

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION INC
Form DEF 14A
April 28, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x
Filed by a Party other than o
the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

American Public Education, Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION INC.

111 W. Congress Street
Charles Town, West Virginia 25414

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on June 13, 2014 at 7:30 a.m. local time, at the Gaylord National Resort and Convention Center, 201 Waterfront Street, National Harbor, Maryland 20745, for the following purposes:

1. to elect the seven nominees for election to the Board of Directors as set forth in the accompanying proxy statement;
2. to approve an amendment to the American Public Education, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan that, among other things, increases the number of shares available for purchase thereunder by 100,000 shares and extends the term of the plan until March 7, 2024;
3. to hold an advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in our Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting;
4. to ratify the appointment of McGladrey LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and
5. to consider any other matters that properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Each outstanding share of American Public Education, Inc. common stock (NASDAQ: APEI) entitles the holder of record at the close of business on April 21, 2014, to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting.

We are pleased to take advantage of Securities and Exchange Commission rules that allow us to furnish our proxy materials and our annual report to stockholders on the Internet. We believe that posting these materials on the Internet enables us to provide stockholders with the information that they need more quickly, while lowering our costs of printing and delivery and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE MEETING, WE URGE YOU TO VOTE YOUR SHARES BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE, OR BY SIGNING, DATING AND RETURNING THE PROXY CARD YOU WILL RECEIVE IF YOU REQUEST PRINTED MATERIALS. IF YOU CHOOSE TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU MAY STILL VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON, EVEN THOUGH YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY VOTED OR RETURNED YOUR PROXY BY ANY OF THE METHODS DESCRIBED IN OUR PROXY STATEMENT. IF YOUR SHARES ARE HELD IN A BANK OR BROKERAGE ACCOUNT, PLEASE REFER TO THE MATERIALS PROVIDED BY YOUR BANK OR BROKER FOR VOTING INSTRUCTIONS.

ALL STOCKHOLDERS ARE EXTENDED A CORDIAL INVITATION TO ATTEND THE MEETING.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Dr. Wallace E. Boston, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer
April 28, 2014

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION INC.
111 W. Congress Street
Charles Town, West Virginia 25414

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
To Be Held On June 13, 2014

This Proxy Statement (the “Proxy Statement”) and the accompanying proxy are furnished to the stockholders of American Public Education, Inc. (hereinafter, “we,” “us,” “APEI,” “American Public Education” and the “Company”) in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the “Board”), to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) and at any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting, which will be held at 7:30 a.m. local time on June 13, 2014, at Gaylord National Resort and Convention Center, 201 Waterfront Street, National Harbor, Maryland 20745, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board has made this Proxy Statement and the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting available on the Internet. The Company mailed a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to each of the Company’s stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting on or about April 30, 2013.

ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Purpose of the Annual Meeting

The purpose of the Annual Meeting is for our stockholders to consider and act upon the proposals described in this Proxy Statement and any other matters that properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. In addition, management will report on the performance of American Public Education and respond to questions from stockholders.

Proposals to be Voted Upon at the Annual Meeting

At the Annual Meeting, our stockholders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following four proposals:

Proposal 1: To elect the seven nominees to the Board set forth in this Proxy Statement, each of whom will hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until his or her successor is elected and qualified or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal.

Proposal 2: To approve an amendment to the American Public Education, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”) that increases the number of shares available for purchase thereunder by 100,000 shares, extends the term of the ESPP until March 7, 2024 and makes such other administrative changes as described herein.

Proposal 3: To approve, by advisory vote, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in these proxy materials.

Proposal 4: To ratify the appointment of McGladrey LLP (“McGladrey”) as American Public Education’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

In addition, any other matters that properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof will be considered. Management is presently aware of no other business to come before the Annual Meeting.

Recommendation of the Board

The Board recommends that you vote FOR each of the nominees to the Board (Proposal 1); FOR the amendment to the ESPP (Proposal 2); FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal 3); and FOR the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014 (Proposal 4).

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting To Be Held on June 13, 2014

Pursuant to the “notice and access” rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), we have elected to provide stockholders access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we sent a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the “Notice”) on April 30, 2014 to all of our stockholders as of the close of business on April 21, 2014 (the “Record Date”). The Notice includes instructions on how to access our proxy materials over the Internet and how to request a printed copy of these materials. In addition, by following the instructions in the Notice, stockholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by e-mail on an ongoing basis.

Choosing to receive your future proxy materials by e-mail will save the Company the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of the Company’s annual meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by e-mail, you will receive an e-mail next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by e-mail will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Our Annual Report to Stockholders and this Proxy Statement are available at <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=214618&p=proxy>.

Voting at the Annual Meeting

Stockholders will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting on the basis of each share held of record at the close of business on the Record Date.

If on the Record Date you hold shares of our common stock that are represented by stock certificates or registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (“AST”), you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, and AST is sending these proxy materials directly to you on our behalf. As a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the meeting or by proxy. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you may vote by Internet by following the instructions on the Notice. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may also vote by signing and submitting your proxy card or by submitting your vote by telephone. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we urge you to vote by way of the Internet, by telephone, or by filling out and returning the proxy card you will receive upon request of printed materials. If you submit a proxy but do not give voting instructions as to how your shares should be voted on a particular proposal at the Annual Meeting, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of our Board stated in this Proxy Statement. Any proxy given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by the person giving it at any time before its use by (1) delivering a written notice of revocation addressed to American Public Education, Inc., Attn: Corporate Secretary, 111 W. Congress Street, Charles Town, West Virginia 25414, (2) a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, (3) voting again by Internet or by telephone, or (4) attending the Annual Meeting

and voting in person. Your last vote or proxy will be the vote or proxy that is counted. Attendance at the Annual Meeting will not cause your previously granted proxy to be revoked unless you vote or specifically so request.

If on the Record Date you hold shares of our common stock in an account with a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, then you are a beneficial owner of the shares and hold such shares in street name, and these proxy materials will be forwarded to you by that organization. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank, or other nominee on how to vote the shares held in their account, and the nominee has enclosed or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing it how to vote your shares. The nominee that holds your shares, however, is considered the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. Because you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you bring to the Annual Meeting a letter from your broker, bank or other nominee confirming your beneficial ownership of the shares. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we urge you to vote by following the voting instructions provided to you to ensure that your vote is counted.

If you are a beneficial owner and do not vote, and your broker, bank or other nominee does not have discretionary power to vote your shares, your shares may constitute “broker non-votes.” Shares that constitute broker non-votes will be counted for the purpose of establishing a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Voting results will be tabulated and certified by the inspector of elections appointed for the Annual Meeting. If you receive more than one Notice, it is because your shares are registered in more than one name or are registered in different accounts. Please follow the instructions on each Notice received to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

A list of stockholders of record as of the Record Date will be available for inspection during ordinary business hours at our offices located at 111 W. Congress Street, Charles Town, West Virginia 25414, from June 2, 2014 to the date of our Annual Meeting. The list will also be available for inspection at the Annual Meeting.

Quorum Requirement for the Annual Meeting

The presence at the Annual Meeting, whether in person or by valid proxy, of the persons holding a majority of shares of common stock outstanding on the Record Date will constitute a quorum, permitting us to conduct our business at the Annual Meeting. On the Record Date, there were 17,486,136 shares of common stock outstanding, held by 478 stockholders of record. Abstentions (i.e., if you or your broker mark “ABSTAIN” on a proxy) and “broker non-votes” will be considered to be shares present at the meeting for purposes of a quorum. Broker non-votes occur when shares held by a broker for a beneficial owner are not voted with respect to a particular proposal and generally occur because the broker (1) does not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner and (2) lacks discretionary authority to vote the shares. Brokers and other nominees have discretionary authority to vote on ratification of our independent public accounting firm for clients who have not provided voting instructions. However, without voting instructions from their clients, they cannot vote on “non-routine” proposals, including the election of directors, the authorization of equity compensation plans, and matters related to executive compensation.

Required Votes

Election of Directors. Each director will be elected by the vote of the majority of the votes cast with respect to that director's election. For purposes of electing directors, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted "FOR" a director's election exceeds the number of the votes cast against that director's election. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not taken into account in determining the outcome of the election of directors.

Approval of the ESPP amendment, advisory vote on executive compensation and ratification of our independent public accounting firm. Approval of the amendment to the ESPP, the advisory vote on compensation of our named executive officers, and approval of the proposal to ratify the audit committee's appointment of McGladrey as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014 each require the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote. Broker non-votes are not taken into account in determining the outcome of these proposals, and abstentions will have the effect of a vote against these proposals.

Solicitation of Proxies

We will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies. This includes the charges and expenses of brokerage firms and others for forwarding solicitation material to beneficial owners of our outstanding common stock. We may solicit proxies by mail, personal interview, telephone or via the Internet through our officers, directors and other management employees, who will receive no additional compensation for their services.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STANDARDS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines (the "Guidelines"), a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code of Ethics"), and a Policy for Related Person Transactions as part of our corporate governance practices and in accordance with rules of the SEC and the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market ("NASDAQ").

Corporate Governance Matters

The Guidelines set forth a framework to assist the Board in the exercise of its responsibilities. The Guidelines cover, among other things, the composition and certain functions of the Board, director independence, stock ownership by our non-employee directors, management succession and review, Board committees, the selection of new directors, and director expectations.

The Code of Ethics covers, among other things, compliance with laws, rules and regulations, conflicts of interest, corporate opportunities, confidentiality, protection and proper use of company assets, and the reporting process for any illegal or unethical conduct. The Code of Ethics is applicable to all of our officers, directors and employees. The Code of Ethics includes provisions that are specifically applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other Principal Officers (as defined in the Code of Ethics).

Any waiver of the Code of Ethics for our directors, executive officers or Principal Officers may be made only by our Board and will be promptly disclosed as may be required by law, regulation or rule of the SEC or NASDAQ listing standards. If we amend our Code of Ethics or waive the Code of Ethics with respect to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or other Principal Officers, we will post the amendment or waiver on our corporate website, which is www.americanpubliceducation.com.

The Guidelines and Code of Ethics are each available in the Governance section of our corporate website. The Guidelines, Code of Ethics, and Policy for Related Person Transactions are reviewed periodically by our nominating

and corporate governance committee, and changes are recommended to our Board for approval as appropriate.

Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions

Policies and Procedures for Related Person Transactions

As a supplement to and extension of our Code of Ethics, our Board has adopted a Policy for Related Person Transactions pursuant to which our nominating and corporate governance committee, another independent committee of our Board or the full Board, must give prior consent before we may enter into a related person transaction with our executive officers, directors, nominees for director and principal stockholders, including their immediate family members and affiliates. Any request for us to enter into a related person transaction with an executive officer, director, nominee for director, principal stockholder or any of such persons' immediate family members or affiliates must first be presented to our nominating and corporate governance committee for review, consideration and approval. A related person transaction is a transaction in which the Company is or will be a participant and in which a related person has or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than (i) a transaction involving \$120,000 or less when aggregated with all related transactions, (ii) a transaction involving compensation to an executive officer that is approved by the Board or the compensation committee, (iii) a transaction involving compensation to a director or director nominee that is approved by the Board, the compensation committee or the nominating and corporate governance committee, and (iv) any other transaction that is not required to be reported pursuant to Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All of our directors, executive officers and employees are required to report to our nominating and corporate governance committee any such related person transaction. In approving or rejecting the proposed agreement, our nominating and corporate governance committee shall consider the facts and circumstances available and deemed relevant to the nominating and corporate governance committee, including, but not limited to the risks, costs and benefits to us, the terms of the transaction, the availability of other sources for comparable services or products, and, if applicable, the impact on a director's independence. Our nominating and corporate governance committee shall approve only those agreements that, in light of known circumstances, are in, or are not inconsistent with, our best interests, as our nominating and corporate governance committee determines in the good faith exercise of its discretion. Under the policy, if we should discover related person transactions that have not been approved, the nominating and corporate governance committee will be notified and will determine the appropriate action, including ratification, rescission or amendment of the transaction.

Related Person Transactions

There were no related person transactions in 2013.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To further align the interest of our executive officers and directors with the interest of our stockholders, and after evaluation of best practices and consultation by the compensation committee with Towers Watson & Co. ("Towers Watson"), its independent consultant, our Board has implemented stock ownership guidelines applicable to our executive officers and directors. Each executive officer is expected to hold shares of common stock with an aggregate value greater than or equal to a multiple of the executive officer's base salary as set forth below:

the Company's Chief Executive Officer – six times base salary;

the Company's Executive Vice Presidents – two times base salary; and

the Company's Senior Vice Presidents – one times base salary.

Each of the Company's non-employee directors is expected to hold shares of common stock with an aggregate value greater than or equal to at least three times the amount of the annual retainer paid to non-employee directors for service on the Board, excluding additional committee retainers, if any.

Under the stock ownership guidelines, common stock held directly, including shares of common stock held in a separate brokerage account or in a 401(k) account, and common stock held indirectly (e.g., by a spouse, minor dependent, or a trust for the benefit of the executive or director, or the executive's or director's spouse or minor dependent), count toward satisfaction of the levels set forth in the guidelines. For purposes of the guidelines, the "value" of the common stock is based on the closing price of the common stock on the day on which a determination under the guidelines is being made. The determination of compliance with the guidelines will be measured annually on the last business day of each year.

Our executives and non-employee directors are expected to comply with these guidelines within five years of the later of March 2, 2011 (the date of adoption of the guidelines) and the date the person first became an executive or non-employee director, as applicable. If an executive officer has not achieved the stock ownership level as outlined above by that date, the executive officer will be required to retain 50% of the net shares of common stock acquired pursuant to restricted stock or option awards made after the adoption of these guidelines until such levels are achieved. "Net shares" are those shares that remain after shares are sold or withheld to pay withholding taxes and/or the exercise price of stock options (if applicable).

The stock ownership guidelines superseded the existing policy applicable to our non-employee directors. That policy provided that directors were expected to hold a number of shares of our common stock equivalent to one-half of all shares of restricted stock they receive.

Restrictions on "Hedging"

We have adopted a policy prohibiting our directors, officers and employees from engaging in short sales, transactions in derivative securities (including put and call options), or other forms of hedging and monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars, equity swaps, exchange funds and forward sale contracts, that allow the holder to limit or eliminate the risk of a decrease in the value of our securities. We have adopted this policy in order to further align the interests and objectives of our directors, officers and employees with our stockholders.

Board Independence and Leadership Structure

Our Board believes, and our Guidelines require, that a substantial majority of its members should be independent directors. In addition, the respective charters of the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees, currently require that each member of such committees be independent directors. Consistent with NASDAQ's independence criteria, the Board has affirmatively determined that all of our directors are independent of American Public Education and our management, with the exception of Dr. Boston, who is our President and Chief Executive Officer. NASDAQ's independence criteria includes a series of objective tests, such as that the director is not an employee of American Public Education and has not engaged in various types of business dealings with us. In addition, as further required by NASDAQ rules, the Board has made a subjective determination as to each independent director that no relationship exists that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. In making these determinations, the Board reviewed and discussed information provided by the directors and management with regard to each director's business and personal activities as they may relate to us and our management.

In accordance with our Guidelines, the independent members of our Board will hold at least two “executive session” meetings each year. If the chairperson of the Board is not an independent director, an independent chairperson will be selected for each executive session. In general, these meetings are intended to be used as a forum to discuss the annual evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer’s performance, the annual review of the Chief Executive Officer’s plan for management succession and such other topics as the independent directors deem necessary or appropriate.

Our Guidelines specify that the Board shall select its Chairperson based on the Board’s determination of what is then in the best interests of the Company. Historically, the Company has split the positions of the Chairperson of the Board and Chief Executive Officer because we believe that this structure is appropriate given the differences between the two roles in our management structure. Our Chief Executive Officer, among other duties, is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the Company and the day-to-day leadership and performance of the Company, while the Chairperson of the Board, among other responsibilities, provides guidance to the Chief Executive Officer, and presides over meetings of the full Board.

Board’s Role in Risk Oversight

Our management is responsible for managing risks in our business, including by developing processes to monitor and control risks. The Board views its role as one of oversight and of responsibility for setting a tone that risk management should be properly integrated with our strategy and culture. The Board focuses on understanding management’s risk management processes, the effectiveness of those processes and the way in which management proactively manages risks. The Board regularly meets with our management, particularly our Chief Operations Officer, as well as our Chief Executive Officer and other executives, to receive updates on how management is assessing and managing risk in particular functional areas of our business. The Board and its committees also request and receive regular reports from management on particular areas of risk.

The Board is assisted in carrying out its oversight of risks by the committees. In this regard, each of the charters of the Board’s committees specifically address issues of risk. At the request of the full Board, from time to time the nominating and corporate governance committee may discuss or examine in more depth specific risk areas and request presentations and information from management for that purpose. The nominating and corporate governance committee considers and makes recommendations on how the Board is approaching its role of risk oversight. The audit committee reviews and assesses the qualitative aspects of financial reporting and our processes to manage financial and financial reporting risk. The audit committee regularly reports its findings to the Board.

While the audit committee and the nominating and corporate governance committee have primary responsibility for assisting the Board with its risk oversight responsibilities, the compensation committee also assists the Board with risk oversight. When establishing executive compensation and director compensation and in its role in implementing incentive compensation plans, the compensation committee considers whether compensation practices properly take into account an appropriate risk-reward relationship or encourage unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the Company.

Meetings of the Board of Directors and its Committees

Information concerning the Board and its three standing committees is set forth below. Each Board committee currently consists only of directors who are not employees of American Public Education and who are “independent” as defined in NASDAQ’s rules.

The Board and its committees meet regularly throughout the year, and also hold special meetings and act by written consent from time to time. The Board held a total of six meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. During this time all of our directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings held by the Board and all committees of the Board on which such director served (during the period that such director served). The Board does not have a formal policy with respect to Board member attendance at annual meetings of stockholders. Our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders was attended by all of our directors who were then serving.

The Board has three standing committees: the nominating and corporate governance committee; the compensation committee; and the audit committee. The charters for the nominating and corporate governance, compensation, and audit committees can be accessed electronically on the Committees page of our corporate website, which is www.americanpubliceducation.com.

The Board conducts, and the nominating and corporate governance committee oversees, an annual evaluation of the Board’s operations and performance in order to enhance its effectiveness. Recommendations resulting from this evaluation are made by the nominating and corporate governance committee to the full Board for its consideration.

BOARD COMMITTEES AND THEIR FUNCTIONS

The following table describes which directors serve on each of the Board’s standing committees.

| Name | Audit Committee | Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee | Compensation Committee |
|------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------|
| Eric C. Andersen | X | | X |
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. | | | |
| J. Christopher Everett | | X | X |
| Barbara G. Fast | | X | X(1) |
| Jean C. Halle | X(1) | | |
| Timothy J. Landon | X | X(1) | |
| Westley Moore | | X | |
| Timothy T. Weglicki(2) | X | X | |

(1) Chair of the committee.

(2) Chairperson of the Board.

Audit Committee

The Board has established a separately designated standing audit committee in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which met five times during 2013. The audit committee is responsible, among its other duties and responsibilities, for overseeing our accounting and financial reporting processes, the audits of our financial statements, the qualifications of our independent registered public accounting firm, and the performance of our internal audit function and our independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee reviews and

assesses the qualitative aspects of our financial reporting, our processes to manage financial reporting risk, and our compliance with significant applicable legal, ethical and regulatory requirements. The audit committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of our independent registered public accounting firm. The members of our audit committee are Ms. Halle, who serves as chair of the committee, Mr. Andersen, Mr. Landon, and Mr. Weglicki. Our Board has determined that Ms. Halle and Mr. Andersen are each an “audit committee financial expert,” as that term is defined under the SEC rules implementing Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Our Board has determined that each member of our audit committee is independent under NASDAQ’s listing standards and each member of our audit committee is independent pursuant to Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for recommending candidates for election to the Board. The committee met six times during 2013. The committee is also responsible, among its other duties and responsibilities, for making recommendations to the Board or otherwise acting with respect to corporate governance policies and practices, including board size and membership qualifications, recommendations with respect to director resignations tendered in the event a director fails to achieve a majority of votes cast in favor of his or her election, new director orientation, committee structure and membership, succession planning for our Chief Executive Officer and other key executive officers, and communications with stockholders. In addition, the nominating and corporate governance committee assists the Board in understanding and overseeing management's processes for the assessment and management of non-financial risks of the Company and the steps that management has taken to monitor and control exposure to such risks. The members of our nominating and corporate governance committee are Mr. Landon, who serves as chair of the committee, Mr. Everett, MG (Ret) Fast, Mr. Moore, and Mr. Weglicki. Our Board has determined that each member of our nominating and corporate governance committee meets NASDAQ's independence requirements for director nominations.

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee is responsible, among its other duties and responsibilities, for establishing the compensation and benefits of our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, monitoring compensation arrangements applicable to our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers in light of their performance, effectiveness and other relevant considerations and administering our equity incentive plans. The committee met seven times during 2013. The members of our compensation committee are MG (Ret) Fast, who serves as chair of the committee, Mr. Andersen and Mr. Everett. Our Board has determined that each member of our compensation committee meets NASDAQ's independence requirements for approval of the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers.

The compensation committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant to assist in evaluating executive officer compensation. In 2013, the compensation committee retained Towers Watson directly as an outside compensation consultant to assist in evaluating our compensation programs, as it has since 2007. The compensation committee assessed Towers Watson's independence, considering all relevant factors, including those set forth in NASDAQ rules. In connection with this assessment, the committee considered Towers Watson's work and determined that it raised no conflicts of interest. Towers Watson does no work for the Company other than work that is authorized by the compensation committee or its chairperson. The compensation committee used information provided to it by Towers Watson in connection with making 2013 compensation determinations. Towers Watson also advised the compensation committee on the use of a peer group for comparative purposes. The consultant's role in recommending the amount or form of executive compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers during 2013 is described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Compensation Program Philosophy and Objectives — Competitive Compensation and Peer Group Review" section below.

The compensation committee considers the results of the annual advisory vote on the compensation of named executive officers. See “Proposal 3” below to review this year’s proposal. In 2012, approximately 98% of the stockholder votes cast on this proposal were voted in favor of our executive compensation proposal, which was the most recent shareholder vote before we set our 2013 compensation. As a result, we did not set or change 2013 executive compensation directly as a result of that stockholder vote. In 2013, approximately 89% of the stockholder votes cast on this proposal were voted in favor of our executive compensation proposal. The compensation committee considered this in the context of the recommendations of various proxy advisory firms and as part of its decision to continue to increase the proportion of compensation that is performance-based.

The compensation committee works closely with our Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Boston, on compensation decisions and has delegated certain aspects of the annual incentive plans for the other executive officers, including the named executive officers, to Dr. Boston. For a discussion of Dr. Boston’s role in determining or recommending the executive compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers during 2013, see the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Role of Executives in Executive Compensation Decisions” section below. None of our other executive officers participates in any deliberations related to the setting of executive compensation with the exception of Peter Gibbons, our Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, who provides administrative support to the compensation committee and facilitates the requests for information received from the independent consultant.

DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS AND COMMUNICATION WITH DIRECTORS

Director Nomination Process

The nominating and corporate governance committee recommends, and the Board nominates, candidates to stand for election as directors. Stockholders may also nominate persons to be elected as directors. If a stockholder wishes to nominate a person for election as director, he or she must follow the procedures contained in our Bylaws and satisfy the requirements of Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For a stockholder’s nomination of a person to stand for election as a director at the annual meeting of stockholders to be considered, our Corporate Secretary must receive such nominations at our principal executive offices not more than 120 days, and not less than 90 days, before the anniversary date of the preceding annual meeting, except that if the annual meeting is set for a date that is not within 30 days before or 60 days after such anniversary, the nomination must be received no later than the close of business on the tenth day following the notice or public disclosure of the meeting. Each submission must include the following information:

the name and address of the stockholder who intends to make the nomination and the name and address of the person or persons to be nominated;

a representation that the stockholder is a holder of record of Company capital stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the person or persons;

if applicable, a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder and each nominee and any other person or persons, naming such person or persons, pursuant to which the nomination is to be made by the stockholder;

such other information regarding each nominee to be proposed by such stockholder as would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed under the SEC’s proxy rules if the nominee had been nominated, or intended to be nominated, by the Board;

if applicable, the consent of each nominee to serve as a director if elected;

a statement whether each nominee, if elected, intends to tender an irrevocable resignation in the form required by an incumbent directors under the Bylaws; and

such other information that the Board may request in its discretion.

The Board may require any proposed nominee to furnish such other information as it may reasonably require to determine the eligibility of such proposed nominee to serve as one of its directors.

Each director will be elected by the vote of the majority of the votes cast with respect to that director's election, provided that if, as of the tenth (10th) day preceding the date we first mail notice of the meeting for such meeting to our stockholders, the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, which we refer to as a "Contested Election", the directors shall be elected by the vote of a plurality of the votes cast. Our Bylaws require that the Board or a committee of the Board shall not nominate any incumbent director who, as a condition to such nomination, does not submit a conditional and, in the case of an uncontested election, irrevocable letter of resignation to the Chairperson of the Board. If an incumbent nominee is not elected in an uncontested election, the nominating and corporate governance committee will promptly consider such director's conditional resignation and make a recommendation to the Board regarding the resignation. Each incumbent director nominated for election to the Board at the Annual Meeting as described under Proposal 1 below has submitted the conditional letter of resignation as required by our Bylaws.

In the event an incumbent director fails to receive a majority of the votes cast in an election that is not a Contested Election, the nominating and corporate governance committee, or such other committee designated by the Board pursuant to our Bylaws, shall make a recommendation to the Board as to whether to accept or reject the resignation of such incumbent director, or whether other action should be taken. The Board shall act on the resignation, taking into account the committee's recommendation, and publicly disclose (by a press release and filing an appropriate disclosure with the SEC) its decision regarding the resignation and, if such resignation is rejected, the rationale behind the decision, within ninety (90) days following certification of the election results. The committee in making its recommendation and the Board in making its decision each may consider any factors and other information that it considers appropriate and relevant.

Additional information regarding requirements for stockholder nominations for next year's annual meeting is described in this Proxy Statement in the section titled "General Matters — Stockholder Proposals and Nominations" below.

Contacting the Board of Directors

Stockholders wishing to communicate with our Board may do so by writing to the Board, the Chairperson of the Board, or the non-employee members of the Board as a group, at:

American Public Education, Inc.
111 W. Congress Street
Charles Town, West Virginia 25414
Attn: Corporate Secretary

Complaints or concerns relating to our accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters will be referred to members of the audit committee. Other correspondence will be referred to the relevant individual or group. All correspondence is required to prominently display the legend "Board Communication" in order to indicate to the Corporate Secretary that it is communication subject to our policy and will be received and processed by the Corporate Secretary's office. Each communication received by the Corporate Secretary will be copied for our files and will be promptly forwarded to the addressee. The Board has requested that certain items not related to the Board's

duties and responsibilities be excluded from the communication so forwarded under the policy. In addition, the Corporate Secretary is not required to forward any communication that the Corporate Secretary, in good faith, determines to be frivolous, unduly hostile, threatening, illegal or similarly unsuitable. However, the Corporate Secretary will maintain a list of each communication subject to this policy that is not forwarded, and on a quarterly basis, will deliver the list to the Chairperson of the Board. In addition, each communication subject to this policy that is not forwarded because it was determined by the Corporate Secretary to be frivolous shall nevertheless be retained in our files and made available at the request of any member of the Board to whom such communication was addressed.

PROPOSAL 1 — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board is currently comprised of eight members. However, J. Christopher Everett is not standing for re-election and our Board has approved, effective as of the Annual Meeting, decreasing the size of our Board to seven members. Our nominees for the election of directors at the Annual Meeting include six independent non-employee directors and our Chief Executive Officer. Each director is elected to serve a one-year term, with all directors subject to annual election. At the recommendation of the nominating and corporate governance committee, the Board has nominated the following persons to serve as directors for the term beginning at the Annual Meeting on June 13, 2014: Eric C. Andersen, Wallace E. Boston, Jr., Barbara G. Fast, Jean C. Halle, Timothy J. Landon, Westley Moore, and Timothy T. Weglicki. All of the nominees are currently serving on the Board.

It is intended by the persons named as proxies that proxies received in response to this solicitation will be voted FOR the election of each nominee named in this section unless otherwise stated in the proxy or in the case of a broker non-vote with respect to the proposal. Proxies submitted for the Annual Meeting can only be voted for those nominees named in this Proxy Statement. If, however, any director nominee is unable or unwilling to serve as a nominee at the time of the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies may vote for a substitute nominee designated by the Board, or the Board may reduce the size of the Board. Each nominee has consented to serve as a director if elected, and the Board does not believe that any nominee will be unwilling or unable to serve. Each director will hold office until his or her successor is duly elected and is qualified or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal.

Criteria for Evaluating Director Nominees

The Board provides strategic direction to the Company and oversees the performance of the Company's business and management. The nominating and corporate governance committee periodically identifies and reviews with the Board desired skills and attributes of both individual Board members and the Board overall within the context of current and future needs. The nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for developing the general criteria, subject to approval by the full Board, for use in identifying, evaluating and selecting qualified candidates for election or re-election to the Board. The nominating and corporate governance committee reviews the appropriate skills and characteristics required of directors in the context of the current composition of the Board, our operating requirements and the long-term interests of our stockholders. It may use outside consultants to assist in identifying candidates. Among the characteristics the committee may consider are the collective knowledge and diversity of professional skills and background, experience in relevant industries, age and geographic background in addition to the qualities of integrity, judgment, acumen, and the time and ability to work professionally and effectively with other Board members and management and make a constructive contribution to the Board. The committee considers candidates submitted by directors and management, as well as candidates recommended by stockholders, which are evaluated in the same manner as other candidates identified to it. Final approval of director candidates is determined by the full Board.

The Board has determined that all of our director nominees are qualified to serve as directors of the Company.

The name of each nominee for director, their ages as of April 21, 2014, and other information about each nominee is shown below. In addition, the biographies of each of the nominees below contain information regarding the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused the nominating and corporate governance committee and the Board to determine that the person should serve as a director for the Company.

| Name | Age | Principal Occupation | Director Since |
|------------------------|-----|--|----------------|
| Eric C. Andersen | 52 | Partner of Milestone Partners | 2012 |
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. | 59 | President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company | 2004 |
| Barbara G. Fast | 60 | Senior Vice President, CGI Federal | 2009 |
| Jean C. Halle | 55 | Independent Consultant | 2006 |
| Timothy J. Landon | 51 | Chief Executive Officer of Aggrego, LLC | 2009 |
| Westley Moore | 35 | Independent Consultant | 2013 |
| Timothy T. Weglicki | 62 | Founding Partner of ABS Capital Partners | 2002 |

Eric C. Andersen has served on our Board since June 2012. Mr. Andersen is a partner with Milestone Partners, a lower middle market private equity firm that specializes in making control equity investments in established operating businesses. Prior to joining Milestone Partners in 2011, from 2006 to 2011 Mr. Andersen served as a Managing Director of private equity firm Silver Lake Partners, before which he worked in the consulting industry with IBM Business Consulting Services (BCS), serving as Managing Partner, Asia Pacific responsible for IBM's business solutions and business process outsourcing business across Asia Pacific, and Managing Partner, Distribution Sector responsible for IBM's consulting business in the pharmaceutical, retail, consumer goods and travel/transportation industries. Before working with IBM, Mr. Andersen was a senior partner at PwC Consulting, where he served in a variety of positions. Mr. Andersen currently serves on the board of directors of several private companies.

We believe that Mr. Andersen's qualifications to serve on our Board include his experience as a principal of two private equity firms, as well as his expertise in outsourcing, business processes and international operations.

Dr. Wallace E. Boston, Jr. joined us in September 2002 as Chief Financial Officer and since June 2004 has served as President, Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board. From August 2001 to April 2002, Dr. Boston served as Chief Financial Officer of Sun Healthcare Group. From July 1998 to May 2001, Dr. Boston served as Chief Operating Officer and, later, President of NeighborCare Pharmacies. From February 1993 to May 1998, Dr. Boston served as Vice President – Finance and, later, Senior Vice President of Acquisitions and Development of Manor Healthcare Corporation, now Manor Care, Inc. From November 1985 to December 1992, Dr. Boston served as Chief Financial Officer of Meridian Healthcare. Dr. Boston currently serves on the Board of the Presidents' Forum of Excelsior College, the Board of Avalere Health LLC, and the Board of Overseers of the University of Pennsylvania Graduate School of Education.

We believe that Dr. Boston's qualifications to serve on our Board include his service as our Chief Executive Officer since 2004 and his service as our Chief Financial Officer between 2002 and 2004. Dr. Boston's leadership has been pivotal to the Company in some of our most significant events, including our accreditation by the Higher Learning Commission of the North Central Association in 2006, our 2007 initial public offering, the receipt by American Public University System of the 2009 Ralph E. Gomory Award for Quality Online Education, also known as the Sloan-C Award, our reaccreditation in 2011, and our 2013 acquisition of National Education Seminars, Inc., which we refer to as Hondros College of Nursing.

Major General (Retired) Barbara G. Fast has served on our Board since May 2009. She has served as Senior Vice President, Army Defense and Intelligence Programs, CGI Federal, since November 2012, immediately prior to which she served as Vice President of Operations and Intelligence, CGI Federal, from June 2011. Previously she was the Vice President of Cyber and Information Solutions at The Boeing Company, which she joined in August 2008. MG (Ret) Fast retired from the Army in July 2008 after a 32-year career. Her most recent posts included: Deputy Director, Army Capabilities and Requirements Center, Training and Doctrine Command, from July 2007 until June 2008; Deputy and, later, Commanding General for the United States Army Intelligence Center and Fort Huachuca, Arizona, from August 2004 until June 2007; and Director of Intelligence, Multinational Forces – Iraq, Baghdad, Iraq, from July 2003 until July 2004. MG (Ret) Fast currently serves on the board of directors of several government and private organizations.

We believe that MG (Ret) Fast's qualifications to serve on our Board include her extensive experience and achievements in the U.S. Military, national and defense intelligence, and cyber security, culminating in over 32 years of military service until her retirement as a Major General, her leadership of global operations of the National Security Agency, her service as Commanding General of Fort Huachuca, and her current work in industry.

Jean C. Halle has served on our Board since March 2006. Since 2010, Ms. Halle has worked as an independent consultant, and since September 2013 she also has served as the Acting Chief Operating Officer for Curiosityville, a digital early learning company. From 2002 to 2010, Ms. Halle was the Chief Executive Officer of Calvert Education Services, a provider of accredited distance education programs and educational support services. From 1999 to 2001, Ms. Halle was the Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of New Business Development for Times Mirror Interactive, a digital media subsidiary of the former Times Mirror Company. From 1986 to 1999, Ms. Halle held a number of positions with The Baltimore Sun Company, including Vice President of New Business Development, Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance, President of Homestead Publishing, a subsidiary of The Baltimore Sun Company, and Director of Strategic Planning. From 1983 to 1986, Ms. Halle was the Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance for Abell Communications, and Assistant Treasurer of A.S. Abell Company, the former parent company of The Baltimore Sun Company. From 1979 to 1983, Ms. Halle was a Senior Management Consultant with Deloitte, Haskins and Sells, now Deloitte & Touche, an international accounting and professional services firm. Ms. Halle currently serves on the President's Advisory Council for Stevenson University, the Board of Trustees of Catholic Distance University, the Advisory Board of Loyola University School of Education and the advisory boards of two private companies.

