

NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/
Form DEF 14A
March 24, 2016

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)
[EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

Newfield Exploration Company

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3)

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
 - o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

Table of Contents

2016 Proxy Statement

Notice of 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders
To be Held on May 17, 2016

Table of Contents

4 Waterway Square Place Suite 100
The Woodlands, TX 77380

"2015 was a good year for Newfield and its stockholders as we continued a multi-year streak of solid execution against our targets and delivered on our key objectives. The market took note as our share price increased 20% over 2014 and we were ranked as the top E&P stock in the S&P 500 Index. Although these are challenging times for our industry, we have taken timely and aggressive steps to adapt our near-term business strategies to ensure we maintain liquidity and preserve our balance sheet. Early in 2016, we issued 34.5 million shares of common stock and raised an estimated \$775 million in net proceeds. This issuance ensures we will have the financial foundation to execute our near-term business plan. I am confident that we have the right plan, people and assets in place to prosper and succeed when oil prices eventually stabilize and recover."

-Lee K. Boothby

Dear Stockholders:

I am pleased to invite you to join our Board of Directors, senior leadership and other associates and stockholders for our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be held at 8:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time on May 17, 2016. We will again this year provide an opportunity for all stockholders, regardless of location, to attend our 2016 Annual Meeting. Specifically, we will hold a virtual meeting and you will be able to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting, vote and submit any questions during the meeting via live webcast through the link www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/NFX2016. You will need the 12-digit control number included with these proxy materials to vote during the Annual Meeting, but we urge you to vote before the meeting. The attached Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement will serve as your guide to the business to be conducted during the meeting. As in years past, we will have a brief management presentation following the official business portion of the meeting.

We are mailing to most of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice). We believe the Notice process allows us to provide our stockholders with the information they desire in a timely manner, while saving costs and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. The Notice contains instructions on how to access our 2015 Annual Report, 2016 Proxy Statement and proxy card over the Internet, as well as instructions on how to request a paper copy of the materials, if desired. All stockholders who do not receive a Notice should receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail.

Your vote is very important to us. We encourage you to sign and return your proxy card and/or vote by telephone or Internet following the instructions on the Notice as soon as possible, so that your shares will be represented and voted at the meeting. Instructions on how to vote are on page four.

I hope you are able to join the virtual meeting. Thank you for being a stockholder and for the trust you have in our Company.

Sincerely,

LEE K. BOOTHBY

*Chairman of the Board, President
and CEO*

Table of Contents

4 Waterway Square Place Suite 100
The Woodlands, TX 77380

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

May 17, 2016

8:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time via live webcast

The 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Newfield Exploration Company (the "Annual Meeting") will be held at 8:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time on May 17, 2016, via live webcast through the link www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/NFX2016 for the following purposes:

1. To elect a Board of Directors for the coming year;
2. To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent auditor for the year ending December 31, 2016;
3. To hold an advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; and
4. To transact any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

The close of business on March 21, 2016 has been fixed as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. This Notice, Proxy Statement and the form of proxy/voting instruction card are first being sent or made available to stockholders on or about March 25, 2016.

By order of the Board of Directors,

TIMOTHY D. YANG

March 24, 2016

General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Table of Contents

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

You may vote by Internet or by telephone using the instructions on the Notice, or, if you received a paper copy of the proxy card, by signing and returning it in the envelope provided. You will need the 12-digit control number provided on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or your proxy card (if applicable) to vote at the meeting. You may revoke your proxy at any time before the vote is taken by following the instructions in this Proxy Statement. You may also attend and vote during the Annual Meeting via our virtual meeting.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 17, 2016

The notice of the 2016 Annual Meeting, the Proxy Statement and our 2015 Annual Report and 10-K are available at:

<http://www.proxyvote.com>

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the amounts set forth in this Proxy Statement in the disclosure regarding executive compensation are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These amounts include estimates of future amounts payable under awards, plans and agreements or the present value of future amounts, as well as the estimated value at December 31, 2015 of awards the vesting of which will depend on performance over future periods. Estimating future payments of this nature is necessarily subject to contingencies and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict. In order to estimate amounts that may be paid in the future, we had to make assumptions as to a number of variables, which may, and in many cases will, differ from future actual conditions. These variables include the price of our common stock, the date of termination of employment, final pay, interest rates, applicable tax rates and other assumptions. Accordingly, amounts and awards paid out in future periods may vary from the related estimates and values set forth in this Proxy Statement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 17, 2016

Forward-Looking Statements

PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Questions and Answers About the Meeting

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Director Selection Process and Guidelines

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Financial Code of Ethics and Insider Trading Policy

Board of Directors

Audit Committee

Compensation & Management Development Committee

Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee

Operations & Reserves Committee

Related Person Transactions

Corporate Governance Documents

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Board Recommendation

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Director Compensation Program

Director Compensation Table

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

Board Recommendation

PROPOSAL 3: NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

Board Recommendation

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

2

2

3

7

7

7

7

7

11

12

13

16

16

17

18

22

23

23

24

25

66

66

67

68

69

<u>SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Other Business</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Proxy Solicitation</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Stockholder List</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Stockholder Proposals for 2017 Annual Meeting and Director Nominations</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Additional Information Available</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>APPENDIX A</u>	<u>A-1</u>

NO PERSON IS AUTHORIZED TO GIVE ANY INFORMATION OR TO MAKE ANY REPRESENTATIONS OTHER THAN THOSE CONTAINED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT, AND, IF GIVEN OR MADE, SUCH INFORMATION OR REPRESENTATIONS MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON AS HAVING BEEN AUTHORIZED. THE DELIVERY OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT SHALL, UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES, CREATE ANY IMPLICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO CHANGE IN OUR AFFAIRS SINCE THE DATE OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

Table of Contents

NEWFIELD EXPLORATION COMPANY

**4 Waterway Square Place, Suite 100
The Woodlands, Texas 77380
(281) 210-5100
www.newfield.com**

PROXY STATEMENT

For the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

We are furnishing you this Proxy Statement in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors (Board) to be voted at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Annual Meeting) of Newfield Exploration Company, a Delaware corporation, sometimes referred to as the Company, Newfield, our, us or we. The Annual Meeting will be held virtually at 8:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time on Tuesday, May 17, 2016 via live webcast through the link www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/NFX2016. You will need the 12-digit control number provided on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or your proxy card (if applicable) to vote. The proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement, proxy card or voting instructions and our 2015 Annual Report and 10-K are being distributed and made available on or about March 25, 2016.

In accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we are providing our stockholders access to our proxy materials on the Internet. Accordingly, a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) will be mailed to most of our stockholders on or about March 25, 2016. Stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on a website referred to in the Notice or request a printed set of the proxy materials to be sent to them by following the instructions in the Notice.

The Notice also provides instructions on how to inform us to send future proxy materials to you electronically by e-mail or in printed form by mail. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by e-mail, you will receive an e-mail next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by e-mail or printed form will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Choosing to receive future proxy materials by e-mail will allow us to provide you with the information you need in a timelier manner, save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and conserve natural resources.

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

This proxy statement summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Time and Date: 8:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time on Tuesday, May 17, 2016

Place: Via live webcast through the link
www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/NFX2016

Record Date: March 21, 2016

Voting: Stockholders as of the record date are entitled to vote.

Vote by Internet at
<http://www.proxyvote.com>

Vote by telephone at 1-800-690-6903

Vote by completing and returning your
proxy card or voter instruction card

Vote during the meeting via the Internet at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/NFX2016. You will need the 12-digit control number provided on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or your proxy card.

We urge you to vote before the meeting.

Voting Matters

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MEETING

Where and when is the Annual Meeting?

The Annual Meeting will be held at 8:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time on Tuesday, May 17, 2016. This year, we will again have a virtual meeting, which you may attend online through the link www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/NFX2016. To access the meeting, you will only need to go to the link and sign on. If you would like to vote or participate during the meeting, you will need the 12-digit control number provided on the Notice or your proxy card.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 21, 2016, the record date for the meeting, are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. The record date is established by our Board as required by Delaware law. Stockholders of record at the close of business on the record date are entitled to receive notice of the Annual Meeting and to vote their shares at the meeting. Stockholders are entitled to one vote for each share of our common stock that they owned as of the record date. Stockholders may not cumulate their votes in the election of directors. On the record date, we had 198,137,564 shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

What is a proxy?

A proxy is your legal designation of another person, called a proxy holder, to vote the shares that you own. If you designate someone as your proxy holder in a written document, that document is called a proxy. We have designated Lawrence S. Massaro, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Timothy D. Yang, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary and Benjamin J. Paul, Senior Legal Counsel and Assistant Corporate Secretary, to act as proxy holders at the Annual Meeting as to all shares for which proxies are returned or voting instructions are provided by Internet or telephonic voting.

What is the effect of an "advisory" vote?

Because your votes with respect to the ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers and the approval of our NEO compensation are advisory, they will not be binding upon the Board or its Committees. However, our Compensation & Management Development

Committee and the Audit Committee will take the outcome of the advisory votes into account when considering future executive compensation arrangements of our named executive officers (NEOs) and the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as the Company's independent auditor, respectively.

What is a "broker non-vote"?

The New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) permits brokers to vote their customers' stock held in street name on routine matters when the brokers have not received voting instructions from their customers. The NYSE does not, however, allow brokers to vote their customers' stock held in street name on non-routine matters unless they have received voting instructions from their customers. In such cases, the uninstructed shares for which the broker is unable to vote are called "broker non-votes". For purposes of determining the outcome of any proposal as to which the broker has physically indicated on the proxy that it does not have discretionary authority to vote, if allowed, these shares will be treated as not present and not entitled to vote with respect to that proposal, even though those shares are considered entitled to vote for quorum purposes and may be entitled to vote on other proposals.

What non-routine matters will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

The election of directors and the advisory vote on our executive compensation are both non-routine matters on which brokers are not allowed to vote unless they have received voting instructions from their customers. Brokers not receiving voting instructions will only be allowed to vote on the ratification of our appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as the Company's independent auditor for fiscal 2016.

What routine matters will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

The ratification of the independent auditor is a routine matter on which brokers may vote in their discretion on behalf of customers who have not provided voting instructions.

Who will tabulate and certify the vote?

Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., an independent third party, will tabulate and certify the vote, and

Table of Contents

will have a representative to act as the independent inspector of elections for the Annual Meeting.

If I vote by telephone or Internet and received a proxy card in the mail, do I need to return my proxy card?

No.

Who is soliciting my vote?

Our Board is soliciting your vote for the Annual Meeting.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

We will announce the preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting and disclose the final voting results in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC within four business days of the date of the Annual Meeting unless only preliminary voting results are available at that time. To the extent necessary, we will file an amended Current Report on Form 8-K to disclose the final voting results within four business days after the final voting results are known. You may access or obtain a copy of these and other reports free of charge on the Company's

website at <http://www.newfield.com>, or by contacting our investor relations department at 281-210-5321. Also, the Form 8-K, any amendments thereto and other reports filed by the Company with the SEC are available to you over the Internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

We are not aware of any matters that will be considered at the Annual Meeting other than those set forth in this Proxy Statement. However, if any other matters arise at the Annual Meeting, the persons named in your proxy will vote in accordance with their best judgment.

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

The Annual Meeting is held to vote on the proposals listed in this Proxy Statement and to transact any business that may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

How do I vote?

There are four ways to vote:

Internet

Visit <http://www.proxyvote.com>, the website for Internet voting. Follow the instructions on the Notice, or if you received a proxy card by mail, follow the instructions on the proxy card. Internet voting facilities for stockholders of record will be available 24 hours a day and will close at 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time) on May 16, 2016.

Telephone

Call 1-800-690-6903 and follow the instructions on the Notice, or if you received a proxy card, by following the instructions on the proxy card. Telephone voting facilities for stockholders of record will be available 24 hours a day and will close at 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time) on May 16, 2016.

Mail

If you received a proxy card by mail, you can complete, sign, date and return in the postage-paid envelope provided. If your proxy card is returned unsigned, your vote cannot be counted. If your proxy card is signed without indicating how to vote, your proxy will be voted as recommended by the Board. Your proxy card must be received by May 16, 2016.

Meeting

You may vote at the Annual Meeting online through the link www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/NFX2016. The 12-digit Control Number provided on your Notice or proxy card is necessary to vote. We urge you to vote prior to the meeting by one of the other three methods.

If you hold your Newfield shares in a brokerage account, your ability to vote over the Internet or by telephone depends on your broker's voting process. Please follow the directions on your proxy card or the voter instruction card from your broker carefully. If your Newfield shares are held in Newfield's 401(k) Plan, then your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 15, 2016. The plan administrator will direct the trustee to vote shares as to which no instructions are received in proportion to voting directions received by the trustee from all

plan participants who vote.

The Board recommends that you vote using one of the first three methods discussed above. Using one of the first three methods discussed above to vote will not limit your right to vote at the Annual Meeting if you later decide to change your vote while attending the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

Can I change my vote?

Yes. You may revoke or change a proxy before the vote is taken at the Annual Meeting by:

- * giving notice of the revocation in writing to our Corporate Secretary at 4 Waterway Square Place, Suite 100, The Woodlands, Texas 77380;
- * submitting another valid proxy by mail, telephone or over the Internet that is later dated and if mailed, is properly signed, or if submitted by telephone or over the Internet, is received by 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time) on May 16, 2016;
- * voting at the Annual Meeting through the link www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/NFX2016, for which you will need the 12-digit control number included with these proxy materials to vote at the Annual Meeting; or
- * if you have instructed your broker or other nominee to vote your shares, by following the directions received from your broker or nominee to change those instructions.

If your shares are held in our 401(k) Plan, you also may revoke or change your proxy by submitting another valid proxy by mail, telephone or over the Internet that is later dated and, if mailed, is properly signed. The new 401(k) Plan participant proxy must be received by 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time) on May 15, 2016.

Who pays for the proxy solicitation related to the Annual Meeting?

We will bear the entire cost of this solicitation, including the preparation, assembly, printing, the mailing of the Notice and any mailing of this Proxy Statement, the proxy, and any additional information furnished to stockholders. In addition to using the mail, proxies may be solicited by directors, executive officers, and other employees of Newfield, in person or by telephone. No additional compensation will be paid to our directors, executive officers, or other employees for these services. You also may be solicited by means of press releases issued by Newfield, postings on our website at <http://www.newfield.com>, or other media forms. We have retained Georgeson Inc. to assist us with the solicitation of proxies for an estimated fee of approximately \$7,500 plus expenses. Georgeson ensures that brokers, custodians and nominees will supply additional copies of the proxy materials for distribution to the beneficial owners. We also will reimburse banks, nominees, fiduciaries, brokers and

other custodians for their costs of sending the proxy materials to the beneficial owners of our common stock.

