

HEWLETT PACKARD CO  
Form 10-Q  
March 10, 2008

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended: **January 31, 2008**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number **1-4423**

---

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**94-1081436**

(I.R.S. employer  
identification no.)

**3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**94304**

(Zip code)

**(650) 857-1501**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)  Smaller reporting company  
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes  No

The number of shares of HP common stock outstanding as of February 29, 2008 was 2,465,298,316 shares.

---

---

---

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**INDEX**

|          |  | <b>Page<br/>No.</b> |
|----------|--|---------------------|
| Part I.  | Financial Information  |                     |
|          | Item 1.  |                     |
|          | Financial Statements   | 2                   |
|          | Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings for the three months ended January 31, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)   | 2                   |
|          | Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of January 31, 2008 (Unaudited) and as of October 31, 2007 (Audited)    | 3                   |
|          | Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended January 31, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited) | 4                   |
|          | Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)   | 5                   |
|          | Item 2.  |                     |
|          | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations                            | 40                  |
|          | Item 3.  |                     |
|          | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk   | 75                  |
|          | Item 4.  |                     |
|          | Controls and Procedures  | 76                  |
| Part II. | Other Information  |                     |
|          | Item 1.  |                     |
|          | Legal Proceedings  | 77                  |
|          | Item 1A.   |                     |
|          | Risk Factors   | 77                  |
|          | Item 2.  |                     |
|          | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds  | 77                  |
|          | Item 6.  |                     |
|          | Exhibits   | 77                  |
|          | Signature  | 78                  |
|          | Exhibit Index  | 79                  |
|          | <b>Forward-Looking Statements</b>  |                     |

*This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I of this report, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If the risks or uncertainties ever materialize or the assumptions prove incorrect, the results of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and assumptions. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including but not limited to any projections of revenue, margins, expenses, tax provisions, earnings, cash flows, benefit obligations, share repurchases or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations, including the execution of cost reduction programs and restructuring plans; any statements concerning expected development, performance or market share relating to products or services; any statements regarding pending investigations, claims or disputes; any statements of expectation or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Risks, uncertainties and assumptions include macroeconomic and geopolitical trends and events; the execution and performance of contracts by HP and its customers, suppliers and partners; the challenge of managing asset levels, including inventory; the difficulty of aligning expense levels with revenue changes; assumptions related to pension and other post-retirement costs; expectations and assumptions relating to the execution and timing of any cost reduction programs and restructuring plans; the outcome of pending legislation and accounting pronouncements; and other risks that are described herein, including but not limited to the items discussed in "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" set forth in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I of this report, and that are otherwise described from time to time in HP's Securities and Exchange Commission reports, including HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007. HP assumes no obligation and does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.*

## PART I

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings

(Unaudited)

|   | Three months ended<br>January 31 |                 |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|
|   | 2008                             | 2007            |
| In millions, except<br>per share amounts          |                                  |                 |
| Net revenue:                                      |                                  |                 |
| Products  | \$ 23,120                        | \$ 20,363       |
| Services  | 5,257                            | 4,628           |
| Financing income                                  | 90                               | 91              |
|   | <u>28,467</u>                    | <u>25,082</u>   |
| Costs and expenses:                               |                                  |                 |
| Cost of products                                  | 17,389                           | 15,466          |
| Cost of services                                  | 4,028                            | 3,602           |
| Financing interest                                | 82                               | 68              |
| Research and development                          | 898                              | 877             |
| Selling, general and administrative               | 3,241                            | 2,908           |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets       | 206                              | 201             |
| In-process research and development charges       |                                  | 167             |
| Restructuring                                     | 10                               | (41)            |
| Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net |                                  | (9)             |
|   | <u>25,854</u>                    | <u>23,239</u>   |
| Earnings from operations                          | 2,613                            | 1,843           |
| Interest and other, net                           | 72                               | 121             |
| Earnings before taxes                             | 2,685                            | 1,964           |
| Provision for taxes                               | 552                              | 417             |
| Net earnings                                      | <u>\$ 2,133</u>                  | <u>\$ 1,547</u> |
| Net earnings per share:                           |                                  |                 |
| Basic   | <u>\$ 0.83</u>                   | <u>\$ 0.57</u>  |
| Diluted   | <u>\$ 0.80</u>                   | <u>\$ 0.55</u>  |
| Cash dividends declared per share                 | <u>\$ 0.16</u>                   | <u>\$ 0.16</u>  |

Weighted-average shares used to compute net earnings per share:

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

|         | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31</b> |       |
|---------|--|-------|
| Basic   | 2,560                                    | 2,705 |
| Diluted | 2,655                                    | 2,801 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

|   | January 31,<br>2008 | October 31,<br>2007 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| In millions, except par value<br>(Unaudited)  |                     |                     |
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                     |                     |
| Current assets:   |                     |                     |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ 9,903            | \$ 11,293           |
| Short-term investments  | 73                  | 152                 |
| Accounts receivable   | 12,384              | 13,420              |
| Financing receivables   | 2,561               | 2,507               |
| Inventory   | 7,938               | 8,033               |
| Other current assets  | 11,767              | 11,997              |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |
| Total current assets  | 44,626              | 47,402              |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |
| Property, plant and equipment   | 7,804               | 7,798               |
| Long-term financing receivables and other assets  | 10,325              | 7,647               |
| Goodwill  | 21,854              | 21,773              |
| Purchased intangible assets   | 3,963               | 4,079               |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |
| Total assets  | \$ 88,572           | \$ 88,699           |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>   |                     |                     |
| Current liabilities:  |                     |                     |
| Notes payable and short-term borrowings   | \$ 2,212            | \$ 3,186            |
| Accounts payable  | 11,162              | 11,787              |
| Employee compensation and benefits  | 2,657               | 3,465               |
| Taxes on earnings   | 420                 | 1,891               |
| Deferred revenue  | 5,314               | 5,025               |
| Accrued restructuring   | 95                  | 123                 |
| Other accrued liabilities   | 14,798              | 13,783              |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |
| Total current liabilities   | 36,658              | 39,260              |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |
| Long-term debt  | 5,099               | 4,997               |
| Other liabilities   | 8,871               | 5,916               |
| Commitments and contingencies   |                     |                     |
| Stockholders' equity:   |                     |                     |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value (300 shares authorized; none issued)  |                     |                     |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value (9,600 shares authorized; 2,514 and 2,580 shares issued and outstanding, respectively) | 25                  | 26                  |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 15,173              | 16,381              |
| Retained earnings   | 22,132              | 21,560              |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income  | 614                 | 559                 |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |
| Total stockholders' equity  | 37,944              | 38,526              |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity  | \$ 88,572           | \$ 88,699           |
|   | <hr/>               | <hr/>               |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

|   | Three months ended<br>January 31 |                |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------|
|   | 2008                             | 2007           |
| In millions   |                                  |                |
| Cash flows from operating activities:   |                                  |                |
| Net earnings  | \$ 2,133                         | \$ 1,547       |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |                                  |                |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 749                              | 643            |
| Stock-based compensation expense  | 157                              | 163            |
| Provision for bad debt and inventory  | 78                               | 77             |
| In-process research and development charges   |                                  | 167            |
| Restructuring   | 10                               | (41)           |
| Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net   |                                  | (9)            |
| Deferred taxes on earnings  | 361                              | 91             |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation  | (88)                             | (100)          |
| Other, net  | 6                                | (13)           |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:  |                                  |                |
| Accounts and financing receivables  | 1,007                            | 548            |
| Inventory   | 54                               | (698)          |
| Accounts payable  | (659)                            | (759)          |
| Taxes on earnings   | (92)                             | 131            |
| Restructuring   | (31)                             | (281)          |
| Other assets and liabilities  | (498)                            | (1,488)        |
|   | <u>3,187</u>                     | <u>(22)</u>    |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities   |                                  |                |
| Cash flows from investing activities:   |                                  |                |
| Investment in property, plant and equipment   | (611)                            | (718)          |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment   | 88                               | 139            |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities and other investments                              | (20)                             | (13)           |
| Maturities and sales of available-for-sale securities and other investments                   | 106                              | 92             |
| Payments made in connection with business acquisitions, net                                   | (264)                            | (4,464)        |
|   | <u>(701)</u>                     | <u>(4,964)</u> |
| Net cash used in investing activities   |                                  |                |
| Cash flows from financing activities:   |                                  |                |
| (Repayment) issuance of commercial paper and notes payable, net                               | (899)                            | 1,263          |
| Issuance of debt  | 16                               | 69             |
| Payment of debt   | (105)                            | (1,056)        |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans   | 554                              | 797            |
| Repurchase of common stock  | (3,324)                          | (2,312)        |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation  | 88                               | 100            |
| Dividends   | (206)                            | (218)          |
|   | <u>(3,876)</u>                   | <u>(1,357)</u> |
| Net cash used in financing activities   |                                  |                |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents   | (1,390)                          | (6,343)        |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period  | 11,293                           | 16,400         |



Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

|  | Three months ended<br>January 31 |           |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|
|  |                                  |           |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period             | \$ 9,903                         | \$ 10,057 |
| Supplemental schedule of noncash financing activities: |                                  |           |
| Issuance of options assumed in business acquisitions   | \$ (4)                           | \$ 132    |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies**

In the opinion of management, the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") contain all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly HP's financial position as of January 31, 2008, and its results of operations and cash flows for the three months ended January 31, 2008 and 2007. The Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet as of October 31, 2007 is derived from the October 31, 2007 audited financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-year amounts in order to conform to the current year presentation.

The results of operations for the three months ended January 31, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Items 7, 7A and 8, respectively, of the Hewlett-Packard Company Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

*Recent Pronouncements*

Updates to recent accounting standards as disclosed in HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 are as follows:

As previously reported in HP's 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007, HP recognized the funded status of its benefit plans at October 31, 2007 in accordance with the recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - An Amendment of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). In addition to the recognition provisions, SFAS 158 also requires companies to measure the funded status of the plan as of the date of their fiscal year end, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. HP expects to adopt the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 effective October 31, 2009.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. It also responds to investors' requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. HP is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition and is not yet in a position to determine such effects.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards that require assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under SFAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure accounts and loans receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees and issued debt. Other eligible items include firm commitments for financial instruments that otherwise would not be recognized at inception and non-cash warranty obligations where a warrantor is permitted to pay a third party to provide the warranty goods or services. If the use of fair value is elected, any upfront costs and fees related to the item must be recognized in earnings and cannot be deferred, such as debt issuance costs. The fair value election is irrevocable and generally made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, even if a company has similar instruments that it elects not to measure based on fair value. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. HP currently is determining whether fair value accounting is appropriate for any of its eligible items and cannot yet estimate the impact, if any, that SFAS 159 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R"). SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 141R on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest, and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 160 on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 07-1, "Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements" ("EITF 07-1"). EITF 07-1 provides accounting guidance on collaborative arrangements within the scope of this Issue on the classification of the payments between participants of the arrangement, the appropriate income statement presentation as well as

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

disclosures related to these arrangements. EITF 07-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of EITF 07-1 on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, HP adopted the following accounting standard:

On November 1, 2007, HP adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. See Note 11 for the effect of applying FIN 48 on the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

**Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation**

HP's stock-based compensation plans include incentive compensation plans and an employee stock purchase plan. Incentive compensation plans include principal option plans as well as various stock option plans assumed through acquisitions. Principal option plans include stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards. HP accounts for its stock-based compensation plans under SFAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment."

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, HP implemented a program that provides for the issuance of performance-based restricted units ("PRUs") representing hypothetical shares of HP common stock that may be issued under the Hewlett-Packard Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. PRU awards may be granted to eligible employees, including HP's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and other executive officers.

Each PRU award reflects a target number of shares that may be issued to the award recipient. The actual number of shares the recipient receives is determined at the end of a three-year performance period based on results achieved versus company performance goals. Those goals are based on HP's annual cash flow from operations as a percentage of revenue and average total shareholder return ("TSR") relative to the S&P 500 over the performance period. Depending on HP's results during the three-year performance period, the actual number of shares that a grant recipient receives at the end of the period may range from 0% to 200% of the targeted shares granted, based on the calculations described below.

Cash flow performance goals are established at the beginning of each year. At the end of each year, a portion of the target number of shares may be credited in the award recipient's name depending on the achievement of the cash flow performance goal for that year. The number of shares credited varies between 0% if performance is below minimum level and 150% if performance is at or above maximum level. For performance between the minimum level and the maximum level, a proportionate percentage between 30% and 150% is applied based on relative performance between minimum and maximum.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)**

Following the expiration of the three-year performance period, the number of shares credited to the award recipient during the performance period is adjusted by a TSR modifier. The TSR modifier, which is determined at the beginning of each performance period, varies between 0%, if the minimum level is not met, resulting in no payout under the PRU award, and 133%, if performance is at or above the maximum level. For performance between the minimum level and the maximum level, a proportionate TSR modifier between 66% and 133% is applied based on relative performance between minimum and maximum. The number of shares, if any, received by the PRU award recipient equals the number of shares credited to the award recipient during the performance period multiplied by the TSR modifier.

Recipients of PRU awards generally must remain employed by HP on a continuous basis through the end of the applicable three-year performance period in order to receive any portion of the shares subject to that award. Target shares subject to PRU awards do not have dividend equivalent rights and do not have the voting rights of common stock until earned and issued following the end of the applicable performance period.

Total stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended January 31, 2008 and 2007 was as follows:

|   | Three months ended<br>January 31 |            |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|
|   | 2008                             | 2007       |
|   | In millions                      |            |
| Cost of sales   | \$ 36                            | \$ 45      |
| Research and development                                  | 20                               | 19         |
| Selling, general and administrative                       | 101                              | 99         |
|   | <u>157</u>                       | <u>163</u> |
| Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes      | 157                              | 163        |
| Income tax benefit  | (47)                             | (48)       |
|   | <u>110</u>                       | <u>115</u> |
| Total stock-based compensation expense after income taxes | \$ 110                           | \$ 115     |

HP estimated the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions and weighted-average fair values:

|                                       | Stock Options                    |          |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
|                                       | Three months ended<br>January 31 |          |
|                                       | 2008                             | 2007     |
| Weighted-average fair value of grants | \$ 16.59                         | \$ 12.87 |
| Risk-free interest rate               | 3.38%                            | 4.69%    |
| Dividend yield                        | 0.65%                            | 0.76%    |
| Expected volatility <sup>(1)</sup>    | 35%                              | 28%      |
| Expected life in months               | 60                               | 59       |

(1) HP uses implied volatility for stock options.



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

HP granted PRU awards representing an aggregate of 8,693,512 shares at target during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. As the cash flow goal is considered a performance condition, the expense for these awards, net of estimated forfeiture, will be recorded ratably over the three-year performance period based on the number of shares that are expected to be earned based on the achievement of the cash flow goals during the performance period. HP estimated the fair value of a target PRU share using the Monte Carlo simulation model, as the TSR modifier contains a market condition. The estimated fair value of each target share for the current year was \$40.21. The estimated fair value of a target share for the second and third years of the three-year performance period will be determined at the beginning of the second and third years, respectively, and the expense will be amortized over the remainder of the three-year performance period.

The following assumptions were used to determine the fair value for the first year:

|                                    |       |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Expected volatility <sup>(1)</sup> | 26%   |
| Risk-free interest rate            | 3.13% |
| Dividend yield                     | 0.70% |
| Expected life in months            | 33    |

(1) HP uses historic volatility for PRU awards as implied volatility cannot be used when simulating multivariate prices for companies in the S&P 500.

Option activity as of January 31, 2008 and changes during the three months ended January 31, 2008 were as follows:

|   | Shares<br>(in thousands) | Weighted-<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Weighted-<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Term<br>(in years) | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value<br>(in millions) |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| Outstanding at October 31, 2007                 | 367,339                  | \$ 33                                     |  |  |
| Granted and assumed through acquisitions        | 882                      | \$ 49                                     |  |  |
| Exercised                                       | (12,879)                 | \$ 29                                     |  |  |
| Forfeited/cancelled/expired                     | (4,137)                  | \$ 42                                     |  |  |
| Outstanding at January 31, 2008                 | 351,205                  | \$ 33                                     | 4.0  | \$ 4,627   |
| Vested and expected to vest at January 31, 2008 | 345,921                  | \$ 33                                     | 4.0  | \$ 4,557   |
| Exercisable at January 31, 2008                 | 267,872                  | \$ 33                                     | 3.4  | \$ 3,527   |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value that option holders would have received had all option holders exercised their options on January 31, 2008. The aggregate intrinsic value is the difference between HP's closing stock price on the last trading day of the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three months ended January 31, 2008 was \$268 million.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)**

As of January 31, 2008, HP expects to recognize \$802 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options and PRU awards over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

Non-vested restricted stock awards as of January 31, 2008 and changes during the three months ended January 31, 2008 were as follows:

|                                | Number of<br>shares<br>(in thousands) | Weighted-<br>Average Grant<br>Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Non-vested at October 31, 2007 | 5,698                                 | \$ 29   |
| Granted                        | 830                                   | \$ 45   |
| Vested                         | (1,953)                               | \$ 24   |
| Forfeited                      | (950)                                 | \$ 26   |
|                                | <hr/>                                 |   |
| Nonvested at January 31, 2008  | 3,625                                 | \$ 37   |

As of January 31, 2008, there was \$92 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards, which HP expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

**Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share**

HP calculates basic net earnings per share ("EPS") using net earnings and the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted EPS includes the effect from potential issuance of common stock, such as stock issuable pursuant to the exercise of stock options and the assumed conversion of convertible notes.



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share (Continued)

The reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS calculations was as follows:

|   | Three months ended<br>January 31 |          |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|
|   | 2008                             | 2007     |
| In millions, except<br>per share amounts  |                                  |          |
| Numerator:  |                                  |          |
| Net earnings  | \$ 2,133                         | \$ 1,547 |
| Adjustment for interest expense on zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes, net of taxes | 2                                | 2        |
| Net earnings, adjusted  | \$ 2,135                         | \$ 1,549 |
| Denominator:  |                                  |          |
| Weighted-average shares used to compute basic EPS   | 2,560                            | 2,705    |
| Effect of dilutive securities:  |                                  |          |
| Dilution from employee stock plans  | 87                               | 88       |
| Zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes  | 8                                | 8        |
| Dilutive potential common shares  | 95                               | 96       |
| Weighted-average shares used to compute diluted EPS   | 2,655                            | 2,801    |
| Net earnings per share:   |                                  |          |
| Basic   | \$ 0.83                          | \$ 0.57  |
| Diluted   | \$ 0.80                          | \$ 0.55  |

In the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and 2007, HP had options outstanding to purchase 35 million and 117 million shares, respectively, whose exercise prices were greater than the average market price of HP's common stock in each of those periods. HP excluded these options from the calculation of diluted EPS because their effect was anti-dilutive. Additionally, in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and 2007, HP had options outstanding to purchase 30 million and 4 million shares, respectively, whose combined exercise price, unamortized fair value and excess tax benefits were greater than the average market price of HP's common stock in each of those periods. As a result of the adoption of SFAS 123R on November 1, 2005, HP excluded these additional options from the calculation of diluted EPS because their effect also was anti-dilutive. As disclosed in Note 2, during the quarter ended January 31, 2008, HP granted PRU awards representing approximately 9 million shares at target. These awards have been excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS as they are contingently issuable shares that have not met the performance conditions set forth in SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share."

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 4: Balance Sheet Details**

Balance sheet details were as follows:

*Accounts and Financing Receivables*

|                                 | January 31,<br>2008 | October 31,<br>2007 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| In millions                     |                     |                     |
| Accounts receivable             | \$ 12,624           | \$ 13,646           |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (240)               | (226)               |
|                                 | <u>\$ 12,384</u>    | <u>\$ 13,420</u>    |
| Financing receivables           | \$ 2,603            | \$ 2,547            |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (42)                | (40)                |
|                                 | <u>\$ 2,561</u>     | <u>\$ 2,507</u>     |

HP has revolving trade receivables-based facilities permitting it to sell certain trade receivables to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The aggregate maximum capacity under these programs was approximately \$647 million as of January 31, 2008. HP sold approximately \$780 million of trade receivables during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. As of January 31, 2008, HP had approximately \$114 million available under these programs.

*Inventory*

|   | January 31,<br>2008 | October 31,<br>2007 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| In millions                               |                     |                     |
| Finished goods                            | \$ 5,335            | \$ 5,404            |
| Purchased parts and fabricated assemblies | 2,603               | 2,629               |
|   | <u>\$ 7,938</u>     | <u>\$ 8,033</u>     |

**Note 5: Acquisitions**

In the first quarter of fiscal 2008, HP completed three acquisitions. Total consideration including direct transaction costs for the three acquisitions was approximately \$266 million. HP recorded approximately \$180 million of goodwill and approximately \$99 million of purchased intangibles related to these acquisitions. The largest of these transactions was the acquisition of MacDermid ColorSpan, Inc., which has been integrated into HP's Imaging and Printing Group.

HP has recorded all acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, included the results of operations in HP's consolidated results as of the date of each acquisition. HP allocates the purchase price of its acquisitions to the tangible assets, liabilities and intangible assets acquired, based on their estimated fair values. The excess purchase price over those fair values is recorded as goodwill. The fair value assigned to assets acquired is based on valuations using management's estimates and assumptions. HP does not expect goodwill recorded on a majority of these acquisitions to be deductible for tax purposes. HP has not presented pro forma results of operations



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 5: Acquisitions (Continued)**

because these acquisitions are not material to HP's consolidated results of operations on either an individual or an aggregate basis.

*Subsequent Acquisitions*

In February 2008, HP completed the acquisition of EYP Mission Critical Facilities Inc., a consulting company specializing in strategic technology planning, design and operations support for large-scale data centers. The business is being integrated into HP Services.

In February 2008, HP completed the acquisition of NUR Macroprinters Ltd., a maker of industrial wide-format digital inkjet printers. The business is being integrated into HP's Imaging and Printing Group.

In March 2008, HP completed the acquisition of Exstream Software, LLC, a privately-held leading provider of enterprise software that streamlines the creation and delivery of personalized documents and other communications materials. The business is being integrated into HP's Imaging and Printing Group.

