operations

As of June 30, 2010 our property portfolio consisted of the following:

| PROPERTY | YR ACQUIRED / DEVELOPED (1) | LOCATION | PRIMARY UNIVERSITY SERVED | UNITS | BEDS |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Wholly-Owned properties: | | | | | |
| 1. Villas on Apache | 1999 | Tempe, AZ | Arizona State University Main Campus | 111 | 288 |
| 2. River Club Apartments | 1999 | Athens, GA | The University of Georgia – Athens | 266 | 792 |
| 3. River Walk Townhomes | 1999 | Athens, GA | The University of Georgia – Athens | 100 | 336 |
| 4. The Village at Blacksburg | 2000 | Blacksburg, VA College | Virginia Polytechnic Inst. & State University | 288 | 1,056 |
| 5. The Callaway House | 2001 | Station, TX | Texas A&M University | 173 | 538 |
| 6. The Village at Alafaya Club | 2000 | Orlando, FL | The University of Central Florida | 228 | 839 |
| 7. The Village at Science Drive | 2001 | Orlando, FL | The University of Central Florida | 192 | 732 |
| 8. University Village at Boulder Creek | 2002 | Boulder, CO | The University of Colorado at Boulder | 82 | 309 |
| 9. University Village at Fresno | 2004 | Fresno, CA | California State University – Fresno | 105 | 406 |
| 10. University Village at TU (2) | 2004 | Philadelphia, PA | Temple University | 220 | 749 |
| 11. University Club Tallahassee | 2005 | Tallahassee, FL | Florida State University | 152 | 608 |
| 12. The Grove at University Club | 2005 | Tallahassee, FL | Florida State University | 64 | 128 |
| 13. College Club Tallahassee | 2005 | Tallahassee, FL | Florida A&M University | 96 | 384 |
| 14. The Greens at College Club | 2005 | Tallahassee, FL | Florida A&M University | 40 | 160 |
| 15. University Club Gainesville | 2005 | Gainesville, FL | University of Florida | 94 | 376 |
| 16. City Parc at Fry Street | 2005 | Denton, TX Gainesville, | University of North Texas | 136 | 418 |
| 17. The Estates | 2005 | FL | University of Florida | 396 | 1,044 |
| 18. University Village at Sweet Home | 2005 | Amherst, NY | State University of New York – Buffalo | 269 | 828 |
| 19. Entrada Real | 2006 | Tucson, AZ Tallahassee, | University of Arizona | 98 | 363 |
| 20. Royal Oaks | 2006 | FL Tallahassee, | Florida State University | 82 | 224 |
| 21. Royal Pavilion | 2006 | FL | Florida State University | 60 | 204 |
| | 2006 | | Florida State University | 75 | 288 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| 22. Royal Village Tallahassee | | Tallahassee, FL | | | |
| 23. Royal Village Gainesville | 2006 | Gainesville, FL | University of Florida The University of Central Florida | 118 | 448 |
| 24. Northgate Lakes | 2006 | Orlando, FL | Florida | 194 | 710 |
| 25. Royal Lexington | 2006 | Lexington, KY | University of Kentucky | 94 | 364 |
| | | Murfreesboro, TN | Middle Tennessee State University | 78 | 276 |
| 26. The Woods at Greenland | 2006 | Murfreesboro, TN | Middle Tennessee State University | 96 | 276 |
| 27. Raider's Crossing | 2006 | Lubbock, TX | Texas Tech University | 264 | 828 |
| 28. Raider's Pass | 2006 | College Station, TX | Texas A&M University | 156 | 450 |
| 29. Aggie Station | 2006 | San Marcos, TX | Texas State University – San Marcos | 162 | 486 |
| 30. The Outpost San Marcos | 2006 | San Antonio, TX | University of Texas – San Antonio | 276 | 828 |
| 31. The Outpost San Antonio | 2006 | College Station, TX | Texas A&M University | 236 | 704 |
| 32. Callaway Villas | 2006 | Huntington, WV | Marshall University | 248 | 752 |
| 33. Village on Sixth | 2007 | Lexington, KY | University of Kentucky | 356 | 942 |
| 34. Newtown Crossing | 2007 | Toledo, OH | University of Toledo | 224 | 550 |
| 35. Olde Towne University Square | 2007 | Ypsilanti, MI | Eastern Michigan University Rutgers University, NJIT, | 183 | 478 |
| 36. Peninsular Place | 2007 | Newark, NJ | Essex CCC | 234 | 838 |
| 37. University Centre | 2007 | Morgantown, WV | West Virginia University | 68 | 161 |
| 38. Sunnyside Commons | 2008 | Greenville, NC | East Carolina University | 144 | 528 |
| 39. Pirate's Place | 2008 | Reno, NV | University of Nevada at Reno | 216 | 732 |
| 40. University Highlands | 2008 | Mankato, MN | Minnesota State University | 42 | 162 |
| 41. Jacob Heights I | 2008 | | | | |

