

FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP

Form 10-Q

May 03, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-34139

Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Freddie Mac

| | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Federally chartered corporation | 8200 Jones Branch Drive McLean, Virginia 22102-3110 | 52-0904874 | (703) 903-2000 |
| (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | (Address of principal executive offices, including zip code) | (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) | (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports); and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
 Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
 (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of April 20, 2016, there were 650,046,828 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------------|
| MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | <u>1</u> |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | <u>1</u> |
| KEY ECONOMIC INDICATORS | <u>4</u> |
| CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | <u>7</u> |
| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS ANALYSIS | <u>15</u> |
| OUR BUSINESS SEGMENTS | <u>17</u> |
| RISK MANAGEMENT | <u>46</u> |
| LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES | <u>49</u> |
| CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS | <u>53</u> |
| REGULATION AND SUPERVISION | <u>54</u> |
| OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS | <u>56</u> |
| FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS | <u>57</u> |
| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | <u>59</u> |
| OTHER INFORMATION | <u>129</u> |
| CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | <u>132</u> |
| SIGNATURES | <u>134</u> |
| FORM 10-Q INDEX | <u>135</u> |
| EXHIBIT INDEX | <u>E-1</u> |

Management's Discussion and Analysis Executive Summary

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this Form 10-Q. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Form 10-Q. Actual results might differ significantly from those described in or implied by such statements due to various factors and uncertainties, including those described in the "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" sections of this Form 10-Q and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, or 2015 Annual Report, and the "Business" section of our 2015 Annual Report.

Throughout this Form 10-Q, we use certain acronyms and terms that are defined in the "Glossary" of our 2015 Annual Report.

You should read the following MD&A in conjunction with our 2015 Annual Report and our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the three months ended March 31, 2016 included in "Financial Statements."

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Freddie Mac is a GSE chartered by Congress in 1970. Our public mission is to provide liquidity, stability, and affordability to the U.S. housing market. We do this primarily by purchasing residential mortgage loans originated by lenders. In most instances, we package these loans into mortgage-related securities, which are guaranteed by us and sold in the global capital markets. We also invest in mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities. We do not originate loans or lend money directly to consumers.

We support the U.S. housing market and the overall economy by enabling America's families to access mortgage loan funding with better terms and by providing consistent liquidity to the multifamily mortgage market, which we do primarily by providing financing for workforce housing. We have helped many distressed borrowers keep their homes or avoid foreclosure. We are working with FHFA, our customers and the industry to build a better housing finance system for the nation.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL RESULTS

Comprehensive income (loss) was \$(200) million during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to \$746 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015. The decline in comprehensive income (loss) was primarily driven by two market-related items, including an estimated:

\$(0.9) billion resulting from a larger decline in interest rates; and

\$(0.6) billion resulting from widening spreads.

Our total equity was \$1.0 billion at March 31, 2016. Because our net worth was positive we are not requesting a draw from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement for the first quarter of 2016. Through March 31, 2016, our cumulative senior preferred stock dividend payments totaled \$98.2 billion. Under the

Management's Discussion and Analysis Executive Summary

Purchase Agreement, the payment of dividends does not reduce the outstanding liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock, which remains \$72.3 billion. The amount of available funding remaining under the Purchase Agreement is \$140.5 billion, and would be reduced by any future draws.

VARIABILITY OF EARNINGS

Our financial results are subject to significant earnings variability from period to period. This variability is primarily driven by:

Interest-Rate Volatility — We hold assets and liabilities that expose us to interest-rate risk. Through our use of derivatives, we manage our exposure to interest-rate risk on an economic basis to a low level as measured by our models. However, the way we account for our financial assets and liabilities (i.e., some are measured at amortized cost, while others are measured at fair value), including derivatives, creates volatility in our GAAP earnings when interest rates fluctuate. Based upon the composition of our financial assets and liabilities, including derivatives, at March 31, 2016, we generally recognize fair value losses in earnings when interest rates decline. This volatility generally is not indicative of the underlying economics of our business. For information about the sensitivity of our financial results to interest-rate volatility, see "Risk Management - Interest-Rate Risk and Other Market Risks."

Spread Volatility — Spread volatility (i.e., credit spreads, liquidity spreads, risk premiums, etc.), or OAS, is the risk associated with changes in the excess of interest rates over benchmark rates. We hold assets and liabilities that expose us to spread volatility, which may contribute to significant earnings volatility. For financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value, we generally recognize fair value losses when spreads widen.

The variability of earnings and the declining capital reserve required under the terms of the Purchase Agreement (ultimately reaching zero in 2018) increase the risk of our having a negative net worth and being required to draw from Treasury. We currently face a risk of a draw for a variety of reasons, including if we were to experience a large decrease in interest rates coupled with a large widening of spreads. We continue to assess certain transactions and activities that may reduce or limit our exposure to this variability.

CONSERVATORSHIP AND GOVERNMENT SUPPORT FOR OUR BUSINESS

Since September 2008, we have been operating in conservatorship, with FHFA acting as our Conservator. The conservatorship and related matters significantly affect our management, business activities, financial condition, and results of operations. Our future is uncertain, and the conservatorship has no specified termination date. We do not know what changes may occur to our business model during or following conservatorship, including whether we will continue to exist.

Our Purchase Agreement with Treasury and the terms of the senior preferred stock we issued to Treasury constrain our business activities. The Purchase Agreement also requires our future profits to effectively be distributed to Treasury, and we cannot retain capital from the earnings generated by our business operations (other than a limited amount that will decrease to zero in 2018) or return capital to stockholders other than Treasury. Consequently, our ability to access funds from Treasury under the

Management's Discussion and Analysis Executive Summary

Purchase Agreement is critical to keeping us solvent and avoiding the appointment of a receiver by FHFA under statutory mandatory receivership provisions. We believe that the support provided by Treasury pursuant to the Purchase Agreement currently enables us to have adequate liquidity to conduct our normal business activities.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 3

Management's Discussion and Analysis Key Economic Indicators | Single-family Home Prices

KEY ECONOMIC INDICATORS

The following graphs and related discussion present certain macroeconomic indicators that can significantly affect our business and financial results.

SINGLE-FAMILY HOME PRICES

NATIONAL HOME PRICES

(December 2000 = 100)

COMMENTARY

Home prices continued to appreciate during the three months ended March 31, 2016, increasing 1.5%, compared to an increase of 1.6% during the three months ended March 31, 2015, based on our own non-seasonally adjusted price index of single-family homes funded by loans owned or guaranteed by us or Fannie Mae.

National home prices at March 31, 2016 were approximately 5% below their peak level of 167 reached in June 2006, based on our index.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Key Economic Indicators | Interest Rates

INTEREST RATES

KEY MARKET INTEREST RATES

COMMENTARY

Mortgage interest rates, as indicated by the 30-year PMMS rate, decreased during the three months ended March 31, 2016. We expect mortgage interest rates to remain low in 2016, but to begin slowly trending up in the second half of the year.

The average 30-year PMMS rate was 3.74% during the first quarter of 2016, compared to 3.72% during the first quarter of 2015.

Longer-term interest rates, as indicated by the 10-year LIBOR and the 10-year Treasury rate, declined sharply during the three months ended March 31, 2016. The decline in longer-term interest rates coincided with worldwide economic growth forecast downgrades from the International Monetary Fund, increased financial market volatility, investors' flight-to-safety of longer-term U.S. Treasuries, and market expectations that the Federal Reserve would raise its short-term interest rate less rapidly than previously anticipated.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 5

Management's Discussion and Analysis | Key Economic Indicators | Unemployment Rate

UNEMPLOYMENT RATE
UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AND JOB CREATION

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

COMMENTARY

An average of approximately 209,000 monthly net new jobs were added to the economy during the first quarter of 2016. The steady flow of jobs has helped to stabilize the unemployment rate at 5%.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 6

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Results of Operations | Comparison

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read this discussion of our consolidated results of operations in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes.

COMPARISON

The table below compares our consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015.

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Change | |
|--|------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Net interest income | \$3,405 | \$3,647 | \$(242) | (7)% |
| Benefit (provision) for credit losses | 467 | 499 | (32) | (6)% |
| Net interest income after benefit (provision) for credit losses | 3,872 | 4,146 | (274) | (7)% |
| Non-interest income (loss): | | | | |
| Gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt | (55) | (79) | 24 | (30)% |
| Derivative gains (losses) | (4,561) | (2,403) | (2,158) | 90% |
| Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings | (57) | (93) | 36 | (39)% |
| Other gains (losses) on investment securities recognized in earnings | 303 | 417 | (114) | (27)% |
| Other income (loss) | 947 | 11 | 936 | 8,509% |
| Total non-interest income (loss) | (3,423) | (2,147) | (1,276) | 59% |
| Non-interest expense: | | | | |
| Administrative expense | (448) | (451) | 3 | (1)% |
| REO operations (expense) income | (84) | (75) | (9) | 12% |
| Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011 expense | (272) | (222) | (50) | 23% |
| Other (expense) income | (153) | (463) | 310 | (67)% |
| Total non-interest expense | (957) | (1,211) | 254 | (21)% |
| (Loss) income before income tax benefit (expense) | (508) | 788 | (1,296) | (164)% |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 154 | (264) | 418 | (158)% |
| Net (loss) income | (354) | 524 | (878) | (168)% |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes and reclassification adjustments | 154 | 222 | (68) | (31)% |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$(200) | \$746 | \$(946) | (127)% |

Key Drivers:

See "Net Interest Income," "Benefit (Provision) for Credit Losses," "Derivative Gains (Losses)," and "Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)" for a discussion of those items. Key drivers for other line items during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015 include:

Other gains (losses) on investment securities recognized in earnings decreased due to a decline in sales of available-for-sale non-agency mortgage-related securities in an unrealized gain position. This decrease in sales was attributable to increased market volatility and weaker investor demand for this product type.

Other income (loss) increased due to the following:

Reduced lower-of-cost-or-fair-value adjustments as we transferred fewer seriously delinquent

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Results of Operations | Comparison

single-family loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale;

Minimal gains on STACR debt notes carried at fair value as a result of relatively unchanged spreads between STACR yields and LIBOR during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to losses as a result of tightened spreads during the three months ended March 31, 2015; and

Increased gains on multifamily mortgage loans for which we have elected the fair value option driven by a larger decline in interest rates in the current period versus during the first quarter of 2015.

Other expense decreased primarily driven by fewer reclassifications of seriously delinquent single-family loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale. See "Loan Reclassifications" below for the effect of these loan reclassifications on pre-tax net income.

Income tax benefit reflects a pre-tax net loss and income tax expense reflects pre-tax net income in the respective periods.

The three items discussed below affected multiple line items on our consolidated results of operations.

LOAN RECLASSIFICATIONS

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, we reclassified \$0.4 billion and \$3.6 billion, respectively, in UPB of seriously delinquent single-family mortgage loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale. The initial reclassifications of these loans affected several line items on our consolidated results of operations, as shown in the table below.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Benefit for credit losses | \$64 | \$692 |
| Other income (loss) - lower-of-cost-or-fair-value adjustment | (67) | (581) |
| Other (expense) income - property taxes and insurance associated with these loans | (31) | (349) |
| Effect on income before income tax (expense) benefit | \$(34) | \$(238) |

INTEREST-RATE RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

We fund our business activities primarily through the issuance of unsecured other debt. The type of debt we issue is based on a variety of factors including market conditions and our liquidity requirements.

We currently favor a mix of shorter- and medium-term debt and derivatives to fund our business and manage interest-rate risk. This funding mix is a less expensive method than relying more extensively on long-term debt, and it provides greater flexibility and opportunity to match the duration of our assets and liabilities in the future as we reduce the mortgage-related investments portfolio in accordance with the requirements of the Purchase Agreement and FHFA.

The table below presents the effect of derivatives used in our interest-rate risk management activities on our comprehensive income, after considering the accrual of periodic cash settlements (which is the economic equivalent of interest expense), and the extent to which the effect of interest rate changes on our derivatives was offset by their effect on other financial instruments. The estimated net effect on comprehensive income is essentially the derivative gains (losses) attributable to financial instruments that are not measured at fair value.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Results of Operations | Comparison

| (in billions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Components of derivative gains (losses) | | |
| Derivative gains (losses) | \$(4.6) | \$(2.4) |
| Less: Accrual of periodic cash settlements | (0.5) | (0.6) |
| Derivative fair value changes | \$(4.1) | \$(1.8) |
| Estimated Net Interest Rate Effect | | |
| Interest rate effect on derivative fair values | \$(4.0) | \$(1.7) |
| Estimate of offsetting interest rate effect related to financial instruments measured at fair value | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Estimated Net Interest Rate Effect on Comprehensive income | \$(1.4) | \$(0.5) |

As this table demonstrates, the estimated net effect of derivatives used in our interest-rate risk management activities on our comprehensive income is volatile, and can be significant. For information about the sensitivity of our financial results to interest-rate volatility, see "Risk Management - Interest-Rate Risk and Other Market Risks."

CHANGES IN SPREADS

Comprehensive income was affected by changes in spreads by an estimated \$(0.6) billion and \$0.0 billion (after-tax) during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively. In the current period, the negative effect was primarily due to spread widening on our non-agency mortgage-related investments measured at fair value. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, there were minimal changes to comprehensive income due to spread tightening on our STACR debt notes that was largely offset by spreads tightening on our mortgage-related investments.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Results of Operations | Net Interest Income

NET INTEREST INCOME
NET INTEREST YIELD ANALYSIS

The table below presents an analysis of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | 2015 | | Interest Income (Expense) | Average Rate |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Average Balance ⁽¹⁾ | Interest Income (Expense) | Average Rate | Average Balance ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 11,726 | \$ 7 | 0.25 % | \$ 15,353 | \$ 3 | 0.07 % |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 57,921 | 50 | 0.34 | 47,430 | 8 | 0.07 |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities | 201,604 | 1,916 | 3.80 | 244,662 | 2,366 | 3.87 |
| Extinguishment of PCs held by Freddie Mac | (105,097) | (960) | (3.65) | (111,988) | (1,034) | (3.69) |
| Total mortgage-related securities, net | 96,507 | 956 | 3.96 | 132,674 | 1,332 | 4.02 |
| Non-mortgage-related securities: | | | | | | |
| Loans held by consolidated trusts ⁽¹⁾ | 1,630,646 | 14,261 | 3.50 | 1,563,272 | 13,879 | 3.55 |
| Loans held by Freddie Mac ⁽¹⁾ | 145,531 | 1,557 | 4.28 | 165,168 | 1,575 | 3.81 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 1,956,592 | \$ 16,844 | 3.45 | \$ 1,933,316 | \$ 16,800 | 3.47 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts including PCs held by Freddie Mac | | | | | | |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts including PCs held by Freddie Mac | \$ 1,653,105 | \$(12,751) | (3.09) | \$ 1,583,630 | \$(12,521) | (3.16) |
| Extinguishment of PCs held by Freddie Mac | (105,097) | 960 | 3.65 | (111,988) | 1,034 | 3.69 |
| Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | 1,548,008 | (11,791) | (3.05) | 1,471,642 | (11,487) | (3.12) |
| Other debt: | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt | 100,871 | (93) | (0.37) | 121,728 | (38) | (0.12) |
| Long-term debt | 300,221 | (1,504) | (2.00) | 324,655 | (1,563) | (1.93) |
| Total other debt | 401,092 | (1,597) | (1.59) | 446,383 | (1,601) | (1.43) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,949,100 | (13,388) | (2.75) | 1,918,025 | (13,088) | (2.73) |
| Expense related to derivatives | — | (51) | (0.01) | — | (65) | (0.01) |
| Impact of net non-interest-bearing funding | 7,492 | — | 0.01 | 15,291 | — | 0.02 |
| Total funding of interest-earning assets | \$ 1,956,592 | \$(13,439) | (2.75) | \$ 1,933,316 | \$(13,153) | (2.72) |
| Net interest income/yield | | \$ 3,405 | 0.70 | | \$ 3,647 | 0.75 |

Loan fees, primarily consisting of amortization of delivery fees, included in interest income were \$485 million and (1) \$506 million for loans held by consolidated trusts and were \$81 million and \$66 million for loans held by Freddie Mac during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Results of Operations | Net Interest Income

COMPONENTS OF NET INTEREST INCOME

The table below presents the components of net interest income.

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Change | |
|---|------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Contractual net interest income: | | | | |
| Management and guarantee fee income | \$710 | \$608 | \$102 | 17 % |
| Management and guarantee fee income related to the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011 | 267 | 217 | 50 | 23 % |
| Other contractual net interest income | 1,840 | 2,222 | (382) | (17)% |
| Total contractual net interest income | 2,817 | 3,047 | (230) | (8)% |
| Net amortization - loans and debt securities of consolidated trusts | 533 | 533 | — | — % |
| Net amortization - other assets and debt | 106 | 132 | (26) | (20)% |
| Expense related to derivatives | (51) | (65) | 14 | (22)% |
| Net interest income | \$3,405 | \$3,647 | \$(242) | (7)% |

Key Drivers:

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015:

- Management and guarantee fee income (contractual) increased, as the rates and volume of our single-family credit guarantee business continued to increase.

Other contractual net interest income decreased, as we continued to reduce the balance of our mortgage-related investments portfolio pursuant to the portfolio limits established by the Purchase Agreement and FHFA.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Results of Operations | Provision for Credit Losses

BENEFIT (PROVISION) FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The benefit (provision) for credit losses predominantly relates to single-family loans and includes components for both collectively and individually impaired loans.

The table below presents the components of our benefit (provision) for credit losses.

| (dollars in billions) | Three Months | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Ended March 31, | | Change | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Provision for newly impaired loans | \$(0.2) | \$(0.2) | \$— | % |
| Amortization of interest rate concessions | 0.3 | 0.3 | — | % |
| Reclassifications of held-for-investment loans to held-for-sale loans | 0.1 | 0.7 | (0.6) | (86)% |
| Other, including changes in estimated default probability and loss severity | 0.3 | (0.3) | 0.6 | (200)% |
| Benefit (provision) for credit losses | \$0.5 | \$0.5 | \$— | % |

Key Drivers:

Benefit for credit losses remained unchanged during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015, but there were changes in its components primarily due to:

Reclassification of fewer seriously delinquent single-family loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, \$0.4 billion in UPB of seriously delinquent single-family loans were reclassified to held-for-sale, compared to \$3.6 billion during the three months ended March 31, 2015. See "Loan Reclassifications" for the effect of these loan reclassifications on pre-tax net income; and
 Improvement in estimated probability of default and loss severity for single-family loans.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Results of Operations | Derivative Gains (Losses)

DERIVATIVE GAINS (LOSSES)

While our sensitivity to interest rates on an economic basis remains low based on our models, our exposure to earnings volatility resulting from our use of derivatives has increased in recent years as we have changed our derivative portfolio to align with the changing duration of our hedged assets and liabilities. We believe the impact of derivatives on our GAAP financial results should be considered in the context of our overall interest-rate risk profile, including our PMVS and duration gap results. For more information about our interest-rate risk management activities and the sensitivity of reported earnings to those activities, see "Risk Management - Interest-Rate Risk and Other Market Risks."

The table below presents the components of derivative gains (losses).

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Change | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Fair value changes: | | | | |
| Change in interest-rate swaps | \$(5,690) | \$(2,661) | \$(3,029) | 114 % |
| Change in option-based derivatives | 1,935 | 1,016 | 919 | 90 % |
| Accrual of periodic cash settlements | (490) | (571) | 81 | (14)% |
| Other | (316) | (187) | (129) | 69 % |
| Derivative gains (losses) | \$(4,561) | \$(2,403) | \$(2,158) | 90 % |

Key Drivers:

We recognized derivative fair value losses during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, primarily due to declines in the 10-year par swap rate of 54 basis points and 26 basis points, respectively, in each period. See "Our Business Segments - Investments - Market Conditions" for more information about par swap rates.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Results of Operations | Other Comprehensive Income

OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table presents the attribution of the other comprehensive income (loss) reported in our condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Change | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Other comprehensive income, excluding accretion and reclassifications | \$221 | \$463 | \$(242) | (52)% |
| Accretion due to significant increases in expected cash flows on previously-impaired available-for-sale securities | (90) | (126) | 36 | (29)% |
| Reclassifications from AOCI | 23 | (115) | 138 | (120)% |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | \$154 | \$222 | \$(68) | (31)% |

Key Drivers:

Other comprehensive income declined during the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015, primarily due to:

- Losses resulting from spread widening for our non-agency mortgage-related securities, partially offset by gains resulting from a larger decline in longer-term interest rates; and

- Reclassification of net unrealized losses from AOCI to earnings during 2016 due to fewer sales and lower pricing of our non-agency mortgage-related securities. The declines in both sales and pricing were attributable to increased market volatility and weaker demand for this product type. We reclassified net unrealized gains during 2015 due to greater sales and higher pricing, as a result of declining longer-term interest rates and stabilized collateral performance.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Balance Sheets Analysis

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS ANALYSIS

The table below compares our summarized consolidated balance sheets.

| (dollars in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | Change | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|------------|--------|
| | | | \$ | % |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$6,158 | \$5,595 | \$563 | 10 % |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 16,671 | 14,533 | 2,138 | 15 % |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 40,098 | 63,644 | (23,546) | (37)% |
| Subtotal | 62,927 | 83,772 | (20,845) | (25)% |
| Investments in securities | 107,595 | 114,215 | (6,620) | (6)% |
| Mortgage loans, net | 1,762,633 | 1,754,193 | 8,440 | — % |
| Accrued interest receivable | 6,091 | 6,074 | 17 | — % |
| Derivative assets, net | 814 | 395 | 419 | 106 % |
| Real estate owned, net | 1,571 | 1,725 | (154) | (9)% |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 18,123 | 18,205 | (82) | — % |
| Other assets | 9,346 | 7,313 | 2,033 | 28 % |
| Total assets | \$1,969,100 | \$1,985,892 | \$(16,792) | (1)% |
| Liabilities and Equity: | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Accrued interest payable | \$6,047 | \$6,183 | \$(136) | (2)% |
| Debt, net | 1,955,618 | 1,970,269 | (14,651) | (1)% |
| Derivative liabilities, net | 1,632 | 1,254 | 378 | 30 % |
| Other liabilities | 4,803 | 5,246 | (443) | (8)% |
| Total liabilities | 1,968,100 | 1,982,952 | (14,852) | (1)% |
| Total equity | 1,000 | 2,940 | (1,940) | (66)% |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,969,100 | \$1,985,892 | \$(16,792) | (1)% |

Key Drivers:

As of March 31, 2016 compared to December 31, 2015:

Cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and cash equivalents, and securities purchased under agreements to resell affect one another, so the changes in the balances should be viewed together. The combined balance declined due to reduced near-term cash needs.

Investments in securities declined as we continue to reduce our less liquid mortgage-related securities pursuant to the limits on the size of our portfolio, and we reduced our non-mortgage-related investments portfolio due to a decrease in our near-term cash needs.

Real estate owned, net continued to decline as we continued to sell our existing inventory and the pace of new REO acquisitions slowed as our population of seriously delinquent loans declined.

Other assets increased as receivables from servicers increased driven by borrower prepayment activity. Additionally, our current income tax receivable also contributed to the increase, as our net loss during the three months ended March 31, 2016 reduced our estimated tax liability.

Debt, net decreased as we continued to reduce other debt along with the decline in our mortgage-related investments portfolio. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Consolidated Balance Sheets Analysis

Total equity decreased primarily as a result of a comprehensive loss during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to comprehensive income during the three months ended December 31, 2015.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 16

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Segment Earnings

OUR BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We have three reportable segments, which are based on the way we manage our business. Certain activities that are not part of a reportable segment are included in the All Other category.

• **Single-family Guarantee** - reflects results from our purchase, securitization, and guarantee of single-family loans and the management of single-family mortgage credit risk.

• **Multifamily** - reflects results from our purchase, investment, securitization, and guarantee activities in multifamily loans and securities, and the management of multifamily mortgage credit risk.

• **Investments** - reflects results from managing the company's mortgage-related investments portfolio (excluding Multifamily investments and single-family seriously delinquent loans), treasury function, and interest-rate risk.

• **All Other** - consists of material corporate-level activities that are infrequent in nature and based on decisions outside the control of the management of our reportable segments.

SEGMENT EARNINGS

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we changed how we calculate certain components of our Segment Earnings for our Single-family Guarantee and Investments segments. Prior period results have been revised to conform to the current period presentation. For more information on these changes, see Note 11.

SEGMENT COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The table below shows our comprehensive income by segment, including the All Other category.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 17

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

SINGLE-FAMILY GUARANTEE
MARKET CONDITIONS

The following graphs and related discussion present certain market indicators that can significantly affect the business and financial results of our Single-family Guarantee segment.

U.S. Single-Family Originations

Source: Inside Mortgage Finance dated April 28, 2016.

Single-Family Serious Delinquency Rates

Source: National Delinquency Survey from the Mortgage Bankers Association. The rates are as of December 31, 2015 (latest available information).

Commentary

• Single-family loan origination volumes in the U.S. decreased during the first quarter of 2016 compared to the first quarter of 2015, driven by a decrease in refinancing activity.

• Single-family serious delinquency (SDQ) rates in the U.S. continued to decline due to macroeconomic factors, such as a stable labor market and continued home price appreciation.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

BUSINESS RESULTS

The following tables, graphs and related discussion present the business results of our Single-family Guarantee segment.

New Business Activity

Single-Family Loan Purchases and Guarantees

Percentage of Single-Family Loan Purchases and Guarantees by Loan Purpose

Commentary

Our loan purchase activity decreased during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to a decrease in refinance loan purchase volume. During the latter part of 2015, mortgage interest rates declined at a slower pace compared to the latter part of 2014. When mortgage interest rates decline, there can be a lag of up to three months between the time the borrower refinances and when we purchase the loan.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 19

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Single-family Credit Guarantee Portfolio

Single-Family Credit Guarantee Portfolio Commentary

The Core single-family book grew to 68% of the single-family credit guarantee portfolio at March 31, 2016 compared to 66% at December 31, 2015. The Core single-family book consists of loans that were originated since 2008, excluding HARP and other relief refinance loans.

The HARP and other relief refinance book represented an additional 17% of the single-family credit guarantee portfolio at March 31, 2016 compared to 18% at December 31, 2015.

The Legacy single-family book declined to 15% of the single-family credit guarantee portfolio at March 31, 2016 compared to 16% at December 31, 2015.

We had 10.7 million loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio at both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 20

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Management and Guarantee Fees

Average Portfolio Segment Earnings Management and Guarantee Fee Rate⁽¹⁾

Average Management and Guarantee Fee Rate Charged on New Acquisitions⁽¹⁾

(1) Excludes the legislated 10 basis point increase in management and guarantee fees.

Commentary

Average portfolio Segment Earnings management and guarantee fees remained relatively unchanged during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015, as higher contractual management and guarantee fee rates during the three months ended March 31, 2016 were offset by lower amortization of upfront fees driven by lower loan liquidations resulting from lower refinance volume.

The average management and guarantee fee rate charged on new acquisitions recognizes upfront delivery fee income over the estimated life of the related loans using our expectations of prepayments and other liquidations, whereas the average portfolio Segment Earnings management and guarantee fee rate recognizes these amounts for the entire portfolio over the contractual life of the related loans (usually 30 years) adjusted for actual prepayments.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 21

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Credit Risk Transfer Activity

Since 2013, STACR debt note and ACIS transactions have been our principal methods of transferring a portion of the mortgage credit risk subsequent to loan acquisition in our Core single-family book. The following chart presents transactions that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2016 by loss position and the party holding each loss position.

New STACR Debt Note and ACIS Transactions for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016⁽¹⁾

(In billions)

Freddie Mac

Senior

\$50.9

| | | | |
|-------------|------|------------------|----------------|
| Freddie Mac | ACIS | STACR Debt Notes | Reference Pool |
|-------------|------|------------------|----------------|

Mezzanine

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| \$0.1 | \$0.7 | \$1.4 | \$53.7 |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|

First
Loss

| | | |
|-------------|-------|------------------|
| Freddie Mac | ACIS | STACR Debt Notes |
| \$0.4 | \$0.1 | \$0.1 |

(1) The amounts represent the UPB upon issuance of STACR debt notes and execution of ACIS transactions.

Commentary

We continued to transfer a portion of credit risk to third-party investors, insurers, and selected sellers through credit risk transfer transactions. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we transferred a portion of the credit risk associated with \$53.8 billion in UPB of loans in our Core single-family book through STACR debt note, ACIS, and seller indemnification transactions.

The interest and premiums we pay on our issued STACR debt note and ACIS transactions effectively reduce the management and guarantee fee income we earn on the PCs within the respective reference pools. Our expected management and guarantee fee income on the PCs within the STACR and ACIS reference pools has been effectively reduced by approximately 32%, on average, for all transactions executed through March 31, 2016. The effective reduction to our overall management and guarantee fee income could change over time as we continue our credit risk transfer activities or if there are changes in the economic or regulatory environment that affect the cost of executing these transactions.

