

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

BLUEFLY INC
Form 10-Q
August 13, 2002

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2002

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-14498

BLUEFLY, INC.

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 13-3612110
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
incorporation or organization)

42 West 39th Street, New York, NY 10018
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number: (212) 944-8000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

As of August 12, 2002, the issuer had outstanding 10,391,904 shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value.

BLUEFLY, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2002 (unaudited) and
December 31, 2001

PAGE

3

1

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity and Redeemable Preferred Stock for the year ended December 31, 2001 and for the six months ended June 30, 2002 (unaudited)	6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	20
Part II. Other Information	21
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	21
Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use Of Proceeds	21
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	21
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	21
Item 5. Other Information	21
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	21
Signature	23

Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. - Financial Statements

BLUEFLY, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	ASSETS
Current assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	
Inventories, net	
Accounts receivable	
Prepaid expenses	
Other current assets	
Total current assets	

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Property and equipment, net

Other assets

Total assets

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities

Accounts payable

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities

Deferred revenue

Total current liabilities

Note payable to shareholders

Long-term lease liability

Commitments and contingencies

Shareholders' equity

Series A Preferred stock - \$.01 par value; 500,000 shares authorized and 500,000 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively (liquidation preference: \$10 million plus accrued dividends)

Series B Preferred stock - \$.01 par value; 9,000,000 shares authorized and 8,910,782 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively (liquidation preference: \$30 million plus accrued dividends)

Common stock - \$.01 par value; 40,000,000 shares authorized and 10,391,904 and 9,205,331 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively

Additional paid-in capital

Accumulated deficit

Total shareholders' equity

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

3

BLUEFLY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

Net sales

Six

2002

\$ 14,445,000

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Cost of sales	9,538,000

Gross profit	4,907,000
Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses	5,066,000
General and administrative expenses	2,298,000

Total	7,364,000
Operating loss	(2,457,000)
Interest income	49,000
Interest expense (the six months ended June 30, 2001, includes a \$13,007,000 non-cash charge in connection with the conversion of debt and redeemable preferred equity to permanent equity)	(176,000)

Net loss	\$ (2,584,000)
Deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature on Series B Preferred Stock	(10,226,000)
Preferred stock dividends	(1,224,000)

Net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$ (14,034,000)
	=====
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (1.48)
	=====
Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic and diluted)	9,454,446
	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

4

BLUEFLY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2002	2001
	----	----
Net sales	\$ 6,799,000	\$ 5,285,000
Cost of sales	4,392,000	3,561,000
	-----	-----
Gross profit	2,407,000	1,724,000
Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses	2,645,000	4,535,000
General and administrative expenses	1,221,000	1,387,000
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Total	3,866,000	5,922,000
Operating loss	(1,459,000)	(4,198,000)
Interest income	17,000	85,000
Interest expense	(77,000)	(55,000)
	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (1,519,000)	\$ (4,168,000)
Deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature on Series B Preferred Stock	(10,226,000)	--
Preferred stock dividends	(615,000)	(615,000)
	-----	-----
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$ (12,360,000)	\$ (4,783,000)
	=====	=====
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (1.27)	\$ (0.52)
	=====	=====
Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic and diluted)	9,700,823	9,205,331
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

BLUEFLY, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND REDEEMABLE
PREFERRED STOCK
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2002 (Unaudited)

	Redeemable Preferred Stock		Series A Preferred Stock \$.01 par value	
	Number of shares	Amount	Number of shares	Amount
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at January 1, 2001	500,000	\$ 11,088,000	--	\$ --
Conversion of Redeemable Preferred Stock to Preferred Stock Series A	(500,000)	(11,088,000)	500,000	5,000
Conversion of debt to Preferred Stock Series B	--	--	--	--
Sale of common stock in connection with Rights Offering (\$2.34 per share) net of \$350,000 of expenses	--	--	--	--
Issuance of warrants to lender	--	--	--	--
Issuance of warrants in exchange for services	--	--	--	--

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Issuance of warrants to investor	--	--	--	--
Net loss	--	--	--	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at December 31, 2001	--	--	500,000	5,000
Sale of common stock in connection with the Standby Commitment Agreement (\$1.57 per share) net of \$75,000 of expenses	--	--	--	--
Sale of warrants to investor in connection with the Standby Agreement	--	--	--	--
Deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature on Series B Preferred Stock	--	--	--	--
Issuance of warrants to lender	--	--	--	--
Issuance of warrants to investor	--	--	--	--
Net loss	--	--	--	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at June 30, 2002	--	\$ --	500,000	\$5,000
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Common Stock
\$.01 par value

	Number of shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in capital	
	-----	-----	-----	
Balance at January 1, 2001	4,924,906	\$ 49,000	\$ 17,242,000	\$ (
Conversion of Redeemable Preferred Stock to Preferred Stock Series A	--	--	18,852,000	
Conversion of debt to Preferred Stock Series B	--	--	26,318,000	
Sale of common stock in connection with Rights Offering (\$2.34 per share) net of \$350,000 of expenses	4,280,425	43,000	9,622,000	
Issuance of warrants to lender	--	--	45,000	
Issuance of warrants in exchange for services	--	--	31,000	
Issuance of warrants to investor	--	--	74,000	
Net loss	--	--	--	(
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at December 31, 2001	9,205,331	92,000	72,184,000	(
Sale of common stock in connection with the Standby Commitment Agreement (\$1.57 per share) net of \$75,000 of expenses	1,186,573	12,000	1,776,000	
Sale of warrants to investor in connection with the Standby Agreement	--	--	37,000	
Deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature on Series B Preferred Stock	--	--	10,226,000	(
Issuance of warrants to lender	--	--	80,000	
Issuance of warrants to investor	--	--	255,000	

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Net loss	--	--	--	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at June 30, 2002	10,391,904	\$ 104,000	\$ 84,558,000	\$ (
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

6

BLUEFLY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

					200

Cash flows from operating activities					
Net loss					\$ (2,58
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization					44
Warrants issued for services					
Beneficial conversion - interest expense					
Provisions for returns					(54
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					
(Increase) decrease in					
Inventories					(1,75
Accounts receivable					5
Prepaid expenses					(22
Other current assets					2
Other assets					
Increase (decrease) in					
Accounts payable					82
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities					(5
Deferred revenue					(11

Net cash used in operating activities					(3,92

Cash flows from investing activities					
Purchase of property, equipment and capitalized software					(1,28

Net cash used in investing activities					(1,28

Cash flows from financing activities					
Net proceeds from sale of Common Stock and Warrants					1,89
Payments of capital lease obligation					(9
Net proceeds from Rights Offering					

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by financing activities	1,80
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,40
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period	5,41
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>	
Cash and cash equivalents - end of period	\$ 2,01
<hr style="border-top: 3px double black;"/>	
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:	
Equipment acquired under capital lease	\$ 55
<hr style="border-top: 3px double black;"/>	
Warrant issued to factor	\$ 8
<hr style="border-top: 3px double black;"/>	
Warrant issued to shareholder	\$ 29
<hr style="border-top: 3px double black;"/>	
Deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature on Series B Preferred Stock	\$ 10,22
<hr style="border-top: 3px double black;"/>	
Beneficial conversion charge on conversion of debt to equity	\$
<hr style="border-top: 3px double black;"/>	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

7

BLUEFLY, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2002

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Bluefly, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary (collectively the "Company"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the fiscal year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and accompanying footnotes included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

The Company has sustained net losses and negative cash flows from operations since the establishment of Bluefly.com. The Company's ability to meet its obligations in the ordinary course of business is dependent on its ability to establish profitable operations or raise additional financing through public or private debt or equity financing, or other sources to fund operations. The Company may seek additional equity or debt financing to maximize the growth of its business or if anticipated operating results are not achieved. If such financings are not available on terms acceptable to the Company, the Company will seek to delay or reduce its expenditures in order to prolong the availability of sufficient cash flow to satisfy its obligations while additional funding is sought. The inability to obtain additional financing, when needed,

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

NOTE 2 - THE COMPANY

The Company is a leading Internet retailer of designer fashions and home accessories at outlet store prices. The Company's Web store ("Bluefly.com" or "Web Site"), which was launched in September 1998, sells over 400 brands of designer apparel, accessories and home products at discounts up to 75% off retail prices.

NOTE 3 - STANDBY COMMITMENT

On March 27, 2002, the Company entered into a Standby Commitment Agreement (the "Soros Standby Agreement") with Quantum Industrial Partners LDC, a Cayman Islands limited duration company ("QIP"), and SFM Domestic Investments LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("SFMDI", QIP and SFMDI are each affiliates of Soros Private Equity Partners LLC and are collectively and individually sometimes referred to as "Soros"). Under the Soros Standby Agreement, Soros agreed to provide the Company with up to four million dollars (\$4,000,000) of additional financing on a standby basis at any time prior to January 1, 2003.

In June 2002, Soros invested \$1.9 million in the Company, thereby reducing its standby commitment to \$2.1 million. Under the terms of the transaction, the Company issued 1,186,573 shares of Common Stock at \$1.57 per share, and warrants to purchase 296,644 shares of Common Stock at any time during the next five years at an exercise price of \$1.88 per warrant for a purchase price of \$0.125 per warrant.

The June 2002 Soros investment was negotiated as part of an equity financing in which third party investors would also participate. In particular, one third party investor committed to invest \$7 million on the same terms and conditions as those that applied to Soros' investment. However, this third party investment has not been consummated, and the Company does not know when or if it will be consummated. To date, the only funds that the Company has received from the third party investor are a \$140,000 good faith deposit, for which the Company has agreed, for a limited period of time, not to pursue remedies against the third party investor as a result of its failure to honor its investment commitment. The Company believes that the third party investor's obligations to consummate the investment are enforceable. However, in the event that the third party investor does not honor its obligations, the Company will be forced to resort to litigation, which is subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. Moreover, given the substantial costs involved with litigation, there can be no assurance that the amount that the

8

BLUEFLY, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2002

Company would be able to collect with respect to any judgment rendered in such litigation would exceed the costs associated with obtaining such judgment.

In connection with the June 2002 financing, the Company agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 45 days of closing, in order to register the Common Stock issued in the financing, as well as the Common Stock underlying the warrants. However, given the failure to date of the third party investors to consummate their investment, the Company and Soros have agreed to delay the filing of such registration statement,

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

although the Company expects that it will be required to file such registration statement at some point in the future.

As a result of the June 2002 financing, the conversion price of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock, almost all of which is held by Soros, automatically decreased from \$2.34 to \$1.57. In accordance with FASB Emerging Issue Task Force Issue No. 00-27, "Application of Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instruments," ("EITF 00-27") this reduction in the conversion price of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock resulted in the Company recording a beneficial conversion feature in the approximate amount of \$10.2 million as part of its second quarter financial results. This non-cash charge, which is analogous to a dividend, resulted in an adjustment to the Company's computation of Loss Per Share.

In August 2002, Soros invested an additional \$2.1 million in the Company, thereby reducing its standby commitment to zero. Under the terms of the deal, the Company issued to Soros 2,100 shares of its newly-designated Series 2002 Convertible Preferred Stock at a price of \$1,000 per share. The Series 2002 Convertible Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share and is convertible in whole or in part, at the holder's option, into the type of equity securities sold by the Company in any subsequent round of equity financing, at the same price, and upon the same terms and conditions, as such securities are sold in such equity financing. Of course, there can be no assurance as to when, or, if, such subsequent round of financing will occur. The Series 2002 Convertible Preferred Stock does not have any fixed dividend rate, and does not provide the holders thereof with any voting rights, other than with respect to transactions or actions that would adversely affect the rights, preference, powers and privileges of the Series 2002 Convertible Preferred Stock.

NOTE 4 - FINANCING AGREEMENT

On March 22, 2002, the Company amended its Financing Agreement (the "Rosenthal Financing Agreement") with Rosenthal & Rosenthal, Inc. ("Rosenthal"), pursuant to which Rosenthal provides the Company with certain credit accommodations, including loans and advances, factor-to-factor guarantees, letters of credit in favor of suppliers or factors and purchases of payables owed to its suppliers (the "Loan Facility"). Under the terms of this amendment (the "Rosenthal Amendment"), the Company extended the Rosenthal Financing Agreement until March 30, 2003, reduced the annual fee it pays Rosenthal for the Loan Facility from \$20,000 to \$10,000, agreed to a decrease from \$2.5 million to \$1.5 million in the face amount of the standby letter of credit that Soros is maintaining (the "Soros Guarantee") to help collateralize the Loan Facility, and limited the maximum amount available under the Loan Facility to an amount equal to the Soros Guarantee plus the lowest of (x) \$1 million, (y) 20% of the book value of the Company's inventory or (z) the full liquidation value of the Company's inventory. In addition, pursuant to the Rosenthal Amendment, the Company adjusted the threshold amount that entitles Rosenthal to take control of certain of the Company's cash accounts for a period of time to be 90% of the maximum amount available under the Loan Facility instead of 90% of the Soros Guarantee, as had been provided previously. As of June 30, 2002, the maximum amount available under the Loan Facility was \$2.5 million. The Company had approximately \$2.4 million outstanding as of such date.

As partial consideration for the Rosenthal Amendment, the Company extended from March 30, 2006 to March 30, 2007 the termination date of the warrant issued to Rosenthal on March 30, 2001 to purchase 50,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.34 per share. The Company revalued the warrant as of the new measurement date, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and credited additional paid-in capital for approximately \$80,000. This amount is being amortized over the life of the Loan Facility.

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

On March 22, 2002, in connection with the Rosenthal Amendment, the Company amended the Reimbursement Agreement (the "Reimbursement Agreement") pursuant to which Soros agreed to guarantee a portion of the Loan Facility to reduce the total amount of standby letters of credit that Soros is obligated to issue to collateralize the Loan Facility to \$1.5 million from \$4

9

BLUEFLY, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2002

million. The Company is obligated to reimburse Soros for any amounts it pays to Rosenthal pursuant to the Reimbursement Agreement. The Company's obligation to Rosenthal is collateralized by a lien on substantially all of its assets and it has granted Soros a subordinated lien on substantially all of its assets, including its cash balances, in order to collateralize the reimbursement obligations of Soros. In exchange for Soros' agreement to maintain the amended Soros Guarantee until August 15, 2003, the Company issued to Soros a warrant to purchase 60,000 shares of its Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.66 per share (the 20 day trailing average of the closing sale price of its Common Stock on the date of issuance), exercisable at any time until March 30, 2007. The Company valued the warrant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and credited additional paid-in capital for approximately \$98,000. This amount is being amortized over the life of the Loan Facility.