| PROPERTY | YR ACQUIRED / DEVELOPED (1) | LOCATION | PRIMARY UNIVERSITY SERVED | UNITS | BEDS |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 42. Jacob Heights III | 2008 | Mankato, MN | Minnesota State University | 24 | 96 |
| 43. The Summit | 2008 | Mankato, MN | Minnesota State University | 192 | 672 |
| 44. GrandMarc – Seven Corners | 2008 | Minneapolis, MN | University of Minnesota | 186 | 440 |
| 45. University Village – Sacramento | 2008 | Sacramento, CA | California State University – Sacramento | 250 | 394 |
| 46. Aztec Corner | 2008 | San Diego, CA | San Diego State University | 180 | 606 |
| 47. University Crossings | 2008 | Philadelphia, PA | University of Pennsylvania / Drexel | 260 | 1,016 |
| 48. Campus Corner | 2008 | Bloomington, IN | Indiana University | 254 | 796 |
| 49. Tower at 3rd | 2008 | Champaign, IL | University of Illinois | 147 | 295 |
| 50. University Mills | 2008 | Cedar Falls, IA | University of Northern Iowa | 121 | 481 |
| 51. Pirates Cove | 2008 | Greenville, NC | East Carolina University | 264 | 1,056 |
| 52. University Manor | 2008 | Greenville, NC | East Carolina University | 168 | 600 |
| 53. Brookstone Village | 2008 | Wilmington, NC | UNC – Wilmington | 124 | 238 |
| 54. Campus Walk – Wilmington | 2008 | Wilmington, NC | UNC – Wilmington | 289 | 290 |
| 55. Campus Club – Statesboro | 2008 | Statesboro, GA | Georgia Southern University | 276 | 984 |
| 56. University Pines | 2008 | Statesboro, GA | Georgia Southern University | 144 | 552 |
| 57. Lakeside | 2008 | Athens, GA | University of Georgia | 244 | 776 |
| 58. The Club | 2008 | Athens, GA | University of Georgia | 120 | 480 |
| 59. The Edge | 2008 | Orlando, FL | Central Florida | 306 | 930 |
| 60. University Place | 2008 | Charlottesville, VA | University of Virginia | 144 | 528 |
| 61. Southview | 2008 | Harrisonburg, VA | James Madison University | 240 | 960 |
| 62. Stonegate | 2008 | Harrisonburg, VA | James Madison University | 168 | 672 |
| 63. The Commons | 2008 | Harrisonburg, VA | James Madison University | 132 | 528 |
| 64. University Gables | 2008 | Murfreesboro, TN | Middle Tennessee State University | 168 | 648 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| 65. Campus Ridge | 2008 | Johnson City, TN | East Tennessee State University | 132 | 528 |
| 66. The Enclave I | 2008 | Bowling Green, OH | Bowling Green State University | 120 | 480 |
| 67. Hawks Landing | 2008 | Oxford, OH | Miami University of Ohio | 122 | 484 |
| 68. Willow Tree Apartments | 2008 | Ann Arbor, MI | University of Michigan | 310 | 568 |
| 69. Willow Tree Towers | 2008 | Ann Arbor, MI | University of Michigan | 163 | 283 |
| 70. Abbott Place | 2008 | East Lansing, MI | Michigan State University | 222 | 654 |
| 71. University Centre – Kalamazoo | 2008 | Kalamazoo, MI | Western Michigan University | 232 | 700 |
| 72. University Meadows | 2008 | Mt. Pleasant, MI | Central Michigan University | 184 | 616 |
| 73. Campus Way | 2008 | Tuscaloosa, AL | University of Alabama Mississippi State | 194 | 680 |
| 74. Campus Trails | 2008 | Starkville, MS | University | 156 | 480 |
| 75. University Pointe | 2008 | Lubbock, TX | Texas Tech University | 204 | 682 |
| 76. University Trails | 2008 | Lubbock, TX | Texas Tech University | 240 | 684 |
| 77. Vista del Sol - ACE (3) | 2008 | Tempe, AZ | Arizona State University | 613 | 1,866 |
| 78. Villas at Chestnut Ridge | 2008 | Amherst, NY | State University of New York – Buffalo | 196 | 552 |
| 79. Barrett Honors College - ACE (3) | 2009 | Tempe, AZ | Arizona State University | 604 | 1,721 |
| 80. University Heights | 2010 | Birmingham, AL | University of Alabama at Birmingham | 176 | 528 |
| 81. Villas at Babcock (4) | 2011 | San Antonio, TX | University of Texas-San Antonio | 204 | 792 |
| 82. University of New Mexico –ACE (5) | 2011 | Albuquerque, NM | University of New Mexico | 216 | 864 |
| Total wholly-owned properties | | | | 15,405 | 48,783 |

| PROPERTY | YEAR ACQUIRED / DEVELOPED (1) | LOCATION | PRIMARY UNIVERSITY SERVED | UNITS | BEDS |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| O n - c a m p u s participating properties: | | | | | |
| 83. University Village – PVAMU | 1996 / 97 / 98 | Prairie View, TX | Prairie View A&M University | 612 | 1,920 |
| 84. University College – PVAMU | 2000 / 2003 | Prairie View, TX | Prairie View A&M University | 756 | 1,470 |
| 85. University Village – TAMU | 1997 | Laredo, TX | Texas A&M International University | 84 | 250 |
| 86. Cullen Oaks – Phase I and II | 2001 / 2006 | Houston, TX | The University of Houston | 411 | 879 |
| Total on-campus participating properties | | | | 1,863 | 4,519 |
| Total – all properties | | | | 17,268 | 53,302 |

(1) As of June 30, 2010, the average age of our wholly-owned properties was approximately 10.4 years.

(2) Subject to a 75-year ground lease with Temple University.

(3) Subject to a 65-year ground/facility lease with Arizona State University.

(4) Currently under development with a scheduled completion date of August 2011.

(5) Currently under development with a scheduled completion date of August 2011. Subject to a 40-year ground/facility lease with the University of New Mexico.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009

The following table presents our results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, including the amount and percentage change in these results between the two periods:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|----|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change (\$) | Change (%) | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Wholly-owned properties | \$ 68,549 | \$ 64,153 | \$ 4,396 | 6.9 | % |
| On-campus participating properties | 4,142 | 3,922 | 220 | 5.6 | % |
| Third party development services | 1,628 | 886 | 742 | 83.7 | % |
| Third party management services | 2,121 | 2,105 | 16 | 0.8 | % |
| Resident services | 242 | 205 | 37 | 18.0 | % |
| Total revenues | 76,682 | 71,271 | 5,411 | 7.6 | % |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Wholly-owned properties | 32,288 | 31,794 | 494 | 1.6 | % |
| On-campus participating properties | 2,620 | 2,783 | (163) | (5.9 | %) |
| Third party development and management services | 2,796 | 2,810 | (14) | (0.5 | %) |
| General and administrative | 2,616 | 2,829 | (213) | (7.5 | %) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,795 | 19,591 | (1,796) | (9.2 | %) |
| Ground/facility leases | 753 | 452 | 301 | 66.6 | % |
| Total operating expenses | 58,868 | 60,259 | (1,391) | (2.3 | %) |
| Operating income | 17,814 | 11,012 | 6,802 | 61.8 | % |
| Nonoperating income and (expenses): | | | | | |
| Interest income | 16 | 40 | (24) | (60.0 | %) |
| Interest expense | (14,961) | (14,817) | (144) | 1.0 | % |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | (1,015) | (769) | (246) | 32.0 | % |
| Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures | (711) | (483) | (228) | 47.2 | % |
| Other nonoperating income | - | 402 | (402) | (100.0 | %) |
| Total nonoperating expenses | (16,671) | (15,627) | (1,044) | 6.7 | % |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations | 1,143 | (4,615) | 5,758 | (124.8 | %) |
| Income tax provision | (142) | (135) | (7) | 5.2 | % |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 1,001 | (4,750) | 5,751 | (121.1 | %) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Loss attributable to discontinued operations | (5) | (547) | 542 | (99.1 | %) |
| Loss from disposition of real estate | (59) | - | (59) | 100.0 | % |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| Total discontinued operations | (64) | (547) | 483 | (88.3 %) |
| Net income (loss) | 937 | (5,297) | 6,234 | (117.7 %) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (169) | (13) | (156) | 1,200.0 % |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 768 | \$ (5,310) | \$ 6,078 | (114.5 %) |

Wholly-Owned Properties Operations

Revenues from our wholly-owned properties for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 increased by \$4.4 million primarily due to the completion of construction of Barrett Honors College in August 2009 and the improved lease-up for the GMH portfolio for the 2009/2010 academic year. Operating expenses increased approximately \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the prior year, primarily due to the same factors which affected the increase in revenues.