What constitutes a quorum?

The presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote will constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Under Delaware law, abstentions are treated as present and entitled to vote and thus, will be counted in determining whether a quorum is present. In addition, broker non-votes (described above) will be considered present for quorum purposes but not considered entitled to vote on that matter.

What is the difference between a "stockholder of record" and a stockholder who holds stock in "street name," also called a "beneficial owner"?

If your shares are registered in your name at American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, you are a "stockholder of record". If your shares are registered at American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC in the name of a broker, bank, trustee, nominee, or other similar stockholder of record, your shares are held in "street name" and you are the "beneficial owner" of the shares.

Can I vote my stock by filling out and returning the Notice?

No. The Notice will, however, provide instructions on how to vote by Internet, by telephone, by requesting and returning a paper proxy card, or by submitting a ballot electronically during the Annual Meeting.

What is householding?

We have adopted a procedure approved by the SEC known as "householding". Under this procedure, multiple stockholders residing at the same address have the convenience of receiving a single copy of our Annual Report and Proxy Statement, or the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, unless they have notified us that they want to continue receiving multiple copies. Householding allows us to reduce the environmental impact of providing proxy materials as well as printing and mailing costs.

If you received a householded mailing this year and you would like to have additional copies of the Annual Report, Proxy Statement and/or the Notice

Table of Contents

of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials mailed to you, or you would like to revoke your consent to the householding of documents, please submit your request to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. either by calling 1-800-542-1061 or by writing to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717. Broadridge or Newfield will promptly deliver any additional copies requested. If you revoke your consent, you will begin to receive individual copies of future mailings within 30 days after we receive your revocation notice.

Unfortunately, householding for bank and brokerage accounts is limited to accounts within the same bank or brokerage firm. For example, if you and your spouse each have two accounts containing our common stock at two different brokerage firms, your household will receive two copies of our Annual Meeting materials – one from each brokerage firm. To reduce the number of duplicate sets of materials your household receives, you may wish to enroll some or all of your accounts in our electronic delivery program. See "Why didn't I receive a Notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials?"

Alternatively, if you have previously revoked your consent to the householding of documents and would now like to receive a single copy of our Annual Report and Proxy Statement, or the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, you may submit such request to Broadridge as indicated above.

How can I access the proxy materials over the Internet?

Your Notice or proxy card will contain instructions on how to view our proxy materials for the Annual Meeting on the Internet. Our proxy materials are also available on our website at:

<http://www.proxyvote.com>

Why did I receive a Notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?

In accordance with SEC rules, we are providing access to our proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we have sent to most of our stockholders a Notice instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials. The Notice contains instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet and how to request a paper copy. In addition, stockholders may request to receive future proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by e-mail. A stockholder's election to receive proxy materials by mail or e-mail will remain in effect until the stockholder terminates it.

Why didn't I receive a Notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials?

We are providing certain stockholders, including those who have previously requested to receive paper copies of the proxy materials, with paper copies of the proxy materials instead of a Notice. If you would like to help reduce the costs we incur in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receive all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or using the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions provided with your proxy materials and on your proxy card or voting instruction card to vote using the Internet. When prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access stockholder communications electronically in the future.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Set forth below is a discussion about our corporate governance policies and practices and other matters relating to our Board and its Committees. The Board is responsible for, and believes in, overseeing the Company's assets and business affairs in an honest, fair, diligent and ethical manner driven by best corporate governance principles.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES

To fulfill its responsibilities, the Board follows the procedures and standards set forth in its Corporate Governance Guidelines.

These Corporate Governance Guidelines address matters such as:

director responsibilities and conduct;

Chairman of the Board and Lead Director of the Board responsibilities;

director qualifications;

Board composition;

functioning of the Board and the Committees;

director access to management and independent advisors;

director compensation and stock ownership;

Board interaction with investors and with the press;

director orientation and continuing education;

evaluation of our CEO;

management succession;

communications with the Board; and

reports concerning accounting and performance evaluations of our Board and its Committees.

DIRECTOR SELECTION PROCESS AND GUIDELINES

The Board also has established "Director Selection Process and Guidelines", which are attached as Appendix A to the Corporate Governance Guidelines and outline the process and criteria for selecting director nominees. Please see the discussion below of the Nominating & Governance Committee of the Company for more information regarding the director selection process.

CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS, FINANCIAL CODE OF ETHICS AND INSIDER TRADING POLICY

Our Board has formally adopted, and annually reviews and approves, our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics governing the conduct and decisions of our directors, officers and employees. In addition, our Board has adopted, and annually reviews and approves, our Financial Code of Ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer and Controller or Chief Accounting Officer, and our Insider Trading Policy applicable to each director, officer, employee and consultant of the Company.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Director Independence

Our Board has affirmatively determined that eight of our nine current directors and eight of our nine nominated directors are "independent" as defined by NYSE rules. In making this determination, our Board considered

Table of Contents

various transactions and relationships between each director nominee or his or her immediate family and our Company and its subsidiaries. Our Board has also affirmatively determined that each of John R. Kemp III, Charles E. Shultz, Howard H. Newman, and Richard K. Stoneburner, each of whom served as directors for some part of 2015 prior to their respective retirements or resignations, were also "independent" under NYSE rules. The purpose of this review by our Board was to determine whether any such relationships or transactions were material and, therefore, inconsistent with a determination that the director is independent. In the ordinary course of business during 2015, we entered into purchase and sale transactions for products and services with certain companies affiliated with members of our Board of Directors, as described below:

Mr. Schanck is a director of P2 Energy Solutions. In 2015, we paid P2 Energy Solutions approximately \$3.0 million in licensing agreement and annual maintenance costs for software.

Mr. Nance is a director of The Williams Companies. In 2015, we paid The Williams Companies approximately \$1.9 million in lease payments for our office space in Tulsa, Oklahoma.

See also "Related Person Transactions". We generally expect transactions of a similar nature to occur during 2016.

As a result of its review, our Board affirmatively determined, based on its understanding of such transactions and relationships, that all of the nominees for director are independent of our Company under the standards set forth by the NYSE, with the exception of Lee K. Boothby. Mr. Boothby currently serves as our Chairman of the Board, President and CEO. There are no family relationships between any of the nominees for director or between any nominee and any executive officer of our Company.

Director Attendance at Board Meetings and Committee Meetings

Our Board met in person or by telephone conference five times during 2015. Other than an absence from one committee meeting by one director, our current directors attended 100% of their applicable meetings during 2015. Mr. Newman, who did not stand for re-election at the Company's 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, attended 50% of Compensation Committee meetings held in 2015 due to his prior resignation from the Board.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings of Stockholders

Directors are expected to attend the Annual Meetings of Stockholders. All of our then current directors attended the 2015 Annual Meeting.

Executive Sessions of Non-Management, Independent Directors

Our non-management, independent directors meet in executive session on a regular basis usually at each regularly scheduled meeting of our Board. All of our non-management directors are independent. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that our independent directors will meet in executive session at least annually and more frequently as needed at the call of one or more of our independent directors. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines also provide that executive sessions will be presided over by the Lead Director. Charles E. Shultz served as our Lead Director from May 2013 through May 2015. Steven W. Nance has served as our Lead Director since Mr. Shultz's retirement in May 2015. If the Lead Director is not in attendance, these executive sessions will be presided over by such other person chosen by vote of the non-management or independent directors, as applicable.

Board Leadership Structure

We do not have a policy with respect to the separation of the roles of Chairman of the Board (Chairman) and Chief Executive Officer (CEO). The Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee (Governance Committee) and the other independent members of our Board annually evaluate the appropriate leadership structure for our Company. The Board believes a combined Chairman/CEO office can provide significant benefits for our stockholders, including a unified approach to strategy and execution and a Chairman that has a pulse on the day-to-day business of the Company and therefore knowledge of the important issues to be addressed by the Board, provide the Company with a clear leadership structure and provide the Board with valuable insight into the Company's operations and strategies from management's perspective that facilitates the flow of information

Table of Contents

between management and the Board. The Board also believes that this leadership structure ensures the appropriate level of independent oversight because:

the Board has an independent Lead Director (as discussed below), who presides over the executive sessions of our non-management and independent directors (which usually occur at each regularly-scheduled Board meeting);

the Lead Director collaborates with the Chairman with regards to setting the agenda for each meeting of the Board;

Board committees are composed entirely of independent directors;

the independent Compensation & Management Development Committee (Compensation Committee) annually evaluates the performance of our CEO and reviews the evaluation with the independent members of our Board; and

all of the director nominees, other than Mr. Boothby, our President and CEO, are independent under the standards set forth by the NYSE.

Chairman of the Board

The Chairman ensures the overall effectiveness of the Board and will:

set the agendas for meetings of the Board, with collaboration from the Lead Director;

preside over meetings of the Board;

serve as a liaison between the Board and management; and

chair the annual stockholder meetings.

Lead Director

The Lead Director holds a valuable role in both the overall leadership of the Board and creating an atmosphere in which the Board can enhance the success of the Company. The Lead Director will:

set the agendas for, call for and preside over the executive sessions of the non-management and independent directors;

brief the Chairman/CEO and management, as needed, on the issues discussed in the executive sessions;

serve as a mentor and provide guidance to the Chairman/CEO as requested or needed;

collaborate with the Chairman/CEO on the agendas for the meetings of the Board (including schedule and materials);

act as a liaison between the non-management and independent directors and the Chairman/CEO and management, including with regard to the interest of the independent directors in having particular issues or topics addressed in a meeting of the Board;

preside over meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present or has a conflict;

coordinate the retention of consultants and advisors who report directly to the Board on Board matters (as opposed to committee consultants and advisors);

facilitate and assist the Governance Committee with Board, committee and director evaluations and communicates results;

assist the Chairman/CEO and Chair of the Compensation Committee with succession planning, as necessary;

foster a respectful atmosphere in which directors feel comfortable asking questions, providing insight and engaging in dialogue;

Table of Contents

frequently meet with management to preview significant matters (such as potential acquisitions and other large capital commitments) expected to be presented to the Board and act as a general resource to the Chairman/CEO; and

as needed or requested by the Board, perform other corporate governance duties.

Communications with Non-Management Directors

We have established an Ethics Line, consisting of a website that facilitates submission of reports over the Internet and toll-free numbers that can be used from all the countries in which we operate, so that investors, employees and any other interested parties can anonymously report through a third party any practices thought to be in violation of our corporate governance policies. The Ethics Line also can be used to make concerns known to, or send other communication to, our non-management or independent directors (individually or as a group), including our Lead Director, on a direct and confidential basis. The web address for our Ethics Line is www.newfieldexploration.ethicspoint.com and the telephone number for the Ethics Line in the United States, Guam, Puerto Rico and Canada is 866-593-5936. Additional information regarding the Ethics Line is available on our website at <http://www.newfield.com> under the tab "Corporate Governance Overview".

Risk Oversight

Management is responsible for implementing our financial and business strategies, and assessing and managing the risks relating to our Company and its performance under those strategies. Our Board reviews, approves (where appropriate) and monitors our financial and business objectives, strategies, plans and major corporate actions. Our Board also assesses major risks relating to our Company and its performance, and reviews options to mitigate and address such risks.

Our Board retains primary responsibility to oversee risks related to our strategy and business. To assist the Board in discharging its oversight responsibilities, members of management report to the Board and its committees on areas of risk to our Company, and our Board committees consider specific areas of risks inherent in their respective areas of oversight and report to the full Board regarding their activities. For example, our Audit Committee discusses with management our major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. Our Compensation Committee incorporates risk considerations, including the risk of loss of key personnel, as it evaluates the performance of our CEO and other executive officers, reviews management development and succession plans, and considers risks related to our compensation programs and policies. Our Governance Committee focuses on issues relating to Board composition, leadership structures and corporate governance matters. Our Operations & Reserves Committee reviews, evaluates and oversees the Company's risks relating to our operations, including safety, environmental, regulatory and compliance (SERC) and reserves. In addition to receiving reports from Board committees regarding the risks considered in their respective areas, to ensure that our Board has a broad view of our strategy and overall risk management process, the Board will specifically review our long-term strategic plans and the principal issues and risks that we may face, as well as the processes through which we manage risk, during at least one Board meeting per year. This enables the full Board to coordinate risk oversight, especially with respect to risk interrelationships. At this point, we believe that combining the roles of Chairman and CEO enhances the Board's administration of its risk oversight function because, through his role as Chairman, our CEO is able to provide the Board with valuable insight into our risk profile and the options to mitigate and address our risks based on his experiences with the daily management of our business as our CEO.

Stock Ownership Guidelines for Directors

The Board believes that an alignment of director interests with those of stockholders is very important. All non-management directors are expected to own stock in the Company equal in value to five times the annual base cash retainer, not including any cash retainers paid to a director for serving as the Chairman, the Lead Director or a Chair of a Committee. Such ownership must be accomplished within five years from a director's first appointment to the Board.

Table of Contents

Mandatory Retirement Age for Directors

If a director reaches age 72 while in office, such director must resign at the end of his or her then current term, unless (a) the members of the Governance Committee unanimously (not including the director in question if such director is a member of the Governance Committee) waive such requirement due to special circumstances; and (b) the action is ratified and approved by a majority of the disinterested directors on the Board. In 2016, J. Terry Strange reached our mandatory retirement age. The Governance Committee determined that it was prudent to waive Mr. Strange's mandatory retirement for one year, and that waiver was ratified and approved unanimously by the disinterested directors of the Board.

No Mandatory Term Limits for Directors

The oil and gas industry is a very specialized industry that can take years to truly understand. The Board believes that the Company and its stockholders benefit from Board continuity and stability that allows directors to focus on long-term business strategies and results. For these reasons, among others, the Board does not believe it should establish arbitrary term limits for directors based on years of service. Such term limits are likely to force the Company to lose the contribution of directors who have developed significant and valuable insight into the Company, its operations and industry that cannot easily be replaced.

Board Committees

Our Board presently has the following significant standing committees:

Audit Committee;

Compensation & Management Development Committee;

Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee; and

Operations & Reserves Committee.