We believe that Ms. Halle's qualifications to serve on our Board include her multifaceted experiences in online education as Chief Executive Officer of Calvert Education Services, in media as Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of New Business Development for Times Mirror Interactive, and in financial consulting as a Senior Management Consultant at an international accounting and professional services firm. Ms. Halle was also a 2011 National Association of Corporate Directors Board Leadership Fellow, having completed a comprehensive program of study for experienced corporate directors spanning leading practices for boards and committees.

Timothy J. Landon has served on our Board since January 2009. Since September 2013, Mr. Landon has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Aggrego, LLC, a venture capital-backed startup focused on building content and ad networks for mobile distribution in the United States, Western Europe, the Caribbean, Central America and Asia Pacific. Aggrego's investors are Wrapports, LLC and Digicel Group Limited. From June 2012 until September of 2013, Mr. Landon served as President of Wrapports Ventures, the venture capital and incubator division of Wrapports, LLC, which disrupts and transforms local media using technology. From 2008 to 2012, Mr. Landon served as Chief Executive Officer of Landon Company, where he focused on early stage angel investing and consulting for private equity, venture capital and large traditional and online media companies. Mr. Landon worked at Tribune Company for more than 20 years, and served in a variety of positions within the Tribune organization, including as President of Tribune Interactive, Inc. from March 2004 until February 2008, where he was responsible for overall interactive and

classified advertising strategy, technology and operations for the Tribune Company, and had leadership roles in starting CareerBuilder.com, Classified Ventures (the holding company of apartments.com and cars.com), and other online businesses. In December 2008, the Tribune Company filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

We believe that Mr. Landon's qualifications to serve on our Board include his extensive experience in starting, building and managing internet-focused media businesses over the last sixteen years. He brings significant knowledge of online marketing and online business models, including knowledge based on his position as President of Tribune Interactive and his experience at CareerBuilder.com, which has direct relevance and applicability to our business.

Westley Moore has served on our Board since June 2013, after having been recommended for nomination as a director by Mr. Landon. Since 2011, Mr. Moore's principal occupation has been as an author, public speaker and television personality through his wholly owned business entities. He is also the Executive Producer and host of the PBS miniseries on returning veterans "Coming Back with Wes Moore." During 2011, he hosted the television program "Beyond Belief" on the Oprah Winfrey Network and served as a news analyst for NBC. Prior to that, in 2010, he published a bestselling book, *The Other Wes Moore*. From 2007 to 2011, he was a securities broker with Citigroup. From 2006 to 2007, Mr. Moore worked at the U.S. Department of State as a White House Fellow to Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice. Previously, Mr. Moore served as a paratrooper and Captain in the U.S. Army from 2004 to 2006, which included a tour of combat duty in Afghanistan. Mr. Moore currently serves on the board of the Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America, the Johns Hopkins University Board of Trustees, and the Valley Forge Military Academy and College Board of Trustees. He is also a trustee of the Baltimore Community Foundation, a member of the Board of Overseers of The Network for Teaching Entrepreneurship, and a trustee of Phi Theta Kappa Foundation.

We believe that Mr. Moore's qualifications to serve on our Board include his experience in media and communications, as well as his policy and military experience.

Timothy T. Weglicki has served on our Board since August 2002, was appointed Vice-Chairperson of the Board in 2010, and was appointed Chairperson of the Board in 2013. Mr. Weglicki is a Founding Partner of ABS Capital Partners, a venture capital firm founded in 1993. Prior to co-founding ABS Capital Partners, from 1978 to 1993, Mr. Weglicki was an investment banker with Alex. Brown & Sons, where he founded and headed the Capital Markets Group from 1989 to 1993. Mr. Weglicki served on the board of directors and the compensation and the nominating and governance committees of Coventry Health Care, Inc. until its acquisition by merger in 2013 and is currently on the boards of directors of several of ABS Capital Partners' portfolio companies.

We believe that Mr. Weglicki's qualifications to serve on our Board include his significant experience and leadership of businesses in investment banking, capital markets and private equity as head of the Capital Markets Group at Alex. Brown & Sons, a Founding Partner of ABS Capital Partners and a director of other public companies.

Required Vote and Board Recommendation

In order to be elected as a director, a nominee must be elected by a majority of the votes cast with respect to such nominee at the Annual Meeting. A majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares of common stock voted FOR a nominee must exceed 50% of the votes cast with respect to that nominee. Stockholders do not have the right to cumulate their votes in the election of directors. If an incumbent nominee in an uncontested election such as the election to be held at the Annual Meeting fails to be elected, the incumbent nominee will continue in office and the Board will consider whether to accept the nominee's earlier submitted conditional resignation. If the resignation is not accepted the incumbent nominee may continue in office until a successor is elected.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ELECTION OF EACH OF THE SEVEN NOMINATED DIRECTORS.

2013 Director Compensation

Under our non-employee director compensation policy, which was established and is periodically revised following consultation with Towers Watson, directors receive an annual retainer of \$32,250. The chairs of the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees receive an additional annual retainer of \$11,000, \$8,500 and \$5,500, respectively, and the non-employee Chairperson of the Board receives an additional annual retainer of \$20,000. The Chairperson is not entitled to receive any additional annual retainers for also serving as chair of any of the Board's standing committees.

The annual retainers are payable in quarterly installments, and each director may, before the beginning of the applicable year, elect to receive his or her annual retainer in common stock having the same value as the portion of the annual retainer to be paid, calculated as of the close of business on the first business day of the year. In connection with our annual meeting of stockholders, our non-employee director compensation policy also provides for an annual grant to each director of restricted stock having a value of \$41,750 on the grant date. The restricted stock grant vests on the earlier of the one-year anniversary of the date of grant and immediately prior to the next year's annual meeting of stockholders.

We also reimburse all directors for travel and other necessary business expenses incurred in the performance of their services for us and extend coverage to them under the directors' and officers' indemnity insurance policies.

In December 2013, following consultation with Towers Watson, our Board of Directors adopted updates to the non-employee director compensation policy, effective January 1, 2014, to increase the annual retainer for all directors to \$40,000, to increase the additional annual retainer for the Chairperson of the Board to \$30,000 and to increase the annual grant of restricted stock to \$55,000.

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation paid to directors during 2013:

| Name(1) | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(2) | Stock Awards \$(3) | Total (\$) |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|
| Eric C. Andersen | 32,250 | 41,739 | 73,989 |
| J. Christopher Everett | 41,291 | 41,739 | 83,030 |
| Barbara G. Fast | 36,908 | 41,739 | 78,646 |
| Jean C. Halle | 43,250 | 41,739 | 84,989 |
| Timothy J. Landon | 35,263 | 41,739 | 77,002 |
| Westley Moore | 17,671 | 41,739 | 59,410 |

| | | | |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Timothy T. Weglicki | 47,730 | 41,739 | 89,469 |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|

- (1) See the Summary Compensation Table in the “Compensation Tables and Disclosures” section of this Proxy Statement for disclosure related to Dr. Boston, who is one of our named executive officers (“NEOs”) as of December 31, 2013.
- (2) Messrs. Landon and Weglicki each elected to receive his entire 2013 annual retainer in fully-vested shares of common stock. Mr. Everett elected to receive half of his 2013 annual retainer in fully-vested shares of common stock. Mr. Everett served as Chairperson of the Board until the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, at which time Mr. Weglicki became Chairperson of the Board. Messrs. Everett and Weglicki did not receive separate retainers related to their respective service as a committee chair. MG (Ret) Fast and Mr. Landon became chairs of the compensation committee and the nominating and corporate governance committee, respectively, effective as of the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders. Mr. Moore’s retainer was prorated to reflect that he was appointed to serve as a director on June 14, 2013.
- (3) The aggregate grant date fair value of each restricted stock award in 2013 was \$38.54, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

As of December 31, 2013, there were no exercisable or unexercisable option awards held by our current non-employee directors. The aggregate number of unvested stock awards outstanding held as of that date by our current non-employee directors were as follows:

| Name | Stock Awards |
|------------------------|--------------|
| Eric C. Andersen | 1,083 |
| J. Christopher Everett | 1,083 |
| Barbara G. Fast | 1,083 |
| Jean C. Halle | 1,083 |
| Timothy J. Landon | 1,083 |
| Westley Moore | 1,083 |
| Timothy T. Weglicki | 1,083 |

PROPOSAL 2 — AMENDMENT TO AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION, INC. EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

Overview

We are asking our stockholders to approve an amendment to our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”) to increase the number of shares of the Company’s common stock available for issuance under the plan by 100,000 shares, extend the term of the ESPP to March 7, 2024 and make such other administrative changes as described below.

In November 2007, the Company adopted the ESPP, which was implemented effective July 1, 2008 and has never been amended. The purpose of the ESPP is to enable eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions in order to provide them with the opportunity to increase their interest in our growth and success and encourage employees to remain employed by us. Eligible employees may purchase shares of the Company’s common stock, subject to certain limitations, at a reduced price based on fair market value.

The ESPP is an important component of the benefits package that we offer to our employees. We believe that it plays an important role in aligning the interests of employees and stockholders in the long-term success of the Company. The Board believes it is in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders that the proposed amendment be approved, so that that the ESPP will continue to have a positive impact on employee recruitment, retention and motivation and so we may continue to promote employee participation in the ESPP.

Currently, the aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be made available for purchase by participating employees under the ESPP is 100,000 shares. If the amendment to the ESPP is approved, the aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be made available for purchase will be immediately increased to 200,000 shares following the Annual Meeting. The ESPP as amended would also extend the plan's termination date from October 15, 2017 to March 7, 2024. In addition, the amendment would add a provision to the section of the ESPP covering periods of employee absence due to temporary lay-off, authorized leave of absence or disability to provide that any such absence longer than three months would be deemed to have terminated the applicable employee's employment relationship with the Company, triggering certain distribution rights for such employee under the plan.

The amendment to the ESPP was approved by the Board on March 7, 2014, but will not be effective unless and until it is approved by the Company's stockholders. A copy of the ESPP amendment is attached to this Proxy Statement as Annex A. Stockholders should refer to Annex A for the full text of the ESPP amendment. The following summary of the principal features of the ESPP is qualified in entirety by reference to ESPP, which was included as an exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed with the SEC on October 26, 2007.

Summary of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Administration

The Board has the power and authority to administer the ESPP. In accordance with the terms of the plan, the Board has delegated this power and authority to the compensation committee.

Shares Reserved

The shares of common stock issuable under the employee stock purchase plan may be authorized but unissued shares, treasury shares, or shares purchased on the open market. In the event there is any increase or decrease in our common stock without receipt of consideration by us (for instance, by a recapitalization or stock split), there will be a proportionate adjustment to the number and kinds of shares that may be purchased under the plan.

There were initially 100,000 shares of common stock available for purchase under the ESPP. As of April 21, 2014, 2,866 shares remained available for purchase under the plan. Assuming the amendment is approved by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting, 100,000 additional shares would be added and, based on the shares that remained available as of April 21, 2014, there would be 102,866 shares (approximately 0.59% of the Company's outstanding shares as of the Record Date) available for purchase under the ESPP immediately following the Annual Meeting. The Board has not requested and the stockholders have not previously approved an increase in the number of shares authorized under the plan.

Eligibility

All of our employees and the employees of our participating subsidiaries may participate in the ESPP except the following, who are ineligible to participate: (i) an employee who has been employed for less than one month as of the beginning of the offering period; (ii) an employee whose customary employment is for less than five months in any calendar year; (iii) an employee whose customary employment is 20 hours or less per week; and (iv) an employee who, after exercising his or her rights to purchase stock under the ESPP, would own stock (including stock that may be acquired under any outstanding options) representing five percent or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock. An employee must be employed on the last day of the offering period in order to acquire stock under the ESPP unless the employee has died or become disabled, been laid off or is on an approved leave of absence.

Participation

To participate in the ESPP, an eligible employee may authorize (i) payment of the purchase price for shares purchased under the plan by payroll deductions and, if authorized by the compensation committee, payment by means of periodic cash payments, and (ii) the purchase of shares of common stock for the employee's account. Enrollment becomes effective upon the first day of a quarterly offering period and the right to purchase shares is deemed granted to participating employees as of the first trading day of each quarterly offering period.

Offering Periods

The compensation committee has determined that the offering period will be quarterly.

Purchase Price and Limits

Unless otherwise determined by our compensation committee, the purchase price for each share may not be less than 85% of the fair market value of our common stock on the first trading day of the offering period or the day on which the shares are purchased, whichever is lower. No employee may purchase common stock in any calendar year under the plan having an aggregate fair market value in excess of \$25,000, determined as of the first trading date of the offering period. An employee may not during any offering period increase his or her percentage of payroll deduction or contribution for that offering period, nor may an employee withdraw any contributed funds other than by terminating participation in the ESPP.

Amendment and Termination of ESPP

The Board may amend the ESPP in any respect; provided, however, that without approval of our stockholders, no amendment shall be made (i) increasing the number of shares that may be made available for purchase under the plan or (ii) changing the eligibility requirements for participating in the plan. No amendment may be made to the ESPP that impairs the vested rights of participating employees. The Board may terminate the plan at any time and for any reason or for no reason, provided that such termination shall not impair any rights of participants that have vested at the time of termination.

In any event, the ESPP currently provides that the plan will terminate at the earlier of (i) October 15, 2017 and (ii) when there are no longer any shares of common stock available for purchase under the plan. Assuming the amendment is approved by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting, the ESPP will terminate at the earlier of (i) March 27, 2024 and (ii) when there are no longer any shares of common stock available for purchase under the plan.

Termination of Employment

If a participating employee leaves the employ of the Company for any reason other than temporary lay-off, authorized leave of absence or disability, prior to the last day of the purchase period, the employee's option to purchase will terminate and the amount in the employee's account is returned to the employee or the employee's beneficiary or estate.

Reorganizations

Upon a reorganization in which we are not the surviving corporation or a sale of assets or stock, the ESPP and all rights outstanding shall terminate, except to the extent provision is made in writing in connection with such transaction for the continuation or assumption of the plan, or for the substitution of the rights under the plan with rights covering the stock of the successor corporation.

Rule 16b-3

Transactions under the ESPP are intended to comply with all applicable conditions of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or any successor provision.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

The ESPP, and the rights of participant employees to make purchases thereunder, qualify for treatment under the provisions of Sections 421 and 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. Under these provisions, no income will be taxable to a participant until the shares purchased under the ESPP are sold or otherwise disposed of.

Upon sale or other disposition of the shares, the participant will generally be subject to tax and the amount of the tax will depend upon the holding period. If the shares are sold or otherwise disposed of more than two years from the first day of the relevant offering period (and more than one year from the date the shares are purchased), then the participant generally will recognize ordinary income measured as the lesser of:

- (i) the excess of the fair market value of the shares at the time of such sale or disposition over the purchase price, or
- (ii) an amount equal to 15% of the fair market value of the shares as of the first day of the applicable offering period.

Any additional gain would be treated as long-term capital gain.

If the shares are sold or otherwise disposed of before the expiration of this holding period, the participant will recognize ordinary income generally measured as the excess of the fair market value of the shares on the date the shares are purchased over the purchase price. Any additional gain or loss on such sale or disposition will be long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, depending on the holding period.

The Company is not entitled to a deduction for amounts taxed as ordinary income or capital gain to a participant except to the extent ordinary income is recognized by participants upon a sale or disposition of shares prior to the expiration of the holding period(s) described above. In all other cases, no deduction is allowed to the Company.

The foregoing tax discussion is a general description of certain expected federal income tax results under current law. No attempt has been made to address any state, local, foreign or estate and gift tax consequences that may arise in connection with participation in the ESPP.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE AMENDMENT TO THE ESPP.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes our executive compensation program and decisions for 2013. This section details the compensation framework applied by the compensation committee and, in particular, our compensation philosophy and objectives, elements of compensation, compensation decisions and the link between executive pay and performance. The named executive officers, or NEOs, for 2013 are:

Wallace E. Boston, Jr., our Chief Executive Officer and President

Harry T. Wilkins, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer through December 31, 2013, at which point he became Chief Development Officer of American Public Education, Inc. and Chief Executive Officer of our subsidiary, National Education Seminars, Inc., which we refer to as Hondros College of Nursing

Sharon van Wyk, our Executive Vice President and Chief Operations Officer

Carol S. Gilbert, our Executive Vice President, Programs and Marketing

Karan Powell, our Executive Vice President and Provost

A Compelling Value

Since it was founded, APEI's American Public University System ("APUS"), has provided the military and public service communities with access to affordable, quality academic programs. Today, we're one of the largest online providers of higher education in the United States. We continue to excel in affordability – our combined tuition, fees and books are roughly 20% less for undergraduates and 40% less for graduate students than the average in-state cost at public universities.

2013 Business Results

RECOGNIZED FOR BEST PRACTICES AND ACADEMIC QUALITY

In 2013, APUS again was recognized with an Effective Practice Award from the Sloan Consortium, which is a professional organization devoted to advancing quality in and expanding access to postsecondary online learning. This is the third time APUS has received an effective practice award – the most any institution has received recognizing their contributions to improving the quality of online higher education.

COLLABORATED WITH LEADING ACADEMIC AND RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS TO ADVANCE HIGHER EDUCATION

This year, APUS became an inaugural member of the John Gardner Institute's Gateways to Completion (G2C) program – a collaborative process designed to research and improve student success, especially in general education and other high-risk courses. In addition, APUS joined the first cohort of the Higher Learning Commission's Persistence Academy – a research-based collaboration of institutions designed to further improve persistence and completion of postsecondary students.