NOTE 5 - LOSS PER SHARE

The Company has determined Loss Per Share in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 128, "Earnings Per Share." Basic loss per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period.

Diluted loss per share is computed by dividing loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, adjusted to reflect potentially dilutive securities. Due to the loss from continuing operations, the following options and warrants to purchase shares of Common Stock and Preferred Stock convertible into shares of Common Stock were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share because the result of the exercise of such inclusion would be antidilutive:

Security -----	June 30, 2002 -----	June 30, 2001 -----
Options	3,863,078	4,859,062
Warrants	1,069,144	573,000
Preferred Stock	17,554,542	13,184,286

NOTE 6 - RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain amounts in the consolidated financial statements of the prior period have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation for comparative purposes.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Bluefly, Inc. is a leading Internet retailer of designer fashions and home accessories at outlet store prices. We sell over 400 brands of designer apparel, accessories and home products at discounts up to 75% off retail prices. We were incorporated in 1991 under the laws of the state of New York as Pivot Corporation. In 1994, we changed our name to Pivot Rules, Inc. We had our initial public offering in May of 1997. In June 1998, we discontinued our golf sportswear line to devote our time and resources to building Bluefly.com, a Web site to sell end-of-season and excess inventory of apparel and accessories. We launched the Web site in September 1998 and changed our name to Bluefly, Inc. in October 1998. In February 2001, we changed our state of incorporation from New York to Delaware.

We have grown rapidly since launching our Web site in September 1998. Our net sales increased approximately 29% to \$6,799,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2002 from \$5,285,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2001. In addition, our net loss for the second quarter of 2002 decreased to \$1,519,000 from \$4,168,000 in the second quarter of 2001. The decrease in the net loss for the second quarter of 2002 was due to an increase in gross profit and a decrease in both selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses and general and administrative expenses both on an absolute basis, and as a percentage of revenue.

10

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

At June 30, 2002 we had an accumulated deficit of \$76,778,000. Historical net losses and the accumulated deficit resulted primarily from the costs associated with developing and marketing our Web site and building our infrastructure. In order to expand our business, we intend to invest in sales, marketing, merchandising, operations, information systems, site development and additional personnel to support these activities. We therefore expect to continue to incur substantial operating losses at least until the fourth quarter of 2002. We expect to be profitable in the fourth quarter of 2002. However, we anticipate losses in the first two quarters of 2003 and perhaps beyond. Although we have experienced revenue growth in recent years, this growth may not be sustainable and therefore should not be considered indicative of future performance.

Significant Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. The most significant estimates and assumptions relate to the adequacy of the allowances for returns and recoverability of inventories. Actual amounts could differ significantly from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Gross sales consist primarily of revenue from product sales and shipping and handling revenue on our Web site, and is net of promotional discounts. Revenue is recognized when goods are received by our customers, which occurs only after credit card authorization. Net sales represent gross sales, less provisions for returns, credit card chargebacks, and adjustments for uncollected sales taxes.

Provision for Returns and Doubtful Accounts

We generally permit returns for any reason within 90 days of the sale.

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Accordingly, we establish a reserve for estimated future returns and bad debt at the time of shipment based primarily on historical data. However, our future return and bad debt rates could differ significantly from historical patterns, which would adversely affect our operating results.

Inventory Valuation

Inventories, which consist of finished goods, are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method. We review our inventory levels in order to identify slow-moving merchandise and use markdowns to clear merchandise. Markdowns may be used if inventory exceeds customer demand for reasons of style, changes in customer preference or lack of consumer acceptance of certain items, or if it is determined that the inventory in stock will not sell at its currently marked price. Such markdowns may have an adverse impact on earnings, depending on the extent of the markdowns and amount of inventory affected.

Tax Valuation Allowance

We assessed the future taxable income and have determined that a 100% deferred tax valuation allowance is deemed necessary. In the event that we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax asset, an adjustment to the deferred tax value allowance would increase income in the period such determination is made.

Results Of Operations

The following table sets forth our statement of operations data, for the three months ended June 30th. All data in thousands except as indicated below:

11

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

	2002 ----	As a % of Net Sales	2001 ----	As a Net S
Net sales	\$6,799	100.0%	\$5,285	100.
Cost of sales	4,392	64.6%	3,561	67.
	-----		-----	
Gross profit	2,407	35.4%	1,724	32.
Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses	2,645	38.9%	4,535	85.
General and administrative expenses	1,221	18.0%	1,387	26.
	-----		-----	
Total operating expenses	3,866	56.9%	5,922	112.
Operating loss from continuing operations	(1,459)	(21.5)%	(4,198)	(79.
Interest (expense) and other income	(60)	(0.9)%	30	0.
	-----		-----	
Net loss	(1,519)	(22.4)%	(4,168)	(78.

We also measure and evaluate ourselves against certain other key operational

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

metrics. The following table sets forth our actual results based on these other metrics for the three months ended June 30th, as indicated below:

	2002 ----	2001 ----
Average Order Size (including shipping & handling)	\$ 161.65	\$ 140.00
Average Order Size Per New Customer (including shipping & handling)	\$ 149.01	\$ 125.00
Average Order Size Per Repeat Customer (including shipping & handling)	\$ 167.80	\$ 154.00
Registered Users	1,269,948	1,001,000
Registered Users Added During the Period	76,060	138,000
Total Customers	333,567	235,000
Customers Added during the Period	21,057	25,000
Revenue from Repeat Customers as a % of total Revenue	70%	
Customer Acquisition Costs	\$ 16.92	\$ 25.00

We define a "repeat customer" as a person who has bought more than once from us during their lifetime. We calculate customer acquisition cost by dividing total advertising expenditures (excluding staff related costs) during a given time period by total new customers added during that period. All measures of the number of customers are based on unique email addresses.

For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2002 Compared To The Six Months Ended June 30, 2001

Net sales: Gross sales for the six months ended June 30, 2002, increased by 56% to \$22,089,000, from \$14,144,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2001. For the six months ended June 30, 2002, we recorded a provision for returns and credit card chargebacks and other discounts of \$7,644,000, or approximately 34.6% of gross sales. For the six months ended June 30, 2001, the provision for returns and credit card chargebacks and other discounts was \$4,213,000 or approximately 29.8% of gross sales. The increase in this provision as a percentage of gross sales is related primarily to an increase in the return rate. We believe that the increase in return rate is partly the result of a shift in our merchandise mix towards certain product categories that historically have generated higher return rates, but also higher gross margins.

After the necessary provisions for returns, credit card chargebacks and adjustments for uncollected sales taxes, our net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2002 were \$14,445,000. This represents an increase of 45% compared to the six months ended June 30,

12

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

2001, in which net sales totaled \$9,931,000. The growth in net sales was largely driven by the increases in average order size and sales to repeat customers, as illustrated by the fact that the number of new customers acquired in the first six months of 2002 decreased from that of the first six months of 2001. The increase in average order size, we believe, is related to changes in our product mix, which is now focused on higher priced goods. We believe that the increase in sales to repeat customers and the decline in the number of new customers was the result of increased marketing efforts to repeat customers and a reduction in the amount of advertising we do that is directed to new customers.

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Cost of sales: Cost of sales consists of the cost of product sold to customers, in-bound and out-bound shipping costs, inventory reserves, commissions and packing materials. Cost of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2002 totaled \$9,538,000, resulting in gross margin of approximately 34%. Cost of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2001 totaled \$6,924,000, resulting in gross margin of 30%. Gross profit increased by 63%, to \$4,907,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$3,007,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The increase in gross margin resulted primarily from improved product margins.

Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses: Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses decreased by approximately 37% in the first six months of 2002 compared to the first six months of 2001. Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses were comprised of the following:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2002	Six Months Ended June 30, 2001	Percentage Difference increase (decrease)
	-----	-----	-----
Marketing	\$ 869,000	\$ 3,410,000	(74.5%)
Operating	2,121,000	1,783,000	19.0%
Technology	1,580,000	2,172,000	(27.3%)
Creative Services	496,000	711,000	(30.2%)
	-----	-----	-----
	\$5,066,000	\$ 8,076,000	(37.3%)

Marketing expenses include expenses related to online and print advertising, direct mail campaigns as well as staff related costs. The decrease in marketing expenses of approximately 75% is largely related to a shift in our customer acquisition strategy. Consistent with our streamlined operating plan announced in June 2001, we significantly reduced our advertising expenditures and focused more on email and direct mail programs. Primarily as a result of this shift, we were able to decrease our customer acquisition costs for the six months ended June 30, 2002 by approximately 78% to \$12.85 per customer from \$58.72 per customer for the six months ended June 30, 2001.

Operating expenses include all costs related to inventory management, fulfillment, customer service, and credit card processing. Operating expenses increased in the first six months of 2002 by approximately 19% compared to the first six months of 2001. Variable costs associated with the increased sales volume (picking and packing orders, processing returns and credit card fees) increased in connection with the increase in gross sales.

Technology expenses consist primarily of Web site hosting and staff related costs. For the six months ended June 30, 2002 technology expenses decreased by approximately 27% compared to the six months ended June 30, 2001. This reduction is primarily related to a reduction in our Web site hosting costs in connection with our move to a new web hosting facility. We are currently developing an upgraded version of our Web site based on Blue Martini software. Costs directly associated with this project are being capitalized and will be amortized after the new site has been launched, over the useful life of the new site.

Creative services expenses include expenses related to our photo studio, image processing, and Web site design. For the six months ended June 30, 2002, this amount decreased by approximately 30% as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2001, primarily due to a headcount reduction in the creative services department in June 2001.

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

13

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

As a percentage of net sales, our selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses decreased to 35% in the first six months of 2002 from 81% in the first six months of 2001. The decrease resulted primarily from a more targeted marketing strategy aimed at our existing customer base and the cost savings we derived from our move to a new web hosting facility.

General and administrative expenses: General and administrative expenses include merchandising, finance and administrative salaries and related expenses, insurance costs, accounting and legal fees, depreciation and other office related expenses. General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2002 decreased by approximately 24% to \$2,298,000 as compared to \$3,038,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was largely the result of decreased salary and benefit expenses related to the headcount reduction that was put into place in connection with the Company's June 2001 streamlined operating plan. The number of employees categorized as general and administrative for the six months ended June 30, 2002 was 23, compared to 31 for the six months ended June 30, 2001.

As a percentage of net sales, general and administrative expenses decreased to 16% in 2002 from 31% in 2001.

Loss from operations: Operating loss decreased by almost 70% in the first six months of 2002 to \$2,457,000 from \$8,107,000 in the first six months of 2001 as a result of the increase in gross margin and decreases, on an absolute basis and as a percentage of net sales, in selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses and general and administrative expenses.

Interest expense and other income, net: Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2002 totaled \$176,000, and related primarily to fees paid in connection with our Loan Facility. For the six months ended June 30, 2001, interest expense totaled \$13,240,000. This amount consisted principally of approximately \$13,007,000 of non-cash, one-time charges that were incurred in connection with the conversion of certain notes payable and redeemable equity into permanent equity. This amount also included interest expense of \$175,000, related to the interest on the notes payable that were issued during fiscal 2000 and converted to permanent equity in fiscal 2001.

Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2002 decreased to \$49,000 from \$148,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The decrease is related to the decrease in our cash balance as interest income primarily represents interest earned on our cash balance.

For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2002 Compared To The Three Months Ended June 30, 2001

Net sales: Gross sales for the three months ended June 30, 2002, increased by 41% to \$10,747,000, from \$7,631,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2001. For the three months ended June 30, 2002, we recorded a provision for returns and credit card chargebacks and other discounts of \$3,948,000, or approximately 36.7% of gross sales. For the three months ended June 30, 2001, the provision for returns and credit card chargebacks and other discounts was \$2,346,000 or approximately 30.7% of gross sales. The increase in this provision as a percentage of gross sales is related primarily to an increase in the return rate. We believe that the increase in return rate is partly the result of a shift in our merchandise mix towards certain product categories that historically have generated higher return rates, but also higher gross margins.

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

After the necessary provisions for returns, credit card chargebacks and adjustments for uncollected sales taxes, our net sales for the three months ended June 30, 2002 were \$6,799,000. This represents an increase of 29% compared to the three months ended June 30, 2001, in which net sales totaled \$5,285,000. The growth in net sales was largely driven by the increases in average order size and sales to repeat customers, as illustrated by the fact that the number of new customers acquired in the second quarter of 2002 decreased from that of the second quarter of 2001. The increase in average order size, we believe, is related to changes in our product mix, which is now focused on higher priced goods. We believe that the increase in sales to repeat customers and the decline in the number of new customers was the result of increased marketing efforts to repeat customers and a reduction in the amount of advertising we do that is directed to new customers.

Cost of sales: Cost of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2002 totaled \$4,392,000, resulting in gross margin of over 35%. Cost of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2001 totaled \$3,561,000, resulting in gross margin of approximately 33%. Gross

14

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

profit increased by almost 40%, to \$2,407,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$1,724,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2001. The increase in gross margin resulted primarily from improved product margins.

Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses: Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses decreased by approximately 42% in the second quarter of 2002 compared to the second quarter of 2001. Selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses were comprised of the following:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2002	Three Months Ended June 30, 2001	Percentage Difference increase (decrease)
	-----	-----	-----
Marketing	\$ 507,000	\$ 2,206,000	(77.0%)
Operating	1,070,000	918,000	16.6%
Technology	810,000	1,041,000	(22.2%)
Creative Services	258,000	370,000	(30.3%)
	-----	-----	-----
	\$2,645,000	\$ 4,535,000	(41.7%)

The decrease in marketing expenses of 77% is largely related to the shift in our customer acquisition strategy discussed above. Primarily as a result of this shift, we were able to decrease our customer acquisition costs for the three months ended June 30, 2002 by almost 78% to \$16.92 per customer from \$75.40 per customer for the three months ended June 30, 2001.

Operating expenses increased in the second quarter of 2002 by approximately 17% compared to the second quarter of 2001. Variable costs associated with the increased sales volume (picking and packing orders, processing returns and credit card fees) increased in connection with the increase in gross sales.

For the three months ended June 30, 2002 technology expenses decreased by

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

approximately 22% compared to the three months ended June 30, 2001. This reduction is primarily related to a reduction in our Web site hosting costs in connection with our move to a new web hosting facility.

For the second quarter of 2002, this amount decreased by approximately 30% as compared to the first quarter of 2001, primarily due to a headcount reduction in the creative services department in June 2001.

As a percentage of net sales, our selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses, decreased to 39% in the second quarter of 2002 from 86% in the second quarter of 2001. The decrease resulted primarily from a more targeted marketing strategy aimed at our existing customer base and the cost savings we derived from our move to a new web hosting facility.

General and administrative expenses: General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2002 decreased by approximately 12% to \$1,221,000 as compared to \$1,387,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2001. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was largely the result of decreased salary and benefit expenses related to the headcount reduction that was put into place in connection with the Company's June 2001 streamlined operating plan. The number of employees categorized as general and administrative for the three months ended June 30, 2002 was 22, compared to 32 for the three months ended June 30, 2001.

As a percentage of net sales, general and administrative expenses decreased to 18% in 2002 from 26% in 2001.

Loss from operations: Operating loss decreased by almost 65% in the second quarter of 2002 to \$1,459,000 from \$4,198,000 in the second quarter of 2001 as a result of the increase in gross margin and decreases, as a percentage of net sales, in selling, marketing and fulfillment expenses and general and administrative expenses.

15

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

Interest expense and other income, net: Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2002 totaled \$77,000 and \$55,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2001. Both periods of interest expense related primarily to fees paid in connection with our Loan Facility.

Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2002 decreased to \$17,000 from \$85,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2001. The decrease is related to the decrease in our cash balance as interest income primarily represents interest earned on our cash balance.

Liquidity And Capital Resources

General

At June 30, 2002, the Company had approximately \$2.0 million of liquid assets, entirely in the form of cash and cash equivalents, working capital of approximately \$5.5 million and \$2.1 million available under the Soros Standby Agreement. In addition, as of June 30, 2002, the Company had approximately \$2.4 million of borrowings committed under the Loan Facility, leaving approximately \$100,000 of availability. In August 2002, the Company received an additional \$2.1 million under the Soros Standby Agreement as more fully described below, thus reducing Soros' standby commitment to zero.

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

We fund our operations through cash on hand, operating cash flow and the Loan Facility, as well as the proceeds of any equity financing. Operating cash flow is affected by revenue and gross margin levels, as well as return rates, and any deterioration in our performance on these financial measures would have a negative impact on our liquidity. Total availability under the Loan Facility is based upon our inventory levels and dependent, among other things, on the Company having at least \$1.5 million of tangible net worth and \$3.5 million of working capital. In addition, both availability under the Loan Facility and our operating cash flows are affected by the payment terms that we receive from suppliers and service providers, and the extent to which suppliers require us to request Rosenthal to provide credit support under the Loan Facility. We believe that our suppliers' decision-making with respect to payment terms and/or the type of credit support requested is largely driven by their perception of our credit rating, which is affected by information reported in the industry and financial press and elsewhere as to our financial strength. Accordingly, negative perceptions as to our financial strength could have a negative impact on our liquidity.

Loan Facility

Pursuant to the Rosenthal Financing Agreement, as amended, Rosenthal provides us with certain credit accommodations, including loans and advances, factor-to-factor guarantees, letters of credit in favor of suppliers or factors and purchases of payables owed to our suppliers. The maximum amount available under the Loan Facility is an amount equal to the amount of Soros Guarantee (currently \$1.5 million) plus the lowest of (x) \$1 million, (y) 20% of the book value of our inventory and (z) the full liquidation value of our inventory. However, the maximum availability under the Loan Facility can never exceed \$10 million. Under the Loan Facility, we are required to have at least \$1,500,000 of tangible net worth and \$3,500,000 of working capital. Interest accrues monthly on the average daily amount outstanding under the Loan Facility during the preceding month at a per annum rate equal to the prime rate plus 1%. As of June 30, 2002, maximum availability under the Loan Facility was approximately \$2.5 million. The Company had approximately \$2.4 million outstanding as of such date.

We also pay Rosenthal (a) an annual facility fee equal to a certain percentage of the maximum inventory facility available under the Loan Facility and (b) certain fees to open letters of credit and guarantees in an amount equal to a certain percentage of the face amount of the letter of credit or guarantee plus, a certain percentage of the face amount of such letters of credit or guarantees for each thirty (30) days or a portion thereof that such letters of credit or guarantees are open.

In consideration for the Loan Facility, among other things, we granted to Rosenthal a first priority lien on substantially all of our assets, including control of all of our cash accounts upon an event of default and certain of our cash accounts in the event that the total amount of monies loaned to us under the Loan Facility exceeds 90% of the maximum amount available under the Loan

16

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

Facility for more than 10 days. We also issued to Rosenthal on March 31, 2001 a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.34 exercisable, as amended, for six years from the date of issuance.

In connection with the Loan Facility, we entered into a Reimbursement Agreement

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

with Soros pursuant to which Soros issued a standby letter of credit at closing (the "Soros Guarantee") in the amount of \$2.5 million in favor of Rosenthal to guarantee a portion of the Company's obligations under the Rosenthal Financing Agreement, we agreed to reimburse Soros for any amounts it pays to Rosenthal pursuant to such guarantee and we granted Soros a subordinated lien on substantially all of our assets, including our cash balances, in order to secure our reimbursement obligations. In connection with the recent amendment of the Rosenthal Financing Agreement, the face amount of the Soros Guarantee was reduced from \$2.5 to \$1.5 million, Soros' obligation to issue at our request another standby letter of credit for up to an additional \$1.5 million was terminated and Soros agreed to maintain the Soros Guarantee until August 15, 2003. In consideration for the issuance of the original Soros Guarantee, we issued to Soros a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.88, exercisable at any time prior to September 15, 2011. In consideration for Soros' agreement to maintain the amended Soros Guarantee until August 15, 2003, we issued to Soros a warrant to purchase 60,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price equal to \$1.66 per share (the 20 day trailing average of the closing sale price of our Common Stock on the date of issuance), exercisable at any time prior to March 30, 2007.

Subject to certain conditions, if we default on any of our obligations under the Rosenthal Financing Agreement, Rosenthal has the right to draw upon the Soros Guarantee to satisfy any such obligations. If and when Rosenthal draws on the Soros Guarantee, pursuant to the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement, we would have the obligation to, among other things, reimburse Soros for any amounts drawn under the Soros Guarantee plus interest accrued thereon. In addition, to the extent that Rosenthal draws on the Soros Guarantee during the continuance of a default under the Rosenthal Financing Agreement or at any time that the total amount outstanding under the Loan Facility exceeds 90% of the Soros Guarantee, we will be required to issue to Soros a warrant (each a "Contingent Warrant") to purchase a number of shares of Common Stock equal to the quotient of (a) any amounts drawn under the Soros Guarantee and (b) 75% of the average of the closing price of our Common Stock on the ten days preceding the date of issuance of such warrant. Each Contingent Warrant will be exercisable for ten years from the date of issuance at an exercise price equal to 75% of the average closing price of our Common Stock on the ten days preceding the ten days after the date of issuance.

Under the Rosenthal Financing Agreement, Soros has the right to purchase all of our obligations from Rosenthal at any time during the term of the Rosenthal Financing Agreement. With respect to such Buyout Option, Soros has the right to request that Rosenthal make a draw under the Soros Guarantee as consideration to Soros for the purchase of such obligations.

Standby Commitment

On March 27, 2002, we entered into the Standby Commitment Agreement with Soros. Under the Soros Standby Agreement, Soros agreed to provide us with up to four million dollars (\$4,000,000) of additional financing on a standby basis at any time prior to January 1, 2003. In exchange for this commitment, but not as a substitute for additional consideration that Soros would receive if and when any financing is made pursuant to the Soros Standby Agreement, we issued to Soros a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.68 per share (the 20 day trailing average of the closing sale price of our Common Stock on the date of issuance), exercisable at any time until March 27, 2007. In connection with the issuance of this warrant, Soros agreed that the issuance of this warrant shall not trigger the anti-dilution provision contained in Section 5.8.6 of our Certificate of Incorporation.

In June 2002, Soros invested \$1.9 million in us, thereby reducing its standby commitment to \$2.1 million. Under the terms of the deal, we issued 1,186,573 shares of Common Stock at \$1.57 per share, and warrants to purchase 296,644

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

shares of Common Stock at any time during the next five years at an exercise price of \$1.88 per warrant for a purchase price of \$0.125 per warrant.

The June 2002 Soros investment was negotiated as part of an equity financing in which third party investors would also participate. In particular, one third party investor committed to invest \$7 million on the same terms and conditions as those that applied to Soros' investment. However, this third party investment has not been consummated, and we do not know when or if

17

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

it will be consummated. To date, the only funds that we have received from the third party investor are a \$140,000 good faith deposit, for which we have agreed, for a limited period of time, not to pursue remedies against the third party investor as a result of its failure to honor its investment commitment. We believe that the third party investor's obligations to consummate the investment are enforceable. However, in the event that the third party investor does not honor its obligations, we will be forced to resort to litigation, which is subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. Moreover, given the substantial costs involved with litigation, there can be no assurance that the amount that we would be able to collect with respect to any judgment rendered in connection with such litigation would exceed the costs associated with obtaining such judgment.

In connection with the June 2002 financing, we agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 45 days of closing, in order to register the Common Stock issued in the financing, as well as the Common Stock underlying the warrants. However, given the failure to date of the third party investors to consummate their investment, Soros has agreed with us to delay the filing of such registration statement, although we expect that we will be required to file such registration statement at some point in the future.

As a result of the June 2002 financing, the conversion price of our Series B Preferred Stock, almost all of which is held by Soros, automatically decreased from \$2.34 to \$1.57. In accordance with EITF 00-27, this reduction in the conversion price of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock resulted in the Company recording a beneficial conversion feature in the approximate amount of \$10.2 million as part of its second quarter financial results. This non-cash charge, which is analogous to a dividend, resulted in an adjustment to the Company's computation of (Loss)/Earnings Per Share.

In August 2002, Soros invested an additional \$2.1 million in us, thereby reducing its standby commitment to zero. Under the terms of the deal, we issued to Soros 2,100 shares of our newly-designated Series 2002 Convertible Preferred Stock at a price of \$1,000 per share. The Series 2002 Convertible Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share and is convertible in whole or in part, at the holder's option, into the type of equity securities sold by us in any subsequent round of equity financing, at the same price, and upon the same terms and conditions, as such securities are sold in such equity financing. The Series 2002 Convertible Preferred Stock does not have any fixed dividend rate, and does not provide the holders thereof with any voting rights, other than with respect to transactions or actions that would adversely affect the rights, preference, powers and privileges of the Series 2002 Convertible Preferred Stock.

Commitments And Long Term Obligations

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2002, we had the following commitments and long term obligations:

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Marketing and Advertising	\$ 213,000	--	--	--	--
Operating Leases	\$ 369,000	568,000	519,000	457,000	449,000
Employment Contracts	\$ 499,000	495,000	28,000	--	--
Capital Leases	\$ 86,000	159,000	159,000	55,000	--
Note payable to shareholder	\$ --	--	--	182,000	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Grand total	\$1,167,000	1,222,000	706,000	694,000	449,000

On March 12, 2002, we entered into a Software License and Service Agreement with Blue Martini. In March 2002, with the assistance of consultants from Blue Martini, we began the development of an upgraded version of our Web site based on Blue Martini Software. Once launched, we expect that the new Web site will provide us with better tools to create and manage on-site marketing promotions, more robust analytical tools to measure the performance of on-site promotions, greater site stability, and a more efficient platform from which to scale our technology infrastructure should any future growth in our business dictate such a need. All costs associated with the upgraded site have been and will be accounted for in accordance with Statement of Position 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use" ("SOP 98-1"). We expect to launch the new Web site during the third quarter of 2002. Of course, there can be no assurance that the new Web site will be launched when scheduled, that there will not be start up problems associated with the launch or that our expectations as to the benefits of the

18

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

new Web site will prove to be correct or that they will have a positive effect on our business.

We believe that in order to grow the business, we will need to make additional marketing and advertising commitments in the future. In addition, we expect to hire and train additional employees for the operations and development of Bluefly.com. However, our marketing budget and our ability to hire such employees are subject to a number of factors, including our results of operations as well as the amount of additional capital that we raise.

In order to continue to expand our product offerings, we intend to expand our relationships with suppliers of end-of-season and excess name brand apparel and fashion accessories. We expect that our suppliers will continue to include designers and retail stores that sell excess inventory as well as third-party end-of-season apparel aggregators. To achieve our goal of offering a wide selection of top name brand designer clothing and fashion accessories, we may acquire certain goods on consignment and may explore leasing or partnering select departments with strategic partners and distributors. Due to our limited working capital, a number of our suppliers have limited our payment terms and, in some cases, have required us to pay for merchandise in advance of delivery.

Based on our current plans, we anticipate that the proceeds from the Rosenthal Financing Agreement together with existing resources and cash generated from

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

operations, should be sufficient to satisfy our cash requirements through the end of fiscal 2002. These plans anticipate that we will seek additional debt and/or equity financing in order to maximize the growth of our business. There can be no assurance that any additional financing or other sources of capital will be available to us upon acceptable terms, or at all. The inability to obtain additional financing would have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, to the extent that we determine that additional financing may not be available, we may be required to alter our current growth plans in order to preserve capital for use during 2003.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Financial Reporting Release No. 60, which was recently released by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"), requires all companies to include a discussion of critical accounting policies or methods used in the preparation of financial statements. Note 2 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements includes a summary of the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. For a brief discussion of the more significant accounting policies and methods used by us, please see, "Significant Accounting Policies."