Each of these Committees is composed entirely of independent directors.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Role of Audit Committee

The primary purposes of the Audit Committee are to assist the Board in monitoring:

the integrity of our financial statements and financial reporting processes and systems of internal control;

the qualifications and independence of our independent auditors;

the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors; and

our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

The Audit Committee also prepares a report each year in conformity with the rules of the SEC for inclusion in our annual Proxy Statement. The Audit Committee is responsible for appointing, retaining and terminating our independent auditors and also performs the specific functions set

forth in its charter.

Composition of Audit Committee

The Audit Committee currently consists of Pamela J. Gardner, Roger B. Plank, Thomas G. Ricks, John W. Schanck, J. Terry Strange, and J. Kent Wells, with Mr. Ricks serving as Chair. Each member of the Audit Committee is independent under the standards set forth by the NYSE. Mr. Strange also serves on the audit committees of Group 1 Automotive, Inc., New Jersey Resources Corporation and BBVA Compass. Our Board has determined that such simultaneous service on these other audit committees and on our Audit Committee does not impair the ability of Mr. Strange to serve effectively on our Audit Committee.

Our Board has determined that each of Messrs. Ricks, Strange and Plank meets the qualifications of an audit committee financial expert, as defined by SEC regulations.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee held five meetings in person or by telephone conference during 2015.

COMPENSATION & MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Role of Compensation & Management Development Committee

The primary purposes of the Compensation Committee are:

reviewing, evaluating, modifying and approving the compensation of our executive officers and other key employees;

producing a report on executive compensation each year for inclusion in our annual Proxy Statement;

overseeing the evaluation and development of Company management;

overseeing succession planning for our CEO and other senior executive officers; and

performing such other functions as the Board may assign to the Compensation Committee from time to time.

The Compensation Committee has authority to oversee the administration of compensation programs applicable to all of our employees, including executive officers, and also performs the specific functions set forth in its charter. The Compensation Committee may delegate some or all of its authority to subcommittees when it deems appropriate.

Composition of Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee currently consists of Pamela J. Gardner, Steven W. Nance, Roger B. Plank, Juanita M. Romans, and J. Terry Strange, with Mr. Strange serving as Chair. Each Compensation Committee member is independent under the standards set forth by the NYSE.

The Compensation Committee held eight meetings in person or by telephone conference during 2015.

Determination of Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee generally reviews and makes its decisions regarding the annual compensation of our NEOs at its regularly-scheduled meeting each February. These decisions include determination of annual incentive awards for the prior year's performance and adjustments to base salary, the establishment of target incentive opportunities and applicable performance objectives for the current year's annual incentive awards and grants of long-term equity-based incentive awards for the current year. The Compensation Committee also makes adjustments to compensation as necessary at other times during the year, such as in the case of promotions, other changes in employment status, the occurrence of significant corporate events and for competitive purposes. In making its decisions, the Compensation Committee assesses each NEO's impact during the year and his or her overall value to our Company, specifically considering the NEO's leadership skills, recommendations received from our CEO and its independent compensation consultant(s), impact on strategic initiatives, performance in his or her primary area of responsibility, his or her role in succession planning and development, and other intangible qualities that contribute to corporate and individual success.

Annually, our CEO provides the Compensation Committee with an evaluation of his own performance that is based, in large part, upon the Company performance metrics described below under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis 2015 Compensation Decisions Annual Incentive Awards," as well as of his broad leadership roles as Chairman of the Board and our lead representative to the investment community. The Compensation Committee evaluates our CEO on these and other criteria, and his total compensation package is determined entirely by the Compensation Committee, based on its evaluation and input from Meridian Compensation Partners LLC, the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant (Meridian), and reflects his performance, the performance of our Company and competitive industry practices. Each year, our CEO prepares an evaluation of each of the other NEOs and makes compensation recommendations to the Compensation Committee. In developing his recommendations, the CEO considers the self-evaluation prepared by each NEO, the recommendations of his executive team, input from internal executive compensation experts, as well as

Table of Contents

performance against the Company performance metrics and each NEO's performance against his or her individualized goals. Meridian reviews and provides comments to the Compensation Committee on our CEO's recommendations.

Independent Compensation Consultant

During 2015, the Compensation Committee retained Meridian as its independent compensation consultant to assist the Compensation Committee in executive compensation matters and did not engage any other consultant to provide executive compensation consulting services during 2015. Meridian assists the Compensation Committee in developing a competitive total compensation program that is consistent with our philosophy of goal-oriented pay for performance and allows us to attract, retain and motivate talented executives. For 2015, Meridian's services included providing an annual analysis of the compensation of our top executive officers and their counterparts at peer companies. The analysis consists of a comparison of each element of compensation and a comparison of total direct compensation, including the level of total compensation provided to our executive officers. In addition, during 2015, Meridian aided the Compensation Committee's consideration of allocation between annual incentive and long-term equity-based compensation and between the types of long-term equity-based incentive awards. Meridian also provides the Compensation Committee with assistance in the design of compensation and benefit programs and ongoing support with respect to regulatory and other considerations impacting compensation and benefit programs generally, as requested by the Compensation Committee.

Meridian reports exclusively to the Compensation Committee, which has sole authority to retain any compensation consultant to be used by the Compensation Committee to assist in the evaluation of compensation for our CEO and other executive officers, as well as our overall executive compensation structure. In early 2016, the Compensation Committee reviewed the independence of Meridian during 2015 and determined that there were no conflicts of interest as a result of the Compensation Committee's engagement of Meridian based on the following:

Meridian supplies no services to the Company other than those as advisor to the Compensation Committee.

The fees for service Meridian charged the Company in 2015 amounted to less than 1% of Meridian's annual revenues.

It is Meridian's policy that when it represents a client's compensation committee, it does not offer the company any additional services.

Except with respect to the engagement by the Compensation Committee, neither Meridian nor its principal representative to the Company maintains any business or personal relationship with any executive officer or committee member of the Company.

Neither Meridian nor its principal representative to the Company owns any Company stock.

NOMINATING & CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

Role of Nominating & Corporate Governance (Governance) Committee

The primary purposes of the Governance Committee are to:

advise our Board about the appropriate composition of the Board and its committees;

evaluate potential or suggested director nominees and identify individuals qualified to be directors;

nominate directors for election at our Annual Meetings of Stockholders or for appointment to fill vacancies;

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

recommend to our Board the directors to serve as members of each committee of our Board and the individual members to serve as chair of the committees;

approve the compensation structure for all non-management directors;

advise our Board about corporate governance practices, develop and recommend to the Board appropriate corporate governance practices and policies and assist the Board in implementing those practices and policies;

Table of Contents

oversee the evaluation of our Board through an annual review of the performance of the Board and its committees; and

oversee the new director orientation program and the continuing education program for all directors.

The Governance Committee also performs the specific functions set forth in its charter.

Composition of Governance Committee

The Governance Committee currently consists of Pamela J. Gardner, Steven W. Nance, Thomas G. Ricks, Juanita M. Romans, John W. Schanck and J. Terry Strange, with Mr. Nance serving as Chair. Each Governance Committee member is independent under the standards set forth by the NYSE.

The Governance Committee held five meetings in person or by telephone conference during 2015.

Director Nominees

The Board has adopted Director Selection Process and Guidelines that have established criteria for nominating directors, screening candidates and evaluating the qualifications of director nominees. The Governance Committee recommends director nominees who are ultimately approved by the full Board. The Governance Committee considers candidates suggested by its members, other directors, senior management and stockholders in anticipation of upcoming director elections and actual or expected Board vacancies. The Governance Committee is authorized, at the expense of the Company, to retain search firms, consultants, and any other advisers it may deem appropriate, in order to identify and screen potential candidates, including those nominated or recommended by stockholders.

The Governance Committee reviews the size and structure of the Board and considers director tenure, skills and experience in determining the slate of nominees and as part of director succession planning. When evaluating candidates, the Governance Committee takes into account the needs of the Board and the Company. In determining these needs, the Committee consults with the other Board members and key members of senior management; considers the results of recent Board and Governance Committee self-evaluations; identifies needs due to upcoming retirements and anticipated vacancies; and, if needed, consults with external advisors of its choosing.

The Governance Committee attempts to achieve a balanced representation of core competencies important to the Board's oversight role for the long-term success of the Company's business strategies. The Governance Committee endeavors to find candidates of high integrity who have a solid reputation and record of accomplishment in their chosen fields and who display the independence of mind and strength of character to effectively represent the best interests of all stockholders. Candidates are selected for their demonstrated ability to exercise good judgment, and to provide practical insights and diverse perspectives. In addition to demonstrated leadership skills in strategy and development, risk management and succession planning, the Governance Committee considers experience in the following areas: the oil and gas industry and operations; health, safety and environmental matters; international company operations; finance and accounting; technology; corporate social responsibility and public policy matters.

The Governance Committee considers potential candidates in light of the entirety of their credentials, including their personal and professional integrity and business ethics; their strength of character and judgment; their ability and willingness to devote sufficient time to Board duties; their potential contribution to the diversity and culture of the Board; their educational background; their business and professional achievements and experience and industry background, particularly in light of the Company's strategic plan and challenges; their ability to represent the interests of all stockholders of the Company; their strategic vision and leadership experience at the policy-making level; their demonstrated knowledge of corporate governance matters applicable to U.S. based companies; their demonstrated ability to understand and respect the advisory and proactive oversight responsibility of the Board; their comprehension of the role of a public company director, particularly the fiduciary duties owed to the Company and all of its stockholders under applicable law; their interest in any agreement, arrangement, or understanding with any person or entity that might limit or interfere with their ability to comply with their fiduciary duties under applicable law; their independence from management under NYSE Listing Standards and the Corporate Governance Guidelines; their expressed willingness to comply with all publicly disclosed policies and guidelines of the Company with respect to codes of conduct, corporate governance, conflict of interest, confidentiality, stock ownership and trading applicable to the directors; and

Table of Contents

their compliance with Board policies related to matters such as the number of boards on which a director may sit and other requirements as set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines.

After reviewing the qualifications of potential candidates, the Governance Committee determines the candidates that will proceed to the next step of evaluation, which is typically an in-person interview. To the extent feasible, potential candidates will be interviewed by the Chairman, CEO and a majority of the Governance Committee members. The results of these interviews will be considered by the Governance Committee in its decision to recommend a director candidate to the Board for nomination. In addition to reviewing the qualifications of new candidates, the Governance Committee will also review sitting directors who are being considered for re-nomination in light of the above considerations and their past contributions to the Board.

Diversity Policy for Director Candidates

In selecting director candidates, the Governance Committee and the Board take diversity into account, seeking to ensure a representation of varied perspectives and experiences, although the Governance Committee's Director Selection Process and Guidelines do not prescribe specific standards for diversity. Through its succession planning process, which occurs at least annually, the Governance Committee reviews a matrix of the skills and elements of diversity for all directors to ensure that the Board represents the appropriate and relevant skills, perspectives and experiences.

Stockholder Recommendations of Director Nominees

As provided in the Governance Committee's charter and the Director Selection Process and Guidelines, it is the Governance Committee's policy to consider suggestions from many sources, including stockholders, regarding possible candidates for director. In general, the Governance Committee will use the same process to evaluate candidates recommended by stockholders as it uses to evaluate all other director candidates, as set forth above. However, if a candidate is recommended by a specific stockholder or a group of stockholders, the Governance Committee would evaluate the candidate to assess whether the candidate could impartially represent the interests of all stockholders without unduly favoring the particular interests of the recommending stockholder or group of stockholders.

If a stockholder wants the Governance Committee to consider a possible candidate for director, the name of the possible candidate, together with appropriate biographical information, should be submitted to the Chair of the Governance Committee, c/o Timothy D. Yang, Corporate Secretary, Newfield Exploration Company, 4 Waterway Square Place, Suite 100, The Woodlands, Texas 77380. Stockholders who wish to propose a matter for action at a stockholders' meeting, including the nomination of a director for election, must comply with the provisions of our Bylaws that are described in this Proxy Statement in the section entitled "Stockholder Proposals for 2017 Annual Meeting and Director Nominations".

Director Compensation

Only non-management directors are compensated for serving as directors. The Governance Committee has the sole authority to approve the compensation structure for all of our non-management directors. The Governance Committee may delegate some or all of its authority to subcommittees when it deems appropriate.

Director compensation is reviewed at least annually by the Governance Committee. The Governance Committee seeks to set director compensation at an adequate level to compensate directors for their time and effort expended in satisfying their obligations to us without jeopardizing their independence.

See "Director Compensation" below for a more detailed description of our non-management director compensation programs.

Table of Contents

OPERATIONS & RESERVES COMMITTEE

Role of Operations & Reserves (Operations) Committee

The primary purposes of the Operations Committee are to assist the Board in:

reviewing, evaluating and overseeing the Company's operations, including SERC and the analysis and reporting of the Company's proved reserves;

assisting the Compensation Committee in evaluating the Company's performance with respect to certain operational metrics included in the annual incentive award program; provided that the Compensation Committee ultimately makes all determinations regarding the performance goals and achievement of performance goals under the annual incentive award program for executive officers;

reviewing, evaluating and discussing future projects;

reviewing, evaluating and discussing the risks related to the Company's day-to-day operations; and

performing such other functions as the Board may assign the Operations Committee from time to time.

The Operations Committee is responsible for engaging independent counsel and other advisers, including engineers or geologists, as it determines necessary to carry out its duties and perform the specific functions set forth in its charter.

Composition of Operations Committee

The Operations Committee currently consists of Steven W. Nance, Roger B. Plank, John W. Schanck and J. Kent Wells, with Mr. Schanck serving as Chair. Each member of the Operations Committee is independent under the standards set forth by the NYSE.

The Operations Committee held six meetings in person or by telephone conference during 2015.

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Although we have not formally adopted written policies or procedures for the approval of related person transactions, our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (Code of Conduct), which applies to all employees, executives and directors, specifically prohibit conflicts of interests, except under guidelines approved by the Board. Under the Code of Conduct, a "conflict of interest" is defined as any circumstance that could cast doubt on a person's ability to act with total objectivity with regard to the Company's interests. Any employee or director who becomes aware of a conflict or potential conflict is asked to bring it to the attention of a supervisor, management or other appropriate personnel, who then is required to document and report the outcome of such matters to our compliance officer. Under the Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board must resolve any conflict of interest question involving the CEO or any executive officer.