New Property Operations. In August 2009, we completed construction of and opened Barrett Honors College at Arizona State University. In March 2010, one of the Fidelity joint ventures in which the Company owns a 10% interest assigned its ownership interest in the University Heights property to the Operating Partnership. This property, serving students attending the University of Alabama at Birmingham, is now 100% wholly-owned by the Operating Partnership. In addition, the Campus Trails property experienced significant property damage in April 2010 as a result of a fire in which 72 beds were destroyed and are currently in the process of being rebuilt. We are therefore classifying this property as a new property due to the business interruption incurred at the property, and the property will be classified as such until future comparable periods contain the same number of beds in operation. These three new properties contributed an additional \$2.5 million of revenues and an additional \$1.3 million of operating expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Same Store Property Operations (Excluding New Property Activity). We had 77 properties containing 44,402 beds which were operating during both of the three month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. These properties produced revenues of \$65.8 million and \$63.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, an increase of \$1.9 million. This increase was primarily due to an increase in average occupancy from 90.9% during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to 94.5% during the three months ended June 30, 2010. Future revenues will be dependent on our ability to maintain our current leases in effect for the 2009/2010 academic year and our ability to obtain appropriate rental rates and desired occupancy for the 2010/2011 academic year at our various properties during our leasing period, which typically begins in January and ends in August.

At these existing same store properties, operating expenses decreased by \$0.7 million, from \$31.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$30.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was a result of more marketing costs being incurred during the prior year in order to stimulate leasing velocity for the 2009/2010 academic year. We anticipate that operating expenses for our same store property portfolio in 2010 will increase slightly as compared with 2009 as a result of expected increases in utility costs, employee benefit costs, property taxes and general inflation.

On-Campus Participating Properties ("OCPP") Operations

We had four participating properties containing 4,519 beds which were operating during both of the three month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Revenues from our participating properties increased to \$4.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$3.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$0.2 million. This increase was primarily a result of an increase in average rental rates during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the prior year, as well as an increase in average occupancy from 39.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to 42.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Occupancy at our on-campus participating properties is low during the summer months due to the expiration of the 9-month leases concurrent with the end of the spring semester. We anticipate that revenues from our on-campus participating properties for the full year 2010 will increase slightly from anticipated increases in both average rental rates and occupancy.

At these properties, operating expenses decreased from \$2.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of \$0.2 million. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in bad debt expense. We anticipate that operating expenses in 2010 will increase slightly as compared with 2009 as a result of expected increases in utility costs, employee benefit costs and general inflation.

Third Party Development Services Revenue

Third party development services revenue increased by \$0.7 million, from \$0.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to the closing of financing and commencement of construction on our Edinboro Phase II project in June 2010, which provided an additional \$1.1 million of revenue as compared to the prior year. We had four projects in progress during the three months ended June 30, 2010 at an average contractual fee of \$4.0 million, as compared to four projects in progress during the three months ended June 30, 2009 at an average contractual fee of \$3.6 million. Closing of third-party development services projects during 2010 will be dependent upon the Company's university clients obtaining project financing, which has been adversely affected by current capital market conditions.

Development services revenues are dependent on our ability to successfully be awarded such projects, the amount of the contractual fee related to the project and the timing and completion of the development and construction of the project. In addition, to the extent projects are completed under budget, we may be entitled to a portion of such savings, which are recognized as revenue when performance has been agreed upon by all parties, or when performance has been verified by an independent third-party. It is possible that projects for which we have deferred

pre-development costs will not close and that we will not be reimbursed for such costs. The pre-development costs associated therewith will ordinarily be charged against income for the then-current period.

Third Party Management Services Revenue

Third party management services revenue remained constant at \$2.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Management fees for the second quarter of 2010 included \$0.5 million from the 14 joint venture properties being acquired. After the closing of the acquisition of these joint venture properties, this management fee revenue will discontinue as these assets will be wholly owned by the Company.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$0.2 million, from \$2.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was primarily a result of efforts to manage overall corporate general and administrative expenses during the period. We anticipate general and administrative expenses for the full year 2010 to increase as a result of an increase in employee benefit costs and general inflation.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$1.8 million, from \$19.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$17.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was due to a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense of approximately \$3.8 million related to the value assigned to in-place leases at the properties acquired from GMH, which were fully amortized by the end of 2009. This decrease was offset by the completion of construction and opening of Barrett Honors College in August 2009, the acquisition of University Heights in March 2010, and renovation projects completed at several properties acquired from GMH. These items contributed an additional \$1.8 million to depreciation and amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010. We expect depreciation and amortization expense to decrease in 2010 as a result of the value assigned to in-place leases at the time of the GMH acquisition being fully amortized in 2009, which should be slightly offset by increased depreciation on Barrett Honors College and University Heights, as well as renovations during 2009 at several GMH properties.

Ground/Facility Leases

Ground/facility leases expense increased by \$0.3 million, from \$0.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to improved operating results at our on-campus participating properties, which increased our share of the cash flow available for distribution. We anticipate ground/facility leases expense to increase slightly in 2010 as a result of improved operations at our on-campus participating properties.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by \$0.2 million, from \$14.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$15.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was due to additional interest of approximately \$0.6 million incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2010 related to the Freddie Mac revolving credit facility entered into in September 2009. In addition, interest expense increased as a result of a decrease in capitalized interest of \$1.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 due to our Barrett Honors College project being under construction during the prior year. These increases were offset by a decrease to interest expense of \$1.5 million related to mortgage loans paid off during 2009 and the first half of 2010.

Amortization of Deferred Financing Costs

Amortization of deferred financing costs increased approximately \$0.2 million, from \$0.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to an additional \$0.4 million of finance cost amortization incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2010 related to the refinancing of our revolving credit facility in August 2009 and the Freddie Mac revolving credit facility entered into in September 2009. This increase was offset by a decrease in finance cost amortization of \$0.2 million as a result of mortgage loans paid off during 2009 and the first half of 2010. We anticipate that amortization of deferred financing

costs will increase in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to the factors discussed above.

Loss from Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures represents our share of the net loss from the Hampton Roads military housing joint venture in which we have a minimal economic interest, as well as our 10% share of the loss from our two joint ventures with Fidelity that own a total of 18 properties as of June 30, 2010. Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures increased approximately \$0.2 million from \$0.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to our 10% share of impairment charges of \$0.6 million recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2010 for two properties owned through one of our Fidelity joint ventures. These impairment charges were offset by a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense at one of the Fidelity joint ventures as a result of the value assigned to in-place leases upon formation of the joint venture in 2008 being fully amortized by the end of 2009.