As of March 31, 2016, there has not been a significant number of loans in our STACR debt note reference pools that have experienced a credit event. As a result, we have only recognized minimal write-downs on our STACR debt notes and have begun to make minimal claims for reimbursement of losses under our ACIS transactions.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Credit Enhancements

The table below provides information on the credit enhanced loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio by book as of March 31, 2016. The table includes all types of single-family credit enhancements, including primary mortgage insurance. See Note 4 for additional information about our single-family credit enhancements.

As of March 31, 2016

| (dollars in millions) | Total Current UPB | Total Protected UPB ⁽¹⁾ | Coverage Remaining ⁽²⁾ | Collateralized Coverage Remaining ⁽³⁾ | Percentage of Coverage Remaining Provided By Credit Risk Transfer Transactions ⁽⁴⁾ |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Core single-family book | \$1,153,452 | \$478,541 | \$ 73,005 | \$ 14,484 | 25 % |
| HARP and other relief refinance book | 296,000 | 32,921 | 9,009 | — | — % |
| Legacy single-family book | 256,667 | 34,353 | 10,554 | — | — % |
| Total | \$1,706,119 | \$545,815 | \$ 92,568 | \$ 14,484 | 19 % |

(1) Represents the UPB covered by the credit enhancement.

(2) Represents the amounts that are still available for us to recover under the credit enhancement.

Collateralized coverage includes cash received by Freddie Mac upon issuance of STACR debt notes and (3) unguaranteed whole loan securities, as well as cash and securities pledged for our benefit. All collateralized coverage relates to credit risk transfer transactions in the Core single-family book.

Credit risk transfer transactions include STACR debt notes, ACIS insurance policies, seller indemnification (4) agreements, and whole loan securities. The substantial majority of single-family loans covered by these transactions were acquired after 2012.

Commentary

The Core single-family book had credit protection on 41% of total current UPB as of March 31, 2016 compared to 39% as of December 31, 2015.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Mortgage Loan Credit Risk

Certain combinations of loan attributes can indicate a higher degree of credit risk, such as loans with both higher LTV ratios and lower credit scores. The following table presents the combination of credit score and current LTV (CLTV) ratio attributes of loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio.

March 31, 2016

| (credit score) | CLTV ≤ 80 | | CLTV > 80 to 100 | | CLTV > 100 | | All Loans | | Modified | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|------------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|--|
| | % Portfolio | SDQ Rate | % Portfolio | SDQ Rate | % Portfolio | SDQ Rate | % Portfolio | SDQ Rate | | |
| Core single-family book: | | | | | | | | | | |
| < 620 | 0.2 % | 2.12 % | — % | 4.13 % | — % | 11.61 % | 0.2 % | 2.46 % | 2.9 % | |
| 620 to 659 | 1.3 % | 0.95 % | 0.2 % | 1.34 % | — % | 6.42 % | 1.5 % | 1.02 % | 1.2 % | |
| ≥ 660 | 57.1 % | 0.14 % | 8.6 % | 0.25 % | 0.1 % | 1.99 % | 65.8 % | 0.16 % | 0.2 % | |
| Not available | 0.1 % | 1.47 % | — % | 3.54 % | — % | 7.53 % | 0.1 % | 3.00 % | 3.2 % | |
| Total | 58.7 % | 0.17 % | 8.8 % | 0.31 % | 0.1 % | 3.36 % | 67.6 % | 0.19 % | 0.2 % | |
| Relief refinance book: | | | | | | | | | | |
| < 620 | 0.6 % | 1.59 % | 0.2 % | 3.00 % | 0.1 % | 4.43 % | 0.9 % | 2.25 % | 3.6 % | |
| 620 to 659 | 0.8 % | 1.00 % | 0.3 % | 2.04 % | 0.2 % | 3.33 % | 1.3 % | 1.53 % | 2.1 % | |
| ≥ 660 | 10.7 % | 0.29 % | 3.2 % | 0.99 % | 1.3 % | 1.80 % | 15.2 % | 0.53 % | 0.6 % | |
| Not available | — % | 1.35 % | — % | — % | — % | 1.85 % | — % | 1.12 % | 1.1 % | |
| Total | 12.1 % | 0.39 % | 3.7 % | 1.22 % | 1.6 % | 2.15 % | 17.4 % | 0.69 % | 0.9 % | |
| Legacy single-family book | | | | | | | | | | |
| < 620 | 0.8 % | 6.23 % | 0.3 % | 12.78 % | 0.2 % | 20.28 % | 1.3 % | 8.49 % | 31.5 % | |
| 620 to 659 | 1.4 % | 4.47 % | 0.5 % | 10.29 % | 0.3 % | 16.84 % | 2.2 % | 6.35 % | 25.8 % | |
| ≥ 660 | 8.2 % | 1.92 % | 2.0 % | 7.02 % | 1.1 % | 12.00 % | 11.3 % | 2.89 % | 12.1 % | |
| Not available | 0.2 % | 5.01 % | — % | 17.04 % | — % | 19.12 % | 0.2 % | 5.76 % | 14.0 % | |
| Total | 10.6 % | 2.63 % | 2.8 % | 8.31 % | 1.6 % | 14.18 % | 15.0 % | 3.86 % | 15.5 % | |

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Alt-A and Subprime Loans

While we refer to certain loans as subprime or Alt-A for purposes of the discussion below and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, there is no universally accepted definition of subprime or Alt-A, and the classification of such loans may differ from company to company. For example, some financial institutions may use credit scores to delineate certain residential loans as subprime. We do not rely on these loan classifications to evaluate the credit risk exposure relating to such loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio.

Participants in the mortgage market may characterize single-family loans based upon their overall credit quality at the time of origination, generally considering them to be prime or subprime. While we have not historically characterized the loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio as either prime or subprime, we monitor the amount of loans we have guaranteed with characteristics that indicate a higher degree of credit risk. In addition, we estimate that approximately \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion of security collateral underlying our other securitization products at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, were identified as subprime based on information provided to us when we entered into these transactions.

Many mortgage market participants classify single-family loans with credit characteristics that range between their prime and subprime categories as Alt-A because these loans have a combination of characteristics of each category, may be underwritten with lower or alternative income or asset documentation requirements compared to a full documentation loan, or both. Although we have discontinued new purchases of loans with lower documentation standards, we continued to purchase certain amounts of such loans in cases where the loan was either purchased pursuant to a previously issued guarantee, part of our relief refinance initiative, or part of another refinance loan initiative and the pre-existing loan was originated under less than full documentation standards. In the event we purchase a refinance loan and the original loan had been previously identified as Alt-A, such refinance loan may no longer be categorized or reported as an Alt-A loan in this Form 10-Q and our other financial reports because the new refinance loan replacing the original loan would not be identified by the seller/servicer as an Alt-A loan. As a result, our reported Alt-A balances may be lower than would otherwise be the case had such refinancing not occurred. From the time the relief refinance initiative began in 2009 to March 31, 2016, we have purchased approximately \$33.3 billion of relief refinance loans that were previously categorized as Alt-A loans in our portfolio, including \$0.4 billion in the first quarter of 2016.

The table below contains information on Alt-A loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio.

| (dollars in billions) | March 31, 2016 | | | | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|------|---------------|-------------|
| | UPB | CLTV | % Modified | SDQ Rate | UPB | CLTV | % Modified | SDQ Rate |
| Alt-A | \$38.5 | 76 % | 23.9 % | 6.01 % | \$40.2 | 77 % | 23.1 % | 6.32 % |

The UPB of Alt-A loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio declined during the first quarter of 2016 primarily due to borrowers refinancing into other mortgage products, foreclosure transfers, and other liquidation events. Significant portions of the Alt-A loans in our portfolio are concentrated in Arizona, California, Florida, and Nevada.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Single-Family Loan Performance

Serious Delinquency Rates
Commentary

Serious delinquency rates continued to decline across our single-family credit guarantee portfolio during the three months ended March 31, 2016 due to the continued strong performance of loans in the Core single-family book, continued loss mitigation and foreclosure activities for loans in the Legacy single-family book, as well as sales of certain non-performing loans.

As part of our strategy to mitigate losses and reduce our holdings of less liquid assets, we sold seriously delinquent loans totaling \$0.8 billion in UPB during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

The sale of seriously delinquent loans during the three months ended March 31, 2016 contributed to a decline in the seriously delinquent rate of the total single-family credit guarantee portfolio and the Legacy single-family book of approximately 0.03% and approximately 0.11%, respectively, as of March 31, 2016.

Delinquency rates declined to 1.17% and 0.36% for loans one month and two months past due, respectively, as of March 31, 2016 compared to 1.37% and 0.42%, respectively, as of December 31, 2015.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Credit Performance

The table below contains certain credit performance metrics of our single-family credit guarantee portfolio.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------|
| (dollars in millions) | 2016 | 2015 |
| Charge-offs, gross | \$569 | \$2,951 |
| Recoveries | (128) | (174) |
| Charge-offs, net | 441 | 2,777 |
| REO operations expense (income) | 84 | 75 |
| Total credit losses | \$525 | \$2,852 |
| Total credit losses (in bps) | 12.2 | 67.7 |
| Ratio of total loan loss reserves (excluding reserves for TDR concessions) to net charge-offs for single-family loans ⁽¹⁾ | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| Ratio of total loan loss reserves to net charge-offs for single-family loans | 8.2 | 1.7 |

⁽¹⁾ The ratio for the three months ended March 31, 2015 excludes charge-offs of \$1.9 billion associated with our initial adoption of regulatory guidance on January 1, 2015.

The table below summarizes the carrying value for individually impaired single-family loans on our consolidated balance sheets for which we have recorded a specific reserve.

| | March 31, 2016 | | March 31, 2015 | |
|--|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| (dollars in millions) | Loan Count | Amount | Loan Count | Amount |
| TDRs, at January 1 | 512,253 | \$85,960 | 539,590 | \$94,401 |
| New additions | 12,470 | 1,701 | 16,650 | 2,356 |
| Repayments and reclassifications to held-for-sale | (10,426) | (1,945) | (9,574) | (2,779) |
| Foreclosure transfers and foreclosure alternatives | (2,962) | (426) | (6,055) | (1,025) |
| TDRs, at March 31, | 511,335 | 85,290 | 540,611 | 92,953 |
| Loans impaired upon purchase | 8,137 | 604 | 11,882 | 906 |
| Total impaired loans with specific reserve | 519,472 | 85,894 | 552,493 | 93,859 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | (13,315) | | (16,357) |
| Net investment, at March 31, | | \$72,579 | | \$77,502 |

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

The table below presents information about the UPB of single-family TDRs and non-accrual loans on our consolidated balance sheets.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| TDRs on accrual status | \$82,121 | \$82,026 |
| Non-accrual loans | 20,299 | 22,460 |
| Total TDRs and non-accrual loans | \$102,420 | \$104,486 |

Loan loss reserves associated with:

| | | |
|------------------------|----------|----------|
| TDRs on accrual status | \$11,432 | \$12,105 |
| Non-accrual loans | 2,596 | 2,677 |
| Total | \$14,028 | \$14,782 |

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Foregone interest income on TDRs and non-accrual loans ⁽¹⁾ | \$697 | \$871 |

⁽¹⁾ Represents the amount of interest income that we would have recognized for loans outstanding at the end of each period, had the loans performed according to their original contractual terms.

Commentary

As of March 31, 2016, 68% of the loan loss reserves for single-family mortgage loans related to interest rate concessions provided to borrowers as part of loan modifications.

Most of our modified single-family loans, including TDRs, were current and performing at March 31, 2016.

We expect our loan loss reserves associated with existing single-family TDRs to continue to decline over time as borrowers continue to make monthly payments under the modified terms and interest-rate concessions are amortized into earnings.

Charge-offs were lower during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015 due to:

Decreased REO acquisition and foreclosure alternative volumes; and

Our initial adoption of an FHFA advisory bulletin on January 1, 2015 that changed when we deem a loan to be uncollectible, which increased charge-offs by \$1.9 billion during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

See Note 4 for information on our single-family loan loss reserves.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

Loss Mitigation Activities

Loan Workout Activity
Commentary

Our loan workout activity has declined along with the decline in the number of delinquent loans in the single-family credit guarantee portfolio.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 29

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

REO Activity

The table below presents a summary of our single-family REO activity.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2016 | | 2015 | |
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| (dollars in millions) | of | of | of | of |
| | Properties | Properties | Properties | Properties |
| Beginning balance — REO | 17,004 | \$ 1,774 | 25,768 | \$ 2,684 |
| Additions | 4,631 | 440 | 7,201 | 683 |
| Dispositions | (6,226) | (603) | (10,231) | (983) |
| Ending balance — REO | 15,409 | 1,611 | 22,738 | 2,384 |
| Beginning balance, valuation allowance | | (52) | | (126) |
| Change in valuation allowance | | 8 | | 36 |
| Ending balance, valuation allowance | | (44) | | (90) |
| Ending balance — REO, net | | \$ 1,567 | | \$ 2,294 |
| Commentary | | | | |

Our REO inventory declined during the three months ended March 31, 2016, primarily due to REO dispositions exceeding our acquisitions. REO acquisitions continue to decline due to fewer seriously delinquent loans and a large proportion of property sales to third parties at foreclosure.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Single-Family Guarantee

FINANCIAL RESULTS

The table below presents the components of the Segment Earnings and comprehensive income for our Single-family Guarantee segment.

| | Three Months | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Ended March 31, | | Change | |
| (dollars in millions) | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$(118) | \$(137) | \$19 | (14)% |
| Management and guarantee fee income | 1,285 | 1,257 | 28 | 2% |
| Benefit (provision) for credit losses | 289 | (380) | 669 | (176)% |
| Net interest income and management and guarantee income after benefit (provision) for credit losses | 1,456 | 740 | 716 | 97% |
| Other non-interest income (loss) | 187 | (183) | 370 | (202)% |
| Non-interest expense: | | | | |
| Administrative expense | (295) | (300) | 5 | (2)% |
| REO operations expense | (84) | (75) | (9) | 12% |
| Other non-interest expense | (100) | (92) | (8) | 9% |
| Total non-interest expense | (479) | (467) | (12) | 3% |
| Segment Earnings before income tax (expense) benefit | 1,164 | 90 | 1,074 | 1,193% |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (354) | (30) | (324) | 1,080% |
| Segment Earnings, net of taxes | 810 | 60 | 750 | 1,250% |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 1 | (1) | 2 | (200)% |
| Total comprehensive income | \$811 | \$59 | \$752 | 1,275% |

Key Drivers:

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015:

- Benefit for credit losses increased due to improvements in estimated loss severity and probability of default.

Other non-interest income increased primarily due to:

Fewer seriously delinquent single-family loans reclassified from held-for-investment to held-for-sale; and Minimal gains on STACR debt notes carried at fair value as a result of relatively unchanged spreads between STACR yields and LIBOR during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to losses as a result of tightened spreads during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Multifamily

MULTIFAMILY
MARKET CONDITIONS

The following graphs and related discussion present certain market indicators that can significantly affect the business and financial results of our Multifamily segment.

K Certificate Benchmark Spread

Source: J.P. Morgan

Apartment Vacancy Rates and Change in Effective Rents

Source: REIS, Inc.

Commentary

The profitability of our K Certificate transactions (as measured by gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans) is affected by the change in K Certificate spreads during the period between our commitment to purchase a loan and execution of the K Certificate transaction.

Macroeconomic market conditions continued to create volatility in the K Certificate benchmark spread during the three months ended March 31, 2016. During January and February of 2016, spread widening had an adverse effect on K Certificate profitability. However, the K Certificate benchmark spread tightened sharply in March 2016 amid a broader rally in the corporate bond market, ending the first quarter at 80 basis points.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the rate of increase in effective rents continued to slow marginally and vacancy rates continued to increase slightly. Despite these changes, both market conditions remain strong relative to historic levels. We expect this moderation trend to continue for the remainder of the year, but do not expect it to significantly affect our financial results.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Multifamily

BUSINESS RESULTS

The following tables, graphs and related discussion present the business results of our Multifamily segment.
New Business Activity and Multifamily Portfolio

New Business Activity

Note: Outstanding commitments includes loan purchase commitments for which we have elected the fair value option.

Multifamily Portfolio

Commentary

We have a goal under the 2016 Conservatorship Scorecard to maintain the dollar volume of multifamily new business activity at or below a production cap of \$31 billion. For purposes of determining our performance under the goal, business activity associated with certain targeted loan types is excluded from this production cap. Reclassifications between new business activity subject to

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 33

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Multifamily

the production cap and new business activity not subject to the production cap will occur during 2016 as updated data becomes available.

Approximately two-thirds of our multifamily new business activity during the three months ended March 31, 2016 counted towards the 2016 Scorecard production cap, and the remaining one-third was not subject to the production cap.

Our multifamily portfolio grew during the three months ended March 31, 2016 due to an increase in the guarantee portfolio, which was primarily attributable to our securitization of loans in K Certificate transactions.

Our balance of multifamily held-for-sale loans was \$23.6 billion at March 31, 2016. This balance is high relative to historic levels and exposes us to spread risk. However, we expect the balance to decline during the year as we continue to securitize loans into K Certificates and other securitization products.

Our multifamily delinquency rate at March 31, 2016 was 0.04%.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Multifamily

Credit Risk Transfer Activity

New K Certificate Issuances

Average Management and Guarantee Fee Rate Charged on New K Certificates

Commentary

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we executed nine K Certificate transactions that transferred credit risk associated with \$9.8 billion in UPB of loans. Our K Certificate issuance volume increased during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015 because of the record origination volume in the multifamily market during 2015. As the overall market grew, we increased our purchases, ending 2015 with a large portfolio of held-for-sale loans which are being securitized in 2016.

We also transferred credit risk associated with \$1.0 billion of additional loans through other securitization products, such as small balance loan securitizations.

The average management and guarantee fee rate on newly issued K Certificates remained relatively unchanged during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Multifamily

FINANCIAL RESULTS

The table below presents the components of the Segment Earnings and comprehensive income for our Multifamily segment.

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Change | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % | |
| Net interest income | \$252 | \$242 | \$10 | 4 | % |
| Management and guarantee fee income | 108 | 73 | 35 | 48 | % |
| Benefit for credit losses | 5 | 3 | 2 | 67 | % |
| Net interest income and management and guarantee income after benefit (provision) for credit losses | 365 | 318 | 47 | 15 | % |
| Gains (losses) on loans | 497 | 353 | 144 | 41 | % |
| Derivative losses | (787) | (199) | (588) | 295 | % |
| Other non-interest income | 240 | 37 | 203 | 549 | % |
| Administrative expense | (80) | (70) | (10) | 14 | % |
| Other non-interest expense | (24) | (11) | (13) | 118 | % |
| Segment Earnings before income tax expense | 211 | 428 | (217) | (51) | % |
| Income tax expense | (64) | (144) | 80 | (56) | % |
| Segment Earnings, net of taxes | 147 | 284 | (137) | (48) | % |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 3 | (20) | 23 | (115) | % |
| Total comprehensive income | \$150 | \$264 | \$(114) | (43) | % |

Key Drivers:

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015:

Net interest income increased primarily due to higher average balances of unsecuritized held-for-sale mortgage loans.

Management and guarantee fee income increased primarily due to higher average multifamily guarantee portfolio balances as a result of ongoing issuances of K Certificates.

Gains (losses) on loans increased due to increased interest rate-related fair value gains, partially offset by increased spread-related fair value losses. Interest rate-related fair value gains (which are offset in derivative losses) increased due to larger declines in longer-term interest rates during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015. Spread-related fair value losses increased due to increased volatility in K Certificate spreads during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to spread-related fair value gains during the three months ended March 31, 2015 when K Certificate spreads were relatively unchanged.

Derivative losses increased due to a larger decline in longer-term interest rates. These losses are offset by fair value changes of the loans and investment securities being economically hedged, and as a result, there is no net impact on total comprehensive income for the Multifamily segment from fair value changes related to interest rate-related derivatives. The fair value changes of the economically hedged assets are included in gains (losses) on loans, other non-interest income and total other comprehensive income (loss).

Other non-interest income increased primarily due to gains recognized on certain held-for-sale loan purchase commitments for which we elected the fair value option beginning in 2016. In addition, we recognized higher guarantee obligation amortization income due to a larger portfolio of guaranteed K Certificates.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Our Business Segments | Multifamily

Total other comprehensive income (loss) remained relatively unchanged. While we recognized increased interest rate-related fair value gains due to a larger decline in longer-term interest rates (which are offset in derivatives losses), we also recognized increased spread-related fair value losses as a result of CMBS spread widening on our available-for-sale securities during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to spread tightening during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 37

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our Business Segments |
Investments

INVESTMENTS
MARKET CONDITIONS

The graphs and related discussion present the par swap rate curves as of the end of each comparative period. As our derivatives and variable-rate debt are generally LIBOR-based, changes in par swap rates can significantly affect the business and financial results of our Investments segment.

Sources: ATLAS, BlackRock
Commentary

Longer-term interest rates (e.g., 2-year and 10-year rates) declined as of March 31, 2016 compared to December 31, 2015, and also declined as of March 31, 2015 compared to December 31, 2014. In each case, the decline reduced the fair value of our pay-fixed interest rate swaps and improved the fair values of our receive-fixed interest rate swaps, certain of our option contracts, and the vast majority of our investments in securities.

The decline in longer-term interest rates as of March 31, 2016 was larger than the decline in longer-term interest rates as of March 31, 2015, resulting in greater impacts to our financial results during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 38

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our Business Segments |
Investments

BUSINESS RESULTS

The following tables, graphs and related discussion present the business results of our Investments segment.
Investing Activity

The following graphs present the Investments segment's total investments portfolio and the composition of its mortgage investments portfolio by liquidity category.

Investments Portfolio

Mortgage Investments Portfolio

Commentary

We continue to reduce the size of our mortgage investments portfolio in order to comply with the mortgage-related investments portfolio limits. The balance of our mortgage investments portfolio declined 1.8% from December 31, 2015 to March 31, 2016.

The balance of our non-mortgage-related assets portfolio declined 22.6% from December 31, 2015 to March 31, 2016, due to reduced near-term cash needs.

The percentage of less liquid assets relative to our total mortgage investments portfolio declined from 38.8% at December 31, 2015 to 37.2% at March 31, 2016, primarily due to repayments and securitizations of our less liquid assets. We actively managed the size of our less liquid assets by selling \$0.8 billion of non-agency mortgage-related securities and enhancing the liquidity of \$3.5 billion of single-family reperforming loans and performing modified loans through securitization. We

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 39

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our Business Segments |
Investments

retained the resulting Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities created through such securitizations in our mortgage investments portfolio.

The overall liquidity of our mortgage investments portfolio continues to improve as our new asset acquisitions have almost entirely consisted of purchases of more liquid assets, including agency mortgage-related securities and loans awaiting securitization into PCs.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 40

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our Business Segments |
Investments

Net Interest Yield and Average Balances

Net Interest Yield & Average Investments Portfolio Balance
Commentary

The average balance of the mortgage-related securities that we manage declined 16.0% during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to repayments and the sale of certain non-agency mortgage-related securities.

The average balance of the single-family unsecuritized mortgage loans that we manage declined 10.0% during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to the repayment and securitization of certain reperforming loans and performing modified loans, partially offset by an increase in our purchase of loans for our securitization pipeline.

The average balance of the non-mortgage-related assets that we manage will fluctuate period to period based on our liquidity needs, investment strategy, and investment returns. This portfolio reflects our investments for operating purposes as well as the restricted assets that we hold and invest on behalf of consolidated trusts and cash that has been pledged to us under various agreements.

Net interest yield declined 35 basis points during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to an increase in our funding costs, coupled with a continued reduction in the balance of higher yielding mortgage-related assets in our mortgage investments portfolio due to repayments.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 41

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our Business Segments |
Investments

Funding Activity

We fund our business activities primarily through the issuance of unsecured other debt. The table below summarizes this activity.

| (Par value in millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Discount notes and Reference Bills: | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 104,088 | \$ 134,670 |
| Issuances | 105,653 | 61,610 |
| Maturities | (134,082) | (79,891) |
| Ending balance | 75,659 | 116,389 |
| Callable debt: | | |
| Beginning balance | 107,675 | 107,070 |
| Issuances | 28,930 | 25,085 |
| Repurchases | — | — |
| Calls | (27,691) | (10,905) |
| Maturities | (250) | (1,557) |
| Ending balance | 108,664 | 119,693 |
| Non-callable debt: | | |
| Beginning balance | 194,372 | 206,393 |
| Issuances | 8,438 | 14,088 |
| Repurchases | — | — |
| Maturities | (8,891) | (13,369) |
| Ending balance | 193,919 | 207,112 |
| Total other debt | \$378,242 | \$443,194 |
| Commentary | | |

The outstanding balance of our other debt declined during the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015, as we required less debt to fund our business operations, as the balance of our mortgage-related investments portfolio continues to decline.

- During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we continued to utilize overnight discount notes as a more cost effective tool to manage our intra-day liquidity needs. This resulted in an increase in both issuances and pay-offs of our short-term other debt compared to the same period during 2015.

Issuances and calls of our longer-term callable debt increased during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, as we refinanced more of our outstanding callable debt due to the low interest rate environment and favorable spreads relative to our non-callable debt.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our Business Segments |
Investments

Debt Composition

The following graphs present our other debt by contractual maturity date and earliest redemption date. The earliest redemption date refers to the earliest call date for callable debt and the contractual maturity date for all other debt.
Contractual Maturity Date as of March 31, 2016

Earliest Redemption Date as of March 31, 2016

Commentary

As our long-term debt spreads remained high during the three months ended March 31, 2016, we continue to rely on short-term and medium-term debt issuances for our overall funding needs. Our effective short-term debt percentage, which represents the percentage of our total other debt that is expected to mature within one year, has remained relatively flat at 41.7% as of March 31, 2016 as compared to 41.3% as of December 31, 2015.

Our short-term debt issuances provide us with overall lower funding costs relative to longer-term debt and greater flexibility as we reduce our mortgage-related investments portfolio. We saw improvement in our short-term debt spreads compared to the fourth quarter of 2015, primarily due to declining external competition for new short-term debt issuances.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 43

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our Business Segments |
Investments

As of March 31, 2016, \$91 billion of the outstanding \$109 billion of callable debt may be called within one year.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 44

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our Business Segments |
Investments

FINANCIAL RESULTS

The table below presents the components of the Segment Earnings and comprehensive income for our Investments segment.

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Change | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Net interest income | \$748 | \$1,155 | \$(407) | (35)% |
| Non-interest income: | | | | |
| Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings | 81 | 118 | (37) | (31)% |
| Derivative losses | (2,995) | (1,428) | (1,567) | 110% |
| Gains on trading securities | 169 | 45 | 124 | 276% |
| Other non-interest income | 189 | 461 | (272) | (59)% |
| Total non-interest income | (2,556) | (804) | (1,752) | 218% |
| Non-interest expense: | | | | |
| Administrative expense | (73) | (81) | 8 | (10)% |
| Other non-interest (expense) income | (2) | — | (2) | —% |
| Total non-interest expense | (75) | (81) | 6 | (7)% |
| Segment Earnings before income tax expense | (1,883) | 270 | (2,153) | (797)% |
| Income tax expense | 572 | (90) | 662 | (736)% |
| Segment Earnings, net of taxes | (1,311) | 180 | (1,491) | (828)% |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 150 | 236 | (86) | (36)% |
| Total comprehensive income | \$(1,161) | \$416 | \$(1,577) | (379)% |

Key Drivers:

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015:

Net interest income decreased due to the continued reduction in the balance of our mortgage investments portfolio.

Derivative losses increased due to a larger decline in longer-term interest rates. See "Consolidated Results of Operations - Derivative Gains (Losses)" for additional information.

Gains on trading securities increased due to a larger decline in longer-term interest rates, partially offset by spread widening for our agency mortgage-related securities classified as trading.

Other non-interest income decreased due to a decline in sales of available-for-sale non-agency mortgage-related securities in an unrealized gain position. This decrease in sales was attributable to increased market volatility and weaker investor demand for this product type.

Other comprehensive income decreased due to spread widening for our non-agency mortgage-related securities and less spread tightening for our agency mortgage-related securities classified as available-for-sale, partially offset by gains resulting from a larger decline in longer-term interest rates. Other comprehensive income in both periods reflects the reversals of unrealized losses due to the accretion of other-than-temporary impairments in earnings and the reclassification of unrealized gains and losses related to available-for-sale securities that were sold during the respective periods.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Risk Management | Credit Risk

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk is an inherent part of our business activities. We are exposed to four major types of risk: credit risk, interest-rate and other market risks, liquidity risk, and operational risk. For more discussion of these and other risks facing our business and our risk management framework, see "MD&A - Risk Management" in our 2015 Annual Report and "Risk Factors" and "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in this report and in our 2015 Annual Report. See below for updates since our 2015 Annual Report.