In addition, the Corporate Governance Guidelines state that directors shall attempt to avoid any situation that may give rise to a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest. If an actual or potential conflict of interest arises, the director must promptly inform the Chairman of the Board and the Chair of the Governance Committee (or in the event a potential conflict arises with the Chair of the Governance Committee, he or she shall notify the Chair of the Audit Committee) and recuse himself or herself from any Board deliberations or decisions related to the matter that is the subject of the conflict of interest. If an actual or potential conflict exists and cannot be resolved by a director's recusal from participation in discussions or deliberations related to the matter or in any other reasonable manner, the Director is expected to offer to tender his or her resignation to the Chair of the Governance Committee. The Governance Committee shall determine whether to accept or reject such offer.

Further, the Governance Committee and our Board annually review related person transactions with respect to directors (including those transactions described below with respect to directors and those described above under "Corporate Governance Board of Directors") as part of

their annual assessment of director independence and the director nomination process, as provided in our Corporate Governance Guidelines and the written

Table of Contents

charter of our Governance Committee. Other related person transactions are disclosed to our Board or a Board committee and are addressed on a case-by-case basis.

Susan G. Riggs, our Treasurer, was a minority owner of Huffco International L.L.C. ("Huffco"). In May 1997, before Ms. Riggs joined us, we acquired from Huffco an entity now known as Newfield China, LDC, the owner of a 12% interest in a three field unit located on Blocks 04/36 and 05/36 in Bohai Bay, offshore China. Huffco retained preferred shares of Newfield China that provide for an aggregate dividend equal to 10% of the excess of proceeds received by Newfield China from the sale of oil, gas and other minerals over all costs incurred with respect to exploration and production in Block 05/36, plus the cash purchase price we paid Huffco for Newfield China (\$6 million). Effective July 1, 2013, the Company purchased from Huffco the outstanding preferred shares of Newfield China. During 2013, Newfield China paid approximately \$2 million of dividends to Huffco on the preferred shares of Newfield China (no dividends were paid in 2015 or 2014).

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DOCUMENTS

The Corporate Governance Guidelines and Codes mentioned above, as well as the charters for the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Governance Committee and Operations Committee of our Board, are available on our website at <http://www.newfield.com> under the tab "Corporate Governance Overview".

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee of our Board (Governance Committee) has nominated the nine people named below for election as directors at our Annual Meeting. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number than the nine nominees named herein.

Each nominee below, if elected, will serve as a director until our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and thereafter until his or her successor has been elected and qualified, or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal. Unless instructions to the contrary are given, all properly delivered proxies will be voted for the election of these eight nominees as directors.

Our Bylaws require that each director receive a majority of the votes cast with respect to such director in uncontested elections (the number of shares voted "FOR" a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast "AGAINST" that nominee). All director nominees identified in the following list currently are serving on our Board. If our stockholders do not elect a nominee who is serving as a director, Delaware law provides that the director would continue to serve on the Board as a "holdover director". Under our Bylaws, if a nominee who currently is serving as a director does not receive a sufficient number of votes for re-election, that director must submit an irrevocable resignation in writing to the Chair of the Governance Committee. The Governance Committee must make a recommendation to our Board regarding whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether other action should be taken. Our Board would then act on the Governance Committee's recommendation and, if the resignation is rejected, publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind it within 90 days after the date that the election results were certified.

If any nominee is unable or unwilling to serve, the proxy holders will vote for such other person as may be nominated by the Governance Committee. Alternatively, our Board may reduce the size of the Board. We have no reason to believe that any of the nominees will be unable or unwilling to serve if elected as a director.

Our Board is a collection of individuals with a variety of complementary skills derived from their diverse backgrounds and experiences. All of our director nominees currently serve on our Board, and our Board has determined that each of our nominees, other than Mr. Boothby, is independent. The following information, which is as of March 21, 2016, is furnished with respect to each of the nominees for election at our Annual Meeting:

MR. BOOTHBY currently serves as our Chairman, President and CEO. He was promoted to the position of President in February 2009 and to the additional role of CEO in May 2009. From October 2007 until February 2009, Mr. Boothby served as our Senior Vice President Acquisitions & Business Development. He managed our Mid-Continent business from February 2002 to October 2007, and was promoted from General Manager to Vice President in November 2004. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Boothby served as the Vice President and General Manager of our previous Australian business unit, managed from Perth, Australia. Prior to joining Newfield, Mr. Boothby worked for Cockrell Oil Corporation, British Gas and Tenneco Oil Company.

EDUCATION: B.S. in Petroleum Engineering from Louisiana State University and M.B.A. (finance concentration) from Rice University

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

Lee K. Boothby, 54
Director since 2009
Chairman since 2010

Relevant Management and Leadership Experience: President and CEO of the Company since 2009; and led two of the Company's business units – the Mid-Continent and Australia

Broad International Exposure: spent three years in Australia building our Australian business unit (which was divested in 2003)

Extensive Knowledge of the Company's Business, Industry and Community: over 16 years in managerial positions at Newfield and nearly 35 years of experience in the oil and gas industry; broad experience in both marine and onshore environments, inclusive of more than 13 years of experience in North American resource plays; member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers; serves on API Market Development Committee; served on the board of the Independent Petroleum Association of America, from June 2011 to June 2013; served as Chairman of the Board of the American Exploration & Production Council and continues to serve as a member of the Board; and holds degrees in petroleum engineering (B.S.) and business (M.B.A.)

Community Dedication and Charitable Experience: serves on industry Advisory Committee of the Louisiana State University Craft & Hawkins Department of Petroleum Engineering; and serves on the Council of Overseers and Energy Committee for the Rice University Jones Graduate School of Business

Table of Contents

MS. GARDNER has served as the CEO with Your Mind at Work, a consulting firm that focuses on refining company cultures, training executives in effective communication strategies, aligning corporate goals and coaching professionals in leadership since January 2013. She spent 24 seasons with the Houston Astros Baseball Club, serving her last 11 years as President, Business Operations for Houston McLane Company d/b/a Houston Astros Baseball Club. Ms. Gardner began her career with the Houston Astros in 1989 as Director of Communications, was promoted to Vice President of Marketing in 1996, then promoted to Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing in 1999, and served in that role until becoming President in 2001. She then retired as President in January 2012, but continued to provide advisory services to the ownership group throughout 2012.

EDUCATION: B.S. in Psychology and Vocational Rehabilitation from the University of Wisconsin Stout

Pamela J. Gardner, 59
Director since 2005

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

Committees:

Diversity: female; professional experience in strategic planning, project development, professional sports, nonprofit/charitable organizations and business; first female executive inducted into the Texas Baseball Hall of Fame; longest tenured female executive officer in Major League Baseball; public speaker and writer on women's leadership issues; recipient of the YWCA's Outstanding Woman of Achievement Award in 2006 and the Trailblazer Award from the Houston Women's Chamber of Commerce; included in a special exhibit on Women in Baseball in the Baseball Hall of Fame in Cooperstown, New York

»

Audit

»

Compensation & Management
Development

Relevant Leadership and Chief Executive Officer/President Experience: served as the President of Business Operations for the Houston Astros for 11 years; managed all business and operational aspects of the Houston Astros, including oversight of all revenue areas, building management, customer service, finances, sponsorship and ticket sales, community, advertising and marketing, as well as non-baseball events at Minute Maid Park; frequently presents to various groups and companies on leadership and diversity; received the Marguerite Ross Barnett leadership award from the Houston Area Urban League in 2008

»

Nominating & Corporate
Governance

Community Dedication and Charitable Experience: serves on the Executive Committee of Central Houston, Inc., a not-for-profit organization concerned with urban planning, economic development, transportation issues, public safety, governmental affairs, and cultural and entertainment programs in Houston; Board member of the Harris County Houston Sports Authority, overseeing property and funds of Houston sports facilities; served on the University of Houston Hobby School of Public Policy Advisory Board; and served as Chairman of the Mayor's program to end chronic homelessness in Houston

MR. PLANK is a partner with Indian Creek Holdings, a private investment company, a position he has held since March 2014. Mr. Plank has 35 years of experience in the oil and gas industry, retiring from his position as President and Chief Corporate Officer of Apache Corporation in February 2014 after 32 years with the company. He began his career at Apache in 1981 and moved through the organization to take on a number of leadership positions, including Vice President Planning and Corporate Development; Vice President Corporate Planning; and Vice President Corporate Communications. Mr. Plank served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from July 1997 to May 2000 and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from May 2000 to February 2009. He was appointed President in February 2009, also serving as Principal Financial Officer from February 2009 to November 2010, and then appointed President and Chief Corporate Officer in February 2011 and served in that role until his retirement in February 2014.

EDUCATION: B.A. in Liberal Arts from Colgate University in New York and an M.B.A. from the University of St. Thomas in Minnesota

Roger B. Plank, 59
Director since 2015

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY DIRECTORSHIPS IN PAST FIVE YEARS: Director of Parker Drilling (2004 to present; Lead Director (May 2013 to January 2016))

Committees:

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

»

Audit

High Level of Financial Literacy: served as Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Chief Corporate Officer at Apache Corporation; named among Institutional Investor magazine's best energy CFOs in America in 2004 and 2007

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

» *Relevant Leadership and Chief Financial/Corporate Officer Experience:* more than 17 years in senior leadership roles at Apache Corporation including Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer, President and Chief Corporate Officer

Compensation & Management
Development

» *Extensive Knowledge of the Company's Business and Industry:* Over 35 years of experience in the oil and gas industry ranging from various leadership positions in corporate development to C-level executive; and holds an M.B.A.

Operations & Reserves

Community Dedication and Charitable Experience: Serves on the board of Houston's Alley Theatre (previously Chairman)

Table of Contents

MR. NANCE, our Lead Director, has served as President and Manager of Steele Creek Energy, LLC, a private oil and gas investment company, since 2010. Since 2007, Mr. Nance has, from time to time, provided consulting services on matters such as oil and gas investments, succession planning, coaching and leadership development. Mr. Nance began his career in 1978 with The Superior Oil Company where he held various engineering assignments until the company was acquired by Mobil Oil. Mr. Nance then joined Meridian Oil, Inc., the predecessor company to Burlington Resources, Inc., in 1985, where he held positions of increasing responsibility until his departure in 1997 as Vice President of Burlington's Gulf Coast division. From 1997 to 1999, he was with XPLOR Energy and its predecessor company, acting as its Chairman, President and CEO in 1999 when XPLOR Energy was acquired by Harken Energy Corporation. From 2000 to 2007, Mr. Nance served as President of Peoples Energy Production Company until it was acquired by El Paso Corporation.

Steven W. Nance, 59
 Director since 2013
 Lead Director since May 2015

EDUCATION: B.S. in Petroleum Engineering from Texas Tech University

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY DIRECTORSHIPS IN PAST FIVE YEARS: The Williams Companies, Inc. (2012 to present); Cloud Peak Energy, Inc. (2010 to present)

Committees:

»
 Nominating & Corporate
 Governance (Chair)

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

Relevant Leadership and Chief Executive Officer/President Experience: President and Manager of Steele Creek Energy, LLC; served as Chairman, President and CEO of XPLOR Energy from 1997-1999; served as President of Peoples Energy Production Company from 2000 to 2007

»
 Compensation & Management
 Development

Extensive Knowledge of the Company's Business and Industry: over 35 years of experience in the oil and gas industry ranging from various engineering assignments at the beginning of his career to C-level executive; and holds a B.S. in Petroleum Engineering

»
 Operations & Reserves

Community Dedication and Charitable Experience: recognized as a Distinguished Engineer from the College of Engineering at Texas Tech and is a registered professional engineer (inactive status). He is on the board for The Center for the Performing Arts at The Woodlands

MR. RICKS began serving as a director of Panacea Pharmaceuticals Inc., a private pharmaceutical development company, in 2015. Mr. Ricks currently serves as Chief Investment Officer of H&S Ventures L.L.C., a private investment firm. Prior to taking this position with H&S Ventures in May 2001, he was CEO of The University of Texas Investment Management Company from March 1996 to May 2001. Mr. Ricks also served as Vice Chancellor for Asset Management for The University of Texas System from August 1992 through February 1996 and as Executive Director of Finance and Private Investments from 1988 to 1992.

EDUCATION: B.A. in Economics from Trinity College and M.B.A. from the University of Chicago

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

High Level of Financial Literacy and Risk Analysis Expertise: over 30 years in various domestic and international finance positions in the oil and gas and financial industries, providing him with investment and financial experience combined with accounting and audit expertise; responsible for the management of a \$15 billion endowment and operating fund supporting The University of Texas System; holds B.A. in Economics and M.B.A.; Certified Public Accountant (not licensed); and determined by the Board to be an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the SEC

Thomas G. Ricks, 63
 Director since 1992

Committees:
 »
 Audit (Chair)
 »

Relevant Leadership and Chief Executive Officer/President Experience: over five years of experience as the CEO of a \$15 billion fund

Nominating & Corporate
Governance

Extensive Knowledge of the Company's Business and Industry: served as one of our directors for 24 years, providing invaluable knowledge of our strategy and business

Extensive Board and Corporate Governance Expertise: serves on the Board of Panacea Pharmaceuticals Inc.; former director of BDM International, DTM Corporation, LifeCell Corporation and Argus Pharmaceuticals and brings to our Board significant knowledge on corporate governance matters

Community Commitment and Charitable Experience: serves on the Investment Committee of the University of California Foundation Irvine; previously served on the Audit Committee of the Samueli Foundation, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to create societal value by investing in innovative, entrepreneurial and sustainable ideas; and previously served on the board of the Ocean Institute, a not-for-profit organization with the mission to inspire all generations, through education, to become responsible stewards of our oceans

Table of Contents

MS. ROMANS serves as the CEO of the Romans Group, a private global healthcare consulting firm she founded in January 2011. From 2012-2013, Ms. Romans served as Managing Principal of MFR Healthcare Solutions (a partnership formed with the Romans Group). MFR Healthcare Solutions, Inc. provided strategic, tactical and operational planning consulting services to hospitals, universities, medical institutions and other providers in the global healthcare marketplace. From June 2006 to January 2011, Ms. Romans served as CEO and Central Market Leader of Memorial Hermann Texas Medical Center, and from January 2003 to January 2011 she served as the CEO of Memorial Hermann Hospital.