REMAINED COMMITTED TO TEACHING EXCELLENCE AND INNOVATION

In 2013, our faculty published more than 400 books and papers. APUS applied for several patents related to our information technology and automated practices, and we launched a pilot program with Fidelis Education to provide a more social onboarding and student support experience. Our efforts to control the cost of course materials has enabled us to reduce our average text book cost to \$36 per net course registration in 2013, compared to \$42 in the prior year and \$64 in 2011.

STUDENTS CONTINUE TO VALUE OUR UNIVERSITIES, PROGRAMS AND STAFF

Despite a very challenging economic environment, in 2013 we had high levels of student satisfaction, high referral rates and a high percentage of students returning for a second degree. Approximately 96% of APUS graduating seniors surveyed in 2012 rated their experience as positive and 96% of APUS students surveyed agreed or strongly agreed that we met their expectations. Approximately 45% of our new 2012 APUS alumni returned for a second degree and 45% of our new APUS students indicated that they were referred to us by others.

LOOKING AHEAD: FOCUS ON DIVERSIFICATION

We remain as committed as ever to ensuring our service members and veterans have the opportunity and means to pursue their educational goals. At the same time, we know that various civilian communities also seek quality, affordable degree programs. To reach these audiences and to further strengthen our education enterprise we will continue to diversify by launching new degree programs each year, invest in our information technology and efficiency, and enter new markets. We believe this diversification is critical to ensuring we can consistently achieve our academic, operational and financial goals in the future.

POSITIVE STEPS TO ADVANCE OUR LONG-TERM PLAN, ESTABLISH A HEALTHCARE PLATFORM AND EXPAND INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH

To this end, in 2013, we acquired a 100% ownership interest in National Education Seminars, Inc., which we refer to as Hondros College of Nursing. The institution serves more than 1,300 students on four Ohio campuses and online. The U.S. Department of Labor identifies nursing as one of the fastest growing fields during the next decade, and the acquisition positions APEI as an emerging leader in the areas of nursing, healthcare and public health education. In addition, we continued to take steps to execute our expansion strategy, including by hiring an Education and Business Development Consultant located internationally to play a leadership role in executing our international expansion strategy with our partner New Horizons and to pursue international relationships and business opportunities.

IMPACT OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SEQUESTRATION AND SHUTDOWN ON OUR FINANCIAL RESULTS

Even with strong operational activities and important strategic steps, financial results in 2013 were not at the record levels we expected. The failure to meet these expectations was largely due to actions by the federal government that led to the inability of our students to use tuition assistance programs during parts of the year.

In the spring of 2013 there was a significant impact from the federal government sequestration as a result of the armed services temporarily suspending tuition assistance programs for active duty services members in March 2013. The tuition assistance programs were further impacted in October 2013 as a result of the government shutdown. Military students are a particularly critical population for APEI, as these students constitute 34% of our revenue. As a result of the suspension of tuition assistance programs, we had fewer registrations than expected from military students and large numbers of

37%

Percent of our net course registrants who were military students receiving Department of Defense tuition assistance in the fourth quarter of 2013 – reflects our exposure to federal government actions.

military student who were enrolled in classes, but then had to drop their registrations.

Notwithstanding that these events were outside the control of our management and that absent these events we were on track for record revenues in 2013, under the terms of our annual incentive plan, our NEOs did not receive payments under significant portions of the plan, and we made no adjustments to the terms of the plan to reflect these unexpected circumstances.

2013 Compensation Program Highlights

The impact of the federal government sequestration and shutdown and our financial performance in 2013 was reflected in the compensation of our top executives this year. Notably, our annual incentive plan paid out at approximately 37.5% of the total opportunity for our CEO and approximately 43%, on average, for our other NEOs. This represented a decline of between 50% and 60% from the amounts paid to our executives under that plan in 2012.

The table below summarizes the compensation decisions that we made at the start of fiscal year 2013 relative to our NEOs and other changes to our compensation program that became effective during fiscal year 2013:

| Compensation Decisions and Changes to Compensation Program for 2013 | |
|---|---|
| Increased the percentage of pay tied to performance for the CEO and all of the named executive officers or NEOs | <p>Introduced the use of performance shares, which constitute 30% of the value of a NEO's long-term incentive award</p> <p>The compensation committee approved a performance share mix increase to 35% for 2014</p> |
| Defined new performance measures for performance shares | <p>Set new performance targets for long-term equity incentives using free cash flow, which is relevant to the achievement of our long-term strategic goals, including with respect to having available capital to pursue initiatives related to diversification</p> |
| Increased the proportion of incentive pay subject to achievement of earnings targets | <p>Instituted an earnings threshold before payments were made in connection with a greater proportion of the annual incentive plan</p> |
| Eliminated excise tax gross-ups | <p>Our compensation committee approved amending employment agreements to eliminate excise tax gross-ups in connection with a change of control</p> |

We believe we have made important improvements in how we reward executive performance for 2013, and we continue to rigorously compare our programs to industry best practices.

COMPENSATION PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Compensation Program Philosophy and Objectives

Our compensation programs for our NEOs are designed to attract, incent, retain and reward the talent that we need to maintain and strengthen our position in higher education and to achieve our business objectives.

| Elements of our Compensation Program Philosophy | |
|---|---|
| Variable Cash Compensation | We believe in using variable cash compensation to motivate and reward performance at all levels of the organization, and particularly for our NEOs. |
| Focus on Corporate Goals | We strive to provide compensation that is directly related to the achievement of our corporate goals, which we measure through individual management objectives and financial earnings and free cash flow goals. |
| Continuous Quality Improvement | We focus a meaningful portion of the annual incentive plan on operational elements, including on continuous quality improvement. We developed our “Student Satisfaction Quotient”, or SSQ, to encourage employees to work together across organizational boundaries to improve the processes that we believe contribute to our success as an organization. The SSQ is designed to measure the quality of our efforts on behalf of our students by utilizing a variety of metrics applicable to our business. We use the SSQ as a component of our annual incentive plan to reward continued improvement to our performance in various student satisfaction metrics and corporate goals. |

Executive Compensation Best Practices

Below we highlight certain executive compensation practices we employ to align executive compensation with stockholder interests. Also listed below are certain compensation practices we do not employ because we do not believe they would serve our stockholders' long-term interests.

| What We Do | How We Do It |
|--|--|
| We Pay for Performance | We tie a significant portion of our executives' annual pay to objective performance metrics and continue to monitor our pay mix to ensure the performance-based portion is consistent with our peers. |
| We Target Pay Competitively | We seek to target compensation within a competitive range of the median peer group and only deliver greater compensation when warranted by actual performance. |
| We Enforce Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines | Each of our executives is expected to own shares of the Company's common stock with a value ranging from one to six times the executive's base salary, depending on position. |
| We Utilize Meaningful Vesting Conditions for Equity Awards | Equity awards, including performance-based awards, have three-year ratable vesting periods from the date of grant. |
| We Impose a "Clawback Policy" | We can recover any performance-based cash or equity award where, as a result of an accounting restatement, the performance goals were later determined not to have been achieved. In addition, we can recover equity awards made to a grantee in cases where the Company has to prepare an accounting restatement due to the material noncompliance by the Company with financial reporting requirements and the restatement is the result of misconduct that resulted from the grantee knowingly having engaged in that misconduct, the grantee's gross negligence, or the grantee knowingly or through gross negligence having failed to prevent misconduct. |
| We Utilize an Independent Compensation Consulting Firm | The compensation committee utilizes Towers Watson, an independent compensation consulting firm, to assist the committee in determining compensation. |
| What We Don't Do | How We Avoid It |
| We Don't Permit Hedging | We prohibit our directors and employees, including our NEOs, from engaging in short sales, transactions in derivative securities (including put and call options), or other forms of hedging and monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars, equity swaps, exchange funds and forward sale contracts, that allow the holder to limit or eliminate the risk of a decrease in the value of our securities. |
| We Don't Offer Single-Trigger "Change of Control" | We have employment agreements with certain NEOs that in the case of a "change of control" only provide severance payments in connection with a termination of their employment. |

Payments

We Don't
Provide
Tax Gross-Up
Provisions

We do not plan to provide for tax gross-up payments for a change of control in any new or existing employment agreements, and we have eliminated them from all existing agreements.

COMPETITIVE COMPENSATION AND PEER GROUP REVIEW

Our executive compensation policies are designed to assist us in attracting and retaining qualified executives by providing competitive levels of compensation that are consistent with the executives' alternatives within the for-profit education industry and the broader market for executive talent. It is the compensation committee's general intent that each NEO's base salary should be set near the 50th percentile of the survey data received from the compensation committee's independent consultant. The compensation committee believes that the 50th percentile for base salary is appropriate to remain competitive with the companies with which the Company competes for executive talent. Consistent with the approach to base salary, the compensation committee believes that target annual incentives should be structured so that target total cash compensation (base salary plus annual incentives) approximates the 50th percentile of the survey data for achievement of target performance goals under the annual incentive plan. Each NEO has the opportunity to receive a stretch payment for superior performance if stretch performance goals are achieved under the plan. The compensation committee believes that these opportunities for base salary and target annual incentive pay are in line with competitive market levels and are appropriate if our NEOs achieve the targeted level of performance.

For 2013, the compensation committee continued its prior engagement of Towers Watson as an independent consultant to the compensation committee. Towers Watson provided information on competitive levels of compensation that was used by the compensation committee in determining 2013 compensation, including information on base salary, annual incentives, equity awards and total compensation.

50th

Compensation committee's general intent is to set each NEO's base salary near the 50th percentile of the survey data received from the compensation committee's independent consultant.

As part of the analysis of APEI's compensation program, Towers Watson provided data from the following published surveys as a primary source: 2013 Towers Watson CDB General Industry Survey Report; 2013 Towers Watson Data Services Top Management Survey; 2012-2013 College and University Professionals Association for Human Resources Administrators in Higher Education Salary Survey. Because of the variance in size among the companies included in the databases for the published surveys, Towers Watson

informed the compensation committee that, to the extent possible, it had scoped the published survey data to APEI's projected fiscal year 2013 revenues, as revenue responsibility is typically one of the most reliable predictors of executive pay.

In addition to published survey data, Towers Watson also examined publicly-filed proxy statements of select industry-specific peers.

Assessing Competitive Practice

The compensation committee identified, with the guidance and input of Towers Watson, a group of companies against which we compare compensation. These companies were selected because the compensation committee considered them to be similar to and competitive with us in the market for executive talent, and because they are in comparable or related businesses (e.g., focus on secondary education and online access). After completing the annual review, the compensation committee made no changes and the group remained the same in 2013 as it had been in 2012. This group, which we refer to as our peer group, consisted of the following companies:

Bridgepoint Education, Inc.

Capella Education Company

Grand Canyon Education, Inc.

National American University Holdings, Inc.

Lincoln Educational Services Corporation

Strayer Education, Inc.

Universal Technical Institute, Inc.

The review of the peer group only included comparative information for Dr. Boston and Mr. Wilkins, as the peer group information did not identify executives at comparable positions for Ms. Gilbert, Dr. Powell and Dr. van Wyk.

The comparative data provided by Towers Watson was used in connection with our determinations of base salaries, annual incentive compensation and equity incentive awards as part of the 2013 compensation setting process, as described below. For those executives for whom both survey data and peer group data are available, the compensation committee uses the survey data for its primary comparisons because we believe that the survey data is more robust and provides a better comparison for the Company than the peer group data. This is in part because peer group data is more limited and typically cannot be size-adjusted to account for revenue responsibilities. Notwithstanding that the survey data is the primary source of comparative information, we believe the peer group is still important as a secondary review of the competitive market for executive talent.

ELEMENTS OF COMPENSATION

The compensation program for our NEOs is comprised of three elements: base salary; annual incentive cash compensation; and long-term equity incentives.

| Pay Element | How It Links To Performance |
|--|--|
| BASE SALARY | |
| <p>Regular, fixed element of compensation. Reviewed annually. Set near the 50th percentile of the survey data received from the compensation committee's independent consultant.</p> | <p>Intended to be part of a total compensation package that is competitive. Reflects each NEO's individual role and responsibility.</p> |
| ANNUAL INCENTIVE CASH COMPENSATION | |
| <p>Provides cash incentives for achieving and surpassing corporate goals. Offers the opportunity for NEOs to earn:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o quarterly cash payments for achievement of company-wide SSQ goals; o annual payments for achievement of earnings targets; and o annual payments for individual management objectives ("MBO"s). <p>Structured so that target total cash compensation (base salary plus annual incentives) approximates the 50th percentile of the survey data for achievement of target performance goals under the annual incentive plan.</p> | <p>Provides compensation for annual performance. Helps to focus executives on corporate goals and continuous quality improvements, which are expected to lead to increased stockholder value. This focus is enhanced through an additional incentive that pays an additional amount to NEOs for superior performance, which is referred to as the stretch portion of the annual incentive plan.</p> |
| LONG-TERM EQUITY INCENTIVES | |
| <p>Annual grants of equity awards combined of restricted stock and, beginning in 2013, performance-based restricted stock units. All awards vest over three years. Performance-based restricted stock units tied to 2013 achievement of free cash flow. Set to be consistent with the 50th percentile of the survey data presented by the compensation committee's independent consultant.</p> | <p>Provides compensation that is tied to longer-term performance. Intended to align the interests of the NEOs with our stockholders. Time-based vesting aids in the retention of NEOs. Free cash flow performance measures aligns with a metric that is relevant to the achievement of our long-term strategic goals, including with respect to having available capital to pursue initiatives related to diversification.</p> |

2013 COMPENSATION DECISIONS

In setting base salary, annual incentive cash compensation and long-term equity incentives for 2013, we considered the compensation levels for our NEOs in 2012, the respective performances of each of our NEOs in 2012, and what we believed was required based on the marketplace for executive talent, including based on the information provided by Towers Watson.

Base Salary

Base salary is an integral part of compensation for our NEOs and is generally set in January of each year, absent other factors, such as promotions. For 2013, the compensation committee approved increasing Dr. Boston's base salary by approximately 3.7%. This base salary placed Dr. Boston approximately at the 50th percentile of the survey data and approximately 10% below the 50th percentile of the peer group proxy data. The compensation committee determined that it was appropriate to increase Dr. Boston's salary to reflect his continued commitment to the Company, the compensation committee's assessment of the continued success of our business compared to other companies, including the peer group, and the competitive review by Towers Watson.

The base salaries for the remainder of the NEOs increased by approximately 3.2%, 2.3%, 4.2% and 3.2% for Mr. Wilkins, Ms. Gilbert, Dr. Powell and Dr. van Wyk, respectively. All of these increases brought these executive officers to approximately the 50th percentile of the survey data and just below the 50th percentile for Mr. Wilkins for the peer group proxy data.

3.3% Percent of the average increase to base salary for NEOs in 2013

The compensation committee felt that the performance of each of the named executives and the Company had been strong in the prior year and that base salary increases were warranted. Dr. Boston recommended the amounts of the increases for all of the NEOs other than himself, and the committee concurred, determining that the levels Dr. Boston recommended, which were consistent with the 50th percentile of the survey data, were appropriate.

Annual Incentive Cash Compensation

We believe annual incentive pay furthers our compensation philosophy and objectives by focusing our NEOs on corporate goals, encouraging continuous quality improvement and providing straightforward incentives. The opportunity for annual incentive pay for our NEOs is expressed as a percentage of base salary as follows:

| Position | Target Annual Incentive (as % of Base Salary) |
|-----------------|--|
| President & CEO | 60% |
| All Other NEOs | 50% |

These percentages for annual incentive pay targets remained the same in 2013 as they were in each year the Company has been a public company and for Dr. Boston, Mr. Wilkins, Dr. Powell and Dr. van Wyk reflect the minimum percentages set forth in their employment agreements. After considering the survey data information and the individual performance of the executives, the compensation committee believed, in its subjective judgment, that these percentages should remain the same for 2013. Dr. Boston's annual incentive target is set at a higher percentage than those of the other NEOs, which is consistent with overall market practice and is in part a result of the negotiation of his employment agreement in 2004, at which time we agreed to provide him a larger annual incentive to reflect his greater ability as Chief Executive Officer to influence our business success as well as his greater responsibilities as the head of our Company.

Overall, we believe that the proportion of target annual incentive pay to target total cash compensation (base salary plus target annual incentive pay) for our NEOs should be a relatively high percentage. It is the compensation committee's general intent that each NEO's base salary should be set near the 50th percentile of the survey data received from the compensation committee's independent consultant, and that target annual incentives should be structured so that target total cash compensation (base salary plus annual incentives) approximates the 50th percentile of the survey data for achievement of target performance goals under the annual incentive plan. We believe that positioning at the 50th percentile is appropriate for target total cash compensation because the level of performance required from our executives in order for the Company to achieve the targeted awards represents a level of strong performance and corporate growth above what we believe would be expected at other organizations. We believe the high percentage of compensation tied to incentive pay increases the focus of our NEOs on achieving our SSQ and performance goals.

We enhance this focus through a stretch incentive that pays an additional amount to our NEOs for superior performance, which we refer to as the stretch portion of the annual incentive plan. This additional amount, if achieved, provided an opportunity to Dr. Boston of an additional 40% of his base salary (for 100% of base salary in total maximum incentive potential), an opportunity to Mr. Wilkins and Dr. van Wyk of an additional 30% of each of their respective base salaries (for 80% of base salary in total maximum incentive potential) and an opportunity to Ms. Gilbert and Dr. Powell of an additional 20% of each of their respective base salaries (for 70% of base salary in total maximum incentive potential). This stretch incentive would have resulted in maximum total cash compensation payments to our NEOs of amounts in line with the 75th percentile of the survey data for each of our NEOs, which the compensation committee believes is appropriate for exceptional performance.