CREDIT RISK

INSTITUTIONAL CREDIT RISK

Mortgage Insurers

On December 31, 2015, Freddie Mac's eligibility requirements for mortgage insurers, implemented at the direction of FHFA in conjunction with Fannie Mae, became effective for all Freddie Mac-approved mortgage insurers. These revised eligibility requirements include financial requirements determined using a risk-based framework, and were designed to promote the ability of mortgage insurers to fulfill their intended role of providing consistent liquidity throughout the mortgage cycle. As of March 1, 2016, our mortgage insurers had submitted 2015 audited financial information and certified their compliance with these new requirements as of their effective date. We confirmed our mortgage insurers' capital adequacy as part of our eligibility compliance reviews and will continue to assess this each quarter. While PMI Mortgage Insurance Co., Republic Mortgage Insurance Co. and Triad Guaranty Insurance Corp. are subject to these new standards, we have not evaluated their compliance with the capital requirements, as they are in rehabilitation or under regulatory supervision and no longer issue new insurance.

On March 30, 2016, United Guaranty filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission an S-1 registration statement for the planned initial public offering of up to 19.9% of the equity in United Guaranty, to be offered by American International Group, Inc. Because United Guaranty is an approved mortgage insurer, we will evaluate the impact to United Guaranty's financial strength as part of approving the planned offering.

For more information about counterparty risk associated with mortgage insurers, see Note 12.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Risk Management | Interest-Rate Risk and Other Market Risks

INTEREST-RATE RISK AND OTHER MARKET RISKS

Our business segments have embedded exposure to interest-rate risk and other market risks. Interest-rate risk is consolidated and managed by the Investments segment, while spread risk is owned and managed by each individual business segment. Interest-rate risk and other market risks can adversely affect future cash flows, or economic value, as well as earnings and net worth.

The majority of our interest-rate risk comes from our investments in mortgage-related assets (securities and loans) and the debt we issue to fund them. Our primary goal in managing interest-rate risk is to reduce the amount of change in the value of our future cash flows due to future changes in interest rates. We use models to analyze possible future interest-rate scenarios, along with the cash flows of our assets and liabilities over those scenarios.

Our primary interest-rate risk measures are duration gap and Portfolio Market Value Sensitivity, or PMVS. PMVS measures are estimates of the amount of average potential pre-tax loss in the market value of our net assets due to parallel (PMVS-L) and non-parallel (PMVS-YC) changes in LIBOR. Our duration gap and PMVS estimates are determined using models that involve our judgment of interest-rate and prepayment assumptions. While we believe that PMVS and duration gap are useful risk management tools, they should be understood as estimates rather than as precise measurements.

The table below provides duration gap, estimated point-in-time and minimum and maximum PMVS-L and PMVS-YC results, and an average of the daily values and standard deviation during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015. The table below also provides PMVS-L estimates assuming an immediate 100 basis point shift in the LIBOR yield curve. The interest-rate sensitivity of a mortgage portfolio varies across a wide range of interest rates. Therefore, the difference between PMVS at 50 basis points and 100 basis points is non-linear.

| (in millions) | PMVS-YC | | PMVS-L | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 25 bps | 50 bps | 100 bps | | | |
| Assuming shifts of the LIBOR yield curve: | | | | | | |
| March 31, 2016 | \$ 10 | \$— | \$— | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | \$ 12 | \$50 | \$186 | | | |
| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | |
| | 2016 | | 2015 | | | |
| (duration gap in months, dollars in millions) | Duration Gap | PMVS-YC 25 bps | PMVS-L 50 bps | Duration Gap | PMVS-YC 25 bps | PMVS-L 50 bps |
| Average | 0.2 | \$ 8 | \$ 29 | 0.1 | \$ 28 | \$ 123 |
| Minimum | (0.2) | \$ — | \$ — | (0.3) | \$ 4 | \$ 61 |
| Maximum | 0.7 | \$ 31 | \$ 92 | 0.8 | \$ 47 | \$ 250 |
| Standard deviation | 0.2 | \$ 6 | \$ 26 | 0.2 | \$ 11 | \$ 38 |

The information presented in the table above and the two tables below does not fully reflect the potential effect of negative index values across all of our floating rate assets and liabilities. See "Risk Factors - Negative values for certain interest rate indices could have an adverse effect on our operational and interest-rate risk management processes" for additional information. Because we manage our interest-rate risk exposure on an economic basis to a low level as measured by our models, incorporating these potential effects into the company's process for estimating interest-rate risk exposure could result in significant percentage changes in the disclosed duration gap and PMVS levels. However, we do not

Management's Discussion and Analysis Risk Management | Interest-Rate Risk and Other Market Risks

believe any such percentage change would represent an exposure to interest-rate risk that would be material to the company's financial condition or results of operations. We are evaluating various steps we could take to mitigate this risk.

Derivatives enable us to reduce our interest-rate risk exposure. The table below shows that the PMVS-L risk levels, assuming a 50 basis point shift in the LIBOR yield curve for the periods presented, would have been higher if we had not used derivatives.

| (in millions) | PMVS-L (50 bps) | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | Before Derivatives | After Derivatives | Effect of Derivatives |
| March 31, 2016 | \$3,040 | \$ — | \$ (3,040) |
| December 31, 2015 | \$3,373 | \$ 50 | \$ (3,323) |

While we manage our interest-rate risk exposure on an economic basis to a low level as measured by our models, the accounting treatment for our financial assets and liabilities (i.e., some are measured at amortized cost, while others are measured at fair value), including derivatives, creates volatility in our earnings when interest rates fluctuate. Based upon the composition of our financial assets and liabilities, including derivatives, at March 31, 2016, we generally recognize fair value losses in earnings when interest rates decline. The table below presents the estimated adverse net effect on pre-tax earnings of certain immediate shifts in interest rates. These estimates are essentially the derivative gains (losses) attributable to financial instruments that are not measured at fair value that we would expect to experience as a result of the shifts in interest rates. The methodology used to calculate these figures is consistent with the methodology used to calculate our PMVS-YC and PMVS-L metrics above.

| (in millions) | GAAP FV-YC | GAAP FV-L | 100 bps |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | 25 bps | 50 bps | 100 bps |
| March 31, 2016 | \$ 459 | \$1,484 | \$3,114 |
| December 31, 2015 | \$ 635 | \$1,630 | \$3,573 |

The disclosure in our Monthly Volume Summary reports, which are available on our web site www.freddiemac.com, reflects the average of the daily PMVS-L, PMVS-YC, and duration gap estimates for a given reporting period (a month, a quarter, or a year).

Management's Discussion and Analysis Liquidity and Capital Resources

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

OTHER DEBT ACTIVITIES

Debt securities that we issue are classified either as debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties or other debt. We issue other debt, as either short-term or long-term debt, to fund our operations. Competition for funding can vary with economic, financial market, and regulatory environments.

The table below summarizes the par value of other debt securities we issued or paid off during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, including regularly scheduled principal payments, payments resulting from calls, and payments for repurchases. We repurchase, call, or exchange our outstanding debt securities from time to time for a variety of reasons, including managing our funding composition and supporting the liquidity of our debt securities.

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|---|--|
| | March 31, | | | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | | |
| Beginning balance | \$418,021 | \$454,029 | | |
| Issued during the period | | | | |
| Short-term: | | | | |
| Amount | \$105,653 | \$61,610 | | |
| Weighted-average effective interest rate | 0.32 | % 0.10 | % | |
| Long-term: | | | | |
| Amount | \$38,840 | \$40,913 | | |
| Weighted-average effective interest rate | 1.42 | % 1.20 | % | |
| Total issued: | | | | |
| Amount | \$144,493 | \$102,523 | | |
| Weighted-average effective interest rate | 0.62 | % 0.54 | % | |
| Paid off during the period: | | | | |
| Short-term: | | | | |
| Amount | \$(134,082) | \$(79,891) |) | |
| Weighted-average effective interest rate | 0.23 | % 0.09 | % | |
| Long-term: | | | | |
| Amount | \$(37,110) | \$(25,924) |) | |
| Weighted-average effective interest rate | 1.88 | % 2.09 | % | |
| Total paid off: | | | | |
| Amount | \$(171,192) | \$(105,815) | | |
| Weighted-average effective interest rate | 0.59 | % 0.58 | % | |
| Ending balance | \$391,322 | \$450,737 | | |

Issuances and pay-offs of short-term debt increased during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015 as we continued to utilize overnight discount notes as a more cost effective tool to manage our intra-day liquidity needs. We began increasing our utilization of overnight discount notes in the second quarter of 2015. We continue to rely on short-term and medium-term other debt for our overall funding needs. Other debt outstanding declined as we continued to reduce our indebtedness along with the decline in our mortgage-related investments portfolio.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Liquidity and Capital Resources

DEBT SECURITIES OF CONSOLIDATED TRUSTS

The table below shows the issuance and extinguishment activity for the debt securities of our consolidated trusts.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Beginning balance | \$1,513,089 | \$1,440,325 |
| New issuances | 70,956 | 78,847 |
| Newly-issued debt securities retained at issuance | (19,349) | (20,614) |
| Net new issuances to third parties | 51,607 | 58,233 |
| Additional issuances of securities | 28,264 | 23,449 |
| Total issuances | 79,871 | 81,682 |
| Extinguishments, net | (68,736) | (73,696) |
| Ending balance | \$1,524,224 | \$1,448,311 |

LIQUIDITY AND CONTINGENCY OPERATING PORTFOLIO

Excluding amounts related to our consolidated VIEs and collateral held by us from OTC derivative counterparties, we held \$42.1 billion and \$70.0 billion in the aggregate of cash and cash equivalents, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and non-mortgage-related securities at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. These investments are important to our cash flow, collateral management, and asset and liability management, and our ability to provide liquidity and stability to the mortgage market. At March 31, 2016, our non-mortgage-related securities consisted of U.S. Treasury securities that we could sell to provide us with an additional source of liquidity to fund our business operations. We also maintained non-interest-bearing deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, which are included in cash and cash equivalents on our consolidated balance sheets.

CASH FLOWS

We evaluate our cash flow performance by comparing the net cash flows from operating and investing activities to the net cash flows required to finance those activities. The following graphs present the results of these activities for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015.

| Operating Cash Flows | Investing Cash Flows | Financing Cash Flows |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 2015 2016 | 2015 2016 | 2015 2016 |

Management's Discussion and Analysis Liquidity and Capital Resources

Commentary

Cash used in operating activities increased \$0.6 billion primarily due to the following:

- Increase in net purchases of mortgage loans acquired as held-for-sale, primarily due to an increase in the purchase of multifamily loans; and

- Decrease in net interest income.

Cash provided by investing activities increased \$7.4 billion primarily due to the following:

- Increase in net proceeds received from purchases and sales of trading securities, as we purchased fewer non-mortgage-related securities; and

- Decrease in securities purchased under agreements to resell.

Cash used in financing activities increased \$5.7 billion primarily due to the following:

- Increase in net funds used to repay other debt, as the amount of other debt required to fund our mortgage-related investments portfolio has declined. This increase was partially offset by an increase in proceeds received from issuance of debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties as we issued more PCs for cash.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our entry into conservatorship resulted in significant changes to the assessment of our capital adequacy and our management of capital. Since our entry into conservatorship, Treasury and FHFA have taken a number of actions that affect our cash requirements and our ability to fund those requirements. Under the Purchase Agreement, Treasury made a commitment to provide us with funding, under certain conditions, to eliminate deficits in our net worth. Obtaining funding from Treasury pursuant to its commitment under the Purchase Agreement enables us to avoid being placed into receivership by FHFA. The amount of available funding remaining under the Purchase Agreement is \$140.5 billion. This amount will be reduced by any future draws.

At March 31, 2016, our assets exceeded our liabilities under GAAP; therefore no draw is being requested from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement. Based on our Net Worth Amount at March 31, 2016 and the 2016 Capital Reserve Amount of \$1.2 billion, we will not have a dividend obligation to Treasury in June 2016. Under the Purchase Agreement, the payment of dividends does not reduce the outstanding liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock. As a result of the net worth sweep dividend on the senior preferred stock, our future profits will effectively be distributed to Treasury, and we cannot retain capital from the earnings generated by our business operations (other than a limited amount that will decrease to zero in 2018) or return capital to stockholders other than Treasury.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Liquidity and Capital Resources

The table below presents activity related to our net worth during the last five quarters.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 3/31/2016 | 12/31/2015 | 9/30/2015 | 6/30/2015 | 3/31/2015 |
| Beginning balance | \$2,940 | \$ 1,299 | \$5,713 | \$2,546 | \$2,651 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | (200) | 1,641 | (501) | 3,913 | 746 |
| Capital draw from Treasury | — | — | — | — | — |
| Senior preferred stock dividends declared | (1,740) | — | (3,913) | (746) | (851) |
| Total equity / net worth | \$1,000 | \$ 2,940 | \$ 1,299 | \$5,713 | \$2,546 |
| Aggregate draws under Purchase Agreement | \$71,336 | \$ 71,336 | \$71,336 | \$71,336 | \$71,336 |
| Aggregate cash dividends paid to Treasury | \$98,205 | \$ 96,465 | \$96,465 | \$92,552 | \$91,806 |

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 52

Management's
Discussion
Conservatorship and Related Matters
and
Analysis

CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS

REDUCING OUR MORTGAGE-RELATED INVESTMENTS PORTFOLIO OVER TIME

The table below presents the UPB of our mortgage-related investments portfolio for purposes of the portfolio limits imposed by the Purchase Agreement and by FHFA.

| (dollars in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | Liquid | Securitization Pipeline | Less Liquid | Total | Liquid | Securitization Pipeline | Less Liquid | Total |
| Investments segment - Mortgage investments portfolio: | | | | | | | | |
| Single-family unsecured loans | | | | | | | | |
| Performing loans | \$— | \$10,573 | \$— | \$10,573 | \$— | \$10,041 | \$— | \$10,041 |
| Reperforming loans and performing modified loans | — | — | 63,540 | 63,540 | — | — | 67,036 | 67,036 |
| Total single-family unsecured loans | — | 10,573 | 63,540 | 74,113 | — | 10,041 | 67,036 | 77,077 |
| Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities | 137,316 | — | 5,342 | 142,658 | 135,869 | — | 6,076 | 141,945 |
| Non-agency mortgage-related securities | — | — | 25,959 | 25,959 | — | — | 27,754 | 27,754 |
| Non-Freddie Mac agency mortgage-related securities | 12,434 | — | — | 12,434 | 12,958 | — | — | 12,958 |
| Total Investment segment - Mortgage investments portfolio | 149,750 | 10,573 | 94,841 | 255,164 | 148,827 | 10,041 | 100,866 | 259,734 |
| Single-family Guarantee segment - Single-family | — | — | 17,757 | 17,757 | — | — | 19,501 | 19,501 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| unsecuritized seriously delinquent loans Multifamily segment - unsecuritized loans and mortgage-related securities | 6,667 | 23,545 | 36,726 | 66,938 | 7,304 | 19,563 | 40,809 | 67,676 | |
| Total mortgage-related investments portfolio | \$156,417 | \$34,118 | \$149,324 | \$339,859 | \$156,131 | \$29,604 | \$161,176 | \$346,911 | |
| Percentage of total mortgage-related investments portfolio | 46 | % 10 | % 44 | % 100 | % 45 | % 9 | % 46 | % 100 | % |
| Mortgage-related investments portfolio cap at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 | | | | \$339,304 | | | | \$399,181 | |
| 90% of mortgage-related investments portfolio cap at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾ | | | | \$305,374 | | | | \$359,263 | |

(1) Represents the amount that we manage to under our Retained Portfolio Plan, subject to certain exceptions. The decline in our mortgage-related investments portfolio during the three months ended March 31, 2016 was primarily due to repayments, partially offset by net purchases of multifamily loans for our securitization pipeline and agency mortgage-related securities. We also actively managed the size of our less liquid assets through the following: Sales of \$1.6 billion of less liquid assets, including \$0.8 billion in UPB of non-agency mortgage-related securities and \$0.8 billion in UPB of seriously delinquent unsecuritized single-family loans; and Securitizations of \$3.5 billion of single-family reperforming loans and performing modified loans, thereby enhancing their liquidity. We retained the resulting Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities created through such securitizations in our mortgage-related investments portfolio.

Management's
Discussion
Regulation and Supervision
and
Analysis

REGULATION AND SUPERVISION

In addition to our oversight by FHFA as our Conservator, we are subject to regulation and oversight by FHFA under our Charter and the GSE Act and to certain regulation by other government agencies. Furthermore, regulatory activities by other government agencies can affect us indirectly, even if we are not directly subject to such agencies' regulation or oversight. For example, regulations that modify requirements applicable to the purchase or servicing of mortgages can affect us.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING ALLOCATIONS

The GSE Act requires us to set aside in each fiscal year an amount equal to 4.2 basis points of each dollar of total new business purchases, and allocate or transfer such amount to certain housing funds. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we completed \$85.7 billion of new business purchases subject to these allocations and accrued \$36 million of related expense. We expect to pay this amount (and any additional amounts accrued based on our new business purchases during the remainder of 2016) in February 2017. We are prohibited from passing through the costs of the affordable housing allocations to the originators of the loans that we purchase.

LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

LEGISLATION RELATED TO FREDDIE MAC AND ITS FUTURE STATUS

Our future structure and role will be determined by the Administration and Congress, and it is possible, and perhaps likely, that there will be significant changes beyond the near-term.

On April 11, 2016, the "Risk Management and Homeowner Stability Act of 2016" was introduced in the House of Representatives. The bill is designed to prohibit the use of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae guarantee fees as offsets against other expenditures in the federal budget.

On April 15, 2016, the "Housing Finance Restructuring Act of 2016" was introduced in the House of Representatives. Under the bill, the Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreements between Treasury and each of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae (the "Enterprises") would be terminated, except for the provisions that provide for Treasury's funding commitment to each Enterprise, and the Enterprises would be deemed to have fully repaid Treasury for its financial support. The bill provides for Treasury to exercise the warrants to purchase common stock of each Enterprise. The bill also provides for the Enterprises to build and maintain capital, and for an Enterprise's conservatorship to be terminated once it attains a set level of capital.

It is likely that additional bills related to Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, and the future of the mortgage finance system will be introduced in and considered by Congress. We cannot predict whether any of such bills will be enacted.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS FOR 2015

In March 2016, we reported to FHFA that we achieved three of the five single-family affordable housing benchmarks and all three multifamily affordable housing goals for 2015. We may achieve a single-family housing goal by meeting or exceeding either:

Management's
Discussion
Regulation and Supervision
and
Analysis

the FHFA benchmark for that goal; or

the actual share of the market that meets the criteria for that goal.

FHFA will ultimately make the determination as to whether we achieved compliance with the housing goals for 2015. On March 31, 2016, FHFA approved Freddie Mac's Affordable Housing Plan for 2016 - 2017, which FHFA required to address our failure to meet certain housing goals in the past.

PRINCIPAL REDUCTION MODIFICATION INITIATIVE

On April 14, 2016, FHFA announced that Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae will offer principal reduction to certain seriously delinquent, underwater borrowers. The new initiative is a one-time offering for borrowers who meet specific eligibility criteria, including that they:

Are owner-occupants;

Are at least 90 days delinquent as of March 1, 2016;

Have a mortgage with an outstanding UPB of \$250,000 or less; and

Have a mark-to-market loan-to-value ratio of more than 115% after capitalization.

The ultimate economic effect of the Principal Reduction Modification Initiative will depend on the rate at which eligible borrowers take advantage of the initiative. The initiative could be net present value positive compared to the current streamlined modification program if the participation rates are higher than expected. We believe that approximately 11,000 borrowers on loans owned by Freddie Mac will be eligible for this new initiative.

PROPOSED RULE ON INCENTIVE-BASED COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS

FHFA and other financial regulators have proposed an interagency rule on incentive-based compensation arrangements that implements Section 956 of the Dodd-Frank Act. The proposed rule is intended to prohibit incentive-based compensation arrangements that could encourage inappropriate risks by providing excessive compensation or that could lead to a material financial loss. Among other items, the proposed rule would require large financial institutions, including Freddie Mac, to defer payment of certain incentive-based compensation awarded to senior executive officers and to significant risk-takers. FHFA's version of the proposed rule specifies that, for institutions in conservatorship, FHFA shall determine which requirements of the rule will apply. We cannot predict whether or when a final rule will be adopted.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We enter into certain business arrangements that are not recorded on our consolidated balance sheets or that may be recorded in amounts that differ from the full contract or notional amount of the transaction and that may expose us to potential losses in excess of the amounts recorded on our consolidated balance sheets. For a description of our off-balance sheet arrangements, see "MD&A - Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements" in our 2015 Annual Report. See Note 3 for more information on our off-balance sheet securitization activities and other guarantees.

We have certain off-balance sheet arrangements related to our securitization activities involving guaranteed loans and mortgage-related securities, though most of our securitization activities are on-balance sheet. Our off-balance sheet arrangements related to these securitization activities primarily consist of K Certificates. We also have off-balance sheet arrangements related to certain other securitization products and other mortgage-related guarantees. Our maximum potential off-balance sheet exposure to credit losses relating to these securitization activities and guarantees is primarily represented by the UPB of the underlying loans and securities, which was \$136.3 billion and \$127.3 billion at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Forward-Looking
Statements

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We regularly communicate information concerning our business activities to investors, the news media, securities analysts, and others as part of our normal operations. Some of these communications, including this Form 10-Q, contain “forward-looking statements.” Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements pertaining to the conservatorship, our current expectations and objectives for the Single-family Guarantee, Multifamily, and Investments segments of our business, our efforts to assist the housing market, our liquidity and capital management, economic and market conditions and trends, our market share, the effect of legislative and regulatory developments and new accounting guidance, the credit quality of loans we own or guarantee, and our results of operations and financial condition on a GAAP, Segment Earnings and fair value basis. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control.

Forward-looking statements are often accompanied by, and identified with, terms such as “objective,” “expect,” “possible,” “trend,” “forecast,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “intend,” “could,” “future,” “may,” “will,” and similar phrases. These statements are not based on historical facts, but rather represent our expectations based on current information, plans, judgments, assumptions, estimates, and projections. Actual results may differ significantly from those described in or implied by such forward-looking statements due to various factors and uncertainties, including those described in the “RISK FACTORS” sections of this Form 10-Q and our 2015 Annual Report, and:

- The actions the U.S. government (including FHFA, Treasury, and Congress) may take, or require us to take, including to support the housing markets or to implement FHFA’s Conservatorship Scorecards and other objectives for us;
- The effect of the restrictions on our business due to the conservatorship and the Purchase Agreement, including our dividend obligation on the senior preferred stock;
- Our ability to maintain adequate liquidity to fund our operations;
 - Changes in our Charter or in applicable legislative or regulatory requirements (including any legislation affecting the future status of our company);
- Changes in the fiscal and monetary policies of the Federal Reserve, including any changes to its policy of maintaining sizable holdings of mortgage-related securities and any future sales of such securities;
- The success of our efforts to mitigate our losses on our Legacy single-family book and our investments in non-agency mortgage-related securities;
- The success of our strategy to transfer mortgage credit risk through STACR debt note, ACIS, K Certificate and other credit risk transfer transactions;
- Our ability to maintain the security of our operating systems and infrastructure (e.g., against cyberattacks);
- Changes in economic and market conditions, including changes in employment rates, interest rates, spreads, and home prices;
- Changes in the U.S. residential mortgage market, including changes in the supply and type of loan products (e.g., refinance versus purchase, and fixed-rate versus ARM);
- Our ability to effectively execute our business strategies, implement new initiatives, and improve efficiency;
- The adequacy of our risk management framework;
- Our ability to manage mortgage credit risks, including the effect of changes in underwriting and servicing practices;

Management's Discussion and Analysis Forward-Looking
Statements

• Our ability to limit or manage our exposure to interest-rate volatility and spread volatility, including the availability of derivative financial instruments needed for interest-rate risk management purposes;

• Changes or errors in the methodologies, models, assumptions, and estimates we use to prepare our financial statements, make business decisions, and manage risks;

• Changes in investor demand for our debt or mortgage-related securities (e.g., single-family PCs and multifamily K Certificates);

• Changes in the practices of loan originators, investors and other participants in the secondary mortgage market; and

• Other factors and assumptions described in this Form 10-Q and our 2015 Annual Report, including in the "MD&A" section.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements we make to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Form 10-Q.

Financial
Statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 59

Financial Statements Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

FREDDIE MAC

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (UNAUDITED)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|
| (in millions, except share-related amounts) | 2016 | 2015 |
| Interest income | | |
| Mortgage loans | \$15,818 | \$15,454 |
| Investments in securities | 969 | 1,335 |
| Other | 57 | 11 |
| Total interest income | 16,844 | 16,800 |
| Interest expense | (13,388) | (13,088) |
| Expense related to derivatives | (51) | (65) |
| Net interest income | 3,405 | 3,647 |
| Benefit (provision) for credit losses | 467 | 499 |
| Net interest income after benefit (provision) for credit losses | 3,872 | 4,146 |
| Non-interest income (loss) | | |
| Gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt | (55) | (79) |
| Derivative gains (losses) | (4,561) | (2,403) |
| Impairment of available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment of available-for-sale securities | (52) | (89) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment recognized in AOCI | (5) | (4) |
| Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings | (57) | (93) |
| Other gains (losses) on investment securities recognized in earnings | 303 | 417 |
| Other income (loss) | 947 | 11 |
| Non-interest income (loss) | (3,423) | (2,147) |
| Non-interest expense | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | (239) | (232) |
| Professional services | (101) | (113) |
| Occupancy expense | (13) | (12) |
| Other administrative expense | (95) | (94) |
| Total administrative expense | (448) | (451) |
| Real estate owned operations (expense) income | (84) | (75) |
| Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011 expense | (272) | (222) |
| Other (expense) income | (153) | (463) |
| Non-interest expense | (957) | (1,211) |
| (Loss) income before income tax benefit (expense) | (508) | 788 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 154 | (264) |
| Net (loss) income | (354) | 524 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes and reclassification adjustments: | | |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) related to available-for-sale securities | 119 | 157 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) related to cash flow hedge relationships | 34 | 59 |
| Changes in defined benefit plans | 1 | 6 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes and reclassification adjustments | 154 | 222 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$(200) | \$746 |
| Net (loss) income | \$(354) | \$524 |
| Undistributed net worth sweep and senior preferred stock dividends | — | (746) |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$(354) | \$(222) |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Net loss per common share — basic and diluted | \$ (0.11) | \$ (0.07) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (in millions) — basic and diluted | 3,234 | 3,236 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 60

Financial Statements Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

FREDDIE MAC

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|
| (in millions, except share-related amounts) | | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents (Note 12) | \$6,158 | \$5,595 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents (Notes 3, 12) | 16,671 | 14,533 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell (Notes 3, 8) | 40,098 | 63,644 |
| Investments in securities, at fair value (Note 5) | 107,595 | 114,215 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale (Notes 3, 4) (includes \$22,415 and \$17,660 at fair value) | 27,085 | 24,992 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-investment (Notes 3, 4) (net of allowance for loan losses of \$14,521 and \$15,331) | 1,735,548 | 1,729,201 |
| Accrued interest receivable (Note 3) | 6,091 | 6,074 |
| Derivative assets, net (Notes 7, 8) | 814 | 395 |
| Real estate owned, net (Note 3) | 1,571 | 1,725 |
| Deferred tax assets, net (Note 10) | 18,123 | 18,205 |
| Other assets (Notes 3, 16) | 9,346 | 7,313 |
| Total assets | \$1,969,100 | \$1,985,892 |
| Liabilities and equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Accrued interest payable (Note 3) | \$6,047 | \$6,183 |
| Debt, net (Notes 3, 6) (includes \$6,915 and \$7,184 at fair value) | 1,955,618 | 1,970,269 |
| Derivative liabilities, net (Notes 7, 8) | 1,632 | 1,254 |
| Other liabilities (Notes 3, 16) | 4,803 | 5,246 |
| Total liabilities | 1,968,100 | 1,982,952 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes 3, 7, and 14) | | |
| Equity (Note 9) | | |
| Senior preferred stock, at redemption value | 72,336 | 72,336 |
| Preferred stock, at redemption value | 14,109 | 14,109 |
| Common stock, \$0.00 par value, 4,000,000,000 shares authorized, 725,863,886 shares issued and 650,046,828 shares and 650,045,962 shares outstanding | — | — |
| Additional paid-in capital | — | — |
| Retained earnings (accumulated deficit) | (82,867) | (80,773) |
| AOCI, net of taxes, related to: | | |
| Available-for-sale securities (includes \$578 and \$778, related to net unrealized gains on securities for which other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized in earnings) | 1,859 | 1,740 |
| Cash flow hedge relationships | (587) | (621) |
| Defined benefit plans | 35 | 34 |
| Total AOCI, net of taxes | 1,307 | 1,153 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 75,817,058 shares and 75,817,924 shares | (3,885) | (3,885) |
| Total equity (See Note 9 for information on our dividend obligation to Treasury) | 1,000 | 2,940 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,969,100 | \$1,985,892 |

The table below presents the carrying value and classification of the assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs on our consolidated balance sheets.