EDUCATION: B.S. in Biology from the University of Detroit and M.S. in Nursing from Wayne State University

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

Juanita M. Romans, 65
Director since 2005

Diversity: female; and over 30 years of professional experience in medical industry, strategic planning, project development and nonprofit/charitable organizations

Committees:

High Level of Financial Literacy and Risk Analysis Expertise: over 20 years of experience in the areas of contracting, project development, partnerships, joint ventures and analyzing risks related to business strategy; and managed the costs, and responsible for the financial health, of Memorial Hermann Hospital with over 6,000 employees for nine years

»
Compensation & Management
Development

Relevant Leadership and Chief Executive Officer/President Experience: over nine years of experience as a CEO in a heavily-regulated and people-intensive medical industry where she was responsible for, among other matters, strategy development and execution, financial performance and operations

»
Nominating & Corporate
Governance

Community Dedication and Charitable Experience: serves on the board of Center for Houston's Future; Executive Committee member, Houston Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; served on the board of Rice University Jones Business School, formerly a director of the Children's Assessment Center, the South Main Center Association and Save our ERs; and a member of Texas Hospital Association, Voluntary Hospital Association, Texas Association for Public and Non-Profit Hospitals, Greater Houston Partnership and Texas Executive Women

MR. SCHANCK has served as a director of P2 Energy Solutions, a private company engaged in oil & gas software development and sales since October 2014. Mr. Schanck has over 35 years of experience in the oil and gas industry, most recently serving as President and CEO of Sonde Resources Corporation in Calgary, Alberta from 2010 until his retirement in June 2013. Sonde is an energy company engaged in the exploration and production of oil and natural gas with operations in Western Canada and offshore North Africa. Mr. Schanck spent the first 21 years of his career, 1978 to 1999, with Unocal Corporation and its subsidiaries. During that time, he helped lead Unocal's exploration activities in both the U.S. and international regions, holding the positions of Group Vice President Oil & Gas Operations from 1994 to 1996 and President of Spirit Energy 76 from 1997 to 1999. Following his career with Unocal, Mr. Schanck was Co-CEO for Samson Investment Company from 1999 to 2005 and Managing Partner of Tecton Energy, LLC from 2006 to 2009. Mr. Schanck is also a director of Saulsbury Industries, a private company engaged in engineering and construction.

John W. Schanck, 63
Director since 2013

EDUCATION: M.S. in Geology from the University of Memphis, B.S. in Geology from Allegheny College and A.A. from Allegheny Community College

Committees:

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY DIRECTORSHIPS HELD IN PAST FIVE YEARS: Penn West Exploration Ltd. (June 2008 to June 2014) and Sonde Resources Corp. (December 2010 to July 2013)

»
Audit

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

Relevant Leadership and Chief Executive Officer/President Experience: President and CEO of Sonde Resources Corporation from 2010-2013; Co-CEO for Samson Investment Company from 1999 to 2005; President of Spirit Energy 76 from 1997 to 1999

»

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Nominating & Corporate
Governance

Extensive Knowledge of the Company's Business and Industry: over 35 years of experience in the energy industry where he has held leadership positions in both public and private companies, including C-level executive; and holds a B.S. and M.S. in Geology

»

Operations & Reserves (Chair)

Community Dedication and Charitable Experience: served on numerous not-for-profit boards including Child Abuse Network, Tulsa, OK, board member and President of Spindletop Charities, Houston, TX, board member and President of United Way, Tulsa, OK

Table of Contents

MR. STRANGE is a 34-year veteran of KPMG LLP. From 1996 until his retirement in 2002, he served as the Vice Chairman and Managing Partner of the U.S. Audit Practice of KPMG in addition to his service from 1998 until 2002 as the Global Managing Partner of the Audit Practice of KPMG International.

EDUCATION: B.B.A. and M.B.A. in Accounting from the University of North Texas

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY DIRECTORSHIPS IN PAST FIVE YEARS: Group 1 Automotive, Inc., an automotive retailer (2005 to present); New Jersey Resources Corporation, a natural gas provider (2003 to present); BBVA Compass Bancshares, Inc., a commercial bank (2008 to present); and previously served as a director of SLM Corporation, known as "Sallie Mae" (2008 to 2013)

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

High Level of Financial Literacy and Risk Analysis: 34 years of service with KPMG in the audit division, including six years as Vice Chairman and overseeing internal risk management of the firm; assisted in developing information risk management team at KPMG; B.B.A. and M.B.A. in Accounting; Certified Public Accountant; named as one of 100 most influential accountants in 2001 by Accounting Today; and determined by the Board to be an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the SEC

Broad International Exposure: four years as the Global Managing Partner of the Audit Practice of KPMG International

Extensive Board and Corporate Governance Experience: serves on the audit committee and board of three additional public companies; an active participant and expert speaker for the National Association of Corporate Directors and an NACD Board Leadership Fellow, bringing to our Board significant knowledge on corporate governance matters

Extensive Knowledge of the Company's Business and Industry: served clients in the energy industry for over 15 years; led the energy practice at KPMG for three years; significantly involved in the original development of the accounting standards released by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the disclosure rules implemented by the SEC for the oil and gas industry; and assisted in writing the original oil and gas accounting industry guide published by the AICPA

Community Dedication and Charitable Experience: Chair of the Finance Committee of the National Cutting Horse Association

MR. WELLS has more than 35 years of experience in the oil and gas industry, most recently retiring from his position as CEO and President of Fidelity Exploration & Production Company in February 2015 after serving 32 years with Amoco and BP. Wells launched his career as a Petroleum Engineer with Amoco Canada Pet. Co. in 1979 and quickly advanced to take on a number of key roles in the organization. From 2000 through 2002, Mr. Wells held the position of Vice President, Rockies, and from 2002 through 2005, Vice President, Gulf of Mexico Shelf, BP America. He also served as General Manager, Abu Dhabi Company for Onshore Oil Operations, 2005 through 2007 and as Senior Vice President, Exploration and Production North America Gas, BP America, from 2007 through 2011. He joined Fidelity Exploration & Production Company in 2011 where he assumed the responsibility of President and CEO. In 2013, he was made Vice Chairman of MDU Resources, the parent company of Fidelity, and elected to serve on its board. He retired from Fidelity and MDU Resources, including its board, in February 2015.

EDUCATION: B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Queen's University in Canada

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY DIRECTORSHIPS IN PAST FIVE YEARS: Director of MDU Resources (2013 to 2015)

SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS, ATTRIBUTES, SKILLS AND EXPERIENCE:

Relevant Leadership and Chief Executive Officer Experience: more than 15 years in leadership roles at BP

J. Terry Strange, 72
Director since 2004

Committees:

»

Audit

»

Compensation & Management
Development (Chair)

»

Nominating & Corporate
Governance

James (Kent) Wells, 59
Director since 2015

Committees:

»

Audit and Fidelity including senior leadership positions as Senior Vice President, Exploration and Production and CEO & President

»

Operations & Reserve

Extensive Knowledge of the Company's Business and Industry: over 35 years of experience in the oil and gas industry, where he has held positions of increasing responsibility starting as a petroleum engineer focusing on drilling and advancing to C-level executive; significant experience in onshore gas resource plays in the United States while at BP; and holds a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering

Community Dedication and Charitable Experience: served on the Board of regional chapters of the MS Society and the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation

BOARD RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" each of the foregoing nominees to serve as a director.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Only non-employee directors are compensated for serving as directors. Currently, Mr. Boothby, our Chairman of the Board, President and CEO, is the only Board member who is an employee of ours, and his compensation as an employee is included in the Summary Compensation Table of the Proxy Statement.

Director Compensation Program

In May 2015, the Governance Committee considered the compensation of the non-employee directors and determined that no changes were necessary for the 2015/2016 period, other than the annual fee for the Governance Committee chair should be increased. As a result, compensation for non-employee directors was as follows:

	Compensation for 2014/2015	Compensation for 2015/2016
Annual cash retainer	\$ 75,000	No change
Annual fee for chair of the Governance Committee	\$ 10,000	\$ 20,000
Annual fee for chair of the Audit Committee	\$ 25,000	No change
Annual fee for chair of the Compensation Committee	\$ 20,000	No change
Annual fee for chair of the O&R Committee	\$ 20,000	No change
Annual fee for the Lead Director	\$ 75,000	No change
Annual restricted stock award	\$ 200,000	No change

Annual restricted stock awards are granted pursuant to the Newfield Exploration Company 2011 Omnibus Stock Plan. Each non-employee director who is in office immediately after an Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be granted restricted shares with a specified market value. The number of restricted shares granted is determined by dividing that market value by the average of the high and low sales prices of the Company's common stock on the date of the Annual Meeting. Each non-employee director who is appointed by the Board not in connection with an Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be granted restricted shares with the same market value as used for the previous Annual Meeting, with the number of restricted shares determined by dividing the market value by the average of the high and low sales prices of the Company's common stock on the date of appointment. In general, the restrictions on the shares granted will lapse on the day before the first Annual Meeting of Stockholders after the date of grant, provided that if a non-employee director terminates service as a director by reason of death or disability, the restrictions on the award will lapse as of the date of termination.

For 2015, Messrs. Plank and Wells received the standard compensation paid by the Company to non-employee directors, pro-rated to reflect the actual time each served on the Board for the 2015 fiscal year.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation Table**

The following table contains information about our non-employee directors' fiscal year 2015 compensation.

	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (1) (\$)	All Other Compensation (2) (\$)	Total (\$)
Pamela J. Gardner	\$ 75,000	\$ 199,979	\$ 0	\$ 274,979
John Randolph Kemp III (3)	\$ 76,930	\$ 199,979	\$ 0	\$ 276,909
Steven W. Nance	\$ 125,282	\$ 199,979	\$ 0	\$ 325,261
Howard H. Newman (4)	\$ 27,816	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 27,816
Roger B. Plank (6)	\$ 31,997	\$ 159,992	\$ 0	\$ 191,989
Thomas G. Ricks	\$ 100,000	\$ 199,979	\$ 0	\$ 299,979
Juanita M. Romans	\$ 75,000	\$ 199,979	\$ 0	\$ 274,979
John (Jack) W. Schanck	\$ 95,000	\$ 199,979	\$ 0	\$ 294,979
C.E. (Chuck) Shultz (5)	\$ 55,632	\$ 0	\$ 2,500	\$ 58,132
Richard K. Stoneburner (4)	\$ 27,816	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 27,816
J. Terry Strange	\$ 91,291	\$ 199,979	\$ 0	\$ 291,270
James Kent Wells (7)	\$ 31,182	\$ 159,992	\$ 0	\$ 191,174

(1)

Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of the 2015 restricted stock awards to our non-employee directors, computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 ("FASB ASC Topic 718"), determined without regard to forfeitures, as required by SEC regulations. The grant date fair value of each 2015 award of \$199,979 was based on the mean of the high and low sales price of our common stock on the May 15, 2015 grant date. The grant date fair value of each 2015 award of \$159,992 (to Messrs. Plank and Wells) was based on the mean of the high and low sales price of our common stock on July 29, 2015. See also Note 14, Stock-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC, for a discussion of the assumptions used in determining the FASB ASC Topic 718 grant date fair value of these awards. As of December 31, 2015 (i) Mr. Kemp held 0 unvested restricted shares since shares granted to him in 2015 were forfeited upon his resignation from the Board, (ii) Messrs. Newman, Shultz and Stoneburner each held 0 unvested restricted shares since they either did not stand for reelection or retired in 2015 and therefore received no stock-based compensation for the year, (iii) Messrs. Plank and Wells each held 5,017 unvested restricted shares, and (iv) each other non-employee director listed in the table above held

5,735 unvested restricted shares. All unvested restricted shares are scheduled to vest on May 16, 2016, the day before our 2016 Annual Meeting, subject to the non-employee director's continued service through such date.

- (2) Reflects charitable contributions with respect to 2015 pursuant to our matching gift program for non-employee directors. Under this program, we match our non-employee directors' charitable contributions up to \$2,500 per year.
- (3) Mr. Kemp resigned from the Board, effective October 22, 2015.
- (4) Messrs. Newman and Stoneburner did not stand for reelection to the Board at our 2015 annual meeting.
- (5) Mr. Schultz retired from the Board, effective May 14, 2015.
- (6) Mr. Plank was appointed to the Board, effective July 28, 2015.
- (7) Mr. Wells was appointed to the Board, effective August 1, 2015.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Our Recent Strategy – Navigating Low Commodity Prices</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>2015 Results</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Our 2016 Actions & Priorities & Long-Term Business Strategy</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Total Stockholder Return (TSR) Performance</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Key 2015 Executive Compensation Actions</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Stockholder Outreach</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>II. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY AND COMPONENTS</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Philosophy and Objectives</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Direct Compensation Components</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Pay for Performance</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>III. 2015 COMPENSATION DECISIONS</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Compensation Peer Group Analysis</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Base Salary</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Annual Incentive Awards</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Target Bonus Amounts</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>2015 Company Performance Measures</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>2015 Annual Incentive Plan Goal Determination</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Individual Performance Measures</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>2015 Annual Incentive Award Payout Determinations</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Time-Based RSUs</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Performance-Based RSUs</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Other Compensation</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>IV. 2016 COMPENSATION PROGRAM</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>2016 Peer Group Changes</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>V. COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Incentive Compensation Clawback</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Prohibited Transactions</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Tax Deductibility Considerations</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Compensation Policies and Practices Related to Risk Management</u>	<u>51</u>

<u>COMPENSATION & MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2015</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2015</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Stock Vested in 2015</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Change of Control Severance Agreements</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Outstanding Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Other Compensation Plans</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Post-Employment Tables</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u>	<u>65</u>

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Compensation & Management Development Committee of our Board (Compensation Committee) oversees our compensation programs for executive officers and all employees. The purpose of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) is to explain the Compensation Committee's compensation philosophy, summarize our executive compensation programs and describe compensation decisions for the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and three other most highly compensated executive officers for 2015 (NEOs). The NEOs for 2015 are the following individuals:

Lee K. Boothby, Chairman of the Board, President and CEO;

Lawrence S. Massaro, Executive Vice President and CFO;

Gary D. Packer, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (COO);

George T. Dunn, Senior Vice President Development; and

John H. Jasek, Senior Vice President Operations.