In addition, Financial Reporting Release No. 61 was recently released by the Commission, and requires all companies to include a discussion addressing, among other things, liquidity, off balance sheet arrangements, contractual obligations and commercial commitments. For a discussion of these issues, please read "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

In April 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment to FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections" ("SFAS No. 145"). SFAS No. 145 eliminates the requirement (in SFAS No. 4) that gains and losses from the extinguishments of debt be aggregated and classified as extraordinary items, net of the related income tax. In addition, SFAS No. 145 requires sales-lease back treatment for certain modifications of a capital lease that result in the lease being classified as an operating lease. The rescission of SFAS No. 4 is effective for fiscal years beginning after May 15, 2002, which for the Company would be December 31, 2003. Earlier application is encouraged. Any gain or loss on extinguishment of debt that was previously classified as an extraordinary item would be reclassified to other income (expense). The remainder of the statement is generally effective for transactions occurring after May 15, 2002. We do not expect that the adoption of SFAS No. 145 will have a material impact on our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

In October 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 144 ("SFAS No. 144"), "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." This statement supersedes FASB Statement No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of" and certain provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events

and Transactions," for the disposal of a segment of a business (as previously defined in that Opinion). The provisions of SFAS No. 144 are effective for

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. We do not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 144 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 143 ("SFAS No. 143"), "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. SFAS No. 143 shall be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. Earlier application is encouraged. Initial application of this Statement shall be as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year. We do not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 143 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 142 ("SFAS No. 142"), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets will no longer be amortized, but rather will be tested for impairment within six months of adoption and at least annually thereafter effective for years beginning after December 15, 2001. In addition, the amortization period of intangible assets with finite lives will no longer be limited. We do not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 142 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 ("SFAS No. 141"), "Business Combinations." SFAS No. 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 be accounted for under the purchase method. In addition, SFAS No. 141 establishes criteria for the recognition and measurement of intangible assets separately from goodwill. SFAS No. 141 may require us to reclassify the carrying amounts of certain intangible assets into or out of goodwill, based upon certain criteria. We do not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 141 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We have assessed our vulnerability to certain market risks, including interest rate risk associated with financial instruments included in cash and cash equivalents and our notes payable. Due to the short-term nature of these investments we have determined that the risks associated with interest rate fluctuations related to these financial instruments do not pose a material risk to us.

Special Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This report may include statements that constitute "forward-looking" statements, usually containing the words "believe", "project", "expect", or similar expressions. These statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements inherently involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties are detailed from time to time in reports filed by the company with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including Forms 8-A, 8-K, 10-Q, and 10-K. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following: the Company's limited working capital, need for additional capital and potential inability to raise such capital; potential dilution arising from future equity financings, including potential dilution as a result of the anti-dilution provisions contained in the Company's Series B Preferred Stock; the competitive nature of the business and the potential for competitors with greater resources to enter such business; adverse trends in the retail apparel market; the risk that recent favorable trends in sales, gross margin and reduced sales marketing and fulfillment expenses will not continue; risks of litigation for sale of unauthentic or damaged goods and litigation risks related to sales in foreign countries; availability formulas under the Rosenthal credit facility

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

which limit the amount of funds available for borrowing; the Company's potential inability to make repayments under the Rosenthal credit facility and the possible shareholder dilution that could result if the Soros standby letter of credit is drawn upon; the risk of default by the Company under the Rosenthal financing agreement and the consequences that might arise from the Company having granted a lien on substantially all of its assets under that agreement; consumer acceptance of the Internet as a medium for purchasing apparel; recent losses and anticipated future losses; the capital intensive nature of such business (taking into account the need for advertising to promote such business); the dependence on third parties and certain relationships for certain services, including the Company's dependence on the United States Postal Service and UPS (and

20

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

the risk of a mail slowdown due to terrorist activity) and the Company's dependence on third-party web hosting and fulfillment centers; the successful hiring and retaining of personnel; the dependence on continued growth of online commerce; rapid technological change; online commerce security risks; the startup nature of the Internet business; governmental regulation and legal uncertainties; management of potential growth; and unexpected changes in fashion trends.

Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We currently and from time to time, are involved in litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. However we are not party to any lawsuit or proceeding which in the opinion of management is likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use Of Proceeds

In June 2002, the Company sold 1,186,573 shares of Common Stock and warrants to purchase 296,644 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.88 per share to Soros for aggregate consideration of \$1.9 million.

In August 2002, the Company sold 2,100 shares of its newly-designated Series 2002 Preferred Stock to Soros for aggregate consideration of \$2.1 million. The Series 2002 Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share and is convertible in whole or in part, at the holder's option, into the type of equity securities sold by us in any subsequent round of equity financing, at the same price, and upon the same terms and conditions, as such securities are sold in such equity financing.

The above-described sales were deemed to be exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act") in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Act.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) The following is a list of exhibits filed as part of this Report:

Exhibit Number -----	Description -----
3.3	Certificate of Powers, Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series 2002 Preferred Stock of the Registrant
10.39	Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated May 24, 2002, by and between the Registrant and the investors listed on Schedule 1 thereto
10.40	Series 2002 Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, dated August 12, 2002, by and between the Registrant and the investors listed on Schedule 1 thereto

21

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

99.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

The Company filed a report on Form 8-K, dated June 3, 2002 concerning an additional investment made by affiliates of Soros Private Equity Partners LLC in the Company.

22

BLUEFLY, INC.
JUNE 30, 2002

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BLUEFLY, INC.

By: /s/ E. Kenneth Seiff

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

 E. Kenneth Seiff
 CEO and President

By: /s/ Patrick C. Barry

 Patrick C. Barry
 Chief Financial Officer

August 12, 2002

23

new roman; FONT-SIZE: 10pt"> 61,971 46,678 116,674 92,820

Selling, general, administrative and engineering expenses	38,789	30,824	78,278	63,542
Asset impairment charge			2,170	- 2,170 -
Income from operations	21,012	15,854	36,226	29,278
Interest expense			58	135 94 259
Other income, net of expenses			366	122 773 610
Income before income taxes	21,320	15,841	36,905	29,629
Income taxes			7,215	5,511 12,642 10,467
Net income	14,105	10,330	24,263	19,162
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest			19	22 33 60
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$14,086	\$10,308	\$24,230	\$19,102
Earnings per common share				
Net income attributable to controlling interest:				
Basic			\$0.62	\$0.46 \$1.07 \$0.85
Diluted			\$0.61	\$0.45 \$1.06 \$0.84
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:				
Basic	22,576,222	22,507,078	22,571,192	22,490,431
Diluted				

22,991,917 22,832,785 22,955,707 22,800,223

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

4

Astec Industries, Inc.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)
(unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$24,263	\$19,162
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	9,449	9,570
Provision for (recoveries of) doubtful accounts	965	(11)
Provision for inventory reserve	2,078	2,465
Provision for warranty	5,836	6,954
Deferred compensation provision	446	67
Sale of trading securities, net	1,025	654
Stock-based compensation	1,623	815
Tax benefit from stock incentive plans	(175)	(329)
Deferred income tax benefit	(1,573)	(1,171)
Asset impairment charge	2,170	-
Loss on disposition of fixed assets	24	55
(Increase) decrease in:		
Trade and other receivables	(24,301)	(16,517)
Inventories	(30,249)	20,115
Prepaid expenses	1,021	8,335
Other assets	(1,763)	(241)
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	5,006	1,081
Accrued product warranty	(5,475)	(6,986)
Customer deposits	4,009	1,516
Income taxes payable	328	1,430
Other accrued liabilities	2,178	(1,875)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	(3,115)	45,089
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Expenditures for property and equipment	(18,668)	(3,759)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	139	71
Net cash used by investing activities	(18,529)	(3,688)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Tax benefit from stock option exercise	175	329
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan transactions, net	(137)	(136)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	510	763
Net cash provided by financing activities	548	956
Effect of exchange rates on cash	(11)	(797)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(21,107)	41,560
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	94,597	40,429
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$73,490	\$81,989

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

5

Astec Industries, Inc.
 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity
 For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
 (in thousands, except shares)
 (unaudited)

	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in- Capital	Accum-ulated Company Other Compre- hensive Income	Shares Held by SERP	Retained Earnings	Non- controlling Interest	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2010	22,646,822	\$4,529	\$ 128,831	\$ 8,046	\$(2,217)	\$353,019	\$ 598	\$492,806
Net income						24,230	33	24,263
Other comprehensive income:								
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax				(676)			(21)	(697)
Change in unrecognized pension and post retirement costs, net of tax				(48)				(48)
Comprehensive income							12	23,518
Stock-based compensation	9,447	2	1,621					1,623
Stock issued under incentive plans	27,652	6	679					685
SERP transactions, net			5		(142)			(137)
Balance, June 30, 2011	22,683,921	\$4,537	\$ 131,136	\$ 7,322	\$(2,359)	\$377,249	\$ 610	\$518,495

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

ASTEC INDUSTRIES, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. It is suggested that these condensed financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Astec Industries, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-13, "Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements" containing guidance that supersedes certain previous rules relating to how a company allocates consideration to all of its deliverables in a multiple-deliverable revenue arrangement. The revised guidance eliminates the use of the residual method of allocation in which the undelivered element is measured at its estimated selling price and the delivered element is measured as the residual of the arrangement consideration and alternatively requires that the relative-selling-price method be used in all circumstances in which an entity recognizes revenue for an arrangement with multiple-deliverables. The revised guidance requires both ongoing disclosures regarding an entity's multiple-element revenue arrangements as well as certain transitional disclosures during periods after adoption. All entities must adopt the revised guidance no later than the beginning of their first fiscal year beginning on or after June 15, 2010 with earlier adoption allowed. Entities may elect to adopt the guidance through either prospective application or through retrospective application to all revenue arrangements for all periods presented. The Company adopted the revised guidance using the prospective application method effective January 1, 2011. The adoption of this guidance has not had a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Account Standards Update No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs" which results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). Consequently, the amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. While the FASB stated that for many of the requirements it did not intend for the amendments in the update to result in a change in the application of the requirements of Topic 820, some of the amendments clarify the FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. Additionally, other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and its amendments must be applied prospectively. The Company plans to adopt its provisions effective January 1,

2012. The Company has not yet determined the impact, if any the application of this update will have on its financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Account Standards Update No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)" which will change the way companies present other comprehensive income and its components in financial statements. The new standards, which are effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011, require that companies present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company plans on adopting the provisions of this update in its first quarter 2012 financials. As the revised rules deal only with presentation, adopting this update is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Note 2. Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is determined by dividing net income attributable to controlling interest by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share include the potential dilutive effects of options, restricted stock units and shares held in the Company's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Numerator:				
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$ 14,086,000	\$ 10,308,000	\$ 24,230,000	\$ 19,102,000
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share	22,576,222	22,507,078	22,571,192	22,490,431
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Employee stock options and restricted stock units	315,172	225,736	285,060	211,234
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	100,523	99,971	99,455	98,558
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	22,991,917	22,832,785	22,955,707	22,800,223
Net income attributable to controlling interest per share:				
Basic	\$0.62	\$0.46	\$1.07	\$0.85
Diluted	\$0.61	\$0.45	\$1.06	\$0.84

A total of 323 and 1,072 options were antidilutive for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. A total of 698 and 1,437 options were antidilutive for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Antidilutive options are not included in the diluted earnings per share computation.

Note 3. Receivables

Receivables are net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$2,563,000 and \$1,820,000 as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Note 4. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Raw materials and parts	\$117,114	\$96,731
Work-in-process	72,066	60,463
Finished goods	76,960	77,583
Used equipment	15,012	18,204
Total	\$281,152	\$252,981

The above inventory amounts are net of reserves totalling \$20,495,000 and \$19,399,000 as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Note 5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation of \$176,589,000 and \$169,955,000 as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Note 6. Fair Value Measurements

The Company has various financial instruments that must be measured at fair value on a recurring basis including marketable debt and equity securities held by Astec Insurance Company (“Astec Insurance”), the Company’s captive insurance company, and marketable equity securities held in an unqualified Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (“SERP”). The financial assets held in the SERP also constitute a liability of the Company for financial reporting purposes. The Company’s subsidiaries also occasionally enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to mitigate exposure to fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

For cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables, other receivables, revolving debt and accounts payable, the carrying amount approximates the fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments. Investments are carried at their fair value based on quoted market prices for identical or similar assets or, where no quoted prices exist, other observable inputs for the asset. The fair values of foreign currency exchange contracts are based on quotations from various banks for similar instruments using models with market based inputs.

Financial assets and liabilities are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. The inputs used to measure the fair value are identified in the following hierarchy:

Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities; or unadjusted quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.

Level 3 - Inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Consideration is given to the risk inherent in the valuation technique and the risk inherent in the inputs to the model.

As indicated in the table below (which excludes the Company's pension assets), the Company has determined that all its financial assets and liabilities at June 30, 2011 are level 1 and level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as defined above (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Financial Assets:				
Trading equity securities:				
SERP money market fund	\$988	\$-	\$-	\$988
SERP mutual funds	1,877	-	-	1,877
Preferred stocks	468	-	-	468
Trading debt securities:				
Corporate bonds	-	4,814	-	4,814
Municipal bonds	-	3,315	-	3,315
Floating rate notes	-	233	-	233
U.S. Treasury bill	250	-	-	250
Other government bonds	-	73	-	73
Total financial assets	\$3,583	\$8,435	\$-	\$12,018
Financial Liabilities:				
SERP liabilities	\$6,583	\$-	\$-	\$6,583
Derivative financial instruments	-	1,050	-	1,050
Total financial liabilities	\$6,583	\$1,050	\$-	\$7,633

The Company's investments (other than pension assets) consist of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value (Net Carrying Amount)
June 30, 2011:				
Trading equity securities	\$3,131	\$204	\$2	\$3,333
Trading debt securities	8,404	324	43	8,685
	\$11,535	\$528	\$45	\$12,018
December 31, 2010:				
Trading equity securities	\$3,089	\$154	\$7	\$3,236
Trading debt securities	9,393	266	67	9,592
	\$12,482	\$420	\$74	\$12,828

The trading equity investments noted above are valued at their estimated fair value based on their quoted market prices and the debt securities are valued based upon a mix of observable market prices and model driven prices derived from a matrix of observable market prices for assets with similar characteristics obtained from a nationally recognized third party pricing service. Additionally, a significant portion of the trading equity securities are in equity money market and mutual funds and also comprise a portion of the Company's liability under its SERP.

Trading debt securities are comprised of marketable debt securities held by Astec Insurance. Astec Insurance has an investment strategy that focuses on providing regular and predictable interest income from a diversified portfolio of high-quality fixed income securities. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$644,000 and \$1,156,000, respectively, of trading debt securities were due to mature within twelve months and, accordingly, are included in other current assets. The financial liabilities related to the SERP shown above are included in other long-term liabilities and the derivative financial liabilities are included in other accrued liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets.

Net unrealized gains or losses incurred during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 on investments still held as of the end of each reporting period amounted to a gain of \$70,000 and a loss of \$159,000, respectively. Net unrealized gains or losses incurred during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 on investments still held as of the end of each reporting period amounted to a gain of \$194,000 and a loss of \$14,000, respectively.