March 31, December
31,

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| (in millions) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Line Item | | |
| Assets: (Note 3) | | |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale | \$277 | \$1,403 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-investment | 1,635,242 | 1,625,184 |
| All other assets | 42,819 | 37,305 |
| Total assets of consolidated VIEs | \$1,678,338 | \$1,663,892 |
| Liabilities: (Note 3) | | |
| Debt, net | \$1,568,183 | \$1,556,121 |
| All other liabilities | 4,761 | 4,769 |
| Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs | \$1,572,944 | \$1,560,890 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 61

Financial Statements Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

FREDDIE MAC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (UNAUDITED)

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | \$ (4,086) | \$ (3,507) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Purchases of trading securities | (8,104) | (13,898) |
| Proceeds from sales of trading securities | 3,234 | 2,863 |
| Proceeds from maturities of trading securities | 7,692 | 4,414 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (3,009) | (2,161) |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 2,404 | 4,134 |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities | 4,808 | 4,893 |
| Purchases of held-for-investment mortgage loans | (28,577) | (27,353) |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans held-for-investment | 832 | 406 |
| Repayments of mortgage loans held-for-investment | 64,343 | 74,167 |
| (Increase) decrease in restricted cash | (2,138) | (154) |
| Net proceeds from dispositions of real estate owned and other recoveries | 665 | 1,121 |
| Net (increase) decrease in securities purchased under agreements to resell | 23,546 | 4,737 |
| Derivative premiums and terminations and swap collateral, net | (4,094) | (1,481) |
| Changes in other assets | (3,652) | (1,076) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 57,950 | 50,612 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | 40,722 | 30,122 |
| Repayments and redemptions of debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | (65,494) | (73,600) |
| Proceeds from issuance of other debt | 145,003 | 103,119 |
| Repayments of other debt | (171,791) | (106,416) |
| Payment of cash dividends on senior preferred stock | (1,740) | (851) |
| Changes in other liabilities | (1) | — |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (53,301) | (47,626) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | 563 | (521) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 5,595 | 10,928 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 6,158 | \$ 10,407 |
| Supplemental cash flow information | | |
| Cash paid for: | | |
| Debt interest | \$ 15,438 | \$ 15,304 |
| Income taxes | 573 | 458 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities (Note 4) | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 1

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Freddie Mac is a GSE chartered by Congress in 1970. Our public mission is to provide liquidity, stability, and affordability to the U.S. housing market. We are regulated by FHFA, the SEC, HUD, and Treasury, and are currently operating under the conservatorship of FHFA. For more information on the roles of FHFA and Treasury, see Note 2 in this Form 10-Q and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, or 2015 Annual Report. Throughout our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes, we use certain acronyms and terms which are defined in the “GLOSSARY” of our 2015 Annual Report. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes in our 2015 Annual Report.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and include our accounts as well as the accounts of other entities in which we have a controlling financial interest. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

We are operating under the basis that we will realize assets and satisfy liabilities in the normal course of business as a going concern and in accordance with the delegation of authority from FHFA to our Board of Directors and management. Certain amounts in prior periods’ consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, have been recorded for a fair presentation of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. We evaluate the materiality of identified errors in the financial statements using both an income statement, or “rollover,” and a balance sheet, or “iron curtain,” approach, based on relevant quantitative and qualitative factors. Net income includes certain adjustments to correct immaterial errors related to previously reported periods.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues, expenses, gains, and losses during the reporting period. Management has made significant estimates in preparing the financial statements for establishing the allowance for loan losses and reserve for guarantee losses, and valuing financial instruments and other assets and liabilities. Actual results could be different from these estimates.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 1

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

Recently Adopted Accounting Guidance

| Standard | Description | Date of Adoption | Effect on Consolidated Financial Statements |
|--|---|------------------|--|
| ASU 2015-02, Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis (Topic 810) | The amendment affects reporting entities that are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. | January 1, 2016 | The adoption of this amendment did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. |
| ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs (Subtopic 835-30) | The amendment requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. | January 1, 2016 | Previously reported amounts have been conformed to the current presentation (see Notes 6 and 16). The effect of adoption as of January 1, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was a reduction to Other Assets and Debt, net of \$158 million. There were no effects on earnings resulting from this change. |

Recently Issued Accounting Guidance, Not Yet Adopted Within Our Consolidated Financial Statements

| Standard | Description | Date of Adoption | Effect on Consolidated Financial Statements |
|--|---|------------------|--|
| ASU 2016-06, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) | The amendment clarifies the requirements for assessing whether contingent call (put) options that can accelerate the payment of principal on debt instruments are clearly and closely related to their debt hosts. An entity performing the assessment under the amendment is required to assess the embedded call (put) options solely in accordance with the four-step decision sequence. | January 1, 2017 | We do not expect that the adoption of this amendment will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. |
| ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) | The amendment addresses the accounting for lease arrangements. | January 1, 2019 | We do not expect that the adoption of this amendment will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 2

NOTE 2: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS
BUSINESS OBJECTIVES

We operate under the conservatorship that commenced on September 6, 2008, conducting our business under the direction of FHFA, as our Conservator. The conservatorship and related matters significantly affect our management, business activities, financial condition and results of operations. Upon its appointment, FHFA, as Conservator, immediately succeeded to all rights, titles, powers and privileges of Freddie Mac, and of any stockholder, officer or director thereof, with respect to the company and its assets. The Conservator also succeeded to the title to all books, records, and assets of Freddie Mac held by any other legal custodian or third party. The Conservator delegated certain authority to the Board of Directors to oversee, and management to conduct, business operations so that the company can continue to operate in the ordinary course. The directors serve on behalf of, and exercise authority as directed by, the Conservator.

We are also subject to certain constraints on our business activities under the Purchase Agreement. However, we believe that the support provided by Treasury pursuant to the Purchase Agreement currently enables us to maintain our access to the debt markets and to have adequate liquidity to conduct our normal business activities, although the costs of our debt funding could vary. Our ability to access funds from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement is critical to keeping us solvent.

IMPACT OF CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED DEVELOPMENTS ON THE MORTGAGE-RELATED INVESTMENTS PORTFOLIO

For purposes of the limit imposed by the Purchase Agreement and FHFA regulation, the UPB of our mortgage-related investments portfolio cannot exceed \$339.3 billion at December 31, 2016 and was \$339.9 billion at March 31, 2016. Our Retained Portfolio Plan provides for us to manage the UPB of the mortgage-related investments portfolio so that it does not exceed 90% of the annual cap established by the Purchase Agreement (subject to certain exceptions). Our mortgage-related investments portfolio cap is reduced by 15% annually until it reaches \$250 billion. This amount is calculated based on the maximum allowable size of the mortgage-related investments portfolio, rather than the actual UPB of the mortgage-related investments portfolio, as of December 31 of the preceding year. Our ability to acquire and sell mortgage assets is significantly constrained by limitations of the Purchase Agreement and those imposed by FHFA.

GOVERNMENT SUPPORT FOR OUR BUSINESS

We receive substantial support from Treasury and are dependent upon its continued support in order to continue operating our business. Our ability to access funds from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement, is critical to:

• Keeping us solvent;

• Allowing us to focus on our primary business objectives under conservatorship; and

• Avoiding the appointment of a receiver by FHFA under statutory mandatory receivership provisions.

At December 31, 2015, our assets exceeded our liabilities under GAAP; therefore FHFA did not request a draw on our behalf and, as a result, we did not receive any funding from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement during the three months ended March 31, 2016. Since conservatorship began through

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 2

March 31, 2016, we have paid cash dividends of \$98.2 billion to Treasury at the direction of the Conservator. See Note 6 and Note 9 for more information on the conservatorship and the Purchase Agreement.

RELATED PARTIES AS A RESULT OF CONSERVATORSHIP

We are deemed related parties with Fannie Mae as both we and Fannie Mae have the same relationships with FHFA and Treasury. Common Securitization Solutions, LLC (CSS), was formed in 2013 as a limited liability company equally-owned by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. Therefore, CSS is also deemed a related party. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we contributed \$30 million of capital to CSS.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 3

NOTE 3: SECURITIZATION AND GUARANTEE ACTIVITIES

Our primary business activities in our Single-family Guarantee and Multifamily segments involve the securitization of loans or other mortgage-related assets using trusts that are VIEs. These trusts issue beneficial interests in the loans or other mortgage-related assets that they own. We guarantee the principal and interest payments on some or all of the issued beneficial interests in substantially all of our securitization transactions. We consolidate VIEs when we have a controlling financial interest in the VIE and are therefore considered the primary beneficiary of the VIE.

VIEs FOR WHICH WE ARE THE PRIMARY BENEFICIARY

The table below represents the carrying value and classification of the assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs on our consolidated balance sheets.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Line Item | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | \$16,316 | \$14,529 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 17,350 | 14,840 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale | 277 | 1,403 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-investment | 1,635,242 | 1,625,184 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 5,373 | 5,305 |
| Real estate owned, net | 37 | 40 |
| Other assets | 3,743 | 2,591 |
| Total assets of consolidated VIEs | \$1,678,338 | \$1,663,892 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Accrued interest payable | \$4,760 | \$4,763 |
| Debt, net | 1,568,183 | 1,556,121 |
| Other liabilities | 1 | 6 |
| Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs | \$1,572,944 | \$1,560,890 |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 3

VIEs FOR WHICH WE ARE NOT THE PRIMARY BENEFICIARY

Our involvement with VIEs for which we are not the primary beneficiary takes one or both of two forms - purchasing an investment in these entities or providing a guarantee to these entities. The following table presents the carrying amounts and classification of the assets and liabilities recorded on our consolidated balance sheets related to our variable interests in unconsolidated VIEs with which we were involved in the design and creation and have a significant continuing involvement, as well as our maximum exposure to loss.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Freddie Mac Securities | | |
| Assets and Liabilities Recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Investments in securities | \$49,046 | \$49,040 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 211 | 200 |
| Other assets | 1,371 | 1,232 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Other liabilities | (1,283) | (1,230) |
| Maximum Exposure to Loss | \$122,474 | \$114,193 |
| Total Assets of Non-Consolidated VIEs | \$144,497 | \$134,900 |

We also obtain interests in various other VIEs created by third parties through the normal course of business, such as through our investments in non-Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities, purchases of multifamily loans, guarantees of multifamily housing revenue bonds, as a derivative counterparty, or through other activities.

FINANCIAL GUARANTEES

The table below shows our maximum potential exposure, recognized liability, and maximum remaining term of our recognized financial guarantees to unconsolidated VIEs and other third parties. This table does not include our unrecognized financial guarantees, such as guarantees to consolidated VIEs or to securitization trusts that do not expose us to incremental credit risk.

| (dollars in millions, terms in years) | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|--|---------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------|
| | Maximum Exposure | Recognized Liability ⁽¹⁾ | Maximum Remaining Term | Maximum Exposure | Recognized Liability ⁽¹⁾ | Maximum Remaining Term |
| K Certificates and other securitization products | \$122,474 | \$ 1,195 | 39 | \$114,193 | \$ 1,136 | 40 |
| Other mortgage-related guarantees | 13,784 | 616 | 35 | 13,067 | 596 | 38 |
| Derivative instruments | 17,729 | 178 | 29 | 17,894 | 151 | 30 |

This amount excludes our reserve for guarantee losses, which totaled \$74 million and \$76 million as of March 31, (1)2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, and is included within other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

CREDIT ENHANCEMENTS

For many of the loans underlying our single-family PCs, other securitization products, and other mortgage-related guarantees, we obtained credit enhancements from third parties covering a portion of our credit risk exposure. See Note 4 for information about credit enhancements on loans.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 3

In connection with the securitization activities of the Multifamily segment, we have various forms of credit protection. The most prevalent type is subordination, primarily through our K Certificates. Through subordination, we mitigate our credit risk exposure by structuring our securities to sell the vast majority of expected credit losses to private investors who purchase the subordinate tranches, as shown in the table below.

| (in millions) | UPB at | | Maximum Coverage at | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
| K Certificates | \$ 109,109 | \$ 101,473 | \$ 19,696 | \$ 18,453 |
| Other securitization products | 7,835 | 7,026 | 1,632 | 1,477 |
| Total | \$ 116,944 | \$ 108,499 | \$ 21,328 | \$ 19,930 |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

NOTE 4: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVES

The table below provides details of the loans on our consolidated balance sheets.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | Held by Freddie Mac | Held by consolidated trusts | Total | Held by Freddie Mac | Held by consolidated trusts | Total |
| Held-for sale: | | | | | | |
| Single-family | \$4,343 | \$381 | \$4,724 | \$6,045 | \$1,702 | \$7,747 |
| Multifamily | 23,564 | — | 23,564 | 19,582 | — | 19,582 |
| Total UPB | 27,907 | 381 | 28,288 | 25,627 | 1,702 | 27,329 |
| Cost basis and fair value adjustments, net | (1,099) | (104) | (1,203) | (2,038) | (299) | (2,337) |
| Total held-for-sale loans | 26,808 | 277 | 27,085 | 23,589 | 1,403 | 24,992 |
| Held-for-investment: | | | | | | |
| Single-family | 87,527 | 1,607,282 | 1,694,809 | 90,532 | 1,597,590 | 1,688,122 |
| Multifamily | 27,818 | 1,690 | 29,508 | 29,505 | 1,711 | 31,216 |
| Total UPB | 115,345 | 1,608,972 | 1,724,317 | 120,037 | 1,599,301 | 1,719,338 |
| Cost basis adjustments | (3,338) | 29,090 | 25,752 | (3,465) | 28,659 | 25,194 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (11,701) | (2,820) | (14,521) | (12,555) | (2,776) | (15,331) |
| Total held-for-investment loans | 100,306 | 1,635,242 | 1,735,548 | 104,017 | 1,625,184 | 1,729,201 |
| Total loans, net | \$127,114 | \$1,635,519 | \$1,762,633 | \$127,606 | \$1,626,587 | \$1,754,193 |

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, we purchased \$68.2 billion and \$79.2 billion, respectively, in UPB of single-family loans and \$0.8 billion in UPB of multifamily loans during both periods that were classified as held-for-investment.

Our sales of multifamily loans occur primarily through the issuance of multifamily K Certificates. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, we sold \$10.8 billion and \$5.1 billion, respectively, of held-for-sale multifamily loans. See Note 3 for more information on our issuances of K Certificates.

As part of our strategy to mitigate losses and reduce our holdings of less liquid assets, we completed sales of \$0.8 billion and \$0.3 billion in UPB of seriously delinquent single-family loans during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

We reclassified \$0.4 billion and \$3.6 billion in UPB of seriously delinquent single-family loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively. For additional information regarding the fair value of our loans classified as held-for-sale, see Note 13.

CREDIT QUALITY

The current LTV ratio is one key factor we consider when estimating our loan loss reserves for single-family loans. As current LTV ratios increase, the borrower's equity in the home decreases, which negatively affects the borrower's ability to refinance (outside of HARP) or to sell the property for an amount at or above the balance of the outstanding loan. A second-lien loan also reduces the borrower's equity in the home, and has a similar negative effect on the borrower's ability to refinance or sell the property for an amount at or above the combined balances of the first and second loans. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, based on data collected by us at loan delivery, approximately 12% and 13%, respectively, of loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio had second-lien financing by

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

third parties at origination of the first loan. However, borrowers are free to obtain second-lien financing after origination, and we are not entitled to receive notification when a borrower does so. For further information about concentrations of risk associated with our single-family and multifamily loans, see Note 12.

For reporting purposes:

Loans within the Alt-A category continue to be presented in that category following modification, even though the borrower may have provided full documentation of assets and income to complete the modification; and

Loans within the option ARM category continue to be presented in that category following modification, even though the modified loan no longer provides for optional payment provisions.

The table below presents the recorded investment of single-family held-for-investment loans by current LTV ratios. Our current LTV ratios are estimates based on available data through the end of each respective period presented.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | Current LTV Ratio | | | | Current LTV Ratio | | | |
| | ≤ 80 | > 80 to 100 | > 100 ⁽¹⁾ | Total | ≤ 80 | > 80 to 100 | > 100 ⁽¹⁾ | Total |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate ⁽²⁾ | \$ 1,045,142 | \$ 234,710 | \$ 45,701 | \$ 1,325,553 | \$ 1,020,227 | \$ 242,948 | \$ 50,893 | \$ 1,314,068 |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate ⁽²⁾ | 271,010 | 11,380 | 1,499 | 283,889 | 271,456 | 12,400 | 1,754 | 285,610 |
| Adjustable-rate | 58,696 | 4,498 | 190 | 63,384 | 59,724 | 5,055 | 249 | 65,028 |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 27,742 | 12,469 | 7,539 | 47,750 | 27,014 | 13,124 | 8,485 | 48,623 |
| Total single-family loans | \$ 1,402,590 | \$ 263,057 | \$ 54,929 | \$ 1,720,576 | \$ 1,378,421 | \$ 273,527 | \$ 61,381 | \$ 1,713,329 |

(1) The serious delinquency rate for the total of single-family held-for-investment mortgage loans with current LTV ratios in excess of 100% was 6.01% and 6.03% as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

The majority of our loan modifications result in new terms that include fixed interest rates after modification. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we have categorized UPB of approximately \$37.2 billion and \$38.3 billion, respectively, of modified loans as fixed-rate loans (instead of as adjustable rate loans), even though the modified loans have rate adjustment provisions. In these cases, while the terms of the modified loans provide for the interest rate to adjust, such rates and the timing of adjustment are determined at the time of modification rather than at a subsequent date.

The following table presents the recorded investment in our multifamily held-for-investment loans, by credit quality indicator based on available data through the end of each period presented. These indicators involve significant management judgment.

| (in millions) | March 31, December | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2016 | 31, 2015 |
| Credit risk profile by internally assigned grade: ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Pass | \$ 28,233 | \$ 29,660 |
| Special mention | 879 | 1,135 |
| Substandard | 381 | 408 |
| Doubtful | — | — |
| Total | \$ 29,493 | \$ 31,203 |

(1) A loan categorized as: "Pass" is current and adequately protected by the current financial strength and debt service capacity of the borrower; "Special mention" has signs of potential financial weakness; "Substandard" has a weakness that jeopardizes the timely full repayment; and "Doubtful" has a weakness that makes collection or

liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable based on existing conditions.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

MORTGAGE LOAN PERFORMANCE

The following table presents the recorded investment of our single-family and multifamily loans, held-for-investment, by payment status.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | | Total | Non-accrual |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| | Current | One Month Past Due | Two Months Past Due | Three Months or More Past Due, or in Foreclosure ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | \$1,295,280 | \$13,937 | \$4,269 | \$ 12,067 | \$1,325,553 | \$ 12,065 |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 282,599 | 788 | 160 | 342 | 283,889 | 342 |
| Adjustable-rate | 62,757 | 312 | 83 | 232 | 63,384 | 232 |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 42,932 | 1,796 | 631 | 2,391 | 47,750 | 2,390 |
| Total single-family | 1,683,568 | 16,833 | 5,143 | 15,032 | 1,720,576 | 15,029 |
| Total multifamily | 29,493 | — | — | — | 29,493 | 120 |
| Total single-family and multifamily | \$1,713,061 | \$16,833 | \$5,143 | \$ 15,032 | \$1,750,069 | \$ 15,149 |
| | December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| (in millions) | Current | One Month Past Due | Two Months Past Due | Three Months or More Past Due, or in Foreclosure ⁽¹⁾ | Total | Non-accrual |
| Single-family: | | | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | \$1,280,247 | \$16,178 | \$5,037 | \$ 12,606 | \$1,314,068 | \$ 12,603 |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 284,137 | 935 | 183 | 355 | 285,610 | 355 |
| Adjustable-rate | 64,326 | 359 | 88 | 255 | 65,028 | 255 |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 43,543 | 1,962 | 714 | 2,404 | 48,623 | 2,403 |
| Total single-family | 1,672,253 | 19,434 | 6,022 | 15,620 | 1,713,329 | 15,616 |
| Total multifamily | 31,203 | — | — | — | 31,203 | 170 |
| Total single-family and multifamily | \$1,703,456 | \$19,434 | \$6,022 | \$ 15,620 | \$1,744,532 | \$ 15,786 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$7.0 billion of loans that were in the process of foreclosure as of both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

The table below summarizes the delinquency rates of loans within our single-family credit guarantee and multifamily mortgage portfolios.

| (dollars in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Single-family: ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Non-credit-enhanced portfolio | | |
| Serious delinquency rate | 1.20 % | 1.30 % |
| Total number of seriously delinquent loans | 95,941 | 105,071 |
| Credit-enhanced portfolio: ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Primary mortgage insurance: | | |
| Serious delinquency rate | 1.78 % | 2.06 % |
| Total number of seriously delinquent loans | 24,290 | 27,813 |
| Other credit protection: ⁽³⁾ | | |
| Serious delinquency rate | 0.49 % | 0.58 % |
| Total number of seriously delinquent loans | 8,888 | 9,422 |
| Total single-family: | | |
| Serious delinquency rate | 1.20 % | 1.32 % |
| Total number of seriously delinquent loans | 128,044 | 141,255 |
| Multifamily: ⁽⁴⁾ | | |
| Non-credit-enhanced portfolio: | | |
| Delinquency rate | 0.03 % | 0.03 % |
| UPB of delinquent loans | \$ 19 | \$ 19 |
| Credit-enhanced portfolio: | | |
| Delinquency rate | 0.04 % | 0.02 % |
| UPB of delinquent loans | \$ 48 | \$ 20 |
| Total Multifamily: | | |
| Delinquency rate | 0.04 % | 0.02 % |
| UPB of delinquent loans | \$ 67 | \$ 39 |

(1) Serious delinquencies on single-family loans underlying certain REMICs, other securitization products, and other mortgage-related guarantees may be reported on a different schedule due to variances in industry practice.

(2) The credit enhanced categories are not mutually exclusive as a single loan may be covered by both primary mortgage insurance and other credit protection.

(3) Consists of single-family loans covered by financial arrangements (other than primary mortgage insurance) that are designed to reduce our credit risk exposure. See "Credit Protection and Other Forms of Credit Enhancement" for more information.

(4) Multifamily delinquency performance is based on UPB of loans that are two monthly payments or more past due or those in the process of foreclosure.

LOAN LOSS RESERVES

The loan loss reserves represent estimates of probable incurred credit losses. We recognize probable incurred losses by recording a charge to the provision for credit losses in our consolidated statements of comprehensive income. The loan loss reserves include:

-

Our allowance for loan losses, which pertains to all single-family and multifamily loans classified as held-for-investment on our consolidated balance sheets; and

• Our reserve for guarantee losses, which pertains to single-family and multifamily loans underlying our K Certificates, other securitization products, and other mortgage-related guarantees.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

The table below presents our loan loss reserves activity.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | | | 2015 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | Allowance for Loan Losses Held by Freddie Mac | Held By Consolidated Trusts | Reserve for Guarantee Losses | Total | Allowance for Loan Losses Held by Freddie Mac | Held By Consolidated Trusts | Reserve for Guarantee Losses | Total |
| Single-family: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$12,516 | \$ 2,775 | \$ 57 | \$15,348 | \$18,800 | \$ 2,884 | \$ 109 | \$21,793 |
| Provision (benefit) for credit losses | (435) | (29) | 2 | (462) | (469) | (25) | (2) | (496) |
| Charge-offs | (499) | (68) | (2) | (569) | (2,781) | (168) | (2) | (2,951) |
| Recoveries | 126 | 2 | — | 128 | 169 | 5 | — | 174 |
| Transfers, net ⁽¹⁾ | (41) | 139 | — | 98 | 301 | (142) | — | 159 |
| Ending balance | \$11,667 | \$ 2,819 | \$ 57 | \$14,543 | \$16,020 | \$ 2,554 | \$ 105 | \$18,679 |
| Multifamily ending balance | \$34 | \$ 1 | \$ 17 | \$52 | \$74 | \$ — | \$ 17 | \$91 |
| Total ending balance | \$11,701 | \$ 2,820 | \$ 74 | \$14,595 | \$16,094 | \$ 2,554 | \$ 122 | \$18,770 |

Consists of approximately \$0.1 billion during both the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015 attributable to capitalization of past due interest on modified loans. Also includes amounts associated with (1) reclassified single-family reserves related to our removal of loans previously held by consolidated trusts, net of reclassifications for single-family loans subsequently resecuritized after such removal.

The allowance for loan losses associated with our held-for-investment unsecuritized loans represented approximately 10.4% and 10.8% of the recorded investment in such loans at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, and a substantial portion of the allowance associated with these loans represented interest rate concessions provided to borrowers as part of loan modifications. The allowance for loan losses associated with loans held by our consolidated trusts represented approximately 0.2% of the recorded investment in such loans as of both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

The table below presents the volume of single-family and multifamily loans that were newly classified as TDRs during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, based on the original category of the loan before the loan was classified as a TDR. Loans classified as a TDR in one period may be subject to further action (such as a modification or remodification) in a subsequent period. In such cases, the subsequent action would not be reflected in the table below since the loan would already have been classified as a TDR.

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | 2015 | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Number of Loans | Post-TDR Recorded Investment | Number of Loans | Post-TDR Recorded Investment |
| Single-family: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | 10,332 | \$ 1,456 | 13,293 | \$ 1,919 |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 1,318 | 94 | 1,652 | 123 |
| Adjustable-rate | 274 | 40 | 405 | 57 |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 919 | 169 | 1,388 | 269 |
| Total single-family | 12,843 | 1,759 | 16,738 | 2,368 |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| Multifamily | 2 | 8 | — | — |
| Total | 12,845 | \$ 1,767 | 16,738 | \$ 2,368 |

(1) The pre-TDR recorded investment for single-family loans initially classified as TDR during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015 was \$1.8 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 74

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

The table below presents the volume of our TDR modifications that experienced payment defaults (i.e., loans that became two months delinquent or completed a loss event) during the applicable periods and had completed a modification during the year preceding the payment default. The table presents loans based on their original product category before modification.

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | 2016 | | 2015 | |
| | Number of Loans | Post-TDR Recorded Investment | Number of Loans | Post-TDR Recorded Investment |
| Single-family: | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | 3,992 | \$ 634 | 4,307 | \$ 754 |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 233 | 18 | 206 | 18 |
| Adjustable-rate | 73 | 11 | 68 | 12 |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 459 | 98 | 514 | 122 |
| Total single-family | 4,757 | \$ 761 | 5,095 | \$ 906 |
| Multifamily | — | \$ — | — | \$ — |

In addition to modifications, loans may be initially classified as TDRs as a result of other loss mitigation activities (i.e., repayment plans, forbearance agreements, or trial period modifications). During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, 2,216 and 2,488, respectively, of such loans (with a post-TDR recorded investment of \$259 million and \$346 million, respectively) experienced a payment default within a year after the loss mitigation activity occurred.

Loans may also be initially classified as TDRs because the borrowers' debts were discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy (and the loan was not already classified as a TDR for other reasons). During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, 336 and 695, respectively, of such loans (with a post-TDR recorded investment of \$40 million and \$94 million, respectively) experienced a payment default within a year after the borrowers' Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

Single-Family TDRs

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, approximately 41% of completed single-family loan modifications that were classified as TDRs involved interest rate reductions and, in certain cases, term extensions and approximately 16% involved principal forbearance in addition to interest rate reductions and, in certain cases, term extensions.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the average term extension was 181 months, and the average interest rate reduction was 0.8% on completed single-family loan modifications classified as TDRs.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

Impaired Loans

The tables below present the UPB, recorded investment, related allowance for loan losses, average recorded investment and interest income recognized for individually impaired loans.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|---|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | UPB | Recorded Investment | Associated Allowance | UPB | Recorded Investment | Associated Allowance |
| Single-family — | | | | | | |
| With no specific allowance recorded: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | \$ 5,324 | \$ 4,000 | N/A | \$ 4,957 | \$ 3,724 | N/A |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 42 | 35 | N/A | 45 | 38 | N/A |
| Adjustable-rate | 223 | 220 | N/A | 194 | 191 | N/A |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 1,574 | 1,213 | N/A | 1,370 | 1,033 | N/A |
| Total with no specific allowance recorded | 7,163 | 5,468 | N/A | 6,566 | 4,986 | N/A |
| With specific allowance recorded: ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | 72,302 | 70,708 | \$(10,667) | 72,886 | 71,215 | \$(11,245) |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 957 | 961 | (23) | 975 | 978 | (21) |
| Adjustable-rate | 478 | 470 | (27) | 518 | 510 | (28) |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 14,390 | 13,755 | (2,598) | 14,409 | 13,839 | (2,725) |
| Total with specific allowance recorded | 88,127 | 85,894 | (13,315) | 88,788 | 86,542 | (14,019) |
| Combined single-family: | | | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | 77,626 | 74,708 | (10,667) | 77,843 | 74,939 | (11,245) |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 999 | 996 | (23) | 1,020 | 1,016 | (21) |
| Adjustable-rate | 701 | 690 | (27) | 712 | 701 | (28) |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 15,964 | 14,968 | (2,598) | 15,779 | 14,872 | (2,725) |
| Total single-family | \$95,290 | \$ 91,362 | \$(13,315) | \$95,354 | \$ 91,528 | \$(14,019) |
| Multifamily — | | | | | | |
| With no specific allowance recorded: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| With specific allowance recorded | 157 | 148 | \$(19) | 149 | 142 | \$(21) |
| Total multifamily | \$434 | \$ 418 | \$(19) | \$490 | \$ 475 | \$(21) |
| Total single-family and multifamily | \$95,724 | \$ 91,780 | \$(13,334) | \$95,844 | \$ 92,003 | \$(14,040) |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

| (in millions) | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|---|
| | Average Interest Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Interest Income Recognized On Cash Basis ⁽³⁾ | Average Interest Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Interest Income Recognized On Cash Basis ⁽³⁾ |
| Single-family — | | | | | | |
| With no specific allowance recorded: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | \$4,015 | \$ 102 | \$ 2 | \$3,012 | \$ 88 | \$ 2 |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 37 | 1 | — | 44 | 2 | — |
| Adjustable rate | 222 | 2 | — | 33 | 1 | — |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 1,195 | 25 | 1 | 683 | 18 | — |
| Total with no specific allowance recorded | 5,469 | 130 | 3 | 3,772 | 109 | 2 |
| With specific allowance recorded: ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | 70,731 | 685 | 74 | 76,264 | 632 | 81 |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 942 | 12 | 2 | 1,147 | 13 | 3 |
| Adjustable rate | 461 | 5 | 1 | 788 | 4 | 1 |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 13,673 | 124 | 10 | 16,128 | 101 | 13 |
| Total with specific allowance recorded | 85,807 | 826 | 87 | 94,327 | 750 | 98 |
| Combined single-family: | | | | | | |
| 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate | 74,746 | 787 | 76 | 79,276 | 720 | 83 |
| 15-year amortizing fixed-rate | 979 | 13 | 2 | 1,191 | 15 | 3 |
| Adjustable rate | 683 | 7 | 1 | 821 | 5 | 1 |
| Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM | 14,868 | 149 | 11 | 16,811 | 119 | 13 |
| Total single-family | \$91,276 | \$ 956 | \$ 90 | \$98,099 | \$ 859 | \$ 100 |
| Multifamily — | | | | | | |
| With no specific allowance recorded: ⁽¹⁾ | \$271 | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | \$518 | \$ 6 | \$ 2 |
| With specific allowance recorded | 148 | 2 | 1 | 374 | 4 | 2 |
| Total multifamily | \$419 | \$ 5 | \$ 2 | \$892 | \$ 10 | \$ 4 |
| Total single-family and multifamily | \$91,695 | \$ 961 | \$ 92 | \$98,991 | \$ 869 | \$ 104 |

Individually impaired loans with no specific related valuation allowance primarily represent those loans for which the collateral value is sufficiently in excess of the loan balance to result in recovery of the entire recorded investment if the property were foreclosed upon or otherwise subject to disposition.

(1) Consists primarily of loans classified as TDRs.

(2) Consists of income recognized during the period related to loans on non-accrual status.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

The table below presents our allowance for loan losses and our recorded investment in loans, held-for-investment, by impairment evaluation methodology.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|--|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Single-family | Multifamily | Total | Single-family | Multifamily | Total |
| Recorded investment: | | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated | \$ 1,629,214 | \$ 29,075 | \$ 1,658,289 | \$ 1,621,801 | \$ 30,728 | \$ 1,652,529 |
| Individually evaluated | 91,362 | 418 | 91,780 | 91,528 | 475 | 92,003 |
| Total recorded investment | 1,720,576 | 29,493 | 1,750,069 | 1,713,329 | 31,203 | 1,744,532 |
| Ending balance of the allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated | (1,171) | (16) | (1,187) | (1,273) | (18) | (1,291) |
| Individually evaluated | (13,315) | (19) | (13,334) | (14,019) | (21) | (14,040) |
| Total ending balance of the allowance | (14,486) | (35) | (14,521) | (15,292) | (39) | (15,331) |
| Net investment in loans | \$ 1,706,090 | \$ 29,458 | \$ 1,735,548 | \$ 1,698,037 | \$ 31,164 | \$ 1,729,201 |

CREDIT PROTECTION AND OTHER FORMS OF CREDIT ENHANCEMENT

In connection with many of our single-family loans and other mortgage-related guarantees, we have various forms of credit protection.

The table below presents the UPB of single-family loans on our consolidated balance sheets or underlying certain of our financial guarantees with credit protection and the maximum amounts of potential loss recovery by type of credit protection.

| (in millions) | UPB ⁽¹⁾ at | | Maximum Coverage ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ at | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
| Primary mortgage insurance | \$ 261,242 | \$ 257,063 | \$ 66,899 | \$ 65,760 |
| STACR debt note and ACIS transactions ⁽³⁾ | 271,291 | 241,450 | 16,842 | 14,916 |
| Lender recourse and indemnifications | 6,178 | 6,339 | 5,243 | 5,396 |
| Pool insurance ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,633 | 1,706 | 720 | 753 |
| HFA indemnification | 2,536 | 2,599 | 2,536 | 2,599 |
| Subordination | 2,920 | 3,021 | 319 | 336 |
| Other credit enhancements | 15 | 15 | 9 | 10 |
| Total | \$ 545,815 | \$ 512,193 | \$ 92,568 | \$ 89,770 |

Except for the majority of our single-family credit risk transfer transactions, our credit enhancements generally provide protection for the first, or initial, credit losses associated with the related loans. Excludes: (a) FHA/VA and other governmental loans; (b) credit protection associated with \$8.0 billion and \$8.3 billion in UPB of single-family loans underlying other securitization products as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015,

(1) respectively, as the information was not available; and (c) repurchase rights (subject to certain conditions and limitations) we have under representations and warranties provided by our agreements with seller/servicers to underwrite loans and service them in accordance with our standards. The UPB of single-family loans covered by insurance or partial guarantees issued by federal agencies (such as FHA, VA and USDA) was \$3.1 billion and \$3.2 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

(2)

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

Except for subordination, this represents the remaining amount of loss recovery that is available subject to terms of counterparty agreements. For subordination, this represents the UPB of the securities that are subordinate to our guarantee, which could provide protection by absorbing first losses.

(3) Excludes \$100.8 billion and \$87.4 billion in UPB at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, where the related loans are also covered by primary mortgage insurance. Maximum coverage amounts presented represent the outstanding balance of STACR debt notes held by third parties as well as the remaining aggregate limit of insurance purchased from third parties in ACIS transactions.

(4) Excludes approximately \$0.5 billion and \$0.6 billion in UPB at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, where the related loans are also covered by primary mortgage insurance.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 4

Primary mortgage insurance and credit risk transfer transactions are the most prevalent types of credit enhancement protecting our single-family credit guarantee portfolio. Pool insurance contracts provide insurance on a group of mortgage loans up to a stated aggregate loss limit. We have not purchased pool insurance on single-family mortgage loans since March 2008. For information about counterparty risk associated with mortgage insurers, see Note 12. Our credit risk transfer transactions provide credit enhancement by transferring a portion of credit losses on single-family mortgage loans to third-party investors, insurers, and selected sellers. The value of these transactions to us is dependent on various economic scenarios, and we will primarily benefit from these transactions if we experience significant mortgage loan defaults.

NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, we acquired \$42.5 billion and \$55.1 billion, respectively, of loans held-for-investment in exchange for the issuance of debt securities of consolidated trusts in guarantor swap transactions. The guarantor swap transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015 included approximately \$3.8 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively, of loans received from sellers to satisfy advances that were recorded in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets.

In addition, we acquired REO properties as a result of the derecognition of loans held on our consolidated balance sheets upon foreclosure of the underlying collateral or by deed in lieu of foreclosure. These acquisitions represent non-cash transfers. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, we had transfers of \$0.4 billion, and \$0.6 billion, respectively, from loans to REO.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 5

NOTE 5: INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES

The table below summarizes the carrying value of our investments in securities by classification.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Trading securities | \$36,471 | \$39,278 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 71,124 | 74,937 |
| Total | \$107,595 | \$114,215 |

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we did not classify any securities as held-to-maturity, although we may elect to do so in the future.

TRADING SECURITIES

The table below presents the estimated fair values of our trading securities by major security type. Our non-mortgage-related securities consist of Treasury securities.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Mortgage-related securities: | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$14,771 | \$15,513 |
| Fannie Mae | 6,182 | 6,438 |
| Ginnie Mae | 144 | 30 |
| Other | 136 | 146 |
| Total mortgage-related securities | 21,233 | 22,127 |
| Non-mortgage-related securities | 15,238 | 17,151 |
| Total fair value of trading securities | \$36,471 | \$39,278 |

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, we recorded net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities held at those dates of \$197 million and \$46 million, respectively.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 5

AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES

At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, all available-for-sale securities were mortgage-related securities. The table below presents the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value by major security type for our securities classified as available-for-sale.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | Gross Unrealized Losses | | Fair Value |
|--|----------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Other-Than-Temporary Impairment ⁽¹⁾ | Temporary Impairment ⁽²⁾ | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$32,955 | \$ 1,363 | \$ — | \$ (43) | \$34,275 |
| Fannie Mae | 6,616 | 276 | — | (45) | 6,847 |
| Ginnie Mae | 143 | 12 | — | — | 155 |
| CMBS | 9,618 | 485 | (13) | (23) | 10,067 |
| Subprime | 11,814 | 519 | (327) | (59) | 11,947 |
| Option ARM | 3,159 | 238 | (67) | (5) | 3,325 |
| Alt-A and other | 2,489 | 465 | (8) | (6) | 2,940 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 996 | 17 | — | (1) | 1,012 |
| Manufactured housing | 474 | 83 | (1) | — | 556 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$68,264 | \$ 3,458 | \$ (416) | \$ (182) | \$71,124 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| (in millions) | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Other-Than-Temporary Impairment ⁽¹⁾ | Temporary Impairment ⁽²⁾ | Fair Value |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$32,684 | \$ 942 | \$ — | \$ (99) | \$33,527 |
| Fannie Mae | 7,033 | 265 | — | (36) | 7,262 |
| Ginnie Mae | 150 | 12 | — | — | 162 |
| CMBS | 12,009 | 450 | (2) | (9) | 12,448 |
| Subprime | 12,499 | 653 | (295) | (55) | 12,802 |
| Option ARM | 3,423 | 317 | (56) | (6) | 3,678 |
| Alt-A and other | 2,788 | 506 | (11) | (5) | 3,278 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 1,187 | 19 | — | (1) | 1,205 |
| Manufactured housing | 488 | 87 | — | — | 575 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$72,261 | \$ 3,251 | \$ (364) | \$ (211) | \$74,937 |

(1) Represents the gross unrealized losses for securities for which we have previously recognized other-than-temporary impairments in earnings.

(2) Represents the gross unrealized losses for securities for which we have not previously recognized other-than-temporary impairments in earnings.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 5

Available-For-Sale Securities in a Gross Unrealized Loss Position

The table below presents available-for-sale securities in a gross unrealized loss position and whether such securities have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months, or 12 months or greater.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Greater | |
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$3,300 | \$ (26) | \$1,430 | \$ (17) |
| Fannie Mae | 2,286 | (27) | 1,220 | (18) |
| Ginnie Mae | — | — | 53 | — |
| CMBS | 175 | (23) | 144 | (13) |
| Subprime | 1,766 | (30) | 3,669 | (356) |
| Option ARM | 419 | (22) | 555 | (50) |
| Alt-A and other | 152 | (3) | 219 | (11) |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 4 | — | 8 | (1) |
| Manufactured housing | — | — | 14 | (1) |
| Total available-for-sale securities in a gross unrealized loss position | \$8,102 | \$ (131) | \$7,312 | \$ (467) |

| (in millions) | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Greater | |
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$8,171 | \$ (64) | \$1,224 | \$ (35) |
| Fannie Mae | 2,402 | (24) | 1,337 | (12) |
| Ginnie Mae | — | — | 55 | — |
| CMBS | 396 | (9) | 160 | (2) |
| Subprime | 719 | (21) | 3,923 | (329) |
| Option ARM | 349 | (8) | 579 | (54) |
| Alt-A and other | 108 | (1) | 265 | (15) |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 18 | — | 8 | (1) |
| Manufactured housing | — | — | 14 | — |
| Total available-for-sale securities in a gross unrealized loss position | \$12,163 | \$ (127) | \$7,565 | \$ (448) |

At March 31, 2016, the gross unrealized losses relate to 387 individual lots representing 345 separate securities.

Impairment Recognition on Investments in Securities

For our available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position at March 31, 2016, we have asserted that we have no intent to sell and believe it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 5

Non-Agency Mortgage-Related Securities Backed by Subprime, Option ARM, Alt-A and Other Loans

The table below presents the modeled attributes for the related collateral that are used to determine whether our interests in certain available-for-sale non-agency mortgage-related securities will experience a cash shortfall.

| (dollars in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | |
|---|----------------|------------|---------|---|
| | Subprime | Option ARM | Alt-A | |
| UPB | \$16,462 | \$4,922 | \$2,376 | |
| Weighted average collateral defaults | 42 | % 26 | % 22 | % |
| Weighted average collateral severities | 63 | % 56 | % 45 | % |
| Weighted average voluntary prepayment rates | 3 | % 11 | % 11 | % |
| Average security credit enhancements | 5 | % (2) | % — | % |

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Available-for-Sale Securities

The table below summarizes the net impairment on available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings. The other impairment amount relates to increases in our estimate of the present value of expected future credit losses for certain securities.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | 2015 | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------|------|--|
| Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings | | | | |
| Intent to sell | | \$52 | \$89 | |
| Other | | 5 | 4 | |
| Total net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings | | \$57 | \$93 | |

The following table is a rollforward of the amount of credit-related other-than-temporary impairment that has been recognized in earnings for available-for-sale securities that we continue to hold.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | 2015 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|--|
| Credit-related other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings: | | | | |
| Beginning balance — remaining credit losses on available-for-sale securities where other-than-temporary impairments were recognized in earnings | | \$5,306 | \$6,798 | |
| Additions: | | | | |
| Amounts related to credit losses on securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized | | — | — | |
| Amounts related to credit losses on securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized | | 5 | 4 | |
| Reductions: | | | | |
| Amounts related to securities which were sold, written off, or matured | | (55) | (52) | |
| Amounts related to securities which we intend to sell or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell before recovery of amortized cost basis | | (636) | (380) | |
| Amounts related to amortization resulting from significant increases in cash flows expected to be collected and/or due to the passage of time that are recognized over the remaining life of the security | | (69) | (89) | |
| | | \$4,551 | \$6,281 | |

Ending balance — remaining credit losses on available-for-sale securities where other-than-temporary impairments were recognized in earnings

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 83

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 5

Realized Gains and Losses on Sales of Available-For-Sale Securities

The table below summarizes the gross realized gains and gross realized losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Gross realized gains | \$80 | \$367 |
| Gross realized losses | (8) | (5) |
| Net realized gains (losses) | \$72 | \$362 |

Maturities of Available-For-Sale Securities

The table below presents the remaining contractual maturities of available-for-sale securities by security type.
As of March 31, 2016

| (dollars in millions) | Total Amortized Cost | Total Fair Value | One Year or Less | | After One Year Through Five Years | | After Five Years Through Ten Years | | After Ten Years | |
|--|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| | | | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$32,955 | \$34,275 | \$19 | \$19 | \$1 | \$1 | \$1,692 | \$1,684 | \$31,243 | \$32,571 |
| Fannie Mae | 6,616 | 6,847 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 12 | 72 | 81 | 6,530 | 6,751 |
| Ginnie Mae | 143 | 155 | — | — | 1 | 1 | 21 | 24 | 121 | 130 |
| CMBS | 9,618 | 10,067 | 140 | 142 | — | — | 17 | 17 | 9,461 | 9,908 |
| Subprime | 11,814 | 11,947 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 11,814 | 11,947 |
| Option ARM | 3,159 | 3,325 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 3,159 | 3,325 |
| Alt-A and other | 2,489 | 2,940 | — | — | 13 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 2,470 | 2,920 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 996 | 1,012 | 10 | 11 | 23 | 24 | 75 | 78 | 888 | 899 |
| Manufactured housing | 474 | 556 | — | — | — | — | 7 | 9 | 467 | 547 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$68,264 | \$71,124 | \$172 | \$175 | \$49 | \$51 | \$1,890 | \$1,900 | \$66,153 | \$68,998 |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 6

NOTE 6: DEBT SECURITIES AND SUBORDINATED BORROWINGS

On January 1, 2016, we adopted the accounting guidance for the presentation of debt issuance costs as a basis adjustment to the debt. Previously reported amounts have been revised to conform to the current presentation. Debt securities that we issue are classified as either debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties or other debt. We issue other debt to fund our operations. The table below summarizes the interest expense per our consolidated statements of comprehensive income and the balances of total debt, net per our consolidated balance sheets.

| (in millions) | Balance, Net | | Interest Expense for the Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|--|----------|
| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | \$1,568,183 | \$1,556,121 | \$11,791 | \$11,487 |
| Other debt: | | | | |
| Short-term debt | 85,128 | 113,569 | 93 | 38 |
| Long-term debt | 302,307 | 300,579 | 1,504 | 1,563 |
| Total other debt | 387,435 | 414,148 | 1,597 | 1,601 |
| Total debt, net | \$1,955,618 | \$1,970,269 | \$13,388 | \$13,088 |

Our debt cap under the Purchase Agreement is \$479.0 billion in 2016 and will decline to \$407.2 billion on January 1, 2017. As of March 31, 2016, our aggregate indebtedness for purposes of the debt cap was \$391.3 billion. Our aggregate indebtedness is calculated as the par value of other short- and long-term debt.

DEBT SECURITIES OF CONSOLIDATED TRUSTS HELD BY THIRD PARTIES

The table below summarizes the debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties based on underlying loan product type.

| (dollars in million) | March 31, 2016 | | | | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|
| | Contractual Maturity | UPB | Carrying Amount | Weighted Average Coupon ⁽¹⁾ | Contractual Maturity | UPB | Carrying Amount | Weighted Average Coupon ⁽¹⁾ |
| Single-family: | | | | | | | | |
| 30-year or more, fixed-rate ⁽²⁾ | 2016 - 2053 | \$1,107,363 | \$1,141,139 | 3.85 % | 2016 - 2053 | \$1,090,584 | \$1,123,290 | 3.88 % |
| 20-year fixed-rate | 2016 - 2036 | 74,054 | 76,302 | 3.58 | 2016 - 2036 | 73,018 | 75,221 | 3.61 |
| 15-year fixed-rate | 2016 - 2031 | 266,078 | 272,450 | 2.99 | 2016 - 2031 | 270,036 | 276,531 | 3.01 |
| Adjustable-rate | 2016 - 2047 | 60,613 | 61,973 | 2.62 | 2016 - 2047 | 62,496 | 63,899 | 2.61 |
| Interest-only | 2026 - 2041 | 13,482 | 13,542 | 3.20 | 2026 - 2041 | 14,252 | 14,317 | 3.16 |
| FHA/VA | 2016 - 2044 | 944 | 962 | 5.35 | 2016 - 2044 | 986 | 1,005 | 5.37 |
| Total single-family | | 1,522,534 | 1,566,368 | | | 1,511,372 | 1,554,263 | |
| Multifamily ⁽²⁾ | 2017 - 2028 | 1,690 | 1,815 | 4.91 | 2017 - 2028 | 1,717 | 1,858 | 4.90 |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | \$1,524,224 | \$1,568,183 | \$1,513,089 | \$1,556,121 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|

(1) The effective rate for debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties was 3.05% and 3.06% as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 85

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 6

(2) Carrying amount includes securities recorded at fair value.

Other Debt

The table below summarizes the balances and effective interest rates for other debt. We had no balances of securities sold under agreements to repurchase at either March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

| (dollars in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|---|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Par Value | Carrying Amount ⁽¹⁾ | Weighted Average Effective Rate | Par Value | Carrying Amount ⁽¹⁾ | Weighted Average Effective Rate |
| Other short-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Discount notes and Reference Bills [®] | \$75,659 | \$75,583 | 0.42 % | \$104,088 | \$104,024 | 0.28 % |
| Medium-term notes | 9,545 | 9,545 | 0.20 | 9,545 | 9,545 | 0.20 |
| Total other short-term debt | \$85,204 | \$85,128 | 0.40 | \$113,633 | \$113,569 | 0.28 |
| Other long-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Original maturities on or before December 31, | | | | | | |
| 2016 | \$49,654 | \$49,675 | 2.17 % | \$58,765 | \$58,821 | 2.13 % |
| 2017 | 95,586 | 95,660 | 1.44 | 91,544 | 91,636 | 1.48 |
| 2018 | 54,118 | 54,149 | 1.42 | 48,189 | 48,187 | 1.52 |
| 2019 | 39,455 | 39,361 | 1.73 | 31,352 | 31,259 | 1.84 |
| 2020 | 16,732 | 16,705 | 1.88 | 26,697 | 26,664 | 1.96 |
| Thereafter | 50,573 | 46,757 | 3.70 | 47,841 | 44,012 | 3.72 |
| Total other long-term debt ⁽²⁾ | 306,118 | 302,307 | 1.97 | 304,388 | 300,579 | 2.02 |
| Total other debt | \$391,322 | \$387,435 | | \$418,021 | \$414,148 | |

Represents par value, net of associated discounts or premiums, and hedge-related basis adjustments. Includes \$6.8 (1) billion and \$7.0 billion at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, of other long-term debt that represents the fair value of debt securities with the fair value option elected.

Balance, net for other long-term debt includes callable debt of \$107.9 billion and \$106.9 billion at March 31, 2016 (2) and December 31, 2015, respectively, which gives us the option to call or not call debt for a variety of reasons that include managing the composition of liabilities or economic reasons.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 7

NOTE 7: DERIVATIVES

At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we did not have any derivatives in hedge accounting relationships; however, there are amounts recorded in AOCI related to discontinued cash flow hedges which are recognized in earnings when the originally forecasted transactions affect earnings. Amounts reclassified from AOCI linked to interest payments on other debt are recorded in other debt interest expense and amounts not linked to interest payments on other debt are recorded in expense related to derivatives. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, we reclassified from AOCI into earnings, losses of \$51 million and \$65 million, respectively, related to closed cash flow hedges. See Note 9 for information about future reclassifications of deferred net losses related to closed cash flow hedges to net income.

USE OF DERIVATIVES

We use derivatives primarily to hedge interest-rate sensitivity mismatches between our financial assets and liabilities. We analyze the interest-rate sensitivity of financial assets and liabilities on a daily basis across a variety of interest-rate scenarios based on market prices, models and economics. When we use derivatives to mitigate our exposures, we consider a number of factors, including cost, exposure to counterparty risk, and our overall risk management strategy.

We classify derivatives into three categories:

Exchange-traded derivatives;

Cleared derivatives; and

OTC derivatives.

Exchange-traded derivatives include standardized interest-rate futures contracts and options on futures contracts. Cleared derivatives refer to those interest-rate swaps that the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission has determined are subject to the central clearing requirement of the Dodd-Frank Act. OTC derivatives refer to those derivatives that are neither exchange-traded derivatives nor cleared derivatives.

TYPES OF DERIVATIVES

We principally use the following types of derivatives:

LIBOR-based interest-rate swaps;

LIBOR- and Treasury-based options (including swaptions); and

LIBOR- and Treasury-based exchange-traded futures.

In addition to swaps, futures, and purchased options, our derivative positions include written options and swaptions, commitments, and credit derivatives.

For a discussion of significant accounting policies related to derivatives, see Note 8 in our 2015 Annual Report.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 7

DERIVATIVE ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AT FAIR VALUE

The table below presents the notional value and fair value of derivatives reported on our consolidated balance sheets.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|---|--|-------------|
| | Notional or Contractual Amount | Derivatives at Fair Value Assets | Liabilities | Notional or Contractual Amount | Derivatives at Fair Value Assets | Liabilities |
| Total derivative portfolio | | | | | | |
| Interest-rate swaps: | | | | | | |
| Receive-fixed | \$263,757 | \$7,282 | \$(58) | \$209,988 | \$4,591 | \$(486) |
| Pay-fixed | 219,907 | 12 | (19,991) | 218,599 | 319 | (11,736) |
| Basis (floating to floating) | 1,125 | 1 | — | 1,125 | 1 | — |
| Total interest-rate swaps | 484,789 | 7,295 | (20,049) | 429,712 | 4,911 | (12,222) |
| Option-based: | | | | | | |
| Call swaptions | | | | | | |
| Purchased | 59,230 | 5,288 | — | 57,925 | 3,450 | — |
| Written | 4,375 | — | (151) | 4,375 | — | (100) |
| Put Swaptions | | | | | | |
| Purchased | 29,080 | 424 | — | 24,050 | 580 | — |
| Written | 11,025 | — | (5) | 11,025 | — | (28) |
| Other option-based derivatives ⁽¹⁾ | 14,096 | 949 | — | 12,088 | 791 | — |
| Total option-based | 117,806 | 6,661 | (156) | 109,463 | 4,821 | (128) |
| Futures | 69,739 | — | — | 56,332 | — | — |
| Commitments | 58,008 | 126 | (174) | 29,114 | 34 | (28) |
| Credit derivatives | 3,743 | 22 | (7) | 3,899 | 25 | (10) |
| Other | 3,013 | — | (23) | 3,033 | — | (23) |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | 737,098 | 14,104 | (20,409) | 631,553 | 9,791 | (12,411) |
| Derivative interest receivable (payable) | | 1,164 | (1,617) | | 814 | (1,393) |
| Netting adjustments ⁽²⁾ | | (14,454) | 20,394 | | (10,210) | 12,550 |
| Total derivative portfolio, net | \$737,098 | \$814 | \$(1,632) | \$631,553 | \$395 | \$(1,254) |

(1) Primarily consists of purchased interest-rate caps and floors.

(2) Represents counterparty netting and cash collateral netting. Cash collateral amounts were a net \$5.9 billion and \$2.3 billion at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

See Note 8 for information related to our derivative counterparties and collateral held and posted.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 7

GAINS AND LOSSES ON DERIVATIVES

The table below presents the gains and losses on derivatives, including the accrual of periodic cash settlements, reported in our consolidated statements of comprehensive income as derivative gains (losses).

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Interest-rate swaps: | | |
| Receive-fixed | \$2,944 | \$1,317 |
| Pay-fixed | (8,635) | (3,978) |
| Basis (floating to floating) | 1 | — |
| Total interest-rate swaps | (5,690) | (2,661) |
| Option based: | | |
| Call swaptions | | |
| Purchased | 2,099 | 1,015 |
| Written | (71) | (29) |
| Put swaptions | | |
| Purchased | (278) | (66) |
| Written | 38 | 15 |
| Other option-based derivatives ⁽¹⁾ | 147 | 81 |
| Total option-based | 1,935 | 1,016 |
| Other: | | |
| Futures | (181) | (40) |
| Commitments | (126) | (111) |
| Credit derivatives | (8) | (37) |
| Other | (1) | 1 |
| Total other | (316) | (187) |
| Accrual of periodic cash settlements: | | |
| Receive-fixed interest-rate swaps | 617 | 680 |
| Pay-fixed interest-rate swaps | (1,107) | (1,251) |
| Total accrual of periodic cash settlements | (490) | (571) |
| Total | \$(4,561) | \$(2,403) |

(1) Primarily consists of purchased interest-rate caps and floors.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 8

NOTE 8: COLLATERALIZED AGREEMENTS AND OFFSETTING ARRANGEMENTS
DERIVATIVE PORTFOLIO

Derivative Counterparties

Our use of cleared derivatives, exchange-traded derivatives, and OTC derivatives exposes us to institutional credit risk. For additional information, see Note 9 in our 2015 Annual Report.

Our use of interest rate swaps and option-based derivatives is subject to internal credit and legal reviews. On an ongoing basis, we review the credit fundamentals of all of our derivative counterparties, clearinghouses, and clearing members to confirm that they continue to meet our internal risk management standards.

Master Netting and Collateral Agreements

We use master netting and collateral agreements to reduce our credit risk exposure to our derivative counterparties for interest-rate swap and option-based derivatives. At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, all amounts of cash collateral related to derivatives with master netting and collateral agreements were offset against derivative assets, net or derivative liabilities, net, as applicable.

In the event that all of our counterparties for OTC interest-rate swaps and option-based derivatives were to have defaulted simultaneously on March 31, 2016, our maximum loss for accounting purposes after applying netting agreements and collateral on an individual counterparty basis would have been approximately \$205 million. A significant majority of our net uncollateralized exposure to OTC derivative counterparties is concentrated among two counterparties, both of which were investment grade as of March 31, 2016.

Exposure to Certain Counterparties

The total exposure on our forward purchase and sale commitments, which are treated as derivatives, was \$126 million and \$34 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Many of our transactions involving forward purchase and sale commitments of mortgage-related securities, including our dollar roll transactions, utilize the Mortgage Backed Securities Division of the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“MBSD/FICC”) as a clearinghouse. As a clearing member of the clearinghouse, we post margin to the MBSD/FICC and are exposed to the institutional credit risk of the organization (including its clearing members).

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 90

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 8

OFFSETTING OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The table below displays information related to derivatives and securities purchased under agreements to resell on our consolidated balance sheets.

| | | March 31, 2016 | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|---|------------|--|
| (in millions) | Gross Amount Recognized | Amount Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets | Net Amount Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets ⁽¹⁾ | Gross Amount Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets ⁽²⁾ | Net Amount | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Derivatives: | | | | | | |
| OTC interest-rate swaps and option-based derivatives | \$11,672 | \$ (11,031) | \$ 641 | \$ (436) | \$ 205 | |
| Cleared and exchange-traded derivatives | 3,448 | (3,423) | 25 | — | 25 | |
| Other | 148 | — | 148 | — | 148 | |
| Total derivatives | 15,268 | (14,454) | 814 | (436) | 378 | |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 40,098 | — | 40,098 | (40,098) | — | |
| Total | \$55,366 | \$ (14,454) | \$ 40,912 | \$ (40,534) | \$ 378 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Derivatives: | | | | | | |
| OTC interest-rate swaps and option-based derivatives | \$(11,819) | \$ 10,594 | \$ (1,225) | \$ 1,084 | \$(141) | |
| Cleared and exchange-traded derivatives | (10,003) | 9,800 | (203) | — | (203) | |
| Other | (204) | — | (204) | — | (204) | |
| Total | \$(22,026) | \$ 20,394 | \$ (1,632) | \$ 1,084 | \$(548) | |
| | | December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| (in millions) | Gross Amount Recognized | Amount Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets | Net Amount Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets ⁽¹⁾ | Gross Amount Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets ⁽²⁾ | Net Amount | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Derivatives: | | | | | | |
| OTC interest-rate swaps and option-based derivatives | \$8,763 | \$ (8,433) | \$ 330 | \$ (269) | \$ 61 | |
| Cleared and exchange-traded derivatives | 1,783 | (1,777) | 6 | — | 6 | |
| Other | 59 | — | 59 | — | 59 | |
| Total derivatives | 10,605 | (10,210) | 395 | (269) | 126 | |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 63,644 | — | 63,644 | (63,644) | — | |
| Total | \$74,249 | \$ (10,210) | \$ 64,039 | \$ (63,913) | \$ 126 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Derivatives: | | | | | | |
| OTC interest-rate swaps and option-based derivatives | \$(8,886) | \$ 7,801 | \$ (1,085) | \$ 948 | \$(137) | |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-------------|--------|----------|
| Cleared and exchange-traded derivatives | (4,857) | 4,749 | (108) | — | (108) |
| Other | (61) | — | (61) | — | (61) |
| Total | \$(13,804) | \$ 12,550 | \$ (1,254) | \$ 948 | \$(306) |

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 91

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 8

(1) For derivatives, includes cash collateral posted or held in excess of exposure.

Does not include the fair value amount of non-cash collateral posted or held that exceeds the associated net asset or liability presented on the consolidated balance sheets. For cleared and exchange-traded derivatives, does not include non-cash collateral posted by us with an aggregate fair value of \$2.6 billion and \$2.8 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

COLLATERAL PLEDGED

Collateral Pledged to Freddie Mac

We have cash and cash equivalents pledged to us as collateral related to OTC derivative transactions. A portion of these cash and cash equivalent collateral amounts have been re-invested by us in securities purchased under agreements to resell and non-mortgage-related securities. The table below shows the line item presentation of these funds received and those re-invested by us on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | \$349 | \$ 175 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 582 | 905 |
| Investments in securities - Trading securities | 1,075 | 447 |
| Total | \$2,006 | \$ 1,527 |

At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had \$436 million and \$269 million, respectively, of collateral in the form of securities pledged to and held by us related to OTC derivative instruments. Although it is our practice not to repledge assets held as collateral, a portion of the collateral may be repledged based on master netting agreements related to our derivative instruments. In addition, we had \$40 million and \$22 million of cash pledged to us related to cleared derivatives at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Also, at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had \$0.4 billion and \$0.7 billion, respectively, of securities pledged to us for transactions involving securities purchased under agreements to resell that we had the right to repledge. From time to time we may obtain pledges of collateral from certain seller/servicers as additional security for certain of their obligations to us, including their obligations to repurchase loans sold to us in breach of representations and warranties. This collateral may, at our discretion, take the form of cash, cash equivalents, or agency securities.

Collateral Pledged by Freddie Mac

The aggregate fair value of all OTC derivative instruments that were in a liability position on March 31, 2016, was \$2.8 billion for which we posted cash and non-cash collateral of \$2.6 billion in the normal course of business. A reduction in our credit ratings may trigger additional collateral requirements related to our OTC derivative instruments. If a reduction in our credit ratings had triggered additional collateral requirements related to our OTC derivative instruments on March 31, 2016, we would have been required to post an additional \$0.2 billion of collateral to our counterparties. A reduction in our credit ratings could also cause the clearinghouses or clearing members we use for our cleared and exchange-traded derivatives to demand additional collateral.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 8

The table below summarizes all securities pledged as collateral by us for derivatives and securities transactions where the secured party may repledge.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Securities pledged with the ability for the secured party to repledge: | | |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,711 | \$ 1,293 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 175 | — |
| Trading securities | 1,995 | 2,487 |
| Total securities pledged | \$3,881 | \$ 3,780 |

Represents PCs held by us in our Investments segment mortgage investments portfolio and pledged as collateral (1) which are recorded as a reduction to debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties on our consolidated balance sheets.

Cash Pledged

At March 31, 2016, we pledged \$8.2 billion of collateral in the form of cash and cash equivalents, of which \$1.6 billion related to our OTC derivative agreements as we had \$2.8 billion of such derivatives in a net loss position. The remaining \$6.6 billion was posted at clearing members or clearinghouses in connection with derivatives and securities transactions at March 31, 2016.

At December 31, 2015, we pledged \$4.0 billion of collateral in the form of cash and cash equivalents, of which \$0.9 billion related to our OTC derivative agreements as we had \$1.9 billion of such derivatives in a net loss position. The remaining \$3.1 billion was posted at clearing members or clearinghouses in connection with derivatives and securities transactions at December 31, 2015.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 9

NOTE 9: STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND EARNINGS PER SHARE
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The table below presents changes in AOCI after the effects of our 35% federal statutory tax rate related to available-for-sale securities, closed cash flow hedges, and our defined benefit plans.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------|
| | AOCI Related to Available-For-Sale Securities | AOCI Related to Cash Flow Hedge Relationships | AOCI Related to Defined Benefit Plans | Total |
| Beginning balance | \$1,740 | \$ (621) | \$ 34 | \$1,153 |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications ⁽¹⁾ | 129 | — | 2 | 131 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (10) | 34 | (1) | 23 |
| Changes in AOCI by component | 119 | 34 | 1 | 154 |
| Ending balance | \$1,859 | \$ (587) | \$ 35 | \$1,307 |

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------|
| | AOCI Related to Available-For-Sale Securities | AOCI Related to Cash Flow Hedge Relationships | AOCI Related to Defined Benefit Plans | Total |
| Beginning balance | \$2,546 | \$ (803) | \$ (13) | \$1,730 |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications ⁽¹⁾ | 331 | — | 6 | 337 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (174) | 59 | — | (115) |
| Changes in AOCI by component | 157 | 59 | 6 | 222 |
| Ending balance | \$2,703 | \$ (744) | \$ (7) | \$1,952 |

⁽¹⁾ For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, net of tax expense of \$0.1 billion and \$0.2 billion, respectively, for AOCI related to available-for-sale securities.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 9

Reclassifications from AOCI to Net Income

The table below presents reclassifications from AOCI to net income, including the affected line item in our consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

| Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income |
|--|------------------------------|-------|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 | |
| AOCI related to available-for-sale securities | \$72 | \$362 | Other gains (losses) on investment securities recognized in earnings |
| | (57) | (93) | Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings |
| | 15 | 269 | Total before tax |
| | (5) | (95) | Tax (expense) or benefit |
| | 10 | 174 | Net of tax |
| AOCI related to cash flow hedge relationships | — | — | Interest expense — Other debt |
| | (51) | (65) | Expense related to derivatives |
| | (51) | (65) | Total before tax |
| | 17 | 6 | Tax (expense) or benefit |
| | (34) | (59) | Net of tax |
| AOCI related to defined benefit plans | 1 | — | Salaries and employee benefits |
| | — | — | Tax (expense) or benefit |
| | 1 | — | Net of tax |
| Total reclassifications in the period | \$(23) | \$115 | Net of tax |

Future Reclassifications from AOCI to Net Income Related to Closed Cash Flow Hedges

The total AOCI related to derivatives designated as cash flow hedges was a loss of \$0.6 billion and \$0.7 billion at March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively, composed of deferred net losses on closed cash flow hedges. Closed cash flow hedges involve derivatives that have been terminated or are no longer designated as cash flow hedges. Fluctuations in prevailing market interest rates have no effect on the deferred portion of AOCI relating to losses on closed cash flow hedges.

The previously deferred amount related to closed cash flow hedges remains in our AOCI balance and will be recognized into earnings over the expected time period for which the forecasted transactions affect earnings, unless it is deemed probable that the forecasted transactions will not occur. Over the next 12 months, we estimate that approximately \$136 million, net of taxes, of the \$0.6 billion of cash flow hedge losses in AOCI at March 31, 2016 will be reclassified into earnings. The maximum remaining length of time over which we have hedged the exposure related to the variability in future cash flows on forecasted transactions, primarily forecasted debt issuances, is 18 years.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 9

SENIOR PREFERRED STOCK

At March 31, 2016, our assets exceeded our liabilities under GAAP; therefore no draw is being requested from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement. Based on our Net Worth Amount at March 31, 2016 and the Capital Reserve Amount of \$1.2 billion in 2016, we do not have a dividend obligation to Treasury for the first quarter of 2016. See Note 2 for additional information. The aggregate liquidation preference on the senior preferred stock owned by Treasury was \$72.3 billion as of both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

STOCK ISSUANCES AND REPURCHASES

We did not repurchase or issue any of our common shares or non-cumulative preferred stock during the three months ended March 31, 2016, except for issuances of treasury stock relating to stock-based compensation granted prior to conservatorship.

EARNINGS PER SHARE

We have participating securities related to options and restricted stock units with dividend equivalent rights that receive dividends as declared on an equal basis with common shares but are not obligated to participate in undistributed net losses. These participating securities consist of:

- Vested options to purchase common stock; and
- Vested restricted stock units that earn dividend equivalents at the same rate when and as declared on common stock. Consequently, in accordance with accounting guidance, we use the “two-class” method of computing earnings per common share. The “two-class” method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for common stock and participating securities based on dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings.

Basic earnings per common share is computed as net income attributable to common stockholders divided by the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period. The weighted average common shares outstanding for the period includes the weighted average number of shares that are associated with the warrant for our common stock issued to Treasury pursuant to the Purchase Agreement. These shares are included since the warrant is unconditionally exercisable by the holder at a minimal cost.

Diluted earnings per common share is computed as net income attributable to common stockholders divided by the weighted average common shares outstanding during the period adjusted for the dilutive effect of common equivalent shares outstanding. For periods with net income attributable to common stockholders, the calculation includes the effect of the following common stock equivalent shares outstanding:

- Weighted average shares related to stock options if the average market price during the period exceeds the exercise price; and
- The weighted-average of restricted stock units.

During periods in which a net loss attributable to common stockholders has been incurred, potential

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 9

common equivalent shares outstanding are not included in the calculation because it would have an antidilutive effect. For purposes of the earnings-per-share calculation, all stock options outstanding at March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015 were out of the money and excluded from the computation of dilutive potential common shares during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

DIVIDENDS DECLARED

No common dividends were declared during the three months ended March 31, 2016. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 we paid dividends of \$1.7 billion in cash on the senior preferred stock at the direction of our Conservator. We did not declare or pay dividends on any other series of Freddie Mac preferred stock outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 10

NOTE 10: INCOME TAXES
INCOME TAX BENEFIT (EXPENSE)

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, we reported an income tax benefit(expense) of \$154 million and \$(264) million, respectively, resulting in effective tax rates of 30.3% and 33.5%, respectively. Our effective tax rate differed from the statutory rate of 35% in these periods primarily due to our recognition of low income housing tax credits.

Deferred Tax Assets, Net

We had net deferred tax assets of \$18.1 billion and \$18.2 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. At March 31, 2016, our net deferred tax assets consisted primarily of basis differences related to derivative instruments and deferred fees.

Based on all positive and negative evidence available at March 31, 2016, we determined that it is more likely than not that our net deferred tax assets will be realized. Therefore, a valuation allowance was not necessary.

UNRECOGNIZED TAX BENEFITS

We evaluated all income tax positions and determined that there were no uncertain tax positions that required reserves as of March 31, 2016.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 98

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 11

NOTE 11: SEGMENT REPORTING

We have three reportable segments, which are based on the type of business activities each performs - Single-family Guarantee, Multifamily, and Investments. The chart below provides a summary of our three reportable segments and the All Other category. For more information, see our 2015 Annual Report.

| Segment | Description | Financial Performance Measurement Basis |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| Single-family Guarantee | The Single-family Guarantee segment reflects results from our purchase, securitization, and guarantee of single-family loans and the management of single-family mortgage credit risk. | Contribution to GAAP net income (loss) |
| Multifamily | The Multifamily segment reflects results from our purchase, investment, securitization, and guarantee activities in multifamily loans and securities, and the management of multifamily mortgage credit risk. | Contribution to GAAP comprehensive income (loss) |
| Investments | The Investments segment reflects results from managing the company's mortgage-related investments portfolio (excluding Multifamily segment investments and single-family seriously delinquent loans), treasury function, and interest-rate risk. | Contribution to GAAP comprehensive income (loss) |
| All Other | The All Other category consists of material corporate-level activities that are infrequent in nature and based on decisions outside the control of the management of our reportable segments. | N/A |

Segment Earnings

We present Segment Earnings by reclassifying certain credit guarantee-related activities and investment-related activities between various line items on our GAAP consolidated statements of comprehensive income and allocating certain revenues and expenses, including certain returns on assets and funding costs, and all administrative expenses to our three reportable segments.

We do not consider our assets by segment when evaluating segment performance or allocating resources. We operate our business in the United States and its territories, and accordingly, we generate no revenue from and have no long-lived assets, other than financial instruments, in geographic locations other than the United States and its territories.

We evaluate segment performance and allocate resources based on a Segment Earnings approach, subject to the conduct of our business under the direction of the Conservator. See Note 2 for information about the conservatorship. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we changed how we calculate certain components of our Segment Earnings for our Single-family Guarantee and Investments segments. The purpose of these changes is to simplify Segment Earnings results relative to GAAP results, as well as to reduce operational complexity. Prior period results have been revised to conform to the current period presentation. Changes include:

The discontinuation of adjustments to net interest income and management and guarantee fee income which reflected the amortization of cash premiums and discounts on the consolidated Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities we purchased as investments, as well as the amortization of certain guarantee buy-up and buy-down fees and credit delivery fees on mortgage loans we purchased. The discontinuation of the adjustments resulted in an increase to net interest income for the Investments segment of \$181 million and a decrease to management and guarantee fee income for the Single-

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 11

family Guarantee segment of \$66 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 to align with the current presentation.

When we securitize loans into PCs, the premiums and discounts on the loans were previously amortized in net interest income. This amortization will now be reflected in other non-interest income, consistent with the amortization of the premiums and discounts on the securitized PCs themselves. We reclassified \$348 million of expense from net interest income into other non-interest income for the Investments segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 to align with the current presentation.

Impacts from the reclassification of mortgage loans from held-for-investment to held-for-sale will be reflected in aggregate as other non-interest income. We reclassified \$692 million of benefit from (provision) benefit for credit losses and \$360 million of expense from other non-interest expense into other non-interest income for the Single-family Guarantee segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 to align with the current presentation. The table below presents Segment Earnings by segment.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Segment Earnings (loss), net of taxes: | | |
| Single-family Guarantee | \$810 | \$60 |
| Multifamily | 147 | 284 |
| Investments | (1,311) | 180 |
| All Other | — | — |
| Total Segment Earnings, net of taxes | (354) | 524 |
| Net income | \$(354) | \$524 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) of segments: | | |
| Single-family Guarantee | \$811 | \$59 |
| Multifamily | 150 | 264 |
| Investments | (1,161) | 416 |
| All Other | — | 7 |
| Comprehensive income of segments | (200) | 746 |
| Comprehensive income | \$(200) | \$746 |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 11

The tables below present detailed reconciliations between our GAAP financial statements and Segment Earnings for our reportable segments and All Other.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | | | | | Total per Consolidated Statements Comprehensive Income |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | Single-family Guarantee | Multifamily | Investments | All Other | Total Segment Earnings (Loss) | Reclassifications | |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$(118) | \$ 252 | \$ 748 | \$ — | \$ 882 | \$ 2,523 | \$ 3,405 |
| Management and guarantee fee income ⁽¹⁾ | 1,285 | 108 | — | — | 1,393 | (1,283) | 110 |
| Benefit for credit losses | 289 | 5 | — | — | 294 | 173 | 467 |
| Net interest income and management and guarantee income after benefit (provision) for credit losses | 1,456 | 365 | 748 | — | 2,569 | 1,413 | 3,982 |
| Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings | — | — | 81 | — | 81 | (138) | (57) |
| Derivative gains (losses) | (8) | (787) | (2,995) | — | (3,790) | (771) | (4,561) |
| Gains (losses) on trading securities | — | 62 | 169 | — | 231 | — | 231 |
| Gains (losses) on mortgage loans | — | 497 | — | — | 497 | (19) | 478 |
| Other non-interest income (loss) | 195 | 178 | 189 | — | 562 | (186) | 376 |
| Administrative expenses | (295) | (80) | (73) | — | (448) | — | (448) |
| REO operations income (expense) | (84) | — | — | — | (84) | — | (84) |
| Other non-interest expense | (100) | (24) | (2) | — | (126) | (299) | (425) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (354) | (64) | 572 | — | 154 | — | 154 |
| Net income (loss) | 810 | 147 | (1,311) | — | (354) | — | (354) |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) related to available-for-sale securities | — | 3 | 116 | — | 119 | — | 119 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) related to cash flow hedge relationships | — | — | 34 | — | 34 | — | 34 |
| Changes in defined benefit plans | 1 | — | — | — | 1 | — | 1 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | 1 | 3 | 150 | — | 154 | — | 154 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$811 | \$ 150 | \$(1,161) | \$ — | \$(200) | \$ — | \$ (200) |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 11

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 | | | | | | Total per Consolidated Statements Comprehensive Income |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | Single-family Guarantee | Multifamily | Investments | All Other | Total Segment Earnings (Loss) | Reclassifications | |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$(137) | \$ 242 | \$ 1,155 | \$ — | \$ 1,260 | \$ 2,387 | \$ 3,647 |
| Management and guarantee fee income ⁽¹⁾ | 1,257 | 73 | — | — | 1,330 | (1,242) |) 88 |
| (Provision) benefit for credit losses | (380) |) 3 | — | — | (377) |) 876 | 499 |
| Net interest income and management and guarantee income after benefit (provision) for credit losses | 740 | 318 | 1,155 | — | 2,213 | 2,021 | 4,234 |
| Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings | — | (17) |) 118 | — | 101 | (194) |) (93) |
| Derivative gains (losses) | (37) |) (199) |) (1,428) | — | (1,664) |) (739) |) (2,403) |
| Gains (losses) on trading securities | — | 10 | 45 | — | 55 | — | 55 |
| Gains (losses) on mortgage loans | — | 353 | — | — | 353 | (553) |) (200) |
| Other non-interest income (loss) | (146) |) 44 | 461 | — | 359 | 47 | 406 |
| Administrative expenses | (300) |) (70) |) (81) | — | (451) |) — | (451) |
| REO operations income (expense) | (75) |) — | — | — | (75) |) — | (75) |
| Other non-interest expense | (92) |) (11) |) — | — | (103) |) (582) |) (685) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (30) |) (144) |) (90) | — | (264) |) — | (264) |
| Net income | 60 | 284 | 180 | — | 524 | — | 524 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) related to available-for-sale securities | — | (20) |) 177 | — | 157 | — | 157 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) related to cash flow hedge relationships | — | — | 59 | — | 59 | — | 59 |
| Changes in defined benefit plans | (1) |) — | — | 7 | 6 | — | 6 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (1) |) (20) |) 236 | 7 | 222 | — | 222 |
| Comprehensive income | \$59 | \$ 264 | \$ 416 | \$ 7 | \$ 746 | \$ — | \$ 746 |

(1) Management and guarantee fee income is included in other income (loss) on our GAAP consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 12

NOTE 12: CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS
SINGLE-FAMILY CREDIT GUARANTEE PORTFOLIO

The table below summarizes the concentration by book and geographic area of the approximately \$1.7 trillion UPB of our single-family credit guarantee portfolio at both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. See Note 4 and Note 5 for more information about credit risk associated with loans and mortgage-related securities that we hold or guarantee.

| | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | | Percent of Credit Losses Three Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| | Percent of Portfolio | Serious Delinquency Rate | Percent of Portfolio | Serious Delinquency Rate | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | |
| Book of Business | | | | | | | |
| Core single-family book | 68 % | 0.19 % | 66 % | 0.21 % | 6 % | 2 % | % |
| HARP and other relief refinance book | 17 % | 0.69 % | 18 % | 0.72 % | 15 % | 5 % | |
| Legacy single-family book | 15 % | 3.86 % | 16 % | 4.12 % | 79 % | 93 % | |
| Total | 100 % | 1.20 % | 100 % | 1.32 % | 100 % | 100 % | |
| Region⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | | |
| West | 30 % | 0.73 % | 29 % | 0.79 % | 12 % | 12 % | % |
| Northeast | 25 % | 1.84 % | 26 % | 2.04 % | 37 % | 47 % | |
| North Central | 17 % | 1.03 % | 17 % | 1.13 % | 25 % | 13 % | |
| Southeast | 16 % | 1.41 % | 16 % | 1.57 % | 21 % | 25 % | |
| Southwest | 12 % | 0.81 % | 12 % | 0.88 % | 5 % | 3 % | |
| Total | 100 % | 1.20 % | 100 % | 1.32 % | 100 % | 100 % | |
| State⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | |
| Illinois | 5 % | 1.48 % | 5 % | 1.62 % | 10 % | 7 % | % |
| Florida | 5 % | 1.90 % | 5 % | 2.16 % | 10 % | 20 % | |
| New York | 5 % | 2.64 % | 5 % | 2.94 % | 10 % | 15 % | |
| New Jersey | 4 % | 3.42 % | 4 % | 3.90 % | 9 % | 18 % | |
| California | 18 % | 0.56 % | 18 % | 0.60 % | 6 % | 4 % | |
| All other | 63 % | 1.03 % | 63 % | 1.12 % | 55 % | 36 % | |
| Total | 100 % | 1.20 % | 100 % | 1.32 % | 100 % | 100 % | |

Region designation: West (AK, AZ, CA, GU, HI, ID, MT, NV, OR, UT, WA); Northeast (CT, DE, DC, MA, ME, (1)MD, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT, VA, WV); North Central (IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, ND, OH, SD, WI); Southeast (AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, PR, SC, TN, VI); Southwest (AR, CO, KS, LA, MO, NE, NM, OK, TX, WY).

States presented based on those with the highest percentage of credit losses during the three months ended (2) March 31, 2016.

CREDIT PERFORMANCE OF CERTAIN HIGHER RISK SINGLE-FAMILY LOAN CATEGORIES

Participants in the mortgage market often characterize single-family loans based upon their overall credit quality at the time of origination, generally considering them to be prime or subprime. Many mortgage market participants classify

single-family loans with credit characteristics that range between their prime and subprime categories as Alt-A. Although we discontinued new purchases of loans with lower documentation standards beginning March 1, 2009, we continued to purchase certain amounts of these loans in cases where the loan was either:

- Purchased pursuant to a previously issued other mortgage-related guarantee;

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 12

Part of our relief refinance initiative; or

In another refinance loan initiative and the pre-existing loan (including Alt-A loans) was originated under less than full documentation standards.

In the event we purchase a refinance loan and the original loan had been previously identified as Alt-A, such refinance loan may no longer be categorized or reported as Alt-A in the table below because the new refinance loan replacing the original loan would not be identified by the seller/servicer as an Alt-A loan. As a result, our reported Alt-A balances may be lower than would otherwise be the case had such refinancing not occurred.

Although we do not categorize single-family loans we purchase or guarantee as prime or subprime, we recognize that there are a number of loan types with certain characteristics that indicate a higher degree of credit risk.

For example, a borrower's credit score is a useful measure for assessing the credit quality of the borrower. Statistically, borrowers with higher credit scores are more likely to repay or have the ability to refinance than those with lower scores.

Presented below is a summary of the serious delinquency rates of certain higher-risk categories (based on characteristics of the loan at origination) of loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio. The table includes a presentation of each higher-risk category in isolation. A single loan may fall within more than one category (for example, an interest-only loan may also have an original LTV ratio greater than 90%). Loans with a combination of these attributes will have an even higher risk of delinquency than those with an individual attribute.

| (Percentage of portfolio based on UPB) | Percentage of Portfolio ⁽¹⁾ | | Serious Delinquency Rate ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|---|--|-------------------|--|
| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
| Interest-only | 1 % | 1 % | 5.55 % | | 6.02 % | |
| Alt-A | 2 % | 2 % | 6.01 % | | 6.32 % | |
| Original LTV ratio greater than 90% ⁽²⁾ | 16 % | 16 % | 1.81 % | | 2.01 % | |
| Lower credit scores at origination (less than 620) | 2 % | 2 % | 6.17 % | | 6.67 % | |

(1) Excludes loans underlying certain other securitization products for which data was not available.

(2) Includes HARP loans, which we purchase as part of our participation in the MHA Program.

SELLERS AND SERVICERS

We acquire a significant portion of our single-family and multifamily loan purchase volume from several large sellers. The table below summarizes the concentration of single-family and multifamily sellers who provided 10% or more of our purchase volume.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 12

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | March 31, 2015 | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|--|
| Single-family Seller | | | | |
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 13% | 10% | | |
| Other top 10 sellers | 35 | 41 | | |
| Top 10 single-family sellers | 48% | 51% | | |
| Multifamily Seller | | | | |
| Berkadia Commercial Mortgage LLC | 26% | 6% | | |
| CBRE Capital Markets, Inc. | 19 | 18 | | |
| Walker & Dunlop, LLC | 14 | 17 | | |
| Other top 10 sellers | 29 | 43 | | |
| Top 10 multifamily sellers | 88% | 84% | | |

In recent years, there has been a shift in our purchase volume from depository institutions to non-depository and smaller depository financial institutions. Some of these non-depository sellers have grown rapidly in recent years, and we purchase a significant share of our loans from them. Our top three non-depository sellers provided approximately 12% of our single-family purchase volume during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Significant portions of our single-family and multifamily loans are serviced by several large servicers. The table below summarizes the concentration of single-family and multifamily servicers who serviced 10% or more of our single-family credit guarantee portfolio and our multifamily mortgage portfolio, excluding loans underlying K Certificates.

| | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----|----------------------|--|
| Single-family Servicer | | | | |
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 20% | 20% | | |
| JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. | 10 | 10 | | |
| Other top 10 servicers | 34 | 35 | | |
| Top 10 single-family servicers | 64% | 65% | | |
| Multifamily Servicer | | | | |
| Berkadia Commercial Mortgage LLC | 14% | 14% | | |
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 13 | 14 | | |
| CBRE Capital Markets, Inc. | 13 | 12 | | |
| Other top 10 servicers | 38 | 36 | | |
| Top 10 multifamily servicers | 78% | 76% | | |

In recent years, there has been a shift in our servicing from depository institutions to non-depository servicers. Some of these non-depository servicers have grown rapidly in recent years and now service a large share of our loans. As of both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, approximately 10% of our single-family credit guarantee portfolio was serviced by our three largest non-depository servicers, on a combined basis. Several of these non-depository servicers also service a large share of the loans underlying our investments in non-agency mortgage-related securities.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 12

Ocwen Financial Corp. (Ocwen) and its subsidiaries and/or affiliates continue to be the subject of adverse regulatory scrutiny. Although we have taken steps to reduce our exposure to them, Ocwen remains one of our significant non-depository servicers. We continue to closely monitor the performance of Ocwen's \$26.0 billion servicing portfolio as of March 31, 2016.

MORTGAGE INSURERS

We have institutional credit risk relating to the potential insolvency of, or non-performance by, mortgage insurers that insure single-family loans we purchase or guarantee. We evaluate the recovery and collectability from mortgage insurers as part of the estimate of our loan loss reserves. See Note 4 for additional information. As of March 31, 2016, mortgage insurers provided coverage with maximum loss limits of \$67.6 billion, for \$263.4 billion of UPB, in connection with our single-family credit guarantee portfolio. These amounts are based on gross coverage without regard to netting of coverage that may exist to the extent an affected loan is covered under both primary and pool insurance.

The table below summarizes the concentration of mortgage insurer counterparties who provided 10% or more of our overall mortgage insurance coverage.

| | Credit Rating ⁽¹⁾ | Mortgage Insurance Coverage | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
| Radian Guaranty Inc. | BBB- | 22% | 22% |
| United Guaranty Residential Insurance Company | BBB+ | 22 | 23 |
| Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation | BBB- | 21 | 21 |
| Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corporation | BB+ | 14 | 14 |
| Total | | 79% | 80% |

Ratings are for the corporate entity to which we have the greatest exposure. Coverage amounts may include coverage provided by consolidated affiliates and subsidiaries of the counterparty. Latest rating available as of (1) March 31, 2016. Represents the lower of S&P and Moody's credit ratings and outlooks stated in terms of the S&P equivalent.