This CD&A should be read together with the compensation tables and related disclosures under "Executive Compensation Tables," beginning on page 53, and is divided into five sections:

- (I) **Executive Summary** (beginning on page 26), which provides a high level overview of our corporate vision and strategy, key Company performance outcomes and NEO compensation decisions for 2015, and our recent stockholder outreach initiative;
- (II) **Executive Compensation Philosophy and Components** (beginning on page 35), which describes the philosophy and objectives of our executive compensation program, the direct compensation elements provided to our NEOs and the purpose of each element, and the pay for performance alignment of the compensation provided to our CEO;
- (III) **2015 Compensation Decisions** (beginning on page 38), which describes and provides an analysis of the specific compensation decisions made for our NEOs for 2015;
- (IV) **2016 Compensation Program** (beginning on page 49), which provides certain information regarding changes to our executive compensation program for 2016; and
- (V) **Compensation Policies and Practices** (beginning on page 50), which discusses compensation-related policies we have implemented to reflect our commitment to the best corporate governance practices.

For additional information regarding the role and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee, as well as those of the other committees of our Board, please see "Corporate Governance" beginning on page seven.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Newfield is a large independent exploration and production company, with estimated proved reserves of approximately 509 million barrels of oil equivalent (MMBOE) and consolidated fourth quarter 2015 production of approximately 162 thousand barrels of oil equivalent per day. Our operations are focused primarily on large scale, liquids rich resource plays in the U.S. In addition, we have offshore oil developments in China.

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

The Company has undergone significant change over the last decade. We have transitioned from a diversified asset base of U.S. and international onshore and offshore operations to a more focused portfolio of U.S. onshore liquids-rich plays with extensive drilling inventories. Furthermore, we have transitioned our proved reserves and production from primarily natural gas to a greater percentage of oil and natural gas liquids.

Table of Contents

Our corporate vision is clear: to be recognized as the premier exploration and production company, delivering operational excellence, top-tier business results and value to our stockholders, employees and the communities where we live and work. We believe our transformation has contributed to our position as a leader in our sector in total stockholder return over the past five years, which was driven in part by our superior performance in the past two years as shown in the chart below:

**Relative TSR performance - 2 year
NFX vs E&P peer group**

Our Recent Strategy Navigating Low Commodity Prices

Our industry has been significantly impacted by lower crude oil and natural gas prices. Following a period of five years of unprecedented strength and consistency, oil prices began collapsing in late 2014 and averaged approximately \$49.00 per barrel in 2015 and have been as low as \$26.21 per barrel to date in 2016. Despite this, Newfield was a leading performer in the stock market in 2015. Our stock price increased 20% during the year, eclipsing the average decrease of approximately 40% in our sector. We were also the top E&P performer in the S&P 500 Index.

Recently, we've taken significant and proactive steps to preserve liquidity, ensure financial strength and to prepare our Company to succeed in a low commodity price environment:

Recapitalized our Company in early 2015, issuing approximately \$815 million in equity which we used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility and money market lines of credit, with any remaining funds being used for general corporate purposes. This was our first equity issuance in more than a decade.

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Issued \$700 million of our 5 ³/₈% Senior Notes due 2026 and used the proceeds from that issuance to redeem our 6 ⁷/₈% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2020.

Table of Contents

During 2015, we received proceeds of approximately \$90 million associated with the continuing sale of non-strategic assets.

Upsized our credit facility by \$400 million to \$1.8 billion and extended its term by two years to 2020. At year-end 2015, our credit facility was undrawn and our money market line of credit had approximately \$39 million drawn.

High-graded our 2015 capital investments and reduced expenditures by 26% compared to 2014. We re-directed capital from lower return areas into the Anadarko Basin where our drilling returns are the highest and drilling holds our acreage by production.

Reduced our per unit-of-production lease operating expenses by 21% compared to 2014 levels and implemented innovative ways to cut costs and consequently enhance margins. We expect that many of these savings will be sustainable even as commodity prices recover.

2015 Results

Our strong performance in 2015 can be attributed to achievements in the following four categories:

FINANCIAL STRENGTH

In 2015, we took significant and proactive steps to ensure financial strength and a sound capital structure (equity, debt, extended and increased credit facility) and to prepare Newfield for a low commodity price environment. In addition to the steps mentioned on pages 27-28, we managed risks through our hedging program, partially offsetting the negative impact of low commodity prices and realized commodity derivative income of approximately \$500 million in 2015. We estimate approximately \$270 million in realized commodity derivative income in 2016, both amount and strip prices as of February 19, 2016.

OPERATIONAL EXECUTION

We had excellent performance in 2015, consistently beating expectations, raising production guidance and reducing our future unit cost outlooks. The strong performance was across the organization, with each region achieving (or exceeding) its beginning of the year targets on production and costs and expenses. A summary follows:

Exceeded domestic and international production targets during the year. Domestic guidance was increased twice during 2015 and we surpassed fourth quarter expectations despite a negative impact due to weather in December.

Added nearly 90 MMBOE in estimated proved reserves in the Anadarko Basin, a year-over-year increase of nearly 50%. Positive additions helped offset significant price related revisions (SEC oil price down 47%, SEC natural gas price down 40% YOY). Total Company proved reserves decreased 21% YOY to 509 MMBOE (65% proved developed).

Continued to deliver "best-in-class" well results in the Williston Basin.

Achieved strong performance against all of our safety, environmental, regulatory and compliance (SERC) metrics.

Table of Contents

ADVANCEMENT OF SCOOP AND STACK

The market was laser-focused on our Anadarko Basin results in the SCOOP and STACK plays in 2015. Improving well performance, lower well costs, completion optimizations, and confidence in our resource and inventory all combined to drive our share price performance during the year. Highlights include:

Grew Anadarko Basin production to a fourth quarter 2015 average of approximately 75,000 BOEPD. Anadarko Basin today comprises almost half of total Company production and proved domestic reserves.

Active development of SCOOP underway. Continued to de-risk STACK acreage through held by production drilling and remain encouraged with multiple development horizons across the play. Recent industry success provides additional encouragement for the prospectivity of our acreage in the immediate vicinity.

Lowered best-in-class STACK well costs to approximately \$7.4 million in 2015, down from a beginning-of-the-year estimate of \$8.5 million. Drilling days have been reduced across the play and completions are being optimized to improve returns.

Demonstrated continuous improvement in average well performance throughout the year. Average well results are tracking at/above our 950 MBOE type curve for the STACK play.

Signed a marketing agreement with MarkWest Energy Partners in STACK. Moving oil production from truck to pipe by mid-2016 and expecting an improvement in current differential to NYMEX WTI. Future oil sales will be via Cushing, Oklahoma.

Added 20,000 net acres in our STACK play and moved our total Anadarko Basin net acreage position to more than 315,000 net acres.

IMPROVED ORGANIZATIONAL FOCUS, COMMUNICATION AND VISION ALIGNMENT

In addition to our solid financial and operating performance, we advanced key strategic initiatives in 2015 to improve our organizational focus and communications at all levels, reduce general and administrative (G&A) expense and more effectively deploy talent. Our actions in 2015 led to results that support our future and that reflect and are aligned with our corporate vision: *to be recognized as the premier E&P company delivering operational excellence, top-tier business results and value to our stockholders, employees and the communities where we live and work.* Highlights include:

Improved our deployment of key talent, organizational structure and G&A costs through the combination of our Rocky Mountain and Onshore Gulf Coast business units. We also closed our Denver office.

We anticipate reducing our 2016 expected G&A expenses. We reduced our overall workforce by more than 20% through a reduction in force, the closure of our Denver-based business unit and the subsequent consolidation of two business units.

Completed succession planning for all levels through "director" and developed and implemented a tailored Leadership Development Program.

Continued to monetize non-strategic assets and received proceeds of approximately \$90 million in 2015. These continued monetizations align with our focus on domestic resource plays of scale.

Table of Contents

Our 2016 Actions & Priorities & Long-Term Business Strategy

Although 2015 was a year of significant and proactive adaptation by Newfield, we've already taken additional steps in 2016 to maintain our financial strength and to weather a weak commodity price environment, including:

Earlier this year we issued approximately \$775 million in equity, which we used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility and money market lines of credit, with any remaining funds being used for general corporate purposes, including capital expenditures and working capital.

Newfield's 2016 capital budget is expected to be \$625 - \$675 million (excluding about \$100 million in capitalized interest and direct internal costs), down about 50% over 2015 investment levels of approximately \$1.4 billion, excluding capitalized internal costs.

Anticipate reducing our 2016 projected general and administrative expenses. In 2015, we reduced our overall workforce by more than 20% through a reduction in force, the closure of our Denver-based business unit and the subsequent consolidation of two business units into one located adjacent to our headquarters in The Woodlands, Texas.

The Company has adapted its near-term business plan to include four key priorities:

1. **Preserve liquidity** Reducing expenditure levels and better aligning cash flow with capital investments will be paramount to preserving liquidity and managing leverage ratios. We have an unsecured credit facility with \$1.8 billion of available borrowing capacity and a term that extends through 2020.
2. **HBP STACK acreage** We plan to run an active rig program in our STACK area to hold acreage by production. At year-end 2015, about half of our 225,000 net acres in STACK was held by production (HBP).
3. **Reduce operating expenses, preserve margins** Over the last year, we reduced domestic lease operating expenses by nearly 25% and took steps to lower 2016 gross general and administrative expense. The high-grading of investments into the Anadarko Basin is expected to further enhance our domestic cost structure and realized commodity prices.
4. **Monetize additional non-strategic assets** We plan to launch a process to sell additional non-core assets. Proceeds from a potential sale would be used to fund our capital program and manage short-term borrowing levels.

Despite significant near-term changes to our business strategy, our long-term business strategy continues to be delivering stockholder long-term value through the consistent growth of cash flow, production and proved reserves. The recent efforts to refine our asset base and focus our investments on oil and liquids-rich onshore resource plays in the U.S. are consistent with our corporate vision.

Today, we operate in several U.S. basins with our primary growth area located in the Anadarko Basin of Oklahoma. The Anadarko Basin has an extensive inventory and resilient economics at lower oil and natural gas liquids prices. We anticipate that approximately 80% of our capital investments in 2016 will be allocated to the Anadarko Basin.

Our long-term business strategy includes the following:

Creating value for our stockholders by focusing on select, quality assets in leading liquids-rich plays while maintaining the appropriate size and scale of operations to optimize those assets;

Focusing on organic opportunities through disciplined capital investments;

Continuously improving our operational efficiency and economic returns;

Preserving a strong and flexible balance sheet;

Executing select, strategic acquisitions and divestitures; and

Attracting and retaining quality employees who are aligned with stockholders' interests.

Table of Contents

Total Stockholder Return (TSR) Performance

We successfully executed our 2015 business plan. We believe that our solid balance sheet, operational execution and the continued positive developments in our SCOOP and STACK differentiated our story from those of other E&P companies in 2015. As a result, we were the top performing E&P stock in the S&P 500 Index with a gain of approximately 20% in 2015, compared to an average decline of approximately 44% in a group of our peers in the E&P industry that we are likely to be compared against (the E&P Peer Group). For 2015, the E&P Peer Group was composed of different companies than the TSR Performance Peer Group and 2015 Compensation Peer Group because the peer group used for TSR performance purposes reflects the companies with whom Newfield competes for investors as opposed to executives or customers. A chart comparing our 2015 TSR is included below and highlights our exceptional performance in 2015 versus the E&P Peer Group:

**RELATIVE TSR PERFORMANCE 1 Year
NFX vs E&P peer group**

Further, following a five-year period of unprecedented strength and consistency from 2010 through most of 2014, oil prices began collapsing in late 2014. The price of oil (WTI) in January 2016 averaged approximately \$32 per barrel, as compared to approximately \$95 per barrel in January 2014. Natural gas prices also experienced significant declines in 2014 and 2015, as the NYMEX Henry Hub natural gas price during that period ranged from a high of \$6.15 per MMBtu in February 2014 to a 17-year low of \$1.76 per MMBtu in December 2015. Despite those significant challenges, when comparing our performance over the past three years versus the E&P Peer Group, we again excelled on our total shareholder return:

Table of Contents

**RELATIVE TSR PERFORMANCE 3 Year
NFX vs E&P peer group**

Over the last five years, our stock had a negative return of 55% compared to an average negative return of 33% for the E&P Peer Group. Over the last five years, however, we have significantly repositioned our Company. Rather than being a gas-focused, geographically disparate organization, we are today focused on liquids-rich unconventional plays onshore U.S. with a significant acreage position in the world class STACK and SCOOP, which have yielded exceptional results, and we have a deep portfolio of drilling opportunities there and elsewhere from which to create future value for our shareholders. Although that transformation had a significant impact on our total shareholder return in 2011 and a portion of 2012, the consequences of that transformation in more recent years on our operational and financial performance have been noticed by investors and is reflected in our one, two and three year total shareholder return versus the E&P Peer Group:

Table of Contents

**RELATIVE TSR PERFORMANCE 5 Year
NFX vs E&P peer group**

Key 2015 Executive Compensation Actions

As described in more detail throughout this CD&A, our executive compensation programs and actions delivered outcomes aligned with our solid operational, financial and strategic performance in 2015 and the current market conditions, which are reflected in the following compensation decisions and outcomes:

The Compensation Committee elected not to increase NEO base salaries for 2015 due to the 2015 business climate. See "2015 Compensation Decisions – Base Salary."

To emphasize the significance of our near-term and relative operational performance measures, the Compensation Committee increased the portion of our 2015 annual incentive awards tied to those measures from 60% to 75% and approved a collective 2015 annual incentive award payout factor (AIP Factor) of 1.76 or 176% with respect to the overall Company performance measures. See "2015 Compensation Decisions – Annual Incentive Awards."

The Compensation Committee granted performance-based restricted stock units ("RSUs") constituting 40% - 50% of the total long-term equity-based incentive award value granted to the NEOs for 2015, with quarterly determination dates during the one-year TSR performance earning window (changed from monthly determination dates, which were used for the 2014 awards). See "2015 Compensation Decisions – Long Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards."

With respect to previously granted performance-based RSUs, the NEOs earned 55% and 70% of the performance-based RSUs granted in 2011 and 2012, respectively, based on the Company's relative TSR performance against the TSR Performance Peer Group (as defined below). See "Executive Compensation Philosophy and Components Pay for Performance."

Table of Contents

See "2016 Compensation Program" in this CD&A for a discussion of certain 2016 compensation actions and decisions.

Stockholder Outreach

Over the last year, we increased our outreach efforts to stockholders and solicited feedback on our executive compensation programs, corporate governance, safety and environmental programs, corporate responsibility and other important issues.