**Note 6: Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets***Goodwill*

Goodwill allocated to HP's business segments as of January 31, 2008 and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended January 31, 2008 were as follows:

|                                     | HP<br>Services | Enterprise<br>Storage<br>and<br>Servers | HP<br>Software | Personal<br>Systems<br>Group | Imaging<br>And<br>Printing<br>Group | HP<br>Financial<br>Services | Total     |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
|                                     | In millions    |   |                |                              |                                     |                             |           |
| Balance at October 31, 2007         | \$ 6,221       | \$ 5,076                                | \$ 5,921       | \$ 2,523                     | \$ 1,887                            | \$ 145                      | \$ 21,773 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | 83             |   |                | 3                            | 94                                  |                             | 180       |
| Goodwill adjustments                | (12)           | (13)                                    | (60)           | (7)                          | (7)                                 |                             | (99)      |
| Balance at January 31, 2008         | \$ 6,292       | \$ 5,063                                | \$ 5,861       | \$ 2,519                     | \$ 1,974                            | \$ 145                      | \$ 21,854 |

The goodwill adjustments relate primarily to the reversal of income tax reserves for HP's acquisitions associated with their pre-acquisition tax years. These reserves have been reclassified as a reduction of goodwill.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 6: Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets (Continued)

*Purchased Intangible Assets*

HP's purchased intangible assets associated with completed acquisitions are composed of:

|  | January 31, 2008 |                          |          | October 31, 2007 |                          |          |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------------|----------|
|  | Gross            | Accumulated Amortization | Net      | Gross            | Accumulated Amortization | Net      |
| In millions  |                  |                          |          |                  |                          |          |
| Customer contracts, customer lists and distribution agreements | \$ 3,269         | \$ (1,783)               | \$ 1,486 | \$ 3,239         | \$ (1,679)               | \$ 1,560 |
| Developed and core technology and patents                      | 2,827            | (1,793)                  | 1,034    | 2,768            | (1,694)                  | 1,074    |
| Product trademarks   | 116              | (95)                     | 21       | 115              | (92)                     | 23       |
| Total amortizable purchased intangible assets                  | 6,212            | (3,671)                  | 2,541    | 6,122            | (3,465)                  | 2,657    |
| Compaq trade name  | 1,422            |                          | 1,422    | 1,422            |                          | 1,422    |
| Total purchased intangible assets                              | \$ 7,634         | \$ (3,671)               | \$ 3,963 | \$ 7,544         | \$ (3,465)               | \$ 4,079 |

Estimated future amortization expense related to finite lived purchased intangible assets at January 31, 2008 is as follows:

| Fiscal year:              | In millions     |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 2008 (remaining 9 months) | \$ 592          |
| 2009                      | 710             |
| 2010                      | 603             |
| 2011                      | 350             |
| 2012                      | 179             |
| Thereafter                | 107             |
| <b>Total</b>              | <b>\$ 2,541</b> |

## Note 7: Restructuring Charges

Restructuring charges for the three months ended January 31, 2008 were \$10 million due primarily to adjustments for severance and facilities costs associated with restructuring programs implemented in prior years. These programs are substantially complete, although HP records minor revisions to previous estimates as necessary. As of January 31, 2008, there was a remaining balance of approximately \$134 million of accrued restructuring expenses associated with these programs. HP expects to pay these costs through 2018.

In addition to the programs described above, as of January 31, 2008, HP had a remaining balance of approximately \$11 million of accrued restructuring expenses associated a restructuring plan implemented in connection with the acquisition of Mercury Interactive Corporation in November 2006. All Mercury restructuring costs are reflected in the purchase price of Mercury in accordance with EITF 95-3, "Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination." During the three months ended January 31, 2008, a credit of \$7 million was recorded to goodwill primarily due



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 7: Restructuring Charges (Continued)**

to a reduction in the number of positions to be eliminated in connection with the Mercury restructuring plan from 370 to 302. HP expects to pay such costs through 2014.

The adjustments to the accrued restructuring expenses related to all of HP's restructuring programs described above for the three months ended January 31, 2008 were as follows:

|   | <u>In millions</u> |
|---|--------------------|
| Restructuring liability at October 31, 2007 | \$ 173             |
| Charges                                     | 10                 |
| Goodwill adjustments                        | (7)                |
| Cash payments                               | (31)               |
| Restructuring liability at January 31, 2008 | <u>\$ 145</u>      |

At both January 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007, HP included the \$50 million long-term portion of the restructuring liability in Other Liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

**Note 8: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases**

Financing receivables represent sales-type and direct-financing leases resulting from the marketing of HP's and third-party products. These receivables typically have terms from two to five years and are usually collateralized by a security interest in the underlying assets. Financing receivables also include billed receivables from operating leases. The components of net financing receivables, which are included in financing receivables and long-term financing receivables and other assets, were as follows:

|                                   | <u>January 31,<br/>2008</u> | <u>October 31,<br/>2007</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                   | <u>In millions</u>          |                             |
| Minimum lease payments receivable | \$ 5,633                    | \$ 5,568                    |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts   | (88)                        | (84)                        |
| Unguaranteed residual value       | 283                         | 291                         |
| Unearned income                   | (491)                       | (490)                       |
| Financing receivables, net        | <u>5,337</u>                | <u>5,285</u>                |
| Less current portion, net         | <u>(2,561)</u>              | <u>(2,507)</u>              |
| Amounts due after one year, net   | <u>\$ 2,776</u>             | <u>\$ 2,778</u>             |

Equipment leased to customers under operating leases was \$2.5 billion at January 31, 2008 and \$2.4 billion at October 31, 2007 and is included in property, plant and equipment in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. Accumulated depreciation on equipment under lease was \$0.6 billion at both January 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 9: Guarantees***Indemnifications*

In the ordinary course of business, HP enters into contractual arrangements under which HP may agree to indemnify the third party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of HP or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

*Warranty*

HP provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time it recognizes revenue. HP engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers. However, product warranty terms offered to customers, ongoing product failure rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure, as well as specific product class failures outside of HP's baseline experience, affect the estimated warranty obligation. If actual product failure rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

The changes in HP's aggregate product warranty liability for the three months ended January 31, 2008 was as follows:

|   | <u>In millions</u> |
|---|--------------------|
| Product warranty liability at October 31, 2007                                  | \$ 2,376           |
| Accruals for warranties issued  | 846                |
| Adjustments related to pre-existing warranties (including changes in estimates) | (19)               |
| Settlements made (in cash or in kind)   | (722)              |
| Product warranty liability at January 31, 2008                                  | \$ 2,481           |

**Note 10: Borrowings***Notes Payable and Short-Term Borrowings*

Notes payable and short-term borrowings, including the current portion of long-term debt, were as follows:

|   | <u>January 31, 2008</u>       |  | <u>October 31, 2007</u>       |  |
|---|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
|   | <u>Amount<br/>Outstanding</u> | <u>Weighted-<br/>Average<br/>Interest Rate</u> | <u>Amount<br/>Outstanding</u> | <u>Weighted-<br/>Average<br/>Interest Rate</u> |
|   | <u>In millions</u>            |  |                               |  |
| Current portion of long-term debt                 | \$ 595                        | 3.9%   | \$ 675                        | 4.0%   |
| Commercial paper                                  | 1,183                         | 3.3%   | 2,065                         | 5.0%   |
| Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other | 434                           | 5.4%   | 446                           | 5.2%   |
|   | <u>\$ 2,212</u>               |  | <u>\$ 3,186</u>               |  |



Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 10: Borrowings (Continued)**

Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other includes deposits associated with HP's banking-related activities of approximately \$342 million and \$391 million at January 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007, respectively.

*Long-Term Debt*

Long-term debt was as follows:

|  | January 31,<br>2008 | October 31,<br>2007 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| In millions  |                     |                     |
| <b>U.S. Dollar Global Notes</b>  |                     |                     |
| \$500 issued June 2002 at 6.5%, due July 2012  | \$ 499              | \$ 499              |
| \$500 issued March 2003 at 3.625%, due March 2008  | 500                 | 500                 |
| \$600 issued February 2007 at floating interest rate, due March 2012   | 600                 | 600                 |
| \$900 issued February 2007 at 5.25%, due March 2012  | 900                 | 900                 |
| \$500 issued February 2007 at 5.4%, due March 2017   | 499                 | 499                 |
| \$1,000 issued June 2007 at floating interest rate, due June 2009  | 1,000               | 1,000               |
| \$1,000 issued June 2007 at floating interest rate, due June 2010  | 1,000               | 1,000               |
|  | <u>4,998</u>        | <u>4,998</u>        |
| <b>Series A Medium-Term Notes</b>  |                     |                     |
| \$50 issued December 2002 at 4.25%, matured and paid December 2007   |                     | 50                  |
|  |                     | <u>50</u>           |
| <b>Other</b>   |                     |                     |
| \$505, U.S. dollar zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes, issued in October and November 1997 at an imputed rate of 3.13%, due 2017 ("LYONS") | 374                 | 371                 |
| Other, including capital lease obligations, at 3.75%-8.6%, due 2007-2029   | 223                 | 263                 |
|  | <u>597</u>          | <u>634</u>          |
| Fair value adjustment related to SFAS No. 133  | 99                  | (10)                |
| Less current portion   | (595)               | (675)               |
|  | <u>\$ 5,099</u>     | <u>\$ 4,997</u>     |

HP may redeem some or all of the Global Notes as set forth in the above table at any time at the redemption prices described in the prospectus supplements relating thereto. The Global Notes are senior unsecured debt.

In May 2006, HP filed a shelf registration statement (the "2006 Shelf Registration Statement") with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to enable HP to offer and sell, from time to time, in one or more offerings, debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants. As of January 31, 2008, HP had \$4.0 billion of global notes issued under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes included \$600 million of notes due March 2012 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.11% per annum, \$900 million of notes due March 2012 with a fixed interest rate of 5.25% per annum, \$500 million of notes due March 2017 with



HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 10: Borrowings (Continued)**

a fixed interest rate of 5.40% per annum., \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.01% per annum, and \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2010 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.06% per annum.

On March 3, 2008, HP issued an additional \$3.0 billion of global notes under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes included \$750 million of notes due September 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.40% per annum, \$1.5 billion of notes due March 2013 with a fixed interest rate of 4.5% per annum, and \$750 million of notes due March 2018 with a fixed interest rate of 5.5% per annum. HP issued the \$750 million notes due 2009 at par, and HP issued the \$1.5 billion notes due 2013 and \$750 million notes due 2018 at discounts to par at 99.921% and 99.932%, respectively. HP intends to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes, which may include (i) up to \$500 million to repay HP's 3.625% global notes maturing in March 2008, and (ii) various amounts to repay short-term commercial paper maturing in March and April 2008.

HP registered the sale of up to \$3.0 billion of debt or global securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants under a shelf registration statement in March 2002 (the "2002 Shelf Registration Statement"). In December 2002, HP filed a supplement to the 2002 Shelf Registration Statement, which allows HP to offer from time to time up to \$1.5 billion of Medium-Term Notes, Series B, due nine months or more from the date of issuance (the "Series B Medium-Term Note Program"). As of January 31, 2008, HP has not issued Medium-Term Notes pursuant to the Series B Medium-Term Note Program.

HP registered the sale of up to \$3.0 billion of Medium-Term Notes under its Euro Medium-Term Note Programme filed with the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. HP can denominate these notes in any currency, including the euro. HP has not and will not register these notes in the United States.

The LYONs are convertible at the option of the holders at any time or prior to maturity, unless previously redeemed or otherwise purchased. Upon conversion, the LYONs are convertible by the holder at an adjusted rate of 15.09 shares of HP common stock for each \$1,000 face value of the LYONs at maturity, payable in either cash or common stock at HP's election. In March 2008, HP notified the holders of the LYONs that it intends to redeem all of the outstanding LYONs during its second quarter of fiscal 2008.

HP has a U.S. commercial paper program with a \$6.0 billion capacity. Its subsidiaries are authorized to issue up to an additional \$1.0 billion of commercial paper, of which \$500 million of capacity is currently available to be used by Hewlett-Packard International Bank PLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of HP, for its Euro Commercial Paper/Certificate of Deposit Programme.

HP has a \$3.0 billion five-year credit facility. In February 2008, HP entered into an additional \$3.0 billion 364-day credit facility. Commitment fees, interest rates and other terms of borrowing under the credit facilities vary based on HP's external credit ratings. The credit facilities are senior unsecured committed borrowing arrangements primarily to support the issuance of U.S. commercial paper. No amounts are outstanding under the credit facilities.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 10: Borrowings (Continued)**

HP also maintains uncommitted lines of credit from a number of financial institutions that are available through various foreign subsidiaries. The amount available for use as of January 31, 2008 was approximately \$1.5 billion.

At January 31, 2008, HP had up to approximately \$10.8 billion of available borrowing resources under the 2002 Shelf Registration Statement and other programs. HP also may issue additional debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement.

**Note 11: Income Taxes**

*Provision for Taxes*

HP's effective tax rate was 20.6% and 21.2% for the three months ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2007, respectively. HP's effective tax rate generally differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from HP's operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world for which HP has not provided U.S. taxes because HP plans to reinvest such earnings indefinitely outside the U.S. There were no material discrete items affecting the tax rate for the three months ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2007, respectively.

On November 1, 2007, HP adopted FIN 48 which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements and also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, HP reduced the liability for net unrecognized tax benefits by \$718 million, and accounted for this as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle that was recorded as an increase to retained earnings of \$687 million, and a decrease to goodwill of \$31 million. The total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption was \$2.3 billion, of which \$650 million would affect the effective tax rate if realized. HP historically classified unrecognized tax benefits in current income taxes payable. In implementing FIN 48, HP has reclassified \$1.3 billion from current income taxes payable to long-term income taxes payable. In addition, HP reclassified its income tax receivable to long-term income tax receivable.

As of the date of adoption, the IRS was in the process of concluding its examination of HP's income tax returns for years 2002 and 2003. This examination concluded during the first fiscal quarter of 2008. The IRS began an audit of HP's 2004 and 2005 income tax returns in 2007. In addition, HP is subject to numerous ongoing audits by state and foreign tax authorities. HP believes that adequate reserves have been provided for all open tax years.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, the amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by approximately \$100 million attributable to settlements with tax authorities. The total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was \$2.2 billion as of January 31, 2008, of which \$650 million would affect the effective tax rate if realized.

Upon adoption of FIN 48, HP recognizes interest expense and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits within income tax expense. This policy did not change as a result of adoption of FIN 48. In addition, upon adoption of FIN 48, HP recognizes interest income from favorable settlements and

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 11: Income Taxes (Continued)**

income tax receivables within income tax expense. As of the date of adoption of FIN 48, HP had accrued a net \$28 million payable for interest and penalties. There was not a material change to this amount as of January 31, 2008.

HP engages in continuous discussion and negotiation with taxing authorities regarding tax matters in various jurisdictions. HP does not expect complete resolution of any IRS audit cycle within the next 12 months. However, it is reasonably possible that certain IRS, foreign and state tax issues may be concluded in the next 12 months, including issues involving transfer pricing and other matters. Accordingly, HP believes it is reasonably possible that its existing unrecognized tax benefits may be reduced by an amount up to \$450 million. With respect to major foreign and state tax jurisdictions, HP is no longer subject to tax authority examinations for years prior to 1999.

HP is subject to income tax in the United States and over sixty foreign countries and is subject to routine corporate income tax audits in many of these jurisdictions. As described below, HP has received from the IRS Notices of Deficiency for its fiscal 1999, 2000 and 2003 tax years and Revenue Agent's Reports ("RAR's") for its fiscal 2001 and 2002 tax years. The IRS began an audit of HP's fiscal 2004 and 2005 income tax returns in 2007.

The breakdown between current and long-term deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities was as follows:

|   | January 31,<br>2008 | October 31,<br>2007 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
|   | <u>          </u>   | <u>          </u>   |
|   | In millions         |                     |
| Current deferred tax assets                               | \$ 4,182            | \$ 4,609            |
| Current deferred tax liabilities                          | (129)               | (123)               |
| Long-term deferred tax assets                             | 770                 | 961                 |
| Long-term deferred tax liabilities                        | (2,070)             | (397)               |
|   | <u>          </u>   | <u>          </u>   |
| Total deferred tax assets net of deferred tax liabilities | \$ 2,753            | \$ 5,050            |
|   | <u>          </u>   | <u>          </u>   |

On January 30, 2008, HP received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS for its fiscal 2003 tax year. The Notice of Deficiency asserted that HP owes additional tax of \$21 million. At the same time, HP received a RAR from the IRS for its fiscal 2002 tax year that proposed no change in HP's tax liability for that year. In addition to the proposed deficiency for fiscal 2003, the IRS's adjustments for both years, if sustained, would reduce tax refund claims HP has filed for net operating loss carrybacks to earlier fiscal years and reduce the tax benefits of tax credit carryforwards to subsequent years, by approximately \$575 million. HP plans to contest certain of the adjustments proposed in the Notice of Deficiency and the RAR. HP believes that it has provided adequate reserves for any tax deficiencies or reductions in refund claims that could result from the IRS actions.

On June 28, 2007, HP received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS for its fiscal 1999 and 2000 tax years. The Notice of Deficiency asserted that HP owes additional tax of \$13 million for these two years. At the same time, HP received a RAR from the IRS for its fiscal 2001 tax year that proposed no change in HP's tax liability for that year. In addition to the proposed deficiencies for fiscal 1999 and 2000, the IRS's adjustments, if sustained, would reduce tax refund claims HP has filed for foreign tax credit and net operating loss carrybacks to earlier fiscal years and reduce the tax benefits of

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 11: Income Taxes (Continued)**

carryforwards to subsequent years, by approximately \$361 million. HP plans to contest certain of the adjustments proposed in the Notice of Deficiency and the RAR. Towards this end, HP filed a Petition with the United States Tax Court on September 25, 2007. HP believes that it has provided adequate reserves for any tax deficiencies or reductions in refund claims that could result from the IRS actions.

**Note 12: Stockholders' Equity***Stock Repurchase Program*

HP's share repurchase program authorizes both open market and private repurchase transactions. HP paid \$3.3 billion and \$2.3 billion in connection with share repurchases of 72 million shares and 57 million shares during the three months ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2007, respectively.

On November 19, 2007, HP's Board of Directors authorized an additional \$8.0 billion for future share repurchases. As of January 31, 2008, HP had remaining authorization of \$7.4 billion for future share repurchases.

*Comprehensive Income*

The changes in the components of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, were as follows:

|  | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31</b> |                 |
|--|--|-----------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                              | <b>2007</b>     |
|  | <b>In millions</b>                       |                 |
| Net earnings   | \$ 2,133                                 | \$ 1,547        |
| Change in net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities  | (1)                                      | (2)             |
| Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges      | 85                                       | (9)             |
| Change in cumulative translation adjustment                      | (2)                                      | 2               |
| Change in additional minimum pension liability                   |  | (1)             |
| Change in unrealized components of defined benefit pension plans | (27)                                     |                 |
|  | <b>2,188</b>                             | <b>1,537</b>    |
| Comprehensive income   | <b>\$ 2,188</b>                          | <b>\$ 1,537</b> |

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes, were as follows:

|  | <b>January 31,<br/>2008</b> | <b>October 31,<br/>2007</b> |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|  | <b>In millions</b>          |                             |
| Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities  | \$ 3                        | \$ 4                        |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges      | 21                          | (64)                        |
| Cumulative translation adjustment                      | 171                         | 173                         |
| Unrealized components of defined benefit pension plans | 419                         | 446                         |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

|  | <u>January 31,<br/>2008</u> | <u>October 31,<br/>2007</u> |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 614                      | \$ 559                      |

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 13: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans**

HP's net pension and post-retirement benefit costs were as follows:

|                                  | Three months ended January 31    |       |                                      |       |                                  |      |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|------|
|                                  | U.S.<br>Defined<br>Benefit Plans |       | Non-U.S.<br>Defined<br>Benefit Plans |       | Post-Retirement<br>Benefit Plans |      |
|                                  | 2008                             | 2007  | 2008                                 | 2007  | 2008                             | 2007 |
|                                  | In millions                      |       |                                      |       |                                  |      |
| Service cost                     | \$ 8                             | \$ 41 | \$ 62                                | \$ 66 | \$ 7                             | \$ 9 |
| Interest cost                    | 59                               | 66    | 106                                  | 90    | 20                               | 19   |
| Expected return on plan assets   | (64)                             | (87)  | (165)                                | (142) | (10)                             | (9)  |
| Amortization and deferrals:      |                                  |       |                                      |       |                                  |      |
| Actuarial (gain) loss            | (9)                              | (3)   |                                      | 22    | 5                                | 6    |
| Prior service benefit            |                                  |       | (2)                                  | (2)   | (14)                             | (13) |
| Net periodic benefit (gain) cost | (6)                              | 17    | 1                                    | 34    | 8                                | 12   |
| Curtailment gain                 |                                  |       |                                      | (9)   |                                  | (9)  |
| Special termination benefits     |                                  |       | 1                                    |       |                                  |      |
| Net benefit (gain) cost          | \$ (6)                           | \$ 17 | \$ 2                                 | \$ 25 | \$ 8                             | \$ 3 |

*Employer Contributions and Funding Policy*

HP previously disclosed in its Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 that it expects to contribute approximately \$145 million to its pension plans and approximately \$15 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants. HP expects to pay approximately \$80 million to cover benefit claims for HP's post-retirement benefit plans. HP's funding policy is to contribute cash to its pension plans so that it meets at least the minimum contribution requirements, as established by local government and funding and taxing authorities.

As of January 31, 2008, HP has made \$44 million of contributions to non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$2 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$15 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans. HP presently anticipates making additional contributions of between \$70 million and \$80 million to its pension plans, of which approximately \$12 million is for U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and expects to pay approximately \$60 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2008.

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies**

HP is involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including those identified below, consisting of intellectual property, commercial, securities, employment, employee benefits and environmental matters, which arise in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies", HP records a provision for a liability when management believes that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. HP believes it has adequate provisions for any such matters. HP reviews these provisions at least quarterly and adjusts these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. Based on its





HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

experience, HP believes that any damage amounts claimed in the specific matters discussed below are not a meaningful indicator of HP's potential liability. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. However, HP believes that it has valid defenses with respect to legal matters pending against it. Nevertheless, it is possible that cash flows or results of operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the unfavorable resolution of one or more of these contingencies, or because of the diversion of management's attention and the creation of significant expenses.

*Litigation, Proceedings and Investigations*

Copyright levies. As described below, proceedings are ongoing against HP in certain European Union ("EU") member countries, including litigation in Germany, seeking to impose levies upon equipment (such as multifunction devices ("MFDs") and printers) and alleging that these devices enable producing private copies of copyrighted materials. The total levies due, if imposed, would be based upon the number of products sold and the per-product amounts of the levies, which vary. Some EU member countries that do not yet have levies on digital devices are expected to implement similar legislation to enable them to extend existing levy schemes, while some other EU member countries are expected to limit the scope of levy schemes and applicability in the digital hardware environment. HP, other companies and various industry associations are opposing the extension of levies to the digital environment and advocating compensation to rights holders through digital rights management systems.