We received proceeds of \$0.1 billion and \$0.2 billion during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively, from our primary and pool mortgage insurance policies for recovery of losses on our single-family loans. We had outstanding receivables from mortgage insurers of \$0.2 billion and \$0.3 billion (excluding deferred payment obligations associated with unpaid claim amounts) as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The balance of these receivables, net of associated reserves, was approximately \$0.2 billion at both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

PMI Mortgage Insurance Co. and Triad Guaranty Insurance Corp. are both in rehabilitation, and a substantial portion of their claims is recorded by us as deferred payment obligations. As of both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had cumulative unpaid deferred payment obligations of \$0.5 billion from these insurers. We reserved for all of these unpaid amounts as collectability is uncertain. It is not clear how the regulators of these companies will administer their respective deferred payment plans in the future, nor when or if those obligations will be paid.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 12

CASH AND OTHER INVESTMENT COUNTERPARTIES

We are exposed to institutional credit risk relating to the potential insolvency of, or the non-performance by, counterparties relating to cash and other investments (including non-mortgage-related investments and cash equivalents) transactions, including those entered into on behalf of our securitization trusts. Our policies require that the issuer be rated as investment grade at the time the financial instrument is purchased. We base the permitted term and dollar limits for each of these transactions on the counterparty's financial strength in order to further mitigate our risk.

Our cash and other investments (including non-mortgage-related investments and cash equivalents) counterparties are primarily major financial institutions, Treasury, and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, including amounts related to our consolidated VIEs, there were \$62.9 billion and \$83.8 billion, respectively, of cash and securities purchased under agreements to resell invested with institutional counterparties, Treasury securities classified as cash equivalents, or cash deposited with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. As of March 31, 2016, all of our securities purchased under agreements to resell were fully collateralized.

NON-AGENCY MORTGAGE-RELATED SECURITY ISSUERS

We are engaged in various loss mitigation efforts concerning certain investments in non-agency mortgage-related securities.

In 2011, FHFA, as Conservator for Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, filed lawsuits against a number of corporate families of financial institutions and related defendants alleging securities laws violations and, in some cases, fraud. In March 2015, FHFA's case against Nomura Holding America, Inc. (or Nomura) went to trial in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The trial was completed in April 2015. In May 2015, the judge ruled against Nomura and co-defendant RBS Securities Inc. and ordered the defendants to pay an aggregate of \$806 million, of which \$779 million will be paid to Freddie Mac. The order also provides for Freddie Mac to transfer the mortgage-related securities at issue in this trial to the defendants. The defendants have agreed to pay for certain costs, legal fees and expenses if FHFA prevails in the litigation. This expense reimbursement payment is subject to various conditions, and is capped at \$33 million (half of any such payment would be made to Freddie Mac). The defendants have filed a notice of appeal and the Court has stayed enforcement of the judgment during the pendency of the appeal. We have been working with an investor consortium that seeks to enforce certain claims relating to certain Countrywide non-agency mortgage-related securities. In June 2011, Bank of America Corporation, BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, Countrywide Financial Corporation and Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. entered into a settlement agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee, to resolve certain claims with respect to a number of Countrywide mortgage securitization trusts. In January 2014, a New York state court approved a significant portion of the settlement. In March 2015, a New York intermediate appellate court upheld the settlement in full. The conditions to the settlement have been satisfied. On February 5, 2016, the trustee filed a petition in New York state court seeking the court's resolution of a dispute among the investors over the proper allocation of the settlement proceeds through certain trusts covered by the agreement. As a result of this action, it is uncertain when the trustee will distribute the settlement funds to the trusts.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 12

We have also been working with an investor consortium that seeks to enforce certain claims relating to certain Citigroup non-agency mortgage-related securities. In April 2014, Citigroup Inc. entered into a settlement agreement with the trustees of the securities covered by the settlement. In December 2015, a New York state court entered a judgment approving the settlement in all respects. The order became final in January 2016. It is likely that the conditions of the settlement will be fully satisfied in the near term. As a result, we expect to receive a benefit for those securitizations that we hold at the time of such distributions. This benefit, which is expected to be approximately \$0.1 billion, will be reflected in earnings recognized over the expected life of the securities.

We have also been working with an investor consortium that seeks to enforce certain claims with J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. relating to a number of mortgage securitization trusts. In October 2014, the trustees of the securitizations filed suit in New York state court seeking approval of the settlement. If the settlement is approved, we would expect to receive a benefit from the settlement for those covered securitizations that we hold at the time settlement proceeds are distributed to the trusts. It is not possible to predict the timing or ultimate outcome of the approval process for this settlement, which could take substantial time.

The majority of the single-family loans underlying our investments in non-agency mortgage-related securities are serviced by non-depository servicers. As of both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, approximately \$13.0 billion in UPB of loans underlying our investments in single-family non-agency mortgage-related securities were serviced by subsidiaries and/or affiliates of Ocwen.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

NOTE 13: FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURE

The accounting guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and sets forth disclosure requirements regarding fair value measurements. This guidance applies whenever other accounting guidance requires or permits assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. Fair value represents the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability takes place either in the principal market for the asset or liability, or, in the absence of a principal market, in the most advantageous market for the asset or liability.

We use fair value measurements for the initial recording of certain assets and liabilities and periodic remeasurement of certain assets and liabilities on a recurring or non-recurring basis.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The accounting guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures establishes a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs into the valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows in priority order:

• Level 1 - inputs to the valuation techniques are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

• Level 2 - inputs to the valuation techniques are based on observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

• Level 3 - one or more inputs to the valuation technique are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. We use quoted market prices and valuation techniques that seek to maximize the use of observable inputs, where available, and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Our inputs are based on the assumptions a market participant would use in valuing the asset or liability. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety within the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

VALUATION PROCESSES AND CONTROLS OVER FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

We designed our control processes so that our fair value measurements are appropriate and reliable, that they are based on observable inputs where possible, and that our valuation approaches are consistently applied and the assumptions and inputs are reasonable. Our control processes provide a framework for segregation of duties and oversight of our fair value methodologies, techniques, validation procedures, and results.

VALUATION TECHNIQUES

HARP Loans

For loans that have been refinanced under HARP, we value our guarantee obligation using the management and guarantee fees currently charged by us under that initiative. HARP loans valued using this technique are classified as Level 2, as the fees charged by us are observable. The majority of our HARP loans are classified as Level 2. If, subsequent to delivery, the refinanced loan no longer qualifies for purchase based on current underwriting standards (such as becoming past due or being modified), the fair value of the guarantee obligation is then measured using our internal credit models or the median of external sources, if the loan's principal market has changed to the whole loan market. HARP loans valued using either of these techniques are classified as Level 3 as significant inputs are unobservable.

The total compensation that we receive for the delivery of a HARP loan reflects the pricing that we are willing to offer because HARP is a part of a broader government program intended to provide assistance to homeowners and prevent foreclosures. When HARP ends in December 2016, the beneficial pricing afforded to HARP loans will no longer be reflected in the pricing structure of our management and guarantee fees. If these benefits were not reflected in the pricing for these loans, the fair value of our loans would have decreased by \$10.6 billion and \$12.9 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The total fair value of the loans in our portfolio that reflect the pricing afforded to HARP loans as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 is \$76.4 billion and \$82.8 billion, respectively.

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ON OUR CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE ON A RECURRING BASIS

The following tables present our assets and liabilities measured on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis subsequent to initial recognition, including instruments where we have elected the fair value option.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | Netting Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Total |
|---|----------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Investments in securities: | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$— | \$29,906 | \$4,369 | \$— | \$34,275 |
| Fannie Mae | — | 6,763 | 84 | — | 6,847 |
| Ginnie Mae | — | 154 | 1 | — | 155 |
| CMBS | — | 6,440 | 3,627 | — | 10,067 |
| Subprime | — | — | 11,947 | — | 11,947 |
| Option ARM | — | — | 3,325 | — | 3,325 |
| Alt-A and other | — | — | 2,940 | — | 2,940 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | — | — | 1,012 | — | 1,012 |
| Manufactured housing | — | — | 556 | — | 556 |
| Total available-for-sale securities, at fair value | — | 43,263 | 27,861 | — | 71,124 |
| Trading, at fair value: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | — | 14,648 | 123 | — | 14,771 |
| Fannie Mae | — | 6,153 | 29 | — | 6,182 |
| Ginnie Mae | — | 144 | — | — | 144 |
| Other | — | 135 | 1 | — | 136 |
| Total mortgage-related securities | — | 21,080 | 153 | — | 21,233 |
| Non-mortgage-related securities | 15,238 | — | — | — | 15,238 |
| Total trading securities, at fair value | 15,238 | 21,080 | 153 | — | 36,471 |
| Total investments in securities | 15,238 | 64,343 | 28,014 | — | 107,595 |
| Mortgage loans: | | | | | |
| Held-for-sale, at fair value | — | 22,415 | — | — | 22,415 |
| Derivative assets, net: | | | | | |
| Interest-rate swaps | — | 7,295 | — | — | 7,295 |
| Option-based derivatives | — | 6,661 | — | — | 6,661 |
| Other | — | 125 | 23 | — | 148 |
| Subtotal, before netting adjustments | — | 14,081 | 23 | — | 14,104 |
| Netting adjustments ⁽¹⁾ | — | — | — | (13,290) | (13,290) |
| Total derivative assets, net | — | 14,081 | 23 | (13,290) | 814 |
| Other assets: | | | | | |
| Guarantee asset, at fair value | — | — | 1,894 | — | 1,894 |
| Non-derivative held-for-sale purchase commitments, at fair value | — | 74 | — | — | 74 |
| Total other assets | — | 74 | 1,894 | — | 1,968 |
| Total assets carried at fair value on a recurring basis | \$15,238 | \$100,913 | \$29,931 | \$ (13,290) | \$132,792 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties, at fair value | \$— | \$122 | \$— | \$— | \$122 |
| Other debt, at fair value | — | 6,793 | — | — | 6,793 |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|----------|------|-------------|----------|
| Derivative liabilities, net: | | | | | |
| Interest-rate swaps | — | 20,049 | — | — | 20,049 |
| Option-based derivatives | — | 156 | — | — | 156 |
| Other | — | 170 | 34 | — | 204 |
| Subtotal, before netting adjustments | — | 20,375 | 34 | — | 20,409 |
| Netting adjustments ⁽¹⁾ | — | — | — | (18,777) | (18,777) |
| Total derivative liabilities, net | — | 20,375 | 34 | (18,777) | 1,632 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | | |
| Non-derivative held-for-sale purchase commitments, at fair value | — | 24 | — | — | 24 |
| All other, at fair value | — | — | 8 | — | 8 |
| Total other liabilities | — | 24 | 8 | — | 32 |
| Total liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis | \$— | \$27,314 | \$42 | \$ (18,777) | \$8,579 |

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 111

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

| (in millions) | December 31, 2015 | | | Netting Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Total |
|---|-------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Investments in securities: | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$— | \$30,919 | \$2,608 | \$— | \$33,527 |
| Fannie Mae | — | 7,172 | 90 | — | 7,262 |
| Ginnie Mae | — | 161 | 1 | — | 162 |
| CMBS | — | 8,918 | 3,530 | — | 12,448 |
| Subprime | — | — | 12,802 | — | 12,802 |
| Option ARM | — | — | 3,678 | — | 3,678 |
| Alt-A and other | — | — | 3,278 | — | 3,278 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | — | — | 1,205 | — | 1,205 |
| Manufactured housing | — | — | 575 | — | 575 |
| Total available-for-sale securities, at fair value | — | 47,170 | 27,767 | — | 74,937 |
| Trading, at fair value: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | — | 15,182 | 331 | — | 15,513 |
| Fannie Mae | — | 6,397 | 41 | — | 6,438 |
| Ginnie Mae | — | 30 | — | — | 30 |
| Other | — | 144 | 2 | — | 146 |
| Total mortgage-related securities | — | 21,753 | 374 | — | 22,127 |
| Non-mortgage-related securities | 17,151 | — | — | — | 17,151 |
| Total trading securities, at fair value | 17,151 | 21,753 | 374 | — | 39,278 |
| Total investments in securities | 17,151 | 68,923 | 28,141 | — | 114,215 |
| Mortgage loans: | | | | | |
| Held-for-sale, at fair value | — | 17,660 | — | — | 17,660 |
| Derivative assets, net: | | | | | |
| Interest-rate swaps | — | 4,911 | — | — | 4,911 |
| Option-based derivatives | — | 4,821 | — | — | 4,821 |
| Other | — | 34 | 25 | — | 59 |
| Subtotal, before netting adjustments | — | 9,766 | 25 | — | 9,791 |
| Netting adjustments ⁽¹⁾ | — | — | — | (9,396) | (9,396) |
| Total derivative assets, net | — | 9,766 | 25 | (9,396) | 395 |
| Other assets: | | | | | |
| Guarantee asset, at fair value | — | — | 1,753 | — | 1,753 |
| Total assets carried at fair value on a recurring basis | \$17,151 | \$96,349 | \$29,919 | \$ (9,396) | \$134,023 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties, at fair value | \$— | \$139 | \$— | \$— | \$139 |
| Other debt, at fair value | — | 7,045 | — | — | 7,045 |
| Derivative liabilities, net: | | | | | |
| Interest-rate swaps | — | 12,222 | — | — | 12,222 |
| Option-based derivatives | — | 128 | — | — | 128 |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|----------|------|-------------|----------|
| Other | — | 28 | 33 | — | 61 |
| Subtotal, before netting adjustments | — | 12,378 | 33 | — | 12,411 |
| Netting adjustments ⁽¹⁾ | — | — | — | (11,157) | (11,157) |
| Total derivative liabilities, net | — | 12,378 | 33 | (11,157) | 1,254 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | | |
| All other, at fair value | — | — | 10 | — | 10 |
| Total liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis | \$— | \$19,562 | \$43 | \$ (11,157) | \$8,448 |

Represents counterparty netting, cash collateral netting and net derivative interest receivable or payable. The net cash collateral posted was \$5.9 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.
⁽¹⁾ The net interest receivable (payable) of derivative assets and derivative liabilities was \$(0.5) billion and \$(0.6) billion at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, which was mainly related to interest rate swaps.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

ASSETS ON OUR CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE ON A NON-RECURRING BASIS

We may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis after our initial recognition. These adjustments usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-fair-value accounting or measurement of impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral.

The table below presents assets measured on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | | | |
|--|---|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| | Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans ⁽¹⁾ | \$-770 | \$3,729 | \$4,499 | \$-1,130 | \$5,851 | \$6,981 | | |
| REO, net ⁽²⁾ | — | 839 | 839 | — | 1,046 | 1,046 | | |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis | \$-770 | \$4,568 | \$5,338 | \$-1,130 | \$6,897 | \$8,027 | | |

Includes loans that are classified as held-for-investment and have been measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral and held-for-sale loans where the fair value is below cost. Includes the correction of an error in previously reported amounts that is not material to the consolidated financial statements.

Represents the fair value of foreclosed properties that were measured at fair value subsequent to their initial classification as REO, net. The carrying amount of REO, net was adjusted to fair value of \$0.8 billion, less (2) estimated costs to sell of \$55 million (or approximately \$0.8 billion) at March 31, 2016. The carrying amount of REO, net was adjusted to fair value of \$1.0 billion, less estimated costs to sell of \$68 million (or approximately \$0.9 billion) at December 31, 2015.

LEVEL 3 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), including transfers into and out of Level 3 assets and liabilities. The table also presents gains and losses due to changes in fair value, including both realized and unrealized gains and losses, recognized in our consolidated statements of comprehensive income for Level 3 assets and liabilities. When assets and liabilities are transferred between levels, we recognize the transfer as of the beginning of the period.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

| Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Realized and unrealized gains (losses) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Balance, January 1, 2016 | Included in earnings | Included in other comprehensive income | Total | Purchases | Issues | Sales | Settlements net | Transfers into Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Balance, March 31, 2016 | Unrealized gains (losses) still held |
| | in millions | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investments in securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$2,608 | \$14 | \$ 1 | \$15 | \$1,755 | \$ — | \$(362) | \$(89) | \$ 714 | \$(272) | \$4,369 | \$ — |
| Fannie Mae | 90 | — | — | — | — | — | — | (6) | — | — | 84 | — |
| Ginnie Mae | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | — |
| CMBS | 3,530 | — | 88 | 88 | 17 | — | — | (8) | — | — | 3,627 | — |
| Subprime | 12,802 | 26 | (171) | (145) | — | — | (208) | (502) | — | — | 11,947 | 18 |
| Option ARM | 3,678 | 58 | (88) | (30) | — | — | (182) | (141) | — | — | 3,325 | 28 |
| Alt-A and other | 3,278 | 34 | (39) | (5) | — | — | (185) | (148) | — | — | 2,940 | 28 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 1,205 | — | (2) | (2) | — | — | — | (191) | — | — | 1,012 | — |
| Manufactured housing | 575 | — | (4) | (4) | — | — | — | (15) | — | — | 556 | — |
| Total available-for-sale mortgage-related securities | 27,767 | 132 | (215) | (83) | 1,772 | — | (937) | (1,100) | 714 | (272) | 27,861 | 74 |
| Trading, at fair value: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | 331 | (5) | — | (5) | 50 | 11 | (139) | (2) | 8 | (131) | 123 | (2) |
| Fannie Mae | 41 | 1 | — | 1 | — | — | (13) | — | — | — | 29 | (1) |
| Other | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | (1) | — | — | 1 | — |
| Total trading mortgage-related securities | 374 | (4) | — | (4) | 50 | 11 | (152) | (3) | 8 | (131) | 153 | (3) |
| Other assets: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guarantee asset | 1,753 | 58 | — | 58 | 142 | 16 | — | (75) | — | — | 1,894 | 58 |
| Realized and unrealized (gains) losses | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Balance, January 1, 2016 | Included in earnings | Included in other comprehensive income | Total | Purchases | Issues | Sales | Settlements net | Transfers into Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Balance, March 31, 2016 | Unrealized gains (losses) |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | 1, 2016 | earnings ⁽¹⁾ other comprehensive income ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | Level 3 ⁽²⁾ | Level 3 ⁽²⁾ | 31, 2016 | losses still held | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--|------|------|-----|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------|
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net derivatives ⁽²⁾ | \$8 | \$18 | \$ — | \$18 | \$— | \$ — | \$ (15) | \$— | \$— | \$11 | \$ 3 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All other, at fair value | 10 | (2) | — | (2) | — | — | — | — | — | 8 | 8 |

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 114

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Realized and unrealized gains (losses) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Balance, January 1, 2015 | Included in earnings | Included in other comprehensive income | Total Purchases | Issues | Sales | Settlements net | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 | Balance, March 31, 2015 | Unrealized gains (losses) still held |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investments in securities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$4,231 | \$— | \$ (2) | \$(2) | \$1,010 | \$ —(654) | \$ 22 | \$ —(1,924) | \$2,683 | \$ — | |
| Fannie Mae | 85 | — | 1 | 1 | — | — | (7) | 43 (9) | 113 | — | |
| Ginnie Mae | 4 | — | — | — | — | — | (1) | — | 3 | — | |
| CMBS | 3,474 | (17) | 101 | 84 | — | — | (6) | — | 3,552 | (17) | |
| Subprime | 20,589 | 192 | 12 | 204 | — | — | (2,892) | (102) | 17,799 | (65) | |
| Option ARM | 5,649 | 11 | (29) | (18) | — | — | (168) | (187) | 5,276 | (11) | |
| Alt-A and other | 5,027 | 6 | (11) | (5) | — | — | (106) | (143) | 4,788 | (1) | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 2,198 | — | (5) | (5) | — | — | (366) | — | 1,827 | — | |
| Manufactured housing | 638 | — | (1) | (1) | — | — | (4) | (14) | 619 | — | |
| Total available-for-sale mortgage-related securities | 41,895 | 192 | 66 | 258 | 1,010 | — | (3,824) | (804) | 36,660 | (94) | |
| Trading, at fair value: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | 927 | 2 | — | 2 | 44 | 128 (5) | (10) | 34 (609) | 511 | 2 | |
| Fannie Mae | 232 | 2 | — | 2 | — | — (2) | (2) | 6 (97) | 139 | 2 | |
| Ginnie Mae | 1 | — | — | — | — | — (1) | — | — | — | — | |
| Other | 4 | 4 | — | 4 | — | — (4) | — | — | 4 | — | |
| Total trading mortgage-related securities | 1,164 | 8 | — | 8 | 44 | 128 (12) | (12) | 40 (706) | 654 | 4 | |
| Other assets: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guarantee asset | 1,626 | (15) | — | (15) | — | 93 — | (135) | — | 1,569 | (15) | |
| All other, at fair value | 5 | 1 | — | 1 | — | — | — | — | 6 | 1 | |
| Total other assets | 1,631 | (14) | — | (14) | — | 93 — | (135) | — | 1,575 | (14) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Realized and unrealized (gains) |

| | Balance January 1, 2015 | Included in earnings | losses Included in other comprehensive income ⁽¹⁾ | Total Purchases | Issuances | Sales | Settlements net | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 | Balance, March 31, 2015 | Unrealized (gains) losses still held |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------|-------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net derivatives ⁽²⁾ | \$ 10 | \$ 25 | \$ — | \$ 25 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (10) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 25 | \$ 15 |

(1) Transfers out of Level 3 during the three months ended March 31, 2016 consisted primarily of certain mortgage-related securities due to an increased volume and level of activity in the market and availability of price quotes from dealers and third-party pricing services. Freddie Mac securities are generally classified as Level 3 at issuance and generally are classified as Level 2 when they begin trading. Transfers into Level 3 during the three months ended March 31, 2016 consisted primarily of certain mortgage-related securities due to a lack of market activity and relevant price quotes from dealers and third-party pricing services.

(2) Amounts are prior to counterparty netting, cash collateral netting, net trade/settle receivable or payable and net derivative interest receivable or payable.

The table below provides valuation techniques, the range, and the weighted average of significant unobservable inputs for assets and liabilities measured on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis using unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

March 31, 2016

| (dollars in millions) | Level 3 Fair Value | Predominant Valuation Technique(s) | Unobservable Inputs Type | Range | Weighted Average |
|--|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Recurring fair value measurements | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Investments in securities | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$3,699 | Discounted cash flows | OAS | (38) - 491 bps | 97 bps |
| | 670 | Other | | | |
| Total Freddie Mac | 4,369 | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | 36 | Median of external sources | | | |
| | 33 | Single external source | | | |
| | 15 | Other | | | |
| Total Fannie Mae | 84 | | | | |
| Ginnie Mae | 1 | Discounted cash flows | | | |
| Total Ginnie Mae | 1 | | | | |
| CMBS | 3,610 | Risk Metrics | Effective duration | 2.90 - 10.77 years | 9.32 years |
| | 17 | Other | | | |
| Total CMBS | 3,627 | | | | |
| Subprime, option ARM, and Alt-A: | | | | | |
| Subprime | 11,406 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$70.2 - \$74.2 | \$ 72.1 |
| | 541 | Other | | | |
| Total subprime | 11,947 | | | | |
| Option ARM | 3,093 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$64.6 - \$69.5 | \$ 67.3 |
| | 232 | Other | | | |
| Total option ARM | 3,325 | | | | |
| Alt-A and other | 2,153 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$84.9 - \$88.2 | \$ 86.7 |
| | 477 | Single external source | External pricing source | \$83.8 - \$83.8 | \$ 83.8 |
| | 310 | Other | | | |
| Total Alt-A and other | 2,940 | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 917 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$101.5 - \$101.9 | \$ 101.7 |
| | 95 | Other | | | |
| Total obligations of states and political subdivisions | 1,012 | | | | |
| Manufactured housing | 485 | | | \$90.0 - \$93.3 | \$ 91.5 |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | | |
|--|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------|
| | 71 | Other | | | |
| Total manufactured housing | 556 | | | | |
| Total available-for-sale mortgage-related securities | 27,861 | | | | |
| Trading, at fair value | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | 95 | Discounted cash flows | | | |
| | 11 | Risk Metrics | | | |
| | 17 | Other | | | |
| Total Freddie Mac | 123 | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | 29 | Discounted cash flows | | | |
| Total Fannie Mae | 29 | | | | |
| Ginnie Mae | — | | | | |
| Other | 1 | Discounted cash flows | | | |
| Total other | 1 | | | | |
| Total trading mortgage-related securities | 153 | | | | |
| Total investments in securities | \$28,014 | | | | |
| Other assets: | | | | | |
| Guarantee asset, at fair value | \$1,763 | Discounted cash flows | OAS | 17 - 198 bps | 58 bps |
| | 131 | Other | | | |
| Total guarantee asset, at fair value | 1,894 | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Net derivatives | 11 | Other | | | |
| Total net derivatives | 11 | | | | |
| Other liabilities | | | | | |
| All other, at fair value | 8 | Other | | | |
| Total all other, at fair value | 8 | | | | |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

December 31, 2015

| (dollars in millions) | Level 3 Fair Value | Predominant Valuation Technique(s) | Unobservable Inputs Type | Range | Weighted Average |
|--|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Recurring fair value measurements | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Investments in securities | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$2,145 | Discounted cash flows | OAS | (46) - 503 bps | 86 bps |
| | 463 | Other | | | |
| Total Freddie Mac | 2,608 | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | 37 | Median of external sources | | | |
| | 36 | Single external source | | | |
| | 17 | Other | | | |
| Total Fannie Mae | 90 | | | | |
| Ginnie Mae | 1 | Discounted cash flows | | | |
| Total Ginnie Mae | 1 | | | | |
| CMBS | 3,530 | Risk Metrics | Effective duration | 3.15 - 11.02 years | 9.57 years |
| Total CMBS | 3,530 | | | | |
| Subprime, option ARM, and Alt-A: | | | | | |
| Subprime | 11,652 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$73.2 - \$77.3 | \$ 75.0 |
| | 1,150 | Other | | | |
| Total subprime | 12,802 | | | | |
| Option ARM | 3,190 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$67.8 - \$72.4 | \$ 69.9 |
| | 488 | Other | | | |
| Total option ARM | 3,678 | | | | |
| Alt-A and other | 2,601 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$85.8 - \$89.3 | \$ 87.6 |
| | 506 | Single external source | External pricing source | \$84.7 - \$84.7 | \$ 84.7 |
| | 171 | Other | | | |
| Total Alt-A and other | 3,278 | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 1,099 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$101.4 - \$101.8 | \$ 101.6 |
| | 106 | Other | | | |
| Total obligations of states and political subdivisions | 1,205 | | | | |
| Manufactured housing | 505 | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$90.4 - \$93.7 | \$ 92.1 |

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------------------|-----|---------------------|---------|
| | 70 | Other | | | |
| Total manufactured housing | 575 | | | | |
| Total available-for-sale mortgage-related securities | 27,767 | | | | |
| Trading, at fair value | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | 249 | Discounted cash flows | OAS | (1,315) - 1,959 bps | 129 bps |
| | 19 | Risk Metrics | | | |
| | 63 | Other | | | |
| Total Freddie Mac | 331 | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | 41 | Discounted cash flows | | | |
| Total Fannie Mae | 41 | | | | |
| Ginnie Mae | — | | | | |
| Other | 1 | Median of external sources | | | |
| | 1 | Discounted cash flows | | | |
| Total other | 2 | | | | |
| Total trading mortgage-related securities | 374 | | | | |
| Total investments in securities | \$28,141 | | | | |
| Other assets: | | | | | |
| Guarantee asset, at fair value | \$1,623 | Discounted cash flows | OAS | 17 - 198 bps | 57 bps |
| | 130 | Other | | | |
| Total guarantee asset, at fair value | 1,753 | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Net derivatives | 8 | Other | | | |
| Total net derivatives | 8 | | | | |
| Other liabilities | | | | | |
| All other, at fair value | 10 | Other | | | |
| Total all other, at fair value | 10 | | | | |

The table below provides valuation techniques, the range, and the weighted average of significant unobservable inputs for assets and liabilities measured on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a non-recurring basis using unobservable inputs (Level 3). Certain of the fair values in the table below were not obtained as of the period end, but were obtained during the period.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 117

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

| March 31, 2016 | | Level 3 Fair Value | Predominant Valuation Technique(s) | Unobservable Inputs | | Weighted Average |
|---------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| (dollars in millions) | Type | | | Range | | |
| Non-recurring fair value measurements | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans | | \$3,729 | | | | |
| | | | Internal model | Historical sales proceeds | \$3,000 - \$788,699 | \$191,075 |
| | | | Internal model | Housing sales index | 41 - 470 bps | 91 bps |
| | | | Third-party appraisal | Property value | \$1 million - \$30 million | \$28 million |
| | | | Income capitalization ⁽¹⁾ | Capitalization rates | 6% - 9% | 6% |
| | | | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$38.1 - \$94.1 | \$69.4 |
| REO, net | | \$839 | | | | |
| | | | Internal model | Historical sales proceeds | \$3,000 - \$677,440 | \$154,037 |
| | | | Internal model | Housing sales index | 43 - 470 bps | 88 bps |
| | | | Other | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | | Level 3 Fair Value | Predominant Valuation Technique(s) | Unobservable Inputs | | Weighted Average |
| (dollars in millions) | Type | | | Range | | |
| Non-recurring fair value measurements | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans | | \$5,851 | | | | |
| | | | Internal model | Historical sales proceeds | \$3,000 - \$788,699 | \$191,957 |
| | | | Internal model | Housing sales index | 44 - 428 bps | 90 bps |
| | | | Third-party appraisal | Property value | \$1 million - \$30 million | \$28 million |
| | | | Income capitalization ⁽¹⁾ | Capitalization rates | 6%- 9% | 7% |
| | | | Median of external sources | External pricing sources | \$39.0 - \$94.6 | \$70.0 |
| REO, net | | \$1,046 | | | | |
| | | | Internal model | Historical sales proceeds | \$3,000 - \$581,751 | \$155,885 |
| | | | Internal model | Housing sales index | 44 - 428 bps | 87 bps |
| | | | Other | | | |