Note 7. Debt

During April 2007, the Company entered into an unsecured credit agreement with Wachovia Bank, National Association ("Wachovia"), whereby Wachovia has extended to the Company an unsecured line of credit of up to \$100,000,000, including a sub-limit for letters of credit of up to \$15,000,000. Wachovia has subsequently been acquired by Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), and therefore the credit agreement is now with Wells Fargo.

The Wells Fargo credit facility had an original term of three years with two one-year extensions available. Early in 2010, the Company exercised the final extension bringing the new loan maturity date to May 2012. The interest rate for borrowings is a function of the Adjusted LIBOR Rate or Adjusted LIBOR Market Index Rate, as elected by the Company, plus a margin based upon a leverage ratio pricing grid ranging between 0.5% and 1.5%. As of June 30, 2011 the applicable margin based upon the leverage ratio pricing grid was equal to 0.5%. The unused facility fee is 0.125%. The Wells Fargo credit facility requires no principal amortization and interest only payments are due, in the

case of loans bearing interest at the Adjusted LIBOR Market Index Rate, monthly in arrears and, in the case of loans bearing interest at the Adjusted LIBOR Rate, at the end of the applicable interest period. The Wells Fargo credit agreement contains certain financial covenants related to minimum fixed charge coverage ratios, minimum tangible net worth and maximum allowed capital expenditures. At June 30, 2011, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under the credit facility but did have letters of credit totaling \$10,041,000 outstanding, resulting in borrowing availability of \$89,959,000 on the Wells Fargo credit facility. The Company was in compliance with the financial covenants under its credit facility as of June 30, 2011.

The Company's South African subsidiary, Osborn Engineered Products SA (Pty) Ltd. ("Osborn"), has available a credit facility of \$8,798,000 (ZAR 60,000,000) to finance short-term working capital needs, as well as to cover performance letters of credit, advance payment and retention guarantees. As of June 30, 2011, Osborn had no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility, but \$4,564,000 in performance letters of credit, advance payment and retention guarantees were issued under the facility. The facility is secured by Osborn's buildings and improvements, accounts receivable, cash balances and a \$2,000,000 letter of credit issued by the parent Company. As of June 30, 2011, Osborn had available credit under the facility of \$4,234,000. The facility has an ongoing, indefinite term subject to annual reviews by the bank. The interest rate is the South African prime rate. The agreement has an unused facility fee of 0.793%.

The Company's Australian subsidiary, Astec Australia Pty Ltd ("Astec Australia") has an available credit facility to finance short-term working capital needs of \$859,000 (AUD 800,000), and banking arrangements to finance foreign exchange dealer limit orders of up to \$1,772,000 (AUD 1,650,000) secured by cash balances in the amount of \$1,074,000 (AUD 1,000,000) and a \$1,000,000 letter of credit issued by the parent Company. No amounts were outstanding under the credit facility at June 30, 2011. The interest rate is the Australian adjusted Bank Business Rate plus a margin of 1.05%.

Note 8. Product Warranty Reserves

The Company warrants its products against manufacturing defects and performance to specified standards. The warranty period and performance standards vary by market and uses of its products, but generally range from three months to one year or up to a specified number of hours of operations. The Company estimates the costs that may be incurred under its warranties and records a liability at the time product sales are recorded. The product warranty liability is primarily based on historical claim rates, nature of claims and the associated cost.

Changes in the Company's product warranty liability for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Reserve balance, beginning of the period	\$9,979	\$8,549	\$9,891	\$8,714
Warranty liabilities accrued	2,936	4,095	5,836	6,954
Warranty liabilities settled	(2,672)	(3,922)	(5,475)	(6,965)
Other	4	(40)	(5)	(21)
Reserve balance, end of the period	\$10,247	\$8,682	\$10,247	\$8,682

Note 9. Accrued Loss Reserves

The Company accrues reserves for losses related to known workers' compensation and general liability claims that have been incurred but not yet paid or are estimated to have been incurred but not yet reported to the Company. The undiscounted reserves are actuarially determined based on the Company's evaluation of the type and severity of individual claims and historical information, primarily its own claims experience, along with assumptions about future events. Changes in assumptions, as well as changes in actual experience, could cause these estimates to change in the future. Total accrued loss reserves were \$8,625,000 at June 30, 2011 compared to \$8,044,000 at December 31, 2010, of which \$4,462,000 and \$4,248,000 were included in other long-term liabilities at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Note 10. Income Taxes

The Company's combined effective income tax rate was 33.8% and 34.8% for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company's combined effective income tax rate was 34.3% and 35.3% for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 includes the effect of state income taxes and other discrete benefits consisting primarily of tax credits for research and development activities and the domestic production activities deduction. The Company's effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 did not contain a benefit for research and development tax credits as the legislation providing the credits was not enacted by Congress until later in 2010.

The Company's liability recorded for uncertain tax positions as of June 30, 2011 has not changed significantly in amount or composition since December 31, 2010.

Note 11. Segment Information

The Company has four reportable segments. These segments are combinations of business units that offer similar products and services. A brief description of each segment is as follows:

Asphalt Group - This segment consists of three business units that design, engineer, manufacture and market a complete line of portable, stationary and relocatable hot-mix asphalt plants and related components as well as a variety of heaters, heat transfer processing equipment, thermal fluid storage tanks and concrete plants. The principal purchasers of these products are asphalt producers, highway and heavy equipment contractors and foreign and domestic governmental agencies.

Aggregate and Mining Group - This segment consists of six business units that design, engineer, manufacture and market a complete line of rock crushers, feeders, conveyors, screens and washing equipment. The principal purchasers of these products are open-mine and quarry operators.

Mobile Asphalt Paving Group - This segment consists of two business units that design, engineer, manufacture and market asphalt pavers, asphalt material transfer vehicles, milling machines and paver screeds. The principal purchasers of these products are highway and heavy equipment contractors and foreign and domestic governmental agencies.

Underground Group - This segment consists of two business units that design, engineer, manufacture and market auger boring machines, directional drills, fluid/mud systems, chain and wheel trenching equipment, rock saws, road miners, geothermal drills and oil and natural gas drills. The principal purchasers of these products are pipeline and utility contractors and oil and natural gas drillers.

All Others - This category consists of the Company's other business units, including Peterson Pacific Corp. ("Peterson"), Astec Australia Pty Ltd ("Astec Australia"), Astec Insurance Company and the parent company, Astec Industries, Inc., that do not meet the requirements for separate disclosure as an operating segment. Peterson designs, manufactures and markets whole-tree pulpwood chippers, horizontal grinders and blower trucks. Astec Australia markets equipment and installs, services and provides parts support for many of the products produced by the Company's manufacturing companies.

Segment Information:

	(in thousands)											
	Three Months Ended											
	June 30, 2011											
	Asphalt Group		Aggregate and Mining Group		Mobile Asphalt Paving Group		Underground Group		All Others		Total	
Net sales to external customers	\$	68,183	\$	86,562	\$	53,466	\$	23,088	\$	16,457	\$	247,756
Intersegment sales		5,897		4,980		2,788		261		-		13,926
Gross profit		16,750		22,406		15,627		3,934		3,254		61,971
Gross profit percent		24.6 %		25.9 %		29.2 %		17.0 %		19.8 %		25.0 %
Segment profit (loss)	\$	9,102	\$	9,727	\$	8,532	\$	172	\$	(13,565)	\$	13,968

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)
Six Months Ended
June 30, 2011

	Asphalt Group	Aggregate and Mining Group	Mobile Asphalt Paving Group	Underground Group	All Others	Total
Net sales to external customers	\$ 141,937	\$ 165,415	\$ 103,421	\$ 34,755	\$ 32,417	\$ 477,945
Intersegment sales	10,355	12,144	6,565	1,680	-	30,744
Gross profit	35,978	41,155	29,067	4,057	6,417	116,674
Gross profit percent	25.3 %	24.9 %	28.1 %	11.7 %	19.8 %	24.4 %
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 19,921	\$ 15,349	\$ 15,843	\$ (3,677)	\$ (22,063)	\$ 25,373

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)
Three Months Ended
June 30, 2010

	Asphalt Group	Aggregate and Mining Group	Mobile Asphalt Paving Group	Underground Group	All Others	Total
Net sales to external customers	\$65,362	\$67,000	\$47,231	\$ 13,636	\$16,020	\$209,249
Intersegment sales	3,558	4,555	3,057	951	-	12,121
Gross profit	14,961	15,199	12,233	868	3,417	46,678
Gross profit percent	22.9 %	22.7 %	25.9 %	6.4 %	21.3 %	22.3 %
Segment profit (loss)	\$7,574	\$4,973	\$6,264	\$ (1,901)	\$ (6,069)	\$10,841

(in thousands)
Six Months Ended
June 30, 2010

	Asphalt Group	Aggregate and Mining Group	Mobile Asphalt Paving Group	Underground Group	All Others	Total
Net sales to external customers	\$ 135,423	\$ 125,919	\$ 89,314	\$ 22,563	\$ 29,485	\$ 402,704
Intersegment sales	10,111	12,149	7,198	1,883	-	31,341
Gross profit	35,168	28,386	22,743	511	6,012	92,820
Gross profit percent	26.0 %	22.5 %	25.5 %	2.3 %	20.4 %	23.0 %
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 20,369	\$ 7,795	\$ 11,475	\$ (5,443)	\$ (13,579)	\$ 20,617

A reconciliation of total segment profits to the Company's consolidated totals is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Total segment profits	\$13,968	\$10,841	\$25,373	\$20,617
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest in subsidiary	(19)	(22)	(33)	(60)
Recapture (elimination) of intersegment profit	137	(511)	(1,110)	(1,455)
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$14,086	\$10,308	\$24,230	\$19,102

Note 12. Contingent Matters

Certain customers have financed purchases of Company products through arrangements in which the Company is contingently liable for customer debt of \$3,196,000 and \$3,037,000 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, the maximum potential amount of future payments for which the Company would be liable is equal to \$3,196,000. These arrangements also provide that the Company will receive the lender's full security interest in the equipment financed if the Company is required to fulfill its contingent liability under these arrangements. The Company has recorded a liability of \$324,000 related to these guarantees at June 30, 2011.

In addition, the Company is contingently liable under letters of credit issued by Wells Fargo totaling \$10,041,000 as of June 30, 2011, including a \$1,000,000 and a \$2,000,000 letter of credit issued on behalf of Astec Australia and Osborn, respectively, two of the Company's foreign subsidiaries. The outstanding letters of credit expire at various dates through October 2013. As of June 30, 2011, Osborn is contingently liable for a total of \$4,564,000 in performance letters of credit, advance payments and retention guarantees. As of June 30, 2011, the maximum potential amount of future payments under these letters of credit and guarantees for which the Company could be liable is \$14,605,000.

The Company is currently a party to various claims and legal proceedings that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. If management believes that a loss arising from such claims and legal proceedings is probable and can reasonably be estimated, the Company records the amount of the loss (excluding estimated legal fees), or the minimum estimated liability when the loss is estimated using a range, and no point within the range is more probable than another. As management becomes aware of additional information concerning such contingencies, any potential liability related to these matters is assessed and the estimates are revised, if necessary. If management believes that a loss arising from such claims and legal proceedings is either (i) probable but cannot be reasonably estimated or (ii) reasonably possible but not probable, the Company does not record the amount of the loss, but does make specific disclosure of such matter. Based upon currently available information and with the advice of counsel, management believes that the ultimate outcome of its current claims and legal proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations. However, claims and legal proceedings are subject to inherent uncertainties and rulings unfavorable to the Company could occur. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

During 2009, the Company received notice that Johnson Crushers International, Inc. is subject to an enforcement action brought by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality ("Oregon DOEQ") related to an alleged failure to comply with federal and state air permitting regulations. Based on correspondence received from the EPA, the total expense related to the EPA enforcement action is expected to be less than \$225,000 and an appropriate accrual has been made on the Company's books as of June 30, 2011. No penalty has yet been proposed by the Oregon DOEQ. The Company believes that it has cured the alleged violations and is cooperating fully with the regulatory agencies. At this stage of the investigations, the Company is unable to predict the outcome of the Oregon DOEQ enforcement action or the amount of any such sanction. The Company has not recorded a liability with respect to the Oregon DOEQ action because no estimate of the amount of any such liability can be made at this time.

During 2004, the Company received notice from the EPA that it may be responsible for a portion of the costs incurred in connection with an environmental cleanup in Illinois. The discharge of hazardous materials and associated cleanup relate to activities occurring prior to the Company's acquisition of Barber-Greene in 1986. The Company believes that over 300 other parties have received similar notices. At this time, the Company cannot predict whether the EPA will seek to hold the Company liable for a portion of the cleanup costs or the amount of any such liability. The Company has not recorded a liability with respect to this matter because no estimate of the amount of any such liability can be made at this time.

Note 13. Shareholders' Equity

Under terms of the Company's stock option plans, officers and certain other employees were granted options to purchase the Company's common stock at no less than 100% of the market price on the date the option was granted. No additional options can be granted under these plans; however the Company has reserved unissued shares of common stock for the exercise of the 64,971 unexercised and outstanding options as of June 30, 2011 under these employee plans. All options granted under these plans vested prior to 2007.

In addition, a Non-employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan has been established to allow non-employee directors to have a personal financial stake in the Company through an ownership interest. Directors may elect to receive their compensation in cash, common stock, deferred stock or stock options. Options granted under the Non-employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan vest and become fully exercisable immediately. All stock options have a 10-year term. The shares reserved under the 1998 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan total 138,544 as of June 30, 2011, of which 115,318 shares are available for future grants of stock or deferred stock to directors. No additional options can be granted under this plan. The fair value of stock awards granted to non-employee directors totaled

\$66,000 and \$49,000 during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The fair value of stock awards granted to non-employee directors totaled \$123,000 and \$91,000 during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In 2006, the Company adopted a five-year plan to award key members of management restricted stock units (“RSU’s”) each year under the Company’s 2006 Incentive Plan. The plan allowed the Company to grant up to 700,000 RSU’s to employees based upon the annual performance of individual subsidiaries and the Company as a whole during each of the five years ended December 31, 2010. Additional RSU’s were granted in 2011 based upon cumulative five-year performance. Generally, each award will vest at the end of five years from its date of grant, or at the time a recipient retires after reaching age 65, if earlier. In early 2011, a subsequent plan was formulated under the Company’s 2011 Incentive Plan which was approved by the Company’s shareholders in their annual meeting held in April 2011. This plan also allows the Company to grant up to 700,000 RSU’s to employees and will operate in a similar fashion to the 2006 Incentive Plan for each of the five years ending December 31, 2015. Compensation expense of \$634,000 and \$133,000 has been recorded in the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, to reflect the fair value of the total shares granted or expected to be granted under both plans, amortized over the portion of the vesting period occurring during the periods. Compensation expense of \$1,500,000 and \$724,000 has been recorded in the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, to reflect the fair value of the total shares granted or expected to be granted under both plans, amortized over the portion of the vesting period occurring during the periods. The fair value of the RSU’s that vested in the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2011 was \$113,000 and \$228,000, respectively.