Other Nonoperating Income

Other nonoperating income of \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 represents tax incentive amounts received in cash during the period related to a property we acquired in February 2007 located in Ypsilanti, Michigan. Upon acquisition of this property, any future potential benefit of such tax incentive was assumed from the seller.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations includes the following properties: (i) Riverside Estates, a wholly-owned property sold in December 2009 for a sale price of \$18.2 million, (ii) Cambridge at Southern, a wholly-owned property sold in March 2010 for a sale price of \$19.5 million, and (ii) Campus Walk – Oxford, a wholly-owned property sold in April 2010 for a sale price of \$9.2 million. Refer to Note 4 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 herein for a table summarizing the results of operations of the properties classified within discontinued operations.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009

The following table presents our results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, including the amount and percentage change in these results between the two periods:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Change (\$) | Change (%) | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|----|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Wholly-owned properties | \$ 139,741 | \$ 129,488 | \$ 10,253 | 7.9 | % |
| On-campus participating properties | 11,453 | 10,796 | 657 | 6.1 | % |
| Third party development services | 2,202 | 1,938 | 264 | 13.6 | % |
| Third party management services | 4,335 | 4,347 | (12) | (0.3 | %) |
| Resident services | 494 | 445 | 49 | 11.0 | % |
| Total revenues | 158,225 | 147,014 | 11,211 | 7.6 | % |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Wholly-owned properties | 63,764 | 62,284 | 1,480 | 2.4 | % |
| On-campus participating properties | 5,019 | 4,813 | 206 | 4.3 | % |
| Third party development and management services | 5,895 | 5,787 | 108 | 1.9 | % |
| General and administrative | 5,369 | 5,577 | (208) | (3.7 | %) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,283 | 38,923 | (3,640) | (9.4 | %) |
| Ground/facility leases | 1,324 | 1,004 | 320 | 31.9 | % |
| Total operating expenses | 116,654 | 118,388 | (1,734) | (1.5 | %) |
| Operating income | 41,571 | 28,626 | 12,945 | 45.2 | % |
| Nonoperating income and (expenses): | | | | | |
| Interest income | 33 | 79 | (46) | (58.2 | %) |
| Interest expense | (30,262) | (30,081) | (181) | 0.6 | % |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | (2,057) | (1,559) | (498) | 31.9 | % |
| Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures | (2,125) | (1,037) | (1,088) | 104.9 | % |
| Other nonoperating income | - | 402 | (402) | (100.0 | %) |
| Total nonoperating expenses | (34,411) | (32,196) | (2,215) | 6.9 | % |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations | 7,160 | (3,570) | 10,730 | (300.6 | %) |
| Income tax provision | (285) | (270) | (15) | 5.6 | % |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 6,875 | (3,840) | 10,715 | (279.0 | %) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Loss attributable to discontinued operations | (4,288) | (948) | (3,340) | 352.3 | % |
| Loss from disposition of real estate | (3,705) | - | (3,705) | 100.0 | % |
| Total discontinued operations | (7,993) | (948) | (7,045) | 743.1 | % |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Net loss | (1,118) | (4,788) | 3,670 | (76.6 %) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (303) | (245) | (58) | 23.7 % |
| Net loss attributable to common shareholders | \$ (1,421) | \$ (5,033) | \$ 3,612 | (71.8 %) |

Wholly-Owned Properties Operations

Revenues from our wholly-owned properties for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009 increased by \$10.3 million primarily due to the completion of construction of Barrett Honors College in August 2009 and the improved lease-up for the GMH portfolio for the 2009/2010 academic year. Operating expenses increased approximately \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the prior year, primarily due to the same factors which affected the increase in revenues.

New Property Operations. In August 2009, we completed construction of and opened Barrett Honors College and in March 2010, one of the Fidelity joint ventures in which the Company owns a 10% interest assigned its ownership interest in the University Heights property to the Operating Partnership. In addition, the Campus Trails property experienced significant property damage in April 2010 as a result of a fire, as previously discussed. These three new properties contributed an additional \$6.3 million of revenues and an additional \$2.0 million of operating expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Same Store Property Operations (Excluding New Property Activity). We had 77 properties containing 44,402 beds which were operating during both of the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. These properties produced revenues of \$132.9 million and \$128.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, an increase of \$4.0 million. This increase was primarily due to an increase in average occupancy from 92.0% during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to 95.4% during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

At these existing same store properties, operating expenses decreased by \$0.6 million, from \$61.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$61.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was a result of more marketing costs being incurred during the prior year in order to stimulate leasing velocity for the 2009/2010 academic year.

On-Campus Participating Properties (“OCPP”) Operations

We had four participating properties containing 4,519 beds which were operating during both of the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Revenues from our participating properties increased to \$11.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$10.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$0.7 million. This increase was primarily a result of an increase in average rental rates during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the prior year, as well as an increase in average occupancy from 66.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to 69.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Occupancy at our on-campus participating properties is low during the summer months due to the expiration of the 9-month leases concurrent with the end of the spring semester.

At these properties, operating expenses increased from \$4.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$5.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of \$0.2 million. This increase was primarily due to an increase in bad debt expense.

Third Party Development Services Revenue

Third party development services revenue increased by \$0.3 million, from \$1.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was due to the closing of financing and commencement of construction on our Edinboro Phase II project in June 2010, which provided an additional \$1.1 million of revenue as compared to the prior year. This increase was offset by lower fees recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 for our University of California, Irvine Phase III project, which is anticipated to complete construction and open for occupancy in August 2010. We had four projects in progress during the six months ended June 30, 2010 at an average contractual fee of \$4.0 million, as compared to four projects in progress during the six months ended June 30, 2009 at an average contractual fee of \$3.6 million.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$0.2 million, from \$5.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was primarily a result of efforts to manage overall corporate general and administrative expenses during the quarter.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$3.6 million, from \$38.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$35.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was due to a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense of approximately \$7.6 million related to the value assigned to in-place leases at the properties acquired from GMH, which were fully amortized by the end of 2009. This decrease was offset by the completion of construction and opening of Barrett Honors College in August 2009, the acquisition of University Heights in March 2010, and renovation projects completed at several properties acquired from GMH. These items contributed an additional \$4.0 million to depreciation and amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Ground/Facility Leases

Ground/facility leases expense increased by \$0.3 million, from \$1.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to improved operating results at our on-campus participating properties, which increased our share of the cash flow available for distribution.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by \$0.2 million, from \$30.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$30.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was due to additional interest of approximately \$1.2 million incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010 related to the Freddie Mac revolving credit facility entered into in September 2009. In addition, interest expense increased as a result of a decrease in capitalized interest of \$2.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009 due to our Barrett Honors College project being under construction during the prior year. These increases were offset by a decrease to interest expense of \$2.7 million related to mortgage loans paid off during 2009 and the first half of 2010.