These increased efforts were encouraged by our Compensation Committee following the results of the say on pay advisory vote at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders. Last year, approximately 72% of the total votes cast (excluding abstentions) were in favor of our say-on-pay proposal, down from more than 90% approval at our 2013 and 2014 annual meetings. Although our executive compensation programs remained largely consistent over this three year period, the Compensation Committee carefully reviewed our executive pay programs during 2015 and encouraged management to increase its stockholder engagement efforts in light of the advisory vote results.

During 2015, we solicited feedback from stockholders representing approximately 70% of our outstanding common stock and met with or held phone conversations with management of our stockholders representing approximately 50% of our outstanding common stock. Our Board and its relevant committees discussed this constructive feedback and it was used to strengthen our compensation programs, improve our disclosure practices in this proxy statement and address other governance matters. They also sought an appropriate balance in our executive compensation program to best serve the interests of our stockholders while continuing to attract, motivate and retain the talent necessary to achieve the Company's strategy. We value the views of our stockholders and will continue to solicit this feedback in the future.

Although stockholders expressed a variety of views and many relevant topics were discussed in these outreach meetings, we believe the table below summarizes the most relevant topics and outlines our responses to each.

Feedback

Our Responses

The majority of annual long-term equity-based incentive awards should be performance-based

Effective for the year 2016, we eliminated our two-year TSR performance period and for future periods all performance-based equity awards will be subject to a three-year performance period, with the NEOs eligible to earn between 0% and 200% of the target share number. See "2016 Compensation Program."

Executive compensation should be less discretionary and tied more directly to assessable performance measures

Prior to engaging in our 2015 stockholder outreach, we increased the portion of our annual incentive awards for 2015 tied to objective relative and near-term Company performance measures from 60% to 75%, and we utilized specific and assessable strategic priorities for purposes of evaluating performance with respect to the 25% strategic measurement portion of the 2015 awards. See "2015 Compensation Decisions Annual Incentive Awards." We have continued this approach for our 2016 annual incentive awards.

Compensation drivers including goals, rigor of targets and formulas should be clearly described

We enhanced disclosure in our 2016 proxy statement regarding our annual incentive award goals and determinations.

The role of Lead Director should be well defined and disclosed

We increased disclosure on the specific duties of our Lead Director. See "Corporate Governance Board of Directors."

The process and selection criteria for Board member nominations should be better described

We increased disclosure around the role of Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which oversees Board member nominations. See "Corporate Governance Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee."

Table of Contents

II. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY AND COMPONENTS

Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation philosophy is guided by the following objectives:

Goal-Oriented Pay for Performance. The cornerstone of our compensation program is "pay for performance." In making compensation decisions, we focus on annual and long-term Company performance and measure performance against our peers and against goals and measures at the Company and individual levels.

Competitive Compensation. The Compensation Committee believes it is imperative to maintain market competitive compensation programs to attract, retain and motivate executives and our future leaders that are in line with the compensation of similar executive positions at peer companies.

Alignment with Long-Term Stockholder Interests. By providing a significant portion of compensation in the form of long-term equity-based incentive awards, including time-based and performance-based RSUs, we closely align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders in an effort to create long-term stockholder value.

Direct Compensation Components

In support of our compensation philosophy and objectives, total direct compensation received by our NEOs for 2015 is comprised of the elements included in the chart below. The elements of indirect compensation provided to our NEOs are described below under "2015 Compensation Decisions - Other Compensation."

Table of Contents

Over the last several years, the Compensation Committee has shifted more NEO total direct compensation towards variable "at risk" compensation (annual incentive awards and long-term equity-based incentive awards), where actual amounts earned may differ from target amounts based on Company and individual performance. In addition, under the combined leadership of the Compensation Committee and our executive team, we have improved alignment of our executive compensation with our near- and long-term business strategies. Our annual incentive awards are designed to primarily reward performance against our near-term strategies. Conversely, our long-term equity-based incentive awards are designed to reward achievements consistent with our long-term business strategies.

The Compensation Committee believes that a program weighted towards variable, at risk compensation, including stock price performance, ensures NEO interests are appropriately aligned with stockholder interests. The charts below illustrate the mix of 2015 target total direct compensation for our CEO and NEOs:

For our NEOs, the charts above are based on the following: (i) 2015 base salaries, as discussed on page 39, (ii) target bonus amounts with respect to 2015 annual incentive awards, as discussed beginning on page 39, and (iii) the targeted grant date fair value for the 2015 grants of time- and performance-based RSUs, as discussed beginning on page 45. The amounts actually realized by our NEOs with respect to the annual incentive awards and RSUs granted in 2015 depend, as applicable, on the level of attainment of the relevant performance goals and the value of our common stock when the awards vest.

Pay for Performance

We believe our executive compensation programs play an important role in helping us achieve our business objectives and effectively reward our executive officers for their contributions to those achievements. As illustrated above, the target total direct compensation of our NEOs is heavily weighted towards variable, at risk compensation (annual incentive awards and long-term equity-based incentive awards) that is tied to Company and individual performance and, as a result, the actual amounts realized or realizable at a given point in time often differ from the target total direct compensation awarded and from the amounts reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

Table of Contents

The table below reflects the difference between our CEO's 2015 compensation at target level, as reported in the Summary Compensation Table, and based on the actual realized and actual realizable value of the compensation as of December 31, 2015, as compared to our TSR for 2015, which demonstrates the pay for performance alignment of our executive compensation program.

Compensation Component	Target Total		Actual	Actual	Total Stockholder Return (TSR)
	Direct Compensation	Summary Compensation Table (1)	Realized Amount at 12/31/2015 (2)	Realizable Amount at 12/31/2015 (3)	
Base Salary	\$ 850,000	\$ 850,000	\$ 850,000	\$ 850,000	
Annual Incentive Award	\$ 1,020,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,800,000	
Time-Based RSUs	\$ 2,550,000	\$ 2,880,020	\$ 2,542,198	\$ 2,389,774	
Performance-Based RSUs	\$ 2,550,000	\$ 3,158,099	\$ 2,037,685	\$ 4,500,118	
Total	\$ 6,970,000	\$ 8,688,119	\$ 7,229,883	\$ 9,539,892	20.1%

-
- (1) As reported in the Summary Compensation Table for 2015. The annual incentive award amount is reported based on the amount actually paid for 2015 instead of the target bonus amount. Time-based RSUs and performance-based RSUs are reported based on their aggregate grant date fair value for accounting purposes.
- (2) Instead of the grant date fair value of equity-based awards granted in 2015, reflects the actual "take-home" value of equity-based awards that vested during 2015, as reported below under "Stock Vested in 2015."
- (3) Instead of the grant date fair value of equity-based awards granted in 2015, reflects (a) all outstanding performance-based RSUs granted during 2015, calculated using the Company's \$32.56 stock price on December 31, 2015 and assuming 100% of all such outstanding performance-based RSUs vest (which is the maximum potential vesting level for the awards, with the actual amount ultimately vesting dependent upon our relative TSR performance in comparison to the relevant peer group during the applicable performance period), and (b) all outstanding time-based RSUs granted during 2015 and unvested as of December 31, 2015 based on the Company's \$32.56 stock price on December 31, 2015, in each case, as reported below under "Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2015." See chart below in this section for additional detail on our performance-based RSU awards granted in 2015 and on performance-based RSU awards granted in prior years that were settled during 2015 or remain outstanding as of December 31, 2015. The value of all outstanding, unvested performance-based RSUs and time-based RSUs (including awards granted prior to 2015) as of December 31, 2015, calculated based on the Company's \$32.56 stock price on that date and assuming 100% of all outstanding performance-based RSUs vest, is shown in the "Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2015" table.

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

The Compensation Committee believes that realized compensation is more representative of compensation actually earned than the compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table and that realizable compensation is a reflection of the total potential compensation that may be earned by an executive, which also supports our compensation objectives of motivating and retaining executives. Because of our strong operational, strategic and TSR performance in 2015, our CEO earned 176% of his target bonus amount under his annual incentive award. As a result of our stock price and our relative TSR performance, the actual realized and realizable value of our RSU awards as of December 31, 2015, was more than the CEO's target grant date opportunity, which reinforces the program's alignment with stockholder interests and Company performance, as reflected by our TSR for the period.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the TSR ranking of the performance-based RSUs granted to our CEO since 2010:

Performance Period Start Date	Relative TSR Measurement Period	Number of Performance-Based RSUs Awarded	Payout Range	TSR Rank (as of December 31, 2015 for outstanding awards at 2015 year-end)	RSUs Vested/Unvested/Forfeited as of 12/31/15
March 2010	Apr. 15, 2013 to Apr. 15, 2015 (Monthly)	34,800	0% to 100%	13	12,180/22,620/22,620
March 2011	Apr. 15, 2014 to Apr. 15, 2016 (Monthly)	35,300	0% to 100%	8	19,415/15,885/0
March 2012	Apr. 15, 2015 to Apr. 15, 2017 (Monthly)	51,000	0% to 100%	6	35,700/15,300/0
March 2013	Apr. 15, 2016 to Apr. 15, 2018 (Monthly)	81,900	0% to 100%	1	0/81,900/0
March 2014	Apr. 15, 2016 to Apr. 15, 2017 (Monthly)	98,040	0% to 100%	1	0/98,040/0
January 2015	Jan. 1, 2017 to Dec. 31, 2017 (Quarterly)	138,210	0% to 100%	1	0/138,210/0

III. 2015 COMPENSATION DECISIONS

The following is a discussion of the Compensation Committee's analysis and specific decisions with respect to the direct and indirect compensation elements provided to our NEOs in 2015. Variances in the amount of direct compensation awarded to each NEO generally reflect differences in individual responsibility and experience as well as the compensation levels provided to officers in comparable positions in our 2015 Compensation Peer Group.

Compensation Peer Group Analysis

In October 2014, Meridian, the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, provided the Compensation Committee with an analysis of our executive compensation relative to prevailing compensation levels at industry peers. This analysis included adjustments for

company size and our relative enterprise value among the identified peer companies (see footnote 1 to the below chart). The Compensation Committee referenced this analysis in its January and February 2015 meetings, where it determined 2015 base salary levels, 2015 target annual incentive award opportunities and 2015 RSU grants for the NEOs. The peer companies selected for purposes of this analysis (Compensation Peer Group) were:

2015 Compensation Peer Group (1)

Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation	Laredo Petroleum Inc.*	Southwestern Energy Company
Cimarex Energy Co.	Oasis Petroleum Inc.*	Ultra Petroleum Corp.
Concho Resources, Inc.	QEP Resources, Inc.	Whiting Petroleum Corporation
Denbury Resources Inc.	Range Resources Corporation	WPX Energy Inc.
EP Energy Corp.*	SandRidge Energy Inc.*	
Energen Corp.*	SM Energy Co.*	

(1) Due to recent changes to the Company's size and scope and in order for the Company to rank at or close to the median of the Compensation Peer Group in enterprise value, market capitalization and assets, the Compensation Committee removed Pioneer Natural Resources Co., Continental Resources Inc. and Noble Energy, Inc. from the Compensation Peer Group for 2015. Companies marked with a "*" were added to the Compensation Peer Group for 2015.

For a discussion of the compensation of our CEO and other NEOs compared to the 2015 Compensation Peer Group, please see "Executive Compensation Philosophy and Components – Direct Compensation Components" and " Pay for Performance."

The Compensation Peer Group was developed taking into consideration peer company metrics such as market capitalization, enterprise value, comparability of asset portfolio, revenues and the availability of compensation

Table of Contents

data, and is reviewed by the Compensation Committee periodically for reasonableness. For 2015, the Compensation Peer Group was different from the group of peer companies used in evaluating our relative TSR performance for purposes of the performance-based RSU awards (TSR Performance Peer Group), although some peer companies do overlap between the two groups.

Please see " 2016 Compensation Program 2016 Peer Group Changes" for a discussion of the Company's comprehensive peer company review for purposes of determining the 2016 Compensation Peer Group.

Base Salary

The Compensation Committee reviews executive officer base salary levels on an annual basis, typically at the beginning of the year, with a goal of providing competitive, fixed cash compensation, while rewarding Company and individual performance primarily through annual incentive awards and long-term equity-based incentive awards. The Compensation Committee generally maintains executive base salaries near the median level of salaries for comparable positions in the Compensation Peer Group. In light of the significant decline in commodity prices during the second half of 2014, and the uncertainty surrounding future commodity prices and the corresponding impact on the oil and gas industry at the beginning of 2015, the Compensation Committee decided not to increase the base salaries of our NEOs for 2015. The 2015 base salaries for our NEOs, which remained unchanged from the 2014 base salary levels, were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	2015 Base Salary
Mr. Boothby	\$ 850,000
Mr. Massaro	\$ 420,000
Mr. Packer	\$ 540,750
Mr. Dunn	\$ 382,500
Mr. Jasek	\$ 400,000

Annual Incentive Awards

For 2015, our NEOs were eligible to earn annual incentive awards under the Company's Annual Incentive Plan (2015 AIP), based upon the level of achievement across a select set of performance measures aligned with shareholder value creation, advancement of the Company's strategic goals, and the NEO's individual performance for the year. Generally, the 2015 AIP was administered as described below.

Target Bonus Amounts

After reviewing the 2015 Compensation Peer Group data with Meridian, in February 2015, the Compensation Committee set the target bonus percentages and amounts for each NEO for the 2015 AIP, with a maximum potential payout of 200% of each NEO's target bonus amount (which the Compensation Committee decided, based on the peer group data and market conditions, should remain at the same levels as in effect for 2014) as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Target Bonus Percentage	Target Bonus Amount
Mr. Boothby	120%	\$ 1,020,000
Mr. Massaro	100%	\$ 420,000
Mr. Packer	100%	\$ 540,750

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Dunn	85%	\$	325,125
Mr. Jasek	85%	\$	340,000

Table of Contents

2015 Company Performance Measures

Applicable Company performance measures and goals were established in March 2015 based on three categories:

Category I (Operational Relative Measures 45%) consistent annual operational performance measures that are evaluated each year,

Category II (Operational Near-Term Measures 30%) near-term measures that are aligned to the current operational environment and may vary from year to year, and

Category III (Strategic Measurement 25%) strategic priorities that are agreed upon by the Compensation Committee with management at the beginning of the applicable year and evaluated by the Committee (see pages 42-43 for further explanation of these strategic priorities).