(1) The predominant valuation technique used for multifamily loans. Certain loans in this population are valued using other techniques, and the capitalization rate for those is not represented in the "Range" or "Weighted Average" above.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The table below presents the carrying value and estimated fair value of our financial instruments. For certain types of financial instruments, such as cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and cash equivalents, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and advances to lenders, the carrying value on our GAAP balance sheets approximates fair value, and these assets are short-term in nature and have limited market value volatility.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 118

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | | | | Netting Adjustments | Total |
|--|----------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | GAAP Carrying Amount | Fair Value | | | | | |
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| Financial Assets | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$6,158 | \$6,158 | \$— | \$— | \$— | | \$6,158 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 16,671 | 16,671 | — | — | — | | 16,671 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 40,098 | — | 40,098 | — | — | | 40,098 |
| Investments in securities: | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value | 71,124 | — | 43,263 | 27,861 | — | | 71,124 |
| Trading, at fair value | 36,471 | 15,238 | 21,080 | 153 | — | | 36,471 |
| Total investments in securities | 107,595 | 15,238 | 64,343 | 28,014 | — | | 107,595 |
| Mortgage loans: | | | | | | | |
| Loans held by consolidated trusts | 1,635,242 | — | 1,517,455 | 155,576 | — | | 1,673,031 |
| Loans held by Freddie Mac | 127,391 | — | 37,180 | 91,380 | — | | 128,560 |
| Total mortgage loans | 1,762,633 | — | 1,554,635 | 246,956 | — | | 1,801,591 |
| Derivative assets, net | 814 | — | 14,081 | 23 | (13,290) | | 814 |
| Guarantee asset | 1,894 | — | — | 2,104 | — | | 2,104 |
| Non-derivative purchase commitments, at fair value | 74 | — | 106 | 8 | — | | 114 |
| Advances to lenders | 680 | — | — | 680 | — | | 680 |
| Total financial assets | \$1,936,617 | \$38,067 | \$1,673,263 | \$277,785 | \$ (13,290) | | \$1,975,825 |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Debt, net: | | | | | | | |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | \$1,568,183 | \$— | \$1,656,668 | \$3,516 | \$— | | \$1,660,184 |
| Other debt | 387,435 | — | 388,906 | 5,950 | — | | 394,856 |
| Total debt, net | 1,955,618 | — | 2,045,574 | 9,466 | — | | 2,055,040 |
| Derivative liabilities, net | 1,632 | — | 20,375 | 34 | (18,777) | | 1,632 |
| Guarantee obligation | 1,808 | — | — | 3,303 | — | | 3,303 |
| Non-derivative purchase commitments, at fair value | 24 | — | 29 | 28 | — | | 57 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$1,959,082 | \$— | \$2,065,978 | \$12,831 | \$ (18,777) | | \$2,060,032 |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

| (in millions) | December 31, 2015 | | | | | Netting Adjustments | Total |
|--|----------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | GAAP Carrying Amount | Fair Value | | | | | |
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| Financial Assets | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$5,595 | \$5,595 | \$— | \$— | \$— | | \$5,595 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 14,533 | 14,533 | — | — | — | | 14,533 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 63,644 | — | 63,644 | — | — | | 63,644 |
| Investments in securities: | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value | 74,937 | — | 47,170 | 27,767 | — | | 74,937 |
| Trading, at fair value | 39,278 | 17,151 | 21,753 | 374 | — | | 39,278 |
| Total investments in securities | 114,215 | 17,151 | 68,923 | 28,141 | — | | 114,215 |
| Mortgage loans: | | | | | | | |
| Loans held by consolidated trusts | 1,625,184 | — | 1,477,251 | 162,947 | — | | 1,640,198 |
| Loans held by Freddie Mac | 129,009 | — | 31,831 | 97,133 | — | | 128,964 |
| Total mortgage loans | 1,754,193 | — | 1,509,082 | 260,080 | — | | 1,769,162 |
| Derivative assets, net | 395 | — | 9,766 | 25 | (9,396) | | 395 |
| Guarantee asset | 1,753 | — | — | 1,958 | — | | 1,958 |
| Advances to lenders | 910 | — | 910 | — | — | | 910 |
| Total financial assets | \$1,955,238 | \$37,279 | \$1,652,325 | \$290,204 | \$ (9,396) | | \$1,970,412 |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Debt, net: | | | | | | | |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | \$1,556,121 | \$— | \$1,624,019 | \$805 | \$— | | \$1,624,824 |
| Other debt | 414,306 | — | 412,752 | 6,586 | — | | 419,338 |
| Total debt, net | 1,970,427 | — | 2,036,771 | 7,391 | — | | 2,044,162 |
| Derivative liabilities, net | 1,254 | — | 12,378 | 33 | (11,157) | | 1,254 |
| Guarantee obligation | 1,729 | — | — | 3,129 | — | | 3,129 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$1,973,410 | \$— | \$2,049,149 | \$10,553 | \$ (11,157) | | \$2,048,545 |
| FAIR VALUE OPTION | | | | | | | |

We elected the fair value option for certain types of investments in securities, multifamily held-for-sale loans, certain multifamily held-for-sale loan purchase commitments, and certain debt.

The table below presents the fair value and UPB related to certain items for which we have elected the fair value option.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Multifamily Held-For-Sale Loans | Other Debt - Long Term | Multifamily Held-For-Sale Loans | Other Debt - Long Term |
| Fair value | \$22,415 | \$ 6,793 | \$17,660 | \$ 7,045 |
| Unpaid principal balance | 21,995 | 6,842 | 17,673 | 7,093 |
| Difference | \$420 | \$ (49) | \$(13) | \$(48) |

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 13

Changes in Fair Value under the Fair Value Option Election

We recorded gains (losses) of \$0.5 billion and \$0.4 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively, from the change in fair value on multifamily held-for-sale loans recorded at fair value in other income in our condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

We recorded gains (losses) of \$38 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 from the change in fair value of multifamily held-for-sale loan purchase commitments recorded at fair value in other income in our condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Gains (losses) on debt securities with the fair value option elected were \$13 million and \$(189) million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively, and were recorded in other income in our condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Changes in fair value attributable to instrument-specific credit risk were not material for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015 for any assets or liabilities for which we elected the fair value option.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 14

NOTE 14: LEGAL CONTINGENCIES

We are involved as a party in a variety of legal and regulatory proceedings arising from time to time in the ordinary course of business including, among other things, contractual disputes, personal injury claims, employment-related litigation and other legal proceedings incidental to our business. We are frequently involved, directly or indirectly, in litigation involving mortgage foreclosures. From time to time, we are also involved in proceedings arising from our termination of a seller/servicer's eligibility to sell loans to, and/or service loans for, us. In these cases, the former seller/servicer sometimes seeks damages against us for wrongful termination under a variety of legal theories. In addition, we are sometimes sued in connection with the origination or servicing of loans. These suits typically involve claims alleging wrongful actions of seller/servicers. Our contracts with our seller/servicers generally provide for indemnification of Freddie Mac against liability arising from seller/servicers' wrongful actions with respect to loans sold to or serviced for Freddie Mac.

Litigation and claims resolution are subject to many uncertainties and are not susceptible to accurate prediction. In accordance with the accounting guidance for contingencies, we reserve for litigation claims and assessments asserted or threatened against us when a loss is probable (as defined in such guidance) and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

LITIGATION RELATED TO THE TAYLOR, BEAN & WHITAKER (TBW) BANKRUPTCY

In August 2009, TBW, which had been one of our single-family seller/servicers, filed for bankruptcy in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Florida. We entered into a settlement with TBW and the TBW creditors' committee regarding the TBW bankruptcy in 2011. However, we continue to be involved in litigation with other parties relating to the TBW bankruptcy, as described below.

On or about May 14, 2010, certain underwriters at Lloyds, London and London Market Insurance Companies brought an adversary proceeding in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Florida against TBW, Freddie Mac and other parties seeking a declaration rescinding \$90 million of mortgage bankers bonds providing fidelity and errors and omissions insurance coverage. Several excess insurers on the bonds thereafter filed similar claims in that action. Freddie Mac filed a proof of loss under the bonds. The underwriters moved for partial summary judgment against Freddie Mac in April 2013. The Court denied this motion in March 2014, and the underwriters subsequently appealed the denial of the motion to the U.S. District Court. Numerous additional motions for summary judgment filed by the parties, including by Freddie Mac, are pending. In February 2015, the Court granted summary judgment against TBW on its claims. Freddie Mac has moved for a clarification that the Court's judgment does not apply to Freddie Mac's separate claims against Lloyds. In September 2015, TBW advised the Court that a settlement had been reached. In March 2016, the settlement agreement was submitted to the Court for approval. On April 25, 2016, the Court approved the settlement. The Court's order remains subject to potential appeal, and is therefore not yet final.

On December 29, 2014, Freddie Mac filed an action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against certain underwriters at Lloyds, London and several other insurance carriers seeking coverage for \$111 million in losses under Freddie Mac's primary and excess financial institution bonds. The losses resulted from fraud perpetrated by senior officers and employees of TBW. On April 11, 2016, the parties advised the Court that a settlement in principle among Freddie Mac and almost all of the

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 14

insurance carriers had been reached. The settlement is subject to necessary approvals and documentation, which are now proceeding.

LIBOR LAWSUIT

On March 14, 2013, Freddie Mac filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against the British Bankers Association and the 16 U.S. Dollar LIBOR panel banks and a number of their affiliates. The case was subsequently transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants fraudulently and collusively depressed LIBOR, a benchmark interest rate indexed to trillions of dollars of financial products, and asserts claims for antitrust violations, breach of contract, tortious interference with contract and fraud. Freddie Mac filed an amended complaint in July 2013, and a second amended complaint in October 2014. The defendants moved to dismiss the second amended complaint; Freddie Mac opposed this motion. In August 2015, the Court dismissed the portion of our claim related to antitrust violations and fraud and we filed a motion for reconsideration. On March 31, 2016, the Court granted a portion of our motion, finding personal jurisdiction over certain defendants, and denied the portion of our motion with respect to statutes of limitation for our fraud claims.

LITIGATION CONCERNING THE PURCHASE AGREEMENT

Since July 2013, a number of lawsuits have been filed against us concerning the August 2012 amendment to the Purchase Agreement, which created the net worth sweep dividend provisions of the senior preferred stock. The plaintiffs in the lawsuits allege that they are holders of common stock and/or junior preferred stock issued by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. (For purposes of this discussion, junior preferred stock refers to the various series of preferred stock of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae other than the senior preferred stock issued to Treasury.) It is possible that similar lawsuits will be filed in the future. The lawsuits against us are described below.

Litigation in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia

In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations. This case is the result of the consolidation of three putative class action lawsuits: Cacciapelle and Bareiss vs. Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and FHFA, filed on July 29, 2013; American European Insurance Company vs. Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and FHFA, filed on July 30, 2013; and Marneu Holdings, Co. vs. FHFA, Treasury, Federal National Mortgage Association and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, filed on September 18, 2013. (The Marneu case was also filed as a shareholder derivative lawsuit.) A consolidated amended complaint was filed in December 2013. In the consolidated amended complaint, plaintiffs allege, among other items, that the August 2012 amendment to the Purchase Agreement breached Freddie Mac's and Fannie Mae's respective contracts with the holders of junior preferred stock and common stock and the covenant of good faith and fair dealing inherent in such contracts. Plaintiffs sought unspecified damages, equitable and injunctive relief, and costs and expenses, including attorney and expert fees.

The Cacciapelle and American European Insurance Company lawsuits were filed purportedly on behalf of a class of purchasers of junior preferred stock issued by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae who held stock prior to, and as of, August 17, 2012. The Marneu lawsuit was filed purportedly on behalf of a class of

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 14

purchasers of junior preferred stock and purchasers of common stock issued by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae over a not-yet-defined period of time.

Arrowood Indemnity Company vs. Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, FHFA and Treasury. This case was filed on September 20, 2013. The allegations and demands made by plaintiffs in this case were generally similar to those made by the plaintiffs in the In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations case described above. Plaintiffs in the Arrowood lawsuit also requested that, if injunctive relief were not granted, the Arrowood plaintiffs be awarded damages against the defendants in an amount to be determined including, but not limited to, the aggregate par value of their junior preferred stock, the total of which they stated to be approximately \$42 million.

American European Insurance Company, Cacciapalle and Miller vs. Treasury and FHFA. This case was filed as a shareholder derivative lawsuit, purportedly on behalf of Freddie Mac as a “nominal” defendant, on July 30, 2014. The complaint alleged that, through the August 2012 amendment to the Purchase Agreement, Treasury and FHFA breached their respective fiduciary duties to Freddie Mac, causing Freddie Mac to suffer damages. The plaintiffs asked that Freddie Mac be awarded compensatory damages and disgorgement, as well as attorneys’ fees, costs and other expenses.

FHFA, joined by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, moved to dismiss the In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations case and the other related cases in January 2014. Treasury filed a motion to dismiss the same day. In September 2014, the District Court granted the motions and dismissed the plaintiffs’ claims. In October 2014, plaintiffs in the In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations case filed a notice of appeal of the District Court’s decision. The scope of this appeal includes the American European Insurance Company shareholder derivative lawsuit. In October 2014, Arrowood filed a notice of appeal of the District Court’s decision. Defendants have opposed the appeals. Litigation in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims

Reid and Fisher vs. the United States of America and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. This case was filed as a derivative lawsuit, purportedly on behalf of Freddie Mac as a “nominal” defendant, on February 26, 2014. The complaint alleges, among other items, that the net worth sweep dividend provisions of the senior preferred stock constitute an unlawful taking of private property for public use without just compensation. The plaintiffs ask that Freddie Mac be awarded just compensation for the U.S. government’s alleged taking of its property, attorneys’ fees, costs and other expenses.

Rafter, Rattien and Pershing Square Capital Management vs. the United States of America et al. This case was filed as a shareholder derivative lawsuit, purportedly on behalf of Freddie Mac as a “nominal” defendant, on August 14, 2014. The complaint alleges that the net worth sweep dividend provisions of the senior preferred stock constitute an unlawful taking of private property for public use without just compensation, and the U.S government breached an implied-in-fact contract with Freddie Mac. In September 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint, which contains one claim involving Freddie Mac. The amended complaint alleges that Freddie Mac’s charter is a contract with its common stockholders, and that, through the August 2012 amendment to the Purchase Agreement, the U.S. government breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing inherent in such contract. Plaintiffs ask that they be awarded damages or other appropriate relief for the alleged breach of contract as well as attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 14

Litigation in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware

Jacobs and Hindes vs. FHFA and Treasury. This case was filed on August 17, 2015 as a putative class action lawsuit purportedly on behalf of a class of holders of preferred stock or common stock issued by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae. The case was also filed as a shareholder derivative lawsuit, purportedly on behalf of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae as “nominal” defendants. The complaint alleges, among other items, that the August 2012 amendment to the Purchase Agreement violated applicable state law and constituted a breach of contract, as well as a breach of covenants of good faith and fair dealing. Plaintiffs seek equitable and injunctive relief (including restitution of the monies paid by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae to Treasury under the net worth sweep dividend), compensatory damages, attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses. In March 2016, FHFA filed a motion with the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to transfer this case to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The Delaware Court has stayed this case pending resolution of FHFA's motion.

Litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia

Pagliara vs. Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. This case was filed on March 14, 2016 in the Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia, and subsequently removed to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. The plaintiff seeks an order to permit inspection and copying of corporate records under Virginia law, primarily for the purpose of investigating potential claims arising from the net worth sweep. In March 2016, FHFA filed a motion with the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to transfer this case to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. As discussed below, the plaintiff sent a letter to the Board related to this issue in January 2016.

At present, it is not possible for us to predict the probable outcome of the lawsuits discussed above in the U.S. District Courts and the U.S. Court of Federal Claims (including the outcome of any appeal) or any potential effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity, or results of operations. In addition, we are unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of possible loss in the event of an adverse judgment in the foregoing matters due to a number of factors, including the inherent uncertainty of pre-trial litigation. In addition, with respect to the In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations case, the plaintiffs have not demanded a stated amount of damages they believe are due, and the Court has not certified a class.

Stockholder Letters

We received two letters dated January 19, 2016 addressed to the Board of Directors, each purportedly on behalf of the same holder of stock of Freddie Mac. The first letter urged the members of the Board to take various steps under Virginia law including, among others, causing Freddie Mac to immediately stop paying dividends to Treasury on account of the senior preferred stock. The second letter demanded inspection of various books and records of Freddie Mac, including Board materials and accounting records. On January 28, 2016, FHFA (as Conservator) informed the purported stockholder’s representative that the state law principles asserted in the first letter are not applicable to the Board and that the stockholder has no basis upon which to demand inspection of Freddie Mac’s records. As discussed above, the purported stockholder filed a lawsuit against Freddie Mac in March 2016 related to the demand to inspect corporate records.

We also received a letter dated March 1, 2016 addressed to the Board of Directors from a purported holder of preferred stock of Freddie Mac. In the letter, the purported stockholder states that he intends to

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 14

file suit against the Board and the company for alleged breaches of contract and fiduciary duty in the event the Board does not take unspecified steps “with respect to payment of dividends and other matters” involving the company and its preferred shareholders. On March 10, 2016, FHFA (as Conservator) informed the purported stockholder that the state law principles asserted in the letter are not applicable to the Board. On about April 19, 2016, the purported stockholder sent a second letter in which he reiterated his intent to file suit and attached a proposed class action complaint naming the company and the Board as defendants. The proposed complaint asserts claims for breach of contract, breach of implied covenants of good faith and fair dealing, and breach of fiduciary duties and seeks \$14.1 billion in compensatory damages.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 15

NOTE 15: REGULATORY CAPITAL

In October 2008, FHFA announced that it was suspending capital classification of us during conservatorship in light of the Purchase Agreement. FHFA continues to monitor our capital levels, but the existing statutory and FHFA-directed regulatory capital requirements are not binding during conservatorship. We continue to provide quarterly submissions to FHFA on minimum capital.

The table below summarizes our minimum capital requirements and deficits and net worth.

| (in millions) | March 31, December | |
|--|--------------------|------------|
| | 2016 | 31, 2015 |
| GAAP net worth | \$1,000 | \$2,940 |
| Core capital (deficit) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$(72,643) | \$(70,549) |
| Less: Minimum capital requirement ⁽¹⁾ | 19,057 | 19,687 |
| Minimum capital surplus (deficit) ⁽¹⁾ | \$(91,700) | \$(90,236) |

(1) Core capital and minimum capital figures are estimates and represent amounts submitted to FHFA. FHFA is the authoritative source for our regulatory capital.

(2) Core capital excludes certain components of GAAP total equity (i.e., AOCI and the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock) as these items do not meet the statutory definition of core capital.

Financial Statements Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | Note 16

NOTE 16: SELECTED FINANCIAL STATEMENT LINE ITEMS

The table below presents the significant components of other income (loss) and other expense on our consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Other income (loss): | | |
| Gains (losses) on loans | \$478 | \$(200) |
| Gains (losses) on debt recorded at fair value | 13 | (189) |
| Other | 456 | 400 |
| Total other income (loss) | \$947 | \$11 |
| Other expense: | | |
| Property tax and insurance expense on held-for-sale loans | \$(27) | \$(360) |
| Other expense | (126) | (103) |
| Total other expense | \$(153) | \$(463) |

The table below presents the significant components of other assets and other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. Previously reported amounts have been revised to conform to the current presentation to reflect our adoption of ASU 2015-03.

| (in millions) | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Other assets: | | |
| Accounts and other receivables ⁽¹⁾ | \$4,960 | \$ 3,625 |
| Current income tax receivable | 753 | 26 |
| Guarantee asset | 1,894 | 1,753 |
| Advances to lenders | 680 | 910 |
| Fixed assets | 526 | 502 |
| All other | 533 | 497 |
| Total other assets | \$9,346 | \$ 7,313 |
| Other liabilities: | | |
| Servicer liabilities | \$1,071 | \$ 1,191 |
| Guarantee obligation | 1,808 | 1,729 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 1,159 | 1,286 |
| All other | 765 | 1,040 |
| Total other liabilities | \$4,803 | \$ 5,246 |

(1) Primarily consists of servicer receivables and other non-interest receivables.

END OF CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND ACCOMPANYING NOTES

Other Information

OTHER INFORMATION

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved as a party to a variety of legal proceedings arising from time to time in the ordinary course of business. For more information regarding our involvement as a party to various legal proceedings, see Note 14 in this report and Note 15 in our 2015 Annual Report.

In addition, a number of lawsuits have been filed against the U.S. government related to the conservatorship and the Purchase Agreement. For information on these lawsuits, see “LEGAL PROCEEDINGS” in our 2015 Annual Report. In March 2016, the defendants filed motions with the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to transfer several of the lawsuits (including the cases in federal court in Kentucky, Illinois and Iowa) to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The cases in Kentucky, Illinois and Iowa have been stayed as a result of these motions. Freddie Mac is not a party to any of these lawsuits.

RISK FACTORS

This Form 10-Q should be read together with the “RISK FACTORS” section in our 2015 Annual Report, which describes various risks and uncertainties to which we are or may become subject, and is supplemented by the discussion below. These risks and uncertainties could, directly or indirectly, adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, strategies, and/or prospects.

Negative values for certain interest rate indices could have an adverse effect on our operational and interest-rate risk management processes.

Freddie Mac purchases and securitizes various types of adjustable rate mortgages, and issues, invests in, and hedges with various types of adjustable rate financial instruments. Interest rates have been at historically low levels for a considerable period of time, and in certain countries have become negative. If the interest rate indices used to adjust our adjustable rate mortgages and other financial instruments (primarily LIBOR and Constant Maturity Treasury indices of various durations) were to become negative, our operational and interest-rate risk management processes could be adversely affected. We are evaluating the capability of our existing systems, and those of our business partners, to process negative interest rates. If these systems cannot process such rates appropriately, we may experience disruptions of our business operations, which could result in adverse effects on our relationships with customers, investors and counterparties, damage to our reputation, and legal or regulatory actions. In addition, in the event the relevant index has a negative value, the design of certain of our adjustable rate mortgage securities products may result in our having to pay a greater amount of interest to securities investors than we are entitled to receive on the underlying mortgages. We are evaluating various steps to address this issue. However, these steps may not be sufficient to prevent us from incurring losses. See “MD&A - Risk Management - Interest-Rate Risk and Other Market Risks” for a discussion of the implications of this issue for our measurement and management of interest-rate risk.

Other Information

UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

The securities we issue are “exempted securities” under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. As a result, we do not file registration statements with the SEC with respect to offerings of our securities.

Following our entry into conservatorship, we suspended the operation of, and ceased making grants under, equity compensation plans. Previously, we had provided equity compensation under those plans to employees and members of the Board of Directors. Under the Purchase Agreement, we cannot issue any new options, rights to purchase, participations, or other equity interests without Treasury’s prior approval. However, grants outstanding as of the date of the Purchase Agreement remain in effect in accordance with their terms.

No stock options were exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2016. See Note 10 in our 2015 Annual Report for more information.

DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Our payment of dividends on Freddie Mac common stock or any series of Freddie Mac preferred stock (other than senior preferred stock) is subject to certain restrictions as described in “MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES - Dividends and Dividend Restrictions” in our 2015 Annual Report.

INFORMATION ABOUT CERTAIN SECURITIES ISSUANCES BY FREDDIE MAC

Pursuant to SEC regulations, public companies are required to disclose certain information when they incur a material direct financial obligation or become directly or contingently liable for a material obligation under an off-balance sheet arrangement. The disclosure must be made in a current report on Form 8-K under Item 2.03 or, if the obligation is incurred in connection with certain types of securities offerings, in prospectuses for that offering that are filed with the SEC.

Freddie Mac’s securities offerings are exempted from SEC registration requirements. As a result, we do not file registration statements or prospectuses with the SEC with respect to our securities offerings. To comply with the disclosure requirements of Form 8-K relating to the incurrence of material financial obligations, we report these types of obligations either in offering circulars or supplements thereto that we post on our web site or in a current report on Form 8-K, in accordance with a “no-action” letter we received from the SEC staff. In cases where the information is disclosed in an offering circular posted on our web site, the document will be posted within the same time period that a prospectus for a non-exempt securities offering would be required to be filed with the SEC.

The web site address for disclosure about our debt securities, other than debt securities of consolidated trusts, is www.freddie.com/debt. From this address, investors can access the offering circular and related supplements for debt securities offerings under Freddie Mac’s global debt facility, including pricing

Other Information

supplements for individual issuances of debt securities. Similar information about our STACR debt notes and Whole Loan Securities is available at www.freddiemac.com/creditriskofferings.

Disclosure about the mortgage-related securities we issue, some of which are off-balance sheet obligations (e.g., K Certificates), can be found at www.freddiemac.com/mbs. From this address, investors can access information and documents about our mortgage-related securities, including offering circulars and related offering circular supplements.

EXHIBITS

The exhibits are listed in the Exhibit Index at the end of this Form 10-Q.

Freddie Mac Form 10-Q 131

Controls and Procedures

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management of the company, including the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing our disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and we must apply judgment in implementing possible controls and procedures.

Management, including the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2016. As a result of management's evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2016, at a reasonable level of assurance, because we have not been able to update our disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that information known by FHFA on an ongoing basis is communicated from FHFA to Freddie Mac's management in a manner that allows for timely decisions regarding our required disclosure under the federal securities laws. We consider this situation to be a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting During the Quarter Ended March 31, 2016

We evaluated the changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2016 and concluded that there were no changes that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures

Mitigating Actions Related to the Material Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As described above under "Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures," we have one material weakness in internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2016 that we have not remediated.

Based on discussions with FHFA and given the structural nature of this material weakness, we believe it is likely that we will not remediate it while we are under conservatorship. However, both we and FHFA have continued to engage in activities and employ procedures and practices intended to permit accumulation and communication to management of information needed to meet our disclosure obligations under the federal securities laws. These include the following:

FHFA has established the Division of Conservatorship, which is intended to facilitate operation of the company with the oversight of the Conservator.

We provide drafts of our SEC filings to FHFA personnel for their review and comment prior to filing. We also provide drafts of external press releases, statements and speeches to FHFA personnel for their review and comment prior to release.

FHFA personnel, including senior officials, review our SEC filings prior to filing, including this Form 10-Q, and engage in discussions with us regarding issues associated with the information contained in those filings. Prior to filing this Form 10-Q, FHFA provided us with a written acknowledgment that it had reviewed the Form 10-Q, was not aware of any material misstatements or omissions in the Form 10-Q, and had no objection to our filing the Form 10-Q.

The Director of FHFA is in frequent communication with our Chief Executive Officer, typically meeting (in person or by phone) on at least a bi-weekly basis.

FHFA representatives attend meetings frequently with various groups within the company to enhance the flow of information and to provide oversight on a variety of matters, including accounting, credit and capital markets management, external communications, and legal matters.

Senior officials within FHFA's accounting group meet frequently with our senior financial executives regarding our accounting policies, practices, and procedures.

In view of our mitigating actions related to this material weakness, we believe that our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2016 have been prepared in conformity with GAAP.

Signatures

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Federal Home Loan
Mortgage Corporation

By: /s/ Donald H. Layton
Donald H. Layton
Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 3, 2016

By: /s/ James G. Mackey
James G. Mackey
Executive Vice President — Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: May 3, 2016

Index

FORM 10-Q INDEX

| Item Number | | Page(s) |
|-------------|---|-------------------------|
| PART I | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | <u>59</u> - <u>128</u> |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | <u>1</u> - <u>58</u> |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | <u>47</u> - <u>48</u> |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | <u>132</u> - <u>133</u> |
| PART II | OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | <u>129</u> |
| Item 1A | Risk Factors | <u>129</u> |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | <u>130</u> |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | <u>131</u> |
| | SIGNATURES | <u>134</u> |
| | EXHIBIT INDEX | <u>E-1</u> |

Exhibit Index

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description* |
|-------------|--|
| 4.1 | Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Global Debt Facility Agreement, dated February 18, 2016 |
| 12.1 | Statement re: computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges and computation of ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) |
| 31.2 | Certification of Executive Vice President —Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 32.2 | Certification of Executive Vice President —Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition |

*The SEC file numbers for the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10, Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K are 000-53330 and 001-34139.