Amortization of Deferred Financing Costs

Amortization of deferred financing costs increased approximately \$0.5 million, from \$1.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$2.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to an additional \$0.8 million of finance cost amortization incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010 related to the refinancing of our revolving credit facility in August 2009 and the Freddie Mac revolving credit facility entered into in September 2009. This increase was offset by a decrease in finance cost amortization of \$0.3 million as a result of mortgage loans paid off during 2009 and the first half of 2010.

Loss from Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures represents our share of the net loss from the Hampton Roads military housing joint venture, as well as our 10% share of the loss from our two joint ventures with Fidelity. Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures increased approximately \$1.1 million from \$1.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$2.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to our 10% share of impairment charges of \$1.4 million recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2010 for three properties owned through one of our Fidelity joint ventures.

Other Nonoperating Income

Other nonoperating income of \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 represents tax incentive amounts received in cash during the period related to a property we acquired in February 2007 located in Ypsilanti, Michigan. Upon acquisition of this property, any future potential benefit of such tax incentive was assumed from the seller.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations includes the following properties: (i) Riverside Estates, a wholly-owned property sold in December 2009 for a sale price of \$18.2 million, (ii) Cambridge at Southern, a wholly-owned property sold in March 2010 for a sale price of \$19.5 million, and (ii) Campus Walk – Oxford, a wholly-owned property sold in April 2010 for a sale price of \$9.2 million. Refer to Note 4 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 herein for a table summarizing the results of operations of the properties classified within discontinued operations.

Cash Flows

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

Operating Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$41.1 million, as compared to \$24.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$16.7 million. This increase was primarily due to operating cash flows provided from the improved operations of the GMH portfolio and the completion of construction and opening of Vista del Sol and Villas at Chestnut Ridge in August 2008 and Barrett Honors College in August 2009.

Investing Activities

Investing activities utilized \$30.8 million and \$72.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The \$41.6 million decrease in cash utilized in investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 related primarily to a \$40.6 million decrease in cash used to fund the construction of our wholly-owned development properties. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, one wholly-owned property was under development, which was completed and opened for occupancy in August 2009, while two wholly-owned properties were in the early stages of development during the six months ended June 30, 2010, both with scheduled completion dates of August 2011. In addition, there was a \$12.7 million decrease in cash used for capital expenditures at our wholly-owned properties as a result of renovations at several GMH properties during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Finally, we received \$2.1 million of net proceeds from the disposition of two wholly-owned properties during the six months ended June 30, 2010. These decreases in cash utilized in investing activities were offset by a \$9.6 million use of cash to acquire a property from one of the Fidelity Joint Ventures in March 2010 and a \$5.0 million increase in cash used to acquire undeveloped land. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, our cash utilized in investing activities was comprised of the following:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Property dispositions | \$ 2,115 | \$ - |
| Property acquisition | (9,618) | - |
| Land acquisitions | (7,595) | (2,637) |
| Capital expenditures for on-campus participating properties | (224) | (181) |
| Capital expenditures for wholly-owned properties | (10,254) | (22,972) |
| Investment in wholly-owned properties under development | (4,769) | (45,384) |
| Purchase of corporate furniture, fixtures, and equipment | (436) | (1,181) |
| Total | \$ (30,781) | \$ (72,355) |

Financing Activities

Cash used for financing activities totaled \$55.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$79.6 million in cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The \$135.0 million decrease in cash provided by financing activities was primarily a result of the May 2009 equity offering, in which we raised \$198.3 million, net of offering costs, as compared to \$7.5 million, net of offering costs, raised under the ATM Equity Program during the six months ended June 30, 2010. In addition, we experienced a \$6.8 million increase in distributions to stockholders during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as a result of the issuance of common stock in connection with our May 2009 equity offering. These decreases in cash provided by financing activities were offset by a \$44.8 million increase in proceeds (net of paydowns) from our secured revolving credit facility, as we borrowed from our facility to pay-off maturing mortgage debt and to partially fund distributions to our stockholders. Additionally, we experienced a \$20.9 million decrease in cash used to pay-off mortgage loans as we paid off \$51.9 million in mortgage loan debt during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$72.8 million in mortgage loan debt during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Structure of Owned On-Campus Properties

Under its ACE program, the Company as lessee has entered into three ground/facility lease agreements with two university systems to finance, construct, and manage three student housing properties. One property was under construction as of June 30, 2010 and is scheduled to open for occupancy in August 2011. The terms of the leases, including extension options, range from 65 to 85 years, and the lessor has title to the land and any improvements placed thereon. The leases require annual minimum rent payments to the university systems as well as variable rent payments that depend on the operating performance of the properties.

Structure of On-Campus Participating Properties

At our on-campus participating properties, the subject universities own both the land and improvements. We then have a leasehold interest under a ground/facility lease. Under the lease, we receive an annual distribution representing 50% of these properties' net cash available for distribution after payment of operating expenses (which includes our management fees), debt service (which includes repayment of principal) and capital expenditures. We also manage these properties under multi-year management agreements and are paid a management fee representing 5% of receipts.

We do not have access to the cash flows and working capital of these participating properties except for the annual net cash distribution as described above. Additionally, a substantial portion of these properties' cash flow is dedicated to capital reserves required under the applicable property indebtedness and to the amortization of such indebtedness. These amounts do not increase our economic interest in these properties since our interest, including our right to share in the net cash available for distribution from the properties, terminates upon the amortization of their indebtedness. Our economic interest in these properties is therefore limited to our interest in the net cash flow

and management and development fees from these properties, as reflected in our calculation of Funds from Operations modified (“FFOM”) contained herein. Accordingly, when considering these properties’ contribution to our operations, we focus upon our share of these properties’ net cash available for distribution and the management fees that we receive from these properties, rather than upon their contribution to our gross revenues and expenses for financial reporting purposes.

The following table reflects the amounts included in our consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$4,142 | \$3,922 | \$11,453 | \$10,796 |
| Direct operating expenses (1) | (2,458) | (2,644) | (4,690) | (4,541) |
| Amortization | (1,080) | (1,092) | (2,159) | (2,182) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | (45) | (44) | (94) | (90) |
| Ground/facility leases (2) | (486) | (200) | (792) | (492) |
| Net operating income (loss) | 73 | (58) | 3,718 | 3,491 |
| Interest income | 5 | 9 | 8 | 33 |
| Interest expense | (1,513) | (1,556) | (3,016) | (3,115) |
| Net (loss) income | \$(1,435) | \$(1,605) | \$710 | \$409 |

(1) Excludes property management fees of \$0.2 million for both three month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and \$0.5 million for both six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. This expense and the corresponding fee revenue have been eliminated in consolidation. Also excludes allocation of expenses related to corporate management and oversight.

(2) Represents the universities' 50% share of the properties' net cash available for distribution after payment of operating expenses, debt service (including payment of principal) and capital expenditures.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Balances and Liquidity

As of June 30, 2010, excluding our on-campus participating properties, we had \$41.9 million in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash as compared to \$87.5 million in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash as of December 31, 2009. Restricted cash primarily consists of escrow accounts held by lenders and resident security deposits, as required by law in certain states. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents was primarily due to the use of cash to pay-off \$51.9 million of fixed-rate mortgage debt and \$9.6 million used to acquire a property from one of the Fidelity Joint Ventures in March 2010. Additionally, restricted cash as of June 30, 2010 also included \$3.8 million of funds held in escrow in connection with potential development and acquisition opportunities.

As of June 30, 2010, our short-term liquidity needs included, but were not limited to, the following: (i) anticipated distribution payments to our common and restricted stockholders totaling approximately \$71.7 million based on an assumed annual cash distribution of \$1.35 per share based on the number of our shares outstanding as of June 30, 2010, (ii) anticipated distribution payments to our Operating Partnership unitholders totaling approximately \$1.7 million based on an assumed annual distribution of \$1.35 per common unit of limited partnership interest ("Common Unit") and a cumulative preferential per annum cash distribution rate of 5.99% on our preferred units of limited partnership interest ("Series A Preferred Units") based on the number of units outstanding as of June 30, 2010, (iii) the pay-off of approximately \$99.2 million of fixed-rate mortgage debt scheduled to mature during the next 12 months, (iv) approximately \$89.1 million to acquire full ownership interest in 14 student housing properties owned in two joint ventures in which we currently have a 10% ownership interest, (v) approximately \$20.6 million to acquire a 487-bed wholly-owned property located in San Marcos, Texas, (vi) development costs over the next 12 months totaling approximately \$60.6 million for two wholly-owned properties currently under construction, and (vii) funds for other potential development projects.

As of June 30, 2010, we had \$100 million of outstanding variable rate construction debt scheduled to mature in December 2010. We expect to extend the maturity date through December 2011 by exercising the remaining 12-month extension option available to us. We also have a \$100 million senior secured term loan scheduled to mature in May 2011. We expect to extend the maturity date through May 2012 by exercising the remaining 12-month extension option available to us. In addition, we have a \$225 million revolving credit facility scheduled to mature in August 2012 that was secured by seven of our wholly-owned properties as of June 30, 2010 and a \$125 million secured revolving credit facility with a Freddie Mac lender scheduled to mature in September 2014 that is currently secured by 11 of our wholly-owned properties. We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements by (i) borrowing under our existing secured revolving credit facilities discussed above, (ii) potentially disposing of properties depending on market conditions, (iii) issuing securities, including common stock, under our \$150 million ATM Equity Program, and (iv) utilizing net cash provided by operations.

We may seek additional funds to undertake initiatives not contemplated by our business plan or obtain additional cushion against possible shortfalls. We also may pursue additional financing as opportunities arise. Future financings may include a range of different sizes or types of financing, including the incurrence of additional secured debt and the sale of additional debt or equity securities. These funds may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our ability to obtain additional financing depends on several factors, including future market conditions, our success or lack of success in penetrating our markets, our future creditworthiness, and restrictions contained in agreements with our investors or lenders, including the restrictions contained in the agreements governing our revolving credit facilities and term loan. These financings could increase our level of indebtedness or result in dilution to our equity holders.

Secured Revolving Credit Facility

The Operating Partnership has a \$225 million revolving credit facility that may be expanded by up to an additional \$75 million upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. The maturity date of the facility is August 14, 2012 and can be extended 12 months through August 2013. As of June 30, 2010, the facility was secured by seven of our wholly-owned properties.

Availability under the revolving credit facility is limited to an “aggregate borrowing base amount” equal to the lesser of (i) 50% to 65% of the value of certain properties, calculated as set forth in the credit facility, and (ii) the adjusted net operating income from these properties divided by a formula amount. The facility bears interest at a variable rate, at our option, based upon a base rate or one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 2.0%, plus, in each case, a spread based upon our total leverage. Additionally, we are required to pay an unused commitment fee of 0.35% per annum. As of June 30, 2010, the balance outstanding on the revolving credit facility totaled \$30.1 million, bearing interest at a weighted average annual rate of 5.00%, with remaining availability under the facility totaling approximately \$122.3 million. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, we added three properties to the group of properties that secures the revolving credit facility, increasing the availability under the facility by approximately \$62.5 million.

The terms of the facility include certain restrictions and covenants, which limit, among other items, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, liens, and the disposition of assets. The facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and also contains financial covenants that, among other things, require us to maintain certain minimum ratios of “EBITDA” (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) to fixed charges and total indebtedness. We may not pay distributions that exceed a specified percentage of funds from operations, as adjusted, for any four consecutive quarters. The financial covenants also include consolidated net worth and leverage ratio tests. As of June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Secured Agency Facility

We have a \$125 million secured revolving credit facility with a Freddie Mac lender. The facility has a five-year term and is currently secured by 11 properties referred to as the “Collateral Pool.” The facility bears interest at one- or three-month LIBOR plus a spread that varies based on the debt service ratio of the Collateral Pool. Additionally, we are required to pay an unused commitment fee of 1.0% per annum. As of June 30, 2010, the balance outstanding on the facility totaled \$94.0 million, bearing interest at a weighted average annual rate of 2.50%. The secured agency facility includes some, but not all, of the same financial covenants as the secured revolving credit facility, described above.

Senior Secured Term Loan

The Operating Partnership has a \$100 million senior secured term loan that matures on May 23, 2011 and can be extended through May 2012 through the exercise of a 12-month extension option. The secured term loan bears interest at a variable rate, at our option, based upon a base rate or one-, two-, three-, or six-month LIBOR plus, in each

case, a spread based upon our total leverage. As of June 30, 2010, the balance outstanding on the secured term loan was \$100 million.

On February 23, 2009, we entered into two \$50.0 million interest rate swap agreements effective March 20, 2009 through February 20, 2012, which are both used to hedge our exposure to fluctuations in interest payments on its LIBOR-based senior secured term loan. Under the terms of the two interest rate swap agreements, we pay an average fixed rate of 1.7925% and receive one-month LIBOR floating rate. As a result of these two interest rate swaps, we have effectively fixed the interest rate on our senior secured term loan to 3.55% as of June 30, 2010 (1.7925% + 1.75% spread). In the event that the swaps at any time have a negative fair value below a certain threshold level, we could be required to post cash into a collateral account pledged to the interest rate swap providers. As of June 30, 2010, we had deposited approximately \$0.9 million into a collateral account related to one of the interest rate swaps. Refer to Note 12 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 herein for a more detailed discussion of our derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Distributions

We are required to distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) on an annual basis in order to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Distributions to common stockholders are at the discretion of the Board of Directors. We may use borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility to fund distributions. The Board of Directors considers a number of factors when determining distribution levels, including market factors and our Company's performance in addition to REIT requirements.

Pre-Development Expenditures

Our third-party and owned development activities have historically required us to fund pre-development expenditures such as architectural fees, permits and deposits. The closing and/or commencement of construction of these development projects is subject to a number of risks such as our inability to obtain financing on favorable terms and delays or refusals in obtaining necessary zoning, land use, building, and other required governmental permits and authorizations. As such, we cannot always predict accurately the liquidity needs of these activities. We frequently incur these pre-development expenditures before a financing commitment and/or required permits and authorizations have been obtained. Accordingly, we bear the risk of the loss of these pre-development expenditures if financing cannot ultimately be arranged on acceptable terms or we are unable to successfully obtain the required permits and authorizations. Historically, our third-party and owned development projects have been successfully structured and financed; however, these developments have at times been delayed beyond the period initially scheduled, causing revenue to be recognized in later periods. As of June 30, 2010, we have deferred approximately \$9.4 million in pre-development costs related to third-party and owned development projects that have not yet commenced construction.

Indebtedness

As of June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$1,175.5 million of outstanding consolidated indebtedness (excluding net unamortized debt discounts and debt premiums of approximately \$7.4 million and \$3.0 million, respectively), comprised of a \$100.0 million balance on our senior secured term loan, \$94.0 million balance on our secured agency facility, \$30.1 million balance on our secured revolving credit facility, \$867.4 million in mortgage and construction loans secured by our wholly-owned properties, \$32.6 million in mortgage loans secured by two phases of an on-campus participating property, and \$51.4 million in bond issuances secured by three of our on-campus participating properties. The weighted average interest rate on our consolidated indebtedness as of June 30, 2010 was 5.06% per annum. As of June 30, 2010, approximately 19.1% of our total consolidated indebtedness was variable rate debt, comprised of our secured agency facility, secured revolving credit facility and our Vista del Sol construction loan discussed below.

Wholly-Owned Properties

The weighted average interest rate of the \$867.4 million of wholly-owned mortgage and construction debt was 5.30% per annum as of June 30, 2010. Each of the mortgage loans is a non-recourse obligation subject to customary exceptions and none are cross-defaulted or cross-collateralized to any other indebtedness. The loans generally may not be prepaid prior to maturity; in certain cases prepayment is allowed, subject to prepayment penalties.

The development and construction for Vista del Sol, an owned on-campus property, was partially financed with a \$100.0 million construction loan. For each borrowing we have the option of choosing the Prime rate or one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR plus 1.20%. The loan requires payments of interest only during the term of the loan and any accrued interest and outstanding borrowings become due on the maturity date of December 27, 2010. We expect to extend the maturity date through December 2011 by exercising the remaining 12-month extension option available to

us. As of June 30, 2010, the balance outstanding on the construction loan totaled \$100.0 million, bearing interest at a rate of 1.58% per annum.

On-Campus Participating Properties

Three of our on-campus participating properties are 100% financed with \$51.4 million of outstanding project-based taxable bonds. Under the terms of these financings, one of our special purpose subsidiaries publicly issued three series of taxable bonds and loaned the proceeds to three special purpose subsidiaries that each hold a separate leasehold interest. Although a default in payment by these special purpose subsidiaries could result in a default under one or more series of bonds, the indebtedness of any of these special purpose subsidiaries is not cross-defaulted or cross-collateralized with indebtedness of the Company, the Operating Partnership or other special purpose subsidiaries. Repayment of principal and interest on these bonds is insured by MBIA, Inc. The loans encumbering the leasehold interests are non-recourse, subject to customary exceptions.

Cullen Oaks Phase I and Phase II are currently encumbered by mortgage loans with balances as of June 30, 2010 of approximately \$16.2 million and \$16.4 million, respectively. The loans mature in February 2014 and bear interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 1.35%. In connection with these loans, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement effective February 15, 2007 through February 15, 2014, that is designated to hedge our exposure to fluctuations on interest payments attributed to changes in interest rates associated with payments on the loans. Under the terms of the interest rate swap agreement, we pay a fixed rate of 6.69% per annum and receive a floating rate of LIBOR plus 1.35%. Pursuant to the Leases, in the event the leasehold estate does not achieve Financial Break Even (defined as revenues less operating expenses, excluding management fees, less debt service), the applicable Lessor would be required to make a rental payment, also known as the Contingent Payment, sufficient to achieve Financial Break Even. The Contingent Payment provision remains in effect until such time as any financing placed on the facilities would receive an investment grade rating without the Contingent Payment provision. In the event that the Lessor is required to make a Contingent Payment, future net cash flow distributions would be first applied to repay such Contingent Payments and then to unpaid management fees prior to normal distributions. We have guaranteed payment of this property's indebtedness.

The weighted average interest rate of the indebtedness encumbering our on-campus participating properties was 7.18% per annum at June 30, 2010.

Off Balance Sheet Items

As discussed in Note 8 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 herein, we hold a 10% equity interest in two unconsolidated joint ventures with mortgage debt outstanding of approximately \$293.5 million as of June 30, 2010. Our Operating Partnership serves as non-recourse, carve-out guarantor of this debt, which means we are liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the limited liability Company agreements of the joint ventures, the joint ventures agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Operating Partnership with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates.

Funds From Operations

As defined by NAREIT, FFO represents income (loss) before allocation to noncontrolling interests (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of loan origination costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We present FFO because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income.

We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the Board of Governors of NAREIT in its March 1995 White Paper (as amended in November 1999 and April 2002), which may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO does not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or

expansion, debt service obligations or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

The following table presents a reconciliation of our FFO to our net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 768 | \$ (5,310) | \$ (1,421) | \$ (5,033) |
| Noncontrolling interests | 169 | 13 | 303 | 245 |
| Loss from disposition of real estate | 59 | - | 3,705 | - |
| Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures | 711 | 483 | 2,125 | 1,037 |
| FFO from unconsolidated joint ventures (1) | (437) | 192 | (1,244) | 153 |
| Real estate related depreciation and amortization | 17,410 | 20,000 | 34,848 | 39,732 |
| Funds from operations ("FFO") | \$ 18,680 | \$ 15,378 | \$ 38,316 | \$ 36,134 |
| FFO per share – diluted | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.77 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted | 54,139,051 | 49,666,473 | 54,124,104 | 46,864,604 |

- (1) Represents our share of the FFO from three joint ventures in which we are a noncontrolling partner. Includes the Hampton Roads Military Housing joint venture in which we have a minimal economic interest as well as our 10% noncontrolling interest in two joint ventures formed or assumed as part of the Company's acquisition of GMH.

While our on-campus participating properties contributed \$4.1 million and \$3.9 million to our revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$11.5 million and \$10.8 million to our revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, under our participating ground leases, we and the participating university systems each receive 50% of the properties' net cash available for distribution after payment of operating expenses, debt service (which includes significant amounts towards repayment of principal) and capital expenditures. A substantial portion of our revenues attributable to these properties is reflective of cash that is required to be used for capital expenditures and for the amortization of applicable property indebtedness. These amounts do not increase our economic interest in these properties or otherwise benefit us since our interest in the properties terminates upon the repayment of the applicable property indebtedness.

As noted above, FFO excludes GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets because these GAAP items assume that the value of real estate diminishes over time. However, unlike the ownership of our wholly-owned properties, the unique features of our ownership interest in our on-campus participating properties cause the value of these properties to diminish over time. For example, since the ground/facility leases under which we operate the participating properties require the reinvestment from operations of specified amounts for capital expenditures and for the repayment of debt while our interest in these properties terminates upon the repayment of the debt, such capital expenditures do not increase the value of the property to us and mortgage debt amortization only increases the equity of the ground lessor. Accordingly, when considering our FFO, we believe it is also a meaningful measure of our performance to modify FFO to exclude the operations of our on-campus participating properties and to consider their impact on performance by including only that portion of our revenues from those properties that are reflective of our share of net cash flow and the management fees that we receive, both of which increase and decrease with the operating measure of the properties, a measure referred to herein as FFOM. We also exclude impairment charges from FFOM, as we believe the inclusion of such charges is inconsistent with the treatment of gains and losses on the disposition of real estate, which are not included in FFO. Additionally, we believe

that excluding impairment charges from FFOM more appropriately presents the operating performance of the Company's real estate investments on a comparative basis.

This narrower measure of performance measures our profitability for these properties in a manner that is similar to the measure of our profitability from our services business where we similarly incur no initial or ongoing capital investment in a property and derive only consequential benefits from capital expenditures and debt amortization. We believe, however, that this narrower measure of performance is inappropriate in traditional real estate ownership structures where debt amortization and capital expenditures enhance the property owner's long-term profitability from its investment.

Funds From Operations—Modified (“FFOM”):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Funds from operations | \$18,680 | \$15,378 | \$38,316 | \$36,134 |
| Elimination of operations of on-campus participating properties and unconsolidated joint venture: | | | | |
| Net loss (income) from on-campus participating properties | 1,435 | 1,605 | (710) | (409) |
| Amortization of investment in on-campus participating properties | (1,080) | (1,092) | (2,159) | (2,182) |
| FFO from Hampton Roads unconsolidated joint venture (1) | - | (56) | 160 | 180 |
| | 19,035 | 15,835 | 35,607 | 33,723 |
| Modifications to reflect operational performance of on-campus participating properties: | | | | |
| Our share of net cash flow (2) | 486 | 200 | 792 | 492 |
| Management fees | 188 | 176 | 521 | 499 |
| Impact of on-campus participating properties | 674 | 376 | 1,313 | 991 |
| Elimination of provision for asset impairment-wholly-owned property (3) | - | - | 4,036 | - |
| Elimination of provision for asset impairments-unconsolidated joint ventures (4) | 632 | - | 1,414 | - |
| Funds from operations – modified (“FFOM”) | \$20,341 | \$16,211 | \$42,370 | \$34,714 |
| FFOM per share – diluted | \$0.38 | \$0.33 | \$0.78 | \$0.74 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted | 54,139,051 | 49,666,473 | 54,124,104 | 46,864,604 |

(1) Our share of the FFO from the Hampton Roads Military Housing unconsolidated joint venture is excluded from the calculation of FFOM, as management believes this amount does not accurately reflect the Company’s participation in the economics of the transaction.

(2) 50% of the properties’ net cash available for distribution after payment of operating expenses, debt service (including repayment of principal) and capital expenditures. Represents amounts accrued for the interim periods.

(3) Represents an impairment charge recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2010 for Campus Walk – Oxford, a property that was sold in April 2010. The impairment charge is included in loss attributable to discontinued operations on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Although impairment charges are included in the calculation of net income (loss) and FFO, the Company excludes such charges from FFOM because it believes the inclusion of such charges is inconsistent with the treatment of gains and losses on the disposition of real estate, which are not included in FFO. Additionally, the Company believes that excluding impairment charges from FFOM more appropriately presents the operating performance of the Company’s real estate investments on a comparative basis.

(4)

Represents our share of impairment charges recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 for three properties owned through our unconsolidated Fidelity Joint Ventures.

Our FFOM may have limitations as an analytical tool because it reflects the unique contractual calculation of net cash flow from our on-campus participating properties, which is different from that of our wholly-owned properties. Additionally, FFOM reflects features of our ownership interests in our on-campus participating properties that are unique to us. Companies that are considered to be in our industry may not have similar ownership structures; and therefore those companies may not calculate a FFOM in the same manner that we do, or at all, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP and FFO results and using our modified FFO only supplementally.

Inflation

Our leases do not typically provide for rent escalations. However, they typically do not have terms that extend beyond 12 months. Accordingly, although on a short term basis we would be required to bear the impact of rising costs resulting from inflation, we have the opportunity to raise rental rates at least annually to offset such rising costs. However, a weak economic environment or declining student enrollment at our principal universities may limit our ability to raise rental rates.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. Our future earnings and cash flows are dependent upon prevailing market rates. Accordingly, we manage our market risk by matching projected cash inflows from operating, investing and financing activities with projected cash outflows for debt service, acquisitions, capital expenditures, distributions to stockholders and unitholders, and other cash requirements. The majority of our outstanding debt has fixed interest rates, which minimizes the risk of fluctuating interest rates. Our exposure to market risk includes interest rate fluctuations in connection with our revolving credit facilities and variable rate construction loans and our ability to incur more debt without stockholder approval, thereby increasing our debt service obligations, which could adversely affect our cash flows. No material changes have occurred in relation to market risk since our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarter covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures for the quarter covered by this report were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit

Number Description of Document

- | | |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U. S. C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | The following materials from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of American Campus Communities, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2010 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) related notes to these financial statements, tagged as blocks of text. |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 4, 2010

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC.

By: /s/ William C. Bayless, Jr.

William C. Bayless, Jr.
President and Chief Executive
Officer

By:/s/ Jonathan A. Graf

Jonathan A. Graf
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and
Treasurer