VerwertungsGesellschaft Wort ("VG Wort"), a collection agency representing certain copyright holders, instituted non-binding arbitration proceedings against HP in June 2001 in Germany before the arbitration board of the Patent and Trademark Office. The proceedings relate to whether and to what extent copyright levies for photocopiers should be imposed in accordance with copyright laws implemented in Germany on MFDs that allegedly enable the production of copies by private persons. Following unsuccessful arbitration, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against HP in May 2004 in the Stuttgart Civil Court in Stuttgart, Germany seeking levies on certain MFDs sold from 1997 to 2001. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding MFDs sold in Germany, and ordered HP to pay VG Wort an amount equal to 5% of the outstanding levies claimed plus interest on MFDs sold in Germany up to December 2001. VG Wort appealed this decision. On July 6, 2005, the Stuttgart Court of Appeals ordered HP to pay VG Wort levies based on the published tariffs for photocopiers in Germany (which range from EUR 38.35 to EUR 613.56 per unit) plus interest on MFDs sold in Germany up to December 2001. HP appealed the Stuttgart Court of Appeals' decision to the Bundesgerichtshof (the German Federal Supreme Court). On January 30, 2008, the German Federal Supreme Court held that the MFDs covered by this lawsuit were photocopiers within the meaning of the German copyright law that was in effect until December 31, 2007 and, therefore, are subject to the levies on photocopiers established by that law. It is expected that the court will issue a written judgment explaining the rationale underlying its decision sometime in the next several months. HP is awaiting the issuance of the court's written judgment before determining how to proceed with respect to this matter.

On September 26, 2005, VG Wort filed an additional lawsuit against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court in Stuttgart, Germany seeking levies on MFDs sold in Germany between 1997 and 2001, as well as for products sold from 2002 onwards. On July 26, 2007, the court issued a decision following the ruling of the Stuttgart Court of Appeals with respect to the initial VG Wort lawsuit as described above.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

HP has appealed the decision. The Appeal Court has stayed the proceedings pending the German Federal Supreme Court judgment in the initial VG Wort lawsuit seeking levies on MFDs described above.

In July 2004, VG Wort filed a separate lawsuit against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court seeking levies on printers. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding all printers using ASCII code sold in Germany but did not determine the amount payable per unit. HP appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Higher Regional Court of Baden Wuerttemberg. On May 11, 2005, the Higher Regional Court issued a decision confirming that levies are due. On June 6, 2005, HP filed an appeal to the German Federal Supreme Court in Karlsruhe. On December 6, 2007 the German Federal Supreme Court issued a judgment that printers are not subject to levies under the existing law. The court issued a written decision on January 25, 2008, and VG Wort has filed a claim with the German Federal Constitutional Court.

In September 2003, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against Fujitsu Siemens Computer GmbH ("FSC") in Munich State Court seeking levies on PCs. This is an industry test case in Germany, and HP has agreed not to object to the delay if VG Wort sues HP for such levies on PCs following a final decision against FSC. On December 23, 2004, the Munich State Court held that PCs are subject to a levy and that FSC must pay 12 euros plus compound interest for each PC sold in Germany since March 2001. FSC appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Higher Regional Court of Bavaria. On December 15, 2005, the Higher Regional Court affirmed the Munich State Court decision. FSC filed an appeal with the German Federal Supreme Court in February 2006.

On December 29, 2005, ZPU, a joint association of various German collection societies, instituted non-binding arbitration proceedings against HP before the arbitration board of the Patent and Trademark Office demanding reporting of every PC sold by HP in Germany from January 2002 through December 2005 and seeking a levy of 18.42 euros plus tax for each PC sold during that period. HP filed a notice of defense in connection with these proceedings in February 2006, and an arbitration hearing was held in December 2006. On August 3, 2007, the arbitration board issued a ruling proposing a levy of 15 euros plus tax for each PC sold during that period. HP has rejected the ruling of the arbitration board, and the arbitration proceedings have concluded. ZPU has filed a claim with the appeals court Munich to which HP must respond by April 30, 2008.

Based on industry opposition to the extension of levies to digital products, HP's assessments of the merits of various proceedings and HP's estimates of the units impacted and levies, HP has accrued amounts that it believes are adequate to address the matters described above. However, the ultimate resolution of these matters and the associated financial impact on HP, including the number of units impacted, the amount of levies imposed and the ability of HP to recover such amounts through increased prices, remains uncertain.

Alvis v. HP is a defective product consumer class action filed in the District Court of Jefferson County, Texas in April 2001. In February 2000, a similar suit captioned LaPray v. Compaq was filed in the District Court of Jefferson County, Texas. The basic allegation is that HP and Compaq sold computers containing floppy disk controllers that fail to alert the user to certain floppy disk controller errors. That failure is alleged to result in data loss or data corruption. The complaints in Alvis and LaPray seek injunctive relief, declaratory relief, unspecified damages and attorneys' fees. In July 2001, a

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

nationwide class was certified in the LaPray case, which the Beaumont Court of Appeals affirmed in June 2002. The Texas Supreme Court reversed the certification and remanded to the trial court in May 2004. On March 29, 2005, the Alvis trial court certified a Texas-wide class action for injunctive relief only, which HP appealed on April 15, 2005. On June 4, 2003, each of Barrett v. HP and Grider v. Compaq was filed in the District Court of Cleveland County, Oklahoma, with factual allegations similar to those in Alvis and LaPray. The complaints in Barrett and Grider seek, among other things, specific performance, declaratory relief, unspecified damages and attorneys' fees. On December 22, 2003, the District Court entered an order staying the Barrett case until the conclusion of Alvis. On September 23, 2005, the District Court granted the Grider plaintiffs' motion to certify a nationwide class action which the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals affirmed on October 13, 2006. On November 5, 2006, HP filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari with the Oklahoma Supreme Court seeking reversal of the lower courts' decisions. That petition was denied on March 26, 2007. On November 5, 2004, Batiste v. HP (formerly Scott v. HP), and on January 27, 2005, Schultz v. HP (formerly Jurado v. HP), were filed in state court in San Joaquin County, California, with factual allegations similar to those in LaPray and Alvis, seeking certification of a California-only class, injunctive relief, unspecified damages (including punitive damages), restitution, costs, and attorneys' fees. On November 27, 2006, the trial court granted plaintiff's motion for class certification and certified the Schultz case as a California-only class. On March 26, 2007, HP filed a Petition for Writ of Mandate with the California Supreme Court; that petition was summarily denied on May 9, 2007. On December 11, 2007, the court in the Grider v. Compaq and Barrett v. HP cases preliminarily approved a settlement under which the Grider, Barrett, Alvis, LaPray, Schultz and Batiste class actions will be dismissed with prejudice. Under the proposed settlement, eligible class members will each have the right to obtain a redemption certificate for use in purchasing a PC through HP's website; a USB flash drive as long as the class member meets certain requirements; and a software patch designed to address the alleged defect at issue in the lawsuits. In addition, class counsel and the class representatives will be paid attorneys' fees and expenses and stipends in an amount that is yet to be finally approved by the court. As of October 31, 2007, HP had established adequate reserves to cover the costs associated with the settlement, including the anticipated attorneys' fees and expenses and stipends. The court has scheduled a hearing for April 29, 2008 to determine whether to grant final approval of the settlement. In addition, the Civil Division of the Department of Justice, the General Services Administration Office of Inspector General and other Federal agencies are conducting an investigation of allegations that HP and Compaq made, or caused to be made, false claims for payment to the United States for computers known by HP and Compaq to contain defective parts or otherwise to perform in a defective manner relating to the same alleged floppy disk controller errors. HP's agreement with the Department of Justice to extend the statute of limitations on its investigation expired on December 6, 2006. HP is cooperating fully with this investigation.

Barbara's Sales, et al. v. Intel Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. and Neubauer, et al. v. Compaq Computer Corporation are separate lawsuits filed on June 3, 2002 in the Circuit Court, Third Judicial District, Madison County, Illinois, alleging that HP and Compaq (along with Intel) misled the public by suppressing and concealing the alleged material fact that systems that use the Intel Pentium 4 processor are less powerful and slower than systems using the Intel Pentium III processor and processors made by a competitor of Intel. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, and certification of a nationwide class. The trial court in the HP action

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

certified an Illinois class as to Intel but denied a nationwide class. Both parties appealed the trial court's decision. On July 25, 2006, the Fifth District Appellate Court ruled that the trial court erred in applying Illinois law in deciding to certify the Illinois class and to deny certification of the nationwide class and directed the trial court to reconsider those decisions applying California law instead. On August 28, 2006, Intel appealed the Fifth District's decision to the Illinois Supreme Court. On November 29, 2007, the Illinois Supreme Court reversed certification of the nationwide class, held that no statewide class could be certified under Illinois law, and remanded the case back to the trial court. Skold, et al. v. Intel Corporation and Hewlett-Packard Company is a lawsuit to which HP was joined on June 14, 2004 that was initially filed in state court in Alameda County, California, based upon factual allegations similar to those in the Illinois cases. The plaintiffs in the Skold matter also seek unspecified damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, and certification of a nationwide class. The Skold case has since been transferred to state court in Santa Clara County, California.

Feder v. HP (formerly Tyler v. HP) is a lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on June 16, 2005 asserting breach of express and implied warranty, unjust enrichment, violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act and deceptive advertising and unfair business practices in violation of California's Unfair Competition Law. Among other things, plaintiffs allege that HP employed a "smart chip" in certain inkjet printing products in order to register ink depletion prematurely and to render the cartridge unusable through a built-in expiration date that is hidden, not documented in marketing materials to consumers, or both. Plaintiffs also contend that consumers received false ink depletion warnings and that the smart chip limits the ability of consumers to use the cartridge to its full capacity or to choose competitive products. On September 6, 2005, a lawsuit captioned Ciolino v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The allegations in the Ciolino case are substantively identical to those in Feder, and the two cases have been formally consolidated in a single proceeding in the District Court for the Northern District of California under the caption In re HP Inkjet Printer Litigation. On January 4, 2008, the court heard plaintiffs' motions for class certification and to add a class representative and defendant's motion for summary judgment. These motions are currently under submission. Trial has been set for June 2008. In addition, on January 17, 2007, an additional lawsuit captioned Blennis v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California with allegations substantially the same as those consolidated in In re Inkjet Printer Litigation. The plaintiffs seek class certification, restitution, damages (including enhanced damages), injunctive relief, interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. Three related lawsuits filed in California state court, Tyler v. HP (filed in Santa Clara County on February 17, 2005), Obi v. HP (filed in Los Angeles County on February 17, 2005), and Weingart v. HP (filed in Los Angeles County on March 18, 2005), have been dismissed without prejudice by the plaintiffs. In addition, two related lawsuits filed in federal court, namely Grabell v. HP (filed in the District of New Jersey on March 18, 2005) and Just v. HP (filed in the Eastern District of New York on April 20, 2005), have been dismissed without prejudice by the plaintiffs. Substantially similar allegations have been made against HP and its subsidiary, Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Co., in four Canadian class actions, one commenced in British Columbia in February 2006, two commenced in Quebec in April 2006 and May 2006, respectively, and one commenced in Ontario in June 2006, all seeking class certification, restitution, declaratory relief, injunctive relief and unspecified statutory, compensatory and punitive damages.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

Schorsch v. HP is a consumer class action filed against HP on October 28, 2003 in Illinois state court alleging that HP has included an electrically erasable programmable read only memory (EEPROM) chip in certain of its LaserJet printers that prematurely advises the user that the drum kit needs replacing in violation of Illinois state law. The plaintiffs subsequently filed an amended complaint seeking to expand the class from purchasers of drum kits to purchasers of all HP printer consumables that contain EEPROM chips. The most current amended complaint seeks certification of an Illinois-only class and seeks unspecified damages, attorneys' fees and costs. On June 6, 2007, a separate consumer class action lawsuit captioned Baggett v. HP was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California containing similar allegations that HP employs a technology in its LaserJet color printers whereby the printing process shuts down prematurely, preventing customers from using the toner that is stranded in the cartridge. The plaintiffs allege that HP fails to disclose to consumers that they will be unable to utilize the toner remaining in the cartridge after the printer shuts down. The complaint seeks certification of a nationwide class of purchasers of all HP LaserJet color printers and seeks unspecified damages, restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

Rich v. HP is a consumer class action filed against HP on May 22, 2006 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The suit alleges that HP designed its color inkjet printers to unnecessarily use color ink in addition to black ink when printing black and white images and text. The plaintiffs seek injunctive and monetary relief on behalf of a nationwide class. The Court has granted HP's motion to dismiss several of the plaintiffs' claims, and HP answered the remaining claims in February 2007. The Court set a deadline of January 23, 2009 by which plaintiffs need to file a motion for class certification. Trial has been set for December 2009.

On December 27, 2001, Cornell University and the Cornell Research Foundation, Inc. filed a complaint, amended on September 6, 2002, against HP in United States District Court for the Northern District of New York alleging that HP's PA-RISC 8000 family of microprocessors, and servers and workstations incorporating those processors, infringe a patent assigned to Cornell Research Foundation, Inc. that describes a way of executing microprocessor instructions. The complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief and unspecified damages. On March 26, 2004, the district court issued a ruling interpreting the disputed claim terms in the patent at issue. HP filed five motions for summary judgment on September 29, 2006. The district court ruled on those motions September 24, 2007, eliminating certain patent claims but otherwise allowing the case to proceed to trial. The patent at issue in this litigation, United States Patent No. 4,807,115, expired on February 21, 2006. Therefore, the plaintiffs are no longer entitled to seek injunctive relief against HP. No trial date has been set.

Digwamaje et al. v. Bank of America et al. is a purported class action lawsuit that names HP and numerous other multinational corporations as defendants. It was filed on September 27, 2002 in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on behalf of current and former South African citizens and their survivors who suffered violence and oppression under the apartheid regime. The lawsuit alleges that HP and other companies helped perpetuate, profited from, and otherwise aided and abetted the apartheid regime during the period from 1948-1994 by selling products and services to agencies of the South African government. Claims are based on the Alien Tort Claims Act, the Torture Victims Protection Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act and state law. The complaint seeks, among other things, an accounting, the creation of a historic commission,

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

compensatory damages in excess of \$200 billion, punitive damages in excess of \$200 billion, costs and attorneys' fees. On November 29, 2004, the District Court dismissed with prejudice the plaintiffs' complaint. On October 12, 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed in part and reversed in part the District Court's decision. The Second Circuit affirmed the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claims under the Torture Victims Protection Act, but reversed the District Court's dismissal of the plaintiffs' Alien Tort Claims Act claims, finding that it was possible for the plaintiffs to state such a claim. The Second Circuit, therefore, remanded the case to the District Court to permit the plaintiffs to attempt to plead the allegations needed to state a claim under the Alien Tort Claims Act. On January 10, 2008, HP and the other defendants filed a certiorari petition with the United States Supreme Court, which plaintiffs plan to oppose.

CSIRO Patent Litigation. Microsoft Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. v. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation of Australia is an action filed by HP and two other plaintiffs on May 9, 2005 in the District Court for the Northern District of California seeking a declaratory judgment against Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation of Australia ("CSIRO") that HP's products employing the IEEE 802.11a and 8.02.11g wireless protocol standards do not infringe CSIRO's US patent no. 5,487,069 relating to wireless transmission of data at frequencies in excess of 10GHz. On September 22, 2005, CSIRO filed an answer and counterclaims alleging that all HP products which employ those wireless protocol standards infringe the CSIRO patent and seeking damages, including enhanced damages and attorneys' fees and costs, and an injunction against sales of infringing products. On December 12, 2006, CSIRO successfully moved to have the case transferred to the District Court of the Eastern District of Texas, a court that has granted CSIRO's motions for summary judgment on the issues of validity and patent infringement and a permanent injunction in favor of CSIRO in a patent infringement action brought by CSIRO against a third party vendor of wireless networking products based on the same patent. On June 15, 2007, CSIRO filed an amended answer and counterclaims adding the allegation that all HP products which employ the draft IEEE 802.11n wireless protocol infringe the CSIRO patent. Trial is scheduled for April 2009.

Polaroid Corp. v. HP is a lawsuit filed against HP by Polaroid Corporation on December 2006 in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The lawsuit involves a single U.S. patent that will expire in April 2008. Polaroid alleges that certain HP products containing "Digital Flash" or "Adaptive Lighting" technology infringe Polaroid's U.S. Patent No. 4,829,381 relating to a system and method for continuously enhancing electronic images by varying the contrast in different portions of the image. Polaroid seeks monetary relief. A trial is scheduled for December 2008.

Tandberg Data Corporation v. HP: In January 2006, Exabyte Corporation, which has since been acquired by Tandberg Data Corporation, sued HP in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The plaintiff alleges that a particular HP tape drive infringes a patent that describes an apparatus and method for recovering data from a distorted tape by rewinding and replaying the tape at a slower speed. The complaint seeks injunctive relief and unspecified damages. In June 2006, HP asserted counterclaims against the plaintiff and is now asserting one HP patent relating to tape drive technology. HP seeks injunctive relief and unspecified damages for the plaintiff's alleged infringement. On January 22 2008, the Court issued a ruling construing the claims of the patent. Trial is scheduled for September 2008.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

Convolve, Inc. and Massachusetts Institute of Technology v. Compaq Computer Corporation and Seagate Technology, Inc. In July 2000, Compaq and Seagate were sued in the United States District court for the Southern District of New York by MIT and a small technology company named Convolve. Convolve accused Compaq and Seagate of misappropriating certain confidential information and infringing certain patents in Seagate's development of certain disk drive products and Compaq's development of a user interface. MIT and Convolve are owners of one of the patents at issue. With respect to one of the patents, the accused feature is contained within the Seagate drive procured by Compaq, not in Compaq's own designs or products; therefore, Seagate is taking the lead in defending against Convolve's claims. The second patent relates to a user interface that HP has removed from its products. Seagate has agreed to indemnify HP with respect to one patent; HP has requested but not received indemnification from Seagate with respect to the second. Pre-trial discovery is ongoing. A claim construction hearing was held on March 30-31, 2004; the court issued its ruling on August 10, 2005. No trial date has been set.

The United States of America, ex rel. Norman Rille and Neal Roberts v. Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. In 2004, two private individuals filed a civil "qui tam" complaint under the False Claims Act in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas containing generalized allegations that HP and several other companies participated in an industry-wide practice of using partnership and alliance programs to make improper payments and cause the submission of false claims in connection with contracts to provide products and services to the federal government. On April 12, 2007, the U.S. Department of Justice intervened in the *qui tam* action and filed a complaint against HP (and several other companies in separate actions) on behalf of the United States containing allegations that HP violated the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 by providing millions of dollars in kickbacks to its alliance partners, including "influencer fees" and "new business opportunity rebates." The U.S. complaint further alleges that HP violated the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Act, breached its federal government contracts, induced the federal government to make payments to HP that HP was not entitled to receive under those contracts, and was unjustly enriched by expressly or impliedly making false statements, records or certifications to the federal government that it complied with and would continue to comply with the Anti-Kickback Act and by submitting claims to the government that allegedly were inflated because they included the amounts of the influencer fees and new business opportunity rebates. The U.S. complaint seeks treble damages plus civil penalties in connection with the alleged violations of the False Claims Act, double damages plus civil penalties in connection with the alleged violations of the Anti-Kickback Act and disgorgement of profits earned in connection with the breach of contract and unjust enrichment claims.

Leak Investigation Proceedings. As described below, HP is or has been the subject of various governmental inquiries concerning the processes employed in an investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media that concluded in May 2006:

In August 2006, HP was informally contacted by the Attorney General of the State of California requesting information concerning the processes employed in the leak investigation. On December 7, 2006, HP announced that it has entered into an agreement with the California Attorney General to resolve civil claims arising from the leak investigation, including a claim made by the California Attorney General in a Santa Clara County Superior Court action filed on December 7, 2006 that HP committed unfair business practices under California law in connection with the leak investigation. As a result of this agreement, which includes an



HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

injunction, the California Attorney General will not pursue civil claims against HP or its current and former directors, officers and employees. Under the terms of the agreement, HP paid a total of \$14.5 million and agreed to implement and maintain for five years a series of measures designed to ensure that HP's corporate investigations are conducted in accordance with California law and the company's high ethical standards. Of the \$14.5 million, \$13.5 million has been used to create a Privacy and Piracy Fund to assist California prosecutors in investigating and prosecuting consumer privacy and information piracy violations, \$650,000 was used to pay statutory damages and \$350,000 reimbursed the California Attorney General's office for its investigation costs. There was no finding of liability against HP as part of the settlement.

Beginning in September 2006, HP has received requests from the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the U.S. House of Representatives (the "Committee") for records and information concerning the leak investigation, securities transactions by HP officers and directors, including an August 25, 2006 securities transaction by Mark Hurd, HP's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and related matters. HP has responded to those requests. In addition, Mr. Hurd voluntarily gave testimony before the Committee regarding the leak investigation on September 28, 2006.

In September 2006, HP was informally contacted by the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California requesting similar information concerning the processes employed in the leak investigation. HP has responded to that request.

Beginning in September 2006, HP has received requests from the Division of Enforcement of the Securities and Exchange Commission for records and information and interviews with current and former HP directors and officers relating to the leak investigation, the resignation of Thomas J. Perkins from HP's Board of Directors, HP's May 22, 2006 and September 6, 2006 filings with the SEC on Form 8-K, stock repurchases by HP and securities transactions by its officers and directors that occurred between May 1 and October 1, 2006, and HP's policies, practices and approval of securities transactions. In May 2007, HP consented to the entry of an order by the SEC ordering HP to cease and desist from committing or causing violations of the public reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. HP has been advised by the staff of the Division of Enforcement that the staff has completed its investigation and does not intend to recommend that any other SEC enforcement action be brought in connection with these matters.

In September 2006, HP received a request from the U.S. Federal Communications Commission for records and information relating to the processes employed in the leak investigation. HP has responded to that request.

In addition, four stockholder derivative lawsuits have been filed in California purportedly on behalf of HP stockholders seeking to recover damages for alleged breach of fiduciary duty and to require HP to improve its corporate governance and internal control procedures as a result of the activities of the leak investigation: Staehr v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 18, 2006; Worsham v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 14, 2006; Tansey v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 20, 2006; and Hall v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 25, 2006. On October 19, 2006, the Santa Clara County Superior Court consolidated the

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

four California cases under the caption *In re Hewlett-Packard Company Derivative Litigation*. The consolidated complaint filed on November 19, 2006 also seeks to recover damages in connection with sales of HP stock alleged to have been made by certain current and former HP officers and directors while in possession of material non-public information. Two additional stockholder derivative lawsuits, *Pifko v. Babbio, et al.*, filed on September 19, 2006, and *Gross v. Babbio, et al.*, filed on November 21, 2006, were filed in Chancery Court, County of New Castle, Delaware, both of which seek to recover damages for alleged breaches of fiduciary duty and to obtain an order instructing the defendants to refrain from further breaches of fiduciary duty and to implement corrective measures that will prevent future occurrences of the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. On January 24, 2007, the Delaware court consolidated the two cases under the caption *In re Hewlett-Packard Company Derivative Litigation* and subsequently stayed the proceedings, as the parties have reached a tentative settlement. The HP Board of Directors has appointed a Special Litigation Committee consisting of independent Board members authorized to investigate, review and evaluate the facts and circumstances asserted in these derivative matters and to determine how HP should proceed in these matters. On December 14, 2007, HP and the plaintiffs in the California and Delaware derivative actions entered into an agreement to settle those lawsuits, which agreement is subject to the approval of the California and Delaware courts before it becomes final. Under the terms of the proposed settlement, HP has agreed to continue certain corporate governance changes until December 31, 2012 and to pay the plaintiffs' attorneys' fees. The California court has set a hearing date of March 11, 2008 to consider whether to grant final approval to the settlement of, and dismiss, the California matter as well as plaintiff's counsel's application for attorneys' fees and expenses, and the Delaware court has set a hearing date of June 12, 2008 to consider whether to grant final approval to the settlement of, and dismiss, the Delaware matter as well as plaintiff's counsel's application for attorneys' fees and expenses.

*Mercury Interactive Corporation Proceedings*. In November 2006, HP completed its acquisition of Mercury Interactive Corporation ("Mercury"). Upon completion of the acquisition, HP assumed oversight for all litigation and regulatory matters pending or subsequently commenced against Mercury. The following Mercury-related litigation and regulatory inquiries currently are pending:

Prior to the announcement of the acquisition, and beginning on or about August 19, 2005, four securities class action lawsuits were filed against Mercury and certain of its officers and directors on behalf of purchasers of Mercury's stock from October 2003 to November 2005: *Archdiocese of Milwaukee Supporting Fund, Inc. v. Mercury Interactive, et al.*, *Johnson v. Mercury Interactive, et al.*, *Munao v. Mercury Interactive, et al.*, and *Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi v. Mercury Interactive, et al.* These class action lawsuits were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California as *In re Mercury Interactive Corporation Securities Litigation*. The consolidated complaint filed on September 8, 2006 alleges that, during the putative class period of October 17, 2000 through November 1, 2005, the defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding Mercury's business and operations in violation of Section 10(b) and Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On July 30, 2007, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint with leave to amend. On October 15, 2007, HP and counsel for the plaintiffs reached an agreement in principle to settle the consolidated class action lawsuit. The agreement, if

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 14: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)**

finalized and approved by the court, provides for HP to pay an aggregate of \$117.5 million to administer the settlement, to compensate the class, and to pay attorneys' fees.

On February 26, 2007, HP received a request from the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs for information relating to Mercury's past executive compensation and stock option granting policies and procedures, including information about the practice of backdating the grant date of options that allegedly occurred before HP acquired Mercury. HP has responded to the Subcommittee's request and intends to cooperate with the inquiry.

European Commission OEM Investigation. In May 2002, the European Commission of the EU publicly stated that it was considering conducting an investigation into original equipment manufacturer ("OEM") activities concerning the sales of printers and supplies to consumers within the EU. The European Commission contacted HP requesting information on the printing systems businesses. HP has cooperated fully in response to the initial inquiry and intends to cooperate fully with respect to subsequent requests for information.

*Environmental*

HP is party to, or otherwise involved in, proceedings brought by U.S. or state environmental agencies under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), known as "Superfund," or state laws similar to CERCLA. HP is also conducting environmental investigations or remediations at several current or former operating sites pursuant to administrative orders or consent agreements with state environmental agencies. It is our policy to apply strict standards for environmental clean-up to sites outside the United States, even where we are not required to do so under applicable local laws and regulations.

The European Union ("EU") adopted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive in January 2003. The directive makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. The deadline for the individual member states of the EU to enact legislation implementing the directive in their respective countries was August 13, 2004 (such legislation, together with the directive, the "WEEE Legislation"). The EU member states were obliged to make producers participating in the market financially responsible for implementing these responsibilities under the WEEE Legislation beginning in August 2005. Implementation in certain of the member states was delayed into 2007. Similar legislation has been or may be enacted in other jurisdictions, including in the United States, Canada, Mexico, China and Japan. HP is continuing to evaluate the impact of and take steps to comply with the WEEE Legislation and similar legislation in other jurisdictions as individual countries issue their implementation legislation and guidance.

The liability for environmental remediation and other environmental costs is accrued when it is considered probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. We have accrued amounts in conjunction with the foregoing environmental issues that we believe were adequate as of January 31, 2008. These accruals were not material to our operations or financial position and we do not currently anticipate material capital expenditures for environmental control facilities.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 15: Segment Information**

*Description of Segments*

HP is a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small and medium-sized businesses ("SMBs"), and large enterprises including the public and education sectors. HP's offerings span personal computing and other access devices; imaging and printing-related products and services; enterprise information technology ("IT") infrastructure, including enterprise storage and server technology; software that optimizes business technology investments; and multi-vendor customer services, including technology support and maintenance, consulting and integration and outsourcing services.

HP and its operations are organized into seven business segments: Enterprise Storage and Servers ("ESS"), HP Services ("HPS"), HP Software, the Personal Systems Group ("PSG"), the Imaging and Printing Group ("IPG"), HP Financial Services ("HPFS"), and Corporate Investments. HP's organizational structure is based on a number of factors that management uses to evaluate, view and run its business operations, which include, but are not limited to, customer base, homogeneity of products and technology. The business segments disclosed in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements are based on this organizational structure and information reviewed by HP's management to evaluate the business segment results. ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath a broader Technology Solutions Group ("TSG"). In order to provide a supplementary view of HP's business, aggregated financial data for TSG is presented herein.

HP has reclassified segment operating results for the first quarter of fiscal 2007 to conform to certain fiscal 2008 organizational realignments. None of the changes impact HP's previously reported consolidated net revenue, earnings from operations, net earnings or net earnings per share. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the business segments disclosed. A description of the types of products and services provided by each business segment follows.

*Technology Solutions Group.* Each of the business segments within TSG is described in detail below.

*Enterprise Storage and Servers* provides storage and server products. The various server offerings range from entry-level servers to high-end scalable servers, including Superdome servers. Industry standard servers include primarily entry-level and mid-range ProLiant servers, which run primarily Windows<sup>(1)</sup>, Linux and Novell operating systems and leverage Intel Corporation ("Intel") and Advanced Micro Devices ("AMD") processors. The business spans a range of product lines, including pedestal-tower servers, density-optimized rack servers and HP's BladeSystem family of server blades. Business critical systems include Itanium<sup>(2)</sup>-based Integrity servers running on HP-UX, Windows®, Linux and OpenVMS operating systems, including the high-end Superdome servers and fault-tolerant Integrity NonStop servers. Business critical systems also include the Reduced Instruction Set Computing ("RISC")-based servers with the HP 9000 line running on the HP-UX operating system, HP AlphaServers running on both Tru64 UNIX<sup>(3)</sup> and OpenVMS, and MIPs-based NonStop servers. HP's StorageWorks offerings

---

(1) Windows® is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation.

(2) Itanium® is a registered trademark of Intel Corporation.

(3) UNIX® is a registered trademark of The Open Group.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)**

include entry-level, mid-range and high-end arrays, storage area networks ("SANs"), network attached storage ("NAS"), storage management software, and virtualization technologies, as well as tape drives, tape libraries and optical archival storage.

*HP Services* provides a portfolio of multi-vendor IT services including technology services, consulting and integration and outsourcing services. HPS also offers a variety of services

tailored to particular industries such as communications, media and entertainment, manufacturing and distribution, financial services, health and life sciences and the public sector, including government services. HPS collaborates with the Enterprise Storage and Servers and HP Software groups, as well as with third-party system integrators and software and networking companies to bring solutions to HP customers. HPS also works with HP's Imaging and Printing Group and Personal Systems Group to provide managed print services, end user workplace services, and mobile workforce productivity solutions to enterprise customers. Technology services provides a range of services, including standalone product support and high availability services for complex, global, networked and multi-vendor environments. Technology services also manages the delivery of product warranty support through its own service organization, as well as through authorized partners. Consulting and integration provides services to architect, design and implement technology and industry-specific solutions for customers. Consulting and integration also provides cross-industry solutions in the areas of architecture and governance, infrastructure, applications and packaged applications, security, IT service management, information management and enterprise Microsoft solutions. Outsourcing services offers a variety of IT management and outsourcing services that support customers' infrastructure, applications, business processes, end user workplace, print environment and business continuity and recovery requirements.

*HP Software* provides enterprise IT management software solutions, including support, that allow customers to manage and automate their IT infrastructure, operations, applications, IT services and business processes under the HP Business Technology Optimization ("BTO") brand. The portfolio of BTO solutions enables HP's customers to reduce IT costs, and gain better insight to business and IT operations, helping them align IT resources with business goals and automate data center operations and IT processes, enabling IT to deliver more value to the business. HP Software also provides various products and solutions in the other software category which include OpenCall products, a suite of comprehensive, carrier-grade software platforms for developing and deploying next-generation voice, data and converged services to network and service providers; and Business Information Optimization ("BIO") products, which include enterprise data warehousing, information business continuity and data availability, compliance and e-discovery solutions.

HP's other business segments are described below.

*Personal Systems Group* provides commercial PCs, consumer PCs, workstations, handheld computing devices, digital entertainment products, calculators and other related accessories, software and services for the commercial and consumer markets. Commercial PCs are optimized for commercial uses, including enterprise and SMB customers, and for connectivity and manageability in networked environments. Commercial PCs include the HP Compaq business desktops, business notebooks and Tablet PCs. Consumer PCs are targeted at the home user and

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)**

include the HP Pavilion and Compaq Presario series of multi-media consumer desktops and notebooks, as well as HP Media Center and Voodoo Gaming PCs. Workstations are individual computing products designed for users demanding enhanced performance, such as computer animation, engineering design and other programs requiring high-resolution graphics. Workstations run on UNIX®, Windows® and Linux-based operating systems. Handheld computing devices include a series of HP iPAQ handheld computing devices, ranging from Pocket PCs and navigation devices to smartphones and data devices, that run on Windows® Mobile software, and include software and support. Handheld computing also offers software and support that provide security and manageability of mobile devices. Digital entertainment products include plasma and LCD flat-panel televisions, HD DVD and RW drives, DVD writers, and the HP Digital Entertainment Center.

*Imaging and Printing Group* provides consumer and commercial printer hardware, printing supplies, printing media and scanning devices. IPG is also focused on imaging solutions in the commercial markets, from managed print services solutions to addressing new growth opportunities in commercial printing in areas such as industrial applications, outdoor signage, and the graphic arts business. Inkjet systems include desktop single function and inkjet all-in-one printers, including photo, productivity and business inkjet printers and scanners. Digital imaging products and services include photo specialty printers and accessories, photo kiosks and online photo services through Snapfish. LaserJet systems include monochrome and color laser printers, printer-based MFDs and Total Print Management Solutions for enterprise customers. Graphics and Imaging products include large format (DesignJet) printers, Indigo and Scitex digital presses, digital publishing solutions and graphics printing solutions. Printer supplies include LaserJet toner and inkjet printer cartridges and other printing-related media such as HP-branded Vivera and ColorSphere ink and HP Premium and Premium Plus photo papers.

*HP Financial Services* supports and enhances HP's global product and services solutions, providing a broad range of value-added financial life-cycle management services. HPFS enables HP's worldwide customers to acquire complete IT solutions, including hardware, software and services. HPFS offers leasing, financing, utility programs, and asset recovery services, as well as financial asset management services, for large global and enterprise customers. HPFS also provides an array of specialized financial services to SMBs and educational and governmental entities. HPFS offers innovative, customized and flexible alternatives to balance unique customer cash flow, technology obsolescence and capacity needs.

*Corporate Investments* is managed by the Office of Strategy and Technology and includes HP Labs and certain business incubation projects. Revenue in this segment is attributable to the sale of certain network infrastructure products, including Ethernet switch products that enhance computing and enterprise solutions under the brand "ProCurve Networking," as well as the licensing of specific HP technology to third parties.

*Segment Data*

HP derives the results of the business segments directly from its internal management reporting system. The accounting policies HP uses to derive business segment results are substantially the same as those the consolidated company uses. Management measures the performance of each business

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)

segment based on several metrics, including earnings from operations. Management uses these results, in part, to evaluate the performance of, and to assign resources to, each of the business segments. HP does not allocate to its business segments certain operating expenses, which it manages separately at the corporate level. These unallocated costs include primarily amortization of purchased intangible assets, stock-based compensation expense related to HP-granted and certain acquisition-related employee stock options and the employee stock purchase plan, certain acquisition-related charges and charges for purchased IPR&D, as well as certain corporate governance costs.

HP does not allocate to its business segments restructuring charges and any associated adjustments related to restructuring actions.

Selected operating results information for each business segment was as follows:

|                                | Three months ended January 31 |                     |                                    |                     |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                | Total Net Revenue             |                     | Earnings (Loss)<br>from Operations |                     |
|                                | 2008                          | 2007 <sup>(1)</sup> | 2008                               | 2007 <sup>(1)</sup> |
|                                | In millions                   |                     |                                    |                     |
| Enterprise Storage and Servers | \$ 4,820                      | \$ 4,421            | \$ 673                             | \$ 453              |
| HP Services                    | 4,378                         | 3,932               | 489                                | 406                 |
| HP Software                    | 666                           | 598                 | 51                                 | 18                  |
| Technology Solutions Group     | 9,864                         | 8,951               | 1,213                              | 877                 |
| Personal Systems Group         | 10,791                        | 8,719               | 628                                | 414                 |
| Imaging and Printing Group     | 7,312                         | 6,999               | 1,150                              | 1,073               |
| HP Financial Services          | 642                           | 547                 | 43                                 | 32                  |
| Corporate Investments          | 218                           | 157                 | 8                                  | (29)                |
| Segment total                  | \$ 28,827                     | \$ 25,373           | \$ 3,042                           | \$ 2,367            |

(1) Certain fiscal 2008 organizational reclassifications have been reflected retroactively to provide improved visibility and comparability. For each of the quarters in fiscal year 2007, the reclassifications resulted in the transfer of revenue and operating profit among the Enterprise Storage and Servers, HP Services and HP Software segments within the Technology Solutions Group. There was no impact on the previously reported financial results for the other segments or on the previously reported consolidated financial results for the company as a whole.

## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)

The reconciliation of segment operating results information to HP consolidated totals was as follows:

|   | Three months ended<br>January 31 |           |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2008                             | 2007      |
| In millions   |                                  |           |
| <b>Net revenue:</b>   |                                  |           |
| Segment total   | \$ 28,827                        | \$ 25,373 |
| Eliminations of inter-segment net revenue and other           | (360)                            | (291)     |
| Total HP consolidated net revenue                             | \$ 28,467                        | \$ 25,082 |
| <b>Earnings before taxes:</b>                                 |                                  |           |
| Total segment earnings from operations                        | \$ 3,042                         | \$ 2,367  |
| Corporate and unallocated costs and eliminations              | (89)                             | (66)      |
| Unallocated costs related to stock-based compensation expense | (124)                            | (140)     |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets                   | (206)                            | (201)     |
| In-process research and development charges                   |                                  | (167)     |
| Restructuring   | (10)                             | 41        |
| Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net             |                                  | 9         |
| Interest and other, net                                       | 72                               | 121       |
| Total HP consolidated earnings before taxes                   | \$ 2,685                         | \$ 1,964  |

HP allocates its assets to its business segments based on the primary segments benefiting from the assets. There have been no material changes in the total assets of all the segments.



## HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)

*Net revenue by segment and business unit*

|   | Three months ended<br>January 31 |                     |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
|   | 2008                             | 2007 <sup>(1)</sup> |
|   | In millions                      |                     |
| Net revenue:                                    |                                  |                     |
| Industry standard servers                       | \$ 2,988                         | \$ 2,689            |
| Business critical systems                       | 855                              | 846                 |
| Storage   | 977                              | 886                 |
| Enterprise Storage and Servers                  | 4,820                            | 4,421               |
| Technology services                             | 2,241                            | 2,062               |
| Outsourcing services                            | 1,303                            | 1,129               |
| Consulting and integration                      | 834                              | 741                 |
| HP Services                                     | 4,378                            | 3,932               |
| Business technology optimization <sup>(2)</sup> | 548                              | 460                 |
| Other <sup>(2)</sup>                            | 118                              | 138                 |
| HP Software                                     | 666                              | 598                 |
| Technology Solutions Group                      | 9,864                            | 8,951               |
| Notebooks                                       | 5,664                            | 4,146               |
| Desktops  | 4,401                            | 3,821               |
| Workstations                                    | 467                              | 405                 |
| Handhelds                                       | 89                               | 191                 |
| Other   | 170                              | 156                 |
| Personal Systems Group                          | 10,791                           | 8,719               |
| Commercial hardware                             | 1,726                            | 1,616               |
| Consumer hardware                               | 1,180                            | 1,241               |
| Supplies  | 4,399                            | 4,142               |
| Other   | 7                                |                     |
| Imaging and Printing Group                      | 7,312                            | 6,999               |
| HP Financial Services                           | 642                              | 547                 |
| Corporate Investments                           | 218                              | 157                 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

|   | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31</b> |                   |
|---|--|-------------------|
|   | <u>          </u>                        | <u>          </u> |
| Total segments                                      | 28,827                                   | 25,373            |
|   | <u>          </u>                        | <u>          </u> |
| Eliminations of inter-segment net revenue and other | (360)                                    | (291)             |
|   | <u>          </u>                        | <u>          </u> |
| Total HP consolidated net revenue                   | \$ 28,467                                | \$ 25,082         |
|   | <u>          </u>                        | <u>          </u> |

(1) Certain fiscal 2008 organizational reclassifications have been reflected retroactively to provide improved visibility and comparability. For each of the quarters in fiscal year 2007, the reclassifications resulted in the transfer of revenue among Enterprise Storage and Servers, HP Services and HP Software segments within the Technology Solutions Group. In addition, revenue

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 15: Segment Information (Continued)**

was transferred among the business units within the Imaging and Printing Group and among the business units within the Personal Systems Group, but there was no change to the previously reported revenue for either segment as a whole. There was no impact on the previously reported financial results for the HP Financial Services and Corporate Investments segments or on the previously reported consolidated financial results for the company as a whole.

(2)

The OpenView business unit was renamed as "Business Technology Optimization" and the OpenCall and Other business unit was renamed as "Other" effective in fiscal 2008. The renamed "Other" business unit includes primarily the OpenCall and Business Information Optimization products.

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Management's Discussion and Analysis of  
Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document.*

**OVERVIEW**

We are a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small and medium-sized businesses ("SMBs"), and large enterprises, including in the public and education sectors. Our offerings span:

personal computing and other access devices;

imaging and printing-related products and services;

enterprise information technology infrastructure, including enterprise storage and server technology, and software that optimizes business technology investments; and

multi-vendor customer services, including technology support and maintenance, consulting and integration and outsourcing services.

We have seven business segments: Enterprise Storage and Servers ("ESS"), HP Services ("HPS"), HP Software, the Personal Systems Group ("PSG"), the Imaging and Printing Group ("IPG"), HP Financial Services ("HPFS"), and Corporate Investments. ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath a broader Technology Solutions Group ("TSG"). While TSG is not an operating segment, we sometimes provide financial data aggregating the segments within TSG in order to provide a supplementary view of our business.

The operating framework in which we manage our businesses and guide our strategies is based on the disciplined management of three business levers: targeted growth, operational efficiency and capital strategy. Although we have made progress towards our goals in recent periods, there are still many areas in which we believe that we can improve. To implement this operating framework, we are focused on the following initiatives:

We are engaged in a process of examining every function and every business in the company in order to optimize efficiency and reduce cost;

We are in the process of consolidating 85 data centers worldwide into six state-of-the-art centers in three U.S. cities and consolidating several hundred real estate locations worldwide to fewer core sites in order to reduce our IT spending and real estate costs;

We are reinvesting a portion of the cost savings from these initiatives by expanding our sales force and aligning our resources in order to build our market share in emerging markets while expanding our coverage to drive growth in mature markets;

We are developing training programs for our sales forces designed to enhance our ability to provide solutions to our customers and build customer loyalty;

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We are building and expanding our services organization to support our technology businesses and provide comprehensive solutions to our customers;

We are developing a global delivery structure to take advantage of regions where advanced technical expertise is available at lower costs;

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We are expanding our ethics and compliance programs and enhancing our corporate governance to ensure that all of our actions are consistent with HP's values; and

We are repurchasing shares of our common stock under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee stock plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically.

We continue to grow our business organically and through strategic acquisitions. During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we acquired three companies, and we expect to continue to make strategic acquisitions periodically in the future.

In terms of how our execution has translated into financial performance, the following provides an overview of our key financial metrics in the first quarter of fiscal 2008:

|  | TSG                |          |          |                |          |           |          |        |
|--|--------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|-----------|----------|--------|
|  | HP<br>Consolidated | ESS      | HPS      | HP<br>Software | Total    | PSG       | IPG      | HPFS   |
| In millions, except per share amounts          |                    |          |          |                |          |           |          |        |
| Net revenue                                    | \$ 28,467          | \$ 4,820 | \$ 4,378 | \$ 666         | \$ 9,864 | \$ 10,791 | \$ 7,312 | \$ 642 |
| Year-over-year net revenue % increase          | 13.5%              | 9.0%     | 11.3%    | 11.4%          | 10.2%    | 23.8%     | 4.5%     | 17.4%  |
| Earnings from operations                       | \$ 2,613           | \$ 673   | \$ 489   | \$ 51          | \$ 1,213 | \$ 628    | \$ 1,150 | \$ 43  |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 9.2%               | 14.0%    | 11.2%    | 7.7%           | 12.3%    | 5.8%      | 15.7%    | 6.7%   |
| Net earnings                                   | \$ 2,133           |          |          |                |          |           |          |        |
| Net earnings per share                         |                    |          |          |                |          |           |          |        |
| Basic  | \$ 0.83            |          |          |                |          |           |          |        |
| Diluted  | \$ 0.80            |          |          |                |          |           |          |        |

Cash and cash equivalents at January 31, 2008 totaled \$9.9 billion, a decrease of \$1.4 billion from October 31, 2007. The decrease was due primarily to \$3.3 billion paid to repurchase our common stock and a \$1.0 billion net payment for our debt and commercial paper, both of which were partially offset by \$3.2 billion in cash provided from operations.

We intend the discussion of our financial condition and results of operations that follows to provide information that will assist in understanding our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles, policies and estimates affect our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

The discussion of results of operations at the consolidated level is followed by a more detailed discussion of results of operations by segment.

For a further discussion of factors that could impact operating results, see the section entitled "Factors That Could Affect Future Results" below.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, which we have prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Senior management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of significant estimates with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, if different estimates reasonably could have been used, or if changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur could materially impact the financial statements. Except for tax accounting policy changes as illustrated in detail below, management believes that there have been no other significant changes during the three months ended January 31, 2008 to the items that we disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007.

### *Taxes on Earnings*

We calculate our current and deferred tax provisions based on estimates and assumptions that could differ from the actual results reflected in our income tax returns filed during the subsequent year. We record adjustments based on filed returns when we have identified and finalized them, which is generally in the third and fourth quarters of the subsequent year for U.S. federal and state provisions, respectively.

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which we expect the differences to reverse. We record a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that we are more likely than not to realize. We have considered future market growth, forecasted earnings, future taxable income, the mix of earnings in the jurisdictions in which we operate and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in determining the need for a valuation allowance. In the event we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax assets in the future, we would increase the valuation allowance and make a corresponding charge to earnings in the period in which we make such determination. Likewise, if we later determine that we are more likely than not to realize the net deferred tax assets, we would reverse the applicable portion of the previously provided valuation allowance. In order for us to realize our deferred tax assets we must be able to generate sufficient taxable income in the tax jurisdictions in which the deferred tax assets are located.

Our effective tax rate includes the impact of certain undistributed foreign earnings for which we have not provided U.S. taxes because we plan to reinvest such earnings indefinitely outside the United States. We plan foreign earnings remittance amounts based on projected cash flow needs as well as the working capital and long-term investment requirements of our foreign subsidiaries and our domestic operations. Based on these assumptions, we estimate the amount we will distribute to the United States and provide the U.S. federal taxes due on these amounts. Further, as a result of certain employment actions and capital investments HP has undertaken, income from manufacturing activities in certain countries is subject to reduced tax rates, and in some cases is wholly exempt from taxes, for fiscal years through 2019. Material changes in our estimates of cash, working capital and long-term investment requirements in the various jurisdictions in which we do business could impact our effective tax rate.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and over sixty foreign countries, and we are subject to routine corporate income tax audits in many of these jurisdictions. We believe that our tax return positions are fully supported, but tax authorities are likely to challenge certain positions, which may not be fully sustained. However, our income tax expense includes amounts intended to satisfy income tax assessments that result from these challenges in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). Determining the income tax expense for these potential assessments and recording the related assets and liabilities requires management judgments and estimates. We evaluate our uncertain tax positions in accordance with FIN 48. We believe that our reserve for uncertain tax positions, including related interest, is adequate. The amounts ultimately paid upon resolution of audits could be materially different from the amounts previously included in our

income tax expense and therefore could have a material impact on our tax provision, net income and cash flows. Our reserve for uncertain tax positions is attributable primarily to uncertainties concerning the tax treatment of our international operations, including the allocation of income among different jurisdictions, and related interest. We review our reserves quarterly, and we may adjust such reserves because of proposed assessments by tax authorities, changes in facts and circumstances, issuance of new regulations or new case law, previously unavailable information obtained during the course of an examination, negotiations between tax authorities of different countries concerning our transfer prices, execution of Advanced Pricing Agreements, resolution with respect to individual audit issues, the resolution of entire audits, or the expiration of statutes of limitations. In addition, our tax contingency reserve includes certain amounts for potential tax assessments for pre-acquisition tax years of acquired companies which, if released, will impact the carrying value of goodwill attributable to the acquired company.

## RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Updates to recent accounting standards as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 are as follows:

As previously reported in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007, we recognized the funded status of our benefit plans at October 31, 2007 in accordance with the recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans An Amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). In addition to the recognition provisions, SFAS 158 also requires companies to measure the funded status of the plan as of the date of their fiscal year end, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. We expect to adopt the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 effective October 31, 2009.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. It also responds to investors' requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We are currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition and are not yet in a position to determine such effects.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards that require assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under SFAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure accounts and loans receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees and issued debt. Other eligible items include firm commitments for financial instruments that otherwise would not be recognized at inception and non-cash warranty obligations where a warrantor is permitted to pay a third party to provide the warranty goods or services. If the use of fair value is elected, any upfront costs and fees related to the item must be recognized in earnings and cannot be deferred, such as debt issuance costs. The fair value election is irrevocable and generally made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, even if a company has similar instruments that it elects not to measure based on fair value. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15,



2007 and is required to be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We currently are determining whether fair value accounting is appropriate for any of our eligible items and cannot yet estimate the impact, if any, that SFAS 159 will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R"). SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 141R on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 160 on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 07-1, "Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements" ("EITF 07-1"). EITF 07-1 provides accounting guidance on collaborative arrangements within the scope of this Issue on the classification of the payments between participants of the arrangement, the appropriate income statement presentation as well as disclosures related to these arrangements. EITF 07-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of EITF 07-1 on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we adopted the following accounting standard:

On November 1, 2007, we adopted FIN 48. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. For the effect of applying FIN 48 on the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, see Note 11 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Results of operations in dollars and as a percentage of net revenue were as follows:

|   | <b>Three months ended January 31</b> |        |                           |        |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
|   | <b>2008</b>                          |        | <b>2007<sup>(2)</sup></b> |        |
|   | <b>In millions</b>                   |        |                           |        |
| Net revenue                                       | \$ 28,467                            | 100.0% | \$ 25,082                 | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales <sup>(1)</sup>                      | 21,499                               | 75.5%  | 19,136                    | 76.3%  |
| Gross profit                                      | 6,968                                | 24.5%  | 5,946                     | 23.7%  |
| Research and development                          | 898                                  | 3.2%   | 877                       | 3.5%   |
| Selling, general and administrative               | 3,241                                | 11.4%  | 2,908                     | 11.6%  |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets       | 206                                  | 0.7%   | 201                       | 0.8%   |
| In-process research and development charges       |                                      |        | 167                       | 0.7%   |
| Restructuring                                     | 10                                   |        | (41)                      | (0.2)% |
| Pension curtailments and pension settlements, net |                                      |        | (9)                       |        |
| Earnings from operations                          | 2,613                                | 9.2%   | 1,843                     | 7.3%   |
| Interest and other, net                           | 72                                   | 0.2%   | 121                       | 0.5%   |
| Earnings before taxes                             | 2,685                                | 9.4%   | 1,964                     | 7.8%   |
| Provision for taxes                               | 552                                  | 1.9%   | 417                       | 1.6%   |
| Net earnings                                      | \$ 2,133                             | 7.5%   | \$ 1,547                  | 6.2%   |

(1) Cost of products, cost of services and financing interest.

(2) Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-year amounts in order to conform to the current year presentation.

*Net Revenue*

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to prior-year period were as follows:

|                                | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31, 2008</b> |
|--------------------------------|--|
|                                | <b>Percentage Points</b>                       |
| Personal Systems Group         | 8.3  |
| HP Services                    | 1.8  |
| Enterprise Storage and Servers | 1.6  |
| Imaging and Printing Group     | 1.2  |
| HP Financial Services          | 0.4  |
| HP Software                    | 0.3  |
| Corporate Investments/Other    | (0.1)  |
| Total HP                       | 13.5   |

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended January 31, 2008, net revenue increased 13.5% from the prior-year comparable period (8% on a constant currency basis). The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. U.S. net revenue increased 6% to \$8.7 billion, while international net revenue increased 17% to \$19.7 billion. PSG had double-digit net revenue growth across all regions as a result of a 27% unit volume increase. The unit volume increase resulted from strong growth in notebooks and emerging markets, which was partially offset by declines of 2% and 6% in average selling prices ("ASPs") in consumer and commercial clients, respectively. HPS net revenue

increased due primarily to favorable currency impacts, revenue increases in technology services due primarily to growth in extended warranty revenue and IT solution support services, revenue increases in outsourcing services driven by existing account growth and new business and revenue increases in consulting and integration due to additional revenue from recent acquisitions. ESS net revenue growth was the result primarily of strong blade revenue and unit growth, increased option attach rates in our ProLiant server line, continued strong performance in mid-range EVA products within our storage business and revenue increases from our Integrity servers. The ESS growth was moderated by revenue declines in our PA-RISC product line and the planned phase out of our Alpha Server product line. IPG net revenue growth was due mainly to increased unit volumes of printer supplies resulting from the continued expansion of printer hardware placements and the strong performance of color-related products. The HPFS net revenue increase was due primarily to portfolio growth, increased operating lease activity and higher end-of-lease renewals and equipment sales. The net revenue growth in HP Software was due primarily to growth in our Business Technology Optimization ("BTO") business as a result of Opware acquisition and increases in support and service contracts.

*Gross Margin*

The weighted-average components of the change in gross margin as a percentage of net revenue as compared to prior-year period were as follows:

|                                | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31, 2008</b> |
|--------------------------------|--|
|                                | <b>Percentage Points</b>                       |
| Enterprise Storage and Servers | 0.3  |
| Personal Systems Group         | 0.2  |
| HP Software                    | 0.1  |
| HP Services                    | 0.1  |
| Imaging and Printing Group     |  |
| HP Financial Services          |  |
| Corporate Investments/Other    | 0.1  |
| <b>Total HP</b>                | <b>0.8</b>                                     |

Total company gross margin increased 0.8% for the three months ended January 31, 2008, as compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in ESS gross margin was due primarily to improved cost management and attach rates and lower component costs, which were partially offset by a continued mix shift towards ISS within the segment and the ongoing mix shift to lower-margin Integrity products within business critical systems. The gross margin increase in PSG was due primarily to favorable component pricing, improvement in supply chain costs and increases in the attach rates for monitors and other accessories. The improvement in HP Software gross margin was due primarily to cost savings in BTO business and cost structure improvement as a result of increased scale in the information management business. The HPS gross margin increase was driven mainly by the continued focus on cost structure improvement from delivery efficiencies and overall cost controls, which were partially offset by the impact from the continued competitive pricing environment. IPG's contribution to our total company's weighted-average change in gross margin was flat while the IPG gross margin increased as a result of improved margins for supplies as a result of product mix. HPFS' contribution to our total company's weighted-average change in gross margin was flat while the HPFS gross margin declined due primarily to lower portfolio margins as a result of an increase in the operating lease mix and higher provision for bad debt.

*Operating Expenses*

Research and Development

Total research and development ("R&D") expense as a percentage of net revenue decreased slightly in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the prior-year period due primarily to revenue growing faster than R&D expense. R&D expense increased slightly in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the prior-year period due primarily to the unfavorable currency impacts related to the movement of the dollar against the euro. As a percentage of net revenue, each of our major segments experienced a year-over-year decrease in R&D expense for the three months ended January 31, 2008, except that PSG experienced a slight year-over-year increase in R&D expense.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expense as a percentage of net revenue declined in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 from the prior-year comparable period due primarily to the increase in net revenue outpacing SG&A expense growth. Total SG&A expense increased due primarily to higher field selling costs as a result of our investment in sales resources, additional expenses related to the acquisitions and unfavorable currency impacts related to the movement of the dollar against the euro. As a percentage of net revenue, the ESS, HPS and IPG segments experienced a year-over-year decrease in SG&A expense for the three months ended January 31, 2008, while PSG and HP Software experienced a year-over-year increase in SG&A expense.

Amortization of Purchased Intangible Assets

The increase in amortization expense for the three months ended January 31, 2008 as compared to the same period in the prior year was due primarily to amortization expenses related primarily to the acquisitions made subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2007.

In-Process Research and Development Charges

In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded \$167 million of in-process research and development ("IPR&D") charges in connection with the acquisitions completed during that period.

Restructuring

Restructuring charges for the three months ended January 31, 2008 were \$10 million. These charges were due primarily to adjustments for severance and facility costs associated with restructuring programs implemented in fiscal years 2005, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

Restructuring charges for the three months ended January 31, 2007 resulted in a net credit of \$41 million. The credit was due primarily to severance adjustments for employees who were expected to be terminated but who found new positions within HP, a non-cash stock-based compensation expense adjustment and a curtailment gain from our U.S. retiree medical program, all related to our fiscal 2005 restructuring plan. These adjustments were partially offset by a restructuring charge related to our fiscal 2003, 2002 and 2001 restructuring programs.

Workforce Rebalancing

As part of our ongoing business operations, we incurred workforce rebalancing charges for severance and related costs within certain business segments during the first three months of fiscal 2008. Workforce rebalancing activities are considered part of normal operations as we continue to optimize our cost structure. Workforce rebalancing costs are included in our business segment results, and we expect to incur additional workforce rebalancing costs through the remainder of fiscal 2008.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

### Pension Curtailments and Pension Settlements, Net

In the first three months of fiscal 2007, we recognized a net gain on pension curtailments and settlements of \$9 million, relating primarily to a modification to our U.S. defined benefit pension plan. This curtailment gain was offset partially by settlement losses related to our other pension plan design changes.

### Interest and Other, Net

Interest and other, net decreased by \$49 million for the three months ended January 31, 2008 compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2007. The decrease resulted primarily from lower short-term interest income over the prior-year period related to lower short-term interest rates on lower investment balances in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, lower currency gains on various balance sheet items and higher impairment charges on our investment portfolio, which were partially offset by lower interest expense.

### Provision for Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 20.6% and 21.2% for the three months ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2007, respectively. Our effective tax rate generally differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from our operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world for which we have not provided U.S. taxes because we plan to reinvest such earnings indefinitely outside the U.S. There were no material discrete items affecting the tax rate for the three months ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2007, respectively.

### Segment Information

A description of the products and services for each segment can be found in Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the business segments disclosed.

#### Technology Solutions Group

ESS, HPS and HP Software are structured beneath TSG. The results of the business segments of TSG are described in more detail below.

#### Enterprise Storage and Servers

|  | Three months ended January 31 |          |            |
|--|-------------------------------|----------|------------|
|  | 2008                          | 2007     | % Increase |
|  | In millions                   |          |            |
| Net revenue                                    | \$ 4,820                      | \$ 4,421 | 9.0%       |
| Earnings from operations                       | \$ 673                        | \$ 453   | 48.6%      |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 14.0%                         | 10.2%    |            |

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

|                           | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31, 2008</b> |
|---------------------------|--|
|                           | <b>Percentage Points</b>                       |
| Industry standard servers | 6.7  |
| Storage                   | 2.1  |
| Business critical systems | 0.2  |
| Total ESS                 | 9.0  |

ESS net revenue increased 9.0% in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 compared to the same period in fiscal 2007 (4% on a constant currency basis). The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. Net revenue growth in industry standard servers of 11% was driven by strong growth in blade revenue and units as well as increased option attach rates in the ProLiant server line. Storage net revenue increased 10% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008 compared to the prior-year period, with the increase driven primarily by mid-range EVA products, storage software and storage blades. Business critical systems net revenue increased 1% in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. This increase was due primarily to strong net revenue growth in our Integrity servers, which represented 75% of the business critical systems revenue mix in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 up from 55% in the prior-year period. This increase was largely offset by revenue declines in the PA-RISC product line and the planned phase out of our Alpha Server product line. We expect the revenue mix from Integrity servers will continue to grow as customers migrate from PA-RISC and Alpha products.

ESS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 3.8 percentage points in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 compared to the prior-year period, due primarily to an increase in gross margin combined with a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The increase in gross margin was due primarily to improved cost management and attach rates and lower component costs, which was partially offset by a continued mix shift towards industry standard servers within the segment, and the ongoing mix shift to lower-margin Integrity products within business critical systems. The decrease in operating expense as a percentage of net revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 was due primarily to continued cost structure improvements.

### **HP Services**

|  | <b>Three months ended January 31</b> |             |                   |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                          | <b>2007</b> | <b>% Increase</b> |
|  | <b>In millions</b>                   |             |                   |
| Net revenue                                    | \$ 4,378                             | \$ 3,932    | 11.3%             |
| Earnings from operations                       | \$ 489                               | \$ 406      | 20.4%             |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 11.2%                                | 10.3%       |                   |

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

|                            | Three months ended<br>January 31, 2008 |
|----------------------------|--|
|                            | Percentage Points                      |
| Technology services        | 4.5                                    |
| Outsourcing services       | 4.4                                    |
| Consulting and integration | 2.4                                    |
|                            | 11.3                                   |
| Total HPS                  | 11.3                                   |

HPS net revenue increased 11.3% for the three months ended January 31, 2008 compared to the same period in fiscal 2007 (6% on a constant currency basis). The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. Net revenue in technology services increased 9% in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 due primarily to growth in extended warranty revenue, favorable currency impacts and IT solution support services growth, which were partially offset by competitive pricing pressures and revenue erosion from installed base contracts. During the three months ended January 31, 2008, outsourcing services net revenue grew 15% from the same period in fiscal 2007 due to existing account growth, favorable currency impacts and new business, which were partially offset by installed base revenue erosion and pricing pressures. Net revenue in consulting and integration increased 13% compared to the same period in fiscal 2007 due primarily to favorable currency impacts and additional revenue from recent acquisitions.

HPS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue for the three months ended January 31, 2008 increased by 0.9 percentage points. The operating margin increase was the result of a combination of an increase in gross margin and a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The gross margin increase was due primarily to the continued focus on cost structure improvements from delivery efficiencies and overall cost controls. These improvements were partially offset by the impact from the continued competitive pricing environment. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was due primarily to continued efficiency improvements in our operating expense structure. Technology services operating margin continued to benefit from improved delivery efficiencies and cost controls, all of which were offset in part by price erosion and the impact of the ongoing portfolio mix shift from higher margin proprietary support to lower margin areas such as IT solution services. Outsourcing services operating margin improved in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 due primarily to improved delivery efficiencies and reduced operating expenses, which were partially offset by contractual pricing pressure. Consulting and integration operating margin decreased in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 due mainly to increased customer project costs and costs related to acquisitions.

### *HP Software*

|  | Three months ended January 31 |        |            |
|--|-------------------------------|--------|------------|
|  | 2008                          | 2007   | % Increase |
|  | In millions                   |        |            |
| Net revenue                                    | \$ 666                        | \$ 598 | 11.4%      |
| Earnings from operations                       | \$ 51                         | \$ 18  | 183.3%     |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 7.7%                          | 3.0%   |            |



## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

|   | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31, 2008</b> |
|---|--|
|   | <b>Percentage Points</b>                       |
| Business technology optimization <sup>(1)</sup> | 14.7   |
| Other <sup>(1)</sup>                            | (3.3)  |
| <b>Total HP Software</b>                        | <b>11.4</b>                                    |

(1) Effective in fiscal 2008 the OpenView business unit was renamed "Business Technology Optimization" ("BTO") and the OpenCall and Other business unit was renamed "Other". The renamed "Other" business unit includes primarily the OpenCall and Business Information Optimization products.

HP Software revenue increased 11.4% for the three months ended January 31, 2008 compared to the same period in fiscal 2007 (7% on a constant currency basis). The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. Net revenue from BTO increased 19% for the first quarter of fiscal 2008. Net revenue for other software decreased 14% for the first quarter of fiscal 2008. BTO net revenue growth was the result of the Opsware acquisition and increases in support and services contracts. The decrease in other net revenue was the result of a decline in OpenCall net revenue due to the competitive environment following industry consolidation and the transfer of associated hardware revenues to ESS.

The operating margin improvement of 4.7 percentage points for the three months ended January 31, 2008 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2007 was due primarily to an increase in gross margin, partially offset by an increase in operating expense as a percentage of net revenue. The improvement in gross margin was driven by cost savings in BTO business, cost structure improvement as a result of increased scale in the information management business and to a lesser extent a favorable change in the revenue mix driven by higher BTO revenue, which typically has higher gross margins than the remainder of the segment. The slight increase in operating expense as a percentage of net revenue was due primarily to higher field selling costs, which were partially offset by lower integration administrative expenses related to acquisitions.

### *Personal Systems Group*

|  | <b>Three months ended January 31</b> |             |                   |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                          | <b>2007</b> | <b>% Increase</b> |
|  | <b>In millions</b>                   |             |                   |
| Net revenue                                    | \$ 10,791                            | \$ 8,719    | 23.8%             |
| Earnings from operations                       | \$ 628                               | \$ 414      | 51.7%             |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 5.8%                                 | 4.7%        |                   |

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

|              | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31, 2008</b> |
|--------------|--|
|              | <b>Percentage Points</b>                       |
| Notebook PCs | 17.4   |
| Workstations | 0.7  |
| Desktop PCs  | 6.7  |
| Handhelds    | (1.2)  |
| Other        | 0.2  |
|              | 23.8   |
| Total PSG    | 23.8   |

PSG's net revenue increased 23.8% for the three months ended January 31, 2008 compared to the same period in fiscal 2007 (17% on a constant currency basis). The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. An overall unit volume increase of 27% drove double-digit net revenue growth across all regions. The unit volume increase was the result of strong growth in notebooks, with continued strong growth in emerging markets. Net revenue for notebook PCs increased 37%, while net revenue for desktop PCs increased 15% from the prior-year period. Net revenue for consumer clients and commercial clients increased 29% and 22%, respectively, from the prior-year period. The net revenue increase in Other PSG was related primarily to improvements in extended warranty and third-party branded options sales. The revenue increases were partially offset by a decrease in handhelds due to a decline in the Personal Digital Assistant product market, coupled with our product transition within converged devices. The PSG unit volume increase was moderated by declines of 2% in consumer client ASPs and 6% in commercial client ASPs. ASPs declined from the prior-year period as a result of price erosion related to component cost reductions. The declines in ASPs were partially offset by an increased notebook revenue mix and improved attach rates for monitors and other accessories.

For the three months ended January 31, 2008, PSG's earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 1.1 percentage points from the same period in fiscal 2007 as a result of an increase in gross margin, which was partially offset by a slight increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The gross margin increase was due primarily to favorable component pricing, improvement in supply chain costs and increases in the attach rates for monitors and other accessories. The slight increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was the result primarily of our investments in marketing opportunities and sales force.

### *Imaging and Printing Group*

|  | <b>Three months ended January 31</b> |             |                   |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                          | <b>2007</b> | <b>% Increase</b> |
|  | <b>In millions</b>                   |             |                   |
| Net revenue                                    | \$ 7,312                             | \$ 6,999    | 4.5%              |
| Earnings from operations                       | \$ 1,150                             | \$ 1,073    | 7.2%              |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 15.7%                                | 15.3%       |                   |

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted-average net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

|                     | <b>Three months ended<br/>January 31, 2008</b> |
|---------------------|--|
|                     | <b>Percentage Points</b>                       |
| Supplies            | 3.7  |
| Commercial hardware | 1.6  |
| Consumer hardware   | (0.9)  |
| Other               | 0.1  |
|                     | 4.5  |

IPG's net revenue increased 4.5% for the three months ended January 31, 2008 from the prior-year comparable period (1% on a constant currency basis). The favorable currency impact was due primarily to the movement of the dollar against the euro. The growth in printer supplies net revenue reflected higher unit volumes of supplies as a result of the continued expansion of printer hardware placements and the strong performance of color-related products. The growth in commercial hardware net revenue was attributable mainly to unit volume growth in multifunction printers, color laser printers and our large format printing products. The decrease in consumer hardware net revenue was due primarily to slower growth in the overall consumer printing market. Both commercial and consumer hardware were impacted by the continued shift in demand to lower-priced products and strategic pricing decisions, which caused average revenue per unit in each category to decline.

For the three months ended January 31, 2008, IPG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased 0.4 percentage points as compared to the same period in fiscal 2007, due primarily to an increase in gross margin. The gross margin increase was due primarily to improved margins for supplies as a result of product mix, which was partially offset by unfavorable hardware margins. Operating expense as a percentage of net revenue increased slightly over the same period in fiscal 2007, due primarily to an increased investment in our enterprise printing sales force.

### **HP Financial Services**

|  | <b>Three months ended January 31</b> |             |                   |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                          | <b>2007</b> | <b>% Increase</b> |
|  | <b>In millions</b>                   |             |                   |
| Net revenue                                    | \$ 642                               | \$ 547      | 17.4%             |
| Earnings from operations                       | \$ 43                                | \$ 32       | 34.4%             |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 6.7%                                 | 5.9%        |                   |

For the three months ended January 31, 2008, HPFS net revenue increased 17.4% compared to the prior-year comparable period. The net revenue increase was due primarily to portfolio growth, increased operating lease activity and higher end-of-lease renewals and equipment sales.

For the three months ended January 31, 2008, the 0.8 percentage point increase in earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue was a result of a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of revenue, which was partially offset by a decrease in gross margin. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was the result of continued cost controls despite growing assets and revenues. The gross margin decline was due primarily to lower portfolio margins due to an increase in the operating lease mix and a higher provision for bad debt, which was partially offset by higher margins associated with end-of-lease and remarketing activity.

## Financing Originations

|                              | Three months ended January 31 |          |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
|                              | 2008                          | 2007     |
|                              | In millions                   |          |
| Total financing originations | \$ 1,056                      | \$ 1,008 |

New financing originations, which represent the amounts of financing provided to customers for equipment and related software and services and include intercompany activity, increased 5% in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 compared to the same period in fiscal 2007. The increase was driven by a favorable currency impact and higher financing volumes associated with HP product sales.

## Portfolio Assets and Ratios

HPFS maintains a strategy to generate a competitive return on equity by effectively leveraging its portfolio against the risks associated with interest rates and credit. The HPFS business model is asset-intensive and uses certain internal metrics to measure its performance against other financial services companies, including a segment balance sheet that is derived from our internal management reporting system. The accounting policies used to derive these amounts are substantially the same as those used by the consolidated company. However, certain intercompany loans and accounts that are reflected in the segment balances are eliminated in our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

The portfolio assets and ratios derived from the segment balance sheet for HPFS were as follows:

|                                     | January 31,<br>2008 | October 31,<br>2007 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                                     |                     | In millions         |
| Portfolio assets <sup>(1)</sup>     | \$ 8,523            | \$ 8,415            |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts     | 88                  | 84                  |
| Operating lease equipment reserve   | 52                  | 49                  |
| Total reserves                      | 140                 | 133                 |
| Net portfolio assets                | \$ 8,383            | \$ 8,282            |
| Reserve coverage                    | 1.6%                | 1.6%                |
| Debt to equity ratio <sup>(2)</sup> | 6.0x                | 6.0x                |

(1) Portfolio assets include gross financing receivables of approximately \$5.4 billion at both January 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007 and net equipment under operating leases of \$1.9 billion at January 31, 2008 and \$1.8 billion at October 31, 2007, as disclosed in Note 8 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference. Portfolio assets also include capitalized profit on intercompany equipment transactions of approximately \$600 million at January 31, 2008 and \$500 million at October 31, 2007 and intercompany leases of approximately \$600 million at January 31, 2008 and \$700 million at October 31, 2007, both of which are eliminated in consolidation.

(2) HPFS debt consists of intercompany equity that is treated as debt for segment reporting purposes, intercompany debt and debt issued directly by HPFS.

Portfolio assets at January 31, 2008 increased 1% from October 31, 2007. The increase resulted from a high level of financing originations in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and a favorable currency impact.



*Roll-forward of Reserves:*

|                                   | October 31,<br>2007 | Additions to<br>allowance | Deductions,<br>net of<br>recoveries | January 31,<br>2008 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| In millions                       |                     |                           |                                     |                     |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts   | \$ 84               | \$ 8                      | \$ (4)                              | \$ 88               |
| Operating lease equipment reserve | 49                  | 5                         | (2)                                 | 52                  |
| <b>Total reserve</b>              | <b>\$ 133</b>       | <b>\$ 13</b>              | <b>\$ (6)</b>                       | <b>\$ 140</b>       |

*Corporate Investments*

|   | Three months ended January 31 |         |            |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|------------|
|   | 2008                          | 2007    | % Increase |
| In millions   |                               |         |            |
| Net revenue   | \$ 218                        | \$ 157  | 38.9%      |
| Earnings (loss) from operations                       | \$ 8                          | \$ (29) | 127.6%     |
| Earnings (loss) from operations as a % of net revenue | 3.7%                          | (18.5)% |            |

The majority of the revenue in Corporate Investments relates to network infrastructure products sold under the brand "ProCurve Networking". For the three months ended January 31, 2008, net revenue from network infrastructure products increased 38% compared to the same period in fiscal 2007 as a result of continued increased sales of enterprise class gigabit and 10 gigabit ethernet switch products.

Earnings from operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 increased \$37 million from the same period in fiscal 2007 due primarily to strong earnings from network infrastructure products. Expenses related to corporate development, global alliances and HP Labs remained relatively flat compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Our cash balances are held in numerous locations throughout the world, including substantial amounts held outside of the United States. Most of the amounts held outside of the United States could be repatriated to the United States but, under current law, would be subject to United States federal income taxes, less applicable foreign tax credits. Repatriation of some foreign balances is restricted by local laws. HP has provided for the United States federal tax liability on these amounts for financial statement purposes except for foreign earnings that are considered indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States. Repatriation could result in additional United States federal income tax payments in future years. Where local restrictions prevent an efficient intercompany transfer of funds, our intent is that cash balances would remain outside of the United States and we would meet United States liquidity needs through ongoing cash flows, external borrowings, or both. We utilize a variety of tax planning and financing strategies in an effort to ensure that our worldwide cash is available in the locations in which it is needed.

*FINANCIAL CONDITION (Sources and Uses of Cash)*

|   | <b>Three months ended January 31</b> |             |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|
|   | <b>2008</b>                          | <b>2007</b> |
| <b>In millions</b>                                  |                                      |             |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 3,187                             | \$ (22)     |
| Net cash used in investing activities               | (701)                                | (4,964)     |
| Net cash used in financing activities               | (3,876)                              | (1,357)     |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents           | \$ (1,390)                           | \$ (6,343)  |

*Operating Activities*

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities increased by approximately \$3.2 billion for the three months ended January 31, 2008 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2007. The increase was due primarily to higher earnings and improved inventory management.

*Investing Activities*

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$4.3 billion for the three months ended January 31, 2008 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2007 due primarily to lower cash paid for acquisitions.

*Financing Activities*

Net cash used in financing activities increased by \$2.5 billion for the three months ended January 31, 2008 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2007 due primarily to higher net repayments of commercial paper and debt and increased repurchases of our common stock.

*Common Stock Repurchases*

We repurchase shares of our common stock under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee benefit plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically. This program authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. For the three months ended January 31, 2008 and 2007, we completed share repurchases of approximately 72 million shares and 57 million shares, respectively.

We intend to continue to repurchase shares as a means to manage dilution from the issuance of shares under employee benefit plans and to purchase shares opportunistically. On November 19, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized an additional \$8.0 billion for future share repurchases. As of January 31, 2008, we had remaining authorization of approximately \$7.4 billion for future share repurchases. For more information on our share repurchases, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

*Key Performance Metrics*

|   | <b>January 31, 2008</b> | <b>October 31, 2007</b> |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable  | 39                      | 43                      |
| Days of supply in inventory                       | 33                      | 34                      |
| Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable | (47)                    | (50)                    |
| Cash conversion cycle                             | 25                      | 27                      |

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable ("DSO") measures the average number of days our receivables are outstanding. DSO is calculated by dividing accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, by a 90-day average net revenue.

Days of supply in inventory ("DOS") measures the average number of days from procurement to sale of our product. DOS is calculated by dividing inventory by a 90-day average cost of goods sold.

Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable ("DPO") measures the average number of days our accounts payable balances are outstanding. DPO is calculated by dividing accounts payable by a 90-day average cost of goods sold.

Our working capital requirements depend upon our effective management of the cash conversion cycle, which represents effectively the number of days that elapse from the day we pay for the purchase of raw materials to the collection of cash from our customers. The cash conversion cycle is the sum of DSO and DOS less DPO.

The improvement in DSO was due primarily to a lower accounts receivable balance resulting from the timing of revenue during the three months ended January 31, 2008 compared to the three months ended October 31, 2007. The decrease in DOS was due primarily to a lower inventory balance as a result of our focus on improving execution and working capital management. The decrease in DPO was due primarily to a lower accounts payable balance resulting from lower purchases in the second half of the current quarter as compared to the three months ended October 31, 2007. These changes contributed to the decrease in the cash conversion cycle for the first quarter ended January 31, 2008 compared to the fourth quarter ended October 31, 2007.

### **LIQUIDITY**

As previously discussed, we use cash generated by operations as our primary source of liquidity; we believe that internally generated cash flows are generally sufficient to support business operations, capital expenditures and the payment of stockholder dividends, in addition to a level of discretionary investments and share repurchases. We are able to supplement this near-term liquidity, if necessary, with broad access to capital markets and credit line facilities made available by various foreign and domestic financial institutions.

We maintain debt levels that we establish through consideration of a number of factors, including cash flow expectations, cash requirements for operations, investment plans (including acquisitions), share repurchase activities, and the overall cost of capital. Outstanding debt decreased to \$7.3 billion as of January 31, 2008 as compared to \$8.2 billion as of October 31, 2007, bearing weighted-average interest rates of 4.7% and 5.2%, respectively. Short-term borrowings decreased to \$2.2 billion as of January 31, 2008 from \$3.2 billion as of October 31, 2007. The decrease in short-term borrowings was due primarily to the net maturity of approximately \$899 million of our commercial paper and notes payable and the repayment of \$50 million Series A Medium-Term Notes that matured and were paid in December 2007. During the first quarter fiscal 2008, we issued \$4.3 billion and repaid \$5.2 billion of commercial paper.

The majority of our outstanding debt relates to HPFS. We issue debt in order to finance HPFS and as needed for other purposes. HPFS has a business model that is asset-intensive in nature and therefore we fund HPFS more by debt than we fund our other business segments. At January 31, 2008, HPFS had approximately \$8.4 billion in net portfolio assets, which included short and long-term financing receivables and operating lease assets.



## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We have the following resources available to obtain short-term or long-term financings, if we need additional liquidity:

|  | Original amount<br>Available | At January 31, 2008 |           |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
|  |                              | Used                | Available |
| In millions  |                              |                     |           |
| <b>2002 Shelf Registration Statement</b>                                     |                              |                     |           |
| Debt, U.S. global securities and up to \$1,500 of Series B Medium-Term Notes | \$ 3,000                     | \$ 2,000            | \$ 1,000  |
| Euro Medium-Term Notes   | 3,000                        |                     | 3,000     |
| Uncommitted lines of credit  | 2,381                        | 853 <sup>(1)</sup>  | 1,528     |
| <b>Commercial paper programs</b>   |                              |                     |           |
| U.S.   | 6,000                        | 995                 | 5,005     |
| Euro   | 500                          | 188                 | 312       |
|  | \$ 14,881                    | \$ 4,036            | \$ 10,845 |

(1) Approximately \$149 million of this amount was recorded as debt as of January 31, 2008; the remaining amount was used to satisfy business operational requirements.

In addition to the financing resources listed above, we had additional borrowing activities as described below.

In May 2006, we filed a shelf registration statement (the "2006 Shelf Registration Statement") with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to enable us to offer and sell from time to time, in one or more offerings, debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants. As of January 31, 2008, we had \$4.0 billion of global notes issued under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes included \$600 million of notes due March 2012 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.11% per annum, \$900 million of notes due March 2012 with a fixed interest rate of 5.25% per annum, \$500 million of notes due March 2017 with a fixed interest rate of 5.40% per annum, \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.01% per annum and \$1.0 billion of notes due June 2010 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.06% per annum.

On March 3, 2008, we issued an additional \$3.0 billion of global notes under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes included \$750 million of notes due September 2009 with a floating interest rate equal to the three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.40% per annum, \$1.5 billion of notes due March 2013 with a fixed interest rate of 4.5% per annum, and \$750 million of notes due March 2018 with a fixed interest rate of 5.5% per annum. HP issued the \$750 million notes due 2009 at par, and HP issued the \$1.5 billion notes due 2013 and \$750 million notes due 2018 at discounts to par at 99.921% and 99.932%, respectively. We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes, which may include (i) up to \$500 million to repay our 3.625% global notes maturing in March 2008, and (ii) various amounts to repay short-term commercial paper maturing in March and April 2008.

As discussed in Note 10 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, we had \$374 million U.S. dollar zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes ("LYONs") outstanding as of January 31, 2008. In March 2008, we notified the holders of the LYONs that we intend to redeem all of the outstanding LYONs during the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

We have a \$3.0 billion U.S. credit facility expiring in May 2012. In February 2008, we entered into an additional \$3.0 billion 364-day U.S. credit facility. These credit facilities are senior unsecured committed borrowing arrangements that we put in place primarily to support our U.S. commercial paper program.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Our credit risk is evaluated by three independent rating agencies based upon publicly available information as well as information obtained in our ongoing discussions with them. Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings currently rate our senior unsecured long-term debt A, A2 and A+ and our short-term debt A-1, Prime-1 and F1, respectively. We do not have any rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity of a material amount of our debt. However, a downgrade in our credit rating would increase the cost of borrowings under our credit facilities. Also, a downgrade in our credit rating could limit our ability to issue commercial paper under our current programs. If this occurs, we would seek alternative sources of funding, including through drawdowns under our credit facility or the issuance of notes under our existing shelf registration statements and our Euro Medium-Term Note Programme.

We have revolving trade receivables-based facilities permitting us to sell certain trade receivables to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The aggregate maximum capacity under these programs was approximately \$647 million as of January 31, 2008. We sold approximately \$780 million of trade receivables during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. As of January 31, 2008, we had approximately \$114 million available under these programs.

### *Contractual Obligations*

At January 31, 2008, our unconditional purchase obligations are approximately \$2.7 billion, compared with \$2.0 billion as previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007. Purchase obligations include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on us and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Purchase obligations exclude agreements that are cancelable without penalty. These purchase obligations are related principally to cost of sales, inventory and other items.

In addition to the above and as discussed in Note 11 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, we have approximately \$1.2 billion of recorded FIN 48 liabilities and related interest and penalties. Of this liability amount, approximately \$118 million is expected to be paid within one year. For the remaining liability, we are unable to make a reasonable reliable estimate as to when cash settlement with tax authorities will occur due to the uncertainties related to these tax matters. The \$1.2 billion of FIN 48 liabilities and related interest and penalties will be partially offset by approximately \$300 million of deferred tax assets and interest receivable.

### *Funding Commitments*

We previously disclosed in our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended October 31, 2007 that we expected to contribute approximately \$145 million to our pension plans and approximately \$15 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants. We also expected to pay approximately \$80 million to cover benefit claims for our post-retirement benefit plans in fiscal 2008. As of January 31, 2008, we have made approximately \$44 million of contributions to non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$2 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$15 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans. We presently anticipate making additional contributions of between \$70 million and \$80 million to our pension plans, of which approximately \$12 million is for U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and expect to pay approximately \$60 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2008. Our funding policy is to contribute cash to our pension plans so that we meet at least the minimum contribution requirements, as established by local government and funding and taxing authorities. We expect to use contributions made to the post-retirement benefit plans primarily for the payment of retiree health claims incurred during the fiscal year.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

As a result of our approved restructuring plans, we expect future cash expenditures of \$145 million, which we recorded on our Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet at January 31, 2008. We expect to make cash payments of approximately \$95 million within one year and the remaining amount through 2018.

### *Subsequent Acquisitions*

For subsequent acquisitions completed after January 31, 2008, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

### *Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

As part of our ongoing business, we do not participate in transactions that generate material relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities ("SPEs"), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of January 31, 2008, we are not involved in any material unconsolidated SPEs.

### *Indemnifications*

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements under which we may agree to indemnify the third-party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of us or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments we have made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

## **FACTORS THAT COULD AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS**

Because of the following factors, as well as other variables affecting our operating results, past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

*The competitive pressures we face could harm our revenue, gross margin and prospects.*

We encounter aggressive competition from numerous and varied competitors in all areas of our business, and our competitors may target our key market segments. We compete primarily on the basis of technology, performance, price, quality, reliability, brand, reputation, distribution, range of products and services, ease of use of our products, account relationships, customer training, service and support, security, availability of application software, and Internet infrastructure offerings. If our products, services, support and cost structure do not enable us to compete successfully based on any of those criteria, our operations, results and prospects could be harmed.

Unlike many of our competitors, we have a portfolio of businesses and must allocate resources across these businesses while competing with companies that specialize in one or more of these product lines. As a result, we may invest less in certain areas of our businesses than our competitors do, and these competitors may have greater financial, technical and marketing resources available to them than our businesses that compete against them. Industry consolidation also may affect competition by creating larger, more homogeneous and potentially stronger competitors in the markets in which we compete, and our competitors also may affect our business by entering into exclusive arrangements with existing or potential customers or suppliers.

We may have to continue to lower the prices of many of our products and services to stay competitive, while at the same time trying to maintain or improve revenue and gross margin. The

markets in which we do business, particularly the personal computer and printing markets, are highly competitive, and we encounter aggressive price competition for all of our products and services from numerous companies globally. Over the past several years, price competition in the market for personal computers, printers and related products has been particularly intense as competitors have aggressively cut prices and lowered their product margins for these products. Our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected by these and other industry-wide pricing pressures.

Because our business model is based on providing innovative and high quality products, we may spend a proportionately greater amount on research and development than some of our competitors. If we cannot proportionately decrease our cost structure on a timely basis in response to competitive price pressures, our gross margin and therefore our profitability could be adversely affected. In addition, if our pricing and other factors are not sufficiently competitive, or if there is an adverse reaction to our product decisions, we may lose market share in certain areas, which could adversely affect our revenue and prospects.

Even if we are able to maintain or increase market share for a particular product, revenue could decline because the product is in a maturing industry. Revenue and margins also could decline due to increased competition from other types of products. For example, refill and remanufactured alternatives for some of HP's LaserJet toner and inkjet cartridges compete with HP's supplies business. In addition, other companies have developed and marketed new compatible cartridges for HP's LaserJet and inkjet products, particularly in jurisdictions outside of the United States where adequate intellectual property protection may not exist. HP expects competitive refill and remanufacturing and cloned cartridge activity to continue to pressure margins in IPG, which in turn has a significant impact on HP margins and profitability overall.

*If we cannot continue to develop, manufacture and market products and services that meet customer requirements for innovation and quality, our revenue and gross margin may suffer.*

The process of developing new high technology products and services and enhancing existing products and services is complex, costly and uncertain, and any failure by us to anticipate customers' changing needs and emerging technological trends accurately could significantly harm our market share and results of operations. We must make long-term investments, develop or obtain appropriate intellectual property and commit significant resources before knowing whether our predictions will accurately reflect customer demand for our products and services. After we develop a product, we must be able to manufacture appropriate volumes quickly and at low costs. To accomplish this, we must accurately forecast volumes, mixes of products and configurations that meet customer requirements, and we may not succeed at all or within a given product's life cycle. Any delay in the development, production or marketing of a new product could result in our not being among the first to market, which could further harm our competitive position.

In the course of conducting our business, we must adequately address quality issues associated with our products and services, including defects in our engineering, design and manufacturing processes, as well as defects in third-party components included in our products. In order to address quality issues, we work extensively with our customers and suppliers and engage in product testing to determine the cause of the problem and to determine appropriate solutions. However, we may have limited ability to control quality issues, particularly with respect to faulty components manufactured by third parties. If we are unable to determine the cause, find an appropriate solution or offer a temporary fix (or "patch"), we may delay shipment to customers, which would delay revenue recognition and could adversely affect our revenue and reported results. Finding solutions to quality issues can be expensive and may result in additional warranty, replacement and other costs, adversely affecting our profits. If new or existing customers have difficulty operating our products, our operating margins could be adversely affected, and we could face possible claims if we fail to meet our customers' expectations. In addition, quality issues can impair our relationships with new or existing customers and adversely affect our reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

*If we do not effectively manage our product and services transitions, our revenue may suffer.*

Many of the industries in which we compete are characterized by rapid technological advances in hardware performance and software features and functionality; frequent introduction of new products; short product life cycles; and continual improvement in product price characteristics relative to product performance. Among the risks associated with the introduction of new products and services are delays in development or manufacturing, variations in costs, delays in customer purchases or reductions in price of existing products in anticipation of new introductions, difficulty in predicting customer demand for the new offerings and effectively managing inventory levels so that they are in line with anticipated demand, risks associated with customer qualification and evaluation of new products and the risk that new products may have quality or other defects or may not be supported adequately by application software. If we do not make an effective transition from existing products and services to future offerings, our revenue may decline.

Our revenue and gross margin also may suffer due to the timing of product or service introductions by our suppliers and competitors. This is especially challenging when a product has a short life cycle or a competitor introduces a new product just before our own product introduction. Furthermore, sales of our new products and services may replace sales, or result in discounting of some of our current offerings, offsetting the benefit of even a successful introduction. There also may be overlaps in the current products and services of HP and portfolios acquired through mergers and acquisitions that we must manage. In addition, it may be difficult to ensure performance of new customer contracts in accordance with our revenue, margin and cost estimates and to achieve operational efficiencies embedded in our estimates. Given the competitive nature of our industry, if any of these risks materializes, future demand for our products and services and our results of operations may suffer.

*Our revenue, cost of sales, and expenses may suffer if we cannot continue to license or enforce the intellectual property rights on which our business depends or if third parties assert that we violate their intellectual property rights.*

We rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws in the United States and similar laws in other countries, and agreements with our employees, customers, suppliers and other parties, to establish and maintain our intellectual property rights in technology and products used in our operations. However, any of our direct or indirect intellectual property rights could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or such intellectual property rights may not be sufficient to permit us to take advantage of current market trends or otherwise to provide competitive advantages, which could result in costly product redesign efforts, discontinuance of certain product offerings or other competitive harm. Further, the laws of certain countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Therefore, in certain jurisdictions we may be unable to protect our proprietary technology adequately against unauthorized third-party copying or use, which could adversely affect our competitive position.

Because of the rapid pace of technological change in the information technology industry, much of our business and many of our products rely on key technologies developed or licensed by third parties. We may not be able to obtain or to continue to obtain licenses and technologies from these third parties at all or on reasonable terms, or such third parties may demand cross-licenses to our intellectual property. In addition, it is possible that as a consequence of a merger or acquisition transaction third parties may obtain licenses to some of our intellectual property rights or our business may be subject to certain restrictions that were not in place prior to the transaction. Consequently, we may lose a competitive advantage with respect to these intellectual property rights or we may be required to enter into costly arrangements in order to terminate or limit these rights.

Third parties also may claim that we or customers indemnified by us are infringing upon their intellectual property rights. For example, in recent years, individuals and groups have begun purchasing

intellectual property assets for the sole purpose of making claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from large companies such as HP. If we cannot or do not license the infringed technology at all or on reasonable terms or substitute similar technology from another source, our operations could suffer. Even if we believe that the claims are without merit, the claims can be time-consuming and costly to defend and divert management's attention and resources away from our business. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected products, enter into costly settlement or license agreements or pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from marketing or selling certain of our products. Even if we have an agreement to indemnify us against such costs, the indemnifying party may be unable to uphold its contractual agreements to us.

Finally, our results of operations and cash flows could be affected in certain periods and on an ongoing basis by the imposition, accrual and payment of copyright levies or similar fees. In certain countries (primarily in Europe), proceedings are ongoing against HP seeking to impose levies upon equipment (such as PCs, multifunction devices and printers) and alleging that the copyright owners are entitled to compensation because these devices enable reproducing copyrighted content. Other countries that do not yet have levies on these types of devices are expected to extend existing levy schemes, and countries that do not currently have levy schemes may decide to impose copyright levies on these types of devices. If imposed, the amount of copyright levies would depend on the types of products determined to be subject to the levy, the number of units of those products sold during the period covered by the levy and the per unit fee for each type of product, all of which may be affected by several factors, including the outcome of ongoing litigation involving HP and other industry participants and possible action by the legislative bodies in the applicable countries, but could be substantial. Consequently, the ultimate impact of these potential copyright levies or similar fees and the ability of HP to recover such amounts through increased prices, remains uncertain.

*Economic uncertainty could adversely affect our revenue, gross margin and expenses.*

Our revenue and gross margin depend significantly on general economic conditions and the demand for computing and imaging products and services in the markets in which we compete. Economic weakness and constrained IT spending has previously resulted, and may result in the future, in decreased revenue, gross margin, earnings or growth rates and problems with our ability to manage inventory levels and collect customer receivables. We could experience such economic weakness and reduced spending, particularly in our consumer and financial services businesses, due to increases in fuel and other energy costs, conditions in the residential real estate and mortgage markets, access to credit and other macroeconomic factors affecting spending behavior. In addition, customer financial difficulties have previously resulted, and could result in the future, in increases in bad debt write-offs and additions to reserves in our receivables portfolio, inability by our lessees to make required lease payments and reduction in the value of leased equipment upon its return to us compared to the value estimated at lease inception. We also have experienced, and may experience in the future, gross margin declines in certain businesses, reflecting the effect of items such as competitive pricing pressures, inventory write-downs, charges associated with the cancellation of planned production line expansion, and increases in pension and post-retirement benefit expenses. Economic downturns also may lead to restructuring actions and associated expenses. Uncertainty about future economic conditions makes it difficult for us to forecast operating results and to make decisions about future investments. Delays or reductions in information technology spending could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services, and consequently our results of operations, prospects and stock price.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

*Due to the international nature of our business, political or economic changes or other factors could harm our future revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition.*

Sales outside the United States make up approximately 69% of our net revenue. Our future revenue, gross margin, expenses and financial condition also could suffer due to a variety of international factors, including:

ongoing instability or changes in a country's or region's economic or political conditions, including inflation, recession, interest rate fluctuations and actual or anticipated military or political conflicts;

longer accounts receivable cycles and financial instability among customers;

trade regulations and procedures and actions affecting production, pricing and marketing of products;

local labor conditions and regulations;

managing a geographically dispersed workforce;

changes in the regulatory or legal environment;

differing technology standards or customer requirements;

import, export or other business licensing requirements or requirements relating to making foreign direct investments, which could affect our ability to obtain favorable terms for components or lead to penalties or restrictions;

difficulties associated with repatriating cash generated or held abroad in a tax-efficient manner and changes in tax laws; and

fluctuations in freight costs and disruptions in the transportation and shipping infrastructure at important geographic points of exit and entry for our products and shipments.

The factors described above also could disrupt our product and component manufacturing and key suppliers located outside of the United States. For example, we rely on manufacturers in Taiwan for the production of notebook computers and other suppliers in Asia for product assembly and manufacture.

As approximately 69% of our sales are from countries outside of the United States, other currencies, particularly the euro and the Japanese yen, can have an impact on HP's results (expressed in U.S. dollars). Currency variations also contribute to variations in sales of products and services in impacted jurisdictions. In addition, currency variations can adversely affect margins on sales of our products in countries outside of the United States and margins on sales of products that include components obtained from suppliers located outside of the United States. We use a combination of forward contracts and options designated as cash flow hedges to protect against foreign currency exchange rate risks. Such hedging activities may be ineffective or may not offset more than a portion of the adverse financial impact resulting from currency variations. Gains or losses associated with hedging activities also may impact our revenue and to a lesser extent our cost of sales and financial condition.

In many foreign countries, particularly in those with developing economies, it is common to engage in business practices that are prohibited by laws and regulations applicable to us, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Although we implement policies and procedures designed to facilitate compliance with these laws, our employees, contractors and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, may take actions in violation of our policies. Any such violation, even if prohibited by our policies, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

*Terrorist acts, conflicts and wars may seriously harm our business and revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition and stock price.*

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Terrorist acts, conflicts or wars (wherever located around the world) may cause damage or disruption to HP, our employees, facilities, partners, suppliers, distributors, resellers or customers. The



potential for future attacks, the national and international responses to attacks or perceived threats to national security, and other actual or potential conflicts or wars, including the ongoing military operations in Iraq, have created many economic and political uncertainties. In addition, as a major multi-national company with headquarters and significant operations located in the United States, actions against or by the United States may impact our business or employees. Although it is impossible to predict the occurrences or consequences of any such events, they could result in a decrease in demand for our products, make it difficult or impossible to deliver products to our customers or to receive components from our suppliers, create delays and inefficiencies in our supply chain and result in the need to impose employee travel restrictions. We are predominantly uninsured for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist acts, conflicts and wars.

*Business disruptions could seriously harm our future revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses.*

Our worldwide operations could be subject to earthquakes, power shortages, telecommunications failures, water shortages, tsunamis, floods, hurricanes, typhoons, fires, extreme weather conditions, medical epidemics and other natural or manmade disasters or business interruptions, for which we are predominantly self-insured. The occurrence of any of these business disruptions could seriously harm our revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses. Our corporate headquarters, and a portion of our research and development activities, are located in California, and other critical business operations and some of our suppliers are located in California and Asia, near major earthquake faults. The ultimate impact on us, our significant suppliers and our general infrastructure of being located near major earthquake faults is unknown, but our revenue, profitability and financial condition could suffer in the event of a major earthquake or other natural disaster. In addition, some areas, including California and parts of the East Coast, Southwest and Midwest of the United States, have previously experienced, and may experience in the future, major power shortages and blackouts. These blackouts could cause disruptions to our operations or the operations of our suppliers, distributors and resellers, or customers. Moreover, the consolidation of all of our worldwide IT data centers into six centers located in the southern United States, when completed, could increase the impact on us of a natural disaster or other business disruption occurring in that geographic area.

*If we fail to manage the distribution of our products and services properly, our revenue, gross margin and profitability could suffer.*

We use a variety of different distribution methods to sell our products and services, including third-party resellers and distributors and both direct and indirect sales to both enterprise accounts and consumers. Successfully managing the interaction of our direct and indirect channel efforts to reach various potential customer segments for our products and services is a complex process. Moreover, since each distribution method has distinct risks and gross margins, our failure to implement the most advantageous balance in the delivery model for our products and services could adversely affect our revenue and gross margins and therefore our profitability. Other distribution risks are described below.

Our financial results could be materially adversely affected due to channel conflicts or if the financial conditions of our channel partners were to weaken.

Our future operating results may be adversely affected by any conflicts that might arise between our various sales channels, the loss or deterioration of any alliance or distribution arrangement or the loss of retail shelf space. Moreover, some of our wholesale and retail distributors may have insufficient financial resources and may not be able to withstand changes in business conditions, including economic weakness and industry consolidation. Many of our significant distributors operate on narrow product margins and have been negatively affected by business pressures. Considerable trade receivables that are not covered by collateral or credit insurance are outstanding with our distribution and retail channel partners. Revenue from indirect sales

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

could suffer, and we could experience disruptions in distribution if our distributors' financial conditions, abilities to borrow funds in the credit markets or operations weaken.

Our inventory management is complex as we continue to sell a significant mix of products through distributors. We must manage inventory effectively, particularly with respect to sales to distributors, which involves forecasting demand and pricing issues. Distributors may increase orders during periods of product shortages, cancel orders if their inventory is too high or delay orders in anticipation of new products. Distributors also may adjust their orders in response to the supply of our products and the products of our competitors and seasonal fluctuations in end-user demand. Our reliance upon indirect distribution methods may reduce visibility to demand and pricing issues, and therefore make forecasting more difficult. If we have excess or obsolete inventory, we may have to reduce our prices and write down inventory. Moreover, our use of indirect distribution channels may limit our willingness or ability to adjust prices quickly and otherwise to respond to pricing changes by competitors. We also may have limited ability to estimate future product rebate redemptions in order to price our products effectively.

*We depend on third-party suppliers, and our revenue and gross margin could suffer if we fail to manage suppliers properly.*

Our operations depend on our ability to anticipate our needs for components, products and services and our suppliers' ability to deliver sufficient quantities of quality components, products and services at reasonable prices in time for us to meet critical schedules. Given the wide variety of systems, products and services that we offer, the large number of our suppliers and contract manufacturers that are dispersed across the globe, and the long lead times that are required to manufacture, assemble and deliver certain components and products, problems could arise in planning production and managing inventory levels that could seriously harm us. Other supplier problems that we could face include component shortages, excess supply, risks related to the terms of our contracts with suppliers, risks associated with contingent workers, and risks related to our relationships with single source suppliers, as described below.

*Shortages.* Occasionally we may experience a shortage of, or a delay in receiving, certain supplies as a result of strong demand, capacity constraints, supplier financial weaknesses, inability of suppliers to borrow funds in the credit markets, disputes with suppliers (some of which are also customers), other problems experienced by suppliers or problems faced during the transition to new suppliers. In particular, our PC business relies heavily upon Contract Manufacturers ("CMs") and Original Design Manufacturers ("ODMs") to manufacture its products and is therefore dependent upon the continuing operations of those CMs and ODMs to fulfill demand for our PC products. HP represents a substantial portion of the business of some of these CMs and ODMs, and any changes to the nature or volume of business transacted by HP with a particular CM or ODM could adversely affect the operations and financial condition of the CM or ODM and lead to shortages or delays in receiving products from that CM or ODM. If shortages or delays persist, the price of these supplies may increase, we may be exposed to quality issues or the supplies may not be available at all. We may not be able to secure enough supplies at reasonable prices or of acceptable quality to build products or provide services in a timely manner in the quantities or according to the specifications needed. Accordingly, our revenue and gross margin could suffer as we could lose time-sensitive sales, incur additional freight costs or be unable to pass on price increases to our customers. If we cannot adequately address supply issues, we might have to reengineer some products or service offerings, resulting in further costs and delays.

*Oversupply.* In order to secure supplies for the provision of products or services, at times we may make advance payments to suppliers or enter into non-cancelable commitments with

vendors. In addition, we may purchase supplies strategically in advance of demand to take advantage of favorable pricing or to address concerns about the availability of future supplies. If we fail to anticipate customer demand properly, a temporary oversupply could result in excess or obsolete components, which could adversely affect our gross margin.

*Contractual terms.* As a result of binding price or purchase commitments with vendors, we may be obligated to purchase supplies or services at prices that are higher than those available in the current market and be limited in our ability to respond to changing market conditions. In the event that we become committed to purchase supplies or services for prices in excess of the current market price, we may be at a disadvantage to competitors who have access to components or services at lower prices, and our gross margin could suffer. In addition, many of our competitors obtain products or components from the same CMs, ODMs and suppliers that we utilize. Our competitors may obtain better pricing and other terms and more favorable allocations of products and components during periods of limited supply, and our ability to engage in relationships with certain CMs, ODMs and suppliers could be limited. The practice employed by our PC business of purchasing product components and transferring those components to its CMs and ODMs may create large supplier receivables with the CMs and ODMs that, depending on the financial condition of the CMs and ODMs, may have a risk of uncollectibility. In addition, certain of our CMs, ODMs and suppliers may decide in the future to discontinue conducting business with us. Any of these actions by our competitors, CMs, ODMs or suppliers could adversely affect our future operating results and financial condition.

*Contingent workers.* We also rely on third-party suppliers for the provision of contingent workers, and our failure to manage our use of such workers effectively could adversely affect our results of operations. We have been exposed to various legal claims relating to the status of contingent workers in the past and could face similar claims in the future. We may be subject to shortages, oversupply or fixed contractual terms relating to contingent workers, as described above. Our ability to manage the size of, and costs associated with, the contingent workforce may be subject to additional constraints imposed by local laws.

*Single source suppliers.* Our use of single source suppliers for certain components could exacerbate our supplier issues. We obtain a significant number of components from single sources due to technology, availability, price, quality or other considerations. For example, we rely on Intel to provide us with a sufficient supply of processors for many of our PCs, workstations, handheld computing devices and servers, and some of those processors are customized for our products. New products that we introduce may utilize custom components obtained from only one source initially until we have evaluated whether there is a need for additional suppliers. Replacing a single source supplier could delay production of some products as replacement suppliers initially may be subject to capacity constraints or other output limitations. For some components, such as customized components and some of the processors that we obtain from Intel, alternative sources may not exist or those alternative sources may be unable to produce the quantities of those components necessary to satisfy our production requirements. In addition, we sometimes purchase components from single source suppliers under short-term agreements that contain favorable pricing and other terms but that may be unilaterally modified or terminated by the supplier with limited notice and with little or no penalty. The performance of such single source suppliers under those agreements (and the renewal or extension of those agreements upon similar terms) may affect the quality, quantity and price of supplies to HP. The loss of a single source supplier, the deterioration of our relationship with a single source supplier, or any unilateral modification to the contractual terms under which we are supplied components by a single source supplier could adversely effect our revenue and gross margins.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

*If we fail to comply with our customer contracts or government contracting regulations, our revenue could suffer.*

Our contracts with our customers may include unique and specialized performance requirements. In particular, our contracts with federal, state, provincial and local governmental customers are subject to various procurement regulations, contract provisions and other requirements relating to their formation, administration and performance. Any failure by us to comply with the specific provisions in our customer contracts or any violation of government contracting regulations could result in the imposition of various civil and criminal penalties, which may include termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments and, in the case of our government contracts, fines and suspension from future government contracting. In addition, we are currently, and in the future may be, subject to *qui tam* litigation brought by private individuals on behalf of the government relating to our government contracts, which could include claims for up to treble damages. Further, any negative publicity related to our customer contracts or any proceedings surrounding them, regardless of its accuracy, may damage our business by affecting our ability to compete for new contracts. If our customer contracts are terminated, if we are suspended from government work, or if our ability to compete for new contracts is adversely affected, we could suffer a material reduction in expected revenue.

*The revenue and profitability of our operations have historically varied, which makes our future financial results less predictable.*

Our revenue, gross margin and profit vary among our products and services, customer groups and geographic markets and therefore will likely be different in future periods than our current results. Overall gross margins and profitability in any given period are dependent partially on the product, customer and geographic mix reflected in that period's net revenue. In particular, IPG and certain of its business units such as printer supplies contribute significantly to our gross margin and profitability. Competition, lawsuits, investigations and other risks affecting IPG, therefore may have a significant impact on our overall gross margin and profitability. Certain segments, and ESS in particular, have a higher fixed cost structure and more variation in gross margins across their business units and product portfolios than others and may therefore experience significant operating profit volatility on a quarterly basis. In addition, newer geographic markets may be relatively less profitable due to investments associated with entering those markets and local pricing pressures, and we may have difficulty establishing and maintaining the operating infrastructure necessary to support the high growth rate associated with some of those markets. Market trends, competitive pressures, commoditization of products, seasonal rebates, increased component or shipping costs, regulatory impacts and other factors may result in reductions in revenue or pressure on gross margins of certain segments in a given period, which may necessitate adjustments to our operations.

*We make estimates and assumptions in connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Financial Statements, and any changes to those estimates and assumptions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.*

In connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Financial Statements, we use certain estimates and assumptions based on historical experience and other factors. Our most critical accounting estimates are described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this report. In addition, as discussed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we make certain estimates under the provisions of SFAS No. 5 "Accounting for Contingencies," including decisions related to provisions for legal proceedings and other contingencies. While we believe that these estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances, they are subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control.

Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

*Unanticipated changes in HP's tax provisions or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our profitability.*

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our tax liabilities are affected by the amounts we charge for inventory, services, licenses, funding and other items in intercompany transactions. We are subject to ongoing tax audits in various jurisdictions. Tax authorities may disagree with our intercompany charges or other matters and assess additional taxes. We regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision. However, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the actual outcomes of these audits could have a material impact on our net income or financial condition. In addition, our effective tax rate in the future could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and the discovery of new information in the course of our tax return preparation process. In particular, the carrying value of deferred tax assets, which are predominantly in the United States, is dependent on our ability to generate future taxable income in the United States. Any of these changes could affect our profitability.

*Our sales cycle makes planning and inventory management difficult and future financial results less predictable.*

In some of our segments, our quarterly sales often have reflected a pattern in which a disproportionate percentage of each quarter's total sales occur towards the end of such quarter. This uneven sales pattern makes prediction of revenue, earnings, cash flow from operations and working capital for each financial period difficult, increases the risk of unanticipated variations in quarterly results and financial condition and places pressure on our inventory management and logistics systems. If predicted demand is substantially greater than orders, there will be excess inventory. Alternatively, if orders substantially exceed predicted demand, we may not be able to fulfill all of the orders received in the last few weeks of each quarter. Other developments late in a quarter, such as a systems failure, component pricing movements, component shortages or global logistics disruptions, could adversely impact inventory levels and results of operations in a manner that is disproportionate to the number of days in the quarter affected.

We experience some seasonal trends in the sale of our products that also may produce variations in quarterly results and financial condition. For example, sales to governments (particularly sales to the United States government) are often stronger in the third calendar quarter, consumer sales are often stronger in the fourth calendar quarter, and many customers whose fiscal and calendar years are the same spend their remaining capital budget authorizations in the fourth calendar quarter prior to new budget constraints in the first calendar quarter of the following year. European sales are often weaker during the summer months. Demand during the spring and early summer also may be adversely impacted by market anticipation of seasonal trends. Moreover, to the extent that we introduce new products in anticipation of seasonal demand trends, our discounting of existing products may adversely affect our gross margin prior to or shortly after such product launches. Typically, our third fiscal quarter is our weakest and our fourth fiscal quarter is our strongest. Many of the factors that create and affect seasonal trends are beyond our control.

## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

*Any failure by us to execute planned cost reductions successfully could result in total costs and expenses that are greater than expected.*

We have adopted restructuring and other cost reduction plans to bring operational expenses to appropriate levels for each of our businesses, while simultaneously implementing extensive new company-wide expense control programs. These initiatives include:

A workforce restructuring program and a related U.S. early retirement program announced in July 2005 that we expect to result in the elimination of approximately 14,985 positions by the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2008;

A multi-year plan announced in the third fiscal quarter of 2006 to reduce IT spending by consolidating HP's 85 data centers worldwide into six larger centers located in three U.S. cities;

A multi-year program announced in the third fiscal quarter of 2006 to reduce real estate costs by consolidating several hundred HP real estate locations worldwide to fewer core sites; and

Modifications to our defined benefit pension plan, our subsidized retiree medical program and our 401(k) plan announced in February 2007 pursuant to which affected employees ceased accruing pension benefits and instead began receiving an increased 401(k) match effective January 1, 2008.

Our ability to achieve the anticipated cost savings and other benefits from these initiatives within the expected time frame is subject to many estimates and assumptions, including estimates and assumptions regarding the cost of consolidating the data centers and real estate locations, the amount of accelerated depreciation or asset impairment to be incurred when we vacate facilities or cease using equipment before the end of their respective lease term or asset life, the savings associated with the benefit plan changes announced in February 2007 and the costs and timing of other activities in connection with these initiatives. These estimates and assumptions are subject to significant economic, competitive and other uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. If these estimates and assumptions are incorrect, if we experience delays, or if other unforeseen events occur, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

*In order to be successful, we must attract, retain and motivate key employees, and failure to do so could seriously harm us.*

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain and motivate executives and other key employees, including those in managerial, technical, sales, marketing and IT support positions. Hiring and retaining qualified executives, engineers, skilled solutions providers in the IT support business and qualified sales representatives are critical to our future, and competition for experienced employees in the IT industry can be intense. The failure to hire executives and key employees or the loss of executives and key employees could have a significant impact on our operations.