Note 14. Seasonality

Based upon historical results of the past several years, 52% to 55% of the Company's annual revenues typically occur during the first six months of the year.

Note 15. Comprehensive Income

The components of total comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is presented below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income	\$14,105	\$10,330	\$24,263	\$19,162
Change in unrecognized pension and post retirement benefit costs, net of tax	(2)	3	(48)	(58)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax	1,092	(1,808)	(697)	(1,036)
Comprehensive income	15,195	8,525	23,518	18,068
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interest	(17)	(5)	(12)	(49)
Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest	\$15,178	\$8,520	\$23,506	\$18,019

Note 16. Other Income, net of expenses

Other income, net of expenses for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is presented below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest income	\$247	\$204	\$477	\$438
Gain (loss) on investments	69	(19)	141	73

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Other	50	(63)	155	99
Total	\$366	\$122		\$773	\$610

15

Note 17. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is exposed to certain risks related to its ongoing business operations. The primary risk managed by using derivative instruments is foreign currency risk. From time to time the Company's foreign subsidiaries enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to mitigate exposure to fluctuations in currency exchange rates. The fair value of the derivative financial instrument is recorded on the Company's balance sheet and is adjusted to fair value at each measurement date. The changes in fair value are recognized in the consolidated statements of income in the current period. The Company does not engage in speculative transactions nor does it hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The average U.S. dollar equivalent notional amount of outstanding foreign currency exchange contracts was \$13,850,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and \$8,686,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company reported \$1,050,000 of derivative liabilities in other accrued liabilities at June 30, 2011. At December 31, 2010, the Company reported \$1,221,000 of derivative liabilities in other accrued liabilities and \$30,000 in other long-term liabilities. The Company recognized, as a component of cost of sales, a net loss of \$361,000 and a net gain of \$210,000 on derivative financial instruments in the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized, as a component of cost of sales, a net loss of \$891,000 and a net gain of \$169,000 on derivative financial instruments. There were no derivatives that were designated as hedges at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Note 18. Asset Impairment Charge

Assets held for sale as of June 30, 2011 consists of aviation equipment being replaced. As a result of this equipment being classified as held for sale, an impairment charge of \$2,170,000 was recorded in the three-month period ending June 30, 2011 in the "Other" segment to reduce the carrying value of the asset to its fair value as determined based upon industry blue book valuations of used aircrafts (level 3 in the fair value hierarchy). The \$934,000 carrying value of these assets held for sale is included in other current assets in the Company's June 30, 2011 balance sheet.

Note 19. Subsequent Event

In August 2011 the Company entered into an asset purchase agreement with Blue Tee Corp. ("Blue Tee"), a Delaware corporation to acquire substantially all the assets and assume certain of the liabilities of Blue Tee's GEFCO and STECO divisions located in Enid, Oklahoma. The transaction is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2011. The final purchase price is subject to adjustments due to changes in the book value of the assets being acquired and liabilities being assumed through September 30, 2011 but is expected to be approximately \$26,000,000, which the Company anticipates paying from available cash balances. GEFCO, which began operations in 1931, manufactures portable drilling rigs and related equipment for the water well, environmental, groundwater monitoring, construction, mining and shallow oil and gas exploration and production industries. STECO, which began operations in the late 1950's, is a manufacturer of transfer and dump trailers for the solid waste, scrap processing, construction and demolition industries. STECO was a pioneer in the development and production of hydraulic dump trailers. The revenues and income of GEFCO and STEFCO are not expected to be material to the Company's 2011 operating results.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements contained anywhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not limited to historical information are considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are sometimes identified by the words “will,” “would,” “should,” “could,” “may,” “believes,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “for,” “expects” and similar expressions. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements regarding the Company's expected sales and results of operations during 2011, the Company's expected capital expenditures in 2011, the expected benefit and impact of financing arrangements, the ability of the Company to meet its working capital and capital expenditure requirements through June 30, 2012, the impact of the enactment of the Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment (HIRE) Act of 2010 or any future state or federal funding for transportation construction programs, the need for road improvements, the impact of other public sector spending and funding mechanisms, changes in the economic environment as it affects the Company, the timing and impact of changes in the economy, the market confidence of customers and dealers, the Company being called upon to fulfill certain contingencies, the expected dates of granting of restricted stock units, changes in interest rates and the impact of such changes on the financial results of the Company, changes in the prices of steel and oil, the ability of the Company to offset future changes in prices in raw materials, the change in the strength of the dollar and the level of the Company's presence and sales in international markets, the impact that further development of domestic oil and natural gas production capabilities would have on the domestic economy and the Company's business, the seasonality of the Company's business, the percentage of the Company's equipment sold directly to end users, the amount or value of unrecognized tax benefits, the Company's discussion of its critical accounting policies and the ultimate outcome of the Company's current claims and legal proceedings.

These forward-looking statements are based largely on management's expectations, which are subject to a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors discussed in this Report and in other documents filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which may cause actual results, financial or otherwise, to be materially different from those anticipated, expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on information available to the Company on the date hereof, and the Company assumes no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements to reflect future events or circumstances.

The risks and uncertainties identified herein under the caption “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in Part II of this Report, elsewhere herein and in other documents filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, should be carefully considered when evaluating the Company's business and future prospects.

Overview

Astec Industries, Inc., (“the Company”) is a leading manufacturer and marketer of equipment for road building, aggregate processing, directional drilling, trenching and wood processing. The Company's businesses:

- design, engineer, manufacture and market equipment that is used in each phase of road building, including quarrying and crushing the aggregate to producing asphalt or concrete, recycling old asphalt or concrete and applying the asphalt;
- design, engineer, manufacture and market additional equipment and components including trenching, auger boring, directional drilling, geothermal drilling, oil and natural gas drilling, industrial heat transfer, wood chipping and

grinding; and

- manufacture and sell replacement parts for equipment in each of its product lines.

17

The Company has 14 manufacturing companies, 13 of which fall within four reportable operating segments, which include the Asphalt Group, the Aggregate and Mining Group, the Mobile Asphalt Paving Group and the Underground Group. The business units in the Asphalt Group design, manufacture and market a complete line of asphalt plants and related components, heating and heat transfer processing equipment and storage tanks for the asphalt paving and other unrelated industries including energy production and concrete mixing plants. The business units in the Aggregate and Mining Group design, manufacture and market equipment for the aggregate, metallic mining and recycling industries. The business units in the Mobile Asphalt Paving Group design, manufacture and market asphalt pavers, material transfer vehicles, milling machines, stabilizers and screeds. The business units in the Underground Group design, manufacture and market a complete line of trenching equipment, directional drills, geothermal drills and auger boring machines for the underground construction market, as well as vertical drills for gas and oil field development. The Company also has one other category that contains the business units that do not meet the requirements for separate disclosure as an operating segment. The business units in the Other category include Peterson Pacific Corp. (“Peterson”), Astec Australia Pty Ltd (“Astec Australia”), Astec Insurance Company (“Astec Insurance” or “the captive”) and Astec Industries, Inc., the parent company. Peterson designs, manufactures and markets whole-tree pulpwood chippers, horizontal grinders and blower trucks. Astec Australia markets and installs equipment and services and provides parts for many of the products produced by the Company’s manufacturing companies. Astec Insurance is a captive insurance company.

The Company’s financial performance is affected by a number of factors, including the cyclical nature and varying conditions of the markets it serves. Demand in these markets fluctuates in response to overall economic conditions and is particularly sensitive to the amount of public sector spending on infrastructure development, privately funded infrastructure development, changes in the price of crude oil, which affects the cost of fuel and liquid asphalt, and changes in the price of steel.

In August 2005, President Bush signed into law the Safe, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act - A Legacy for Users (“SAFETEA-LU”), which authorized appropriation of \$286.5 billion in guaranteed federal funding for road, highway and bridge construction, repair and improvement of the federal highways and other transit projects for federal fiscal years October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2009. The Company believes that federal highway funding such as SAFETEA-LU influences the purchasing decisions of the Company’s customers who are more comfortable making purchasing decisions with such legislation in place. Federal funding provides for approximately 25% of all highway, street, roadway and parking construction in the United States.

SAFETEA-LU funding expired on September 30, 2009, and federal transportation funding operated on short-term appropriations through March 17, 2010. On March 18, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment (HIRE) Act. This law extended authorization of the surface transportation programs previously funded under SAFETEA-LU through December 31, 2010 at 2009 levels. In addition, the HIRE Act authorized a one-time transfer of \$19.5 billion from the general fund to the highway trust fund related to previously foregone interest payments. It also shifted the cost of fuel tax exemptions for state and local governments from the highway trust fund to the general fund, which is estimated to generate an anticipated \$1.5 billion annually, and allows the highway trust fund to retain interest earned on future unexpended balances. Although the HIRE Act helped stabilize the federal highway program, the Company believes a new multi-year highway program at increased funding levels would have the greatest positive impact on the road construction industry and allow its customers to plan and execute longer-term projects. The current resolution funding federal transportation expenditures expires on September 30, 2011 and funds federal highway spending for fiscal year 2011 at the 2010 level of \$41.1 billion. The level of future federal highway construction is uncertain, and any future funding may be at lower levels than in the past.

Several other countries have implemented infrastructure spending programs to stimulate their economies. The Company believes these spending programs have had a positive impact on its financial performance; however, the

magnitude of that impact cannot be determined.

The public sector spending described above is needed to fund road, bridge and mass transit improvements. The Company believes that increased funding is unquestionably needed to restore the nation's highways to a quality level required for safety, fuel efficiency and mitigation of congestion. In the Company's opinion, amounts needed for such improvements are significantly greater than amounts approved to date, and funding mechanisms such as the federal usage fee per gallon of gasoline, which has not been increased in nearly 20 years, would likely need to be increased along with other measures to generate the funds needed.

In addition to public sector funding, the economies in the markets the Company serves, the price of oil and its impact on customers' purchasing decisions and the price of steel may each affect the Company's financial performance. Economic downturns generally result in decreased purchasing by the Company's customers, which, in turn, causes reductions in sales and increased pricing pressure on the Company's products. Rising interest rates also typically negatively impact customers' attitudes toward purchasing equipment. The Federal Reserve has maintained historically low interest rates in response to the recent economic downturn; however, the Company believes upward pressure on interest rates is building and interest rates may increase during the second half of 2011.

Significant portions of the Company's revenues relate to the sale of equipment involved in the production, handling and installation of asphalt mix. Liquid asphalt is a by-product of oil production. An increase in the price of oil increases the cost of asphalt, which is likely to decrease demand for asphalt and therefore decrease demand for certain Company products. While increasing oil prices may have a negative financial impact on many of the Company's customers, the Company's equipment can use a significant amount of recycled asphalt pavement, thereby mitigating the final cost of asphalt for the customer. The Company continues to develop products and initiatives to reduce the amount of oil and related products required to produce asphalt mix. Oil price volatility makes it difficult to predict the costs of oil-based products used in road construction such as liquid asphalt and gasoline. The Company's customers appear to be adapting their prices in response to the fluctuating oil prices, and the fluctuations did not appear to significantly impair equipment purchases in 2010 or the first half of 2011. The Company expects oil prices to continue to fluctuate during the remainder of 2011. Minor fluctuations in oil prices should not have a significant impact on customers' buying decisions. However, political uncertainty in oil producing countries, interruptions in oil production due to disasters, whether natural or man-made, or other economic factors could significantly impact oil prices, which in turn could negatively impact demand for the Company's products.

Contrary to the negative impact of higher oil prices on many of the Company's products as discussed above, sales of several of the Company's products, including products manufactured by the Underground Group, which are used to drill for oil and natural gas and install oil and natural gas pipelines, would benefit from higher oil and natural gas prices, to the extent that such higher prices lead to further development of oil and natural gas production. The Company believes further development of domestic oil and natural gas production capabilities is needed and would positively impact the domestic economy and the Company's business.

Steel is a major component in the Company's equipment. Steel pricing increased steadily during the first half of 2011 due to increased worldwide demand and inflationary pressure on raw materials such as scrap metal iron ore and coke. Steel pricing stabilized at the end of the second quarter as supply outpaced service center inventories, which began to reduce stocking levels during the summer, which is typically a weaker season for steel requirements. The Company anticipates steel pricing to remain stable during the third quarter and plans to take advantage of any price weaknesses that may materialize. Seasonal strengthening may occur later in the fourth quarter and the Company will plan its contractual buying arrangements and advanced purchases accordingly. Steel is a worldwide commodity and its price can be affected by unanticipated events that impact the balance of supply and demand. Although the Company normally institutes price increases in response to any increase in steel and component prices, the Company may not be able to raise the prices of its products enough to cover increased costs, which may have a negative effect on the Company's financial results. The Company will continue to closely monitor steel pricing and will take advantage of buying opportunities to offset such future pricing where possible.

In addition to the factors stated above, many of the Company's markets are highly competitive, and its products compete worldwide with a number of other manufacturers and dealers that produce and sell similar products. During 2010 and through the first half of 2011, a weakening dollar, combined with improving economic conditions in certain foreign economies, had a positive impact on the Company's international sales. The Company expects the dollar to remain weak in the near-term relative to most foreign currencies; however, increasing domestic interest rates or

weakening economic conditions abroad could cause the dollar to strengthen, which may negatively impact the Company's international sales.

In the United States and internationally, the Company's equipment is marketed directly to customers as well as through dealers. During 2010, 75% to 80% of equipment sold by the Company was sold directly to the end user. The Company expects this ratio to remain relatively consistent through 2011.

The Company is operated on a decentralized basis, and there is a complete management team for each operating subsidiary. Finance, insurance, legal, shareholder relations, corporate accounting and other corporate matters are primarily handled at the corporate level (i.e., Astec Industries, Inc., the parent company). The engineering, design, sales, manufacturing and basic accounting functions are all handled at each individual subsidiary. Standard accounting procedures are prescribed and followed in all reporting.

The non-union employees of each subsidiary have the opportunity to earn profit-sharing incentives in the aggregate up to 10% of each subsidiary's after-tax profit if such subsidiary meets established goals. These goals are based on the subsidiary's return on capital employed, cash flow on capital employed and safety. The profit-sharing incentives for subsidiary presidents are normally paid from a separate corporate pool.

Results of Operations

Net Sales

Net sales increased \$38,507,000 or 18.4%, from \$209,249,000 for the second quarter of 2010 to \$247,756,000 in the second quarter of 2011. Sales are generated primarily from new equipment and related parts purchases made by customers for use in construction of infrastructure funded by both the private and public sectors. The overall increase in sales for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010 reflects strengthening economic conditions in both international and domestic markets and the Company's increased efforts to grow its international business.

Net sales increased \$75,241,000 or 18.7%, from \$402,704,000 for the first six months of 2010 to \$477,945,000 in the first six months of 2011. The overall increase in sales for the first six months of 2011 compared to the first six months of 2010 reflects strengthening economic conditions in both international and domestic markets and the Company's increased efforts to grow its international business.

Domestic sales for the second quarter of 2011 were \$139,430,000 or 56.3% of consolidated net sales compared to \$129,289,000 or 61.8% of consolidated net sales for the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$10,141,000, or 7.8% due primarily to increases in sales in the Asphalt and Aggregate and Mining groups. International sales for the second quarter of 2011 were \$108,326,000 or 43.7% of consolidated net sales compared to \$79,960,000 or 38.2% of consolidated net sales for the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$28,366,000 or 35.5%. The overall increase in international sales for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010 reflects the increased efforts by the Company to grow its international business, improved economic conditions in international markets and significant weakness in the U.S. dollar compared to currencies in many of the markets the Company serves. The increases in international sales occurred primarily in South America, Canada, Australia and Africa, offset by a decline in international sales in the West Indies.

Domestic sales for the first six months of 2011 were \$286,953,000 or 60.0% of consolidated net sales compared to \$258,740,000 or 64.3% of consolidated net sales for the first six months of 2010, an increase of \$28,213,000, or 10.9% due primarily to increases in sales in the Aggregate and Mining and Mobile Asphalt Paving groups. International sales for the first six months of 2011 were \$190,992,000 or 40.0% of consolidated net sales compared to \$143,964,000 or 35.7% of consolidated net sales for the first six months of 2010, an increase of \$47,028,000 or 32.7%. The overall increase in international sales for the first six months of 2011 compared to the first six months of 2010 reflects the increased efforts by the Company to grow its international business, improved economic conditions in international markets and significant weakness in the dollar compared to currencies in many of the markets the Company serves. The increases in international sales occurred primarily in South America, Canada, Australia, Russia and Europe, offset by a decline in international sales in Mexico.

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Parts sales for the second quarter of 2011 increased 16.5% or \$8,287,000 from \$50,204,000 in 2010 to \$58,491,000 in 2011. Parts sales for the second quarter of 2011 as a percentage of net sales decreased 40 basis points from 24.0% in 2010 to 23.6% in 2011 due to equipment sales increasing faster than part sales.

Parts sales for the first six months of 2011 increased 15.3% or \$15,349,000 from \$100,467,000 in 2010 to \$115,816,000 in 2011. Parts sales for the first six months of 2011 as a percentage of net sales decreased 70 basis points from 24.9% in 2010 to 24.2% in 2011 due to equipment sales increasing faster than part sales.

Gross Profit

Consolidated gross profit for the second quarter of 2011 increased 32.8% or \$15,293,000 from \$46,678,000 in the second quarter of 2010 to \$61,971,000 in the second quarter of 2011. Gross profit as a percentage of sales increased 270 basis points to 25.0% in the second quarter of 2011 from 22.3% in the second quarter of 2010. Absorption of manufacturing overhead increased by \$7,392,000 due to higher production volumes resulting from increased sales.

Consolidated gross profit for the first six months of 2011 increased 25.8% or \$23,854,000 from \$92,820,000 in the first six months of 2010 to \$116,674,000 in the first six months of 2011. Gross profit as a percentage of sales increased 140 basis points to 24.4% in the first six months of 2011 from 23.0% in the first six months of 2010. Absorption of manufacturing overhead increased by \$11,410,000 due to higher production volumes resulting from increased sales.

Selling, General, Administrative and Engineering Expenses

Selling, general, administrative and engineering expenses for the second quarter of 2011 were \$38,789,000, or 15.7% of net sales, compared to \$30,824,000, or 14.7% of net sales, for the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$7,965,000, or 25.8%. The overall increase was composed of increases in a number of areas, including: payroll and related expenses of \$1,848,000, research and development costs of \$1,038,000, legal and professional expenses of \$873,000, general insurance costs of \$838,000, commission expenses of \$593,000, bad debt expenses of \$692,000, profit sharing and employee bonus expenses of \$541,000, employee stock incentive expenses of \$502,000, travel expenses of \$434,000 and outside services including acquisition work of \$347,000. These increases in expenses were offset by a decrease in health insurance expense of \$850,000.

Selling, general, administrative and engineering expenses for the first six months of 2011 were \$78,278,000, or 16.4% of net sales, compared to \$63,542,000, or 15.8% of net sales, for the first six months of 2010, an increase of \$14,736,000, or 23.2%. The overall increase was composed of increases in a number of areas, including: payroll and related expenses of \$5,320,000, commissions of \$1,759,000, research and development expenses of \$1,515,000, legal and professional expenses of \$1,342,000, bad debt expenses of \$976,000, general insurance costs of \$747,000, employee stock incentive expenses of \$775,000, travel expenses of \$724,000 and expenses of \$3,410,000 related to ConExpo, the triennial equipment show held in March of 2011. These increases in expenses were offset by a decrease in health insurance expense of \$2,437,000.

Asset Impairment Charge

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company designated an airplane that it intends to replace as an "asset held for sale" and performed a market analysis to determine its fair value. Due to the recent deterioration of aircraft values in the used aviation equipment market, the fair value of the airplane was determined to be significantly below its carrying value, and as a result the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$2,170,000. The \$934,000 fair value of the airplane is included in other current assets in the Company's June 30, 2011 balance sheet.

Interest Expense

Interest expense in the second quarter of 2011 decreased \$77,000, or 57.0%, to \$58,000 from \$135,000 in the second quarter of 2010.

Interest expense in the first six months of 2011 decreased \$165,000, or 63.7%, to \$94,000 from \$259,000 in the first six months of 2010.

Other Income, net of expenses

Other income, net of expenses was \$366,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$122,000 for the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$244,000, or 200.0%. Other income is generated primarily by investments held by

Astec Insurance, the Company's captive insurance company.

Other income, net of expenses was \$773,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared for \$610,000 in the first six months of 2010, an increase of \$163,000, or 26.7%.

Income Tax

Income tax expense for the second quarter of 2011 was \$7,215,000, compared to income tax expense of \$5,511,000 for the second quarter of 2010. The effective tax rates for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010 were 33.8% and 34.8%, respectively. The difference in effective tax rates between the second quarter of 2011 and 2010 is related to research and development tax credits which were recognized in the second quarter of 2011 but not in the second quarter of 2010 due to the timing of Congressional approval of the credits.

Income tax expense for the first six months of 2011 was \$12,642,000, compared to income tax expense of \$10,467,000 for the first six months of 2010. The effective tax rates for the first six months of 2011 and 2010 were 34.3% and 35.3%, respectively. The difference in effective tax rates between the first six months of 2011 and 2010 is related to research and development tax credits which were recognized in the first six months of 2011 but not in the first six months of 2010 due to the timing of Congressional approval of the credits.

Net Income

The Company had net income attributable to controlling interest of \$14,086,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$10,308,000 in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$3,778,000, or 36.7%. Earnings per diluted share were \$0.61 in the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$0.45 in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$0.16 or 35.6%. Diluted shares outstanding for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 22,991,917 and 22,832,785, respectively. The increase in shares outstanding is primarily due to the exercise of stock options by employees of the Company.

The Company had net income attributable to controlling interest of \$24,230,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$19,102,000 in the first six months of 2010, an increase of \$5,128,000, or 26.7%. Earnings per diluted share were \$1.06 in the first six months of 2011 compared to \$0.84 in the first six months of 2010, an increase of \$0.22 or 26.2%. Diluted shares outstanding for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 22,955,707 and 22,800,223, respectively. The increase in shares outstanding is primarily due to the exercise of stock options by employees of the Company.

Backlog

The backlog of orders at June 30, 2011 was \$217,088,000 compared to \$139,692,000 at June 30, 2010, an increase of \$77,396,000, or 55.4%. The increase in backlog is due to an increase in domestic backlogs of \$37,224,000 or 64.2% and an increase in international backlogs of \$40,172,000 or 49.2%. The increase in total backlog was primarily due to increases in the Aggregate and Mining Group of \$46,986,000 or 96.7% and the Asphalt Group of \$18,593,000 or 28.1%. The June 30, 2011 backlog was comprised of 43.9% domestic orders and 56.1% international orders as compared to 41.5% domestic orders and 58.5% international orders at June 30, 2010. The Company is unable to determine whether the changes in backlogs were experienced by the industry as a whole; however, the Company believes the changes in backlogs reflect the current economic conditions the industry is experiencing.

Segment Net Sales-Quarter (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		\$ Change	% Change	
	2011	2010			
Asphalt Group	\$68,183	\$65,362	\$2,821	4.3	%
Aggregate and Mining Group	86,562	67,000	19,562	29.2	%
	53,466	47,231	6,235	13.2	%

Mobile Asphalt Paving Group					
Underground Group	23,088	13,636	9,452	69.3	%
Other Group	16,457	16,020	437	2.7	%

Asphalt Group: Sales in this group were \$68,183,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$65,362,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$2,821,000 or 4.3%. Domestic sales for the Asphalt Group increased \$6,044,000 or 13.5% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. International sales for the Asphalt Group decreased \$3,223,000 or 15.6% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. In the second quarter international sales decreased primarily in the West Indies, Africa and South America while increasing in Canada and Mexico. Parts sales for the Asphalt Group increased 3.7% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Aggregate and Mining Group: Sales in this group were \$86,562,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$67,000,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$19,562,000 or 29.2%. Domestic sales for the Aggregate and Mining Group increased \$1,010,000 or 3.0% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. International sales for the Aggregate and Mining Group increased \$18,552,000 or 56.0% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The improvement in international sales reflects the increased efforts by the Company to grow its international business, improved economic conditions and significant weakness in the dollar compared to currencies in many of the markets the Company serves. The increase in international sales occurred primarily in South America, Africa and Canada. Parts sales for this group increased 24.5% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Mobile Asphalt Paving Group: Sales in this group were \$53,466,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$47,231,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$6,235,000 or 13.2%. Domestic sales for the Mobile Asphalt Paving Group increased \$6,079,000 or 17.7% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The lack of a long-term highway bill has caused many customers to focus on short-term contracts for road overlay, which positively impacted domestic sales for this group. International sales for the Mobile Asphalt Paving Group increased \$156,000 or 1.2% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase internationally occurred primarily in the Middle East and was offset by decreased sales in Canada. Parts sales for this group increased 41.7% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Underground Group: Sales in this group were \$23,088,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$13,636,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$9,452,000 or 69.3%. Domestic sales for the Underground Group decreased \$1,744,000 or 20.3% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due primarily to continuing weak domestic demand. International sales for the Underground Group increased \$11,196,000 or 222.8% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, which reflects the increased efforts by the Company to grow its international business, increased oil and gas drilling activities in certain foreign markets, positive market acceptance of new oil and gas drilling equipment offerings and significant weakness in the dollar compared to currencies in many of the markets the Company serves. The increase in international sales occurred in South America, Australia and Canada. Parts sales for the Underground Group decreased 14.1% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Other Group: Sales for the Other Group were \$16,457,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$16,020,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$437,000 or 2.7%. Domestic sales for the Other Group, which are primarily generated by Peterson Pacific Corp., decreased \$1,248,000 or 16.3% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, due primarily to continuing weak domestic economic conditions. International sales for the Other Group increased \$1,685,000 or 20.2% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase occurred primarily in Australia. Parts sales for the Other Group increased 16.7% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Segment Net Sales-Six Months (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,		\$ Change	% Change	
	2011	2010			
Asphalt Group	\$141,937	\$135,423	\$6,514	4.8	%
Aggregate and Mining Group	165,415	125,919	39,496	31.4	%
Mobile Asphalt Paving Group	103,421	89,314	14,107	15.8	%
Underground Group	34,755	22,563	12,192	54.0	%
Other Group	32,417	29,485	2,932	9.9	%

Asphalt Group: Sales in this group were \$141,937,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$135,423,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$6,514,000 or 4.8%. Domestic sales for the Asphalt Group increased \$821,000 or 0.8% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. Domestic sales continued to be impacted by Congress's failure to renew the long-term federal highway funding legislation that expired in September 2009. International sales for the Asphalt Group increased \$5,693,000 or 18.1% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The improvement in international sales is due to improved economic conditions in certain foreign economies and significant weakness in the dollar compared to currencies in many of the markets the Company serves. In the first six months, international sales increased primarily in Canada and Europe while decreasing in the West Indies, South America, Africa and Mexico. Parts sales for the Asphalt Group increased 9.5% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Aggregate and Mining Group: Sales in this group were \$165,415,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$125,919,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$39,496,000 or 31.4%. Domestic sales for the Aggregate and Mining Group increased \$14,494,000 or 25.7% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. Domestic sales were positively impacted by improving economic conditions and increasing activity in the mining industries. International sales for the Aggregate and Mining Group increased \$25,002,000 or 35.9% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The improvement in international sales reflects the increased efforts by the Company to grow its international business, improved economic conditions in certain foreign economies and significant weakness in the dollar compared to currencies in many of the markets the Company serves. The increase in international sales occurred primarily in South America and Africa. These increases were offset by decreases in the Mexico and the Middle East. Parts sales for this group increased 20.7% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Mobile Asphalt Paving Group: Sales in this group were \$103,421,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$89,314,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$14,107,000 or 15.8%. Domestic sales for the Mobile Asphalt Paving Group increased \$11,456,000 or 16.3% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The lack of a long-term highway bill has caused many customers to focus on short-term contracts for road overlay, which positively impacted domestic sales for this group. International sales for the Mobile Asphalt Paving Group increased \$2,651,000 or 13.8% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, due primarily to weakness in the dollar relative to currencies in many foreign countries, as well as stimulus plans in certain foreign countries that focused on road building. The increase internationally occurred primarily in Russia and the Middle East and was offset by decreased sales in Canada. Parts sales for this group increased 28.4% in the first six

months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Underground Group: Sales in this group were \$34,755,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$22,563,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$12,192,000 or 54.0%. Domestic sales for the Underground Group increased \$884,000 or 6.7% in the first six months of 2011. International sales for the Underground Group increased \$11,308,000 or 119.5% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, which reflects the increased efforts by the Company to grow its international business, increased oil and gas drilling activities in certain foreign markets, positive market acceptance of new oil and gas drilling equipment offerings and significant weakness in the dollar compared to currencies in many of the markets the Company serves. The increase in international sales occurred in South America, Canada, Australia and Russia. Parts sales for the Underground Group decreased 12.6% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Other Group: Sales for the Other Group were \$32,417,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$29,485,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$2,932,000 or 9.9%. Domestic sales for the Other Group, which are primarily generated by Peterson Pacific Corp., increased \$557,000 or 3.7% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. International sales for the Other Group increased \$2,375,000 or 16.6% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase occurred in Australia and was offset by declines in South America and Canada. Parts sales for the Other Group increased 20.9% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Segment Profit (Loss)-Quarter (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		\$ Change	% Change	
	2011	2010			
Asphalt Group	\$9,102	\$7,574	\$1,528	20.2	%
Aggregate and Mining Group	9,727	4,973	4,754	95.6	%
Mobile Asphalt Paving Group	8,532	6,264	2,268	36.2	%
Underground Group	172	(1,901)	2,073	109.0	%
Other Group	(13,565)	(6,069)	(7,496)	(123.5	%)

Asphalt Group: Segment profit for this group was \$9,102,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$7,574,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$1,528,000 or 20.2%. This increase is due primarily to \$2,821,000 of increased sales for the Asphalt Group as well as an increase in gross profit percentage from 22.9% in the second quarter of 2010 to 24.6% in the second quarter of 2011 due to improved plant utilization during 2011 and sales price discounting during in the second quarter of 2010.

Aggregate and Mining Group: Segment profit for this group was \$9,727,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$4,973,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$4,754,000 or 95.6%. This group's profits were positively impacted by a \$19,562,000 increase in sales and a 320 basis point increase in gross margin. Gross margin was impacted by a 24.5% increase in parts sales for the quarter and improved plant utilization due to increased production volumes. These increases in profit were offset by increased selling, general and administrative costs of \$1,832,000.

Mobile Asphalt Paving Group: Segment profit for this group was \$8,532,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$6,264,000 in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$2,268,000 or 36.2%. This group's profits were positively impacted by a \$6,235,000 increase in sales and a 330 basis point increase in gross margin during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010 due to improved plant utilization resulting from increased production volumes.

Underground Group: This group had a segment profit of \$172,000 in the second quarter of 2011 compared to a loss of \$1,901,000 in the second quarter of 2010 for an increase of \$2,073,000. This group's profits were positively impacted by a \$9,452,000 increase in sales and a 1060 basis point increase in gross margin during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010 due to improved plant utilization due to increased production volumes.

Other Group: The Other Group had a segment loss of \$13,565,000 in the second quarter of 2011 compared to a loss of \$6,069,000 in the second quarter of 2010 for an increase of \$7,496,000 or 123.5%. This group includes the parent company, Astec Industries, Inc., which records all of the domestic federal tax expense for the Company as well as other non-allocable administrative costs. Included in the Other Group is an asset impairment charge of \$2,170,000. Other factors contributing to the increased loss include additional selling, general and administrative costs of \$3,320,000 and an increase in income taxes of \$1,995,000.

Segment Profit (Loss)-Six Months (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended		\$ Change	% Change	
	2011	2010			
Asphalt Group	\$19,921	\$20,369	\$(448)	(2.2)	%
Aggregate and Mining Group	15,349	7,795	7,554	96.9	%
Mobile Asphalt Paving Group	15,843	11,475	4,368	38.1	%
Underground Group	(3,677)	(5,443)	1,766	32.4	%
Other Group	(22,063)	(13,579)	(8,484)	(62.5)	%

Asphalt Group: Segment profit for this group was \$19,921,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$20,369,000 for the same period in 2010, a decrease of \$448,000 or 2.2%. This decrease is due primarily to a decrease in gross profit percentage from 26.0% in the first six months of 2010 to 25.3% in the first six months of 2011. The gross profit percentage returned to a normal level in the first six months of 2011 compared to the higher percentage for the first six months of 2010, which was the result of a few very high margin sales transactions that were recognized in the first six months of 2010. Additional factors contributing to the change was an increase in sales of \$6,514,000 offset by increased selling, general and administrative costs of \$1,200,000.

Aggregate and Mining Group: Segment profit for this group was \$15,349,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$7,795,000 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$7,554,000 or 96.9%. This group's profits were positively impacted by a \$39,496,000 increase in sales and a 240 basis point increase in gross margin aided by a 20.7% increase in parts sales and improved plant utilization which benefited from increased production volumes offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses of \$4,956,000.

Mobile Asphalt Paving Group: Segment profit for this group was \$15,843,000 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$11,475,000 in the first six months of 2010, an increase of \$4,368,000 or 38.1%. The primary reasons for the increase in profit were increased sales of \$14,107,000 and an increase in gross margin of 260 basis points during the first six months of 2011 compared to the first six months of 2010 due to increased demand and production volumes offset by increased selling, general and administrative costs of \$1,736,000.

Underground Group: This group had a segment loss of \$3,677,000 in the first six months of 2011 compared to a loss of \$5,443,000 in the first six months of 2010 for an improvement of \$1,766,000 or 32.4% due primarily to increased sales of \$12,192,000 and a 940 basis point increase in gross margin which benefited from improved plant utilization due to increased production volumes offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses of \$1,334,000.

Other Group: The Other Group had a segment loss of \$22,063,000 in the first six months of 2011 compared to a loss of \$13,579,000 in the first six months of 2010 for a decrease of \$8,484,000 or 62.5%. This group includes the parent company, Astec Industries, Inc., which records all of the domestic federal tax expense for the Company as well as other non-allocable administrative costs. Included in the Other Group is the asset impairment charge of \$2,170,000. Other factors contributing to the increased loss were increases in selling, general and administrative costs of \$3,937,000 and income taxes of \$2,811,000.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's primary sources of liquidity and capital resources are its cash on hand, investments, borrowing capacity under a \$100 million revolving credit facility and cash flows from operations. The Company had \$73,490,000 of cash available for operating purposes at June 30, 2011. In addition, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under its credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo") at any time during the six months ended June 30, 2011. Net of letters of credit of \$10,041,000, the Company had borrowing availability of \$89,959,000 under the credit facility as of June 30, 2011.

Our credit facility with Wells Fargo consists of an unsecured line of credit of up to \$100 million, including a sub-limit for letters of credit up to \$15 million. The credit facility had an original term of three years with two one-year extensions available. Early in 2010, the Company exercised the final extension bringing the new loan maturity date to May 2012. The interest rate for borrowings is a function of the Adjusted LIBOR Rate or Adjusted LIBOR Market Index Rate, as defined, as elected by the Company, plus a margin based upon a leverage ratio pricing grid ranging between 0.5% and 1.5%. As of June 30, 2011, the applicable margin based upon the leverage ratio pricing grid was equal to 0.5%. The unused facility fee is 0.125%. The Wells Fargo credit facility requires no principal amortization and interest only payments are due, in the case of loans bearing interest at the Adjusted LIBOR Market Index Rate, monthly in arrears and, in the case of loans bearing interest at the Adjusted LIBOR Rate, at the end of the applicable interest period. The Wells Fargo credit agreement contains certain financial covenants, including a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum tangible net worth and maximum allowed capital expenditures. The Company was in compliance with the covenants under its credit facility as of June 30, 2011.

The Company's South African subsidiary, Osborn Engineered Products SA (Pty) Ltd. ("Osborn"), has available a credit facility of \$8,798,000 (ZAR 60,000,000) to finance short-term working capital needs, as well as to cover performance letters of credit, advance payment and retention guarantees. As of June 30, 2011, Osborn had no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility, but \$4,564,000 in performance letters of credit, advance payment and retention guarantees were issued under the facility. The facility is secured by Osborn's buildings and improvements, accounts receivable, cash balances and a \$2,000,000 letter of credit issued by the parent Company. As of June 30, 2011, Osborn had available credit under the facility of \$4,234,000. The facility has an ongoing, indefinite term subject to annual reviews by the bank. The interest rate is the South African prime rate. The agreement has an unused facility fee of 0.793%.

The Company's Australian subsidiary, Astec Australia Pty Ltd ("Astec Australia") has an available credit facility to finance short-term working capital needs of \$859,000 (AUD 800,000), and banking arrangements to finance foreign exchange dealer limit orders of up to \$1,772,000 (AUD 1,650,000) secured by cash balances in the amount of \$1,074,000 (AUD 1,000,000) and a \$1,000,000 letter of credit issued by the parent Company. No amounts were outstanding under the credit facility at June 30, 2011. The interest rate is the Australian adjusted Bank Business Rate plus a margin of 1.05%.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June		Increase (Decrease)
	2011	30, 2010	
Net income	\$24,263	\$19,162	\$5,101
Non-cash items in net income, net	21,868	19,069	2,799
Changes in working capital:			
(Increase) decrease in receivables	(24,301)	(16,517)	(7,784)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses	1,021	8,335	(7,314)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(30,249)	20,115	(50,364)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	5,006	1,081	3,925
Increase (decrease) in customer deposits	4,009	1,516	2,493
Increase (decrease) in other accrued liabilities	2,178	(1,875)	4,053
Other, net	(6,910)	(5,797)	(1,113)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$ (3,115)	\$45,089	\$ (48,204)

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net cash from operating activities decreased \$48,204,000 compared to the same period in 2010. The primary reasons for the decrease in operating cash flows are an increase in cash used by inventory of \$50,364,000, receivables of \$7,784,000 and prepaid expenses of \$7,314,000. These negative cash changes were offset by an increase in cash from net income of \$5,101,000 and other accrued liabilities of \$4,053,000. These changes in operating cash flows reflect increased sales and production activity during the first six months of 2011 compared to the first six months of 2010.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June		Increase (Decrease)
	2011	30, 2010	
Expenditures for property and equipment	\$(18,668)	\$(3,759)	\$(14,909)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	139	71	68
Net cash used by investing activities	\$(18,529)	\$(3,688)	\$(14,841)

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net cash used by investing activities increased \$14,841,000 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to an increase in cash used for planned capital expenditures of \$14,909,000. In 2010, the Company made efforts to reduce capital expenditures below normal levels due to economic uncertainties in the markets in which it operates.

Capital expenditures for 2011 are forecasted to total \$29,382,000. The Company expects to finance these expenditures using currently available cash balances, internally generated funds and available credit under the Company's credit facility. Capital expenditures are generally for machinery, equipment and facilities used by the Company in the production of its various products.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June		Increase (Decrease)
	2011	30, 2010	

Edgar Filing: BLUEFLY INC - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from exercise of stock options	\$510	\$763	\$(253)
Other, net	38	193	(155)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$548	\$956	\$(408)

Cash provided by financing activities decreased \$408,000 in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Financial Condition

The Company's current assets increased to \$482,745,000 at June 30, 2011 from \$447,821,000 at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$34,924,000, or 7.8%. The increase is primarily attributable to an increase in inventories of \$28,171,000 combined with an increase in trade receivables of \$23,614,000. The increase in inventories is due to increased manufacturing activity in response to increased sales volumes. The increase in trade receivables is due primarily to an increase in sales volume in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2010. These increases were offset by decreases in cash and cash equivalents of \$21,107,000.

The Company's current liabilities increased to \$141,752,000 at June 30, 2011 from \$130,426,000 at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$11,326,000, or 8.7%. The increase is primarily attributable to increases in accounts payable of \$5,006,000 and customer deposits of \$4,009,000. Accounts payable increased in the second quarter of 2011 due primarily to increased purchases of inventory related to additional sales volumes. Customer deposits increased during the second quarter of 2011 primarily due to increased orders compared to the fourth quarter of 2010.

Market Risk and Risk Management Policies

We have no material changes to the disclosure on this matter made in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2011, the Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as defined by Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K.

Seasonality

The Company's businesses are subject to the effects of seasonality. Consequently, the operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 for each business segment, and for the Company as a whole, are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year. Based upon historical results of the past several years, 52% to 55% of the Company's annual revenues typically occur during the first six months of the year.

Contractual Obligations

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, there were no substantial changes in our commitments or contractual liabilities.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We have no material changes to the disclosure on this matter made in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the

Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved from time to time in legal actions arising in the ordinary course of our business. Other than as set forth in Part I, “Item 3. Legal Proceedings” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, we currently have no pending or threatened litigation that we believe will result in an outcome that would materially affect our business, financial position, cash flows or results of operations. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that future litigation to which we become a party will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

As discussed in Note 12, “Contingent Matters” to our financial statements, the Company received correspondence from the EPA during the second quarter of 2011 related to an enforcement action brought by the EPA against one of the Company’s subsidiaries, Johnson Crushers International, Inc. alleging failure to comply with federal air permitting regulations. Based upon this correspondence, the total expense related to the EPA enforcement action is expected to be less than \$225,000 and an appropriate accrual has been made on the Company’s books as of June 30, 2011.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit

No.	Description
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b)/15d-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS**XBRL	Instance Document
101.SCH**XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL**XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF**XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB**XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE**XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

The Exhibits are numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K. Inapplicable Exhibits are not included in the list.

* In accordance with Release No. 34-47551, this exhibit is hereby furnished to the SEC as an accompanying document and is not to be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Items 2, 3, 4 and 5 are not applicable and have been omitted.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ASTECH INDUSTRIES, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2011 /s/ J. Don Brock
J. Don Brock
Chairman of the Board and President
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 9, 2011 /s/ David C. Silvius
David C. Silvius
Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, and Treasurer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b)/15d-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