One half of each NEO's target award under the annual incentive plan relates to achievement and surpassing of our SSQ goals, 25% relates to achievement of individual management by objective goals, or MBOs, and 25% relates to achieving and surpassing our financial performance goal. This structure was the same as the structure used in 2012, except that for 2013 the amounts payable pursuant to achievement of MBOs were made subject to a performance threshold of first achieving the earnings threshold that was established for our financial performance goal, which was an amount equivalent to our record level of earnings per share in 2012.

We believe that a split among goals is important in order to send a message to our employees that they should be focused on operational, personal and earnings goals, and we believe this split encourages a focus on multiple metrics of performance rather than focusing on one particular metric of performance to the exclusion of others that are also important to our results. The stretch portion of the annual incentive plan was equally split between personal MBO goals and our financial performance goal, because we believe those goals most directly impact returns to our stockholders over the long term.

The following charts show the breakout of the annual incentive plan between the target and stretch portions and the split among the different performance goals, with percentages expressed as a percentage of salary. The chart also shows the amount of payout for 2013 of each portion of the annual incentive plan. As shown in the table below (\$0), none of the NEOs received a payout for MBOs in 2013 because, notwithstanding strong performance by the MBOs, the earnings threshold necessary to achieve payments for the MBO was not met. The discussion that follows the charts focuses on the SSQ goals, MBO goals and financial performance goal.

| | Portion of Annual Incentive Plan | Type of Performance Goal | Opportunity in Dollars | Actual Payout 2013 |
|------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Dr. Boston | Target award equivalent to 60% of base salary | 30% based on quarterly SSQ goals | \$168,000 (split among four equal quarterly payments) | \$168,000 |
| | | 15% based on achieving annual MBO goals at target | \$84,000 | \$0 |
| | | 15% based on annual financial performance at target | \$84,000 | \$42,000 |
| | Stretch award equivalent to 40% of salary | 20% based on annual MBO goals at stretch | \$112,000 | \$0 |
| | | 20% based on annual financial performance at stretch | \$112,000 | \$0 |
| | | | | Total Opportunity \$560,000 |

| | Portion of Annual Incentive Plan | Type of Performance Goal | Opportunity in Dollars | Actual Payout 2013 |
|-------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Mr. Wilkins | Target award equivalent to 50% of base salary | 25% based on quarterly SSQ goals | \$81,250 (split among four equal quarterly payments) | \$81,250 |
| | | 12.5% based on achieving annual MBO goals at target | \$40,625 | \$0 |
| | | 12.5% based on annual financial performance at target | \$40,625 | \$20,313 |
| | Stretch award equivalent to 30% of salary | 15% based on annual MBO goals at stretch | \$48,750 | \$0 |
| | | 15% based on annual financial performance at stretch | \$48,750 | \$0 |
| | | | | Total Opportunity \$260,000 |

| | Portion of Annual Incentive Plan | Type of Performance Goal | Opportunity in Dollars | Actual Payout 2013 |
|------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Dr. Powell | Target award equivalent to 50% of base salary | 25% based on quarterly SSQ goals | \$62,500 (split among four equal quarterly payments) | \$62,500 |
| | | 12.5% based on achieving annual MBO goals at target | \$31,250 | \$0 |
| | | 12.5% based on annual financial performance at target | \$31,250 | \$15,625 |
| | Stretch award equivalent to 20% of salary | 10% based on annual MBO goals at stretch | \$25,000 | \$0 |
| | | 10% based on annual financial performance at stretch | \$25,000 | \$0 |
| | | | | Total Opportunity \$175,000 |

| | Portion of Annual Incentive Plan | Type of Performance Goal | Opportunity in Dollars | Actual Payout 2013 |
|-------------|---|---|---|--------------------|
| Ms. Gilbert | Target award equivalent to 50% of base salary | 25% based on quarterly SSQ goals | \$67,750 (split among four equal quarterly payments) | \$67,750 |
| | | 12.5% based on achieving annual MBO goals at target | \$33,875 | \$0 |
| | | 12.5% based on annual financial performance at target | \$33,875 | \$16,938 |
| | Stretch award equivalent to 20% of salary | 10% based on annual MBO goals at stretch | \$27,100 | \$0 |
| | | 10% based on annual financial performance at stretch | \$27,100 | \$0 |
| | | | | Total |

Opportunity
\$189,700

| | Portion of Annual Incentive Plan | Type of Performance Goal | Opportunity in Dollars | Actual Payout 2013 |
|----------------------|---|---|---|--------------------|
| D r . v a n W y k | Target award equivalent to 50% of base salary | 25% based on quarterly SSQ goals | \$81,250 (split among four equal quarterly payments) | \$81,250 |
| | | 12.5% based on achieving annual MBO goals at target | \$40,625 | \$0 |
| | Stretch award equivalent to 30% of salary | 12.5% based on annual financial performance at target | \$40,625 | \$20,313 |
| | | 15% based on annual MBO goals at stretch | \$48,750 | \$0 |
| | | 15% based on annual financial performance at stretch | \$48,750 | \$0 |
| | | Total Opportunity | \$260,000 | Total of \$101,563 |

SSQ Goals. We believe that the focus on continuous quality improvement related to the achievement and surpassing of our SSQ goals encourages our employees to work together across organizational boundaries to improve the processes involved in our operations, with a particular focus on processes that we believe contribute to the satisfaction and success of our students. The half of each participant's annual incentive plan target award related to the SSQ is divided into four equal quarterly amounts that are paid based on quarterly metrics that are measured monthly. Our SSQ uses metrics that are divided into categories, including student satisfaction, which includes metrics based on student surveys, and performance monitoring, which includes metrics related to business processes and transfer credit evaluation processes.

Each month we compare the performance for each metric against the baseline for that metric. The baselines are set annually by the compensation committee, generally as a percentage improvement over past results, such as the actual past performance in a prior month, quarter or year. Results for each metric are then expressed as a percentage of the baseline. The percentages of achievement for each metric in a given month are then averaged. The monthly average is then averaged with the monthly averages for the other months in a given quarter to obtain the quarterly average. If the quarterly average is at least 100%, one-fourth of the amount of the SSQ portion of the annual incentive plan is then paid. If the quarterly average is not at least 100%, then no portion of the annual incentive plan applicable to that quarter's SSQ goals is paid.

Measurement of individual monthly metrics

Determination of monthly average

Determination of quarterly average

Payout for quarterly average greater than or equal to 100%

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | No payout for quarterly average below 100% |
|--|--|--|--|

The SSQ is based on metrics that measure objective operational targets. Because of the way that we calculate the monthly and quarterly averages, each metric is weighted equally. As a result, we believe that no one metric provides an incentive to our executive officers to focus disproportionately on any area of our business. Rather, we believe that the SSQ is structured to provide an incentive to focus more generally on student satisfaction and success, our business operations and continuous quality improvement. Furthermore, we have structured the SSQ to be paid on a quarterly basis based on monthly results because we track the components of the SSQ daily, and we believe frequent measurements and payments heighten the focus of our employees on these metrics and continuous quality improvement. All of our employees and NEOs have the same SSQ goals.

In setting the SSQ goals for 2013, in keeping with our objective of continuous improvement, the compensation committee expected that there would be an improvement from 2012 performance for each of the metrics used in the SSQ, and consistent with past practice, removed metrics from the SSQ for which the compensation committee determined that the Company was already performing well such that there was not significant room for improvement. In 2013, our quarterly SSQ goals were achieved at a rate of at least 100%.

MBO Goals. In 2013, as shown in the charts above, a quarter of the target portion of the annual incentive plan and half of the stretch portion of the annual incentive plan related to personal MBO goals. MBOs are based on company-wide goals consistent with our strategic plan for which executive are directly responsible, or to whose success they contribute, and provide personal accountability in addition to rewards for Company performance. However, many MBO targets are shared between executives to reflect that executives have to work together to achieve results. By focusing on goals consistent with our strategic plan, the MBOs are intended to focus the executives on goals that will deliver long-term stockholder value.

In 2013, the compensation committee instituted a new requirement that the payment of MBOs was subject to achieving an earnings threshold equivalent to our record level of earnings per share achieved in 2012, after taking into account the payment of the MBO payouts. Accordingly, even though we achieved that level of earnings for 2013, we would not have achieved that level of earnings had amounts related to our MBOs been paid, so in 2013 there were no payments made for our MBOs.

For 2013, our compensation committee set MBO targets for Dr. Boston. Dr. Boston in turn set MBOs for the other NEOs using his own MBOs and a group of other MBOs that had been reviewed and approved by the Committee. In turn, our NEOs set MBOs for their direct reports and so on throughout the organization for all management level employees. MBOs for our NEOs are derived from the MBOs that are set for Dr. Boston and

New
for
2013

New for 2013, MBOs are subject to the Company first achieving an earnings threshold before any payment is made for achievement of MBOs.

our annual corporate performance goals derived from our strategic plan, including taking into account the sphere of responsibility for achievement of those goals for the particular NEO. We believe that the MBOs help to keep management from focusing solely on the current year's financial results, which are covered by other parts of the annual incentive plan, because many of the MBOs represent our view of key actions required to capture future market opportunities and help prepare the Company for continued growth and improvement in the future. The compensation committee actively advises Dr. Boston about the MBOs he sets for the other NEOs and approves the final goals for those executives.

In establishing our MBOs for 2013, we set goals that were consistent with our strategic plan, and were set with the opportunity to pay out minimum, target and stretch amounts. Achievement at the minimum level represents strong performance and would result in payout of 50% of the target amount, achievement at the target level represents superior performance and would result in payout of the target amount, and achievement at the stretch level represents a level of excellent performance and would result in payout of the target and stretch amounts. When setting the stretch MBO goals, the compensation committee did not believe that it was likely that an executive would achieve all of his or her MBOs at the stretch level. For 2013, because no amounts would be paid with respect to MBOs, the compensation committee did not make final determinations with respect to the level of achievement of specific MBOs.

The 2013 MBOs for Dr. Boston, consistent with his role as our Chief Executive Officer, are set forth below.

Establish a New Business Unit in APEI to Support Hosting and Support Services (20% weighting): This MBO called for the establishment of a new business unit at American Public Education to pursue additional hosting and support services. Consistent with the discussion in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which was filed in March 2013, this was a growth strategy we were pursuing in 2013. However, as a result of our activities and further evaluation of market opportunities during 2013, our focus on this strategy has declined.

APEI and APUS Organizational Activities (20% weighting): This MBO called for the further development of the organizational and staffing structure of APEI and APUS and approving new employment contracts and policies for our executive officers.

APEI Investment Activities (20% weighting): This MBO called for identifying, pursuing and finalizing strategic investments, and resulted in the 2013 investment in Fidelis Education and the acquisition of National Education Seminars, Inc., which we refer to as Hondros College of Nursing.

Grow International Business (20% weighting): This MBO called for an enhanced focus on international opportunities, including the hiring of an individual to coordinate specific international business objectives.

Corporate Relations (10% weighting): This MBO called for pursuing stronger relationships with corporations for the provision of various educational services.

Strengthen Academic Quality and Organizational Effectiveness (10% weighting): This MBO called for various activities to strengthen and support APUS's academic activities and APUS and APEI's organizational matters, including hiring of new officers and employees related to information technology, completion of the program to map programs to Degree Qualification Profiles, further activities with respect to mobile access to the APUS learning management system, and completion and implementation of a specified academic report.

The 2013 MBOs for Mr. Wilkins included the "APEI Investment Activities" MBO (20% weighting) and the "Grow International Business" MBO (20% weighting) described above. Consistent with his role as our Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Wilkins also had the following three MBOs:

Bring Financial Processing In-House (20% weighting): This MBO called for bringing financial aid processing in-house through the implementation of software and services provided by a third-party vendor.

Reorganize APEI Organization (20% weighting): This MBO called for establishing a separate finance function at the APEI level, including with respect to the ability to address finance functions related to investments and acquired entities.

Improve Student Satisfaction with Federal Student Aid Programs (20% weighting): This MBO called for improving and better assessing student satisfaction with APUS's administration of participation in federal student aid programs.

The 2013 MBOs for Dr. van Wyk included the "Establish a New Business Unit in APEI to Support Hosting and Support Services" MBO (25% weighting) and "APEI Investment Activities" MBO (25% weighting) described above. Consistent with her role as our Chief Operations Officer and her responsibility for IT-related matters, Dr. van Wyk also had the following MBO:

Strengthen Organizational Effectiveness (50% weighting): This MBO called for various activities to strengthen and support organizational matters, including bringing financial aid processing in-house as set forth in the "Bring Financial Processing In-House" MBO described above and a number of the matters set forth in the "Strengthen Academic and Organizational Effectiveness" MBO described above, including hiring of new officers and employees related to information technology and further activities with respect to mobile access to the APUS learning management system, as well as other IT-related development matters.

The 2013 MBOs for Ms. Gilbert, consistent with her role as our Executive Vice President, Programs and Marketing, and her responsibility for marketing, included the following five MBOs:

Web Strategy (20% weighting): This MBO called for creating web-based and mobile applications, including activities with respect to mobile access to the APUS learning management system as set forth in the “Strengthen Academic Quality and Organizational Effectiveness” MBO described above.

New Markets (20% weighting): This MBO called for pursuing opportunities to diversify into new markets, including with respect to pursuing market opportunities for students not likely to use federal student aid programs, the launch of new academic programs and courses and the expansion of promotion to nursing professionals and spouses of military professionals.

Attract Students Who Have the Ability to Graduate from College (20% weighting): This MBO called for identifying and targeting consumer audiences with a propensity to successfully complete courses.

APUS Organizational Activities (20% weighting): This MBO called for improving various organizational activities, including improvements in the student matriculation progress and the team responsible for corporate relationships.

New Lines of Business (20% weighting): This MBO called for pursuing new business opportunities, including the types of activities set forth in the “Corporate Relations” MBO for Dr. Boston described above and the types of activities related to additional hosting and support services set forth in the “Establish a New Business Unit in APEI to Support Hosting and Support Services” MBO for Dr. Boston described above.

The 2013 MBOs for Dr. Powell included a subset of the “APEI and APUS Organizational Activities” MBO (10% weighting) described above as it relates to the organizational and staffing structure of APUS and the “Corporate Relations” MBO (15% weighting) described above. Consistent with her role as our Provost and her focus on academics, Dr. Powell also had the following five MBOs:

Academic Preparedness (20% weighting): This MBO called for implementing a process of evaluating prospective students to assess whether they are academically prepared to be successful.

Grow International Student Capacity by Preparing Academics for International Student Success (15% weighting): This MBO called for proposing and implementing changes intended to facilitate the success of international students.

Improve Faculty Interaction (10% weighting): This MBO called for taking actions to improve interactions between faculty and students.

Strengthen Academic Quality and Retention of First Years (10% weighting): This MBO called for completing a Foundations of Excellence Report related to participation in the John N. Gardner Institute for Excellence in Undergraduate Education and beginning the implementation of changes identified in the report.

Strengthen Academic Quality (20% weighting): This MBO called for mapping programs to the Degree Qualification Profile and supporting various mobile device initiatives related to the online classroom.

Financial Performance. In 2013, the compensation committee determined that the quarter of the annual incentive plan target award that relates to financial performance would be based on achieving and surpassing a specified amount of budgeted earnings per share after taking into account any payment under the annual incentive plan.

| Financial Performance Metric | Performance Goals | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|
| | Threshold | Target | Stretch (Maximum) |
| Earning per Diluted Share | \$2.35 | \$2.50 | \$2.60 |

The compensation committee specified target earnings per share of \$2.50, which reflected the earnings per share in the Company's budget when approved by the Board of Directors. For 2013, the compensation committee also specified that 50% of the target amount would be paid if an earnings per share threshold of \$2.35 was attained, which was equivalent to the prior year's record level of achievement. The compensation committee determined that it was appropriate to provide an incentive at a threshold level because it would provide an annual incentive that reflected the positive performance of the Company and the contributions of the Company's employees and NEOs.

The compensation committee provided that the stretch portion of the annual incentive plan related to financial performance would be payable on earnings per share of \$2.60, after taking into account the payment of all annual incentive amounts. This level of achievement was viewed as representing exceptional performance for which management should be rewarded.

Equity Incentives

We believe that NEOs should have a significant portion of their total compensation in the form of equity awards in order to align the interests of the NEOs and our stockholders. In 2013, the award was split 70% as time-based restricted stock and 30% as performance-based restricted stock units. This was a change from 2012, when the entire equity award had been in time-based restricted stock. The committee also took into account Towers Watson's observation that many companies were focusing more on performance-based awards, which was consistent with the committee's desire to incentivize executives for Company financial performance.

For 2013, the committee decided to allocate 30% of the equity awards as performance-based restricted stock units because it was the first year using that form of award. Consistent with APEI's long-term plan of tying pay to performance, for 2014 the committee increased to 35% the percentage of long-term equity incentives that are performance-based and again used a free cash flow performance measure.

The committee selected free cash flow for 2013 as the performance measure for the performance-based restricted stock units awards after consultation with Towers Watson, a review of measures that are used by others in the Company's industry, an evaluation of metrics that are important to investors and a desire not to use an earnings measure similar to what was used for the financial portion of the annual incentive plan. Free cash flow, which is a non-GAAP measure, was defined as income from operations before income taxes less interest income (interest) less depreciation and amortization less capital expenditures less capitalized program development costs and other assets. The awards were structured as follows:

| | Threshold | Target | Stretch (Maximum) |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Free Cash Flow Goal | \$53.745 million | \$59.717 million | \$65.688 million |

| | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Percentage of Award Earned | 50% (of target) | 100% (of target) | 200% (of target) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|

For a level of free cash flow between these dollar amounts, the percentage of the award that was earned would be interpolated accordingly. For 2013, free cash flow was \$60.860 million, which resulted in 119.13% of the target awards being earned. The portion of the award earned was then further subject to time-based vesting, in order to continue to provide a retention element and to have executives focused on the long-term performance of the Company.

Consistent with historical practice, the restricted stock awards and the performance-based restricted stock units vest in one-third equal annual installments on the first three anniversaries of the grant date, subject to achievement of performance metrics for the performance-based restricted stock units.

In determining the appropriate level of 2013 equity incentive grants for our NEOs, in late 2012 the compensation committee reviewed comparative survey information provided by Towers Watson. In calculating the equity incentive grants to our NEOs, the compensation committee considered the survey data and determined that awards that were consistent with the 50th percentile of the survey data would be appropriate to recognize performance and remain competitive with comparable companies. The award sizes were discussed at the same time the compensation committee met to set the other elements of compensation so that all elements of compensation were set taking into account the total compensation package.

OTHER COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Employment Agreements and Post-Termination Compensation

We have entered into employment agreements with each of Dr. Boston, Mr. Wilkins, Dr. Powell and Dr. van Wyk. These agreements provide the executive with severance payments upon certain terminations, including terminations without cause, terminations by the executive for good reason in the event of a change of control, or if the executive's employment agreement is not assumed by a successor entity in a change of control. Dr. Boston's and Mr. Wilkins' agreements provide for certain payments in connection with a termination of their employment within six months of a change in control of the Company. We believe that these agreements were necessary to attract some of our NEOs and help in our retention of our NEOs due to the prevalence of similar provisions in the market in which we compete for executives.

In 2007, prior to the time that we were a public company, we entered into an amendment and restatement of our employment agreements with Dr. Boston and Mr. Wilkins to provide for additional severance payments for terminations without cause or by the executives for good reason in connection with a change of control and to provide that, if severance payments payable by us become subject to the excise tax on "excess parachute payments," we will reimburse them for the amount of such excise tax (and the income and excise taxes on such reimbursement). We agreed to provide Dr. Boston and Mr. Wilkins with these changes in anticipation of our initial public offering to reflect what at the time we concluded were prevalent practices in the marketplace in which we compete for executives, and because as a public company we wanted these officers to be able to focus on our operations and not be distracted by their personal situations in the event a change of control transaction arose and, in the case of Dr. Boston, to reflect his long-term commitment to us and our long-term commitment to him as our Chief Executive Officer. For Mr. Wilkins, we determined that in light of his shorter tenure with us, the additional severance benefits in connection with a change of control would not be effective until after February 28, 2010. We further amended the employment agreements for Dr. Boston and Mr. Wilkins in December 2008 to provide for technical compliance with certain Department of the Treasury regulations. We entered into the employment agreement with Dr. van Wyk in August 2009 at the time she joined the Company. We entered into the employment agreement with Dr. Powell in 2011 in connection with her appointment as our Provost. For additional information regarding these agreements, including a quantification of benefits that would be received by these officers had termination occurred on December 31, 2012, see the section titled "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" below.

In 2013, the compensation committee authorized negotiating amendments to the employment agreements, including eliminating any reimbursements for excise taxes from the employment agreements for Dr. Boston and Mr. Wilkins. The amendments to the employment agreements were entered into in April 2014.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

In 2013, we adopted a new non-qualified deferred compensation plan that our executive officers are permitted to participate in. In making the determination to adopt the plan, we took into account that the Internal Revenue Code limits the amount of matching contributions that we can contribute to our traditional 401(k) plan for the benefit of our executives. The new deferred compensation plan provides that we will make an annual matching contribution to plan participants in an amount equal to the difference between the matching contribution that the participant would have received under our 401(k) plan if those limitations under the Internal Revenue Code did not apply and the matching contribution that the employee actually received under our 401(k) plan. The balances in the plan are only available for investment in investment options that are also available under our 401(k) plan. We believe that it is fair to provide this plan to our executives because absent the limitations under the Internal Revenue Code, they would have otherwise received these amounts. The plan also permits us to, but does not require us to, make additional, discretionary

contributions.

39

Effect of Accounting and Tax Treatment on Compensation Decisions

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, generally imposes a \$1 million limit on the amount that a public company may deduct for compensation paid to a company's CEO or any of the company's three other most highly compensated executive officers (other than the CFO) who are employed as of the end of the year. This limitation does not apply to compensation that meets the requirements under Section 162(m) for "qualifying performance-based" compensation (i.e., compensation paid only if the individual's performance meets pre-established objective goals based on performance criteria approved by stockholders) that is established by a compensation committee that consists only of "outside directors" as defined for purposes of Section 162(m). All members of our compensation committee qualify as "outside directors", and we consider the potential long-term impact of Section 162(m) when establishing compensation. We currently expect to continue to consider the qualification of our annual incentive plan compensation as performance-based compensation within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code, but that is only one factor among many considered by the committee.

Role of Executives in Executive Compensation Decisions

Historically, each element of compensation has been recommended to the compensation committee by our Chief Executive Officer for compensation of executive officers other than himself, and the compensation committee determines the target level of compensation for each executive officer. Our Chief Executive Officer sets the MBO targets for our other executive officers based on his MBO targets and our annual corporate performance goals, after taking into account the sphere of responsibility for achievement of those goals for the particular NEO. The Chief Executive Officer reports the MBOs of the other NEOs and other key executives to the compensation committee for its comment and approval.

The amount of each element of compensation for our Chief Executive Officer is determined by the compensation committee. Our Chief Executive Officer does not participate in deliberations relating to his own compensation. None of our other executive officers participates in any deliberations related to the setting of executive compensation with the exception of Peter Gibbons, our Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, who provides administrative support to the committee and facilitates the requests for information received from the independent consultant.

Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis with the Company's management. Based on its review and discussions with the Company's management, the compensation committee recommended that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Proxy Statement and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (including by incorporation to the Proxy Statement).

Compensation Committee (April 23, 2014)
 MG (Ret) Barbara G. Fast, Chairperson
 Eric C. Andersen
 J. Christopher Everett

Compensation Tables and Disclosures

Summary Compensation Table

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary | Stock Awards (1) | Option Awards (1) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (2) | All Other Compensation (3) | Total |
|--|------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------|
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer | 2013 | \$560,000 | \$1,210,529 | — | \$210,000 | \$23,617 | \$2,004,146 |
| | 2012 | \$539,231 | \$1,258,690 | — | \$496,300 | \$26,175 | \$2,320,395 |
| | 2011 | \$500,000 | \$497,140 | \$766,557 | \$460,000 | \$23,991 | \$2,247,688 |
| Harry T. Wilkins Executive Vice President, Chief Development Officer (4) | 2013 | \$325,000 | \$264,800 | — | \$101,563 | \$16,980 | \$708,342 |
| | 2012 | \$314,533 | \$255,853 | — | \$252,000 | \$20,915 | \$843,301 |
| | 2011 | \$290,700 | \$108,808 | \$171,815 | \$215,120 | \$22,692 | \$809,135 |
| Sharon van Wyk Executive Vice President, Chief Operations Officer | 2013 | \$325,000 | \$264,800 | — | \$101,563 | \$22,052 | \$713,415 |
| | 2012 | \$314,631 | \$255,853 | — | \$224,438 | \$22,798 | \$817,719 |
| | 2011 | \$295,800 | \$108,808 | \$171,815 | \$218,892 | \$16,226 | \$811,540 |
| Carol S. Gilbert Executive Vice President, Marketing and Programs | 2013 | \$271,000 | \$156,798 | — | \$84,688 | \$17,915 | \$530,401 |
| | 2012 | \$264,454 | \$136,480 | — | \$170,925 | \$19,993 | \$591,852 |
| | 2011 | \$236,600 | \$56,280 | \$89,211 | \$156,156 | \$12,955 | \$551,203 |
| Karan Powell Executive Vice President, Provost | 2013 | \$250,000 | \$156,798 | — | \$78,125 | \$16,765 | \$501,688 |
| | 2012 | \$236,488 | \$136,480 | — | \$158,400 | \$15,018 | \$546,386 |

(1) Amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units, excluding estimates of forfeiture. A discussion of the relevant

assumptions used in calculating these equity awards can be found in Notes 1 and 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. For this purpose, performance-based restricted stock units are valued assuming achievement at target, which was the probable outcome determined for accounting purposes at the time of grant. The target and maximum grant date values of performance share awards for 2013 are, as follows:

| Name | Grant Date Value at Target Performance | Grant Date Value at Maximum Performance |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. | \$363,147 | \$726,295 |
| Harry T. Wilkins | \$81,076 | \$162,153 |
| Sharon van Wyk | \$81,076 | \$162,153 |
| Carol S. Gilbert | \$48,646 | \$97,291 |
| Karan Powell | \$48,646 | \$97,291 |

- (2) Amounts represent annual incentive payments paid pursuant to our annual incentive compensation plan based upon the achievement of certain performance goals established by our compensation committee for 2013.
- (3) Amounts include, but are not limited to, 401(k) contribution matches made by us and non-qualified deferred compensation plan continuation matches made by us in respect of 2013, as follows:

| Name | 401(k) Match | Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan Matching Contribution |
|------------------------|--------------|--|
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. | \$10,200 | \$13,076 |
| Harry T. Wilkins | \$9,825 | \$7,155 |
| Sharon van Wyk | \$10,200 | \$11,852 |
| Carol S. Gilbert | \$10,200 | \$7,522 |
| Karan Powell | \$10,200 | \$6,225 |

- (4) Mr. Wilkins served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer through December 31, 2013. On January 1, 2014, he became Executive Vice President, Chief Development Officer and Chief Executive Officer of National Education Seminars, Inc., which we refer to as Hondros College of Nursing.

2013 Grants of Plan Based Awards The following table sets forth information with respect to grants of plan-based awards to our NEOs during 2013:

| Name | Award Type | Grant Date | Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1) | | | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2) | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Stock or Units(3) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (4) |
|------------------------|--|------------|--|-----------|-----------|--|--------|---------|---|---|
| | | | Threshold | Target | Maximum | Threshold | Target | Maximum | | |
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. | Annual Incentive Restricted Stock Performance RSUs | 1/21/2013 | \$84,000 | \$336,000 | \$560,000 | | | | | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | | | 22,471 | \$847,381 | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | 4,815 | 9,630 | 19,260 | \$363,147 | |
| Harry T. Wilkins | Annual Incentive Restricted Stock Performance RSUs | 1/21/2013 | \$40,625 | \$162,500 | \$260,000 | | | | | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | | | 4,872 | \$183,723 | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | 1,075 | 2,150 | 4,300 | \$81,076 | |
| Sharon van Wyk | Annual Incentive Restricted Stock Performance RSUs | 1/21/2013 | \$40,625 | \$162,500 | \$260,000 | | | | | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | | | 4,872 | \$183,723 | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | 1,075 | 2,150 | 4,300 | \$81,076 | |
| Carol S. Gilbert | Annual Incentive Restricted Stock Performance RSUs | 1/21/2013 | \$33,875 | \$135,500 | \$216,800 | | | | | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | | | 2,868 | \$108,152 | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | 645 | 1,290 | 2,580 | \$48,646 | |
| Karan Powell | Annual Incentive Restricted Stock Performance RSUs | 1/21/2013 | \$31,250 | \$125,000 | \$200,000 | | | | | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | | | 2,868 | \$108,152 | |
| | | 1/21/2013 | | | | 645 | 1,290 | 2,580 | \$48,646 | |

(1)

These columns show the range of cash payouts for 2013 performance pursuant to our annual incentive compensation plan. For a discussion of the performance goals established by the compensation committee for these awards, see the section titled “2013 Compensation Decisions – Annual Incentive Cash Compensation” in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The threshold amounts in this table represent the amounts that would have been paid if the threshold levels under each of the MBO portion and financial performance portion of the annual incentive cash compensation plan were achieved, and nothing was paid out under the SSQ portion of the annual incentive plan.

- (2) These columns show the range of restricted stock units that could be earned based on 2013 performance pursuant to the performance-based restricted stock units granted in 2013. Restricted stock units earned vest over a three-year period. For a discussion of the performance goals established by the compensation committee for these awards, see the section titled “2013 Compensation Decisions – Annual Incentive Cash Compensation” in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.
- (3) This column shows the number of shares of restricted stock granted, which vest ratably over three years.
- (4) Amounts reflect the grant date fair value, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

Employment Agreements

For Dr. Boston, Mr. Wilkins, Dr. van Wyk and Dr. Powell, the amounts disclosed in the tables above are in part a result of the terms of their employment agreements. We do not have an employment agreement with Ms. Gilbert.

Dr. Boston's Employment Agreement. In June 2004, we entered into an employment agreement with Dr. Boston to serve as our president and Chief Executive Officer with an initial term of three years starting from June 21, 2004 and ending June 21, 2007, which was subsequently amended to provide for renewal until February 28, 2010 and annually thereafter unless we give written notice of our intent not to renew at least 30 days prior to the renewal date. In December 2008, his employment agreement was amended to provide for technical compliance with certain treasury regulations. Pursuant to his agreement, Dr. Boston's initial base salary was set at \$225,000 per year, subject to annual review and adjustment by our compensation committee. Under the agreement, Dr. Boston's base salary may be reduced at any time as part of a general salary reduction to all employees with annual salaries in excess of \$100,000, provided, however, that any reduction shall be no more than the lesser of the median percentage salary reduction applied to such employees or 20%. Dr. Boston's employment agreement provides that he is entitled to participate in our annual incentive plan with a target award of up to 60% of his then base salary as determined by our compensation committee and based upon the performance goals set by that committee for the year.

In addition to a base salary and annual bonus, Dr. Boston is entitled to receive such other benefits approved by our compensation committee and made available to our other senior executives and to participate in plans and receive bonuses, incentive compensation and fringe benefits as we may grant or establish from time to time. Furthermore, under Dr. Boston's employment agreement, we are required to pay or reimburse him for customary and reasonable moving expenses he incurs in connection with any subsequent relocation of our executive offices, including a gross-up amount in the event that the relocation expense amount is considered taxable income to him. In his employment agreement, Dr. Boston has agreed not to compete with us nor solicit our employees for alternative employment during the term of his agreement and for a period of one year after termination for any reason.

Dr. Boston's base salary for 2013 and annual incentive compensation plan award for 2013 are set forth in the tables above.

Mr. Wilkins's Employment Agreement. Upon his hiring in February 2007, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Wilkins to serve as our executive vice president and chief financial officer, which agreement was amended and restated on October 10, 2007. In December 2008, his employment agreement was amended to provide for technical compliance with certain treasury regulations. The agreement has an initial term of approximately three years commencing from January 29, 2007 and ending February 28, 2010 and will automatically renew for additional one year terms unless we give written notice of our intent not to renew at least 30 days prior to the renewal date. Pursuant to his agreement, Mr. Wilkins's initial base salary is set at \$225,000 per year, subject to annual review and adjustment by our compensation committee. Under the agreement, Mr. Wilkins's base salary may be reduced at any time as part of a general salary reduction to all employees with annual salaries in excess of \$100,000, provided, however, that any reduction shall be no more than the lesser of the median percentage salary reduction applied to such employees or 20%. Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Wilkins is entitled to participate in our annual incentive plan with a target award of up to 50% of his then base salary as determined by our compensation committee and based upon the performance goals set by that committee for the year.

In addition to a base salary and annual bonus, Mr. Wilkins is entitled to receive such other benefits approved by our compensation committee and made available to our other senior executives and to participate in plans and receive bonuses, incentive compensation and fringe benefits as we may grant or establish from time to time. Furthermore, under Mr. Wilkins's employment agreement, we are required to pay or reimburse him for customary and reasonable moving expenses he incurs in connection with any subsequent relocation of our executive offices, including a gross-up amount in the event that the relocation expense amount is considered taxable income to him. In his employment agreement, Mr. Wilkins has agreed not to compete with us nor solicit our employees for alternative employment during the term of his agreement and for a period of one year after termination for any reason.

Mr. Wilkins's base salary for 2013 and annual incentive compensation plan award for 2013 are set forth in the tables above.

Dr. van Wyk's and Dr. Powell's Employment Agreements. We have an employment agreement with each of Dr. van Wyk and Dr. Powell that has similar provisions to the provisions of Dr. Boston's agreement discussed above, except with respect to their positions, term renewal provisions and amounts relating to their base salary and annual bonus. We entered into the employment agreement with Dr. van Wyk to serve as Executive Vice President and Chief Operations Officer beginning August 3, 2009. We entered into the employment agreement with Dr. Powell to serve as Executive Vice President and Provost on November 4, 2011 in connection with her promotion to that position. Under her employment agreement, Dr. van Wyk has an initial term of employment of three years from the date employment commenced. Pursuant to her agreement, Dr. van Wyk's initial annual salary was \$275,000 and she was eligible for an annual bonus of 50% of her base salary then in effect, and up to an additional 20% of her base salary as then in effect based upon the achievement of certain performance goals as determined by the compensation committee. Under her employment agreement, Dr. Powell had an initial term of employment that ran until February 28, 2013. Pursuant to her agreement, Dr. Powell's initial annual salary was \$220,000 and she was eligible for an annual bonus of 50% of her base salary then in effect, and up to an additional 20% of her base salary as then in effect based upon the achievement of certain performance goals as determined by the compensation committee. The employment agreements for Dr. van Wyk and Dr. Powell provide that after the initial term they renew annually thereafter unless we give written notice of our intent not to renew at least 30 days prior to the renewal date.

Dr. van Wyk's and Dr. Powell's base salaries for 2013 and annual incentive compensation plan awards for 2013 are set forth in the tables above.

In addition, each of the above employment agreements provides for payments upon certain terminations of the executive's employment. For a description of these termination provisions, whether or not following a change in control, and a quantification of benefits that would be received by these executives see the section titled "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" below.

In April 2014, we entered into amended and restated employment agreements with Dr. Boston and Mr. Wilkins.

2013 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information with respect to the outstanding equity awards at December 31, 2013 for our NEOs:

| Name | Option Awards (1) | | | | Stock Awards | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3) |
|------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Options Exercise Price(\$) | Options Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested(2) | |
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. | 56,000 | — | 34.80 | 1/4/2017 | 58,667 | \$2,550,254 |
| | 38,668 | 19,332 | 37.52 | 1/3/2018 | | |
| Harry T. Wilkins | 7,272 | — | 3.96 | 2/8/2017 | 12,547 | \$545,418 |
| | 8,668 | 4,332 | 37.52 | 1/3/2018 | | |
| Sharon van Wyk | 12,500 | — | 35.44 | 8/3/2016 | 12,547 | \$545,418 |
| | 15,000 | — | 34.80 | 1/4/2017 | | |
| | 8,668 | 4,332 | 37.52 | 1/3/2018 | | |
| Carol S. Gilbert | 5,988 | — | 37.19 | 1/1/2016 | 7,107 | \$308,941 |
| | 7,800 | — | 34.80 | 1/4/2017 | | |
| | 4,500 | 2,250 | 37.52 | 1/3/2018 | | |
| Karan Powell | 4,625 | — | 37.19 | 1/1/2016 | 6,989 | \$303,812 |
| | 9,118 | — | 3.29 | 7/13/2016 | | |
| | 5,500 | — | 34.80 | 1/4/2017 | | |
| | 3,334 | 1,666 | 37.52 | 1/3/2018 | | |

(1) The unexercisable options awards vested in full on January 3, 2014.

(2) Includes the number of shares of restricted stock units that were earned pursuant to the achievement of the 2013 performance-based grant of restricted stock units at 119.0% of target. Of the numbers of shares of stock shown, for the officers indicated, the following numbers of shares have vested or will vest on the dates indicated:

Wallace E. Boston Jr.: 4,416 shares on 1/3/2014; 20,308 vesting 1/2 on each of 1/27/2014 and 1/27/2015; and 33,943 vesting 1/3 on each of 1/21/2014, 1/21/2015 and 1/21/2016.

Harry T. Wilkins: 966 shares on 1/3/2014; 4,148 vesting 1/2 on each of 1/27/2014 and 1/27/2015; and 7,443 vesting 1/3 on each of 1/21/2014, 1/21/2015 and 1/21/2016.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION INC - Form DEF 14A

Sharon van Wyk: 966 shares on 1/3/2014; 4,148 vesting 1/2 on each of 1/27/2014 and 1/27/2015; and 7,443 vesting 1/3 on each of 1/21/2014, 1/21/2015 and 1/21/2016.

Carol Gilbert: 500 shares on 1/3/2014; 2,202 vesting 1/2 on each of 1/27/2014 and 1/27/2015; and 4,405 vesting 1/3 on each of 1/21/2014, 1/21/2015 and 1/21/2016.

Karan Powell: 382 shares on 1/3/2014; 2,202 vesting 1/2 on each of 1/27/2014 and 1/27/2015; and 4,405 vesting 1/3 on each of 1/21/2014, 1/21/2015 and 1/21/2016.

- (3) The market value of the shares of common stock that have not vested is based on the closing price on December 31, 2013 of our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Market (\$43.47).

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth information with respect to option exercises by our NEOs during 2013 and shares of restricted stock held by our NEOs that vested during 2013:

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1) | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) | Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(2) |
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. | — | — | 18,071 | 685,615 |
| Harry T. Wilkins | 100,000 | 2,439,883 | 4,031 | 152,885 |
| Sharon van Wyk | — | — | 4,031 | 152,885 |
| Carol S. Gilbert | — | — | 2,067 | 78,407 |
| Karan Powell | — | — | 1,817 | 68,983 |

- (1) The value realized on exercise is based on the difference between the exercise price of the option and the closing price of our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Market on the day of exercise, multiplied by the number of shares acquired.
- (2) The value realized on vesting is based on the closing price of our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Market on the day of vesting, multiplied by the number of shares acquired.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth information with respect to each defined contribution or other plan that provides for the deferral of compensation on a basis that is not tax-qualified during 2013:

| Name | Executive Contributions in Last FY | Registrant Contributions in Last FY (1) | Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (2) | Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions | Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (3) |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. | — | \$13,076 | \$10,007 | — | \$84,072 |
| Harry T. Wilkins | — | \$7,155 | \$9,025 | — | \$42,306 |
| Sharon van Wyk | — | \$11,852 | \$4,440 | — | \$30,837 |
| Carol S. Gilbert | — | \$7,522 | \$4,876 | — | \$33,869 |
| Karan Powell | — | \$6,225 | \$1,049 | — | \$8,814 |

- (1) Includes amounts contributed by the Company in 2014 with respect to 2013 as matching contributions. All amounts are reported in the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) Amounts reflected in this column include changes in plan values during 2013, as well as any dividends and interest earned by the plan participant with regard to the investment funds chosen by such participant during the fiscal year.
- (3) All amounts have been reported in the Summary Compensation Table above or in previous years.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The section below describes the payments that may be made to our NEOs in connection with a change in control or pursuant to certain termination events. Other than in the event of a corporate transaction, as that term is defined under our equity incentive plans and as described in further detail below, in the absence of an employment agreement or other arrangement, our NEOs are employed at-will and are not entitled to any payments upon termination or a change in control other than their accrued but unpaid salary or benefits.

The employment agreements for Dr. Boston, Mr. Wilkins, Dr. van Wyk and Dr. Powell, described above, have certain provisions that provide for payments to them in the event of the termination of their respective employment.

Termination for cause, without good reason or by reason of death. In the event that any of Dr. Boston's, Mr. Wilkins', Dr. van Wyk's or Dr. Powell's employment is terminated by us for "cause", by the executive without "good reason", or by reason of death (each of "cause" and "good reason" as defined below), we will pay to each of them or their estate, as the case may be, their full base salary and benefits that are otherwise payable to them through the termination date of their employment. However, in the event that their employment is terminated by them without "good reason" or by reason of their death, we will also pay to them or their estate any earned, but unpaid, amounts they are entitled to under any of our incentive compensation plans or programs, including the annual bonus under their employment agreements (as adjusted for the period of the year during which they served).

Termination by reason of disability. If any of Dr. Boston's, Mr. Wilkins' Dr. van Wyk's or Dr. Powell's employment is terminated by reason of disability, we are required to pay to them or their estates, as the case may be, the full base salary or other benefits payable to them, including any earned, but unpaid, amounts they are entitled to under any of our incentive compensation plans or programs, including the annual bonus under their employment agreements (to the extent that performance would merit it being paid). However, payments made to them during the time they are disabled shall be reduced by the sum of the amounts, if any, payable to them at or prior to the time of any payment under our disability benefit plans and which amounts were not previously applied to reduce any payment.

Termination without cause or for good reason. In the event that we terminate any of Dr. Boston's, Mr. Wilkins', Dr. van Wyk's or Dr. Powell's employment without "cause" or they terminate their employment for "good reason," we are required to pay, or provide, to them:

in a lump sum, the sum of (a) their full base salary through the date of their termination, (b) a pro-rata amount of their annual bonus for the current fiscal year, provided that the necessary performance requirements were satisfied, adjusted for the shorter period, through their termination date, and (c) any compensation previously deferred by them and any accrued vacation pay;

for a period of 12 months following their termination date, an amount equal to the sum of their base salary and their annual bonus, to the extent that their and our performance was satisfying the relevant performance targets, adjusted for the short period, after their termination date through the end of the calendar year and, as to the remainder of the 12 month period following the termination date, only if our net income has increased from the same period in the prior year and the performance targets established for the NEO's successor are being satisfied in that period;

for a period of 12 months following their termination date or any longer period provided for under the terms of any benefit, a continuation of benefits to them or their family at a level and in an amount that is at least equal to that which would have been provided by us to them had they continued their employment, provided, however, that if they become reemployed and are eligible to receive any of the benefits that had been provided by us, then the benefits we provide shall be secondary; and

to the extent not otherwise paid or provided, for a period of 12 months following their termination date, any other amounts or benefits required to be paid or provided or which they are eligible to receive under any of our other existing benefit schemes.

Dr. van Wyk's and Dr. Powell's agreements provide that the portion of the severance payments owed in the event of a termination without "cause" or for "good reason," attributable to annual bonus payments will be paid in a lump sum at the same time annual bonuses for the year of termination are paid, but in no event later than March 15th of the year after the year of termination.

In addition, for Dr. Boston, to the extent that less than 33 1/3% of all stock options granted to him are outstanding and unexercisable on their termination date, such additional options, if any, shall immediately accelerate and vest and become exercisable. Pursuant to an amendment and restatement of his employment agreement in August 2007, if we terminate Dr. Boston's employment without "cause" or he terminates his employment for "good reason" in connection with a change of control, we will calculate the amounts referred to in the last three bullet points above for a 24-month period instead of a 12-month period, will pay the amount in respect of the annual bonus for that period based on his and our prior performance and not with respect to future performance and will pay the amount in respect of his base salary and annual bonus in a lump sum instead of in accordance with our regular payroll practices. The amendment and restatement of Dr. Boston's employment agreement also provides that if severance payments payable by us become subject to the excise tax on "excess parachute payments" imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code or additional tax under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, we will reimburse him for the amount of such excise tax (and the income and excise taxes on such reimbursement). We entered into a similar amendment and restatement of Mr. Wilkins' agreement in August 2007.

Acceleration of options upon change of control. Under Dr. Boston's and Mr. Wilkins' employment agreements, immediately prior to a change of control (as defined below), all stock options granted to them on or prior to the date of their respective employment agreements that are outstanding immediately prior to a change of control shall vest and become fully exercisable.

Our equity incentive plans provide that, upon a corporate transaction, all outstanding shares of restricted stock and all stock units shall vest in full. All outstanding stock options and stock appreciation rights shall either (i) become immediately exercisable for a period of fifteen days prior to the scheduled consummation of the corporate transaction or (ii) our Board may elect, in its sole discretion, to cancel any outstanding awards of stock options, restricted stock, stock units and/or stock appreciation units and pay to the holder, in the case of restricted stock or stock units, an amount equal to the per share corporate transaction consideration or, in the case of stock options or stock appreciation rights, an amount equal to the number of shares of stock subject to the stock option or stock appreciation right multiplied by the difference of the per share corporate transaction consideration and the exercise price of the stock option or stock appreciation price.

For this purpose, a "corporate transaction" is generally defined as:

- our dissolution or liquidation or a merger, consolidation, or reorganization between us and one or more other entities in which we are not the surviving entity;
- a sale of substantially all of our assets to another person or entity; or
- any transaction that results in any person or entity (except in the case of our 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan, other than persons who are stockholders or affiliates immediately prior to the transaction) owning 50% or more of the combined voting power of all classes of our stock.

Accelerated vesting upon a "corporate transaction" will not occur to the extent that provision is made in writing in connection with the corporate transaction for the assumption or continuation of the outstanding awards, or for the substitution of such outstanding awards for similar awards relating to the stock of the successor entity, or a parent or subsidiary of the successor entity, with appropriate adjustments to the number of shares of stock that would be delivered and the exercise price, grant price or purchase price relating to any such award. For awards made under our 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan or 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan, if an award is assumed or substituted in connection with a corporate transaction and the holder is terminated without cause within a year following a change in control,

the award will fully vest and may be exercised in full, to the extent applicable, beginning on the date of such termination and for the one-year period immediately following such termination or for such longer period as the compensation committee shall determine.

Terms defined in employment agreements. For purposes of Dr. Boston's, Mr. Wilkins', Dr. van Wyk's and Dr. Powell's employment agreements, the following definitions apply:

“Cause” means:

refusal by the NEO to follow a written order of the Chairman of our Board or of the Board;
the NEO's engagement in conduct materially injurious to us or our reputation;
dishonesty of a material nature that relates to the performance of the NEO's duties under their employment agreement; the NEO's conviction for any crime involving moral turpitude or any felony; and
the NEO's continued failure to perform his or her duties under his or her employment agreement (except due to the NEO's incapacity as a result of physical or mental illness) to the satisfaction of our Board for a period of at least 30 consecutive days after written notice is delivered to the NEO specifically identifying the manner in which the NEO has failed to perform his or her duties.

“Change of Control” means:

our dissolution or liquidation, or a merger, consolidation or reorganization of us with one or more other entities in which we are not the surviving entity;
a sale of substantially all of our assets to another person or entity; or
any transaction (including without limitation a merger or reorganization in which we are the surviving entity) which results in any person or entity owning 50% or more of the combined voting power of all classes of our stock.

“Good Reason” means:

the assignment to the NEO of duties inconsistent in any material respect with the NEO's position as set forth in, or in accordance with, their employment agreement, excluding an isolated, insubstantial and inadvertent action that we remedy promptly after receipt of notice from the NEO;
any failure by us to comply with any provisions of the NEO's employment agreement, excluding an isolated, insubstantial and inadvertent action that we remedy promptly after receipt of notice from the NEO;
there is a merger, acquisition or other similar affiliation with another entity and the NEO does not continue in his or her position, or any other office he or she holds at the time of the transaction, of the most senior resulting entity succeeding to our business; or
any failure by us to require any successor or any party that acquires control of us, whether directly or indirectly, by purchase, merger, consolidation or otherwise, or all or substantially all of our business and/or assets to assume expressly and agree to perform the NEO's employment agreement in the same manner and to the same extent that we would be required to perform it if no succession had taken place.

Provided, however, that none of the foregoing constitute Good Reason if the executive consents in writing to such event, and none of the foregoing constitute Good Reason unless we fail to cure the asserted grounds for Good Reason within 30 days of receipt of notice from the executive. In order to terminate his or her employment for Good Reason, the executive must terminate employment within 30 days of the end of the cure period if the breach has not been cured.

Payment and Benefit Estimates

The table below was prepared to reflect the estimated payments that would have been made pursuant to the agreements and arrangements described above. The estimated payments were calculated as though the applicable triggering event occurred, and the NEO's employment was terminated, or the applicable change in control occurred, on December 31, 2013, using the closing price of our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Market of \$43.47 on December 31, 2013. As discussed in the narrative above, upon termination for cause, by the NEO without good reason or upon death or disability, the NEO is generally only entitled to receive amounts he is owed as of the termination date (e.g., salary, benefits and, in limited cases, any previously earned, but unpaid, annual incentive compensation). Assuming a termination date of December 31, 2013, these amounts are set forth in the tables above, and we have not included any such amounts below. We have not included these earned, but unpaid amounts, in the termination events included in the table below.

| | Aggregate Severance Pay(1) (\$) | Accelerated Vesting of Stock Options (\$)(2) | Accelerated Vesting of Restricted Stock (\$)(2) | Welfare Benefits Continuation (\$) | Gross-Up | Total (\$) |
|---|--|--|--|---|----------|------------|
| Wallace E. Boston, Jr. Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason | 770,000 | 115,025 | — | 16,236 | — | 901,261 |
| Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason in Connection with a Change in Control(2) | 1,540,000 | 115,025 | 2,550,254 | 32,471 | — | 4,237,751 |
| Occurrence of a Change in Control(2) | — | 115,025 | 2,550,254 | — | — | 2,665,280 |
| Harry T. Wilkins Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason | 426,563 | — | — | 16,326 | — | 468,574 |
| Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason in Connection with a Change in Control(2) | 853,125 | 25,775 | 545,418 | 32,472 | — | 1,456,790 |
| Occurrence of a Change in Control(2) | — | 25,775 | 545,418 | — | — | 545,418 |
| Sharon van Wyk Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason | 539,068 | — | — | 11,773 | — | 550,841 |
| Occurrence of a Change | — | 25,775 | 545,418 | — | — | 571,193 |

in Control(2)

Carol S. Gilbert

Occurrence of a Change in
Control(2)

| | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|---|---|---------|
| — | 13,338 | 308,941 | — | — | 322,329 |
|---|--------|---------|---|---|---------|

Karan Powell

Termination without Cause or
byExecutive for Good Reason in
Connection with a Change in
Control(2)

| | | | | | |
|---------|---|---|--------|---|---------|
| 394,888 | — | — | 16,068 | — | 410,956 |
|---------|---|---|--------|---|---------|

Occurrence of a Change in
Control(2)

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|---|---|---------|
| — | 9,913 | 303,812 | — | — | 313,725 |
|---|-------|---------|---|---|---------|

- (1) We have assumed for purposes of calculating the aggregate severance pay that our net income and the NEO's successor's performance would be sufficient for the NEO to receive the maximum payout.
- (2) Except for stock options for Dr. Boston and Mr. Wilkins, which accelerate upon a change in control pursuant to the terms of their employment agreements, we have assumed for purposes of calculating the acceleration of equity awards that a Corporate Transaction, as defined in our equity incentive plans, had occurred and that equity awards are not assumed or substituted.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes the Company's equity compensation plan information as of December 31, 2013. All equity compensation plans have been approved by Company stockholders.

| Plan | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|--|--|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by Company stockholders | 691,963 | \$ 20.87 | 1,841,883 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by Company stockholders | | \$ | |
| Total | 691,963 | \$ 20.87 | 1,841,883 |

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2013, the members of our compensation committee were MG (Ret) Barbara F. Fast, Eric C. Andersen and J. Christopher Everett. No member of our compensation committee has ever been an executive officer or employee of the Company. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last completed fiscal year, on the compensation committee or board of directors of any other entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our Board or compensation committee.

PROPOSAL 3 — ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

As required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, we are seeking stockholder input on the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. The Board and the compensation committee actively monitor our executive compensation practices in light of the industry in which we operate and the marketplace for talent in which we compete. We believe that the supply of qualified executive talent is limited and have designed our compensation programs to help us attract and retain qualified candidates by offering compensation that is competitive within the for-profit education industry and the broader market for executive talent.

As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 21 of this proxy statement, our executive compensation program is designed to provide competitive levels of compensation that are based on performance metrics, reflect the level of capability and effort required to achieve our corporate goals and encourage continuous quality improvement. By paying for performance, we believe that we align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders. We also believe that an effective executive compensation program assists us in attracting and retaining qualified executives who will contribute to the Company's financial performance and drive the continued creation of stockholder value.

To achieve these objectives, we adhere to the following principles:

- compensation should be directly related to achievement of our corporate goals as measured through individual management objectives and through earnings results;
- components of compensation should be linked to quality improvements in the satisfaction and success of our students as measured by our Student Satisfaction Quotient;
- an emphasis on equity-based compensation aligns the long-term interests of executive officers and stockholders; and
- NEO's compensation must be evaluated against opportunities offered by companies that are similar to, and competitive with, us in the market for executive talent.

Our executive compensation program also includes features specifically intended to align the interests of our NEOs and our stockholders, such as:

- each of our executives is expected to own shares of the Company's common stock with a value ranging from one to six times the executive's base salary, depending on position;
- the use of equity awards, the value of which is contingent on our long-term performance;
- time-based vesting provisions, which allow our equity awards to vest in one-third equal installments on the first three anniversaries of the grant date; and
- equity awards are comprised of a mixture of restricted stock awards that vest over three years and restricted stock units that vest over three years, subject to achievement of a free cash flow target.

We believe our executive compensation program achieves our compensation principles, properly aligns the interests of our NEOs and our stockholders and is deserving of stockholder support. We believe that stockholders should also consider the following when determining whether to approve the compensation of our NEOs as presented in this proxy statement:

- the compensation committee utilizes Towers Watson, an independent compensation consulting firm, to assist the committee in determining compensation;
- our executives are prohibited from engaging in short sales, transactions in derivative securities (including put and call options), or other forms of hedging and monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars, equity swaps,

exchange funds and forward sale contracts, that allow the holder to limit or eliminate the risk of a decrease in the value of our securities;

our equity awards have been granted with three-year minimum vesting periods, and our equity plans prohibit repricing or replacement of outstanding option awards; and

for our executives for whom we have employment agreements, upon a “change of control” the executives only receive severance payments in connection with a termination of their employment.

In April 2014, we amended existing employment agreements to eliminate tax gross-up payments in connection with a change in control.

For these reasons, the Board recommends that stockholders vote in favor of the following resolution:

“RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the American Public Education, Inc. named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company’s Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and any other related disclosure, is hereby APPROVED.”

The vote is advisory and is not binding on the Company, the Board or the compensation committee of the Board. However, the compensation committee of the Board expects to take into account the outcome of the vote as it continues to consider our executive compensation program. The Board has determined that future advisory votes on the compensation of the Company’s NEOs will be held every year, in accordance with the results of the advisory vote of the Company’s stockholders on such frequency at the Company’s 2011 Annual Meeting. Thus, the next stockholder advisory vote on the compensation of our NEOs will be held at the Company’s 2015 Annual Meeting.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

PROPOSAL 4 — RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We are asking our stockholders to ratify the audit committee’s appointment of McGladrey LLP (“McGladrey”) as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. Although ratification is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise, the Board is submitting the appointment of McGladrey to our stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the appointment is not ratified, the audit committee will consider whether it is appropriate to select another registered public accounting firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the audit committee in its discretion may select a different registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

The Board first approved McGladrey as our independent auditors in October 2007, and McGladrey audited our financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007. Representatives of McGladrey are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will be given an opportunity to make a statement at the meeting if they desire to do so, and they will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MCGLADREY AS THE COMPANY’S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

We regularly review the services and fees of our independent accountants. These services and fees are also reviewed by the audit committee on an annual basis. The aggregate fees billed for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 for each of the following categories of services are as follows:

| Fee Category | 2012 | 2013 |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Audit Fees | \$437,300 | \$448,275 |
| Audit-Related Fees | \$35,000 | \$ — |
| Tax Fees | \$ — | \$ — |
| All Other Fees | \$126,571 | \$224,394 |
| Total Fees | \$598,871 | \$672,669 |

Audit Fees. Consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements.

Audit-Related Fees. Consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported under “Audit Fees,” including an audit of our 401k Plan.

Tax Fees. Consist of fees billed for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning services and include fees for tax return preparation.

All Other Fees. Consist of fees billed for products and services other than those described above under Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees and Tax Fees. In 2012, these fees were related to services in connection with our investment in a holding company that acquired New Horizons Worldwide, Inc., a global IT training company. In 2013, these fees were related to services in connection with our acquisition of National Education Seminars, Inc., which we refer to as Hondros College of Nursing, and for non-recurring audits performed in November 2013 that were necessary for regulatory filings related to the acquisition.

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, McGladrey provided various services in addition to auditing our financial statements. The audit committee has determined that the provision of such services is compatible with maintaining McGladrey’s independence. In 2013 and 2012, all fees paid to McGladrey were pre-approved pursuant to the policy described below.

Audit Committee’s Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The audit committee reviews with McGladrey and management the plan and scope of McGladrey’s proposed annual financial audit and quarterly reviews, including the procedures to be utilized and McGladrey’s compensation. The audit committee also pre-approves all auditing services, internal control related services and permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed for us by McGladrey, subject to the de minimis exception for non-audit services that are approved by the audit committee prior to the completion of an audit. The audit committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more members of the audit committee consistent with applicable law and listing standards, provided that the decisions of such audit committee member or members must be presented to the full audit committee at its next scheduled meeting.

Audit Committee Report

THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE SOLICITING MATERIAL AND SHOULD NOT BE DEEMED FILED OR INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE INTO ANY

OTHER FILING BY US UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OR THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT WE SPECIFICALLY INCORPORATE THIS REPORT.

During 2013, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of American Public Education, Inc. consisted of Ms. Halle, who serves as the chairperson, Mr. Andersen, Mr. Landon and Mr. Weglicki. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board, which is available in the Governance — Committees section of our corporate website, which is www.americanpubliceducation.com. The Audit Committee reviews the charter and proposes necessary changes to the Board on an annual basis.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, the Audit Committee fulfilled its duties and responsibilities generally as outlined in its charter. The Audit Committee:

reviewed and discussed with management our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013;

discussed with McGladrey LLP, our independent auditors for fiscal 2013, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 16, Communication with Audit Committees, as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T; and

received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent auditors required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditors' communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent auditors their independence.

On the basis of the reviews and discussions referenced above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in American Public Education's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE (February 25, 2014)

Jean C. Halle, Chairperson

Eric C. Andersen

Timothy J. Landon

Timothy T. Weglicki

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of ownership of our equity securities. To our knowledge, based solely on review of the copies of such reports furnished to us related to the year ended December 31, 2013, all such reports were made on a timely basis, except that the following Section 16 reporting persons failed to timely file Forms 4 for such number of transactions as indicated: Dr. Boston (5); Mr. Everett (1); Mr. Gibbons (3); Ms. Gilbert (4); Ms. Halle (1); Mr. Landon (1); Dr. Powell (3); Dr. van Wyk (3); Mr. Weglicki (2); and Mr. Wilkins (3).

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK

The following table sets forth certain information as of April 21, 2014 (unless otherwise specified), with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock by each person who is known to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock, each person currently serving as a director, each nominee for director, each NEO (as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table above), and all directors and executive officers as a group:

| Name of Beneficial Owner | Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (1) | Percentage of Class |
|---|---|---------------------|
| 5% Stockholders | | |
| Invesco Ltd. (2) | 1,735,630 | 9.9% |
| BlackRock, Inc. (3) | 1,534,758 | 8.8% |
| T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (4) | 1,422,380 | 8.1% |
| Wellington Management Company, LLP (5) | 1,284,638 | 7.3% |
| FMR LLC (6) | 1,258,259 | 7.2% |
| The Vanguard Group, Inc. (7) | 1,108,541 | 6.3% |
| Dos Mil Doscientos Uno, Ltd. (8) | 895,000 | 5.1% |
| Directors and Named Executive Officers | | |
| Eric C. Andersen | 2,374 | * |
| Dr. Wallace E. Boston, Jr. (9) | 388,347 | 2.2% |
| J. Christopher Everett | 15,368 | * |
| Barbara G. Fast | 5,644 | * |
| Carol S. Gilbert | 65,542 | * |
| Jean C. Halle | 15,517 | * |
| Timothy J. Landon | 10,338 | * |
| Westley Moore | 1,083 | * |
| Dr. Karan Powell | 35,869 | * |
| Dr. Sharon van Wyk | 49,445 | * |
| Timothy T. Weglicki (10) | 29,868 | * |
| Harry T. Wilkins (11) | 52,146 | * |
| All of our directors and executive officers as a group (13 persons) | 709,973 | 4.0% |

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1%.

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock subject to options or warrants currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of April 21, 2014 are deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of the person holding such options, but are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Except where indicated otherwise, and subject to community property laws where applicable, the persons named in the table above have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.

(2) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Invesco Ltd. on February 4, 2014. The stockholder's address is 1555 Peachtree Street NE, Atlanta, GA 30309. This stockholder is deemed to be the beneficial owner of 1,735,630 shares of the Company's common stock as a result of being a parent holding company or control person and/or acting as an investment adviser.

- (3) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. on January 28, 2014. The stockholder's address is 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022. This stockholder is deemed to be the beneficial owner of 1,534,758 shares of the Company's common stock as a result of being a parent holding company or control person. The stockholder has sole voting power with respect to 1,473,121 of these shares.
- (4) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. ("T. Rowe Price Associates") and T. Rowe Price New Horizons Fund, Inc. ("T. Rowe Price Fund") on February 7, 2014. The stockholders' address is 100 E. Pratt Street, Baltimore, MD 21202. T. Rowe Price Associates is deemed to be the beneficial owner of 1,422,380 shares of the Company's common stock as a result of acting as an investment adviser. T. Rowe Price Associates has sole voting power with respect to 394,093 of these shares. As reported in the Schedule 13 G/A, T. Rowe Price Fund no longer beneficially owns more than 5% of the Company's common stock.

- (5) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed by Wellington Management Company, LLP on February 14, 2014. The stockholder's address is 280 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02210. The stockholder is deemed to be the beneficial owner with shared dispositive power of 1,284,638 shares of the Company's stock as the result of being an investment advisor. The stockholder has shared voting power with respect to 944,738 of these shares, and does not have sole voting or dispositive power with respect to any of the shares.
- (6) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by FMR LLC on February 14, 2014. The stockholder's address is 245 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210. Through Fidelity Management & Research Company, an investment advisory and wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, the beneficial owner of 1,258,259 of the Company's common stock, the stockholder is deemed to be the beneficial owner with sole dispositive power of 1,258,259 shares of the Company's common stock as a result of being a parent holding company or control person. The stockholder does not have sole or shared voting power with respect to any of the shares.
- (7) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc. on February 10, 2014. The stockholder's address is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355. This stockholder is deemed to be the beneficial owner with sole dispositive power (except for 24,164 shares as to which this stockholder has shared dispositive power) of 1,108,541 shares of the Company's common stock as a result of acting as an investment adviser. The stockholder has sole voting power with respect to 25,764 of these shares.
- (8) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed by Dos Mil Doscientos Uno, Ltd. on February 18, 2014. The stockholder's address is Ronda Universitat, 31 1-1, 08007 Barcelona, Spain. This stockholder, a corporation, is deemed to be the beneficial owner of 895,000 shares of the Company's common stock.
- (9) Includes 10,814 shares of common stock held of record by The Boston Family LLC, which is 100% owned by trusts for the benefit of the Dr. Boston's family members. Dr. Boston's wife is the managing member of The Boston Family LLC and has voting and dispositive power over the shares. Dr. Boston disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.
- (10) Includes 5,192 shares of common stock held of record by The Timothy T. Weglicki Irrevocable Trust dated March 11, 1999. Mr. Weglicki disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein; and
- (11) Includes:
- (i) 111.5 shares of common stock held of record by Wilkins Asset Management, Inc., in which Mr. Wilkins has an interest. Mr. Wilkins disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein; and
- (ii) 360 shares of common stock held of record by Mr. Wilkins' son. Mr. Wilkins disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

GENERAL MATTERS

Availability of Certain Documents

A copy of our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K has been posted on the Internet along with this Proxy Statement to all stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. The Annual Report is not incorporated into this Proxy Statement and is not considered proxy-soliciting material. We will mail without charge, upon written request, a copy of our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K including exhibits. Please send a written request to our Corporate Secretary at:

American Public Education, Inc.
111 W. Congress Street
Charles Town, West Virginia 25414
Attention: Corporate Secretary

The charters for our audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees, as well as our Guidelines and our Code of Ethics, are in the Governance — Committees section of our corporate website, which is www.americanpubliceducation.com, and are also available in print without charge upon written request to our Corporate Secretary at the address above.

Stockholders residing in the same household who hold their stock through a bank or broker may receive only one set of proxy materials in accordance with a notice sent earlier by their bank or broker. This practice will continue unless instructions to the contrary are received by your bank or broker from one or more of the stockholders within the household. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of the proxy materials to such stockholders upon receipt of a written or oral request to our Corporate Secretary at the address above, or by calling (304) 724-3700.

If you hold your shares in “street name” and reside in a household that received only one copy of the proxy materials, you can request to receive a separate copy in the future by following the instructions sent by your bank or broker. If your household is receiving multiple copies of the proxy materials, you may request that only a single set of materials be sent by following the instructions sent by your bank or broker.

Stockholder Proposals and Nominations

Requirements for Stockholder Proposals to be Considered for Inclusion in our Proxy Materials. To be considered for inclusion in next year’s proxy statement, stockholder proposals must be received by our Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices no later than the close of business on December 31, 2014.

Requirements for Stockholder Proposals to be Brought Before an Annual Meeting. Our Bylaws provide that, for stockholder nominations to the Board or other proposals to be considered at an annual meeting, the stockholder must have given timely notice thereof in writing to the Corporate Secretary at American Public Education, Inc., 111 W. Congress Street, Charles Town, West Virginia 25414, Attn: Corporate Secretary. To be timely for the 2015 annual meeting, the stockholder’s notice must be delivered to or mailed and received by us not more than 120 days, and not less than 90 days, before the anniversary date of the preceding annual meeting, except that if the annual meeting is set for a date that is not within 30 days before or 60 days after such anniversary date, we must receive the notice not later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which we provide notice or public disclosure of the date of the meeting. Such notice must provide the information required by our Bylaws with respect to each matter the stockholder proposes to bring before the 2015 annual meeting.

Other Matters

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, the Board does not intend to present any matters other than those described herein at the Annual Meeting and is unaware of any matters to be presented by other parties. If other matters are properly brought before the meeting for action by the stockholders, proxies will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the Board or, in the absence of such a recommendation, in accordance with the judgment of the proxy holder.

Directions to Annual Meeting

Directions to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be held at the Gaylord National Resort and Convention Center, 201 Waterfront Street, National Harbor, Maryland 20745, are set forth below:

From Points North or South via I-95 — Follow I-95 into the Washington, D.C. area and merge onto I-95/I-495 (Capital Beltway). Cross the Woodrow Wilson Bridge toward Maryland and continue to exit 2A toward National Harbor. Once on National Harbor property, turn right onto St. George Boulevard and follow signs to the Gaylord National Hotel and Convention Center.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Dr. Wallace E. Boston, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer

AMENDMENT TO THE AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION
EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

The American Public Education Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”) is hereby amended as set forth below, effective as of the date of adoption (the “Adoption Date”) of this Amendment by the Board of Directors of the American Public Education, Inc. (the “Corporation”), subject to the approval of this Amendment by the stockholders of the Corporation, as provided below:

1. The first sentence of Section 2 of the ESPP is amended and restated to read as follows:

“Subject to adjustment as provided in Section 26 below, the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock that may be made available for purchase by participating employees under the Plan is two hundred thousand (200,000).”

2. The following new sentence shall be added to the end of Section 18 of the ESPP:

“Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 18, where the period of leave or absence exceeds three (3) months and the participant’s right to reemployment is not guaranteed either by statute or by contract, the participant’s employment relationship will be deemed to have terminated three (3) months and one (1) day following the commencement of such leave and the participant shall receive a distribution in accordance with the provisions of Section 17 of this Plan.”

3. The last sentence of Section 25 of the ESPP is amended and restated to read as follows:

“In any event, the Plan shall, without further action of the Board, terminate on March 7, 2024, or, if earlier, at such time as all shares of Common Stock that may be made available for purchase under the Plan pursuant to Section 2 above have been issued.”

4. The ESPP shall otherwise be unchanged by this Amendment.

5. This Amendment is adopted subject to approval within 12 months of the Adoption Date by a majority of the stockholders of the Corporation. If the stockholders fail to approve this Amendment within 12 months of the Adoption Date, the number of shares of stock reserved for issuance under the ESPP shall not exceed the number permitted under the Plan as in effect before the Adoption Date.

* * *

The foregoing Amendment to the ESPP was duly adopted and approved by the Board of Directors of the Corporation by resolution at a meeting held on March 7, 2014, subject to the approval of the Amendment by the stockholders of the Corporation.

Secretary

The foregoing Amendment to the ESPP was duly adopted by the stockholders of the Corporation at a meeting held on _____, 2014.

Secretary