*Changes to our compensation and benefit programs could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain employees.*

We have historically used stock options and other forms of share-based payment awards as key components of our total rewards employee compensation program in order to align employees' interests with the interests of our stockholders, encourage employee retention and provide competitive compensation and benefit packages. HP began recording charges to earnings for stock-based compensation expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment." As a result, we began to incur increased compensation costs associated with our stock-based compensation programs. Like other companies, HP has reviewed its compensation strategy in light of the current regulatory and competitive environment, and HP has implemented changes to its compensation programs intended to

reduce fixed costs, create a high performance culture at all levels and provide an opportunity for employees to earn significant rewards if HP delivers strong financial results. HP also has reduced the total number of share-based payment awards granted to employees and the number of employees who receive share-based payment awards. In addition, effective in fiscal 2008, HP changed its primary form of share-based payment award to performance-based restricted stock units that contain conditions relating to HP's long-term financial performance and continued employment by the recipient that may be viewed unfavorably by some employees who are accustomed to the fixed vesting and other terms historically associated with other forms of share-based payment awards. Due to these changes in our compensation strategy, combined with the pension and other benefit plan changes undertaken to reduce costs, we may find it difficult to attract, retain and motivate employees, and any such difficulty could materially adversely affect our business. Moreover, difficulties relating to obtaining stockholder approval of equity compensation plans could make it harder or more expensive for us to grant share-based payment awards to employees in the future.

*HP's stock price has historically fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate, which may make future prices of HP's stock difficult to predict.*

HP's stock price, like that of other technology companies, can be volatile. Some of the factors that could affect our stock price are:

speculation in the press or investment community about, or actual changes in, our business, strategic position, market share, organizational structure, operations, financial condition, financial reporting and results, effectiveness of cost cutting efforts, value or liquidity of our investments, exposure to market volatility, prospects, business combination or investment transactions, or executive team;

the announcement of new products, services, technological innovations or acquisitions by HP or its competitors;

quarterly increases or decreases in revenue, gross margin, earnings or cash flow from operations, changes in estimates by the investment community or guidance provided by HP, and variations between actual and estimated financial results;

announcements of actual and anticipated financial results by HP's competitors and other companies in the IT industry; and

the timing and amount of share repurchases by HP.

General or industry-specific market conditions or stock market performance or domestic or international macroeconomic and geopolitical factors unrelated to HP's performance also may affect the price of HP common stock. In particular, the stock market as a whole recently has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many technology companies in ways that may have been unrelated to those companies' operating performance. For these reasons, investors should not rely on recent trends to predict future stock prices, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, following periods of volatility in a company's securities, securities class action litigation against a company is sometimes instituted. If instituted against HP, this type of litigation could result in substantial costs and the diversion of management time and resources.

*System security risks and systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations or information technology services provided to customers, and any such disruption could harm our revenue, increase our expenses and harm our reputation and stock price.*

Experienced computer programmers and hackers may be able to penetrate our network security and misappropriate our confidential information or that of third parties, create system disruptions or cause shutdowns. In addition, computer programmers and hackers may be able to develop and deploy

viruses, worms, and other malicious software programs that attack our products or otherwise exploit any security vulnerabilities of our products. As a result, we could incur significant expenses in addressing problems created by security breaches of our network and any security vulnerabilities of our products. Moreover, we could lose existing or potential customers for information technology outsourcing services or other information technology solutions or incur significant expenses in connection with our customers' system failures or any actual or perceived security vulnerabilities in our products. In addition, sophisticated hardware and operating system software and applications that we produce or procure from third parties may contain defects in design or manufacture, including "bugs" and other problems that could unexpectedly interfere with the operation of the system. The costs to us to eliminate or alleviate security problems, bugs, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities could be significant, and the efforts to address these problems could result in interruptions, delays, cessation of service and loss of existing or potential customers that may impede our sales, manufacturing, distribution or other critical functions.

Portions of our IT infrastructure also may experience interruptions, delays or cessations of service or produce errors in connection with systems integration or migration work that takes place from time to time. We may not be successful in implementing new systems and transitioning data, including our current project to consolidate all of our worldwide IT data centers into six centers, which could cause business disruptions and be more expensive, time consuming, disruptive and resource-intensive. Such disruptions could adversely impact our ability to fulfill orders and interrupt other processes. Delayed sales, lower margins or lost customers resulting from these disruptions have adversely affected in the past, and in the future could adversely affect, our financial results, stock price and reputation.

*Any failure by us to manage, complete and integrate acquisitions, divestitures and other significant transactions successfully could harm our financial results, business and prospects and may result in financial results that are different than expected.*

As part of our business strategy, we frequently acquire complementary companies or businesses, divest non-core businesses or assets, enter into strategic alliances and joint ventures and make investments to further our business (collectively, "business combination and investment transactions"). In order to pursue this strategy successfully, we must identify suitable candidates for and successfully complete business combination and investment transactions, some of which may be large and complex, and manage post-closing issues such as the integration of acquired companies or employees. Integration and other risks associated with business combination and investment transactions can be more pronounced for larger and more complicated transactions or if multiple transactions are integrated simultaneously. If we fail to identify and complete successfully business combination and investment transactions that further our strategic objectives, we may be required to expend resources to develop products and technology internally, we may be at a competitive disadvantage or we may be adversely affected by negative market perceptions, any of which may have a material adverse effect on our revenue, gross margin and profitability.

Integration issues are complex, time-consuming and expensive and, without proper planning and implementation, could significantly disrupt our business. The challenges involved in integration include:

combining product offerings and entering into new markets in which we are not experienced;

convincing customers and distributors that the transaction will not diminish client service standards or business focus, preventing customers and distributors from deferring purchasing decisions or switching to other suppliers (which could result in our incurring additional obligations in order to address customer uncertainty), minimizing sales force attrition and coordinating sales, marketing and distribution efforts;

consolidating and rationalizing corporate IT infrastructure, which may include multiple legacy systems from various acquisitions and integrating software code;



## Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

minimizing the diversion of management attention from ongoing business concerns;

persuading employees that business cultures are compatible, maintaining employee morale and retaining key employees, engaging with employee works councils representing an acquired company's non-U.S. employees, integrating employees into HP, correctly estimating employee benefit costs and implementing restructuring programs;

coordinating and combining administrative, manufacturing, research and development and other operations, subsidiaries, facilities and relationships with third parties in accordance with local laws and other obligations while maintaining adequate standards, controls and procedures;

achieving savings from supply chain integration; and

managing integration issues shortly after or pending the completion of other independent transactions.

We evaluate and enter into significant business combination and investment transactions on an ongoing basis. We may not fully realize all of the anticipated benefits of any business combination and investment transaction, and the timeframe for achieving benefits of a business combination and investment transaction may depend partially upon the actions of employees, suppliers or other third parties. In addition, the pricing and other terms of our contracts for business combination and investment transactions require us to make estimates and assumptions at the time we enter into these contracts, and, during the course of our due diligence, we may not identify all of the factors necessary to estimate our costs accurately. Any increased or unexpected costs, unanticipated delays or failure to achieve contractual obligations could make these agreements less profitable or unprofitable.

Managing business combination and investment transactions requires varying levels of management resources, which may divert our attention from other business operations. These business combination and investment transactions also have resulted and in the future may result in significant costs and expenses and charges to earnings, including those related to severance pay, early retirement costs, employee benefit costs, asset impairment charges, charges from the elimination of duplicative facilities and contracts, in-process research and development charges, inventory adjustments, assumed litigation and other liabilities, legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, and required payments to executive officers and key employees under retention plans. Moreover, HP has incurred and will incur additional depreciation and amortization expense over the useful lives of certain assets acquired in connection with business combination and investment transactions, and, to the extent that the value of goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite lives acquired in connection with a business combination and investment transaction becomes impaired, we may be required to incur additional material charges relating to the impairment of those assets. In order to complete an acquisition, we may issue common stock, potentially creating dilution for existing stockholders, or borrow, affecting our financial condition and potentially our credit ratings. Any prior or future downgrades in our credit rating associated with an acquisition could adversely affect our ability to borrow and result in more restrictive borrowing terms. In addition, HP's effective tax rate on an ongoing basis is uncertain, and business combination and investment transactions could impact our effective tax rate. We also may experience risks relating to the challenges and costs of closing a business combination and investment transaction and the risk that an announced business combination and investment transaction may not close. As a result, any completed, pending or future transactions may contribute to financial results that differ from the investment community's expectations in a given quarter.

*We cannot predict the outcome of various regulatory inquiries and stockholder derivative action lawsuits arising out of the processes employed in the investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media, and we may be named in additional regulatory inquiries and stockholder litigation, all of which could result in significant legal and other expenses.*

The Attorney General of the State of California, the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the U.S. House of Representatives, the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California, the Division of Enforcement of the SEC and the U.S. Federal Communications Commission all have conducted inquiries or investigations relating to the processes employed in an investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media that concluded in May 2006. We have entered into an agreement with the California Attorney General to resolve civil claims relating to the leak investigation. Under the terms of the agreement, which includes an injunction, we have paid a total of \$14.5 million and agreed to implement and maintain for five years a series of measures designed to ensure that HP's corporate investigations are conducted in accordance with California law and the company's high ethical standards. We also have consented to the entry of an order by the SEC ordering HP to cease and desist from committing or causing violations of the public reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. If we fail to implement and maintain the measures required under the agreement with the California Attorney General or if we fail to comply with the SEC cease and desist order, we could be subject to civil or criminal penalties.

Four stockholder derivative lawsuits also have been filed in California (all of which have been consolidated into a single lawsuit) and two in Delaware (both of which have been consolidated into a single lawsuit) purportedly on behalf of HP stockholders seeking to recover damages and to obtain specified injunctive relief stemming from the activities of the leak investigations. We may in the future also be subject to additional litigation or other proceedings arising in relation to these matters. The period of time necessary to resolve the stockholder lawsuits is uncertain, and the expense of defending and concluding such litigation may be significant. In addition, we may be obligated to indemnify (and advance legal expenses to) former or current directors, officers or employees in accordance with the terms of our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, other applicable agreements, and Delaware law.

*Unforeseen environmental costs could impact our future net earnings.*

We are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations concerning environmental protection, such as laws governing the conduct of our facilities and operations with respect to the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated sites. It is our policy to apply strict standards for environmental clean-up to sites outside the United States, even where we are not required to do so under applicable local laws and regulations. Many of our products are subject to various federal, state and international laws governing chemical substances, including laws regulating the manufacture and distribution of chemical substances and laws restricting the presence of certain substances in electronics products. We could incur substantial costs, including cleanup costs, fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage or personal injury claims, or our products could be enjoined from entering certain jurisdictions, if we were to violate or become liable under environmental laws or if our products become non-compliant with environmental laws. The ultimate costs under environmental laws and the timing of these costs are difficult to predict, and liability under some environmental laws relating to contaminated sites can be imposed retroactively and on a joint and several basis. We record a liability for environmental remediation and other environmental costs when we consider the costs to be probable and the amount of the costs can be reasonably estimated. We face increasing complexity in our product design and procurement operations as we adjust to new and future requirements relating to the materials composition of our products, including the restrictions on lead, cadmium and certain other substances that apply to specified electronics products put on the market in the European Union as of July 1, 2006 (Restriction of Hazardous Substances Directive) and

similar legislation in other countries including China, Japan and Korea. We also could face significant costs and liabilities in connection with product take-back legislation. The EU has enacted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive (the "WEEE Legislation"), which makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. Similar legislation has been or may be enacted in other jurisdictions, including in the United States, Canada, Mexico, China and Japan. We are continuing to evaluate the cumulative impact of, and are taking steps to comply with, the WEEE Legislation and similar legislation in other jurisdictions as individual countries issue their implementation legislation and guidance.

*Some anti-takeover provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could impair a takeover attempt.*

We have provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, each of which could have the effect of rendering more difficult or discouraging an acquisition of HP deemed undesirable by our Board of Directors. These include provisions:

authorizing blank check preferred stock, which HP could issue with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock;

limiting the liability of, and providing indemnification to, HP's directors and officers;

specifying that HP stockholders may take action only at a duly called annual or special meeting of stockholders and otherwise in accordance with our bylaws and limiting the ability of our stockholders to call special meetings;

requiring advance notice of proposals by HP stockholders for business to be conducted at stockholder meetings and for nominations of candidates for election to our Board of Directors;

requiring a vote by the holders of two-thirds of HP's outstanding shares to amend certain bylaws relating to HP stockholder meetings, the Board of Directors and indemnification; and

controlling the procedures for conduct of HP Board and stockholder meetings and election, appointment and removal of HP directors.

These provisions, alone or together, could deter or delay hostile takeovers, proxy contests and changes in control or management of HP. As a Delaware corporation, HP also is subject to provisions of Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prevents some stockholders from engaging in certain business combinations without approval of the holders of substantially all of HP's outstanding common stock.

Any provision of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control of HP could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of HP common stock and also could affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for HP common stock.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk affecting HP, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of Part II, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007, which is incorporated herein by reference. Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since October 31, 2007.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to HP, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our SEC reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to HP's management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that there has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting during that quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

The information set forth above under Note 14 contained in the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

A description of factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition or operating results is included under "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," contained in Item 2 of Part I of this report. This description includes any material changes to the risk factor disclosure in Item 1A of Part I of our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this report.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

| Period          | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Month #1</b> |                                  |                              |  |  |
| (November 2007) | 13,375,855                       | \$ 49.85                     | 13,375,855   | \$ 10,047,945,298  |
| <b>Month #2</b> |                                  |                              |  |  |
| (December 2007) | 12,400,000                       | \$ 51.44                     | 12,400,000   | \$ 9,410,041,274   |
| <b>Month #3</b> |                                  |                              |  |  |
| (January 2008)  | 45,778,406                       | \$ 44.11                     | 45,778,406   | \$ 7,390,643,245   |
| <b>Total</b>    | 71,554,261                       | \$ 46.46                     | 71,554,261   |  |

HP repurchased shares in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee stock plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically. This program, which does not have a specific expiration date, authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. All shares repurchased in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 were purchased in open market transactions.

As of January 31, 2008, HP had remaining authorization of approximately \$7.4 billion for future share repurchases under the \$8.0 billion repurchase authorization approved by HP's Board of Directors on November 19, 2007.

**Item 6. Exhibits.**

The Exhibit Index beginning on page 79 of this report sets forth a list of exhibits.

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

/s/ CATHERINE A. LESJAK

---

Catherine A. Lesjak  
*Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer*  
*(Principal Financial Officer and Authorized Signatory)*

Date: March 10, 2008

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description  | Incorporated by Reference |            |                  |                    |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                |  | Form                      | File No.   | Exhibit(s)       | Filing Date        |
| 2              | None.  |                           |            |                  |                    |
| 3(a)           | Registrant's Certificate of Incorporation.   | 10-Q                      | 001-04423  | 3(a)             | June 12, 1998      |
| 3(b)           | Registrant's Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation.  | 10-Q                      | 001-04423  | 3(b)             | March 16, 2001     |
| 3(c)           | Registrant's Amended and Restated By-Laws effective November 15, 2007.   | 8-K                       | 001-04423  | 99.1             | November 19, 2007  |
| 4(a)           | Indenture dated as of October 14, 1997 among Registrant and Chase Trust Company of California regarding Liquid Yield Option Notes due 2017.  | S-3                       | 333-44113  | 4.2              | January 12, 1998   |
| 4(b)           | Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 16, 2000 to Indenture dated as of October 14, 1997 among Registrant and Chase Trust Company of California regarding Liquid Yield Option Notes due 2017.                         | 10-Q                      | 001-04423  | 4(b)             | September 12, 2000 |
| 4(c)           | Second Supplemental Indenture to Indenture dated as of October 14, 1997 among Registrant and J.P. Morgan Trust Company (as successor to Chase Trust Company of California) regarding Liquid Yield Option Notes due 2017. | 10-Q                      | 001-04423  | 4(c)             | September 10, 2004 |
| 4(d)           | Form of Senior Indenture.  | S-3                       | 333-30786  | 4.1              | March 17, 2000     |
| 4(e)           | Form of Registrant's Fixed Rate Note and Floating Rate Note and related Officers' Certificate.   | 8-K                       | 001-04423  | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4 | May 24, 2001       |
| 4(f)           | Form of Registrant's 6.50% Global Note due July 1, 2012, and form of related Officers' Certificate.  | 8-K                       | 001-04423  | 4.2 and 4.3      | June 27, 2002      |
| 4(g)           | Form of Registrant's Fixed Rate Note and form of Floating Rate Note.   | 8-K                       | 001-04423  | 4.1 and 4.2      | December 11, 2002  |
| 4(h)           | Form of Registrant's 3.625% Global Note due March 15, 2008, and related Officers' Certificate.   | 8-K                       | 001-04423  | 4.1 and 4.2      | March 14, 2003     |
| 4(i)           | Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2000, between the Registrant and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (formerly Chase Manhattan Bank), as Trustee.   | S-3                       | 333-134327 | 4.9              | June 7, 2006       |
| 4(j)           | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due March 1, 2012, form of 5.25% Global Note due March 1, 2012 and form of  | 8-K                       | 001-04423  | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | February 28, 2007  |

5.40% Global Note due March 1, 2017.



Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

|       |  |       |            |                  |                    |
|-------|--|-------|------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 4(k)  | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due June 15, 2009 and Floating Rate Global Note due June 15, 2010.                                  | 10-Q  | 001-04423  | 4(l)             | September 7, 2007  |
| 4(l)  | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due September 3, 2009, 4.50% Global Note due March 1, 2013 and 5.50% Global Note due March 1, 2018. | 8-K   | 001-04423  | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | February 29, 2008  |
| 4(m)  | Speciman certificate for the Registrant's common stock.  | 8-A/A | 001-04423  | 4.1              | June 23, 2006      |
| 10(a) | Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*   | S-8   | 333-114253 | 4.1              | April 7, 2004      |
| 10(b) | Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*   | 10-Q  | 001-04423  | 10(b)            | June 8, 2007       |
| 10(c) | Registrant's 1997 Director Stock Plan, amended and restated effective November 1, 2005.*   | 8-K   | 001-04423  | 99.4             | November 23, 2005  |
| 10(d) | Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*   | 10-Q  | 001-04423  | 10(d)            | June 8, 2007       |
| 10(e) | Registrant's 1990 Incentive Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.*   | 10-Q  | 001-04423  | 10(e)            | June 8, 2007       |
| 10(f) | Compaq Computer Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*   | 10-K  | 001-04423  | 10(f)            | January 21, 2003   |
| 10(g) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*   | 10-K  | 001-04423  | 10(g)            | January 21, 2003   |
| 10(h) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*   | 10-K  | 001-04423  | 10(h)            | January 21, 2003   |
| 10(i) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.*   | 10-K  | 001-04423  | 10(i)            | January 21, 2003   |
| 10(j) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1985 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors.*   | S-3   | 333-86378  | 10.5             | April 18, 2002     |
| 10(k) | Amendment of Compaq Computer Corporation Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, effective September 3, 2001.*                 | S-3   | 333-86378  | 10.11            | April 18, 2002     |
| 10(l) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Former Nonemployee Replacement Option Plan.*  | S-3   | 333-86378  | 10.9             | April 18, 2002     |
| 10(m) | Registrant's Excess Benefit Retirement Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2006.*  | 8-K   | 001-04423  | 10.2             | September 21, 2006 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

|          |  |      |           |          |                    |
|----------|--|------|-----------|----------|--------------------|
| 10(n)    | Hewlett-Packard Company Cash Account Restoration Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2005.*  | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 99.3     | November 23, 2005  |
| 10(o)    | Registrant's 2005 Pay-for-Results Plan.*   | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 99.5     | November 23, 2005  |
| 10(p)    | Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.*  | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 10.1     | September 21, 2006 |
| 10(q)    | First Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.*   | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(q)    | June 8, 2007       |
| 10(r)    | Employment Agreement, dated March 29, 2005, between Registrant and Mark V. Hurd.*  | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 99.1     | March 30, 2005     |
| 10(s)    | Employment Agreement, dated June 9, 2005, between Registrant and R. Todd Bradley.*   | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(x)    | September 8, 2005  |
| 10(t)    | Employment Agreement, dated July 11, 2005, between Registrant and Randall D. Mott.*  | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(y)    | September 8, 2005  |
| 10(u)    | Registrant's Amended and Restated Severance Plan for Executive Officers.*  | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 99.1     | July 27, 2005      |
| 10(v)    | Form letter to participants in the Registrant's Pay-for-Results Plan for fiscal year 2006.*  | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(w)    | March 10, 2006     |
| 10(w)    | Registrant's Executive Severance Agreement.*   | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(u)(u) | June 13, 2002      |
| 10(x)    | Registrant's Executive Officers Severance Agreement.*  | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(v)(v) | June 13, 2002      |
| 10(y)    | Form letter regarding severance offset for restricted stock and restricted units.*   | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 10.2     | March 22, 2005     |
| 10(z)    | Form of Indemnity Agreement between Compaq Computer Corporation and its executive officers.*   | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(x)(x) | June 13, 2002      |
| 10(a)(a) | Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and the Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(a)(a) | June 8, 2007       |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

|          |   |      |           |          |                   |
|----------|---|------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| 10(b)(b) | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, and Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(b)(b) | June 8, 2007      |
| 10(c)(c) | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*  | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(c)(c) | June 8, 2007      |
| 10(d)(d) | Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 1990 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended.*   | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(e)    | January 27, 2000  |
| 10(e)(e) | Form of Common Stock Payment Agreement and Option Agreement for Registrant's 1997 Director Stock Plan, as amended.*   | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(j)(j) | March 11, 2005    |
| 10(f)(f) | Form of Restricted Stock Grant Notice for the Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan.*  | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(w)(w) | June 13, 2002     |
| 10(g)(g) | Forms of Stock Option Notice for the Compaq Computer Corporation Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended.*   | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(r)(r) | January 14, 2005  |
| 10(h)(h) | Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan and Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended.*                                      | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(t)(t) | January 14, 2005  |
| 10(i)(i) | Amendment One to the Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for the 2004 Program.*  | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(q)(q) | September 8, 2005 |
| 10(j)(j) | Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for the 2005 Program.*   | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(r)(r) | September 8, 2005 |
| 10(k)(k) | Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement.*  | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(o)(o) | March 10, 2006    |
| 10(l)(l) | Second Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.*   | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(l)(l) | December 18, 2007 |
| 10(m)(m) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of performance-based restricted units.*   | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 10.1     | January 24, 2008  |
| 10(n)(n) | Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (California).*  | 8-K  | 001-04423 | 10.2     | January 24, 2008  |
| 10(o)(o) | Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (Texas).*   |      |           |          |                   |
| 10(p)(p) | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.*   |      |           |          |                   |



Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

10(q)(q) Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.\*

10(r)(r) Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.\*

11 None.

12 Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.

15 None.

18-19 None.

22-24 None.

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

---

\*

Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Filed herewith.

Furnished herewith.

The registrant agrees to furnish to the Commission supplementally upon request a copy of (1) any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed herewith as to which the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis and (2) any omitted schedules to any material plan of acquisition, disposition or reorganization set forth above.

QuickLinks

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX

PART I

Item 1. Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings (Unaudited)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Item 6. Exhibits.

SIGNATURE

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES EXHIBIT INDEX