The Compensation Committee determined the performance measures to be used for all three categories, as well as the weighting and goal setting with respect to all measures, with the Board's Operations and Reserves Committee (O&R Committee) serving in an advisory role with respect to inputs for the operational measures in Categories I and II. For purposes of the 2015 AIP, the Compensation Committee determined that the operational performance measures should comprise in the aggregate 75% of the total Company performance measures, with the strategic measurement comprising 25% of the total, which represented a change from the 2014 program when operational performance measures comprised 60% of the total Company performance measures and the strategic measurement comprised 40% of the total (specifically, Category I operational measures increased from 30% of the total Company performance measures to 45% for 2015). The Compensation Committee made this reallocation in order to focus the NEOs more specifically on operational goals that drive

Table of Contents

shareholder value creation. For 2015, the Compensation Committee selected the following Company performance measures and weightings for the 2015 AIP:

Performance Measures (1)	Weighting	What It Is	Why We Use It
<i>Relative performance goals</i>		<i>Operational relative measures that are consistently evaluated each year</i>	<i>Provide a strong correlation to stock price performance and mirror the Company's financial disclosures</i>
Domestic Production	15%	Domestic production (sales + lease fuel)	Critical to our future success, these are two primary measures by which stockholders measure our performance
Domestic Proved Reserves Replacement Ratio	15%	Volumes attributable to new proved locations divided by production	
Discretionary Cash Flow	15%	Total Company discretionary cash flow	Encourages adequate liquidity and balances the relationship between cash flow from operations and hedge revenues with annual capital investments
<i>Near-term performance goals</i>		<i>Operational near-term measures that are aligned to the current operational environment</i>	<i>Aligns with the near-term business plan and annual initiatives</i>
Domestic Controllable Expense per Unit of Production	10%	Controllable cash expenses (lease & operating expense + major expense + cash G&A) divided by sales	Controlling cash expenses improves margins and rates of return
Domestic Finding & Development (F&D) Costs per BOE of Proved Developed Reserve Addition	10%	Capital (drill, complete, recomplete, hook-up, injection) divided by proved developed reserve additions	Key driver of long-term success in our investments
Safety, Environmental, Regulatory & Compliance (SERC)	10%	Incorporates trailing three year average consolidated total reported incident rate (TRIR), contractor TRIR,	Encourages long-term decision making to benefit the health and safety of our employees and contractors,

		oil spill rate and produced fluid spill rate (each weighted 25%)	as well as environmental impact
<i>Strategic performance goals</i>		<i>Long-term financial, value creation and other strategic measures and milestones communicated to senior management</i>	<i>Provide tension to operational outcomes and flexibility in assessing overall Company performance</i>
Strategic Measurement	25%	Priorities included preservation of financial strength; our stock price performance; maintenance of integrity of approved strategic plan; effective handling of unforeseen events, including the current operating environment; talent management, succession planning and personnel development; and personal leadership and communications	Provide evaluation of the Company's performance on the advancement of objectives related to the Company's strategy for 2015, including those related to financial strength, cost savings, operational flexibility, organizational management and stockholder return

-
- (1) For a more detailed explanation of how we calculate these non-GAAP measures, please refer to Appendix A to this proxy statement.

Table of Contents**2015 Annual Incentive Plan Goal Determination**

The 2015 AIP included threshold, target and stretch (maximum) goals for each Company operational performance measure (Category I & II), with a corresponding payout range (known as the AIP Factor range), as follows:

Operational Performance Measure	2015 Actual Performance	2015 Threshold Goal (0.5x)	2015 Target Goal (1.0x)	2015 Maximum Goal (2.0x)
Domestic Production	50.6 MMBOE	47.5 MMBOE	49.0 MMBOE	51.5 MMBOE
Domestic Proved Reserves Replacement Ratio	236%	117%	150%	215%
Discretionary Cash Flow (in millions)	\$1,171	\$1,000	\$1,190	\$1,350
Domestic Controllable Expense per Unit	\$9.66	\$11.00	\$10.30	\$9.80
Domestic F&D per BOE	\$12.37	\$14.60	\$13.40	\$12.20
SERC	200	50	100	200

Generally, target goals for the operational measures are based on the Company's approved budget and operating plan for the year (Plan) and reflect significant performance improvement relative to 2014 outcomes taking into account the commodity price environment and subject to adjustment to account for significant macro environment or Company-specific impacts. Threshold and stretch goals for the operational measures are intended to, respectively, reflect the minimal level of performance at which the Compensation Committee believes payout is warranted and challenge management to achieve exceptional performance. During each scheduled Operations & Reserves Committee meeting and Compensation Committee meeting there is robust dialogue related to monitoring Company performance against the established annual Company measures.

Goals and expectations with respect to the strategic measurement portion of the 2015 AIP and the underlying strategic priorities for the year are the responsibility of the Compensation Committee, in dialogue with the Operations & Reserves Committee and management, in order to further align NEOs with the Company's long-term strategy and value creation. The Compensation Committee also establishes threshold (0%), target (100%) and stretch (200%) payout ranges for the strategic measurement portion (Category III), which provide a general guideline for the Compensation Committee members as they each evaluate and determine the extent to which the strategic measurement portion has been achieved for each year. Because the strategic measurement portion is qualitative in nature, success does not necessarily depend on achieving a particular strategic priority or priorities; rather, success may be evaluated based on past norms, expectations and unanticipated obstacles or opportunities that arise.

The Compensation Committee assessed the Company's performance against the strategic priorities comprising the strategic measurement portion of the 2015 AIP. The 2015 strategic priorities and a related management assessment rationale presented to the Committee are set forth below:

Preservation of financial strength

Entering 2015, the Company took proactive steps in response to low oil prices to enhance liquidity and ensure a strong capital structure. The Company was successfully recapitalized in early 2015 through three significant transactions – equity, new senior notes at attractive interest rates with proceeds used to retire near-term existing debt and an upsize and extension of the Company's credit facility. We ended 2015 with an undrawn credit facility and borrowings of only approximately \$39 million on our money market line

of credit.

Company stock price performance

By effectively implementing its near-term business objectives, Newfield's stock led all E&P stocks on the S&P 500 Index and was up 20% year over year compared to an average decrease of approximately 45% for the other companies in our 2015 Compensation Peer Group.

Maintain integrity of approved strategic plan

In 2015, the Company's business plan focused on several key elements:

A high-grading and reduction of capital investments over 2014 levels;

Reduced cash operating expenses;

Table of Contents

Efficiency gains in drilling and completion operations; and

Opportunistic capture of attractive acreage in the Anadarko Basin.

The Company's performance exceeded goal on each of the above measures. Newfield reduced annual capital investments by 40% over the prior year (excludes lease additions and acquisitions) and high-graded its investments largely into the Anadarko and Williston Basins where rates of return are the highest in a lower commodity price environment. Cash operating expenses were significantly reduced during the year through lower service costs and innovative applications in the field to reduce costs, particularly in the STACK, and improve base production and margins. Many of these cost reductions are related to efficiency gains in drilling and completion operations and are expected to be sustainable in the event of commodity price recovery. In addition, at year-end 2015, the Anadarko Basin comprised 53% of our total proved reserves, and we had interest in more than 315,000 net acres in SCOOP and STACK.

Effectiveness in handling unforeseen events

Adaptability is a core competency of our organization. This measure relates to our ability to handle certain "unforeseen events" during the year that would otherwise negatively impact performance against our business plan. Specific examples in 2015 include:

Lower than expected oil and natural gas prices were offset by more than \$500 million in hedge revenues. An additional estimated \$270 million in hedging revenues is expected in 2016, calculated at strip oil and gas prices in late February 2016.

Higher than expected production in all four of the Company's onshore operating areas and in offshore China allowed the Company to exceed its beginning of the year projections. Negative impacts related to refinery turnarounds, unplanned maintenance on third-party mid-stream gathering facilities and other negative impacts which were all mitigated by superior performance throughout the enterprise.

Proactive steps were taken to adapt our organizational structure to create G&A expense efficiencies and to ensure improved future margins and profitability. Through a reduction in force in early 2015 and office consolidations, Newfield's headcount was reduced by more than 20%. The Company also closed two offices.

Talent management, succession planning and personnel development

We took proactive steps during the year to right-size our organization and deploy additional personnel to our most active operating areas. Newfield management and the Board worked together to update a detailed succession planning tool and tailored leadership development programs were developed and implemented. We also built internal career progression resources and leveraged our financial strength in a low price environment to increase campus recruiting program to meet the talent needs of our future. This effort will help ensure the availability and readiness of future leadership and continued strong performance of the organization.

Personal leadership and communication

Management and the Board continued to have frequent, constructive and interactive dialogue throughout the year as key financial, operational and organizational restructuring objectives were implemented, and conducted an extensive outreach program to key stockholders and other stakeholders. In addition, our executive team provided clear, effective and relevant communication to our workforce on our key initiatives to ensure alignment with our near-term strategy and corporate vision.

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Overall, the Compensation Committee determined that performance with respect to the strategic measurement portion of the 2015 AIP substantially exceeded expectations based on its evaluation of results across each of the priorities identified above. This determination occurred with the background and ongoing context of refinements to the 2015 strategic priorities and continued discussion and active dialogue among the Compensation Committee, the O&R Committee and management about the strategic priorities and performance, including routine reports, presentations and communications containing performance updates throughout the year.

The Compensation Committee, in consultation with the O&R Committee with respect to inputs on the operational measures in Categories I and II, evaluated the overall performance of the Company and the NEOs for

Table of Contents

2015, and then calculated the collective AIP Factor based on the weighted average of all the Company performance measures, as follows:

Performance Measures	Weighting	2015 Performance Achieved	AIP Factor
Domestic Production	15%	50.6 MMBOE	1.63
Domestic Proved Reserves Replacement Ratio	15%	236%	2.00
Discretionary Cash Flow	15%	\$1,171	0.95
Domestic Controllable Expense per Unit	10%	\$9.66	2.00
Domestic F&D per BOE	10%	\$12.37	1.86
SERC (1)	10%	200	2.00
Strategic Measurement	25%	See above	2.00
<i>Collective AIP Factor</i>			<i>1.76</i>

(1)

In determining the outcome for SERC performance, the Compensation Committee considered 25% year-over-year reduction in completions TRIR, 11% year-over-year reduction in drilling TRIRs, and a 46% year-over-year decrease in oil spill events.

Individual Performance Measures

The Compensation Committee may increase or decrease the ultimate annual incentive award payment an NEO would otherwise receive with respect to the Company performance measures based on the Committee's assessment of the NEO's individual performance for the year, taking into consideration various criteria including the NEO's impact during the year and his overall value to our Company, and specifically considering the NEO's leadership skills, impact on strategic initiatives, performance in his primary area of responsibility, role in succession planning and development, and other intangible qualities that contribute to our success. For 2015, the Compensation Committee made the following assessments with respect to each NEO's individual performance:

Name	2015 Individual Performance Measure Assessment
Mr. Boothby	Mr. Boothby continued his strong and proactive leadership and management across our organization. His vision was the driving force in the Company's ability to continue its multi-year streak of solid execution against targets and objectives, with Newfield ending 2015 as the top E&P stock in the S&P 500 Index.
Mr. Massaro	Mr. Massaro was instrumental in our successful recapitalization efforts in the first quarter of 2015 and provided vital leadership in designing our 2015 operating plan

and strategy.

- Mr. Packer Mr. Packer continued his leadership of our operating teams, leading to outstanding production and operating results despite an extremely challenging commodity price and industry environment. He was also key in the execution of our Company reorganization.
- Mr. Dunn Mr. Dunn's leadership with respect to the Company's development cost reductions and outstanding production and reserve results was instrumental to our success in 2015.
- Mr. Jasek Mr. Jasek successfully transitioned to Senior VP of Operations during 2015, provided leadership in executing key initiatives, including our Company reorganization, and raised the level of technical oversight across the organization.

Table of Contents**2015 Annual Incentive Award Payout Determinations**

Based on the foregoing, the Compensation Committee approved the following 2015 annual incentive award payout amounts for the NEOs:

Name	Target Bonus Amount (% of Base Salary)	x	Collective AIP Factor	+/-	Individual Performance Determination	=	Actual Annual Incentive Award Payout
Mr. Boothby	\$ 1,020,000 (120%)				\$ 4,800		\$ 1,800,000
Mr. Massaro	\$ 420,000 (100%)				\$ 100,800		\$ 840,000
Mr. Packer	\$ 540,750 (100%)		1.76		\$ 48,280		\$ 1,000,000
Mr. Dunn	\$ 325,125 (85%)				\$ 27,780		\$ 600,000
Mr. Jasek	\$ 340,000 (85%)				\$ 16,600		\$ 615,000

Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards

Each year, we provide our NEOs long-term equity-based incentive award grants. The Compensation Committee determines each February the amount and mix of long-term equity-based incentive awards granted to the NEOs and believes that long-term equity-based incentive awards should include both time- and performance-based awards. By providing both types of awards each year, the Compensation Committee seeks to balance the retention incentive provided by time-based awards with the strong tie to relative long-term TSR provided by the performance-based awards. Currently, the Compensation Committee makes long-term equity-based incentive awards in the form of restricted stock units, or RSUs.

The ratio of time- to performance-based RSUs awarded each year varies by officer, based on the officer's ability to influence overall corporate results. Additionally, the number of time-based RSUs awarded is equally split between stock-settled RSUs and cash-settled RSUs in order to preserve available shares under our 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan. The following graphs reflect the mix of time- and performance-based RSUs for the NEOs for 2015 as a percentage of total award value. For 2015, the Compensation Committee determined to increase the portion of the total annual award that is allocated to performance-based RSUs for Messrs. Dunn and Jasek from 34% to 40% in order to further align their interests with the interests of our stockholders.

With respect to the total long-term equity-based incentive award amount granted to each NEO each year, the Compensation Committee generally considers estimated targets for compensation, the relative value of each compensation element, expense of such awards and impact on dilution. The Compensation Committee intends that long-term equity-based incentive award amounts be made at a level where NEOs will achieve median pay in relation to the 2015 Compensation Peer Group if the Company's performance falls at the median of its peers,

Table of Contents

with the ability to achieve above-median pay for above-median performance. Accordingly, for 2015, the Compensation Committee evaluated information provided by Meridian on the range of long-term equity-based incentive award opportunities awarded by the 2015 Compensation Peer Group companies, along with the Company's recent performance and the role of each NEO in achieving Company objectives. The Compensation Committee also evaluated the CEO's recommendations for long-term equity-based incentive award amounts for the other NEOs. Based on these evaluations, the Compensation Committee approved the following time- and performance-based RSU awards for 2015: